

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORP
Form 10-Q
August 13, 2010

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission file number: 0-27702

Bank of South Carolina Corporation
(Exact name of registrant issuer as specified in its charter)

South Carolina
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

57-1021355
(IRS Employer
Identification Number)

256 Meeting Street, Charleston, SC 29401
(Address of principal executive offices)

(843) 724-1500

(Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Company Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated Filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> | Smaller reporting Company | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 11, 2010 there were 4,022,216 Common Shares outstanding.

Table of Contents
 BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION

Report on Form 10-Q
 for quarter ended
 June 30, 2010

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income - Three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income – Six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Shareholders’ Equity and Comprehensive Income - Six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 6 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | |
| Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 32 |
| Liquidity | 33 |
| Capital Resources | 33 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | |
| Item 4 and 4T. Controls and Procedures | |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 35 |
| Item 1A Risk Factors | 35 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 35 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 35 |
| Item 4. Removed and Reserved | 35 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 35 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 35 |
| Signatures | 36 |
| Certifications | 37 |

PART I - ITEM 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | (Unaudited) June 30, 2010 | (Audited) December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 6,487,837 | \$ 5,794,540 |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 8,290 | 8,269 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,862,786 | 3,779,693 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 38,485,745 | 36,862,345 |
| Mortgage loans to be sold | 4,009,144 | 3,433,460 |
| Loans | 204,508,504 | 213,882,476 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (3,116,222) | (3,026,997) |
| Net loans | 201,392,282 | 210,855,479 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 2,452,659 | 2,516,189 |
| Other real estate owned | 118,340 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,088,484 | 1,152,240 |
| Other assets | 1,721,461 | 1,512,543 |
| Total assets | \$ 262,627,028 | \$ 265,914,758 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Non-interest bearing demand | \$ 51,677,241 | \$ 48,394,049 |
| Interest bearing demand | 55,596,544 | 49,257,712 |
| Money market accounts | 62,519,261 | 63,965,862 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over | 34,166,944 | 41,929,687 |
| Other time deposits | 17,077,830 | 16,943,042 |
| Other savings deposits | 12,239,134 | 9,347,328 |
| Total deposits | 233,276,954 | 229,837,680 |
| Short-term borrowings | 285,297 | 8,006,753 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 905,775 | 503,128 |
| Total liabilities | 234,468,026 | 238,347,561 |
| Common Stock - No par value; | | |
| 12,000,000 shares authorized; issued 4,218,801 shares at June 30, 2010 and 4,202,411 at December 31, 2009; outstanding 4,019,300 shares at June 30, 2010 and 4,002,910 shares at December 31, 2009 | - | - |
| Additional paid in capital | 23,681,642 | 23,511,560 |
| Retained earnings | 5,621,825 | 4,968,336 |
| Treasury stock – 199,501 shares at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | (1,692,964) | (1,692,964) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income taxes | 548,499 | 780,265 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 28,159,002 | 27,567,197 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 262,627,028 | \$ 265,914,758 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest and fee income | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 2,571,561 | \$ 2,467,949 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities | 380,417 | 375,971 |
| Other interest income | 2,859 | 2,668 |
| Total interest and fee income | 2,954,837 | 2,846,588 |
| Interest expense | | |
| Interest on deposits | 271,601 | 339,089 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 1,245 | 1,560 |
| Total interest expense | 272,846 | 340,649 |
| Net interest income | 2,681,991 | 2,505,939 |
| Provision for loan losses | 110,000 | 113,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 2,571,991 | 2,392,939 |
| Other income | | |
| Service charges, fees and commissions | 263,338 | 269,229 |
| Mortgage banking income | 203,703 | 308,783 |
| Gain on sale of securities | - | 72,952 |
| Other non-interest income | 6,546 | 5,698 |
| Total other income | 473,587 | 656,662 |
| Other expense | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,131,524 | 1,067,292 |
| Net occupancy expense | 334,331 | 327,285 |
| Other operating expenses | 524,702 | 602,335 |
| Total other expense | 1,990,557 | 1,996,912 |
| Income before income tax expense | 1,055,021 | 1,052,689 |
| Income tax expense | 326,179 | 365,228 |
| Net income | \$ 728,842 | \$ 687,461 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 4,007,307 | 3,984,053 |
| Diluted | 4,007,307 | 3,984,053 |
| Cash Dividend Per Share | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.16 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest and fee income | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 5,243,002 | \$ 4,854,282 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities | 747,364 | 768,765 |
| Other interest income | 3,925 | 6,213 |
| Total interest and fee income | 5,994,291 | 5,629,260 |
| Interest expense | | |
| Interest on deposits | 557,039 | 667,033 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 8,610 | 4,354 |
| Total interest expense | 565,649 | 671,387 |
| Net interest income | 5,428,642 | 4,957,873 |
| Provision for loan losses | 230,000 | 164,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 5,198,642 | 4,793,873 |
| Other income | | |
| Service charges, fees and commissions | 511,619 | 533,674 |
| Mortgage banking income | 370,580 | 581,464 |
| Gain on sale of securities | - | 122,315 |
| Other non-interest income | 12,139 | 10,511 |
| Total other income | 894,338 | 1,247,964 |
| Other expense | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,298,380 | 2,085,065 |
| Net occupancy expense | 644,917 | 659,650 |
| Other operating expenses | 1,032,925 | 1,035,154 |
| Total other expense | 3,976,222 | 3,779,869 |
| Income before income tax expense | 2,116,758 | 2,261,968 |
| Income tax expense | 661,049 | 799,605 |
| Net income | \$ 1,455,709 | \$ 1,462,363 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.37 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.37 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 4,005,121 | 3,980,359 |
| Diluted | 4,005,121 | 3,980,359 |
| Cash Dividend Per Share | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.32 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)
FOR SIX MONTHS JUNE 30, 2009 AND 2010

| | Common Stock | Additional Paid In Capital | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|
| December 31, 2008 | \$ - | \$ 23,229,045 | \$ 4,375,166 | \$ (1,692,964) | \$ 896,817 | \$ 26,808,064 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | 1,462,363 | - | - | 1,462,363 |
| Net unrealized loss on securities (net of tax effect of \$112,064) | - | - | - | - | (190,812) | (190,812) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income (net of tax effect \$45,256) | - | - | - | - | (77,059) | (77,059) |
| Comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | 1,194,492 |
| Exercise of stock options | - | 229,623 | - | - | - | 229,623 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | - | 26,536 | - | - | - | 26,536 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.32 per common share) | - | - | (1,276,635) | - | - | (1,276,635) |
| June 30, 2009 | \$ - | \$ 23,485,204 | \$ 4,560,894 | \$ (1,692,964) | \$ 628,946 | \$ 26,982,080 |
| December 31, 2009 | \$ - | \$ 23,511,560 | \$ 4,968,336 | \$ (1,692,964) | \$ 780,265 | \$ 27,567,197 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | - | - | 1,455,709 | - | - | 1,455,709 |
| Net unrealized loss on securities (net of tax effect of \$136,116) | - | - | - | - | (231,766) | (231,766) |
| Total comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | 1,223,943 |

Edgar Filing: BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---------------|--------------|----------------|------------|---------------|
| Exercise of stock options | - | 146,482 | - | - | - | 146,482 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | - | 23,600 | - | - | - | 23,600 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.20 per common share) | - | - | (802,220) | - | - | (802,220) |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ - | \$ 23,681,642 | \$ 5,621,825 | \$ (1,692,964) | \$ 548,499 | \$ 28,159,002 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,455,709 | \$ 1,462,363 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided (used) by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 127,331 | 107,458 |
| Gain on sale of securities | - | (122,315) |
| Provision for loan losses | 230,000 | 164,000 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 23,600 | 26,536 |
| Net amortization of unearned discounts and premiums on investments | 19,423 | 19,414 |
| Origination of mortgage loans held for sale | (29,941,324) | (63,569,458) |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans held for sale | 29,365,640 | 61,685,160 |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable and other assets | (173,125) | (195,204) |
| Increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 717 | 150,288 |
| Net cash provided (used) by operating activities | 1,107,971 | (271,758) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (2,430,705) | (7,324,106) |
| Maturities and calls of investment securities available for sale | 420,000 | 1,836,600 |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans | 9,315,237 | (19,815,848) |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (63,801) | (50,737) |
| Proceeds from sale of available for sale securities | - | 6,108,000 |
| Purchase of other real estate owned | (101,300) | - |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 65,000 | - |
| Net cash provided (used) by investing activities | 7,204,431 | (19,246,091) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in deposit accounts | 3,439,274 | 6,847,105 |
| Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowings | (7,721,456) | 6,964,018 |
| Dividends paid | (400,291) | (1,272,527) |
| Stock options exercised | 146,482 | 229,623 |
| Net cash (used) provided by financing activities | (4,535,991) | 12,768,219 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 3,776,411 | (6,749,630) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 9,582,502 | 20,212,538 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 13,358,913 | \$ 13,462,908 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow data: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$ 546,441 | \$ 727,967 |
| Income taxes | \$ 725,404 | \$ 773,348 |

