

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC
Form 10-Q
November 10, 2008
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2008

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM ____ TO ____ .

Commission file number: 0-26680

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

British Columbia, Canada
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

8736-3354
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C

Clearwater, Florida
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

33759
(Zip Code)

(727) 726-0763

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

As of October 31, 2008, the registrant had 10,313,831 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Part I.</u> | |
| <u>Financial Information</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 and as of March 31, 2008</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007</u> | 4 |
| <u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 5 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 10 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 20 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 20 |
| <u>Part II.</u> | |
| <u>Other Information</u> | |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 20 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 20 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | September 30, 2008 (Unaudited) | March 31, 2008 |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash | \$ 2,551,029 | \$ 2,297,451 |
| Finance receivables, net | 186,134,678 | 179,043,344 |
| Accounts receivable | 5,392 | 4,201 |
| Assets held for resale | 1,520,319 | 1,130,183 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 676,965 | 656,415 |
| Property and equipment, net | 869,127 | 844,086 |
| Income taxes receivable | | 686,528 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5,609,146 | 5,175,617 |
| Total assets | \$ 197,366,656 | \$ 189,837,825 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Line of credit | \$ 106,125,000 | \$ 99,937,198 |
| Drafts payable | 888,974 | 1,433,223 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 4,896,608 | 5,803,695 |
| Income taxes payable | 590,880 | |
| Deferred revenues | 1,389,750 | 1,477,272 |
| Derivatives | 839,113 | 2,609,998 |
| Total liabilities | 114,730,325 | 111,261,386 |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, no par: 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding | | |
| Common stock, no par: 50,000,000 shares authorized; 10,313,831 and 10,230,031 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 17,822,248 | 17,204,883 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (517,900) | (1,610,891) |
| Retained earnings | 65,331,983 | 62,982,447 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 82,636,331 | 78,576,439 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 197,366,656 | \$ 189,837,825 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Interest and fee income on finance receivables | \$ 13,487,161 | \$ 12,559,769 | \$ 26,591,127 | \$ 24,708,258 |
| Sales | 18,196 | 17,942 | 33,294 | 40,006 |
| | 13,505,357 | 12,577,711 | 26,624,421 | 24,748,264 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 5,203 | 4,881 | 9,431 | 12,906 |
| Marketing | 338,803 | 319,881 | 675,438 | 633,727 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,384,852 | 3,201,831 | 6,834,655 | 6,240,378 |
| Administrative | 1,771,533 | 1,527,718 | 3,741,675 | 2,985,353 |
| Provision for credit losses | 5,144,950 | 1,590,797 | 8,547,404 | 2,766,386 |
| Depreciation | 91,232 | 87,943 | 179,504 | 177,225 |
| Interest expense | 1,431,677 | 1,643,262 | 2,841,013 | 3,231,870 |
| | 12,168,250 | 8,376,313 | 22,829,120 | 16,047,845 |
| Operating income before income taxes | 1,337,107 | 4,201,398 | 3,795,301 | 8,700,419 |
| Income tax expense | 545,264 | 1,604,782 | 1,445,765 | 3,319,913 |
| Net income | \$ 791,843 | \$ 2,596,616 | \$ 2,349,536 | \$ 5,380,506 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.54 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.52 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Six months ended September 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,349,536 | \$ 5,380,506 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 179,504 | 177,225 |
| Gain on sale of property and equipment | (16,764) | (19,956) |
| Provision for credit losses | 8,547,404 | 2,766,386 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,111,424) | 177,053 |
| Share-based compensation | 339,358 | 224,269 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,191) | 8,563 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (20,550) | (396,849) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (907,087) | 164,020 |
| Income taxes payable and receivable | 1,277,408 | (225,648) |
| Deferred revenues | (87,522) | 52,456 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,548,672 | 8,308,025 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchase and origination of finance contracts | (56,014,917) | (55,032,593) |
| Principal payments received | 40,376,179 | 44,319,991 |
| Increase in assets held for resale | (390,136) | (362,669) |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (213,536) | (87,935) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 25,755 | 20,563 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (16,216,655) | (11,142,643) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net proceeds from line of credit | 6,187,802 | 3,540,111 |
| (Decrease) increase in drafts payable | (544,249) | 54,612 |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 98,056 | 63,703 |
| Net excess tax benefits related to exercise of stock options and issuance of performance share awards | 179,952 | 128,303 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 5,921,561 | 3,786,729 |
| Net increase in cash | 253,578 | 952,111 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 2,297,451 | 1,499,193 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 2,551,029 | \$ 2,451,304 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2008, which has been derived from audited financial statements, and the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Nicholas Financial, Inc. (including its subsidiaries, the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended in Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending March 31, 2009. It is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 16, 2008. The March 31, 2008 condensed consolidated balance sheet included herein has been derived from the March 31, 2008 audited consolidated balance sheet included in the aforementioned Form 10-K.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for credit losses on finance receivables, the net realizable value of assets held for resale, and the fair value of derivative instruments.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current financial statement presentation with no effect on net income or shareholders' equity.

