

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

GREATBATCH, INC.
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2006

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Quarter ended March 31, 2006

Commission File Number 1-16137

GREATBATCH, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of incorporation)

16-1531026
(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

9645 Wehrle Drive
Clarence, New York
14031
(Address of principal executive offices)

(716) 759-5600
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2 (check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer []

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes [] No [X]

The number of shares outstanding of the Company's common stock, \$.001 par value per share, as of May 5, 2006 was: 21,809,895 shares.

GREATBATCH, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR FORM 10-Q
AS OF AND FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|----|
| COVER PAGE | 1 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 2 |
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION (unaudited) | |
| ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income | 4 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 23 |
| ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 39 |
| ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures | 39 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings | 40 |
| ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 40 |
| ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 40 |
| ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 40 |
| ITEM 5. Other Information | 40 |
| ITEM 6. Exhibits | 40 |
| SIGNATURES | 41 |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | 42 |

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GREATBATCH, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - Unaudited
(In thousands except for share and per share data)

| ASSETS | March 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Current assets: | | |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 38,888 | \$ 46,403 |
| Short-term investments | 66,018 | 65,746 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$439 in 2006 and \$450 in 2005 | 39,857 | 29,997 |
| Inventories | 48,200 | 45,184 |
| Refundable income taxes | - | 928 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,257 | 6,257 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 1,601 | 1,488 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total current assets | 200,821 | 196,003 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 97,368 | 97,705 |
| Intangible assets, net | 30,933 | 31,891 |
| Trademark and names | 28,252 | 28,252 |
| Goodwill | 155,039 | 155,039 |
| Other assets | 4,399 | 4,021 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total assets | \$516,812 | \$512,911 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 15,501 | \$ 13,678 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 19,352 | 29,903 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 165 | 464 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total current liabilities | 35,018 | 44,045 |
| Convertible subordinated notes | 170,000 | 170,000 |
| Deferred income taxes | 31,969 | 30,261 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total liabilities | 236,987 | 244,306 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares; no shares issued or outstanding in 2006 or 2005 | - | - |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares; 21,808,578 shares issued in 2006 and 21,658,134 shares issued in 2005 | 22 | 22 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 220,158 | 215,614 |
| Retained earnings | 59,689 | 53,039 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (44) | (70) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total stockholders' equity | 279,825 | 268,605 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$516,812 | \$512,911 |
| | ===== | ===== |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

GREATBATCH, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - Unaudited
(IN THOUSANDS EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales | \$68,107 | \$56,358 |
| Cost and expenses: | | |
| Cost of sales - excluding amortization of intangible assets | 39,515 | 35,571 |
| Amortization of intangible assets - cost of sales | 958 | 958 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 9,015 | 6,766 |
| Research, development and engineering costs, net | 5,898 | 4,401 |
| Other operating expense, net | 2,669 | 2,388 |
| | 10,052 | 6,274 |
| Operating income | 10,052 | 6,274 |
| Interest expense | 1,135 | 1,131 |
| Interest income | (1,192) | (575) |
| Other (income) expense, net | (44) | - |
| | 10,153 | 5,718 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 10,153 | 5,718 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3,503 | 1,715 |
| | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 |
| | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.19 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 21,738 | 21,473 |
| Diluted | 26,103 | 21,583 |
| Comprehensive income: | | |
| Net income | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale securities, net of deferred income tax expense of \$8 in the three month period in 2006 and income tax benefit of \$23 in the three month period in 2005 | 26 | (40) |
| | \$ 6,676 | \$ 3,963 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 6,676 | \$ 3,963 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,918 | 4,039 |
| Stock-based compensation | 2,207 | 795 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,708 | 1,959 |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 3 | 512 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (9,860) | (5,384) |
| Inventories | (3,016) | 1,750 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (113) | (1,830) |
| Accounts payable | 2,069 | (1,268) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (9,954) | (1,345) |
| Income taxes | 1,807 | (297) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (3,581) | 2,934 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Short-term investments: | | |
| Purchases | (10,589) | (22,092) |
| Proceeds from dispositions | 10,350 | 26,600 |
| Acquisition of property, plant and equipment | (3,692) | (9,220) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | - | 23 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (38) | 6 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,969) | (4,683) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Principal payments of long-term debt | (299) | (354) |
| Issuance of common stock | 334 | 115 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 35 | (239) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (7,515) | (1,988) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 46,403 | 34,795 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 38,888 | \$ 32,807 |
| | ===== | ===== |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the fiscal year as a whole. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of Greatbatch, Inc. (the "Company") for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales, expenses, and related disclosures at the date of the financial statements and during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The Company utilizes a fifty-two, fifty-three week fiscal year ending on the Friday nearest December 31st. For 52-week years, each quarter contains 13 weeks. For clarity of presentation, the Company describes all periods as if each quarter end is March 31st, June 30th and September 30th and as if the year-end is December 31st. The first quarter of 2006 and 2005 each contained 13 weeks.

2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123(R)"), and related Securities and Exchange Commission rules included in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, on a modified prospective basis. The incremental cost of expensing options under SFAS No. 123(R) was approximately \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million net of tax). Under this method, compensation cost recognized beginning January 1, 2006 will include costs related to 1) all share-based payments (stock options and restricted stock awards) granted prior to but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and 2) all share-based payments (stock options and restricted stock awards) granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) also amends SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows, to require that excess tax benefits that had been reflected as cash flows from operating

activities be reflected as cash flows from financing activities. Compensation cost for nonqualified stock options is generally recognized ratably over a four-year vesting period. Compensation cost for incentive

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

stock options is generally recognized ratably over a five to seven year vesting period. Compensation costs for restricted stock awards granted to employees are recognized ratably over the vesting period determined at the time of grant. The Company has continued to use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options granted subsequent to the date of adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

Compensation costs related to stock options and restricted stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 totaled \$1.4 million, which includes approximately \$0.3 million for accelerated vesting for certain retirement eligible employees. The stock-based compensation expense is included in the statement of earnings primarily in selling, general, and administrative expenses. The impact to earnings net of tax was \$0.9 million (\$0.03 per diluted share). Stock-based compensation included in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows includes stock options, restricted stock and the annual defined contribution to the employee 401(k) Plan.

Stock-based compensation expense is only recorded for those awards that are expected to vest. Forfeiture estimates for determining appropriate stock-based compensation expense are estimated at the time of grant based on historical experience and demographic characteristics. Revisions are made to those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from estimated forfeitures. A 9% forfeiture estimate was used for the stock-based compensation expense recorded during the first quarter 2006.

Stock Options

Summary of Stock Option Plans

The Company has stock option plans that provide for the issuance of nonqualified and incentive stock options to employees of the Company. The Company's 1997 Stock Option Plan ("1997 Plan") authorizes the issuance of nonqualified and incentive stock options to purchase up to 480,000 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the terms of the plan. The stock options granted under the 1997 Plan generally vest over a five-year period and may vary depending upon the achievement of earnings targets. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the grant. Stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant.

The Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan ("1998 Plan") authorizes the issuance of nonqualified and incentive stock options to purchase up to 1,220,000 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the terms of the plan. The stock options granted under the 1998 Plan vest over a three to five year period and may vary depending upon the achievement of earnings targets. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the grant. Stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant.

The Company has a stock option plan that provides for the issuance of nonqualified stock options to Non-Employee Directors (the "Director Plan"). The Director Plan authorizes the issuance of nonqualified stock options to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock from its

treasury, subject to the terms of the plan. The stock options vest immediately. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of grant.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant.

The Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan ("2005 Plan") authorizes the issuance of equity incentive awards including nonqualified and incentive stock options, for up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to the terms of the plan. The stock options granted under the 2005 Plan generally vest over a four year period and may vary depending upon the achievement of earnings targets and also upon the terms of each specific grant. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the grant. Stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant.

As of March 31, 2006, 852,365 shares were available for future grants of options under the plans, subject to an overall limit on awards imposed under the 2005 Plan.

Fair Value

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model to determine the fair value of stock options under SFAS No. 123(R), consistent with that used for pro forma disclosures in prior years. Management is required to make certain assumptions with respect to selected model inputs, including anticipated changes in the underlying stock price (i.e., expected volatility) and option exercise activity (i.e., expected life). Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of the Company's stock options and other factors. The expected life of options granted, which represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding, is based, primarily, on historical data. The expected dividend yield is based on the Company's history and expectation of dividend payouts. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for a period commensurate with the estimated expected life. If factors change and result in different assumptions in the application of SFAS No. 123(R) in future periods, the stock option expense that the Company records for future grants may differ significantly from what the Company has recorded in the current period.

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was \$10.41 (\$9.00 in 2005) based on the Black-Scholes Option Pricing model. The following weighted-average assumptions were used for grants in 2006 and 2005:

| | Three months ended | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.63% | 4.13% |
| Expected volatility | 39.8% | 52.0% |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.99 | 5.00 |
| Expected dividend yield | 0% | 0% |

Stock Option Activity

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes stock option activity related to the Company's plans for the three months ended March 31, 2006:

| | Number of Stock Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (In years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In millions) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 1,397,160 | \$23.16 | | |
| Granted | 245,069 | 25.23 | | |
| Exercised | (34,056) | 9.81 | | |
| Forfeited or Expired | (12,596) | 27.34 | | |
| Outstanding at March 31, 2006 | 1,595,577 | \$23.73 | 7.4 | \$3.4 |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2006 | 875,583 | \$23.95 | 7.1 | \$2.6 |

We calculated intrinsic value for those options that had an exercise price lower than the market price of our common shares as of March 31, 2006. The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding options as of March 31, 2006 is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the market price of our common shares for the 488,607 options that were in-the-money at that date. The aggregate intrinsic value of exercisable options as of March 31, 2006 is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the market price of our common shares for the 296,403 exercisable options that were in-the-money at that date. The Company's closing stock price was \$21.91 as of March 31, 2006. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the first quarter of 2006 was \$0.4 million (\$0.04 million for 2005).

Cash received from option exercises under all share-based payment arrangements for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was \$0.3 million. The actual tax benefit realized from stock option exercises totaled \$0.04 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. Proceeds from the exercise of stock options under stock option plans are credited to common stock at par value and the excess is credited to additional paid-in capital.

As of March 31, 2006, \$7.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 5 years.

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. This FSP provides an elective alternative simplified method for calculating the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Companies may take up to one year from the effective date of the FSP to evaluate the available transition alternatives and make a one-time election as to which method to

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

adopt. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the alternative methods of calculating the pool of excess tax benefits.

Pro Forma Information under SFAS No. 123 for Periods Prior to 2006

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we accounted for stock options to employees in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. We also provided the disclosures required under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123), as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosures. As a result, no expense was reflected in our net income for the period ended March 31, 2005 for stock options, as all options granted had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. However, stock-based compensation expense was recognized for restricted stock awards.

The Company's net income and earnings per share as if the fair value based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards for the three months ended March 31, 2005 is as follows (in thousands except per share data):

| | |
|---|---------|
| Net income as reported | \$4,003 |
| Add: | |
| --- | |
| Stock-based employee compensation cost included in net income as reported, net of related tax effects | \$557 |
| Deduct: | |
| ----- | |
| Stock-based employee compensation cost determined using the fair value based method, net of related tax effects | \$1,042 |
| | ----- |
| Pro forma net income | \$3,518 |
| | ===== |
| | |
| Earnings per share: | |
| Basic - as reported | \$0.19 |
| Basic - pro forma | \$0.17 |
| | |
| Diluted - as reported | \$0.19 |
| Diluted - pro forma | \$0.17 |

Restricted Stock

Summary of Restricted Stock Plans

The Company's 2002 Restricted Stock Plan authorizes the issuance of stock awards to employees. The number of shares that are reserved and may be issued under the plan cannot exceed 200,000. The Compensation and Organization Committee of the Company's Board of Directors determines the number of shares that may be granted under the plan. Restricted stock awards are either time-vested or performance-vested based on the terms of each individual award agreement. Time-vested restricted stock vests 50% on

the first anniversary of the date of the award and 50% on the second anniversary of the date of the award. Performance-vested restricted stock vests upon the achievement of certain annual diluted earnings per share targets by the company, or the seventh anniversary date of the award.

The Company's 2005 Plan authorizes the issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock bonuses of up to 400,000 shares, subject to the terms of the plan with an overall limit on awards of 1,000,000 shares. The restricted stock granted under the plan generally vests 50% on the second anniversary of the date of the award and 25% on the third and fourth anniversaries of the date of the award and vary depending upon the achievement of earnings targets and also upon the terms of each specific grant.

As of March 31, 2006, there were 536,955 available for future grants under the plans, subject to the overall limit imposed by the 2005 Plan.

Restricted Stock Activity

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity related to the Company's plans for the three months ended March 31, 2006:

| | Restricted Stock Activity ----- | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value ----- |
|--|--|--|
| Unvested restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 93,956 | \$22.46 |
| Shares granted | 44,247 | 25.22 |
| Shares vested | - | - |
| Shares forfeited | (2,158) | 21.58 |
| | ----- | |
| Unvested restricted stock outstanding at March 31, 2006 | 136,045 | \$23.37 |
| | ===== | ===== |

As of March 31, 2006, there was \$2.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the restricted awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 5 years.

3. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Noncash investing and financing activities (in thousands): | | |
| Common stock contributed to 401(k) Plan | \$2,780 | \$2,729 |
| Property, plant and equipment purchases included in accounts payable | \$1,647 | \$1,533 |
| Other asset purchases included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$530 | \$- |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

11

4. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

Short-term investments at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 consist of investments expected to be settled or reset within a twelve month period.

Short-term investments comprised the following (in thousands):

| | As of March 31, 2006 | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Estimated fair value |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Equity Securities | \$ 276 | \$ - | \$ (41) | 235 |
| Auction Rate Securities | 65,783 | - | - | 65,783 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Short-term investments | \$66,059 | \$ - | \$ (41) | \$66,018 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

| | As of December 31, 2005 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Estimated fair value |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Equity Securities | \$ 276 | \$ - | \$ (74) | \$ 202 |
| Auction Rate Securities | 65,544 | - | - | 65,544 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$65,820 | \$ - | \$ (74) | \$65,746 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

5. INVENTORIES

Inventories comprised the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$24,832 | \$24,864 |
| Work-in-process | 11,798 | 11,266 |
| Finished goods | 11,570 | 9,054 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total | \$48,200 | \$45,184 |
| | ===== | ===== |

12

6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets comprised the following (in thousands):

| | As of March 31, 2006 | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | Net carrying Amount |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Amortizing intangible assets: | | | |
| Patented technology | \$21,462 | \$ (12,139) | \$ 9,323 |
| Unpatented technology | 30,886 | (9,306) | 21,580 |
| Other | 1,340 | (1,310) | 30 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| | 53,688 | (22,755) | 30,933 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== |
| | As of December 31, 2005 | | |
| | Gross carrying amount | Accumulated amortization | Net carrying Amount |
| Amortizing intangible assets: | | | |
| Patented technology | \$21,462 | \$ (11,738) | \$ 9,724 |
| Unpatented technology | 30,886 | (8,750) | 22,136 |
| Other | 1,340 | (1,309) | 31 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| | 53,688 | (21,797) | 31,891 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== |

Aggregate amortization expense for first quarter 2006 and 2005 was \$1.0 million. Annual amortization expense is estimated to be \$2.9 million for the remainder of 2006, \$3.8 million for 2007 to 2008, \$3.2 million for 2009, \$2.7 million for 2010 and \$2.7 million for 2011.

