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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { (Dollars in thousands) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { September } \\ \\ \\ \text { Assets }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { December } \\ 31, \\ \text { Cash and cash equivalents }\end{array} \\ \text { (Unaudited) }\end{array}\right)$ (Audited)

Commitments and contingencies
Stockholders' equity:
Preferred stock, $\$ 0.01$ par, 200,000 shares authorized; none issued
Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par, $7,500,000$ shares authorized; 2,783,380 and 2,782,826shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011,2828
respectively
Additional paid-in capital ..... 29,386 ..... 29,313
Retained earnings ..... 29,363 ..... 26,200
Accumulated other comprehensive income ..... 4,144 ..... 3,579
Total stockholders' equity ..... 62,921 ..... 59,120
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity \$ 644,218 ..... \$ 598,240

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended September 30, |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | \$ 4,033 | \$ 4,296 | \$ 12,380 | \$ 12,871 |
| Tax-exempt | 96 | 78 | 287 | 250 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 756 | 712 | 2,280 | 2,038 |
| Tax-exempt | 604 | 605 | 1,802 | 1,800 |
| Total interest income | 5,489 | 5,691 | 16,749 | 16,959 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 525 | 669 | 1,692 | 2,132 |
| Borrowings | 439 | 471 | 1,326 | 1,427 |
| Total interest expense | 964 | 1,140 | 3,018 | 3,559 |
| Net interest income | 4,525 | 4,551 | 13,731 | 13,400 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,000 | 500 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 3,525 | 4,051 | 12,131 | 11,800 |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Fees and service charges | 1,360 | 1,271 | 3,851 | 3,625 |
| Gains on sales of loans, net | 1,626 | 841 | 4,255 | 1,923 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 103 | 149 | 393 | 443 |
| Other | 126 | 120 | 416 | 535 |
| Total non-interest income | 3,215 | 2,381 | 8,915 | 6,526 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net impairment losses | - | (19 | (63 | (19 |
| Gains on sales of investment securities | - | 186 | 359 | 186 |
| Investment securities gains, net | - | 167 | 296 | 167 |
| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | 2,457 | 2,338 | 7,265 | 7,009 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 771 | 755 | 2,254 | 2,183 |
| Professional fees | 281 | 159 | 848 | 1,190 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 481 | 190 | 907 | 551 |
| Data processing | 213 | 187 | 631 | 564 |
| Advertising | 121 | 128 | 363 | 425 |
| Federal deposit insurance premiums | 80 | 75 | 262 | 367 |
| Foreclosure and real estate owned expense | 60 | 20 | 89 | 84 |
| Other | 804 | 821 | 2,508 | 2,358 |
| Total non-interest expense | 5,268 | 4,673 | 15,127 | 14,731 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 1,472 | 1,926 | 6,215 | 3,762 |


| Income tax expense | 267 | 437 | 1,465 | 573 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings | $\$ 1,205$ | $\$ 1,489$ | $\$ 4,750$ | $\$ 3,189$ |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic (1) | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 1.71$ | $\$ 1.15$ |
| Diluted (1) | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 1.70$ | $\$ 1.15$ |
| Dividends per share (1) | $\$ 0.19$ | $\$ 0.18$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.54$ |

(1) Per share amounts for the periods ended September 30, 2011 have been adjusted to give effect to the $5 \%$ stock dividend paid during December 2011.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, |  | September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,205 | \$ 1,489 | \$4,750 | \$3,189 |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has been recorded in earnings | 84 | (37 | 178 | 205 |
| Net unrealized holding gains on all other available-for-sale securities | 1,139 | 1,326 | 1,011 | 3,794 |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains included in earnings | - | (167 | (296 | (167 |
| Net unrealized gains | 1,223 | 1,122 | 893 | 3,832 |
| Income tax expense | 452 | 418 | 328 | 1,420 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 1,976 | \$ 2,193 | \$ 5,315 | \$5,601 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | September 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net earnings | $\$ 4,750$ | $\$ 3,189$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| Provision for valuation allowance on real estate owned | 39 | 27 |
| Amortization of investment security premiums, net | 1,010 | 627 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 907 | 551 |
| Depreciation | 716 | 669 |
| Bank owned life insurance | $(393$ | $)$ |
| Stock-based compensation | 67 | 76 |
| Deferred income taxes | $(420$ | $)$ |
| Gains on investment securities, net | $(2967$ | $)$ |
| Gain on sales of real estate owned, net | $(39$ | $)$ |
| Gains on sales of loans, net | $(4,255$ | $(166$ |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 159,771 | $(1,923)$ |
| Origination of loans held for sale | $(155,529)$ | $(84,300)$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |
| Accrued interest and other assets | $(2,349$ | $)$ |
| Accrued expenses, taxes, and other liabilities | $(1,026$ | $)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,553 | 11,758 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 16,172 | $(3,051)$ |
| Maturities and prepayments of investment securities | 38,795 | 40,913 |
| Purchases of investment securities | $(73,970$ | $(59,643)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities | 9,841 | 4,740 |
| Net cash received in bank acquisition | 3,965 | - |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | - | $(2,500)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment and real estate owned | 412 | 2,317 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | $(721$ | $(252$ |$)$


| Excess tax benefit related to stock option plans | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Payment of dividends | $(1,587$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 6,569 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 5,616 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 17,501 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\$ 23,117$ |

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, CONTINUED

(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash payments (refunds) for income taxes | \$ 1,880 | \$ (445 ) |
| Cash paid for interest | 3,068 | 3,666 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities: |  |  |
| Transfer of loans to real estate owned | 125 | 1,221 |
| Bank acquisition: |  |  |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed | 35,061 | - |
| Fair value of assets acquired | 31,096 | - |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 28 | \$ 29,313 | \$26,200 | \$ | 3,579 | \$59,120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings | - | - | 4,750 |  | - | 4,750 |
| Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax | - | - | - |  | 565 | 565 |
| Dividends paid ( $\$ 0.57$ per share) | - | - | (1,587 ) |  | - | $(1,587)$ |
| Stock-based compensation | - | 67 | - |  | - | 67 |
| Exercise of stock options, 554 shares, including excess tax benefit of \$1 | - | 6 | - |  | - | 6 |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | \$ 28 | \$ 29,386 | \$29,363 | \$ | 4,144 | \$62,921 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 26 | \$ 27,102 | \$25,767 | \$ | 922 | \$53,817 |
| Net earnings | - | - | 3,189 |  | - | 3,189 |
| Change in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, net of tax | - | - | - |  | 2,412 | 2,412 |
| Dividends paid ( $\$ 0.54$ per share) |  | - | (1,507 ) |  | - | $(1,507)$ |
| Stock-based compensation | - | 76 | - |  | - | 76 |
| Exercise of stock options, 2,559 shares, including excess tax benefit of $\$ 5$ |  | 33 | - |  | - | 33 |

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1.Interim Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements of Landmark Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") and subsidiary have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. To the extent that information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for complete financial statements are contained in or consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements incorporated by reference in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, such information and footnotes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial statements have been reflected herein. The results of the interim period ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

## 2. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances warrant. The Company's annual impairment test as of December 31, 2011 concluded that its goodwill was not impaired; however, the Company can make no assurances that future impairment tests will not result in goodwill impairments. The Company concluded there were no triggering events during the first nine months of 2012 that required an interim goodwill impairment test.

On April 1, 2012, the Company's subsidiary, Landmark National Bank, assumed approximately $\$ 35.0$ million in deposits with the acquisition of the Wellsville Bank. The Company identified $\$ 24.7$ million of core deposits and recorded a core deposit intangible asset of $\$ 308,000$ as a result of the acquisition. The core deposit intangible asset will be amortized over its estimated useful life of ten years on an accelerated basis. A summary of this and the other intangible assets that continue to be subject to amortization is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | As of September 30, 2012 <br> Gross carAycingmulated |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Valuation <br> amount | amortization carrying | allowance | amount |


| (Dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, 2011 <br> Gross <br> carrying |  |  | Accumulated |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| amortization |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Valuation |
| :--- |
| allowance | | Net carrying |
| :--- |
| amount |

Aggregate core deposit and mortgage servicing rights amortization expense was $\$ 481,000$ and $\$ 190,000$ for the third quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Aggregate core deposit and mortgage servicing rights amortization expense was $\$ 907,000$ and $\$ 551,000$ for the first nine months of 2012 and 2011 , respectively. The following sets forth estimated amortization expense for other intangible assets for the remainder of 2012 and in successive years ending December 31:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Amortization <br> expense |
| :--- | :--- |
| Remainder of 2012 | $\$ 229$ |
| 2013 | 846 |
| 2014 | 756 |
| 2015 | 301 |
| 2016 | 38 |
| Thereafter | 96 |
| Total | $\$ 2,266$ |
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## 3.

Investments

A summary of investment securities available-for-sale is as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | As of September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | Gross <br> unrealized <br> gains | Gross unrealized losses | Estimated fair value |
| U. S. federal agency obligations | \$7,135 | \$ 54 | \$ | \$7,189 |
| Municipal obligations, tax exempt | 73,117 | 3,989 | (32 | 77,074 |
| Municipal obligations, taxable | 37,444 | 912 | (8) | 38,348 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 107,319 | 1,918 | (60 | ) 109,177 |
| Common stocks | 621 | 250 | - | 871 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 1,023 | - | (458 |  |
| Certificates of deposit | 5,794 | - | - | 5,794 |
| Total | \$232,453 | \$ 7,123 | \$ (558 | ) $\$ 239,018$ |

As of December 31, 2011
Gross Gross
Amortized unrealized unrealized Estimated
(Dollars in thousands) cost gains losses fair value

| U. S. federal agency obligations | \$9,120 | \$ 44 | \$ - |  | \$9,164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal obligations, tax exempt | 65,404 | 4,226 | (1 | ) | 69,629 |
| Municipal obligations, taxable | 18,961 | 243 | (69 | ) | 19,135 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 92,742 | 1,823 | (93 | ) | 94,472 |
| Common stocks | 621 | 198 | - |  | 819 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 1,104 | - | (699 | ) | 405 |
| Certificates of deposit | 4,590 | - | - |  | 4,590 |
| Total | \$ 192,542 | \$ 6,534 | \$ (862 |  | \$ 198,214 |
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Certain of the Company's investment securities have unrealized losses, or are temporarily impaired. This temporary impairment represents the estimated amount of loss that would be realized if the securities were sold on the valuation date. Securities which are temporarily impaired are shown below, along with the length of the impairment period.


| (Dollars in thousands) |  | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of securities | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| Municipal obligations, tax exempt | 1 | \$247 | \$ (1 | \$ - | \$ | \$247 | \$ (1 |
| Municipal obligations, taxable | 15 | 6,579 | (69 | ) - | - | 6,579 | (69 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 10 | 14,260 | (93 | ) - | - | 14,260 | (93 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 2 | - | - | 405 | (699 | ) 405 | (699 |
| Total | 28 | \$21,086 | \$ (163 | ) \$ 405 | \$ (699 | ) $\$ 21,491$ | \$ (862 |

The Company performs quarterly reviews of the investment portfolio to determine if investment securities have any declines in fair value which might be considered other-than-temporary. The initial review begins with all securities in an unrealized loss position. The Company's assessment of other-than-temporary impairment is based on the specific facts and circumstances impacting each individual security. The Company reviews and considers all available information, including expected cash flows, the structure of the security, the credit quality of the underlying assets and the current and anticipated market conditions. Any credit-related impairment on debt securities is realized through a charge to earnings. If an equity security is determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the entire impairment is realized through a charge to earnings.

