

OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 13, 2013

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA](#)

[PART IV](#)

[INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012
- or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
-

Commission file number 1-9576

OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

22-2781933

(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

One Michael Owens Way, Perrysburg, Ohio

(Address of principal executive offices)

43551

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(567) 336-5000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Title of each class
Common Stock, \$.01 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
 (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value (based on the consolidated tape closing price on June 30, 2012) of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of Owens-Illinois, Inc. was approximately \$3,527,469,000. For the sole purpose of making this calculation, the term "non-affiliate" has been interpreted to exclude directors and executive officers of the Company. Such interpretation is not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, an admission by Owens-Illinois, Inc. or such directors or executive officers of the Company that such directors and executive officers of the Company are "affiliates" of Owens-Illinois, Inc., as that term is defined under the Securities Act of 1934.

The number of shares of common stock, \$.01 par value of Owens-Illinois, Inc. outstanding as of January 31, 2013 was 164,075,276.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of Owens-Illinois, Inc. Proxy Statement for The Annual Meeting of Share Owners To Be Held Friday, May 17, 2013 ("Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

TABLE OF GUARANTORS

Exact Name of Registrant As Specified In Its Charter	State/Country of Incorporation or Organization	Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number	I.R.S Employee Identification Number
Owens-Illinois Group, Inc	Delaware	6719	34-1559348
Owens-Brockway Packaging, Inc	Delaware	6719	34-1559346

The address, including zip code, and telephone number, of each additional registrant's principal executive office is One Michael Owens Way, Perrysburg, Ohio 43551; (567) 336-5000. These companies are listed as guarantors of the debt securities of the registrant. The consolidating condensed financial statements of the Company depicting separately its guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements. All of the equity securities of each of the guarantors set forth in the table above are owned, either directly or indirectly, by Owens-Illinois, Inc.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>PART II</u>		<u>20</u>
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHARE OWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	<u>QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>PART III</u>		<u>117</u>
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>117</u>
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	<u>118</u>
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>118</u>
<u>PART IV</u>		<u>119</u>
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	<u>119</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>216</u>
<u>EXHIBITS</u>		

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General Development of Business

Owens-Illinois, Inc. (the "Company"), through its subsidiaries, is the successor to a business established in 1903. The Company is the largest manufacturer of glass containers in the world with 79 glass manufacturing plants in 21 countries. It competes in the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market and is the leading glass container manufacturer in most of the countries where it is located.

Company Strategy

The Company's ambition is to be the world's leading maker of brand-building glass containers, delivering unmatched quality, innovation and service to its customers; generating strong financial results for its investors; and providing a safe, motivating and engaging work environment for its employees. To accomplish this ambition, the Company is focusing on the following objectives:

Reduce structural costs through specific programs such as permanent footprint adjustments, asset optimization and global cost-cutting initiatives;

Grow selectively by taking advantage of the Company's position in emerging markets around the world and strengthening the Company's position in Europe and North America;

Deliver brand-building product innovation to the Company's customers to help them build, develop and expand their brands; and

Invest strategically in technology and research and development to reduce manufacturing costs and to improve efficiency, flexibility, reliability and innovation.

Reportable Segments

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America, and Asia Pacific. Information as to sales, earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excluding amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations ("segment operating profit"), and total assets by reportable segment is included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Products and Services

The Company produces glass containers for alcoholic beverages, including beer, flavored malt beverages, spirits and wine. The Company also produces glass packaging for a variety of food items, soft drinks, teas, juices and pharmaceuticals. The Company manufactures glass containers in a wide range of sizes, shapes and colors and is active in new product development and glass container innovation.

Customers

In most of the countries where the Company competes, it has the leading position in the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market based on sales revenue. The Company's largest customers consist mainly of the leading food and beverage manufacturers in the world, including (in alphabetical order) Anheuser-Busch InBev, Brown Forman, Carlsberg, Coca-Cola, Constellation, Diageo, Heineken, Kirin, MillerCoors, Nestle, PepsiCo, Pernod Ricard, SABMiller, and Saxco International. No customer represents more than 10% of the Company's consolidated net sales.

Table of Contents

The Company sells most of its glass container products directly to customers under annual or multi-year supply agreements. Multi-year contracts typically provide for price adjustments based on cost changes. The Company also sells some of its products through distributors. Many customers provide the Company with regular estimates of its product needs, which enables the Company to schedule glass container production to maintain reasonable levels of inventory. Due to the significance of transportation costs and the importance of timely delivery, glass container manufacturing facilities are generally located in close proximity to customers.

Markets and Competitive Conditions

The Company's principal markets for glass container products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

Europe. The Company has a leading share of the glass container segment of the rigid packaging market in Europe, with 36 glass container manufacturing plants located in the Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom. The Company is also involved in a joint venture that manufactures glass containers in Italy. These plants primarily produce glass containers for the beer, wine, champagne, spirits and food markets in these countries. Throughout Europe, the Company competes directly with a variety of glass container manufacturers including Verallia, Ardagh Group, Vetropak and Vidrala.

North America. The Company has 19 glass container manufacturing plants in the U.S. and Canada, and is also involved in a joint venture that manufactures glass containers in the U.S. The Company has the leading share of the glass container segment of the U.S. rigid packaging market, based on sales revenue by domestic producers. The principal glass container competitors in the U.S. are Verallia North America and Ardagh Group. Imports from Mexico, China and other countries also compete in U.S. glass container segments. Additionally, a few major consumer packaged goods companies self-manufacture glass containers.

South America. The Company has 13 glass manufacturing plants in South America, located in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru. In South America, the Company maintains a diversified portfolio serving several markets, including beer, non-alcoholic beverages, spirits, flavored malt beverages, wine, food and pharmaceuticals. The region also has a large infrastructure for returnable/refillable glass containers. The Company competes directly with Verallia in Brazil and Argentina, and does not believe that it competes with any other large, multinational glass container manufacturers in the rest of the region.

Asia Pacific. The Company has 11 glass container manufacturing plants in the Asia Pacific region, located in Australia, China, Indonesia and New Zealand. It is also involved in joint venture operations in China, Malaysia and Vietnam. In Asia Pacific, the Company primarily produces glass containers for the beer, wine, food and non-alcoholic beverage markets. The Company competes directly with Amcor Limited in Australia, and does not believe that it competes with any other large, multinational glass container manufacturers in the rest of the region. In China, the glass container segments of the packaging market are regional and highly fragmented with a large number of local competitors.

In addition to competing with other large and well-established manufacturers in the glass container segment, the Company competes in all regions with manufacturers of other forms of rigid packaging, principally aluminum cans and plastic containers. Competition is based on quality, price, service, innovation and the marketing attributes of the container. The principal competitors producing metal containers include Amcor, Ball Corporation, Crown Holdings, Inc., Rexam plc, and Silgan Holdings Inc. The principal competitors producing plastic containers include Amcor, Consolidated Container Holdings, LLC, Reynolds Group Holdings Limited, Plastipak Packaging, Inc. and Silgan

Table of Contents

Holdings Inc. The Company also competes with manufacturers of non-rigid packaging alternatives, including flexible pouches, aseptic cartons and bag-in-box containers.

The Company seeks to provide products and services to customers ranging from large multinationals to small local breweries and wineries in a way that creates a competitive advantage for the Company. The Company believes that it is often the glass container partner of choice because of its innovation and branding capabilities, its global footprint and its expertise in manufacturing know-how and process technology.

Seasonality

Sales of many glass container products such as beer, beverages and food are seasonal. Shipments in the U.S. and Europe are typically greater in the second and third quarters of the year, while shipments in the Asia Pacific region are typically greater in the first and fourth quarters of the year, and shipments in South America are typically greater in the third and fourth quarters of the year.

Manufacturing

The Company has 79 glass manufacturing plants. It constantly seeks to improve the productivity of these operations through the systematic upgrading of production capabilities, sharing of best practices among plants and effective training of employees.

The Company operates machine shops that assemble, rebuild and repair high-productivity glass forming machines, as well as mold shops that manufacture molds and related equipment. The Company also provides engineering support for its glass manufacturing operations through facilities located in the U.S., Australia, Poland, Peru and China.

Suppliers and Raw Materials

The primary raw materials used in the Company's glass container operations are sand, soda ash, limestone and recycled glass. Each of these materials, as well as the other raw materials used to manufacture glass containers, has historically been available in adequate supply from multiple sources. One of the sources is a soda ash mining operation in Wyoming in which the Company has a 25% interest.

Energy

The Company's glass container operations require a continuous supply of significant amounts of energy, principally natural gas, fuel oil and electrical power. Adequate supplies of energy are generally available at all of the Company's manufacturing locations. Energy costs typically account for 10-25% of the Company's total manufacturing costs, depending on the cost of energy, the type of energy available, the factory location and the particular energy requirements. The percentage of total cost related to energy can vary significantly because of volatility in market prices, particularly for natural gas and fuel oil in volatile markets such as North America and Europe.

In North America, approximately 90% of the sales volume is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer, effectively reducing the North America segment's exposure to changing natural gas market prices. Also, in order to limit the effects of fluctuations in market prices for natural gas, the Company uses commodity futures contracts related to its forecasted requirements in North America. The objective of these futures contracts is to reduce potential volatility in cash flows and expense due to changing market prices. The Company continually evaluates the energy markets with respect to its forecasted energy requirements to optimize its use of commodity futures contracts.

Table of Contents

In Europe and Asia Pacific, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for a significant amount of its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of 12 months or less in Europe and one to three years in Asia Pacific. In South America, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of two years, with annual price adjustments for inflation.

Technical Assistance License Agreements

The Company has agreements to license its proprietary glass container technology and to provide technical assistance to a limited number of companies around the world. These agreements cover areas related to manufacturing and engineering assistance. The worldwide licensee network provides a stream of revenue to help support the Company's development activities. In the years 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company earned \$17 million, \$16 million and \$16 million, respectively, in royalties and net technical assistance revenue on a continuing operations basis.

Research, Development and Engineering

Research, development and engineering constitute important parts of the Company's technical activities. Expenditures for these activities were \$62 million, \$71 million and \$62 million for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company primarily focuses on advancements in the areas of product innovation, manufacturing process control, melting technology, automatic inspection, light-weighting and further automation of manufacturing activities. The Company's research and development activities are conducted at its corporate facilities in Perrysburg, Ohio. The Company is currently building a new research and development facility at this location that is expected to be completed in the second half of 2013. This new facility will enable the Company to expand its research and development capabilities.

The Company holds a large number of patents related to a wide variety of products and processes and has a substantial number of patent applications pending. While the aggregate of the Company's patents are of material importance to its businesses, the Company does not consider that any patent or group of patents relating to a particular product or process is of material importance when judged from the standpoint of any individual segment or its businesses as a whole.

Sustainability and the Environment

The Company is committed to reducing the impact its products and operations have on the environment. As part of this commitment, the Company has set targets for increasing the use of recycled glass in its manufacturing process, while reducing energy consumption and carbon dioxide equivalent ("CO₂") emissions. Specific actions taken by the Company include working with governments and other organizations to establish and financially support recycling initiatives, partnering with other entities throughout the supply chain to improve the effectiveness of recycling efforts, reducing the weight of glass packaging and investing in research and development to reduce energy consumption in its manufacturing process.

The Company's worldwide operations, in addition to other companies within the industry, are subject to extensive laws, ordinances, regulations and other legal requirements relating to environmental protection, including legal requirements governing investigation and clean-up of contaminated properties as well as water discharges, air emissions, waste management and workplace health and safety. The Company strives to abide by and uphold such laws and regulations.

Glass Recycling and Bottle Deposits

The Company is an important contributor to recycling efforts worldwide and is among the largest users of recycled glass containers. If sufficient high-quality recycled glass were available on a consistent basis, the Company has the technology to make glass containers using 100% recycled glass. Using

Table of Contents

recycled glass in the manufacturing process reduces energy costs and prolongs the operating life of the glass melting furnaces.

In the U.S., Canada, Europe and elsewhere, government authorities have adopted or are considering legal requirements that would mandate certain recycling rates, the use of recycled materials, or limitations on or preferences for certain types of packaging. The Company believes that governments worldwide will continue to develop and enact legal requirements around guiding customer and end-consumer packaging choices.

Sales of beverage containers are affected by governmental regulation of packaging, including deposit laws. As of December 31, 2012, there were a number of U.S. states, Canadian provinces and territories, European countries and Australian states with some form of consumer bottle deposit laws in effect. The structure and enforcement of such laws and regulations can impact the sales of beverage containers in a given jurisdiction. Such laws and regulations also impact the availability of post-consumer recycled glass for the Company to use in container production.

A number of U.S. states and Canadian provinces have recently considered or are now considering laws and regulations to encourage curbside, deposit and on-premise recycling. Although there is no clear trend in the direction of these state and provincial laws and regulations, the Company believes that U.S. states and Canadian provinces, as well as municipalities within those jurisdictions, will continue to adopt recycling laws, which will impact supplies of recycled glass. As a large user of recycled glass for making new glass containers, the Company has an interest in laws and regulations impacting supplies of such material in its markets.

Air Emissions

In Europe, the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme ("EUETS") is in effect to facilitate emissions reduction. The Company's manufacturing facilities which operate in EU countries must restrict the volume of their CO₂ emissions to the level of their individually allocated emissions allowances as set by country regulators. If the actual level of emissions for any facility exceeds its allocated allowance, additional allowances can be bought to cover deficits; conversely, if the actual level of emissions for any facility is less than its allocation, the excess allowances can be sold. The EUETS has not had a material effect on the Company's results to date. However, should the regulators significantly restrict the number of emissions allowances available, it could have a material effect in the future.

In North America, the U.S. and Canada are engaged in significant legislative and regulatory activity relating to CO₂ emissions, at the federal, state and provincial levels of government. There are numerous proposals pending before the U.S. Congress which would create a cap-and-trade emissions trading scheme for CO₂, but no legislation has been adopted into law. Other proposals would adopt a national carbon tax or would create restrictions on CO₂ emissions without utilizing a cap-and-trade system. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") regulates emissions of hazardous air pollutants under the Clean Air Act, which grants the EPA authority to establish limits for certain air pollutants and to require compliance, levy penalties and bring civil judicial action against violators. The EPA also implemented the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, which set stringent emissions limits in many states starting in 2012. The state of California adopted cap-and-trade legislation aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions starting in 2013. These rules may result in higher energy prices and other costs to the Company.

In Asia Pacific, the *National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007* commenced on July 1, 2008 in Australia. This act established a mandatory reporting system for corporate greenhouse gas emissions and energy production and consumption. In 2011, the Australian government adopted a carbon pricing mechanism that took effect in July 2012, which requires certain manufacturers to pay a tax based on their carbon-equivalent emissions. In New Zealand, the government made a number of amendments to

Table of Contents

the emissions trading scheme passed into law in September 2008. One of the changes introduced a transition phase to the scheme between July 1, 2010 and December 31, 2012. During this period, participants were able to buy emission units from the government.

In South America, the Brazilian government passed a law in 2009 requiring companies to reduce the level of greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2020. Implementation of this law is expected in 2013 once the mechanics are more fully defined. In the other South American countries, national and local governments are considering proposals that would impose regulations to reduce CO₂ emissions, but no legislation has been implemented to date.

The Company is unable to predict what environmental legal requirements may be adopted in the future. However, the Company continually monitors its operations in relation to environmental impacts and invests in environmentally friendly and emissions-reducing projects. As such, the Company has made significant expenditures for environmental improvements at certain of its facilities over the last several years; however, these expenditures did not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows. The Company is unable to predict the impact of future environmental legal requirements on its results of operations or cash flows.

Employees

The Company's worldwide operations employed approximately 22,500 persons as of December 31, 2012. Approximately 79% of North American employees are hourly workers covered by collective bargaining agreements. The principal collective bargaining agreement, which at December 31, 2012, covered approximately 91% of the Company's union-affiliated employees in North America, will expire on March 31, 2013. Approximately 65% of employees in South America are unionized, although according to the labor legislation in each country, 100% of employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The majority of the hourly workers in Australia and New Zealand are also covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements in South America, Australia and New Zealand have varying terms and expiration dates. In Europe, a large number of the Company's employees are employed in countries in which employment laws provide greater bargaining or other rights to employees than the laws of the U.S. Such employment rights require the Company to work collaboratively with the legal representatives of the employees to effect any changes to labor arrangements. The Company considers its employee relations to be good and does not anticipate any material work stoppages in the near term.

Available Information

The Company's website is www.o-i.com. The Company's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 can be obtained from this site at no cost. The Company's SEC filings are also available for reading and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the charters of the Compensation, Nominating/Corporate Governance and Audit Committees are also available on the Investor Relations section of the Company's website. Copies of these documents are available in print to share owners upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at the address above.

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Name and Age	Position
Albert P. L. Stroucken (65)	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since 2006. Previously Chief Executive Officer of HB Fuller Company, a manufacturer of adhesives, sealants, coatings, paints and other specialty chemical products 1998-2006; Chairman of HB Fuller Company 1999-2006.
Stephen P. Bramlage, Jr. (42)	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President since 2012; President of O-I Asia Pacific 2011-2012; General Manager of O-I New Zealand 2010-2011; Vice President of Finance 2008-2010; Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of O-I Europe 2008; Vice President and Treasurer 2006-2008.
James W. Baehren (62)	Senior Vice President and General Counsel since 2003; Senior Vice President Strategic Planning 2006-2012; Chief Administrative Officer 2004-2006; Corporate Secretary 1998-2010; Vice President and Director of Finance 2001-2003.
Paul A. Jarrell (50)	Senior Vice President since 2011; Chief Administrative Officer beginning in 2013; Chief Human Resources Officer 2011-2012. Previously Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer for DSM, a life sciences and materials company based in The Netherlands 2009-2011; Vice President and Director of Human Resources for ITT, a fluid technologies and engineered products company 2006-2009.
Erik C. M. Bouts (51)	Vice President and President of O-I Europe beginning in 2013. Previously Chief Executive Officer of the Glidden Company, part of AkzoNobel Architectural Paints Division in the U.S. 2007-2012; President and Chief Executive Officer of Philips Lighting Company North America, a division of Philips Electronics 2002-2006.
Arnaud N. J. M. de Weert (49)	Vice President and President of O-I North America since 2012. Previously Chief Operating Officer of Constellium, a manufacturer of aluminum products based in France 2011-2012; Operating Partner/Senior Advisor at Apollo Management, a U.S. private equity company 2009-2011; President Europe for Novelis AG, a manufacturer of rolled aluminum products 2006-2009.
Andres A. Lopez (50)	Vice President and President of O-I South America since 2009; Vice President of global manufacturing and engineering 2006-2009.

Financial Information about Foreign and Domestic Operations

Information as to net sales, segment operating profit, and assets of the Company's reportable segments is included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Asbestos-Related Liability *The Company has made, and will continue to make, substantial payments to resolve claims of persons alleging exposure to asbestos-containing products and may need to record additional charges in the future for estimated asbestos-related costs. These substantial payments have affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and the ability to pursue acquisitions.*

The Company is a defendant in numerous lawsuits alleging bodily injury and death as a result of exposure to asbestos dust. From 1948 to 1958, one of the Company's former business units commercially produced and sold approximately \$40 million of a high-temperature, calcium-silicate based pipe and block insulation material containing asbestos. The Company exited the pipe and block insulation business in April 1958. The typical asbestos personal injury lawsuit alleges various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability and seeks compensatory, and in some cases, punitive damages, in various amounts (herein referred to as "asbestos claims").

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. Beginning with the initial liability of \$975 million established in 1993, the Company has accrued a total of approximately \$4.3 billion through 2012, before insurance recoveries, for its asbestos-related liability. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns, the expanding list of non-traditional defendants that have been sued in this litigation, and the use of mass litigation screenings to generate large numbers of claims by parties who allege exposure to asbestos dust but have no present physical asbestos impairment.

The Company conducted a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs in connection with finalizing and reporting its results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 and concluded that an increase in its accrual for future asbestos-related costs in the amount of \$155 million (pretax and after tax) was required.

The ultimate amount of distributions that may be required to fund the Company's asbestos-related payments cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company's reported results of operations for 2012 were materially affected by the \$155 million (pretax and after tax) fourth quarter charge and asbestos-related payments continue to be substantial. Any future additional charge may likewise materially affect the Company's results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Also, the continued use of significant amounts of cash for asbestos-related costs has affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to pursue global or domestic acquisitions.

Substantial Leverage *The Company's indebtedness could adversely affect the Company's financial health.*

The Company has a significant amount of debt. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$3.8 billion of total debt outstanding, a decrease from \$4.0 billion at December 31, 2011.

The Company's indebtedness could result in the following consequences:

Increased vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

Increased vulnerability to interest rate increases for the portion of the debt under the secured credit agreement;

Require the Company to dedicate a substantial portion of cash flow from operations to payments on indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development efforts and other general corporate purposes;

Table of Contents

Limited flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the Company's business and the rigid packaging market;

Place the Company at a competitive disadvantage relative to its competitors that have less debt; and

Limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the documents governing indebtedness, among other things, the Company's ability to borrow additional funds.

Ability to Service Debt To service its indebtedness, the Company will require a significant amount of cash. The Company's ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond its control.

The Company's ability to make payments on and to refinance its indebtedness and to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, development efforts and other general corporate purposes depends on its ability to generate cash in the future. The Company has no assurance that it will generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or that future borrowings will be available under the secured credit agreement, in an amount sufficient to enable the Company to pay its indebtedness, or to fund other liquidity needs. If short term interest rates increase, the Company's debt service cost will increase because some of its debt is subject to short term variable interest rates. At December 31, 2012, the Company's debt subject to variable interest rates represented approximately 33% of total debt.

The Company may need to refinance all or a portion of its indebtedness on or before maturity. If the Company is unable to generate sufficient cash flow and is unable to refinance or extend outstanding borrowings on commercially reasonable terms or at all, it may have to take one or more of the following actions:

Reduce or delay capital expenditures planned for replacements, improvements and expansions;

Sell assets;

Restructure debt; and/or

Obtain additional debt or equity financing.

The Company can provide no assurance that it could affect or implement any of these alternatives on satisfactory terms, if at all.

Debt Restrictions The Company may not be able to finance future needs or adapt its business plans to changes because of restrictions placed on it by the secured credit agreement and the indentures and instruments governing other indebtedness.

The secured credit agreement, the indentures governing the senior debentures and notes, and certain of the agreements governing other indebtedness contain affirmative and negative covenants that limit the ability of the Company to take certain actions. For example, these indentures restrict, among other things, the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to borrow money, pay dividends on, or redeem or repurchase its stock, make investments, create liens, enter into certain transactions with affiliates and sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies. These restrictions could adversely affect the Company's ability to operate its businesses and may limit its ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities as they arise.

