

ALLERGAN INC  
Form 10-K  
February 26, 2010  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009**

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 1-10269

**Allergan, Inc.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

**95-1622442**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

2525 Dupont Drive

92612

Irvine, California

(Zip Code)

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(714) 246-4500

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class            | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value | New York Stock Exchange                   |

Preferred Share Purchase Rights

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
 Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company   
 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of June 30, 2009, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$14,430 million based on the closing sale price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Common stock outstanding as of February 19, 2010 307,511,888 shares (including 3,511,177 shares held in treasury).

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III of this report incorporates certain information by reference from the registrant's proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on April 29, 2010, which proxy statement will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|                                                                                                                             | <b>Page</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <b><u>PART I.</u></b>                                                                                                       | <b>1</b>    |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u>                                                                                                     | 1           |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>                                                                                                | 32          |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>                                                                                   | 50          |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u>                                                                                                   | 50          |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>                                                                                            | 50          |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>                                                          | 55          |
| <b><u>PART II.</u></b>                                                                                                      | <b>56</b>   |
| Item 5. <u>Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 56          |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>                                                                                      | 57          |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | 58          |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                                                  | 87          |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>                                                                  | 91          |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | 91          |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>                                                                                     | 92          |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>                                                                                           | 92          |
| <b><u>PART III.</u></b>                                                                                                     | <b>93</b>   |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>                                                      | 93          |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>                                                                                      | 93          |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>              | 93          |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>                                   | 93          |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>                                                                      | 93          |
| <b><u>PART IV.</u></b>                                                                                                      | <b>94</b>   |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>                                                                  | 94          |
| <b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>                                                                                                    | <b>102</b>  |

---

**Table of Contents**

*Statements made by us in this report and in other reports and statements released by us that are not historical facts constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates reflecting the best judgment of our senior management based on our current estimates, expectations, forecasts and projections and include comments that express our current opinions about trends and factors that may impact future operating results. Disclosures that use words such as we believe, anticipate, estimate, intend, could, plan, expect, project or the negative of these, as well as similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We discuss such risks, uncertainties and other factors throughout this report and specifically under the caption Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part I of this report below. Any such forward-looking statements, whether made in this report or elsewhere, should be considered in the context of the various disclosures made by us about our businesses including, without limitation, the risk factors discussed below. Except as required under the federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we do not have any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, changes in assumptions or otherwise.*

**PART I**

**Item 1. Business**  
**General Overview of our Business**

We are a multi-specialty health care company focused on developing and commercializing innovative pharmaceuticals, biologics and medical devices that enable people to live life to its greatest potential to see more clearly, move more freely and express themselves more fully. Our diversified approach enables us to follow our research and development into new specialty areas where unmet needs are significant.

We discover, develop and commercialize specialty pharmaceutical, medical device and over-the-counter products for the ophthalmic, neurological, medical aesthetics, medical dermatology, breast aesthetics, obesity intervention, urological and other specialty markets in more than 100 countries around the world. Our diversified business model includes products for which consumers may be eligible for reimbursement and cash pay products that consumers pay for directly. Based on internal information and assumptions, we estimate that in fiscal year 2009, approximately 72% of our net product sales were derived from reimbursable products and 28% of our net product sales were derived from cash pay products.

We are a pioneer in specialty pharmaceutical, biologic and medical device research and development, with global efforts targeting products and technologies related to eye care, skin care, neuromodulators, medical aesthetics, obesity intervention, urology and neurology. In 2009, our research and development expenditures were approximately 15.9% of our product net sales or approximately \$706.0 million. We supplement our own research and development activities with our commitment to identify and obtain new technologies through in-licensing, research collaborations, joint ventures and acquisitions.

In March 2006, we acquired Inamed Corporation, or Inamed, a global health care manufacturer and marketer of breast implants, a range of dermal filler products to correct facial wrinkles, and bariatric medical devices for approximately \$3.3 billion, consisting of approximately \$1.4 billion in cash and 34,883,386 shares of our common stock.

In the first quarter of 2007, we acquired Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires, or Cornéal, a health care company that develops, manufactures and markets dermal fillers, for approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition of Cornéal expanded our marketing rights to Juvéderm® and a range of hyaluronic acid dermal fillers from the United States, Canada and Australia to all countries worldwide and provided us with control over the manufacturing process and future research and development of Juvéderm® and other dermal fillers.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we acquired Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc., or Esprit, for approximately \$370.8 million, net of cash acquired. By acquiring Esprit, we obtained an exclusive license to

---

## **Table of Contents**

market *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> (trospium chloride), or *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> (trospium chloride extended release capsules), or *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, anticholinergics approved for the treatment of overactive bladder, or OAB, in the United States and its territories from Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Indevus. We launched *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> in the United States in the first quarter of 2008. In the second quarter of 2008, we entered into a license agreement with Indevus and Madaus GmbH, which grants us the right to seek approval for and to commercialize *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> in Canada. In the first quarter of 2010, Health Canada, the Canadian national regulatory body, approved *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>.

In the third quarter of 2008, we acquired *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> (dapson) gel 5% from QLT USA, Inc., or QLT, a wholly-owned subsidiary of QLT Inc. for approximately \$150 million. *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, approved for sale in both the United States and Canada, is indicated for the treatment of acne vulgaris in patients 12 and older. *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> contains the first new FDA-approved chemical entity (dapson) for acne treatment since *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup> (tazarotene) gel was approved in 1997. We launched *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> in the United States in the fourth quarter of 2008.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into a strategic collaboration arrangement with Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Spectrum, to develop and commercialize apaziquone, an antineoplastic agent currently being investigated for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer by intravesical instillation. Under the collaboration, Spectrum is conducting two Phase 3 clinical trials to explore apaziquone's safety and efficacy as a potential treatment for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer following surgery. We made an initial payment of \$41.5 million to Spectrum and will make additional payments of up to \$304 million based on the achievement of certain development, regulatory and commercialization milestones. Spectrum retained exclusive rights to apaziquone in Asia, including Japan and China. Allergan received exclusive rights to apaziquone for the treatment of bladder cancer in the rest of the world, including the United States, Canada and Europe. In the United States, Allergan and Spectrum will co-promote apaziquone and share in its profits and expenses. Allergan will also pay Spectrum royalties on all of its apaziquone sales outside of the United States. In the third quarter of 2009, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, granted Fast Track Designation for the investigation of apaziquone for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Fast Track Designation was designed to facilitate drug development and expedite the review of drugs intended to treat serious conditions. In the fourth quarter of 2009, Spectrum completed enrollment in the two Phase 3 clinical trials.

In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles Transnational Corp., or Quintiles, under which Quintiles will co-promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, generally targeting primary care physicians. We will continue to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> using our existing sales forces to specialty physicians.

In the first quarter of 2010, we acquired Serica Technologies, Inc., a medical device company focused on the development of biodegradable silk-based scaffolds for use in tissue regeneration, including breast augmentation, revision and reconstruction and bariatric applications, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$70.0 million.

We were founded in 1950 and incorporated in Delaware in 1977. Our principal executive offices are located at 2525 Dupont Drive, Irvine, California, 92612, and our telephone number at that location is (714) 246-4500. Our Internet website address is [www.allergan.com](http://www.allergan.com)<sup>1</sup>. We make our periodic and current reports, together with amendments to these reports, available on our Internet website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. The SEC maintains an Internet site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) that contains the reports, proxy and information statements and other information that we file electronically with the SEC.

## **Operating Segments**

We operate our business on the basis of two reportable segments—specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices. The specialty pharmaceuticals segment produces a broad range of pharmaceutical products, including: ophthalmic products for chronic dry eye, glaucoma therapy, ocular inflammation, infection, allergy and retinal

<sup>1</sup> This website address is not intended to function as a hyperlink and the information at this website address is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

diseases; *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for certain therapeutic and aesthetic indications; skin care products for acne, psoriasis, other prescription and over-the-counter skin care products and, beginning in the first quarter of 2009, eyelash growth products; and, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007 urologics products. The medical devices segment produces a broad range of medical devices, including: breast implants for augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery; obesity intervention products, including the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System and the *Orbera* Intra-gastric Balloon System and facial aesthetics products. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, product net sales for each of our product lines within our specialty pharmaceuticals segment and medical devices segment, domestic and international sales as a percentage of total product net sales within our specialty pharmaceuticals segment and medical devices segment, and segment operating income for our specialty pharmaceuticals segment and medical devices segment:

|                                                                            | Year Ended December 31, |                   |                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                                                            | 2009                    | 2008              | 2007              |
| (dollars in millions)                                                      |                         |                   |                   |
| <b>Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales by Product Line</b> |                         |                   |                   |
| Eye Care Pharmaceuticals                                                   | \$ 2,100.6              | \$ 2,009.1        | \$ 1,776.5        |
| <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> /Neuromodulator                                  | 1,309.6                 | 1,310.9           | 1,211.8           |
| Skin Care Products                                                         | 208.0                   | 113.7             | 110.7             |
| Urologics                                                                  | 65.6                    | 68.6              | 6.0               |
| <b>Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales</b>           | <b>\$ 3,683.8</b>       | <b>\$ 3,502.3</b> | <b>\$ 3,105.0</b> |
| <b>Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Product Net Sales</b>                 |                         |                   |                   |
| Domestic                                                                   | 66.5%                   | 65.2%             | 65.8%             |
| International                                                              | 33.5%                   | 34.8%             | 34.2%             |
| <b>Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales by Product Line</b>           |                         |                   |                   |
| Breast Aesthetics                                                          | \$ 287.5                | \$ 310.0          | \$ 298.4          |
| Obesity Intervention                                                       | 258.2                   | 296.0             | 270.1             |
| Facial Aesthetics                                                          | 218.1                   | 231.4             | 202.8             |
| Core Medical Devices                                                       | 763.8                   | 837.4             | 771.3             |
| Other (1)                                                                  |                         |                   | 2.7               |
| <b>Total Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales</b>                     | <b>\$ 763.8</b>         | <b>\$ 837.4</b>   | <b>\$ 774.0</b>   |
| <b>Medical Devices Segment Product Net Sales</b>                           |                         |                   |                   |
| Domestic                                                                   | 60.5%                   | 62.0%             | 65.1%             |
| International                                                              | 39.5%                   | 38.0%             | 34.9%             |
| Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment Operating Income (2)                     | \$ 1,370.8              | \$ 1,220.1        | \$ 1,047.9        |
| Medical Devices Segment Operating Income (2)                               | 189.2                   | 222.0             | 207.1             |
| <b>Consolidated Long-Lived Assets</b>                                      |                         |                   |                   |
| Domestic                                                                   | \$ 3,673.2              | \$ 3,781.0        | \$ 3,702.8        |
| International                                                              | 577.4                   | 553.8             | 557.5             |

- (1) Other medical device product sales primarily consist of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the sale of the former Cornéal ophthalmic surgical device business in the third quarter of 2007, which was substantially concluded in the fourth quarter of 2007.

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

- (2) Management evaluates business segment performance on an operating income basis exclusive of general and administrative expenses and other indirect costs, restructuring charges, in-process research and development expenses, amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to business combinations and asset acquisitions and certain other adjustments, which are not allocated to our business segments for performance assessment by our chief operating decision maker. Other adjustments excluded from our business segments for purposes of performance assessment represent income or expenses that do not reflect, according to established company-defined criteria, operating income or expenses associated with our core business activities.

We do not discretely allocate assets to our operating segments, nor does our chief operating decision maker evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information.

See Note 18, Business Segment Information, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for further information concerning our foreign and domestic operations.

---

## Table of Contents

### Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment

#### *Eye Care Pharmaceuticals Product Line*

We develop, manufacture and market a broad range of prescription and non-prescription products designed to treat diseases and disorders of the eye, including chronic dry eye, glaucoma, inflammation, infection and allergy.

*Chronic Dry Eye. Restasis®* (cyclosporine ophthalmic emulsion) 0.05%, or *Restasis®*, is the first, and currently the only, prescription therapy for the treatment of chronic dry eye worldwide. *Restasis®* is our best selling eye care product. Chronic dry eye is a painful and irritating condition involving abnormalities and deficiencies in the tear film initiated by a variety of causes. The incidence of chronic dry eye increases markedly with age, after menopause in women and in people with systemic diseases such as Sjögren's syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. Until the approval of *Restasis®*, physicians used lubricating tears to provide palliative relief of the debilitating symptoms of chronic dry eye. We launched *Restasis®* in the United States in 2003 under a license from Novartis AG, or Novartis, for the ophthalmic use of cyclosporine. *Restasis®* is currently approved in 34 countries.

*Artificial Tears.* Our artificial tears products, including the *Refresh®* and *Refresh® Optive* brands, treat dry eye symptoms including irritation and dryness due to pollution, computer use, aging and other causes. *Refresh®*, launched in 1986, is the best selling over-the-counter artificial tears brand in the United States and includes a wide range of preserved and non-preserved drops as well as ointments to treat dry eye symptoms. According to IMS Health Incorporated, an independent marketing research firm, our artificial tears products, including the *Refresh®* and *Refresh® Optive* brands, were again the number one selling artificial tears products worldwide for the first nine months of 2009.

*Glaucoma.* The largest segment of the market for ophthalmic prescription drugs is for the treatment of glaucoma, a sight-threatening disease typically characterized by elevated intraocular pressure leading to optic nerve damage. Glaucoma is currently the world's second leading cause of blindness, and we estimate that over 70 million people worldwide have glaucoma. According to IMS Health Incorporated, our products for the treatment of glaucoma, including *Lumigan®* (bimatoprost ophthalmic solution) 0.03%, or *Lumigan®* 0.03%, *Lumigan®* 0.01%, *Alphagan®* (brimonidine tartrate ophthalmic solution) 0.2%, or *Alphagan®*, *Alphagan® P* 0.15%, *Alphagan® P* 0.1%, *Combigan®* (brimonidine tartrate/timolol maleate ophthalmic solution) 0.2%/0.5%, or *Combigan®*, and *Ganfort* (bimatoprost/timolol maleate ophthalmic solution), or *Ganfort*, captured approximately 19% of worldwide glaucoma market sales for the first nine months of 2009.

*Lumigan®* 0.03% and *Lumigan®* 0.01% are topical treatments indicated for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure in patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension. *Lumigan®* 0.01% is an improved reformulation of *Lumigan®* 0.03% for sale in certain countries outside of the United States. We are also seeking approval of *Lumigan®* 0.01% in the United States. We currently sell *Lumigan®* 0.01% and *Lumigan®* 0.03% in over 75 countries worldwide and, together, they are our second best selling eye care products. According to IMS Health Incorporated, *Lumigan®* 0.01% and *Lumigan®* 0.03% were the fourth best selling glaucoma products in the world for the first nine months of 2009. In 2002, the European Commission approved *Lumigan®* 0.03%. In 2004, the European Union's Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products approved *Lumigan®* 0.03% as a first-line therapy for the reduction of elevated intraocular pressure in chronic open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. In 2006, the FDA approved *Lumigan®* 0.03% as a first-line therapy. In 2004, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Senju, under which Senju became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Lumigan®* 0.03% in Japan. In the third quarter of 2009, Senju received approval of *Lumigan®* 0.03% in Japan. In the second quarter of 2009, Health Canada approved *Lumigan®* 0.01%. *Lumigan®* 0.01% was also approved in Brazil in 2009. In the first quarter of 2010, the European Commission granted a Marketing Authorization for *Lumigan®* 0.01% in the 27 European Union member states.



## Table of Contents

In 2006, we received a license from the European Commission to market *Ganfort* in the European Union. Combined sales of *Lumigan*® 0.03%, *Lumigan*® 0.01% and *Ganfort* represented approximately 10% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007. *Ganfort* is now sold in over 29 countries outside the United States.

Our third best selling eye care products are the ophthalmic solutions *Alphagan*®, *Alphagan*® P 0.15% and *Alphagan*® P 0.1%. These products lower intraocular pressure by reducing aqueous humor production and increasing uveoscleral outflow. *Alphagan*® P 0.15% and *Alphagan*® P 0.1% are improved reformulations of *Alphagan*® containing brimonidine, the active ingredient in *Alphagan*®, preserved with *Purite*®. We currently market *Alphagan*®, *Alphagan*® P 0.15% and *Alphagan*® P 0.1% in over 70 countries worldwide.

*Alphagan*®, *Alphagan*® P 0.15% and *Alphagan*® P 0.1% combined were the fourth best selling glaucoma products in the world for the first nine months of 2009, according to IMS Health Incorporated. Combined sales of *Alphagan*®, *Alphagan*® P 0.15% and *Alphagan*® P 0.1% and *Combigan*® represented approximately 9% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007. In 2002, based on the acceptance of *Alphagan*® P 0.15%, we discontinued the U.S. distribution of *Alphagan*®. In 2004, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Kyorin, under which Kyorin became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Alphagan*® and *Alphagan*® P 0.15% in Japan. Kyorin subsequently sublicensed its rights under the agreement to Senju. *Alphagan*® P 0.1% was launched in the United States in 2006. The marketing exclusivity period for *Alphagan*® P 0.1% expired in the third quarter of 2008, although we have a number of patents covering the *Alphagan*® P 0.1% and *Alphagan*® P 0.15% technology that extend to 2022 in the United States. In 2003, the FDA approved the first generic of *Alphagan*®. Additionally, a generic form of *Alphagan*® is sold in a limited number of other countries, including Canada, Mexico, India, Brazil, Colombia, Argentina and other countries in the European Union.

In addition to our *Alphagan*® and *Lumigan*® products, we developed the ophthalmic solution *Combigan*®, a brimonidine and timolol combination designed to treat glaucoma and ocular hypertension in people who are not responsive to treatment with only one medication and are considered appropriate candidates for combination therapy. In 2005, we received positive opinions for *Combigan*® from 20 concerned member states included in the *Combigan*® Mutual Recognition Procedure for the European Union, and we launched *Combigan*® in the European Union during 2006. In the fourth quarter of 2007, the FDA approved *Combigan*® and we launched *Combigan*® in the United States. *Combigan*® is now sold in over 55 countries worldwide.

**Inflammation.** Our leading ophthalmic anti-inflammatory product is *Acular LS*® (ketorolac ophthalmic solution) 0.4%, or *Acular LS*®. *Acular LS*® is a version of *Acular*® that has been reformulated for the reduction of ocular pain, burning and stinging following corneal refractive surgery. *Acular*® PF was the first preservative-free topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, or NSAID, in the United States. *Acular*® PF is indicated for the reduction of ocular pain and photophobia following incisional refractive surgery. The *Acular*® franchise was the best selling ophthalmic NSAID in the world during the first nine months of 2009, according to IMS Health Incorporated. In the third quarter of 2009, the FDA approved *Acuvail*® (ketorolac tromethamine ophthalmic solution) 0.45%, or *Acuvail*®, an advanced unit-dose preservative-free formulation of ketorolac for the treatment of pain and inflammation following cataract surgery and we began marketing *Acuvail*®. In the fourth quarter of 2009, the FDA approved four Abbreviated New Drug Applications, or ANDAs, for ketorolac tromethamine ophthalmic solution 0.5%, a generic version of *Acular*®, and four companies launched generic versions of *Acular*® in the United States. Our ophthalmic anti-inflammatory product *Pred Forte*® remains a leading topical steroid worldwide based on 2009 sales. *Pred Forte*® has no patent protection or marketing exclusivity and faces generic competition.

**Infection.** Our leading anti-infective is *Zymar*® (gatifloxacin ophthalmic solution) 0.3%, or *Zymar*®, which we license from Kyorin and have worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan, Korea, Taiwan and certain other countries in Asia and Europe. We launched *Zymar*® in the United States in 2003. *Zymar*® is a fourth-generation fluoroquinolone for the treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis and is currently approved in 33 countries. Laboratory studies have shown that *Zymar*® kills the most common bacteria that cause eye infections

**Table of Contents**

as well as specific resistant bacteria. We completed our Phase 3 clinical studies of an enhanced formula of *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> for bacterial conjunctivitis and filed a New Drug Application, or NDA, with the FDA in the third quarter of 2009. According to Verispan, an independent research firm, *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> was the number two ophthalmic anti-infective prescribed by ophthalmologists in the United States in 2009. *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> was the third best selling ophthalmic anti-infective product in the world for the first nine months of 2009, according to IMS Health Incorporated.

*Allergy*. The allergy market is, by its nature, a seasonal market, peaking during the spring months. We market *Alocril*<sup>®</sup> ophthalmic solution for the treatment of itch associated with allergic conjunctivitis. We license *Alocril*<sup>®</sup> from Fisons Ltd., a business unit of Sanofi-Aventis, and hold worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan. *Alocril*<sup>®</sup> is approved in the United States, Canada and Mexico. We license *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> from Boehringer Ingelheim AG, and hold worldwide ophthalmic commercial rights excluding Japan. *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> is used for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. We co-promote *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> in the United States under an agreement with Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Inspire, within the ophthalmic specialty area and to allergists. Under the terms of our agreement with Inspire, Inspire provided us with an up-front payment and we make payments to Inspire based on *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> net sales. In addition, the agreement reduced our existing royalty payment to Inspire for *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>. Inspire has primary responsibility for selling and marketing activities in the United States related to *Elestat*<sup>®</sup>. We have retained all international marketing and selling rights. We launched *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> in Europe under the brand names *Relestat*<sup>®</sup> and *Purivist*<sup>®</sup> during 2004, and Inspire launched *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> in the United States during 2004. *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> (together with sales under its brand names *Relestat*<sup>®</sup> and *Purivist*<sup>®</sup>) is currently approved in 47 countries and was the fifth best selling ophthalmic allergy product in the world (and fourth in the United States) for the first nine months of 2009, according to IMS Health Incorporated.

*Retinal Disease*. In the second quarter of 2009, the FDA approved *Ozurdex* (dexamethasone intravitreal implant) 0.7 mg, or *Ozurdex*, as the first drug therapy indicated for the treatment of macular edema following branch retinal vein occlusion or central retinal vein occlusion. *Ozurdex* is a novel bioerodable formulation of dexamethasone in Allergan's proprietary *Novadur* sustained-release drug delivery system that can be used to locally and directly administer medications to the retina. We launched *Ozurdex* in the United States in the third quarter of 2009.

***Neuromodulator***

Our neuromodulator product, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> (onabotulinumtoxinA), or *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, has a long-established safety profile and has been approved by the FDA for more than 20 years to treat a variety of medical conditions, as well as for aesthetic use since 2002. With more than 2,000 publications on *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic in scientific and medical journals, results of approximately 50 randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trials involving more than 11,000 patients, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> is a widely researched medicine with more than 100 potential therapeutic and aesthetic uses reported in the medical literature. Nearly 17 million treatment sessions have been recorded with *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic in the United States alone over the past 15 years (1994-2008). Marketed as *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Vistabel*<sup>®</sup> or *Vistabex*<sup>®</sup>, depending on the indication and country of approval, the product is currently approved in approximately 80 countries for up to 21 unique indications. In the second quarter of 2009, following the approval of *Dysport* in the United States, we adopted a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies program, or REMS, including a boxed warning about the potential spread of botulinum toxins from the site of injection and the lack of interchangeability among botulinum toxin products. Sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> represented approximately 29%, 30% and 31% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decline in the percentage of our total net sales represented by sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> primarily resulted from the growth in our eyecare franchises and the significant increase in our total consolidated product net sales as a result of the Inamed acquisition. *Botox*<sup>®</sup> is used therapeutically for the treatment of certain neuromuscular disorders which are characterized by involuntary muscle contractions or spasms. The approved therapeutic indications for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in the United States are as follows:

blepharospasm, the uncontrollable contraction of the eyelid muscles which can force the eye closed and result in functional blindness;

strabismus, or misalignment of the eyes, in people 12 years of age and over;

**Table of Contents**

cervical dystonia, or sustained contractions or spasms of muscles in the shoulders or neck in adults, along with associated neck pain; and

severe primary axillary hyperhidrosis (underarm sweating) that is inadequately managed with topical agents.

In many countries outside of the United States, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> is also approved for treating hemifacial spasm, spasticity associated with pediatric cerebral palsy and upper limb spasticity in post-stroke patients. We are currently in development for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in the United States and Europe for new indications, including chronic migraine, upper limb spasticity, lower limb spasticity, neurogenic overactive bladder, idiopathic overactive bladder and benign prostate hyperplasia. In 2005, we announced plans to conduct two Phase 3 clinical trials to investigate the safety and efficacy of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> as a prophylactic therapy in patients with chronic migraine. In the third quarter of 2008, we announced completion of a top-line analysis of our Phase 3 clinical trials, which found that *Botox*<sup>®</sup> treatment decreased the number of headache days patients with chronic migraines suffered compared to patients receiving placebo injections. In addition, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> treatments were well tolerated in the trials in patients suffering from chronic migraines and patients receiving *Botox*<sup>®</sup> scored statistically significantly higher improvement in quality of life compared to patients receiving placebo injections. Based on this data, we filed a supplemental Biologics License Application, or sBLA, with the FDA for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat chronic migraine in the third quarter of 2009 and submitted regulatory files in the fourth quarter of 2009 to the authorities in the United Kingdom, France, Switzerland and Canada. In the second quarter of 2009, we received a complete response letter from the FDA regarding our sBLA for use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat upper limb spasticity, and we submitted additional data requested by the FDA in its complete response letter in the third quarter of 2009. In 2005, we reached agreement with the FDA to enter Phase 3 clinical trials for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat neurogenic overactive bladder and Phase 2 clinical trials for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat idiopathic overactive bladder. We fully enrolled our Phase 3 clinical trials for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat neurogenic overactive bladder in 2009. We completed the Phase 2 clinical trials for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat idiopathic overactive bladder in 2008 and began enrolling patients in our Phase 3 clinical trials for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat idiopathic overactive bladder in 2009. In 2005, we initiated Phase 2 clinical trials outside the United States for the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat benign prostate hyperplasia. In the second quarter of 2009, we filed an Investigational New Drug Application with the FDA relating to the use of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat benign prostate hyperplasia.

*Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic. The FDA approved *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic for the temporary improvement in the appearance of moderate to severe glabellar lines in adult men and women age 65 or younger in 2002. Referred to as *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Vistabel*<sup>®</sup> or *Vistabex*<sup>®</sup>, depending on the country of approval, this product is administered in small injections to temporarily reduce the muscle activity that causes the formation of glabellar lines between the eyebrows that often develop during the aging process. Currently, more than 60 countries have approved facial aesthetic indications for *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Vistabel*<sup>®</sup> or *Vistabex*<sup>®</sup>. In 2002, we launched comprehensive direct-to-consumer marketing campaigns, including television commercials, radio commercials, print advertising and interactive media aimed at dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons and other aesthetic specialty physicians, as well as consumers, in the United States. We also continue to sponsor aesthetic specialty physician training in approved countries to further expand the base of qualified physicians using *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Vistabel*<sup>®</sup> or *Vistabex*<sup>®</sup>.

In 2005, we entered into a long-term arrangement with GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, under which GSK agreed to develop and promote *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan and China and we agreed to co-promote GSK's products *Imitrex STATdose System*<sup>®</sup> (sumatriptan succinate), or *Imitrex STATdose System*<sup>®</sup>, and *Amerge*<sup>®</sup> (naratriptan hydrochloride), or *Amerge*<sup>®</sup>, in the United States. Under the terms of the arrangement, we licensed to GSK all clinical development and commercial rights to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan and China, markets in which GSK has extensive commercial, regulatory and research and development resources, as well as expertise in neurology. We received an up-front payment, and we receive royalties on GSK's *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales in Japan and China. We also manufacture *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for GSK as part of a long-term supply agreement and collaboratively support GSK in its new clinical developments for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and its strategic marketing in those markets, for which we receive payments. In the first

---

**Table of Contents**

quarter of 2009, GSK received approval of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan for the treatment of glabellar lines and equinus foot due to lower limb spasticity in juvenile cerebral palsy patients and launched *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan for these indications with the glabellar lines indication marketed as *Botox Vista*<sup>®</sup>. GSK also received approval of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of glabellar lines in China in the first quarter of 2009. In addition, we obtained the right to co-promote GSK's products *Imitrex STATdose System*<sup>®</sup> and *Amerge*<sup>®</sup> in the United States to neurologists for a 5-year period, for which we receive fixed and performance payments from GSK. *Imitrex STATdose System*<sup>®</sup> is approved for the treatment of acute migraine in adults and for the acute treatment of cluster headache episodes. *Amerge*<sup>®</sup> is approved for the acute treatment of migraine attacks with and without an aura in adults.

***Skin Care Product Lines***

Our skin care product lines focus on the acne, psoriasis, physician-dispensed skin care and eyelash growth markets, particularly in the United States and Canada.

*Acne/Psoriasis*

*Aczone*<sup>®</sup>. Our product *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> (dapson) gel 5%, approved for sale in both the United States and Canada, is indicated for the treatment of acne vulgaris in patients 12 and older. *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> contains the first new FDA-approved chemical entity (dapson) for acne treatment since *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup> (tazarotene) gel was approved in 1997. We launched *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> in the United States in the fourth quarter of 2008. In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles under which Quintiles will co-promote *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, targeting primary care physicians.

*Azelex*<sup>®</sup>. *Azelex*<sup>®</sup> cream is approved by the FDA for the topical treatment of mild to moderate inflammatory acne and is licensed from Intendis GmbH, or Intendis, a division of Bayer Schering Pharma AG. We market *Azelex*<sup>®</sup> cream primarily in the United States.

*Tazarotene Products*. We market *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup> (tazarotene) gel in the United States for the treatment of acne and plaque psoriasis, a chronic skin disease characterized by dry red patches. We also market a cream formulation of *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup> in the United States for the topical treatment of acne and for the treatment of psoriasis. We have also engaged Pierre Fabre Dermatologie as our promotion partner for *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> (tazarotene) in certain parts of Europe, the Middle East and Africa. In the third quarter of 2007, we entered into a strategic collaboration agreement with Stiefel Laboratories, Inc., which was acquired by GSK in 2009, to develop and market new products involving tazarotene for dermatological use worldwide.

*Topical Aesthetic Skin Care*

*Avage*<sup>®</sup>. Our product *Avage*<sup>®</sup> (tazarotene) cream is indicated for the treatment of facial fine wrinkling, mottled hypo- and hyperpigmentation (blotchy skin discoloration) and benign facial lentigines (flat patches of skin discoloration) in patients using a comprehensive skin care and sunlight avoidance program. We launched *Avage*<sup>®</sup> in the United States in 2003.

*M.D. Forte*<sup>®</sup>. We develop and market glycolic acid-based skin care products. We market our *M.D. Forte*<sup>®</sup> line of alpha hydroxy acid products to physicians in the United States.

*Prevage*<sup>®</sup> and *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> MD. In 2005, we launched *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> cream, containing 1% idebenone, a clinically tested antioxidant designed to reduce the appearance of fine lines and wrinkles, as well as provide protection against environmental factors, including sun damage, air pollution and cigarette smoke. In 2005, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Elizabeth Arden, Inc., or Elizabeth Arden, granting Elizabeth Arden the right to globally market a new formulation of *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> containing 0.5% idebenone, to leading department stores and other prestige cosmetic retailers. In 2005, we began marketing *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> MD, containing 1% idebenone, to physicians in the United States.

---

## **Table of Contents**

*Vivité*<sup>®</sup>. In the second quarter of 2007, we launched *Vivité*<sup>®</sup>, an advanced anti-aging skin care line that uses proprietary *GLX Technology*, creating a highly specialized blend of glycolic acid and natural antioxidants. We market our *Vivité*<sup>®</sup> line of skin care products to physicians in the United States.

### *Eyelash Growth*

*Latisse*<sup>®</sup> (bimatoprost ophthalmic solution) 0.03%, or *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, is the first, and currently the only, FDA-approved prescription treatment of eyelash hypotrichosis, or inadequate eyelashes. The FDA approved *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> in the fourth quarter of 2008 and we launched *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> in the United States in the first quarter of 2009. *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> is a once-daily prescription treatment applied to the base of the upper eyelashes with a sterile, single-use-per-eye disposable applicator. Patients using *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> typically experience noticeable eyelash growth in eight to 16 weeks. Continued treatment with *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> is required to maintain its effect. In the third quarter of 2009, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> was approved by the Korea Food and Drug Administration. In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles under which Quintiles will co-promote *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, targeting primary care physicians.

### *Urologics*

*Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>. Following our acquisition of Esprit in the fourth quarter of 2007, we began marketing *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, a twice-a-day anticholinergic approved for the treatment of OAB. In the third quarter of 2007, the FDA approved *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, a once-daily anticholinergic for the treatment of OAB, and we launched *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> in the first quarter of 2008. *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> is well tolerated by patients and has demonstrated improvements in certain adverse side effects common in existing OAB treatments, including dry mouth. We obtained an exclusive license to market *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> in the United States and its territories from Indevus. We pay royalties to Indevus based upon our sales of *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> and assumed Esprit's obligations to pay certain other third-party royalties, also based upon sales of *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>. In the second quarter of 2008, we entered into a license agreement with Indevus and Madaus GmbH, which grants us the right to seek approval for and to commercialize *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> in Canada. In the first quarter of 2010, Health Canada approved *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>. In 2008, we announced plans to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners in the United States, and in the first quarter of 2009, we announced a restructuring plan to focus our sales efforts on the urology specialty, which resulted in a significant reduction in our urology sales force. We substantially completed our restructuring and merged our medical dermatology and urology specialty sales forces into one combined sales force in 2009. In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles under which Quintiles promotes *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, generally targeting primary care physicians. We continue to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to the urology specialty channel using our existing sales force.

## **Medical Devices Segment**

### ***Breast Aesthetics***

For more than 25 years, our silicone gel and saline breast implants, consisting of a variety of shapes, sizes and textures, have been available to women in more than 60 countries for breast augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery. Our breast implants consist of a silicone elastomer shell filled with either a saline solution or silicone gel with varying degrees of cohesivity. This shell can consist of either a smooth or textured surface. We market our breast implants under the trade names *Natrelle*<sup>®</sup>, *Inspira*, *McGhan* and *CUI* and the trademarks *BioCell*<sup>®</sup>, *MicroCell*, *BioDimensional*<sup>®</sup> and *Inamed*<sup>®</sup>. We currently market over 1,000 breast implant product variations worldwide to meet our customers preferences and needs.

*Saline Breast Implants*. We sell saline breast implants in the United States and worldwide for use in breast augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery. The U.S. market is the primary market for our saline breast implants. Following the approval of silicone gel breast implants by Health Canada in October 2006 and the FDA

---

**Table of Contents**

in November 2006, the U.S. and Canadian markets have been undergoing a transition from saline breast implants to silicone gel breast implants.

*Silicone Gel Breast Implants.* We sell silicone gel breast implants in the United States and worldwide for use in breast augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery. The safety of our silicone gel breast implants is supported by our extensive preclinical device testing, their use in over one million women worldwide and 20 years of U.S. clinical experience involving more than 150,000 women. The FDA approved our silicone gel breast implants in November 2006 based on the FDA's review of interim data from our 10-year core clinical study and our preclinical studies, its review of studies by independent scientific bodies and the deliberations of advisory panels of outside experts. Following approval, we are required to comply with a number of conditions, including our distribution of labeling to physicians and the distribution of our patient planner, which includes our informed consent process to help patients fully consider the risks associated with breast implant surgery. In addition and pursuant to the conditions placed on the FDA's approval of our silicone gel breast implants, we continue to monitor patients in the 10-year core clinical study and the 5-year adjunct clinical study and, in the first quarter of 2007, we initiated the Breast Implant Follow-Up Study, or BIFS, a 10-year post-approval clinical study. The 10-year core clinical study, which we began in 1999 and had fully enrolled in 2000 with approximately 940 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients, was designed to establish the safety and effectiveness of our silicone gel breast implants. We plan to continue to monitor patients in the 10-year core clinical study through the end of the study. In November 2006, we terminated new enrollment into our 5-year adjunct study, which was designed to further support the safety and effectiveness of silicone gel breast implants and which includes over 80,000 revision or reconstructive surgery patients. We plan to continue to monitor patients in the 5-year adjunct study through the end of the study. Finally, pursuant to the conditions placed on the FDA's approval of our silicone gel breast implants, we initiated BIFS, a new 10-year post-approval study of approximately 40,000 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients with silicone gel implants and approximately 20,000 augmentation, revision or reconstructive surgery patients with saline implants acting as a control group. In the fourth quarter of 2008, the FDA approved a modification to BIFS, which reduced the number of patients with saline breast implants from 20,000 to approximately 15,000. BIFS is designed to provide data on a number of endpoints including, for example, long-term local complications, connective tissue disease issues, neurological disease issues, offspring issues, reproductive issues, lactation issues, cancer, suicide, mammography issues and to study magnetic resonance imaging compliance and rupture results.

*Tissue Expanders.* We sell a line of tissue expanders for breast reconstruction and as an alternative to skin grafting to cover burn scars and correct birth defects.

***Facial Aesthetics***

We develop, manufacture and market dermal filler products designed to improve facial appearance by smoothing wrinkles and folds. Our primary facial aesthetics products are the *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> dermal filler family of products, *Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> and *Zyplast*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup>.

*Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>. Our *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> dermal filler family of products, including *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, *Voluma*<sup>®</sup>, *Softline*<sup>®</sup>, *Hydrasfill* and *Surgiderm*<sup>®</sup>, are developed using our proprietary *Hylacross* technology, a technologically advanced manufacturing process that results in a smooth consistency gel formulation. This technology is based on the delivery of a homogeneous gel-based hyaluronic acid, as opposed to a particle gel-based hyaluronic acid technology, which is used in other hyaluronic acid dermal filler products. In 2006, the FDA approved *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra and Ultra Plus, indicated for wrinkle and fold correction, for sale in the United States. In Europe, we market various formulations of *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, *Voluma*<sup>®</sup>, *Softline*<sup>®</sup>, *Hydrasfill* and *Surgiderm*<sup>®</sup> for wrinkle and fold augmentation. The *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> dermal filler family of products are currently approved or registered in over 34 countries, including all major European markets.

In the second quarter of 2007, the FDA approved label extensions in the United States for *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra and Ultra Plus based on new clinical data demonstrating that the effects of both products may last for up to one

---

**Table of Contents**

year, which is a longer period of time than was reported in clinical studies that supported FDA approval of other hyaluronic acid dermal fillers. We began selling *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra 2, 3 and 4, containing lidocaine, an anesthetic that alleviates pain during injections, in Europe in the first quarter of 2008, and in Canada we began selling *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra and Ultra Plus with lidocaine in the fourth quarter of 2008. In 2008, we filed a Premarket Approval Supplement, or sPMA, with the FDA for *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra and Ultra Plus with lidocaine. The FDA approved our sPMA and we launched our lidocaine containing *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra XC and Ultra Plus XC in the first quarter of 2010.

*Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> and *Zyplast*<sup>®</sup>. *Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> and *Zyplast*<sup>®</sup> dermal fillers are injectable formulations of bovine collagen. The *Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> family of dermal fillers is formulated for people with fine line wrinkles or superficial facial contour defects. *Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> and *Zyplast*<sup>®</sup> dermal fillers require a skin test, with a requisite 30-day period to observe the possibility of allergic reaction in the recipient. Both of these products are formulated with lidocaine. *Zyderm*<sup>®</sup> and *Zyplast*<sup>®</sup> are approved for marketing in the United States and Europe.

*CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup>. *CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup> dermal fillers are a line of injectable human skin-cell derived collagen products. *CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup> dermal fillers are formulated for people with fine line wrinkles or superficial facial contour defects. *CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup> implants do not require a skin test pre-treatment. Both of these products are formulated with lidocaine. *CosmoDerm*<sup>®</sup> and *CosmoPlast*<sup>®</sup> are approved for marketing in the United States, Canada and a number of European countries.

In the first quarter of 2007, our board of directors approved a plan to restructure and eventually sell or close the collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in the Inamed acquisition based on the anticipated reduction in market demand for human and bovine collagen products as a result of the introduction of our hyaluronic acid dermal filler products. Specifically, the plan involved a workforce reduction of approximately 59 positions, consisting principally of manufacturing positions at the facility, and lease termination and contract settlements. We began to record costs associated with the closure of the collagen manufacturing facility in the first quarter of 2007 and substantially completed all restructuring activities and closed the collagen manufacturing facility in the fourth quarter of 2008. Before closing the collagen manufacturing facility, we manufactured a sufficient quantity of collagen products to meet estimated market demand through 2010.

***Obesity Intervention***

We develop, manufacture and market several medical devices for the treatment of obesity. Our principal product in this area, the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, is designed to provide minimally invasive long-term treatment of severe obesity and is used as an alternative to more invasive procedures such as gastric bypass surgery or sleeve gastrectomy. The *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System is an adjustable silicone band that is laparoscopically placed around the upper part of the stomach through a small incision, creating a small pouch at the top of the stomach. The new pouch fills faster, making the patient feel full sooner and, because the adjustable component of the band slows the passage of food, patients retain a feeling of fullness for longer periods of time. In addition to the anatomic effect of the pouch, data also suggests that patients with a properly adjusted band are less hungry due to neurological feedback to the brain.

The *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System has achieved widespread acceptance in the United States and worldwide. In 2001, the FDA approved the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System to treat severe obesity in adults who have failed more conservative weight reduction alternatives. The *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> VG, a version of the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System with a larger band circumference, was approved by the FDA in 2004, and meets the needs of a wider range of patients. In the second quarter of 2007, we launched the *Lap-Band AP*<sup>®</sup> System, a next-generation of the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System. The *Lap-Band AP*<sup>®</sup> System has proprietary 360-degree *Omniform*<sup>®</sup> technology, which is designed to evenly distribute pressure throughout the band's adjustment range. The *Lap-Band AP*<sup>®</sup> also comes in two sizes, standard and large, to better serve patients who are physically larger, have thicker gastric walls or have substantial abdominal fat. Over 550,000 *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System bands have been sold worldwide since 1993. In the first quarter

---

## **Table of Contents**

of 2008, we completed enrollment in our pivotal adolescent study of *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> in patients aged 14 to 17 and submitted a sPMA to the FDA in the third quarter of 2009 seeking approval to market the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of obesity in patients aged 14 to 17. Also in the first quarter of 2008, we completed enrollment of our lower body mass index, or BMI, pivotal study for *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> patients with a BMI of 30 to 40 and plan to review and submit data to the FDA in 2010.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with a subsidiary of Covidien Ltd., or Covidien, a leading global provider of health care products, under which Covidien co-promotes the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System to bariatric and other surgeons in the United States. Under the multi-year agreement, which became effective in the fourth quarter of 2007, Covidien utilizes its surgical devices sales force and other specialized staff, as an adjunct to our bariatric sales force and other specialized staff, to promote, educate and train surgeons on the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System. In the fourth quarter of 2009, we extended the co-promotion agreement with Covidien.