7. DEBT

Long-term debt comprised the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| 2.25% convertible subordinated notes, due 2013 | \$170,000 | \$170,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | 165 | 464 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | 170,165 | 170,464 |
| Less current portion | (165) | (464) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total long-term debt | \$170,000 | \$170,000 |
| | ===== | ===== |

Revolving Line of Credit

On May 31, 2005, the Company amended its Senior Secured Credit Facility, which included changes to the underlying covenants. The amended facility replaced the old \$20.0 million revolving credit facility with a new three-year \$50.0 million Revolving Credit Facility ("new revolver"), which contains a \$10.0 million sub-limit for the issuance of commercial or

standby letters of credit. The new revolver is secured by the Company's non-realty assets including cash, accounts and notes receivable, and inventories. The new revolver requires the Company to comply with two quarterly financial covenants, as defined. The first relates to the ratio of consolidated net earnings or loss before interest, taxes, depreciation,

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

and amortization ("EBITDA") to Fixed Charges. The second is a Leverage ratio, which is calculated based on the ratio of Consolidated Funded Debt less Cash, Cash Equivalent Investments and Short-Term Investments to Consolidated EBITDA. Interest rates under the new revolver vary with the Company's leverage. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee of between .125% and .250% per annum on the unused portion of the new revolver based on the Company's leverage. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had no balance outstanding on the new revolver.

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Numerator for basic earnings per share: | | |
| Net income | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Interest expense on convertible notes and related deferred financing fees, net of tax | 733 | - |
| Numerator for diluted earnings per share | \$ 7,383 | \$ 4,003 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share: | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 21,738 | 21,473 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Convertible notes | 4,219 | - |
| Stock options and unvested restricted stock | 146 | 110 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 4,365 | 110 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 26,103 | 21,583 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.19 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.19 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2005, the impact of the convertible notes was anti-dilutive.

9. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The Company's comprehensive income for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 includes net income and a net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities. Comprehensive income for the three month period ended March 31, 2005 includes net income and a net unrealized loss

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

on available-for-sale securities.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

During 2002, a former non-medical customer commenced an action alleging that the Company had used proprietary information of the customer to develop certain products. We have meritorious defenses and are vigorously defending the matter. The potential risk of loss is between \$0.0 and \$1.7 million.

Product Warranties - The change in aggregate product warranty liability for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|---------|
| Beginning balance at December 31, 2005 | \$2,443 |
| Additions to warranty reserve | 167 |
| Warranty claims paid | (717) |
| | ----- |
| Ending balance at March 31, 2006 | \$1,893 |
| | ===== |

Capital Expenditures - During 2004, the Company commenced the build out of its medical battery and capacitor manufacturing facility in Alden, NY and its value-add manufacturing facility in Tijuana, Mexico. These facilities are enabling the Company to further consolidate its operations and implement state of the art manufacturing capabilities at both locations. The total remaining contractual obligation for construction of these facilities at March 31, 2006 is \$4.5 million and will be financed by existing cash, short-term investments, or cash generated from operations.

11. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates its business in two reportable segments: Implantable Medical Components ("IMC") and Electrochem Commercial Power ("ECP"). The IMC segment designs and manufactures critical components used in implantable medical devices. The principal components are batteries, capacitors, filtered feedthroughs, enclosures and precision components. The principal medical devices are pacemakers, defibrillators and neurostimulators. The ECP segment designs and manufactures high performance batteries and battery packs; principal markets for these products are for oil and gas exploration, oceanographic equipment, and aerospace.

The Company defines segment income from operations as sales less cost of sales including amortization and expenses attributable to segment-specific selling, general and administrative, research, development and engineering expenses and other operating expenses. Segment income also includes a

15

portion of non-segment specific selling, general and administrative, and research, development and engineering expenses based on allocations appropriate to the expense categories. The remaining unallocated operating expenses are primarily corporate headquarters and administrative function expenses. The unallocated operating expenses along with other income and expense are not allocated to reportable segments. Transactions between the two segments are not significant.

An analysis and reconciliation of the Company's business segment

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

information to the respective information in the consolidated financial statements is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Sales: | | |
| IMC | | |
| ICD batteries | \$12,679 | \$10,751 |
| Pacemaker and other batteries | 5,787 | 5,255 |
| ICD Capacitors | 3,568 | 4,297 |
| Feedthroughs | 16,288 | 13,682 |
| Enclosures | 6,340 | 6,547 |
| Other | 12,918 | 7,333 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total IMC | 57,580 | 47,865 |
| ECP | 10,527 | 8,493 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total sales | \$68,107 | \$56,358 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Segment income from operations: | | |
| IMC | \$10,900 | \$ 7,877 |
| ECP | 2,843 | 1,880 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total segment income from operations | 13,743 | 9,757 |
| Unallocated operating expenses | (3,691) | (3,483) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Operating income as reported | 10,052 | 6,274 |
| Unallocated other income and expense | 101 | (556) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Income before provision for income taxes as reported | \$10,153 | \$ 5,718 |
| | ===== | ===== |

The carrying amount of goodwill at December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 is as follows:

| | IMC | ECP | Total |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | \$152,473 | \$ 2,566 | \$155,039 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== |

16

12. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSE

During the first quarter ended March 31, 2006, the following charges were recorded in other operating expense in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations (in thousands).

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| (a) Alden facility consolidation | \$ 500 | \$ - |
| (b) Carson City facility shutdown and Tijuana Facility | | |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------|----------|
| Consolidation No. 1 | 1,200 | 200 |
| (c) Columbia facility shutdown, Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2 and RD&E consolidation | 1,000 | - |
| (d) Tijuana start-up | - | 200 |
| (e) Asset dispositions and other | - | 500 |
| (f) Severance | - | 1,500 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | \$2,700 | \$ 2,400 |
| | ===== | ===== |

(a) Alden Facility Consolidation - On February 23, 2005, the Company announced its intent to consolidate the medical capacitor manufacturing operations, currently in Cheektowaga, NY, and the implantable medical battery manufacturing operations, currently in Clarence, NY, into the advanced power source manufacturing facility in Alden, NY ("Alden Facility"). The Company is also consolidating the capacitor research, development and engineering operations from the Cheektowaga, NY, facility into the Technology Center in Clarence, NY.

The total cost estimated for these consolidation efforts is anticipated to be between \$3.5 and \$4.0 million. The expenses for the Alden Facility consolidation are included in the IMC business segment. The Alden facility is substantially complete as of March 31, 2006 and we therefore do not expect to incur any additional significant expense. The major categories of costs, which will primarily be cash expenditures, include the following:

- o Production inefficiencies and revalidation - \$0.3 to \$0.5 million;
- o Training - \$0.2 million;
- o Moving and facility closures - \$2.6 to \$2.7 million; and
- o Other - \$0.4 to \$0.6 million.

