

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC
Form DEF 14A
April 04, 2017

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[Table of Contents](#)
[Executive Compensation](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**Notice of 2017 Annual Meeting
of Shareholders and Proxy Statement**

Table of Contents

Dear Fellow Shareholders:

You are invited to attend the annual meeting of shareholders on Tuesday, May 16, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Time, at 750 Park Street, Des Moines, Iowa.

The notice of annual meeting and proxy statement provide an outline of the business to be conducted at the meeting. We will also report on the progress of the Company and answer shareholder questions.

We encourage you to read this proxy statement and vote your shares. You do not need to attend the annual meeting to vote. You may complete, date and sign a proxy or voting instruction card and return it in the envelope provided (if these materials were received by mail) or vote by using the telephone or the Internet. Thank you for acting promptly.

Distribution of annual meeting materials

As we've done in the past, Principal is taking advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission's rule that allows companies to provide proxy materials for the annual meeting via the Internet to registered shareholders. For each shareholder selecting to receive these materials electronically in the future, Principal and the Arbor Day Foundation will plant the same number of trees in a U.S. forest. In 2016, 1,429 trees were planted.

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Houston

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

April 4, 2017

Table of Contents

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Meeting Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017
Time: 9:00 a.m., Central Daylight Time
Location: 750 Park Street, Des Moines, Iowa
50392

Agenda:

1. Elect four Class I Directors;
2. Hold an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
3. Hold an advisory vote on the timing of future advisory votes on executive compensation;
4. Ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for 2017; and
5. Transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

The Company has not received notice of other matters that may be properly presented at the annual meeting.

You can vote if you were a shareholder of record on March 22, 2017. It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please vote:

Internet

Through the Internet: visit the website noted in the notice of Internet availability of proxy materials shareholders received by mail, on the proxy or voting instruction card, or in the instructions in the email message that notified you of the availability of the proxy materials.

If you attend the meeting, you will need to register and present a valid, government issued photo identification. If your shares are not registered in your name (for example, you hold the shares through an account with your stockbroker), you will need to bring proof of your ownership of those shares to the meeting in order to register. You should ask the broker, bank or other institution that holds your shares to provide you with either a copy of an account statement or a letter that shows your ownership of Principal Financial Group, Inc. common stock on March 22, 2017. Please bring that documentation to the meeting to register.

Telephone

By telephone: call the toll free telephone number shown on the proxy or voting instruction card or the instructions in the email message that notified you of the availability of the proxy materials.

Mail

Complete, sign and promptly return a proxy or voting instruction card in the postage paid envelope provided.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

By Order of the Board of Directors

Karen E. Shaff

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

April 4, 2017

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 16, 2017:**

The 2016 Annual Report, 2017 Proxy Statement and other proxy materials are available at
www.principal.com.

Your vote is important! Please take a moment to vote by Internet, telephone or proxy or voting instruction card as explained in the How Do I
Vote sections of this document.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Table of Contents</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Director Qualifications, Director Tenure, Process for Identifying and Evaluating Director Candidates and Diversity of the Board</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Proposal One Election of Directors</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Role of the Board of Directors in Risk Oversight</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Succession Planning and Talent Development</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Majority Voting</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Board Meetings</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Board Committees</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Directors Compensation</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Fees Earned by Directors in 2016</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Directors Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Restricted Stock Unit Grants</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Other Compensation</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Directors' Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>2016 Company Highlights</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>2016 Compensation Highlights</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Compensation Program Philosophy and Policies</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Summary of Compensation Elements</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>How We Make Compensation Decisions</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>2016 Executive Compensation Decisions</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Annual Incentive Pay</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Long Term Incentive Compensation</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Timing of Stock Option Awards and Other Equity Incentives</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Benefits</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Change of Control and Separation Pay</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Claw Back Policy</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Trading Policy</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Succession Planning</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Human Resources Committee Report</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Risk Assessment of Employee Incentive Plans</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards for Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016</u>	<u>38</u>

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016

39

Option Exercises and Stock Vesting

40

Pension Plan Information

41

2 2017 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

<u>Pension Distributions</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Non Qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Qualified 401(k) Plan and Excess Plan</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Severance Plans</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Change of Control Employment Agreements</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination Related to a Change of Control</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Proposal Two Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Proposal Three Frequency of Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Proposal Four Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountants</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Audit Fees</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Audit Related Fees</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Tax Fees</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>All Other Fees</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Appendix A Executive Compensation Benchmarking Study Participants</u>	<u>A-1</u>
<u>Appendix B Non GAAP Financial Measure Reconciliations</u>	<u>B-1</u>

Table of Contents

Director Qualifications, Director Tenure, Process for Identifying and Evaluating Director Candidates and Diversity of the Board

The Nominating and Governance Committee regularly assesses the expertise, skills, backgrounds, competencies and other characteristics of Directors and candidates for Board vacancies in light of the current Board makeup and the Company's strategic initiatives, risk factors, and other relevant circumstances. The Committee also assesses Directors' and candidates' personal and professional ethics, integrity, values and ability to contribute to the Board, including current employment responsibilities. The Board values experience as a current or former Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") or other senior executive in financial services, in international business and with financial management or accounting responsibilities. The following competencies are also particularly valued: strategic orientation, results orientation and comprehensive decision making, risk management and an understanding of current technology issues. The Committee periodically uses an outside consultant to assist with this responsibility, and these assessments provide direction in searches for Board candidates and in the evaluation of current Directors. The Committee reviews the performance of each Director whose term is expiring as part of the determination of whether to recommend his or her nomination for reelection to the Board. Input to this process is also received from the other Directors and an outside consultant may be engaged to assist with these reviews. Director performance and capabilities are evaluated against the characteristics and considerations noted above. Following the Committee's discussion, the outside consultant, if any, (or the Committee Chair) provides feedback to the Directors who were evaluated. The Board annually conducts a self-evaluation regarding its effectiveness, and the Audit, Finance, Human Resources and Nominating and Governance Committees also annually evaluate their respective committee's performance.

All Board members have:

Personal character that supports the Company's core value of integrity;

Training or experience that is useful to Principal in light of its strategy, initiatives and risk factors; and

A demonstrated willingness and ability to prepare for, attend and participate effectively in Board and Committee meetings.

Several current independent Directors have led businesses or major business divisions as CEO or President (Ms. Bernard, Mr. Dan, Mr. Ferro, Dr. Gelatt, Mr. Hochschild, Mr. Mills, Mr. Pickerell and Ms. Tallett). The following chart shows areas central to the Company's strategy, initiatives and operations for which independent Directors have specific training and executive level experience that assists them in their responsibilities.

4 2017 Proxy Statement

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Though the Board does not have a formal diversity policy, diversity of the Board is a valued objective. Therefore, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the Board's needs and diversity in terms of race, gender, national origin, backgrounds, experiences and areas of expertise when recruiting new Directors. Currently, 40% of the Company's independent Directors are women and 20% are African American.

The Board's diversity objective reflects the values of the Company as well. Principal has been recognized as one of the National Association of Female Executives' Top Companies for Executive Women 16 times and for the second year in a row, earned a perfect score on the Human Rights Campaign Foundation's 2016 Corporate Equality Index. We also were named one of the Ethisphere Institute World's Most Ethical Companies for the seventh consecutive year, Forbes' America's Best Employers, Working Mother magazine's 100 Best Companies and received the WorldatWork 2017 Seal of Distinction. The Board's effectiveness benefits from Directors who have the skills, backgrounds and qualifications needed by the Board and who also increase the Board's diversity.

Director tenure and Board refreshment are important topics that receive considerable Board focus. The Board believes that its thorough Director performance reviews and healthy Board refreshment processes better serve Principal and its stakeholders than would mandatory term limits. Strict term limits would require that Principal lose the continuing contribution of Directors who have invaluable insight into Principal and its industry, strategies and operations as a result of their experience. Nevertheless, Directors' terms must not extend past the annual meeting following their 72nd birthday. The tenure of the independent Directors is listed below. The average tenure of Principal's independent Directors is 12.9 years.

One new independent Director was added to the Board in 2016: Scott M. Mills. Mr. Mills has executive level experience in accounting and finance, asset and investment management, executive compensation, financial services, marketing, product development, strategic planning and technology. This addition was the result of a lengthy search that included consideration of numerous highly qualified candidates. The search was led by the Nominating and Governance Committee, with the assistance of a search firm. Finalist Director candidates met with Betsy J. Bernard, then Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee, Lead Director Elizabeth Tallett, Mr. Houston and other members of senior management. We anticipate that three additional tenured Directors will be replaced over the next five years, continuing our process of regularly refreshing the talents and perspectives reflected on our Board. The tenure of the Directors, as reflected in the chart above, balances deep knowledge of the Company, its industry and relevant issues, with fresh perspectives and additional expertise, while providing the oversight and independence needed to meet the interests of our shareholders.

Communicating with stakeholders including clients, customers, employees, and investors, has always been an important part of how Principal conducts its business. Principal has had in place for some time a formal engagement process with shareholders around matters of corporate governance. This past year, we continued our engagement efforts and added to the list of investors with whom, over the last several years, the Board's Lead Director has participated in robust discussions regarding our core corporate governance policies. These discussions provide us with helpful insight into shareholders' views on current governance topics, which are then discussed with the Nominating and Governance Committee and the full Board. This continuing process regularly supplements relevant communications regarding corporate governance made through the Company's website and by the Investor Relations staff.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider shareholder recommendations for Director candidates sent to it c/o the Company Secretary. Director candidates nominated by shareholders are evaluated in the same manner as Director candidates identified by the Committee and search firms it retains.

Table of Contents

Proposal One Election of Directors

The Board has three classes, each having a three-year term. All of the nominees are currently Directors of Principal. We expect that all of the nominees will be able and willing to serve if elected. However, if, prior to the annual meeting of shareholders, any nominee should become unable for any reason or unwilling for good cause to serve, proxies may be voted at the 2017 Annual Meeting for another person nominated as a substitute by the Board, or the Board may reduce the number of directors.

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote "For" all of the nominees for election at the Annual Meeting.

Nominees for Class I Directors With Terms Expiring in 2020

Betsy J. Bernard

Committees: Audit (effective November 28, 2016)
Nominating and Governance (Chair) (until November 28, 2016)
Finance
Strategic Issues (Chair) (effective September 21, 2016)
Executive

Public Directorships/Past 5 Years (all current): Zimmer Holdings, Inc. (member of the Compensation Committee and Chair of the Governance Committee), SITO Mobile, Inc. (Lead Independent Director, member of Audit and Compensation Committees, Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee)

Age: 61
Director Since: 1999
(Principal Life Insurance
Company ("Principal Life"),
2001 (the Company))

Ms. Bernard was President of AT&T from October 2002 until December 2003 where she led more than 50,000 employees with AT&T Business, then a nearly \$27 billion organization serving four million business customers. She was Chief Executive Officer of AT&T Consumer 2001-2002, which served about 40 million consumers and contributed \$11.5 billion to AT&T's normalized revenue in 2002. She was head of the consumer and small business division as Executive Vice President National Mass Markets at Qwest Communications from 2000-2001, and responsible for all retail markets at U S West as Executive Vice President Retail from 1998-2000. Ms. Bernard was a 2015 NACD Directorship 100 Honoree, and is the Chair of the Advisory Board of the Center on Religion, Culture & Conflict at Drew University.

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to leading and being responsible for financial management of AT&T, Ms. Bernard has executive level experience in brand management, marketing to individuals and small businesses, sales, customer care, operations, product management, electronic commerce, executive compensation, strategic planning, technology and mergers and acquisitions.

Education: Bachelor's degree from St. Lawrence University, master's degree in business administration from Fairleigh Dickinson University, MA from Stanford University in the Sloan Fellow Program.

6 2017 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

Jocelyn Carter-Miller

Committees: Finance (Chair)
Nominating and Governance

Public Directorships/Past 5 Years (all current): Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc. (Audit and Executive Committees, Chair of Corporate Governance Committee), Netgear, Inc. (Audit and Compensation Committees)

Ms. Carter-Miller has been President of TechEd Ventures since 2005, which specializes in the development and marketing of high performance educational and personal empowerment programming. She was Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of Office Depot, Inc. from February 2002 until March 2004, with responsibility for the company's marketing for its 846 superstores, contract, catalog and e-commerce businesses in the United States and Canada and operations in 15 other countries. Before joining Office Depot, she was Corporate Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of Motorola, Inc. with overall responsibility for marketing across its \$30 billion revenue base and diverse businesses. She also had general management responsibility while at Motorola for network operations in Latin America, Europe, the Middle East and Africa. Prior to joining Motorola, she was Vice President, Marketing and Product Development at Mattel, Inc. Ms. Carter-Miller was a 2013 NACD Directorship 100 Honoree.

