YORK RESEARCH CORP Form 10-K405 June 21, 2001

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One) [X]	ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF	. ,	
For the fiscal ye	ar ended	February 28, 2001	
	OR		
[ ]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF	TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE 1934	
For the transitio	n period from	to	
	Commission file	number 0-72	
	York Research Co	rporation	
(Exa	ct name of registrant as sp	ecified in its charter)	
Delaware		06-0608633	
(State or other j of incorporation		(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)	
280 Park Avenue,	Suite 2700 West, New York,	New York 10017	
(Address of princ	ipal executive offices)	(Zip Code)	
Registrant's tele	phone number, including are	a code (212) 557-6200	
Securities regist	ered pursuant to Section 12	(b) of the Act:	
Title of	each class	Name of each exchange on which registered	
N	one		
Securities regist	ered pursuant to Section 12	(g) of the Act:	
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share			
	(Title of cl	ass)	
required to be fi of 1934 during th	led by Section 13 or 15(d) e preceding 12 months (or f	strant (1) has filed all reports of the Securities and Exchange Act or such shorter period that the ), and (2) has been subject to such	

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to

filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  $_{\rm X}$  No

Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of May 21, 2001 was as follows:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value - \$48,091,058

(Based on the closing price of \$3.75 for the common stock on such date.)

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of May 21, 2001 was as follows:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value - 16,262,697 shares

Documents incorporated by reference: Part III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2001 Annual Meeting.

#### SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Report, including certain statements in the "Business" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" sections, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this Report, the words "believe", "anticipate", "intend", "plan", "seek", "will be", "expects", "estimates", "projects" and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements regarding future events and/or the future financial performance of the Company are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which could cause actual events or the actual future results of the Company to differ materially from any forward-looking statements. Certain of these risks include changes in the markets in which the Company operates, price volatility, interest rate volatility, construction risks, currency risks (in the case of offshore projects and procurement), disturbances in the capital markets which interfere with the Company's ability to finance its projects, changes in applicable regulations, or change in supply or demand for energy and energy projects, as well as possible adverse consequences resulting from the Chapter 11 filing of NAEC, claims by creditors of NAEC against the Company and the possible sale or refinancing of certain of the Company's assets. See Item 1A. In light of the significant risks and uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such statements should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the objective and plans of the Company will be achieved.

2

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

#### A. General

York Research Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, "York", the "Company" or "we") is a developer, owner and marketer of environmentally friendly ("Greenenergy") projects and products. Through our subsidiaries, partnerships, joint ventures and affiliates, we are in the business of developing, constructing and operating energy production facilities, including those that utilize natural gas as fuel to produce thermal and electric power ("cogeneration"), and renewable energy projects that convert wind energy into transmittable electric power (collectively, "Greenpower").

Within our Greenpower business, there are five facilities currently in commercial operation: in New York City, a 38 Megawatt ("MW") Warbasse cogeneration facility (the "Warbasse facility") and a 286 MW Brooklyn Navy Yard cogeneration facility (the "BNY facility"), in Big Spring, Texas a 34 MW wind energy facility (the "Big Spring facility") and a 6.6 MW wind energy project (the "West Texas project") and a 225 MW natural gas fueled power project in the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago (the "Trinidad project"). Other power projects, both domestic and international, are in earlier stages of development.

York's Greenpower project development strategy is to increase development activities selectively around the world, giving priority to negotiated rather than publicly bid opportunities. We believe that the market for wind energy projects will grow as wind power becomes increasingly competitive as a source of energy, as a function of its price and environmental benefits.

On August 4, 1998, a \$150,000,000, 12% portfolio bond financing due October 30, 2007 was completed. This financing provided funding for construction and completion of the Big Spring facility and the Trinidad project, and other bond related costs, and future development activities. This financing was underwritten by Credit Suisse First Boston ("CSFB") and is non-recourse to York but is secured by certain assets and cash flow related to the BNY and Warbasse facilities, as well as all of the cash flow and assets of the Big Spring facility and Trinidad project.

On March 2, 2000, North American Energy Conservation, Inc. ("NAEC"), an 85% owned subsidiary of the Company, filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code with The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Reference is made to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 2, 2000 for a description of the background and circumstances surrounding the NAEC filing. As of February 28, 2000, the Company accounted for the NAEC wholesale and retail natural gas marketing business as a discontinued operation, as well as the electric marketing business, which was discontinued previously. On April 20, 2000 NAEC sold its retail natural gas marketing business to Amerada Hess Corporation (see Note 17).

York has guaranteed approximately \$46 million of the total pre-petition debt of NAEC. York and NAEC have conducted extensive discussions with both the guaranteed and non-guaranteed creditor groups and had arrived at a settlement agreement, which was approved by the bankruptcy court on January 8, 2001. The Company expects to settle these obligations with the formation and funding of a trust. For details see Notes 2 and 19.

York with the help of CSFB and other consultants, is pursuing several alternative means, including the potential sale of all or part of York's interest in various projects, of raising the funds necessary to fund the trust and meet its other ongoing obligations.

3

There can be no assurance that the efforts of the Company to raise sufficient funds will be successful and therefore there can also be no assurance that the trust will be formed. See Item 3 below as to litigation involving the NAEC creditors, and Item 7.

 $\,$  All note references are to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### B. Greenpower

#### 1. Big Spring Renewable Energy Facility

On October 21, 1997, York acquired 100% of the partnership interest in New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership, whose significant asset was a power purchase agreement ("PPA") with Texas Utilities Electric Company ("TU Electric").

York began commercial operation of this wind power project in May 1999 in accordance with the PPA. The facility has a capacity of 34~MW and includes 46~turbines, including four 1,650 Kilowatt ("kW") wind turbines ("V-66 turbines").

#### 2. Trinidad Project

On February 12, 1998, InnCOGEN Limited ("InnCOGEN"), a wholly owned indirect Trinidadian subsidiary of York, signed a 30 year PPA with Trinidad and Tobago Electricity Commission ("T&TEC"), the government owned transmission and distribution company, under which T&TEC will purchase the bulk of the project output. The Company constructed a 225 MW natural gas fueled combustion turbine project which achieved commercial operation in September 1999 in accordance with the PPA. Fixed capacity payments, primarily tied to U.S. inflation rates, constitute the majority of project revenues. T&TEC has the obligation to supply and pay for fuel for the project, thereby eliminating InnCOGEN's fuel risk on the project. T&TEC's obligations under the PPA are supported by a guarantee of the Trinidad government. The Trinidad project may also supply energy to several proposed new industrial developments. The Trinidad facility utilizes three

General Electric turbines.

#### 3. West Texas Renewable Energy Project

On February 26, 1999 a second PPA was signed with TU Electric for 6.6 MW of capacity from a wind energy facility to be located on property adjacent to the Big Spring facility.

The Company has developed and is operating this second facility, which is owned by a partnership of York and Primesouth, Inc. The new contract requires TU Electric to buy all of the power generated by the four V-66 turbines, the largest commercially operating in North America, for 15 years plus options for two additional five-year periods, in a similar manner to the Big Spring facility. Power from this facility will be dedicated for use in Waco, Texas, as part of a renewable energy program called "TU Renew" being offered to customers of TU Electric/Lone Star Gas.

#### 4. The Warbasse Cogeneration Facility

The 38MW combined cycle Warbasse facility, which is owned by Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. ("WCTP"), supplies all of the thermal and electric needs of Amalgamated Warbasse Houses, Inc. ("AWH") and the full capacity requirements of WCTP's 21MW electric power contract with Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ("Con Edison"), as dispatched. York constructed the Warbasse facility and continues to operate it under a long-term operations and maintenance agreement. See Note 5 for information regarding transactions between the Company and WCTP.

4

#### 5. Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Facility

Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. ("BNYLP"), is owned equally by a subsidiary of Edison Mission Energy ("Mission"), which is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Edison International, and B-41 Associates L.P. ("B-41LP") an entity in which York holds an indirect 74.7% equity interest (see Item 1C). BNYLP was formed to develop, construct, finance, own and operate the 286 MW natural gas fired combined cycle BNY Facility.

The facility has been operational since 1996 and supplies Con Ed with both electricity and steam under a 40-year contract. Steam is delivered to Con Ed's New York City district steam system, the world's largest, through a tunnel under the East River. The BNY facility currently provides more than 15% of Con Ed's total steam in New York City. Electric energy delivered represents about 3% of peak power demand in the service territory. The facility also supplies energy to the host industrial park and to an adjacent waste water facility.

#### Other Greenpower and Renewable Energy Projects Under Development

We have been funding development work on a variety of large and small potential projects in the United States and internationally. There can be no assurances that any of these projects will be completed or successful.

#### C. Subsidiaries, Partnerships and Joint Ventures

The Company conducts a substantial portion of its business through subsidiaries, partnerships and joint ventures, in some of which affiliates of York have an interest.

York owns 100% of the outstanding shares of B-41 Management Corp. ("B-41MC"), Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. ("Cogen"), and York Internet Power Services, Inc.

York and its subsidiaries own 74.7% of B-41LP, the 50% partner in BNYLP, as a result of the following:

B-41MC holds a 5% general partnership interest in B-41LP.

Cogen holds a 22% limited partnership in B-41LP.

York holds a 90% limited partnership interest in York Cogen Partners L.P. ("YCP"), which in turn holds a 53% limited partnership interest in B-41LP.

RV Associates L.P. ("RVA"), an entity in which the Chairman is an indirect minority partner, holds a 5% general partnership interest and a 15% limited partnership interest in B-41LP.

A portion of B-41LP's partnership interest in BNYLP has been pledged as collateral to unaffiliated third parties to secure certain obligations of B-41LP.

York, through wholly owned subsidiaries, some of which are offshore, owns 100% of the Big Spring facility and the Trinidad project. For revenues and assets of the Big Spring Facility and Trinidad project, see Note 8.

WCTP is a limited partnership whose 25% general partner is RRR'S Ventures Ltd. ("RRR'S"). York's Chairman is a shareholder in RRR'S. Entities unaffiliated with York or RRR'S own an aggregate of 75% limited partnership interest in WCTP. RRR'S also holds a 10% general partnership interest in YCP.

The West Texas Renewables Limited Partnership is a partnership whose 1% general partner is a subsidiary of York, and whose 99% limited partner is Primesouth, Inc.

5

York continues to own 85% of NAEC, which is in Chapter 11. York's chairman controls the remaining 15%. See Items 1A and 3.

#### D. Backlog

We do not currently calculate backlog because the revenue streams are dependent upon a number of variable factors such as inflation, fuel prices and electric utility rates and utilizations.

#### E. Patents and Trademarks

We have no patents or trademarks that have been considered material to our businesses.

#### F. Research and Development

Since research and development costs are not significant, we do not account separately for these costs.

#### G. Raw Materials and Suppliers

We are not dependent on any single source of supplies or services for our activities. Certain of the facilities enter into long-term contracts with suppliers, however, alternative sources are typically available.

#### H. Marketing

We market our projects and products through a dedicated project development staff, supplemented by our technical support personnel and management personnel, and by consultants internationally.

#### I. Employees

As of June 1, 2001, we employed 31 people on a full-time basis. Most of our executives are technically trained and actively engaged in the project development area.

#### J. Competition

There are many companies with access to greater financial resources that are active in various aspects of our energy business. These companies will continue to compete in the energy marketplace. We cannot assess the effect of competition in the future.

#### K. Customers

During fiscal 2001, substantially all of the revenues were derived from operating power projects. Each of the power projects is dependent on one customer, typically a utility, for substantially all of its revenues. See Notes 5 and 8 for revenues from major customers.

