AIRTRAX INC Form 10KSB April 15, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 Commission file number: 001-16237

AIRTRAX, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

New Jersey 22-3506376 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 22-3506376 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 Freeway Drive, Unit One, Blackwood, 08012

NJ

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

<u>-----</u>

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (856) 232-3000

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, no par value.

Check whether the issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. "

Check whether the issuer filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to the filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes "No T

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the issuer's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB."

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No T

The issuer's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 were \$745,367.

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the issuer as of April 11, 2008 was \$1,913,293.62.

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's Common Stock as of April 11, 2008 was 32,800,093 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: NONE

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes "No T

Edgar Filing: AIRTRAX INC - Form 10KSB AIRTRAX, INC.

2007 FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Description of Business	3
Item 2. Description of Property	10
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	10
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	10
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.	11
Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.	14
Item 7. Financial Statements.	27
Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	28
Disclosure.	
Item 8A. Controls and Procedures.	28
Item 8B. Other Information	29
PART III	
Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons: Compliance with	29
Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	
Item 10. Executive Compensation	31
Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	33
Stockholders	
Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	34
Item 13. Exhibits	35
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	38

PART I

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

Various statements in this Form 10-KSB and in future filings by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in our press releases and in oral statements made by or with the approval of authorized personnel constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and are indicated by words or phrases such as "anticipate," "could," "currently envision," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "project," "seeks," "we believe," and similar words or phrases and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based largely on our expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements as a result of the facts described in "Risk Factors." We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks and uncertainties, we cannot assure you that the forward-looking information contained in this Form 10-KSB will, in fact, transpire.

Our fiscal year ends on December 31. References to a fiscal year refer to the calendar year in which such fiscal year ends.

Item 1. Description of Business

Corporate Information and History

We were incorporated in the State of New Jersey on April 17, 1997. On May 19, 1997, we entered into a merger agreement with a predecessor company that was incorporated on May 10, 1995. We were the surviving company in the merger.

Effective November 5, 1999, we merged with MAS Acquisition IX Corp ("MAS"), and were the surviving company in the merger. Pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, as amended, each share of common stock of MAS was converted to 0.00674 shares of our company. After giving effect to fractional and other reductions, MAS shareholders received 57,280 of our shares as a result of the merger.

In March 2004, we reached an agreement in principal, subject to certain closing conditions, with Fil Filipov to acquire 51% of the capital stock of Filco GmbH, a German corporation. In October 2004, Mr. Filipov and we agreed to modify our agreement in principal so as to increase the number of shares of the capital stock of Filco GmbH which we could acquire, if we had finalized the acquisition, from 51% to 75.1%. Through December 31, 2005, we had loaned Filco GmbH an aggregate principal amount of \$6,275,881 with no loans made by us in 2006, exclusive of interest at 8% per annum, pursuant to a series of secured promissory notes. Security for these loans consisted of Filco's plant machinery, equipment and other plant property, and intellectual property, including designs and drawings. We used proceeds from the private placement offerings that we completed during 2004 and 2005 to fund the Filco loans.

On January 20, 2006, Filco filed for insolvency in Germany. As a result of the filing by Filco, we terminated the Acquisition Agreement on February 7, 2006. An auction sale of Filco's assets occurred on May 10, 2006. Due to the uncertainty of our position under German bankruptcy law, \$4,275,881 of the Filco advances was written off in 2005,

and the remaining \$2,000,000 was written off in 2006. Accordingly, any inventory, equipment or outstanding advances to Filco have been written off during 2006 and there is no indication that the proceeds of any inventory or equipment at the Filco plant will be returned to us.

In connection with the Acquisition Agreement, Mr. Filipov was to receive options to purchase 900,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.01. We did not issue such options because the Acquisition Agreement was terminated and we believe that the conditions for such issuance were never fulfilled. Mr. Filipov has indicated to us that he believes that the conditions were fulfilled and that we owe him the options. We and Mr. Filipov are continuing to discuss a mutually acceptable settlement.

Introduction

Our principal executive offices are located at 200 Freeway Drive, Unit One, Blackwood, NJ 08012 and our telephone number is (856) 232-3000. We are incorporated in the State of New Jersey.

Since 1995, substantially all of our resources and operations have been directed towards the development of the Omni-Directional wheel, related components, Omni-Directional Lift Trucks and other Omni-Directional Vehicles. Many of the components, including the unique shaped wheels, motors, and frames, have been designed by Airtrax and are specially manufactured for us.

Omni-Directional means that vehicles designed and built by us can travel in any direction. Our Omni-directional vehicles are controlled with a joystick. The vehicle will travel in the direction the joystick is pushed. If the operator pushes the joystick sideways, the vehicle will travel sideways. If the operator were to twist the joystick the vehicle will travel in circles. Our omni-directional vehicles have one motor and one motor controller for each wheel. The omni-directional movement is caused by coordinating the speed and direction of each motor with joystick inputs which are routed to a micro-processor, then from the micro-processor to the motor controllers and finally to the motor itself.

The assembly of our products is conducted at our executive offices. Currently 100% of our vehicle frames are being manufactured in the USA. These frames are shipped to the Blackwood plant for final assembly. Previously, partially assembled vehicles were shipped to the Blackwood facility from the Filco plant in Germany. Fourteen were shipped to the USA for final assembly. A total of approximately sixty frames were shipped from Bulgaria to the Filco plant for partial assembly. None of the frames shipped from Bulgaria were within specification. The twenty-seven frames shipped to the United States required re-machining in order to make them useable. The balance were rejected and abandoned with other parts inventory that was stored in the Filco plant.

Recent Developments

Effective March 21, 2008, the Board of Directors formally suspended the employment of all of our employees due to a lack of financial resources to continue operations. On April 4, 2008, Robert Watson resigned as Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Airtrax, Inc. Mr. Watson will continue to serve as an advisor to the Company on a part-time consulting basis to continue to pursue financing on our behalf. On April 10, 2008, William F. Hungerville, one of our current directors, was appointed interim Chief Executive Officer, and is our Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer.

The growth and development of our business will require a significant amount of additional working capital. We currently have no financial resources and we will need to raise additional capital in order to continue operations. We have no contracts or commitments for additional capital at this time. We currently do not have adequate cash to meet our short or long term objectives. In the event additional capital is raised, it may have a dilutive effect on our existing stockholders. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in securing financing needed to allow the Company to continue its future operations or retain any of the previous employees.

OMNI-DIRECTIONAL TECHNOLOGY

Prior History

Omni directional vehicle technology has been the subject of research and development by universities, the Department of Defense, and industry for over 25 years. A Swedish inventor patented an early stage omni-directional wheel. Thereafter, the technology was purchased by the United States Navy and was advanced at the Naval Surface Warfare Center. The US Navy held the patent until its expiration in 1990. In 1996, the Navy transferred this technology to us for commercialization through a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA).

Technology Description

Since the technology transfer under the CRADA agreement, we have examined and redesigned many aspects of the system for use in various applications including lift trucks and other material handling equipment. In this regard, we refined control software and hardware, and tested a variety of drive component features on our pilot Omni-Directional lift trucks, scissor-lifts, and multi-purpose mobility platforms. Extensive demonstrations of prototype vehicles for commercial and military users in combination with market research have enabled us to direct our development efforts towards the products offering the best probability of success in the market.

Our engineers have designed other aspects of our machine to complement the unique functionality of our Omni-Directional technology. In so doing, we achieved a virtually maintenance free drive system which allows the vehicle free and unrestricted movement during operation. Each vehicle is powered with electric motors that eliminate brushes and commutators of conventional DC motors. The motors also are lubricated for life thereby eliminating the need for additional greasing and fittings. The ATX-3000 transmission uses a synthetic lubricant, and is sealed for life. The joysticks control all vehicle movement. Conventional drive trains, steering racks, hydraulic valve levers, and foot petals for braking and acceleration are all non-existent.

