

PURE BIOSCIENCE
Form 10-Q
June 09, 2010
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 0-21019

PURE Bioscience
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

33-0530289
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1725 Gillespie Way
El Cajon, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

92020
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (619) 596-8600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

As of June 7, 2010, there were 35,303,251 shares of the registrant's common stock, no par value, outstanding.

PURE Bioscience
FORM 10-Q
for the Quarterly Period Ended April 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.	Item	Consolidated Financial Statements:
		Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 30, 2010 (unaudited) and July 31, 2009
		Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)
		Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)
2.	Item	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
3.	Item	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
4.	Item	Controls and Procedures

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

1.	Item	Legal Proceedings
1A.	Item	Risk Factors
4	Item	(Removed and Reserved)
5.	Item	Other Information
6.	Item	Exhibits

SIGNATURES

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

PURE Bioscience
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(Unaudited) April 30, 2010	July 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,284,104	\$ 4,213,744
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 at April 30, 2010 and \$0 at July 31, 2009	380,173	143,031
Inventories, net	497,300	421,655
Prepaid expenses	177,557	69,317
Total current assets	4,339,134	4,847,747
Property, plant and equipment, net	861,691	856,504
Patents	1,899,143	1,944,701
Total assets	\$ 7,099,968	\$ 7,648,952
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 451,171	\$ 368,418
Accrued liabilities	287,009	192,348
Customer deposits	9,400	-
Taxes payable	-	2,400
Total current liabilities	747,580	563,166
Deferred rent	17,599	19,351
Total liabilities	765,179	582,517
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred Stock, no par value: 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued	-	-
Class A common stock, no par value: 50,000,000 shares authorized 35,129,665 issued and outstanding at April 30, 2010, and 32,307,966 issued and outstanding at July 31, 2009	41,200,542	38,498,904
Additional Paid-In Capital	5,643,501	4,566,024
Warrants: 1,999,049 issued and outstanding at April 30, 2010, and 1,411,725 issued and outstanding at July 31, 2009	3,078,246	2,501,682
Accumulated deficit	(43,587,500)	(38,500,175)
Total stockholders' equity	6,334,789	7,066,435
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 7,099,968	\$ 7,648,952

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

2

PURE Bioscience
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended April 30,		For the Three Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
REVENUES FROM PRODUCT SALES				
Net revenues	\$ 1,112,343	\$ 275,287	\$ 563,491	\$ 129,905
Cost of sales	414,843	131,225	221,811	60,591
Gross profit	697,500	144,062	341,680	69,314
OTHER REVENUES				
Revenue from license agreements	-	250,000	-	-
Cost of other revenue	-	-	-	-
Gross Profit	-	250,000	-	-
	-	-	-	-
Total gross profit	697,500	394,062	341,680	69,314
Selling expenses	731,117	517,033	284,341	179,902
General and administrative expenses	3,783,973	4,263,440	1,179,090	1,142,658
Research and development	1,412,140	1,053,214	502,447	312,261
Total operating expenses	5,927,230	5,833,687	1,965,878	1,634,821
Loss from operations	(5,229,730)	(5,439,625)	(1,624,198)	(1,565,507)
Other income and (expense):				
Interest income	26,797	10,982	8,623	719
Other	115,608	57,993	5,608	19,204
Total other income (expense)	142,405	68,975	14,231	19,923
Net loss	\$ (5,087,325)	\$ (5,370,650)	\$ (1,609,967)	\$ (1,545,584)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.05)
Weighted average common shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per common share				
	34,282,084	30,173,261	34,882,442	30,746,126

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

PURE Bioscience
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended April 30,	
	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (5,087,325)	\$ (5,370,650)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Amortization and depreciation	349,733	330,175
Stock-based compensation	949,243	283,050
Allowance for doubtful accounts	-	781,627
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(237,142)	29,185
Prepaid expense	(108,240)	(49,296)
Inventories	(75,645)	(72,675)
Deferred rent	(1,753)	3,363
Deferred revenue	-	(256,793)
Customer deposits	9,400	-
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	177,414	(111,803)
Income tax payable	(2,400)	(2,400)
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(4,026,715)	(4,436,217)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Investment in patents	(88,049)	(52,634)
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(221,313)	(73,009)
Purchases of short-term investments	-	(4,076,992)
Sales of short-term investments	-	8,666,292
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(309,362)	4,463,657
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net proceeds from the sale of common stock	2,783,233	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	623,204	894,179
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,406,437	894,179
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(929,640)	921,619
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,213,744	2,024,400

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,284,104	\$ 2,946,019
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information		
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 2,400	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

PURE Bioscience (sometimes referred to herein as the “Company” or “we”) was incorporated in the state of California on August 24, 1992. The accompanying unaudited financial statements include the consolidated accounts of PURE Bioscience and its subsidiary, ETIH2O Corporation, a Nevada corporation. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The financial statements included herein have been prepared by PURE Bioscience without audit, in accordance with the instructions to Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), have been condensed or omitted as allowed by such rules and regulations, however we believe that the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments (including normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The unaudited consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements for our most recently completed fiscal year ended July 31, 2009, and their accompanying notes, as filed with the SEC in our 10-K on October 13, 2009.

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the statements and accompanying notes, and actual results could differ materially from those estimates. The results of operations for the three month period ended April 30, 2010 (the “Third Quarter”), and for the nine month period ended April 30, 2010 (the “Nine Months”), are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year, or any future periods.

Note 2. Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Concentration of Credit Risk

As of April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009, all cash deposits were invested in either U.S. FDIC insured bank accounts or institutional money market mutual funds investing in A-1 (S&P) or Prime-1 (Moody’s).

At April 30, 2010, \$3,039,500 of our cash and cash equivalents were maintained at three separate major financial institutions in the United States in accounts that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”). Such insurance is limited to \$250,000 through December 31, 2013.

Also at April 30, 2010, \$244,300 of our cash and cash equivalents were held in an account maintained at a major financial institution in the United States that is provided with protection by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”) should such a firm close due to bankruptcy or other financial difficulties and customer assets are missing. Cash and cash equivalent claims, such as for money market funds and certificates of deposit, are limited to \$100,000, however other investments are protected with up to \$500,000 of insurance by the SIPC.

As of April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009, we had no short-term investments.

We have not experienced any losses in our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risk. At times, deposits held may exceed the amount of insurance provided by the FDIC or SIPC. Generally, these deposits may be redeemed upon demand and, therefore, are believed to bear low risk.

Other financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist of accounts receivable. We extend credit to certain of our U.S. domestic customers based on credit evaluations and past payment performance, but do not obtain collateral to secure our accounts receivable.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts for receivables and payables are the approximate fair value because of their short maturity, generally less than three months. Whenever shares are issued for services, we use market prices of our common stock to estimate the fair value of the shares issued. Whenever options or warrants are issued for services, we use the Black Scholes Option Pricing Model to estimate the fair value of the equity instrument, using historical market prices of our common stock and prevailing risk-free interest rates.

Revenue Recognition

During the periods presented herein our product revenue was derived from the sale of silver dihydrogen citrate (“SDC”) concentrate, our ready to use disinfectant, and finished packaged products containing SDC. We recognize revenue from sales of these products under the provisions of the applicable authoritative guidance governing revenue recognition, which is generally when we ship the products free on board from either our facility or from third party packagers, we have transferred title to the goods, and we have eliminated our risk of loss.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold for product sales includes direct and indirect costs to manufacture products, including materials consumed, manufacturing overheads, shipping costs, salaries, benefits and related expenses of operations.

Intangible Assets / Long-Lived Assets

Our intangible assets primarily consist of the worldwide patent portfolio of our silver ion technologies. Outside legal costs and filing fees related to obtaining patents are capitalized as incurred. The total amounts capitalized for pending patents were \$19,900 and \$13,000 in the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$88,000 and \$52,600 in the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Patents are stated net of accumulated amortization of \$1,385,800 and \$1,252,200 at April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009, respectively. The cumulative cost of acquiring patents is amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful lives of the patents, generally between 17 and 20 years from the date of issuance. At April 30, 2010, the weighted average remaining amortization period for all patents was approximately 10.4 years. Amortization expense for the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$44,800 and \$43,100, respectively, and for the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$133,600 and \$128,600, respectively.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We utilize the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation arrangements. Accordingly, the compensation cost of share-based awards exchanged for employee and director services is measured at the grant date based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the applicable service period. We do not have, and have not had during the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 or 2009, any stock option awards with market or performance conditions.

Stock Options to Non-Employees

Charges for stock options granted to non-employees have been determined using the estimated fair value of the stock options issued, based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. Such options are revalued quarterly until fully vested, with any change in fair value expensed. During the Third Quarter we recorded \$63,100 in selling expense, \$23,600 in general and administrative expense, and \$25,600 in research and development expense for stock options granted to non-employees; and during the three month period ended April 30, 2009 we recorded \$600 in research and development expense for stock options granted to non-employees. During the Nine Months, we recorded \$74,100 in selling expense, \$27,800 in general and administrative expense, and \$33,300 in research and development expense for stock options granted to non-employees; and during the nine month period ended April 30, 2009 we recorded \$6,300 in research and development expense for stock options granted to non-employees.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, Short-term Investments and Liquidity

We consider all liquid investments with maturities of ninety days or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Our short-term investments have maturities of greater than ninety days from the date of purchase. We classify securities as "available for sale" in accordance with authoritative guidance, and carry these investments at fair value with any unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of shareholders' equity on the consolidated balance sheets and in the statements of shareholders' equity. At April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009 we had no short-term investments.

On May 28, 2009, we closed a registered direct offering whereby we sold \$3 million of our common stock and warrants to institutional investors. After fees and expenses, the aggregate net proceeds of the offering to us were approximately \$2.8 million.

On September 3, 2009, we closed a registered direct offering whereby we sold an additional \$3 million of our common stock and warrants to institutional investors. After fees and expenses, the aggregate net proceeds of the offering to us were approximately \$2.8 million.

We do not currently believe that our current cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated needs during the next twelve months and as a result, we expect that we will need to increase our liquidity and capital resources by one or more measures, which may include reducing operating expenses, raising additional financing in future periods through the issuance of debt, equity or convertible securities, entering into partnership, license, or other arrangements with third parties, reducing the exercise price of outstanding warrants, or through other means, any one of which could reduce the value to us, perhaps substantially, of our technology and its commercial potential. Such financing, if any, could also lead to the dilution of our existing shareholders. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available, or if available, that such financing can be obtained on satisfactory terms. Insufficient funds would result in a material adverse effect on our business and operations and could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated customer requirements, and further may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs, license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, or to reduce or cease operations. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model to reduce spending to a sustainable level. Such modification of our business model could also result in an impairment of assets which cannot be determined at this

time.

