MACERICH CO Form 10-K/A June 03, 2008

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/A Amendment No. 1

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

Commission File No. 1-12504

THE MACERICH COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-4448705

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

401 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 700, Santa Monica, California 90401

(Address of principal executive office, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (310) 394-6000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value Preferred Share Purchase Rights New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

 $Indicate\ by\ check\ mark\ if\ the\ registrant\ is\ well-known\ seasoned\ issuer,\ as\ defined\ in\ Rule\ 405\ of\ the\ Securities\ Act$

YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act

YES o NO ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ý NO o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K/A or any amendment on to this Form 10-K/A.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ý

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES o NO ý

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$3.8 billion as of the last business day of the registrant's most recent completed second fiscal quarter based upon the price at which the common shares were last sold on that day.

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, as of February 13, 2008: 72,336,763 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the annual stockholders meeting to be held in 2008 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K/A

EXPLANATORY NOTE (All dollars in thousands)

This Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A (the "Amended Filing") of The Macerich Company (the "Company") for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 is being filed to restate the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated statements of operations, common stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years during the period ended December 31, 2007.

Subsequent to the filing of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 ("2007 Form 10-K"), management determined that the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and for each of the three years during the period ended December 31, 2007 required restatement to correctly account for the convertible preferred units ("CPUs") issued to prior owners in connection with the acquisition of the Wilmorite portfolio. (See Note 12 Acquisitions to the accompanying consolidated financial statements contained in this Amended Filing). The Company improperly applied purchase accounting to 100% of the Wilmorite acquisition and therefore minority interests in the Wilmorite portfolio were improperly recorded at fair value at the time of acquisition and presented outside of permanent equity as Class A participating and non-participating convertible preferred securities in the consolidated balance sheets with the periodic distributions reflected as preferred dividends as a reduction of net income available to common stockholders within the consolidated statements of operations. Upon further consideration, the Company determined that these interests represent a minority interest in MACWH, LP, a subsidiary of The Macerich Partnership, L.P. and successor in interest to Wilmorite Holdings, L.P., which in turn holds the Wilmorite portfolio. Accordingly, the Company should only have applied purchase accounting to the extent of its proportionate interest in MACWH, LP. The Company has corrected the accounting for these interests by recording a reduction in these interests of \$195,905 from fair value to predecessor basis in the consolidated balance sheets with the earnings and dividends paid attributable to these interests reported as minority interests in consolidated joint ventures in the consolidated statements of operations. The adjustment also includes a reduction in depreciation expense from the 100% stepped up property basis previously reported.

In addition, because the participating CPUs were redeemable at the option of the CPU holders for the portion of the Wilmorite portfolio that consisted of Eastview Commons, Eastview Mall, Greece Ridge Center, Marketplace Mall and Pittsford Plaza, collectively referred to as the "Rochester Properties" (assets of MACWH, LP), they are subject to EITF Topic D-98, "Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities" and accounted for as redeemable minority interest at the greater of their redemption value or amount that would result from applying Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 "Consolidated Financial Statements" consolidation accounting. The Company recognized the redeemable minority interest at historical cost within purchase accounting and subsequently adjusted the carrying value of the redeemable minority interest or redemption value changes at the end of each reporting period as a reduction of net income available to common stockholders within the consolidated statements of operations.

The restatement resulted in a decrease in property, net of \$134,018 and \$137,404, a decrease in investments in unconsolidated joint ventures of \$50,019 and \$51,083, an increase in minority interest of \$208,993 and \$209,973, decreases in Class A participating and non-participating CPUs of \$230,245 and \$235,287, additional paid-in capital of \$210,736 and \$207,035, and accumulated deficit of \$47,951 and \$43,862 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, an increase in net income available to common stockholders of \$2,043 for the year ended December 31, 2007, and a decrease in net income available to common stockholders of \$10,618 and \$146,202 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company also identified other errors related to classification of preferred dividends and classification of the impact for the adoption of Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"), within the consolidated statements of common stockholders' equity. During the years the Company was in an accumulated

deficit position, the preferred dividends should have been classified as a reduction in additional paid-in capital as opposed to increasing the accumulated deficit. As a result of this error, additional paid-in capital and accumulated deficit were overstated for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 by \$10,058, \$10,083, and \$9,649, respectively, and the cumulative effect of the classification error attributable to the years prior to January 1, 2005 was \$47,681. The impact of the adoption of FIN 48 should have been classified as an increase to the accumulated deficit as opposed to a decrease to the additional paid-in capital for the year ended December 31, 2007 by \$1,574.

For a more detailed description of the restatement, see Note 25 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements contained in this Amended Filing.

This Amended Filing reflects a retrospective adjustment of the consolidated financial statements for the discontinued operations of the "Rochester Properties" from the Wilmorite portfolio to conform to the new discontinued operations presentation initially presented in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, filed on May 19, 2008.

For the convenience of the reader, this Amended Filing sets forth the Annual Report on Form 10-K in its entirety. The Company has updated the disclosures presented in its 2007 Form 10-K to reflect the effects of the restatement and discontinued operations. Other than amending the disclosures relating to the restatement and conforming the presentation of discontinued operations in the items discussed below, no attempt has generally been made in this Amended Filing to amend or update other disclosures presented in the 2007 Form 10-K. Among other things, forward-looking statements made in the 2007 Form 10-K have not been revised to reflect events that occurred or facts that became known to the Company after the filing of the 2007 Form 10-K, and such forward-looking statements should be read in their historical context. Accordingly, this Amended Filing should be read in conjunction with the Company's filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") subsequent to the filing of the 2007 Form 10-K.

The following items have been amended as a result of the restatement and to conform the presentation of discontinued operations:

Part II Item 6 Selected Financial Data

Part II Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part II Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Part II Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Part IV Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, Item 15, Part IV has also been amended to contain the currently dated certifications from the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Section 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The certifications of the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer are attached to this Amended Filing as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1. On May 19, 2008, the Company filed its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 and prospectively corrected the quarterly consolidated financial statements with respect to the quarter ended March 31, 2007 in such report. In addition to the updated Selected Quarterly Financial Data included in Part II, Item 8 of this Amended Filing, the Company plans to prospectively correct the quarterly consolidated financial statements with respect to the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2007 in conjunction with the filing of the 2008 quarterly reports for the respective quarters.

THE MACERICH COMPANY ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K/A FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007 INDEX

		Page	
Part I			
Item 1.	Business	1	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14	
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	21	
Item 2.	Properties	22	
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	31	
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	31	
Part II			
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer		
	Purchases of Equity Securities	32	
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	34	
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of		
	Operations	38	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54	
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	55	
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial	~~	
T. 0.4	Disclosure	55	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	55	
Item 9A(T).	Controls and Procedures	59 50	
Item 9B.	Other Information	59	
Part III			
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	60	
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	60	
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related		
	Stockholder Matters	60	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	61	
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	61	
Part IV			
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	62	
Signatures		152	

PART I

IMPORTANT FACTORS RELATED TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of the Macerich Company (the "Company") contains or incorporates statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Any statements that do not relate to historical or current facts or matters are forward-looking statements. You can identify some of the forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking words, such as "may," "will," "could," "should," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "projects," "predicts," "plans," "believes," "seeks," and "estimates" and variations of these words and similar expressions. Statements concerning current conditions may also be forward-looking if they imply a continuation of current conditions. Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places in this Form 10-K/A and include statements regarding, among other matters:

expectations regarding the Company's growth;

the Company's beliefs regarding its acquisition, redevelopment and development activities and opportunities;

the Company's acquisition and other strategies;

regulatory matters pertaining to compliance with governmental regulations;

the Company's capital expenditure plans and expectations for obtaining capital for expenditures; and

the Company's expectations regarding its financial condition or results of operations.