Failure to comply with these or other covenants and restrictions contained in the secured credit agreement, the indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could result in a default under those agreements, and the debt under those agreements, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If a default occurs under the secured credit agreement, the Company could no longer request borrowings under the agreement, and the lenders could cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under such secured credit agreement to become due and payable,

Table of Contents

which would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default under the secured credit agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

International Operations *The Company is subject to risks associated with operating in foreign countries.*

The Company operates manufacturing and other facilities throughout the world. Net sales from international operations totaled approximately \$5.2 billion, representing approximately 75% of the Company's net sales for the year ended December 31, 2012. As a result of its international operations, the Company is subject to risks associated with operating in foreign countries, including:

Political, social and economic instability;

War, civil disturbance or acts of terrorism;

Taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation;

Changes in governmental policies and regulations;

Devaluations and fluctuations in currency exchange rates;

Imposition of limitations on conversions of foreign currencies into dollars or remittance of dividends and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;

Imposition or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;

Hyperinflation in certain foreign countries;

Impositions or increase of investment and other restrictions or requirements by foreign governments;

Loss or non-renewal of treaties or other agreements with foreign tax authorities;

Changes in tax laws, or the interpretation thereof, affecting foreign tax credits or tax deductions relating to our non-U.S. earnings or operations; and

Complying with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which prohibits companies and their intermediaries from engaging in bribery or other prohibited payments to foreign officials for the purposes of obtaining or retaining business or gaining an unfair business advantage and requires companies to maintain accurate books and records and internal controls.

The risks associated with operating in foreign countries may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates *The Company is subject to the effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, which could adversely impact the Company's financial results.*

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

The Company's reporting currency is the U.S. dollar. A significant portion of the Company's net sales, costs, assets and liabilities are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily the Euro, Brazilian real, Colombian peso and Australian dollar. In its consolidated financial statements, the Company translates local currency financial results into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rates prevailing during the reporting period. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar, the reported revenues and earnings of the Company's international operations will be reduced because the local currencies will translate into fewer U.S. dollars. This could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Competition *The Company faces intense competition from other glass container producers, as well as from makers of alternative forms of packaging. Competitive pressures could adversely affect the Company's financial health.*

The Company is subject to significant competition from other glass container producers, as well as from makers of alternative forms of packaging, such as aluminum cans and plastic containers. The Company also competes with manufacturers of non-rigid packaging alternatives, including flexible pouches and aseptic cartons, in serving the packaging needs of certain end-use markets, including juice customers. The Company competes with each rigid packaging competitor on the basis of price, quality, service and the marketing and functional attributes of the container. Advantages or disadvantages in any of these competitive factors may be sufficient to cause the customer to consider changing suppliers and/or using an alternative form of packaging. The adverse effects of consumer purchasing decisions may be more significant in periods of economic downturn and may lead to longer term reductions in consumer spending on glass packaged products.

Pressures from competitors and producers of alternative forms of packaging have resulted in excess capacity in certain countries in the past and have led to capacity adjustments and significant pricing pressures in the rigid packaging market.

High Energy Costs *Higher energy costs worldwide and interrupted power supplies may have a material adverse effect on operations.*

Electrical power, natural gas, and fuel oil are vital to the Company's operations as it relies on a continuous energy supply to conduct its business. Depending on the location and mix of energy sources, energy accounts for 10% to 25% of total production costs. Substantial increases and volatility in energy costs could cause the Company to experience a significant increase in operating costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Global Economic Environment *The global credit, financial and economic environment could have a material adverse effect on operations and financial condition.*

The global credit, financial and economic environment could have a material adverse effect on operations, including the following:

Downturns in the business or financial condition of any of the Company's customers or suppliers could result in a loss of revenues or a disruption in the supply of raw materials;

Tightening of credit in financial markets could reduce the Company's ability, as well as the ability of the Company's customers and suppliers, to obtain future financing;

Volatile market performance could affect the fair value of the Company's pension assets and liabilities, potentially requiring the Company to make significant additional contributions to its pension plans to maintain prescribed funding levels;

The deterioration of any of the lending parties under the Company's revolving credit facility or the creditworthiness of the counterparties to the Company's derivative transactions could result in such parties' failure to satisfy their obligations under their arrangements with the Company; and

A significant weakening of the Company's financial position or results of operations could result in noncompliance with the covenants under the Company's indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Business Integration Risks *The Company may not be able to effectively integrate additional businesses it acquires in the future.*

The Company may consider strategic transactions, including acquisitions that will complement, strengthen and enhance growth in its worldwide glass operations. The Company evaluates opportunities on a preliminary basis from time to time, but these transactions may not advance beyond the preliminary stages or be completed. Such acquisitions are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including:

The inability to integrate effectively the operations, products, technologies and personnel of the acquired companies (some of which are located in diverse geographic regions) and achieve expected synergies;

The potential disruption of existing business and diversion of management's attention from day-to-day operations;

The inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;

The need or obligation to divest portions of the acquired companies;

The potential impairment of relationships with customers;

The potential failure to identify material problems and liabilities during due diligence review of acquisition targets;

The potential failure to obtain sufficient indemnification rights to fully offset possible liabilities associated with acquired businesses; and

The challenges associated with operating in new geographic regions.

In addition, the Company cannot make assurances that the integration and consolidation of newly acquired businesses will achieve any anticipated cost savings and operating synergies.

Customer Consolidation *The continuing consolidation of the Company's customer base may intensify pricing pressures and have a material adverse effect on operations.*

Many of the Company's largest customers have acquired companies with similar or complementary product lines. This consolidation has increased the concentration of the Company's business with its largest customers, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on operations. In many cases, such consolidation has been accompanied by pressure from customers for lower prices, reflecting the increase in the total volume of products purchased or the elimination of a price differential between the acquiring customer and the company acquired. Increased pricing pressures from the Company's customers may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Seasonality *Profitability could be affected by varied seasonal demands.*

Due principally to the seasonal nature of the consumption of beer and other beverages, for which demand is stronger during the summer months, sales of the Company's products have varied and are expected to vary by quarter. Shipments in the U.S. and Europe are typically greater in the second and third quarters of the year, while shipments in the Asia Pacific region are typically greater in the first and fourth quarters of the year, and shipments in South America are typically greater in the third and fourth quarters of the year. Unseasonably cool weather during peak demand periods can reduce demand for certain beverages packaged in the Company's containers.

Table of Contents

Raw Materials Profitability could be affected by the availability of raw materials.

The raw materials that the Company uses have historically been available in adequate supply from multiple sources. For certain raw materials, however, there may be temporary shortages due to weather or other factors, including disruptions in supply caused by raw material transportation or production delays. These shortages, as well as material volatility in the cost of any of the principal raw materials that the Company uses, may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Environmental Risks The Company is subject to various environmental legal requirements and may be subject to new legal requirements in the future. These requirements may have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company's operations and properties are subject to extensive laws, ordinances, regulations and other legal requirements relating to environmental protection, including legal requirements governing investigation and clean-up of contaminated properties as well as water discharges, air emissions, waste management and workplace health and safety. Such legal requirements frequently change and vary among jurisdictions. The Company's operations and properties must comply with these legal requirements. These requirements may have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company has incurred, and expects to incur, costs for its operations to comply with environmental legal requirements, and these costs could increase in the future. Many environmental legal requirements provide for substantial fines, orders (including orders to cease operations), and criminal sanctions for violations. These legal requirements may apply to conditions at properties that the Company presently or formerly owned or operated, as well as at other properties for which the Company may be responsible, including those at which wastes attributable to the Company were disposed. A significant order or judgment against the Company, the loss of a significant permit or license or the imposition of a significant fine may have a material adverse effect on operations.

A number of governmental authorities have enacted, or are considering enacting, legal requirements that would mandate certain rates of recycling, the use of recycled materials and/or limitations on certain kinds of packaging materials. In addition, some companies with packaging needs have responded to such developments and/or perceived environmental concerns of consumers by using containers made in whole or in part of recycled materials. Such developments may reduce the demand for some of the Company's products and/or increase the Company's costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Taxes Potential tax law changes could adversely affect net income and cash flow.

The Company is subject to income tax in the numerous jurisdictions in which it operates. Increases in income tax rates or other tax law changes could reduce the Company's net income and cash flow from affected jurisdictions. In particular, potential tax law changes in the U.S. regarding the treatment of the Company's unrepatriated non-U.S. earnings could have a material adverse effect on net income and cash flow. In addition, the Company's products are subject to import and excise duties and/or sales or value-added taxes in many jurisdictions in which it operates. Increases in these indirect taxes could affect the affordability of the Company's products and, therefore, reduce demand.

Labor Relations Some of the Company's employees are unionized or represented by workers' councils.

The Company is party to a number of collective bargaining agreements with labor unions which at December 31, 2012, covered approximately 79% of the Company's employees in North America. Approximately 65% of employees in South America are unionized, although according to the labor legislation of each country, 100% of employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The agreement covering substantially all of the Company's union-affiliated employees in its U.S. glass container operations expires on March 31, 2013. The majority of the hourly workers in Australia and

Table of Contents

New Zealand are also covered by collective bargaining agreements. The collective bargaining agreements in South America, Australia and New Zealand have varying terms and expiration dates. Upon the expiration of any collective bargaining agreement, if the Company is unable to negotiate acceptable contracts with labor unions, it could result in strikes by the affected workers and increased operating costs as a result of higher wages or benefits paid to union members. In Europe, a large number of the Company's employees are employed in countries in which employment laws provide greater bargaining or other rights to employees than the laws of the U.S. Such employment rights require the Company to work collaboratively with the legal representatives of the employees to effect any changes to labor arrangements. For example, most of the Company's employees in Europe are represented by workers' councils that must approve any changes in conditions of employment, including salaries and benefits and staff changes, and may impede efforts to restructure the Company's workforce. Although the Company believes that it has a good working relationship with its employees, if the Company's employees were to engage in a strike or other work stoppage, the Company could experience a significant disruption of operations and/or higher ongoing labor costs, which may have a material adverse effect on operations.

Key Management and Personnel Retention Failure to retain key management and personnel could have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company believes that its future success depends, in part, on its experienced management team and certain key personnel. The loss of certain key management and personnel could limit the Company's ability to implement its business plans and meet its objectives.

Joint Ventures Failure by joint venture partners to observe their obligations could have a material adverse effect on operations.

A portion of the Company's operations is conducted through joint ventures, including joint ventures in the Europe, North America and Asia Pacific segments. If the Company's joint venture partners do not observe their obligations or are unable to commit additional capital to the joint ventures, it is possible that the affected joint venture would not be able to operate in accordance with its business plans, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Information Technology Failure or disruption of information technology could disrupt operations and adversely affect operations.

The Company relies on information technology to operate its plants, to communicate with its employees, customers and suppliers, and to report financial and operating results. As with all large systems, the Company's information technology systems could fail on their own accord or may be vulnerable to a variety of interruptions due to events, including, but not limited to, natural disasters, terrorist attacks, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, hackers or other security issues. While the Company has disaster recovery programs in place, failure or disruption of the Company's information technology systems could result in transaction errors, loss of customers, business disruptions, or loss of or damage to intellectual property, which could have a material adverse effect on operations.

The Company continues to undertake the phased implementation of an Enterprise Resource Planning ("ERP") software system. The implementation of a new ERP system poses several challenges related to, among other things, training of personnel, communication of new rules and procedures, migration of data and the potential instability of the system. While the Company has taken steps to mitigate these challenges, the unsuccessful implementation of the ERP system could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property *The loss of the Company's intellectual property rights may negatively impact its ability to compete.*

If the Company is unable to maintain the proprietary nature of its technologies, its competitors may use its technologies to compete with it. The Company has a number of patents. The Company's patents may not withstand challenge in litigation, and patents do not ensure that competitors will not develop competing products or infringe upon the Company's patents. Additionally, the Company markets its products internationally and the patent laws of foreign countries may offer less protection than the patent laws in the U.S. The Company also relies on trade secrets, know-how and other unpatented technology, and others may independently develop the same or similar technology or otherwise obtain access to the Company's unpatented technology.

Accounting *The Company's financial results are based upon estimates and assumptions that may differ from actual results.*

In preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, several estimates and assumptions are made that affect the accounting for and recognition of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates and assumptions must be made because certain information that is used in the preparation of the Company's financial statements is dependent on future events, cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available or is not capable of being readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. In some cases, these estimates are particularly difficult to determine and the Company must exercise significant judgment. The Company believes that accounting for long-lived assets, pension benefit plans, contingencies and litigation, and income taxes involves the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. Actual results for all estimates could differ materially from the estimates and assumptions that the Company uses, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Accounting Standards *The adoption of new accounting standards or interpretations could adversely impact the Company's financial results.*

The Company's implementation of and compliance with changes in accounting rules and interpretations could adversely affect its operating results or cause unanticipated fluctuations in its results in future periods. The accounting rules and regulations that the Company must comply with are complex and continually changing. Recent actions and public comments from the SEC have focused on the integrity of financial reporting generally. The Financial Accounting Standards Board has recently introduced several new or proposed accounting standards, or is developing new proposed standards, which would represent a significant change from current industry practices. In addition, many companies' accounting policies are being subjected to heightened scrutiny by regulators and the public. While the Company believes that its financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the Company cannot predict the impact of future changes to accounting principles or its accounting policies on its financial statements going forward.

Goodwill *A significant write down of goodwill would have a material adverse effect on the Company's reported results of operations and net worth.*

Goodwill at December 31, 2012 totaled \$2.1 billion. The Company evaluates goodwill annually (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) for impairment using the required business valuation methods. These methods include the use of a weighted average cost of capital to calculate the present value of the expected future cash flows of the Company's reporting units. Future changes in the cost of capital, expected cash flows, or other factors may cause the Company's goodwill to be impaired, resulting in a non-cash charge against results of operations to write down goodwill for the amount of

Table of Contents

the impairment. If a significant write down is required, the charge would have a material adverse effect on the Company's reported results of operations and net worth.

Pension Funding *An increase in the underfunded status of the Company's pension plans could adversely impact the Company's operations, financial condition and liquidity.*

The Company contributed \$219 million, \$59 million and \$23 million to its defined benefit pension plans in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The amount the Company is required to contribute to these plans is determined by the laws and regulations governing each plan, and is generally related to the funded status of the plans. A deterioration in the value of the plans' investments or a decrease in the discount rate used to calculate plan liabilities generally would increase the underfunded status of the plans. An increase in the underfunded status of the plans could result in an increase in the Company's obligation to make contributions to the plans, thereby reducing the cash available for working capital and other corporate uses, and may have an adverse impact on the Company's operations, financial condition and liquidity.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The principal manufacturing facilities and other material important physical properties of the Company at December 31, 2012 are listed below. All properties are glass container plants and are owned in fee, except where otherwise noted.

North American Operations

United States	
Atlanta, GA	Portland, OR
Auburn, NY	Streator, IL
Brockway, PA	Toano, VA
Crenshaw, PA	Tracy, CA
Danville, VA	Waco, TX
Lapel, IN	Windsor, CO
Los Angeles, CA	Winston-Salem, NC
Muskogee, OK	Zanesville, OH
Oakland, CA	
Canada	
Brampton, Ontario	Montreal, Quebec

Asia Pacific Operations

Australia	
Adelaide	Melbourne
Brisbane	Sydney
China	
Shanghai	Wuhan
Tianjin	Xianxian
Tianjin (mold shop)	Zhaoqing
Indonesia	
Jakarta	
New Zealand	
Auckland	

European Operations

Czech Republic	
Sokolov	Teplice
Estonia	
Jarvakandi	
France	
Beziers	Vayres
Gironcourt	Veauche
Labegude	Vergeze
Puy-Guillaume	Wingles
Reims	
Germany	
Holzminden	Bernsdorf
Rinteln	

Table of Contents

Hungary	
Oroshaza	
Italy	
Asti	Pordenone
Bari (2 plants)	Terni
Latina	Trento
Trapani	Treviso
Napoli	Varese
The Netherlands	
Leerdam	Schiedam
Maastricht	
Poland	
Antoninek	Jaroslaw
Spain	
Alcala	Barcelona
United Kingdom	
Alloa	Harlow
South American Operations	
Argentina	
Rosario	
Brazil	
Fortaleza	Sao Paulo
Recife	Vitoria de Santo Antao (glass container and tableware)
Rio de Janeiro (glass container and tableware)	
Colombia	
Buga (tableware)	Soacha
Envigado	Zipaquirá (glass container and flat glass)
Ecuador	
Guayaquil	
Peru	
Callao	Lurin(1)
Other Operations	
Machine Shops and Engineering Support Center	
Brockway, Pennsylvania	Lurin, Peru
Cali, Colombia	Perrysburg, Ohio
Clayton, Australia	Shanghai, China
Jaroslaw, Poland	
Corporate Facilities	
Hawthorn, Australia(1)	Bussigny-Lausanne, Switzerland(1)
Perrysburg, Ohio(1)	

(1) This facility is leased in whole or in part.

Table of Contents

The Company believes that its facilities are well maintained and currently adequate for its planned production requirements over the next three to five years.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For further information on legal proceedings, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SHARE OWNER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The price range for the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange, as reported by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., was as follows:

	2012		2011	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 24.83	\$ 20.24	\$ 32.66	\$ 28.31
Second Quarter	24.50	18.16	33.32	24.59
Third Quarter	20.05	17.07	27.07	15.11
Fourth Quarter	21.37	18.57	21.50	13.43

The number of share owners of record on December 31, 2012 was 1,313. Approximately 91% of the outstanding shares were registered in the name of Depository Trust Company, or CEDE, which held such shares on behalf of a number of brokerage firms, banks, and other financial institutions. The shares attributed to these financial institutions, in turn, represented the interests of more than 28,000 unidentified beneficial owners. No dividends have been declared or paid since the Company's initial public offering in December 1991 and the Company does not anticipate paying any dividends in the near future. For restrictions on payment of dividends on the Company's common stock, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources and Liquidity Current and Long-Term Debt and Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Information with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is included herein under Item 12.

The Company purchased 700,000 shares of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2012 (1.4 million shares for the year) pursuant to authorization by its Board of Directors in August 2012 to purchase up to \$75 million of the Company's common stock until December 31, 2013. The following table provides information about the Company's purchases of its common stock during the fourth quarter of 2012:

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (in thousands)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (in thousands)	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan (in millions)
October 1 - October 31, 2012				
November 1 - November 30, 2012	700	\$ 19.34		
December 1 - December 31, 2012			1,400	\$ 48

Table of Contents

**PERFORMANCE GRAPH
COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN
AMONG OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC., S&P 500, AND PACKAGING GROUP**

	Years Ending December 31,					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Owens-Illinois, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 55.20	\$ 66.39	\$ 62.01	\$ 39.14	\$ 42.96
S&P 500	\$ 100.00	\$ 63.01	\$ 79.67	\$ 91.68	\$ 93.62	\$ 108.59
Packaging Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 75.02	\$ 95.01	\$ 113.35	\$ 105.71	\$ 115.43

The above graph compares the performance of the Company's Common Stock with that of a broad market index (the S&P 500 Composite Index) and a packaging group consisting of companies with lines of business or product end uses comparable to those of the Company for which market quotations are available.

The packaging group consists of: AptarGroup, Inc., Ball Corp., Bemis Company, Inc., Crown Holdings, Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc., Sealed Air Corp., Silgan Holdings Inc., and Sonoco Products Co.

The comparison of total return on investment for each period is based on the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2007 and the change in market value of the stock, including additional shares assumed purchased through reinvestment of dividends, if any.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected consolidated financial data presented below relates to each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2012. The financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2012 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company.

	Years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(Dollars in millions)				
Consolidated operating results(a):					
Net sales	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358	\$ 6,633	\$ 6,652	\$ 7,540
Manufacturing, shipping and delivery(b)	(5,626)	(5,969)	(5,281)	(5,316)	(5,989)
Gross profit	1,374	1,389	1,352	1,336	1,551
Selling and administrative, research, development and engineering	(617)	(627)	(554)	(551)	(565)
Other expense(c)	(290)	(948)	(227)	(442)	(396)
Other revenue	109	104	104	95	103
Earnings (loss) before interest expense and items below	576	(82)	675	438	693
Interest expense(d)	(248)	(314)	(249)	(222)	(253)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	328	(396)	426	216	440
Provision for income taxes(e)	(108)	(85)	(129)	(83)	(210)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	220	(481)	297	133	230
Earnings from discontinued operations			31	66	96
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(2)	1	(331)		7
Net earnings (loss)	218	(480)	(3)	199	333
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(34)	(20)	(42)	(36)	(70)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ (500)	\$ (45)	\$ 163	\$ 263

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Basic earnings (loss) per share of common stock:					
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.58	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.06
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14	0.31	0.46
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(2.00)		0.04
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.28)	\$ 0.97	\$ 1.56
Weighted average shares outstanding (in thousands)	164,474	163,691	164,271	167,687	163,178
Diluted earnings (loss) per share of common stock:					
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.56	\$ 0.65	\$ 1.06
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14	0.30	0.45
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(1.97)		0.04
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.27)	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.55
Diluted average shares (in thousands)	165,768	163,691	167,078	170,540	169,677

For the year ended December 31, 2011, diluted earnings per share of common stock was equal to basic earnings per share of common stock due to the loss from continuing operations.

	Years ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(Dollars in millions)					
Other data:					
The following are included in earnings from continuing operations:					
Depreciation	\$ 378	\$ 405	\$ 369	\$ 364	\$ 420
Amortization of intangibles	34	17	22	21	29
Amortization of deferred finance fees (included in interest expense)	33	32	19	10	8
Balance sheet data (at end of period):					
Working capital (current assets less current liabilities)	\$ 486	\$ 498	\$ 698	\$ 800	\$ 477
Total assets	8,598	8,975	9,793	8,764	8,013
Total debt	3,773	4,033	4,278	3,608	3,334
Share owners' equity	1,055	1,041	2,065	1,773	1,329
Free cash flow(f)	\$ 290	\$ 220	\$ 100	\$ 322	\$ 320

Note that items (b) through (e) below relate to items management considers not representative of ongoing operations.

(a) Amounts for 2008 - 2011 have been adjusted to reflect the retrospective application of a change in the method of valuing U.S. inventories to average cost from last-in, first-out.

Amounts related to the Company's Venezuelan operations have been reclassified to discontinued operations for 2008 - 2010 as a result of the expropriation of those operations in 2010.

Table of Contents

- (b) Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$12 million (\$7 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments.
- (c) Amount for 2012 includes charges of \$155 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$168 million (\$144 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and a gain of \$61 million (\$33 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.
- Amount for 2011 includes charges of \$165 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$641 million (\$640 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) to write down goodwill in the Asia Pacific segment and \$112 million (\$91 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges.
- Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$170 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$13 million (\$11 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and \$20 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for acquisition-related restructuring, transaction and financing costs.
- Amount for 2009 includes charges of \$180 million (pretax and after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs, \$207 million (\$180 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges, and \$18 million (\$17 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for the remeasurement of certain bolivar-denominated assets and liabilities held outside of Venezuela.
- Amount for 2008 includes charges of \$250 million (\$249 million after tax) to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs and \$133 million (\$110 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges.
- (d) Amount for 2011 includes charges of \$16 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums.
- Amount for 2010 includes charges of \$6 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums. In addition, the Company recorded a reduction of interest expense of \$9 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) to recognize the unamortized proceeds from terminated interest rate swaps.
- Amount for 2009 includes charges of \$5 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for note repurchase premiums, net of a gain from the termination of interest rate swap agreements on the notes.
- Includes additional interest charges for the write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees related to the early extinguishment of debt as follows: \$9 million (\$8 million after tax amount attributable to the Company) for 2011; and \$3 million (pretax and after tax amount attributable to the Company) for 2010.
- (e) Amount for 2012 includes a tax benefit of \$14 million for certain tax adjustments.
- Amount for 2011 includes a tax benefit of \$15 million for certain tax adjustments.
- Amount for 2010 includes a net tax benefit of \$24 million related to the reversal of deferred tax valuation allowances and a non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories of \$8 million.

