

SOFTECH INC  
Form 10-K  
August 28, 2013

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**X . ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended: May 31, 2013

**. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 0-10665

**SofTech, Inc.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Massachusetts**  
**(State or other jurisdiction of**  
**incorporation or organization)**

**04-2453033**  
**(I.R.S. Employer**  
**Identification Number)**

**59 Lowes Way, Ste 401, Lowell, MA 01851**

**(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)**

**(978) 513-2700**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$.10 par value per share**

**Rights to Purchase Common Stock**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  . No  .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  . No  .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  . No  .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  . No  .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.  .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes  No

The aggregate market value of our voting stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$710,320 on August 20, 2013 based on the last reported sale price of our common stock on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board QB market tier on August 20, 2013.

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock at August 20, 2013 was 875,135 shares.

**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013****Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I.</b>	
Item 1.	4
Item 1A.	6
Item 1B.	12
Item 2.	12
Item 3.	12
Item 4.	12
<b>PART II.</b>	
Item 5.	13
Item 6.	14
Item 7.	14
Item 7A.	24
Item 8.	24
Item 9.	24
Item 9A.	24
Item 9B.	25
<b>PART III.</b>	
Item 10.	25
Item 11.	29
Item 12.	31
Item 13.	32
Item 14.	33
<b>PART IV.</b>	
Item 15.	34
Exhibit Index	36

**APPENDIX A**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Financial Statements	F-2
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7

**SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report includes forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are often identified by words such as may, will, should, could, would, expect, intend, plan, anticipate, believe, estimate, similar expressions. These statements are only predictions and involve estimates, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed. You should not place any undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

You should be aware that our actual results could differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including our ability to:

- .  
generate sufficient cash flow from our operations or other sources to fund our working capital needs and growth initiatives;
- .  
maintain good relationships with our lenders;
- .  
comply with the covenant requirements of our loan agreement;
- .  
successfully introduce and attain market acceptance of any new products and/or enhancements of existing products;
- .  
attract and retain qualified personnel;
- .  
prevent obsolescence of our technologies;
- .  
maintain agreements with our critical software vendors;
- .  
secure renewals of existing software maintenance contracts, as well as contracts with new maintenance customers; and

secure new business, both from existing and new customers.

The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and, except to the extent required by federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. In addition, we cannot assess the impact of each factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. References in this prospectus to the Company, we, our, and us refer to the registrant, SofTech, Inc., and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

## **PART I**

### **ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

#### **Our Company**

SofTech, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation formed in 1969, is a proven provider of engineering software solutions with its ProductCenter® PLM (product lifecycle management) technology and its computer-aided design product CADRA® offering. Our solutions accelerate product development, introduction and profitability by fostering innovation, extended enterprise collaboration, product quality improvements, and compressed time-to-market cycles. We deliver enterprise PLM solutions, with comprehensive out-of-the-box capabilities, to meet the needs of manufacturers of all sizes quickly and cost-effectively.

#### **Products and Services**

##### ***ProductCenter***

Our ProductCenter technology manages the engineering data and electronic files of discrete parts designed in third party proprietary design technologies offered primarily by Solidworks, PTC and Autodesk. ProductCenter is a proven enterprise-wide, collaborative PLM solution delivering a unique and powerful combination of document management, design integration, configuration control, change management, bill of materials management and integration capability with other enterprise-wide systems. ProductCenter is designed to help companies rapidly optimize the product development process. ProductCenter provides for the secure management of product information and allows engineers and the entire design chain to manage, share, modify and track product data and documents throughout the product development lifecycle. ProductCenter supports engineering change management and bill of materials management for automating business processes. ProductCenter's web-based collaboration capabilities allow employees, customers, suppliers, and other globally dispersed team members to securely exchange product information while maintaining a centralized database of critical product data. ProductCenter also enables integration with other business applications such as Enterprise Resource Planning ( ERP ), Supply Chain Management ( SCM ) and Customer Relationship Management ( CRM ) for continuous data exchange across the product lifecycle.

##### ***CADRA***



CADRA is a drafting and design software package for the professional mechanical engineer. The CADRA family of CAD/CAM products includes CADRA Design Drafting, a fast and highly productive mechanical design documentation tool; CADRA NC, a comprehensive 2 through 5 axis NC programming application; and CADRAWorks, an integration with SolidWorks providing for an integrated drawing production system and 3D solid modeler. The CADRA family of products includes an extensive collection of translators and software options that make it a seamless fit into today's multi-platform and multi-application organizations.

Our CADRA product line has been focused almost exclusively on maintaining its existing customers during most of the last decade. Enhancements, upgrades and other releases are targeted to the needs of the users without any concerted effort aimed at finding new customers. The staffing and other costs of this product line are structured for that aforementioned strategy. CADRA revenue decreased approximately 7% in fiscal year 2013 as compared to an increase of 2% in the prior fiscal year.

### **Marketing and Distribution**

We market and distribute our products and services primarily through a direct sales force and through our service organization in North America and Europe. The majority of our sales in Asia are in Japan. We market and distribute our products and services in Japan primarily through authorized resellers. We have contracted with resellers in Europe to reach areas not covered by our direct sales presence and to supplement our existing sales force; however, to date, the revenue generated from this indirect distribution has not been material.

## **Competition**

We compete against much larger entities, all of which have substantially greater financial resources than we do. We operate in an extremely competitive market for all of our software and service offerings. We compete in all our markets on the basis of meeting our customers' business needs with a viable solution that offers an affordable price, low cost of ownership and a high level of customer support and service.

The CAD/CAM software technology competes directly with the offerings of such companies as Autodesk and Siemens. Design and drafting technology is also marketed along with the 3D products (that all possess some level of 2D drafting capability) offered by companies such as PTC, Dassault, Siemens and Autodesk as part of a comprehensive solution. These companies all have financial resources far in excess of our resources.

Our Company's PLM and collaborative technology, ProductCenter, competes against offerings of the companies identified above and against other companies that have focused only on PLM and collaborative offerings as well.

Our service offerings, which include consulting, training and discrete engineering services, compete with offerings by all of the large CAD companies noted above, small regional engineering services companies and the in-house capabilities of our customers.

## **Personnel**

As of May 31, 2013, we employed 40 persons, 36 on a full time basis and 4 part time.

## **Backlog**

Product backlog as of May 31, 2013 and May 31, 2012 was insignificant as it was for the comparable periods in the prior fiscal years. Deferred revenue, consisting primarily of software maintenance services to be performed over the subsequent twelve month period, totaled approximately \$2,147,000 and \$2,194,000 at May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, we had a backlog of consulting orders totaling approximately \$.3 million and \$.6 million at May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## **Research and Development**

We have approximately 12 product development engineers in our research and development groups located in Massachusetts and Michigan. In fiscal years 2013 and 2012, we incurred research and development expense of approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, related to the development of our technology and products. The decrease was primarily due to reduced spending on off-shore, third party development resources. These third party development costs are variable in nature and fluctuate with workload. In fiscal years 2013 and 2012, we capitalized approximately \$276,000 and \$184,000, respectively, of direct costs related to the development of new products that also contributed to reducing expenses.

## **Intellectual Property**

We rely primarily on a combination of trade secrets, patents, copyright and trademark laws, and confidentiality procedures to protect our technology. Due to the technological change that characterizes the PLM industry, we believe that the improvement of existing products, reliance upon trade secrets and unpatented proprietary know-how and the development of new products are generally as important as patent protection in establishing and maintaining a competitive advantage.

During fiscal year 2013 we sold patents related to our CADRA and ProductCenter technologies for an aggregate of \$300,000. As of May 31, 2013, we have filed three new U.S. patents since the Recapitalization Transaction described below. In addition to our patents, we have secured registration on a number of trademarks which we consider important to the protection of our brands.

## **Governmental Regulation**

We export our products throughout the world, and thus we are subject to Federal Export Regulations. We believe we comply with all such regulations. Although our non-U.S. based revenue was 37% of total revenue in 2013, we do not view these regulations as particularly onerous nor are the compliance costs material to our operations.

## **Customers**

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue in fiscal 2013 or 2012.



## **Seasonality**

Our first fiscal quarter, which begins June 1 and ends August 31, has historically produced the lowest revenue. We believe that this is due primarily to the buying habits of our customers as this quarter falls within prime vacation periods.

## **Available Information**

We maintain an Internet site at <http://www.softech.com> on which we make available, free of charge, our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to the foregoing as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). In addition, stockholders may access these reports and documents on the SEC 's web site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Our principal offices are located at 59 Lowes Way, Suite 401, Lowell, Massachusetts 01851, and our telephone number is (978) 513-2700.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

*Our business is subject to numerous risks. We caution you that the following important factors, among others, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf in filings with the SEC, press releases, communications with investors and oral statements. Any or all of our forward-looking statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and in any other public statements we make may turn out to be wrong. They can be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Any factors mentioned in the discussion below will be important in determining future results. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed. Actual future results may differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosure we make in our reports filed with the SEC.*

### **Risks Related to Our Business**

*Continued revenue declines in our product lines may have a material adverse impact upon our business and overall financial performance.*

We offer two product lines, ProductCenter and CADRA. We have experienced consolidated revenue declines in each of our last seven fiscal years.

Our ProductCenter technology, which we acquired in 2003, manages the engineering data and electronic files of discrete parts designed in third party proprietary design technologies offered primarily by SolidWorks, PTC and Autodesk. Revenue from our ProductCenter technology has been declining due to several factors. In July 2007, PTC informed us that it would not renew its partnership agreement with us when the agreement expired in January 2008.

We had been a member of the PTC partnership program for 12 years. The PTC partnership agreement, among other things, provided us with the right to distribute certain information that allowed for our technology to directly interface with PTC's proprietary CAD tools. The non-renewal has essentially prevented us from marketing our ProductCenter solution to new customers that utilize PTC's technology and has negatively impacted our product revenue from this technology offering. In addition to the PTC partnership termination, ProductCenter revenues have been negatively affected by: (i) an increased number of competitive offerings in the marketplace, (ii) elongation of purchase decisions by customers of a technology that already has a long sales cycle, and (iii) uncertain economic conditions.

CADRA, which we acquired in 1998, is a 2D technology that was first introduced in the early 1980's. The 2D marketplace is dominated by AutoCAD, a product offered by Autodesk. Due to the age and market position of our CADRA product line, we make no attempt to find new customers for this product rather we have been focused on keeping our existing customers. As existing customers migrate to other solutions and/or reduce the use of CADRA, our revenue declines without any potential offsets from new accounts. CADRA product revenues are also negatively impacted by the newer 3D technologies available in the marketplace that have become more affordable and easier to use in the last 10 years. Given these factors, as well as the uncertain economic conditions, we do not believe the revenue growth experienced by CADRA in fiscal 2011 and 2012 as compared in each case to the prior fiscal year can be repeated on a consistent basis. The revenue increase in each of those years was partially driven by non-maintenance CADRA users upgrading their operating systems to Windows 7, thereby necessitating a CADRA purchase. Old versions of the CADRA software will not function properly in Windows 7 and off-maintenance customers are not entitled to updated software as are customers covered by our maintenance agreements. We expect to benefit from this kind of activity in the future as Windows 7 use expands, however, the timing of such revenue is unpredictable.

Significant future declines in our total revenues may have a material adverse impact upon our business and overall financial performance.

***We compete against numerous technology companies in the mature PLM industry that are significantly larger and have vastly greater financial resources at their disposal.***

Many of our competitors, including PTC, Dassault, Siemens and Autodesk, have substantially greater financial, technological, marketing, managerial and research and development resources and experience than we do and represent significant competition for us. Our competitors may succeed in developing competing technologies or products which may gain market acceptance more rapidly than our products. Existing or proposed products of our competitors may render our existing or proposed products noncompetitive or obsolete. If we are unable to compete successfully in the future, the competitive pressures that we face could adversely affect our profitability or financial performance.

***Our agreements with certain critical software vendors may be terminated at will by the vendors.***

We utilize third party vendors to provide certain software and utilities which enable us to continue to develop and support ProductCenter customers with their integrations from ProductCenter to their respective CAD solutions. These agreements are subject to termination at will by the vendors, and, if terminated, we would need to seek alternative methods of providing continuing support to our existing customers and an alternative solution to meet the needs of prospective customers, which could have a material adverse effect on future performance. For example, in July 2007, we were informed that our agreement with one such vendor, PTC, was not going to be extended beyond its renewal date of January 31, 2008. Thus the agreement with PTC has since expired. A significant number of our current ProductCenter customers utilize PTC's Pro/ENGINEER integrator solution. We continue to support our current customers who are utilizing a Pro/ENGINEER integration solution with a customer specific consulting solution. While this customer specific consulting solution has allowed us to retain the majority of our customers utilizing Pro/ENGINEER as their CAD tool, it has precluded us from proposing our solution to new customers using that CAD technology. Our inability to offer our solution to new customers utilizing Pro/ENGINEER or similar restrictions that could result from any future terminations of similar agreements with other vendors could have an adverse effect on our future revenues.

***We may not be able to generate sufficient positive cash flow in the future to fund our operations.***

In addition to our bank financing, we are dependent upon cash flow from our business to fund our operations. It is our expectation that we can continue to improve our cash flows; however, there can be no assurances that we will be able to continue to do so. If we are unable to fund our operations from future cash flows, we will need to seek additional debt and/or equity financing, which may not be available on attractive terms, if at all, in which case there could be a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Failure to comply with financial covenants in our loan agreement could adversely affect us.***

As of May 31, 2013, we had \$2.7 million of outstanding indebtedness under our term loan with Prides Crossing Capital. This indebtedness is secured by all of our assets. Our loan agreement includes financial covenants which require us to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios during the term of the agreement. Failure to comply with the financial covenants is an event of default under the loan agreement. In an event of default, the lender has the right to accelerate repayment of all sums due and take any and all action, at its sole option, to collect monies owed to it, including to enforce and foreclose on its security interest on all of our assets. If our lender were to accelerate our debt payments, our assets may not be sufficient to fully repay the debt and we may not be able to obtain capital from other sources at favorable terms or at all.

Prior to the Recapitalization Transaction described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results from Operations hereunder, we defaulted on our previous debt arrangement with Greenleaf Capital, Inc. ( Greenleaf ). Specifically, in June 2010, we failed to make the scheduled loan payments in accordance with our loan and revolving line of credit with Greenleaf, our sole debt provider at the time, which triggered the default. In 1999, we defaulted on our loan facility with Imperial Bank for failure to meet required profit and cash flow thresholds. Subsequent to each of the aforementioned debt defaults, satisfactory repayment agreements were reached with each lender.



***Our loan agreement imposes restrictions on our ability to take certain corporate actions and raise additional capital.***

Our loan agreement contains numerous restrictions that limit our ability to undertake certain activities without the express prior written approval of the lenders. These include, but are not limited to, restricting our ability to:

.  
incur additional indebtedness;

.  
pay or declare dividends;

.  
enter into a business substantially different from existing operations;

.  
issue or authorize any additional or new equity that will result in a change of control; and

.  
take any corporate action outside the ordinary course of the business without the prior written approval of our lenders.

These restrictions could significantly hamper our ability to raise additional capital. Our ability to receive the necessary approvals is largely dependent upon our relationship with our lenders and our financial performance, and no assurances can be given that we will be able to obtain the necessary approvals in the future. Our inability to raise additional capital could lead to working capital deficits that could have a materially adverse effect on our operations in future periods.