17

Accrued liabilities at March 31, 2006 related to the Alden Facility consolidation comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Production inefficiencies and revalidation | Training | Moving and facility closures | Other |
|---|---|----------|------------------------------------|-------|
| Restructuring charges | \$ 230 | \$23 | \$2,180 | \$373 |
| Cash payments | (230) | (23) | (1,144) | (373) |
| Accelerated depreciation/ asset write-offs | - | - | (838) | - |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 198 | \$ - |
| Restructuring charges | \$38 | \$ - | \$ 412 | \$ - |
| Cash payments | (38) | - | (475) | - |
| Accelerated depreciation/ asset write-offs | - | - | - | - |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|------|--------|------|
| Balance, March 31, 2006 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 135 | \$ - |
|-------------------------|------|------|--------|------|

(b) Carson City Facility shutdown and Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1. On March 7, 2005, the Company announced its intent to close the Carson City, NV facility ("Carson City Facility") and consolidate the work performed at the Carson City Facility into the Tijuana, Mexico facility ("Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1").

The total estimated cost for this facility consolidation plan is anticipated to be between \$6.6 million and \$6.8 million. The Company expects to incur and pay the remaining cost over the next two fiscal quarters through September 2006. The major categories of costs include the following:

- o Costs related to the shutdown of the Carson City Facility:
 - a. Severance and retention - \$3.0 million;
 - b. Accelerated depreciation - \$0.6 million; and
 - c. Other - \$0.3 million.
- o Costs related to Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1:
 - a. Production inefficiencies and revalidation - \$0.4 to \$0.5 million;
 - b. Relocation and moving - \$0.3 million;
 - c. Personnel (including travel, training and duplicate wages) - \$1.5 to \$1.6 million; and
 - d. Other - \$0.5 million.

All categories of costs are considered to be cash expenditures, except accelerated depreciation. Once the moves are completed, the Company anticipates annual cost savings in the range of \$2.5 to \$3.1 million. The expenses for the Carson City facility shutdown and the Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1 are included in the IMC business segment.

Accrued liabilities at March 31, 2006 related to the Carson City Facility shutdown comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Severance and retention | Accelerated Depreciation | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| Restructuring charges | \$2,096 | \$ 595 | \$221 | \$2,912 |
| Cash payments | - | - | (221) | (221) |
| Write-offs | - | (595) | - | (595) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$2,096 | \$ - | \$ - | \$2,096 |
| Restructuring charges | 616 | - | 1 | 617 |
| Cash payments | - | - | (1) | (1) |
| Write-offs | - | - | - | - |
| Balance, March 31, 2006 | \$2,712 | \$ - | \$ - | \$2,712 |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Accrued liabilities at March 31, 2006 related to the Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1 comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Production inefficiencies and revalidation | Relocation and moving | Personnel | Other |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5 | \$ 123 | \$ 1,050 | \$ 350 |
| Cash payments | (5) | (123) | (1,050) | (350) |
| Write-offs | - | - | - | - |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Restructuring charges | 118 | 52 | 336 | 105 |
| Cash payments | (118) | (52) | (336) | (105) |
| Write-offs | - | - | - | - |
| Balance, March 31, 2006 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

(c) Columbia Facility shutdown, Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2 and RD&E consolidation. On November 16, 2005, the Company announced its intent to close both the Columbia, MD facility ("Columbia Facility") and the Fremont, CA Advanced Research Laboratory ("ARL"). The manufacturing operations at the Columbia Facility will be moved into the Tijuana Facility ("Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2"). The research, development and engineering ("RD&E") and product development functions at the Columbia Facility and at ARL will relocate to the Technology Center in Clarence, NY.

The total estimated cost for this facility consolidation plan is anticipated to be between \$7.9 million and \$8.3 million. The Company expects to incur and pay the remaining cost over the next five fiscal quarters through June 2007. The major categories of costs include the following:

19

- o Costs related to the shutdown of the Columbia Facility and ARL and the move and consolidation of the RD&E functions to Clarence, NY:
 - a. Severance and retention - \$2.7 to \$2.8 million;
 - b. Personnel (including travel, training and duplicate wages) - \$1.5 million
 - c. Accelerated depreciation/asset write-offs - \$0.7 million; and
 - d. Other - \$0.3 to \$0.4 million.
- o Costs related to Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2:
 - a. Production inefficiencies and revalidation - \$0.4 to \$0.5 million;
 - b. Relocation and moving - \$0.2 million;

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

- c. Personnel (including travel, training and duplicate wages) - \$2.0 to \$2.1 million; and
- d. Other (including asset write-offs) - \$0.1 million.

All categories of costs are considered to be cash expenditures, except for accelerated depreciation and asset write-offs. Once the moves are completed, the Company anticipates annual cost savings in the range of \$5.0 to \$6.0 million. The expenses for the Columbia Facility and ARL shutdowns, the Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2 and the RD&E consolidation are included in the IMC business segment.

Accrued liabilities at March 31, 2006 related to the Columbia Facility and ARL shutdowns and the RD&E consolidation comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Severance and retention | Personnel | Accelerated depreciation / asset write-offs | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|----------|
| Restructuring charges | \$ 379 | \$ - | \$ 435 | \$ 310 | \$ 1,124 |
| Cash payments | - | - | - | - | - |
| Write-offs | - | - | (435) | - | (435) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ 379 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 310 | \$ 689 |
| Restructuring charges | 421 | 202 | - | 94 | 717 |
| Cash payments | (137) | (202) | - | (393) | (732) |
| Write-offs | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance, March 31, 2006 | \$ 663 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11 | \$ 674 |

20

Accrued liabilities at March 31, 2006 related to Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2 comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Production inefficiencies and revalidation | Relocation and moving | Personnel | Other |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Restructuring charges | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10 | \$ - |
| Cash payments | - | - | (10) | - |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Restructuring charges | - | - | 242 | 13 |
| Cash payments | - | - | (242) | (13) |
| Balance, March 31, 2006 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

(d) Tijuana start-up. Other Tijuana start-up expenses (not associated with the Carson City Facility or Columbia Facility consolidation) during the first quarter of 2005 amount to \$0.2 million. These expenses are primarily related to the initial start-up of the value added assembly business.

(e) Asset dispositions. Expense is for property, plant, and equipment dispositions.

(f) Severance charges. During the first quarter of 2005, the Company implemented a 4% workforce reduction as a continuation of cost containment efforts initiated mid-year 2004, which resulted in a severance charge of \$1.5 million, which was paid in 2005. Expense of \$0.9 million was recorded in the IMC segment, \$0.2 million in the ECP segment, and \$0.4 was recorded in unallocated operating expenses under business segment information.

13. RECENTLY ADOPTED STANDARDS

In June 2005 the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, ("SFAS 154") a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and the reporting of a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition by recording a cumulative effect adjustment within net income in the period of change. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the specific period effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS 154 was effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made after January 1, 2006.

21

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123(R)"). This statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. Note 2. - Stock-Based Compensation provides additional information related to the implementation of SFAS No. 123.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 ("SFAS No. 151"). SFAS No. 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, handling costs and wasted material (spoilage). Among other provisions, the new rule requires that such items be recognized as current-period charges, regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal" as stated in ARB No. 43. SFAS No. 151 was effective January 1, 2006 and did not have a material effect on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our Business

We are a leading developer and manufacturer of batteries, capacitors, feedthroughs, enclosures, and other components used in implantable medical devices ("IMDs") through our Implantable Medical Components ("IMC") business. We offer technologically advanced, highly reliable and long lasting products for IMDs and enable our customers to introduce IMDs that are progressively smaller, longer lasting, more efficient and more functional. We also leverage our core competencies in technology and manufacturing through our Electrochem Commercial Power ("ECP") business (formerly "Electrochem Power Solutions") to develop and produce cells and battery packs for commercial applications that demand high performance and reliability, including oil and gas exploration, oceanographic equipment and aerospace.