Stockholders are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements of the Company or the industry to differ materially from the Company's future results, performance or achievements, or those of the industry, expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. You are urged to carefully review the disclosures we make concerning risks and other factors that may affect our business and operating results, including those made in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, as well as our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this document. The Company does not intend, and undertakes no obligation, to update any forward-looking information to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

The Company is involved in the acquisition, ownership, development, redevelopment, management and leasing of regional and community shopping centers located throughout the United States. The Company is the sole general partner of, and owns a majority of the ownership interests in, The Macerich Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). As of December 31, 2007, the Operating Partnership owned or had an ownership interest in 74 regional shopping centers and 20 community shopping centers aggregating approximately 80.7 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). These 94 regional and community shopping centers are referred to hereinafter as the "Centers", unless the context otherwise requires. The Company is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust ("REIT") and conducts all of its operations through the Operating Partnership and the Company's management companies, Macerich Property Management Company, LLC, a single member Delaware limited liability company, Macerich Management Company, a California corporation, Westcor Partners, L.L.C., a single member Arizona limited liability company,

Macerich Westcor Management LLC, a single member Delaware limited liability company, Westcor Partners of Colorado, LLC, a Colorado limited liability company, MACW Mall Management, Inc., a New York corporation, and MACW Property Management, LLC, a single member New York limited liability company. All seven of the management companies are collectively referred to herein as the "Management Companies."

The Company was organized as a Maryland corporation in September 1993 to continue and expand the shopping center operations of Mace Siegel, Arthur M. Coppola, Dana K. Anderson and Edward C. Coppola (the "principals") and certain of their business associates.

All references to the Company in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A include the Company, those entities owned or controlled by the Company and predecessors of the Company, unless the context indicates otherwise.

Financial information regarding the Company for each of the last three fiscal years is contained in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Recent Developments

Stock Repurchase:

On March 16, 2007, the Company repurchased 807,000 common shares for \$75.0 million concurrent with the offering of convertible senior notes (See "Financing Activity"). These shares were repurchased pursuant to the Company's stock repurchase program authorized by the Company's Board of Directors on March 9, 2007. This repurchase program ended on March 16, 2007 because the maximum shares allowed to be repurchased under the program was reached.

Acquisitions and Dispositions:

On September 5, 2007, the Company purchased the remaining 50% outside ownership interest in Hilton Village, a 96,546 square foot specialty center in Scottsdale, Arizona. The total purchase price of \$13.5 million was funded by cash, borrowings under the Company's line of credit and the assumption of the \$8.6 million mortgage note payable on the property.

On December 17, 2007, the Company purchased a portfolio of fee simple and/or ground leasehold interests in 39 freestanding Mervyn's department stores located in the Southwest United States for \$400.2 million. The purchase price was funded by cash and borrowings under the Company's line of credit. Concurrent with the acquisition, the Company entered into 39 individual agreements to leaseback the properties to Mervyns from terms of 14 to 20 years. The Company has designated the 27 freestanding Mervyn's stores located at shopping centers not owned or managed by the Company as available for sale.

On January 1, 2008, MACWH, LP, a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, at the election of the holders, redeemed the 3.4 million Class A participating convertible preferred units ("PCPUs"). As a result of the redemption, the Company received the 16.32% minority interest in the portion of the Wilmorite portfolio that included Danbury Fair Mall, Freehold Raceway Mall, Great Northern Mall, Rotterdam Square, Shoppingtown Mall, Towne Mall, Tysons Corner Center and Wilton Mall, collectively referred to as the "Non-Rochester Properties", for a total consideration of \$224 million, in exchange for the Company's ownership interest in the portion of the Wilmorite portfolio that consisted of Eastview Commons, Eastview Mall, Greece Ridge Center, Marketplace Mall and Pittsford Plaza, collectively referred to as the "Rochester Properties." The Company recognized a gain of \$99.3 million on the exchange based on the difference between the fair value of the additional interest acquired in the Non-Rochester Properties and the carrying value of the Rochester Properties, net of minority interest. This exchange is referred herein as the "Rochester Redemption."

On January 10, 2008, the Company in a 50/50 joint venture, acquired The Shops at North Bridge, a 680,933 square foot urban shopping center in Chicago, Illinois, for a total purchase price of \$515.0 million. The Company's share of the purchase price was funded by the assumption of a pro rata share of the \$205.0 million fixed rate mortgage on the Center and by borrowings under the Company's line of credit.

Financing Activity:

On January 2, 2007, the Company paid off the \$75.0 million loan on Paradise Valley Mall. The repayment was funded by the proceeds from the sale of Citadel Mall, Northwest Arkansas Mall and Crossroads Mall on December 29, 2006.

On January 23, 2007, the Company exercised an earn-out provision under the loan agreement on Valley River Center and borrowed an additional \$20.0 million at a fixed rate of 5.64%. The loan proceeds were used to pay down the Company's line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

On March 16, 2007, the Company issued \$950.0 million in convertible senior notes ("Senior Notes") that mature on March 15, 2012. The Senior Notes bear interest at 3.25%, payable semiannually, are senior unsecured debt of the Company and are guaranteed by the Operating Partnership. The Senior Notes had an initial conversion price of \$111.48. The proceeds were used to payoff the \$250 million term loan, and to pay down the Company's line of credit. (See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources").

In connection with the issuance of the Senior Notes, the Company purchased two capped calls ("Capped Calls") from affiliates of the initial purchasers of the Senior Notes for approximately \$59.9 million. The Capped Calls effectively increased the conversion price of the Senior Notes to approximately \$130.06, which represented a 40% premium to the March 12, 2007 closing price of \$92.90 per common share of the Company. The Capped Calls are expected to generally reduce the potential dilution upon exchange of the Senior Notes in the event the market value per share of the Company's common stock, as measured under the terms of the relevant settlement date, is greater than the strike price of the Capped Calls.

On March 23, 2007, the Company used borrowings under the line of credit to pay off the \$51.0 million interest only loan on Tucson La Encantada. On May 15, 2007, the Company placed a new \$78.0 million loan on that property that bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.60% and matures on June 1, 2012. The loan proceeds were used to pay down the Company's line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

On May 23, 2007, the Company borrowed an additional \$72.5 million under the loan agreement on Deptford Mall at a fixed rate of 5.38%. The loan proceeds were used to pay down the Company's line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

On July 2, 2007, the Company's joint venture in Scottsdale Fashion Square refinanced the loan on the property. The two existing loans on the property were replaced with a new \$550.0 million loan bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.66% and maturing July 8, 2013. The Company used its pro rata share of proceeds to pay down the Company's line of credit and for general corporate purposes.

Redevelopment and Development Activity:

The first phase of SanTan Village Regional Center, in Gilbert, Arizona, opened on October 26, 2007. The 1.2 million square foot open-air super-regional shopping center opened with over 90% of the retail space committed, with Dillard's and more than 85 specialty retailers joining Harkins Theatres, which opened March 2007. The balance of the project, which includes Dick's Sporting Goods, Best Buy, Barnes & Noble and up to 13 restaurants, is expected to open in phases throughout 2008.

The first phase of The Promenade at Casa Grande, a 1 million square foot, 130 acre department store anchored hybrid center, located in Casa Grande, Arizona, opened on November 16, 2007. With ninety percent committed, the first phase of the project has approximately 550,000 square feet of mini-majors, including Dillard's, Target, J.C.Penney, Kohl's, Petsmart and Staples. The balance of the Center is expected to continue to open in phases throughout 2008.

The first phase of The Marketplace at Flagstaff Mall, a 435,000 square foot lifestyle expansion, in Flagstaff, Arizona, began opening in phases on October 19, 2007. Phase I delivered approximately 267,538 square feet of new retail space including Best Buy, Home Depot, Linens n Things, Marshalls, Old Navy, Petco and Shoe Pavilion. Phase II, which will consist of village shops, an entertainment plaza and pad space, is expected to be completed in 2009-2010.

On November 8, 2007, Freehold Raceway Mall opened the first phase of a combined expansion and renovation project that will add 96,000 square feet of new retail and restaurant uses to this regional center in New Jersey. The expansion, which is 85% committed, added nine new-to-market additions including: Borders, The Cheesecake Factory, P.F. Chang's, Jared The Galleria of Jewelry, The Territory Ahead, Ann Taylor, Chico's, Coldwater Creek and White House/Black Market. The balance of the project is expected to open throughout 2008.