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Amount for 2009 includes a non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories of \$48 million.

Amount for 2008 includes a net tax expense of \$33 million (\$35 million attributable to the Company) related to tax legislation, restructuring and other.

(f)

The Company defines free cash flow as cash provided by continuing operating activities less additions to property, plant and equipment from continuing operations. Free cash flow does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to the cash flow measures reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses free cash flow for internal reporting, forecasting and budgeting and believes this information allows the board of directors, management, investors and analysts to better understand the Company's financial performance. Free cash flow is calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

Years ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	\$ 580	\$ 505	\$ 600	\$ 729	\$ 660
Additions to property, plant and equipment continuing	(290)	(285)	(500)	(407)	(340)
Free cash flow	\$ 290	\$ 220	\$ 100	\$ 322	\$ 320

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations as well as certain retained corporate costs. The segment data presented below is prepared in accordance with general accounting principles for segment reporting. The line titled "reportable segment totals", however, is a non-GAAP measure when presented outside of the financial statement footnotes. Management has included reportable segment totals below to facilitate the discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change the method of valuing U.S. inventories to the average cost method, while in prior years these inventories were valued using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information). Also effective January 1, 2012, the Company changed its method of allocating pension expense to its reportable segments (see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information). The changes in the inventory valuation method and pension allocation have been applied retrospectively to all prior periods.

The impact of the changes in the accounting method for inventory and in pension expense allocation on segment operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2011 is as follows (dollars in millions):

	As Originally Reported	Change in Pension Allocation	Change in Accounting Method for Inventory	As Adjusted
Segment operating profit:				
Europe	\$ 325	\$ 20	\$	\$ 345
North America	236	(24)	10	222
South America	250			250
Asia Pacific	83			83
Reportable segment totals	894	(4)	10	900
Retained corporate costs and other	(79)	4		(75)

The impact of the changes in pension expense allocation and accounting method for inventory on segment operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2010 is as follows (dollars in millions):

	As Originally Reported	Change in Pension Allocation	Change in Accounting Method for Inventory	As Adjusted
Segment operating profit:				
Europe	\$ 324	\$ 16	\$	\$ 340
North America	275	(24)	2	253
South America	224			224
Asia Pacific	141	3		144
Reportable segment totals	964	(5)	2	961
Retained corporate costs and other	(89)	5		(84)

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows (dollars in millions):

	2012	2011	2010
Net sales:			
Europe	\$ 2,717	\$ 3,052	\$ 2,746
North America	1,966	1,929	1,879
South America	1,252	1,226	975
Asia Pacific	1,028	1,059	996
Reportable segment totals	6,963	7,266	6,596
Other	37	92	37
Net sales	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358	\$ 6,633

	2012	2011	2010
Segment operating profit:			
Europe	\$ 307	\$ 345	\$ 340
North America	288	222	253
South America	227	250	224
Asia Pacific	113	83	144
Reportable segment totals	935	900	961
Items excluded from segment operating profit:			
Retained corporate costs and other	(106)	(75)	(84)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	(168)	(112)	(13)
Charge for asbestos related costs	(155)	(165)	(170)
Gain on China land compensation	61		
Charge for goodwill impairment		(641)	
Acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments and restructuring, transaction and financing costs			(32)
Interest income	9	11	13
Interest expense	(248)	(314)	(249)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	328	(396)	426
Provision for income taxes	(108)	(85)	(129)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	220	(481)	297
Earnings from discontinued operations			31
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(2)	1	(331)
Net earnings (loss)	218	(480)	(3)
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(34)	(20)	(42)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ (500)	\$ (45)
Net earnings (loss) from continuing operations attributable to the Company	\$ 186	\$ (501)	\$ 260

Note: all amounts excluded from reportable segment totals are discussed in the following applicable sections.

Table of Contents

Executive Overview Comparison of 2012 with 2011

2012 Highlights

Net sales lower due to foreign currency exchange rate changes and 5% decline in glass container shipments, partially offset by higher selling prices

Increased segment operating profit due to higher selling prices to offset cost inflation, as well as improved manufacturing performance in North America and cost savings from permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia

Strong cash generation used to prepay debt, make discretionary pension contributions and initiate a share repurchase program

Net sales were \$358 million lower than the prior year, primarily due to the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and lower sales volumes, partially offset by improved pricing.

Segment operating profit for reportable segments was \$35 million higher than the prior year. The increase was mainly attributable to higher selling prices to offset inflation, improvements made in North America to correct the production and supply chain issues from 2011, cost savings achieved from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia and global cost-cutting initiatives. These increases to segment operating profit were partially offset by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the unfavorable impacts of the production curtailments in Europe and lower sales volume.

Interest expense in 2012 decreased \$66 million over 2011. The decrease was principally due to the refinancing of higher cost debt in connection with the Company's new bank credit agreement completed in mid-2011, as well as the non-recurrence of note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to debt redeemed in 2011. Interest expense also decreased due to the prepayment in 2012 of term loans under the Company's bank credit agreement.

The Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company in 2012 of \$186 million, or \$1.12 per share (diluted), compared to a loss from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$501 million, or \$3.06 per share, for 2011. Earnings in both periods included items that management considered not representative of ongoing operations. These items decreased earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company by \$252 million, or \$1.52 per share, in 2012 and \$905 million, or \$5.49 per share, in 2011.

Results of Operations Comparison of 2012 with 2011

Net Sales

The Company's net sales in 2012 were \$7,000 million compared with \$7,358 million in 2011, a decrease of \$358 million, or 5%. The decrease in net sales was caused by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates and lower sales volumes, partially offset by improved pricing. The unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates were primarily due to a weaker Euro and Brazilian real in relation to the U.S. dollar. Glass container shipments, in tonnes, were down approximately 5% in 2012 compared to 2011, driven by lower sales in Europe and Asia Pacific, partially offset by higher sales in South America. Average selling prices improved in 2012 over the prior year as the Company increased prices to recover high cost inflation.

Table of Contents

The change in net sales of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Net sales 2011	\$ 7,266
Price	
Price and product mix	\$ 322
Cost pass-through provisions	(18)
Sales volume	(287)
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	(320)
Total effect on net sales	(303)
 Net sales 2012	 \$ 6,963

Europe: Net sales in Europe in 2012 were \$2,717 million compared with \$3,052 million in 2011, a decrease of \$335 million, or 11%. The decrease in net sales was partly attributable to the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes as the Euro declined in value in relation to the U.S. dollar by approximately 8% in 2012 compared to the prior year. The decrease in net sales was also due to lower glass container shipment levels which were down approximately 9% in 2012 compared to 2011. Lower wine and food bottle shipments accounted for the majority of the volume decrease, primarily a result of macroeconomic conditions in the region and the Company's pricing strategy. Partially offsetting these decreases to net sales were higher selling prices resulting from the successful negotiation of annual customer contracts to recover high cost inflation.

North America: Net sales in North America in 2012 were \$1,966 million compared with \$1,929 million in 2011, an increase of \$37 million, or 2%. The increase in net sales was due to improved pricing, as the Company increased selling prices in the current year to recover high cost inflation. Glass container shipments in 2012 were similar to 2011 shipments.

South America: Net sales in South America in 2012 were \$1,252 million compared with \$1,226 million in 2011, an increase of \$26 million, or 2%. The increase in net sales was due to improved pricing and higher glass container shipments. The Company increased selling prices in 2012 to recover high cost inflation. Glass container shipments were up about 6% in the current year, particularly in the beer category. Partially offsetting these increases to net sales was the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes as the Brazilian real declined in value in relation to the U.S. dollar by approximately 17% in 2012 compared to 2011.

Asia Pacific: Net sales in Asia Pacific in 2012 were \$1,028 million compared with \$1,059 million in 2011, a decrease of \$31 million, or 3%. The decrease in net sales was caused by lower glass container shipments, partially offset by higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation. Glass container shipments, in tonnes, were down approximately 9% in 2012 compared to the prior year. In 2012, the Company continued to experience declines in shipments of wine and beer bottles primarily due to the off-shoring of Australian wine bottling and lower beer consumption due to macroeconomic conditions.

Segment Operating Profit

Operating profit of the reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided. Unallocated corporate expenses and certain other expenses not directly related to the reportable segments' operations are included in Retained corporate costs and other. For further information, see Segment Information included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment operating profit of reportable segments in 2012 was \$935 million compared to \$900 million in 2011, an increase of \$35 million, or 4%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, improved manufacturing

Table of Contents

performance in North America, footprint adjustments in Australia and global cost-cutting initiatives. These increases in segment operating profit were partially offset by the unfavorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, production curtailments in Europe and lower sales volume. Manufacturing and delivery costs were comparable to the prior year as lower costs in 2012 due to the improvements made in North America to correct the production and supply chain issues from 2011 and cost savings achieved from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia were offset by the unfavorable impacts of the production curtailments in Europe in the second half of 2012. Operating expenses were lower in 2012 compared to 2011 due to global cost reductions and the non-recurrence of expenses in 2011 related to the implementation of an ERP system.

The change in segment operating profit of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Segment operating profit 2011		\$ 900
Price and product mix	\$ 322	
Cost inflation	(194)	
Price / inflation spread	128	
Sales volume	(77)	
Manufacturing and delivery		
Operating expenses and other	21	
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	(37)	
Total net effect on segment operating profit		35
Segment operating profit 2012		\$ 935

Europe: Segment operating profit in Europe in 2012 was \$307 million compared with \$345 million in 2011, a decrease of \$38 million, or 11%. The decrease in segment operating profit was mainly attributable to lower sales volume, higher manufacturing and delivery costs and the unfavorable effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes. Higher manufacturing and delivery costs were driven by lower fixed cost absorption due to production curtailment measures implemented in 2012 to balance capacity with lower demand in the region. These decreases to segment operating profit more than offset the favorable effects of higher production efficiencies experienced in the first half of 2012, as well as the favorable effects of higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation and current year cost control initiatives.

The Company continued implementing the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations. Through this program over the next several years, the Company expects to improve the long term profitability of this region through investments and by addressing higher cost facilities to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs.

North America: Segment operating profit in North America in 2012 was \$288 million compared with \$222 million in 2011, an increase of \$66 million, or 30%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to strong manufacturing performance and improvements made to correct the production and supply chain issues experienced in the prior year. High production rates in 2012, along with the restarting of two idled furnaces in the second half of 2011, resulted in higher fixed cost absorption compared to the prior year. Segment operating profit also increased during 2012 due to higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, cost control initiatives and the non-recurrence of expenses in 2011 related to the implementation of an ERP system.

Table of Contents

South America: Segment operating profit in South America in 2012 was \$227 million compared with \$250 million in 2011, a decrease of \$23 million, or 9%. The decrease in segment operating profit was primarily due to the unfavorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes. Higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation and higher sales volume in 2012 benefited segment operating profit compared to the prior year, but were partially offset by higher transportation costs as the region imported glass containers from its facilities in other countries into Brazil to support the continued growth in that country. To partially alleviate the capacity constraints in Brazil, the Company completed the construction of a new furnace late in 2012 and incurred additional costs associated with the start-up of this new furnace.

Asia Pacific: Segment operating profit in Asia Pacific in 2012 was \$113 million compared with \$83 million in 2011, an increase of \$30 million, or 36%. The increase in segment operating profit was primarily due to the benefits realized from the permanent footprint adjustments made in Australia over the past year, overall cost-cutting initiatives in the region and higher selling prices to recover high cost inflation, partially offset by lower sales volume. The increase in segment operating profit was also due to the non-recurrence of approximately \$9 million of costs related to flooding in Australia during the first quarter of 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense in 2012 was \$248 million compared with \$314 million in 2011. The 2011 amount includes \$25 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to the cancellation of the Company's previous bank credit agreement and the redemption of the senior notes due 2014. Exclusive of these items, interest expense decreased \$41 million. The decrease in interest expense was principally due to the refinancing of higher cost debt in connection with the Company's new bank credit agreement completed in mid-2011 and the prepayment in 2012 of term loans under the bank credit agreement.

Provision for Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for 2012 was 32.9%, compared with -21.5% for 2011. The effective tax rate for 2011 was impacted by the goodwill impairment charge, which was not deductible for income tax purposes. Excluding the amounts related to items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations, the Company's effective tax rate for 2012 was 22.1%, compared with 21.6% for 2011. The Company expects that the effective tax rate in 2013 will be approximately 25% based on current expectations of earnings by jurisdiction.

Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests for 2012 was \$34 million compared to \$20 million for 2011. The increase was due to \$14 million included in 2012 related to a gain recorded by the Company for cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

Earnings from Continuing Operations Attributable to the Company

For 2012, the Company recorded earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$186 million compared to a loss of \$501 million for 2011. The after tax effects of the items excluded

Table of Contents

from segment operating profit, the unusual tax items and the additional interest charges increased or decreased earnings in 2012 and 2011 as set forth in the following table (dollars in millions).

Description	Net Earnings Increase (Decrease)	
	2012	2011
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	\$ (144)	\$ (91)
Gain on China land compensation	33	
Note repurchase premiums and write-off of finance fees		(24)
Net benefit related to changes in unrecognized tax positions	14	15
Charge for asbestos related costs	(155)	(165)
Charge for goodwill impairment		(640)
Total	\$ (252)	\$ (905)

Executive Overview Comparison of 2011 with 2010

2011 Highlights

Net sales increased as 2010 acquisitions and improving market conditions drove more than a 5% increase in tonnes shipped

Lower segment operating profit due to higher cost inflation and manufacturing costs

Goodwill impairment charge recorded related to Asia Pacific segment

Completed new \$2 billion bank credit agreement and redeemed \$400 million and €225 million senior notes due 2014

Net sales were \$725 million higher than the prior year, primarily due to higher sales volumes and the favorable effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by lower wine and beer bottle shipments in Australia.

Segment operating profit for reportable segments was \$61 million lower than the prior year. The decrease was mainly attributable to additional cost inflation, production and supply chain issues in North America during the second quarter of 2011, and the impact of macroeconomic conditions in Australia. These decreases were partially offset by higher sales volumes and capacity utilization.

Interest expense in 2011 increased \$65 million over 2010. The increase was principally due to note repurchase premiums and the write-off of finance fees related to debt redeemed in 2011, as well as additional interest related to debt issued in 2010 to fund acquisitions.

The net loss from continuing operations attributable to the Company for 2011 was \$501 million, or \$3.06 per share, compared to net earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$260 million, or \$1.56 per share (diluted) for 2010. Earnings in both periods included items that management considered not representative of ongoing operations. These items decreased earnings from continuing operations attributable to the Company in 2011 by \$905 million, or \$5.49 per share, and decreased net earnings attributable to the Company in 2010 by \$176 million, or \$1.05 per share.

Results of Operations Comparison of 2011 with 2010

Net Sales

The Company's net sales in 2011 were \$7,358 million compared with \$6,633 million in 2010, an increase of \$725 million, or 11%. The increase in net sales was primarily due to higher glass container shipments and the favorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Glass container

Table of Contents

shipments, in tonnes, were up more than 5% in 2011 compared to 2010, with the acquisitions in Argentina, Brazil and China in 2010 representing about 4 percentage points of the volume growth. The remaining increase in volume was due to improving market conditions, as favorable demand in Europe and South America more than offset lower volume in Australia. Foreign currency exchange rate changes increased net sales in 2011 compared to the prior year, primarily due to a stronger Euro, Australian dollar and Brazilian real in relation to the U.S. dollar.

The change in net sales of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Net sales 2010	\$ 6,596
Sales volume	\$ 335
Price	
Price	67
Product mix	(41)
Cost pass-through provisions	(1)
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	310
Total effect on net sales	670
Net sales 2011	\$ 7,266

Europe: Net sales in Europe in 2011 were \$3,052 million compared with \$2,746 million in 2010, an increase of \$306 million, or 11%. Approximately half of the increase in net sales was due to the favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes, as the Euro strengthened in relation to the U.S. dollar. In addition, glass container shipment levels increased more than 4% as demand grew across all key end-use categories, particularly in the beer and wine segments. Net sales also improved in 2011 due to energy surcharges implemented in the second half of the year to help offset the high energy cost inflation in the region.

North America: Net sales in North America in 2011 were \$1,929 million compared with \$1,879 million in 2010, an increase of \$50 million, or 3%. The increase in net sales was primarily due to slightly higher glass container shipment levels as improved volumes in wine, spirits and craft beer end-use categories offset the continued decline in the mega-beer category. Net sales also increased due to the favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes, as the Canadian dollar strengthened in relation to the U.S. dollar.

South America: Net sales in South America in 2011 were \$1,226 million compared with \$975 million in 2010, an increase of \$251 million, or 26%. Glass container shipments were up about 22% in the current year, with the acquisitions in Argentina and Brazil in 2010 accounting for approximately half of this volume increase. The remaining volume increase was due to strong growth in the region, primarily in Brazil, Peru and Argentina. The favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes also contributed to the increase in net sales in 2011, primarily due to the strengthening of the Brazilian real in relation to the U.S. dollar.

Asia Pacific: Net sales in Asia Pacific in 2011 were \$1,059 million compared with \$996 million in 2010, an increase of \$63 million, or 6%. The favorable effects of foreign currency exchange rate changes increased net sales in 2011 due to the strengthening of the Australian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar. Glass container shipment levels increased about 3%, with all the increase attributable to the acquisitions in China in 2010. Glass container shipments in Australia were down about 10% in 2011 compared to the prior year, primarily in the wine and beer end-use categories. The decrease in shipments of wine bottles was primarily due to the strong Australian dollar, which negatively impacted wine exports from the country. In addition, beer consumption decreased as high interest rates in Australia lowered consumers' disposable income. Severe flooding in Australia during the first quarter of 2011 also reduced shipments in the region.

Table of Contents*Segment Operating Profit*

Operating profit of the reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided. Unallocated corporate expenses and certain other expenses not directly related to the reportable segments' operations are included in Retained corporate costs and other. For further information, see Segment Information included in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment operating profit of reportable segments in 2011 was \$900 million compared to \$961 million in 2010, a decrease of \$61 million, or 6%. The decrease in segment operating profit was primarily due to higher manufacturing and delivery costs and operating expenses, partially offset by higher sales volumes, improved pricing and the favorable effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The higher manufacturing and delivery costs were principally due to \$213 million of cost inflation, \$26 million of production and supply chain issues in North America in the second quarter of 2011, and \$9 million of costs related to flooding in Australia, partially offset by \$48 million of higher capacity utilization and other cost savings. The cost inflation in 2011 was driven by higher raw material, labor and energy prices. The higher raw material prices were mainly due to the increased cost of soda ash in all regions. The energy inflation was primarily due to the rapid rise in European energy prices. Operating expenses were higher as the Company invested in building its sales and marketing capabilities and also incurred expenses related to the phased implementation of an ERP software system.

The change in segment operating profit of reportable segments can be summarized as follows (dollars in millions):

Segment operating profit 2010	\$ 961
Sales volume	\$ 75
Price	67
Manufacturing and delivery	(200)
Operating expenses and other	(30)
Effects of changing foreign currency rates	27
Total net effect on segment operating profit	(61)
Segment operating profit 2011	\$ 900

Europe: Segment operating profit in Europe in 2011 was \$345 million compared with \$340 million in 2010, an increase of \$5 million, or 1%. Higher sales volume, improved pricing and the favorable effects of a stronger Euro in relation to the U.S. dollar contributed to the increased operating profit. Operating profit also increased due to higher production levels, which led to lower manufacturing costs on a per-ton basis. Mostly offsetting these increases to operating profit was additional cost inflation, primarily driven by higher energy prices. In response to the rise in energy prices, the Company initiated an energy surcharge in Europe in the second half of the year.

North America: Segment operating profit in North America in 2011 was \$222 million compared with \$253 million in 2010, a decrease of \$31 million, or 12%. The lower operating profit in this region was due to higher manufacturing and delivery costs, driven by cost inflation and production and supply chain issues. This segment also incurred expenses related to building its sales and marketing capabilities and to the phased implementation of an ERP system.

This region experienced production and supply chain issues during the second quarter of 2011. Tight inventory levels and production issues led to inventory shortages at certain locations during this seasonally stronger quarter. As a result, out-of-pattern production was required to meet customer expectations resulting in production inefficiencies, higher freight costs and product loss. The Company

Table of Contents

restarted two previously idled furnaces in this region to reduce the out-of-pattern production and help meet customer demand. This region ran at high operating rates in the second half of the year and stabilized its inventory levels.

South America: Segment operating profit in South America in 2011 was \$250 million compared with \$224 million in 2010, an increase of \$26 million, or 12%. Higher sales volume, approximately half of which was related to the acquisitions in Argentina and Brazil in 2010, and higher production volume were the main reasons for the increased operating profit. To support the rapid growth in Brazil, the region incurred higher transportation costs to import glass containers into Brazil from other countries. The region also experienced higher cost inflation in 2011, which was partially offset by higher selling prices.

Asia Pacific: Segment operating profit in Asia Pacific in 2011 was \$83 million compared with \$144 million in 2010, a decrease of \$61 million, or 42%. This decrease was primarily driven by the macroeconomic effects of the strong currency and high interest rates in Australia, which led to lower wine and beer bottle shipments in the country. As a result of the lower volume, the Company temporarily curtailed production in Asia Pacific, resulting in unabsorbed manufacturing costs. The Company also permanently closed one furnace in Australia during the third quarter, and plans to close one additional furnace in early 2012. Additionally, the segment had lower sales volumes and incurred additional costs related to the severe flooding in Australia in the first quarter of 2011. Segment operating profit in 2011 was also negatively impacted by integration issues related to one of the acquisitions in China in 2010.

Interest Expense

Interest expense in 2011 was \$314 million compared with \$249 million in 2010. The 2011 amount includes \$25 million of additional interest charges for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees related to the cancellation of the Company's previous bank credit agreement and the redemption of the senior notes due 2014. Exclusive of these items, interest expense increased approximately \$40 million. The increase is principally due to additional debt issued in 2010 to fund acquisitions.

Interest Income

Interest income for 2011 was \$11 million compared to \$13 million for 2010. The decrease was principally due to lower cash balances and lower interest rates on the Company's cash and investments.

Provision for Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for 2011 was -21.5%, compared with 30.3% for 2010. The effective tax rate for 2011 was impacted by the goodwill impairment charge, which was not deductible for income tax purposes. The provision for 2010 included a net tax benefit of \$24 million related to the reversal of a non-U.S. valuation allowance offset by additional liability related to uncertain tax positions. The provision for 2010 also included a continuing operations non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories of \$8 million (see Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information). Excluding the amounts related to items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations, the Company's effective tax rate for 2011 was 21.6% compared to 26.2% for 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate in 2011 was due to tax planning strategies implemented by the Company, and was also impacted by lower earnings generated in jurisdictions where the Company has higher effective tax rates.

Table of Contents*Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests*

Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests for 2011 was \$20 million compared to \$42 million for 2010. The amount for 2010 included \$5 million classified as discontinued operations related to the Company's Venezuelan operations. Net earnings from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests for 2011 was \$20 million compared to \$37 million for 2010. The decrease in 2011 was primarily a result of lower earnings in the Company's less than wholly-owned subsidiaries in its South America and Asia Pacific segments in 2011, and the Company's purchase of the noncontrolling interest in its southern Brazil operations in the second quarter of 2011.