In the first quarter of 2007, we completed the acquisition of Swiss medical technology developer EndoArt SA, or EndoArt, a pioneer in the field of telemetrically-controlled (or remote-controlled) gastric bands used to treat morbid obesity and other conditions. We paid approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired, for all of the outstanding EndoArt shares. The EndoArt acquisition gave us ownership of EndoArt's proprietary technology platform, including *FloWatch*<sup>®</sup> technology, which powers the *EasyBand* Remote Adjustable Gastric Band System, or *EasyBand*, a next-generation, telemetrically-adjustable gastric banding device for the treatment of morbid obesity.

The *EasyBand*, like the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, is implanted laparoscopically through a small incision. Clinical benefits of the *EasyBand* are similar to the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System's clinical benefits, except that adjustments to the *EasyBand* are done telemetrically rather than hydraulically, allowing for greater ease in adjustments and greater patient comfort.

We also sell the *Orbera* Intra-gastric Balloon System, which is a non-surgical alternative for the treatment of overweight and obese adults. Approved for sale in more than 60 countries but not in the United States, the *Orbera* System includes a silicone elastomer balloon that is filled with saline after transoral insertion into the patient's stomach to reduce stomach capacity and create an earlier sensation of fullness. The *Orbera* System is removed endoscopically within six months of placement, and is designed to be utilized in conjunction with a comprehensive diet and exercise program.

### ***Other Products***

*Contigen*<sup>®</sup>. *Contigen*<sup>®</sup> is our collagen product used for treatment of urinary incontinence due to intrinsic sphincter deficiency. C. R. Bard, Inc., or Bard, licenses from us the exclusive worldwide marketing and distribution rights to *Contigen*<sup>®</sup>. Prior to closing the Fremont manufacturing facility, we manufactured a sufficient supply of collagen to meet our contractual obligations to Bard through the expiration of our agreement with Bard in August 2011.

### **International Operations**

Our international sales represented 34.6%, 35.4% and 34.3% of our total consolidated product net sales for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our products are sold in over 100 countries. Marketing activities are coordinated on a worldwide basis, and resident management teams provide leadership and infrastructure for customer-focused, rapid introduction of new products in the local markets.

### **Sales and Marketing**

We sell our products directly and through independent distributors in over 100 countries worldwide. We maintain a global marketing team, as well as regional sales and marketing organizations, to support the



---

## **Table of Contents**

promotion and sale of our products. We also engage contract sales organizations to promote certain products. Our sales efforts and promotional activities are primarily aimed at eye care professionals, neurologists, dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons, aesthetic specialty physicians, bariatric surgeons and urologists who use, prescribe and recommend our products. We advertise in professional journals, participate in medical meetings and utilize direct mail and Internet programs to provide descriptive product literature and scientific information to specialists in the ophthalmic, dermatological, medical aesthetics, bariatric, neurology, movement disorder and urology fields. We have developed training modules and seminars to update physicians regarding evolving technology in our products. In 2009, we also utilized direct-to-consumer advertising for our *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> products.

Our products are sold to drug wholesalers, independent and chain drug stores, pharmacies, commercial optical chains, opticians, mass merchandisers, food stores, hospitals, group purchasing organizations, integrated direct hospital networks, ambulatory surgery centers and medical practitioners, including ophthalmologists, neurologists, dermatologists, plastic and reconstructive surgeons, aesthetic specialty physicians, bariatric surgeons, pediatricians, urologists and general practitioners. As of December 31, 2009, we employed approximately 2,650 sales representatives throughout the world. We also utilize distributors for our products in smaller international markets.

U.S. sales, including manufacturing operations, represented 65.4%, 64.6% and 65.7% of our total consolidated product net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Sales to Cardinal Health, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 13.9%, 12.0% and 11.2%, respectively, of our total consolidated product net sales. Sales to McKesson Drug Company for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 12.8%, 12.3% and 11.1%, respectively, of our total consolidated product net sales. No other country, or single customer, generated over 10% of our total consolidated product net sales.

We supplement our marketing efforts with exhibits at medical conventions, advertisements in trade journals, sales brochures and national media. In addition, we sponsor symposia and educational programs to familiarize physicians with the leading techniques and methods for using our products.

In the first quarter of 2009, we announced a restructuring plan that included a workforce reduction of approximately 460 employees, primarily from among our U.S. urology sales and marketing personnel as a result of our decision to focus on the urology specialty and to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners, and marketing personnel in the United States and Europe as we adjusted our back-office structures to a reduced short-term sales outlook for some of our businesses. We substantially completed our restructuring in 2009.

## **Research and Development**

Our global research and development efforts currently focus on eye care, skin care, neuromodulators, medical aesthetics, obesity intervention, urology and neurology. We have a fully integrated research and development organization with in-house discovery programs, including medicinal chemistry, high throughput screening and biological sciences. We supplement our own research and development activities with our commitment to identify and obtain new technologies through in-licensing, research collaborations, joint ventures and acquisitions.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 1,600 employees involved in our research and development efforts. Our research and development expenditures for 2009, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$706.0 million, \$797.9 million and \$718.1 million, respectively. Research and development expenditures in 2009 were less than 2008 and 2007. The decrease in research and development expenses primarily resulted from a reduction in spending on certain new technology discovery programs, the completion of several late-stage eye care pharmaceutical development programs, and a reduction in research and development expenses associated with in-licensing of in-process research and development technologies, partially offset by an increase in expenses

---

**Table of Contents**

for the development of certain medical devices and urology products. Excluding in-process research and development expenditures related to company acquisitions, we have increased our annual investment in research and development by over \$363.1 million in the past five years.

Our strategy includes developing innovative products to address unmet medical needs and conditions associated with aging, and otherwise assisting patients in reaching life's potential. Our top priorities include furthering our leadership in ophthalmology, medical aesthetics and neuromodulators, identifying new potential compounds for sight-threatening diseases such as glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration and other retinal disorders and developing novel therapies for chronic dry eye, pain and genitourinary diseases as well as next-generation breast implants, dermal fillers and obesity intervention devices. We plan to continue to build on our strong market positions in ophthalmic pharmaceuticals, medical aesthetics, medical dermatology, obesity intervention and neurology, and to explore new therapeutic areas that are consistent with our focus on specialty physician groups.

Our research and development efforts for the ophthalmic pharmaceuticals business focus primarily on new therapeutic products for retinal disease, glaucoma and chronic dry eye. As part of our focus on diseases of the retina, we acquired Oculex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in 2003. With this acquisition, we obtained a novel posterior segment drug delivery system for use with compounds to treat eye diseases, including age-related macular degeneration and other retinal disorders. We concluded our Phase 3 studies for *Ozurdex* to treat macular edema following retinal vein occlusion, or RVO, utilizing our proprietary *Novadur* sustained-release drug delivery system that slowly releases dexamethasone, a potent steroid, to the back of the eye. In the second quarter of 2009, the FDA approved *Ozurdex* for the treatment of macular edema following RVO. In the fourth quarter of 2009, we filed a supplemental New Drug Application with the FDA for the approval of *Ozurdex* to treat non-infectious intermediate and posterior uveitis.

In 2005, we entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Sanwa Kagaku Kenkyusho Co., Ltd., or Sanwa, to develop and commercialize *Ozurdex* for the ophthalmic specialty market in Japan. Under the terms of the agreement, Sanwa is responsible for the development and commercialization of *Ozurdex* in Japan and associated costs. Sanwa will pay us a royalty based on net sales of *Ozurdex* in Japan, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments and reimbursed us for certain expenses associated with our Phase 3 studies outside of Japan. We are working collaboratively with Sanwa on the clinical development of *Ozurdex*, as well as overall product strategy and management.

In the second quarter of 2008, the FDA approved *Trivaris*, a steroid with an anti-inflammatory action used for the treatment of retinal disease. Delivered via intravitreal injection, the ophthalmic indications for *Trivaris* include sympathetic ophthalmia, temporal arteritis, uveitis and ocular inflammatory conditions unresponsive to topical corticosteroids.

In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Pieris AG, or Pieris, a biopharmaceutical company engaged in the discovery and development of a novel class of targeted human proteins designed to diagnose and treat serious human disorders. The agreement combines Pieris' proprietary technology with our expertise in drug delivery and ophthalmic drug development, with a goal of developing agents for the treatment of serious ocular disorders.

We continue to invest heavily in the research and development of neuromodulators, primarily *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> *Cosmetic*. We focus on both expanding the approved indications for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and pursuing next-generation neuromodulator-based therapeutics. This includes expanding the approved uses for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to include treatment for spasticity, chronic migraine, OAB and benign prostate hyperplasia. In collaboration with Syntaxin Ltd, whose technology was contributed by the United Kingdom government's Health Protection Agency, we are focused on engineering new neuromodulators for the treatment of severe pain. We are also continuing our investment in the areas of biologic process development and manufacturing and the next-generation of neuromodulator products, and we are conducting a Phase 4 study of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of palmar hyperhidrosis, as part of our

---

**Table of Contents**

conditions of approval for axillary hyperhidrosis by the FDA. In addition, GSK received approval of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan for the treatment of glabellar lines and equinus foot due to lower limb spasticity in juvenile cerebral palsy patients and launched *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan for these indications in the first quarter of 2009 with the glabellar lines indication marketed as *Botox Vista*<sup>®</sup>. GSK also received approval of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in China for the treatment of glabellar lines during the first quarter of 2009.

We have a strategic research collaboration and license agreement with ExonHit Therapeutics, or ExonHit. The goals of this collaboration are to identify new molecular targets based on ExonHit's gene profiling *DATA* technology and to work collaboratively to develop unique compounds and commercial products based on these targets. Our strategic alliance with ExonHit provides us with the rights to compounds developed in the fields of neurodegenerative disease, pain and ophthalmology. In 2007, we began development of a compound for a neurological indication as part of our collaboration with ExonHit. In the first quarter of 2009, we extended and expanded the scope of our collaboration with ExonHit.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into a strategic collaboration arrangement with Spectrum to develop and commercialize apaziquone, an antineoplastic agent currently being investigated for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Under the collaboration, Spectrum is conducting two Phase 3 clinical trials to explore apaziquone's safety and efficacy as a potential treatment for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer following surgery. In the third quarter of 2009, the FDA granted Fast Track Designation for the investigation of apaziquone for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Spectrum completed enrollment in the two Phase 3 clinical trials in the fourth quarter of 2009. Spectrum is conducting the apaziquone clinical trials pursuant to a joint development plan, and we bear the majority of these expenses. We will also make certain additional payments to Spectrum based on the achievement of certain development, regulatory and commercialization milestones and, following approval in countries outside of the United States and Asia, will make certain royalty payments on sales in such countries.

We also continue to invest in research and development around our *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> family of dermal filler products, including preparation for and ongoing clinical trials. In 2009, we filed a sPMA with the FDA for *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra and Ultra Plus with lidocaine, and in the first quarter of 2010, the FDA approved our lidocaine containing *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra XC and Ultra Plus XC.

In connection with our obesity intervention products, we are planning to conduct clinical trials of the *EasyBand* and have initiated a pivotal study of the *Orbera* System, with the goal of obtaining approval in the United States. In addition, in the first quarter of 2008, we completed enrollment in a pivotal adolescent study of *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> patients aged 14 to 17 and submitted a sPMA to the FDA in the third quarter of 2009 seeking approval to market the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of obesity in patients aged 14 to 17. In the first quarter of 2008, we completed enrollment of our lower BMI pivotal study for *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> patients with a BMI of 30 to 40 and plan to review and submit data to the FDA in 2010.

The continuing introduction of new products supplied by our research and development efforts, including our clinical development projects, and in-licensing opportunities are critical to our success. There are intrinsic uncertainties associated with research and development efforts and the regulatory process. We cannot assure you that any of the research projects, clinical development projects or pending drug marketing approval applications will result in new products that we can commercialize. Delays or failures in one or more significant research or clinical development projects and pending drug marketing approval applications could have a material adverse affect on our future operations.

**Manufacturing**

We manufacture the majority of our commercial products in our own plants located at the following locations: Westport, Ireland; San José, Costa Rica; Annecy, France; Waco, Texas; and Guarulhos, Brazil. We maintain sufficient manufacturing capacity at these facilities to support forecasted demand as well as a modest safety margin of additional capacity to meet peaks of demand and sales growth in excess of expectations. We increase our capacity as required in anticipation of future sales increases. In the event of a very large or very

## **Table of Contents**

rapid unforeseen increase in market demand for a specific product or technology, supply of that product or technology could be negatively impacted until additional capacity is brought on line. Third parties manufacture a small number of commercial products for us, including *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> gel. For a discussion of the risks relating to the use of third party manufacturers, see Item 1A of Part I of this report, Risk Factors. We could experience difficulties obtaining or creating the raw materials or components needed to produce our products and interruptions in the supply of raw materials or components could disrupt our manufacturing and cause our sales and profitability to decline.

In the first quarter of 2007, we announced the closing of the collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California that we acquired in the Inamed acquisition, and we substantially completed all restructuring activities and closed the facility in the fourth quarter of 2008. Before closing the facility, we manufactured a sufficient quantity of our collagen products to meet estimated market demand through 2010. In 2009, we closed our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility and transferred manufacturing to our San José, Costa Rica manufacturing plant.

We are vertically integrated into the production of plastic parts and produce our own bottles, tips and caps for use in the manufacture of our ophthalmic solutions. Additionally, we ferment, purify and characterize the botulinum toxin used in our product *Botox*<sup>®</sup>. With these two exceptions, we purchase all other significant raw materials from qualified domestic and international sources. Where practical, we maintain more than one supplier for each material, and we have an ongoing alternate program that identifies additional sources of key raw materials. In some cases, however, most notably with active pharmaceutical ingredients and silicone raw materials, we are a niche purchaser, which, in certain cases, are sole sourced. These sources are identified in filings with regulatory agencies, including the FDA, and cannot be changed without prior regulatory approval. In these cases, we maintain inventories of the raw material itself and precursor intermediates to mitigate the risk of interrupted supply. A lengthy interruption of the supply of one of these materials could adversely affect our ability to manufacture and supply commercial product. A small number of the raw materials required to manufacture certain of our products are derived from biological sources which could be subject to contamination and recall by their suppliers. We use multiple lots of these raw materials at any one time in order to mitigate such risks. However, a shortage, contamination or recall of these products could disrupt our ability to maintain an uninterrupted commercial supply of our finished goods.

Manufacturing facilities producing pharmaceutical and medical device products intended for distribution in the United States and internationally are subject to regulation and periodic review by the FDA, international regulatory authorities and European notified bodies for certain of our medical devices. All of our facilities are currently approved by the FDA, the relevant notified bodies and other foreign regulatory authorities to manufacture pharmaceuticals and medical devices for distribution in the United States and international markets.

## **Competition**

The pharmaceutical and medical device industries are highly competitive and require an ongoing, extensive search for technological innovation. They also require, among other things, the ability to effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, as well as the ability to effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical professionals. Numerous companies are engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of health care products competitive with those that we manufacture, develop and market. Many of our competitors have greater resources than we have. This enables them, among other things, to make greater research and development investments and spread their research and development costs, as well as their marketing and promotion costs, over a broader revenue base. Our competitors may also have more experience and expertise in obtaining marketing approvals from the FDA and other regulatory authorities. In addition to product development, testing, approval and promotion, other competitive factors in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries include industry consolidation, product quality and price, product technology, reputation, customer service and access to technical information. We believe that our products

---

## Table of Contents

principally compete on the basis of quality, product design, an experienced sales force, physicians and surgeons familiarity with our products and brand names, regional warranty programs and our ability to identify and develop or license patented products embodying new technologies.

### *Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment*

*Eye Care Products.* Our eye care pharmaceutical products, including *Acular*<sup>®</sup>, *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>, *Acular PF*, *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup>, *Alocril*<sup>®</sup>, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup>, *Alphagan P* 0.15%, *Alphagan P* 0.1%, *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Elestat*<sup>®</sup>, *Ganfort*, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> 0.03%, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> 0.01%, *Ozurdex*, *Pred Forte*<sup>®</sup>, *Refresh*<sup>®</sup>, *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>, face extensive competition from Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Bausch & Lomb Incorporated, Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ista Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Merck & Co., Inc., Novartis AG, Pfizer Inc. and Santen Seiyaku. For our eye care products to be successful, we must be able to manufacture and effectively detail them to a sufficient number of eye care professionals such that they use or continue to use our current products and the new products we may introduce. Glaucoma must be treated over an extended period and doctors may be reluctant to switch a patient to a new treatment if the patient's current treatment for glaucoma is effective and well tolerated.

We also face competition from generic drug manufacturers in the United States and internationally. For instance, in 2009, the FDA approved four ANDAs for ketorolac tromethamine ophthalmic solution 0.5%, a generic version of *Acular*<sup>®</sup>, and four companies launched sales of generic versions of *Acular*<sup>®</sup> in the United States. In the fourth quarter of 2007, we received a paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex Corp. seeking FDA approval to market a generic form of *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>. In 2009, we received paragraph 4 Hatch-Waxman Act certifications from Sandoz, Inc., Hi-Tech Pharmacal Co., and Alcon Research, Ltd., seeking FDA approval of generic forms of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, Barr Laboratories, Inc. seeking FDA approval of a generic form of *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> and Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. seeking FDA approval of a generic form of *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, Legal Proceedings and Note 14, Legal Proceedings, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for information concerning our current litigation.

*Neuromodulators.* *Botox*<sup>®</sup> was the only neuromodulator approved by the FDA until December 2000, when the FDA approved *Myobloc*<sup>®</sup> (rimabotulinumtoxinB), a neuromodulator formerly marketed by Elan Pharmaceuticals and now marketed by Solstice Neurosciences Inc. In the second quarter of 2009, the FDA approved *Dysport* (abobotulinumtoxinA) for the treatment of cervical dystonia and glabellar lines, which is marketed by Ipsen Ltd., or Ipsen, and Medicis Pharmaceutical Corporation, or Medicis, respectively. The approved package for *Dysport* included a boxed warning regarding the symptoms associated with the spread of botulinum toxin beyond the injection site. Additionally, the FDA approved Ipsen's and Medicis' REMS program, which addresses the lack of interchangeability of botulinum toxin products and the risks associated with the spread of botulinum toxin beyond the injection site. Ipsen has marketed *Dysport* for therapeutic indications in Europe since 1991, prior to our European commercialization of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in 1992. In 2006, Ipsen received marketing authorization for a cosmetic indication for *Dysport* in Germany. In 2007, Ipsen granted Galderma, a joint venture between Nestle and L'Oréal Group, an exclusive development and marketing license for *Dysport* for cosmetic indications in the European Union, Russia, Eastern Europe and the Middle East, and first rights of negotiation for other countries around the world, except the United States, Canada and Japan. In the first quarter of 2008, Galderma became Ipsen's sole distributor for *Dysport* in Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay. In the first quarter of 2009, the health authorities of 15 European Union countries approved *Dysport* for glabellar lines under the trade name *Azzalure*.

In addition, Merz Pharmaceuticals, or Merz's, botulinum toxin product *Xeomin*<sup>®</sup> is currently approved for therapeutic indications in Germany and many other countries in the European Union. In 2009, Merz received approval of *Bocouture*<sup>®</sup> (rebranded from *Xeomin*<sup>®</sup>) for glabellar lines in Germany, and recently filed *Bocouture*<sup>®</sup> for this indication in other European Union countries. *Xeomin*<sup>®</sup> is also approved for glabellar lines in Argentina and Mexico.

---

**Table of Contents**

Mentor Corporation, a division of Johnson & Johnson, or Mentor, is conducting clinical trials for a competing neuromodulator in the United States. A Korean botulinum toxin, *Meditoxin*<sup>®</sup>, was approved for sale in Korea in 2006. The company, Medy-Tox Inc., received exportation approval from Korean authorities in early 2005 to ship their product under the trade name *Neuronox*<sup>®</sup>, which is approved in Colombia for therapeutic and cosmetic indications under the trade name *SIAX* and is approved in Brazil for therapeutic indications under the name *Botulift*.

In addition, we are aware of competing neuromodulators currently being developed and commercialized in Asia, Europe, South America and other markets. A Chinese entity received approval to market a botulinum toxin in China in 1997, and we believe that it has launched or is planning to launch its botulinum toxin product in other lightly regulated markets in Asia, South America and Central America. These lightly regulated markets may not require adherence to the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations, or cGMPs, or the regulatory requirements of the European Medical Evaluation Agency or other regulatory agencies in countries that are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. While these products are unlikely to meet stringent U.S. regulatory standards, the companies operating in these markets may be able to produce products at a lower cost than we can.

Our sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> could be materially and negatively impacted by this competition or competition from other companies that might obtain FDA approval or approval from other regulatory authorities to market a neuromodulator.

***Skin Care Product Line.*** Our skin care products, including *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, *Azelex*<sup>®</sup>, *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Avage*<sup>®</sup>, *M.D. Forte*<sup>®</sup>, *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> MD, *Vivité*<sup>®</sup> and *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> focus on the acne, psoriasis, physician-dispensed skin care and eyelash growth markets, particularly in the United States and Canada, and compete with many other skin care products from companies, including among others, Dermik, a division of Sanofi-Aventis, Galderma, Medicis, Stiefel Laboratories, Inc., a division of GSK, Novartis, Merck & Co., Inc., Johnson & Johnson, Obagi Medical Products, Inc., L'Oréal Group, SkinMedica, Inc. and Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, many of which have greater resources than us. We also compete with over-the-counter products that are designed to treat skin care issues similar to those for which our products are indicated. For example, *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> faces competition from several generic and over-the-counter products, which provide lower-priced options for the treatment of acne. We also face competition from generic skin care products in the United States and internationally.

***Urologics.*** Our products for the treatment of OAB, *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> and *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, compete with several other OAB treatment products, many of which have been on the market for a longer period of time, including Pfizer Inc.'s *Detrol*<sup>®</sup>, *Detrol*<sup>®</sup> LA and *Toviaz*, Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s *Oxytrol*<sup>®</sup> and *Gelnique*, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation and the Procter & Gamble Company's *Enablex*<sup>®</sup> and Astellas Pharma US, Inc. and GSK's *Vesicare*<sup>®</sup> and certain generic OAB products. In the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles under which Quintiles will promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, targeting primary care physicians. We will continue to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to the urology specialty channel using our existing sales force. We also face competition from generic urologic drug manufacturers in the United States and internationally. For our urologics products to be successful, we must be able to effectively detail our products to a sufficient number of urologists, obstetrician/gynecologists, primary care physicians and other medical specialists such that they recommend our products to their patients. We will also have to demonstrate that our products are safe and reduce patients' sense of urgency, frequency and urge urinary incontinence episodes while also having limited side effects, such as dry mouth, constipation, blurred vision, drowsiness and headaches. We also have to demonstrate the effectiveness of our urologics products to Medicare and other governmental agencies to secure an appropriate and competitive level of reimbursement.

***Medical Devices Segment***

***Breast Aesthetics.*** We compete in the U.S. breast implant market with Mentor. Mentor announced that, like us, it received FDA approval in November 2006 to sell its silicone breast implants in the United States. The

---

## **Table of Contents**

conditions under which Mentor is allowed to market its silicone breast implants in the United States are similar to ours, including indications for use and the requirement to conduct post-marketing studies. If patients or physicians prefer Mentor's breast implant products to ours or perceive that Mentor's breast implant products are safer than ours, our sales of breast implants could materially suffer. In the United States, Sientra, Inc. is conducting clinical studies of saline breast implant products. Internationally, we compete with several manufacturers, including Mentor, Silimed, MediCor Ltd and its subsidiaries BioSil Ltd, Nagor and Eurosilicone, Poly Implant Protheses, Sebbin Laboratories and certain Chinese implant manufacturers.

*Obesity Intervention.* Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, received FDA approval in the third quarter of 2007 to market its gastric band product, the *Realize* Personalized Banding Solution, or the *Realize* band, in the United States. The *Realize* band began competing with our *Lap-Band*® System in the United States in the fourth quarter of 2007. Outside the United States, the *Lap-Band*® System competes primarily with the *Realize* band and the *Heliogast*® Adjustable Gastric Ring (manufactured by Helioscopie, S.A., France, or Helioscopie). There are at least two other gastric bands on the market internationally. The *Lap-Band*® System also competes with surgical obesity procedures, including gastric bypass, vertical banded gastroplasty, sleeve gastrectomy and biliopancreatic diversion. No intragastric balloons for the treatment of obesity are commercially available in the United States, and we are currently aware of only one other company outside the United States, Helioscopie, which sells the *Heliosphere* intragastric balloon in competition with our *Orbera* products in certain countries in the European Union and Latin America.

*Facial Aesthetics.* Our facial products compete in the dermatology and plastic surgery markets with other hyaluronic acid products and animal- or cadaver-based collagen products as well as other polymer/bioceramic-based injectables, and indirectly with substantially different treatments, such as laser treatments, chemical peels, fat injections and botulinum toxin-based products. In addition, several companies are engaged in research and development activities examining the use of collagen, hyaluronic acids and other biomaterials for the correction of soft tissue defects. In the United States, our dermal filler products, including *Juvéderm*® Ultra and Ultra Plus, compete with Medicis' products *Restylane*® and *Perlane*, which were approved by the FDA in 2004 and the second quarter of 2007, respectively. In 2009, we filed a sPMA with the FDA for *Juvéderm*® Ultra and Ultra Plus with lidocaine, and in the first quarter of 2010, the FDA approved our lidocaine containing *Juvéderm*® Ultra XC and Ultra Plus XC. In the first quarter of 2010, the FDA also approved new formulations of *Restylane*® and *Perlane* containing lidocaine. In addition, we compete with *Radiesse*®, a bioceramic-based hydroxyl apatite dermal filler from BioForm Medical, Inc., which received FDA approval in 2006. In the first quarter of 2010, BioForm Medical, Inc. merged with Merz Pharma Group. Internationally, we compete with products such as *Restylane*®, *Restylane*® Fine Lines and *Perlane* (all manufactured by Q-Med A.B.) and a large number of other hyaluronic acid, bioceramic, protein and other polymer-based dermal fillers.

## **Government Regulation**

### ***Specialty Pharmaceuticals Segment***

Drugs and biologics are subject to regulation by the FDA, state agencies and by foreign health agencies. Pharmaceutical products and biologics are subject to extensive pre- and post-market regulation by the FDA, including regulations that govern the testing, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising and promotion of the products under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDC Act, regulations with respect to drugs and the Public Health Services Act and its implementing regulations with respect to biologics, and by comparable agencies in foreign countries. Failure to comply with applicable FDA or other requirements may result in civil or criminal penalties, recall or seizure of products, partial or total suspension of production or withdrawal of a product from the market.

The process required by the FDA before a new drug or biologic may be marketed in the United States is long and expensive. We must complete preclinical laboratory and animal testing, submit an Investigational New Drug Application, which must become effective before United States clinical trials may begin, and perform adequate and well controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug or biologic for its intended use. Clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases, which may

---

**Table of Contents**

overlap, and must satisfy extensive Good Clinical Practice regulations and informed consent regulations. Further, an independent institutional review board, or IRB, for each medical center or medical practice proposing to conduct the clinical trial must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at that center or practice and must monitor the study until completed. The FDA, the IRB or the study sponsor may suspend a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. In addition, the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007, or FDAAA, imposes certain clinical trial registry obligations on study sponsors, including the posting of detailed trial design and trial results in the FDA public databases.

We must submit an NDA for a new drug, or a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for a biologic to the FDA, and the NDA or BLA must be reviewed and approved by the FDA before the drug or biologic may be legally marketed in the United States. To satisfy the criteria for approval, an NDA or BLA must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product based on results of preclinical studies and the three phases of clinical trials. Both NDAs and BLAs must also contain extensive manufacturing information, and the applicant must pass an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities at which the drug or biologic is produced to assess compliance with the FDA's current cGMPs prior to commercialization. Satisfaction of FDA pre-market approval requirements typically takes several years and the actual time required may vary substantially based on the type, complexity and novelty of the product, and we cannot be certain that any approvals for our products will be granted on a timely basis, or at all.

Once approved, the FDA may require post-marketing clinical studies, known as Phase 4 studies, and surveillance programs to monitor the effect of approved products. The FDA may limit further marketing of the product based on the results of these post-market studies and programs. Further, any modifications to the drug or biologic, including changes in indications, labeling or manufacturing processes or facilities, may require the submission of a new or supplemental NDA or BLA, which may require that we develop additional data or conduct additional preclinical studies and clinical trials.

The manufacture and distribution of drugs and biologics are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including recordkeeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences associated with the drug, and cGMPs, which regulate all aspects of the manufacturing process and impose certain procedural and documentation requirements. Drug and biologic manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their establishments, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with regulation requirements. Further, the FDAAA, which went into law in 2007, provided the FDA with additional authority over post-market safety. The FDAAA permits the FDA to require sponsors to conduct post-approval clinical studies, to mandate labeling changes based on new safety information and to require sponsors to implement a REMS program. The FDA may require a sponsor to submit a REMS program before a product is approved, or after approval based on new safety information. A REMS program may include a medication guide, a patient package insert, a plan for communicating risks to health care providers or other elements that the FDA deems necessary to assure the safe use of the drug. If the manufacturer or distributor fails to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements, or if safety concerns arise, the FDA may take legal or regulatory action, including civil or criminal penalties, suspension, withdrawal or delay in the issuance of approvals, or seizure or recall of products, any one or more of which could have a material adverse effect upon us.

The FDA imposes a number of complex regulatory requirements on entities that advertise and promote pharmaceuticals and biologics, including, but not limited to, standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities including Internet marketing. Drugs and biologics can only be marketed for approved indications and in accordance with the labeling approved by the FDA. Failure to comply with these regulations can result in penalties, including the issuance of warning letters directing a company to correct deviations from FDA standards, a requirement that future advertising and promotional materials be pre-cleared by the FDA, and federal and state civil and criminal investigations and prosecutions. The FDA does not, however, regulate the behavior of physicians in their practice of medicine and choice of treatment. Physicians may prescribe (although



---

**Table of Contents**

manufacturers are not permitted to promote) legally available drugs and biologics for uses that are not described in the product's labeling and that differ from those tested by us and approved by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties.

We are also subject to various laws and regulations regarding laboratory practices, the housing, care and experimental use of animals, and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances in connection with our research. In each of these areas, as above, the FDA has broad regulatory and enforcement powers, including the ability to levy fines and civil penalties, suspend or delay our operations and issue approvals, seize or recall products, and withdraw approvals, any one or more of which could have a material adverse effect upon us.

Internationally, the regulation of drugs is also complex. In Europe, our products are subject to extensive regulatory requirements. As in the United States, the marketing of medicinal products has for many years been subject to the granting of marketing authorizations by medicine agencies. Particular emphasis is also being placed on more sophisticated and faster procedures for reporting adverse events to the competent authorities. The European Union procedures for the authorization of medicinal products are intended to improve the efficiency of operation of both the mutual recognition and centralized procedures to license medicines. Similar rules and regulations exist in countries around the world. Additionally, new rules have been introduced or are under discussion in several areas, including the harmonization of clinical research laws and the law relating to orphan drugs and orphan indications. Outside the United States, reimbursement pricing is typically regulated by government agencies.

The total cost of providing health care services has been and will continue to be subject to review by governmental agencies and legislative bodies in the major world markets, including the United States, which are faced with significant pressure to lower health care costs. Legislation passed in recent years has imposed certain changes to the way in which pharmaceuticals, including our products, are covered and reimbursed in the United States. For instance, federal legislation and regulations have created a voluntary prescription drug benefit, Medicare Part D, and have imposed significant revisions to the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. These changes have resulted in, and may continue to result in, coverage and reimbursement restrictions and increased rebate obligations. In addition, there is growing political pressure to allow the importation of pharmaceutical and medical device products from outside the United States. These reimbursement restrictions or other price reductions or controls or imports of pharmaceutical or medical device products from outside of the United States could materially and adversely affect our revenues and financial condition. Additionally, price reductions and rebates have recently been mandated in several European countries, principally Germany, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom. Certain products are also no longer eligible for reimbursement in France, Italy and Germany. Reference pricing is used in several markets around the world to reduce prices. Furthermore, parallel trade within the European Union, whereby products flow from relatively low-priced to high-priced markets, has been increasing.

We cannot predict the likelihood or pace of any significant regulatory or legislative action in these areas, nor can we predict whether or in what form health care legislation being formulated by various governments will be passed. Initiatives in these areas could subject Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates to change at any time. We cannot predict with precision what effect such governmental measures would have if they were ultimately enacted into law. However, in general, we believe that such legislative activity will likely continue.

***Medical Devices Segment***

Medical devices are subject to regulation by the FDA, state agencies and foreign government health agencies. FDA regulations, as well as various U.S. federal and state laws, govern the development, clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, record keeping and marketing of medical device products. Our medical device

---

**Table of Contents**

product candidates, including our breast implants, must undergo rigorous clinical testing and an extensive government regulatory approval process prior to sale in the United States and other countries. The lengthy process of clinical development and submissions for approvals, and the continuing need for compliance with applicable laws and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial resources. Regulatory approval, when and if obtained, may be limited in scope, and may significantly limit the indicated uses for which a product may be marketed. Approved products and their manufacturers are subject to ongoing review, and discovery of previously unknown problems with products may result in restrictions on their manufacture, sale, use or their withdrawal from the market.

Our medical device products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA in the United States. Unless an exemption applies, each medical device we market in the United States must have a 510(k) clearance or a Premarket Approval, or PMA, application in accordance with the FFDCa and its implementing regulations. The FDA classifies medical devices into one of three classes, depending on the degree of risk associated with each medical device and the extent of controls that are needed to ensure safety and effectiveness. Devices deemed to pose a lower risk are placed in either Class I or Class II, which may require the manufacturer to submit to the FDA a premarket notification under Section 510(k) of the FFDCa requesting permission for commercial distribution. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or a device deemed to be not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in Class III. In general, a Class III device cannot be marketed in the United States unless the FDA approves the device after submission of a PMA application. The majority of our medical device products, including our breast implants, are regulated as Class III medical devices.

When we are required to obtain a 510(k) clearance for a device we wish to market, we must submit a premarket notification to the FDA demonstrating that the device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA had not yet called for the submission of PMA applications. By regulation, the FDA is required to respond to a 510(k) premarket notification within 90 days after submission of the notification, although clearance can take significantly longer. If a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or efficacy, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, design or manufacture requires a new 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but the FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's determination that a new clearance or approval is not required for a particular modification, the FDA can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or premarket approval is obtained.

A PMA application must be submitted if the device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) process. The PMA process is much more demanding than the 510(k) clearance process. A PMA application must be supported by extensive information, including data from preclinical and clinical trials, sufficient to demonstrate to the FDA's satisfaction that the device is safe and effective for its intended use. The FDA, by statute and regulation, has 180 days to review and accept a PMA application, although the review generally occurs over a significantly longer period of time, and can take up to several years. The FDA may also convene an advisory panel of experts outside the FDA to review and evaluate the PMA application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to the approvability of the device. New PMA applications or supplemental PMA applications are required for significant modifications to the manufacturing process, labeling and design of a medical device that is approved through the PMA process. PMA supplements require information to support the changes and may include clinical data.

A clinical trial is almost always required to support a PMA application and is sometimes required for a 510(k) premarket notification. These trials generally require submission of an application for an investigational device exemption, which must be supported by appropriate data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, showing that it is safe to test the device in humans and that the testing protocol is scientifically sound, as well as approval by the FDA and the IRB overseeing the trial. In addition, the FDAAA imposes certain clinical trial

## **Table of Contents**

registry obligations on study sponsors. We, the FDA or the IRB at each site at which a clinical trial is being performed may suspend a clinical trial at any time for various reasons, including a belief that the study subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. The results of clinical testing may not be sufficient to obtain approval of the product.

After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements apply. These include:

establishing registration and device listings with the FDA;

Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers to follow design, testing, control documentation and other quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process;

labeling regulations, which prohibit the promotion of products for unapproved or off-label uses and impose other restrictions on labeling;

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur; and

corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the FDCA that may present a health risk.

The FDA imposes a number of complex regulatory requirements on entities that advertise and promote medical devices, including, but not limited to, standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities, and promotional activities including Internet marketing. Medical devices can only be marketed for indications approved or cleared by the FDA. Failure to comply with these regulations can result in penalties, the issuance of warning letters directing a company to correct deviations from FDA standards, a requirement that future advertising and promotional materials be pre-cleared by the FDA, and federal and state civil and criminal investigations and prosecutions. The FDA does not, however, regulate physicians in their practice of medicine and choice of treatment. Physicians may prescribe (although manufacturers are not permitted to promote) legally available devices for uses that are not described in the product's labeling and that differ from those tested by us and approved or cleared by the FDA. Such off-label uses are common across medical specialties.

A Class III device may have significant additional obligations imposed in its conditions of approval. Compliance with regulatory requirements is assured through periodic, unannounced facility inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities, and these inspections may include the manufacturing facilities of our subcontractors or other third party manufacturers. Failure to comply with applicable regulatory requirements can result in enforcement action by the FDA, which may include any of the following sanctions: warning letters or untitled letters; fines, injunctions and civil penalties; recall or seizure of our products; operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production; refusing our request for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products; withdrawing 510(k) clearance or PMAs that are already granted; and criminal prosecution.

Products that are marketed in the European Union, or EU, must comply with the requirements of the Medical Device Directive, or MDD, as implemented into the national legislation of the EU member states. The MDD, as implemented, provides for a regulatory regime with respect to the design, manufacture, clinical trials, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices to ensure that medical devices marketed in the EU are safe and effective for their intended uses. Medical devices that comply with the MDD, as implemented, are entitled to bear a CE marking and may be marketed in the EU. Medical device laws and regulations similar to those described above are also in effect in many of the other countries to which we export our products. These range from comprehensive device approval requirements for some or all of our medical device products to requests for product data or certifications. Failure to comply with these domestic and international regulatory requirements could affect our ability to market and sell our products in these countries.



---

**Table of Contents**

Medical devices are also subject to review by governmental agencies and legislative bodies in the major world markets, including the United States, which are faced with significant pressure to lower health care costs. Governments may delay reimbursement decisions after a device has been approved by the appropriate regulatory agency, impose rebate obligations or restrict patient access. In the United States, the federal government has proposed levying significant excise taxes on manufacturers based on their medical device sales. We cannot assure you that such taxes will not be levied on medical devices in the future or that such taxes would not have a material adverse effect on our results or operations.

***Other Regulations***

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Act, the U.S. Toxic Substances Control Act, the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and other current and potential future federal, state or local regulations. Our manufacturing and research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and biological materials, which require compliance with various laws and regulations regarding the use, storage and disposal of such materials. We cannot assure you, however, that environmental problems relating to properties owned or operated by us will not develop in the future, and we cannot predict whether any such problems, if they were to develop, could require significant expenditures on our part. In addition, we are unable to predict what legislation or regulations may be adopted or enacted in the future with respect to environmental protection and waste disposal. Additionally, we are subject to federal and state laws pertaining to the privacy and security of personal health information, including but not limited to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009 (collectively, HIPAA). HIPAA mandates, among other things, the adoption of uniform standards for the electronic exchange of information in common health care transactions (e.g., health care claims information and plan eligibility, referral certification and authorization, claims status, plan enrollment, coordination of benefits and related information), as well as standards relating to the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information, which require the adoption of administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect such information. In addition, many states have enacted comparable laws addressing the privacy and security of health information, some of which are more stringent than HIPAA.

We are also subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to health care fraud and abuse and gifts to health care practitioners. For example, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute makes it illegal to solicit, offer, receive or pay any remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, in exchange for, or to induce, the referral of business, including the purchase or prescription of a particular product, for which payment may be made under government health care programs such as Medicare and Medicaid. The U.S. federal government has published regulations that identify safe harbors or exemptions for certain practices from enforcement actions under the Anti-Kickback Statute. We seek to comply with the safe harbors where possible. Due to the breadth of the statutory provisions and in the absence of guidance in the form of regulations or court decisions addressing some of our practices, it is possible that our practices might be challenged under the Anti-Kickback Statute or similar laws. In addition, under California law, pharmaceutical companies must adopt a comprehensive compliance program that is in accordance with both the April 2003 Office of Inspector General Compliance Program Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers, or OIG Guidance, and the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America Code on Interactions with Healthcare Professionals, or the PhRMA Code. The PhRMA Code seeks to promote transparency in relationships between health care professionals and the pharmaceutical industry and to ensure that pharmaceutical marketing activities comport with the highest ethical standards. The PhRMA Code contains strict limitations on certain interactions between health care professionals and the pharmaceutical industry relating to gifts, meals, entertainment and speaker programs, among others. Similarly, the Advanced Medical Technology Association's Revised Code of Ethics, or the AdvaMed Code, also seeks to ensure that medical device companies and health care professionals have collaborative relationships that meet high ethical standards, that medical decisions are based on the best interests of patients, and that medical device companies and health care professionals comply with applicable laws, regulations and government

## Table of Contents

guidance. To that end, the AdvaMed Code provides guidance regarding how medical device companies may comply with certain aspects of the anti-kickback laws and OIG Guidance by outlining ethical standards for interactions with health care professionals. Furthermore, the federal False Claims Act prohibits anyone from, among other things, knowingly and willingly presenting, or causing to be presented for payment to third party payors (including Medicare and Medicaid), claims for reimbursed products or services that are false or fraudulent, claims for items or services not provided as claimed, or claims for medically unnecessary items or services. HIPAA prohibits executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program or making false statements relating to health care matters. In addition, many states have adopted laws similar to the federal fraud and abuse laws discussed above, which, in some cases, apply to all payors whether governmental or private. Our activities, particularly those relating to the sale and marketing of our products, may be subject to scrutiny under these and other laws. Violations of fraud and abuse laws may be punishable by criminal and/or civil sanctions, including fines and civil monetary penalties, as well as the possibility of exclusion from federal health care programs (including Medicare and Medicaid). In addition, certain states, such as Massachusetts and Minnesota, have imposed restrictions on the types of interactions that pharmaceutical and medical device companies or their agents (e.g. sales representatives) may have with health care professionals, including bans or strict limitations on the provision of meals, entertainment, hospitality, travel and lodging expenses, and other financial support, including funding for continuing medical education activities.

### **Patents, Trademarks and Licenses**

We own, or are licensed under, numerous U.S. and foreign patents relating to our products, product uses and manufacturing processes. We believe that our patents and licenses are important to all segments of our business.

