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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RELATED NOTES

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS



See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(Unaudited)


[^0]3

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS



See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (Unaudited)

|  |  |  | Additional paid-in capital | Retained earnings | Treasury stock |  | Accumulated other comprehensiv loss |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance December 31, 2005 | \$ | 22,443 | 19,868,567 | 25,322,019 | (414,514 | ) | (725,908 | ) | 44,072,607 |  |
| Net earnings |  |  |  | 3,017,004 |  |  |  |  | 3,017,004 |  |
| Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale and interest rate swap, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  | (719,360 | ) | (719,360 | ) |
| Dividends paid ( $\$ 0.3238$ per share) |  |  |  | (757,722 | ) |  |  |  | (757,722 | ) |
| Stock-based compensation |  |  | 62,543 |  |  |  |  |  | 62,543 |  |
| Exercise of stock options, 667 shares, including tax benefit of \$2,503 | 7 |  | 11,987 |  |  |  |  |  | 11,994 |  |
| Balance June 30, 2006 | \$ | 22,450 | 19,943,097 | 27,581,301 | (414,514 | ) | (1,445,268 | ) | 45,687,066 |  |
| Balance December 31, 2006 | \$ | 23,417 | 22,607,510 | 26,758,056 | (138,506 | ) | (14,462 | ) | 49,236,015 |  |
| Net earnings |  |  |  | 2,598,223 |  |  |  |  | 2,598,223 |  |
| Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1,111,842 | ) | (1,111,842 | ) |
| Dividends paid ( $\$ 0.38$ per share) |  |  |  | (886,342 | ) |  |  |  | (886,342 | ) |
| Stock-based compensation |  |  | 62,124 |  |  |  |  |  | 62,124 |  |
| Exercise of stock options, 1,181 shares, including tax benefit of \$3,774 | 12 |  | 24,253 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,265 |  |
| Purchase of 24,771 treasury shares |  |  |  |  | (700,689 | ) |  |  | (700,689 | ) |
| Balance June 30, 2007 | \$ | 23,429 | 22,693,887 | 28,469,937 | (839,195 | ) | (1,126,304 |  | 49,221,754 |  |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Interim Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Landmark Bancorp, Inc. (the Company ) and subsidiary have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. To the extent that information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements are contained in or consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements incorporated by reference in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, such information and footnotes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial statements have been reflected herein. The December 31, 2006, condensed consolidated balance sheet has been derived from the audited consolidated balance sheet as of that date. The results of the interim period ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2007.

## 2. Income Tax

The Company adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Financial Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes ( FIN 48 ) on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 provides a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. Unrecognized tax benefits represent tax positions for which reserves have been established. The Company s adoption of FIN 48 did not have any effect on its consolidated financial statements. As of the date of adoption, our gross unrecognized tax benefits totaled approximately $\$ 1.2$ million. The anticipated amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably impact our effective tax rate was $\$ 777,000$. Included in this unrecognized tax benefit, are interest and penalties of $\$ 245,000$. We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense in our consolidated statements of earnings.

Tax years that remain open and subject to audit include the years 2003 through 2006 for both federal and state. We believe that it is reasonably possible that a reduction in unrecognized tax benefits of approximately $\$ 200,000$ is possible during the next 12 months.

## 3. Stock Based Compensation

A summary of option activity during the first six months of 2007 is presented below.

|  | Shares | Weighted average exercise price |  | Weighted average remaining contractual term | Aggregate intrinsic value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 246,226 | \$ | 24.18 | 7.77 years | \$ | 755,000 |
| Granted |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n} /$ |  |
| Cancelled |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / 2$ |  |
| Exercised | 1,181 |  |  |  | \$ | 11,101 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2007 | 245,045 | \$ | 24.22 | 7.30 years | \$ | 1,005,000 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2007 | 130,695 | \$ | 22.90 | 6.39 years | \$ | 709,000 |
| Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2007 | 235,015 | \$ | 24.16 | 7.27 years | \$ | 978,000 |
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Additional information about stock options exercised is presented below.

|  | Six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |  |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised | $\$$ | 11,101 | $\$$ | 7,360 |
| Cash received from options exercised | $\$$ | 20,491 | $\$$ | 9,491 |
| Tax benefit realized from options exercised | $\$$ | 3,774 | $\$$ | 2,503 |

As of June 30, 2007, there was $\$ 287,000$ of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 4 years. The total fair value (at vest date) of shares vested during the six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was $\$ 348,000$ and $\$ 103,000$ respectively.

## 4. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share have been computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share include the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during each period. Earnings and dividends per share for prior periods have been adjusted to give effect to the $5 \%$ stock dividend paid by the Company in December 2006.

The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share are shown below:

|  | Three months ended June 30, 2007 2006 |  | Six months ended June 30, 2007$2006$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings available to common stockholders | \$ 1,353,603 | 1,596,090 | 2,598,223 | 3,017,004 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic) | 2,325,858 | 2,340,374 | 2,330,765 | 2,340,199 |
| Dilutive stock options | 19,726 | 12,981 | 19,050 | 10,265 |
| Weighted average common shares (diluted) | 2,345,584 | 2,353,355 | 2,349,815 | 2,350,464 |
| Net earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.29 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.68 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.28 |

## 5. Other Comprehensive Income

The Company s other comprehensive loss consists of the unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities and an unrealized gain on an interest rate swap, which was terminated in December 2006, as shown below.
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Accumulated other comprehensive loss related entirely to investment securities at June 30, 2007. The components at June 30, 2006 were $\$ 113,000$ in cash flow hedging activities and $(\$ 1,558,000)$ in available for sale investment securities.