***We may not have sufficient funds, to repurchase common stock pursuant to the put right feature in our recent private placement if exercised or to pay the quarterly fee when we are required to do so.***

Investors in our private placement in Q2 and Q3 of fiscal year 2013 have certain contractual rights, such as the right to require us to repurchase common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$275,000 for a 30-day period commencing in May 2014 and the right to receive quarterly fees. Funds required to repurchase the common stock, if the repurchase right is exercised by investors, must be generated from a combination of cash flow from operations and cash on hand while maintaining liquidity levels required under our loan agreement. There can be no assurance that the Company will continue to generate positive cash flow from operations to meet this cash requirement.

***Our ability to use our federal and state net operating loss carryforwards ( NOLs ) to reduce taxable income generated in the future could be substantially limited or eliminated.***

As of May 31, 2013, we had approximately \$20 million of federal NOLs available to offset future taxable income, which expire in varying amounts beginning in 2022, if unused. We may not generate taxable income in time to use these NOLs prior to their expiration, and the Internal Revenue Service may not agree with the amount or timing of prior losses, thereby limiting the value of our NOLs. Furthermore, our ability to use our NOLs is subject to an annual limitation due to ownership changes that may have occurred or that could occur in the future, as determined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, as well as similar state regulations. Depending on the actual amount of any limitation on our ability to use our NOLs, our future taxable income could be subject to federal and/or state income tax, creating federal and/or state income tax liabilities. On February 3, 2012, we entered into a Rights Agreement ( Rights Agreement ) in an effort to prevent an ownership change, as defined under Section 382, from occurring and thereby protect the value of our NOLs. There can be no assurance, however, that the Rights Agreement will prevent an ownership change from occurring or protect the value of our NOLs.

***We adopted a tax benefits preservation plan, designed to preserve the value of our deferred tax assets, primarily related to NOLs, which may discourage acquisition and sale of large blocks of our stock and may result in significant dilution for certain stockholders.***

Our board of directors adopted the Rights Agreement to preserve stockholder value and the value of certain income tax assets primarily associated with NOLs, by seeking to prevent any person from acquiring beneficial ownership of 4.99% or more of our outstanding common stock without the approval of the board of directors.

In connection with the Rights Agreement, the board of directors of the Company declared a dividend of one common share purchase right (a Right ) for each outstanding share of common stock. The dividend was payable on February 15, 2012 to the stockholders of record on February 15, 2012. Pursuant to the Rights Agreement and at the discretion of our board of directors, if any person or group becomes the beneficial owner (subject to certain restrictions) of 4.99% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock the Right may become exercisable. Upon exercise of a Right and payment of the purchase price of \$5.00 (the Purchase Price ), the holder will be entitled to receive a number of shares of our common stock having a market value equal to two times the Purchase Price.

The Rights Agreement may discourage existing 5% stockholders from selling their interest in a single block which may impact the liquidity of our common stock, may deter institutional investors from investing in our common stock, and may deter potential acquirers from making premium offers to acquire SofTech, all factors which may depress the market price of our stock or prevent stockholders from receiving a premium in a change in control transaction.



***Our quarterly results may fluctuate making our future revenue and financial results difficult to predict.***

Our quarterly revenue and operating results are difficult to predict and may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. Our quarterly revenue may fluctuate significantly for several reasons including: the timing and success of introductions of any new products or product enhancements or those of our competitors; uncertainty created by changes in the market; variations in the size and timing of individual orders; competition and pricing; seasonality; and customer order deferrals or cancellations as a result of general economic conditions or industry decline. Furthermore, we have often recognized a substantial portion of our product revenues in the last month of a quarter, with these revenues frequently concentrated in the last weeks or days of a quarter. As a result, product revenues in any quarter are substantially dependent on orders booked and shipped in the latter part of that quarter and revenues from any future quarter are not predictable with any significant degree of accuracy. We typically do not experience order backlog. For these reasons, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of future performance.

***Our financial condition could be adversely affected if significant errors or defects are found in our software.***

Sophisticated software can sometimes contain errors, defects or other performance problems. If errors or defects are discovered in our current or future products, we may need to expend significant financial, technical and management resources, or divert some of our development resources, in order to resolve or work around those defects, and we may not be able to correct them in a timely manner or provide an adequate response to our customers.

Errors, defects or other performance problems in our products could cause us to delay new product releases or customer deployments. Any such delays could negatively impact our ability to realize revenue from the licensing and shipment of new or enhanced products and give our competitors a greater opportunity to market competing products. Such difficulties could also cause us to lose customers. Technical problems or the loss of customers could also damage our business reputation and cause us to lose new business opportunities.

***We are dependent on key personnel whose loss could impair our operations, our product development or our sales efforts.***

We are a small company with fewer than 50 employees. Our technologies are complex and have been developed over many years. While we enjoy the benefit of a very experienced, long-tenured employee group, we are dependent on many of those employees for the familiarity, expertise and unique insight they have developed with our products that would be extremely difficult and time consuming to replace. We maintain key-man life insurance on our Vice President of CADRA Engineering, Mr. Livingston and our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Mullaney in the amount of \$1,000,000 and \$3,000,000, respectively. In Mr. Mullaney's case, this policy was required as part of the debt facility. The proceeds from the life insurance, in the event of his demise, would be used to satisfy the outstanding debt

obligation with the lenders and the excess, if any, would revert to his estate. The loss of services of any of our key personnel could make it difficult for us to meet important objectives, such as timely and effective product introductions and financial goals.

*We may be sued for infringing on the intellectual property rights of others.*

Our CADRA technology was introduced in the early 1980 s and our ProductCenter technology was launched in the early 1990 s. Over the decades that our technologies have been in the marketplace, a significant number of patents have been filed by competitors. It is difficult if not impossible for us to monitor these patent awards to become familiar with their claims and we do not attempt to do so. Third parties may assert that we are employing their proprietary technology without authorization. There can be no assurance that we do not or will not infringe on the patent or proprietary rights of others. Parties making claims against us may be able to obtain injunctive or other equitable relief that could effectively block our ability to further develop, commercialize and sell products, and such claims could result in the award of substantial damages against us. In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us, we may be required to pay damages and obtain one or more licenses from third parties. We may not be able to obtain these licenses at a reasonable cost, if at all. In that event, we could encounter delays in product introductions while we attempt to develop alternative methods or products or be required to cease offering affected products and our operating results would be harmed.

***Our sales and operations are globally dispersed, which exposes us to additional operating and compliance risks.***

We sell and deliver software and services, and maintain support operations in multiple countries whose laws and practices differ from one another. For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, North America accounted for approximately 63%, Europe for approximately 27% and Asia for approximately 10% of our revenue which was not materially different from the percentages for fiscal year 2012. Managing these geographically dispersed operations requires significant attention and resources to ensure compliance with laws. Accordingly, while we maintain a compliance program, we cannot guarantee that an employee, agent or business partner will not act in violation of our policies or U.S. or other applicable laws. Such violations can lead to civil and/or criminal prosecutions, substantial fines and the revocation of our rights to continue certain operations and also cause business and reputation loss.

***We are obligated to maintain proper and effective internal control over financial reporting. We may not complete our analysis of our internal control over financial reporting in a timely manner, or these internal controls may not be determined to be effective, which may adversely affect investor confidence in our company and, as a result, the value of our common stock.***

From August 16, 2010 to December 27, 2011 we were not required to file periodic reports and other reports with the SEC. In December 2011, we filed a Form 8-A with the SEC in connection with the effectiveness of our registration statement (333-174818), subjecting us again to the reporting requirements under the Exchange Act. As a public company, we are required, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, to furnish a report by management on, among other things, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This assessment includes disclosure of any material weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting. We may not be able to remediate future material weaknesses, or to complete our evaluation, testing and any required remediation in a timely fashion. During the evaluation and testing process, if we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we will be unable to assert that our internal controls are effective. If we are unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which would have a material adverse effect on the price of our common stock.

***Because we are a relatively small company, the requirements of being a public company, including compliance with the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, may strain our resources, increase our costs and distract management; and we may be unable to comply with these requirements in a timely or cost-effective manner.***

As a public company, we need to comply with certain laws, regulations and requirements, certain corporate governance provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related regulations of the SEC. If we list our securities on an exchange, the exchange will impose additional requirements on listed companies, including enhanced corporate governance practices. For example, the NASDAQ listing requirements require that listed companies satisfy certain corporate governance requirements relating to independent directors, audit committees, distribution of annual and

interim reports, shareholder meetings, shareholder approvals, solicitation of proxies, conflicts of interest, shareholder voting rights and codes of business conduct. Complying with the SEC statutes, regulations and requirements will occupy a significant amount of time of our board of directors and management and could increase our costs and expenses.

***From time to time we may make acquisitions. The failure to successfully integrate future acquisitions could harm our business, financial condition and operating results.***

As a part of our business strategy, we have in the past and may make acquisitions in the future. We may also make significant investments in complementary companies, products or technologies. Acquisitions present many risks, and we may not realize the financial and strategic goals that were contemplated at the time of any transaction. We cannot provide assurance that we will be able to successfully integrate any business, products, technologies or personnel that we may acquire in the future, and our failure to do so could harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

***Weakness in the United States and international economies may continue to adversely affect our business.***

The past few years have been characterized by weak global economic conditions. Because we market, sell and license our products throughout the world, in addition to the ongoing adverse effects on our business of continued weakness in the U.S. economy, we could be significantly affected by continuing weak economic conditions in foreign and domestic markets that could reduce demand for our products.

## **Risks Related to the Market for our Common Stock**

***Our stock price has been and is likely to continue to be volatile, and an investment in our common stock could decline in value (all stock prices below have been adjusted to reflect the reverse stock split).***

Since the Recapitalization Transaction, which was completed on March 11, 2011, the closing stock price has ranged from a low price of \$1.00 per share to a high price of \$4.20 per share. A contributing factor to the price fluctuation is the low average daily volume, which over the last three fiscal years has averaged fewer than 1,000 shares per day. Given the lack of market makers in the stock and the low demand, a shareholder's attempt to sell a large number of shares relative to the average daily volume in a short period of time will likely have a material negative impact on the share price.

***A small number of shareholders own a large number of shares thereby potentially exerting significant influence over us.***

After completing a share repurchase from Greenleaf in June 2013, three of the four members of our board of directors own approximately 27.8% of our outstanding shares. Greenleaf owns 101,411 shares of common stock, or 11.6% of our shares currently outstanding, and has agreed to vote all of its shares (including any shares subsequently acquired by Greenleaf) in accordance with the recommendations of our board of directors through March 8, 2014, the three year anniversary of the Recapitalization Transaction. As part of the June 2013 share repurchase, Greenleaf agreed that it would not sell or transfer its 101,411 shares for a one year period. This concentration of ownership could significantly influence all matters requiring shareholder approval and could delay, deter or prevent a change in control of the Company or other business combinations that might otherwise be beneficial to our other shareholders. Accordingly, this concentration of ownership may harm the market price of our common stock. In addition, the interest of our significant shareholders may not always coincide with the interest of the Company's other shareholders. In deciding how to vote on such matters, they may be influenced by interests that conflict with our other shareholders.

***Our stock is thinly traded, so you may be unable to sell at or near ask prices or at all.***

The shares of our common stock are traded on the OTC Bulletin Board QB Market tier (OTCQB marketplace tier). Shares of our common stock are thinly traded, meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common stock at or near ask prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. This situation is attributable to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company that is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stockbrokers, institutional investors and others in the investment community who generate or influence sales volume. Even in the event that we come to the attention of such persons, they would likely be reluctant to follow an unproven company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we become more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, our stock price may not reflect an actual or perceived value of the



business. Also, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer that has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without an adverse effect on share price. A broader or more active public trading market for our common shares may not develop or if developed, may not be sustained. Due to these conditions, you may not be able to sell your shares at or near ask prices or at all if you need money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares.

***We do not presently intend to pay any cash dividends or repurchase any shares of our common stock.***

We do not presently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock. Any payment of future dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, level of indebtedness, statutory and contractual restrictions applying to the payment of dividends, and other considerations that our board of directors deems relevant. Cash dividend payments in the future may only be made out of legally available funds and, if we experience substantial losses, such funds may not be available. In addition, our loan agreement prohibits us from paying dividends, making distributions or payments or redeeming, retiring or purchasing any of our capital stock. Accordingly, you may have to sell some or all of your common stock in order to generate cash flow from your investment.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

We are headquartered in Lowell, MA and maintain sales and support offices in Munich, Germany, and Milan, Italy all of which are leased facilities. We believe that our current office space is adequate for current and anticipated levels of business activity.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

On July 19, 2013, Dassault Systemes Solidworks Corporation ( Solidworks ) filed a complaint (the Complaint ) against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Tyler Division seeking redress for fraud and false assurances. The Complaint is connected to a patent infringement suit brought by Auto-Dimensions LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group, against Solidworks in December 2012. The Company owned those patents in question and sold them to Auto-Dimensions LLC in June 2012. Solidworks is seeking, at a minimum, reimbursement from the Company of attorneys fees and any judgments or settlement monies they incur under the infringement suit. The Company is assessing this recently filed action and will respond in a timely manner.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not Applicable

**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB marketplace tier under the symbol "SOFT". The following table sets forth the high and low closing price for our common stock for the periods indicated, which reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 2013		
First Quarter	\$3.10	\$1.55
Second Quarter	3.75	3.00
Third Quarter	3.89	2.00
Fourth Quarter	3.24	1.95
Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 2012		
First Quarter	\$2.75	\$2.40
Second Quarter	2.55	1.00
Third Quarter	2.00	1.00
Fourth Quarter	1.56	1.55

On August 20, 2013, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the OTCQB marketplace tier was \$1.79 per share. As of August 20, 2013, there were 875,135 shares of our common stock outstanding held by approximately 203 holders of record, and we had outstanding options to purchase an aggregate of 10,000 shares of common stock, with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.40 per share.

On July 9, 2013, in connection with the amendment to our Loan, Pledge and Security Agreement dated May 10, 2013, and as amended by Amendment No.1 dated July 9, 2013, by and among the Company as borrower and Prides Crossing Capital, L.P. and Prides Crossing Capital-A, L.P. (collectively, "Prides Crossing Capital" or "Lenders"), as lenders (the "Loan Agreement") as described in Note F to the financial statements, we issued warrants to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock to our Lenders. The warrants have an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, vest evenly on a monthly basis over three years, with accelerated vesting in certain circumstances, and a term expiring July 9, 2020 if not exercised.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since 1997, and we have no present intention to pay any cash dividends again in the future. Additionally, our Loan Agreement prohibits us from paying dividends. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS for a description of the Loan Agreement.

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information, as of May 31, 2013, regarding our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan:

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in second column)</b>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1)	10,000	\$2.40	140,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>\$2.40</b>	<b>140,000</b>

(1)

As of May 31, 2013, 6,389 options were exercisable. For additional information, see EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION SofTech Equity Incentive Plans .