2. Revenue Recognition

The Company is principally a specialized consumer finance company engaged primarily in acquiring and servicing retail installment sales contracts (Contracts) for purchases of new and used automobiles and light trucks. To a lesser extent, the Company also makes direct loans and sells consumer-finance related products.

Interest income on finance receivables is recognized using the effective interest method. Accrual of interest income on finance receivables is suspended when a loan is contractually delinquent for 60 days or more or the collateral is repossessed, whichever is earlier.

A dealer discount represents the difference between the finance receivable, net of unearned interest, of a Contract and the amount of money the Company actually pays for the Contract. The entire amount of discount is related to credit quality and is considered to be part of the credit loss reserve. The Company receives a commission for selling add-on services to consumer borrowers and amortizes the commission, net of the related costs, over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. The Company's net fees charged for processing a loan are recognized as an adjustment to the yield and are amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

The amount of future unearned income is computed as the product of the Contract rate, the Contract term, and the Contract amount. The Company aggregates the Contracts purchased during a three-month period for each of its branch locations. After the analysis of purchase date accounting is complete, any uncollectible amounts would be contemplated in the allowance for credit losses.

Table of Contents

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

3. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing the reported net income for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share includes the effect of dilutive options and other share awards. Basic and diluted earnings per share have been computed as follows:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Numerator for earnings per share net income | \$ 791,843 | \$ 2,596,616 | \$ 2,349,536 | \$ 5,380,506 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average shares | 10,244,831 | 10,035,998 | 10,216,264 | 10,020,085 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Stock options and other share awards | 181,320 | 290,070 | 193,174 | 329,141 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 10,426,151 | 10,326,068 | 10,409,438 | 10,349,226 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.54 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.52 |

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2008 potential common stock from approximately 134,500 and 324,500 stock options, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because their effect is antidilutive.

4. Finance Receivables

Finance receivables consist of automobile finance installment Contracts and direct consumer loans and are detailed as follows:

| | September 30, 2008 | March 31, 2008 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Finance receivables, gross contract | \$ 295,744,879 | \$ 280,215,512 |
| Unearned interest | (85,943,050) | (80,724,851) |
| Finance receivables, net of unearned interest | 209,801,829 | 199,490,661 |
| Allowance for credit losses | (23,667,151) | (20,447,317) |
| Finance receivables, net | \$ 186,134,678 | \$ 179,043,344 |

The terms of the receivables range from 12 to 72 months and bear a weighted average interest rate of approximately 24% as of September 30, 2008.

5. Line of Credit

The Company has a \$115.0 million line of credit facility (the Line), which expires on November 30, 2010. The Company may borrow the lesser of the \$115.0 million or amounts based upon formulas principally related to a percentage of eligible finance receivables, as defined. Borrowings under the Line may be under various LIBOR pricing options plus 162.5 basis points or at the prime rate. Prime rate based borrowings are generally less than \$5.0 million. The Company's cost of borrowed funds, which is based upon the interest rates charged under the Line and the effect of the interest rate swap agreements (see note 6), amounted to 5.45% and 5.49% for the three and six months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to 6.87% and 6.82% for the three and six month period ended September 30, 2007, respectively. Pledged as collateral for this credit facility are all of the assets of the Company. As of September 30, 2008 the outstanding amount of the credit facility was approximately \$106.1 million and the amount available under the line of credit was approximately \$8.9 million. The facility requires compliance with certain financial ratios and covenants and satisfaction of specified financial tests, including maintenance of asset quality and performance tests. Dividends require consent in writing by the agent and majority lenders under the facility. As of September 30, 2008, the Company was in full compliance with all debt covenants.

Table of Contents

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

6. Derivatives and Hedging

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate exposure. The swap agreements effectively convert a portion of the Company's floating rate debt to a fixed rate, more closely matching the interest rate characteristics of the Company's finance receivables. As of September 30, 2008, \$80,000,000 of the Company's borrowings have been designated as the hedged items to interest rate swap agreements which are detailed as follows:

| Date Entered | Effective Date | Notional Amount | Fixed Rate Of Interest | Maturity Date |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| March 11, 2004 | October 5, 2004 | \$ 10,000,000 | 3.64% | October 2, 2009 |
| January 18, 2005 | July 5, 2005 | \$ 10,000,000 | 4.38% | July 2, 2010 |
| September 9, 2005 | September 13, 2005 | \$ 10,000,000 | 4.46% | September 2, 2010 |
| October 18, 2007 | October 22, 2007 | \$ 20,000,000 | 4.73% | November 2, 2009 |
| November 29, 2007 | December 3, 2007 | \$ 10,000,000 | 4.04% | December 2, 2010 |
| January 17, 2008 | February 2, 2008 | \$ 10,000,000 | 3.26% | February 2, 2011 |
| February 6, 2008 | May 19, 2008 | \$ 10,000,000 | 2.83% | May 19, 2010 |

Interest rate swap contracts designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges are reported as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The gain or loss on the effective portion of the hedge initially is included as a component of other comprehensive income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings when interest on the related debt is paid. The Company does not use derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

Each swap agreement has identical terms to the critical terms of the hedged item and meets each condition in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No.133, as amended, for utilization of the short-cut method to assess the effectiveness of the swap agreement in hedging the variability of interest payments on the floating rate borrowings under the Line. The short-cut method presumes there is no hedge ineffectiveness if all such applicable conditions are met and the critical terms of the hedge and the hedged item do not change. During the life of each hedge, the critical terms of the hedge and the hedged item have not changed. Accordingly, the Company did not record any gain or loss from hedge ineffectiveness.