#### L. Environmental Matters

We believe that the various technologies we employ, which provide for increased efficiency, compared to conventional power generation facilities, are not environmentally sensitive. The construction of power generation facilities requires typical environmental impact statements and permitting procedures which may result in delays. We believe that we are in compliance with federal, state and local laws involving the protection of the environment. We do not believe that continued compliance will require any material capital expenditures. Our facilities are designed to comply with all applicable environmental laws.

6

#### M. Regulation

A subsidiary of the Company has been retained through an operations and maintenance agreement to operate the Warbasse cogeneration facility in New York, a qualifying cogeneration facility ("QF") pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. The BNY facility in New York has also obtained QF status.

Cogeneration projects that meet the QF criteria stated in the federal rules generally are exempt from most of the federal, state and local regulations that apply to generating facilities which are not QFs.

The BNY facility is operating as a QF but also has the right to operate as an exempt wholesale generator ("EWG"). An EWG must be engaged exclusively in the business of owning or operating an eligible facility and selling electricity at wholesale.

The Big Spring facility has been self-certified as a QF. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission granted EWG status to the Trinidad project and the West Texas Renewable Energy project.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

We lease our facilities. We consider our facilities to be suitable and adequate for the purposes for which they are used.

	Square	
	Footage	Use
Entity/Location		
York Research Corporation		
280 Park Avenue	(1)	Office
New York, New York		
Subsidiaries		
Cogeneration Technologies, Inc.	(1)	Office
280 Park Avenue		
New York, New York		

(1) Total square footage shared by York and Cogen at this location is 16,700.

Each of the power facilities and projects located in Big Spring, Texas and Trinidad, leases the land on which it is located from a third party.

7

#### ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On March 2, 2000, NAEC filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 11 Bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. That action is still pending. Reference is made to the Company's current report on Form 8-K dated March 2, 2000 for a description of the background and circumstances surrounding the NAEC filing. As a result of the bankruptcy filing, all actions against NAEC are stayed.

Certain liabilities of NAEC have been guaranteed by the Company and in consequence, NAEC creditors holding Company guarantees have formed an ad hoc committee (the "Ad Hoc Committee") which in turn has retained counsel and a financial advisor. In July of 2000 the Ad Hoc Committee and the Company agreed upon a term sheet ("Term Sheet") which provides a framework for settlement of all of the guarantee claims. Subsequently, the Company and the Ad Hoc Committee

negotiated with the Official Creditors' Committee of NAEC with a view toward bringing NAEC into the settlement and thereby achieving a global settlement. That goal was reached in November, 2000 when the Company, each of the members of the Ad Hoc Committee and the Official Creditor's Committee of NAEC entered into a settlement agreement dated November 30, 2000 (the "Settlement Agreement") which comprised all claims of NAEC against York and formally approved the Term Sheet. An application was made to the Bankruptcy Court for approval of the Settlement Agreement. On January 8, 2001 the Bankruptcy Court approved the Settlement Agreement and, in order to assure that the Company would be in a position to complete the transactions contained in the Settlement Agreement, issued an injunction against any party asserting claims against the Company until the closing of the transactions contemplated in the Settlement Agreement. That injunction is currently in force, and in consequence the remaining actions against the Company (PG & E Energy Trading - Gas Corporation, El Paso Merchant Energy - Gas, LP, and Congress Financial Corp.) are stayed. The Company was unable to meet certain of its obligations under the Settlement Agreement within its time limitations and therefore approached both the Ad Hoc Committee and the NAEC Official Committee with a request for an extension of time and a modified proposal. The time for the Company to perform has been extended while the committees consider the modified proposal which if approved will be submitted to the Bankruptcy Court for approval. (See Item 1 and Note 2).

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

ITEM 5

8

PART II

MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANTS COMMON STOCK
AND RELATED SECURITY HOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market System under the symbol "YORK". The following table shows the range of high and low closing

sales prices for the common stock.

	High	Low
Fiscal Year 2001		
First Quarter	3	7/8
Second Quarter	1-15/16	1-3/32
Third Quarter	1-5/32	11/16
Fourth Quarter	2-7/16	25/32
Fiscal Year 2000		
First Quarter	7-5/16	4-1/2
Second Quarter	6-9/16	4-1/2
Third Quarter	4-31/32	3-5/8
Fourth Quarter	4-7/8	3-5/32

On May 29, 2001, we had 462 holders of record of our common stock. We have not declared any common stock or cash dividends during the last five years and have no present intention to declare cash dividends. Pursuant to a covenant under the portfolio bond financing, York agreed to limit annual cash dividends to \$.01 per share.

9

# ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA (in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

			As of and for the Year Ende		
	2/28/01	2/28/00	2/28/99 	2/28/98	
Total Revenues	\$ 37 <b>,</b> 298	\$ 22,533 ======	\$ 6,238 =====	\$ 13,787 ======	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 3,760 =====	\$ 3,585	(\$1,217) ======	\$ 4,134 ======	
Net income (loss)	\$ 3 <b>,</b> 760	(\$31,142)	(\$5 <b>,</b> 990)	\$ 12,044	

	=======	=======	======	======
Earnings (loss) per share - Basic: From continuing operations	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.24 =====	(\$0.09) =====	\$ 0.29 =====
Total	\$ 0.25 ======	(\$2.12) ======	(\$0.42) ======	\$ 0.86
Earnings (loss) per share - Diluted: From continuing operations	\$ 0.24 ======	\$ 0.23	(\$0.09) =====	\$ 0.27
Total	\$ 0.24 ======	(\$2.03)	(\$0.42) ======	\$ 0.77 =====
Total assets	\$ 263,451 ======	\$ 269,886	\$266,101 ======	\$134 <b>,</b> 139
Limited recourse liabilities including current portion	\$ 147,312 ======	\$ 150,000 =====	\$150,000 =====	\$ 0 =====
Stockholders' equity	\$ 40,734 ======	\$ 36,003 ======	\$ 64,877 ======	\$ 63,747 ======
Cash dividends declared				
per common share	\$ 0 ======	\$ 0 ======	\$ 0 ======	\$ 0 =====

Note: All years presented reflect the natural gas and electric marketing businesses as discontinued operations.

10

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS ITEM 7 OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

The Company's business is Greenpower, which includes developing, constructing and operating Greenenergy production facilities, including those that utilize natural gas as fuel to produce thermal and electric power ("cogeneration") or renewable energy projects primarily converting wind energy into transmittable electric power.

Within our Greenpower business, there are five currently operating facilities: in New York City, a 38 megawatt ("MW") Warbasse cogeneration facility (the "Warbasse facility") and a 286MW Brooklyn Navy Yard cogeneration facility (the "BNY facility"), in Big Spring, Texas, a 34MW wind energy facility (the "Big Spring facility") and a 6.6MW wind energy facility (the "West Texas project") and a 225 MW natural gas fueled power project in the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago (the "Trinidad project"). Other power projects are in earlier stages of development.

On March 2, 2000 North American Energy Conservation, Inc. ("NAEC"), an 85% owned subsidiary of the Company, filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. As of February 28, 2000, the Company accounted for NAEC's wholesale and retail natural gas marketing business as a discontinued operation, as well as the electric marketing business, which was discontinued previously. On April 20, 2000 NAEC sold its retail natural gas marketing business to Amerada Hess Corporation (see Note 17A).

Reference is made to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 2, 2000 for a complete description of the background and circumstances surrounding the NAEC filing.

See Note 3 for disclosure of the impact of significant new accounting pronouncements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

The Company finances initial development of a projects' cash needs from its own funds. When a project is determined to be feasible, the Company will generally seek to finance construction through some form of non-recourse project financing. Once a project is operational, any additional capital requirements are expected to be met by the operations of the facility. In addition, the Company may finance future projects through the sale of partial interests (or in some cases significant interests) or other financing techniques. For example, construction of the West Texas project was financed by a capital contribution of the limited partner in this project.

General corporate, pre-financing project development and negative working capital needs have historically been met by the cash flow derived from the power projects. The Company believes that from cash flow sources described above and from potential development fees received on future projects, there will be sufficient cash flow for continuing operations for at least twelve months. However, unless the Company is successful continuing in raising new funds as described below, there can be no assurance that it will have sufficient working capital to meet its obligations.

11

York has guaranteed approximately \$46 million of the total pre-petition debt of NAEC. York and NAEC have conducted extensive discussions with both the guaranteed and non-guaranteed creditor groups and had arrived at a settlement agreement, which was approved by the bankruptcy court on January 8, 2001. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, among other requirements, the Company was required to fund \$13 million into a trust for the benefit of the creditors by May 1, 2001. To date, both NAEC and the Company have been unable to fund the initial cash payment into the trust. The time for the Company to perform has

been extended while it continues negotiations with the creditors. The trust is expected to be funded, upon formation, with a minimum of \$13 million, six million shares of common stock which would be sold over time under controlled conditions to liquidate the obligations, a warrant for one million shares at an exercise price to be determined and a carried interest in the Company's net available cash flow, as defined, which will be used to the extent the sale of the common stock is insufficient to liquidate all obligations. To the extent the trust has not previously been liquidated, the total amount to be liquidated via the trust is expected to increase by \$2 million on the third anniversary and additional amounts up to a cap of \$4 million on each anniversary thereafter. Included in the total settlement is an expected debt obligation of \$1 million, collateralized by certain assets, due December 31, 2009 or earlier under certain circumstances as defined.

York, with the help of Credit Suisse First Boston ("CSFB") and other consultants, is pursuing several alternative means, including the potential sale of all or part of York's interest in various projects, of raising the funds necessary to fund the trust and meet its other ongoing obligations.

There can be no assurance that the trust referred to above being negotiated with the creditor groups will ultimately resolve NAEC'S liabilities or York's obligations with respect thereto. There also can be no assurance that the efforts of the Company to raise sufficient funds will be successful.

The Company has met all required principal and interest payments on the project notes payable to date, and expects to continue to do so. The project notes payable are non-recourse to York. However, as a result of the bond trustee's inability to set up certain foreign escrow accounts pursuant to provisions included in the bond indenture, the project notes payable have been classified as a current liability as of February 28, 2001 (see Note 11).

#### General

During fiscal 2001, cash and cash equivalents decreased approximately \$5.1 million. Cash used in operating activities of continuing operations was approximately \$3.4 million. This principally consisted of net income of approximately \$3.8 million adjusted for non cash items of approximately \$7 million less the increase in receivables and other assets of approximately \$13.9 million.

During fiscal 2001, investing activities provided approximately \$4.8 million. Net cash flow from the escrow accounts was approximately \$9.1 million. The Company purchased approximately \$4.7 million of property, plant and equipment utilizing cash received from the escrow accounts.

During fiscal 2001, financing activities used approximately \$2.7 million due to payments on the project notes.

12

Results of Operations

Fiscal 2001 Compared to Fiscal 2000

Revenues include the sale of electric energy to utility customers by the Big Spring and Trinidad facilities. Revenues also include power project services such as engineering services, fuel procurement and other services. Cost of revenues include fuel, payroll, depreciation and other operations and maintenance costs. Revenues increased approximately \$14,764,000 and cost of revenues increased approximately \$11,570,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. These increases are primarily the result of the commencement of operations of the Trinidad project in September 1999 and increased revenues and related costs of fuel of approximately \$5,877,000 for the Warbasse facility.

The Big Spring project revenues increased approximately \$322,000 and cost of revenues increased approximately \$1,881,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. These increases are primarily the result of full commercial operation being achieved in December 1999. Of the increase in cost of revenues, approximately \$1,215,000 relates to depreciation. Depreciation commenced January 1, 2000.