## Recent Sale of Unregistered Securities

Not Applicable

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013, we did not purchase any shares of our common stock.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a small reporting company, as defined by the SEC, and are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

*The following discussion and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included in this Form 10-K. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth in this Form 10-K under Risk Factors, actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.*

### Overview

We operate in one reportable segment and are engaged in the development, marketing, distribution and support of computer software solutions that enable companies to manage the entire lifecycle of their products from conception through design and manufacture, to service and disposal, all of which is known in the industry as Product Lifecycle Management ( PLM ). These solutions include software technology offerings for Computer Aided Design ( CAD ), Product Data Management ( PDM ) and Collaboration technologies, all of which fit under the broadly defined PLM industry. Our operations are organized geographically in the U.S. and Europe. We have sales and customer support offices in the U.S., Germany and Italy. We also operate through resellers in Europe and Asia. Components of revenue and long-lived assets by geographic location are outlined in Note E to the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013.

Revenue from our ProductCenter technology has been experiencing year over year revenue declines for seven consecutive fiscal years due to several factors. In July 2007, Parametric Technology Corporation PTC informed us that it would not renew its partnership agreement with us when the agreement expired in January 2008. We had been a member of the PTC partnership program for 12 years. The PTC partnership agreement, among other things, provided us with the right to distribute certain information that allowed for our technology to directly interface with PTC's proprietary CAD tools. The non-renewal has essentially prevented us from marketing our ProductCenter solution to new customers that utilize PTC's technology and has negatively impacted our product revenue from this technology offering. In addition to the PTC partnership termination, ProductCenter revenue has been negatively affected by: (i) an increased number of competitive offerings in the marketplace, (ii) elongation of purchase decisions by customers of a technology that already has a long sales cycle, and (iii) uncertain economic conditions. Since PTC's decision we have focused on offering ProductCenter to the mid-range CAD market and we are exploring other opportunities to broaden the addressable market for this product.

For more than a decade through fiscal 2010, we had also experienced revenue declines in our CADRA product line. CADRA, which we acquired in 1998, is a 2D technology that was first introduced in the early 1980's. The revenue declines were due to the age of the product, the introduction of robust 3D solutions for less than \$5,000 per unit and the dominance of AutoCAD in the 2D market, a product offered by Autodesk. However, in fiscal years 2011 and 2012 CADRA revenue increased as compared in each case to the immediately preceding fiscal year, primarily driven by our existing non-maintenance CADRA customers that have had to repurchase licenses due to the upgrade of their operating system. Older versions of CADRA do not function properly on the Windows 7 operating system. We expect to continue to benefit from this kind of activity from time to time as Windows 7 adoption expands, however, this type of activity is difficult to predict.

The Company has consistently generated positive adjusted cash flow, as measured by net income less non-cash expenses for more than a decade. This measure deteriorated significantly from the fiscal year 2009 high through fiscal year 2011. Performance has improved since the Recapitalization Transaction as shown below in thousands.

<b>Fiscal</b>	<b>Net</b>	<b>Non-cash</b>	<b>Adjusted</b>
<b>Year</b>	<b>Income(Loss)</b>	<b>Expense</b>	<b>Cash</b>
		<b>Adjustments (1)</b>	<b>Flow</b>
2002	\$ (2,680)	\$ 2,927	\$ 247
2003	(1,852)	2,323	471
2004	(1,853)	2,585	732
2005	(1,425)	2,533	1,108
2006	(1,332)	1,936	604
2007	(1,222)	1,469	247
2008	(306)	1,430	1,124
2009	1,321	532	1,853
2010	673	176	849
2011	(222)	595	373
2012	444	156	600

2013

360

333

693

(1)

Non-cash expense adjustments include depreciation and amortization in all periods. In addition for fiscal year 2011 the adjustment includes bonuses of \$540 associated with the Recapitalization Transaction that were paid by an affiliate.

The above derived Adjusted Cash Flow is a non-GAAP measure. We believe our non-cash expenses have been and continue to be a significant element of our operations. The Company believes that the inclusion of Adjusted Cash Flow helps investors gain a meaningful understanding of the Company's core operating results and enhances comparisons with those of prior periods. Management uses Adjusted Cash Flow, in addition to other non-GAAP and GAAP financial measures, as the basis for measuring our core operating performance and comparing such performance to that of prior periods. Adjusted Cash Flow is not meant to be considered superior to, or a substitute for, results of operations prepared in accordance with GAAP.



## ***Recent Developments***

### *Recapitalization Transaction*

In March 2011, the current management team (CEO and VP of Business Development) completed a transaction (the Recapitalization Transaction) in which a group of eight (8) investors purchased 39% of the Company's common stock, arranged for debt facilities of \$3.2 million and negotiated for a \$7.6 million debt reduction from Greenleaf, the Company's sole lender at that time and largest shareholder. As part of that Recapitalization Transaction, Greenleaf accepted a payment of \$2.7 million in cash and note for \$250,000 in full satisfaction of the \$10.6 million of indebtedness. The former CEO resigned after a short transition period, a new four person Board of Directors was appointed and the existing Board members resigned. In addition, Greenleaf gave the Company's Board of Directors voting control over its shares for a three year period immediately following the Recapitalization Transaction.

### *Refinancing of Debt*

In May 2013, the Company entered into a new three year, \$2.7 million loan agreement as detailed in Note F to the financial statements that replaced the Company's prior debt facilities that were to expire in February 2014. The loan agreement requires quarterly principal payments of \$135,000 beginning on October 1, 2014 and carries a 14% interest rate due in arrears each calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2013. The funds were used to retire existing debt and provide additional working capital.

### *Stock Purchase Agreement with Greenleaf Capital and affiliates*

In June 2013, the Company purchased 170,000 shares of common stock from Greenleaf, The Ronda E. Stryker and William D. Johnston Foundation, and The L. Lee Stryker 1974 Irrevocable Trust fbo Ronda E. Stryker, for a purchase price of \$62,900 or \$0.37 per share as detailed in Note K to the financial statements. The agreement provides an option for the Company to either make an offer to purchase the remaining 101,411 shares held by Greenleaf at \$0.37 per share or to provide Greenleaf with registration rights with respect to the remaining shares as set forth in the Registration Rights Agreement dated March 8, 2011. Greenleaf is under no obligation to accept the Company's offer to purchase the remaining shares on the terms set forth above, however, if the offer is made by the Company and rejected, the Company will no longer be obligated to provide Greenleaf with registration rights with respect to the remaining shares. As part of the agreement, Greenleaf agreed not to sell or transfer the shares for a one year period.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Judgments and Estimates

The Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) issued disclosure guidance for critical accounting policies. The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that require the application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note B to the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013. We believe that the following accounting policies require the application of our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments:

### Revenue Recognition

We follow the provisions of the Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 985, *Software*, for transactions involving the licensing of software and software support services. Revenue from software license sales is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery of the product has been made, and a fixed fee and collectability has been determined. The Company does not provide for a right of return. For multiple element arrangements, total fees are allocated to each of the undelivered elements based upon vendor specific objective evidence ( VSOE ) of their fair values, with the residual amount recognized as revenue for the delivered elements, using the residual method set forth in ASC 985. Revenue from customer maintenance support agreements is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreements, typically one year. Revenue from engineering, consulting and training services is recognized as those services are rendered using a proportional performance model.

We follow the provisions of ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition* for transactions that do not involve the licensing of software or software support services as in the case of the recent sale of our patents. Revenue from the sale of patents is recorded when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has taken place and a fixed fee and collectability has been determined. These conditions are no different from those when we license software. For multiple element arrangements, however, under ASC 605, total fees are allocated to each of the elements based upon the relative selling price method. Under that method the allocation of fees to the undelivered elements is based on VSOE, or if it doesn't exist, then based on third party evidence of selling price. If neither exists, then the allocation is based on management's best estimate of the selling price.

#### Estimating Allowances for Doubtful Accounts Receivable

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer's current credit worthiness, as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the provisions established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. A significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any of our significant customers could have a material adverse effect on the collectibility of our accounts receivable and our future operating results.

#### Valuation of Long-lived and Intangible Assets

We periodically review the carrying value of all intangible assets and other long-lived assets. If indicators of impairment exist, we compare the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets over their estimated economic life to the related carrying value of those assets to determine if the assets are impaired. If the carrying value of the asset is greater than the estimated undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the assets would be decreased to their fair value through a charge to operations. As of May 31, 2013, we do not have any long-lived assets we consider to be impaired.

#### Goodwill

We account for goodwill pursuant to ASC 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. This statement requires that goodwill be reviewed annually, or more frequently as a result of an event or change in circumstances, for possible impairment. As of May 31, 2013, we conducted our annual impairment test of goodwill by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to the carrying amount of the underlying assets and liabilities of our one reportable segment. We concluded that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying amount of the underlying assets and liabilities, therefore no impairment existed as of the testing date.

Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets

We regularly evaluate our ability to recover the reported amount of our deferred income taxes considering several factors, including our estimate of the likelihood of our generating sufficient taxable income in future years during the period over which temporary differences reverse. Our deferred tax assets are currently fully reserved.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 2013 as Compared to Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 2012

The table below presents the comparative income statements for the twelve month periods ended May 31, 2013 and 2012 along with the dollar and percentage change amounts for each revenue and expense item (expressed in thousands, except percentages):

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>Change in</b>	<b>Change in</b>
			<b>\$</b>	<b>%</b>
Revenues:				
Products	\$ 1,284	\$ 1,420	\$ (136)	(9.6)%
Services	4,784	5,015	(231)	(4.6)
Royalties on sale of patents	290	-	290	-
Total revenues	6,358	6,435	(77)	(1.2)
Cost of revenues:				
Products	141	75	66	88.0
Services	1,234	1,335	(101)	(7.6)
Total cost of revenues	1,375	1,410	(35)	(2.5)
Gross margin	4,983	5,025	(42)	(0.8)
Research and development expenses	1,087	1,243	(156)	(12.6)
Selling, general and administration expenses	3,186	2,984	202	6.8
Operating income	710	798	(88)	(11.0)
Interest expense	342	320	(22)	(6.9)
Other (income) expense net	(7)	31	(38)	(122.6)
Income before income taxes	\$ 375	\$ 447	\$ (72)	(16.1%)

The table below presents the relationship, expressed as a percentage, between revenue and expense items and total revenue, for the twelve month periods ended May 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Items as a percentage	
	2013	2012
Revenues:		
Products	20.2%	22.1%
Services	75.2	77.9
Royalties on sale of patents	4.6	-
Total revenues	100.0	100.0
Cost of revenues:		
Products	2.2	1.2
Services	19.4	20.7
Total cost of revenues	21.6	21.9
Gross margin	78.4	78.1
Research and development expenses	17.1	19.3
Selling, general and administrative expenses	50.1	46.4
Operating income	11.2	12.4
Interest expense	5.4	5.0
Other (income) expense, net	(0.1)	0.5
Income before income taxes	5.9%	6.9%

## Revenue

Total revenue for fiscal year 2013 was approximately \$6.4 million, a slight decrease as compared to fiscal 2012. The following table summarizes revenue by product line for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except percentages):

Product Line	2013	2012	\$ Change	% Change
ProductCenter	\$ 2,986	\$ 3,124	\$ (138)	(4.4)%
CADRA	2,932	3,162	(230)	(7.3)
Other	440	149	291	195.3
Total	\$ 6,358	\$ 6,435	\$ (77)	(1.2)%

Total revenue has declined for the last six fiscal years, the year over year percentage declines for each of those fiscal years are as follows: FY2013: 1%; FY2012: 6%; FY2011: 11%; FY2010: 19%; FY2009: 6%; and FY2008: 9%. There are many reasons for this continuous downward overall trend as detailed hereunder by product line and by revenue category, including the age of our technology, competitive solutions in the marketplace and the loss of partnership agreements.

#### Product Revenue

Product revenue for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 was approximately \$1.3 million as compared to approximately \$1.4 million for fiscal year 2012, a 9.6% decrease. The table below details product revenue by product line for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
<b>Product Line</b>				
ProductCenter	\$ 166	\$ 303	\$ (137)	(45.2)%
CADRA	1,005	1,009	(4)	(0.4)
Other	113	108	5	4.6
Total	\$ 1,284	\$ 1,420	\$ (136)	(9.6)%

Our ProductCenter technology is a server based solution that is often evaluated over an extended period of time by customers prior to purchase due to its importance to an enterprise, the various functions within the enterprise that are impacted by the purchase decision and the other systems within an enterprise it may need to communicate with. It is also a purchase that can be deferred. In addition to the long sales cycle, the offerings of competitive products from proprietary CAD vendors have improved over the last several years. The poor economic conditions of the last several years have also reduced demand within our customer base for expansion of users. All of these factors together with the loss of the PTC Partnership Program in 2008 have contributed to significantly reduced product revenue for this technology from fiscal 2009 through 2013. During that same time period new customer wins were nominal.

Our product revenue for ProductCenter for the current year was below expectation. Accurately estimating the receipt of purchase orders in this environment is extremely difficult.

Our CADRA technology is a desktop solution. The CADRA product revenue in fiscal year 2013, although essentially unchanged from the prior fiscal year, represented the first time since fiscal 2008 when we generated two consecutive fiscal years in excess of \$1 million. Fiscal year 2013 included the expanded use of CADRA by our largest European customer at newly acquired manufacturing sites in Eastern Europe.

#### Service Revenue

Our service revenue is composed of annual maintenance contracts for previously licensed technology for both of our product lines and consulting revenue generated primarily from our ProductCenter technology. The table below summarizes service revenue by product line for the fiscal years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands, except percentages):

	2013	2012	\$ Change	% Change
<b>Product Line</b>				
ProductCenter	\$ 2,820	\$ 2,821	\$ (1)	- %
CADRA	1,927	2,153	(226)	(10.5)
Other	37	41	(4)	(9.8)
Total	\$ 4,784	\$ 5,015	\$ (231)	(4.6)%

Total maintenance revenue included in the above summary totaled approximately \$3.9 million for the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately 8.6% from fiscal year 2012.

The year over year decline in maintenance revenue for ProductCenter was approximately \$147,000 or 6.8%. We continue to experience customers declining to renew maintenance or reducing the number of seats covered by



maintenance without new customer wins to offset the maintenance losses. The maintenance revenue decline was significantly less than the 18% decline registered in fiscal year 2012 as compared to the prior fiscal year. Renewal rates for annual maintenance contracts for this product line were as follows for the last two fiscal years: FY2013: 89%; and FY2012: 93%.

Maintenance revenue for CADRA declined approximately \$229,000 or 11.0% from fiscal 2012 to 2013. CADRA maintenance revenue has declined in each fiscal year for the last five fiscal years, however, the rates of decline have been erratic. Renewal rates for annual maintenance contracts for this product line were as follows for the last two fiscal years: FY2013: 85%; and FY2012: 88%.

Consulting revenue included in the above summary totaled approximately \$875,000 for the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, an increase of approximately \$137,000 or 18.6% from fiscal year 2012. This increase was due to several ongoing projects with our largest consulting customer that generated revenue of \$424,000 in fiscal year 2013 and are expected to continue through fiscal year 2014, at least.

Royalties from sale of patents

Royalties from sale of patents was approximately \$290,000 for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013.

Since the Recapitalization Transaction, the Company has also been actively engaged in acquiring and filing three new U.S. patents, evaluating alternatives for monetizing its existing patents and investigating the acquisition of specific patents already awarded that might enhance our value. It is expected that this kind of activity will become an increasing area of focus and investment over the coming years.

In June 2012 the Company sold its rights, title and interests in three of its U.S. patents ( Patents ) in exchange for a non-refundable, initial royalty payment of \$200,000 (the Initial Payment ) and in September 2012, the agreement was amended to include two other U.S. patents ( Additional Patents ) and the Initial Payment was increased by \$100,000.