Supplemental disclosure for non-cash investing and financing activity:

| | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Change in dividends payable | \$ | 400,291 | \$ | 4,108 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ | 183,340 | \$ | - |
| Change in unrealized losses on available for sale securities | \$ | (231,766) | \$ | (190,812) |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)
JUNE 30, 2010

NOTE 1: Basis of Presentation

The Bank of South Carolina (the "Bank") began operations on February 26, 1987 as a state chartered bank and later became a subsidiary of Bank of South Carolina Corporation (the "Company"), a South Carolina corporation, in a reorganization effective on April 17, 1995. The Bank currently has four locations, two in Charleston, South Carolina, one in Summerville, South Carolina and one in Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina. The consolidated financial statements in this report are unaudited, except for the December 31, 2009 consolidated balance sheet. All adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for fair presentation of the interim consolidated financial statements have been included and fairly and accurately present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results which may be expected for the entire year.

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) which requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. In addition, they affect the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions.

In preparing these financial statements, the Company has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

NOTE 2: Investment Securities

The Company classifies investments into three categories as follows: (1) Held to Maturity - debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, which are reported at amortized cost, adjusted for the amortization of any related premiums or the accretion of any related discounts into interest income using a methodology which approximates a level yield of interest over the estimated remaining period until maturity; (2) Trading - debt and equity securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term, which are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings; and (3) Available for Sale - debt and equity securities that may be sold under certain conditions, which are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of income taxes. Unrealized losses on securities due to fluctuations in fair value are recognized when it is determined that an other than temporary decline in value has occurred. Realized gains or losses on the sale of investments are recognized on a specific identification, trade date basis. All securities were classified as available for sale for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company does not have any mortgage-backed securities nor has it ever invested in mortgage-backed securities.

NOTE 3: Stock Based Compensation

The shareholders of the Company voted at the Company's Annual Meeting, April 13, 2010 to approve the 2010 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan; including 300,000 shares reserved under the plan (copy of the plan was filed with 2010 Proxy Statement). This plan is intended to assist the Company in recruiting and retaining employees with ability and initiative by enabling employees to participate in its future success and to associate their interest with those of the Company and its shareholders. Under the Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, options are periodically granted to employees at a price not less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares at the date of the grant. All employees are eligible to participate in this plan if the Executive Committee, in its sole discretion, determines that such person has contributed or can be expected to contribute to the profits or growth of the Company or its subsidiary. Options may be exercised in whole at any time or in part from time to time at such times and in compliance with such

requirements as the Executive Committee shall determine. The maximum period in which an Option may be exercised is determined at the date of grant and shall not exceed 10 years from the date of grant.

The options are not transferable except by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. As of the date the financial statements were available to be issued, no options have been granted under this plan.

On April 14, 1998 the Company adopted the 1998 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan which expired on April 14, 2008. No options may be granted under this Plan after April 14, 2008. Options granted before that date shall remain valid in accordance with their terms.

The following is a summary of the activity under the 1998 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan for the three and six months ending June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009.

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at April 1, 2010 | 79,087 | \$ 11.67 |
| Exercised | (15,786) | 8.92 |
| Exercised | (604) | 9.39 |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | 62,697 | \$ 12.38 |

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 79,087 | \$ 11.67 |
| Exercised | (15,786) | 8.92 |
| Exercised | (604) | 9.39 |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | 62,697 | \$ 12.38 |
| Options exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 21,839 | \$ 8.92 |
| | 5,251 | \$ 9.39 |

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at April 1, 2009 | 105,298 | \$ 10.99 |
| Granted | - | - |
| Exercised | (24,253) | 8.92 |
| Exercised | (1,320) | 9.39 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | 109,225 | \$ 11.65 |

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2009 | 105,398 | \$ 10.99 |
| Granted | - | - |
| Exercised | (24,353) | 8.92 |
| Exercised | (1,320) | 9.39 |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | 109,225 | \$ 11.65 |
| Options exercisable at June 30, 2009 | 9,344 | \$ 8.92 |
| | 3,286 | \$ 9.39 |

NOTE 4: Shareholders' Equity

A regular quarterly cash dividend of \$.10 per share was declared on March 25, 2010 for shareholders of record at April 9, 2010, payable April 30, 2010. On June 24, 2010 a cash dividend of \$.10 per share was declared for shareholders of record July 9, 2010, payable on July 30, 2010. Income per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was calculated as follows:

| | FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | INCOME (NUMERATOR) | SHARES (DENOMINATOR) | PER SHARE AMOUNT |
| Net income | \$ 728,842 | | |
| Basic income available to common shareholders | \$ 728,842 | 4,007,307 | \$.18 |
| Effect of dilutive options | | - | |
| Diluted income available to common shareholders | \$ 728,842 | 4,007,307 | \$.18 |

| | FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | INCOME (NUMERATOR) | SHARES (DENOMINATOR) | PER SHARE AMOUNT |
| Net income | \$ 1,455,709 | | |
| Basic income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,455,709 | 4,005,121 | \$.36 |
| Effect of dilutive options | | - | |
| Diluted income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,455,709 | 4,005,121 | \$.36 |

| | FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | INCOME (NUMERATOR) | SHARES (DENOMINATOR) | PER SHARE AMOUNT |
| Net income | \$ 687,461 | | |
| Basic income available to common shareholders | \$ 687,461 | 3,984,053 | \$.17 |
| Effect of dilutive options | | - | |
| Diluted income available to common shareholders | \$ 687,461 | 3,984,053 | \$.17 |

| | FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 | | |
|---|--|---------------|--------|
| | INCOME | SHARES | PER |
| | (NUMERATOR) | (DENOMINATOR) | SHARE |
| | | | AMOUNT |
| Net income | \$ 1,462,363 | | |
| Basic income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,462,363 | 3,980,359 | \$.37 |
| Effect of dilutive options | | - | |
| Diluted income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,462,363 | 3,980,359 | \$.37 |

The future payment of cash dividends is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and depends upon a number of factors, including future earnings, financial condition, cash requirements, and general business conditions. Cash dividends when declared, are paid by the Bank to the Company for distribution to shareholders of the Company. Certain regulatory requirements restrict the amount of dividends which the Bank can pay to the Company.

NOTE 5: Comprehensive Income

The Company applies accounting standards which establish guidance for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in a full set of general purpose financial statements. Comprehensive income consists of net income and net unrealized gains or losses on securities and is presented in the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity and comprehensive income.

Comprehensive income totaled \$1,223,943 at June 30, 2010 and \$1,194,492 at June 30, 2009. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, comprehensive income totaled \$485,439 and \$517,779, respectively.

NOTE 6: Fair Value Measurements

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted accounting standards which provide a framework for measuring and disclosing fair value under generally accepted accounting principles. The guidance requires disclosures about the fair value of assets and liabilities recognized in the balance sheet in periods subsequent to initial recognition, whether the measurements are made on a recurring basis (for example, available-for-sale investment securities) or on a nonrecurring basis (for example, impaired loans).

