

HELEN OF TROY LTD
Form 10-K
April 29, 2014
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended February 28, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-14669

HELEN OF TROY LIMITED

(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Bermuda
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-2692550
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Clarendon House

Church Street

Hamilton, Bermuda
(Address of principal executive offices)

1 Helen of Troy Plaza
El Paso, Texas
(Registrant's United States Mailing Address)

79912
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (915) 225-8000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|---|
| Common Shares, \$.10 par value per share | The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of August 31, 2013, based upon the closing price of the common shares as reported by The NASDAQ Global Select Market on such date, was approximately \$1,210,686,000.

As of April 21, 2014 there were 28,595,094 common shares, \$.10 par value per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information required for Part III of this annual report will be set forth in and incorporated herein by reference into Part III of this report from the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| | | PAGE | |
|------------------------|-----------------|---|-----|
| <u>PART I</u> | <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 14 |
| | <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 24 |
| | <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 25 |
| | <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 26 |
| | <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 27 |
| <u>PART II</u> | <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 28 |
| | <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 31 |
| | <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 33 |
| | <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 55 |
| | <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 59 |
| | <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 104 |
| | <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 104 |
| | <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 105 |
| <u>PART III</u> | <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 106 |
| | <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 106 |
| | <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | 106 |
| | <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 106 |
| | <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 106 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 107 |
| | | <u>Signatures</u> | 110 |

Table of Contents

CERTAIN CONVENTIONS USED IN THIS REPORT

In this report and accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, unless the context suggests otherwise or otherwise indicated, references to the Company, our Company, Helen of Troy, we, us, or our refer to Helen of Troy Limited and its subsidiaries, and amounts are expressed in thousands of U.S. Dollars. We refer to the Company's common shares, par value \$0.10 per share, as common stock. References to Kaz refer to the operations of Kaz, Inc. and its subsidiaries. References to OXO refer to the operations of OXO International and certain of its affiliated subsidiaries that comprise our Housewares segment. Product and service names mentioned in this report are used for identification purposes only and may be protected by trademarks, trade names, service marks and / or other intellectual property rights of the Company and other parties in the United States and other jurisdictions. The absence of a specific attribution in connection with any such mark does not constitute a waiver of any such right. All trademarks, trade names, service marks and logos referenced herein belong to their respective owners. References to the FASB refer to the Financial Accounting Standards Board. References to GAAP refer to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. References to ASC refer to the codification of U.S. GAAP in the Accounting Standards Codification issued by the FASB.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain written and oral statements made by our Company and subsidiaries of our Company may constitute forward-looking statements as defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This includes statements made in this report, in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), in press releases, and in certain other oral and written presentations. Generally, the words anticipates , believes , expects , plans , may , will , should , seeks , estimates , project , predict , potential , continue , intend identify forward-looking statements. All statements that address operating results, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future, including statements related to sales, earnings per share results and statements expressing general expectations about future operating results, are forward-looking statements and are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. We believe there is a reasonable basis for our expectations and assumptions, but there can be no assurance that we will realize our expectations or that our assumptions will prove correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks that could cause them to differ materially from actual results. Accordingly, we caution readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We believe that these risks include but are not limited to the risks described in this report under Item 1A., Risk Factors and that are otherwise described from time to time in our SEC reports filed after this report. As described later in this report, such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, among others:

- the departure and recruitment of key personnel;

- our ability to deliver products to our customers in a timely manner and according to their fulfillment standards;

- the costs of complying with the business demands and requirements of large sophisticated customers;

- our relationships with key customers and licensors;

- our dependence on the strength of retail economies and vulnerabilities to any prolonged economic downturn;

- expectations regarding our recent and future acquisitions, including our ability to realize anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits along with our ability to effectively integrate acquired businesses;

- foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;

- disruptions in U.S., Euro zone and other international credit markets;

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

- risks associated with weather conditions;
- our dependence on foreign sources of supply and foreign manufacturing, and associated operational risks including but not limited to long lead times, consistent local labor availability and capacity, and timely availability of sufficient shipping carrier capacity;
- the impact of changing costs of raw materials, labor and energy on cost of goods sold and certain operating expenses;
- our geographic concentration of certain U.S. distribution facilities increases our exposure to significant shipping disruptions and added shipping and storage costs;
- difficulties encountered during the transition of certain businesses to our new distribution facility could interrupt our logistical systems and cause shipping disruptions;
- our projections of product demand, sales and net income are highly subjective in nature and future sales and net income could vary in a material amount from such projections;
- circumstances which may contribute to future impairment of goodwill, intangible or other long-lived assets;
- the risks associated with the use of trademarks licensed from and to third parties;
- our ability to develop and introduce a continuing stream of new products to meet changing consumer preferences;
- trade barriers, exchange controls, expropriations, and other risks associated with foreign operations;
- debt leverage and the constraints it may impose on our cash resources and ability to operate our business;
- the costs, complexity and challenges of upgrading and managing our global information systems;
- the risks associated with information security breaches;

- the risks associated with tax audits and related disputes with taxing authorities;

Table of Contents

- the risks of potential changes in laws, including tax laws, health insurance laws and new regulations related to conflict minerals along with the costs and complexities of compliance with such laws; and
- our ability to continue to avoid classification as a controlled foreign corporation.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

We are a global consumer products company offering creative solutions for our customers through a strong portfolio of well-recognized and widely-trusted brands. We have built our market positions through new product innovation, product quality and competitive pricing. Our products are used every day by people around the world to help meet their household, health and beauty needs. We were incorporated as Helen of Troy Corporation in Texas in 1968 and reincorporated as Helen of Troy Limited in Bermuda in 1994. We have three business segments: Housewares, Healthcare / Home Environment and Personal Care.

Our Housewares segment reports the operations of the OXO family of brands whose product offerings include food preparation tools, gadgets and storage containers, cleaning, organization, and baby and toddler care products. Key brands include OXO, Good Grips, Soft Works, OXO tot, and OXO Steel.

Our Healthcare / Home Environment segment focuses on health care devices such as thermometers, humidifiers, blood pressure monitors, and heating pads; water filtration systems; and small home appliances such as portable heaters, fans, air purifiers, and insect control devices. Key brands include Vicks, Braun, Honeywell, PUR, Febreze, Stinger, Duracraft, and SoftHeat.

Our Personal Care segment's products include electric hair care, beauty care and wellness appliances; grooming tools and accessories; and liquid, solid- and powder-based personal care and grooming products. Key brands include Revlon, Vidal Sassoon, Dr. Scholl's, Toni&Guy, Sure, Pert Plus, Infusium 23, Brut, Ammens, Hot Tools, Bed Head, Karina, Sea Breeze, and Gold N Hot.

All three segments sell their products primarily through mass merchandisers, drugstore chains, warehouse clubs, catalogs, grocery stores and specialty stores. In addition, the Personal Care segment sells extensively through beauty supply retailers and wholesalers and the Healthcare / Home Environment segment sells certain of its product lines through medical distributors and other products through home improvement stores. We purchase our products from unaffiliated manufacturers, most of which are located in China, Mexico and the United States.

On December 30, 2011, we completed an asset and stock purchase transaction in which we acquired 100 percent of the stock of PUR Water Purification Products, Inc., and certain other assets and liabilities from The Procter & Gamble Company and certain of its affiliates (P&G) for a net cash purchase price of approximately \$160 million. The acquisition was funded entirely with short-term debt. Significant assets acquired include manufacturing equipment, trademarks, customer lists, distribution rights, patents, and the goodwill of the PUR water filtration business. PUR's product lines include faucet mount water filtration systems and filters, pitcher systems and filters, and refrigerator filters. We are operating the PUR business in our Healthcare / Home Environment segment and market its products primarily into retail trade channels in the U.S. We have completed the integration of PUR into our operating structure.

Fiscal Year 2014 Operating Initiatives

In fiscal year 2014, we made the following progress on key initiatives:

- The Healthcare / Home Environment segment converted from its legacy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system onto our global ERP system. We believe this migration will eliminate a number of inefficiencies associated with managing multiple ERP systems and continue to improve uniformity of execution throughout our organization. In addition, we are constantly upgrading and adding functionality to the overall system with key enhancements underway in the product development and finance areas that will further streamline and improve the quality of our back office operations.
- We commenced shipments out of our new 1.3 million square foot distribution facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi during the first week of September 2013. The new facility will consolidate the distribution operations of both our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments appliance businesses. We have completed the move of our domestic Healthcare / Home Environment distribution operation out of its previously

Table of Contents

leased Memphis, Tennessee location and have terminated the lease associated with the facility as of October 31, 2013. We are in the process of completing the transition of our domestic Personal Care segment appliance distribution operation to the new facility, which we expect to complete in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015.

These investments have improved the infrastructure that supports our current business, have staged us for additional operating efficiencies over the foreseeable future and have provided a platform for further growth.

Recent Developments

On January 14, 2014, the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, our former Chief Executive Officer and President (the former CEO), entered into a separation agreement (the Separation Agreement). Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, the former CEO ceased serving as the Chief Executive Officer and President and resigned as a director of the Company, effective January 14, 2014, but remained an employee of the Company through February 28, 2014. With the former CEO stepping down, the Board of Directors implemented its succession plan, appointing Julien R. Mininberg as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, effective March 1, 2014. The former CEO's employment with the Company was considered a termination without cause under the terms of his employment agreement. As a result, in connection with the termination of his employment, Mr. Rubin will only receive the amounts or payments due to him under the employment agreement for a termination of employment without cause as of February 28, 2014. As a result of the Separation Agreement, the Company recorded a charge of \$16.34 million (after tax) in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014, which accrued for liabilities and associated legal costs as a result of the separation.

Mr. Rubin provided exceptional leadership and dedication to the Company for over four decades, guiding Helen of Troy from a small family business to a multinational organization with revenues for fiscal year 2014 exceeding \$1.3 billion. We are very grateful for Mr. Rubin's stewardship and years of service.

For additional financial information regarding Mr. Rubin's departure and the appointment of Mr. Mininberg as the succeeding Chief Executive Officer, see Notes (13), (14) and (15) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On February 6, 2014 the Company announced that based upon a thorough and ongoing evaluation of opportunities to enhance long-term value for shareholders, its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of \$550 million of its outstanding shares of common stock to return to shareholders capital not otherwise deployed for strategic acquisitions. The repurchase plan represents approximately 28.9 percent of our then outstanding common stock and superseded the previous resolution in place. As part of the initial execution of the repurchase plan, on February 10, 2014, the Company commenced a modified Dutch auction tender offer to repurchase up to \$300 million in value of its shares of common stock, which expired on March 10, 2014. An aggregate of 3,693,816 shares of common stock were tendered at or below the final purchase price of \$66.50 per share for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$245.64 million (not including fees and expenses relating to the tender offer). The shares repurchased represented approximately 11.5 percent of our outstanding shares of common stock as of February 5, 2014. See Note (21) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the completion of the Dutch auction tender offer. Over the next three years, the repurchase plan will allow the additional shares to be repurchased through a variety of methods, including open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, block trades, accelerated stock repurchase transactions, or any combination of such methods. The number of shares repurchased and the timing of the repurchases will depend on a number of factors, including share price, trading volume and general market conditions, as well as on working capital requirements, general business conditions, financial conditions, any applicable contractual limitations and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities.

Table of Contents

Company Strategy

As we look to the future, we have adopted a new way of looking at our strategic choices to improve the focus of our business segments and corporate shared service organization. These choices will guide us regarding where we will operate and how we will achieve our goals in markets around the world. The overall design of our business and organizational plan is intended to create sustainable growth and improve organizational capability.

- **Invest in our core businesses.** We have developed a portfolio of brands that are clear market leaders or have a path to substantially grow their market position in attractive categories. We believe that prudent investment in new products, new go-to-market plans and new marketing activities can grow them organically. We plan to increase investment in those with the most promising potential.
- **Sharpen our portfolio.** We have a track record of successful acquisition and are continually looking for new businesses and opportunities to expand in categories and geographies where we believe we have critical mass and can develop a competitive advantage. We are also constantly assessing our full suite of businesses to ensure each is a good fit with our long-term plans.
- **Invest in innovation.** We have a long history of developing or acquiring new technologies, new products that improve consumers lives and new designs to differentiate our products from competitors. We are increasing our focus on innovation both in our core categories and product adjacencies. We are also focusing on initiatives that create commercial value for existing leadership products in order to increase their appeal and accelerate their organic growth.
- **Improve our organization and people systems.** Our employees are our most valuable asset. Attracting, retaining and developing talent is a key focus area. To help us deliver strong business results, we are sharpening our organizational structure, compensations systems and building a culture based on the values we believe will help us win in both the short- and long-term.
- **Best-in-class supply chain.** We have developed an outstanding, diversified base of suppliers in North America, China and Mexico. We have also invested heavily in our warehouses and information technology systems. We strive to continuously improve our existing supplier base and infrastructure, and to develop new manufacturing partners to ensure our products are innovative, on time, on cost, and on quality.
- **Asset efficiency.** As we manage our businesses for long-term growth and success in the marketplace, we are also looking to manage our overall base of assets and capital structure to increase shareholder value. We are focused on maximizing cash flow, controlling our costs, increasing the efficiency of the capital we deploy, and optimizing working capital assets such as inventory and accounts receivable through improved systems. We are also optimizing our capital structure, with the selective use of leverage to invest in acquisition and, where appropriate, return of capital to shareholders.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

We present financial information by operating segment in Note (20) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The matters discussed in this Item 1., Business, pertain to all existing operating segments, unless otherwise specified.

Table of Contents**TRADEMARKS, PATENTS AND PRODUCTS**

We sell certain of our products under trademarks licensed from third parties. We also market products under a number of trademarks that we own. The following is a representative listing of some of the more important trademarks by segment and major product category:

| SEGMENT | PRODUCT CATEGORY | OWNED TRADEMARKS | LICENSED TRADEMARKS |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Housewares | | OXO®, Good Grips®, SoftWorks®, OXO tot®, OXO Steel® | |
| Healthcare / Home Environment | Healthcare | SoftHeat®, Protec®, SmartTemp® | Braun®, Vicks® |
| | Water Filtration | PUR® | |
| | Home Environment | Duracraft®, Stinger®, Nosquito® | Honeywell®, Febreze® |
| Personal Care | Retail and Professional Appliances and Accessories | PRO Beauty Tools®, Karina®, HOT Tools®, Gold N Hot®, Carel®, Comare®, Shear Technology®, DCNL® | Revlon ® (1), Vidal Sassoon®, Dr. Scholls®, Scholl®, Toni&Guy®, Bed Head® |
| | Grooming, Skin Care and Hair Care Solutions | Brut®, Infusium 23®, Pert Plus®, Sure®, Ammens®, Ogilvie®, Final Net® | Sea Breeze® |

(1) The remaining duration of the Revlon license agreements, including renewal terms, is approximately 50 years.

Licensed Trademarks

The Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments depend upon the continued use of trademarks licensed under various agreements for a substantial portion of their net sales revenue. New product introductions under licensed trademarks require approval from the respective licensors. The licensors must also approve the product packaging. Many of our license agreements require us to pay minimum royalties, meet minimum sales volumes and some require us to make minimum levels of advertising expenditures. If we decide to renew upon expiration of their current terms, we may be required to pay prescribed renewal fees for certain agreements at the time of that election or we may be unable to renegotiate acceptable terms that will allow for renewal.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

We believe our principal trademarks, both owned and licensed, have high levels of brand name recognition among retailers and consumers throughout the world. In addition, we believe our brands have an established reputation for quality, reliability and value.

Patents, Other Intellectual Property and Infringement Considerations

Helen of Troy maintains utility and design patents in the United States and several foreign countries. We believe the loss of the protection afforded by any one of these patents would not have a material adverse effect on our business as a whole. We also protect certain details about our processes, products and strategies as trade secrets, keeping confidential the information that we believe provides us with a competitive advantage.

We monitor and protect our brands against infringement, as we deem practical and appropriate; however, our ability to enforce patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property is subject to general litigation risks, as well as uncertainty as to the enforceability of various intellectual property rights in various jurisdictions.

Table of ContentsProducts

We market and sell Personal Care, Housewares and Healthcare / Home Environment products that we acquire, design and develop. The following table summarizes the types of products we sell by business segment:

| SEGMENT | PRODUCT CATEGORY | SIGNIFICANT PRODUCTS |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Housewares | | Food preparation tools and gadgets, food storage containers, cutlery, household cleaning tools, tea, coffee and hydration products, bathroom accessories, storage and organization products, and baby and toddler care products |
| Healthcare / Home Environment | Healthcare | Thermometers, blood pressure monitors, humidifiers, heating pads, and hot / cold wraps |
| | Water Filtration | Faucet mount water filtration systems, pitcher based water filtration systems and refrigerator filters |
| | Home Environment | Air purifiers, heaters, fans, humidifiers, dehumidifiers, and insect control |
| Personal Care | Retail and Professional Appliances and Accessories | Curling irons, straightening irons, hot air brushes, hand-held dryers, hard and soft-bonnet hair dryers, hair setters, facial and skin care appliances, foot care appliances, hair clippers and trimmers, hand held and lighted mirrors, hair brushes, hair styling implements, and decorative hair accessories |
| | Grooming, Skin Care and Hair Care Solutions | Liquid hair styling products, treatments, conditioners, shampoos, liquid and / or medicated skin care products, fragrances, deodorants, and antiperspirants |

Innovation is a core strategy of the Company. We continue to develop new products, respond to market innovations and enhance existing products with the objective of improving our market positions. Overall, in fiscal year 2014, we shipped 430 new products across all of our categories. Currently, approximately 440 additional new products are in our product development pipeline for expected introduction in fiscal year 2015.

SALES AND MARKETING

We now market our products in approximately 85 countries throughout the world. Sales within the United States comprised 77, 79 and 77 percent of total net sales revenue in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We sell our products through mass merchandisers, drugstore chains, warehouse clubs, home improvement stores, catalogs, grocery stores, specialty stores, beauty supply retailers, e-commerce retailers, wholesalers, and various types of distributors, as well as directly online to end user consumers. We collaborate extensively with our retail customers and in many instances produce specific versions of our product lines with exclusive designs and packaging for their stores, which are appropriately priced for their respective customer bases.

We market products principally through the use of outside sales representatives and our own internal sales staff, supported by our internal marketing, category management, engineering, creative services, and customer and consumer service staff. These groups work closely together to develop pricing and distribution strategies, to design packaging and to help develop product line extensions and new products.

Regional sales and business unit managers work with our inside and outside sales representatives. Our sales managers are organized by product group and geographic area and, in some cases, key customers. Our regional managers are responsible for customer relations management, pricing programs, distribution strategies, and sales generation.

The companies from whom we license many of our brand names promote those names extensively. The Honeywell, Braun, Vicks, Febreze, Revlon, Vidal Sassoon, Dr. Scholl's, Scholl, Bed Head, and Toni&Guy trademarks are widely recognized because of the licensor's advertising and the sale of a variety of products in categories other than ours.

Table of Contents

We believe we benefit from the name recognition associated with a number of our licensed trademarks and seek to further improve the name recognition and perceived quality of all trademarks under which we sell products through our own advertising and product development efforts. We also promote our non-licensed products through television advertising and various media, including consumer and trade magazines, extensive in-store and customer cooperative advertising, company websites, social media websites, other digital media and various industry trade shows.

MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTION

We contract with unaffiliated manufacturers in the Far East, primarily in China, to manufacture a significant portion of our products in the Personal Care segment appliance and accessories, Housewares segment product categories, Healthcare, Water Filtration and Home Environment segment product categories. Our mix of Far East manufacturing has declined since fiscal year 2012 as the Healthcare / Home Environment segment has become a larger part of our business. This segment sources a higher proportion of its products in both the U.S. and Mexico. For a discussion regarding our dependency on third-party manufacturers, see Item 1A., Risk Factors. For fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, cost of goods sold manufactured by vendors in the Far East comprised approximately 67, 66 and 78 percent, respectively, of consolidated cost of goods sold.

Many of our key Far East manufacturers have been doing business with us for over 30 years. In some instances, we are now working with the second generation of entrepreneurs from the same families. We believe these relationships give us a stable and sustainable advantage over many of our competitors.

Manufacturers who produce our products use formulas, molds and certain other tooling, some of which we own, in manufacturing those products. We employ numerous technical and quality control personnel responsible for ensuring high product quality. Most of our products manufactured outside the countries in which they are sold are subject to import duties, which increase the amount we pay to obtain such products.

Our customers seek to minimize their inventory levels and often demand that we fulfill their orders within relatively short time frames. Consequently, our policy is to maintain several months of supply of inventory in order to meet our customers' needs. Accordingly, we order products substantially in advance of the anticipated time of their sale to our customers. While we have limited formal long-term arrangements with our suppliers, in most instances, we place purchase orders for products several months in advance of receipt of orders from our customers. Our relationships and arrangements with most of our manufacturers allow for some flexibility in modifying the quantity, composition and delivery dates of orders. Most purchase orders are in U.S. Dollars. Because of our long lead times, from time to time, we must discount end of model product or sell it through closeout sales channels to eliminate excess inventories.

In total, we occupy approximately 3,381,000 square feet of distribution space in various locations to support our operations, which includes a 1,200,000 square foot distribution center in Southaven, Mississippi, and a 1,300,000 square foot distribution center in Olive Branch, Mississippi, used to support a significant portion of our domestic distribution. We began shipping Healthcare / Home Environment segment appliance products out of the Olive Branch facility during the first week of September 2013. This facility will consolidate the distribution operations of both our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments' appliance businesses. We moved the domestic Healthcare / Home Environment segment distribution operation out of its previously leased Memphis, Tennessee location during fiscal year 2014 and have terminated the lease associated with the facility as of October 31, 2013. We are in the process of completing the transition of our domestic Personal Care segment appliance distribution operation to the new facility in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. The project remains on schedule and on budget. Approximately 68 percent of our consolidated gross sales volume shipped from these three facilities in fiscal year 2014.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

For a further discussion of the risks associated with our distribution capabilities, see Item 1A., Risk Factors.

Products that are manufactured in the Far East and sold in North America are shipped to the West Coast of the United States and Canada. The products are then shipped by truck or rail service to distribution centers in El Paso, Texas; Southaven, Mississippi; Olive Branch, Mississippi; and Toronto, Canada, or directly to customers. We ship substantially all products to North American customers from these distribution centers by ground transportation services. Products sold outside the United States and Canada are shipped from manufacturers, primarily in the Far East, to distribution centers in Belgium, the United Kingdom, Mexico, Hong Kong or directly to customers. We then ship products stored at these international distribution centers to distributors or retailers.

Table of Contents

CUSTOMERS

Sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (including its worldwide affiliates) accounted for approximately 19, 19 and 20 percent of our consolidated net sales revenue in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales to our second largest customer, Target Corporation, in the United States and Canada, accounted for approximately 11 percent of our consolidated net sales revenue in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No other customers accounted for 10 percent or more of consolidated net sales revenue during those fiscal years. Sales to our top five customers accounted for approximately 43, 42 and 44 percent of our consolidated net sales revenue in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

ORDER BACKLOG

When placing orders, our retail and wholesale customers usually request that we ship the related products within a short time frame. As such, there usually is no significant backlog of orders in any of our distribution channels.

COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS

The markets in which we sell our products are very competitive and mature. The rapid growth of large mass merchandisers, together with changes in consumer shopping patterns, have contributed to a significant consolidation of the consumer products retail industry and the formation of dominant multi-category retailers with strong negotiating power. The growth in internet sales both by traditional retailers and pure online retailers such as Amazon.com have begun to erode market share at brick-and-mortar retailers. Current trends among retailers include fostering high levels of competition among suppliers, insistence on maintaining or reducing prices and the requirement to deliver products in shorter lead times, and significant closings of domestic locations by underperforming retail chains. Certain retailers continue to source and sell products under their own private label brands that compete with our Company's products. We believe that we have certain key competitive advantages, such as well recognized brands, engineering expertise and innovation, sourcing and supply chain know-how, and productive co-development relationships with our Far East manufacturers, some of which have been built over 30 years or more of working together. We believe these advantages allow us to bring our retailers a value proposition in our products that can significantly out-perform private label products in most categories. Maintaining and gaining market share depends heavily on product development and enhancement, pricing, quality, performance, packaging and availability, brand name recognition, patents, and rapidly adaptive marketing and distribution approaches.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our primary competitors by business segment:

| SEGMENT | PRODUCT CATEGORY | PRIMARY COMPETITORS |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Housewares | | Lifetime Brands, Inc. (KitchenAid), Zyliss AG, Wilton Industries, Inc. (Copco), Simplehuman LLC, Casabella Holdings LLC, Interdesign, Inc., Boon Inc., Ignite USA, LLC (Contigo), PMI (Aladdin), Munchkin, Inc., Skip Hop, Inc., Chef n, Progressive International and Stokke AS. |
| Healthcare / Home Environment | Healthcare | Phillips Electronics N.V., Microlife AG Swiss Corporation, Omron Corporation, Medisana AG, Beurer GmbH, Exergen Corporation, Paul Hartmann AG, and Visiomed Group SA (Thermoflash) |
| | Water Filtration | The Clorox Company (Brita), 3M Company (Filtrete) |
| | Home Environment | Panasonic Corporation, Sharp Corporation, Jarden Corporation (Sunbeam, Bionair and Holmes), Lasko Products, Inc., and De'Longhi S.p.A. |
| Personal Care | Retail and Professional Appliances and Accessories | Conair, Farouk Systems Inc. (CHI), T3 Micro, Inc., International Consulting Associates (InfraShine), FHI Heat, Inc., Jamella Limited (GHD), Turbo Ion, Inc. (Croc Hair Products), Spectrum Brands, Inc. (Remington), Goody Products, Inc. a division of Newell Rubbermaid, Inc., Wahl Clipper Corporation, BaByliss S.A., AST Systems, LLC (SalonTech), John Paul Mitchell Systems, Inc. and Homedics-U.S.A., Inc. |
| | Grooming, Skin Care and Hair Care Solutions | The Procter & Gamble Company, L'Oréal Group, Unilever N.V., Colgate-Palmolive Company, Beiersdorf AG, Coty Inc., and KAO Brands Company |

Some of these competitors have significantly greater financial and other resources than we do.

SEASONALITY

The following table shows our seasonality over the latest three fiscal years.

SEASONALITY AS A PERCENTAGE OF ANNUAL NET SALES REVENUE

| Fiscal Quarter Ended | 2014 | Fiscal Years Ended 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| May | 23.1% | 23.3% | 23.0% |
| August | 24.3% | 22.3% | 23.5% |
| November | 28.9% | 29.1% | 28.7% |
| February | 23.7% | 25.3% | 24.9% |

The third fiscal quarter normally produces the highest net sales revenue during the fiscal year. Seasonality in fiscal year 2012 was impacted by the inclusion of two months of net sales revenue from PUR following its acquisition on December 30, 2011. Because of the impact of the seasonality of our net sales revenues, our working capital needs fluctuate during the year.

Table of Contents

GOVERNMENTAL REGULATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Our operations are subject to national, state, local, and provincial jurisdictions' environmental, and health and safety laws and regulations. These laws and regulations impose workplace standards and regulate the discharge of pollutants into the environment. In addition, they establish various standards for the handling, generation, emission, release, discharge, treatment, storage and disposal of materials, and substances including solid and hazardous wastes.

Many of the products we sell are subject to a number of product safety laws and regulations in various jurisdictions. These laws and regulations specify the maximum allowable levels of certain materials that may be contained in our products, provide statutory prohibitions against misbranded and adulterated products, establish ingredients and manufacturing procedures for certain products, specify product safety testing requirements, and set product identification and labeling requirements.

Additionally, an emerging trend with both governments and our retail customers is to prescribe public and private social accountability reporting requirements regarding our worldwide business activities. In our product space, some requirements have already been mandated and we believe others may become required. Examples of current requirements include conflict minerals content reporting and reporting of foreign fair labor practices in connection with our supply chain vendors.

We believe that we are in material compliance with these laws, regulations and other reporting requirements. Further, the cost of maintaining compliance has not had a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated results of operations and consolidated financial condition, nor do we expect it to do so in the foreseeable future. Due to the nature of our operations and the frequently changing nature of compliance and social reporting standards and technology, we cannot predict with any certainty that future material capital or operating expenditures will not be required in order to comply with all applicable laws, regulations and other reporting mandates.

EMPLOYEES

As of February 28, 2014, we employed 1,495 full-time employees world-wide. We also use temporary, part-time and seasonal employees as needed. None of our U.S. employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. Certain of our employees in Europe are covered by collective arrangements in accordance with local practice. We have never experienced a work stoppage, and we believe that we have satisfactory working relations with our employees.

GEOGRAPHIC AND SEGMENT INFORMATION

Note (20) to our accompanying consolidated financial statements contains geographic and segment information concerning our net sales revenue, long-lived assets and operating income.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We maintain our main Internet site at the following address: <http://www.hotus.com>. The information contained on this website is not included as a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this report. We make available on or through our main website's Investor Relations page under the heading "SEC Filings" certain reports and amendments to those reports that we file with, or furnish to, the SEC in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These include our annual reports on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, our proxy statements on Schedule 14A, amendments to these reports, and the reports required under Section 16 of the Exchange Act of transactions in Company shares by directors and officers. We make this information available on our website free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file the information with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Also, on the Investor Relations page, under the heading "Corporate Governance", are the Company's Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Charters of the Committees of the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The ownership of our common stock involves a number of risks and uncertainties. When evaluating us and our business before making a decision regarding investment in our securities, potential investors should carefully consider the risk factors and uncertainties described below, together with other information contained in this report. If any of the events or circumstances described below or elsewhere in this report actually occur, they could adversely effect our business and operating results. The risks listed below are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks that are presently unknown to us or that we currently believe are not significant may also impact our business operations.

We rely on our Chief Executive Officer and a limited number of other key senior officers to operate our business. The loss of any of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The loss of our Chief Executive Officer or any of our key senior officers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, particularly if we are unable to hire or relocate and integrate suitable replacements on a timely basis or at all. Further, in order to continue to grow our business, we will need to expand our senior management team. We may be unable to attract or retain these persons. This could hinder our ability to grow our business and could disrupt our operations or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our ability to deliver products to our customers in a timely manner and to satisfy our customers' fulfillment standards are subject to several factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Retailers place great emphasis on timely delivery of our products for specific selling seasons, especially during our third fiscal quarter, and on the fulfillment of consumer demand throughout the year. We cannot control all of the various factors that might affect product delivery to retailers. Vendor production delays, difficulties encountered in shipping from overseas, customs clearance delays, and operational issues with any of the third-party logistics providers we use in certain countries are on-going risks of our business. We also rely upon third-party carriers for our product shipments from our distribution centers to customers. In certain circumstances, we rely on the shipping arrangements our suppliers have made in the case of products shipped directly to retailers from the suppliers. Accordingly, we are subject to risks, including labor disputes, inclement weather, natural disasters, possible acts of terrorism, availability of shipping containers, and increased security restrictions associated with such carriers' ability to provide delivery services to meet our shipping needs. Failure to deliver products to our retailers in a timely and effective manner, often under special vendor requirements to use specific carriers and delivery schedules, could damage our reputation and brands and result in loss of customers or reduced orders.

Our results of operations are dependent on sales to several large customers and the loss of, or substantial decline in, sales to a top customer could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and profitability.

A few customers account for a substantial percentage of our net sales revenue. Our financial condition and results of operations could suffer if we lost all or a portion of the sales to any one of these customers. In particular, sales to our first and second largest customers accounted for approximately 19 and 11 percent, respectively, of our consolidated net sales revenue in fiscal year 2014. While only two customers individually accounted for 10 percent or more of our consolidated net sales revenue in fiscal year 2014, sales to our top five customers accounted for approximately 43 percent of fiscal year 2014 consolidated net sales revenue. We expect that a small group of customers will continue to account

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

for a significant portion of our net sales revenue. Although we have long-standing relationships with our major customers, we generally do not have written agreements that require these customers to buy from us or to purchase a minimum amount of our products. A substantial decrease in sales to any of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

With the continuing trend towards retail trade consolidation, we are increasingly dependent upon key customers whose bargaining strength is substantial and growing. We may be negatively affected by changes in the policies of our customers, such as on-hand inventory reductions, limitations on access to shelf space, use of private label brands, price demands and other conditions, which could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

A significant deterioration in the financial condition of our major customers could have a material adverse effect on our sales and profitability. We regularly monitor and evaluate the credit status of our customers and attempt to adjust sales terms as appropriate. Despite these efforts, a bankruptcy filing by a key customer could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Large sophisticated customers may take actions that adversely affect our gross profit and results of operations.