Scottsdale Fashion Square, the 2 million square foot luxury flagship, is undergoing a \$130 million redevelopment and expansion. Phase I of the redevelopment and expansion began September 2007 with demolition of the vacant anchor space acquired as a result of the Federated-May merger and an adjacent parking structure. A 60,000 square foot Barneys New York, the high-end retailer's first Arizona location, will anchor an additional 100,000 square feet of up to 30 new luxury shops, which is planned to open in Fall 2009 in an urban setting on Scottsdale Road. New first-to-market deals include Salvatore Ferragamo, Grand Luxe Café, CH Carolina Herrera, and Michael Kors. First-to-market retailers opening in the Spring 2008 will include Bottega Veneta, Jimmy Choo and Marciano.

Construction continues on the combined redevelopment, expansion and interior renovation of The Oaks, an upscale 1.0 million square foot super-regional shopping center in California's affluent Thousand Oaks. The market's first Nordstrom department store is under construction. Construction of a first-to-market, 138,000 square foot Nordstrom department store, two-level open-air retail, dining and entertainment venue and new multi-level parking structure at The Oaks continues on schedule toward a phased completion beginning Fall 2008.

In December 2007, the Company received full entitlements to proceed with plans for a redevelopment of Santa Monica Place. The regional center will be redeveloped as an open-air shopping and dining environment that will connect with the popular Third Street Promenade. The Santa Monica Place redevelopment has started and is moving forward with a projected Fall 2009 completion.

The Shopping Center Industry

General

There are several types of retail shopping centers, which are differentiated primarily based on size and marketing strategy. Regional shopping centers generally contain in excess of 400,000 square feet of GLA and are typically anchored by two or more department or large retail stores ("Anchors") and are referred to as "Regional Shopping Centers" or "Malls." Regional Shopping Centers also typically contain numerous diversified retail stores ("Mall Stores"), most of which are national or regional retailers typically located along corridors connecting the Anchors. Community Shopping Centers, also referred to as "strip centers" or "urban villages" or "specialty centers", are retail shopping centers that are designed to attract local or neighborhood customers and are typically anchored by one or more supermarkets, discount department stores and/or drug stores. Community Shopping Centers typically contain 100,000 square feet to 400,000 square feet of GLA. In addition, freestanding retail stores are

located along the perimeter of the shopping centers ("Freestanding Stores"). Anchors, Mall and Freestanding Stores and other tenants typically contribute funds for the maintenance of the common areas, property taxes, insurance, advertising and other expenditures related to the operation of the shopping center.

Regional Shopping Centers

A Regional Shopping Center draws from its trade area by offering a variety of fashion merchandise, hard goods and services and entertainment, often in an enclosed, climate controlled environment with convenient parking. Regional Shopping Centers provide an array of retail shops and entertainment facilities and often serve as the town center and the preferred gathering place for community, charity, and promotional events.

Regional Shopping Centers have generally provided owners with relatively stable growth in income despite the cyclical nature of the retail business. This stability is due both to the diversity of tenants and to the typical dominance of Regional Shopping Centers in their trade areas.

Regional Shopping Centers have different strategies with regard to price, merchandise offered and tenant mix, and are generally tailored to meet the needs of their trade areas. Anchor tenants are located along common areas in a configuration designed to maximize consumer traffic for the benefit of the Mall Stores. Mall GLA, which generally refers to gross leasable area contiguous to the Anchors for tenants other than Anchors, is leased to a wide variety of smaller retailers. Mall Stores typically account for the majority of the revenues of a Regional Shopping Center.

Business of the Company

Strategy:

The Company has a four-pronged business strategy which focuses on the acquisition, leasing and management, redevelopment and development of Regional Shopping Centers.

Acquisitions. The Company focuses on well-located, quality regional shopping centers that are, or it believes can be, dominant in their trade area and have strong revenue enhancement potential. The Company subsequently seeks to improve operating performance and returns from these properties through leasing, management and redevelopment. Since its initial public offering, the Company has acquired interests in shopping centers nationwide. The Company believes that it is geographically well positioned to cultivate and maintain ongoing relationships with potential sellers and financial institutions and to act quickly when acquisition opportunities arise. (See "Recent Developments--Acquisitions and Dispositions").

Leasing and Management. The Company believes that the shopping center business requires specialized skills across a broad array of disciplines for effective and profitable operations. For this reason, the Company has developed a fully integrated real estate organization with in-house acquisition, accounting, development, finance, leasing, legal, marketing, property management and redevelopment expertise. In addition, the Company emphasizes a philosophy of decentralized property management, leasing and marketing performed by on-site professionals. The Company believes that this strategy results in the optimal operation, tenant mix and drawing power of each Center as well as the ability to quickly respond to changing competitive conditions of the Center's trade area.

The Company believes that on-site property managers can most effectively operate the Centers. Each Center's property manager is responsible for overseeing the operations, marketing, maintenance and security functions at the Center. Property managers focus special attention on controlling operating costs, a key element in the profitability of the Centers, and seek to develop strong relationships with and to be responsive to the needs of retailers.

Similarly, the Company generally utilizes on-site and regionally located leasing managers to better understand the market and the community in which a Center is located. The Company continually assesses and fine tunes each Center's tenant mix, identifies and replaces underperforming tenants and seeks to optimize existing tenant sizes and configurations.

On a selective basis, the Company provides property management and leasing services for third parties. The Company currently manages four malls for third party owners on a fee basis. In addition, the Company manages four community centers for a related party.

Redevelopment. One of the major components of the Company's growth strategy is its ability to redevelop acquired properties. For this reason, the Company has built a staff of redevelopment professionals who have primary responsibility for identifying redevelopment opportunities that will result in enhanced long-term financial returns and market position for the Centers. The redevelopment professionals oversee the design and construction of the projects in addition to obtaining required governmental approvals. (See "Recent Developments--Redevelopment and Development Activity").

Development. The Company pursues ground-up development projects on a selective basis. The Company has supplemented its strong acquisition, operations and redevelopment skills with its ground-up development expertise to further increase growth opportunities. (See "Recent Developments--Redevelopment and Development Activity").

The Centers

As of December 31, 2007, the Centers consist of 74 Regional Shopping Centers and 20 Community Shopping Centers aggregating approximately 80.7 million square feet of GLA. The 74 Regional Shopping Centers in the Company's portfolio average approximately 991,000 square feet of GLA and range in size from 2.2 million square feet of GLA at Tysons Corner Center to 323,455 square feet of GLA at Panorama Mall. The Company's 20 Community Shopping Centers have an average of approximately 249,000 square feet of GLA. After giving effect to the Rochester Redemption and the acquisition of The Shops at North Bridge (See Recent Developments), the Centers presently include 318 Anchors totaling approximately 41.6 million square feet of GLA and approximately 9,200 Mall and Freestanding Stores totaling approximately 35.1 million square feet of GLA.

Competition

There are numerous owners and developers of real estate that compete with the Company in its trade areas. There are six other publicly traded mall companies and several large private mall companies, any of which under certain circumstances could compete against the Company for an acquisition, an Anchor or a tenant. In addition, private equity firms compete with the Company in terms of acquisitions. This results in competition for both acquisition of centers and for tenants or Anchors to occupy space. The existence of competing shopping centers could have a material adverse impact on the Company's ability to lease space and on the level of rent that can be achieved. There is also increasing competition from other retail formats and technologies, such as lifestyle centers, power centers, internet shopping and home shopping networks, factory outlet centers, discount shopping clubs and mail-order services that could adversely affect the Company's revenues.

In making leasing decisions, the Company believes that retailers consider the following material factors relating to a center: quality, design and location, including consumer demographics; rental rates; type and quality of Anchors and retailers at the center; and management and operational experience and strategy of the center. The Company believes it is able to compete effectively for retail tenants in its local markets based on these criteria in light of the overall size, quality and diversity of its portfolio of Centers.