Age: 59
Director Since: 1999
(Principal Life), 2001 (the
Company)

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to her marketing leadership background, Ms. Carter-Miller has executive level experience in brand management, advertising, sales, multinational companies, international operations, mergers and acquisitions, product development, project management, strategic planning, technology and leadership development and training. She is also a certified public accountant.

Education: B.S. in Accounting from the University of Illinois and an MBA in Finance and Marketing from the University of Chicago.

Dennis H. Ferro

Committees: Audit (until November 28, 2016)
Finance
Strategic Issues (Chair) (until September 21, 2016)
Nominating and Governance (Chair) (effective November 28, 2016),

Former Public Directorships/Past 5 Years: NYMAGIC, Inc.

Mr. Ferro served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Evergreen Investment Management Company, an asset management firm, from 2003 to 2008. Evergreen had assets under management of \$175 billion on December 31, 2008, served more than four million individual and institutional investors through management of a broad range of investment products including institutional portfolios, mutual funds, variable annuities and other investments, and was led by 300 investment professionals. Mr. Ferro was the Chief Investment Officer of Evergreen from 1999 to 2003. From 1994-1999, he was

Age: 71

Director Since: 2010

Executive Vice President of Zurich Investment Management Ltd. and Head of International Equity Investments, and from 1991-1994 was Senior Managing Director of CIGNA International Investments. Prior to 1991, he held positions with Bankers Trust Company in Japan, as President and Managing Director, and in Florida and New York. Mr. Ferro is a member of the Investment Committee of the American Bankers Association. During 2009-2012, Mr. Ferro served as a corporate Director and Chairman of the Investment Committee of the New York Marine and General Insurance Company, a subsidiary of NYMAGIC, Inc.

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to leading and being responsible for financial management of Evergreen Investment Management Company, Mr. Ferro has executive level experience in asset management, investment portfolio management, financial services, international operations, product development, marketing and distribution, strategic planning, executive compensation, risk management and mergers and acquisitions.

Education: He earned a bachelor's degree from Villanova University and an MBA in finance from St. John's University. Mr. Ferro is a Chartered Financial Analyst ("CFA").

Table of Contents

Scott M. Mills

Committees: Audit (effective July 27, 2016)
Human Resources (effective July 27, 2016)
Strategic Issues (effective September 21, 2016)

Public Directorships/Past 5 Years:

Mr. Mills has been Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Viacom, Inc. since 2015 and Executive Vice President of Human Resources and Administration since 2012. Prior to that, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of Viacom's BET Networks unit, where he previously served as chief financial officer and president of Digital Media. He worked in investment banking and served as Deputy Treasurer for the City of Philadelphia before joining BET.

Age: 48
Director Since: July 27,
2016

Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Mills has executive level experience in accounting and finance, asset and investment management, executive compensation, financial services, marketing, product development, strategic planning and technology.

Education: Bachelor's degree in economics from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania.

Continuing Class II Directors With Terms Expiring in 2018

Roger C. Hochschild

Committees: Strategic Issues
Audit
Human Resources

Mr. Hochschild has been the President and Chief Operating Officer of Discover Financial Services since 2004. He served as the Chief Administrative Officer, Executive Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer of Morgan Stanley from 2001 to 2004, Chief Marketing Officer of Discover Financial Services from 1998 to 2001 and a Senior Executive Vice President of MBNA America Bank from 1994 to 1998. He has been a Director for Chicago Public Media since October of 2016.

Age: 52
Director Since: 2015

Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Hochschild has executive level experience in asset and investment management, retail consumer services, executive compensation, financial services, marketing, mergers & acquisitions, product development, risk management and strategic planning.

Education: Bachelor's degree in economics from Georgetown University, M.B.A. from the Amos Tuck School at Dartmouth College.

Table of Contents

Daniel J. Houston

Former Public Directorships/Past 5 Years: Catalyst Health Solutions, Inc.

Mr. Houston has been Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Principal Life since May 18, 2016, and prior to that was President and Chief Executive Officer from August 18, 2015. He served as President and Chief Operating Officer from November 25, 2014-August 17, 2015. He joined Principal Life in 1984, and was President Retirement, Investor Services ("RIS") from 2009-2014, President, RIS from 2008-2009 and Executive Vice President, RIS from 2006-2008. He is a member of the boards of directors of the American Council of Life Insurers, the Financial Services Roundtable, Business Roundtable, Iowa Business Council, Greater Des Moines Partnership, Employee Benefits Research Institute, Iowa State University Business School Dean's Advisory Council and Partnership for a Healthier America.

Age: 55
Director Since: 2014

Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Houston has operational expertise, global awareness, and deep talent leadership skills. During his career with the Company, he has worked in sales, managed numerous businesses and helped lead the transformation of the Company to a global investment management leader. He has extensive operational experience, as well as expertise in risk management, executive compensation, marketing and sales, and mergers and acquisitions.

Education: Bachelor's of science degree from Iowa State University in 1984.

Elizabeth E. Tallett

Committees: Human Resources
Nominating and Governance
Executive

Public Directorships/Past 5 Years (all current): Meredith Corporation (Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees), Qiagen, N. V. (Audit and Compensation Committees), Anthem, Inc.(Compensation and Executive Committees, Chair of Governance Committee)

Former Public Directorships/Past 5 Years: Coventry Health Care, Inc., Immunicon, Inc., IntegraMed America, Inc., Varian, Inc. and Varian SemiConductor Equipment Associates, Inc.

Age: 68
Director Since: 1992
(Principal Life), 2001 (the
Company)

Ms. Tallett has been Lead Director since 2007.

Ms. Tallett was Principal of Hunter Partners, LLC, a management company for early to mid stage pharmaceutical, biotech and medical device companies, from July 2002 to Feb 2015. She continues to operate as a consultant to early stage pharmaceutical and healthcare companies. She has more than 30 years' experience in the biopharmaceutical and consumer industries.

Skills and Qualifications: Ms. Tallett's senior management experience includes being President and Chief Executive Officer of Transcell Technologies, Inc., President of Centocor Pharmaceuticals, member of the Parke-Davis Executive Committee, and Director of Worldwide Strategic Planning for Warner-Lambert. In addition to her leadership and financial management in pharmaceutical and biotechnology firms, she has executive level experience in multinational companies, international operations, economics, strategic planning, marketing, product development, technology, executive compensation and mergers and acquisitions.

Education: Bachelor's degree with honors in mathematics and economics from the University of Nottingham in England.

Table of Contents

Continuing Class III Directors With Terms Expiring in 2019

Michael T. Dan

Committees: Human Resources (Chair)
Nominating and Governance

Mr. Dan was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of The Brink's Company, a global provider of secure transportation and cash management services, from 1999-2011. The Brink's Company had 70,000 employees worldwide, operations in over 100 countries and \$3.8 billion in revenue in 2011. Prior to joining Brink's, Mr. Dan served as President of Armored Vehicle Builder, Inc.

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to leading and being responsible for financial management of Brink's, Mr. Dan has executive level experience in international operations, risk management, strategic planning, brand management, executive compensation, customer service, marketing and mergers and acquisitions.

Age: 66
Director Since: 2006

Education: Studied business and accounting at Morton College in Cicero, Illinois, and completed the advanced management program at Harvard Business School.

C. Daniel Gelatt

Committees: Audit
Human Resources
Strategic Issues (until September 21, 2016)

Dr. Gelatt has been President of NMT Corporation since 1987. NMT is an industry leader in mobile mapping and workforce automation software and has been providing analog and digital imaging services to clients worldwide for more than 40 years. He was an Assistant Professor in the Physics Department at Harvard University, where he earned his Ph.D., and was a research manager at the IBM T.J. Watson Research Center before joining the Gelatt companies in 1982. He is a director of Advanced Marketing Concepts, Ltd., TPI Holdings, Inc., nPoint Inc., TrustPoint Inc., NMT Corporation and Elmwood Corporation.

Age: 69
Director Since: 1988
(Principal Life Insurance),
2001 (the Company)

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to leading and having financial responsibility for NMT and other Gelatt privately owned companies, Dr. Gelatt has an extensive background in software and nonlinear optimization and executive level experience in product development, marketing and strategic planning.

Education: Bachelor's and master's degrees from the University of Wisconsin and MA and Ph.D. from Harvard University.

Table of Contents

Sandra L. Helton

Committees: Audit (Chair)
Finance
Executive

Former Public Directorships/Past 5 Years: Covance, Inc.; Lexmark International, Inc.

Ms. Helton was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. ("TDS"), a diversified telecommunications organization that includes United States Cellular Corporation, from 1998 through 2006. In her role, Ms. Helton had responsibility for the Finance, Information Technology, and other corporate functions. Prior to joining TDS, Ms. Helton spent 26 years with Corning Incorporated, where she held engineering, strategy and finance positions, including Senior Vice President and Treasurer from 1991-1997. She also served as Vice President and Corporate Controller of Compaq Computer Corporation from 1997-1998.

Age: 67
Director Since: 2001

Skills and Qualifications: Ms. Helton has global executive level experience in corporate strategy, finance, accounting and control, treasury, investments, information technology and other corporate administrative functions, as well as extensive corporate governance experience.

Education: B. S. in mathematics, summa cum laude, from the University of Kentucky in 1971, S.M. from Massachusetts Institute of Technology's Sloan School in 1977 with double majors in Finance and Planning & Control.

Blair C. Pickerell

Committees: Finance
Nominating and Governance
Strategic Issues

Public Directorships/Past 5 Years (all current): Dah Sing Financial Holdings Limited (Audit Committee); Link Real Estate Investment Trust (Nomination and Remuneration Committees).

Mr. Pickerell served as Chairman, Asia, Nikko Asset Management from 2010-July 2015. From 2007-2010, he was CEO, Asia, at Morgan Stanley Investment Management. He has also served as Chief Executive, Asia Pacific, of HSBC Asset Management and as Chairman of Jardine Fleming Funds.

Age: 60
Director Since: 2015

Mr. Pickerell's current international service includes memberships on the Supervisory Committee for the Tracker Fund of Hong Kong; on the International Advisory Board of the Securities and Exchange Board of India; on the Listing Committee of The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong; and as member of the International Advisory Council of Business and Economics of The University of Hong Kong.

Skills and Qualifications: In addition to his extensive leadership record in the investment and asset management and financial services industries, Mr. Pickerell has executive level experience in the retail consumer, international, marketing, mergers & acquisitions, product development and strategic planning. He is fluent in Mandarin Chinese.

Education: Bachelor's and M. A. degrees from Stanford University, MBA from Harvard Business School.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

The Company's Board and management regularly review best practices for corporate governance and modify our policies and practices as warranted. Our current best practices include:

Majority of independent Directors (10 out of 11);

All key committees (i.e., Audit, Finance, Human Resources and Nominating and Governance Committees) are composed entirely of independent Directors;

Strong and experienced independent Lead Director;

Director resignation policy in the event that the support of a majority vote of shareholder is not achieved;

Policy regarding Directors' service on other public company boards;

Board and committee self assessments conducted annually;

Director assessment conducted in connection with Director nomination process;

Robust stock ownership guidelines for Directors;

Diverse Board membership in terms of background, experience, gender, ethnicity and tenure;

Robust shareholder engagement program to obtain valuable feedback on our compensation and governance programs;

Annual review of CEO succession plan by the independent Directors with and without the CEO present; and

Annual Board review of senior management long term and emergency succession plans.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board exercises flexibility in establishing a leadership structure that works best for Principal at any given time. Historically, the positions of Chairman of the Board and CEO have been held by two people or combined and held by one person, depending on circumstances. Currently, Daniel J. Houston is the Chairman and CEO. Since 1990, the Board has had a Lead Director because it is important that the independent Directors have a formally acknowledged leader in addition to the Chairman of the Board who leads the Board generally. The Board regularly reviews the effectiveness of this shared leadership. Whether to separate or combine the Chairman and CEO positions is based on factors such as the tenure and experience of the CEO and the broader economic and operating environment of the Company. Principal has separated the roles of Chairman of the Board and CEO during periods of management transition, with the prior Chairman retaining that position as the newly appointed CEO assumes new responsibilities. The Board prefers this flexible approach to a requirement that the positions of Chairman/CEO be combined or separate. Ms. Tallett, the Lead Director, was selected by the independent Directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee

reviews the assignments of Lead Director annually.