The Company's portfolio of municipal obligations consists of both tax-exempt and taxable general obligations securities issued by various municipalities. The Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell its municipal obligations in an unrealized loss position until the recovery of its cost. Due to the issuers' continued satisfaction of the securities' obligations in accordance with their contractual terms and the expectation that they will continue to do so, the evaluation of the fundamentals of the issuers' financial condition and other objective evidence, the Company believes that the municipal obligations identified in the tables above are temporarily impaired.

The Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio consists of securities underwritten to the standards of and guaranteed by the government-sponsored agencies of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA") and Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA"). The receipt of principal, at par, and interest on mortgage-backed securities is guaranteed by the respective government-sponsored agency guarantor, such that the Company believes that its mortgage-backed securities do not expose the Company to credit-related losses. Based on these factors, along with the Company's intent to not sell the securities and the Company's belief that it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company believes that the mortgage-backed securities identified in the tables above are temporarily impaired.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company owned two pooled trust preferred securities, which represent investments in pools of collateralized debt obligations issued by financial institutions and insurance companies. The market for these securities is considered to be inactive. The Company's investments, Preferred Term Security ("PreTSL") VIII and PreTSL IX, have remaining aggregate cost bases of $\$ 318,000$ and $\$ 705,000$ and non-credit-related, unrealized losses of $\$ 98,000$ and $\$ 360,000$, respectively. The Company uses discounted cash flow models on these two securities to assess if the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost, which would result in an other-than-temporary impairment associated with the credit of the underlying collateral. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow models include the following: estimated discount rates, estimated deferral and default rates on collateral, assumed recoveries and estimated cash flows including all information available through the date of issuance of these financial statements. The discounted cash flow analysis includes a review of all issuers within the collateral pool and incorporates higher deferral and default rates, as compared to historical rates, in the cash flow projections through maturity. The Company also reviews stress tests of these securities to determine the additional estimated deferrals or defaults in the collateral pool in excess of what the Company believes is likely, before the payments on the individual securities are negatively impacted.

As of September 30, 2012, the analysis of the Company's PreTSL VIII and IX investments indicated that the unrealized losses of $\$ 98,000$ and $\$ 360,000$, respectively, were not credit-related.

The following table provides additional information related to the Company's investments in pooled trust preferred securities as of September 30, 2012:

## (Dollars in thousands)

|  |  | Moody's | Original | Principal | credit | Cost | Unrealized | Fair |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Investment | Class | rating | par | payments | losses | basis | loss | value |  |
| PreTSL VIII | B | C | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$(682$ | $)$ | $\$ 318$ | $\$(98$ | $)$ |
| PreTSL IX | B | Ca | 1,000 | $(60$ | $)$ | $(235$ | $)$ | 705 | $(360$ |
| Total |  |  | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$(60$ | $)$ | $\$(917$ | $)$ | $\$ 1,023$ | $\$(458$ |
| Tot | $\$ 565$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is reasonably possible that the fair values of the Company's investment securities could decline in the future if the overall economy and/or the financial condition of some of the issuers of these securities deteriorate and/or if the liquidity in markets for these securities declines. As a result, there is a risk that additional other-than-temporary impairments may occur in the future and any such amounts could be material to the Company's consolidated financial statements. An increase in market interest rates may also cause the fair value of the Company's investment securities to decline, as the market prices of these investments move inversely to their market yields.

Maturities of investment securities at September 30, 2012 are as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Amortized <br> cost | Estimated <br> fair value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Due in less than one year | $\$ 15,379$ | $\$ 15,509$ |
| Due after one year but within five years | 148,248 | 151,264 |
| Due after five years but within ten years | 52,817 | 55,634 |
| Due after ten years | 15,388 | 15,740 |
| Common stocks | 621 | 871 |
| Total | $\$ 232,453$ | $\$ 239,018$ |

The preceding table includes scheduled principal payments and estimated prepayments for mortgage-backed securities, where actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

Gross realized gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale investment securities are as follows:

|  | Three months ended |  | Nine months ended <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  | September 30, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | September 30, |  |  |
| Realized gains | $\$-$ | $\$ 186$ | $\$ 359$ | $\$ 186$ |  |
| Realized losses | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | $\$-$ | $\$ 186$ | $\$ 359$ | $\$ 186$ |  |

Other investment securities primarily consist of restricted investments in Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") stock. The carrying value of the FHLB stock was $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying value of the FRB stock was $\$ 1.8$ million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. These securities are not readily marketable and are required for regulatory purposes and borrowing availability. Since there is no available market value, these securities are carried at cost. Redemption of these investments at par value is at the option of the FHLB or FRB. Also included in other investment securities are other miscellaneous investments in the common stock of various correspondent banks which are held for borrowing purposes and totaled $\$ 112,000$ and $\$ 60,000$ at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The Company assessed the ultimate recoverability of these investments and believes that no impairment has occurred.

## 4. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans consisted of the following as of:

|  | September 30, <br> (Dollars in thousands) | December 31, <br> 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| One-to-four family residential real estate | $\$ 82,457$ | $\$ 79,108$ |
| Construction and land | 24,619 | 21,672 |
| Commercial real estate | 90,163 | 93,786 |
| Commercial loans | 59,360 | 57,006 |
| Agriculture loans | 32,927 | 39,052 |
| Municipal loans | 10,065 | 10,366 |
| Consumer loans | 13,563 | 13,584 |
| Total gross loans | 313,154 | 314,574 |
| Net deferred loan costs and loans in process | 305 | 214 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(6,167$ | $(4,707$ |
| Loans, net | $\$ 307,292$ | $\$ 310,081$ |

The following tables provide information on the Company's allowance for loan losses by loan class and allowance methodology:
(Dollars in thousands)
Three and nine months ended September 30, 2012
One-to-four
family ConstructionCommercialCommercialAgriculture Municipal Consumer
residentialand land real estate loans loans loans loans real estate

Allowance for loan losses:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Balance at June 30, 2012 } & \$ 708 & \$ 1,786 & \$ 1,485 & \$ 652 & \$ 402 & \$ 112 & \$ 127 & \$ 5,272 \\ \text { Charge-offs } & - & (15 & ) & - & (60 & ) & - & - \\ \text { Recoveries } & 1 & 1 & - & 5 & 1 & - & (43 & (118\end{array}\right)$
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Balance at December 31, } & \$ 560 & \$ 928 & \$ 1,791 & \$ 745 & \$ 433 & \$ 130 & \$ 120 & \$ 4,707 \\ 2011 & (15 & ) & (15 & ) & - & (70 & ) & - & - & (120 \\ \text { Charge-offs } & 6 & 4 & - & 10 & 39 & - & 21 & 80 \\ \text { Recoveries } & (9 & ) & (11 & ) & - & (60 & ) & 39 & - & (99\end{array}\right)$

Allowance for loan
losses:

| Individually evaluated for | 184 | 1,414 | - | - | - | 65 | 16 | 1,679 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| loss      <br> Collectively evaluated for 601 881 1,750 615 450 <br> loss 785 2,295 1,750 615 450 <br> Total    132 140 | 6,167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Loan balances:

| Individually evaluated for | 848 | 3,326 | - | 204 | 5 | 772 | 72 | 5,227 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| loss       <br> Collectively evaluated for 81,609 21,293 90,163 59,156 32,922 9,293 | 13,491 | 307,927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loss | $\$ 82,457$ | $\$ 24,619$ | $\$ 90,163$ | $\$ 59,360$ | $\$ 32,927$ | $\$ 10,065$ | $\$ 13,563$ | $\$ 313,154$ |

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011
(Dollars in thousands)
Total
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One-to-foufonstructionCommercialCommercialAgriculture Municipal Consumer family and land real estate loans loans loans loans residential
real estate
Allowance for loan
losses:

| Balance at June 30, 2011 | $\$ 339$ | $\$ 1,022$ | $\$ 1,482$ | $\$ 612$ | $\$ 376$ | $\$ 102$ | $\$ 72$ | $\$ 4,005$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charge-offs | - | $(208$ | $)$ | - | - | - | - | $(41$ | $)$ |
| Recoveries | 2 | 3 | 37 | 3 | 34 | - | $(12$ | $)$ | 67 |
| Net charge-offs | 2 | $(205$ | $)$ | 37 | 3 | 34 | - | $(53$ | $)$ |
| Provision for loan losses | $(12$ | $)$ | 117 | 149 | 106 | 36 | $(3$ | $)$ | 107 |
| Balance at September 30, | 329 | 934 | 1,668 | 721 | 446 | 99 | 126 | 4,323 |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 395 |  | 1,186 |  | 1,576 |  | 1,173 |  | 399 |  | 99 | 139 |  | 4,967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | (104 | ) | (1,173 | ) | (434 | ) | (590 | ) | (1 | ) | - | (93 | ) | (2,395 |
| Recoveries | 26 |  | 3 |  | 37 |  | 11 |  | 35 |  | - | 39 |  | 151 |
| Net charge-offs | (78 | ) | (1,170 | ) | (397 | ) | (579 | ) | 34 |  | - | (54 | ) | (2,244 |
| Provision for loan losses | 12 |  | 918 |  | 489 |  | 127 |  | 13 |  | - | 41 |  | 1,600 |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 329 |  | 934 |  | 1,668 |  | 721 |  | 446 |  | 99 | 126 |  | 4,323 |

Allowance for loan
losses:

| Individually evaluated for | 19 | 11 | - | - | - | 66 | 23 | 119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collectively evaluated for | 310 | 923 | 1,668 | 721 | 446 | 33 | 103 | 4,204 |
| loss | 329 | 934 | 1,668 | 721 | 446 | 99 | 126 | 4,323 |