## 6. Other Intangible Assets

The following is an analysis of changes in the core deposit intangible assets:

|  | Six months ended June, 30, 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair value at acquisition |  | Accumulated Amortization |  |  | Fair value at acquisition |  | Accumulated Amortization |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 5,396,065 | \$ | (1,667,478 | ) | \$ | 2,818,602 | \$ | (774,588 | ) |
| Additions |  |  |  |  |  |  | ,463 |  |  |  |
| Amortization |  |  |  | 880 | ) |  |  |  |  | ) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 5,396,065 | \$ | (2,072,358 | ) | \$ | 5,396,065 | \$ | (1,228,525 |  |

The following is an analysis of changes in the mortgage servicing rights:

|  | Six months ended June, 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 on January 1, 2007 and elected to maintain the amortized cost method and carry the mortgage servicing rights at the lower of cost or market value. The balance of the mortgage servicing rights can fluctuate based on the performance of the underlying loans serviced. The mortgage servicing rights correspond to loans serviced by the Company for unrelated third parties with outstanding principal balances of $\$ 95.6$ million and $\$ 101.8$ million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Gross service fee income related to such loans was $\$ 62,000$ and $\$ 67,000$ for the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively, which is included in fees and service charges in the condensed consolidated statements of earnings. Gross service fee income related to such loans was $\$ 126,000$ and $\$ 135,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Aggregate amortization expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was $\$ 236,000$ and $\$ 260,000$, respectively and $\$ 470,000$ and $\$ 524,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The following depicts estimated amortization expense for the Company s core deposit intangible assets and mortgage servicing rights for the remainder of 2007 and in successive years ending December 31:

| Year | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2007 | $\$ 460,000$ |
| 2008 | 832,000 |
| 2009 | 631,000 |
| 2010 | 500,000 |
| 2011 | 402,000 |
| Thereafter | 736,000 |

## 7. Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 . SFAS No. 155 permits fair value remeasurement for certain hybrid financial instruments containing embedded derivatives, and clarifies the derivative accounting requirements for interest and principal-only strip securities and interests in securitized financial assets. It also clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and eliminates a previous prohibition on qualifying special-purpose entities from holding certain derivative financial instruments. SFAS No. 155 became effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after January 1, 2007. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 . SFAS No. 156 specifies situations in which servicing assets and servicing liabilities must be recognized. It requires these assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value and specifies acceptable measurement methods subsequent to their recognition. Separate presentation in the financial statements and additional disclosures are also required. The Company adopted SFAS No. 156 effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of the SFAS No. 156 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Also in March 2006, the FASB issued Staff Position 85-4-1 (Position), Accounting for Life Settlement Contracts by Third Party Investors . The Position provides initial and subsequent measurement guidance and financial statement presentation and disclosure guidance for investments by third-party investors in life settlement contracts. The investments must be accounted for by either (a) recognizing the initial investment at transaction price plus direct external costs and capitalizing continuing costs, with no gain recognized in earnings until the insured event occurs, or (b) recognizing the initial investment at transaction price and remeasuring the investment at fair value at each reporting period, with fair value changes recognized in earnings as they occur. The Company began applying the guidance in the Position beginning January 1, 2007. The adoption of the Position did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements . SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It does not require any new fair value measurements for existing financial instruments. For calendar year companies, SFAS
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No. 157 is effective beginning January 1, 2008. We do not expect that adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements, was ratified. EITF Issue 06-4 addresses accounting for separate agreements that split life insurance policy benefits between an employer and employee. The EITF Issue $06-4$ requires the employer to recognize a liability for future benefits payable to an employee under these agreements. The effects of applying EITF Issue 06-4 must be recognized through either a change in accounting principle through an adjustment to equity or through the retrospective application to all prior periods. For calendar year companies, the EITF Issue 06-4 is effective beginning January 1, 2008. We do not expect that adoption of the EITF Issue 06-4 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the EITF Issue 06-5, Accounting for Purchases of Life Insurance Determining the Amount That Could Be Realized in Accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 85-4 , was ratified. The EITF Issue 06-5 addresses accounting for what could be realized as an asset and provides clarification regarding additional amounts included in the contractual terms of an individual policy in determining the amount that could be realized under the insurance contract. The effects of applying this issue must be recognized through an adjustment to equity or through the retrospective application to all prior periods. The Company adopted EITF issue $06-5$ effective beginning January 1, 2007. The adoption of EITF Issue 06-5 did not have any effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. SFAS No. 159 allows companies to elect fair-value measurement of specified financial instruments and warranty and insurance contracts when an eligible asset or liability is initially recognized or when an event, such as a business combination triggers a new basis of accounting for that asset or liability. The election, called the fair-value option, will enable companies to reduce the volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. The election is available for eligible assets or liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis without electing it for identical assets or liabilities under certain restrictions. For calendar year companies, SFAS No. 159 is effective beginning January 1, 2008. We do not expect that adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview. Landmark Bancorp, Inc. is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the banking business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank. Landmark Bancorp is listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol LARK . Landmark National Bank is dedicated to providing quality financial and banking services to its local communities. Landmark National Bank originates commercial, commercial real estate, one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, consumer loans, multi-family residential mortgage loans and home equity loans.

