

Standard AVB Financial Corp.

Form DEF 14A

April 15, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Standard AVB Financial Corp.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

(2)

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

(3)

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

N/A

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

N/A

(5)

Total fee paid:

N/A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)

Amount Previously Paid:

N/A

(2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

N/A

(3)

Filing Party:

N/A

(4)

Date Filed:

N/A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STANDARD AVB FINANCIAL CORP.

2640 Monroeville Boulevard

Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

April 15, 2019

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Standard AVB Financial Corp. (the “Company” or “Standard AVB”). Our Annual Meeting will be held at the Courtyard by Marriott Monroeville, 3962 William Penn Highway, Monroeville, PA 15146, on May 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. local time.

The enclosed Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement describe the formal business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting, which includes a report on the operations of the Company. Directors and officers of the Company will be present to answer any questions that you and other stockholders may have. Also enclosed for your review is our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which contains detailed information concerning the activities and operating performance of the Company.

The business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting consists of the election of five directors; the ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as our independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019; the consideration of an advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to the executive compensation described in the Proxy Statement; and the consideration of an advisory vote on the frequency of future “say-on-pay” votes with respect to our Named Executive Officers. The Board of Directors has determined that the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting are in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders, and the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote “FOR” the election of the director nominees, “FOR” the ratification of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as our independent registered public accountant for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, “FOR” the advisory resolution with respect to the executive compensation as described in the Proxy Statement, and in favor of the “ONE YEAR” option with respect to the frequency of future “say-on-pay” votes with respect to our Named Executive Officers.

Please indicate your vote by using the enclosed proxy card or by voting by telephone or internet, even if you currently plan to attend the Annual Meeting. This will not prevent you from voting in person, but will ensure that your vote is counted. Your vote is important.

Sincerely,

Timothy K. Zimmerman
Chief Executive Officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STANDARD AVB FINANCIAL CORP.

2640 Monroeville Boulevard

Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

(412) 856-0363

NOTICE OF

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On May 21, 2019

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of the Stockholders of Standard AVB Financial Corp. will be held at the Courtyard by Marriott Monroeville, 3962 William Penn Highway, Monroeville, PA 15146, on May 21, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. local time.

A proxy statement and proxy card for the Annual Meeting are enclosed. The Annual Meeting is for the purpose of considering and acting upon:

1.
the election of five directors;
2.
the ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019;
3.
an advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to the executive compensation described in the Proxy Statement;
4.
an advisory vote with respect to the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers; and such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting, and any adjournments or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other such business. Any action may be taken on the foregoing proposals at the Annual Meeting, including all adjournments thereof. Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 29, 2019 are the stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. A list of stockholders entitled to vote will be open and available for inspection at the Courtyard by Marriott Monroeville, 3962 William Penn Highway, Monroeville, PA 15146 during the entire Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors
Standard AVB Financial Corp.

Monroeville, Pennsylvania Timothy K. Zimmerman
April 15, 2019 Chief Executive Officer

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders whose shares are held in registered form have a choice of voting by proxy card, telephone or the Internet, as described on your proxy card. Stockholders whose shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other holder of record must vote in the manner directed by such holder. Check your proxy card or the information forwarded by your broker, bank or other holder of record to see which options are available to you. Any stockholder of record present at the Annual Meeting may withdraw his or her proxy and vote personally on any matter properly brought before the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder whose shares are not registered in your own name, you will need appropriate documentation from the stockholder of record to vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on May 21, 2019: This Proxy Statement, proxy card and Standard AVB Financial Corp.'s 2018 Annual Report to

Stockholders are each available at www.edocumentview.com/STND.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>The 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	1
<u>Who Can Vote</u>	2
<u>How Many Votes You Have</u>	2
<u>Matters to Be Considered</u>	2
<u>How to Vote</u>	2
<u>Quorum and Vote Required</u>	3
<u>Revocability of Proxies</u>	3
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	4
<u>Recommendation of the Board of Directors</u>	4
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	4
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	6
<u>PROPOSAL I — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	7
<u>Directors and Executive Officers</u>	7
<u>Nominees for Director for a Term of Three Years</u>	7
<u>Terms to Expire Fiscal Year 2020</u>	8
<u>Terms to Expire Fiscal Year 2021</u>	9
<u>Executive Officers of Standard AVB Who Are Not Also Directors</u>	10
<u>Corporate Governance and Code of Ethics and Business Conduct</u>	11
<u>Director Independence</u>	12
<u>Board Meetings and Committees</u>	12
<u>Compensation and Personnel Committee</u>	12
<u>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee</u>	13
<u>Procedures for the Nomination of Directors by Stockholders</u>	14
<u>Stockholder Communications with the Board</u>	15
<u>Audit Committee</u>	15
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	15
<u>Transactions with Certain Related Persons</u>	16
<u>COMPENSATION MATTERS</u>	19
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	19
<u>Compensation Decision Process</u>	21
<u>Assessing Competitive Practice (Peer Groups)</u>	22
<u>Elements of the Compensation Package and 2018 Pay Outcomes</u>	22
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	23
<u>Other Benefit Plans and Agreements</u>	24
<u>Director Compensation</u>	27
<u>PROPOSAL II — RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC</u>	29
<u>ACCOUNTANTS</u>	29
<u>Fees Paid to Snodgrass</u>	29

<u>Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accountants</u>	
<u>Required Vote and Recommendation of the Board</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PROPOSAL III — ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>PROPOSAL IV — ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON THE COMPENSATION TO OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2020 ANNUAL MEETING</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Advance Notice of Business to be Conducted at an Annual Meeting</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>32</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STANDARD AVB FINANCIAL CORP.
PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE
2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held on May 21, 2019

GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement and accompanying Proxy Card and the 2018 Annual Report to Stockholders are being furnished to the stockholders of Standard AVB Financial Corp. (sometimes referred to as “Standard AVB” or the “Company”) in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Standard AVB, for use at the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Annual Meeting will be held on May 21, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Courtyard by Marriott Monroeville, 3962 William Penn Highway, Monroeville, PA 15146. The term “Annual Meeting,” as used in this Proxy Statement, includes any adjournment or postponement of such meeting.

This Proxy Statement is dated April 15, 2019 and is first being mailed to stockholders on or about April 15, 2019.

The 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Date, Time and Place

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on May 21, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Courtyard by Marriott Monroeville, 3962 William Penn Highway Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146.

Record Date

March 29, 2019.

Shares Entitled to Vote

4,822,646 shares of Standard AVB common stock were outstanding on the Record Date and are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Purpose of the Annual Meeting

To consider and vote on the election of five directors; the ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as the Company’s independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019; the consideration of an advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to the executive compensation described in the Proxy Statement; and the consideration of an advisory vote with respect to the frequency of future “say-on-pay” advisory votes on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

Vote Required

Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast, without regard to either broker non-votes or proxies as to which authority to vote for the nominees being proposed is withheld. The ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as independent registered public accountants is determined by a majority of the votes cast, without regard to broker non-votes or proxies marked “ABSTAIN.” As to the advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to our executive compensation as described in this Proxy Statement, a stockholder may: (i) vote “FOR” the resolution; (ii) vote “AGAINST” the resolution; or (iii) “ABSTAIN” from voting on the resolution. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, without regard to either broker non-votes or shares as to which the “ABSTAIN” box has been selected on the proxy card, is required for the approval of this non-binding resolution. As to the advisory vote on the frequency of future “say-on-pay” advisory votes on the executive compensation of our Named Executive Officers, stockholders

TABLE OF CONTENTS

may choose one of four choices: every year, every two years, every three years, or to abstain from voting. Although this advisory vote regarding the frequency of “say-on-pay” votes is non-binding on the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors will review the voting results and take them into consideration when deciding how often to conduct “say-on-pay” advisory votes.

Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote in Favor of The Proposals

The Board of Directors has determined that the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting are in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders, and the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote “FOR” the election of the director nominees as well as Proposals 2 and 3, and in favor of the “ONE YEAR” option with respect to Proposal 4.

Who Can Vote

The Board of Directors has fixed March 29, 2019 the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Accordingly, only holders of record of shares of Standard AVB common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at the close of business on such date will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. On March 29, 2019, 4,822,646 shares of Standard AVB common stock were outstanding and held by approximately 570 holders of record. The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Standard AVB common stock is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting.

How Many Votes You Have

Each holder of shares of Standard AVB common stock outstanding on March 29, 2019 will be entitled to one vote for each share held of record. However, Standard AVB’s Articles of Incorporation provide that stockholders of record who beneficially own in excess of 10% of the then-outstanding shares of common stock of Standard AVB are not entitled to any vote with respect to the shares held in excess of that 10% limit. A person or entity is deemed to beneficially own shares that are owned by an affiliate, as well as by any person acting in concert with such person or entity.

Matters to Be Considered

The purpose of the Annual Meeting is to vote on the election of five directors; to ratify the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as our independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019; to consider an advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to the executive compensation described in the Proxy Statement; and to consider an advisory vote with respect to the frequency of future advisory votes on our executive compensation.

You may be asked to vote upon other matters that may properly be submitted to a vote at the Annual Meeting. You also may be asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn or postpone the Annual Meeting. Standard AVB could use any adjournment or postponement for the purpose, among others, of allowing additional time to solicit proxies.

How to Vote

You may vote your shares by completing and signing the enclosed Proxy Card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope or by attending the Annual Meeting. Alternatively, you may choose to vote your shares using the internet or telephone voting options explained on your Proxy Card. You should complete and return the Proxy Card accompanying this document, or vote using the internet or telephone voting options, in order to ensure that your vote is counted at the Annual Meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, regardless of whether you plan to attend. Where no instructions are indicated, validly executed proxies will be voted “FOR” the election of the five director nominees named on

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the Proxy Statement, “FOR” Proposals 2 and 3 as set forth in the Proxy Statement, and in favor of the “ONE YEAR” option with respect to Proposal 4 as set forth in the Proxy Statement.

If you are a stockholder whose shares are not registered in your own name, you will need appropriate documentation from the stockholder of record to attend the Annual Meeting. Examples of such documentation include a broker’s statement or letter or other documentation that will confirm your ownership of shares of Standard AVB common stock. If you want to vote your shares of Standard AVB common stock that are held in street name in person at the Annual Meeting, you will need a written proxy in your name from the broker, bank or other nominee who holds your shares.

The Board of Directors is currently unaware of any other matters that may be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, or at any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, shares represented by properly submitted proxies will be voted, or not voted, by the persons named as proxies on the Proxy Card in their best judgment.

Quorum and Vote Required

The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Standard AVB common stock is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted solely for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present. A proxy submitted by a broker that is not voted is sometimes referred to as a broker non-vote.

Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast, without regard to either broker non-votes or proxies as to which authority to vote for the nominees being proposed is “Withheld.” The ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, P.C. as independent registered public accountants is determined by a majority of the votes cast, without regard to broker non-votes or proxies marked “ABSTAIN.” As to the advisory, non-binding resolution with respect to our executive compensation as described in this Proxy Statement, a stockholder may: (i) vote “FOR” the resolution; (ii) vote “AGAINST” the resolution; or (iii) “ABSTAIN” from voting on the resolution. The advisory resolution with respect to our executive compensation as described in the Proxy Statement and the advisory vote on the frequency of future “say-on-pay” votes are determined by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting, without regard to either broker non-votes or abstentions. As to the advisory vote on the frequency of future “say-on-pay” advisory votes on our executive compensation, stockholders may choose one of four choices: “ONE YEAR,” “TWO YEARS,” “THREE YEARS,” or “ABSTAIN.” While voting on Proposals 3 and 4 are required by law, these votes will neither be binding on Standard AVB or the Board of Directors, nor will they create or imply any change in the fiduciary duties of, or impose any additional fiduciary duty on Standard AVB or the Board of Directors.

Revocability of Proxies

You may revoke your proxy at any time before the vote is taken at the Annual Meeting. You may revoke your proxy by:

- submitting written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of Standard AVB prior to the voting of such proxy;
- submitting a properly executed proxy bearing a later date;
- using the internet or telephone voting options explained on the Proxy Card; or
- voting in person at the Annual Meeting; however, simply attending the Annual Meeting without voting will not revoke an earlier proxy.

Written notices of revocation and other communications regarding the revocation of your proxy should be addressed to:

Standard AVB Financial Corp.
2640 Monroeville Boulevard

Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

Attention: Kim J. Davis, Corporate Secretary

3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If your shares are held in street name, your broker votes your shares and you should follow your broker's instructions regarding the revocation of proxies.

Solicitation of Proxies

Standard AVB will bear the entire cost of soliciting proxies from you. In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, Standard AVB will request that banks, brokers and other holders of record send proxies and proxy material to the beneficial owners of Standard AVB common stock and secure their voting instructions. Standard AVB will reimburse such holders of record for their reasonable expenses in taking those actions. If necessary, Standard AVB may also use several of its regular employees, who will not be separately compensated, to solicit proxies from stockholders, personally or by telephone, facsimile or letter.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has determined that the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting are in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders, and the Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the election of the director nominees, "FOR" Proposals 2 and 3, and in favor of the "ONE YEAR" option with respect to Proposal 4.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Persons and groups who beneficially own in excess of five percent of the Company's common stock are required to file certain reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding such beneficial ownership. The following table sets forth, as of March 29, 2019, certain information as to the shares of the Company's common stock owned by persons who beneficially own more than five percent of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. We know of no persons, except as listed below, who beneficially owned more than five percent of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock as of March 29, 2019. For purposes of the following table and the table included under the heading "Management," in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of any shares of common stock (i) over which he or she has, or shares, directly or indirectly, voting or investment power or (ii) as to which he or she has the right to acquire beneficial ownership at any time within 60 days after March 29, 2019.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Shares of Common Stock Outstanding(1)
Standard Bank, PaSB Employee Stock Ownership Plan 2640 Monroeville Blvd. Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146	254,610(2)	5.28%
Banc Fund VII L.P. Banc Fund VIII L.P. Banc Fund IX L.P. 20 North Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606	261,976(3)	5.43%

(1)

Based on 4,822,646 shares of Standard AVB common stock outstanding as of March 29, 2019.

(2)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2019.

(3)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/Amendment No. 1 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2019.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table sets forth information about the shares of Standard AVB common stock owned by each nominee for election as director, each incumbent director, each named executive officer identified in the summary compensation table included elsewhere in this Proxy Statement, and all nominees, incumbent directors and executive officers as a group, as of March 29, 2019.

Name(1)	Positions Held in Standard AVB Financial Corp.	Age(2)	Director Since(3)	Current Term to Expire	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned as of the Record Date(4)	Percent of Class(5)
NOMINEES						
Terence L. Graft	Chairman of the Board	69	1991	2019	50,093(6)	1.04%
John M. Lally	Director	63	2009	2019	32,515(7)	*
David C. Mathews	Director	64	2006	2019	60,167(8)	1.25%
Ronald J. Mock	Director	62	2009	2019	16,848(9)	*
Dale A. Walker	Director	69	1999	2019	34,322(10)	*
DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE						
Jennifer H. Lunden	Director	45	2018	2020	5,435(11)	*
William T. Ferri	Director	74	2007	2020	44,882(12)	*
Paul A. Iurlano	Director	64	2004	2020	27,187(13)	*
Gregory J. Saxon	Vice Chairman of the Board	54	2002	2020	33,834(14)	*
Andrew W. Hasley	President and Director	55	2006	2021	53,256(15)	1.10%
Thomas J. Rennie	Director	69	2008	2021	29,143(16)	*
R. Craig Thomasmeyer	Director	54	2004	2021	33,069(17)	*
Timothy K. Zimmerman	Chief Executive Officer and Director	68	1993	2021	128,378(18)	2.66%
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT DIRECTORS						
Susan A. Parente	Executive Vice President — Chief Financial Officer				26,679(19)	*
John P. Kline	Executive Vice President — Chief Lending Officer				250(20)	*
Susan M. DeLuca	Senior Vice President — Chief Risk Officer				9,093(21)	*
Christian M. Chelli	Senior Vice President — Chief Credit Officer				5,834(22)	*
Shelia D. Crystaloski	Senior Vice President — Chief Technology Officer				27,598(23)	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons)					618,583	12.83%

*

Less than 1%.

(1)
The mailing address for each person listed is 2640 Monroeville Boulevard, Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146.

(2)
As of March 29, 2019.

(3)
Reflects initial election to the Board of Directors of Standard Financial Corp. or Allegheny Valley Bancorp, Inc., as applicable. On April 7, 2017, Allegheny Valley Bancorp, Inc. merged into Standard Financial Corp., with the resulting entity renamed Standard AVB Financial Corp.

(4)
In accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner for purposes of this table, of any shares of common stock if he has shared voting or investment power with respect to such security, or has a right to acquire beneficial ownership at any time within 60 days from the date as of which beneficial ownership is being determined. As used herein, "voting power" is the power to vote or direct the voting of shares and "investment power" is the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares, and includes all shares held directly as well as by spouses and minor children, in trust and other indirect ownership, over which shares the named individuals effectively exercise sole or shared voting or investment power.

(5)

Based on 4,822,646 shares outstanding as of March 29, 2019.

(6)

Includes 9,795 exercisable stock options.

(7)

Includes 7,532 exercisable stock options.

(8)

Includes 19,200 exercisable stock options.

(9)

Includes 4,166 exercisable stock options.

(10)

Includes 16,695 exercisable stock options.

(11)

Includes no exercisable stock options.

(12)

Includes 13,000 exercisable stock options.

(13)

Includes 4,166 exercisable stock options.

(14)

Includes 4,166 exercisable stock options.

(15)

Includes 10,280 exercisable stock options.

(16)

Includes 16,195 exercisable stock options.

(17)

Includes 7,532 exercisable stock options.

(18)

Includes 70,500 exercisable stock options.

(19)

Includes 15,400 exercisable stock options.

(20)

Includes no exercisable stock options.

(21)

Includes no exercisable stock options.

(22)

Includes no exercisable stock options.

(23)

Includes 8,600 exercisable stock options.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The officers and directors of the Company and beneficial owners of greater than 10% of the common stock are required to file reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the Securities and Exchange Commission disclosing beneficial ownership and changes in beneficial ownership of the common stock. Securities and Exchange Commission rules require disclosure in the Company's Proxy Statement or Annual Report on Form 10-K of the failure of an officer, director or 10% beneficial owner of the common stock to file a Form 3, 4, or 5 on a timely basis. Based on the Company's review of ownership reports, all such reports were filed on a timely basis during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSAL I — ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors currently consists of thirteen (13) members and is divided into three classes, with one class of directors elected each year.

Five (5) directors will be elected at the Annual Meeting and will serve until their successors have been elected and qualified. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has nominated Terence L. Graft, John M. Lally, David C. Mathews, Ronald J. Mock and Dale A. Walker to serve as directors for a three-year term.

The biographies of each of the nominees and continuing board members below contain information regarding the person's business experience and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board of Directors to determine that the person should serve as a director. The principal occupation during the past five years of each of our directors is set forth below. All directors have held their present positions for at least five years unless otherwise stated. Each existing director is also a director of Standard Bank, PaSB.

All of the nominees and directors continuing in office are or were long-time residents of the communities served by Standard AVB and many of such individuals have operated, or currently operate, businesses located in such communities. As a result, each nominee and director continuing in office has significant knowledge of the businesses that operate in Standard AVB's market area, an understanding of the general real estate market, values and trends in such communities and an understanding of the overall demographics of such communities. As the holding company for a community banking institution, Standard AVB believes that the local knowledge and experience of its directors assists Standard AVB in assessing the credit and banking needs of its customers, developing products and services to better serve its customers and assessing the risks inherent in its lending operations, and provides Standard AVB with greater business development opportunities.

It is intended that the proxies solicited on behalf of the Board (other than proxies in which the vote is withheld as to the nominees) will be voted at the Annual Meeting "FOR" the election of the nominees. If the nominees are unable to serve, the shares represented by all such proxies will be voted for the election of such substitute as the Board may recommend. At this time, the Board knows of no reason why the nominees would be unable to serve if elected. Except as indicated herein, there are no arrangements or understandings between the nominees and any other person pursuant to which such nominees were selected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

Directors and Executive Officers

Following is the business experience for the past five years of each of the Company's directors and executive officers. Nominees for Director for a Term of Three Years

Terence L. Graft has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 1991 and has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors since 2008. Mr. Graft is the owner of Kepple-Graft Funeral Home located in Greensburg, Pennsylvania and Graft-Jacquillard Funeral Home located in Scottsdale, Pennsylvania. He is a member of the National and Pennsylvania Funeral Directors Associations, as well as the Funeral Directors Associations of Armstrong, Westmoreland and Indiana, Pennsylvania. Mr. Graft's experience as a local business owner and his knowledge of the local business community and his business management skills make him a valuable contributor to the Board of Directors.

John M. Lally, CPA, MBA, CVA, has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. He previously served as a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2009 to 2017. Mr. Lally is the managing partner of Lally & Co., LLC, a Pittsburgh-based certified public accounting and business advisory firm. Mr. Lally has been an owner/partner in the CPA practice since its founding in 1983. Mr. Lally is a 1977 graduate of Saint Vincent College in Latrobe, Pennsylvania, and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

obtained his MBA from The George Washington University in Washington, D.C., in 1978. He successfully passed the Uniform CPA Exam in 1981, the Certified Financial Planner examinations in 1986, and the Certified Valuation Analyst examination in 2001. Mr. Lally brings valued practical and technical experience as well as strong business community relationships to our board and the committees on which he serves.

David C. Mathews has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2006. Mr. Mathews served as the Business Development Coordinator of Standard Bank from January 2006 until his retirement in February 2019. Prior to joining Standard Bank, Mr. Mathews served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Hoblitzell National Bank (“HNB”) from 1998 until HNB was acquired by Standard Bank in January 2006. Mr. Mathews has 34 years of experience in banking. Mr. Mathews is a board member of the Western Maryland Health System Hospital and the Western Maryland Health System Foundation, and is also a past member of the Frostburg State Business Advisory Board of Directors and The Greater Cumberland Committee. He is a former board member of the YMCA of Cumberland. Mr. Mathews contributes his extensive experience with commercial lending and business development and general management expertise to the Board of Directors.

Ronald J. Mock, CPA has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. Mr. Mock previously served as a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2009 to 2017. Mr. Mock is the President of Mock Bosco & Associates, P.C., a regional public accounting firm, and CEO of Independent Controller Services, Inc. Throughout his 30-year career, Mr. Mock has provided audit and tax services to a variety of private, publicly held, and foreign-owned companies in the manufacturing, professional services, real estate, and construction industries. Before owning his own firm, he was employed by Deloitte & Touche in Pittsburgh, an international accounting and consulting firm, where he was a Manager in the Audit Department. Mr. Mock is a member of the American and Pennsylvania Institutes of Certified Public Accountants. He formerly served as Chairman of the SMC Business Council, a regional business trade association, and is a former member of the Association’s Audit Committee. Mr. Mock’s professional experience, inquisitive nature, strong ties to the communities served by the Bank, and integrity all provide valuable resources to the board.

Dale A. Walker has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 1999. Mr. Walker is a certified public accountant and is the owner of Dale A. Walker, CPA, an accounting firm in Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania. He is a member of the American and Pennsylvania Institutes of Certified Public Accountants, a director and Treasurer of Penn Laurel Holdings, a real estate investment company, Director of the Mount Pleasant Free Public Library, past Chairman of the Board of Excelsa Health, a not-for-profit health care system in western Pennsylvania, Treasurer of Mount Pleasant Business District Authority and Mount Pleasant Parking Authority, Elder at Reunion Presbyterian Church and a past president and member of the Mount Pleasant Rotary. Mr. Walker contributes his accounting experience and knowledge of the local business community to the Board of Directors.

Terms to Expire Fiscal Year 2020

Jennifer H. Lunden has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2018. Mrs. Lunden is an attorney with Hergenroeder, Rega, Ewing & Kennedy, LLC, a residential and commercial real estate law firm based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Mrs. Lunden’s legal experience in banking and lending matters provide additional depth to the Standard AVB and Standard Bank Boards of Directors. Mrs. Lunden is a Member of the Allegheny County Bar Association, National Association of Development Companies and Western Pennsylvania Association of Guaranteed SBA Lenders. Mrs. Lunden is also a Pennsylvania Title Agent and Solicitor of Murrysville Economic and Community Development Association. Mrs. Lunden’s legal experience coupled with a wide range of business experience, leadership qualities, and ongoing interaction with the local Pittsburgh and Murrysville communities make her a valuable contributor to the Board of Directors.

William T. Ferri has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2007. Mr. Ferri is a pharmacist and the owner of Ferri Pharmacy located in Murrysville, Pennsylvania. He is also the Chief Executive Officer of Ferri Enterprises, a property development and management company, and the President of Ferri Supermarkets, Inc. He is Director-Secretary of Value Drug Company, a pharmacy wholesale co-op distributor in Altoona, PA, and is also a member of the Pennsylvania Pharmacists

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Association, the National Association of Retail Pharmacists, the Murrysville Community Economic Development Corporation, the Westmoreland Chamber of Commerce and the Murrysville Business Association. Mr. Ferri contributes his experience owning a local business and his knowledge of the local business community to the Board of Directors

Paul A. Iurlano has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. Mr. Iurlano was previously a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2004 to 2017. Mr. Iurlano is Chief Facilities Officer of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh and Legal Counsel for the Diocesan Office for Facilities Management. In his current position, Mr. Iurlano is involved in all aspects of the acquisition, development and disposal of real estate as well as owner oversight of major construction, renovation and demolition projects. Prior to the Catholic Diocese, Mr. Iurlano had extensive experience in general construction and construction management of projects principally involving all types of parking structures, mid-rise and high-rise apartment buildings, retail buildings and commercial build outs. Since 1995, Mr. Iurlano has served as a construction arbitrator on over 150 cases administrated by the American Arbitration Association. Disputes have ranged from \$10,000 to \$3 million and involved contract interpretation, change orders, performance deficiencies, delay and lost opportunity. Mr. Iurlano is also a Trustee of the Pittsburgh Catholic Newspaper Publishing Corp., Director of Parish Catholic Cemeteries Association, Inc., Director of Central Catholic High School, Inc., Director of North Catholic High School, Inc., Director of the St. Joseph High School, Inc., and Director and past President of the Fox Chapel Authority. In addition to his law degree, Mr. Iurlano has degrees in engineering and public administration. Mr. Iurlano's significant involvement in construction, property management, contract dispute resolution and other outside board involvement make him a valuable Board member.

Gregory J. Saxon has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. Mr. Saxon previously served as a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp from 2002 through 2017 and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2001 to 2017. He also served as Chairman of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2006 to 2017. Mr. Saxon is President of Conco Services, Corp., a privately held manufacturing and energy services company. Previous to his current employment, Mr. Saxon served as Vice President of Manufacturing at Conco, while filling a second position as President of Global Heat Exchanger Services Co., a manufacturing and petrochemical services company affiliated with Conco Services, Corp. He has also functioned as the manufacturing manager and plant manager at Conco and has been a member of the Board of Directors for all Conco companies since 1990. Mr. Saxon graduated from Robert Morris College with a Bachelor of Science degree in Marketing and has been a member of the Society of Manufacturing Engineers as well as the National Tooling and Machining Association since 1987. He has also participated in additional Executive Educational Programs at Wharton, University of Pennsylvania, for additional education at both the Executive and Directorship levels. Mr. Saxon's wide range of business experience, leadership qualities, and ongoing interaction with the local Pittsburgh business community make him a valuable contributor to the Board of Directors.