Loan balances:

| Individually evaluated for loss | 803 | 225 | 18 | - | 71 | 775 | 39 | 1,931 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collectively evaluated for loss | 77,901 | 21,882 | 94,114 | 53,209 | 42,206 | 6,499 | 13,407 | 309,218 |
| Total | \$78,704 | \$ 22,107 | \$ 94,132 | \$ 53,209 | \$ 42,277 | \$7,274 | \$ 13,446 | \$311,149 |
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The Company's key credit quality indicator is a loan's performance status, defined as accruing or non-accruing. Performing loans are considered to have a lower risk of loss. Non-accrual loans are those which the Company believes have a higher risk of loss. The accrual of interest on non-performing loans is discontinued at the time the loan is ninety days delinquent, unless the credit is well secured and in process of collection. Loans are placed on non-accrual or are charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. There were no loans 90 days delinquent and accruing interest at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. The following tables present information on the Company's past due and non-accrual loans by loan class:

| (Dollars in thousands) | As of September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60-89 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 90 days or |  |  |  |
|  | delinquendelinquent |  | more | Total past | Non- |  |
|  | and | and | delinquent | due loans | accrual |  |
|  | accruing accruing |  | and accruing | accruing | loans | Total |
| One-to-four family residential real estate | \$500 | \$ 1,752 | \$ - | \$ 2,252 | \$839 | \$3,091 |
| Construction and land | 19 | - | - | 19 | 3,326 | 3,345 |
| Commercial real estate | 148 | - | - | 148 | - | 148 |
| Commercial loans | 20 | 150 | - | 170 | 204 | 374 |
| Agriculture loans | 22 | 39 | - | 61 | 5 | 66 |
| Municipal loans | - | - | - | - | 131 | 131 |
| Consumer loans | 239 | 45 | - | 284 | 72 | 356 |
| Total | \$948 | \$ 1,986 | \$ - | \$ 2,934 | \$4,577 | \$7,511 |
| Percent of gross loans | 0.30\% | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 1.46 | 2.40 \% |


|  | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | 30-59 day(6)-89 days delinquendelinquent and and accruing accruing |  |  | 90 days or more delinquent and accruing | Total past due loans accruing | Nonaccrual loans | Total |
| One-to-four family residential real estate | \$368 | \$ 1,174 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,542 | \$752 | \$2,294 |
| Construction and land | 21 | - |  | - | 21 | 225 | 246 |
| Commercial real estate | 64 | 211 |  | - | 275 | 17 | 292 |
| Commercial loans | 1 | 201 |  | - | 202 | 78 | 280 |
| Agriculture loans | 1 | - |  | - | 1 | 63 | 64 |
| Municipal loans | - | - |  | - | - | 241 | 241 |
| Consumer loans | 160 | 18 |  | - | 178 | 43 | 221 |
| Total | \$615 | \$ 1,604 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,219 | \$1,419 | \$3,638 |
| Percent of gross loans | $0.20 \%$ | 0.51 | \% | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 1.16 \% |

The Company's impaired loans increased from $\$ 2.5$ million at December 31, 2011 to $\$ 5.2$ million at September 30, 2012. The difference between the unpaid contractual principal and the impaired loan balance is a result of charge-offs recorded against impaired loans. The difference in the Company's non-accrual loan balances and impaired loan balances at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, was related to troubled debt restructurings ("TDR") that are current and accruing interest, but still classified as impaired. The following tables present information on impaired loans:
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Unpaid Impaired contractual ${ }^{\text {Ioan balance }}$ principal |  | Impaired <br> loans <br> without an allowance | Impaired <br> loans with an allowance | Related allowance recorded | Year-to-date average loan balance | Year-to-date interest income recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One-to-four family residential real estate | \$1,138 | \$ 848 | \$ 648 | \$ 200 | \$ 184 | \$ 873 | \$ |
| Construction and land | 3,326 | 3,326 | 60 | 3,266 | 1,414 | 3,334 | - |
| Commercial | 204 | 204 | 203 | 1 | - | 335 | - |
| Agriculture loans | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 10 | - |
| Municipal loans | 772 | 772 | 641 | 131 | 65 | 782 | 15 |
| Consumer loans | 72 | 72 | 56 | 16 | 16 | 73 | - |
| Total impaired loans | \$5,517 | \$ 5,227 | \$ 1,613 | \$ 3,614 | \$ 1,679 | \$ 5,407 | \$ 15 |
| (Dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unpaid Impaired contractual ${ }^{\text {loan balance }}$ |  | Impaired loans without an allowance | Impaired loans with an allowance | Related allowance recorded | Year-to-date average loan balance | Year-to-date interest income recognized |
| One-to-four family residential real estate | \$ 1,570 | \$ 1,280 | \$ 1,072 | \$ 208 | \$ 65 | \$ 1,311 | \$ 32 |
| Construction and land | 574 | 225 | 200 | 25 | 8 | 419 | - |
| Commercial real estate | 17 | 17 | 17 | - | - | 20 | - |
| Commercial loans | 78 | 78 | - | 78 | 35 | 83 | - |
| Agriculture loans | 63 | 63 | 63 | - | - | 65 | - |
| Municipal loans | 784 | 784 | 653 | 131 | 65 | 772 | 35 |
| Consumer loans | 43 | 43 | 10 | 33 | 32 | 49 | - |
| Total impaired loans | \$3,129 | \$ 2,490 | \$ 2,015 | \$ 475 | \$ 205 | \$ 2,719 | \$ 67 |

During the first nine months of 2012, the Company classified a commercial loan relationship consisting of two commercial loans as a troubled debt restructuring after agreeing to extend the maturity of the loans while the borrower liquidated the business assets securing the loans. The Company did not charge-off any of the loan balances as the anticipated proceeds were expected to exceed the loan balances. As of September 30, 2012, the outstanding balances of these loans totaled $\$ 196,000$ and were classified as non-accrual and impaired. During the third quarter of 2011, the Company restructured a construction and land loan relationship totaling $\$ 599,000$ which was secured by raw land
which had experienced a severe decline in value. As part of the agreement the Company agreed to reduce the outstanding loan balance to $\$ 250,000$ in exchange for a $\$ 50,000$ principal payment in the third quarter of 2011 and the remaining $\$ 200,000$ to be received during the first quarter of 2012. The Company charged off $\$ 141,000$ of the loan during the third quarter of 2010 and $\$ 208,000$ during the third quarter of 2011 , and the remaining $\$ 200,000$ loan balance was classified as non-accrual and impaired as of September 30, 2011. The collateral deficiency of the raw land had previously been included in the allowance on impaired loans. During the first quarter of 2012, a construction and land loan totaling $\$ 200,000$, after a modification during the third quarter of 2011 , was paid off per the terms of the loan modification agreement. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had six loan modifications classified as TDRs. The Company evaluates each TDR individually and returns the loan to accrual status when a payment history is established after the restructuring and future payments are reasonably assured. There were no loans as of September 30, 2012 that had been modified as TDRs and then subsequently defaulted. At September 30, 2012, there are no commitments to lend additional funds to any borrower whose loan terms have been modified as a TDR. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had $\$ 148,000$ of allowance recorded against loans classified as TDRs compared to $\$ 5,000$ recorded at December 31, 2011. The following table presents information on loans that are classified as TDRs:
(Dollars in thousands)
As of September 30, 2012 As of December 31, 2011
Number Number
of Loan balance of Loan balance
loans loans

| One-to-four family residential real estate | 2 | $\$ 503$ | 2 | $\$ 528$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction and land | - |  | - | 1 |  | 200 |
| Commerical loans | 2 |  | 196 | - |  | - |
| Municipal loans | 2 |  | 641 | 2 |  | 653 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 6 | $\$ 1,340$ | 5 | $\$$ | 1,381 |  |

The Company services one-to-four family residential real estate loans for others with outstanding principal balances of $\$ 240.2$ million and $\$ 183.3$ million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Gross service fee income related to such loans was $\$ 145,000$ and $\$ 106,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is included in fees and service charges in the consolidated statements of earnings. Gross service fee income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was $\$ 394,000$ and $\$ 319,000$, respectively.

The Company had a mortgage repurchase reserve of $\$ 418,000$ and $\$ 500,000$ at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which represents the Company's best estimate of probable losses that the Company will incur related to the repurchase of one-to-four family residential real estate loans previously sold or to reimburse investors for credit losses incurred on loans previously sold where a breach of the contractual representations and warranties occurred. Because the level of mortgage repurchase losses depends upon economic factors, investor demand strategies and other external conditions that may change over the life of the underlying loans, mortgage repurchase losses are difficult to estimate and require considerable judgment. The Company did not make any provisions against the reserve during the first nine months of 2012. Actual losses during the first nine months of 2012, which were charged against the reserve, were $\$ 82,000$. As of September 30, 2012, the Company did not have any outstanding mortgage repurchase requests.

## 5.Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share has been computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share includes the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during each period. The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share are shown below:
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)
Net earnings

| Three months ended | Nine months ended |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| September 30, | September 30, |  |  |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| $\$ 1,205$ | $\$ 1,489$ | $\$ 4,750$ | $\$ 3,189$ |


| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic (1) | $2,783,271$ | $2,780,453$ | $2,783,054$ | $2,776,578$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assumed exercise of stock options (1) | 24,053 | 600 | 18,663 | 647 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted (1) | $2,807,324$ | $2,781,053$ | $2,801,717$ | $2,777,225$ |
| Net earnings per share (1): |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 1.71$ | $\$ 1.15$ |
| Diluted | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.54$ | $\$ 1.70$ | $\$ 1.15$ |

(1) Share and per share values for the periods ended September 30, 2011 have been adjusted to give effect to the 5\% stock dividend paid during December 2011.

The diluted earnings per share computations for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 exclude unexercised stock options of 62,775 and 465,744 , respectively, because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive to earnings per share. The diluted earnings per share computations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 exclude unexercised stock options of 144,948 and 441,918 , respectively, for the same reason.

## 6.Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 820 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC Topic 820-10-55 requires the use of a hierarchy of fair value techniques based upon whether the inputs to those fair values reflect assumptions other market participants would use based upon market data obtained from independent sources or reflect the Company's own assumptions of market participant valuation. The Company applies FASB ASC 820 to certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities, which include foreclosed real estate, long-lived assets, goodwill, and core deposit premium, which are recorded at fair value only upon impairment. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets or quoted prices that contain observable inputs such as yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds and other inputs derived from market data.
- Level 3: Quoted prices in markets that are not active or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

Fair value estimates of the Company's financial instruments as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, including methods and assumptions utilized, are set forth below:
(Dollars in thousands)

Financial assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Investment securities:

|  | September <br> Carrying <br> amount |  |  | Estimated <br> fair value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | December 31, 2011 |
| :--- |
| Carrying |
| amount |$~$| Estimated |
| :--- |
| fair value |

Financial liabilities:
Non-maturity deposits
Time deposits
FHLB borrowings
September 30, 2012 December 31, 2011

## Methods and Assumptions Utilized

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents is considered to approximate fair value.