Earnings from Continuing Operations Attributable to the Company

For 2011, the Company recorded a loss from continuing operations attributable to the Company of \$501 million compared to earnings of \$260 million for 2010. The after tax effects of the items excluded from segment operating profit, the unusual tax items and the additional interest charges increased or decreased earnings in 2011 and 2010 as set forth in the following table (dollars in millions).

Description	Net Earnings Increase (Decrease)	
	2011	2010
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	\$ (91)	\$ (11)
Acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments and restructuring, transaction and financing costs		(27)
Note repurchase premiums and write-off of finance fees	(24)	
Net benefit related to changes in deferred tax valuation allowance and other tax-related items	15	24
Non-cash tax benefit transferred from other income categories		8
Charge for asbestos related costs	(165)	(170)
Charge for goodwill impairment	(640)	
Total	\$ (905)	\$ (176)

*Items Excluded from Reportable Segment Totals*Retained Corporate Costs and Other

Retained corporate costs and other for 2012 were \$106 million compared with \$75 million for 2011. Retained corporate costs and other for 2012 reflect lower earnings from global machine and equipment sales and other technical and engineering services, in addition to higher management incentive compensation expense and lower earnings from the Company's equity investment in a soda ash mining operation.

Retained corporate costs and other for 2011 were \$75 million compared with \$84 million for 2010. Retained corporate costs and other for 2011 reflect higher marketing and pension expense compared to the prior year, offset by a reduction of management incentive compensation expense of approximately \$15 million, approximately half of which was related to the impact of lower financial results in the current year and the other half related to the impact of changes in estimates on amounts expensed in previous periods. 2011 also benefited from higher earnings from the Company's equity investment in a soda ash mining operation and higher earnings from the Company's global equipment sales business.

Table of Contents

Restructuring, Asset Impairment and Related Charges

During 2012, the Company recorded charges totaling \$168 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. These charges reflect completed and planned plant and furnace closures in Europe and Asia Pacific, as well as global headcount reduction initiatives.

During 2011, the Company recorded charges totaling \$112 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. These charges reflect completed and planned furnace closures in Europe and Asia Pacific, as well as global headcount reduction initiatives.

During 2010, the Company recorded charges totaling \$13 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. The charges reflect the completion of previously announced actions in North America and Europe related to the Company's strategic review of its global manufacturing footprint.

See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Charge for Asbestos Related Costs

The fourth quarter of 2012 charge for asbestos-related costs was \$155 million, compared to the fourth quarter of 2011 charge of \$165 million. These charges resulted from the Company's comprehensive annual review of asbestos-related liabilities and costs. In each year, the Company concluded that an increase in the accrued liability was required to provide for estimated indemnity payments and legal fees arising from asbestos personal injury lawsuits and claims pending and expected to be filed during the several years following the completion of the comprehensive review. See "Critical Accounting Estimates" for further information.

Asbestos-related cash payments for 2012 were \$165 million, a decrease of \$5 million from 2011. Deferred amounts payable were approximately \$24 million and \$18 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During 2012, the Company received approximately 2,400 new filings and disposed of approximately 4,400 claims. As of December 31, 2012, the number of asbestos-related claims pending against the Company was approximately 2,600. The Company anticipates that cash flows from operations and other sources will be sufficient to meet all asbestos-related obligations on a short-term and long-term basis. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Gain on China Land Compensation

During 2012, the Company received \$85 million from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government. The Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to the disposal of this land.

Charge for Goodwill Impairment

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

Table of ContentsAcquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments and restructuring, transaction and financing costs

The Company recorded charges in 2010 of \$12 million for acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments. This charge was due to the accounting rules requiring inventory purchased in a business combination to be marked up to fair value, and then recorded as an increase to cost of goods sold as the inventory is sold. The Company also recorded charges in 2010 of \$20 million for acquisition-related restructuring, transaction and financing costs.

Discontinued Operations

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards who are in control of the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive.

The Company considered the disposal of these assets to be complete as of December 31, 2010. As a result, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the Company presented the results of operations for its Venezuelan subsidiaries in the Consolidated Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 as discontinued operations.

The following summarizes the revenues and expenses of the Venezuelan operations reported as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Net sales	\$ 129
Manufacturing, shipping and delivery	(86)
Gross profit	43
Selling and administrative expense	(5)
Other expense	3
Earnings from discontinued operations before income taxes	41
Provision for income taxes	(10)
Earnings from discontinued operations	31
Loss on disposal of discontinued operations	(331)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(300)
Net earnings from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to the Company	\$ (305)

The loss on disposal of discontinued operations of \$331 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 included charges totaling \$77 million and \$260 million to write-off the net assets and cumulative

Table of Contents

currency translation losses, respectively, of the Company's Venezuelan operations, net of a tax benefit of \$6 million. The net assets were written-off as a result of the deconsolidation of the subsidiaries due to the loss of control. The type or amount of compensation the Company may receive from the Venezuelan government is uncertain and thus, will be recorded as a gain from discontinued operations when received. The cumulative currency translation losses relate to the devaluation of the Venezuelan bolivar in prior years and were written-off because the expropriation was a substantially complete disposal of the Company's operations in Venezuela.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had cash and total debt of \$431 million and \$3.8 billion, respectively, compared to \$400 million and \$4.0 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2011. A significant portion of the cash was held in mature, liquid markets where the Company has operations, such as the U.S., Europe and Australia, and is readily available to fund global liquidity requirements. The amount of cash held in non-U.S. locations as of December 31, 2012 was \$420 million.

Current and Long-Term Debt

On May 19, 2011, the Company's subsidiary borrowers entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2012, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a 51 million Australian dollar term loan, a \$525 million term loan, a 102 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a €123 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2012, the Company's subsidiary borrowers repaid 119 million Australian dollars, \$75 million, 14 million Canadian dollars, and €18 million of term loans under the Agreement. At December 31, 2012, the Company's subsidiary borrowers had unused credit of \$796 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company to not exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Credit Agreement EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum of 4.0x.

The Leverage Ratio does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to amounts reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses the Leverage Ratio

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

to evaluate its liquidity and its compliance with its debt covenants. The Leverage Ratio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

	2012	2011
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 220	\$ (481)
Interest expense	248	314
Provision for income taxes	108	85
Depreciation	378	405
Amortization of intangibles	34	17
EBITDA	988	340
Adjustments in accordance with the Agreement:		
Restructuring and asset impairment	168	112
Charges for asbestos-related costs	155	170
Gain on China land compensation	(61)	
Charge for goodwill impairment		641
Credit Agreement EBITDA	\$ 1,250	\$ 1,263
Total Debt at December 31	\$ 3,773	\$ 4,033
Less cash	(431)	(400)
Net debt	\$ 3,342	\$ 3,633

Leverage Ratio (Net debt divided by Credit Agreement EBITDA) 2.67 x 2.88 x

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2012 was 2.33%. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

The Company assesses its capital raising and refinancing needs on an ongoing basis and may enter into additional credit facilities and seek to issue equity and/or debt securities in the domestic and

Table of Contents

international capital markets if market conditions are favorable. Also, depending on market conditions, the Company may elect to repurchase portions of its debt securities in the open market.

The Company has a €240 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to annual renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$ 264	\$ 281
Weighted average interest rate	1.33%	2.41%

Cash Flows

Free cash flow was \$290 million for 2012 compared to \$220 million for 2011. The Company defines free cash flow as cash provided by continuing operating activities less additions to property, plant and equipment from continuing operations. Free cash flow does not conform to U.S. GAAP and should not be construed as an alternative to the cash flow measures reported in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company uses free cash flow for internal reporting, forecasting and budgeting and believes this information allows the board of directors, management, investors and analysts to better understand the Company's financial performance. Free cash flow for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is calculated as follows (dollars in millions):

	2012	2011
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	\$ 580	\$ 505
Additions to property, plant, and equipment continuing	(290)	(285)
Free cash flow	\$ 290	\$ 220

Operating activities: Cash provided by continuing operating activities was \$580 million for 2012 compared to \$505 million for 2011. The increase in cash flows from continuing operating activities was primarily due to higher earnings in the current year and a decrease in working capital of \$81 million in 2012 compared to an increase of \$117 million in 2011. The decrease in working capital in the current year was primarily the result of lower accounts receivable due to lower sales in the fourth quarter and better overall cash collections. The improved working capital was partially offset by increases in cash paid for restructuring activities of \$27 million, an increase in income taxes paid of \$20 million and an increase in pension plan contributions of \$160 million.

During 2012, the Company contributed \$219 million to its defined benefit pension plans, compared with \$59 million in 2011. The Company elected to make discretionary contributions of approximately \$125 million to its pension plans in 2012. In 2013, the Company may elect to contribute amounts in excess of minimum required amounts in order to improve the funded status of certain plans, and expects that the total contributions for all plans will be approximately \$75 million.

Investing activities: Cash utilized in investing activities was \$221 million for 2012 compared to \$426 million for 2011. Capital spending for property, plant and equipment from continuing operations during 2012 was \$290 million compared with \$285 million in the prior year. Cash utilized in investing activities in 2012 included \$21 million of loans made to noncontrolling partners in South America and Asia Pacific. During 2012, the Company also received \$85 million from the Chinese government as compensation for the land in China that the Company was required to return to the government. Cash utilized in investing activities in 2011 included \$144 million for acquisitions, primarily related to the acquisition of the noncontrolling interest of the Company's southern Brazil operation.

Table of Contents

Financing activities: Cash utilized in financing activities was \$339 million for 2012 compared to \$323 million for 2011. In 2012, the Company prepaid \$240 million of its bank credit agreement term loans and repurchased shares of its common stock for \$27 million. Financing activities in 2011 included additions to long-term debt of approximately \$1.5 billion, primarily related to borrowings under the Company's new bank credit agreement, and repayments of long-term debt of approximately \$1.8 billion, primarily related to the cancellation of the old bank credit agreement and the redemption of the senior notes due 2014.

The Company anticipates that cash flows from its operations and from utilization of credit available under the Agreement will be sufficient to fund its operating and seasonal working capital needs, debt service and other obligations on a short-term (twelve-months) and long-term basis. Based on the Company's expectations regarding future payments for lawsuits and claims and also based on the Company's expected operating cash flow, the Company believes that the payment of any deferred amounts of previously settled or otherwise determined lawsuits and claims, and the resolution of presently pending and anticipated future lawsuits and claims associated with asbestos, will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's liquidity on a short-term or long-term basis.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following information summarizes the Company's significant contractual cash obligations at December 31, 2012 (dollars in millions).

	Total	Payments due by period			More than 5 years
		Less than one year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	
Contractual cash obligations:					
Long-term debt	\$ 3,482	\$ 9	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,328	\$ 923
Capital lease obligations	53	14	25	6	8
Operating leases	206	52	75	44	35
Interest(1)	854	188	349	185	132
Purchase obligations(2)	769	353	293	90	33
Pension benefit plan contributions(3)	30	30			
Postretirement benefit plan benefit payments(1)	175	18	37	36	84
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 5,569	\$ 664	\$ 2,001	\$ 1,689	\$ 1,215

	Total	Amount of commitment expiration per period		
		Less than one year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years
Other commercial commitments:				
Standby letters of credit	\$ 104	\$ 104		
Total commercial commitments	\$ 104	\$ 104		

(1) Amounts based on rates and assumptions at December 31, 2012.

(2) The Company's purchase obligations consist principally of contracted amounts for energy and molds. In cases where variable prices are involved, current market prices have been used. The amount above does not include ordinary course of business purchase orders because the majority of such purchase orders may be canceled. The Company does not believe such purchase orders will adversely affect its liquidity position.

(3) In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2013. The

Table of Contents

Company may elect to contribute amounts in excess of minimum required amounts in order to improve the funded status of certain plans, and expects that the total contributions for all plans will be approximately \$75 million. Future funding requirements for the Company's pension plans will depend largely on actual asset returns and future actuarial assumptions, such as discount rates, and can vary significantly.

The Company is unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate as to when cash settlement with taxing authorities may occur for its unrecognized tax benefits. Therefore, the liability for unrecognized tax benefits is not included in the table above. See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The Company's analysis and discussion of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon its consolidated financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company evaluates these estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. Estimates and assumptions are based on historical and other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time the financial statements are issued. The results of these estimates may form the basis of the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities and may not be readily apparent from other sources. Actual results, under conditions and circumstances different from those assumed, may differ from estimates.

The impact of, and any associated risks related to, estimates and assumptions are discussed within Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, as well as in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, if applicable, where estimates and assumptions affect the Company's reported and expected financial results.

The Company believes that accounting for property, plant and equipment, impairment of long-lived assets, pension benefit plans, contingencies and litigation, and income taxes involves the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

Property, Plant and Equipment

The net carrying amount of property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") at December 31, 2012 totaled \$2,769 million, representing 32% of total assets. Depreciation expense during 2012 totaled \$378 million, representing approximately 6% of total costs and expenses. Given the significance of PP&E and associated depreciation to the Company's consolidated financial statements, the determinations of an asset's cost basis and its economic useful life are considered to be critical accounting estimates.

Cost Basis PP&E is recorded at cost, which is generally objectively quantifiable when assets are purchased individually. However, when assets are purchased in groups, or as part of a business, costs assigned to PP&E are based on an estimate of fair value of each asset at the date of acquisition. These estimates are based on assumptions about asset condition, remaining useful life and market conditions, among others. The Company frequently employs expert appraisers to aid in allocating cost among assets purchased as a group.

Table of Contents

Included in the cost basis of PP&E are those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. Significant judgment is needed to determine which costs should be capitalized under these criteria and which costs should be expensed as a repair or maintenance expenditure. For example, the Company frequently incurs various costs related to its existing glass melting furnaces and forming machines and must make a determination of which costs, if any, to capitalize. The Company relies on the experience and expertise of its operations and engineering staff to make reasonable and consistent judgments regarding increases in useful lives or capacity of PP&E.

Estimated Useful Life PP&E is generally depreciated using the straight-line method, which deducts equal amounts of the cost of each asset from earnings each period over its estimated economic useful life. Economic useful life is the duration of time an asset is expected to be productively employed by the Company, which may be less than its physical life. Management's assumptions regarding the following factors, among others, affect the determination of estimated economic useful life: wear and tear, product and process obsolescence, technical standards, and changes in market demand.

The estimated economic useful life of an asset is monitored to determine its appropriateness, especially in light of changed business circumstances. For example, technological advances, excessive wear and tear, or changes in customers' requirements may result in a shorter estimated useful life than originally anticipated. In these cases, the Company depreciates the remaining net book value over the new estimated remaining life, thereby increasing depreciation expense per year on a prospective basis. Likewise, if the estimated useful life is increased, the adjustment to the useful life decreases depreciation expense per year on a prospective basis. Changes in economic useful life assumptions did not have a material impact on the Company's reported results in 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Property, Plant and Equipment The Company tests for impairment of PP&E whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. PP&E held for use in the Company's business is grouped for impairment testing at the lowest level for which cash flows can reasonably be identified, typically a segment or a component of a segment. The Company evaluates the recoverability of property, plant and equipment based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes. If an asset group is considered impaired, the impairment loss to be recognized is measured as the amount by which the asset group's carrying amount exceeds its fair value. PP&E held for sale is reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Impairment testing requires estimation of the fair value of PP&E based on the discounted value of projected future cash flows generated by the asset group. The assumptions underlying cash flow projections represent management's best estimates at the time of the impairment review. Factors that management must estimate include, among other things: industry and market conditions, sales volume and prices, production costs and inflation. Changes in key assumptions or actual conditions which differ from estimates could result in an impairment charge. The Company uses reasonable and supportable assumptions when performing impairment reviews and cannot predict the occurrence of future events and circumstances that could result in impairment charges.

Goodwill Goodwill at December 31, 2012 totaled \$2.1 billion, representing 24% of total assets. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying

Table of Contents

value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Goodwill is tested for impairment at the reporting unit level, which is the operating segment or one level below the operating segment, also known as a component. Two or more components of an operating segment shall be aggregated into a single reporting unit if the components have similar economic characteristics, based on an assessment of various factors. The Company has determined that the Europe and North America segments are reporting units. The Company aggregated the components of the South America and Asia Pacific segments into single reporting units equal to the reportable segments. The aggregation of the components of these segments was based on their economic similarity as determined by the Company using a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including gross margins, the manner in which the Company operates the business, the consistent nature of products, services, production processes, customers and methods of distribution, as well as the level of shared resources and assets between the components.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment of goodwill existed. The testing performed as of October 1, 2012, indicated a significant excess of BEV over book value for each unit that has goodwill. If the Company's projected future cash flows were substantially lower, or if the assumed weighted average cost of capital was substantially higher, the testing performed as of October 1, 2012, may have indicated an impairment of one or more of these reporting units and, as a result, the related goodwill may also have been impaired. However, less significant changes in projected future cash flows or the assumed weighted average cost of capital would not have indicated an impairment. For example, if projected future cash flows had been decreased by 5%, or if the weighted average cost of capital had been increased by 5%, or both, the resulting lower BEV's would still have exceeded the book value of each of these reporting units.

The Company will monitor conditions throughout 2013 that might significantly affect the projections and variables used in the impairment test to determine if a review prior to October 1 may be appropriate. If the results of impairment testing confirm that a write down of goodwill is necessary, then the Company will record a charge in the fourth quarter of 2013, or earlier if appropriate. In the event the Company would be required to record a significant write down of goodwill, the charge would have a material adverse effect on reported results of operations and net worth.

Other Long-Lived Assets Other long-lived assets include, among others, equity investments and repair parts inventories. The Company's equity investments are non-publicly traded ventures with other companies in businesses related to those of the Company. Equity investments are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the investment may not be recoverable. In the event that a decline in fair value of an investment occurs, and the decline in value is considered to be other than temporary, an impairment loss is recognized. Summarized financial information of equity affiliates is included in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company carries a significant amount of repair parts inventories in order to provide a dependable supply of quality parts for servicing the Company's PP&E, particularly its glass melting furnaces and forming machines. The Company evaluates the recoverability of repair parts inventories based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the repair parts are written down to fair value. The Company continually monitors the carrying value of repair parts for recoverability, especially in light of changing business circumstances. For example, technological advances related to, and changes in, the estimated future demand for products produced on the equipment to which the repair parts relate may

Table of Contents

make the repair parts obsolete. In these circumstances, the Company writes down the repair parts to fair value.

Pension Benefit Plans

Significant Estimates The determination of pension obligations and the related pension expense or credits to operations involves significant estimates. The most significant estimates are the discount rate used to calculate the actuarial present value of benefit obligations and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The Company uses discount rates based on yields of high quality fixed rate debt securities at the end of the year. At December 31, 2012, the weighted average discount rate was 4.11% and 3.89% for U.S. and non-U.S. plans, respectively. The Company uses an expected long-term rate of return on assets that is based on both past performance of the various plans' assets and estimated future performance of the assets. Due to the nature of the plans' assets and the volatility of debt and equity markets, actual returns may vary significantly from year to year. The Company refers to average historical returns over longer periods (up to 10 years) in determining its expected rates of return because short-term fluctuations in market values do not reflect the rates of return the Company expects to achieve based upon its long-term investing strategy. For purposes of determining pension charges and credits in 2013, the Company's estimated weighted average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is 8.0% for U.S. plans and 6.3% for non-U.S. plans compared to 8.0% for U.S. plans and 6.2% for non-U.S. plans in 2012. The Company recorded pension expense from continuing operations of \$54 million, \$47 million, and \$36 million for the U.S. plans in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and \$38 million, \$44 million, and \$37 million for the non-U.S. plans from its principal defined benefit pension plans. Depending on currency translation rates, the Company expects to record approximately \$106 million of total pension expense for the full year of 2013.

Future effects on reported results of operations depend on economic conditions and investment performance. For example, a one-half percentage point change in the actuarial assumption regarding the expected return on assets would result in a change of approximately \$20 million in the pretax pension expense for the full year 2013. In addition, changes in external factors, including the fair values of plan assets and the discount rates used to calculate plan liabilities, could have a significant effect on the recognition of funded status as described below. For example, a one-half percentage point change in the discount rate used to calculate plan liabilities would result in a change of approximately \$20 million in the pretax pension expense for the full year 2013.

Recognition of Funded Status Generally accepted accounting principles for pension benefit plans require employers to adjust the assets and liabilities related to defined benefit plans so that the amounts reflected on the balance sheet represent the overfunded or underfunded status of the plans. These funded status amounts are measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and actuarially calculated benefit obligations as of the balance sheet date. At December 31, 2012, the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss component of share owners' equity was increased by \$200 million (\$147 million after tax attributable to non-U.S. pension plans) to reflect a net decrease in the funded status of the Company's plans at that date.

Contingencies and Litigation

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns, the expanding list of non-traditional defendants that have been sued in this litigation, and the use of mass litigation screenings to generate large numbers of claims by parties who allege exposure to asbestos dust but

Table of Contents

have no present physical asbestos impairment. The Company continues to monitor trends that may affect its ultimate liability and continues to analyze the developments and variables affecting or likely to affect the resolution of pending and future asbestos claims against the Company.

The Company conducts a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs annually in connection with finalizing and reporting its annual results of operations, unless significant changes in trends or new developments warrant an earlier review. If the results of an annual comprehensive review indicate that the existing amount of the accrued liability is insufficient to cover its estimated future asbestos-related costs, then the Company will record an appropriate charge to increase the accrued liability. The Company believes that a reasonable estimation of the probable amount of the liability for claims not yet asserted against the Company is not possible beyond a period of several years. Therefore, while the results of future annual comprehensive reviews cannot be determined, the Company expects the addition of one year to the estimation period will result in an annual charge.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a charge of \$155 million to increase its accrued liability for asbestos-related costs. This amount was lower than the 2011 charge of \$165 million. The factors and developments that particularly affected the determination of the amount of the 2012 accrual included the following: (i) the rates and average disposition costs of new filings against the Company; (ii) the Company's successful litigation record; (iii) legislative developments and court rulings in several states; and (iv) the impact these and other factors had on the Company's valuation of existing and future claims.

The Company's estimates are based on a number of factors as described further in Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes as required by general accounting principles under which management judgment is required in determining income tax expense and the related balance sheet amounts. This judgment includes estimating and analyzing historical and projected future operating results, the reversal of taxable temporary differences, tax planning strategies, and the ultimate outcome of uncertain income tax positions. Actual income taxes paid may vary from estimates, depending upon changes in income tax laws, actual results of operations, and the final audit of tax returns by taxing authorities. Tax assessments may arise several years after tax returns have been filed. Changes in the estimates and assumptions used for calculating income tax expense and potential differences in actual results from estimates could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities measured using enacted tax rates and for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined separately for each tax jurisdiction in which the Company conducts its operations or otherwise incurs taxable income or losses. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The realization of deferred tax assets depends on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward

Table of Contents

periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction. The Company considers the following possible sources of taxable income when assessing the realization of deferred tax assets:

future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;

future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;

taxable income in prior carryback years; and

tax planning strategies

The assessment regarding whether a valuation allowance is required or should be adjusted also considers all available positive and negative evidence, including but not limited to:

nature, frequency, and severity of recent losses;

duration of statutory carryforward periods;

historical experience with tax attributes expiring unused; and

near- and medium-term financial outlook.