The Company records net gains and losses from the swap agreements into the interest expense line item of the consolidated statement of income. Under the swap agreements, the Company received an average variable rate of 2.47% and 5.45% for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During the same periods the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.01% and 4.10%, respectively. Under the swap agreements, the Company received an average variable rate of 2.56% and 5.39% for the six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During the same periods the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.05% and 4.10%, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company reclassified approximately \$315,000 from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense. For the six months ended September 30, 2008, the Company reclassified approximately \$604,000 from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense. The interest rate swap liabilities are recorded at fair value, which is approximately \$839,000 and \$2,610,000 as of September 30, and March 31, 2008, respectively, in the caption derivatives on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 30, and March 31, 2008 of approximately \$518,000 and \$1,611,000, respectively, represents the after-tax effect of the derivative losses.

The following table reconciles net income with comprehensive income.

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net Income | \$ 791,843 | \$ 2,596,616 | \$ 2,349,536 | \$ 5,380,506 |
| Mark to market interest rate swaps, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$11,294, (\$248,772), \$677,895 and (\$142,234), respectively | 18,210 | (404,514) | 1,092,991 | (230,582) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 810,053 | \$ 2,192,102 | \$ 3,442,527 | \$ 5,149,924 |

Table of Contents

7. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Effective April 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements and SFAS No. 159 The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for using fair value. It defines fair value rules as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS No. 159 generally permits the measurement of selected eligible financial instruments at fair value at specified election dates. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, the Company did not elect to adopt the fair value option for any financial instruments.

SFAS No. 157 was effective for the Company on April 1, 2008; however, in February 2008 the FASB released FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value at least annually. Accordingly, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 only with respect to financial assets and liabilities as of April 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. The Company is currently assessing the potential effect of adopting SFAS No. 157 on the consolidated financial statements for non-financial assets and liabilities, which will be adopted on April 1, 2009.

SFAS No. 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities such as debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3

Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Following is a description of valuation methodology currently used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements

Interest rate swap agreements are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company estimates the fair value based on the estimated net present value of the future cash flows using a forward interest rate yield curve in effect as of the measurement period, adjusted for nonperformance risk, if any, including a quantitative and/or qualitative evaluation of both the Company's credit risk and the counterparty's credit risk. Accordingly, the Company classifies interest rate swap agreements as Level 2. Due to the uncertainty inherent in the valuation process, such estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the securities existed.

Table of Contents**7. Recently Issued Accounting Standards (continued)****Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

The following table presents information about certain assets and liabilities measured at fair value:

| Description | September 30, 2008 | | | Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|---|
| | Fair Value Measurement Using | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | \$ | \$ 839,113 | \$ | \$ 839,113 |

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The Company does not currently have any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 161 requires companies with derivative instruments to disclose information that should enable financial-statement users to understand how and why a company uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The Company is currently evaluating SFAS No. 161 and the potential impact that adoption on April 1, 2009 will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its EITF), the AICPA, and the SEC did not, and are not believed by the Company to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

8. Subsequent Events

Borrowings under the Line may be under various LIBOR pricing options plus 162.5 basis points or at the prime rate. Prior to October 2008, prime rate based borrowings were generally less than \$5.0 million. In October 2008, the Company undesignated all interest rate swap agreements electing the prime rate pricing option for all borrowings under the Line. In November 2008, the Company elected the LIBOR pricing options for substantially all borrowings under the Line. The Company did so to lower the cost of borrowings using pricing options provided by the Line. The interest rate swap contracts will continue to be reported as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. However, beginning with the three months ended December 31, 2008, future unrealized gains or losses associated with periodic adjustments of such assets or liabilities to fair value will be recorded in earnings. The Company does not use derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Such instruments continue to be intended for use as effective economic hedges. The Company believes that the swaps will continue to be highly effective economic hedges. The fair value of the interest rate swap liabilities, which is approximately \$839,000 as of September 30, 2008, is approximately \$1.7 million as of October 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
Forward-Looking Information

This report on Form 10-Q contains various statements, other than those concerning historical information, that are based on management's beliefs and assumptions, as well as information currently available to management, and should be considered forward-looking statements. This notice is intended to take advantage of the safe harbor provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to such forward-looking statements. When used in this document, the words "anticipate", "estimate", "expect", and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or expected. Among the key factors that may have a direct bearing on the Company's operating results are fluctuations in the economy, the degree and nature of competition, demand for consumer financing in the markets served by the Company, the Company's products and services, increases in the default rates experienced on Contracts, adverse regulatory changes in the Company's existing and future markets, the Company's ability to expand its business, including its ability to complete acquisitions and integrate the operations of acquired businesses, to recruit and retain qualified employees, to expand into new markets and to maintain profit margins in the face of increased pricing competition. All forward looking statements included in this report are based on information available to the Company on the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligations to update any such forward looking statement. You should also consult factors described from time to time in the Company's filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including its reports on Form 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K and annual reports to shareholders.

