

RLI CORP
Form 10-Q
April 28, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-09463

RLI Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

ILLINOIS
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

37-0889946
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

9025 North Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, IL
(Address of principal executive offices)

61615
(Zip Code)

(309) 692-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

As of April 15, 2011, the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock was 21,056,305.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

RLI Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Earnings

(Unaudited)

| (in thousands, except per share data) | For the Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, | |
|--|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 116,051 | \$ 116,264 |
| Net investment income | 16,303 | 16,600 |
| Net realized investment gains | 4,472 | 6,463 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses on investments | | |
| Consolidated revenue | 136,826 | 139,327 |
| Losses and settlement expenses | 46,871 | 54,257 |
| Policy acquisition costs | 40,533 | 40,465 |
| Insurance operating expenses | 9,615 | 8,827 |
| Interest expense on debt | 1,512 | 1,512 |
| General corporate expenses | 2,005 | 1,717 |
| Total expenses | 100,536 | 106,778 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated investee | 2,616 | 2,253 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 38,906 | 34,802 |
| Income tax expense | 12,445 | 10,581 |
| Net earnings | \$ 26,461 | \$ 24,221 |
| Other comprehensive earnings, net of tax | 5,248 | 9,275 |
| Comprehensive earnings | \$ 31,709 | \$ 33,496 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 1.26 | \$ 1.14 |
| Basic comprehensive earnings per share | \$ 1.51 | \$ 1.58 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.13 |
| Diluted comprehensive earnings per share | \$ 1.49 | \$ 1.57 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 21,025 | 21,176 |
| Diluted | 21,285 | 21,372 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 |

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

RLI Corp. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (in thousands, except share data) | March 31, 2011 (unaudited) | December 31, 2010 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Investments | | |
| Fixed income | | |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value | \$ 1,084,279 | \$ 1,132,064 |
| Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost | 299,253 | 309,258 |
| Trading, at fair value | 10 | 15 |
| Equity securities, at fair value | 335,006 | 321,897 |
| Short-term investments, at cost | 111,872 | 39,787 |
| Total investments | 1,830,420 | 1,803,021 |
| Accrued investment income | 12,386 | 14,615 |
| Premiums and reinsurance balances receivable | 101,771 | 107,391 |
| Ceded unearned premium | 56,670 | 62,631 |
| Reinsurance balances recoverable on unpaid losses | 348,082 | 354,163 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 72,744 | 74,435 |
| Property and equipment | 18,689 | 18,370 |
| Investment in unconsolidated investees | 46,368 | 43,358 |
| Goodwill | 26,214 | 26,214 |
| Other assets | 9,586 | 10,394 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 2,522,930 | \$ 2,514,592 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Unpaid losses and settlement expenses | \$ 1,173,849 | \$ 1,173,943 |
| Unearned premiums | 292,159 | 301,537 |
| Reinsurance balances payable | 18,137 | 23,851 |
| Income taxes-deferred | 37,121 | 33,930 |
| Bonds payable, long-term debt | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 27,182 | 42,436 |
| Other liabilities | 54,622 | 47,519 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ 1,703,070 | \$ 1,723,216 |
| Shareholders Equity | | |
| Common stock (\$1 par value) | | |
| (32,408,296 shares issued at 3/31/11) | | |
| (32,317,691 shares issued at 12/31/10) | 32,408 | 32,318 |
| Paid-in capital | 217,855 | 215,066 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive earnings | 101,240 | 95,992 |
| Retained earnings | 854,732 | 834,375 |
| Deferred compensation | 8,376 | 6,474 |
| Less: Treasury shares at cost | | |
| (11,353,151 shares at 3/31/11) | | |
| (11,353,151 shares at 12/31/10) | (394,751) | (392,849) |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | 819,860 | 791,376 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 2,522,930 | \$ 2,514,592 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

RLI Corp. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | For the Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 17,938 | \$ (2,448) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Investments purchased | (60,240) | (168,823) |
| Investments sold | 76,360 | 102,442 |
| Investments called or matured | 39,787 | 134,015 |
| Net change in short-term investments | (69,590) | (48,847) |
| Net property and equipment purchased | (1,030) | (402) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | \$ (14,713) | \$ 18,385 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Cash dividends paid | \$ (6,104) | \$ (5,954) |
| Stock option plan share issuance | 918 | 370 |
| Excess tax benefit from exercise of stock options | 1,961 | 941 |
| Treasury shares purchased | | (11,294) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ (3,225) | \$ (15,937) |
| Net increase in cash | | |
| Cash at the beginning of the period | | |
| Cash at March 31 | \$ | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial reporting and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. As such, these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading, and all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position at March 31, 2011 and the results of operations of RLI Corp. and Subsidiaries for all periods presented have been made. Certain reclassifications were made to the prior year's financial statements to conform to the classifications used in the current year. Specifically, the fidelity division was reclassified to the casualty segment from the surety segment. See further discussion regarding the reclassification in note 5 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, Operating Segment Information. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for a full year.

The preparation of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the period. These estimates are inherently subject to change and actual results could differ from these estimates.

B. ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

ASU 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations

This Accounting Standards Update (ASU) specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity (acquirer) should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year has occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. This ASU also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures under Topic 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings.

We adopted ASU 2010-29 on January 1, 2011. We are currently assessing the impact of adoption on our disclosures for our pending acquisition of Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company (CBIC). See further discussion on the acquisition in note 6 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, Subsequent Event.

ASU 2010-28, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), When to Perform Step Two of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts

The amendments in this ASU modify Step One of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step Two of the goodwill impairment test if it is more-likely-than-not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more-likely-than-not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance, which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more-likely-than-not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

Upon adoption of this ASU, if the carrying value of the reporting unit is zero or negative, the reporting entity must perform Step Two of the goodwill impairment test if it is more-likely-than-not that goodwill is impaired as of the date of adoption. Any resulting goodwill impairment should be presented as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings of the period of adoption reflecting a change in accounting principle. No additional recurring disclosures are included as a result of this ASU.

We adopted ASU 2010-28 on January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have an impact on our financial statements as the carrying value of the reporting unit related to our goodwill is positive and there have been no triggering events that would suggest possible impairment.

C. PROSPECTIVE ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

ASU 2010-26, Financial Services – Insurance (Topic 944): Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

Accounting guidance for deferred acquisition costs incurred by insurance entities changed under the ASU and was designed to eliminate inconsistent industry practices. The ASU requires costs to be incrementally or directly related to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts in order to be capitalized as deferred acquisition costs.

Deferred acquisition costs will include agent and broker commissions, salaries of certain employees involved in underwriting and policy issuance, and medical and inspection fees. Previous accounting guidance described deferred acquisition costs as those that vary with and are primarily related to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. This resulted in some entities deferring only direct and incremental costs while others included certain indirect costs. Others deferred costs for all acquisition efforts, including rejected contracts.

The new guidance limits the capitalization of contract acquisition costs to successful acquisition of insurance contracts in these four components:

- a. Incremental direct costs of contract acquisition;

b. The portion of the employee's total compensation (excluding any compensation that is capitalized as incremental direct costs of contract acquisition) and payroll-related fringe benefits related directly to time spent performing any of the following acquisition activities for a contract that actually has been acquired:

- Underwriting,
- Policy issuance and processing,
- Medical and inspection, and
- Sales force contract selling;

c. Other costs related directly to the insurers' acquisition activities in (b) that would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the acquisition contract transaction(s) not occurred; and

d. Advertising costs that meet the capitalization criteria.

Entities will not be required to capitalize costs that they had previously expensed as a result of applying the new guidance.

The effective date for the guidance will be interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted but only at the beginning of an entity's annual reporting period.

Either prospective or retrospective application is permitted. If applied on a retrospective basis, the guidance does not require the disclosure of the effect of the change in accounting principle in the current period. However, if the prospective basis is applied, entities will be required to disclose either the effect of the change in the period of adoption or its effect in the period immediately preceding adoption.

We are assessing our estimate of the impact of adopting the ASU on our financial statements.

D. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In accordance with GAAP guidelines, the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets is not permitted. Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets remain on the balance sheet and are tested for impairment on an annual basis, or earlier if there is reason to suspect that their values may have been diminished or impaired. Goodwill, which relates to our surety segment, is listed separately on the balance sheet and totaled \$26.2 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Annual impairment testing was performed during the second

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

quarter of 2010. Based upon this review, this asset was not impaired. In addition, as of March 31, 2011, there were no triggering events that had occurred that would suggest an updated review was necessary.

E. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock or common stock equivalents were exercised or converted into common stock. When inclusion of common stock equivalents increases the earnings per share or reduces the loss per share, the effect on earnings is anti-dilutive. Under

these circumstances, the diluted net earnings or net loss per share is computed excluding the common stock equivalents.

The following represents a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic and diluted EPS computations contained in the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

| (in thousands, except per share data) | For the Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2011 | | | For the Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2010 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Income (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount | Income (Numerator) | Shares (Denominator) | Per Share Amount |
| Basic EPS | | | | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 26,461 | 21,025 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 24,221 | 21,176 | \$ 1.14 |
| Effect of Dilutive Securities | | | | | | |
| Stock options | | 260 | | | 196 | |
| Diluted EPS | | | | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 26,461 | 21,285 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 24,221 | 21,372 | \$ 1.13 |

2. INVESTMENTS

Our investments include fixed income debt securities and common stock equity securities. As disclosed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we present our investments in these classes as available-for-sale, held-to-maturity, or trading securities. When available, we obtain quoted market prices to determine fair value for our investments. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using a secondary pricing source or using quoted market prices of similar securities. We have no investment securities for which fair value is determined using Level 3 inputs as defined in note 3 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, Fair Value Measurements.

We conduct and document periodic reviews of all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate whether the impairment is other-than-temporary. The following tables are used as part of our impairment analysis and illustrate the total value of securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The tables segregate the securities based on type, noting the fair value, cost (or amortized cost), and unrealized loss on each category of investment as well as in total. The tables further classify the securities based on the length of time they have been in an unrealized loss position. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, unrealized losses, as shown in the following tables, were less than 1% of total invested assets. Unrealized losses have increased in 2011, as interest rates increased during the first quarter.

Investment Positions with Unrealized Losses
Segmented by Type and Period of Continuous
Unrealized Loss at March 31, 2011

| (dollars in thousands) | < 12 Mos. | 12 Mos. & Greater | Total |
|--|------------|-------------------|------------|
| U.S Government | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 8,071 | \$ | \$ 8,071 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 8,339 | | 8,339 |
| Unrealized Loss | (268) | | (268) |
| U.S Agency | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 328,860 | \$ | \$ 328,860 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 338,798 | | 338,798 |
| Unrealized Loss | (9,938) | | (9,938) |
| Mortgage-backed | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 55,647 | \$ | \$ 55,647 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 56,936 | | 56,936 |
| Unrealized Loss | (1,289) | | (1,289) |
| ABS/CMO* | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 12,685 | \$ | \$ 12,685 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 12,778 | | 12,778 |
| Unrealized Loss | (93) | | (93) |
| Corporate | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 108,170 | \$ 503 | \$ 108,673 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 111,503 | 515 | 112,018 |
| Unrealized Loss | (3,333) | (12) | (3,345) |
| States, political subdivisions & revenues | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 74,328 | \$ 2,012 | \$ 76,340 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 76,313 | 2,094 | 78,407 |
| Unrealized Loss | (1,985) | (82) | (2,067) |
| Subtotal, debt securities | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 587,761 | \$ 2,515 | \$ 590,276 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 604,667 | 2,609 | 607,276 |
| Unrealized Loss | (16,906) | (94) | (17,000) |
| Common Stock | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 11,233 | \$ | \$ 11,233 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 11,840 | | 11,840 |
| Unrealized Loss | (607) | | (607) |
| Total | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 598,994 | \$ 2,515 | \$ 601,509 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 616,507 | 2,609 | 619,116 |
| Unrealized Loss | (17,513) | (94) | (17,607) |

* Asset-backed & collateralized mortgage obligations.