Most of the IMC products that we sell are utilized by customers in cardiac rhythm management ("CRM") devices. The CRM market comprises devices utilizing high-rate batteries and capacitors such as implantable cardioverter defibrillators ("ICDs") and cardiac resynchronization therapy ("CRT") with backup defibrillation devices ("CRT-D") and devices utilizing low or medium rate batteries but no capacitors (pacemakers and CRTs). All CRM devices utilize other components such as enclosures and feedthroughs, and certain CRM devices utilize electromagnetic interference ("EMI") filtering technology.

Our Customers

Our products are designed to provide reliable, long lasting solutions that meet the evolving requirements and needs of our customers and the end users of their products. Our medical customers include leading IMD manufacturers such as Guidant, St. Jude Medical, Medtronic, Biotronik, Cyberonics and the Sorin Group. A substantial part of our business is conducted with a limited number of customers. In first quarter of 2006, Guidant, St. Jude Medical, and Medtronic collectively accounted for approximately 70% of our total sales. The nature and extent of our selling relationships with each CRM customer are different in terms of breadth of component products purchased, purchased product volumes, length of contractual commitment, ordering patterns, inventory management and selling prices. Our ECP customers are primarily companies involved in oil and gas exploration, military, oceanography and aerospace.

We have entered into long-term supply agreements with some of our customers. For each of our products, we recognize revenue when the products are shipped and title passes.

Business Highlights

- o We achieved record quarterly sales of \$68.1 million, up 21% from \$56.4 million in the first quarter of 2005.
- o Implantable Medical Components sales were \$57.6 million, up 20% from the first quarter, driven by strong sales of batteries, feedthroughs and assembly products.

- o Electrochem Commercial Power sales of \$10.5 million were up 24% from the first quarter, led by strong growth in the oil and gas, and oceanographic markets.
- o Diluted earnings per share increased by 47% to \$0.28, which included stock-based compensation expense of \$0.02 per share related to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).
- o Operating margin, including move-related expenses, severance costs and stock-based compensation, improved by 3.7% in the first quarter to 14.8%.
- o We signed a new supply agreement with Sorin/ELA in March 2006. This new comprehensive agreement represents a significant incremental revenue opportunity over the 5-year term, as it includes provisions for all of our medical component technologies. The renewable agreement has an initial term ending on December 31, 2010.
- o The facility moves of shield assembly, filtered feedthroughs, and feedthroughs to our Tijuana Facility are proceeding as planned. The filtered feedthrough move from our Carson City Facility is expected to be completed by the middle of 2006. The feedthrough move from our Columbia Facility is expected to be completed by the second quarter of 2007.

Our CEO's View

We are extremely pleased with our record sales results and the strong start to 2006. We delivered better than expected sales results across the breadth of our medical and commercial products. We experienced solid growth from both our domestic and international customer base, which reflects the strength of our position in these markets. The improving operating margins reflect greater operating leverage from the increased sales volume. In addition, the consolidation of our medical power manufacturing operations coupled with improved utilization at our Tijuana Facility, combined to increase our operating margins in the quarter to approximately 15%. We remain confident that the strategic initiatives we have put in place to expand our product offering and reduce our manufacturing costs will allow us to continue to advance our competitive position in the marketplace.

Product Development

Our strategy is to maintain technology leadership by providing a fresh pipeline of next generation core products. Currently, the company is developing a series of new products for customer applications in the CRM, Neurostimulation and Commercial markets.

Some of the key development milestones for 2006 are as follows:

1. Continue the evolution of our Q series high rate ICD batteries.
2. Complete the development of a high voltage capacitor system.
3. Develop Q series medium rate battery for neurostimulation and pacemaker applications.
4. Augment our existing rechargeable battery with a new rechargeable battery offering for use in neurostimulation applications.
5. Develop rechargeable battery packs for use in commercial applications.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

6. Introduce new inductor slab filtered feedthrough technology and molded headers.
7. Continue development of the batteries and capacitors used in intravascular ICD devices.

IMC. As mentioned in our annual report (which is available on our website, www.greatbatch.com), our near term focus for growth in the medical battery market, a portion of our IMC business, is the introduction of our Q-Series batteries. Initially they will be available in two configurations - QHR (High Rate) and QMR (Medium Rate). These batteries hold the promise of unparalleled performance in a wide range of implantable device and neurostimulation applications and allow our customers to incorporate advanced power-hungry features into these devices. While companies typically announce new products that have modest improvements in form and/or function regularly, we believe the Q-Series firmly establishes a new industry standard. It delivers advanced performance criteria to an industry that historically embraces new products. We believe the Q-Series will represent a major breakthrough by combining a smaller size with greater energy density (more power).

ECP. ECP continues to develop new and innovative power solutions for the world's most demanding commercial applications. ECP has developed a new high energy lithium cell for a customer in the telematics market. Due to their exceptional high energy, two of these new cells are capable of providing power for the entire 10-year life of the telematics device. ECP developed a battery pack capable of withstanding the customer's harsh operating conditions such as high vibration, high shock, salt spray, high temperature, low temperature, and high humidity.

ECP developed a modular battery pack for a customer's fleet of underwater sonabuys which measure water characteristics. The long life of ECP cells, coupled with their ability to withstand harsh conditions, make them ideally suited for buoys. The customer's expense of commissioning a ship to replace the batteries in each buoy is reduced when using ECP batteries due to their long life.

Cost savings and consolidation efforts

During 2005, we initiated several significant cost savings and consolidation efforts.

Alden Facility Consolidation. On February 23, 2005, we announced our intent to consolidate the medical capacitor manufacturing operations, currently in Cheektowaga, NY, and the implantable medical battery manufacturing operations, currently in Clarence, NY, into the advanced power source manufacturing facility in Alden, NY ("Alden Facility"). We are also consolidating the capacitor research, development and engineering operations from the Cheektowaga, NY, facility into the Technology Center in Clarence, NY.

The Alden Facility consolidation is substantially complete as of the end of the first quarter 2006, and we do not expect to incur any significant expense for the remainder of the year. Expenses of \$2.8 million were incurred in 2005 and \$0.5 million were incurred during the first quarter 2006 for a total cost of \$3.3 million. Of these, \$1.8 million were paid in cash and \$0.9 million were for assets written-off in 2005. Approximately \$0.5 million were paid in cash during the first quarter 2006. An additional \$0.1 million remains to be paid.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Carson City Facility shutdown and Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1. On March 7, 2005, we announced our intent to close the Carson City, NV facility ("Carson City Facility") and consolidate the work performed at the Carson City Facility into the Tijuana, Mexico facility ("Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1").

The total estimated cost for this facility consolidation plan is anticipated to be between \$6.6 million and \$6.8 million, comprised of \$3.9 million for the Carson City Facility shutdown and \$2.7 million to \$2.9 million for Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1. We expect to incur the remaining costs over the next two fiscal quarters. All categories of costs are considered to be cash expenditures, except for accelerated depreciation.