Critical Accounting Policy

The Company's critical accounting policy relates to the allowance for credit losses. It is based on management's opinion of an amount that is adequate to absorb losses in the existing portfolio. The allowance for credit losses is established through allocations of dealer discount and a provision for loss based on management's evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio, the composition of the portfolio, specific impaired loans and current economic conditions. Such evaluation, which includes a review of all loans on which full collectibility may not be reasonably assured, considers among other matters, the estimated net realizable value or the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, management's estimate of probable credit losses and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate credit loss allowance.

Because of the nature of the customers under the Company's Contracts and its direct loan program, the Company considers the establishment of adequate reserves for credit losses to be imperative. The Company segregates its Contracts into static pools for purposes of establishing reserves for losses. All Contracts purchased by a branch during a fiscal quarter comprise a static pool. The Company pools Contracts according to branch location because the branches purchase Contracts in different geographic markets. This method of pooling by branch and quarter allows the Company to evaluate the different markets where the branches operate. The pools also allow the Company to evaluate the different levels of customer income, stability, credit history, and the types of vehicles purchased in each market. Each such static pool consists of the Contracts purchased by a branch office during the fiscal quarter.

Contracts are purchased from many different dealers and are all purchased on an individual Contract by Contract basis. Individual Contract pricing is determined by the automobile dealerships and is generally the lesser of state maximum interest rates or the maximum interest rate at which the customer will accept. In certain markets, competitive forces will drive down Contract rates from the maximum rate to a level where an individual competitor is willing to buy an individual Contract. The Company only buys Contracts on an individual basis and never purchases Contracts in batches, although the Company does consider portfolio acquisitions as part of its growth strategy.

The Company has detailed underwriting guidelines it utilizes to determine which Contracts to purchase. These guidelines are specific and are designed to cause all of the Contracts that the Company purchases to have common risk characteristics. The Company utilizes its District Managers to evaluate their respective branch locations for adherence to these underwriting guidelines. The Company also utilizes a loss recovery department to assure adherence to its underwriting guidelines. The Company utilizes the branch model, which allows for Contract purchasing to be done on the branch level. Each Branch Manager may interpret the guidelines differently, and as a result, the common risk characteristics tend to be the same on an individual branch level but not necessarily compared to another branch.

Table of Contents

A dealer discount represents the difference between the finance receivable, net of unearned interest, of a Contract, and the amount of money the Company actually pays for the Contract. The discount negotiated by the Company is a function of the credit quality of the customer and the wholesale value of the vehicle. The automotive dealer accepts these terms by executing a dealer agreement with the Company. The entire amount of discount is related to credit quality and is considered to be part of the allowance for credit losses. The Company utilizes a static pool approach to track portfolio performance. A static pool retains an amount equal to 100% of the discount as a reserve for credit losses.

Subsequent to the purchase, if the reserve for credit losses is determined to be inadequate for a static pool, which is not fully liquidated, then an additional charge to income through the provision is used to reestablish adequate reserves. For static pools not fully liquidated that are deemed to have excess reserves, such amounts are then considered when calculating the provision for credit losses on specific pools. If a static pool is fully liquidated and has any remaining reserves, these excess reserves are immediately reversed during the period.

In analyzing a static pool, the Company considers the performance of prior static pools originated by the branch office, the performance of prior Contracts purchased from the dealers whose Contracts are included in the current static pool, the credit rating of the customers under the Contracts in the static pool, and current market and economic conditions. Each static pool is analyzed monthly to determine if the loss reserves are adequate and adjustments are made if they are determined to be necessary.

Introduction

Consolidated net income decreased to approximately \$792,000 for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$2.6 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. Consolidated net income decreased to \$2.3 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$5.4 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. Earnings were negatively impacted primarily by an increase in the provision for credit losses which was driven by increased charge-off rates and to a lesser extent an increase in operating expenses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest. The Company's software subsidiary, Nicholas Data Services (NDS), did not contribute significantly to consolidated operations in the three or six months ended September 30, 2008 or 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

