

BIO REFERENCE LABORATORIES INC
Form 10-Q
September 07, 2006

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.**

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2006

Commission File Number 0-15266

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC.

481 Edward H. Ross Drive, Elmwood Park, NJ 07407

(201) 791-2600

NEW JERSEY
(State of incorporation)

22-2405059
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Check whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated file in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

State the number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 13,083,367 shares of Common Stock (\$.01 par value) at September 5, 2006.

BIO-REFERENCE, LABORATORIES, INC.

FORM 10-Q

JULY 31, 2006

I N D E X

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 31, 2006 (unaudited) and October 31, 2005</u> <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 (unaudited)</u> <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 (unaudited)</u> <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>
Item 5.	Other Information
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	
Certifications	

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]

ASSETS

	July 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	October 31, 2005
<u>CURRENT ASSETS:</u>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 8,222	\$ 4,303
Accounts Receivable - Net	61,743	53,113
Inventory	1,753	1,339
Other Current Assets	884	878
Deferred Tax Assets	4,231	3,606
<u>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</u>	76,833	63,239
<u>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - AT COST</u>		
	21,291	18,703
<u>LESS: Accumulated Depreciation</u>	9,816	6,776
<u>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - NET</u>	11,475	11,927
<u>OTHER ASSETS:</u>		
Deposits	478	450
Goodwill - Net	8,919	8,919
Intangible Assets - Net	2,630	3,079
Other Assets	933	759
<u>TOTAL OTHER ASSETS</u>	12,960	13,207
<u>TOTAL ASSETS</u>	\$ 101,268	\$ 88,373

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Financial Statements.

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

	July 31, 2006 (Unaudited)	October 31, 2005
<u>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</u>		
Accounts Payable	\$ 14,563	\$ 14,346
Accrued Salaries and Commissions Payable	3,826	3,352
Accrued Taxes and Expenses	1,565	1,028
Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt	106	1,061
Capital Lease Obligations - Short-Term Portion	2,113	2,049
Revolving Note Payable - Bank	16,398	10,888
<u>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</u>	38,571	32,724
<u>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</u>		
Capital Lease Obligations - Long-Term Portion	3,156	3,956
Deferred Tax Liabilities	389	978
<u>TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</u>	3,545	4,934
<u>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</u>		
<u>SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:</u>		
Preferred Stock \$.10 Par Value; Authorized 1,059,589 shares, None Issued		
Series A Senior Preferred Stock, \$.10 Par Value; Authorized Issued and Outstanding; None		
Series A - Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$.10 Par Value, Authorized 3,000 Shares; None Issued		
Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value; Authorized 35,000,000 shares: Issued and Outstanding 13,077,367 and 12,981,367 at July 31, 2006 and at October 31, 2005, respectively	131	130
Additional Paid-In Capital	33,116	32,348
Retained Earnings	26,032	18,452
Totals	59,279	50,930
Deferred Compensation	(127) (215
<u>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</u>	59,152	50,715
<u>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</u>	\$ 101,268	\$ 88,373

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Consolidated Financial Statements.

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]

[UNAUDITED]

	Three months ended July 31,		Nine months ended July 31,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
NET REVENUES:	\$ 49,026	\$ 42,723	\$ 139,133	\$ 119,607
COST OF SERVICES:				
Depreciation	\$ 867	\$ 805	\$ 2,517	\$ 2,255
Employee Related Expenses	11,611	9,560	33,797	27,814
Reagents and Lab Supplies	6,941	6,673	19,434	18,268
Other Cost of Services	5,264	4,515	14,930	12,967
TOTAL COST OF SERVICES	\$ 24,683	\$ 21,553	\$ 70,678	\$ 61,304
GROSS PROFIT ON REVENUES	\$ 24,343	\$ 21,170	\$ 68,455	\$ 58,303
General and Administrative Expenses:				
Depreciation and Amortization	\$ 428	\$ 319	\$ 1,200	\$ 904
Other General and Administrative Expenses	12,512	11,078	37,238	33,500
Bad Debt Expense	6,627	5,762	18,802	15,741
TOTAL GENERAL AND ADMIN. EXPENSES	\$ 19,567	\$ 17,159	\$ 57,240	\$ 50,145
OPERATING INCOME	\$ 4,776	\$ 4,011	\$ 11,215	\$ 8,158
OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSES:				
Interest Expense	\$ 363	\$ 329	\$ 970	\$ 903
Interest Income	(46)	(33)	(111)	(75)
TOTAL OTHER EXPENSES - NET	\$ 317	\$ 296	\$ 859	\$ 828
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	\$ 4,459	\$ 3,715	\$ 10,356	\$ 7,330
Provision for Income Taxes	780	1,222	2,776	2,485
NET INCOME	\$ 3,679	\$ 2,493	\$ 7,580	\$ 4,845
NET INCOME PER SHARE - BASIC:	\$.28	\$.19	\$.58	\$.38
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES BASIC:	13,056,367	12,786,700	13,025,367	12,706,312
NET INCOME PER SHARE - DILUTED:	\$.27	\$.19	\$.56	\$.37
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES - DILUTED:	13,511,914	13,162,130	13,429,318	13,093,673

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Financial Statements.

