EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR December 01, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21147

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02109 (Zip code)

Alan R. Dynner The Eaton Vance Building, 255 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (617) 482-8260

Date of fiscal year September 30

end:

Date of reporting period: September 30, 2005

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Annual Report September 30, 2005

EATON VANCE INSURED MUNICIPAL BOND FUNDS

CLOSED-END FUNDS:	
Insured Municipal	
Insured California	
Insured New York	

IMPORTANT NOTICES REGARDING PRIVACY, DELIVERY OF SHAREHOLDER DOCUMENTS, PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS AND PROXY VOTING

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ("Privacy Policy") with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer's account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker/dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Boston Management and Research, and Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

In addition, our Privacy Policy only applies to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer's account (i.e. fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial adviser/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such adviser's privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures.

For more information about Eaton Vance's Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called "householding" and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders.

Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial adviser, otherwise.

If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial adviser.

Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial adviser.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and it's underlying Portfolio (if applicable) will file a schedule of its portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds' and Portfolios' Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to Portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122. This description is also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Ester Versa Legeral Municipal Band Funds og of Sontombor 20, 2005
Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Funds as of September 30, 2005
LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS
Cynthia J. Clemson
Robert B. MacIntosh
Like all fixed-income markets, the municipal bond market responds to many factors, from changes in interest rates and economic trends to fluctuations in municipal bond issuance. Another key variable is the policy of the Federal Reserve,
the nation s central bank, known popularly as The Fed. The Fed s policies are important to the nation s economy and
markets.
Founded in the wake of crisis, the Federal Reserve plays a vital role
The Federal Reserve System was established by the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 in the wake of a series of financial crises, the most recent of which the Panic of 1907 had caused bank failures, a rash of bankruptcies, a dramatic loss of
confidence and a severe economic downturn. Congress was determined to create a central bank that provided a vigilant monetary policy, price stability, a more elastic currency and more careful supervision over the nation s banks.

The Open Market Committee: influencing the money supply and credit conditions...

The Fed has a number of tools at its disposal to adjust monetary policy. Of these, the most commonly used tools are open market operations. The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) meets regularly to review inflation, credit conditions and the overall health of the economy. The Fed uses its own research, as well as that of other key economic agencies, to review its various policy options. Treasury, corporate and municipal bond investors alike eagerly await the transcripts of FOMC meetings for a hint of future interest rate trends.

If it deems a change necessary in short-term rates, the Fed will announce an adjustment to its target for the Federal Funds rate its primary market instrument. To effect that change, the FOMC issues a directive to the trading desk of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, whose responsibility it is to implement the policy.

Open market operations:

The Fed intervenes...

If the Fed sees weakness in the economy and little threat of inflation, it may make outright purchases of Treasury securities either from the street or privately from foreign central banks thus adding reserves to the banking system. This action tends to lower interest rates, increase loans and stimulate economic activity. In so doing, the Fed is said to be *easing* monetary policy.

On the other hand, if the Fed sees the economy overheating and inflation looming, it may sell Treasury securities, thus draining reserves from the system. This action tends to raise rates, discourage consumer and business borrowing and dampen economic activity. In this case, the Fed is said to be *tightening* monetary policy.

While changes in Fed policy primarily affect short-term rates, long-term rates are determined by inflationary expectations. However, the Fed s actions can have a significant effect on market psychology and, over time, impact market rates across the borrowing spectrum for homebuyers seeking mortgages, businesses seeking bank loans and municipal bond issuers.

Fed-watching: A continuing pre-occupation of the market...

Interpreting the Federal Reserve s actions has long been of keen interest to bond market investors. Until the mid-1990s, analysts needed to keep daily tabs on bank reserves and the Fed s daily open market activity to determine a change in monetary policy. Starting in February 1994, however, the Fed began to indicate specifically its target Federal Funds rate. That

marked a significant change, as analysts were now free to focus less on current policy and more on future potential changes in policy.

Throughout its history, the Federal Reserve has contributed to a more stable and safer monetary system. As that history unfolds, investors will surely continue to monitor its activities closely.

Sincerely,

Cynthia J. Clemson Co-Director Municipal Investments Robert B. MacIntosh Co-Director Municipal Investments

November 9, 2005

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

MARKET RECAP

The U.S. economy continued to generate moderate growth during the year ended September 30, 2005, although surging energy prices and high interest rates were a continuing concern for investors. Late in the period, the economy was faced with new challenges resulting from Hurricane Katrina and its potential impact on energy and commodity supplies.

The economy has remained on solid footing in 2005...

The nation s Gross Domestic Product grew at a rate of 3.8% in the third quarter of 2005, according to preliminary Commerce Department figures, following gains of 3.3% in the second quarter and 3.8% in the first quarter. Manufacturing reported a mixed picture, with overall factory activity accelerating somewhat, while areas such as durable goods, metals and textiles remained weak. Consumer spending declined, as soaring energy costs took their toll. While the housing sector showed signs of strain in selected markets due to rising interest rates, the overall housing market remained strong.

With consumers tightening their belts, the burden shifted to businesses. However, the outlook for capital spending was clouded, as businesses remained wary of investing in new equipment, facilities and software in a period of rising energy costs and higher interest rates.

Gulf Coast state economies were dealt a severe blow by Hurricane Katrina...

In the waning days of the fiscal year, Hurricane Katrina struck states along the Gulf Coast. The storm inflicted a catastrophic blow to Louisiana and less dramatic damage on Mississippi, Alabama and Florida. While the economies of the affected states will no doubt suffer in the short run, the national economy is also likely to feel some impact from damage to key ports and oil refineries. The pace of the region s recovery is unclear at this writing, and will likely remain a concern, especially as heating fuel demand rises in coming months.

Municipal bond yields exceeded Treasury yields

*GO yields are a compilation of a representative variety of general obligations and are not necessarily representative of a fund	s yield.	Statistics

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Source: Bloomberg, L.P.

as of September 30, 2005.

The Federal Reserve continued its policy of tightening credit during the fiscal year...

Principal and interest payments of Treasury securities are guaranteed by the U.S. government.

Inflation accelerated somewhat during the period, an increase apparent not only in core energy costs, but also in finished products, a sign that producers are passing their higher energy costs along to consumers. The Federal Reserve hiked short-term interest rates, suggesting it will continue to raise rates in an effort to keep the economy from growing too quickly and keep inflation under control. Beginning in June 2004, the Fed increased its Federal Funds rate a key short-term interest rate barometer on twelve consecutive occasions, raising that benchmark from 1.00% to 4.00%, including its most recent rate hike in November 2005.

Against this backdrop, the municipal bond market generated solid gains for the period. For the year ended September 30, 2005, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged market index of municipal bonds had a total return of 4.05%.*

The views expressed throughout this report are those of the various portfolio managers and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as

^{*} It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.

investment advice and, because investment decisions for an Eaton Vance fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund.

INVESTMENT UPDATE
The Fund
Based on share price (the Fund is a closed-end fund traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 14.98% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$13.95 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.05 on September 30, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.926 in monthly dividends.(1)
Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 10.70% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.75 on September 30, 2004 to \$15.32 on September 30, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions.
For comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged market index of municipal bonds had a total return of 4.05% for the year ended September 30, 2005.(2)
Based on the last dividend of the fiscal year and a share price of \$15.05, the Fund had a market yield of 5.92% at September 30, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.11%.(4)
Robert B. MacIntosh became portfolio manager of the Fund upon Thomas J. Fetter s retirement on November 1, 2005.
Rating Distribution(5),(6)
By total investments

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR
* Private insurance does not decrease the risk of principal fluctuations associated with this investment.
Robert B. MacIntosh
Portfolio Manager
Management Discussion
The U.S. economy continued to grow, although rising energy costs and a severe hurricane season hurt consumer spending. Manufacturing was uneven, especially the struggling auto industry. Construction remained a bright spot. The U.S. jobless rate was 5.1% in September 2005, down from 5.4% a year ago.
Insured* transportation bonds represented the Fund s largest sector weighting at September 30, 2005. The Fund investments included issuers that have responsibility for highways, turnpikes, monorails and marine terminals. Because they are backed by user fees, the bonds enjoy a regular revenue source.
Insured* general obligations (GOs) remained large investments for the Fund. The Fund s investments included GOs of states, large cities and school districts we believe are in sound financial condition and have relatively strong

economic fundamentals.

S

Some coupons in the 5.00% to 5.25% range were among the Fund s lagging performers, as these current coupon bonds were less attractive to investors. In addition, with spreads narrowing, the Fund was helped somewhat by its several investments in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds.

At September 30, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 36% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Fund performance during certain periods reflects the strong bond market performance and/or the strong performance of bonds held during those periods. This performance is not typical and may not be repeated. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information

as of September 30, 2005

Performance(7)

Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)	
One Year	14.98%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	8.26
Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)	
One Year	10.70%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	8.89

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax. Income may be subject to state income tax.

- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend of the fiscal year per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 35.00% federal income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

⁽²⁾ It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005	
INVESTMENT UPDATE	
The Fund	
Based on share price (the Fund is a closed-end fund traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 7.77% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in share price from \$13.73 on September 30, 2004 to \$13.92 on September 30, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.853 in monthly dividends.(1)	
Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 9.58% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.25 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.69 on September 30, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions.	
For comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged market index of municipal bonds had a total return of 4.05% for the year ended September 30, 2005.(2)	
Based on the last dividend of the fiscal year and a share price of \$13.92, the Fund had a market yield of 5.56% at September 30, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.43%.(4)	
Rating Distribution(5),(6)	
By total investments	

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR
* Private insurance does not decrease the risk of principal fluctuations associated with this investment.
Cynthia J. Clemson
Portfolio Manager
Management Discussion
California s job growth strengthened in 2005, with employment rising above the pre-2001 recession peak. The construction sector was the primary engine of growth, generating nearly 60% of new jobs. Financial services and retail sectors also generated strong job creation. The state s September 2005 jobless rate was 5.1%, down from 6.1% a year
ago.
Insured* general obligations (GOs) constituted the Fund s largest sector weighting at September 30, 2005. With its financial profile having improved, the state s credit rating was upgraded in the summer of 2005. The Fund s investments were diversified among local school district and community college district bonds, which have benefited

from an improved economy.

Insured* lease revenue/certificates of participation bonds were major holdings. These bonds provided lease financing for various municipal projects, including a civic center, a medical treatment facility and a public administration building.

Management continued to look for relative value opportunities in the market. The Fund continued to be very diversified with regard to issuer and coupons. Over the past fiscal year, some 5.00% coupons and zero coupons have lagged in performance.

At September 30, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 38% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information

as of September 30, 2005

Performance(7)

Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)	
One Year	7.77%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	5.45
Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)	
One Year	9.58%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	7.30

⁽¹⁾ A portion of the Fund's income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax and state income tax.

- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund s market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend of the fiscal year per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 41.05% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005	
INVESTMENT UPDATE	
The Fund	
Based on share price (the Fund is a closed-end fund traded on the American Stock Exchange), the Fund had a total return of 4.88% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of a decrease in share price from \$13.86 on September 30, 2004 to \$13.68 on September 30, 2005 and the reinvestment of \$0.844 in monthly dividends.(1)	
Based on net asset value, the Fund had a total return of 8.77% for the year ended September 30, 2005. That return was the result of an increase in net asset value per share from \$14.39 on September 30, 2004 to \$14.73 on September 30, 2005, and the reinvestment of all distributions.	
For comparison, the Lehman Brothers Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged market index of municipal bonds had a total return of 4.05% for the year ended September 30, 2005.(2)	
Based on the last dividend of the fiscal year and a share price of \$13.68, the Fund had a market yield of 5.60% at September 30, 2005.(3) The Fund s market yield is equivalent to a taxable yield of 9.33%.(4)	
Craig Brandon became portfolio manager of the Fund upon Thomas J. Fetter s retirement on November 1, 2005.	
Rating Distribution(5),(6)	
By total investments	

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR
* Private insurance does not decrease the risk of principal fluctuations associated with this investment.
Craig Brandon
Portfolio Manager
Management Discussion
New York s job outlook improved in 2005. The service sector remained the primary source of job creation, with housing and construction also providing a lift. The manufacturing sector continued its slump, although the pace of job loss was less dramatic than in recent years. The state s September 2005 jobless rate was 5.2%, down from 5.6% a year ago.
Insured* private education bonds were a major investment for the Fund. Investments included industrial development agency bonds and dormitory authority bonds for some of the state s leading undergraduate and law school programs.
Insured* transportation bonds were the Fund s largest sector weightings at September, 2005. Investments focused

on a bridge and tunnel authority, New York City s rapid transit system and the City s metropolitan port authority.

Management continued to emphasize relative value to enhance income potential. Adjustments to coupon structure included the addition of zero-coupon bonds and updated call protection to improve the Fund supside potential. Although the Fund outpaced its benchmark, performance was constrained by its low weighting of lower-rated, investment-grade bonds.

At September 30, 2005, the Fund had leverage in the amount of approximately 37% of the Fund s total assets. The Fund uses leverage through the issuance of preferred shares. Use of financial leverage creates an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of common shares).

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or share price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, the Fund s current performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return.

Fund Information

as of September 30, 2005

Performance(7)

Average Annual Total Return (by share price, American Stock Exchange)	
One Year	4.88%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	4.78
Average Annual Total Return (by net asset value)	
One Year	8.77%
Life of Fund (8/30/02)	7.31

- (1) A portion of the Fund s income may be subject to federal income tax and/or alternative minimum tax and state income tax.
- (2) It is not possible to invest directly in an Index. The Index s total return does not reflect the expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in the Index.
- (3) The Fund's market yield is calculated by dividing the last dividend of the fiscal year per share by the share price at the end of the period and annualizing the result.
- (4) Taxable-equivalent yield assumes a maximum 40.01% combined federal and state income tax rate. A lower tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure.
- (5) Rating Distribution may not be representative of the Fund s current or future investments.
- (6) Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of the issues by the total investments of the Fund.
- (7) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in share price or net asset value with all distributions reinvested. Performance results reflect the effect of leverage resulting from the Fund s issuance of Auction Preferred Shares.

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

	Tax-Exempt Investments - 162.7%		
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
(000's offitted)	Escrowed / Prerefunded - 3.6%	Security	v aruc
	Escioned, Francial de Cion	Golden Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA,	
		Prerefunded to 6/1/10, 5.375%,	
\$	32,500	6/1/28	\$ 35,490,325
	General Obligations - 9.5%		\$ 35,490,325
\$	10,000	California, 4.75%, 6/1/35	\$ 10,034,000
Ψ	15,175	California, 5.00%, 6/1/34	15,733,288
	12,500	California, 5.55%, 4/1/30	13,301,500
	3,750	California, 5.25%, 4/1/34	3,972,712
	13,250	California, 5.50%, 11/1/33	14,517,097
		New York City, NY, 5.25%,	
	19,500	1/15/33	20,566,650
		Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority,	
		Commonwealth Guaranteed, 5.25%,	
	15,000	7/1/29	15,916,950
	Hospital - 4.7%		\$ 94,042,197
	Hospital - 4.1%	California Health Facilities Financing Authority,	
\$	11,000	(Cedars Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 11,217,580
Ψ	11,000	California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	φ 11,217,500
		(Daughters of Charity Health	
	3,000	System), 5.25%, 7/1/35	3,075,270
		Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority,	
	6,200	(Cooper Health), 5.75%, 2/15/34	6,531,452
		Cuyahoga County, OH,	
	2,600	(Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.50%, 1/1/29	2,759,640
		Hawaii Pacific Health, 5.60%,	
	3,900	7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health	4,064,034
		Facilities Authority,	
		(Adventist Health System), 5.375%,	
	5,525	11/15/35 Lehigh County, PA, General	5,770,752
		Purpose Authority,	
		(Lehigh Valley Health Network),	
	8,500	5.25%, 7/1/32 South Miami, FL, Health Facility	8,777,780
		Authority,	
	2,500	(Baptist Health), 5.25%, 11/15/33 Washington County Hospital, AR,	2,591,375
		(Washington Regional Medical	4.400.100
	1,400	Center), 5.00%, 2/1/30	1,400,420

			\$ 46,188,303
Insured-	Electric Utilities - 14.4%		,,
		Burlington, KS, PCR, (Kansas	
\$	13,000	Gas & Electric Co.), (MBIA), 5.30%, 6/1/31	\$ 13,928,850
Ψ	13,000	Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1,	φ 13,926,630
	21,355	(Columbia River), (MBIA), 0.00%, 6/1/27	7.185.744
	21,555	Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Electric System Revenue,	7,103,744
	9,000	(FSA), 4.75%, 10/1/34	9,090,810
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Insured-Electric Utilities (continued)		M · C · AZ D II ·	
		Maricopa County, AZ, Pollution Control Corp.,	
\$	18,000	(El Paso Electric Co.), (FGIC), 4.80%, 8/1/40	\$ 18,079,380
Ψ	10,000	Municipal Energy Agency, NE, (Power Supply System),	ψ 10,072,300
	2,625	(FSA), 5.00%, 4/1/36	2,726,246
		Omaha Public, NE, Power District,	
	25,000	(FGIC), 4.25%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	23,693,750
		South Carolina Public Service Authority, (FSA),	
	60,755	5.125%, 1/1/37	63,203,426
		Southern Minnesota Municipal Power Agency, (MBIA),	
	10,650	0.00%, 1/1/22	5,085,588
Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 2.7%			\$ 142,993,794
		Detroit, MI, School District, Prerefunded to 5/1/13,	
\$	10,000	(FGIC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 ⁽²⁾	\$ 10,899,700
		Detroit, MI, School District, Prerefunded to 5/1/13,	
	14,375	(FGIC), 5.25%, 5/1/28 ⁽²⁾	15,904,644
			\$ 26,804,344
Insured-General Obligations - 29.4%		Alvin, TX, Independent School	
		District,	
\$	3,975	(MBIA), 3.25%, 2/15/27 California, (XLCA), 5.00%,	\$ 3,209,812
	60,000	10/1/28 Chicago, IL, Board of Education,	61,839,600
	15,530	(Chicago School Reform), (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/30	4,569,547
	-2,000	Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (Chicago School Reform),	.,00,011
	41,300	(FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/21	19,671,603
	10,000	Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/31	2,788,600
	10,500	Chicago, IL, Board of Education, (FGIC), 0.00%, 12/1/29	3,243,450
		Florida Department of Transportation, (Right of Way),	
	16,115	(FSA), 5.00%, 7/1/31	16,945,889