| Portfolio Summary | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest (1) | \$ 208,674,423 | \$ 189,954,242 | \$ 206,001,623 | \$ 188,223,821 |
| Average indebtedness (2) | \$ 105,150,419 | \$ 95,625,225 | \$ 103,503,324 | \$ 94,815,680 |
| Finance revenue (3) | \$ 13,487,161 | \$ 12,559,769 | \$ 26,591,127 | \$ 24,708,258 |
| Interest expense | 1,431,677 | 1,643,262 | 2,841,013 | 3,231,870 |
| Net finance revenue | \$ 12,055,484 | \$ 10,916,507 | \$ 23,750,114 | \$ 21,476,388 |
| Weighted average contractual rate (4) | 24.15% | 24.34% | 24.16% | 24.26% |
| Average cost of borrowed funds (2) | 5.45% | 6.87% | 5.49% | 6.82% |
| Gross portfolio yield (5) | 25.85% | 26.45% | 25.82% | 26.25% |
| Interest expense as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest | 2.74% | 3.46% | 2.76% | 3.43% |
| Provision for credit losses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest | 9.86% | 3.35% | 8.30% | 2.94% |
| Net portfolio yield (5) | 13.25% | 19.64% | 14.76% | 19.88% |
| Operating expenses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest (6) | 10.75% | 10.70% | 10.89% | 10.56% |
| Pre-tax yield as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest (7) | 2.50% | 8.94% | 3.87% | 9.32% |
| Write-off to liquidation (8) | 12.97% | 8.70% | 12.09% | 7.95% |
| Net charge-off percentage (9) | 10.25% | 7.79% | 9.82% | 7.20% |

Note: All three and six month key performance indicators expressed as percentages have been annualized.

- (1) Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, represents the average of gross finance receivables, less unearned interest throughout the period.
- (2) Average indebtedness represents the average outstanding borrowings under the Line. Average cost of borrowed funds represents interest expense as a percentage of average indebtedness.
- (3) Finance revenue is interest and fee income on finance receivables and does not include sales revenue generated by NDS.
- (4) Weighted average contractual rate represents the weighted average annual percentage rate (APR) of all Contracts purchased and direct loans originated during the period.
- (5) Gross portfolio yield represents finance revenue as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest. Net portfolio yield represents finance revenue minus (a) interest expense and (b) the provision for credit losses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest.
- (6) Operating expenses represent total expenses, less interest expense, the provision for credit losses and operating costs associated with NDS.
- (7) Pre-tax yield represents net portfolio yield minus operating expenses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest.
- (8) Write-off to liquidation percentage is defined as net charge-offs divided by liquidation. Liquidation is defined as beginning receivable balance plus current period purchases minus voids and refinances minus ending receivable balance.
- (9) Net charge-off percentage represents net charge-offs divided by average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, outstanding during the period.

Table of Contents**Three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to three months ended September 30, 2007****Interest Income and Loan Portfolio**

Interest income on finance receivables, predominately finance charge income, increased 7% to approximately \$13.5 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008, from \$12.6 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest equaled approximately \$208.7 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 10% from \$190.0 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The primary reason average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, increased was the increase in the receivable base of several existing branches in younger markets. The gross finance receivable balance increased 10% to approximately \$295.7 million as of September 30, 2008 from \$268.6 million as of September 30, 2007. The primary reason interest income increased was the increase in the outstanding loan portfolio. The gross portfolio yield decreased from 26.45% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007 to 25.85% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008. The net portfolio yield decreased from 19.64% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007 to 13.25% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2008. The gross portfolio yield decreased due to reduced accretion of discounts as compared to the prior year (see discussion under "Analysis of Credit Losses" below). The net portfolio yield decreased due to the above factor plus the effect of additional provision in response to increased charge-off rates.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, excluding provision for credit losses, interest expense, and costs associated with NDS, increased to approximately \$5.6 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 from \$5.1 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. This increase of 10% was primarily attributable to the additional staffing of several existing branches in younger markets and increased general operating expenses. Operating expenses as a percentage of finance receivables, net of unearned interest, increased to 10.75% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 from 10.70% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased to approximately \$1.4 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 from \$1.6 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007. The average indebtedness for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 increased to approximately \$105.2 million as compared to \$95.6 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The Company's average cost of borrowed funds decreased to 5.45% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to 6.87% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The primary reason the Company's average cost of funds decreased is the weighted-average 30-day LIBOR rate decreased from 7.20% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to 4.10% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. The reduction in 30-day LIBOR rates was offset in part by the Company's interest rate swap agreements, which convert a portion of the Company's floating rate debt to fixed rate debt. For further discussions regarding the Company's cost of funds and the effect of interest rate swap agreements see "Footnote 6 Derivatives and Hedging Activities".

Table of Contents**Six months ended September 30, 2008 compared to six months ended September 30, 2007****Interest Income and Loan Portfolio**