The standard defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The standard also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as US Treasuries and money market funds.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds, corporate debt securities and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes certain derivative contracts and impaired loans.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption based on unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. At June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the Company's investment portfolio was comprised of a US Treasury Note, Government Sponsored Enterprises and Municipal Securities. The portfolio did not contain any mortgage-backed securities. Fair value measurement is based upon prices obtained from third party pricing services that use independent pricing models which rely on a variety of factors including reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, benchmark yields, economic and industry events and other relevant market information. As such, these securities are classified as Level 2.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

The Company originates fixed and variable rate residential loans on a servicing released basis in the secondary market. Loans closed but not yet settled with other investors, are carried in the Company's loans held for sale portfolio. These loans are fixed rate residential loans that have been originated in the Company's name and have closed. Virtually all of these loans have commitments to be purchased by investors and the majority of these loans were locked in by price with the investors on the same day or shortly thereafter that the loan was locked in with the Company's customers. Therefore, these loans present very little market risk for the Company. The Company usually delivers to, and receives funding from, the investor within 30 days. Commitments to sell these loans to the investor are considered derivative contracts and are sold to investors on a "best efforts" basis. The Company is not obligated to deliver a loan or pay a penalty if a loan is not delivered to the investor. As a result of the short-term nature of these derivative contracts, the fair value of the mortgage loans held for sale in most cases is the same as the value of the loan amount at its origination. These loans are classified as Level 2.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

| | Quoted Market Price in active markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance at June 30, 2010 |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| US Treasury Note | \$ 3,066,562 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,066,562 |
| Government Sponsored Enterprises | \$ - | \$ 12,300,133 | \$ - | \$ 12,300,133 |
| Municipal Securities | \$ - | \$ 23,119,050 | \$ - | \$ 23,119,050 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ - | \$ 4,009,144 | \$ - | \$ 4,009,144 |
| Total | \$ 3,066,562 | \$ 39,428,327 | \$ - | \$ 42,494,889 |

| | Quoted Market Price in active markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance at December 31, 2009 |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| US Treasury Note | \$ 3,118,594 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,118,594 |
| Government Sponsored Enterprises | \$ - | \$ 12,541,819 | \$ - | \$ 12,541,819 |
| Municipal Securities | \$ - | \$ 21,201,932 | \$ - | \$ 21,201,932 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | \$ - | \$ 3,433,460 | \$ - | \$ 3,433,460 |
| Total | \$ 3,118,594 | \$ 37,177,211 | \$ - | \$ 40,295,805 |

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO)

Loans, secured by real estate, are adjusted to fair value upon transfer to other real estate owned (OREO). Subsequently, OREO is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraisal, the Company records the OREO as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the asset as nonrecurring Level 3.

Impaired Loans

The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with ASC 310-10, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan".

In accordance with this standard, the fair value is estimated using one of the following methods: fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell, discounted cash flows, or market value of the loan based on similar debt. The fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell is the most frequently used method. Typically, the Company reviews the most recent appraisal and if it is over 12 months old will request a new third party appraisal. Depending on the particular circumstances surrounding the loan, including the location of the collateral, the date of the most recent appraisal and the value of the collateral relative to the recorded investment in the loan, management may order an independent appraisal immediately or, in some instances, may elect to perform an internal analysis. Specifically as an example, in situations where the collateral on a nonperforming commercial real estate loan is out of the Company's primary market area, management would typically order an independent appraisal immediately, at the earlier of the date the loan becomes nonperforming or immediately following the determination that the loan is impaired. However, as a second example, on a nonperforming commercial real estate loan where management is familiar with the property and surrounding areas and where the original appraisal value far exceeds the recorded investment in the loan, management may perform an internal analysis whereby the previous appraisal value would be reviewed and adjusted for recent conditions including recent sales of similar properties in the area and any other relevant economic trends. These valuations are reviewed at a minimum on a quarterly basis.

Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, substantially all of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral. In accordance with ASC 820, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an on going basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The following table presents the assets and liabilities carried on the balance sheet by caption and by level within the valuation hierarchy (as described above) as of June 30, 2010 for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are as follows as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| | Quoted Market Price in active markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance at June 30, 2010 |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| Impaired loans | \$ - | \$ 4,151,463 | \$ - | \$ 4,151,463 |
| Other real estate owned | \$ - | \$ 118,340 | \$ - | \$ 118,340 |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 4,269,803 | \$ - | \$ 4,269,803 |

| | Quoted Market Price in active markets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance at December 31, 2009 |
|----------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Impaired loans | \$ - | \$ 2,502,002 | \$ - | \$ 2,502,002 |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 2,502,002 | \$ - | \$ 2,502,002 |

The Company has no assets or liabilities whose fair values are measured using level 3 inputs.

Accounting standards require disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. Fair value estimates are made as of a specific point in time based on the characteristics of the financial instruments and the relevant market information. Where available, quoted market prices are used. In other cases, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. These techniques involve uncertainties and are significantly affected by the assumptions used and the judgments made regarding risk characteristics of various financial instruments, discount rates, prepayments, estimates of future cash flows, future expected loss experience and other factors. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates. Derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, may or may not be realized in an immediate sale of the instrument.

Under the accounting standard, fair value estimates are based on existing financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of the assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts of existing financing instruments do not represent the underlying value of those instruments on the books of the Company.

The following describes the methods and assumptions used by the Company in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

a. Cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold

The carrying value approximates fair value. All mature within 90 days and do not present unanticipated credit concerns.

b. Investment securities available for sale

The fair value of investment securities is derived from quoted market prices.

c. Loans

The carrying values of variable rate consumer and commercial loans and consumer and commercial loans with remaining maturities of three months or less, approximate fair value. The fair values of fixed rate consumer and commercial loans with maturities greater than three months are determined using a discounted cash flow analysis and assume the rate being offered on these types of loans by the Company at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximate market.

The carrying value of mortgage loans held for sale approximates fair value.

For lines of credit, the carrying value approximates fair value.

d. Other real estate owned

Other real estate owned is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value on a non-recurring basis, based upon independent appraisals or management's estimation of the fair value of the collateral.

e. Deposits

The estimated fair value of deposits with no stated maturity is equal to the carrying amount. The fair value of time deposits is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows, by applying interest rates currently being offered on the deposit products. The fair value estimates for deposits do not include the benefit that results from the low cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities as compared to the cost of alternative forms of funding (deposit base intangibles).

f. Short-term borrowings

The carrying amount approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2010 | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 6,487,837 | \$ 6,487,837 |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 8,290 | 8,290 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,862,786 | 6,862,786 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 38,485,745 | 38,485,745 |
| Loans (1) | 208,517,648 | 213,673,743 |
| Other real estate owned | 118,340 | 118,340 |
| Deposits | 233,276,954 | 233,534,530 |
| Short-term borrowings | 285,297 | 285,297 |

| | December 31, 2009 | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 5,794,540 | \$ 5,794,540 |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 8,269 | 8,269 |
| Federal funds sold | 3,779,693 | 3,779,693 |
| Investments available for sale | 36,862,345 | 36,862,345 |
| Loans (1) | 217,315,936 | 222,968,621 |
| Other real estate owned | - | - |
| Deposits | 229,837,680 | 230,081,062 |
| Short-term borrowings | 8,006,753 | 8,006,753 |

(1) Includes mortgage loans to be sold

NOTE 7: Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The following is a summary of recent authoritative pronouncements that could impact the accounting, reporting and/or disclosure of financial information by the Company.

In January 2010, fair value guidance was amended by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) to require disclosures for significant amounts transferred in and out of Levels 1 and 2 and the reasons for such transfers and to require that gross amounts of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements be provided in the Level 3 reconciliation. The new disclosures are effective for the Company for the current quarter and have been reflected in the Fair Value footnote, Note 6.

Guidance related to subsequent events was amended in February 2010 to remove the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated. The amendments were effective upon issuance and had no significant impact on the Company’s financial statements.

Income Tax guidance was amended by FASB in April 2010 to reflect an SEC Staff Announcement after the President signed the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 on March 30, 2010, which amended the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act signed on March 23, 2010. According to the announcement, although the bills were signed on separate dates, regulatory bodies would not object if the two Acts were considered together for accounting purposes. This view is based on the SEC staff’s understanding that the two Acts together represent the current health care reforms as passed by Congress and signed by the President. The amendment had no impact on the Company’s financial statements.

On July 21, 2010 the President signed the Dodd-Frank Act (financial overhaul bill). The Company is reviewing the act and its impact on the financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 8: Subsequent Events

On July 7, 2010 the Company purchased back from Savannah Bank seven loans in the amount of \$4,326,062. These seven loans were originally sold from the Company to Savannah Bank on April 1st and April 2nd of 2010.

Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. Recognized subsequent events are events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events are events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date. Management has reviewed events occurring through the date the financial statements were issued and no subsequent events have occurred requiring accrual or disclosure.