Comprehensive Income

We display comprehensive income or loss and its components as part of our consolidated financial statements. Our comprehensive loss includes our net loss and certain changes in equity that are excluded from our net loss, including unrealized holding gains and losses on available for sale securities. Such changes in shareholders' equity are included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. For the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, our comprehensive loss was \$1,610,000 and \$1,544,300, respectively. During the Third Quarter, we did not record any realized or unrealized gains on available for sale securities. During the three month period ended April 30, 2009, we recorded unrealized gains on available for sale securities of \$1,300, and realized gains, which were included in our net loss for the period, of \$19,200. For the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, our comprehensive loss was \$5,087,300 and \$5,389,200, respectively. During the Nine Months, we did not record any realized or unrealized gains on available for sale securities. During the nine month period ended April 30, 2009, we recorded a reduction in unrealized gains on available for sale securities of \$18,600, and realized gains, which were included in our net loss for the period, of \$58,000.

Net Loss Per Common Share

We compute basic loss per share by dividing the applicable net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the respective period. Diluted per share amounts assume the conversion, exercise or issuance of all potential common stock equivalents, which include stock options, common stock warrants and unvested restricted stock; unless the effect is to reduce a loss or increase the income per common share from continuing operations. As we incurred losses in the three and nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, we did not include common stock equivalent shares in the computation of net loss per share as the effect would have been anti-dilutive. Therefore, both the basic and diluted loss per common share for the three and nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 are based on the weighted average number of shares of our common stock outstanding during the periods. As of April 30, 2010, anti-dilutive instruments excluded from the computation of net loss per share were made up of 5,290,700 stock options, 1,999,000 warrants, and 65,100 shares of unvested restricted stock; a total of 7,354,800 potential common stock equivalents.

6

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued authoritative guidance providing a one year deferral of the effective date of fair market value measurement for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. Effective August 1, 2009, the Company implemented the guidance for non-financial assets and liabilities that are remeasured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of the guidance, if any, on our consolidated financial statements for future periods.

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It also clarifies that a non-controlling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. It also changes the way the consolidated income statement is presented, requires additional disclosures, and requires that a parent recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The guidance became effective for us as of August 1, 2009; however, it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance amending the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. The intent of the position is to improve the consistency between previously existing standards. The guidance became effective for us as of August 1, 2009; however, it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified authoritative guidance providing a framework for determining whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity’s own stock, including evaluating the instrument’s contingent exercise and settlement provisions, in order to determine whether the instrument should be classified as an equity instrument or a derivative instrument. The guidance became effective for us as of August 1, 2009. We have performed an evaluation of our equity-linked financial instruments that are subject to the guidance, including outstanding common stock warrants, and determined that they should be classified as equity within the consolidated balance sheets. The guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for the consolidation of variable interest entities, to require an issuer to perform an analysis to determine whether the issuer’s variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity, if any. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as one with the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity’s economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest. The guidance will be effective as of the beginning of the annual reporting period commencing after November 15, 2009 (our fiscal year ending July 31, 2011). We will assess the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of the guidance on our consolidated financial statements when this guidance becomes effective for us.

In June 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance which establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the “Codification”) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The Codification superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification is non-authoritative. This guidance became effective for us as of our fiscal quarter ended October 31, 2009 (the “First Quarter”). The Codification does not change GAAP and did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

In August 2009, the FASB issued new authoritative guidance for the fair value measurement of liabilities when a quoted price in an active market is not available. The guidance became effective for us as of November 1, 2009; however it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that provides additional guidance on using the net asset value per share, provided by an investee, when estimating the fair value of an alternate investment that does not have a readily determinable fair value, and enhances the disclosures concerning these investments. Examples of alternate investments that fall within the scope of this standard include investments in hedge funds and private equity, real estate, and venture capital partnerships. The guidance became effective for us as of November 1, 2009; however, we do not currently have any investments that fall within the scope of this new guidance, and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or related disclosures.

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that amends existing revenue recognition accounting pronouncements related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The new guidance provides accounting principles and application guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the arrangement should be separated, and how the consideration should be allocated. This guidance eliminates the requirement to establish the fair value of undelivered products and services and instead provides for separate revenue recognition based upon management's estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item when there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. The new guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (our fiscal year ending July 31, 2011). We do not currently expect the implementation of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The new guidance also requires a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. The new guidance is effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009 (our fiscal year ending July 31, 2011). We do not currently expect the implementation of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The new guidance requires a reporting entity to disclose significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements, to describe the reasons for the transfers and to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. We adopted the guidance in the Third Quarter, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (our fiscal quarter ending April 30, 2011). The adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements for the Third Quarter, and we do not currently expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements for future periods.

In February 2010, the FASB issued updated authoritative guidance regarding the reporting of subsequent events, removing the requirement for an issuer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. The guidance was effective upon issuance in February 2010, and was adopted as of our Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended January 31, 2010 as filed with the SEC on March 11, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 3. Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded net of allowances for doubtful accounts. Estimates for allowances for doubtful accounts are determined based on payment history and individual customer circumstances. The allowance for doubtful accounts was zero at April 30, 2010 and at July 31, 2009.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2008, we granted non-exclusive distribution and blending rights to a new distributor for the sale of SDC-based products in Colombia. In addition, we granted non-exclusive distribution and blending rights to a second distributor, which was affiliated with the first distributor, for the sale of SDC-based products in Argentina, Venezuela, Panama and Costa Rica. The invoiced total for both distributors was \$781,600. The \$781,600 receivable included \$57,000 for amounts billed at cost to the distributors in August 2008 for parts shipped directly to them by one of our U.S. packaging suppliers. During the three month period ended January 31, 2009, we determined these accounts to be delinquent, established a full reserve and recorded \$781,600 as bad debt expense, within general and administrative expense. We have written off the full receivable of \$781,600. Subsequent to this transaction, we have not sold any products to either of the two referenced distributors.

Note 4. Sales of Common Stock

On September 3, 2009 we closed a registered direct offering whereby we sold \$3 million of our common stock and warrants to institutional investors. A shelf registration statement relating to the securities sold in the offering was declared effective by the SEC on May 8, 2009. Under the terms of the offering, we issued to the investors 1,818,182 shares of our common stock, and warrants to purchase 727,272 shares of our common stock. The common stock was sold at a price of \$1.65 per share, and the investors received warrants to purchase 0.4 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.10 per share for each share of common stock they purchased in the offering. The fair value of the investor warrants, based on their fair value relative to the common stock issued, was \$1,270,100 (based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model assuming no dividend yield, volatility of 159.59%, and a risk-free interest rate of 2.39%). The warrants were exercisable as of March 3, 2010, and any unexercised warrants will expire on March 3, 2015. We also paid a fee of \$180,000 to Rodman & Renshaw, LLC ("Rodman") in consideration for its services as the placement agent in the offering. We also issued to Rodman and its principals, warrants to purchase 90,909 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.0625 per share. The fair value of the warrants issued to Rodman, based on their fair value relative to the common stock issued, was \$154,900 (based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model assuming no dividend yield, volatility of 159.59%, and a risk-free interest rate of 2.39%). These warrants were exercisable as of March 3, 2010, and any unexercised warrants will expire on May 7, 2014. After fees and expenses, the net proceeds of the offering to us were \$2,783,233, which is being used and will be used for working capital.

Note 5. Other Equity and Common Stock Transactions

We paid no cash dividends during any of the periods presented, and have never paid cash dividends.

In August 2009, we entered into one year agreements with two independent third party consultants who joined our Advisory Panel. Each consultant was granted an option to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock, with a two year term and vesting in bi-annual increments over one year. The options, which have exercise prices of \$1.85 and \$1.79, were valued at \$16,600 (based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, assuming no dividend yield, volatility of 99.87% and a risk free interest rate of 0.43%) and \$14,800 (based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model, assuming no dividend yield, volatility of 99.92% and a risk free interest rate of 0.42%), respectively. The options will be revalued quarterly until fully vested, with any change in fair value expensed.

On October 12, 2009, the Company entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Michael L. Krall, our Chief Executive Officer, which agreement amends and restates in its entirety the employment agreement the Company previously entered into with Mr. Krall effective as of April 17, 1996. In addition, on October 12, 2009, the Company entered into employment agreements with Andrew Buckland, our Chief Financial Officer, and Donna Singer, our Executive Vice President. The three agreements are collectively referred to as “the Agreements”. Included in the Agreements is a provision for the executive to have a period of not less than one hundred twenty (120) days to exercise their then outstanding stock options following any termination of the executive’s employment for any reason other than for Cause (as defined in the Agreements). Such period (the “Washout Period”) can in no event be beyond the maximum permitted expiration date. Prior to the Agreements, the Washout Period defined in the stock option agreements for the outstanding options held by each of the executives ranged from three (3) days to 90 days. We determined the fair value of the change in the terms of the options to be the difference in the estimated fair value immediately before and immediately after the date of the Agreements, using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. We recorded a change in fair value of \$29,000 related to vested options as an expense within the consolidated statement of operations for the First Quarter. A change in fair value of \$5,500 related to unvested options will be amortized over the remaining vesting periods. During the First Quarter we also recorded \$221,700 of expense for stock and options issued to employees, officers and directors in prior periods.

During the three months ended January 31, 2010 (the “Second Quarter”), we received \$85,000 from the exercise of employee stock options to purchase 170,000 shares of our common stock. In addition, there were net exercises by a director and by two of our officers, on an aggregate of 800,000 common stock options, which resulted in the issuance of 542,660 shares of our common stock. As these shares were net exercised, as permitted under the respective option plans, we did not receive any cash. Additionally during the Second Quarter, we issued 10,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for consulting services valued at \$16,600, and recorded \$338,400 of expense for options issued to employees, officers and directors in prior periods.

In April 2010, we issued options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for business development services. The options, which have an exercise price of \$1.68, were valued at \$31,600 (based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model assuming no dividend yield, volatility of 144.38% and a risk free interest rate of 1.60%). The options are subject to a five year term and vest bi-annually over one year. During the Third Quarter we expensed \$5,400 of the fair value of the options to selling expense. The options will be revalued quarterly until fully vested, with any change in fair value expensed.

Also during the Third Quarter, we received \$498,200 from the exercise of warrants to purchase 230,857 shares of our common stock, at an average exercise price of \$2.16; and received \$40,000 from the exercise of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock issued under employee stock option plans. During the Third Quarter we recorded \$142,700 of expense for options issued to employees, officers and directors in prior periods.

At April 30, 2010 we had outstanding warrants to purchase 1,999,000 shares of our common stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$2.06 to \$8.60. These warrants expire at various times between March 2011 and March 2015. In June 2008, the FASB ratified authoritative guidance, which became effective for us as of August 1, 2009, providing a framework for determining whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity’s own stock, including evaluating the instrument’s contingent exercise and settlement provisions, in order to determine whether the instrument should be classified as an equity instrument or a derivative instrument. We performed an evaluation, at August 1, 2009 and October 31, 2009, of our equity-linked financial instruments subject to the guidance, including outstanding common stock warrants, and determined that they should be classified as equity within the consolidated balance sheets. No additional equity-linked financial instruments subject to the guidance have been issued subsequent to October 31, 2009.

Note 6. Stock-Based Compensation

We have, or have had during the fiscal years presented herein, the following equity incentive plans (the “Plans”) pursuant to which we have granted options to acquire our common stock: the 1998 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan; the 2001 Directors and Officers Stock Option Plan; the 2001 ETIH2O Stock Option Plan; the 2001 Consultants and Advisors Stock Option Plan; the 2002 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan; the 2002 Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan; the 2004 Consultants and Advisors Stock Option Plan; and the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. The Plans are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the “Compensation Committee”). The exercise price for stock options, or the value of other incentive grants granted under the Plans, are set by the Compensation Committee but may not be for less than the fair market value of the shares on the date the award is granted. The term of option grants and their vesting provisions are set by the Compensation Committee.