The Trinidad project revenues increased approximately \$9,710,000 and cost of revenues increased approximately \$3,458,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. These increases are due to the commencement of operations of the Trinidad Project in September 1999. Of the increase in cost of revenues, approximately \$1,862,000 relates to depreciation. Depreciation commenced October 1, 1999. The Trinidad project has no fuel risk because the government provides all the fuel utilized by the project.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased approximately \$2,309,000, when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. This decrease is comprised of the following: (a) expenses incurred in developing power projects decreased approximately \$557,000 and (b) general corporate expenses decreased approximately \$1,752,000 as part of an overall effort to reduce costs. There was a decrease of approximately \$649,000 in professional fees, \$375,000 in accretion expenses on the Class B warrant redemption, \$181,000 in advertising and public relations, and \$153,000 in travel and entertainment. The balance of the decrease relates to various other costs.

Interest income decreased approximately \$625,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000 due to decreased levels of cash available for investment resulting principally from payments on construction of the Trinidad and Big Spring projects. Interest income - WCTP increased approximately \$534,000 due to increases in the variable interest rate charged.

Interest expense increased approximately \$9,409,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. The increase was primarily caused by the impact of capitalizing construction period interest of approximately \$9,365,000 during fiscal 2000.

Other income increased approximately \$3,503,000 when comparing fiscal 2001 to fiscal 2000. The change was primarily due to an increase in royalty fees from BNYLP of approximately \$2,957,000 as a result of increased BNYLP revenues and a gain on sale of marketable securities of approximately \$320,000.

13

Fiscal 2000 Compared to Fiscal 1999

Revenues include the sale of electric energy to utility customers in

the case of the Big Spring and Trinidad projects. Revenues also include power project services such as engineering services, fuel procurement and other services. Cost of revenues include fuel, payroll, depreciation and other operations and maintenance costs. Revenue increased approximately \$16,295,000, and cost of revenues increased approximately \$4,811,000, when comparing fiscal 2000 to fiscal 1999, as a result of the commencement of the operations of Big Spring in December 1998, West Texas in June 1999 and Trinidad in September 1999.

The Big Spring project earned revenue of approximately \$3,892,000 and \$342,000 respectively, and incurred costs of approximately \$920,000 and \$70,000 respectively, for the years ended February 28, 2000 and 1999. For fiscal 2000, the Trinidad project earned revenues of approximately \$9,296,000 and incurred costs of approximately \$2,226,000 of which approximately \$1,287,000 relates to depreciation. The Trinidad project has no fuel risk because the government provides all the fuel utilized by the project. The amount earned on construction of the West Texas facility (see Note 8C) also accounted for an increase in revenues of approximately \$1,388,000 for the year ended February 28, 2000.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$2,533,000, when comparing fiscal 2000 to fiscal 1999. This increase is comprised of the following: (a) expenses incurred developing power projects increased approximately \$1,047,000. (b) general corporate expenses increased approximately \$1,486,000. There was in increase of approximately \$492,000 due to amortization of certain deferred charges related to the Portfolio Bond Financing. The balance of this increase primarily relates to professional and consulting fees and additional amounts spent on payroll and employee benefits as a result of additional personnel.

Interest income decreased approximately \$1,238,000 when comparing fiscal 2000 to fiscal 1999, due to decreased levels of cash available for investment resulting principally from construction of the Trinidad and Big Spring projects.

Interest expense increased approximately \$2,205,000 when comparing fiscal 2000 to fiscal 1999. The increase was caused by the full year impact of the Portfolio Bond Financing in fiscal 2000, net of interest capitalized on construction costs incurred.

Other income increased approximately \$597,000 when comparing fiscal 2000 and fiscal 1999. These changes were primarily due to an increase in royalty fees from BNYLP of approximately \$285,000 and an increase in general partner fees received from BNYLP of approximately \$176,000.

ITEM 7A DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

The Company's exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to the Company's project notes payable.

The Company has no cash flow exposure due to rate changes for its debt obligations. The Company primarily enters into debt obligations to support general corporate purposes and capital expenditures for projects.

14

The table below presents principal amounts and related weighted average interest rates by year of maturity for the Company's cash equivalents,

marketable securities, cash in escrow, notes receivable and debt obligations. The Company's notes receivable from WCTP bear interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2% and have no specific maturity.

	Year Ended		
	February 28, 2001	Total	Febr
Cash equivalents			
Variable rate	\$627 <b>,</b> 000	\$627,000	
Average interest rate	5.8%	5.8%	
Marketable securities			
Variable rate	\$1,446,000	\$1,446,000	
Dividend rate	0%	0%	
Cash in escrow			
Variable rate	\$1,285,000	\$1,285,000	
Average interest rate	5.7%	5.7%	
Notes receivable			
Variable rate		\$57,331,000	Not
Average interest rate		8.5%	

The project notes, which for financial statement purposes have been classified as a current liability, have a fixed rate of interest of 12% and a fair value at February 28, 2001 of \$147,312,000. (see Note 11).

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on Page 20.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS
ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None

#### PART III

Items 10 through 13 are incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2001 Annual Meeting to be mailed in June, 2001.

#### ITEM 14 EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) (1) and (a) (2): See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements at Page 20.
- (a) (3) Exhibits:
  - 3(a) Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended. (1)
  - 3(a) Certificate of Amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on May 16, 1988. (1)
  - 3(b) Bylaws of the Company. (1)
  - 4(a) Form of Certificate evidencing Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, of the Company. (2)
  - 4(b) Trust Indenture, between York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, dated July 30, 1998. (12)
  - 4(c) Form of 12% Senior Bonds due October 30, 2007 (included in Exhibit 4(b) hereto). (12)
  - 4(d) Form of 12% Series A Senior Bonds due October 30, 2007 (included in Exhibit 4(b) hereto). (12)
  - 4(e) Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement between York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and Credit Suisse First Boston dated August 4, 1998. (12)
  - 10(o) Agreement for Construction of Additional Capacity, dated May 7, 1990, between Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership, L.P. and York Research Corporation. (3)
  - 10(q) Lease and Energy Sale Agreement, dated December 18, 1989 between the Brooklyn Navy Yard Development Corporation and Cogeneration Technologies, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. (4)
  - 10(r) Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement by and between Mission Energy New York, Inc. and B-41 Associates, L.P., dated November 1, 1997, with Exhibits thereto. (11)
  - 10(s) Stipulation of Settlement among counsel to plaintiffs in litigation entitled In Re York Research Corporation Securities Litigation, United States District Court, Southern District of New York, Master File No. 91 Civ. 5040 (LJF), and counsel for all defendants therein, dated January 15, 1993, with Exhibits thereto. (5)
  - 10(t) Final Judgment of Dismissal with Prejudice, In Re York
    Research Corporation Securities Litigation, United States
    District Court, Southern District of New York, Master File No.
    91 Civ. 5040 (LJF) (5)

16

- 10(u) Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of B-41 Associates L.P., dated December 26, 1992. (5)
- 10(bb) Promissory Note, dated November 17, 1994, made by
  Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. payable to
  the order of Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. (8)
- 10(cc) Security Agreement, dated as of November 17, 1994, made by
  Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. to
  Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. (8)
- 10(dd) Assignment and Security Agreement, dated as of November 17, 1994, made by Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. to Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. (8)
- 10(ee) Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of November 17, 1994, by and among Tomen Power Corporation, B-41 Associates, L.P., Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. and Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. (8)
- 10(ff) Restructuring Fee Agreement, dated as of November 17, 1994, by and among Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P., B-41 Associates, L.P. and Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. (8)
- 10(gg) Subordinated Promissory Note, dated as of November 17, 1994, made by Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. payable to the order of B-41 Associates, L.P. in the principal amount of \$3,000,000. (8)
- 10(hh) Subordinated Promissory Note, dated as of November 17, 1994, made by Warbasse-Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. payable to the order of Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. in the principal amount of \$3,000,000. (8)
- 10(ii) Agreement of Limited Partnership of York Cogen Partners, L.P. (11)
- 10(jj) Renegotiation and Payment Agreement dated February 28, 1997 by and between Sanwa Business Credit Corporation and B-41 Associates, L.P. (11)
- 10(kk) York Partners Reimbursement Agreement (PMNC) dated as of November 1, 1997, among B-41 Associates, L.P., Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. and Edison Mission Energy. (11)
- 10(11) Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership dated as of September 29, 1997. (11)
- 10 (mm) Renewable Resource Energy Purchase Agreement between Texas Utilities Electric Company and New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership dated September 13, 1994, and Amendment No. 1 thereto dated November 25, 1996, Amendment No. 2 thereto dated February 19, 1997 and Amendment No. 3 thereto dated August 29, 1997. (11)
- 10(nn) Wind Turbine Equipment Sales and Installation Contract dated as of March 31, 1998 between York Research Corporation and

Vestas-American Wind Technology, Inc. (11)

10(oo) Covenant Agreement dated as of August 4, 1998 between York Research Corporation and The Bank of New York, as Bond Trustee. (10)

17

- 10(pp) Equity Cash Flow Participation Agreement dated as of August 4, 1998 among Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC, Warbasse Power I LLC, Warbasse Power II LLC, New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership, York T&T Holdings, Inc., and The Bank of New York, as administrative agent for the benefit of certain holders. (10).
- 10(qq) U.S. Project Loan Agreement among Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC, Warbasse Power I LLC, Warbasse Power II LLC, New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership and York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited, dated as of August 4, 1998.

  (13)
- 10(rr) Trinidad Project Loan Agreement between York Ex International SRL and York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(ss) Trinidad Loan Agreement between InnCOGEN, Limited and York Holdings (Barbados) SRL, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(tt) U.S. Deposit and Disbursement Agreement among York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited, Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC, Warbasse Power I LLC, Warbasse Power II LLC, New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(uu) Trinidad Deposit and Disbursement Agreement among York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited, York Ex International SRL, York Holdings (Barbados) SRL, InnCOGEN, Limited and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(vv) U.S. Project Note Pledge Agreement between York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(ww) Trinidad Project Note Pledge Agreement between York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(xx) Trinidad U.S. Pledge Agreement between York Research Corporation and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(yy) Big Spring Guarantee between New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10(zz) Brooklyn Navy Yard Guarantee between Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10.53 Trinidad Guarantee between York Holdings (Barbados) SRL and

The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)

- 10.54 Warbasse I Guarantee between Warbasse Power I LLC and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10.55 Warbasse II Guarantee between Warbasse Power II LLC and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10.56 Collateral Agency and Intercreditor Agreement among York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited, Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC, Warbasse Power I LLC, Warbasse Power II LLC, New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership, York Ex International SRL, York Holdings (Barbados) SRL, InnCOGEN, Limited and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)

18

- 10.57 Assignment and Security Agreement between York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and The Bank of New York, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10.58 Agency Agreement among York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited,
  Brooklyn Navy Yard Power LLC, Warbasse Power I LLC, Warbasse
  Power II LLC and New World Power Texas Renewable Energy
  Limited Partnership, dated as of August 4, 1998. (13)
- 10.59 Employment Agreement dated December 9, 1998, as amended between the Company and Robert M. Beningson. (9)
- 10.60 Second amendment to Employment Agreement dated December 9, 1998, between the Company and Robert M. Beningson.
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Company
- 23 Consent of Independent Certified Public Accountants.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K

All other exhibits and financial statement schedules have been omitted because they have been previously filed or incorporated by reference, are inapplicable, or the required information is included elsewhere in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes thereto.

The following reports on Form 8-K were filed during the quarter ended February 28, 2001:

None

- (1) Previously filed as an Exhibit, under the corresponding exhibit number, to the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1982, Commission file number 0-72, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Previously filed as an Exhibit, under the corresponding exhibit number with Registration Statement No. 33-13899 on April 30, 1987 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (4) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 1990 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 1993 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended November 30, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (11) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Registration Statement 333-68839 filed by York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited and incorporated herein by reference.
- (13) Previously filed as an Exhibit with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference.