ITEM 2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OR PLAN OF OPERATION

Management's discussion and analysis is included to assist shareholders in understanding the Company's financial condition, results of operations, and cash flow. This discussion should be reviewed in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements (unaudited) and notes included in this report and the supplemental financial data appearing throughout this report. Since the primary asset of the Company is its wholly-owned subsidiary, most of the discussion and analysis relates to the Bank.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other portions of this quarterly report contain certain "forward-looking statements" concerning the future operations of the Bank of South Carolina Corporation. Management desires to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1996 and is including this statement for the express purpose of availing the Company of protections of such safe harbor with respect to all "forward-looking statements" contained in this Form 10-Q. The Company has used "forward-looking statements" to describe future plans and strategies including its expectations of the Company's future financial results. The following are cautionary statements. Management's ability to predict results or the effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. A variety of factors may affect the operations, performance, business strategy and results of the Company including, but not limited to the following:

- Risk from changes in economic, monetary policy, and industry conditions,
- Changes in interest rates, shape of the yield curve, deposit rates, the net interest margin and funding sources,
- Market risk (including net income at risk analysis and economic value of equity risk analysis) and inflation,
 - Risk inherent in making loans including repayment risks and changes in the value of collateral,
- Loan growth, the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, provisions for loan losses, and the assessment of problem loans,
 - Level, composition, and re-pricing characteristics of the securities portfolio,
 - Deposit growth, change in the mix or type of deposit products and services,
 - Continued availability of senior management,
 - Technological changes,
 - Ability to control expenses,
 - Changes in compensation,
 - Risks associated with income taxes including potential for adverse adjustments,
 - Changes in accounting policies and practices,
 - Changes in regulatory actions, including the potential for adverse adjustments,
 - Recently enacted or proposed legislation,
 - Current disarray in the financial service industry.

Such forward looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made and shall be deemed to be updated by any future filings made by the Company with the SEC. The Company will undertake no obligation to update any forward looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. In addition, certain statements in future filings by the Company with the SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Company, which are not statements of historical fact, constitute forward looking statements.

Overview

Bank of South Carolina Corporation (the Company) is a financial institution holding company headquartered in Charleston, South Carolina, with \$262.6 million in assets as of June 30, 2010 and net income of \$728,842 and \$1,455,709 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company offers a broad range of financial services through its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Bank of South Carolina (the Bank). The Bank is a state-chartered commercial bank which operates principally in the Charleston, Dorchester, and Berkeley counties of South Carolina. The Bank's original and current concept is to be a full service financial institution specializing in personal service, responsiveness, and attention to detail to foster long standing relationships.

The following is a discussion of the Company's financial condition as of June 30, 2010 as compared to December 31, 2009 and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The discussion and analysis identifies significant factors that have affected the Company's financial position and operating results and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the related notes included in this report.

The Company derives most of its income from interest on loans and investments (interest bearing assets). The primary source of funding for making these loans and investments is the Company's deposits, on which the Company pays interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of the Company's success is the amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on its interest earning assets, such as loans and investments, and the expense on its interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits. Another key measure is the spread between the yield the Company earns on these interest bearing assets and the rate the Company pays on its interest-bearing liabilities.

There are risks inherent in all loans; therefore, the Company maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb estimated losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. The Company established and maintains this allowance by charging a provision for loan losses against its operating earnings. In the following section the Company has included a discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing its allowance for loan losses and the allocation of this allowance among its various categories of loans.

The Company's results of operations depend not only on the level of its net interest income from loans and investments, but also on its non-interest income and its operating expenses. Net interest income depends upon the volumes, rates and mix associated with interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities which result in the net interest spread. The Company's net interest spread for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was 4.11% and 4.14%, respectively, compared to 3.96% and 3.98% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Non-interest income includes fees and other expenses charged to customers. A more detailed discussion of interest income, non-interest income and operating expenses follows.

For six months ended June 30, 2010, the Bank has paid \$825,000 to the Company for dividend payments.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company has adopted various accounting policies that govern the application principles generally accepted in the United States and with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of its financial statements. The Company's significant accounting policies are described in the footnotes to its unaudited consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2010 and its notes included in the consolidated financial statements in its 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the SEC.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by the Company that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. The Company considers these accounting policies to be critical accounting policies. The judgment and assumptions the Company uses are based on historical experience and other factors, which the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the number of the judgments and assumptions the Company makes, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates that could have a material impact on the carrying values of its assets and liabilities and its results of operations.

The Company considers its policies regarding the allowance for loan losses to be its most subjective accounting policy due to the significant degree of management judgment. The Company has developed what it believes to be appropriate policies and procedures for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, recognizing that this process requires a number of assumptions and estimates with respect to its loan portfolio. The Company's assessments may be impacted in future periods by changes in economic conditions, the impact of regulatory examinations and the discovery of information with respect to borrowers which were not known by management at the time of the issuance of the consolidated financial statements. For additional discussion concerning the Company's allowance for loan losses and related matters, see "Allowance for Loan Losses."

BALANCE SHEET

LOANS

The Company focuses its lending activities on small and middle market businesses, professionals and individuals in its geographic markets. At June 30, 2010 outstanding loans (less deferred loan fees of \$9,412) totaled \$204,508,504 which equaled 87.67% of total deposits and 77.87% of total assets. The major components of the loan portfolio were commercial loans and commercial real estate loans totaling 22.40% and 52.27%, respectively of total loans. Substantially all loans were to borrowers located in the Company's market areas in the counties of Charleston, Dorchester and Berkeley in South Carolina. The breakdown of total loans by type and the respective percentage of total loans are as follows:

| | 2010 | June 30, | 2009 | December 31, | 2009 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Commercial loans | \$ 45,808,932 | | \$ 45,572,378 | | \$ 46,086,649 |
| Commercial real estate | 106,897,372 | | 108,083,841 | | 117,044,598 |
| Residential mortgage | 17,084,580 | | 16,660,388 | | 18,682,428 |
| Consumer loans | 5,302,864 | | 5,295,251 | | 5,534,351 |
| Personal banklines | 29,170,312 | | 23,668,339 | | 26,269,420 |
| Other | 235,032 | | 219,879 | | 277,899 |
| Total | 204,499,092 | | 199,500,076 | | 213,895,345 |
| Deferred loan fees (net) | 9,412 | | (40,794) | | (12,869) |
| Allowance for loan losses | (3,116,222) | | (1,164,319) | | (3,026,997) |
| Loans, net | \$ 201,392,282 | | \$ 198,294,963 | | \$ 210,855,479 |

| Percentage of Loans | June 30, | | December 31, | |
|------------------------|----------|---------|--------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 | 2009 |
| Commercial loans | 22.40% | 22.84% | 21.55% | |
| Commercial real estate | 52.27% | 54.18% | 54.72% | |
| Residential mortgage | 8.36% | 8.35% | 8.73% | |
| Consumer loans | 2.59% | 2.65% | 2.59% | |
| Personal banklines | 14.27% | 11.87% | 12.28% | |
| Other | .11% | .11% | 0.13% | |
| Total | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

Total loans, not including deferred loan fees, increased \$4,999,016 or 2.51% to \$204,499,092 at June 30, 2010 from \$199,500,076 at June 30, 2009 and decreased \$9,396,253 or 4.39% from \$213,895,345 at December 31, 2009. The increase from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010 can be attributed to the stability of the Company, strong business development efforts, the hiring of two additional loan officers and the slow down of lending by competitors in the Company's market. The decrease from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 can be attributed to loan payoffs and a decrease in new loans. In addition, the Company sold two loans with a total value of \$2,220,833 on April 1, 2010; two loans with a total value of \$1,164,966 on April 2, 2010; and four loans with a total value of \$1,859,069 on April 5, 2010. On May 5, 2010 the Company purchased one loan in the amount of \$531,623 back from Savannah bank. In addition on July 7, 2010 (see "subsequent events") the Company repurchased from Savannah Bank loans in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,326,062. The loan participations were originally sold to Savannah Bank to improve the Company's liquidity position. The Company has since experienced deposit growth coupled with softer loan demand, resulting in an improved liquidity position. Given the availability of funding sources and loans representing the Company's highest earning asset, the Company repurchased the loans from Savannah Bank, resulting in a greater contribution to earnings.

Commercial real estate loans decreased \$1,186,469 or 1.10% with personal banklines increasing \$5,501,973 or 23.25% from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010. Commercial real estate loans and residential mortgage loans decreased \$10,147,226 or 8.67%, and \$1,597,848 or 8.55%, respectively, from December 31, 2009. Personal banklines increased \$2,900,892 or 11.04% from December 31, 2009. The increase in total loans from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010 can be attributed to the stability of the Company, strong business development efforts, the hiring of one additional loan officer and the slow down of lending in the Company's market. The decrease in total loans from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 can be attributed to the loan payoffs and a decrease in new loans.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, a second mortgage loan in the amount of \$17,040 was transferred from loans to other real estate owned. In addition the Company purchased the first mortgage on the loan for \$101,300 from another institution and transferred that loan to other real estate owned. The Company also transferred \$65,000 from loans to other real estate owned. This loan was for real estate which subsequently sold for \$65,000. Total loans transferred to other real estate owned during the reporting period aggregated \$183,340.

INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The Company uses the investment securities portfolio for several purposes. It serves as a vehicle to manage interest rate and prepayment risk, to generate interest and dividend income from investment of funds, to provide liquidity to meet funding requirements, and to provide collateral for pledges on public funds. Investments are classified into three categories (1) Held to Maturity (2) Trading and (3) Available for Sale. Management believes that maintaining its securities in the Available for Sale category provides greater flexibility in the management of the overall investment portfolio. The average yield on investments at June 30, 2010 was 4.13% compared to 5.18% at June 30, 2009. The carrying values of the investments available for sale at June 30, 2010 and 2009 and percentage of each category to total investments are as follows:

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| US Treasury Notes | \$ 2,990,173 | \$ 2,972,689 |
| Government-Sponsored Enterprises | 12,023,106 | 15,030,800 |
| Municipal Securities | 22,601,832 | 17,951,650 |
| | \$ 37,615,111 | \$ 35,955,139 |
| US Treasury Notes | 7.95% | 8.27% |
| Government-Sponsored Enterprises | 31.96% | 41.80% |
| Municipal Securities | 60.09% | 49.93% |
| | 100.00% | 100.00% |

All investment securities were classified by the Company as Available for Sale (debt and equity securities that may be sold under certain conditions), at June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. The securities were reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of income taxes. Unrealized losses on securities due to fluctuations in fair value are recognized when it is determined that an other than temporary decline in value has occurred. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are recognized on a specific identification, trade date basis.

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale are summarized as follows as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.:

| | AMORTIZED COST | June 30, 2010 | | ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | GROSS UNREALIZED GAINS | GROSS UNREALIZED LOSSES | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$ 2,990,173 | \$ 76,389 | \$ - | \$ 3,066,562 |
| Government-Sponsored Enterprises | 12,023,106 | 277,027 | - | 12,330,133 |
| Municipal Securities | 22,601,832 | 691,748 | 174,530 | 23,119,050 |
| Total | \$ 37,615,111 | \$ 1,045,164 | \$ 174,530 | \$ 38,485,745 |

| | AMORTIZED COST | December 31, 2009 | | ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | GROSS UNREALIZED GAINS | GROSS UNREALIZED LOSSES | |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$ 2,981,338 | \$ 137,256 | \$ - | \$ 3,118,594 |
| Government-Sponsored Enterprises | 12,026,844 | 514,975 | - | 12,541,819 |
| Municipal Securities | 20,615,647 | 675,572 | 89,287 | 21,201,932 |
| Total | \$ 35,623,829 | \$ 1,327,803 | \$ 89,287 | \$ 36,862,345 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, by contractual maturity is as follows:

June 30, 2010

| | AMORTIZED COST | ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 15,174,122 | \$ 15,530,869 |
| Due in one year to five years | 3,866,461 | 4,080,896 |
| Due in five years to ten years | 8,846,481 | 9,138,219 |
| Due in ten years and over | 9,728,047 | 9,735,761 |
| Total | \$ 37,615,111 | \$ 38,485,745 |

June 30, 2010

| Description of Securities | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Government - Sponsored Enterprises | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Securities | 3,296,189 | 140,259 | 1,495,673 | 34,271 | 4,791,872 | 174,530 |
| | \$ 3,296,189 | \$ 140,259 | \$ 1,495,673 | \$ 34,271 | \$ 4,791,872 | \$ 174,530 |

| Description of Securities | December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or longer | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Government-Sponsored Enterprises | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Securities | 2,330,893 | 89,287 | - | - | 2,330,893 | 89,287 |
| | \$ 2,330,893 | \$ 89,287 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,330,893 | \$ 89,287 |

At June 30, 2010, there were six Municipal Securities with an unrealized loss of \$174,530 as compared to four Municipal Securities with an unrealized loss of \$89,287, at December 31, 2009. Approximately 19.6% of the unrealized losses, or two individual securities, consisted of securities in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more at June 30, 2010. These investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell these securities before recovery of its amortized cost. The unrealized losses on these investments were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of these investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost of the investment.

The Company realized a gain of \$122,315 on the sale of investment securities, for proceeds of \$6,108,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no sales of investments during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

DEPOSITS

Deposits remain the Company's primary source of funding for loans and investments. Average interest bearing deposits provided funding for 70.49% of average earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2010, and 71.27% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company encounters strong competition from other financial institutions as well as consumer and commercial finance companies, insurance companies and brokerage firms located in the primary service area of the Bank. However, the percentage of funding provided by deposits has remained stable. The breakdown of total deposits by type and the respective percentage of total deposits are as follows:

| | June 30, | | December 31, |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2009 |
| Non-interest bearing demand | \$ 51,677,241 | \$ 49,172,643 | \$ 48,394,049 |
| Interest bearing demand | \$ 55,596,544 | \$ 48,374,098 | \$ 49,257,712 |
| Money market accounts | \$ 62,519,261 | \$ 61,200,485 | \$ 63,965,862 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over | \$ 34,166,944 | \$ 37,038,016 | \$ 41,929,687 |
| Other time deposits | \$ 17,077,830 | \$ 16,634,581 | \$ 16,943,042 |
| Other savings deposits | \$ 12,239,134 | \$ 9,213,797 | \$ 9,347,328 |
| Total Deposits | \$ 233,276,954 | \$ 221,633,620 | \$ 229,837,680 |

| Percentage of Deposits | June 30, | | December |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 31, 2009 |
| Non-interest bearing demand | 22.15% | 22.19% | 19.30% |
| Interest bearing demand | 23.83% | 21.83% | 21.91% |
| Money Market accounts | 26.80% | 27.61% | 28.45% |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over | 14.65% | 16.71% | 18.65% |
| Other time deposits | 7.32% | 7.50% | 7.54% |
| Other savings deposits | 5.25% | 4.16% | 4.15% |
| Total Deposits | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |

Total deposits increased \$11,643,334 or 5.25% to \$233,276,954 at June 30, 2010 from \$221,633,620 at June 30, 2009 and increased \$3,439,274 or 1.50% from \$229,837,680 at December 31, 2009. Total non-interest bearing, interest bearing demand accounts and other savings accounts increased 5.09%, 14.93%, and 32.84%, respectively, from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010. Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over decreased 7.75% from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 when compared to December 31, 2009, interest bearing demand deposit and other savings account increased 12.87 and 30.94%, respectively. Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over at June 30, 2010 decreased 18.51% from December 31, 2009.

While these fluctuations are normal in the Company's business, in order to reduce its cost of funds the Company has tried to reduce the amount of its outstanding Certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 as a current business strategy.

SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Bank has a demand note through the US Treasury, Tax and Loan system with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond. The Bank may borrow up to \$1,000,000 at June 30, 2010 and 2009 under the arrangement at an interest rate set by the Federal Reserve. The note is secured by Government Sponsored Enterprise Securities with a market value of \$1,097,337 at June 30, 2010 and \$1,125,542 at June 30, 2009. The amount outstanding under the note totaled \$285,297 and \$464,018 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the Company had no outstanding federal funds purchased and has the option to borrow up to \$28,000,000 on short term lines of credit. The Company has also established a Borrower-In-Custody arrangement with the Federal Reserve. This arrangement permits the Company to retain possession of loans pledged as collateral to secure advances from the Federal Reserve Discount Window. The Company established this arrangement as a secondary source of liquidity. As of June 30, 2010, the Company could borrow up to \$55,405,024

On April 8, 2010, the Company paid off its \$7,500,000 loan with the Federal Reserve Bank's Term Auction Facility (TAF). The Company established this loan on March 11, 2010 at a rate of .50% for a term of 28 days. The Board of Governor's of the Federal Reserve System established this program to allow depository institutions to place a bid for an advance from its local Federal Reserve Bank at a fixed interest rate determined via centralized single-price auction.