We recognize compensation expense for stock option awards on a straight-line basis over the applicable service period of the award. The service period is generally the vesting period, with the exception of options granted subject to a consulting agreement, whereby the option vesting period and the service period are defined pursuant to the terms of the consulting agreement. Share-based compensation expense for awards granted subsequent to July 31, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model. The following assumptions were used to calculate the fair value of share based compensation for the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009:

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

	For the nine month periods ended April 30,	
	2010	2009
Expected price volatility	99.8% - 158.1%	97.70% - 142.02%
Risk-free interest rate	0.42% - 2.20%	0.25% - 2.00%
Expected rate of forfeiture	0.0%	0.0%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%
Weighted average expected term	2.98 years	2.8 years

Expected price volatility is the measure by which our stock price is expected to fluctuate during the expected term of an option. Expected volatility is derived from the historical daily change in the market price of our common stock, as we believe that historical volatility is the best indicator of future volatility. For stock options granted subsequent to July 31, 2006, we have excluded the period prior to November 1, 2005 from our historical price volatility, as during this period our market price reflected significant uncertainty associated with both our arbitration proceedings against Falken Industries and our ability to close the sale of the assets of the Water Treatment Division. We believe that the volatility of the market price of our common stock during periods prior to November 1, 2005 is not reflective of future expected volatility.

Following the guidance of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (“SAB 107”), we have been following the “Simplified Method” to determine the expected term of “Plain Vanilla” options issued to employees and directors. All of our outstanding options granted to employees and directors are Plain Vanilla options. Under the Simplified Method, the expected term is presumed to be the mid-point between the vesting date and the end of the contractual term. In SAB 107, the Staff stated that it would not expect a company to use the Simplified Method for share option grants after December 31, 2007, however on December 21, 2007 the SEC published Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110 (“SAB 110”), which expressed the views of the Staff regarding the continued use of the Simplified Method in certain circumstances where a company is unable to rely on historical data. We are unable to rely on our historical exercise data as there have been only a limited number of option exercises in recent periods; our common stock was traded until April 2008 on the illiquid Bulletin Board but our common stock is now listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market; we have had over recent years significant trading blackout periods for employees and directors; there has been minimal employee and director turnover; we have periodically changed the terms of employee stock option grants to amend the standard term of such grants; there are no comparable companies in terms of size, location and industry (particularly as we are developing a platform technology and operate in multiple industries); and we have had significant structural changes in our business including the sale of the Water Treatment Division and abandonment of our Triglycylboride technology, and expect to continue to change in the foreseeable future. We are therefore, under the guidance of SAB 110, continuing to use the Simplified Method to determine the expected term of options issued to employees and directors, but will continually evaluate our historical data as a basis for determining the expected terms of such options.

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Our estimation of the expected term for stock options granted to parties other than employees or directors is the contractual term of the option award. For the purposes of estimating the fair value of stock option awards, the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes calculation is based on the prevailing U.S. Treasury yield as determined by the U.S. Federal Reserve. We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, reduced for estimated forfeitures. Authoritative guidance requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant, and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Historically, we have not had significant forfeitures of stock options granted to employees and directors. A significant number of our historical stock option grants were fully vested at issuance or were issued with short vesting provisions. Therefore, we have estimated the forfeiture rate of our outstanding stock options as zero, but will continually evaluate our historical data as a basis for determining expected forfeitures.

The following table sets forth the share-based compensation expense recorded in our consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 resulting from share-based compensation awarded to our employees, directors and third party service providers:

	Three Months Ended April 30, 2010	Three Months Ended April 30, 2009
Share-based compensation for employees and directors:		
Selling expense	\$ 12,300	\$ -
General and administrative expenses	153,000	96,700
Research and development	15,400	-
Total share-based compensation for employees and directors	180,700	96,700
Share-based compensation for third party service providers:		
Selling expense	\$ 63,100	\$ -
General and administrative expenses	23,600	-
Research and development	25,600	600
Total share-based compensation for third party service providers	112,300	600
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 293,000	\$ 97,300

	Nine Months Ended April 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended April 30, 2009
Share-based compensation for employees and directors:		
Selling expense	\$ 44,300	\$ -
General and administrative expenses	697,400	218,100
Research and development	55,700	-
Total share-based compensation for employees and directors	797,400	218,100
Share-based compensation for third party service providers:		
Selling expense	\$ 74,100	\$ -
General and administrative expenses	44,400	58,600

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Research and development	33,300	6,300
Total share-based compensation for third party service providers	151,800	64,900
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 949,200	\$ 283,000

10

A summary of stock option activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000's)
Balance at July 31, 2009	6,175,216	\$1.80	\$3,836
Granted	50,000	\$1.82	
Exercised	-	-	
Forfeited / Cancelled	(113,300)	\$1.99	
Balance at October 31, 2009	6,111,916	\$1.79	\$3,388
Granted	328,300	\$1.60	
Exercised	(712,660)	\$0.52	
Forfeited / Cancelled	(406,840)	\$2.03	
Balance at January 31, 2010	5,320,716	\$1.93	\$907
Granted	25,000	\$1.68	
Exercised	(50,000)	\$0.80	
Forfeited / Cancelled	(5,000)	\$5.04	
Balance at April 30, 2010	5,290,716	\$1.94	\$6,243

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares Outstanding	Outstanding		Exercisable		
		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Exercisable	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.50 to \$0.75	590,000	0.69	\$0.53	590,000	0.69	\$0.53
\$0.80 to \$1.20	661,666	0.62	\$0.81	661,666	0.62	\$0.81
\$1.40 to \$7.50	4,039,050	2.13	\$2.33	3,050,400	1.53	\$2.38
	5,290,716	1.78	\$1.94	4,302,066	1.28	\$1.89

Cash received from options and warrants exercised for the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$538,200 and zero, respectively. The intrinsic value of all stock options exercised during the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$114,000 and \$163,434, respectively, and the weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.60 and \$1.74, respectively.

Cash received from options and warrants exercised for the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$623,200 and \$894,179, respectively. The intrinsic value of all stock options exercised during the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$726,000 and \$2,000,774, respectively, and the weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.45 and \$1.80, respectively.

As of April 30, 2010, there was \$1,285,900 of unrecognized non-cash compensation cost related to unvested options, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.0 years.

A summary of restricted stock activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares
Unvested at July 31, 2009	86,800
Granted	-
Exercised	-
Forfeited / Cancelled	(21,700)
Unvested at October 31, 2009	65,100
Granted	-
Exercised	-
Forfeited / Cancelled	-
Unvested at January 31, 2010	65,100
Granted	-
Exercised	-
Forfeited / Cancelled	-
Unvested at April 30, 2010	65,100

During the three month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized stock based compensation expense for restricted stock of \$38,100 and zero respectively. During the nine month periods ended April 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized stock based compensation expense for restricted stock of \$103,700 and zero respectively. As of April 30, 2010, there was \$6,300 of unrecognized non-cash compensation cost related to unvested restricted shares, which will be recognized in the three months ending July 31, 2010.

Note 7. Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value using the average cost method. Inventories at April 30, 2010 and July 31, 2009 consisted of:

	April 30, 2010	July 31, 2009
Raw Materials	\$ 317,300	\$ 194,700
Work in Progress	-	-
Finished Goods	180,000	227,000
	\$ 497,300	\$ 421,700

Included in our inventory of finished goods as of July 31, 2009 was approximately 12,000 gallons of SDC concentrate that we purchased from an unrelated third party in a lien sale, for \$27,500.

Note 8. Legal Settlement

Included in other income within the consolidated statements of operations for the Nine Months is \$110,000 received pursuant to the settlement of a warranty claim in a suit against a software vendor.

Note 9. Business Segment and Sales Concentrations

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. We believe that based upon the end use of our products, the value added contributions made by us, the regulatory requirements, our customers and partners, and the strategy required to successfully market finished products, we are operating in a single segment.

To date, our customers have primarily been strategic partners who develop markets for, and distributors who sell, products containing our SDC technology. Additionally, during the Third Quarter we commenced selling our EPA-approved hard surface disinfectant under our own label, IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense™ (“IV-7”) through an alliance with a Dallas-based sales and marketing organization, Richmond Sciences, LLC (“Richmont”), to commercial distributors and commercial customers. Under this agreement with Richmont, we recognize revenues for products sold to third parties, and pay marketing fees to Richmont based upon those revenues. We recognized revenue from the first sales of IV-7 under this agreement during the Third Quarter.

In May 2010, IV-7 was launched direct to consumers via a direct sales channel, by a newly formed company called IV-7 Direct, an affiliate of Richmont. PURE Bioscience does not have an equity interest in either IV-7 Direct or Richmont. Under this arrangement, we sell finished products at contracted unit prices to Richmont, and we also expect to receive additional revenues based on IV-7 Direct’s sales, which are made through a network of independent sales associates using a multi-level sales model. This arrangement is expected to cover only IV-7 products sold by IV-7 Direct through the direct sales channel. Our revenue for the Third Quarter includes the sale to Richmont of finished products to support the May 2010 launch.

During the Third Quarter, 84% of product sales were made to five customers. 100% of product revenue for the Third Quarter was derived from sales made to U.S. domestic customers. During the Nine Months, 69% of product sales were made to five customers. 80% of product revenue for the period was derived from sales made to U.S. domestic customers, and 20% was derived from sales made to international customers. In some cases we have, or may have in future periods, distributors or strategic partners to whom we have granted rights to sell our technology in multiple countries. Generally, we do not require distributors to report to us the quantities of products that they sell in each country. In such cases, we report revenues based on the country to which we first ship products.

During the Third Quarter, 57% of our product sales were of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 43% of our sales were of SDC concentrate. During the same period of the prior year, 37% of our product sales were of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 63% of our product sales were of SDC concentrate.

During the Nine Months, 58% of our product sales were of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 42% of sales were of SDC concentrate. During the same period of the prior year, 64% of our product sales were of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 36% of our product sales were of SDC concentrate.

All of our tangible assets are located in the United States.

Note 10. Subsequent Events

Subsequent to April 30, 2010 we received \$266,400 from the exercise of warrants to purchase 109,386 shares of our common stock, at an average exercise price of \$2.44.