This table excludes securities with a fair value of less than \$0.1 million classified as trading.

Investment Positions with Unrealized Losses
Segmented by Type and Period of Continuous
Unrealized Loss at December 31, 2010

| (dollars in thousands) | < 12 Mos. | 12 Mos. & Greater | Total |
|--|------------|-------------------|------------|
| U.S Government | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 5,689 | \$ | \$ 5,689 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 5,880 | | 5,880 |
| Unrealized Loss | (191) | | (191) |
| U.S Agency | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 295,897 | \$ | \$ 295,897 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 304,374 | | 304,374 |
| Unrealized Loss | (8,477) | | (8,477) |
| Mortgage-backed | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 43,852 | \$ | \$ 43,852 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 44,659 | | 44,659 |
| Unrealized Loss | (807) | | (807) |
| ABS/CMO * | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 2,160 | \$ | \$ 2,160 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 2,196 | | 2,196 |
| Unrealized Loss | (36) | | (36) |
| Corporate | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 110,772 | \$ 1,951 | \$ 112,723 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 113,813 | 2,012 | 115,825 |
| Unrealized Loss | (3,041) | (61) | (3,102) |
| States, political subdivisions & revenues | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 80,465 | \$ 996 | \$ 81,461 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 82,652 | 1,050 | 83,702 |
| Unrealized Loss | (2,187) | (54) | (2,241) |
| Subtotal, debt securities | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 538,835 | \$ 2,947 | \$ 541,782 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 553,574 | 3,062 | 556,636 |
| Unrealized Loss | (14,739) | (115) | (14,854) |
| Common Stock | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 6,078 | \$ | \$ 6,078 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 6,372 | | 6,372 |
| Unrealized Loss | (294) | | (294) |
| Total | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 544,913 | \$ 2,947 | \$ 547,860 |
| Cost or Amortized Cost | 559,946 | 3,062 | 563,008 |
| Unrealized Loss | (15,033) | (115) | (15,148) |

* Asset-backed & collateralized mortgage obligations.

This table excludes securities with a fair value of less than \$0.1 million classified as trading.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables show the amortized cost, unrealized gains/losses, fair value and contractual maturities for our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities.

Available-for-Sale Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available-for-sale at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

Available-for-sale

(in thousands)

| Asset Class | 3/31/2011 | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Agencies | \$ 96,017 | \$ 638 | \$ (2,335) | \$ 94,320 |
| Corporates | 423,034 | 23,748 | (2,820) | 443,962 |
| Mortgage-backed | 245,467 | 10,284 | (1,289) | 254,462 |
| ABS/CMO* | 62,519 | 2,152 | (93) | 64,578 |
| Treasuries | 15,718 | 182 | (268) | 15,632 |
| Munis | 209,823 | 3,569 | (2,067) | 211,325 |
| Total Fixed Income | \$ 1,052,578 | \$ 40,573 | \$ (8,872) | \$ 1,084,279 |

Available-for-sale

(in thousands)

| Asset Class | 12/31/2010 | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Agencies | \$ 103,133 | \$ 869 | \$ (1,789) | \$ 102,213 |
| Corporates | 449,651 | 26,259 | (2,977) | 472,933 |
| Mortgage-backed | 243,364 | 11,584 | (807) | 254,141 |
| ABS/CMO* | 47,494 | 2,457 | (36) | 49,915 |
| Treasuries | 15,771 | 244 | (191) | 15,824 |
| Munis | 234,456 | 4,823 | (2,241) | 237,038 |
| Total Fixed Income | \$ 1,093,869 | \$ 46,236 | \$ (8,041) | \$ 1,132,064 |

*Asset-backed and collateralized mortgage obligations

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt securities by contractual maturity dates as of March 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010:

| AFS (in thousands) | 3/31/2011 | | 12/31/2010 | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Agencies | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,004 | \$ 2,021 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 4,773 | 4,960 | 4,796 | 5,026 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 35,993 | 35,305 | 39,988 | 39,712 |
| After 10 years* | 55,251 | 54,055 | 56,345 | 55,454 |
| Total | 96,017 | 94,320 | 103,133 | 102,213 |
| Corporates | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,001 | \$ 1,010 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 107,673 | 113,748 | 96,768 | 101,864 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 299,534 | 314,331 | 327,171 | 345,049 |
| After 10 years | 15,827 | 15,883 | 24,711 | 25,010 |
| Total | 423,034 | 443,962 | 449,651 | 472,933 |
| Mortgage-backed | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | | | | |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 4,253 | 4,518 | 2,521 | 2,677 |
| After 10 years* | 241,214 | 249,944 | 240,843 | 251,464 |
| Total | 245,467 | 254,462 | 243,364 | 254,141 |
| Asset-backed | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 4,487 | 4,690 | 4,882 | 5,137 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 7,898 | 8,304 | 4,099 | 4,567 |
| After 10 years* | 50,134 | 51,584 | 38,513 | 40,211 |
| Total | 62,519 | 64,578 | 47,494 | 49,915 |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ 1,858 | \$ 1,883 | \$ 3,865 | \$ 3,915 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 8,964 | 9,083 | 7,012 | 7,192 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 4,896 | 4,666 | 4,894 | 4,717 |
| After 10 years* | | | | |
| Total | 15,718 | 15,632 | 15,771 | 15,824 |
| Munis | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ 10,902 | \$ 11,030 | \$ 14,004 | \$ 14,113 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 15,813 | 16,451 | 25,177 | 26,303 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 69,063 | 70,679 | 74,770 | 77,028 |
| After 10 years* | 114,045 | 113,165 | 120,505 | 119,594 |
| Total | 209,823 | 211,325 | 234,456 | 237,038 |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,052,578 | \$ 1,084,279 | \$ 1,093,869 | \$ 1,132,064 |

* Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment rights.

Held-to-Maturity Debt Securities

The carrying value and fair value of held-to-maturity securities at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

Held-to-maturity

(in thousands)

| Asset Class | Amortized Cost/ Carrying Value** | 3/31/2011 | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Gross Unrecognized Gains | Gross Unrecognized Losses | |
| Agencies | \$ 278,403 | \$ 448 | \$ (7,603) | \$ 271,248 |
| Corporates | 15,000 | | (525) | 14,475 |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | | | | |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Munis | 5,850 | 199 | | 6,049 |
| Total Fixed Income | \$ 299,253 | \$ 647 | \$ (8,128) | \$ 291,772 |

Held-to-maturity

(in thousands)

| Asset Class | Amortized Cost/ Carrying Value** | 12/31/2010 | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Gross Unrecognized Gains | Gross Unrecognized Losses | |
| Agencies | \$ 288,407 | \$ 607 | \$ (6,688) | \$ 282,326 |
| Corporates | 15,000 | 100 | (125) | 14,975 |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | | | | |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Munis | 5,851 | 232 | | 6,083 |
| Total Fixed Income | \$ 309,258 | \$ 939 | \$ (6,813) | \$ 303,384 |

*Asset-backed and collateralized mortgage obligations

** Held-to-maturity securities are carried on the unaudited condensed consolidated interim balance sheets at amortized cost and changes in the fair value of these securities, other than impairment charges, are not reported on the financial statements.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of debt securities held-to-maturity by contractual maturity dates as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| HTM (in thousands) | 3/31/2011 | | 12/31/2010 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Agencies | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,086 | \$ 4,117 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 3,964 | 4,304 | 3,960 | 4,351 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | 13,996 | 13,716 | 18,994 | 18,812 |
| After 10 years* | 260,443 | 253,228 | 261,367 | 255,046 |
| Total | 278,403 | 271,248 | 288,407 | 282,326 |
| Corporates | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | | | | |
| After 5 but within 10 years | | | | |
| After 10 years | 15,000 | 14,475 | 15,000 | 14,975 |
| Total | 15,000 | 14,475 | 15,000 | 14,975 |
| Mortgage-backed | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | | | | |
| After 5 but within 10 years | | | | |
| After 10 years* | | | | |
| Total | | | | |
| Asset-backed | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | | | | |
| After 5 but within 10 years | | | | |
| After 10 years* | | | | |
| Total | | | | |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| After 1 but within 5 years | | | | |
| After 5 but within 10 years | | | | |
| After 10 years* | | | | |
| Total | | | | |
| Munis | | | | |
| Due within 1 year | \$ 2,630 | \$ 2,665 | \$ 2,631 | \$ 2,685 |
| After 1 but within 5 years | 3,220 | 3,384 | 3,220 | 3,398 |
| After 5 but within 10 years | | | | |
| After 10 years* | | | | |
| Total | 5,850 | 6,049 | 5,851 | 6,083 |
| TOTAL | \$ 299,253 | \$ 291,772 | \$ 309,258 | \$ 303,384 |

*Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment rights.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table shows the composition of the fixed income securities in unrealized loss positions at March 31, 2011 by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) rating and the generally equivalent Standard & Poor's (S&P) and Moody's ratings. The vast majority of the securities are rated by S&P and/or Moody's.

| NAIC Rating | Equivalent S&P Rating | Equivalent Moody's Rating | (dollars in thousands) | | | Percent to Total |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | Book Value | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | |
| 1 | AAA/AA/A | Aaa/Aa/A | \$ 596,265 | \$ 579,618 | \$ (16,647) | 97.9% |
| 2 | BBB | Baa | 11,011 | 10,658 | (353) | 2.1% |
| 3 | BB | Ba | | | | |
| 4 | B | B | | | | |
| 5 | CCC or lower | Caa or lower | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| | | Total | \$ 607,276 | \$ 590,276 | \$ (17,000) | 100.0% |

Evaluating Investments for OTTI

The fixed income portfolio contained 170 unrealized loss positions as of March 31, 2011. The \$17.0 million in associated unrealized losses for these 170 securities represents 1.3% of the fixed income portfolio's cost basis. Of these 170 securities, three have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 consecutive months or longer and these collectively represent less than \$0.1 million in unrealized losses. The unrealized losses on these three securities are due to changes in interest rates, and are not credit-specific issues. All fixed income securities in the investment portfolio continue to pay the expected coupon payments under the contractual terms of the securities. In 2009, we adopted GAAP guidance on the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). Accordingly, any credit-related impairment related to fixed income securities we do not plan to sell and for which we are not more-likely-than-not to be required to sell is recognized in net earnings, with the non-credit related impairment recognized in comprehensive earnings. Based on our analysis, our fixed income portfolio is of a high credit quality and we believe we will recover the amortized cost basis of our fixed income securities. The fixed income unrealized losses can primarily be attributed to changes in interest rates. We continually monitor the credit quality of our fixed income investments to assess if it is probable that we will receive our contractual or estimated cash flows in the form of principal and interest. There were no OTTI losses recognized in net earnings or other comprehensive earnings in the periods presented.

As of March 31, 2011, we held four common stock positions that were in unrealized loss positions. Unrealized losses on these securities totaled \$0.6 million. Based on our analysis, we believe these securities will recover in a reasonable period of time and we have the intent and ability to hold these securities until recovery. Of the four common stock positions that were in an unrealized loss position, none have been in an unrealized loss position for 12 consecutive months or longer.

Through March 31, 2011, there were no impairment charges for equity securities. Comparatively, we did not recognize any OTTI losses on equity securities during the first three months of 2010.

3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

We determined the fair values of certain financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy. GAAP guidance requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance also describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

The following are the levels of the fair value hierarchy and a brief description of the type of valuation inputs that are used to establish each level:

Pricing Level 1 is applied to valuations based on readily available, unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. These valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market.