In recent years, we have observed a consumer trend away from traditional grocery and drugstore channels and toward mass merchandisers, which includes super centers and warehouse club stores. In addition, the growth in internet sales both by large traditional retailers and pure online retailers such as Amazon.com has begun to reach a critical mass. This trend has resulted in the increased size and influence of these types of customers. Additionally, certain of these customers source and sell products under their own private label brands that compete with our products. As certain large customers and online retailers grow even larger and become more sophisticated, they may continue to demand lower pricing, special packaging, shorter lead times for the delivery of products, smaller more frequent shipments, or impose other requirements on product suppliers. These business demands may relate to inventory practices, logistics or other aspects of the customer-supplier relationship. If we do not effectively respond to these demands, these customers could decrease their purchases from us. A reduction in the demand for our products by these customers and the costs of complying with their business demands could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to risks related to our dependence on the strength of retail economies and may be vulnerable in the event of a prolonged economic downturn.

Our business depends on the strength of the retail economies in various parts of the world, primarily in North America and to a lesser extent Europe, Asia and Latin America. These retail economies are affected primarily by factors such as consumer demand and the condition of the retail industry, which, in turn, are affected by general economic conditions and specific events such as natural disasters, terrorist attacks and political unrest. Consumer spending in any geographic region is generally affected by a number of factors, including local economic conditions, government actions, inflation, interest rates, energy costs, unemployment rates, gasoline prices and consumer confidence, all of which are beyond our control. Consumer purchases of discretionary items tend to decline during recessionary periods, when disposable income is lower, and may impact sales of our products. As a result of a prolonged recovery from the most recent global recession, many consumers have less money for discretionary purchases as a result of job losses, foreclosures, bankruptcies, reduced access to credit, recent increases in U.S. payroll and income taxes, the expiration of extended unemployment and food stamp benefits in the U.S. and similar such government program curtailments in certain European countries, a lack of clarity for many U.S. consumers regarding their personal health care costs, and slow-to-recover housing prices, among other things. The modest and protracted recovery from the recession in the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, Mexico or any of the other countries in which we conduct significant business may continue to cause significant readjustments in both the volume and mix of our product sales, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The impact of these external factors and the extent to which they may continue is difficult to predict, and one or more of the factors could adversely impact our business. In recent years, the retail industry in the U.S., and increasingly elsewhere, has been characterized by intense competition among retailers and the growth in internet sales both by traditional retailers and pure online retailers such as Amazon.com. Because such competition, particularly when weak retail economies exist, can cause retailers to struggle or fail, we must continuously monitor, and adapt to changes in, the profitability, creditworthiness and pricing policies of our customers. A deterioration of any of our key retail economies, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Expectations regarding past acquisitions, and any future acquisitions, including our ability to realize anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits along with our ability to effectively integrate acquired businesses, may adversely affect the price of our common stock.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

We continue to look for opportunities to make complementary strategic business and / or brand acquisitions. Past and future acquisitions, if not favorably received by consumers, shareholders, analysts, and others in the investment community, could have a material adverse effect on the price of our common stock. In addition, any acquisition involves numerous risks, including:

- difficulties in the assimilation of the operations, technologies, products, and personnel associated with the acquisitions;
- difficulties in integrating distribution channels;

Table of Contents

- diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- difficulties in transitioning and preserving customer, contractor, supplier, and other important third-party relationships;
- difficulties realizing anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits related to an acquisition;
- risks associated with subsequent operating asset write-offs, contingent liabilities and impairment of related acquired intangible assets;
- risks of entering markets in which we have no or limited experience; and
- potential loss of key employees associated with the acquisitions.

Any difficulties encountered with acquisitions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operating results may be adversely affected by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. Changes in the relation of other foreign currencies to the U.S. Dollar will affect our sales and profitability and can result in exchange losses because the Company has operations and assets located outside the United States. The Company transacts a significant portion of its business in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar (foreign currencies). Such transactions include sales, certain inventory purchases and operating expenses. As a result, portions of our cash, trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable are denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, foreign operations will continue to expose us to foreign currency fluctuations, both for purposes of actual conversion and financial reporting purposes. Additionally, we purchase a substantial amount of our products from Chinese manufacturers. During fiscal years 2014 and 2012, the Chinese Renminbi appreciated against the U.S. Dollar approximately 3 and 4 percent, respectively. During fiscal year 2013, the Chinese Renminbi remained relatively flat against the U.S. Dollar. Although our purchases from China are in U.S. Dollars, if the Chinese Renminbi continues to appreciate against the U.S. Dollar, the costs of our products will likely rise over time because of the impact the fluctuations will have on our suppliers, and we may not be able to pass on any or all of these price increases to our customers.

Where operating conditions permit, we seek to reduce foreign currency risk by purchasing most of our inventory with U.S. Dollars and by converting cash balances denominated in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars. We have also historically hedged against certain foreign currency exchange rate-risk by using a series of forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to protect against the foreign currency exchange risk inherent in our forecasted transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. In these transactions, we execute a forward currency contract that will settle at the end of a forecasted period. Because the size and terms of the forward contract are designed so that its fair

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

market value will move in the opposite direction and approximate magnitude of the underlying foreign currency's forecasted exchange gain or loss during the forecasted period, a hedging relationship is created. To the extent we forecast the expected foreign currency cash flows from the period the forward contract is entered into until the date it will settle with reasonable accuracy, we significantly lower or materially eliminate a particular currency's exchange risk exposure over the life of the related forward contract. We enter into these types of agreements where we believe we have meaningful exposure to foreign currency exchange risk and the hedge pricing appears reasonable. It is not practical for us to hedge all our exposures, nor are we able to project in any meaningful way the possible effect and interplay of all foreign currency fluctuations on translated amounts or future net income. This is due to our constantly changing exposure to various currencies, the fact that each foreign currency reacts differently to the U.S. Dollar and the significant number of currencies involved.

The impact of future exchange rate fluctuations on our results of operations cannot be accurately predicted. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that U.S. Dollar foreign exchange rates will be stable in the future or that fluctuations in foreign currency markets will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Disruptions in U.S., Euro zone and other international credit markets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Disruptions in national and international credit markets could result in limitations on credit availability, tighter lending standards, higher interest rates on consumer and business loans, and higher fees associated with obtaining and maintaining credit availability. Disruptions may also materially limit consumer credit availability and restrict credit availability to our customer base and the Company. In addition, in the event of disruptions in the financial markets, current or future lenders may become unwilling or unable to continue to advance funds under any agreements in place, increase their commitments under existing credit arrangements or enter into new financing arrangements. The failure of our lenders to provide sufficient financing may constrain our ability to operate or grow the business and to make complementary strategic business and / or brand acquisitions. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business continues to become more subject to weather conditions which can cause our operating results to vary from quarter to quarter.

With the acquisition and growth of our Healthcare / Home Environment segment, our sales have become more subject to weather conditions. Sales volumes for thermometry, humidifiers and heating appliances are higher during and subject to the severity of the cold weather months, while sales of fans, dehumidifiers and insect control devices are higher during and subject to weather conditions in spring and summer months. Weather conditions can also more broadly impact sales across the organization. For instance, natural disasters (i.e., hurricanes and ice storms) or unusually severe winter weather may result in temporary unanticipated reductions in retail traffic and consumer demand. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are dependent on third-party manufacturers, most of which are located in the Far East, and any inability to obtain products from such manufacturers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

All of our products are manufactured by unaffiliated companies, most of which are in the Far East, principally in China. This concentration exposes us to risks associated with doing business globally, including: changing international political relations; labor availability and cost; changes in laws, including tax laws, regulations and treaties; changes in labor laws, regulations and policies; changes in customs duties and other trade barriers; changes in shipping costs; currency exchange fluctuations; local political unrest; an extended and complex transportation cycle; the impact of changing economic conditions; and the availability and cost of raw materials and merchandise. The political, legal and cultural environment in the Far East is rapidly evolving, and any change that impairs our ability to obtain products from manufacturers in that region, or to obtain products at marketable rates, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

With most of our manufacturers located in the Far East, our production lead times are relatively long. Therefore, we must commit to production in advance of customer orders. If we fail to forecast customer or consumer demand accurately, we may encounter difficulties in filling customer orders on a timely basis or in liquidating excess inventories. We may also find that customers are canceling orders or returning products. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Historically, labor in China has been readily available at relatively low cost as compared to labor costs in North America, Europe and other countries. China has experienced rapid social, political and economic change in recent years. There is no assurance labor will continue to be available in China at costs consistent with historical levels or that changes in labor or other laws will not be enacted which would have a material

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

adverse effect on the cost of products manufactured in China. Many of our suppliers in China continue to experience labor shortages, which could result in future supply delays and disruptions and have resulted in a substantial increase in labor costs over the last three fiscal years. Similarly, evolving government labor regulations and associated compliance standards could cause our product costs to rise or could cause manufacturing partners we rely on to exit the business. This could have an adverse impact on product availability and quality. The Chinese economy has experienced rapid expansion and highly fluctuating rates of inflation. Higher general inflation rates will require manufacturers to continue to seek increased product prices. During fiscal years 2014 and 2012, the Chinese Renminbi appreciated against the U.S. Dollar approximately 3 and 4 percent, respectively. During fiscal year 2013, the Chinese Renminbi remained relatively flat against the U.S. Dollar. If the

Table of Contents

Chinese Renminbi appreciates with respect to the U.S. Dollar in the future, the Company may experience cost increases on such purchases, and this can adversely impact profitability. Future interventions by China may result in further currency appreciation and increase our product costs over time. The Company may not be successful at implementing customer pricing or other actions in an effort to mitigate the related effects of the product cost increases. Although China currently enjoys most favored nation trading status with the U.S., the U.S. government has in the past proposed to revoke such status and to impose higher tariffs on products imported from China. There is no assurance that our business will not be affected by any of the aforementioned risks, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

High costs of raw materials and energy may result in increased cost of goods sold and certain operating expenses and adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

Significant variations in the costs and availability of raw materials and energy may negatively affect our results of operations. Our suppliers purchase significant amounts of metals and plastics to manufacture our products. In addition, they also purchase significant amounts of electricity to supply the energy required in their production processes. Changes in the cost of fuel as a result of Middle East tensions and related political instabilities may continue to drive up fuel prices resulting in higher transportation prices and product costs. The cost of these raw materials and energy, in the aggregate, represents a significant portion of our cost of goods sold and certain operating expenses. Our results of operations could be adversely affected by future increases in these costs. We have had some success in implementing price increases to our customers or passing on product cost increases by moving customers to newer product models with enhancements that justify higher prices, and we intend to continue these efforts. We can make no assurances that these efforts will be successful in the future or will materially offset the cost increases we may incur.

Certain of our U.S. distribution facilities are geographically concentrated and operate during peak shipping periods at or near capacity. These factors increase our risk that disruptions could occur and significantly affect our ability to deliver products to our customers in a timely manner. Such disruptions could have a material adverse effect on our business.

To make our distribution operations more efficient, we have consolidated most of our U.S. distribution, receiving and storage functions into two distribution facilities in northern Mississippi. Approximately 68 percent of our consolidated gross sales volume shipped from facilities in this region in fiscal year 2014. For this reason, any disruption in our distribution process in either of these facilities, even for a few days, could adversely effect our business and operating results.

Additionally, our U.S. distribution operations may incur capacity constraints during peak shipping periods as we continue to grow our sales revenue through a combination of organic growth and acquisitions. These and other factors described above could cause delays in the delivery of our products and increases in shipping and storage costs that could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any difficulties encountered during the first quarter of fiscal year 2015 transition of certain Personal Care appliance inventories and staffing from a former facility to a new facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi, could interrupt our logistical systems and could have a material adverse impact on our business.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

As previously discussed in further detail under Item 1. Business, Manufacturing and Distribution, we commenced shipments out of our new 1.3 million square foot distribution facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi during the first week of September 2013. The new facility will consolidate the distribution operations of both our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment appliance businesses. We have moved the domestic Healthcare / Home Environment distribution operation out of its previously leased Memphis, Tennessee location and have terminated the lease associated with the facility. We expect to complete the transition of our domestic Personal Care appliance distribution operation to the new facility in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. During these transitions, there is a risk for warehouse order processing and shipment delays as a result of the impacts of new software installations, adapting to new equipment and processes, and the training of new employees. Any resulting interruption in our logistical systems could impact our ability to procure our products from our factories and suppliers, transport them to our distribution facilities, and store and deliver them to our customers on time and in the correct amounts. These and other factors described above could have a material and adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our projections of product demand, sales and net income are highly subjective in nature and our future sales and net income could vary in a material amount from our projections.

From time to time, we may provide projections to our shareholders, lenders, investment community, and other stakeholders of our future sales and net income. Since we do not require long-term purchase commitments from our major customers and the customer order and ship process is very short, it is difficult for us to accurately predict the demand for many of our products, or the amount and timing of our future sales and related net income. Our projections are based on management's best estimate of sales using historical sales data and other information deemed relevant. These projections are highly subjective since sales to our customers can fluctuate substantially based on the demands of their retail customers and due to other risks described in this report. Additionally, changes in retailer inventory management strategies could make our inventory management more difficult. Because our ability to forecast product demand and the timing of related sales includes significant subjective input, our future sales and net income could vary materially from our projections.

If our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets become impaired, we will be required to record impairment charges, which may be significant.

A significant portion of our long-term assets continues to consist of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets recorded as a result of past acquisitions. We do not amortize goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, but rather review them for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of each of the individual assets exceeds its fair market value. If analysis indicates that an individual asset's carrying value does exceed its fair market value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the individual asset's carrying value over its fair value. The steps required by GAAP entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity.

We complete our analysis of the carrying value of our goodwill and other intangible assets during the first quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate their carrying value may not be recoverable. Events and changes in circumstances that may indicate there is impairment and which may indicate interim impairment testing is necessary include, but are not limited to: strategic decisions to exit a business or dispose of an asset made in response to changes in economic, political and competitive conditions, the impact of the economic environment on our customer base and on broad market conditions that drive valuation considerations by market participants, our internal expectations with regard to future revenue growth and the assumptions we make when performing our impairment reviews, a significant decrease in the market price of our assets, a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which our assets are used, a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate that could affect our assets, an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset, and significant changes in the cash flows associated with an asset. We analyze these assets at the individual asset, reporting unit and company levels. As a result of such circumstances, we may be required to record a significant charge to net income in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets or other long-term assets is determined. Any such impairment charges could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on licensed trademarks with third parties and license certain trademarks to third parties in exchange for royalty income, the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and profitability.

We are dependent on our various licensed trademarks as a substantial portion of our sales revenue comes from selling products under licensed trademarks. As a result, we are dependent upon the continued use of these trademarks, including the Revlon, Vicks, Braun, Honeywell, and

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Vidal Sassoon trademarks. Additionally, we now license certain trademarks, including OXO and PUR, to third parties in exchange for royalty income. It is possible that certain actions taken by the Company, its licensors, licensees, or other third parties might diminish greatly the value of any of our licensed trademarks. Additionally, some of our licensors and licensees have the ability to terminate their license agreements with us at their option subject to each parties' right to continue the license for a limited period of time following notice of termination. If we or our licensees were unable to sell products under these licensed trademarks, or one or more of our license agreements are terminated or the value of the trademarks were diminished by the Company, a licensor, or licensee due to any inability to perform under the terms of the agreements or other reasons, or due to the

Table of Contents

actions of third parties, the effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations could be both negative and material.

To compete successfully, we must develop and introduce a continuing stream of innovative new products to meet changing consumer preferences.

Our long-term success in the competitive retail environment depends on our ability to develop and commercialize a continuing stream of innovative new products that meet changing consumer preferences and take advantage of opportunities sooner than our competition. We face the risk that our competitors will introduce innovative new products that compete with our products. Our core initiatives include fostering our culture of innovation and new product development, enhancing and extending our existing product categories and developing new allied product categories. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in successfully developing and commercializing new products on a continuing basis and new product launches may not deliver expected growth in sales or operating income. If we are unable to develop and introduce a continuing stream of new products, it may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our operating results may be adversely affected by trade barriers, exchange controls, expropriations, and other risks associated with foreign operations.

The economies of foreign countries important to our operations, including countries in Asia, Europe and Latin America, could suffer slower economic growth or economic, social and / or political instability or hyperinflation in the future. Our international operations in countries in Asia, Europe and Latin America, including manufacturing and sourcing operations (and the international operations of our customers), are subject to inherent risks which could adversely affect us, including, among other things:

- protectionist policies restricting or impairing the manufacturing, sales or import and export of our products;
- new restrictions on access to markets;
- lack of developed infrastructure;
- inflation (including hyperinflation) or recession;
- changes in, and the burdens and costs of compliance with, a variety of foreign laws and regulations, including tax laws, accounting standards, environmental laws and occupational health and safety laws;

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

- social, political or economic instability;
- acts of war and terrorism;
- natural disasters or other crises;
- reduced protection of intellectual property rights in some countries;
- increases in duties and taxation;
- restrictions on transfer of funds or exchange of currencies;
- currency devaluations;
- expropriation of assets; and
- other adverse changes in policies, including monetary, tax or lending policies, encouraging foreign investment or foreign trade by our host countries.

Should any of these events occur, our ability to sell or export our products or repatriate profits could be impaired, we could experience a loss of sales and profitability from our international operations, and / or we could experience a substantial impairment or loss of assets, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We incurred significant debt and may incur additional debt to fund future acquisitions, share repurchases and capital expenditures, which could have an adverse impact on our business and profitability.

Our debt levels can adversely affect our financial condition and can add constraints on our ability to operate our business. Our indebtedness can, among other things:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic conditions;

- limit our ability to obtain necessary financing and to fund future working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate requirements;

- require us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital and capital expenditures, and for other general corporate purposes;

- subject us to higher interest expense (the majority of our debt is fixed or effectively fixed through the use of interest rate swaps and these rates may produce higher interest expense than would be available with floating rate debt, as is currently the case with decreased market interest rates);

- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;

- limit our ability to pursue acquisitions or sell assets; and

- limit our ability to borrow additional funds.

Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, our debt agreements contain restrictive financial and operational covenants. Significant restrictive covenants include limitations on, among other things, our ability under certain circumstances to:

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

- incur additional debt, including guarantees;
- grant certain types of liens;
- sell or otherwise dispose of assets;
- engage in mergers, acquisitions or consolidations;
- pay dividends on our common stock;
- repurchase our common stock;
- enter into substantial new lines of business; and
- enter into certain types of transactions with our affiliates.

Our failure to comply with these and other restrictive covenants could result in an event of default, which if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on us.

We rely on central Global Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems and other peripheral information systems. Obsolescence or interruptions in the operation of our computerized systems or other information technologies could have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

We now conduct most of our businesses under a single ERP system. Our operations are largely dependent on this system. We continuously make adjustments to improve the effectiveness of the ERP and other peripheral information systems, including the installation of significant new subsystems. In fiscal years 2011 and 2012, we converted our ERP systems to a more updated version of our software provider's system. During that timeframe, all significant operating units within the Personal Care and Housewares segments converted to the new version. During fiscal year 2014, our Healthcare / Home Environment segment converted from its legacy ERP system onto our global ERP system. We are constantly upgrading and adding functionality to the overall system with key enhancements currently underway in the

Table of Contents

product development and finance areas. Testing of any new subsystems before active deployment often requires significant additional effort across much of our organization. Complications or delays in completing these projects could cause considerable disruptions to our business and may result in higher implementation costs than planned, along with a concurrent reallocation of human resources.

Any failures or disruptions in the ERP and other information systems or any complications resulting from ongoing adjustments to our systems could cause interruption or loss of data in our information or logistical systems that could materially impact our ability to procure products from our factories and suppliers, transport them to our distribution centers, and store and deliver them to our customers on time and in the correct amounts. In addition, natural disasters or other extraordinary events may disrupt our information systems and other infrastructure, and our data recovery processes may not be sufficient to protect against loss. Furthermore, application program bugs, system conflict crashes, user error, data integrity issues, customer data conflicts, and integration issues all pose significant risks.

We rely on certain outside vendors to assist us with the upgrade of our software, the ongoing implementation of new enhancements to our information systems and to assist us in maintaining some of our infrastructure. Should any of these vendors fail to perform as expected, it could adversely affect our service levels and restrict our ability to conduct business.

Information security breaches and any related operational interruptions could have a material adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

Information systems require constant updates to their security policies and hardware systems to reduce the risk of unauthorized access, malicious destruction of data or information theft. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools, and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of confidential information. Improper activities by third parties, advances in computer and software capabilities and encryption technology, new tools and discoveries and other events or developments may facilitate or result in a compromise or breach of our computer systems, some of which may go undetected for extended periods.

Any such compromise or breach could cause interruptions in our operations, damage to our reputation and might require us to spend significant management time and money investigating the event and dealing with local and federal law enforcement. In addition, we could become the subject of litigation and various claims from our customers, employees, suppliers, service providers, and shareholders. Regardless of the merits and ultimate outcome of these matters, litigation and proceedings of this type are expensive to respond to and defend, and we could be forced to devote substantial resources and time responding to and defending them, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Audits and related disputes with taxing authorities could have an adverse impact on our business.

We are involved in tax audits and related disputes in various taxing jurisdictions. The acquisitions of Kaz and PUR have added considerable complexity to our tax structure, and the risk of liability for past activities under prior ownership. We believe that we have complied with all applicable reporting and tax payment obligations. However, in the past we have sometimes disagreed with taxing authority positions on various issues. Historically, we have vigorously defended our tax positions through available administrative and judicial avenues. Based on currently available information, we have established reserves for our best estimate of the probable tax liabilities. Future actions by taxing authorities may result in tax liabilities that are significantly higher or lower than the reserves established, which could have a material effect on our consolidated

results of operations or cash flows. For more information about tax audits and related disputes, see Note (10) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Potential changes in laws, including tax laws, and the costs and complexities of compliance with such laws could have an adverse impact on our business.

The impact of future legislation in the U.S. or abroad, including such things as employment and health insurance laws, climate change related legislation, tax legislation, regulations or treaties, including any that would affect the companies or subsidiaries that comprise our consolidated group, is always uncertain. The U.S. Congress continues to consider certain proposed changes in the tax laws, and new energy and environmental legislation that, if enacted, may increase our costs of doing business.

Table of Contents

Under current tax law, favorable tax treatment of our non-U.S. net income is dependent on our ability to avoid classification as a Controlled Foreign Corporation. Changes in the composition of our stock ownership could have an impact on our classification. If our classification were to change, it could have a material adverse effect on the largest U.S. shareholders and, in turn, on the Company's business.

A non-U.S. corporation, such as ours, will constitute a controlled foreign corporation or CFC for U.S. federal income tax purposes if its largest U.S. shareholders (i.e., those owning 10 percent or more of its shares) together own more than 50 percent of the stock outstanding. If the IRS or a court determined that we were a CFC, then each of our U.S. shareholders who own (directly, indirectly, or constructively) 10 percent or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock on the last day of our taxable year would be required to include in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes its pro rata share of our subpart F income (and the subpart F income of any our subsidiaries determined to be a CFC) for the period during which we (and our non-U.S. subsidiaries) were a CFC. In addition, any gain on the sale of our shares realized by such a shareholder may be treated as ordinary income to the extent of the shareholder's proportionate share of our and our CFC subsidiaries undistributed earnings and profits accumulated during the shareholder's holding period of the shares while we are a CFC.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES****PLANT AND FACILITIES**

The Company owns, leases or otherwise utilizes through third-party management service agreements, a total of 42 facilities, which include selling, procurement, research and development, administrative, and distribution facilities worldwide. All facilities operated by the Company are adequate for the purpose for which they are intended. Summarized information regarding the location, number, type and use, segment, ownership and approximate size of our principal and other facilities as of February 28, 2014 is provided in the table below:

| Location | Type and Use | Business Segment | Approximate Size (Square Feet / Acres) |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| <u>Owned Facilities</u> | | | |
| El Paso, Texas, USA | Land & Building - U.S. Headquarters | All Segments | 135,000 |
| El Paso, Texas, USA | Land - Held for Future Expansion | All Segments | 4 Acres |
| Southaven, Mississippi, USA | Land - Held for Future Expansion | All Segments | 31 Acres |
| El Paso, Texas, USA | Land & Building - Distribution Facility | All Segments | 408,000 |
| Sheffield, England | Land & Building - Office Space | All Segments | 10,000 |
| Olive Branch, Mississippi, USA | Land & Building - Distribution Facility | Personal Care & Healthcare / Home Environment | 1,300,000 |
| Southaven, Mississippi, USA | Land & Building - Distribution Facility | Personal Care & Housewares | 1,200,000 |
| Mexico City, Mexico | Office Space - Latin American Headquarters | Personal Care & Healthcare / Home Environment | 3,900 |
| <u>Leased Facilities</u> | | | |
| 3 - Facilities in China | Office Space - Primarily Supply Chain Operations | All Segments | 33,350 |
| 7 - Facilities Worldwide | Office Space | Personal Care | 26,400 |
| 7 - Facilities Worldwide | Distribution Facilities | Personal Care | 141,850 |
| 3 - Facilities Worldwide | Office Space | Housewares | 32,150 |
| 1 - Facility, Hong Kong, China | Distribution Facility | Housewares | 3,500 |
| 1 - Facility, Darwen, England | Distribution Facility | Personal Care & Housewares | 100,000 |
| 10 - Facilities Worldwide | Office Space | Healthcare / Home Environment | 75,550 |
| 3 - Facilities Worldwide | Distribution Facilities | Healthcare / Home Environment | 227,600 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal claims and proceedings in the normal course of operations. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK**

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (NASDAQ) [symbol: HELE]. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, in dollars per share, the high and low sales prices of the common stock as reported on the NASDAQ. These quotations reflect the inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

| | High | | Low |
|-------------------------|-------------|----|------------|
| FISCAL YEAR 2014 | | | |
| First quarter | \$ 40.31 | \$ | 33.35 |
| Second quarter | 44.49 | | 37.36 |
| Third quarter | 49.11 | | 39.88 |
| Fourth quarter | 65.94 | | 46.76 |
| FISCAL YEAR 2013 | | | |
| First quarter | \$ 35.35 | \$ | 30.63 |
| Second quarter | 35.00 | | 29.20 |
| Third quarter | 34.45 | | 28.02 |
| Fourth quarter | 37.55 | | 30.65 |

APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF EQUITY SECURITY HOLDERS OF RECORD

Our common stock is our only class of equity security outstanding at February 28, 2014. As of April 21, 2014, there were 199 holders of record of the Company's common stock. A substantially greater number of holders of the Company's common stock are street name or beneficial holders whose shares are held of record by banks, brokers and other financial institutions.

CASH DIVIDENDS

Our current policy is to retain earnings to provide funds for the operation and expansion of our business, common stock repurchases and for potential acquisitions. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since inception. Our current intention is to pay no cash dividends in fiscal year 2015. Any change in dividend policy will depend upon future conditions, including earnings and financial condition, general business conditions, any applicable contractual limitations, and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors. Generally, our revolving credit facility limits our ability to declare or pay cash dividends to our shareholders if, after giving effect to the dividends or share

repurchases, the Leverage Ratio (as defined in the revolving credit facility) is greater than (i) 2.75 to 1.00 prior to May 29, 2015, and (ii) 2.50 to 1.00 thereafter.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On February 6, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a resolution to repurchase \$550 million of the Company's outstanding common stock in keeping with its stated intention to return to shareholders excess capital not otherwise deployed for strategic acquisitions. This resolution superseded the previous resolution in place, which allowed the purchase of up to 2,907,637 shares of common stock as of February 6, 2014. On February 10, 2014, as part of the \$550 million repurchase program, the Company announced the commencement of a modified Dutch auction tender offer (the "tender offer") to repurchase up to \$300 million of its common stock at a price not greater than \$66.50 per share nor less than \$57.75 per share. The tender offer expired at 12:00 midnight, New York City time, on Monday, March 10, 2014, resulting in the Company accepting for payment 3,693,816 shares of common stock properly tendered for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$245.64 million. See Note (21) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the completion of the tender offer.

Table of Contents

Subsequent repurchases may include open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, block trades, accelerated stock repurchase transactions, or any combination of such methods. The number of shares purchased and the timing of the purchases will depend on a number of factors, including share price, trading volume and general market conditions, as well as on working capital requirements, general business conditions, financial conditions, any applicable contractual limitations and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities.

Our current equity compensation plans include provisions that allow for the net exercise of stock options by all plan participants. In a net exercise, any required payroll taxes, federal withholding taxes and exercise price of the shares due from option or other share-based award holders can be paid for by having the holder tender back to the Company a number of shares at fair value equal to the amounts due. Net exercises are accounted for by the Company as a purchase and retirement of shares. For the periods covered in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, open market repurchase activity and common stock option exercises resulted in the following share repurchases:

SHARE REPURCHASES

| | Fiscal Years Ended | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Common stock repurchased on the open market | | | |
| Number of shares | 33,862 | 61,426 | - |
| Aggregate market value of shares (in thousands) | \$ 1,311 | \$ 1,759 | \$ - |
| Average price per share | \$ 38.71 | \$ 28.64 | \$ - |
| Common stock received in settlement of share-based compensation | | | |
| Number of shares | 112,677 | 49,126 | 1,124,563 |
| Aggregate market value of shares (in thousands) | \$ 6,937 | \$ 1,627 | \$ 40,047 |
| Average price per share | \$ 61.57 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 35.61 |

The following schedule sets forth the purchase activity for each month during the three months ended February 28, 2014:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2014

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares or Dollars that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| December 1 through December 31, 2013 (1) | - | \$ - | - | 2,910,487 shares |
| January 1 through January 31, 2014 (1) | 2,850 | 55.97 | 2,850 | 2,907,637 shares |
| February 1 through February 28, 2014 (1) | 96,374 | \$ 65.24 | 96,374 | \$ 543,712,615 |
| Total | 99,224 | \$ 64.97 | 99,224 | |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

(1) Under the prior repurchase program, the Company purchased a total of 2,850 shares as settlement of share-based compensation through February 6, 2014. The Company repurchased 96,374 shares (or approximately \$6.29 million) under the new \$550 million repurchase program discussed above in settlement of share-based compensation between February 6, 2014 and the end of fiscal year 2014.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The graph below compares the cumulative total return of our Company to the NASDAQ Market Index and a Peer Group Index, assuming \$100 was invested on March 1, 2009. The Peer Group Index is the Dow Jones U.S. Personal Products, Broad Market Cap, Yearly, and Total Return Index. The comparisons in this table are required by the SEC and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of the possible future performance of our common stock.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

The Performance Graph shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 under the Exchange Act. In addition, it shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any statement that incorporates this annual report on Form 10-K by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected consolidated statements of income and cash flow data for the years ended on the last day of February 2014, 2013 and 2012, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of the last day of February 2014 and 2013, have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included in this report. The selected consolidated statements of income and cash flow data for the years ended on the last day of February 2011 and 2010, and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of the last day of February 2012, 2011 and 2010, have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this report. This information should be read together with the discussion in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and notes to those statements included in this report. All currency amounts are denominated in U.S. Dollars.