The Lead Director and the Chairman jointly decide on the Board's agenda for each regular quarterly meeting, and the Lead Director seeks input from the other independent Directors. The Lead Director and Chairman share the duties of presiding at each Board meeting. The Chairman presides when the Board is meeting as a full Board. The Lead Director presides when the Chairman is not present; plans and leads executive sessions of independent Directors ("Executive Sessions"); leads the Board's annual self evaluation, calls special Board meetings if the Chairman is unable to act, and leads the Board's CEO succession planning discussions. Executive Sessions generally occur at the start and end of each regularly scheduled Board meeting, and were held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board meeting during 2016.

Role of the Board in Risk Oversight

Risk management is an essential component of our culture and business model. Management within our business units and functional areas is primarily responsible for identifying, assessing, monitoring and managing risk exposures. The Company's Enterprise Risk Management program includes a Chief Risk Officer, whose team operates independently from the business units, and an Enterprise Risk Management Committee, composed of members from the executive management team, that provides enterprise wide oversight for material risks. The Company also has a robust internal audit function.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The Board oversees management's execution and performance of its risk management responsibilities. The Board reviews strategic threats, opportunities, and risks Principal and its businesses or functions are managing. This includes oversight of risks such as credit, market, liquidity, product, operational, cybersecurity and general business risk that are handled directly by the Board or by Board Committees as discussed below:

The Audit Committee: risk and mitigation related to accounting, financial controls, legal, regulatory, ethics, compliance, operations and general business activities. The Audit Committee also oversees the framework and policies with respect to enterprise risk management.

The Finance Committee: risk and mitigation related to liquidity, credit, market, product and pricing activities. The Finance Committee also oversees capital management, capital structure and financing, investment policy, tax planning, and key risks associated with significant financial transactions. The Finance Committee also provides guidance to the Human Resources Committee on the appropriateness of Company financial goals used in annual and long-term employee incentive compensation arrangements.

The Human Resources Committee: risk and mitigation related to the design and operation of employee compensation arrangements to confirm they are consistent with business plans, do not encourage inappropriate risk taking and are appropriately designed to limit or mitigate risk. The Human Resources Committee also oversees succession planning and development for senior management.

The Nominating and Governance Committee: risks and mitigation related to the Company's environmental, sustainability and corporate social responsibilities as well as the Company's political contribution activities. The Nominating and Governance Committee also monitors whether the Board and its committees have the collective skills and experience necessary to monitor the risks facing the Principal.

The Chief Risk Officer and other members of senior management provide reports and have discussions with the Board and its committees on our risk profile and risk management activities, including reviews of ongoing adherence to policy, impacts of external events, and how strategy, initiatives, and operations integrate with our risk objectives. The Board also receives input on these issues from external entities such as our independent auditor, regulators and consultants. These activities provide the Board with a greater understanding of the material risks we face, the level of risk in matters presented for Board approval, how risks are related.

The Board views cybersecurity risk as an enterprise wide concern that involves people, processes, and technology, and accordingly treats it as a Board level matter. It embodies a persistent and dynamic threat to our entire industry and is not limited to information technology. The Board will remain focused on this critical priority by continuing to receive regular reports from the Chief Information Officer and other professionals to ensure that it is monitoring cyber threat intelligence and taking the steps necessary to implement the needed safeguards and protocols to manage the risk.

Succession Planning and Talent Development

The Board believes that succession planning for future leadership of the Company is one of its most important roles. The Board is actively involved in talent management and reviews succession at least annually. This includes a detailed discussion of our global leadership and succession plans with a focus on key positions at the levels of senior vice president and above. In addition, the Human Resources Committee regularly discusses the talent pipeline for critical roles at a variety of organizational levels. High potential leaders are given exposure and visibility to Board members through formal presentations and informal events and the Human Resources Committee also receives regular updates on key talent indicators for the overall workforce, including diversity, recruiting and development programs. In early 2017, the Company's succession plan was used when Deanna D. Strable, a long time Company executive, replaced Terrance J. Lillis as Chief Financial Officer ("CFO").

Majority Voting

In uncontested Director elections, Directors are elected by the majority of votes cast. If an incumbent Director is not elected and no successor is elected, the Director must submit a resignation to the Board, which will decide whether to accept the resignation. The Board's decision and reasons for its decision will be publicly disclosed within 90 days of certification of the election results.

Director Independence

The Board determines at least annually whether each Director is independent, using its independence standards in these determinations. These independence standards include the New York Stock Exchange requirements for

Table of Contents

independence and are on the Company's website, www.principal.com. The Board considers all commercial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable, family and other relationships (either individually or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization) a Director may have with the Company and its subsidiaries. The Board most recently made these determinations for each Director in February 2017, based on:

A review of relationships and transactions between Directors, their immediate family members or other organizations and the Company, its subsidiaries or executive officers;

Questionnaires completed by each Director regarding any relationships or transactions that could affect the Director's independence;

The Company's review of its purchasing, investment, charitable giving and other records; and

Recommendations of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Board affirmatively determined that the following Directors have no material relationship with the Company and are independent: Ms. Bernard, Ms. Carter-Miller, Mr. Dan, Mr. Ferro, Dr. Gelatt, Ms. Helton, Mr. Hochschild, Mr. Mills, Mr. Pickerell and Ms. Tallett. The Board also determined that all current members of the Audit, Finance, Human Resources and Nominating and Governance Committees are independent.

Some Directors have categorically immaterial relationships and transactions with Principal:

Ms. Bernard, Dr. Gelatt, Ms. Helton, Mr. Pickerell and Ms. Tallett are customers of the Company's subsidiaries. Prior to the Demutualization (see page 58), Directors were required to own an insurance policy or annuity contract issued by Principal Life. All insurance policies, annuity contracts and agreements for trust services held by Directors are on the same terms and conditions as those offered to the public.

The Gelatt family companies (Dr. Gelatt is the CEO) and an affiliated trust own insurance and pension products issued by Principal Life.

Ms. Bernard, Mr. Pickerell and Ms. Tallett are directors, and Messrs. Hochschild and Mills are executive officers of for profit entities with which the Company's subsidiaries conduct ordinary commercial transactions.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

Nippon Life Insurance Company ("Nippon Life"), which held approximately 6.3% of the Company's Common Stock at the end of 2016, is the parent company of Nippon Life Insurance Company of America ("NLICA"). Nippon Life, NLICA and Principal Life have had a business relationship for more than 20 years. In 2016, Nippon Life and NLICA paid the following amounts to Principal Life or its affiliates: \$285,763 for pension services for defined contribution plans maintained by NLICA and an affiliate (mostly paid by plan participants); \$1,250 for deferred compensation plan services; \$5,900,551 for investment services. Principal Global Investors (Japan) Ltd. paid Nippon Life \$88,524 for 401(k) fees and plan administration. The Company owns approximately three percent of the common stock of NLICA and Principal Life purchased public bonds with a market value at the end of 2016 of \$62,062,500 during Nippon Life's \$2 billion public issuance in October of 2012. Since May 1, 2013, NLI US Investments, Inc. ("NLI"), has owned 20% of Post Advisory Group, LLC ("Post"), an affiliate of the Company. During 2016, Post paid NLI an aggregate of \$3,929,793 in dividends. Due to the longstanding relationship between Nippon and Principal Life, Nippon employees occasionally train on-site at Principal Life or at one of its affiliates. During 2016, and Principal Life paid Nippon Life \$313,498 in salary reimbursements in connection with these situations.

During 2016, Principal Management Corporation, an affiliate of the Company ("PMC"), paid Wellington Management Company \$3,490,645 for sub-advisory services furnished to a registered investment company managed by PMC. As of the end of 2016 Wellington owned approximately 5.2% of the Company's Common Stock.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

As of December 31, 2016, the Vanguard Group, Inc. managed funds holding in the aggregate 9.3% of the Company's Common Stock. During 2016 Principal Shareholder Services, Inc. paid Vanguard \$31,948 for sub-transfer agent services. Vanguard paid \$1,433,430 in rent for lease of space to a borrower of the Principal Life Insurance Company general account.

Dwight Soethout, Vice President Finance, is the spouse of Deanne D. Strable, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Soethout has been an employee of the Company since 1993. In 2016, he received approximately \$358,174 in base salary and annual bonus from Principal Life. He also participates in the long-term incentive compensation plan, and his compensation is commensurate with that of his peers. His employment and compensation were approved by the Human Resources Committee.

Table of Contents

The Nominating and Governance Committee or its Chair must approve or ratify all transactions with Related Parties that are not preapproved by or exempted from the Company's Related Party Transaction Policy (the "Policy"). At each quarterly meeting, the Committee reviews transactions with Related Parties. The Committee ratifies any transaction that is subject to the Policy if it determines it is appropriate, and may attach conditions to that approval. Transactions involving employment of a relative of an executive officer or Director must be approved by the Human Resources Committee. The Company's Related Party Transaction Policy may be found at www.principal.com.

Board Meetings

The Board held 10 meetings in 2016, five of which were two day, in person meetings. Each of the Directors then in office attended more than 75% in the aggregate of the meetings of the Board and the committees of which the Director was a member. All of the Directors then on the Board attended the 2016 Annual Meeting except Gary E. Costley who left the board in May of 2016.

Corporate Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Each Director and officer of the Company has certified they comply with Principal's Global Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, the foundation for ethical behavior across the organization. The Code is available at www.principal.com.

Board Committees

Only independent Directors may serve on the Audit, Human Resources and Nominating and Governance Committees. The Committees review their charters and performance annually. Committee charters of the Audit, Finance, Human Resources and Nominating and Governance Committees are available on the Company's website, www.principal.com.

Table of Contents

Membership and responsibilities of each of the Board Committees:

Committee Responsibilities	Members (*Committee Chair)	Meetings Held in 2016
Appointing, terminating, compensating and overseeing the Company's independent auditor and selecting the lead audit partner;	Betsy Bernard ⁽⁶⁾ Gary E. Costley ⁽¹⁾ Dennis H. Ferro ⁽⁷⁾ C. Daniel Gelatt Sandra L. Helton* Roger C. Hochschild Scott M. Mills ⁽³⁾	8
Reviewing and reporting to the Board on the independent auditor's activities;		
Approving all audit engagement fees and preapproving compensation of the independent auditor for non audit engagements, consistent with the Company's Auditor Independence Policy;		
Reviewing internal audit plans and results;		
Reviewing and reporting to the Board on accounting policies and legal and regulatory compliance; and		
Reviewing the Company's policies on risk assessment and management.		
All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and are independent, as defined in the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, and Ms. Helton is a financial expert, as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.		
Evaluating the performance of the CEO and determining his compensation relative to his goals and objectives;	Michael T. Dan* C. Daniel Gelatt Roger C. Hochschild Scott M. Mills ⁽³⁾ Elizabeth E. Tallett	6
Approving compensation for all other officers of the Company and Principal Life at the level of Senior Vice President and above ("Executives");		
Approving employment, severance or change of control agreements and perquisites for Executives;		

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Overseeing Executive development and succession planning;

Approving employee compensation policies for all other employees;

Approving equity awards;

Administering the Company's incentive and other compensation plans that include Executives;

Acting on management's recommendations for broad based employee pension and welfare benefit plans;

Reviewing compensation programs to confirm that they encourage management to take appropriate risks; discourage inappropriate risks and act consistently with the Company's business plan, policies and risk tolerance.

Recommends Board candidates, Board committee assignments and service as Lead and Alternate Lead Director;

Reviews and reports to the Board on Director independence, performance of individual Directors, process for the annual self evaluations of the Board and its performance and committee self evaluations, content of the Global Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Director compensation, and the Corporate Governance Guidelines;

Reviews environmental and corporate social responsibility matters as well as the Company's political contribution activities.