Pricing Level 2 is applied to valuations based upon quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in inactive markets; or valuations based on models where the significant inputs are observable (e.g. interest rates, yield curves, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severities) or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Pricing Level 3 is applied to valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable. Financial assets are classified based upon the lowest level of significant input that is used to determine fair value.

The following is a description of the valuation techniques used for financial assets that are measured at fair value, including the general classification of such assets pursuant to the fair value hierarchy. As a part of management's process to determine fair value, we utilize widely recognized, third party pricing sources to determine our fair values.

Corporate, Agencies, Treasuries and Municipal Bonds: The pricing vendor uses a generic model which uses standard inputs, including (listed in order of priority for use), benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/ dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, market bids/offers and other reference data. The pricing vendor also monitors market indicators, as well as industry and economic events. Further, the model uses Option Adjusted Spread (OAS) and is a multidimensional relational model. All bonds valued using these techniques are classified as Level 2. All corporate, agencies, treasuries and municipal securities were deemed Level 2.

Mortgage-backed Securities (MBS)/Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMO) and Asset-backed Securities (ABS): The pricing vendor evaluation methodology includes interest rate movements, new issue data and other pertinent data. Evaluation of the tranches (non-volatile, volatile or credit sensitivity) is based on the pricing vendors' interpretation of accepted modeling and pricing conventions. This information is then used to determine the cash flows for each tranche, benchmark yields, prepayment assumptions and to incorporate collateral performance. To evaluate CMO volatility, an OAS model is used in combination with models that simulate interest rate paths to determine market price information. This process allows the pricing vendor to obtain evaluations of a broad universe of securities in a way that reflects changes in yield curve, index rates, implied volatility, mortgage rates and recent trade activity. MBS/CMO and ABS with corroborated, observable inputs are classified as Level 2. All of our MBS/CMO and ABS are deemed Level 2.

Common Stock: Exchange traded equities have readily observable price levels and are classified as Level 1 (fair value based on quoted market prices). All of our common stock holdings are deemed Level 1.

Assets measured at fair value in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements on a recurring basis are summarized below:

| (\$ in 000s) Description | As of March 31, 2011 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ | \$ 10 |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Total trading securities | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ | \$ 10 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Agencies | \$ | \$ 94,320 | \$ | \$ 94,320 |
| Corporates | | 443,962 | | 443,962 |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | | 254,462 | | 254,462 |
| Treasuries | | 64,578 | | 64,578 |
| Municipals | | 15,632 | | 15,632 |
| Equity | 335,006 | 211,325 | | 211,325 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 335,006 | \$ 1,084,279 | \$ | \$ 1,419,285 |
| Total | \$ 335,006 | \$ 1,084,289 | \$ | \$ 1,419,295 |

*Asset-backed & collateralized mortgage obligations

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

| (\$ in 000s) Description | As of December 31, 2010 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Corporate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | | 15 | | 15 |
| Treasuries | | | | |
| Total trading securities | \$ | \$ 15 | \$ | \$ 15 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Agencies | \$ | \$ 102,213 | \$ | \$ 102,213 |
| Corporates | | 472,933 | | 472,933 |
| Mortgage-backed ABS/CMO* | | 254,141 | | 254,141 |
| Treasuries | | 49,915 | | 49,915 |
| Municipals | | 15,824 | | 15,824 |
| Equity | | 237,038 | | 237,038 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 321,897 | \$ 1,132,064 | \$ | \$ 1,453,961 |
| Total | \$ 321,897 | \$ 1,132,079 | \$ | \$ 1,453,976 |

*Asset-backed & collateralized mortgage obligations

As noted in the above table, we do not have any assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the period. Additionally, there were no securities transferred in or out of levels 1 or 2.

4. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

Our shareholder-approved RLI Corp. Omnibus Stock Plan (omnibus plan) was in place from 2005 to 2010. The omnibus plan provided for grants of up to 1,500,000 shares (subject to adjustment for changes in our capitalization). Since 2005, we have granted 1,227,445 stock options under this plan.

During the second quarter of 2010, our shareholders approved the RLI Corp. Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), which replaces the omnibus plan and which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission via a Form 8-K Current Report on May 6, 2010. In conjunction with the adoption of the LTIP, effective May 6, 2010, options will no longer be granted under the omnibus plan. Awards under the LTIP may be in the form of restricted stock, stock options (nonqualified only), stock appreciation rights, performance units, as well as other stock based awards. Eligibility under the LTIP is limited to employees or directors of the company or any affiliate. The granting of awards under the LTIP is solely at the discretion of the executive resources committee of the board of directors. The total number of shares of common stock available for distribution under the LTIP may not exceed 2,000,000 shares (subject to adjustment for changes in our capitalization). Since 2010, we have granted 227,000 stock options under the LTIP, including 19,950 thus far in 2011.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

Under the LTIP, as under the omnibus plan, we grant stock options for shares with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. Options generally vest and become exercisable ratably over a five-year period. Beginning with the annual grant in May 2009, options granted under both plans have an eight-year life. Prior to that grant, options were granted with a ten-year life. The related compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period.

In most instances, the requisite service period and vesting period will be the same. For participants who are retirement eligible, defined by the plan as those individuals whose age and years of service equals 75, the requisite service period is deemed to be met and options are immediately expensed on the date of grant. For participants who will become retirement eligible during the vesting period, the requisite service period over which expense is recognized is the period between the grant date and the attainment of retirement eligibility. Shares issued upon option exercise are newly issued shares.

The following tables summarize option activity for the periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Number of Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in 000 s) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding options at January 1, 2011 | 1,524,982 | \$ 41.32 | | |
| Options granted | 19,950 | \$ 55.28 | | |
| Options exercised | (177,857) | \$ 28.00 | | \$ 4,711 |
| Options canceled/forfeited | (7,130) | \$ 44.59 | | |
| Outstanding options at March 31, 2011 | 1,359,945 | \$ 43.25 | 5.89 | \$ 19,583 |
| Exercisable options at March 31, 2011 | 668,875 | \$ 40.79 | 5.07 | \$ 11,274 |

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Number of Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in 000 s) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding options at January 1, 2010 | 1,583,803 | \$ 44.73 | | |
| Options granted | 16,100 | \$ 51.62 | | |
| Options exercised | (117,400) | \$ 30.55 | | \$ 2,887 |
| Options canceled/forfeited | (6,150) | \$ 51.18 | | |
| Outstanding options at March 31, 2010 | 1,476,353 | \$ 45.91 | 6.01 | \$ 16,402 |
| Exercisable options at March 31, 2010 | 796,662 | \$ 41.75 | 4.85 | \$ 12,165 |

The majority of our options are granted annually at our regular board meeting in May. Thus far in 2011, 19,950 options were granted with an average exercise price of \$55.28 and an average fair value of \$12.14. We recognized \$0.7 million of expense in the first three months of 2011 related to options vesting. Since options granted under our plan are non-qualified, we recorded a tax benefit of \$0.2 million in the first three months of 2011 related to this compensation expense. Total unrecognized compensation expense relating to outstanding and unvested options was \$3.2 million, which will be recognized over the remainder of the vesting period.

The fair value of options was estimated using a Black-Scholes based option pricing model with the following weighted average grant-date assumptions and weighted average fair values as of March 31:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Weighted-average fair value of grants | \$ 12.14 | \$ 12.83 |
| Risk-free interest rates | 2.41% | 2.75% |
| Dividend yield | 1.89% | 1.73% |
| Expected volatility | 25.85% | 26.05% |
| Expected option life | 6.05 years | 6.02 years |

The risk-free rate is determined based on U.S. treasury yields that most closely approximate the option's expected life. The dividend yield is calculated based on the average annualized dividends paid during the most recent five-year period. It excludes the extraordinary dividend paid in the fourth quarter of 2010. The expected volatility is calculated based on the mean reversion of RLI's stock. Prior to the second quarter of 2009, it was calculated by computing the weighted average of the most recent one-year volatility, the most recent volatility based on expected life and the median of the rolling volatilities based on the expected life of RLI stock. The expected option life is determined based on historical exercise behavior and the assumption that all outstanding options will be exercised at the midpoint of the current date and remaining contractual term, adjusted for the demographics of the current year's grant.

5. OPERATING SEGMENT INFORMATION - Selected information by operating segment is presented in the table below. Additionally, the table reconciles segment totals to total earnings and total revenues.

SEGMENT DATA (in thousands)

| | For the Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, | |
|--|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| REVENUES | | |
| Casualty | \$ 54,979 | \$ 59,366 |
| Property | 40,826 | 38,322 |
| Surety | 20,246 | 18,576 |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 116,051 | \$ 116,264 |
| Net investment income | 16,303 | 16,600 |
| Net realized gains | 4,472 | 6,463 |
| Total consolidated revenue | \$ 136,826 | \$ 139,327 |
| NET EARNINGS | | |
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Casualty | \$ 4,674 | \$ 614 |
| Property | 9,381 | 8,047 |
| Surety | 4,977 | 4,054 |
| Net Underwriting Income | \$ 19,032 | \$ 12,715 |
| Net investment income | 16,303 | 16,600 |
| Net realized gains (losses) | 4,472 | 6,463 |
| General corporate expense and interest on debt | (3,517) | (3,229) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated investee | 2,616 | 2,253 |
| Total earnings before income taxes | \$ 38,906 | \$ 34,802 |
| Income tax expense | 12,445 | 10,581 |
| Total net earnings | \$ 26,461 | \$ 24,221 |

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table further summarizes revenues (net premiums earned) by major product type within each operating segment:

| (in thousands) | For the Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, | |
|----------------------------------|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Casualty | | |
| General liability | \$ 21,964 | \$ 24,980 |
| Commercial and personal umbrella | 15,572 | 15,196 |
| Commercial transportation | 8,913 | 10,427 |
| Executive products | 4,222 | 2,297 |
| Specialty programs | 1,340 | 3,971 |
| Other | 2,968 | 2,495 |
| Total | \$ 54,979 | \$ 59,366 |
| Property | | |
| Commercial property | \$ 20,213 | \$ 20,032 |
| Marine | 12,472 | 11,168 |
| Crop reinsurance | 1,072 | 891 |
| Property reinsurance | 2,193 | 2,707 |
| Other property | 4,876 | 3,524 |
| Total | \$ 40,826 | \$ 38,322 |
| Surety | \$ 20,246 | \$ 18,576 |
| Grand Total | \$ 116,051 | \$ 116,264 |

Effective January 2011, the fidelity division that was previously included in the surety segment was reclassified to the casualty segment. All comparative periods have been reclassified to reflect the change. This reclassification had a minimal effect on each segment and constituted a \$0.3 million increase in casualty revenue (with a corresponding decrease in surety revenue) and a \$0.4 million decrease in net earnings for the casualty segment (with a corresponding increase for the surety segment).

A detailed discussion of earnings and results by segment is contained in management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations.

6. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

We are currently in the process of acquiring CBIC through an acquisition of its holding company, Data and Staff Service Co., for approximately \$136 million in cash. The acquisition is in the final stages of regulatory approval and expected to close in the second quarter of 2011, on or around the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q. CBIC is a privately held, Seattle-based insurance company specializing in surety bonds and related niche property and casualty insurance products. The company serves over 30,000 contractors and over 4,000 insurance agents and brokers nationwide. CBIC operates 13 regional branch offices and is considered a leading writer of contractor license bonds in the Northwest.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995: This discussion and analysis may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that are not historical facts, and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected and projected. Various risk factors that could affect future results are listed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

OVERVIEW

We underwrite selected property and casualty insurance through major subsidiaries collectively known as RLI Insurance Group (the Group). We conduct operations principally through three insurance companies. RLI Insurance Company, our principal subsidiary, writes multiple lines of insurance on an admitted basis in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Mt. Hawley Insurance Company, a subsidiary of RLI Insurance Company, writes surplus lines insurance in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and Guam. RLI Indemnity Company (RIC), a subsidiary of Mt. Hawley Insurance Company, has authority to write multiple lines of insurance on an admitted basis in 48 states and the District of Columbia. RIC has authority to write fidelity and surety in North Carolina. We are an Illinois corporation that was organized in 1965. We have no material foreign operations.