Major Tenants

The Centers derived approximately 95.1% of their total minimum rents for the year ended December 31, 2007 from Mall and Freestanding Stores. One tenant accounted for approximately 3.3% of minimum rents of the Company, and no other single tenant accounted for more than 2.7% of minimum rents as of December 31, 2007.

The following tenants (including their subsidiaries) represent the 10 largest tenants in the Company's portfolio (including joint ventures) based upon minimum rents in place as of December 31, 2007:

Tenant	Primary DBA's	Number of Locations in the Portfolio	% of Total Annual Minimum Rents as of December 31, 2007(1)
Mervyn's(2)	Mervyn's	45	3.3%
The Gap, Inc.	Gap, Banana Republic, Old Navy	103	2.7%
Limited Brands, Inc.	Victoria Secret, Bath and Body	146	2.3%
Foot Locker, Inc.	Footlocker, Champs Sports, Lady Footlocker	161	2.0%
AT&T Mobility, LLC(3)	AT&T Wireless, Cingular Wireless	33	1.5%
Abercrombie & Fitch Co.	Abercrombie & Fitch	71	1.5%
Luxottica Group S.P.A.	Lenscrafters, Sunglass Hut	150	1.2%
Zale Corporation	Zales, Piercing Pagoda, Gordon's Jewelers	120	1.2%
American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.	American Eagle Outfitters	57	1.0%
Signet Group	Kay Jewelers, Weisfield Jewelers	76	1.0%

- (1) The above table includes The Shops at North Bridge and excludes the Rochester Properties.
- (2) Fee simple and/or ground leasehold interests in thirty-nine Mervyn's stores were acquired on December 17, 2007.
- (3) Includes AT&T Mobility office headquarters located at Redmond Town Center.

Mall and Freestanding Stores

Mall and Freestanding Store leases generally provide for tenants to pay rent comprised of a base (or "minimum") rent and a percentage rent based on sales. In some cases, tenants pay only minimum rent, and in some cases, tenants pay only percentage rents. Historically, most leases for Mall and Freestanding Stores contain provisions that allow the Centers to recover their costs for maintenance of the common areas, property taxes, insurance, advertising and other expenditures related to the operations of the Center. Since January 2005, the Company generally began entering into leases which require tenants to pay a stated amount for such operating expenses, generally excluding property taxes, regardless of the expenses the Company actually incurs at any Center.

Tenant space of 10,000 square feet and under in the portfolio at December 31, 2007 comprises 69.1% of all Mall and Freestanding Store space. The Company uses tenant spaces of 10,000 square feet and under for comparing rental rate activity. The Company believes that to include space over 10,000 square feet would provide a less meaningful comparison.

When an existing lease expires, the Company is often able to enter into a new lease with a higher base rent component. The average base rent for new Mall and Freestanding Store leases at the consolidated Centers, 10,000 square feet and under, commencing during 2007 was \$43.23 per square foot, or 26.4% higher than the average base rent for all Mall and Freestanding Stores at the consolidated Centers, 10,000 square feet and under, expiring during 2007 of \$34.21 per square foot.

The following table sets forth for the Centers, the average base rent per square foot of Mall and Freestanding GLA, for tenants 10,000 square feet and under, as of December 31 for each of the past three years:

For the Year Ended December 31,		Average Base Rent Per Square Foot(1)		Avg. Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. on Leases Commencing During the Year(2)		Avg. Base Rent Per Sq. Ft. on Leases Expiring During the Year(3)	
Consolidated Centers:							
2007	\$	38.49	\$	43.23	\$	34.21	
2006	\$	37.55	\$	38.40	\$	31.92	
2005	\$	34.23	\$	35.60	\$	30.71	
Joint Venture Centers:							
2007	\$	38.72	\$	47.12	\$	34.87	
2006	\$	37.94	\$	41.43	\$	36.19	
2005	\$	36.35	\$	39.08	\$	30.18	

- (1)

 Average base rent per square foot is based on Mall and Freestanding Store GLA for spaces, 10,000 square feet and under occupied as of December 31 for each of the Centers owned by the Company. Leases for Tucson La Encantada and the expansion area of Queens Center were excluded for 2005. Leases for Promenade at Casa Grande, SanTan Village Power Center and SanTan Village Regional Center were excluded for 2007.
- The average base rent per square foot on lease signings commencing during the year represents the actual rent to be paid on a per square foot basis during the first twelve months, for tenants 10,000 square feet and under. Lease signings for Tucson La Encantada and the expansion area of Queens Center were excluded for 2005. Leases for Promenade at Casa Grande, SanTan Village Power Center and SanTan Village Regional Center were excluded for 2007.
- The average base rent per square foot on leases expiring during the year represents the final year minimum rent, on a cash basis, for all tenant leases 10,000 square feet and under expiring during the year. Leases for Tucson La Encantada and the expansion area of Queens Center were excluded for 2005. Leases for Promenade at Casa Grande, SanTan Village Power Center and SanTan Village Regional Center were excluded for 2007.

Cost of Occupancy

The Company's management believes that in order to maximize the Company's operating cash flow, the Centers' Mall Store tenants must be able to operate profitably. A major factor contributing to tenant profitability is cost of occupancy. The following table summarizes occupancy costs for Mall Store tenants in the Centers as a percentage of total Mall Store sales for the last three years:

	For Years	For Years ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	2005		
Consolidated Centers:					
Minimum Rents	8.0%	8.1%	8.3%		
Percentage Rents	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%		
Expense Recoveries(1)	3.8%	3.7%	3.6%		
	12.2%	12.2%	12.4%		
Joint Venture Centers:					
Minimum Rents	7.3%	7.2%	7.4%		
Percentage Rents	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%		
Expense Recoveries(1)	3.2%	3.1%	3.0%		
	11.0%	10.9%	10.9%		

(1) Represents real estate tax and common area maintenance charges.

Lease Expirations

The following tables show scheduled lease expirations (for Centers owned as of December 31, 2007) of Mall and Freestanding Stores (10,000 square feet and under) for the next ten years, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

Consolidated Centers:

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Approximate GLA of Leases Expiring(1)	% of Total Leased GLA Represented by Expiring Leases(1)	Ending Base Rent per Square Foot of Expiring Leases(1)
2008	486	992,151	12.87%	\$ 35.14
2009	332	630,841	8.18%	\$ 38.93
2010	419	808,960	10.49%	\$ 41.24
2011	404	1,020,218	13.23%	\$ 37.76
2012	291	773,163	10.03%	\$ 37.20
2013	210	499,179	6.47%	\$ 41.65
2014	241	562,547	7.30%	\$ 49.88
2015	253	686,474	8.90%	\$ 46.69
2016	258	685,204	8.89%	\$ 40.56
2017	219	664,921	8.62%	\$ 38.92
		9		

Joint Venture Centers (at pro rata share):

Year Ending December 31,	Number of Leases Expiring	Approximate GLA of Leases Expiring(1)	% of Total Leased GLA Represented by Expiring Leases(1)	Ending Base Rent per Square Foot of Expiring Leases(1)
2008	493	497,910	12.42%	\$ 37.61
2009	393	428,120	10.68%	\$ 37.97
2010	416	425,003	10.60%	\$ 41.88
2011	369	434,833	10.85%	\$ 38.88
2012	301	322,453	8.05%	\$ 41.55
2013	225	262,946	6.56%	\$ 43.02
2014	221	266,419	6.65%	\$ 42.88
2015	232	291,919	7.28%	\$ 43.73
2016	288	356,072	8.88%	\$ 47.29
2017	236	352,911	8.81%	\$ 42.64

(1)

The ending base rent per square foot on leases expiring during the period represents the final year minimum rent, on a cash basis, for all tenant leases 10,000 square feet and under expiring during the year. Currently, 53% of leases have provisions for future consumer price increases which are not reflected in ending base rent. Leases for Santa Monica Place, currently under redevelopment, have been excluded. The Rochester Properties are excluded and The Shops at North Bridge are included in the above tables.