Betsy J. Bernard^{*(7)} 5
Jocelyn
Carter-Miller
Michael T. Dan
Dennis Ferro^{*(6)}
Blair C. Pickerell
Elizabeth E. Tallett

Table of Contents

Committee Responsibilities	Members (*Committee Chair)	Meetings Held in 2016
Assists the Board with financial, investment and capital management policies;	Betsy J. Bernard Jocelyn Carter-Miller* Dennis H. Ferro Sandra L. Helton Blair Pickerell	6
Reviews capital structure and plans, significant financial transactions, financial policies, credit ratings, matters of corporate finance, including issuance of debt and equity, shareholder dividends, proposed mergers, acquisitions and divestitures; Reviews and provides guidance on financial goals;		
Oversees investment policies, strategies and programs; Reviews policies and procedures governing the use of financial instruments including derivatives; and assists the Board in overseeing and reviewing information regarding enterprise financial risk management, including the policies, procedures and practices to manage liquidity, credit market, product and pricing risks and tax planning.		
Plans the Board's annual strategic retreat.	Betsy Bernard ^{*(4)} Dennis H. Ferro ^{*(5)} C. Daniel Gelatt ⁽⁵⁾ Roger C. Hochschild Scott M. Mills ⁽⁴⁾ Blair C. Pickerell	5
Acts on matters delegated by the Board which must be approved by its independent members. Has the authority of the Board between Board meetings unless the Board has directed otherwise or as mandated by law and in the By Laws.	Betsy J. Bernard Sandra L. Helton Daniel J. Houston ^{*(2)} Elizabeth E. Tallett Larry D. Zimpleman ^{*(1)}	None

- (1) Until May 17, 2016
- (2) Effective May 17, 2016
- (3) Effective July 27, 2016
- (4) Effective September 21, 2016
- (5) Until September 21, 2016
- (6) Effective November 28, 2016
- (7) Until November 28, 2016

Table of Contents**Directors' Compensation**

Directors serve on the Boards of the Company, Principal Life and Principal Financial Services, Inc. Directors who are also employees do not receive any compensation for their service as Directors. The Company provides competitive compensation to attract and retain high quality non-employee Directors. A substantial proportion of non-employee Director compensation is provided in the form of equity to help align such Directors' interests with the interests of shareholders.

The non-employee Director compensation program is reviewed annually. The Nominating and Governance Committee uses the Board's independent compensation consultant, FW Cook, to conduct an annual comprehensive review and assessment of Director compensation. The Company targets non-employee Director compensation at approximately the median of the peer group used for Executive compensation comparisons ("Peer Group") (see page 27), which aligns with its Executive compensation philosophy. As a result of FW Cook's November 2016 review and the Committee's discussion, no changes were made to the Board compensation program.

	Effective Since January 1, 2015
Annual Cash Retainers⁽¹⁾	
- Non-Executive Chairman ⁽²⁾	\$200,000
- Board	\$95,000
- Audit Committee Chair	\$20,000
- Human Resources Committee Chair	\$17,500
- Finance Committee Chair	\$15,000
- Nominating & Governance Committee Chair	\$15,000
- Other Committee Chairs	\$5,000
- Lead Director	\$25,000
Annual Restricted Stock Unit Retainer⁽³⁾	
- Board	\$130,000
Meeting Attendance Fees	
- Regularly Scheduled Board Meeting	No meeting fees
- Non-regularly Scheduled Board Meetings (in person)	\$2,500 per day
- Non-regularly Scheduled Board Meetings (Telephonic)	\$1,000
- Committee Meeting	\$1,500

- Telephonic Committee Meeting

\$1,000

(1)

Paid in two semiannual payments, in May and November, on a forward looking basis.

(2)

From January 1-May 17, 2016, Mr. Zimpleman was a non executive Chairman of the Board, and he was paid an annual retainer of \$200,000 for this service in addition to the normal compensation provided to non-employee members of the Board, both prorated for this period.

(3)

Grants are made at the time of the annual meeting.

Table of Contents**Fees Earned by Non-Employee Directors in 2016**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards(1)	Total
Betsy J. Bernard	\$ 128,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 257,985
Jocelyn Carter-Miller	\$ 130,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 259,985
Gary E. Costley	\$ 9,000	\$ 0	\$ 9,000
Michael T. Dan	\$ 131,500	\$ 129,985	\$ 261,485
Dennis H. Ferro	\$ 128,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 257,985
C. Daniel Gelatt Jr.	\$ 120,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 149,985
Sandra L. Helton	\$ 139,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 268,985
Roger C. Hochschild	\$ 120,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 249,985
Scott M. Mills	\$ 88,793	\$ 104,638	\$ 193,432
Blair C. Pickerell	\$ 119,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 248,985
Elizabeth E. Tallett	\$ 140,000	\$ 129,985	\$ 269,985
Larry D. Zimpleman	\$ 112,005	\$ 0	\$ 112,005

(1)

These amounts reflect the grant date fair value of awards made in 2016 determined in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718. These awards do not reflect actual amounts realized or that may be realized by the recipients.

Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan

Non-Employee Directors may defer the receipt of their cash compensation under the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors of Principal Financial Group, Inc. This Plan has four investment options:

Phantom units tied to the Company's Common Stock;

The Principal LargeCap S&P 500 Index R5 Fund;

The Principal Real Estate Securities R5 Fund; and

The Principal Core Plus Bond R5 Fund.

The returns realized on these funds during 2016 were:

Investment Option	1 Year Rate Of Return (12/31/2016)
Principal Financial Group, Inc. Employer Stock Fund	32.21%
Principal LargeCap S&P 500 Index R5 Fund	11.49%
Principal Real Estate Securities R5 Fund	5.68%
Principal Core Plus Bond R5 Fund	3.75%

Restricted Stock Unit Grants

Non-Employee Directors receive an annual grant of Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") under the Principal Financial Group, Inc. 2014 Directors Stock Plan. RSUs are granted at the time of the annual meeting, vest at the next annual meeting and are deferred at least until the date the Director leaves the Board. At payout, the RSUs are converted to shares of Common Stock. Dividend equivalents become additional RSUs, which vest and are converted to Common Stock at the same time and to the same extent as the underlying RSU. The Nominating and Governance Committee has the discretion to make a prorated grant of RSUs to Directors who join the Board at a time other than at the annual meeting. While the 2014 Director Stock Plan (which was approved by shareholders) allows some discretion in determining the dollar value of RSUs that may annually be awarded, it imposes a maximum limit of \$230,000 (\$500,000 for an Independent Chairman) on the size of the annual award that may be made.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2016, each Non-Employee Director had the following aggregate number of outstanding RSUs, including additional RSUs received as the result of dividend equivalents:

Director Name	Total RSUs Outstanding Fiscal Year End 2016 (Shares)
Betsy J. Bernard	38,752
Jocelyn Carter-Miller	40,794
Michael T. Dan	36,205
Dennis H. Ferro	23,248
C. Daniel Gelatt	43,686
Sandra L. Helton	38,752
Roger C. Hochschild	6,106
Scott M. Mills	2,379
Blair C. Pickerell	4,952
Elizabeth E. Tallett	43,181

Other Compensation

Principal Life matches charitable gifts up to \$16,000 per nonemployee Director per year. These matching contributions are available during a Director's term and the following three years. Principal Life receives the charitable contribution tax deductions for the matching gifts.

Directors are reimbursed for travel and other business expenses they incur while performing services for the Company. Directors' spouses/partners may accompany them to the annual Board strategic retreat. At this retreat, Principal pays for some of the travel expenses and amenities for Directors and their spouses/partners, such as meals and social events. Directors are also covered under the Company's Business Travel Accident Insurance Policy and Directors' and Officers' insurance coverage. In 2016 the total amount of perquisites provided to Non-Employee Directors was less than \$10,000.

Directors' Stock Ownership Guidelines

To encourage Directors to accumulate a meaningful ownership level in the Company, the Board has had a "hold until retirement" stock ownership requirement since 2005. All RSU grants must be held while a Director is on the Board, and may only be converted to Common Stock when the Director's Board service ends. The Board has a guideline that Directors own interests in Common Stock equal to five times the annual Board cash retainer within five years of joining the Board. Directors have been able to achieve this level of ownership through the RSU hold until retirement requirement. Once this guideline is met, Directors do not need to buy additional stock if the guideline is no longer met due to a reduction in stock price, as long as the Director's ownership level is not reduced as a result of share sales.

Audit Committee Report

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process. Company management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the systems of internal controls. The Committee reviewed with management the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, and discussed the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The Committee discussed with Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent auditor, the matters required to be discussed by the applicable Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") standards. These standards require the independent auditor to communicate (i) the auditor's responsibility under standards of the PCAOB; (ii) an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit; and (iii) significant findings from the audit, including the qualitative aspects of the entity's significant accounting practices, significant difficulties, if any, encountered in performing the audit, uncorrected misstatements identified during the audit, other than those the auditor believes

20 2017 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

are trivial, if any, any disagreements with management, and any other issues arising from the audit that are significant or relevant to those charged with governance.

The Committee received from Ernst & Young LLP, the written disclosures and letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Committee concerning independence. The Committee has discussed with Ernst & Young LLP its independence and Ernst & Young LLP has confirmed in its letter that, in its professional judgment, it is independent of the Company within the meaning of the federal securities laws.

The Committee discussed with the Company's internal and independent auditors the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Committee meets with the internal and independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board (and the Board approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, for filing with the SEC. The Committee has also approved, subject to shareholder ratification, the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

The Committee does not have the responsibility to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate and in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. That is the responsibility of the Company's independent auditor and management. In giving our recommendation to the Board, the Committee has relied on (i) management's representation that such financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, and (ii) the report of the Company's independent auditor with respect to such financial statements.

Sandra L. Helton, Chair
Betsy J. Bernard
C. Daniel Gelatt
Roger C. Hochschild
Scott Mills

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

Contents:	Page
<u>Compensation Discussion & Analysis ("CD&A")</u>	<u>22</u>
.	
<u>2016 Company Highlights</u>	<u>23</u>
.	
<u>2016 Compensation Highlights</u>	<u>23</u>
.	
<u>Compensation Program Philosophy and Policies</u>	<u>24</u>
.	
<u>Summary of Compensation Elements</u>	<u>25</u>
.	
<u>How we make Compensation Decisions</u>	<u>26</u>
.	
<u>2016 Executive Compensation Decisions</u>	<u>28</u>
.	
<u>Base Salary</u>	<u>29</u>
.	
<u>Annual Incentive Pay</u>	<u>29</u>
.	
<u>Long term Incentive Compensation</u>	<u>31</u>
.	
<u>Timing of Stock Option Awards and Other Equity Incentives</u>	<u>32</u>
.	
<u>Benefits</u>	<u>33</u>
.	
<u>Change of Control & Separation Pay</u>	<u>33</u>
.	
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>34</u>
.	
<u>Claw Back Policy</u>	<u>34</u>
.	
<u>Trading Policy</u>	<u>34</u>
.	<u>35</u>

Succession Planning

<u>Human Resources Committee Report</u>	<u>35</u>
---	-----------

<u>Risk Assessment</u>	<u>35</u>
------------------------	-----------

Compensation Tables

<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>36</u>
-----------------------------------	-----------

<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards Table</u>	<u>38</u>
--	-----------

<u>Outstanding Equity Awards Table</u>	<u>39</u>
--	-----------

<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vesting Table</u>	<u>40</u>
---	-----------

<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>43</u>
-------------------------	-----------

<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination Related to Change of Control</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)</u>	

The CD&A describes Principal Financial Group, Inc.'s Executive compensation objectives and philosophy. It also describes our 2016 compensation program and reviews the outcomes, including the Company's financial performance in 2016. Our "Named Executive Officers" in 2016 were.

Daniel J. Houston, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Houston has overall responsibility for all businesses of the organization. He previously served as President and Chief Operating Officer, overseeing all global businesses, and the Retirement and Investor Services and U.S. Insurance Solutions segments of the organization.

Terrance J. Lillis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Lillis was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Principal Life from March of 2014 to February 14, 2017. He will retire on May 1, 2017.

James P. McCaughan, President Global Asset Management. Mr. McCaughan heads the Principal Global Investors segment of our operations, overseeing all global asset management activities, including developing global strategies and identifying and analyzing market opportunities.

Deanna D. Strable, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Ms. Strable was appointed to this position on February 14, 2017. She has been with the Company since 1990, and had been Executive Vice President Principal and President of U. S. Insurance Solutions with overall accountability for individual life, nonqualified deferred compensation, individual disability and group benefits.

Table of Contents

Luis Valdés, President International Asset Management & Accumulation. Mr. Valdés is responsible for managing the Company's operations outside of the United States in our international asset management and accumulation segment.

2016 Company Highlights:

We had strong results in 2016 with a record \$1.3 billion in both net income available to common stockholders and operating earnings⁽¹⁾, up 5 percent over 2015 on a reported basis. This growth in earnings is particularly compelling given that we had a challenging start to 2016 with strong headwinds from equity markets and foreign currency translation, as well as low interest rates. These strong results reflect underlying growth in the business, disciplined expense management, and a balanced approach to capital deployment.

Importantly, our fundamentals remain strong. In 2016, we generated \$19.4 billion in net cash flows and increased assets under management by more than \$64 billion to \$592 billion at year-end, as many of our industry peers experienced net redemptions. Investment performance remains competitive and speaks to our ongoing ability to stand out among active managers.