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]

[UNAUDITED]

	Nine months ended July 31,	
	2006	2005
<u>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</u>		
Net Income	\$ 7,580	\$ 4,845
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	3,717	3,159
Deferred Compensation	88	126
Deferred Income Taxes (Benefit)	(1,214)	(1,641)
Change in Assets and Liabilities:		
(Increase) Decrease in:		
Accounts Receivable	(8,792)	(8,759)
Provision for Bad Debts	162	(972)
Inventory	(414)	(373)
Other Current Assets	(6)	251
Other Assets and Deposits	202	(3)
Deferred Charges		(140)
Increase (Decrease) in:		
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	1,228	3,518
<u>NET CASH - OPERATING ACTIVITIES</u>	2,147	11
<u>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</u>		
Acquisition of Equipment and Leasehold Improvements	\$ (1,932)	\$ (2,765)
Acquisition of Intangible Assets	(13)	
<u>NET CASH - INVESTING ACTIVITIES</u>	\$ (1,945)	\$ (2,765)
<u>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</u>		
Payments of Long-Term Debt	\$ (955)	\$ (980)
Payments of Capital Lease Obligations	(1,607)	(1,303)
Increase (Decrease) in Revolving Line of Credit	5,510	6,674
Proceeds from Exercise of Options	769	727
<u>NET CASH - FINANCING ACTIVITIES</u>	\$ 3,717	5,118
<u>NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</u>	\$ 3,919	\$ 2,364
<u>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIODS</u>	4,303	6,681
<u>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIODS</u>	\$ 8,222	\$ 9,045
<u>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</u>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 818	\$ 982
Income Taxes	\$ 4,902	\$ 4,712

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Financial Statements.

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

(UNAUDITED)

During the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 and July 31, 2005 the Company entered into capital leases totaling \$858 and \$2,291 respectively.

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]****(UNAUDITED)**

[1] In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments [consisting only of normal adjustments and recurring accruals] which are necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented but do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

[2] The results of operations for the nine months ended July 31, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

[3] The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended October 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

[4] The significant accounting policies followed by the Company are set forth in Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the October 31, 2005 Form 10-K.

[5] Service revenues are principally generated from clinical laboratory testing services including chemical diagnostic tests such as blood and urine analysis, among others. Service revenues are recognized at the time the testing services are performed and are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered including prospectively determined adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payors. These adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined. Revenues on the statements of operations are net of the following amounts for allowances and discounts.

	Three Months Ended July 31 [Unaudited]		Nine Months ended July 31 [Unaudited]	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Medicare/Medicaid	\$ 29,950	\$ 29,188	\$ 87,554	\$ 83,353
Other	53,946	40,187	149,215	110,083
	\$ 83,896	\$ 69,375	\$ 236,769	\$ 193,436

A number of proposals for legislation or regulation continue to be under discussion which could have the effect of substantially reducing Medicare reimbursements for clinical laboratories or introducing cost sharing to beneficiaries. Depending upon the nature of regulatory action, if any, which is taken and the content of legislation, if any, which is adopted, the Company could experience a significant decrease in revenues from Medicare and Medicaid, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company is unable to predict, however, the extent to which such actions will be taken.

[6] An allowance on the Balance Sheet for contractual credits and uncollectible accounts is determined based upon a review of the reimbursement policies and subsequent collections for the different types of payors. Accounts Receivable on the balance sheets are net of the following amounts for contractual credits and doubtful accounts:

	[Unaudited] July 31, 2006	October 31, 2005
Contractual Credits/Discounts	\$ 47,868	\$ 40,945
Doubtful Accounts	8,137	7,975
	\$ 56,005	\$ 48,920

[7] In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This Statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement, in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS No. 154 as of as of November 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements 133 and 140. The Statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006.

SFAS No. 155 does the following:

- Permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation.
- Clarifies which interest-only strips and principle-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133.
- Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation.
- Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives.
- Amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006 FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48) Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

This Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

FIN 48 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

[8] Effective November 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value method of recording stock-based compensation, as defined in SFAS No. 123(R) Stock-Based Payments for stock options awarded to employees after the date of adoption and for previously issued stock options that were not vested as of November 1, 2005 which were issued under the Company's three stock based employee compensation plans. Under SFAS No. 123R, the Company is required to recognize compensation expense for options granted commencing November 1, 2005 and thereafter.