As a niche company, we offer specialty insurance coverages designed to meet specific insurance needs of targeted insured groups and underwrite for certain markets that are underserved by the insurance and reinsurance industry, such as our difference in conditions coverages or oil and gas surety bonds. We also provide types of coverages not generally offered by other companies, such as our stand-alone personal umbrella policy. The excess and surplus market, which unlike the standard admitted market, is less regulated and more flexible in terms of policy forms and premium rates, provides an alternative for customers with hard-to-place risks. When we underwrite within the surplus lines market, we are selective in the line of business and type of risks we choose to write. Using our non-admitted status in this market allows us to tailor terms and conditions to manage these exposures more effectively than our admitted counterparts. Often, the development of these specialty insurance coverages is generated through proposals brought to us by an agent or broker seeking coverage for a specific group of clients. Once a proposal is submitted, underwriters determine whether it would be a viable product based on our business objectives.

The foundation of our overall business strategy is to underwrite for profit in all market conditions. This foundation drives our ability to provide shareholder returns in three different ways: the underwriting income itself, net investment income from our investment portfolio and long-term appreciation in our equity portfolio. Our investment strategy is based on preservation of capital as the first priority, with a secondary focus on generating total return. The fixed income portfolio consists primarily of highly rated,

diversified, liquid investment-grade securities. Regular underwriting income allows a portion of our shareholders' equity to be invested in equity securities. Our equity portfolio consists of a core stock portfolio weighted toward dividend-paying stocks, as well as exchange traded funds (ETFs). Our minority ownership in Maui Jim, Inc. (Maui Jim), a private equity investment, has also enhanced overall returns. We have a diversified investment portfolio and balance our investment credit risk and related underwriting risks to minimize total potential exposure to any one security. Despite fluctuations of realized and unrealized gains and losses in the equity portfolio, our investment in equity securities as part of a long-term asset allocation strategy has contributed significantly to our historic growth in book value.

We measure the results of our insurance operations by monitoring certain measures of growth and profitability across three distinct business segments: casualty, property and surety. Growth is measured in terms of gross premiums written and profitability is analyzed through combined ratios, which are further subdivided into their respective loss and expense components. The combined ratios represent the income generated from our underwriting segments.

The property and casualty insurance business is cyclical and influenced by many factors, including price competition, economic conditions, natural or man-made disasters (for example, earthquakes, hurricanes, and terrorism), interest rates, state regulations, court decisions and changes in the law.

One of the unique and challenging features of the property and casualty insurance business is that coverages must be priced before costs have fully developed, because premiums are charged before claims are incurred. This requires that liabilities be estimated and recorded in recognition of future loss and settlement obligations. Due to the inherent uncertainty in estimating these liabilities, there can be no assurance that actual liabilities will not be more or less than recorded amounts; if actual liabilities differ from recorded amounts, there will be an adverse or favorable effect on net earnings. In evaluating the objective performance measures previously mentioned, it is important to consider the following individual characteristics of each major insurance segment.

The casualty portion of our business consists largely of general liability, personal umbrella, transportation, executive products, commercial umbrella, multi-peril program business and other specialty coverage, such as our professional liability for design professionals. We also offer fidelity and crime coverage for commercial insureds and select financial institutions. The casualty business is subject to the risk of estimating losses and related loss reserves because the ultimate settlement of a casualty claim may take several years to fully develop. The casualty segment is also subject to inflation risk and may be affected by evolving legislation and court decisions that define the extent of coverage and the amount of compensation due for injuries or losses.

Our property segment primarily includes commercial fire, earthquake, difference in conditions, marine, facultative and treaty assumed reinsurance, including crop, and, in the state of Hawaii, select personal lines policies. Property insurance results are subject to the variability introduced by perils such as earthquakes, fires and hurricanes. Our major catastrophe exposure is to losses caused by earthquakes, primarily on the West Coast. Our second

largest catastrophe exposure is to losses caused by hurricanes to commercial properties throughout the Gulf and East Coasts, as well as to homes we insure in Hawaii. We limit our net aggregate exposure to a catastrophic event by minimizing the total policy limits written in a particular region, by purchasing reinsurance, and through extensive use of computer-assisted modeling techniques. These techniques provide estimates of the concentration of risks exposed to catastrophic events. Our assumed multi-peril crop and hail treaty reinsurance business covers revenue shortfalls or production losses due to natural causes such as drought, excessive moisture, hail, wind, frost, insects and disease. Significant aggregation of these losses is mitigated by the Federal Government reinsurance program that provides stop loss protection inuring to our benefit.

As discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, we utilize various tools and risk metrics for monitoring our exposure to catastrophes. Some of the tools utilized for monitoring this exposure are third-party catastrophe exposure models. We utilize model results for both the underwriting analysis of individual risks, and at a corporate level for the aggregate book of catastrophe-exposed business. In January 2011, we were aware that one of the models we use was undergoing a substantial reevaluation of its underlying hazard and vulnerability assessment that would have an adverse impact on modeled, prospective potential loss, particularly with respect to hurricane exposures. As a result, at our January 2011 reinsurance renewals, we purchased additional catastrophe reinsurance coverage for the 2011 wind peril. Model changes were communicated late in the first quarter of 2011 and, while our portfolio of insured risks and total policy limits insured remain relatively stable, the changes resulted in a higher than anticipated potential loss from severe wind events and certain corporate risk tolerances being exceeded. As a result, we have already begun the process of modifying our current year underwriting guidelines to reduce our overall continental wind exposure back to within our risk tolerance.

The surety segment specializes in writing small-to-large commercial and small contract surety coverages, as well as those for the energy (plugging and abandonment of oil wells), petrochemical and refining industries. We offer miscellaneous bonds, including license and permit, notary and court bonds. Often, our surety coverages involve a statutory requirement for bonds. While these bonds have maintained a relatively low loss ratio, losses may fluctuate due to adverse economic conditions that may affect the financial viability of an insured. The contract surety marketplace guarantees the construction work of a commercial contractor for a specific project. Generally, losses occur due to adverse economic conditions or the deterioration of a contractor's financial condition. This line has historically produced marginally higher loss ratios than other surety lines during economic downturns.

The insurance marketplace softened over the last several years, meaning that the marketplace became more competitive and prices were falling even as coverage terms became less restrictive. Nevertheless, we believe that our business model is geared to create underwriting income by focusing on sound risk selection and discipline. Our primary focus will continue to be on underwriting profitability as opposed to premium growth or market share measurements.

GAAP and non-GAAP Financial Performance Metrics

Throughout this quarterly report, we present our operations in the way we believe will be most meaningful, useful, and transparent to anyone using this financial information to evaluate our performance. In addition to the GAAP presentation of net income, we show certain statutory reporting information and other non-GAAP financial measures that we believe are valuable in managing our business and drawing comparisons to our peers. These measures are underwriting income, gross premiums written, net premiums written, combined ratios and net unpaid loss and settlement expenses.

Following is a list of non-GAAP measures found throughout this report with their definitions, relationships to GAAP measures and explanations of their importance to our operations.

Underwriting Income

Underwriting income or profit represents one measure of the pretax profitability of our insurance operations and is derived by subtracting losses and settlement expenses, policy acquisition costs, and insurance operating expenses from net premium earned. Each of these captions is presented in the statements of earnings but not subtotaled. However, this information is available in total and by segment in note 5 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, Operating Segment Information. The nearest comparable GAAP measure is earnings before income taxes which, in addition to underwriting income, includes net investment income, net realized gains/losses on investments, general corporate expenses, debt costs and unconsolidated investee earnings.

Gross premiums written

While net premiums earned is the related GAAP measure used in the statements of earnings, gross premiums written is the component of net premiums earned that measures insurance business produced before the impact of ceding reinsurance premiums, but without respect to when those premiums will be recognized as actual revenue. We use this measure as an overall gauge of gross business volume in our insurance underwriting operations with some indication of profit potential subject to the levels of our retentions, expenses and loss costs.

Net premiums written

While net premiums earned is the related GAAP measure used in the statements of earnings, net premiums written is the component of net premiums earned that measures the difference between gross premiums written and the impact of ceding reinsurance premiums, but without respect to when those premiums will be recognized as actual revenue. We use this measure as an indication of retained or net business volume in our insurance underwriting operations. It provides some indication of profit potential subject to our expenses and loss costs.

Combined ratio

This ratio is a common industry measure of profitability for any underwriting operation, and is calculated in two components. First, the loss ratio is

losses and settlement expenses divided by net premiums earned. The second component, the expense ratio, reflects the sum of policy acquisition costs and insurance operating expenses, divided by net premiums earned. The sum of the loss and expense ratios is the combined ratio. The difference between the combined ratio and 100 reflects the per-dollar rate of underwriting income or loss. For example, a combined ratio of 85 implies that for every \$100 of premium we earn, we record \$15 of underwriting income.

Net Unpaid Loss and Settlement Expenses

Unpaid losses and settlement expenses, as shown in the liabilities section of our balance sheets, represents the total obligations to claimants for both estimates of known claims and estimates for incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims. The related asset item, reinsurance balances recoverable on unpaid losses and settlement expense, is the estimate of known claims and estimates of IBNR that we expect to recover from reinsurers. The net of these two items is generally referred to as net unpaid loss and settlement expenses and is commonly used in our disclosures regarding the process of establishing these various estimated amounts.

Critical Accounting Policies

In preparing the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

The most critical accounting policies involve significant estimates and include those used in determining the liability for unpaid losses and settlement expenses, investment valuation and OTTI, recoverability of reinsurance balances, deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred taxes.

Losses and Settlement Expenses

Overview

Loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves represent our best estimate of ultimate payments for losses and related settlement expenses from claims that have been reported but not paid, and those losses that have occurred but have not yet been reported to us. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability, but instead represent our estimates, generally utilizing individual claim estimates, actuarial expertise and estimation techniques at a given accounting date. The loss reserve estimates are expectations of what ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost upon final resolution. These estimates are based on facts and circumstances then known to us, review of historical settlement patterns, estimates of trends in claims frequency and severity, projections of loss costs, expected interpretations of legal theories of liability and many other factors. In establishing reserves, we also take into account estimated recoveries from reinsurance, salvage and subrogation. The reserves are reviewed regularly by a team of actuaries we employ.

The process of estimating loss reserves involves a high degree of judgment and is subject to a number of variables. These variables can be affected by both internal and external events, such as changes in claims handling procedures, claim personnel, economic inflation, legal trends and legislative changes, among others. The impact of many of these items on ultimate costs for loss and LAE is difficult to estimate. Loss reserve estimations also differ significantly by coverage due to differences in claim complexity, the volume of claims, the policy limits written, the terms and conditions of the underlying policies, the potential severity of individual claims, the determination of occurrence date for a claim and reporting lags (the time between the occurrence of the policyholder event and when it is actually reported to the insurer). Informed judgment is applied throughout the process. We continually refine our loss reserve estimates as historical loss experience develops and additional claims are reported and settled. We rigorously attempt to consider all significant facts and circumstances known at the time loss reserves are established.

Due to inherent uncertainty underlying loss reserve estimates, including, but not limited to, the future settlement environment, final resolution of the estimated liability may be different from that anticipated at the reporting date. Therefore, actual paid losses in the future may yield a significantly different amount than currently reserved – favorable or unfavorable.