**Years Ended the Last Day of February,
(in thousands, except per share data)**

| | 2014 | 2013 (1) | 2012 (1)(2)(3) | 2011 (2)(3)(4) | 2010 (4) |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Income Statement Data: | | | | | |
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 1,317,153 | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 | \$ 777,043 | \$ 647,626 |
| Gross profit | 516,703 | 518,211 | 478,484 | 349,246 | 279,156 |
| Asset impairment charges | 12,049 | - | - | 2,161 | 900 |
| Operating income | 117,100 | 148,773 | 139,386 | 111,744 | 89,369 |
| Interest expense | 10,193 | 13,345 | 12,917 | 9,693 | 10,310 |
| Income tax expense | 20,886 | 19,848 | 15,718 | 9,323 | 8,288 |
| Net income | 86,248 | 115,666 | 110,374 | 93,305 | 71,817 |
| Earnings per share - basic | \$ 2.69 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 3.52 | \$ 3.04 | \$ 2.38 |
| Earnings per share - diluted | \$ 2.66 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 3.48 | \$ 2.98 | \$ 2.32 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 32,007 | 31,754 | 31,340 | 30,669 | 30,217 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 32,386 | 31,936 | 31,705 | 31,355 | 30,921 |
| Cash Flow Data: | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 34,750 | \$ 35,328 | \$ 30,178 | \$ 18,502 | \$ 15,261 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 154,165 | 87,558 | 103,880 | 87,430 | 152,099 |
| Capital and intangible asset expenditures | 40,463 | 14,688 | 16,051 | 4,629 | 7,715 |
| Payments to acquire businesses, net of cash received | - | - | 160,000 | 336,240 | 60,000 |
| Net amounts borrowed (repaid) | (64,393) | (92,100) | 47,100 | 168,000 | (78,000) |

**Last Day of February,
(in thousands)**

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 (1) | 2011 (2)(3) | 2010 (4) |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Working capital | \$ 286,122 | \$ 236,540 | \$ 109,647 | \$ 121,510 | \$ 254,060 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 775,550 | 808,869 | 829,500 | 660,947 | 363,061 |
| Total assets | 1,533,302 | 1,474,004 | 1,435,723 | 1,240,524 | 834,733 |
| Long-term debt | 95,707 | 155,000 | 175,000 | 178,000 | 131,000 |
| Stockholders' equity (5) | 1,029,487 | 926,606 | 796,729 | 685,549 | 583,772 |
| Cash dividends | - | - | - | - | - |

(1) Fiscal year 2012 includes two months of operating results from PUR and fiscal year 2013 and thereafter includes a full year's operating results. We acquired PUR on December 30, 2011 for a net cash purchase price of \$160 million. The acquisition of PUR was funded with \$160 million in short-term debt. In connection with the acquisition, we initially recorded \$12.50 million of property and equipment, \$1.43 million in supplier advances, \$178 million of goodwill and other intangible assets, and \$31.93 million of deferred tax liabilities. See Notes (5), (10) and

(19) to our accompanying consolidated financial statements for more information regarding the PUR acquisition.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA, CONTINUED

(2) Fiscal year 2011 includes two months of operating results from Kaz and fiscal year 2012 and thereafter includes a full year's operating results. We acquired Kaz on December 31, 2010 for a net cash purchase price of \$271.50 million subject to certain later adjustments. The acquisition was funded with \$77.50 million of cash and \$194 million in short- and long-term debt. In connection with the acquisition, we initially recorded \$31.45 million of net working capital, \$4.08 million of property and equipment, \$246.25 million of goodwill and other intangible assets, \$12.38 million in deferred tax assets, \$3.10 million in other assets, \$24.30 million in deferred tax liabilities, and \$1.45 million in liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

(3) Fiscal year 2011 includes eleven months of operating results from the Pert Plus hair care and Sure antiperspirant and deodorant brands and fiscal year 2012 and thereafter includes a full year's operating results. We acquired Pert Plus and Sure on March 31, 2010 for a net cash purchase price of \$69 million including the assumption of certain liabilities. The acquisition was funded with cash. In connection with the acquisition, we recorded \$4.90 million of net working capital, \$0.73 million of fixed assets, and \$63.37 million of goodwill, trademarks and other intangible assets.

(4) Fiscal year 2010 includes eleven months of operating results from the Infusium business and fiscal year 2011 and thereafter include a full year's operating results. We acquired Infusium on March 31, 2009 for a cash purchase price of \$60 million. The acquisition was funded with cash. In connection with the acquisition, we recorded \$19.70 million of goodwill, \$18.70 million of trademarks, \$21 million for a customer list, and \$0.6 million of patent rights.

(5) For the fiscal years ended 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010, we repurchased and retired 146,539, 110,552, 1,124,563, 87,733, and 1,485,757 shares of common stock at a total purchase price of \$8.25, \$3.39, \$40.05, \$2.03, and \$30.57 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) should be read in conjunction with the other sections of this report, including Part I, Item 1., Business ; Part II, Item 6., Selected Financial Data ; and Part II, Item 8., Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The various sections of this MD&A contain a number of forward-looking statements, all of which are based on our current expectations. Actual results may differ materially due to a number of factors, including those discussed on page 3 of this report in the section entitled Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, in Item 1A., Risk Factors, and in Item 7A., Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

OVERVIEW

We operate our business under three segments: Housewares, Healthcare / Home Environment and Personal Care. Our Housewares segment reports the operations of OXO, whose product offerings include food preparation tools, gadgets and storage containers, cleaning, organization, and baby and toddler care products. The Healthcare / Home Environment segment sells products in the following categories: health care devices, such as thermometers, humidifiers, blood pressure monitors, and heating pads; water filtration systems; and small home appliances such as portable heaters, fans, air purifiers, and insect control devices. Our Personal Care segment currently offers products in three categories: electric hair care, beauty care and wellness appliances; grooming tools and hair accessories; and liquid-, solid- and powder-based personal care and grooming products.

All three segments sell their products primarily through mass merchandisers, drugstore chains, warehouse clubs, catalogs, grocery stores and specialty stores. In addition, the Personal Care segment sells extensively through beauty supply retailers and wholesalers, and the Healthcare / Home Environment segment sells certain of its product lines through medical distributors and other products through home improvement stores.

Our business is seasonal due to different calendar events, holidays and seasonal weather patterns. Historically, our highest sales volume and operating income occur in our third fiscal quarter ending November 30th.

Our business is dependent upon discretionary consumer demand for most of our products and our business primarily operates within mature and highly developed consumer markets. The principal driver of our operating performance is the strength of the U.S. retail economy, as approximately 77 percent of our fiscal year 2014 net sales revenue is from U.S. shipments. We are now entering our sixth year of a moderate recovery from the 2007-2009 global recession. Domestic macroeconomic conditions have been steadily improving at a rate faster than most of Western Europe and Latin America. While favorable domestic economic indicators exist, we continue to believe consumers remain cautious with their disposable personal income and are reactionary to global events. Accordingly, we remain cautious regarding the current and long-term strength of the middle class and consumer demand.

A weaker than expected 2013 U.S. retail holiday season concluded during our fourth fiscal quarter and was highly promotional with such activity beginning almost immediately after Halloween. The retail holiday selling season was also the shortest in over a decade with 26 days between Thanksgiving and Christmas this year, compared to 32 days last year. This was followed by an unusually cold and protracted winter primarily throughout the Midwestern and Northeastern U.S., which restricted retail store traffic and effective selling days. Several of our most significant retail customers recently reported their fourth straight decline in quarterly U.S. sales. We believe the growth in internet sales both by

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

traditional retailers and pure online retailers such as Amazon.com have begun to erode market share at brick-and-mortar retailers resulting in closings of domestic locations by underperforming retail chains. Additionally, we believe the online retail trend and other market conditions have resulted in certain retailers changing their focus from large footprint Big Box store openings to smaller-format stores. The long-term impact of these changes is uncertain. As a result of internet sales trends, we have begun to assess and evaluate strategies regarding how to best work with online retailers to take advantage of our domestic distribution capabilities and meet the logistical challenge of higher frequency, smaller order-sized shipments.

All these conditions contributed to a challenging fiscal year 2014, with overall net sales revenue growth of \$28.89 million dollars, or 2.2 percent, when compared to fiscal year 2013. We will remain cautious regarding our business outlook until we believe the pace of recovery has improved significantly.

Table of Contents

Significant Developments During Fiscal Year 2014

- On January 14, 2014, the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, our former CEO, entered into the Separation Agreement. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, the former CEO ceased serving as the Chief Executive Officer and President and resigned as a director of the Company, effective January 14, 2014, but remained an employee of the Company through February 28, 2014. The former CEO's employment with the Company was considered a termination without cause under the terms of his employment agreement. As a result of the Separation Agreement, the Company recorded a charge of \$16.34 million (after tax) in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014, which accrued for liabilities and legal and administrative costs associated with the separation. For additional financial information regarding the former CEO's departure and the appointment of Julien Mininberg as the succeeding Chief Executive Officer, see Notes (13), (14) and (15) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.
- On February 6, 2014, the Company announced that based upon a thorough and ongoing evaluation of opportunities to enhance long-term value for shareholders, its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of \$550 million of its outstanding shares of common stock to return to shareholders capital not otherwise deployed for strategic acquisitions. The repurchase plan represents approximately 28.9 percent of our then outstanding common stock and superseded the previous resolution in place. As part of the initial execution of the repurchase plan, on February 10, 2014, we commenced a modified Dutch auction tender offer to repurchase up to \$300 million in value of our shares of common stock, which expired on March 10, 2014. An aggregate of 3,693,816 shares of common stock were tendered at or below the final purchase price of \$66.50 per share for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$245.64 million (not including fees and expenses relating to the tender offer). The shares repurchased represented approximately 11.5 percent of our outstanding shares of common stock as of February 5, 2014. See Note (21) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the completion of the Dutch auction tender offer. Over the next three years, the repurchase plan will allow the additional shares to be repurchased through a variety of methods, including open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, block trades, accelerated stock repurchase transactions, or any combination of such methods. The number of shares repurchased and the timing of the repurchases will depend on a number of factors, including share price, trading volume and general market conditions, as well as working capital requirements, general business conditions, financial conditions, any applicable contractual limitations and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities.
- The Healthcare / Home Environment segment converted from its legacy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system onto our global ERP system. We believe this migration will eliminate a number of inefficiencies associated with managing multiple ERP systems and continue to improve uniformity of execution throughout our organization. In addition, we are constantly upgrading and adding functionality to the overall system with key enhancements underway in the product development and finance areas that will further streamline and improve the quality of our back office operations.
- We commenced shipments out of our new 1.3 million square foot distribution facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi during the first week of September 2013. The new facility will consolidate the distribution operations of both our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments' appliance businesses. We have completed the move of our domestic Healthcare / Home Environment distribution operation out of its previously leased Memphis, Tennessee location and have terminated the lease associated with the facility as of October 31, 2013. We are in the process of completing the transition of our domestic Personal Care appliance distribution operation to the new facility, which we expect to complete in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. The project remains on schedule and on budget.

Table of Contents

Financial Recap of Fiscal Year 2014

- Consolidated net sales revenue increased 2.2 percent, or \$28.89 million, to \$1,317.15 million in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$1,288.26 million in fiscal year 2013. All revenue growth was from core business. Housewares segment net sales revenue increased \$15.44 million or 6.0 percent in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Healthcare / Home Environment segment net sales revenue increased \$29.41 million or 5.5 percent in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Personal Care segment net sales revenue decreased \$15.96 million or 3.3 percent in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Our fiscal year 2014 net sales revenue includes an unfavorable impact of net foreign exchange fluctuations of \$4.63 million compared to fiscal year 2013, most of which impacted the Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments.
- Consolidated gross profit margin as a percentage of net sales revenue decreased 1.0 percentage point to 39.2 percent in fiscal year 2014 compared to 40.2 percent in fiscal year 2013.
- Selling, general and administrative expense (SG&A) as a percentage of net sales revenue increased 0.7 percentage points to 29.4 percent in fiscal year 2014 compared to 28.7 percent in fiscal year 2013.
- Operating income as a percentage of net sales decreased 2.6 percentage points to 8.9 percent in fiscal year 2014 compared to 11.5 percent in fiscal year 2013. Operating income for fiscal year 2014 included a non-cash intangible asset impairment charge of \$12.05 million and pre-tax CEO succession costs of \$18.23 million. The combined charges had a negative 2.3 percentage point impact on fiscal year 2014 operating income as a percentage of net sales.
- Income tax expense was \$20.89 million, or 19.5 percent of income before taxes in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$19.85 million, or 14.6 percent of income before taxes in fiscal year 2013.
- Our net income was \$86.25 million in fiscal year 2014 compared to net income of \$115.67 million in fiscal year 2013. Diluted earnings per share was \$2.66 in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$3.62 in fiscal year 2013.
- Income without significant items (non-cash intangible asset impairment charges and CEO succession costs) was \$114.62 million for fiscal year 2014, compared to \$115.67 million for fiscal year 2013. Our diluted earnings per share without significant items was \$3.54 in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$3.62 for the same period last year. Both income and diluted earnings per share without significant items are non-GAAP financial measures as set forth in SEC Regulation G, Rule 100. These measures are discussed further, and reconciled to their applicable GAAP-based measures, beginning on page 44.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our selected operating data, in U.S. Dollars, as a percentage of net sales revenue, and as a year-over-year percentage change.

| | Fiscal Years Ended (in thousands) | | | % of Sales Revenue, net (1) | | | % Change | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 14/13 | 13/12 |
| Sales revenue by segment, net | | | | | | | | |
| Housewares | \$ 274,478 | \$ 259,042 | \$ 237,376 | 20.8% | 20.1% | 20.1% | 6.0% | 9.1% |
| Healthcare / Home Environment ** | 568,075 | 538,666 | 447,695 | 43.1% | 41.8% | 37.9% | 5.5% | 20.3% |
| Personal Care | 474,600 | 490,555 | 496,605 | 36.0% | 38.1% | 42.0% | -3.3% | -1.2% |
| Total sales revenue, net | 1,317,153 | 1,288,263 | 1,181,676 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 2.2% | 9.0% |
| Cost of goods sold | 800,450 | 770,052 | 703,192 | 60.8% | 59.8% | 59.5% | 3.9% | 9.5% |
| Gross profit | 516,703 | 518,211 | 478,484 | 39.2% | 40.2% | 40.5% | -0.3% | 8.3% |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 387,554 | 369,438 | 339,098 | 29.4% | 28.7% | 28.7% | 4.9% | 8.9% |
| Asset impairment charges | 12,049 | - | - | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | * | 0.0% |
| Operating income | 117,100 | 148,773 | 139,386 | 8.9% | 11.5% | 11.8% | -21.3% | 6.7% |
| Nonoperating income (expense), net | 227 | 86 | (377) | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 164.0% | -122.8% |
| Interest expense | (10,193) | (13,345) | (12,917) | -0.8% | -1.0% | -1.1% | -23.6% | 3.3% |
| Total other income (expense) | (9,966) | (13,259) | (13,294) | -0.8% | -1.0% | -1.1% | -24.8% | -0.3% |
| Income before income taxes | 107,134 | 135,514 | 126,092 | 8.1% | 10.5% | 10.7% | -20.9% | 7.5% |
| Income tax expense | 20,886 | 19,848 | 15,718 | 1.6% | 1.5% | 1.3% | 5.2% | 26.3% |
| Net income | \$ 86,248 | \$ 115,666 | \$ 110,374 | 6.5% | 9.0% | 9.3% | -25.4% | 4.8% |

* Calculation is not meaningful

** Reflects two months of PUR net sales revenue in fiscal 2012 and a full year in both fiscal years 2014 and 2013.

(1) Sales revenue percentages by segment are computed as a percentage of the related segment's sales revenue, net to total sales revenue, net. All other percentages are computed as a percentage of total sales revenue, net.

Consolidated Net Sales Revenue:***Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013***

Consolidated net sales revenue increased \$28.89 million, or 2.2 percentage points, in fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013. All revenue growth was from core business. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014, net sales across all segments was negatively affected by lower store traffic and store closings due to cold winter weather in the U.S., with consolidated net sales revenue down 4.1 percent, when compared to the same fiscal quarter last year. The increase in consolidated net sales revenue was driven by Housewares and Healthcare / Home Environment segment net sales revenue, partially offset by a decline in Personal Care segment net sales revenue. Housewares segment net sales revenue increased \$15.44 million, or 6.0 percent, in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Within the segment, year-over-year unit volume increases had a favorable 6.2 percentage point impact on net sales revenue, which was slightly offset by a 0.2 percentage point decline in the average unit selling price, primarily the result of slightly higher year-over-year promotional and other sales discounts. Healthcare / Home Environment segment net sales revenue increased \$29.41 million, or 5.5 percent, in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Within the segment, year-over-year unit volume increases had a favorable impact of 3.8 percentage points and higher average unit selling prices, largely as a result of more favorable sales mix, contributed 1.7 percentage points to net sales revenue growth. Personal Care segment net sales revenue decreased \$15.96 million, or 3.3 percent, in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013. Within the segment, year-over-year unit volume declines had an unfavorable 4.4 percentage point impact on net sales revenue, partially offset by higher average unit selling prices, largely as a result of shifts in product category mix, which had a 1.1 percentage point favorable impact on net sales revenue. Our fiscal year 2014 net sales revenue includes an unfavorable impact of net foreign exchange fluctuations of \$4.63 million compared to fiscal year 2013, most of which impacted our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments.

Table of Contents

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Consolidated net sales revenue increased \$106.59 million, or 9.0 percentage points, in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. Fiscal year 2013 was the first full fiscal year of operations for PUR, which we acquired on December 30, 2011. PUR accounted for \$86.70 million, or 7.3 percentage points, of our consolidated net sales revenue growth. Core business net sales revenue accounted for fiscal year 2013 consolidated net sales revenue growth of \$19.89 million, or 1.7 percentage points. Net sales revenue in our Housewares segment increased \$21.67 million, or 9.1 percentage points in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. Within the segment, year-over-year volume and average unit selling price increases contributed 1.2 and 8.0 percentage points, respectively to net sales revenue growth. The increase in the average unit selling price was the result of both general price increases and an improvement in product mix. Net sales revenue in our Healthcare / Home Environment increased \$90.97 million, or 20.3 percentage points in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. PUR accounted for \$86.70 million, or 19.3 percentage points, of the net sales revenue increase within the Healthcare / Home Environment segment. Net sales revenue in our Personal Care segment declined \$6.05 million, or 1.2 percentage points in fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. Within the segment, year-over-year volume declines had a negative 4.8 percentage point impact on net sales revenue that was partially offset by a favorable 3.6 percentage point impact due to an increase in the average unit selling price, primarily the result of general price increases taken. Our fiscal year 2013 net sales revenue includes the unfavorable impact of net foreign exchange fluctuations of \$5.48 million compared to fiscal year 2012, most of which impacted our Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments.

Segment Net Sales Revenue:

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

Housewares Segment - Net sales revenue in the Housewares segment for fiscal year 2014 increased \$15.44 million, or 6.0 percent, to \$274.48 million compared with \$259.04 million for the same period last year. Year-over-year unit volume increases had a favorable impact of 6.2 percentage points on net sales revenue. The unit volume increase was slightly offset by a 0.2 percentage point decline in the average unit selling price, primarily the result of slightly higher year-over-year promotional and other sales discounts. From a product perspective, the Housewares segment's net sales revenue growth was principally driven by volume growth in cleaning products, fruit and vegetable preparation tools, baking, dry food storage and kitchen organization. The segment also continues to expand the market penetration of our line of infant and toddler care products. From a customer perspective, growth was driven by continued growth in internet sales, expanded shelf space and assortments at several key retailers and new customer distribution in the current fiscal year.

Healthcare / Home Environment Segment - Net sales revenue in the Healthcare / Home Environment segment for fiscal year 2014 increased \$29.41 million, or 5.5 percent, to \$568.08 million compared with \$538.67 million for the same period last year. Year-over-year unit volume increases had a favorable impact of 3.8 percentage points and higher average unit selling prices, largely as a result of a more favorable sales mix, contributed 1.7 percentage points to net sales revenue growth. Sales were adversely affected by a weak cough / cold / flu season, particularly in the thermometry line during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014. From a product perspective, humidification products provided net sales revenue gains for fiscal year 2014 in the pharmacy channel along with associated consumable scent pads. The air purifier category was bolstered by new Bluetooth enabled and True HEPA filtration products, which strengthened our market positioning in higher price point products. We also continued to see progress in the water filtration product category with double digit growth in both faucet mount and water pitcher systems. Sales growth was partially offset by lost distribution in hot / cold therapy and weak insect control sales resulting from a cool, dry spring in North America that affected most participants in the market segment. From a customer perspective, the segment has seen growth with customers in the mass retail, internet and home improvement channels.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Personal Care Segment - Net sales revenue in the Personal Care segment for fiscal year 2014 decreased \$15.96 million, or 3.3 percent, to \$474.60 million compared with \$490.56 million for the same period last year. Year-over-year unit volume decreases had a unfavorable impact of 4.4 percentage points, partially offset by a higher average unit selling price. The increase in average selling price was largely a result of shifts in product category mix, which had a 1.1 percentage point favorable impact on net sales revenue. The segment contended with a difficult retail environment throughout the fiscal year. Beginning in fiscal year 2013, significant competition has resulted in more pricing and promotional discounts in the hair care solutions product category. Retailers took advantage of extreme promotional

Table of Contents

programs provided by certain competitors and our Personal Care segment lost distribution as a result. We expect these conditions to continue throughout fiscal year 2015. In addition, the broader grooming, skin care and hair care solutions category lost distribution as a result of inventory rationalization programs at large retailers and changing customer order replenishment practices in the U.S., leading to elevated out-of-stock positions on key retailer shelves. The domestic retail appliance product category has been negatively impacted by lost shelf space at a major retailer and higher promotional discounts, which has been partially offset by increased distribution elsewhere and a European product distribution arrangement that ended in fiscal year 2014. Our professional appliances line helped to offset declines in the overall appliance business with growth in sales volume at several key customers, particularly with its Hot Tools brand.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Housewares - Net sales revenue in our Housewares segment increased 9.1 percent, or \$21.67 million, to \$259.04 million in fiscal year 2013 compared to \$237.38 million in fiscal year 2012. The segment experienced high single digit growth both domestically and internationally, with a disproportionate amount of the dollar growth continuing to occur domestically. Key drivers of this growth included increases in the dry food storage, bathroom accessories, salad preparation, and infant and toddler categories. A contributing factor to the segment's growth was an expansion of business in the warehouse club channel with growth in two key accounts contributing \$6.49 million in year-over-year net sales revenue growth.

Healthcare / Home Environment - Net sales revenue in our Healthcare / Home Environment segment increased 20.3 percent, or \$90.97 million, to \$538.67 million in fiscal year 2013 compared to \$447.70 million in fiscal year 2012. Fiscal year 2013 was the first full fiscal year of operations for PUR, which we acquired on December 30, 2011. PUR accounted for \$86.70 million, or 19.3 percentage points of the net sales revenue increase within the segment. Core business contributed \$4.27 million, or 1.0 percentage point, of net sales revenue increase within the segment. Our core thermometer and pharmacy humidifier product categories experienced greater demand driven by a strong spike in illness levels midway through the cough / cold / flu season. As a result, both the Vicks and Braun brands achieved mid-to high single digit year-over-year net sales revenue growth. The following factors limited the segment's net sales revenue growth:

- A difficult worldwide retail sales environment;
- The impact of high seasonal heating and fan inventory levels at retail due to the previous warm winter and mild cold and flu season in fiscal year 2012, which continued to impact orders for fiscal year 2013;
- Lost shelf placement on certain key products due to competitive pricing pressures; and
- The negative impact of foreign currency fluctuations on U.S. Dollar reported net sales revenue.

Personal Care - Net sales in our Personal Care segment decreased 1.2 percent, or \$6.05 million, to \$490.56 million in fiscal year 2013 compared to \$496.61 million in fiscal year 2012. All net sales revenue was attributed to core business. The decline in net sales revenue was primarily attributed to a difficult worldwide retail sales environment, characterized by soft consumer spending and challenging macroeconomic

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

conditions in Europe and Latin America. Also, our hair care solutions product category net sales revenue was negatively impacted by increases in competitive trade promotional activities, including a major hair category launch by a significant competitor. Finally, product rationalization programs, inventory reduction, shifts in category emphasis by certain retailers, and the impact of unfavorable foreign currency fluctuations on U.S. Dollar reported net sales revenue also contributed to the decline. It was our belief that during fiscal year 2013, in many of our categories, consumers continued to trade down to value- priced and heavily-promoted merchandise, which negatively impacted our sales.

Table of Contents**Impact of Acquisitions:**

The following table summarizes, for the periods indicated, the impact that acquisitions had on our net sales revenue:

IMPACT OF ACQUISITIONS ON NET SALES REVENUE

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Prior year's sales revenue, net | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 | \$ 777,043 |
| Components of sales revenue change, net | | | |
| Core business | 28,890 | 19,887 | 18,900 |
| Incremental net sales revenue from acquisitions: | | | |
| Pert Plus & Sure (one month in fiscal 2012) | - | - | 6,513 |
| Kaz (ten months in fiscal 2012) | - | - | 357,773 |
| PUR (ten months in fiscal 2013, two months in fiscal 2012) | - | 86,700 | 21,447 |
| Change in sales revenue, net | 28,890 | 106,587 | 404,633 |
| Total sales revenue, net | \$ 1,317,153 | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 |
| Total net sales revenue growth | 2.2% | 9.0% | 52.1% |
| Core business | 2.2% | 1.7% | 2.4% |
| Acquisitions | 0.0% | 7.3% | 49.6% |

In the above table, core business is net sales revenue associated with product lines or brands after the first twelve months from the date the product line or brand was acquired. Net sales revenue from internally developed brands or product lines are always considered core business. Net sales revenue from acquisitions is net sales revenue associated with product lines or brands that we have acquired and operated for less than twelve months during each period presented.

Geographic Net Sales Revenue:

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our net sales revenue by geographic region, in U.S. Dollars, as a percentage of net sales revenue, and the year-over-year percentage change in each region.

| | Fiscal Years Ended (in thousands) | | | % of Sales Revenue, net (1) | | | % Change | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 14/13 | 13/12 |
| Sales revenue, net by geographic region | | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$1,019,525 | \$ 1,014,354 | \$ 906,864 | 77.4% | 78.7% | 76.7% | 0.5% | 11.9% |
| Canada | 69,190 | 71,312 | 69,406 | 5.3% | 5.6% | 5.9% | -3.0% | 2.7% |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Europe and other | 176,674 | 153,707 | 155,616 | 13.4% | 11.9% | 13.2% | 14.9% | -1.2% |
| Latin America | 51,764 | 48,890 | 49,790 | 3.9% | 3.8% | 4.2% | 5.9% | -1.8% |
| Total sales revenue, net | \$1,317,153 | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 2.2% | 9.0% |

(1) Percentages of net sales revenue by geographic region are computed as a percentage of the geographic region's net sales revenue to consolidated total net sales revenue.

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

In fiscal year 2014, Canada, Europe and other, and Latin America operations (international operations) accounted for approximately 23, 60 and 17 percent of total international net sales revenue, respectively. The U.S. contributed 0.4 percentage points to consolidated net sales revenue growth or \$5.17 million. International operations contributed to consolidated net sales revenue growth with a 1.8 percentage point net increase, or \$23.72 million. Canadian operations accounted for a 0.2 percentage point decrease in our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$2.12 million. The loss was entirely due to the devaluation of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. Dollar, which decreased reported net sales by \$2.35 million. Europe and other country operations accounted for a 1.8 percentage point increase in our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$22.97 million. Sales in Europe were bolstered by a European spa product distribution arrangement that ended in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014, the success of new Braun thermometry in the professional channel, and new Honeywell fan and heating distribution. Latin American operations accounted for a 0.2 percentage point increase in

Table of Contents

our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$2.87 million. The year-over-year comparison of Latin America net sales revenue reported in U.S. Dollars was negatively impacted by the February 2013 devaluation of the Venezuelan currency against the U.S. Dollar, which reduced fiscal year 2014 reported net sales revenue by \$3.81 million, year-over-year. Our overall international net sales revenue performance continues to be hurt by the net impact of unfavorable exchange rate fluctuations, which decreased our overall reported international net sales by \$4.63 million in fiscal year 2014.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

In fiscal year 2013, Canada, Europe and other, and Latin America operations accounted for approximately 26, 56 and 18 percent of international net sales revenue, respectively. The U.S. contributed 9.1 percentage points to consolidated net sales revenue growth or \$107.49 million. International operations slightly offset net sales revenue growth with a 0.1 percentage point net decrease, or \$0.90 million. Canadian operations accounted for a 0.2 percentage point increase in our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$1.91 million. Europe and other country operations accounted for a 0.2 percentage point decrease in our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$1.91 million. Latin American operations accounted for a 0.1 percentage point decrease in our consolidated net sales revenue, or \$0.90 million. Our growth in the U.S. is primarily attributed to the impact of the acquisition of PUR, which we acquired on December 30, 2011 and currently has net sales revenue only in the U.S. and Canada. Our international net sales revenue performance was hurt by the net impact of unfavorable exchange rate fluctuations, which decreased our reported international net sales by \$5.48 million in fiscal year 2013.

Gross Profit Margins:

The growth of the Healthcare / Home Environment segment's net sales revenue has a dilutive impact on consolidated gross margins because its overall gross margins are lower than our other two segments.

Our product sourcing mix is heavily dependent on imports from China. China's currency is no longer pegged solely to the U.S. Dollar. As a result, we believe China's currency will continue to appreciate against the U.S. Dollar in the short-to-intermediate-term, resulting in increased product costs over time. Furthermore, if increases in the underlying costs of labor and commodities in China occur, we expect that they would also result in future increases in our product costs, which we may not be able to pass along to our customers.

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

Consolidated gross profit as a percentage of net sales revenue decreased 1.0 percentage point to 39.2 percent in fiscal year 2014 from 40.2 percent in fiscal year 2013. In fiscal year 2014 our consolidated gross profit margin continued to be unfavorably impacted by the combined effects of increased promotional program costs, the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on net sales revenue and general product cost increases across all segments.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Consolidated gross profit as a percentage of net sales revenue decreased 0.3 percentage points to 40.2 percent in fiscal year 2013 from 40.5 percent in fiscal year 2012. In fiscal year 2013 our consolidated gross profit margin was unfavorably impacted by the combined effects of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on net sales revenue and general product cost increases across all segments. These unfavorable impacts were partially offset by the PUR water filtration acquisition and customer price increases, which had a favorable impact on consolidated gross profit margins.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expense:

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

SG&A increased 0.7 percentage points, to 29.4 percent of net sales revenue for fiscal year 2014, compared to 28.7 percent for the same period last year. The year-over-year increase in SG&A as a percentage of net sales revenue is primarily due to fiscal year 2014 pre-tax charges for CEO succession costs totaling \$18.23 million incurred in connection with our former CEO's separation from the Company. These costs were partially offset by reduced media advertising costs, primarily in the Personal Care segment, lower outbound freight costs, the favorable comparative impact arising from a product packaging litigation expense recorded in fiscal year 2013 that did not reoccur in fiscal year 2014, and the favorable comparative impact of certain transition services charges relating to our acquisition of PUR that ceased being incurred after the first half of fiscal year 2013.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

SG&A as a percent of net sales revenue for fiscal year 2013 remained flat when compared to fiscal year 2012 at 28.7 percent.

Asset impairment charges:

A significant portion of our long-term assets continues to consist of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets recorded as a result of past acquisitions. The Company conducts its annual test of impairment of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the first quarter of each fiscal year. The Company also tests for impairment if events or circumstances indicate a more frequent evaluation is necessary. The steps required by GAAP to test for impairment entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity. The results of our annual testing may result in us recording declines in asset value which are not apparent until all test work is completed. Any such impairment charges could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2014 - We performed our annual evaluation of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment during the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. As a result of our testing of indefinite-lived trademarks and licenses, we recorded a non-cash intangible asset impairment charge of \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax). The charge was related to certain trademarks in our Personal Care segment, which were written down to their estimated fair value, as a result of lower revenue outlooks due to competitive factors. Fair values were determined on the basis of future discounted cash flows using the relief from royalty valuation method.

First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2013 - The Company performed its annual evaluation of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment during the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. As a result of its testing, the Company concluded no impairment charges were required as the estimated fair value of the indefinite-lived trademarks and licenses, reporting unit net assets and the Company's estimated enterprise value exceeded their respective carrying values as of the date of the evaluation.

First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2012 - The Company performed its annual evaluation of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012. As a result of its testing, the Company concluded no impairment charges were required as the estimated fair value of the indefinite-lived trademarks and licenses, reporting unit net assets and the Company's estimated enterprise value exceeded their respective carrying values as of the date of the evaluation.

Table of Contents**Operating Income by Segment:**

Operating income by segment for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

| | Fiscal Years Ended (in thousands) | | | % of Sales Revenue, net (1) | | | % Change | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 14/13 | 13/12 |
| Housewares | \$ 50,828 | \$ 49,612 | \$ 44,884 | 18.5% | 19.2% | 18.9% | 2.5% | 10.5% |
| Healthcare / Home Environment | 20,764 | 37,772 | 32,350 | 3.7% | 7.0% | 7.2% | -45.0% | 16.8% |
| Personal Care | 45,508 | 61,389 | 62,152 | 9.6% | 12.5% | 12.5% | -25.9% | -1.2% |
| Total operating income | \$ 117,100 | \$ 148,773 | \$ 139,386 | 8.9% | 11.5% | 11.8% | -21.3% | 6.7% |

(1) Percentages by segment are computed as a percentage of the segments' net sales revenue.

We compute operating income for each segment based on net sales revenue, less cost of goods sold, SG&A, and any asset impairment charges associated with the segment. The SG&A used to compute each segment's operating income is directly associated with the segment, plus overhead expenses that are allocable to the segment. We make allocations of overhead between operating segments using a number of relevant allocation criteria, depending on the nature of the expense, the most significant of which are relative revenues, estimates of relative labor expenditures, headcount, and facility square footage. We do not allocate nonoperating income and expense, including interest or income taxes to operating segments.

In fiscal year 2012, we began making an allocation of corporate overhead to the Healthcare / Home Environment segment. In fiscal years 2014 and 2013, we began to make certain additional cost allocations to the Healthcare / Home Environment segment that were not made in fiscal year 2012 due to continued operational and system integration. These additional allocations are costs of corporate and operating functions that are shared by our segments. For fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, these allocations totaled \$28.44, \$16.69 and \$6.02 million, respectively.