With the exception of the U.S. and European patents relating to *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1%, *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> and the U.S. patents relating to *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>, *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>, *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup> and *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, no one patent or license is materially important to our specialty pharmaceuticals segment. The U.S. patents covering *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> expire in 2012 and 2014. The European patent covering *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> expires in various countries between 2013 and 2017. The U.S. patent covering the commercial formulation of *Acular*<sup>®</sup> expired in the fourth quarter of 2009. The marketing exclusivity period for *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup> expires in the United States in 2012. The U.S. patents covering the commercial formulations of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1% expire in 2012 and 2022. The U.S. patents covering *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> expire in 2014. The U.S. patents covering *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> expire in 2010, 2016 and 2020. The U.S. patents for *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> expire in 2022 and 2023. The marketing exclusivity period for *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> in the United States expires in the fourth quarter of 2010 and in Europe in 2015. The U.S. patents covering *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> expire in 2012, 2018, 2022 and 2024 and the European patents expire in 2013, 2018 and 2021. The marketing exclusivity period for *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> expires in the fourth quarter of 2011.

We have rights in well over 100 issued *Botox*<sup>®</sup> related U.S. and European use and process patents covering, for example, pain associated with cervical dystonia, treatment of chronic migraine, hyperhidrosis, OAB and benign prostate hyperplasia. We have granted royalty-bearing patent licenses to Merz with regard to *Xeomin*<sup>®</sup> in many countries where we have issued or pending patents and to Solstice Neurosciences with regard to *MyoBloc*<sup>®</sup>.

With the exception of certain U.S. and European patents relating to the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System and our *Inspira*<sup>®</sup> and *Natrelle*<sup>®</sup> Collection of breast implants, no one patent or license is materially important to our specialty medical device segment based on overall sales. The patents covering our *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, some of which we license from third parties, expire in 2011 and 2014 in the United States and in 2014 in Europe. The patents covering our *Inspira*<sup>®</sup> and *Natrelle*<sup>®</sup> Collection of breast implants expire in 2018 in the United States and in 2017 in Europe.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain patents or rights to patents, protect trade secrets and other proprietary technologies and processes, operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others, and prevent others from infringing on our patents, trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights. Upon the expiration or loss of patent protection for a product, we can lose a significant portion of sales of that

---

**Table of Contents**

product in a very short period of time as other companies manufacture generic forms of our previously protected product at lower cost, without having had to incur significant research and development costs in formulating the product. In addition, the issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its validity or as to the enforceable scope of the claims of the patent. It is impossible to anticipate the breadth or degree of protection that any such patents will afford, or that any such patents will not be successfully challenged in the future. Accordingly, our patents may not prevent other companies from developing substantially identical products. Hence, if our patent applications are not approved or, even if approved, such patents are circumvented, our ability to competitively exploit our patented products and technologies may be significantly reduced. Also, such patents may or may not provide competitive advantages for their respective products, in which case our ability to commercially exploit these products may be diminished.

Third parties may challenge, invalidate or circumvent our patents and patent applications relating to our products, product candidates and technologies. Challenges may result in significant harm to our business. The cost of responding to these challenges and the inherent costs to defend the validity of our patents, including the prosecution of infringements and the related litigation, can require a substantial commitment of our management's time, require us to incur significant legal expenses and can preclude or delay the commercialization of products. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, *Legal Proceedings* and Note 14, *Legal Proceedings*, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*, for information concerning our current intellectual property litigation.

From time to time, we may need to obtain licenses to patents and other proprietary rights held by third parties to develop, manufacture and market our products. If we are unable to timely obtain these licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our ability to commercially exploit such products may be inhibited or prevented. See Item 1A of Part I of this report, *Risk Factors*.

We market our products under various trademarks, for which we have both registered and unregistered trademark protection in the United States and certain countries outside the United States. We consider these trademarks to be valuable because of their contribution to the market identification of our products and we regularly prosecute third party infringers of our trademarks in an attempt to limit confusion in the marketplace. Any failure to adequately protect our rights in our various trademarks and service marks from infringement could result in a loss of their value to us. If the marks we use are found to infringe upon the trademark or service mark of another company, we could be forced to stop using those marks and, as a result, we could lose the value of those marks and could be liable for damages caused by infringing those marks. In addition to intellectual property protections afforded to trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how by the various countries in which our proprietary products are sold, we seek to protect our trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how through confidentiality agreements with third parties, including our partners, customers, employees and consultants. These agreements may be breached or become unenforceable, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. It is also possible that our trade secrets will become known or independently developed by our competitors, resulting in increased competition for our products.

In addition, we are currently engaged in various collaborative ventures for the development, manufacturing and distribution of current and new products. These projects include the following:

We entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Kyorin under which Kyorin became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15% in Japan. Kyorin subsequently sublicensed its rights under the agreement to Senju. Under the licensing agreement, Senju incurs associated costs, makes clinical development and commercialization milestone payments, and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We are working collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management.

We entered into an exclusive licensing agreement with Senju under which Senju became responsible for the development and commercialization of *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> in Japan. Senju incurs associated costs,

---

## **Table of Contents**

makes development and commercialization milestone payments and makes royalty-based payments on product sales. We are working collaboratively with Senju on overall product strategy and management. In the third quarter of 2009, Senju received approval of *Lumigan*® 0.03% in Japan.

We licensed from Novartis the worldwide, excluding Japan, rights for technology, patents and products relating to the topical ophthalmic use of cyclosporine A, the active ingredient in *Restasis*®. In 2005, we entered into a royalty buy-out agreement with Novartis related to *Restasis*® and agreed to pay \$110 million to Novartis. As a result of the buy-out agreement, we no longer pay royalties to Novartis based on sales of *Restasis*®.

We licensed to GSK all clinical development and commercial rights to *Botox*® in Japan and China. We receive royalties on GSK's Japan and China *Botox*® sales. We also manufacture *Botox*® for GSK as part of a long-term supply agreement and collaboratively support GSK in its new clinical developments for *Botox*® and its strategic marketing in those markets, for which we receive payments. In the first quarter of 2009, GSK received approval of *Botox*® in Japan for the treatment of glabellar lines and equinus foot due to lower limb spasticity in juvenile cerebral palsy patients and launched *Botox*® in Japan for these indications with the glabellar lines indication marketed as *Botox Vista*®. GSK also received approval of *Botox*® in China for the treatment of glabellar lines and launched *Botox*® in China in the first quarter of 2009.

As a result of the Esprit acquisition, we obtained an exclusive license to market *Sanctura*® and *Sanctura XR*® in the United States and its territories from Indevus. We pay royalties to Indevus based upon our sales of *Sanctura*® and *Sanctura XR*® and assumed obligations of Esprit to pay certain other third-party royalties, also based upon sales of *Sanctura*® and *Sanctura XR*®. In the second quarter of 2008, we entered into a license agreement with Indevus and Madaus GmbH, which grants us the right to seek approval for and to commercialize *Sanctura XR*® in Canada. In the first quarter of 2010, Health Canada approved *Sanctura XR*®.

We entered into a strategic collaboration arrangement with Spectrum to develop and commercialize apaziquone, an antineoplastic agent currently being investigated for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer by intravesical instillation. Under the collaboration, Spectrum is conducting two Phase 3 clinical trials to explore apaziquone's safety and efficacy as a potential treatment for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer following surgery. In the third quarter of 2009, the FDA granted Fast Track Designation for the investigation of apaziquone for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Spectrum completed enrollment in the two Phase 3 clinical trials in the fourth quarter of 2009. Spectrum retained exclusive rights to apaziquone in Asia, including Japan and China. We received exclusive rights to apaziquone for the treatment of bladder cancer in the rest of the world, including the United States, Canada and Europe.

In 2004, through our acquisition of Inamed, we entered into a settlement agreement with Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. pursuant to which, among other terms, we were granted a worldwide, royalty-bearing, non-exclusive license with respect to a portfolio of U.S. and international patents applicable to adjustable gastric bands and will pay royalties until the expiry of the applicable patents.

We are also a party to license agreements allowing other companies to manufacture products using some of our technology in exchange for royalties and other compensation or benefits.

## **Environmental Matters**

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations. We believe that our operations comply in all material respects with applicable environmental laws and regulations in each country where we have a business presence. We also pride ourselves on our comprehensive and successful environmental, health and safety programs and performance against internal objectives. We have been recognized many times for superior environmental health and safety performance.



---

## **Table of Contents**

Although we continue to make capital expenditures for environmental protection, we do not anticipate any expenditures in order to comply with such laws and regulations that would have a material impact on our earnings or competitive position. We are not aware of any pending litigation or significant financial obligations arising from current or past environmental practices that are likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. We cannot assure you, however, that environmental problems relating to properties owned or operated by us will not develop in the future, and we cannot predict whether any such problems, if they were to develop, could require significant expenditures on our part. In addition, we are unable to predict what legislation or regulations may be adopted or enacted in the future with respect to environmental protection and waste disposal.

### **Seasonality**

Our business, both taken as a whole and by our business segments, is not materially affected by seasonal factors, although we have noticed a historical trend with respect to sales of our *Botox*<sup>®</sup> product. Specifically, sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> have tended to be lowest during the first fiscal quarter, with sales during the second and third fiscal quarters being comparable and marginally higher than sales during the first fiscal quarter. *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales during the fourth fiscal quarter have tended to be the highest due to patients obtaining their final therapeutic treatment at the end of the year, presumably to fully utilize deductibles and to receive additional aesthetic treatments prior to the holiday season.

### **Third Party Coverage and Reimbursement**

Health care providers generally rely on third-party payors, including governmental payors such as Medicare and Medicaid, and private insurance carriers, to adequately cover and reimburse the cost of pharmaceuticals and medical devices. Such third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price of medical products and services and instituting cost containment measures to control, restrict access or significantly influence the purchase of medical products and services. The market for some of our products therefore is influenced by third-party payors policies. This includes the placement of our pharmaceutical products on drug formularies or lists of medications.

Purchases of aesthetic products and procedures using those products generally are not covered by third-party payors, and consequently patients incur out-of-pocket costs for such products and associated procedures. This includes breast aesthetics products for augmentation and facial aesthetics products. Since 1998, however, U.S. federal law has mandated that group health plans, insurance companies and health maintenance organizations offering mastectomy coverage must also provide coverage for reconstructive surgery following a mastectomy, which includes coverage for breast implants. Outside the United States, reimbursement for breast implants used in reconstructive surgery following a mastectomy may be available, but the programs vary on a country by country basis.

Furthermore, treatments for obesity alone may not be covered by third-party payors. For example, in February 2006, Medicare began covering certain designated bariatric surgical services, including gastric bypass surgery and procedures using the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, for Medicare patients who have previously been unsuccessfully treated for obesity and who have a BMI equal to or greater than 40 or a BMI of 35 when at least one co-morbidity is present. However, the policy reiterates that treatments for obesity alone are not covered, because such treatments are not considered reasonable and necessary. Without changing current coverage for morbidly obese individuals, effective February 12, 2009, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, the agency responsible for implementing the Medicare program, determined that Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a co-morbid condition related to obesity under the existing policies. While Medicare policies are sometimes adopted by other third-party payors, other governmental and private insurance coverage currently varies by carrier and geographic location, and we actively work with governmental agencies, insurance carriers and employers to obtain reimbursement coverage for procedures using our *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System product. For instance, the Technology Evaluation Center of the Blue Cross/Blue Shield National Association provided a positive

## **Table of Contents**

assessment of the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, an important step in providing private payor reimbursement for the procedure.

Outside the United States, reimbursement programs vary on a country by country basis. In some countries, both the procedure and product are fully reimbursed by the government health care systems for all citizens who need it, and there is no limit on the number of procedures that can be performed. In other countries, there is complete reimbursement but the number of procedures that can be performed at each hospital is limited either by the hospital's overall budget or by the national budget for the type of product.

In the United States, there have been and continue to be a number of legislative initiatives to contain health care coverage and reimbursement by governmental and other payors. For example, effective January 1, 2006, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 implemented a new Part D prescription drug benefit under which Medicare beneficiaries can purchase certain prescription drugs at discounted prices from private sector entities, or Part D plan sponsors. Currently, drug manufacturers negotiate directly with Part D plan sponsors to determine whether their drugs will be listed on a Part D formulary and the prices at which such drugs will be listed. Industry competition to be included in formularies maintained by both private payors and Part D plans can result in downward pricing pressures on pharmaceutical companies. Although certain lawmakers have suggested in the past that the federal government should be granted the authority to negotiate the prices of drugs included on Part D formularies, at this time the federal government does not have such authority. There has also been an increased emphasis in the marketplace on the delivery of more cost-effective medical devices as well as a number of federal and state proposals to limit payments by local governmental payors for medical devices and the procedures in which medical devices are used. In addition, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, also known as the stimulus package, includes \$1.1 billion in funding to study the comparative effectiveness of health care treatments and strategies. This funding will be used, among other things, to conduct, support or synthesize research that compares and evaluates the risk and benefits, clinical outcomes, effectiveness and appropriateness of products. Congress has indicated that this funding is intended to improve the quality of health care, but it remains unclear how the research will impact coverage, reimbursement or other third-party payor policies.

### **Breast Implant Replacement Programs**

We conduct our product development, manufacturing, marketing and service and support activities with careful regard for the consequences to patients. As with any medical device manufacturer, however, we receive communications from surgeons or patients with respect to our various breast implant products claiming the products were defective, lost volume or have resulted in injury to patients. In the event of a loss of shell integrity resulting in breast implant rupture or deflation that requires surgical intervention with respect to our breast implant products sold and implanted in the United States, in most cases our *ConfidencePlus*<sup>®</sup> programs provide lifetime product replacement, contralateral implant product replacement and some financial assistance for surgical procedures required within ten years of implantation. Breast implants sold and implanted outside of the United States are subject to a similar program. We do not warrant any level of aesthetic result and, as required by government regulation, make extensive disclosure concerning the risks of our products and implantation surgery.

### **Employee Relations**

At December 31, 2009, we employed approximately 8,300 persons throughout the world, including approximately 4,300 in the United States. None of our U.S.-based employees are represented by unions. We believe that our relations with our employees are generally good.

**Table of Contents****Executive Officers**

Our executive officers and their ages as of February 26, 2010 are as follows:

| <b>Name</b>             | <b>Age</b> | <b>Principal Positions with Allergan</b>                                                                                    |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| David E.I. Pyott        | 56         | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer<br><br>(Principal Executive Officer)                                      |
| F. Michael Ball         | 54         | President, Allergan                                                                                                         |
| James F. Barlow         | 51         | Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller<br><br>(Principal Accounting Officer)                                           |
| Raymond H. Diradoorian  | 52         | Executive Vice President, Global Technical Operations                                                                       |
| Dianne Dyer-Bruggeman   | 60         | Executive Vice President, Human Resources                                                                                   |
| Jeffrey L. Edwards      | 49         | Executive Vice President, Finance and Business Development,<br>Chief Financial Officer<br><br>(Principal Financial Officer) |
| Douglas S. Ingram, Esq. | 47         | Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer<br><br>and Secretary                                                 |
| Scott M. Whitcup, M.D.  | 50         | Executive Vice President, Research & Development,<br><br>Chief Scientific Officer                                           |

Officers are appointed by and hold office at the pleasure of the board of directors.

Mr. Pyott has been Allergan's Chief Executive Officer since January 1998 and in 2001 became the Chairman of the Board. Mr. Pyott also served as Allergan's President from January 1998 until February 2006. Previously, he was head of the Nutrition Division and a member of the executive committee of Novartis AG, a publicly-traded company focused on the research and development of products to protect and improve health and well-being, from 1995 until December 1997. From 1992 to 1995, Mr. Pyott was President and Chief Executive Officer of Sandoz Nutrition Corp., Minneapolis, Minnesota, a predecessor to Novartis, and General Manager of Sandoz Nutrition, Barcelona, Spain, from 1990 to 1992. Prior to that, Mr. Pyott held various positions within the Sandoz Nutrition group from 1980. Mr. Pyott is also a member of the board of directors of Avery Dennison Corporation, a publicly-traded company focused on pressure-sensitive technology and self-adhesive solutions, and Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, a publicly-traded company focused on products and technologies to treat advanced cardiovascular diseases. Mr. Pyott is a member of the Directors' Board of The Paul Merage School of Business at the University of California, Irvine (UCI). Mr. Pyott serves on the board of directors and the Executive Committee of the California Healthcare Institute, and serves on the board of directors, Executive Committee and as Chairman of the International Affairs Committee of the Biotechnology Industry Organization. Mr. Pyott also serves as a member of the board of directors of the Pan-American Ophthalmological Foundation, the International Council of Ophthalmology Foundation, and as a member of the Advisory Board for the Foundation of The American Academy of Ophthalmology. Mr. Pyott also serves on the Board of Trustees of Chapman University.

Mr. Ball has been President, Allergan since February 2006. Mr. Ball was Executive Vice President and President, Pharmaceuticals from October 2003 until February 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Ball was Corporate Vice President and President, North America Region and Global Eye Rx Business since May 1998 and prior to that was Corporate Vice President and President, North America Region since April 1996. He joined Allergan in 1995 as Senior Vice President, U.S. Eye Care after 12 years with Syntex Corporation, a multinational pharmaceutical company, where he held a variety of positions including President, Syntex Inc. Canada and Senior Vice President, Syntex Laboratories. Mr. Ball serves on the board of directors of STEC, Inc., a publicly-traded manufacturer and marketer of computer memory and hard drive storage solutions.

Mr. Barlow has been Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller since February 2005. Mr. Barlow joined Allergan in January 2002 as Vice President, Corporate Controller. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Barlow served

---

**Table of Contents**

as Chief Financial Officer of Wynn Oil Company, a division of Parker Hannifin Corporation. Prior to Wynn Oil Company, Mr. Barlow was Treasurer and Controller at Wynn's International, Inc., a supplier of automotive and industrial components and specialty chemicals, from July 1990 to September 2000. Before working for Wynn's International, Inc., Mr. Barlow was Vice President, Controller from 1986 to 1990 for Ford Equipment Leasing Company. From 1983 to 1985 Mr. Barlow worked for the accounting firm Deloitte Haskins and Sells.

Mr. Diradoorian has served as Allergan's Executive Vice President, Global Technical Operations since February 2006. From April 2005 to February 2006, Mr. Diradoorian served as Senior Vice President, Global Technical Operations. From February 2001 to April 2005, Mr. Diradoorian served as Vice President, Global Engineering and Technology. Mr. Diradoorian joined Allergan in July 1981. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Diradoorian held positions at American Hospital Supply and with the Los Angeles Dodgers baseball team.

Ms. Dyer-Bruggeman has served as Executive Vice President, Human Resources since joining Allergan in December 2008. Prior to joining Allergan, Ms. Dyer-Bruggeman served as Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources for Broadcom Corporation, a global technology company, from April 2004 through November 2008. From June 1995 to April 2004, Ms. Dyer-Bruggeman served as Vice President, Human Resources for Titan Corporation, a leading provider of information and communications products for the defense and homeland security industries.

Mr. Edwards has been Executive Vice President, Finance and Business Development, Chief Financial Officer since September 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Edwards was Corporate Vice President, Corporate Development since March 2003 and previously served as Senior Vice President, Treasury, Tax, and Investor Relations. He joined Allergan in 1993. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Edwards was with Banque Paribas and Security Pacific National Bank, where he held various senior level positions in the credit and business development functions.

Mr. Ingram has been Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer, since October 2006. Mr. Ingram also served as General Counsel from January 2001 to June 2009, and from October 2003 through October 2006, Mr. Ingram served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer. Prior to that, Mr. Ingram served as Corporate Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as our Chief Ethics Officer, since July 2001. Prior to that he was Senior Vice President and General Counsel since January 2001, and Assistant Secretary since November 1998. Prior to that, Mr. Ingram was Associate General Counsel from August 1998, Assistant General Counsel from January 1998 and Senior Attorney and Chief Litigation Counsel from March 1996, when he first joined Allergan. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Ingram was, from August 1988 to March 1996, an attorney with the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP. Mr. Ingram manages the Global Legal Affairs, Global Regulatory Affairs, Compliance and Internal Audit, Corporate Communications, Global Trade Compliance, and the Information Technology organizations. Mr. Ingram serves as a member of the board of directors of Volcom, Inc., a publicly-traded designer and distributor of clothing and accessories.

Dr. Whitcup has been Executive Vice President, Research and Development, and Chief Scientific Officer since April 2009. Prior to that, Dr. Whitcup was Executive Vice President, Research and Development since July 2004. Dr. Whitcup joined Allergan in January 2000 as Vice President, Development, Ophthalmology. In January 2004, Dr. Whitcup became Allergan's Senior Vice President, Development, Ophthalmology. From 1993 until 2000, Dr. Whitcup served as the Clinical Director of the National Eye Institute at the National Institutes of Health. As Clinical Director, Dr. Whitcup's leadership was vital in building the clinical research program and promoting new ophthalmic therapeutic discoveries. Dr. Whitcup is a faculty member at the Jules Stein Eye Institute/David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles. Dr. Whitcup serves on the board of directors of Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a publicly-traded pharmaceutical company.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves a number of risks. The following discussion highlights some of these risks and others are discussed elsewhere in this report. These and other risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, prospects, operating results or cash flows. The following risk factors are not an exhaustive list of the risks associated with our business. New factors may emerge or changes to these risks could occur that could materially affect our business.

***We operate in a highly competitive business.***

The pharmaceutical and medical device industries are highly competitive. To be successful in these industries, we must be able to, among other things, effectively discover, develop, test and obtain regulatory approvals for products, effectively commercialize, market and promote approved products, including communicating the effectiveness, safety and value of products to actual and prospective customers and medical professionals. Many of our competitors have greater resources than we have. This enables them, among other things, to make greater research and development investments and spread their research and development costs, as well as their marketing and promotion costs, over a broader revenue base.

Developments by our competitors, the entry of new competitors into the markets in which we compete, or consolidation in the pharmaceutical and medical device industries could make our products or technologies less competitive or obsolete. Our future growth depends, in part, on our ability to develop and introduce products which are more effective than those developed by our competitors. Sales of our existing products may decline rapidly if a new product is introduced that represents a substantial improvement over our existing products. Certain of our pharmaceutical products also compete with over-the-counter products which may be priced and regulated differently than our prescription products, and are subject to the evolving preferences of consumers.

We also face competition from lower-cost generic drug products. The patent rights that protect our products are of varying strength and duration, and the loss of patent protection is typically followed by generic substitutes. As a result, we may compete against generic products that are as safe and effective as our products, but sold at substantially lower prices. Generic competition may significantly reduce the demand for our products with which any such generic products compete.

***Adverse U.S. and international economic conditions may reduce consumer demand for our products, causing our sales and profitability to suffer.***

Adverse conditions in the U.S. and international economies and financial markets may continue to negatively affect our revenues and operating results. Many of our products, including *Refresh*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, to a large extent the *Natrelle*<sup>®</sup> line of breast implants, and to a lesser extent the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, have limited reimbursement or are not reimbursable by governmental or other health care plans and instead are partially or wholly paid for directly by the consumer. Adverse economic conditions impacting consumers, including among others, increased taxation, higher unemployment, lower consumer confidence in the economy, higher consumer debt levels, lower availability of consumer credit, higher interest rates and hardships relating to declines in the housing and stock markets, historically have caused consumers to reassess their spending choices and reduce their purchases of certain of our products. Any failure to attain our projected revenues and operating results as a result of reduced consumer demand due to adverse economic or market conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, cause our sales and profitability to suffer, reduce our operating cash flow and result in a decline in the price of our common stock. Adverse economic and market conditions could also have a negative impact on our business by negatively affecting the parties with whom we do business, including among others, our business partners, creditors, third-party contractors and suppliers, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

---

**Table of Contents**

***We could experience difficulties obtaining or creating the raw materials or components needed to produce our products and interruptions in the supply of raw materials or components could disrupt our manufacturing and cause our sales and profitability to decline.***

The loss of a material supplier or the interruption of our manufacturing processes could adversely affect our ability to manufacture or sell many of our products. We obtain the specialty chemicals that are the active pharmaceutical ingredients in certain of our products from single sources, who must maintain compliance with the FDA's cGMPs. We also obtain Aczon®<sup>®</sup>, Sanctura®<sup>®</sup> and Sanctura XR®<sup>®</sup> under manufacturing agreements with sole source suppliers. If we experience difficulties acquiring sufficient quantities of these materials or products from our existing suppliers, or if our suppliers are found to be non-compliant with the cGMPs, obtaining the required regulatory approvals, including from the FDA or the European Medical Evaluation Agency to use alternative suppliers may be a lengthy and uncertain process. A lengthy interruption of the supply of one or more of these materials could adversely affect our ability to manufacture and supply products, which could cause our sales and profitability to decline. In addition, the manufacturing process to create the raw material necessary to produce Botox®<sup>®</sup> is technically complex and requires significant lead-time. Any failure by us to forecast demand for, or to maintain an adequate supply of, the raw material and finished product could result in an interruption in the supply of Botox®<sup>®</sup> and a resulting decrease in sales of the product.

We also rely on a single supplier for silicone raw materials used in some of our products, including breast implants. Although we have an agreement with this supplier to transfer the necessary formulations to us in the event that it cannot meet our requirements, we cannot guarantee that we would be able to produce or obtain a sufficient amount of quality silicone raw materials in a timely manner. We depend on third party manufacturers for silicone molded components. These third party manufacturers must maintain compliance with the FDA's QSR, which sets forth the current good manufacturing practice standard for medical devices and requires manufacturers to follow design, testing and control documentation and air quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process. Any material reduction in our raw material supply or a failure by our third party manufacturers to maintain compliance with the QSR could result in decreased sales of our products and a decrease in our revenues. Additionally, certain of the manufacturing processes that we perform are only performed at one location worldwide. Furthermore, as a result of the credit crisis and current economic conditions, and while we analyze the financial solvency of our key suppliers, we cannot guarantee that our key suppliers will remain solvent or that we will be able to obtain sufficient supplies of key materials, particularly as we often represent a small part of the overall output of these manufacturers.

***Our future success depends upon our ability to develop new products, and new indications for existing products, that achieve regulatory approval for commercialization.***

For our business model to be successful, we must continually develop, test and manufacture new products or achieve new indications or label extensions for the use of our existing products. Prior to marketing, these new products and product indications must satisfy stringent regulatory standards and receive requisite approvals or clearances from regulatory authorities in the United States and abroad. The development, regulatory review and approval, and commercialization processes are time consuming, costly and subject to numerous factors that may delay or prevent the development, approval or clearance, and commercialization of new products, including legal actions brought by our competitors. To obtain approval or clearance of new indications or products in the United States, we must submit, among other information, the results of preclinical and clinical studies on the new indication or product candidate to the FDA. The number of preclinical and clinical studies that will be required for FDA approval varies depending on the new indication or product candidate, the disease or condition for which the new indication or product candidate is in development and the regulations applicable to that new indication or product candidate. Even if we believe that the data collected from clinical trials of new indications for our existing products or for our product candidates are promising, the FDA may find such data to be insufficient to support approval of the new indication or product. The FDA can delay, limit or deny approval or clearance of a new indication or product candidate for many reasons, including:

a determination that the new indication or product candidate is not safe and effective;

the FDA may interpret our preclinical and clinical data in different ways than we do;

---

**Table of Contents**

the FDA may not approve our manufacturing processes or facilities;

the FDA may not approve our REMS program;

the FDA may require us to perform post-marketing clinical studies; or

the FDA may change its approval policies or adopt new regulations.

Products that we are currently developing, other future product candidates or new indications or label extensions for our existing products, may or may not receive the regulatory approvals or clearances necessary for marketing or may receive such approvals or clearances only after delays or unanticipated costs. For example, in May 2009, we received a complete response letter from the FDA regarding our sBLA for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> to treat upper limb spasticity in post-stroke adults. The complete response letter identified items needed to complete the sBLA submission, including that we independently verify underlying patient source documentation at study sites relating to one of the pivotal clinical studies conducted in 1999 and upon completion of the verification, provide the FDA an updated analysis. We submitted the additional data requested by the FDA in their complete response letter in the third quarter of 2009. Further, the FDA may require us to implement a REMS program to manage known or potential serious risks associated with our pharmaceutical products to ensure that the benefits of our products outweigh their risks. A REMS program can include patient package inserts, medication guides, communication plans, an implementation system and other elements necessary to assure safe use of our pharmaceutical product. If the FDA determines that a REMS program is necessary, the agency will not approve our product without an approved REMS program, which could delay approval or impose additional requirements on our products. In addition, we may be subject to enforcement actions, including civil money penalties if we do not comply with REMS program requirements. Delays or unanticipated costs in any part of the process or our inability to obtain timely regulatory approval for our products, including those attributable to, among other things, our failure to maintain manufacturing facilities in compliance with all applicable regulatory requirements, including the cGMPs and QSR, could cause our operating results to suffer and our stock price to decrease. Our facilities, our suppliers' facilities and other third parties' facilities on which we rely must pass pre-approval reviews and plant inspections and demonstrate compliance with the cGMPs and QSR.

Further, even if we receive FDA and other regulatory approvals for a new indication or product, the product may later exhibit adverse effects that limit or prevent its widespread use or that force us to withdraw the product from the market or to revise our labeling to limit the indications for which the product may be prescribed. In addition, even if we receive the necessary regulatory approvals, we cannot assure you that new products or indications will achieve market acceptance. Our future performance will be affected by the market acceptance of, or continued market acceptance of, products such as *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1%, *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Elestat*<sup>®</sup>, *Ganfort*<sup>®</sup>, *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Refresh*<sup>®</sup>, *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Vistabel*<sup>®</sup> and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>, as well as the *Natrelle*<sup>®</sup> line of breast implant products, new indications for *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, and new products such as *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup>, and *Ozurdex*<sup>®</sup>. We cannot assure you that our currently marketed products will not be subject to further regulatory review and action.

In February 2008, the FDA announced in an Early Communication its review of certain adverse events following the use of botulinum toxins, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic. In April 2009, simultaneously with its approval of *Dysport*<sup>®</sup>, the FDA announced the completion of its review and has requested that we adopt a REMS program equivalent to the REMS program required for *Dysport*<sup>®</sup>. In July 2009, the FDA approved our REMS program for *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, which addresses the risks related to botulinum toxin spread beyond the injection site and the lack of botulinum toxin interchangeability. Further, we cannot assure you that any other compounds or products that we are developing for commercialization will be approved by the FDA or foreign regulatory bodies for marketing or that we will be able to commercialize them on terms that will be profitable, or at all. If any of our products cannot be successfully or timely commercialized or our direct-to-consumer advertising materials fail to be approved by the FDA, our operating results could be materially adversely affected.

**Table of Contents**

***Our product development efforts may not result in commercial products.***

We intend to continue an aggressive research and development program. Successful product development in the pharmaceutical and medical device industry is highly uncertain, and very few research and development projects produce a commercial product. Product candidates that appear promising in the early phases of development, such as in early human clinical trials, may fail to reach the market for a number of reasons, such as:

the product candidate did not demonstrate acceptable clinical trial results even though it demonstrated positive preclinical trial results;

the product candidate was not effective in treating a specified condition or illness;

the product candidate had harmful side effects in humans or animals;

the necessary regulatory bodies, such as the FDA, did not approve the product candidate for an intended use;

the product candidate was not economical for us to manufacture and commercialize;

other companies or people have or may have proprietary rights to the product candidate, such as patent rights, and will not sell or license these rights to us on reasonable terms, or at all;

the product candidate is not cost effective in light of existing therapeutics or alternative devices; and

certain of our licensors or partners may fail to effectively conduct clinical development or clinical manufacturing activities.

Several of our product candidates have failed or been discontinued at various stages in the product development process. Of course, there may be other factors that prevent us from marketing a product. We cannot guarantee we will be able to produce commercially successful products. Further, clinical trial results are frequently susceptible to varying interpretations by scientists, medical personnel, regulatory personnel, statisticians and others, which may delay, limit or prevent further clinical development or regulatory approvals of a product candidate. Also, the length of time that it takes for us to complete clinical trials and obtain regulatory approval for product marketing has in the past varied by product and by the intended use of a product. We expect that this will likely be the case with future product candidates and we cannot predict the length of time to complete necessary clinical trials and obtain regulatory approval.

***If we are unable to obtain and maintain adequate protection for our intellectual property rights associated with the technologies incorporated into our products, our business and results of operations could suffer.***

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain patents or rights to patents, protect trade secrets and other proprietary technologies and processes, and prevent others from infringing on our patents, trademarks, service marks and other intellectual property rights. Upon the expiration or loss of patent protection for a product, we can lose a significant portion of sales of that product in a very short period of time as other companies manufacture generic forms of our previously protected product or manufacture similar products or devices at lower cost, without having had to incur significant research and development costs in formulating the product or designing the device. Therefore, our future financial success may depend in part on obtaining patent protection for technologies incorporated into our products. We cannot assure you that such patents will be issued, or that any existing or future patents will be of commercial benefit. In addition, it is impossible to anticipate the breadth or degree of protection that any such patents will afford, and we cannot assure you that any such patents will not be successfully challenged in the future. If we are unsuccessful in obtaining or preserving patent protection, or if any of our products rely on unpatented proprietary technology, we cannot assure you that others will not commercialize products substantially identical to those products. Generic drug



manufacturers are currently challenging the patents covering certain of our products, and we expect that they will continue to do so in the future.

---

**Table of Contents**

Third parties may challenge, invalidate or circumvent our patents and patent applications relating to our products, product candidates and technologies. Challenges may result in potentially significant harm to our business. The cost of responding to these challenges and the inherent costs to defend the validity of our patents, including the prosecution of infringements and the related litigation, could be substantial and can preclude or delay commercialization of products. Such litigation also could require a substantial commitment of our management's time. For certain of our product candidates, third parties may have patents or pending patents that they claim prevent us from commercializing certain product candidates in certain territories. Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and defend patent rights and other intellectual property rights that are important to the commercialization of our products and product candidates. For additional information on our material patents, see "Patents, Trademarks and Licenses" in Item 1 of Part I of this report, "Business."

We also believe that the protection of our trademarks and service marks is an important factor in product recognition and in our ability to maintain or increase market share. If we do not adequately protect our rights in our various trademarks and service marks from infringement, their value to us could be lost or diminished, seriously impairing our competitive position. Moreover, the laws of certain foreign countries do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. In addition to intellectual property protections afforded to trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how by the various countries in which our proprietary products are sold, we seek to protect our trademarks, service marks and proprietary know-how through confidentiality and proprietary information agreements with third parties, including our partners, customers, employees and consultants. These agreements may not provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for violation of our rights in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of confidential information. It is possible that these agreements will be breached or that they will not be enforceable in every instance, and that we will not have adequate remedies for any such breach. It is also possible that our trade secrets will become known or independently developed by our competitors.

***We may be subject to intellectual property litigation and infringement claims, which could cause us to incur significant expenses and losses or prevent us from selling our products.***

We cannot assure you that our products will not infringe patents or other intellectual property rights held by third parties. In the event we discover that we may be infringing third party patents or other intellectual property rights, we may not be able to obtain licenses from those third parties on commercially attractive terms or at all. We may have to defend, and have defended, against charges that we violated patents or the proprietary rights of third parties. Litigation is costly and time-consuming, and diverts the attention of our management and technical personnel. In addition, if we infringe the intellectual property rights of others, we could lose our right to develop, manufacture or sell products or could be required to pay monetary damages or royalties to license proprietary rights from third parties. An adverse determination in a judicial or administrative proceeding or a failure to obtain necessary licenses could prevent us from manufacturing or selling our products, which could harm our business, financial condition, prospects, results of operations and cash flows. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, "Legal Proceedings" and Note 14, "Legal Proceedings," in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, "Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules," for information concerning our current intellectual property litigation.

***Importation of products from Canada and other countries into the United States may lower the prices we receive for our products.***

In the United States, some of our pharmaceutical products are subject to competition from lower priced versions of those products and competing products from Canada, Mexico and other countries where government price controls or other market dynamics result in lower prices. Our products that require a prescription in the United States are often available to consumers in these other markets without a prescription, which may cause consumers to further seek out our products in these lower priced markets. The ability of patients and other customers to obtain these lower priced imports has grown significantly as a result of the Internet, an expansion of pharmacies in Canada and elsewhere targeted to American purchasers, the increase in U.S.-based businesses

---

**Table of Contents**

affiliated with Canadian pharmacies marketing to American purchasers and other factors. These foreign imports are illegal under current U.S. law, with the sole exception of limited quantities of prescription drugs imported for personal use. However, the volume of imports continues to rise due to the limited enforcement resources of the FDA and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, and there is increased political pressure to permit the imports as a mechanism for expanding access to lower priced medicines.

In December 2003, Congress enacted the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or MMA. The MMA contains provisions that may change U.S. import laws and expand consumers' ability to import lower priced versions of our products and competing products from Canada, where there are government price controls. These changes to U.S. import laws will not take effect unless and until the Secretary of Health and Human Services certifies that the changes will lead to substantial savings for consumers and will not create a public health safety issue. The Secretary of Health and Human Services has not made such a certification. However, it is possible that the current Secretary or a subsequent Secretary could make such a certification in the future. As directed by Congress, a task force on drug importation conducted a comprehensive study regarding the circumstances under which drug importation could be safely conducted and the consequences of importation on the health, medical costs and development of new medicines for U.S. consumers. The task force issued its report in December 2004, finding that there are significant safety and economic issues that must be addressed before importation of prescription drugs is permitted. In addition, federal legislative proposals have been made to implement the changes to the U.S. import laws without any certification, and to broaden permissible imports in other ways. For example, versions of the House and Senate bills introduced in 2009 to reform the health care industry in the United States included provisions that would have allowed the importation of pharmaceuticals from Canada and other countries. Although the provisions were not included in the final legislation passed by each chamber, we believe there will likely be future efforts to reintroduce similar proposals. Even if such changes to the U.S. import laws are not enacted, imports from Canada and elsewhere may continue to increase due to market and political forces, and the limited enforcement resources of the FDA, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection and other government agencies. For example, Public Law Number 111-83, which was signed into law in October 2009 and provides appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the 2010 fiscal year, expressly prohibits the U.S. Customs and Border Protection from using funds to prevent individuals from importing from Canada less than a 90-day supply of a prescription drug for personal use, when the drug otherwise complies with the FFDCA. In addition, certain state and local governments have implemented importation schemes for their citizens and, in the absence of federal action to curtail such activities, other states and local governments may also launch importation efforts.

The importation of foreign products adversely affects our profitability in the United States. This impact could become more significant in the future, and the impact could be even greater if there is a further change in the law or if state or local governments take further steps to import products from abroad.

***Our ownership of real property and the operation of our business will continue to expose us to risks of environmental liabilities.***

Under various U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real property may be liable for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such property. Such laws often impose liability whether or not the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous or toxic substances. Environmental laws also may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or the businesses that may be operated, and these restrictions may require expenditures. Environmental laws provide for sanctions in the event of noncompliance and may be enforced by governmental agencies or, in certain circumstances, by private parties. In connection with the acquisition and ownership of our properties, we may be potentially liable for such costs. The cost of defending against claims of liability, complying with environmental regulatory requirements or remediating any contaminated property could have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operations. Any costs or expenses relating to environmental matters may not be covered by insurance.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Our product development programs and manufacturing processes involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and toxic compounds. These programs and processes expose us to risks that an accidental contamination could lead to noncompliance with environmental laws, regulatory enforcement actions and claims for personal injury and property damage. If an accident or environmental discharge occurs, or if we discover contamination caused by prior operations, including by prior owners and operators of properties we acquire, we could be liable for cleanup obligations, damages and fines. The substantial unexpected costs we may incur could have a significant and adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

***A disruption at certain of our manufacturing sites would significantly interrupt our production capabilities, which could result in significant product delays and adversely affect our results.***

Certain of our products are produced at single manufacturing facilities, including *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, our breast implant products, our obesity intervention products and our dermal filler products. In addition, we manufacture *Botox*<sup>®</sup> at two structurally separate facilities located adjacent to one another at a single site. We face risks inherent in manufacturing our products at a single facility or at a single site. These risks include the possibility that our manufacturing processes could be partially or completely disrupted by a fire, natural disaster, terrorist attack, foreign governmental action or military action. In the case of a disruption, we may need to establish alternative manufacturing sources for these products. This would likely lead to substantial production delays as we build or locate replacement facilities and seek and obtain the necessary regulatory approvals. If this occurs, and our finished goods inventories are insufficient to meet demand, we may be unable to satisfy customer orders on a timely basis, if at all. Further, our business interruption insurance may not adequately compensate us for any losses that may occur and we would have to bear the additional cost of any disruption. For these reasons, a significant disruptive event at certain of our manufacturing facilities or sites could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

***We may experience losses due to product liability claims, product recalls or corrections.***

The design, development, manufacture and sale of our products involve an inherent risk of product liability or other claims by consumers and other third parties. We have in the past been, and continue to be, subject to various product liability claims and lawsuits. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future recall or issue field corrections related to our products due to manufacturing deficiencies, labeling errors or other safety or regulatory reasons. We cannot assure you that we will not in the future experience material losses due to product liability claims, lawsuits, product recalls or corrections.

As part of the Inamed acquisition, we assumed Inamed's product liability risks, including any product liability for its past and present manufacturing of breast implant products. The manufacture and sale of breast implant products has been and continues to be the subject of a significant number of product liability claims due to allegations that the medical devices cause disease or result in complications and other health conditions due to rupture, deflation or other product failure. Historically, other breast implant manufacturers that suffered such claims in the 1990's were forced to cease operations or even to declare bankruptcy.

Additionally, recent FDA marketing approval for our silicone breast implants requires that:

we monitor patients in our core study out to 10 years even if there has been explantation of the core device without replacement;

patients in the core study receive magnetic resonance imaging tests, or MRIs, at seven and nine years;

we conduct a large, 10-year post-approval study;

we monitor patients in our adjunct study through the patients' 5-year evaluation; and

we conduct additional smaller evaluations, including a focus group aimed at ensuring patients are adequately informed about the risks of our silicone breast implants and that the format and content of patient labeling is adequate.



---

**Table of Contents**

We are seeking marketing approval for other silicone breast implants in the United States, and if we obtain this approval, it may similarly be subject to significant restrictions and requirements, including the need for a patient registry, follow up MRIs and substantial post-market clinical trial commitments.