Our results of operations depend primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand and deposit flows. In addition, we are subject to interest rate risk to the degree that our interest-earning assets mature or reprice at different times, or at different speeds, than our interest-bearing liabilities. Our results of operations are also affected by non-interest income, such as service charges, loan fees and gains and losses from the sale of newly originated loans and investments. Our principal operating expenses, aside from interest expense, consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy costs, federal deposit insurance costs, data processing expenses and provision for loan losses.

We are significantly impacted by prevailing economic conditions including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulations of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by numerous factors such as competing personal investments, the level of personal income and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Factors influencing lending activities include the demand for housing and the interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions.

Critical Accounting Policies. Critical accounting policies are those which are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and require our management s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of investment securities, accounting for income taxes and the accounting related to business acquisitions, all of which involve significant judgment by our management.

We perform periodic and systematic detailed reviews of our lending portfolio to assess overall collectability. The level of the allowance for loan losses reflects our estimate of the collectability of the loan portfolio. While these estimates are based on substantive methods for determining allowance requirements, nevertheless, actual outcomes may differ significantly from estimated results. Additional explanation of the methodologies used in establishing this reserve is provided in the Asset Quality and Distribution section.

We report our investment securities at estimated fair values based on published market ascertainable values, which are obtained from independent sources. We perform periodic reviews of the fair value of investment securities to determine if any declines in value might be considered other than temporary. Our most recent review showed that the decrease in fair value of the securities, resulting in an unrealized loss position, was related to changes in interest rates. None of the unrealized losses are related to credit deterioration. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these
securities until market values recover, including up to the maturity date. Although we believe that our estimates of the fair values of investment securities to be reasonable, economic and market factors may affect the amounts that will ultimately be realized from these investments.

The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity s financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Under FIN 48, an income tax position will be recognized if it is more likely than not that it will be sustained upon IRS examination, based upon its technical merits. Once that status is met, the amount recorded will be the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Changes in estimates regarding the actual outcome of these future tax consequences, including the effects of IRS examinations and examinations by other state agencies, could materially impact our financial position and results of operations.

We have completed several business and asset acquisitions which have generated significant amounts of goodwill and intangible assets and related amortization. The values assigned to goodwill and intangibles, as well as their related useful lives, are subject to judgment and estimation by the Company. Goodwill and intangibles related to acquisitions are determined and based on purchase price allocations. Valuation of intangible assets is generally based on the estimated cash flows related to those assets, while the initial value assigned to goodwill is the residual of the purchase price over the fair value of all identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Performing such a discounted cash flow analysis involves the use of estimates and assumptions. Useful lives are determined based on the expected future period of the benefit of the asset, the assessment of which considers various characteristics of the asset, including the historical cash flows. Due to the number of estimates involved related to the allocation of purchase price and determining the appropriate useful lives of intangible assets, we have identified purchase accounting as a critical accounting policy. If the carrying value of the goodwill and other intangible assets exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss is recorded.

Summary of Results. Net earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2007, decreased $\$ 242,000$, or $15.2 \%$, to $\$ 1.4$ million as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2006. The three months ended June 30, 2007 resulted in diluted earnings per share of $\$ 0.58$ compared to $\$ 0.68$ for the same period in 2006. Return on average assets, on an annualized basis, was $0.90 \%$ for the period compared to $1.07 \%$ for the same period in 2006. Return on average stockholders equity, on an annualized basis, was $10.83 \%$ for the period compared to $14.05 \%$ for the same period in 2006.

[^1]The following table summarizes net earnings per share and key performance measures for the periods presented.

|  | Three months ended June 30,2007 |  |  |  |  | Six months ended June 30, 2007$2006$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.58 |  |  | 0.68 |  |  | 1.11 |  | \$ |  |
| Diluted earnings per share |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.68 |  |  | 1.11 |  | \$ |  |
| Earnings ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets (1) | 0.90 |  | \% | 1.07 |  | \% | 0.87 |  | \% | 1.02 | \% |
| Return on average equity (1) | 10.83 |  | \% | 14.05 |  | \% | 10.51 |  | \% | 13.54 | \% |
| Dividend payout ratio | 32.76 |  | \% | 23.94 |  | \% | 34.23 |  | \% | 25.19 | \% |
| Net interest margin (1) (2) | 3.50 |  | \% | 3.50 |  | \% | 3.54 |  | \% | 3.54 | \% |

(1) The ratio has been annualized and is not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.
(2) Net interest margin is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.

Interest Income. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2007, increased $\$ 656,000$, or $7.9 \%$, to $\$ 9.0$ million from $\$ 8.3$ million in the same period of 2006 , resulting from increased interest income on both investment securities and loans. Average loans for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 decreased to $\$ 383.9$ million from $\$ 393.9$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2006. Offsetting the decline in average loans was the rise in interest rates experienced throughout 2006, which contributed to the increased interest income. As a result, interest income on loans increased $\$ 351,000$, or $5.1 \%$, to $\$ 7.2$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. Average investment securities increased from $\$ 144.6$ million for the quarter ended June 30,2006 , to $\$ 160.4$ million for the quarter ended June 30,2007 . Along with the increase in average investments for the comparable periods, and despite the increased holdings of tax exempt investments, interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 325,000$, or $22.4 \%$, to $\$ 1.8$ million for the second quarter of 2007, as compared to the same period of 2006. The increase in interest rates, which resulted in yields on our investments purchased being higher than the yields on the investments which either matured or were sold during the past year, was responsible for the increase in income from investment securities.