19

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

		Page(s)
York	Research Corporation and Subsidiaries:	
	Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	21
	Consolidated Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets - February 28, 2001 and 2000	22
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended February 28, 2001, February 28, 2000 and February 28, 1999	23
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended February 28, 2001, February 28, 2000 and February 28, 1999	24
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended February 28, 2001, February 28, 2000	25

and February 28, 1999

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

26 through 50

20

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Board of Directors and Stockholders York Research Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of York Research Corporation and Subsidiaries at February 28, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended February 28, 2001. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the

accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of York Research Corporation and Subsidiaries at February 28, 2001 and 2000, and the consolidated results of their operations and their consolidated cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended February 28, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company does not have sufficient cash to settle the obligations to the NAEC creditors. This matter raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to this matter are described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Grant Thornton LLP

New York, New York June 12, 2001

21

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### ASSETS

Current Assets:

Cash and cash equivalents
Marketable securities
Trade accounts receivable
Other receivables - related parties
Cash in escrow
Deferred tax asset
Other current assets

Total current assets

Property, plant and equipment, net Long-term notes and other receivables - WCTP Intangible assets, net February 2001

\$ 2,438 1,445 3,637 9,457 1,284 8,920 324

27**,**509

130,016 81,695 15,570

Deferred tax asset Other assets (including advances to employees of \$817,757	6,019
and \$769,136, respectively)	2,640
Total assets	 \$ 263,451 =======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  Current liabilities:     Project payables     Accrued expenses and other payables     Income tax payable     Project notes payable     Net liabilities of discontinued operations  Total current liabilities	\$ 6,049 11,151 289 147,312 49,997
Project notes payable Other long-term liabilities Deferred revenue and other credits	214,800 1,724 2,768
Minority interest in partnership	3,424
Commitments and contingencies  Stockholders' equity Common stock, Class A, \$.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; none issued Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued 16,420,821 and 15,270,156 shares, respectively Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit Accumulated other comprehensive income (net of tax of \$450,857 and \$353,696, respectively)	164 69,473 (26,233 875  44,278
Less: Treasury stock, at cost (158,124 shares) Notes receivable - sale of common stock Deferred compensation  Total stockholders' equity  Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	(1,564 (377 (1,602  40,734  \$ 263,451

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

22

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28,

2001 ------

-----

Revenues	\$ 37,297,868
Costs of revenues	22,388,243
Gross Profit	14,909,625
Selling, general and administrative:	
Power project services	2,147,868
General corporate expenses	6,801,584
Total selling, general and administrative	8,949,452 
Other income (expense):	
Interest income - WCTP	4,944,830
Interest income	561 <b>,</b> 822
Interest expense	(17,891,649)
Other income	10,071,343
Minority interest in partnership	(622,395)
	(2,936,049)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	3,024,124
Delote Income caxes	3,024,124
Benefit for income taxes:	(736 <b>,</b> 000)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	3,760,124
Discontinued operations:	
Loss from discontinued operations	_
Estimated loss on disposal	_
•	
Total loss from discontinued operations	<del>-</del>
Net income (loss)	\$ 3,760,124
	========
Earnings (loss) per share - Basic:	ć 0.0F
Continuing operations	\$ 0.25 \$ -
Discontinued operations	ş – 
Total	\$ 0.25
Weighted average number of common shares used	
in computing basic earnings (loss) per share	15,105,481
in compacing sacro carnings (1888), per share	========
Earnings (loss) per share - Diluted:	
Continuing operations	\$ 0.24
Discontinued operations	\$ -
Total	\$ 0.24
Total	γ U.24

Weighted average number of common shares and

\_\_\_\_\_

\$ 2

(1 (2

(3

\$(3

===

15,435,288

1 ===

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

23

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001, 2000 AND 1999

	Common	Stock	7 ddi+ionol	Accumu	
	Shares Issued	Shares Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Earn (Def	
Balance, February 28, 1998	14,961,438	\$ 149,614	\$ 67,791,168	\$ 7,13	
Exercise of options Exercise and issuance of warrants Deferred compensation accrual Tax effect of options and warrants Forgiveness of notes receivable Cash receipts	51,250 5,838   	513 58   	221,394 157,828 226,726 48,000		
Transfer of note receivable to minority interests Net loss				(5 <b>,</b> 98	
Balance, February 28, 1999	15,018,526	150,185	68,445,116	1,14	
Comprehensive loss: Net loss Holding gains on marketable securities, net of tax of \$353,696				(31,14	
Total comprehensive loss					
Exercise of options Deferred compensation accrual Forgiveness of notes receivable Cash receipts Settlements of notes receivable	251,630    	2,517   	40,505 216,507  		
Balance, February 28, 2000	15,270,156	152 <b>,</b> 702	68,702,128	(29 <b>,</b> 99	
Comprehensive income: Net income Holding gains on marketable securities, net of tax of \$153,210				3 <b>,</b> 76	
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income for the period, net of tax of \$56,010					

Total comprehensive income				
*				
Exercise of warrant	1			
Deferred compensation accrual				
ESOP contribution	150,664	1,506		
Deferred compensation	1,000,000	10,000	771,000	
Forgiveness of note receivable				
Cash receipts				
Balance, February 28, 2001	16,420,821	\$ 164,208	\$ 69,473,128	\$(26,23
	=========			

[RESTUB]

	Deferred Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total
Balance, February 28, 1998	\$(3,089,248)		\$ 63,746,557
Exercise of options Exercise and issuance of warrants Deferred compensation accrual Tax effect of options and warrants Forgiveness of notes receivable Cash receipts Transfer of note receivable to minority interests Net loss	451,310    	     	164,364 157,886 678,036 48,000 100,000 275,000 5,696,500 (5,989,643)
Balance, February 28, 1999	(2,637,938)		64,876,700
Comprehensive loss: Net loss Holding gains on marketable securities, net of tax of \$353,696		686,625	(31,141,588) 686,625
Total comprehensive loss			(31,141,588)
Exercise of options Deferred compensation accrual Forgiveness of notes receivable Cash receipts Settlements of notes receivable	491,552  630,000 	   	43,022 708,059 200,000 630,000
Balance, February 28, 2000	(1,516,386)	686,625	36,002,818
Comprehensive income: Net income Holding gains on marketable securities, net of tax of \$153,210			3,760,124 297,408
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income for the period, net of tax of \$56,010		(108,725)	·
Total comprehensive income			3,948,807

93 <b>,</b> 958		93,958
		1,506
(781,000)		
		86,347
601,024		601,024
\$(1,602,404)	\$ 875,308	\$ 40,734,460
	(781,000)  601,024	(781,000) 

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

24

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28,

	2001
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 3,760,124
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from continuing	, ,
operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	
Depreciation	4,799,386
Amortization of goodwill	39,372
Amortization of deferred charges	2,313,804
Amortization of deferred credits	(173,000)
Deferred taxes	(820 <b>,</b> 000)
Minority interest in partnership	622 <b>,</b> 394
ESOP contribution	309 <b>,</b> 920
Forgiveness of note receivable	86 <b>,</b> 347
Gain on sale of marketable securities	(319 <b>,</b> 780)
Common shares and warrants issued for services and donation	
Tax benefit of stock options and warrants	
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	
Net increase in receivables	(9,254,703)
Net increase in notes receivable, other current assets,	
and other assets	(4,679,174)
Net increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses,	
deferred revenue and long-term liabilities	570,657
Increase (decrease) in accrued taxes	(644,875)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES OF	
CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(3,389,528)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES OF	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	6,830,691
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(4,712,859)
Deposits into cash in escrow	(15,198,031)

Receipts from cash in escrow Proceeds from sale of marketable securities Purchase of marketable securities	24,336,220 359,600 
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	4,784,930
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Payment of project notes	(2,688,000)
Amounts received from ESOP	
Gross proceeds from Bond Financing	
Payment of financing costs	
Repayment of notes receivable	==
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants Net change in minority interest in partnership	
Net change in minority interest in partnership	
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
OF CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(2,688,000)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	(10,589,335)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(5,051,242)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	7,490,106
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 2,438,864
CASH AND CASH EQUIVABENTS AT END OF TEAK	=========
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	
Interest paid	\$18,049,169
Transport and a second	ć 77E 000
Income taxes paid	\$ 775 <b>,</b> 000

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

During fiscal 2001, a non-cash transaction of \$781,000 occurred as a result of the issuance of one million shares to the Chairman of the Company for deferred compensation.

During fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, a non-cash transaction of \$86,347, \$200,000 and \$100,000, respectively, occurred as a result of the forgiveness of a loan from a former employee.

During fiscal 2000, a non-cash transaction of \$155,312 occurred as a result of 35,000 shares that were given back to the Company by a former employee to pay down a note.

During fiscal 2000, the Company transferred approximately \$135,525,000 of construction in progress to property, plant and equipment.

During fiscal 1999, a non-cash transaction of \$5,696,500 occurred as a result of the transfer of a note receivable from the Chairman of the Company to the minority interests.

During fiscal 1999, the Company received \$57,544 of notes receivable on the sale of common stock for options exercised.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

2.5

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Nature of Business

York Research Corporation ("York" or the "Company") is a developer, owner and marketer of energy related projects and products through its subsidiaries, partnerships, joint ventures and affiliates. The Company currently operates in one segment of the energy business; Greenpower project development and services, including cogeneration and renewable wind energy.

The principal current markets for the Company's products and services are the United States and the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago.

#### 2. Liquidity and Basis of Presentation

North American Energy Conservation, Inc. ("NAEC"), an 85% owned subsidiary of York, estimates that the total third party obligations that would be subject of its Chapter 11 proceedings approximates \$66 million, all of which have been accrued as of February 28, 2000 (see Note 17) York has guaranteed approximately \$46 million of the total pre-petition debt of NAEC. York and NAEC have conducted extensive discussions with both the guaranteed and non-guaranteed creditor groups and had arrived at a settlement agreement which was approved by the bankruptcy court on January 8, 2001. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, among other requirements, the Company was required to fund \$13 million into a trust for the benefit of the creditors by May 1, 2001. To date, both NAEC and the Company have been unable to fund the initial cash payment into the trust. The time for the Company to perform has been extended while it continues negotiations with the creditors. The trust is expected to be funded, upon formation, with a minimum of \$13 million, six million shares of common stock which would be sold over time under controlled conditions to liquidate the obligations, a warrant for one million shares at an exercise price to be determined and a carried interest in the Company's net available cash flow, as defined, which will be used to the extent the sale of the common stock is insufficient to liquidate all obligations. To the extent the trust has not previously been liquidated, the total amount to be liquidated via the trust is expected to increase by \$2 million on the third anniversary and additional amounts up to a cap of \$4 million on each anniversary thereafter. Included in the total settlement amount is an expected debt obligation of \$1 million, collateralized by certain assets, due December 31, 2009 or earlier under certain circumstances as defined.

Based on the fact that the Company does not have sufficient available cash to settle the NAEC obligations to the creditors, this raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial Statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Management's plan in regard to this matter is to reach agreement with the NAEC creditors as discussed above. In addition York, with the help of Credit Suisse First Boston ("CSFB") and other consultants is pursuing several alternative means, including the potential sale of all or part of York's interest in various projects, of raising the funds necessary to fund the trust

and meet its other ongoing obligations.

There can be no assurance that an agreement will be reached with the creditor group or that the Company will be able to raise sufficent funds.

26

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

General corporate, pre-financing project development and negative working capital needs have historically been met by the cash flow derived from the Company's power projects. The Company believes that such cash flow sources and potential development fees received on future projects, will provide sufficient cash flow for continuing operations for at least twelve months.

 $\,$  For information regarding the Portfolio Project Bond Financing see Note 11.