Terms to Expire Fiscal Year 2021

Andrew W. Hasley, CPA, MBA, is President and a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. He was formerly the President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2006 through 2017. Prior to his work with Allegheny Valley, Mr. Hasley was President of NorthSide Bank and its holding company, NSD Bancorp, Inc. He has also served as President of Pennsylvania Capital Bank. Mr. Hasley's banking experience dates back to December 1985. He audited financial institutions while employed at Ernst and Whitney, and earned the Federal Thrift Regulator designation while employed by the Office of Thrift Supervision. Through his years of experience in this industry, Mr. Hasley has gained significant knowledge in all areas of executive bank management. He has been elected as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Pennsylvania Association of Community Bankers and has taught continuing professional education for Pennsylvania State University on various banking-related subjects. Mr. Hasley has been active in local charities and his church, serving as President of the Board of Trustees of the Mt. Lebanon Evangelical Presbyterian Church, and is a board member of the Pittsburgh Zoo and PPG Aquarium, serving on the Zoo's strategic planning and finance committees. Mr. Hasley is a 1985 graduate of Duquesne University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and obtained his MBA from Duquesne University in 1991. He currently is a member of the Federal Reserve

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Bank of Cleveland Community Depository Institutions Advisory Council. Mr. Hasley's vast experience leading financial institutions throughout his career and extensive technical background and management experience make him a valuable member of the Board of Directors.

Thomas J. Rennie has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2008. Mr. Rennie is a certified public accountant and the owner of a public accounting firm offering tax, accounting and consulting services with offices in Ligonier and Greensburg, Pennsylvania. He is a member and past President of the Ligonier Chamber of Commerce, past President of the Southwest Chapter of the Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants and a past President of Ligonier Rotary Club and presently serves on the finance council of St. Benedict Church in Greensburg. Mr. Rennie contributes his accounting and business management and analytical experience and knowledge of the local business community to the Board of Directors.

R. Craig Thomasmeyer has been a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. Previously, Mr. Thomasmeyer served as a director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank from 2004 through 2017. Mr. Thomasmeyer is the Executive Vice President of Miller Information Systems ("MIS"), a Pittsburgh-based telecommunications contractor and services provider. Prior to joining MIS in 1992, Mr. Thomasmeyer worked at Davenport, Marvin, Joyce & Co., CPAs located in North Carolina. He also serves on the Audit Committee of Butler Health Systems. As a certified public accountant, he served their base of local clients in the audit and special accounting needs fields. With his experience, Mr. Thomasmeyer provides the Board of Directors with valuable expertise in dealing with accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations, evaluating financial results, and generally overseeing the financial reporting process of corporations. Mr. Thomasmeyer's experience and knowledge make him a skilled advisor and a valuable contributor to our Board of Directors.

Timothy K. Zimmerman is Chief Executive Officer and a director of Standard AVB and Standard Bank since 2017. He was formerly the President, Chief Executive Officer of Standard Financial Corp. and Standard Bank from 1992 and a director of Standard Financial Corp. and Standard Bank since 1993. Prior to joining Standard Bank, Mr. Zimmerman served at Landmark Savings Association, Pittsburgh (and predecessors) from 1977 to 1992, including service as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 1985 to 1992. Mr. Zimmerman is a Certified Public Accountant and worked for KPMG Peat Marwick from 1973 to 1977. Mr. Zimmerman is very active in not for profit organizations, serving on boards and committees of the Pittsburgh Civic Light Opera and others in the Greater Pittsburgh Area. He is a former member of the Board of Directors of the Pennsylvania Association of Community Bankers. Currently he is serving as Immediate Past Chairman of the Independent Community Bankers of America ("ICBA") and as a member of ICBA's Board of Directors and Executive Committee. During his affiliation with ICBA, he has served in many leadership roles including as Chairman of ICBA Securities, Vice Chairman of the Bank Education Committee, Vice Chairman of the Policy Development Committee and Chairman of the ICBA's Federal Home Loan Bank Task Force. In addition, he has served as Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's Community Bank Advisory Council and is currently a member of the National Association of Home Builders Mortgage Roundtable. He worked on ICBA's task force for the Financial Accounting Standards Board of Directors' ("FASB") Current Expected Credit Loss Model ("CECL") and was appointed by FASB to the Transition Resource Group for CECL. Mr. Zimmerman contributes his extensive experience in financial accounting, financial institutions and management experience to the Board of Directors.

Executive Officers of Standard AVB Who Are Not Also Directors

The principal occupation during the past five years of each of our executive officers, is set forth below. All executive officers have held their present positions for at least five years unless otherwise stated.

John P. Kline, age 53, is Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. Mr. Kline joined Standard AVB and Standard Bank in September 2018. Mr. Kline has 31 years of experience in the financial services industry concentrating in the areas of commercial credit analysis, commercial credit administration, commercial loan administration, commercial real estate lending and commercial and industrial lending. Mr. Kline currently serves as a Board Member of United Way of Southwestern PA, Westmoreland County Chamber of Commerce, Westmoreland Frick Hospital

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foundation, Economic Growth Connection, Penn Regional Investments and Westmoreland County Industrial Development Authority. In addition, he serves as Board Chair of St. Anne Home and the Salvation Army of Greensburg and Board Treasurer of West Penn Power Sustainable Energy Fund.

Susan A. Parente, CPA, age 56, is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. Ms. Parente joined Standard Financial in 1998 as Controller and in 2000 was appointed Assistant Treasurer. In 2008, she earned the officer designation of Vice President and assumed direction of the newly combined Finance and Operations Department. In 2014, she earned the officer designation of Senior Vice President and in October 2016 was appointed Chief Financial Officer. Ms. Parente has 31 years of banking and accounting experience. Prior to joining Standard Bank, Ms. Parente worked as Manager of Profit Planning and as a Senior Accountant with Equitable Resources, Pittsburgh, from 1990 to 1998. Prior banking experience includes service as an Internal Auditor and Senior Accountant with Landmark Savings Association, Pittsburgh, from 1985 to 1990. Ms. Parente is a certified public accountant and member of the American and Pennsylvania Institutes of Certified Public Accountants.

Christian M. Chelli, age 50, is Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. Mr. Chelli was hired by Allegheny Valley Bancorp in 2008 as Vice President, Commercial Lending Officer, and in 2010 served as Senior Vice President, Senior Commercial Lending Officer. In 2013, Mr. Chelli served as Allegheny Valley Bancorp's Senior Vice President, Chief Credit Officer. Mr. Chelli has over 25 years of experience in the financial services industry concentrating in the areas of commercial credit and policy administration, commercial and industrial lending, commercial real estate lending, financial statement and cash flow analysis and overall business risk assessment. Mr. Chelli currently serves as a Board Member of the Financial Industries Network.

Susan M. DeLuca, age 62, is Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. In her forty-year career with Allegheny Valley Bank, she held numerous management positions including a member of the senior management team for twenty-two years. In addition, she served as Corporate Secretary for Allegheny Valley Bancorp and Allegheny Valley Bank for twenty-six years and as a Director of Allegheny Valley Bancorp for nineteen years. Mrs. DeLuca served as a past Board member of the Pittsburgh Chapter of the American Institute of Banking. Mrs. DeLuca oversees general bank compliance and overall risk management initiatives.

Sheila D. Crystaloski, CISM, age 56, is Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. Ms. Crystaloski previously served as Director of Technology for Standard Financial and Standard Bank since 1998. In 2000 she earned the officer designation of Assistant Vice President. In 2006 she earned the officer designation of Vice President. Ms. Crystaloski has over 33 years in the technology field and over 25 years in banking technology. Prior to joining Standard Bank, Ms. Crystaloski worked as Senior Systems Analyst and Assistant Vice President for Commercial National Bank, Latrobe from 1991 to 1998. Prior experience includes Computer Operator and Network Specialist for Latrobe Area Hospital from 1984 to 1991. Ms. Crystaloski is a Certified Information Security Manager, a member of ISACA and InfraGard as well as a 15 year Rotarian.

Corporate Governance and Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

Standard AVB is committed to maintaining sound corporate governance principles and the highest standards of ethical conduct and is in compliance with applicable corporate governance laws and regulations.

The Board has adopted a code of ethics for the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and all persons performing similar functions. The code of ethics is designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote honest and ethical conduct, the avoidance of conflicts of interest, full and accurate disclosure and compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. The code of ethics is available on the Company's website at www.standardbankpa.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Director Independence

The Board has determined that, except directors Timothy K. Zimmerman, Andrew W. Hasley and David C. Mathews each member of the Board is an “independent director” within the meaning of the NASDAQ corporate governance listing standards and the Company’s corporate governance policies. Messrs. Zimmerman and Hasley are not independent because they are employees of Standard AVB and Standard Bank. Mr. Mathews retired from full time employment with Standard Bank on February 27, 2019. Mr. Mathews is not independent because of his prior employment with Standard Bank. He remains on the Board of Directors. There were no transactions that the Board of Directors needed to review that are not required to be reported under “— Transactions with Certain Related Persons” that would bear in the determination of the independence of the directors.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors is chaired by Terence L. Graft and is vice-chaired by Gregory J. Saxon, each of whom is a non-executive director. This structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of Standard AVB and Standard Bank, and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for the work of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors is actively involved in oversight of risks that could affect Standard AVB. This oversight is conducted primarily through committees of the Board of Directors, but the full Board of Directors has retained responsibility for general oversight of risks. The Board of Directors satisfies this responsibility through full reports by each committee chair regarding such committee’s considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within Standard AVB. Risks relating to the direct operations of Standard Bank are further overseen by the Board of Directors of Standard Bank, which consists of the same individuals who serve on the Board of Directors of Standard AVB. The Board of Directors of Standard Bank also has additional committees that conduct risk oversight and they typically meet jointly with the committees of Standard AVB. All committees are responsible for the establishment of policies that guide management and staff in the day-to-day operation of Standard AVB and Standard Bank, such as lending, risk management, asset/ liability management, investment management and others.

Board Meetings and Committees

The Board of Directors of Standard AVB met 12 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. No director attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of the total number of Board meetings and committee meetings on which he or she served (during the period in which he or she served) that were held during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. Executive sessions of the independent directors are conducted on a regular basis. Although not required, attendance of Board members at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders is encouraged. All of the directors attended the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Company has three standing Board committees: Compensation and Personnel; Nominating and Corporate Governance; and Audit.

Compensation and Personnel Committee

The Compensation and Personnel Committee (“Compensation Committee”) is composed of independent (as defined in the Standard AVB Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter), non-employee directors who are not eligible to participate in management compensation programs. The current members of the Compensation Committee consist of Directors Graft, who serves as Chairman, Ferri, Saxon, Thomasmeyer and Walker. The Compensation Committee has a written charter, which is available on our website at www.standardbankpa.com. The Compensation Committee met 4 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

None of these individuals was an officer or employee of Standard AVB or Standard Bank during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, or is a former officer of Standard AVB or Standard Bank. Each member of the Compensation Committee is independent in accordance with the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pursuant to the Compensation Committee's Charter, the Compensation Committee approves the compensation objectives for Standard AVB and Standard Bank and establishes the compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives. The Chief Executive Officer provides recommendations to the Compensation Committee on matters of compensation philosophy, plan design and the general guidelines for employee compensation. These recommendations are then considered by the Compensation Committee. However, Mr. Zimmerman does not vote on and is not present for any discussion of his own compensation.

The Compensation Committee, in performing its duties and responsibilities with respect to director and executive officer compensation, relies on market compensation, survey information and the assistance of the Human Resources Department. In addition, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, the Compensation Committee retained Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC ("Pearl Meyer") to provide market survey salary data and recommendations with respect to compensation for the Board of Directors. Pearl Meyer had also been retained to perform a comprehensive review of compensation for the Board of Directors and senior executive management compensation and benefit plans during 2017. This information for senior executive management was refreshed during 2018 using independent compensation and benefit survey information.

Analysis of Compensation Risk. In setting compensation, the Compensation Committee also considers the risks to the Company's stockholders that may be inherent in the compensation program and to the achievement of our goals. Based on its review, the Compensation Committee believes our compensation programs represent an appropriate balance of short-term and long-term compensation and do not encourage executive officers or other employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Compensation Committee review also considered our internal controls, policies and risk-mitigating components in our incentive arrangements currently in place.

We considered the following elements, among others, of our executive compensation plans and policies when evaluating whether such plans and policies encourage our executives to take unreasonable risks:

- we set performance goals that we believe are reasonable but challenging in light of past performance and market conditions; and

- we have a balanced portfolio between long-term and short-term compensation, variable and fixed pay, and cash, equity and deferred compensation with a compensation portfolio weighted similar to our peers.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of at least six directors who are "independent" as defined in the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter. The current members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consist of Director Graft, who serves as Chairman, Rennie, Ferri, Thomasmeyer, Iurlano and Saxon. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has a written charter, which is available on our website at www.standardbankpa.com. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of Standard AVB met 2 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

Pursuant to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee assists the Board of Directors in identifying qualified individuals to serve as members of the Board of Directors, in determining the composition of the Board of Directors and its committees, in monitoring a process to assess Board of Directors effectiveness and in developing and implementing our corporate governance guidelines. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers and recommends the nominees for director to stand for election at our annual meeting of stockholders.

If the candidate is deemed eligible for election to the Board of Directors, the Committee will consider the following criteria in selecting nominees, as described in more detail in the Committee's Charter: the candidate's personal and professional integrity, exceptional ability and judgment, effectiveness in serving the long-term interests of our stockholders and our desire to have directors of diverse backgrounds and perspectives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will also consider an individual's independence, experience relevant to Standard AVB's needs, leadership qualities, diversity and stock ownership.

In addition to meeting these qualifications, a person is not qualified to serve as a director if he or she: (1) has ever been convicted of a criminal offense involving dishonesty or breach of trust and the penalty for such offense could be imprisonment for more than one year, (2) is a person against whom a banking agency has issued a cease and desist order, agreement or written statement subject to public disclosure under 12 U.S.C. §1818(u), or (3) is currently charged in any information, indictment or other complaint with the commission or participation in a crime. In addition, no person may serve on the Board of Directors and at the same time be a director or officer of another co-operative bank, credit union, savings bank, savings and loan association, bank, trust company or holding company thereof (in each case whether chartered under state, federal or other law) that engages in business activities in the same market area as Standard AVB or any of its subsidiaries or in any county contiguous to such market area. At least two-thirds of the members of the Board of Directors must be residents of Pennsylvania or reside within a 100-mile radius of an office of Standard Bank. No person 75 years or older shall be eligible for election, re-election, appointment or reappointment to the Board of Directors, unless such person was a director of Standard Bank on June 1, 1998. Finally, Standard AVB restricts candidates who are affiliated with or representative of controlling stockholders that own more than 10% of Standard AVB common stock that would themselves be ineligible to serve on the Board of Directors.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted as part of its Charter a commitment to develop and recommend to the Board of Directors criteria for the selection of individuals to be considered for election or re-election to the Board and committees thereof. Standard AVB understands the importance and value of gender and ethnic diversity on a board of directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recognizes that diversity in professional and life experiences may include consideration of gender, race, or ethnicity in identifying individuals who possess the qualifications that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes are important to be represented on the Board of Directors and has committed to include those factors in its selection of individuals to serve on the Board of Directors.

Procedures for the Nomination of Directors by Stockholders

In addition to submitting candidates to the Board for consideration, a stockholder may nominate candidates for election as directors in accordance with Article I, Section 6 of the Company's bylaws. Such stockholder's notice shall set forth the following:

- all information relating to such person that would indicate such person's qualification to serve on the Board of Directors of the Company;
- an affidavit that such person would not be disqualified under the provisions of Article II, Section 12 of the Company bylaws;
- such information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in connection with the solicitation of proxies for election of directors under Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act");
- a written consent of the proposed nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as director if elected;
- the name and address of the stockholder giving the notice, as they appear on the Company's books and of the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made;
-

the class or series and number of shares of capital stock of the Company which are owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner;

-

a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by such stockholder;

-

a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice; and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act.

Stockholder Communications with the Board

A stockholder of the Company who wants to communicate with the Board or with any individual director can write to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee at Standard AVB Financial Corp., 2640 Monroeville Boulevard, Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146. The letter should indicate that the author is a stockholder and if shares are not held of record, should include appropriate evidence of stock ownership. Depending on the subject matter, the Chair will:

- forward the communication to the director(s) to whom it is addressed;

- handle the inquiry directly, for example, where it is a request for information about the Company or it is a stock-related matter; or

- not forward the communication if it is primarily commercial in nature, relates to an improper or irrelevant topic, or is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or otherwise inappropriate.

At each Board meeting, the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee shall present a summary of all communications received since the last meeting and make those communications available to the directors upon request.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of Directors Walker, who serves as Chairman, Ferri, Graft, Lally, Mock, Rennie and Saxon. Each member of the Audit Committee is “independent” as defined in the Standard AVB Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter. The Board of Directors has determined that Dale A. Walker qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” as that term is used in the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information with respect to the experience of Audit Committee Chairman Walker is included in “— Directors.” Our Audit Committee has a written charter, which is available on our website at www.standardbankpa.com. The Audit Committee of Standard AVB met 9 times during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

The Audit Committee appoints, compensates, retains and oversees the work performed by the independent registered public accountants for the purpose of preparing or issuing an audit report or related work. The Audit Committee also assists the Board of Directors in overseeing the integrity of the financial statements; overseeing compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; overseeing the independent registered public accountant’s qualifications and independence; overseeing the performance of the independent registered public accountant and of the internal audit function; and overseeing the system of disclosure controls and system of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, and legal compliance.

Audit Committee Report

Management has the primary responsibility for the Company’s internal controls and financial reporting process. The independent registered public accountants are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company’s consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and issuing an opinion thereon. The Audit Committee’s responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes. As part of its ongoing activities, the Audit Committee has:

- reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accountants the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018;

- met with the Company's CEO, CFO, internal auditors and the independent registered public accountants, both together and in separate executive sessions, to discuss the scope and the results of the audits and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting and internal controls;

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- discussed with the independent registered public accountants the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees, as amended;

- received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accountants required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accountants' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and discussed with the independent registered public accountants its independence from the Company; and

- pre-approved all audit, audit related and other services to be provided by the independent registered public accountants.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee

Dale A. Walker (Chairman)

William T. Ferri Terence L. Graft John M. Lally

Ronald J. Mock Thomas J. Rennie Gregory J. Saxon

Transactions with Certain Related Persons

Loans and Extensions of Credit. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 generally prohibits us from making loans to our executive officers and directors, but it contains a specific exemption from such prohibition for loans made by Standard Bank to our executive officers and directors in compliance with federal banking regulations. Federal regulations require that all loans or extensions of credit to executive officers and directors of insured institutions must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. Standard Bank is therefore prohibited from making any loans or extensions of credit to executive officers and directors at different rates or terms than those offered to the general public, except for loans made to executive officers under a benefit program maintained by Standard Bank that is generally available to all other employees and that does not give preference to any executive officer over any other employee.

In addition, loans made to a director or executive officer must be approved in advance by a majority of the disinterested members of the Board of Directors. The aggregate amount of our total potential loan exposure to our officers and directors and their related entities was \$3,176,500 at December 31, 2018. As of December 31, 2018, these loans were performing according to their original terms.

Set forth below is certain information as to loans made by Standard Bank to certain of its directors and executive officers, or their affiliates, pursuant to the loan program disclosed above, whose aggregate indebtedness to Standard Bank exceeded \$120,000 at any time since January 1, 2018. Unless otherwise indicated all of the loans are secured loans and all loans designated as residential loans are secured by the borrower's principal place of residence.

Name of Individual	Loan Type	Date Originated	Original Loan Amount (\$)	Highest Balance Since January 1, 2018 (\$)	Balance on December 31, 2018 (\$)	Interest Rate
Gregory Saxon (Saxon Associates)	Commercial Mortgage	9/06/2007	320,000	172,312	157,409	7.00%

Edgar Filing: Standard AVB Financial Corp. - Form DEF 14A

Gregory Saxon (Saxon Associates)	Commercial Mortgage	8/23/2016	309,120	294,618	283,276	3.50%
Gregory Saxon (Saxon Associates)	Commercial Mortgage	6/15/2007	160,000	45,062	35,717	3.85%
Gregory Saxon	Residential Mortgage	8/15/2005	240,000	183,385	—	5.25%

16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name of Individual	Loan Type	Date Originated	Original Loan Amount (\$)	Highest Balance Since January 1, 2018 (\$)	Balance on December 31, 2018 (\$)	Interest Rate
Gregory Saxon	Flexline	1/13/2005	2,500	—	—	13.25%
John Lally (31 Bogard LLC)	Commercial Mortgage	11/30/2015	440,000	410,083	—	4.35%
John Lally	ODP	5/06/2003	5,000	—	—	13.25%
Ronald Mock (900 Washington Ave)	Commercial Mortgage	3/14/2016	399,200	377,265	363,861	4.63%
Ronald Mock	Home Equity LOC	6/30/2016	100,000	75,223	73,723	6.50%
Ronald Mock	Home Equity	6/30/2016	69,026	50,312	36,701	2.39%
William Ferri (Franklin Plaza, Inc.)	Commercial Mortgage	6/23/2010	600,000	170,417	107,715	5.38%

Pursuant to the Company's Policy and Procedures for Approval of Related Person Transactions, the Audit Committee periodically reviews, no less frequently than twice a year, a summary of the Company's transactions in excess of \$25,000 with directors and executive officers of the Company and with firms that employ directors, as well as any other related person transactions, for the purpose of recommending to the disinterested members of the Board of Directors that the transactions are fair, reasonable and within Company policy and should be ratified and approved. For the 2018 fiscal year, the Company was not engaged in any transactions with related persons of a type or in such amount that was required to be disclosed pursuant to applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations, except as described above.

Also, in accordance with banking regulations, the Board of Directors reviews all loans made to a director or executive officer in an amount that, when aggregated with the amount of all other loans to such person and their related interests, exceeds \$500,000 and such loan must be approved in advance by a majority of the disinterested members of the Board of Directors. Additionally, pursuant to the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, all executive officers and directors of the Company must disclose any existing or emerging conflicts of interest to the Company's Chief Executive Officer. Such potential conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the Company conducting business with or competing against an organization in which a family member of an executive officer or director has an ownership or employment interest and (ii) the ownership of more than 1% of the outstanding securities or 5% of total assets of any business entity that does business with or is in competition with the Company.

Procedures Governing Related Persons Transactions

The Company maintains a Policy and Procedures Governing Related Person Transactions, which is a written set of procedures for the review and approval of transactions involving related persons. Under these procedures, related persons consist of directors, director nominees, executive officers, persons or entities known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any outstanding class of the voting securities of the Company or immediate family members or certain affiliated entities of any of the foregoing persons.

Transactions covered by the procedures consist of any financial transaction, arrangement or relationship or series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships, in which:

- the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$25,000 in any calendar year;

- the Company is, will, or may be expected to be a participant; and

- any related person has or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

The procedures exclude certain transactions, including:

- any compensation paid to an executive officer of the Company if the Compensation Committee of the Board approved (or recommended that the Board approve) such compensation;
- any compensation paid to a director of the Company if the Board or an authorized committee of the Board of Directors approved such compensation; and

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- any transaction with a related person involving consumer and investor financial products and services proved in the ordinary course of the Company's business and on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable services provided to persons unrelated to the Company, or to the Company's employees on a broad basis (and, in the case of loans, in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).

Related person transactions will be reviewed by the Audit Committee. In connection with its review, the Audit Committee will consider all relevant factors, including:

- whether the terms of the proposed transaction are at least as favorable to the Company as those that might be achieved with an unaffiliated third party;

- the size of the transaction and the amount of consideration payable to the related person;

- the nature of the interest of the related person;

- whether the transaction may involve a conflict of interest as defined in the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct; and

- whether the transaction involves the provision of goods and services to the Company that are available and from unaffiliated third parties.

For each periodic review of related persons transactions, the Audit Committee will determine if the transactions were fair, reasonable, and within Company policy and will recommend to the disinterested members of the Board of Directors that they should be ratified and approved or make such other recommendation to the Board of Directors as the Audit Committee deems appropriate. If any transaction recommended for ratification and approval by the Audit Committee is not ratified and approved by the Board of Directors, the Secretary of the Audit Committee will provide a report to the Audit Committee setting forth information about the Board's actions.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board of Directors adopted stock ownership guidelines in 2017 for our senior executive officers and directors that require the following minimum investment in Standard AVB common stock. These guidelines became effective on January 1, 2018.

Chief Executive Officer: A number of shares having a market value equal to 3x annual base salary

Other senior executive officers: A number of shares having a market value equal to 1x annual base salary

Directors: \$100,000 of common stock

Stock holdings are expected to be achieved within five years of either the implementation of the ownership guidelines or the starting date of the individual, whichever is later. Progress and compliance in achieving the minimum ownership guidelines will be reviewed at the end of each fiscal year and reported to the Compensation Committee during the first quarter of the following fiscal year.

Covered individuals may satisfy the ownership guidelines with common stock in the following categories:

- Shares owned directly;

- Shares owned indirectly (e.g., by a spouse or a trust) if the covered individual has a pecuniary interest in such shares;

- Time vested restricted stock and/or restricted stock units granted under the Company's equity compensation arrangements; and
- Unexercised stock options that are vested and in-the-money, valued using a "net settlement" methodology.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COMPENSATION MATTERS

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee determines the salaries and other forms of compensation to be paid each year to the Chief Executive Officer, President, and those executive officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer and President. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Graft (Chair), Ferri, Saxon, Thomasmeyer and Walker. None of these individuals was an officer or employee of Standard AVB or Standard Bank during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, or is a former officer of Standard AVB or Standard Bank.

Executive Summary

In 2018, the Company completed a detailed business plan with the goal of providing guidance for producing high quality customer service, loan growth, deposit acquisition and efficient operations. The business plan focused on making operational enhancements to support productivity, analyzing facilities and business lines to maximize efficiency and implementing new technologies to enhance customer service and support decision making. Building shareholder value through consistent earnings remains the Company's primary focus.