	Florida Department of Transportation, (Right of Way),	
16,920	(FSA), 5.00%, 7/1/32	17,785,458
	Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (MBIA),	
11,790	4.00%, 7/15/36	10,364,707
13,180	Georgia, (MBIA), 2.00%, 9/1/24 Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL, School	8,966,354
20,425	District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/21	10,070,955
	Kane, Cook and Du Page Counties, IL, School	
50,650	District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	23,692,044
19,500	Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.25%, 8/1/28	22,191,780
17,500	Navasota, TX, Independent School District,	22,171,700
4,580	(FGIC), 4.50%, 8/15/30	4,456,065
	New Caney, TX, Independent School District,	
4,945	(FSA), 4.75%, 2/15/35	4,964,285
13,000	Philadelphia, PA, School District, (FGIC), 5.25%, 6/1/34	13,909,350
8,925	Phoenix, AZ, (AMBAC), 3.00%, 7/1/28	7,025,046

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Insured-General Obligations (continued)			
\$	3,240	Pima County, AZ, (FSA), 3.50%, 7/1/19	\$ 2,977,592
	20,750	Schaumburg, IL, (FGIC), 5.00%, 12/1/38	21,529,162
		Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA),	
	21,300	0.00%, 6/1/25	8,330,856
		Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA),	
	21,125	0.00%, 6/1/26	7,829,770
		Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA),	
	21,070	0.00%, 6/1/27	7,396,202
		Washington, (Motor Vehicle Fuel), (MBIA),	
	21,510	0.00%, 6/1/28	7,146,913
			\$ 290,905,040
Insured-Housing - 1.5%			
		Virginia Housing Development Authority,	
\$	14,170	(MBIA), 5.375%, 7/1/36	\$ 14,617,347
			\$ 14,617,347
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 5.7%			
		Anaheim, CA, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue,	
\$	12,010	(FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$ 12,238,430
		San Jose, CA, Financing Authority, (Civic Center),	
	42,795	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/1/37	44,105,383
			\$ 56,343,813
Insured-Other Revenue - 0.6%			
		Golden Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, (FGIC),	
\$	4,000	5.00%, 6/1/38	\$ 4,159,960
		Maine HEFA, (Maine Life Care Retirement Community),	
	1,735	(AMBAC), 4.50%, 7/1/28	1,711,786
			\$ 5,871,746
Insured-Private Education - 2.7%			
		Massachusetts Development Finance Agency,	
		(College of the Holy Cross),	
\$	14,000	(AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 Massachusetts Development	\$ 15,950,200
		Finance Agency,	
	10,000	(Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33	10,603,100
			\$ 26,553,300

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
(000's offitted)	Insured-Public Education - 3.9%	Security	v arue
	Indica Fabre Education 5.776	Kansas Development Finance Authority, (University of	
\$	3,000	Kansas), (MBIA), 4.50%, 4/1/35	\$ 2,949,450
	9,000	University of California, (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/37	9,125,460
		University of Massachusetts Building Authority,	
	12,500	(AMBAC), 5.25%, 11/1/29	13,477,500
		University of Vermont and State Agricultural College,	
	15,000	(MBIA), 4.00%, 10/1/35	13,509,600
			\$ 39,062,010
	Insured-Sewer Revenue - 3.9%		
		Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission,	
\$	13,670	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/1/23	\$ 6,112,541
		King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, (FGIC),	
	11,075	4.50%, 1/1/31	10,853,168
		King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, (FGIC),	
	19,000	5.00%, 1/1/31	19,589,760
		Passaic Valley, NJ, Sewer Commissioners,	
	2,590	(FGIC), 2.50%, 12/1/32	1,809,814
			\$ 38,365,283
	Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 4.6%		
		Grand Forks, ND, Sales Tax Revenue, (Alerus Project),	
\$	10,000	(MBIA), 4.50%, 12/15/29	\$ 9,904,700
		Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC),	
	18,980	0.00%, 9/1/24	7,749,534
		Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY,	
	10,000	Dedicated Tax Fund, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/15/30	10,415,800
		Phoenix, AZ, Civic Improvement Corp., (Civic Plaza	
	7,020	Expansion Project), (FGIC), 4.25%, 7/1/30	6,673,633
		Reno, NV, Sales and Room Tax, (AMBAC),	
	10,500	5.125%, 6/1/37	10,904,460
			\$ 45,648,127
	Insured-Transportation - 44.1%		
		California Infrastructure and Economic Development,	
\$	15,600	(Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 16,244,592
		Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, (FGIC),	
	6,000	5.00%, 1/1/45	6,149,220
		E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA),	
	10,200	0.00%, 9/1/21	4,906,710
	10,000	E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA),	4,117,100

	0.00%, 9/1/24	
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA),	
20,000	0.00%, 9/1/24	8,155,600
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (MBIA),	
17,000	0.00%, 9/1/25	6,626,600

See notes to financial statements

8

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Insured-Transportation (continued)		Security	v and
and Transportation (continued)		Florida Department of Transportation, (Turnpike	
\$	13,690	Revenue), (FSA), 4.50%, 7/1/34	\$ 13,461,240
		Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority,	
	20.450	Revenue Assessment, (MBIA),	10 267 701
	20,450	4.00%, 7/1/33 Massachusetts Turnpike	18,367,781
	10,000	Authority, Metropolitan Highway System, (MBIA),	10 110 400
	10,000	5.00%, 1/1/37 Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan	10,110,400
	34,915	Highway System, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/1/39	35,415,332
	- 1,7.20	Massachusetts Turnpike Authority, Metropolitan	50, 110,002
	9,985	Highway System, (MBIA), 5.125%, 1/1/37 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY,	10,360,336
	1,800	(AMBAC), 4.50%, 11/15/34	1,775,448
	1,000	Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas	1,773,440
	20,000	Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), 5.375%, 1/1/40 Nevada Department of Business	21,034,800
	10,070	and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/23	4,437,950
	10,070	Nevada Department of Business and Industry,	1,157,750
	3,100	(Las Vegas Monorail), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/28	1,037,477
	7,000	New Jersey Turnpike Authority, (FSA), 5.25%, 1/1/28	7,951,370
	15,000	New York Thruway Authority, (FSA), 4.75%, 1/1/30 Newark, NJ, Housing Authority, (Newark Marine Terminal),	15,298,350
	13,700	(MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37	14,311,020
	6,500	North Texas Tollway Authority, (FSA), 4.50%, 1/1/38	6,237,920
		Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, CO, (FSA),	
	24,665	5.25%, 6/15/41	26,183,624
	3,370	Pima County, AZ, (MBIA), 3.50%, 7/1/19	3,100,366
		San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,	
	75,000 45,020	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/31	22,013,250
	45,020	San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,	17,133,712

		(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/26	
		San Joaquin Hills, CA,	
	110.000	Transportation Corridor Agency,	20.024.410
	119,000	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/34 San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,	30,034,410
		(Toll Road Bonds), (MBIA),	
	87,045	0.00%, 1/15/25	35,058,244
		Tampa-Hillsborough County, FL, Expressway Authority,	
	10,745	(AMBAC), 4.00%, 7/1/34	9,672,864
	40,165	Texas Turnpike Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20 Texas Turnpike Authority,	20,449,206
	64,900	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42	66,469,282
			\$ 436,114,204
Insured-Utilities - 8.0%			
		Illinois Development Finance Authority, (Peoples Gas,	
dr.	5,000	Light and Coke), (AMBAC),	ф Б 150 050
\$	5,000	5.00%, 2/1/33 Los Angeles, CA, Department of	\$ 5,152,850
		Water and Power, (FGIC),	
	61,585	5.00%, 7/1/43	63,364,191
		West Palm Beach, FL, Utility System, (FGIC), 5.00%,	
	10,000	10/1/34	10,476,100
			\$ 78,993,141
•		Somethy	Value
(000's omitted)		Security	Value
•		Security Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%,	Value
(000's omitted)	25,885	Atlanta, GA, Water and	Value \$ 26,633,853
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	25,885	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%,	
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	25,885 1,205	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28	
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%		Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA),	\$ 26,633,853
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%		Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾	\$ 26,633,853
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA),	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System,	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System),	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority,	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450 8,675	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System),	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188 8,668,234
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450 8,675	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System),	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188 8,668,234
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450 8,675	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (MBIA), 5.125%, 6/15/34	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188 8,668,234
(000's omitted) Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450 8,675	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System),	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188 8,668,234
Insured-Water and Sewer - 10.4%	1,205 20,935 16,450 8,675 10,000	Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater, (MBIA), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽³⁾ Beaumont, TX, Waterworks and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.00%, 9/1/28 Birmingham, AL, Waterworks and Sewer Board, (MBIA), 5.00%, 1/1/37 ⁽²⁾ Jacksonville Electric Authority, FL, Water and Sewer System, (MBIA), 4.75%, 10/1/30 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (AMBAC), 4.50%, 6/15/29 New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (FSA), 4.50%, 6/15/29 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), (MBIA), 5.125%, 6/15/34 Palm Coast, FL, Utility System,	\$ 26,633,853 1,083,464 21,686,567 16,676,188 8,668,234 9,992,200 8,842,550

