BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD CALIFORNIA INSURED FUND, INC

Form N-CSRS April 07, 2009

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### FORM N-CSRS

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-06692

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: Donald C. Burke, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc., 800 Scudders Mill Road, Plainsboro, NJ, 08536. Mailing address: P.O. Box 9011, Princeton, NJ, 08543-9011 Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2009

Date of reporting period: 08/01/2008 - 01/31/2009

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

EQUITIES FIXED INCOME REAL ESTATE LIQUIDITY ALTERNATIVES BLACKROCK SOLUTIONS

### Semi-Annual Report

JANUARY 31, 2009 | (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Insured Fund, Inc. (MYN)

NOT FDIC INSURED MAY LOSE VALUE NO BANK GUARANTEE

### Table of Contents

	_
	Page
A Letter to Shareholders	3
Semi-Annual Report:	
Fund Summaries	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	9
<u>Derivative Instruments</u>	9
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	10
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	36
Statements of Operations	38
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	39
Statements of Cash Flows	44
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	45
Notes to Financial Statements	50
Officers and Directors	57
Additional Information	57
2 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2009

#### A Letter to Shareholders Dear Shareholder

The present time may well be remembered as one of the most tumultuous periods in financial market history. Over the past year, the bursting of the housing bubble and the resultant credit crisis swelled into an all-out global financial market meltdown that featured the collapse of storied financial firms, volatile swings in the world's financial markets and monumental government responses, including the nearly \$800 billion economic stimulus plan signed into law just after period end.

The US economy appeared relatively resilient through the first few months of 2008, when rising food and energy prices fueled inflation fears. Mid-summer ushered in dramatic changes — inflationary pressure subsided amid a plunge in commodity prices, while economic pressures intensified in the midst of a rapid deterioration in consumer spending, employment and other key indicators. By year's end, the National Bureau of Economic Research affirmed that the United States was in a recession, which officially began in December 2007. The Federal Reserve Board (the "Fed"), after slashing interest rates aggressively early in the period, resumed that rate-cutting campaign in the fall, with the final reduction in December 2008 bringing the target federal funds rate to a record low range of between zero and 0.25%. Importantly, the central bank pledged that future policy moves to revive the global economy and financial markets would comprise primarily nontraditional and quantitative easing measures, such as capital injections, lending programs and government guarantees.

Against this backdrop, US equity markets experienced intense volatility, with the sentiment turning decisively negative toward period end. Declines were significant and broad-based, with little divergence among large- and small-cap stocks. Non-US stocks posted stronger results early on, but quickly lost ground as the credit crisis revealed itself to be global in scope and as the worldwide economic slowdown gathered pace. Overall, aggressive monetary and fiscal policy, combined with the defensiveness of the US, helped domestic equities notch better performance than their non-US counterparts.

In fixed income markets, risk aversion remained the popular theme, leading the Treasury sector to top all other asset classes. The high yield market was particularly hard hit in this environment, as economic turmoil, combined with frozen credit markets and substantial technical pressures, took a heavy toll. Meanwhile, the municipal bond market was challenged by a dearth of market participants, lack of liquidity, difficult funding environment and backlog of new-issue supply, which sent prices lower and yields well above Treasuries. By period end, however, some positive momentum had returned to the municipal space.

In all, an investor flight to safety prevailed, as evidenced in the six- and 12-month returns of the major benchmark indexes:

Total Returns as of January 31, 2009	6-month	12-month
US equities (S&P 500 Index)	(33.95)%	(38.63)%
Small cap US equities (Russell 2000 Index)	(37.38)	(36.84)
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	(40.75)	(43.74)
US Treasury securities (Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	11.96	10.64
Taxable fixed income (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index*)	3.23	2.59
Tax-exempt fixed income (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index*)	0.70	(0.16)
High yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index*)	(19.07)	(19.72)

<sup>\*</sup> Formerly a Lehman Brothers index.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Through periods of market turbulence, as ever, BlackRock's full resources are dedicated to the management of our clients' assets. For our most current views on the economy and financial markets, we invite you to visit **www.blackrock.com/funds**. We thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to continuing to serve you in the months and years ahead.

Since	

Rob Kapito President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

**BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc.** (MUE) (the "Fund") seeks to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income taxes.

#### **Performance**

For the six months ended January 31, 2009, the Fund returned (6.66)% based on market price and (7.33)% based on net asset value ("NAV"). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (4.58)% on a market price basis and (6.20)% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund's discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. Sector allocation played an important role in determining how the Fund performed during the reporting period. A positive contributor to performance was the Fund's significant overweight in pre-refunded bonds in the one-to five-year maturity range, as the yield curve steepened and short- and intermediate-maturity issues outperformed. Conversely, spread products, such as health care, housing and corporate-backed bonds, significantly underperformed as the economic downturn continued to add more stress on the fundamental credit quality for these sectors. The Fund's exposure to these issues detracted from results.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange	MUE
Initial Offering Date	February 26, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2009 (\$10.23) <sup>1</sup>	5.87%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.03%
Current Monthly Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.05
Current Annualized Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.60
Leverage as of January 31, 2009 <sup>4</sup>	43%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares ("Preferred Shares") and tender option bond trusts ("TOBs") as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 9.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/09	7/31/08	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$10.23	\$11.30	(9.47)%	\$11.55	\$7.00
Net Asset Value	\$11.54	\$12.84	(10.12)%	\$13.11	\$9.70

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

#### **Sector Allocations**

	1/31/09	7/31/08
County/City/Special District/School District	25%	20%
Transportation	25	24
Utilities — Electric & Gas	11	10
Hospitals/Health Care	9	13
Housing	7	7
Utilities — Water & Sewer	6	6
Education	5	4
IDA/PCR/Resource Recovery	5	8
State	3	5
Special Tax	2	1
Lease Obligation	2	2

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	1/31/09	7/31/08
AAA/Aaa	43%	48%
AA/Aa	45	45
A/A	9	6
BBB/Baa	3	1

Using the higher of Standard & Poor's ("S&P's") or Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") ratings.

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA) (the "Fund") seeks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and California income taxes.

#### **Performance**

For the six months ended January 31, 2009, the Fund returned (10.15)% based on market price and (4.81)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (10.16)% on a market price basis and (6.34)% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. A neutral duration posture and a relatively high cash equivalent reserve provided some cushion to the Fund's NAV, as tax-exempt rates generally rose. Relative to its Lipper peers, the Fund benefited from lower exposure to poorer-rated monoline insurers. Management's strategy is to pursue a balanced approach to returns, emphasizing income accrual and muting price volatility. Credit fundamentals warrant close monitoring in the current weak economic environment, and management will improve quality as opportunities arise.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange	MCA
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2009 (\$10.74) <sup>1</sup>	5.98%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.20%
Current Monthly Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0535
Current Annualized Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.6420
Leverage as of January 31, 2009 <sup>4</sup>	38%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized

by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 9. The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/09	7/31/08	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$10.74	\$12.33	(12.90)%	\$12.54	\$ 6.95
Net Asset Value	\$12.79	\$13.86	(7.72)%	\$14.17	\$10.46

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

#### **Sector Allocations**

	1/31/09	7/31/08
County/City/Special District/School District	46%	47%
Utilities — Water & Sewer	22	17
Transportation	14	13
Education	7	8
State	4	4
Utilities — Electric & Gas	3	3
Housing	2	2
Hospitals/Health Care	1	4
Utilities — Irrigation, Resource Recovery, Solid Waste & Other	1	1
Lease Obligations/COP	_	1

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	1/31/09	7/31/08
AAA/Aaa	43%	42%
AA/Aa	49	46
A/A	8	11
Not Rated	_	16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2008, the market value of these securities was \$6,574,300 representing 1% of the Fund's long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009 5

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

**BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI) (the "Fund")** seeks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term, investment grade municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income taxes.

#### **Performance**

For the six months ended January 31, 2009, the Fund returned (11.51)% based on market price and (10.04)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (4.58)% on a market price basis and (6.20)% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The Fund benefited from its above-average yield, but its constructive positioning during a period of generally increasing yields hurt performance. Performance was also hindered by above-average exposure to the longer end of the yield curve, where yields rose, and by above-average exposure to select monoline insurers, whose credit difficulties decreased the value of insured bonds. Fund management worked to upgrade credit quality and sell weaker credits during this very volatile and illiquid performance period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange	MYI
Initial Offering Date	March 27, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2009 (\$10.46) <sup>1</sup>	6.42%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.88%
Current Monthly Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.056
Current Annualized Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.672
Leverage as of January 31, 2009 <sup>4</sup>	41%
Leverage as of sumary 51, 2009	1170

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized

by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 9. The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/09	7/31/08	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$10.46	\$12.22	(14.40)%	\$12.30	\$7.07
Net Asset Value	\$11.19	\$12.86	(12.99)%	\$13.22	\$9.02

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

#### **Sector Allocations**

	1/31/09	7/31/08
Transportation	32%	33%
County/City/Special District/School District	17	17
Hospitals/Health Care	9	7
Utilities — Water & Sewer	9	7
IDA/PCR/Resource Recovery	9	8
Utilities — Electric & Gas	7	8
Housing	6	5
Education	6	8
State	3	4
Utilities — Irrigation, Resource Recovery, Solid Waste & Other	1	2
Lease Obligations	1	1

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	1/31/09	7/31/08
AAA/Aaa	48%	50%
AA/Aa	37	37
A/A	12	9
BBB/Baa	3	4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund II, Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

**BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund II, Inc.** (MYM) (the "Fund") seeks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes.