Anchors

Anchors have traditionally been a major factor in the public's identification with Regional Shopping Centers. Anchors are generally department stores whose merchandise appeals to a broad range of shoppers. Although the Centers receive a smaller percentage of their operating income from Anchors than from Mall and Freestanding Stores, strong Anchors play an important part in maintaining customer traffic and making the Centers desirable locations for Mall and Freestanding Store tenants.

Anchors either own their stores, the land under them and in some cases adjacent parking areas, or enter into long-term leases with an owner at rates that are lower than the rents charged to tenants of Mall and Freestanding Stores. Each Anchor, which owns its own store, and certain Anchors which lease their stores, enter into reciprocal easement agreements with the owner of the Center covering among other things, operational matters, initial construction and future expansion.

Anchors accounted for approximately 4.9% of the Company's total minimum rent for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The following table identifies each Anchor, each parent company that owns multiple Anchors and the number of square feet owned or leased by each such Anchor or parent company in the Company's portfolio at December 31, 2007, giving effect to the Rochester Redemption and the acquisition of The Shops at North Bridge:

Name(1)	Number of Anchor Stores(1)	GLA Owned by Anchor(1)	GLA Leased by Anchor(1)	Total GLA Occupied by Anchor(1)
Macy's Inc.				
Macy's(2) Bloomingdale's	54 1	6,046,168 	2,920,001 255,888	8,966,169 255,888
Total	55	6,046,168	3,175,889	9,222,057
Sears Holdings Corporation Sears	48	4,462,305	2,079,671	6,541,976
Great Indoors, The	1		131,051	131,051
K-Mart	1		86,479	86,479
Total	50	4,462,305	2,297,201	6,759,506
J.C. Penney	45	2,351,211	3,664,424	6,015,635
Dillard's	26	3,574,852	918,235	4,493,087
Mervyn's(3)	45	233,282	3,365,571	3,598,853
Nordstrom(4)	13	699,127	1,526,369	2,225,496
Target(5)	13	1,125,041	564,279	1,689,320
The Bon-Ton Stores, Inc.				
Younkers	6		609,177	609,177
Bon-Ton, The	1		71,222	71,222
Herberger's	4	188,000	214,573	402,573
Total	11	188,000	894,972	1,082,972
Gottschalks	7	332,638	553,242	885,880
Boscov's	3		476,067	476,067
Wal-Mart	3	371,527	100,709	472,236
Neiman Marcus	3	120,000	321,450	441,450
Lord & Taylor	3	120,635	199,372	320,007
Home Depot	3	120,530	274,402	394,932
Kohl's	3	165,279	114,359	279,638
Burlington Coat Factory	3	186,570	74,585	261,155
Dick's Sporting Goods(6) Von Maur	3 3	186,686	257,241 59,563	257,241 246,249
Belk, Inc.	3	100,000	39,303	240,249
Belk	3		200,925	200,925
La Curacao	1	164,656	200,725	164,656
Barneys New York(7)	2		141,398	141,398
Lowe's	1	135,197		135,197
Best Buy	2	129,441		129,441
Saks Fifth Avenue	1	,	92,000	92,000
L.L. Bean	1		75,778	75,778
Sports Authority	1		52,250	52,250
Bealls	1		40,000	40,000
Richman Gordman ¹ / ₂ Price	1		60,000	60,000
Vacant(8)	12		1,426,844	1,426,844
Total	318	20,713,145	20,927,125	41,640,270

As a result of the Rochester Redemption on January 1, 2008, anchor tenants for the Rochester Properties are excluded from the above table. The Nordstrom anchor at The Shops at North Bridge acquired in January 2008 is included in the above table.

(2) Macy's is scheduled to close their 300,196 square foot store at Valley View Center in March 2008.

11

- (3)
 This includes 39 Mervyn's stores acquired on December 17, 2007. Mervyn's is scheduled to open a 150,000 square foot store at Inland Center in Fall 2008.
- (4) Nordstrom is scheduled to open a 138,000 square foot store at The Oaks in 2009.
- (5)
 Target is scheduled to open a 180,000 square foot store at Pacific View in Spring 2008.
- (6) Dick's Sporting Goods is scheduled to open a 70,000 square foot store at Arrowhead Towne Center in Fall 2008 and a 90,000 square foot store at Washington Square in Spring 2008.
- (7)
 Barneys New York is scheduled to open a 60,000 square foot store at Scottsdale Fashion Square in 2009.
- (8) The Company is contemplating various replacement tenant and/or redevelopment opportunities for these vacant sites.

Environmental Matters

Each of the Centers has been subjected to a Phase I audit (which involves review of publicly available information and general property inspections, but does not involve soil sampling or ground water analysis) completed by an environmental consultant.

Based on these audits, and on other information, the Company is aware of the following environmental issues that may reasonably result in costs associated with future investigation or remediation, or in environmental liability:

Asbestos. The Company has conducted asbestos-containing materials ("ACM") surveys at various locations within the Centers. The surveys indicate that ACMs are present or suspected in certain areas, primarily vinyl floor tiles, mastics, roofing materials, drywall tape and joint compounds. The identified ACMs are generally non-friable, in good condition, and possess low probabilities for disturbance. At certain Centers where ACMs are present or suspected, however, some ACMs have been or may be classified as "friable," and ultimately may require removal under certain conditions. The Company has developed and implemented an operations and maintenance ("O&M") plan to manage ACMs in place.

Underground Storage Tanks. Underground storage tanks ("USTs") are or were present at certain of the Centers, often in connection with tenant operations at gasoline stations or automotive tire, battery and accessory service centers located at such Centers. USTs also may be or have been present at properties neighboring certain Centers. Some of these tanks have either leaked or are suspected to have leaked. Where leakage has occurred, investigation, remediation, and monitoring costs may be incurred by the Company if responsible current or former tenants, or other responsible parties, are unavailable to pay such costs.

Chlorinated Hydrocarbons. The presence of chlorinated hydrocarbons such as perchloroethylene ("PCE") and its degradation byproducts have been detected at certain of the Centers, often in connection with tenant dry cleaning operations. Where PCE has been detected, the Company may incur investigation, remediation and monitoring costs if responsible current or former tenants, or other responsible parties, are unavailable to pay such costs.

Insurance

Each of the Centers has comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance with insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. The Company does not insure certain types of losses (such as losses from wars), because they are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. In addition, while the Company or the relevant joint venture, as applicable, carries specific earthquake insurance on the Centers located in earthquake-prone zones, the policies are subject to a deductible equal to 5% of the total insured value of each Center, a

\$100,000 per occurrence minimum and a combined annual aggregate loss limit of \$106.6 million on these Centers. While the Company or the relevant joint venture also carries terrorism insurance on the Centers, the policies are subject to a

\$10,000 deductible and a combined annual aggregate loss of \$800 million. Each Center has environmental insurance covering eligible third-party losses, remediation and non-owned disposal sites, subject to a \$100,000 deductible and a \$10 million three-year aggregate limit. Some environmental losses are not covered by this insurance because they are uninsurable or not economically insurable. Furthermore, the Company carries title insurance on substantially all of the Centers for less than their full value.

Qualification as a Real Estate Investment Trust

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), commencing with its first taxable year ended December 31, 1994, and intends to conduct its operations so as to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Code. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal and state income taxes on its net taxable income that it currently distributes to stockholders. Qualification and taxation as a REIT depends on the Company's ability to meet certain dividend distribution tests, share ownership requirements and various qualification tests prescribed in the Code.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, the Company and the Management Companies employed 3,014 persons, including executive officers (11), personnel in the areas of acquisitions and business development (26), property management/marketing (489), leasing (200), redevelopment/development (81), financial services (281) and legal affairs (65). In addition, in an effort to minimize operating costs, the Company generally maintains its own security and guest services staff (1,842) and in some cases maintenance staff (19). Unions represent six of these employees. The Company primarily engages a third party to handle maintenance at the Centers. The Company believes that relations with its employees are good.