The agreement gives the buyer complete control over what, if any, actions shall be taken in the future to monetize the Patents through licensing, sale, enforcement or other means. In the event whereby monies are derived from the Patents, the Company is due 30% of the net proceeds (the Net Proceeds ), as defined in the agreement. The Initial Payment shall be reimbursable from Net Proceeds to the extent any are due. There can be no assurance that the Company will derive any additional monies from the Patents.

The sale of the Patents is a multiple element arrangement as defined under ASC 605 and, as such, the Company allocated the Initial Payment between the sale of the Patents that were delivered during the fiscal quarter and support services that were undelivered. Support services include being available to the Buyer to assist them should they require such assistance in licensing or pursuing other means on monetizing the "Patents" to third parties. The allocation of the Initial Payment to the patent and support services elements was based on management's best estimate of the selling price of each element. The Initial Payment was allocated as follows: Patents - \$290,000; and Support Services - \$10,000. The revenue allocated to support services has been deferred and will be recognized as revenue when the services are performed. No services have been performed to date.

#### Revenue by Geographic Area

Revenue generated in the U.S. accounted for approximately 63% of total revenue for the twelve months ended May 31, 2013 and May 31, 2012. Revenue generated in Europe was approximately 28% of total revenue for the twelve months ended May 31, 2013 as compared to approximately 27% of total revenue in the comparable prior period. Revenue generated in Asia for the twelve months ended May 31, 2013 was approximately 9% of total revenue as compared to approximately 10% of total revenue for the comparable prior period. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, revenue from the U.S. remained constant, revenue from Europe decreased by approximately .4%, and revenue from Asia decreased by approximately 3%, in each case, compared to same period in fiscal year 2012.

#### Gross Margin

Gross margin as a percentage of revenue was approximately 78.4% and 78.1% for the twelve month periods ended May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Gross margin on products revenue was 89.0% in fiscal year 2013 as compared to 94.7% in the prior fiscal year. Gross margin on services revenue was 74.2% in fiscal year 2013 as compared to 73.4% in the prior fiscal year. The revenue generated by the sale of patents had no associated direct costs which positively impacted the current year overall gross margin by 1.1 percentage points.

#### Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses were approximately \$1.1 million for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$156,000 or 12.6% from the comparable twelve month period in fiscal year 2012. We have invested in the development of new products that have the potential for generating new revenue streams. Once a new product is deemed technologically feasible certain costs associated with the development are capitalized. During fiscal year 2013 approximately \$276,000 of software development costs related to the development of new products were capitalized as compared to approximately \$184,000 in the comparable prior period. In addition, during the first quarter of the prior year we had expenditures of approximately \$60,000 related to third party development resources that have not been utilized since that time. Third party development costs are normally of short duration and relate to specified development tasks.

#### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses ( SG&A ) were approximately \$3.2 million for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, an increase of approximately \$202,000 or 6.8% from the comparable twelve month period in fiscal 2012. Debt issuance costs have increased SG&A expenses by approximately \$111,000 for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 as compared to the prior fiscal year and were related to expensing the unamortized debt issuance costs related to our previous debt agreement.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 was approximately \$342,000, as compared to approximately \$320,000 for the comparable prior period. The average outstanding debt during fiscal 2013 was approximately \$2.1 million as compared to approximately \$2.6 million in fiscal 2012, a decrease of 19.6%. The effective borrowing rate on that outstanding debt in each of fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was 15.5% and 12.3%, respectively. The effective borrowing rate increased from fiscal year 2012 to 2013 due to the quarterly fee of 1.5% of revenue that has remained constant as the outstanding debt balance has declined. In addition, in Q4 2013, the Company recorded \$75,000 of interest expense as an estimate of the success fees to be paid in fiscal 2014 to People's United Bank.

#### Income Before Income Taxes

Income before income taxes for fiscal year 2013 was approximately \$375,000 as compared to approximately \$447,000 for the comparable prior period.

#### Earnings Per Share

Net income per share for fiscal year 2013 was \$.35, as compared to \$.45 in fiscal year 2012. The weighted average number of shares outstanding was 1.0 million in fiscal 2013 and 2012.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

During the twelve month periods ended May 31, 2013 and 2012, the net cash provided by operating activities totaled approximately \$513,000 and \$54,000, respectively.

Net cash used in investing activities during fiscal year 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$297,000 and \$149,000, respectively. During fiscal year 2013, investing activities was primarily composed of capitalized software development costs related to new products.

Net cash provided by financing activities during fiscal year 2013 totaled approximately \$458,000 as compared to use of approximately \$887,000 during the prior fiscal year. Financing activities during fiscal year 2013 was composed primarily of \$2.7 million received from refinancing our credit facility partially offset by \$2.2 million of principal repayments on our now refinanced debt facilities and the net proceeds of \$223,000 from the sale of common stock.

Over the course of fiscal year 2013, we sought various alternatives for refinancing our debt with One Conant Capital, an affiliate of People's United Bank and Greenleaf. On May 10, 2013, we entered into a new debt facility with Prides Crossing Capital for \$2.7 million. The funds borrowed were used to pay off the debt owed to One Conant Capital and Greenleaf Capital. The new debt facility carries restrictive loan covenants that are described in more detail in the section hereunder entitled "Credit Facility with Prides Crossing Capital".

In November 2012 the Board of Directors approved the sale of up to 10% (such amount equaling 99,500 shares) of the Company's outstanding common stock, \$.10 par value in private placements to accredited investors (collectively the Investors) at \$5.00 per share in increments of 5,000 shares (a Bundle). Each Bundle provided the Investors the right to payments totaling \$6,000 to be paid in six equal quarterly increments in the eighteen month period following the purchase (the Payment Period). During the thirty day period following the Payment Period the Investors have the right to require the Company to repurchase the common stock acquired in this private placement at \$5.50 per share.

From November 2012 through February 2013, the Company sold 50,000 shares to the Investors under this private placement plan described above in exchange for \$250,000. The Company intends to use the proceeds for working capital and other general corporate purposes.

The Company does not believe that the issuance of such shares will restrict the Company's ability to utilize its net operating losses. Accordingly, the Board of Directors of the Company approved in advance the purchase of the shares by the Investors in these transactions as Exempt Transactions as defined in Section 1(o) of the Company's Rights Agreement, dated February 3, 2012, between the Company and the Registrar and Transfer Company.

#### Sources of Cash

As of May 31, 2013, we had cash on hand of approximately \$1.3 million, an increase of approximately \$693,000 from May 31, 2012. The increase in cash was related to approximately \$513,000 of cash provided by operating activities as described above and the refinancing of our debt facility.

Our expectation for the next twelve months is that our net cash from operations will be improved from the net cash generated from operations over the last two fiscal years. The improvement is expected to result from increased profitability in fiscal year 2014.

The Company believes that its available cash and cash provided by operations will be sufficient to meet its capital needs for at least the next twelve months.

## Factors Affecting Sources of Liquidity

*Internally Generated Funds.* The key factors affecting our internally generated funds are demand for our products and services, competition, economic conditions in the markets in which we operate and industry trends.

*Restrictions Under Credit Facility.* The Credit Facility with Prides Crossing Capital contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including restrictive covenants and affirmative quarterly financial covenants, which are described in more detail below.

### Credit Facility with Prides Crossing Capital

On May 10, 2013, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the *Loan Agreement*) among the Company, as borrower and Prides Crossing Capital L.P. and Prides Crossing Capital -A, L.P., ( *Lenders* ). The Loan Agreement provides for a \$2.7 million, three-year term loan (the *Loan*) with interest only until October 1, 2014.

Approximately \$1.8 million of the proceeds from the Loan were used to pay-off the Company's prior credit facilities.

The Loan matures on May 1, 2016 and bears an interest rate of 14% paid in arrears on a quarterly basis commencing on July 1, 2013 throughout the life of the loan. Commencing on October 1, 2014, and continuing on the last day of each calendar quarter thereafter through May 1, 2016, the Company will make quarterly principal payments of \$135,000. Remaining principal balances will be due and payable on May 1, 2016.

The Company agreed to secure all of its obligations under the Loan by granting the Lenders a first priority security interest in all of the Company's assets, including the Company's intellectual property and pledges of (i) one hundred percent (100%) of the Company's equity interests in its domestic subsidiaries and (ii) sixty-five percent (65%) of the Company's equity interests in its foreign subsidiaries. In connection with the grant of the security interest in favor of the Lenders in the Company's intellectual property, the Company has entered into an intellectual property security agreement with the Lenders and a source code escrow agreement with the Lenders and an independent third party. In addition, the Company's Chief Executive Officer has provided the Lenders with a personal guaranty of up to \$500,000 secured by his equity interests in the Company. The Company agreed to pay the Chief Executive Officer \$80,000 in consideration for extending that personal guaranty. This payment has been included as a part of capitalized debt issuance costs and accrued expenses at May 31, 2013.

The Loan Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including covenants by the Company limiting additional indebtedness, liens, guaranties, mergers and consolidations, substantial asset sales, investments and loans, sale and leasebacks, transactions with affiliates and fundamental changes in its business. In addition, the Loan Agreement contains financial covenants by the Company that establish (i) a maximum ratio of indebtedness to recurring revenue; (ii) a maximum ratio of indebtedness to EBITDA; and (iii) a minimum liquidity test (defined as the Company's cash plus amounts available under a line of credit of up to \$250,000). The Loan Agreement also imposes limits on capital expenditures for each calendar year during the term of the Loan Agreement.

The financial covenants are as follows:

*Leverage Ratio I:* On the last day of each fiscal quarter, the ratio of indebtedness to the trailing twelve months recurring revenue (as defined in the Loan Agreement), must not exceed:

.  
1.10:1 for the fiscal quarters ending on each of May 31, 2013, August 31, 2013, November 30, 2013 and February 28, 2014;

.  
0.90:1 for the fiscal quarters ending on each of May 31, 2014, August 31, 2014, November 30, 2014 and February 28, 2015; and

.  
0.70:1 for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter.

*Leverage Ratio II (as amended in Amendment No. 1):* On the last day of each fiscal quarter, the ratio of indebtedness to EBITDA (as defined in the Loan Agreement), must not exceed:

.  
2.60:1 for the fiscal quarters ending during the period from May 31, 2013 and November 30, 2013;

.  
2.25:1 for the fiscal quarters ending during the period from December 1, 2013 and February 28, 2014;

.  
2.00:1 for the fiscal quarters ending during the period from March 1, 2014 and March 31, 2015; and

1.75:1 for the fiscal quarters ending during the period from April 1, 2015 and May 1, 2016;



EBITDA for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 was approximately \$1.0 million.

For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, the Leverage Ratio I was 0.69:1.

For the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, the Leverage Ratio II was 2.59:1.

*Minimum Liquidity:* At all times the Borrower shall hold cash of no less than \$750,000.

The cash balance as of May 31, 2013 was approximately \$1.3 million.

The Loan Agreement provides for events of default customary for credit facilities of this type, including but not limited to non-payment, defaults on other debt, misrepresentation, breach of covenants, representations and warranties, insolvency and bankruptcy. Upon an event of default relating to insolvency, bankruptcy or receivership, the amounts outstanding under the Loan will become immediately due and payable and the Lenders commitments will be automatically terminated. Upon the occurrence and continuation of any other event of default, the Lenders may accelerate payment of all obligations and terminate the Lenders' commitments under the Credit Facility.

#### GREENLEAF CAPITAL SUBORDINATED NOTE

The Company was also obligated to Greenleaf under a \$250,000 subordinated note (the Greenleaf Note). The Greenleaf Note was subordinated to the Credit Facility in accordance with a Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of March 8, 2011, among the Company, the Lender and Greenleaf (the Subordination Agreement). Interest on the Greenleaf Note accrued at the annual rate of five percent. The Greenleaf Note was paid in full on May 10, 2013.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of the SEC's Regulation S-K.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

This Item is not applicable because we are a smaller reporting company, as defined by applicable SEC regulation.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

The required Financial Statements are included at the end of this Report on Form 10K.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Management's Report on Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, we recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, as ours are designed to do, and we necessarily were required to apply our judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible changes or additions to our controls and procedures.

As of May 31, 2013, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in enabling us to record, process, summarize and report information required to be included in our periodic SEC filings within the required time period.



Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

With the participation of our President and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of May 31, 2013, based on the framework and criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Based on our assessment of the effectiveness in internal control over financial reporting as of May 31, 2013, we concluded that our internal controls over financial reporting were effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Our report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

## **ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our directors and executive officers as of the date of as of August 20, 2013:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Position</b>
Joseph P. Mullaney	56	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Robert B. Anthonyson	66	Vice President of Business Development and Director
J. Phillip Cooper	69	Director
Hank Nelson	55	Director
Amy E. McGuire	38	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Clerk

**Joseph P. Mullaney** has served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director since the consummation of the Recapitalization Transaction. From January 2008 through March 2011, Mr. Mullaney was a management consultant for several technology, renewable energy and telecom companies. From January 2007 through December 2007, Mr. Mullaney served as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Boston Communications Group, Inc., and repositioned that troubled entity for a successful sale at double its then current market value. From June 2001 through December 2006, Mr. Mullaney served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. During this period, Mr. Mullaney developed and implemented the turnaround strategy that ended three consecutive years of negative cash flow totaling almost \$10 million and resulted in eleven consecutive years of positive cash flow. Mr. Mullaney has a BS from Stonehill College and an MBA from Northeastern University. Mr. Mullaney's extensive entrepreneurial and executive experience, his in-depth knowledge of our Company in his executive capacity, his proven ability to raise funds and provide access to capital make him uniquely qualified to serve as President, CEO and as a member of our Board. Mr. Mullaney's term as a director expires at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2013.

**Robert B. Anthonyson** has served as Vice President of Business Development and Director since the consummation of the Recapitalization Transaction. From 2003 through March 2011 Mr. Anthonyson was the general partner of Layne & Barton, LLC, a consulting firm providing real estate brokerage and advisory services. Previously, Mr. Anthonyson was a founder of AVID Systems, a developer of RFID-based technology that allows automated payment when entering or exiting parking garages (sold to Amtech Corp.), co-founder of Dynamics Associates (sold to Interactive Data Corp. then owned by Chase), a patent holder, and technologist. Mr. Anthonyson also served as the project manager of the award-winning \$80M park and underground garage project that transformed Boston's downtown financial district. Mr. Anthonyson currently serves as a Director of FireStar Software. Mr. Anthonyson has a BS and MS from MIT and an MBA from Stanford University. Mr. Anthonyson's extensive knowledge of, and experience in, the software and technology industry, experience as a founder of several technologies and companies and leadership background make him uniquely qualified to serve as VP of Business Development and as a member of our Board. Mr. Anthonyson's term as a director expires at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2015.

**J. Phillip Cooper** is the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and serves on the Audit Committee. Mr. Cooper is the former Vice Chairman, EVP, and CFO of Charles River Associates (NASDAQ: CRAI), from which he retired in June 2006. Mr. Cooper has held numerous CEO positions at several technology companies, including Newstar Technologies in Toronto, Ontario; Clinical Information Advantages, Inc., in Waltham, MA; and Applied Expert Systems in Cambridge, MA. Currently, Mr. Cooper is a member of Boston Harbor Angels, a member of the Board of Advisors of The Capital Network and serves as a Director or Advisor for three technology companies. Mr. Cooper has a B.Com from the University of Toronto and a Ph.D. from MIT. Mr. Cooper's significant public company experience, leadership and management experience in the technology industry, and expertise in the fields of marketing, business development, deal structuring and negotiation, acquisition and strategic partnering, and financial engineering enable him to make critical contributions as a member of our Board. Mr. Cooper's term as a director expires at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2014.