The amount by which estimated losses differ from those originally reported for a period is known as development. Development is unfavorable when the losses ultimately settle for more than the levels at which they were reserved or subsequent estimates indicate a basis for reserve increases on unresolved claims. Development is favorable when losses ultimately settle for less than the amount reserved or subsequent estimates indicate a basis for reducing loss reserves on unresolved claims. We reflect favorable or unfavorable developments of loss reserves in the results of operations in the period the estimates are changed.

We record two categories of loss and LAE reserves – case-specific reserves and IBNR reserves.

Within a reasonable period of time after a claim is reported, our claim department completes an initial investigation and establishes a case reserve. This case-specific reserve is an estimate of the ultimate amount we will have to pay for the claim, including related legal expenses and other costs associated with resolving and settling it. The estimate reflects all of the current information available regarding the claim, the informed judgment of our professional claim personnel regarding the nature and value of the specific type of claim and our reserving practices. During the life cycle of a particular claim, as more information becomes available, we may revise the estimate of the ultimate value of the claim either upward or downward. We may determine that it is appropriate to pay portions of the reserve to the claimant or related settlement expenses before final resolution of the claim. The amount of the individual claim reserve will be adjusted accordingly and is based on the most recent information available.

We establish IBNR reserves to estimate the amount we will have to pay for claims that have occurred, but have not yet been reported to us; claims that have been reported to us that may ultimately be paid out differently than

expected by our case-specific reserves; and claims that have been paid and closed, but may reopen and require future payment.

Our IBNR reserving process involves three steps including an initial IBNR generation process that is prospective in nature; a loss and LAE reserve estimation process that occurs retrospectively; and a subsequent discussion and reconciliation between our prospective and retrospective IBNR estimates which includes changes in our provisions for IBNR where deemed appropriate. These three processes are discussed in more detail in the following sections. LAE represents the cost involved in adjusting and administering losses from policies we issued. The LAE reserves are frequently separated into two components: allocated and unallocated. Allocated loss adjustment expense (ALAE) reserves represent an estimate of claims settlement expenses that can be identified with a specific claim or case. Examples of ALAE would be the hiring of an outside adjuster to investigate a claim or an outside attorney to defend our insured. The claims professional typically estimates this cost separately from the loss component in the case reserve. Unallocated loss adjustment expense (ULAE) reserves represent an estimate of claims settlement expenses that cannot be identified with a specific claim. An example of ULAE would be the cost of an internal claims examiner to manage or investigate a reported claim.

All decisions regarding our best estimate of ultimate loss and LAE reserves are made by our Loss Reserve Committee (LRC). The LRC is made up of various members of the management team including the chief executive officer, chief operating officer, chief financial officer, chief actuary, general counsel and other selected executives. We do not use discounting (recognition of the time value of money) in reporting our estimated reserves for losses and settlement expenses. Based on current assumptions used in calculating reserves, we believe that our overall reserve levels at March 31, 2011, make a reasonable provision to meet our future obligations.

Initial IBNR Generation Process

Initial carried IBNR reserves are determined through a reserve generation process. The intent of this process is to establish an initial total reserve that will provide a reasonable provision for the ultimate value of all unpaid loss and ALAE liabilities. For most casualty and surety products, this process involves the use of an initial loss and ALAE ratio that is applied to the earned premium for a given period. The result is our best initial estimate of the expected amount of ultimate loss and ALAE for the period by product. Paid and case reserves are subtracted from this initial estimate of ultimate loss and ALAE to determine a carried IBNR reserve.

For most property products, we use an alternative method of determining an appropriate provision for initial IBNR. Since this segment is characterized by a shorter period of time between claim occurrence and claim settlement, the IBNR reserve is determined by an IBNR percentage applied to premium earned. The IBNR percentage is determined based on historical reserve patterns and is updated periodically. In addition, for assumed reinsurance, consideration is given to information provided by the ceding company. No deductions for paid or case reserves are made. This alternative method of determining initial IBNR reacts more rapidly to the actual loss emergence and is more appropriate for our property products where final claim resolution occurs over a shorter period of time.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

Our crop reinsurance business is unique and is subject to an inherently higher degree of estimation risk during interim periods. As a result, the interim reports and professional insight of our ceding company's actuaries and crop business experts provide important information which assists us in estimating our carried reserves.

We do not reserve for natural or man-made catastrophes until an event has occurred. Shortly after such occurrence, we review insured locations exposed to the event, catastrophe model loss estimates based on our own exposures and industry loss estimates of the event. We also consider our knowledge of frequency and severity from early claim reports to determine an appropriate reserve for the catastrophe. These reserves are reviewed frequently to consider actual losses reported and appropriate changes to our estimates are made to reflect the new information.

The initial loss and ALAE ratios that are applied to earned premium are reviewed at least semi-annually. Prospective estimates are made based on historical loss experience adjusted for exposure mix and price change and loss cost trends. The initial loss and ALAE ratios also reflect a provision for estimation risk. We consider estimation risk by segment and product line. A segment with greater overall volatility and uncertainty has greater estimation risk. Characteristics of segments and products with higher estimation risk include but are not limited to the following:

- Significant changes in underlying policy terms and conditions,
- A new business or one experiencing significant growth and/or high turnover,
- Small volume or lacking internal data requiring significant utilization of external data,
- Unique reinsurance features including those with aggregate stop-loss, reinstatement clauses, commutation provisions, or clash protection,
- Longer emergence patterns with exposures to latent unforeseen mass tort,
- Assumed reinsurance businesses where there is an extended reporting lag and/or a heavier utilization of ceding company data and claims and product expertise,
- High severity and/or low frequency,
- Operational processes undergoing significant change, and/or
- High sensitivity to significant swings in loss trends or economic change.

The historical and prospective loss and ALAE estimates along with the risks listed are the basis for determining our initial and subsequent carried reserves. Adjustments in the initial loss ratio by product and segment are made where necessary and reflect updated assumptions regarding loss experience, loss trends, price changes, and prevailing risk factors. The LRC makes all final decisions regarding changes in the initial loss and ALAE ratios.

Loss and LAE Reserve Estimation Process

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

A full analysis of our loss reserves takes place at least semi-annually. The purpose of this analysis is to provide validation of our carried loss reserves. Estimates of the expected value of the unpaid loss and LAE are derived using actuarial methodologies. These estimates are then compared to the carried loss reserves to determine the appropriateness of the current reserve balance.

The process of estimating ultimate payment for claims and claims expenses begins with the collection and analysis of current and historical claim data. Data on individual reported claims, including paid amounts and individual claim adjuster estimates, are grouped by common characteristics. There is judgment involved in this grouping. Considerations when grouping data include the volume of the data available, the credibility of the data available, the homogeneity of the risks in each cohort and both settlement and payment pattern consistency. We use this data to determine historical claim reporting and payment patterns which are used in the analysis of ultimate claim liabilities. For portions of the business without sufficiently large numbers of policies or that have not accumulated sufficient historical statistics, our own data is supplemented with external or industry average data as available and when appropriate. For our new products such as our crop reinsurance business, as well as for executive products and marine business, we utilize external data extensively.

In addition to the review of historical claim reporting and payment patterns, we also incorporate estimated losses relative to premium (loss ratios) by year into the analysis. The expected loss ratios are based on a review of historical loss performance, trends in frequency and severity and price level changes. The estimates are subject to judgment including consideration given to available internal and industry data, growth and policy turnover, changes in policy limits, changes in underlying policy provisions, changes in legal and regulatory interpretations of policy provisions and changes in reinsurance structure.

We use historical development patterns, expected loss ratios and standard actuarial methods to derive an estimate of the ultimate level of loss and LAE payments necessary to settle all the claims occurring as of the end of the evaluation period. Once an estimate of the ultimate level of claim payments has been derived, the amount of paid loss and LAE and case reserve through the evaluation date is subtracted to reveal the resulting IBNR.

Our reserve processes include multiple standard actuarial methods for determining estimates of IBNR reserves. Other supplementary methodologies are incorporated as necessary. Mass tort and latent liabilities are examples of exposures where supplementary methodologies are used. Each method produces an estimate of ultimate loss by accident year. We review all of these various estimates and the actuaries assign weights to each based on the characteristics of the product being reviewed.

Our estimates of ultimate loss and LAE reserves are subject to change as additional data emerges. This could occur as a result of change in loss development patterns, a revision in expected loss ratios, the emergence of exceptional loss activity, a change in weightings between actuarial methods, the addition of new actuarial methodologies, new information that merits inclusion or the emergence of internal variables or external factors that would alter our view.

There is uncertainty in the estimates of ultimate losses. Significant risk factors to the reserve estimate include, but are not limited to, unforeseen or unquantifiable changes in:

- Loss payment patterns,
- Loss reporting patterns,
- Frequency and severity trends,

- Underlying policy terms and conditions,
- Business or exposure mix,
- Operational or internal processes affecting the timing of loss and LAE transactions,
- Regulatory and legal environment, and/or
- Economic environment.

Our actuaries engage in discussions with senior management, underwriting and the claim department on a regular basis to attempt to ascertain any substantial changes in operations or other assumptions that are necessary to consider in the reserving analysis.

A considerable degree of judgment in the evaluation of all these factors is involved in the analysis of reserves. The human element in the application of judgment is unavoidable when faced with uncertainty. Different experts will choose different assumptions, based on their individual backgrounds, professional experiences and areas of focus. Hence, the estimate selected by various qualified experts may differ significantly from each other. We consider this uncertainty by examining our historic reserve accuracy and through an internal peer review process.

Given the substantial impact of the reserve estimates on our financial statements, we subject the reserving process to significant diagnostic testing and reasonability checks. We have incorporated data validity checks and balances into our front-end processes. Data anomalies are researched and explained to reach a comfort level with the data and results. Leading indicators such as actual versus expected emergence and other diagnostics are also incorporated into the reserving processes.

Determination of Our Best Estimate

Upon completion of our full loss and LAE estimation analysis, the results are discussed with the LRC. As part of this discussion, the analysis supporting an indicated point estimate of the IBNR loss reserve by product is reviewed. The actuaries also present explanations supporting any changes to the underlying assumptions used to calculate the indicated point estimate. A review of the resulting variance between the indicated reserves and the carried reserves determined from the initial IBNR generation process takes place. Quarterly, we also consider the most recent actual loss emergence compared to the expected loss emergence derived using the last full loss and ALAE analyses. Our actuaries make a recommendation to management in regards to booked reserves that reflect their analytical assessment and view of estimation risk. After discussion of these analyses and all relevant risk factors, the LRC determines whether the reserve balances require adjustment. Resulting reserve balances have always fallen within our actuaries' range of reasonable estimates.

As a predominantly excess and surplus lines and specialty insurer servicing niche markets, we believe there are several reasons to carry on an overall basis reserves above the actuarial point estimate. We believe we are subject to above-average variation in estimates and that this variation is not symmetrical around the actuarial point estimate.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

One reason for the variation is the above-average policyholder turnover and changes in the underlying mix of exposures typical of an excess and surplus

lines business. This constant change can cause estimates based on prior experience to be less reliable than estimates for more stable, admitted books of business. Also, as a niche market writer, there is little industry-level information for direct comparisons of current and prior experience and other reserving parameters. These unknowns create greater-than-average variation in the actuarial point estimates.

Actuarial methods attempt to quantify future events. However, insurance companies are subject to unique exposures that are difficult to foresee at the point coverage is initiated and, often, many years subsequent. Judicial and regulatory bodies involved in interpretation of insurance contracts have increasingly found opportunities to expand coverage beyond that which was intended or contemplated at the time the policy was issued. Many of these policies are issued on an all risk and occurrence basis. Aggressive plaintiff attorneys have often sought coverage beyond the insurer's original intent. Some examples would be the industry's ongoing asbestos and environmental litigation, court interpretations of exclusionary language for mold and construction defect, and debates over wind versus flood as the cause of loss from major hurricane events.