The Company's investment securities classified as available-for-sale include U.S. federal agency securities, municipal obligations, mortgage-backed securities, pooled trust preferred securities, certificates of deposits and common stocks. Quoted exchange prices are available for the Company's common stock investments, which are classified as Level 1. U.S. federal agency securities and mortgage-backed obligations are priced utilizing industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including time value, yield curves, volatility factors, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity, current market and contractual prices for the underlying financial instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace, can be derived from observable data, or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace and are classified as Level 2 . Municipal securities are valued using a type of matrix, or grid, pricing in which securities are benchmarked against the treasury rate based on credit rating. These model and matrix measurements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company's investments in FDIC-insured, fixed-rate certificates of deposits are valued using a net present value model that discounts the future cash flows at the current market rates and are classified as Level 2.

The Company classifies the fair value of its pooled trust preferred securities as Level 3. The portfolio consists of two investments in pooled trust preferred securities issued by various financial companies. These securities are valued based on a matrix pricing in which the securities are benchmarked against single issuer trust preferred securities based on credit rating. The pooled trust preferred market is inactive; therefore single issuer trading is used as the benchmark, with additional adjustments made for credit and liquidity risk.

The Company's other investment securities primarily include investments in FHLB and FRB stock, which are held for regulatory purposes. These investments generally have restrictions on the sale and/or liquidation of stock and the carrying value is approximately equal to fair value. Fair value measurements for these securities are classified as Level 3 based on the restrictions on sale and/or liquidation and related credit risk.

The estimated fair value of the Company's loan portfolio is based on the segregation of loans by collateral type, interest terms, and maturities. The fair value is estimated based on discounting scheduled and estimated cash flows through maturity using an appropriate risk-adjusted yield curve to approximate current interest rates for each category. No adjustment was made to the interest rates for changes in credit risk of performing loans where there were no known credit concerns. Management segregates loans in appropriate risk categories. Management believes that the risk factor embedded in the interest rates along with the allowance for loan losses applicable to the performing loan portfolio results in a fair valuation of such loans. The fair values of impaired loans are generally based on market prices for similar assets determined through independent appraisals or discounted values of independent appraisals and brokers' opinions of value. This method of estimating fair value is classified as Level 3 and does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value prescribed by ASC Topic 820.

Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value, determined on an aggregate basis. The mortgage loan valuations are based on quoted secondary market prices for similar loans and are classified as Level 2.

The Company measures its mortgage servicing rights at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. Periodic impairment assessments are performed based on fair value estimates at the reporting date. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated based on a valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows associated with servicing the underlying loans. The model incorporates assumptions that market participants use in estimating future net servicing income, including estimated prepayment speeds, market discount rates, cost to service, and other servicing income, including late fees. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3.

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable is considered to approximate fair value and is classified as Level 3.

The estimated fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, money market accounts, and NOW accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of interest-bearing time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows of such deposits. The discount rate is tied to the FHLB yield curve plus an appropriate servicing spread. Fair value measurements based on discounted cash flows are classified as Level 3. These fair values do not incorporate the value of core deposit intangibles which may be associated with the deposit base.

The fair value of advances from the FHLB and other borrowings is estimated using current yield curves for similar borrowings adjusted for the Company's current credit spread, if applicable, and classified as Level 2.

The Company's derivative financial instruments consist of interest rate lock commitments and corresponding forward sales contracts on mortgage loans held for sale. The fair values of these derivatives are based on quoted prices for similar loans in the secondary market. The market prices are adjusted by a factor, based on the Company's historical data and its judgment about future economic trends, which considers the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. These instruments are classified as Level 2. The amounts are included in other assets or other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets and gains on sales of loans in the consolidated statements of earnings.

## Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The fair value of letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. The aggregate of these fees is not material.

## Transfers

The Company did not transfer any assets or liabilities among levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 or during the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments.

## Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following table represents the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, allocated to the appropriate fair value hierarchy:
(Dollars in thousands)

Assets:
Available-for-sale securities:
Avalable-for-sale securities.

As of September 30, 2012
Fair value hierarchy
Total Level 1 Level 2 Level 3
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| U. S. federal agency obligations | $\$ 7,189$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 7,189$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipal obligations, tax exempt | 77,074 | - | 77,074 | - |
| Municipal obligations, taxable | 38,348 | - | 38,348 | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 109,177 | - | 109,177 | - |
| Common stocks | 871 | 871 | - | - |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 565 | - | - | 565 |
| Certificates of deposit | 5,794 | - | 5,794 | - |
| Derivative financial instruments | $\$ 534$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 534$ | $\$-$ |

As of December 31, 2011<br>Fair value hierarchy<br>Total Level 1 Level 2 Level 3

Assets:
Available-for-sale securities:

| U. S. federal agency obligations | $\$ 9,164$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 9,164$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipal obligations, tax exempt | 69,629 | - | 69,629 | - |
| Municipal obligations, taxable | 19,135 | - | 19,135 | - |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 94,472 | - | 94,472 | - |
| Common stocks | 819 | 819 | - | - |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 405 | - | - | 405 |
| Certificates of deposit | 4,590 | - | 4,590 | - |
| Derivative financial instruments | $\$ 255$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 255$ | $\$-$ |

The following table reconciles the changes in the Company's Level 3 financial instruments during the first nine months of 2012:
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Available-for <br> sale securities |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Level 3 asset fair value at December 31, 2011 | $\$ 405$ |  |
| Payments applied to reduce carrying value | $(18$ |  |
| Total (losses) gains: |  |  |
| Included in earnings |  | $(63$ |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 241 |  |
| Level 3 asset fair value at September 30, 2012 | $\$$ | 565 |

Changes in the fair value of available-for-sale securities are included in other comprehensive income to the extent the changes are not considered other-than-temporary impairments. Other-than-temporary impairment tests are performed on a quarterly basis and any decline in the fair value of an individual security below its cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary results in a write-down of that security's cost basis.

## Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

The Company does not value its loan portfolio at fair value, however adjustments are recorded on certain loans to reflect the impaired value on the underlying collateral. Collateral values are reviewed on a loan-by-loan basis through independent appraisals. Appraised values may be discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the client and the client's business. Because many of these inputs are unobservable, the valuations are classified as Level 3 . The carrying value of the Company's impaired loans was $\$ 5.2$ million at September 30, 2012 and $\$ 2.5$ million at December 31, 2011, with allocated allowances of $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 205,000$, respectively.

The Company measures the fair value of its goodwill based on the Company's market capitalization with appropriate control premiums and valuation multiples, as compared to recent similar financial industry acquisition multiples, to estimate the fair value of the Company's single reporting unit. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3. Core deposit intangibles are recognized at the time core deposits are acquired, using valuation techniques which calculate the present value of the estimated net cost savings relative to the Company's alternative costs of funds over the expected remaining economic life of the deposits. Subsequent evaluations are made when facts or circumstances indicate potential impairment may have occurred. The models incorporate market discount rates, estimated average core deposit lives and alternative funding rates. The fair value measurements are classified as Level 3 .

## Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Real estate owned includes assets acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure and land previously acquired for expansion. Real estate owned is initially recorded at the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Subsequent valuations are updated periodically and are based upon independent appraisals, third party price opinions or internal pricing models and are classified as Level 3.
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The following table represents the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 allocated to the appropriate fair value hierarchy:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  | As of September 30, 2012 Fair value hierarchy |  | Total losses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\text { Level }_{\text {Level }} 2$ | Level 3 |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans | \$3,548 | \$ - \$ | \$ 3,548 | \$(1,535) |
| Loans held for sale | 9,989 | - 9,989 | - | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,562 | - - | 1,562 | (212 |
| Real estate owned | \$2,584 | \$ - \$ - | \$ 2,584 | \$- |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  | As of December 31,2011 |  |  |
|  |  | Fair value hier | rchy | Total |
|  | Total | ${ }_{1}^{\text {Level }^{\text {Level }} 2}$ | Level 3 | losses |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans | \$2,285 | \$ - \$ - | \$ 2,285 | \$(112) |
| Loans held for sale | 9,846 | - 9,846 | - | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,319 | - | 1,319 | - |
| Real estate owned | \$2,264 | \$ - \$ - | \$ 2,264 | \$(517) |

## 8. Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. Consequently, the amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU 2011-05 requires that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. The new guidance became effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. Adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not have a
significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU 2011-08 allows the use of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amounts as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. The new guidance became effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. Adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.
9.

Acquisition

The Company completed the acquisition, by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank, of The Wellsville Bank from Wellsville Bancshares, Inc., effective April 1, 2012. The purchase price consisted of cash of $\$ 3.7$ million for $100 \%$ of The Wellsville Bank. The acquisition was effected through the merger of The Wellsville Bank with and into Landmark National Bank. The acquisition added one additional branch, located in Wellsville, Kansas, to the Company's existing branch network, giving the Company a total of 22 offices in 17 communities across Kansas.

The assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded by Landmark National Bank at their estimated fair value as of April 1, 2012 based on management's best estimate using information available at the time. The acquisition included the assumption of investments of $\$ 14.2$ million, loans of $\$ 15.0$ million and deposits of $\$ 35.0$ million. The unpaid contractual amount of the loans totaled $\$ 15.1$ million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company incurred $\$ 147,000$ of acquisition related expenses which are included in professional fees. Based on estimates of the fair values of the net assets acquired, the Company recorded $\$ 181,000$ of goodwill. The acquisition created $\$ 51,000$ of tax deductible goodwill.
10.

Subsequent Events

On November 5, 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to extend the maturity of its $\$ 7.5$ million line of credit from November 5, 2012 to November 5, 2013.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 


#### Abstract

Overview. Landmark Bancorp, Inc. is a one-bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is engaged in the banking business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Landmark National Bank. Landmark Bancorp is listed on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "LARK". Landmark National Bank is dedicated to providing quality financial and banking services to its local communities. Our overall strategy includes continuing a tradition of quality assets while growing our commercial and commercial real estate loan portfolios. We are committed to developing relationships with our borrowers and providing a total banking service.


Landmark National Bank is principally engaged in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and using such deposits, together with borrowings and other funds, to originate one-to-four family residential real estate, construction and land, commercial real estate, commercial, agriculture, municipal and consumer loans. Although not our primary business function, we also invest in certain investment and mortgage-related securities using deposits and other borrowings as funding sources.

Our results of operations depend generally on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from interest-earning assets and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by regulatory, economic and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand and deposit flows. In addition, we are subject to interest rate risk to the degree that our interest-earning assets mature or reprice at different times, or at different speeds, than our interest-bearing liabilities. Our results of operations are also affected by non-interest income, such as service charges, loan fees and gains from the sale of newly originated loans and gains or losses on investments. Our principal operating expenses, aside from interest expense, consist of compensation and employee benefits, occupancy costs, professional fees, advertising, federal deposit insurance costs, data processing expenses and provision for loan losses.