**Hank Nelson** is the Chairman of the Audit Committee and serves on the Compensation Committee. In 2008, Mr. Nelson founded Monadnock Advisors, LLC, a business advisory and investment banking firm focused on the lower to mid-sized companies in technology, health care and business services markets, and currently serves as its principal. From 2004 to 2008, Mr. Nelson was the CEO of Clearstory Systems and also served as a Board member. From 2001 through 2006, he was the CEO and a member of the Board of Directors of INSCI Corporation. He serves as a member of the Board of Advisors for three technology companies. Mr. Nelson has a BS from Northeastern University. Mr. Nelson's significant leadership, management and operating experience and significant financial, accounting and corporate governance expertise enable him to make critical contributions as a member of our Board. Mr. Nelson's term as a director expires at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2013.

**Amy E. McGuire** was appointed our Chief Financial Officer in January of 2007. Ms. McGuire joined us as an Accounting Manager in 2002 when we acquired Workgroup Technology Corporation ( WTC ). Ms. McGuire became our Corporate Controller in August 2004. Ms. McGuire was employed by WTC for 5 years prior to the acquisition. Ms. McGuire has a BS from Nichols College.

## **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Section 16(a)") requires our Directors and executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities (collectively, "Section 16 reporting persons"), to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities. Section 16 reporting persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of any such reports furnished to us and on written representations that there were no changes in beneficial ownership, during fiscal year ended May 31, 2013, none of the Section 16 reporting persons failed to file on a timely basis reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act with respect to its most recent fiscal year.

### **Structure and Operation of the Board**

The following is a brief description of the functions of the Board:

### ***Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight***

Our Board of Directors as a whole is responsible for our risk oversight. Our executive officers address and discuss with our Board of Directors our risks and the manner in which we manage or mitigate such risks. While our Board of Directors has the ultimate responsibility for our risk oversight, our Board of Directors works in conjunction with its committees on certain aspects of its risk oversight responsibilities. In particular, our Audit Committee focuses on financial reporting risks and related controls and procedures and our Compensation Committee strives to create compensation practices that do not encourage excessive levels of risk taking that would be inconsistent with our strategies and objectives.

Since March 2011, Joseph Mullaney has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer. We do not currently have a lead independent director. At this time, our Board believes that Mr. Mullaney's combined role as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director enables us to benefit from Mr. Mullaney's significant institutional and industry knowledge and experience, while at the same time promoting unified leadership and direction for our Board and executive management without duplication of effort and cost. Given our history, position, Board composition and the relatively small size of our company and management team, at this time, our Board believes that we and our shareholders are best served by our current leadership structure.

### ***Nomination of Directors***

Our bylaws do not provide a procedure for shareholders to nominate directors. The Board of Directors does not currently have a standing nominating committee. Instead, the Board of Directors currently has the responsibility of selecting individuals to be nominated for election to the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors does not have a formal policy regarding diversity, the Directors seek a diverse group of candidates who possess the background, skills and expertise to make a significant contribution to the Board of Directors, to the Company and to its shareholders.

Qualifications considered by the Directors in nominating an individual may include, without limitation, independence, integrity, business experience, education, accounting and financial expertise, reputation, civic and community relationships and industry knowledge. In nominating an existing director for re-election to the Board of Directors, the Directors will consider and review an existing director's Board and Committee attendance, performance and length of service.

### ***Audit Committee Related Function***

The Board has formed an Audit Committee composed of the two non-employee Directors: Messrs. Cooper and Nelson, each of whom are independent as that term is defined in rules promulgated by the SEC and in accordance with the standards of the Nasdaq stock market. Mr. Nelson is the Chairman of the Audit Committee. At this time, the Audit Committee does not have a charter. The Audit Committee is appointed by and reports to our Board of



Directors. Its responsibilities include, but are not limited to, the appointment, compensation and dismissal of our independent public accountants, review of the scope and results of our independent public accountants audit activities, evaluation of the independence of our independent public accountants and review of our accounting controls and policies, financial reporting practices and internal audit control procedures and related reports.

### *Compensation Committee Related Function*

The current Board has formed a Compensation Committee composed of the two non-employee Directors: Messrs. Cooper and Nelson, each of whom are independent as that term is defined in rules promulgated by the SEC and in accordance with the standards of the Nasdaq stock market. At this time, the Compensation Committee does not have a charter. Mr. Cooper is the Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is appointed by and reports to our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee has the responsibility of reviewing and establishing compensation for executive officers and making policy decisions concerning salaries and incentive compensation for executive officers of SofTech.

*Executive Compensation Programs.* The Company's compensation programs are aimed at enabling it to attract and retain the best possible executive talent and rewarding those executives commensurate with their ability and performance. The Company's compensation programs consist primarily of base salary, bonus plan, and stock option plan.

*Base Salary.* Base salaries for executive officers are determined in the same manner as that of other salaried employees. Salary guidelines are established by comparing the responsibilities of the individual's position in relation to similar positions in other software development companies of similar size. Individual salaries were determined this year by considering respective levels of responsibility, position and industry comparables.

*Bonus Plan.* The President, Vice President of Business Development and Chief Financial Officer participate in incentive plans which compensate these individuals in the form of cash bonuses. Awards under these plans are based on the attainment of specific Company and individual performance measures established by the Board at the beginning of the fiscal year.

*Incentive Compensation Plan.* The 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2011 Plan ) was approved by our shareholders at the Annual Meeting held on May 24, 2011. The 2011 Plan replaced our 1994 Stock Option Plan (the 1994 Plan ), which was restricted from issuing any new options after 2004. During fiscal year 2011 all options previously issued under the 1994 Plan were either exercised or expired. Under the 2011 Plan, 150,000 shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance. Additionally, any shares subject to any award under the 2011 Plan that expires or is terminated unexercised or is forfeited will be available for awards under the 2011 Plan.

*Director Compensation.* The Board of Directors administers the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. No option may be exercised after the expiration of ten years from its date of grant. Each non-employee Director will receive an annual fee of \$12,000 paid on a quarterly basis in arrears. In addition, in order to align their interests with those of the shareholders, each non-employee Director will be granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock upon his or her initial appointment to the Board of Directors, and will be granted annually (beginning in 2012) an option to purchase 1,000 shares of common stock so long as such Director holds such position. All such options shall have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. These options will vest monthly on a pro rata basis over three years from the date of grant. In the event a Director resigns, stock options already vested may be exercised within 90 days and all unvested stock options will be forfeited. Directors Cooper and Nelson were each granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares on June 7, 2011.

### **Communication with Shareholders**

We have established a process for shareholders to communicate with the Board of Directors. Shareholders wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors of SofTech should send an email to [investors@softech.com](mailto:investors@softech.com) or write or telephone Joseph P. Mullaney at the Company's corporate offices:

Joseph P. Mullaney

SofTech, Inc.

59 Lowes Way, Suite 401

Lowell, Massachusetts 01851

Telephone: (978) 513-2700

Facsimile: (978) 851-4806

All such communication must state the number of Company securities held by the shareholder and must clearly state that the communication is intended to be shared with the Board of Directors. Mr. Mullaney will forward all such

communications to the members of the Board.

### **Code of Ethics**

SofTech has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, or those performing similar functions. A copy of the code of ethics is available on the Company's website at **[www.softech.com](http://www.softech.com)**.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following table summarizes the compensation paid to our President and Chief Executive Officer and to each of the two most highly compensated executive officers (collectively, the Named Executive Officers) during or with respect to each of the two fiscal years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012.

*Summary Compensation Table*

Name and principal position	Year	Salary	Bonus	All other	Total
				compensation (1)	
Joseph P. Mullaney	2013	\$225,000	-	\$1,346	\$226,346
President & CEO	2012	225,000	-	-	225,000
Robert B. Anthonyson	2013	175,000	-	4,500	179,500
VP of Business Development	2012	175,000	-	3,479	178,479
Amy E. McGuire	2013	100,000	-	2,515	102,515
Chief Financial Officer	2012	98,538	-	1,971	100,509

(1) Reflects our contributions to each of the Named Executive Officer's accounts under our 401(k) plan and redemption of vacation time forfeited.

*Narrative Compensation Disclosure*

Messrs. Mullaney and Anthonyson were hired upon completion of the Recapitalization Transaction with an initial annual salary of \$200,000 and \$150,000, respectively. Subsequently, the Compensation Committee has recommended, and the Board of Directors has approved, the following arrangements:

Mr. Mullaney effective June 1, 2011, an annual salary of \$225,000 with a bonus opportunity of up to 50% of the annual salary. Performance goals for payment of bonuses are to be established by mutual agreement between Compensation Committee and Mr. Mullaney. In addition, Mr. Mullaney is entitled to one year's compensation in the event his employment is terminated without cause.

Mr. Anthonyson effective June 1, 2011, an annual salary of \$175,000 with a bonus opportunity of up to 50% of the annual salary. Performance goals for payment of bonuses are to be based half on attainment of corporate goals and half on personal goals. In addition, Mr. Anthonyson is entitled to six months compensation in the event his employment is terminated without cause.

Ms. McGuire effective October 16, 2011, an annual salary of \$100,000 with a bonus opportunity of up to 35% of annual salary, half based on corporate goals and the other half based on personal goals. In addition, Ms. McGuire is entitled to four months annual salary in the event her employment is terminated without cause.

### ***Retirement Plan***

We have a 401K retirement plan, for which all our employees are eligible, including the Named Executive Officers. We match employee contributions, which are vested immediately, up to 2% of the employee's compensation.

### ***Option Grants In The Last Fiscal Year***

No Stock Appreciation Rights ( SARs ) or options to purchase our stock were granted to the Named Executive Officers during fiscal years 2013 or 2012.

### ***Director Compensation***

Non-employee Director will receive annual fees of \$12,000 paid on a quarterly basis in arrears. In addition, each non-employee Director will be granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of Common Stock with respect to his or her initial appointment to the Board of Directors, and will be granted annually (beginning in 2012) an option to purchase 1,000 shares of common stock at the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders so long as such Director holds such position. All such options shall have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant and shall vest monthly on a pro rata basis over three years from the date of grant so long as the Director continues to be a member of the Board of Directors.



***Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End***

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation of our non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013. No new options were granted during fiscal year 2013.

Name	Fees earned		Option awards(2)	Total
	or paid in cash (1)			
J. Phillip Cooper	\$	12,000	\$	12,000
Hank Nelson		12,000		12,000

(1) Directors who are compensated as full-time employees receive no additional compensation for service on our Board of Directors. Effective March 11, 2011, each independent director who is not a full-time employee is paid an annual fee of \$12,000 on a quarterly basis in arrears.

(2) Directors Cooper and Nelson were each granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares on June 7, 2011. These options have a fair market value of \$2.40 per share and vest monthly on a pro rata basis over three years from the date of grant.

The Board of Directors administers the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. No option may be exercised after the expiration of ten years from its date of grant. Each non-employee Director will receive an annual fee of \$12,000 paid on a quarterly basis in arrears. In addition, in order to align their interests with those of the shareholders, each non-employee Director will be granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. These options will vest monthly on a pro rata basis over each non-employee Director's initial three year term as a Director. In the event a Director resigns, stock options already vested may be exercised within 90 days and all unvested stock options will be forfeited. Directors Cooper and Nelson were each granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares on June 7, 2011.

***SofTech, Inc. Equity Incentive Plans*****2011 Equity Incentive Plan**

The 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan") was approved by our shareholders at the Annual Meeting held on May 24, 2011. The 2011 Plan replaced our 1994 Stock Option Plan (the "1994 Plan"), which was restricted from issuing

any new options after 2004. During fiscal year 2011 all options previously issued under the 1994 Plan were either exercised or expired. Under the 2011 Plan, 150,000 shares of our common stock are reserved for issuance. Additionally, any shares subject to any award under the 2011 Plan that expires or is terminated unexercised or is forfeited will be available for awards under the 2011 Plan.

All employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors of the Company or any of its affiliates capable of contributing to the successful performance of the Company are eligible to be participants in the 2011 Plan. Based on the number of our current employees, directors and consultants, there are approximately 60 individuals who currently would be eligible to participate in the 2011 Plan, although we currently do not expect to make broad-based grants to all employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. We may grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock equivalents and awards of shares of common stock that are not subject to restrictions or forfeiture under the 2011 Plan. We may not in any fiscal year grant to any participant stock options, SARs or other awards with respect to which performance goals apply covering more than 50,000 shares.

The 2011 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors composed of two or more members who are independent from Company management (the Committee). The Committee has the authority to adopt administrative rules and practices governing the operation of the 2011 Plan and to interpret its provisions. The Committee may, subject to applicable law, delegate to one or more of our executive officers the power to make awards to participants who are not executive officers or Directors, subject to a maximum number of shares fixed by the Committee. The Board may at any time also take any such action.

Except as may be limited by the 2011 Plan or applicable law, the Committee selects participants to receive awards and determines the terms and conditions of each award, including the number of shares of common stock subject to awards, the price, if any, a participant pays to receive or exercise an award, the time or times when awards vest or may be exercised, settled or forfeited, any performance goals, restrictions or other conditions to vesting, exercise, or settlement of awards, and the effect on awards of the disability, death, or termination of service of participants. Awards may be made to participants who are foreign nationals or employed outside the United States on terms the Committee deems appropriate.



Upon an equity restructuring or other corporate transaction that affects the common stock such that an adjustment is required in order to preserve the benefits intended to be provided by the 2011 Plan, the Committee shall equitably adjust any or all of the number and kind of shares in respect of which awards may be made under the 2011 Plan, the number and kind of shares subject to outstanding awards, the exercise price with respect to any of the foregoing, and the limit on individual grants. The Committee may act to preserve the participants' rights in the event of a change in control of the Company as the Committee may consider equitable to participants and in the best interests of the Company, including without limitation: accelerating any time period relating to the vesting, exercise, or settlement of awards, providing for payment to participants of cash or other property with a fair market value equal to the amount that would have been received upon the vesting, exercise, or settlement of awards in connection with the change in control, adjusting the terms of awards in a manner determined by the Committee to reflect the change in control, causing awards to be assumed, or new rights substituted therefor, by another entity, or terminating awards.

We may not, without shareholder approval, amend any outstanding option or SAR to reduce the exercise price or replace it with a new award exercisable for common stock at a lower exercise price. Subject to the prohibition on repricing, the Committee may not amend, modify or terminate any outstanding award for which the respective participant's consent would be required unless the terms of the award permit such action, the Committee determines that such action is required by law, or the Committee determines that the action would not materially and adversely affect the participant. The Board of Directors may amend, suspend or terminate the 2011 Plan, subject to any shareholder approval it deems necessary or appropriate.