The weight given to the positive and negative evidence is commensurate with the extent to which the evidence may be objectively verified. Accordingly, it is difficult to conclude a valuation allowance is not required when there is significant objective and verifiable negative evidence, such as cumulative losses in recent years. The Company uses the actual results for the last three years and current year anticipated results as the primary measure of cumulative losses in recent years.

The evaluation of deferred tax assets requires judgment in assessing the likely future tax consequences of events recognized in the financial statements or tax returns and future profitability. The recognition of deferred tax assets represents the Company's best estimate of those future events. Changes in the current estimates, due to unanticipated events or otherwise, could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

In the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's analysis indicates that it has cumulative losses in recent years. This is considered significant negative evidence which is objective and verifiable and, therefore, difficult to overcome. However, the cumulative loss position is not solely determinative and, accordingly, the Company considers all other available positive and negative evidence in its analysis. Based on its analysis, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for the portion of deferred tax assets where based on the weight of available evidence it is unlikely to realize those deferred tax assets.

The Company's U.S. operations are experiencing current profitability, but remain in a cumulative loss position at December 31, 2012. To the extent this profitability trend continues, weighted with all other objective and verifiable evidence, it is reasonably possible the conclusion regarding the need for a valuation allowance could change, resulting in the reversal of some or all of the valuation allowance.

The utilization of tax attributes to offset taxable income reduces the overall level of deferred tax assets subject to a valuation allowance. Additionally, the Company's recorded effective tax rate is lower than the applicable statutory tax rate, due primarily to income earned in jurisdictions for which a valuation allowance is recorded. The effective tax rate will approach the statutory tax rate in periods after valuation allowances are released. In the period in which valuation allowances are released, the Company will record a material tax benefit, which could result in a negative effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risks relating to the Company's operations result primarily from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, changes in interest rates, and changes in commodity prices, principally energy and soda ash. The Company uses certain derivative instruments to mitigate a portion of the risk associated with changing foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuating energy prices. These instruments carry varying degrees of counterparty credit risk. To mitigate this risk, the Company has established limits on the exposure with individual counterparties and the Company regularly monitors these exposures. Substantially all of these exposures are with counterparties that are rated single-A or above.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Earnings of operations outside the United States

A substantial portion of the Company's operations are conducted by subsidiaries outside the U.S. The primary international markets served by the Company's subsidiaries are in Canada, Australia, China, South America (principally Colombia and Brazil), and Europe (principally Italy, France, the Netherlands, Germany, the United Kingdom, Spain and Poland). In general, revenues earned and costs incurred by the Company's major international operations are denominated in their respective local currencies. Consequently, the Company's reported financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or highly inflationary economic conditions in the international markets in which the Company's subsidiaries operate. When the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, the reported U.S. dollar value of local currency earnings generally decreases; when the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, the reported U.S. dollar value of local currency earnings generally increases. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company did not have any significant foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency was the U.S. dollar.

Borrowings not denominated in the functional currency

Because the Company's subsidiaries operate within their local economic environment, the Company believes it is appropriate to finance those operations with borrowings denominated in the local currency to the extent practicable where debt financing is desirable or necessary. Considerations which influence the amount of such borrowings include long- and short-term business plans, tax implications, and the availability of borrowings with acceptable interest rates and terms. In those countries where the local currency is the designated functional currency, this strategy mitigates the risk of reported losses or gains in the event the foreign currency strengthens or weakens against the U.S. dollar. In those countries where the U.S. dollar is the designated functional currency, however, local currency borrowings expose the Company to reported losses or gains in the event the foreign currency strengthens or weakens against the U.S. dollar.

Available excess funds of a subsidiary may be redeployed through intercompany loans to other subsidiaries for debt repayment, capital investment, or other cash requirements. Generally, each intercompany loan is denominated in the lender's local currency giving rise to foreign currency exchange rate risk for the borrower. To mitigate this risk, the borrower generally enters into a forward exchange contract which effectively swaps the intercompany loan and related interest to its local currency.

The Company believes the near term exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk of its foreign currency risk sensitive instruments was not material at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk**

The Company's interest expense is most sensitive to changes in the general level of interest rates applicable to the term loans under its Secured Credit Agreement (see Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information). The Company's interest rate risk management objective is to limit the impact of interest rate changes on net income and cash flow, while minimizing interest payments and expense. To achieve this objective, the Company regularly evaluates its mix of fixed and floating-rate debt, and, from time to time, may enter into interest rate swap agreements.

The following table provides information about the Company's interest rate sensitivity related to its significant debt obligations at December 31, 2012. The table presents principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturity date.

(dollars in millions)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value at 12/31/2012
Long-term debt at variable rate:								
Principal by expected maturity	\$ 23	\$ 179	\$ 378	\$ 332	\$ 6	\$ 20	\$ 938	\$ 938
Avg. principal outstanding	\$ 928	\$ 827	\$ 548	\$ 193	\$ 24	\$ 11		
Avg. interest rate	2.33%	2.33%	2.33%	2.33%	2.33%	2.33%		
Long-term debt at fixed rate:								
Principal by expected maturity			\$ 690	\$ 600	\$ 396	\$ 910	\$ 2,596	\$ 2,816
Avg. principal outstanding	\$ 2,596	\$ 2,596	\$ 2,596	\$ 1,531	\$ 1,009	\$ 910		
Avg. interest rate	6.36%	6.36%	7.20%	7.14%	7.28%	7.28%		

The Company believes the near term exposure to interest rate risk of its debt obligations has not changed materially since December 31, 2011.

Commodity Price Risk

The Company has exposure to commodity price risk, principally related to energy. In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2012, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 7,000,000 MM BTUs, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas. In Europe, the Company enters into fixed price contracts for a significant amount of its energy requirements. These contracts typically have terms of 12 months or less.

The Company believes the near term exposure to commodity price risk of its commodity futures contracts was not material at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Forward Looking Statements

This document contains "forward looking" statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. Forward looking statements reflect the Company's current expectations and projections about future events at the time, and thus involve uncertainty and risk. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "will," "could," "would," "should," "may," "plan," "estimate," "intend," "predict," "potential," "continue," and the negatives of these words and other similar expressions generally identify forward looking statements. It is possible the Company's future financial performance may differ from expectations due to a variety of factors including, but not limited to the following: (1) foreign currency fluctuations relative to the U.S. dollar, specifically the Euro, Brazilian real and Australian dollar, (2) changes in capital availability or cost, including interest rate fluctuations and the ability of the Company to refinance debt at favorable terms, (3) the general political, economic and competitive conditions in markets and countries where the Company has operations, including uncertainties related to the economic conditions in Europe and Australia, disruptions in capital markets, disruptions in the supply chain, competitive pricing pressures, inflation or deflation, and changes in tax rates and laws, (4) consumer preferences for alternative forms of packaging, (5) cost and availability of raw materials, labor, energy and transportation, (6) the Company's ability to manage its cost structure, including its success in implementing restructuring plans and achieving cost savings, (7) consolidation among competitors and customers, (8) the ability of the Company to acquire businesses and expand plants, integrate operations of acquired businesses and achieve expected synergies, (9) unanticipated expenditures with respect to environmental, safety and health laws, (10) the Company's ability to further develop its sales, marketing and product development capabilities, and (11) the timing and occurrence of events which are beyond the control of the Company, including any expropriation of the Company's operations, floods and other natural disasters, events related to asbestos-related claims, and the other risk factors discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and any subsequently filed Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. It is not possible to foresee or identify all such factors. Any forward looking statements in this document are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company in light of its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments, and other factors it believes are appropriate in the circumstances. Forward looking statements are not a guarantee of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from expectations. While the Company continually reviews trends and uncertainties affecting the Company's results of operations and financial condition, the Company does not assume any obligation to update or supplement any particular forward looking statements contained in this document.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>56 - 57</u>
For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010:	
<u>Consolidated Results of Operations</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Consolidated Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Consolidated Share Owners' Equity</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Consolidated Cash Flows</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Selected Quarterly Financial Data</u>	<u>112</u>

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Share Owners of
Owens-Illinois, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Owens-Illinois, Inc. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of results of operations, comprehensive income, share owners' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Owens-Illinois, Inc. at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has elected to change its method of valuing its U.S. inventories from the last-in, first-out method to the average cost method, effective January 1, 2012.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Owens-Illinois, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 13, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Toledo, Ohio
February 13, 2013

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Dollars in millions, except per share amounts**

Years ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Net sales	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358	\$ 6,633
Manufacturing, shipping and delivery expense	(5,626)	(5,969)	(5,281)
Gross profit	1,374	1,389	1,352
Selling and administrative expense	(555)	(556)	(492)
Research, development and engineering expense	(62)	(71)	(62)
Interest expense	(248)	(314)	(249)
Interest income	9	11	13
Equity earnings	64	66	59
Royalties and net technical assistance	17	16	16
Other income	19	11	16
Other expense	(290)	(948)	(227)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	328	(396)	426
Provision for income taxes	(108)	(85)	(129)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	220	(481)	297
Earnings from discontinued operations			31
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(2)	1	(331)
Net earnings (loss)	218	(480)	(3)
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(34)	(20)	(42)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ (500)	\$ (45)
Amounts attributable to the Company:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 186	\$ (501)	\$ 260
Earnings from discontinued operations			24
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(2)	1	(329)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 184	\$ (500)	\$ (45)
Amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests:			
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 34	\$ 20	\$ 37
Earnings from discontinued operations			7
Loss on disposal of discontinued operations			(2)
Net earnings	\$ 34	\$ 20	\$ 42
Basic earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.58
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(2.00)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.28)

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Diluted earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.56
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(1.97)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.27)

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****Dollars in millions**

Years ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 218	\$ (480)	\$ (3)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(26)	(187)	388
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments	(156)	(225)	41
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	5	(3)	(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(177)	(415)	427
Total comprehensive income (loss)	41	(895)	424
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(42)	(20)	(48)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ (1)	\$ (915)	\$ 376

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Dollars in millions

December 31,	2012	2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash, including time deposits of \$90 (\$114 in 2011)	\$ 431	\$ 400
Receivables, less allowances of \$41 (\$38 in 2011) for losses and discounts	968	1,158
Inventories	1,139	1,061
Prepaid expenses	110	124
Total current assets	2,648	2,743
Other assets:		
Equity investments	294	315
Repair parts inventories	133	155
Pension assets		116
Other assets	675	687
Goodwill	2,079	2,082
Total other assets	3,181	3,355
Property, plant and equipment:		
Land, at cost	261	269
Buildings and equipment, at cost:		
Buildings and building equipment	1,221	1,226
Factory machinery and equipment	4,861	5,095
Transportation, office and miscellaneous equipment	136	136
Construction in progress	188	173
	6,667	6,899
Less accumulated depreciation	3,898	4,022
Net property, plant and equipment	2,769	2,877
Total assets	\$ 8,598	\$ 8,975

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)

Dollars in millions, except per share amounts

December 31,	2012	2011
Liabilities and Share Owners' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term loans	\$ 296	\$ 330
Accounts payable	1,032	1,038
Salaries and wages	172	149
U.S. and foreign income taxes	43	38
Current portion of asbestos-related liabilities	155	165
Other accrued liabilities	441	449
Long-term debt due within one year	23	76
Total current liabilities	2,162	2,245
Long-term debt	3,454	3,627
Deferred taxes	182	212
Pension benefits	846	871
Nonpension postretirement benefits	264	269
Other liabilities	329	404
Asbestos-related liabilities	306	306
Commitments and contingencies		
Share owners' equity:		
Share owners' equity of the Company:		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 181,865,751 and 181,174,050 shares issued (including treasury shares), respectively	2	2
Capital in excess of par value	3,005	2,991
Treasury stock, at cost, 17,901,925 and 16,799,903 shares, respectively	(425)	(405)
Retained loss	(195)	(379)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,506)	(1,321)
Total share owners' equity of the Company	881	888
Noncontrolling interests	174	153
Total share owners' equity	1,055	1,041
Total liabilities and share owners' equity	\$ 8,598	\$ 8,975

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc****CONSOLIDATED SHARE OWNERS' EQUITY****Dollars in millions**

	Share Owners' Equity of the Company							Total Share Owners' Equity
	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings (Loss)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Non- controlling Interests		
Balance on January 1, 2010	\$ 2	\$ 2,942	\$ (217)	\$ 166	\$ (1,318)	\$ 198	\$ 1,773	
Issuance of common stock (0.9 million shares)		5					5	
Reissuance of common stock (0.2 million shares)		1	4				5	
Treasury shares purchased (6 million shares)			(199)				(199)	
Issuance of exchangeable notes		91					91	
Stock compensation		11					11	
Comprehensive income:								
Net earnings (loss)				(45)		42	(3)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments					382	6	388	
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax					41		41	
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax					(2)		(2)	
Noncontrolling interests' share of acquisition						12	12	
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest		(10)				(8)	(18)	
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests on subsidiary common stock						(25)	(25)	
Disposal of Venezuelan operations						(14)	(14)	
Balance on December 31, 2010	2	3,040	(412)	121	(897)	211	2,065	
Issuance of common stock (0.5 million shares)		5					5	
Reissuance of common stock (0.3 million shares)			7				7	
Stock compensation		1					1	
Comprehensive income:								
Net earnings (loss)				(500)		20	(480)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments					(187)		(187)	
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax					(225)		(225)	
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax					(3)		(3)	
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest		(55)			(9)	(43)	(107)	
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests on subsidiary common stock						(35)	(35)	
Balance on December 31, 2011	2	2,991	(405)	(379)	(1,321)	153	1,041	
Issuance of common stock (0.8 million shares)		3					3	
Reissuance of common stock (0.3 million shares)			7				7	
Treasury shares purchased (1.4 million shares)			(27)				(27)	
Stock compensation		11					11	
Comprehensive income:								
Net earnings				184		34	218	
Foreign currency translation adjustments					(34)	8	(26)	
Pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments, net of tax					(156)		(156)	
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax					5		5	
						(24)	(24)	

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests on subsidiary common stock														
Contribution from noncontrolling interests							3		3					
Balance on December 31, 2012	\$	2	\$	3,005	\$	(425)	\$	(195)	\$	(1,506)	\$	174	\$	1,055

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS**

Dollars in millions

Years ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Operating activities:			
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 218	\$ (480)	\$ (3)
Earnings from discontinued operations			(31)
(Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations	2	(1)	331
Non-cash charges (credits):			
Depreciation	378	405	369
Amortization of intangibles and other deferred items	34	17	22
Amortization of finance fees and debt discount	33	32	19
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	(5)	(42)	(12)
Non-cash tax benefit			(8)
Pension expense	92	91	73
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	168	112	13
Gain on China land compensation	(61)		
Charges for acquisition-related costs			26
Future asbestos-related costs	155	165	170
Charge for goodwill impairment		641	
Other	8	50	25
Pension contributions	(219)	(59)	(23)
Asbestos-related payments	(165)	(170)	(179)
Cash paid for restructuring activities	(66)	(39)	(61)
Change in non-current assets and liabilities	(73)	(100)	(58)
Change in components of working capital	81	(117)	(73)
Cash provided by continuing operating activities	580	505	600
Cash utilized in discontinued operating activities	(5)	(2)	(8)
Total cash provided by operating activities	575	503	592
Investing activities:			
Additions to property, plant and equipment continuing	(290)	(285)	(500)
Additions to property, plant and equipment discontinued			(3)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(5)	(144)	(817)
Net cash proceeds related to sale of assets and other	95	3	6
Net payments to fund minority partner loan	(21)		
Cash utilized in investing activities	(221)	(426)	(1,314)
Financing activities:			
Additions to long-term debt	119	1,465	1,392
Repayments of long-term debt	(402)	(1,797)	(573)
Increase (decrease) in short-term loans continuing	(38)	80	(39)
Decrease in short-term loans discontinued			(2)
Net receipts (payments) for hedging activity	27	(22)	21
Payment of finance fees	(1)	(19)	(33)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests	(24)	(35)	(25)
Treasury shares purchased	(27)		(199)
Contribution from noncontrolling interests	3		
Issuance of common stock and other	4	5	5
Cash provided by (utilized in) financing activities	(339)	(323)	547
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash	16	6	3

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in cash	31	(240)	(172)
Cash at beginning of year	400	640	812
Cash at end of year	\$ 431	\$ 400	\$ 640

See accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****1. Significant Accounting Policies**

Basis of Consolidated Statements The consolidated financial statements of Owens-Illinois, Inc. (the "Company") include the accounts of its subsidiaries. Newly acquired subsidiaries have been included in the consolidated financial statements from dates of acquisition. Results of operations for the Company's Venezuelan subsidiaries expropriated in 2010 have been presented as a discontinued operation.

The Company uses the equity method of accounting for investments in which it has a significant ownership interest, generally 20% to 50%. Other investments are accounted for at cost. The Company monitors other than temporary declines in fair value and records reductions in carrying values when appropriate.

Nature of Operations The Company is a leading manufacturer of glass container products. The Company's principal product lines are glass containers for the food and beverage industries. The Company has glass container operations located in 21 countries. The principal markets and operations for the Company's products are in Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific.

Change in Accounting Method Effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change the method of valuing U.S. inventories to the lower of the average cost method or market, while in prior years these inventories were valued using the lower of the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method or market. The Company believes the average cost method is preferable as it conforms the inventory costing methods globally, improves comparability with industry peers and better reflects the current value of inventory on the consolidated balance sheets. All prior periods presented have been adjusted to apply the new method retrospectively.

The effect of the change on the Consolidated Results of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	As originally reported under LIFO	Effect of Change	As Adjusted
2011			
Manufacturing, shipping and delivery expense	\$ (5,979)	\$ 10	\$ (5,969)
Amounts attributable to the Company:			
Net loss from continuing operations	(511)	10	(501)
Basic loss per share	(3.12)	0.06	(3.06)
Diluted loss per share	(3.12)	0.06	(3.06)
2010			
Manufacturing, shipping and delivery expense	\$ (5,283)	\$ 2	\$ (5,281)
Amounts attributable to the Company:			
Net earnings from continuing operations	258	2	260
Basic earnings per share	1.57	0.01	1.58
Diluted earnings per share	1.55	0.01	1.56

60

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The effect of the change on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	As originally reported under LIFO	Effect of Change	As Adjusted
Assets:			
Inventories	\$ 1,012	\$ 49	\$ 1,061
Share owners' equity:			
Retained earnings (loss)	(428)	49	(379)

The effect of the change on the consolidated share owners' equity as of January 1, 2010 is as follows:

	As originally reported under LIFO	Effect of Change	As Adjusted
Retained earnings	\$ 129	\$ 37	\$ 166

The effect of the change on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	As originally reported under LIFO	Effect of Change	As Adjusted
2011			
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (490)	\$ 10	\$ (480)
Change in components of working capital	(107)	(10)	(117)

2010			
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (5)	\$ 2	\$ (3)
Change in components of working capital	(71)	(2)	(73)

Had the Company not made this change in accounting method, manufacturing, shipping and delivery expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 would have been lower by \$4 million and net earnings attributable to the Company would have been higher by \$4 million than reported in the Consolidated Results of Operations. In addition, both basic and diluted earnings per share would have been higher by \$0.03 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates, at which time the Company would revise its estimates accordingly.

Foreign Currency Translation The assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at year-end exchange rates. Any related translation adjustments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in share owners' equity.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes sales, net of estimated discounts and allowances, when the title to the products and risk of loss are transferred to customers. Provisions for rebates to customers are provided in the same period that the related sales are recorded.

Shipping and Handling Costs Shipping and handling costs are included with manufacturing, shipping and delivery costs in the Consolidated Results of Operations.

Stock-Based Compensation The Company has various stock-based compensation plans consisting of stock option grants and restricted share awards. Costs resulting from all share-based compensation plans are required to be recognized in the financial statements. A public entity is required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost is recognized over the required service period (usually the vesting period). No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the required service.

Cash The Company defines "cash" as cash and time deposits with maturities of three months or less when purchased. Outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit are included in accounts payable.

Accounts Receivable Receivables are stated at amounts estimated by management to be the net realizable value. The Company charges off accounts receivable when it becomes apparent based upon age or customer circumstances that amounts will not be collected.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The allowance for doubtful accounts is established through charges to the provision for bad debts. The Company evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts on a periodic basis. The evaluation includes historical trends in collections and write-offs, management's judgment of the probability of collecting accounts and management's evaluation of business risk.

Inventory Valuation Inventories are valued at the lower of average costs or market.

Goodwill Goodwill represents the excess of cost over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is evaluated annually, as of October 1, for impairment or more frequently if an impairment indicator exists.

Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets Intangible assets are amortized over the expected useful life of the asset. Amortization expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in manufacturing, shipping and delivery. Amortization expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative and other. The Company evaluates the recoverability of intangible assets and other long-lived assets based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

Property, Plant and Equipment Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") is carried at cost and includes expenditures for new facilities and equipment and those costs which substantially increase the useful lives or capacity of existing PP&E. In general, depreciation is computed using the straight-line method and recorded over the estimated useful life of the asset. Factory machinery and equipment is

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

depreciated over periods ranging from 5 to 25 years with the majority of such assets (principally glass-melting furnaces and forming machines) depreciated over 7 to 15 years. Buildings and building equipment are depreciated over periods ranging from 10 to 50 years. Depreciation expense directly attributed to the manufacturing of the Company's products is included in manufacturing, shipping, and delivery. Depreciation expense related to non-manufacturing activities is included in selling and administrative. Depreciation expense includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Costs assigned to PP&E of acquired businesses are based on estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The Company evaluates the recoverability of property, plant, and equipment based on undiscounted projected cash flows, excluding interest and taxes, when factors indicate that impairment may exist. If impairment exists, the asset is written down to fair value.

Derivative Instruments The Company uses forward exchange contracts, options and commodity futures contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate and commodity market volatility. Derivative financial instruments are included on the balance sheet at fair value. When appropriate, derivative instruments are designated as and are effective as hedges, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. If the underlying hedged transaction ceases to exist, all changes in fair value of the related derivatives that have not been settled are recognized in current earnings. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to leveraged derivatives. Cash flows from fair value hedges of debt and short-term forward exchange contracts are classified as a financing activity. Cash flows of commodity futures contracts are classified as operating activities.

Fair Value Measurements Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Generally accepted accounting principles defines a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported for cash, short-term investments and short-term loans approximate fair value. In addition, carrying amounts approximate fair value for certain long-term debt obligations subject to frequently redetermined interest rates. Fair values for the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are generally based on published market quotations.

The Company's derivative assets and liabilities consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to valuing these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

1. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

2. Segment Information

The Company has four reportable segments based on its geographic locations: Europe, North America, South America and Asia Pacific. These four segments are aligned with the Company's internal approach to managing, reporting, and evaluating performance of its global glass operations. Certain assets and activities not directly related to one of the regions or to glass manufacturing are reported with Retained corporate costs and other. These include licensing, equipment manufacturing, global engineering, and non-glass equity investments. Retained corporate costs and other also includes certain headquarters administrative and facilities costs and certain incentive compensation and other benefit plan costs that are global in nature and are not allocable to the reportable segments.

The Company's measure of profit for its reportable segments is segment operating profit, which consists of consolidated earnings from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, and provision for income taxes and excludes amounts related to certain items that management considers not representative of ongoing operations as well as certain retained corporate costs. The Company's management uses segment operating profit, in combination with selected cash flow information, to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Segment operating profit for reportable segments includes an allocation of some corporate expenses based on both a percentage of sales and direct billings based on the costs of specific services provided.