Interest income on finance receivables, predominately finance charge income, increased 8% to approximately \$26.6 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008, from \$24.7 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest equaled approximately \$206.0 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008, an increase of 9% from \$188.2 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The primary reason average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, increased was the increase in the receivable base of several existing branches in younger markets. The gross finance receivable balance increased 10% to approximately \$295.7 million as of September 30, 2008 from \$268.6 million as of September 30, 2007. The primary reason interest income increased was the increase in the outstanding loan portfolio. The gross portfolio yield decreased from 26.25% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007 to 25.82% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008. The net portfolio yield decreased from 19.88% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007 to 14.76% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2008. The gross portfolio yield decreased due to reduced accretion of discounts as compared to the prior year (see discussion under "Analysis of Credit Losses" below). The net portfolio yield decreased due to the above factor plus the effect of additional provision in response to increased charge-off rates.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses, excluding provision for credit losses, interest expense, and costs associated with NDS, increased to approximately \$11.4 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 from \$10.0 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. This increase of 14% was primarily attributable to the additional staffing of several existing branches in younger markets and increased general operating expenses. Operating expenses as a percentage of finance receivables, net of unearned interest, increased to 10.89% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 from 10.56% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased to approximately \$2.8 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 from \$3.2 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007. The average indebtedness for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 increased to approximately \$103.5 million as compared to \$94.8 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The Company's average cost of borrowed funds decreased to 5.49% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to 6.82% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2007. The primary reason the Company's average cost of funds decreased is the weighted-average 30-day LIBOR rate decreased from 7.14% for the six months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to 4.19% for the six months ended September 30, 2008. The reduction in 30-day LIBOR rates was offset in part by the Company's interest rate swap agreements, which convert a portion of the Company's floating rate debt to fixed rate debt. For further discussions regarding the Company's cost of funds and the effect of interest rate swap agreements see Footnote 6 "Derivatives and Hedging Activities".

Table of Contents**Contract Procurement**

The Company purchases Contracts in the twelve states listed in the table below. The Contracts purchased by the Company are predominately for used vehicles; for the three and six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, less than 3% were for new vehicles. As of September 30, 2008, the average model year of vehicles collateralizing the portfolio was a 2002 vehicle.

The following tables present selected information on Contracts purchased by the Company, net of unearned interest.

| State | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| FL | \$ 10,067,166 | \$ 12,928,867 | \$ 23,667,921 | \$ 25,374,183 |
| GA | 2,505,658 | 3,407,153 | 5,922,477 | 6,973,698 |
| NC | 2,990,283 | 3,613,965 | 6,331,883 | 6,273,786 |
| SC | 618,337 | 1,061,489 | 1,289,162 | 2,232,312 |
| OH | 3,987,642 | 3,768,332 | 8,372,575 | 6,658,753 |
| MI | 816,741 | 496,990 | 1,354,399 | 892,424 |
| VA | 1,272,657 | 790,834 | 2,803,933 | 1,725,693 |
| IN | 1,896,940 | 898,712 | 3,565,340 | 1,722,352 |
| KY | 1,448,986 | 1,370,291 | 3,226,898 | 2,533,294 |
| MD | 715,885 | 999,779 | 1,353,666 | 2,319,542 |
| AL | 767,292 | 725,149 | 1,890,225 | 1,323,027 |
| TN | 575,231 | | 1,208,790 | |
| Total | \$ 27,662,818 | \$ 30,061,561 | \$ 60,987,269 | \$ 58,029,064 |

| Contracts | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Purchases | \$ 27,662,818 | \$ 30,061,561 | \$ 60,987,269 | \$ 58,029,064 |
| Weighted APR | 24.15% | 24.25% | 24.16% | 24.16% |
| Average discount | 9.06% | 8.04% | 8.95% | 8.12% |
| Weighted average term (months) | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Average loan | \$ 9,400 | \$ 9,483 | \$ 9,483 | \$ 9,393 |
| Number of Contracts | 2,943 | 3,170 | 6,431 | 6,178 |

Loan Origination

The following table presents selected information on direct loans originated by the Company, net of unearned interest.

| Direct Loans Originated | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Originations | \$ 1,146,702 | \$ 2,324,524 | \$ 2,374,951 | \$ 4,532,297 |
| Weighted APR | 27.36% | 25.49% | 27.08% | 25.51% |
| Weighted average term (months) | 23 | 29 | 25 | 30 |
| Average loan | \$ 2,461 | \$ 3,335 | \$ 2,613 | \$ 3,423 |
| Number of loans | 466 | 697 | 909 | 1,324 |

Table of Contents**Analysis of Credit Losses**

As of September 30, 2008, the Company had 878 active static pools. The average pool upon inception consisted of 69 Contracts with aggregate finance receivables, net of unearned interest, of approximately \$637,000.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the allowance for credit losses on Contracts.

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 21,200,672 | \$ 20,271,815 | \$ 20,112,260 | \$ 20,638,912 |
| Discounts acquired on new volume | 2,484,783 | 2,366,969 | 5,378,928 | 4,627,982 |
| Losses absorbed | (5,694,539) | (4,175,005) | (10,788,417) | (7,692,033) |
| Current period provision | 4,953,258 | 1,506,858 | 8,094,549 | 2,625,579 |
| Recoveries | 449,779 | 491,996 | 873,936 | 950,332 |
| Discounts accreted | (209,976) | (562,727) | (487,279) | (1,250,866) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 23,183,977 | \$ 19,899,906 | \$ 23,183,977 | \$ 19,899,906 |

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the allowance for credit losses on direct loans.