Edgar Filing: BIO REFERENCE LABORATORIES INC - Form 10-Q

Prior to November 1, 2005, the Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations in accounting for stock options and other stock-based compensation. APB No. 25 required the use of the intrinsic value method, which measured compensation cost as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at the measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. The Company made disclosures of pro forma net earnings as if the fair-value-based method of accounting had been applied as required by SFAS No. 123, and as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure.

In accordance with APB No. 25, no stock-based compensation cost had been recognized during the three and nine month period ended July 31, 2006. Had compensation cost been determined based on the estimated fair value at grant date consistent with the methodology prescribed in SFAS No. 123, net income for the three and nine month period ended July 31, 2006 would have been as follows.

	Three Months Ended July 31, 2006		Nine Months Ended July 31, 2006	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net Income (Loss):				
As Reported	\$ 3,679	\$ 2,493	\$ 7,580	\$ 4,845
Deduct: Stock Based Employee compensation expense determined under the fair value based method-Net of Tax	\$ 0	\$ (88)	\$ 0	\$ (1,148)
Pro-Forma Net income*	\$ 3,679	\$ 2,405	\$ 7,580	\$ 3,697
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share:				
As Reported	\$.28	\$.19	\$.58	\$.38
Pro-Forma*	\$.28	\$.19	\$.58	\$.29
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share				
As Reported	\$.27	\$.19	\$.56	\$.37
Pro-Forma*	\$.27	\$.18	\$.56	\$.28

*Actual in 2006

[9] The following disclosures present certain information on the Company's intangible assets as of July 31, 2006 (Unaudited) and October 31, 2005. All intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives, as indicated below, with no estimated residual value.

Intangible Assets	Weighted-Average Amortization Period	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance
At July 31, 2006 [Unaudited]				
Software Costs	5 years	\$ 1,535	\$ 1,434	\$ 101
Customer Lists	20 years	2,456	1,032	1,424
Covenants not-to-Compete	5 years	405	64	341
Employment Agreements	7 years	825	814	11
Costs Related to Acquisitions	19 years	1,124	438	686
Patent	17 Years	156	89	67
<u>Totals</u>		\$ 6,501	\$ 3,871	\$ 2,630

Intangible Assets	Weighted-Average Amortization Period	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance
At October 31, 2005				
Software Costs	5 years	\$ 1,535	\$ 1,249	\$ 286
Customer Lists	20 years	2,456	946	1,510
Covenants not-to-Compete	5 years	405	3	402
Employment Agreements	7 years	825	780	45
Costs Related to Acquisitions	19 years	1,111	348	763
Patent	17 Years	156	83	73
Totals		\$ 6,488	\$ 3,409	\$ 3,079

The aggregate intangible amortization expense for the three months ended July 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$154 and \$153 respectively and for the nine months ended July 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$462 and \$460. The estimated intangible asset amortization expense for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2006 and for the four subsequent years is as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended October 31,	Estimated Amortization Expense
2006	\$ 614
2007	356
2008	292
2009	272
2010	251
Thereafter	845
Total	\$ 2,630

[10] In October 2004, the Company entered into an amended revolving note payable loan agreement with PNC Bank, N.A. (PNC Bank). The maximum amount of the credit line available to the Company pursuant to the loan agreement is the lesser of (i) \$30,000 or (ii) 50% of the Company's qualified accounts receivable [as defined in the agreement]. The amended loan agreement provides for an acquisition subline of up to \$10,000 which can be repaid in 36 equal monthly installments. The amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement provides for interest on advances to be subject, at the Company's option, to the bank's prime rate or the Eurodollar rate of interest plus, in certain instances, an additional interest percentage. The additional interest percentage charges on Eurodollar borrowings range from 1% to 4% and are determined based upon certain financial ratios achieved by the Company. At July 31, 2006, the Company had elected to have \$11,000 of the total advances outstanding converted into a Eurodollar rate loan with a variable interest rate of 6.75%. The remaining outstanding advances were subject to the bank's prime rate of interest. At July 31, 2006, advances outstanding of \$5,398 were subject to interest at the bank's prime rate (8.25%). The credit line is collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets. The line of credit is available through October 2007 and may be extended for annual periods by mutual consent, thereafter. The terms of this agreement contain, among other provisions, requirements for maintaining defined levels of capital expenditures, fixed charge coverage, and the prohibition of the payment by the Company of cash dividends. As of July 31, 2006, the Company utilized \$18,944 (including \$2,546 utilized under the acquisition subline) and had \$13,602 of available unused credit under this revolving note payable loan agreement.

[11] The provision for income taxes for the three months ended July 31, 2006, consists of a current tax provision of \$1,070 and a deferred tax benefit of \$290. The provision for income taxes for the nine months ended July 31, 2006, consists of a current tax of \$3,990 and a deferred tax benefit of \$1,214. At July 31, 2006, the Company had a current deferred tax asset of \$4,231 included in other current assets and a long-term deferred tax liability of \$389 included in other long-term liabilities.