Carson City Facility shutdown expenses of \$3.5 million have been incurred to date, of which \$2.9 million were incurred in 2005, and \$0.6 million were incurred in the first quarter 2006. In 2005, \$0.2 million were paid in cash and \$0.6 million were recorded as accelerated depreciation. Of the \$2.1 million remaining accrual balance at year-end, no amounts were paid during the first quarter 2006. Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1 expenses of \$1.5 million were incurred and paid in 2005, and \$0.6 were incurred and paid in 2006.

Once the moves are completed, we anticipate annual cost savings in the range of \$2.5 to \$3.1 million. The expenses for the Carson City facility shutdown and the Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 1 are included in the IMC business segment.

Columbia Facility & ARL shutdown, Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2, and RD&E Consolidation. On November 16, 2005, we announced our intent to close both the Columbia, MD facility ("Columbia Facility") and the Fremont, CA Advanced Research Laboratory ("ARL"). The manufacturing operations at the Columbia Facility will be moved into the Tijuana Facility ("Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2"). The research, development and engineering ("RD&E") and product development functions at the Columbia Facility and at ARL have begun to relocate to the Technology Center in Clarence, NY.

The total estimated cost for this facility consolidation plan is anticipated to be between \$7.9 million and \$8.3 million. We expect to incur this additional cost over the next five fiscal quarters. All categories of costs are considered to be future cash expenditures, except for accelerated depreciation and asset write-offs.

Columbia Facility and ARL shutdown expenses of \$1.8 million have been recorded to date. Approximately \$1.1 million were incurred in 2005, and \$0.7 million were incurred in first quarter 2006, of which \$0.4 million were recorded for assets written-off. Approximately \$0.7 million was paid in cash during the first quarter of 2006. The balance is expected to be paid by the end of the third quarter, 2006. Tijuana Facility consolidation plan No. 2 expenses of \$0.3 million and \$0.01 million were incurred and paid in cash in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Once the moves are completed, the Company anticipates annual cost savings in the range of \$5.0 to \$6.0 million. The expenses for the Columbia Facility and ARL shutdowns, the Tijuana Facility consolidation No. 2 and the RD&E consolidation are included in the IMC business segment.

Severance charges. The Company implemented a 4% workforce reduction during the first quarter of 2005, which resulted in a severance charge of \$1.5 million. All

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

amounts were paid in 2005.

Our Financial Results

We utilize a fifty-two, fifty-three week fiscal year ending on the Friday nearest December 31st. For 52-week years, each quarter contains 13 weeks. For clarity of presentation, we describe all periods as if each quarter end is March 31st, June 30th and September 30th and as if the year-end is December 31st. The first quarter of 2006 and 2005 each contained 13 weeks.

The commentary that follows should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

27

| Results of Operations | Three months ended | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|--------|
| In thousands, except per share data | March 31, | | 2 |
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ Cha |
| | | | |
| IMC | | | |
| ICD batteries | \$12,679 | \$10,751 | \$ 1,9 |
| Pacemaker and other batteries | 5,787 | 5,255 | 5 |
| ICD capacitors | 3,568 | 4,297 | (7 |
| Feedthroughs | 16,288 | 13,682 | 2,6 |
| Enclosures | 6,340 | 6,547 | (2 |
| Other | 12,918 | 7,333 | 5,5 |
| | | | |
| Total IMC | 57,580 | 47,865 | 9,7 |
| ECP | 10,527 | 8,493 | 2,0 |
| | | | |
| Total sales | 68,107 | 56,358 | 11,7 |
| Cost of sales - excluding amortization of intangible assets | 39,515 | 35,571 | 3,9 |
| Amortization of intangible assets - cost of sales | 958 | 958 | |
| | | | |
| Gross profit (1) | 27,634 | 19,829 | 7,8 |
| Gross margin | 40.6% | 35.2% | |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses ("SG&A") | 9,015 | 6,766 | 2,2 |
| SG&A as a % of sales | 13.2% | 12.0% | |
| Research, development and engineering costs, net ("RD&E") | 5,898 | 4,401 | 1,4 |
| RD&E as a % of sales | 8.7% | 7.8% | |
| Other operating expense | 2,669 | 2,388 | 2 |
| | | | |
| Operating income | 10,052 | 6,274 | 3,7 |
| Operating margin | 14.8% | 11.1% | |
| Interest expense | 1,135 | 1,131 | |
| Interest income | (1,192) | (575) | (6 |
| Other (income) expense, net | (44) | - | (|
| Provision for income taxes | 3,503 | 1,715 | 1,7 |
| Effective tax rate | 34.5% | 30.0% | |

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| Net income | \$ 6,650 | \$ 4,003 | \$ 2,6 |
| Net margin | 9.8% | 7.1% | |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0. |

(1) Gross profit, which equals total sales minus cost of sales including amortization of intangible assets, has been revised from prior year.

28

Sales

IMC. The nature and extent of our selling relationship with each CRM customer is different in terms of component products purchased, selling prices, product volumes, ordering patterns and inventory management. We have pricing arrangements with our customers that at times do not specify minimum order quantities. Our visibility to customer ordering patterns is over a relatively short period of time. Our customers may have inventory management programs and alternate supply arrangements of which we are unaware. Additionally, the relative market share among the CRM device manufacturers changes periodically. Consequently, these and other factors can significantly impact our sales in any given period.

Our customers may initiate field actions with respect to market-released products. These actions may include product recalls or communications with a significant number of physicians about a product or labeling issue. The scope of such actions can range from very minor issues affecting a small number of units to more significant actions. There are a number of factors, both short-term and long-term related to these field actions that may impact our results. In the short-term, if product has to be replaced, or customer inventory levels have to be restored, this will result in increased component demand. Also, changing customer order patterns due to market share shifts or accelerated device replacements may also have a positive impact on our sales results in the near-term. These same factors may have longer-term implications as well. Customer inventory levels may ultimately have to be rebalanced to match demand.

We believe that the market continues to exhibit strong underlying growth fundamentals (as evidenced by the increased number of CRM device implants) and that we are well positioned to participate in this market growth.

The increase in IMC sales of 20% during the first quarter was primarily due to strong demand for ICD batteries, filtered feedthroughs, feedthroughs, and assembly products.

ECP. Similar to IMC customers, we have pricing arrangements with our customers that many times do not specify minimum quantities. Our visibility to customer ordering patterns is over a relatively short period of time.

The ECP sales increases of 24% in the first quarter and have been driven by volume increases due to a number of factors.

First and foremost, we have expanded our commercial sales force. We are aggressively pursuing new business opportunities and have been successful on many of these fronts.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Second, we have significantly reduced our manufacturing lead times at our Canton, Massachusetts facility, which has allowed us to be more responsive to our customers needs. We will continue to expand on these efforts from various lean manufacturing initiatives that are underway in our Canton facility and throughout the Company.

The third factor that has contributed to our positive commercial results has been favorable market dynamics. The oil and gas exploration market remains

29

robust due to the increased demand for products used in pipeline inspections, pressure monitoring and measurement while drilling applications. In addition, we have seen an increase in demand for power sources used in wave monitoring and seismic recording, due to increased Tsunami related concerns, mainly in the international markets.