We are significantly impacted by prevailing economic conditions including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulations of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by numerous factors such as competing investments, the level of income and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Factors influencing lending activities include the demand for housing and interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions.

Our business consists of ownership of Landmark National Bank, with its main office in Manhattan, Kansas and twenty one branch offices in eastern, central and southwestern Kansas as of September 30, 2012. In January 2012, we entered into an agreement to purchase a bank in Wellsville, Kansas with approximately $\$ 35.0$ million in deposits, $\$ 14.2$ million in investments and $\$ 15.0$ million in loans, which was merged into Landmark National Bank upon the April 1, 2012 closing of the acquisition.

Recent Regulatory Developments. In June 2012, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued joint proposed rules that would implement an international capital accord called "Basel III," developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, a committee of central banks and bank supervisors. The proposed rules would apply to all depository organizations in the United States and most of their parent companies and would increase minimum capital ratios, add a new minimum common equity ratio, add a new capital conservation buffer, and would change the risk-weightings of certain assets for the purposes of calculating certain capital ratios. The proposed changes, if implemented, would be phased in from 2013 through 2019. On October 22, 2012, the comment period for the proposed rules expired. Various banking associations and industry groups provided comments on the proposed rules to the regulators and it is unclear when the final rules will be adopted and what changes, if any, may be made to the proposed rules. Management is currently assessing the effect of the proposed rules on the Company and Landmark National Bank's capital position and will continue to monitor developments concerning the proposed rules.

Critical Accounting Policies. Critical accounting policies are those which are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations, and require our management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting policies relate to the allowance for loan losses, valuation of real estate owned, valuation of investment securities, accounting for income taxes and accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets, all of which involve significant judgment by our management. Information about our critical accounting policies is included under Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Summary of Results. During the third quarter of 2012, we recorded net earnings of $\$ 1.2$ million as compared to net earnings of $\$ 1.5$ million in the same period of 2011 . The decrease in net earnings was primarily due to increases of $\$ 500,000$ in provision for loans losses and $\$ 595,000$ in other non-interest expense compared to the prior year period. Partially offsetting the higher expenses was a $\$ 785,000$ increase in our gains on sales of loans.

During the first nine months of 2012, we recorded net earnings of $\$ 4.8$ million as compared to $\$ 3.2$ million in the same period of 2011. The increase in net earnings was primarily due to a $\$ 2.3$ million increase in our gains on sales of loans compared to the prior year period.

The following table summarizes earnings and key performance measures for the periods presented.

| (Dollars in thousands, expcept per share amounts) | Three months ended September 30, |  |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ 1,205 |  | \$ 1,489 |  | \$ 4,750 |  | \$ 3,189 |  |
| Basic earnings per share (1) | \$ 0.43 |  | \$ 0.54 |  | \$ 1.71 |  | \$ 1.15 |  |
| Diluted earnings per share (1) | \$ 0.43 |  | \$ 0.54 |  | \$ 1.70 |  | \$ 1.15 |  |
| Earnings ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets (2) | 0.74 | \% | 1.02 | \% | 1.00 | \% | 0.74 | \% |
| Return on average equity (2) | 7.69 | \% | 10.35 | \% | 10.40 | \% | 7.67 | \% |
| Equity to total assets | 9.77 | \% | 10.00 | \% | 9.77 | \% | 10.00 | \% |
| Net interest margin (2) (3) | 3.35 | \% | 3.77 | \% | 3.49 | \% | 3.79 | \% |
| Dividend payout ratio | 44.19 | \% | 33.93 | \% | 33.53 | \% | 47.11 | \% |

(1) Per share values for the periods ended September 30, 2011 have been adjusted to give effect to the 5\% stock dividend paid during December 2011.
(2) Ratios have been annualized and are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.
(3) Net interest margin is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.

Interest Income. Interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 202,000$ to $\$ 5.5$ million, a decrease of $3.5 \%$ as compared to the same period of 2011 . Interest income on loans decreased $\$ 245,000$, or $5.6 \%$, to $\$ 4.1$ million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, due primarily to lower tax equivalent yields earned on loans and lower average outstanding loan balances. Our average tax equivalent yield on loans decreased to $5.32 \%$ in the third quarter of 2012 from $5.56 \%$ in the same period of 2011 while our average loan balances decreased to $\$ 312.4$ million from $\$ 315.1$ million over the same periods. Interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 43,000$, or $3.3 \%$, to $\$ 1.4$ million for the third quarter of 2012 , as compared to the same period of 2011 . The increase in interest
income on investment securities was due to higher average balances of investment securities, which increased from $\$ 197.5$ million during the third quarter of 2011 to $\$ 252.2$ million during the third quarter of 2012 resulting in part from our purchases of additional investment securities with excess liquidity and in part from our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank. Partially offsetting the effects of this increase in average balances was a decline in the tax equivalent yield on our investment portfolio from $3.24 \%$ during the third quarter of 2011 to $2.61 \%$ during the third quarter of 2012. The yield on our investment securities declined as the current interest rate environment resulted in the purchase of lower yielding investment securities with funds from the maturities, prepayments and sales of higher yielding investment securities.

Interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 210,000$ to $\$ 16.7$ million, an decrease of $1.2 \%$ as compared to the same period of 2011. Interest income on loans decreased $\$ 454,000$, or $3.5 \%$, to $\$ 12.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, due to lower tax equivalent yields earned on loans. Our average tax equivalent yield on loans decreased to $5.44 \%$ in the first nine months of 2012 from $5.64 \%$ in the same period of 2011. Interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 244,000$, or $6.4 \%$, to $\$ 4.1$ million for the first nine months of 2012, as compared to the same period of 2011. The increase in interest income on investment securities was due to higher average balances of investment securities, which increased from $\$ 190.9$ million during the first nine months of 2011 to $\$ 237.3$ million during the same period of 2012 resulting in part from our purchases of additional investment securities with excess liquidity and in part from our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank. Partially offsetting the effects of this increase in average balances was a decline in the tax equivalent yield on our investment portfolio from $3.31 \%$ during the first nine months of 2011 to $2.79 \%$ during the same period of 2012. The yield on our investment securities declined as the current interest rate environment resulted in the purchase of lower yielding investment securities with funds from the maturities, prepayments and sales of higher yielding investment securities.

Interest Expense. Interest expense during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 176,000$, or $15.4 \%$, to $\$ 964,000$ as compared to the same period of 2011. For the third quarter of 2012, interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased $\$ 144,000$, or $21.5 \%$, to $\$ 525,000$ as a result of lower rates paid on our certificates of deposit, money market, NOW and savings accounts. Our total cost of deposits declined from $0.69 \%$ during the third quarter of 2011 to $0.47 \%$ during the same period of 2012 as we were able to reprice our deposits lower in the current low rate environment. Our average deposit balances increased from $\$ 387.4$ million for the third quarter of 2011 to $\$ 443.2$ million for the third quarter of 2012 due both to organic growth and our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank. For the third quarter of 2012, interest expense on borrowings decreased $\$ 32,000$, or $6.8 \%$, to $\$ 439,000$ due to lower outstanding balances on our borrowings. Our average outstanding borrowings declined from $\$ 70.6$ million in the third quarter of 2011 to $\$ 57.6$ million in the same period of 2012 as we repaid our lower rate, short-term borrowings, which increased our average cost of borrowings from $2.65 \%$ in the third quarter of 2011 to $3.03 \%$ in the same period of 2012.

Interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased $\$ 541,000$, or $15.2 \%$, to $\$ 3.0$ million as compared to the same period of 2011. For the first nine months of 2012, interest expense on interest-bearing deposits decreased $\$ 440,000$, or $20.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.7$ million as a result of lower rates on deposit balances. Our total cost of deposits declined from $0.74 \%$ during the first nine months of 2011 to $0.53 \%$ during the same period of 2012 as we were able to reprice our deposits lower in the current low rate environment. Our average deposit balances increased from $\$ 384.9$ million to $\$ 429.2$ million over the same periods due both to organic growth and our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank. For the first nine months of 2012, interest expense on borrowings decreased 101,000 , or $7.2 \%$, to $\$ 887,000$ due to lower outstanding balances on our borrowings. Our average outstanding borrowings declined from $\$ 69.4$ million in the first half of 2011 to $\$ 60.2$ million in the same period of 2012 as we repaid our lower rate, short-term borrowings, which increased our average cost of borrowings from $2.73 \%$ in the first nine months of 2011 to $2.99 \%$ in the same period of 2012.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income decreased $\$ 26,000$, or $0.6 \%$, for the third quarter of 2012 to $\$ 4.5$ million compared to the same period of 2011. The lower net interest income resulted from a decline in our net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, to $3.35 \%$ during the third quarter of 2012 compared to $3.77 \%$ during the same period of 2011. Our average interest-earning asset balances increased from $\$ 514.5$ million during the third quarter of 2011 to $\$ 578.2$ million during the third quarter of 2012. Our average interest-earning asset balances increased primarily as a result of the acquisition of The Wellsville Bank on April 1, 2012, as well as from holding higher relative levels of investment securities and cash and cash equivalents due to organic growth in our deposit balances. Our net interest margin declined as the yields on our investment securities and cash and cash equivalents are typically lower than those earned on loans.

Net interest income increased $\$ 331,000$, or $2.5 \%$, for the first nine months of 2012 to $\$ 13.7$ million compared to the same period of 2011. Our net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, decreased to $3.49 \%$ during the first nine months of 2012 compared to $3.79 \%$ during the same period of 2011 . The increase in net interest income was a result of our average interest-earning asset balances increasing from $\$ 507.9$ million during the first nine months of 2011 to $\$ 564.4$ million during the same period of 2012. Our average interest-earning asset balances increased primarily as a result of the acquisition of The Wellsville Bank on April 1, 2012, as well as from holding higher relative levels of investment securities and cash and cash equivalents due to organic growth in our deposit balances. Our net interest
margin declined as the yields on our investment securities and cash and cash equivalents are typically lower than those earned on loans.

See the Average Assets/Liabilities and Rate/Volume tables at the end of Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition" for additional details on asset yields, liability rates and net interest margin.

Provision for Loan Losses. We maintain, and our Board of Directors monitors, an allowance for losses on loans. The allowance is established based upon management's periodic evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, review of significant individual loans and collateral, review of delinquent loans, past loss experience, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers' ability to repay, current and expected market conditions, and other factors management deems important. Determining the appropriate level of reserves involves a high degree of management judgment and is based upon historical and projected losses in the loan portfolio and the collateral value of specifically identified problem loans. Additionally, allowance policies are subject to periodic review and revision in response to a number of factors, including current market conditions, actual loss experience and management's expectations.