Company Performance. Following are the Company's key performance metrics for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018:

- Net Income \$8,801,000
- Return on Average Assets 0.90%
- Return on Average Equity 6.55%
- Efficiency Ratio 62.82%
- Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets 0.33%

2018 Compensation Decisions. Considering numerous factors including the Company's performance, performance of individual executives, the external market and a variety of internal factors the following compensation actions were taken during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018:

- Monitored progress on the 2018 Executive Officer Incentive Compensation Plan on a quarterly basis
- Reviewed and evaluated the annual results on the 2018 Executive Officer Incentive Compensation Plan
- Reviewed and approved the compensation package for the new Chief Lending Officer
- Reviewed and approved the annual base compensation changes for Senior Executive Officers
- Reviewed and determined the annual base compensation for the Chief Executive Officer
- Reviewed and determined the annual retainer and other compensation for the Board of Directors
- Reviewed and approved the 2019 Executive Officer Incentive Compensation Plan Goals and Targets

Governance Practices & Policies

The Company's pay practices are determined in conjunction with an emphasis on good governance and market sensitive compensation practices.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We do	We do not
Place significant emphasis on variable compensation, which includes cash and equity awards that are entirely dependent on the achievement of financial goals	Offer compensation-related tax gross-ups including Section 280G gross-ups
Use performance-related long-term compensation	Have any excessive perquisites
Have stock ownership guidelines for executives and directors	Re-price stock options
Have an executive compensation clawback policy to ensure accountability	Have single-trigger equity vesting
Have an independent compensation consultant advising the Compensation Committee	
Include the use of equity compensation	
Conduct periodic compensation risk reviews to ensure our programs do not motivate employees to take unnecessary risk	
Have double trigger equity vesting	
Executive Compensation Objectives and Philosophy	
The primary objectives of the Company's executive compensation program are the following:	
• Provide market competitive compensation	
• Link pay and Company performance and align executive interests with stockholder value creation	
• Reward executives for performance	
• Align compensation with the external market in order to enable the Company to attract, motivate and retain key executive talent	
• Balance risk and reward in order to mitigate unnecessary risk to the Company by avoiding certain compensation practices that may incentivize risk	

- Be consistent from both an internal (company) and external (market) perspective

To achieve these objectives, the Company has structured the senior executive officers compensation program in the following manner:

- Salary levels and merit increases that reflect position responsibilities, competitive market rates, strategic importance of the position and individual performance.
- Annual cash incentive (i.e., bonus) payments that are based on the Company's annual financial performance, as approved by the Compensation Committee, and achievement of certain strategic non-financial performance objectives. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to take into consideration extraordinary items that affect income, gain, expense or loss and other factors it may deem relevant.
- Long-term equity-based incentives that reward outstanding performance with incentives that focus the management team on creating stockholder value over the long term. By granting equity awards to the senior executive officers, the Company provides the senior executive officers with a continuing stake in the Company's long-term success.
- Benefit programs that provide all employees, including the senior executive officers, with access to health and welfare benefits. All employees are also eligible to participate in retirement plans sponsored by the Company. The benefit programs are designed to be competitive with peers. To support these objectives, the total compensation (sum of base salary, annual incentive, long-term incentives) and benefits package for the senior executive officers are generally positioned around

TABLE OF CONTENTS

median competitive levels for commensurate performance, taking into account their relative responsibilities. Actual total compensation in any given year may be above or below the target level and market median, based on individual and corporate performance.

Compensation Decision Process

The Compensation Committee determines the salaries and other forms of compensation to be paid each year to the Chief Executive Officer and those executive officers who report directly to the Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Graft (Chair), Ferri, Saxon, Thomasmeyer and Walker. None of these individuals was an officer or employee of Standard AVB or Standard Bank during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, or is a former officer or employee of Standard AVB or Standard Bank.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and overseeing the executive compensation program, annually reviewing and approving the compensation of the CEO and reviewing and approving the recommendations regarding the compensation of the other senior executive officers. The Compensation Committee makes reference to market data to determine changes in compensation of the senior executive officers, and it weighs a variety of different factors in its deliberations.

The CEO does not play any role in the Compensation Committee's determination of his own pay. When appropriate, the Compensation Committee meets in executive session absent the CEO. The Compensation Committee does, however, solicit input from the CEO concerning the performance and compensation of the other senior executive officers. The CEO bases his respective recommendations on an assessment of each individual's performance, external market pay practices, retention risk and the Company's overall pay philosophy. All senior executive officer compensation decisions are ultimately approved by the Compensation Committee.

Role of Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate a compensation consultant and to approve the consultant's fees and all other terms of the engagement. The Compensation Committee has engaged the services of an independent compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners LLC ("Pearl Meyer"), to assist it in evaluating executive compensation programs and in making determinations regarding board of directors' and senior executive officers' compensation. In 2017, Pearl Meyer performed a competitive assessment of the Company's executive compensation programs. The annual executive compensation assessment included, but was not limited to, an assessment of Company's compensation program compared to its peers, recommendations for total direct compensation opportunities (base salary, cash incentives and long-term incentives), an assessment of the Company's financial performance relative to its peers, and a review of the alignment of pay and performance. The assessment provides the Compensation Committee with a broad array of information from which to evaluate the effectiveness of its compensation programs and serve as a foundation for its compensation decisions. While the Compensation Committee considers input from its independent compensation consultant, its final decisions are based upon many factors and considerations.

The independent compensation consultant reports directly to the Compensation Committee. In addition to advising the Compensation Committee on compensation matters pertaining to the NEOs, the consultant provided limited consulting advice concerning other key employees of the Company. This work was done with the prior knowledge and approval of the Compensation Committee and was not in conflict with the services provided to the Committee.

The Compensation Committee has analyzed whether the work of Pearl Meyer as a compensation consultant has raised any conflict of interest, taking into consideration the following factors, among others: (i) the provision of other services to the Company by Pearl Meyer; (ii) the amount of fees from the Company paid to Pearl Meyer as a percentage of Pearl Meyer's total revenue; (iii) Pearl Meyer's policies and procedures that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any business or personal relationship of Pearl Meyer or the individual compensation advisors employed by Pearl Meyer with an executive officer of the Company; (v) any business or personal relationship of the individual compensation advisors with any member of the Compensation Committee; and (vi) any stock of the Company owned by Pearl Meyer or the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

individual compensation advisors employed by Pearl Meyer. The Compensation Committee has determined, based on its analysis of the above factors, among others, that the work of Pearl Meyer and the individual compensation advisors employed by Pearl Meyer as compensation consultants to the Company has not created any conflict of interest.

Assessing Competitive Practice (Peer Groups)

In order to ensure that the Company is providing a competitive executive compensation program that will attract and retain key executive talent, the Company conducts a market-based compensation analysis annually. The Company conducts this market analysis with the assistance of the independent compensation consultant.

Elements of the Compensation Package and 2018 Pay Outcomes

The total compensation package primarily consists of base salaries, annual incentives and long-term incentives. The Company also provides competitive benefits available to all employees in addition to limited retirement benefits.

Base Salaries. Senior executive officer base salary levels are evaluated by the Compensation Committee on an annual basis. In general, salary ranges are developed considering the results of the independent review of the competitiveness of the total compensation program for the position, as well as overall importance of each position within the organization. The Compensation Committee then takes into consideration the senior executive officer's performance and contribution to the long-term goals of the Company, leadership, operational effectiveness and experience in the industry, overall competitiveness with market levels, as well as recent operating results, performance targets and other relevant factors.

Fiscal Year 2018 Base Salaries

Name	2018 Salary (\$)	2017 Salary (\$)	Percent Change
Timothy K. Zimmerman	350,000	312,500	12.0%
Andrew W. Hasley	290,000	280,535	3.3%
Susan A. Parente	192,000	165,000	16.4%

Fiscal Year 2019 Base Salaries

Base salaries for Messrs. Zimmerman, Hasley and Ms. Parente (collectively, the "NEOs") were increased for 2019 as follows: \$360,000 for Mr. Zimmerman, \$299,000 for Mr. Hasley and \$198,000 for Ms. Parente.

Annual Incentives. The Compensation Committee established bonus targets for each NEO as a percentage of base salary. For 2018, actual bonuses were paid out as follows:

Name	Target Bonus %	Actual Bonus as % of Base Salary	Actual Bonus Paid in Cash (\$)	Actual Bonus Paid in Stock Award \$(1)
Timothy K. Zimmerman	50%	56.8%	118,125	—
Andrew W. Hasley	40%	42.5%	77,162	—
Susan A. Parente	40%	40.0%	48,077	28,800

(1)

For Messrs. Zimmerman and Hasley, the remaining portion of the bonus was contributed to their accounts under the supplemental executive retirement agreements, which are described herein.

The Company implemented an Executive Incentive Plan (the "EIP") for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. The Compensation Committee engaged Pearl Meyer to assist in the development of the EIP. The purpose of offering cash incentives is to provide structured annual cash award opportunities to key management personnel for their contributions to the achievement of the Company's strategic objectives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The 2018 EIP provided our NEOs the opportunity to earn a performance-based annual cash bonus. Actual bonus payouts depended on the achievement of pre-established performance objectives and ranged from 0% to 150% of target award amounts, depending on the financial measure. Target annual award opportunities for the NEOs are approved by the Compensation Committee and are intended to be competitive in the market in which the Company competes for talent and reflects the level of responsibility of the role.

Other Compensation. While the majority of each NEO's compensation packages are comprised of base salary, annual incentives and long-term incentives as discussed above, the Company does provide very limited benefits, which are discussed following our tabular disclosures on the pages that follow.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, certain information as to the total compensation paid to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President, and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Awards	
					Option Awards (\$)	Plan Compensation (\$)(3)
Timothy K. Zimmerman	2018	350,000	—	—	—	118,125
Chief Executive Officer	2017	312,500	97,813	22,207	—	—

In addition, in the Company's loss reserve models for could affect the excess spread generated by current loans generally used to project future draws on the line. For generally used to start the projection for trends in volume mortgage refinancing and voluntary principal prepayment of 2013. Projected cash flows are also based on an assumption between Prime and London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and other structural aspects of the transactions, including market conditions consistent with such policy's terms and conditions. In periods, simulating a slower improvement in the transaction rate to a net present value reflecting MBIA's general market estimates of how transactions will perform over time.

The Company monitors portfolio performance on a monthly basis (including voluntary and involuntary). However, loan loss deviation in actual performance from projected performance remains at peak levels, the Company's includes an additional second-lien RMBS case basis reserves, before considering

Second-lien RMBS Recoveries

As of June 30, 2013, the Company recorded estimated mortgage loans, consisting of \$18 million included in heading Assets of consolidated variable interest entity. estimated recoveries after income taxes calculated at the end of the consolidated total shareholders' equity of MBIA, the remaining estimated recoveries relate to the Company's GMAC and ResCap.

On May 14, 2012, ResCap and its wholly-owned subsidiary filed for Chapter 11 protection under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. MBIA asserted claims based on the transactions between the Company, RFC and GMAC.

1. the settlement of the majority of the Com
of 2013;

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

2. Assured Guaranty's favorable court rulings on put-back transactions plus interest, fees and expenses, and claims; and

3. the court rulings in MBIA's put-back litigation. The Company continues to consider all relevant facts and circumstances, including the timing and amount of cash inflows, probability of potential recoveries (including those expected to be revised and supplemented to the extent of the court rulings). While the Company believes it will be successful in resolving the litigation, those recorded by the Company given the inherent uncertainty in the estimation process for accounting purposes which are based on relevant benchmarks.

All of the Company's policies insuring second-lien RMBS with first-lien insurance contracts. In accordance with GAAP, the Company

First-lien RMBS Reserves

The Company's first-lien RMBS case basis reserves are determined using the Roll Rate Methodology. The Roll Rates are 90% and 95%, respectively. Roll Rates for current, 30-59 day delinquent loans are on a case-by-case basis. The Current Roll to Loss rates stay at the May 31, 2013

The Company estimates future losses by probability-weighted loss rates to loss of 90+ day delinquent loans. In the base scenario, the Company assumes a 90% roll rate for all delinquent loans. The deal-specific roll rate used in the base scenario and the delinquencies as of May 31, 2013 in order to estimate

In calculating ultimate cumulative losses for first-lien RMBS, the Company assumes a short sale. The time to liquidation for a defaulted loan is assumed to be 180 days. The Company starts the projection for trends in loss severities at loan inception, and adjusts for inventory, anticipated future increases in home prices,

ABS CDOs (Financial Guarantees and Insured Derivatives)

MBIA's insured ABS CDOs are transactions that include, but are not limited to RMBS related collateral, ABS CDOs, corporate debt, and other assets. The insurance policies or credit derivatives with the majority of the insured exposure within the ABS CDO portfolio has been subject to a reinsurance program. The insured par exposure of the ABS CDO financial guarantees was \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2007.

The Company's ABS CDOs originally benefited from
transaction must be fully eroded and second, the subor
subject to a claim. The Company's payment obligatio

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The primary factor in estimating reserves on insured ABS CDO credit derivatives is the losses associated with the portfolio. The portfolio employs a methodology which is similar to other probability-weighted scenarios in order to estimate its

As of June 30, 2013, the Company established loss and loss adjustment expense reserves of \$210 million as a result of consolidating losses recorded in earnings related to ABS CDO financial guarantee insurance. In the event of further deteriorating performance of the collateral, the reserves could increase substantially.

Credit Impairments Related to Structured CMBS Pools

Most of the structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pool pools are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Since the Company's financial guarantee insurance policies, the Company estimates the value of the financial guarantee insurance policies. The following discussion provides information about the methodology, determined as the present value of the payments and collections.

The Company has developed multiple scenarios to consider the impact of various substantial judgments about the future performance of

The first approach considers the range of performance of structured CMBS pools, CRE CDOs and CRE loan pool pools achieved over the past several years with price estimates, based on this experience, quality and payment profile of the underlying

The second approach considers current delinquency rates to project losses under two scenarios. Loss scenarios also assume that Cap Rates and delinquency rates on a loan with a balance greater than \$75 million. This delinquency, was reviewed individually and this large loan subset were then incorporated into the model. There may be a material change in the asset's financial condition or granularity in its individual loan assessments. Delinquency, recent appraisals indicating a decline in value with respect to loans that are current. This

The last approach is based on a proprietary
1992 and 2011. The time period covered
years represent an appropriate time period
and the CRE market.

Based on a review of the data, the Company found pro
characteristics less influential. As a result, the Company
property type. For each of these cohorts, the Company
timing of defaults. In addition, the model incorporated

NOI and Cap Rates were
or extensions under the m
market could experience r

Any valuation estimates o
analysis for loans with bal
approach no adjustments v

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The loss severities projected by these scenarios vary with the number of pools that are foreclosed and liquidated and the loss severity of the underlying referenced CMBS transactions are fully eroded. The Company's MBIA. Since foreclosures and liquidations have only limited collateral, the uncertainty is uncertain. Whether CMBS collateral is included in a scenario is not the same. However, adjustments may be needed for stress testing, with severity scenarios being weighted more heavily than historical data, and modifications, and that property values and NOIs may decline if macroeconomic stress were to increase or the U.S. economy were to decline, and the Company may incur substantial additional losses. The Company believes that to occur, so the range of possible outcomes is wider than the historical data. The Company incorporated an additional approach based on historical data. This approach was eliminated as a result of more emphasis on

In the CRE CDO portfolio, transaction-specific structural changes may result in downgrades even when the bond is still performing. As a result, the Company's CDOs. Moreover, many of the CRE CDO positions are not fully allocated to more junior classes within the CDO have

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's credit loss insurance policies. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company estimated to be \$399 million as a result of additional credit losses and commutation possibilities. The cumulative credit impairment was \$399 million through June 30, 2013. The pace of increases in the debt loss were liquidated with minimal losses of 1% to 2%, other than losses to losses in the CMBS market, and in many cases, have resulted in CMBS pools. In certain insured transactions, these losses are covered by features intended to mitigate losses to the Company. However, in the event of broad-based declines in property performance, this level of coverage may not be paid claims on a CMBS pool transaction that experienced

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

Loss and LAE Activity

Financial Guarantee Insurance Losses (Non-Derivatives)

The Company's financial guarantee insurance losses are as follows:

Losses and LAE

In millions

Losses and LAE related to actual and expected payments
Recoveries of actual and expected payments

Gross losses incurred

Reinsurance

Losses and LAE

(1) - Includes ABS CDOs, CMBS, U.S. public finance. The second-lien RMBS recoveries of actual and expected payments include recoveries of actual and expected payments on ineligible mortgage loans included in insured exposures, partially offset by a \$97 million reduction in excess spread. Recoveries of actual and expected payments on \$1.2 billion of losses related to U.S. public finance transactions. Recoveries of actual and expected payments comprise net increases of \$1.2 billion.

The following table provides information about the financial guarantee insurance losses for the year ended December 31, 2013:

\$ in millions

Number of policies

Number of issues⁽¹⁾

Remaining weighted average contract period (in years)

Gross insured contractual payments outstanding⁽²⁾

Principal

Interest

Total

Gross claim liability

Less:

Gross potential recoveries

Discount, net

Net claim liability (recoverable)

Unearned premium revenue

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantors

(2) - Represents contractual principal and interest payments

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The following table provides information about the financial condition of the Company as of December 31, 2012:

\$ in millions
Number of policies
Number of issues ⁽¹⁾
Remaining weighted average contract period (in years)
Gross insured contractual payments outstanding ⁽²⁾
Principal
Interest
Total
Gross claim liability
Less:
Gross potential recoveries
Discount, net
Net claim liability (recoverable)
Unearned premium revenue

(1) - An "issue" represents the aggregate of financial guaranties issued by the Company.

(2) - Represents contractual principal and interest payments on insured contracts. The gross claim liability as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 represents the Company's estimate of undiscounted future claim payments, which principally relate to insured contracts. Recoveries represent the Company's estimate of undiscounted future recoveries, which principally relate to insured second-lien contracts. The net claim liability represents the Company's estimate of undiscounted net claim liabilities and potential recoveries related to VIEs consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents the components of the Company's net claim liability recoverable included in the following table and preceding tables.

In millions

Loss reserves (claim liability)

LAE reserves

Loss and LAE reserves

Insurance claim loss recoverable

LAE insurance loss recoverable

Insurance loss recoverable

Reinsurance recoverable on

Reinsurance recoverable on

Reinsurance recoverable on

Reinsurance recoverable on

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

As of June 30, 2013, loss and LAE reserves include \$1,000 million. As of December 31, 2012, loss and LAE reserves include \$397 million. As of June 30, 2013, loss and LAE reserves include future payments of \$332 million. As of June 30, 2013, loss and LAE reserves include transactions resulting from expected excess spread generated by RMBS transactions principally related to estimated recoveries of payments on mortgage loan securitizations that are subject to a contractually defined waterfall of future recoveries on second-lien RMBS transactions re-

To date, as a result of the Bank of America and Flagstar bankruptcy proceedings discussed above, the Company has recorded losses at the end of 2013, which are primarily included in Loan Reserves on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 the Company insured second-lien RMBS transactions. The Company's loss and LAE reserves at the six months ended June 30, 2013, the decrease in loss and LAE reserves from previously established recoveries related to the settlement of

The following table presents the amounts of the Company's loss and LAE reserves on non-consolidated VIEs and consolidated VIEs, including

Second-lien RMBS Exposure

\$ in billions

Non-consolidated VIEs

Consolidated VIEs

The following table presents changes in the Company's loss and LAE reserves attributable to the accretion of the claim liability discount, changes in assumptions and changes in LAE reserves. As of June 30, 2013, the weighted average risk-free rate used in the calculation of reserves within a one-year period and are not discounted.

In millions			Change
Gross Loss	Loss Payments	Accretion of	Cha
	for	Claim	Dis
	Cases	Liability	R
	with	Discount	
	Reserves		
Reserves as of			
December 31,			

2012			
\$ 853	\$ (152)	\$ 6	\$

The decrease in the Company's gross loss and LAE re
insured first-lien and second-lien RMBS issues and ch

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserve

Current period changes in the Company's estimate of LAE reserve liability, or both. The following table presents changes within the Company's claim liability for the six month period ending June 30, 2013, including changes in the estimate of recoverable, changes in discount rates, changes in the amount recorded in Losses and loss adjustment expenses in

In millions

Insurance loss recoverable
Recoveries on unpaid losses

Total

The Company's insurance loss recoverable decreased from \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$2.4 billion at June 30, 2013, related to the settlement with Bank of America on the claims of the Company subject to contractual obligations by sellers/servicers to the Company based on assumptions on certain general obligation exposures and

The following table presents the Company's total estimated liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses on mortgage securitizations as of June 30, 2013. The total estimated liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses recoverable and \$1.1 billion recorded as Loan repurchase liability on consolidated balance sheets.

In millions

**Total
Estimated
Recoveries
from
Ineligible
Mortgage
Loans as of
December 31,**

	Accretion of Future Collections	CL D
2012		
\$ 3,583	\$ 15	\$

The decrease in the Company's total estimated recoveries established recoveries related to the settlement with BAA securitizations.

Remediation actions may involve, among other things, consents, transfer of servicing, consideration of restructuring and similar actions. The types of remedial actions pursued for remediation. As part of any such remedial actions, MBE. From time to time, the issuer of an MBIA-insured obligation decreasing the par amount or decreasing the related interest.

Costs associated with remediating insured obligations are recorded as LAE. LAE is primarily recorded as part of the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The

In millions

Loss adjustment expense incurred, gross

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of the Company. The Company's own assumptions are set to reflect those used in the fair value measurements of financial instruments held or issued by the Company. The Company obtains fair value measurements of financial instruments held or issued by the Company from a variety of third-party sources, including pricing services. The Company uses alternate valuation methods, including either dealer quotes or market prices, which generally requires considerable judgment in the application of these methods to different fair values.

The accounting guidance for fair value measurement emphasizes maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs by requiring the use of the most observable inputs available. The Company believes that market participants would use in pricing the Company's financial instruments the beliefs about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the instruments. The guidance is broken down into three levels based on the observability and reliability of the inputs used in the valuation.

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Quoted prices that are readily and regularly available from an exchange, dealer, or other source.

Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, or quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets. Level 2 assets include debt securities with observable interest rates and maturities, using observable inputs and derivative contracts. Level 2 valuations can also be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are not observable in the market and require significant management judgment or estimation. Level 3 assets and liabilities include debt securities with non-observable interest rates and maturities, methodologies, or similar techniques where the fair value is determined using significant management judgment or estimation.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from product to product and by type of product, whether the product is new and not yet established in the market. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels. The classification of the fair value measurement in its entirety falls, based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the overall measurement.

1. Financial Assets (excluding derivative assets)

Financial assets, excluding derivative assets, held by the Company are priced by independent third parties, including pricing services. The Company uses the price provided by the pricing service as a non-binding indication of fair value. The Company obtains reasonable assurance that the prices used in its valuation are based on a developed expectation of fair value, whether higher or lower than the price provided, significant assumptions such as prepayment speeds, delinquency rates, and other assumptions, and working with the price provider to re-evaluate the price if the price provider does not update their price, and the Company's management believes the price provider's price is the most reliable available.

third-party provider, such as a broker, or use an internal price for which internal prices were used were not significant. All challenges to third-party prices are reviewed by sta

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In addition to challenging pricing assumptions, the Company has tested the effectiveness of the controls over data provided to pricing services, applied by the pricing services, and that appropriate user controls are in place over values of its investments. In the event that any control deficiencies are identified, actions to ensure that internal user controls are in place will be taken.

2. Financial Liabilities (excluding derivative liabilities)

Financial liabilities, excluding derivative liabilities, issued by the Company in connection with wind-down operations, debt issued for general corporate purposes, and other debt, are valued at fair value adjusted for premiums or discounts. Financial liabilities are valued based on the estimated value of the underlying collateral, adjusted for discounts, if any, for similar products. These valuations include adjustments for illiquidity discounts.

3. Derivative Liabilities

The Company's derivative liabilities are primarily insured. The Company has insured the most senior liabilities of such transactions, and has obtained triple-A ratings from credit rating agencies. The types of derivative liabilities include corporate, asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed, and other structured securities.

The Company's insured credit derivative contracts are valued based on the expected loss of the exposure. The Company reviews spreads in light of current market activity and conditions. This review is based on the most observable for similar transactions, those spreads are a percentage of the notional amount of the transactions, if any, would be considered, as well as netting arrangements.

The Company may from time to time make changes in its valuation methods of fair value under current circumstances.

4. Internal Review Process

All significant financial assets and liabilities, including derivatives, are valued in accordance with the Company's policies and risk procedures in the valuation manual. In addition, things, key assumptions used for internally developed valuations, and adjustments from third-party inputs or prices to internally developed values of the financial instruments from prior periods. The Company's methods and assumptions used for the determination of fair value are reviewed by senior finance team members with the relevant experience. The results are documented and their agreement with the fair values developed is confirmed.

Valuation Techniques

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Fixed-Maturity Securities (including short-term investments, Investments Held-to-Maturity, and Other Investments)

Fixed-maturity securities (including short-term investments) include investments in U.S. Treasury and corporate debt (including CMBS and CDOs), state and municipal bonds.

These investments are generally valued based on recent market prices. Fair value is generally determined using quoted prices of similar investments of the same type of investment. Observable inputs include contractual cash flows, cross-currency basis index spreads, and credit spreads. Unobservable inputs include cash flow projections and the value of any credit enhancements.

The fair value of the held-to-maturity (HTM) investments is determined over the expected term of the investment discounted using the effective interest rate.

Investments based on quoted market prices of identical investments generally consist of U.S. Treasury and foreign government securities and investments which are valued based on other than quoted market prices in the fair value hierarchy. Investments that contain significant unobservable inputs are valued using significant unobservable inputs.

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Receivable for Investment Income

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and purchased and accrued investment income approximate fair value.

Loans Receivable at Fair Value

Loans receivable at fair value are comprised of loans held for sale and business loans. Fair values of residential mortgage loans are determined based on the financial guarantees provided by MBIA Corp. or other entities, based on quoted prices of similar collateralized MBS. Loans receivable are valued based on quoted market prices of similar investments.

Loan Repurchase Commitments

Loan repurchase commitments are obligations owed by MBIA as defined in the transaction documents. Loan repurchase commitments are obligations owed by sellers/servicers for breaches of representations and warranties, as defined in the guidelines and for the sellers/servicers to cure, replace, or refund amounts owed by the sellers/servicers to MBIA as required. Fair values of loan repurchase commitments are based on comparable market transaction information are observed in the fair value hierarchy. Fair values of loan repurchase commitments are based on quoted market prices of similar investments.

breach rates representing the rate at which

recovery rates representing the estimates
expected to be collected;

expectations about possible variations in

time value of money, represented by the

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Investment Agreements

The fair values of investment agreements are determined based on the fair values of similar agreements currently being offered for similar agreements with comparable terms. The Company uses the fair values to substantially mitigate the nonperformance risk of the Company. The fair values of investment agreements are categorized as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Medium-Term Notes

The fair values of certain MTNs are based on quoted market prices. The Company applies a matrix pricing grid based on the quoted market prices. Nonperformance risk is included in the quoted market prices.

The Company has elected to record these MTNs at fair value as a separate instrument and fair valued separately, therefore, these MTNs are not carried at fair value, do not contain embedded derivatives, and are not subject to the fair value measurement requirements.

As these MTNs are illiquid and the prices reflect significant discounts, the fair values are based on the quoted market prices.

Variable Interest Entity Notes

The fair values of VIE notes are determined based on the fair values of similar securities. If the fair values are not observable, fair values are based on quoted market prices. The Company uses unique to the securities, including any credit enhancements, and other market techniques of the underlying collateral using observable market prices of similar securities. Unobservable inputs include the value of an underlying security. The lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement is the fair value of the underlying security.

Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consists of notes, debentures and surplus notes. The fair values are based on the fair values for the identical or similar securities. Long-term debt is categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Derivatives Asset/Liability Products

The asset/liability products business has entered into derivatives contracts. The fair values of over-the-counter derivatives are determined based on the fair values of the counterparties. Observable market prices are categorized in Level 2 or Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company has policies and procedures in place regarding collateral posting requirements, collateral monitoring and collateral valuation on a daily basis through master netting arrangements covering derivatives contracts. The Company to contractually net amounts due from a counterparty. The Company executes swaps under master netting agreements, which are categorized as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

or termination in the event either the Company or the
losses related to credit exposure and thus serve to miti

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In certain cases, the Company also manages credit risk when the current market value of derivative contracts is less than the face value of the derivative. The Company may purchase highly rated securities or cash to secure the derivative. This may result in a nonperformance risk impacting the fair values of the derivative.