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2007, increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $8.2 \%$, to $\$ 17.8$ million from $\$ 16.5$ million in the same period of 2006, resulting from increased interest income on both investment securities and loans. Average loans for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased to $\$ 383.7$ million from $\$ 392.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Offsetting the decline in average loans was the rise in interest rates experienced throughout 2006, which contributed to the increased interest income. As a result, interest income on loans increased $\$ 837,000$, or $6.2 \%$, to $\$ 14.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Average investment securities increased from $\$ 149.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, to $\$ 156.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Along with the increase in average investments for the comparable periods, and despite the increased holdings of tax exempt investments, interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 589,000$, or $20.5 \%$, to $\$ 3.5$ million for the first six months of 2007 , as compared to the same period of 2006. The increase in interest rates, which resulted in yields on our investments purchased being higher than the yields on the investments which either matured or were sold during the past year, was responsible for the increase in income from investment securities.

Interest Expense. Interest expense during the three months ended June 30, 2007, increased $\$ 736,000$, or $19.4 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2006. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, interest expense on deposits increased $\$ 746,000$, or $28.5 \%$. Average deposits increased from $\$ 389.8$ million during the quarter ended June 30 , 2006 to $\$ 398.1$ million for the quarter ended June 30,
2007. The increase in interest expense on deposits resulted from higher deposit balances as well as the repricing of deposits due to the rise in interest rates. Average borrowings for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 decreased to $\$ 99.2$ million from $\$ 107.4$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2006. Despite the lower average balances, interest expense on borrowings only decreased $\$ 10,000$, or $0.8 \%$, to $\$ 1.2$ million. The increase in interest rates, which increased the cost of our borrowings, offset the lower average borrowings.

Interest expense during the six months ended June 30,2007 , increased $\$ 1.6$ million, or $21.6 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2006 . For the six months ended June 30, 2007, interest expense on deposits increased $\$ 1.6$ million, or $31.1 \%$. Average deposits increased from $\$ 392.6$ million during the six months ended June 30,2006 to $\$ 397.6$ million for the six months ended June 30,2007 . The increase in interest expense on deposits resulted from higher deposit balances as well as the repricing of deposits due to the rise in interest rates. Average borrowings for the six months ended June 30, 2007 decreased to $\$ 96.5$ million from $\$ 103.6$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2006. Despite the lower average balances, interest expense on borrowings increased $\$ 7,000$, or $0.3 \%$, to $\$ 2.3$ million. The increase in interest rates, which increased the cost of our borrowings, more than offset the lower average borrowings.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2007, totaled $\$ 4.5$ million, decreasing $\$ 80,000$, or $1.8 \%$, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2006. This decline in net interest income was due primarily to the increases in our cost of funding outpacing the increases in our yields on interest earning assets. Average earning assets increased during the second quarter of 2007 , increasing to $\$ 544.3$ million from $\$ 538.6$ million for the second quarter of 2006. However, as a result of increased investments in tax exempt municipal securities, our net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis remained at $3.50 \%$.

Net interest income for the six months ended June 30,2007 , totaled $\$ 9.0$ million, decreasing $\$ 227,000$, or $2.5 \%$, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006. This decline in net interest income was due primarily to the increases in our cost of funding outpacing the increases in our yields on interest earning assets. Average earning assets decreased during the first six months 2007, decreasing to $\$ 540.4$ million from $\$ 541.4$ million for the first six months of 2006 . However, as a result of increased investments in tax exempt municipal securities, our net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis remained at $3.54 \%$.

Provision for Loan Losses. We maintain, and our Board of Directors monitors, an allowance for losses on loans. The allowance is established based upon management s periodic evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, review of significant individual loans and collateral, review of delinquent loans, past loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay, current market conditions, and other factors management deems important. Determining the appropriate level of reserves involves a high degree of management judgment and is based upon historical and projected losses in the loan portfolio and the collateral value of specifically identified problem loans. Allowance policies are subject to periodic review and revision in response to a number of factors, including current market conditions, actual loss experience and management s expectations.

The provision for loan losses for the three months ended June 30, 2007, was $\$ 60,000$, compared to a provision of $\$ 15,000$ during the three months ended June 30, 2006. The provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2007, was $\$ 125,000$, compared to a provision of $\$ 75,000$ during the six months ended June 30, 2006. One measure of the adequacy of the allowance for estimated losses
on loans is the ratio of the allowance to the total loan portfolio. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, the allowance for loan losses was $\$ 4.1$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million, or $1.1 \%$ of gross loans outstanding. For further discussion of the allowance for loan losses, refer to the Asset Quality and Distribution section.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income decreased $\$ 427,000$, or $22.0 \%$, for the three months ended June 30, 2007, to $\$ 1.5$ million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2006. In the second quarter of 2006, a $\$ 682,000$ gain was recognized with the sale of certain assets, primarily the 800 Poyntz facility, which was partially offset by $\$ 444,000$ in net losses on sale of investments. These items comprised $55.7 \%$ of the decrease in non-interest income for the second quarter of 2007 as compared to 2006. Additionally, this decline was the result of a $\$ 67,000$, or $20.7 \%$, decrease in gains on sale of loans, a decrease in fees and service charges, led by a decline in loan fees of $\$ 84,000$ and a $\$ 34,000$ decline in deposit related income.