Our provision for loan losses increased from $\$ 500,000$ during the third quarter of 2011 to $\$ 1.0$ million during the same period of 2012. During the third quarter of 2012, we recorded net loan charge-offs of $\$ 105,000$ compared to $\$ 182,000$ during the same period of 2011. The increase in our provision for loan losses was principally associated with a $\$ 3.3$ million land loan which was classified as non-accrual and impaired during the third quarter of 2012 after an updated appraisal indicated a significant decline in the value of the collateral securing the loan. We are currently negotiating with the borrowers to restructure the loan.

Our provision for loan losses totaled $\$ 1.6$ million during the first nine months of both 2012 and 2011. During the first nine months of 2012 we recorded net loan charge-offs of $\$ 140,000$ compared to $\$ 2.2$ million during the same period of 2011. The net loan charge-offs in 2011 were primarily related to a previously identified and impaired construction loan totaling $\$ 4.3$ million, which had previously experienced a significant decline in the appraised value of the collateral securing the loan. Due to additional delays associated with the litigation to collect payment from the guarantor, we charged-off the remaining $\$ 1.0$ million balance on this loan in the second quarter of 2011. We are currently continuing to pursue recovery on this loan. In addition to the charge-off of the construction loan, the 2011 period also reflects the charge-off of a previously identified and impaired commercial relationship consisting of $\$ 2.0$ million in real estate and operating loans, which was charged down to market value after we acquired ownership of the property securing the loans during the first quarter of 2011. The commercial real estate property was sold during the first quarter of 2011 without incurring any further losses.

For further discussion of the allowance for loan losses, refer to the "Asset Quality and Distribution" section.

Non-interest Income. Total non-interest income increased $\$ 834,000$, or $35.0 \%$, to $\$ 3.2$ million in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 . The increase in non-interest income was primarily the result of a $\$ 785,000$ increase in our gains on sales of loans, as the volume of loans sold in the secondary market was higher in the third quarter of 2012 compared to a year earlier. We believe the low mortgage rates experienced in 2012 have contributed to the higher volume of mortgage loans originated for sale as consumers continue refinance; higher rates in the future may reduce volumes of originations. In addition, our fees and service charges increased by $\$ 89,000$, or $7.0 \%$, as a result of higher fees and service charges received on our deposit accounts and service fee income on one-to-four family residential real estate loans serviced for others. Partially offsetting these increases was a $\$ 46,000$ decline in bank owned life insurance income resulting from a penalty incurred as a result of transferring some of our policies to a different insurance company after our internal review identified credit quality concerns with the previous insurance company.

Total non-interest income increased $\$ 2.4$ million, or $36.6 \%$, to $\$ 8.9$ million in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 . The increase in non-interest income was primarily the result of a $\$ 2.3$ million increase in our gains on sales of loans, as the volume of loans sold in the secondary market was higher in the first nine months of 2012 compared to a year earlier. In addition, our fees and service charges increased by $\$ 226,000$, or $6.2 \%$, as a result of higher fees and service charges received on our deposit accounts and service fee income on one-to-four family residential real estate loans serviced for others. Partially offsetting these increases was a $\$ 119,000$ decline in other non-interest income which had been elevated in first nine months of 2011 due to gains on sales of other real estate.

Investment Securities Gains, Net. No gains or losses on sales of investment securities were realized during the third quarter of 2012. During the third quarter of 2011, we recognized $\$ 186,000$ in gains on sales of investment securities as a result of selling approximately $\$ 4.7$ million of short-term, tax-exempt municipal investment securities. Partially offsetting the 2011 gains was $\$ 19,000$ of other-than-temporary impairment losses that we recorded on two common stock investment securities during the third quarter of 2011.

During the first nine months of 2012, we recognized $\$ 359,000$ in gains on sales of investment securities as a result of selling approximately $\$ 8.0$ million of mortgage-backed investment securities, as we capitalized on what we believed to be premium pricing that existed in the markets for these types of securities at the time. Partially offsetting the gains on sales of investment securities was a credit-related, other-than-temporary impairment loss of $\$ 63,000$ recognized during the first quarter of 2012 on one of our investments in pooled trust preferred securities. During the first nine months of 2011 , we recognized $\$ 186,000$ in gains on sales of investments securities and other-than-temporary impairment losses of $\$ 19,000$ all of which took place in the third quarter, as discussed above.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 595,000$, or $12.7 \%$, to $\$ 5.3$ million for the third quarter of 2012 as compared to the year earlier period. The increase was primarily the result of increases of $\$ 291,000$ in amortization, $\$ 122,000$ in professional fees and $\$ 119,000$ in compensation and benefits. The increase in our amortization expense was primarily associated with recording a $\$ 212,000$ valuation allowance against our mortgage servicing rights as a decline in mortgage rates decreased the estimated fair value of these assets. The increase in professional fees was primarily related to higher legal and consulting expense. Additionally, The Wellsville Bank acquisition contributed to some of the increases in non-interest expense during the third quarter of 2012, including compensation and benefits as well as occupancy and equipment and data processing.

Non-interest expense increased $\$ 396,000$, or $2.7 \%$, to $\$ 15.1$ million for the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the prior year period. The increase was primarily the result of increases of $\$ 356,000$ in amortization and $\$ 256,000$ in compensation and benefits. The increase in our amortization expense was primarily associated with recording a $\$ 212,000$ valuation allowance against our mortgage servicing rights as a decline in mortgage rates decreased the estimated fair value of these assets. Partially offsetting those increases was a decline of $\$ 342,000$ in professional fees. The decrease in professional fees was primarily related to engaging consultants to help us review our internal processes and procedures to identify additional opportunities to improve financial performance in 2011 without any comparable cost occurring in the 2012 period. Additionally, The Wellsville Bank acquisition contributed to some of the increases in non-interest expense during the first nine months of 2012, including compensation and benefits as well as occupancy and equipment and data processing.

Income Tax Expense. During the third quarter of 2012, we recorded income tax expense of $\$ 267,000$, an effective tax rate of $18.1 \%$, compared to income tax expense of $\$ 437,000$, an effective tax rate of $22.7 \%$, in the same period of 2011. The decrease in effective tax rate was the result of lower earnings before income taxes, while tax-exempt income remained relatively stable between the periods.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded income tax expense of $\$ 1.5$ million, an effective tax rate of $23.6 \%$, compared to income tax expense of $\$ 573,000$, an effective tax rate of $15.2 \%$, in the same period of 2011. The increase in effective tax rate was the result of higher earnings before income taxes, while tax-exempt income remained relatively stable between the periods.

Financial Condition. Our asset quality and performance have generally been affected over the past several years by economic conditions, including difficult credit markets, depressed residential and commercial real estate values, generally depressed consumer confidence, heightened unemployment and decreased consumer spending. Even though the geographic markets in which the Company operates have been impacted by these economic conditions, the effect has not been as severe as those experienced in some areas of the U.S. In addition, our loan portfolio is diversified across various types of loans and collateral throughout the markets in which we operate. Outside of identified problem assets, management believes that it continues to have a high quality asset base and solid core earnings, and anticipates that its efforts to run a high quality financial institution with a sound asset base will continue to create a strong foundation for continued growth and profitability in the future.

Asset Quality and Distribution. Our primary investing activities are the origination of commercial real estate, commercial and consumer loans, and the purchase of investment and mortgage-backed securities. Total assets increased to $\$ 644.2$ million at September 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 598.2$ million at December 31, 2011. Both organic growth and our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank on April 1, 2012 contributed to the increase in assets. Net loans, excluding loans held for sale, decreased to $\$ 307.3$ million at September 30, 2012 from $\$ 310.1$ million at December 31, 2011. The $\$ 2.8$ million decrease in net loans was primarily the result of lower outstanding balances in our commercial real estate, agriculture and municipal loan categories. The decline in these loan balances is the result of multiple factors, including reduced loan demand from our customers, seasonal factors and early payoffs. Our one-to-four family residential real estate and consumer loan portfolios increased primarily as a result of loans acquired with The

Wellsville Bank. Generally, we originate fixed-rate, residential mortgage loans with maturities in excess of ten years for sale in the secondary market. These loans are typically sold soon after the loan closing. We also retain some of our newly originated one-to-four family residential real estate loans that meet internal criteria as well as secondary market qualifications. We typically only retain loans with maturities of 15 years or less. While we do not intend to continue to increase our one-to-four family residential real estate loan portfolio, we are currently slowing the normal runoff of the portfolio by retaining some of the new loan originations to offset weak commercial loan demand; however, most of the new loan originations will still be sold. We do not originate and warehouse these fixed-rate residential loans for resale in order to speculate on interest rates.

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on our evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of our loan activity. This evaluation, which includes a review of all loans with respect to which full collectability may not be reasonably assured, considers the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, level of classified loans and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an appropriate allowance for loan losses. At September 30, 2012, our allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 6.2$ million, or $1.97 \%$ of gross loans outstanding, as compared to $\$ 4.7$ million, or $1.50 \%$ of gross loans outstanding, at December 31, 2011. The increase in our allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 was principally associated with an increase in our non-accrual loans.

Loans past due 30-89 days and still accruing interest totaled $\$ 2.9$ million, or $0.94 \%$ of gross loans at September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 2.2$ million, or $0.71 \%$ of gross loans, at December 31, 2011. At September 30, 2012, $\$ 4.6$ million in loans were on non-accrual status, or $1.46 \%$ of gross loans, compared to a balance of $\$ 1.4$ million, or $0.45 \%$ of gross loans, at December 31, 2011. Non-accrual loans consist of loans 90 or more days past due and certain impaired loans. There were no loans 90 days or more delinquent and still accruing interest at September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. Our impaired loans totaled $\$ 5.2$ million at September 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 2.5$ million at December 31, 2011. The difference in the Company's non-accrual loan balances and impaired loan balances at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was related to troubled debt restructurings that are current but still classified as impaired.

At September 30, 2012, we had six loan relationships that were classified as troubled debt restructurings compared to five at December 31, 2011. During the first nine months of 2012, the Company classified a commercial loan relationship consisting of two commercial loans as a troubled debt restructuring after agreeing to extend the maturity of the loans while the borrower liquidated the business assets securing the loans. The Company did not charge-off any of the loan balances as the anticipated proceeds were expected to exceed the loan balances. As of September 30, 2012, the outstanding balances of these loans totaled $\$ 196,000$ and were classified as non-accrual and impaired.