Derivatives Insurance

The derivative contracts insured by the Company cannot be valued using market prices. The fair value of insured credit derivatives using valuation models. The best estimation approach being applied as and when the information is available. The best estimate of how another market participant would value the instrument.

Approximately 99% of the balance sheet fair value of credit derivatives is derived using the BET Model. Approximately 1% of the balance sheet fair value of credit derivatives is derived using the BET Model and the dual-default model. The valuation of insured credit derivatives is based on the fair value hierarchy as their fair value is derived using the BET Model.

A. Description of the BET Model

1. Valuation Model Overview

The BET Model estimates what a bond insurer would pay for the underlying collateral and the remaining structural protection.

Inputs to the process of determining fair value for structural protection include the underlying collateral, the capital structure, and calculation of the fair value of the collateral.

Estimates of aggregated collateral losses are based on the following inputs:

credit spreads of underlying collateral; collateral pool diversity score; collateral pool recovery rate; collateral pool benchmarked; for collateral pool diversity score; instead of using an overall pool average recovery rate.

diversity score of the collateral pool

recovery rate for all defaulted collateral

Allocation of losses to separate tranches

The inception-to-date unrealized gain or
expected loss) and the current price of the
Additional structural assumptions of the BET Model a

Default probabilities are determined by th

Frequencies of defaults are modeled even

Collateral assets are generally considered

Collateral asset correlation is modeled us
historical averages and updated based on

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

2. BET Model Inputs

a. Credit spreads

The average spread of collateral is a key input as the Company prices collateral. Spreads are obtained from market data sources (including Company's transactions) as well as collateral-specific spreads. If collateral-specific sources are not available, the Company benchmarks spreads from other sources for reasonableness and applicability to the Company's debt. The Company also considers about expected life, when pricing information is available.

The Company uses the spread hierarchy listed below in order of preference when available and cash security spreads as the next alternative.

Spread Hierarchy:

Collateral-specific credit spreads when available

Sector-specific spread tables by asset class

Corporate spreads, including Bloomberg Barclays

Benchmark from most relevant market source. There were some transactions where the Company incurred a spread based on a combination with a calculated spread based on an assumed spread source even though the majority of the average spread source was 11% of the transactions valued using the BET Model. 41% of the transactions used the WARF spread source were used for 41% of the transactions. Transactions were based on WARF (WARF). No collateral-specific spreads are based on WARF-sourced and/or ratings-sourced credit spreads.

Over time, the data inputs change as new sources become available and appropriate. It is always the Company's objective to use the most observable spread inputs due to the discontinuation of spreads.

b. Diversity Scores

Diversity scores are a means of estimating the diversification of a portfolio. The diversity score is calculated by comparing the same loss distribution as the actual portfolio of collateral within the collateral of the structures, diversity score d

c. Recovery Rate

The recovery rate represents the percentage of par expected recovery based on rating agency recovery assumptions which may be adjusted for actual recoveries of agencies and the actual collateral in MBIA-insured transactions. The recovery rate is determined by the manager and on empirical market data.

d. Nonperformance Risk

The Company's valuation methodology for insured credit is based on the market value loss estimated to be realized based on spreads assigned to each deal are based on the weighted average of the Company's recovery derivative not be lower than the Company's recovery derivative

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Overall Model Results

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company reported the fair values of insured credit derivatives, based on the changes in fair value is nonperformance risk. In aggregate, and \$4.4 billion lower than the net liability that would have been reported as of December 31, 2012, respectively. Nonperformance risk analysis of the Company's economic condition, that the

Warrants

Stock warrants issued by the Company are recorded at fair value based on stock volatilities and dividend data. As all significant i

Accrued Interest Expense

The fair value of the accrued interest expense on the surplus is based on the perception of the credit risk related to the repayment of the surplus notes. The deferred interest payment will be due

The carrying amounts of accrued interest expense on a

Financial Guarantees

Gross Financial Guarantees The fair value of gross financial guarantees is based on (i) assumptions of expected losses on financial guarantee policies where loss reserves have been established, net of (ii) operating expenses, and (v) discount rates. The Model uses the mortality and recovery rate of a similar municipal insurance company as the recovery rate.

The carrying value of the Company's gross financial guarantees is recoverable as reported on MBIA's consolidated balance sheet.

Ceded Financial Guarantees The fair value of ceded financial guarantees is based on gross financial guarantees. The carrying value of ceded financial guarantees is reported within Other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)****Significant Unobservable Inputs**

The following tables provide quantitative information on the fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, such as broker prices and other third-party pricing services.

In millions	Fair Value as of	
	June 30, 2013	
Assets of consolidated VIEs:		
Loans receivable at fair value	\$	1,790
Loan repurchase commitments		1,115
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:		
Variable interest entity notes		824
Credit derivative liabilities, net:		
CMBS		1,024
Multi-sector CDO		15
Other		609

In millions	Fair Value as of	
	December 31, 2012	
Assets of consolidated VIEs:		
Loans receivable at fair value	\$	1,881
Loan repurchase commitments		1,086
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:		
Variable interest entity notes		1,932
Credit derivative liabilities, net:		
CMBS		1,590

Multi-sector CDO	525
Other	806

Sensitivity of Significant Unobservable Inputs

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value of the financial guarantee is the fair value of loans receivable. The fair value of loans receivable value of a financial guarantee is estimated by the Company. If the value of a financial guarantee is estimated by the Company under the insurance policy increase, then the value of the loans receivable in relation to the obligations of

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are the recovery rates and the breach rates. Recovery rates reflect the estimated recoveries of the loan by the sellers/servicers. The estimated recoveries of the loan represent the rate at which the mortgages fail to complete. If the recovery rates and the breach rates would result in significant changes, the legal environment and the ability of the counterparties to pay would impact the fair value measurement. Any significant challenges by the counterparties could adversely impact the fair value measurement. Recovery rates and the breach rates could impact on the other input.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the fair value of VIE notes is calculated by adding the value of the VIE notes by the Company as the present value of expected cash flows. As the VIE increases, the credit support adds value to the fair value measurement.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the CMBS spreads, recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life are the recovery rate represents the percentage of notional expected to be repaid. It is an assumption of the Company's own ability to pay. The weighted average life is based on the Company's estimate of weighted average life can result in an increase or decrease in the fair value measurement. Any significant increase or decrease in recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life, respectively. CMBS spreads, recovery rates and weighted average life input will not necessarily have any impact on the other inputs.

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the derivative liabilities, respectively. CMBS spreads, recovery rates and weighted average life input will not necessarily have any impact on the other inputs.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the derivative liabilities, respectively. CMBS spreads, recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life are the recovery rate represents the percentage of notional expected to be repaid. It is an assumption of the Company's own ability to pay. The weighted average life is based on the Company's estimate of weighted average life can result in an increase or decrease in the fair value measurement. Any significant increase or decrease in recovery rates, nonperformance risk and weighted average life, respectively. CMBS spreads, recovery rates and weighted average life input will not necessarily have any impact on the other inputs.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's financial instruments on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

In millions

Assets:

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Money market securities

Perpetual debt and equity securities

Cash and cash equivalents

Derivative assets:

Non-insured derivative assets:

Interest rate derivatives

Total derivative assets

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In millions

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Money market securities

Cash

Loans receivable

Loan repurchase commitments

Interest rate derivatives

Total assets

Liabilities:

Medium-term notes

Derivative liabilities:

Insured derivatives:

Credit derivatives

Non-insured derivatives:

Interest rate derivatives

Currency derivatives

Other liabilities:

Warrants

Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:

Variable interest entity notes

Derivative liabilities:

Interest rate derivatives

Currency derivatives

Total liabilities

(1) - Unobservable inputs are either not developed by the Company

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In millions

Assets:

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Money market securities

Perpetual debt and equity securities

Cash and cash equivalents

Derivative assets:

Non-insured derivative assets:

Interest rate derivatives

Total derivative assets

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In millions

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

State and municipal bonds

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Money market securities

Cash

Loans receivable

Loan repurchase commitments

Total assets

Liabilities:

Medium-term notes

Derivative liabilities:

Insured derivatives:

Credit derivatives

Non-insured derivatives:

Interest rate derivatives

Currency derivatives

Other liabilities:

Warrants

Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:

Variable interest entity notes

Derivative liabilities:

Interest rate derivatives

Currency derivatives

Total liabilities

(1) - Unobservable inputs are either not developed by the Company

Level 3 assets at fair value, as of June 30, 2013 and De
value, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 rep

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

The following tables present the fair values and carrying amounts of the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2018.

In millions

Assets:

Other investments
 Accrued investment income⁽¹⁾
 Receivable for investments sold⁽¹⁾
 Net cash collateral pledged⁽¹⁾
 Assets of consolidated VIEs:
 Investments held-to-maturity

Total assets

Liabilities:

Investment agreements
 Medium-term notes
 Long-term debt
 Payable for investments purchased⁽²⁾
 Accrued interest expense⁽²⁾
 Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:
 Variable interest entity notes

Total liabilities

Financial Guarantees:

Gross
 Ceded

(1) - Reported within Other assets on MBIA's consolidated balance sheet.

(2) - Reported within Other liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In millions

Assets:

Other investments

Accrued investment income⁽¹⁾

Receivable for investments sold⁽¹⁾

Net cash collateral pledged⁽¹⁾

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Investments held-to-maturity

Total assets

Liabilities:

Investment agreements

Medium-term notes

Long-term debt

Payable for investments purchased⁽²⁾

Accrued interest expense⁽²⁾

Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:

Variable interest entity notes

Total liabilities

Financial Guarantees:

Gross

Ceded

(1) - Reported within Other assets on MBIA's consolidated balance sheet

(2) - Reported within Other liabilities on MBIA's consolidated balance sheet

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

The following tables present information about changes in fair value for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Period	Realized Gains / (Losses)	Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings
Assets:			
Foreign governments	\$ 8	\$ -	\$ -
Corporate obligations	57	-	-
Residential mortgage-backed agency	-	-	-
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	1	-	-
Commercial mortgage-backed	13	-	-
Collateralized debt obligations	37	(1)	-
Other asset-backed	67	-	-
State and municipal bonds	23	-	-
Perpetual debt and equity securities	10	-	-
Assets of consolidated VIEs:			
Corporate obligations	79	(4)	-
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	6	-	-
Commercial mortgage-backed	28	-	-
Collateralized debt obligations	117	-	-
Other asset-backed	47	-	-
Loans receivable	1,819	-	-
Loan repurchase commitments	1,176	-	-
Total assets	\$ 3,488	\$ (5)	\$ -

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Period	Realized (Gains) / Losses	Unreal (Gain) Loss Includ in Earnings
Liabilities:			
Medium-term notes	\$ 181	\$ -	\$ -
Credit derivatives, net	2,994	1,532	(1,300)
Interest rate derivatives, net	(1)	-	-
Currency derivatives, net	1	-	-
Liabilities of consolidated			
VIEs:			
VIE notes	1,901	-	-
Currency derivatives, net	23	-	-
Total liabilities	\$ 5,099	\$ 1,532	\$ (1,300)

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Period	Realized Gains / (Losses)	Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings
Assets:			
Foreign governments	\$ 12	\$ -	\$ -
Corporate obligations	185	-	2
Residential mortgage-backed agency	9	-	-
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	14	-	-
Commercial mortgage-backed	25	-	-
Collateralized debt obligations	35	(1)	-
Other asset-backed	115	(2)	-
State and municipal bonds	27	-	-
Perpetual debt and equity securities	10	-	-
Assets of consolidated VIEs:			
Corporate obligations	75	-	(6)
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	25	-	1
Commercial mortgage-backed	15	-	1
Collateralized debt obligations	218	-	(2)

Edgar Filing: Standard AVB Financial Corp. - Form DEF 14A

Other asset-backed	71	-	3
Loans receivable	2,025	-	(49)
Loan repurchase commitments	1,076	-	(44)
Total assets	\$ 3,937	\$ (3)	\$ (94)

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Period	Realized (Gains) / Losses	Unrealized (Gains) / Losses Included in Earnings
Liabilities:			
Medium-term notes	\$ 174	\$ -	\$ (16)
Credit derivatives, net	4,487	443	(1,202)
Interest rate derivatives, net	(4)	-	-
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:			
VIE notes	2,864	-	62
Credit derivatives, net	82	-	-
Currency derivatives, net	19	-	2
Total liabilities	\$ 7,622	\$ 443	\$ (1,154)

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$73 million and \$19 million and \$73 million, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These transfers related to CDOs, and other ABS, where inputs, which are significant to the fair value, comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, and other inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$72 million and \$15 million and \$72 million, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These transfers related to corporate obligations where inputs, which are significant to the fair value, comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, and other inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

All Level 1, 2 and 3 designations are made at the end of each reporting period.

The following tables present information about changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Year	Realized Gains / (Losses)	Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings
Assets:			
Foreign governments	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ -
Corporate obligations	76	2	5
Residential mortgage-backed agency	-	-	-

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	4	-	-
Commercial mortgage-backed	28	-	-
Collateralized debt obligations	31	(2)	-
Other asset-backed	26	-	-
State and municipal bonds	103	2	-
Perpetual debt and equity securities	14	-	-
Assets of consolidated VIEs:			
Corporate obligations	78	(4)	(8)
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	6	-	6
Commercial mortgage-backed	7	-	1
Collateralized debt obligations	125	-	(9)
Other asset-backed	64	-	-
Loans receivable	1,881	-	221
Loan repurchase commitments	1,086	-	139
Total assets	\$ 3,532	\$ (2)	\$ 355

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Year	Realized (Gains) / Losses	Unrealized (Gains)/ Losses Included in Earnings
Liabilities:			
Medium-term notes	\$ 165	\$ -	\$ 25
Credit derivatives, net	2,921	1,521	(1,277)
Interest rate derivatives, net	(1)	-	2
Currency derivatives, net	1	-	-
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:			
VIE notes	1,932	-	146
Currency derivatives, net	21	-	(5)
Total liabilities	\$ 5,039	\$ 1,521	\$ (1,109)

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Changes in Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Year	Realized Gains / (Losses)	Unrealized Gains / (Losses) Included in Earnings
Assets:			
Foreign governments	\$ 11	\$ -	\$ -
Corporate obligations	207	(15)	5
Residential mortgage-backed agency	8	-	-
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	17	-	-
Commercial mortgage-backed	24	-	-
Collateralized debt obligations	60	(4)	-
Other asset-backed	317	(61)	-
State and municipal bonds	28	-	-
Perpetual debt and equity securities	11	-	-
Assets of consolidated VIEs:			
Corporate obligations	69	-	(5)
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency	21	-	6
Commercial mortgage-backed	22	-	2
Collateralized debt obligations	203	-	-
Other asset-backed	67	-	5
Loans receivable	2,046	-	(10)
Loan repurchase commitments	1,077	-	(45)
Total assets	\$ 4,188	\$ (80)	\$ (42)

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)**

In millions	Balance, Beginning of Year	Realized (Gains) / Losses	Unrealized (Gain) / Loss Included in Earnings
Liabilities:			
Medium-term notes	\$ 165	\$ -	\$ -
Credit derivatives, net	4,790	463	(1,500)
Interest rate derivatives, net	(3)	-	-
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs:			
VIE notes	2,889	-	2,889
Credit derivatives, net	80	-	-
Currency derivatives, net	17	-	-
Total liabilities	\$ 7,938	\$ 463	\$ (1,211)

(1) - Transferred in and out at the end of the period.

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$159 million and \$159 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. These transfers primarily consisted of transfers of mortgage-backed securities, CDOs and CMBS where inputs, which are significant, comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, and other inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

Transfers into and out of Level 3 were \$103 million and \$103 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. These transfers primarily consisted of transfers of mortgage-backed securities, CDOs and other ABS where inputs, which are significant, comprised the majority of the transferred instruments out of Level 3. These inputs included spreads, prepayment speeds, default speeds, and other inputs. There were no transfers into or out of Level 1.

All Level 1, 2 and 3 designations are made at the end of the reporting period.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in the consolidated statements of operations on the Company's consolidated statements of operations

In millions

Revenues:

Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives

Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value less than cost, net of exchange

Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments

Revenues of consolidated VIEs:

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value less than cost, net of exchange

Total

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

Gains and losses (realized and unrealized) included in the consolidated statements of operations on the Company's consolidated statements of operations

In millions

Revenues:

Net investment income

Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives

Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value through earnings

exchange

Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments

Revenues of consolidated VIEs:

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value through earnings

exchange

Total

Fair Value Option

The Company elected to record at fair value certain financial instruments in accordance with the guidance for consolidation of VIEs, among others.

The following table presents the changes in fair value of financial instruments measured at fair value at the end of 2013 and 2012 for all financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected.

In millions

Fixed-maturity securities held at fair value

Loans receivable at fair value:

Residential mortgage loans

Other loans

Loan repurchase commitments

Long-term debt

Substantially all gains and losses included in earnings preceding table are attributable to credit risk. This is p depressed pricing of the financial instruments.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 6: Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)

The following table reflects the difference between the fair value and December 31, 2012 for loans and VIE notes for which

In millions

Loans receivable at fair value:

Residential mortgage loans

Residential mortgage loans (90 days or more past due)

Other loans

Other loans (90 days or more past due)

Total loans receivable at fair value

Variable interest entity notes

Note 7: Investments

Investments, excluding those elected under the fair value option, as AFS are primarily comprised of money market funds

The following tables present the amortized cost, fair value, and HTM investments in the Company's consolidated

In millions

AFS Investments

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments
Money market securities
Perpetual debt and equity securities
Assets of consolidated VIEs:
Money market securities

Total AFS investments

HTM Investments

Assets of consolidated VIEs:
Corporate obligations

Total HTM investments

(1) - Represents unrealized gains or losses on other than temporary impairment, net of subsequent changes in fair value of such impaired securities.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

In millions

AFS Investments

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Money market securities

Perpetual debt and equity securities

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

State and municipal bonds

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Money market securities

Total AFS investments

HTM Investments

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Corporate obligations

Total HTM investments

(1) - Represents unrealized gains or losses on other than temporary declines in fair value of such impaired securities subsequent changes in fair value of such impaired securities

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

The following table presents the distribution by contractual maturity of fixed-maturity investments. Contractual maturity may differ from expected maturity.

In millions

Due in one year or less
Due after one year through five years
Due after five years through ten years
Due after ten years
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Deposited and Pledged Securities

The fair value of securities on deposit with various regulated entities is \$1.1 billion. These deposits are required to comply with state insurance requirements.

The Company enters into securities borrowing and lending transactions only transacted with high-quality dealer firms. It is the Company's policy to avoid credit risk from counterparties that might be unable to perform. Additionally, the Company may require additional collateral to be deposited with the Company.

Substantially all of the obligations under investment agreements and investment agreement activities may not be replighted. Securities pledged as collateral for these investment agreements as of June 30, 2013 consisted principally of RMBS, U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government securities. Additionally, the Company pledged cash and money market securities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

Impaired Investments

The following tables present the gross unrealized losses

In millions

AFS Investments

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Perpetual debt and equity securities

Total AFS investments

HTM Investments

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Corporate obligations

Total HTM investments

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

In millions

AFS Investments

Fixed-maturity investments:

U.S. Treasury and government agency

State and municipal bonds

Foreign governments

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed agency

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Commercial mortgage-backed

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Other asset-backed

Total fixed-maturity investments

Perpetual debt and equity securities

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Corporate obligations

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential mortgage-backed non-agency

Asset-backed securities:

Collateralized debt obligations

Total AFS investments

HTM Investments

Assets of consolidated VIEs:

Corporate obligations

Total HTM investments

Gross unrealized losses on AFS securities increased as rising interest rates. Gross unrealized losses on HTM securities decreased as a result of securities appreciation driven by a decline in credit spreads.

With the weighting applied on the fair value of each security position as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, that were in an unrealized loss position as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were below book value by more than 5%.

The following table presents the distribution of securities below book value by more than 5%:

Percentage of Fair Value Below Book Value

> 5% to 15%

> 15% to 25%

> 25% to 50%

> 50%

Total

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 7: Investments (continued)**

The following table presents the fair values and gross unrealized losses of the consolidated AFS investment portfolio as of June 30, 2012, compared to the fair values and gross unrealized losses of June 30, 2013 or an alternate ratings source, such as Moody's, S&P, or guarantee insurers, the credit rating reflects the higher of the two ratings.

In millions Asset Type	Aaa		Aa	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
ABS	\$ 14	\$ -	\$ 62	\$ (5)
MBS	589	(21)	6	-
Corporate obligations	47	(1)	164	(7)
Total	\$ 650	\$ (22)	\$ 232	\$ (12)

The total ABS, MBS and corporate obligations reported in the table presents information on ABS, MBS and corporate obligations.

Asset Type

ABS

MBS

Corporate obligations

Refer to the table in the Determination of Credit Losses section for information on the insured securities included in the table.

The Company concluded that it does not have the intent to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis. In the event of potential sources and uses of cash in its businesses, and in the event of any risk management or other plans as of June 30, 2013, the expected recovery of such securities' fair values has been determined.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*Evaluating AFS Securities for OTTI*

The Company has an ongoing review process for all securities, taking into account both qualitative and quantitative considerations. In assessing the impact of declines in value, the Company is limited to (i) the magnitude and duration of declines in the asset-backed sector, transaction-specific changes in credit quality; (ii) the structure of each investment structure; and (iii) any guarantees associated with the investment. The Company and National.

In calculating credit-related losses, the Company utilizes cash, including credit enhancement, that support the payments provided by financial guarantors, including MBIA Commercial Insurance Company, to determine the timing of cash flows received or expected to be received. The Company's purposes of assessing an OTTI loss on an impaired security.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

Each quarter, an internal committee, comprising staff from various departments, approves the valuation of investments. Among other things, the committee, including the use of models and assumptions, is responsible for determining the fair value of investments.

Determination of Credit Loss on ABS, MBS and Corporate Obligations

Investments with unrealized losses that met the above criteria are evaluated for OTTI.

ABS investments are evaluated for OTTI using historical performance and projections of collateral performance based on business conditions. The Company identifies any specific performance concerns, and stress tests the investments. If default is projected for a security, estimated future cash flows are determined. For investments, the Company utilizes the same tools as for RMBS investments. If the present value of cash flows is less than the Company's amortized cost for the investment, OTTI is recognized.

RMBS investments are evaluated for OTTI using severity models and severity vectors. The model utilizes macro inputs, including interest rates, to determine cash flows. The cash flow model, which considers deal waterfall dynamics, is used to determine cash flows. Cash flows are then discounted at the interest rate used to determine the present value of cash flows. If the present value of cash flows is less than the Company's amortized cost for the investment, OTTI is recognized.

Corporate obligation investments are evaluated for OTTI using quantitative and qualitative factors impacting the value of the investment. These factors include the current market spread, any collateral supporting the security, and the issuer's credit rating. Additionally, these factors include an assessment of the issuer's financial coverage, leverage, liquidity, management and a third-party rating. The Company compares the value of similar securities of other issuers in the same sector to the value of the investment. If the Company determines that, after considering these factors, the estimated recovery value for the security is less than the Company's amortized cost for the investment, OTTI is recognized.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the credit losses on the following table presents a summary of the significant impairment included in AOCI:

Asset-backed Securities

Expected size of losses⁽¹⁾:

Range⁽²⁾

Weighted average⁽³⁾

Current subordination levels⁽⁴⁾:

Range⁽²⁾

Weighted average⁽³⁾

Prepayment speed (annual constant prepayment rate)⁽⁵⁾

Range⁽²⁾

Weighted average⁽³⁾

(1) - Represents future expected credit losses on impaired assets

(2) - Represents the range of inputs/assumptions based upon

(3) - Calculated by weighting the relevant input/assumption

(4) - Represents current level of credit protection (subordination)

(5) - Values represent high and low points of lifetime vectors
Determination of Credit Loss Guaranteed by the Company

The Company does not record OTTI related to credit contracts including MBIA, are guaranteed payment of principal and interest are evaluated for impairment as part of its insurance subsidiary's loss reserving policy. Refer to Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for more information. Reserves for information about loss reserves.

In considering cash expected to be provided from other sources, payments under a variety of scenarios that test the guarantee cash flows provided by the insured security, are used to

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

The following table provides information about securities insured by a third party guarantor, along with the amount of insurance loss reserve

In millions

Asset-backed:

MBIA⁽¹⁾

Other

Total asset-backed

Mortgage-backed:

MBIA⁽¹⁾

Other

Total mortgage-backed

Corporate obligations:

Other

Total corporate obligations

Other:

MBIA⁽¹⁾

Other

Total other

Total

(1) - Includes investments insured by MBIA Corp. and National

(2) - Insurance loss reserve estimates are based on the proposed *Credit Loss Rollforward*

The portion of certain OTTI losses on fixed-maturity securities recognized in earnings represents the difference between the amount of impairment recognized in earnings and the amount of impairment recognized in earnings on fixed-maturity securities. The difference between the amount of impairment recognized in earnings on fixed-maturity securities and the corresponding changes in such amount is recorded in AOCI.

In millions

Credit Losses Recognized in Earnings Related to

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

Beginning balance

Additions for credit loss impairments recognized in the

Reductions for credit loss impairments previously recognized

Reductions for credit loss impairments previously recognized in the prior period⁽¹⁾

Reductions for increases in cash flows expected to be received

Ending balance

(1) - Represents circumstances where the Company determined that the security is not recoverable before recovery of the security's amortized cost

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 7: Investments (continued)

Sales of Available-For-Sale Investments

Gross realized gains and losses are recorded in Net income of operations. The proceeds and gross realized gains are

In millions

Proceeds from sales

Gross realized gains

Gross realized losses

Note 8: Derivative Instruments

Overview

MBIA has entered into derivative instruments through current and forecasted transactions. The Company accounts for these instruments which requires that all such instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value, determining the fair value of derivative instruments.

U.S. Public Finance Insurance

The Company's derivative exposure within its U.S. portfolio includes insured U.S. public finance debt issues. These derivatives include investments containing embedded derivatives. All derivatives are recorded on the Company's consolidated statements of income (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange.

Structured Finance and International Insurance

The Company entered into derivative instruments that do not qualify for the guarantee scope exception and, therefore, must be recorded at fair value. These include asset-backed, residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed, and other structured finance contracts, absent a negotiated settlement with the counterparty.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives, excluding insured derivatives, are recorded at fair value and foreign exchange. Changes in the fair value of insured derivatives. The net change in the fair value of derivatives includes (i) premiums received and receivable on sold CDS contracts, (ii) settlements on insured derivatives and (iii) unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives, (iv) premiums received and receivable on sold CDS contracts, (v) losses paid and recoverable on purchased CDS contracts, (vi) losses recovered and recoverable on purchased CDS contracts. The net change in the fair value of insured derivatives is recorded in Net income of operations.

In certain instances, the Company's structured finance arrangements in accordance with the accounting guidance for derivative instruments is determined by the location of the related

Variable Interest Entities

VIEs consolidated by the Company have entered into contracts that expose the Company to the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates of

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)

Asset/Liability Products

The Company's asset/liability products business has entered into derivative contracts. Interest rate swaps are entered into to hedge interest rate risk. Foreign exchange contracts are entered into to hedge the variability in cash flows resulting from foreign currency denominated investments. Credit derivatives are entered into to hedge credit risk and to replicate investments in cash assets consistent with the Company's investment strategy.