In May 2010, we issued an aggregate of 61,200 shares of restricted stock to three of our independent directors, and a ten year option to purchase 30,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, to one of our independent directors. The options and the restricted shares vest in full after one year. In addition, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 360,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, to our three executive officers. These options have a ten year term and vest annually in equal increments over four years.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential" or "continue," the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we, nor any other person, assume responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. We are under no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements after the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to conform such statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us which attempt to advise interested parties of the factors which affect our business, including without limitation the disclosures made in Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report under the Caption "Risk Factors" and in our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2009 ("Fiscal 2009"), previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Risk factors that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include but are not limited to: our limited operating history; our history of losses; our future capital needs; the rapidly changing technologies and market demands; the failure of our products to achieve broad acceptance; our failure to successfully compete; our dependence on a single product; our failure to comply with government regulation; the loss of a key member of our management team; our failure to protect our intellectual property; our exposure to intellectual property and product liability claims; changes in government policies and other risks identified in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The financial statements presented herein, and discussed below, have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Overview

PURE Bioscience (sometimes referred to herein as the "Company," "we" "us" or "our") was incorporated in the state of California on August 24, 1992. We began as a provider of pharmaceutical water purification products for the pharmacy market. In 2000, we commenced investments in the development of novel bioscience technologies, and subsequent to the May 2005 sale of our Water Treatment Division we have been exclusively focused on the development and commercialization of our current and future bioscience products.

We are expanding into markets that we believe have broad potential, by developing new, proprietary bioscience products based upon our patented silver ion antimicrobial technology. We are primarily developing antimicrobial products, initially based on our silver dihydrogen citrate technology, which we believe can provide novel, non-toxic solutions to numerous global health challenges and represent innovative advances in diverse markets. We believe that our technology is in a position to contribute significantly to today's global trend toward industrial and consumer use of environmentally friendly products, while providing competitive advantages in efficacy and safety.

Technology

Our flagship bioscience technology is a patented, aqueous antimicrobial called silver dihydrogen citrate ("SDC"). A new molecular entity, SDC is an electrolytically generated source of stabilized ionic silver that can serve as the basis for a

broad range of products in diverse markets. SDC liquid is colorless, odorless, non-caustic and formulates well with other compounds. As a platform technology, we believe that our SDC-based antimicrobial is distinguished from competitors in the marketplace because of its superior efficacy combined with reduced toxicity. We are producing pre-formulated, ready-to-use products for sale under our own brand names, ready-to-use products for private label distribution, and varying strengths of SDC concentrate as an additive or raw material for inclusion in other companies' products, including as an active pharmaceutical ingredient. In addition to SDC, we have obtained patent protection for ionic silver-based molecular entities utilizing 14 organic acids other than citric acid, which we may attempt to commercialize in the future.

Sources of Revenue

Our principal sources of revenue are comprised of sales of SDC concentrate as well as both bulk and individually bottled SDC-based hard surface disinfectant. SDC concentrate is sold to distributors that either resell the concentrate as an active ingredient or preservative in other companies' products, or blend the product into hard surface disinfectant products for sale to retail, commercial and institutional customers. SDC-based hard surface disinfectant has historically been sold in bulk and as individually bottled products to distributors that in turn sell the product to retail, commercial and institutional customers.

In October 2009, we announced that we had expanded our business strategy through an alliance with a Dallas-based sales and marketing organization, Richmond Sciences, LLC ("Richmont"), whereby Richmont provides us with sales, marketing and branding services that will enable us to sell SDC-based antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal hard surface disinfectants directly to global retail, commercial and institutional customers. On March 1, 2010, we announced the U.S. launch of our EPA-approved hard surface disinfectant under our own label, IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense™ ("IV-7"). Richmont is now marketing IV-7 on our behalf, with initial emphasis on the institutional, janitorial and sanitation markets. We recognized revenue from the first sales of IV-7 to commercial and industrial customers during the three month period ended April 30, 2010 (the "Third Quarter"). We recognize revenues for products sold under the agreement, and pay marketing fees to Richmont based upon those revenues.

In May 2010, IV-7 was launched direct to consumers via a direct sales channel, by a newly formed company called IV-7 Direct, an affiliate of Richmond. PURE Bioscience does not have an equity interest in either IV-7 Direct or Richmond. Under this arrangement, we sell finished products at contracted unit prices to Richmond, and we also expect to receive additional revenues based on IV-7 Direct's sales, which are made through a network of independent sales associates using a multi-level sales model. This arrangement is expected to cover only IV-7 products sold by IV-7 Direct through the direct sales channel. Our revenue for the Third Quarter includes the sale to Richmond of finished products to support the May 2010 launch.

Richmont will also market, after regulatory approvals are obtained, our sanitizer for surfaces touched by food in restaurants, hotels, food processing plants, and other environments. In August 2009, as a result of our 6-year petition process, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") published an amendment to the Federal Register establishing a concentration limit for silver in end use solutions eligible for tolerance exemption, specifically in the form of silver dihydrogen citrate (SDC), of 50 parts per million ("ppm"). Concurrently with the new regulation, the EPA registered our 50 ppm indirect food contact surface sanitizer product. We subsequently submitted a registration to the EPA to add the food contact surface sanitizer claims to our previously-registered 30 ppm hard surface disinfectant formula. The EPA registered the disinfectant/sanitizer formula in April 2010, and we immediately filed a federal sub-registration and subsequent state registrations for the disinfectant/sanitizer product to be sold as IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense for Food Contact Surfaces™. In future periods, Richmont may also sell, on our behalf, SDC concentrate as an active ingredient and as a preservative.

We will also continue to sell products through our existing distributors. We have entered into distribution agreements with multiple distributors in the United States to market our EPA-approved hard surface disinfectant under their own labels, and a number of such products have recently been launched. We also have a strategic agreement with BASF, whereby we have granted BASF the right to resell our SDC concentrate within the global personal care, household and institutional markets; currently on a non-exclusive basis.

Our revenues have historically fluctuated from period to period. For example, for the nine month period ended April 30, 2010 (the "Nine Months"), we reported revenues from product sales of \$1,112,000; compared with revenues from product sales of \$478,000 for the full Fiscal 2009, and \$1,478,000 for the full fiscal year ended July 31, 2008 ("Fiscal 2008"). Among other factors, during Fiscal 2008 we recorded product revenue of \$997,000 for sales to two international distributors for whom we have not subsequently recognized any revenue.

In future periods, we expect our revenues to continue to fluctuate, however we are not currently able to accurately predict such revenues. Our IV-7 brand hard surface disinfectant products have recently launched, and the commencement of sales of additional products, such as our sanitizer for surfaces touched by food, and products sold by our distributors and strategic partners, are, or may be, dependent on approvals from U.S. or overseas regulatory agencies.

Cost of Revenues and Operating Expenses

Costs of Revenue. Costs of product revenue include materials consumed, manufacturing overheads, shipping costs, salaries, benefits and related expenses of operations. Inventoried materials consumed in products produced are expensed to cost of goods manufactured using the average cost method. Included in our inventory of finished goods at July 31, 2009 were approximately 12,000 gallons of SDC concentrate that we purchased from an unrelated third party in a lien sale. This transaction has and will continue to temporarily reduce the cost of each gallon of SDC concentrate sold or consumed in our manufacturing process.

Gross profit on product sales represents net revenue less the costs of revenue. Gross profit percentage is highly dependent on product mix, pricing, contractual agreements, material costs, overhead allocations and other factors. We do not believe that historical gross profit margins on product sales are a reliable indicator of future gross profit margins.

Selling and Marketing. Selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits, and amounts paid to third party providers for marketing, sales, public relations and advertising, along with promotional and trade show costs and travel expenses. Sales and marketing expenses also include share-based compensation expense allocable to employees and third party advisors performing services related to sales and marketing.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expenses include employee salaries and benefits, and amounts paid to third party providers for finance and accounting, legal activities, insurance, information technology, and other administrative activities. General and administrative expenses also include share-based compensation expense allocable to employees and third party advisors performing general and administrative services.

Research and Development. Research and development costs include in-house research costs, expenditures for third party testing, patent amortization, outside legal costs for maintaining issued patents, and product registration expenditures. We do not currently expect our research and development expense to grow significantly in future periods, however if opportunities arise, particularly in the development and testing of new formulations, we will evaluate the need for additional research expenditures based on potential market sizes and our estimation of the likelihood of our technology achieving successful results. Research and development expenses include share-based compensation expense allocable to employees and third party advisors performing services related to research and development.

Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting for Long-Lived Assets / Intangible Assets

We assess the impairment of long-lived assets, consisting of property, plant, equipment and finite-lived intangible assets, whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Examples of such events or circumstances include:

- An asset's ability to continue to generate income from operations and positive cash flow in future periods;
- Loss of legal ownership or title to an asset;
- Significant changes in our strategic business objectives and utilization of the asset(s); and
- The impact of significant negative industry or economic trends.

Recoverability of assets to be held and used in operations is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. The factors used to evaluate the future net cash flows, while reasonable, requires a high degree of judgment and the results could vary if the actual results are materially different than the forecasts. In addition, we base useful lives and amortization or depreciation expense on our subjective estimate of the period that the assets will generate revenue or otherwise be used by us. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less selling costs.

We also periodically review the lives assigned to our intangible assets to ensure that our initial estimates do not exceed any revised estimated periods from which we expect to realize cash flows from the technologies. If a change were to occur in any of the above-mentioned factors or estimates, the likelihood of a material change in our reported results would increase.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after August 1, 2006 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of applicable authoritative guidance. Specifically, we estimate the weighted-average fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model based on evaluation assumptions regarding expected volatility, dividend yield, risk-free interest rates, the expected term of the option and the expected forfeiture rate. Each of these assumptions, while reasonable, requires a certain degree of judgment and the fair value estimates could vary if the actual results are materially different than those initially applied. Prior to August 1, 2006, we were not required to record compensation cost in the consolidated financial statements for stock options issued to employees or directors.

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended April 30, 2010 vs. Three Months Ended April 30, 2009

Revenue and Gross Margin

For the Third Quarter, product revenues of \$563,500 increased by \$433,600 compared with comparable revenues of \$129,900 in the same period of the prior year.

To date, our customers have primarily been strategic partners who develop markets for, and distributors who sell, products containing our SDC technology. During the Third Quarter we commenced selling our EPA-approved hard surface disinfectant under our own label, IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense™ ("IV-7") through an alliance with a Dallas-based

sales and marketing organization, Richmond Sciences, LLC (“Richmont”), to commercial distributors and commercial customers. Under this agreement with Richmont, we recognize revenues for products sold to third parties, and pay marketing fees to Richmont based upon those revenues. We recognized revenue from the first sales of IV-7 under this agreement during the Third Quarter.

In May 2010, IV-7 was launched direct to consumers via a direct sales channel, by a newly formed company called IV-7 Direct, an affiliate of Richmont. PURE Bioscience does not have an equity interest in either IV-7 Direct or Richmont. Under this arrangement, we sell finished products at contracted unit prices to Richmont, and we also expect to receive additional revenues based on IV-7 Direct’s sales, which are made through a network of independent sales associates using a multi-level sales model. This arrangement is expected to cover only IV-7 products sold by IV-7 Direct through the direct sales channel. Our revenue for the Third Quarter includes the sale to Richmont of finished products to support the May 2010 launch.

In February 2010, San Diego-based CareFusion Corporation purchased 100,000 two-ounce bottles of IV-7 water purifier, a concentrate product, for shipment to Project Hope for distribution in earthquake-ravaged areas of Haiti, for which we recorded revenue in the Third Quarter.

During the Third Quarter, 84% of product sales were made to five customers. 100% of product revenue for the Third Quarter was derived from sales made to U.S. domestic customers, of which 57% was derived from sales of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 43% of our sales were of bulk SDC concentrate or concentrated products. In the comparable period of the prior year, 63% of our sales were of bulk SDC concentrate.