Non-interest income decreased $\$ 790,000$, or $21.7 \%$, for the six months ended June 30, 2007, to $\$ 2.8$ million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006. This decrease in 2007 primarily related to certain items recognized during the first six months of 2006, comprised of $\$ 728,000$ in gains recognized in connection with the sale of certain assets, primarily the 800 Poyntz facility during the first six months of 2006, which was partially offset by $\$ 300,000$ in net losses on sale of investments as we restructured our investment portfolio by selling lower yielding, shorter-term investments and purchasing higher yielding, longer-term investments during the second quarter of 2006. Furthering this decline was a decrease in gains on sale of loans of $\$ 147,000$, or $25.1 \%$, as well as a decrease in fees and service charges led by a decline in loan fees of $\$ 142,000$ and a decline of $\$ 82,000$ in deposit related income. Offsetting these declines was a $\$ 70,000$ increase in the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance, which resulted from the purchase of additional policies totaling $\$ 7.5$ million in March 2006.

|  | Three months ended June 30, |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Fees and service charges | \$ 1,010,384 | \$ 1,128,463 | \$ 1,911,515 | \$ 2,135,335 |
| Gains on sale of loans | 256,116 | 322,983 | 439,408 | 586,364 |
| Losses on sale of investments |  | (443,797 |  | (300,256 ) |
| Gains on sale of other assets |  | 681,630 |  | 728,453 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 114,143 | 112,300 | 229,967 | 157,654 |
| Other | 133,715 | 139,746 | 262,337 | 325,316 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 1,514,358 | \$ 1,941,325 | \$ 2,843,227 | \$ 3,632,866 |

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense decreased $\$ 59,000$, or $1.4 \%$, to $\$ 4.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2006. Contributing to the reduction in non-interest expense was a $\$ 79,000$ decrease in compensation and benefits and a $\$ 23,000$ decrease in amortization expense. Offsetting those reductions were increases of $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 35,000$ in data processing and other non-interest expense, respectively.

Non-interest expense decreased $\$ 139,000$, or $1.6 \%$, to $\$ 8.3$ million for the six months ended June 30,2007 , as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006. Contributing to the reduction in non-interest expense was a $\$ 172,000$ decrease in compensation and benefits and a $\$ 54,000$ decrease in amortization expense. Offsetting those reductions were increases of $\$ 57,000$ and $\$ 42,000$ in data processing and professional fees, respectively.

|  | Three months ended June 30, 2007 <br> 2006 |  | Six months ended June 30, 2007 <br> 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ 2,033,323 | \$ 2,122,612 | \$ 4,076,558 | \$ 4,248,576 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 676,389 | 687,102 | 1,375,358 | 1,396,022 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 236,188 | 259,679 | 470,097 | 524,172 |
| Data processing | 202,290 | 187,543 | 405,610 | 348,990 |
| Professional fees | 134,412 | 126,349 | 252,319 | 210,726 |
| Advertising | 106,475 | 111,219 | 215,363 | 218,737 |
| Other | 768,702 | 732,650 | 1,519,867 | ,506,785 |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 4,157,779 | \$ 4,217,154 | \$ 8,315,172 | \$ 8,454,008 |

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 251,000$, or $38.0 \%$, from $\$ 660,000$ for the three months ended June 30,2006 , to $\$ 409,000$ for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in income tax expense was the result of a $\$ 493,000$ decrease in earnings before income taxes for the second quarter of 2007 as compared to the second quarter of 2006 and increased purchases of tax exempt municipal bonds and bank owned life insurance. The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2007, decreased to $23.2 \%$ from $29.3 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006.

Income tax expense decreased $\$ 509,000$, or $39.8 \%$, from $\$ 1.3$ million for the six months ended June 30,2006 , to $\$ 770,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in income tax expense was the result of a $\$ 927,000$ decrease in earnings before income taxes for the first six months of 2007 as compared to the six months quarter of 2006 and increased purchases of tax exempt municipal bonds and bank owned life insurance. The effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2007 , decreased to $22.9 \%$ from $29.8 \%$ in the first six months of 2006 .

Asset Quality and Distribution. Our primary investing activities are the origination of commercial, mortgage, consumer loans and the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities. We have concentrated on generating commercial loans over the past few years and are pleased with the growth in this segment of our loan portfolio. Generally, we originate fixed-rate, residential mortgage loans with maturities in excess of ten years for sale in the secondary market. While the January 1, 2006 First Manhattan Bancorporation, Inc. acquisition increased our one-to-four family residential loan totals, as the majority of the acquired loans were residential, we plan to continue our strategy to expand our commercial lending activities. Total assets increased to $\$ 603.4$ million at June 30, 2007, compared to $\$ 590.6$ million at December 31, 2006. While net loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased $\$ 856,000$ to $\$ 380.2$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to December 31, 2006, the composition of loans changed more substantially as our commercial and commercial real estate loans increased by $\$ 16.4$ million and our one-to-four family residential loans decreased by $\$ 15.6$ million.