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

Net income increased \$41,381 or 6.02% to \$728,842, or basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.18 and \$.18, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$687,461, or basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.17 and \$.17, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in net income between periods is primarily due to an increase of net interest income and a lower effective tax rate between the two periods. The effect of these positive changes were offset by a decrease in non-interest income of \$183,075. Average earning assets increased \$10.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. Average earning assets were \$251.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$241.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Average loans increased \$9.1 million or 4.61% to \$207.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$198.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The Company realized an increase in net interest income of \$176,052 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of an 11 basis point increase in the net interest margin.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the major component of the Company's net income, increased \$176,052 or 7.03% to \$2,681,991 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$2,505,939 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. This increase was due to an increase in the net interest margin. The net interest margin increased 11 basis points from 4.17% to 4.28%. The yields on interest earning assets decreased 2 basis points to 4.72% from 4.74%. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities during the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 0.61% as compared to 0.77% for the same period in 2009, a decrease of 16 basis points. Interest rates remain at historically low levels; however the Company maintains a large percentage of non-interest bearing deposits which support the Company's funding sources resulting in a strong interest margin. In addition the Company is evaluating each loan that comes up for renewal and determining if the rate is appropriate, repricing the loans as needed.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the "Allowance") is reviewed monthly by the Loan Committee and on a quarterly basis by the Board of Directors. For purposes of this analysis, adequacy is defined as a level sufficient to absorb estimated losses in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date presented. The methodology employed for this analysis was modified in 2007, 2008 and 2009 to better reflect the economic environment and regulatory guidance. The revised methodology is based on a Reserve Model that is comprised of the three components listed below.

- 1) Specific Reserve analysis for impaired loans based on FASB ASC 310-10-35.
- 2) General reserve analysis applying historical loss rates based on FASB ASC 450-20.
- 3) Qualitative or environmental factors.

Loans are reviewed for impairment which is measured in accordance with FASB ASC 310-10-35. Impaired loans can either be secured or unsecured, not including large groups of smaller balance loans that are collectively evaluated. Impairment is measured by the difference between the loan amount and the present value of the future cash flow discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or, alternatively the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. An impaired loan may not represent an expected loss.

A general reserve analysis is performed on individually reviewed loans, but not impaired loans, and excluded individually reviewed impaired loans, based on FASB ASC 450-20. Historical losses are segregated into risk-similar groups and a loss ratio is determined for each group over a three year period. The three year average loss ratio by type is then used to calculate the estimated loss based on the current balance of each group. The company shortened its historical loss percentage for this component from five years to three years. The change resulted in an increase in the average historical loss percentage from .125% to .229%. This increase was reasonable given the Company's historical

lack of losses and, more importantly, represents the current lending environment.

Qualitative and environmental factors include external risk factors that Management believes are representative of the overall lending environment of the Bank. Management believes that the following factors create a more comprehensive system of controls in which the Bank can monitor the quality of the loan portfolio.

- | | | |
|----|----|---|
| | 1) | Portfolio risk |
| 2) | | National and local economic trends and conditions |
| 3) | | Effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting practices |
| 4) | | Experience, ability and depth of lending management staff |
| | 5) | Industry conditions |
| 6) | | Effects of changes in credit concentrations |
| 7) | | Loan and credit administration risk |

Portfolio risk includes the levels and trends in delinquencies, impaired loans and changes in the loan rating matrix, trends in volume and terms of loans and overmargined real estate lending. Management is satisfied with the stability of the past due and non-performing loans and believes there has been no decline in the quality of the loan portfolio due to any trend in delinquent or adversely classified loans. Although the aggregate total of classified loans has increased, management is confident in the adequacy of the sources of repayment. Sizable unsecured principal balances on a non-amortizing basis are monitored. Within the portfolio risk factor the Company elected to increase the risk percentage for “trends in volume and term of loan” as a result of the increased volume in its loan portfolio. Loans have increased 2.51% or approximately \$4,999,016 from June 30, 2009 to June 30, 2010. In addition the Company elected to increase the risk percentage for “over margined real estate lending risk”. Although the vast majority of the Company’s real estate loans are underwritten on a cash flow basis, the secondary source of repayment is typically tied to the Company’s ability to realize on the collateral. Given the contraction in real estate values, the Company closely monitors its loan to value. The Company recently amended its Loan Policy to allow for a maximum 80% collateral advance percentage on real estate transactions.

Occasional extensions of credit occur beyond the policy thresholds of the Company’s normal collateral advance margins for real estate lending. These loans are monitored and the balances reported to the Board every quarter. An excessive level of this practice could result in additional examiner scrutiny, competitive disadvantages and potential losses if forced to convert the collateral. The consideration of overmargined real estate loans directly relates to the capacity of the borrower. Management often requests additional collateral to bring the loan to value ratio within the policy guidelines and also require a strong secondary source of repayment in addition to the primary source of repayment.

Although significantly under the threshold of 100% of capital (currently approximately \$28 million), the Company’s list and number of over margined real estate loans currently totals approximately \$13.8 million or approximately 6.63% of its loan portfolio.

Management revised the credit rating matrix in order to rate all extensions of credit providing a more specified picture of the risk each loan poses to the quality of the loan portfolio. There are eight possible ratings based on ten different qualifying characteristics. The ten characteristics are: cash flow, collateral quality, guarantor strength, financial condition, management quality, operating performance, the relevancy of the financial statements, historical loan performance, debt service coverage and the borrower’s leverage. In an effort to place more emphasis on borrower’s cash flow a weighted average method is used to determine the loan grade with cash flow, financial condition, and debt service coverage being weighted triple and financial statements being weighted double. The matrix is designed to meet management’s standards and expectations of loan quality. In addition to the rating matrix, the Company rates its credit exposure on the basis of each loan and the quality of each borrower.

National and local economic trends and conditions are constantly changing and results in both positive and negative impact on borrowers. Most macroeconomic conditions are not controllable by the Company and are incorporated into the qualitative risk factors. Natural and environmental disasters, wars and the recent fallout of the subprime lending market as well as problems in the traditional mortgage market are a few of the trends and conditions that are currently affecting the Company's national and local economy. Changes in the national and local economy have impacted borrowers' ability, in many cases, to repay loans in a timely manner. On occasion a loan's primary source of repayment (i.e., personal income, cash flow, or lease income) may be eroded as a result of unemployment, lack of revenues, or the inability of a tenant to make rent payments.

The quality of the Bank's loan portfolio is contingent upon its risk selection and underwriting practices. Every credit with over \$100,000 in exposure is summarized by the Bank's Credit Department and reviewed by the Loan Committee on a monthly basis. The Board of Directors review credits over \$500,000 monthly with an annual credit analysis is conducted on credits in excess of \$350,000 upon the receipt of updated financial information. Prior to any extension of credit, every significant commercial loan goes through sound credit underwriting. The Credit Department conducts detailed cash flow analysis on each proposal using the most current financial information. Relevant trends and ratios are evaluated.

The Bank has over 300 years of lending management experience among eleven members of its lending staff. In addition to the lending staff the Bank has an Advisory Board for each branch comprised of business and community leaders from the specific branch's market area. Management meets with these boards quarterly to discuss the trends and conditions in each respective market. Management is aware of the many challenges currently facing the banking industry. Assessing banks to replenish the insurance fund and its corresponding impact on bank profits, increased regulatory scrutiny in and or on lending practices, and pending changes in deposit and or funding source type and mix, continue to impact the Company's environment. As other banks look to increase earnings in the short term, the Company will continue to emphasize the need to maintain its sound lending practices and core deposit growth. Accordingly the Company has elected to increase the risk percentage for this factor.

There has been an influx of new banks over the last several years within the Company's geographic area. This increase has decreased the local industry's overall margins as a result of pricing competition. Management believes that the borrowing base of the Bank is well established and therefore unsound price competition is not necessary.

The risks associated with the effects of changes in credit concentration include loan concentration, geographic concentration and regulatory concentration.

As of June 30, 2010, there were only three Standard Industrial Code groups that comprised more than three percent of the Bank's total outstanding loans. The three groups are activities related to real estate, offices and clinics of doctors, and real estate agents and managers.

The Company is located along the coast and on an earthquake fault, increasing the chances that a natural disaster may impact the Bank and its borrowers. The Company has a Disaster Recovery Plan in place; however, the amount of time it would take for its customers to return to normal operations is unknown.

Loan and credit administration risk includes collateral documentation, insurance risk and maintaining financial information risk.

The majority of the Bank's loan portfolio is collateralized with a variety of its borrower's assets. The execution and monitoring of the documentation to properly secure the loan is the responsibility of the Bank's lenders and Loan Department. The Bank requires insurance coverage naming the Bank as the mortgagee or loss payee. Although insurance risk is also considered collateral documentation risk, the actual coverage, amounts of coverage and

increased deductibles are important to management.