		All OD W. (DOIG)		
¢	0.020	Albany, OR, Water, (FGIC),	\$	0.206.200
\$	8,930	5.00%, 8/1/33 Baltimore, MD, (Water Projects),	2	9,296,398
		(FGIC),		
	3,250	5.125%, 7/1/42		3,384,973
	3,230	Detroit, MI, Water Supply		3,304,973
		System, (FGIC),		
	1,000	4.50%, 7/1/31		984,190
	,	Detroit, MI, Water Supply		, , , , ,
		System, (FGIC),		
	1,315	4.50%, 7/1/32		1,291,817
		Metropolitan Water District, CA,		
	5,000	(FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/33		5,212,500
		Metropolitan Water District, CA,		< 255 000
	6,000	(FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36		6,255,000
	1,000	Metropolitan Water District, CA, (MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/37		1,022,270
	1,000	(NIBIN), 5.50 %, 111151	ф	· · · · · ·
			\$	27,447,148
Other Revenue - 2.9%				
\$	28.675	Golden Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, 5.00%, 6/1/45	\$	29,145,844
Ф	28,073	Corp., CA, 3.00%, 6/1/43		• •
			\$	29,145,844
Special Tax Revenue - 1.5%				
ф	4.600	New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette	ф	4.026.026
\$	4,600	Tax), 5.50%, 6/15/24	\$	4,826,826
	2,405	New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/29		2,559,714
	2,703	New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette		2,337,717
	1,750	Tax), 5.50%, 6/15/31		1,822,940
		New Jersey EDA, (Cigarette		
	5,110	Tax), 5.75%, 6/15/34		5,412,614
			\$	14,622,094

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Transportation - 5.8%			
		Port Authority of New York and New Jersey,	
\$	15,750	5.00%, 9/1/38	\$ 16,471,035
		Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority,	
	39,960	5.125%, 7/1/43	41,338,220
			\$ 57,809,255
		Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 162.7%	
		(identified cost \$1,531,621,958)	\$ 1,610,491,106
		Other Assets, Less Liabilities - (2.8)%	\$ (28,075,814)
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative			
Unpaid Dividends - (59.9)%			\$(592,565,154)
Net Assets Applicable to			
Common Shares- 100.0%			\$989,850,138

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC.- Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA.- Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2005, 82.8% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 4.5% to 25.8% of total investments.

- (1) When-issued security.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover when-issued securities.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

10

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

	Tax-Exempt Investments - 161.2%		
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
(000 3 Offitted)	Escrowed / Prerefunded - 0.5%	Security	varue
		Foothill/Eastern, Transportation Corridor Agency,	
\$	5,110	Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,628,915
φ	5,110	171750	\$ 1,628,915
	General Obligations - 9.9%		, , , , ,
\$	10,000	California, 4.75%, 6/1/35	\$ 10,034,000
	6,750	California, 5.25%, 4/1/30	7,182,810
	3,250	California, 5.25%, 4/1/34	3,443,017
	9,975	California, 5.50%, 11/1/33	10,928,909
			\$ 31,588,736
	Hospital - 9.3%	California Health Facilities	
		Financing Authority,	
		(Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%,	
\$	2,000	7/1/23 California Health Facilities	\$ 2,090,460
		Financing Authority,	
	4,075	(Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 11/15/34	4,155,603
		California Statewide Communities	
		Development Authority, (Daughters of Charity Health	
	1,390	System), 5.25%, 7/1/30	1,434,327
		California Statewide Communities Development Authority,	
		(Huntington Memorial Hospital),	
	10,900	5.00%, 7/1/35	11,168,140
		Torrance Hospital, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center),	
	4,000	5.50%, 6/1/31	4,198,640
		Turlock, (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.), 5.375%,	
	3,360	10/15/34	3,476,861
		Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%,	
	3,005	7/1/29	3,096,202
			\$ 29,620,233
	Insured-Electric Utilities - 3.9%	Puerto Rico Electric Power	
		Authority, (FGIC),	
\$	3,000	5.00%, 7/1/35	\$ 3,166,890
		Sacramento, Municipal Electric Utility District,	
	4,000	(FSA), 5.00%, 8/15/28	4,149,280
		Sacramento, Municipal Electric Utility District,	
	5,000	(MBIA), 5.00%, 8/15/28	5,173,200
			\$ 12,489,370

Insured-Escr	rowed / Prerefunded - 17.4%		
msared Eser	towed/Treferanded 17.176	East Bay Municipal Utility District	
		Water System, (MBIA),	
\$	3,620	Prerefunded to 6/1/08, 5.00%, 6/1/38	\$ 3,844,621
·	2,420	East Bay Municipal Utility District Water System, Sewer	, -,,,,,
	23,115	Revenue, (MBIA), Prerefunded to 6/1/08, 5.00%, 6/1/38	24,549,286
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded (con	tinued)	Becamy	, and
· ·	,	Foothill/Eastern, Transportation	
		Corridor Agency, (FSA),	
\$	7,540	Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/21	\$ 3,784,854
		Sacramento Financing Authority, (City Hall Redevelopment),	
		(FSA), Prerefunded to 12/1/12,	
	6,000	5.00%, 12/1/28	6,549,300
		University of California, (FGIC), Prerefunded to 9/1/06,	
	16,000	5.00%, 9/1/27	16,476,160
			\$ 55,204,221
Insured-General Obligations - 27.7%		A Huified Cale - I District	
\$	2,840	Azusa Unified School District, (FSA), 0.00%, 7/1/25	\$ 1,119,244
	2.200	Azusa Unified School District,	1.161.504
	3,290	(FSA), 0.00%, 7/1/27 Burbank Unified School District,	1,161,534
	6,030	(FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/21	2,920,932
		Buttonwillow Union School District, (Election of 2002),	
	1,835	(AMBAC), 5.50%, 11/1/27	2,157,061
	2,180	Ceres Unified School District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/25	855,781
	2,160	Chino Valley Unified School	033,701
		District, (FSA),	
	3,000	5.00%, 8/1/26 Foothill-De Anza Community	3,141,330
		College District,	
		(Election of 1999), (FGIC),	
	6,555	0.00%, 8/1/28 Foothill-De Anza Community	2,155,612
		College District,	
	0.500	(Election of 1999), (FGIC),	
	8,500	0.00%, 8/1/29 Foothill-De Anza Community	2,649,960
		College District,	
	0.075	(Election of 1999), (FGIC),	2.625.450
	8,865	0.00%, 8/1/30 Huntington Beach City School	2,625,458
		District, (Election of 2004),	
	2,300	(MBIA), 4.50%, 8/1/29 ⁽¹⁾	2,267,018
		Huntington Beach City School District, (FGIC),	
	1,835	0.00%, 8/1/24	760,681
		Huntington Beach City School District, (FGIC),	
	2,060	0.00%, 8/1/25	808,674
	-, ~~~	•	000,071

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE INSURED CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR

	Huntington Deagh City Cahaal	
	Huntington Beach City School	
	District, (FGIC),	
2,140	0.00%, 8/1/26	794,882
	Jurupa Unified School District,	
2,000	(FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/23	872,100
	Jurupa Unified School District,	
2,000	(FGIC), 0.00%, 8/1/26	742,880
	Kings Canyon Joint Unified	
	School District, (FGIC), 0.00%,	
2,235	8/1/25	877,372
	Los Angeles Unified School	
	District, (Election of 1997),	
10,000	(MBIA), 5.125%, 1/1/27	10,475,400
	Modesto High School District,	
	Stanislaus County, (FGIC),	
3,225	0.00%, 8/1/24	1,337,633
	Riverside Unified School	
5,000	District, (FGIC), 5.00%, 2/1/27	5,216,350
	Salinas Union High School	
	District, (MBIA),	
6,135	5.00%, 6/1/27	6,410,646
	San Diego Unified School	
	District, (FGIC),	
10,000	0.00%, 7/1/22	4,614,600
	San Diego Unified School	
	District, (FGIC),	
10,000	0.00%, 7/1/23	4,375,900
	San Juan Unified School District,	
8,000	(FSA), 0.00%, 8/1/21	3,863,200