#### 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of York Research Corporation and its subsidiaries and all majority owned partnerships and limited liability companies (collectively "York" or the "Company"). All material intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect the Company's former natural gas marketing and electric marketing businesses as discontinued operations (see Note 17).

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash held in foreign institutions amounted to approximately \$225,000 and \$292,000 as of February 28, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Marketable Securities

Investment in marketable securities consists solely of publicly traded equity securities in a foreign corporation and is recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices. These securities are accounted for as available for sale for financial statement purposes. Unrealized gains, net of taxes, are included in comprehensive income or loss. As of February 28, 2001, these securities had a cost of \$119,459 and a market value of \$1,445,520 resulting in an unrealized holding gain of \$1,326,061. As of February 28, 2000, these securities had a cost of \$159,279 and a market value of \$1,199,600 resulting in an unrealized holding gain of \$1,040,321.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes service revenues and energy sales in the period in which the work is performed or the energy is delivered. Development fee revenue is either amortized over the related development period, or recognized as revenue, based on the nature of the fee.

27

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and are being depreciated or amortized on the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Plant	30 years
Machinery and equipment	5-10 years
Furniture and fixtures	5-10 years
Motor vehicles	3 years
Leasehold improvements	5-8 years

During fiscal 2000, construction in progress costs of approximately \$135,525,000 were transferred to property, plant and equipment. Construction in progress includes all costs related to construction such as sub-contractors, equipment, engineering, professional fees, and other costs related to the projects. The Company follows the policy of capitalizing interest expense as a component of construction in progress. Interest capitalized amounted to approximately \$9,365,000 and \$4,149,000, for the years ended February 28, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Certain internal costs directly related to the construction of the power projects, including salaries of certain employees are capitalized. Such costs amounted to approximately \$169,000 and \$536,000 for the years ended February 28, 2000, and 1999, respectively. There were no interest or internal costs capitalized for the year ended February 28, 2001.

Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes". Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets or liabilities are computed based on the difference between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities including any net operating loss carryforwards and alternative minimum and windpower tax credit carryforwards, using the enacted marginal tax rate. Deferred income tax expense or benefits are based on the changes in the asset or liability from period to period. Deferred tax assets are recognized to the

extent realization of such benefits are more likely than not.

Long-Term Notes and Other Receivables - WCTP

The realizability of the long-term notes and other receivables - Warbasse Cogeneration Technologies Partnership L.P. ("WCTP") is evaluated by the Company in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan" by comparing the net present value of the currently expected future cash flow from the Warbasse facility to the gross amount of the notes receivable.

28

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Per Share Data

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income by the number of weighted-average common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the number of weighted average common shares outstanding plus the potential dilutive effect of securities or contracts which are in the money and convertible to common shares, such as options and warrants, unless they are antidilutive based upon income (loss) from continuing operations. The following is a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the basic and diluted computation of earnings (loss) per share for the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

	Fiscal 2001	Fiscal 2000
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic	15,348,194	15,020,015
Average of unreleased ESOP shares	(242,713)	(314,701)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic	15,105,481	14,705,314
Dilution (warrants and options)	329,807	625,473
Weighted average number of common share and common share equivalents		
outstanding - diluted	15,435,288 =======	15,330,787 ======

The amounts shown as average of unreleased ESOP shares and dilution (warrants and options) reflect the averages for the periods presented.  $\,$ 

The following chart summarizes the number of options and warrants not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, as the results would have been antidilutive. The options and warrants expire between March 2001 and January 2011.

	Fiscal 2001	Fiscal 2000	Fisc
Options and Warrants	2,932,217	1,696,992	3,1
Price Range	\$1.63 to \$7.31	\$5.88 to \$11.00	\$4.50

29

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, cash in escrow, accounts and other receivables, accrued expenses, accounts payable and projects payable approximate fair value, principally because of the short maturity of these items. The fair value for long-term receivables and notes receivable-WCTP are not practical to estimate based on the inability to estimate the exact maturity and cash flows of these receivables (see Note 5 for terms). The project notes payable are stated at fair value based on rates and terms currently available to the Company.

#### Cash in Escrow

Included in cash in escrow, are amounts used to pay various obligations related to the portfolio project bond financing as of February 28, 2001 and 2000 (see Note 11). Cash held in escrow in foreign institutions amounted to approximately \$634,000 and \$2,976,000 as of February 28, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

#### Intangible Assets

Included in intangible assets are deferred financing costs, finders' fees, costs related to acquiring a power purchase agreement ("PPA") and other costs related to acquisition and development of the projects. Such costs are being amortized over their estimated useful lives, which range from four to nine years.

#### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the 2000 and 1999 consolidated financial statements were reclassified to conform to the 2001 presentation.

#### Significant New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities", ("SFAS 133") which requires entities to recognize all derivatives in their financial statements as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS 133 also specifies new methods of accounting for hedging transactions, prescribes the items and transactions that may be hedged, and specifies detailed criteria to be met to qualify for hedge accounting. SFAS 133, as amended, is effective for

fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. The Company has determined that SFAS 133 will have no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

#### 4. Brooklyn Navy Yard

Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. ("BNYLP") is owned equally by a subsidiary of Edison Mission Energy ("Mission"), which is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Edison International, and B-41 Associates, L.P. ("B-41LP"). BNYLP was formed to develop, construct, finance, own and operate the 286 megawatt ("MW") natural-gas-fired, combined-cycle Brooklyn Navy Yard ("BNY") facility.

The BNY facility supplies Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. ("Con Ed") with both electricity and steam under a 40 year contract. The facility also supplies energy to the host industrial park and to an adjacent waste water facility.

30

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The profit sharing and ownership percentages in the B-41LP partnership agreement, as amended, are as follows:

- (1) RV Associates L.P. ("RVA") is a 5% general partner. B-41 Management Corporation ("B-41MC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of York, is also a 5% general partner (see Note 16).
- (2) RVA is also a 15% limited partner.
- (3) Cogeneration Technologies, Inc. ("Cogen"), a wholly owned subsidiary of York, is a 22% limited partner.
- (4) York Cogen Partners L.P. ("YCP") is a 53% limited partner. RRR'S Ventures Ltd. ("RRR'S"), (see Note 16) is the 10% general partner of YCP, and York is the 90% limited partner in YCP.

Through February 28, 2001 BNYLP has incurred both book and tax losses. Accordingly, since B-41LP accounts for its investment in BNYLP under the equity method, and has no funding obligations to BNYLP, B-41LP has recorded no losses.

The summarized financial information of BNYLP as of and for the years ending December 31, 2000 and 1999, which were audited by a firm other than the Company's auditors, is as follows:

	2000	1999
Current Assets	\$ 47,045,000	\$ 27,235,000
	===========	=========
Non Current Assets	\$ 443,311,000	\$460,103,000
	===========	=========
Current Liabilities	\$ 50,225,000	\$ 31,886,000
	==========	=========

	===		
Net Loss	\$	(7,895,000)	\$(29,647,000)
	===	========	
Total Revenues	\$	176,024,000	\$129,691,000
	===	========	
Partners' Deficiency	\$	(59,987,000)	\$(52,092,000)
	===	========	
Non Current Liabilities	\$	500,118,000	\$507,544,000

Long-term project financing for the BNY facility consists of \$100 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Bonds Due 2020 and \$307 million aggregate principal amount of New York City Industrial Development Agency Industrial Development Revenue Bonds due from 2022 to 2036. The Company provided no guarantees with regard to this financing, and has no obligation to provide funding of any sort. The Company expects to receive continuing general partner and other fees over the 40 year life of the project.

In consideration for certain development services for the BNY facility performed by the Company, RVA assumed the obligation for certain loans from Mission totaling \$6,750,000 which are repayable only from amounts received from third party BNYLP financings or BNY facility operating cash flow. In addition, RVA accepted a reduced share of development fees and reimbursements.

In prior years, a commission revenue of \$3,460,000 was deferred, which represented one half of the nonrefundable commission deferred until the equipment upon which the commission was earned was placed in service. In each of fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, \$173,000 was recognized as revenue. The deferred balance will be recognized over the remaining estimated useful life of the related equipment which is twenty years.

31

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with the BNYLP partnership agreement, the Company provides engineering services for the BNY facility. During fiscal 2001, 2000, and 1999, the Company performed engineering services of approximately \$277,000, \$253,000, and \$444,000, respectively, which are reflected in revenues and cost of revenues. At February 28, 2001 and 2000, the Company had receivables from BNYLP of approximately \$45,000, and \$37,000, respectively, related to engineering services. These amounts are included in other receivables – related parties and were fully collected subsequent to the respective year-ends.

Pursuant to the amended partnership agreement, the general partner fee was reduced to .5% of gross revenues for the four years commencing January 1, 1998. In the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999, B-41MC recognized general partner fees of approximately \$499,000, \$336,000, and \$320,000 respectively, with receivables of approximately \$600,000 and \$209,000 at February 28, 2001 and 2000. Royalty fees of approximately \$9,003,000, \$6,047,000 and \$5,761,000 were recognized during fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. These general partner fees and royalty fees were fully collected subsequent to the respective year ends. These royalties, which are equal to 4.5% of gross revenues, commenced in January 1998, and will continue for four years. RVA has agreed not to share in these royalties (see Note 16).

On August 4, 1998, pursuant to the Portfolio Project Bond Financing, B-41LP's right to receive distributions from BNYLP was assigned to Brooklyn Navy

Yard Power LLC, which is wholly owned by B41LP (see Note 11).

BNYLP is subject to certain litigation for which B-41LP has agreed to reimburse Mission for 25% of the excess over \$10 million of such costs, if any, with an aggregate limit of \$10 million, payable solely out of B-41LP's partnership fees and distributions and further limited to \$2\$ million per year. To date there has been no request for reimbursement.

Like other large projects of this nature, the BNY facility is subject to various risks. There can be no assurance that the facility, although completed, will operate at sufficient levels to cover all operation and maintenance expenses and debt service. The Company has no liability for any such shortfalls, although if the shortfalls occur, they could impact the general partner and royalty fees mentioned above.

### 5. Warbasse Facility

The Company, through an operations and maintenance agreement, operates the Warbasse facility, supplying on a continuous basis all the thermal and electric energy needs of the host, Amalgamated Warbasse Houses, Inc., and supplying up to the full capacity requirements of its electric power contract with Con Edison, when dispatched. During fiscal 2001, 2000, and 1999, the Company recognized revenues and cost of revenues each of approximately \$13,600,000, \$7,700,000, and \$5,500,000, respectively, for operations and maintenance services. These revenues and cost of revenues include a reimbursement of general and administrative expenses equal to 20% of direct costs incurred.

The note obligation of WCTP to B-41LP (the "YCP Note") of \$28,522,000 has been included in Long-term notes and other receivables - WCTP. This obligation is payable from a portion of the net operating cash flow of the Warbasse project. On December 1, 1996, this note was assigned to YCP by B-41LP. The YCP Partnership Agreement was amended to provide that the amounts received by YCP in respect of the note obligation shall be distributed 74.7% to York and 25.3% to RRR'S, its minority partner, which are the same distribution percentages as were held by York and affiliates of RRR'S in B-41LP.

32

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On November 17, 1994, the YCP Note was restructured along with other long-term debt of WCTP. Such other long-term debt of WCTP includes a note payable to Tomen Power Corporation ("Tomen") and includes a note payable to Cogen. The three notes will share in the net operating cash flow of WCTP, as defined, pro rata in proportion to the principal balances of the notes, and would share proportionately in the collateral of WCTP in the event of a default. Management expects that all three notes will be paid from the operations of WCTP, and each note carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2%. In addition, YCP and Cogen will receive a restructuring fee of \$3,000,000 each, to be paid the year after the three notes are fully paid.