In certain instances, the Company's asset/liability products business has entered into derivative contracts in accordance with the accounting guidance for derivative instruments and hedge effectiveness testing. The location of the related security is disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Changes in the fair value of the Company's asset/liability products business are reported in the consolidated statement of income as net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value.

Credit Derivatives Sold

The following tables present information about credit derivatives sold by the Company as of December 31, 2012. Credit ratings represent the lower of the ratings of the underlying assets and liabilities.

\$ in millions

Credit Derivatives Sold
 Insured credit default swaps
 Insured swaps
 All others

Total notional

Total fair value

\$ in millions

Credit Derivatives Sold

Insured credit default swaps

Insured swaps

All others

Total notional

Total fair value

Internal credit ratings assigned by MBIA on the underlying reports from issuers and trustees, as well as publicly available collateral valuations are considered. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted and excluding additional debt service costs, such as interest or other charges to be made under these guarantees as of June 30, 2013 is \$2.0 billion, which MBIA economically hedges a portion of the credit risk. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted and excluding

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)

MBIA may hold recourse provisions with third parties that provide that in the event MBIA pays a claim under a guarantee, the guarantee on either a proportional or non-proportional basis, subrogation rights whereby if MBIA makes a claim payment

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company manages counterparty credit risk on an asset/liability products segment. There are no master netting agreements in the asset/liability segments. The master netting agreements in the asset/liability segments provide for netting of amounts due to such counterparty when certain trigger events occur, including mutual credit downgrade provisions that generally provide for netting if downgraded below a specified credit rating.

Under these arrangements, the Company may receive cash collateral from the Company or its exposure to counterparties, respectively, to mitigate the risk of counterparty defaults. As of June 30, 2013, the Company held cash collateral from counterparties of \$223 million. Of this amount, \$151 million was netted against accrued interest on derivative liabilities. As of December 31, 2012, the Company did not hold cash collateral. Of this amount, \$203 million is netted within derivative liabilities and an additional \$66 million is in cash collateral. As of June 30, 2012, the Company did not post securities to derivative counterparties.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of cash collateral governs collateral posting requirements between MBIA and its counterparties, which was below the CSA minimum credit ratings level of A2 by Moody's and A by S&P.

Financial Statement Presentation

The fair value of amounts recognized for eligible derivative instruments, net of collateral that may have been received or posted by the Company, is reported in the amounts related to derivative instruments. Insured derivative instruments are presented net of any master netting agreements.

As of June 30, 2013, the total fair value of the Company's derivative instruments reported within Other assets and Other assets prepayments was \$1.7 billion. Embedded derivatives of \$8 million were reported within Other assets.

As of June 30, 2013, the total fair value of the Company's derivative instruments, net of \$151 million, was \$1.7 billion, which was reported within Other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Embedded derivatives of \$8 million were reported within Other assets on the balance sheets.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the total fair value of the derivative instruments and posting of cash collateral, as of June 30, 2013:

In millions

Derivative Instruments

Not designated as hedging instruments:

Insured credit default swaps

Insured swaps

Non-insured credit default swaps

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps-VIE

Interest rate swaps-embedded

Currency swaps

Currency swaps-VIE

All other

All other-VIE

All other-embedded

Total non-designated derivatives

(1) - In accordance with the accounting guidance for derivatives, the fair value of derivatives is determined by the location of the related host contract.

As of December 31, 2012, the total fair value of the Company's derivatives was \$203 million, reported within Other assets and Other assets prepaids. Embedded derivatives of \$8 million were reported within Other assets prepaids.

As of December 31, 2012, the total fair value of the Company's derivatives was \$3.1 billion, which was reported within Other assets prepaids. The Company's consolidated balance sheet also includes investments in variable interest entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Counterparty netting of derivative assets and liabilities is not applicable.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the total fair value of the derivative instruments and posting of cash collateral, as of December 31, 2011.

In millions

Derivative Instruments

Not designated as hedging instruments:

Insured credit default swaps

Insured swaps

Non-insured credit default swaps

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps-VIE

Interest rate swaps-embedded

Currency swaps

Currency swaps-VIE

All other

All other-VIE

All other-embedded

Total non-designated derivatives

(1) - In accordance with the accounting guidance for derivatives, the fair value is determined by the location of the related host contract.

The following table presents the effect of derivative instruments on earnings.

In millions

Derivatives Not Designated as

Hedging Instruments

Insured credit default swaps	Unrealized gains (losses)	Location
------------------------------	---------------------------	----------

Insured credit default swaps	Realized gains (losses)	
------------------------------	-------------------------	--

Interest rate swaps	Net gains (losses)	
---------------------	--------------------	--

Currency swaps-VIE	Net gains (losses)	
--------------------	--------------------	--

All other	Unrealized gains (losses)	
-----------	---------------------------	--

Total

The following tables present the effect of derivative in

In millions

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps

Total

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)

In millions

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

Insured credit default swaps	Unrealized gains
Insured credit default swaps	Realized gains
Interest rate swaps	Net gains (losses)
Interest rate swaps-VIE	Net gains (losses)
Currency swaps	Net gains (losses)
Currency swaps-VIE	Net gains (losses)
All other	Unrealized gains
All other-VIE	Net gains (losses)

Total

The following table presents the effect of derivative instruments on earnings and other comprehensive income.

In millions

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

Insured credit default swaps	Unrealized gains
Insured credit default swaps	Realized gains
Interest rate swaps	Net gains (losses)
Interest rate swaps-VIE	Net gains (losses)
Currency swaps	Net gains (losses)
Currency swaps-VIE	Net gains (losses)
All other	Unrealized gains

Total

The following tables present the effect of derivative instruments on earnings and other comprehensive income.

In millions

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships

Interest rate swaps	Net gains (losses) on finan
Interest rate swaps	Interest income (expense)
Total	

Table of Contents**MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 8: Derivative Instruments (continued)****In millions****Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments**

Insured credit default swaps	Unrealized
Insured credit default swaps	Realized
Insured swaps	Unrealized
Non-insured credit default swaps	Net gains
Non-insured credit default swaps-VIE	Net gains
Interest rate swaps	Net gains
Interest rate swaps-VIE	Net gains
Currency swaps-VIE	Net gains
All other	Unrealized
All other	Net gains
All other-VIE	Net gains

Total

Note 9: Debt

The Company has disclosed its debt in Note 10: Debt of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012. The following debt

Long-Term Debt

In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement, MBIA Insurance Corporation transferred the settlement. These notes were subsequently transferred

Interest and principal payments on the 14% Fixed to Floating Rate Note were guaranteed by MBIA Insurance Corporation's request for approval of the settlement. MBIA Insurance Corporation provided notice to the Floating Rate Noteholders on the first business day on or after which MBIA Insurance Corporation was no longer a subsidiary of June 30, 2013, the Company had accrued interest on the Floating Rate Note in its consolidated balance sheets.

Medium-Term Note Obligations

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company repurchased \$100 million of 100% of par value. The Company also repurchased \$100 million of 100% of par value. The Company's asset/liability segment at a weighted average

Other Borrowing Arrangements**Blue Ridge Secured Loan**

In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement in
pursuant to which Blue Ridge agreed to make revolving
the material terms of the Loan Agreement, as amended
of the document described below.

Use of Proceeds

The proceeds of the Blue Ridge Secured Loan can be
million, the proceeds must be used for the purpose of r

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 9: Debt (continued)

Conditions to Borrowings

Blue Ridge's obligation to make loans is subject to us or would occur as a result of that borrowing and (ii) the all material respects.

Security

The Loans are secured by a pledge of collateral consisting of mortgage loans included in its insured RMBS transactions from expected excess spread generated by performing voting capital stock of MBIA Corp.'s equity interest in Collateral). Under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan, the As of June 30, 2013, the value of the collateral was ap

Interest Rate and Fees

Borrowings under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan have an applicable margin (LIBOR Loans), or (ii) the highest (c) the adjusted LIBOR plus 1.00%, plus an applicable 6.50%, respectively. With respect to any available but commitment fee on such undrawn amounts of 2.00% p

Scheduled Repayment

The maturity date of the Blue Ridge Secured Loan is t

Mandatory Prepayments

Loans are required to be prepaid (and Blue Ridge's co and (ii) on and after the first anniversary of the closing anniversary of the closing date to the second anniversary maturity date, 100% of such proceeds. In addition, loan certain disposals of assets to the extent exceeding \$1 m reduction) to the extent the proceeds of any borrowing loans within the required 30-day period.

Representations and Warranties

The Blue Ridge Secured Loan contains certain custom each borrowing under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan ag enforceability of the loan documents, receipt of any ne

Covenants

The Blue Ridge Secured Loan contains certain affirmative covenants, including, but not limited to, the maintenance of books and records, compliance with all material laws, and the maintenance of \$750 million of statutory capital (defined as policyholder surplus).

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 9: Debt (continued)

In addition, MBIA Insurance Corporation may not, with respect to (x) insurance obligations and settlements of litigation to the extent of (y) \$260 million in the aggregate, (y) after giving effect to the reduction of (z) outstanding would exceed \$200 million or (z) after giving effect to the reduced MBIA Insurance Corporation's statutory capital.

In addition, MBIA Insurance Corporation may not prepay or make any payment of principal, interest or fees or any other obligations under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan agreement and except for the obligations under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan agreement.

Change of Control

MBIA Insurance Corporation may be required to prepare a business plan for the control.

Events of Default

The Blue Ridge Secured Loan agreement contains certain events of default, including failure to pay principal, interest or fees on the loan, indebtedness, insolvency and bankruptcy matters, and other events of default.

Note 10: Income Taxes

The Company's income taxes and the related effective tax rate are as follows:

In millions

Income (loss) before income taxes

Provision (benefit) for income taxes

Effective tax rate

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company's effective tax rate was 12.5% as a result of the decrease in the valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's effective tax rate was 12.5% as a result of the decrease in the valuation allowance, the recognition of tax benefits on investments.

For interim reporting purposes, the Company has calculated its income tax expense on a mark-to-market income, fair value adjustments, capital gains and losses, and accounted for these items at the federal applicable tax rate.

Deferred Tax Asset, Net of Valuation Allowance

The Company establishes a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets that are not expected to be realized. All evidence, both positive and negative, necessary to make such a determination is considered. The amount of the valuation allowance ultimately depends, in part, on the existence of sufficient taxable income during the carryforward period available under the tax law.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 10: Income Taxes (continued)

As of June 30, 2013, the Company reported a net deferred tax asset of \$53 million. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$53 million for its net deferred tax asset. The Company's net deferred tax asset consists of capital losses, which are considered capital losses, have a five-year carryforward period, and the net deferred tax asset reflects a decrease of \$53 million from the December 31, 2012 balance. The net deferred tax asset as of June 30, 2013 was primarily due to the generation of capital losses.

The Company has concluded that it is more likely than not that it will be able to realize its deferred tax asset based on the available evidence (both positive and negative):

Due to the long-tail nature of the financial results, the Company's stream of scheduled premium earnings will be significantly impacted by the suspension in writing new structured financial products and the related reduction of existing derivatives.

The Company performed taxable income projections for the period at National, combined with investment income projections used to assess the recoverability of loss reserves already recognized. The Company does not assume additional losses, with the exception of the loss reserves on a present value basis based on the current market conditions.

While the lack of strong credit ratings associated with the insurance business, these ratings did not provide sufficient support to absorb losses in the event that the ratings were downgraded.

With respect to installment policies, the Company's policies are subject to downgrades. With regard to upfront policies, the Company's policy will be accelerated into earnings. After reviewing all of the evidence available, both positive and negative, the Company's net deferred tax asset, net of the valuation allowance, as of June 30, 2013, is not realizable. The Company's recent financial results have shown significant volatility or losses beyond those projected may cause the net deferred tax asset as of June 30, 2013 may not be realizable. The Company's net deferred tax asset as of June 30, 2013 was primarily due to the generation of capital losses.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

The Company's policy is to record and disclose any uncertain tax positions as liabilities.

In millions

Unrecognized tax benefit as of December 31, 2012

The gross amount of the increase/(decrease) in the UTB

During a prior year

During the current year

The amounts of decreases in the UTB related to settlements

The reduction in the UTB as a result of the applicable law

Unrecognized tax benefit as of June 30, 2013

MBIA's major tax jurisdictions include the U.S. and the U.K.

MBIA Inc.;

GFL;

Meridian Funding Company, LLC;

LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the CDS issuer, including termination payments that are payable by the CDS issuer.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

MBIA Corp.'s guarantees insure structured finance arrangements that are primarily located outside of the U.S. and that include transactions that are serving a substantial public purpose, and obligations of the Company that are derived from expected cash flows generated by a specified pool of assets, including receivables and bonds, trade and export receivables, and leases for equipment, but not including, except for transactions related to the reduction of existing debt.

Advisory Services

The advisory services segment primarily consists of the Company's subsidiaries (Cutwater-AMC), and Trifinium. Cutwater-ISC and Trifinium provide structured products on a fee-for-service basis. Cutwater-AMC provides advisory services and its subsidiaries, as well as portfolio accounting and advisory services to investment advisers. Cutwater-AMC is also a Financial Advisor providing advisory services to the Company's foreign insurance affiliate in the U.K.

Corporate

The Company's corporate segment is principally composed of the Company's corporate segment and other operating businesses. The Company's corporate segment activities, such as fees, net investment income, net gain on investments, and other activities, are primarily provided by the company provide various support services including management consulting, legal, and other services, on a fee-for-service basis. The service company provides support services to the Company's other operating businesses.

Wind-down Operations

The Company's wind-down operations consist of the Company's wind-down operations consist of the activities of MBIA Inc., MBIA Investment Management, and other subsidiaries, which are guaranteed by MBIA Corp., for bond proceeds and other assets. The Company also provided customized products through the issuance of MTNs with varying maturities (GFL Loans). MBIA Inc. invested the proceeds of its investments in high quality, investment grade at the time of purchase and managed to purchase domestic securities, which are pledged to MBIA Corp.

The Company's conduit segment administers one conduit program.

The ratings downgrades of MBIA Corp. have resulted in the Company's agreements, as well as winding down of existing assets.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

Segments Results

The following tables provide the Company's segment

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net change in fair value of insured derivatives

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange

Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues

Losses and loss adjustment

Operating

Interest

Expenses of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net income and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense net of intercompany receivables and payables.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾
 Net change in fair value of insured derivatives
 Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange
 Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments
 Other net realized gains (losses)
 Revenues of consolidated VIEs
 Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues
 Losses and loss adjustment
 Operating
 Interest
 Expenses of consolidated VIEs
 Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net income and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense and intercompany receivables and payables.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

The following tables provide the Company's segment

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net change in fair value of insured derivatives

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange

Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues

Losses and loss adjustment

Operating

Interest

Expenses of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net income and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense, intercompany receivables and payables and intercompany loans.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾
 Net change in fair value of insured derivatives
 Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange
 Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairments
 Other net realized gains (losses)
 Revenues of consolidated VIEs
 Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues
 Losses and loss adjustment
 Operating
 Interest
 Expenses of consolidated VIEs
 Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party financial guarantee net income and other fees.

(2) - Represents intercompany premium income and expense net of intercompany receivables and payables.

(3) - Consists of intercompany reinsurance balances, repurchase agreements, and premiums on financial guarantees and insured derivatives. The following table summarizes premiums earned on financial guarantees and 2012:

In millions

Total premiums earned:

United States

United Kingdom

Europe (excluding United Kingdom)

Internationally diversified

Central and South America

Asia

Other

Total

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

The following tables provide the results of the segments

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues

Operating

Interest

Expenses of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, investment

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value

Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues
Operating
Interest
Expenses of consolidated VIEs
Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, interest

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 11: Business Segments (continued)

The following tables provide the results of the segments

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value

Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues

Operating

Interest

Expenses of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, investment

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses

In millions

Revenues⁽¹⁾

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value

Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary

Revenues of consolidated VIEs

Inter-segment revenues⁽²⁾

Total revenues
Operating
Interest
Expenses of consolidated VIEs
Inter-segment expenses⁽²⁾

Total expenses

Income (loss) before income taxes

Identifiable assets

(1) - Represents the sum of third-party interest income, investment

(2) - Represents intercompany asset management fees and expenses

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 12: Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed based on the number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share includes the effect of common stock equivalents that could potentially result in the issuance of common stock. Common stock equivalents include stock options and warrants outstanding that were not included in the basic earnings per share calculation. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were 27,563,460 and 28,000,000 common stock equivalents per share calculation because they were antidilutive.

The following table presents the computation of basic earnings per share:

\$ in millions except share and per share amounts

Net income (loss)

Basic weighted average shares⁽¹⁾

Effect of common stock equivalents:

Stock options and warrants

Diluted weighted average shares

Net income (loss) per common share:

Basic

Diluted

(1) - Includes 4,490,348 and 5,597,797 of unvested restricted stock equivalents as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Includes 5,303,456 and 5,400,564 of unvested restricted stock equivalents as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 13: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the changes in the components of accumulated other comprehensive income:

In millions

Balance, January 1, 2013

Other comprehensive income before reclassifications

Amounts reclassified from AOCI

Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)

Balance, June 30, 2013

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 13: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table presents the details of the reclassifications:

In millions

Details about AOCI Components

Unrealized gains (losses) on AFS securities:

Realized gain on sale of securities

Amortization on securities

Total reclassifications for the period

Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies

The following commitments and contingencies provided in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report include the complete descriptions provided in the aforementioned

Recovery Litigation

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Countrywide Home Loans, L.P.

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the litigation. The agreement is included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Bank of America Corp.; Countrywide Home Loans, L.P. (Case No. BC417572 (Ca. Super. Ct., County of Los Angeles))

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the litigation. The agreement is included herein for a description of the comprehensive settlement.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (Case No. 09-01011 (ABJ) (D.D.C.))

On March 8, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed the

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC

On March 8, 2013, the defendants filed their answer to the complaint filed on June 30, 2014.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. J.P. Morgan Securities LLC

On November 7, 2012, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC withdrew its motion to dismiss.

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Ally Financial Inc. (f/k/a GMAC)

This case is currently stayed.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

MBIA Insurance Corp. v. Flagstar ABS, LLC, et al.; 13-cv-00001

The parties filed a Stipulation of Discontinuance and Consent to Dismissal, and the court dismissed the lawsuit against Flagstar Bank in exchange for the payment of \$10 million.

Transformation Litigation

ABN AMRO Bank N.V. et al. v. Eric Dinallo et al.; Index No. 13-cv-00001

On March 4, 2013, the court issued a decision dismissing the complaint with prejudice. Appellate Division, First Department. In May of 2013, the court granted summary judgment on the claims. Refer to Note 1: Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties.

ABN AMRO Bank N.V. et al. v. MBIA Inc. et al.; Index No. 13-cv-00001

In May of 2013, following the Bank of America and SBC Warburg Pincus, the court granted summary judgment on the claims. Refer to Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the claims.

Barclays Bank PLC., et al. v. Wrynn et al.; Index No. 13-cv-00001

In May of 2013, following the Bank of America and SBC Warburg Pincus, the court granted summary judgment on the claims. Refer to Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the claims.

CQS ABS Master Fund Ltd., CQS Select ABS Master Fund Ltd. v. MBIA Inc. et al. (S.D.N.Y.)

On June 24, 2013, the court granted MBIA's motion to dismiss the complaint with prejudice.

Broadbill Partners LP, et al. v. MBIA Inc., et al.; Index No. 13-cv-00001

On June 6, 2013, the plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed the complaint with prejudice.

Corporate Litigation

Bank of America v. MBIA Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the claims. Refer to Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the claims.

MBIA Inc. v. Bank of America Corp. and Blue Ridge Insurance

In May of 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the claims. Refer to Business Developments and Risks and Uncertainties included herein for a description of the claims.

Mary Crescente v. Joseph Brown, et al.; Index No. 177

On March 25, 2013, a Stipulation of Discontinuance was filed.

Ambac Bond Insurance Coverage Cases, Coordinated

On March 22, 2013, the court granted the Bond Insurers' motion for summary judgment under California's Cartwright Act.

City of Phoenix v. AMBAC et al., Case No. 2:10-cv-00123

On June 4, 2013, the parties reached an agreement to resolve the matter.

Table of Contents

MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

The Company is defending against the aforementioned lawsuits, however, that the Company will prevail in these actions on its strategy and on its business, results of operations, cash flows, and amount, if any, of damages. Accordingly, the Company does not believe that these lawsuits will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position.

There are no other material lawsuits pending or, to the best of the Company's knowledge, threatened.

Note 15: Subsequent Events

Refer to Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

FORWARD-LOOKING AND CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

This quarterly report of MBIA Inc. ("MBIA", the "Company") contains certain forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We intend, but do not guarantee, that we will likely result, looking forward, in the performance of our business and operations, and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from those stated. You should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. We disclaim any liability for any loss or damage caused by any forward-looking statement if the Company later becomes aware that the statement was incorrect.

The following are some of the factors that could affect our performance and results of operations underlying the Company's forward-looking statements:

the possibility that the Company will experience a decline in the performance of its structured securities ("CMBS") pools and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") transactions, and in particular, due to the performance of the underlying assets;

uncertainty regarding whether the Company will be able to sell or service RMBS transactions and other structured securities;

failure to implement our risk reduction and other strategies without the necessary regulatory approvals;

the possibility that loss reserve estimates may be insufficient;

our ability to access capital and our exposure to credit risk in our asset/liability products segment;

our ability to fully implement our strategy (together with its subsidiaries, National American Insurance Company) as a result of limited available liquidity;

the possibility of deterioration in the economic conditions in the European, Asian, and other international sovereign credit performance, and

the possibility that severe fiscal stress will impact our business;

some municipalities are experiencing severe economic conditions under the United States Bankruptcy Code. The Company's exposure to such municipalities is limited to its bonds;

changes in the Company's credit ratings

competitive conditions for bond insurance
changes in the demand for financial guar

the effects of governmental regulation, in

uncertainties that have not been identified

The above factors provide a summary of and are qualified by
addition, refer to Note 1: Business Developments and
uncertainties related to our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

MBIA operates one of the largest financial guarantee i activities are managed through three business segment public finance insurance business is primarily operated MBIA Insurance Corporation and its subsidiaries (M Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries (Cutwater). Our We also manage certain business activities through ou expenses that arise from general corporate activities. F

Recent Developments

Bank of America Settlement Agreement

In May of 2013, MBIA Inc., together with its subsidia BofA Settlement Agreement) with Bank of Americ Developments and Risks and Uncertainties in the No BofA Settlement Agreement, the repayment of the rem and recent credit ratings upgrades, certain barriers to r such re-entry into the U.S. public finance market.

Societe Generale Settlement

In May of 2013, the Company entered into an agreeme ABS CDOs, structured CMBS pools and CRE CDOs. Statements for a description of the settlement.

Residential Capital LLC Agreement

In May of 2013, the Company, the Consenting Claima plan agreement to support ResCap's Chapter 11 plan. Funding Company, LLC, GMAC Mortgage LLC and Plan Support Agreement (the Plan). The Plan is nov assurance that the Plan will be confirmed. Refer to N description of the agreement.

Transformation Litigation

Subsequent to the BofA Settlement Agreement and the international financial institutions, relating to the estab Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of

Operating Cost Reductions

In order to better position the Company for future busi staffing and head office occupancy costs. As a result, Severance and other costs related to staff reductions w

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)

National

The Company intends to seek additional rating upgrade to strengthen the strength of National when compared with other similar companies to gain market acceptance and become a competitive financial institution in the tax-backed and other revenue bond sectors. The Company believes that the Company's business plan meets our underwriting criteria thus the continued issuance of new municipal debt will present no additional risk. We maintain underwriting criteria for most municipal risk and our business plan does not limit it to a particular sector or sectors.

As of June 30, 2013, National was rated A with a stable outlook by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's). We expect to achieve such ratings in the near future and assure that we will be able to achieve such ratings. Our business and the premiums we can charge.

While there are currently two bond insurers actively engaged in the market, we are indicating an interest in entering the bond insurance market. We believe that existing market participants may have an impact on our ability to

Our U.S. public finance insured portfolio in National, as of June 30, 2013, as a portion of the obligations that we insure. We are under stress. In addition, several of these local governments, counties, states and municipalities could lead to an increase in default transactions.

MBIA Corp.

MBIA Corp.'s strategy for managing its CMBS pool is to maintain sufficient capital and liquidity to meet all of its expected obligations. Our business and financial results have been significantly impacted by the Developments and Risks and Uncertainties in the National market amounts reported in the Company's financial statements.

Our expected liquidity and capital forecasts are based on our secured revolving credit agreement with the lender and expected recoveries from the ResCap agreement. The amount and timing of potential claims, the amount of collections of excess spread and the remaining balance may deteriorate and result in loss reserves and expenses. MBIA Corp. experiences higher than expected claims and MBIA Corp. may ultimately have insufficient capital. Services (NYSDFS) to put MBIA Insur

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, insured CMBS exposure. This additional insured CMBS portfolio every quarter since possible that we will experience severe loss

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, consolidated period of 2012.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW (continued)

Our consolidated shareholders' equity was \$3.0 billion, net of unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities that had a consolidated book value per share as of June 30, 2013.

In addition to book value per share, we also analyze adjusted book value (ABV) of the Company and the change in ABV an important metric. We believe that the Company believes will reverse from GAAP book value, as well as to add in the impact of certain items which the Company makes adjustments to those items that it deems to be important. ABV assumes no new business activity. We have presented ABV as management regularly uses to measure financial performance. Our definition of ABV may differ from that used by other companies. Our reconciliation of GAAP book value per share to ABV is as follows:

As of June 30, 2013, ABV per share was \$29.42, down from \$30.00.

A detailed discussion of our financial results is presented in the Financial Condition and Results of Operations section for a discussion of National Accounts and Management's Discussion and Analysis.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

We prepare our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The development, selection, and disclosure of critical accounting estimates, since these estimates require significant judgment, could result in our financial results could be materially different if other methodologies were used.

For a discussion of the Company's critical accounting estimates, see Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Financial Condition and Results of Operations section.

Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the Financial Condition and Results of Operations section.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements in the Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Company.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary of Consolidated Results

The following table presents a summary of our consolidated

In millions except for per share amounts

Total revenues

Total expenses

Pre-tax income (loss)

Provision (benefit) for income taxes

Net income (loss)

Net income (loss) per common share:

Basic

Diluted

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded net income of \$581 million, or \$2.98 per diluted common share, and 195 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The \$182 million of net losses on insured derivatives compared to 2012 were principally the result of favorable changes in the market value. The net gains on insured derivatives in 2012 were offset by a widening of its own credit spreads, a reduction in the net income from expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 including an increase in net insurance loss and LAE in 2013 when compared to recoveries of actual and expected payments related to

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded net income of \$591 million, or \$3.03 per diluted common share, and 195 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The \$182 million of net losses on insured derivatives compared to 2012 were principally the result of favorable changes in the market value and a decline in the weighted average life on transactions below fair value, the effects of MBIA's nonperformance on the Company's recovery rate, and favorable movements in the market. June 30, 2013 included a benefit of \$6 million of net income from net insurance loss and LAE in 2013 when compared to net income from second-lien RMBS transactions. In addition, consolidated net income included approximately \$87 million related to settlement, consummation of Generale and Flagstar Bank.