In prior periods, pension expense was recorded in each segment related to the pension plans in place in that segment, with the exception of the U.S. pension plans which were recorded in Retained corporate costs and other. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company changed the allocation of pension expense to its reportable segments such that pension expense recorded in each segment relates only to the service cost component of the plans in that segment. The other components of pension expense, including interest cost, expected asset returns and amortization of actuarial losses, are recorded in Retained corporate costs and other. This change in allocation has been applied retrospectively to all periods. Also effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change the method of valuing U.S. inventories (see Note 1 for additional information).

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****2. Segment Information (Continued)**

The impact of the changes in pension expense allocation and accounting method for inventory on segment operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	As Originally Reported	Change in Pension Allocation	Change in Accounting Method for Inventory	As Adjusted
Segment operating profit:				
Europe	\$ 325	\$ 20	\$	\$ 345
North America	236	(24)	10	222
South America	250			250
Asia Pacific	83			83
Reportable segment totals	894	(4)	10	900
Retained corporate costs and other	(79)	4		(75)

The impact of the changes in pension expense allocation and accounting method for inventory on segment operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

	As Originally Reported	Change in Pension Allocation	Change in Accounting Method for Inventory	As Adjusted
Segment operating profit:				
Europe	\$ 324	\$ 16	\$	\$ 340
North America	275	(24)	2	253
South America	224			224
Asia Pacific	141	3		144
Reportable segment totals	964	(5)	2	961
Retained corporate costs and other	(89)	5		(84)

Financial information regarding the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Net sales:			
Europe	\$ 2,717	\$ 3,052	\$ 2,746
North America	1,966	1,929	1,879
South America	1,252	1,226	975
Asia Pacific	1,028	1,059	996
Reportable segment totals	6,963	7,266	6,596
Other	37	92	37
Net sales	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,358	\$ 6,633

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

2. Segment Information (Continued)

	2012	2011	2010
Segment operating profit:			
Europe	\$ 307	\$ 345	\$ 340
North America	288	222	253
South America	227	250	224
Asia Pacific	113	83	144
Reportable segment totals	935	900	961
Items excluded from segment operating profit:			
Retained corporate costs and other	(106)	(75)	(84)
Restructuring, asset impairment and related charges	(168)	(112)	(13)
Acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments and restructuring, transaction and financing costs			(32)
Charge for asbestos related costs	(155)	(165)	(170)
Charge for goodwill impairment		(641)	
Gain on China land compensation	61		
Interest income	9	11	13
Interest expense	(248)	(314)	(249)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 328	\$ (396)	\$ 426

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

2. Segment Information (Continued)

	Europe	North America	South America	Asia Pacific	Reportable Segment Totals	Retained Corp Costs and Other	Consolidated Totals
Total assets:							
2012	\$ 3,362	\$ 1,994	\$ 1,655	\$ 1,349	\$ 8,360	\$ 238	\$ 8,598
2011	3,588	2,020	1,682	1,379	8,669	306	8,975
2010	3,618	2,000	1,680	2,047	9,345	448	9,793
Equity investments:							
2012	\$ 63	\$ 25		\$ 165	\$ 253	\$ 41	\$ 294
2011	59	27		181	267	48	315
2010	53	17	5	179	254	45	299
Equity earnings:							
2012	\$ 15	\$ 16		\$ 5	\$ 36	\$ 28	\$ 64
2011	21	9		3	33	33	66
2010	19	15		1	35	24	59
Capital expenditures(1):							
2012	\$ 87	\$ 68	\$ 75	\$ 49	\$ 279	\$ 11	\$ 290
2011	127	60	50	37	274	11	285
2010							
Continuing	151	156	96	85	488	12	500
Discontinued						3	3
Depreciation and amortization expense:							
2012	\$ 150	\$ 107	\$ 70	\$ 70	\$ 397	\$ 15	\$ 412
2011	164	96	73	80	413	9	422
2010							
Continuing	169	92	50	69	380	11	391
Discontinued						3	3

(1)

Excludes property, plant and equipment acquired through acquisitions.

The Company's net property, plant and equipment by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.	Non-U.S.	Total
2012	\$ 663	\$ 2,106	\$ 2,769
2011	667	2,210	2,877
2010	703	2,404	3,107

The Company's net sales by geographic segment are as follows:

	U.S.	Non-U.S.	Total
2012	\$ 1,780	\$ 5,220	\$ 7,000
2011	1,776	5,582	7,358

2010	1,676	4,957	6,633
------	-------	-------	-------

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****2. Segment Information (Continued)**

Operations in individual countries outside the U.S. that accounted for more than 10% of consolidated net sales from continuing operations were in France (2012 11%, 2011 13%, 2010 13%), Australia (2012 10%, 2011 10%, 2010 11%) and Italy (2012 9%, 2011 10%, 2010 11%).

3. Inventories

Major classes of inventory are as follows:

	2012	2011
Finished goods	\$ 957	\$ 891
Raw materials	137	123
Operating supplies	45	47
	\$ 1,139	\$ 1,061

4. Equity Investments

Summarized information pertaining to the Company's equity associates follows:

	2012	2011	2010
For the year:			
Equity in earnings:			
Non-U.S.	\$ 20	\$ 24	\$ 20
U.S.	44	42	39
Total	\$ 64	\$ 66	\$ 59
Dividends received	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 62

Summarized combined financial information for equity associates is as follows (unaudited):

	2012	2011
At end of year:		
Current assets	\$ 327	\$ 309
Non-current assets	496	413
Total assets	823	722
Current liabilities	195	186
Other liabilities and deferred items	158	129
Total liabilities and deferred items	353	315
Net assets	\$ 470	\$ 407

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****4. Equity Investments (Continued)**

	2012	2011	2010
For the year:			
Net sales	\$ 658	\$ 689	\$ 731
Gross profit	\$ 191	\$ 215	\$ 227
Net earnings	\$ 143	\$ 174	\$ 162

The Company's significant equity method investments include: (1) 50% of the common shares of Vetri Speciali SpA, a specialty glass manufacturer; (2) a 25% partnership interest in Tata Chemical (Soda Ash) Partners, a soda ash supplier; (3) a 50% partnership interest in Rocky Mountain Bottle Company, a glass container manufacturer; and (4) a 50% partnership interest in BJC O-I Glass Pte. Ltd., a glass container manufacturer.

There is a difference of approximately \$13 million as of December 31, 2012 for certain of the investments between the amount at which the investment is carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. The portion of the difference related to inventory or amortizable assets is amortized as a reduction of the equity earnings. The remaining difference is considered goodwill.

5. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	North America	Europe	Asia Pacific	South America	Other	Total
Balance as of January 1, 2010	\$ 736	\$ 1,081	\$ 559	\$	\$ 5	\$ 2,381
Acquisitions			53	376		429
Translation effects	7	(72)	65	11		11
Balance as of December 31, 2010	743	1,009	677	387	5	2,821
Acquisitions		8				8
Impairment charge			(641)			(641)
Translation effects	(3)	(34)	(36)	(33)		(106)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	740	983		354	5	2,082
Translation effects	3	23		(29)		(3)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ 743	\$ 1,006	\$	\$ 325	\$ 5	\$ 2,079

Goodwill for the Asia Pacific segment is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$1,135 million, \$1,135 million and \$494 million as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of October 1 (or more frequently if impairment indicators arise) using a two-step process. Step 1 compares the business enterprise value ("BEV") of each reporting unit with its carrying value. The BEV is computed based on estimated future cash flows, discounted at the weighted average cost of capital of a hypothetical third-party buyer. If the BEV is less than the carrying value for any reporting unit, then Step 2 must be performed. Step 2 compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. Any excess of the carrying

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****5. Goodwill (Continued)**

value of the goodwill over the implied fair value will be recorded as an impairment loss. The calculations of the BEV in Step 1 and the implied fair value of goodwill in Step 2 are based on significant unobservable inputs, such as price trends, customer demand, material costs, discount rates and asset replacement costs, and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that no impairment existed. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual impairment testing and determined that impairment existed in the goodwill of its Asia Pacific segment. Lower projected cash flows, principally in the segment's Australian operations, caused the decline in the business enterprise value. The strong Australian dollar in 2011 resulted in many wine producers in the country exporting their wine in bulk shipments and bottling the wine closer to their end markets. This decreased the demand for wine bottles in Australia, which was a significant portion of the Company's sales in that country, and the Company expects this decreased demand to continue into the foreseeable future. Following a review of the valuation of the segment's identifiable assets, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$641 million to reduce the reported value of its goodwill.

6. Other Assets

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Deferred tax asset	\$ 284	\$ 295
Capitalized software	115	104
Deferred returnable packaging costs	96	80
Deferred finance fees	40	51
Intangibles	29	34
Other	111	123
	\$ 675	\$ 687

7. Derivative Instruments

The Company has certain derivative assets and liabilities which consist of natural gas forwards and foreign exchange option and forward contracts. The Company uses an income approach to value these contracts. Natural gas forward rates and foreign exchange rates are the significant inputs into the valuation models. These inputs are observable in active markets over the terms of the instruments the Company holds, and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities as Level 2 in the hierarchy. The Company also evaluates counterparty risk in determining fair values.

Commodity Futures Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

In North America, the Company enters into commodity futures contracts related to forecasted natural gas requirements, the objectives of which are to limit the effects of fluctuations in the future market price paid for natural gas and the related volatility in cash flows. The Company continually evaluates the natural gas market and related price risk and periodically enters into commodity futures contracts in order to hedge a portion of its usage requirements. The majority of the sales volume in

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

7. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

North America is tied to customer contracts that contain provisions that pass the price of natural gas to the customer. In certain of these contracts, the customer has the option of fixing the natural gas price component for a specified period of time. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had entered into commodity futures contracts covering approximately 7,000,000 MM BTUs and 5,100,000 MM BTUs, respectively, primarily related to customer requests to lock the price of natural gas.

The Company accounts for the above futures contracts as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2012 and recognizes them on the balance sheet at fair value. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated as, and meets the required criteria for, a cash flow hedge is recorded in the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income component of share owners' equity ("OCI") and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the underlying hedged item affects earnings. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, an unrecognized loss of \$1 million and \$6 million, respectively, related to the commodity futures contracts was included in Accumulated OCI, and will be reclassified into earnings over the next twelve to twenty-four months. Any material portion of the change in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge that is deemed to be ineffective is recognized in current earnings. The ineffectiveness related to these natural gas hedges for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was not material.

The effect of the commodity futures contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Commodity Futures Contracts (Effective Portion)			Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (reported in manufacturing, shipping and delivery) (Effective Portion)		
2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
\$ (3)	\$ (10)	\$ (11)	\$ (8)	\$ (7)	\$ (9)

Senior Notes Designated as Net Investment Hedge

During December 2004, a U.S. subsidiary of the Company issued senior notes totaling €225 million. These notes were designated by the Company's subsidiary as a hedge of a portion of its net investment in a non-U.S. subsidiary with a Euro functional currency. Because the amount of the senior notes matched the hedged portion of the net investment, there was no hedge ineffectiveness. Accordingly, the Company recorded the impact of changes in the foreign currency exchange rate on the Euro-denominated notes in OCI. The amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI related to this net investment hedge for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$(25) million and \$24 million, respectively. During the second quarter of 2011, the senior notes designated as the net investment hedge were redeemed by a subsidiary of the Company. The amount recorded in OCI related to this net investment hedge will be reclassified into earnings when the Company sells or liquidates its net investment in the non-U.S. subsidiary.

Forward Exchange Contracts not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company's subsidiaries may enter into short-term forward exchange or option agreements to purchase foreign currencies at set rates in the future. These agreements are used to limit exposure to

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

7. Derivative Instruments (Continued)

fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates for significant planned purchases of fixed assets or commodities that are denominated in currencies other than the subsidiaries' functional currency. Subsidiaries may also use forward exchange agreements to offset the foreign currency risk for receivables and payables, including intercompany receivables and payables, not denominated in, or indexed to, their functional currencies. The Company records these short-term forward exchange agreements on the balance sheet at fair value and changes in the fair value are recognized in current earnings.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, various subsidiaries of the Company had outstanding forward exchange and option agreements denominated in various currencies covering the equivalent of approximately \$750 million and \$550 million, respectively, related primarily to intercompany transactions and loans.

The effect of the forward exchange contracts on the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Forward Exchange Contracts	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Forward Exchange Contracts		
	2012	2011	2010
Other expense	\$ 6	\$ (11)	\$ 18
<i>Balance Sheet Classification</i>			

The Company records the fair values of derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet as follows: (a) receivables if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity within one year, (b) deposits, receivables, and other assets if the instrument has a positive fair value and maturity after one year, and (c) other accrued liabilities or other liabilities (current) if the instrument has a negative fair value and maturity within one year. The following table shows the amount and classification (as noted above) of the Company's derivatives as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Fair Value Balance Sheet Location	2012		2011	
		\$	\$	\$	\$
Asset Derivatives:					
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	a	\$ 4	\$ 13		
Total asset derivatives		\$ 4	\$ 13		
Liability Derivatives:					
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity futures contracts	c	\$ 1	\$ 6		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts	c	9	4		
Total liability derivatives		\$ 10	\$ 10		

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

8. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities

The Company continually reviews its manufacturing footprint and operating cost structure and may decide to close operations or reduce headcount to gain efficiencies, integrate acquired operations and reduce future expenses. The Company incurs costs associated with these actions including employee severance and benefits, other exit costs such as those related to contract terminations, and asset impairment charges. The Company also may incur other costs related to closed facilities including environmental remediation, clean up, dismantling and preparation for sale or other disposition.

The Company accounts for restructuring and other costs under applicable provisions of generally accepted accounting principles. Charges for employee severance and related benefits are generally accrued based on contractual arrangements with employees or their representatives. Other exit costs are accrued based on the estimated cost to settle related contractual arrangements. Estimated environmental remediation costs are accrued when specific claims have been received or are probable of being received.

The Company's decisions to curtail selected production capacity have resulted in write downs of certain long-lived assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value or fair value less cost to sell. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy as set forth in the general accounting principles for fair value measurements.

When a decision is made to take these actions, the Company manages and accounts for them programmatically apart from the on-going operations of the business. Information related to major programs (as in the case of the European Asset Optimization and Asia Pacific Restructuring programs below) are presented separately. Minor initiatives are presented on a combined basis as Other Restructuring Actions. When charges related to major programs are completed, remaining accrual balances are classified with Other Restructuring Actions.

European Asset Optimization

In 2011, the Company implemented the European Asset Optimization program to increase the efficiency and capability of its European operations and to better align its European manufacturing footprint with market and customer needs. This program involves making additional investments in certain facilities and addressing assets with higher cost structures. As part of this program, the Company recorded charges of \$86 million in 2012 and \$24 million in 2011 for employee costs, asset impairments and environmental remediation related to decisions to close furnaces and manufacturing facilities in Europe. The Company expects to execute further actions under this program in phases over the next several years.

Asia Pacific Restructuring

In 2011, the Company implemented a restructuring plan in its Asia Pacific segment, primarily related to aligning its supply base with lower demand in the region. As part of this plan, the Company recorded charges of \$47 million and \$46 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, for employee costs and asset impairments related to furnace closures and additional restructuring activities.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****8. Restructuring Accruals, Asset Impairments and Other Costs Related to Closed Facilities (Continued)***Other Restructuring Actions*

The Company took certain other restructuring actions and recorded charges in 2012 of \$13 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a machine manufacturing facility in the U.S., \$7 million for employee costs and asset impairments related to a decision to close a mold shop in South America and \$15 million for miscellaneous other costs. In 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$13 million related to headcount reductions, primarily in Europe and South America, and \$12 million for an asset impairment related to a previously closed facility in Europe.

The Company acquired VDL in 2011 (see Note 20). As part of this acquisition, the Company assumed the severance liability of VDL related to a headcount reduction program initiated prior to the acquisition.

The beginning accrual balance for other restructuring actions as of January 1, 2011 primarily relates to the Company's strategic review of its global manufacturing footprint completed in 2010.

The following table presents information related to restructuring, asset impairment and other costs related to closed facilities:

	European Asset Optimization	Asia Pacific Restructuring	Other Restructuring Actions	Total Restructuring
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$	\$	\$ 79	\$ 79
2011 charges	24	46	25	95
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(11)	(8)	(21)	(40)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(1)	(21)	(17)	(39)
Acquisition			11	11
Other, including foreign exchange translation			(3)	(3)
Balance at December 31, 2011	12	17	74	103
2012 charges	86	47	35	168
Write-down of assets to net realizable value	(30)	(22)	(16)	(68)
Net cash paid, principally severance and related benefits	(16)	(25)	(25)	(66)
Pension charges transferred to other accounts		(11)	(4)	(15)
Other, including foreign exchange translation	1			1
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 53	\$ 6	\$ 64	\$ 123

The restructuring accrual balance represents the Company's estimates of the remaining future cash amounts to be paid related to the actions noted above. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's estimates include approximately \$77 million for severance and related benefits costs, \$34 million for environmental remediation costs, and \$12 million for other exit costs. The 2012 charges include approximately \$14 million related to environmental remediation costs at a closed facility in Europe.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

Pension Benefit Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering a substantial number of employees located in the United States, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Canada and Australia, as well as many employees in Germany, France and Switzerland. Benefits generally are based on compensation for salaried employees and on length of service for hourly employees. The Company's policy is to fund pension plans such that sufficient assets will be available to meet future benefit requirements. The Company's defined benefit pension plans use a December 31 measurement date. The following tables relate to the Company's principal defined benefit pension plans.

The changes in the pension benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Obligations at beginning of year	\$ 2,547	\$ 2,437	\$ 1,553	\$ 1,567
Change in benefit obligations:				
Service cost	27	25	26	24
Interest cost	114	125	77	83
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of change in discount rates	170	130	293	(37)
Participant contributions			7	8
Benefit payments	(220)	(172)	(101)	(87)
Other	9	2		19
Foreign currency translation			56	(24)
Net change in benefit obligations	100	110	358	(14)
Obligations at end of year	\$ 2,647	\$ 2,547	\$ 1,911	\$ 1,553

The changes in the fair value of the pension plans' assets for the year were as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Fair value at beginning of year	\$ 2,011	\$ 2,195	\$ 1,325	\$ 1,279
Change in fair value:				
Actual gain (loss) on plan assets	275	(13)	118	80
Benefit payments	(220)	(172)	(101)	(87)
Employer contributions	109	1	110	58
Participant contributions			7	8
Foreign currency translation			43	(25)
Other			25	12
Net change in fair value of assets	164	(184)	202	46
Fair value at end of year	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,011	\$ 1,527	\$ 1,325

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The funded status of the pension plans at year end was as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Plan assets at fair value	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,011	\$ 1,527	\$ 1,325
Projected benefit obligations	2,647	2,547	1,911	1,553
Plan assets less than projected benefit obligations	(472)	(536)	(384)	(228)
Items not yet recognized in pension expense:				
Actuarial loss	1,461	1,478	534	312
Prior service cost (credit)	2	2	(9)	(10)
	1,463	1,480	525	302
Net amount recognized	\$ 991	\$ 944	\$ 141	\$ 74

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Pension assets	\$	\$	\$	\$ 116
Current pension liability, included with Other accrued liabilities	(3)	(3)	(7)	(6)
Pension benefits	(469)	(533)	(377)	(338)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	1,463	1,480	525	302
Net amount recognized	\$ 991	\$ 944	\$ 141	\$ 74

The following changes in plan assets and benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows (amounts are pretax):

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Current year actuarial (gain) loss	\$ 79	\$ 332	\$ 239	\$ (28)
Amortization of actuarial loss	(96)	(83)	(22)	(24)
Amortization of prior service credit				1
Loss due to settlement			(11)	
	(17)	249	206	(51)
Translation			17	5
	\$ (17)	\$ 249	\$ 223	\$ (46)

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$4,298 million and \$3,859 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The components of the net pension expense for the year were as follows:

	U.S.			Non-U.S.		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 27	\$ 25	\$ 25	\$ 26	\$ 24	\$ 21
Interest cost	114	125	131	77	83	79
Expected asset return	(183)	(186)	(190)	(87)	(86)	(80)
Curtailment (gain) loss						(1)
Amortization:						
Actuarial loss	96	83	70	22	24	19
Prior service credit					(1)	(1)
Net amortization	96	83	70	22	23	18
Net expense	\$ 54	\$ 47	\$ 36	\$ 38	\$ 44	\$ 37

The U.S. pension expense excludes \$4 million of special termination benefits that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2012. The non-U.S. pension expense excludes \$11 million of pension settlement costs that were recorded in restructuring expense in 2012.

Amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense during 2013:

	U.S.	Non-U.S.
Amortization:		
Actuarial loss	\$ 110	\$ 32
Prior service cost		(1)
Net amortization	\$ 110	\$ 31

The following information is for plans with projected and accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of plan assets at year end:

	Projected Benefit Obligation Exceeds the Fair Value of Plan Assets				Accumulated Benefit Obligation Exceeds the Fair Value of Plan Assets			
	U.S.		Non-U.S.		U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Projected benefit obligations	\$ 2,647	\$ 2,547	\$ 1,911	\$ 1,157	\$ 2,647	\$ 2,547	\$ 1,172	\$ 1,157
Fair value of plan assets	2,175	2,011	1,527	837	2,175	2,011	858	837
Accumulated benefit obligation	2,569	2,457	1,729	1,065	2,569	2,457	1,090	1,065

The weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations were as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Discount rate	4.11%	4.59%	3.89%	4.75%

Edgar Filing: OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Rate of compensation increase	2.97%	3.14%	3.08%	3.23%
-------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

77

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension costs were as follows:

	U.S.			Non-U.S.		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Discount rate	4.59%	5.24%	5.84%	4.75%	5.28%	5.64%
Rate of compensation increase	3.14%	4.50%	5.00%	3.23%	3.49%	3.54%
Expected long-term rate of return on assets	8.00%	8.00%	8.00%	6.24%	6.44%	6.78%

Future benefits are assumed to increase in a manner consistent with past experience of the plans, which, to the extent benefits are based on compensation, includes assumed salary increases as presented above. Amortization included in net pension expense is based on the average remaining service of employees.

For 2012, the Company's weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets was 8.00% for the U.S. plans and 6.24% for the non-U.S. plans. In developing this assumption, the Company evaluated input from its third party pension plan asset managers, including their review of asset class return expectations and long-term inflation assumptions. The Company also considered its historical 10-year average return (through December 31, 2011), which was in line with the expected long-term rate of return assumption for 2012.

It is the Company's policy to invest pension plan assets in a diversified portfolio consisting of an array of asset classes within established target asset allocation ranges. The investment risk of the assets is limited by appropriate diversification both within and between asset classes. The assets for the U.S. plans are maintained in a group trust. The U.S. plans hold no individual assets other than the investment in the group trust. The assets of the group trust and the Company's non-U.S. plans are primarily invested in a broad mix of domestic and international equities, domestic and international bonds, and real estate, subject to the target asset allocation ranges. The assets are managed with a view to ensuring that sufficient liquidity will be available to meet expected cash flow requirements.

The investment valuation policy of the Company is to value investments at fair value. All investments are valued at their respective net asset values. Equity securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at the last reported sales price on their principal exchange on valuation date or official close for certain markets. Fixed income investments are valued by an independent pricing service. Investments in registered investment companies or collective pooled funds are valued at their respective net asset values. Short-term investments are stated at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. The fair value of real estate is determined by periodic appraisals.