Gross profit on product sales for the Third Quarter was \$341,700, compared with comparable gross profit of \$69,300 in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The gross margin percentage for the Third Quarter was 61%, compared with 53% in the comparable period of the prior fiscal year.

No licensing revenue was recorded during the Third Quarter or the comparable period of the prior year.

Operating Costs

Operating costs increased by \$331,100, or 20%, from \$1,634,800 in the three month period ended April 30, 2009, to \$1,965,900 in the Third Quarter. Within these aggregate operating costs, selling expense increased \$104,400 in the Third Quarter compared with the same period in the prior fiscal year, primarily due to the revaluation of stock options previously granted to consultants. Charges for stock options granted to non-employees are revalued quarterly until fully vested, with any change in fair value expensed.

General and administrative expense increased by \$36,400, from \$1,142,700 in the three month period ended April 30, 2009, to \$1,179,100 in the Third Quarter. In the Third Quarter, we donated bottles of our IV-7 concentrated water purifier, at a cost of \$46,000, to the Haiti relief effort, which was expensed to general and administrative expense. Additionally, during the Third Quarter an increase of \$80,000 in stock option and restricted stock expense was offset by a reduction of approximately \$120,000 in legal fees. The increase in stock option and restricted stock expense is due to both the revaluation of stock options previously issued to consultants, and to a change in practice for officer and director grants. In May 2009, we granted option and restricted stock awards to certain of our officers and directors, which are subject to vesting provisions, whereas prior practice had been for such awards to vest immediately. Awards with vesting provisions are expensed over the vesting period, rather than on their date of grant.

Research and development costs during the Third Quarter of \$502,400, including in-house costs, patent amortization, outside legal costs for maintaining approved patents, and product registration expenditures, increased by \$190,200, or 61%, compared with the comparable prior year period due primarily to increased payroll and related expense, and to increased third party testing. We do not currently expect our research and development expense to grow significantly in future periods; however, if opportunities arise, particularly in the development and testing of new formulations, we will evaluate the need for additional research expenditures based on potential market sizes and our estimation of the likelihood of our technology achieving successful results.

Our loss from operations increased by \$58,700, from a loss of \$1,565,500 for the three months ended April 30, 2009 to a loss of \$1,624,200 for the Third Quarter.

Net Loss

Our net loss after other income and taxes declined by \$64,400, from a net loss of \$1,545,600 or \$0.05 per share for the three months ended April 30, 2009 to a net loss of \$1,610,000 or \$0.05 per share for the Third Quarter.

Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended April 30, 2010 vs. Nine Months Ended April 30, 2009

Revenue and Gross Margin

For the Nine Months, product revenues of \$1,112,300 increased by \$837,100 compared with comparable revenues of \$275,300 in the same period of the prior year.

The increase in revenue is due to increased sales to BASF, and shipments to new customers and distributors including the first sales of IV-7 to commercial and institutional customers under our marketing agreement with Richmond, the sale to Richmond of finished products to support the May 2010 direct selling launch, and sales made to an international distributor in Taiwan, for further distribution and sale by our distributor to several Asian countries. Additionally, in the Third quarter we sold 100,000 two-ounce bottles of our IV-7 concentrated water purifier to San Diego-based CareFusion Corporation for the Haiti relief effort.

During the Nine Months, 69% of product sales were made to five customers. 80% of product revenue for the Nine Months was derived from sales made to U.S. domestic customers and 20% was derived from sales to our Asian distributor. 58% of sales for the Nine Months were of bulk ready to use disinfectant or finished packaged products

containing our ready to use disinfectant, and 42% of our sales were of bulk SDC concentrate or concentrated products. In the comparable period of the prior year, 36% of our sales were of bulk SDC concentrate.

Gross profit on product sales for the Nine Months was \$697,500, compared with comparable gross profit of \$144,100 in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The gross margin percentage for the Nine Months was 63%, compared with 52% in the comparable period of the prior fiscal year, due primarily to the higher proportion of concentrate sold during the Nine Months. We generally sell our SDC concentrate at higher margins than our other products.

During the nine month period ended April 30, 2009 we recorded \$250,000 of licensing revenue related to a non-refundable fee received subject to an agreement whereby we allowed a third party a limited time period to exclusively evaluate our SDC technology. No licensing revenue was recorded during the Nine Months.

Operating Costs

Operating costs increased by \$93,500, or 2%, from \$5,833,700 in the nine month period ended April 30, 2009, to \$5,927,200 in the Nine Months. Within these aggregate operating costs, selling expense increased by \$214,100 in the Nine Months compared with the same period in the prior fiscal year, due primarily to increases in stock option expense, payroll, and public relations expense.

General and administrative expense declined by \$479,500, from \$4,263,400 in the nine month period ended April 30, 2009, to \$3,784,000 in the Nine Months. Included in general and administrative expense for the prior year period was \$781,600 of bad debt expense, whereas we did not record any bad debt expense in the Nine Months. Additionally, legal fees accounted for a decline of \$342,000 over the same period, and accounting costs also declined. These reductions were offset by an increase of \$507,000 in stock option and restricted stock expense, due to the revaluation of stock options previously issued to consultants, stock option grants made to both new and existing non-officer employees, and to a change in practice for officer and director grants. In May 2009 we granted stock option and restricted stock awards to officers and directors which are subject to vesting provisions, whereas prior practice had been for such awards to vest immediately. Awards with vesting provisions are expensed over the vesting period, rather than on their date of grant. Additionally, building costs increased year over year, partially due to new warehousing space leased during the current fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

Research and development costs, including in-house costs, patent amortization, outside legal costs for maintaining approved patents, and product registration expenditures, increased by \$358,900, from \$1,053,200 in the nine month period ended April 30, 2009 to \$1,412,100 in the Nine Months. The increase is primarily related to the cost of third party testing, and in higher payroll, payroll related expense, and stock option expense.

Our loss from operations declined by \$209,900, from a loss of \$5,439,600 for the nine month period ended April 30, 2009 to a loss of \$5,229,700 for the Nine Months.

Other Income

Other income increased by \$73,400 in the Nine Months compared to the same period of the prior fiscal year, due primarily to the receipt of \$110,000 from a legal settlement during the quarter ended January 31, 2010, partially offset by gains on the sale of U.S. Treasury Bills in the nine months ended April 30, 2009.

Net Loss

Our net loss after taxes declined by \$283,300, from a net loss of \$5,370,700 or \$0.18 per share for the nine months ended April 30, 2009 to a net loss of \$5,087,200 or \$0.15 per share for the Nine Months.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

From inception through the present, we have financed our operations primarily through sales of our equity securities, through lines of credit and the issuance of debentures, and in May 2005 by the sale of our Water Treatment Division.

At April 30, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$3,284,100. Net cash used in operations, and for investments in both intangible and fixed assets, was \$4,336,100 in the Nine Months, \$6,107,400 in Fiscal 2009, and \$4,829,600 in Fiscal 2008. Our future capital needs and our future profits, if any, are uncertain, and will depend on many factors including, among others, the acceptance of, and demand for, our products; our success and the success of our partners and distributors in selling our products; our success and the success of our partners in obtaining regulatory approvals to sell our products; the costs of further developing our existing, and developing new, products or technologies; the extent to which we invest in new technology and product development; and the costs associated with the continued operation, and any future growth, of our business. We do not currently believe that our current cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated needs during the next twelve months and as a result, we expect that we will need to increase our liquidity and capital resources by one or more measures, which may include reducing operating expense, by raising additional financing in future periods through the issuance of debt, equity, or convertible securities, entering into partnership, license, or other arrangements with third parties, reducing the exercise price of outstanding warrants, or through other means, any one of which could reduce the value to us, perhaps substantially, of our technology and its commercial potential. Such financing, if any, could also lead to the dilution of our existing shareholders. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available, or if available, that such financing can be obtained on satisfactory terms. Insufficient funds would result in a material adverse effect on our business and operations and could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated customer requirements, and further may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs, license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, or to reduce or cease operations. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model to reduce spending to a sustainable level. Such modification of our business model could also result in an impairment of assets which cannot be determined at this time.

On September 3, 2009, we closed a registered direct offering whereby we sold \$3 million of our common stock and warrants to institutional investors. Under the terms of the offering, we issued to the investors 1,818,182 shares of our common stock, and warrants to purchase 727,272 shares of our common stock. The common stock was sold at a price

of \$1.65 per share, and the investors received warrants to purchase 0.4 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.10 per share for each share of common stock they purchased in the offering. In addition we paid a fee of \$180,000 to Rodman & Renshaw, LLC (“Rodman”) in consideration for its services as the placement agent in the offering. We also issued to Rodman and its principals, warrants to purchase 90,909 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.0625 per share. After fees and expenses, the net proceeds of this offering to us were approximately \$2.78 million.

At April 30, 2010, we had no short-term investments and no long-term debt. Total current assets at April 30, 2010 were \$4,339,100, a decline of \$508,600 from July 31, 2009.

Cash used in operating activities for the Nine Months was \$4,026,700, compared with \$4,436,200 for the same nine month period of the prior fiscal year. The decline in operating cash expenditures, of \$409,500, is primarily due to increased collections, reduced general and administrative spending, and the receipt of \$110,000 from a legal settlement during the Nine Months.

During the Nine Months, cash used in investing activities was \$309,400, consisting of investments in patents of \$88,000 and purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$221,300. At April 30, 2010 the net value of our capitalized patents and our property, plant and equipment was \$1,899,100 and \$861,700, respectively. In the nine month period ended April 30, 2009, cash provided by investing activities was \$4,463,700. Investments in patents of \$52,600 and purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$73,000 were offset by a net amount (cash sales less cash purchases) of \$4,589,300 provided by short-term investments.

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

During the Nine Months, cash provided by financing activities was \$3,406,400, \$2,783,200 of which was derived from the net proceeds of our September 2009 registered direct offering. In addition, \$498,200 was provided by proceeds from the exercise of warrants, and \$125,000 was provided by proceeds from the exercise of common stock options. In the comparable prior year period, cash provided by financing activities was \$894,200, all of which came from the exercise of stock options and warrants.

At April 30, 2010, we had total liabilities of \$765,200, an increase of \$182,700 from July 31, 2009, primarily due to increased accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our exposure to interest rate risk at April 30, 2010 is related to our investment portfolio which consists largely of debt instruments and other securities of high quality corporate issuers and the U.S. government and its agencies. From time to time our investments may be exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates. Our current investment policy is to maintain an investment portfolio consisting only of diversified institutional money market mutual funds investing in A-1 (S&P) or Prime-1 (Moody's); U.S. Treasury Securities, or United States Government obligations issued by or backed by a federal agency of the United States Government. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes, and our cash is deposited in, and invested through, highly rated financial institutions in the United States. While our available for sale securities are subject to interest rate risk and would fall in value if market interest rates increased, we estimate that the fair value of our investment portfolio would not decline by a material amount in the event of an increase in market interest rates. We therefore would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by the effect of a change in market interest rates on our investments.