We deployed \$856 million of capital in 2016, or 65% of net income. We take a balanced approach to capital deployment we returned \$722 million of capital to shareholders through common stock dividends and share repurchases in 2016; we added financial flexibility with our fourth quarter debt refinancing; and we increased ownership in our investment boutiques.

Despite the volatility that we experienced in 2016, our diversified and integrated business model continues to perform well under many different economic scenarios.

In 2016, the Company's total shareholder return was above the average of our Peer Group used for compensation purposes (32.2% vs. 12.2%). Our three year total shareholder return also continues to be higher, with a three year total shareholder return of 26.2%, compared to an average total shareholder return of 0.54% for companies in the Peer Group.

2016 Compensation Highlights

In 2016, the Company's shareholders voted to approve the Company's Executive compensation program. Of the votes cast, over 94% supported the Executive compensation program. The Company considered the shareholders' approval of the compensation program to be approval of the Company's compensation philosophy, which has not changed since that vote. The only change made to the compensation program in 2016 was to revise the performance measures associated with the performance based RSU ("PSU") component of our long term incentive program (see page 25). and all changes to compensation levels have been consistent with the Company's compensation philosophy.

Based on our 2016 annual performance achievements, many of which are outlined above, 2016 Annual incentive payout averaged 99% of target.

- (1) This is a non-GAAP financial measure. See non-GAAP financial measure reconciliations in Appendix B.

Table of Contents

Based on the Company's three-year average return on equity ("ROE")⁽²⁾ and three-year average book value per share⁽³⁾ performance, the 2014-2016 PSUs vested on December 31, 2016 and 97% of the target number of shares were paid out in February 2017, according to the established performance scale, and approval by the Human Resources Committee.

Compensation Program Philosophy and Policies

Compensation Philosophy our compensation programs are designed to:

Attract and retain talented Executives and motivate them to perform at the highest level and contribute significantly to the Company's long term success;

Reinforce the Company's pay for performance culture by making a significant portion of total compensation variable and by differentiating awards based on Company and individual performance in achieving short and long term financial and strategic objectives;

Have a greater percentage of compensation to be at risk for Executives who bear higher levels of responsibility for the Company's performance; and

Align the interests of Executives and other stakeholders, including shareholders, customers and employees, by having a significant portion of the Executives' compensation in stock and requiring Executives to hold stock;

Support important corporate governance principles and established best practices.

Compensation Policies Principal's Executive compensation program incorporates the following best practices:

The Human Resources Committee's independent compensation consultant is retained by the Committee to advise on Executive and Director compensation and does no other work for the Company.

The Human Resources Committee regularly reviews an analysis of the Company's incentive compensation plans to ensure they are designed to create and maintain shareholder value, provide rewards based on the long term performance of the Company and do not encourage excessive risk.

The majority of our Executive compensation is variable and linked to meeting our short term and long term financial and strategic goals and to the performance of the Company's stock over time. Ninety percent of our CEO's 2016 target compensation and an average of 80% of our other Named Executive Officer's target total compensation are variable and tied to Company performance.

Executives receive a significant portion of their compensation in stock as noted in the chart on page 28, and are required to own a meaningful amount of stock in the Company, both of which contribute to strong alignment of management and shareholder interests.

Principal prohibits all employees, including Named Executive Officers, from purchasing any Principal securities on margin (except for exercising stock options), engaging in short sales or trading in any put or call options; and purchasing, directly or indirectly, any financial instrument (including prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds) that is designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Principal securities.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Principal has a claw back policy to recover incentive compensation paid to Executives if the compensation was based on achieving financial results that were subsequently restated, if the Committee decides that the Executive engaged in fraud or intentional misconduct that caused the restatement, and if the amount of the Executive's incentive compensation would have been lower had the financial results been properly reported.

Our change of control agreements with Executives provide market based severance protection and do not provide excise tax gross ups.

We do not provide perquisites to Executives that are not offered to all employees, except one physical examination per year, business spousal travel, and gifts of nominal value given to all sales conference attendees.

We have not repriced underwater stock options and we will not do so without shareholder approval.

Our programs are designed to be financially efficient from tax, accounting, cash flow and share dilution perspectives. We make efforts to ensure that Principal benefits from the tax deductibility of all compensation to the extent practicable. The Committee may provide compensation that is not tax deductible if it determines such action is appropriate.

Executives do not receive any income tax gross ups.

(2)

Return on equity ("ROE") is defined as (i) income from continuing operations before income taxes per the audited Consolidated Statements of Operations less net realized/unrealized capital gains (losses) and preferred stock dividends declared during such calendar year divided by (ii) the average equity excluding other comprehensive income available to common stockholders.

(3)

Book value per share is defined as total ending common equity excluding other comprehensive income divided by number of common shares outstanding end of year.

Table of Contents**Summary of Compensation Elements:**

Compensation Component	Objective	Description and 2016 Highlights
Base Salary	Provides fixed income based on the size, scope and complexity of the Executive's role, Executive's historical performance and relative position compared to market pay information	<p>Base salaries are generally targeted at market median, but may vary from median based on the Executive's performance, work experience, role and the difficulty of replacing the Executive.</p> <p>In 2016, the Committee made market adjustments to the Executives' base salaries, as detailed on page 29.</p>
Annual Incentive Compensation	Motivates and rewards annual corporate performance as well as the Executive's contribution to achieving our annual objectives.	<p>A range of earnings opportunity, expressed as percentages of base salary and corresponding to three levels of performance (threshold, target and maximum), is established for each Executive. Actual bonuses depend on achievement relative to the key financial measures, corporate and divisional goals, as outlined on pages 29-31.</p> <p>Based on the Committee's assessment of performance, actual bonuses for 2016 averaged 99% of target as detailed on page 31.</p>
Long Term Incentive Compensation	Motivates and rewards long term corporate performance as well as the Executive's contribution to achieving our long term objectives. Reinforces the link between the interests of the Executives and shareholders. Encourages retention.	<p>Each year, the Committee establishes the long term award opportunity for each Named Executive Officer. One half of the award is granted in stock options and the other half in PSUs. Using equal amounts of PSUs and options creates a balance between achieving operating performance objectives and increases in shareholder value.</p> <p>The PSUs vest based on continued service (except for retirees, when they vest over time) and meeting financial objectives over a three year period (with each three year period treated as a "Performance Cycle").</p> <p>The PSUs granted in 2016 for the 2016-2018 Performance Cycle will vest based on performance scales for three-year average Pre-Tax Return on Net Revenue, each weighted 50% over the performance period. Payout on the ROE metric is modified based on three year Book Value per Share versus certain threshold goals. Details of the program are outlined on pages 31-33.</p> <p>The PSUs granted in 2014 for the 2014-2016 Performance Cycle were based on three-year average ROE and three-year average Book Value per Share, each weighted 50%. For the 2014-2016 Performance Cycle, the awards vested and paid out at 97% of the target number of PSUs based on our ROE performance of 14.6% and Book Value per Share of \$35.17.</p>

Table of Contents

Compensation Component	Objective	Description and 2016 Highlights
Benefits	Protects against catastrophic expenses and provides retirement savings opportunities.	Named Executive Officers participate in most of the same benefit plans as the Company's other U.S. based employees, including health, life, disability income, vision and dental insurance, an employee stock purchase plan, 401(k) plan and pension plan. Executives also participate in non qualified retirement plans (defined benefit and defined contribution). Mr. McCaughan does not participate in the pension or non qualified retirement plans.
Perquisites	Modest additional benefits to help attract and retain Executive talent and enable Executives to focus on Company business with minimal disruption.	Executives are eligible for one physical examination per year, business spousal travel and gifts of nominal value given to all sales conference attendees.
Termination Benefits	Provides temporary income following an Executive's involuntary termination of employment, and, in the case of a change of control; helps ensure the continuity of management through the transition.	Refer to pages 33-34 for a discussion of our change of control and separation benefits. These benefits do not include excise tax gross ups.

How We Make Compensation Decisions

Human Resources Committee Involvement

The Human Resources Committee

Oversees the development and administration of the Company's compensation and benefits policies and programs;

Approves the compensation program and compensation for Executives;

Makes the compensation decisions for the CEO;

Reviews and approves corporate incentive goals and objectives relevant to compensation;

Evaluates Executives' performance results;

Evaluates the competitiveness of each Executive's total compensation; and

Approves changes to the Executive's total compensation package.

FW Cook advises the Committee on the Executive compensation program. FW Cook also advises the Nominating and Governance Committee on compensation for nonemployee Directors (see pages 18-20). FW Cook receives compensation from the Company only for its work in advising these Committees. FW Cook does not and would not be allowed to perform services for management. The Committee assessed the

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

independence factors in applicable SEC rules and NYSE Listing Standards and other facts and circumstances and concluded that the services performed by FW Cook did not raise any conflict of interest.

Each year the CEO, with input from the Human Resources Department and FW Cook, recommends the amount of base salary increase (if any), annual incentive award and long term incentive award for Executives other than himself. These recommendations are based on the Executive's performance, performance of the business areas for which the Executive is responsible (if applicable) and other considerations such as retention. The Human Resources Committee reviews these recommendations and approves compensation decisions for Executives.

No member of management, including the CEO, has a role in determining his or her own compensation; and no member is present when his or her compensation is discussed by the Human Resources Committee. The Committee consults with the independent Directors regarding the CEO's performance and then determines the compensation earned by the CEO for the current year and the CEO's compensation opportunity for the following year.

Table of Contents

[The role of the Independent Compensation Consultant & Interaction with Management](#)

The Committee has the sole authority to hire, approve the compensation of and terminate the engagement of the compensation consultant.

FW Cook conducts a comprehensive review of the Company's Executive compensation program every other year. In the years in which FW Cook does not conduct a compensation study, the Committee makes compensation decisions, in part, on survey data provided by the Human Resources Department and input provided by FW Cook.

A comprehensive study was undertaken by FW Cook in 2015 which influenced the Committee's decisions for the 2016 executive compensation program. The study reviewed all aspects of the design and structure of the Company's total Executive compensation program, and included:

Interviews with Executives and all Directors to discuss business strategy and the implications for human resources and compensation policy;

A competitive review of compensation opportunities for each of the Named Executive Officers compared to the pay opportunities of similarly situated executives at the Peer Group companies (see below);

An analysis to ensure that total share dilution and the economic costs of long term incentives are reasonable and affordable for the Company; and

A review of Executive compensation plans against potential risks. FW Cook determined that the Company's Executive compensation programs are well designed, support the Company's business strategy, and do not provide incentives to Executives to take inappropriate risks.

FW Cook also:

Attended five meetings of the Committee in 2016, as requested by the Committee Chair; and

Reviewed and commented on drafts of the Compensation Discussion & Analysis and related compensation tables for the proxy statement.

[Use of Compensation Data](#)

The Committee reviews the Peer Group of companies it uses to compare Executive compensation as part of FW Cook's biennial study. FW Cook recommends an appropriate Peer Group of public, similarly sized, diversified financial services, insurance and asset management companies, taking into account the Company's and the competitors' strategy, mix of business and size, as measured primarily by annual revenues, market capitalization and total assets. These companies are the major competitors in one or more of the Company's businesses, but none represent the exact business mix of the Company. Some of these companies have higher or lower market capitalization and revenue than Principal. Principal targets compensation for the Named Executive Officers at the median of the compensation of the named executive officers at the Peer Group companies. The companies in the Peer Group for decisions on 2016 and 2017 target compensation opportunities were:

Affiliated Managers Group

Invesco

MetLife

Ameriprise Financial

Legg Mason

Prudential Financial

Eaton Vance

Lincoln National

Sun Life Financial

Franklin Resources

ManuLife

T. Rowe Price

Voya Financial

The Committee also uses annual data from third party industry surveys for its compensation decisions.⁽⁴⁾ Every two to three years, the Company's non cash benefit programs are compared with those of more than 100 diversified financial services companies. This is a larger group than the Peer Group because the information is used for our broad based employee benefit programs. Benefit programs are also compared against those of local employers in Des Moines, Iowa as the Company has a significant employee population there.

Each year, the Committee reviews the total compensation paid to the Executives by reviewing tally sheets, which include base salaries, annual and long term incentive awards earned, deferred compensation, outstanding equity awards, benefits, perquisites, and potential payments under various termination scenarios.

(4)

The surveys used were the McLagan Investment Management survey, Towers Watson U.S. Financial Services Studies Executive Database and the Towers Watson Diversified Insurance Study of Executive Compensation. The names of the companies participating in these surveys are included in Appendix A.