We have granted options to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock under the 2011 Plan. These options were granted to our two non-employee directors as part of our director compensation policy. For more information, see EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION Director Compensation above.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

**Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management**

The following table provides information concerning beneficial ownership of our common stock as of August 20, 2013, for (i) each person named in the Summary Compensation Table as a Named Executive Officer, (ii) each director individually, (iii) all directors and executive officers as a group, and (iv) each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. The address for our executive officers, directors and Chandra Singh is in care of SofTech, Inc., 59 Lowes Way, Suite 401, Lowell, MA 01851.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1) (2)	Amount and	Percent
----------------------------------	------------	---------

	<b>Nature of Beneficial Ownership</b>	<b>of Class</b>
Joseph P. Mullaney	83,635(3)	9.6%
Robert B. Anthonyson	129,838	14.8
J. Phillip Cooper	33,194(6)	3.8
Hank Nelson	3,194(6)	*
Amy E. McGuire	-	-
William D. Johnston	101,411(4)	11.6
Chandra Singh	127,036(5)	14.5
Joseph P. Daly	80,864(7)	9.2
All Directors and executive officers as a group (5 persons)	249,861	28.6

\* Less than one percent (1%).

(1) Based upon information furnished by the persons listed. Except as otherwise noted, all persons have sole voting and investment power over the shares listed. A person is deemed, as of any date, to have beneficial ownership of any security that such person has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date.

(2) There were 875,135 shares outstanding on August 20, 2013.

(3) Mr. Mullaney pledged 80,000 shares to Prides Crossing Capital to partially secure the \$2.7 million in new debt facilities.

(4) In connection with the Stock Purchase Agreement, Greenleaf agreed to vote all shares beneficially owned by it in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors, and Greenleaf provided a related proxy through March 11, 2014. In addition, Greenleaf agreed to not sell or transfer ownership of the 101,411 shares until after June 2014. Mr. Johnston's business address is c/o Greenleaf Capital, Inc., 100 West Michigan Avenue, Suite 300, Kalamazoo, MI 49007.

(5) Includes 3,225 shares owned by spouse, as to which beneficial ownership is disclaimed.

(6) Includes 3,194 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options held by each of Messrs. Cooper and Nelson related to their service as Board members.

(7) As reported on Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on December 3, 2012. Includes 10,000 shares owned by EssigPR, Inc., a corporation located in Rincon, Puerto Rico owned by Mr. Daly. Mr. Daly's business address is 497 Circle Freeway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45246.

### **ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

#### ***Director Independence***

In determining whether the members of our board of directors and its committees are independent, we have elected to use the definition of "independence" set forth in the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. After considering all relevant relationships and transactions, our board of directors, in consultation with legal counsel, has determined that Messrs. Cooper and Nelson are "independent" within the meaning of the applicable listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Messrs. Mullaney and Anthonyson are not independent within the meaning of the applicable listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market. The Company has a separate standing Audit Committee and a separate standing Compensation Committee, each of which is comprised of the two independent directors, Messrs. Cooper and Nelson. The Company does not have a separate standing Nominating and Governance Committee. Instead, the full board of directors has the responsibility of selecting individuals to be nominated for election to the board of directors.

#### ***Transaction with Certain Beneficial Owners and Directors***

As part of the Recapitalization Transaction in March 2011, we raised approximately \$421,765 in cash from the issuance of 384,588 shares of common stock in a private placement to investors. Among the investors were: Joseph P. Mullaney, who was appointed as our President and Chief Executive Officer and elected as a member of our board of directors upon consummation of the Recapitalization Transaction; Robert B. Anthonyson, who was appointed as our Vice President of Business Development and elected as a member of our board of directors upon consummation of the Recapitalization Transaction; J. Phillip Cooper, who was elected as a member of our board of directors upon consummation of the Recapitalization Transaction; and Chandra Singh, who owned approximately 10.9% of our outstanding common stock prior to the Recapitalization Transaction and 12.8% after consummation of the transaction.

In connection with the private placement, we also entered into the Registration Rights Agreement with the Selling Shareholders, pursuant to which we agreed to file with the SEC a registration statement to cover the resale of the 384,588 shares of common stock issued in the private placement, within 90 calendar days after the closing of the private placement. We agreed to use our reasonable best efforts to have the registration statement declared effective as promptly as reasonable possible. We also agreed to use our reasonable best efforts to keep the registration statement continuously effective until the earlier of (i) such time as all of the shares have been sold by the Selling Shareholders and (ii) the date that all the shares may be sold immediately without registration under the Securities Act and without restrictions under Rule 144 of the Securities Act. This registration document was deemed effective on December 28, 2011.

The Registration Rights Agreement also grants piggyback registration rights to the Selling Shareholders if we propose to register any of our equity securities under the Securities Act (other than on a registration statement on Form S-8 or S-4), whether for our own account or for the account of another person.

In March 2011, the Company paid a fee of \$80,000 to Monadnock Advisors, LLC ( Monadnock ) for advisory services provided in connection with identifying and closing on the Credit Facility with One Conant Capital in connection with the Recapitalization Transaction. Hank Nelson, a member of our board of directors, is the founder and principal of Monadnock Advisors, LLC.

In March 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Monadnock to provide specified business advisory and investment banking services. These services included but were not limited to advising and assisting us in developing a strategy for achieving enhanced shareholder value through merger and acquisitions ( M&A ), development of our business plan and alternatives for capital. The Company paid Monadnock a non-recoverable, monthly retainer of \$5,000 to be recovered in the event of a transaction. A transaction was defined as a refinancing of our debt in which case Monadnock would earn a 2% fee based on the gross amount of the debt facility and/or an M&A transaction in which case Monadnock's fee would be either 4% of 4.5% based on the value received. During fiscal years 2012 and 2013, Monadnock was paid \$75,000 in monthly retainer under this arrangement. In May 2013, Monadnock earned a fee of \$54,000 related to the debt facility which was applied in full against the monthly fees already paid.

We agreed in the Registration Rights Agreement to pay for all expenses, including the reasonable legal expenses of one counsel to the Selling Shareholders (not to exceed \$25,000), relating to the registration of any shares thereunder.

#### ***Transaction with Act3 Technologies, LLC***

On November 1, 2011 the Company entered into an agreement with Act3 Technologies, LLC ( Act3 ) pursuant to which it obtained the exclusive right to develop, commercialize and monetize certain intellectual property owned by Act3 relating to internet marketing software (the Act3 IP ). The Company obtained these rights solely in exchange for its agreement to certain sharing of the proceeds that may be derived with Act3 if the Company is successful in commercializing the Act3 IP, provided that the Company first recover any development costs it may have incurred up to specified levels. The agreement does not obligate the Company to undertake any level of effort or expenditure in this regard and the decision whether to seek to commercialize the Act3 IP is solely in the Company's discretion. The Company also has a right of first refusal to purchase Act3 through December 31, 2050. Joseph Mullaney, Robert Anthonyson and J. Phillip Cooper, each a member of our board of directors, own approximately 10%, 10%, and 3%, respectively, of the equity interests in Act3.

### **ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

#### ***Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Audit Fees***

The aggregate fees billed by McGladrey LLP for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered to the Company are as follows:

Audit	Audit-	Tax	All
-------	--------	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: SOFTECH INC - Form 10-K

	Fees	Related	Fees	Other
	(1)	Fees		Fees
Fiscal year ended May 31, 2013	\$ 80,913	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fiscal year ended May 31, 2012	\$ 56,213	\$ 10,300	\$ 5,900	\$ -

1.

Includes Form 10-Q reviews and Consents.

The Company's Board of Directors is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of the registered public accounting firm (including resolution of disagreements between management and the independent auditor regarding financial reporting) for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the Company. The Board pre-approves all permissible non-audit services and all audit, review or attest engagements required under the securities laws (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for the Company by its registered public accounting firm, provided, however, that de minimus non-audit services may instead be approved in accordance with applicable SEC rules. The Company's Board of Directors approved approximately 100% of the services described in the table above.

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.**

(a)

**Certain Documents Filed as Part of this Form 10-K**

1.

Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Redeemable Common Stock and Shareholders' Equity

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

2.

Financial Statement Schedules -None.

3.

Exhibits See Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits.

(b)

The exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-K are listed on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such Exhibits. The Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

(c)

None



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SOFTECH, INC.

Date: August 28, 2013

/s/ Joseph P. Mullaney

Joseph P. Mullaney

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/S/ Joseph P. Mullaney</u> Joseph P. Mullaney	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	August 28, 2013
<u>/S/ Amy E. McGuire</u> Amy E. McGuire	Treasurer, Clerk and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	August 28, 2013
By: <u>/S/ Joseph P. Mullaney</u> Joseph P. Mullaney	Vice President of Business Development and Director	August 28, 2013
Attorney-in-fact Robert B. Anthonyson		
By: <u>/S/ Joseph P. Mullaney</u>	Director	August 28, 2013

Joseph P. Mullaney

Attorney-in-fact  
J. Phillip Cooper

By: /S/ Joseph P. Mullaney Director

August 28, 2013

Joseph P. Mullaney

Attorney-in-fact  
Hank Nelson

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>
3.1	Articles of Organization, as amended through October 12, 1988 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 29, 2008, filed on April 14, 2008).
3.1.1	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Organization, dated April 15, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
3.1.2	Articles of Amendment to Articles of Organization, effective June 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
3.2	By-laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 29, 2008, filed on April 14, 2008).
4.1	Rights Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2012 between the Company and Registrar and Transfer Company, as Rights Agent, together with the following Exhibits thereto; Exhibit A Form of Right Certificate; Exhibit B- Summary of Rights (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 3, 2012).
10.1	Term Note by the Company in favor of Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 25, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 1, 2009).
10.2	Revolving Line of Credit Note by the Company in favor of Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 25, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 1, 2009).
10.2.1	First Amendment to Revolving Line of Credit Note by and between the Company and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated October 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 12, 2009).
10.3	Security Agreement by and between the Company and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 25, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 1, 2009).
10.3.1	First Amendment to Security Agreement by and between the Company and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.4	Forbearance Agreement by and among the Company, Workgroup Technology Corporation, Information Decisions Incorporated and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated August 26, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.5	Debt Forgiveness Agreement by and among the Company, Workgroup Technology Corporation, Information Decisions Incorporated and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.6	Amended and Restated Promissory Note by the Company in favor of Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.7	Loan, Pledge and Security Agreement by and between the Company and One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.7.1	Amendment No. 1 to the Loan, Pledge and Security Agreement dated May 31, 2012 (filed herewith)

Edgar Filing: SOFTECH INC - Form 10-K

- 10.8 Term Note by the Company in favor of One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
- 10.9 Revolving Line of Credit Note by the Company in favor of One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
- 10.10 Securities Purchase Agreement by and among the Company and the purchasers named therein dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
- 10.11 Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Company and the purchasers named therein dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
- 10.12 Stockholder's Agreement by and between the Company and Greenleaf Capital, Inc. dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
- 10.13 SofTech, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).

**Exhibit**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>
10.14	Form of Notice of Grant of Incentive Stock Option and Option Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.15	Form of Notice of Grant of Nonqualified Stock Option and Option Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.16	Form of Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.17	Form of Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (Non-Employee Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.18	Form of Notice of Grant of Nonqualified Stock Option and Option Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (Non-Employee Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).
10.19	Intellectual Property Security Agreement by and between SofTech, Inc. and One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.20	Guaranty Agreement by Information Decisions, Incorporated and Workgroup Technology Corporation, in favor of One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.21	Security Agreement by and between Information Decisions, Incorporated, Workgroup Technology Corporation and One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.22	Intellectual Property Security Agreement by and between Workgroup Technology Corporation and One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.23	Guaranty Agreement by Joseph P. Mullaney in favor of One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.24	Pledge and Security Agreement by and between Joseph P. Mullaney and One Conant Capital, LLC dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.25	Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement by and among One Conant Capital, LLC, Greenleaf Capital, Inc. and SofTech, Inc. dated March 8, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1/A on September 27, 2011).
10.26	Securities Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's 8-K filed on December 4, 2012).
10.27	Loan Pledge and Security Agreement by and between SofTech Inc and Prides Crossing Capital dated May 10, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's 8-K filed on July 12, 2013).
10.27.1	Amendment to Loan Pledge and Security Agreement by and between SofTech Inc and Prides Crossing Capital dated July 9, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27.1 to the Company's 8-K filed on July 12, 2013).
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21.1 to the Company's Registration Statement filed on Form S-1 on June 9, 2011).

Edgar Filing: SOFTECH INC - Form 10-K

- 23.1 Consent of McGladrey LLP. (filed herewith)
- 31.1 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer and Principal Executive Officer pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

**Exhibit**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Description of Document</b>
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\*\* XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

SofTech, Inc.

Lowell, MA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of SofTech, Inc. and subsidiaries as of May 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in redeemable common stock and shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of SofTech, Inc. and subsidiaries as of May 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ McGladrey LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

August 28, 2013



F-1

---

## SOFTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(in thousands)	
	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
<u>ASSETS</u>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,188	\$ 595
Restricted cash	100	-
Accounts receivable (less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$29 as of May 31, 2013 and May 31, 2012)	895	757
Prepaid and current other assets	299	308
Total current assets	2,482	1,660
Property and equipment, net	61	42
Goodwill	4,249	4,246
Capitalized software development costs, net	376	172
Capitalized patent costs	101	82
Debt issuance costs, net	250	210
Notes receivable and other assets	195	136
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 7,714	\$ 6,548
<u>LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u>		
Accounts payable	\$ 137	\$ 266
Accrued expenses	602	333
Other current liabilities	89	70
Deferred maintenance revenue	2,088	2,194
Current portion of capital lease	13	5
Current portion of long-term debt	-	720
Total current liabilities	2,929	3,588
Long term deferred maintenance revenue	59	-
Capital lease, net of current portion	39	4
Other long-term liabilities	-	47
Long-term debt, net of current portion	2,700	1,480
Total liabilities	5,727	5,119
Redeemable common stock, \$0.10 par value, 50,000 shares	275	-

issued and outstanding at May 31, 2013

Shareholders' equity :

Common stock, \$0.10 par value 20,000,000 shares authorized, 995,135 issued and outstanding at May 31, 2013 and 2012	100	100
Capital in excess of par value	27,369	27,478
Accumulated deficit	(25,333)	(25,693)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(424)	(456)
Total shareholders' equity	1,712	1,429
 TOTAL LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	 \$ 7,714	 \$ 6,548

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

SOFTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	(in thousands, except for share and per share data) Years Ended	
	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
Revenues:		
Products	\$ 1,284	\$ 1,420
Services	4,784	5,015
Royalties on sale of patents	290	-
Total revenues	6,358	6,435
Cost of revenues:		
Products	141	75
Services	1,234	1,335
Total cost of revenues	1,375	1,410
Gross margin	4,983	5,025
Research and development expenses	1,087	1,243
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3,186	2,984
Operating income	710	798
Interest expense	342	320
Other (income) expense, net	(7)	31
Income before income taxes	375	447
Provision for income taxes	15	3
Net income	\$ 360	\$ 444
Basic and diluted net income per share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.45
Weighted average common shares outstanding-basic	1,018,709	995,135
Weighted average common shares outstanding- diluted	1,019,812	995,135

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

SOFTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF  
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	(in thousands)	
	For the Twelve Months Ended	
	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
Net income	\$ 360	\$ 444
Other comprehensive income (expense):		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	32	(22)
Total other comprehensive income (expense)	32	(22)
Comprehensive income	\$ 392	\$ 422

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## SOFTECH, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK AND  
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY(in thousands, except for  
share and per share data)  
Years Ended