Available Information; Website Disclosure; Corporate Governance Documents

The Company's corporate website address is *www.macerich.com*. The Company makes available free-of-charge through this website its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and all amendments thereto, as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports have been filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. These reports are available under the heading "Investing--SEC Filings", through a free hyperlink to a third-party service. Information provided on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K/A.

The following documents relating to Corporate Governance are available on the Company's website at www.macerich.com under "Investing--Corporate Governance":

Guidelines on Corporate Governance
Code of Business Conduct and Ethics
Code of Ethics for CEO and Senior Financial Officers
Audit Committee Charter
Compensation Committee Charter
Executive Committee Charter
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter

You may also request copies of any of these documents by writing to:

Attention: Corporate Secretary The Macerich Company 401Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Certifications

The Company submitted a Section 303A.12 (a) CEO Certification to the New York Stock Exchange last year. In addition, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the CEO/CFO certification required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and it is included as Exhibit 31 hereto.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We invest primarily in shopping centers, which are subject to a number of significant risks that are beyond our control.

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk that may affect the ability of our Centers to generate sufficient revenues to meet operating and other expenses, including debt service, lease payments, capital expenditures and tenant improvements, and to make distributions to us and our stockholders. Centers wholly owned by us are referred to as "Wholly Owned Centers" and Centers that are partly but not wholly owned by us are referred to as "Joint Venture Centers." A number of factors may decrease the income generated by the Centers, including:

the national economic climate (including a recession);

the regional and local economy (which may be negatively impacted by plant closings, industry slowdowns, union activity, adverse weather conditions, natural disasters, terrorist activities and other factors);

local real estate conditions (such as an oversupply of, or a reduction in demand for, retail space or retail goods, and the availability and creditworthiness of current and prospective tenants);

perceptions by retailers or shoppers of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of a Center; and

increased costs of maintenance, insurance and operations (including real estate taxes).

Income from shopping center properties and shopping center values are also affected by applicable laws and regulations, including tax, environmental, safety and zoning laws, and by interest rate levels and the availability and cost of financing. In addition, the number of prospective buyers interested in purchasing shopping centers is limited. Therefore, if we want to sell one or more of our Centers, we may not be able to dispose of it in the desired time period and may receive less consideration than we originally invested in the Center. Furthermore, real estate investments are relative illiquid. This characteristic tends to limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Some of our Centers are geographically concentrated and, as a result, are sensitive to local economic and real estate conditions.

A significant percentage of our Centers are located in California and Arizona and eight Centers in the aggregate are located in New York, New Jersey and Connecticut. To the extent that weak economic or real estate conditions, including as a result of the factors described in the preceding risk factor, or other factors affect California, Arizona, New York, New Jersey or Connecticut (or their respective regions) more severely than other areas of the country, our financial performance could be negatively impacted.

We are in a competitive business.

There are numerous owners and developers of real estate that compete with us in our trade areas. There are six other publicly traded mall companies and several large private mall companies, any of which under certain circumstances could compete against us for an acquisition, an Anchor or a tenant. In addition, private equity firms compete with us in terms of acquisitions. This results in competition for both acquisition of centers and for tenants or Anchors to occupy space. The existence of competing shopping centers could have a material adverse impact on our ability to lease space and on the level of rents that can be achieved. There is also increasing competition from other retail formats and technologies, such as lifestyle centers, power centers, internet shopping and home shopping networks,

factory outlet centers, discount shopping clubs and mail-order services that could adversely affect our revenues.

Our Centers depend on tenants to generate rental revenues.

Our revenues and funds available for distribution will be reduced if:

a significant number of our tenants are unable (due to poor operating results, bankruptcy, terrorist activities or other reasons) to meet their obligations;

we are unable to lease a significant amount of space in the Centers on economically favorable terms; or

for any other reason, we are unable to collect a significant amount of rental payments.

A decision by an Anchor, or other significant tenant to cease operations at a Center could also have an adverse effect on our financial condition. The closing of an Anchor or other significant tenant may allow other Anchors and/or other tenants to terminate their leases, seek rent relief and/or cease operating their stores at the Center or otherwise adversely affect occupancy at the Center. In addition, Anchors and/or tenants at one or more Centers might terminate their leases as a result of mergers, acquisitions, consolidations, dispositions or bankruptcies in the retail industry. The bankruptcy and/or closure of retail stores, or sale of an Anchor or store to a less desirable retailer, may reduce occupancy levels, customer traffic and rental income, or otherwise adversely affect our financial performance. Furthermore, if the store sales of retailers operating in the Centers decline sufficiently, tenants might be unable to pay their minimum rents or expense recovery charges. In the event of a default by a lessee, the affected Center may experience delays and costs in enforcing its rights as lessor.

Our acquisition and real estate development strategies may not be successful.

Our historical growth in revenues, net income and funds from operations has been closely tied to the acquisition and redevelopment of shopping centers. Many factors, including the availability and cost of capital, our total amount of debt outstanding, interest rates and the availability of attractive acquisition targets, among others, will affect our ability to acquire and redevelop additional properties in the future. We may not be successful in pursuing acquisition opportunities, and newly acquired properties may not perform as well as expected. Expenses arising from our efforts to complete acquisitions, redevelop properties or increase our market penetration may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We face competition for acquisitions primarily from other REITs, as well as from private real estate companies and financial buyers. Some of our competitors have greater financial and other resources. Increased competition for shopping center acquisitions may impact adversely our ability to acquire additional properties on favorable terms. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to implement our growth strategy successfully or manage our expanded operations effectively and profitably.

We may not be able to achieve the anticipated financial and operating results from newly acquired assets. Some of the factors that could affect anticipated results are:

our ability to integrate and manage new properties, including increasing occupancy rates and rents at such properties;

the disposal of non-core assets within an expected time frame; and

our ability to raise long-term financing to implement a capital structure at a cost of capital consistent with our business strategy.

Our business strategy also includes the selective development and construction of retail properties. Any development, redevelopment and construction activities that we may undertake will be subject to the risks of real estate development, including lack of financing, construction delays, environmental

requirements, budget overruns, sunk costs and lease-up. Furthermore, occupancy rates and rents at a newly completed property may not be sufficient to make the property profitable. Real estate development activities are also subject to risks relating to the inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, all necessary zoning, land-use, building, and occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations. If any of the above events occur, our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders and service our indebtedness could be adversely affected.

We have substantial debt that could affect our future operations.

Our total outstanding loan indebtedness at December 31, 2007 was \$7.6 billion (including \$1.8 billion of our pro rata share of joint venture debt). As a result of this substantial indebtedness, we are required to use a material portion of our cash flow to service principal and interest on our debt, which limits the cash flow available for other business opportunities. In addition, we are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow from operations will be insufficient to meet required debt service and that rising interest rates could adversely affect our debt service costs. A majority of our Centers are mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness, and if income from the Center is insufficient to pay that indebtedness, the Center could be foreclosed upon by the mortgagee resulting in a loss of income and a decline in our total asset value.

We depend on external financings for our growth and ongoing debt service requirements.

We depend primarily on external financings, principally debt financings, to fund the growth of our business and to ensure that we can meet ongoing maturities of our outstanding debt. Our access to financing depends on the willingness of banks to lend to us and conditions in the capital markets in general. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the financing we need for future growth or to meet our debt service as obligations mature, or that the financing available to us will be on acceptable terms.

Inflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

If inflation increases in the future, we may experience any or all of the following:

Difficulty in replacing or renewing expiring leases with new leases at higher rents;

Decreasing tenant sales as a result of decreased consumer spending which could adversely affect the ability of our tenants to meet their rent obligations and/or result in lower percentage rents; and

An inability to receive reimbursement from our tenants for their share of certain operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance.

Certain individuals have substantial influence over the management of both us and the Operating Partnership, which may create conflicts of interest.

Under the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, we, as the sole general partner, are responsible for the management of the Operating Partnership's business and affairs. Each of the principals serves as an executive officer and is a member of our board of directors. Accordingly, these principals have substantial influence over our management and the management of the Operating Partnership.

The tax consequences of the sale of some of the Centers may create conflicts of interest.