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

Housewares - The Housewares segment's operating income increased \$1.22 million, or 2.5 percent, for fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013. The increase in fiscal year 2014 when compared to fiscal year 2013, was primarily due to the effect of higher unit sales volumes from growth in cleaning products, fruit and vegetable preparation tools, baking, dry food storage and kitchen organization. The segment also continues to expand the market penetration of our line of infant and toddler care products. These gains were partially offset by increases in cost of goods sold, and the incremental impact of higher corporate cost allocations arising from higher CEO incentive compensation and the CEO succession costs discussed previously.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Healthcare / Home Environment - The Healthcare / Home Environment segment's operating income decreased \$17.00 million, or 45.0 percent, for fiscal year 2014 compared to fiscal year 2013. The decrease in operating income was principally due to higher promotional allowances and cooperative advertising, higher product costs, certain incentive compensation costs exclusive to the segment, foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, and higher allocations arising from higher CEO incentive compensation, CEO succession costs and further operational and system integration of the segment. These factors were partially offset by the combined effects of lower media advertising costs and the favorable comparative impact arising from a product packaging litigation expense recorded in fiscal year 2013.

Personal Care Segment - The Personal Care segment's operating income decreased \$15.88 million, or 25.9 percent, compared to fiscal year 2013. The decrease in operating income was principally due to a decline in sales, the impact of higher promotional allowances, higher product costs, the unfavorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, the incremental impact of higher corporate cost allocations arising from higher CEO incentive compensation and the CEO succession costs discussed previously, and a non-cash intangible asset impairment charge (as further discussed above under Asset impairment charges). These unfavorable factors were partially offset by lower media advertising costs and lower outbound freight costs.

Table of Contents

As discussed above, a significant amount of the decline in operating income for fiscal year 2014 is due to the combined effects of non-cash intangible asset impairment charges of \$12.05 million and a charge of \$18.23 million (largely non-cash) for CEO succession costs, which were allocated to all three of our segments. The following table shows the comparative impact of these items on the operating income for each segment and the consolidated operating income.

IMPACT OF SIGNIFICANT ITEMS ON OPERATING INCOME
(dollars in thousands)

| | Fiscal Year Ended 2014 | | | | | | Fiscal Year Ended 2013 | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | Operating Income | | | % of Segment Net Sales | | | Operating | % of Segment |
| | As Reported (GAAP) | Significant Items | Adjusted (non-GAAP) | As Reported (non-GAAP) | Significant Items | Adjusted (non-GAAP) | Income (GAAP) | Net Sales |
| Housewares | \$ 50,828 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 3,644 | \$ 54,472 | 18.5% | 1.3% | 19.8% | \$ 49,612 | 19.2% |
| Healthcare / Home Environment | 20,764 ⁽¹⁾ | 7,916 | 28,680 | 3.7% | 1.4% | 5.0% | 37,772 | 7.0% |
| Personal Care | 45,508 ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ | 6,668 12,049 | 64,225 | 9.6% | 3.9% | 13.5% | 61,389 | 12.5% |
| Consolidated (3) | \$ 117,100 | \$ 30,277 | \$ 147,377 | 8.9% | 2.3% | 11.2% | \$ 148,773 | 11.5% |

(1) CEO succession costs, allocated to all three segments. For further information regarding our former CEO's separation from the Company, see Notes (13), (14) and (15) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

(2) Non-cash intangible asset impairment charges incurred by the Personal Care segment.

(3) Consolidated sales percentages are computed as a percentage of the total net sales revenue for all three segments.

The table shown above entitled "Impact of Significant Items on Operating Income" reports fiscal year 2014 operating income excluding non-cash intangible asset impairment charges and CEO succession costs (largely non-cash). Operating income without asset impairment charges and CEO succession costs, as discussed in the preceding table, may be considered non-GAAP financial measures as set forth in SEC Regulation G, Rule 100. An explanation of the reasons why the Company believes the non-GAAP financial information is useful and the nature and limitations of the non-GAAP financial measures, is furnished beginning on page 45.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Housewares - The Housewares segment's operating income increased \$4.73 million, or 10.5 percent, for fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. The increase in fiscal year 2013 when compared to fiscal year 2012, was primarily due to the effect of higher unit sales volumes from increases in the dry food storage, bathroom accessories, salad preparation, and infant and toddler categories, an expansion of business in the warehouse club channel, and customer price increases. These gains were partially offset by increases in cost of goods sold.

Healthcare / Home Environment - The Healthcare / Home Environment segment's operating income increased \$5.42 million, or 16.8 percent, for fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. The increase in fiscal year 2013 when compared to fiscal year 2012, was primarily due to the incremental impact of the PUR acquisition combined with core net sales revenue growth. This increase was partially offset by product packaging litigation costs and higher corporate and shared operating function cost allocations.

Personal Care - The Personal Care segment's operating income decreased \$0.76 million, or 1.2 percent, for fiscal year 2013 compared to fiscal year 2012. The year-over-year decrease was primarily due to net sales revenue decreases in our grooming, skincare and hair care product group and our Europe and other geographic region, and higher cost of goods sold across all Personal Care product groups, partially offset by net sales revenue increases in the segment's other product groups and reduced total SG&A expense within the segment.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense:

Interest expense decreased to \$10.19 million in fiscal year 2014 compared to \$13.35 million in fiscal year 2013. Interest expense was lower when compared to the prior fiscal year primarily due to lower levels of debt outstanding.

Interest expense increased to \$13.35 million in fiscal year 2013 compared to \$12.92 million in fiscal year 2012. Interest expense was higher when compared to the prior fiscal year primarily due to higher average levels of short-term debt as a result of the PUR acquisition.

Income Tax Expense:

Our fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012 income tax expense was \$20.89, \$19.85 and \$15.72 million, respectively, and our effective tax rates were 19.5, 14.6 and 12.5 percent, respectively. The year-over-year comparison of our effective tax rate was impacted by discrete items that were a benefit to tax expense in fiscal year 2013, and shifts in the mix of taxable income between our various tax jurisdictions. The fiscal year 2014 effective tax rate was also impacted by intangible asset impairment charges of \$12.05 million recorded during the first quarter of fiscal year 2014, for which the related tax benefit was only \$0.02 million. The impact of intangible asset impairment charges increased the effective tax rate by 2.0 percentage points. We expect our ongoing effective tax rate for fiscal year 2015 to range between 15.0 and 17.0 percent.

Net Income:

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

Our net income was \$86.25 million for fiscal year 2014 compared to \$115.67 million for fiscal year 2013, a decrease of 25.4 percent. Our diluted earnings per share decreased \$0.96 to \$2.66 for fiscal year 2014 compared to \$3.62 for fiscal year 2013, a decrease of 26.5 percent.

A significant amount of the decline in net income for fiscal year 2014 is due to the combined effects of non-cash intangible asset impairment charges of \$12.03 million, net of tax and CEO succession costs (largely non-cash) of \$16.34 million, net of tax, recorded during fiscal year 2014. The following table shows the comparative impact of these items on our net income, and basic and diluted earnings per share for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012.

IMPACT OF SIGNIFICANT ITEMS ON NET INCOME AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| Fiscal Years Ended | Basic Earnings Per Share | Diluted Earnings Per Share |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Net income as reported (GAAP) | \$ 86,248 | \$ 115,666 | \$ 110,374 | \$ 2.69 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 3.52 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 3.48 |
| Asset impairment charges, net of taxes (1) | 12,034 | - | - | 0.38 | - | - | 0.37 | - | - |
| CEO succession costs, net of taxes (2) | 16,335 | - | - | 0.51 | - | - | 0.51 | - | - |
| Income without significant items (non-GAAP) | \$ 114,617 | \$ 115,666 | \$ 110,374 | \$ 3.58 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 3.52 | \$ 3.54 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 3.48 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock used in computing Basic and diluted earnings per share, as reported (GAAP) | | | | 32,007 | 31,754 | 31,340 | 32,386 | 31,936 | 31,705 |
| Impact of significant items on diluted earnings per share (3) | | | | - | - | - | (42) | - | - |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share, without significant items (non-GAAP) | | | | 32,007 | 31,754 | 31,340 | 32,344 | 31,936 | 31,705 |

(1) Non-cash intangible asset impairment charges totaling \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax).

(2) CEO succession costs of \$18.23 million (\$16.34 million after tax).

Income without significant items decreased \$1.05 million, or 0.9 percent, in fiscal year 2014 and earnings per diluted share decreased \$0.08 to \$3.54, a decrease of 2.49 percent. The decrease in income without significant items was largely due to higher incentive compensation costs for our former CEO, higher product costs, higher promotional costs and the unfavorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations.

Table of Contents

The tables shown above entitled "Impact of Significant Items on Operating Income" and "Impact of Significant Items on Net Income and Earnings Per Share" report operating income, operating income as a percentage of segment net sales, income and earnings per share (basic and diluted) without the impact of significant items consisting of asset impairment charges and CEO succession costs. These measures may be considered non-GAAP financial information as set forth in SEC Regulation G, Rule 100. The preceding tables reconcile these measures to their corresponding GAAP-based measures presented in our consolidated statements of income. We believe that operating income, operating income as a percentage of segment net sales, income and earnings per share (basic and diluted) without these significant items provide useful information to management and investors regarding financial and business trends relating to its financial condition and results of operations. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures, in combination with the Company's financial results calculated in accordance with GAAP, provide investors with additional perspective regarding the impact of such charges on operating income, operating income as a percentage of segment net sales, net income and earnings per share (basic and diluted). We also believe that these non-GAAP measures facilitate a more direct comparison of the Company's performance with its competitors. We further believe that including the excluded charges would not accurately reflect the underlying performance of the Company's continuing operations for the period in which the charges are incurred, even though such charges may be incurred and reflected in the Company's GAAP financial results in the foreseeable future. The material limitation associated with the use of the non-GAAP financial measures is that the non-GAAP measures do not reflect the full economic impact of the Company's activities. The Company's operating income, operating income as a percentage of segment net sales, income and earnings per share (basic and diluted) without these significant items are not prepared in accordance with GAAP, are not an alternative to GAAP financial information and may be calculated differently than non-GAAP financial information disclosed by other companies. Accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on non-GAAP information.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Our net income was \$115.67 million for fiscal year 2013 compared to \$110.37 million for fiscal year 2012, an increase of 4.8 percent. Our diluted earnings per share increased \$0.14 to \$3.62 for fiscal year 2013 compared to \$3.48 for fiscal year 2012, an increase of 4.0 percent.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Selected measures of our liquidity and capital utilization for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 are shown below:

SELECTED MEASURES OF OUR LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL UTILIZATION

| | Fiscal Years Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Accounts Receivable Turnover (Days) (1) | 63.7 | 60.6 |
| Inventory Turnover (Times) (1) | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| Working Capital (<i>in thousands</i>) | \$ 286,122 | \$ 236,540 |
| Current Ratio | 1.9 : 1 | 1.8 : 1 |
| Ending Debt to Ending Equity Ratio (2) | 18.7% | 27.7% |
| Return on Average Equity (1)(3) | 8.8% | 13.5% |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

(1) Accounts receivable turnover, inventory turnover and return on average equity computations use 12 month trailing net sales revenue, cost of goods sold or net income components as required by the particular measure. The current and four prior quarters ending balances of accounts receivable, inventory and equity are used for the purposes of computing the average balance component as required by the particular measure.

(2) Debt is defined as all debt outstanding at the balance sheet date. This includes the sum of the following lines on our consolidated balance sheets: Revolving line of credit, Long-term debt, current maturities and Long-term debt, excluding current maturities. For further information regarding our financing, see Notes (6), (9), (11), (12), (13) and (21) to our accompanying consolidated financial statements and our discussion below under Financing Activities.

(3) For fiscal year 2014, non-cash asset impairment charges of \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax) and CEO succession costs totaling \$18.23 million (\$16.34 million after tax) had an unfavorable impact of 2.8 percentage points on return on average equity.

Table of Contents

Operating Activities:

Comparison of fiscal year 2014 to fiscal year 2013

Operating activities provided \$154.17 million of cash during fiscal year 2014 compared to \$87.56 million of cash provided during fiscal year 2013. The increase in operating cash flow was primarily due to relative stability in the net components of working capital, when compared to the same period last year.

Accounts receivable decreased \$6.67 million to \$213.05 million at the end of fiscal year 2014, compared to \$219.72 million at the end of fiscal year 2013. Accounts receivable turnover increased slightly to 63.7 days from 60.6 days in fiscal year 2013. This calculation is based on a rolling five quarter accounts receivable balance. We believe the increase in turnover to be the result of normal fluctuations and changes in our geographic net sales revenue mix and do not reflect any fundamental changes in credit terms or credit quality.

Inventory increased \$8.38 million to \$289.26 million at the end of fiscal 2014, compared to \$280.87 million at the end of fiscal year 2013. Inventory turnover improved slightly to 2.8 times per year from 2.7 times per year in fiscal year 2013. We believe our inventory has now normalized when compared to levels held in fiscal years 2013 and 2012, which were more variable due to the impact of acquisitions.

Working capital was \$286.16 million at the end of fiscal year 2014, compared to \$236.54 million at the end of fiscal year 2013. The increase in working capital over the last twelve months is primarily due to an increase in cash and a net decrease in current debt, partially offset by a net increase in accrued expenses and other current liabilities. CEO succession costs and certain incentive compensation accruals were the primary contributors to a net increase in accrued expenses and other current liabilities at the end of fiscal year 2014. As a result, our current ratio increased to 1.9:1 at the end of fiscal year 2014, compared to 1.8:1 at the end of fiscal year 2013.

Comparison of fiscal year 2013 to fiscal year 2012

Operating activities provided \$87.56 million of cash during fiscal year 2013 compared with \$103.88 million in fiscal year 2012. The decrease in operating cash flow was principally due to higher levels of receivables and inventory, when compared year-over-year.

Our accounts receivable increased \$24.44 million to \$219.72 million at the end of fiscal year 2013, while our accounts receivable turnover improved to 60.6 days from 62.5 days in fiscal year 2012.

Inventory increased \$34.73 million to \$280.87 million at the end of fiscal year 2013, while our inventory turnover declined to 2.7 times per year from 2.9 times per year in fiscal year 2012. The increase in inventory was primarily due to the following factors:

- the purchase of PUR inventories during the second quarter of fiscal year 2013;
- the build-up of PUR inventory in the second half of the fiscal year in anticipation of a change in a third party manufacturer of water filters in fiscal year 2014; and
- a pre-season buildup of certain appliance inventories in the second half of the fiscal year to help mitigate the potential impact of fourth fiscal quarter 2013 and first fiscal quarter 2014 deliveries due to the Chinese New Year extended factory holidays.

Working capital increased to \$236.54 million at the end of fiscal year 2013, compared to \$109.65 million at the end of fiscal year 2012. Our current ratio increased to 1.8:1 at the end of fiscal year 2013, compared to 1.3:1 at the end of fiscal year 2012. The increase in our working capital and current ratio was primarily due to the increase in receivables and inventory discussed above, combined with a net reduction of our overall short-term debt obligations from repayments using cash generated from operations.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities:

In fiscal year 2014, investing activities used \$40.46 million of cash compared with \$13.93 and \$152.02 million used in fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2014 investing activities

- We spent \$34.03 million on building, information technology infrastructure, improvements, furniture and equipment, and new distribution equipment in connection with the construction and outfitting of our new distribution facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi; and
- We spent an additional \$4.52 million on other information technology infrastructure, other building improvements and other furniture and equipment, \$1.57 million on tools, molds and other capital asset additions and \$0.34 million on the development of new patents.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2013 investing activities

- We spent \$2.79 million on molds, tooling and other production equipment, \$4.03 million on land for our new Olive Branch, Mississippi distribution center, \$2.04 million on facility improvements, \$4.75 million on information technology infrastructure and other office furniture and equipment, \$0.28 million on the development of new patents, and \$0.80 million on other intangible asset additions; and
- We received proceeds of \$0.74 million on a note receivable associated with the fiscal year 2012 sale of a land parcel in El Paso, Texas.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2012 investing activities

- We paid \$160 million to acquire the PUR water filtration business from P&G;
- We spent \$3.21 million on molds and tooling, \$10.64 million on information technology infrastructure, \$0.90 million on building and leasehold improvements, \$0.45 million on distribution equipment, and \$0.34 million on the development of new patents;

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

- We sold a facility in Hudson, New York yielding net proceeds to us of \$1 million;
- We sold a parcel of land in El Paso, Texas in exchange for \$0.20 million of cash and a \$0.73 million note receivable;
- We sold or redeemed \$3.25 million of auction rate securities (ARS) at par. In addition, in November 2011, we settled the sale of the remaining portfolio of ARS for \$18.05 million, or approximately 96 percent of par; and
- We sold \$1.17 million of interests in mutual funds.

Financing Activities:

During fiscal year 2014, financing activities used \$56.52 million of cash compared to \$82.64 million of cash used in fiscal year 2013 and \$42.80 million of cash provided in fiscal year 2012.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2014 financing activities

- We had draws of \$107.30 million against our revolving credit agreement;
- We repaid \$189.30 million drawn against our revolving credit agreement;
- We repaid \$20 million of long-term debt;
- We had draws of \$37.61 million against new debt to finance the construction of our new distribution facility in Olive Branch, Mississippi;
- We incurred \$0.37 million in debt acquisition costs in connection with new long-term debt;

Table of Contents

- Employees and certain members of our Board of Directors exercised options to purchase 239,136 shares of common stock, and employees purchased 41,328 shares of common stock through our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. These programs provided a combined \$10.29 million of cash, including tax benefits;
- We paid \$6.45 million in tax obligations in connection with the vesting and settlement of certain restricted stock grants to our former CEO and members of our Board of Directors;
- We repurchased and retired 33,862 shares of common stock at an average price of \$38.71 per share for a total purchase price of \$1.31 million; and
- Share-based compensation expenses provided \$5.71 million in current tax benefits.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2013 financing activities

- We had draws of \$234.65 million against our revolving credit facility;
- We repaid \$323.75 million drawn against our revolving credit facility;
- We repaid \$3.00 million of long-term debt;
- Employees and certain members of our Board of Directors exercised options to purchase 247,661 shares of common stock, providing \$9.33 million of cash, including related tax benefits;
- Purchases of common stock through our employee stock purchase plan provided \$1.06 million of cash; and
- We repurchased and retired 61,426 shares of common stock at a total purchase price of \$1.76 million, for a \$28.64 per share average price.

Significant highlights of our fiscal year 2012 financing activities

- We had draws of \$1,369.85 million against our revolving credit facility;

- We repaid \$1,269.75 million drawn against our revolving credit facility;

- We repaid \$53.00 million of long-term debt;

- We incurred \$0.50 million in debt acquisition costs in connection with the amendment of our revolving credit facility;

- Employees and certain members of our Board of Directors exercised options to purchase 282,082 shares of common stock, providing \$3.46 million of cash, including related tax benefits;

- On July 7, 2011, our former CEO tendered 1,016,227 shares of common stock having a market value of \$36.52 million, or \$35.93 per share, as payment for the exercise price and related federal tax obligations arising from the exercise of stock options to purchase 1,625,000 shares of common stock. The exercise of these options required \$12.55 million to pay related federal income tax obligations and generated \$4.22 million in current tax benefits; and

- Purchases of common stock through our employee stock purchase plan provided \$1.01 million of cash.

Table of Contents

Revolving Credit Agreement and Other Debt Agreements:

Revolving Credit Agreement

The Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement", as amended), dated December 30, 2010, by and among the Company, the Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto. The Credit Agreement was amended on February 7, 2014 ("Credit Agreement Amendment") to, among other things, increase the unsecured revolving commitment of the Credit Agreement from \$250 million to \$375 million, subject to the certain terms and limitations described below. The Credit Agreement Amendment also eliminated the maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth financial covenant. Finally, the Credit Agreement Amendment modified the limitation on the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases. Specifically, the Company may declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases if, after giving effect to the dividends or share repurchases, the Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement) is not greater than (i) 2.75 to 1.00 prior to the date that the compliance certificate for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2015 is required to have been delivered under the Credit Agreement, and (ii) 2.50 to 1.00 thereafter. The Credit Agreement Amendment does not modify the terms of the Credit Agreement under which repayment may be accelerated or increased.

Other Debt Agreements

In addition to the Credit Agreement, at February 28, 2014, we had an aggregate principal balance of \$155 million of Senior Notes with varying maturities due between June 2014 and January 2018 and interest rates ranging from 3.90 to 6.01 percent. Up to \$75 million of the debt can be prepaid without penalty while \$80 million of the debt is subject to a "make-whole" premium if repaid before maturity.

In March 2013, Kaz USA, Inc. ("Kaz USA"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Loan Agreement (the "MBFC Loan Agreement"), dated as of March 1, 2013, with the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (the "MBFC") in connection with the issuance by the MBFC of up to \$38 million of taxable industrial development revenue bonds (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are issued under a Trust Indenture (the "IRB Indenture"), between the MBFC and US Bank N.A., as trustee. Interim draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement totaling \$37.61 million were made through February 28, 2014. There will not be any additional draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement. The Bonds and the related loan to Kaz USA (the "MBFC Loan") will bear interest at a variable rate as elected by Kaz USA equal to either (a) a "Base Rate" plus a margin of 0.00 to 1.125 percent depending upon the leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing or (b) the respective one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR rate plus 1.00 to 2.125 percent, depending upon the leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. The Base Rate is equal to the highest of (i) the federal funds rate for the day, plus 0.50 percent, (ii) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A., or (iii) the respective one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR rate plus 1.00 percent. The proceeds of the MBFC Loan have been used by Kaz USA to finance the purchase of land, construction of a distribution facility and the acquisition and installation of equipment, machinery and related assets located in Olive Branch, Mississippi.

On February 7, 2014, the Company and certain of the Company's subsidiaries entered into an amendment to the guaranty agreement in favor of Bank of America, N.A. relating to the MBFC Loan. The Company's financial covenants under the guaranty agreement are consistent with the covenants contained in the Credit Agreement. Accordingly, the amendment to the guaranty agreement eliminated the maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth financial covenant and modified the limitation on the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases in the same manner as the Credit Agreement Amendment. The amendment does not modify the terms of the MBFC Loan Agreement or Indenture under which repayment may be accelerated or increased.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Outstanding principal of the MBFC Loan will be payable as follows: \$1.90 million on March 1 in each of 2014, 2015, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022; \$3.80 million on March 1, 2016; \$5.70 million on March 1, 2017; and \$14.81 million on March 1, 2023. Any remaining outstanding principal and interest is due upon the maturity of the MBFC Loan on March 1, 2023. The MBFC Loan may be prepaid in whole or part without penalty any time after March 20, 2014. Additionally, Bank of America, N.A., the purchaser of the Bonds, may elect for the MBFC Loan to be prepaid in full on March 1, 2018. Following March 1, 2018, Bank of America, N.A. may elect for the MBFC Loan to be prepaid on March 1 of each subsequent year prior to maturity upon at least 90 days notice. In lieu of any prepayment, the Bonds may be purchased by a transferee, as permitted under the IRB Indenture.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

All of our debt is unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. Our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial covenants, including maximum leverage ratios, minimum interest coverage ratios and minimum consolidated net worth levels (as each of these terms are defined in the various agreements). Our debt agreements also contain other customary covenants, including, among other things, covenants restricting or limiting the Company, except under certain conditions set forth therein, from (1) incurring debt, (2) incurring liens on its properties, (3) making certain types of investments, (4) selling certain assets or making other fundamental changes relating to mergers and consolidations, and (5) repurchasing shares of our common stock and paying dividends. Our debt agreements also contain customary events of default, including failure to pay principal or interest when due, among others. Our debt agreements are cross-defaulted to each other. Upon an event of default under our debt agreements, the holders or lenders may, among other things, accelerate the maturity of any amounts outstanding under our debt. Under the terms of our Credit Agreement, the commitments of the lenders to make loans to us are several and not joint. Accordingly, if any lender fails to make loans to us, our available liquidity could be reduced by an amount up to the aggregate amount of such lender's commitments under the revolving credit facility.

The table below provides the formulas in effect for certain key financial covenants as defined in our various debt agreements:

| Applicable Financial Covenant | Credit Agreement and MBFC Loan | \$75 Million Floating Rate Senior Notes | \$100 Million 3.90% Fixed Rate Senior Notes |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Minimum Consolidated Net Worth | None | \$260 Million + 25% of Fiscal Quarter Net Earnings After February 29, 2004 (1) | \$500 Million + 25% of Fiscal Quarter Net Earnings After August 31, 2010 (1) |
| Interest Coverage Ratio | $\frac{\text{EBIT (2)}}{\text{Interest Expense (2)}}$ | None | $\frac{\text{EBIT (2)}}{\text{Interest Expense (2)}}$ |
| | Minimum Required: 3.00 to 1.00 | | Minimum Required: 2.50 to 1.00 |
| Maximum Leverage Ratio | $\frac{\text{Total Current and Long Term Debt (3)}}{[\text{EBITDA (2) + Pro Forma Effect of Acquisitions}]}$ | $\frac{\text{Total Current and Long Term Debt (3)}}{\text{Total Capitalization (3)}}$ | $\frac{\text{Total Current and Long Term Debt (3)}}{[\text{EBITDA (2) + Pro Forma Effect of Acquisitions}]}$ |
| | Maximum Allowed: 3:00 to 1:00 | Maximum Allowed: 55% | Maximum Allowed: 3:25 to 1:00 |

Key Definitions:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| EBIT: | Earnings Before Non-Cash Charges, Interest Expense and Taxes |
| EBITDA: | EBIT + Depreciation and Amortization Expense + Share Based Compensation |
| Total Capitalization: | Total Current and Long Term Debt + Total Equity |
| Pro Forma Effect of Acquisitions: | For any acquisition, pre-acquisition EBITDA of the acquired business is included so that the EBITDA of the acquired business included in the computation equals its twelve month trailing total. |

Notes:

- (1) Excluding any fiscal quarter net losses.
- (2) Computed using totals for the latest reported four consecutive fiscal quarters.
- (3) Computed using the ending balances as of the latest reported fiscal quarter.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual obligations and commercial commitments in effect as of the end of fiscal year 2014 were:

PAYMENTS DUE BY PERIOD - TWELVE MONTHS ENDED THE LAST DAY OF FEBRUARY:

(in thousands)

| | Total | 2015 1 year | 2016 2 years | 2017 3 years | 2018 4 years | 2019 5 years | After 5 years |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Term debt - fixed rate | \$ 80,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Term debt - floating rate (1) | 112,607 | 76,900 | 1,900 | 3,800 | 5,700 | 1,900 | 22,407 |
| Long-term incentive plan payouts | 11,145 | 5,300 | 3,801 | 2,044 | - | - | - |
| Interest on fixed rate debt | 7,384 | 3,016 | 2,236 | 1,456 | 676 | - | - |
| Interest on floating rate debt (1) | 4,066 | 1,927 | 390 | 347 | 281 | 259 | 862 |
| Open purchase orders | 188,770 | 188,770 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long-term purchase commitments | 2,957 | 836 | 606 | 606 | 606 | 303 | - |
| Minimum royalty payments | 78,632 | 12,689 | 12,731 | 12,545 | 9,674 | 9,488 | 21,505 |
| Advertising and promotional | 49,969 | 6,685 | 5,160 | 5,263 | 5,368 | 5,476 | 22,017 |
| Operating leases | 10,656 | 3,849 | 2,472 | 1,780 | 1,287 | 1,268 | - |
| Capital spending commitments | 423 | 423 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total contractual obligations (2) | \$ 546,609 | \$ 320,395 | \$ 49,296 | \$ 47,841 | \$ 43,592 | \$ 18,694 | \$ 66,791 |

(1) The Company uses an interest rate hedge agreement (the swap) in conjunction with its unsecured floating rate, \$75 million, Senior Notes due June 2014. The swap hedges the variable LIBOR rates used to reset the floating rates on these Senior Notes. The swap effectively fixes the interest rates on the Senior Notes due June 2014 at 6.01 percent.

(2) In addition to the contractual obligations and commercial commitments in the table above, as of February 28, 2014, we have recorded a provision for uncertain tax positions of \$13.92 million. We are unable to reliably estimate the timing of most of the future payments, if any, related to uncertain tax positions; therefore, we have excluded these tax liabilities from the table above.

On January 14, 2014, the Company and our former CEO entered into the Separation Agreement. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, the former CEO ceased serving as the Chief Executive Officer and President and resigned as a director of the Company, effective January 14, 2014, but remained an employee of the Company through February 28, 2014. The former CEO's employment with the Company was considered a termination without cause under the terms of his employment agreement. As a result, in connection with the termination of his employment, Mr. Rubin will only receive the amounts or payments due to him under the employment agreement for a termination of employment without cause as of February 28, 2014.

We recorded total pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$40 million for the former CEO in fiscal year 2014, including the compensation charges pursuant to the Separation Agreement, compared to total pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$16 million for the former CEO in fiscal year 2013. With the former CEO stepping down, the Board of Directors appointed Julien R. Mininberg as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Mininberg, effective March 1, 2014. Pursuant to Mr. Mininberg's employment agreement, the Company estimates total pre-tax compensation expense of approximately \$3 to \$5 million in fiscal year 2015.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no existing activities involving special purpose entities or off-balance sheet financing.

Current and Future Capital Needs

Based on our current financial condition and current operations, we believe that cash flows from operations and available financing sources will continue to provide sufficient capital resources to fund our foreseeable short- and long-term liquidity requirements, including share repurchases of \$245.64 million in March 2014 in connection with the Company's modified Dutch auction tender offer, \$75 million of long-term debt scheduled to mature in June 2014, and \$21.90 million in annual principal installments on long-term debt scheduled for payment at various times during fiscal year 2015. We expect our capital needs to stem primarily from the need to purchase sufficient levels of inventory and to carry normal levels of accounts receivable on our balance sheet. In addition, we continue to evaluate acquisition opportunities on a regular basis. We may finance acquisition activity with available cash, the issuance of shares of common stock, additional debt, or other sources of financing, depending upon the size and nature of any such transaction and the status of the capital markets at the time of such acquisition. The Company may elect to repurchase additional shares of common stock up to the balance of its current authorization over the next two fiscal years, subject to limitations contained in its debt agreements and based upon its assessment of a number of factors, including share price, trading volume and general market conditions, as well as on working capital requirements, general business conditions, financial conditions, any applicable contractual limitations and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities. For additional information, see Part II, Item 2., Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds in this report.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that are both most important to the portrayal of a company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We consider the following policies to meet this definition.

Income Taxes - We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments must be used in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities because of differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes. We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable. As changes occur in our assessments regarding our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, our tax provision is increased in any period in which we determine that the recovery is not probable.

In addition, the calculation of our tax liabilities requires us to account for uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on the two-step process prescribed within the topic. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit based upon its technical merits, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that has greater than a 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as this requires us to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited

to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, historical experience with similar tax matters, guidance from our tax advisors, and new audit activity. A change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period in which the change occurs.

Estimates of credits to be issued to customers - We regularly receive requests for credits from retailers for returned products or in connection with sales incentives, such as cooperative advertising and volume rebate agreements. We reduce sales or increase SG&A, depending on the nature of the credits, for estimated future credits to customers. Our estimates of these amounts are based on either historical information about credits issued, relative to total sales, or on specific knowledge of incentives offered to retailers. This process entails a significant amount of subjectivity and uncertainty.

Table of Contents

Valuation of inventory - We currently record inventory on our balance sheet at average cost, or net realizable value, if it is below our recorded cost. Determination of net realizable value requires us to estimate the point in time at which an item's net realizable value drops below its recorded cost. We regularly review our inventory for slow-moving items and for items that we are unable to sell at prices above their original cost. When we identify such an item, we reduce its book value to the net amount that we expect to realize upon its sale. This process entails a significant amount of inherent subjectivity and uncertainty.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangibles - As a result of acquisitions, we have significant intangible assets on our balance sheet that include goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles (primarily trademarks and licenses). Accounting for business combinations requires the use of estimates and assumptions in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in order to properly allocate the purchase price. The estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable using established valuation techniques that consider a number of factors, and when appropriate, valuations performed by independent third-party appraisers.

We consider whether circumstances or conditions exist which suggest that the carrying value of our goodwill and other long-lived assets might be impaired. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of each of the individual assets exceeds its fair market value. If analysis indicates that an individual asset's carrying value does exceed its fair market value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the individual asset's carrying value over its fair value. The steps entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity. We complete our analysis of the carrying value of our goodwill and other intangible assets during the first quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable.

Considerable management judgment is necessary in reaching a conclusion regarding the reasonableness of fair value estimates, evaluating the most likely impact of a range of possible external conditions, considering the resulting operating changes and their impact on estimated future cash flows, determining the appropriate discount factors to use, and selecting and weighting appropriate comparable market level inputs.