The Company's U.S. pension plan assets held in the group trust are classified as Level 2 assets in the fair value hierarchy. The total U.S. plan assets amounted to \$2,175 million and \$2,011 million as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and consisted of approximately 72% equity securities and

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)**

28% debt securities. The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Company's non-U.S. pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012			2011			Target Allocation
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36	\$ 20	\$	\$ 21	\$ 5	\$	
Equity securities	367	173		340	146		45 - 55%
Debt securities	714	113	3	645	101	5	40 - 50%
Real estate			15			11	0 - 10%
Other	18	68		15	36		0 - 10%
Total assets at fair value	\$ 1,135	\$ 374	\$ 18	\$ 1,021	\$ 288	\$ 16	

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's pension plan assets recorded at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	2012	2011
Beginning balance	\$ 16	\$ 19
Net increase (decrease)	2	(3)
Ending balance	\$ 18	\$ 16

The net increase (decrease) in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 pension plan assets is primarily due to purchases and sales of unlisted real estate funds. The change in the fair value of Level 3 pension plan assets due to actual return on those assets was immaterial in 2012.

In order to maintain minimum funding requirements, the Company is required to make contributions to its defined benefit pension plans of approximately \$30 million in 2013.

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	U.S.	Non-U.S.
2013	\$ 179	\$ 84
2014	176	86
2015	175	90
2016	173	91
2017	173	91
2018 - 2022	847	460

The Company also sponsors several defined contribution plans for all salaried and hourly U.S. employees. Participation is voluntary and participants' contributions are based on their compensation. The Company matches contributions of participants, up to various limits, in substantially all plans. Company contributions to these plans amounted to \$7 million in 2012, \$8 million in 2011, and \$7 million in 2010.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

The Company provides certain retiree health care and life insurance benefits covering substantially all U.S. salaried and certain hourly employees, and substantially all employees in Canada. Employees are generally eligible for benefits upon retirement and completion of a specified number of years of creditable service. The Company uses a December 31 measurement date to measure its Postretirement Benefit Obligations.

The changes in the postretirement benefit obligations for the year were as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Obligations at beginning of year	\$ 194	\$ 195	\$ 95	\$ 85
Change in benefit obligations:				
Service cost	1	1	1	1
Interest cost	8	10	4	4
Actuarial (gain) loss, including the effect of changing discount rates	(6)	4	3	11
Benefit payments	(16)	(16)	(3)	(4)
Foreign currency translation			2	(2)
Net change in benefit obligations	(13)	(1)	7	10
Obligations at end of year	\$ 181	\$ 194	\$ 102	\$ 95

The funded status of the postretirement benefit plans at year end was as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Postretirement benefit obligations	\$ (181)	\$ (194)	\$ (102)	\$ (95)
Items not yet recognized in net postretirement benefit cost:				
Actuarial loss	36	49	5	2
Prior service credit	(8)	(11)		
	28	38	5	2
Net amount recognized	\$ (153)	\$ (156)	\$ (97)	\$ (93)

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The net amount recognized is included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Current nonpension postretirement benefit, included with Other accrued liabilities	\$ (15)	\$ (16)	\$ (4)	\$ (4)
Nonpension postretirement benefits	(166)	(178)	(98)	(91)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	28	38	5	2
Net amount recognized	\$ (153)	\$ (156)	\$ (97)	\$ (93)

The following changes in benefit obligations were recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows (amounts are pretax):

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Current year actuarial (gain) loss	\$ (6)	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 12
Amortization of actuarial loss	(5)	(5)		
Amortization of prior service credit	3	3		
Other adjustments	(2)			
	\$ (10)	\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 12

The components of the net postretirement benefit cost for the year were as follows:

	U.S.			Non-U.S.		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost	8	10	11	4	4	5
Amortization:						
Actuarial loss	5	5	5			
Prior service credit	(3)	(3)	(3)			
Net amortization	2	2	2			
Net postretirement benefit cost	\$ 11	\$ 13	\$ 14	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 6

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

Amounts that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net postretirement benefit cost during 2013:

	U.S.	Non-U.S.
Amortization:		
Actuarial loss	\$ 4	\$
Prior service credit	(7)	
Net amortization	\$ (3)	\$

The weighted average discount rates used to determine the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and net postretirement benefit cost were as follows:

	U.S.			Non-U.S.		
	2012	2011	2010	2012	2011	2010
Accumulated post retirement benefit obligation	4.04%	4.47%	5.09%	3.89%	4.13%	5.02%
Net postretirement benefit cost	4.47%	5.09%	5.68%	4.13%	5.02%	5.60%

The weighted average assumed health care cost trend rates at December 31 were as follows:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	8.00%	8.00%	6.00%	7.00%
Rate to which the cost trend rate is assumed to decline (ultimate trend rate)	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2019	2019	2014	2014

Assumed health care cost trend rates affect the amounts reported for the postretirement benefit plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	U.S.		Non-U.S.	
	1-Percentage-Point		1-Percentage-Point	
	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$	\$	\$ 1	\$ (1)
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligations	5	(5)	16	(13)

Amortization included in net postretirement benefit cost is based on the average remaining service of employees.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

9. Pension Benefit Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (Continued)

The following estimated future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid in the years indicated:

Year(s)	U.S.	Non-U.S.
2013	\$ 14	\$ 4
2014	14	4
2015	14	5
2016	13	5
2017	13	5
2018 - 2022	58	26

Benefits provided by the Company for certain hourly retirees are determined by collective bargaining. Most other domestic hourly retirees receive health and life insurance benefits from a multi-employer trust established by collective bargaining. Payments to the trust as required by the bargaining agreements are based upon specified amounts per hour worked and were \$6 million in 2012, \$6 million in 2011, and \$6 million in 2010. Postretirement health and life benefits for retirees of foreign subsidiaries are generally provided through the national health care programs of the countries in which the subsidiaries are located.

10. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was calculated based on the following components of earnings (loss) before income taxes:

	2012	2011	2010
Continuing operations			
U.S.	\$ 32	\$ 23	\$ (115)
Non-U.S.	296	(419)	541
	\$ 328	\$ (396)	\$ 426

	2012	2011	2010
Discontinued operations			
U.S.	\$	\$	\$
Non-U.S.	(5)	(2)	(296)
	\$ (5)	\$ (2)	\$ (296)

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

	2012	2011	2010
Current:			
U.S.	\$ (4)	\$ (12)	\$
Non-U.S.	117	139	141
	113	127	141
Deferred:			
U.S.	8	11	(10)
Non-U.S.	(13)	(53)	(2)
	(5)	(42)	(12)
Total:			
U.S.	4	(1)	(10)
Non-U.S.	104	86	139
Total for continuing operations	108	85	129
Total for discontinued operations	(3)	(3)	4
	\$ 105	\$ 82	\$ 133

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes based on the statutory U.S. Federal tax rate of 35% to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Tax provision on pretax earnings (loss) from continuing operations at statutory U.S. Federal tax rate	\$ 115	\$ (139)	\$ 149
Increase (decrease) in provision for income taxes due to:			
Differences in income taxes on foreign earnings, losses and remittances		(13)	(46)
Goodwill impairment		224	
Intraperiod tax allocation U.S.			(8)
Changes in valuation allowance	(7)	15	11
Tax audits and settlements	(1)	3	21
Other items	1	(5)	2
Provision for income taxes	\$ 108	\$ 85	\$ 129

Income tax expense or benefit from continuing operations is generally determined without regard to other categories of earnings, such as other comprehensive income and discontinued operations. An exception is provided when there is aggregate pretax income from other categories and a pretax loss from continuing operations in the current year. In such an instance, the tax benefit allocated to continuing operations is the amount by which the loss from continuing operations reduces the tax expenses recorded with respect to the other categories of earnings, even when a valuation allowance has been established against the deferred tax assets. In instances where a valuation allowance is

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

established against current year losses, income from other sources, including other comprehensive income and discontinued operations, is considered when determining whether sufficient future taxable income exists to realize the deferred tax assets.

During 2010, certain pretax losses from continuing operations were partially offset by other comprehensive income and discontinued operations as a result of the exception noted above, resulting in a reduction of the valuation allowance and a benefit allocated to income tax expense from continuing operations of \$8 million.

Deferred income taxes reflect: (1) the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes; and (2) carryovers and credits for income tax purposes.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued postretirement benefits	\$ 89	\$ 90
Asbestos-related liabilities	161	164
Foreign tax credit	354	338
Operating and capital loss carryovers	486	438
Other credit carryovers	46	51
Accrued liabilities	95	118
Pension liability	237	224
Other	97	70
Total deferred tax assets	1,565	1,493
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	120	121
Exchangeable notes	19	23
Intangibles	13	
Other	83	44
Total deferred tax liabilities	235	188
Valuation allowance	(1,171)	(1,176)
Net deferred taxes	\$ 159	\$ 129

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

Deferred taxes are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011 as follows:

	2012	2011
Prepaid expenses	\$ 62	\$ 48
Other assets	284	295
U.S. and foreign income taxes	(5)	(2)
Deferred taxes	(182)	(212)
Net deferred taxes	\$ 159	\$ 129

The Company reviews the likelihood that it will realize the benefit of its deferred tax assets and therefore the need for valuation allowances on a quarterly basis, or whenever events indicate that a review is required. In determining the requirement for a valuation allowance, the historical and projected financial results of the legal entity or consolidated group recording the net deferred tax asset is considered, along with other positive and negative evidence.

At December 31, 2012, before valuation allowance, the Company had unused foreign tax credits of \$354 million expiring in 2017 through 2022, research tax credit of \$19 million expiring from 2013 to 2032, and alternative minimum tax credits of \$26 million which do not expire and which will be available to offset future U.S. Federal income tax. Approximately \$188 million of the deferred tax assets related to operating and capital loss carryforwards can be carried over indefinitely, with the remaining \$298 million expiring between 2013 and 2032.

At December 31, 2012, the Company's equity in the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries for which income taxes had not been provided approximated \$2.5 billion. The Company intends to reinvest these earnings indefinitely in the non-U.S. operations and has not distributed any of these earnings to the U.S. in 2012, 2011 or 2010. It is not practicable to estimate the U.S. and foreign tax which would be payable should these earnings be distributed. Deferred taxes are provided for earnings of non-U.S. jurisdictions when the Company plans to remit those earnings.

The Company has recognized tax benefits as a result of incentives in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions which expire between 2013 and 2016.

The Company records a liability for unrecognized tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions. The Company accrues interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as a component

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

of its income tax expense. The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total gross unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	2012	2011	2010
Balance at January 1	\$ 125	\$ 143	\$ 120
Additions and reductions for tax positions of prior years	8	(15)	26
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	7	30	5
Additions for tax positions of prior years on acquisitions			12
Reductions due to the lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(21)	(8)	(1)
Reductions due to settlements	(26)	(18)	(13)
Foreign currency translation	4	(7)	(6)
Balance at December 31	\$ 97	\$ 125	\$ 143
Unrecognized tax benefits, which if recognized, would impact the Company's effective income tax rate	\$ 89	\$ 114	\$ 125
Accrued interest and penalties at December 31	\$ 33	\$ 49	\$ 36
Interest and penalties included in tax expense for the years ended December 31	\$ (6)	\$ 18	\$ 4

Based upon the outcome of tax examinations, judicial proceedings, or expiration of statute of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate resolution of these unrecognized tax benefits may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. The Company believes that unrecognized tax benefits will not change significantly within the next twelve months.

The Company is currently under examination in various tax jurisdictions in which it operates, including Czech Republic, Ecuador, Germany, Italy, Poland, Spain and the UK. The years under examination range from 2005 through 2011. The Company believes that there are no jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The Company further believes that adequate provisions for all income tax uncertainties have been made. During 2012, the Company concluded audits in several jurisdictions, including Australia, Hungary, Italy, France, Germany and Switzerland.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****11. Debt**

The following table summarizes the long-term debt of the Company at December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Secured Credit Agreement:		
Revolving Credit Facility:		
Revolving Loans	\$	\$
Term Loans:		
Term Loan A (51 million AUD at December 31, 2012)	53	173
Term Loan B	525	600
Term Loan C (102 million CAD at December 31, 2012)	102	114
Term Loan D (€123 million at December 31, 2012)	163	182
Senior Notes:		
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	642	624
7.375%, due 2016	591	588
6.875%, due 2017 (€300 million)	396	388
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	660	647
Senior Debentures:		
7.80%, due 2018	250	250
Other	95	137
Total long-term debt	3,477	3,703
Less amounts due within one year	23	76
Long-term debt	\$ 3,454	\$ 3,627

On May 19, 2011, the Company's subsidiary borrowers entered into the Secured Credit Agreement (the "Agreement"). At December 31, 2012, the Agreement included a \$900 million revolving credit facility, a 51 million Australian dollar term loan, a \$525 million term loan, a 102 million Canadian dollar term loan, and a €123 million term loan, each of which has a final maturity date of May 19, 2016. During 2012, the Company's subsidiary borrowers repaid 119 million Australian dollars, \$75 million, 14 million Canadian dollars, and €18 million of term loans under the Agreement. At December 31, 2012, the Company's subsidiary borrowers had unused credit of \$796 million available under the Agreement.

The Agreement contains various covenants that restrict, among other things and subject to certain exceptions, the ability of the Company to incur certain liens, make certain investments, become liable under contingent obligations in certain defined instances only, make restricted junior payments, make certain asset sales within guidelines and limits, make capital expenditures beyond a certain threshold, engage in material transactions with shareholders and affiliates, participate in sale and leaseback financing arrangements, alter its fundamental business, and amend certain outstanding debt obligations.

The Agreement also contains one financial maintenance covenant, a Leverage Ratio, that requires the Company not to exceed a ratio calculated by dividing consolidated total debt, less cash and cash equivalents, by Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the Agreement. The Leverage Ratio

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

11. Debt (Continued)

could restrict the ability of the Company to undertake additional financing or acquisitions to the extent that such financing or acquisitions would cause the Leverage Ratio to exceed the specified maximum.

Failure to comply with these covenants and restrictions could result in an event of default under the Agreement. In such an event, the Company could not request borrowings under the revolving facility, and all amounts outstanding under the Agreement, together with accrued interest, could then be declared immediately due and payable. If an event of default occurs under the Agreement and the lenders cause all of the outstanding debt obligations under the Agreement to become due and payable, this would result in a default under a number of other outstanding debt securities and could lead to an acceleration of obligations related to these debt securities. A default or event of default under the Agreement, indentures or agreements governing other indebtedness could also lead to an acceleration of debt under other debt instruments that contain cross acceleration or cross-default provisions.

The Leverage Ratio also determines pricing under the Agreement. The interest rate on borrowings under the Agreement is, at the Company's option, the Base Rate or the Eurocurrency Rate, as defined in the Agreement. These rates include a margin linked to the Leverage Ratio. The margins range from 1.25% to 2.00% for Eurocurrency Rate loans and from 0.25% to 1.00% for Base Rate loans. In addition, a facility fee is payable on the revolving credit facility commitments ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum linked to the Leverage Ratio. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the Agreement at December 31, 2012 was 2.33%. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all covenants and restrictions in the Agreement. In addition, the Company believes that it will remain in compliance and that its ability to borrow funds under the Agreement will not be adversely affected by the covenants and restrictions.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries. Borrowings are also secured by a pledge of intercompany debt and equity in most of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and stock of certain foreign subsidiaries. All borrowings under the agreement are guaranteed by substantially all domestic subsidiaries of the Company for the term of the Agreement.

During May 2010, a subsidiary of the Company issued exchangeable senior notes with a face value of \$690 million due June 1, 2015 ("2015 Exchangeable Notes"). The 2015 Exchangeable Notes bear interest at 3.00% and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's domestic subsidiaries.

Upon exchange of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes, under the terms outlined below, the issuer of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes is required to settle the principal amount in cash and the Company is required to settle the exchange premium in shares of the Company's common stock. The exchange premium is calculated as the value of the Company's common stock in excess of the initial exchange price of approximately \$47.47 per share, which is equivalent to an exchange rate of 21.0642 per \$1,000 principal amount of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The exchange rate may be adjusted upon the occurrence of certain events, such as certain distributions, dividends or issuances of cash, stock, options, warrants or other property or effecting a share split, or a significant change in the ownership or structure of the Company, such as a recapitalization or reclassification of the Company's common stock, a merger or consolidation involving the Company or the sale or conveyance to another person of all or substantially all of the property and assets of the Company and its subsidiaries substantially as an entirety.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****11. Debt (Continued)**

Prior to March 1, 2015, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged only if (1) the price of the Company's common stock exceeds \$61.71 (130% of the exchange price) for a specified period of time, (2) the trading price of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes falls below 98% of the average exchange value of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for a specified period of time (trading price was 222% of exchange value at December 31, 2012), or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions. The 2015 Exchangeable Notes may be exchanged without restrictions on or after March 1, 2015. As of December 31, 2012, the 2015 Exchangeable Notes are not exchangeable by the holders.

The value of the exchange feature of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes was computed using the Company's non-exchangeable debt borrowing rate at the date of issuance of 6.15% and was accounted for as a debt discount and a corresponding increase to share owners' equity. The carrying values of the liability and equity components at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Principal amount of exchangeable notes	\$ 690	\$ 690
Unamortized discount on exchangeable notes	48	66
Net carrying amount of liability component	\$ 642	\$ 624
Carrying amount of equity component	\$ 93	\$ 93

The debt discount is being amortized over the life of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes. The amount of interest expense recognized on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Contractual coupon interest	\$ 21	\$ 21
Amortization of discount on exchangeable notes	18	17
Total interest expense	\$ 39	\$ 38

The Company has a €240 million European accounts receivable securitization program, which extends through September 2016, subject to annual renewal of backup credit lines. Information related to the Company's accounts receivable securitization program as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	2012	2011
Balance (included in short-term loans)	\$ 264	\$ 281
Weighted average interest rate	1.33%	2.41%

The Company capitalized \$1 million in 2011 under capital lease obligations with the related financing recorded as long-term debt. There were no new capital lease obligations recorded in 2012. This amount is included in other in the long-term debt table above.

Annual maturities for all of the Company's long-term debt through 2017 are as follows: 2013, \$23 million; 2014, \$179 million; 2015, \$1,068 million; 2016, \$933 million; and 2017, \$401 million.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****11. Debt (Continued)**

Fair values at December 31, 2012, of the Company's significant fixed rate debt obligations are as follows:

	Principal Amount	Indicated Market Price	Fair Value
Senior Notes:			
3.00%, Exchangeable, due 2015	\$ 690	99.34	\$ 685
7.375%, due 2016	600	114.50	687
6.875%, due 2017 (€300 million)	396	103.86	411
6.75%, due 2020 (€500 million)	660	114.01	752
Senior Debentures:			
7.80%, due 2018	250	112.44	281

12. Contingencies

The Company is a defendant in numerous lawsuits alleging bodily injury and death as a result of exposure to asbestos dust. From 1948 to 1958, one of the Company's former business units commercially produced and sold approximately \$40 million of a high-temperature, calcium-silicate based pipe and block insulation material containing asbestos. The Company exited the pipe and block insulation business in April 1958. The typical asbestos personal injury lawsuit alleges various theories of liability, including negligence, gross negligence and strict liability and seeks compensatory and in some cases, punitive damages in various amounts (herein referred to as "asbestos claims").

The following table shows the approximate number of plaintiffs and claimants who had asbestos claims pending against the Company at the beginning of each listed year, the number of claims disposed of during that year, the year's filings and the claims pending at the end of each listed year (eliminating duplicate filings):

	2012	2011	2010
Pending at beginning of year	4,600	5,900	6,900
Disposed	4,400	4,500	4,200
Filed	2,400	3,200	3,200
Pending at end of year	2,600	4,600	5,900

Based on an analysis of the lawsuits pending as of December 31, 2012, approximately 66% of plaintiffs either do not specify the monetary damages sought, or in the case of court filings, claim an amount sufficient to invoke the jurisdictional minimum of the trial court. Approximately 30% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages of \$15 million or less, and 4% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages greater than \$15 million but less than \$100 million. Fewer than 1% of plaintiffs specifically plead damages equal to or greater than \$100 million.

As indicated by the foregoing summary, current pleading practice permits considerable variation in the assertion of monetary damages. The Company's experience resolving hundreds of thousands of asbestos claims and lawsuits over an extended period demonstrates that the monetary relief that may be

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

12. Contingencies (Continued)

alleged in a complaint bears little relevance to a claim's merits or disposition value. Rather, the amount potentially recoverable is determined by such factors as the severity of the plaintiff's asbestos disease, the product identification evidence against the Company and other defendants, the defenses available to the Company and other defendants, the specific jurisdiction in which the claim is made, and the plaintiff's medical history and exposure to other disease-causing agents.

In addition to the pending claims set forth above, the Company has claims-handling agreements in place with many plaintiffs' counsel throughout the country. These agreements require evaluation and negotiation regarding whether particular claimants qualify under the criteria established by such agreements. The criteria for such claims include verification of a compensable illness and a reasonable probability of exposure to a product manufactured by the Company's former business unit during its manufacturing period ending in 1958.

The Company has also been a defendant in other asbestos-related lawsuits or claims involving maritime workers, medical monitoring claimants, co-defendants and property damage claimants. Based upon its past experience, the Company believes that these categories of lawsuits and claims will not involve any material liability and they are not included in the above description of pending matters or in the following description of disposed matters.

Since receiving its first asbestos claim, the Company as of December 31, 2012, has disposed of the asbestos claims of approximately 391,000 plaintiffs and claimants at an average indemnity payment per claim of approximately \$8,400. Certain of these dispositions have included deferred amounts payable over a number of years. Deferred amounts payable totaled approximately \$24 million at December 31, 2012 (\$18 million at December 31, 2011) and are included in the foregoing average indemnity payment per claim. The Company's asbestos indemnity payments have varied on a per claim basis, and are expected to continue to vary considerably over time. As discussed above, a part of the Company's objective is to achieve, where possible, resolution of asbestos claims pursuant to claims-handling agreements. Failure of claimants to meet certain medical and product exposure criteria in the Company's administrative claims handling agreements has generally reduced the number of marginal or suspect claims that would otherwise have been received. In addition, certain courts and legislatures have reduced or eliminated the number of marginal or suspect claims that the Company otherwise would have received. These developments generally have had the effect of increasing the Company's per-claim average indemnity payment over time.

The Company believes that its ultimate asbestos-related liability (i.e., its indemnity payments or other claim disposition costs plus related legal fees) cannot reasonably be estimated. Beginning with the initial liability of \$975 million established in 1993, the Company has accrued a total of approximately \$4.3 billion through 2012, before insurance recoveries, for its asbestos-related liability. The Company's ability to reasonably estimate its liability has been significantly affected by, among other factors, the volatility of asbestos-related litigation in the United States, the significant number of co-defendants that have filed for bankruptcy, the magnitude and timing of co-defendant bankruptcy trust payments, the inherent uncertainty of future disease incidence and claiming patterns, the expanding list of non-traditional defendants that have been sued in this litigation, and the use of mass litigation screenings to generate large numbers of claims by parties who allege exposure to asbestos dust but have no present physical asbestos impairment.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

12. Contingencies (Continued)

The Company has continued to monitor trends that may affect its ultimate liability and has continued to analyze the developments and variables affecting or likely to affect the resolution of pending and future asbestos claims against the Company. The material components of the Company's accrued liability are based on amounts determined by the Company in connection with its annual comprehensive review and consist of the following estimates, to the extent it is probable that such liabilities have been incurred and can be reasonably estimated: (i) the liability for asbestos claims already asserted against the Company; (ii) the liability for preexisting but unasserted asbestos claims for prior periods arising under its administrative claims-handling agreements with various plaintiffs' counsel; (iii) the liability for asbestos claims not yet asserted against the Company, but which the Company believes will be asserted in the next several years; and (iv) the legal defense costs likely to be incurred in connection with the foregoing types of claims.