We also face a substantial risk of product liability claims from our eye care, neuromodulator, urology, skin care, obesity intervention and facial aesthetics products. Additionally, our pharmaceutical and medical device products may cause, or may appear to cause, serious adverse side effects or potentially dangerous drug interactions if misused, improperly prescribed, improperly implanted or based on faulty surgical technique. We are subject to adverse event reporting regulations that require us to report to the FDA or similar bodies in other countries if our products are associated with a death or serious injury. These adverse events, among others, could result in additional regulatory controls, such as the performance of costly post-approval clinical studies or revisions to our approved labeling, which could limit the indications or patient population for our products or could even lead to the withdrawal of a product from the market. Furthermore, any adverse publicity associated with such an event could cause consumers to seek alternatives to our products, which may cause our sales to decline, even if our products are ultimately determined not to have been the primary cause of the event.

***Negative publicity concerning the safety of our products may harm our sales, force us to withdraw products and cause a decline in our stock price.***

Physicians and potential and existing patients may have a number of concerns about the safety of our products, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, breast implants, eye care pharmaceuticals, urologics products, skin care products, obesity intervention products and facial dermal fillers, whether or not such concerns have a basis in generally accepted science or peer-reviewed scientific research. These concerns may be increased by negative publicity, even if the publicity is inaccurate. For example, consumer groups and certain plaintiffs have recently alleged that certain uses of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, including off-label uses, have caused patient injuries and death and have further alleged that we failed to adequately warn patients of the risks relating to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> use. Negative publicity whether accurate or inaccurate about the efficacy, safety or side effects of our products or product categories, whether involving us or a competitor, or new government regulations, could materially reduce market acceptance of our products, cause consumers to seek alternatives to our products, result in product withdrawals and cause our stock price to decline. Negative publicity could also result in an increased number of product liability claims, whether or not these claims have a basis in scientific fact.

***Health care initiatives and other third-party payor cost-containment pressures could impose financial burdens or cause us to sell our products at lower prices, resulting in decreased revenues.***

Some of our products are purchased or reimbursed by federal and state government authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, such as health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, and managed care organizations, or MCOs. Third-party payors increasingly challenge pharmaceutical and other medical device product pricing. There also continues to be a trend toward managed health care in the United States. Pricing pressures by third-party payors and the growth of organizations such as HMOs and MCOs could result in lower prices and a reduction in demand for our products.

In addition, legislative and regulatory proposals and enactments to reform health care and government insurance programs could significantly influence the manner in which pharmaceutical products and medical devices are prescribed and purchased. For example, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, also known as the stimulus package, includes \$1.1 billion in funding to study the comparative effectiveness of health care treatments and strategies. This funding will be used, among other things, to conduct, support or synthesize research that compares and evaluates the risks and benefits, clinical outcomes, effectiveness and appropriateness of products. Although Congress has indicated that this funding is intended to improve the quality of health care, it remains unclear how the research will impact coverage, reimbursement or other third-party payor policies.

---

**Table of Contents**

Recently, the U.S. President, Senate and House of Representatives each have proposed significant reforms to the U.S. health care system. Some of the proposed measures include increases in Medicaid rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and annual, non-deductible fees on any entity that manufactures or imports certain prescription drugs, biologics or medical devices offered for sale in the United States. The proposed measures also would require manufacturers to discount drug prices to eligible patients by 50 percent at the pharmacy level and mail order services for their outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D and would increase the number of entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program. We cannot predict at this time whether these or any future proposed reform measures will be adopted. Such cost-containment measures or other health care system reforms that are adopted could have a material adverse effect on our industry generally and our ability to successfully commercialize our products or could limit or eliminate our spending on development projects.

Other legislative and regulatory reform measures, including the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or MMA, the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, or DRA, and the hospital outpatient prospective payment system, or HOPPS, continue to significantly influence how our products are priced and reimbursed. For example, effective January 1, 2006, the MMA established a new Medicare outpatient prescription drug benefit under Part D. Further, the DRA requires the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, the federal agency that both administers the Medicare program and administers and oversees the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, to amend certain formulas used to calculate pharmacy reimbursement and rebates under Medicaid. In July 2007, CMS issued a final rule that, among other things, clarifies and changes how drug manufacturers must calculate and report key pricing data under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. This data is used by CMS and state Medicaid agencies to calculate rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and to calculate the federal upper limits on cost-sharing for certain prescription drugs. In December 2007, following a judicial challenge brought by a national association of pharmacies, a federal judge ordered an injunction that prevents CMS from implementing portions of its July rule, as they affect Medicaid payment to pharmacies and the sharing by CMS of certain drug pricing data, known as average manufacturer price, or AMP. In addition, the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act of 2008, or MIPPA, which was passed in July 2008, delays the implementation dates of these portions of the July 2007 Medicaid final rule. The MIPPA prohibits the computation of Medicaid payments based on AMP and the public availability of AMP data through September 2009. If CMS is ultimately permitted to implement this portion of its rule, changes could lead to reduced payments to pharmacies and others dispensing prescriptions for certain pharmaceutical products. These and other cost containment measures and health care reforms could adversely affect our ability to sell our products.

The DRA also requires that each state collect key pricing information related to rebates owed by us and other manufacturers of certain physician administered single source drugs as a condition of that state's receipt of future Medicaid payments from the federal government. This change went into effect on January 1, 2006 for single source drugs and may result in an increase in the rebate amounts paid by us to each state for the period from February 2006 to the present and, in some cases, for periods prior to February 2006. These rebate amounts may be substantial and may adversely affect our revenues and profitability. Furthermore, effective January 1, 2008, CMS reduced Medicare reimbursement for most separately payable physician-administered drugs under HOPPS from an average sales price plus six percent to plus five percent. An additional reduction to average sales price plus four percent went into effect January 1, 2009, which will continue for 2010, but further reductions may be imposed in the future.

Other recent federal regulatory changes include a final rule issued by the U.S. Department of Defense, or DoD, placing pricing limits on certain branded pharmaceutical products. Under the rule, effective May 26, 2009, payments made to retail pharmacies under the TRICARE Retail Pharmacy Program for prescriptions filled on or after January 28, 2008 are subject to certain price ceilings utilized by other DoD programs. Pursuant to the final rule and as a condition for placement on the Uniform Formulary, manufacturers are required, among other things, to modify their existing contracts with the DoD and to make refunds for prescriptions filled beginning on

---

**Table of Contents**

January 28, 2008 and extending to future periods based on the newly applicable price limits. The refunds required by the rule exempt certain prescriptions covered by manufacturer requests for a waiver. Following a legal challenge by an industry coalition to the DoD's final rule, on November 30, 2009, a federal district court found the rule was materially defective because it erroneously concluded the DoD was required by statute to collect refunds as the means to subject prescriptions to the price ceilings. The court allowed DoD to retain the existing rule, including the imposition of retroactive refund liability, but issued a remand for the DoD to decide whether to use a different mechanism to implement price ceilings. On February 9, 2010, the DoD published a notice seeking public comments on whether to retain its existing approach or implement a new one. The issue of DoD's statutory authority to impose retroactive and prospective liability through refunds is on appeal.

In addition, individual states have also become increasingly aggressive in passing legislation and implementing regulations designed to control pharmaceutical product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access, and to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing. Legally-mandated price controls on payment amounts by third-party payors or other restrictions could negatively and materially impact our revenues and financial condition.

We expect there will continue to be federal and state laws and/or regulations, proposed and implemented, that could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for health care products and services. The extent to which future legislation or regulations, if any, relating to the health care industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted or what effect such legislation or regulation would have on our business remains uncertain.

Furthermore, regional health care authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical and medical device products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription drug and other health care programs. This can reduce demand for our products or put pressure on our product pricing, which could negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Our ability to sell our products to hospitals in the United States also depends in part on our relationships with group purchasing organizations, or GPOs. Many existing and potential customers for our products become members of GPOs. GPOs negotiate pricing arrangements and contracts, sometimes on an exclusive basis, with medical supply manufacturers and distributors, and these negotiated prices are made available to a GPO's affiliated hospitals and other members. If we are not one of the providers selected by a GPO, affiliated hospitals and other members may be less likely to purchase our products, and if the GPO has negotiated a strict sole source, market share compliance or bundling contract for another manufacturer's products, we may be precluded from making sales to members of the GPO for the duration of the contractual arrangement. Our failure to renew contracts with GPOs may cause us to lose market share and could have a material adverse effect on our sales, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew these contracts at the current or substantially similar terms. If we are unable to keep our relationships and develop new relationships with GPOs, our competitive position would likely suffer.

We encounter similar legislative, regulatory and pricing issues in most countries outside the United States. International operations are generally subject to extensive governmental price controls and other market regulations, and we believe the increasing emphasis on cost-containment initiatives in Europe and other countries has and will continue to put pressure on the price and usage of our pharmaceutical and medical device products. Although we cannot predict the extent to which our business may be affected by future cost-containment measures or other potential legislative or regulatory developments, additional foreign price controls or other changes in pricing regulation could restrict the amount that we are able to charge for our current and future products, which could adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.



**Table of Contents**

*We are subject to risks arising from currency exchange rates, which could increase our costs and may cause our profitability to decline.*

We collect and pay a substantial portion of our sales and expenditures in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Therefore, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates affect our operating results. We cannot assure you that future exchange rate movements, inflation or other related factors will not have a material adverse effect on our sales or operating expenses.

*We are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally.*

Our business is subject to certain risks inherent in international business, many of which are beyond our control. These risks include, among other things:

adverse changes in tariff and trade protection measures;

reductions in the reimbursement amounts we receive for our products from foreign governments and foreign insurance providers;

unexpected changes in foreign regulatory requirements, including quality standards and other certification requirements;

potentially negative consequences from changes in or interpretations of tax laws;

differing labor regulations;

changing economic conditions in countries where our products are sold or manufactured or in other countries;

differing local product preferences and product requirements;

exchange rate risks;

restrictions on the repatriation of funds;

political unrest and hostilities;

product liability, intellectual property and other claims;

new export license requirements;

differing degrees of protection for intellectual property; and

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

difficulties in coordinating and managing foreign operations, including ensuring that foreign operations comply with foreign laws as well as U.S. laws applicable to U.S. companies with foreign operations, such as export laws and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA.

Any of these factors, or any other international factors, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We cannot assure you that we can successfully manage these risks or avoid their effects.

***The consolidation of drug wholesalers and other wholesaler actions could increase competitive and pricing pressures on pharmaceutical manufacturers, including us.***

We sell our pharmaceutical products primarily through wholesalers. These wholesale customers comprise a significant part of the distribution network for pharmaceutical products in the United States. This distribution network is continuing to undergo significant consolidation. As a result, a smaller number of large wholesale distributors control a significant share of the market. We expect that consolidation of drug wholesalers will increase competitive and pricing pressures on pharmaceutical manufacturers, including us. In addition, wholesalers may apply pricing pressure through fee-for-service arrangements, and their purchases may exceed

**Table of Contents**

customer demand, resulting in reduced wholesaler purchases in later quarters. We cannot assure you that we can manage these pressures or that wholesaler purchases will not decrease as a result of this potential excess buying.

*Our failure to attract and retain key managerial, technical, scientific, selling and marketing personnel could adversely affect our business.*

Our success depends upon our retention of key managerial, technical, scientific, selling and marketing personnel. The loss of the services of key personnel might significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our development and strategic objectives.

We must continue to attract, train and retain managerial, technical, scientific, selling and marketing personnel. Competition for such highly skilled employees in our industry is high, and we cannot be certain that we will be successful in recruiting or retaining such personnel. We also believe that our success depends to a significant extent on the ability of our key personnel to operate effectively, both individually and as a group. If we are unable to identify, hire and integrate new employees in a timely and cost-effective manner, our operating results may suffer.

*Acquisitions of technologies, products, and businesses could disrupt our business, involve increased expenses and present risks not contemplated at the time of the transactions.*

As part of our business strategy, we regularly consider and, as appropriate, make acquisitions of technologies, products and businesses that we believe are complementary to our business. Acquisitions typically entail many risks and could result in difficulties in integrating the operations, personnel, technologies and products acquired, some of which may result in significant charges to earnings. Issues that must be addressed in integrating the acquired technologies, products and businesses into our own include:

conforming standards, controls, procedures and policies, business cultures and compensation structures;

conforming information technology and accounting systems;

consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures;

consolidating sales and marketing operations;

retaining existing customers and attracting new customers;

retaining key employees;

identifying and eliminating redundant and underperforming operations and assets;

minimizing the diversion of management's attention from ongoing business concerns;

coordinating geographically dispersed organizations;

managing tax costs or inefficiencies associated with integrating operations; and

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

making any necessary modifications to operating control standards to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

If we are unable to successfully integrate our acquisitions with our existing business, we may not obtain the advantages that the acquisitions were intended to create, which may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flows, our ability to develop and introduce new products and the market price of our stock. Actual costs and sales synergies, if achieved at all, may be lower than we expect and may take longer to achieve than we anticipate. In connection with acquisitions, we could experience disruption in our business or employee base, or key employees of companies that we acquire may seek employment elsewhere, including with our competitors. Furthermore, the products of companies we acquire may overlap with our products or those of our customers, creating conflicts with existing relationships or with other commitments that are detrimental to the integrated businesses.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Compliance with the extensive government regulations to which we are subject is expensive and time consuming, and may result in the delay or cancellation of product sales, introductions or modifications.*

Extensive industry regulation has had, and will continue to have, a significant impact on our business, especially our product development and manufacturing capabilities. All companies that manufacture, market and distribute pharmaceuticals and medical devices, including us, are subject to extensive, complex, costly and evolving regulation by federal governmental authorities, principally by the FDA and the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, and similar foreign and state government agencies. Failure to comply with the regulatory requirements of the FDA, DEA and other U.S. and foreign regulatory agencies may subject a company to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including, among others, a refusal to approve a pending application to market a new product or a new indication for an existing product. The FDCA, the Controlled Substances Act and other domestic and foreign statutes and regulations govern or influence the research, testing, manufacturing, packing, labeling, storing, record keeping, safety, effectiveness, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of our products.

Under certain of these regulations, we are subject to periodic inspection of our facilities, production processes and control operations and/or the testing of our products by the FDA, the DEA and other authorities, to confirm that we are in compliance with all applicable regulations, including the FDA's cGMPs, with respect to drug and biologic products, and the FDA's QSR, with respect to medical device products. The FDA conducts pre-approval and post-approval reviews and plant inspections of us and our direct and indirect suppliers to determine whether our record keeping, production processes and controls, personnel and quality control are in compliance with the cGMPs, the QSR and other FDA regulations. We are also required to perform extensive audits of our vendors, contract laboratories and suppliers to ensure that they are compliant with these requirements. In addition, in order to commercialize our products or new indications for an existing product, we must demonstrate that the product or new indication is safe and effective, and that our and our suppliers' manufacturing facilities are compliant with applicable regulations, to the satisfaction of the FDA and other regulatory agencies.

The process for obtaining governmental approval to manufacture and to commercialize pharmaceutical and medical device products is rigorous, costly and typically takes many years, and we cannot predict the extent to which we may be affected by legislative and regulatory developments. We are dependent on receiving FDA and other governmental approvals prior to manufacturing, marketing and distributing our products. We may fail to obtain approval from the FDA or other governmental authorities for our product candidates, or we may experience delays in obtaining such approvals, due to varying interpretations of data or our failure to satisfy rigorous efficacy, safety and manufacturing quality standards. Consequently, there is always a risk that the FDA or other applicable governmental authorities will not approve our products, or will take post-approval action limiting or revoking our ability to sell our products, or that the rate, timing and cost of such approvals will adversely affect our product introduction plans, results of operations and stock price. Despite the time and expense exerted, regulatory approval is never guaranteed.

Even after we obtain regulatory approval or clearance for a product candidate or new indication, we are subject to extensive additional regulation, including implementation of REMS programs, completion of post-marketing clinical studies mandated by the FDA, and compliance with regulations relating to labeling, advertising, marketing and promotion. In addition, we are subject to adverse event reporting regulations that require us to report to the FDA if our products are associated with a death or serious injury. If we or any third party that we involve in the testing, packaging, manufacture, labeling, marketing and distribution of our products fail to comply with any such regulations, we may be subject to, among other things, warning letters, product seizures, recalls, fines or other civil penalties, injunctions, suspension or revocation of approvals, operating restrictions and/or criminal prosecution.

In the past few years, the FDA has increased its enforcement activities related to the advertising and promotion of pharmaceutical, biological and medical device products. In particular, the FDA has expressed

---

**Table of Contents**

concern regarding the pharmaceutical and medical device industry's compliance with the agency's regulations and guidance governing direct-to-consumer advertising, and has increased its scrutiny of such promotional materials. The FDA may limit or, with respect to certain products, terminate our dissemination of direct-to-consumer advertisements in the future, which could cause sales of those products to decline. Physicians may prescribe pharmaceutical and biologic products, and utilize medical device products for uses that are not described in the product's labeling or differ from those tested by us and approved or cleared by the FDA. While such off-label uses are common and the FDA does not regulate a physician's choice of treatment, the FDA takes the position that a manufacturer's communications regarding an approved product's off-label uses are restricted by federal statutes, FDA regulations and other governmental communications. For example, the FDA issued final guidelines on January 13, 2009 setting forth good reprint practices for drug and medical device manufacturers, which provide detailed requirements drug and device companies must follow when disseminating journal articles and referencing publications describing off-label uses of their approved products to health care professionals and entities. The standards associated with such laws and rules are complex, not well defined or articulated and are subject to conflicting interpretations. If, in the view of the FDA or other governmental agency, our promotional activities fail to comply with applicable laws, regulations, guidelines or interpretations, we may be subject to enforcement actions by the FDA or other governmental enforcement authorities.

On October 1, 2009, we filed a declaratory relief action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia seeking a ruling that would allow us to share truthful, non-misleading information with the medical community to assist physicians in evaluating the risks and benefits of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for off-label therapeutic uses. We cannot predict the outcome of this action.

From time to time, legislative or regulatory proposals are introduced that could alter the review and approval process relating to our products. It is possible that the FDA or other governmental authorities will issue additional regulations further restricting the sale of our present or proposed products. Any change in legislation or regulations that govern the review and approval process relating to our current and future products could make it more difficult and costly to obtain approval for new products, or to produce, market and distribute existing products.

***Compliance with the requirements of federal and state laws pertaining to the privacy and security of health information may be time consuming, difficult and costly, and if we are unable to or fail to comply, our business may be adversely affected.***

We are subject to various privacy and security regulations, including but not limited to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009 (collectively, "HIPAA"). HIPAA mandates, among other things, the adoption of uniform standards for the electronic exchange of information in common health care transactions (e.g., health care claims information and plan eligibility, referral certification and authorization, claims status, plan enrollment, coordination of benefits and related information), as well as standards relating to the privacy and security of individually identifiable health information, which require the adoption of administrative, physical and technical safeguards to protect such information. In addition, many states have enacted comparable laws addressing the privacy and security of health information, some of which are more stringent than HIPAA. Failure to comply with these laws can result in the imposition of significant civil and criminal penalties. The costs of compliance with these laws and potential liability associated with failure to do so could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***If we market products in a manner that violates health care fraud and abuse laws, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties.***

The federal health care program Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any health care item or service reimbursable under

---

**Table of Contents**

Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed health care programs. This statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical or medical device manufacturers, on the one hand, and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers, on the other hand. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly, and practices that involve remuneration could be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor.

Federal false claims laws prohibit any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making, or causing to be made, a false statement to get a false claim paid. Pharmaceutical companies have been prosecuted under these laws for a variety of alleged promotional and marketing activities, such as allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product; reporting to pricing services inflated average wholesale prices that were then used by federal programs to set reimbursement rates; engaging in off-label promotion that caused claims to be submitted to Medicaid for non-covered off-label uses; and submitting inflated best price information to the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program.

In March 2008, we received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the U.S. Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Northern District of Georgia, or DOJ. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to our sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>. In September 2009, we received service of process of an Investigative Demand from the U.S. Department of Justice for the State of Oregon. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to our sales and marketing practices in connection with *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>. In December 2009, the DOJ served us with a Supplemental Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting the production of additional documents relating to certain of our speaker bureau programs. In January 2010, we received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the Attorney General, State of Delaware. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to the Company's sales and marketing practices in connection with *Restas*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>.

The subpoenas also require us to produce a significant number of electronic and hard copy documents created over multiple years and existing in numerous electronic data bases and hard copy files. Such subpoenas are often associated with previously filed qui tam actions, or lawsuits filed under seal under the False Claims Act, or FCA, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq. Qui tam actions are brought by private plaintiffs suing on behalf of the federal government for alleged FCA violations. The time and expense associated with responding to the subpoenas, and any related qui tam actions and conducting a substantive review of the documents, underlying facts and other matters involved in the DOJ's inquiries, may be extensive, and we cannot predict the results of our review of the responsive documents and underlying facts or the results of the DOJ's inquiries. The costs of responding to the DOJ's inquiries, defending any claims raised, and any resulting fines, restitution, damages and penalties (including under the FCA), and administrative actions could have a material impact on our reputation, business and financial condition and divert the attention of our management from operating our business. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, *Legal Proceedings* and Note 15, *Commitments and Contingencies*, in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*, for information concerning the DOJ's inquiries.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 created two new federal crimes: health care fraud and false statements relating to health care matters. The health care fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program, including private payors. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items or services.

The majority of states also have statutes or regulations similar to these federal laws, which apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor. In addition, some states have laws that require pharmaceutical companies to adopt comprehensive

---

**Table of Contents**

compliance programs. For example, under California law, pharmaceutical companies must adopt a comprehensive compliance program that is in accordance with both the OIG Guidance and the PhRMA Code, as updated in July 2008 and effective in January 2009. The PhRMA Code seeks to promote transparency in relationships between health care professionals and the pharmaceutical industry and to ensure that pharmaceutical marketing activities comport with the highest ethical standards. The most recent revisions to the PhRMA Code, effective January 2009, restrict or prohibit many activities previously permissible under the prior PhRMA Code, including: a prohibition on any entertainment or recreational events for non-employee health care professionals including strict limitations on meals with physicians; the elimination of non-educational business gifts; restrictions on speaker programs; and clarifications on continuing medical education funding. The updated PhRMA Code also requires that pharmaceutical companies train their representatives on all applicable laws, regulations and industry codes governing interactions with health care professionals. In addition, the AdvaMed Code also seeks to ensure that medical device companies and health care professionals have collaborative relationships that meet high ethical standards; medical decisions are based on the best interests of patients; and medical device companies and health care professionals comply with applicable laws, regulations and government guidance. The AdvaMed Code was updated in December 2008 and became effective in July 2009. The revisions generally follow the 2008 changes in the PhRMA Code and include limitations on consulting arrangements, entertainment, meals and gifts, among others. We have adopted and implemented a compliance program which we believe satisfies the requirements of these laws, regulations and industry codes.

Sanctions under these federal and state laws may include civil monetary penalties, exclusion of a manufacturer's products from reimbursement under government programs, criminal fines and imprisonment. Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the safe harbors, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. For example, we and several other pharmaceutical companies are currently subject to suits by governmental entities in several jurisdictions, including Erie, Oswego and Schenectady Counties in New York and in Alabama alleging that we and these other companies, through promotional, discounting and pricing practices, reported false and inflated average wholesale prices or wholesale acquisition costs and failed to report best prices as required by federal and state rebate statutes, resulting in the plaintiffs overpaying for certain medications. If our past or present operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or other similar governmental regulations to which we are subject, we may be subject to the applicable penalty associated with the violation which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

*We could be adversely affected by violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar worldwide anti-bribery laws.*

We are subject to the FCPA, which generally prohibits companies and their intermediaries from making payments to non-U.S. government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business or securing any other improper advantage. We are also subject to anti-bribery laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate. Although we have policies and procedures designed to ensure that we, our employees and our agents comply with the FCPA and other anti-bribery laws, there is no assurance that such policies or procedures will protect us against liability under the FCPA or other laws for actions taken by our agents, employees and intermediaries with respect to our business or any businesses that we acquire. We do business in a number of countries in which FCPA violations have recently been enforced. Failure to comply with the FCPA, other anti-bribery laws or other laws governing the conduct of business with foreign government entities, including local laws, could disrupt our business and lead to severe criminal and civil penalties, including imprisonment, criminal and civil fines, loss of our export licenses, suspension of our ability to do business with the federal government, denial of government reimbursement for our products and exclusion from participation in government health care programs. Other remedial measures could include further changes or enhancements to our procedures, policies, and controls and potential personnel changes and/or disciplinary actions, any of which could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. We could also be adversely affected by any allegation that we violated such laws.



---

**Table of Contents**

***If our collaborative partners do not perform, we will be unable to develop and market products as anticipated.***

We have entered into collaborative arrangements with third parties to develop and market certain products, including our arrangement with GlaxoSmithKline to market *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan and China and certain other products in the United States, our co-promotion agreement with Covidien to promote the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System in the United States, our agreement with Stiefel to develop and commercialize new products that include tazarotene, our collaboration with Spectrum for the development and commercialization of apaziquone and our agreement with Quintiles to co-promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>. We cannot assure you that these collaborations will be successful, lead to additional sales of our products or lead to the creation of additional products. If we fail to maintain our existing collaborative arrangements or fail to enter into additional collaborative arrangements, our licensing revenues and/or the number of products from which we could receive future revenues could decline.

Our dependence on collaborative arrangements with third parties subjects us to a number of risks. These collaborative arrangements may not be on terms favorable to us. Agreements with collaborative partners typically allow partners significant discretion in marketing our products or electing whether or not to pursue any of the planned activities. We cannot fully control the amount and timing of resources our collaborative partners may devote to products based on the collaboration, and our partners may choose to pursue alternative products to the detriment of our collaboration. In addition, our partners may not perform their obligations as expected. Business combinations, significant changes in a collaborative partner's business strategy, or its access to financial resources may adversely affect a partner's willingness or ability to complete its obligations. Moreover, we could become involved in disputes with our partners, which could lead to delays or termination of the collaborations and time-consuming and expensive litigation or arbitration. Even if we fulfill our obligations under a collaborative agreement, our partner can terminate the agreement under certain circumstances. If any collaborative partners were to terminate or breach our agreements with them, or otherwise fail to complete their obligations in a timely manner, we could be materially and adversely affected.

***Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability.***

We are subject to income taxes in both the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with different statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and regulations, changes in our interpretations of tax laws, including pending tax law changes, changes in our manufacturing activities and changes in our future levels of research and development spending. In that regard, President Obama's administration has recently announced a number of revenue proposals (including changes in the tax deductibility of interest payments) that could substantially impact the U.S. taxation of U.S.-based multinational corporations such as Allergan. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other local, state and foreign tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our estimated income tax liabilities. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse effect on our provision for income taxes and estimated income tax liabilities.

Changes in applicable tax laws may adversely affect sales or the profitability of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants. Because *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic are pharmaceutical products and our dermal fillers and breast implants are medical devices, we generally do not collect or pay state sales or other tax on sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants. We could be required to collect and pay state sales or other tax associated with prior, current or future years on sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants. In addition to any retroactive taxes and corresponding interest and penalties that could be assessed, if we were required to collect or pay state sales or other tax associated with

---

**Table of Contents**

current or future years on sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants, our sales of, or our profitability from, *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, our dermal fillers or breast implants could be adversely affected due to the increased cost associated with those products.

***The terms of our debt agreements impose restrictions on us. Failure to comply with these restrictions could result in acceleration of our substantial debt. Were this to occur, we might not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient cash to pay our accelerated indebtedness.***

Our total indebtedness as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$1,509.4 million. This indebtedness may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which it operates and, consequently, place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors. The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in our debt agreements may adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in new business activities. For example, our debt agreements restrict our ability to, among other things:

incur liens or engage in sale lease-back transactions; and

engage in consolidations, mergers and asset sales.

In addition, our debt agreements include financial covenants that we maintain certain financial ratios. As a result of these covenants and ratios, we have certain limitations on the manner in which we can conduct our business, and we may be restricted from engaging in favorable business activities or financing future operations or capital needs. Accordingly, these restrictions may limit our ability to successfully operate our business. Failure to comply with the financial covenants or to maintain the financial ratios contained in our debt agreements could result in an event of default that could trigger acceleration of our indebtedness. We cannot assure you that our future operating results will be sufficient to ensure compliance with the covenants in our debt agreements or to remedy any such default. In addition, in the event of any default and related acceleration of obligations, we may not have or be able to obtain sufficient funds to make any accelerated payments.

***Litigation may harm our business or otherwise distract our management.***

Substantial, complex or extended litigation could cause us to incur large expenditures and distract our management. For example, lawsuits by employees, stockholders, customers or competitors could be very costly and substantially disrupt our business. Disputes from time to time with such companies or individuals are not uncommon, and we cannot assure you that we will always be able to resolve such disputes out of court or on terms favorable to us. See Item 3 of Part I of this report, *Legal Proceedings* and Note 14, *Legal Proceedings*, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*, for information concerning our current litigation.

***Our publicly-filed SEC reports are reviewed by the SEC from time to time and any significant changes required as a result of any such review may result in material liability to us and have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.***

The reports of publicly-traded companies are subject to review by the SEC from time to time for the purpose of assisting companies in complying with applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall effectiveness of companies' public filings, and comprehensive reviews of such reports are now required at least every three years under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. SEC reviews may be initiated at any time. While we believe that our previously filed SEC reports comply, and we intend that all future reports will comply in all material respects with the published rules and regulations of the SEC, we could be required to modify or reformulate information contained in prior filings as a result of an SEC review. Any modification or reformulation of information contained in such reports could be significant and could result in material liability to us and have a material adverse impact on the trading price of our common stock.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

**Item 2. Properties**

Our operations are conducted in owned and leased facilities located throughout the world. We believe our present facilities are adequate for our current needs. Our headquarters and primary administrative and research facilities, which we own, are located in Irvine, California. We own and lease additional facilities in California to provide administrative, research and raw material support, manufacturing, warehousing and distribution. We own one facility in Texas for manufacturing and warehousing.

Outside of the United States, we own, lease and operate various facilities for manufacturing and warehousing. Those facilities are located in Brazil, France, Ireland and Costa Rica. Other material facilities include leased facilities for administration in Australia, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Korea, Singapore, Spain and the United Kingdom.

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

We are involved in various lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business.

*Clayworth v. Allergan, et al.*

In August 2004, James Clayworth, R.Ph., doing business as Clayworth Pharmacy, filed a complaint entitled *Clayworth v. Allergan, et al.* in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Alameda. The complaint, as amended, named us and 12 other defendants and alleged unfair business practices, including a price fixing conspiracy relating to the reimportation of pharmaceuticals from Canada. The complaint sought damages, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2007, the court entered a notice of entry of judgment of dismissal against the plaintiffs, dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint. On the same date, the plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the Court of Appeal of the State of California. In April 2007, the plaintiffs filed an opening brief with the court of appeal. The defendants filed their joint opposition in July 2007, and the plaintiffs filed their reply in August 2007. In May 2008, the court of appeal heard oral arguments and took the matter under submission. In July 2008, the court of appeal affirmed the superior court's ruling, granting our motion for summary judgment. In August 2008, the plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing with the court of appeal, which the court denied. In September 2008, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the Supreme Court of the State of California, which the supreme court granted in November 2008. In February 2009, the plaintiffs filed their opening brief on the merits with the supreme court and defendants filed their answer brief in May 2009. In June 2009, the plaintiffs filed their reply brief on the merits with the supreme court.

*Ocular Research of Boston, Inc. v. Allergan, Inc.*

In August 2007, Ocular Research of Boston, Inc. filed a complaint entitled *Ocular Research of Boston, Inc. v. Allergan, Inc.* in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division. The complaint alleges that our *Refresh Dry Eye Therapy*<sup>®</sup>, *Refresh Endura*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> products infringe U.S. Patent No. 5,578,586, or the '586 patent, entitled *Dry Eye Treatment Process and Solution* and seeks a permanent injunction against us enjoining us from making, using, selling or offering for sale in the United States any product utilizing the patented inventions or designs claimed in the '586 patent. The complaint also seeks treble damages for willful infringement, interest on such damages, costs and attorneys' fees. In November 2007, we filed an answer and counterclaims to the complaint, asserting that the patent is invalid and not infringed by any of our products. In November 2009, we filed a first amended answer and counterclaims to the original complaint. The court has scheduled a trial date for August 2, 2010.

**Table of Contents***Allergan, Inc., et al. v. Apotex, Inc., et al.*

In October 2007, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Apotex indicating that Apotex had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>. In the certification, Apotex contends that U.S. Patent Nos. 5,880,283 and 6,333,045, both of which are licensed to us and are listed in the Orange Book under *Zymar*<sup>®</sup>, are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Apotex product. In November 2007, we, Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Senju, and Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., or Kyorin, filed a complaint captioned *Allergan, Inc., Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. v. Apotex, Inc., et al.* in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,333,045. In January 2008, Apotex filed an answer and a counterclaim, as well as a motion to partially dismiss the plaintiffs' complaint. In February 2008, we, Senju and Kyorin filed a response of non-opposition to Apotex's motion to partially dismiss the complaint. A three-day bench trial was conducted in January 2010, the outcome of which is pending.

*Allergan, Inc. v. Cayman Chemical Company, et al.*

In November 2007, we filed a complaint captioned *Allergan, Inc. v. Cayman Chemical Company, Jan Marini Skin Research, Inc., Athena Cosmetics, Inc., Dermaquest, Inc., Intuit Beauty, Inc., Civic Center Pharmacy and Photomedex, Inc.* in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. In the complaint, we allege that the defendants are infringing U.S. Patent No. 6,262,105, or the 105 patent, licensed to us by Murray A. Johnstone, M.D. In January 2008, we filed a motion for leave to file a second amended complaint to add Dr. Johnstone, the holder of the 105 patent, as a plaintiff and to add Global MDRx and ProCyte Corporation, or ProCyte, as defendants. In March 2008, the court granted the motion for leave to file a second amended complaint. In April 2008, we filed a motion for leave to file a third amended complaint to add patent infringement claims relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,351,404 against the defendants, and to add Athena Bioscience, LLC and Cosmetic Alchemy, LLC as additional defendants.

In 2008, we entered into settlement agreements with Jan Marini Skin Research, Inc., Intuit Beauty, Inc., Photomedex, Inc. and ProCyte pursuant to which each party agreed to acknowledge the validity of the patents in exchange for dismissing all claims against such defendant. In July 2008, the clerk of the court entered a default judgment against Global MDRx for failure to defend against the summons. In August 2008, the court dismissed Intuit Beauty, Inc. and Jan Marini Skin Research, Inc. with prejudice. In September 2008, we and Cayman Chemical Company entered into a settlement agreement under which Cayman Chemical Company agreed to cease selling certain compounds to be used in particular types of products in exchange for dismissing all claims against them. In December 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with Athena Bioscience, LLC under which they agreed to cease selling certain products and acknowledged the validity of our patents in exchange for our dismissing all claims against them.

In January 2009, we, along with Dr. Johnstone, filed a motion for leave to file a fourth amended complaint adding Pharma Tech, Inc., Dimensional Merchandising, Inc. and Cosmetic Technologies, Inc. as new defendants. In February 2009, we, along with Dr. Johnstone, filed a motion for default judgment and injunction against Global MDRx and the court granted our motion. In April 2009, we and Cosmetic Technologies, Inc. entered into a settlement agreement under which Cosmetic Technologies, Inc. agreed to cease manufacturing and selling certain products and acknowledge the validity of our patents in exchange for our dismissing all claims against them.

In March 2009, we filed a complaint captioned *Allergan, Inc.; Murray A. Johnstone, M.D.; and Duke University v. Athena Cosmetics, Inc.; Cosmetic Alchemy, LLC; Northwest Cosmetic Laboratories, LLC; Pharma Tech International, Inc.; Dimensional Merchandising, Inc.; Stella International, LLC; Product Innovations, LLC; Metrics, LLC; Nutra-Luxe M.D., LLC; Skin Research Laboratories, Inc.; Lifetech Resources LLC; Rocasuba, Inc.; Peter Thomas Roth Labs LLC; and Peter Thomas Roth, Inc.* in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,262,105, 7,351,404, and 7,388,029. In June

---

**Table of Contents**

2009, we and La Canada Ventures, Inc. and Susan Lin, M.D. entered into a settlement agreement under which La Canada Ventures, Inc. and Susan Lin, M.D. agreed to cease manufacturing and selling certain products and acknowledge the validity of our patents in exchange for our dismissing all claims against La Canada Ventures, Inc. and Susan Lin, M.D.

In June 2009, the court consolidated Allergan, Inc.; Murray A Johnstone, M.D.; and Duke University v. Athena Cosmetics, Inc. et al. with Allergan, Inc. v. Cayman Chemical Company, et al. and set an October 12, 2010 trial date for both cases. In July 2009, we filed a motion to file a first amended complaint and Athena Cosmetics, Inc. filed a second amended answer and counterclaims to the complaint. In August 2009, the court granted our motion for leave to file a first amended complaint and we filed a motion to dismiss certain of Athena Cosmetic, Inc. s claims and counterclaims. In September 2009, the court dismissed one of Athena Cosmetic, Inc. s claims without prejudice and two of Athena Cosmetic, Inc. s counterclaims with prejudice. In October 2009, the defendants filed answers, amended answers and/or counterclaims to our first amended complaint. In February 2010, we and Athena Cosmetic, Inc. filed a stipulation with the court to bifurcate Athena Cosmetic, Inc. s antitrust and Lanham Act counterclaims into separate trials. In February 2010, Athena Cosmetic, Inc., Pharma Tech and Northwest Cosmetic filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings regarding our claim for violation of the California unfair competition statute.

*Kramer et al. v. Allergan, Inc.*

In July 2008, a complaint entitled Kramer, Bryant, Spears, Doolittle, Clark, Whidden, Powell, Moore, Hennessey, Sody, Breeding, Downey, Underwood-Boswell, Reed-Momot, Purdon & Hahn v. Allergan, Inc. was filed in the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Orange. The complaint makes allegations against the Company relating to Botox<sup>®</sup> and Botox<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic including failure to warn, manufacturing defects, negligence, breach of implied and express warranties, deceit by concealment and negligent misrepresentation and seeks damages, attorneys fees and costs. In 2009, the plaintiffs Hennessey, Hahn, Underwood-Boswell, Purdon, Moore, Clark, Reed-Momot and Whidden were dismissed without prejudice. In October 2009, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment against plaintiff Dee Spears, which the court denied in December 2009. The trial related to plaintiff Dee Spears began in January 2010 and is in progress.

*Combigan<sup>®</sup> Patent Litigation*

In February 2009 and in April 2009, we received paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certifications from Sandoz, Inc., or Sandoz, and Hi-Tech Pharmacal Co., or Hi-Tech, respectively, indicating that Sandoz and Hi-Tech had filed ANDAs seeking approval of generic forms of Combigan<sup>®</sup>, a brimonidine tartrate 0.2%, timolol maleate 0.5% ophthalmic solution. In their separate certifications, Sandoz and Hi-Tech each contend that U.S. Patent Nos. 7,030,149 and 7,320,976, listed in the Orange Book under Combigan<sup>®</sup>, are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz product and by the proposed Hi-Tech product. We filed complaints against Sandoz and Hi-Tech in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in April 2009 and June 2009, respectively, alleging, in each case, that the defendant s proposed product infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,030,149 and 7,320,976. In June 2009, Sandoz filed a motion to dismiss and we filed a response to this motion in July 2009. In July 2009, Hi-Tech filed a motion to dismiss and we filed a response to this motion in September 2009. In October 2009, Hi-Tech filed a reply to our response. In October 2009, we filed a motion to consolidate the Hi-Tech action and the Sandoz action and the court granted our motion to consolidate the two actions.

In September 2009, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Alcon Research, Ltd., or Alcon, indicating that Alcon had filed an ANDA seeking approval of a generic version of Combigan<sup>®</sup>. In the certification, Alcon contends that U.S. Patent Nos. 7,030,149, 7,320,976 and 7,323,463 listed in the Orange Book under Combigan<sup>®</sup>, are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Alcon product. In November 2009, we filed a complaint against Alcon in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division. The complaint alleges that Alcon s proposed product infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,030,149, 7,320,976 and 7,323,463.

---

**Table of Contents**

In October 2009 and November 2009 we received amended paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certifications from Sandoz and Hi-Tech, respectively, indicating that Sandoz and Hi-Tech had filed ANDAs seeking approval of generic forms of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>. In their separate certifications, Sandoz and Hi-Tech each contend that U.S. Patent No. 7,323,463 listed in the Orange Book under *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, is invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz and Hi-Tech products. In November 2009, we filed an amended complaint against Sandoz and Hi-Tech for patent infringement to assert the 7,323,463 patent. In January 2010, the Hi-Tech action and the Sandoz action were consolidated with the Alcon action. In February 2010, we received amended paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certifications from Sandoz and Hi-Tech, indicating that Sandoz and Hi-Tech had filed ANDAs seeking approval of generic forms of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>. In their separate certifications, Sandoz and Hi-Tech contend that U.S. Patent No. 7,642,258 listed in the Orange Book under *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, is invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz and Hi-Tech products. The court has scheduled an August 1, 2011 trial date for the consolidated Hi-Tech, Sandoz and Alcon actions.

In December 2009, we received a Notice of Allegation letter from Sandoz Canada Inc., or Sandoz Canada, indicating that Sandoz Canada had filed an Abbreviated New Drug Submission, or ANDS, under paragraphs 5(1)(b)(iii), 5(1)(b)(iv) and 5(3) of the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations for approval of a generic version of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> (DIN 02248347). In the letter, Sandoz Canada contends that Canadian Patent Nos. 2,173,974, 2,225,626 and 2,440,764 are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz Canada product. In February 2010, we filed a notice of application in the Canadian Federal Court. The application alleges that Sandoz's proposed product infringes Canadian Patent Nos. 2,225,626 and 2,440,764. In February 2010, we received a Notice of Allegation letter from Sandoz Canada, indicating that Sandoz Canada had filed an ANDS under paragraphs 5(1)(b)(iii), 5(1)(b)(iv) and 5(3) of the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations for approval of a generic version of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>. In the letter, Sandoz Canada contends that Canadian Patent No. 2,357,014 is invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz Canada product.

*Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> Patent Litigation

In March 2009, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Barr Laboratories, Inc., or Barr, indicating that Barr had filed an ANDA seeking approval of a generic form of *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, a bimatoprost 0.3% ophthalmic solution. In the certification, Barr contends that U.S. Patent Nos. 5,688,819 and 6,403,649, listed in the Orange Book under *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Barr product. In May 2009, we filed a complaint against Barr in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that Barr's proposed product infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 5,688,819 and 6,403,649. In June 2009, Barr filed an answer to the complaint. The court has scheduled a January 10, 2011 trial date.