Risk includes a function of time and the borrower's financial condition may change; therefore, keeping financial information up to date is important to the Bank. The policy of the Bank is that all new loans, regardless of the customer's history with the Bank, should have updated financial information, as long as exposure is greater than \$10,000.

The aforementioned changes to the Company's Allowance for Loan Loss methodology were not made as a result of dramatic or patterned history of loan losses, increases in past due loans, or non-performing assets, but rather because of specific changes in the Company's lending environment. These changes have precipitated the need for additional reserves in a period of time when the Company's loan portfolio has grown significantly. Based on the evaluation described above, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses during the three months ended June 30, 2010 of \$110,000, compared to a provision of \$113,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2010 the three year average loss ratios were: .533% Commercial, .527% Consumer, .048% 1-4 Residential, .000% Real Estate Construction and .037% Real Estate Mortgage. The historical loss ratio used at June 30, 2010 was .229% (three year historical loss ratio) compared to .125% (five year historical loss ratio) at June 30, 2009.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, there were charge-offs of \$80,960 and recoveries of \$9,921 were recorded to the allowance for loan losses resulting in an allowance for loan losses of \$3,116,222 or 1.52% of total loans, compared to charge-offs of \$396,175 and recoveries of \$750 resulting in an allowance loan losses of \$1,164,319 or .58% of total loans at June 30, 2009.

The Bank had impaired loans totaling \$4,151,463 as of June 30, 2010, compared to \$1,465,947 as of June 30, 2009. The impaired loans at June 30, 2010 include six non-accrual loans with a combined balance of \$1,508,524. Impaired loans at June 30, 2009 included three non-accrual loans with a combined balance of \$169,031. The increase in impaired loans at June 30, 2010 is primarily due to one credit totaling \$1,210,163 which is secured by accounts receivable, inventory and furniture, fixtures and equipment. and one credit totaling \$1,023,000 which is secured by a second mortgage. Management does not know of any loans which will not meet their contractual obligations that are not otherwise discussed herein.

The accrual of interest is generally discontinued on loans, which become 90 days past due as to principal or interest. The accrual of interest on some loans, however, may continue even though they are 90 days past due if the loans are well secured or in the process of collection and management deems it appropriate. If non-accrual loans decrease their past due status to less than 30 days for a period of three months, they are reviewed individually by management to determine if they should be returned to accrual status. There were two loans over 90 days past due still accruing interest totaling \$123,785 as of June 30, 2010 and no loans over 90 days past due still accruing interest as of June 30, 2009.

Net charge-offs for the three months ended June 30, 2010 were \$71,039 compared to net charge-offs of \$395,425 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Uncertainty in the economic outlook still exists, making charge-off levels in future periods less predictable; however, loss exposure in the portfolio is identified, reserved and closely monitored to ensure that changes are promptly addressed in the analysis of reserve adequacy.

The Company had \$722,680 unallocated reserves at June 30, 2010 related to other inherent risk in the portfolio compared to unallocated reserves of \$284,302 at June 30, 2009. Management believes the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2010, is adequate to cover estimated losses in the loan portfolio; however, assessing the adequacy of the allowance is a process that requires considerable judgment. Management's judgments are based on numerous assumptions about current events which it believes to be reasonable, but which may or may not be valid. Thus there can be no assurance that loan losses in future periods will not exceed the current allowance amount or that future increases in the allowance will not be required. No assurance can be given that management's ongoing evaluation of the loan portfolio in light of changing economic conditions and other relevant circumstances will not require

significant future additions to the allowance, thus adversely affecting the operating results of the Company.

The Allowance is also subject to examination testing by regulatory agencies, which may consider such factors as the methodology used to determine adequacy and the size of the Allowance relative to that of peer institutions, and other adequacy tests. In addition, such regulatory agencies could require the Company to adjust its allowance based on information available to them at the time of their examination.

The methodology used to determine the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, which is included in other liabilities, is inherently similar to that used to determine the allowance for loan losses adjusted for factors specific to binding commitments, including the probability of funding and historical loss ratio. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, no entry was made to the allowance for unfunded loans and commitments leaving a balance of \$20,825.

Other Income

Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2010, decreased \$183,075 or 27.88% to \$473,587 from \$656,662 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease is primarily due to a decrease in mortgage banking income of \$105,080 or 34.03% to \$203,703 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$308,783 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Mortgage origination fees, discount fees and service release premiums decreased as a result of a lower level of refinancing activity for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. In addition, changes to underwriting and appraisal requirements established by FNMA and FHLMC as well as changes in regulatory environment have resulted in making it harder for individuals to qualify for loans. In addition to the decrease in mortgage loan income, the decrease in other income was also due to the Company realizing a gain of \$72,952 on the sale of investment securities during the three months ended June 30, 2009 with no gain or loss realized during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Other Expense

Bank overhead decreased \$6,355 or 0.32% to \$1,990,557 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$1,996,912 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$64,232 or 6.02% to \$1,131,524 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$1,067,292 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Salaries and wages increased due to the hiring of a new loan officer and annual merit increases. In addition the Board of Directors increased the monthly contribution to the ESOP from \$10,000 in 2009 to \$20,000 in 2010. Other operating expenses decreased \$77,633 or 12.89% to \$524,702 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$602,335 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Fees paid to the FDIC decreased 55.89% to \$83,765 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, from \$189,916 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, due to a special assessment in 2009. The modest changes in non-interest expense categories reflect normal fluctuations between the two periods.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's effective tax rate was 30.92% compared to 34.69% during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Net income decreased \$6,654 or .46% to \$1,455,709, or basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.36 and \$.36, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$1,462,363, or basic and diluted earnings per share of \$.37 and \$.37, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in net income between periods is primarily due to a decrease in mortgage banking income offset by a lower effective tax rate between the two periods. Average earning assets increased \$15.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. Average earning assets were \$253.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$237.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Average loans increased \$17.6 million or 9.06% to \$212.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$194.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The Company realized an increase in net interest income of \$470,769 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of improved pricing of its loans and a

decrease in the cost of funds.

30

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the major component of the Company's net income, increased \$470,769 or 9.50% to \$5,428,642 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$4,957,873 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. This increase was due to an increase in the net interest margin. The net interest margin increased 11 basis points from 4.20% to 4.31%. The yields on interest earning assets decreased 1 basis point to 4.76% from 4.77%. The cost of interest bearing liabilities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 0.62% as compared to 0.79% for the same period in 2009, a decrease of 17 basis points. Interest rates remain at historically low levels; however the Company maintains a large percentage of non-interest bearing deposits which support the Company's funding sources resulting in a strong interest margin. In addition the Company is evaluating each loan that comes up for renewal and determining if the rate is appropriate, repricing the loans as needed.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The contribution to the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$230,000 compared to \$164,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Net charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$140,774 compared to \$429,516 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Charge-offs for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$152,316 with recoveries of \$11,542. The contribution to the allowance for loan losses, and the charge-offs and recoveries, resulted in an allowance for loan losses of \$3,116,222 or 1.52% of total loans for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This compares to charge-offs of \$432,466 and recoveries of \$2,950 for a total allowance of \$1,164,319 or .58% of total loans for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Loss exposure in the portfolio is identified, reserved and closely monitored to ensure that changes are promptly addressed in the analysis of the reserve.

Other Income

Other income for the six months ended June 30, 2010, decreased \$353,626 or 28.34% to \$894,338 from \$1,247,964 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease is primarily due to a decrease in mortgage banking income of \$210,884 or 36.27% to \$370,580 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$581,464 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Mortgage origination fees, discount fees and service release premiums decreased as a result of a lower level of refinancing activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. In addition, changes to underwriting and appraisal requirements established by FNMA and FHLMC as well as changes in regulatory environment have resulted in making it harder for individuals to qualify for loans. The decrease in other income can also be attributed to a realized gain of \$122,315 on the sale of investment securities during the six months ended June 30, 2009 with no gain or loss realized during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Other Expense

Bank overhead increased \$196,353 or 5.19% to \$3,976,222 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$3,779,869 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$213,315 or 10.23% to \$2,298,380 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$2,085,065 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Salaries and wages increased due to the hiring of two new loan officers and annual merit increases. In addition the Board of Directors increased the monthly contribution to the ESOP from \$10,000 in 2009 to \$20,000 in 2010. Net occupancy expense decreased \$14,733 or 2.23% to \$644,917 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$659,650 for the three months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in maintenance contracts and repairs. Other operating expenses decreased \$2,229 or .22%. Fees paid to the FDIC decreased 28.11% to \$162,415 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, from \$225,928 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The other changes in non-interest expense categories reflect normal modest fluctuations between the two periods.