The principals will experience negative tax consequences if some of the Centers are sold. As a result, the principals may not favor a sale of these Centers even though such a sale may benefit our other stockholders.

The guarantees of indebtedness by and certain holdings of the principals may create conflicts of interest.

The principals have guaranteed mortgage loans encumbering one of the Centers. As of December 31, 2007, the principals have guaranteed an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$21.8 million. The existence of guarantees of these loans by the principals could result in the principals having interests that are inconsistent with the interests of our stockholders.

The principals may have different interests than our stockholders because they are significant holders of the Operating Partnership.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we will have reduced funds available for distributions to our stockholders.

We believe that we currently qualify as a REIT. No assurance can be given that we will remain qualified as a REIT. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable income tax regulations is greater in the case of a REIT structure like ours that holds assets in partnership form. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control, including determinations by our partners in the Joint Venture Centers, may affect our continued qualification as a REIT. In addition, legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could significantly change the tax laws with respect to our qualification as a REIT or the U.S. federal income tax consequences of that qualification.

If in any taxable year we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we will suffer the following negative results:

we will not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income; and

we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates.

In addition, if we were to lose our REIT status, we will be prohibited from qualifying as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which the qualification was lost, absent relief under statutory provisions. As a result, net income and the funds available for distributions to our stockholders would be reduced for at least five years and the fair market value of our shares could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, the Internal Revenue Service could challenge our REIT status for past periods, which if successful could result in us owing a material amount of tax for prior periods. It is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations might cause our board of directors to revoke our REIT election.

Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we might face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flow. Further, we might be subject to federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distributions to stockholders.

Complying with REIT requirements might cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the nature of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to our stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Thus, compliance with REIT requirements may cause us to forego opportunities we would otherwise pursue.

In addition, the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code impose a 100% tax on income from "prohibited transactions." Prohibited transactions generally include sales of assets that constitute inventory or other property held for sale in the ordinary course of business, other than foreclosure property. This 100% tax could impact our desire to sell assets and other investments at otherwise opportune times if we believe such sales could be considered a prohibited transaction.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to borrow to make distributions to our stockholders.

As a REIT, we generally must distribute 90% of our annual taxable income (subject to certain adjustments) to our stockholders. From time to time, we might generate taxable income greater than our net income for financial reporting purposes, or our taxable income might be greater than our cash flow available for distributions to our stockholders. If we do not have other funds available in these situations, we might be unable to distribute 90% of our taxable income as required by the REIT rules. In that case, we would need to borrow funds, sell a portion of our investments (potentially at disadvantageous prices) or find another alternative source of funds. These alternatives could increase our costs or reduce our equity and reduce amounts for investments.

Outside partners in Joint Venture Centers result in additional risks to our stockholders.

We own partial interests in property partnerships that own 42 Joint Venture Centers as well as fee title to a site that is ground leased to a property partnership that owns a Joint Venture Center and several development sites. We may acquire partial interests in additional properties through joint venture arrangements. Investments in Centers that are not Wholly Owned Centers involve risks different from those of investments in Wholly Owned Centers.

We may have fiduciary responsibilities to our partners that could affect decisions concerning the Joint Venture Centers. Third parties may share control of major decisions relating to the Joint Venture Centers, including decisions with respect to sales, refinancings and the timing and amount of additional capital contributions, as well as decisions that could have an adverse impact on our status. For example, we may lose our management rights relating to the Joint Venture Centers if:

we fail to contribute our share of additional capital needed by the property partnerships;

we default under a partnership agreement for a property partnership or other agreements relating to the property partnerships or the Joint Venture Centers; or

with respect to certain of the Joint Venture Centers, if certain designated key employees no longer are employed in the designated positions.

In addition, some of our outside partners control the day-to-day operations of eight Joint Venture Centers (NorthPark Center, West Acres Center, Eastland Mall, Granite Run Mall, Lake Square Mall, NorthPark Mall, South Park Mall and Valley Mall). We, therefore, do not control cash distributions from these Centers, and the lack of cash distributions from these Centers could jeopardize our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT. Furthermore, certain Joint Venture Centers have debt that could become recourse debt to us if the Joint Venture Center is unable to discharge such debt obligation.

Our holding company structure makes us dependent on distributions from the Operating Partnership.

Because we conduct our operations through the Operating Partnership, our ability to service our debt obligations and pay dividends to our stockholders is strictly dependent upon the earnings and cash flows of the Operating Partnership and the ability of the Operating Partnership to make distributions to us. Under the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, the Operating Partnership is prohibited from making any distribution to us to the extent that at the time of the distribution, after giving effect to the distribution, all liabilities of the Operating Partnership (other than some

non-recourse liabilities and some liabilities to the partners) exceed the fair value of the assets of the Operating Partnership. An inability to make cash distributions from the Operating Partnership could jeopardize our ability to maintain qualification as a REIT.

Possible environmental liabilities could adversely affect us.

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in that real property. These laws often impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. The costs of investigation, removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances may be substantial. In addition, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances, or the failure to remedy environmental hazards properly, may adversely affect the owner's or operator's ability to sell or rent affected real property or to borrow money using affected real property as collateral.

Persons or entities that arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances may also be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances at the disposal or treatment facility, whether or not that facility is owned or operated by the person or entity arranging for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances. Laws exist that impose liability for release of ACMs into the air, and third parties may seek recovery from owners or operators of real property for personal injury associated with exposure to ACMs. In connection with our ownership, operation, management, development and redevelopment of the Centers, or any other centers or properties we acquire in the future, we may be potentially liable under these laws and may incur costs in responding to these liabilities.

Uninsured losses could adversely affect our financial condition.

Each of our Centers has comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage and rental loss insurance with insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. We do not insure certain types of losses (such as losses from wars), because they are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. In addition, while we or the relevant joint venture, as applicable, carry earthquake insurance on the Centers located in California, the policies are subject to a deductible equal to 5% of the total insured value of each Center, a \$100,000 per occurrence minimum and a combined annual aggregate loss limit of \$106.6 million on these Centers. While we or the relevant joint venture also carries terrorism insurance on the Centers, the policies are subject to a \$10,000 deductible and a combined annual aggregate loss limit of \$800 million. Each Center has environmental insurance covering eligible third-party losses, remediation and non-owned disposal sites, subject to a \$100,000 deductible and a \$10 million three-year aggregate limit. Some environmental losses are not covered by this insurance because they are uninsurable or not economically insurable. Furthermore, we carry title insurance on many of the Centers for less than their full value. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs, the entity that owns the affected Center could lose its capital invested in the Center, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the Center, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the Center. An uninsured loss or loss in excess of insured limits may negatively impact our financial condition.

As the general partner of the Operating Partnership and certain of the property partnerships, we are generally liable for any of its unsatisfied obligations other than non-recourse obligations.

An ownership limit and certain anti-takeover defenses could inhibit a change of control or reduce the value of our common stock.

The Ownership Limit. In order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT, not more than 50% in value of our outstanding stock (after taking into account options to acquire stock) may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code to include

some entities that would not ordinarily be considered "individuals") during the last half of a taxable year. Our Charter restricts ownership of more than 5% (the "Ownership Limit") of the lesser of the number or value of our outstanding shares of stock by any single stockholder or a group of stockholders (with limited exceptions for some holders of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership, and their respective families and affiliated entities, including all four principals). In addition to enhancing preservation of our status as a REIT, the Ownership Limit may:

have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us or other transaction without the approval of our board of directors, even if the change in control or other transaction is in the best interest of our stockholders; and

limit the opportunity for our stockholders to receive a premium for their common stock or preferred stock that they might otherwise receive if an investor were attempting to acquire a block of stock in excess of the Ownership Limit or otherwise effect a change in control of us.

Our board of directors, in its sole discretion, may waive or modify (subject to limitations) the Ownership Limit with respect to one or more of our stockholders, if it is satisfied that ownership in excess of this limit will not jeopardize our status as a REIT.