In December 2009, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Sandoz, indicating that Sandoz had filed an ANDA, seeking approval of a generic form of *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, a bimatoprost 0.3% ophthalmic solution. In the certification, Sandoz contends that U.S. Patent Nos. 5,688,819 and 6,403,649, listed in the Orange Book under *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup>, are invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz product. In January 2010, we filed a complaint against Sandoz in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that Sandoz's proposed product infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 5,688,819 and 6,403,649.

*Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> Patent Litigation

In June 2009, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Watson, through its subsidiary Watson Laboratories, Inc. Florida, indicating that Watson had filed an ANDA seeking approval of a generic form of *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, trospium 60 mg. chloride extended release capsules. In the certification, Watson contends that U.S. Patent No. 7,410,978, listed in the Orange Book under *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, is invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Watson product. In July

---

**Table of Contents**

2009, we, Endo Pharmaceuticals Solutions, Inc., and Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed a complaint against Watson, Watson Laboratories, Inc., Florida, and Watson Pharma, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that Watson's proposed product infringes U.S. Patent No. 7,410,978. In August 2009, Watson filed an answer and counterclaims to our complaint and we filed an answer to Watson's counterclaims in September 2009.

In November 2009, we received a paragraph 4 invalidity and noninfringement Hatch-Waxman Act certification from Sandoz, indicating that Sandoz had filed an ANDA seeking approval of a generic form of *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, trospium 60 mg. chloride extended release capsules. In the certification, Sandoz contends that U.S. Patent No. 7,410,978, listed in the Orange Book under *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, is invalid and/or not infringed by the proposed Sandoz product. In November 2009, we, Endo Pharmaceuticals Solutions, Inc., and Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed a complaint against Sandoz in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that Sandoz's proposed product infringes U.S. Patent No. 7,410,978. In January 2010, Sandoz filed an answer and counterclaims to our complaint. In February 2010, we filed an answer to Sandoz's counterclaims.

*Declaratory Relief Action*

In October 2009, we filed a declaratory relief action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against the United States of America, the FDA, Dr. Margaret Hamburg, Commissioner of the FDA, and Kathleen Sebelius, Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, seeking a ruling that would allow us to share truthful, non-misleading information with the medical community to assist physicians in evaluating the risks and benefits of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for off-label therapeutic uses. The court has scheduled an April 7, 2010 hearing date.

*Government Investigations*

In March 2008, we received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the U.S. Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Northern District of Georgia, or DOJ. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to our sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>. In December 2009, the DOJ served us with a Supplemental Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting the production of additional documents relating to certain of our speaker bureau programs.

In September 2009, Allergan received service of process of an Investigative Demand from the Department of Justice for the State of Oregon. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to our sales and marketing practices in connection with *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>. In January 2010, we received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the Attorney General, State of Delaware. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to the Company's sales and marketing practices in connection with *Restas*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>.

We are involved in various other lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. These other matters are, in the opinion of management, immaterial both individually and in the aggregate with respect to our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Because of the uncertainties related to the incurrence, amount and range of loss on any pending litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim, management is currently unable to predict the ultimate outcome of any litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make an estimate of the reasonably possible liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome. We believe however, that the liability, if any, resulting from the aggregate amount of uninsured damages for any outstanding litigation, investigation or claim, other than the inquiry being conducted by the DOJ related to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> discussed herein and in Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies, in our notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under

**Table of Contents**

Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an adverse ruling in a patent infringement lawsuit involving us could materially affect our ability to sell one or more of our products or could result in additional competition. In view of the unpredictable nature of such matters, we cannot provide any assurances regarding the outcome of any litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim to which we are a party or the impact on us of an adverse ruling in such matters.

**Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders***

We did not submit any matter during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.



**Table of Contents****PART II****Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table shows the quarterly price range of our common stock and the cash dividends declared per share of common stock during the periods listed.

| Calendar Quarter | 2009     |          |         | 2008     |          |         |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
|                  | Low      | High     | Div.    | Low      | High     | Div.    |
| First            | \$ 35.41 | \$ 50.89 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 53.51 | \$ 70.40 | \$ 0.05 |
| Second           | 43.01    | 50.00    | 0.05    | 51.00    | 60.29    | 0.05    |
| Third            | 44.78    | 58.84    | 0.05    | 50.01    | 61.72    | 0.05    |
| Fourth           | 53.32    | 64.08    | 0.05    | 28.95    | 52.78    | 0.05    |

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and is traded under the symbol AGN.

The approximate number of stockholders of record of our common stock was 5,374 as of February 17, 2010.

On February 2, 2010, our board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, payable March 12, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 19, 2010.

**Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans**

The information included under Item 12 of Part III of this report, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, is hereby incorporated by reference into this Item 5 of Part II of this report.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table discloses the purchases of our equity securities during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2009.

| Period                                | Total Number of Shares Purchased(1) | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(2) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| October 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009   | 222,100                             | \$ 56.88                     | 222,100                                                                          | 14,830,123                                                                                                      |
| November 1, 2009 to November 30, 2009 | 222,000                             | 57.75                        | 222,000                                                                          | 15,289,314                                                                                                      |
| December 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009 | 221,900                             | 60.34                        | 221,900                                                                          | 15,320,898                                                                                                      |
| Total                                 | 666,000                             | \$ 58.32                     | 666,000                                                                          | N/A                                                                                                             |

(1)

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

We maintain an evergreen stock repurchase program, which we first announced on September 28, 1993. Under the stock repurchase program, we may maintain up to 18.4 million repurchased shares in our treasury account at any one time. At December 31, 2009, we held approximately 3.1 million treasury shares under this program. Effective January 1, 2010, our current Rule 10b5-1 plan authorizes our broker to purchase our common stock traded in the open market pursuant to our evergreen stock repurchase program. The terms of the plan set forth a maximum annual limit of 4.0 million shares to be repurchased, certain quarterly maximum and minimum volume limits, and the plan is cancellable at any time in our sole discretion and in accordance with applicable insider trading laws.

- (2) The share numbers reflect the maximum number of shares that may be purchased under our stock repurchase program and are as of the end of each of the respective periods.

**Table of Contents****Item 6. Selected Financial Data****SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

|                                                                                       | Year Ended December 31,              |            |            |            |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                                                                                       | 2009                                 | 2008       | 2007       | 2006       | 2005       |
|                                                                                       | (in millions, except per share data) |            |            |            |            |
| <b>Summary of Operations</b>                                                          |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Product net sales                                                                     | \$ 4,447.6                           | \$ 4,339.7 | \$ 3,879.0 | \$ 3,010.1 | \$ 2,319.2 |
| Other revenues                                                                        | 56.0                                 | 63.7       | 59.9       | 53.2       | 23.4       |
| Total revenues                                                                        | 4,503.6                              | 4,403.4    | 3,938.9    | 3,063.3    | 2,342.6    |
| <b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>                                                  |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Cost of sales (excludes amortization of acquired intangible assets)                   | 750.9                                | 761.2      | 673.2      | 575.7      | 385.3      |
| Selling, general and administrative                                                   | 1,921.5                              | 1,856.1    | 1,680.2    | 1,333.4    | 936.8      |
| Research and development                                                              | 706.0                                | 797.9      | 718.1      | 1,055.5    | 388.3      |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets                                            | 146.3                                | 150.9      | 121.3      | 79.6       | 17.5       |
| Restructuring charges and asset write-offs, net                                       | 50.9                                 | 41.3       | 26.8       | 22.3       | 43.8       |
| Operating income (loss)                                                               | 928.0                                | 796.0      | 719.3      | (3.2)      | 570.9      |
| Non-operating (expense) income                                                        | (79.5)                               | (33.8)     | (54.9)     | (16.3)     | 28.3       |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes                        | 848.5                                | 762.2      | 664.4      | (19.5)     | 599.2      |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations                                            | 623.8                                | 564.7      | 487.0      | (127.0)    | 406.8      |
| Loss from discontinued operations                                                     |                                      |            | (1.7)      |            |            |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest                                  | 2.5                                  | 1.6        | 0.5        | 0.4        | 2.9        |
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to Allergan, Inc.                                    | \$ 621.3                             | \$ 563.1   | \$ 484.8   | \$ (127.4) | \$ 403.9   |
| <b>Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders:</b>   |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Continuing operations                                                                 | \$ 2.05                              | \$ 1.85    | \$ 1.59    | \$ (0.43)  | \$ 1.54    |
| Discontinued operations                                                               |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| <b>Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders:</b> |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Continuing operations                                                                 | \$ 2.03                              | \$ 1.84    | \$ 1.58    | \$ (0.43)  | \$ 1.51    |
| Discontinued operations                                                               |                                      |            | (0.01)     |            |            |
| Cash dividends per share                                                              | \$ 0.20                              | \$ 0.20    | \$ 0.20    | \$ 0.20    | \$ 0.20    |
| <b>Financial Position</b>                                                             |                                      |            |            |            |            |
| Current assets                                                                        | \$ 3,106.3                           | \$ 2,270.6 | \$ 2,124.2 | \$ 2,130.3 | \$ 1,825.6 |
| Working capital                                                                       | 2,294.7                              | 1,573.6    | 1,408.5    | 1,472.2    | 781.6      |
| Total assets                                                                          | 7,536.6                              | 6,791.8    | 6,578.8    | 5,765.4    | 2,850.5    |
| Long-term debt, excluding current portion                                             | 1,491.3                              | 1,570.5    | 1,499.4    | 1,491.1    | 57.5       |
| Total stockholders' equity                                                            | 4,822.8                              | 4,050.7    | 3,794.5    | 3,213.5    | 1,566.9    |

In the first quarter of 2009, we adopted updates to Financial Accounting Standards Board guidance related to the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled fully or partially in cash upon conversion and have retrospectively adjusted the information included in the summary of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the information included in financial position as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. Based on an accounting policy election, we did not retrospectively adjust the information included in the summary of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the information included in financial position as of December 31, 2005.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

This financial review presents our operating results for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and our financial condition at December 31, 2009. Except for the historical information contained herein, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements which are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We discuss such risks, uncertainties and other factors throughout this report and specifically under Item 1A of Part I of this report, Risk Factors. In addition, the following review should be read in connection with the information presented in our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to our consolidated financial statements.

**Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates and Assumptions**

The preparation and presentation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP, requires us to establish policies and to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements. In our judgment, the accounting policies, estimates and assumptions described below have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements. Accounting assumptions and estimates are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ materially from our estimates.

**Revenue Recognition**

We recognize revenue from product sales when goods are shipped and title and risk of loss transfer to our customers. A substantial portion of our revenue is generated by the sale of specialty pharmaceutical products (primarily eye care pharmaceuticals, skin care and urologics products) to wholesalers within the United States, and we have a policy to attempt to maintain average U.S. wholesaler inventory levels at an amount less than eight weeks of our net sales. A portion of our revenue is generated from consigned inventory of breast implants maintained at physician, hospital and clinic locations. These customers are contractually obligated to maintain a specific level of inventory and to notify us upon the use of consigned inventory. Revenue for consigned inventory is recognized at the time we are notified by the customer that the product has been used. Notification is usually through the replenishing of the inventory, and we periodically review consignment inventories to confirm the accuracy of customer reporting.

We generally offer cash discounts to customers for the early payment of receivables. Those discounts are recorded as a reduction of revenue and accounts receivable in the same period that the related sale is recorded. The amounts reserved for cash discounts were \$3.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Provisions for cash discounts deducted from consolidated sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$50.4 million, \$42.1 million and \$35.1 million, respectively.

We permit returns of product from most product lines by any class of customer if such product is returned in a timely manner, in good condition and from normal distribution channels. Return policies in certain international markets and for certain medical device products, primarily breast implants, provide for more stringent guidelines in accordance with the terms of contractual agreements with customers. Our estimates for sales returns are based upon the historical patterns of product returns matched against sales, and management's evaluation of specific factors that may increase the risk of product returns. The amount of allowances for sales returns recognized in our consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$41.5 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, and are recorded in Other accrued expenses and Trade receivables, net in our consolidated balance sheets. See Note 5, Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions in the notes to our consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules. Provisions for sales returns deducted from consolidated sales were \$360.6 million, \$327.7 million and \$297.4 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increases in the amount of allowances for sales returns at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 and the provision for sales

**Table of Contents**

returns in 2009 compared to 2008 are primarily due to increased sales returns related to breast implant products, additional provisions for returns related to the genericization in the United States of certain eye care pharmaceutical products and a small increase in estimated product return rates for our other specialty pharmaceuticals products. The increase in the provision for sales returns in 2008 compared to 2007 is primarily due to the overall increase in net sales in 2008 compared to 2007. Historical allowances for cash discounts and product returns have been consistent with the amounts reserved or accrued.

We participate in various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs, the largest of which relates to Medicaid, Medicare and the Department of Veterans Affairs. Sales rebate and other incentive programs also include contractual volume rebate programs and chargebacks, which are contractual discounts given primarily to federal government agencies, health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefits managers and group purchasing organizations. We also offer rebate and other incentive programs for our aesthetic products and certain therapeutic products, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, and for certain skin care products. Sales rebates and incentive accruals reduce revenue in the same period that the related sale is recorded and are included in Other accrued expenses in our consolidated balance sheets. The amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs were \$158.6 million and \$102.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs deducted from consolidated sales were \$473.8 million, \$306.2 million and \$227.5 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increases in the amounts accrued at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 and the provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs in 2009 compared to 2008 are primarily due to an increase in the number of incentive programs offered and an increase in activity under previously established incentive programs, principally related to our eye care pharmaceuticals, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, skin care and facial aesthetics products. The increase in the provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs in 2008 compared to 2007 is primarily due to an increase in U.S. sales of products subject to managed care and contractual volume rebate and incentive programs, principally eye care pharmaceuticals, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and obesity intervention products, as well as an increase in sales of our aesthetic products subject to our rebate and incentive programs. In addition, an increase in our published list prices in the United States for pharmaceutical products, which occurred for several of our products in both 2009 and 2008, generally results in higher provisions for sales rebates and other incentive programs deducted from consolidated sales.

Our procedures for estimating amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs at the end of any period are based on available quantitative data and are supplemented by management's judgment with respect to many factors, including but not limited to, current market dynamics, changes in contract terms, changes in sales trends, an evaluation of current laws and regulations and product pricing. Quantitatively, we use historical sales, product utilization and rebate data and apply forecasting techniques in order to estimate our liability amounts. Qualitatively, management's judgment is applied to these items to modify, if appropriate, the estimated liability amounts. There are inherent risks in this process. For example, customers may not achieve assumed utilization levels; customers may misreport their utilization to us; and actual movements of the U.S. Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, or CPI-U, which affect our rebate programs with U.S. federal and state government agencies, may differ from those estimated. On a quarterly basis, adjustments to our estimated liabilities for sales rebates and other incentive programs related to sales made in prior periods have not been material and have generally been less than 0.5% of consolidated product net sales. An adjustment to our estimated liabilities of 0.5% of consolidated product net sales on a quarterly basis would result in an increase or decrease to net sales and earnings before income taxes of approximately \$6.0 million to \$7.0 million. The sensitivity of our estimates can vary by program and type of customer. Additionally, there is a significant time lag between the date we determine the estimated liability and when we actually pay the liability. Due to this time lag, we record adjustments to our estimated liabilities over several periods, which can result in a net increase to earnings or a net decrease to earnings in those periods. Material differences may result in the amount of revenue we recognize from product sales if the actual amount of rebates and incentives differ materially from the amounts estimated by management.

---

## **Table of Contents**

We recognize license fees, royalties and reimbursement income for services provided as other revenues based on the facts and circumstances of each contractual agreement. In general, we recognize income upon the signing of a contractual agreement that grants rights to products or technology to a third party if we have no further obligation to provide products or services to the third party after entering into the contract. We defer income under contractual agreements when we have further obligations that indicate that a separate earnings process has not been completed.

### ***Pensions***

We sponsor various pension plans in the United States and abroad in accordance with local laws and regulations. Our U.S. pension plans account for a large majority of our aggregate pension plans' net periodic benefit costs and projected benefit obligations. In connection with these plans, we use certain actuarial assumptions to determine the plans' net periodic benefit costs and projected benefit obligations, the most significant of which are the expected long-term rate of return on assets and the discount rate.

Our assumption for the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets in our U.S. funded pension plan for determining the net periodic benefit cost is 8.25% for 2009, which is the same rate used for 2008 and 2007. Our assumptions for the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on assets in our non-U.S. funded pension plans are 6.03%, 6.82% and 6.43% for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For our U.S. funded pension plan, we determine, based upon recommendations from our pension plan's investment advisors, the expected rate of return using a building block approach that considers diversification and rebalancing for a long-term portfolio of invested assets. Our investment advisors study historical market returns and preserve long-term historical relationships between equities and fixed income in a manner consistent with the widely-accepted capital market principle that assets with higher volatility generate a greater return over the long run. They also evaluate market factors such as inflation and interest rates before long-term capital market assumptions are determined. For our non-U.S. funded pension plans, the expected rate of return was determined based on asset distribution and assumed long-term rates of return on fixed income instruments and equities. Market conditions and other factors can vary over time and could significantly affect our estimates of the weighted average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The expected rate of return is applied to the market-related value of plan assets. As a sensitivity measure, the effect of a 0.25% decline in our rate of return on assets assumptions for our U.S. and non-U.S. funded pension plans would increase our expected 2010 pre-tax pension benefit cost by approximately \$1.5 million.

The weighted average discount rates used to calculate our U.S. and non-U.S. pension benefit obligations at December 31, 2009 were 6.04% and 6.16%, respectively, and at December 31, 2008 were 6.19% and 5.71%, respectively. The weighted average discount rates used to calculate our U.S. and non-U.S. net periodic benefit costs for 2009 were 6.19% and 5.71%, respectively, for 2008, 6.25% and 5.50%, respectively, and for 2007, 5.90% and 4.65%, respectively. We determine the discount rate based upon a hypothetical portfolio of high quality fixed income investments with maturities that mirror the pension benefit obligations at the plans' measurement date. Market conditions and other factors can vary over time and could significantly affect our estimates for the discount rates used to calculate our pension benefit obligations and net periodic benefit costs for future years. As a sensitivity measure, the effect of a 0.25% decline in the discount rate assumption for our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans would increase our expected 2010 pre-tax pension benefit costs by approximately \$3.3 million and increase our pension plans' projected benefit obligations at December 31, 2009 by approximately \$27.4 million.

### ***Share-Based Compensation***

We recognize compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors. The fair value of share-based awards is estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the portion that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as compensation cost over the requisite service period using the straight-line single option method. The fair value of modifications to share-based awards is generally estimated using a lattice model.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The determination of fair value using the Black-Scholes and lattice option-pricing models is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of complex and subjective variables, including expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected dividends and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. We currently estimate stock price volatility based upon an equal weighting of the historical average over the expected life of the award and the average implied volatility of at-the-money options traded in the open market. We estimate employee stock option exercise behavior based on actual historical exercise activity and assumptions regarding future exercise activity of unexercised, outstanding options.

Share-based compensation expense is recognized only for those awards that are ultimately expected to vest, and we have applied an estimated forfeiture rate to unvested awards for the purpose of calculating compensation cost. These estimates will be revised in future periods if actual forfeitures differ from the estimates. Changes in forfeiture estimates impact compensation cost in the period in which the change in estimate occurs.

### ***Income Taxes***

The provision for income taxes is determined using an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is generally less than the U.S. federal statutory rate, primarily because of lower tax rates in certain non-U.S. jurisdictions, research and development, or R&D, tax credits available in the United States and other foreign jurisdictions and deductions available in the United States for domestic production activities. Our effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year as new information is obtained, which may affect the assumptions used to estimate the annual effective tax rate, including factors such as the mix of pre-tax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, the recognition or derecognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions, expected utilization of R&D tax credits and changes in or the interpretation of tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct business. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers.

We record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets to reduce the net carrying value to an amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. When we establish or reduce the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets, our provision for income taxes will increase or decrease, respectively, in the period such determination is made. Valuation allowances against deferred tax assets were \$4.6 million and \$8.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Changes in the valuation allowances, when they are recognized in the provision for income taxes, are included as a component of the estimated annual effective tax rate.

In February 2009, the California Legislature enacted 2009-2010 budget legislation containing various California tax law changes including an election to apply a single sales factor apportionment formula for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. We anticipate making the election and as a result, the state and federal deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities were re-determined during the first quarter of 2009 to reflect an adjustment to the resulting tax rate. The impact of the adjustment was an increase to the provision for income taxes of \$1.5 million.

We have not provided for withholding and U.S. taxes for the unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because we have currently reinvested these earnings indefinitely in these foreign operations. At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$2,184.5 million in unremitted earnings outside the United States for which withholding and U.S. taxes were not provided. Income tax expense would be incurred if these funds were remitted to the United States. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of the deferred tax liability on such unremitted earnings. Upon remittance, certain foreign countries impose withholding taxes that are then available, subject to certain limitations, for use as credits against our U.S. tax liability, if any. We annually update our estimate of unremitted earnings outside the United States after the completion of each fiscal year.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Purchase Price Allocation***

The purchase price allocation for acquisitions requires extensive use of accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development, and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Additionally, we must determine whether an acquired entity is considered to be a business or a set of net assets, because a portion of the purchase price can only be allocated to goodwill in a business combination.

On July 7, 2009, we acquired a 50.005% stockholder interest in a joint venture, Samil Allergan Ophthalmic Joint Venture Company, or Samil, for approximately \$12.8 million, net of cash acquired. On July 11, 2008, we acquired all assets relating to *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> (dapsons) gel 5%, a topical treatment for acne vulgaris, for approximately \$150.0 million. On October 16, 2007, we acquired Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc., or Esprit, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.8 million, net of cash acquired. On February 22, 2007, we acquired EndoArt SA, or EndoArt, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired. On January 2, 2007, we acquired Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires, or Cornéal, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. We accounted for the acquisitions of Samil, Esprit, EndoArt and Cornéal as business combinations. We accounted for the *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> acquisition as a purchase of net assets and not as a business combination. The purchase prices for the acquisitions were allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition dates. The determination of estimated fair values requires significant estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to, determining the timing and estimated costs to complete the in-process projects, projecting regulatory approvals, estimating future cash flows, and developing appropriate discount rates. We believe the estimated fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on reasonable assumptions.

### ***Impairment Evaluations for Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets***

We evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist, by comparing the carrying value of each of our reporting units to their estimated fair value. We have two reporting units, specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices, and historically performed our evaluation as of January 1 of each year. In July 2009, we changed the timing of our annual impairment testing for goodwill from January 1 to October 1 of each year as a preferable method of accounting. Accordingly, we performed a second annual impairment assessment of goodwill in the fourth quarter of 2009. We decided to adopt this change in timing in order to assess the recorded values of goodwill for potential impairment at a time closer to our fiscal year end reporting date. We believe this change is preferable in reducing the potential risk that an undetected impairment indicator could occur in between the timing of our annual impairment test and the preparation of our year end financial statements. This change has no effect on reported earnings for any current or prior periods.

We primarily use the income approach and the market approach to valuation that include the discounted cash flow method, the guideline company method, as well as other generally accepted valuation methodologies to determine the fair value of our reporting units. Upon completion of the January and October 2009 annual impairment assessments, we determined that no impairment was indicated as the estimated fair value of each of the two reporting units exceeded its respective carrying value. As of December 31, 2009, we do not believe any significant indicators of impairment exist for our goodwill that would require additional analysis before our next annual evaluation.

Our medical device products are primarily based on consumer choice and have limited reimbursement by government or other health care plans. The negative global economic environment and related decline in consumer spending that began in 2008 and continued into 2009 resulted in a decline in the sales and profitability of our medical device products in 2009 compared to 2008. Although the estimated fair value of the medical devices segment exceeded its carrying value by 6.9% at October 1, 2009, the date of our latest annual impairment test, the excess of estimated fair value over carrying value has declined from our previous evaluations. If the



---

**Table of Contents**

profitability of the medical devices segment continues to decline and does not meet our profitability and cash flow expectations in 2010 and strategic future profitability and cash flow expectations beyond 2010, there could be a potential future impairment of goodwill for our medical devices segment. One of the most important assumptions used in our valuation models to estimate the fair value of the medical devices segment is the amount of promotion, selling and marketing expenses required to maintain future projected net sales. As a sensitivity measure, a one percentage point increase in the assumed ratio to net sales for promotion, selling and marketing expenses beginning in 2010 and extending through the entire strategic valuation period beyond 2010 would cause an approximate 2.1 percentage point decrease in the excess amount of estimated fair value over carrying value for the medical devices segment.

We also review purchased intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of our intangible assets may not be recoverable. An impairment in the carrying value of an intangible asset is recognized whenever anticipated future undiscounted cash flows from an intangible asset are estimated to be less than its carrying value. We did not record any impairment charges in 2009. In 2008, we recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.6 million for an intangible asset related to the phase out of a collagen product.

In February 2009, we announced a restructuring plan to focus our sales efforts on the urology specialty market and to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners, which resulted in a significant reduction in our urology sales force. In September 2009, we announced a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles Transnational Corp., or Quintiles, under which Quintiles will promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners in the United States. In our valuation models used to estimate the fair value of our developed technology intangible asset related to *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, we analyzed estimated future net sales and cash flows with and without a long-term partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners. Under both scenarios, the estimated fair value of the developed technology intangible asset exceeded its carrying value as of December 31, 2009. The excess of estimated fair value under the scenario assuming no long-term partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners exceeded the carrying value by approximately \$60.0 million. The combined total amount of intangibles and a related \$20.0 million prepaid royalty asset subject to the impairment evaluation at December 31, 2009 is \$381.4 million. If the actual estimated future net sales, operating expenses and cash flows differ significantly from our expectations, there could be a potential future impairment of the *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> developed technology asset.

Significant management judgment is required in the forecasts of future operating results that are used in our impairment evaluations. The estimates we have used are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage our business. It is possible, however, that the plans may change and estimates used may prove to be inaccurate. If our actual results, or the plans and estimates used in future impairment analyses, are lower than the original estimates used to assess the recoverability of these assets, we could incur future impairment charges.

**Discontinued Operations**

On July 2, 2007, we completed the sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business that we acquired as a part of the Cornéal acquisition in January 2007, for \$28.6 million. The net assets of the disposed business consisted of current assets of \$24.3 million, non-current assets of \$9.8 million and current liabilities of \$4.2 million. We recorded a pre-tax loss of \$1.3 million (\$1.0 million net of tax) associated with the sale.

The following amounts related to the ophthalmic surgical device business have been segregated from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations through the date of disposition. We did not account for our ophthalmic surgical device business as a separate legal entity. Therefore, the following selected financial data for the discontinued operations is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect what the net sales or earnings would have been had the business operated as a stand-alone entity. The financial information for the discontinued operations includes allocations of certain expenses to the ophthalmic surgical device business. These amounts have been allocated to the discontinued operations on the basis that is considered by management to reflect most fairly or reasonably the utilization of the services provided to, or the benefit obtained by, the ophthalmic surgical device business.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth selected financial data of our discontinued operations for 2007.

**Selected Financial Data for Discontinued Operations**

|                                                       | (in millions) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Product net sales                                     | \$ 20.0       |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes | \$ (1.1)      |
| Loss from discontinued operations                     | \$ (0.7)      |

**Continuing Operations**

Headquartered in Irvine, California, we are a multi-specialty health care company focused on discovering, developing and commercializing innovative pharmaceuticals, biologics and medical devices that enable people to live life to its greatest potential to see more clearly, move more freely and express themselves more fully. Our diversified approach enables us to follow our research and development into new specialty areas where unmet needs are significant.

We discover, develop and commercialize specialty pharmaceutical, medical device and over-the-counter products for the ophthalmic, neurological, medical aesthetics, medical dermatology, breast aesthetics, obesity intervention, urological and other specialty markets in more than 100 countries around the world. We are a pioneer in specialty pharmaceutical research, targeting products and technologies related to specific disease areas such as chronic dry eye, glaucoma, retinal disease, psoriasis, acne, movement disorders, neuropathic pain and genitourinary diseases. Additionally, we are a leader in discovering, developing and marketing therapeutic and aesthetic biological, pharmaceutical and medical device products, including saline and silicone gel breast implants, dermal fillers and obesity intervention products. At December 31, 2009, we employed approximately 8,300 persons around the world. Our principal markets are the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific.

**Results of Continuing Operations**

We operate our business on the basis of two reportable segments specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices. The specialty pharmaceuticals segment produces a broad range of pharmaceutical products, including: ophthalmic products for glaucoma therapy, ocular inflammation, infection, allergy and chronic dry eye; *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for certain therapeutic and aesthetic indications; skin care products for acne, psoriasis, eyelash growth and other prescription and over-the-counter skin care products; and urologics products. The medical devices segment produces a broad range of medical devices, including: breast implants for augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery; obesity intervention products, including the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System and the *Orbera* Intra-gastric Balloon System; and facial aesthetics products. We provide global marketing strategy teams to coordinate the development and execution of a consistent marketing strategy for our products in all geographic regions that share similar distribution channels and customers.

Management evaluates our business segments and various global product portfolios on a revenue basis, which is presented below in accordance with GAAP. We also report sales performance using the non-GAAP financial measure of constant currency sales. Constant currency sales represent current period reported sales, adjusted for the translation effect of changes in average foreign exchange rates between the current period and the corresponding period in the prior year. We calculate the currency effect by comparing adjusted current period reported sales, calculated using the monthly average foreign exchange rates for the corresponding period in the prior year, to the actual current period reported sales. We routinely evaluate our net sales performance at constant currency so that sales results can be viewed without the impact of changing foreign currency exchange rates, thereby facilitating period-to-period comparisons of our sales. Generally, when the U.S. dollar either strengthens or weakens against other currencies, the growth at constant currency rates will be higher or lower, respectively, than growth reported at actual exchange rates.

**Table of Contents**

The following table compares net sales by product line within each reportable segment and certain selected pharmaceutical products for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

|                                                                                               | Year Ended December 31, |            | Change in Product Net Sales |             |            | Percent Change in Product Net Sales |             |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
|                                                                                               | 2009                    | 2008       | Total                       | Performance | Currency   | Total                               | Performance | Currency |
| (in millions)                                                                                 |                         |            |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| Net Sales by Product Line:                                                                    |                         |            |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| Specialty Pharmaceuticals:                                                                    |                         |            |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| Eye Care Pharmaceuticals                                                                      | \$ 2,100.6              | \$ 2,009.1 | \$ 91.5                     | \$ 144.9    | \$ (53.4)  | 4.6%                                | 7.2%        | (2.6)%   |
| <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> /Neuromodulator                                                     | 1,309.6                 | 1,310.9    | (1.3)                       | 32.5        | (33.8)     | (0.1)%                              | 2.5%        | (2.6)%   |
| Skin Care                                                                                     | 208.0                   | 113.7      | 94.3                        | 94.4        | (0.1)      | 82.9%                               | 83.0%       | (0.1)%   |
| Urologics                                                                                     | 65.6                    | 68.6       | (3.0)                       | (3.0)       |            | (4.4)%                              | (4.4)%      | %        |
| Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals                                                               | 3,683.8                 | 3,502.3    | 181.5                       | 268.8       | (87.3)     | 5.2%                                | 7.7%        | (2.5)%   |
| Medical Devices:                                                                              |                         |            |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| Breast Aesthetics                                                                             | 287.5                   | 310.0      | (22.5)                      | (15.2)      | (7.3)      | (7.3)%                              | (4.9)%      | (2.4)%   |
| Obesity Intervention                                                                          | 258.2                   | 296.0      | (37.8)                      | (32.2)      | (5.6)      | (12.8)%                             | (10.9)%     | (1.9)%   |
| Facial Aesthetics                                                                             | 218.1                   | 231.4      | (13.3)                      | (7.1)       | (6.2)      | (5.7)%                              | (3.1)%      | (2.6)%   |
| Total Medical Devices                                                                         | 763.8                   | 837.4      | (73.6)                      | (54.5)      | (19.1)     | (8.8)%                              | (6.5)%      | (2.3)%   |
| Total product net sales                                                                       | \$ 4,447.6              | \$ 4,339.7 | \$ 107.9                    | \$ 214.3    | \$ (106.4) | 2.5%                                | 4.9%        | (2.4)%   |
| Domestic product net sales                                                                    | 65.4%                   | 64.6%      |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| International product net sales                                                               | 34.6%                   | 35.4%      |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| Selected Product Net Sales(a):                                                                |                         |            |                             |             |            |                                     |             |          |
| <i>Alphagan</i> <sup>®</sup> P, <i>Alphagan</i> <sup>®</sup> and <i>Combigan</i> <sup>®</sup> | \$ 414.5                | \$ 398.1   | \$ 16.4                     | \$ 26.8     | \$ (10.4)  | 4.1%                                | 6.7%        | (2.6)%   |
| <i>Lumigan</i> <sup>®</sup> Franchise                                                         | 456.5                   | 426.2      | 30.3                        | 46.4        | (16.1)     | 7.1%                                | 10.9%       | (3.8)%   |
| <i>Restasis</i> <sup>®</sup>                                                                  | 522.9                   | 444.0      | 78.9                        | 79.1        | (0.2)      | 17.8%                               | 17.8%       | %        |
| <i>Sanctura</i> <sup>®</sup> Franchise                                                        | 65.6                    | 68.2       | (2.6)                       | (2.6)       |            | (3.8)%                              | (3.8)%      | %        |
| <i>Latisse</i> <sup>®</sup>                                                                   | 73.7                    |            | 73.7                        | 73.7        |            | %                                   | %           | %        |

**Table of Contents**

|                                                                                               | Year Ended<br>December 31, |                   | Change in Product Net Sales |                 |                | Percent Change in Product Net Sales |              |             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|                                                                                               | 2008                       | 2007              | Total                       | Performance     | Currency       | Total                               | Performance  | Currency    |
| <b>Net Sales by Product Line:</b>                                                             |                            |                   |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| <b>Specialty Pharmaceuticals:</b>                                                             |                            |                   |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| Eye Care Pharmaceuticals                                                                      | \$ 2,009.1                 | \$ 1,776.5        | \$ 232.6                    | \$ 205.8        | \$ 26.8        | 13.1%                               | 11.6%        | 1.5%        |
| <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> /Neuromodulator                                                     | 1,310.9                    | 1,211.8           | 99.1                        | 87.1            | 12.0           | 8.2%                                | 7.2%         | 1.0%        |
| Skin Care                                                                                     | 113.7                      | 110.7             | 3.0                         | 3.0             |                | 2.7%                                | 2.7%         | %           |
| Urologics                                                                                     | 68.6                       | 6.0               | 62.6                        | 62.6            |                | 1,043.3%                            | 1,043.3%     | %           |
| <b>Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals</b>                                                        | <b>3,502.3</b>             | <b>3,105.0</b>    | <b>397.3</b>                | <b>358.5</b>    | <b>38.8</b>    | <b>12.8%</b>                        | <b>11.5%</b> | <b>1.3%</b> |
| <b>Medical Devices:</b>                                                                       |                            |                   |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| Breast Aesthetics                                                                             | 310.0                      | 298.4             | 11.6                        | 6.2             | 5.4            | 3.9%                                | 2.1%         | 1.8%        |
| Obesity Intervention                                                                          | 296.0                      | 270.1             | 25.9                        | 24.4            | 1.5            | 9.6%                                | 9.0%         | 0.6%        |
| Facial Aesthetics                                                                             | 231.4                      | 202.8             | 28.6                        | 24.8            | 3.8            | 14.1%                               | 12.2%        | 1.9%        |
| Core Medical Devices                                                                          | 837.4                      | 771.3             | 66.1                        | 55.4            | 10.7           | 8.6%                                | 7.2%         | 1.4%        |
| Other(b)                                                                                      |                            | 2.7               | (2.7)                       | (2.7)           |                | (100.0)%                            | (100.0)%     | %           |
| <b>Total Medical Devices</b>                                                                  | <b>837.4</b>               | <b>774.0</b>      | <b>63.4</b>                 | <b>52.7</b>     | <b>10.7</b>    | <b>8.2%</b>                         | <b>6.8%</b>  | <b>1.4%</b> |
| <b>Total product net sales</b>                                                                | <b>\$ 4,339.7</b>          | <b>\$ 3,879.0</b> | <b>\$ 460.7</b>             | <b>\$ 411.2</b> | <b>\$ 49.5</b> | <b>11.9%</b>                        | <b>10.6%</b> | <b>1.3%</b> |
| Domestic product net sales                                                                    | 64.6%                      | 65.7%             |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| International product net sales                                                               | 35.4%                      | 34.3%             |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| <b>Selected Product Net Sales(a):</b>                                                         |                            |                   |                             |                 |                |                                     |              |             |
| <i>Alphagan</i> <sup>®</sup> P, <i>Alphagan</i> <sup>®</sup> and <i>Combigan</i> <sup>®</sup> | \$ 398.1                   | \$ 341.4          | \$ 56.7                     | \$ 50.1         | \$ 6.6         | 16.6%                               | 14.7%        | 1.9%        |
| <i>Lumigan</i> <sup>®</sup> Franchise                                                         | 426.2                      | 391.7             | 34.5                        | 27.3            | 7.2            | 8.8%                                | 7.0%         | 1.8%        |
| Other Glaucoma                                                                                | 14.8                       | 15.3              | (0.5)                       | (1.1)           | 0.6            | (3.3)%                              | (7.4)%       | 4.1%        |
| <i>Restasis</i> <sup>®</sup>                                                                  | 444.0                      | 344.5             | 99.5                        | 99.5            |                | 28.9%                               | 28.9%        | %           |
| <i>Sanctura</i> <sup>®</sup> Franchise                                                        | 68.2                       | 4.9               | 63.3                        | 63.3            |                | 1,298.1%                            | 1,298.1%     | %           |

(a) Percentage change in selected product net sales is calculated on amounts reported to the nearest whole dollar.

(b) Other medical devices sales primarily consist of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the sale of the former Cornéal ophthalmic surgical device business in the third quarter of 2007, which was substantially concluded in the fourth quarter of 2007.

**Product Net Sales**

Product net sales increased by \$107.9 million in 2009 compared to 2008 due to an increase of \$181.5 million in our specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales, partially offset by a decrease of \$73.6 million in our medical devices product net sales. The increase in specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales is due to sales increases in our eye care pharmaceutical and skin care product lines, partially offset by small decreases in our *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and urologics product lines. The decrease in medical devices product net sales reflects a decrease in product net sales across all of our medical device product lines. Net sales were negatively affected by a general weakening of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar in the foreign countries where we operated during 2009 compared to 2008.

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

Several of our products, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, and our facial aesthetics, obesity intervention and breast implant products, are purchased based on consumer choice and have limited reimbursement or are not reimbursable by government or other health care plans and are, therefore, partially or wholly paid for directly by the consumer. We believe the negative economic environment and related decline in consumer spending that began in the second half of 2008 and continued into 2009 had a negative effect on our sales, operations and profitability in 2009. If negative economic conditions continue to prevail during 2010, we believe there could be a corresponding negative effect on our sales, operations and profitability in 2010.

**Table of Contents**

In the second half of 2008 and early in 2009, the U.S. dollar strengthened significantly compared to certain foreign currencies of countries where we operate. The foreign currency exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and these currencies that prevailed in 2009 negatively affected our net sales in 2009 compared to 2008. If the U.S. dollar strengthens against these currencies in 2010, our net sales could be negatively affected in 2010 compared to 2009.

Recently, the U.S. Congress proposed significant reforms to the U.S. health care system. Both the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives have proposed major health care reform measures, some of which include increases in Medicaid rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and annual, non-deductible fees on any entity that manufactures or imports certain prescription drugs, biologics or medical devices offered for sale in the United States. At this time, we cannot predict which or whether any of these reform measures will be adopted into law. Furthermore, we cannot predict the extent to which our business may be affected by potential legislative or regulatory developments, and our sales, operations and profitability could be negatively affected if the regulations are enacted.

Eye care pharmaceuticals product net sales increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to strong growth in sales of *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, our therapeutic treatment for chronic dry eye disease, an increase in sales of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, our *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> and timolol combination for the treatment of glaucoma, an increase in sales of *Ganfort*, our *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> and timolol combination for the treatment of glaucoma, an increase in sales of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1%, our most recent generation of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of glaucoma and an increase in new product sales of *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup>, our advanced, preservative-free formulation of ketorolac, which we launched in the United States in the third quarter of 2009, partially offset by lower sales of our glaucoma drugs *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, lower sales of our non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs *Acular*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> and a small decline in sales in dollars of artificial tears products. Generic formulations of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup>, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, *Acular*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> had a negative effect on our sales of these products in 2009. We estimate the majority of the increase in our eye care pharmaceuticals sales was due to an overall net increase in the volume of product sold and a shift in sales mix to a greater percentage of higher priced products, partially offset by the negative impact on our international product net sales from a general weakening of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar. During 2009, we increased the published list prices for certain eye care pharmaceutical products in the United States. Effective January 3, 2009, we increased the published U.S. list price for *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> by five percent, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1%, *Acular*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> by eight percent, and *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> by seven percent, and effective January 24, 2009, we increased the published list price in the United States for *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> by five percent. Effective April 1, 2009, we increased the published U.S. list price of *Acular*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> by an additional nine percent, and effective May 2, 2009, we increased the published U.S. list price of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15% by an additional eight percent. Effective August 1, 2009, we increased the published U.S. list price of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15%, *Acular*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> by an additional eight percent and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1% by an additional five percent. Effective October 3, 2009, we increased the published U.S. list price of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> by an additional five percent and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> by an additional seven percent. These price increases had a positive net effect on our U.S. sales for 2009 compared to 2008, but the actual net effect is difficult to determine due to the various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs in which we participate. Wholesaler buying patterns and the change in dollar value of prescription product mix also affected our reported net sales dollars, although we are unable to determine the impact of these effects.

*Botox*<sup>®</sup> product net sales decreased slightly in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the negative impact of a general weakening of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar on our international *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales and a decrease in sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic in the United States, partially offset by an increase in sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for therapeutic use in the United States and an increase in sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic in Asia Pacific and Latin America. We believe sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, primarily *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, were negatively impacted in 2009 by declines in consumer spending in most of our principal geographic markets and by the introduction of a competitive product in the United States. Based on internal information and assumptions, we estimate in 2009 that *Botox*<sup>®</sup> therapeutic sales accounted for approximately 52% of total consolidated *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales and grew at a rate of

---

**Table of Contents**

approximately 4% compared to 2008. In 2009, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic sales accounted for approximately 48% of total consolidated *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales and decreased by approximately 4% compared to 2008. We believe our worldwide market share for neuromodulators, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, is currently approximately 82%.