[12] On June 1, 2005, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock over the period ending October 31, 2007. As of July 31, 2006, no shares were repurchased under this plan.

[13] Subsequent Event - On August 29, 2006, the Company executed an Agreement to acquire the laboratory testing business of a gene-based testing laboratory located in Gaithersburg, MD. The Closing, expected to be completed during the month of September, 2006, is subject to the obtaining of certain consents. The purchase price consists of a maximum consideration of \$17,000 payable partly in cash and partly in shares of the Company's common stock, plus the assumption of certain ordinary course of business liabilities.

10

Item 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

[Dollars In Thousands Except Per Share Data, Total Patient Data, Or Unless Otherwise Noted]

OVERVIEW

We are a clinical laboratory located in northeastern New Jersey. Our regional footprint lies within the New York City metropolitan area and the surrounding areas of New Jersey and southern New York State as well as eastern Pennsylvania and some areas of western Connecticut; under certain circumstances, we provide services further into New York State, Pennsylvania, Delaware and Maryland. As a regional provider, we are a full-service laboratory that primarily services physician office practices; our drivers pick up samples and deliver reports and supplies, we provide sophisticated technical support, phlebotomy services or patient service centers where appropriate, and electronic communication services in many cases. We have also developed a national reputation for our expertise in certain focused areas of clinical testing. GenPath, our cancer and oncology laboratory, is one of the premier hematopathology laboratories in the country. Physicians outside of our regional footprint send samples to our laboratory in order to take advantage of the expertise that we are able to provide in blood-based cancer pathology and associated diagnostics. Our correctional healthcare services are used throughout the country at prisons and jails. The focused markets we serve on a national basis outside of our regional footprint do not require many of the logistical and other ancillary support services required within the region. Even within our regional footprint, we provide the same services that we provide on a national basis as well as some regional focused diagnostic services, such as histology and pathology support services, substance abuse testing, fertility testing, hemostasis testing, women's health testing, and molecular diagnostics that are unavailable from many of the smaller regional competitors.

Over the last few years, there have been fundamental changes in the laboratory services industry. In the 1990s, the industry was negatively impacted by the growth of managed care, increased government regulation, and investigations into fraud and abuse. These factors led to revenue and profit declines and industry consolidations, especially among commercial laboratories. There are currently only three publicly-traded companies principally engaged in the operation of commercial clinical testing laboratories in the United States; namely the two national mega-laboratories and BioReference Laboratories. However, there are numerous hospital outreach programs and smaller privately owned reference laboratories that compete for the commercial clinical laboratory business scattered throughout the country, as well as two pharmaceutical companies operating commercial laboratories as a small portion of their business. Clinical laboratories have had to improve efficiency, leverage economies of scale, comply with government regulations and other laws and develop more profitable approaches to pricing. Moreover, there has been a proliferation of technology advancements in clinical diagnostics over the last decade that has created significant opportunities for new testing and growth.

As a full service clinical laboratory, we are constantly looking for new technologies and new methodologies that will help us to grow. Since the turn of the century, our size alone has made us attractive to companies that are driving the advances in technology. We represent a significant opportunity for these companies to market their products in one of the major population centers of the world—the New York Metropolitan area. We have had several successful strategic relationships with such technology opportunities. In addition to new technology opportunities, we have an extremely seasoned and talented management staff that has been able to identify emerging laboratory markets that are under-served or under-utilized. We are currently developing programs for histology and women's health to go along with our existing hemostasis, hematopathology and correctional healthcare initiatives which have already been established and in which we have been increasing our market share for the past several years. We will continue to vigilantly seek focused diagnostic marketing opportunities where we can provide information, technology, service and support that expand and grow our clinical laboratory.

While we recognize that we are a clinical laboratory that processes samples, we also understand that we are an information company that needs to effectively communicate the results of our efforts back to healthcare providers. Laboratory results play a major role in the implementation of physician healthcare. Laboratory results are used to diagnose, monitor and classify health concerns. In many cases, laboratory results represent the confirming data in diagnosing complicated health issues. Since laboratory results play such an important role in

routine physician care, we have developed informatics solutions that leverage our role in healthcare. We needed to build a web-based solution to quickly, accurately, conveniently and competitively collect ordering information and deliver results, so we built an internal solution that we call CareEvolve. That solution has been essential to our own operations and we also license the technology to other laboratories throughout the country. These other laboratories licensing our technology are not our competitors since they are outside our regional footprint. In 2001, we entered into a strategic marketing agreement with Roche Diagnostics to co-market CareEvolve to laboratories throughout the country. Thanks to the relationship with Roche, CareEvolve received funding during its early years and built a solid infrastructure for growth and marketing. However, over the subsequent years, it became apparent that the relationship had served its purpose and it was terminated by mutual consent. We now own all right, title and interest to CareEvolve and the informatics solution that has been built. We use it for our own customers and license its use to other laboratories.