Table of Contents

The Committee uses this information to analyze the value of compensation actually delivered versus the compensation opportunities established by the Committee, and it is also used in making compensation and compensation plan design decisions. The only change made to the Executive compensation program in 2016 was to change the performance measures associated with the PSU component of our long-term incentive program (see page 25).

2016 Executive Compensation Decisions

The Committee made compensation decisions for the Named Executive Officers based on:

The Company's strategic and human resources objectives;

Competitive data for the Peer Group and for a broader group of diversified financial services companies (see Appendix A for a complete list of these companies);

Corporate and individual performance on key initiatives;

Economic conditions;

The CEO's compensation recommendations for other Executives;

Advice of the Committee's consultant; and

How the elements of compensation contribute to and interrelate to total compensation.

The Committee also considers the tax and accounting consequences of each element of compensation, and tries to maximize the tax deductibility to Principal of compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Tax Code"). This Tax Code section limits Principal from deducting annual compensation exceeding \$1,000,000 for our CEO and the three other most highly paid Named Executive Officers (other than our CFO) who are in office on the last day of the fiscal year ("Covered Employees"). There is an exception to this rule for performance based compensation. The Committee may provide compensation to Covered Employees that is not deductible if it determines, in its discretion, that it is appropriate to do so. For 2016, Messrs. Houston, McCaughan and Valdés and Ms. Strable were Covered Employees.

The chart below shows the 2016 target total compensation for our Named Executive Officers as well as the proportion of their compensation tied to Company performance. The majority of compensation paid to our Named Executive Officer's is variable and at risk as reflected in the chart below.

28 2017 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents**Base Salary**

When determining base salary for each Executive, the Committee considers the Peer Group median for comparable executive positions as well as the survey data referenced above, the Executive's performance and work experience, the importance of the position to the Company and how difficult it would be to replace the Executive. The table below provides the historical base salaries⁽¹⁾ of the Named Executive Officers.

Named Executive Officer	2014	2015	Percent Increase	
			2016	2015 to 2016
Houston	\$ 675,000	\$ 775,000	\$ 800,000	3.2%
Lillis	\$ 530,000	\$ 551,000	\$ 567,500	3.0%
McCaughan	\$ 634,000	\$ 653,000	\$ 666,000	2.0%
Strable			\$ 535,000	20.2% ⁽²⁾
Valdés	\$ 563,000	\$ 580,000	\$ 591,500	2.0%

(1)

Salaries displayed in the table are as of December 31 of the year noted. This information differs from salary information in the Summary Compensation Table as the table includes salary earned and paid in the year noted. Changes in base salary are effective in March of each year.

(2)

The 20.2% base salary increase for Ms. Strable includes a March 2016 merit increase as well as a 10.3% promotional increase in September 2016 due to her increased responsibilities as CFO.

Annual Incentive Compensation

The Named Executive Officers may earn annual cash bonuses under the Principal Financial Group, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan. This plan was approved by shareholders in 2004, and complies with Section 162(m) of the Tax Code so that these incentives to Named Executive Officers are considered performance based and are therefore fully tax deductible to the Company. The maximum aggregate bonus amount for the Named Executive Officers is 2% of annual operating income ("Bonus Pool"). For 2016, the maximum bonuses were:

Named Executive Officer	Maximum Award as Percentage of the Annual Incentive Pool	Maximum Potential Award Payment
CEO (Houston)	35%	\$9.9 million
Second highest Paid Covered Employee (McCaughan)	25%	\$7.1 million
Third highest Paid Covered Employee (Valdés)	20%	\$5.7 million
Fourth highest Paid Covered Employee (Strable)	10%	\$2.8 million
CFO (Lillis)	10%	\$2.8 million

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

The Committee sets the target and maximum annual incentive awards for each Named Executive Officer. The Committee may use its negative discretion to reduce the awards actually payable. After this reduction, maximum annual incentive opportunities are generally 200% of the target annual incentive opportunity. The Committee approved the following target awards for Named Executive Officers in each of the past three years:

Annual Incentive Targets (as a percentage of base salary)

Named Executive Officer	2014	2015	2016
Houston	125%	350%	350%
McCaughan	300%	300%	300%
Strable			100% ⁽¹⁾
Valdés	75%	75%	75%
Lillis	100%	100%	100%

(1)

As of September 30, 2016, recognizing Ms. Strable's promotion to CFO, her annual incentive increased from 75% to 100%.

Table of Contents

The CEO's target award opportunity is greater than that of the other Named Executive Officers because Mr. Houston has overall responsibility for the Company and greater responsibilities than the other Named Executive Officers. The CEO's target award opportunity has increased over time to better align his compensation with CEOs in the Peer Group. The target award opportunity for Mr. McCaughan is competitive with award opportunities of senior executives within asset management firms, which tend to be higher than target annual incentive opportunities in other industries. In establishing the target award opportunity for Messrs. Valdés and Lillis and Ms. Strable, the Committee considered the median incentive targets for comparable executive positions in the Peer Group companies, as well as the survey data referenced above.

Performance Goal Setting and Measurement Process

The Board meets each September to review the Company's long term strategy. In November, the CEO, CFO and Division Presidents recommend preliminary financial goals for the Company and business units and strategic initiatives for the next year. The Finance Committee reviews the proposed goals, underlying assumptions of the goals and initiatives, key drivers of financial performance, trends and business opportunities and advises the Board and Human Resources Committee on the appropriateness of the financial goals. The Human Resources Committee reviews and approves the final goals for the Company, the CEO and the other Executives with input from the Finance Committee and Board based on prior year end financial results. All employees develop individual performance goals with their leaders that support the Company's goals.

The Committee reviewed 2016 performance on key financial measures and corporate and divisional goals to determine the 2016 annual bonus for the Named Executive Officers. The Committee does not use any particular weighting for these goals; these measures are used as guideposts when the Committee exercises its discretion in its subjective evaluation of these factors. In determining corporate performance for 2016, the Committee reviewed Company achievements on these key financial goals:

Goal

2016 Assessment

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Achieve appropriate operating earnings and earnings per share ("EPS"). | One of management's responsibilities is to lead the Company in achieving its goals for operating earnings and operating earnings per diluted share. For 2016, the target for operating earnings was \$1,395M and the target for operating earnings per diluted share was \$4.73. 2016 operating earnings(5) were \$1,331M and operating earnings per share(5) was \$4.55. In addition, Messrs. McCaughan and Valdés had operating earnings goals specific to the business units they oversee: |
|---|---|

Named Executive Officer	Operating Earnings Goal	Operating Earnings Result
McCaughan Principal Global Investors	\$450M	\$444M
Valdés Principal International	\$320M	\$288M

- | | |
|--|--|
| 2. Capital Ensure sufficient capital and liquidity to maintain strong financial strength ratings relative to peers and to be able to execute upon our strategy | All capital and liquidity measures are within target ranges. |
| 3. Minimize credit loss. | 1. Assets acquired during the year aligned with the Company's Tactical Asset Allocation (TAA). |

2. Manage the current portfolio to appropriately reflect losses and impairments based on the target blended overall range of 6-9 bps after-tax.

Performance relative to the credit loss goal was slightly above the top end of the desired original range but is mitigated by very strong performance in commercial mortgage losses; the result continues below long-run pricing assumptions, as appropriate for this time in the credit cycle; and the Company's investment portfolio was managed against headwinds from the commodity-sensitive sectors that resulted in modest losses on par with, if not better than, our peers.

4. Total Company Operating Revenue/Net Revenue (Houston and Lillis)

The Company had total operating revenue⁽⁵⁾ of \$12,381M against a goal of \$12,750M and net revenue⁽⁵⁾ of \$5,283M relative to a goal of \$5,520M.

(5)

This is a non-GAAP financial measure. See non-GAAP financial measure reconciliations in Appendix B.

Table of Contents

Final Annual Incentive Pay Award Determination

The following table shows the annual incentive award for each of the Named Executive Officers whose annual incentive opportunities are determined under the Annual Incentive Plan. The column "Reduction from Maximum Award" shows the amount by which the Committee reduced the maximum bonuses to determine the awards paid.

Name	2016 Salary	2016 Target	Final Award	% of Target	Reduction From Maximum Award
Houston	\$ 800,000	350%	\$ 2,744,000	98%	\$ 7,156,000
Lillis	\$ 567,500	100%	\$ 584,000	103%	\$ 2,216,000
McCaughan	\$ 666,000	300%	\$ 1,958,000	98%	\$ 5,142,000
Strable	\$ 535,000	81% ⁽¹⁾	\$ 446,000	103%	\$ 2,354,000
Valdés	\$ 591,500	75%	\$ 413,000	93%	\$ 5,287,000

(1)

Ms. Strable's pro-rated target for 2016 is 81% (75% for January 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016 and 100% for October 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016).

Executives may defer annual awards into the Excess Plan, as illustrated in the footnote to the Non Equity Incentive Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table, on pages 36-38.

Long term Incentive Compensation

The long term incentive compensation program is designed to align the interests of Executives and shareholders. The compensation the Executives receive reflects the degree to which multiyear financial objectives are achieved and shareholder value is increased. The long term focus of the compensation programs supports the Company's businesses, for which long term performance is critical, such as retirement products, life insurance and asset management. The long term incentive compensation program also encourages collaboration among Executives in pursuing corporate wide goals.

The Committee establishes a target long term incentive award opportunity for each Named Executive Officer, stated as a percentage of each Named Executive Officer's base salary, based on Peer Group and survey data, and on the advice of its independent compensation consultant. The Committee uses the following factors to adjust the target award and determine the actual award to be granted to each Named Executive Officer ("Award Granted"):

Current competitive market data;

The Named Executive Officer's past performance;

The Named Executive Officer's current compensation;

Retention concerns;

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

The importance of the Named Executive Officer to the Company over the long term;

The potential impact the Named Executive Officer could have on the Company's results; and

The Executive's performance relative to the Named Executive Officer's peers within the Company.

The compensation ultimately received by Named Executive Officers may vary considerably from the grant date fair value of the Award Granted, due to the Company's performance and changes in share price that occur after the grant.

2016 Long Term Incentive Target & Grant (as % of base salary)

Named Executive Officer	Target %	Award Granted
Houston	600%	500%
Lillis	275%	300%
Strable	225%	250%
McCaughan	350%	325%
Valdés	225%	250%

The long term incentive targets were established by the Committee to be market competitive with award opportunities for comparable positions in Peer Group companies. Mr. Houston's award opportunity is greater than those of the other Named Executive Officers because he is CEO and has overall responsibility for the Company.

Table of Contents

Executives' long term compensation is provided as non-qualified stock options and PSUs, which each represent 50% of the total grant date fair value. PSUs entitle the Executive to earn shares of Common Stock if certain levels of performance are achieved. The Committee uses stock options as part of the long term incentive program because options are an effective way to link an Executive's compensation to changes in shareholder value. The weighting is not based on a specific formula or algorithm and is intended to create a balance between the achievement of specific operating objectives and changes in shareholder value based on the Committee's judgment, which may change from time to time.

Stock options have a ten year term and an exercise price equal to the closing price on the date of grant. Stock options vest in three equal annual installments starting on the first anniversary of the grant date.

PSUs vest based on continued service and achieving financial objectives over a three year period (with each three year period treated as a "Performance Cycle"). Executives may defer the receipt of PSUs.

For the 2016 PSUs, the performance threshold is met if either of the following goals is met:

Three year average operating ROE⁽⁶⁾ of 7.5%; or

\$2 billion cumulative pretax operating income ("OI")⁽⁷⁾

If either the ROE or OI objective is met or exceeded, the number of units earned is determined using two performance measures, each weighted 50%, to determine the percentage of target PSUs actually earned.

Average operating ROE: this measure was selected because it reflects the efficient use of Company capital in generating profits.

Book Value per Share⁽⁸⁾ threshold tied to ROE performance measure:

If the average Book Value per Share is between \$33.75-\$39.00, the ROE performance score will be reduced by 50%.

If the average Book Value per Share is below \$33.75, the ROE performance score will be reduced to 0%.

Average Pre-tax Return on Net Revenue⁽⁹⁾ was selected as a measure because it is common among asset management peers and reflects the efficient use of Company expenditures in generating profits.

2016-2018 PSU Performance Scale

Performance Level	Threshold Award	Target Award	Maximum Award (150% of Target)
Payout (% of Target) ⁽¹⁾	50%	100%	150%
Average ROE	6.7%	13.5%	17.5%
Average Pre-tax RONR	25.7%	30.2%	34.8%

If neither the ROE nor the OI threshold performance objective is met, **no PSUs** will be earned or paid out.

- (1) Straight line interpolation is used to determine awards for performance between threshold and target and between target and maximum.