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Insured-General Obligations (continue	ed)		
		San Mateo County Community College District, (FGIC),	
\$	5,000	0.00%, 9/1/22	\$ 2,289,600
		San Mateo County Community College District, (FGIC),	
	4,365	0.00%, 9/1/23	1,895,327
		San Mateo County Community College District, (FGIC),	
	3,955	0.00%, 9/1/25	1,546,484
		San Mateo Union High School District, (FGIC),	
	5,240	0.00%, 9/1/21	2,528,562
		Santa Ana Unified School District, (MBIA),	
	2,740	5.00%, 8/1/32	2,852,888
		Santa Barbara High School District, (Election of 2000),	
	2,500	(FSA), 4.50%, 8/1/25 ⁽¹⁾	2,502,825
		Santa Clara Unified School District, (Election of 2004),	
	7,750	(FSA), 4.375%, 7/1/30	7,451,315
	2.025	Union Elementary School	1 572 642
	3,825	District, (FGIC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Ventura County Community College District, (MBIA),	1,573,643
	3,000	5.00%, 8/1/27	3,137,250
	3,000	3.00%, 6/1/2/	\$ 87,982,142
Insurad Hagnital 6.7%			\$ 67,962,142
Insured-Hospital - 6.7%		California Health Facilities	
		Financing Authority,	
		(Sutter Health), (MBIA), 5.00%,	
\$	20,860	8/15/38	\$ 21,365,021
	C		\$ 21,365,021
Insured-Lease Revenue / Certificates Participation - 20.0%	01		
2010/0		Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue,	
\$	2,000	(FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/30	\$ 597,140
		Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue,	
	5,000	(FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/35	1,164,500
		Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue,	
	8,545	(FSA), 0.00%, 9/1/29	2,684,326
		Anaheim, Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue,	
	30,000	(FSA), 5.00%, 3/1/37	30,570,600
		California Public Works Board Lease Revenue, (Department	
		of General Services), (AMBAC),	
	1,000 5,805	5.00%, 12/1/27	1,036,800 5,989,193
	5,005		5,707,175

		San Bernardino County Medical	
		Center Financing Project,	
		(MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/28 San Jose Financing Authority,	
		(Civic Center), (AMBAC),	
	15,000	5.00%, 6/1/37	15,459,300
		Shasta Joint Powers Financing Authority, (County	
		Administration Building),	
	5,850	(MBIA), 5.00%, 4/1/29	6,059,020
			\$ 63,560,879
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
(ooo's omittee)	Insured-Other Revenue - 1.0%	Security	v urue
		Golden Tobacco Securitization	
		Corp., (FGIC),	
\$	3,000	5.00%, 6/1/38	\$ 3,119,970
			\$ 3,119,970
	Insured-Private Education - 0.5%	California Educational Facilities	
		Authority, (St. Mary's	
		College of California), (MBIA),	
\$	1,560	5.125%, 10/1/26	\$ 1,647,984
			\$ 1,647,984
	Insured-Public Education - 5.2%	California State University,	
		(AMBAC), 5.125%,	
\$	1,000	11/1/26	\$ 1,049,590
	15 000	University of California, (FGIC),	15 542 750
	15,000	5.125%, 9/1/30	15,543,750 \$ 16,593,340
	Insured-Sewer Revenue - 6.0%		\$ 10,393,340
	insured-sewer revenue - 0.0 //	Livermore-Amador Valley Water	
		Management Agency,	
\$	18,350	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/1/31	\$ 18,962,706
			\$ 18,962,706
	Insured-Special Assessment Revenue - 3.8%	Murrieta Redevelopment Agency	
		Tax, (MBIA),	
\$	1,800	5.00%, 8/1/32	\$ 1,869,300
		Pomona Public Financing	
	7,000	Authority, (MBIA), 5.00%, 2/1/33	7 170 000
	7,000	Tustin Unified School District,	7,178,990
	3,000	(FSA), 5.00%, 9/1/38	3,092,730
			\$ 12,141,020
	Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 8.2%	North City Sahaal Faailien	
		North City, School Facility Financing Authority,	
\$	2,500	(AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/26	\$ 917,425
		San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid	
		Transportation District	
	13,630	Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31	14,079,245
	10,000	San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid	- 1,517,210
		Transportation District,	
	3,500 7,000	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26	3,626,175 7,274,820
	7,000		1,217,020

	San Francisco, Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, (AMBAC), 5.125%, 7/1/36	
		\$ 25,897,665
Insured-Transportation - 16.4%		
	California Infrastructure and Economic Development,	
\$ 15,150	(Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 15,775,998
	California Infrastructure and Economic Development,	
7,250	(Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽²⁾	7,549,570

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured California Municipal Bond Fund as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount		Sitr.	V-1
(000's omitted)	Insured-Transportation (continued)	Security	Value
	insured-11ansportation (continued)	California Infrastructure and Economic Development,	
\$	1,000	(Bay Area Toll Bridges), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,042,660
		Los Angeles County, Metropolitan Transportation Authority,	
	5,000	(AMBAC), 4.50%, 7/1/32	4,908,850
		Sacramento County, Airport System, (FSA), 5.00%,	
	13,940	7/1/27	14,427,203
		San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency,	
	3,445	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/30	1,058,855
		San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency,	
	5,000	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/31	1,467,550
		San Joaquin Hills, Transportation Corridor Agency,	
	15,000	(MBIA), 0.00%, 1/15/26	5,708,700
			\$ 51,939,386
	Insured-Utilities - 7.7%		
		Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (FGIC),	
\$	9,000	5.00%, 7/1/43	\$ 9,260,010
		Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (MBIA),	
	14,750	5.125%, 7/1/41	15,222,147
			\$ 24,482,157
	Insured-Water Revenue - 9.3%		
		California Water Resource, (Central Valley), (FGIC),	
\$	8,180	5.00%, 12/1/29 ⁽³⁾	\$ 8,506,546
	1,250	Contra Costa Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, 10/1/27	1,243,950
	5,500	Contra Costa Water District, (FSA), 4.50%, 10/1/31	5,437,630
		East Bay Municipal Utility District Water System, (MBIA),	
	2,000	5.00%, 6/1/26	2,071,180
	10,000	Metropolitan Water District, (FGIC), 5.00%, 10/1/36	10,425,000
	1,750	San Diego, (Water Utility Fund), (FGIC), 4.75%, 8/1/28	1,763,055
			\$ 29,447,361
Lease	e Revenue / Certificates of Participation - 0.9%		
\$	2,570	Sacramento Financing Authority, 5.40%, 11/1/20	\$ 2,852,263
			\$ 2,852,263
	Water Revenue - 6.8%		
\$	21,180	Southern California Metropolitan Water District,	\$ 21,642,571

	5.00%, 7/1/37	
		\$ 21,642,571
Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 161.2% (identified cost \$489,962,815)		\$ 512,165,940
	Other Assets, Less Liabilities - 0.2%	\$ 646,047

	Value
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative	
Unpaid Dividends - (61.4)%	\$ (195,027,396)
Net Assets Applicable to	
Common Shares - 100.0%	\$317,784,591

AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2005, 82.9% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 18.1% to 25.1% of total investments.

- (1) When-issued security.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover when-issued securities.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS

	Tax-Exempt Investments - 165.8%		
Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
(ooo s ommeen)	Electric Utilities - 1.3%	Security	, mae
		Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue,	
\$	1,950	5.00%, 9/1/27	\$ 2,022,832
	1,000	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/31	1,057,950
		·	\$ 3,080,782
	General Obligations - 6.1%		
\$	3,500	New York, 4.50%, 8/1/35	\$ 3,412,780
	1,500	New York, 5.25%, 1/15/28	1,588,770
	3,500	New York City, 5.25%, 8/15/26	3,698,275
	3,075	New York City, 5.25%, 6/1/27	3,247,200
	2,000	New York City, 5.25%, 1/15/33	2,109,400
			\$ 14,056,425
	Hospital - 1.1%		
		New York Dormitory Authority Revenue, (Lenox Hill	
\$	640	Hospital), 5.50%, 7/1/30	\$ 661,984
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering	
	1,750	Cancer Center), 5.00%, 7/1/34	1,809,517
			\$ 2,471,501
	Housing - 0.9%	W. W. I. Civ. H.	
		New York City Housing Development Corp.,	
\$	2,000	(Multi-Family Housing), 4.75%,	\$ 1,999,920
Φ	2,000	11/1/35	\$ 1,999,920 \$ 1,999,920
	Industrial Development Revenue - 3.0%		\$ 1,999,920
	maustrai Develophient Revenue - 5.0%	New York City Industrial Development Agency,	
		(Liberty-IAC/Interactive Corp.),	
\$	6,800	5.00%, 9/1/35	\$ 6,896,424
			\$ 6,896,424
	Insured-Electric Utilities - 5.4%		
		Long Island Power Authority Electric System Revenue,	
\$	3,000	(FSA), 0.00%, 6/1/22	\$ 1,447,980
	7,500	Long Island Power Authority, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/1/34	7,848,450
	4,785	Long Island Power Authority, (FSA), 0.00%, 6/1/28	1,684,081
	.,,,,,	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC),	,,
	1,500	5.00%, 7/1/35	1,583,445
			\$ 12,563,956