On vehicles employing our Omni-Directional Technology, each wheel powered wheel has a separate electric motor, making the vehicle capable of traveling in any direction. The motion of the vehicle is controlled by coordinating all powered wheels through a microprocessor that receives input from an operator-controlled joystick(s). The joystick(s) control all vehicle movement (starting, steering, and stopping). The frame of our ATX-3000 Omni-Directional Lift Truck consists of a steel main frame and attached articulating axle, mobilized with four Omni-Directional wheels. The AC electric motor for each wheel turns its own wheel hub. Each wheel hub is encircled with multiple specially shaped rollers that are offset 45 degrees. By independently controlling the forward or rearward rotation of each wheel, the vehicle has the capability of traveling in any direction. The technology allows the vehicle to move forward, laterally, diagonally, or completely rotate within its own footprint, thereby allowing it to move into confined spaces without difficulty. The navigational options of an Omni-Directional vehicle are virtually limitless.

EXISTING AND PROPOSED PRODUCTS

SIDEWINDER Omni-Directional Lift Trucks. We anticipate that we will add additional models of lift trucks to the SIDEWINDER line, including a Reach truck and an Order Picker truck..

Omni-Directional Aerial Work Platform. In late February 2004, we, in collaboration with MEC Aerial Platform Sales Corporation of Fresno, California ("MEC"), introduced a concept version of a scissor lift at the American Rental Association trade show in Atlanta. The scissor lift called the "PHOENIX(TM)", incorporated our Omni-Directional technology along with an MEC platform and lift mechanisms.

On March 13, 2004, we entered into a draft Product Development, Sales and Representation Agreement with MEC. The draft agreement called for the joint development of a proto-type and production versions of an Omni-Directional aerial work platform called the "3068ODS". During the development stage, each party was to provide the parts, which apply to that party's area of responsibility. We would provide all of the parts required for the Omni-Directional traction system and related control systems, and MEC would provide all of the parts required for the scissor lift and lifting apparatus and the control systems for the scissor lift apparatus. After development of the prototype version, the parties were to establish the cost of a commercial product, and if the cost of a commercial product was considered commercially viable, the parties would jointly develop a commercial version of the aerial work platform. If commercial production resulted, we would have been responsible for product manufacturing, and MEC or its affiliate would have been responsible to promote, market and sell the product to their network of approximately 200 distributors. Aerial work platform sales made by MEC would be subject to a royalty to us and, likewise sales made by us would be subject to a royalty to MEC. The amount of the respective royalties would be subject to agreement by the parties. Orders placed by MEC would be financed by MEC subject to agreed production schedules. We also planned to manufacture the COBRA(TM) AWP using the lifting mechanism as designed by us or procured from MEC and vendors other than MEC.

During 2004, MEC was repositioned to perform manufacturing in the United States thus removing their obligation under the agreement. During the latter part of 2004, we presented MEC with invoices for payment of tooling and engineering costs related to development of the PHOENIX(TM). The invoices were not paid by MEC who was, at that time, in the process of realigning their finances. As a result of the aforementioned changes, the agreement was modified. The modification stated that the 3068ODS aerial work platform project would be products of our company instead of an MEC designed or built vehicle. This meant that the project would be henceforth designed and built by us. MEC would still have the ability to make suggestions regarding vehicle design or construction, but the final product would be our product. In addition, the agreement was revised to provide that we would build another vehicle product line, the COBRA, which will be marketed exclusively by our dealers. The parties mutually agreed to the dissolution of the agreement and Airtrax has decided to design, build and market the AWP's under the COBRA brand exclusively. Discussions with MEC regarding ways that they can make Omni-Directional AWP's available to their customers are on going.

Omni-Directional Personal Mobility Devices. We have begun the development of new technologies which will enable us in the future, to develop Omni-Directional Personal Mobility Devices such as Power Chairs, Scooters, and patient beds or lifts. We have had discussions with several equipment manufacturers who may be interested in developing and marketing such products using these technologies. No agreements have been made. We will require additional funds to complete structural and ergonomic designs and proto-type vehicles, for further evaluation and testing. We cannot predict whether we will be able to successfully develop these products.

Military Products. During 1999, we were awarded a Phase I research contract under the Department of Defense's Small Business Innovation Research program (SBIR) to develop an Omni-Directional Multiple Purpose Mobility Platform (MP2). Under the Phase I base contract, we studied the application of the omni-directional technology for military use and were supervised by the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division (NAWC-AD) in Lakehurst, New Jersey. The contemplated use includes the installation of jet engines on military aircraft and the transportation of munitions and other military goods. We completed the Phase I base contract in 1999 and were subsequently awarded a Phase I option from NAWC-AD to further define the uses of the MP2. In July 2000, we were awarded a Phase II research contract under the SBIR program. Under the Phase II contract, we studied the feasibility of the MP2 for military purposes, and constructed two proto-type devices. This contract (with the option) was extended twice for 6 months each past the 42-month contract time period. A completed proto-type MP2 was delivered to the US Navy during the end of the first quarter of 2004 for testing purposes. A second design, an Omni-Directional Jet Engine Handler conversion kit was constructed, and demonstrated as proof of concept of the modularity of the design. We

have been advised by the US Navy that a non-SBIR sponsor for the MP2 program must be identified before a Phase II option is exercised. A Phase III contract could be awarded without such a sponsor. Although our management believes the underlying Omni-Directional Technology for the proposed MP2 has significant potential for both commercial and military applications, we cannot predict whether any sales beyond the Phase II contract will result from the SBIR program. It is the belief of management that sales to the US military for products such as the MP2 will not materialize until the Omni-Directional Technology achieves commercial acceptance. We do believe, however, that products such as the ATX-3000 or the COBRA AWP may be sold to the US government, possibly including the military, through a GSA Multiple Awards Contract. We have begun the application process and hope it will be awarded in 2008. We cannot predict whether we will be successful in our application.

On September 7, 2006, we were awarded a \$415,000 contract to design and build a customized MP2 Equipment Handling Unit for the Israeli Air Force. The contract includes an option to build 5 additional units at \$95,000 each upon the acceptance of the first unit. It is estimated that follow on orders could result from this contract. The Critical Design Review was completed in November 2006, the design was approved and initial deliverables were provided. As a result, we received a first process payment of \$170,000 on December 12, 2006. We completed the Acceptance Test Procedure in 2007 and received a second payment of \$162,000 on June 20, 2007. We cannot predict whether we will be able to successfully complete the contract, or that if we do so, that any subsequent orders will result.

CURRENT OPERATIONS

Since 1995, substantially all of our resources and operations have been directed towards the development of the Omni-Directional wheel, related components, Omni-Directional Lift Trucks and other Omni-Directional Vehicles. Many of the components, including the unique shaped wheels, motors, and frames, have been designed by Airtrax and are specially manufactured for us. 29

ANSI testing refers to a series of tests including tilt testing the vehicle with masts it will use to make certain that it will not tip over in normal use. In addition, ANSI testing includes drop testing specified loads on the overhead guard to make certain that the overhead guard will not fail and crush the operator. These tests require us to turn the vehicle on its side to prove that the battery door lock will retain the battery in the event the vehicle is overturned. ANSI testing was performed and documented by us and we have certified that the tests have been completed and the vehicle has passed in all respects. This testing was required prior to the vehicle being sold to the public in the United States.

UL testing is completed on lift trucks to certify that is free of hazards with respect to fire and electrical shock. Completion of UL testing is generally considered the mark of companies who will take extra steps and precautions to protect their customers.

MANUFACTURING AND SUPPLIERS

There was limited production in 2006 and 2007 due to limited working capital. All of the units shipped in 2006 and our current inventory were assembled in the last quarter of 2005 and the first quarter 2006. Our General Manager for plant production, a former plant manager for GM, has established the production assembly process and procedure for our vehicle assembly. His efforts have helped to develop procedures, and to incorporate inventory control and quality assurance programs so that we stand ready to rapidly scale production capacity at the Blackwood facility. Initially this plant was equipped for nominal monthly production but is capable of ramping up for anticipated demand before year's end.