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Six months ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 446,495 | \$ 316,753 | \$ 335,057 | \$ 324,687 |
| Current period provision | 191,692 | 83,939 | 452,855 | 140,807 |
| Losses absorbed | (162,918) | (89,118) | (332,974) | (162,486) |
| Recoveries | 7,905 | 7,634 | 28,236 | 16,200 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 483,174 | \$ 319,208 | \$ 483,174 | \$ 319,208 |

Reserves accreted into income for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, were approximately \$210,000 and \$563,000, respectively. Reserves accreted into income for the six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, were approximately \$487,000 and \$1.3 million, respectively. The Company has seen deterioration in the performance of its Contract portfolio, more specifically, static pools originated since June 30, 2006 have seen an increase in the default rate when compared to the preceding years pool performance during their same liquidation cycle. The Company attributes this increase to weakness in the consumer credit cycle and weakness in employment and believes this trend will continue for the remainder of its fiscal year. The Company experienced a higher net charge-off percentage of 10.25% during the three-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to 7.79% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007. The Company experienced a higher net charge-off percentage of 9.82% during the six-month period ended September 30, 2008 as compared to 7.20% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007.

The average dealer discount associated with new volume for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were 9.06% and 8.04%, respectively. The average dealer discount associated with new volume for the six months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were 8.95% and 8.12%, respectively. The Company believes the increase in the average dealer discount was the result of less competition in the markets the Company is currently operating in. The Company intends to remain focused on maintaining these increased discount levels to help off-set the rising loss rates it has been experiencing.

The provision for credit losses increased from approximately \$1.6 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007, to \$5.1 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008. The provision for credit losses increased from approximately \$2.8 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007, to \$8.5 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008. The Company's losses absorbed as a percentage of liquidation increased from 8.70% for the three months ended September 30, 2007, to 12.97% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. The Company's losses absorbed as a percentage of liquidation increased from 7.95% for the six months ended

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2007, to 12.09% for the six months ended September 30, 2008. In addition, excess provisions reversed decreased from approximately \$50,000 for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007 to \$0 for the three-month period ended September 30, 2008. Excess provisions reversed decreased from approximately \$267,000 for the six-month period ended September 30, 2007 to \$10,000 for the six-month period ended September 30, 2008. The reversal of provisions previously recorded in each period was due to the favorable charge-off performance of static pools originated from April 2005 through December 2005. The Company anticipates losses absorbed as a percentage of liquidation will be in the 14-18% range during the remainder of the current fiscal year; however, no assurances can be given that the actual losses absorbed

Table of Contents

may not be higher as a result of further economic weakness. The longer-term outlook for portfolio performance will depend on the overall economic conditions, the unemployment rate, and the price of oil which impacts the cost of gasoline, food and many other items used or consumed by the average person. Also, the Company's ability to monitor, manage and implement its underwriting philosophy in additional geographic areas as it strives to continue its expansion will impact future portfolio performance. The Company does not believe there have been any significant changes in loan concentrations, terms or quality of Contracts purchased during the three and six months ended September 30, 2008 that would have contributed to the increase in losses.

Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs decreased from approximately 13% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 to approximately 9% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs decreased from approximately 14% for the six months ended September 30, 2007 to approximately 9% for the six months ended September 30, 2008. The Company believes that as it continues to expand its operations, it will become more difficult to implement its loss recovery model in geographic areas further away from its Corporate headquarters, and as a result, the Company will likely experience declining recovery rates over the long-term.

The following tables present certain information regarding the delinquency rates experienced by the Company with respect to Contracts and under its direct consumer loan program:

| | September 30, 2008 | | September 30, 2007 | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Contracts | | | | |
| Gross balance outstanding | \$ 282,272,780 | | \$ 257,975,631 | |
| Delinquencies | | | | |
| 30 to 59 days | \$ 9,621,940 | 3.35% | \$ 6,641,029 | 2.58% |
| 60 to 89 days | 3,763,896 | 1.31% | 2,510,684 | 0.97% |
| 90 + days | 1,407,925 | 0.49% | 1,161,006 | 0.45% |
| Total delinquencies | \$ 14,793,761 | 5.15% | \$ 10,312,719 | 4.00% |
| Direct Loans | | | | |
| Gross balance outstanding | \$ 8,472,099 | | \$ 10,645,154 | |
| Delinquencies | | | | |
| 30 to 59 days | \$ 145,174 | 1.71% | \$ 220,321 | 2.07% |
| 60 to 89 days | 64,682 | 0.76% | 86,784 | 0.82% |
| 90 + days | 67,351 | 0.80% | 47,051 | 0.44% |
| Total delinquencies | \$ 277,207 | 3.27% | \$ 354,156 | 3.33% |

The delinquency percentage for Contracts more than thirty days past due as of September 30, 2008 was 5.15% as compared to 4.00% as of September 30, 2007. The delinquency percentage for direct loans more than thirty days past due as of September 30, 2008 was 3.27% as compared to 3.33% as of September 30, 2007.