Skin care product net sales increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to new product sales of *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, our treatment for hypotrichosis, or inadequate eyelashes, which we launched in the United States in January 2009, and sales of *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, which we launched in the fourth quarter of 2008, partially offset by a decrease in sales of *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup>, our topical tazarotene treatments for acne and psoriasis. Net sales of *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup> decreased \$9.8 million, or 12.7%, to \$67.4 million in 2009, compared to \$77.2 million in 2008. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup> by approximately ten percent effective January 3, 2009 and an additional nine percent effective October 3, 2009.

Urologics product net sales, which are presently concentrated in the United States and consist primarily of our *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> franchise products for the treatment of overactive bladder, or OAB, decreased in 2009 compared to 2008. Net sales of our *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> franchise products decreased \$2.6 million to \$65.6 million in 2009 compared to \$68.2 million in 2008. In February 2009, we announced a restructuring plan to focus our sales efforts on the urology specialty market and to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, our once-daily anticholinergic for the treatment of OAB, to general practitioners, which resulted in a significant reduction in our urology sales force. In September 2009, we announced a co-promotion agreement with Quintiles, under which Quintiles will promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners in the United States. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> by fourteen percent effective January 3, 2009 and by an additional seven percent on October 3, 2009. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, our twice-a-day anticholinergic for the treatment of OAB, by eight percent effective January 3, 2009, by an additional nine percent on June 1, 2009 and by an additional nine percent on October 3, 2009.

We have a policy to attempt to maintain average U.S. wholesaler inventory levels of our specialty pharmaceutical products at an amount less than eight weeks of our net sales. At December 31, 2009, based on available external and internal information, we believe the amount of average U.S. wholesaler inventories of our specialty pharmaceutical products was near the lower end of our stated policy levels.

Breast aesthetics product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of silicone gel and saline breast implants and tissue expanders, decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease in sales in the United States, Europe, and Latin America, partially offset by a small increase in sales in Asia Pacific. The decline in sales of breast aesthetics products in the United States was primarily due to lower unit volume, partially offset by the transition from lower priced saline products to higher priced silicone gel products. We believe that sales of our breast aesthetics products were negatively impacted in 2009 by declines in consumer spending in all of our principal geographic markets.

Obesity intervention product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of devices used for minimally invasive long-term treatments of obesity such as our *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> and *Lap-Band AP*<sup>®</sup> Systems, decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to decreases in sales in the United States and most of our other principal geographic markets. Our *Orbera* Intra-gastric Balloon System sales grew strongly on a small sales base. We believe sales of obesity intervention products in the United States and other principal geographic markets were negatively impacted in 2009 by declines in consumer spending given substantial patient co-pays.

Facial aesthetics product net sales, which consist primarily of sales of hyaluronic acid-based and collagen-based dermal fillers used to correct facial wrinkles, decreased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease in sales in the United States and Europe. The decrease in net sales of facial aesthetics products was partially offset by an increase in sales in Asia Pacific, Latin America and Canada, primarily due to the launch of *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra with lidocaine in those markets. We believe sales of facial aesthetics products were negatively impacted in 2009 by declines in consumer spending in all of our principal geographic markets. Sales of facial aesthetics products were also negatively affected by a general decline in sales of older generation collagen-based dermal fillers.

**Table of Contents**

Foreign currency changes decreased product net sales by \$106.4 million in 2009 compared to 2008, primarily due to the weakening of the euro, U.K. pound, Brazilian real, Mexican peso, Australian dollar and Canadian dollar compared to the U.S. dollar.

U.S. product net sales as a percentage of total product net sales increased by 0.8 percentage points to 65.4% in 2009 compared to U.S. sales of 64.6% in 2008, due primarily to an increase in U.S. skin care net sales and an increase in U.S. sales of eye care pharmaceuticals as a percentage of total eye care pharmaceutical net sales, partially offset by a decline in U.S. product net sales as a percentage of total product net sales for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and our medical device product lines. A significant portion of the increase in U.S. sales as a percentage of total product net sales in 2009 compared to 2008 is related to the general weakening of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar in countries where we operate.

Product net sales increased by \$460.7 million in 2008 compared to 2007 due to the combined result of an increase of \$397.3 million in our specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales and an increase of \$63.4 million in our medical devices product net sales. The increase in specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales reflects growth across all of our specialty pharmaceutical product lines. The increase in medical devices product net sales reflects growth across all of our core medical device product lines, partially offset by a decrease in other ophthalmic surgical medical device product net sales. Net sales were also positively affected by a general strengthening of foreign currencies compared to the U.S. dollar in the foreign countries where we operated during 2008 compared to 2007.

Eye care pharmaceuticals sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to strong growth in sales of *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, our therapeutic treatment for chronic dry eye disease, an increase in sales of *Combigan*<sup>®</sup>, primarily due to its launch in the United States in the fourth quarter of 2007, and increased *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> sales in Canada, Europe, Latin America and Asia, an increase in sales of *Ganfort*, our *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> and timolol combination for the treatment of glaucoma, an increase in product net sales of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1%, our most recent generation of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> for the treatment of glaucoma, an increase in sales of *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>, our more recent non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, and growth in sales of artificial tears products, including the *Refresh*<sup>®</sup> and *Optive* brands. These increases in eye care pharmaceuticals sales were partially offset by lower sales of *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15% due to a general decline in wholesaler demand resulting from a decrease in promotion efforts and lower sales of *Elestat*<sup>®</sup>, our topical antihistamine used for the prevention of itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. We estimate the majority of the increase in our eye care pharmaceuticals sales was due to a shift in sales mix to a greater percentage of higher priced products, and an overall net increase in the volume of product sold. Effective January 19, 2008, we increased the published list prices for certain eye care pharmaceutical products in the United States. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> by five percent, *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> by seven percent, *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15% and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1% by eight percent, *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> by eight percent, *Elestat*<sup>®</sup> by seven percent and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> by eight percent. Additionally, effective August 2, 2008, we increased the published list prices in the United States for *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.15% and *Alphagan*<sup>®</sup> P 0.1% by seven percent, *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup> by six percent and *Zymar*<sup>®</sup> by six percent. These price increases had a positive net effect on our U.S. sales for 2008 compared to 2007, but the actual net effect is difficult to determine due to the various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs in which we participate. Wholesaler buying patterns and the change in dollar value of prescription product mix also affected our reported net sales dollars, although we are unable to determine the impact of these effects.

*Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to growth in demand in international markets and, to a lesser degree, the United States for both cosmetic and therapeutic use. We believe the rate of growth of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales, primarily *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, was negatively impacted by declines in consumer spending in the United States and Europe in 2008, and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> therapeutic sales were negatively impacted by patients delaying certain treatments due to significant co-pays in the United States and by some national and regional governments in Europe restricting access to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> due to the crisis in public finances. Effective January 1, 2008, we increased the published price for *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic in the United States by approximately four percent, which we believe had a positive effect on our U.S. sales growth in 2008, primarily related to sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic. In the United States, the actual net effect from the increase in price for sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for



---

**Table of Contents**

therapeutic use is difficult to determine, primarily due to rebate programs with U.S. federal and state government agencies. International *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales benefited from strong sales growth for both cosmetic and therapeutic use in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific. Based on internal information and assumptions, we estimate in 2008 that *Botox*<sup>®</sup> therapeutic sales accounted for approximately 50% of total consolidated *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales and grew at a rate of approximately 8% compared to 2007. In 2008, *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic sales also accounted for approximately 50% of total consolidated *Botox*<sup>®</sup> sales and grew at a rate of approximately 8% compared to 2007.

Skin care sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to sales of *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, which we launched in the fourth quarter of 2008, an increase in sales of *Vivite*<sup>®</sup>, a line of physician dispensed skin care products launched in 2007 and sales of our Clinique Medical skin care line, which is marketed in collaboration with Clinique, a division of The Estée Lauder Companies, and was launched in the fourth quarter of 2008. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in sales of *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup>, our topical tazarotene treatments for acne and psoriasis, and lower sales of other physician dispensed creams, including *M.D. Forte*<sup>®</sup> and *Prevage*<sup>®</sup> MD. Net sales of *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup> decreased \$2.7 million, or 3.4%, to \$77.2 million in 2008, compared to \$79.9 million in 2007. We increased the published U.S. list price for *Tazorac*<sup>®</sup>, *Zorac*<sup>®</sup> and *Avage*<sup>®</sup> by five percent effective January 19, 2008.

In connection with our Esprit acquisition in October 2007, we acquired a new product line focused on the urologics market. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, we began to recognize sales of *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup>, Esprit's twice-a-day anticholinergic treatment for OAB. In January 2008, we launched *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, an improved once-daily anticholinergic treatment for OAB. Net sales of our *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> franchise products were \$68.2 million in 2008 compared to \$4.9 million in 2007.

Breast aesthetics product net sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to sales growth in Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific and the rapid transition of the market in North America from lower priced saline products to higher priced silicone gel products since the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approval of silicone gel breast implants in November 2006. This increase in sales was partially offset by a slight decrease in breast aesthetics product net sales in North America, primarily due to a decline in the number of breast implant units sold in the United States. We believe the rate of growth in net sales of breast aesthetics products in the United States and Europe was negatively impacted in 2008 by declines in consumer spending.

Obesity intervention product net sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 due to strong sales growth rates in Canada, the United Kingdom, Australia and Latin America and a low rate of sales growth on a large sales base in the United States. We believe the rate of growth in net sales of obesity intervention products was negatively impacted in 2008 by the introduction of a competitive product in the United States and by declines in consumer spending in the United States.

Facial aesthetics product net sales increased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to strong sales growth in Europe and Canada, primarily due to the 2008 launch of *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra with lidocaine in those markets, and sales growth in the United States, Latin America and Asia Pacific. The increase in net sales of facial aesthetics products was partially offset by a general decline in sales of older generation collagen-based dermal fillers. We believe the rate of growth in net sales of facial aesthetics products was negatively impacted in 2008 by declines in consumer spending in the United States and Europe.

There were no net sales of other medical devices in 2008 compared to \$2.7 million of other medical devices net sales in 2007. Net sales of other medical devices in 2007 consisted of ophthalmic surgical devices sold under a manufacturing and supply agreement. The manufacturing and supply agreement was entered into as part of the July 2007 sale of the former Corneal ophthalmic surgical device business and was substantially concluded in December 2007.

Foreign currency changes increased product net sales by \$49.5 million in 2008 compared to 2007, primarily due to the strengthening of the euro and Brazilian real compared to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by the weakening of the U.K. pound compared to the U.S. dollar.

**Table of Contents**

U.S. product net sales as a percentage of total product net sales decreased by 1.1 percentage points to 64.6% in 2008 compared to U.S. sales of 65.7% in 2007, due primarily to an increase in international product net sales as a percentage of total product net sales of our *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, eye care pharmaceuticals, breast aesthetics, obesity intervention and facial aesthetics product lines, partially offset by an increase in sales of our urologics products, which are sold only in the United States, and an increase in U.S. sales of our skin care products.

**Other Revenues**

Other revenues decreased \$7.7 million to \$56.0 million in 2009 compared to \$63.7 million in 2008. The decrease in other revenues in 2009 compared to 2008 is primarily due to a decrease in reimbursement income for services provided under co-promotion agreements related to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and our *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> obesity intervention products, lower royalty income on sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan and China by GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK, and a decline in other reimbursement income. The decline in other revenues was partially offset by an increase in royalty income due primarily to sales of brimonidine products by Alcon, Inc. in the United States under a licensing agreement, and sales of *Lumigan*<sup>®</sup> in Japan by Senju Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Other revenues increased \$3.8 million to \$63.7 million in 2008 compared to \$59.9 million in 2007. The increase in other revenues in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to an increase in royalty income from sales of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> in Japan and China by GSK under a licensing agreement and an increase in reimbursement income for services provided under a co-promotion agreement related to our *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> obesity intervention products, partially offset by a decline in other reimbursement income.

**Income and Expenses**

The following table sets forth the relationship to product net sales of various items in our consolidated statements of earnings:

|                                                                        | Year Ended December 31, |        |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
|                                                                        | 2009                    | 2008   | 2007   |
| Product net sales                                                      | 100.0%                  | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Other revenues                                                         | 1.3                     | 1.5    | 1.5    |
| Operating costs and expenses:                                          |                         |        |        |
| Cost of sales (excludes amortization of acquired intangible assets)    | 16.9                    | 17.5   | 17.4   |
| Selling, general and administrative                                    | 43.2                    | 42.8   | 43.3   |
| Research and development                                               | 15.9                    | 18.4   | 18.5   |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets                             | 3.3                     | 3.5    | 3.1    |
| Restructuring charges                                                  | 1.1                     | 1.0    | 0.7    |
| Operating income                                                       | 20.9                    | 18.3   | 18.5   |
| Non-operating expense                                                  | (1.8)                   | (0.7)  | (1.4)  |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes                | 19.1%                   | 17.6%  | 17.1%  |
| Net earnings from continuing operations attributable to Allergan, Inc. | 14.0%                   | 13.0%  | 12.5%  |

**Cost of Sales**

Cost of sales decreased \$10.3 million, or 1.4%, in 2009 to \$750.9 million, or 16.9% of product net sales, compared to \$761.2 million, or 17.5% of product net sales in 2008. Cost of sales in 2009 includes charges of \$14.4 million for the rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs capitalized in inventory related to the phased closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility, \$5.0 million related to the modification of certain employee stock options in connection with our 2009 restructuring plan and \$0.8 million for the purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollout related to our acquisition of Samil. Cost of sales in 2008 includes charges of \$11.7 million for the purchase accounting fair market value



**Table of Contents**

inventory adjustment rollout related to the Esprit acquisition and \$8.8 million for the rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs capitalized in inventory related to the phased closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility. Excluding the effect of these charges, cost of sales decreased \$10.0 million, or 1.4%, in 2009 compared to 2008. This decrease in cost of sales, excluding the charges described above, primarily resulted from a change in the mix of product net sales due to the combined effect of the increase of our specialty pharmaceuticals product net sales and decline in our medical devices product net sales in 2009 compared to 2008. Generally, our specialty pharmaceutical products have lower cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales than our medical device products. Cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales decreased for our total specialty pharmaceuticals products and increased slightly for our total medical devices products in 2009 compared to 2008.

Cost of sales increased \$88.0 million, or 13.1%, in 2008 to \$761.2 million, or 17.5% of product net sales, compared to \$673.2 million, or 17.4% of product net sales in 2007. Cost of sales in 2008 includes charges of \$11.7 million for the purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollout related to the Esprit acquisition and \$8.8 million for the rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs capitalized in inventory related to the phased closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility. Cost of sales in 2007 includes a charge of \$3.3 million for the purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollout related to the acquisitions of Cornéal and Esprit. Excluding the effect of these charges, cost of sales increased \$70.8 million, or 10.6%, in 2008 compared to 2007. This increase in cost of sales, excluding the charges described above, primarily resulted from the 11.9% increase in product net sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales, excluding the effect of the charges described above, declined to 17.1% in 2008 from 17.3% in 2007, primarily due to an increase in product net sales of our *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> dermal filler family of products as a percentage of total facial aesthetic product net sales, an increase in the sales mix within our eye care pharmaceuticals and skin care product lines of newer products with lower cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales, and the continued transition of the breast aesthetic market in North America to higher priced silicone gel products from lower priced saline products, partially offset by the growth in urologics product net sales, which have a higher cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales than our other specialty pharmaceuticals products. In addition, cost of sales as a percentage of product net sales for our obesity intervention products increased slightly in 2008 compared to 2007.

***Selling, General and Administrative***

Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses increased \$65.4 million, or 3.5%, to \$1,921.5 million, or 43.2% of product net sales, in 2009 compared to \$1,856.1 million, or 42.8% of product net sales, in 2008. SG&A expenses in 2009 include a \$52.6 million charge related to the modification of certain employee stock options and \$2.3 million in asset write-offs in connection with our 2009 restructuring plan, \$32.2 million of costs associated with the U.S. Department of Justice, or DOJ, investigation relating to sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, an \$18.0 million contribution to The Allergan Foundation, a \$14.0 million gain on the settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement related to an eye care pharmaceuticals product and \$0.4 million of integration and transition costs related to our acquisition of Cornéal. In 2008, SG&A expenses included \$25.7 million of costs associated with the DOJ investigation relating to sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, a \$13.2 million settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea, an impairment of an intangible asset of \$5.6 million related to the phase out of a collagen product, \$2.1 million of integration and transition costs related to the acquisitions of Esprit and Cornéal, \$0.9 million of termination benefits and asset impairments related to the phased closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility in Arklow, Ireland, \$0.6 million of costs related to our acquisition of the *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> assets and \$0.9 million of gains on the sale of fixed assets and technology related to the phased closure of our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California. Excluding the effect of the items described above, SG&A expenses increased \$21.1 million, or 1.2%, to \$1,830.0 million, or 41.1% of product net sales, in 2009 compared to \$1,808.9 million, or 41.7% of product net sales in 2008. The current year increase in SG&A expenses in dollars primarily relates to an increase in promotion expenses, partially offset by a decline in selling expenses and general and administrative expenses. The increase in promotion expenses was primarily due to launch-

## **Table of Contents**

related expenses for *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and direct-to-consumer advertising for *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>. The decrease in selling expenses and general and administrative expenses, excluding the items discussed above, principally relates to a decline in personnel and related incentive compensation costs due to the impact of our 2009 restructuring plan, partially offset by additional selling expenses associated with the launches of *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> in 2009 and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> in the fourth quarter of 2008. The decrease in SG&A expenses as a percentage of product net sales, excluding the items described above, in 2009 compared to 2008 is primarily due to the lower 1.2% increase in SG&A expenses relative to the higher 2.5% increase in product net sales during the same period.

SG&A expenses increased \$175.9 million, or 10.5%, to \$1,856.1 million, or 42.8% of product net sales, in 2008 compared to \$1,680.2 million, or 43.3% of product net sales, in 2007. In 2008, SG&A expenses included \$25.7 million of costs associated with the DOJ investigation relating to sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>, a \$13.2 million settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea, an impairment of an intangible asset of \$5.6 million related to the phase out of a collagen product, \$2.1 million of integration and transition costs related to the acquisitions of Esprit and Cornéal, \$0.9 million of termination benefits and asset impairments related to the phased closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility in Arklow, Ireland, \$0.6 million of costs related to our acquisition of the *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> assets and \$0.9 million of gains on the sale of fixed assets and technology related to the phased closure of our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California. In 2007, SG&A expenses include \$14.5 million of integration and transition costs related to the acquisitions of Esprit, Cornéal, EndoArt and Inamed Corporation, or Inamed, \$6.4 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a patent dispute assumed in the Inamed acquisition that related to tissue expanders and \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries. Excluding the effect of these items, SG&A expenses increased \$151.9 million, or 9.2%, to \$1,808.9 million, or 41.7% of product net sales, in 2008 compared to \$1,657.0 million, or 42.7% of product net sales in 2007. The increase in SG&A expenses in 2008 compared to 2007 in dollars primarily relates to increases in selling, marketing and general and administrative expenses, partially offset by a decline in promotion expenses. The increase in selling and marketing expenses in 2008 compared to 2007 principally relates to the addition of our U.S. urologics sales force in the fourth quarter of 2007 related to the Esprit acquisition. In addition, the increase in selling and marketing expenses was also impacted by an increase in personnel and related incentive compensation costs driven by the expansion of our U.S. and Asia Pacific facial aesthetics sales forces, as well as launch-related expenses for *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> in the United States and *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> Ultra with lidocaine in Europe. The increase in general and administrative expenses principally relates to an increase in legal, finance and information systems costs, as well as the expansion of our management team in Asia. The decline in promotion expenses is primarily due to reduced direct-to-consumer advertising and other promotional costs for our medical device products in the United States, partially offset by launch-related promotion expenses for *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup>, *Combigan*<sup>®</sup> and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> and an increase in spending in Europe related to our *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup> product line. SG&A expenses as a percentage of product net sales declined in 2008 compared to 2007 due primarily to lower promotion expenses, partially offset by higher selling expenses, as a percentage of product net sales.

### ***Research and Development***

Research and development, or R&D, expenses decreased \$91.9 million, or 11.5%, to \$706.0 million in 2009, or 15.9% of product net sales, compared to \$797.9 million, or 18.4% of product net sales in 2008. R&D expenses in 2009 included a charge of \$10.0 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of technology for the treatment of diseases of the eye from Pieris AG that has not yet achieved regulatory approval and a \$21.0 million charge related to the modification of certain employee stock options in connection with our 2009 restructuring plan. R&D expenses in 2008 included a charge of \$41.5 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of apaziquone, an antineoplastic agent currently being investigated for the treatment of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer, from Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a charge of \$13.9 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> product rights in Canada, where the product has not yet achieved regulatory approval, a charge of \$7.0 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of pre-clinical drug compounds to treat diseases of the eye from Polyphor Ltd. and a charge of \$6.3 million for an upfront payment for the

---

**Table of Contents**

in-licensing of preclinical drug compounds to treat diseases of the eye from Asterand plc. Excluding the effect of the charges described above, R&D expenses decreased by \$54.2 million, or 7.4%, to \$675.0 million in 2009, or 15.2% of product net sales, compared to \$729.2 million, or 16.8% of product net sales, in 2008. The decrease in R&D expenses in dollars, excluding these charges, and as a percentage of product net sales, was primarily a result of a reduction in spending on certain new technology discovery programs, the completion of several late-stage development programs for eye care pharmaceutical products, including *Ozurdex*, *Trivaris* and *Acuvue* reduction in expenses related to the filing in the third quarter of 2009 of the sBLA with the FDA for the use of *Botox* to treat chronic migraine, and a reduction in development expenses for *Latisse*, partially offset by an increase in expenses for the development of *Juvéderm* Ultra XC and Ultra Plus XC with lidocaine and the development of urology products, primarily apaziquone.

R&D expenses increased \$79.8 million, or 11.1%, to \$797.9 million in 2008, or 18.4% of product net sales, compared to \$718.1 million, or 18.5% of product net sales in 2007. R&D expenses in 2008 included a charge of \$41.5 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of apaziquone, a charge of \$13.9 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of *Sanctura XR* product rights in Canada, where the product has not yet achieved regulatory approval, a charge of \$7.0 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of pre-clinical drug compounds to treat diseases of the eye from Polyphor Ltd. and a charge of \$6.3 million for an upfront payment for the in-licensing of preclinical drug compounds to treat diseases of the eye from Asterand plc. R&D expenses in 2007 included a charge of \$72.0 million for in-process research and development assets acquired in the EndoArt acquisition. In-process research and development represents an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology as of the date of acquisition that had not reached technical feasibility and had no alternative future uses in its current state. Excluding the effect of the charges described above, R&D expenses increased by \$83.1 million, or 12.9%, to \$729.2 million in 2008, or 16.8% of product net sales, compared to \$646.1 million, or 16.7% of product net sales, in 2007. The increase in R&D expenses in dollars, excluding the charges described above, was primarily a result of higher rates of investment in our eye care pharmaceuticals for next-generation products and line extensions as well as increased spending on *Botox* for OAB and benign prostate hyperplasia programs, *Latisse*, alpha agonists for the treatment of neuropathic pain and breast implant follow-up studies, partially offset by a reduction in expenses related to memantine and *Botox* for the treatment of chronic migraine. The increase in R&D expenses, excluding the charges described above, as a percentage of product net sales in 2008 compared to 2007 was primarily due to the 12.9% increase in R&D expenses relative to the lower percentage increase in product net sales during the same period.

***Amortization of Acquired Intangible Assets***

Amortization of acquired intangible assets decreased \$4.6 million to \$146.3 million in 2009, or 3.3% of product net sales, compared to \$150.9 million, or 3.5% of product net sales in 2008. The decrease in amortization expense in dollars and as a percentage of product net sales is primarily due to a decline in amortization expense associated with customer relationships acquired in connection with our 2006 acquisition of Inamed, the majority of which became fully amortized at the end of the first quarter of 2009, partially offset by an increase in the balance of other intangible assets subject to amortization, primarily related to our July 2008 purchase of the *Aczone* developed technology and a December 2008 milestone payment related to *Latisse*.

Amortization of acquired intangible assets increased \$29.6 million to \$150.9 million in 2008, or 3.5% of product net sales, compared to \$121.3 million, or 3.1% of product net sales in 2007. The increase in amortization expense in dollars and as a percentage of product net sales is primarily due to an increase in the balance of intangible assets subject to amortization, primarily related to our October 2007 Esprit acquisition and July 2008 purchase of the *Aczone* developed technology.

***Restructuring Charges and Integration Costs***

Restructuring charges in 2009 were \$50.9 million, consisting of \$42.2 million related to the 2009 restructuring plan, \$8.4 million related to the restructuring and phased closure of the Arklow facility and

---

**Table of Contents**

\$0.3 million of other restructuring charges. Restructuring charges in 2008 were \$41.3 million, consisting of \$27.2 million related to the restructuring and phased closure of the Arklow facility, \$6.6 million related to the restructuring and integration of the Cornéal operations and \$7.5 million of other restructuring charges. Restructuring charges in 2007 were \$26.8 million, consisting of \$16.6 million related to the restructuring and integration of the Cornéal operations, \$9.2 million related to restructuring and integration of the Inamed operations and \$1.0 million of other restructuring charges.

**2009 Restructuring Plan**

On February 4, 2009, we announced a restructuring plan that involved a workforce reduction of approximately 460 employees, primarily in the United States and Europe. The majority of the employees affected by the restructuring plan were U.S. urology sales and marketing personnel as a result of our decision to focus on the urology specialty and to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura XR*<sup>®</sup> to general practitioners, and marketing personnel in the United States and Europe as we adjusted our back-office structures to a reduced short-term sales outlook for some businesses. The restructuring plan also included modest workforce reductions in other functions as we re-engineered our processes to increase efficiency and productivity.

As part of the restructuring plan, we modified the outstanding stock options issued in our February 2008 full-round employee stock option grant. The stock options were originally granted with an exercise price of \$64.47 with a standard four year graded vesting term, a ten year contractual term, and standard 90 day expiration upon termination of employment provisions. These options were modified to be immediately vested in full and to remove the 90 day expiration upon termination of employment provision. Because the modified awards became fully vested and there was no future derived service period, all unamortized compensation expense related to the original grant and the additional compensation expense attributable to the modification of the awards was recognized in full on the modification date.

In addition, the contractual provisions of outstanding stock options, other than the February 2008 full-round employee stock option grant, held by employees impacted by the workforce reduction were modified to extend the stock option expiration dates. Under the original contractual provisions, outstanding stock options held by employees involved in a workforce reduction automatically become fully vested upon termination of employment and the stock options expire after the earlier of 90 days from termination of employment or the remaining stock option contractual term. Under the modified terms, stock options for the impacted employees will expire after the earlier of three years from termination of employment or the remaining contractual term. All unamortized compensation expense related to the original stock option awards plus the incremental compensation expense associated with the modifications will be recognized ratably from the modification date to the employees' expected termination date. The fair value of the modifications to all share-based awards was generally estimated using a lattice model. The total incremental pre-tax compensation expense associated with the modifications attributable to the 2009 restructuring plan was \$11.0 million.

We began to record costs associated with the 2009 restructuring plan in the first quarter of 2009 and substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring plan in the second quarter of 2009. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance and other one-time termination benefits. During 2009, we recorded pre-tax restructuring charges of \$42.2 million and recognized a total of \$78.6 million related to employee stock option modifications, consisting of \$5.0 million of cost of sales, \$52.6 million in SG&A expenses and \$21.0 million in R&D expenses, and recognized \$2.3 million of asset write-offs and accelerated depreciation costs in SG&A expenses.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the restructuring charges related to the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

|                                                                    | <b>Employee<br/>Severance</b> | <b>Other<br/>(in millions)</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Net charge during 2009                                             | \$ 32.6                       | \$ 9.6                         | \$ 42.2      |
| Spending                                                           | (26.6)                        | (7.8)                          | (34.4)       |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 (included in Other accrued expenses ) | \$ 6.0                        | \$ 1.8                         | \$ 7.8       |

**Restructuring and Phased Closure of Arklow Facility**

On January 30, 2008, we announced the phased closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility at Arklow, Ireland and the transfer of production to our manufacturing plant in Costa Rica. The Arklow facility was acquired by us in connection with our 2006 acquisition of Inamed and employed approximately 360 people. As of March 31, 2009, all production activities at the Arklow facility had ceased. Certain employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production in Arklow were capitalized to inventory as incurred and recognized as cost of sales in the periods the related products were sold.

We began to record costs associated with the closure of the Arklow manufacturing facility in the first quarter of 2008 and substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring and phased closure of the Arklow facility in the third quarter of 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we have recorded cumulative pre-tax restructuring charges of \$35.6 million, cumulative costs for the rollout of capitalized employee termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production of \$23.2 million and cumulative costs related to one-time termination benefits and asset impairments of \$1.3 million. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance, one-time termination benefits, contract termination costs and other costs related to the closure of the Arklow manufacturing facility. During 2009 and 2008, we recorded \$8.4 million and \$27.2 million of pre-tax restructuring charges, respectively. During 2009, we recognized \$14.4 million of cost of sales for the rollout of capitalized employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production and \$0.1 million of R&D expenses related to one-time termination benefits. During 2008, we recognized \$8.8 million of cost of sales for the rollout of capitalized employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production, \$0.9 million of SG&A expenses and \$0.3 million of R&D expenses related to one-time termination benefits and asset impairments.

The following table presents the restructuring activities related to the phased closure of the Arklow facility through December 31, 2009:

|                                                                    | <b>Employee<br/>Severance</b> | <b>Contract<br/>Termination<br/>Costs<br/>(in millions)</b> | <b>Other</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net charge during 2008                                             | \$ 20.5                       | \$ 5.6                                                      | \$ 1.1       | \$ 27.2      |
| Spending                                                           | (7.2)                         | (0.5)                                                       | (1.0)        | (8.7)        |
| Foreign exchange translation effects                               | (1.8)                         | (0.6)                                                       |              | (2.4)        |
| Balance at December 31, 2008                                       | 11.5                          | 4.5                                                         | 0.1          | 16.1         |
| Net charge during 2009                                             | 3.4                           | 4.1                                                         | 0.9          | 8.4          |
| Spending                                                           | (13.9)                        | (5.2)                                                       | (0.5)        | (19.6)       |
| Foreign exchange translation effects                               | (0.7)                         | 0.1                                                         | 0.1          | (0.5)        |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 (included in Other accrued expenses ) | \$ 0.3                        | \$ 3.5                                                      | \$ 0.6       | \$ 4.4       |



---

**Table of Contents**

**Other Restructuring Activities and Integration Costs**

Included in 2009 are a \$0.3 million restructuring charge reversal related to the closure of our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, which was substantially completed in the fourth quarter of 2008, and \$0.6 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of our European operations.

Included in 2008 are \$3.4 million of restructuring charges related to the closure of our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, \$4.0 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of our European operations, \$6.6 million of restructuring charges related to our 2007 acquisition of Cornéal and \$0.1 million of restructuring charges related to our 2007 acquisition of EndoArt.

Included in 2007 are \$7.5 million of restructuring charges related to our 2006 acquisition of Inamed, \$1.7 million of restructuring charges related to the closure of our collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, \$1.0 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of our European operations and \$16.6 million of restructuring charges related to our 2007 acquisition of Cornéal.

Included in 2009 are \$0.4 million of SG&A expenses related to transaction costs associated with our Samil acquisition and \$0.4 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with our Cornéal acquisition. Included in 2008 are \$0.1 million of cost of sales and \$2.1 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with our acquisitions of Esprit and Cornéal. Included in 2007 are \$0.2 million of cost of sales and \$14.5 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with our acquisitions of Esprit, Cornéal, EndoArt and Inamed.

***Operating Income***

Management evaluates business segment performance on an operating income basis exclusive of general and administrative expenses and other indirect costs, restructuring charges, in-process R&D expenses, amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to business combinations and asset acquisitions and certain other adjustments, which are not allocated to our business segments for performance assessment by our chief operating decision maker. Other adjustments excluded from our business segments for purposes of performance assessment represent income or expenses that do not reflect, according to established Company-defined criteria, operating income or expenses associated with our core business activities.

For 2009, general and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments not allocated to our business segments for purposes of performance assessment consisted of general and administrative expenses of \$299.1 million, compensation expense from stock option modifications of \$78.6 million and asset impairments and accelerated depreciation costs of \$2.3 million related to the 2009 restructuring plan, costs associated with the DOJ investigation relating to sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup> of approximately \$32.2 million, termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to the phased closure of the Arklow facility of \$14.5 million, a contribution to The Allergan Foundation of \$18.0 million, an upfront payment for the in-licensing of technology that has not achieved regulatory approval of \$10.0 million, integration and transition costs related to the Cornéal acquisition of \$0.4 million, a purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment of \$0.8 million and transaction costs of \$0.4 million related to our joint venture investment in Korea, a gain on the settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement related to an eye care pharmaceuticals product of \$14.0 million, and other net indirect costs of \$14.4 million.

For 2008, general and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments not allocated to our business segments for purposes of performance assessment consisted of general and administrative expenses of \$317.5 million, charges of \$68.7 million for upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved

**Table of Contents**

regulatory approval, costs associated with the DOJ investigation relating to sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup> of approximately \$25.7 million, a \$13.2 million charge related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea, a purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment related to the Esprit acquisition of \$11.7 million, termination benefits, asset impairments and accelerated depreciation costs related to the phased closure of the Arklow facility of \$10.0 million, impairment of an intangible asset of \$5.6 million related to the phase out of a collagen product, integration and transition costs related to the acquisitions of Esprit and Cornéal of \$2.2 million, transaction costs related to the *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> asset acquisition of \$0.6 million, gains on the sale of technology and fixed assets related to the phased closure of the Fremont facility of \$0.9 million, and other net indirect costs of \$20.9 million.

For 2007, general and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments not allocated to our business segments for purposes of performance assessment consisted of general and administrative expenses of \$292.2 million, integration and transition costs related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions of \$14.7 million, \$6.4 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a patent dispute, \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries, purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustments related to the Esprit and Cornéal acquisitions of \$3.3 million and other net indirect costs of \$18.1 million.

The following table presents operating income for each reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and a reconciliation of our segments' operating income to consolidated operating income:

|                                                                                 | 2009          | 2008       | 2007       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                                                                                 | (in millions) |            |            |
| Operating income:                                                               |               |            |            |
| Specialty pharmaceuticals                                                       | \$ 1,370.8    | \$ 1,220.1 | \$ 1,047.9 |
| Medical devices                                                                 | 189.2         | 222.0      | 207.1      |
| <br>                                                                            |               |            |            |
| Total segments                                                                  | 1,560.0       | 1,442.1    | 1,255.0    |
| General and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments | 456.7         | 475.2      | 337.0      |
| In-process research and development                                             |               |            | 72.0       |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets(a)                                   | 124.4         | 129.6      | 99.9       |
| Restructuring charges                                                           | 50.9          | 41.3       | 26.8       |
| <br>                                                                            |               |            |            |
| Total operating income                                                          | \$ 928.0      | \$ 796.0   | \$ 719.3   |

- (a) Represents amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to business combinations and asset acquisitions and related capitalized licensing costs, as applicable.

Our consolidated operating income for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$928.0 million, or 20.9% of product net sales, compared to consolidated operating income of \$796.0 million, or 18.3% of product net sales in 2008. The \$132.0 million increase in consolidated operating income was due to a \$107.9 million increase in product net sales, a \$10.3 million decrease in cost of sales, a \$91.9 million decrease in R&D expenses and a \$4.6 million decrease in amortization of acquired intangible assets, partially offset by a \$7.7 million decrease in other revenues, a \$65.4 million increase in SG&A expenses and a \$9.6 million increase in restructuring charges. Our consolidated operating income in 2009 includes charges totaling \$78.6 million for compensation costs associated with the modifications of certain employee stock options related to our 2009 restructuring plan.

Our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income in 2009 was \$1,370.8 million, compared to operating income of \$1,220.1 million in 2008. The \$150.7 million increase in our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income was due primarily to an increase in product net sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and skin care product lines and a decrease in selling and R&D expenses, partially offset by increased investments in promotion activities and a small increase in marketing expenses.



**Table of Contents**

Our medical devices segment operating income in 2009 was \$189.2 million, compared to operating income of \$222.0 million in 2008. The \$32.8 million decrease in our medical devices segment operating income was due primarily to the \$73.6 million decrease in product net sales across all product lines, partially offset by an overall decrease in promotion, selling and marketing expenses.

Our consolidated operating income for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$796.0 million, or 18.3% of product net sales, compared to consolidated operating income of \$719.3 million, or 18.5% of product net sales in 2007. The \$76.7 million increase in consolidated operating income was due to a \$460.7 million increase in product net sales and a \$3.8 million increase in other revenues, partially offset by an \$88.0 million increase in cost of sales, a \$175.9 million increase in SG&A expenses, a \$79.8 million increase in R&D expenses, a \$29.6 million increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets and a \$14.5 million increase in restructuring charges.

Our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income in 2008 was \$1,220.1 million, compared to operating income of \$1,047.9 million in 2007. The \$172.2 million increase in our specialty pharmaceuticals segment operating income was due primarily to an increase in product net sales of our eye care pharmaceuticals and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> product lines and lower total segment promotion expenses, partially offset by an increase in selling and marketing expenses, primarily due to increased sales personnel costs and additional marketing expenses to support our expanded selling efforts and new products, including new urologics products acquired in the Esprit acquisition, and an increase in R&D expenses.

Our medical devices segment operating income in 2008 was \$222.0 million, compared to operating income of \$207.1 million in 2007. The \$14.9 million increase in our medical devices segment operating income was due primarily to an increase in product net sales across all product lines and an overall decrease in promotion expenses, partially offset by increased investments in spending for selling and marketing activities, primarily increased sales personnel costs, and an increase in R&D expenses.

***Non-Operating Income and Expenses***

Total net non-operating expense in 2009 was \$79.5 million compared to \$33.8 million in 2008. Interest income in 2009 was \$7.0 million compared to interest income of \$33.5 million in 2008. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to a decrease in average interest rates earned on all cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately 2.3 percentage points, partially offset by higher average cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately \$351.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008. Interest income in 2008 also included \$3.5 million of statutory interest income related to income taxes. Interest expense decreased \$8.6 million to \$76.9 million in 2009 compared to \$85.5 million in 2008, primarily due to \$14.3 million recognized as an offset to interest expense in 2009 as the interest rate differential under our \$300.0 million notional amount fixed to variable interest rate swap agreement compared to \$7.9 million recognized as an offset to interest expense in 2008. Additionally, interest expense also decreased due to a decrease in average outstanding borrowings in 2009 compared to 2008. During 2009, we recorded a net unrealized loss on derivative instruments of \$13.6 million compared to a net unrealized gain of \$14.8 million in 2008. During 2009, we recorded a net gain of \$24.6 million on the sale of third party equity investments. Other, net expense was \$20.6 million in 2009, consisting primarily of \$15.3 million in net realized losses from foreign currency transactions and a loss of \$5.3 million on the extinguishment of a portion of our 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026, or 2026 Convertible Notes. Other, net income was \$3.4 million in 2008, consisting primarily of \$2.9 million in net realized gains from foreign currency transactions.

Total net non-operating expense in 2008 was \$33.8 million compared to \$54.9 million in 2007. Interest income in 2008 was \$33.5 million compared to interest income of \$65.3 million in 2007. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to lower average cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately \$147.0 million and a decrease in average interest rates earned on all cash equivalent balances earning interest of approximately 2.4 percentage points in 2008 compared to 2007, partially offset by \$3.5 million of statutory

---

**Table of Contents**

interest income related to income taxes recorded in 2008. Interest expense decreased \$9.1 million to \$85.5 million in 2008 compared to \$94.6 million in 2007, primarily due to \$7.9 million recognized in 2008 as the interest rate differential under our \$300.0 million notional amount fixed to variable interest rate swap agreement compared to \$0.3 million recognized in 2007 and a decrease in average outstanding borrowings in 2008 compared to 2007. During 2008, we recorded a net unrealized gain on derivative instruments of \$14.8 million compared to a net unrealized loss of \$0.4 million in 2007. Other, net income was \$3.4 million in 2008, consisting primarily of \$2.9 million in net realized gains from foreign currency transactions. Other, net expense was \$25.2 million in 2007, consisting primarily of \$25.0 million in net realized losses from foreign currency transactions.

***Income Taxes***

Our effective tax rate in 2009 was 26.5% compared to the effective tax rate of 25.9% in 2008. Included in our operating income for 2009 are a \$24.6 million net gain on the sale of investments, a \$14.0 million gain on the settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement, a \$5.3 million loss on the extinguishment of a portion of our 2026 Convertible Notes, restructuring charges of \$50.9 million, a charge of \$78.6 million related to the modification of certain employee stock options in conjunction with our 2009 restructuring plan, the rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs capitalized in inventory and expenses for one-time termination benefits related to the closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility of \$14.5 million, a \$10.0 million charge for an upfront payment for technology that has not achieved regulatory approval, and a \$18.0 million contribution to The Allergan Foundation. In 2009, we recorded income tax expense of \$9.4 million related to the net gain on the sale of investments, \$3.9 million related to the gain on the settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement and \$0.8 million related to the loss on the extinguishment of a portion of our 2026 Convertible Notes. We recorded income tax benefits of \$10.2 million related to the restructuring charges, \$27.5 million related to the modification of certain employee stock options, \$1.5 million related to the costs described above related to the closure of our breast implant manufacturing facility in Arklow, Ireland, \$0.7 million related to an upfront payment for technology that has not achieved regulatory approval, and \$6.9 million related to the contribution to The Allergan Foundation. Also included in the provision for income taxes in 2009 is a net expense of \$4.1 million for a change in estimated taxes related to pre-acquisition periods associated with business combinations and uncertain tax positions included in prior year income tax filings and \$6.7 million of income tax benefit related to foreign R&D tax credits received for tax years prior to 2008. Excluding the impact of the total pre-tax charges of \$138.7 million and the total net income tax benefit of \$35.3 million for the items discussed above, our adjusted effective tax rate for 2009 was 26.3%. We believe that the use of an adjusted effective tax rate provides a more meaningful measure of the impact of income taxes on our results of operations because it excludes the effect of certain items that are not included as part of our core business activities. This allows investors to better determine the effective tax rate associated with our core business activities.