Components for our products consist of over the counter products and proprietary products that have been specially designed and manufactured by various suppliers in collaboration with us. We believe that continual refinements of certain components will occur during the first six months of initial KING COBRA production in response to user feedback and additional product testing. We will strive to improve product functionality, which may require additional refinements in the future. We consider the specially designed and manufactured products proprietary, and have entered into exclusive contractual agreements with certain suppliers to protect the proprietary nature of these products. These arrangements prohibit the supplier from producing the same or similar products for other companies who would want to compete directly with us in the omni-directional vehicle market. In addition, while we maintain single sources for some of the over the counter components, we are engaged in qualifying and securing agreements with second sources for all possible components.

DISTRIBUTION AND PRODUCT MARKETING

We intend to establish a national and international network of distributors and dealers to sell our SIDEWINDER and COBRA lines to users, however, we may sell directly to select national and international accounts and retailers. National and international accounts or retailers include, but are not limited to, nationally recognized businesses with national or international locations having facilities in numerous states or countries.

During 2004 and 2005, in anticipation of commercial production, we solicited interest from targeted dealers nationwide, and in certain instances, received contracts from a number of these dealers. Due to the delay in establishing commercial production, the contracts were not fulfilled. In 2004, we began soliciting dealers nationwide and distributors in several foreign countries. Principal terms of the agreement reached is that these dealers will purchase our products which include the SIDEWINDER or the COBRA AWP (scissor lift), or both and sell these products to their clients. Certain of the distributors were given "exclusive" territories, such as Airtrax Canada (Airtrax Canada is not owned or operated by us but we have authorized their use of the Airtrax Name). Airtrax Canada was required to purchase a minimum number of SIDEWINDER units to maintain the "exclusivity" portion of the agreement between firms. Airtrax Canada lost their exclusivity in 2006, as they did not meet the minimum requirements of the agreement. The dealers in the US generally have not been given exclusive territorial rights, but that has occurred in some areas. They are required to purchase one or more vehicles, however, to become a dealer. Credit terms are now available to approved dealers while foreign distributors are only sold under the terms of letters of credit. All foreign sales are paid in advance, under terms of an irrevocable letter of credit or approved credit terms. Targeted dealers for the SIDEWINDER brand will consist of selected premier equipment dealers, currently selling other lift truck products. The dealer network will consist of dealers who have substantial market share in the US, with a history of being able to sell and repair lift trucks and/or related material handling solutions. Several of the targeted dealers are significant sized entities, having annual sales in excess of \$100 million. We expect to provide a sales incentive to dealers through an aggressive pricing structure. Typically, a dealer will earn a commission ranging from \$500 to \$1,000 on the sale of a competitive lift truck. Our pricing structure will enable the dealer to receive much higher commissions from the sale of the SIDEWINDER products.

Product Warranty Policies

Our product warranty policy is similar to the warranty policies of other major manufacturers, i.e., one-year warranties on all parts and labor, and two years on major parts, however, our vehicles have fewer parts to warranty. In addition, manufacturers of our parts and vehicles have their own warranty policies that, in effect, take the financial exposure from our company. There are exceptions to the one year rule, such as the frame and significantly, the motors and controllers. These parts have an eighteen-month warranty, because the coverage begins when the product is shipped to us and not when the product is purchased.

MARKETS

Lift Trucks

Our initial market focus was directed to the lift truck market. We believe that commercial versions of Omni-Directional Lift Trucks will improve the materials handling and warehousing industries creating potential markets globally. Industry data shows that during 2003 approximately 174,000 and 550,000 units were sold in the United States and worldwide, respectively (Modern Materials Handling). Based upon an average per unit sale price of \$28,500 (Modern Materials Handling estimate), the total market in the United States would approximate \$5 billion in 2003. This amount represents sales of a broad range of vehicles with price ranges from \$18,000 to \$31,000 for a standard 3,000-pound rated vehicle to \$75,000 or greater for specialty narrow aisle or side loader vehicles. We expect to continue to make inroads into this market with the introduction of additional SIDEWINDER brand material handling vehicles in the future.

Aerial Work Platforms

Aerial Work Platforms are used in the construction and warehousing industries, and are ideally suited for our Omni-Directional Technology. According to data provided by the United States Department of Commerce, this market consists of approximately \$1.2 billion in annual sales. Aerial Work Platforms and man lifts range in size from single user lifts to large off road machines. Of the total market, we expect to compete with a range of indoor man lifts.

COMPETITION

We expect to confront competition from existing products, such as standard and "Narrow Aisle or NA" lift trucks, and from competing technologies. Competition with standard lift trucks, which retail from \$16,000 to \$31,000, will be on the basis of utility, price, and reliability. We believe that we will compete favorably with a standard lift truck for reliability, and that a purchase decision will be based upon weighing the operational advantages of our products against its higher purchase price. NA and sideloader lift trucks retail at \$45,000 or greater. While our SIDEWINDER Omni-Directional Lift Truck cannot be classified as "narrow aisle", it can perform "narrow aisle" functions at a significantly less cost. We also are aware of multi-directional lift trucks now being offered by other manufacturers that retail from \$42,000 and higher for the standard version. These newer products have improved operational features, however, they are unable to travel in all directions, and hence are not omni-directional. These machines have to stop, turn all four wheels, and then proceed to drive in the sideward direction. Despite these improved operational features, management believes these manufacturers have adhered to older conventional methods and have added a substantial amount of parts to their lift trucks to achieve improved functionality, which contrasts with the design and features of our product as discussed previously herein. Therefore, to that extent, we believe that we maintain a competitive advantage to these newer products.

We recognize that many of these manufacturers are subsidiaries of major national and international equipment companies, and have significantly greater financial, engineering, marketing, distribution, and other resources than us. In addition, the patent on the first omni-directional wheels expired in 1990. Although we have received patent protection for certain aspects of our advanced technology, no assurances can be given that such patent protection will effectively thwart competition.

PATENTS AND PROPRIETARY RIGHTS

In December 1997, we were awarded a patent for an omni-directional helicopter ground-handling device. On January 22, 2002, we received US patent #6,340,065 relating to our low vibrations wheels. On May 28, 2002, we received US patent #6,394,203 encompassing certain aspects of the omni-directional wheel with some features specific to the lift

truck, and in April 15, 2003 we received US patent #6,394,203 relating to methods for designing low-vibration wheels. We also have several patent applications pending relating to other aspects of our technology. We expect to make future patent applications relating to various other aspects of our omni-directional technology. We also have filed a patent application for our hybrid power module concepts. At this time, no foreign patents have been issued for any of our technology.

On September 8, 2003, we entered an exclusive license agreement with Excalibur Design Services, Inc. and Nicholas Fenelli (Inventor), to secure and use certain proprietary intellectual properties known collectively as "Omni-Directional Vehicle Control Algorithms". Mr. Fenelli is our former Chief of Operations. Due to severe cash flow restrictions in 2006, we were unable to fulfill our obligations under the terms of the agreement and Excalibur rescinded the exclusivity portion of the agreement. As of December 31, 2007, no other party was granted rights to use the property. On February 19, 2007, we negotiated an amendment with Excalibur to reinstate our exclusive rights to the "Omni-Directional Vehicle Control Algorithms."

We also seek to protect our proprietary technology through exclusive supply contracts with manufacturers for specially designed and manufactured components.

PRODUCT LIABILITY

Due to nature of our business, we may face claims for product liability resulting from the use or operation of our lift trucks or other products.

Presently, we maintain product liability insurance in the amount of \$1 million. We anticipate increasing this amount \$10 million in the future, as we deem necessary to do so. We obtained our insurance commensurate with the initial shipment of our Omni-Directional Lift Trucks.

EMPLOYEES

As of April 11, 2008, we had one person acting as our Chief Executive Officer and one consultant responsible for helping us obtain financing. In March 2008, we suspended the employment of all 13 of our employees due to lack of financial resources to continue operations. There can be no assurances that we will be successful in securing financing needed to allow us to continue our future operations or retain any of the previous employees.

Item 2. Description of Property

We maintain our administrative offices and assembly facilities at 200 Freeway Drive, Unit One, Blackwood, NJ 08012. This facility is a total of 30,000 square feet with 3,000 square feet allocated to offices and cost a monthly rental fee of \$12,750.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings. There has been no bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.

Our common stock has been traded on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "AITX". The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices per share of the common stock as reported on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board. These quotations reflect prices between dealers, do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns, and commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. The prices are adjusted to reflect all stock splits.