We believe that because of the inherent variation and the likelihood that there are unforeseen and under-quantified liabilities absent from the actuarial estimate, it is prudent to carry loss reserves above the actuarial point estimate. Most of our variance between the carried reserve and the actuarial point estimate is in the most recent accident years for our casualty segment, where the most significant estimation risks reside. These estimation risks are considered when setting the initial loss ratios. In the cases where these risks fail to materialize, favorable loss development will likely occur over subsequent accounting periods. It is also possible that the risks materialize above the amount we considered when booking our initial loss reserves. In this case, unfavorable loss development is likely to occur over subsequent accounting periods.

Our best estimate of loss and LAE reserves may change as a result of a revision in the actuarial point estimate, the actuary's certainty in the estimates and processes and our overall view of the underlying risks. From time to time, we benchmark our reserving policies and procedures and refine them by adopting industry best practices where appropriate. A detailed, ground-up analysis of the actuarial estimation risks associated with each of our products and segments, including an assessment of industry information, is performed annually.

Loss reserve estimates are subject to a high degree of variability due to the inherent uncertainty of ultimate settlement values. Periodic adjustments to these estimates will likely occur as the actual loss emergence reveals itself over time. We believe our loss reserving processes reflect industry best practices and our methodologies result in a reasonable provision for reserves as of March 31, 2011.

Investment Valuation and OTTI

Throughout each year, we and our investment managers buy and sell securities to achieve investment objectives in accordance with investment policies established and monitored by our board of directors and executive officers.

We classify our investments in debt and equity securities with readily determinable fair values into one of three categories. Held-to-maturity securities are carried at amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains/losses recorded as a component of comprehensive earnings and shareholders' equity, net of deferred income taxes. Trading securities are carried at fair value with unrealized gains/losses included in earnings.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

We determined the fair values of certain financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy. GAAP guidance requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance also describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

We regularly evaluate our fixed income and equity securities using both quantitative and qualitative criteria to determine impairment losses for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of the investments. The following are some of the key factors we consider for determining if a security is other-than-temporarily impaired:

- The length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost,
- The probability of significant adverse changes to the cash flows on a fixed income investment,
- The occurrence of a discrete credit event resulting in the issuer defaulting on a material obligation, the issuer seeking protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws, or the issuer proposing a voluntary reorganization under which creditors are asked to exchange their claims for cash or securities having a fair value substantially lower than par value of their claims,
- The probability that we will recover the entire amortized cost basis of our fixed income securities prior to maturity, or
- For our equity securities, our expectation of recovery to cost within a reasonable period of time.

Quantitative criteria considered during this process include, but are not limited to: the degree and duration of current fair value as compared to the cost (amortized, in certain cases) of the security, degree and duration of the security's fair value being below cost and, for fixed maturities, whether the issuer is in compliance with terms and covenants of the security. Qualitative criteria include the credit quality, current economic conditions, the anticipated speed of cost recovery, the financial health of and specific prospects for the issuer, as well as our absence of intent to sell or requirement to sell fixed income securities prior to maturity. In addition, we consider price declines of securities in our OTTI analysis where such price declines provide evidence of declining credit quality, and we distinguish between price changes caused by credit deterioration, as opposed to rising interest rates.

Key factors that we consider in the evaluation of credit quality include:

- Changes in technology that may impair the earnings potential of the investment,
- The discontinuance of a segment of the business that may affect the future earnings potential,
- Reduction or elimination of dividends,
- Specific concerns related to the issuer's industry or geographic area of operation,
- Significant or recurring operating losses, poor cash flows, and/or deteriorating liquidity ratios, and
- Downgrade in credit quality by a major rating agency.

For mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities that have significant unrealized loss positions and major rating agency downgrades, credit impairment is assessed using a cash flow model that estimates likely payments using security-specific collateral and transaction structure. All our mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities remain AAA-rated by the major rating agencies and the fair value is not significantly less than amortized cost. In addition, the current cash flow assumptions are the same assumptions used at purchase which reflects no credit issues at this time.

Under current accounting standards, an OTTI write-down of debt securities, where fair value is below amortized cost, is triggered by circumstances where (1) an entity has the intent to sell a security, (2) it is more-likely-than-not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If an entity intends to sell a security or if it is more-likely-than-not the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery, an OTTI write-down is recognized in earnings equal to the difference between the security's amortized cost and its fair value. If an entity does not intend to sell the security or it is not more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, the OTTI write-down is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income.

Part of our evaluation of whether particular securities are other-than-temporarily impaired involves assessing whether we have both the intent and ability to continue to hold equity securities in an unrealized loss position. For fixed income securities, we consider our intent to sell a security (which is determined on a security-by-security basis) and whether it is more-likely-than-not we will be required to sell the security before the recovery of our amortized cost basis. Significant changes in these factors could result in a charge to net earnings for impairment losses. Impairment losses result in a reduction of the underlying investment's cost basis.

Recoverability of Reinsurance Balances

Ceded unearned premiums and reinsurance balances recoverable on paid and unpaid losses and settlement expenses are reported separately as assets, rather than being netted with the related liabilities, since reinsurance does not relieve us of our liability to policyholders. Such balances are subject to the credit risk associated with the individual reinsurer. Additionally, the same uncertainties associated with estimating unpaid losses and settlement

expenses impact the estimates for the ceded portion of such liabilities. We continually monitor the financial condition of our reinsurers. As part of our monitoring efforts, we review their annual financial statements, Securities and Exchange Commission filings, A.M. Best and S&P rating developments and insurance industry developments that may impact the financial condition of our reinsurers. In addition, we subject our reinsurance recoverables to detailed recoverable tests, including one based on average default by S&P rating. Based upon our review and testing, our policy is to charge to earnings, in the form of an allowance, an estimate of unrecoverable amounts from reinsurers. This allowance is reviewed on an ongoing basis to ensure that the amount makes a reasonable provision for reinsurance balances that we may be unable to recover. Further discussion of our reinsurance balances recoverable can be found in note 5 to the financial statements included in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

We defer commissions, premium taxes and certain other costs that vary with, and are primarily related to, the acquisition of insurance contracts. Acquisition-related costs may be deemed ineligible for deferral when they are based on contingent or performance criteria beyond the basic acquisition of the insurance contract. All eligible costs are capitalized and charged to expense in proportion to premium revenue recognized. The method followed in computing deferred policy acquisition costs limits the amount of such deferred costs to their estimated realizable value. This would also give effect to the premiums to be earned and anticipated losses and settlement expenses, as well as certain other costs expected to be incurred as the premiums are earned. Judgments as to the ultimate recoverability of such deferred costs are reviewed on a segment basis and are highly dependent upon estimated future loss costs associated with the premiums written. This deferral methodology applies to both gross and ceded premiums and acquisition costs. See note 1C of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements for the discussion of a prospective accounting standard that will impact the accounting for costs associated with acquiring insurance policies in 2012.

Deferred Taxes

We record net deferred tax assets to the extent temporary differences representing future deductible items exceed future taxable items. A significant amount of our deferred tax assets relate to expected future tax deductions arising from claim reserves and future taxable income related to changes in our unearned premium.

Periodically, management reviews our deferred tax positions to determine if it is more-likely-than-not that the assets will be realized. These reviews include, among other things, the nature and amount of the taxable income and expense items, the expected timing of when assets will be used or liabilities will be required to be reported and the reliability of historical profitability of businesses expected to provide future earnings. Furthermore, management considers tax-planning strategies it can use to increase the likelihood that the tax assets will be realized. If after conducting the periodic review management determines that the realization of the tax asset does not meet the more-likely-than-not criteria, an offsetting valuation allowance is recorded, thereby reducing net earnings and the deferred tax

asset in that period. In addition, management must make estimates of the tax rates expected to apply in the periods in which future taxable items are realized. Such estimates include determinations and judgments as to the expected manner in which certain temporary differences, including deferred amounts related to our equity method investment, will be recovered. These estimates enter into the determination of the applicable tax rates and are subject to change based on the circumstances.

We consider uncertainties in income taxes and recognize those in our financial statements as required. As it relates to uncertainties in income taxes, our unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalty accruals, are not considered material to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements. Also, no tax uncertainties are expected to result in significant increases or decreases to unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12-month period. Penalties and interest related to income tax uncertainties, should they occur, would be included in tax expense.

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011, COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010

Consolidated revenues, as displayed in the table that follows, totaled \$136.8 million for the first three months of 2011 compared to \$139.3 million for the same period in 2010.

| | For the Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, | |
|---|--|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Consolidated revenues (in thousands) | | |
| Net premiums earned | \$ 116,051 | \$ 116,264 |
| Net investment income | 16,303 | 16,600 |
| Net realized investment gains | 4,472 | 6,463 |
| Total consolidated revenue | \$ 136,826 | \$ 139,327 |

Consolidated revenue for the first three months of 2011 decreased \$2.5 million, or 2%, from the same period in 2010. Net premiums earned for the Group were flat compared to 2010 levels. New product initiatives over the last several years have led to increases in the property and surety segments and have served to offset revenue declines in our casualty segment, which is most affected by the weak economy and continued rate softening. Net investment income declined 2% to \$16.3 million. The slight decrease in investment income is a result of lower reinvestment rates on securities maturing or called. We realized net investment gains of \$4.5 million in the first three months of 2011, compared to \$6.5 million in the first three months of 2010.

Net after-tax earnings for the first three months of 2011 totaled \$26.5 million, \$1.24 per diluted share, compared to \$24.2 million, \$1.13 per diluted share for the same period in 2010. Both periods benefited from positive underwriting income that was bolstered by favorable reserve development. In 2011, favorable development on prior years' loss reserves resulted in additional pretax earnings of \$12.2 million. Slightly offsetting the current year favorable development, \$1.0 million in reserves were established for the losses associated with the Japan earthquake and tsunami, primarily on marine coverages. From a comparative standpoint, results for 2010 included \$8.9

million of favorable development on prior years' loss and hurricane reserves. Partially offsetting this favorable development in 2010 was \$1.6 million in charges to reinstate a portion of prior year reinsurance coverage exhausted by loss activity on our marine coverage. Bonus and profit sharing-related expenses associated with these specific items totaled \$1.2 million in 2011 and \$0.7 million in 2010. These performance-related expenses affected policy acquisition, insurance operating and general corporate expenses. Bonuses earned by executives, managers and associates are predominately influenced by corporate performance (operating earnings and return on capital). In addition, in 2011, we recorded \$0.5 million in profit commissions on the reduction in crop reserves.

During the first three months of 2011, equity in earnings of unconsolidated investee totaled \$2.6 million from Maui Jim, Inc. (Maui Jim). This result was \$0.4 million higher than results for the same period last year. Expansion efforts and product initiatives continued to fuel growth and profitability.

Results for the first three months of 2011 included pretax net realized gains of \$4.5 million, compared to pretax net realized gains of \$6.5 million for the same period last year. The majority of the 2011 gains relate to sales of corporate bonds and equity securities as we raise cash to fund our acquisition of CBIC.

Comprehensive earnings, which include net earnings plus other comprehensive earnings (loss) (primarily the change in unrealized gains/losses net of tax), totaled \$31.7 million, \$1.49 per diluted share, for the first three months of 2011, compared to comprehensive earnings of \$33.5 million, \$1.57 per diluted share, for the same period in 2010. Unrealized gains, net of tax, for the first three months of 2011 were \$5.2 million, compared to unrealized gains of \$9.3 million for the same period in 2010. In the first quarter of 2011, our asset allocation strategies have focused on increasing short-term investments to finance the purchase of CBIC. We focused on recognizing gains while selling longer duration corporate and lower yielding municipal securities.

RLI INSURANCE GROUP

As reflected in the table below, gross premiums written for the Group were up slightly, increasing 1% to \$143.3 million for the first three months of 2011. Expansion efforts and new product offerings in the property and surety segments over the last several years continued to fuel growth in 2011, while casualty writings continued to decline. Underwriting income for the Group increased to \$19.0 million for the first three months of 2011 compared to \$12.7 in 2010. Both periods benefited from favorable reserve development. In addition, results for the 2011 accident year reflect moderately lower loss ratios for casualty and surety products which have served to reduce the current year's loss and combined ratios by approximately 2 points. The lower accident year loss ratios reflect an actuarial reassessment of improving loss trends and resulting favorable prior years' loss development that has been evident in recent years despite an undercurrent of deteriorating pricing. The GAAP combined ratio totaled 83.6 in 2011, compared to 89.1 in 2010. The Group's loss ratio improved, decreasing to 40.4 from 46.7, while the Group's expense ratio increased slightly to 43.2 from 42.4.

**For the Three-Month Periods
Ended March 31,**

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Gross premiums written (in thousands) | | |
| Casualty | \$ 65,546 | \$ 69,862 |
| Property | 56,288 | 50,335 |
| Surety | 21,489 | 21,109 |
| Total | \$ 143,323 | \$ 141,306 |
| Underwriting income (in thousands) | | |
| Casualty | \$ 4,674 | \$ 614 |
| Property | 9,381 | 8,047 |
| Surety | 4,977 | 4,054 |
| Total | \$ 19,032 | \$ 12,715 |
| Combined ratio | | |
| Casualty | 91.5 | 99.0 |
| Property | 77.0 | 79.0 |
| Surety | 75.4 | 78.2 |
| Total | 83.6 | 89.1 |

Casualty

Gross premiums written for the casualty segment totaled \$65.5 million for the first three months of 2011, a decrease of \$4.3 million, or 6%, from the same period last year. This segment continues to feel the pressure of the soft pricing environment and weak economy. General liability, our largest casualty product, recorded gross premiums written of \$21.3 million, a decrease of \$3.2 million, or 13%, from the same period last year. This decrease is the result of moderate pricing reductions and the weak economy in the construction-related component of the book, coupled with targeted re-underwriting in our habitational (owner, landlord and tenant) classes where loss trends have been unfavorable. In addition, gross premiums written for executive products totaled \$6.9 million for 2011, a decrease of \$2.1 million, or 23%, from the same period last year due to competitive pressures. On a positive note, our professional liability coverage for design professionals that was launched in 2009 posted \$5.1 million in gross premiums written during the first three months of 2011, up \$2.2 million, or 78%, from the same period last year. Despite competitive pressures in the casualty segment, we remained disciplined in writing only those accounts that we believe will provide adequate returns. The soft marketplace is likely to continue to challenge our ability to grow premium in this segment this year.

In total, the casualty segment recorded underwriting income of \$4.7 million, compared to \$0.6 million for the same period last year. Both periods included favorable development on prior years' loss reserves. In addition, 2011 results benefitted from moderately lower current accident year loss ratios which have served to reduce the current year loss and combined ratios. The lower accident year loss ratios reflect an actuarial reassessment of improving loss trends and resulting favorable prior years' loss development that has been evident in recent years despite an undercurrent of deteriorating pricing. Products with favorable development in 2011 include commercial and personal umbrella and executive products. Due to positive emergence, during the first

three months of 2011, we released reserves, improving the segment's underwriting results by \$8.3 million. From an accident year standpoint, the majority of the favorable development occurred on more recent accident years. Loss trends on shorter-tail casualty products, such as personal umbrella, remained favorable, and executive products reserves associated with the credit crisis have developed favorably. From a comparative standpoint, results for 2010 included \$5.5 million of favorable loss experience on prior accident years, primarily for personal umbrella, transportation, and specialty programs. Accident years contributing the most to the release were 2005 through 2007.

As previously discussed in note 5 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, *Operating Segment Information*, the fidelity division that was formerly included in the surety segment was reclassified to the casualty segment. This reclassification had a minimal effect on the combined ratio, increasing the 2010 combined ratio to 98.3 from 99.0. Overall, the combined ratio for the casualty segment was 91.5 for 2011 compared to 99.0 in 2010. The segment's loss ratio was 55.0 in 2011 compared to 64.0 in 2010, driven by the higher amount of favorable development on prior accident years' reserves and the aforementioned reductions in the current accident year loss ratios. The expense ratio for the casualty segment was 36.5 for the first three months of 2011 compared to 35.0 for the same period of 2010. Expenses decreased slightly in total for the segment in the first three months of 2011, but the expense ratio is higher as a percentage of the decreased net premium earned.

Property

Gross premiums written for the Group's property segment totaled \$56.3 million for the first three months of 2011, an increase of \$6.0 million, or 12%, from the same period last year. The increase is attributable to recent product launches as well as growth in some of our mature products. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we launched our pet insurance coverage. In the first three months of 2011, gross premiums written for the new product contributed \$1.3 million. In addition, our facultative reinsurance division grew 34% from the same period last year to \$4.0 million in gross premiums written in 2011 as it continues to build out its footprint. Two of our more mature products, difference-in-conditions (DIC) and marine, grew in the first three months of 2011. Gross premiums written for DIC totaled \$9.7 million for the first three months of 2011, an increase of \$1.2 million, or 14%, from the same period in 2010. In addition, our marine division increased 15% to \$13.8 million. The growth in marine is due largely to an increase in inland marine coverages where loss trends are more favorable.

Underwriting income for the segment was \$9.4 million for the first three months of 2011, compared to \$8.0 million for the same period in 2010. Results for 2011 reflect \$1.4 million of favorable development on prior years' marine loss reserves, and \$0.5 million of favorable development on prior year crop business. We recorded a \$1.0 million reduction in prior year crop reserves which was reduced by a \$0.5 million increase in relating profit commissions. Final settlement of the 2010 treaty year will occur in the second quarter of 2011. Partially offsetting the favorable marine development, results for 2011 include \$1.0 million in reserves established for losses associated with the Japan earthquake and tsunami, primarily on marine coverages. From a

comparative standpoint, 2010 underwriting results included \$0.5 million of favorable development on hurricane reserves and \$0.4 million of unfavorable development on marine coverages, inclusive of reinstatement premium.

Segment results for 2011 translate into a combined ratio of 77.0, compared to 79.0 for the same period last year. The segment's loss ratio was 35.2 in 2011 compared to 36.6 in 2010, primarily due to the increased favorable development in the current year. From an expense standpoint, the segment's expense ratio decreased to 41.8 for 2011 from 42.4 for 2010 as a result of the increased net premium earned.

Surety

The surety segment recorded gross premiums written of \$21.5 million for the first three months of 2011, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 2%, from the same period last year. Premium growth was experienced across miscellaneous and energy lines. The segment recorded underwriting income of \$5.0 million, compared to \$4.1 million for the same period last year. Results for 2011 included favorable development on prior accident years loss reserves, which improved the segment's underwriting results by \$1.5 million. Loss trends have remained favorable as the expected adverse impact of the economy has not fully materialized. In response, the current accident year loss ratios have been lowered, resulting in a reduction in the current year loss and combined ratios. From a comparative standpoint, 2010 results include favorable loss development which improved the segment's underwriting results by \$1.7 million.

As previously discussed in note 5 to the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements, *Operating Segment Information*, the fidelity division that was formerly included in the surety segment was reclassified to the casualty segment. This reclassification had a minor effect on the combined ratio, reducing the 2010 combined ratio to 78.2 from 80.7. The combined ratio for the surety segment totaled 75.4 in 2011, versus the aforementioned 78.2 for the same period in 2010. The segment's loss ratio was 11.1 for 2011, compared to 12.2 for 2010 due to the aforementioned reductions in the current accident year loss ratios. From an expense standpoint, the segment's expense ratio decreased slightly to 64.3 for 2011 from 66.0 for 2010.

INVESTMENT INCOME AND REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS

The capital markets continued their upward trend during the first quarter of 2011 as unemployment fell and the manufacturing sector increased. This is despite a strong rally in commodities, geo-political unease in the Middle East, North Africa and Europe, and continued concerns over government deficits. Interest rates increased during the quarter and our duration increased slightly to 4.9 from 4.6 at the end of the 2010.

| (in thousands) | 3/31/2011 | | 12/31/2010 | |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|
| | Financial Stmt Value | % | Financial Stmt Value | % |
| Fixed income | 1,383,542 | 75.6% | 1,441,337 | 79.9% |
| Equity securities | 335,006 | 18.3% | 321,897 | 17.9% |
| Short-term investments | 111,872 | 6.1% | 39,787 | 2.2% |
| Total | 1,830,420 | 100.0% | 1,803,021 | 100.0% |

Our current equity allocation represents 18% of our total investment portfolio.

We believe our overall asset allocation best meets our strategy to preserve capital for policyholders, provide sufficient income to support insurance operations, and to effectively grow book value over a long-term investment horizon.

During the first three months of 2011, net investment income decreased 2% from that reported for the same period in 2010. The slight decrease in investment income is a result of lower reinvestment rates on securities maturing or called.

The average annual yields on our fixed income investments (excluding short-term investments) for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|
| <u>Pretax Yield</u> | | |
| Taxable | 4.47% | 4.74% |
| Tax-Exempt | 3.80% | 3.78% |
| <u>After-tax yield</u> | | |
| Taxable | 2.91% | 3.08% |
| Tax-Exempt | 3.60% | 3.58% |

The fixed income portfolio decreased by \$57.8 million in the first three months of 2011. This portfolio had a tax-adjusted total return on a mark-to-market basis of 0.8%. The equity portfolio had a total return of 5.7% for the first three months of 2011. Our equity portfolio increased by \$13.1 million during the first three months of 2011, to \$335.0 million.

We recognized a total of \$4.5 million in net realized gains in the first three months of 2011, compared to net realized gains of \$6.5 million in the first three months of 2010.

The following table is used as part of our impairment analysis and illustrates certain industry-level measurements relative to our equity stock portfolio as of March 31, 2011, including fair value, cost basis, and unrealized gains and losses.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Cost Basis | Fair Value | 3/31/2011 Unrealized | | Net | Unrealized Gain/Loss % (1) |
|------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Gains | Losses | | |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Consumer Discretionary | \$ 15,652 | \$ 22,167 | \$ 6,515 | \$ | \$ 6,515 | 41.6% |
| Consumer Staples | 13,542 | 27,519 | 14,036 | (59) | 13,977 | 103.2% |
| Energy | 10,635 | 27,541 | 16,906 | | 16,906 | 159.0% |
| Financials | 21,072 | 29,008 | 7,953 | (17) | 7,936 | 37.7% |
| Healthcare | 5,321 | 14,519 | 9,198 | | 9,198 | 172.9% |
| Industrials | 17,990 | 37,018 | 19,028 | | 19,028 | 105.8% |
| Materials | 5,230 | 7,748 | 2,518 | | 2,518 | 48.1% |
| Information Technology | 18,381 | 28,276 | 10,349 | (454) | 9,895 | 53.8% |
| Telecommunications | 4,672 | 10,469 | 5,797 | | 5,797 | 124.1% |
| Utilities | 38,106 | 55,281 | 17,252 | (77) | 17,175 | 45.1% |
| ETF | 61,405 | 75,460 | 14,055 | | 14,055 | 22.9% |
| | \$ 212,006 | \$ 335,006 | \$ 123,607 | \$ (607) | \$ 123,000 | 58.0% |

(1) Calculated as the percentage of net unrealized gain (loss) to cost basis.