The Company continues to monitor its reporting units for any triggering events or other signs of impairment. For both the goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in its reporting units, the recoverability of these amounts is dependent upon achievement of the Company's projections and the continued execution of key initiatives related to revenue growth and improved profitability. The rates used in our projections are management's estimate of the most likely results over time, given a wide range of potential outcomes. The assumptions and estimates used in our impairment testing involve significant elements of subjective judgment and analysis by the Company's management. While we believe that the assumptions we use are reasonable at the time made, changes in business conditions or other unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that cause actual results to differ materially from projected results and this could potentially require future adjustments to our asset valuations.

Carrying value of other long-lived assets - We consider whether circumstances or conditions exist that suggest that the carrying value of a long-lived asset might be impaired. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair market value. If analysis indicates that the asset's carrying value does exceed its fair market value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value. The steps entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity.

Economic useful life of intangible assets - We amortize intangible assets, such as licenses, trademarks, customer lists and distribution rights over their economic useful lives, unless those assets' economic useful lives are indefinite. If an intangible asset's economic useful life is deemed indefinite, that asset is not amortized. When we acquire an intangible asset, we consider factors such as the asset's history, our plans for that asset

and the market for products associated with the asset. We consider these same factors when reviewing the economic useful lives of our previously acquired intangible assets as well. We review the economic useful lives of our intangible assets at least annually. The determination of the economic useful life of an intangible asset requires a significant amount of judgment and entails significant subjectivity and uncertainty. We complete our analysis of the remaining useful economic lives of our intangible assets during the first quarter of each fiscal year.

Table of Contents

For a more comprehensive list of our accounting policies, we encourage you to read Note (1) included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Note (1) describes several other policies, including policies governing the timing of revenue recognition, that are important to the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, but do not meet the SEC's definition of critical accounting policies because they do not involve subjective or complex judgments.

NEW ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

Refer to Note (1) in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for a discussion of any new accounting pronouncements and the potential impact to our consolidated results of operations and financial position.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates and the liquidity of our investments are our primary financial market risks.

Foreign Currency Risk

Our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. By operating internationally, we are subject to foreign currency risk from transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar (foreign currencies). Such transactions include sales, certain inventory purchases and operating expenses. As a result of such transactions, portions of our cash, trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable are denominated in foreign currencies. For the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, 14.8, 14.7 and 15.6 percent, respectively, of our net sales revenue was in foreign currencies. These sales were primarily denominated in British Pounds, Euros, Mexican Pesos, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Peruvian Soles, and Venezuelan Bolivares Fuertes. We make most of our inventory purchases from the Far East and use the U.S. Dollar for such purchases. In our consolidated statements of income, exchange gains and losses resulting from the remeasurement of foreign taxes receivable, taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and deferred tax liabilities, are recognized in their respective income tax lines, and all other foreign exchange gains and losses are recognized in SG&A.

A significant portion of the products we sell are purchased from third-party manufacturers in China. During fiscal years 2014 and 2012, the Chinese Renminbi appreciated against the U.S. Dollar approximately 3 and 4 percent, respectively. During fiscal year 2013, the Chinese Renminbi remained relatively flat against the U.S. Dollar. If the Chinese Renminbi appreciates with respect to the U.S. Dollar in the future, the Company may experience cost increases on such purchases, and this can adversely impact profitability. China's currency intervention strategy with respect to the U.S. Dollar is continuously evolving. Future interventions by China may result in further currency appreciation and increase our product costs over time.

We identify foreign currency risk by regularly monitoring our foreign currency-denominated transactions and balances. Where operating conditions permit, we reduce foreign currency risk by purchasing most of our inventory with U.S. Dollars and by converting cash balances denominated in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars.

We have historically hedged against certain foreign currency exchange rate-risk by using a series of forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to protect against the foreign currency exchange risk inherent in our forecasted transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. In these transactions, we execute a forward currency contract that will settle at the end of a forecasted period. Because the size and terms of the forward contract are designed so that its fair market value will move in the opposite direction and approximate magnitude of the underlying foreign currency's forecasted exchange gain or loss during the forecasted period, a hedging relationship is created. To the extent that we forecast the expected foreign currency cash flows from the period we enter into the forward contract until the date it will settle with reasonable accuracy, we significantly lower or materially eliminate a particular currency's exchange risk exposure over the life of the related forward contract. We enter into these types of agreements where we believe we have meaningful exposure to foreign currency exchange risk and the hedge pricing appears reasonable. It is not practical for us to hedge all our exposures, nor are we able to project in any meaningful way the possible effect and interplay of all foreign currency fluctuations on translated amounts or future earnings. This is due to our constantly changing exposure to various currencies, the fact that each foreign currency reacts differently to the U.S. Dollar and the significant number of currencies involved. Accordingly, we will always be subject to foreign exchange rate-risk on exposures we have not hedged, and these risks may be material. We do not enter into any forward exchange contracts or similar instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. We expect that as currency market conditions warrant, and our foreign denominated transaction exposure grows, we will continue to execute additional contracts in order to hedge against certain potential foreign exchange losses.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest on our outstanding debt as of February 28, 2014 is both floating and fixed. Fixed rates are in place on \$80 million of Senior Notes at 3.90 percent and floating rates are in place on \$37.61 million of draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement and \$75 million of Senior Notes due June 2014. If short-term interest rates increase, we will incur higher interest rates on any future outstanding balances under the Credit Agreement. The floating rate Senior Notes due June

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

2014 reset as described June 2014 reset as described in Note (9) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, and have been effectively converted to fixed rate debt using an interest rate swap (the "swap"), as described below.

We manage our floating rate term debt using an interest rate swap. As of February 28, 2014, we had a swap that converted an aggregate notional principal of \$75 million from floating interest rate payments under our Senior Notes due June 2014 to fixed interest rate payments at 6.01 percent. In the swap transaction, we maintain contracts to pay fixed rates of interest on an aggregate notional principal amount of \$75 million at a rate of 5.11 percent on our Senior Notes due June 2014, while simultaneously receiving floating rate interest payments set at 0.25 percent as of February 28, 2014 on the same notional amounts. The fixed rate side of the swap will not change over the life of the swap. The floating rate payments are reset quarterly based on three month LIBOR. The resets occur at the same time as the interest payments made on the underlying debt. Changes in the spread between the fixed rate payment side of the swap and the floating rate receipt side of the swap offset 100 percent of the change in any period of the underlying debt's floating rate payments. The swap is used to reduce the Company's risk of increased interest costs; however, when interest rates drop significantly below the swap rates, we lose the benefit that our floating rate debt would provide, if not managed with a swap. The swap is considered 100 percent effective.

The following table summarizes the fair values of our various derivative instruments at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

FAIR VALUES OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

(in thousands)

| Designated as hedging instruments | Hedge Type | February 28, 2014 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Final Settlement | Notional | Prepaid Expenses and Other Current | Accrued Expenses and Other Current | Other Liabilities, |
| | | Date | Amount | Assets | Liabilities | Noncurrent |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Euro | Cash flow | 6/2014 | 2,850 | \$ - | \$ 89 | \$ - |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Pounds | Cash flow | 11/2014 | £ 4,250 | - | 280 | - |
| Interest rate swap | Cash flow | 6/2014 | \$ 75,000 | - | 1,227 | - |
| Total fair value | | | | \$ - | \$ 1,596 | \$ - |

| Designated as hedging instruments | Hedge Type | February 28, 2013 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Final Settlement | Notional | Prepaid Expenses and Other Current | Accrued Expenses and Other Current | Other Liabilities, |
| | | Date | Amount | Assets | Liabilities | Noncurrent |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Euro | Cash flow | 10/2013 | 7,050 | \$ 239 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Pounds | Cash flow | 11/2013 | £ 3,000 | 257 | - | - |
| Interest rate swap | Cash flow | 6/2014 | \$ 75,000 | - | 3,044 | 1,780 |
| Total fair value | | | | \$ 496 | \$ 3,044 | \$ 1,780 |

Counterparty Credit Risk

Financial instruments, including foreign currency contracts and interest rate swaps, expose us to counterparty credit risk for nonperformance. We manage our exposure to counterparty credit risk by dealing with counterparties who are substantial international financial institutions with significant experience using such derivative instruments. Although our theoretical credit risk is the replacement cost at the then estimated fair value of these instruments, we believe that the risk of incurring credit risk losses is remote.

Table of ContentsRate Sensitive Financial Instruments

The following table shows the approximate potential fair value change in U.S. Dollars that would arise from a hypothetical adverse 10 percent change in certain market based rates underlying our rate sensitive financial instruments as of February 28, 2014 and 2013.

CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE DUE TO AN ADVERSE MOVE IN RELATED RATES

(in thousands)

| | Face or Notional Amount | February 28, 2014 | | Estimated Change in Fair Value |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Carrying Value | Fair Value | |
| Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt (1) | \$ 80,000 | \$ (80,000) | \$ (83,951) | \$ (332) |
| Interest Rate Swaps (2) | \$ 75,000 | \$ (1,227) | \$ (1,227) | \$ (5) |
| Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts - Pounds (3) | £ 4,250 | \$ (280) | \$ (280) | \$ (710) |
| Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts - Euros (3) | 2,850 | \$ (89) | \$ (89) | \$ (395) |

| | Face or Notional Amount | February 28, 2013 | | Estimated Change in Fair Value |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Carrying Value | Fair Value | |
| Fixed Rate Long-Term Debt (1) | \$ 100,000 | \$ (100,000) | \$ (105,725) | \$ (526) |
| Interest Rate Swaps (2) | \$ 75,000 | \$ (4,824) | \$ (4,824) | \$ (35) |
| Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts - Pounds (3) | £ 3,000 | \$ 257 | \$ 257 | \$ (455) |
| Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts - Euros (3) | 7,050 | \$ 239 | \$ 239 | \$ (921) |

(1) The underlying interest rates used as a basis for these estimates are rates quoted by our lenders on fixed rate notes of similar term and credit quality as of the balance sheet dates shown.

(2) The underlying interest rates are based on current and future projections over the related lives of the underlying swap contracts of expected three-month LIBOR rates.

(3) Appreciation in the value of the U.S. Dollar would result in an increase in the fair value of the related foreign currency contracts.

The table above is for risk analysis purposes and does not purport to represent actual losses or gains in fair value that we will incur. It is important to note that the change in value represents the estimated change in the fair value of the contracts. Actual results in the future may differ materially from these estimated results due to actual developments in the global financial markets. Because the contracts hedge an underlying exposure, we would expect a similar and opposite change in foreign exchange gains or losses and floating interest rates over the same periods as the contracts.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

We expect that as currency market conditions warrant, and if our foreign denominated transaction exposure grows, we will continue to execute additional contracts in order to hedge against potential foreign exchange losses.

Table of Contents**Risks Inherent in Cash and Cash Equivalents**

Our cash and cash equivalents are subject to interest rate risk, credit risk and liquidity risk. Cash consists of interest-bearing, non-interest-bearing and short-term investment accounts. Cash equivalents consist of money market investment accounts. The following table summarizes our cash, cash equivalents and investments at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS*(in thousands)*

| | February 28, 2014 | | February 28, 2013 | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying | Range of | Carrying | Range of |
| | Amount | Interest | Amount | Interest |
| | | Rates | | Rates |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Cash, interest and non-interest-bearing accounts - unrestricted | \$ 65,884 | 0.00 to 1.10% | \$ 9,568 | 0.00 to 1.30% |
| Cash, interest and non-interest-bearing accounts - restricted | 2,594 | 0.00 to 0.50% | 2,183 | 0.00 to 0.75% |
| Money market funds | 1,549 | 0.30 to 0.80% | 1,091 | 0.35 to 0.37% |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | \$ 70,027 | | \$ 12,842 | |

Our cash balances at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013 include restricted cash of \$2.59 and \$2.18 million, respectively, denominated in Venezuelan Bolivares Fuertes, shown above under the heading Cash, interest and non interest-bearing accounts restricted. The balances arise from our operations within the Venezuelan market. Until we are able to repatriate cash from Venezuela, we intend to use these cash balances in-country to continue to fund operations. We do not otherwise rely on these restricted funds as a source of liquidity.

In February 2013, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency from 4.30 per U.S. Dollar to 6.30 per U.S. Dollar. As a result of this devaluation, we recorded a \$1.41 million unfavorable exchange rate impact in SG&A for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013.

At fiscal years ending 2014 and 2013, our cash equivalents were in money market accounts; therefore, we believe there was no material interest rate risk associated with these holdings.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | 60 |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 61 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of February 28, 2014 and February 28, 2013</u> | 63 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the years in the three-year period ended February 28, 2014</u> | 64 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the years in the three-year period ended February 28, 2014</u> | 65 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended February 28, 2014</u> | 66 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended February 28, 2014</u> | 67 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 68 |
| Financial Statement Schedule: <u>Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for each of the years in the three-year period ended February 28, 2014</u> | 103 |

All other schedules are omitted as the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or is not applicable.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Helen of Troy's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined by Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act.

Our internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and Board of Directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility that misstatements may not be prevented or detected. Furthermore, the effectiveness of internal controls may become inadequate because of future changes in conditions, or variations in the degree of compliance with our policies or procedures.

Our management assesses the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in the *1992 Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of February 28, 2014.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 61.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Helen of Troy Limited and Subsidiaries

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Helen of Troy Limited and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of February 28, 2014, based on criteria established in the *1992 Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of February 28, 2014, based on the criteria established in the *1992 Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended February 28, 2014, and our report dated April 29, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Dallas, Texas

April 29, 2014

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Helen of Troy Limited and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Helen of Troy Limited and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of February 28, 2014 and February 28, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended February 28, 2014. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Helen of Troy Limited and Subsidiaries as of February 28, 2014 and February 28, 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended February 28, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of February 28, 2014, based on criteria established in the *1992 Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated April 29, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Dallas, Texas

April 29, 2014

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets***(in thousands, except shares and par value)*

| | February 28, 2014 | February 28, 2013 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Assets, current: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 70,027 | \$ 12,842 |
| Receivables - principally trade, less allowances of \$4,679 and \$5,031 | 213,054 | 219,719 |
| Inventory, net | 289,255 | 280,872 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 10,097 | 8,442 |
| Income taxes receivable | 3,783 | 1,800 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 29,260 | 21,530 |
| Total assets, current | 615,476 | 545,205 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$71,516 and \$74,775 | 129,117 | 101,716 |
| Goodwill | 453,241 | 453,241 |
| Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$94,698 and \$73,344 | 322,309 | 355,628 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 2,523 | 2,401 |
| Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$6,781 and \$5,403 | 10,636 | 15,813 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,533,302 | \$ 1,474,004 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Liabilities, current: | | |
| Revolving line of credit | \$ - | \$ 82,000 |
| Accounts payable, principally trade | 75,585 | 72,263 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 156,688 | 134,063 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | 181 | 339 |
| Long-term debt, current maturities | 96,900 | 20,000 |
| Total liabilities, current | 329,354 | 308,665 |
| Long-term debt, excluding current maturities | 95,707 | 155,000 |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net | 56,988 | 57,991 |
| Other liabilities, noncurrent | 21,766 | 25,742 |
| Total liabilities | 503,815 | 547,398 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Cumulative preferred stock, non-voting, \$1.00 par. Authorized 2,000,000 shares; none issued | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; 32,272,519 and 31,868,416 shares issued and outstanding | 3,227 | 3,187 |
| Additional paid in capital | 180,861 | 164,471 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,091) | (2,729) |
| Retained earnings | 846,490 | 761,677 |
| Total stockholders equity | 1,029,487 | 926,606 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,533,302 | \$ 1,474,004 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income***(in thousands, except per share data)*

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 1,317,153 | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 |
| Cost of goods sold | 800,450 | 770,052 | 703,192 |
| Gross profit | 516,703 | 518,211 | 478,484 |
| Selling, general and administrative expense | 387,554 | 369,438 | 339,098 |
| Asset impairment charges | 12,049 | - | - |
| Operating income | 117,100 | 148,773 | 139,386 |
| Nonoperating income (expense), net | 227 | 86 | (377) |
| Interest expense | (10,193) | (13,345) | (12,917) |
| Income before income taxes | 107,134 | 135,514 | 126,092 |
| Income tax expense | 20,886 | 19,848 | 15,718 |
| Net income | \$ 86,248 | \$ 115,666 | \$ 110,374 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 2.69 | \$ 3.64 | \$ 3.52 |
| Diluted | \$ 2.66 | \$ 3.62 | \$ 3.48 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock used in computing net earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | 32,007 | 31,754 | 31,340 |
| Diluted | 32,386 | 31,936 | 31,705 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income***(in thousands)*

| | 2014 | | | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Before | | Net of | Before | | Net of | Before | | Net of |
| | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax | Tax |
| Income | \$107,134 | \$ (20,886) | \$ 86,248 | \$ 135,514 | \$ (19,848) | \$ 115,666 | \$ 126,092 | \$ (15,718) | \$ 110,374 |
| Other Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash flow hedge activity - interest rate swaps | | | | | | | | | |
| Changes in fair market value | (111) | 39 | (72) | (103) | 36 | (67) | (3,353) | 1,430 | (1,923) |
| Interest rate settlements reclassified to income | 3,707 | (1,297) | 2,410 | 3,833 | (1,342) | 2,491 | 4,424 | (1,709) | 2,715 |
| Subtotal | 3,596 | (1,258) | 2,338 | 3,730 | (1,306) | 2,424 | 1,071 | (279) | 792 |
| Cash flow hedge activity - foreign currency swaps and contracts | | | | | | | | | |
| Changes in fair market value | (962) | 195 | (767) | (132) | (17) | (149) | 563 | (188) | 375 |
| Ineffectiveness recorded in income | - | - | - | 44 | 2 | 46 | 63 | (19) | 44 |
| Settlements reclassified to income | 98 | (31) | 67 | 629 | (90) | 539 | 244 | (78) | 166 |
| Subtotal | (864) | 164 | (700) | 541 | (105) | 436 | 870 | (285) | 585 |
| Auction rate security activity | | | | | | | | | |
| Changes in fair market value | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,465 | (520) | 945 |
| Settlements reclassified to income | - | - | - | - | - | - | (126) | 65 | (61) |
| Subtotal | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,339 | (455) | 884 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 2,732 | (1,094) | 1,638 | 4,271 | (1,411) | 2,860 | 3,280 | (1,019) | 2,261 |
| Comprehensive income | \$109,866 | \$ (21,980) | \$ 87,886 | \$ 139,785 | \$ (21,259) | \$ 118,526 | \$ 129,372 | \$ (16,737) | \$ 112,635 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity***(in thousands)*

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|---|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Common stock shares | | | |
| Balances, beginning of period | 31,868 | 31,681 | 30,839 |
| Exercise of stock options | 239 | 248 | 1,907 |
| Restricted share-based compensation | 271 | 11 | 18 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with employee stock purchase plan | 42 | 39 | 42 |
| Common stock repurchased and retired | (147) | (111) | (1,125) |
| Balances, end of period | 32,273 | 31,868 | 31,681 |
| Common stock | | | |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ 3,187 | \$ 3,168 | \$ 3,084 |
| Exercise of stock options | 24 | 25 | 191 |
| Restricted share-based compensation | 27 | 1 | 2 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with employee stock purchase plan | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Common stock repurchased and retired | (15) | (11) | (113) |
| Balances, end of period | \$ 3,227 | \$ 3,187 | \$ 3,168 |
| Paid in capital | | | |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ 164,471 | \$ 151,006 | \$ 130,015 |
| Adjustments to paid in capital for changes in uncertain tax positions | 257 | - | (482) |
| Stock option share-based compensation, net of tax benefits of \$47, \$74 and \$99 | 2,757 | 2,993 | 2,829 |
| Exercise of stock options, including tax benefits of \$499, \$5,015 and \$4,483 | 6,541 | 10,804 | 35,066 |
| Restricted share-based compensation, including tax benefits of \$2,921 | 12,285 | (1) | (2) |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with employee stock purchase plan | 1,346 | 1,056 | 1,008 |
| Common stock repurchased and retired | (6,796) | (1,387) | (17,428) |
| Balances, end of period | \$ 180,861 | \$ 164,471 | \$ 151,006 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ (2,729) | \$ (5,589) | \$ (7,850) |
| Cash flow hedge activity - interest rate swaps, net of tax | 2,338 | 2,424 | 792 |
| Cash flow hedge activity - foreign currency, net of tax | (700) | 436 | 585 |
| Auction rate security activity, net of tax | - | - | 884 |
| Balances, end of period | \$ (1,091) | \$ (2,729) | \$ (5,589) |
| Retained earnings | | | |
| Balances, beginning of period | \$ 761,677 | \$ 648,144 | \$ 560,300 |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| Net Income | 86,248 | 115,666 | 110,374 |
| Common stock repurchased and retired | (1,435) | (2,133) | (22,530) |
| Balances, end of period | \$ 846,490 | \$ 761,677 | \$ 648,144 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 1,029,487 | \$ 926,606 | \$ 796,729 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows***(in thousands)*

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|--|--|------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash provided (used) by operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 86,248 | \$ 115,666 | \$ 110,374 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34,750 | 35,328 | 30,178 |
| Provision for doubtful receivables | 400 | 188 | 548 |
| Non-cash share-based compensation | 31,683 | 5,913 | 2,928 |
| Intangible asset impairment charges | 12,049 | - | - |
| (Gain) loss on the sale of property and equipment | 81 | 175 | (537) |
| Realized and unrealized loss on investments | - | - | 697 |
| Deferred income taxes and tax credits | (10,109) | (12,061) | 5,070 |
| Changes in operating capital, net of effects of acquisition of businesses: | | | |
| Receivables | 6,265 | (24,624) | (7,368) |
| Inventories | (8,383) | (34,625) | (28,912) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,166 | (1,545) | (1,927) |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | (1,867) | (326) | 189 |
| Accounts payable | 3,733 | 2,507 | (3,476) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 8,129 | 1,360 | (4,874) |
| Accrued income taxes | (9,980) | (398) | 990 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 154,165 | 87,558 | 103,880 |
| Cash provided (used) by investing activities: | | | |
| Capital and intangible asset expenditures | (40,463) | (14,688) | (16,051) |
| Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment | 5 | 26 | 2,339 |
| Note receivable from land sale | - | 737 | (733) |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | - | - | 22,421 |
| Payment to acquire a business, net of cash received | - | - | (160,000) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (40,458) | (13,925) | (152,024) |
| Cash provided (used) by financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 107,300 | 234,650 | 1,369,850 |
| Repayment of line of credit | (189,300) | (323,750) | (1,269,750) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 37,607 | - | - |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (20,000) | (3,000) | (53,000) |
| Payment of financing costs | (367) | (28) | (499) |
| Proceeds from share issuances under share-based compensation plans, including tax benefits | 10,285 | 10,392 | 8,688 |
| Payment of tax obligations resulting from cashless share award exercises | (6,445) | - | (12,591) |
| Payments for repurchases of common stock | (1,311) | (1,759) | - |
| Share-based compensation tax benefit | 5,709 | 858 | 99 |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | (56,522) | (82,637) | 42,797 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 57,185 | (9,004) | (5,347) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning balance | 12,842 | 21,846 | 27,193 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending balance | \$ 70,027 | \$ 12,842 | \$ 21,846 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 10,632 | \$ 11,681 | \$ 12,719 |
| Income taxes paid, net of refunds | \$ 31,289 | \$ 26,449 | \$ (797) |
| Value of common stock received as exercise price of options | \$ 492 | \$ 1,627 | \$ 27,482 |
| Transfer of auction rate securities from noncurrent assets to investments | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 18,950 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of U.S. Dollars, except share and per share data, unless indicated otherwise)

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) General

When used in these notes, unless the context suggests otherwise or otherwise indicated, references to the Company, our Company, Helen of Troy, we, us, or our refer to Helen of Troy Limited and its subsidiaries. We refer to the Company's common shares, par value \$0.10 per share, as common stock. References to OXO refer to the operations of OXO International and certain of its affiliated subsidiaries that comprise our Housewares segment. References to Kaz refer to the operations of Kaz, Inc. and its subsidiaries. References to PUR refer to the PUR brand of water filtration products that we acquired, along with certain other assets and liabilities, from The Procter & Gamble Company and certain of its affiliates. Kaz and PUR comprise a segment within the Company referred to as the Healthcare / Home Environment segment. Product and service names mentioned in this report are used for identification purposes only and may be protected by trademarks, trade names, service marks, and other intellectual property rights of the Company and other parties in the United States and other jurisdictions. The absence of a specific attribution in connection with any such mark does not constitute a waiver of any such right. All trademarks, trade names, service marks, and logos referenced herein belong to their respective owners. References to the FASB refer to the Financial Accounting Standards Board. References to GAAP refer to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. References to ASC refer to the codification of GAAP in the Accounting Standards Codification issued by the FASB.

We are a global designer, developer, importer, marketer, and distributor of an expanding portfolio of brand-name consumer products. We have three segments: Housewares, Healthcare / Home Environment and Personal Care. Our Housewares segment provides a broad range of innovative consumer products for the home. Product offerings include food preparation tools, gadgets and storage containers, cleaning, organization, and baby and toddler care products. The Healthcare / Home Environment segment focuses on health care devices such as thermometers, humidifiers, blood pressure monitors, and heating pads; water filtration systems; and small home appliances such as portable heaters, fans, air purifiers, and insect control devices. Our Personal Care segment's products include electric hair care, beauty care and wellness appliances; grooming tools and accessories; and liquid, solid- and powder-based personal care and grooming products.

Our business is seasonal due to different calendar events, holidays, and seasonal weather patterns. Historically, our highest sales volume and operating income occur in our third fiscal quarter ending November 30th. We purchase our products from unaffiliated manufacturers, most of which are located in China, Mexico and the United States.

Our financial statements are prepared in U.S. Dollars and in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

differ from those estimates. We have reclassified, combined or separately disclosed certain amounts in the prior years' consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes to conform to the current year's presentation.

(b) Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Helen of Troy Limited and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(c) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash equivalents include all highly-liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less. We maintain cash and cash equivalents at several financial institutions, which at times may not be federally insured or may exceed federally insured limits. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts and believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risks on such accounts.

We consider money market investment accounts to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents comprised \$1.55 and \$1.09 million of the amounts reported on our consolidated balance sheets as Cash and cash equivalents at February 28, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Notes (11) and (12) contain additional information regarding our cash and cash equivalents.

(d) Trading securities and long-term marketable securities

Trading securities, when held, consist of shares of common stock of publicly traded companies and are stated on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value, as determined by the most recent trading price of each security as of each balance sheet date. We determine the appropriate classification of our investments when those investments are purchased and reevaluate those determinations at each balance sheet date. Trading securities, when held, are included in the Assets, current section of our consolidated balance sheets.

All realized and unrealized gains and losses attributable to both trading and long-term marketable securities are included in Nonoperating income (expense), net in the consolidated statements of income. The sum of realized and unrealized net losses attributable to trading and long-term marketable security investments totaled \$0.70 million in fiscal year 2012, principally from the liquidation of auction rate securities with a par value of \$22.05 million.

(e) Receivables

Our receivables are comprised of trade credit granted to customers, primarily in the retail industry, offset by two valuation reserves: an allowance for doubtful receivables and an allowance for back-to-stock returns.

Our allowance for doubtful receivables reflects our best estimate of probable losses, determined principally based on historical experience and specific allowances for known troubled accounts. Our policy is to charge off receivables when we have determined they will no longer be collectible. Charge offs are applied as a reduction to the allowance for doubtful accounts and any recoveries of previous charge offs are netted against bad debt expense in the period recovered. At February 28, 2014 and 2013, the allowance for doubtful receivables was \$2.13 and \$1.76 million, respectively.

Our allowance for back-to-stock returns reflects our best estimate of future customer returns, determined principally based on historical experience and specific allowances for known pending returns. At February 28, 2014 and 2013, the allowance for back-to-stock returns was \$2.55 and \$3.27 million, respectively.

The Company has significant concentrations of credit risk with two major customers, representing approximately 17 and 10 percent of gross trade receivables, respectively. In addition, as of February 28, 2014 and 2013, approximately 44 and 42 percent, respectively, of the Company's gross trade receivables were due from its five top customers.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(f) Inventory, net and cost of goods sold

Our inventory consists almost entirely of finished goods. We currently record inventory on our balance sheet at average cost, or net realizable value, if it is below our recorded cost. Our average costs include the amounts we pay manufacturers for product, tariffs and duties associated with transporting product across national borders, freight costs associated with transporting the product from our manufacturers to our distribution centers, and general and administrative expenses directly attributable to acquiring inventory, as applicable.

General and administrative expenses in inventory include all the expenses of operating the Company's sourcing activities and expenses incurred for production monitoring, product design, engineering and packaging. We charged \$36.23, \$30.28 and \$18.74 million of such general and administrative expenses to inventory during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We estimate that \$12.26 and \$9.64 million of general and administrative expenses directly attributable to the procurement of inventory were included in our inventory balances on hand at February 28, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Cost of goods sold line item on the consolidated statements of income is comprised of the book value of inventory sold to customers during the reporting period. When circumstances dictate that we use net realizable value as the basis for recording inventory, we base our estimates on expected future selling prices less expected disposal costs.

For fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, cost of goods sold manufactured by vendors in the Far East comprised approximately 67, 66 and 78 percent, respectively, of consolidated cost of goods sold. Our mix of Far East manufacturing has declined since fiscal year 2012 as the Healthcare / Home Environment segment has become a larger part of our business. This segment sources a significant portion of its products in both the U.S. and Mexico. We have sourcing relationships with over 220 third-party manufacturers. During fiscal year 2014, we had no vendors who fulfilled 10 percent or more of our product requirements. Our top two manufacturers combined fulfilled approximately 14 percent of our product requirements. Over the same period, our top five suppliers fulfilled approximately 28 percent of our product requirements.

(g) Property and equipment

These assets are stated at cost, or in the case of assets recorded through acquisition, their fair values when they were acquired. Depreciation is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Expenditures for repair and maintenance of property and equipment are expensed as incurred. For tax purposes, accelerated depreciation methods are used where allowed by tax laws.

(h) License agreements, trademarks, patents, and other intangible assets

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

A significant portion of our consolidated sales are made subject to trademark license agreements with various licensors. Our license agreements are reported on our consolidated balance sheets at cost, less accumulated amortization. The cost of our license agreements represents amounts paid to licensors to acquire the license or to alter the terms of the license in a manner that we believe to be in our best interest. Certain licenses have extension terms that may require additional payments to the licensor as part of the terms of renewal. The Company capitalizes costs incurred to renew or extend the term of a license agreement and amortizes such costs on a straight-line basis over the

remaining term or economic life of the agreement, whichever is shorter. Royalty payments are not included in the cost of license agreements. Royalty expense under our license agreements is recognized as incurred and is included in our

consolidated statements of income on the line entitled Selling, general and administrative expense (SG&A). Net

sales revenue subject to trademark license agreements requiring royalty payments comprised approximately 44, 44 and 45 percent of consolidated net sales revenue for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We also sell products under trademarks that we own. Trademarks that we acquire from other entities are generally recorded on our consolidated balance sheets based upon the appraised cost of acquiring the trademark, net of any accumulated amortization and impairment charges. Costs associated with developing trademarks internally are recorded as expenses in the period incurred. In certain instances where trademarks have readily determinable useful

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

lives, we amortize their costs on a straight-line basis over such lives. In most instances, we have determined that acquired trademarks have an indefinite useful life. In these cases, no amortization is recorded. Patents acquired through purchase from other entities, if material, are recorded on our consolidated balance sheets based upon the appraised value of the acquired patents and amortized over the remaining life of the patent. Additionally, we incur certain costs, primarily legal fees in connection with the design and development of products to be covered by patents, which are capitalized as incurred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the patent in the jurisdiction filed, typically 14 years.

Other intangible assets include customer lists, distribution rights, patent rights, and non-compete agreements that we acquired from other entities. These are recorded on our consolidated balance sheets based upon the fair value of the acquired asset and amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the asset as determined either through outside appraisal or by the term of any controlling agreements. See Notes (4) and (5) to these consolidated financial statements for additional information on our intangible assets.

(i) Goodwill, intangible and other long-lived assets and impairments

We complete our analysis of the carrying value of our goodwill and other intangible assets during the first quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable.

Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid and the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets received in the acquisition of a business. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level (operating segment or one level below an operating segment). We measure the amount of any goodwill impairment based upon the estimated fair value of the underlying assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including any unrecognized intangible assets and estimates of the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment charge is recognized to the extent the recorded goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill.