Cost of Sales

Lower cost of sales as a percentage of sales in the quarter was primarily due to the following factors:

| | Three months ended March 31, 2006 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Production efficiencies primarily associated with higher volumes (a) | -6.1% |
| Excess capacity at wet tantalum capacitor facility (b) | -1.0% |
| Excess capacity at Tijuana facility (c) | 1.6% |
| Lower IMC selling prices (d) | 0.9% |
| Other | -0.8% |
| | |
| Total percentage point impact on cost of sales as a percentage of sales | -5.4% |

- (a) This decrease in cost of sales is primarily due to the fact that as production volumes increase, fixed costs such as plant overhead and depreciation do not increase at the same rate.
- (b) During 2005, the Capacitor facility was not being utilized to its full capacity. The cost associated with the excess capacity in first quarter 2005 was eliminated as capacitor manufacturing was consolidated into the Alden facility.
- (c) The Tijuana facility was new in 2005 and its infrastructure and floor space were coming on line during the first quarter of 2005. In first quarter 2006, the underutilized infrastructure was fully operational and increased excess capacity costs.
- (d) Sales prices for IMC are subject to pricing agreements with customers. Many times these agreements allow for changes in price due to customer specific levels of demand.

We expect cost of sales as a percentage of sales to decrease over the next several years as the result of the consolidation efforts and the elimination of excess capacity. Excess capacity for the Tijuana Facility is not expected to be

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

eliminated until mid 2007 when the last announced consolidation effort is anticipated to be completed (see the "cost savings and consolidation efforts" section for additional information).

Amortization of intangible assets - cost of sales

Amortization expense for the first quarter of 2006 was comparable to the first quarter of 2005.

30

SG&A expenses

The increase in SG&A expenses for the first quarter 2006 is primarily due to the following factors (in millions):

| | | |
|--|----|-------|
| SFAS No. 123(R) stock-based compensation expense | \$ | 0.8 |
| Increased workforce | | 0.6 |
| Directors' fees | | 0.3 |
| Increased incentive compensation | | 0.1 |
| Other | | 0.4 |
| | | ----- |
| Net increase in SG&A | \$ | 2.2 |
| | | ===== |

The increase in stock-based compensation expense is expected to continue into the future.

RD&E expenses

Net research, development and engineering costs are as follows (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Research and development costs | \$ 4.0 | \$ 3.8 |
| | | ----- |
| Engineering costs | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| Less cost reimbursements | (0.4) | (0.8) |
| | | ----- |
| Engineering costs, net | 1.9 | 0.6 |
| | | ----- |
| Total research and development and engineering costs, net | \$ 5.9 | \$ 4.4 |
| | | ===== |

The increase in RD&E expenses in the first quarter of 2006 is primarily due to increased personnel costs (headcount), coupled with decreased reimbursement of

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

50% on new product development projects in the current quarter compared to last year. In terms of the development costs billed, reimbursements were lower due to the timing of the achievement of revenue milestones. Reimbursements for achieving certain development milestones are netted against gross spending. We expect that RD&E costs will be within the range of 9% to 10% as a percentage of sales for the remainder of 2006 due to increased investment in future development programs.

31

Other operating expense

Other operating expense for 2006 and 2005 comprised the following costs (in millions):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Carson City facility shutdown (a) | \$ 1.2 | \$ 0.2 |
| Columbia Facility and Advanced Research Laboratory shutdown (a) | 1.0 | - |
| Alden facility consolidation (a) | 0.5 | - |
| Tijuana start-up | - | 0.2 |
| Severance (a) | - | 1.5 |
| Asset dispositions and other (b) | - | 0.5 |
| | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.4 |
| | \$ 2.7 | \$ 2.4 |

- (a) Refer to "Cost savings and consolidation efforts" discussion for disclosure related to the timing and level of remaining expenditures for these items as of March 31, 2006.
- (b) Expenditures in 2005 were for asset disposals.

Other operating expenses for the remainder of 2006 are expected to be in the range of \$8.0 million and \$10.0 million primarily related to plant consolidations and asset dispositions. In the future, other operating expenses are expected to be substantially reduced after the second quarter of 2007 when the last announced consolidation effort is anticipated to be completed.

Interest expense and interest income

Interest expense is consistent with the prior year's quarter, and is primarily related to the outstanding convertible notes.

Interest income increased in the first quarter 2006 in comparison to the first quarter of 2005 due to increased cash, cash equivalents and short-term investment balances coupled with higher interest rates on the invested cash.

Provision for income taxes

Our effective tax rate of 34.5% for the first quarter of 2006 is below the United States statutory rate primarily as a result of the allowable

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Extraterritorial Income Exclusion ("ETI") and the Qualified Production Activities Deduction. In comparison to the first quarter of 2005, the year to date effective tax rate is higher due to the expiration of the federal research and development tax credit and the reduction in 2006 of the level of allowable ETI benefits.

We estimate our effective tax rate to be approximately 34% for the full year 2006.

32

Liquidity and Capital Resources

| (Dollars in millions) | | March 31, 2006 |
|--|----|-------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments (a) | \$ | 104.9 \$ |
| Working capital (b) | \$ | 165.8 \$ |
| Current ratio | | 5.7:1.0 |

- (a) Short-term investments consist of investments acquired with maturities that exceed three months and are less than one year at the time of acquisition, equity securities classified as available-for-sale, and auction rate securities.
- (b) Working capital increased by approximately \$14.0 million. Net earnings of \$6.7 million and company stock contributed to the 401(k) Plan of \$2.8 million are the primary drivers of the increase.

Revolving Line of Credit

On May 31, 2005, we amended our Senior Secured Credit Facility, which included changes to the underlying covenants. The amended facility replaced the old \$20.0 million revolving credit facility with a new three-year \$50.0 million Revolving Credit Facility ("new revolver"), which contains a \$10.0 million sub-limit for the issuance of commercial or standby letters of credit. The new revolver is secured by our non-realty assets including cash, accounts and notes receivable, and inventories. The new revolver requires us to comply with two quarterly financial covenants. The first relates to the ratio of consolidated net earnings or loss before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") to Fixed Charges. The second is a Leverage ratio, which is calculated based on the ratio of Consolidated Funded Debt less Cash, Cash Equivalent Investments and Short-Term Investments to Consolidated EBITDA. Interest rates under the new revolver vary with our leverage. We are required to pay a commitment fee of between .125% and .250% per annum on the unused portion of the new revolver based on our leverage. As of March 31, 2006, we had no balance outstanding on the new revolver.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our operating cash flow combined with our working capital of \$165.8 million at March 31, 2006 and availability under the new revolver. Historically we have generated cash from operations sufficient to meet our capital expenditure and debt service needs, other than for acquisitions. At March 31, 2006, our current ratio was 5.7:1.

The Company regularly engages in discussions relating to potential acquisitions and may announce an acquisition transaction at any time.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

Operating activities

Net cash flows used by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2006 decreased by approximately \$6.5 million over the comparable period in 2005 primarily due to increased accounts receivable due to higher sales, and decreased accrued expenses due to the payment in 2006 of amounts accrued for 2005 incentive compensation and profit sharing programs.

33

Investing activities

The majority of the acquisition of property, plant and equipment for the first quarter of 2006 was related to the movement of operations from the Columbia Facility to the Tijuana Facility.

Short-term investments increased by approximately \$0.3 million.

Financing activities

Payments on capital lease obligations and cash from non-qualified stock option exercises are the primary financing activities for the first quarter of 2006 and 2005.

Capital Structure

At March 31, 2006, our capital structure consisted primarily of \$170.0 million of convertible subordinated notes and 21.8 million shares of common stock outstanding. We have approximately \$105.0 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and are in a position to facilitate future acquisitions if necessary. We are also authorized to issue 100 million shares of common stock and 100 million shares of preferred stock. The market value of our outstanding common stock since our IPO has exceeded our book value; accordingly, we believe that if needed we can access public markets to sell additional common or preferred stock assuming conditions are appropriate.