Timing of Stock Option Awards and Other Equity Incentives

Annual grants of stock options and PSUs for Principal Executives are determined by the Committee at its February meeting which occurs following the release of the prior year's results. The Committee formalized its long standing practices by adopting a policy in 2006 regarding granting stock options and other equity awards. Under this policy, the grant date for all stock options and other stock based awards shall never be earlier than the date of approval, and shall be:

For all annual awards to Executives, the date of approval by the Committee;

For new employees and promotions, the later of the date of approval or the employee's hire/promotion date;

-
- (6) Operating return on equity (ROE) is defined as operating earnings divided by common equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive income, other than foreign currency translation adjustment.
- (7) Pre-tax operating income is defined as income from continuing operations before income taxes per the audited Consolidated Statements of Operations less net realized/unrealized capital gains (losses), less preferred stock dividends declared, less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest.
- (8) Book value per share is defined as total ending common equity excluding other comprehensive income divided by the number of common shares outstanding end of year.
- (9) Pre-tax return on net revenue is defined as pre-tax operating earnings divided by net revenue. Net revenue is defined as total operating revenue less benefits, claims, and settlement expenses less dividends to policyholders.

Table of Contents

In the event of an award connected with an established stock program for non Executives, the later of the date of approval or the grant date established by the stock program; and

For any other awards, the date of approval.

Authority of the CEO to Grant Equity Awards:

Under the 2014 Stock Incentive Plan, the Committee has delegated authority to the CEO to make equity awards to sales agents and non Executive employees for new hires, promotions, retention and recognizing superior performance. The Committee receives a report on these grants at the next regular Committee meeting. The total awards granted by the CEO may not exceed 250,000 shares per year.

Benefits

The Named Executive Officers participate in Principal Life's broad based employee benefits program, including:

A qualified pension plan (except Mr. McCaughan⁽¹⁰⁾);

A 401(k) plan;

Group health, dental, vision and disability coverage and life insurance;

A discounted employee stock purchase plan;

Paid time off; and

Flexible spending account plans.

Principal Life also offers all Named Executive Officers (except Mr. McCaughan) a non-qualified defined contribution plan ("Excess Plan") and a defined benefit non-qualified retirement plan ("NQDB"). These benefits are offered to attract and retain talent and provide long term financial security to employees. The NQDB helps the Company attract midcareer Executives and retain Executives by providing competitive retirement benefits. The NQDB is coordinated with the qualified pension plan and is designed to restore benefits that otherwise would accrue to Executives in the absence of Tax Code limitations on the qualified pension plan. The narrative to the Pension Benefits Table on pages 41-43 provides additional information about the NQDB and the qualified pension plan. Principal Life maintains the Excess Plan to help attract and retain Executives by allowing Executives to save for retirement and to provide matching contributions on those savings, without regard to the limitations imposed by the Tax Code on 401(k) plans. The narrative to the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table on pages 44-45 provides additional information about the Excess Plan.

The value of the retirement and savings plans for NonGrandfathered Participants (see page 42) is targeted to be, in the aggregate, slightly above the median of diversified financial services companies because a large portion of the Company's business centers on the sale of retirement products. The defined benefit pension plan for Grandfathered Choice Participants (see page 41) has a market value above the median and the 401(k) plan match for Grandfathered Choice Participants is below market median. These benefits were also originally designed to be slightly above market median to attract and retain employees. As retirement plans evolved in the marketplace, the Company has balanced realigning benefits to the marketplace with current market practice while not adversely impacting more tenured employees.

All other benefits are targeted at market median in the aggregate, which supports the Company's benefit strategy and aids in attracting and retaining talent.

Change of Control and Separation Pay

The Committee believes it is in the best interests of Principal and its shareholders to:

Assure that Principal will have the continued service of its Executives;

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Reduce the distraction of these Executives that would result from the personal uncertainties caused by a pending or threatened Change of Control;

Encourage the Executives' full attention and dedication to Principal; and

Provide the Executives with compensation and benefits upon a termination related to a Change of Control that are competitive with those of similar businesses.

For these reasons, Principal has entered into Change of Control Employment Agreements with each of the Executives. These agreements would help the Executives more fairly evaluate a potential acquisition of Principal,

-
- (10) Mr. McCaughan has not participated in the qualified pension plan, NQDB Plan or Excess Plan since January 1, 2010, due to a compensation and benefit review of asset management companies that showed that these are not common benefits for executives in that industry. This change also applied to other investment professionals.

Table of Contents

particularly when the acquisition would result in termination of the Executive's employment. These Change of Control Employment Agreements are based on market practice and do not affect other components of the Executives' compensation. When entering into these agreements, the Committee reviewed survey data and practices of other public insurance and financial services companies. The Committee continues to review market practices in this area for potential changes in these agreements.

All benefits provided to the Executives upon a Change of Control are paid after both a Change of Control and qualifying termination of employment have occurred (sometimes referred to as a double trigger), except that the then current value of the Executive's Excess Plan and NQDB will be paid upon a Change of Control to ensure that the value of those plans is not reduced if the Company is sold. These agreements do not provide excise tax gross ups. See pages 46-48 for details.

The Company has a severance plan to provide benefits to employees whose employment is terminated by the Company due to a reorganization or reduction in the workforce. Additional payments may be permitted in some circumstances as a result of negotiations with Executives, particularly when Principal requests additional covenants from the executives.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Executives are required to own stock in Principal to ensure their interests are aligned with the shareholders' interests and with the long term performance of Principal. Once the Executive achieves the required stock ownership level based on market value, the ownership requirement remains at the number of shares owned at the time, regardless of subsequent changes in stock price or salary. Upon promotion, the Executive is required to meet the next level of stock ownership.

Until the ownership guideline is met, Executives are required to retain a portion of the "net profit shares" resulting from equity based long term incentive plan grants. Net profit shares are the shares remaining after payment of the option exercise price and taxes owed at time of exercise, vesting of RSUs or earn out of performance shares. The percentage of net profit shares that must be retained until the multiple of salary guidelines are met are shown below:

Executive Level	Retention Ratio	Multiple of Base Salary
Chairman (Houston)	75%	5 times
Division Presidents & Executive Vice Presidents (Lillis, McCaughan, Strable & Valdés)	50%	3 times

All Named Executive Officers comply with these guidelines.

Claw Back Policy

The Committee has also adopted a compensation recovery policy that applies to Executives. Principal can recover incentive compensation if the amount of the compensation was based on achievement of financial results that were subsequently restated if the Committee decides that the Executive engaged in fraud or intentional misconduct that caused the restatement of the Company's financial statements, and if the amount of the Executive's incentive compensation or equity award would have been lower had the financial results been properly reported.

Trading Policy

Principal prohibits Directors and employees, including Executives, from:

Purchasing Principal securities "on margin" (i.e., with the proceeds of a loan from a brokerage firm when the loan is secured by Principal securities), except for the exercise of employee stock options.

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Short sales;

Trading in put or call options; and

Purchasing, directly or through a designee, any financial instrument (including prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds) that is designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Principal securities.

34 2017 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

Succession Planning

The Human Resources Committee, the CEO and the head of Human Resources have an ongoing focus on executive development and succession planning to prepare Principal for future success. In addition to preparing for CEO succession, the succession planning process includes all key executive positions. A comprehensive review of executive talent, including assessments by an independent consulting firm, has determined participants' readiness to take on additional leadership roles and identified the developmental and coaching opportunities needed to prepare them for greater responsibilities. The CEO makes a formal succession planning presentation to the Board of Directors annually. CEO succession planning is a responsibility of the entire Board and all members participate. In addition, the Company has an emergency succession plan for the CEO that is reviewed by the Board annually.

Human Resources Committee Report

The Human Resources Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management, and based on such review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Michael T. Dan, Chair
C. Daniel Gelatt
Roger C. Hochschild
Scott M. Mills
Elizabeth E. Tallett

Risk Assessment of Employee Incentive Plans

The Human Resources Compensation Department and the chief risk officers in the business units conducted a review and analysis of the Company's employee incentive compensation plans to determine whether the plans are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, and reviewed their processes and conclusions with the Chief Risk Officer. The following factors, among others, were assessed:

- Plan design;
- Performance metrics and quality of goal setting;
- Administrative procedures, including governance practices and plan compliance;
- Plan communications and disclosures;
- Potential risks created by the plans;
- Risk control factors and their effectiveness; and
- Inherent and residual risk ratings.

Some key factors that mitigate risks of the Company's incentive plans are the Company's stock ownership guidelines for Executives, the compensation recovery policy and the Human Resources Committee's ability to exercise its judgment in evaluating the quality of performance achievements when determining earned compensation. Employees are prohibited from purchasing the Company's securities on margin (except for the exercise of stock options), engaging in short sales or trading in any put or call options; and purchasing, directly or through a designee, any financial instrument (including prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds) designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Company securities.

A summary of the assessment process and conclusions was reviewed with the Human Resources Committee. Based on this analysis, the Company has determined that its employee incentive compensation plans are designed to encourage behaviors that create and maintain shareholder value, do not encourage excessive risk, and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Principal.

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers for services provided to the Company and its subsidiaries during 2014, 2015 and 2016.

Name	Year	Salary(1)	Bonus(2)	Awards(3)	Stock Awards(3)	Option Awards(3)	Non Equity Incentive Compensation(4)	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation(5)	Earnings(6)	All Other Compensation(7)	Total(8)
Houston	2016	\$ 795,192	\$ 0	\$ 2,000,017	\$ 1,999,983	\$ 2,744,000	\$ 1,269,557	\$ 161,959	\$ 8,970,708		
	2015	\$ 735,577	\$ 0	\$ 1,434,366	\$ 1,434,390	\$ 1,482,000	\$ 0	\$ 118,193	\$ 5,204,526		
	2014	\$ 592,769	\$ 0	\$ 1,115,627	\$ 1,115,643	\$ 962,000	\$ 1,579,560	\$ 106,984	\$ 5,472,583		
Lillis	2016	\$ 564,327	\$ 0	\$ 851,255	\$ 851,261	\$ 584,000	\$ 693,185	\$ 41,340	\$ 3,585,368		
	2015	\$ 567,346	\$ 0	\$ 826,516	\$ 826,496	\$ 440,000	\$ 0	\$ 51,482	\$ 2,711,840		
	2014	\$ 523,077	\$ 0	\$ 795,004	\$ 794,986	\$ 604,000	\$ 2,921,717	\$ 47,410	\$ 5,686,194		
McCaughan	2016	\$ 663,500	\$ 0	\$ 1,082,263	\$ 1,082,253	\$ 1,958,000	\$ 73,538	\$ 13,500	\$ 4,873,054		
	2015	\$ 673,731	\$ 0	\$ 1,061,145	\$ 1,061,134	\$ 1,563,000	\$ 46,816	\$ 13,702	\$ 4,419,528		
	2014	\$ 629,616	\$ 0	\$ 3,030,265	\$ 1,030,261	\$ 2,060,000	\$ 123,802	\$ 13,221	\$ 6,887,165		
Strable	2016	\$ 488,846	\$ 0	\$ 606,266	\$ 606,236	\$ 446,000	\$ 337,492	\$ 50,084	\$ 2,534,924		
Valdés	2016	\$ 589,288	\$ 0	\$ 739,376	\$ 739,396	\$ 413,000	\$ 112,356	\$ 74,761	\$ 2,668,177		
	2015	\$ 598,385	\$ 0	\$ 724,984	\$ 724,959	\$ 329,000	\$ 113,838	\$ 68,003	\$ 2,559,169		
	2014	\$ 559,077	\$ 0	\$ 1,703,763	\$ 703,747	\$ 481,000	\$ 143,136	\$ 75,211	\$ 3,665,934		

(1) Includes 2016 salary deferred into the qualified 401(k) Plan and the Excess Plan, as shown below (information on deferrals for 2015 was included in last year's proxy statement):

Named Executive Officer	401(k) Employee Contribution	Excess Plan Employee Contributions	Total Employee Contributions
Houston	\$ 17,923	\$ 63,615	\$ 81,538
Lillis	\$ 16,596	\$ 33,860	\$ 50,456
McCaughan	\$ 18,000	\$ 0	\$ 18,000

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

Strable	\$ 18,000	\$ 53,773	\$ 71,773
Valdés	\$ 24,000	\$ 29,170	\$ 53,170

(2)

Amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value amounts for awards and options granted in the year noted. The assumptions for the valuation of stock option awards under the ASC Topic 718 for awards included in the Summary Compensation Table are as follows:

Grant Date	Exercise Price	Volatility	Expected Term	Dividend Yield	Risk Free Interest Rate
February 24, 2014	\$ 44.88	32.21%	6.5 year	2.496%	2.04%
February 23, 2015	\$ 51.35	32.21%	6.5 year	2.805%	1.80%
February 22, 2016	\$ 37.38	31.67%	6.5 year	4.066%	1.47%

The grant date fair value per share of each RSU or PSU granted on the same date as an option listed in the above table was equal to the exercise price reported for options granted on such date.