We consider whether circumstances or conditions exist that suggest that the carrying value of our goodwill and other long-lived assets might be impaired. If such circumstances or conditions exist, further steps are required in order to determine whether the carrying value of each of the individual assets exceeds its fair market value. If the analysis indicates that an individual asset's carrying value does exceed its fair market value, the next step is to record a loss equal to the excess of the individual asset's carrying value over its fair value. These steps entail significant amounts of judgment and subjectivity. Events and changes in circumstances that may indicate there is impairment include, but are not limited to, strategic decisions to exit a business or dispose of an asset made in response to changes in economic, political and competitive conditions, the impact of the economic environment on our customer base and on broad market conditions that drive valuation considerations by market participants, our internal expectations with regard to future revenue growth and the assumptions we make when performing our impairment reviews, a significant decrease in the market price of our assets, a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which our assets are used, a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate that could affect our assets, an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset, and significant changes in the cash flows associated with an asset. We analyze these assets at the individual asset, reporting unit and Company levels.

As further discussed in Note (4) to these consolidated financial statements, we recorded non-cash impairment charges totaling \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax) for fiscal year 2014, in order to reflect the carrying value of certain trademarks in our Personal Care segment at

estimates of their fair value.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(j) Economic useful lives and amortization of intangible assets

We amortize intangible assets, such as licenses and trademarks, over their economic useful lives, unless those assets' economic useful lives are indefinite. If an intangible asset's economic useful life is deemed indefinite, that asset is not amortized. When we acquire an intangible asset, we consider factors such as the asset's history, our plans for that asset, and the market for products associated with the asset. We consider these same factors when reviewing the economic useful lives of our existing intangible assets as well. We review the economic useful lives of our intangible assets at least annually.

Intangible assets consist primarily of goodwill, license agreements, trademarks, customer lists, distribution rights, patents, patent licenses, and non-compete agreements. Some of our goodwill is held in jurisdictions that allow deductions for tax purposes, however, in those jurisdictions we have no tax basis for the associated goodwill recorded for book purposes. Effectively, none of our goodwill is deductible for tax purposes. We amortize certain intangible assets using the straight-line method over appropriate periods ranging from 2 to 30 years. We recorded intangible asset amortization totaling \$21.61, \$22.40 and \$20.07 million during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. See Notes (4) and (5) to these consolidated financial statements for more information about our intangible assets.

(k) Fair value classifications

We classify our various assets and liabilities recorded or reported at fair value under a hierarchy prescribed by GAAP that prioritizes inputs to fair value measurement techniques into three broad levels:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

- Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted prices that are directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for similar or identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions.

Assets and liabilities subject to classification are classified upon acquisition. When circumstances dictate the transfer of an asset or liability to a different level, our policy is to recognize the transfer at the beginning of the reporting period in which the event resulting in the transfer occurred.

(l) Warranties

Our products are under warranty against defects in material and workmanship for periods ranging from two to five years. We estimate our warranty accrual using historical trends and believe that these trends are the most reliable method by which we can estimate our warranty liability. The following table summarizes the activity in the Company's accrual for the past two fiscal years:

ACCRUAL FOR WARRANTY RETURNS

(in thousands)

| | Last Day of February, | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 23,150 | \$ 26,665 |
| Additions to the accrual | 28,281 | 35,723 |
| Reductions of the accrual - payments and credits issued | (32,162) | (39,238) |
| Ending balance | \$ 19,269 | \$ 23,150 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(m) Financial instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable, accrued expenses and income taxes payable approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these items. See Note (9) to these consolidated financial statements for our assessment of the fair value of our Senior Notes and other long-term debt. We use interest rate swaps (the swaps) to protect our funding costs against rising interest rates. The interest rate swaps allow us to raise long-term borrowings at floating rates and effectively swap them into fixed rates. Under our swaps, we agree with another party to exchange quarterly the difference between fixed-rate and floating-rate interest amounts calculated by reference to notional amounts that match the amount of our underlying debt. Under these swap agreements, we pay the fixed rates and receive the floating rates. The swaps settle quarterly and terminate upon maturity of the related debt. We hedge a portion of our foreign exchange rate risk by entering into forward contracts and foreign currency swaps to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars at specified rates. Our foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency swaps and interest rate swaps are considered highly effective and are accounted for as cash flow hedges. See Notes (11), (12) and (17) to these consolidated financial statements for more information on our hedging activities.

(n) Income taxes and uncertain tax positions

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of applicable assets and liabilities. Generally, deferred tax assets represent future income tax reductions while deferred tax liabilities represent income taxes that we expect to pay in the future. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates for the years in which we expect temporary differences to be reversed or be settled. Changes in tax rates affect the carrying values of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and the effects of any tax rate changes are recognized in the periods when they are enacted. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends upon generating sufficient future taxable income during the periods in which our temporary differences become deductible or before our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards expire.

We recognize the benefit of a tax position if that position will more likely than not be sustained in an audit, based on the technical merits of the position. If the tax position meets the more likely than not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that has greater than a fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Liabilities created for unrecognized tax benefits are disclosed as a separate liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets. We recognize interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes. Note (10) to these consolidated financial statements contains additional information regarding our income taxes.

(o) Revenue recognition

Sales are recognized when revenue is realized or realizable and has been earned. Sales and shipping terms vary among our customers, and as such, revenue is recognized when risk and title to the product transfer to the customer. Net sales revenue is comprised of gross revenues less estimates of expected returns, trade discounts and customer allowances, which include incentives such as cooperative advertising agreements and off-invoice markdowns. Such deductions are recorded and / or amortized during the period the related revenue is recognized. Sales and value added taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are excluded from net sales revenue reported in the

consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(p) Consideration granted to customers

We offer our customers certain incentives in the form of cooperative advertising arrangements, volume rebates, product markdown allowances, trade discounts, cash discounts, slotting fees, and similar other arrangements. In instances where the customer provides us with proof of performance, reductions in amounts received from customers as a result of cooperative advertising programs are included in our consolidated statements of income in SG&A. Customer incentives included in SG&A were \$16.45, \$14.25 and \$13.76 million for the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other reductions in amounts received from customers as a result of cooperative advertising programs are recorded as reductions of net sales revenue. Markdown allowances, slotting fees, trade discounts, cash discounts, and volume rebates are all recorded as reductions of net sales revenue.

(q) Advertising

Advertising costs, including cooperative advertising discussed in (p) above, are expensed in the period in which they are incurred and included in our consolidated statements of income in SG&A. We incurred total advertising costs, including amounts paid to customers for cooperative media and print advertising, of \$46.29, \$51.08 and \$42.87 million during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(r) Shipping and handling revenues and expenses

Shipping and handling expenses are included in our consolidated statements of income in SG&A. These expenses include distribution center costs, third-party logistics costs and outbound transportation costs. Our expenses for shipping and handling totaled \$80.84, \$83.81 and \$74.42 million during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We bill our customers for charges for shipping and handling on certain sales made directly to consumers and retail customers ordering relatively small dollar amounts of product. Such charges are recorded as a reduction of our shipping and handling expense and are not material in the aggregate.

(s) Foreign currency transactions and related derivative financial instruments

The U.S. Dollar is the functional currency for the Company and all its foreign subsidiaries; therefore, we do not have a translation adjustment recorded through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). All our non-U.S. subsidiaries transactions involving other currencies have been re-measured in U.S. Dollars using average exchange rates for the months in which the transactions occurred. In our consolidated statements of income, exchange gains and losses resulting from the remeasurement of foreign taxes receivable, taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

deferred tax liabilities are recognized in their respective income tax lines and all other foreign exchange gains and losses are recognized in SG&A. We recorded net foreign exchange gains (losses), including the impact of currency hedges, of (\$0.95), (\$2.36) and (\$0.67) million in SG&A and (\$0.17), (\$0.04) and \$0.04 million in income tax expense during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In order to manage our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, we use forward currency contracts to exchange foreign currencies for U.S. Dollars at specified rates. We account for these transactions as cash flow hedges, which requires these derivatives to be recorded on the balance sheet at their fair value and that changes in the fair value of the forward exchange contracts are recorded each period in our consolidated statements of income or other comprehensive income (loss), depending on the type of hedging instrument and the effectiveness of the hedges. All our current contracts are cash flow hedges and are adjusted to their fair market values at the end of each fiscal quarter. We evaluate all hedging transactions each quarter to determine that they are effective. Any ineffectiveness is recorded as part of SG&A in our consolidated statements of income. See Notes (11), (12) and (17) to these consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of our hedging activities.

Table of Contents

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(t) Share-based compensation plans

Stock options are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values using an option pricing model at the date of grant. We use a Black-Scholes option-pricing model to calculate the fair value of options. This model requires various judgmental assumptions including volatility, forfeiture rates and expected option life. We estimate forfeitures for option awards at the dates of grant based on historical experience and revise as necessary if actual forfeitures significantly differ from these estimates. Share-based compensation expense is adjusted for estimated forfeitures and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award. Restricted share-based compensation is recognized in the financial statements based on quoted fair values of the shares at the date of grant. See Note (15) to these consolidated financial statements for more information on our share-based compensation plans.

(u) Interest income

Interest income is included in Nonoperating income (expense), net on the consolidated statements of income. Interest income totaled \$0.07, \$0.07 and \$0.30 million in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Interest income is normally earned on cash invested in short-term accounts, cash equivalents, and temporary and long-term investments.

(v) Earnings per share

We compute basic earnings per share using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. We compute diluted earnings per share using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus the effect of dilutive securities. In fiscal years 2014 and 2013, our securities that had dilutive effects consisted of outstanding options to purchase common stock and issued and contingently issuable unvested restricted share units and awards. In fiscal year 2012, our dilutive securities consisted entirely of outstanding options for common stock. See Notes (13) and (15) to these consolidated financial statements for more information regarding these restricted share units and awards. Options for common stock are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share if their effect is antidilutive.

For fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, the components of basic and diluted shares were as follows:

WEIGHTED AVERAGE DILUTED SECURITIES

(in thousands)

Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February,

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding, basic | 32,007 | 31,754 | 31,340 |
| Incremental shares from share-based payment arrangements | 379 | 182 | 365 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted | 32,386 | 31,936 | 31,705 |
| Dilutive securities, as a result of in-the-money options | 488 | 278 | 522 |
| Dilutive securities, as a result of unvested or unsettled share awards due | 322 | 252 | - |
| Antidilutive securities, as a result of out-of-the-money options | 441 | 586 | 349 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 2 NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

Beginning in June 2011, the FASB issued and subsequently amended certain guidance to increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. These amendments eliminated the presentation of components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. Instead, the amended guidance requires the presentation of either a continuous statement of net income and other comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. In addition, the new guidance required numerous, yet less significant changes in the details of the presentation of additional information regarding other comprehensive income on the face of the new statements and in the accompanying footnotes. As permitted, we elected early adoption of these standards for fiscal year 2012 and applied subsequent amendments to all affected disclosures accompanying these financial statements. While these amended standards affect the presentation of other comprehensive income and certain detailed disclosures, they did not impact our financial position or results of operations.

In February 2013, the FASB issued additional guidance on reporting amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). We adopted the guidance during the first quarter of fiscal 2014. Under the new guidance, we are required to present additional details about our reclassifications in a tabular disclosure in the footnotes to our consolidated financial statements. Principally, the disclosures will indicate by key component of AOCI the nature of the reclassifications and the income statement line items affected. While the new guidance has resulted in additional detailed disclosures, it has not impacted our financial position or results of operations. Note (17) to these consolidated financial statements presents new disclosures that have been prepared in accordance with the new guidance.

Unless otherwise discussed above, the Company's management believes that the impact of other recently issued standards that are not yet effective will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows upon adoption.

NOTE 3 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property and equipment is as follows:

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

(in thousands)

| | Estimated Useful Lives (Years) | Last Day of February, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 |
| Land | - | \$ 12,800 | \$ 12,800 |
| Building and improvements | 3 - 40 | 98,660 | 66,994 |
| Computer, furniture and other equipment | 3 - 15 | 60,291 | 58,284 |
| Tools, molds and other production equipment | 1 - 10 | 23,017 | 29,264 |
| Construction in progress | - | 5,865 | 9,149 |
| Property and equipment, gross | | 200,633 | 176,491 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | | (71,516) | (74,775) |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 129,117 | \$ 101,716 |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|

We recorded \$12.23, \$12.03 and \$9.14 million of depreciation expense for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Capital expenditures for property and equipment totaled \$40.12, \$13.61 and \$15.38 million in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We lease certain facilities, equipment and vehicles under operating leases, which expire at various dates through fiscal year 2019. Certain of the leases contain escalation clauses and renewal or purchase options. Rent expense related to our operating leases was \$5.68, \$6.39 and \$5.59 million for fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2014, in connection with our move to a new distribution facility discussed below, we terminated a previously leased distribution facility in Memphis, Tennessee as of October 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

During fiscal year 2014, the Company completed construction of a new 1.3 million square foot distribution facility on approximately 84 acres of land in Olive Branch, Mississippi. Capital expenditures for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 include \$34.03 million and \$4.03 million, respectively, in connection with this project. The new facility will consolidate the distribution operations of our U.S. based Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segment's appliance businesses. We commenced shipments out of the new facility during the first week of September 2013. We are in the process of completing the transition of our domestic Personal Care segment appliance distribution operation to the new facility, which we expect to complete in the first quarter of fiscal year 2015. Remaining capital expenditure commitments in connection with the Personal Care segment appliance transition are not expected to be material. See Note (9) to these consolidated financial statements for related information regarding the debt incurred to fund the construction of the new distribution facility.

NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

We do not record amortization expense for goodwill or other intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives. Amortization expense is recorded for intangible assets with definite useful lives. We perform an annual impairment review of goodwill and other intangible assets during the first quarter of each fiscal year. We also perform interim testing, if necessary, as required by GAAP. We write down any asset deemed to be impaired to its fair value.

The Company's traditional impairment test methodology uses primarily estimated future discounted cash flow models (DCF Models). The DCF Models use a number of assumptions including expected future cash flows from the assets, volatility, risk free rate, and the expected life of the assets, the determination of which require significant judgments from management. In determining the assumptions to be used, the Company considers the existing rates on Treasury Bills, yield spreads on assets with comparable expected lives, historical volatility of the Company's common stock and that of comparable companies and general economic and industry trends, among other considerations. When stock market or other conditions warrant, the Company expands its traditional impairment test methodology to give weight to other methods that provide additional observable market information in order to better reflect the current risk level being incorporated into market prices and in order to corroborate the fair values of each of the Company's reporting units. Management will place increased reliance on these additional methods in conjunction with its DCF Models in the event that the total market capitalization of its stock drops below its consolidated stockholders' equity balance for a sustained period of time.

Considerable management judgment is necessary in reaching a conclusion regarding the reasonableness of fair value estimates, evaluating the most likely impact of a range of possible external conditions, considering the resulting operating changes and their impact on estimated future cash flows, determining the appropriate discount factors to use, and selecting and weighting appropriate comparable market level inputs.

Annual Impairment Testing in the First Quarter of Fiscal Year 2014 - The Company performed our annual evaluation of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment during the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. As a result of our testing of indefinite-lived trademarks and licenses, we recorded a non-cash asset impairment charge of \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax). The charge was related to certain trademarks in our Personal Care segment, which were written down to their estimated fair value, determined on the basis of future discounted cash flows using the relief from royalty valuation method.

Annual Impairment Testing in the First Quarter of Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012 - The Company performed its annual evaluations of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment during the first quarter of fiscal years 2013 and 2012. As a result of its testing, the Company concluded no impairment charges were required in either fiscal year as the estimated fair value of the indefinite-lived trademarks and licenses, reporting unit net assets and the Company's estimated enterprise value exceeded their respective carrying values as of the dates of each evaluation.

Table of Contents**NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The following tables summarize by operating segment the changes in our goodwill and intangible assets for fiscal years

2014 and 2013:

GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

(in thousands)

| Description | Weighted Average Life (Years) | Balances at | | Year Ended February 28, 2014 | | | | | | Balances at | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | February 28, 2013 | | February 28, 2014 | | February 28, 2014 | | February 28, 2014 | | Net Book Value | |
| | | Gross Carrying Amount | Cumulative Goodwill Impairments | Additions | Impairments | Acquisition and Retirement Adjustments | Gross Carrying Amount | Cumulative Goodwill Impairments | Accumulated Amortization | | |
| Housewares: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | \$ 166,132 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 166,132 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 166,132 | |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 75,200 | - | - | - | - | 75,200 | - | - | 75,200 | |
| Other intangibles - finite | 2.7 | 15,609 | - | 339 | - | (255) | 15,693 | - | (11,149) | 4,544 | |
| Total Housewares | | 256,941 | - | 339 | - | (255) | 257,025 | - | (11,149) | 245,876 | |
| Healthcare / Home Environment: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | 251,758 | - | - | - | - | 251,758 | - | - | 251,758 | |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 54,000 | - | - | - | - | 54,000 | - | - | 54,000 | |
| Licenses - finite | 3.0 | 15,300 | - | - | - | - | 15,300 | - | (6,416) | 8,884 | |
| Other Intangibles - finite | 7.6 | 114,490 | - | - | - | - | 114,490 | - | (34,606) | 79,884 | |
| Total Healthcare / Home Environment | | 435,548 | - | - | - | - | 435,548 | - | (41,022) | 394,526 | |
| Personal Care: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | 81,841 | (46,490) | - | - | - | 81,841 | (46,490) | - | 35,351 | |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 75,803 | - | - | (12,049) | - | 63,754 | - | - | 63,754 | |
| Trademarks - finite | 14.6 | 150 | - | - | - | - | 150 | - | (77) | 73 | |
| Licenses - indefinite | | 10,300 | - | - | - | - | 10,300 | - | - | 10,300 | |
| Licenses - finite | 6.5 | 18,683 | - | - | - | - | 18,683 | - | (15,887) | 2,796 | |
| Other intangibles - finite | 4.0 | 49,437 | - | - | - | - | 49,437 | - | (26,563) | 22,874 | |
| Total Personal Care | | 236,214 | (46,490) | - | (12,049) | - | 224,165 | (46,490) | (42,527) | 135,148 | |
| Total | | \$ 928,703 | \$ (46,490) | \$ 339 | \$ (12,049) | \$ (255) | \$ 916,738 | \$ (46,490) | \$ (94,698) | \$ 775,550 | |

GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

(in thousands)

| Description | Weighted Average | Balances at | | Year Ended February 28, 2013 | | | Balances at | |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| | | February 29, 2012 | | February 28, 2013 | | February 28, 2013 | | |
| | | Gross | Cumulative | Acquisition | Gross | Cumulative | | |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| Description / Life | Life (Years) | Carrying Amount | Goodwill Impairments | Goodwill Additions | and Retirement Impairment | Carrying Amount | Goodwill Impairments | Accumulated Net Book Amortization | Net Book Value |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Housewares: | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | \$ 166,132 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 166,132 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 166,132 |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 75,200 | - | - | - | 75,200 | - | - | 75,200 |
| Other intangibles - finite | 3.7 | 15,774 | - | 278 | - | (443) | 15,609 | (10,070) | 5,539 |
| Total Housewares | | 257,106 | - | 278 | - | (443) | 256,941 | (10,070) | 246,871 |
| Healthcare / Home Environment: | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | 250,867 | - | - | - | 891 | 251,758 | - | 251,758 |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 54,000 | - | - | - | - | 54,000 | - | 54,000 |
| Licenses - finite | 4.0 | 14,900 | - | - | - | 400 | 15,300 | (3,455) | 11,845 |
| Other Intangibles - finite | 8.6 | 114,790 | - | - | - | (300) | 114,490 | (23,220) | 91,270 |
| Total Healthcare / Home Environment | | 434,557 | - | - | - | 991 | 435,548 | (26,675) | 408,873 |
| Personal Care: | | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | | 81,841 | (46,490) | - | - | - | 81,841 | (46,490) | 35,351 |
| Trademarks - indefinite | | 75,303 | - | 500 | - | - | 75,803 | - | 75,803 |
| Trademarks - finite | 15.6 | 150 | - | - | - | - | 150 | (72) | 78 |
| Licenses - indefinite | | 10,300 | - | - | - | - | 10,300 | - | 10,300 |
| Licenses - finite | 7.2 | 19,564 | - | - | - | (881) | 18,683 | (15,570) | 3,113 |
| Other intangibles - finite | 5.0 | 49,437 | - | - | - | - | 49,437 | (20,957) | 28,480 |
| Total Personal Care | | 236,595 | (46,490) | 500 | - | (881) | 236,214 | (46,490) | 153,125 |
| Total | | \$ 928,258 | \$ (46,490) | \$ 778 | \$ - | \$ (333) | \$ 928,703 | \$ (46,490) | \$ (73,344) 808,869 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The following table summarizes the amortization expense attributable to intangible assets for the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, as well as estimated amortization expense for the fiscal years 2015 through 2019:

AMORTIZATION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS*(in thousands)***Aggregate Amortization Expense
For the fiscal years ended**

| | | |
|---------------|----|---------------|
| February 2014 | \$ | 21,612 |
| February 2013 | \$ | 22,400 |
| February 2012 | \$ | 20,069 |

**Estimated Amortization Expense
For the fiscal years ended**

| | | |
|---------------|----|--------|
| February 2015 | \$ | 21,021 |
| February 2016 | \$ | 20,838 |
| February 2017 | \$ | 20,523 |
| February 2018 | \$ | 16,704 |
| February 2019 | \$ | 12,000 |

Many of the license agreements under which we sell or intend to sell products with trademarks owned by other entities require that we pay minimum royalties. Some license agreements also require that we make minimum levels of advertising expenditures. For fiscal year 2015, estimated minimum royalties due and minimum advertising expenditures under these license agreements total \$12.69 and \$5.06 million, respectively.

NOTE 5 ACQUISITIONS

PUR Acquisition - On December 30, 2011, we completed an asset and stock purchase transaction in which we acquired 100 percent of the stock of PUR Water Purification Products, Inc., and certain other assets and liabilities from The Procter & Gamble Company and certain of its affiliates (P&G) for a net cash purchase price of \$160 million, subject to future adjustments. The acquisition was funded entirely with short-term debt. Significant assets acquired include manufacturing equipment, trademarks, customer lists, distribution rights, patents, and the goodwill of the PUR water filtration business (PUR). PUR s product line includes faucet mount water filtration systems and filters, pitcher systems and filters, and refrigerator filters. We are operating the PUR business in our Healthcare / Home Environment segment and market its products primarily into retail trade channels in the U.S. Goodwill from the acquisition consists largely of the distribution network, marketing synergies and economies of scale that are anticipated from the addition of the new product line.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

In connection with this acquisition, we entered into transitional services and supply agreements whereby P&G or one or more of its affiliates will provide certain short-term services for, and supply certain products to the Company in exchange for specified fees. During fiscal year 2013, we finished using certain of these services and acquired the remaining PUR inventory on-hand from P&G. The remaining transitional agreements were supply agreements that ended during fiscal year 2014.

We accounted for the acquisition as the purchase of a business and recorded the excess purchase price as goodwill. None of the goodwill recognized is deductible for income tax purposes. As of December 31, 2012, we completed our analysis of the economic lives of all the assets acquired and determined the appropriate allocation of the initial purchase price. We assigned the acquired trademarks indefinite economic lives and are amortizing the customer list, patents, trademarks and technology license agreements, and covenant not to compete over expected weighted average lives of approximately 15.0, 12.4, 5.2, and 2.0 years, respectively. For the customer list, we used historical attrition rates to assign an expected life. For patent rights, we used the underlying non-renewable term of a royalty-free license we acquired for the use of patented designs in certain PUR products. Since the trademarks acquired are considered to have indefinite lives, they are not subject to amortization.


Table of Contents

NOTE 5 ACQUISITIONS

The following schedule presents the acquisition date fair value of the net assets of PUR:

PUR NET ASSETS ACQUIRED ON DECEMBER 30, 2011

(in thousands)



The fair values of the PUR assets acquired were estimated by applying income and market approaches. The fair value measurement of the intangible assets are based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market and, therefore, represent Level 3 measurements. Key assumptions included various discount rates based upon a 15.20 percent weighted average cost of capital, a royalty rate of 7 percent used in the determination of the trademark fair value, royalty rates of 0.50 to 1.00 percent used in the determination of patent estate values, and customer attrition rates of 5 percent per year used in the determination of customer list values.

NOTE 6 SHORT-TERM DEBT

The Company has entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement", as amended) dated December 30, 2010 with Bank of America, N.A., and the other lenders which was amended on February 7, 2014 ("Credit Agreement Amendment"). The Credit Agreement Amendment, among other things, increased the unsecured revolving commitment of the Credit Agreement from \$250 million to \$375 million, subject to the certain terms and limitations described below. The Credit Agreement Amendment also eliminated the maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth financial covenant. Finally, the Credit Agreement Amendment modified the limitation on the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases. Specifically, the Company may declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases if, after giving effect to the dividends or share repurchases, the Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement) is not greater than (i) 2.75 to 1.00 prior to the date that the compliance certificate for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2015 is required to have been delivered under the Credit Agreement, and (ii) 2.50 to 1.00 thereafter. The Credit Agreement Amendment does not modify the terms of the Credit Agreement under which repayment may be accelerated or increased.

The commitment under the Credit Agreement terminates on December 30, 2015. Borrowings accrue interest under one of two alternative methods as described in the Credit Agreement. With each borrowing against our credit line, we can elect the interest rate method based on our funding needs at the time. We also incur loan commitment fees and letter of credit fees under the Credit Agreement. Outstanding letters of credit

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

reduce the borrowing availability under the Credit Agreement on a dollar-for-dollar basis. The Credit Agreement and our other debt are unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. As of February 28, 2014, there was no revolving loan principal balance outstanding and there were \$0.28 million of open letters of credit outstanding against the Credit Agreement. As of February 28, 2014, the amount available for borrowings under the Credit Agreement was \$374.72 million.

Table of Contents

NOTE 6 SHORT-TERM DEBT

The Credit Agreement and our other debt agreements require the maintenance of maximum debt leverage and minimum interest coverage ratios, and contain other customary covenants, which restrict us from incurring liens on any of our properties, except under certain conditions, and place certain limits on the amount of dividends we may pay or shares of common stock we may repurchase, among other things.

The following table contains information about interest rates on short-term debt and weighted average short-term debt outstanding for the periods covered by our consolidated statements of income:

INTEREST RATES ON SHORT-TERM DEBT

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Average short-term debt (1) | \$ 29,680 | \$ 143,100 | \$ 94,060 |
| Average interest rate on short-term debt during each year (2) | 1.3% | 1.7% | 2.2% |
| Interest rate range during each year | 1.2% - 3.6% | 1.6% - 4.0% | 2.0% - 4.0% |
| Weighted average interest rate on short-term debt outstanding at year end | 0.0% | 1.6% | 2.1% |

(1) Average short-term debt is computed as the average of the current and four prior quarters ending balances of our revolving credit facility.

(2) The average interest rate on short-term debt during each year is computed by dividing the total interest expense associated with our revolving credit facility for a fiscal year by the average short-term debt outstanding for the same fiscal year.

See Note (21) to these consolidated financial statements for information regarding the borrowing of a principal amount of \$200 million under our Credit Agreement on March 14, 2014 in connection with the Company's modified Dutch auction tender offer.

NOTE 7 ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

A summary of accrued expenses and other current liabilities is as follows:

ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES*(in thousands)*

| | February 28, 2014 | February 28, 2013 |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Accrued compensation, benefits and payroll taxes | \$ 69,877 | \$ 34,265 |
| Accrued sales returns, discounts and allowances | 25,297 | 22,561 |
| Accrued warranty returns | 19,269 | 23,150 |
| Accrued advertising | 16,414 | 14,554 |
| Accrued product liability, legal and professional fees | 5,705 | 9,061 |
| Accrued royalties | 5,712 | 7,731 |
| Accrued property, sales and other taxes | 6,835 | 5,729 |
| Derivative liabilities, current | 1,596 | 3,044 |
| Liability for uncertain tax positions | 453 | - |
| Other | 5,530 | 13,968 |
| Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$ 156,688 | \$ 134,063 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 OTHER LIABILITIES, NONCURRENT**

A summary of other noncurrent liabilities is as follows:

OTHER LIABILITIES, NONCURRENT

(in thousands)

| | February 28, 2014 | February 28, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred compensation liability | \$ 7,257 | \$6,443 |
| Liability for uncertain tax positions | 13,471 | 15,759 |
| Derivative liabilities | - | 1,780 |
| Other liabilities | 1,038 | 1,760 |
| Total other liabilities, noncurrent | \$ 21,766 | \$25,742 |

NOTE 9 LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of long-term debt is as follows:

LONG-TERM DEBT

(dollars in thousands)

| | Original Date Borrowed | Interest Rates | Matures | February 28, 2014 | February 28, 2013 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|
| \$37.61 million unsecured loan with a state industrial development corporation, interim draws, interest is set and payable quarterly at the Base Rate, as defined below, plus a margin of up to 1.125%, or applicable LIBOR plus a margin of up to 2.125%, as determined by the interest rate elected. Loan subject to holder's call on or after March 1, 2018. Loan can be prepaid without penalty any time after March 20, 2014. | 03/13 | 1.16% | 03/23 | \$ 37,607 | \$ - |
| \$75 million unsecured floating interest rate 10 year Senior Notes. Interest set and payable quarterly at three month LIBOR plus 90 basis points. Principal is due in June 2014. Notes can be prepaid without penalty. (1) | 06/04 | 6.01% | 06/14 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| \$100 million unsecured Senior Notes payable at a fixed interest rate of | 01/11 | 3.90% | 01/18 | 80,000 | 100,000 |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

3.90%. Interest payable semi-annually.
Annual principal payments of \$20 million begin in January 2014.
Prepayment of notes are subject to a make whole premium.

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| Total long-term debt | 192,607 | 175,000 |
| Less current maturities of long-term debt | (96,900) | (20,000) |
| Long-term debt, excluding current maturities | \$ 95,707 | \$ 155,000 |

(1) Floating interest rates have been hedged with an interest rate swap to effectively fix interest rates. Additional information regarding the swap is provided in Note (12) to these consolidated financial statements.

In March 2013, Kaz USA, Inc. (Kaz USA), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Loan

Agreement (the MBFC Loan Agreement), dated as of March 1, 2013, with the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (the MBFC) in connection with the issuance by the MBFC of up to \$38 million of taxable industrial development revenue bonds (the Bonds). The Bonds are issued under a Trust Indenture (the IRB Indenture), between the MBFC and US Bank N.A., as trustee. Interim draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement, accumulating to \$37.61 million, were made through February 28, 2014. There will not be any additional draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement. The Bonds and the related loan to Kaz USA (the MBFC Loan) will bear interest at a variable rate as elected by Kaz USA equal to either (a) a Base Rate plus a margin of 0.00 to 1.125 percent, depending upon the leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing or (b) the respective one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR rate plus 1.00 to 2.125 percent, depending

Table of Contents

NOTE 9 LONG-TERM DEBT

upon the leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. The Base Rate is equal to the highest of (i) the federal funds rate for the day, plus 0.50 percent, (ii) the prime rate of Bank of America, N.A., or (iii) the respective one-, two-, three-, or six-month LIBOR rate plus 1.00 percent. The proceeds of the MBFC Loan have been used by Kaz USA to finance the purchase of land, construction of a distribution facility and the acquisition and installation of equipment, machinery and related assets located in Olive Branch, Mississippi.

The outstanding principal of the MBFC Loan will be payable as follows: \$1.90 million on March 1 in each of 2014, 2015, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022; \$3.80 million on March 1, 2016; \$5.70 million on March 1, 2017; and \$14.81 million on March 1, 2023. Any remaining outstanding principal and interest is due upon maturity on March 1, 2023. The MBFC Loan may be prepaid in whole or part without penalty any time after March 20, 2014. Additionally, Bank of America, N.A., the purchaser of the Bonds, may elect for the MBFC Loan to be prepaid in full on March 1, 2018. Following March 1, 2018, Bank of America, N.A. may elect for the MBFC Loan to be prepaid on March 1 of each subsequent year prior to maturity upon at least 90 days notice. In lieu of any prepayment, the Bonds may be purchased by a transferee, as permitted under the IRB Indenture.

On February 7, 2014, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into an amendment to the guaranty agreement in favor of Bank of America, N.A. relating to the MBFC Loan. The Company's financial covenants under the guaranty agreement are consistent with the covenants contained in the Credit Agreement. Accordingly, the amendment to the guaranty agreement eliminated the maintenance of a minimum consolidated net worth financial covenant and modified the limitation on the Company's ability to declare or pay cash dividends to shareholders or make stock repurchases in the same manner as the Credit Agreement Amendment. The amendment does not modify the terms of the MBFC Loan Agreement or Indenture under which repayment may be accelerated or increased. See Note (6) to these consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the Credit Agreement Amendment.

The fair market value of the fixed rate debt at February 28, 2014 computed using a discounted cash flow analysis was \$83.95 million compared to the \$80 million book value. All other long-term debt has floating interest rates, and its book value approximates its fair value at February 28, 2014.