#### **Performance**

For the six months ended January 31, 2009, the Fund returned (10.09)% based on market price and (3.46)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (10.16)% on a market price basis and (6.34)% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. Fund performance was driven primarily by a rising yield (and correspondingly falling price) environment for intermediate and long-term municipals during the second half of 2008. Pre-refunded and escrowed issues were the best-performing municipal sectors for the period, and the Fund's high allocation to these areas had a positive influence on results.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange	MYM
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2009 (\$10.12) <sup>1</sup>	6.40%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.85%
Current Monthly Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.054
Current Annualized Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.648
Leverage as of January 31, 2009 <sup>4</sup>	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 9.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/09	7/31/08	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 10.12	\$ 11.63	(12.98)%	\$ 11.74	\$ 7.00
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.37	\$ 13.24	(6.57)%	\$ 13.54	\$ 10.95

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

#### **Sector Allocations**

	1/31/09	7/31/08
County/City/Special District/ School District	25%	32%
Hospitals/Health Care	16	15
IDA/PCR/Resource Recovery	11	8
Transportation	11	11
Utilities — Water & Sewer	11	11
Lease Obligation	8	6
Education	7	6
Utilities — Electric & Gas	6	6
State	2	2
Special Tax	2	2
Housing	1	1

#### Credit Quality Allocations<sup>5</sup>

	1/31/09	7/31/08
AAA/Aaa	29%	36%
AA/Aa	47	50
A/A	20	10
BBB/Baa	2	3
Not Rated	2	1

Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009 7

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Insured Fund, Inc.

#### **Investment Objective**

BlackRock MuniYield New York Insured Fund, Inc. (MYN) (the "Fund") seeks to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management by investing primarily in a portfolio of long-term municipal obligations the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes.

#### **Performance**

For the six months ended January 31, 2009, the Fund returned (12.46)% based on market price and (7.06)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (10.16)% on a market price basis and (6.34)% on a NAV basis. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund's discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. Fund performance was positively influenced by its above-average distribution rate. The Fund's overweight in longer-maturity insured bonds with weaker underlying ratings detracted overall, but benefited performance toward the end of the period. These bonds significantly underperformed during the past year due to deteriorating credits and ratings of the mono-line insurers, but they began a turnaround in early 2009. Fortunately, management avoided selling these holdings when values were distressed, which would have locked in their underperformance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange	MYN
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2009 (\$10.00) <sup>1</sup>	6.30%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	9.69%
Current Monthly Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0525
Current Annualized Distribution per share of Common Shares <sup>3</sup>	\$0.6300
Leverage as of January 31, 2009 <sup>4</sup>	40%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of

leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 9. The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund's market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/09	7/31/08	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	\$ 11.80 \$ 13.16	(15.25)% (10.03)%		

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund's long-term investments:

#### **Sector Allocations**

	1/31/09	7/31/08
Transportation	26%	29%
County/City/Special District/ School District	25	25
IDA/PCR/Resource Recovery	10	10
State	9	8
Utilities — Water & Sewer	7	7
Utilities — Electric & Gas	5	7
Hospital/Health Care	5	4
Education	4	3
Special Tax	4	4
Housing	3	2
Tobacco	1	1
Utility	1	

#### **Credit Quality Allocations**<sup>5</sup>

	1/31/09	7/31/08
AAA/Aaa	43%	47%
AA/Aa	32	39
A/A	21	9
BBB/Baa	4	4
Not Rated	_	16

Using the higher of S&P's or Moody's ratings.

The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2008, the market value of these securities was \$4,624,822 representing 1% of the Fund's long-term investments.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

#### The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their Common Shares. However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Funds issue Preferred Shares, which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the cost of assets to be obtained from leverage will be based on short-term interest rates, which normally will be lower than the income earned by each Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of the Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Fund's Common Shareholders will benefit from the incremental yield.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the Fund's total portfolio of \$150 million earns the income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to Preferred Shareholders are significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund's long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental yield.

Conversely, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rates whereas the Fund's total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates. If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental yield pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely.

Furthermore, the value of the Fund's portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Fund's Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Fund's NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Fund performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Funds may also, from time to time, leverage their assets through the use of tender option bond ("TOB") programs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Funds with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Funds to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Funds, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB trust may adversely affect the Funds' NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased returns to the Funds and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short- or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds' NAV, market price and dividend rate than a comparable portfolio without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Funds' net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Funds' net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to shareholders will be reduced. The Funds may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or below fair market values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Funds to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Funds' ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate preferred shares issued by a Fund. The Funds will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by the holders of the Common Shares and may reduce returns on the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Funds are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Fund anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of January 31, 2009, the Funds had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

Percent of Leverage

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc.	43%
BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc.	38%
BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc.	41%
BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund II, Inc.	39%
BlackRock MuniYield New York Insured Fund, Inc.	40%

#### **Derivative Instruments**

The Funds may invest in various derivative instruments, including swap agreements and futures, and other instruments specified in the Notes to Financial Statements, which constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the other party to the transaction and illiquidity of the derivative instrument. A Fund's ability to successfully use a derivative instrument depends on the Advisor's ability to accurately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio securities at inopportune times or for prices other than current market values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment or may cause a Fund to hold a security that it might otherwise sell. The Funds' investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value	
Alabama — 0.9%			
Jefferson County, Alabama, Limited Obligation School Warrants, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/22	\$ 3,580	\$ 2,367,311	
Alaska — 0.7%			
Anchorage, Alaska, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, 6%, 9/01/24 (a)	1,630	1,672,331	
Arkansas — 4.5%			
Arkansas State Development Finance Authority, M/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series C, 5.35%, 12/01/35 (b)(c)	12,215	11,597,776	
	9,350	8,800,126	
California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b)  Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d)  Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35	9,350 2,405 1,175	8,800,126 2,270,440 1,106,063	
California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b) Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d) Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35 Modesto, California, Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds, 5.50%, 9/01/36 (a) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K, 5.75%, 11/01/21 (b)(e)	2,405	2,270,440	
California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b) Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d) Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35 Modesto, California, Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds, 5.50%, 9/01/36 (a) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K, 5.75%, 11/01/21 (b)(e) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (b)(e) Roseville, California, Joint Union High School District, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%,	2,405 1,175 4,240 3,000 5,000	2,270,440 1,106,063 3,289,562 2,940,570 4,236,600	
California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b) Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d) Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35 Modesto, California, Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds, 5.50%, 9/01/36 (a) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K, 5.75%, 11/01/21 (b)(e) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (b)(e) Roseville, California, Joint Union High School District, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 8/01/29 (b)(e) Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 5%,	2,405 1,175 4,240 3,000	2,270,440 1,106,063 3,289,562 2,940,570 4,236,600 2,913,927	
California — 13.8%  California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b)  Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d)  Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35  Modesto, California, Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds, 5.50%, 9/01/36 (a)  Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K, 5.75%, 11/01/21 (b)(e)  Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (b)(e)  Roseville, California, Joint Union High School District, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 8/01/29 (b)(e)  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 5%, 12/01/27 (a)  San Diego, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 5/01/30 (d)  San Francisco, California, City and County Airport Commission, International Airport, Special Facilities Lease Revenue Bonds (SFO Fuel Company LLC), AMT, Series A, 6.10%, 1/01/20	2,405 1,175 4,240 3,000 5,000 2,985	2,270,440 1,106,063 3,289,562 2,940,570	
California State, Veterans, GO, Refunding, AMT, Series BZ, 5.35%, 12/01/21 (b) Dixon, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.20%, 8/01/44 (d) Eastern Municipal Water District, California, Water and Sewer, COP, Series H, 5%, 7/01/35 Modesto, California, Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds, 5.50%, 9/01/36 (a) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K, 5.75%, 11/01/21 (b)(e) Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (b)(e) Roseville, California, Joint Union High School District, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 8/01/29 (b)(e) Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 5%, 12/01/27 (a) San Diego, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 5/01/30 (d) San Francisco, California, City and County Airport Commission, International Airport, Special	2,405 1,175 4,240 3,000 5,000 2,985	2,270,440 1,106,063 3,289,562 2,940,570 4,236,600 2,913,927	

Stockton, California, Public Financing Revenue Bonds (Redevelopment Projects), Series A (f):		
5.25%, 9/01/31	\$ 495	\$ 385,298
5.25%, 9/01/34	2,930	2,227,445
Vista, California, COP (Community Projects), 5%, 5/01/37 (b)	5,400	4,447,764
		35,477,368
Colorado — 4.4%		
Aurora, Colorado, COP, 5.75%, 12/01/10 (a)(g)	6,285	6,838,269
Colorado HFA, Revenue Refunding Bonds (S/F Program), AMT, Senior Series A-2, 7.50%, 4/01/31	190	204,225
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Poudre Valley Health Care), Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/09 (d)(g)	4,000	 4,208,640
		11,251,134
District of Columbia — 1.5%		
District of Columbia, Deed Tax Revenue Bonds (Housing Production Trust Fund — New Communities Project), Series A, 5%, 6/01/32 (b)	2,500	2,159,900
District of Columbia, Water and Sewer Authority, Public Utility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Lien, Series A, 6%, 10/01/35 (r)	1,700	1,778,506
		3,938,406

#### Florida — 27.0%

Broward County, Florida, HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series E,		
5.90%, 10/01/39 (h)(i)(j)	2,310	2,332,615
Broward County, Florida, School Board, COP, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/33 (d)	5,600	5,290,824
Hillsborough County, Florida, IDA, PCR, Refunding (Tampa Electric Company Project),		
Series B, 5.15%, 9/01/25	1,200	1,187,088
Jacksonville, Florida, Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds (Baptist Medical		
Center Project), 5%, 8/15/37 (d)	7,740	6,783,723
Jacksonville, Florida, Port Authority Revenue Bonds, AMT, 6%, 11/01/38 (k)	6,250	5,710,562

### **Portfolio Abbreviations**

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according to the list on the right.

AMT Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)

CABS Capital Appreciation Bonds COP Certificates of Participation

**DRIVERS** Derivative Inverse Tax-Exempt Receipts **EDA** Economic Development Authority

GAN Grant Anticipation Notes
GO General Obligation Bonds
HDA Housing Development Authority
HFA Housing Finance Agency

IDA Industrial Development Authority
IDR Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

M/F Multi-Family

PCR Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

S/F Single-Family

VRDN Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes to Financial Statements.