Stockholder Rights Plan and Selected Provisions of our Charter and Bylaws. Agreements to which we are a party, as well as some of the provisions of our Charter and bylaws, may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and may inhibit a change in control that some, or a majority, of our stockholders might believe to be in their best interest or that could give our stockholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market prices for our shares. These agreements and provisions include the following:

a stockholder rights plan (which is generally triggered when an entity, group or person acquires 15% or more of our common stock), which, in the event of a takeover attempt not approved by our board of directors, allows our stockholders to purchase shares of our common stock, or the common stock of the acquiring entity, at a 50% discount;

a staggered board of directors and limitations on the removal of directors, which may make the replacement of incumbent directors more time-consuming and difficult;

advance notice requirements for stockholder nominations of directors and stockholder proposals to be considered at stockholder meetings;

the obligation of the directors to consider a variety of factors (in addition to maximizing stockholder value) with respect to a proposed business combination or other change of control transaction;

the authority of the directors to classify or reclassify unissued shares and issue one or more series of common stock or preferred stock;

the authority to create and issue rights entitling the holders thereof to purchase shares of stock or other securities or property from us; and

limitations on the amendment of our Charter and bylaws, the dissolution or change in control of us, and the liability of our directors and officers.

Selected Provisions of Maryland Law. The Maryland General Corporation Law prohibits business combinations between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder (which includes any person who beneficially holds 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation's shares) or its affiliates for five years following the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder and, after the five-year period, requires the recommendation of the board of directors and two super-majority stockholder votes to approve a business combination unless the stockholders receive a minimum price determined by the statute. As permitted by Maryland law, our Charter exempts from

these provisions any business combination between us and the principals and their respective affiliates and related persons. Maryland law also allows the board of directors to exempt particular business combinations before the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Furthermore, a person is not an interested stockholder if the transaction by which he or she would otherwise have become an interested stockholder is approved in advance by the board of directors.

The Maryland General Corporation Law also provides that the acquirer of certain levels of voting power in electing directors of a Maryland corporation (one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority and a majority or more) is not entitled to vote the shares in excess of the applicable threshold, unless voting rights for the shares are approved by holders of two thirds of the disinterested shares or unless the acquisition of the shares has been specifically or generally approved or exempted from the statute by a provision in our Charter or bylaws adopted before the acquisition of the shares. Our Charter exempts from these provisions voting rights of shares owned or acquired by the principals and their respective affiliates and related persons. Our bylaws also contain a provision exempting from this statute any acquisition by any person of shares of our common stock. There can be no assurance that this bylaw will not be amended or eliminated in the future. The Maryland General Corporation Law and our Charter also contain supermajority voting requirements with respect to our ability to amend our Charter, dissolve, merge, or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable

21

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the Centers and other locations that are wholly-owned or partly owned by the Company:

Company's Ownership(1)	Name of Center/ Location(2)	Year of Original Construction/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion/ Renovation	Total GLA(3)	Mall and Freestanding GLA	Percentage of Mall and Freestanding GLA Leased	Anchors	Squ	s Per nare ot(4)
WHOLLY OW	NFD.								
	% Capitola Mall(5) Capitola, California	1977/1995	1988	586,653	196,936	92.7%	Gottschalks, Macy's, Mervyn's, Sears	\$	351
1009	% Chandler Fashion Center Chandler, Arizona	2001/2002		1,325,450	640,290	97.6%	Dillard's, Macy's, Nordstrom, Sears		653
1009	% Chesterfield Towne Center(6) Richmond, Virginia	1975/1994	2000	1,035,593	426,858	80.0%	Dillard's, Macy's, Sears, J.C. Penney		349
1009	% Danbury Fair Mall(6)(24) Danbury, Connecticut	1986/2005	1991	1,295,086	498,878	97.1%	J.C. Penney, Lord & Taylor, Macy's, Sears		589
1009	% Deptford Mall Deptford, New Jersey	1975/2006	1990	1,033,224	336,782	97.3%	Boscov's, J.C. Penney, Macy's, Sears		521
1009	% Fiesta Mall(7) Mesa, Arizona	1979/2004	2007	827,873	309,682	93.0%	Dillard's, Macy's, Sears		375
1009	% Flagstaff Mall Flagstaff, Arizona	1979/2002	2007	343,599	139,587	92.6%	Dillard's, J.C. Penney, Sears		382
1009	% FlatIron Crossing(6) Broomfield, Colorado	2000/2002		1,505,617	741,876	91.6%	Dillard's, Macy's, Nordstrom, Dick's Sporting Goods		472
1009	% Freehold Raceway Mall(24) Freehold, New Jersey	1990/2005	2007	1,654,364	862,740	96.5%	J.C. Penney, Lord & Taylor, Macy's, Nordstrom, Sears		520
1009	% Fresno Fashion Fair Fresno, California	1970/1996	2006	955,807	394,926	99.2%	Gottschalks, J.C. Penney, Macy's (two)		545
1009	% Great Northern Mall(6)(24) Clay, New York	1988/2005		893,970	563,982	94.7%	Macy's, Sears		268
1009	% Green Tree Mall Clarksville, Indiana	1968/1975	2005	797,126	291,541	77.7%	Dillard's, J.C. Penney, Sears, Burlington Coat Factory		411
1009	% La Cumbre Plaza(5) Santa Barbara, California	1967/2004	1989	495,736	178,736	88.3%	Macy's, Sears		446
1009	% Northgate Mall(5) San Rafael, California	1964/1986	1987	732,543	262,212	92.6%	Macy's, Mervyn's, Sears		397
1009	% Northridge Mall Salinas, California	1972/2003	1994	892,859	355,879	98.5%	J.C. Penney, Macy's, Mervyn's, Sears		350
1009	% Pacific View Ventura, California	1965/1996	2001	1,059,916	411,102	73.7%	J.C. Penney, Macy's, Sears, Target(8)		433
	% Panorama Mall Panorama, California	1955/1979	2005	323,455	158,455	92.9%	Wal-Mart		358
1009	% Paradise Valley Mall(6) Phoenix, Arizona	1979/2002	1990	1,222,507	417,079	92.1%	Dillard's, J.C. Penney, Macy's, Sears		368
1009	% Prescott Gateway Prescott, Arizona	2002/2002	2004	589,025	344,837	89.8%	Dillard's, Sears, J.C. Penney		276
1009	% Queens Center(5) Queens, New York	1973/1995	2004	961,559	406,792	97.7%	J.C. Penney, Macy's		845
1009	% Rimrock Mall Billings, Montana	1978/1996	1999	605,759	294,089	87.6%	Dillard's (two), Herberger's, J.C. Penney		380
1009	% Rotterdam Square(24) Schenectady, New York	1980/2005	1990	582,939	273,164	89.8%	Macy's, K-Mart, Sears		260
1009		1990/1995	2005	852,205	354,789	94.8%			371

Company's Ownership(1)	Name of Center/ Location(2)	Year of Original Construction/ Acquisition	Year of Most Recent Expansion/ Renovation	Total GLA(3)	Mall and Freestanding GLA	Percentage of Mall and Freestanding GLA Leased	Anchors	Sales Per Square Foot(4)
	Salisbury, Centre at Salisbury, Maryland						Boscov's, J.C. Penney, Macy's, Sears	
1004	% Somersville Towne Center Antioch, California	1966/1986	2004	502,709	174,487	92.5%	Sears, Gottschalks, Mervyn's, Macy's	405
100	% South Plains Mall(5) Lubbock, Texas	1972/1998	1995	1,142,545	400,758	88.5%	Bealls, Dillard's (two), J.C. Penney, Mervyn's, Sears	370
1004	% South Towne Center Sandy, Utah	1987/1997	1997	1,268,136	491,624	95.6%	Dillard's, J.C. Penney, Mervyn's, Target, Macy's	433
100	% Towne Mall(24) Elizabethtown, Kentucky	1985/2005	1989	353,232	182,360	91.2%	J.C. Penney, Belk, Sears	298
1004	% Twenty Ninth Street(5) Boulder, Colorado	1963/1979	2007	827,497	535,843	91.6%	Macy's, Home Depot	428