The Company believes delinquency trends over several reporting periods are useful in estimating future losses and overall portfolio performance. The Company also estimates future portfolio performance by considering various factors, the most significant of which are described as follows. The Company analyzes historical static pool performance for each branch location when determining appropriate reserve levels. Additionally, the Company utilizes internal branch audits as an indication of future static pool performance. The Company also considers such things as the current unemployment rate in markets the Company operates in, the percentage of voluntary repossessions as compared to prior periods, the percentage of bankruptcy filings as compared to prior periods and other leading economic indicators.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$545,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007. The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$1.4 million for the six months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$3.3 million for the six months ended September 30, 2007. The Company's effective tax rate increased from 38.20% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 to 40.78% for the three months ended September 30, 2008. The primary

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

reason for this increase was the result of an increase in expenses not deductible for tax purposes during the three months ended September 30, 2008. The Company's effective tax rate decreased from 38.16% for the six months ended September 30, 2007 to 38.09% for the six months ended September 30, 2008. This decrease is primarily attributable to a 56% decrease in taxable income, resulting in the Company being classified in a lower tax bracket.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's cash flows are summarized as follows:

| | Six months ended September 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 10,548,672 | \$ 8,308,025 |
| Investing activities (primarily purchase of Contracts) | (16,216,655) | (11,142,643) |
| Financing activities | 5,921,561 | 3,786,729 |
| Net increase in cash | \$ 253,578 | \$ 952,111 |

The Company's primary use of working capital for the six months ended September 30, 2008 was the funding of the purchase of Contracts. The Company's Line is secured by all of the assets of the Company's Nicholas Financial, Inc. subsidiary. As of September 30, 2008 the Company could borrow the lesser of \$115.0 million or amounts based upon formulas principally related to a percentage of eligible finance receivables, as defined. Borrowings under the Line may be under various LIBOR pricing options plus 162.5 basis points or at the prime rate. Prime rate based borrowings are generally less than \$5.0 million. As of September 30, 2008, the amount outstanding under the Line was approximately \$106.1 million and the amount available under the Line was approximately \$8.9 million.

The Company will continue to depend on the availability of the Line, together with cash from operations, to finance future operations. Amounts outstanding under the Line have increased approximately \$2.4 million and \$6.2 million during the three and six months ended September 30, 2008, respectively. The growth of the Line is principally related to funding the purchase of Contracts and is consistent with the growth of finance receivables. The Company was contemplating executing an amendment to the Line, which would have increased the size of the Line and extended the maturity date. However, as U.S. and global market and economic conditions continue to be disrupted and volatile, particularly in the financial sector, the Company has elected not to amend its Line at this time. The primary reasons are the uncertainty of demand for the Company's contract procurement program and the increased pricing associated with increasing the size of the Line and extending the maturity date. Continued disruption in U.S. and global markets could adversely affect the Company's ability to increase the size of the Line and extend the maturity date or refinance indebtedness. Any new or renewed credit facility may be under terms that are not as favorable as the current credit facility. Our inability to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, if at all, would limit our ability to grow and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and business.

The Line requires compliance with certain debt covenants including financial ratios, asset quality and other performance tests. The Company is currently in compliance with all of its debt covenants but, during the current economic slowdown, a breach of one or more of these covenants could occur prior to the maturity date of the Line, which is November 30, 2010. The Company's consortium of lenders could place the Company in default if certain covenants were breached and take one or more of the following actions: increase our borrowing costs; restrict the Company's ability to obtain additional borrowings under the Line; accelerate all amounts outstanding under the Line; or enforce its interests against collateral securing the Line. The Company believes its lenders will continue to allow it to operate in the event of a condition of default; however no assurance can be given that this would occur.

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements, each of which effectively converts a portion of the Company's floating-rate debt to a fixed-rate, thus reducing the impact of interest rate change on the Company's interest expense. As of September 30, 2008, approximately 75% of the Company's borrowings under the Line were subject to interest rate swap agreements. These swap agreements have maturities ranging from October 2, 2009 through February 2, 2011.

Table of Contents

Future Expansion

The Company currently operates a total of forty-seven branch locations in twelve states, including nineteen in Florida, five in Ohio, five in North Carolina, five in Georgia, three in Kentucky, two in Virginia, two in Indiana, two in Alabama and one in Michigan, Maryland, South Carolina and Tennessee. Each office is budgeted (size of branch, number of employees and location) to handle up to 1,000 accounts and up to \$7.5 million in outstanding finance receivables, net of unearned interest. To date, ten of our branches have reached this capacity.

The Company expects to open its second location in Columbus, Ohio during its third fiscal quarter. Due to economic conditions and the uncertainty surrounding consumer demand for new and used vehicles, the Company does not anticipate any further expansion during the remaining fiscal year. The Company will continue to evaluate markets it currently operates within and some branch consolidation is possible.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risks relating to the Company's operations result primarily from changes in interest rates. The Company does not engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Interest rate risk

Management's objective is to minimize the cost of borrowing through an appropriate mix of fixed and floating rate debt. Derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swap agreements, may be used for the purpose of managing fluctuating interest rate exposures that exist from ongoing business operations. There was no ineffectiveness associated with the interest rate swap agreements during the three or six-month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the date of such evaluation to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was being prepared.

Changes in internal controls. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company or that the Company currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See exhibit index following the signature page.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ Peter L. Vosotas
Peter L. Vosotas
Chairman of the Board, President,
Chief Executive Officer and Director

Date: November 10, 2008

/s/ Ralph T. Finkenbrink
Ralph T. Finkenbrink
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Director

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. Description

- 31.1 Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350