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security		Value
Insured-Escrowed / Prerefunded - 0.5%		South		, uruo
		Buffalo Municipal Water Finance Authority, (FSA),		
ď.	1 000	Prerefunded to 7/1/12, 5.125%,	¢	1 000 120
\$	1,000	7/1/32	\$ \$	1,099,130 1,099,130
Insured-General Obligations - 3.0%			Ф	1,099,130
insured-deficial Obligations - 3.0 %		New York Dormitory Authority,		
		(School Districts		
\$	1.750	Financing Program), (MBIA),	¢	1 021 705
\$	1,750	5.00%, 10/1/30 Sachem Central School District,	\$	1,821,785
		Holbrook, (MBIA),		
	2,700	5.00%, 10/15/26		2,847,015
		Sachem Central School District, Holbrook, (MBIA),		
	2,085	5.00%, 10/15/28		2,194,171
			\$	6,862,971
Insured-Hospital - 22.8%		New York City Health and		
		Hospital Corp., (Health		
\$	15,500	Systems), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 2/15/23	\$	16,236,715
ų.	15,500	New York Dormitory Authority, (Hospital Surgery),	Ψ	10,230,713
	10,600	(MBIA), 5.00%, 2/1/38		10,836,062
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Maimonides Medical		
	6,800	Center), (MBIA), 5.00%, 8/1/33		7,113,344
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering		
	4,000	Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/26		1,554,040
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering		
	23,835	Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/28		8,308,404
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering		
	26,070	Cancer Center), (MBIA), 0.00%, 7/1/29		8,617,960
	20,070	11112)	\$	52,666,525
Insured-Other Revenue - 3.4%			Ψ	22,000,223
and the Revenue 3.7/0		New York City Cultural Resource, (American Museum		
		of Natural History), (MBIA),		
\$	5,535	5.00%, 7/1/44	\$	5,752,083
		New York City Cultural Resource, (Wildlife Conservation		
	2,000	Society), (FGIC), 5.00%, 2/1/34		2,090,680
	-,	·// // // // // // // // // // // // //	\$	7,842,763
Insured-Private Education - 28.5%				, , , , , ,
		Madison County, IDA, (Colgate University), (MBIA),		
\$	4,000	5.00%, 7/1/39	\$	4,176,360
		New York City Industrial Development Agency,		
		(New York University),		46.050.00
	16,500	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41		16,959,195

	New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School),	
11,500	(XLCA), 5.125%, 7/1/30	12,084,315
	New York Dormitory Authority, (FIT Student Housing Corp.),	
2,225	(FGIC), 5.125%, 7/1/26	2,373,452
	New York Dormitory Authority, (Iona College), (XLCA),	
1,000	5.125%, 7/1/32	1,043,610

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount		Sitr		V-1
(000's omitted)	Incomed Drivete Education (continued)	Security		Value
	Insured-Private Education (continued)	New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University),		
\$	4,250	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/31	\$	4,392,248
		New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University),		
	5,000	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/1/41 New York Dormitory Authority, (New York University),		5,139,150
	3,000	(AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/40		3,527,430
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of		
	13,585	Technology), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32		14,367,768
	,	New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University),		, , ,
	1,820	(MBIA), 4.75%, 7/1/37		1,837,599
			\$	65,901,127
	Insured-Public Education - 6.3%			
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing		
\$	1,635	Services), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/22	\$	1,836,759
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing		
	1,870	Services), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24		2,103,432
		New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing		
	1,000	Services), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/25		1,129,100
		New York Dormitory Authority, (University Educational		
	9,500	Facility), (MBIA), 4.75%, 5/15/25		9,587,020
			\$	14,656,311
	Insured-Solid Waste - 1.8%	HI C D D		
		Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid		
\$	1,790	Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21	\$	917,715
Φ	1,770	Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste	Ψ	917,713
	1,240	System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/22		604,884
	,	Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	1,090	System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23		505,509
		Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste		
	1,490	System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/24		656,449
		Ulster County Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste		
	3,735	System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25		1,559,026
			\$	4,243,583
ф	Insured-Special Tax Revenue - 15.0%			16 150 740
\$	15,560		\$	16,158,749

		Metropolitan Transportation	
		Authority, Petroleum Tax Fund, (FSA), 5.00%,	
		11/15/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ New York City Transitional Finance	
		Authority, (Future Tax),	
	7,250	(MBIA), 5.00%, 5/1/31 ⁽²⁾ Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing	7,541,160
		Authority, (AMBAC),	
	8,300	0.00%, 7/1/28 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC),	2,880,349
	4,430	0.00%, 7/1/37	981,821
		Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC),	
	35,000	0.00%, 7/1/44	5,466,650
Principal Amount			
(000's omitted)		Security	Value
	Insured-Special Tax Revenue (continued)		
		Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (FGIC),	
\$	5,750	0.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 1,552,443
			\$ 34,581,172
	Insured-Transportation - 33.5%	Metropolitan Transportation	
		Authority, (FSA), 5.00%,	
\$	32,500	11/15/30 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (MBIA),	\$ 33,851,350
	5,775	5.125%, 10/15/30	6,024,422
	3,173	Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority,	0,021,122
	11,500	(MBIA), 5.00%, 7/1/33	12,029,115
		Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, (MBIA),	
	24,600	5.00%, 11/15/32	25,546,608
			\$ 77,451,495
	Insured-Water and Sewer - 11.0%	New York City Municipal Water	
		Finance Authority,	
\$	7,000	(AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/15/38 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, Water	\$ 7,273,910
	10,000	and Sewer, (MBIA), 5.125%,	10.402.000
	10,000	6/15/34 Niagara Falls Public Water Authority and Sewer System,	10,403,000
	7,500	(MBIA), 5.00%, 7/15/34	7,811,250
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 25,488,160
	Insured-Water Revenue - 5.5%		, ,
		New York State Environmental Facilities Corp.	
\$	5,610	(MBIA), 4.25%, 6/15/30 ⁽³⁾	\$ 5,397,774
		New York State Environmental Facilities Corp., (MBIA),	
	7,525	4.25%, 6/15/31 ⁽³⁾	7,223,022
			\$ 12,620,796

Lease Reven	ue / Certificates of Participation -		
	6.3%		
		Metropolitan Transportation	
		Authority, Lease Contract,	
\$	4,000	5.125%, 1/1/29	\$ 4,208,360
	,	New York Dormitory Authority,	,,.
		(North General Hospital),	
	10,000	5.00%, 2/15/25	10,415,500
			\$ 14,623,860
	Private Education - 2.1%		
		Madison County Industrial	
		Development Agency,	
\$	1,630	(Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/33	\$ 1,687,588
· ·	-,	Rensselaer County Industrial	 -,,
		Development Agency,	
		(Rensselaer Polytech Institute),	
	3,065	5.125%, 8/1/27	3,173,348
			\$ 4.860.936

See notes to financial statements

Eaton Vance Insured New York Municipal Bond as of September 30, 2005

PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS CONT'D

Principal Amount (000's omitted)		Security	Value
Transportation - 8.3%			
		Port Authority of New York and New Jersey,	
\$	14,500	5.00%, 9/1/38	\$ 15,163,810
		Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority,	
	3,990	5.125%, 7/1/43	4,127,615
			\$ 19,291,425
		Total Tax-Exempt Investments - 165.8%	
		(identified cost \$366,277,583)	\$ 383,259,262
		Other Assets, Less Liabilities - (4.1)%	\$ (9,569,712)
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative			
Unpaid Dividends - (61.7)%			\$(142,528,965)
Net Assets Applicable to			
Common Shares - 100.0%			\$231,160,585

AMBAC - MBAC Financial Group, Inc.

FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

FSA - Financial Security Assurance, Inc.

MBIA - Municipal Bond Insurance Association

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2005, 82.2% of the securities in the portfolio of investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.0% to 38.3% of total investments.

- (1) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover when-issued securities.
- (3) When-issued security.