	May 31, 2013	May 31 2012
Redeemable common stock:		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ -	\$ -
Issuance of redeemable common stock, net of issuance costs	158	-
Accretion of redeemable common stock to redemption value	117	-
Redeemable common stock at end of year	\$ 275	\$ -
Common stock:		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 100	\$ 100
Balance at end of year	100	100
Capital in excess of par value:		
Balance at beginning of year	27,478	27,582
Direct costs of shares issued in private placement	-	(112)
Accretion of redeemable common stock to redemption value	(117)	-
Stock based compensation	8	8
Balance at end of year	27,369	27,478
Accumulated deficit:		
Balance at beginning of year	(25,693)	(26,137)
Net income	360	444
Balance at end of year	(25,333)	(25,693)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Balance at beginning of year	(456)	(434)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	32	(22)
Balance at end of year	(424)	(456)
Total shareholders' equity at end of year	\$ 1,712	\$ 1,429
Outstanding shares:		
Balance of redeemable common stock at beginning of year	-	-
Issuance of redeemable common stock	50,000	-
Balance of redeemable common stock at end of year	50,000	-

Edgar Filing: SOFTECH INC - Form 10-K

Balance of common stock at beginning of year	995,135	995,249
Fractional shares cashed out in 1-for-20 reverse stock split	-	(114)
Balance of common stock at end of year	995,135	995,135

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---



SOFTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

( in thousands)  
Years Ended

	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 360	\$ 444
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	333	156
Stock based compensation	8	8
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(138)	150
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(55)	67
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	52	(664)
Deferred maintenance revenue	(47)	(107)
Net cash provided by operating activities	513	54
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(2)	(17)
Receipts from note receivable from sale of product line	-	134
Capitalized software development costs	(276)	(184)
Capitalized patent costs	(19)	(82)
Net cash provided used in investing activities	(297)	(149)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of redeemable common stock, net of expenses	223	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of registration expenses	-	(112)
Borrowings under Loan	2,700	-
Borrowings under Credit Facility	300	-
Repayment under Credit Facility	(2,500)	(770)
Debt issuance costs	(255)	-
Repayments under capital lease	(10)	(5)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	458	(887)
Effect of exchange rates on cash	19	(9)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	693	(991)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	595	1,586
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,288	\$ 595

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

Edgar Filing: SOFTECH INC - Form 10-K

Interest paid	\$	157	\$	228
Income taxes paid	\$	2	\$	10
Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing and financing activities:				
Purchase of property and equipment under capital lease	\$	53	\$	-
Accretion of redeemable common stock	\$	117	\$	-

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

---

SOFTECH, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**A.**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

SofTech, Inc. (the Company) was formed in Massachusetts on June 10, 1969. The Company is engaged in the development, marketing, distribution and support of computer software solutions that serve the Product Lifecycle Management ( PLM ) industry. These solutions include software technology offerings for computer aided design as well as product data/lifecycle management and collaboration technologies, all of which fit under the broadly defined PLM industry. The Company's operations are organized geographically with offices in the U.S. and European sales and customer support offices in Germany and Italy. The Company also has resellers in Asia and Europe.

The Company has also been actively engaged in acquiring and filing three new U.S. patents, evaluating alternatives for monetizing its existing patents and investigating the acquisition of specific patents already awarded that might enhance our value. It is expected that this kind of activity will become an increasing area of focus and investment over the coming years.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of SofTech, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Information Decisions, Inc., Workgroup Technology Corporation, SofTech, GmbH and SofTech, Srl. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

**B.**

**SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates included in the financial statements pertain to revenue recognition, the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, and the valuation of long term assets including goodwill, capitalized patent costs, capitalized software development costs and deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash at certain financial institutions in amounts that at times, exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits. Cash held in foreign bank accounts at May 31, 2013 totaled approximately \$206,000. The Company does not believe it is exposed to significant credit risk related to cash and cash equivalents.

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

The Company believes that the loss of one or more of our largest customers could have a material adverse effect on the business. During fiscal years 2013 and 2012, no customer exceeded ten percent of revenue. The Company generally does not require collateral on credit sales. Management evaluates the creditworthiness of customers prior to delivery of products and services and provides allowances at levels estimated to be adequate to cover any potentially uncollectible accounts. Bad debts are written off against the allowance when identified. The changes in the accounts receivable reserve are as follows (in thousands):

For the Years Ended May 31,	Balance, Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Bad Debt Write-offs	Balance, End of Period
2012	\$ 29	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29
2013	\$ 29	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29

## PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment is stated at cost. The Company provides for depreciation on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Data Processing Equipment	2-5 years
Office furniture	5-10 years
Automobiles	4-6 years

Depreciation expense, including amortization of assets under capital lease, was approximately \$35,000 and \$31,000, for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred; betterments are capitalized. At the time property and equipment are retired, sold, or otherwise disposed of, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. Any resulting gain or loss on disposal is credited or charged to income.

## SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT COSTS

The Company accounts for its software development costs in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) 985, *Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased or Marketed*. Costs that are incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product are charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, software development costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Such costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated economic life of the product, generally three years. The Company evaluates the realizability of the assets and the related periods of amortization on a regular basis. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established as well as its economic life.

During fiscal years 2013 and 2012, the Company capitalized approximately \$276,000 and \$184,000, respectively, of software development costs. Amortization expense related to capitalized software development costs for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$73,000 and \$11,000, respectively.

## DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS

The Company capitalizes the direct costs associated with entering into debt agreements and amortizes those costs over the life of the debt agreement. On May 10, 2013, the Company refinanced its then existing debt agreements and entered into a new debt agreement as described in Note F. Total direct costs incurred in establishing this debt agreement were approximately \$255,000. These costs have been capitalized and are being amortized over the three year life of the loan. Unamortized debt issuance costs related to the Company's previous debt agreement as of May 10, 2013 totaled approximately \$108,000 and were expensed during fiscal 2013. Amortization expense related to debt issuance costs for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$225,000 and \$114,000, respectively.

## INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes is based on the earnings or losses reported in the consolidated financial statements. The Company recognizes deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The Company provides a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it more-likely-than-not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities, based on technical merits of the tax position. The evaluation of an uncertain tax position is based on factors that include, but are not limited to, changes in the tax law, the measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in tax returns, the effective settlement of matters subject to audit, and changes in facts or circumstances related to a tax position. Any changes to these estimates, based on the actual results obtained and/or a change in assumptions, could impact the Company's tax provision in future periods. The Company accounts for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of its provision for federal and state income taxes. In accordance with the applicable statute of limitations, the Company's tax returns could be audited by the Internal Revenue Service and various states for the fiscal years ended 2010 to 2012.

## REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company follows the provisions of ASC 985, *Software*, for transactions involving the licensing of software and software support services. Revenue from software license sales is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery of the product has been made, and a fixed fee and collectability has been determined. The Company does not provide for a right of return. For multiple element arrangements, total fees are allocated to each of the undelivered elements based upon vendor specific objective evidence ( VSOE ) of their fair values, with the residual amount recognized as revenue for the delivered elements, using the residual method set forth in ASC 985. Revenue from customer maintenance support agreements is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreements, typically one year. Revenue from engineering, consulting and training services is recognized as those services are rendered using a proportional performance model.

The Company follows the provisions of ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition* for transactions that do not involve the licensing of software or software support services as in the case of the recent sale of its patents. Revenue from the sale of patents is recorded when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has taken place and a fixed fee and collectability has been determined. These conditions are no different from those when the Company licenses software. For multiple element arrangements, however, under ASC 605, total fees are allocated to each of the elements based upon the relative selling price method. Under that method the allocation of fees to the undelivered elements is based on VSOE, or if it doesn't exist, then based on third party evidence of selling price. If neither exists, then the allocation is based on management's best estimate of the selling price.

## PATENT COSTS

Costs related to patent applications are capitalized as incurred and are amortized once the patent application is accepted or are expensed if the application is finally rejected. Patent costs are amortized over their estimated economic lives under the straight-line method, and are evaluated for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of the associated patent. Capitalized patent costs totaled approximately \$19,000 and \$82,000 for the years ending May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## ACCOUNTING FOR GOODWILL

The Company accounts for goodwill pursuant to ASC 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. This requires that goodwill be reviewed annually, or more frequently as a result of an event or change in circumstances, for possible impairment with impaired assets written down to fair value. Additionally, existing goodwill and intangible assets must be assessed and classified within the statement's criteria.

As of May 31, 2013, the Company conducted its annual impairment test of goodwill by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to the carrying amount of the underlying assets and liabilities of its single reporting unit. The Company determined that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying amount of the assets and liabilities, therefore no impairment existed as of the testing date.

#### LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The Company periodically reviews the carrying value of all intangible and other long-lived assets. If indicators of impairment exist, the Company compares the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets over their estimated economic life to the related carrying value of those assets to determine if the assets are impaired. If the carrying value of the asset is greater than the estimated undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the assets would be decreased to their fair value through a charge to operations. As of May 31, 2013, the Company does not have any long-lived assets it considers to be impaired.

#### FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, restricted cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, notes payable. The Company's estimate of the fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying amounts at May 31, 2013. The Company sells its products to a wide variety of customers in numerous industries. A large portion of the Company's revenue is derived from customers with which the Company has an existing relationship and established credit history. For new customers for whom the Company does not have an established credit history, the Company performs evaluations of the customer's credit worthiness prior to accepting an order. The Company does not require collateral or other security to support customer receivables. The Company's allowance for uncollectible accounts was approximately \$29,000 at May 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.



FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

The functional currency of the Company's foreign operations (Germany, and Italy) is the Euro. As a result, assets and liabilities are translated at period-end exchange rates and revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates. Adjustments resulting from translation of such financial statements are classified in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Foreign currency gains and losses arising from transactions were included in operations in fiscal year 2013 and 2012. In fiscal year 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded a net (gain) loss from foreign currency related transactions of approximately \$(7,000) and \$37,000, respectively, to Other (income) expense, net in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is a more inclusive reporting methodology that includes disclosure of certain financial information that historically has not been recognized in the calculation of net income. To date, the Company's comprehensive income items include only foreign translation adjustments. Comprehensive income has been included in the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for all periods.

NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Basic and diluted net income per share are computed by dividing the net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common and equivalent dilutive common shares outstanding. For periods in which losses are reported potentially dilutive common stock equivalents are excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share because the effect is anti-dilutive.

The following table details the derivation of weighted average shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic and diluted net income for each period:

	Years Ended	
	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
	(Amounts in thousands, except share amounts)	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 360	\$ 444

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in calculating basic earnings per share	1,018,709	995,135
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in calculating diluted earnings per share	1,019,812	995,135

For the fiscal year ending May 31, 2013, 10,000 options to purchase common shares were anti-dilutive and were excluded from the above calculation.

### STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to employees and directors is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The Company estimated the fair value of each share-based award using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The Black-Scholes option valuation model incorporates assumptions as to stock price volatility, the expected life of options, a risk-free interest rate and dividend yield. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

The Company's 1994 Stock Option Plan provided for the granting of stock options at an exercise price not less than fair market value of the stock on the date of the grant and with vesting schedules as determined by the Board of Directors. No new options could be granted under the Plan after fiscal year 2004 and all stock options had vested prior to May 31, 2009. During fiscal 2012, all options awarded under the 1994 Stock Option Plan expired unexercised. In May 2011, the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2011 Plan) was approved by the Company's shareholders, pursuant to which 150,000 shares of our common shares are reserved for issuance. Additionally, any shares subject to any award under the 2011 Plan that expires, is terminated unexercised or is forfeited will be available for awards under the 2011 Plan. The Company may grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock equivalents and awards of shares of common stock that are not subject to restrictions or forfeiture under the 2011 Plan. As of May 31, 2013, 10,000 options were awarded under the 2011 Plan.

The following table summarizes option activity under the 1994 and the 2011 Stock Option Plan:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding options at May 31, 2011	1,350	1.80	0.50	810
Granted	10,000	2.40	10.00	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	(1,350)	.86	-	-
Outstanding options at May 31, 2012	10,000	2.40	9.02	-
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited or expired	-	-	-	-
Outstanding options at May 31, 2013	10,000	\$ 2.40	8.02	\$ -
Exercisable at May 31, 2013	6,389	\$ 2.40	8.02	\$ -

The Company determined the volatility for options granted during the fiscal year ended May 31, 2012 using the historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The expected life of options has been determined utilizing the simplified method as prescribed in ASC 718 *Compensation, Stock Compensation*. The expected life represents an estimate of the time options are expected to remain outstanding. The risk-free interest rate is based on a treasury instrument whose term is consistent with the expected life of the stock options. The Company has not paid, and does not anticipate paying, cash dividends on its common stock; therefore, the expected dividend yield is assumed to be zero.

For both years ended May 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company expensed approximately \$8,000 of stock-based compensation. The Company had approximately \$8,000 of unrecorded stock based compensation as of May 31, 2013 which will be recognized over the next 1.25 years. The weighted-average fair value of each option granted in the fiscal year ended May 31, 2012 is estimated as \$2.35 on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Expected life	5.77 years
Assumed annual dividend growth rate	0%
Expected volatility	188%
Risk free interest rate	1.86%

#### REDEMABLE COMMON STOCK

During the year ending May 31, 2013, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock, \$.10 par value (the Common Stock ) at a purchase price of \$5.00 per share to accredited investors (collectively, the Investors ) in separate private placement transactions for total proceeds of \$250,000. These transactions were completed pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement (the Agreement ) which the Company entered into with each of the respective Investors. In lieu of registration rights, each \$25,000 investment entitles the Investors to a fee of \$6,000 (the Fee ) to be paid in six equal quarterly installments during the eighteen month period (the Payment Period ) following the investment. The Agreement also provides the Investors with the right to require the Company to redeem the Common Stock held by such Investors (the Put Option ) for \$5.50 per share in cash for a 30 day period following the Payment Period.

F-11

---

The Company first assessed the redeemable Common Stock to determine if the instrument should be accounted for as a liability in accordance with ASC 480. In that the Put Option is optionally redeemable by the holder, the Common Stock was not required to be accounted for as a liability. Next, the Company assessed the Put Option within the redeemable Common Stock as a potential embedded derivative pursuant to the provisions of ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, and concluded that the Put Option did not meet the net settlement criteria within the definition of a derivative. Therefore, the Company has accounted for the Common Stock issued pursuant to the Agreement in accordance with ASC 480-10-S99-3A, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*, which provides that securities that are optionally redeemable by the holder for cash or other assets are classified outside of permanent equity in temporary equity. The 50,000 shares of Common Stock issued pursuant to the Agreement were recorded as redeemable common stock at an initial carrying value of \$163,000. This amount is equal to the gross proceeds of \$250,000, less \$27,000 in issuance costs related to legal fees and the \$60,000 Fee, which has been included in other liabilities. The Company elected to record the Common Stock at its redemption value of \$275,000 immediately and accordingly recorded accretion of \$112,000 to additional paid in capital during fiscal year 2013.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

There were no recently issued accounting pronouncements applicable to the Company that have not been adopted as of May 31, 2013.

#### C.

#### INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes includes the following for the years ended May 31 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Federal	\$ -	\$ -
Foreign	13	-
State and local	2	3
Total current provision	15	3
Deferred provision	148	198
Valuation allowance	(148)	(198)
Total deferred provision	-	-
Total provision	\$ 15	\$ 3

The domestic and foreign components of income from income taxes were as follows for the years ended May 31 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Domestic	\$ 341	\$ 414
Foreign	19	33
	\$ 360	\$ 447

At May 31, 2013, the Company had Federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$20 million that begin expiring in 2022, and are available to reduce future taxable income. The utilization of the remaining net operating loss carryforwards may be subject to limitation based on past and future changes in ownership of the Company pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382. The Company also has an alternative minimum tax credit of approximately \$200,000 that has no expiration date that was available as of May 31, 2013.