Loans consist of the following:

|  | June 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real estate loans: | $\$ 135,712,108$ | $\$ 151,299,911$ |  |
| One-to-four family residential | $107,807,342$ | $98,314,119$ |  |
| Commercial | $30,675,409$ | $33,600,313$ |  |
| Construction | $100,571,287$ | $90,758,185$ |  |
| Commercial loans | $9,784,207$ | $9,595,428$ |  |
| Consumer loans | $384,550,353$ | $383,567,956$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  | 266,122 | 214,665 |  |
| Less: Deferred loan fees and loans in process | $4,105,129$ | $4,029,710$ |  |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | $\$$ | $380,179,102$ | $\$$ |
| Loans, net |  | $379,323,581$ |  |

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for losses on loans based on our evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of its loan activity. Such evaluation, which includes a review of all loans with respect to which full collectibility may not be reasonably assured, considers the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, level of classified loans and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate allowance for loan losses. We believe that we use the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses, unforeseen market conditions could result in adjustment to the allowance for loan losses. In addition, net earnings could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in establishing the allowance for loan losses.

A summary of the activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

|  | Six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 4,029,710 |  | \$ | 3,15 |  |
| Allowance of acquired bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge-offs |  |  | ) |  |  | ) |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 4,105,129 |  | \$ | 4,048, |  |

We believe that the quality of the loan portfolio continues to be strong. Given the recent subprime mortgage lending concerns within the industry, it is worth noting that this pipeline growth has occurred while continuing our practice of not offering subprime loan products. As of June 30, 2007, loans with a balance of $\$ 3.9$ million were on non-accrual status, which was $1.0 \%$ of total loans, compared to loan balances totaling $\$ 3.6$ million on non-accrual status, or $0.9 \%$ of total loans, as of December 31, 2006. In addition, the ratio of non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets was $0.7 \%$ as of both December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2007. Net loan charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were $\$ 50,000$ compared to $\$ 69,000$ for the comparable period of 2006 . Residential home loans comprised $57.7 \%$ of the $\$ 3.9$ million non-accrual balance at June 30, 2007. These loans have been underwritten according to our residential lending policies and are secured by real estate collateral, and in many instances, private mortgage insurance or government guarantees. We have historically incurred minimal losses on mortgage loans based upon collateral values and underlying insurance or guarantees. We are aggressively pursuing collection activity of these loans which should enable the collection of outstanding principal.

Liability Distribution. Our primary ongoing sources of funds are deposits, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities and proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans and investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, competition and the restructuring of the financial services industry. Total deposits increased $\$ 1.6$ million to $\$ 446.1$ million at June 30, 2007, from $\$ 444.5$ million at December 31, 2006. Borrowings increased $\$ 9.6$ million to $\$ 100.0$ million at June 30, 2007, from $\$ 90.4$ million at December 31, 2006.

Non-interest bearing demand accounts at June 30, 2007, were $\$ 51.1$ million, or $11.5 \%$ of deposits, compared to $\$ 48.4$ million, or $10.9 \%$ of deposits, at December 31, 2006. Certificates of deposit increased to $\$ 232.9$ million, or $52.2 \%$ of deposits, at June 30, 2007, from $\$ 231.1$ million, or $52.0 \%$ of deposits at December 31, 2006. Money market and NOW demand accounts decreased to $\$ 134.7$ million at June 30, 2007, or $30.2 \%$ of deposits, from $\$ 137.3$ million, or $30.9 \%$ of deposits, at December 31, 2006. Savings accounts decreased to $\$ 27.3$ million at June 30, 2007, or $6.1 \%$ of deposits, from $\$ 27.7$ million, or $6.2 \%$ of deposits, at December 31, 2006.

Certificates of deposit at June 30, 2007, which were scheduled to mature in one year or less, totaled $\$ 195.7$ million. Historically, maturing deposits have generally remained with our bank and we believe that a significant portion of the deposits maturing in one year or less will remain with us upon maturity.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments. The following table presents our contractual obligations, defined as operating lease obligations and principal payments due on non-deposit obligations with maturities in excess of one year as of June 30, 2007, for the periods indicated. Unrealized tax benefits related to tax uncertainties which are not more likely than not are not included in the following table as the timing and resolution of these unrealized benefits can not be reasonably estimated.

| Contractual obligations | Total |  | One year or less |  | One to three years |  | Four to five years |  | More than five years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating leases | \$ | 157,708 | \$ | 64,884 | \$ | 91,944 | \$ | 880 | \$ |  |
| Service contracts |  | ,000 |  | ,000 |  | ,000 |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB borrowings |  | 3,473 |  | ,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 0,057 |  | ,416 |
| Other borrowings |  | 7,545 |  | ,545 |  | ,000 |  |  |  | 6,000 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ | 104,790,726 | \$ | 17,268,429 | \$ | 49,606,944 | \$ | 16,990,937 | \$ | 20,92 |

Liquidity. Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available for sale. The levels of these assets are dependent on the operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. These liquid assets totaled $\$ 170.2$ million at June 30,2007 , and $\$ 160.6$ million at December 31, 2006. During periods in which we are not able to originate a sufficient amount of loans and/or periods of high principal prepayments, we increase our liquid assets by investing in short-term U.S. Government and agency securities or high-grade municipal securities.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of our strategy. Excess funds are generally invested in short-term investments. In the event we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, additional funds are generally available through the use of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, a line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank or through sales of securities. At June 30, 2007, we had outstanding Federal Home Loan Bank advances of $\$ 61.4$ million and $\$ 8.8$ million of borrowings against our line of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank. At June 30, 2007, our total borrowing capacity with the Federal Home Loan Bank was $\$ 111.7$ million. We also had other borrowings of $\$ 29.8$ million at June 30, 2007, which included $\$ 16.5$ million of subordinated debentures, $\$ 6.2$ million of long-term debt and $\$ 7.1$ million in repurchase agreements.