10 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

### Schedule of Investments (continued)

# BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Florida (concluded)		
Lee Memorial Health System, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 4/01/32 (a) Miami, Florida, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds (Street and Sidewalk Improvement	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,665,900
Program), 5%, 1/01/37 (b)	2,900	2,491,854
Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5%, 10/01/33 (d) Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Miami International Airport), AMT, Series A (d):	6,730	5,385,144
5.25%, 10/01/41	13,800	11,154,402
5.50%, 10/01/41	6,700	5,636,375
Miami-Dade County, Florida, School Board, COP, Refunding, Series B, 5.25%, 5/01/31 (k) Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Obligation Revenue Bonds, Sub-Series A, 5.24%, 10/01/37 (b)(l)	3,600 3,670	3,431,700 476,109
Orlando, Florida, Senior Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds (6th Cent Contract Payments), Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/38 (k)	4,000	3,732,320
Pasco County, Florida, Half-Cent Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 5.125%, 12/01/28 (a)	6,300	5,189,184
Saint Johns County, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, CABS, 5.36%, 6/01/31 (a)(l)	5,065	1,276,127
Seminole County, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, 5%, 10/01/31	6,250	5,948,438
Idaho — 0.1%		
Idaho Housing and Finance Association, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series E, 6%, 1/01/32	330	323,512
Illinois — 3.2%		
Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport, General Airport Revenue Refunding Bonds, Third Lien, Series A (b):		
AMT, 5.75%, 1/01/19	3,125	3,149,000
5%, 1/01/31	1,430	1,274,387
Chicago, Illinois, Transit Authority, Capital Grant Receipts Revenue Bonds (Federal Transit Administration Section 5309 Formula Funds), Series A, 6%, 6/01/26 (k)  Lake, Cook, Kane and McHenry Counties, Illinois, Community Unit School District Number	3,400	3,721,130
220, GO, 6%, 12/01/20 (e)	125	132,683
		8,277,200

Indiana — 5.5%		
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, Power Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series A (b):		
5%, 1/01/37	8,000	7,099,680
5%, 1/01/42	8,000	6,969,600
		14,069,280
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Kansas — 2.3%		
Kansas State Development Finance Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Sisters of		
	\$ 3,510	\$ 3,592,555
Sedgwick and Shawnee Counties, Kansas, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A-2, 6.20%, 12/01/33 (h)(j)	2,250	2,286,518
		5,879,073
		3,079,073
Kentucky — 0.4%		
Kentucky Economic Development Financing Authority, Louisville Arena Project Revenue Bonds (Louisville Arena Authority, Inc.), Sub-Series A-1, 6%, 12/01/38 (k)	1,150	1,143,560
Michigan — 8.6%		
Detroit, Michigan, Sewer Disposal Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Lien, Series B, 5.25%,		_
7/01/22	9,235	9,026,012
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Mercy-Mount Clemens), Series A, 6%, 5/15/09 (b)(g)	1,000	1,025,260
Michigan State Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Detroit Edison	·	
Company Pollution Control Project), AMT (m):	2.000	1.626.290
Series A, 5.50%, 6/01/30 Series C, 5.65%, 9/01/29	2,000 5,000	1,626,380 4,178,900
Royal Oak, Michigan, Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds		
(William Beaumont Hospital), 8.25%, 9/01/39 Saint Clair County, Michigan, Economic Revenue Refunding Bonds (Detroit Edison Co.	3,115	3,182,782
Project), Series AA, 6.40%, 8/01/24 (a)	3,000	3,067,620
		22,106,954

Minneapolis, Minnesota, Health Care System, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Fairview Health		
•	1.075	2 006 025
Services), Series B, 6.50%, 11/15/38 (k)	1,975	2,086,035
Prior Lake, Minnesota, Independent School District Number 719, GO (d):		
5.50%, 2/01/16	2,555	2,648,053
5.50%, 2/01/17	1,830	1,896,649
5.50%, 2/01/18	3,570	3,700,019
5.50%, 2/01/19	2,840	2,943,433
Sauk Rapids, Minnesota, Independent School District Number 47, GO, Series A, 5.625%,		
2/01/18 (b)	2,185	2,332,619
		15,606,808
Nevada — 4.6%		
Clark County, Nevada, Airport Revenue Bonds (Jet Aviation Fuel Tax), AMT, Series C,	1,200	1,163,124
Clark County, Nevada, Airport Revenue Bonds (Jet Aviation Fuel Tax), AMT, Series C, 5.375%, 7/01/20 (a)	1,200 10,000	1,163,124 10,597,000
Clark County, Nevada, Airport Revenue Bonds (Jet Aviation Fuel Tax), AMT, Series C, 5.375%, 7/01/20 (a)	<i>'</i>	
Nevada — 4.6%  Clark County, Nevada, Airport Revenue Bonds (Jet Aviation Fuel Tax), AMT, Series C, 5.375%, 7/01/20 (a)  Clark County, Nevada, Water Reclamation District, Limited Tax, GO, 6%, 7/01/38  See Notes to Financial Statements.	<i>'</i>	

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Nevada (concluded)		
Nevada Housing Division, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A-2, 6.30%, 4/01/22 (b)	\$ 95	\$ 96,568
		11,856,692
New Jersey — 5.2%		
New Jersey EDA, Motor Vehicle Surcharge Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/33 (b)	11,000	10,296,220
New Jersey State Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Transportation System Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.625%, 12/15/28	2,930	3,043,391
		13,339,611
New York — 1.6%		
New York City, New York, City Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue Bonds, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	2,300	2,193,280
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New York Revenue Bonds, Series A-1, 5.25%, 6/01/21 (a)	2,000	 2,024,580
		4,217,860
North Carolina — 0.5%		
North Carolina HFA, Home Ownership Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 14-A, 5.35%, 1/01/22 (a)	1,235	1,236,606
Ohio — 1.1%		
Aurora, Ohio, City School District, COP, 6.10%, 12/01/09 (b)(g) Kent State University, Ohio, University Revenue Bonds, 6%, 5/01/24 (a)	1,745 1,000	1,844,151 1,031,780
New State Chrysoly, Onto, Oniversity Revenue Bollus, 0/0, 5/01/24 (a)	1,000	 1,031,700

		2,875,931
Oklahoma — 1.1%		
Claremore, Oklahoma, Public Works Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/14 (d)(g)	2,385	2,833,141
Pennsylvania — 0.1%		
Washington County, Pennsylvania, Capital Funding Authority Revenue Bonds (Capital Projects and Equipment Program), 6.15%, 12/01/29 (a)	305	248,450
Rhode Island — 4.0%		
Providence, Rhode Island, Redevelopment Agency Revenue Refunding Bonds (Public Safety and Municipal Buildings), Series A, 5.75%, 4/01/10 (a)(g)	5,555	5,940,295
Rhode Island State Health and Educational Building Corporation Revenue Bonds (Rhode Island School of Design), Series D, 5.50%, 8/15/31 (m)	4,685	4,447,283
		10,387,578

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
South Carolina — 6.0%		
South Carolina Housing Finance and Development Authority, Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series A-2, 6.35%, 7/01/19 (d)	\$ 1,225	\$ 1,237,189
South Carolina State Public Service Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%, 1/01/42 (a)	15,000	14,346,900
		 15,584,089

Texas — 14.6%		
Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, International Airport, Joint Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series B, 6%, 11/01/23 (b)	1,300	1,300,533
Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, International Airport Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, AMT, Series A (b)(e):		
5.875%, 11/01/17	1,835	1,888,252
5.875%, 11/01/18	2,150	2,199,471
5.875%, 11/01/19	2,390	2,434,836
El Paso, Texas, Water and Sewer Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series A (d):		

6%, 3/01/15	115	128,058
6%, 3/01/16	170	189,303
6%, 3/01/17	180	200,439
Harris County, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Memorial Hermann Healthcare System), Series B, 7.25%, 12/01/35	1,000	1,044,150
North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Refunding Bonds (b):	·	
First Tier, 5.75%, 1/01/40	14,750	13,898,483
First Tier, Series B, 5.75%, 1/01/40	1,000	942,270
Series A, 5.625%, 1/01/33	10,975	10,401,995
Tarrant County, Texas, Cultural Education Facilities Financing Corporation, Revenue Refunding Bonds (CHRISTUS Health), Series A, 6.50%, 7/01/37 (k)	3,000	3,135,810
	_	37,763,600
Virginia — 0.9%		
Virginia State Public School Authority, Special Obligation School Financing Bonds (Fluvanna County), 6.50%, 12/01/35	2,195	2,423,039
Washington — 1.9%		
Chelan County, Washington, Public Utility District Number 001, Consolidated Revenue Bonds		
(Chelan Hydro System), AMT, Series A, 5.45%, 7/01/37 (a)	3,840	3,142,041
Lewis County, Washington, GO, Refunding, 5.75%, 12/01/24 (a)	1,640	1,676,752
		4,818,793
Wisconsin — 0.4%		
Wisconsin State Health and Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Blood Center of Southeastern Wisconsin Project), 5.75%, 6/01/34	1,250	1,065,700
Total Municipal Bonds — 121.0%		312,054,168
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
12 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 20	09

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (n)	Par (000)			Value
California — 9.0%				
Palomar Pomerado Health Care District, California, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5.125%, 8/01/37 (b)	\$	7,360	\$	7,224,723
San Jose, California, GO (Libraries, Parks and Public Safety Projects), 5%, 9/01/30 (b) Sequoia, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/35	φ	3,805	Ф	3,740,950
(d)		5,189		5,258,095
Tustin, California, Unified School District, Senior Lien Special Tax Bonds (Community Facilities District Number 97-1), Series A (d):				
5%, 9/01/32		2,920		2,702,810
5%, 9/01/38		4,620		4,202,814
				23,129,392
Colorado — 3.1%				
Colorado Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Catholic Health), Series C-3, 5.10%, 10/01/41 (d)		9,410		8,118,666
Florida — 4.2%				
Lee County, Florida, HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds (Multi-County Program), AMT,				
Series A-2, 6%, 9/01/40 (h)(i)(j)		4,500		4,807,665
Saint Petersburg, Florida, Public Utilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5%, 10/01/35 (b)		6,493		6,084,395
				10,892,060
Georgia — 2.5%				
Augusta, Georgia, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, 5.25%, 10/01/34 (d)		6,290		6,326,230
Illinois — 6.9%				

Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport, General Airport Revenue Refunding Bonds, Third Lien, AMT, Series A, 5%, 1/01/38 (d)	15,000	13,851,300
Chicago, Illinois, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Second Lien, 5.25%, 11/01/33 (d)	3,969	3,957,493
		17,808,793
Massachusetts — 4.0%		
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Series A, 5%, 7/01/35	5,535	5,392,252
Massachusetts State School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 8/15/30 (d)	4,994	 5,004,932
		10,397,184
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (n)	Par (000)	Value
New York — 2.7%		
New York City, New York, Sales Tax Asset Receivable Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 10/15/27 (a)	\$ 6,750	\$ 6,936,908
Washington — 2.7%		
Bellevue, Washington, GO, Refunding, 5.50%, 12/01/39 (b)	6,883	6,948,521
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts — 35.1%		90,557,754
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost — \$431,166,890) — 156.1%		402,611,922
Short-Term Securities		
California — 1.9%		
Los Angeles County, California, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Proposition C, VRDN, Second Senior Series A, 6%, 2/05/09 (b)(o)	5,000	5,000,000
Florida — 4.0%		

Edgar Filling. BENOR 100K MONTHEED ONE! O'NN' INCOMED	10110, 1110 1011	
Jacksonville, Florida, Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Baptist Medical Center Project), VRDN, 0.40%, 2/02/09 (o)	10,300	10,300,000
llinois — 1.4%		
llinois State Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Central DuPage Health System), VRDN, Series B, 0.45%, 2/02/09 (o)	3,500	3,500,000
	Channe	
	Shares	
Money Market Fund — 10.1%		
Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.60% (p)(q)	26,102,900	26,102,900
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost — \$44,902,900) — 17.4%		44,902,900
Total Investments (Cost — \$476,069,790*) — 173.5%		447,514,822
Other Assets Less Liabilities — 2.7%		7,065,244
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable — (19.9)%		(51,324,803
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value — (56.3)%		(145,312,325
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares — 100.0%		\$ 257,942,938
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

13

JANUARY 31, 2009

#### Schedule of Investments (concluded)

#### BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

\* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2009, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 426,204,678
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 6,246,642
Gross unrealized depreciation	(35,799,740)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (29,553,098)

- (a) AMBAC Insured.
- (b) MBIA Insured.
- (c) FHA Insured.
- (d) FSA Insured.
- (e) FGIC Insured.
- (f) Radian Insured.
- (g) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (h) FNMA Collateralized.
- (i) FHLMC Collateralized.
- (j) GNMA Collateralized.
- (k) Assured Guaranty Insured.
- (l) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (m) XL Capital Insured.
- (n) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.
- (o) Security may have a maturity of more than one year at time of issuance, but has variable rate and demand features that qualify it as a short-term security. Rate shown is as of report date. This rate changes periodically based upon prevailing market rates.

Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

Affiliate	Net Activity	Income
Merrill Lynch Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	1,284,436	\$111,253

- (q) Represents the current yield as of report date.
- (r) When issued security.
- Effective August 1, 2008, the Fund adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("FAS 157"). FAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair values and requires additional disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:
  - Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical securities
  - Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)
  - Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstance, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumption used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2009 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund's investments:

Valuation Inputs	Investments in Securities
	Assets
Level 1	\$ 26,102,900
Level 2	421,411,922
Level 3	
Total	\$ 447,514,822

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

# BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California — 117.3%		
County/City/Special District/ School District — 63.8%		
Alameda, California, GO, 5%, 8/01/33 (a)	\$ 2,350	\$ 2,278,983
Alameda County, California, Joint Powers Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5%,	2.060	2 020 200
12/01/34 (e)	2,960	2,828,398
Anaheim, California, Union High School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 8/01/27 (a) Banning, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2006), Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/33 (c)	2,400 4,300	2,332,296 4,195,811
Bay Area Government Association, California, Tax Allocation Revenue Refunding Bonds	7,300	4,175,011
(California Redevelopment Agency Pool), Series A, 6%, 12/15/24 (e)	255	257,700
Brentwood, California, Infrastructure Refinancing Authority, Infrastructure Revenue		
Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5.20%, 9/02/29 (e)	3,980	3,886,430
Capistrano, California, Unified School District, Community Facility District, Special Tax Refunding Bonds, 5%, 9/01/29 (a)(f)	7,000	5,536,090
Chabot-Las Positas, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2004), Series B,	7,000	3,330,070
5.17%, 8/01/26 (b)(c)	6,705	2,490,170
Chino Valley, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), Series C, 5.25%,		
8/01/30 (a)	3,000	2,913,450
Chula Vista, California, Elementary School District, COP, 5%, 9/01/29 (a)	3,910	3,257,030
Coachella Valley, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2005), Series A, 5%, 8/01/25 (a)(f)	3,275	3,264,061
Corona, California, COP (Clearwater Cogeneration Project), 5%, 9/01/28 (a)	6,000	4,817,940
Desert Sands, California, Unified School District, COP (Financing Project), 5.75%, 3/01/24 (e)	1,000	1,022,660
Fremont, California, Unified School District, Alameda County, GO, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/26	1,000	1,022,000
(a)(f)	10,755	10,904,602
Fresno, California, Joint Powers Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.75%,		
6/01/26 (e) Fullerton, California, Public Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, 5%, 9/01/27	3,295	3,363,800
(b)	6,930	5,765,136
Glendora, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2005), Series A:	2,522	2,1. 12,122
5%, 8/01/27 (a)	1,350	1,311,917
5.25%, 8/01/30 (a)	2,700	2,622,105
Hemet, California, Unified School District, GO, Series B, 5.125%, 8/01/37 (d)	4,500	4,277,115
Imperial, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2004), 5%, 8/01/29 (a)(f)	3,090	2,930,525
La Quinta, California, Financing Authority, Local Agency Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25%,	2,020	_,,,,,,
9/01/24 (b)	2,500	2,407,175
Lodi, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 8/01/29 (e)	10,260	10,117,591
Los Angeles, California, Community Redevelopment Agency, Community Redevelopment Financing Authority Revenue Bonds (Bunker Hill Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/27 (e)	10,000	9,154,000
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value

#### California (continued)

Ciscotion of 2004), Series C, 5%, 70/132	County/City/Special District/ School District (continued)		
(Election of 2004), Series C, 5%, 7/01/27 (f) (Election of 2004), Series F, 5%, 7/01/30 (f) (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 7/01/30 (f) (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 7/01/30 (f) (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series A, 5%, 12/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/31 (a) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2005), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2005), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2005), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2004), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2005), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (g) (Election of 20	Los Angeles, California, Unified School District, GO:		
(Election of 2004), Series F, 5%, 7/01/30 (f)  Los Angeles County, California, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Proposition A, First Tier Senior-Series A (b):  5%, 7/01/27  5,240  5,254, 043  5%, 7/01/35  6,500  6,224,140  Los Angeles County, California, Public Works Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Master Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a)  Los Angeles County, California, Public Works Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Master Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a)  Los Rios, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 80/01/27 (a)  Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1), 5%, 80/0131 (a)  6,865  6,399,828  Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 (d)  Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)  Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)  Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a)  Peralla, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37  (c)  6,695  6,439,385  Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series I-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)  8,000  2,711,340  Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)  5,000  9,780,900  2,002), Series I-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)  8,000  2,711,340  Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)  8,000  2,704,560  Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)  Sandardonia, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)  8,000  2,704,560  Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)  Sandardonia, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000  8,000	(Election of 2002), Series C, 5%, 7/01/32	\$ 10,000	\$ 9,522,500
Los Angeles County, California, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Proposition A, First Tier Senior-Series A (b):  5%, 7/01/35	(Election of 2004), Series C, 5%, 7/01/27 (f)	2,880	2,882,592
Refunding Bonds, Proposition A, First Tier Senior-Series A (b):   5%, 701/27	(Election of 2004), Series F, 5%, 7/01/30 (f)	5,000	4,711,400
5%, 7/01/27         5,240         5,254,043           5%, 7/01/35         6,500         6,224,149           Los Angeles County, California, Public Works Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Master Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a)         6,000         5,418,540           Los Rios, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 8/01/27 (a)         3,000         3,005,700           Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1), 5%, 8/01/31 (a)         6,865         6,399,828           Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax         8,000         7,543,760           Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5,125%, 9/01/26 (d)         8,000         7,543,760           Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)         6,015         5,769,047           Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         9,645         9,759,390           Peralta, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5,25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Reverside, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5,25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Redlands, C			
5%, 7/01/35         6,500         6,224,140           Los Angeles County, California, Public Works Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Master Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a)         6,000         5,418,549           Los Rios, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 8/01/27 (a)         3,000         3,005,700           Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1), 5%, 8/01/31 (a)         6,865         6,399,828           Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax         8,000         7,543,760           Natomas Unified School District, California, Gor (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)         6,015         5,769,047           Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/37 (e)         6,695         6,439,385           (e)         6,095         6,439,385           (e)         6,095         6,439,385           (e)         6,095         6,439,385 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Los Angeles County, California, Public Works Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a) 6,000 5,418,540	5%, 7/01/27	5,240	
Bonds (Master Refunding Project), Series A, 5%, 12/01/28 (a)	•	6,500	6,224,140
Los Rios, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 8/01/27 (a) 3,000 3,005,700		6,000	5 419 540
8/01/27 (a)   3,000   3,005,700     Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1), 55%, 8/01/31 (a)   6,865   6,399,828     Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax     Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 (d)   8,000   7,543,760     Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)   6,015   5,769,047     Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a)   9,645   9,759,390     Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (e)   6,695   6,439,385     Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)   10,000   9,780,900     Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)   5,000   4,992,950     Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)   5,000   2,711,340     Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO: (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)   6,000   6,198,660     Clection of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)   6,000   6,198,660     Clection of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)   7,515   7,062,597     Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)   5,010   4,848,578     Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)   3,000   2,704,560     Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5,036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)   6,590   1,050,314     Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)   5,000   4,872,350     San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)   5,000   4,872,350     San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bon		0,000	3,410,340
5%, 8/01/31 (a)         6,865         6,399,828           Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax         8,000         7,543,760           Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 (d)         8,000         7,543,760           Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)         6,015         5,769,047           Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (e)         6,695         6,439,385           Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b)         3,000         2,711,340           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         6,590         1,050,314           Saddleback Valley, California, Unified Schoo		3,000	3,005,700
Murrieta Valley, California, Unified School District, Public Financing Authority, Special Tax         8,000         7,543,760           Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)         6,015         5,769,047           Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a)         9,645         9,759,390           Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (e)         6,695         6,439,385           Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacarmento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         6,590 <t< td=""><td>Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1),</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Merced, California, Community College District, GO (School Facilities District Number 1),		
Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 (d)         8,000         7,543,760           Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f)         6,015         5,769,047           Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a)         9,645         9,759,390           Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (e)         6,695         6,439,385           Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)         6,590         1,050,314           Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)         2,565         2,529,398           San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)         2	, , , ,	6,865	6,399,828
Natomas Unified School District, California, GO (Election of 2006), 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f) 6,015 5,769,047 Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a) 9,645 9,759,390 Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (c) 6,695 6,439,385 Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e) 10,000 9,780,900 Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e) 5,000 4,992,950 Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b) 3,000 2,711,340 Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO: (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f) 6,000 6,198,660 (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a) 7,515 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/24 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/25 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/26 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/26 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/26 (d) 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/28 (e) (g) (h) 5,000 Series C, 5%, 8/01/28 (e) (g) (h) 5,000 Series C, 5%, 8/01/24 (d) 7,062,5		8 000	7 5/13 760
Oxnard, California, Unified High School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 6.20%, 8/01/30 (a)         9,645         9,759,390           Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37 (e)         6,695         6,439,385           Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)         3,000         2,704,560           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged)         0         4,590         1,050,314           Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)         2,565         2,529,398           San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)         5,000         4,872,350           San Diego, California, Redevelopment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	, ,
Peralta, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2007), Series B, 5%, 8/01/37         6,695         6,439,385           Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b)         3,000         2,711,340           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         3,000         2,704,560           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged         3,000         2,704,560           Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)         6,590         1,050,314           Saddleback Valley, California, City Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)         2,565         2,529,398           San Bernardino, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):         2,720         2,619,006		· · ·	
(e) 6,695 6,439,385 Poway, California, Unified School District, School Facilities Improvement, GO (Election of 2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e) 10,000 9,780,900 Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e) 5,000 4,992,950 Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b) 3,000 2,711,340 Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO: (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f) 6,000 6,198,660 (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a) 7,515 7,062,597 Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d) 5,010 4,848,578 Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b) 3,000 2,704,560 Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f) 6,590 1,050,314 Saddleback Valley, California, City Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e) 2,565 2,529,398 San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h) 5,000 4,872,350 San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b): 5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center		9,043	9,739,390
2002), Series 1-B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)         10,000         9,780,900           Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)         5,000         4,992,950           Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b)         3,000         2,711,340           Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:         (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)         6,000         6,198,660           (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)         7,515         7,062,597           Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)         5,010         4,848,578           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds         (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)         3,000         2,704,560           Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged         Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)         6,590         1,050,314           Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)         2,565         2,529,398           San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)         5,000         4,872,350           San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre         City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):         2,720         2,619,006           5.25%, 9/01/25         2,860         2,720,00		6,695	6,439,385
Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b)  Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:  (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)  (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)  (Community Rein Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds  (C		10,000	9,780,900
Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:  (Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f) 6,000 6,198,660  (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a) 7,515 7,062,597  Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d) 5,010 4,848,578  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds  (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b) 3,000 2,704,560  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged  Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f) 6,590 1,050,314  Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e) 2,565 2,529,398  San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h) 5,000 4,872,350  San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre  City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24 2,720 2,619,006  5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003  San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	Redlands, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2008), 5.25%, 7/01/33 (e)	5,000	4,992,950
(Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)       6,000       6,198,660         (Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)       7,515       7,062,597         Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)       5,010       4,848,578         Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds       3,000       2,704,560         Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)       3,000       2,704,560         Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged       3,000       2,704,560         Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)       6,590       1,050,314         Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)       2,565       2,529,398         San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)       5,000       4,872,350         San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/24       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/25       2,860       2,720,003         San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	Riverside, California, COP, 5%, 9/01/28 (b)	3,000	2,711,340
(Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)       7,515       7,062,597         Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)       5,010       4,848,578         Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds       3,000       2,704,560         Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)       3,000       2,704,560         Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)       6,590       1,050,314         Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)       2,565       2,529,398         San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)       5,000       4,872,350         San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/24       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/25       2,860       2,720,003         San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	Riverside, California, Unified School District, GO:		
Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)  Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)  San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)  San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24  2,720  2,619,006  5.25%, 9/01/25  San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	(Election of 2001), Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/23 (a)(f)	6,000	6,198,660
Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds (Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b) 3,000 2,704,560 Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f) 6,590 1,050,314 Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e) 2,565 2,529,398 San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h) 5,000 4,872,350 San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24 2,720 2,619,006 5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	(Election of 2001), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (a)	7,515	7,062,597
(Community Rein Capital Program), Series A, 5%, 12/01/36 (b)  Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)  Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)  San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)  San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24  2,720  2,619,006  5.25%, 9/01/25  San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	Series C, 5%, 8/01/32 (d)	5,010	4,848,578
Sacramento, California, City Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Merged Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f) 6,590 1,050,314 Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e) 2,565 2,529,398 San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h) 5,000 4,872,350 San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24 2,720 2,619,006 5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center			
Downtown and Oak Park Projects), Series A, 5.036%, 12/01/32 (a)(c)(f)  Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)  San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)  San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24  2,720  2,619,006  5.25%, 9/01/25  2,860  2,720,003  San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center		3,000	2,704,560
Saddleback Valley, California, Unified School District, GO, 5%, 8/01/29 (e)  San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h)  San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24  2,720  2,619,006  5.25%, 9/01/25  2,860  2,720,003  San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center		6 590	1.050.314
San Bernardino, California, City Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/01/28 (e)(g)(h) 5,000 4,872,350 San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b): 5.25%, 9/01/24 2,720 2,619,006 5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	•	- ,	
San Diego, California, Redevelopment Agency, Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds (Centre City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):  5.25%, 9/01/24  2,720 2,619,006 5.25%, 9/01/25  2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center			
City Redevelopment Project), Series A (b):       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/24       2,720       2,619,006         5.25%, 9/01/25       2,860       2,720,003         San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center       2,860       2,720,003		5,000	4,672,330
5.25%, 9/01/25 2,860 2,720,003 San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center			
San Jose, California, Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Civic Center	5.25%, 9/01/24	2,720	2,619,006
	5.25%, 9/01/25	2,860	2,720,003
Project), Series B, 5%, 6/01/32 (b) 11,400 10,929,522			
	Project), Series B, 5%, 6/01/32 (b)	11,400	10,929,522

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009 15

# BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/ School District (concluded)		
San Jose, California, GO (Libraries, Parks and Public Safety Projects), 5%, 9/01/27 (a) San Jose, California, Redevelopment Agency, Tax Allocation Bonds (Housing Set-Aside Merged Area), AMT, Series E, 5.85%, 8/01/27 (a)	\$ 7,910 7,300	. , ,
San Juan, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 8/01/28 (a) San Mateo County, California, Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A,	4,250	4,076,218
5%, 6/01/29 (a) Santa Rosa, California, High School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5%, 8/01/28 (a) South Tahoe, California, Joint Powers Financing Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (South	4,350 2,500	
Tahoe Redevelopment Project Area Number 1), Series A, 5%, 10/01/29 (e) Ventura County, California, Community College District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5%, 8/01/27 (a)	1,645 3,395	1,555,693 3,401,756
Vista, California, COP (Community Projects), 5%, 5/01/37 (a)	6,750	, ,
Vista, California, Unified School District, GO, Series B, 5%, 8/01/28 (a)(f) West Contra Costa, California, Unified School District, GO:	2,550	
(Election of 2005), Series B, 5.625%, 8/01/35 (i)	7,500	7,613,025
(Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 8/01/32 (e)	6,690	6,327,603
		280,316,691
Education — 3.8%		
California Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (University of San Diego), Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/32	5,000	5,030,900
California Educational Facilities Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds (CalEdge Loan Program), AMT, 5.55%, 4/01/28 (b)	7,355	6,587,284
San Diego County, California, COP (Salk Institute for Bio Studies), 5.75%, 7/01/31 (a)	5,200	
		16,578,776
Hospitals/Health Care — 3.7%		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Health Facility Revenue Bonds (Memorial Health Services), Series A, 6%, 10/01/23	3,685	3,729,258
California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds:		
(Adventist), Series B, 5%, 3/01/37 (d)	5,850	
(Sutter Health), Series D, 5.05%, 8/15/38 (d) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Kaiser Permanente), Series A, 5%, 4/01/31	7,925 900	6,928,193 748,971
1 Cilianomo), Octios A, 3 /0, 7/01/31	900	
		16,438,182

Municipal Bonds		Par (000)		Value
California (continued)				
Housing — 3.0%				
California Rural Home Mortgage Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds				
(Mortgage-Backed Securities Program), AMT: Series A, 6.35%, 12/01/29 (g)(h)(j)	\$	210	\$	216,961
Series B, 6.25%, 12/01/29 (g)(h)(J) Series B, 6.25%, 12/01/31 (g)(h)	Þ	95	Þ	96,282
California State Department of Veteran Affairs, Home Purchase Revenue Refunding Bonds,		93		90,202
Series A, 5.35%, 12/01/27 (b)		12,680		12,732,495
San Bernardino County, California, S/F Home Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT,		155		160 620
Series A-1, 6.25%, 12/01/31		155		160,620
				13,206,358
Stata 560				
State — 5.6% California State, GO, 6.25%, 10/01/19 (a)		860		862,494
California State, GO, 0.25 %, 10/01/19 (a)  California State, GO, Refunding, Veterans, AMT, Series B, 5.70%, 12/01/32 (b)		19,865		17,730,307
California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds (Various University Projects),		17,003		17,750,507
Series D, 5%, 5/01/26 (a)		6,010		5,968,351
				24,561,152
Transportation — 13.6%				
Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series K (a)(f):				
5.875%, 11/01/17		2,745		2,765,807
5.75%, 11/01/29		7,500		6,737,475
Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (a)(f)		19,040		16 122 072
San Diego, California, Unified Port District, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series A,		19,040		16,132,973
5.25%, 9/01/19 (a)		5,400		5,281,038
San Francisco, California, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding				
Bonds, Series A, 5%, 7/01/34 (a) San Francisco, California, City and County Airport Commission, International Airport		10,500		10,076,535
Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Second Series:				
6.75%, 5/01/19		4,420		4,614,392
Issue 34E, 5.75%, 5/01/24 (e)		5,000		4,808,150
Issue 34E, 5.75%, 5/01/25 (e)		3,500		3,332,875
San Francisco, California, City and County Airport Commission, International Airport, Special Facilities Lease Revenue Bonds (SFO Fuel Company LLC), AMT, Series A (e):				
6.10%, 1/01/20		1,000		1,006,040
6.125%, 1/01/27		985		960,188
		4,135		3,967,822
San Jose, California, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series D, 5%, 3/01/28 (a)				

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

# BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (continued)		
Utilities — Electric & Gas — 3.2%		
Glendale, California, Electric Revenue Bonds, 5%, 2/01/32 (a) Los Angeles, California, Water and Power Revenue Bonds (Power System), Sub-Series A-1,	\$ 4,390	\$ 4,061,804
5%, 7/01/37 (b) Santa Clara, California, Subordinated Electric Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 7/01/28 (a)	5,000 5,500	4,787,850 5,275,435
		14,125,089
Utilities — Irrigation, Resource Recovery, Solid Waste & Other — 0.9%		
Sacramento, California, Municipal Utility District Financing Authority Revenue Bonds (Consumers Project), 5%, 7/01/21 (a)	4,500	4,080,330
Utilities — Water & Sewer — 19.7%		
Contra Costa, California, Water District, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds:		
Series L, 5%, 10/01/32 (e)	4,135	4,033,858
Series O, 5%, 10/01/24 (b) East Bay, California, Municipal Utility District, Wastewater System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series A (b):	1,735	1,787,171
5%, 6/01/33	4,000	3,889,960
5%, 6/01/37	7,985	7,703,129
East Bay, California, Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%, 6/01/37 (f)	6,000	5,754,060
East Bay Municipal Utility District, California, Water System Revenue Bonds, Sub-Series A, 5%, 6/01/35 (a)	15,000	14,529,450
El Centro, California, Financing Authority, Water Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/35 (e)	1,100	949,091
Hollister, California, Joint Powers Finance Authority, Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds (Refining and Improvement Project), Series 1 (e):		
5%, 6/01/32	5,000	4,539,050
5%, 6/01/37	6,000	5,320,500
Madera, California, Public Financing Authority, Water and Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5%, 3/01/36 (a)	2,010	1,814,367
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series B-1, 5%, 10/01/33 (a)(f)	7,175	7,044,774
Napa, California, Water Revenue Bonds, 5%, 5/01/35 (b)	9,070	8,502,853
Oakland, California, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 6/15/29 (e)	4,270	4,121,618
Oxnard, California, Financing Authority, Wastewater Revenue Bonds (Redwood Trunk Sewer and Headworks Projects), Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/34 (a)(f)	10,000	9,438,100
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value

California (continued)		
Utilities — Water & Sewer (concluded) Stockton, California, Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue Bonds (Water System		
Capital Improvement Projects), Series A, 5%, 10/01/31 (a)	\$ 2,600 \$	2,422,967
Turlock, California, Public Finance Authority, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 9/15/33	2,000	2 792 520
(a)(e) Vallecitos Water District and Wastewater Enterprise, California, COP, Refunding, Series A,	3,000	2,783,520
5%, 7/01/27 (e)	2,000	2,004,000
		86,638,468
Total Municipal Bonds — 117.3%		515,628,341
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (k)		
County/City/Special District/ School District — 5.4%		
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series A,		
5%, 7/01/37  Palamar Pamarada Haelth Cara District California GO (Floation of 2004) Series A 5 125%	15,000	14,709,300
Palomar Pomerado Health Care District, California, GO (Election of 2004), Series A, 5.125%, 8/01/37 (a)	9,300	9,129,066
	_	23,838,366
Education — 5.7%		
California State University, Systemwide Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 11/01/39 (e)	4,860	4,583,417
Fremont, California, Unified School District, Alameda County, GO (Election of 2002), Series B, 5%, 8/01/30 (e)	5,997	5,865,540
Los Angeles, California, Community College District, GO (Election of 2003), Series E, 5%,	3,771	3,003,540
8/01/31 (e)	7,497	7,290,088
University of California Revenue Bonds, Series L, 5%, 5/15/40	7,398 —	7,016,876
		24,755,921
Transportation — 7.5%		
Long Beach, California, Harbor Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5.375%, 5/15/24	15,150	13,985,571
San Francisco, California, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%, 7/01/30 (a)	19,630	19,150,243
	_	33,135,814
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2009	17

BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (k)	Par (000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Utilities — Electric & Gas — 0.8% Anaheim, California, Public Financing Authority, Electric System Distribution Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 10/01/31 (e)	\$ 3,568	\$ 3,418,448
Utilities — Water & Sewer — 12.4%		
Los Angeles, California, Department of Water and Power, Power System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, Sub-Series A-2, 5%, 7/01/27 (a) Los Angeles, California, Water and Power Revenue Bonds (Power System), Sub-Series A-1, 5%,	16,000	15,549,120
7/01/31 (e) Rancho, California, Water District Financing Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%,	5,007	4,836,955
8/01/34 (e)	9,277	8,975,430
San Diego County, California, Water Authority, Water Revenue Bonds, COP, Series A (e): 5%, 5/01/30	7,350	7,189,403
5%, 5/01/31	10,000	9,711,600
San Diego County, California, Water Authority, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, COP, Series A, 5%, 5/01/33 (e)	8,510	8,208,065
		54,470,573
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts — 31.8%		139,619,122
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost — \$701,175,204) — 149.1%		655,247,463
Short-Term Securities		
California — 5.7%		
Utilities — Water & Sewer — 2.3% East Bay Municipal Utility District, California, Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, VRDN, Sub-Series B, 1.25%, 2/04/09 (e)(l)	10,000	10,000,000
Transportation — 3.4%  Los Angeles County, California, Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Proposition C, VRDN, Second Senior Series A, 6%, 2/05/09 (a)(l)	15,000	15,000,000

Money Market Fund — 6.8%		
CMA California Municipal Money Fund, 0.18% (m)(n)	29,933,000	29,933,000
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost — \$54,933,000) — 12.5%		54,933,000
Total Investments (Cost — \$756,108,204*) — 161.6%		710,180,463
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets — (0.2)%		(724,290)
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable — $(17.6)\%$		(77,649,638)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value — (43.8)%		(192,327,876)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares — 100.0%		\$ 439,478,659

<sup>\*</sup> The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2009, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 678,735,234
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 1,602,961
Gross unrealized depreciation	(47,327,555)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (45,724,594)

- (a) MBIA Insured.
- (b) AMBAC Insured.
- (c) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown is the effective yield at the time of purchase.
- (d) Assured Guaranty Insured.
- (e) FSA Insured.
- (f) FGIC Insured.
- (g) FNMA Collateralized.
- (h) GNMA Collateralized.
- (i) BHAC Insured.

- (j) FHLMC Collateralized.
- (k) Securities represent bonds transferred to a tender option bond trust in exchange for which the Fund acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to tender option bond trusts.
- (1) Security may have a maturity of more than one year at time of issuance, but has variable rate and demand features that qualify it as a short-term security. Rate shown is as of report date. This rate changes periodically based upon prevailing market rates.
- (m) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Fund, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, were as follows:

Affiliate	Net Activity	I	ncome
CMA California Municipal Money Fund	29,726,747	\$	127,654

(n) Represents the current yield as of report date.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

#### BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. (MCA)

- Effective August 1, 2008, the Fund adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("FAS 157"). FAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair values and requires additional disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:
  - Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical securities
  - Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)
  - Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstance, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund's own assumption used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund's policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2009 in determining the fair valuation of the Fund's investments:

Valuation Inputs		Investments in Securities
		Assets
Level 1		\$ 29,933,000
Level 2		680,247,463
Level 3		_
Total		\$ 710,180,463
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2009	1

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2009 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Alabama — 0.8%		
Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority of Mobile, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Ascension Health Credit), Series D, 5%, 11/15/39 (a)	\$ 6,810	\$ 5,799,328
Alaska — 0.6%		
Alaska Energy Authority, Power Revenue Refunding Bonds (Bradley Lake), Fourth Series, 6%, 7/01/18 (b)	3,495	4,215,809
Arizona — 3.1%		
Downtown Phoenix Hotel Corporation, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Senior Series A, 5%, 7/01/36 (c) Maricopa County and Phoenix, Arizona, IDA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A-2,	21,355	13,302,670
5.80%, 7/01/40 (d)(e)(f)	5,470	5,402,063
Salt River Project, Arizona, Agriculture Improvement and Power District, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series A, 5%, 1/01/37	5,000	4,874,900
		23,579,633
California — 14.3%		
Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, California, Capital Appreciation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Subordinate Lien, Series A, 5.40%, 10/01/24 (g)(h) Antioch, California, Public Finance Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds (Municipal	10,000	7,973,600
Facilities Project), Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/32 (a)	5,000	5,002,450
California Statewide Communities Development Authority Revenue Bonds (b):	11.000	10 205 446
(Saint Joseph Home Care), Series E, 5.25%, 7/01/47	11,800	10,395,446
(Sutter Health), Series D, 5.05%, 8/15/38	7,400	6,469,228
California State, GO, 5.50%, 4/01/30 (a) California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds (Department of Corrections), Series C, 5.25%, 6/01/28	5,500	9,962 5,108,400
California State University, Revenue Refunding Bonds, DRIVERS, Series 2646Z, 8.819%, 5/01/15		
(b)(i)	3	2,273
Fairfield-Suisun, California, Unified School District, GO (Election of 2002), 5.50%, 8/01/28 (a) Los Angeles, California, Municipal Improvement Corporation, Lease Revenue Bonds, Series B1, 4.75%, 8/01/37 (a)(c)	5,800	5,882,360
4.75%, 8/01/37 (a)(c)	15,000	12,984,900

3 3	,	
Mendocino-Lake Community College District, California, GO (Election of 2006), Series A, 5%,		
8/01/31 (a)	1,485	1,384,376
Port of Oakland, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series L, 5.375%, 11/01/27 (a)(c)	8,465	7,172,564
Redding, California, Electric System, COP, Series A, 5%, 6/01/30 (b) Riverside County, California, Public Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds (Redevelopment Projects), 5%, 10/01/35 (j)	1,900 10,000	1,758,944 7,434,900
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (concluded)		
Sacramento County, California, Airport System Revenue Bonds, AMT, Senior Series A, 5%, 7/01/41 (b)	\$ 18,000	\$ 16,772,940
San Jose, California, Airport Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5.50%, 3/01/32 (h)	11,965	10,189,992
San Mateo, California, Union High School District, COP (Phase One Projects), Series B, 4.758%,	·	
12/15/43 (g)(h) Stockton, California, Public Financing Authority, Lease Revenue Bonds (Parking & Capital	3,250	1,482,293
Projects), 5.25%, 9/01/34 (a)(c)	8,310	7,361,330
		107,385,958
Colorado — 0.8%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Poudre Valley Health Care), Series C, 5.25%, 3/01/40 (b)	7,000	5,996,690
District of Columbia — 1.7%		
District of Columbia, Water and Sewer Authority, Public Utility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior		
Lien, Series A, 6%, 10/01/35 (v)	4,280	4,477,650
Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, D.C., Airport System Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series B, 5%, 10/01/32 (h)	10,000	8,035,200
		12,512,850
Florida — 12.2%		
Broward County, Florida, School Board, COP, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/33 (b)	15,000	14,171,850
Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds, DRIVERS, AMT, Series 2586Z,		
7.992%, 10/01/15 (i)(j)(k) Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Miami International Airport),	37	22,269
AMT: 5.375%, 10/01/25 (a)(c)	10,750	9,331,645
5.375%, 10/01/27 (a)(c) 5.375%, 10/01/27 (a)(c)	10,750	9,331,643
Series A, 5%, 10/01/35 (j)(k)	10,000	7,920,100
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/33 (j)(k)	15,000	12,618,750
50105 1s, 5.50 /0, 10/01/T1 (U)	13,000	12,010,730

Miami-Dade County, Florida, GO (Building Better Communities Program), Series B, 6.375%, 7/01/28	6,000	6,556,860
Miami-Dade County, Florida, School Board, COP, Refunding, Series B, 5.25%, 5/01/31 (k)	4,125	3,932,156
Miami-Dade County, Florida, Water and Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series C, 6%, 10/01/23		
(1)	20,095	22,266,265
Orlando, Florida, Senior Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds (6th Cent Contract Payments),		
Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/38 (k)	15,000	13,996,200
	-	
		91,661,905

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2009

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Georgia — 2.0%		
Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series B, 5.25%, 1/01/33 (b)	\$ 12,500	\$ 12,482,50
Main Street Natural Gas, Inc., Georgia, Gas Project Revenue Bonds, Series A, 6.25%, 7/15/33 (m)(n)	13,170	2,600,94
		15,083,44
Hawaii — 0.3%		
Hawaii State, GO, Series CX, 5.50%, 2/01/21 (b)	2,000	2,159,28
Illinois — 16.8%		
Chicago, Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/24 (k) Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport, General Airport Revenue Bonds, Third Lien, AMT, Series B-2 (a):	11,000	11,558,91
5.25%, 1/01/27	16,685	13,952,49
6%, 1/01/27	26,230	23,946,94
Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airport Revenue Refunding Bonds, Third Lien, AMT,	16.400	12.056.22
Series C-2, 5.25%, 1/01/30 (b)	16,400	13,956,23
Illinois Health Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Delnor Hospital) (b): Series B, 5.25%, 5/15/32	6,150	5 610 59
Series D, 5.25%, 5/15/32	10,000	5,610,58 9,122,90
Illinois Municipal Electric Agency, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series A (a)(c):	10,000	7,122,70
5%, 2/01/35	25,000	22,641,75
5.25%, 2/01/35	15,000	14,090,40
Northern Illinois Municipal Power Agency, Power Project Revenue Refunding Bonds (Prairie State Project), Series A, 5%, 1/01/37 (a)	11,900	10,253,75
Regional Transportation Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, Series C, 7.75%, 6/01/20 (a)(c)	1,000	1,293,25
		126,427,22

 ,		
2.250		1,774,080
5,000		5,029,700
		6,803,780
15,155		14,550,315
3,750		3,121,27
Par (000)		Value
\$	\$	12,703,350 9,555,300
		11,649,109
- 1,000		
		37,029,034
		4 (22 22
		1,623,929 512,398
320		
2,500		2,215,975
		3,679,218
11,910		12,384,613
12,860		10,378,020
	_	10,378,020 14,565,559
\$	3,750 Par (000)  \$ 15,000 10,000 14,030  1,570 520 2,500 2,500 3,440	3,750 Par (000)  \$ 15,000 \$ 10,000 14,030  1,570 520 2,500 3,440

Michigan — 5.9%		
Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Lien, Series D, 5%,		
7/01/23 (b)	9,085	8,627,752
Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, Student Loan Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series XVII-Q, 5%, 3/01/31 (h)	4,325	2,962,711
Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Facilities Program), Series I, 6.25%, 10/15/38	3,125	3,266,156
Michigan State, HDA, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series B, 4.95%, 4/01/44 (b)	10,000	8,015,600
Michigan State Revenue Bonds, GAN, 5.25%, 9/15/26 (b)	6,650	6,669,219
Michigan State Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Detroit Edison Company Pollution Control Project), AMT (j):		.,,
Series A, 5.50%, 6/01/30	8,000	6,505,520
Series C, 5.65%, 9/01/29	5,000	4,178,900
Wayne County, Michigan, Airport Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, 5.375%, 12/01/32 (k)	5,000	4,253,000
	_	44,478,858
Minnesota — 0.9%		
Minneapolis, Minnesota, Health Care System, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Fairview Health Services), Series B, 6.50%, 11/15/38 (k)	6,600	6,971,052
Con Nation to Eigensial Statements		
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2009	2

# BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Missouri — 0.4%		
Missouri State Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds (Saint Luke's Health System), VRDN, Series A, 5.50%,		
11/15/35 (s)	\$ 3,270	\$ 3,016,379
Missouri State Housing Development Commission, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds	0.7	101.260
(Homeownership Loan Program), AMT, Series C-1, 7.15%, 3/01/32 (e)(f)	95	101,269
		3,117,648
Nevada — 5.1%		
Clark County, Nevada, Airport System Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds,		
Series A-2, 5%, 7/01/30 (a)(c)	20,000	17,960,600
Clark County, Nevada, IDR (Southwest Gas Corporation Project), AMT, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/34 (h)	12,675	8,392,751
Las Vegas, Nevada, Convention and Visitors Authority Revenue Bonds, 5%, 7/01/37 (h)	11,950	10,763,484
Reno, Nevada, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, 5.50%, 6/01/19 (c)	1,165	1,173,330
		38,290,165
New Jersey — 1.6%		
New Jersey EDA, Cigarette Tax Revenue Bonds:		
5.75%, 6/15/29	3,060	2,277,221
5.75%, 6/15/34	13,960	10,055,248
		12,332,469
New Mexico — 0.3%		
New Mexico Educational Assistance Foundation, Student Loan Revenue Refunding Bonds (Student Loan Program), AMT, First Sub, Series A 2, 6,65%, 11/01/25	1 605	1 604 004
(Student Loan Program), AMT, First Sub-Series A-2, 6.65%, 11/01/25 New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series C-2,	1,605	1,604,984
6.95%, 9/01/31 (e)(f)	315	331,245