In addition to our equity portfolio shown above, we maintain an allocation to municipal fixed income securities. As of March 31, 2011, we had \$217.4 million in municipal securities. As of March 31, 2011, approximately 26% of our municipal bond portfolio maintains an AAA rating, and 94% of our municipal bond portfolio maintains an AA or better rating. At December 31, 2010, approximately 26% of our municipal bond portfolio had an AAA rating, while 95% of our municipal bond portfolio held an AA or better rating.

INCOME TAXES

Our effective tax rate for the first three months of 2011 was 32% compared to 30% for the same period in 2010. Effective rates are dependent upon components of pretax earnings and the related tax effects. The effective rate for the first three months of 2011 was higher due to an increase in underwriting income and a decrease in tax-favored investment income.

Income tax expense attributable to income from operations differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal tax rate of 35% to pretax income for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 as a result of the following:

| (in thousands) | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|---|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Provision for income taxes at the Statutory rate of 35% | \$ 13,617 | 35% | \$ 12,181 | 35% |
| Increase (reduction) in taxes resulting from: | | | | |
| Tax exempt interest income | (614) | -2% | (1,011) | -3% |
| Dividends received deduction | (434) | -1% | (323) | -1% |
| Dividends paid deduction | (167) | 0% | (146) | -1% |
| Other items, net | 43 | 0% | (120) | 0% |
| Total tax expense | \$ 12,445 | 32% | \$ 10,581 | 30% |

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have three primary types of cash flows: (1) cash flows from operating activities, which consist mainly of cash generated by our underwriting operations and income earned on our investment portfolio, (2) cash flows from investing activities related to the purchase, sale and maturity of investments, and (3) cash flows from financing activities that impact our capital structure, such as changes in debt and shares outstanding.

The following table summarizes cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | 2011 | (in thousands) | 2010 |
|----------------------|------|----------------|-------------|
| Operating cash flows | \$ | 17,938 | \$ (2,448) |
| Investing cash flows | \$ | (14,713) | \$ 18,385 |
| Financing cash flows | \$ | (3,225) | \$ (15,937) |
| Total | \$ | | \$ |

Cash flows from operating activities are typically lower during the first quarter due to the cyclical nature of premium renewals and payments, including bonus, retirement, and profit-sharing contributions. However, for the first three months of 2011, cash flows from operating activities increased due largely to an increase in premium receipts compared to that reported for the same period in 2010. Our common stock repurchase program caused cash flows from financing activities to decrease during the first quarter of 2011 compared to 2010 as no shares were repurchased in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$11.3 million of shares in the same period last year. The current focus is on raising cash to fund the acquisition of CBIC.

We have \$100.0 million in long-term debt outstanding. On December 12, 2003, we completed a public debt offering, issuing \$100.0 million in senior notes maturing January 15, 2014 (a 10-year maturity), and paying interest semi-annually at the rate of 5.95% per annum. The notes were issued at a discount resulting in proceeds, net of discount and commission, of \$98.9 million. The estimated fair value for the senior note at March 31, 2011 was \$104.0 million. The fair value of our long-term debt is estimated based on the limited observable prices that reflect thinly traded securities.

We are not party to any off-balance sheet arrangements or special-purpose entities.

As of March 31, 2011, we had short-term investments and other investments maturing within one year of approximately \$121.3 million and investments of \$277.5 million maturing within five years. As of March 31, 2011, our short-term investments were held in prime funds within multiple fund families, including JP Morgan, Federated, and Wells Fargo. All funds are NAIC-approved, AAA-rated, and maintain average weighted maturities of less than 60 days. Holdings within each of these funds comply with regulatory limitations. Whereas our strategy is to be fully invested at all times, short-term investments in excess of demand deposit balances are considered a component of investment activities, and thus are classified as investments in our consolidated balance sheets.

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

We also maintain a revolving line of credit with JPMorgan Chase, which permits us to borrow up to an aggregate principal amount of \$25.0 million. Under certain conditions, the line may be increased up to an aggregate principal amount of \$50.0 million. The facility has a three-year term that expires on May 31, 2011. We are in the final stages of renewing this line of credit. We anticipate closing in early May 2011 with similar terms and conditions. As of March 31, 2011, no amounts were outstanding on this facility.

We believe that cash generated by operations, by investments and by cash available from financing activities will provide sufficient sources of liquidity to meet our anticipated needs over the next 12 to 24 months.

We have not had any liquidity issues affecting our operations as we have sufficient cash flow to support operations. In addition to the line of credit, our highly liquid investment portfolio and additional reverse repurchase debt capacity provide additional sources of liquidity.

We maintain a well-diversified investment portfolio representing policyholder funds that have not yet been paid out as claims, as well as the capital we hold for our shareholders. As of March 31, 2011, our investment portfolio had a book value of \$1.7 billion. Invested assets at March 31, 2011, increased by \$27.4 million from December 31, 2010.

As of March 31, 2011, our investment portfolio had the following asset allocation breakdown:

Portfolio Allocation

(in thousands)

| Asset Class | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Gain/(Loss) | % of Total Fair Value | Quality |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Agencies | \$ 374,420 | \$ 365,569 | \$ (8,851) | 20.1% | AAA |
| Corporates | 438,034 | 458,436 | 20,402 | 25.1% | A |
| Mortgage-backed | 245,475 | 254,472 | 8,997 | 14.0% | AAA |
| Asset-backed | 62,519 | 64,578 | 2,059 | 3.5% | AAA |
| Treasuries | 15,718 | 15,632 | (86) | 0.9% | AAA |
| Munis | 215,673 | 217,374 | 1,701 | 11.9% | AA |
| Total Fixed Income | \$ 1,351,839 | \$ 1,376,061 | \$ 24,222 | 75.5% | AA |
| Equities | \$ 212,006 | \$ 335,006 | \$ 123,000 | 18.4% | |
| Short-term investments | \$ 111,872 | \$ 111,872 | \$ | 6.1% | |
| Total Portfolio | \$ 1,675,717 | \$ 1,822,939 | \$ 147,222 | 100.0% | |

Our investment portfolio does not have any exposure to credit default swaps or derivatives. We completely exited our securities lending program as of June 30, 2009.

As of March 31, 2011, our fixed income portfolio had the following rating distribution:

| | |
|--------------|---------------|
| AAA | 55.7% |
| AA | 15.0% |
| A | 19.2% |
| BBB | 9.5% |
| NR | 0.6% |
| Total | 100.0% |

As of March 31, 2011, the duration of the fixed income portfolio was 4.9 years. Our fixed income portfolio remained well diversified, with 535 individual issues as of March 31, 2011.

Our investment portfolio has limited exposure to structured asset-backed products. As of March 31, 2011, we had \$13.0 million in asset-backed securities which are pools of assets collateralized by cash flows from several types of loans, including home equity, credit cards, autos, and similar obligations. The majority of our asset-backed portfolio is comprised of rate reduction utility bonds.

As of March 31, 2011 we did not hold any securities that are classified as subprime home equity. We had \$51.6 million in securities backed by commercial mortgages and \$254.5 million in securities backed by conforming government-sponsored enterprise (Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae) residential loans. Excluding the conforming Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and Ginnie Mae mortgages, our exposure to asset-backed products and commercial mortgage-backed securities was four percent of our investment portfolio as of March 31, 2011.

At March 31, 2011, our equity portfolio had a fair value of \$335.0 million and is also a source of liquidity. The securities within the equity portfolio remain primarily invested in large-cap issues with strong dividend performance. In the equity portfolio, the strategy remains one of value investing, with security selection taking precedence over market timing. We use a buy-and-hold strategy, minimizing both transactional costs and taxes.

As of March 31, 2011, our equity portfolio had a dividend yield of 2.7% compared to 1.8% for the S&P 500 index. Because of the corporate dividend-received-deduction applicable to our dividend income, we pay an effective tax rate of only 14.2% on dividends, compared to 35.0% on taxable interest and 5.3% on municipal bond interest income. As with our bond portfolio, we maintain a well-diversified group of 79 equity securities.

Our capital structure is comprised of equity and debt outstanding. As of March 31, 2011, our capital structure consisted of \$100.0 million in 10-year maturity senior notes maturing in 2014 (long-term debt) and \$819.9 million of shareholders' equity. Debt outstanding comprised 12.2% of total capital as of March 31, 2011.

We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.29 per share on March 18, 2011, the same amount as the prior quarter. We have paid dividends for 139 consecutive quarters and increased dividends in each of the last 35 years.

Dividend payments to us from our principal insurance subsidiary are restricted by state insurance laws as to the amount that may be paid without prior approval of the regulatory authority of Illinois. The maximum distribution in a rolling 12-month period is limited by Illinois law to the greater of 10% of policyholder surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year or the net income of the Company for the 12-month period ending December 31 of the preceding year. Therefore, the maximum dividend that can be paid by RLI Insurance Company in a rolling 12-month period ending in 2011 without prior approval is \$129.3 million which represents RLI Insurance Company's net income for 2010. There was no dividend paid in the first three months of 2011. Other dividends paid in the previous nine months totaled \$188.0 million, making the total for the rolling 12-month period \$188.0 million. Of that amount, \$150 million was paid by RLI Insurance Co. as an extraordinary dividend after seeking and receiving approval from the Illinois regulatory authorities in November, 2010. The extraordinary dividend was paid to RLI Corp. in December, 2010 and was used to support the special dividend paid to shareholders on December 20, 2010.

Interest and fees on debt obligations totaled \$1.5 million for the first three months of 2011 and 2010. As of March 31, 2011, outstanding debt balances totaled \$100.0 million, the same amount outstanding at March 31, 2010. Debt balances at March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 were comprised of \$100.0 million in senior notes. We have incurred interest expense on debt at the following average interest rates for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Line of Credit | NA | NA |
| Reverse repurchase agreements | NA | NA |
| Total short-term debt | NA | NA |
| Senior Notes | 6.02% | 6.02% |
| Total Debt | 6.02% | 6.02% |

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of economic losses due to adverse changes in the estimated fair value of a financial instrument as the result of changes in equity prices, interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Historically, our primary market risks have been equity price risk associated with investments in equity securities and interest rate risk associated with investments in fixed maturities. We have limited exposure to both foreign currency risk and commodity risk.

Credit risk is the potential loss resulting from adverse changes in an issuer's ability to repay its debt obligations. We monitor our portfolio to ensure that credit risk does not exceed prudent levels. We have consistently invested in high credit quality, investment grade securities. Our fixed maturity portfolio has an average rating of AA, with 90% rated A or better by at least one nationally recognized rating organization.

On an overall basis, our exposure to market risk has not significantly changed from that reported in our December 31, 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain a system of controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance as to the reliability of the financial statements and other disclosures included in this report, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures was performed, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report.

In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurances of achieving the desired control objective, and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. We believe that our disclosure controls and procedures provide such reasonable assurance.

No changes were made to our internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings - There were no material changes to report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors - There were no material changes to report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds -

Items 2(a) and (b) are not applicable.

Our current \$100 million share repurchase program was implemented by our Board of Directors in May 2010. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice. There were no repurchases during the first quarter of 2011 as we focus on raising cash to fund our pending acquisition of CBIC. We have \$94.1 million of remaining capacity from the repurchase program.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities - Not Applicable

Item 5. **Other Information** - Not Applicable

Item 6. **Exhibits**

Exhibit 31.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form 10-Q

Exhibit 31.2 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 101 XBRL-Related Documents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RLI Corp.

/s/ Joseph E. Dondanville
Joseph E. Dondanville
Sr. Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and
Chief Accounting Officer)

Date: April 28, 2011