On August 1, 1996, the Warbasse facility was transferred by Cogen to WCTP, in exchange for a note receivable of \$28,808,535. The note receivable represents the total construction cost of the facility, plus other amounts owed by WCTP to the Company.

On August 4, 1998, the YCP Note was assigned to Warbasse Power II LLC,

a majority owned subsidiary of the Company, and the Cogen Note was assigned to Warbasse Power I, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, pursuant to the Portfolio Financing (see Note 11).

Interest income on these notes amounted to approximately \$4,945,000, \$4,411,000 and \$4,344,000 for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

On May 1, 2001 \$10,667,482 of the long-term receivable related to operations and maintenance services was transferred to an uncollateralized note receivable from WCTP. This note bears interest at LIBOR plus 1%, is due on May 1, 2006, unless extended by mutual agreement and has no prepayment penalty. This note is superior to WCTP's existing notes described above. The net present value of the currently expected future cash flow from the Warbasse facility exceeds the total of all notes and other receivables from WCTP but there can be no assurance that WCTP will have sufficient excess cash flow from operations to make any material payments against this note for the next several years.

Therefore, the caption Long-term notes and other receivables -WCTP on the consolidated balance sheets includes:

	2001	2000
YCP Note	\$28,522,000	\$28,522,000
Cogen Note	28,808,535	28,808,535
Interest	13,697,585	8,752,755
Other receivables	10,667,482	5,581,186
Tabal	¢01 (05 (02	671 ((1 17)
Total	\$81,695,602	\$71,664,476
	=========	=========

#### 6. Receivables - Related Parties

Other receivables - related parties at February 28, 2001 and 2000 consist of the following:

	2001	2000
Service and other receivables - WCTP Engineering service and general	\$3,397,000	\$2,493,124
partner and royalty fee receivables -BNYLP	6,060,308	2,131,919
Total	\$9,457,308	\$4,625,043
	========	========

33

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 7. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at February 28, 2001 and 2000 consist of the following:

	2001	2000
Plant	\$135,495,433	\$135,525,409
Machinery and Equipment	494,035	449,560
Furniture and Fixtures	215,883	212,224
Motor Vehicles	225,421	225,421
Leasehold Improvements	517,924	531,906
	136,948,696	136,944,520
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(6,932,222) 	(2,132,836)
Total	\$130,016,474	\$134,811,684
	=========	=========

#### Facilities and Projects

#### a) Big Spring Wind Energy Facility

On October 21, 1997, York acquired 100% of the partnership interests in New World Power Texas Renewable Energy Limited Partnership, whose significant asset was a 15 year Power Purchase Agreement ("PPA") with Texas Utilities Electric Company ("TU"), with two five year renewal options. York achieved commercial operation of this windpower project in May 1999 in accordance with the PPA.

The facility has a capacity of 34 MW and includes 46 turbines, including four 1,650 Kilowatt ("kW") wind turbines. At February 28, 2001 and 2000, the total costs capitalized related to the development and construction of this project were approximately \$44,282,000 and \$43,914,000, respectively, which are included in property, plant and equipment. Revenues from the Big Spring Wind Energy Facility for fiscal 2001 and 2000 were approximately \$4,200,000 and \$3,900,000, respectively.

#### b) Trinidad Power Project

On February 12, 1998, InnCOGEN Limited ("InnCOGEN"), a wholly owned indirect Trinidadian subsidiary of York, signed a 30 year PPA with Trinidad and Tobago Electricity Commission ("T&TEC"), the government owned transmission and distribution company, under which T&TEC will purchase the bulk of the project output. The Company constructed a 225 MW natural gas fueled combustion turbine project which began commercial operation in September 1999 in accordance with the PPA. At February 28, 2001 and 2000, the total costs capitalized related to the development and construction of this project were approximately \$91,213,000 and \$91,612,000, respectively, which are included in property, plant and equipment. Revenues from the Trinidad Power Project for fiscal 2001 and 2000 were approximately \$19,000,000 and \$9,300,000, respectively.

### c) West Texas Project

On February 26, 1999, West Texas Renewables Limited Partnership ("West Texas L.P.") signed a PPA with Texas Utilities Electric Company ("TU Electric") for 6.6MW of capacity from a wind energy facility located on property adjacent to the Big Spring facility.

The PPA requires TU Electric to buy all of the power generated by the facility for 15 years plus options for two additional five year periods, in a similar manner to the Big Spring facility.

34

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

West Texas LP, is a partnership in which a subsidiary of York is a 1% general partner and Primesouth Inc., a subsidiary of SCANA Corporation, is a 99% limited partner. The Company accounts for its general partner interest on the equity method. Primesouth, Inc. purchased its limited partnership interest for a capital contribution which was used to fund York's construction of the wind turbine facility. Primesouth's capital contribution was also used to pay York for supervising construction and to reimburse York for certain expenses incurred in developing the project which amounted to approximately \$1,388,000 during the year ended February 28, 2000. The West Texas Wind facility was completed in June 1999, achieved commercial operation and was transferred to West Texas L.P. In the years ended February 28, 2001 and 2000, the general partner of West Texas L.P. recognized general partner fees of approximately \$60,000 and \$34,000, respectively.

### 9. Intangible assets, net

Intangible assets, net at February 28, 2001 and 2000 consist of the following:

	2001	2000
Deferred Financing Costs	\$ 14,130,764	\$ 14,130,764
Project Acquisition Costs	5,518,362	5,518,362
Power Purchase Agreement	1,500,000	1,500,000
	21,149,126	21,149,126
Less: Accumulated amortization	(5,578,797)	(3,264,993)
Total	\$ 15,570,329	\$ 17,884,133
	=========	==========

### 10. Accrued Expenses and Other Payables

Accrued expenses and other payables at February 28, 2001 and 2000 include:

	=========	=========
Total	\$ 11,151,629	\$ 12,037,342
Other	1,170,258	1,315,751
Interest payable to bondholders	5,892,480	6,050,000
Accrued payroll and benefits	850,341	431,338
Professional fees	434,933	550 <b>,</b> 559
Accounts payable	\$ 2,803,617	\$ 3,689,694
	2001	2000

### 11. Portfolio Project Bond Financing

On August 4, 1998, a \$150 million, 12%, portfolio project bond

financing due October 30, 2007 (the "Bond Financing") was completed by York Power Funding (Cayman) Limited ("Funding Company"), a special purpose unaffiliated limited liability company formed for the purpose of issuing the Bonds.

The terms of the project notes issued to the Funding Company are identical to the terms of the Bond Financing. The Bond Financing is non-recourse to York and collateralized by all of the assets and future cash flow of the 225MW Trinidad power project, and the 34 MW Big Spring Windpower project and certain assets and cash flow related to the Brooklyn Navy Yard and Warbasse projects. The book value of the collateralized assets is approximately \$187 million at February 28, 2001.

35

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pursuant to the terms of the Bond Financing, an aggregate of 10% of the net equity distributions, if any, to be received by certain York subsidiaries from the projects that pledged cash flow or assets as collateral for the Bond Financing will be paid to the bondholders. In addition, York entered into a covenant agreement with the bond trustee, whereby York agreed to certain limitations, as long as the bonds are outstanding, on incurring new debt, granting of new liens, declaring cash dividends in excess of \$.01 per share, and continuing a business or activity that incurs net losses, as defined. Pursuant to the Bond Financing, the Funding Company is obligated to use its best efforts to register the bonds. Failure to register the bonds could result in an additional .5% interest on the bonds and the project notes payable.

The Company has met all required principal and interest payments on the project notes payable to date. The project notes payable are non-recourse to York. However, as a result of the Bond trustee's inability to set up certain foreign escrow accounts pursuant to provisions included in the bond indenture, the project notes payable have been classified as a current liability as of February 28, 2001.

As a result of the Bond Financing, approximately \$8,434,000 of deferred financing costs were incurred. These deferred financing costs are being amortized over the life of the Bond Financing. Amortization for the years ended February 28, 2001 and 2000 was \$922,000 and \$769,000, respectively.

Project notes payable are classified as a current liability, however, future principal payments on the project notes are payable as follows pursuant to the indenture:

2002	\$ 3,252,000
2003 2004	1,467,000 1,065,000
2005	2,222,000
2006	3,621,000
Thereafter	\$135,685,000
Total	\$147,312,000

#### 12. Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock

The Company has authorized 50,000,000 shares of common stock. In addition, the Company has authorized 10,000,000 shares of Class A common stock, none of which have been issued. Each Class A common share has one/hundredth of a vote as compared with the regular common stock and is entitled to a \$.20 dividend priority before any dividends are payable on the full voting common stock.

Incentive Stock Option ("ISO") Plan

In 1982, the Company authorized 1,400,000 qualified stock options, which have all been granted. The 1982 Plan expired on April 26, 1992. In September 1993, the Company adopted the 1993 ISO Plan, authorizing a total of 3,000,000 qualified and nonqualified stock options, of which 2,955,500 qualified stock options were granted to employees, and 37,400 nonqualified stock options were granted to two consultants to the Company. In July 1999, the Company adopted the 1999 ISO Plan, authorizing a total of 2,500,000 qualified and non-qualified stock options, of which 2,295,000 qualified stock options were granted to employees. In September 1998, 470,000 options previously granted to certain employees were cancelled, and new options were issued at the fair market value on that date.

36

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Options granted under these plans may not be granted at a price less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant (or 110% of fair market value in the case of persons holding 10% or more of the voting stock of the Company). Options granted under the stock option plans will expire not more than ten years from the date of grant. The exercise price of the options is equal to the fair market value of the common stock at the date of the grant. These options generally vest over a five year period.

The Company has adopted only the disclosure provisions of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation ("FAS 123"). It applies APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its plans and does not recognize compensation expense for its stock based employee compensation plans since the options are granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair market value at the date of grant. If the Company had elected to recognize employee compensation expense based upon the fair value at the grant date for awards under these plans and for the director warrants discussed below consistent with the methodology prescribed by FAS 123, the Company's net income (loss) and income (loss) per share would be decreased (increased) to the pro forma amounts indicated below for the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

Year Ended February 28, 2001	As Reported	Pro for
Income:		
Net Income	\$ 3,760,124	\$ 3 <b>,</b> 063
Income Per Share:		
Basic:		
Net income	\$ 0.25	\$
Diluted:		
Net income	\$ 0.24	\$

Year ended February 28, 2000		
Income (loss):		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 3,584,799	\$ 2,752
Net loss	\$(31,141,588)	\$ (31,973
<pre>Income (loss) Per Share:</pre>		
Basic:		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.24	\$
Net loss	\$(2.12)	\$ (
Diluted:	<b>A</b> 0 02	<u> </u>
Income from continuing operations Net loss	\$ 0.23 \$(2.03)	\$
Net 1055	٧ (٤٠٥٥)	, v
Year ended February 28, 1999		
Loss:		
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (1,217,115)	\$ (2,350
Net loss	\$ (5,989,643)	\$ (7,123
Loss Per Share:		
Basic:	*	
Loss from continuing operations	\$(0.09)	\$ (
Net loss	\$(0.42)	\$ (
Diluted:	\$ (0, 00)	Ċ
Loss from continuing operations	\$(0.09)	\$ (
Net loss	\$(0.42)	\$ (

37

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

These pro forma amounts may not be representative of future disclosures because they do not take into effect pro forma compensation expense related to grants made before March 1, 1995. The fair value of these options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

	For the Year Ended February 28,			
	2001	2000	1999	
Volatility	61%	53%	51%	
Risk Free Rate	5.59%	5.54%	5.11%	
Expected Life	7 years	10 years	10 years	
Forfeiture Rate	0%	0%	0%	

The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 for which the exercise price equals the market price on the grant date, was \$.65, \$3.25, and \$2.25, respectively, and the weighted average exercise price was \$.86, \$4.64 and \$3.31, respectively.