The Company's effective income tax rates can be reconciled to the federal and state statutory income tax rate for the years ended May 31 as follows:

	2013	2012
Federal statutory rate	34%	34%
State	-	-
Foreign	1	-
Permanent items	-	-
Valuation reserve	(34)	(34)
Effective tax rate	1%	-

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) were comprised of the following at May 31 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 7,190	\$ 6,829
Tax credit carryforwards	254	254
Receivables allowances	11	11
Vacation pay accrual	10	36
Other accruals	-	2
Depreciation	35	36
Differences in book and tax basis of assets of acquired businesses	(1,050)	(576)
Total gross deferred tax assets	6,450	6,592
Valuation allowance	(6,450)	(6,592)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ -	\$ -

Due to the uncertainties regarding the realization of certain favorable tax attributes in future tax returns, the Company has established a valuation reserve against the otherwise recognizable net deferred tax assets. Changes in the valuation allowance impacted deferred tax expense by approximately \$142,000 for fiscal year 2013 and 2012.

#### **D.**

#### **EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS**

The Company has an Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) plan covering substantially all U.S. based employees and offers an employer match of a portion of an employee's voluntary contributions. The aggregate expense related to this employer match for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$46,000 and \$54,000, respectively.

#### **E.**

#### **SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Company operates in one reportable segment and is engaged in the development, marketing, distribution and support of computer aided design and product data management and collaboration computer solutions. The Company's operations are organized geographically with offices in the U.S. and foreign offices in Germany and Italy. Components of revenue and long-lived assets (consisting primarily of intangible assets, capitalized software and property, plant and equipment) by geographic location, are as follows (in thousands):

Revenue:	Years Ended	
	May 31, 2013	May 31, 2012
North America	\$ 4,596	\$ 4,744
Asia	603	577
Europe	1,771	1,765
Eliminations	(612)	(651)
Consolidated Total	\$ 6,358	\$ 6,435

Long-Lived Assets:	As of May 31, 2013	As of May 31, 2012
North America	\$ 5,119	\$ 4,769
Europe	113	119
Consolidated Total	\$ 5,232	\$ 4,888

F-13



**F.**

**DEBT**

**PRIDES CROSSING CAPITAL**

On May 10, 2013, the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with Prides Crossing Capital L.P. and Prides Crossing Capital -A, L.P., ("Lenders"). The Loan Agreement provides for a \$2.7 million, three-year term loan (the "Loan") with interest only until October 1, 2014.

Approximately \$1.8 million of the proceeds from the Loan were used to pay-off the Company's prior credit facilities.

The Loan matures on May 1, 2016 and bears an interest rate of 14% paid in arrears on a calendar quarter basis commencing on July 1, 2013 and continuing throughout the life of the loan. Commencing on October 1, 2014, and continuing on the last day of each calendar quarter thereafter through May 1, 2016, the Borrower will make quarterly principal payments of \$135,000. Remaining principal balances will be due and payable on May 1, 2016.

The Company agreed to secure all of its obligations under the Loan by granting the Lenders a first priority security interest in all of the Company's assets, including the Company's intellectual property and pledges of (i) one hundred percent (100%) of the Company's equity interests in its domestic subsidiaries and (ii) sixty-five percent (65%) of the Company's equity interests in its foreign subsidiaries. In connection with the grant of the security interest in favor of the Lenders in the Company's intellectual property, the Company has entered into an intellectual property security agreement with the Lenders and a source code escrow agreement with the Lenders and an independent third party. In addition, the Company's Chief Executive Officer has provided the Lenders with a personal guaranty of up to \$500,000 secured by his equity interests in the Company. The Company agreed to pay the Chief Executive Officer \$80,000 in consideration for extending that personal guaranty. This payment has been included as a part of capitalized debt issuance costs and accrued expenses at May 31, 2013.

The Loan Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including covenants by the Company limiting additional indebtedness, liens, guaranties, mergers and consolidations, substantial asset sales, investments and loans, sale and leasebacks, transactions with affiliates and fundamental changes in its business. In addition, the Loan Agreement contains financial covenants by the Company that establish (i) a maximum ratio of indebtedness to recurring revenue; (ii) a maximum ratio of indebtedness to EBITDA; and (iii) a minimum liquidity test (defined as the Company's cash plus amounts available under a line of credit of up to \$250,000). The Loan Agreement also imposes limits on capital expenditures for each calendar year during the term of the Loan Agreement.

The Loan Agreement provides for events of default customary for credit facilities of this type, including but not limited to non-payment, defaults on other debt, misrepresentation, breach of covenants, representations and warranties, insolvency and bankruptcy. Upon an event of default relating to insolvency, bankruptcy or receivership, the amounts outstanding under the Loan will become immediately due and payable and the Lenders commitments will be automatically terminated. Upon the occurrence and continuation of any other event of default, the Lenders may accelerate payment of all obligations and terminate the Lenders commitments under the Loan Agreement.

On July 9, 2013, the Loan Agreement was amended (the Amendment) to allow the Company to repurchase 170,000 of its shares from Greenleaf and to increase the maximum ratio of indebtedness to EBITDA from 2.25:1 to 2.60:1 for the quarters ended May 31, 2013, August 31, 2013 and November 30, 2013. In consideration for entering into the Amendment, the Company issued the Lenders warrants to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The warrants vest monthly over three years, with accelerated vesting under certain circumstances including if the Loan is repaid prior to maturity, and terminate if not exercised on or before July 9, 2020.

#### ONE CONANT CAPITAL

In March 2011, the Company entered into a \$3.2 million credit facility with One Conant Capital, LLC, an affiliate of People's United Bank (People's), consisting of a \$2.9 million term loan and a \$300,000 revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility).

Under the Credit Facility, the Company was obligated to make monthly principal installments in the aggregate amount of \$60,000. Additional principal payments were due on September 30<sup>th</sup> of each year the Credit Facility was outstanding based on excess cash flow, as defined under the Credit Facility, for the immediately preceding fiscal year ended May 31<sup>st</sup>. All unpaid principal and interest under the Credit Facility was due on the February 28, 2014.

The interest rate under the Credit Facility was either 8% or 10% (the Coupon Rate ) depending on the Company financial performance for the trailing twelve month period. In addition, the Company was obligated to pay a quarterly fee (the Success Fee ) equal to 1.5% of gross quarterly revenue. This Success Fee terminates on the fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2014 with final payment due on April 14, 2014. The combination of the Coupon Rate and the Success Fee resulted in an effective borrowing rate of 15.5% for the nearly twelve month period ended May 10, 2013 when this Credit Facility was repaid in full.

Approximately \$1.6 million of the proceeds from the Loan Agreement were used to pay-off the remaining balance of the Credit Facility in May 2013. The Success Fee remains in effect for the quarters ended May 31, 2013, August 31, 2013, November 30, 2013 and February 28, 2014. In Q4, 2013, the Company recorded \$75,000 of interest expense related to the Success Fees to be paid in fiscal year 2014. An escrow account of \$100,000 was established with People s in May 2013 to fund the remaining Success Fee payments. Success Fee amounts due will be extracted from the escrow account as they become due. Any shortfall will be made up by the Company while any overage will become unrestricted after the payment for the quarter ended February 28, 2014. The \$100,000 escrow account has been classified as restricted cash as of May 31, 2013.

#### GREENLEAF CAPITAL SUBORDINATED NOTE

The Company was also obligated to Greenleaf Capital, Inc. ( Greenleaf ) under a \$250,000 subordinated note (the Greenleaf Note ). The Greenleaf Note was subordinated to the Credit Facility in accordance with a Subordination and Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of March 8, 2011, among the Company, the Lender and Greenleaf (the Subordination Agreement ). Interest on the Greenleaf Note accrued at the annual rate of five percent. The Greenleaf Note was paid in full on May 10, 2013.

**G.**

#### **LEASE COMMITMENTS**

##### OPERATING LEASES

The Company conducts its operations in office facilities leased through November 2013. Rental expense for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$194,000 and \$210,000, respectively.

At May 31, 2013, minimum annual rental commitments under noncancellable leases were as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	Commitment
2014	\$ 82

### CAPITAL LEASES

In September 2009, the Company acquired capital equipment through a capital lease agreement with a financial institution for a term of 50 months, with a \$1 purchase option and in November 2012, the Company acquired capital equipment through a capital lease agreement with a financial institution for a term of 60 months, with a \$1 purchase option. The assets are amortized over the life of the related lease and amortization of the assets is included in depreciation expense for fiscal year 2013 and 2012. Minimum annual future lease payments under the capital lease as of May 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$	18
2015		15
2016		15
2017		14
2018		6
Minimum lease payment		68
Amount representing interest		(17)
Present value of minimum lease payments	\$	51
Current	\$	13
Long Term	\$	39

## H.

### NOTE RECEIVABLE

Joseph Mullaney, the Company's CEO, was extended a non-interest bearing note in the amount of \$134,000 related to a stock transaction in May 1998. The note is partially secured by the Company stock acquired in that transaction. The Company has accounted for the note as a fixed arrangement.

## I. RIGHTS AGREEMENT

On February 3, 2012, the Company entered into a Rights Agreement with Registrar and Transfer Company, as Rights Agent, dated as of February 3, 2012 (the "Rights Agreement"). By adopting the Rights Agreement, the Board of Directors was seeking to protect the Company's ability to carry forward its net operating losses and certain other tax attributes (collectively, "NOLs"). The Company has experienced and may continue to experience substantial operating losses, and for federal and state income tax purposes, the Company may carry forward net operating losses in certain circumstances to offset current and future taxable income, which will reduce federal and state income tax liability, subject to certain requirements and restrictions. These NOLs are a valuable asset of the Company, which may inure to the benefit of the Company and its shareholders. However, if the Company experiences an ownership change, as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), its ability to use the NOLs could be substantially limited, and the timing of the usage of the NOLs could be substantially delayed, which could significantly impair the value of the Company's NOL asset. Generally, an ownership change occurs if the percentage of the Company's stock owned by one or more five percent stockholders increases by more than fifty percentage points over the lowest percentage of stock owned by such stockholders at any time during the prior three-year period or, if sooner, since the last ownership change experienced by the Company. An NOL rights agreement like the Rights Agreement with a 4.99% trigger threshold is intended to act as a deterrent to any person acquiring 4.99% or more of the outstanding shares of common stock without the approval of the Board of Directors. This would protect the Company's NOL asset because changes in ownership by a person owning less than 4.99% of the common stock are not included in the calculation of ownership change for purposes of Section 382 of the Code.

In connection with the Rights Agreement, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a dividend of one common share purchase right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of common stock, par value \$.10 per share, of the Company. The dividend was issued on February 15, 2012 to the stockholders of record on February 15, 2012. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one share of common stock in certain circumstances at a price of \$5.00 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment.

In the event that a person or group of affiliated or associated persons becomes an Acquiring Person, as defined in the Rights Agreement, each holder of a Right, other than Rights beneficially owned by the Acquiring Person (which will thereupon become void), will thereafter have the right to receive upon exercise of a Right that number of shares of common stock having a market value of two times the purchase price of the Right.

## J. SALE OF PATENTS

In June 2012, the Company sold to an unrelated third party (the Buyer) its rights, title and interests in three of its U.S. patents (the Patents) all entitled Method and System for Design and Drafting in exchange for a non-refundable, initial royalty payment of \$200,000 (the Initial Payment). These Patents were derived from our development work related to our CADRA product line and the inventions are a key, time saving feature within that technology offering. The Company received a limited, non-exclusive, royalty-free license under the Patents to make, use, offer to sell, or sell our products or services.

In September 2012, the Patent agreement was amended to include two other U.S. patents (Additional Patents) both entitled Product Development System and Method Using Integrated Process and Data Management. These Additional Patents were derived from the development work related to our ProductCenter product line and are a core and essential capability within that product offering. The Company received a limited, non-exclusive, royalty-free license under the Additional Patents to make, use, offer to sell or sell our products or services. As a result of the amendment, the Initial Payment was increased by \$100,000.

The agreement gives the Buyer complete control over what, if any, actions shall be taken in the future to monetize the Patents through licensing, sale, enforcement or other means. In the event whereby monies are derived from the Patents, the Company is due 30% of the net proceeds (the Net Proceeds), as defined in the agreement. The Initial Payment shall be reimbursable from Net Proceeds to the extent any are due. There can be no assurance that the Company will derive any additional monies from the Patents, however.

The sale of the Patents is a multiple element arrangement as defined under ASC 605 and, as such, the Company allocated the Initial Payment between the sale of the Patents that were delivered during the fiscal quarter and support services that were undelivered. Support services include being available to the Buyer to assist them should they require such assistance in licensing or pursuing other means of monetizing the Patents to third parties. The allocation of the Initial Payment to the patent and support services elements was based on management's best estimate of the selling price of each element. The Initial Payment was allocated as follows: Patents - \$290,000; and Support Services - \$10,000. Additional monies due the Company in the form of royalties from its 30% share of Net Proceeds will be recorded in the quarterly period in which the Buyer notifies the Company such payments are due. Such notification and payment, if any, are due thirty calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter. The revenue allocated to support services has been deferred and will be recognized as revenue when the services are performed. There can be no assurance that the Company will derive any other monies from the Additional Patents, however.

The Company retained its three U.S. patent applications that it acquired or filed since the Recapitalization Transaction in March 2011. These patent applications were not included in the above described agreement. The Company expects to be actively engaged with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the foreseeable future with regard to those filings.

#### **K. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The Company has evaluated all events and transactions that occurred after the balance sheet and through the date that the financial statements were available to be issued.

On June 13, 2013, the Company purchased 170,000 shares of common stock from Greenleaf Capital, Inc., The Ronda E. Stryker and William D. Johnston Foundation, and The L. Lee Stryker 1974 Irrevocable Trust fbo Ronda E. Stryker (the "Stock Purchase Agreement"), for a purchase price of \$62,900, or \$0.37 per share. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides an option for the Company to either make an offer to purchase the remaining 101,411 shares held by Greenleaf at \$0.37 per share within one year of the date of the agreement, or provide registration rights with respect to the remaining shares as set forth in the Registration Rights Agreement dated March 8, 2011. Greenleaf is under no obligation to accept the Company's offer, if made, to purchase the remaining shares, however, if the offer is made by the Company and not accepted by Greenleaf, the Company will no longer be obligated to provide Greenleaf with registration rights with respect to the remaining shares.

On July 9, 2013, the Company amended its Loan Agreement with its Lenders as more fully described in Note F.

On July 19, 2013, Dassault Systemes Solidworks Corporation ("Solidworks") filed a complaint (the "Complaint") against the Company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas Tyler Division alleging fraud and false assurances. The Complaint is connected to a patent infringement suit brought by Auto-Dimensions LLC, a

wholly-owned subsidiary of Acacia Research Group, against Solidworks in December 2012. The Company owned those patents in question and sold them to Auto-Dimensions LLC in June 2012. Solidworks is seeking reimbursement from the Company of attorneys' fees and any judgments or settlement monies it may incur under the infringement suit, as well as punitive and multiple damages. The Company is assessing this recently filed action and will respond in a timely manner.

F-17