We have operated mainly in the United States, and the majority of our sales since inception have been made in U.S. dollars. Further, all of our sales to international markets have been to independent parties in transactions denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we have not had any material exposure to foreign currency rate fluctuations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, who also acts as our Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-14(c). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We have carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer/Principal Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of all of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer/Principal Accounting Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of April 30, 2010.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We made no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the Third Quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

18

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business. We are not currently aware of any such legal proceedings or claims that we believe will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except for the historical information contained herein or incorporated by reference, this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and the information incorporated by reference contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements include projections about our accounting and finances, plans and objectives for the future, future operating and economic performance and other statements regarding future performance. These statements are not guarantees of future performance or events. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed here. Factors that could cause or contribute to differences in our actual results include those discussed in the following section, as well as those discussed in Part I, Item 2 entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and elsewhere throughout this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in any other documents incorporated by reference into this report. You should consider carefully the following risk factors, together with all of the other information included or incorporated in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Each of these risk factors, either alone or taken together, could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition, as well as adversely affect the value of an investment in our common stock. There may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently believe are immaterial which could also impair our business and financial position. If any of the events described below were to occur, our financial condition, our ability to access capital resources, our results of operations and/or our future growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected and the market price of our common stock could decline. As a result you could lose some or all of any investment you may have made or may make in our common stock.

We have a history of losses, and we may not achieve or maintain profitability

We had a loss of \$5,087,300 after taxes for the Nine Months, a loss of \$7,067,300 after taxes for Fiscal 2009, and a loss of \$6,540,300 after taxes for Fiscal 2008. As of April 30, 2010, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$43.6 million. We expect to continue to have losses in future periods. If the penetration into the marketplace of SDC and SDC based products takes longer than anticipated or is otherwise unsuccessful, revenue growth is slower than anticipated or operating expenses exceed expectations, it may take an unforeseen period of time to achieve or sustain profitability and we may never achieve or sustain profitability. Slower than anticipated revenue growth could force us to reduce research, testing, development and marketing of our technology and/or force us to reduce the size and scope of our operations, or cease operations altogether.

We do not yet have, and we may never have, significant cash inflows from product sales or from other sources of revenue to offset our ongoing and planned investments in corporate infrastructure, research and development projects, regulatory submissions, business development activities, and sales and marketing, among other investments. Some or all of these investments may not be successful. In addition, irrespective of our cash resources, we may be contractually or legally obligated to make certain investments, which cannot be postponed. We do not currently believe that our current cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated needs during the next twelve months. In future periods, we expect to need to seek additional capital through the issuance of debt, equity, convertible securities or through other means, any one of which could reduce the value, perhaps substantially, of our outstanding common stock. We currently have no long-term debt, however the issuance of debt, equity, convertible securities, or other financial instruments in future periods, if any, could lead to the dilution of our existing shareholders. There is no guarantee that we would be able to obtain capital on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Insufficient funds would result in a material adverse effect on our business and operations and could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail

to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated customer requirements, and further may require us to delay, reduce or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs, license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, sell some or all of our intellectual property, to reduce operations, or to cease operations altogether.

The risks associated with our business may be more acute during periods of economic slowdown or recession. In addition to other consequences, these periods may be accompanied by decreased consumer and institutional spending generally, as well as decreased demand for, or additional downward pricing pressure on, our products. Accordingly, any prolonged economic slowdown or a lengthy or severe recession with respect to either the U.S. or the global economy is likely to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business prospects. As a result, given the recent deterioration in the U.S. and global economies, as well as the decreasing purchasing power of consumers and institutions, we expect that our business will continue to be adversely affected for so long as, and to the extent that, such adverse economic conditions exist.

If our efforts to achieve and maintain market acceptance of our core SDC technology are not successful, or we fail to obtain necessary governmental approvals, we are unlikely to attain profitability

For the past several years, we have invested most of our time and financial resources in the development and commercialization of our core SDC technology. We expect that sales of SDC concentrate and SDC-based products will constitute a substantial portion, or all, of our revenues in future periods. Any material decrease in the level of sales or expected sales of, or the prices for, SDC concentrate or SDC-based products, whether as a result of competition, change in customer demand, or any other factor, would have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are marketing SDC and SDC-based products to industrial and consumer markets. These products have not yet been accepted into the marketplace, and may never be accepted. In addition, even if our products achieve market acceptance, we may not be able to maintain product sales or other forms of revenue over time if new products or technologies are introduced that are more favorably received than our products, are more cost-effective or otherwise render our products less attractive or obsolete. Other risks involved in introducing these new products include, but are not limited to, liability for product effectiveness and safety, and competition from existing or emerging sources. Additionally, government regulation in the U.S. and in other countries is a significant factor in the development, manufacturing and marketing of many of our products and in our ongoing research and development activities. We believe that all products derived from SDC, or products that may be derived from SDC in future periods, require or will require approval by government agencies prior to marketing or sale in the U.S. or overseas. Complying with applicable government regulations and obtaining necessary clearances or approvals can be time consuming and expensive, and there can be no assurance that regulatory review will not involve delays or other actions adversely affecting the marketing and sale of our products. For example, regulatory review of SDC by the EPA has historically been time consuming and expensive, due in part, we believe, to the novel nature of our technology. While we cannot accurately predict the outcome of any pending or future regulatory review processes, we expect such processes to remain time consuming and expensive as we, or our partners, apply for approval to market new formulations or to make new or additional claims. We also cannot predict the extent or impact of future legislation or regulation in the U.S. or overseas.

Some of our new bioscience applications, for example those aimed at healthcare, food preparation and agriculture markets, will also require approval by government agencies prior to marketing or sale in the U.S. or overseas. Until we, or our partners, obtain approvals from the appropriate regulatory authorities for future potential product applications, if any, we will not be able to market or sell such products, which would limit our revenues. Even after approval, if any, we will remain subject to changing governmental policies regulating antimicrobial products.

If we are not able to manage any growth we achieve effectively, we may not become profitable

If our efforts to achieve and maintain market acceptance of our SDC technology are successful, we will need to expand our business operations. There can be no assurance that our infrastructure will be sufficiently scalable to manage any future growth, or that we will have sufficient resources to do so. There also can be no assurance that if we continue to invest in additional infrastructure, we will be effective in expanding our operations or that our systems, procedures or controls will be adequate to support such expansion. In addition, we will need to provide additional sales and support services to our partners if we achieve our anticipated growth with respect to the sale of our SDC technology for various applications. Failure to properly manage any increase in customer demands could result in a material adverse effect on customer satisfaction, our ability to meet our contractual obligations, and on our operating results.

The industries in which we operate are heavily regulated and we may be unable to compete effectively

We are a bioscience company focused on the marketing and continued development of our electrolytically generated stabilized ionic silver technology, including our flagship SDC antimicrobial. The risks, regulatory hurdles and costs of doing business in our target markets are high. Our SDC is a platform technology rather than a single use applied technology. As such, products developed from the platform fall under the jurisdiction of multiple U.S. and international regulatory agencies. We currently have U.S. EPA registration for our 2400-parts per million (ppm) technical grade SDC concentrate (trade name Axenohl), as well as for our Axen and Axen30 hard surface disinfectant products. In addition, in August 2009, the EPA published an amendment to the Federal Register establishing a concentration limit for silver in end use solutions eligible for tolerance exemption, specifically in the form of silver dihydrogen citrate (SDC), of 50 parts per million (“ppm”). Concurrently with the new regulation, the EPA registered our 50 ppm indirect food contact surface sanitizer product. We subsequently submitted a registration to the EPA to add the food contact surface sanitizer claims to our previously-registered 30 ppm hard surface disinfectant formula. The EPA registered the disinfectant/sanitizer formula in April 2010, and we immediately filed a federal sub-registration

and subsequent state registrations for the disinfectant/sanitizer product to be sold as IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense for Food Contact Surfaces™. In addition to the Federal EPA, each of the 50 United States has its own government agencies that regulate the sale or shipment of our products into their state. Prior to distributing a product into any of these states, a registration from the state is required. There can be no guarantee that a particular state, or any state, will allow the continued sale of existing SDC-based products, or will allow the sale of any new applications of our products, in future periods.

We are responsible for the accuracy of any claims made by both ourselves and our partners or distributors related to SDC-based products, and their consistency with claims approved by the regulatory authorities, including the Federal EPA, any State, or any overseas regulatory authority. We have limited ability to monitor or regulate claims made by our partners and distributors, including but not limited to claims made via marketing materials, internet sites, by e-mail, or verbally. Failure by us or our partners to comply with approved label claims could result in fines, or to the withdrawal of approval for us or our partners and distributors to market our products, in any or all jurisdictions, and/or our failure to successfully commercialize SDC or otherwise achieve revenue growth.

We intend to fund and manage additional EPA-regulated product development internally, in conjunction with our regulatory consultants and by partnering with other third parties. We have also partnered, or intend to partner, with third parties who are seeking, or intend to seek, approvals to market SDC-based products in markets outside the U.S. However, the introduction of additional regulated antimicrobial products in the U.S., or in markets outside the U.S., could take several years, or may never be achieved. Existing state, federal or international approvals may not be maintained. Additionally, doing business internationally carries a great deal of risk with regard to foreign government regulation, banking, currency fluctuation, and many other factors.

We are subject to intense competition

Our SDC-based products compete in highly competitive markets dominated by extremely large, well financed domestically and internationally recognized chemical and pharmaceutical companies. Many of our competitors have greater financial resources than we do in all areas of our business, including sales, marketing, branding and product development, and we expect to face additional competition from these competitors in the future. Many of our competitors already have well established brands and distribution, and in some cases are able to leverage the sale of other products with more favorable terms for products competing with our own. Competition by existing or potential chemical and pharmaceutical manufacturers and distributors could substantially limit or eliminate our potential market share and ability to profit from our products and technologies. Our ability to compete will depend upon our ability, and the ability of our distributors and other partners, to develop brand recognition and novel distribution methods, and to displace existing, established and future products in our relevant target markets. We, or our distributors and partners, may not be successful and/or diligent in doing so.

Pricing and supply issues may have a material impact on our margins and our ability to supply our customers

All of the supply ingredients used to manufacture our products are available from multiple suppliers. However, commodity prices for some ingredients can vary significantly and the margins that we are able to generate could decline if prices rise. For example, both silver and citric acid prices have been volatile recently.

In addition to such commodities, for finished products we also rely on producers of specialized packaging inputs such as bottles and labels. Due to their specialized nature, the supply of such inputs can be periodically constrained, resulting in additional costs to obtain these items, which may in turn inhibit our ability to supply products that have been ordered from us.

In many of our distribution and development agreements, we are unable to raise our product prices to our customers quickly to maintain our margins, and significant price increases for key inputs could therefore have an adverse effect on our results of operations. Where we sell products directly to end-users, price increases can also result in lost sales. In addition, any inability to supply our customers' orders can lead to lost future sales to such customers.

While we expect to be the sole source supplier of SDC concentrate, in future periods we may use third parties to blend, package and provide fulfillment activities for our finished products. We expect that our margins would be reduced by using such third parties, and our ability to maintain product quality may not be as extensive or effective as when we produce these products in our own facility(ies). Any quality control issues could lead to product recalls and/or the loss of future sales, which would reduce our revenues and/or profits.