(3)

PSUs will be earned and paid in shares of Common Stock only if performance requirements are met or exceeded. The PSUs are eligible for dividend equivalents, and the dividend equivalents are subject to the same performance requirements as the corresponding PSUs and are only earned if the performance measures are met or exceeded. The maximum payout for the 2014, 2015, and 2016 PSUs is 150% of the target number of PSUs. If the

Table of Contents

PSUs granted in 2016 are earned at the maximum payout, the grant date value of such PSUs would be as shown in the following table, and the amounts reported in the Stock Awards column, above, would be increased by the amount shown in the column to the far right of the following table.

Named Executive Officer	Amount by Which Aggregate Grant Date Values Reported Would be Increased
Houston	\$ 1,000,008
Lillis	\$ 425,627
Strable	\$ 303,133
McCaughan	\$ 541,132
Valdés	\$ 369,688

(4)

The amounts shown represent annual incentive compensation awards earned in 2016 and paid in 2017 and include the following amounts deferred into the qualified 401(k) Plan and Excess Plan:

Named Executive Officer	Employee Contributions on Incentive Pay
Houston	\$ 225,712
Lillis	\$ 43,127
McCaughan	\$ 0
Strable	\$ 89,200
Valdés	\$ 33,265

(5)

Assumptions underlying the determination of the amount of increase in actuarial value for both the qualified and nonqualified pension plans are disclosed on page 43. Changes in these assumptions and compensation

changes will impact this value annually. There are no above market earnings on deferred compensation.

In past proxy statements, the same actuarial assumptions have been used regardless of whether a Named Executive Officer with a benefit under the traditional pension formula has elected to receive their NQDB plan distribution in the form of an annuity or as a lump sum payment. Because a different discount rate is used for determining lump sum payments, the company has changed the assumptions used to value the NQDB reflected in the Summary Compensation Table to reflect the Named Executive Officer's elected form of distribution. This is a better reflection of the value of the benefit that will ultimately be paid to the Named Executive Officer.

(6)

For Messrs. Houston and Lillis, the 2015 Change in Pension Values are (\$306,688) and (\$1,160,093), respectively. Pursuant to SEC reporting rules, a negative Change in Pension Value is reported in the Summary Compensation Table as a zero.

(7)

All Other Compensation for the Named Executive Officers consists of the following:

Name	Perquisites & Contributions Other Personal Benefits(a)	Principal Life Contributions to Defined Plans(b)	Total
Houston	\$ 25,328	\$ 136,631	\$ 161,959
Lillis	\$ 11,211	\$ 30,130	\$ 41,341
McCaughan	\$ 0	\$ 13,500	\$ 13,500
Strable	\$ 1,307	\$ 48,777	\$ 50,084
Valdés	\$ 19,776	\$ 54,985	\$ 74,761

(a)

Represents the incremental aggregate cost to Principal for all perquisites provided during the year. Amounts include the value of an annual physical examination, business spousal travel, and gifts given to all sales conference attendees.

Table of Contents

(b)

The amounts shown below are Principal Life's matching contributions to the 401(k) Plan and the Excess Plan. The Excess Plan's matching contributions are also included in Principal Life's Contributions in the NonQualified Deferred Compensation table on page 44.

Named Executive Officer	401(k) Matching Contribution Made by Principal Life	Excess Plan Matching Contribution Made by Principal Life	Total
Houston	\$ 13,500	\$ 123,131	\$ 136,631
Lillis	\$ 6,881	\$ 23,249	\$ 30,130
McCaughan	\$ 13,500	\$ 0	\$ 13,500
Strable	\$ 13,500	\$ 35,277	\$ 48,777
Valdés	\$ 13,500	\$ 41,485	\$ 54,985

(8)

Sum of the total dollar value of the other columns in this table.

Grants of Plan Based Awards for Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016

Grant	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			Other Stock Awards	Other Option Awards(3)	Exercise Price(4)	V
	Date	Threshold	Target	Maximum(1)	Threshold	Target				
02/22/2016	N/A	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 9,900,000	13,376	53,505	80,258		\$ 37.38	\$ 2,0	
02/22/2016								224,465	\$ 8.91	\$ 1,9
02/22/2016	N/A	\$ 567,000	\$ 2,800,000	5,693	22,773	34,160		\$ 37.38	\$ 8	
02/22/2016								95,540	\$ 8.91	\$ 8
han 02/22/2016	N/A	\$ 1,998,000	\$ 7,100,000	7,238	28,953	43,430		\$ 37.38	\$ 1,0	
								121,465	\$ 8.91	\$ 1,0
	N/A	\$ 443,625	\$ 5,700,000							

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

02/22/2016				4,945	19,780	29,670		\$	37.38	\$	7
02/22/2016							82,985	\$	8.91	\$	7
	N/A	\$	535,000	\$	2,800,000						
02/22/2016				4,055	16,219	24,329		\$	37.38	\$	6
02/22/2016							68,040	\$	8.91	\$	6

- (1) The maximum award shown is the maximum aggregate award payable under the Annual Incentive Pay Plan for the Named Executive Officers, based on the Bonus Pool. In determining the actual annual incentive award payable, the Human Resources Committee exercises negative discretion to reduce the amount payable from the maximum award determined under the Annual Incentive Pay Plan as described on pages 29-31.
- (2) These columns reflect PSUs granted on February 22, 2016. These PSUs will vest, if at all, according to the 2016-2018 PSU performance scale outlined on page 32. The maximum payout for the 2016 PSUs is 150% of the target number of PSUs.
- (3) The options vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. The options are not eligible for dividend equivalents. The number of stock options awarded to each Named Executive Officer in a given year is calculated by dividing the grant date fair value of one option into the portion of the Adjusted Target Award Opportunity (50%) to be delivered in options, using the Black-Scholes model.
- (4) The per-share option exercise price is the closing price of the Common Stock on the date of grant.
- (5) Represents the grant date fair value of the award at target.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (1)	Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options (1)	Number of Shares or Units of Option that Expire (2)	Expiration Date (2)	Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Vested (3)	Number of Shares or Units of Other Rights that have not vested (3)	Value of Shares, Units, or Other Rights that have not vested (4)	Value of Shares, Units, or Other Rights that have not vested (4)
Houston	24,870	0	0	\$ 62/08/2017				
	37,080	0	0	\$ 60/10/2018				
	0	0	0	\$ 02/23/2020				
	50,200	0	0	\$ 04/28/2021				
	81,865	0	0	\$ 02/26/2022				
	89,750	0	0	\$ 00/26/2023				
	39,373	19,687	0	\$ 04/28/2024		26,392	\$ 1,656,875	
	23,403	46,807	0	\$ 01/33/2025		27,944	\$ 1,616,840	
	024,465	0	\$ 02/38/2026		53,505	\$ 3,095,799		
Lillis	5,525	0	0	\$ 62/08/2017				
	7,380	0	0	\$ 60/10/2018				
				\$ 06/49/2018				
				\$ 04/28/2021				
	62,760	0	0	\$ 00/26/2023				
	28,056	14,029	0	\$ 04/28/2024		18,806	\$ 1,180,663	
	13,485	26,970	0	\$ 01/33/2025		16,102	\$ 931,662	
	95,540	0	\$ 02/38/2026		22,773	\$ 1,317,646		
McCaughan				\$ 09/25/2016				
	48,990	0	0	\$ 62/08/2017				
	60,590	0	0	\$ 60/10/2018				
	15,555	0	0	\$ 01/07/2019				
	39,365	0	0	\$ 02/23/2020				
	50,355	0	0	\$ 04/28/2021				
	77,400	0	0	\$ 02/26/2022				
	83,630	0	0	\$ 00/26/2023				
	36,360	18,180	0	\$ 04/28/2024		24,372	\$ 1,530,088	
						37,092	\$ 2,146,143	
17,313	34,627	0	\$ 01/33/2025		20,673	\$ 1,196,140		

Edgar Filing: PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

	021,465	\$ 37.38		28,953	\$ 1,675,221
Strable	068,040	\$ 02/26 /2026		16,219	\$ 938,431
Valdés	7,480	0 \$ 02/08 /2017			
	10,375	0 \$ 02/16 /2018			
		\$ 02/26 /2021			
		\$ 02/26 /2023			
	24,836	12,419 \$ 02/28 /2024		16,648	\$ 1,045,168
			18,546	\$ 1,073,072	
	11,828	23,657 \$ 02/23 /2025		14,124	\$ 817,215
	082,985	\$ 02/26 /2026		19,780	\$ 1,144,471

- (1) All options vest in three equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. Each of these options is also subject to accelerated vesting in certain events, such as the Named Executive Officer's death, disability or retirement, or upon the occurrence of a Change of Control.
- (2) All RSUs vest on the third anniversary of the grant date.
- (3) The PSUs granted in 2014 vested on December 31, 2016 and are disclosed at 97% of target in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The PSUs granted in 2015 will vest on December 31, 2017 and will pay out based on performance against certain ROE and Book Value/Share performance goals, but only if either the ROE or operating income threshold performance measure is met as approved by the Human Resources Committee. PSUs granted in 2016 will vest on December 31, 2018, and will pay out based on performance against certain ROE and BV/Share performance goals, but only if either the ROE or operating income threshold is met as approved by the Human Resources Committee.
- (4) Assumes a stock price of \$57.86 per share, the closing price of a share of Common Stock on the last trading day of the year, December 30, 2016, reported for the New York Stock Exchange-Composite Transactions.

Table of Contents

Named Executive Officers may defer PSUs that are earned and would otherwise be paid shortly after the performance period. Annual cash incentive awards, as shown in the NonEquity Incentive Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table, may also be deferred into the Excess Plan.

Option Exercises and Stock Vesting

The following table provides information concerning the exercise of stock options and the vesting of RSUs and PSUs during calendar year 2016 for each Named Executive Officer on an aggregated basis.

Named Executive Officer	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting(2)
Houston	72,350	\$ 2,784,028	26,392	\$ 1,656,875
Lillis	39,160	\$ 742,385	18,806	\$ 1,180,663
McCaughan	52,000	\$ 1,074,340	24,372	\$ 1,530,088
Strable			8,787	\$ 551,662
Valdés	72,075	\$ 2,008,129	16,648	\$ 1,045,168

(1) Represents the difference between the market price of the underlying shares of Common Stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price of the exercised option.

(2) Represents the market value of PSUs granted in 2014 that settled on February 27, 2017, at \$62.78 upon Committee approval of the final performance modifier of 97%. The actual payout was determined applying negative discretion.

Table of Contents

Pension Plan Information

Participant Group

Pension Benefit Formula

Grandfathered Participants

Grandfathered Participants were age 47 or older with at least ten years of service on December 31, 2005, and elected to retain the prior benefit provisions under the Defined Benefit ("DB") Plan and the NQDB and to forego receipt of the additional matching contributions offered under the 401(k) and Excess Plans.

Defined Benefit Plan (Traditional Formula)

39.2% of Average Compensation (the highest five consecutive years' total Payout of the past ten years of Pay. "Pay" is the Named Executive Officer's base salary and annual incentive bonus up to the Tax Code limits) below the Integration Level⁽¹⁾ plus 61.25% of Average Compensation above the Integration Level.

Cash Balance Plan The Annual Pay Credits are calculated using the table below

Age+ Service Years (Points)	Annual Pay Credit Contribution on Pay Above Taxable Wage Base(2)	
	Contribution on All Pay	
< 40	4.00%	2.00%
40 49	5.50%	2.75%
50 59	7.00%	3.50%
60 69	9.00%	4.50%
70 79	11.50%	5.75%
80 or more	14.00%	7.00%

Mr. Lillis will receive a retirement benefit under the Traditional Formula.

NO Defined Benefit

The NQDB benefit formula for Grandfathered Participants hired before January 1, 2002 is the greater of:

65% of Average Compensation, offset by Social Security and DB Plan benefits; or

The greater of the traditional or cash balance DB Plan benefit for Grandfathered Participants without regard to Tax Code limits, offset by the benefit that can be provided under the DB Plan.

(1) The Covered Compensation Table in the Tax Code.

(2) The Social Security Taxable Wage Base.