During 2011, we restructured three loan relationships that we identified as troubled debt restructurings. One of the restructurings was a construction and land loan relationship totaling $\$ 599,000$ which was secured by raw land which had experienced a severe decline in value. We agreed to reduce the outstanding loan balance to $\$ 250,000$ in exchange for a $\$ 50,000$ principal payment in 2011 with the remaining $\$ 200,000$ to be received during the first quarter of 2012. We had charged-off $\$ 141,000$ of the loan during 2010 and an additional $\$ 208,000$ during 2011, with the remaining $\$ 200,000$ loan balance classified as non-accrual and impaired as of December 31, 2011. The loan was paid off during the first quarter of 2012. Another loan relationship totaling $\$ 110,000$ to a municipal sanitary and improvement district was also restructured in 2011 to extend the maturity and lower the interest rate to allow the district more time to develop the taxable valuation that will provide cash flow for repayment of the loan. The loan was returned to accrual status during the third quarter of 2012 after a payment history was established. The outstanding balance of $\$ 98,000$ was current and accruing interest as September 30, 2012, but still classified as impaired. The restructuring did not impact our allowance for loan losses. Also during 2011, a one-to-four family residential real estate loan totaling $\$ 10,000$ was classified as a troubled debt restructuring as a result of the customer receiving a zero interest rate loan. The balance on this loan at September 30, 2012 was $\$ 9,000$. This loan was classified as impaired as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

During 2010, we restructured two loan relationships that were identified as troubled debt restructurings. One of the relationships was an $\$ 853,000$ real estate loan which was secured by real estate which was deficient based on the appraised value. The relationship was restructured into two 1-4 family residential real estate loans to a borrower who was experiencing financial difficulty and to whom we granted concessions at renewal. The value of the real estate supported $\$ 563,000$ of the loan relationship. The $\$ 290,000$ collateral deficiency of the real estate had previously been included in our allowance on impaired loans. The loan was returned to accrual status during 2010 after a payment history was established, while the collateral deficiency was charged-off. After the death of the borrower during the third quarter of 2012, the loan was placed back on non-accrual until the estate is settled. The outstanding balance of the loan was $\$ 494,000$ and $\$ 518,000$ at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. A second loan relationship totaling $\$ 527,000$ to another municipal sanitary and improvement district was restructured in 2010 to

## Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

extend the maturity and lower the interest rate to allow the district more time to develop the taxable valuation that will provide cash flow for repayment of the loan. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the loan was $\$ 543,000$. The restructuring did not impact our allowance for loan losses. This loan was current and accruing interest at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, but still classified as impaired.

As part of our credit risk management, we continue to aggressively manage the loan portfolio to identify problem loans and have placed additional emphasis on commercial real estate and construction and land relationships. We are aggressively working to resolve the remaining problem credits or move the non-performing credits out of the loan portfolio. We had $\$ 2.6$ million of real estate owned at September 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 2.3$ million at December 31, 2011. Real estate owned primarily consists of a residential subdivision development we took possession of after the development slowed and the borrower was unable to comply with the contractual terms of the loan, a commercial real estate building resulting from a loan settlement, land previously acquired by the Bank for expansion and a few residential real estate properties. The Company is currently marketing all of the properties in real estate owned.

Many financial institutions, including us, experienced a general increase in non-performing assets during recent years, as even well-established business borrowers developed cash flow, profitability and other business-related problems as a result of economic conditions. While we believe that our allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 was appropriate, there can be no assurances that loan losses will not exceed the estimated amounts. We believe that we use the best information available to determine the allowance for loan losses; however, unforeseen market conditions could result in adjustment to the allowance for loan losses. In addition, net earnings could be significantly affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in establishing the allowance for loan losses. Further deterioration in the local economy or real estate values may create additional problem loans for us and require further adjustment to our allowance for loan losses.

Liability Distribution. Our primary ongoing sources of funds are deposits, FHLB borrowings, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans and investment securities and proceeds from the sale of mortgage loans and investment securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates and economic conditions. We experienced a $\$ 60.7$ million increase in total deposits during the first nine months of 2012 , to $\$ 514.8$ million at September 30, 2012, from $\$ 454.1$ million at December 31, 2011, including $\$ 35.0$ million of growth attributable to the acquisition of The Wellsville Bank's deposits. The remaining deposit growth occurred in our non-interest-bearing demand, money market and NOW and savings accounts, while our time deposit balances declined, excluding the effects or our acquisition of The Wellsville Bank. Total borrowings decreased $\$ 17.6$ million to $\$ 59.0$ million at September 30, 2012, from $\$ 76.6$ million at December 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily a result of reducing our FHLB line of credit with the liquidity from higher deposit balances and lower outstanding balances on our repurchase agreements.

Non-interest-bearing deposits at September 30, 2012 were $\$ 72.6$ million, or $14.1 \%$ of deposits, compared to $\$ 66.1$ million, or $14.5 \%$, at December 31, 2011. Money market and NOW deposit accounts were $42.4 \%$ of our deposit portfolio and totaled $\$ 218.0$ million at September 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 171.5$ million, or $37.8 \%$, at December 31, 2011. Savings accounts increased to $\$ 45.4$ million, or $8.8 \%$ of deposits, at September 30, 2012, from $\$ 36.7$ million, or $8.1 \%$, at December 31, 2011. Certificates of deposit increased to $\$ 178.8$ million, or $34.7 \%$ of deposits, at September 30, 2012, from $\$ 179.8$ million, or $39.6 \%$, at December 31, 2011.

Certificates of deposit at September 30, 2012, which are scheduled to mature in one year or less, totaled $\$ 122.8$ million. Historically, maturing deposits have generally remained with our bank and we believe that a significant portion of the deposits maturing in one year or less will remain with us upon maturity.

Cash Flows. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our cash and cash equivalents increased by $\$ 5.6$ million. Our operating activities provided net cash of $\$ 4.6$ million during the first nine months of 2012. Our investing activities used net cash of $\$ 5.5$ million during the first nine of 2012 as we purchased investment securities with our excess liquidity. Financing activities provided net cash of $\$ 6.6$ million during the first nine months of 2012, primarily from increased deposits which were primarily used to purchase investment securities and reduce our borrowings on our FHLB line of credit.

Liquidity. Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available for sale. The levels of these assets are dependent on the operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. These liquid assets totaled $\$ 262.1$ million at September 30, 2012 and $\$ 215.7$ million at December 31, 2011. During periods in which we are not able to originate a sufficient amount of loans and/or periods of high principal prepayments, we increase our liquid assets by investing in short-term, high-grade investments.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of our strategy. Excess funds are generally invested in short-term investments. In the event we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, additional funds are generally available through the use of FHLB advances, a line of credit with the FHLB, other borrowings or through sales of investment securities. At September 30, 2012, we had outstanding FHLB advances of $\$ 35.7$ million and no borrowings against our line of credit with the FHLB. At September 30, 2012, we had collateral pledged to the FHLB that would allow us to borrow an additional $\$ 18.3$ million, subject to FHLB credit requirements and policies. At September 30, 2012, we had no borrowings through the Federal Reserve discount window, while our borrowing capacity was $\$ 16.0$ million. We also have various other fed funds agreements, both secured and unsecured, with correspondent banks totaling approximately $\$ 109.2$ million under which we had no outstanding borrowings at September 30, 2012. We had other borrowings of $\$ 23.3$ million at September 30, 2012, which included $\$ 16.5$ million of subordinated debentures and $\$ 5.2$ million in repurchase agreements. The Company has a $\$ 7.5$ million line of credit from an unrelated financial institution maturing on November 5, 2013, with an interest rate that adjusts daily based on the prime rate plus $0.25 \%$, but not less than $4.00 \%$. This line of credit has covenants specific to capital and other financial ratios, which the Company was in compliance with at September 30, 2012. The outstanding balance on the line of credit at September 30, 2012 was $\$ 1.6$ million, which was also included in other borrowings.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements. As a provider of financial services, we routinely issue financial guarantees in the form of financial and performance standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by us generally to guarantee the payment or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. While these standby letters of credit represent a potential outlay by us, a significant amount of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon. We have recourse against the customer for any amount the customer is required to pay to a third party under a standby letter of credit. The letters of credit are subject to the same credit policies, underwriting standards and approval process as loans made by us. Most of the standby letters of credit are secured, and in the event of nonperformance by the customers, we have the right to the underlying collateral, which could include commercial real estate, physical plant and property, inventory, receivables, cash and marketable securities. The contract amount of these standby letters of credit, which represents the maximum potential future payments guaranteed by us, was $\$ 2.2$ million at September 30, 2012.

At September 30, 2012, we had outstanding loan commitments, excluding standby letters of credit, of $\$ 62.1$ million. We anticipate that sufficient funds will be available to meet current loan commitments. These commitments consist of unfunded lines of credit and commitments to finance real estate loans.

Capital. Current regulatory capital regulations require financial institutions (including banks and bank holding companies) to meet certain regulatory capital requirements. Institutions are required to have minimum leverage capital equal to $4 \%$ of total average assets and total qualifying capital equal to $8 \%$ of total risk weighted assets in order to be considered "adequately capitalized." As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, both the Company and the Landmark National Bank were rated "well capitalized," which is the highest rating available under the regulatory capital regulations framework for prompt corrective action. Management believes that as of September 30, 2012, the Company and the Landmark National Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which we are subject.

The following is a comparison of the Company's regulatory capital to minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Actual |  | For capital adequacy purposes |  |  | To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  |
| As of September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage | \$60,225 | 9.50 \% | \$ 25,350 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 31,688 | 5.00 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$60,225 | 15.88\% | \$ 15,174 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 22,762 | 6.00 | \% |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$66,132 | $17.43 \%$ | \$ 30,349 | 8.00 | \% | \$ 37,936 | 10.00 | \% |
| As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage | \$56,273 | 9.84 \% | \$ 22,871 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 28,589 | 5.00 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$56,273 | 15.02\% | \$ 14,984 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 22,476 | 6.00 | \% |

The following is a comparison of the Landmark National Bank's regulatory capital to minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Actual |  | For capital adequacy purposes |  | To be well-capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  |
| As of September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage | \$61,667 | 9.76 \% | \$ 25,274 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 31,592 | 5.00 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$61,667 | 16.32\% | \$ 15,114 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 22,671 | 6.00 | \% |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$66,384 | 17.57\% | \$ 30,228 | 8.00 | \% | \$ 37,786 | 10.00 | \% |
| As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage | \$58,692 | 10.29\% | \$ 22,808 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 28,510 | 5.00 | \% |
| Tier 1 Capital | \$58,692 | 15.73\% | \$ 14,923 | 4.00 | \% | \$ 22,384 | 6.00 | \% |
| Total Risk Based Capital | \$63,325 | 16.97\% | \$ 29,846 | 8.00 |  | \$ 37,307 | 10.00 | \% |

Dividends. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we paid a quarterly cash dividend of $\$ 0.19$ per share to our stockholders.

The payment of dividends by any financial institution or its holding company is affected by the requirement to maintain adequate capital pursuant to applicable capital adequacy guidelines and regulations. As described above, Landmark National Bank exceeded its minimum capital requirements under applicable guidelines as of September 30, 2012. The National Bank Act imposes limitations on the amount of dividends that a national bank may pay without prior regulatory approval. Generally, the amount is limited to the bank's current year's net earnings plus the adjusted retained earnings for the two preceding years. As of September 30, 2012, approximately $\$ 3.3$ million was available to be paid as dividends to the Company by Landmark National Bank without prior regulatory approval.