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

As of September 30, 2005

	Insu	red Municipal Fund	Insur	Insured California Fund		Insured New York Fund	
Assets							
Investments -							
Identified cost	\$	1,531,621,958	\$	489,962,815	\$	366,277,583	
Unrealized appreciation		78,869,148		22,203,125		16,981,679	
Investments, at value	\$	1,610,491,106	\$	512,165,940	\$	383,259,262	
Receivable for investments sold	\$	5,185,839	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest receivable		17,840,975		5,397,496		4,224,931	
Receivable for daily variation margin on open financial futures contracts		1,451,250		423,750		272,250	
Prepaid expenses		-		4,299		-	
Total assets	\$	1,634,969,170	\$	517,991,485	\$	387,756,443	
Liabilities							
Payable for when-issued securities	\$	33,618,550	\$	4,768,588	\$	12,577,007	
Due to custodian		18,249,177		161,223		1,294,874	
Payable to affiliate for Trustees' fees Payable to affiliate for investment		-		-		68	
advisory fees		434,285		140,166		102,356	
Accrued expenses		251,866		109,521		92,588	
Total liabilities	\$	52,553,878	\$	5,179,498	\$	14,066,893	
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends		592,565,154		195,027,396		142,528,965	
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$	989,850,138	\$	317,784,591	\$	231,160,585	
Sources of Net Assets							
Common Shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$	646,067	\$	216,282	\$	156,981	
Additional paid-in capital		911,973,551		305,163,036		221,346,825	
Accumulated net realized loss (computed on the basis of identified		(17.000.011)		(42.055.000)		(0.50<.004)	
cost)		(15,229,844)		(13,957,233)		(9,706,831)	
Undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation (computed		3,569,851		844,809		479,265	
on the basis of identified cost)		88,890,513		25,517,697		18,884,345	
Net assets applicable to common shares Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding	\$	989,850,138	\$	317,784,591	\$	231,160,585	
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)							
•		23,700		7,800		5,700	
Common Shares Outstanding		-,		. ,2		-,	
		64,606,667		21,628,202		15,698,145	
Net Asset Value Per Common Share						, ,	
Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and							
outstanding	\$	15.32	\$	14.69	\$	14.73	

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Operations

For the Year Ended September 30, 2005

	Insured	Insured Municipal Fund		red California Fund	Insured New York Fund		
Investment Income							
Interest	\$	75,970,762	\$	24,487,827	\$	17,732,537	
Total investment income	\$	75,970,762	\$	24,487,827	\$	17,732,537	
Expenses							
Investment adviser fee	\$	10,234,052	\$	3,318,949	\$	2,428,490	
Trustees' fees and expenses		19,109		14,328		11,209	
Legal and accounting services		97,308		62,512		59,120	
Printing and postage		164,497		37,212		36,888	
Custodian fee		432,036		221,403		180,608	
Transfer and dividend		72,262		70,046		71,132	
disbursing agent Preferred shares remarketing		72,202		70,040		/1,132	
agent fee		1,481,250		487,501		356,251	
Miscellaneous		125,637		60,502		60,222	
Total expenses	\$	12,626,151	\$	4,272,453	\$	3,203,920	
Deduct -							
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	61,996	\$	19,677	\$	12,113	
Reduction of investment		5 040 902		1 (24.050		1 105 564	
adviser fee	ф	5,040,802	d.	1,634,059	d.	1,195,564	
Total expense reductions	\$	5,102,798	\$	1,653,736	\$	1,207,677	
Net expenses	\$	7,523,353	\$	2,618,717	\$	1,996,243	
Net investment income Realized and Unrealized Gain	\$	68,447,409	\$	21,869,110	\$	15,736,294	
(Loss)							
Net realized gain (loss) -							
Investment transactions	Φ.	22 212 272	ф	1.262.600	ф	2.0/2.225	
(identified cost basis)	\$	23,213,373	\$	4,263,699	\$	2,962,235	
Financial futures contracts		(33,350,079)		(10,121,185)		(6,698,988)	
Net realized loss	\$	(10,136,706)	\$	(5,857,486)	\$	(3,736,753)	
Change in unrealized appreciation Investments (identified cost	(depreciation) -						
basis)	\$	33,487,984	\$	10,287,325	\$	5,653,651	
Financial futures contracts		15,873,046		5,146,796		3,418,560	
Net change in unrealized			_		_		
appreciation (depreciation) Net realized and unrealized	\$	49,361,030	\$	15,434,121	\$	9,072,211	
gain	\$	39,224,324	\$	9,576,635	\$	5,335,458	
Distributions to preferred shareholders							
From net investment income	\$	(11,235,061)	\$	(3,500,228)	\$	(2,626,097)	
Net increase in net assets from	\$	06 426 672	\$	27.045.517	¢	10 115 655	
operations	2	96,436,672	\$	27,945,517	\$	18,445,655	

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2005

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Insure	d Municipal Fund	Ins	sure	l California Fund	Insure	d New York Fund
From operations -							
Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures	\$	68,447,409	\$	5	21,869,110	\$	15,736,294
contracts		(10,136,706)			(5,857,486)		(3,736,753)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts		49,361,030			15,434,121		9,072,211
Distributions to preferred shareholders -		,			,,		,,,
From net investment income		(11,235,061)			(3,500,228)		(2,626,097)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	96,436,672	\$	\$	27,945,517	\$	18,445,655
Distributions to common shareholders -							
From net investment income	\$	(59,817,380)	\$	\$	(18,438,043)	\$	(13,257,068)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(59,817,380)	\$	5	(18,438,043)	\$	(13,257,068)
Net increase in net assets	\$	36,619,292	\$	\$	9,507,474	\$	5,188,587
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares							
At beginning of year	\$	953,230,846	\$	5	308,277,117	\$	225,971,998
At end of year	\$	989,850,138	\$	5	317,784,591	\$	231,160,585
Undistributed net investment income included in							
net assets applicable to common shares							
At end of year	\$	3,569,851	\$	5	844,809	\$	479,265

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

For the Year Ended September 30, 2004

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Insure	ed Municipal Fund	Iı	nsure	d California Fund	Insured	l New York Fund
From operations -							
Net investment income Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures	\$	70,047,535		\$	22,340,828	\$	15,994,026
contracts		(8,734,890)			(7,745,257)		(5,436,021)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts		11,734,079			8,299,834		3,674,762
Distributions to preferred shareholders -		,			, ,		, ,
From net investment income		(7,059,224)			(1,809,028)		(1,398,712)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	65,987,500		\$	21,086,377	\$	12,834,055
Distributions to common shareholders -							
From net investment income	\$	(60,568,755)		\$	(19,465,383)	\$	(14,128,331)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(60,568,755)		\$	(19,465,383)	\$	(14,128,331)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	\$	5,418,745		\$	1,620,994	\$	(1,294,276)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares							
At beginning of year	\$	947,812,101		\$	306,656,123	\$	227,266,274
At end of year	\$	953,230,846		\$	308,277,117	\$	225,971,998
Undistributed net investment income included in							
net assets applicable to common shares							
At end of year	\$	6,552,094		\$	1,143,005	\$	646,029

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

		Insured Mu	ınicipal Fund	
		Year Ended	September 30,	
	$2005^{(1)}$	2004 ⁽¹⁾	2003 ⁽¹⁾	$2002^{(1)(2)}$
Net asset value - Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.750	\$ 14.670	\$ 14.810	\$ 14.325 ⁽³⁾
Income (loss) from operations				
Net investment income	\$ 1.059	\$ 1.084	\$ 1.041	\$ 0.040
Net realized and unrealized gain	0.611	0.043	0.009	0.454
Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income	(0.174)	(0.109)	(0.091)	_
Total income from operations	\$ 1.496	\$ 1.018	\$ 0.959	\$ 0.494
Less distributions to common shareholders				
From net investment income	\$ (0.926)	\$ (0.938)	\$ (0.908)	\$ -
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.926)	\$ (0.938)	\$ (0.908)	\$ -
Preferred and Common shares offering costs charged to paid-in capital	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.007)	\$ (0.009)
Preferred Shares underwriting discounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.184)	\$ -
Net asset value - End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.320	\$ 14.750	\$ 14.670	\$ 14.810
Market value - End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.050	\$ 13.950	\$ 13.580	\$ 15.000
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value (5)	10.70%	7.58%	5.67%	3.39% ⁽⁴⁾
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽⁵⁾	14.98%	9.91%	(3.42)%	$4.71\%^{(4)}$

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

				Insu	red Municipal Fu	ınd		
					Ended Septembe	r 30,		
	,	$2005^{(1)}$		2004 ⁽¹⁾		2003 ⁽¹⁾	$2002^{(1)(2)}$	
Ratios/Supplemental Data								
Net assets applicable to common shares,								
end of year (000's omitted)	\$	989,850	\$	953,231	\$	947,812	\$ 934,619	
Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares):								
Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾		0.78%		0.77%		0.75%	0.48% ⁽⁷⁾	
Net expenses after custodian fee		0.776		0.776		0.726	0.469(7)	
reduction ⁽⁶⁾		0.77%		0.77%		0.73%	0.46% ⁽⁷⁾	
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾		6.97%		7.41%		7.20%	3.20% ⁽⁷⁾	
Portfolio Turnover		51%	. 1	37%		63%	-	
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect red share would have been as follows:	uctions of	the investm	ient adviser fee.	Had such ac	tion not been tak	en, the ratios	s and net investment income per	
Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares):								
Expenses ⁽⁶⁾		1.29%		1.29%		1.26%	$0.80\%^{(7)}$	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾		1.28%		1.29%		1.24%	0.78% ⁽⁷⁾	
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾		6.46%		6.89%		6.69%	2.88%(7)	
Net investment income per share The ratios reported above are based on net asseshares, are as follows:	\$ ts applical	0.981 ble solely to	\$ common shares.	1.008 The ratios	\$ based on net asse		\$ 0.036 g amounts related to preferred	
Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets):								
Net expenses		0.48%		0.47%		0.47%		
Net expenses after custodian fee reduction		0.48%		0.47%		0.46%		
Net investment income		4.35%		4.56%		4.54%		
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect red	uctions of	the investm	nent adviser fee.	Had such ac	tion not been tak	en, the ratios	s would have been as follows:	
Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets):								
Expenses		0.80%		0.79%		0.79%		
Expenses after custodian fee reduction		0.80%		0.79%		0.78%		
Net investment income		4.03%		4.24%		4.22%		
Senior Securities:								
Total preferred shares outstanding		23,700		23,700		23,700		
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾	\$	66,769	\$	65,233	\$	65,008		
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000		
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽⁹⁾	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000		

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ For the period from the start of business, August 30, 2002, to September 30, 2002.

- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

Insured California Fund Year Ended September 30, 2002(1)(2) $2004^{(1)}$ $2005^{(1)}$ $2003^{(1)}$ Net asset value - Beginning of year \$ 14.325⁽³⁾ \$ 14.250 \$ 14.180 \$ 14.760 (Common shares) Income (loss) from operations 0.031 Net investment income \$ 1.011 \$ 1.033 \$ 0.993 0.444 0.021 0.420 Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) (0.402)Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income (0.162)(0.084)(0.078)Total income from operations \$ 1.293 0.970 0.513 0.451 Less distributions to common shareholders \$ (0.901) \$ From net investment income \$ (0.853)\$ (0.900) \$ (0.901) \$ Total distributions to common shareholders (0.853)(0.900)Preferred and Common shares offering costs charged to paid-in capital \$ \$ \$ (0.011) \$ (0.016)Preferred Shares underwriting discounts \$ \$ (0.181) \$ Net asset value - End of year (Common shares) \$ 14.690 \$ 14.250 \$ 14.180 \$ 14.760 Market value - End of year (Common \$ 13.920 \$ 13.730 \$ 13.410 \$ 15.000 shares) Total Investment Return on Net Asset 3.04% (4) Value⁽⁵⁾ 9.58% 2.58% 7.34% 4.71%⁽⁴⁾ Total Investment Return on Market Value (5) 7.77% 9.36% (4.54)%

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

		Insured Cali	fornia Fund	
		Year Ended S	eptember 30,	
	2005 ⁽¹⁾	$2004^{(1)}$	2003 ⁽¹⁾	$2002^{(1)(2)}$
Ratios/Supplemental Data				
Net assets applicable to common shares,				
end of year (000's omitted) Ratios (As a percentage of average net	\$ 317,785	\$ 308,277	\$ 306,656	\$ 311,634
assets applicable to common shares):				
Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾	0.84%	0.83%	0.80%	0.61% ⁽⁷⁾
Net expenses after custodian fee				
reduction ⁽⁶⁾	0.83%	0.83%	0.77%	$0.59\%^{(7)}$
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾	6.93%	7.23%	7.02%	2.54% ⁽⁷⁾
Portfolio Turnover	16%	24%	38%	0%
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a share would have been as follows:	reduction of the investment ad	viser fee. Had such action no	t been taken, the ratios and n	et investment income per
Ratios (As a percentage of average net				
assets applicable to common shares):				
Expenses ⁽⁶⁾	1.36%	1.36%	1.31%	0.93% ⁽⁷⁾
Expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾	1.35%	1.36%	1.28%	0.91% ⁽⁷⁾
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾	6.41%	6.71%	6.51%	2.22%(7)
Net investment income per share	\$ 0.935	\$ 0.959	\$ 0.921	\$ 0.027
The ratios reported above are based on net ass	ets applicable solely to commo	on shares. The ratios based or	n net assets, including amoun	its related to preferred
shares, are as follows: Ratios (As a percentage of average total net				
assets):				
Net expenses	0.52%	0.51%	0.50%	
Net expenses after custodian fee reduction	0.51%	0.51%	0.48%	
Net investment income	4.28%	4.43%	4.42%	
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a	reduction of the investment ad	viser fee. Had such action no	t been taken, the ratios would	d have been as follows:
Ratios (As a percentage of average total net				
assets):				
Expenses	0.84%	0.83%	0.82%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction	0.83%	0.83%	0.80%	
Net investment income	3.96%	4.11%	4.10%	
Senior Securities:				
Total preferred shares outstanding	7,800	7,800	7,800	
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽⁸⁾	\$ 65,745	\$ 64,524	\$ 64,316	
Involuntary liquidation preference per	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	
preferred share ⁽⁹⁾ Approximate market value per preferred	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 23,000	
share ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ For the period from the start of business, August 30, 2002, to September 30, 2002.

- (3) Net asset value at beginning of period reflects the deduction of the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder from the \$15.000 offering price.
- (4) Total investment return on net asset value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the net asset value on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on market value is calculated assuming a purchase at the offering price of \$15.000 less the sales load of \$0.675 per share paid by the shareholder on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of the period reported. Total investment return on net asset value and total investment return on market value are not computed on an annualized basis.
- (5) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Total return is not computed on an annualized basis.
- (6) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders. Ratios to average net assets applicable to common shares reflect the Fund's leveraged capital structure.
- (7) Annualized.
- (8) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (9) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

		Insured Ne	w York Fund	
		Year Ended	September 30,	
	$2005^{(1)}$	$2004^{(1)}$	2003 ⁽¹⁾	$2002^{(1)(2)}$
Net asset value - Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.390	\$ 14.480	\$ 14.690	\$ 14.325 ⁽³⁾
Income (loss) from operations				
Net investment income	\$ 1.002	\$ 1.019	\$ 0.981	\$ 0.028
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	0.349	(0.120)	(0.006)*	0.358
Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income	(0.167)	(0.089)	(0.090)	_
Total income from operations	\$ 1.184	\$ 0.810	\$ 0.885	\$ 0.386
Less distributions to common shareholders				
From net investment income	\$ (0.844)	\$ (0.900)	\$ (0.900)	\$ -
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.844)	\$ (0.900)	\$ (0.900)	\$ -
Preferred and Common shares offering costs charged to paid-in capital	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.013)	\$ (0.021)
Preferred Shares underwriting discounts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.182)	\$ -
Net asset value - End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.730	\$ 14.390	\$ 14.480	\$ 14.690
Market value - End of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.680	\$ 13.860	\$ 13.450	\$ 15.060
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value (5)	8.77%	6.10%	5.09%	$2.55\%^{(4)}$
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽⁵⁾	4.88%	10.02%	(4.78)%	5.13% ⁽⁴⁾

See notes to financial statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS CONT'D

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	Insured New York Fund					
		Year Ended S	eptember 30,			
	$2005^{(1)}$	2004 ⁽¹⁾	2003 ⁽¹⁾	2002 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		
Ratios/Supplemental Data						
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000's omitted)	\$ 231,161	\$ 225,972	\$ 227,266	\$ 223,739		
Ratios (As a percentage of average net assets applicable to common shares):						
Net expenses ⁽⁶⁾	0.87%	0.86%	0.83%	0.71% ⁽⁷⁾		
Net expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾	0.86%	0.85%	0.79%	0.68% ⁽⁷⁾		
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾	6.81%	7.11%	6.83%	$2.26\%^{(7)}$		
Portfolio Turnover	23%	33%	64%	8%		
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a r	reduction of the investment ac	dviser fee. Had such action no	t been taken, the ratios and no	et investment income per		
share would have been as follows: Ratios (As a percentage of average net						
assets applicable to common shares):						
Expenses ⁽⁶⁾	1.39%	1.38%	1.34%	1.03% ⁽⁷⁾		
Expenses after custodian fee reduction ⁽⁶⁾	1.38%	1.37%	1.30%	1.00% ⁽⁷⁾		
Net investment income ⁽⁶⁾	6.29%	6.59%	6.33%	1.94% ⁽⁷⁾		
Net investment income per share	\$ 0.925	\$ 0.944	\$ 0.909	\$ 0.024		
The ratios reported are based on net assets app as follows:	licable solely to common sha	ares. The ratios based on net as	ssets, including amounts relat	ed to preferred shares, are		
Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets):						
Net expenses	0.54%	0.52%	0.52%			
Net expenses after custodian fee reduction	0.53%	0.52%	0.50%			
Net investment income	4.21%	4.35%	4.31%			
The operating expenses of the Fund reflect a r Ratios (As a percentage of average total net assets):	eduction of the investment ac	lviser fee. Had such action no	t been taken, the ratios would	have been as follows:		
Expenses	0.86%	0.84%	0.84%			
Expenses after custodian fee reduction	0.85%	0.84%	0.82%			
Net investment income	3.89%	4.03%				