The weighted average fair value of options granted during fiscal 2001 and 1999, for which the exercise price is greater than the market price on the

grant date was \$.49 and \$2.19, respectively, and the weighted average exercise price was \$.95 and \$3.64, respectively. There were no options granted during fiscal 2000 which had the exercise price exceeding the market price.

Stock option activity during fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 is summarized below:

		Weighted-A
	Options	Exercise
Balance, February 28, 1998	2,003,108	
Granted	1,270,000	3.31
Exercised	( 49,850)	4.13
Cancelled	(690,000)	7.05
Balance, February 28, 1999	2,533,258	
Granted	125,000	4.64
Exercised	(251,630)	3.13
Cancelled	(288,122)	3.07
Balance, February 28, 2000	2,118,506	
Granted	3,023,000	.90
Exercised		
Cancelled	(585,000)	4.11
Balance February 28, 2001	4,556,506	
	========	

At February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999, 2,530,856, 1,474,006 and 1,853,125 options, respectively, were exercisable.

38

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes information concerning currently outstanding and exercisable stock options:

		Weighted-		
		Average		
		Remaining	Weighted-	
Range of	Number	Contractual	Average	Number
Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Life (Years)	Exercise Price	Exercisable
\$ .70- \$ 1.20	3,023,000	7.32	\$ .90	1,500,000
\$3.10- \$ 5.30	1,463,006	3.56	\$3.96	981 <b>,</b> 506
\$5.31- \$ 9.10	70,500	6.28	\$6.88	49,350
	4,556,506			2,530,856
	=======			=======

#### Warrants

All warrants are exercisable upon grant, although the underlying shares may not necessarily be registered, and the warrants expire up to ten years from date of grant. The exercise prices of the warrants are the NASDAQ closing prices of the Company's common stock at the dates of grant.

The following table summarizes information concerning currently outstanding stock warrants:

Issued Year Ended February 28,

				Warrants Outstand
Issued to	2001	2000	1999	at February 28,
Robert M. Beningson				700,000
Stanley Weinstein	20,000		20,000	80,000
Howard Sommer	20,000		20,000	60,000
Harvey Schultz	20,000		20,000	40,000
Frederic S. Berman	20,000		20,000	40,000
Total Directors	80,000		80,000	920,000
Other Consultants and				
former Directors			50,000	478,711
Total	80,000		130,000	1,398,711
iocai	,		130,000	1,370,711
	=====	=====	======	========

The above warrants were granted at prices ranging from \$1.63 to \$7.31, and expire from March, 2001 through July, 2010. For fiscal 1999, the Company recorded an expense of \$120,000 relating to the issuance or modification of warrants issued to consultants.

The weighted average fair value of warrants granted to Directors during fiscal 2001 and 1999 was \$1.60 and \$2.38, respectively, and the weighted average exercise price was \$1.63 and \$3.50, respectively. There were no warrants issued during fiscal 2000. There were no warrants exercised or cancelled during each of the three years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

39

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company issued common stock purchase warrants in connection with the settlement of litigation in 1993. As of February 28, 2001 and 2000, there were outstanding Class B Warrants evidencing the right to purchase 83,449 and 101,980 shares, respectively, which had the following attributes: (i) an exercise price of \$6.15; and (ii) the Company had the right to reduce the exercise price at any time. Pursuant to an agreement dated October 31, 1997, the Company redeemed 10% of outstanding B Warrants at \$11.50 per warrant, in each of April, 1998, April, 1999 and April, 2000. Pursuant to amendments to the agreement, the Company redeemed \$100,000 worth of the remaining warrants on December, 2000 and \$100,000 on March, 2001 at \$12.00 per warrant with the balance to be redeemed on July 31, 2001 or as extended. The redemption obligation is secured by a 17.5% limited partnership interest in BNYLP, held by B-41LP. The Company has accreted this obligation of approximately \$1,001,000 and \$1,129,000 as of February 28, 2001 and 2000, respectively, which is included in accrued expenses. During the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999 the Company recorded expenses of approximately \$89,000, \$464,000 and \$683,000, respectively, relating to this redemption.

In connection with the settlement of the shareholder litigation, in 1993, the Company issued Class A Warrants, which were surrendered under a letter of credit posted by Mission. Total amounts drawn on the letter of credit amounted to approximately \$6.9 million, which will be repaid only from future operating and financing cash flows of BNYLP.

#### 13. Employee Benefit Plans

Employee Savings Plan

The Company maintains a 401(k) plan which allows employees of the Company to defer a portion of their earnings on a pre-tax basis through contributions to the 401(k) plan up to limits specified by the IRS. The Company, may at its discretion, make a contribution to the 401(k) plan. To date, the Company has elected not to contribute to the 401(k) plan.

Defined Benefit Plan

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees not covered by a collective bargaining agreement. The benefits are based on years of service and the highest consecutive five years of the employees' compensation. The Company's funding policy is to contribute annually the amount necessary to satisfy the Internal Revenue Service's funding standards. Contributions are intended to provide, not only for benefits attributed to service to date, but also for those expected to be earned in the future.

Pension cost for the years ended February 28, 2001, 2000 and 1999 include the following components:

	2001	2000
Service cost - benefits earned during the current period	\$ 59,455	\$ 51,876
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	92,033	80,388
Expected return on plan assets	(52,937)	(36,059)
Net amortization and deferral	77 <b>,</b> 579	47 <b>,</b> 962
Net pension cost	\$176,130 ======	\$144 <b>,</b> 167

40

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For all periods presented, the weighted average discount rate was 8.5% and the rate of increase in future compensation levels was 3%, which were used in determining the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is 8.5%.

The following tables set forth the plan's benefit obligations, change in plan assets and funded status as of February 28, 2001 and 2000:

	2001	20
Change in benefit obligation: Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 1,082,738	\$ 945
Service cost	59,455	51
Interest cost	92,033	80
Actuarial loss	330,538	31
Benefits paid	(153,854)	(26
Benefit obligation at end of year	1,410,910 	1,082 
Change in plan assets: Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	530,274	375

Actual return on plan assets	19,883	15
Employer contribution	200,000	165
Benefits paid	(153, 854)	(26
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	596 <b>,</b> 303	530
Funded status	(814,607)	(552
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	606,494	305
Unrecognized transition amount	53,913	68 
Accrued benefit cost	\$ (154,200)	\$ (178
	========	=====

41

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Employee Stock Ownership Plan

During 1988, the Company adopted an Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") that covers substantially all employees. The Company utilizes the provisions of Statement of Position 93-6, "Employers' Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans". The following table presents the number of shares of common stock, that the ESOP purchased from the Company, at prices which represented 70% of the NASDAQ closing prices at the date of purchase:

Purchased in Fiscal Year	# Shares Purchased	Purchase Pric
1996	288,500	\$ 1,092,21
1993 and prior	3,500,000	13,370,00 
	3,788,500 ======	\$14,462,21 =======

Management believes the valuation represented the fair market value of the unregistered shares on those dates.

The Company contributed approximately \$404,000, \$708,000 and \$678,000, to the ESOP during fiscal 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively. The Company makes annual contributions to the ESOP as determined by the Board of Directors and

subject to certain limitations dictated by tax regulations.

To purchase the shares from the Company, the ESOP borrowed funds from the Company. Repayment of these loans has been and is expected from employer contributions, borrowing by the ESOP from third parties, and by sale of unreleased ESOP shares to third parties. The Company has recorded all amounts loaned to the ESOP as deferred compensation, a contra-equity account, and has included the third party loans to the ESOP in other long-term liabilities. Certain of the unreleased ESOP shares were used as collateral for a third party loan to the ESOP which was repaid in full in May, 2000.

During the quarter ended August 31, 1993, the ESOP borrowed \$1,150,000 from third parties. These funds, along with an additional \$12,987,000 generated through February 28, 2000 by the sale of the Company's stock owned by the ESOP, were used to repay portions of the demand purchase money loans due to the Company. The \$1,150,000 initially borrowed by the ESOP was included in other long-term liabilities and as deferred compensation, a contra-equity account, and had a balance of zero and \$601,000 at February 28, 2001 and 2000 as a result of repayments by the ESOP.

ESOP shares are released and allocated to participant accounts based upon Company contributions and certain payments made to reduce ESOP debt to the Company. The Company reports compensation expense as shares are committed to be released equal to the current market price of the shares, and the shares then become outstanding for earnings-per-share ("EPS") computations.

A summary of the ESOP shares, at February 28, 2001 and 2000 is as follows:

	2001	2000
Allocated shares	470,447	714,428
Shares released for allocation	61,759	151,519
Unreleased shares	202,689	282,737
	734,895	1,148,684
	=======	========
Fair value of unreleased shares	\$456 <b>,</b> 050	\$ 901,224
	=======	========

42

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 14. Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes, for each of the three years in the period ended February 28, is as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Current:			
Federal	\$ -0-	\$ (457,000)	\$ (309,841
State and local	84,000	1,599,000	290 <b>,</b> 288

	84,000	1,142,000	(19,553)
Deferred:			
Federal	(918,000)	694,000	(412,825)
State and Local	98,000	(1,836,000)	(871,432)
Foreign			(105,000)
	(820,000)	(1,142,000)	(1,389,257)
Tax benefits allocated directly to additional paid-in capital:			
Federal			34,000
State and local			14,000
			48,000
Benefit	\$ (736,000)	\$ -0-	\$(1,360,810)

The Government of Trinidad and Tobago granted InnCOGEN a tax holiday, which includes relief from corporation income and certain other taxes for 8 years beginning September 9, 1999.

At February 28, 2001, the Company has a net operating loss carryforward, for Federal income tax purposes, of approximately \$62 million which expires through February 28, 2021. After carrybacks to the fiscal years ended February 28, 1997 and 1998, the Company has approximately a \$51 million alternative minimum tax net operating loss carryforward, which also expires through February 28, 2021. The difference between the loss carryforward amounts is attributable to adjustments required in the alternative minimum tax computation.

Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places a limitation on the utilization of carryforwards when an ownership change, as defined in the tax law, occurs. Generally, an ownership change occurs when there is a greater than 50 percent change in ownership. If such a change should occur, the actual utilization of carry forwards, for tax purposes, would be limited annually to a percentage of the fair market value of the Company at the time of such change.

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, for each of the three years ended February 28, is as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Domestic	\$2,368,194	\$3 <b>,</b> 112 <b>,</b> 132	\$ 213 <b>,</b> 559
Foreign	655 <b>,</b> 930	472,667	(2,791,484)
	\$3,024,124	\$3,584,799	\$(2,577,925)
	========	========	========

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The reconciliation between the effective tax rate and the statutory Federal income tax rate for each of the three years ended February 28, is as follows:

	2001	2000
Amount computed using the statutory rate	\$1,028,000	\$ 1,219,000
Increase (reduction) in taxes resulting from:		
Wind tax credit	(1,645,000)	(1,553,000)
Imputed interest on loans	18,000	
Nondeductible expenses		
Canadian subsidiary		
Intangibles		
Foreign operation not subject to tax	(229,000)	
State and local taxes, net of federal tax benefit and state loss carryforwards	120,000	273,000
Capital loss	(69,000)	
Other	41,000	61,000
	\$( 736,000) ======	-0- ========

44

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The components of the net current deferred tax asset and long-term deferred tax asset (liability) at February 28, 2001 and 2000 are as follows:

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) - Current	2001
Marketable securities Accrued expenses	\$ (450,857) 9,371,000
Accided expenses	
	8,920,143
Deferred tax assets (liabilities) - Non-current	
Loss carryforwards Credit carryovers	24,730,000 3,745,000
Long-term note receivable -WCTP	(8,491,000)
Partnership items Depreciation and amortization	(510,000) (8,904,000)
	10,570,000
Valuation allowance	19,490,143 (4,551,000)
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$14,939,143 =======

The valuation allowance increased by \$2,877,000 due to utilization of higher effective state tax rates. This increase was offset by the Company recognizing certain state tax benefits resulting in the reduction of the valuation allowance of \$910,000.

### 15. Lease Obligations

The Company has a lease for its office space which expires on June 30, 2005. On July 1, 1998, the Company exercised an option to increase its space to 16,700 square feet.

The Company has entered into land leases (the "Big Spring Project Leases") with three land owners who own the land on which the Big Spring facility was constructed and is being operated. Each of the Big Spring Project Leases has an initial term of 15 years, commencing on the Big Spring Commercial Operations date, as defined in the leases, and may be extended for two additional periods of five years each. The Company has also entered into a separate infrastructure agreement with one of the land owners which provides for additional rights beyond those conveyed pursuant to the lease (the "Infrastructure Agreement"). The term of the infrastructure agreement is for twenty-five years, commencing with the Commercial Operations date, as defined in the agreement.

45

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

All rental payments on the leases are calculated as the greater of the leases' minimum payment or a percentage of the gross revenues derived from the wind turbines.

In June 1998, InnCOGEN entered into a lease to sublease the land on which the Trinidad Project was built. The sublease has an original term of 32 years. The rent is \$.40 per square meter per year for the first five years and \$.50 per square meter per year for the second five years. Total square meters leased is approximately 33,000. Thereafter, the rent is to be adjusted in five-year intervals based on the consumer price index. However, the rent cannot be less than the rent for the preceding five-year period or increase by more than 10%.

Total rent expense for operating leases was approximately \$813,000 in fiscal 2001, \$720,000 in fiscal 2000 and \$641,000 in fiscal 1999.

Future minimum annual rental payments for operating leases having terms of more than one year at February 28, 2001 are as follows:

	Operating Leases
2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 Thereafter	\$ 821,000 795,000 680,000 681,000 291,000 2,091,000
Total minimum lease payments	\$5,359,000 ======

## 16. Related Party Transactions

Mr. Beningson, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, is a stockholder of RRR'S, which is a 25% general partner of WCTP, a 20% limited partner of RVA, and a 10% general partner of YCP. In fiscal 2001, 2000, and 1999, RVA was allocated approximately \$622,000, \$555,000 and \$547,000 respectively, of the interest income on the YCP Note (see Note 5). York's chairman controls 15% of the common stock of NAEC.

As discussed in Note 5, WCTP contracted with the Company to procure, construct, design and place in operation a cogeneration facility. The Company's Chairman and the Company's Chief Financial Officer are shareholders of the general partner of WCTP, which receives a general partners fee of 1% of WCTP revenues and an administrative services payment of 4% of WCTP revenues. In fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, the general partner of WCTP received from WCTP approximately \$631,000, \$495,000, and \$491,000, respectively, for general partner and administrative fees. The Company's Chief Financial Officer received an aggregate of \$86,000, \$90,000 and \$86,000 in fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999,

respectively, from RRR's and WCTP. The limited partners of WCTP are not related parties to the Company.

At February 28, 2001 and 2000 no amounts were owed to the Company by Mr. Beningson. At February 28, 1998, Mr. Beningson owed the Company \$5,971,500 related to the exercise of warrants. On May 31, 1998, the Chairman paid \$275,000 of the long-term note he owed to the Company. Also on May 31, 1998, an agreement was reached to facilitate and maximize the Bond Financing. The agreement was between the Company, YCP and the minority interests in YCP and B-41LP. Pursuant to this agreement, the minority interests have agreed to assign and subordinate their interests in various cash flows from the Brooklyn Navy Yard and Warbasse facilities to the bondholders.

46

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition, the minority interests in B-41LP have agreed as of January 1, 1998 to forego completely their 25.3% interest in the royalty to be received from the BNY facility. This royalty will continue through December 31, 2001. In exchange, the Company transferred the balance of the note due from the Chairman of \$5,696,500 to a minority interest. This transfer resulted in a deferred charge on the Company's balance sheet. The deferred charge is being amortized partially over the life of the Bond Financing, and the balance over the remaining term of the BNY royalty agreement. Amortization for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 was approximately \$1,146,000, \$1,143,000 and \$651,000, respectively.

As a condition of the settlement agreement reached with the NAEC creditors, the Chairman agreed to certain restrictions regarding his holdings in York common stock and agreed, upon formation of the trust, to take one half of his compensation in the form of York common stock. In connection therewith, one million shares were issued to the Chairman, which are reflected as deferred compensation at February 28, 2001.

### 17. Discontinued Operations

## A. Natural Gas Marketing

As of February 28, 2000, NAEC discontinued its natural gas marketing business. On March 2, 2000, NAEC filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. NAEC ceased the wholesale natural gas business as of February 28, 2000, but continued its retail natural gas business until it sold the retail business to Amerada Hess Corporation on April 20, 2000 for \$250,000 payable between July 1, 2000 and December 31, 2000, which has been paid in full, net of certain offsets. Amerada Hess assumed all obligations in connection with the Syracuse office and equipment leases and hired all Syracuse personnel. The filing of Chapter 11 was necessitated by an extreme credit crunch which rendered NAEC unable to purchase natural gas to meet its commitments and unable to pay its creditors for natural gas previously delivered (see Note 2).

As of February 28, 2000, the Company accounted for the NAEC wholesale and retail natural gas marketing business as a discontinued operation, as well as the electric marketing business, which was discontinued previously.

The natural gas marketing operations of NAEC are reflected as a discontinued operation for all periods presented. The operating results of the

discontinued operation are summarized as follows:

		For the Year Ended February 28	,
	2001	2000	
Revenues	\$ 2,734,250	\$1,025,741,170	\$9
Income (loss) before tax benefit	(4,588,947)	(16,761,841)	
Tax provision (benefit)		(5,727,834)	
Net Income (loss)	\$ (4,588,947)	\$ (11,034,007)	\$

The operating results for the year ended February 28, 2001 had been accrued in the estimated loss on disposal as of February 28, 2000.

47

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### B. Electric Marketing

During the quarter ended August 31, 1998, unprecedented turmoil in the electric marketing business was caused in part by widely reported failures of certain suppliers to deliver contracted power to a multitude of utilities and marketers, including York's subsidiary, NAEC. NAEC and many others were required to immediately meet existing fixed price commitments. The resulting turmoil caused prices to immediately increase from normal prices in the range of \$30 per megawatt hour to as much as \$7,000 per megawatt hour.

Repercussions from the suppliers' failures caused continuing instability throughout the summer, as market participants found themselves with unbalanced portfolios and a shortage of available sources. NAEC met many of its commitments at substantially increased cost.

As a result, in August 1998, York determined that NAEC should discontinue the electric marketing business due to the volatility, lack of liquidity and unacceptable risk parameters. Operations ceased in December 1999, when all commitments were met.

The electric marketing operations of NAEC are reflected as a discontinued operation for all periods presented. The operating results of the discontinued operation are summarized as follows:

	For	the	Year	Ended	February	28,	
2001				2000			

Revenues	\$ 	\$61,664,756	\$242
Loss before tax benefit		(10,337,843)	(17
Tax benefit		(3,520,522)	(6
Net loss	\$ 	\$(6,817,321)	\$(10

#### C. Net Assets (Liabilities) of discontinued operations

As of February 28, 2001 and 2000 net liabilities of discontinued operations consisted mainly of trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable and an accrual of alleged liquidated damages due certain suppliers.

In addition NAEC also maintained a line of credit that is collateralized by all of the assets of NAEC and is guaranteed by the Company. The line of credit bears interest at 1/2% per annum over the prime rate. Amounts outstanding as of February 28, 2001 and 2000 were approximately \$1,181,000 and \$11,770,000, respectively, which are included in the net liabilities from discontinued operations.

### D. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The company recognized revenues from energy sales in the period in which the commodity was delivered. Inventory consisted of natural gas held in storage. The Company accounted for its natural gas inventory using lower of cost or market, cost being determined using the average cost method.

48

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 18. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of the unaudited quarterly results of operations for fiscal 2001 and 2000 and reflect the natural gas and electric marketing operations as discontinued operations (in thousands of dollars except per share data).

For the Quarter Ended

Fiscal 2001	May 31	August 31	November 30	Februa
Total revenues	\$ 8,107	\$ 8,963	\$10,120	\$1
Gross profit	====== \$ 4,163	====== \$ 3,685	====== \$ 3,335	== \$
Net income	===== \$ 580	====== \$ 811	====== \$ 751	== \$
	======	======	======	==

Earnings per share - Basic:

Net income	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.05	\$
	======	======	======	==
Earnings per share - Diluted:				
Net income	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.05	\$
	======	======	=====	==

## For the Quarter Ended

Fiscal 2000	May 31	August 31	November 30	Februa
Total revenue	\$ 3,465 ======	\$ 3,138 ======	\$ 7,195 ======	\$
Gross profit	\$ 1,981	\$ 1,443	\$ 4,530	=== \$
Income (loss) from				===
continuing operations	\$ 961	\$ 191	\$ 2,664	\$
Loss from				
discontinued operations	(100)	(5,133)	(3,185)	(2
Net income (loss)	\$ 861	\$ (4,942)	\$ (521)	\$(2
	=====	=====	=====	===
Earnings (loss) per share-Basic:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.18	\$
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.35)	(0.21)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.03)	 \$
	=====	=====	======	===
Earnings (loss) per share - Diluted:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.18	\$
Discontinued operations		(0.33)	(0.21)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.03)	 \$

49

# YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

19. Significant Customers and Contracts, Commitments and Contingencies

Each of the power projects is dependent on one customer, typically a utility, for substantially all of its revenue.

The Company has entered into an employment agreement with the Chief Executive Officer, which expires May 2004. Such agreement requires a minimum annual base salary and sets bonuses tied to pre-tax operating income.

Previously reported legal actions against the Company based upon guarantees of NAEC obligations have either been discontinued or are not being prosecuted by mutual consent. All remaining plaintiffs have executed a settlement agreement which was approved by the bankruptcy court on January 8, 2001. The Company anticipates that the remaining actions will now be discontinued. The settlement agreement called for the Company to settle these obligations with the formation and funding of a trust by May 1, 2001 which date has now been extended. See Note 2 for further details on the Company's efforts and continuing negotiations.

In addition, NAEC's lender for the line of credit has reached an accommodation with the Company pursuant to which it has agreed not to oppose the entry of an injuction preventing it from pursuing its litigation against the Company. Such litigation is therefore stayed. Included in NAEC's estimate of its total pre-petition obligations, NAEC has accrued its estimate of the maximum liability to these creditors as well as to the lender for the line of credit.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

YORK RESEARCH CORPORATION (Registrant)

/s/Robert M. Beningson
-----Robert M. Beningson
President, Chief Executive Officer
Chairman of the Board
June 21, 2001

/s/Michael Trachtenberg
----Michael Trachtenberg
Executive Vice President
Chief Financial and Accounting
Officer, Secretary
June 21, 2001

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Robert M. Beningson
----Robert M. Beningson
Director
June 21, 2001

/s/ Stanley Weinstein
-----Stanley Weinstein
Director
June 21, 2001

/s/ Howard Sommer
Howard Sommer
Director
June 21, 2001

/s/ Harvey W. Schultz
----Harvey W. Schultz
Director
June 21, 2001

/s/ Frederic S. Berman
----Frederic S. Berman
Director
June 21, 2001