Additionally, our ability to pay dividends is limited by the subordinated debentures that are held by two business trusts that we control. Interest payments on the debentures must be paid before we pay dividends on our capital stock, including our common stock. We have the right to defer interest payments on the debentures for up to 20 consecutive quarters. However, if we elect to defer interest payments, all deferred interest must be paid before we may pay dividends on our capital stock.

Average Assets/Liabilities. The following tables reflects the tax equivalent yields on average interest-earning assets and costs of average interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated (derived by dividing income or expense by the monthly average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively) as well as "net interest margin" (which reflects the effect of the net earnings balance) for the periods shown:

Assets
Interest-earning assets:

| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-earning assets: | $\$ 13,688$ | $\$ 7$ | 0.20 | $\%$ | $\$ 1,931$ | $\$-$ | 0.00 |
| Interest-bearing deposits at banks | 252,174 | 1,652 | 2.61 | $\%$ | 197,471 | 1,615 | 3.24 |
| Investment securities (1) | 312,373 | 4,177 | 5.32 | $\%$ | 315,143 | 4,412 | 5.56 |
| Loans receivable, net (2) | 578,235 | 5,836 | 4.02 | $\%$ | 514,545 | 6,027 | 4.65 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 69,034 |  |  |  | 66,525 |  |  |
| Non-interest-earning assets | $\$ 647,269$ |  |  |  | $\$ 581,070$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
Interest-bearing liabilities:
Certificates of deposit
Money market and NOW accounts
Savings accounts
Total deposits
FHLB advances and other borrowings
Total interest-bearing liabilities
Non-interest-bearing liabilities
Stockholders' equity
Total

Interest rate spread (3)
Net interest margin (4)
Tax equivalent interest - imputed
Net interest income

| $\$ 180,289$ | $\$ 438$ | 0.97 | $\%$ | $\$ 181,287$ | $\$ 566$ | 1.24 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 216,943 | 81 | 0.15 | $\%$ | 169,486 | 91 | 0.21 | $\%$ |
| 45,922 | 6 | 0.05 | $\%$ | 36,671 | 12 | 0.13 | $\%$ |
| 443,154 | 525 | 0.47 | $\%$ | 387,444 | 669 | 0.69 | $\%$ |
| 57,578 | 439 | 3.03 | $\%$ | 70,561 | 471 | 2.65 | $\%$ |
| 500,732 | 964 | 0.77 | $\%$ | 458,005 | 1,140 | 0.99 | $\%$ |
| 84,209 |  |  |  | 66,014 |  |  |  |
| 62,328 |  |  |  | 57,051 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 647,269$ |  |  | $\$ 581,070$ |  |  |  |  |

Three months ended
September 30, 2012 Average
balance $\quad$ Interest $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { yield/rate }\end{aligned} \begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { balance }\end{aligned} \quad$ Interest $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { yield/rate }\end{aligned}$
(Dollars in thousands)
Three months ended September 30, 2011

Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities

|  | 3.25 | $\%$ |  | 3.66 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 4,872$ | 3.35 | $\%$ | $\$ 4,887$ | 3.77 | $\%$ |
| 347 |  |  | 336 |  |  |
| $\$ 4,525$ |  |  | $\$ 4,551$ |  |  |

115.5 \%
$112.3 \%$
(1) Income on tax exempt securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.
(2) Includes loans classified as non-accrual. Income on tax exempt loans is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis,
(2) using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.
(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average 3) cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents annualized, tax-equivalent net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Assets
Interest-earning assets:
Interest-bearing deposits at banks
Investment securities (1)
Loans receivable, net (2)
Total interest-earning assets
Non-interest-earning assets
Total

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
Interest-bearing liabilities:
Certificates of deposit
Money market and NOW accounts
Savings accounts
Total deposits
FHLB advances and other borrowings
Total interest-bearing liabilities
Non-interest-bearing liabilities
Stockholders' equity
Total

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 Average Interest balance
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Nine months ended <br> September 30, 2011 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average | Average <br> yield/rate <br> balance | Average <br> yield/rate |


| $\$ 12,713$ | $\$ 18$ | 0.19 | $\%$ | $\$ 3,042$ | $\$ 3$ | 0.13 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 237,277 | 4,955 | 2.79 | $\%$ | 190,872 | 4,719 | 3.31 | $\%$ |
| 314,435 | 12,810 | 5.44 | $\%$ | 314,011 | 13,245 | 5.64 | $\%$ |
| 564,425 | 17,783 | 4.21 | $\%$ | 507,925 | 17,967 | 4.73 | $\%$ |
| 69,159 |  |  |  | 67,766 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 633,584$ |  |  |  | $\$ 575,691$ |  |  |  |


| $\$ 179,967$ | $\$ 1,414$ | 1.05 | $\%$ | $\$ 177,070$ | $\$ 1,806$ | 1.36 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 205,411 | 254 | 0.17 | $\%$ | 172,232 | 288 | 0.22 | $\%$ |
| 43,797 | 24 | 0.07 | $\%$ | 35,579 | 38 | 0.14 | $\%$ |
| 429,175 | 1,692 | 0.53 | $\%$ | 384,881 | 2,132 | 0.74 | $\%$ |
| 59,295 | 1,326 | 2.99 | $\%$ | 69,792 | 1,427 | 2.73 | $\%$ |
| 488,470 | 3,018 | 0.83 | $\%$ | 454,673 | 3,559 | 1.05 | $\%$ |
| 84,096 |  |  |  | 65,441 |  |  |  |
| 61,018 |  |  |  | 55,577 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 633,584$ |  |  |  | $\$ 575,691$ |  |  |  |


| Interest rate spread (3) | 3.38 | $\%$ |  | 3.68 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest margin (4) | $\$ 14,765$ | 3.49 | $\%$ | $\$ 14,408$ | 3.79 |
| Tax equivalent interest - imputed | 1,034 |  |  | 1,008 |  |
| Net interest income | $\$ 13,731$ |  | $\$ 13,400$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to |  | 115.5 | $\%$ | 111.7 | $\%$ |

(1) Income on tax exempt securities is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis, using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate. Includes loans classified as non-accrual. Income on tax exempt loans is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis,
2) using a $34 \%$ federal tax rate.
(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents annualized, tax-equivalent net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Table. The following table describes the extent to which changes in tax equivalent interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities affected the Company's interest income and expense for periods indicated. The table distinguishes between (i) changes attributable to rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), (ii) changes attributable to volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), and (iii) net change (the sum of the previous columns). The net changes attributable to the combined effect of volume and rate that cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

Interest income:


## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our assets and liabilities are principally financial in nature and the resulting net interest income thereon is subject to changes in market interest rates and the mix of various assets and liabilities. Interest rates in the financial markets affect our decision on pricing our assets and liabilities, which impacts net interest income, a significant cash flow source for us. As a result, a substantial portion of our risk management activities relates to managing interest rate risk.

Our Asset/Liability Management Committee monitors the interest rate sensitivity of our balance sheet using earnings simulation models and interest sensitivity gap analysis. We have set policy limits of interest rate risk to be assumed in the normal course of business and monitor such limits through our simulation process.

We have been successful in meeting the interest rate sensitivity objectives set forth in our policy. Simulation models are prepared to determine the impact on net interest income for the coming twelve months, including one using rates at September 30, 2012, and forecasting volumes for the twelve-month projection. This position is then subjected to a shift in interest rates of 100 and 200 basis points with an impact to our net interest income on a one-year horizon as
follows:

|  | Dollar change in net <br> Scenario | Percent change in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| interest income (\$000's) |  |  | | net interest income |
| :--- |

The 200 basis point falling scenario is considered to be not meaningful ("NM") in the current low rate environment.

# Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 

Forward-Looking Statements

This document (including information incorporated by reference) contains, and future oral and written statements by us and our management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of our management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "plan," "intend," "estimate," "may," "will," "could," "should" or other similar expressions. Additionally, all statements in this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on operations and future prospects by us and our subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, the following:

The strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct - our operations which may be less favorable than expected and may result in, among other things, a deterioration in the credit quality and value of our assets.
The effects of, and changes in, federal, state and local laws, regulations and policies affecting banking, securities, insurance and monetary and financial matters (including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as rules jointly proposed by the federal bank regulatory agencies to implement Basel III) and the effects of increases in FDIC premiums.
The effects of changes in interest rates (including the effects of changes in the rate of prepayments of our assets) and the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Our ability to compete with other financial institutions as effectively as we currently intend due to increases in competitive pressures in the financial services sector.

Our inability to obtain new customers and to retain existing customers.
The timely development and acceptance of products and services, including products and services offered through alternative delivery channels such as the Internet.
Technological changes implemented by us and by other parties, including third party vendors, which may be more difficult or more expensive than anticipated or which may have unforeseen consequences to us and our customers.

Our ability to develop and maintain secure and reliable electronic systems.
Our ability to retain key executives and employees and the difficulty that we may experience in replacing key executives and employees in an effective manner.

- Consumer spending and saving habits which may change in a manner that affects our business adversely.

Our ability to successfully integrate acquired businesses and future growth.
The costs, effects and outcomes of existing or future litigation.

Changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by state and federal regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board.
The economic impact of past and any future terrorist attacks, acts of war or threats thereof, and the response of the United States to any such threats and attacks.

Our ability to effectively manage our credit risk.
Our ability to forecast probable loan losses and maintain an adequate allowance for loan losses.
The effects of declines in the value of our investment portfolio.
Our ability to raise additional capital if needed.
The effects of declines in real estate markets.

These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Additional information concerning us and our business, including other factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the "Risk Factors" section in our Form 10-K.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of September 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that materially affected or were likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## LANDMARK BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to their respective businesses.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors applicable to the Company from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors," in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On November 5, 2012, the Company entered into the Fourth Amendment (the "Amendment") to the Revolving Credit Agreement, dated November 19, 2008 between the Company and First National Bank of Omaha, as amended (the "Credit Agreement"). Under the Amendment, the maturity date of the Credit Agreement was extended to November 5, 2013. The Amendment also amended certain financial covenants included in the Credit Agreement.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Fourth Amendment to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated November 5, 2012, between Landmark Bancorp, 10.1 Inc. and First National Bank of Omaha

Exhibit
31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.1

Exhibit
31.2

Exhibit Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 32.1906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 32.2906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and nine
Exhibit months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011; (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011; and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LANDMARK BANCORP, INC.
Date: November 9, 2012 /s/ Patrick L. Alexander
Patrick L. Alexander
President and Chief Executive Officer
Date: November 9, 2012 /s/ Mark A. Herpich
Mark A. Herpich
Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer


[^0]:    * As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