Included in our consolidated net income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is the elimination of intercompany revenues and expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, consolidated net income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 includes the elimination of intercompany revenues and expenses, compared with

our financial results will vary over time as VIEs are co
change.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

European Sovereign Debt Exposure

Uncertainties regarding the European sovereign debt of sovereign-related and sub-sovereign bonds, structure insure any direct European sovereign debt. However, indirect European sovereign insured debt exposure total such as regions, departments, and sovereign-owned entities outstanding, \$785 million, \$601 million, and \$249 million Kingdom. We closely monitor our existing insured European type and quality of local regulatory oversight, the strength monitor local accounting, regulatory and legal requirements. Company has an immaterial amount of direct and indirect issuers could have an adverse effect on our insured debt.

Adjusted Pre-Tax Income

The following table presents our consolidated adjusted GAAP pre-tax income (loss) for the three and six months

In millions

Adjusted pre-tax income (loss)
Additions to adjusted pre-tax income (loss):
Impact of consolidating certain VIEs
Mark-to-market gains (losses) on insured credit derivatives
Subtractions from adjusted pre-tax income (loss):
Impairments on insured credit derivatives
Pre-tax income (loss)

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, our consolidated of decreases in premiums earned and revenues from contracts increase was partially offset by decreases in impairment

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our consolidated insurance losses and LAE, net gains from the sale of intangible impairments on credit derivatives. These changes were adjusted pre-tax loss for the six months ended June 30, and legal expenses associated with the resolution of litigation

guarantee insurance contracts but which are contractu

U.S. Public Finance Insurance

Our U.S. public finance insurance business is primarily
irrevocable guarantees of the payment of the principal
exercised, at its discretion, the right to accelerate insur
and taxable indebtedness of U.S. political subdivisions
housing authorities and other similar agencies and obli
and privately issued bonds used for the financing of pu
these projects, lease payments or other similar types of

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)**

The following table presents our U.S. public finance in

In millions

Net premiums earned
 Net investment income
 Fees and reimbursements
 Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value
 exchange

Total revenues

Losses and loss adjustment
 Amortization of deferred acquisition costs
 Operating

Total expenses

Pre-tax income

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and our U.S. public finance segment reflects the insurance the formation of National in 2009. Subsequent to the E eighteen domestic and international financial institution the National Secured Loan was repaid in full and S&P credit watch positive outlook. On May 10, 2013, S&P rating to Baa1 from Baa2 with a positive outlook. The used by the rating agencies in their evaluation. We do ratings, but certain barriers to re-enter the bond insurance U.S. public finance market.

NET PREMIUMS EARNED Net premiums earned on include scheduled premium earnings and premium ear were \$102 million compared with \$130 million for the million and a decrease of \$4 million in scheduled prem million compared with \$236 million for the same perio decrease in scheduled premiums earned of \$7 million. portfolio with no material new insurance writings. Ad reduced the amount of premiums that would have been

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net income was primarily due to a higher balance in lower yielding securities in the notional value of our simultaneous repurchase agreement on the National Secured Loan for the three months ended June 30, 2013 the interest income totaled \$1.2 million. Pursuant to the National Secured Loan Agreement, MBIA Corp. repaid the remaining outstanding balance of the National Secured Loan. For additional information included herein for additional information about the National Secured Loan, see Item 7.

Investment asset balances at amortized cost as of June 30, 2013

In millions

Fixed-income securities:

Tax-exempt

Taxable

Short-term

Total fixed-income

Secured loan to an affiliate

Other

Total

(1) - Estimated yield-to-maturity.

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS The increase in net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was primarily due to realized gains from the sales of securities from the ongoing portfolio of financial instruments. The increase in net gains (losses) on financial instruments for the three months ended June 30, 2013 with the same period of 2012 was principally due to an increase in net gains on the finance insurance investment portfolio.

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES National Secured Loan obligations. The level and frequency of monitoring of National Secured Loan obligations is consistent with the level and frequency of monitoring of Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves in the National Secured Loan. For additional information related to its loss reserves, see Item 7.

The following table presents information about our U.S. operations:

In millions

Loss and LAE related to actual and expected payments

Recoveries of actual and expected payments

Gross losses incurred

Reinsurance

Losses and loss adjustment expenses

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and under extreme financial and budgetary stress and several proceedings established to assist municipalities in making payment of their obligations and losses or impairments closely, however the overall extent and duration of the

The following tables present information about our U.S. 2012:

In millions

Gross loss and LAE reserves

Expected recoveries on unpaid losses

Loss and LAE reserves

Insurance loss recoverable

Insurance loss recoverable-ceded⁽¹⁾

Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses

(1) - Reported within Other liabilities on our consolidated

Included in our U.S. public finance loss and LAE reserves paid a claim and also for which a payment default has comprised the following:

\$ in millions

Gross of reinsurance:

Issues with defaults

Issues without defaults

Total gross of reinsurance

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guarantors. On July 9, 2013, the Company and the Pennsylvania Health Care Financing Agency, Allegheny Health, Education and Research Foundation

to be redeemed during the third quarter of 2013, at which time they were eliminated.

POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND OPERATING EXPENSES FOR 2013 and 2012 are presented in the following table:

In millions

Gross expenses

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs

Operating

Total insurance operating expenses

Gross expenses represent total insurance expenses before amortization of deferred acquisition costs for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 primarily due to the elimination of certain policies. Operating expenses decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2013, partially offset by an increase in consulting fees.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Operating expenses increased for the three months ended 2013 compared with the same period of 2012 as a result of 2012.

INSURED PORTFOLIO EXPOSURE Financial guarantees are provided for insured portfolios. MBIA uses both an internally developed and an externally insured portfolio. In evaluating credit risk, we obtain, from nationally recognized rating agencies, Moody's and S&P, internal ratings that would not be comparable to our public

The following table presents the credit quality distribution of our insured portfolios. All ratings are as of the period presented and represent the lowest rating by either S&P or Moody's, an internal equivalent

In millions
Rating
AAA
AA
A
BBB
Below investment grade

Total

The credit quality distribution of our U.S. public finance insurance gross par outstanding rated A through BBB, below investment grade, before giving effect to National

Structured Finance and International Insurance

Our structured finance and international insurance business provide unconditional and irrevocable guarantees of the performance of the underlying assets. In the event MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets, MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets. In the event MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets, MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets. In the event MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets, MBIA Corp. has the right, at its discretion, to acquire the underlying assets.

MBIA Corp.'s guarantees insure structured finance and other assets primarily located outside of the U.S. which include total return swaps, structured debt, and other obligations of issuers serving a substantial public purpose, and obligations of issuers from expected cash flows generated by a specified pool of

loans and bonds, trade and export receivables, and lease

In certain cases, we may be required to consolidate the
liabilities of those entities and in connection with remeasure
principles for the consolidation of VIEs. We do not believe
with other financial guarantees written by us.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table presents our structured finance and

In millions

Net premiums earned
 Net investment income
 Fees and reimbursements
 Change in fair value of insured derivatives:
 Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured
 Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives

Net change in fair value of insured derivatives
 Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value
 Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary
 Other net realized gains (losses)
 Revenues of consolidated VIEs:
 Net investment income
 Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value
 Other net realized gains (losses)

Total revenues

Losses and loss adjustment
 Amortization of deferred acquisition costs
 Operating
 Interest
 Expenses of consolidated VIEs:
 Operating
 Interest

Total expenses

Pre-tax income (loss)

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and
 2013, MBIA Corp.'s total insured gross par outstanding
 from \$331.2 billion. The lack of insurance writings in
 assigned to MBIA Corp. by the major ratings agencies
 financial strength ratings of MBIA Corp. and market a
 Corporation's rating to B with a stable outlook from C

with a positive outlook from Caa2 with a review for d
qualitative factors used by the rating agencies in their
changes in the fair value of our insured credit derivativ

ADJUSTED PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS) In addition
insurance segment using adjusted pre-tax income (loss)
understanding of the results of operations of our struct
income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP, a

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table presents the adjusted pre-tax income (loss) to GAAP pre-tax income (loss) for the th

In millions

Adjusted pre-tax income (loss)
Additions to adjusted pre-tax income (loss):
Impact of consolidating certain VIEs
Mark-to-market gain (loss) on insured credit derivatives
Subtractions from adjusted pre-tax income (loss):
Impairments on insured credit derivatives
Pre-tax income (loss)

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Adjusted pre-tax loss for the three months ended June 30, 2013, includes net premium income, net investment income, net interest income, net other-than-temporary impairments, net guarantee insurance losses and LAE, impairments on investments and other-than-temporary impairments of 2013.

Adjusted pre-tax loss for the six months ended June 30, 2013, includes net premium income, net investment income, net interest income, net other-than-temporary impairments, net guarantee insurance losses, increase in gains on sales of investments and other-than-temporary impairments.

NET PREMIUMS EARNED Our structured finance arrangements include financial guarantee contracts and insured derivative contracts, and Company consolidating VIEs. The following table presents net premiums earned for 2012:

In millions

Net premiums earned:
Financial guarantee contracts
Insured derivative contracts ⁽¹⁾
VIEs (eliminated in consolidation)
Total net premiums earned

(1) - Premiums related to insured derivatives are included in
Net premiums earned on non-derivative financial guar
Net premiums earned represent gross premiums earned
refunded issues.

In millions

Net premiums earned:

U.S.

Non-U.S.

Total net premiums earned

Structured finance and international net premiums earned from the maturity and early settlement of insured transactions

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net income was primarily due to declining average asset balances

Investment asset balances at amortized cost as of June

In millions

Fixed-income securities:

Taxable

Short-term

Total fixed-income

Other

Total

(1) - Estimated yield-to-maturity.

FEES AND REIMBURSEMENTS The decrease in fees for 2012 was primarily due to a decrease in waiver and cost

NET CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE OF INSURED DERIVATIVES components of the net change in fair value of insured derivatives

In millions

Net premiums and fees earned on insured derivatives

Realized gains (losses) on insured derivatives

Realized gains (losses) and other settlements on insured derivatives

Unrealized gains (losses) on insured derivatives

Net change in fair value of insured derivatives

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

The Company no longer insures new credit derivative
Premiums earned related to insured credit derivatives
and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, realized
transactions.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, unrealized
commutations partially offset by the effects of MBIA
insured derivatives were principally associated with the
derivative liabilities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, unrealized gains
and the changes in weighted average lives on transactions
ended June 30, 2012, unrealized gains on insured derivatives
MBIA's nonperformance risk on its derivative liabilities.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

LOSS AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES MBI
responsible for monitoring structured finance and inter
size, rating and performance of the insured issue. If we
Caution List-Low, Caution List-Medium, Caut
insured issues designated as Classified credits.

The Company faces significant risks and uncertainties
insured exposure (due to the unpredictable performanc
(HELOC) or closed-end second mortgages (CES
developments and higher than expected payments on t
Company's liquidity and statutory capital position.

The impact of insured exposures on the Company's li
required to make with respect to these exposures. In th
contracts, irrespective of the legal form of the guarante

All amounts presented in the following aggregate loss
derivative impairments. The amounts reported for insu
contain a comparable measurement basis for these com
probability-weighted cash flows. Losses and recoverab
impact whether or not we will be required to make pay
following tables, the total provided in each table repre

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following tables present the aggregate loss and LAE and the change in the discounted values of net payments expected.

Aggregate Losses and LAE Roll Forward

In millions

Gross loss and LAE reserves as of December 31, 2012

Gross insurance loss recoverable as of December 31, 2012

Total reserves (recoverable) as of December 31, 2012

Ceded reserves

Net reserves as of December 31, 2012

Total aggregate losses and LAE incurred

(Payments) collections and other

Net reserves as of June 30, 2013

Ceded reserves

Total reserves (recoverable) as of June 30, 2013

Gross loss and LAE reserves as of June 30, 2013

Gross insurance loss recoverable as of June 30, 2013

Total reserves (recoverable) as of June 30, 2013

(1) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment, Loss and loss adjustment

(2) - Represents loss expense, reserves and insurance loss recoveries

(3) - Represents statutory losses and LAE and recoveries for losses and loss adjustment recorded in Net change in fair value of insured derivative Liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet

Aggregate Losses and LAE (change in discounted v

In millions

Change in actual and expected payments

Change in actual and expected salvage

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Primarily represents a toll road transaction and high-yield

In millions

Change in actual and expected payments

Change in actual and expected salvage

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Aggregate Losses and LAE (change in discounted v

In millions

Change in actual and expected payments
 Change in actual and expected salvage

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Primarily represents a toll road transaction and high-yield

In millions

Change in actual and expected payments
 Change in actual and expected salvage

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

The decrease in total aggregate losses and LAE for the period ended June 30, 2013 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2012 is primarily due to the resolution of the Company's claims as agreed to in the RMBS securitizations. The Company recorded an increase in excess spread (the difference between the actual and expected payments) on the RMBS securitizations. Partially offsetting these decreases were the increases in expected future payments on CMBS, first-lien RMBS and high yield corporate CDOs related to high yield corporate CDOs.

The decrease in total aggregate losses and LAE for the period ended June 30, 2013 compared with the three months ended June 30, 2012 is primarily due to the resolution of the Company's claims as agreed to in the RMBS securitizations. The Company recorded an increase in excess spread (the difference between the actual and expected payments) on the RMBS securitizations. Partially offsetting these decreases were the increases in expected future payments on CMBS, first-lien RMBS and high yield corporate CDOs related to high yield corporate CDOs.

In addition to the information presented above, the following table shows the aggregate losses and LAE by insurance type:

Aggregate Losses and LAE by Insurance Type (continued)

In millions

Financial guarantee insurance⁽³⁾

Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated VIEs (excluding the consolidation)⁽⁴⁾

Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis)⁽⁵⁾

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Primarily represents a toll road transaction and high-yield debt.

(3) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported on the consolidated statement of income.

(4) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of VIEs.

(5) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance contracts. Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the consolidated statement of income.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

In millions

Financial guarantee insurance⁽²⁾

Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated V
consolidation)⁽³⁾

Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis)⁽⁴⁾

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported o

(3) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of

(4) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance cont
Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the

Aggregate Losses and LAE by Insurance Type (cha

In millions

Financial guarantee insurance⁽³⁾

Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated V
consolidation)⁽⁴⁾

Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis)⁽⁵⁾

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Primarily represents a toll road transaction and high-yie

(3) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported on

(4) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of

(5) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance contracts
Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the

In millions

Financial guarantee insurance⁽²⁾

Financial guarantee insurance related to consolidated
consolidation)⁽³⁾

Insured credit derivatives (statutory basis)⁽⁴⁾

Total aggregate losses and LAE

(1) - Includes HELOC loans and CES.

(2) - Included in Losses and loss adjustment as reported on

(3) - Represents losses eliminated upon the consolidation of

(4) - Represents statutory losses and LAE for insurance contracts
Net change in fair value of insured derivatives on the

Summary of Financial Guarantee Insurance Losses

The following information relates to financial guarantee
Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Financial
related to its loss reserves.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table presents information about our losses and

In millions

Loss and LAE related to actual and expected payments
Recoveries of actual and expected payments

Gross losses incurred

Reinsurance

Losses and loss adjustment expenses

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and other transactions of \$122 million were gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$122 million and recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$102 million. The \$102 million of actual and expected payments were related to insured second-lien RMBS transactions. Partially offset by recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$21 million related to CMBS transactions. The \$21 million decrease in recoveries was due to an increase in recoveries related to a toll road transaction.

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and other transactions of \$65 million were gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$65 million and recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$21 million, including \$18 million related to RMBS transactions included \$60 million of recoveries of actual and expected payments obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and other transactions of \$76 million were gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$76 million and recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$76 million. The \$76 million benefit were increases in recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$76 million, partially offset by \$43 million of other activity. The \$43 million of recoveries resulting from ineligible mortgage loans were replaced by recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$97 million, partially offset by a \$97 million result of a reversal of recoveries related to high yield commercial mortgage transactions. The \$97 million were partially offset by gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$97 million related to transactions.

Losses and LAE incurred in our structured finance and other transactions of \$148 million were gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$148 million and recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$148 million. The \$148 million were gross losses related to actual and expected payments of \$148 million and recoveries of actual and expected payments of \$148 million, including \$67 million

RMBS transactions included \$110 million of recovery obligations by sellers/servicers to repurchase or replace

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, loss of the consolidation of VIEs. The \$100 million eliminating expected future payments of \$29 million. The \$95 million gross losses related to actual and expected future payments

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, loss result of the consolidation of VIEs. The \$81 million el losses related to actual and expected future payments of future payments of \$61 million and gross losses related

The following table presents information about our ins recoverable represents expected potential recoveries o measurement date. Our insurance loss recoverable incl transactions and other amounts due to MBIA under the

In millions

Gross loss and LAE reserves

Expected recoveries on unpaid losses

Loss and LAE reserves

Insurance loss recoverable

Insurance loss recoverable ceded⁽¹⁾

Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses

(1) - Reported within Other liabilities on our consolidated Included in the Company's loss and LAE reserves are paid a claim and also for which a payment default has 2013 and December 31, 2012 for four issues that had n incurred in prior periods. As of June 30, 2013 and Dec

\$ in millions

Gross of reinsurance:

Issues with defaults

Issues without defaults

Total gross of reinsurance

(1) - An issue represents the aggregate of financial guaran

MBIA reports expected potential recoveries of certain reinsurers within Other liabilities on the Company structured finance and international insurance segment resulted from the collections of previously established of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our insurance respectively, primarily from excess spread within insurance million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, re

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Residential Mortgage Exposure

MBIA Corp. insures mortgage-backed securities (MBS), HELOC loans and CES). For the three months ended J The \$33 million consolidated losses and LAE was due actual and expected payments of \$1 million. For the si transactions. The \$120 million benefit was due to gros to actual and expected payments of \$99 million.

MBIA Corp. also insures MBS backed by first-lien sub continued deterioration in the subprime mortgage mar originated during 2005, 2006 and 2007. As of June 30, outstanding from direct exposure to subprime mortg mortgage loans that originated during 2005, 2006, and subordination below MBIA Corp. s insured portion o million of gross par outstanding in two insured direct s Classified or Caution Lists.

The following table presents the gross par outstanding gross par outstanding related to transactions that the C

In millions
 2005 2007
 2004 and prior

Total gross par

(1) - Includes international exposure of \$722 million.

(2) - Includes international exposure of \$9 million.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we collec transactions, or \$2.6 billion after eliminating \$123 mil we paid a cumulative total of \$3.8 billion, net of reinsu RMBS transactions that are currently consolidated as V exposure of \$110 million before eliminating \$39 millio value of the difference between cash payments we exp the securitizations. As payments are made, a portion o The payments that we make virtually all go to reduce t

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table provides information about insured second-
 lien RMBS transactions with claim payments as of June 30, 2013. The consolidated VIEs total payments are not re-

Second-Lien RMBS Transactions with Claim Payments

\$ in millions

Non-Consolidated VIEs:

HELOC

CES

Total

Total net of reinsurance

Consolidated VIEs:

HELOC

CES

Total

Total net of reinsurance

The preceding table excludes gross and net claim and reinsurance recoverable on
 outstanding exposure.

Non-Consolidated VIEs

The gross par outstanding on insured second-
 lien RMBS transactions with claim payments as of June 30, 2013, we expect to pay an additional \$195 million (on a present value basis) in reimbursement of past and future expected claim payments. \$682 million is included in Insurance loss recoverable on these transactions. \$18 million (on a present value basis) with respect to a portion of these transactions is included in Insurance loss recoverable on these transactions.

Consolidated VIEs

The gross par outstanding on insured second-
 lien RMBS transactions with claim payments as of June 30, 2013, we expect to pay an additional \$874 million (on a present value basis) in reimbursement of past and future expected claim payments. \$874 million (on a present value basis) with respect to a portion of these transactions is included in Insurance loss recoverable on these transactions.

expected claims through excess spread in these transactions to amounts contemplated in the ResCap term sheet and ineligible mortgage loans, which is reported in Loan sheets.

Refer to Note 5: Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense estimate recoveries on our RMBS exposure.

Other

We may seek to purchase, from time to time, directly or reduced, if any, and the nature of any such actions will activities may result in a reduction of expected loss res development on the related policies. Our ability to purchase liquidity.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

As of June 30, 2013, MBIA Corp.'s \$31.3 billion CDO portfolio represents MBIA Corp.'s \$51.8 billion CDO portfolio representing CDO and related instruments portfolio by collateral type

In billions

Collateral Type

Multi-sector CDOs

Investment grade CDOs and structured credit

High yield corporate CDOs

Commercial real estate pools and CRE CDOs

Total

Multi-Sector CDOs

Multi-sector CDOs are transactions that include a variety of collateral types. As of June 30, 2013, our multi-sector CDO portfolio comprised of 13 transactions insured in the primary market. The underlying collateral in MBIA Corp.'s insured multi-sector CDOs includes collateralized loan obligations, ABS (e.g., securitizations of multi-sector CDO transactions primarily rely on underlying collateral.

Generally, we are subject to a claim on a multi-sector CDO if the underlying collateral supporting the transaction no longer meets the criteria that insures current interest and ultimate principal. Original subordination levels for our multi-sector CDOs range from 0% to 82%.

The significant erosion of subordination in our multi-sector CDOs discussed above, the erosion of subordination in these CDOs, and the impairment estimates for 22 classified multi-sector CDOs in the secondary market, representing 59% of all MBIA Corp.'s multi-sector CDOs, 14% of our remaining transactions, 14% are on our Caution List due to the deterioration of the collateral referenced or held in our portfolio.

Total gross par exposure in our multi-sector CDO portfolio as of June 30, 2013. Total CDO gross par exposure has decreased by approximately \$5.4 billion from any payment from MBIA Corp. of \$5.4 billion. The remaining gross par exposure to multi-sector CDOs of \$1.6 billion.

Investment Grade Corporate CDOs

Our 12 investment grade corporate CDO exposures include limited exposure to other asset classes, in which we have policies guarantee coverage of losses on collateral assets.

investment grade corporate CDOs of \$16.3 billion rep
insured investment grade corporate CDOs have experi
securities, but we currently do not expect losses on MI
outstanding as a result of credit enhancement. Original
subordination levels are between 11% and 28%.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Our gross par of insured investment grade corporate CDOs (approximately 35% of the overall CDO) of references to specific transactions. Investment grade corporate CDOs include, among other things, a diverse pool of corporate assets or obligors with a specific rating of double-A and sized to approximately 3% of the overall CDO. Control rights to a senior investor. The inner reference to specific assets. These transactions had original subordination of

High Yield Corporate CDOs

Our high yield corporate CDO portfolio, totaling \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2013. Our gross par exposure to high yield corporate CDOs was \$4.8 billion as of June 30, 2013. Original subordination for our high yield corporate CDOs. Subordination levels result from defaults in underlying assets. Subordination may decline further over time as a result of additional collateral. However, there can be no assurance that the Company

Commercial Real Estate Pools and CRE CDOs

As of June 30, 2013, we had \$8.6 billion of gross par exposure to CRE CDOs. In addition, MBIA Corp. insures approximately \$2.6 billion of gross par exposure to CRE CDOs following Structured CMBS Pools and CRE CDOs. The Company's exposure to CRE CDOs related to CRE exposure. Refer to Note 5: Loss and Impairments for more information on impairments on our CRE pools and CDO exposure, including

Structured CMBS Pools

As of June 30, 2013, our gross par exposure to structured CMBS pools was \$1.1 billion. Since the end of 2007 through June 30, 2013, our structured CMBS pools have experienced commutations and early settlements. Our structured CMBS pools are primarily CRE CDOs structured with first loss deductibles such as commercial real estate. Deductible sizing was a function of the underlying collateral. Collateral for these transactions cover losses on collateral assets once the collateral is exhausted. The insured position.

The collateral in the pools are generally CMBS bonds. The collateral is protected in the form of a CDS referencing the static pooled transaction. The collateral is protected at the level in the transaction. Each pool comprising CMBS bonds. The collateral in the CMBS bonds may be referenced in multiple pools. The collateral protection has not been changed since the origination of the policy. The collateral protection varies according to the ratings of the underlying collateral. The collateral would typically include a 30-35% deductible to MBIA. The collateral typically have required a 5-10% deductible.

Original deductibles for our structured CMBS pools were \$1.1 billion as of June 30, 2013. 93.2%. Deductibles are eroded as bonds experience realizations. As of June 30, 2013, we paid claims on a CMBS pool transaction which eroded the deductible. Additional claims on this transaction.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

As of June 30, 2013, we have significantly reduced our exposure to CMBS pools. As of June 30, 2013, we had exposure to four static CMBS pools, having substantially all of the underlying collateral comprised of residential mortgage loans with a gross par outstanding as of June 30, 2013, of approximately \$1.5 billion. Although deductible erosion at the policy level has been significant, and we do not expect it to continue to improve, it is possible that we will experience a reduction in deductible erosion. The remaining insured CMBS portfolio primarily consists of residential mortgage loans. During the first six months of 2013, a material claim was filed against MBIA Corp. Although we believe MBIA Corp. will have adequate

Delinquencies increased markedly in the CRE market during the first six months of 2013. As of June 30, 2013, 30-day and over delinquencies decreased from 12.1% to 11.1% in the CMBS portfolio to 11.1%. The higher delinquency rate in the CRE market is primarily due to the market includes newer vintage transactions. Additionally, the market includes newer vintage transactions, which have not yet experienced stabilization in the delinquency rate over the past several years. We have implemented special servicers for these CMBS loans and increased our efforts to expedite the remediation and foreclosure process with the objective of

Actual losses will be a function of the proportion of loans that are not covered by the deductibles in the Company's insured transactions. If there are a significant number of foreclosures and liquidations could result in substantial losses. If there is a new recession, increased delinquencies, higher delinquency rates, and we believe the likelihood of a new recession is low, we do not

CRE CDOs

As of June 30, 2013, our gross par exposure to CRE CDOs is approximately \$1.5 billion. CRE CDOs are managed pools of CMBS, CRE whole loans, and CRE CDOs) that allow for reinvestment during a period of time. CRE CDOs are subject to diversion triggers, collateral quality tests, and management fees. As with our other insured CDOs, these transactions are insured by MBIA Corp. As of June 30, 2013, our CRE CDO insured portfolio includes some RMBS collateral, but overall this comprises 1% of our insured portfolio. All of the CRE CDOs are due at the maturity date, which range from the year

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

U.S. Public Finance and Structured Finance and Int

Reinsurance enables the Company to cede exposure for risk and credit guidelines. When a reinsurer is downgraded, the overall value of the reinsurance to MBIA is reduced in circumstances, including a reinsurer's rating downgrade. As of June 30, 2013, for our U.S. public finance and structured

In millions

Reinsurers

Assured Guaranty Corp.

Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.

Overseas Private
Investment Corporation

Export Development
Canada

Others

Total

(1) - Amount comprises recoverables on unpaid losses. MBIA requires certain unauthorized reinsurers to maintain reinsurance contracts. As of June 30, 2013, the total amount of reinsurance contracts on a primary basis for all reinsured risk, and although MBIA may not be able to recover the full amount in the future.