Income Tax Expense

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's effective tax rate was 31.23% compared to 35.35% during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements, or are recorded in amounts that differ from the notional amounts. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate, and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used by the Company for general corporate purposes or for customer needs. Corporate purpose transactions are used to help manage credit, interest rate and liquidity risk or to optimize capital. Customer transactions are used to manage customer's requests for funding.

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements consist principally of commitments to extend credit described below. The Company estimates probable losses related to binding unfunded lending commitments and records a reserve for unfunded lending commitment in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet. The balance of the reserve was \$20,825 at June 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company had no interests in non-consolidated special purpose entities.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, negotiable instruments, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and real estate. Commitments to extend credit, including unused lines of credit, amounted to \$47,546,233 and \$47,108,150 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Standby letters of credit represent an obligation of the Company to a third party contingent upon the failure of the Company's customer to perform under the terms of an underlying contract with the third party or obligates the Company to guarantee or stand as surety for the benefit of the third party. The underlying contract may entail either financial or nonfinancial obligations and may involve such things as the shipment of goods, performance of a contract, or repayment of an obligation. Under the terms of a standby letter, generally drafts will be drawn only when the underlying event fails to occur as intended. The Company can seek recovery of the amounts paid from the borrower. The majority of these standby letters of credit are unsecured. Commitments under standby letters of credit are usually for one year or less. The maximum potential amount of undiscounted future payments related to standby letters of credit at June 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$521,610 and \$584,735, respectively.

The Company originates certain fixed rate residential loans and commits these loans for sale. The commitments to originate fixed rate residential loans and the sale commitments are freestanding derivative instruments. The fair value of the commitments to originate fixed rate conforming loans was not significant at June 30, 2010. The Company has forward sales commitments, totaling \$4.0 million at June 30, 2010, to sell loans held for sale of \$4.0 million. The fair value of these commitments was not significant at June 30, 2010. The Company has no embedded derivative instruments requiring separate accounting treatment.

Liquidity

The Company must maintain an adequate liquidity position in order to respond to the short-term demand for funds caused by withdrawals from deposit accounts, extensions of credit and for the payment of operating expenses. Primary liquid assets of the Company are cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, investments available for sale, other short-term investments and mortgage loans held for sale. The Company's primary liquid assets accounted for 21.27% and 21.63% of total assets at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Proper liquidity management is crucial to ensure that the Company is able to take advantage of new business opportunities as well as meet the credit needs of its existing customers. Investment securities are an important tool in the Company's liquidity management. Securities classified as available for sale may be sold in response to changes in interest rates and liquidity needs. All of the securities presently owned by the Bank are classified as available for sale. At June 30, 2010, the Bank had short-term lines of credit totaling approximately \$28,000,000 (which are withdrawable at the lender's option), with no outstanding balance at June 30, 2010. Additional sources of funds available to the Bank for additional liquidity needs include borrowing on a short-term basis from the Federal Reserve System, increasing deposits by raising interest rates paid and accelerating the sale of mortgage loans. In order to establish a secondary source of liquidity, the Company has established a Borrower-In-Custody arrangement with the Federal Reserve. This arrangement permits the Company to retain possession of assets pledged as collateral to secure advances from the Federal Reserve Discount Window. As of June 30, 2010 the Company could borrow up to \$55,405,024.

On April 8, 2010, the Company paid off its \$7,500,000 loan with the Federal Reserve Bank's Term Auction Facility (TAF). The Company established this loan on March 11, 2010 at a rate of .50% for a term of 28 days. The Board of Governor's of the Federal Reserve System established this program to allow depository institutions to place a bid for an advance from its local Federal Reserve Bank at a fixed interest rate determined via centralized single-price auction.

The Company's core deposits consist of non-interest bearing accounts, NOW accounts, money market accounts, time deposits and savings accounts. The Company closely monitors its reliance on certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 and other large deposits. The Company's management believes its liquidity sources are adequate to meet its operating needs and does not know of any trends, events or uncertainties that may result in a significant adverse effect on the Company's liquidity position. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Bank's liquidity ratio was 13.09% and 9.42%, respectively.

Capital Resources

The capital needs of the Company have been met to date through the \$10,600,000 in capital raised in the Bank's initial offering, the retention of earnings less dividends paid and the exercise of stock options for total shareholders' equity at June 30, 2010 of \$28,159,002. The rate of asset growth since the Bank's inception has not negatively impacted this capital base. The risk-based capital guidelines for financial institutions are designed to highlight differences in risk profiles among financial institutions and to account for off balance sheet risk. The guidelines established require a risk based capital ratio of 8% for bank holding companies and banks. The risk based capital ratio at June 30, 2010, for the Bank is 13.25% and at June 30, 2009 was 11.68%. The Company's management does not know of any trends, events or uncertainties that may result in the Company's capital resources materially increasing or decreasing.

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory – and possibly additional discretionary – actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's and the Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and the Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and to average assets. Management believes, as of June 30, 2010, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company and the Bank were categorized as “well capitalized” under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as “well capitalized” the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum total risk based, Tier 1 risk based and Tier 1 leverage ratios of 10%, 6% and 5%, respectively, and to be categorized as “adequately capitalized,” the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum total risk based, Tier 1 risk based and Tier 1 leverage ratios of 8%, 4% and 4%, respectively. There are no current conditions or events that management believes would change the Company’s or the Bank’s category.

ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not required.

ITEM 4 AND ITEM 4T CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls and procedures for financial reporting
An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s management, including its Principal Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer/ Executive Vice President and Treasurer, of the effectiveness of Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based on that evaluation, Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Executive Vice President and Treasurer, has concluded that Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective. During the period ending June 30, 2010, there was no change in Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Bank of South Carolina Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting.

The Company established a Disclosure Committee on December 20, 2002. The committee is made up of the President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer Executive Vice President and Treasurer, Executive Vice President, Vice President (Audit Compliance Officer), Vice President (Accounting) and Assistant Vice President (Credit Department) and Vice President (Operations and Technology). This Committee meets quarterly to review the 10Q and or the 10K, to assure that the financial statements, Securities and Exchange Commission filings and all public releases are free of any material misstatements and correctly reflect the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. This Committee also assures that the Company is in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The Disclosure Committee establishes a calendar each year to assure that all filings are reviewed and filed in a proper manner. The calendar includes the dates of the Disclosure Committee meetings, the dates that the 10Q and or the 10K are sent to its independent accountants and to its independent counsel for review as well as the date for the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors to review the reports.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiary from time to time are involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions incident to its business. These actions are not believed to be material either individually or collectively to the consolidated financial condition of the Company or its subsidiary.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not required.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

1. The Consolidated Financial Statements are included in this Form 10-Q and listed on pages as indicated.

Page

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| (1) | Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| (2) | Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 4 |
| (3) | Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 5 |
| (4) | Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income | 6 |
| (5) | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 7 |
| (6) | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8-18 |

2. Exhibits

| | |
|------|--|
| 2.0 | Plan of Reorganization (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 3.0 | Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 3.1 | By-laws of the Registrant (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 4.0 | 2009 Proxy Statement (Filed with 2009 10-K) |
| 10.0 | Lease Agreement for 256 Meeting Street (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 10.1 | Sublease Agreement for Parking Facilities at 256 Meeting Street (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 10.2 | Lease Agreement for 100 N. Main Street, Summerville, SC (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 10.3 | Lease Agreement for 1337 Chuck Dawley Blvd., Mt. Pleasant, SC (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| 10.4 | 1998 Incentive Stock Option Plan (Filed with 2008 10K/A) |
| 10.5 | Employee Stock Ownership Plan (Filed with 2008 10K/A) |
| 10.6 | 2010 Omnibus Incentive Stock Option Plan (Filed with 2010 Proxy Statement) |
| 14.0 | Code of Ethics (Filed with 2004 10KSB) |
| 21.0 | List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant (Filed with 1995 10-KSB) |
| | The Registrant' only subsidiary is The Bank of South Carolina (Filed with 1995 10KSB) |

- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) by Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) by Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 1350
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to Section 1350

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BANK OF SOUTH CAROLINA CORPORATION

August 11, 2010

BY: /s/Hugh C. Lane, Jr.
Hugh C. Lane, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

BY: /s/Sheryl G. Sharry
Sheryl G. Sharry
Chief Financial Officer
Executive Vice President & Treasurer