We are subject to substantial regulation related to quality standards applicable to our manufacturing and quality processes and our failure to comply with applicable quality standards could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations

The EPA regulates the registration, manufacturing, and sales and marketing of many of our products, and those of our distributors and partners, in the United States. Significant government regulation also exists in overseas markets. Compliance with applicable regulatory requirements is subject to continual review and is monitored through periodic inspections and other review and reporting mechanisms.

Failure by us or our partners to comply with current or future governmental regulations and quality assurance guidelines could lead to temporary manufacturing shutdowns, product recalls or related field actions, product shortages or delays in product manufacturing. Efficacy or safety concerns and/or manufacturing quality issues with respect to our products or those of our partners could lead to product recalls, fines, withdrawal of approvals, declining sales, and/or our failure to successfully commercialize SDC or otherwise achieve revenue growth.

In addition, the FDA and comparable agencies in many foreign countries impose substantial limitations on the introduction of new products through costly and time-consuming laboratory and clinical testing and other procedures. The process of obtaining FDA and other required regulatory approvals is lengthy, expensive and uncertain. There is no guarantee that we, or our partners, will be able to obtain the resources necessary to further develop our technology or obtain regulatory approvals, or that the products will be successful in meeting the strict criteria imposed by the FDA. It may be several years before we, or any third party to whom we grant rights to use our silver ion technologies, are able to introduce any FDA regulated antimicrobial pharmaceutical or medical device products containing our technology.

If a natural or man-made disaster strikes our manufacturing facility, we will be unable to manufacture our products for a substantial amount of time and our sales and profitability will decline

Our sole manufacturing facility and the manufacturing equipment we use to produce our products would be costly to replace and could require substantial lead-time to repair or replace. The facility may be affected by natural or

man-made disasters and in the event they were affected by a disaster, we would be forced to set up alternative production capacity, or rely on third party manufacturers to whom we would have to disclose our trade secrets. Although we possess insurance for damage to our property and the disruption of our business from casualties, such insurance may not be sufficient to cover all of our potential losses, may not continue to be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all, and may not address the marketing and goodwill consequences of our inability to provide products for an extended period of time.

If we are unable to successfully develop or commercialize new applications of our SDC technology, our operating results will suffer

In addition to its use on inanimate surfaces, we believe that our SDC technology also shows promise as a broad-spectrum antimicrobial for use in human and veterinary healthcare products. We or our partners plan to pursue additional EPA, FDA and other required regulatory approvals for other applications. We have entered into agreements with FTA Therapeutics (“FTA”) for the development and commercialization of certain FDA regulated SDC-based products. However, we do not exercise any control over FTA. FTA’s resources are limited and progress to date on all indications has been slow. Any products developed may never achieve regulatory approval and may never be commercialized. If they are commercialized, we may not receive a share of future revenues that provides an adequate return on our historical or future investment.

Our ability to generate increased revenue depends in part upon the ability and willingness of our current and potential strategic partners to increase awareness of our technology and its applications to their customers, and to provide implementation services.

During the Third Quarter we commenced selling our EPA-approved hard surface disinfectant under our own label, IV-7 Ultimate Germ Defense™ (“IV-7”) through an alliance with a Dallas-based sales and marketing organization, Richmond Sciences, LLC (“Richmont”), to commercial distributors and commercial customers. Additionally, beginning in May 2010, IV-7 is also sold to consumers via a separate newly established direct sales channel, by a newly formed company called IV-7 Direct, an affiliate of Richmont.

If Richmond or our other our strategic partners fail to generate sales of, or increase awareness of, our products or technology for any reason, or to assist us in getting access to decision-makers, then we may need to increase our marketing expenses, change our marketing strategy or enter into marketing relationships with different parties, any of which could impair our ability to generate increased revenue or to generate profits from our technology.

Because we are an early stage company, it is difficult to evaluate our prospects; our financial results may fluctuate and these fluctuations may cause our stock price to fall

Since acquiring the rights to our SDC technology, we have encountered and likely will continue to encounter risks and difficulties associated with introducing or establishing our products in rapidly evolving markets. These risks include the following, among others:

- we may not increase our sales to our existing customers and expand our customer base;
- we may not succeed in maintaining or expanding our current sales and in penetrating other markets and applications of our SDC technology;
- we or our partners and/or distributors may not establish and maintain effective marketing programs and create product awareness or brand identity;
 - our partners' and/or distributors' goals and objectives may not be consistent with our own;
- we may not attract and retain key business development, technical and management personnel;
 - we may not succeed in locating strategic partners and licensees of our technology;
 - we may not effectively manage our anticipated growth; and
 - we may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property.

In addition, because of our limited operating history and the early stage of market development for our SDC technology, we have limited insight into trends that may emerge and affect our business. Forecasting future revenues is difficult, especially since our technology is novel, and market acceptance of our products could change rapidly. In addition, our customer base is highly concentrated. Fluctuations in the buying patterns of our current or potential customers could significantly affect the level of our sales on a period to period basis. As a result, our financial results could fluctuate to an extent that may not meet market expectations and that also may adversely affect our stock price. There are a number of other factors that could cause our financial results to fluctuate unexpectedly, including product sales, the mix of product sales, the cost of product sales, our ability for any reason to be able to meet demand, the achievement and timing of research and development and regulatory milestones, changes in expenses including non-cash expenses such as the fair value of stock options granted, and manufacturing or supply issues, among other issues.

We have no product distribution experience and we expect to rely on third parties who may not successfully sell our products

We have no product distribution experience and currently rely and plan to rely primarily on product distribution arrangements and/or sales and marketing services provided by third parties. We have licensed or plan to license our technology to certain third parties for commercialization of multiple applications. We expect to enter into additional distribution agreements and licensing agreements in the future, and we may not be able to enter into these additional agreements on terms that are favorable to us, if at all. In addition, we may have limited or no control over the distribution activities of these third parties. These third parties could sell competing products and/or may devote

insufficient sales efforts to our products. As a result, our future revenues from sales of our products, if any, will depend on the success of the efforts of these third parties.

We expect to rely on third parties to develop SDC-based products and they may not do so successfully or diligently

We rely in part on third parties to whom we license rights to our technology to develop products containing SDC for many of the applications for which we believe SDC-based products have, or may have, market opportunities. Generally, under our contractual relationships with these third parties, we rely on the third party to fund and direct product development activities and appropriate regulatory filings. Any of these third parties may not be able to successfully develop such SDC-based products, due to, among other factors, a lack of capital; a lack of appropriate diligence; a change in the evaluation by the third party of the market potential for SDC-based products; technical failures; and poorer than expected test results resulting from trial use of any products that may be developed.

If we are unable to obtain, maintain or defend patent and other intellectual property ownership rights relating to our technology, we or our collaborators and distributors may not be able to develop and market products based on our technology, which would have a material adverse impact on our results of operations

We rely and expect in the future to rely on a combination of patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright protections, and contractual restrictions, to protect the proprietary aspects of our technology and business. These legal protections afford only limited protection for our intellectual property and trade secrets. Despite efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our proprietary technology or otherwise obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. As a result, we cannot assure you that our means of protecting our proprietary rights will be adequate, and the infringement of such rights could have a material negative impact on our business and on our results of operations.

We have filed for U.S. and foreign patent applications and trademark registrations for our patents and trademarks. We may not be successful in obtaining these patents and trademarks, and we may be unable to obtain additional patent and trademark protection in the future. Furthermore, legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of intellectual property rights are uncertain. It is possible that, despite our efforts, competitors or others will create and use products in violation of our patents and/or adopt service names similar to our service names or otherwise misappropriate our intellectual property. Such patent infringement or misappropriation could have a material adverse effect on our business. Any unauthorized production of our SDC-based products, whether in the U.S. or overseas, would or could reduce our own sales of SDC-based products, thereby reducing, perhaps significantly, our actual or potential profits. Adopting similar names and trademarks by competitors could lead to customer confusion. Any claims or customer confusion related to our trademarks could negatively affect our business.

Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights and protect our trade secrets. If third parties prepare and file applications in the U.S. or other countries that claim trademarks used or registered by us, we may oppose those applications and may be required to participate in proceedings before the regulatory agencies who determine priority of rights to such trademarks. Any litigation or adverse priority proceeding could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, and could seriously harm our business and operating results.

If we are found to have violated the trademark, trade secret, copyright, patent or other intellectual property rights of others, such a finding could result in the need to cease use of a trademark, trade secret, copyrighted work or patented invention in our business and the obligation to pay a substantial amount for past infringement. It could also be necessary for us to pay a substantial amount in the future if the rights holders are willing to permit us to continue to use the intellectual property rights. Either having to cease use or pay such amounts could make us much less competitive and could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition.

To the extent that we operate internationally, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to the extent as do the laws of the U.S. Many countries have a “first-to-file” trademark registration system. As a result, we may be prevented from registering or using our trademarks in certain countries if third parties have previously filed applications to register or have registered the same or similar trademarks. Our means of protecting our proprietary rights may not be adequate, and our competitors, or potential competitors, could independently develop similar technology.

We may become subject to product liability claims

As a business which manufactures and markets products for use by consumers and institutions, we may become liable for any damage caused by our products, whether used in the manner intended or not. Any such claim of liability, whether meritorious or not, could be time-consuming and/or result in costly litigation. Although we maintain general liability insurance, our insurance may not cover potential claims of the types described above and may not be adequate to indemnify for all liabilities that may be imposed. Any imposition of liability that is not covered by insurance or is in excess of insurance coverage could harm our business and operating results, and you may lose some or all of any investment you have made, or may make, in our common stock.

Litigation or the actions of regulatory authorities may harm our business or otherwise distract our management

Substantial, complex or extended litigation could cause us to incur major expenditures and would distract our management. For example, lawsuits by employees, former employees, shareholders, partners, customers, or others, or actions taken by regulatory authorities, could be very costly and substantially disrupt our business. Such lawsuits or actions could from time to time be filed against us and/or our executive officers and directors. Such lawsuits and actions are not uncommon, and we cannot assure you that we will always be able to resolve such disputes or actions on terms favorable to us, or that there will be sufficient capital resources available to defend such actions.

Maintaining compliance with our obligations as a public company may strain our resources and distract management, and if we do not remain compliant our stock price may be adversely affected

Our common stock is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). It is therefore subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading and other restrictions and requirements of the SEC under the Exchange Act. The SEC continues to issue new and proposed rules, and complying with existing and new rules has resulted, and will continue to result, in the requirement for us to devote significant financial and other resources in order for us to maintain our status as a public company. In addition, in April 2008 we obtained a listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market, adding the additional cost and administrative burden of maintaining such a listing. These additional regulatory costs and requirements will reduce our future profits or increase our future losses, and more management time and effort will be needed to meet our regulatory obligations than was needed prior to 2008.