	\$High	\$Low
2008 First Quarter	0.57	0.02
2007 First Quarter	0.97	0.48
Second Quarter	0.60	0.45
Third Quarter	0.56	0.23
Fourth Quarter	0.31	0.10
2006 First Quarter	2.39	1.08
Second Quarter	2.17	1.15
Third Quarter	2.03	0.92
Fourth Quarter	1.01	0.42

Common Stock

Our Amended Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of 100,000,000 shares of common stock, no par value per share. Holders of shares of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share on all matters to be voted on by the stockholders. Holders of common stock have cumulative voting rights. Holders of shares of common stock are entitled to share ratably in dividends, if any, as may be declared, from time to time by the Board of Directors in its discretion, from funds legally available therefore. In the event of a liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, the holders of shares of common stock are entitled to share pro rata all assets remaining after payment in full of all liabilities. Holders of common stock have no preemptive or other subscription rights, and there are no conversion rights or redemption or sinking fund provisions with respect to such shares.

As of April 11, 2008, there were 32,800,093 shares of common stock outstanding.

As of April 11, 2008, there were approximately 794 stockholders of record of our common stock, respectively. This does not reflect those shares held beneficially or those shares held in "street" name.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to stockholders in the foreseeable future. In addition, any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, and such other factors as the Board of Directors deem relevant. There are no restrictions in our articles of incorporation or bylaws that restrict us from declaring dividends on our common stock.

Preferred Stock

As of April 12, 2007, there were 275,000 shares of Preferred stock outstanding. We held a special meeting of our shareholders on March 28, 2005 pursuant to which a majority of our shareholders approved an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to increase our authorized preferred stock from 500,000 to 5,000,000 shares. Accordingly, we are authorized to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. In addition, pursuant to said meeting, a majority of our shareholders approved an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to provide that the shares of preferred stock may be issued in series, and shall have such voting powers, full or limited, or no voting powers, and such designations, preferences and relative participating, optional or other special rights, and qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, as shall be stated and expressed in the resolution or resolutions providing for the issuance of such stock adopted from time to time by the board of directors. Accordingly, our board of directors is expressly vested with the authority to determine and fix in the resolution or resolutions providing for the issuances of preferred stock the voting powers, designations, preferences and rights, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions thereof, of each such series to the full extent now or hereafter permitted by the laws of the State of New Jersey.

The holders of the preferred stock are entitled to receive, when, as, and if declared by our board of directors, out of funds legally available therefore, cash dividends on each share of preferred stock at the rate of 5% per annum, or if cash is not legally available, in additional shares of common stock. The preferred stock, in respect of dividends and distributions upon our liquidation, winding-up, and dissolution, shall rank senior to all classes of our common stock and each other class of capital stock or series of preferred stock created that does not expressly provide that it ranks senior to, or on a parity with, the preferred stock. The holders of preferred stock are entitled to cast 10 votes for each share held of the preferred Stock on all matters presented to our shareholders for shareholder vote.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table shows information with respect to each equity compensation plan under which our common stock is authorized for issuance as of December 31, 2007.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

Plan category Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a) (c)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security	4.000.000		4 000 000
holders	4,000,000-	-0-	4,000,000
Total	-0-	-0-	-0-

During 2007, the board of directors approved the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, pursuant to which we can issue shares of common stock or options to purchase shares of common stock to our officers, directors, employees and consultants. We have reserved 4,000,000 shares for issuance pursuant to this plan.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

During the fourth quarter of 2007, we issued 871,795 shares of common stock to the holders of common stock purchased during February 2005, which contained full ratchet protection, resulting from our February 2007 private placement. There was no value assigned to these shares.

All of the above offerings and sales were deemed to be exempt under rule 506 of Regulation D and Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The offerings and sales were made to a limited number of persons, all of whom were accredited investors, business associates of Airtrax or executive officers of Airtrax, and transfer was restricted by Airtrax in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition to representations by the above-referenced persons, we have made independent determinations that all of the above-referenced persons were accredited or sophisticated investors, and that they were capable of analyzing the merits and risks of their investment, and that they understood the speculative nature of their investment. Furthermore, all of the above-referenced persons were provided with access to our Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Item 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

Special Note on Forward-Looking Statements. Certain statements in "Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation" below, and elsewhere in this annual report, are not related to historical results, and are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements present our expectations or forecasts of future events. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements frequently are accompanied by such words such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expects," "plans," "intends," "anticipates," "believes," "estimat "potential" or "continue," or the negative of such terms or other words and terms of similar meaning. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, achievements, or timeliness of such results. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such forward-looking statements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this annual report. Subsequent written and oral forward looking statements attributable to us or to persons acting in our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements and risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this annual report, and in other reports filed by us with the SEC.

You should read the following description of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report beginning on page F-1.

Overview

Effective March 21, 2008, the Board of Directors formally suspended the employment of all of our employees due to a lack of financial resources to continue operations. On April 4, 2008, Robert Watson resigned as Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Airtrax, Inc. Mr. Watson will continue to serve as an advisor to the Company on a part-time consulting basis to continue to pursue financing on our behalf. On April 10, 2008, William F. Hungerville, one of our current directors, was appointed interim Chief Executive Officer, and is our Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer.

The growth and development of our business will require a significant amount of additional working capital. We currently have no financial resources and we will need to raise additional capital in order to continue operations. We have no contracts or commitments for additional capital at this time. We currently do not have adequate cash to meet our short or long term objectives. In the event additional capital is raised, it may have a dilutive effect on our existing stockholders. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in securing financing needed to allow the Company to continue its future operations or retain any of the previous employees.

Since 1995, substantially all of our resources and operations have been directed towards the development of the Omni-Directional wheel, related components, Omni-Directional Lift Trucks and other Omni-Directional Vehicles. Many of the components, including the unique shaped wheels, motors, and frames, have been designed by Airtrax and are specially manufactured for us.

Omni-Directional means that vehicles designed and built by us can travel in any direction. Our Omni-directional vehicles are controlled with a joystick. The vehicle will travel in the direction the joystick is pushed. If the operator pushes the joystick sideways, the vehicle will travel sideways. If the operator were to twist the joystick the vehicle will travel in circles. Our omni-directional vehicles have one motor and one motor controller for each wheel. The omni-directional movement is caused by coordinating the speed and direction of each motor with joystick inputs which are routed to a micro-processor, then from the micro-processor to the motor controllers and finally to the motor itself.

We have incurred losses and experienced negative operating cash flow since our inception. For the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had net losses attributable to common shareholders of approximately \$3.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. The net loss in both periods includes amortization of debt discount and financing costs of \$2.0 million and \$900,000 in 2007 and 2006, respectively, offset by revaluation income \$4.3 million and \$0 in 2007 and 2006, respectively, in connection with the repricing of the conversion benefits of convertible debenture issues and of warrant conversion prices. We also wrote down the advances to Filco of \$2 million in 2006. We expect to continue to incur significant expenses. Our operating expenses have been and are expected to continue to outpace revenue and result in additional losses in the near term. We may never be able to reduce these losses, which will require us to seek additional debt or equity financing. While we are in discussions with several prospective lenders, we do not currently have commitments for these funds and there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on acceptable terms.

Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2006

In September 2006, Airtrax was awarded a \$415,000 contract to design and build a customized MP2 Equipment Handling Unit for the Israeli Air Force. The contract includes an option to build five additional units at \$95,000 each upon the acceptance of the first unit. It is estimated that the follow on orders that could result from this contract would be from 29 to 100 units over the next one to three years. The Critical Design Review was completed in November 2006, the design was approved and initial deliverables were provided. As a result, we received a first process payment of \$170,000 on December 12 2007. We completed the Acceptance Test Procedure in 2007 and received a second payment of \$162,000 on June 20, 2007. We cannot predict whether we will be able to successfully complete the contract, or that if we do so, that any subsequent orders will result.

We believe that the joint cooperation between us and the United States Navy with the MP2 contract, including building the ETU-110 omni-directional engine handler and our contract to design and build a customized MP2 Equipment Handling Unit for the Israeli Air Force, has bolstered the potential use of our technology within the military. We do not intend to incur additional costs with the US Navy unless we incur potential expenses in demonstrating the ETU-110 omni-directional engine handler, or other omni-directional vehicles in connection with the Israeli contract.

Revenue

Revenue for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2007 was approximately \$745,000, representing a decrease of approximately \$600,000 from revenue of \$1.3 million for the comparable period in 2006. This decrease in revenue, is primarily, attributed to reduced sales of our SIDEWINDER ATX-3000.

Cost of Goods Sold

Our cost of goods sold for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 amounted to approximately \$750,000, a decrease of approximately \$800,000 from \$1.5 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. This decrease in cost of goods sold is primarily attributed to lower sales of our SIDEWINDER ATX-3000.

Operating and Administrative Expenses

Operating and administrative expenses which include administrative salaries, depreciation and other expenses for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007 totaled \$3.3 million which represents a decrease of approximately \$600,000 from \$3.9 million incurred in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2006. The decrease is primarily due to lower operating, marketing and administrative expenses in 2007, compared to 2006, partially offset by additional expenses relating to and the development of the Cobra and King Cobra scissor lift and Omni-Directional Power Chair development costs.

Operating expenses in 2006 included a \$2.0 million impairment charge for the Filco advances, which were completely written off in 2005 and 2006.

Other Income and Expense

Other income and expenses, which included interest expense \$387,000, revaluation income of \$4.3 million, amortization of deferred financing and debt costs of \$2.0 million, liquidated damages of \$443,000 and excess cost over proceeds of \$1.4 million during the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007 totaled approximately \$56,000. During 2006 we recorded other income and expenses of approximately (\$225,000), which included charges for deferred financing fees and debt discount of approximately (\$900,000), settlement expenses of (\$300,000), offset by revaluation income of \$1.4 million.

Loss Attributable to Common Shareholders

Loss attributable to common shareholders for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 was approximately \$(3.2) million compared with a loss of \$(6.3) million for the same period in 2006. The decrease is primarily due to lower operating, marketing and administrative expenses in 2007, compared to 2006, partially offset by additional expenses relating to and the development of the Cobra and King Cobra scissor lift and Omni-Directional Power Chair development costs.

We also wrote down the remaining Filco advance of \$2.0 million during 2006, along with approximately \$300,000 of deemed dividend expense in each year.

Research and Development

We incurred \$73,805 and \$519,134 in research and development expenses during the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Research and development activities during fiscal 2007 primarily involved continued limited testing and evaluation of omni-directional components and preparing these components for production in 2008. Our wheel design was changed from the "concept" to "production" phase. This was and is an ongoing process between us and a vendor's engineers to insure manufacturability. The motors and controllers were designed and/or changed in design in order to meet ANSI (American National Standards Institute) and UL (Underwriters Laboratories) testing requirements. Danaher and we revised the algorithms used in the motor controllers as well the microprocessor that runs the machines. Research and development activities also included further changes to existing designs and new designs that were patented or for those patents with pending applications. Portions of the costs we incurred due to testing and research and development were charged to the US Navy contract as provided therein.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The February, 2007 issue had a provision for liquidated damages if the Company did not satisfy its obligations to cause the SEC to declare the registration statement effective within the prescribed time period. Once the conditions for

recording registration payment arrangements, established in EITF 00-19-2, "Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements," were statisfied, the Company recorded liquidated damages of \$514,430 for the specified maximum nine-month period.

During 2000, we were approved by the State of New Jersey for our technology tax transfer program pursuant to which we could sell our net operating losses and research and development credits as calculated under state law. In the years 2007 and 2006, we recorded credits of \$506,605 and \$493,258, respectively, from the sale of our losses and credits.

We have previously demonstrated our ability to meet our cash requirements through private placements of our common stock and convertible notes. We have continued to similarly satisfy those requirements during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007. However, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in raising the required capital to continue our current operating plan.

We anticipate that our cash requirements for the foreseeable future will be significant. In particular, management expects substantial expenditures for inventory, product production, and advertising with production of its Omni-Directional lift truck and the start of Cobra and King Cobra (Scissors-Lift) production.

We will require additional funds to continue our operations beyond the initial production run. We anticipate that a significant amount of operating capital will be required during the next 12 months to sufficiently fund operations, however, we can not accurately estimate the amount required due to the current suspension of operations. We expect to recognize lower per unit manufacturing and part costs in the future due to volume discounts, as well as lower per unit shipping costs as we transition from the initial rate to larger-scale production. While we are in discussions with several prospective lenders, we do not currently have commitments for additional funds and there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on acceptable terms. If we are unable to obtain sufficient funds during the next six months we will further reduce the size of our organization and may be forced to reduce and/or curtail our production and operations, all of which could have a material adverse impact on our business prospects.

As a result of our liquidity issues, we have experienced delays in the repayment of certain promissory notes upon maturity and payments to vendors and others. If in the future, the holders of our promissory notes may demand repayment of principal and accrued interest instead of electing to extend the due date and if we are unable to repay our debt when due because of our liquidity issues, we may be forced to refinance these notes on terms less favorable to us than the existing notes, seek protection under the federal bankruptcy laws or be forced into an involuntary bankruptcy filing.

As of December 31, 2007, our working capital deficit was \$2.8 million. Fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation was \$269,000, and total assets, as of December 31, 2007 were \$2,513,000. Current liabilities as of December 31, 2007 were \$4.4 million compared with total liabilities of \$6.2 million.

February 2007 Financing

On February 20, 2007, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with certain accredited and/or qualified institutional investors pursuant to which we sold an aggregate of \$3,734,040 principal amount secured convertible debentures convertible into shares of our common stock at a conversion price equal to \$0.45 for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,219,000. In addition, we issued to the investors (i) warrants to purchase 8,297,866 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.54 per share, which represents 100% of the number of shares issuable upon conversion of the debentures; (ii) callable warrants to purchase 4,148,933 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$0.75 per share, which represents 50% of the number of shares issuable upon conversion of the debentures; and (iii) callable warrants to purchase 4,148,933 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$1.25 per share, which represents 50% of the number of shares issuable upon conversion of the debentures.

The debentures mature on February 20, 2009. We may in our discretion redeem the debentures, subject to certain equity conditions being met by us as set forth in the debentures, at a price equal to 150% of the principal balance, accrued interest, and all liquidated damages, if any, thereon that are requested to be redeemed. Our obligations under the securities purchase agreement, the debentures and the additional definitive agreements with respect to this transaction are secured by all of our assets. In addition, our wholly owned subsidiaries, Airtrax Financial Services LLC and Airtrax Manufacturing Corp., which are non-operating entities, are guaranteeing the satisfaction of our obligations under the Securities Purchase Agreement, the debentures and the additional definitive agreements with respect to this transaction.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenue, results of operations, liquidity or capital expenditures.

Liquidated Damages

In connection with financings we entered into with various investors in November 2004 and October 2005, we provided such investors registration rights. Pursuant to those registration rights, in the event that we did not file a registration statement by a certain date registering for resale shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of their securities or have such registration statement effective by another date, we agreed to pay to such investors liquidated damages. To date, we have not filed such registration statement, and as a result, we have accrued the required obligation for liquidated damages.

During 2006, we issued to the investors in the November 2004 financing, an aggregate principal amount of \$198,248 in our 4% Unsecured Convertible Debentures and 5 year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 72,201 shares of our common stock in exchange for the settlement of \$244,632 in accrued liquidated damages through June 30, 2006. The debentures mature on March 1, 2008, and September 30, 2008, respectively, pay simple interest at a rate of 4% per annum and are convertible into shares of our common stock at a price equal to \$1.56 per share. The warrants are exercisable into shares of our common stock at a price equal to \$1.75 per share. In addition, the investors agreed to forego any future accrual and payment of such liquidated damages.

In July 2006 we issued 2% Unsecured Convertible Debentures to the investors in the October 2005 financing, aggregating \$359,549 and Stock Purchase Warrants to acquire 110,808 shares of our common stock at \$1.56 per share, in full settlement of liquidated damages resulting from our not filing a registration statement by a certain date registering for resale shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of their securities. The conversion price of the shares underlying the note was \$1.75. In addition, the investors agreed to forego any future accrual and payment of such liquidated damages.