All of our debt is unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. Our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial covenants, including maximum leverage ratios, minimum interest coverage ratios and minimum consolidated net worth levels (as each of these terms is defined in the various agreements). Our debt agreements also contain other customary covenants, including, among other things, covenants restricting or limiting the Company, except under certain conditions set forth therein, from (1) incurring debt, (2) incurring liens on its properties, (3) making certain types of investments, (4) selling certain assets or making other fundamental changes relating to mergers and consolidations, and (5) repurchasing shares of our common stock and paying dividends.

As of February 28, 2014, our debt agreements effectively limited our ability to incur more than \$391.80 million of additional debt from all sources, including our Credit Agreement. We were in compliance with the terms of these agreements as of February 28, 2014.

The following table contains a summary of the components of our interest expense for the periods covered by our

consolidated statements of income:

INTEREST EXPENSE

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest and commitment fees | \$ 5,609 | \$ 8,858 | \$ 7,670 |
| Deferred finance costs | 912 | 903 | 823 |
| Interest rate swap settlements, net | 3,672 | 3,584 | 4,424 |
| Total interest expense | \$ 10,193 | \$ 13,345 | \$ 12,917 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES

Our components of income before income tax expense are as follows:

COMPONENTS OF INCOME BEFORE TAXES

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|----------|--|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| U.S. | \$ 38,147 | \$ 50,834 | \$ 26,445 |
| Non-U.S. | 68,987 | 84,680 | 99,647 |
| Total | \$ 107,134 | \$ 135,514 | \$ 126,092 |

Our components of income tax expense (benefit) are as follows:

COMPONENTS OF INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| U.S. | | | |
| Current | \$ 24,736 | \$ 26,369 | \$ 5,342 |
| Deferred | (9,021) | (8,776) | 4,630 |
| | 15,715 | 17,593 | 9,972 |
| Non-U.S. | | | |
| Current | 6,254 | 5,464 | 5,204 |
| Deferred | (1,083) | (3,209) | 542 |
| | 5,171 | 2,255 | 5,746 |
| Total | \$ 20,886 | \$ 19,848 | \$ 15,718 |

Our total income tax expense differs from the amounts computed by applying the statutory tax rate to income before income taxes. A summary of these differences are as follows:

INCOME TAX RATE RECONCILIATION

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Expected effective income tax rate at the U.S. statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Impact of U.S. state income taxes and other | 2.2% | -0.2% | 1.5% |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|---------------|--------|--------|
| Decrease in income taxes resulting from income from non-U.S. operations subject to varying income tax rates | -9.3% | -11.4% | -13.6% |
| Effect of zero tax rate in Macau | -12.3% | -8.8% | -9.5% |
| Decrease in income taxes resulting from tax audit settlements | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.9% |
| Effect of asset impairment charges, most of which are non-deductible | 3.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Effective income tax rate | 19.5% | 14.6% | 12.5% |

Each year there are significant transactions or events that are incidental to our core businesses and that by a combination of their nature and jurisdiction, can have a disproportionate impact on our reported effective tax rates. Without these transactions or events, the trend in our effective tax rates would follow a more normalized pattern. The acquisitions of Kaz and PUR have increased the proportion of U.S. taxable income relative to total taxable income, which has resulted in higher effective income tax rates.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES**

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities as of the last day of February 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

COMPONENTS OF DEFERRED TAX ASSETS AND LIABILITIES*(in thousands)*

| | Last Day of February, | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Deferred tax assets, gross: | | |
| Operating loss carryforwards | \$ 17,455 | \$ 21,385 |
| Accounts receivable | 4,068 | 5,885 |
| Inventories | 8,528 | 8,648 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 20,307 | 10,600 |
| Foreign currency contracts, interest rate swaps and deferred exchange gains | 528 | 930 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 50,886 | 47,448 |
| Valuation allowance | (15,602) | (19,040) |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (60,670) | (62,807) |
| Total deferred tax assets (liabilities), net | \$ (25,386) | \$ (34,399) |

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, expected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. In fiscal year 2014, the net decrease in our valuation allowance was \$3.44 million, principally due to the utilization and expiration of operating loss carryforwards previously reserved with a valuation allowance and changes in estimates regarding the value of operating loss carryforwards to be used in the future.

The schedule below shows the composition of our operating loss carryforwards and the approximate future taxable income we will need to generate in order to utilize all carryforwards prior to their expiration.

SUMMARY OF OPERATING LOSS CARRYFORWARDS*(in thousands)*

| | At February 28, 2014 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Tax Year Expiration Date Range | Gross Deferred Tax Assets | Required Future Taxable Income | |
| U.S. operating loss carryforwards | \$ 2,253 | \$ 8,991 | |
| Non-U.S. operating loss carryforwards with definite | | | |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| carryover periods | 2014 - 2029 | 4,063 | 32,368 |
| Non-U.S. operating loss carryforwards with indefinite carryover periods | Indefinite | 11,139 | 37,116 |
| Subtotals | | 17,455 | 78,475 |
| Less portion of valuation allowance established for operating loss carryforwards | | (12,695) | (47,633) |
| Total | | \$ 4,760 | \$ 30,842 |

As of February 28, 2014, subject to the valuation allowances provided, we believe it is more likely than not that we will realize the net benefits of these deferred tax assets. Any future amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during any carryforward periods are reduced.

Table of Contents

NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES

United States Income Taxes - In fiscal year 2012, the Company and the IRS reached an agreement with respect to the U.S. federal income tax returns of Kaz, Inc. and its subsidiaries for tax years 2004 and 2006 resulting in a decrease to fiscal year 2012 tax expense of \$1.13 million. The U.S. federal income tax returns of Kaz, Inc. and its U.S. subsidiaries for tax years 2003, 2007 and 2008 continue to be under examination as of February 28, 2014.

During fiscal year 2012, the Company received notices of proposed adjustments related to Kaz's 2007 and 2008 tax years. The Company is protesting the adjustments and does not expect them to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

During fiscal year 2014, the IRS began an audit of the U.S. Federal income tax returns of Helen of Troy Texas Corporation and its subsidiaries for the 2011 and 2012 tax years. As of February 28, 2014, no adjustments have been proposed.

Hungary Income Taxes - The Company is currently under audit in Hungary with respect to the 2005, 2006 and 2009 tax years and has received notices of proposed adjustments for each year. We are currently challenging these adjustments through judicial proceedings and have recorded an unrecognized tax benefit of \$2.99 million.

Income Tax Provisions - We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments must be used in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities because of differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes. We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable. As changes occur in our assessments regarding our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, our tax provision is increased in any period in which we determine that the recovery is not probable.

Uncertainty in Income Taxes - The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. When there is uncertainty in a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, a liability is recorded for the amount of the position that could be challenged and overturned through any combination of audit, appeals or litigation processes. This amount is determined through criteria and a methodology prescribed by GAAP and is referred to as an unrecognized tax benefit. In the period these liabilities are established, we record an associated charge to our provision for taxes. If based on new information in a later period, we determine that payment of these amounts are not probable, or that the recorded tax liability differs from what we expect the ultimate assessment to be, we adjust the liability accordingly and recognize a related tax benefit or expense.

During fiscal years 2014 and 2013, changes in the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

UNRECOGNIZED TAX BENEFITS

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Total unrecognized tax benefits, beginning balance | \$ 15,759 | \$ 13,213 |
| Changes in tax positions taken during a prior period | 536 | 3,194 |
| Changes due to lapse in statute of limitations | (2,363) | (466) |
| Impact of foreign currency remeasurement on unrecognized tax benefits in the current period | 216 | (182) |
| Changes resulting from agreements with taxing authorities | (224) | - |
| Total unrecognized tax benefits, ending balance | 13,924 | 15,759 |
| Less current unrecognized tax benefits | (453) | - |
| Noncurrent unrecognized tax benefits | \$ 13,471 | \$ 15,759 |

We do not expect any additional material changes to our existing unrecognized tax benefits during the next twelve months resulting from any issues currently pending with tax authorities.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES**

The Company classifies all interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions as income tax expense. As of February 28, 2014 and February 28, 2013, the liability for tax-related interest expense and penalties included in unrecognized tax benefits was \$2.66 and \$2.45 million for interest expense and \$1.48 and \$1.43 million for penalties, respectively. Additionally, the 2014, 2013 and 2012 provisions for income tax include combined tax-related interest and penalties expense of \$0.56, \$1.03 and \$1.25 million, respectively.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states and foreign jurisdictions. As of February 28, 2014, tax years under examination or still subject to examination by material tax jurisdictions are as follows:

| Jurisdiction | Tax Years Under Examination | Open Tax Years |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Mexico | 2008 | 2008 - 2013 |
| United Kingdom | - None - | 2013 - 2014 |
| United States * | 2003, 2007, 2008, 2011, 2012 | 2003, 2007, 2008, 2011 - 2014 |
| Switzerland | - None - | 2007 - 2014 |
| Hong Kong | - None - | 2006 - 2014 |
| France | 2007 - 2010 | 2007 - 2014 |
| Hungary | 2005, 2006, 2009 | 2005, 2006, 2009 - 2014 |

* Kaz, Inc. and its U.S. subsidiaries are under examination for the 2003, 2007 and 2008 tax years. Helen of Troy Texas Corporation and its subsidiaries are currently under examination for the 2011 and 2012 tax years.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE**

The following tables present the fair value hierarchy of our financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis as of the last day of February 2014 and 2013:

FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES*(in thousands)*

| Description | Fair Values at | | Quoted Prices in | | Significant Other | |
|--|-------------------|---------|----------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| | February 28, 2014 | | Active Markets | | Observable | |
| | | | for Identical Assets | | Market Inputs | |
| | | | (Level 1) | | (Level 2) | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Money market accounts | \$ | 1,549 | \$ | 1,549 | \$ | - |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,549 | \$ | 1,549 | \$ | - |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt - fixed rate (1) | \$ | 83,951 | \$ | - | \$ | 83,951 |
| Long-term debt - floating rate | | 112,607 | | - | | 112,607 |
| Interest rate swaps and foreign currency contracts | | 1,596 | | - | | 1,596 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 198,154 | \$ | - | \$ | 198,154 |

| Description | Fair Values at | | Quoted Prices in | | Significant Other | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| | February 28, 2013 | | Active Markets | | Observable | |
| | | | for Identical Assets | | Market Inputs | |
| | | | (Level 1) | | (Level 2) | |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Money market accounts | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | - |
| Foreign currency contracts | | 496 | | - | | 496 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,587 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | 496 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt - fixed rate (1) | \$ | 105,725 | \$ | - | \$ | 105,725 |
| Long-term debt - floating rate | | 75,000 | | - | | 75,000 |
| Interest rate swaps | | 4,824 | | - | | 4,824 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 185,549 | \$ | - | \$ | 185,549 |

(1) Debt values are reported at estimated fair value in these tables but are recorded in the accompanying consolidated

balance sheets at the undiscounted value of remaining principal payments due.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these items. Money market accounts are included in cash and cash equivalents in the accompanying

consolidated balance sheets and are classified as Level 1 items.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

We classify our fixed and floating rate debt as Level 2 items because the estimation of the fair market value of these financial assets requires the use of a discount rate based upon current market rates of interest for obligations with comparable remaining terms. Such comparable rates are considered significant other observable market inputs. The fair market value of the fixed rate debt was computed using a discounted cash flow analysis and discount rates at February 28, 2014 and 2013 of 1.75 and 1.83, respectively. All other long-term debt has floating interest rates, and its book value approximates its fair value as of the reporting date.

We use derivatives for hedging purposes and our derivatives are primarily foreign currency contracts and interest rate swaps. We determine the fair value of our derivative instruments based on Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy. See Notes (1), (12) and (17) to these consolidated financial statements for more information on our hedging activities.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11 FAIR VALUE**

The Company's other non-financial assets include goodwill and other intangible assets, which we classify as Level 3 items. These assets are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as part of the Company's impairment assessments and as circumstances require. As discussed in Note (4) to these consolidated financial statements, in connection with our annual impairment testing during the first quarter of fiscal year 2014, we recorded a non-cash asset impairment charge of \$12.05 million (\$12.03 million after tax). The charge related to certain trademarks in our Personal Care segment, which were written down to their estimated fair value, determined on the basis of future discounted cash flows using the relief from royalty valuation method. The table below presents other non-financial assets measured on a non-recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

OTHER NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS
FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS USING SIGNIFICANT UNOBSERVABLE INPUTS (Level 3)
(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balances | \$ 808,869 | \$ 829,500 |
| Total gains / income (losses / expense): | | |
| Included in net income - realized | (33,403) | (22,400) |
| Acquired during the period | 339 | 778 |
| Acquisition adjustments and retirements during the period | (255) | 991 |
| Ending balances | \$ 775,550 | \$ 808,869 |

NOTE 12 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Foreign Currency Risk - Our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. By operating internationally, we are subject to foreign currency risk from transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar (foreign currencies). Such transactions include sales, certain inventory purchases and operating expenses. As a result of such transactions, portions of our cash, trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable are denominated in foreign currencies. For the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, 14.7, 14.7 and 15.6 percent, respectively, of our net sales revenue was in foreign currencies. These sales were primarily denominated in British Pounds, Euros, Mexican Pesos, Canadian Dollars, Australian Dollars, Peruvian Soles, and Venezuelan Bolivares Fuertes. We make most of our inventory purchases from the Far East and use the U.S. Dollar for such purchases. In our consolidated statements of income, exchange gains and losses resulting from the remeasurement of foreign taxes receivable, taxes payable, deferred tax assets, and deferred tax liabilities, are recognized in their respective income tax lines, and all other foreign exchange gains and losses are recognized in SG&A. We recorded net foreign exchange gains (losses), including the impact of currency hedges, of (\$0.95), (\$2.36) and (\$0.67) million in SG&A and (\$0.17), (\$0.04) and \$0.04 million in income tax expense during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We have historically hedged against certain foreign currency exchange rate-risk by using a series of forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to protect against the foreign currency exchange risk inherent in our forecasted transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. We do not enter into any forward exchange contracts or similar instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk - Interest on our outstanding debt as of February 28, 2014 is both floating and fixed. Fixed rates are in place on \$80 million of Senior Notes at 3.90 percent and floating rates are in place on \$37.61 million of draws under the MBFC Loan Agreement and \$75 million of Senior Notes due June 2014. If short-term interest rates increase, we may incur higher interest rates on any outstanding balances under the Credit Agreement. The floating rate Senior Notes due June 2014 reset as described in Note (9) to these consolidated financial statements, and have been effectively converted to fixed rate debt using an interest rate swap (the swap), as described below.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

As of February 28, 2014, we had a swap that converted aggregate notional principal of \$75 million from floating interest rate payments under our Senior Notes due June 2014 to fixed interest rate payments at 6.01 percent. In the swap transaction, we maintain contracts to pay fixed rates of interest on an aggregate notional principal amount of \$75 million at a rate of 5.11 percent on our Senior Notes due June 2014, while simultaneously receiving floating rate interest payments set at 0.25 percent as of February 28, 2014 on the same notional amounts. The fixed rate side of the swap will not change over the life of the swap. The floating rate payments are reset quarterly based on three month LIBOR. The resets occur at the same time as the interest payments made on the underlying debt. Changes in the spread between the fixed rate payment side of the swap and the floating rate receipt side of the swap offset 100 percent of the change in any period of the underlying debt's floating rate payments. The swap is used to reduce the Company's risk of increased interest costs; however, when interest rates drop significantly below the swap rates, we lose the benefit that our floating rate debt would provide, if not managed with a swap. The swap is considered 100 percent effective.

The following table summarizes the fair values of our various derivative instruments at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

FAIR VALUES OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS*(in thousands)*

| Designated as hedging instruments | Hedge Type | February 28, 2014 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Final Settlement | Notional | Prepaid Expenses and Other Current | Accrued Expenses and Other Current | Other Liabilities, |
| | | Date | Amount | Assets | Liabilities | Noncurrent |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Euro | Cash flow | 6/2014 | 2,850 | \$ - | \$ 89 | \$ - |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Pounds | Cash flow | 11/2014 | £ 4,250 | - | 280 | - |
| Interest rate swap | Cash flow | 6/2014 | \$ 75,000 | - | 1,227 | - |
| Total fair value | | | | \$ - | \$ 1,596 | \$ - |

| Designated as hedging instruments | Hedge Type | February 28, 2013 | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Final Settlement | Notional | Prepaid Expenses and Other Current | Accrued Expenses and Other Current | Other Liabilities, |
| | | Date | Amount | Assets | Liabilities | Noncurrent |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Euro | Cash flow | 10/2013 | 7,050 | \$ 239 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Foreign currency contracts - sell Pounds | Cash flow | 11/2013 | £ 3,000 | 257 | - | - |
| Interest rate swap | Cash flow | 6/2014 | \$ 75,000 | - | 3,044 | 1,780 |
| Total fair value | | | | \$ 496 | \$ 3,044 | \$ 1,780 |

The pre-tax effect of derivative instruments for the fiscal years 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

PRE-TAX EFFECT OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

(in thousands)

| | Gain / (Loss) Recognized in OCI (effective portion) | | Location | Fiscal Years Ended Gain / (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss into Income | | Location | Gain / (Loss) Recognized as Income (1) | |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|----------|---|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | 2014 | 2013 | | 2014 | 2013 |
| Currency contracts - cash flow hedges | \$ (962) | \$ (132) | SG&A | \$ (98) | \$ (629) | SG&A | \$ - | \$ (44) |
| Interest rate swaps - cash flow hedges | (111) | (103) | Interest expense | (3,707) | (3,833) | | | |
| Total | \$ (1,073) | \$ (235) | | \$ (3,805) | \$ (4,462) | | \$ - | \$ (44) |

(1) The amounts shown represent the ineffective portion of the change in fair value of cash flow hedges.

Table of Contents**NOTE 12 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

We expect pre-tax net losses of \$0.37 million associated with foreign currency contracts, and \$1.23 million associated with our interest rate swap, currently reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss to be reclassified into expense over the next nine months. The amount ultimately realized, however, will differ as exchange rates change and the underlying contracts settle. See Notes (1), (11) and (17) to these consolidated financial statements for more information on our hedging activities.

Counterparty Credit Risk - Financial instruments, including foreign currency contracts and interest rate swaps, expose us to counterparty credit risk for nonperformance. We manage our exposure to counterparty credit risk by dealing with counterparties who are substantial international financial institutions with significant experience using such derivative instruments. Although our theoretical credit risk is the replacement cost at the then-estimated fair value of these instruments, we believe that the risk of incurring credit risk losses is remote.

Risks Inherent in Cash and Cash Equivalents - Our cash and cash equivalents are subject to interest rate risk, credit risk and liquidity risk. Cash consists of interest-bearing, non-interest-bearing and short-term investment accounts. Cash

equivalents consist of money market investment accounts. The following table summarizes our cash and cash equivalents

at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013:

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

(in thousands)

| | February 28, 2014 | | February 28, 2013 | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | Carrying | Range of | Carrying | Range of |
| | Amount | Interest | Amount | Interest Rates |
| | | Rates | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Cash, interest and non-interest-bearing accounts - unrestricted | \$ 65,884 | 0.00 to 1.10% | \$ 9,568 | 0.00 to 1.30% |
| Cash, interest and non-interest-bearing accounts - restricted | 2,594 | 0.00 to 0.50% | 2,183 | 0.00 to 0.75% |
| Money market funds | 1,549 | 0.30 to 0.80% | 1,091 | 0.35 to 0.37% |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | \$ 70,027 | | \$ 12,842 | |

Our cash balances at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013 include restricted cash of \$2.59 and \$2.18 million, respectively, denominated in Venezuelan Bolivares Fuertes, shown above under the heading Cash, interest and non-interest-bearing accounts restricted. The balances arise from our operations within the Venezuelan market. Until we are able to repatriate cash from Venezuela, we intend to use these cash balances in-country to continue to fund operations. We do not otherwise rely on these restricted funds as a source of liquidity.

In February 2013, the Venezuelan government devalued its currency from 4.30 per U.S. Dollar to 6.30 per U.S. Dollar. As a result of this devaluation, we recorded a \$1.41 million unfavorable exchange rate impact in SG&A for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

At the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013, our cash equivalents were in money market accounts, therefore, we believe there was no material interest rate risk associated with these holdings.

NOTE 13 OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Indemnity Agreements - Under agreements with customers, licensors and parties from whom we have acquired assets or entered into business combinations, we indemnify these parties against liability associated with our products. Additionally, we are party to a number of agreements under leases where we indemnify the lessor for liabilities attributable to our actions or conduct. The indemnity agreements to which we are a party do not, in general, increase our liability for claims related to our products or actions and have not materially affected our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13 OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Employment Contracts - During fiscal year 2012, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Gerald J. Rubin, our former Chief Executive Officer and President (the former CEO). On January 14, 2014, the Company and the former CEO entered into a separation agreement (the Separation Agreement). Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, the former CEO ceased serving as the Chief Executive Officer and President and resigned as a director of the Company, effective January 14, 2014, but remained an employee of the Company through February 28, 2014. The former CEO's employment with the Company was considered a termination without cause under the terms of his employment agreement. As a result, in connection with the termination of his employment, Mr. Rubin will only receive the amounts or payments due to him under the employment agreement for a termination of employment without cause as of February 28, 2014. As a result of the Separation Agreement, the Company recorded a charge of \$16.34 million (after tax) in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014, which accrued for liabilities and associated legal and administrative costs as a result of the separation.

We have entered into employment contracts with certain officers, including an employment agreement with Mr. Mininberg effective March 1, 2014, in connection with his appointment as the Company's new CEO. These agreements provide for minimum salary levels, potential incentive bonuses, and in some cases, performance based awards. These agreements also specify varying levels of salary continuation and / or severance compensation dependant on certain circumstances such as involuntary termination for other than cause or involuntary termination due to a change of control. In some cases, the expiration dates for these agreements are indefinite, unless terminated by either party. At February 28, 2014, the estimated aggregate commitment for potential future compensation and / or severance pursuant to all continuing employment contracts, was approximately \$7.68 million, payable over varying terms for the next two years.

International Trade - We purchase most of our appliances and a significant portion of other products that we sell from unaffiliated manufacturers located in the Far East, mainly in China. With most of our products being manufactured in the Far East, we are subject to risks associated with trade barriers, currency exchange fluctuations and social, economic and political unrest. In recent years, increasing labor costs, regional labor dislocations driven by new government policies, local inflation, changes in ocean cargo carrier capacity and costs, the impact of energy prices on transportation, and the appreciation of the Chinese Renminbi against the U.S. Dollar have resulted in fluctuations in our cost of goods sold. In the past, certain Chinese suppliers have closed operations due to economic conditions that pressured their profitability. Any future supplier closings could cause periodic disruptions in delivery of certain items that can affect our sales. Although we have multiple sourcing partners for many of our products, occasionally we are unable to source certain items on a timely basis due to changes occurring with our suppliers. We believe supplier contraction continues to be a trend in our industry. We also believe that we could source similar products outside China, if necessary, and we continuously explore expanding sourcing alternatives in other countries. However, the relocation of any production capacity could require substantial time and increased costs.

Customer Incentives - We regularly enter into arrangements with customers whereby we offer various incentives, including incentives in the form of volume rebates. Our estimate of the liability for such incentives is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets on the line entitled Accrued expenses and other current liabilities, and in Note (7) included in the lines entitled Accrued sales returns, discounts and allowances and Accrued advertising and are based on incentives applicable to sales occurring up to the respective balance sheet dates.

Other Matters - We are involved in various legal claims and proceedings in the normal course of operations. We believe

the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Table of Contents**NOTE 13 OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments - Our contractual obligations and commercial commitments at the end of fiscal year 2014 were:

PAYMENTS DUE BY PERIOD - TWELVE MONTHS ENDED THE LAST DAY OF FEBRUARY:

(in thousands)

| | Total | 2015 1 year | 2016 2 years | 2017 3 years | 2018 4 years | 2019 5 years | After 5 years |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Term debt - fixed rate | \$ 80,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Term debt - floating rate (1) | 112,607 | 76,900 | 1,900 | 3,800 | 5,700 | 1,900 | 22,407 |
| Long-term incentive plan payouts | 11,145 | 5,300 | 3,801 | 2,044 | - | - | - |
| Interest on fixed rate debt | 7,384 | 3,016 | 2,236 | 1,456 | 676 | - | - |
| Interest on floating rate debt (1) | 4,066 | 1,927 | 390 | 347 | 281 | 259 | 862 |
| Open purchase orders | 188,770 | 188,770 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long-term purchase commitments | 2,957 | 836 | 606 | 606 | 606 | 303 | - |
| Minimum royalty payments | 78,632 | 12,689 | 12,731 | 12,545 | 9,674 | 9,488 | 21,505 |
| Advertising and promotional | 49,969 | 6,685 | 5,160 | 5,263 | 5,368 | 5,476 | 22,017 |
| Operating leases | 10,656 | 3,849 | 2,472 | 1,780 | 1,287 | 1,268 | - |
| Capital spending commitments | 423 | 423 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total contractual obligations (2) | \$ 546,609 | \$ 320,395 | \$ 49,296 | \$ 47,841 | \$ 43,592 | \$ 18,694 | \$ 66,791 |

(1) The Company uses an interest rate swap in conjunction with its unsecured floating rate, \$75 million, Senior Notes due June 2014. The swap hedges the variable LIBOR rates used to reset the floating rates on these Senior Notes. The swap effectively fixes the interest rates on the Senior Notes due June 2014 at 6.01 percent.

(2) In addition to the contractual obligations and commercial commitments in the table above, as of February 28, 2014, we have recorded a provision for uncertain tax positions of \$13.92 million. We are unable to reliably estimate the timing of most of the future payments, if any, related to our uncertain tax positions; therefore, we have excluded these tax liabilities from the table above.

NOTE 14 REPURCHASE OF HELEN OF TROY COMMON STOCK

As of February 6, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a resolution to repurchase \$550 million of the Company's outstanding common stock in keeping with its stated intention to return to shareholders excess capital not otherwise deployed for strategic acquisitions. This share repurchase authorization supersedes the remaining previously outstanding share repurchase authorization, which was 2,907,637 shares of common stock as of February 6, 2014. On February 10, 2014, as part of the \$550 million repurchase program, the Company announced the commencement of a modified Dutch auction tender offer to repurchase up to \$300 million of its common stock. The tender offer expired March 10, 2014, resulting

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

in the Company accepting for payment all shares of common stock properly tendered and not properly withdrawn for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$245.6 million. See Note (21) to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the completion of the Dutch auction tender offer.

Our current equity compensation plans include provisions that allow for the net exercise of stock options by all plan participants. In a net exercise, any required payroll taxes, federal withholding taxes and exercise price of the shares due from the option or other share-based award holders can be paid for by having the holder tender back to the Company a number of shares at fair value equal to the amounts due. Net exercises are accounted for by the Company as a purchase and retirement of shares.

Table of Contents**NOTE 14 REPURCHASE OF HELEN OF TROY COMMON STOCK**

For the periods covered in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, open market repurchase activity and common stock option exercises resulted in the following share repurchases:

SHARE REPURCHASES

| | Fiscal Years Ended | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Common stock repurchased on the open market | | | |
| Number of shares | 33,862 | 61,426 | - |
| Aggregate market value of shares (in thousands) | \$ 1,311 | \$ 1,759 | \$ - |
| Average price per share | \$ 38.71 | \$ 28.64 | \$ - |
| Common stock received in settlement of share-based compensation | | | |
| Number of shares | 112,677 | 49,126 | 1,124,563 |
| Aggregate market value of shares (in thousands) | \$ 6,937 | \$ 1,627 | \$ 40,047 |
| Average price per share | \$ 61.57 | \$ 33.12 | \$ 35.61 |

During fiscal year 2012, our former CEO tendered 1,016,227 shares of common stock having a market value of \$36.52 million, or \$35.93 per share, as payment for the exercise price and related federal tax obligations arising from the exercise of stock options to purchase 1,625,000 shares of common stock. The shares tendered as part of this transaction are included as fiscal year 2012 common stock repurchase activity in the preceding table.

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2014, our former CEO tendered 9,898 shares of common stock having a market value of \$0.35 million as payment for related federal tax obligations arising from the vesting and settlement of performance-based restricted share units (Performance RSUs). On February 28, 2014, our former CEO tendered 92,683 shares of common stock having a market value of \$6.05 million as payment for related federal tax obligations arising from the vesting and settlement of Performance RSUs and restricted share awards (RSAs). The shares were originally awarded based on the achievement of fiscal year 2013 performance targets and vested on February 28, 2014 in accordance with the terms of his Employment and Separation Agreements.

We account for shares tendered in settlement of share-based compensation transactions as a purchase and retirement of the shares.

NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

We have equity awards outstanding under two expired share-based compensation plans. The expired plans consist of an employee stock option and restricted stock plan adopted in 1998 (the 1998 Plan) and a non-employee director stock option plan adopted in 1995 (the 1995 Directors Plan).

We also have equity awards outstanding under three active share-based compensation plans. The plans consist of the Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, an employee stock option and restricted stock plan (the 2008 Stock Incentive Plan), the Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan, a non-employee director restricted stock plan (the 2008 Directors Plan), and the Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the 2008 Stock Purchase Plan). These plans are described below. The plans are administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, which consists of non-employee directors who are independent under the NASDAQ Stock Market listing standards.

Expired Plans

The 1998 Plan - The plan covered a total of 6,750,000 shares of common stock for issuance to key officers and employees. The 1998 Plan provided for the grant of options to purchase our common stock at a price equal to or greater than the fair market value on the grant date. The 1998 Plan contained provisions for incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted share grants. Generally, options granted under the 1998 Plan become exercisable immediately or over one-, four-, or five-year vesting periods and expire on dates ranging from seven to ten years from the date of grant.

Table of Contents

NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The 1998 Plan expired by its terms on August 25, 2008. As of February 28, 2014, 30,100 shares of common stock subject to options were outstanding under the plan.

The 1995 Directors Plan - The plan covered a total of 980,000 shares of common stock for issuance to non-employee members of the Board of Directors. We granted options under the 1995 Directors Plan at a price equal to the fair market value of our common stock at the date of grant. Options granted under the 1995 Directors Plan vest one year from the date of issuance and expire ten years after issuance. The 1995 Directors Plan expired by its terms on June 6, 2005. As of February 28, 2014, options to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock were outstanding under the plan.

Active Plans

The 2008 Stock Incentive Plan - The plan covers a total of 3,750,000 shares of common stock for issuance to key officers, employees and consultants of the Company. Generally, options granted under the 2008 Stock Incentive Plan will become exercisable over four- or five-year vesting periods and will expire on dates ranging from seven to ten years from the date of grant. The plan will expire by its terms on August 19, 2018. As of February 28, 2014, 788,831 shares of common stock subject to options were outstanding, 100,000 Performance RSUs remained outstanding but were vested on April 22, 2014 and 2,350,699 shares remained available for future issue under the plan.

On March 1, 2012, our former CEO was granted 700,000 Performance RSUs with a fair value of \$32.88 per share subject to performance and service conditions. 100,000 Performance RSUs were earned in fiscal year 2013 and were vested and settled in fiscal year 2014 in accordance with the terms of his Employment and Separation Agreements. Based on the achievement of performance targets in connection with his fiscal year 2013 annual bonus, the former CEO was also awarded 159,666 RSAs having a fair value at the date of the award of \$35.55 per share. These shares were awarded on April 22, 2013 and vested on February 28, 2014 in accordance with the terms of his Employment and Separation Agreements.

Our former CEO earned 100,000 Performance RSUs and 62,304 RSAs for fiscal year 2014 performance having a fair value at the date of the award of \$67.10 per share. These Performance RSUs and RSAs vested on April 22, 2014 per the terms of his Employment and Separation Agreements. For further information regarding the Separation Agreement, see Note (13) to these consolidated financial statements under the subheading Employment Contracts.

The 2008 Directors Plan - The plan covers a total of 175,000 shares of common stock for issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units or other stock-based awards to non-employee members of our Board of Directors. Awards granted under the 2008 Directors Plan will be subject to vesting schedules and other terms and conditions as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. Currently, the Board's stock ownership guidelines require restricted stock granted to directors to be held until their departure from the Board. The plan will expire by its terms on August 19, 2018. As of February 28, 2014, 58,624 shares of restricted stock have been granted and 116,376 shares remained available for future issue under the plan. Under the 2008 Directors Plan, for the fiscal years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company granted 10,512, 10,512 and 18,000 shares of restricted stock, respectively, to certain members of our Board of Directors having weighted average fair values at the date of grant of \$41.26, \$31.54 and \$29.48 per share for each year, respectively. The restricted stock awards

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

vested immediately, were valued at the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant and accordingly, were expensed at the time of the grants.