**Table of Contents**

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 is summarized below:

|                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>2009</b><br><b>(in millions)</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, as reported                                                                                                                                      | \$ 848.5                            |
| Net gain on sale of investments                                                                                                                                                                           | (24.6)                              |
| Gain on settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement                                                                                                                                          | (14.0)                              |
| Loss on extinguishment of a portion of the 2026 Convertible Notes                                                                                                                                         | 5.3                                 |
| Restructuring charges                                                                                                                                                                                     | 50.9                                |
| Charges related to the modification of certain employee stock options                                                                                                                                     | 78.6                                |
| Rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation and expenses for one-time termination benefits related to the closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility | 14.5                                |
| Upfront payment of technology that has not achieved regulatory approval                                                                                                                                   | 10.0                                |
| Contribution to The Allergan Foundation                                                                                                                                                                   | 18.0                                |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                           | \$ 987.2                            |
| Provision for income taxes, as reported                                                                                                                                                                   | \$ 224.7                            |
| Income tax benefit (provision) for:                                                                                                                                                                       |                                     |
| Net gain on sale of investments                                                                                                                                                                           | (9.4)                               |
| Gain on settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement                                                                                                                                          | (3.9)                               |
| Loss on extinguishment of a portion of the 2026 Convertible Notes                                                                                                                                         | (0.8)                               |
| Restructuring charges                                                                                                                                                                                     | 10.2                                |
| Charges related to the modification of certain employee stock options                                                                                                                                     | 27.5                                |
| Rollout of retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation and expenses for one-time termination benefits related to the closure of our Arklow, Ireland breast implant manufacturing facility | 1.5                                 |
| Upfront payment of technology that has not achieved regulatory approval                                                                                                                                   | 0.7                                 |
| Contribution to The Allergan Foundation                                                                                                                                                                   | 6.9                                 |
| Change in estimated taxes related to pre-acquisition periods associated with business combinations and uncertain tax positions included in prior year income tax filings                                  | (4.1)                               |
| Foreign R&D tax credits received for tax years prior to 2008                                                                                                                                              | 6.7                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                           | \$ 260.0                            |
| Adjusted effective tax rate                                                                                                                                                                               | 26.3%                               |

Our effective tax rate in 2008 was 25.9% compared to the effective tax rate of 26.7% in 2007. Included in our operating income for 2008 are pre-tax charges of \$68.7 million for upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved regulatory approval, an \$11.7 million charge to cost of sales associated with the Esprit purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollout, a \$13.2 million charge for a settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea, a \$5.6 million charge for the impairment of an intangible asset related to the phase out of a collagen product and total restructuring charges of \$41.3 million. In 2008, we recorded income tax benefits of \$21.6 million related to the upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved regulatory approval, \$4.6 million related to the Esprit purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollout, \$1.3 million related to the charge for a settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea, \$2.0 million related to the impairment of an intangible asset, \$4.7 million related to the total restructuring charges and \$2.4 million related to deferred tax benefits related to the legal entity integration of Esprit and Inamed. In 2008, our tax provision was also affected by a \$5.5 million negative income tax impact from non-deductible losses associated with the liquidation of corporate-owned life insurance contracts previously used to fund our executive deferred compensation program. Excluding the impact of the total pre-tax

**Table of Contents**

charges of \$140.5 million and the total net income tax benefit of \$31.1 million for the items discussed above, our adjusted effective tax rate for 2008 was 25.3%.

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2008 is summarized below:

|                                                                                                                            | <b>2008</b><br><b>(in millions)</b> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, as reported                                                       | \$ 762.2                            |
| Upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved regulatory approval                                               | 68.7                                |
| Esprit fair market value inventory rollout                                                                                 | 11.7                                |
| Settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea                                                 | 13.2                                |
| Impairment of an intangible asset                                                                                          | 5.6                                 |
| Restructuring charges                                                                                                      | 41.3                                |
|                                                                                                                            | <b>\$ 902.7</b>                     |
| Provision for income taxes, as reported                                                                                    | \$ 197.5                            |
| Income tax benefit (provision) for:                                                                                        |                                     |
| Upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved regulatory approval                                               | 21.6                                |
| Esprit fair market value inventory rollout                                                                                 | 4.6                                 |
| Settlement related to the termination of a distribution agreement in Korea                                                 | 1.3                                 |
| Impairment of an intangible asset                                                                                          | 2.0                                 |
| Restructuring charges                                                                                                      | 4.7                                 |
| Deferred tax benefit from the legal entity integration of Esprit and Inamed                                                | 2.4                                 |
| Negative tax impact from non-deductible losses associated with the liquidation of corporate-owned life insurance contracts | (5.5)                               |
|                                                                                                                            | <b>\$ 228.6</b>                     |
| Adjusted effective tax rate                                                                                                | 25.3%                               |

Our effective tax rate in 2007 was 26.7%. Included in our operating income for 2007 are pre-tax charges of \$72.0 million for in-process research and development acquired in the EndoArt acquisition, a \$3.3 million charge to cost of sales associated with the combined Esprit and Cornéal purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollouts, \$2.3 million of expenses associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries, total integration and transition costs of \$14.7 million related to the Esprit, EndoArt, Cornéal and Inamed acquisitions, total restructuring charges of \$26.8 million and a legal settlement cost of \$6.4 million. In 2007, we recorded income tax benefits of \$1.3 million related to the combined Esprit and Cornéal purchase accounting fair market value inventory adjustment rollouts, \$3.6 million related to the total integration and transition costs, \$8.0 million related to the total restructuring charges and \$2.5 million related to the legal settlement cost. We did not record any income tax benefit for the in-process research and development charges or the expenses associated with the settlement of the pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of our subsidiaries. Also included in the provision for income taxes in 2007 is \$1.6 million of tax benefit related to state income tax refunds resulting from the settlement of tax audits. Excluding the impact of the total pre-tax charges of \$125.5 million and the total net income tax benefit of \$17.0 million for the items discussed above, our adjusted effective tax rate for 2007 was 24.6%.

**Table of Contents**

The calculation of our adjusted effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007 is summarized below:

|                                                                            | 2007<br>(in millions) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes, as reported       | \$ 664.4              |
| In-process research and development expense                                | 72.0                  |
| Esprit and Cornéal fair market value inventory rollouts                    | 3.3                   |
| Settlement of pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement with Cornéal | 2.3                   |
| Total integration and transition costs                                     | 14.7                  |
| Restructuring charges                                                      | 26.8                  |
| Legal settlement cost                                                      | 6.4                   |
|                                                                            | \$ 789.9              |
| Provision for income taxes, as reported                                    | \$ 177.4              |
| Income tax benefit for:                                                    |                       |
| Esprit and Cornéal fair market value inventory rollouts                    | 1.3                   |
| Total integration and transition costs                                     | 3.6                   |
| Restructuring charges                                                      | 8.0                   |
| Legal settlement cost                                                      | 2.5                   |
| State income tax refunds                                                   | 1.6                   |
|                                                                            | \$ 194.4              |
| Adjusted effective tax rate                                                | 24.6%                 |

The increase in the adjusted effective tax rate to 26.3% in 2009 compared to the adjusted effective tax rate in 2008 of 25.3% is primarily due to the increase in the mix of earnings in higher tax rate jurisdictions, including the United States, which resulted from the increase in net sales of our eye care pharmaceutical products, and the decrease in the mix of net sales and related operating profits of *Botox*<sup>®</sup> as a percentage of our total product net sales and operating income in 2009 compared to 2008. Additionally, the adjusted effective tax rate increased in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the negative tax rate effect from lower R&D expense deductions in the United States in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in the adjusted effective tax rate in 2009 compared to 2008 was partially offset by the beneficial tax rate effect of decreased interest income in the United States.

The increase in the adjusted effective tax rate to 25.3% in 2008 compared to the adjusted effective tax rate in 2007 of 24.6% is primarily due to an increase in the mix of earnings in higher tax rate jurisdictions, partially offset by the beneficial tax rate effect of increased deductions for the amortization of acquired intangible assets associated with the Esprit acquisition and *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> asset purchase and the beneficial tax rate effect of decreased interest income in the United States.

**Earnings from Continuing Operations**

Our earnings from continuing operations in 2009 were \$623.8 million compared to earnings from continuing operations of \$564.7 million in 2008. The \$59.1 million increase in earnings from continuing operations was primarily the result of the increase in operating income of \$132.0 million, partially offset by the increase in net non-operating expense of \$45.7 million and the increase in the provision for income taxes of \$27.2 million.

Our earnings from continuing operations in 2008 were \$564.7 million compared to earnings from continuing operations of \$487.0 million in 2007. The \$77.7 million increase in earnings from continuing operations was primarily the result of the increase in operating income of \$76.7 million and the decrease in net non-operating expense of \$21.1 million, partially offset by the increase in the provision for income taxes of \$20.1 million.





---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Net Earnings Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest***

Our net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest for our majority-owned subsidiaries were \$2.5 million in 2009, \$1.6 million in 2008 and \$0.5 million in 2007.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We assess our liquidity by our ability to generate cash to fund our operations. Significant factors in the management of liquidity are: funds generated by operations; levels of accounts receivable, inventories, accounts payable and capital expenditures; the extent of our stock repurchase program; funds required for acquisitions and other transactions; funds available under our credit facilities; and financial flexibility to attract long-term capital on satisfactory terms.

Historically, we have generated cash from operations in excess of working capital requirements. The net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,113.3 million in 2009 compared to \$682.5 million in 2008 and \$793.2 million in 2007. Cash flow from operating activities increased in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily as a result of a net decrease in cash required to fund changes in net operating assets and liabilities, principally trade receivables, inventories, accounts payable and other liabilities, partially offset by an increase in cash used to fund payments of income taxes, and an increase in cash from net earnings from operations, including the effect of adjusting for non-cash items. We paid pension contributions of \$12.9 million in 2009 compared to \$84.5 million in 2008. We increased our pension contributions in 2008 primarily due to the negative impact on the value of assets in our funded pension plans due to the decline in the fair value of global equity securities and our desire to maintain certain minimum asset values relative to projected benefit obligations.

Cash flow from operating activities decreased in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily as a result of a net increase in cash required to fund changes in net operating assets and liabilities, principally trade receivables, inventories, accounts payable and other liabilities, partially offset by an increase in earnings from operations, including the effect of adjusting for non-cash items. We paid pension contributions of \$84.5 million in 2008 compared to \$23.2 million in 2007.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$98.7 million in 2009 compared to \$459.7 million in 2008 and \$833.8 million in 2007. In 2009, we paid \$12.8 million, net of cash acquired, to acquire our joint venture investment in Korea, and invested \$95.8 million in new facilities and equipment and \$26.6 million in capitalized software. In 2009, we purchased an office building contiguous to our main facility in Irvine, California for approximately \$20.7 million. We assumed a mortgage of \$20.0 million and paid \$0.7 million in cash. Additionally, we paid \$3.3 million for an intangible asset as part of the settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement related to an eye care pharmaceuticals product. In 2009, we received \$28.2 million from the sale of equity investments and \$11.6 million related to contractual purchase price adjustments to our 2007 acquisitions of Cornéal and Esprit. We currently expect to invest between \$170.0 million and \$190.0 million in capital expenditures for manufacturing and administrative facilities, manufacturing equipment and other property, plant and equipment during 2010.

In 2008, we paid approximately \$150.1 million primarily for the acquisition of assets related to *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>, and invested \$190.8 million in new facilities and equipment and \$56.3 million in capitalized software. In 2008, we purchased a manufacturing facility that was previously leased by us for approximately \$23.0 million and an office building contiguous to our main facility in Irvine, California for approximately \$15.3 million. Additionally, we capitalized \$69.8 million as intangible assets including a buyout payment of contingent licensing obligations related to *Sanctura*<sup>®</sup> products and milestone payments related to expected annual *Restasis*<sup>®</sup> net sales and the FDA approval of *Latisse*<sup>®</sup> in the United States. In 2008, we collected a combined total of \$6.1 million from the sale of assets that we acquired as a part of the Esprit acquisition and the 2007 sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business that we acquired as a part of the Cornéal acquisition.

---

**Table of Contents**

In 2007, we paid \$683.7 million, net of cash acquired, for the acquisitions of Esprit, EndoArt and Cornéal, and invested \$142.5 million in new facilities and equipment and \$30.7 million in capitalized software. Additionally, we capitalized \$10.0 million as intangible assets in connection with a milestone payment related to *Restasis*® and an upfront licensing payment related to urologics products incurred subsequent to the Esprit acquisition. In 2007, we received \$23.9 million from the sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business and \$9.2 million primarily from a final installment payment related to the 2006 sale of our Mougins, France facility.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$181.5 million in 2009 compared to \$262.8 million in 2008 and \$182.4 million in 2007. In 2009, we repurchased 2.0 million shares of our common stock for \$105.5 million, paid \$98.3 million to repurchase \$100.3 million principal amount of our 2026 Convertible Notes and paid \$60.6 million in dividends. This use of cash was partially offset by \$12.1 million in net borrowings of notes payable, \$63.5 million received from the sale of stock to employees and \$7.3 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation. In 2008, we repurchased 4.0 million shares of our common stock for \$230.1 million, had net repayments of notes payable of \$34.7 million and paid \$60.7 million in dividends. This use of cash was partially offset by \$51.6 million received from the sale of stock to employees and \$11.1 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation. In 2007, we repurchased approximately 3.0 million shares of our common stock for \$186.5 million, had net repayments of notes payable of \$108.5 million and paid \$60.8 million in dividends. This use of cash was partially offset by \$137.4 million received from the sale of stock to employees and \$36.0 million in excess tax benefits from share-based compensation.

Effective February 2, 2010, our board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share, payable March 12, 2010 to stockholders of record on February 19, 2010.

We maintain an evergreen stock repurchase program. Our evergreen stock repurchase program authorizes us to repurchase our common stock for the primary purpose of funding our stock-based benefit plans. Under the stock repurchase program, we may maintain up to 18.4 million repurchased shares in our treasury account at any one time. At December 31, 2009, we held approximately 3.1 million treasury shares under this program. Effective January 1, 2010, our current Rule 10b5-1 plan authorizes our broker to purchase our common stock traded in the open market pursuant to our evergreen stock repurchase program. The terms of the plan set forth a maximum annual limit of 4.0 million shares to be repurchased, certain quarterly maximum and minimum volume limits, and the plan is cancellable at any time in our sole discretion and in accordance with applicable insider trading laws.

Our 2026 Convertible Notes pay interest semi-annually on the principal amount of the notes at a rate of 1.50% per annum and are convertible, at the holder's option, at an initial conversion rate of 15.7904 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes. In certain circumstances the 2026 Convertible Notes may be convertible into cash in an amount equal to the lesser of their principal amount or their conversion value. If the conversion value of the 2026 Convertible Notes exceeds their principal amount at the time of conversion, we will also deliver common stock or, at our election, a combination of cash and common stock for the conversion value in excess of the principal amount. We are permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes from and after April 5, 2009 to April 4, 2011 if the closing price of our common stock reaches a specified threshold, and will be permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes at any time on or after April 5, 2011. Holders of the 2026 Convertible Notes will also be able to require us to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes at the principal amount on April 1, 2011, April 1, 2016 and April 1, 2021 or upon a change in control of us. The 2026 Convertible Notes mature on April 1, 2026, unless previously redeemed by us or earlier converted by the note holders.

Our 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016, or 2016 Notes, were sold at 99.717% of par value with an effective interest rate of 5.79%, pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 5.75% per annum, and are redeemable at any time at our option, subject to a make-whole provision based on the present value of remaining interest payments at the time of the redemption. The aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 2016 Notes is due and payable on April 1, 2016, unless earlier redeemed by us.

---

**Table of Contents**

At December 31, 2009, we had a committed long-term credit facility, a commercial paper program, a medium-term note program, an unused shelf registration statement that allows us to issue additional securities, including debt securities, in one or more offerings from time to time, a real estate mortgage and various foreign bank facilities. Our committed long-term credit facility expires in May 2012. The termination date can be further extended from time to time upon our request and acceptance by the issuer of the facility for a period of one year from the last scheduled termination date for each request accepted. The committed long-term credit facility allows for borrowings of up to \$800 million. The commercial paper program also provides for up to \$600 million in borrowings. Borrowings under the committed long-term credit facility and medium-term note program are subject to certain financial and operating covenants that include, among other provisions, maximum leverage ratios. Certain covenants also limit subsidiary debt. We believe we were in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2009, we had no borrowings under our committed long-term credit facility, \$25.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the medium-term note program, \$20.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the real estate mortgage, \$18.1 million in borrowings outstanding under various foreign bank facilities and no borrowings under the commercial paper program. Commercial paper, when outstanding, is issued at current short-term interest rates. Additionally, any future borrowings that are outstanding under the long-term credit facility will be subject to a floating interest rate. We may from time to time seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt.

At December 31, 2009, we had net pension and postretirement benefit obligations totaling \$137.4 million. Future funding requirements are subject to change depending on the actual return on net assets in our funded pension plans and changes in actuarial assumptions. In 2010, we expect to pay pension contributions of between \$30.0 million and \$40.0 million for our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and between \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million for our other postretirement plan.

On January 15, 2010, we completed the acquisition of Serica Technologies, Inc., a medical device company focused on the development of biodegradable silk-based scaffolds for use in tissue regeneration, including breast augmentation, revision and reconstruction and bariatric applications, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$70.0 million.

A significant amount of our existing cash and equivalents are held by non-U.S. subsidiaries. We currently plan to use these funds in our operations outside the United States. Withholding and U.S. taxes have not been provided for unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because we have reinvested these earnings indefinitely in such operations. At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$2,184.5 million in unremitted earnings outside the United States for which withholding and U.S. taxes were not provided. Tax costs would be incurred if these funds were remitted to the United States.

We believe that the net cash provided by operating activities, supplemented as necessary with borrowings available under our existing credit facilities and existing cash and equivalents, will provide us with sufficient resources to meet our current expected obligations, working capital requirements, debt service and other cash needs over the next year.

***Inflation***

Although at reduced levels in recent years and at the end of 2009, inflation continues to apply upward pressure on the cost of goods and services that we use. The competitive and regulatory environments in many markets substantially limit our ability to fully recover these higher costs through increased selling prices. We continually seek to mitigate the adverse effects of inflation through cost containment and improved productivity and manufacturing processes.

***Foreign Currency Fluctuations***

Approximately 34.6% of our product net sales in 2009 were derived from operations outside the United States, and a portion of our international cost structure is denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As

**Table of Contents**

a result, we are subject to fluctuations in sales and earnings reported in U.S. dollars due to changing currency exchange rates. We routinely monitor our transaction exposure to currency rates and implement certain economic hedging strategies to limit such exposure, as we deem appropriate. The net impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our sales was a decrease of \$106.4 million in 2009 and an increase of \$49.5 million and \$87.4 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The 2009 sales decrease included \$37.8 million related to the euro, \$20.9 million related to the UK pound, \$11.0 million related to the Brazilian real, \$10.6 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$8.5 million related to the Mexican peso, \$6.0 million related to the Australian dollar and \$11.6 million related to other Latin American and Asian currencies. The 2008 sales increase included \$49.0 million related to the euro, \$8.0 million related to the Brazilian real, \$1.2 million related to other Latin American currencies and \$0.6 million related to the Canadian dollar, partially offset by decreases of \$8.7 million related to the UK pound and \$0.6 million related to Asian currencies. The 2007 sales increase included \$44.5 million related to the euro, \$11.7 million related to the Brazilian real, \$8.3 million related to the Australian dollar, \$8.2 million related to the Canadian dollar, \$8.2 million related to the U.K. pound and \$6.5 million related to other Asian and Latin American currencies. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for a description of our accounting policy on foreign currency translation.

**Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

The table below presents information about our contractual obligations and commitments at December 31, 2009:

|                                                                    | Payments Due by Period |           |                            |                            | Total      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|                                                                    | Less than<br>One Year  | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years<br>(in millions) | More<br>than Five<br>Years |            |
| Notes payable, convertible notes and long-term debt obligations(a) | \$ 18.1                | \$ 642.3  | \$                         | \$ 818.6                   | \$ 1,479.0 |
| Operating lease obligations                                        | 51.3                   | 61.2      | 29.0                       | 36.7                       | 178.2      |
| Purchase obligations                                               | 215.2                  | 130.0     | 121.4                      | 13.8                       | 480.4      |
| Pension minimum funding(b)                                         | 34.9                   | 63.3      | 55.8                       |                            | 154.0      |
| Other long-term obligations                                        |                        | 34.2      |                            | 137.0                      | 171.2      |
| Total                                                              | \$ 319.5               | \$ 931.0  | \$ 206.2                   | \$ 1,006.1                 | \$ 2,462.8 |

(a) Excludes the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$30.4 million at December 31, 2009.

(b) For purposes of this table, we assume that we will be required to fund our U.S. and non-U.S. funded pension plans based on the minimum funding required by applicable regulations. In determining the minimum required funding, we utilize current actuarial assumptions and exchange rates to forecast estimates of amounts that may be payable for up to five years in the future. In management's judgment, minimum funding estimates beyond a five year time horizon cannot be reliably estimated. Where minimum funding as determined for each individual plan would not achieve a funded status to the level of local statutory requirements, additional discretionary funding may be provided from available cash resources.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

In the normal course of business, our operations are exposed to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We address these risks through controlled risk management that includes the use of derivative financial instruments to economically hedge or reduce these exposures. We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. See Note 12, Financial Instruments, in the notes to the consolidated financial statements listed under Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, for activities relating to interest rate and foreign currency risk management.



---

**Table of Contents**

To ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of our interest rate and foreign exchange hedge positions, we continually monitor our interest rate swap positions and foreign exchange forward and option positions both on a stand-alone basis and in conjunction with our underlying interest rate and foreign currency exposures, from an accounting and economic perspective.

However, given the inherent limitations of forecasting and the anticipatory nature of the exposures intended to be hedged, we cannot assure you that such programs will offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from unfavorable movements in either interest or foreign exchange rates. In addition, the timing of the accounting for recognition of gains and losses related to mark-to-market instruments for any given period may not coincide with the timing of gains and losses related to the underlying economic exposures and, therefore, may adversely affect our consolidated operating results and financial position.

***Interest Rate Risk***

Our interest income and expense is more sensitive to fluctuations in the general level of U.S. interest rates than to changes in rates in other markets. Changes in U.S. interest rates affect the interest earned on our cash and equivalents, interest expense on our debt as well as costs associated with foreign currency contracts.

On January 31, 2007, we entered into a nine-year, two-month interest rate swap with a \$300.0 million notional amount with semi-annual settlements and quarterly interest rate reset dates. The swap receives interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% and pays interest at a variable interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 0.368%, and effectively converts \$300.0 million of the \$800.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 2016 Notes to a variable interest rate. Based on the structure of the hedging relationship, the hedge meets the criteria for using the short-cut method for a fair value hedge. The investment in the derivative and the related long-term debt are recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we recognized in our consolidated balance sheets an asset reported in Investments and other assets and a corresponding increase in Long-term debt associated with the fair value of the derivative of \$30.4 million and \$61.9 million, respectively. The differential to be paid or received as interest rates change is accrued and recognized as an adjustment of interest expense related to the 2016 Notes. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$14.3 million, \$7.9 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, as a reduction of interest expense due to the differential to be received.

In February 2006, we entered into interest rate swap contracts based on 3-month LIBOR with an aggregate notional amount of \$800.0 million, a swap period of 10 years and a starting swap rate of 5.198%. We entered into these swap contracts as a cash flow hedge to effectively fix the future interest rate for our 2016 Notes. In April 2006, we terminated the interest rate swap contracts and received approximately \$13.0 million. The total gain is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over a 10 year period to match the term of the 2016 Notes. As of December 31, 2009, the remaining unrecognized gain, net of tax, of \$4.9 million is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$18.1 million of variable rate debt. If interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1% for the year, annual interest expense, including the effect of the \$300.0 million notional amount of the interest rate swap entered into on January 31, 2007, would increase or decrease by approximately \$3.2 million. Commercial paper, when outstanding, is issued at current short-term interest rates. Additionally, any future borrowings that are outstanding under the long-term credit facility will be subject to a floating interest rate. Therefore, higher interest costs could occur if interest rates increase in the future.

**Table of Contents**

The tables below present information about certain of our investment portfolio and our debt obligations at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

|                                       | December 31, 2009                    |                 |                |           |           |                 | Total             | Fair Market Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                       | Maturing in                          |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
|                                       | 2010                                 | 2011            | 2012           | 2013      | 2014      | Thereafter      |                   |                   |
|                                       | (in millions, except interest rates) |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| <b>ASSETS</b>                         |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| <i>Cash Equivalents:</i>              |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| Commercial Paper                      | \$ 574.6                             | \$              | \$             | \$        | \$        | \$              | \$ 574.6          | \$ 574.6          |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 0.16%                                |                 |                |           |           |                 | 0.16%             |                   |
| Foreign Time Deposits                 | 156.9                                |                 |                |           |           |                 | 156.9             | 156.9             |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 0.23%                                |                 |                |           |           |                 | 0.23%             |                   |
| Other Cash Equivalents                | 1,108.6                              |                 |                |           |           |                 | 1,108.6           | 1,108.6           |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 0.31%                                |                 |                |           |           |                 | 0.31%             |                   |
| <b>Total Cash Equivalents</b>         | <b>\$ 1,840.1</b>                    | <b>\$</b>       | <b>\$</b>      | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$</b>       | <b>\$ 1,840.1</b> | <b>\$ 1,840.1</b> |
| <b>Weighted Average Interest Rate</b> | <b>0.26%</b>                         |                 |                |           |           |                 | <b>0.26%</b>      |                   |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                    |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| <i>Debt Obligations:</i>              |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| Fixed Rate (US\$)                     | \$                                   | \$ 617.3        | \$ 25.0        | \$        | \$        | \$ 818.6        | \$ 1,460.9        | \$ 1,547.3        |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        |                                      | 5.59%           | 7.47%          |           |           | 5.78%           | 5.73%             |                   |
| Other Variable Rate (non-US\$)        | 18.1                                 |                 |                |           |           |                 | 18.1              | 18.1              |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 2.59%                                |                 |                |           |           |                 | 2.59%             |                   |
| <b>Total Debt Obligations(a)</b>      | <b>\$ 18.1</b>                       | <b>\$ 617.3</b> | <b>\$ 25.0</b> | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$ 818.6</b> | <b>\$ 1,479.0</b> | <b>\$ 1,565.4</b> |
| <b>Weighted Average Interest Rate</b> | <b>2.59%</b>                         | <b>5.59%</b>    | <b>7.47%</b>   |           |           | <b>5.78%</b>    | <b>5.69%</b>      |                   |
| <b>INTEREST RATE DERIVATIVES</b>      |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| <i>Interest Rate Swaps:</i>           |                                      |                 |                |           |           |                 |                   |                   |
| Fixed to Variable (US\$)              | \$                                   | \$              | \$             | \$        | \$        | \$ 300.0        | \$ 300.0          | \$ 30.4           |
| Average Pay Rate                      |                                      |                 |                |           |           | 0.62%           | 0.62%             |                   |
| Average Receive Rate                  |                                      |                 |                |           |           | 5.75%           | 5.75%             |                   |

(a) Total debt obligations in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 include debt obligations of \$1,479.0 million and the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$30.4 million.

|                                       | December 31, 2008                    |                 |                |           |                 |                   | Total             | Fair Market Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                       | Maturing in                          |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
|                                       | 2009                                 | 2010            | 2011           | 2012      | 2013            | Thereafter        |                   |                   |
|                                       | (in millions, except interest rates) |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>ASSETS</b>                         |                                      |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <i>Cash Equivalents:</i>              |                                      |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Commercial Paper                      | \$ 414.1                             | \$              | \$             | \$        | \$              | \$                | \$ 414.1          | \$ 414.1          |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 3.76%                                |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 3.76%             |                   |
| Foreign Time Deposits                 | 88.2                                 |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 88.2              | 88.2              |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 1.65%                                |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 1.65%             |                   |
| Other Cash Equivalents                | 506.9                                |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 506.9             | 506.9             |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 1.42%                                |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 1.42%             |                   |
| <b>Total Cash Equivalents</b>         | <b>\$ 1,009.2</b>                    | <b>\$</b>       | <b>\$</b>      | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$</b>       | <b>\$</b>         | <b>\$ 1,009.2</b> | <b>\$ 1,009.2</b> |
| <b>Weighted Average Interest Rate</b> | <b>2.40%</b>                         |                 |                |           |                 |                   | <b>2.40%</b>      |                   |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                    |                                      |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <i>Debt Obligations:</i>              |                                      |                 |                |           |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Fixed Rate (US\$)                     | \$                                   | \$ 685.2        | \$ 25.0        | \$        | \$ 798.4        | \$ 1,508.6        | \$ 1,511.9        | \$ 1,511.9        |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        |                                      | 5.59%           | 7.47%          |           | 5.79%           | 5.73%             | 5.73%             |                   |
| Other Variable Rate (non-US\$)        | 4.4                                  |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 4.4               | 4.4               |
| Weighted Average Interest Rate        | 3.14%                                |                 |                |           |                 |                   | 3.14%             |                   |
| <b>Total Debt Obligations(a)</b>      | <b>\$ 4.4</b>                        | <b>\$ 685.2</b> | <b>\$ 25.0</b> | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$ 798.4</b> | <b>\$ 1,513.0</b> | <b>\$ 1,513.0</b> | <b>\$ 1,516.3</b> |
| <b>Weighted Average Interest Rate</b> | <b>3.14%</b>                         | <b>5.59%</b>    | <b>7.47%</b>   |           | <b>5.79%</b>    | <b>5.72%</b>      |                   |                   |



## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

### INTEREST RATE DERIVATIVES

#### *Interest Rate Swaps:*

|                          |    |    |    |    |    |    |       |          |         |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|----------|---------|
| Fixed to Variable (US\$) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 300.0 | \$ 300.0 | \$ 61.9 |
| Average Pay Rate         |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1.80% | 1.80%    |         |
| Average Receive Rate     |    |    |    |    |    |    | 5.75% | 5.75%    |         |

- (a) Total debt obligations in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008 include debt obligations of \$1,513.0 million and the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$61.9 million.

**Table of Contents**

***Foreign Currency Risk***

Overall, we are a net recipient of currencies other than the U.S. dollar and, as such, benefit from a weaker dollar and are adversely affected by a stronger dollar relative to major currencies worldwide. Accordingly, changes in exchange rates, and in particular a strengthening of the U.S. dollar, may negatively affect our consolidated revenues or operating costs and expenses as expressed in U.S. dollars.

From time to time, we enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with foreign exchange rate changes to allow our management to focus its attention on our core business issues. Accordingly, we enter into various contracts which change in value as foreign exchange rates change to economically offset the effect of changes in the value of foreign currency assets and liabilities, commitments and anticipated foreign currency denominated sales and operating expenses. We enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts in amounts between minimum and maximum anticipated foreign exchange exposures, generally for periods not to exceed 18 months.

We use foreign currency option contracts, which provide for the sale or purchase of foreign currencies to offset foreign currency exposures expected to arise in the normal course of our business. While these instruments are subject to fluctuations in value, such fluctuations are anticipated to offset changes in the value of the underlying exposures.

All of our outstanding foreign currency option contracts are entered into to reduce the volatility of earnings generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily earnings denominated in the Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Australian dollar, Brazilian real, euro and Korean won. Current changes in the fair value of open foreign currency option contracts are recorded through earnings as *Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net* while any realized gains (losses) on settled contracts are recorded through earnings as *Other, net* in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings. The premium costs of purchased foreign exchange option contracts are recorded in *Other current assets* and amortized to *Other, net* over the life of the options.

All of our outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts are entered into to offset the change in value of certain intercompany receivables or payables that are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The realized and unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency forward contracts and the revaluation of the foreign denominated intercompany receivables or payables are recorded through *Other, net* in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides information about our foreign currency derivative financial instruments outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The information is provided in U.S. dollars, as presented in our consolidated financial statements:

|                                            | December 31, 2009                   |                                              | December 31, 2008                   |                                              |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                                            | Notional<br>Amount<br>(in millions) | Average Contract<br>Rate or Strike<br>Amount | Notional<br>Amount<br>(in millions) | Average Contract<br>Rate or Strike<br>Amount |
| <b>Foreign currency forward contracts:</b> |                                     |                                              |                                     |                                              |
| (Receive U.S. dollar/pay foreign currency) |                                     |                                              |                                     |                                              |
| Euro                                       | \$ 53.5                             | 1.45                                         | \$ 67.9                             | 1.36                                         |
| Canadian dollar                            |                                     |                                              | 12.9                                | 1.24                                         |
| Japanese yen                               | 1.0                                 | 89.19                                        | 3.0                                 | 90.43                                        |
| Australian dollar                          | 11.7                                | 0.90                                         | 17.3                                | 0.67                                         |
| New Zealand dollar                         | 0.7                                 | 0.72                                         | 0.5                                 | 0.55                                         |
| Swiss franc                                | 19.8                                | 1.04                                         | 10.6                                | 1.16                                         |
|                                            | \$ 86.7                             |                                              | \$ 112.2                            |                                              |
| Estimated fair value                       | \$ 0.8                              |                                              | \$ (3.6)                            |                                              |
| <b>Foreign currency forward contracts:</b> |                                     |                                              |                                     |                                              |
| (Pay U.S. dollar/receive foreign currency) |                                     |                                              |                                     |                                              |
| Korean won                                 | \$ 4.3                              | 1398.00                                      | \$ 12.8                             | 1411.27                                      |
| Euro                                       | 43.6                                | 1.45                                         | 50.5                                | 1.36                                         |
|                                            | \$ 47.9                             |                                              | \$ 63.3                             |                                              |
| Estimated fair value                       | \$ 0.2                              |                                              | \$ 2.7                              |                                              |
| <b>Foreign currency sold put options:</b>  |                                     |                                              |                                     |                                              |
| Canadian dollar                            | \$ 59.1                             | 1.05                                         | \$ 48.4                             | 1.04                                         |
| Mexican peso                               | 16.7                                | 13.40                                        | 5.7                                 | 14.17                                        |
| Australian dollar                          | 41.0                                | 0.89                                         | 29.1                                | 0.75                                         |
| Brazilian real                             | 29.7                                | 1.85                                         | 21.6                                | 2.10                                         |
| Euro                                       | 138.7                               | 1.49                                         | 99.6                                | 1.45                                         |
| Korean won                                 | 11.0                                | 1172.94                                      |                                     |                                              |
| Japanese yen                               |                                     |                                              | 12.1                                | 90.76                                        |
|                                            | \$ 296.2                            |                                              | \$ 216.5                            |                                              |
| Estimated fair value                       | \$ 14.0                             |                                              | \$ 24.3                             |                                              |

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the financial statements set forth in Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

None.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**  
***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control or manage these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009, the end of the annual period covered by this report. The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures included a review of the disclosure controls and procedures objectives, design, implementation and the effect of the controls and procedures on the information generated for use in this report. In the course of our evaluation, we sought to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and to confirm the appropriate corrective actions, including process improvements, were being undertaken.

Based on the foregoing, our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at the reasonable assurance level.

Further, management determined that, as of December 31, 2009, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our management report on internal control over financial reporting and the report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our internal control over financial reporting are contained in Item 15 of Part IV of this report, Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

**Item 9B. Other Information**  
None.

**Table of Contents**

**PART III**

**Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

For information required by this Item regarding our executive officers, see Item 1 of Part I of this report, *Business*.

The information to be included in the sections entitled *Election of Directors* and *Corporate Governance* in the Proxy Statement to be filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 (the *Proxy Statement*) is incorporated herein by reference.

The information to be included in the section entitled *Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The information to be included in the section entitled *Code of Business Conduct and Ethics* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

We have filed, as exhibits to this report, the certifications of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

On May 26, 2009, we submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the Annual CEO Certification required pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

**Item 11. *Executive Compensation***

The information to be included in the sections entitled *Executive Compensation*, *Non-Employee Directors Compensation* and *Organization and Compensation Committee Report* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

The information to be included in the section entitled *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

The information to be included in the sections entitled *Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions* and *Corporate Governance* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services***

The information to be included in the section entitled *Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees* in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

(a) 1. *Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:*

The following financial statements are included herein under Item 8 of Part II of this report, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data :

|                                                                                                                     | <b>Page<br/>Number</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>                                             | F-1                    |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>                                                     | F-2                    |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>                                       | F-4                    |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2009</u>   | F-5                    |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2009</u>     | F-6                    |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Each of the Years in the Three Year Period Ended December 31, 2009</u> | F-7                    |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                                                                   | F-8                    |
| <u>Quarterly Data</u>                                                                                               | F-53                   |

(a) 2. *Financial Statement Schedules:*

|                                                      | <b>Page<br/>Number</b> |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| <u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | F-55                   |

All other schedules have been omitted for the reason that the required information is presented in the financial statements or notes thereto, the amounts involved are not significant or the schedules are not applicable.

**Table of Contents**

(a) 3. Exhibits:

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit No. | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1         | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Allergan, Inc., as filed with the State of Delaware on May 22, 1989 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-28855 filed on May 24, 1989)                                                                                                                                                      |
| 3.2         | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of Allergan, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to Allergan, Inc.'s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 30, 2000)                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 3.3         | Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Allergan, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2006)                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 3.4         | Allergan, Inc. Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 4.1         | Form of Stock Certificate for Allergan, Inc. Common Stock, par value \$0.01 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Allergan, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 4.2         | Indenture, dated as of April 12, 2006, between Allergan, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association relating to the \$750,000,000 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                                                                                       |
| 4.3         | Indenture, dated as of April 12, 2006, between Allergan, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association relating to the \$800,000,000 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                                                                                                   |
| 4.4         | Form of 1.50% Convertible Senior Note due 2026 (incorporated by reference to (and included in) the Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 between Allergan, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association at Exhibit 4.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                                                                                       |
| 4.5         | Form of 5.75% Senior Note due 2016 (incorporated by reference to (and included in) the Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 between Allergan, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association at Exhibit 4.2 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                                                                                                   |
| 4.6         | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2006, among Allergan, Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as representatives of the Initial Purchasers named therein, relating to the \$750,000,000 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006) |
| 4.7         | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 12, 2006, between Allergan, Inc. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as representative of the Initial Purchasers named therein, relating to the \$800,000,000 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Allergan, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                        |
| 10.1        | Form of Director and Executive Officer Indemnity Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Allergan, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2006)                                                                                                                                                                                                      |



**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.2                   | Amended and Restated Form of Allergan, Inc. Change in Control Agreement (applicable to certain employees hired on or before December 4, 2006) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                        |
| 10.3                   | Amended and Restated Form of Allergan, Inc. Change in Control Agreement (applicable to certain employees hired on or after December 4, 2006) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                         |
| 10.4                   | Allergan, Inc. 2003 Nonemployee Director Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Allergan, Inc. s Proxy Statement filed on March 14, 2003)                                                                                                                                        |
| 10.5                   | First Amendment to Allergan, Inc. 2003 Nonemployee Director Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Allergan, Inc. s Proxy Statement filed on March 21, 2006)                                                                                                                     |
| 10.6                   | Second Amendment to Allergan, Inc. 2003 Nonemployee Director Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 30, 2007)                                                                                                |
| 10.7                   | Amended Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the Allergan, Inc. 2003 Nonemployee Director Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 30, 2007)                                             |
| 10.8                   | Amended Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Award Agreement under the Allergan, Inc. 2003 Nonemployee Director Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 30, 2007)                                   |
| 10.9                   | Allergan, Inc. Deferred Directors Fee Program, amended and restated as of July 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 28, 2007)                                                                                        |
| 10.10                  | Allergan, Inc. 1989 Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended and restated November 2000) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2000)                                                                              |
| 10.11                  | First Amendment to Allergan, Inc. 1989 Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended and restated November 2000) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 26, 2003)                                                                    |
| 10.12                  | Second Amendment to Allergan, Inc. 1989 Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended and restated November 2000) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2004)                                                          |
| 10.13                  | Form of Certificate of Restricted Stock Award Terms and Conditions under the Allergan, Inc. 1989 Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended and restated November 2000) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.14                  | Allergan, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                                                                        |

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.15                  | First Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Employee Stock Ownership Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2009)                                      |
| 10.16                  | Allergan, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                 |
| 10.17                  | First Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                              |
| 10.18                  | Second Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 30, 2009)                                            |
| 10.19                  | Third Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2009)                                        |
| 10.20                  | Fourth Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Savings and Investment Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2009)                                       |
| 10.21                  | Allergan, Inc. Pension Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                                |
| 10.22                  | First Amendment to Allergan, Inc. Pension Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2009)                                                       |
| 10.23                  | Allergan, Inc. Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan and Supplemental Retirement Income Plan (Restated 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008) |
| 10.24                  | Allergan, Inc. 2006 Executive Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Allergan, Inc. s Proxy Statement filed on March 21, 2006)                                                                                                     |
| 10.25                  | Allergan, Inc. 2010 Executive Bonus Plan Performance Objectives                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 10.26                  | Allergan, Inc. 2010 Management Bonus Plan                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 10.27                  | Allergan, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (2009 Restatement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                     |
| 10.28                  | Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Allergan, Inc. s Proxy Statement filed on March 20, 2008)                                                                                                     |
| 10.29                  | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Notice for Non-Employee Directors under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2008)      |

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.30                  | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Notice for Non-Employee Directors under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (as amended February 2010)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 10.31                  | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Notice for Employees under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 10.32                  | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Notice for Employees under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (as amended February 2010)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 10.33                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Non-Employee Directors under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 10.34                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Non-Employee Directors under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (as amended February 2010)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 10.35                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Employees under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 10.36                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Employees under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (as amended February 2010)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 10.37                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Employees (Management Bonus Plan) under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 10.38                  | Form of Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Employees (Management Bonus Plan) under the Allergan, Inc. 2008 Incentive Award Plan (as amended February 2010)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 10.39                  | Distribution Agreement, dated as of March 4, 1994, among Allergan, Inc. and Merrill Lynch & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 1993)                                                                                                                                                       |
| 10.40                  | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2006, among Allergan, Inc. as Borrower and Guarantor, the Banks listed therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, Citicorp USA Inc., as Syndication Agent and Bank of America, N.A., as Document Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2006)                             |
| 10.41                  | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 16, 2007, among Allergan, Inc., as Borrower and Guarantor, the Banks listed therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, Citicorp USA Inc., as Syndication Agent and Bank of America, N.A., as Document Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 30, 2007) |
| 10.42                  | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 24, 2007, among Allergan, Inc., as Borrower and Guarantor, the Banks listed therein, JPMorgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, Citicorp USA Inc., as Syndication Agent and Bank of America, N.A., as Document Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 29, 2007)    |

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.43              | Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2006, among Allergan, Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as representatives of the initial purchasers named therein, relating to the \$750,000,000 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006) |
| 10.44              | Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2006, among Allergan, Inc. and Banc of America Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, relating to the \$800,000,000 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2006)                                                   |
| 10.45              | Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2006, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Holdings France, SAS, Waldemar Kita, the European Pre-Floation Fund II and the other minority stockholders of Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires and its subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 2, 2006)                                               |
| 10.46              | First Amendment to Stock Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2007, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Holdings France, SAS, Waldemar Kita, the European Pre-Floation Fund II and the other minority stockholders of Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires and its subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 30, 2007)                       |
| 10.47              | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 20, 2005, among Allergan, Inc., Banner Acquisition, Inc. and Inamed Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 10.48              | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 18, 2007, among Allergan, Inc., Esmeralde Acquisition, Inc., Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc. and the Escrow Participants Representative (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on September 24, 2007)                                                                                                           |
| 10.49              | Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 6, 2008, between Allergan Sales, LLC and QLT USA, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 9, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 10.50              | Contribution and Distribution Agreement, dated as of June 24, 2002, between Allergan, Inc. and Advanced Medical Optics, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 28, 2002)                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 10.51              | Employee Matters Agreement, dated as of June 24, 2002, between Allergan, Inc. and Advanced Medical Optics, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 28, 2002)                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 10.52              | Transfer Agent Services Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2005, between Allergan, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 10.53              | <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> China License Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC and Glaxo Group Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                              |

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.54                  | <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> Japan License Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC and Glaxo Group Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 10.55                  | Co-Promotion Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC and SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 10.56                  | <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> Global Strategic Support Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC and Glaxo Group Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 10.57                  | China <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> Supply Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, between Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland and Glaxo Group Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 10.58                  | Japan <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> Supply Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2005, between Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland and Glaxo Group Limited (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56** to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended September 30, 2005)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 10.59                  | Amended and Restated License, Commercialization and Supply Agreement, dated as of September 18, 2007, between Esprit Pharma, Inc. and Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (incorporated by reference and included as Exhibit C*** to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 18, 2007, among Allergan, Inc., Esmeralde Acquisition, Inc., Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc. and the Escrow Participants Representative at Exhibit 2.1 to Allergan, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on September 24, 2007) |
| 10.60                  | First Amendment to Amended and Restated License, Commercialization and Supply Agreement, dated as of January 9, 2009, between Allergan USA, Inc. and Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 10.61                  | License, Development, Supply and Distribution Agreement, dated as of October 28, 2008, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC, Allergan USA, Inc. and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**** (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to Allergan, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2008)                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 10.62                  | First Amendment to License, Development, Supply and Distribution Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2009, among Allergan, Inc., Allergan Sales, LLC, Allergan USA, Inc. and Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.62 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 31, 2009)                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 18                     | Preferability Letter from Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 18 to Allergan, Inc. s Report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended June 30, 2009)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| 21                     | List of Subsidiaries of Allergan, Inc.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 23.1                   | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>No.</b> | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31.1                   | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 31.2                   | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 32                     | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Required Under Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 101                    | The following financial statements are from Allergan, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2009, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Earnings; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Equity; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. |

\*\* Confidential treatment was requested with respect to the omitted portions of this Exhibit, which portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission and which portions were granted confidential treatment on December 13, 2005

\*\*\* Confidential treatment was requested with respect to the omitted portions of this Exhibit, which portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission and which portions were granted confidential treatment on October 12, 2007

\*\*\*\* Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to the omitted portions of this Exhibit, which portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission and which portions were granted confidential treatment on March 12, 2009

All current directors and executive officers of Allergan, Inc. have entered into the Indemnity Agreement with Allergan, Inc.