During 2007, we accrued \$513,400in liquidated damages in connection with the February 2007 debt issuance because we did not file a registration statement by a certain date registering for resale shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of their securities or have such registration statement effective by another date.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Intangibles

We incurred costs to acquire certain patent rights. These costs are capitalized and are being amortized over a period of fifteen years on a straight line basis.

We continually evaluate whether events and changes in circumstances warrant revised estimates of useful lives or recognition of an impairment loss of our intangibles, which as of December 31, 2007, consisted mainly of patents and licensing agreements. The conditions that would trigger an impairment assessment of our intangible assets include a significant, sustained negative trend in our operating results or cash flows, a decrease in demand for our products, a change in the competitive environment and other industry and economic factors.

Deferred Financing Costs

Deferred financing costs represent legal, commitment; processing, consulting, and other fees associated with the issuance of our debt. Deferred financing costs are being amortized over the term of the related debt.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets", we continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate carrying amounts of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when expected cash flows are less than the asset's carrying value. Accordingly, when indicators of impairment are present, we evaluate the carrying value of such assets in relation to the operating performance and future undiscounted cash flows of the underlying assets. Our policy is to record an impairment loss when it is determined that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. Impairment charges of \$2,000,000 were recorded for the year ended December 31, 2006, while there were no impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimated.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue on product sales is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, such as when a purchase order or contract is received from the customer, the price is fixed, title to the goods has changed and there is a reasonable assurance of collection of the sales proceeds. We obtain written purchase authorizations from customers for a specified amount of product at a specified price and consider delivery to have occurred at the time of shipment. Revenue is recognized at shipment, and where the following criteria are met; persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred; the sales price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue from services is recognized when the service is performed, and where the following criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; the contract price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue from research and development activities relating to firm fixed-price contracts is generally recognized as billing occurs. Revenue from research and development activities relating to cost-plus-fee

contracts include costs incurred plus a portion of estimated fees or profits based on the relationship of costs incurred to total estimated costs. Contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and an allocation of allowable indirect costs as defined by each contract, as periodically adjusted to reflect revised agreed upon rates. These rates are subject to audit by the other party. Amounts can be billed on a bi-monthly basis. Billing is based on subjective cost investment factors.

Accounting for Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences may result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheet. We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. If there is not persuasive evidence that recovery will occur, we would establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, the value of the benefit of the tax deferral is reduced or eliminated.

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets. We have recorded a valuation allowance of \$10,650,648 as of December 31, 2007, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize some of our deferred tax assets, primarily consisting of certain net operating losses carried forward before they expire and certain accrued expenses, which are deferred for income tax purposes until paid. The valuation allowance is based on our estimates of taxable income and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. There is no deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2007 due to uncertainties about the realization of the asset.

Accounting for Derivatives

Our issuances of convertible debt were accompanied by other financial instruments. These financial instruments include warrants to purchase stock, and the right to convert debt to stock at specified rates ("conversion benefits"). Pursuant to SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133), and EITF Issue No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, A Company's Own Stock (EITF 00-19), we have identified certain embedded and freestanding derivative instruments. Generally, where the ability to physical or "net-share" settle an embedded conversion option or free standing financial instrument is not deemed to be within our control, the embedded conversion option is required to be bifurcated or separated, and both the freestanding instruments and bifurcated conversion feature are accounted for as derivative liabilities. At each reporting date, we estimate the fair values of all derivatives, and changes in the fair value are reported in the statement of operations.

Under EITF 00-19, warrants are considered free-standing instruments in that they are legally detachable and separately exercisable. The conversion benefits, which are embedded in these debt issues, derive value from the relationship between the stock price and debt conversion price, and are considered embedded derivatives under the provisions of SFAS 133. The fair values of both the warrants and conversion benefits are calculated using a Black -Scholes Model, taking into consideration factors such as the underlying price of the common stock, the exercise price for warrants or the conversion price for the conversion benefit, the stock volatility, and the risk-free interest rates available for comparable time periods.

Free-standing instruments (warrants), and embedded derivatives (conversion benefits) which are initially bifurcated or separated from the host financial instrument, are recorded as separate liabilities, in cases where the security holder has a right to choose to receive a "net settlement" of cash. The identification of such net settlement provisions in one such prior convertible debt issuance with warrants resulted in us concluding that such warrants should have been identified as "derivatives". Therefore, the warrant liability related to this issuance must be recorded as a derivative liability on our restated balance sheet, and marked to market for each subsequent reporting period with any non-cash charges or credits attributed to the revised fair value of the liability being recognized through the statement of operations.

If the decision to settle an outstanding liability remains with us, the value of the warrants is recorded in an equity account. The identification of settlement provisions being controlled by us under certain debt issuances resulted in us determining that the securities should be reflected in the restated financial statements as components of equity, as compared to having been previously recorded as liabilities with non-cash charges and/or credits to operations as a result of being marked to market for each period presented. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized and recorded the value of certain warrants as equity of \$2,315,935, in the accompanying financials.

EITF 00-19-2 specifies that the contingent obligations to make future payments or otherwise transfer consideration under a registration payment arrangement, whether issued as a separate agreement, or included as a provision of a financial instrument or other agreement, should be separately recognized and measured in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies" (SFAS 5). EITF 00-19-2 also requires additional disclosure regarding the nature of any registration payment arrangements, alternative settlement methods, the maximum potential amount of consideration and the current carrying amount of the liability, if any. We adopted the provisions of EITF 00-19-2 for the reporting period beginning January 1, 2007.

We also previously sold stock units which included warrants along with common stock. In these cases, a portion of the proceeds equal to the value of the warrants is allocated to the warrants with the balance allocated to the stock. In such cases, the values of the warrants are treated as liabilities or equity, depending on whether the issuance documents contain "net cash settlement" provisions. For those warrants that are treated as liabilities, the liabilities are revalued at the end of each reporting period with any change in value being recognized currently as a non-cash charge and/or credit to operations. When a warrant classified as a liability is exercised or canceled, the fair value of the warrant, as determined at the time of exercise or cancellation, is transferred to equity and is no longer revalued. A similar adjustment is made for a conversion benefit classified as a liability when the debt is converted to stock, or canceled.

For embedded and free standing derivatives valued as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, we have recognized in the statement of operations, revaluation income of \$4,266,042 and \$1,412,143, respectively. In addition, we recognized a derivative liability in the accompanying balance sheet for conversion benefits and warrants of \$709,183 in 2007 and \$236,144 in 2006.

To the extent that the initial fair values of the bifurcated and/or freestanding derivative liabilities exceed the net proceeds received, an immediate charge to the statement of operations is recognized for the excess. The remainder of the discount from the face value of the convertible debt resulting from allocating part or all of the proceeds to the derivative liabilities is amortized over the life of the instrument through periodic charges to the statements of operations, using the straight line method, which was the most systematic and rational approach that approximated the interest method of amortization due to the short two year amortization term of the debt.

Stock Based Compensation

Common Stock for Services

Because of the significant liquidity issues we have faced since our inception, we have issued common stock to third party vendors and others in return for product, services, and as dividends on the preferred stock. These issuances are assigned values equal to the value of the common stock on the earlier of the dates of issuance or the date on which a commitment is made to provide compensation in the form of equity securities, whichever date is earlier. Such issuances are recorded as expenses in the periods in which the stock is issued, unless the right to the stock has not fully vested, in which case the expense is recorded in the periods of vesting. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we issued an aggregate of 586,609 and 871,257 shares, respectively, of common stock representing a value of services of \$332,680 and \$1,197,826, respectively, to third parties in exchange for services performed.

Warrants

We have issued warrants both as part of "stock units", and as an integral part of convertible note issues. The value of the warrants and conversion options which are classified as liabilities are revalued each reporting period. These values are determined by a Black-Scholes Model. Most of these issuances contain Registration Payment Arrangements (RPAs), which impose liquidated damages under certain circumstances. EITF 00-19-2 specifies the accounting treatment for derivatives that contain RPA's and provides guidance on accounting for potential obligations of the RPA's. The accounting treatment of derivatives will not change as a result of EITF 00-19-2 as the "RPAs" were not the sole determining factor in prior decisions about derivative classification. Each of these warrants is exercisable over five year periods from dates of issuance at prices ranging from \$0.45-\$1.75 per share. See Note 4 - Capitalization for additional information.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has recently issued FASB Staff Position EITF 00-19-2 which modifies the accounting treatment of derivatives that flow from financings involving embedded derivatives. This Staff Position is effective for financial statements for periods beginning January 1, 2007. Management believes that this will cause some change in the way we account for derivatives. Management is evaluating this position and has not made a determination as to the effective it will have on our financial statements.

The Company has reviewed other accounting pronouncements issued during 2007 and 2006, and has concluded that they will have no effect on our financials statements.

RISK FACTORS

In addition to other information contained in this Form 10-KSB, the following Risk Factors should be considered when evaluating the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-KSB:

RISKS RELATED TO OUR FINANCIAL CONDITION AND BUSINESS

WE MAY NEVER BECOME PROFITABLE AND CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN BECAUSE WE HAVE HAD LOSSES SINCE OUR INCEPTION.

We may never become profitable because we have incurred losses and experienced negative operating cash flow since our formation. For our fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had a net loss attributable to common stockholders of approximately \$3.2 and \$6.2 million, respectively. We expect to continue to incur significant expenses. Our operating expenses have been and are expected to continue to outpace revenues and result in significant losses in the near term. We currently have no cash on hand. We may never be able to reduce these losses, which will require us to seek additional debt or equity financing. We do not currently have commitments for additional funds and there can be no assurance that additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on acceptable terms. If we are unable to obtain sufficient funds during the next couple of months we will have to seek bankruptcy protection, which would have a material adverse impact on our business prospects.

OUR BUSINESS OPERATIONS WILL BE HARMED IF WE ARE UNABLE TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

Our business operations will be harmed if we are unable to obtain additional funding. We do not know if additional financing will be available when needed, or if it is available, if it will be available on acceptable terms. Insufficient funds may prevent us from implementing our business strategy or may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate certain opportunities for the provision of our technology and products.

THE PRICING POLICY FOR OUR LIFT TRUCKS MAY BE SUBJECT TO CHANGE, AND ACTUAL SALES OR OPERATING MARGINS MAY BE LESS THAN PROJECTED.

We are assessing present and projected component pricing in order to establish a pricing policy for the SIDEWINDER Lift Truck. We have not finalized our assessment as current prices for certain lift truck components reflect special development charges, which are expected to be reduced as order volume for such components increase and as manufacturing efficiencies improve. We intend to price our lift trucks so as to maximize sales yet provide sufficient operating margins. Given the uniqueness of our product, we have not yet established final pricing sensitivity in the market. Consequently, the pricing policy for its lift trucks may be subject to change, and actual sales or operating margins may be less than projected.

WE HAVE RECEIVED LIMITED INDICATIONS OF THE COMMERCIAL ACCEPTABILITY OF OUR OMNI-DIRECTIONAL LIFT TRUCK. ACCORDINGLY, WE CANNOT PREDICT WHETHER OUR OMNI-DIRECTIONAL PRODUCTS CAN BE MARKETED AND SOLD IN A COMMERCIAL MANNER.

Our success will be dependent upon our ability to sell Omni-Directional products in quantities sufficient to yield profitable results. To date, we have received limited indications of the commercial acceptability of our Omni-Directional lift truck. Accordingly, we cannot predict whether the Omni-Directional product can be marketed and sold in a commercial manner.

WE CANNOT ASSURE THAT WE WILL HAVE IN PLACE PATENT PROTECTION AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS FOR OUR PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGY. IF WE DO NOT ADEQUATELY PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, THERE IS A RISK THAT THEY WILL BE INFRINGED UPON OR THAT OUR TECHNOLOGY INFRINGES UPON ONE OF OUR COMPETITOR'S PATENTS. AS A RESULT, WE MAY EXPERIENCE A LOSS OF REVENUE AND OUR OPERATIONS MAY BE MATERIALLY HARMED.

Our success will be dependent, in part, upon the protection of our proprietary Omni-Directional technology from competitive use. A form of our Omni-Directional technology was originally patented in 1973 and was sold to the US Navy. We secured a transfer of this technology from the Navy in 1996 under the terms of a CRADA agreement (Cooperative Research and Development Agreement) and we have worked since that time to commercialize Omni-Directional products. We received 3 patents regarding the "redesign" of the wheel. In addition, we have a license agreements for the algorithms used to control vehicular movement, and a patent for this technology has been applied for. Further, we have applied for patents for a movable operator's control station and a munitions handler. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we believe our lack of patent protection is a material competitive risk. Our competitors could reverse engineer our technology to build similar products. Also, certain variations to the technology could be made whereby our competitors may use the technology without infringing upon our intellectual property. The patent for the Omni-Directional wheel expired in 1990. We, however, have received patent protection of certain other aspects of its Omni-Directional wheel, and for features specific to our lift truck. In addition to the patent applications, we rely on a combination of trade secrets, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions to

protect our intellectual property rights. Nevertheless, these measures may be inadequate to safeguard our underlying technology. If these measures do not protect the intellectual property rights, third parties could use our technology, and our ability to compete in the market would be reduced significantly. In addition, if the sale of our product extends to foreign countries, we may not be able to effectively protect its intellectual property rights in such foreign countries.

In the future, we may be required to protect or enforce our patents and patent rights through patent litigation against third parties, such as infringement suits or interference proceedings. These lawsuits could be expensive, take significant time, and could divert management's attention from other business concerns. These actions could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly, and any patent applications at risk of not issuing. In defense of any such action, these third parties may assert claims against us. We cannot provide any assurance that we will have sufficient funds to vigorously prosecute any patent litigation, that we will prevail in any of these suits, or that the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, will be commercially valuable. During the course of these suits, there may be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions and other interim proceedings or developments in the litigation. If securities analysts or investors perceive any of these results to be negative, it could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

WE CURRENTLY LACK ESTABLISHED DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS FOR OUR LIFT TRUCK PRODUCT LINE.

We do not have an established channel of distribution for our lift truck product line. We have initiated efforts to establish a network of designated dealers throughout the United States. Although we have received indications of interest from a number of equipment distributors, to date, such indications have been limited. We cannot predict whether we will be successful in establishing our intended dealer network.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO RETAIN THE SERVICES OF ROBERT WATSON, OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR IF WE ARE UNABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY RECRUIT, QUALIFIED PERSONNEL, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO CONTINUE OPERATIONS.

Our ability to successfully conduct our business affairs will be dependent upon the capabilities and business acumen of current management including Robert Watson, our President and Chief Executive Officer. We have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Watson, however, we do not maintain key man life insurance with respect to Mr. Watson. Accordingly, shareholders must be willing to entrust all aspects of our business affairs to our current management. Further, the loss of any one of our management team could have a material adverse impact on our continued operation.

On April 4, 2008, Robert Watson resigned as Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Airtrax Inc. effective immediately. Mr. Watson will continue to serve as an advisor to the Company on a part-time consulting basis to continue to pursue financing for the Company.

OUR INDUSTRY AND PRODUCTS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE HIGH-RISK WITH A HIGH INCIDENCE OF SERIES PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY LOSS WHICH COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS.

The manufacture, sale and use of Omni-Directional lift trucks and other mobility or material handling equipment is generally considered to be an industry of a high risk with a high incidence of serious personal injury or property loss. In addition, although we intend to provide on-site safety demonstrations, the unique, sideways movement of the lift truck may heighten potential safety risks. Despite the fact that we intend to maintain sufficient liability insurance for the manufacture and use of our products, one or more incidents of personal injury or property loss resulting from the operation of our products could have a material adverse impact on our business.