We have also created our PSIMedica business unit which has developed a Clinical Knowledge Management (CKM) System that takes data from enrollment, claims, pharmacy, laboratory results and any other available electronic source to provide both administrative and clinical analysis of a population. The system uses proprietary algorithms to cleanse and configure the data and transfer the resulting information into a healthcare data repository. Using advanced cube technology methodologies, the data can be analyzed from a myriad of views and from highly granular transactional detail to global trended overview. Events such as the Katrina disaster in Louisiana this past summer and general pressures from the government have made development of an electronic medical record system and Pay-for Performance reimbursement priority goals in the healthcare industry. A large portion of an individual's medical record consists of laboratory data and a key performance indicator in any Pay-for-Performance initiative is laboratory result data. Our CKM system is a mature, full functioning solution that will, we believe, allow us to play a role in these important national initiatives.

To date, neither our PSIMedica business unit nor CareEvolve has produced significant revenues.

COMPARISON OF THIRD QUARTER 2006 VS THIRD QUARTER 2005

NET REVENUES:

Net revenues for the three month period ended July 31, 2006 were \$49,026 as compared to \$42,723 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005; which represents a 15% increase in net revenues. This increase is due to a 6% increase in patient counts and an 8% increase in net revenues per patient due to a shift in business to higher reimbursement esoteric testing which continues to be the principal driver in net revenue per patient.

The number of patients serviced during the three month period ended July 31, 2006 was approximately 783 thousand which was 6% greater when compared to the prior fiscal year's three month period. Net revenue per patient for the three month period ended July 31, 2006 was \$61.60 compared to net revenue per patient of \$57.17 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005, an increase of \$4.43 or 8%.

COST OF SERVICES:

Cost of Sales increased from \$21,553 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005 to \$24,683 for the three month period ended July 31, 2006, an increase of \$3,130 or 15% as compared to a 15% increase in net revenues. This increase is in line with the increase in net revenues. However, employee related expenses increased by \$2,051 (21%) and is primarily attributable to the acquisition made on October 28, 2005.

GROSS PROFITS:

Gross profits, increased from \$21,170 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005 to \$24,343 for the three month period ended July 31, 2006; an increase of \$3,173 or 15%. Gross profit margins for both comparable periods remained constant at 50%.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:

General and administrative expenses for the three month period ended July 31, 2005 was \$17,159 as compared to \$19,567 for the three month period ended July 31, 2006, an increase of \$2,408 or 14%. This increase is 1% less than the increase in net revenues.

INTEREST EXPENSE:

Interest expense increased to \$363 during the three month period ended July 31, 2006 from \$329 during the three month period ended July 31, 2005. This increase is due to an increase in the interest rates on the PNC Bank line of credit utilized by the Company. Management believes that this trend will continue in the future due to the continued use of our revolving line of credit to fund our expansion and growth and the expectation that interest rates will continue to increase.

INCOME:

We realized net income of 3,679 for the three month period ended July 31, 2006, as compared to \$2,493 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005 an increase of 48%. Pre-tax income for the period ended July 31, 2006 was \$4,459 compared to \$3,715 for the period ended July 31, 2005, an increase of 20%. The provision for income taxes decreased from \$1,222 for the three month period ended July 31, 2005 to \$780 for the three month period ended July 31, 2006. Due to an increase in our deferred tax assets, management believes that our provision for income taxes will approximate 32% in the fourth quarter of the current fiscal year.

NINE MONTHS 2006 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS 2005

NET REVENUES:

Net Revenues for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005 were \$139,133 as compared to \$119,607 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2006; this represents a 16% increase in net revenues. This increase is due to a 8% increase in patient counts and a 7% increase in revenue per patient due to a continuing shift in business to higher reimbursement esoteric testing.

The number of patients serviced during the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 was approximately 2.285 million which was 8% greater when compared to the prior fiscal year's nine month period. Net revenue per patient for the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 was \$60.19, compared to net revenue per patient for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005 of \$56.16, an increase of \$4.03 or 7%.

COST OF SERVICES:

Cost of Services increased to \$70,678 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 from \$61,304 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005. This amounts to a \$9,374 or a 15% increase in direct operating costs. This increase is 1% less than the increase in net revenues of 16%. However, employee related expenses increased by \$5,983 (22%) and is primarily attributable to the acquisition made on October 28, 2005.

GROSS PROFITS:

Gross profits on net revenues, increased to \$68,455 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 from \$58,303 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005; an increase of \$10,152 (17%) primarily attributable to the increase in net revenues. Gross profit margins for both comparable periods remained constant at 49%.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:

General and administrative expenses for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005 was \$50,145 as compared to \$57,240 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2006, an increase of \$7,095 or 14%. This increase is 2% less than the increase in net revenues.

INTEREST EXPENSE:

Interest expense increased to \$970 during the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 as compared to \$903 during the nine month period ended July 31, 2005, an increase of \$67. Management believes that this trend will continue in the future due to the continued use of our revolving line of credit to fund our expansion and growth and the expectation that interest rates will continue to increase.

INCOME:

We realized net income of \$7,580 for the nine months ended July 31, 2006 as compared to \$4,845 for the nine month period ended July 31, 2005, an increase of \$2,735 or (56%). Pre-tax income for the period ended July 31, 2006 was \$10,356, as compared to \$7,330 for the period ended July 31, 2005, an increase of \$3,026 (41%). The provision for income taxes increased from \$2,485 for the period ended July 31, 2005, to \$2,776 for the current nine month period.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES:

Our working capital at July 31, 2006 was \$38,262 as compared to \$30,515 at October 31, 2005 an increase of \$7,747. Our cash position increased by \$3,919 during the current period. We borrowed \$5,510 in short term debt and repaid \$1,691 in existing debt. We had current liabilities of \$38,571 at July 31, 2006. We generated \$1,932 in cash from operations, compared to \$11 in cash from operations for the period ended July 31, 2005, an overall increase of \$1,921 in cash generated from operations year over year.

Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts, totaled \$61,743 at July 31, 2006, an increase of \$8,630 from October 31, 2005 or 16%. This increase was primarily attributable to increased revenue. Cash collected during the nine month period ended July 31, 2006 increased 18% over the comparable nine month period.

Credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is generally diversified due to the large number of patients comprising our client base. We have significant receivable balances with government payors and various insurance carriers. Generally, we do not require collateral or other security to support customer receivables. However, we continually monitor and evaluate our client acceptance and collection procedures to minimize potential credit risks associated with our accounts receivable and establish an allowance for uncollectible accounts. As a consequence, we believe that our accounts receivable credit risk exposure beyond such allowance is not material to the financial statements.

A number of proposals for legislation continue to be under discussion which could substantially reduce Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements to clinical laboratories. Depending upon the nature of regulatory action, and the content of legislation, we could experience a significant decrease in revenues from Medicare and Medicaid, which could have a material adverse effect on us. We are unable to predict, however, the extent to which such actions will be taken.

Billing for laboratory services is complicated and we must bill various payors, such as the individual, the insurance company, the government (federal or state), the private company or the health clinic. Other factors that may complicate billing include:

- Differences between fee schedules and reimbursement rates.
- Incomplete or inaccurate billing information as provided by the physician.
- Disparity in coverage and information requirements.
- Disputes with payors.
- Internal and external compliance policies and procedures.

Significant costs are incurred as a result of our participation in government programs since billing and reimbursement for laboratory tests are subject to complex regulations. We perform the requested tests and report the results whether the information is correct or not or even missing. This adds to the complexity and slows the collection process and increases the aging of our accounts receivable (A/R). When patient invoices are not collected in a timely manner the item is written off to the allowance. Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) for the period ended July 31, 2006, rose to 117 days, an increase of 6 days, or 5%, from the 111 days that we reported at the end of fiscal year 2005. We believe that this increase is due to several factors. (1) There was a delay in getting reimbursement from a portion of the Medicare payments because Congress did not pass the Reconciliation Bill of 2005 until February 2006, resulting in an ongoing delay in getting paid for a portion of the Medicare payments effected by a temporary reduction in the physician fee schedule. Medicare expects the delay in payments to be caught up by July 2006. (2) HIPAA took full effect in April 2004 and, on an increasing basis, this has resulted in commercial payers implementing systems that require more information than they had previously required without warning or advice to providers such as our Company. Claims previously paid are now being rejected or delayed on the basis of new rules that are not being disclosed to providers. We are currently addressing this issue with software solutions that we expect will stabilize or improve this issue. (3)The pathology laboratory that we acquired on October 28, 2005, was unable to get paid by Medicare because of regulatory red-tape necessitated by the change in ownership. This problem was resolved during April 2006, however, the first payment was not received until mid July 2006..

In October 2004, the Company entered into an amended revolving note payable loan agreement with PNC Bank. The maximum amount of the credit line available to the Company pursuant to the loan agreement is the lesser of (i) \$30,000 or (ii) 50% of the Company s qualified accounts receivable [as defined in the agreement]. The amended loan agreement provides for an acquisition subline of up to \$10,000 which can be repaid in 36 equal monthly installments. The amendment to the Loan and Security Agreement provides for interest on advances to be subject, at the Company s option, to the bank s prime rate or the Eurodollar rate of interest plus, in certain instances, an additional interest percentage. The additional interest percentage charges on Eurodollar borrowings range from 1% to 4% and are determined based upon certain financial ratios achieved by the Company. At July 31, 2006, the Company had elected to have \$11,000 of the total advances outstanding converted into a Eurodollar rate loan with a variable interest rate of 6.75%. The remaining outstanding advances were subject to the bank s prime rate of interest. At July 31, 2006, advances outstanding of \$5,398 were subject to interest at the bank s prime rate (8.25%). The credit line is collateralized by substantially all of the Company s assets. The line of credit is available through October 2007 and may be extended for annual periods by mutual consent, thereafter. The terms of this agreement contain, among other provisions, requirements for maintaining defined levels of capital expenditures, fixed charge coverage, and the prohibition of the payment by the Company of cash dividends. As of July 31, 2006, the Company utilized \$18,944 (including \$2,546 utilized under the acquisition subline) and had \$13,602 of available unused credit under this revolving note payable loan agreement.

We intend to expand our laboratory operations through aggressive marketing while also diversifying into related medical fields through acquisitions. These acquisitions may involve cash, notes, common stock, and/or combinations thereof.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

	Over the Next Five Years	FY2006
Long - Term Debt	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,061
Capital Leases	6,823	2,504
Operating Leases	4,441	1,323
Purchase Obligations	18,211	7,594
Employment/Consultant Contracts	8,016	2,411
Total	\$ 38,552	\$ 14,893

Our cash balance at July 31, 2006 totaled \$8,222 as compared to \$4,303 at October 31, 2005. We believe that our cash position, the anticipated cash generated from future operations, and the availability of our credit line with PNC Bank, will meet our anticipated cash needs in fiscal 2006.

Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains historical information as well as forward-looking statements. Statements looking forward in time are included in this Quarterly Report pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to be materially different from any future performance suggested herein. For a further discussion concerning risks to our business, the results of our operations and our financial condition, reference is made to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2005.

Impact of Inflation

To date, inflation has not had a material effect on our operations.

New Authoritative Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This Statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement, in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. The Company will be required to adopt SFAS No. 154 as of November 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2006 FASB has issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements 133 and 140. The Statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006.

SFAS No. 155 does the following:

- Permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation.
- Clarifies which interest-only strips and principle-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133.
- Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation.
- Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives.
- Amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006 FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48) Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

This Interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

FIN 48 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

16

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods.

Accounting for Goodwill

We evaluate the recoverability and measure the possible impairment of goodwill under SFAS 142. The impairment test is a two-step process that begins with the estimation of the fair value of the reporting unit. The first step screens for potential impairment and the second step measures the amount of the impairment, if any. Management's estimate of fair value considers publicly available information regarding our market capitalization as well as (i) publicly available information regarding comparable publicly-traded companies in the clinical laboratory testing industry, (ii) the financial projections and future prospects of our business, including its growth opportunities and likely operational improvements, and (iii) comparable sales prices, if available. As part of the first step to assess potential impairment, management compares the estimate of fair value to book value of the Company's consolidated net assets. If the book value of the consolidated net assets is greater than the estimate of fair value, we then proceed to the second step to measure the impairment, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying value.

The implied fair value is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than its implied fair value, an impairment loss will be recognized in that period.

Accounting for Intangible and Other Long-Lived Assets

We evaluate the possible impairment of our long-lived assets, including intangible assets. We review the recoverability of our long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. Evaluation of possible impairment is based on our ability to recover the asset from the expected future pretax cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) of the related operations. If the expected undiscounted pretax cash flows are less than the carrying amount of such asset, an impairment loss is recognized for the difference between the estimated fair value and carrying amount of the asset.

Accounting for Revenue

Service revenues are principally generated from clinical laboratory testing services such as routine and esoteric testing. Net service revenues are recognized at the time the testing services are performed and are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered including prospectively determined adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payors. These adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined. The Company has a subsidiary that provides non-clinical laboratory services. Revenues generated from these services are not material for each of the years presented.

Accounting for Contractual Credits and Doubtful Accounts

An allowance for contractual credits is determined based upon a review of the reimbursement policies and subsequent collections for the different types of payors (such as the decrease in flow cytometry reimbursement rates from CMS (Medicare/Medicaid) starting January 1, 2005). Agings of accounts receivable are monitored by billing personnel and follow-up activities are conducted as necessary. Bad debt expense is recorded within selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales considered necessary to maintain the allowance for doubtful accounts at an appropriate level, based on our experience with our accounts receivable. We write off accounts against the allowance for doubtful accounts when they are deemed to be uncollectible. For client billing, accounts are written off when all reasonable

collection efforts prove to be unsuccessful. Patient accounts are written off after the normal dunning cycle has occurred and the account has been transferred to a third party collection agency. Third party accounts are written off when they exceed the payer's timely filing limits.