The significant assumptions underlying the material components of the Company's accrual are:

- a) the extent to which settlements are limited to claimants who were exposed to the Company's asbestos-containing insulation prior to its exit from that business in 1958;
- b) the extent to which claims are resolved under the Company's administrative claims agreements or on terms comparable to those set forth in those agreements;
- c) the extent of decrease or increase in the incidence of serious disease cases and claiming patterns for such cases;
- d) the extent to which the Company is able to defend itself successfully at trial;
- e) the extent to which courts and legislatures eliminate, reduce or permit the diversion of financial resources for unimpaired claimants;
- f) the number and timing of additional co-defendant bankruptcies;
- g) the extent to which bankruptcy trusts direct resources to resolve claims that are also presented to the Company and the timing of the payments made by the bankruptcy trusts; and
- h) the extent to which co-defendants with substantial resources and assets continue to participate significantly in the resolution of future asbestos lawsuits and claims.

As noted above, the Company conducts a comprehensive review of its asbestos-related liabilities and costs annually in connection with finalizing and reporting its annual results of operations, unless significant changes in trends or new developments warrant an earlier review. If the results of an annual comprehensive review indicate that the existing amount of the accrued liability is insufficient to cover its estimated future asbestos-related costs, then the Company will record an appropriate charge to increase the accrued liability. The Company believes that a reasonable estimation of the probable amount of the liability for claims not yet asserted against the Company is not possible beyond a period of several years. Therefore, while the results of future annual comprehensive reviews cannot be determined, the Company expects the addition of one year to the estimation period will result in an annual charge.

On March 11, 2011, the Company received a verdict in an asbestos case in which conspiracy claims had been asserted against the Company. Of the total nearly \$90 million awarded by the jury against the

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

12. Contingencies (Continued)

four defendants in the case, almost \$10 million in compensatory damages were assessed against all four defendants, and \$40 million in punitive damages were assessed against the Company. On August 31, 2012, the trial judge who presided over the original trial vacated all of the damages awarded against the Company in the trial and entered judgment in the Company's favor. The plaintiff has appealed the trial judge's ruling to an intermediate appellate court, and while the Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this appeal, the Company believes that the trial judge ruled appropriately based upon applicable appellate precedent.

A prominent Baltimore plaintiffs' firm recently filed a motion in Maryland to consolidate for trial more than 13,000 non mesothelioma claims (the "Motion"). The plaintiffs' proposal is to consolidate these cases for trial on "common issues" and then have "mini trials" on damages. Most of these cases are currently on an inactive docket. The initial hearing on the Motion to consolidate was in December 2012 but no ruling was issued at that time. The Company cannot predict whether or not the Motion will be granted and, if so, the number, timing or format of any trial or the costs that might be required to litigate or resolve cases subject to the Motion. If the Motion is granted, then a substantial number of these previously inactive cases may be activated against the Company.

The Company's reported results of operations for 2012 were materially affected by the \$155 million fourth quarter charge for asbestos-related costs and asbestos-related payments continue to be substantial. Any future additional charge would likewise materially affect the Company's results of operations for the period in which it is recorded. Also, the continued use of significant amounts of cash for asbestos-related costs has affected and may continue to affect the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to pursue global or domestic acquisitions. However, the Company believes that its operating cash flows and other sources of liquidity will be sufficient to pay its obligations for asbestos-related costs and to fund its working capital and capital expenditure requirements on a short-term and long-term basis.

The Company is conducting an internal investigation into conduct in certain of its overseas operations that may have violated the anti-bribery provisions of the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"), the FCPA's books and records and internal controls provisions, the Company's own internal policies, and various local laws. In October 2012, the Company voluntarily disclosed these matters to the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The Company intends to cooperate with any investigation by the DOJ and the SEC.

The Company is presently unable to predict the duration, scope or result of its internal investigation, of any investigations by the DOJ or the SEC or whether either agency will commence any legal action. The DOJ and the SEC have a broad range of civil and criminal sanctions under the FCPA and other laws and regulations including, but not limited to, injunctive relief, disgorgement, fines, penalties, and modifications to business practices. The Company also could be subject to investigation and sanctions outside the United States. While the Company is currently unable to quantify the impact of any potential sanctions or remedial measures, it does not expect such actions will have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

12. Contingencies (Continued)

In 2012, the Company reached a settlement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to resolve alleged Clean Air Act violations at certain of its glass manufacturing facilities. As part of the settlement, the Company agreed to pay a penalty of \$1 million and install pollution control equipment at these facilities. The pollution control equipment is estimated to cost approximately \$38 million, of which the Company has already spent approximately \$17 million. The remaining equipment will be purchased and installed during 2013.

Other litigation is pending against the Company, in many cases involving ordinary and routine claims incidental to the business of the Company and in others presenting allegations that are non-routine and involve compensatory, punitive or treble damage claims as well as other types of relief. The Company records a liability for such matters when it is both probable that the liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. Recorded amounts are reviewed and adjusted to reflect changes in the factors upon which the estimates are based, including additional information, negotiations, settlements and other events.

13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income are: (a) net earnings; (b) change in fair value of certain derivative instruments; (c) pension and other postretirement benefit adjustments; and (d) foreign currency translation adjustments. The net effect of exchange rate fluctuations generally reflects changes in the relative strength of the U.S. dollar against major foreign currencies between the beginning and end of the year.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Continued)

The following table lists the beginning balance, annual activity and ending balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):

	Net Effect of Exchange Rate Fluctuations	Deferred Tax Effect for Translation	Change in Certain Derivative Instruments	Employee Benefit Plans	Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance on January 1, 2010	\$ 290	\$ 13	\$ (14)	\$ (1,607)	\$ (1,318)
2010 Change	382		(2)	60	440
Translation effect				(1)	(1)
Tax effect				(4)	(4)
Intraperiod tax allocation				(14)	(14)
Balance on December 31, 2010	672	13	(16)	(1,566)	(897)
2011 Change	(187)		(3)	(218)	(408)
Translation effect				1	1
Tax effect				(8)	(8)
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	(9)				(9)
Balance on December 31, 2011	476	13	(19)	(1,791)	(1,321)
2012 Change	(34)		5	(200)	(229)
Translation effect				(9)	(9)
Tax effect				53	53
Balance on December 31, 2012	\$ 442	\$ 13	\$ (14)	\$ (1,947)	\$ (1,506)

Exchange rate fluctuations in 2010 included the write-off of cumulative currency translation losses related to the disposal of the Venezuelan operations. See Note 21 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

The intraperiod tax allocation in 2010 related to a non-cash tax benefit transferred to continuing operations. See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

14. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation

The Company has various nonqualified plans approved by share owners under which it has granted stock options, restricted shares and performance vested restricted share units. At December 31, 2012, there were 5,455,000 shares available for grants under these plans. Total compensation cost for all grants of shares and units under these plans was \$11 million, \$1 million and \$11 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The expense in 2011 was decreased as a result of adjustments made to performance vested restricted share units due to actual and expected attainment of performance goals.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

14. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

Stock Options

For options granted prior to March 22, 2005, no options may be exercised in whole or in part during the first year after the date granted. In general, subject to accelerated exercisability provisions related to the performance of the Company's common stock or change of control, 50% of the options became exercisable on the fifth anniversary of the date of the option grant, with the remaining 50% becoming exercisable on the sixth anniversary date of the option grant. In general, options expire following termination of employment or the day after the tenth anniversary date of the option grant.

For options granted after March 21, 2005, no options may be exercised in whole or in part during the first year after the date granted. In general, subject to change in control, these options become exercisable 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. In general, options expire following termination of employment or the seventh anniversary of the option grant.

The fair value of options granted before March 22, 2005, was amortized ratably over five years or a shorter period if the grant became subject to accelerated exercisability provisions related to the performance of the Company's common stock. The fair value of options granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

Stock option information at December 31, 2012 and for the year then ended is as follows:

	Number of Shares (thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price (per share)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at January 1, 2012	4,044	\$ 22.20		
Granted	435	22.50		
Exercised	(296)	12.41		
Forfeited or expired	(448)	25.20		
Options outstanding at December 31, 2012	3,735	22.65	3.0	\$ 14
Options vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2012	3,687	\$ 22.65	3.0	\$ 14
Options exercisable at December 31, 2012	2,545	\$ 22.65	2.1	\$ 10

Certain additional information related to stock options is as follows for the periods indicated:

	2012	2011	2010
Weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted (per share)	\$ 10.63	\$ 13.70	\$ 14.60
Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 5
Aggregate cash received from options exercised	\$ 4	\$ 5	\$ 5

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****14. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation (Continued)**

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions:

	2012	2011	2010
Expected life of options (years)	4.75	4.75	4.75
Expected stock price volatility	56.6%	53.2%	53.3%
Risk-free interest rate	0.6% - 0.8%	0.8% - 2.1%	1.2% - 2.5%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

The expected life of options is determined from historical exercise and termination data. The expected stock price volatility is determined by reference to historical prices over a period equal to the expected life.

Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

Shares granted to employees prior to March 22, 2005, generally vest after three years or upon retirement, whichever is later. Shares granted after March 21, 2005 and prior to 2011, vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary and unvested shares are forfeited upon termination of employment. Restricted share units granted to employees after 2010 vest 25% per year beginning on the first anniversary. Holders of vested restricted share units receive one share of the Company's common stock for each unit. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination, unless certain retirement criteria are met. Shares granted to directors prior to 2008 were immediately vested but may not be sold until the third anniversary of the share grant or the end of the director's then current term on the board, whichever is later. Shares granted to directors after 2007 vest after one year.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted share units is equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of restricted shares granted before March 22, 2005, is amortized ratably over the vesting period. The fair value of restricted shares and restricted share units granted after March 21, 2005, is amortized over the vesting periods which range from one to four years.

The activity of restricted shares and restricted share units is as follows:

	Number of Restricted Shares (thousands)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value (per share)
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	511	\$ 21.98
Granted	239	22.39
Vested	(225)	20.86
Forfeited	(60)	25.01
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	465	22.34
Awards granted during 2011		\$ 29.99
Awards granted during 2010		\$ 31.30
		98

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

14. Stock Options and Other Stock Based Compensation (Continued)

	2012	2011	2010
Total fair value of shares vested	\$ 5	\$ 4	\$ 5

Performance Vested Restricted Share Units

Performance vested restricted share units vest on January 1 of the third year following the year in which they are granted. Holders of vested units may receive up to 2 shares of the Company's common stock for each unit, depending upon the attainment of consolidated performance goals established by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. If minimum goals are not met, no shares will be issued. Granted but unvested restricted share units are forfeited upon termination of employment, unless certain retirement criteria are met.

The fair value of each performance vested restricted share unit is equal to the product of the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted. The fair value of performance vested restricted share units is amortized ratably over the vesting period. Should the estimated number of shares into which the performance vested restricted share unit will be converted change, an adjustment will be recorded to recognize the accumulated difference in amortization between the revised and previous estimates.

Performance vested restricted share unit activity is as follows:

	Number of Performance Vested Restricted Shares Units (thousands)	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value (per unit)
Nonvested at January 1, 2012	1,279	\$ 18.06
Granted	410	22.50
Vested	(354)	10.18
Forfeited/Cancelled	(522)	13.31
Nonvested at December 31, 2012	813	26.78
Awards granted during 2011		\$ 29.70
Awards granted during 2010		\$ 31.10

354,000 shares were issued in 2012 with a fair value at issuance date of \$8 million related to performance vested restricted share units.

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$15 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to all unvested stock options, restricted shares and performance vested restricted share units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately two years.

15. Other Expense

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$168 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 8 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

15. Other Expense (Continued)

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a gain of \$61 million related to cash received from the Chinese government as compensation for land in China that the Company was required to return to the government.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a charge of \$155 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 12 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$8 million in 2012.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$95 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 8 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges totaling \$17 million for asset impairment, primarily due to the write down of asset values related to a 2010 acquisition in China as a result of integration challenges. The Company wrote down the value of these assets to the extent their carrying amounts exceeded fair value. The Company classified the significant assumptions used to determine the fair value of the impaired assets, which was not material, as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$641 million related to its Asia Pacific segment. See Note 5 for additional information.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a charge of \$165 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 12 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$6 million in 2011.

Other expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 included the following:

The Company recorded charges totaling \$13 million for restructuring, asset impairment and related charges. See Note 8 for additional information.

The Company recorded charges of \$12 million for acquisition-related fair value inventory adjustments. This charge was due to the accounting rules requiring inventory purchased in a business combination to be marked up to fair value, and then recorded as an increase to cost of goods sold as the inventory is sold. The Company also recorded charges of \$20 million for acquisition-related restructuring, transaction and financing costs.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company recorded a charge of \$170 million to increase the accrual for estimated future asbestos-related costs as a result of the findings from the annual review of asbestos-related liabilities. See Note 12 for additional information.

Aggregate foreign currency exchange losses included in other expense were \$3 million in 2010.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****16. Operating Leases**

Rent expense attributable to all warehouse, office buildings and equipment operating leases was \$76 million in 2012, \$89 million in 2011, and \$115 million in 2010. Minimum future rentals under operating leases are as follows: 2013, \$52 million; 2014, \$42 million; 2015, \$33 million; 2016, \$26 million; 2017, \$18 million; and 2018 and thereafter, \$35 million.

17. Additional Interest Charges from Early Extinguishment of Debt

During 2011, the Company recorded additional interest charges of \$25 million for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees. During 2010, the Company recorded additional interest charges of \$9 million for note repurchase premiums and the related write-off of unamortized finance fees. In addition, the Company recorded a reduction of interest expense of \$9 million in 2010 to recognize the unamortized proceeds from terminated interest rate swaps on these notes.

18. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

Years ended December 31,	2012	2011	2010
Numerator:			
Net earnings (loss) attributable to the Company	\$ 184	\$ (500)	\$ (45)
Denominator (in thousands):			
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares outstanding	164,474	163,691	164,271
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options and other	1,294		2,807
Denominator for diluted earnings per share adjusted weighted average shares	165,768	163,691	167,078
Basic earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.13	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.58
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(2.00)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.28)
Diluted earnings per share:			
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 1.12	\$ (3.06)	\$ 1.56
Earnings from discontinued operations			0.14
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations	(0.01)	0.01	(1.97)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 1.11	\$ (3.05)	\$ (0.27)

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****18. Earnings Per Share (Continued)**

Options to purchase 2,125,963 and 687,353 weighted average shares of common stock which were outstanding during 2012 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares. For the year ended December 31, 2011, diluted earnings per share of common stock was equal to basic earnings per share of common stock due to the loss from continuing operations.

The 2015 Exchangeable Notes have a dilutive effect only in those periods in which the Company's average stock price exceeds the exchange price of \$47.47 per share. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company's average stock price did not exceed the exchange price. Therefore, the potentially issuable shares resulting from the settlement of the 2015 Exchangeable Notes were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share. See Note 11 for additional information on the 2015 Exchangeable Notes.

19. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Changes in the components of working capital related to operations (net of the effects related to acquisitions and divestitures) were as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
Decrease (increase) in current assets:			
Receivables	\$ 213	\$ (131)	\$ (60)
Inventories	(74)	(102)	(31)
Prepaid expenses and other	19	1	9
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	(53)	145	17
Accrued liabilities	(47)	(13)	(3)
Salaries and wages	29	(3)	(13)
U.S. and foreign income taxes	(6)	(14)	8
	\$ 81	\$ (117)	\$ (73)

Interest paid in cash, including note repurchase premiums, aggregated \$234 million for 2012, \$274 million for 2011, and \$229 million for 2010.

Income taxes paid in cash were as follows:

	2012	2011	2010
U.S. continuing	\$ 132	\$ 111	\$ 123
Non-U.S. continuing	132	111	123
Non-U.S. discontinued operations			7
Total income taxes paid in cash	\$ 132	\$ 112	\$ 132

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****20. Business Combinations**

On August 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Verrerie du Languedoc SAS ("VDL"), a single-furnace glass container plant in Vergeze, France. The Vergeze plant is located near the Nestle Waters' Perrier bottling facility and has a long-standing supply relationship with Nestle Waters.

On May 31, 2011, the Company acquired the noncontrolling interest in its southern Brazil operations for approximately \$140 million.

On September 1, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of Brazilian glassmaker Companhia Industrial de Vidros ("CIV") for total consideration of \$594 million, consisting of cash of \$572 million and acquired debt of \$22 million. CIV was the leading glass container manufacturer in northeastern Brazil, producing glass containers for the beverage, food and pharmaceutical industries, as well as tableware. The acquisition includes two plants in the state of Pernambuco and one in the state of Ceará. The acquisition was part of the Company's overall strategy of expanding its presence in emerging markets and expands its Brazilian footprint to align with unfolding consumer trends and customer growth plans. The results of CIV's operations have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since September 1, 2010, and are included in the South American operating segment.

The total purchase price was allocated to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities based upon their respective fair values. The following table summarizes the fair values of the assets and liabilities assumed on September 1, 2010:

Current assets	\$ 83
Goodwill	343
Other long-term assets	82
Net property, plant and equipment	200
Total assets	708
Current liabilities	(57)
Long-term liabilities	(79)
Net assets acquired	\$ 572

The liabilities assumed include accruals for uncertain tax positions and other tax contingencies. The purchase agreement includes provisions that require the sellers to reimburse the Company for any cash paid related to the settlement of these contingencies. Accordingly, the Company recognized a receivable from the sellers related to these contingencies.

Goodwill largely consisted of expected synergies resulting from the integration of the acquisition and anticipated growth opportunities with new and existing customers, and included intangible assets not separately recognized, such as federal and state tax incentives for development in Brazil's northeastern region. Goodwill is not deductible for federal income tax purposes.

On December 23, 2010, the Company acquired Hebei Rixin Glass Group Co., Ltd. The acquisition, located in Hebei Province of northern China, manufactures glass containers predominantly for China's domestic beer market.

Table of Contents

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

20. Business Combinations (Continued)

On December 7, 2010, the Company acquired the majority share of Zhaoqing Jiaxin Glasswork Co., LTD, a glass container manufacturer located in the Pearl River Delta region of Guangdong Province in China. Zhaoqing Jiaxin Glasswork Co., LTD produces glass packaging for the beer, food and non-alcoholic beverage markets.

On March 11, 2010, the Company acquired the majority share of Cristalerias Rosario, a glass container manufacturer located in Rosario, Argentina. Cristalerias Rosario primarily produces wine and non-alcoholic beverage glass containers.

In the second quarter of 2010, the Company formed a joint venture with Berli Jucker Public Company Limited ("BJC") of Thailand in order to expand the Company's presence in China and Southeast Asia. The joint venture entered into an agreement to purchase the operations of Malaya Glass from Fraser & Neave Holdings Bhd. Malaya Glass produces glass containers for the beer, non-alcoholic beverage and food markets, with plants located in China, Thailand, Malaysia and Vietnam. The acquisition was completed on July 16, 2010. The Company is recognizing its interest in the joint venture using the equity method of accounting.

The acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, did not meet the thresholds for a significant acquisition and therefore no pro forma financial information is presented.

21. Discontinued Operations

On October 26, 2010, the Venezuelan government, through Presidential Decree No. 7.751, expropriated the assets of Owens-Illinois de Venezuela and Fabrica de Vidrios Los Andes, C.A., two of the Company's subsidiaries in that country, which in effect constituted a taking of the going concerns of those companies. Shortly after the issuance of the decree, the Venezuelan government installed temporary administrative boards to control the expropriated assets.

Since the issuance of the decree, the Company has cooperated with the Venezuelan government, as it is compelled to do under Venezuelan law, to provide for an orderly transition while ensuring the safety and well-being of the employees and the integrity of the production facilities. The Company has been engaged in negotiations with the Venezuelan government in relation to certain aspects of the expropriation, including the compensation payable by the government as a result of its expropriation. On September 26, 2011, the Company, having been unable to reach an agreement with the Venezuelan government regarding fair compensation, commenced an arbitration against Venezuela through the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. The Company is unable at this stage to predict the amount, or timing of receipt, of compensation it will ultimately receive.

The Company considered the disposal of these assets to be complete as of December 31, 2010. As a result, and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, the Company has presented the results of operations for its Venezuelan subsidiaries in the Consolidated Results of Operations for all years presented as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**Owens-Illinois, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts****21. Discontinued Operations (Continued)**

The following summarizes the revenues and expenses of the Venezuelan operations reported as discontinued operations in the Consolidated Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Net sales	\$ 129
Manufacturing, shipping, and delivery	(86)
Gross profit	43
Selling and administrative expense	(5)
Other expense	3
Earnings from discontinued operations before income taxes	41
Provision for income taxes	(10)
Earnings from discontinued operations	31
Loss on disposal of discontinued operations	(331)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(300)
Net earnings from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5)
Net loss from discontinued operations attributable to the Company	\$ (305)

The loss on disposal of discontinued operations of \$331 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 included charges totaling \$77 million and \$260 million to write-off the net assets and cumulative currency translation losses, respectively, of the Company's Venezuelan operations, net of a tax benefit of \$6 million. The net assets were written-off as a result of the deconsolidation of the subsidiaries due to the loss of control. The type or amount of compensation the Company may receive from the Venezuelan government is uncertain and thus, will be recorded as a gain from discontinued operations when received. The cumulative currency translation losses relate to the devaluation of the Venezuelan bolivar in prior years and were written-off because the expropriation was a substantially complete liquidation of the Company's operations in Venezuela.

22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors

The following presents condensed consolidating financial information for the Company, segregating: (1) Owens-Illinois, Inc., the issuer of senior debentures (the "Parent"); (2) the two subsidiaries which have guaranteed the senior debentures on a subordinated basis (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries"); and (3) all other subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The Guarantor Subsidiaries are 100% owned direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company and their guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several. They have no operations and function only as intermediate holding companies.

[Table of Contents](#)

Owens-Illinois, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular data dollars in millions, except per share amounts

22. Financial Information for Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors (Continued)

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform all of the financial information to the financial presentation on a consolidated basis. The principal eliminations relate to investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

Balance Sheet	December 31, 2012				
	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Current assets:					
Accounts receivable	\$	\$	\$ 968	\$	\$ 968
Inventories			1,139		1,139
Other current assets			541		541
Total current assets			2,648		2,648
Investments in and advances to subsidiaries	1,592	1,342		(2,934)	
Goodwill			2,079		2,079
Other non-current assets			1,102		1,102
Total other assets	1,592	1,342	3,181	(2,934)	3,181
Property, plant and equipment, net			2,769		2,769
Total assets	\$ 1,592	\$ 1,342	\$ 8,598	\$ (2,934)	\$ 8,598
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	\$	\$ 1,688	\$	\$ 1,688
Current portion of asbestos liability	155				