IF WE DO NOT SUCCESSFULLY DISTINGUISH AND COMMERCIALIZE OUR DEVELOPED PROPRIETARY PRODUCTS AND SERVICES, WE WILL NOT ATTRACT A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS. ACCORDINGLY, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY WITH OUR COMPETITORS OR TO GENERATE REVENUE SIGNIFICANT TO SUSTAIN OUR OPERATIONS.

Although management believes our product will have significant competitive advantages to conventional lift trucks, we are competing in an industry populated by some of the foremost equipment and vehicle manufacturers in the world. All of these companies have greater financial, engineering and other resources than us. No assurances can be given that any advances or developments made by such companies will not supersede the competitive advantages of our Omni-Directional lift truck. In addition, many of our competitors have long-standing arrangements with equipment distributors and carry one or more of competitive products in addition to lift trucks. These distributors are prospective dealers for our company. It therefore is conceivable that some distributors may be loath to enter into any relationships with us for fear of jeopardizing existing relationships with one or more competitors.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMMON STOCK

WE HAVE ISSUED COMMON STOCK, WARRANTS, AND CONVERTIBLE NOTES TO INVESTORS AND IN EXCHANGE FOR FEES AND SERVICES AT A DISCOUNT TO THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK AT THE TIME OF SUCH ISSUANCE. THIS RESULTS IN A LARGE NUMBER OF SHARES WHICH HAVE BEEN ISSUED AND A LARGE NUMBER OF SHARES UNDERLYING OUR WARRANTS AND OTHER CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES THAT ARE OR MAY BE AVAILABLE FOR FUTURE SALE AND THE SALE OF THESE SHARES MAY DEPRESS THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

We had 32,800,093 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 11, 2008, and we had convertible notes which require the issuance of approximately 9,726,000 additional shares of common stock pursuant to our October 2005 private placements and July 2006 note issuances, in which the conversion price has been adjusted resulting from the February 20, 2007 issuance of \$3,734,040 Secured Convertible Promissory Notes, convertible into 8,297,867 additional shares of common stock at \$0.45 per common share. Additionally, warrants which require the issuance of 10,494,131 additional shares of common stock pursuant to our November 2004, and February, May, and October 2005 private placements and 2007 note issuances. Further, we issued 16,595,732 warrants in connection with the February 20, 2007 issuance of \$3,734,040 Secured Convertible Promissory Notes. Further, we often issue common stock and warrants in exchange for fees and services at a discount to the market price of our common stock at the time of such issuance. This results in a large number of shares, which have been issued, a large number of shares underlying our warrants and other convertible securities that are or may be available for future sale, and may create an overhang of securities for sale. The sale of these shares which were or will be issued upon exercise or conversion of our securities at a discount to the market price of our common stock at the time of issuance may depress the market price of our common stock and is dilutive to shareholder value.

OUR COMMON STOCK IS SUBJECT TO THE "PENNY STOCK" RULES OF THE SEC AND THE TRADING MARKET IN OUR SECURITIES IS LIMITED, WHICH MAKES TRANSACTIONS IN OUR STOCK CUMBERSOME AND MAY REDUCE THE VALUE OF AN INVESTMENT IN OUR STOCK.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15g-9 which establishes the definition of a "penny stock," for the purposes relevant to us, as any equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or with an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. For any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the rules require:

o that a broker or dealer approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks; and

o the broker or dealer receive from the investor a written agreement to the transaction, setting forth the identity and quantity of the penny stock to be purchased.

In order to approve a person's account for transactions in penny stocks, the broker or dealer must:

o obtain financial information and investment experience objectives of the person; and

o make a reasonable determination that the transactions in penny stocks are suitable for that person and the person has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial matters to be capable of evaluating the risks of transactions in penny stocks.

The broker or dealer must also deliver, prior to any transaction in a penny stock, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the Commission relating to the penny stock market, which, in highlight form:

o sets forth the basis on which the broker or dealer made the suitability determination; and

o that the broker or dealer received a signed, written agreement from the investor prior to the transaction.

Generally, brokers may be less willing to execute transactions in securities subject to the "penny stock" rules. This may make it more difficult for investors to dispose of our common stock and cause a decline in the market value of our stock.

Disclosure also has to be made about the risks of investing in penny stocks in both public offerings and in secondary trading and about the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative, current quotations for the securities and the rights and remedies available to an investor in cases of fraud in penny stock transactions. Finally, monthly statements have to be sent disclosing recent price information for the penny stock held in the account and information on the limited market in penny stocks.

Item 7. Financial Statements.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2007	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31,	F-3
2007 and 2006	
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Deficiency)	F-4
for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31,	F-5
2007 and 2006	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2007 and	F-6
2006	

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Airtrax, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Airtrax, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related statements of operations, changes in stock holders' deficiency and cash flows for the two years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate under the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Airtrax, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As shown in the accompanying financial statements, at December 31, 2007, the Company had a working capital deficiency of approximately \$2.8 million as well as an accumulated deficit \$29.7 million. In addition, the Company has had a continuing record of losses. These factors among other things discussed in the notes to the financial statements, raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts or classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in operation.

/s/ Robert G. Jeffrey, Certified Public Accountants April 11, 2008 Wayne, New Jersey

F-1

Part 1-Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

AIRTRAX, INC. BALANCE SHEET December 31, 2007

ASSETS	2007
Current Assets	
Cash	\$ 304,735
Accounts receivable, net of reserve	32,814
Inventory	1,041,598
Prepaid expenses	40,906
Vendor advances	165,712
Total current assets	1,585,765
Fixed Assets	670,369
Less: accumulated depreciation	(401,849)
Net fixed assets	268,520
Other Assets	
Deferred financing costs & other	226,305
Prepaid interest	296,582
Patents – net	136,253
Total other assets	659,140
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,513,425
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 323,003
Accrued liabilities	1,157,151
Shareholder loans payable	40,713
Current portion-Convertible debt	2,175,723
Warrants and conversion option liability	709,183
·	
Total current liabilities	4,405,773
Long Term Convertible Debt	1,826,667
TOTAL LIABILITIES	6,232,440
Stockholders' Deficiency	
Preferred stock – authorized; 5,000,000 shares, no par value, 275,000 issued and outstanding	12,950
	,

Common stock – authorized, 100,000,000 shares; no par value, issued and outstanding – 26,755,867 and 24,260,352, respectively	23,395,647
Paid in capital – warrants	2,315,935
Paid in capital – options	244,799
Accumulated deficit	(29,688,346)
Total stockholders' deficiency	(3,719,015)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY	\$ 2,513,425

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-2

AIRTRAX, INC. STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,

(In dollars, except share data)

		2007	2006
Revenues	\$	745,367	\$ 1,346,913
Cost of sales		749,043	1,470,542
Gross Margin		(3,676)	(123,629)
			,
Operating and administrative expenses			
General and Administrative costs		2,809,689	3,943,632
Impairment of Filco advances		-	2,000,000
Total Operating Expenses		2,809,689	5,943,632
Operating loss	((2,813,365)	(6,067,261)
Other income expense			
Interest expense		(386,510)	(230,149)
Revaluation income		4,266,042	1,412,143
Amortization of financing costs		(457,696)	(251,438)
Amortization of debt discounts	((1,595,007)	(648,440)
Liquidated damages		(513,431)	(214,247)
Excess cost of liabilities over proceeds	((1,401,941)	-
Setttlement expenses		-	(290,804)
Other income		74,285	(2,255)
Net loss before taxes	((2,827,623)	(6,292,451)
Income tax (expense) benefit, State:		(413,284)	437,803
Net loss before dividends	((3,240,907)	(5,854,648)
Deemed dividends on preferred stock		-	303,100
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	((3,240,907)	(6,157,748)
Preferred stock dividends paid		-	(112,500)
Deficit allocable to common shareholders	\$ ((3,240,907)	\$ (6,270,248)
Net loss accumulated;			
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ ((3,240,907)	\$ (5,854,648)
Adjustment for preferred share dividends accumulated		68,750	91,667
Net Loss allocable to common shareholders	\$ ((3,309,657)	(5,946,315)

Net loss per share basic & diluted	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.27)
Weighted average shares outstanding	24,962,106	23,055,578

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-3

AIRTRAX, INC.

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

For the Two Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006