As of June 30, 2013, the aggregate amount of insured risk was \$3.4 billion as of December 31, 2012. Of the \$3.2 billion of insured risk in the public finance segment and \$1.4 billion was ceded from our structured finance segment to a reinsurer of MBIA Corp. is unable to pay claims ceded to it. As of June 30, 2013, the total amount for warranty obligations is \$1.8 billion. For Financial Guaranty Insurance, the right to receive third-party reinsurance totaling \$4.0 billion.

Advisory Services

Our asset management and advisory business is primarily focused on institutional asset management, discretionary asset management and structured finance for institutional financial services clients, including MBIA Inc. and its subsidiaries, and a U.S. and foreign insurance affiliate and to third-party clients and investors. We also provides loans to the United Kingdom social housing sector. In 2017, Trifinium commenced providing monitoring adviser services to institutional investors.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table summarizes the results and assets
2012. These results include revenues and expenses from

In millions

Fees

Operating expenses

Pre-tax income (loss)

Ending assets under management:

Third-party

Insurance and corporate

Asset/liability products and conduits

Total ending assets under management

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the
decreases in fees due to declines in asset balances man
compared with the same period of 2012 was partially o

Average third-party assets under management for the s
principally due to declines in our pool products and CI

Corporate

General corporate activities are conducted through our
service company, Optinuity Alliance Resources Corpor
Optinuity provides support services such as managem
our corporate segment and other operating businesses

The following table summarizes the consolidated resul
revenues and expenses that arise from general corpora

In millions

Net investment income
Fees
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value exchange
Other net realized gains (losses)
Revenues of consolidated VIEs:
Other net realized gains (losses)
Total revenues
Operating
Interest
Total expenses
Pre-tax income (loss)

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

FEES Fees are generated from support services provided. June 30, 2013 decreased compared with the same period services. Such fees may vary significantly from period

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange for the period, net of changes in the fair value of outstanding warrants issued to investors due to volatility, which are used in the valuation of the warrants. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, we purchased 9.94 million shares of MBIA common stock.

OTHER NET REALIZED GAINS (LOSSES) Other net realized gains (losses) received from our directors' and officers' insurance policies and other items related to private securities litigation.

REVENUES OF CONSOLIDATED VIEs For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the deconsolidation of VIEs.

OPERATING EXPENSES Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2009, related to the BofA Settlement Agreement.

Wind-down Operations

We operate an asset/liability products business in which we provide products to municipal investors. The proceeds of the debt and investments are used to fund the operations. We also operate a conduit business in which we historically provided financing. This resulted in the termination and collateralization of certain conduit businesses in 2008. Since the downgrades of MBS, we have reduced our balances and corresponding asset balances will continue to decrease.

Asset/Liability Products

The following table presents the results of our asset/liability products business revenues and expenses from transactions with the Company.

In millions

Net investment income
Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange
Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary impairment
Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt
Other net realized gains (losses)

Total revenues

Operating

Interest expense

Total expenses

Pre-tax income (loss)

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

NET INVESTMENT INCOME The decrease in net income was primarily due to lower average asset balances as in

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS
 financial instruments at fair value and foreign exchange
 derivative gains in 2013 compared with losses in 2012
 foreign exchange rates on medium-term notes (MTN)
 favorable change in net gains (losses) on financial instr
 of 2012 was primarily the result of derivative gains in
 losses on MTNs carried at fair value in 2013 compare

NET INVESTMENT LOSSES RELATED TO OTHER
 assess whether a decline in value is related to a credit
 Net investment losses related to other-than-temporary
 as it was our intent to sell these securities before a rec
 information about impaired investments.

NET GAINS (LOSSES) ON EXTINGUISHMENT OF
 due to gains from terminations of investment agreemen

INTEREST EXPENSE The decrease in interest expen
 to the continued maturity and repurchases of liabilities

Conduits

The following table presents the results of our conduit
 expenses from transactions with the Company's other

In millions

Revenues of consolidated VIEs:

Net investment income

Net gains (losses) on financial instruments at fair value

Net gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt

Total revenues

Expenses of consolidated VIEs:

Operating

Interest

Total expenses

Pre-tax income (loss)

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Our conduit segment is operated through Meridian. Ce

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, tota
the repurchases of debt issued by Meridian. Total exp
segment for administrative and other services.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Taxes

Provision for Income Taxes

The Company's income taxes and the related effective

In millions

Pre-tax income (loss)

Provision (benefit) for income taxes

Effective tax rate

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our effective tax rate was 12.5%, a result of a reduction in our valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our effective tax rate was 12.5%, a result of a decrease in the Company's valuation allowance, the recognition of tax credits on investments.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company's consolidated net operating loss carryforward ("NOL") is approximately \$10.3 million. As a result of commutation activity in the second quarter of 2013, as of June 30, 2013, the Company's NOL is approximately \$10.3 million.

Refer to Note 10: Income Taxes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the Company's valuation allowance against deferred tax assets and its accounting.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company manages its capital resources to minimize the cost of capital for Standard AVB Financial Corp. The Company's capital resources consist of total capital resources of Standard AVB Financial Corp. and MBIA Insurance Corporation. Total capital resources of Standard AVB Financial Corp. are used for its capital resources to support the business activities of Standard AVB Financial Corp.

In addition to managing the capital resources of MBIA Insurance Corporation, the Company manages the capital resources of MBIA Insurance Corporation's asset/liability products segments. This includes our capital resources for leverage purposes in support of our asset/liability products segments, as well as the needs of the asset/liability products segments of the corporate segment and asset/liability products segments. The Company's cash and investments at amortized cost and a tax receivable asset of Standard AVB Financial Corp. will generate sufficient cash to satisfy its net debt obligations. The Company does not use third-party capital, although there can be no assurance that the Company will not use third-party capital. Liquidity section for additional information about M

Securities Repurchases

Repurchases of debt and/or common stock may be made for various reasons, including liquidity requirements. We may also choose to redeem debt obligations. Such redemptions can be an appropriate deployment of capital for Standard AVB Financial National as well as other business needs.

Debt securities

MBIA Inc. or its subsidiaries may repurchase or redeem debt securities. As of June 30, 2013, the Company redeemed \$336 million par value of debt securities. In July of 2013, the Company redeemed an additional \$100 million par value and also repurchased approximately \$38 million par value of debt securities, or approximately 97% of par value.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of

CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)

National’s statutory policyholders’ surplus was lower respects. Refer to Note 11: Statutory Accounting Practice Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31

Claims-Paying Resources (Statutory Basis)

CPR is a key measure of the resources available to National calculated on a statutory basis. CPR has been a common measure used by MBIA’s management to evaluate changes in a measure that MBIA’s management uses to evaluate National. Our calculation of CPR may differ from the calculation

National’s CPR and components thereto, as of June 30

In millions

Policyholders’ surplus
Contingency reserves
Statutory capital
Unearned premium reserve
Present value of installment premiums ⁽¹⁾
Premium resources ⁽²⁾
Net loss and LAE reserves ⁽¹⁾
Salvage reserves
Gross loss and LAE reserve
Total claims-paying resources

(1) - Calculated using a discount rate 4.54% a

(2) - Includes financial guarantee and insured

MBIA Insurance Corporation

Capital and Surplus

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)

Under NYIL, MBIA Insurance Corporation is also required to maintain reserves for adverse economic events. The amount of the reserve is determined based on collateral, reinsurance, refunding, refinancings and certain other assets, and contingency reserves, and 50% of its loss reserves. MBIA Insurance Corporation recognized based on excessive reserves and under certain circumstances. MBIA Insurance Corporation had a deficit of \$322 million as of June 30, 2013. MBIA Insurance Corporation has certain mortgage originators to honor contractual obligations. MBIA Insurance Corporation to sell liquid assets in order to make claim payments. MBIA Insurance Corporation collects additional put-back recoveries. MBIA Insurance Corporation has \$322 million of excess contingency reserves, which would be used to meet the contingency reserve requirement, see Part II, Item 1A. MBIA Insurance Corporation regulatory capital requirements they may become subject to.

As of June 30, 2013, MBIA Insurance Corporation was in compliance with the reported additional overages to the NYSDDFS with respect to the NYIL.

In connection with MBIA Insurance Corporation obtaining a license to operate as an Insurance Corporation, MBIA Insurance Corporation agreed that it would not pay any dividends. MBIA Insurance Corporation has not had the statutory capacity to pay dividends in the near term.

MBIA Insurance Corporation's statutory policyholders' surplus is calculated differently from GAAP in certain respects. Refer to Note 14: Statutory Policyholders' Surplus 99.2 of MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information.

Claims-Paying Resources (Statutory Basis)

CPR is a key measure of the resources available to MBIA Insurance Corporation and reserves calculated on a statutory basis. CPR has been and continues to be used by MBIA's management to evaluate the financial strength of MBIA Insurance Corporation, using the same measure that MBIA Insurance Corporation uses for its policies. There is no directly comparable GAAP measure.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of

CAPITAL RESOURCES (continued)

MBIA Insurance Corporation’s CPR and components

In millions

Policyholders’ surplus

Contingency reserves

Statutory capital

Unearned premium reserve

Present value of installment premiums⁽¹⁾

Premium resources⁽²⁾

Net loss and LAE reserves⁽¹⁾

Salvage reserves⁽³⁾

Gross loss and LAE reserve

Total claims-paying resources

(1) - Calculated using a discount rate of 5.72%

(2) - Includes financial guarantee and insured

(3) - This amount primarily consists of expected

LIQUIDITY

As a financial services company, MBIA has been matched in various markets, in addition to delays in obtaining recoveries on claims, liquidity resources.

We have utilized a liquidity risk management framework to guide the matching of liquidity resources to needs. We have management meet regularly to review liquidity metrics. Under our liquidity risk management framework, we evaluate and monitor available liquidity resources within the enterprise.

The majority of our liquidity management efforts focus on

The liquidity resources of MBIA Inc., which are used to support the operations of MBIA Insurance Corporation; the necessary liquidity resources to meet the needs issued by the asset/liability products business; the liquidity resources to meet the expense needs. MBIA Inc. has a net debt of approximately \$1.5 billion. MBIA Inc. investments at amortized cost and a tax rate of approximately 21%. MBIA Inc. are subject to collateralization requirements and are subject to third-party derivative contracts.

The liquidity resources of MBIA Corp., which are used to support the operations of CMBS exposures, due to the deterioration of the underlying assets and payments on insured exposures that are subject to these payments and delays in collecting receivables. We also monitor the liquidity resources of National, for the purpose of to pay claims and satisfy its other obligations. National's liquidity resources are due to tax payments resulting from embedded earnings.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

In order to efficiently manage liquidity across the entire company, we have entered into various agreements that provide resources to subsidiaries that are shared between our primary insurance subsidiaries and between subsidiaries. These agreements are subject to ongoing monitoring by the NYSDFS. MBIA

Key Lending Agreements

Blue Ridge Secured Loan

In connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement in 2011, MBIA Insurance Corporation, pursuant to which Blue Ridge agreed to make revolving credit facilities available, was utilized as of June 30, 2013. Refer to Note 9: Debt

National Secured Loan

In 2011, National provided a \$1.1 billion National Secured Loan to MBIA Insurance Corporation and commutations of its insurance policies. This loan was repaid by MBIA Insurance Corporation and National. During 2012, MBIA Insurance Corporation repaid National on the same terms as the original loan to fund additional commutations. MBIA Insurance Corporation repaid this loan in full and

Asset Swap

National maintains the Asset Swap (simultaneous repurchase agreement) for the securities borrowed. The Asset Swap provides MBIA Insurance Corporation with liquidity for its products business. As of June 30, 2013, the notional amount of the Asset Swap between National and MBIA Inc. under these agreements was \$1.1 billion. The interest rate was 0.39% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The Asset Swap is located in New York. National has committed to the NYSDFS to

MBIA Insurance Corporation Secured Loan

MBIA Insurance Corporation, as lender, maintained a secured loan for the products business of MBIA Inc. s asset/liability products business, which was repaid in May of 2012 and there were no further draws. The maximum amount of the loan as of June 30, 2012. Also in May 2012, the NYSDFS approved the loan with a maximum outstanding amount of \$450 million. This loan

Conduit Repurchase Agreement

MBIA Inc. maintains a repurchase agreement with MetLife as collateral. The Conduit Repurchase Agreement had a maximum amount of \$450 million. As of June 30, 2013, there was no balance outstanding

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

MBIA Inc. Liquidity

MBIA Inc.'s liquidity resources support our corporate servicing outstanding corporate debt instruments, investment under financing and hedging arrangements and investments and paying operating expenses. The primary sources of collateral posting requirements, scheduled principal and investment agreements with these subsidiaries (once the payments are timing of any such dividends or payments under the tax sharing agreement be accelerated by the holders of such instruments upon the case of the corporate debt, investment agreements upon the debt, a bankruptcy of GFL, in the case of the GFL MTN investment agreements and GFL MTNs. MBIA Inc.'s liquidation of MBIA Inc. Refer to Note 11: Business of the event of any acceleration of all of our obligations, we have sufficient liquid resources to pay amounts due. We of 2013. Refer to the Capital Resources Insurance S

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, pursuant to MBIA Inc. In addition, National paid to MBIA Inc. estimated amount until the expiration of National's two-year Non-Recourse after any reimbursement to National in respect of any payments under the tax sharing agreement. During the first half of 2013, under the tax sharing agreement. The amount represents National's liability under the agreement following the expiration of National's two-year agreement from 2011 through the 2013 tax years.

MBIA Inc. is subject to material liquidity risks and uncertainties. We believe we have sufficient resources to make all payments due on our obligations.

Liquidity risk within MBIA Inc. is primarily a result of

Currently, the majority of the cash and securities are held in the form of cash and derivatives, which limit its ability to meet its obligations under intercompany financing arrangement liabilities. In addition, during periods of market volatility. In addition, in the event of a significant decline, we would be required to pledge collateral. In the event of a significant event, we may sell additional assets, potentially including other assets, in some cases with NYSDDFS approval to meet liquidity requirements.

Uncertainty of the timing and amount of our obligations under the Resources-Insurance Statutory Capital S

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

Because most of MBIA Inc.'s assets are pledged against the fair market value of these assets and increase collateralization requirements of the asset/liability products business' assets as of June 30, 2013, the same amount; however, it is more likely that the actual changes in credit spreads, hypothetical increases and decreases in credit spreads, and negative spreads, a floor was assumed for minimum spreads.

In millions

Estimated change in fair value

During the second quarter of 2013, MBIA Inc. maintained its liquidity requirements within the asset/liability products business. The MBIA Insurance Corporation Secured Loan expires on June 30, 2013.

We believe that asset sales undertaken to date have reduced the risk that market conditions could cause MBIA Inc. to have insufficient assets to make all payments due. Contingent actions to mitigate this risk. These contingent actions include the sale of assets at risk, which may occur at losses and increase MBIA Inc.'s liquidity requirements from subsidiaries. These actions, if taken, are expected to reduce the risk. There is no assurance that these actions will be sufficient to fully meet our liquidity requirements, we may have insufficient assets to make all payments due and the potential for MBIA Corp., as guarantor of the structured finance, to come due. In addition, the Company expects that MBIA Inc. will receive distributions from its operating subsidiaries and by raising capital to satisfy its net debt.

As of June 30, 2013, the liquidity position of MBIA Inc. was \$278 million and comprised cash and liquid assets of \$278 million, \$49 million under a sharing agreement, and \$49 million not pledged directly. As of June 30, 2013, we had \$170 million available under a sharing agreement, and \$69 million not pledged directly as collateral. We believe we have sufficient funds to cover expected obligations at least through the end of 2013.

MBIA Corp. Liquidity

Liquidity available in the structured finance and international operations to cover the payment of claims on insured exposures, payments made under structured finance, any unanticipated expenses, or the impairment or a significant decline in the NYIL requirements that we maintain specified, high quality assets.

We believe the current liquidity position of MBIA Corp. is strengthened as a result of the BofA Settlement Agreement.

the execution of the Blue Ridge Secured Loan entered into in connection with the second-lien RMBS exposures, payments on its remaining obligations under these transactions, which have resulted in a substantial reduction in cash payments to counterparties in consideration for the continuation of the management's assessment of available liquidity or ability to pay. MBIA Corp. may not have sufficient liquid assets to pay

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of****LIQUIDITY (continued)**

Payment requirements for the structured finance and in (ii) ultimate principal only at final maturity; and (iii) p been exhausted, which payments are unscheduled and recorded loss reserves. Insured transactions that require payment of the principal is due at maturity but any sale with substantial principal amounts due at maturity that maturity date. MBIA Corp. is generally required to sat through our monitoring process. While our financial g CDS contracts may, in certain circumstances, including by the counterparty, triggering a claim for the fair value same methodology as we use to monitor credit quality Expense Reserves in the Notes to Consolidated Finan

Our structured finance and international insurance seg surplus notes. Pursuant to Section 1307 of the NYIL a made only with the prior approval of the Superintendent may be made only out of MBIA Corp.'s free and div payment and no default or event of default would occur interest payment to the present, MBIA Corp.'s request the Fiscal Agency Agreement, MBIA Corp. is required. The deferred interest payments will become due on the accrue on the deferred interest. There can be no assurance principal payments at maturity or any optional redemp

Since the fourth quarter of 2007 through June 30, 2013 (including payments made to debt holders of consolidated primarily relating to CDS contracts. These cash payments billion, MBIA Corp. has paid \$6.9 billion of gross claim securitizations, driven primarily by an extensive number warranties of the sellers/servicers. In addition, MBIA (excluding LAE) on insured credit derivatives. Also, sin before reinsurance.

MBIA Corp. is seeking to enforce its rights to have me recorded a total of \$1.1 billion of related expected reco consolidated VIEs. To date, the Company has resolved securitized by Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as Statements. However, there can be no assurance that w the scenarios we utilize to calculate these recoveries, v America in May of 2013, which substantially reduced to a plan to resolve its claims against ResCap and certa which will further substantially reduce our remaining may change in the course of events in the bankruptcy o approved in its current form, or that MBIA will receive Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

A portion of the commutation payments made since the extinguished in May of 2013 in connection with the B payments may be financed through draws on the Blue accrued interest will be largely dependent on MBIA C mortgage sellers/servicers cure, replace or repurchase

MBIA Corp. also insures third-party holders of our asset associated with these obligations, the holders thereof of asset/liability products business, MBIA Corp. lent \$2.0 the NYSDFS approved the maturity extension of the M million. This loan facility expired on May 3, 2013.

As of June 30, 2013, MBIA Corp. held cash and available connection with the BofA Settlement Agreement, MB amount of \$500 million in liquidity. The Blue Ridge S available-for-sale investments of \$1.3 billion, of which including expected cash inflows, will adequately provide Corp., including claims on insured exposures that in so claims. In the event of unexpected liquidity requirements surplus and reserves, and may seek to increase our cash assurance that we will be able to draw on these additional

National Liquidity

Despite continued adverse macroeconomic conditions that the liquidity position of our U.S. public finance in

Liquidity risk arises in our U.S. public finance insurance

The insurance policies issued or reinsured unconditional and irrevocable guarantees event that the insurance company has the company's election to accelerate. In the promises to make funds available in the event substantial, particularly if the default occurs bullet-type principal maturities. The fact a party other than the insurer helps to mitigate

National has entered into certain interest rate Swap through which National exchanges adversely affect its liquidity. In addition, the business may adversely affect its liquidity collateral amounts.

National held cash and short-term investments of \$1.9
U.S. agency and corporate bonds. As of December 31,
and consisted predominantly of highly rated municipal

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

Consolidated Cash Flows

Information about our consolidated cash flows by category is provided in the following table. See our consolidated cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2019.

In millions

Net cash provided (used) by:

Operating activities

Investing activities

Financing activities

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

Operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities increased for the six months ended June 30, 2019 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2018, primarily due to an increase in financial guarantee recoveries received and a decrease in net cash used for the purchase of contracts.

Investing activities

Net cash used by investing activities increased for the six months ended June 30, 2019 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2018, primarily due to the purchase of short-term investments and declines in net cash provided by the sale of investments.

Financing activities

Net cash used by financing activities decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2019 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2018, primarily due to a decrease in payments for the retirement of debt related to our consolidated investment agreements.

Investments

The following discussion of investments, including related to variable interest entities, is based on our consolidated balance sheet and the obligations of MBIA.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

Our available-for-sale investments comprise high-quality investments with fair market values of our consolidated available-for-sale investments of \$1.1 billion, compared to consolidated cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2011 of \$1.1 billion.

In millions

Available-for-sale investments:

U.S. public finance insurance

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Structured finance and international insurance

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Corporate

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Advisory services

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Wind-down operations

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Total available-for-sale investments:

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Total available-for-sale investments at fair value

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

In millions

Investments carried at fair value:

U.S. public finance insurance

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Structured finance and international insurance

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Corporate

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Advisory services

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Wind-down operations

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Fair value

Total investments carried at fair value:

Amortized cost

Unrealized net gain (loss)

Total investments carried at fair value

Other investments at amortized cost:

U.S. public finance insurance operations segment

Total other investments at amortized cost

Consolidated investments at carrying value

n/m - Percent change not meaningful.

The fair value of the Company's investments is based directly or indirectly observable, as well as prices from changes in interest rates and general market credit spreads including specific credit-related changes, supply and demand. Any unrealized gain or loss currently recorded in accounts. As a result, the Company would realize a value substantially different from any difference between amortized cost and the sale price.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of****LIQUIDITY (continued)***Credit Quality*

The credit quality distribution of the Company's fixed income investments as of June 30, 2013 is presented in the following table. Alternate ratings are given to a small percentage of securities that are not rated by Moody's.

In millions	U.S. Public Finance	
	Fair Value	% of Fixed- Income Investments
Available-for-sale:		
Aaa	\$ 1,426	49%
Aa	905	31%
A	434	15%
Baa	115	4%
Below investment grade	27	1%
Not rated	6	0%
Total	\$ 2,913	100%
Short-term investments	1,555	
Investments held at fair value	188	
Other investments	15	
Consolidated investments at carrying value	\$ 4,671	

As of June 30, 2013, the weighted average credit quality ratings of the investments presented in the preceding table are as follows:

Weighted average credit quality ratings
Insured Investments

MBIA's consolidated investment portfolio includes investments insured by MBIA Corp. and National (Company-Insured). As of June 30, 2013, the Company-Insured investments represented 6% of the Company's consolidated investments, of which \$405 million or 6% of the Company's consolidated investments were insured by MBIA Corp.

As of June 30, 2013, based on the actual or estimated weighted average rating of the consolidated investment portfolio would be in the Baa range, and 3%

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of

LIQUIDITY (continued)

The distribution of the Company's Insured Investments

In millions	Fair Value	U.S. Public Finan
		% Tot Invest
MBIA Corp.	\$ -	
National	71	
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	44	
Ambac Financial Group, Inc.	10	
FGIC	3	
Other	2	
Total	\$ 130	

In purchasing Insured Investments, the Company independently assesses the creditworthiness of the insurer. Insured Investments are made without giving effect to financial guarantees by Moody's. When an external underlying rating is not downgraded of a financial guarantee insurer will likely decline. If MBIA determines that declines in the fair values of

The underlying ratings of the Company-Insured Investments including the benefit of the MBIA guarantee S&P, have been used for a small percentage of securities

In millions

Underlying Ratings Scale

National:

Aa

A

Baa

Total National

MBIA Corp.:

Aa

A

Baa
Below investment grade

Total MBIA Corp.

Total MBIA Insured Investments

Without giving effect to the MBIA guarantee of the Company-Insured Investments, the weighted average rating of the Company-Insured Investments was in the Baa range, and the consolidated investment portfolio.

Impaired Investments

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we held fair value of \$2.9 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In general, MBIA's market risk relates to changes in the exchange rates and credit spreads. MBIA is exposed to instruments, namely investment securities, investment portfolio holdings are primarily U.S. dollar-denominated obligations, corporate bonds and ABS. In periods of risk affected should the Company have to liquidate these securities.

MBIA minimizes its exposure to interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and credit risk through the types of securities held and to stagger the maturities of its investments. The risk of loss due to interest rate and foreign currency volatility is limited.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate sensitivity can be estimated by projecting the pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments.

In millions

Estimated change in fair value

Foreign Exchange Sensitivity

Foreign exchange rate sensitivity can be estimated by projecting the pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments.

In millions

Estimated change in fair value

Credit Spread Sensitivity

Credit spread sensitivity can be estimated by projecting the pre-tax change in fair value of the Company's financial instruments. It is assumed that all credit spreads move by the same amount. It is generally expected to experience lower credit spreads and portfolio composition in sectors that have been less affected by changes in credit spreads. Because downward movements of these spreads result in changes in fair value reflect partially offsetting effects.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In millions

Estimated change in fair value

Credit Derivatives Sensitivity

MBIA issued insurance policies insuring payments due on structured finance and international insurance operations. The fair value of cash securities and CDSs referencing liability reference obligations included corporate, asset-backed securities and CDSs underwritten at or above a triple-A credit rating level. Additionally, MBIA's wind-down operations entered into

In the first six months of 2013, the Company has observed the performance of MBIA's business and credit portfolio. The Company's hedging purposes unrelated to the specific referenced credit portfolio accounting will cause the Company's earnings to be more volatile than the portfolio.

The following tables reflect sensitivities to changes in credit spreads and recovery rates. Each table stands on its own and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information. The tables use techniques and disclosures required by GAAP.

Sensitivity to changes in credit spreads can be estimated by comparing the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative change in fair value as of June 30, 2013. In scenarios where credit spreads

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

Actual shifts in credit spread curves will vary based on the credit quality of the reference obligations will exhibit less credit spread movement. Credit spreads may vary significantly for different asset classes. The basis of the credit obligation credit spreads across all credit quality ratings will be presented in the presentation depending on the credit rating and distribution of the existing portfolio.

The Company uses collateral prices as an input into the estimation of the pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated change in fair value as of June 30, 2013.

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Sensitivity to changes in the collateral portfolio credit quality is presented in the table below. The table shows the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013.

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

Recovery rates on defaulted collateral are an input into the fair value measurement process. Changes in the Company's credit spreads can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical change in the credit quality of the collateral. The estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio is presented in the table below.

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

Accounting principles for fair value measurements require the use of judgment in determining the fair value of financial instruments. Changes in the Company's credit spreads can be estimated by projecting a hypothetical change in the credit quality of the collateral. The estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio is presented in the table below. The table shows the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013. The table also shows the estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013. The table also shows the estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013.

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

With the inclusion of the MBIA recovery rate in the calculation of the fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio, the sensitivity table presents the estimated pre-tax change in fair value and the cumulative estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013. The table also shows the estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013. The table also shows the estimated net fair value of the Company's insured credit portfolio under various assumptions regarding the change in the credit quality as of June 30, 2013.