We are required to evaluate our internal controls systems in order to allow management to report on our internal controls as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our management is required to attest to, and have our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm attest to, the adequacy of our internal controls. Recent SEC pronouncements suggest that in the next several years we may be required to report our financial results using new International Financial Reporting Standards, replacing GAAP, which would require us to make significant investments in training, hiring, consulting and information technology, among other investments. All of these and other reporting requirements and heightened corporate governance obligations that we face, or will face, will further increase the cost to us, perhaps substantially, of remaining compliant with our obligations under the Exchange Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In order to meet these incremental obligations, we will need to invest in our corporate and accounting infrastructure and systems, and acquire additional services from third party auditors and advisors. As a result of these requirements and investments, we will incur significant additional expenses and will suffer a significant diversion of management's time. There is no guarantee that we will be able to continue to meet these obligations in a timely manner, and we could therefore be subject to sanctions or investigation, or the delisting of our common stock, by regulatory authorities such as the SEC or the NASDAQ Capital Market. Any such actions could adversely affect our financial results and the market price of our common stock, perhaps significantly.

Our publicly-filed reports are reviewed from time to time by the SEC, and any significant changes or amendments required as a result of any such review may result in material liability to us and may have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock

The reports and other securities filings of publicly-traded companies are subject to review by the SEC from time to time for the purpose of assisting companies in complying with applicable disclosure requirements, and the SEC is required, pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to undertake a comprehensive review of a company's reports at least once every three years. SEC reviews may be initiated at any time. While we believe that our previously filed SEC reports comply, and we intend that all future reports will comply, in all material respects with the published rules and regulations of the SEC, we could be required to modify, amend or reformulate information contained in prior filings as a result of any SEC review. Any modification, amendment or reformulation of information contained in such reports could be significant and result in material liability to us and have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.

We are dependent on our management team, and the loss of any key member of this team may prevent us from achieving our business plan in a timely manner

Our success depends largely upon the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel. Our executive officers and key personnel could terminate their employment with us at any time without penalty. We do not maintain key person life insurance policies on our executive officers or other employees, other than Michael L. Krall, our President and Chief Executive Officer. The policy we have on Mr. Krall would likely not provide a benefit sufficient to offset the financial losses resulting from the loss of Mr. Krall's future services. The loss of one or more of our executive officers or key employees could seriously harm our business, results of operations, financial condition, and/or the market price of our common stock. We cannot assure you that in such an event we would be able to recruit qualified personnel able to replace these individuals in a timely manner, or at all, on terms acceptable to either us or any qualified candidates.

Because competition for highly qualified business development and bioengineering personnel is intense, we may not be able to attract and retain the employees we need to support our planned growth

To successfully meet our objectives, we must continue to attract and retain highly qualified business development and bioengineering personnel with specialized skill sets focused on the industries in which we compete, or intend to compete. Competition for qualified business development and bioengineering personnel can be intense. Our ability to meet our business development objectives will depend in part on our ability to recruit, train and retain top quality people with advanced skills who understand our technology and business. In addition, it takes time for our new personnel to become productive and to learn our business. If we are unable to hire or retain qualified business development and bioengineering personnel, it will be difficult for us to sell our products or to license our technology, or to achieve or maintain regulatory approvals, and we may experience a shortfall in revenue and not achieve our anticipated growth.

Anti-takeover provisions under our charter documents and California law could delay or prevent a change of control and could also limit the market price of our stock

Certain provisions of our charter and by-laws may delay or frustrate the removal of incumbent directors and may prevent or delay a merger, tender offer, or proxy contest involving us that is not approved by our Board of Directors (the "Board"), even if such events may be beneficial to the interests of shareholders. For example, our Board, without shareholder approval, has the authority and power to issue all authorized and unissued shares of common stock which have not otherwise been reserved for issuance, on such terms as the Board determines. The Board could also issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock on terms determined by the Board, and such preferred stock could have voting or conversion rights which could adversely affect the voting power of the holders of our common stock. In addition, California law contains provisions that have the effect of making it more difficult for others to gain control of the Company.

The price of our common stock may be volatile, which may cause investment losses for our shareholders

Since our initial public offering in August 1996, the price and trading volume of our common stock have been volatile, and such volatility has been particularly high since April 2010. From August 1996 to March 2010 the price of our common stock ranged from below \$1 per share to over \$8 per share, and the monthly trading volume varied from under 200,000 shares to over 7.8 million shares. Since April 1, 2010, the closing price of our common stock on any given day has ranged from \$1.61 to \$3.50 per share. In April and May 2010, aggregate trading volume was 42.7 million, an average of over 1 million shares per day. In the future, the market price of our common stock may continue to be volatile and could fluctuate substantially due to many factors, including:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE - Form 10-Q

- the introduction of new products or services, or product or service enhancements by us or our competitors;
- developments with respect to our or our competitors' intellectual property rights or regulatory approvals or denials;
 - announcements of significant acquisitions or other agreements by us or our competitors;
- the sale by us of our common or preferred stock or other securities, or the anticipation of sales of such securities;
 - sales or anticipated sales of our common stock by our insiders (management and directors);
 - the trading volume of our common stock, particularly if such volume is light;
 - conditions and trends in our industry;
 - changes in our pricing policies or the pricing policies of our competitors;
 - changes in the estimation of the future size and growth of our markets and, among other factors;
 - general economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general, the NASDAQ Capital Market, and the market for shares of novel technology and biotechnology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. Further, the market prices of bioscience companies have been unusually volatile in the last year, and such unusual volatility may continue for the foreseeable future. These broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholder derivative lawsuits and securities class action litigation have often been instituted against that company. Such litigation, if instituted against the Company or our officers and directors, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources. In addition, this volatility could adversely affect an investor's ability to sell shares of our common stock and/or the available price for such shares, and could result in lower prices being available to an investor if the investor wishes to sell their shares at any given time.

Our future capital needs are uncertain, and we currently expect that we will need additional funds in the future which may not be available on acceptable terms or at all

Our capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, among other factors:

- the acceptance of, and demand for, our products;
- the success of our strategic partners in developing and selling products derived from our technology;
- the costs of further developing our existing, and developing new, products or technologies;
 - the extent to which we invest in new technology, testing and product development;
- the timing of vendor payments and of the collection of receivables, among other factors affecting our working capital;
 - the exercise of outstanding options or warrants to acquire our common stock;
 - the number and timing of acquisitions and other strategic transactions, if any; and
- the costs associated with the continued operation, and any future growth, of our business.

We do not currently believe that our existing cash resources are sufficient to meet our anticipated needs during the next twelve months and as a result, we expect that we will need to increase our liquidity and capital resources by one or more measures, which may include reducing operating expenses, raising additional financing in future periods through the issuance of debt, equity, or convertible securities, entering into partnership, license, or other arrangements with third parties, reducing the exercise price of outstanding warrants, or through other means, any one of which could reduce the value to us, perhaps substantially, of our technology and its commercial potential. Such funds may not be available on favorable terms, or at all. Insufficient funds would result in a material adverse effect on our business and operations and could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements, and further may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and product development programs, license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, or to reduce or cease operations. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model to reduce spending to a sustainable level. Such modification of our business model could also result in an impairment of assets which cannot be determined at this time. Furthermore, if we issue equity or convertible debt securities to raise additional funds, our existing shareholders may experience dilution, and in addition the new equity or debt securities may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our existing shareholders. If we incur additional debt, it may increase our leverage relative to our earnings or to our equity capitalization.

We may not be able to maintain our NASDAQ listing

In April 2008, we obtained a listing for our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market. In order to maintain our listing, we will need to continue to meet certain minimum listing standards that include, or may include, our shareholders' equity, the market value of our listed or publicly held securities, the number of publicly held shares, our net income, a minimum bid price for our common stock, the number of shareholders, the number of market makers, and certain of our corporate governance policies. If we fail to maintain the standards required now or in future by the NASDAQ Capital Market, our common stock could be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market. Such delisting could cause our stock to be classified as "penny stock," among other potentially detrimental consequences, any of which could significantly impact your ability to sell your shares or to sell your shares at a price that you may deem to be acceptable.

If outstanding options and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock are exercised, or if other remaining authorized shares of our common stock are issued, the interests of our shareholders could be diluted

We have 7,937,079 shares of common stock reserved for issuance, which includes shares under equity compensation plans, vested and unvested options, warrants, and unvested restricted stock. The outstanding stock options and warrants have a weighted-average exercise price of approximately \$2.41. In addition, 6,786,314 authorized shares of our common stock remain available for future issuance under equity compensation plans or otherwise. The exercise of options and warrants, and the sale of shares underlying such options or warrants, could have an adverse effect on the market for our common stock, including the price that an investor could obtain for their shares. Investors may experience dilution in the net tangible book value of their investment upon the exercise of outstanding options and warrants granted under our stock option plans, and options and warrants yet to be granted or issued.

We may not be able to utilize all of, or any of, our tax net operating loss carry-forwards and our future after-tax earnings, if any, could be reduced

At April 30, 2010, we had federal and California tax net operating loss carry-forwards of approximately \$50 million and \$40 million, respectively. Utilization of these net operating loss carry-forwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to ownership change limitations that may have occurred or that could occur in the future, as required by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code as well as similar state provisions. These ownership changes may limit the amount of net operating loss carry-forwards that can be utilized annually to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code results from a transaction or series of transactions over a three-year period resulting in an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points of the outstanding stock of a company by certain stockholders or public groups. Since the Company's formation, we have raised capital through the issuance of capital stock on several occasions (both before and after our initial public offering in 1996) which, combined with the purchasing shareholders' subsequent disposition of those shares, may have resulted in such an ownership change, or could result in an ownership change in the future upon subsequent disposition. While we believe that the Company has not experienced an ownership change, the pertinent tax rules related thereto are complex and subject to varying interpretations, and thus complete assurance cannot be provided that the taxing authorities would not take an alternative position.

In addition, our federal tax loss carry-forwards began expiring in the current fiscal year, and will completely expire in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2028. Between July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2012, \$3,323,800 of our federal net operating loss carry-forwards will expire, and the balance of our current federal net operating loss carry-forwards will expire between July 31, 2018 and July 31, 2028. Our California tax loss carry-forwards will begin to expire in the year ending July 31, 2014, and will completely expire in the fiscal year ending July 31, 2029. If we are unable to earn sufficient profits to utilize the carry-forwards by these dates, they will no longer be available to offset future profits, if any.

We are subject to tax audits by various tax authorities in multiple jurisdictions

From time to time we may be audited by tax authorities to whom we are subject. Any assessment resulting from such audits, if any, could result in material changes to our past or future taxable income, tax payable or deferred tax assets, and could require us to pay penalties and interest that could materially adversely affect our financial results.

We may never pay dividends

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The future payment of dividends on our common stock, if any, is dependent on the discretion of our Board, our earnings, our financial condition and other business and economic factors which our Board may consider relevant.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

In May 2010, we issued an aggregate of 61,200 shares of restricted stock to three of our independent directors, and a ten year option to purchase 30,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, to one of our independent directors. The options and the restricted shares vest in full after one year. In addition, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 360,000 shares at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, to our three executive officers. These options have a ten year term and vest annually in equal increments over four years.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

A. Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed as part of this report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

31.1 -- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

31.2 -- Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

32.1 -- Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

32.2 -- Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

* Filed herewith.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PURE Bioscience

By:

/s/ Michael L. Krall
Michael L. Krall
President / Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
June 9, 2010

By:

/s/ Andrew J. Buckland
Andrew J. Buckland
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)
June 9, 2010

27