The 2008 Stock Purchase Plan - The plan covers a total of 350,000 shares of common stock for issuance to our employees. Under the terms of the plan, employees may authorize the withholding of up to 15 percent of their wages or salaries to purchase our shares of common stock. The purchase price for shares acquired under the 2008 Stock Purchase Plan is equal to the lower of 85 percent of the share's fair market value on either the first day of each option period or the last day of each period. The plan will expire by its terms on September 1, 2018. Shares of common stock purchased under the 2008 Stock Purchase Plan vest immediately at the time of purchase. Accordingly, the fair value award associated with their discounted purchase price is expensed at the time of purchase. During fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, plan participants

Table of Contents**NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS**

acquired a total of 41,328, 39,728 and 41,868 shares of common stock at average prices of \$32.66, \$26.68 and \$24.17 per share, respectively. As of February 28, 2014, 158,213 shares remained available for future issue under this plan.

The Company recorded share-based compensation expense in SG&A for each of the fiscal years covered by our consolidated statements of income as follows:

SHARE-BASED PAYMENT EXPENSE

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|---|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Stock options | \$ 2,380 | \$ 2,298 | \$ 2,061 |
| Directors stock compensation | 619 | 473 | 531 |
| Performance based restricted stock awards (1) | 7,968 | 2,988 | - |
| Performance based restricted stock units (2) | 5,478 | - | - |
| CEO separation compensation (3) | 15,000 | - | - |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 424 | 296 | 336 |
| Share-based payment expense | 31,869 | 6,055 | 2,928 |
| Less income tax benefits | (5,709) | (858) | (99) |
| Share-based payment expense, net of income tax benefits | \$ 26,160 | \$ 5,197 | \$ 2,829 |
| Earnings per share impact of share based payment expense: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.82 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.09 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.09 |

The table above includes the following awards recognized in accordance with the terms of our former CEO's Employment and Separation Agreements:

(1) RSAs of 159,666 for fiscal year 2013 with a fair value at the date of the award of \$35.55 per share, vested and settled on February 28, 2014, and 62,304 RSAs for fiscal year 2014 with a fair value at the date of the award of \$67.10 per share, vested on April 22, 2014.

(2) Performance RSUs of 66,600 for fiscal year 2013 and 100,000 for fiscal year 2014, having a fair value at the date of each grant of \$32.88.

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

(3) \$15 million in aggregate fair value of shares of common stock, to be settled on September 1, 2014 per the terms of the Separation Agreement with our former CEO.

The fair value of all share-based payment awards are estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions for the fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012:

ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR FAIR VALUE OF STOCK OPTION GRANTS

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Range of risk free interest rates used | 0.6% - 1.3% | 0.1% - 0.9% | 0.6% - 1.5% |
| Expected dividend rate | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Weighted average volatility rate | 38.8% | 51.4% | 52.5% |
| Range of expected volatility rates used | 34.0% - 41.7% | 45.7% - 55.3% | 51.4% - 65.9% |
| Range of expected terms used (in years) | 4.1 - 4.4 | 4.1 - 4.4 | 4.1 - 4.4 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS**

The following describes how certain assumptions above are determined and affect the estimated fair value of options or discounted employee share purchases (share-based payments). The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury securities with maturities equal to the expected life of the share-based payments. The dividend yield is computed as zero because the Company has not historically paid dividends nor does it expect to do so at this time. Expected volatility is based on a weighted average of the market implied volatility and historical volatility over the expected life of the underlying share-based payments. The Company uses its historical experience to estimate the expected life of each stock-option grant and also to estimate the impact of exercise, forfeitures, termination, and holding period behavior for fair value expensing purposes.

A summary of stock option activity under all the Company s share-based compensation plans follows:

SUMMARY OF STOCK OPTION ACTIVITY

(in thousands, except contractual term and per share data)

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price (per share) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (per share) | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|--------------------|
| Outstanding at March 1, 2011 | 2,510 | \$ 17.64 | \$ 6.40 | 3.05 | \$ 26,054 |
| Grants | 379 | 32.71 | 13.13 | | |
| Exercises | (1,907) | 16.10 | 36,912 | | |
| Forfeitures / expirations | (111) | 27.91 | | | |
| Outstanding at February 29, 2012 | 871 | 26.26 | 10.31 | 5.78 | 5,570 |
| Grants | 309 | 34.57 | 14.09 | | |
| Exercises | (248) | 22.88 | 2,634 | | |
| Forfeitures / expirations | (68) | 30.23 | | | |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2013 | 864 | 29.89 | 11.98 | 6.26 | 6,209 |
| Grants | 264 | 36.45 | 11.61 | | |
| Exercises | (239) | 25.36 | 4,663 | | |
| Forfeitures / expirations | (50) | 33.55 | | | |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2014 | 839 | \$ 33.03 | \$ 12.38 | 6.48 | \$ 27,081 |
| Exercisable at February 28, 2014 | 111 | \$ 25.85 | \$ 10.05 | 4.06 | \$ 4,389 |

A summary of non-vested stock option activity and changes under all the Company s share-based compensation plans

follows:

NON-VESTED STOCK OPTION ACTIVITY

(in thousands, except per share data)

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | Non-Vested Options | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (per share) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Outstanding at March 1, 2011 | 496 | \$ 8.42 |
| Grants | 379 | 13.13 |
| Vested or forfeited | (258) | 9.19 |
| Outstanding at February 29, 2012 | 617 | 10.99 |
| Grants | 309 | 14.09 |
| Vested or forfeited | (237) | 10.29 |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2013 | 689 | 12.62 |
| Grants | 264 | 11.61 |
| Vested or forfeited | (225) | 11.06 |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2014 | 728 | \$ 12.74 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

A summary of restricted stock unit activity and changes under the Company's 2008 Stock Incentive Plan follows:

SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED STOCK UNIT ACTIVITY

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Restricted Stock Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value (per share) | Fair Value Outstanding |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Outstanding at March 1, 2012 | - | \$ - | - |
| Granted | 700 | 32.88 | |
| Vested | - | - | |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2013 | 700 | 32.88 | 25,956 |
| Vested (1) | (100) | 32.88 | |
| Forfeited (2) | (500) | 32.88 | |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2014 (3) | 100 | \$ 32.88 | \$ 6,531 |

The schedule above includes the following awards and forfeitures recognized in accordance with the terms of our former CEO's Employment and Separation Agreements:

(1) Includes 100,000 fiscal year 2013 Performance RSUs. 33,400 vested and settled on April 22, 2013 at a fair value of \$35.55 per share and 66,600 vested and settled on February 28, 2014 at a fair value of \$65.31 per share.

(2) 500,000 Performance RSUs were forfeited.

(3) Includes 100,000 fiscal year 2014 Performance RSUs, which were vested and settled on April 22, 2014 at a fair value of \$67.10 per share.

A summary of restricted stock award activity under all the Company's share-based compensation plans follows:

SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED STOCK AWARD ACTIVITY

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Restricted Stock | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|---|------------|
|--|---------------------|---|------------|

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | Awards | (per share) | Outstanding |
|--|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Due for issue at March 1, 2012 | - | \$ - | - |
| Earned (2) | 160 | 35.55 | |
| Vested and issued | - | - | |
| Due for Issue at February 28, 2013 (2) | 160 | \$ 35.55 | \$ 5,920 |
| Earned (1) | 62 | 67.10 | |
| Vested and issued | (160) | 35.55 | |
| Due for issue at February 28, 2014 (1) | 62 | \$ 67.10 | \$ 4,073 |

The schedule above includes the following awards earned based on fiscal years 2014 and 2013 performance and vested in accordance with the terms of our former CEO's Employment and Separation Agreements:

(1) Fiscal year 2014 RSAs, which vested on April 22, 2014.

(2) Fiscal year 2013 RSAs, were vested on February 28, 2014.

For further information regarding the former CEO's Separation Agreement, see Note (13) to these consolidated financial statements under the subheading Employment Contracts.

Table of Contents

NOTE 15 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

A summary of our total unrecognized share-based compensation expense as of February 28, 2014 is as follows:

UNRECOGNIZED SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

(in thousands, except weighted average expense period data)

| | Unrecognized Compensation Expense | Weighted Average Period of Recognition (in months) |
|---------------|--|---|
| Stock options | \$ 6,127 | 30.9 |

NOTE 16 DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

We sponsor defined contribution savings plans in the U.S. and other countries where we have employees. Total matching contributions made to these savings plans for the fiscal years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$2.89, \$2.60 and \$2.37 million, respectively.

NOTE 17 ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss by component and related tax effects for fiscal years 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

CHANGES IN ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS BY COMPONENT

(in thousands)

| | Unrealized Holding Gains (Losses) On Cash Flow Hedges | | | Total |
|--|--|---|----------------|--------------|
| | Interest Rate Swaps (1) | Foreign Currency Contracts (2) | | |
| Balance at February 29, 2012 | \$ (5,559) | \$ (30) | \$ (5,589) | |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassification | (103) | (132) | (235) | |
| Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income | 3,833 | 673 | 4,506 | |
| Tax effects | (1,306) | (105) | (1,411) | |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 2,424 | 436 | 2,860 | |
| Balance at February 28, 2013 | (3,135) | 406 | (2,729) | |
| Other comprehensive income before reclassification | (111) | (962) | (1,073) | |
| Amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income | 3,707 | 98 | 3,805 | |
| Tax effects | (1,258) | 164 | (1,094) | |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 2,338 | (700) | 1,638 | |
| Balance at February 28, 2014 | \$ (797) | \$ (294) | \$ (1,091) | |

(1) Includes net deferred tax benefits of \$0.43 and \$1.69 million at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Includes net deferred tax benefits (expense) of \$0.08 and (\$0.09) million at the end of fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively.

See Notes (1), (11) and (12) to these consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our hedging activities.

Table of Contents**NOTE 17 ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

The amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into the consolidated statements of income, with presentation location for each of the fiscal years covered by our consolidated statements of income, are as follows:

PRE-TAX EFFECT OF RECLASSIFICATIONS FROM ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

| Comprehensive Income Components | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | | Income Statement Location |
|--|--|----------|----------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 3,707 | \$ 3,833 | \$ 4,424 | Interest expense |
| Foreign currency contracts | 98 | 673 | 307 | Selling, general and administrative expense |
| Total amounts reclassified | \$ 3,805 | \$ 4,506 | \$ 4,731 | |

NOTE 18 SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

Selected unaudited quarterly financial data is as follows:

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | May | August | November | February | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Fiscal 2014: | | | | | |
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 304,516 | \$ 319,387 | \$ 380,730 | \$ 312,520 | \$ 1,317,153 |
| Gross profit | 120,165 | 123,255 | 147,701 | 125,582 | 516,703 |
| Asset impairment charges | 12,049 | - | - | - | 12,049 |
| Net income | 14,392 | 23,318 | 37,524 | 11,014 | 86,248 |
| Earnings per share (1) | | | | | |
| Basic | 0.45 | 0.73 | 1.17 | 0.34 | 2.69 |
| Diluted | 0.45 | 0.72 | 1.16 | 0.34 | 2.66 |

(1) Earnings per share calculations for each quarter are based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding for each period, and the sum of the quarterly amounts may not necessarily equal the annual earnings per share amounts.

NOTE 19 FOURTH QUARTER CHARGES / TRANSACTIONS

Fiscal Year 2014 - Our results for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2014 included a charge of \$16.34 million (after tax) in

connection with payments, including associated legal and administrative costs, required as a result of our former CEO's separation from service, as further discussed in Notes (13), (14) and (15) to these consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal Year 2013 - Our results for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013 did not contain any transactions of a non-routine

nature other than the \$1.41 million foreign currency devaluation adjustment, as further discussed in Note (12) to these

consolidated financial statements.

Fiscal Year 2012 - Our results for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012 included the acquisition of PUR business from P&G and related financing transactions, as further discussed in Note (5) to these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTE 20 SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The following table contains segment information for fiscal years covered by our consolidated financial statements:

SEGMENT INFORMATION

(in thousands)

| Fiscal Year 2014 | Housewares | Healthcare / Home Environment | Personal Care | Total |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 274,478 | \$ 568,075 | \$ 474,600 | \$ 1,317,153 |
| Asset impairment charges | - | - | 12,049 | 12,049 |
| Operating income | 50,828 | 20,764 | 45,508 | 117,100 |
| Identifiable assets | 369,698 | 676,131 | 487,473 | 1,533,302 |
| Capital and intangible asset expenditures | 851 | 22,934 | 16,678 | 40,463 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,539 | 20,152 | 11,059 | 34,750 |

| Fiscal Year 2013 | Housewares | Healthcare / Home Environment | Personal Care | Total |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 259,042 | \$ 538,666 | \$ 490,555 | \$ 1,288,263 |
| Operating income | 49,612 | 37,772 | 61,389 | 148,773 |
| Identifiable assets | 362,378 | 645,586 | 466,040 | 1,474,004 |
| Capital and intangible asset expenditures | 1,269 | 7,795 | 5,624 | 14,688 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,903 | 17,417 | 13,008 | 35,328 |

| Fiscal Year 2012 | Housewares | Healthcare / Home Environment | Personal Care | Total |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| Sales revenue, net | \$ 237,376 | \$ 447,695 | \$ 496,605 | \$ 1,181,676 |
| Operating income | 44,884 | 32,350 | 62,152 | 139,386 |
| Identifiable assets | 362,045 | 619,369 | 454,309 | 1,435,723 |
| Capital and intangible asset expenditures | 1,781 | 4,042 | 10,228 | 16,051 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,672 | 12,502 | 11,004 | 30,178 |

We compute operating income for each segment based on net sales revenue, less cost of goods sold, SG&A, and any asset impairment charges associated with the segment. The SG&A used to compute each segment's operating income is directly associated with the segment, plus overhead expenses that are allocable to the segment. We make allocations of overhead between operating segments using a number of relevant allocation criteria, depending on the nature of the expense, the most significant of which are relative revenues, estimates of relative labor expenditures, headcount, and facility square footage. We do not allocate nonoperating income and expense, including interest or income taxes to operating segments.

In fiscal year 2012, we began making an allocation of corporate overhead to the Healthcare / Home Environment

segment. In fiscal years 2014 and 2013, we made certain additional cost allocations to the Healthcare / Home Environment segment that were not made in fiscal year 2012 due to continued operational and system integration. These additional allocations are costs of corporate and operating functions that are shared by our segments. For fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, allocations totaled \$28.44, \$16.69 and \$6.02 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 20 SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Our domestic and international net sales revenue and long-lived assets were as follows:

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

(in thousands)

| | Fiscal Years Ended the Last Day of February, | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| SALES REVENUE, NET: | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,019,525 | \$ 1,014,354 | \$ 906,884 |
| International | 297,628 | 273,909 | 274,812 |
| Total | \$ 1,317,153 | \$ 1,288,263 | \$ 1,181,676 |
| LONG-LIVED ASSETS: | | | |
| United States | \$ 444,788 | \$ 515,411 | \$ 525,537 |
| International: | | | |
| Barbados | 324,399 | 398,340 | 406,213 |
| Other international | 148,639 | 15,048 | 15,437 |
| Subtotal | 473,038 | 413,388 | 421,650 |
| Total | \$ 917,826 | \$ 928,799 | \$ 947,187 |

The table above classifies assets based upon the country where we hold legal title.

Worldwide sales to our largest customer and its affiliates accounted for approximately 19, 19 and 20 percent of our net sales revenue in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales to this customer are made within the Personal Care and Healthcare / Home Environment segments. Of these sales, approximately 92, 91 and 93 percent were within the U.S. during fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Sales to our second largest customer accounted for approximately 11 percent of our net sales revenue in each of the fiscal years ending 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Sales to this customer are made across all segments, primarily within the United States and Canada. No other customers accounted for 10 percent or more of net sales revenue during those fiscal years.

NOTE 21 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 14, 2014, the Company completed its modified Dutch auction tender offer resulting in the repurchase of 3,693,816 shares of its outstanding common stock at a final purchase price of \$66.50 per share. The proceeds from borrowing \$200 million under the Credit Agreement and \$45.64 million of cash on hand were used to pay for all shares of common stock properly tendered and not properly withdrawn for an

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

aggregate purchase price of approximately \$245.64 million (not including fees and expenses relating to the tender offer). The shares repurchased represented approximately 11.5 percent of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares as of February 5, 2014.

On March 20, 2014, the Company concluded its borrowings under the MBFC Loan Agreement, which fixed the principal balance at \$37.61 million. Since the aggregate maximum principal under the MBFC Loan Agreement was not borrowed, the final payment of the repayment schedule outlined in Note (9) to these consolidated financial statements was adjusted from \$15.20 million previously disclosed to \$14.81 million.

Table of Contents**HELEN OF TROY LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES****Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts***(in thousands)*

| Description | Beginning Balance | Charged to cost and expenses (1) | Additions | | Deductions (3) | Ending Balance |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--------|----------------|-------------------|
| | | | Net charge (credit) to sales revenue (2) | | | |
| Year ended February 28, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Allowances for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,764 | \$ 400 | \$ - | \$ 37 | \$ - | \$ 2,127 |
| Allowances for back-to-stock returns | 3,267 | - | (715) | - | - | 2,552 |
| Year ended February 28, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Allowances for doubtful accounts | \$ 1,811 | \$ 188 | \$ - | \$ 235 | \$ - | \$ 1,764 |
| Allowances for back-to-stock returns | 3,730 | - | (463) | - | - | 3,267 |
| Year ended February 29, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Allowances for doubtful accounts | \$ 2,108 | \$ 548 | \$ - | \$ 845 | \$ - | \$ 1,811 |
| Allowances for back-to-stock returns | 2,040 | - | 1,690 | - | - | 3,730 |

(1) Represents periodic charges to the provision for doubtful accounts.

(2) Represents net charges (credits) during the period to sales returns and allowances.

(3) Represents write-offs of doubtful accounts net of recoveries of previously reserved amounts.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act as of February 28, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management's report on internal control over financial reporting and the attestation report on internal controls over financial reporting of the independent registered public accounting firm required by this item are set forth under Item 8., Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report on pages 60 through 61, and are incorporated herein by reference.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In connection with the evaluation described above, we identified no change in our internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended February 28, 2014, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Appointment of Chief Financial Officer, Chief Operations Officer and Chief Legal Officer

Effective May 1, 2014, the Board of Directors has appointed Brian L. Grass, currently serving as the Company's Vice President and Assistant Chief Financial Officer, to serve as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. Mr. Grass, age 44, has served as the Vice President and Assistant Chief Financial Officer of the Company since July 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Grass spent seven years in public accounting at KPMG LLP and six years in various financial leadership roles at Tenet Healthcare Corporation, a healthcare services company. In connection with his appointment to serve as the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Grass's annual base salary was set at \$350,000 and he is eligible to receive an annual performance bonus targeted at 60% of his annual base salary. He is also eligible to receive a mix of performance-based and time vested long-term incentive awards in the form of equity targeted at \$280,000 in value.

Effective May 1, 2014, the Board of Directors also appointed Thomas J. Benson, currently serving as the Company's Chief Financial Officer, to serve as the Chief Operations Officer of the Company. Mr. Benson, age 56, served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since August 2003. Mr. Benson served as Chief Financial Officer of Elamex, S.A. de C.V., a provider of manufacturing and shelter services, from June 2002 to August 2003, and as Chief Financial Officer of Franklin Connections / Azar Nut Company, a manufacturer, packager and distributor of candy and nut products, from May 1994 to June 2002. He has served as an investments director in two private investment firms and spent seven years in public accounting. He received his B.S. from St. Mary's College and his Masters Degree of Taxation from DePaul University. In connection with his appointment to serve as the Company's Chief Operations Officer, Mr. Benson's annual base salary was increased to \$600,000 and he is eligible to receive an annual performance bonus targeted at 75% of his annual base salary. He is also eligible to receive a mix of performance-based and time vested long-term incentive awards in the form of equity targeted at \$500,000 in value.

Effective May 1, 2014, the Board of Directors has also appointed Vincent D. Carson, currently serving as the Company's General Counsel and Senior Vice President, to serve as the Chief Legal Officer of the Company. In connection with his appointment to serve as the Company's Chief Legal Officer, Mr. Carson's annual base salary was increased to \$375,000 and he is eligible to receive an annual performance bonus targeted at 50% of his annual base salary. He is also eligible to receive a mix of performance-based and time vested long-term incentive awards in the form of equity targeted at \$438,000 in value.

The performance goals and other terms of the bonus and equity awards of Mr. Grass, Mr. Benson and Mr. Carson discussed above are subject to the review and approval of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. There are no family relationships between Mr. Grass and Mr. Benson and any director, executive officer or any person nominated or chosen by the Company to become a director or executive officer. There are no related person transactions (within the meaning of Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K) between Mr. Grass or Mr. Benson and the Company.

Indemnification Agreements

On April 23, 2014, the Board of Directors approved an Indemnification Agreement (the "Indemnification Agreement") to be entered into with the directors and certain officers of the Company, including the Company's executive officers. The Indemnification Agreement, among other things, requires the Company to (1) indemnify a director or officer against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of his or her status or service as a

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

director or officer, (2) to advance his or her expenses incurred as a result of a proceeding as to which he may be indemnified and (3) to cover such person under any directors and officers liability insurance policy the Company chooses to maintain. The Indemnification Agreement also contains other provisions customary for such agreements. The Indemnification Agreement is intended to provide indemnification rights to the fullest extent permitted under Bermuda law and in addition to any rights the directors or officers may have under the Company's By-laws, as amended. The foregoing description of the Indemnification Agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Indemnification Agreement which is filed as Exhibit 10.1 hereto.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information in our Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement) is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 10, as noted below:

- Information about our Directors who are standing for re-election is set forth under Election of Directors ;
- Information about our executive officers is set forth under Executive Officers ;
- Information about our Audit Committee, including members of the committee, and our designated audit committee financial experts is set forth under Corporate Governance and Board Committees and Meetings ; and
- Information about Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance is set forth under Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance.

We have adopted a Code of Ethics governing our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial and Principal Accounting Officer, and finance department members. The full text of our Code of Ethics is published on our website, at www.hotus.com, under the Investor Relations-Corporate Governance caption. We intend to disclose future amendments to, or waivers from, certain provisions of this Code on our website or in a current report on Form 8-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information set forth under the captions Director Compensation ; Executive Compensation ; Compensation Discussion and Analysis ; Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation ; and Report of the Compensation Committee in our Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 11.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

Information set forth under the captions Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Executive Compensation in our Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 12.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information set forth under the captions Certain Relationships - Related Person Transactions ; Corporate Governance ; and Board Committees and Meetings in our Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 13.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information set forth under the caption Audit and Other Fees Paid to our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in our Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference in response to this Item 14.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a) 1. Financial Statements: See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on page 59 of this report
2. Financial Statement Schedule: See Schedule II on page 103 of this report
3. Exhibits

The exhibit numbers succeeded by an asterisk (*) indicate exhibits physically filed with this Form 10-K. The exhibit numbers succeeded by an asterisk (**) indicate exhibits furnished with this Form 10-K that are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability. All other exhibit numbers indicate exhibits filed by incorporation by reference. Exhibit numbers succeeded by a cross () are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

| | |
|--------|---|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of December 8, 2010, among Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, KI Acquisition Corp., Kaz, Inc., the Company, and the Kaz, Inc. shareholders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2010). |
| 3.1 | Memorandum of Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 33-73594, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 30, 1993 (the 1993 S-4)). |
| 3.2 | Bye-Laws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 2007, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2007). |
| 10.1 * | Form of Indemnification Agreement. |
| 10.2 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) North American Appliances License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Helen of Troy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending November 30, 1992 (the November 1992 10-Q)). |
| 10.3 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) International Appliances License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.4 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) North American Comb and Brush License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.5 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) International Comb and Brush License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.6 | First Amendment to RCPC North America Appliance License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Helen of Troy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year Ending February 28, 1993 (the 1993 10-K)). |
| 10.7 | First Amendment to RCPC North America Comb and Brush License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.8 | First Amendment to RCPC International Appliance License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.9 | First Amendment to RCPC International Comb and Brush License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.10 | Helen of Troy Limited 1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File Number 333-67369, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 17, 1998). |
| 10.11 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy Limited 1995 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 1999). |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------|--|
| 10.12 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy 1997 Cash Bonus Performance Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2008 (the 2008 Proxy Statement)). |
|-------|--|

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------|--|
| 10.13 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated June 29, 2004, by and among the Company, Helen of Troy L.P., Helen of Troy Limited (Barbados) and the purchasers listed in Schedule A thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 2, 2004). |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy Limited 1998 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 15, 2005). |
| 10.15 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2006 (the 2006 10-K)). |
| 10.16 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the 2006 10-K). |
| 10.17 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the 2008 Proxy Statement). |
| 10.18 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the 2008 Proxy Statement). |
| 10.19 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for the Company's 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2009). |
| 10.20 | Credit Agreement dated December 30, 2010, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2011). |
| 10.21 | Guaranty, dated December 31, 2010, made by the Company, Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, HOT Nevada, Inc., Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, Idelle Labs Ltd., OXO International Ltd., Helen of Troy Macao Commercial Offshore Limited, Kaz, Inc., Kaz USA, Inc., and Kaz Canada, Inc., in favor of Bank of America, N.A. and other lenders, pursuant to the Credit Agreement, dated December 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2011). |
| 10.22 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated January 12, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, and the purchasers party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2011). |
| 10.23 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated January 14, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2011). |
| 10.24 | First Amendment to the Helen of Troy 1997 Cash Bonus Performance Plan, dated February 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2011). |
| 10.25 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2011). |
| 10.26 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 14, 2011). |
| 10.27 | Helen of Troy Limited 2011 Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 14, 2011). |
| 10.28 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated December 15, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 19, 2011). |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------|---|
| 10.29 | Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, dated March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2012). |
| 10.30 | Loan Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2013, by and between Kaz USA, Inc. and Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013). |
| 10.31 | Guaranty Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2013, by Helen of Troy Limited and certain of its subsidiaries in favor of Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013). |
| 10.32 | Trust Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2013 between Mississippi Business Finance Corporation and Deutsche Bank National Trust, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013). |
| 10.33 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2013 (the 2013 10-K)). |
| 10.34 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for the Company's 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the 2013 10-K). |
| 10.35 | Employment Agreement among Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Limited and Julien Mininberg, dated January 14, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014). |
| 10.36 | Separation Agreement among Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Limited, Helen of Troy Limited (a Barbados company) and Gerald J. Rubin, dated January 14, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014). |
| 10.37 | Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated February 7, 2014, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2014). |
| 10.38 | First Amendment to Guaranty Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2014, made by Helen of Troy, L.P., Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, HOT Nevada, Inc, Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, Idelle Labs Ltd., OXO International Ltd., Helen of Troy Macao Commercial Offshore Limited, Kaz, Inc., Kaz USA, Inc., Kaz Canada, Inc., and Pur Water Purification Products, Inc., in favor of Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2014). |
| 21* | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| 23.1* | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Grant Thornton LLP. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32** | Joint certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HELEN OF TROY LIMITED

By: /s/ Julien R. Mininberg

Julien R. Mininberg
Chief Executive Officer and Director
April 29, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Julien R. Mininberg

Julien R. Mininberg
Chief Executive Officer, Director and Principal Executive Officer
April 29, 2014

/s/ Thomas J. Benson

Thomas J. Benson
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Financial Officer
April 29, 2014

/s/ Richard J. Oppenheim

Richard J. Oppenheim
Financial Controller and Principal Accounting Officer
April 29, 2014

/s/ Timothy F. Meeker

Timothy F. Meeker
Director, Chairman of the Board
April 29, 2014

/s/ Gary B. Abromovitz

Gary B. Abromovitz
Director, Deputy Chairman of the Board
April 29, 2014

/s/ John B. Butterworth

John B. Butterworth
Director
April 29, 2014

/s/ William F. Susetka

William F. Susetka
Director
April 29, 2014

/s/ Adolpho R. Telles

Adolpho R. Telles
Director
April 29, 2014

/s/ Darren G. Woody

Darren G. Woody
Director
April 29, 2014

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

The exhibit numbers succeeded by an asterisk (*) indicate exhibits physically filed with this Form 10-K. The exhibit numbers succeeded by an asterisk (**) indicate exhibits furnished with this Form 10-K that are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability. All other exhibit numbers indicate exhibits filed by incorporation by reference.

Exhibit numbers succeeded by a cross () are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

| | |
|--------|---|
| 2.1 | Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of December 8, 2010, among Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, KI Acquisition Corp., Kaz, Inc., the Company, and the Kaz, Inc. shareholders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2010). |
| 3.1 | Memorandum of Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 33-73594, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 30, 1993 (the 1993 S-4)). |
| 3.2 | Bye-Laws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 2007, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2007). |
| 10.1 * | Form of Indemnification Agreement. |
| 10.2 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) North American Appliances License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Helen of Troy Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending November 30, 1992 (the November 1992 10-Q)). |
| 10.3 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) International Appliances License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.4 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) North American Comb and Brush License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.5 | Revlon Consumer Products Corporation (RCPC) International Comb and Brush License Agreement dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the November 1992 10-Q). |
| 10.6 | First Amendment to RCPC North America Appliance License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Helen of Troy Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the year Ending February 28, 1993 (the 1993 10-K)). |
| 10.7 | First Amendment to RCPC North America Comb and Brush License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.8 | First Amendment to RCPC International Appliance License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.9 | First Amendment to RCPC International Comb and Brush License Agreement, dated September 30, 1992 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the 1993 10-K). |
| 10.10 | Helen of Troy Limited 1998 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, File Number 333-67369, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 17, 1998). |
| 10.11 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy Limited 1995 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending August 31, 1999). |
| 10.12 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy 1997 Cash Bonus Performance Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2008 (the 2008 Proxy Statement)). |
| 10.13 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated June 29, 2004, by and among the Company, Helen of Troy L.P., Helen of Troy Limited (Barbados) and the purchasers listed in Schedule A thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 2, 2004). |
| 10.14 | Amended and Restated Helen of Troy Limited 1998 Stock Option and Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 15, 2005). |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------|--|
| 10.15 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2006 (the 2006 10-K)). |
| 10.16 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the 2006 10-K). |
| 10.17 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the 2008 Proxy Statement). |
| 10.18 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to the 2008 Proxy Statement). |
| 10.19 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for the Company's 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2009). |
| 10.20 | Credit Agreement dated December 30, 2010, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2011). |
| 10.21 | Guaranty, dated December 31, 2010, made by the Company, Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, HOT Nevada, Inc., Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, Idelle Labs Ltd., OXO International Ltd., Helen of Troy Macao Commercial Offshore Limited, Kaz, Inc., Kaz USA, Inc., and Kaz Canada, Inc., in favor of Bank of America, N.A. and other lenders, pursuant to the Credit Agreement, dated December 30, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2011). |
| 10.22 | Note Purchase Agreement, dated January 12, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, and the purchasers party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2011). |
| 10.23 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated January 14, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 18, 2011). |
| 10.24 | First Amendment to the Helen of Troy 1997 Cash Bonus Performance Plan, dated February 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 18, 2011). |
| 10.25 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, dated September 13, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 16, 2011). |
| 10.26 | Helen of Troy Limited 2008 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 14, 2011). |
| 10.27 | Helen of Troy Limited 2011 Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, File Number 001-14669, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 14, 2011). |
| 10.28 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated December 15, 2011, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 19, 2011). |
| 10.29 | Restricted Stock Unit Agreement between the Company and Gerald J. Rubin, dated March 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2012). |
| 10.30 | Loan Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2013, by and between Kaz USA, Inc. and Mississippi Business Finance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013). |
| 10.31 | Guaranty Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2013, by Helen of Troy Limited and certain of its subsidiaries in favor of Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013). |

Edgar Filing: HELEN OF TROY LTD - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| | |
|----------|---|
| 10.32 | Trust Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2013 between Mississippi Business Finance Corporation and Deutsche Bank National Trust, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 26, 2013) . |
| 10.33 | Form of Helen of Troy Limited Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 29, 2013 (the 2013 10-K)). |
| 10.34 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for the Company's 2008 Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the 2013 10-K) |
| 10.35 | Employment Agreement among Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Limited and Julien Mininberg, dated January 14, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014). |
| 10.36 | Separation Agreement among Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Limited, Helen of Troy Limited (a Barbados company) and Gerald J. Rubin, dated January 14, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 16, 2014). |
| 10.37 | Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated February 7, 2014, by and among Helen of Troy, L.P., the Company, Bank of America, N.A., JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2014). |
| 10.38 | First Amendment to Guaranty Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2014, made by Helen of Troy, L.P., Helen of Troy Limited, a Barbados company, HOT Nevada, Inc, Helen of Troy Nevada Corporation, Helen of Troy Texas Corporation, Idelle Labs Ltd., OXO International Ltd., Helen of Troy Macao Commercial Offshore Limited, Kaz, Inc., Kaz USA, Inc., Kaz Canada, Inc., and Pur Water Purification Products, Inc., in favor of Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2014). |
| 21* | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| 23.1* | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Grant Thornton LLP. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32** | Joint certification of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |