UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2004

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-31334

SRA International, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

4350 Fair Lakes Court, Fairfax, Virginia (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (703) 803-1500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). x Yes "No

As of April 30, 2004, there were 17,534,225 shares of the registrant s class A common stock outstanding and 8,246,029 shares of the registrant s class B common stock outstanding.

x. Virginia 22033

(Zip Code)

54-1360804

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS

AND NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	1
<u>Consolidate</u>	ed Balance Sheets June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004	1
<u>Consolidate</u>	ed Statements of Operations Three months and nine months ended March 31, 2003 and 2004	3
<u>Consolidate</u>	ed Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended March 31, 2003 and 2004	4
Notes to Co	onsolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	ç
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	28
Part II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	29
Item 2.	Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	29
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	29
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	29
Item 5.	Other Information	29
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	29
Signatures		30

Page

Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

Assets

	June 30, 2003	Ma	March 31, 2004	
		0	Unaudited)	
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 158,264	\$	165,428	
Short-term investments	433			
Accounts receivable, net	119,224		151,776	
Prepaid expenses and other	12,434		11,897	
Deferred income taxes, current	5,980	_	6,637	
Total current assets	296,335		335,738	
Property and equipment, at cost:				
Leasehold improvements	17,871		20,417	
Furniture, equipment, and software	51,045		55,788	
		_		
Total property and equipment	68,916		76,205	
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(48,275)	_	(54,161)	
Total property and equipment, net	20,641		22,044	
Other assets:				
Goodwill	36,171		62,939	
Identified intangibles, net	6,120		13,622	
Deferred compensation trust	3,483		4,100	
Deferred income taxes, noncurrent	1,308			
Investments and other	653			
Total other assets	47,735		80,661	
Total assets	\$ 364,711	\$	438,443	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

	Jui	June 30, 2003		March 31, 2004	
			æ	naudited)	
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	40,338	\$	52,467	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		30,993		44,685	
Billings in excess of revenue recognized		4,949		8,988	
Current portion of long-term debt		400			
Total current liabilities		76,680		106,140	
Long-term liabilities:					
Deferred income taxes, noncurrent				1,238	
Other long-term liabilities		5,016		6,013	
Total long-term liabilities		5,016		7,251	
Total liabilities		81,696		113,391	
Commitments and contingencies					
Stockholders equity:					
Preferred stock, par value \$0.20 per share; 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued					
Class A common stock, par value \$0.004 per share; 180,000,000 shares authorized; 22,578,007 and					
23,464,018 shares issued as of June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004; 16,526,672 and 17,517,006 shares					
outstanding as of June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004		93		97	
Class B common stock, par value \$0.004 per share; 55,000,000 shares authorized; 10,452,753 and					
10,187,205 shares issued as of June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004; 8,511,577 and 8,246,029 shares					
outstanding as of June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004		40		39	
Additional paid-in capital		224,808		239,572	
Treasury stock, at cost		(47,057)		(46,560)	
Deferred stock-based compensation		(509)		(797)	
Retained earnings		105,640		132,701	
Total stockholders equity		283,015		325,052	
		,		,	
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	364,711	\$	438,443	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Mon	Nine Months Ended			
	Marc	March 31,		ch 31,			
	2003	2004	2003	2004			
Revenue	\$ 116,405	\$ 159,962	\$ 323,254	\$ 434,942			
Operating costs and expenses:							
Cost of services	81,316	114,364	227,799	311,548			
Selling, general, and administrative	21,155	26,624	60,540	73,228			
Depreciation and amortization	2,423	2,786	6,420	7,513			
Total operating costs and expenses	104,894	143,774	294,759	392,289			
Operating income	11,511	16,188	28,495	42,653			
Interest expense	(27)	(5)	(101)	(6)			
Interest income	312	396	1,196	1,211			
Gain on sale of equity method investment			1,031				
Gain on sale of Assentor practice			4,685				
Other income				153			
Income before taxes	11,796	16,579	35,306	44,011			
Provision for income taxes	4,626	6,383	14,124	16,950			
Net income	\$ 7,170	\$ 10,196	\$ 21,182	\$ 27,061			
Earnings per share:							
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.40	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.07			
Diluted	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.99			
Weighted-average shares:							
Basic	21,661,783	25,631,969	21,103,022	25,402,184			
Diluted	23,829,699	27,457,409	23,569,864	27,307,920			
	20,027,077	27,107,109	20,007,001	2.,207,720			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Nine Mon Marc	ths Ended ch 31,
	2003	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 21,182	\$ 27,061
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities	¢ =1,10=	¢ 1 ,001
Depreciation and amortization	6,480	7,513
Stock-based compensation	158	177
Tax benefits of stock option exercises	5,974	5,840
Deferred income taxes	845	(465)
Gain on sale of equity method investment	(1,031)	(100)
Gain on sale of Assentor practice	(4,685)	
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effect of acquisitions:	(1,005)	
Accounts receivable	(5,210)	(26,603)
Prepaid expenses and other	3,279	690
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,170	9,494
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	7,714	12,051
Billings in excess of revenue recognized	(1,221)	3,864
Other	1,337	5,80 4 86
Oner	1,557	
Net cash provided by operating activities	36,992	39,708
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(6,669)	(7,759)
Purchases of investments	(8,353)	(.,,)
Proceeds from sales of investments	5,996	1,083
Proceeds from sale of equity method investment	1,031	1,000
Proceeds from sale of Assentor practice	4,685	
Earn-out payments to former Marasco Newton Group, Ltd. stockholders	(8,006)	
Acquisition of Adroit Systems, Inc., net of cash acquired	(33,304)	
Acquisition of ORION Scientific Systems, net of cash acquired	(33,501)	(32,927)
Net cash used in investing activities	(44,620)	(39,603)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayment of term loan	(1,200)	(400)
Repayment of Adroit Systems, Inc. debt acquired	(1,200)	()
Issuance of common stock	3,424	4,470
Purchase of treasury stock	(2,839)	(145)
Reissuance of treasury stock	1,696	3,134
Net cash provided by financing activities	91	7,059

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(7,537)	7,164
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	87,137	158,264
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 79,600	\$ 165,428
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period		
Interest	\$ 101	\$ 12
Income taxes	\$ 3,955	\$ 5,289
Cash received during the period		
Interest	\$ 1,081	\$ 1,069
Income taxes	\$ 168	\$ 583

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2004

1. Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of SRA International, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries (SRA or the Company) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements have been omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all necessary adjustments and reclassifications (all of which are of a normal, recurring nature) that are necessary for fair presentation of the periods presented. The results for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s annual report to the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2003.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2002 and May 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board s, or FASB s, Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, finalized EITF Issue 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. EITF 00-21 addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting. It also addresses how arrangement consideration should be measured and allocated to the separate units of accounting in an arrangement. EITF 00-21 does not apply to deliverables in arrangements to the extent the accounting for such deliverables is within the scope of other existing higher-level authoritative accounting literature. EITF 00-21 is effective for contracts entered into on or after July 1, 2003. The adoption of EITF 00-21 had no impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 149, Amendment of Statement No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, which clarifies and amends certain definitions and characteristics of derivative instruments contained in SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, FIN 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, and other existing pronouncements. This statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified on or after July 1, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 had no impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In May 2003, the EITF reached a consensus on EITF Issue 01-08, Determining Whether an Arrangement Contains a Lease. EITF 01-08 provides guidance on how to determine whether an arrangement between the Company and a client contains a lease that is within the scope of FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases. The guidance in EITF 01-08 is based on whether the arrangement conveys to the client the right to use a specific asset. EITF 01-08 is effective for arrangements entered into or modified on or after July 1, 2003. The adoption of EITF 01-08 had no impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-period balances to conform to the current-period presentation.

2. Nature of Business:

SRA is a leading provider of information technology services and solutions primarily to a wide variety of federal government clients in three principal markets: national security, civil government, and health care and public health. Since SRA s founding in 1978, the Company has derived substantially all of its revenue from services provided to federal government clients, and SRA expects that services provided to federal government clients will continue to account for substantially all of its revenue.

Revenue from contracts with federal government agencies were 99 percent and 98 percent of total revenue for the three months ended and nine months ended March 31, 2003, respectively, and 99 percent of total revenue for both the three months ended and the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2004

Revenue was generated from the following contract types for the periods indicated:

		Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004	
Cost-plus-fee	42%	42%	42%	44%	
Time-and-materials	39	41	38	39	
Fixed-price	19	17	20	17	

3. Earnings Per Share and Stock Options:

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS considers the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The difference between basic and diluted weighted-average common equivalent shares with respect to the Company s EPS calculation is due entirely to the assumed exercise of stock options. The dilutive effect of stock options for each period reported is summarized below:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	21,661,783	25,631,969	21,103,022	25,402,184
Effect of potential exercise of stock options	2,167,916	1,825,440	2,466,842	1,905,736
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	23,829,699	27,457,409	23,569,864	27,307,920

In August 2003, the Company granted stock options to purchase 619,410 shares of class A common stock at an exercise price of \$33.59 per share, the fair value of class A common stock on the date of grant. These options vest at the rate of 25 percent per year over four years, beginning on the date of grant. The options expire in August 2013.

In January 2004, the Company granted stock options to purchase 93,000 shares of class A common stock at an exercise price of \$38.78 per share, the fair value of class A common stock on the date of grant. These options vest at the rate of 25 percent per year over four years, beginning on the date of grant. The options expire in January 2014.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation in each period presented (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Mar	March 31,		ch 31,
	2003	2004	2003	2004
Net income, as reported	\$ 7,170	\$ 10,196	\$ 21,182	\$ 27,061
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	53	72	158	177
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(670)	(940)	(1,783)	(2,587)
Pro forma net income	\$ 6,553	\$ 9,328	\$ 19,557	\$ 24,651
Earnings per share: Basic as reported	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.40	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.07
Basic pro forma	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.97
Diluted as reported	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.90	\$ 0.99
Diluted pro forma	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.90

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2004

4. Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2003	March 31, 2004
Billed and billable, net of allowance of \$1,378 as of June 30, 2003 and \$1,350 as of March 31, 2004	\$ 110,073	\$ 141,492
Unbilled:		
Retainages	3,442	5,423
Revenue recorded in excess of milestone billings on fixed-price contracts	5,917	4,347
Revenue recorded in excess of contractual authorization, billable upon receipt of contractual		
amendments/documents	1,967	2,388
Allowance for contract disallowances	(2,175)	(1,874)
Total unbilled	9,151	10,284
Total accounts receivable	\$ 119,224	\$ 151,776

The billable accounts receivable included in the billed and billable line item above represent primarily revenue earned in the final month of the reporting period that were billable as of the balance sheet date. These billable accounts receivable are typically billed and collected within 90 days of the balance sheet date.

5. Commitments and Contingencies:

Government Contracting

Payments to the Company on cost-plus-fee contracts are provisional and are subject to adjustment upon audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency. Audits through June 30, 2001 have been completed. In the opinion of management, audit adjustments that may result from audits for

periods after June 30, 2001 are not expected to have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Additionally, federal government contracts, by their terms, generally can be terminated at any time by the federal government, without cause, for the convenience of the federal government. If a federal government contract is so terminated, the Company would be entitled to receive compensation for the services provided and costs incurred through the time of termination, plus a negotiated amount of profit. Contractors who fail to comply with applicable federal government procurement-related statutes and regulations may be subject to potential contract termination, suspension and debarment from contracting with the government, or other remedies. Management believes the Company has complied with all such statutes and regulations.

Litigation

The Company is involved in various legal matters and proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company currently believes that any ultimate liability arising out of these matters and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Stock Purchase Agreement

A stock purchase agreement with the Company s chief executive officer requires the Company to maintain \$10 million of term life insurance through December 2010. In the event this policy ceases to be available before the end of 2010, the Company has agreed it will purchase and maintain new life insurance policies providing the maximum coverage for the remainder of that period that can be purchased with the same overall annual premiums of approximately \$53,000. The Company is the sole beneficiary of this policy and is required to use any proceeds received to repurchase shares from the chief executive officer s estate upon his death.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2003 and 2004

6. Gain on Sale of Equity Method Investment:

In February 2001, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$11.8 million on the sale of its minority interest in Mail2000, Inc. At that time, the Company deferred recognition of contingent gains attributable to the portion of its sales proceeds that were deposited in escrow to cover certain contingencies. In the nine months ended March 31, 2003, an additional pre-tax gain of approximately \$1.0 million was recognized when the Company received its portion of proceeds from the settlement of indemnification escrow agreements. As of December 31, 2002, all escrowed amounts related to the sale of Mail2000, Inc. had been distributed.

7. Gain on Sale of Assentor Practice:

In October 2002, the Company sold its Assentor practice for approximately \$5 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$4.7 million. All proceeds due as a result of this sale were received in October 2002 upon closing.

8. Other Income:

The Internal Revenue Service challenged the Company s use of the cash receipts and disbursements method of accounting for income taxes in 1998 while auditing the Company s fiscal 1996 tax return. The Company had previously established a reserve of approximately \$2.6 million toward this contingency for what the Company then estimated to be its probable interest payments on this liability. During the nine months ended March 31, 2004, the Company made what it believes were final payments to settle all remaining state tax liabilities with respect to this matter. As a result, the Company reversed the remaining \$153,000 of reserves. This reversal is reflected as other income in the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

9. Acquisition of ORION Scientific Systems:

In January 2004, the Company completed its acquisition of ORION Scientific Systems (ORION), a privately-held company focused on national security and homeland defense. ORION provides analytical support, training, system development, and proprietary knowledge management applications to its customers in the intelligence agencies, Department of Defense, and law enforcement community. The Company acquired ORION for approximately \$34.5 million, consisting of approximately \$33.0 million of cash on hand and \$1.5 million of class A common stock. Pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations, the effect of the acquisition did not meet the criteria of a material and

significant acquisition, and therefore, pro forma disclosures are not presented in these consolidated financial statements.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally.]

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The matters discussed in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, include forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, potential, should, will, and would or similar words. You that contain these words carefully because they discuss our future expectations, contain projections of our future expectations to our investors. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or control. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements include our dependence on our GSA schedule contracts and our position as a prime contractor on government-wide acquisition contracts to grow our business, and other factors discussed in the section entitled RISK FACTORS in this Item 2. These factors, as well as any cautionary language in this Form 10-Q, provide examples of risks, uncertainties, and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements.

We cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. Subsequent events and developments may cause our views to change. However, while we may elect to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of information technology services and solutions to federal government clients in three principal markets: national security, civil government, and health care and public health. Since our founding in 1978, we have derived substantially all of our revenue from services provided to federal government clients. We expect that federal government clients will continue to account for substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future. During each of our last three fiscal years and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, we recognized more than 90% of our revenue from contract engagements in which we acted as a prime contractor. Our contract base is diversified among federal government clients. The National Guard, as a client group, accounted for approximately 13% of our revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2004 and 11% of our revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2004. No other client or client group accounted for more than 10% of our revenue for the periods presented herein.

Our backlog as of March 31, 2004 was approximately \$2.0 billion, of which \$315.8 million was funded. Our backlog as of June 30, 2003 was \$1.6 billion, of which \$243.3 million was funded. We define our backlog to include both funded and unfunded orders for services under existing signed contracts, assuming the exercise of all priced options relating to those contracts. We define funded backlog to be the portion of backlog for which funding currently is appropriated and obligated to us under a contract or other authorization for payment signed by an authorized purchasing authority, less the amount of revenue we have previously recognized under the contract. We define unfunded backlog as the total value of signed contracts, less funding to date. We currently expect to recognize revenue during the last quarter of fiscal 2004 from approximately 8% of our total backlog as of March 31, 2004. Our backlog includes orders under contracts that in some cases extend for several years, with the latest expiring at the end of calendar year 2011. Our estimate of the portion of the backlog as of March 31, 2004 from which we expect to recognize revenue in the last quarter of fiscal 2004 is likely to be inaccurate because the receipt and timing of any revenue is subject to

various contingencies, many of which are beyond our control.

We presently report one operating segment for financial reporting purposes, our consulting and systems integration business, or C&SI. The C&SI segment represents our core business and includes high-end consulting services and information technology solutions primarily for federal government clients. Since October 2002, the C&SI segment represented all of our ongoing operations.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2003, we reported a second operating segment for financial reporting purposes, our emerging technologies segment, or ET. The ET segment historically performed advanced technology research and development, sought commercial applications for this technology, and managed our commercial software products and services offerings, including our Assentor practice. Substantially all ET segment activities had ceased as of June 30, 2002. As of July 1, 2002, the only remaining activity in the ET segment was our Assentor practice. In October 2002, we sold our Assentor practice to a third party.

The following table summarizes the total revenue and operating income for each period represented by each of our reported segments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
Segment				
	2003	2004	2003	2004
Consulting & systems integration				
Revenue	\$ 116,405	\$ 159,962	\$ 322,177	\$ 434,942
Operating income	11,511	16,188	28,541	42,653
Emerging technologies				
Revenue			1,077	
Operating (loss)			(46)	

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires that we make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates including those related to revenue recognition, doubtful accounts receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, and other contingent liabilities. We base our estimates on our historical experience and various other factors that we believe are reasonable at the time the estimates are made. Actual results may differ significantly from our estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the critical accounting policies requiring us to make significant estimates and judgments are revenue recognition, contract cost accounting, and accounting for acquisitions, including the identification of intangible assets and the ongoing impairment assessments of the intangible assets. If any of these estimates or judgments prove to be incorrect, our reported results could be materially affected. These critical accounting policies are discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003. During the nine months ended March 31, 2004, we have not adopted any new accounting policies that we consider to be critical accounting policies.

We are required to evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or during any interim period if we have an indication that goodwill may be impaired. We performed our annual goodwill impairment analysis as of January 1, 2004. There was no indication of goodwill impairment as a result of our impairment analysis. We will continue to perform the annual goodwill impairment analysis as of January 1, during each fiscal year, unless facts and circumstances warrant a review before that time, as required by SFAS 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

Revenue

We generate revenue from three types of contractual arrangements: cost-plus-fee contracts, time-and-material contracts, and fixed-price contracts. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, or goods delivered, the contract price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. We have a standard management process that we use to determine whether all required criteria for revenue recognition have been met. This standard management process includes a regular review of our contract performance. This review covers, among other matters, outstanding action items, progress against schedule, effort and staffing, requirements stability, quality, risks and issues, subcontract management, cost, commitments, and client satisfaction. This review is designed to determine whether the overall progress on a contract is consistent with the effort expended and revenue recognized to date.

Absent evidence to the contrary, we recognize revenue as follows. Revenue on cost-plus-fee contracts is recognized to the extent of costs actually incurred plus a proportionate amount of the fee earned. We consider fixed fees under cost-plus-fee contracts to be earned in proportion to the allowable costs actually incurred in performance of the contract. Revenue on time-and-materials contracts is recognized based on the hours actually incurred at the negotiated contract billing rates, plus the cost of any allowable material costs and out-of-pocket expenses. Revenue on fixed-price contracts is generally recognized using the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting. Unless it is determined as part of our regular contract performance review that overall progress on a contract is not consistent with costs expended to date, we determine the percentage completed based on the percentage of costs incurred to date in relation to total estimated costs expected upon completion of the contract. Revenue on fixed-price contracts pursuant to which a client pays us a specified amount to provide only a particular service for a stated time period, a so-called fee-for-service arrangement, is recognized as amounts become billable, assuming all other criteria for revenue recognition are met. We consider performance-based fees, including award fees, under any contract type to be earned when we can demonstrate satisfaction of performance goals, based upon historical experience, or we receive contractual notification from a client that the fee has been earned.

To the extent that a revised estimate affects contract profit or revenue previously recognized, we record the cumulative effect of the revision in the period in which the facts requiring the revision become known. The full amount of an anticipated loss on any type of contract is recognized in the period in which it becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated.

From time to time, we may proceed with work based on client direction prior to the completion and signing of formal contract documents. We have a formal review process for approving any such work. Revenue associated with such work is recognized only when it can be reliably estimated and realization is probable. We base our estimates on previous experiences with the client, communications with the client regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program.

We maintain reserves for doubtful accounts receivable that may arise in the normal course of business. Historically, we have not had significant write-offs of doubtful accounts receivable related to work we perform for the federal government. However, we do perform work on contracts and task orders where on occasion issues arise that lead to accounts receivable not being fully collected.

Cost of Services

Cost of services includes the direct costs to provide our services and business solutions to clients. The most significant of these costs are the salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits, of our employees directly serving clients. Cost of services also includes the costs of subcontractors and outside consultants, third-party materials, such as hardware or software that we purchase and provide to the client as part of an integrated solution, and any other direct costs, such as travel expenses incurred to support contract efforts.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative expenses include the salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits, of our employees not performing work directly for clients. Among the functions covered by these costs are asset and facilities management, business development, research and development, contracts and legal, finance and accounting, executive and senior management, human resources, and information system support. Facilities-related costs are also included in selling, general, and administrative expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation of computers and other equipment, the amortization of software we use internally, the amortization of leasehold improvements, and the amortization of identified intangible assets. The amortization of computer software developed for sale to clients is included in cost of services.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following tables set forth some items from our consolidated statements of operations, and these items expressed as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated.

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended		ths Ended
	Marc	ch 31,	March 31,	
	2003	2004	2003	2004
		(unaudited, i	n thousands)	
Revenue	\$ 116,405	\$ 159,962	\$ 323,254	\$ 434,942
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of services	81,316	114,364	227,799	311,548
Selling, general, and administrative	21,155	26,624	60,540	73,228
Depreciation and amortization	2,423	2,786	6,420	7,513
Total operating costs and expenses	104,894	143,774	294,759	392,289
Operating income	11,511	16,188	28,495	42,653
Interest income, net	285	391	1,095	1,205
Gain on sale of equity method investment			1,031	
Gain on sale of Assentor practice			4,685	
Other income				153
Income before taxes	11,796	16,579	35,306	44,011
Provision for income taxes	4,626	6,383	14,124	16,950
Net income	\$ 7,170	\$ 10,196	\$ 21,182	\$ 27,061

	(unaudited, as a percentage of revenue)			
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of services	69.9	71.5	70.5	71.6
Selling, general, and administrative	18.2	16.6	18.7	16.8
Depreciation and amortization	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.7
Total operating costs and expenses	90.1	89.9	91.2	90.2
Operating income	9.9	10.1	8.8	9.8
Interest income, net	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3
Gain on sale of equity method investment			0.3	
Gain on sale of Assentor practice			1.4	
Other income				
Income before taxes	10.1	10.4	10.9	10.1
Provision for income taxes	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.9

Net income	6.2%	6.4%	6.6%	6.2%

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

Revenue

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, revenue increased 37.4% to \$160.0 million, from \$116.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. Revenue growth resulted primarily from our new Advanced Information Technology Services (AITS) contract award with the National Guard, our United States Agency for International Development (USAID) contract award, revenue attributable to our January 2003 acquisition of Adroit Systems, Inc. (Adroit), and revenue attributable to our January 2004 acquisition of ORION.

Cost of Services

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, cost of services increased 40.6% to \$114.4 million, from \$81.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in cost of services was due primarily to services provided under our new AITS and USAID contract awards and the cost of services attributable to Adroit s and ORION s existing contracts. As a percentage of revenue, cost of services increased to 71.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2004, from 69.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2003. This increase as a percentage of revenue was attributable primarily to two factors. First, we provided more of our services under new cost-plus-award-fee contracts. These new cost-plus-award-fee contracts have a higher proportion of direct material and other direct costs than the remainder of our contract base, which increases the ratio of cost of services to revenue for these contracts. In addition, the net award fees earned to date on these new award-fee contracts are lower than our other cost-type contracts. Second, profits from fixed-price contracts were lower compared to the three months ended March 31, 2003, primarily as a result of a loss recognized on one of our fixed price contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2004, which increased the ratio of cost of services to revenue.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, selling, general, and administrative expenses increased 25.9% to \$26.6 million, from \$21.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased to 16.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2004, from 18.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2003. This decrease as a percentage of revenue resulted from continued cost control measures taken by management to hold increases in selling, general, and administrative expenses to a rate below our revenue growth rate. We have been able to accomplish this by leveraging our centralized corporate services functions as we won new contracts and integrated acquired companies into our systems and processes.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, depreciation and amortization increased 15.0% to \$2.8 million, from \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in depreciation and amortization resulted primarily from the amortization associated with the identified intangible assets recorded as a result of our acquisitions of Adroit and ORION. As a percentage of revenue, depreciation and amortization decreased to 1.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2004, from 2.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2003, consistent with the decline in our capital expenditures as a percentage of revenue for this fiscal year. Our depreciation and amortization expense is driven by our capital expenditures, which we target at approximately 2% of revenue on an annual basis, and the identified intangible assets recorded

Table of Contents

when we acquire companies.

Interest Income, net

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, interest income, net increased to \$391,000 from \$285,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The increase reflected a higher average cash and cash equivalents balance resulting primarily from our June 2003 stock offering, offset in part by the impact of lower interest rates.

Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2004, our effective income tax rate decreased to 38.5%, from 39.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2003. This decrease was due to lower nondeductible expenses for tax purposes and higher interest income from municipal bonds exempt from federal income tax in the three months ended March 31, 2004.

NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

Revenue

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, revenue increased 34.6% to \$434.9 million, from \$323.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. Revenue growth resulted primarily from our AITS contract award, revenue attributable to our January 2003 acquisition of Adroit, and revenue attributable to our January 2004 acquisition of ORION.

Cost of Services

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, cost of services increased 36.8% to \$311.5 million, from \$227.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in cost of services was due primarily to services provided under our AITS contract award and the cost of services attributable to Adroit s and ORION s existing contracts. As a percentage of revenue, cost of services increased to 71.6% for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This net increase as a percentage of revenue was attributable primarily to two factors. First, we provided more of our services under new cost-plus-award-fee contracts during the nine months ended March 31, 2004 than during the prior period; these contracts generally have lower profit margins than fixed-price or time-and-materials contracts, which increased the ratio of cost of services to revenue. Second, the amount of direct material purchases made by us in conjunction with our services increased during the nine months ended March 31, 2004, which increased the ratio of cost of services. During the close-out process on certain previously completed cost-type contracts, we were successful in negotiating and collecting additional amounts related to previous years indirect rate variances, which decreased the ratio of cost of services to revenue in the current period. In addition, services provided by our employees increased at a greater rate than subcontracted services. Work performed by our employees is more profitable than subcontracted work, which decreased the ratio of cost of services to revenue.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, selling, general, and administrative expenses increased 21.0% to \$73.2 million, from \$60.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased to 16.8% for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, from 18.7% for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This decrease as a percentage of revenue resulted from continued cost control measures taken by management to hold increases in selling, general, and administrative expenses to a rate below our revenue growth rate. We have been able to accomplish this by leveraging our centralized corporate services functions as we won new contracts and integrated acquired companies into our systems and processes.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, depreciation and amortization increased 17.0% to \$7.5 million, from \$6.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in depreciation and amortization resulted primarily from the amortization associated with the identified intangible assets recorded as a result of our acquisitions of Adroit and ORION. As a percentage of revenue, depreciation and amortization decreased to 1.7% for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, from 2.0% for the nine months ended March 31, 2003, consistent with the decline in our capital expenditures as a percentage of revenue for this fiscal year. Our depreciation and amortization expense is driven by our capital expenditures, which we target at approximately 2% of revenue on an annual basis, and the identified intangible assets recorded

Table of Contents

when we acquire companies.

Interest Income, net

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, interest income, net increased slightly to \$1.2 million from \$1.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This increase reflected higher average cash and cash equivalents resulting from our June 2003 stock offering, offset in part by the impact of lower interest rates.

Income Taxes

For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, our effective income tax rate decreased to 38.5%, from 40.0% for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This decrease was due primarily to lower nondeductible expenses for tax purposes and higher interest income from municipal bonds exempt from federal income tax in the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

SEASONALITY

Our operating margin has typically been negatively affected in the quarter ending September 30 by generally lower utilization rates. These lower utilization rates have been attributable both to summer vacations and to increased proposal activity in connection with the end of the federal fiscal year. We typically transition a number of professional staff temporarily off of billable engagements to support this increased proposal activity. This seasonality was not apparent in our consolidated financial results for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, due to the recent successes we have had in business development and hiring. However, we expect to continue to experience this seasonality and our future periods may be materially affected by it.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We expect our future liquidity needs will be primarily to finance the costs of operations pending the billing and collection of accounts receivable, to acquire capital assets, and to make selected strategic acquisitions. We expect the combination of cash flows from operations and our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments to meet our normal operating liquidity and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months. Our operating cash flow is primarily affected by the overall profitability of our contracts, our ability to invoice and collect from our clients in a timely manner, and our ability to manage our vendor payments. Our ability to borrow funds is generally related to the amount of cash flow we generate from our operating activities.

Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$165.4 million as of March 31, 2004, up from \$158.7 million as of June 30, 2003. Accounts receivable represent our largest working capital requirement. We bill most of our clients monthly after services are rendered.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$39.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, an increase of \$2.7 million from the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in operating cash flow was primarily attributable to higher operating income offset by changes in various working capital accounts. Working capital changes consisted primarily of an increase in accounts receivable, offset by an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, due to our revenue growth. Net cash used in investing activities was \$39.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, a decrease of \$5.0 million from the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This decrease in investing cash outflow was primarily attributable to less cash being used in connection with acquisitions. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$7.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2003. This increase in financing cash inflow was primarily attributable to higher stock contributions to the SRA International, Inc. 401(k) Savings Plan and lower treasury stock repurchases from departing 401(k) plan participants during the nine months ended March 31, 2004. We do not expect to make significant treasury stock repurchases in the future.

Credit Facility

We maintained a \$60 million credit facility that expired on February 29, 2004. We do not intend to replace the credit facility as we expect our cash and cash equivalents on hand together with cash flow generated from operations to meet our normal operating liquidity and capital expenditure requirements. We believe we have significant borrowing capacity, if required, based on our profitability and cash flow generated from operating activities.

Table of Contents

Commitments

We have made firm purchase commitments totaling approximately \$5.0 million to procure certain equipment required by one of our customers. To date, we have made payments of approximately \$1.5 million to vendors related to these commitments. Presently, we do not have a contract with our customer that would obligate the customer to reimburse us for the cost of a majority of this equipment. We expect to enter into a contract with this customer prior to the time we take delivery of this equipment. Management believes a loss, if any, as a result of these commitments is remote.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We have an agreement with Ernst Volgenau, our chief executive officer, requiring us to maintain \$10 million of term life insurance on his life through 2010. We are the sole beneficiary of this policy and we are required to use any proceeds received from this insurance to repurchase shares of our common stock owned by Dr. Volgenau upon his death. In the event this policy ceases to be available before the end of 2010, we have agreed to purchase and maintain new life insurance policies providing the maximum coverage for the remainder of that period that can be purchased with the same overall annual premiums of approximately \$53,000.

RISK FACTORS

Risks Related To Our Business

We depend on contracts with U.S. federal government agencies, particularly clients within the Department of Defense and the National Guard, for substantially all of our revenue, and if our relationships with these agencies were harmed, our business would be threatened.

Revenue from contracts with U.S. federal government agencies accounted for 93%, 96%, and 99% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, 2002, and 2003, respectively, and 99% of our revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2004. Revenue from contracts with clients in the Department of Defense and the National Guard accounted for 47%, 53%, 58%, and 60% of our revenue for the same periods, respectively. We believe that federal government contracts will continue to be the source of substantially all of our revenue for the foreseeable future. For this reason, any issue that compromises our relationship with agencies of the federal government in general, or within the Department of Defense and the National Guard in particular, would cause serious harm to our business. Among the key factors in maintaining our relationships with federal government agencies and departments are our performance on individual contracts and delivery orders, the strength of our professional reputation, and the relationships of our key executives with client personnel. To the extent that our performance does not meet client expectations, or our reputation or relationships with one or more key clients are impaired, our revenue and operating results could be materially harmed.

Loss of our General Services Administration, or GSA, schedule contracts or our position as a prime contractor on one or more of our government-wide acquisition contracts, or GWACs, or our other multiple-award contracts would impair our ability to win new business.

We believe that one of the key elements of our success is our position as the holder of five GSA schedule contracts and as a prime contractor under four GWACs and more than 20 agency-specific indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2001, 2002, and 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, revenue from GSA schedule contracts, GWACs, and other indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts accounted for approximately 87%, 91%, 86%, and 84%, respectively, of our revenue from federal government clients. As these types of contracts have increased in importance in the last several years, we believe our position as a prime contractor on these contracts has become increasingly important to our ability to sell our services to federal government clients. If we were to lose our position on one or more of these contracts, we could lose revenue and our operating results could suffer.

The General Services Administration intends to combine two of its large GWACs, Millenia and ANSWER, and six other specialized contracts under a new Alliant contract to be competitively awarded. We are presently a prime contractor on Millenia. If we do not win a position as a prime contractor on the new Alliant contract, we could lose revenue and our operating results could suffer.

On October 1, 2003, the Department of Defense issued a new interim rule providing that procurements of services that are not performance based or that are to be procured using a contract vehicle outside of the Department of Defense must be approved in advance. This rule could result in the Department of Defense limiting its future use of GWACs and GSA schedule contracts. This or similar initiatives, if taken by the Department of Defense or other government agencies and departments, could cause services we provide on existing contracts to migrate to contract vehicles on which we are not a prime contractor. Should this occur, our ability to compete for business from these organizations in the future may be harmed.

Orders under GSA schedule contracts, GWACs, and other indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts typically have a one- or two-year initial term with multiple options that may be exercised by our government clients to extend the contract for successive periods of one or more years. We can provide no assurance that our clients will exercise these options.

We may not receive the full amount of our backlog, which could harm our business.

Our backlog was approximately \$2.0 billion as of March 31, 2004, of which \$315.8 million was funded. We currently expect to recognize revenue during the last quarter of fiscal 2004 from approximately 8% of our total backlog as of March 31, 2004. Our backlog includes orders under contracts that in some cases extend for several years, with the latest expiring at the end of calendar year 2011. We define backlog to include both funded and unfunded orders for services under existing signed contracts, assuming the exercise of all priced options relating to those contracts. Congress often appropriates funds for our clients on a yearly basis, even though their contract with us may call for performance that is expected to take a number of years. As a result, contracts typically are only partially funded at any point during their term, and all or some of the work to be performed under the contract. We define funded backlog to be the portion of backlog for which funding currently is appropriated and obligated to us under a contract or other authorization for payment signed by an authorized purchasing authority, less the amount of revenue we have previously

recognized under the contract. We define unfunded backlog as the total value of signed contracts, less funding to date. Unfunded backlog includes all contract options that have been priced but not yet funded. Our estimate of the portion of the backlog as of March 31, 2004 from which we expect to recognize revenue in the last quarter of fiscal 2004 is likely to be inaccurate because the receipt and timing of any revenue is subject to various contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. In addition, we may never realize revenue from some of the engagements that are included in our backlog, and there is a higher degree of risk in this regard with respect to unfunded backlog. The actual accrual of revenue on engagements included in backlog may never occur or may change because a program schedule could change or the program could be cancelled, or a contract could be reduced, modified, or terminated early. If we fail to realize revenue from engagements included in our backlog as of March 31, 2004, our revenue and operating results for our 2004 fiscal year as well as future reporting periods may be materially harmed.

The loss of a key executive could impair our relationships with government clients and disrupt the management of our business.

We believe that our success depends on the continued contributions of the members of our senior management. We rely on our senior management to generate business and execute programs successfully. In addition, the relationships and reputation that many members of our senior management team have established and maintain with government personnel contribute to our ability to maintain good client relations and to identify new business opportunities. We do not have any employment agreements providing for a specific term of employment with any member of our senior management. The loss of any member of our senior management could impair our ability to identify and secure new contracts, to maintain good client relations, and otherwise to manage our business.

If we fail to attract and retain skilled employees, we might not be able to staff recently awarded engagements and sustain our profit margins and revenue growth.

We must continue to hire significant numbers of highly qualified individuals in fiscal 2004 who have advanced information technology and technical services skills and who work well with our clients in a government or defense-related environment. These employees are in great demand and are likely to remain a limited resource for the foreseeable future. If we are unable to recruit and retain a sufficient number of these employees, our ability to staff recently awarded engagements and to maintain and grow our business could be limited. If we encounter a tight labor market, as we did in the first half of fiscal 2001, we could be required to engage larger numbers of subcontractor personnel, which could cause our profit margins to suffer. In addition, some of our contracts contain provisions requiring us to commit to staff an engagement with personnel the client considers key to our successful performance under the contract. In the event we are unable to provide these key personnel or acceptable substitutions, the client may terminate the contract, and we may not be able to recover our costs.

If subcontractors on our prime contracts are able to secure positions as prime contractors, we may lose revenue.

For each of the past several years, as the GSA schedule contracts and GWACs have increasingly been used as contract vehicles, we have received substantial revenue from government clients relating to work performed by other information technology providers acting as subcontractors to us. In some cases, companies that have not held GSA schedule contracts or secured positions as prime contractors on GWACs have approached us in our capacity as a prime contractor, seeking to perform services as our subcontractor for a government client. Some of these providers that are currently acting as subcontractors to us may in the future secure positions as prime contractors upon renewal of the GSA schedule or GWAC contract. If one or more of our current subcontractors to us. Revenue derived from work performed by our subcontractors represented approximately 35%, 35%, 30%, and 29% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively.

We may not be successful in identifying acquisition candidates, and if we undertake acquisitions, they could be expensive, increase our costs or liabilities, or disrupt our business.

One of our strategies is to pursue growth through acquisitions. We have completed acquisitions of three complementary companies that provide services in one of our three target markets. We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates at prices that we consider appropriate or to finance acquisitions on terms that are satisfactory to us. Additionally, we may not be able to identify acquisition candidates that align as closely with our existing business as the companies we have acquired previously. If we do identify an appropriate acquisition candidate, we may not be able to successfully negotiate the terms of the acquisition, finance the acquisition or, if the acquisition occurs, integrate the acquired business into our existing business. Negotiations of potential acquisitions and the integration of acquired business operations could disrupt our business by diverting management attention away from day-to-day operations. Acquisitions of businesses or other material operations may require additional debt or equity financing, resulting in leverage or dilution of ownership. The difficulties of integration may be increased by the necessity of coordinating geographically dispersed organizations, integrating personnel with disparate business backgrounds, and combining different corporate cultures. We also may not realize cost efficiencies or synergies that we anticipated when selecting our acquisition candidates. In addition, we may need to record write-downs from future impairments of identified intangible assets and goodwill, which could reduce our future reported earnings. Acquisition candidates may have liabilities or adverse operating issues that we fail to discover through due diligence prior to the acquisition. Any costs, liabilities, or disruptions associated with any future acquisitions we may pursue could harm our operating results.

If we are unable to integrate companies we acquire into our business successfully or to achieve the expected benefits of these acquisitions, our revenue and operating results may be impaired.

In January 2004, we acquired ORION Scientific Systems. If we are unable to successfully integrate ORION, or other companies we have acquired or may acquire in the future, our revenue and operating results could suffer. In addition, we may not be successful in achieving the anticipated synergies from these acquisitions, including our strategy of offering our services to existing clients of acquired companies to increase our revenue. In addition, we may experience increased attrition, including but not limited to key employees of acquired companies, following the integration of acquired companies that could reduce our future revenue.

We face intense competition from many competitors that have greater resources than we do, which could result in price reductions, reduced profitability, and loss of market share.

We operate in highly competitive markets and generally encounter intense competition to win contracts. If we are unable to successfully compete for new business or win recompetitions of existing business, our revenue growth and operating margins may decline. Many of our competitors are larger and have greater financial, technical, marketing, and public relations resources, larger client bases, and greater brand or name recognition than we do. Larger competitors include federal systems integrators such as Computer Sciences Corporation and Science Applications International Corporation, divisions of large defense contractors such as General Dynamics Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and Northrop Grumman Corporation, and consulting firms such as Accenture Ltd. and BearingPoint, Inc. Our larger competitors may be able to compete more effectively for very large-scale government contracts. Our larger competitors also may be able to provide clients with different or greater capabilities or benefits than we can provide in areas such as technical qualifications, past performance on large-scale contracts, geographic presence, the ability to provide a broader range of services without creating conflicts of interest or intra-organizational conflicts of interest, price, and the availability of key professional personnel. Our competitors also have established or may establish relationships among themselves or with third parties, including through mergers and acquisitions, to increase their ability to address client needs. Accordingly, it is possible that new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge.

We derive significant revenue from contracts awarded through a competitive bidding process, which can impose substantial costs upon us, and we will lose revenue if we fail to compete effectively.

We derive significant revenue from federal government contracts that are awarded through a competitive bidding process. We expect that most of the government business we seek in the foreseeable future will be awarded through competitive bidding. Competitive bidding imposes substantial costs and presents a number of risks, including:

the need to bid on engagements in advance of the completion of their design, which may result in unforeseen difficulties in executing the engagement and cost overruns;

the substantial cost and managerial time and effort that we spend to prepare bids and proposals for contracts that may not be awarded to us;

the need to accurately estimate the resources and costs that will be required to service any contract we are awarded;

the expense and delay that may arise if our competitors protest or challenge contract awards made to us pursuant to competitive bidding, and the risk that any such protest or challenge could result in the resubmission of bids on modified specifications, or in termination, reduction, or modification of the awarded contract; and

the opportunity cost of not bidding on and winning other contracts we might otherwise pursue.

To the extent we engage in competitive bidding and are unable to win particular contracts, we not only incur substantial costs in the bidding process that would negatively affect our operating results, but we may be precluded from operating in the market for services that are provided under those contracts for a number of years. Even if we win a particular contract through competitive bidding, our profit margins may be depressed as a result of the costs incurred through the bidding process.

We may lose money on some contracts if we underestimate the resources we need to perform under the contract.

We provide services to the federal government under three types of contracts: cost-plus-fee, time-and-materials, and fixed-price. For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, we derived 44%, 39%, and 17% of our revenue from cost-plus fee, time-and-materials,

and fixed-price contracts, respectively. Each of these types of contracts, to differing degrees, involves the risk that we could underestimate our cost of fulfilling the contract, which may reduce the profit we earn or lead to a financial loss on the contract.

Under cost-plus-fee contracts, which are subject to a ceiling amount, we are reimbursed for allowable costs and paid a fee, which may be fixed or performance-based. However, if our costs exceed the ceiling or are not allowable under the terms of the contract or applicable regulations, we may not be able to recover those costs.

Under time-and-materials contracts, we are reimbursed for labor at negotiated hourly billing rates and for certain expenses, and we assume the risk that our costs of performance may exceed the negotiated hourly rates.

Under fixed-price contracts, we perform specific tasks for a fixed price. Compared to cost-plus-fee contracts and time-and-materials contracts, fixed-price contracts involve greater financial risk because we bear the impact of cost overruns.

For all three contract types, we bear varying degrees of risk associated with the assumptions we use to formulate our pricing for the work. To the extent our working assumptions prove inaccurate, we may lose money on the contract, which would adversely affect our operating results.

We may lose revenue and our cash flow and profitability could be negatively affected if expenditures are incurred prior to final receipt of a contract.

We provide various professional services and sometimes procure equipment and materials on behalf of our government clients under various contract arrangements. From time to time, in order to ensure that we satisfy our clients delivery requirements and schedules, we may elect to initiate procurements in advance of receiving final authorization from the government client or a prime contractor. If our government or prime contractor clients requirements should change or if the government or the prime contractor should direct the anticipated procurement to a contractor other than us or if the equipment or materials become obsolete or require modification before we are under contract for the procurement, our investment in the equipment or materials might be at risk if we cannot efficiently resell them. This could reduce anticipated revenue or result in a loss, negatively affecting our cash flow and profitability.

We have made firm purchase commitments totaling approximately \$5.0 million to procure certain equipment required by one of our customers. To date, we have made payments of approximately \$1.5 million to vendors related to these commitments. We do not currently have a contract with our customer that would obligate the customer to reimburse us for the cost of a majority of this equipment.

Our operating margins and operating results may suffer if cost-plus-fee contracts increase in proportion to our total contract mix.

In general, cost-plus-fee contracts are the least profitable of our contract types. Our government clients typically determine what type of contract will be awarded to us. Cost-plus-fee contracts accounted for 62%, 52%, 43%, and 44% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. To the extent that we enter into more or larger cost-plus-fee contracts in proportion to our total contract mix in the future, our operating margins and operating results may suffer. Although we have recently been awarded some large cost-plus-fee contracts, we are unable to predict the timing of any impact on our total contract mix or whether this will cause our operating margins to suffer in any future period.

If the volume of services we provide under fixed-price contracts decreases in total or as a proportion of our total business, if we underestimate our costs of performing fixed-price contracts, or if profit rates on these contracts decline, our operating margins and operating results may suffer.

We have historically earned higher relative profits on our fixed-price contracts. Fixed-price contracts accounted for 15%, 17%, 20%, and 17% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. If the volume of services we deliver under fixed-price contracts decreases, or shifts to other types of contracts, then our operating margins and operating results may suffer. Additionally, under fixed-price contracts we agree to perform specific work for a predetermined price. To the extent our actual costs exceed the estimates upon which the price was negotiated, we will generate less than the anticipated amount of profit or could incur a loss. Finally, we cannot assure you that we will be able to maintain our historic levels of profitability on fixed-price contracts in general.

If our subcontractors fail to perform their contractual obligations, our performance and reputation as a prime contractor and our ability to obtain future business could suffer.

As a prime contractor, we often rely significantly upon other companies as subcontractors to perform work we are obligated to deliver to our clients. Revenue derived from work performed by our subcontractors represented approximately 35%, 35%, 30%, and

29% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. A failure by one or more of our subcontractors to satisfactorily perform the agreed-upon services on a timely basis may compromise our ability to perform our obligations as a prime contractor. In some cases, we have limited involvement in the work performed by the subcontractor and may have exposure as a result of problems caused by the subcontractor. In extreme cases, performance deficiencies on the part of our subcontractors could result in a government client terminating our contract for default. A default termination could expose us to liability for the agency s costs of reprocurement, damage our reputation, and hurt our ability to compete for future contracts. Additionally, we may have disputes with our subcontractors that could impair our ability to execute our contracts as required.

Unfavorable government audit results could force us to adjust previously reported operating results and could subject us to a variety of penalties and sanctions.

The federal government audits and reviews our performance on contracts, pricing practices, cost structure, and compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and standards. Like most large government contractors, our contracts are audited and reviewed on a continual basis by federal agencies, including the Defense Contract Management Agency and the Defense Contract Audit Agency. An audit of our work, including an audit of work performed by companies we have acquired or may acquire or subcontractors we have hired or may hire, could result in a substantial adjustment to our previously reported operating results. For example, any costs which were originally reimbursed could subsequently be disallowed. In this case, cash we have already collected may need to be refunded and operating margins may be reduced.

If a government audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with U.S. federal government agencies. In addition, we could suffer serious harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety were made against us, whether or not true. Although audits have been completed on our incurred contract costs through fiscal 2001, audits for costs incurred on work performed after fiscal 2001 have not yet been completed. In addition, non-audit reviews by the government may still be conducted on all our government contracts.

If we were suspended or debarred from contracting with the federal government generally, or any specific agency, if our reputation or relationship with government agencies were impaired, or if the government otherwise ceased doing business with us or significantly decreased the amount of business it does with us, our revenue and operating results would be materially harmed.

If we experience systems, service, or product failure, our reputation could be harmed and our clients could assert claims against us for damages or refunds.

We create, implement, and maintain information technology solutions, as well as sell products, that are often critical to our clients operations, including operations in war-zones and other hazardous environments. We have experienced and may in the future experience some systems and service failures, schedule or delivery delays, and other problems in connection with our work. If our solutions, services, products, including third party products we may resell to our clients, or other applications have significant defects or errors, are subject to delivery delays, or fail to meet our clients expectations, we may:

lose revenue due to adverse client reaction;

be required to provide additional services to a client at no charge;

receive negative publicity, which could damage our reputation and adversely affect our ability to attract or retain clients; or

suffer claims for substantial damages against us.

In addition to any costs resulting from product or service warranties, contract performance, or required corrective action, these failures may result in increased costs or loss of revenue if clients postpone subsequently scheduled work or cancel or fail to renew contracts.

While many of our contracts limit our liability for consequential damages that may arise from negligence in rendering services to our clients, we cannot assure that these contractual provisions will be legally sufficient to protect us if we are sued. In addition, our errors and omissions and product liability insurance coverage may not continue to be available on reasonable terms or in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims, or the insurer may disclaim coverage as to some types of future claims. As we continue to grow and expand our business into new areas our insurance coverage may not be adequate. The successful assertion of any large claim against us could seriously harm our business. Even if not successful, these claims could result in significant legal and other costs, may be a distraction to our management, and may harm our reputation.

Our business commitments require our employees to travel to potentially dangerous places, which may result in injury to our employees.

Our business involves providing services that require our employees to operate in various countries around the world, including Iraq. These countries may be experiencing political upheaval or unrest, and in some cases war or terrorism. Certain senior level employees or executives may, on occasion, be part of the teams deployed to provide services in these countries. As a result, it is possible that certain of our employees or executives will suffer injury or bodily harm in the course of these deployments. It is also possible that we will encounter unexpected costs in connection with additional risks inherent with sending our employees to dangerous locations, such as increased insurance costs, as well as the repatriation of our employees or executives for reasons beyond our control. These problems could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

Our failure to obtain and maintain necessary security clearances may limit our ability to perform classified work for government clients, which could cause us to lose business.

Some government contracts require us to maintain facility security clearances and require some of our employees to maintain individual security clearances. If our employees lose or are unable to timely obtain security clearances, or we lose a facility clearance, the government client can terminate the contract or decide not to renew it upon its expiration. As a result, to the extent we cannot obtain the required security clearances for our employees working on a particular contract, or we fail to obtain them on a timely basis, we may not derive the revenue anticipated from the contract, which could harm our operating results.

Security breaches in sensitive government systems could result in loss of clients and negative publicity.

Many of the systems we develop, install, and maintain involve managing and protecting information involved in intelligence, national security, and other sensitive or classified government functions. A security breach in one of these systems could cause serious harm to our business, damage our reputation, and prevent us from being eligible for further work on sensitive or classified systems for federal government clients. We could incur losses from such a security breach that could exceed the policy limits under our insurance. Damage to our reputation or limitations on our eligibility for additional work resulting from a security breach in one of our systems could materially reduce our revenue.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate significantly as a result of factors outside of our control, which could cause the market price of our class A common stock to decline.

Our revenue and operating results could vary significantly from quarter to quarter. In addition, we cannot predict with certainty our future revenue or results of operations. As a consequence, our operating results may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, which could cause the price of our class A common stock to decline. Factors that may affect our operating results include:

fluctuations in revenue earned on contracts;

commencement, completion, or termination of contracts during any particular quarter;

variable purchasing patterns under GSA schedule contracts, GWACs, and agency-specific indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts;

additions and departures of key personnel;

strategic decisions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments, or changes in business strategy;

contract mix and the extent of use of subcontractors;

changes in presidential administrations and senior federal government officials that affect the timing of technology procurement;

changes in policy or budgetary measures that adversely affect government contracts in general; and

the seasonality of our business.

Reductions in revenue in a particular quarter could lead to lower profitability in that quarter because a relatively large amount of our expenses are fixed in the short-term. We may incur significant operating expenses during the start-up and early stages of large contracts and may not receive corresponding payments or revenue in that same quarter. We may also incur significant or unanticipated expenses when contracts expire or are terminated or are not renewed. In addition, payments due to us from government agencies may be delayed due to billing cycles or as a result of failures of governmental budgets to gain Congressional and administration approval in a timely manner.

We depend on our intellectual property and our failure to protect it could enable competitors to market products and services with similar features that may reduce demand for our products.

Our success depends in part upon the internally developed technology, proprietary processes, and other intellectual property that we utilize to provide our services and incorporate in our products. If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our competitors could market services or products similar to our services and products, which could reduce demand for our offerings. Federal government clients typically retain a perpetual, world-wide, royalty-free right to use the intellectual property we develop for them in any manner they deem appropriate, including providing it to our competitors in connection with their performance of other federal government contracts. We typically seek governmental authorization to re-use intellectual property developed for the federal government or to secure export authorization. Federal government clients typically grant contractors the right to commercialize software developed with federal funding. However, if we were to improperly use intellectual property even partially funded by the federal government, the federal government could seek damages or royalties from us, sanction us, or prevent us from working on future government contracts.

We may be unable to prevent unauthorized parties from attempting to copy or otherwise obtain and use our technology. Policing unauthorized use of our technology is difficult, and we may not be able to prevent misappropriation of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our intellectual property as fully as those in the United States. Others, including our employees, may compromise the trade secrets and other intellectual property that we own. Although we require our employees to execute non-disclosure and intellectual property assignment agreements and comply with related policies and procedures, these agreements may not be legally or practically sufficient to protect our rights. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, and to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Any litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, with no assurance of success.

We may be harmed by intellectual property infringement claims.

We may become subject to claims from our employees or third parties who assert that software and other forms of intellectual property that we use in delivering services and business solutions to our clients infringe upon intellectual property rights of such employees or third parties. As we expand beyond our core federal government customer base, particularly into the state and local government markets served by ORION, we have the potential to become subject to more claims. Our employees develop much of the software and other forms of intellectual property that we use to provide our services and business solutions to our clients, but we also license technology from other vendors. If our vendors, our employees, or third parties assert claims that we or our clients are infringing on their intellectual property, we could incur substantial costs to defend those claims. In addition, if any of these infringement claims are ultimately successful, we could be required to:

cease selling or using products or services that incorporate the challenged software or technology;

obtain a license or additional licenses from our vendors or other third parties; or

redesign our products and services that rely on the challenged software or technology.

Our employees may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, which could harm our business.

We are exposed to the risk that employee fraud or other misconduct could occur. Misconduct by employees could include intentional failures to comply with federal government procurement regulations, engaging in unauthorized activities, seeking reimbursement for improper expenses or falsifying time records. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of our clients sensitive or classified information, which could result in regulatory sanctions against us and serious harm to our reputation. It is not always possible to deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses, which could harm our business.

Activation of military and National Guard reserves could significantly reduce our revenue and profits.

Activation of military reserves, in connection with international conflicts or otherwise, could result in some clients and client contracting staff being activated into the military services. This could delay contract awards that might be in the evaluation or award process, which could in turn reduce our revenue until such time as our clients are able to complete the evaluation and award process, or could even result in the loss of the potential contract award.

In addition, as of March 31, 2004 we had approximately 105 employees who serve as reserves for a branch of the military or the National Guard. In the event of a significant call-up we will pay these employees the differential between their military pay and their salary for up to six months. Our standard practice in the absence of a significant call-up is to provide for up to two weeks of differential pay for military leave. To the extent those called for military duty are directly billable on our contracts, our revenue could be reduced. Additionally, our fringe benefit expenses would be increased by any differential payments, which could reduce our profits.

Risks Related To Our Industry

A reduction in the U.S. defense budget could result in a substantial decrease in our revenue.

Revenue from contracts with clients in the Department of Defense and the National Guard accounted for 47%, 53%, 58%, and 60% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. A decline in overall U.S. military expenditures could cause a decrease in our revenue and profitability. The reduction in the U.S. defense budget during the early 1990s caused some defense-related government contractors to experience decreased sales, reduced operating margins and, in some cases, net losses. Defense spending levels may not continue at present levels, and future levels of expenditures and authorizations for existing programs may decline, remain constant, or shift to agencies or programs in areas where we do not currently have contracts. A significant decline in defense expenditures, or a shift in expenditures away from agencies or programs that we support, could cause a material decline in our revenue.

A reduction in U.S. civil government agency budgets, including a reduction caused by the diversion of funding to support the war against terrorism or the reconstruction of Iraq, could result in a substantial decrease in our revenue.

Revenue from contracts with civil agency clients accounted for 46%, 43%, 41%, and 39% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, fiscal 2003, and the nine months ended March 31, 2004, respectively. We expect civil agency clients will continue to represent a substantial portion of our future revenue. A decline in expenditures by civil agencies could cause a material decrease in our revenue and profitability. A shift of funds away from civil agencies to pay for programs within other agencies, for example the Department of Defense or the Department of Homeland Security, to reduce federal budget deficits, or to fund tax reductions, could cause a material decline in our revenue. In particular, it is possible that funding for civil agencies may be diverted to support the ongoing war against terrorism, the reconstruction of Iraq, or other international conflicts.

Changes in the spending policies or budget priorities of the federal government could cause us to lose revenue.

We derived 93%, 96%, and 99% of our revenue for fiscal 2001, fiscal 2002, and fiscal 2003, respectively, and 99% of our revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, from contracts with federal government agencies. We believe that contracts with federal government agencies and departments will continue to be the primary source of our revenue for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, changes in federal government fiscal or spending policies could directly affect our financial performance. Among the factors that could harm our federal government contracting business are:

the curtailment of the federal government s use of technology services firms;

a significant decline in spending by the federal government in general, or by specific departments or agencies in particular;

a reduction in spending or shift of expenditures from existing programs to pay for an international conflict or related reconstruction efforts;

a failure of Congress to pass adequate supplemental appropriations to pay for an international conflict, or to pay for the cost of related reconstruction efforts;

reductions in federal government programs or requirements;

the adoption of new laws or regulations that affect companies that provide services to the federal government;

delays in the payment of our invoices by government payment offices;

federal governmental shutdowns, such as the shutdown that occurred during the government s 1996 fiscal year, and other potential delays in the government appropriations process, such as federal agencies having to operate under a continuing funding resolution because of delays in Congressional budget appropriations; and

general economic and political conditions.

These or other factors could cause federal government agencies and departments to reduce their purchases under contracts, to exercise their right to terminate contracts, or not to exercise options to renew contracts, any of which could cause us to lose revenue. We have substantial contracts in place with many federal departments and agencies, and our continued performance under these contracts, or award of additional contracts from these agencies, could be materially harmed by federal government spending reductions or budget cutbacks at these departments or agencies.

The failure by Congress to approve budgets timely for the federal agencies we support could delay or reduce spending and cause us to lose revenue.

On an annual basis, Congress must approve budgets that govern spending by each of the federal agencies we support. When Congress is unable to agree on budget priorities, and thus is unable to pass the annual budget on a timely basis, then Congress typically enacts a continuing resolution. A continuing resolution allows government agencies to operate at spending levels approved in the previous budget cycle. When government agencies must operate on the basis of a continuing resolution it may delay funding we expect to receive from clients on work we are already performing and will likely result in any new initiatives being delayed, and in some cases being cancelled.

The adoption of new procurement laws or regulations could reduce the amount of services that are outsourced by the federal government and could cause us to lose future revenue.

New legislation, procurement regulations, or union pressure could cause federal agencies to adopt restrictive procurement practices regarding the use of outside information technology providers. For example, the American Federation of Government Employees, the largest federal employee union, strongly endorses legislation that may restrict the procedure by which services are outsourced to government contractors. If such legislation were to be enacted, it would likely reduce the amount of information technology services that could be outsourced by the federal government, which could materially reduce our future revenue.

The Office of Management and Budget process for ensuring government agencies properly support capital planning initiatives, including information technology investments, could reduce or delay federal information technology spending and cause us to lose revenue.

The Office of Management and Budget, or OMB, supervises spending by federal agencies, including enforcement of the Government Performance Results Act. This Act requires, among other things, that federal agencies make an adequate business justification to support capital planning initiatives, including all information technology investments. The factors considered by OMB include, among others, whether the proposed information technology investment is expected to achieve an appropriate return on investment, whether related processes are contemporaneously reviewed, whether inter-operability with existing systems and the capacity for these systems to share data across government has been considered, and whether existing off-the-shelf products are being utilized to the extent possible. If our clients do not adequately justify proposed information technology investments to the OMB, the OMB may refuse funding for their new or continuing information technology investments, and we may lose revenue as a result.

Federal government contracts contain provisions giving government clients a variety of rights that are unfavorable to us, including the ability to terminate a contract at any time for convenience.

Federal government contracts contain provisions and are subject to laws and regulations that provide government clients with rights and remedies not typically found in commercial contracts. These rights and remedies allow government clients, among other things, to:

terminate existing contracts, with short notice, for convenience, as well as for default;

reduce or modify contracts or subcontracts;

terminate our facility security clearances and thereby prevent us from receiving classified contracts;

cancel multi-year contracts and related orders if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;

decline to exercise an option to renew a multi-year contract;

claim rights in products, systems, and technology produced by us;

prohibit future procurement awards with a particular agency due to a finding of organizational conflict of interest based upon prior related work performed for the agency that would give a contractor an unfair advantage over competing contractors;

subject the award of GSA schedule contracts, GWACs, and other indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts to protest by competitors, which may require the contracting federal agency or department to suspend our performance pending the outcome of the protest and may also result in a requirement to resubmit bids for the contract or in the termination, reduction, or modification of the awarded contract; and

suspend or debar us from doing business with the federal government or with a particular governmental agency.

If a government client terminates one of our contracts for convenience, we may recover only our incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses, and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If a federal government client were to unexpectedly terminate, cancel, or decline to exercise an option to renew with respect to one or more of our significant contracts or suspend or debar us from doing business with government agencies, our revenue and operating results would be materially harmed.

Our failure to comply with complex procurement laws and regulations could cause us to lose business and subject us to a variety of penalties.

We must comply with laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration, and performance of federal government contracts, which affect how we do business with our government clients and may impose added costs on our business. Among the most significant regulations are:

the Federal Acquisition Regulation, and agency regulations analogous or supplemental to the Federal Acquisition Regulation, which comprehensively regulate the formation, administration, and performance of government contracts, including provisions relating to the avoidance of conflicts of interest and intra-organizational conflicts of interest;

the Truth in Negotiations Act, which requires certification and disclosure of all cost and pricing data in connection with some contract negotiations;

the Procurement Integrity Act, which requires evaluation of ethical conflicts surrounding procurement activity and establishing certain employment restrictions for individuals who participate in the procurement process;

the Cost Accounting Standards, which impose accounting requirements that govern our right to reimbursement under some cost-based government contracts;

laws, regulations, and executive orders restricting the use and dissemination of information classified for national security purposes and the exportation of specified products, technologies, and technical data;

laws surrounding lobbying activities a corporation may engage in and operation of a Political Action Committee established to support corporate interests; and

compliance with antitrust laws.

If a government review or investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, harm to our reputation, suspension of payments, fines, and suspension or debarment from doing business with federal government agencies. The government may in the future reform its procurement practices or adopt new contracting rules and regulations, including cost accounting standards, that could be costly to satisfy or that could impair our ability to obtain new contracts. Any failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could result in contract termination, price or fee reductions, or suspension or debarment from contracting with the federal government, each of which could lead to a material reduction in our revenue.

Other Risks Related to Our Stock

A public market for our class A common stock has existed only for a limited period of time, and our stock price is volatile and could decline.

Prior to May 24, 2002, there was no public market for any class of our common stock. An active trading market for our class A common stock may not be sustained, which could affect your ability to sell your shares and could depress the market price of your shares.

The stock market in general, and the market for technology-related stocks in particular, has been highly volatile. As a result, the market price of our class A common stock is likely to be similarly volatile, and holders of our class A common stock may experience a decrease in the value of their stock, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. The price of our class A common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a number of factors, including those listed in this Risk Factors section and others such as:

our operating performance and the performance of other similar companies or companies deemed to be similar;

actual or anticipated differences in our quarterly operating results;

changes in our revenue or earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts;

publication of research reports about us or our industry by securities analysts;

additions and departures of key personnel;

contract mix and the extent of use of subcontractors;

strategic decisions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions, consolidations, divestments, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments, or changes in business strategy;

federal government spending levels, both generally and by our particular government clients;

the passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us or our industry;

the failure by Congress to approve timely budgets;

speculation in the press or investment community;

changes in the government information technology services industry;

changes in accounting principles;

terrorist acts;

general market conditions, including economic factors unrelated to our performance; and

military action related to international conflicts, wars, or otherwise.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in their stock price. This type of litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management s attention and resources.

Our chief executive officer, whose interests may not be aligned with yours, will continue to control our company, which could result in actions of which you or other stockholders do not approve.

As of April 30, 2004 Ernst Volgenau, our chief executive officer, beneficially owned 185,895 shares of class A common stock and 6,708,270 shares of class B common stock, which represented approximately 67.3% of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock. As of April 30, 2004, our executive officers and directors as a group beneficially owned an aggregate of 3,611,664 shares of class A common stock and 8,246,029 shares of class B common stock, which represented approximately 85.4% of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock. As a result, these individuals acting together, or Dr. Volgenau acting alone, will be able to control the outcome of all matters that our stockholders vote upon, including the election of directors, amendments to our certificate of incorporation, and mergers or other business combinations. In addition, upon the death of Dr. Volgenau and the conversion of his class B common stock into class A common stock, William K. Brehm, the former chairman of our board of directors, if he survives Dr. Volgenau, would beneficially own all of the outstanding class B common stock and could exercise significant influence over corporate matters requiring stockholder approval. This concentration of ownership and voting power may also have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company and could prevent stockholders from receiving a premium over the market price if a change in control is proposed.

Provisions of our charter documents and Delaware law may inhibit potential acquisition bids that you and other stockholders may consider favorable, and the market price of our class A common stock may be lower as a result.

There are provisions in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws that make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or attempt to acquire, control of our company, even if a change in control was considered favorable by you and other stockholders. For example, our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The board of directors can fix the price, rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of the preferred stock without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The issuance of shares of preferred stock may delay or prevent a change in control transaction. As a result, the market price of our class A common stock and the voting and other rights of our stockholders may be adversely affected. This issuance of shares of preferred stock may result in the loss of voting control to other stockholders.

Our charter documents contain other provisions that could have an anti-takeover effect, including:

the high-vote nature of our class B common stock;

only one of the three classes of directors is elected each year;

stockholders have limited ability to remove directors without cause;

stockholders cannot take actions by written consent;

stockholders cannot call a special meeting of stockholders; and

stockholders must give advance notice to nominate directors or submit proposals for consideration at stockholder meetings.

In addition, we are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which regulates corporate acquisitions. These provisions could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control transaction. They could also have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our class A common stock. These provisions may also prevent changes in our management.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, short- and long-term investments, and accounts receivable.

We believe that concentrations of credit risk with respect to cash equivalents and investments are limited due to the high credit quality of these investments. Our investment policy requires that investments be in direct obligations of the U.S. government, certain U.S. government sponsored entities, investments that are secured by direct or sponsored U.S. government obligations, or certain corporate or municipal debt obligations rated at least single-A or A-1/P-1, as applicable, by both Moody s Investor Service and Standard and Poor s. Our policy does not allow investment

in any equity securities or the obligations of any entity under review for possible downgrade by a major rating service to a debt rating below single-A.

Investments in both fixed and floating rate interest earning instruments carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted because of a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectations because of changes in interest rates or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell securities which have seen a decline in market value because of changes in interest rates. Investments are made in accordance with an investment policy approved by our board of directors. Under this policy, no investment securities may have maturities exceeding two years and the average duration of the portfolio can not exceed nine months.

We believe that concentrations of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable are limited as they are primarily federal government receivables.

At June 30, 2003 and March 31, 2004, the carrying value of financial instruments approximated fair value.

To the extent borrowings are outstanding under our credit facility, we have some interest rate risk based on the variable interest rate of our revolving credit facility. Average borrowings under our revolving credit facility were not material in any period presented in this quarterly report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2004. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2004, our disclosure controls and procedures were (1) designed to ensure that material information relating to us, including our consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report was being prepared and (2) effective, in that they provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2004 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally.]

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in various legal matters and proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. We currently believe that any ultimate liability arising out of these matters and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On January 30, 2004, we sold 36,212 shares of class A common stock to certain executives of ORION Scientific Systems for \$1.5 million, or \$41.42 per share, in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Act), pursuant to Rule 506 under the Act. Each of such executives was an accredited investor as defined in Rule 501 under the Act. No underwriters were involved in this sale of securities.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit	
Number	Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

On January 8, 2004, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K announcing that it had signed a definitive agreement to acquire ORION Scientific Systems pursuant to Item 5 (Other Events and Regulation FD Disclosure) and Item 7 (Financial Statements and Exhibits).

On January 30, 2004, the Company filed a current report on Form 8-K announcing that it had completed its acquisition of ORION Scientific Systems pursuant to Item 5 (Other Events and Regulation FD Disclosure) and Item 7 (Financial Statements and Exhibits).

On February 2, 2004, the Company furnished a current report on Form 8-K containing a copy of its earnings release for the quarter ended December 31, 2003 (including financial statements) pursuant to Item 7 (Financial Statements and Exhibits) and Item 12 (Results of Operations and Financial Condition).

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized, in the county of Fairfax, Virginia on the 6th day of May, 2004.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: /s/ ERNST VOLGENAU Ernst Volgenau, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) By: /s/ STEPHEN C. HUGHES Stephen C. Hughes, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)