Accounting for Employment Benefit Plan

We sponsor the Bio-Reference Laboratories, Inc. 401(k) Profit-Sharing Plan [the Plan]. Our employees become eligible for participation after attaining the age of eighteen and completing one year of service. Participants may elect to contribute up to ten percent of their compensation, as defined in the Plan Adoption Agreement, to a maximum allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. We may choose to make a matching contribution to the plan for each participant who has elected to make tax-deferred contributions for the plan year, at a percentage determined each year by the Company. For the plan year beginning January 1, 2005 we elected to make a matching contribution of 3% of salary not to exceed \$500 per participant which amounted to \$182,739; no change to the contribution percentage is anticipated for the plan year beginning January 1, 2006. For the plan years beginning January 1, 2004 and 2003, we elected to make a matching contribution of 3% of salary not to exceed \$250 per participant which amounted to \$78,000 and \$71,000 respectively which were charged to operations. Our contribution will be fully vested after the third year of service.

Accounting for Income Taxes

We account for income taxes utilizing the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for tax loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Future tax benefits, such as net operating loss carryforwards, are recognized to the extent that realization of such benefits is more likely than not.

Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains historical information as well as forward-looking statements. Statements looking forward in time are included in this Quarterly Report pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to be materially different from any future performance suggested herein.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While many aspects of our business are subject to complex federal, state and local regulations, the accounting for our business is generally straightforward. Our revenues are primarily comprised of a high volume of relatively low dollar transactions, and about 42% of all our costs consist of employee compensation and benefits. Revenues are recognized at the time the services are performed and are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and others for services rendered including prospectively determined adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payors. These adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined. These estimates are reviewed and adjusted, if warranted, by senior management on a monthly basis. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are correct; however, several factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors in addition to those discussed under the caption Cautionary Statements contained in Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2005, as well as elsewhere herein including:

- our failure to integrate newly acquired businesses (if any) and the cost related to such integration.
- our failure to obtain and retain new customers and alliance partners, or a reduction in tests ordered or specimens submitted by existing customers.
- adverse results from investigations of clinical laboratories by the government, which may include significant monetary damages and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs.
- loss or suspension of a license or imposition of a fine or penalties under, or future changes in, the law or regulations of CLIA-88, or those of Medicare, Medicaid or other federal, state or local agencies.

- changes in federal, state, local and third party payor regulations or policies (or in the Interpretation of current regulations) affecting governmental and third-party reimbursement for clinical laboratory testing (such as the decrease in Medicare reimbursement for Flow Cytometry testing which occurred in the first quarter of calendar year 2005).
- failure to comply with the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements and the recently passed Needlestick Safety and Prevention Act.
- failure to comply with HIPAA, which could result in significant fines as well as substantial criminal penalties.
- changes in payor mix.
- failure to maintain acceptable days sales outstanding levels.
- increased competition, including price competition.
- our ability to attract and retain experienced and qualified personnel.
- adverse litigation results.
- liabilities that result from our inability to comply with new corporate governance requirements.
- failure to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Item 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We do not invest in or trade market risk sensitive instruments. We also do not have any foreign operations or significant foreign sales so that our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk is minimal.

We do have exposure to both rising and falling interest rates. At July 31, 2006, advances of approximately \$5,398 under our Loan Agreement with PNC Bank were subject to interest charges at the Bank's then prime rate of 8.25%. In addition, we elected to have the remaining \$11,000 of advances outstanding at said date converted into a Eurodollar rate loan with a variable interest rate of 6.75%.

We estimate that our monthly cash interest expense at July 31, 2006 was approximately \$108 and that a one percentage point increase or decrease in short-term rates would increase or decrease our monthly interest expense by approximately \$14.

Item 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; ii) provide reasonable

Edgar Filing: BIO REFERENCE LABORATORIES INC - Form 10-Q

assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

19

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance regarding the prevention or detection of misstatements because of inherent limitations. These inherent limitations are known by management and considered in the design of the Company's internal control over financial reporting which reduce, though not eliminate this risk.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of July 31, 2006.

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended July 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

20

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC.
PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 4 Submission to a Vote of Security Holders

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on July 20, 2006. At the meeting, the following three individuals were elected by the following vote to serve as Class III directors, each for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and qualified.

	For	Withheld
Joseph Benincasa	11,258,400	348,323
Gary Lederman	10,858,830	744,893
John Roglieri	10,825,554	737,169

Our other directors whose term continued are as follows:

Marc D. Grodman	Class I director
Howard Dubinett	Class I director
Sam Singer	Class II director
Harry Elias	Class II director

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31A Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31B Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32A Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Chief Executive Officer
- 32B Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 of Chief Financial Officer

21

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIO-REFERENCE LABORATORIES, INC.
(Registrant)

/S/ Marc D. Grodman
Marc D. Grodman, M.D.
President and Chief Executive Officer

/S/ Sam Singer
Sam Singer
Chief Financial and Accounting Officer

Date: September 5, 2006

22