Our capital structure allows us to support our internal growth and provides liquidity for corporate development initiatives. Our current expectation for 2006 is that capital spending will be in the range of \$22.0 million to \$27.0 million, of which \$5.0 to \$7.0 million is attributable to the Tijuana Facility build-out.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements, except for operating leases, within the meaning of Item 303(a) (4) of Regulation S-K.

Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a significant effect on our operations.

Impact of Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In June 2005 the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, ("SFAS 154") a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and Statement No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and the

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

reporting of a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition by recording a cumulative effect adjustment within net income in the period of change. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the specific period effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS 154 was effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made after January 1, 2006.

34

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123(R)"). This statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. See Note 2 - Stock-Based Compensation of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q and the "Application of Critical Accounting Estimates" section below for additional information related to the implementation of SFAS No. 123(R).

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 ("SFAS No. 151"). SFAS No. 151 amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, handling costs and wasted material (spoilage). Among other provisions, the new rule requires that such items be recognized as current-period charges, regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal" as stated in ARB No. 43. SFAS No. 151 was effective January 1, 2006 and did not have a material effect on its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Application of Critical Accounting Estimates

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are based on the selection of accounting policies and the application of significant accounting estimates, some of which require management to make significant assumptions. We believe that some of the more critical estimates and related assumptions that affect our financial condition and results of operations are in the areas of inventories, goodwill and other indefinite lived intangible assets, long-lived assets income taxes, and stock-based compensation.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we did not change or adopt new accounting policies that had a material effect on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations other than stock-based compensation policies.

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment ("SFAS No. 123(R)"), and related Securities and Exchange Commission rules included in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, on a modified prospective basis. Under this method, compensation cost recognized beginning January 1, 2006 includes costs related to 1) all share-based payments (stock options and restricted stock awards) granted prior to but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and 2) all share-based payments (stock options and restricted stock awards) granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

123(R). Compensation cost for nonqualified stock options is generally recognized ratably over a four-year vesting period. Compensation cost for incentive stock options is generally recognized ratably over a seven-year vesting period. Compensation costs for restricted stock awards granted to employees are recognized ratably over the vesting period determined at the time of grant. The Company has continued to use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options granted subsequent to the date of adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

35

Compensation costs related to stock options and restricted stock for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 totaled \$1.4 million, and are included in the statement of earnings primarily in selling, general, and administrative expenses.

As of March 31, 2006, \$7.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 5 years.

We utilize the Black-Scholes Options Pricing Model to determine the fair value of stock options under SFAS No. 123(R), consistent with that used for pro forma disclosures in prior years. We are required to make certain assumptions with respect to selected model inputs, including anticipated changes in the underlying stock price (i.e., expected volatility) and option exercise activity (i.e., expected life). Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of the Company's stock options and other factors. The expected life of options granted, which represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding, is based, primarily, on historical data. The expected dividend yield is based on our Company's history and expectation of dividend payouts. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for a period commensurate with the estimated expected life. If factors change and result in different assumptions in the application of SFAS No. 123(R) in future periods, the stock option expense that we record for future grants may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period.

There is a high degree of subjectivity involved in selecting the option pricing model assumptions used to estimate share-based compensation expense under SFAS No. 123(R). Option pricing models were developed for use in estimating the value of traded options that have no vesting or hedging restrictions, are fully transferable and do not cause dilution. Because our share-based payments have characteristics significantly different from those of freely traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect our estimates of fair values, existing valuation models may not provide reliable measures of the fair values of our share-based compensation. Consequently, there is a risk that our estimates of the fair values of our share-based compensation awards on the grant dates may bear little resemblance to the actual values realized upon the exercise, expiration or forfeiture of those share-based payments in the future. Stock options may expire worthless or otherwise result in zero intrinsic value as compared to the fair values originally estimated on the grant date and reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Alternatively, value may be realized from these instruments that is significantly in excess of the fair values originally estimated on the grant date and reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

36

There are significant differences among valuation models. This may result in a lack of comparability with other companies that use different models, methods and assumptions. There is also a possibility that we will adopt a different valuation model in the future. This may result in a lack of consistency in future periods and may materially affect the fair value estimate of share-based payments.

Contractual Obligations

During 2004, we commenced the build out of our Alden Facility and our Tijuana Facility. These facilities will enable us to further consolidate our operations and implement state of the art manufacturing capabilities at both locations. The total remaining contractual obligation for construction of these facilities is \$4.5 million and will be financed by existing cash, short term investments, and cash generated from operations.

37

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us and our representatives, are not statements of historical or current fact. As such, they are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations, which are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. They include statements relating to:

- o future sales, expenses and profitability;
- o the future development and expected growth of our business and the implantable medical device industry;
- o our ability to successfully execute our business model and our business strategy;
- o our ability to identify trends within the implantable medical devices, medical components, and commercial power sources industries and to offer products and services that meet the changing needs of those markets;
- o projected capital expenditures; and
- o trends in government regulation.

You can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential" or "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially from those suggested by these forward-looking statements. In evaluating these statements and our prospects generally, you should carefully consider the factors set forth below. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary factors and to others contained throughout this report. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report or to conform

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

these statements to actual results.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the results expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements or that may affect our future results, some of these factors include the following: dependence upon a limited number of customers, product obsolescence, inability to market current or future products, pricing pressure from customers, reliance on third party suppliers for raw materials, products and subcomponents, fluctuating operating results, inability to maintain high quality standards for our products, challenges to our intellectual property rights, product liability claims, inability to successfully consummate and integrate acquisitions, unsuccessful expansion into new markets, competition, inability to obtain licenses to key technology, regulatory changes or consolidation in the healthcare industry, and other risks and uncertainties that arise from time to time as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and other periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

38

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Under our line of credit any borrowings bear interest at fluctuating market rates. At March 31, 2006, we did not have any borrowings outstanding under our line of credit and thus no interest rate sensitive financial instruments other than short-term investments. We do not believe that the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on short-term investments will have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

The company incurs certain expenses related to the Tijuana operations that are denominated in a foreign currency. We do not believe that the impact of foreign currency fluctuations will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

- a. Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. During the first quarter of 2006, our management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) related to the recording, processing, summarization and reporting of information in our reports that we file with the SEC. These disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that material information relating to us, including our subsidiaries, is made known to our management, including these officers, by other of our employees, and that this information is recorded, processed, summarized, evaluated and reported, as applicable, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Due to the inherent limitations of control systems, not all misstatements may be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. Our controls and procedures can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the above objectives have been met.

Based on their evaluation, as of March 31, 2006, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective.

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

b. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter to which this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

39

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

No material changes from risk factors as previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

ITEM 5. Other Information.

None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits.

See the Exhibit Index for a list of those exhibits filed herewith.

40

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 10, 2006 GREATBATCH, INC.

By /s/ Edward F. Voboril

Edward F. Voboril
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Edgar Filing: GREATBATCH, INC. - Form 10-Q

(Principal Executive Officer)

By /s/ Thomas J. Mazza

Thomas J. Mazza
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By /s/ Marco F. Benedetti

Marco F. Benedetti
Corporate Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

41

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. ----- | Description ----- |
|----------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q ended July 1, 2005). |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our quarterly report on Form 10-Q ended March 29, 2002). |
| 10.1+ | Supply Agreement for medical device components dated March 31, 2006, between Greatbatch, Inc. and SORIN/ELA BIOMEDICA CRM and ELA MEDICAL SAS. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Portions of the exhibit marked "+" have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

42