In millions

Estimated pre-tax net gains (losses)

Estimated net fair value

MBIA Corp.'s insurance of structured credit derivatives, in the absence of credit impairments, maturity of the contracts. Additionally, in the event of recorded in our consolidated financial statements. In F transactions that are intended to reduce its overall exp

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an e
(as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-
participation of the Company's senior management, in
management, including the Chief Executive Officer an
as of the end of the period covered by this report. In ad
is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the S
affected, or are likely to materially affect, the Compan

Table of Contents

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of the Company's litigation and related matters involving MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries in Part I, Item 1. In the notes to the financial statements, by way of courtesy, the Company posts on its website under the heading "Legal Proceedings" information which the Company is the plaintiff or the defendant. The Company will post or update them to reflect changes in events or expectations. The Company will post or update them to reflect changes in events or expectations at the respective court where each litigation is pending.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

References in the risk factors to the Company are to MBIA Inc. or the Company, as the context requires. Our risk factors are categorized into Risk Factors, Capital, Liquidity and Market Related Risk Factors. The significance within each category. These risk factors are discussed in MBIA Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Insured Portfolio Loss Related Risk Factors

Deteriorating performance of CMBS and CRE loans and market conditions may materially and adversely affect our financial performance

MBIA Corp. has insured a substantial amount of credit risk in mortgage-backed securities pools and commercial real estate (CRE) collateralized mortgage obligations (CDOs) (LAE) of \$4.0 billion (including \$399 million of insured assets) as of June 30, 2013. In the months ended June 30, 2013, MBIA Corp. incurred \$3 million of losses under its policies. MBIA Corp. has experienced ratings erosion on CMBS collateral underlying the pools outstanding as of June 30, 2013, with approximately 69% of the total CMBS collateral underlying the pools being downgraded.

Currently, we insure four static CMBS pools that were originally rated BBB and lower. Total CMBS pools, totaling \$3.0 billion of gross par outstanding, were originally rated A. If the economy does not continue to recover and underlying loans are unable to pay off at their expected rates, we may be required to settle a transaction by a counterparty and we expect further declines in the value of the collateral. There can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Ultimate loss rates remain uncertain, and we have recognized that the extent of deterioration has been more than expected during that period. Deterioration in our insured CMBS portfolio or our fair value of the underlying collateral comprised CMBS tranches originally rated BBB and lower, higher delinquencies, higher levels of liquidations of delinquent loans, and higher levels of delinquencies. CMBS pools generally are in the form of CDS referenced structures. Accordingly, a collateral failure on a small number of loans could result in event MBIA Corp. fails to make these payments, MBIA Corp. may be required to settle a transaction by a counterparty and we expect further declines in the value of the collateral. There can be no assurance that this will be the case.

Table of Contents
Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)***Continued poor performance of ineligible loans in the expected recoveries may materially and adversely affect***

As of June 30, 2013, we recorded expected receipts of (and interest outflows on liabilities) in our second-lien mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) transactions, including the amount included in Insurance loss recoverable and \$124 million. The amount of excess spread depends on future interest rate movements in their entirety or in the expected timeframe.

In addition, we continue to be exposed to risk of losses on mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) transactions, including the transaction. Furthermore, Credit Suisse continues to be exposed to risk. We believe that the inclusion of these ineligible mortgage-backed securities in the quarter of 2007, MBIA Corp. has paid \$6.9 billion of losses on a portion of consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs), on which the inclusion of ineligible loans could continue. In size, the losses on sellers/servicers arising from our contractual rights of recovery on insured transactions. The recovery amount is based upon the current credit ratings. The impact of such factors on cash flow is significant.

Finally, although we sought to underwrite RMBS and other transactions to protect us from loss in the event of poor performance on those transactions, collateral backing those transactions has rendered insurance coverage inadequate given that any remaining credit enhancements will provide only limited protection.

There can be no assurance that we will be successful in recovering an amount of \$736 million net of reinsurance and income taxes, subsidiary and noncontrolling interest.

As of June 30, 2013, we have recognized remaining estimated loan put-back recoveries net of reinsurance and other adjustments, excluding preferred stock of subsidiary and noncontrolling interest, that may not be adequate for MBIA Corp. to cover potential claims.

To date, the Company has resolved substantially all of the claims related to the home equity mortgage trust securitization. The Company is currently in litigation and there is no assurance that the Company will be successful. Estimated recoveries may differ from realized recoveries due to credit risk, the potential for delay and other sources of uncertainty that may result in the Company to pay losses on the subject transaction.

We have also recorded substantial recoveries related to the claims of Ally Financial LLC (GMAC) and Residential Funding Company, LLC (RFC) against GMAC each filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Consenting Claimants (which includes the Company), have agreed to a settlement amount of \$796 million (based upon an estimated recovery) as part of a proposed plan to resolve claims against Ally Financial. Recoveries recorded by the Company. In June of 2013, the Company entered into an agreement is now subject to voting by creditors as well as the initial distribution of funds to the Company and other claimants in the plan confirmation process. Furthermore, there can be no assurance of expected recoveries.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)*****Continued poor performance of RMBS and ABS CDOs and the broader economy may materially and adversely affect our business.***

The Company is exposed to credit risks in our portfolio markets, particularly our RMBS and CDOs of asset-backed securities. Cash flows from such assets within structured securities are coupled with the re-pricing of credit risk created extreme volatility. Participants were initially focused on the subprime segment, mortgage and asset-backed and other fixed-income securities generally, and a wide range of financial institutions and economic recession precipitated, in part, by the collapse of the housing and financial sectors. While many segments of our portfolio in particular RMBS and CDOs of ABS have deteriorated significantly since 2007, we have recorded losses and LAE of \$1.9 billion in the first six months of 2013 before the end of the reporting period. We have made \$6.9 billion of claims payments on consolidated VIEs. In addition, to date, we have recorded \$74 million benefit in consolidating VIEs (including a \$74 million benefit in the first six months of 2013 before the end of the reporting period) on the financial guarantee CDOs of ABS and could record additional losses on portions of our insured portfolio.

In the first six months of 2013, we recorded \$258 million in recoveries of ineligible mortgage loans and before the end of 2007, we have recorded losses and LAE of \$1.9 billion in the first six months of 2013 before the end of the reporting period. We have made \$6.9 billion of claims payments on consolidated VIEs. In addition, to date, we have recorded \$74 million benefit in consolidating VIEs (including a \$74 million benefit in the first six months of 2013 before the end of the reporting period) on the financial guarantee CDOs of ABS and could record additional losses on portions of our insured portfolio.

Our ability to implement our risk reduction and liquidity management strategy may be affected by regulatory changes and obtain regulatory approvals.

In recent years key components of our strategy have included to reduce future expected economic losses and managing liquidity. To implement this strategy, we put in place intercompany agreements for liquidity where needed. The intercompany agreements include Services (NYSDFS) and are described further under Agreements in Part I, Item 2 of this Form 10-Q.

In addition, during the second quarter of 2013, MBIA Insurance Agency, L.L.C., an affiliate of Bank of America, may not be able to provide any put-back recoveries. MBIA Corp. expects to rely on other expenses. If MBIA Corp. is unable to provide access to the Blue Ridge facility for any reason, including, without limitation, due to its inability to meet its obligations generally. In addition, if MBIA Corp. is unable to refinance the facility, it may not be able to repay its obligations.

Our counterparties may also request as a condition to doing business with us that we obtain regulatory approvals from the United Kingdom Prudential Regulation Authority. There can be no assurance that we will obtain such approvals, we do not expect to be able to effect additional

Table of Contents
Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Finally, if we do not obtain approvals to draw on interest reserves for other liquidity needs, as described further under "Advances and other needs." Furthermore, in connection with obtaining regulatory approvals, we have agreed, and may in the future agree, to comply with certain other corporate actions (such as paying dividends when approved).

Loss reserve estimates and credit impairments are subjective

The financial guarantees issued by our insurance companies are based on historical cases over 30 years, under policies that we have, in most cases, not fully reserved. The establishment of the appropriate level of reserves is a matter of management judgment, and therefore, there can be no assurance that the assumptions underlying these estimates could significantly differ from those of third-party consultants and customized by us to project future losses. We have similar terms, conditions, risks, and economic projections for the way that we estimate loss and LAE for our financial guarantees.

Losses on second-lien RMBS caused by the large number of losses due to unprecedented volatility in the credit markets that began in 2007, especially for second-lien RMBS transactions, where there has been significant losses in this category. As a result, historical loss data is limited with respect to our insured transactions, we take into account the impact of ineligible loans, and these estimated recoveries may be affected by breach rates, counterparty credit risk, the potential for nonpayment, and CRE exposure in 2010, and have increased our credit risk on our insured and CRE portfolio and the increased cost of commuting. If the deterioration of the CRE market worsens, we could incur significant losses.

Future deterioration in the performance of RMBS, CDOs, and other structured securities reserves or impairments and further losses or reductions in cash flows on unpaid claims could exceed our estimate and could significantly affect our operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Economic conditions in the United States and the Eurozone

Our results of operations are materially affected by general economic conditions. Our revenue has consistently grown since the fourth quarter of 2009 and through the first half of 2010. However, since the second half of 2007, markets have continued to experience periods of volatility. European sovereign debt, our indirect European sovereign debt, and sub-sovereign issuers, such as regions, departments, and municipalities, are insured gross par outstanding, \$785 million, \$601 million, and \$1.1 billion in the United Kingdom. A default by one or more sovereign issuers could have a material impact on our insured and investment portfolios. Moreover, budget cuts and, for certain borrowers, austerity measures imposed by certain governments and expectations for certain parts of the global economy are expected to have a material impact on our operations.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Losses resulting from poor economic conditions and the performance of the assets we insured), ABS CDOs and CMBS, have adversely impacted our corporate, municipal, sovereign, sub-sovereign or consumer credit portfolio, our future business, as well as the performance of our insured assets. Our specified revenue streams, such as revenue bonds issued by states, may decline resulting from economic recession, reduced demand for

Termination payments on insured credit derivatives could be reduced

The structured finance and international segment's financial guarantee insurance contracts. However, with respect to the insurance of CDS contracts, the CDS contracts may be subject to termination if the underlying transactions are governed by International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) policies. For example, the Company's control rights with respect to its financial guarantee insurance policy on a direct primary basis. In the event of a termination event, unlike financial guarantee insurance policies, the amount of the termination payment is determined under the ISDA documentation.

Servicer risk could adversely impact performance of structured finance obligations

Structured finance obligations contain certain risks in connection with collecting the cash flow from the asset pool) that could impact our performance. Risks, such as whether the servicer of the assets may be unable to perform its obligations, subject to bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings. Structured finance obligations are subject to insolvency of the servicer. The ability of the servicer to perform its obligations. In addition, the lawsuit we have filed against Credit Suisse

Some of the state and local governments and financial institutions could result in increased credit losses or impairments

We have historically experienced low levels of default on the part of state and local governments. However, many state and local governments that issue structured finance obligations may significantly raise taxes and/or cut spending in order to provide aid to state and local governments, certain structured finance portfolio are unable to raise taxes, cut spending, which may materially and adversely affect our business, financial performance and result in severe financial stress and, as a consequence, more municipalities may be the outcome of a Chapter 9 proceeding is unpredictable and

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Financial modeling contains uncertainty over ultimate mark-to-market.

The Company uses third-party and internal financial models to conduct liquidity stress-scenario testing to evaluate requirements. These measurements are performed on a regular basis and are supplemented by models generated by third parties, to evaluate underlying assets, and to evaluate structures, rights and obligations. We estimate case basis loss reserves and, where applicable, use third-party models or use third-party experts to consult with our internal risk management assurance that these models are accurate or comprehensive. We compare methodologies employed by our competitors, counterparty liquidity position. In addition, changes to our paid claim liabilities and changes could materially impact our financial results.

Our risk management policies and procedures may not be sufficient.

We assess our risk management policies and procedures, our capabilities and procedures, our portfolio management and the performance of our insured portfolio in changing market conditions. Significant losses can be substantial, particularly if a loss occurs on a large principal maturities.

Geopolitical conditions may adversely affect our business.

General global unrest, fraud, terrorism, catastrophic events in countries where we have insured exposure or operate our business activity. Furthermore, in certain jurisdictions outside the United States, inability to enforce our rights in court or otherwise and increased exposure in certain sectors that could suffer increased risk as a result of the possibility that multiple credits will be affected. Revenues from business and personal travel, such as air travel, in certain corporate sectors may be vulnerable to credit default. Issuances in those stressed sectors could also be adversely affected.

The Company's insurance operations underwrite exposure to defaults and other conditions at levels higher than are typical. Losses if the economic stress and increased defaults in the future is or will be more severe than historical events.

Capital, Liquidity and Market Related Risk Factors

Continuing elevated loss payments and ongoing delinquencies may materially and adversely affect MBIA Corp.'s ability to pay claims.

As an insurance company MBIA Corp. is particularly vulnerable to contractual payment obligations when due. Management of contractual obligations have occurred in large part due to their inability to sell assets. Results from an enterprise's inability to sell assets and acceleration of payments required to settle liabilities.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

The effects of the credit crisis which began in the subprime mortgage and markets, asset classes, sectors and countries, have been significant. In the quarter of 2007, MBIA Corp. has paid \$6.9 billion of claims on policies of consolidated VIEs, on policies insuring second-lien RMBS, and on policies being placed in the securitizations in breach of the representations. The impairments on insured derivatives were estimated at \$5.7 billion, net of claim payments of \$5.7 billion, net of reinsurance and other recoveries, and result in substantial defaults and losses on the underlying portions of MBIA Corp.'s outstanding insured portfolio.

Management's expected liquidity and capital forecast is based on the ability to borrow under the Blue Ridge Secured Loan and use its cash and other resources, as the Company's second-lien RMBS and remaining insured portfolio, and liabilities, potential volatility in the collection of put-backs on the remaining insured portfolio, aside from these exposures. Management believes it will have adequate resources to pay expected claims, and that there is no substantial risk to the Company, it may ultimately have to restructure the Corporation into a rehabilitation or liquidation proceeding, which could result in and have other adverse consequences.

Adverse developments in the credit markets may materially impact our business.

MBIA Inc. is subject to material liquidity risks and may not have sufficient resources to make all payments due on our obligations.

Liquidity risk to MBIA Inc. is primarily a result of the following:

Currently, the majority of the cash and securities are held in cash and derivatives, which limit its ability to meet its obligations under intercompany financing arrangement liabilities. In addition, in periods of market volatility. In addition, in the event of a market decline, we would be required to pledge additional assets. In the event, we may sell additional assets, potentially including other assets, in some cases with NYSDDFS approval, to meet liquidity requirements.

The timing and amount of cash inflows from operations and certain regulatory and other constraints on our ability to raise capital. Stressed credit market conditions could cause MBIA Inc. to take the following identified certain actions to mitigate this risk. These could include: (1) not paying at all; (2) additional sales of invested assets exposed to market risk; (3) termination and settlement of interest rate swap agreements. In the event that we cannot implement the corrective actions, we may not be able to make all payments on our obligations as they come due. MBIA Inc. is the guarantor of the investment agreements and MBIA Global is the guarantor of the investment agreements and MBIA Global is the guarantor of the investment agreements as they come due.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

An inability to access capital could adversely affect our

The Company's access to external sources of financing is dependent on (i) the creditworthiness of the Company, (ii) the insurance financial strength ratings of the Company, (iii) the financial strength of our insurance companies and MBIA Inc. and (iv) the ratings of our insured RMBS securitizations. Our debt ratings are influenced by our balance sheet strength, capital structure and earnings trends. Our financial performance results and financial condition could be adversely affected, which could result in our facilities will be available in the future on favorable terms, our ability to draw on our credit facility, collect expected payments and our ability to pay on favorable terms or at all could have an adverse impact on our business.

To the extent that we are unable to access capital, our ability to conduct our business and may not be able to pay dividends to our stockholders. Our ability to obtain financing on favorable terms could have an adverse impact on our ability to service our debt, our indebtedness, to pay our operating expenses and to meet other obligations. Other constraints could affect our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders.

Our holding company structure and certain regulatory

We are a holding company and rely to a significant degree on the operating performance of other smaller subsidiaries. As such, we are largely dependent on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends, to the extent payable, on our capital stock, to pay our obligations and other items. Our insurance companies are subject to various regulatory requirements, including cash dividends, loans and advances that those subsidiaries may also restrict their ability to pay dividends and other obligations.

Under New York law, National and MBIA Corp. may not pay dividends to the Company as described in "Business Insurance Regulation" in Part 1601 of the Regulations of the Department of Financial Services of the State of New York. MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Corporation obtaining approval from the NYSDFS to pay dividends to the Company. MBIA Insurance Corporation agreed that it would not pay dividends to the Company. MBIA Insurance Corporation has not had the statutory authority to pay dividends in any dividends in the near term. While National had dividends to the Company, the Corporation contingency reserve release, the Company's ability to pay dividends in 2013. In addition, as a condition to the NYSDFS's approval of the Asset Swap has been reduced to 5% or less of National's net assets with three months prior notice, or such shorter period as the NYSDFS may permit, provided the NYSDFS with such notice, and intends to pay dividends to the Company at such earlier time as the NYSDFS may permit, although the NYSDFS may not permit.

Dividend payments by MBIA UK and MBIA Mexico are subject to the laws of their respective jurisdictions. The inability of our insurance companies to pay dividends at the level could affect our ability to repay our debt and have an adverse impact on our business.

deficits of qualifying assets to meet its contingency res

Additionally, under New York law, the Superintendent
company under certain circumstances, including upon
company is found, after examination, to be in such con
Superintendent of the NYSDFS may also suspend an i
hearing, the Superintendent of the NYSDFS determin
needs. If the Superintendent of the NYSDFS were to t
reduction or elimination of the payment of dividends t

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates

Increases in prevailing interest rate levels can adversely affect the value of investments. If interest rates increase, investments must be sold in order to make payments on existing investments. Investments would likely be sold at discounted prices. Investments held in cash and cash equivalents given the increased funding costs and credit stress on transactions in our insured portfolio, will be adversely affected.

While we are not currently writing a meaningful amount of financial guarantee insurance, lower interest rates may affect demand for financial guarantee insurance. Lower interest rates may also affect the purchase of insurance during periods of relatively narrow spreads. These lower cost savings could result in relatively wider spreads. These lower cost savings could also result in lower rates may decrease attractiveness for issuers to enter into financial guarantee insurance in the future.

In addition, the Company is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk. Investments denominated in U.S. dollars. In addition to insured liabilities denominated in U.S. dollars, the Company also has liabilities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars and the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus foreign currencies could result in higher future loss payments, and the weakening of the foreign currencies could result in higher future loss payments, and the weakening of the foreign currencies have fluctuated significantly in recent periods and could result in higher operations and cash flows.

Revenues and liquidity would be adversely impacted

Due to the installment nature of a significant percentage of our premiums, premiums actually realized by MBIA Corp. could be reduced by contract cancellations, accelerated prepayments of underlying obligations, and other factors that result in lower revenues and reduced liquidity.

We are required to report credit derivatives at fair value
equity for the Company or MBIA Corp. on a GAAP basis

Any event causing credit spreads on an underlying security to either widen or tighten will affect the fair value of the security.

Since changes in fair value can be caused by factors unrelated to the underlying conditions and perceptions of credit risk, as well as market events that affect particular credit derivative exposure, the underlying performance of our business operations, the fair value of insured credit derivatives could cause our financial statements on a GAAP basis in the United States of America (GAAP) basis in a manner that is not representative of the underlying performance of our business operations.

The global re-pricing of credit risk that began in the fall of 2007 has resulted in a significant increase in the fair value of our credit derivatives. In addition, due to the complexity of fair value accounting, changes in fair value measurement, future amendments or interpretations of GAAP in a manner which may have an adverse impact on our financial statements. Operations Critical Accounting Estimates in Part II, Item 7, of this Form 10-K, credit derivatives.

Table of Contents
Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Since 2008, Moody's and S&P announced the downgrading of a number of structured finance transactions that serve as collateral in our insured portfolio will not be reviewed and downgraded. This may result in securities in our insured portfolio or review again securities with higher capital charges to that insurance company under the current financial condition going forward.

Competition may have an adverse effect on our business

Our financial guarantee insurers face competition from other financial institutions (senior-subordinated structures, credit derivatives, letters of credit, and service payments) provided by banks and other financial institutions for credit enhancement. Furthermore, while one financial institution is an additional recently established bond insurer is actively competing in the insurance market and continue to consider strategies for competing with providers of credit enhancement, could have an adverse effect on our business related unpredictable actions of the regulators in the United States, among other things, explicit or implied support from the government.

Cutwater faces intense competition from banks, insurance companies who manage their investments in-house. Cutwater's operations. Cutwater's ability to compete for new and existing business specific client or in general (typically versus established competitors) of client service provided. A decline in our competitive position may result in management. Furthermore, many of Cutwater's competitors offer a broader range of products, services or features. In order to attract new business, which would diminish the amount of dividend payments to retain business, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

In addition, Trifinium Advisors (UK) Limited (Trifinium) has been sought to grow this business. Trifinium is subject to competition for employees' time and there can be no assurance that the

Future demand for financial guarantee insurance depends on

The demand for financial guarantee insurance depends on the demand for financial guarantee business is largely dependent on the perceived financial strength of all financial guarantee insurers. If insurer financial strength ratings have been downgraded, downgrades may have eroded investors' confidence in the former levels in the near term, if ever.

We believe that issuers and investors distinguish among insured portfolio concentration and financial performance of guarantors which, in turn, may provide a competitive advantage due to regulatory or internal guidelines, lack additional advantage to guarantors with fewer insured obligations. This may have an adverse effect on our ability to attract new business at

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Regulatory change could adversely affect our business and we might consider in their best interests.

The financial guarantee insurance industry has historically been subject to a complex regulatory environment consisting of insurance laws, securities laws, tax laws, legal precedents, and other applicable laws and regulations. These laws limit investors' ability to affect a takeover of a company. These laws and regulations could expose our insurance companies to legal risk and could engage in certain business activity, as the case may be.

In addition, future legislative, regulatory or judicial changes could affect our financial results. Since 2009, both the NYSDFS and the SEC have issued rules that limit on the manner and amount of business written by insurance companies, including if we are deemed "systemically important" under MBIA UK could also become subject to enhanced capital requirements.

While it is not possible to predict if new laws, regulations, or the NYSDFS's interpretation thereof could subject our insurance companies to restrictions in the finance area. Any such restrictions could have a material adverse effect on our business. Regulations could subject our insurance companies to increased costs, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flow. Such changes to our accounting methodology, both prospectively and retrospectively, could make it more difficult for investors to understand the results of our operations and to pursue.

Developments in the regulation of derivatives may create risks for our business.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law, which significantly changed over-the-counter derivatives markets. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act created the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the SEC have promulgated rules regarding capital requirements. The CFTC and SEC have promulgated rules that require us to include its legacy insured derivatives in tests used to determine if we are a major swap participant and on an ongoing basis is required to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act. As further rules are enacted, we may be required to incur additional costs, including capital requirements.

Because the CFTC has not yet issued final rules establishing the standards for major swap participants, the Company is not yet clear. However, to the extent that we are required to be able to meet those standards.

General Risk Factors

Any impairment in the Company's future taxable income could affect our financial position.

The basis for evaluating the recoverability of a deferred tax asset is the Company's ability to recognize future taxable income from its existing operations. The recoverability of certain deferred tax assets may be affected by changes in the Company's financial position.

A different view of the Internal Revenue Service from the Company's financial position.

As part of the Company's financial guarantee business, we are insured by various financial institutions. We treat these insured derivatives as a liability for U.S. federal taxable income. As such, the realized losses

federal income tax treatment of CDS contracts is an un
tax treatment, our results of operations and financial c

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors (continued)

Private litigation claims could materially adversely affect the Company's financial performance.

As further set forth in Note 14: Commitments and Contingencies in this Form 10-Q, the Company is named as a defendant in, or party to pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings. Although the Company intends to vigorously defend against these actions, these actions could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance.

Ownership Change under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance.

In connection with transactions in our shares from time to time, the Company may be subject to the provisions of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. In general terms, an ownership change occurs if the Company's ownership changes by more than 50 percentage points over a testing period. Such a change could result in certain losses, credits, deductions or tax basis adjustments, including the complexity and ambiguity of Section 382, which could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance. The Company performs detailed calculations of the methodology of calculation, a Section 382 ownership change, and the potential effect on the Company's securities.

Interruption in telecommunication, information technology, or other systems, or sensitive data residing on such systems, could harm the Company's financial performance.

We depend heavily on our telecommunication, information technology, and other systems for our businesses. These systems may fail to operate properly or be subject to interruption, which could result in the face the risk of operational and technology failures by the Company, which could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance. If these systems fail, the provision of services or business operations. If these systems fail, it could have a material adverse effects on our business.

Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, our systems may be subject to unauthorized tampering or other security breaches, resulting in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance. Information relating to clients or transaction counterparties could be disclosed, which could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance.

Interruption in telecommunication, information technology, or other systems, or sensitive data residing on such systems, whether due to actions by the Company, could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance. Sanctions and other claims, lead to a loss of clients and other business.

The Company is dependent on key executives and their continued service to the Company's business.

The Company's success substantially depends upon its ability to attract and retain qualified employees to implement its business strategy. The Company's business strategy is highly competitive and the Company competes for qualified employees with other companies in the industry. Although the Company is not dependent on any one individual, the Company is dependent on the services of its Chief Executive Officer, and other senior executives. The loss of any of these individuals or other key members of management could result in a loss and have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial performance.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In connection with the Bank of America Settlement Agreement, the Company issued shares of MBIA Inc. common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share. The Company issued these shares in violation of Section 4(a)(2) under the 1933 Act as transactions by the Company.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of warrants that the Company issued to Warburg Pincus (the "Warrants") under the Investment Agreement by and between the Company and Warburg Pincus in 2008, (the "Investment Agreement"), as a result of the exercise of the Warrants, the number of shares were revised to 21,914,446 warrants exercisable at \$16.18 per share. Pursuant to the Investment Agreement, the number of shares were revised to 4,004,945 warrants exercisable at \$16.18 per share in connection with the offering by the Company of any equity securities under the Investment Agreement due to the issuance of the warrants. Pursuant to the Investment Agreement, the number of shares of MBIA Inc. common stock at an exercise price of \$16.18 per share of common stock having a value of \$7,262,518 based on the exercise price of \$16.18 per share pursuant to the anti-dilution adjustments and the gross proceeds of the offering as transactions by an issuer not involving any public offering.

The table below presents repurchases made by the Company:

Month	Total Shares
April	
May	
June	

(1) - 13,036 shares were purchased in open market.

(2) - On February 1, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors superseded the previously authorized program.

Item 5. Other Information

The information contained in Part II, Item 2, "Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities," regarding the issuance of a five-year warrant to Warburg Pincus to purchase shares of common stock is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 4.1. Warrant Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +4.2. Warrant Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2013, filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013.
- +10.1. Loan Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- 10.2. Security Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +10.3. Share Charge, dated as of May 9, 2013, filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +31.1. Chief Executive Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 303A.06 of the New York Stock Exchange's Listing Standards for Directors and Officers, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 31.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +31.2. Chief Financial Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 303A.06 of the New York Stock Exchange's Listing Standards for Directors and Officers, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 31.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- *32.1. Chief Executive Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 303A.06 of the New York Stock Exchange's Listing Standards for Directors and Officers, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 32.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- *32.2. Chief Financial Officer - Certification Pursuant to Section 303A.06 of the New York Stock Exchange's Listing Standards for Directors and Officers, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 32.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +99.1. Additional Exhibits - National Public Finance Data, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +99.2. Additional Exhibits - MBIA Insurance Coverage, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.
- +101. Additional Exhibits - MBIA Inc. and Subsidiaries, dated as of May 6, 2013, filed as Exhibit 101 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

+ Filed Herewith

* Furnished Herewith

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly authorized.

Date: August 7, 2013

Date: August 7, 2013