As a provider of financial services, we routinely issue financial guarantees in the form of financial and performance standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by us generally to guarantee the payment or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. While these standby letters of credit represent a potential outlay by us, a significant amount of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. We have recourse against the customer for any amount the customer is required to pay to a third party under a standby letter of credit. The letters of credit are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans originated by us. Most of the standby letters of credit are secured, and in the event of nonperformance by the customer, we have the right to the underlying collateral, which could include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. The contract amount of these standby letters of credit, which represents the maximum potential future payments guaranteed by us, was $\$ 2.6$ million at June 30, 2007.

At June 30, 2007, we had outstanding loan commitments, excluding standby letters of credit, of $\$ 69.3$ million. We anticipate that sufficient funds will be available to meet current loan commitments. These commitments consist of unfunded lines of credit and commitments to finance real estate loans.

Capital. The Federal Reserve Board has established capital requirements for bank holding companies which generally parallel the capital requirements for national banks under the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regulations. The regulations provide that such standards will generally be applied on a consolidated (rather than a bank-only) basis in the case of a bank holding company with more than $\$ 150$ million in total consolidated assets. Banks and bank holding companies are generally expected to operate at or above the minimum capital requirements. Our ratios are well in excess of regulatory minimums and should allow us to operate without capital adequacy concerns.

At June 30, 2007, we continued to maintain a sound leverage ratio of $8.49 \%$ and a total risk based capital ratio of $13.00 \%$. As shown by the following table, our capital exceeded the minimum capital requirements at June 30, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

| Company | Actual <br> Amount |  | Ratio | For capital adequacy purposes Amount |  |  | Ratio | To be wellcapitalized Amount |  |  | Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leverage | \$ | 49,990 | 8.49 | \% | \$ | 23,557 | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 29,446 | 5.0 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$ | 49,990 | 12.01 | \% | \$ | 16,644 | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 24,966 | 6.0 | \% |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$ | 54,095 | 13.00 | \% | \$ | 33,288 | 8.0 | \% | \$ | 41,610 | 10.0 | \% |

At June 30, 2007, Landmark National Bank continued to maintain a sound leverage ratio of $9.26 \%$ and a total risk based capital ratio of $14.35 \%$. As shown by the following table, the bank s capital exceeded the minimum capital requirements at June 30, 2007 (dollars in thousands):

| Landmark National Bank | Actual Amount |  | Ratio | For capital adequacy purposes Amount |  |  | Ratio | To be wellcapitalized Amount |  |  | Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leverage | \$ | 54,347 |  | \% | \$ | 23,468 | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 29,335 | 5.0 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$ | 54,347 | 13.35 | \% | \$ | 16,290 | 4.0 | \% | \$ | 24,435 | 6.0 | \% |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$ | 58,452 | 14.35 | \% | \$ | 32,580 | 8.0 | \% | \$ | 40,725 | 10.0 | \% |

## Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Average Assets/Liabilities. The following table sets forth information relating to average balances of interest-earning assets and liabilities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and the same period for 2006. The following table reflects the average tax equivalent yields on assets and average costs of liabilities for the periods indicated (derived by dividing income or expense by the monthly average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively) as well as net interest margin (which reflects the effect of the net earnings balance) for the periods shown:


LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS
EQUITY:
EQUITY:

| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 236,451 | \$ 2,613 | 4.43 | \% \$ 225,581 | \$ 2,022 | 3.60 | \% |
| Money market and NOW accounts | 134,101 | 728 | 2.18 | \% 133,305 | 571 | 1.72 | \% |
| Savings accounts | 27,575 | 20 | 0.29 | \% 30,915 | 23 | 0.30 | \% |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | 99,249 | 1,174 | 4.74 | \% 107,350 | 1,183 | 4.42 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 497,376 | 4,535 | 3.66 | \% 497,151 | 3,799 | 3.07 | \% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 57,763 |  |  | 57,021 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 50,139 |  |  | 45,553 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 605,278 |  |  | \$ 599,725 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread (3) |  |  | 3.18 | \% |  | 3.26 | \% |
| Net interest margin (4) |  | 4,746 | 3.50 | \% | 4,705 | 3.50 | \% |
| Tax equivalent interest - imputed |  | 280 |  |  | 158 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 4,466 |  |  | \$ 4,547 |  |  |


| average interest-bearing liabilities | 109.4 | $\%$ | 108.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


(1) Income on investment securities includes all securities and interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions. Income on tax exempt securities is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.
(2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual. Income on tax exempt loans is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.
(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities
(4) Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our assets and liabilities are principally financial in nature and the resulting net interest income thereon is subject to changes in market interest rates and the mix of various assets and liabilities. Interest rates in the financial markets affect our decision on pricing our assets and liabilities, which impacts net interest income, a significant cash flow source for us. As a result, a substantial portion of our risk management activities relates to managing interest rate risk.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee monitors the interest rate sensitivity of our balance sheet using earnings simulation models and interest sensitivity gap analysis. We have set policy limits of interest rate risk to be assumed in the normal course of business and monitor such limits through our simulation process.