	220

Series A-1, 5.25%, 8/15/24  10,000  10,101,102,005  Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/25  550  550  550  550  550  Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/25  Municipal Bonds  Par (000)  Value  Ohio — 1.7%  Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 4/01/33 (b)  Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/25  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 1/201/38 (f)  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania Fla, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  4.740  4.412,56  Pennsylvania State Tumpike Commission, Tumpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 1/201/38 (k)  Soul J/26,25%, 6/01/38 (k)  Soul J/26,25%, 6/01/38 (k)  Soul J/26,25%, 6/01/38 (k)  Soul J/26,25%, 6/01/38 (k)  Soul J/26,22%  Rhode Island — 0.3%	New York — 4.4%		
Series J. 5.25%, 51524   10,000   10,101,20	New York City, New York, GO:		
Series J. 5.25%, 5/15/25 550 552,55 Series M. 5%, 40/130 (k) 5,000 4,865,595 Series M. 5%, 40/130 (k) 5,000 4,865,595 Series M. 5%, 40/130 (k) 9,005 8,122,600 Hospital of New York, 5%, 8/15/36 (b)(o) 8,122,600 Services Facilities), Series B. 5%, 2/15/28 (b) 2,700 2,625,61   Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value  Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value  Ohio — 1.7%  Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 40/1/33 (b) 5 12,647,655  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f) 4,748 3,918,27.  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27 4,740 4,742,569 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds: Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,50%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,55%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,55%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,55%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,55%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,565%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,565%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,565%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,565%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,565%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000	Series A-1, 5.25%, 8/15/24	6,650	6,754,073
Series M. 5%, 401/30 (k)   5,000   4,865,95	Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/24	10,000	10,101,200
New York State Dormitory Authority, Non-State Supported Debt Revenue Bonds (Presbyterian Hospital of New York), 5%, 8th 27536 (b)(o)   9,005   8,122,600	Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/25		552,552
Hospital of New York), 5% & 815/36 (b)(o)   9,005   8,122,60		5,000	4,865,950
Services Facilities), Series B, 5%, 2/15/28 (b)   2,700   2,625,61:	Hospital of New York), 5%, 8/15/36 (b)(o)	9,005	8,122,600
Municipal Bonds	New York State Dormitory Authority, State Supported Debt Revenue Bonds (Mental Health		
Municipal Bonds (000) Value  Ohio — 1.7%  Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 4/01/33 (b) \$ 12,647,655  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT. Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f) 4,748 3,918,273  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27 4,740 4,412,56 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h) 15,600 115,831,811 Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h) 15,600 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Commission, Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,10 Pennsylvania State Turmpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 5	Services Facilities), Series B, 5%, 2/15/28 (b)	2,700	2,625,615
Municipal Bonds (000) Value  Ohio — 1.7%  Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 4/01/33 (b) \$ 12,647,659  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f) 4,748 3,918,279  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27 4,740 4,412,56  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds: Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h) 15,600 15,831,816  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (k) 5,000 4,828,100  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100  Rennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,695 6,154,244  State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,695 6,154,244			33,021,990
Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds,  AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  Series A, 5.60%, 15,600  A,828,100		Par	
Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 4/01/33 (b)  S 14,200 S 12,647,650  Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)  4,748 3,918,279  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27 4,740 4,412,56  Pennsylvania State Tumpike Commission, Tumpike Revenue Bonds: Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h) 15,600 15,831,814  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100  Pennsylvania State Tumpike Commission, Tumpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,000 4,828,100  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Oklahoma — 0.5%  Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  4,740  4,440  4,412.56  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds: Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,100  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,695  6,154,24:  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Ohio — 1.7%		
Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  4,740  4,740  4,412,56  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  15,600  15,831,816  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,100  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6,25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,695  6,154,24:  31,226,72:  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Lorain County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Healthcare Partners), Series C-2, 5%, 4/01/33 (b)	\$ 14,200	\$ 12,647,656
AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)  4,748  3,918,273  Pennsylvania — 4.1%  Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  4,740  4,740  4,412,56  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  15,600  15,831,814  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,100  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  31,226,722  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Oklahoma — 0.5%		
Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27  4,740  4,740  4,412,56  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  5,000  15,831,816  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,106  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,695  6,154,24:  31,226,722  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Home Finance Authority, S/F Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.25%, 12/01/38 (f)	4,748	3,918,278
Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:  Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)  Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,100  6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,695  6,154,243  31,226,723	Pennsylvania — 4.1%		
Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)  Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)  5,000  4,828,100  5,695  6,154,24:  31,226,72:  Rhode Island — 0.3%	Pennsylvania HFA, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 70A, 5.80%, 4/01/27 Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds:	4,740	4,412,561
Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,695 6,154,24: 31,226,722	Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/31 (h)	15,600	15,831,816
6.25%, 6/01/38 (k) 5,695 6,154,24: 31,226,722	Series A1, 5%, 6/01/38 (k)	5,000	4,828,100
Rhode Island — 0.3%	Pennsylvania State Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sub-Series C, 6.25%, 6/01/38 (k)	5,695	6,154,245
			31,226,722
3 000 2 453 89	Rhode Island — 0.3%		
		3,000	2,453,820

Rhode Island State Economic Development Corporation, Airport Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/38 (k)

3,895	3,791,744
3,215	3,088,843
1,160	1,102,104
2,775	2,543,593
3,690	3,353,361
645	651,418
	14,531,063
1 845	1,767,676
1,013	1,707,070
8,185	7,561,549
10,000	10,948,200
10,000	10,948,200
	3,215 1,160 2,775 3,690 645

BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds		Par (000)		Value
Texas (concluded)				
Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, International Airport, Joint Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5%,	Ф	1.000	ф	701.760
11/01/35 (b) Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, International Airport Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds,	\$	1,000	\$	791,760
AMT, Series A, 5.625%, 11/01/26 (a)(c)		15,000		13,925,550
Harris County, Texas, Hospital District, Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 2/15/37 (a)		10,000		8,913,700
Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Senior Lien, Series G (a):				
5.75%, 11/15/19		1,665		1,671,893
5.75%, 11/15/20		3,500		3,464,545
5.25%, 11/15/30		10,000		7,879,500
Houston, Texas, Combined Utility System, First Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%,				
11/15/36 (b)		10,695		10,335,862
Judson, Texas, Independent School District, School Building, GO, 5%, 2/01/37 (k)		10,000		9,621,200
Matagorda County, Texas, Navigation District Number 1, PCR, Refunding (Central Power and Light Company Project), AMT, 5.20%, 5/01/30 (a)		6,250		4,514,688
North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Refunding Bonds, First Tier:				
Series A, 6%, 1/01/25		6,250		6,357,500
Series A, 5.125%, 1/01/28 (a)		22,000		20,259,140
Series B, 5.75%, 1/01/40 (a)		10,000		9,422,700
Texas State Department of Housing and Community Affairs, S/F Mortgage Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series A, 5.45%, 9/01/23 (a)(e)(f)		4,670		4,641,933
Texas State Turnpike Authority, Central Texas Turnpike System Revenue Bonds, First Tier, Series A (h):				
5%, 8/15/42		10,000		8,053,500
5.50%, 8/15/39		10,000		8,803,600
Texas State University, System Financing Revenue Refunding Bonds:				
5.25%, 3/15/24		5,000		5,268,650
5.25%, 3/15/25		9,000		9,396,000
5.25%, 3/15/26		10,000		10,364,700
				162,196,170
Vermont — 0.4%				

Vermont HFA, Revenue Refunding Bonds, AMT, Series C, 5.50%, 11/01/38 (b)

Vermont HFA, S/F Housing Revenue Bonds, AMT, Series 12B, 6.30%, 11/01/19 (b)

2,682,570

3,063,896

381,326

3,000

375

Virginia — 0.3%		
Halifax County, Virginia, IDA, Exempt Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds (Old Dominion Electric Cooperative Project), AMT, 5.625%, 6/01/28 (h)	2,500	2,225,650
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Washington — 7.8%		
Chelan County, Washington, Public Utility District Number 001, Consolidated Revenue Bonds (Chelan Hydro System), AMT, Series A, 5.45%, 7/01/37 (h) Chelan County, Washington, Public Utility District Number 001, Consolidated Revenue	\$ 3,030	\$ 2,479,267
Refunding Bonds (Chelan Hydro System), AMT, Series C, 5.65%, 7/01/32 (a) King County, Washington, Public Hospital District Number 001, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5%,	6,000	5,241,120
12/01/37 (k) Port of Seattle, Washington, Revenue Bonds, DRIVERS, AMT, Series 2553, 8.967%, 1/01/12 (a)(i)	13,995	13,457,032 1,781
Radford Court Properties, Washington, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, 5.75%, 6/01/32 (a) Seattle, Washington, Housing Authority Revenue Bonds (High Rise Rehabilitation Program — Phase 3), AMT, 5.15%, 11/01/27 (b)	10,000 6,255	9,923,000 5,670,533
Skagit County, Washington, Public Hospital District, GO, Series A (a): 5.25%, 12/01/25	4,945	4,999,098
5.25%, 12/01/26 Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Providence Health System),	5,450	5,479,485
Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/21 (a) Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Catholic Health Initiatives), Series D, 6.375%, 10/01/36	6,150 5,500	6,215,375 5,521,010
		 58,987,701
West Virginia — 0.2%		
Harrison County, West Virginia, County Commission for Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Monongahela Power), AMT, Series C, 6.75%, 8/01/24 (h)	1,325	1,290,060
Wisconsin — 0.4%		

Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Government Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series M-3 (a)(q):		
6%, 7/01/26	5,240	5,005,615
6%, 7/01/27	4,235	3,991,911
6%, 7/01/28	2,750	2,573,505
		11,571,031
Total Municipal Bonds — 125.8%		947,756,418
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
See twoes to 1 maneral statements.		

#### BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. (MYI)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (r)	Par (000)	Value
California — 10.9%		
Alameda County, California, Joint Powers Authority, Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, 5%,		
12/01/34 (b)	\$ 6,990	\$ 6,679,225
California State University, Systemwide Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 5%, 11/01/16 (h)	18,435	17,595,839
Las Virgenes, California, Unified School District, GO, Series A, 5%, 8/1/31 (b)	10,000	9,710,553
Orange County, California, Sanitation District, COP, Series B, 5%, 2/01/37 (b)	10,780	10,325,946
Riverside, California, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series D, 5%, 10/01/38 (b) San Diego County, California, Water Authority, Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, COP, Series	20,000	18,963,200
A, 5%, 5/01/33 (b) San Francisco, California, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 5%,	9,370	9,037,552
7/01/36 (b)	10,000	9,701,849
		82,014,164
Connecticut State Health and Educational Facilities Authority Revenue Bonds (Yale University), Series T-1, 4.70%, 7/01/29	5,010	5,016,713
Florida — 1.5%		
Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Refunding Bonds (Miami International Airport), AMT, 5%, 10/01/40 (j)(k)	15,000	11,705,071
Georgia — 1.3%		
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, Georgia, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Third Indenture, Series B, 5%, 7/01/37 (b)	10,000	9,820,808