We have been successful in meeting the interest rate sensitivity objectives set forth in our policy. Simulation models are prepared to determine the impact on net interest income for the coming twelve months, including one using rates at June 30, 2007, and forecasting volumes for the twelve-month projection. This position is then subjected to a shift in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points rising and falling with an impact to our net interest income on a one year horizon as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Scenario } & \$ \text { Change in Net Interest Income } & & \text { \% of Net Interest Income } \\ 100 \text { basis point rising } & \$ & (258,000 & ) & (1.4 & (2.9 \\ \hline 200 \text { basis point rising } & \$ & (514,000 & ) & (0.8 \\ 100 \text { basis point falling } & \$ & (137,000 & ) & (2.8 & (501,000\end{array}\right)$

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995-Forward-Looking Statements. This document contains, and future oral and written statements of the Company and its management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of the Company. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the Company s management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as believe, expect, anticipate, plan, intend, estimate, may, will, would, could, should or other similar expressions. Additional this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on operations and future prospects by us and our subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct our operations which may be less favorable than expected and may result in, among other things, a deterioration in the credit quality and value of our assets.
- The economic impact of past and any future terrorist attacks, acts of war or threats thereof, and the response of the United States to any such threats and attacks.
- The effects of, and changes in, federal, state and local laws, regulations and policies affecting banking, securities, insurance and monetary and financial matters.
- The effects of changes in interest rates (including the effects of changes in the rate of prepayments of our assets) and the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Our ability to compete with other financial institutions as effectively as we currently intend due to increases in competitive pressures in the financial services sector.
- Our inability to obtain new customers and to retain existing customers.
- The timely development and acceptance of products and services, including products and services offered through alternative delivery channels such as the Internet.
- Technological changes implemented by us and by other parties, including third party vendors, which may be more difficult or more expensive than anticipated or which may have unforeseen consequences to us and our customers.
- Our ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable electronic systems.
- Our ability to retain key executives and employees and the difficulty that we may experience in replacing key executives and employees in an effective manner.
- Consumer spending and saving habits which may change in a manner that affects our business adversely.
- Business combinations and the integration of acquired businesses which may be more difficult or expensive than expected.
- The costs, effects and outcomes of existing or future litigation.
- Changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by state and federal regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board.
- Our ability to manage the risks associated with the foregoing as well as anticipated.


#### Abstract

These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Additional information concerning us and our business, including other factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Risk Factors section in our Form 10-K.


## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2007. Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 that materially affected or were likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There is no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors applicable to the Company from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in the Company s 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Please refer to that section of the Company s Form 10-K for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company s business.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company and its affiliated purchases during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

| Period | Total <br> Number of <br> Shares <br> Purchased | Average <br> Price Paid per Share |  | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan (1) | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1-30, 2007 |  |  |  |  | 67,516 |
| May 1-31, 2007 | 12,866 | \$ | 28.38 | 12,866 | 54,650 |
| June 1-30, 2007 | 7,385 | \$ | 28.30 | 7,385 | 47,265 |
| Total | 20,251 | \$ | 28.35 | 20,251 | 47,265 |

[^2]ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None
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## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On May 23, 2007, the annual meeting of Landmark Bancorp, Inc. stockholders was held. There were 2,333,232 shares of common stock eligible to vote at the annual meeting. The voting on each item at the annual meeting was as follows:

1. Election of four Class III directors with terms expiring in 2010:

|  | For | Withheld | Abstain | Broker <br> Non-Votes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Patrick L. Alexander | $2,046,540$ | 6,005 |  |  |
| Jim W. Lewis | $2,047,462$ | 5,083 |  |  |
| Jerry R. Pettle | $1,957,932$ | 94,613 |  |  |
| Larry Schugart | $2,040,983$ | 11,562 |  |  |

2. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2007:

|  |  |  | For | Against |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KPMG LLP | For | Abstain | Brok <br> Non-Votes |  |

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits
Exhibit Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.1

Exhibit 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
Exhibit $32.1 \quad$ Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 10, 2007

Date: August 10, 2007
/s/ Patrick L. Alexander
Patrick L. Alexander
President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Mark A. Herpich
Mark A. Herpich
Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

[^1]:    Net earnings for the six months ended June 30 , 2007, decreased $\$ 419,000$, or $13.9 \%$, to $\$ 2.6$ million as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2006. The six months ended June 30, 2007 resulted in diluted earnings per share of $\$ 1.11$ compared to $\$ 1.28$ for the same period in 2006. Return on average assets, on an annualized basis, was $0.87 \%$ for the period compared to $1.02 \%$ for the same period in 2006. Return on average stockholders equity, on an annualized basis, was $10.51 \%$ for the period compared to $13.54 \%$ for the same period in 2006.

[^2]:    (1) In November 2004, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase by us of up to $5 \%$, or 101,700 shares, of our common stock. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Board of Directors, the current repurchase program will expire when we have repurchased all shares authorized for repurchase thereunder.