Certain vice president level employees, including executive officers, of Allergan, Inc., hired on or before December 4, 2006, are eligible to be party to this Amended and Restated Allergan, Inc. Change in Control Agreement

Certain vice president level employees of Allergan, Inc., hired on or after December 4, 2006, are eligible to be party to this Amended and Restated Allergan, Inc. Change in Control Agreement

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALLERGAN, INC.

By */s/ DAVID E.I. PYOTT*  
David E.I. Pyott  
*Chairman of the Board and*  
*Chief Executive Officer*

Date: February 26, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Date: February 26, 2010

By */s/ DAVID E.I. PYOTT*  
David E.I. Pyott  
*Chairman of the Board and*  
*Chief Executive Officer*

Date: February 26, 2010

By */s/ JEFFREY L. EDWARDS*  
Jeffrey L. Edwards  
*Executive Vice President, Finance and Business*  
*Development, Chief Financial Officer*  
*(Principal Financial Officer)*

Date: February 24, 2010

By */s/ JAMES F. BARLOW*  
James F. Barlow  
*Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller*  
*(Principal Accounting Officer)*

Date: February 26, 2010

By */s/ HERBERT W. BOYER*  
Herbert W. Boyer, Ph.D.,  
*Vice Chairman of the Board*

Date: February 26, 2010

By */s/ DEBORAH DUNSIRE*  
Deborah Dunsire, M.D., *Director*

Date: February 26, 2010

By */s/ MICHAEL R. GALLAGHER*

Date: February 23, 2010

By */s/ GAVIN S. HERBERT*  
Gavin S. Herbert,  
*Director and Chairman Emeritus*

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

Date: February 24, 2010

By

/s/ DAWN HUDSON

Dawn Hudson, *Director*

Date: February 26, 2010

By

/s/ ROBERT A. INGRAM

Robert A. Ingram, *Director*

Date: February 19, 2010

By

/s/ TREVOR M. JONES

Trevor M. Jones, Ph.D., *Director*



**Table of Contents**

|                         |    |                                                                     |
|-------------------------|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Date: February 26, 2010 | By | /s/ LOUIS J. LAVIGNE, JR.<br>Louis J. Lavigne, Jr., <i>Director</i> |
| Date: February 26, 2010 | By | /s/ RUSSELL T. RAY<br>Russell T. Ray, <i>Director</i>               |
| Date: February 21, 2010 | By | /s/ STEPHEN J. RYAN<br>Stephen J. Ryan, M.D., <i>Director</i>       |
| Date: February 26, 2010 | By | /s/ LEONARD D. SCHAEFFER<br>Leonard D. Schaeffer, <i>Director</i>   |

---

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

(1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Allergan;

(2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Allergan are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of Allergan; and

(3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Allergan's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Allergan's internal control over financial reporting has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report on internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for Allergan.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission to evaluate the effectiveness of Allergan's internal control over financial reporting. Management has concluded that Allergan's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009, based on those criteria.

David E.I. Pyott

*Chairman of the Board and*

*Chief Executive Officer*

*(Principal Executive Officer)*

Jeffrey L. Edwards

*Executive Vice President, Finance and*

*Business Development, Chief Financial Officer*

*(Principal Financial Officer)*

February 24, 2010

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Allergan, Inc.

We have audited Allergan, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Allergan, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Allergan, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Allergan, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 of Allergan, Inc. and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Orange County, California

February 26, 2010

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Allergan, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Allergan, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Allergan, Inc. at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled fully or partially in cash upon conversion with the adoption of the amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 470-20, *Debt with Conversions and Other Options*, and retroactively adjusted all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements for this change. Also, effective January 1, 2009, the Company changed its method of accounting and financial reporting for noncontrolling ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent with the adoption of FASB ASC Topic 810, *Consolidation*, and retroactively adjusted all periods presented in the consolidated financial statements for this change. In addition, as discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements, in the first quarter of 2008, the Company adopted the measurement date provision of FASB ASC Topic 715, *Retirement Benefits*, which resulted in the Company changing its measurement date for pension and other postretirement plans from September 30 to December 31.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Allergan, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Orange County, California

February 26, 2010

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in millions, except share data)

|                                                                                                                          | As of December 31, |            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
|                                                                                                                          | 2009               | 2008       |
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                                                                                            |                    |            |
| Current assets:                                                                                                          |                    |            |
| Cash and equivalents                                                                                                     | \$ 1,947.1         | \$ 1,110.4 |
| Trade receivables, net                                                                                                   | 576.6              | 538.4      |
| Inventories                                                                                                              | 213.9              | 262.5      |
| Other current assets                                                                                                     | 368.7              | 359.3      |
| Total current assets                                                                                                     | 3,106.3            | 2,270.6    |
| Investments and other assets                                                                                             | 266.7              | 272.1      |
| Property, plant and equipment, net                                                                                       | 808.1              | 775.4      |
| Goodwill                                                                                                                 | 1,998.3            | 1,981.8    |
| Intangibles, net                                                                                                         | 1,357.2            | 1,491.9    |
| Total assets                                                                                                             | \$ 7,536.6         | \$ 6,791.8 |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>                                                                                            |                    |            |
| Current liabilities:                                                                                                     |                    |            |
| Notes payable                                                                                                            | \$ 18.1            | \$ 4.4     |
| Accounts payable                                                                                                         | 204.0              | 173.9      |
| Accrued compensation                                                                                                     | 164.3              | 132.6      |
| Other accrued expenses                                                                                                   | 382.7              | 336.7      |
| Income taxes                                                                                                             | 42.5               | 49.4       |
| Total current liabilities                                                                                                | 811.6              | 697.0      |
| Long-term debt                                                                                                           | 874.0              | 885.3      |
| Long-term convertible notes                                                                                              | 617.3              | 685.2      |
| Deferred tax liabilities                                                                                                 | 1.4                | 69.0       |
| Other liabilities                                                                                                        | 388.4              | 402.8      |
| Commitments and contingencies                                                                                            |                    |            |
| Equity:                                                                                                                  |                    |            |
| Allergan, Inc. stockholders' equity:                                                                                     |                    |            |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued                                               |                    |            |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 500,000,000 shares; issued 307,512,000 shares as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 | 3.1                | 3.1        |
| Additional paid-in capital                                                                                               | 2,730.3            | 2,596.6    |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss                                                                                     | (102.8)            | (198.7)    |
| Retained earnings                                                                                                        | 2,356.7            | 1,842.1    |
|                                                                                                                          | 4,987.3            | 4,243.1    |
| Less treasury stock, at cost (3,079,000 and 3,424,000 shares as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively)             | (164.5)            | (192.4)    |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                              |            |            |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity   | 4,822.8    | 4,050.7    |
| Noncontrolling interest      | 21.1       | 1.8        |
| Total equity                 | 4,843.9    | 4,052.5    |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 7,536.6 | \$ 6,791.8 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS**

(in millions, except per share amounts)

|                                                                                                | Year Ended December 31, |            |            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                                                                                | 2009                    | 2008       | 2007       |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                                                               |                         |            |            |
| Product net sales                                                                              | \$ 4,447.6              | \$ 4,339.7 | \$ 3,879.0 |
| Other revenues                                                                                 | 56.0                    | 63.7       | 59.9       |
| Total revenues                                                                                 | 4,503.6                 | 4,403.4    | 3,938.9    |
| <b>Operating costs and expenses:</b>                                                           |                         |            |            |
| Cost of sales (excludes amortization of acquired intangible assets)                            | 750.9                   | 761.2      | 673.2      |
| Selling, general and administrative                                                            | 1,921.5                 | 1,856.1    | 1,680.2    |
| Research and development                                                                       | 706.0                   | 797.9      | 718.1      |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets                                                     | 146.3                   | 150.9      | 121.3      |
| Restructuring charges                                                                          | 50.9                    | 41.3       | 26.8       |
| Operating income                                                                               | 928.0                   | 796.0      | 719.3      |
| <b>Non-operating income (expense):</b>                                                         |                         |            |            |
| Interest income                                                                                | 7.0                     | 33.5       | 65.3       |
| Interest expense                                                                               | (76.9)                  | (85.5)     | (94.6)     |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments, net                                          | (13.6)                  | 14.8       | (0.4)      |
| Gain on investments, net                                                                       | 24.6                    |            |            |
| Other, net                                                                                     | (20.6)                  | 3.4        | (25.2)     |
|                                                                                                | (79.5)                  | (33.8)     | (54.9)     |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes                                        | 848.5                   | 762.2      | 664.4      |
| Provision for income taxes                                                                     | 224.7                   | 197.5      | 177.4      |
| Earnings from continuing operations                                                            | 623.8                   | 564.7      | 487.0      |
| <b>Discontinued operations:</b>                                                                |                         |            |            |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of applicable income tax benefit of \$0.4 million       |                         |            | (0.7)      |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of applicable income tax benefit of \$0.3 million |                         |            | (1.0)      |
| Discontinued operations                                                                        |                         |            | (1.7)      |
| Net earnings                                                                                   | 623.8                   | 564.7      | 485.3      |
| Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest                                           | 2.5                     | 1.6        | 0.5        |
| Net earnings attributable to Allergan, Inc.                                                    | \$ 621.3                | \$ 563.1   | \$ 484.8   |
| <b>Basic earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders:</b>                   |                         |            |            |
| Continuing operations                                                                          | \$ 2.05                 | \$ 1.85    | \$ 1.59    |
| Discontinued operations                                                                        |                         |            |            |
| Net basic earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders                       | \$ 2.05                 | \$ 1.85    | \$ 1.59    |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                                            |         |         |         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders:    |         |         |         |
| Continuing operations                                                      | \$ 2.03 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.58 |
| Discontinued operations                                                    |         |         | (0.01)  |
| Net diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders | \$ 2.03 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.57 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

(in millions, except per share amounts)

|                                                                                         | Common Stock |           | Stockholders' Equity             |                                |                      | Treasury Stock |            | Noncontrolling |            | Total<br>Equity | Comprehensive<br>Income<br>(Loss) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                                                                         | Shares       | Par Value | Additional<br>Paid-In<br>Capital | Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Loss | Retained<br>Earnings | Shares         | Amount     | Interest       | Equity     |                 |                                   |
| <i>Balance December 31, 2006</i>                                                        | 307.5        | \$ 3.1    | \$ 2,438.4                       | \$ (127.4)                     | \$ 1,055.7           | (3.0)          | \$ (156.3) | \$ 1.5         | \$ 3,215.0 |                 |                                   |
| Comprehensive income                                                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Net earnings                                                                            |              |           |                                  |                                | 484.8                |                |            | 0.5            | 485.3      | \$              | 485.3                             |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax:                                                 |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plan adjustments:                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Net gain                                                                                |              |           |                                  | 38.5                           |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | 38.5                              |
| Amortization                                                                            |              |           |                                  | 7.5                            |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | 7.5                               |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments                                                |              |           |                                  | 46.9                           |                      |                |            | 0.2            |            |                 | 47.1                              |
| Amortization of deferred holding gains on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges    |              |           |                                  | (0.8)                          |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | (0.8)                             |
| Unrealized gain on investments                                                          |              |           |                                  | 0.5                            |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | 0.5                               |
| Other comprehensive income                                                              |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                | 92.8       |                 | 92.8                              |
| Comprehensive income                                                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | \$ 578.1                          |
| Dividends (\$0.20 per share)                                                            |              |           |                                  |                                | (61.2)               |                |            |                |            | (61.2)          |                                   |
| Stock options exercised                                                                 |              |           | 36.0                             |                                | (76.4)               | 3.9            | 213.9      |                |            | 173.5           |                                   |
| Activity under other stock plans                                                        |              |           |                                  |                                | 1.1                  | 0.3            | 15.2       |                |            | 16.3            |                                   |
| Purchase of treasury stock                                                              |              |           |                                  |                                |                      | (3.0)          | (186.5)    |                |            | (186.5)         |                                   |
| Stock-based award activity                                                              |              |           | 56.4                             |                                | (0.7)                | 0.2            | 10.1       |                |            | 65.8            |                                   |
| Adjustment upon adoption of guidance for uncertainty in income taxes                    |              |           |                                  |                                | (4.3)                |                |            |                |            | (4.3)           |                                   |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interest                                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            | (0.7)          | (0.7)      |                 |                                   |
| <i>Balance December 31, 2007</i>                                                        | 307.5        | 3.1       | 2,530.8                          | (34.8)                         | 1,399.0              | (1.6)          | (103.6)    | 1.5            | 3,796.0    |                 |                                   |
| Comprehensive income                                                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Net earnings                                                                            |              |           |                                  |                                | 563.1                |                |            | 1.6            | 564.7      | \$              | 564.7                             |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax:                                                 |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plan adjustments:                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 |                                   |
| Net losses                                                                              |              |           |                                  | (125.8)                        |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | (125.8)                           |
| Amortization                                                                            |              |           |                                  | 3.9                            |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | 3.9                               |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments                                                |              |           |                                  | (39.1)                         |                      |                |            | (0.4)          |            |                 | (39.5)                            |
| Amortization of deferred holding gains on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges    |              |           |                                  | (0.8)                          |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | (0.8)                             |
| Unrealized loss on investments                                                          |              |           |                                  | (3.1)                          |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | (3.1)                             |
| Other comprehensive loss                                                                |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                | (165.3)    |                 | (165.3)                           |
| Comprehensive income                                                                    |              |           |                                  |                                |                      |                |            |                |            |                 | \$ 399.4                          |
| Adjustment, net of tax, upon adoption of the measurement date provision of guidance for |              |           |                                  | 1.0                            | (4.6)                |                |            |                |            | (3.6)           |                                   |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                                                      |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|---------|------------|
| pension and postretirement plans                                                     |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
| Dividends (\$0.20 per share)                                                         |       |        |            |            | (61.0)     |       |            |         | (61.0)     |
| Stock options exercised                                                              | 11.1  |        |            |            | (45.5)     | 1.5   | 97.4       |         | 63.0       |
| Activity under other stock plans                                                     |       |        |            |            | (6.1)      | 0.4   | 26.2       |         | 20.1       |
| Purchase of treasury stock                                                           |       |        |            |            |            | (4.0) | (230.1)    |         | (230.1)    |
| Stock-based award activity                                                           | 54.7  |        |            |            | (2.8)      | 0.3   | 17.7       |         | 69.6       |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interest                                                 |       |        |            |            |            |       |            | (0.9)   | (0.9)      |
| <i>Balance December 31, 2008</i>                                                     | 307.5 | 3.1    | 2,596.6    | (198.7)    | 1,842.1    | (3.4) | (192.4)    | 1.8     | 4,052.5    |
| Comprehensive income                                                                 |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
| Net earnings                                                                         |       |        |            |            | 621.3      |       |            | 2.5     | 623.8      |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax:                                              |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plan adjustments:                                 |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
| Net gain                                                                             |       |        |            | 49.9       |            |       |            |         | 49.9       |
| Amortization                                                                         |       |        |            | 8.2        |            |       |            |         | 8.2        |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments                                             |       |        |            | 37.2       |            |       |            | 1.7     | 38.9       |
| Amortization of deferred holding gains on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges |       |        |            | (0.8)      |            |       |            |         | (0.8)      |
| Unrealized gain on investments                                                       |       |        |            | 1.4        |            |       |            |         | 1.4        |
| Other comprehensive income                                                           |       |        |            |            |            |       |            | 97.6    | 97.6       |
| Comprehensive income                                                                 |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         | \$ 721.4   |
| Dividends (\$0.20 per share)                                                         |       |        |            |            |            |       |            |         |            |
| Dividends (\$0.20 per share)                                                         |       |        |            |            | (60.9)     |       |            |         | (60.9)     |
| Stock options exercised                                                              | 7.3   |        |            |            | (35.5)     | 2.2   | 101.0      |         | 72.8       |
| Activity under other stock plans                                                     |       |        |            |            | (2.6)      | 0.2   | 11.5       |         | 8.9        |
| Purchase of treasury stock                                                           |       |        |            |            |            | (2.0) | (105.5)    |         | (105.5)    |
| Stock-based award activity                                                           | 126.4 |        |            |            | (7.7)      | (0.1) | 20.9       |         | 139.6      |
| Noncontrolling interest from an acquisition                                          |       |        |            |            |            |       |            | 16.7    | 16.7       |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interest                                                 |       |        |            |            |            |       |            | (1.6)   | (1.6)      |
| <i>Balance December 31, 2009</i>                                                     | 307.5 | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2,730.3 | \$ (102.8) | \$ 2,356.7 | (3.1) | \$ (164.5) | \$ 21.1 | \$ 4,843.9 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in millions)

|                                                                               | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                                                               | <b>2009</b>                    | <b>2008</b> | <b>2007</b> |
| <i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>                                  |                                |             |             |
| Net earnings                                                                  | \$ 623.8                       | \$ 564.7    | \$ 485.3    |
| Non-cash items included in net earnings:                                      |                                |             |             |
| In-process research and development charge                                    |                                |             | 72.0        |
| Depreciation and amortization                                                 | 262.1                          | 264.4       | 215.5       |
| Settlement of a pre-existing distribution agreement in a business combination |                                |             | 2.3         |
| Amortization of original issue discount and debt issuance costs               | 27.5                           | 29.4        | 28.5        |
| Amortization of net realized gain on interest rate swap                       | (1.3)                          | (1.3)       | (1.3)       |
| Deferred income tax benefit                                                   | (112.8)                        | (101.0)     | (91.0)      |
| Loss on disposal and impairment of assets                                     | 3.8                            | 11.5        | 4.3         |
| Loss on extinguishment of convertible debt                                    | 5.3                            |             |             |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations                                       |                                |             | 1.3         |
| Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative instruments                              | 13.6                           | (14.8)      | 0.4         |
| Expense of share-based compensation plans                                     | 151.9                          | 93.1        | 81.7        |
| Restructuring charges                                                         | 50.9                           | 41.3        | 26.8        |
| Gain on investments, net                                                      | (24.6)                         |             |             |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:                                            |                                |             |             |
| Trade receivables                                                             | (17.7)                         | (114.5)     | (46.4)      |
| Inventories                                                                   | 67.7                           | (48.0)      | (22.6)      |
| Other current assets                                                          | 4.9                            | 4.6         | (20.7)      |
| Other non-current assets                                                      | (20.3)                         | (2.9)       | (34.3)      |
| Accounts payable                                                              | 22.5                           | (32.9)      | 51.8        |
| Accrued expenses                                                              | 16.2                           | 14.0        | 32.7        |
| Income taxes                                                                  | (1.6)                          | 35.3        | (18.7)      |
| Other liabilities                                                             | 41.4                           | (60.4)      | 25.6        |
| <br>Net cash provided by operating activities                                 | <br>1,113.3                    | <br>682.5   | <br>793.2   |
| <i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>                                  |                                |             |             |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired                                            | (12.8)                         | (150.1)     | (683.7)     |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment                                    | (95.8)                         | (190.8)     | (142.5)     |
| Additions to capitalized software                                             | (26.6)                         | (56.3)      | (30.7)      |
| Additions to intangible assets                                                | (3.3)                          | (69.8)      | (10.0)      |
| Contractual purchase price adjustments to prior acquisitions                  | 11.6                           |             |             |
| Proceeds from sale of investments                                             | 28.2                           |             |             |
| Proceeds from sale of business and assets                                     |                                | 6.1         | 23.9        |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment                           |                                | 1.2         | 9.2         |
| <br>Net cash used in investing activities                                     | <br>(98.7)                     | <br>(459.7) | <br>(833.8) |
| <i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>                                  |                                |             |             |
| Net borrowings (repayments) of notes payable                                  | 12.1                           | (34.7)      | (108.5)     |
| Payments to acquire treasury stock                                            | (105.5)                        | (230.1)     | (186.5)     |
| Dividends to stockholders                                                     | (60.6)                         | (60.7)      | (60.8)      |
| Repayments of convertible borrowings                                          | (98.3)                         |             |             |
| Sale of stock to employees                                                    | 63.5                           | 51.6        | 137.4       |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation                             | 7.3                            | 11.1        | 36.0        |
| <br>Net cash used in financing activities                                     | <br>(181.5)                    | <br>(262.8) | <br>(182.4) |

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                         |            |            |            |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and equivalents        | 3.6        | (7.5)      | 11.5       |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents         | 836.7      | (47.5)     | (211.5)    |
| Cash and equivalents at beginning of year               | 1,110.4    | 1,157.9    | 1,369.4    |
| <br>                                                    |            |            |            |
| Cash and equivalents at end of year                     | \$ 1,947.1 | \$ 1,110.4 | \$ 1,157.9 |
| <i>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information</i> |            |            |            |
| Cash paid during the year for:                          |            |            |            |
| Interest (net of amount capitalized)                    | \$ 53.7    | \$ 60.7    | \$ 63.1    |
| <br>                                                    |            |            |            |
| Income taxes, net of refunds                            | \$ 332.6   | \$ 261.4   | \$ 238.0   |

In 2009, the Company acquired an office building contiguous to its main facility in Irvine, California for approximately \$20.7 million. The Company assumed a mortgage of \$20.0 million and paid \$0.7 million in cash.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Allergan, Inc. ( Allergan or the Company ) and all of its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances among the consolidated entities have been eliminated from the consolidated financial statements.

*Use of Estimates*

The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and, as such, include amounts based on informed estimates and judgments of management. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

*Foreign Currency Translation*

The financial position and results of operations of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are generally determined using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities of these subsidiaries are translated at the exchange rate in effect at each year end. Income statement accounts are translated at the average rate of exchange prevailing during the year. Adjustments arising from the use of differing exchange rates from period to period are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in equity. Net gains (losses) resulting from foreign currency transactions of approximately \$(15.3) million, \$2.9 million and \$(25.0) million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, are included in Other, net in the Company's consolidated statements of earnings.

*Cash and Equivalents*

The Company considers cash in banks, repurchase agreements, commercial paper and deposits with financial institutions with maturities of three months or less when purchased and that can be liquidated without prior notice or penalty, to be cash and equivalents.

*Investments*

The Company has non-marketable equity investments in conjunction with its various collaboration arrangements. The non-marketable equity investments represent investments in start-up technology companies or partnerships that invest in start-up technology companies and are recorded at cost. The non-marketable equity investments are evaluated periodically for impairment. If it is determined that a decline of any investment is other than temporary, then the investment basis would be written down to fair value and the write-down would be included in earnings as a loss.

*Inventories*

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market (net realizable value). Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out method.

*Long-Lived Assets*

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Additions, major renewals and improvements are capitalized, while maintenance and repairs are expensed. Upon disposition, the net book value of assets is relieved and resulting gains or losses are reflected in earnings. For financial reporting purposes, depreciation is generally provided on the straight-line method over the useful life of the related asset. The useful lives for

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

buildings, including building improvements, range from seven years to 40 years and, for machinery and equipment, three years to 15 years.

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their economic lives or lease terms. Accelerated depreciation methods are generally used for income tax purposes.

All long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment in value when changes in circumstances dictate, based upon undiscounted future operating cash flows, and appropriate losses are recognized and reflected in current earnings, to the extent the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated fair value determined by the use of appraisals, discounted cash flow analyses or comparable fair values of similar assets.

***Goodwill and Intangible Assets***

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition cost over the fair value of the net assets of acquired businesses. Goodwill has an indefinite useful life and is not amortized, but instead tested for impairment annually. Intangible assets include developed technology, customer relationships, licensing agreements, trademarks, core technology and other rights, which are being amortized over their estimated useful lives ranging from three to 16 years.

In July 2009, the Company changed the timing of the annual impairment testing for goodwill from January 1 to October 1 of each year as a preferable method of accounting. Accordingly, the Company performed its annual impairment assessment of goodwill in both the first and fourth quarters of 2009. The Company decided to adopt this change in timing in order to assess the recorded values of goodwill for potential impairment at a time closer to its fiscal year end reporting date. The Company's management believes this change is preferable in reducing the potential risk that an undetected impairment indicator could occur in between the timing of the Company's annual impairment test and the preparation of its year end financial statements. This change has no effect on reported earnings for any current or prior periods.

***Treasury Stock***

Treasury stock is accounted for by the cost method. The Company maintains an evergreen stock repurchase program. The evergreen stock repurchase program authorizes management to repurchase the Company's common stock for the primary purpose of funding its stock-based benefit plans. Under the stock repurchase program, the Company may maintain up to 18.4 million repurchased shares in its treasury account at any one time. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company held approximately 3.1 million and 3.4 million treasury shares, respectively, under this program.

***Revenue Recognition***

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales when goods are shipped and title and risk of loss transfer to its customers. A portion of the Company's revenue is generated from consigned inventory of breast implants maintained at physician, hospital and clinic locations. These customers are contractually obligated to maintain a specific level of inventory and to notify the Company upon use. Revenue for consigned inventory is recognized at the time the Company is notified by the customer that the product has been used. Notification is usually through the replenishing of the inventory, and the Company periodically reviews consignment inventories to confirm the accuracy of customer reporting.

The Company generally offers cash discounts to customers for the early payment of receivables. Those discounts are recorded as a reduction of revenue and accounts receivable in the same period that the related sale is recorded. The amounts reserved for cash discounts were \$3.3 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008,

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

respectively. The Company permits returns of product from most product lines by any class of customer if such product is returned in a timely manner, in good condition and from normal distribution channels. Return policies in certain international markets and for certain medical device products, primarily breast implants, provide for more stringent guidelines in accordance with the terms of contractual agreements with customers. Estimated allowances for sales returns are based upon the Company's historical patterns of product returns matched against sales, and management's evaluation of specific factors that may increase the risk of product returns. The amount of allowances for sales returns recognized in the Company's consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$41.5 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, and are recorded in Other accrued expenses and Trade receivables, net in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. (See Note 5, Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions.) Historical allowances for cash discounts and product returns have been consistent with the amounts reserved or accrued.

The Company participates in various managed care sales rebate and other incentive programs, the largest of which relates to Medicaid, Medicare and the Department of Veterans Affairs. Sales rebate and other incentive programs also include contractual volume rebate programs and chargebacks, which are contractual discounts given primarily to federal government agencies, health maintenance organizations, pharmacy benefits managers and group purchasing organizations. The Company also offers rebate and other incentive programs for its aesthetic products and certain therapeutic products, including *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic, *Juvéderm*<sup>®</sup>, *Latisse*<sup>®</sup>, *Acuvail*<sup>®</sup> and *Restasis*<sup>®</sup>, and for certain skin care products. Sales rebates and incentive accruals reduce revenue in the same period that the related sale is recorded and are included in Other accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. (See Note 5, Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions.) The amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs were \$158.6 million and \$102.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company's procedures for estimating amounts accrued for sales rebates and other incentive programs at the end of any period are based on available quantitative data and are supplemented by management's judgment with respect to many factors including, but not limited to, current market dynamics, changes in contract terms, changes in sales trends, an evaluation of current laws and regulations and product pricing. Quantitatively, the Company uses historical sales, product utilization and rebate data and applies forecasting techniques in order to estimate the Company's liability amounts. Qualitatively, management's judgment is applied to these items to modify, if appropriate, the estimated liability amounts. Additionally, there is a significant time lag between the date the Company determines the estimated liability and when the Company actually pays the liability. Due to this time lag, the Company records adjustments to its estimated liabilities over several periods, which can result in a net increase to earnings or a net decrease to earnings in those periods.

The Company recognizes license fees, royalties and reimbursement income for services provided as other revenues based on the facts and circumstances of each contractual agreement. In general, the Company recognizes income upon the signing of a contractual agreement that grants rights to products or technology to a third party if the Company has no further obligation to provide products or services to the third party after entering into the contract. The Company defers income under contractual agreements when it has further obligations that indicate that a separate earnings process has not been completed.

***Share-Based Compensation***

The Company recognizes compensation expense for all share-based awards made to employees and directors. The fair value of share-based awards is estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the portion that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as compensation cost over the requisite service period using the straight-line single option method. The fair value of modifications to share-based awards is generally estimated using a lattice model.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Advertising Expenses***

Advertising expenses relating to production costs are expensed as incurred and the costs of television time, radio time and space in publications are expensed when the related advertising occurs. Advertising expenses were approximately \$185.2 million, \$126.0 million and \$135.6 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

***Income Taxes***

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers. The Company records a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets to reduce the net carrying value to an amount that it believes is more likely than not to be realized. When the Company establishes or reduces the valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets, its provision for income taxes will increase or decrease, respectively, in the period such determination is made.

Valuation allowances against the Company's deferred tax assets were \$4.6 million and \$8.4 million at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. Changes in the valuation allowances, when they are recognized in the provision for income taxes, are included as a component of the estimated annual effective tax rate.

The Company has not provided for withholding and U.S. taxes for the unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because it has currently reinvested these earnings indefinitely in these foreign operations. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$2,184.5 million in unremitted earnings outside the United States for which withholding and U.S. taxes were not provided. Income tax expense would be incurred if these funds were remitted to the United States. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of the deferred tax liability on such unremitted earnings. Upon remittance, certain foreign countries impose withholding taxes that are then available, subject to certain limitations, for use as credits against the Company's U.S. tax liability, if any.

***Purchase Price Allocation***

The purchase price allocation for acquisitions requires extensive use of accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development, and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Additionally, the Company must determine whether an acquired entity is considered to be a business or a set of net assets, because a portion of the purchase price can only be allocated to goodwill in a business combination.

On July 7, 2009, the Company acquired a 50.005% stockholder interest in a joint venture, Samil Allergan Ophthalmic Joint Venture Company (Samil), for approximately \$12.8 million, net of cash acquired. On July 11, 2008, the Company acquired all assets relating to *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> (dapson) gel 5% for approximately \$150.0 million. On October 16, 2007, the Company acquired Esprit Pharma Holding Company, Inc. (Esprit) for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.8 million, net of cash acquired. On February 22, 2007, the Company acquired EndoArt SA (EndoArt) for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired. On January 2, 2007, the Company acquired Groupe Cornéal Laboratoires (Cornéal) for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of cash acquired. The Company accounted for the acquisitions of Samil, Esprit, EndoArt and Cornéal as business combinations. The Company accounted for the *Aczone*<sup>®</sup> acquisition as a purchase of net assets and not as a business combination. The purchase prices for the acquisitions were allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition dates. The determination of estimated fair values requires significant



---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

estimates and assumptions, including but not limited to, determining the timing and estimated costs to complete the in-process projects, projecting regulatory approvals, estimating future cash flows, and developing appropriate discount rates. The Company believes the estimated fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on reasonable assumptions.

***Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

Comprehensive income (loss) encompasses all changes in equity other than those with stockholders and consists of net earnings (losses), foreign currency translation adjustments, certain pension and other postretirement benefit plan adjustments, unrealized gains or losses on marketable equity investments and unrealized and realized gains or losses on derivative instruments, if applicable. The Company does not recognize U.S. income taxes on foreign currency translation adjustments since it does not provide for such taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries.

***Reclassifications and Retrospective Adoptions of Accounting Standards***

Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts have been made to conform with the current year presentation.

All prior period information has been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the impact of the adoptions in the first quarter of 2009 of updates to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) guidance related to the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled fully or partially in cash upon conversion and the accounting and financial reporting of noncontrolling ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent.

***Recently Adopted Accounting Standards***

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the single source of authoritative U.S. GAAP to be applied by nongovernmental entities and modifies the U.S. GAAP hierarchy to only two levels: authoritative and nonauthoritative. This guidance became effective for interim periods and fiscal years ending after September 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the third quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This guidance became effective for interim periods and fiscal years ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the second quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires publicly traded companies to include in their interim financial reports certain disclosures about the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments previously required only in annual financial statements and to disclose changes in significant assumptions used to calculate the fair value of financial instruments. This guidance became effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for interim reporting periods ending after March 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In December 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that provides guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. This guidance requires an employer to disclose information about how investment allocation decisions are made, and to disclose separately for pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans the fair value of each major category of plan assets based on the nature and risks of assets as of each annual reporting date for which a statement of financial position is presented and information that enables users of financial statements to assess the inputs and valuation techniques used to develop fair value measurements of plan assets at the annual reporting date. The disclosures about plan assets are to be provided for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. Upon initial adoption, the provisions are not required for earlier periods that are presented for comparative purposes. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the fourth quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that clarifies how to account for acquired intangible assets subsequent to initial measurement in situations in which an entity does not intend to actively use the assets but intends to hold the asset to prevent others from obtaining access to the asset (a defensive intangible asset), except for intangible assets that are used in research and development activities. This guidance requires that a defensive intangible asset be accounted for as a separate unit of accounting and assigned a useful life that reflects the entity's consumption of the expected benefits related to that asset. This guidance became effective for intangible assets acquired on or after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that clarifies the criteria for determining whether certain financial instruments should be classified as derivative instruments or equity instruments. This guidance became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009 and, as required, evaluated the equity component of its 1.50% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (2026 Convertible Notes). The Company determined that the conversion feature of its 2026 Convertible Notes is indexed to its own stock and is therefore classified as an equity instrument.

In May 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled fully or partially in cash upon conversion. This guidance requires entities to separately measure and account for the liability and equity components of qualifying convertible debt and amortize the value of the equity component to interest cost over the estimated life of the convertible debt instrument. By amortizing the value of the equity component, an entity will effectively recognize interest cost at its non-convertible debt borrowing rate. This guidance also requires re-measurement of the liability and equity components upon extinguishment of a convertible debt instrument, which may result in a gain or loss recognized in the financial statements for the extinguishment of the liability component. This guidance requires retrospective application for all instruments that were outstanding during any periods presented, and became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance on January 1, 2009 and the adoption impacted both current year and historical accounting for its 2026 Convertible Notes, resulting in an increase of \$24.5 million in interest expense and a reduction of \$9.3 million in the provision for income taxes for 2009, an increase of \$24.9 million in interest expense and \$0.1 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and a reduction of \$9.5 million in the provision for income taxes for 2008, and an increase of \$23.2 million in interest expense and \$0.1 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and a reduction of \$8.8 million in the provision for income taxes for 2007. The adoption also resulted in an \$80.4 million increase in additional paid-in capital, a \$64.8 million reduction in long-term convertible notes, a \$24.9 million increase in deferred tax liabilities, a \$0.5 million increase in non-current assets and a \$40.0 million decrease in retained earnings as of January 1, 2009. The impact on basic and diluted earnings per share for 2009, 2008 and 2007 is a reduction of \$0.05, respectively.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In April 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that amends the guidance for estimating the useful lives of recognized intangible assets and requires additional disclosure related to renewing or extending the useful lives of recognized intangible assets. This guidance became effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires entities to disclose: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This guidance became effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that significantly changes the accounting and reporting requirements for business combination transactions, including capitalization of in-process research and development assets and expensing acquisition costs as incurred. This guidance became effective for business combination transactions occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that changes the accounting and financial reporting of noncontrolling ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, and the allocation of net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest. This guidance also establishes disclosure requirements to separately identify the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This guidance became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption changed the presentation format of the Company's consolidated statements of earnings and equity and consolidated balance sheets, but did not have an impact on net earnings or equity attributable to the Company's stockholders.

In December 2007, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that defines collaborative arrangements and requires that transactions with third parties that do not participate in the arrangement be reported in the appropriate income statement line items pursuant to existing authoritative accounting literature. Income statement classification of payments made between participants of a collaborative arrangement are to be based on other applicable authoritative accounting literature. If the payments are not within the scope or analogy of other authoritative accounting literature, a reasonable, rational and consistent accounting policy is to be elected. This guidance became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and was applied as a change in accounting principle to all prior periods retrospectively for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. The Company adopted the provisions of the guidance in the first quarter of 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

***New Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted***

In October 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that requires an entity to allocate arrangement consideration at the inception of an arrangement to all of its deliverables based on their relative selling prices, eliminates the use of the residual method of allocation, and requires the relative-selling-price method in all circumstances in which an entity recognizes revenue of an arrangement with multiple deliverables. This guidance will be effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, which will be the Company's fiscal year 2011, with earlier application permitted. The Company has not yet evaluated the potential impact of adopting this guidance on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. This guidance also requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary. This guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009, which will be the Company's fiscal year 2010. The Company does not expect that the adoption of the guidance will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Note 2: Acquisitions*****Samil Acquisition***

On July 7, 2009, the Company and Samil Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. entered into a joint venture, Samil Allergan Ophthalmic Joint Venture Company (Samil) in Korea by integrating the Samil Eyecare division with the Company's Korean ophthalmology products. In addition, the Company paid approximately \$16.7 million (\$12.8 million, net of cash acquired) to Samil Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. to acquire the Company's joint venture investment and received a 50.005% stockholder interest (50% plus one share) in the joint venture. The acquisition was funded from cash and equivalents balances. The Company accounted for the Samil acquisition as a business combination.

In connection with the Samil acquisition, the Company acquired assets with a fair value of \$41.4 million, including goodwill of \$23.0 million, intangible assets of \$5.1 million, cash of \$3.9 million and other assets of \$9.4 million, and assumed liabilities of \$8.1 million. The Company believes the fair values assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on reasonable assumptions.

***Aczone® Asset Purchase***

On July 11, 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of assets related to *Aczone*® (dapson) gel 5%, a topical treatment for acne vulgaris, from QLT USA, Inc. (QLT) for approximately \$150.0 million. The acquisition was funded from cash and equivalents balances. The Company acquired QLT's right, title and interest in and to the intellectual property, assigned contracts, registrations and inventories related to *Aczone*®, which is approved for sale in both the United States and Canada for the treatment of certain dermatological conditions. The Company accounted for the acquisition as a purchase of net assets.

The Company determined that the assets acquired consist of product rights for developed technology for *Aczone*® of \$145.6 million and inventories of \$4.4 million. The useful life of the developed technology was determined to be approximately eight years. The Company believes the fair values assigned to the assets acquired were based on reasonable assumptions.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Esprit Acquisition***

On October 16, 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of Esprit, a pharmaceutical company based in the United States with expertise in the genitourinary market, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$370.8 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition was funded from cash and equivalents balances. Prior to and in anticipation of the acquisition, the Company loaned Esprit \$74.8 million in August 2007, the proceeds of which were used by Esprit to fund a milestone payment to a third party and to repay certain outstanding obligations to third-party lenders. The loan was secured by all of Esprit's assets. The loan terms were at fair value. The loan and accrued interest of \$0.9 million were effectively settled upon the acquisition with no resulting gain or loss. The Company accounted for the Esprit acquisition as a business combination. In connection with the Esprit acquisition, the Company acquired assets with a fair value of \$525.4 million and assumed liabilities of \$154.6 million. The Esprit acquisition provides the Company with a dedicated urologics product line within its specialty pharmaceuticals segment. During 2009, the Company received \$2.4 million related to a contractual purchase price adjustment, \$2.3 million of which was recorded as a reduction to goodwill.

***EndoArt SA Acquisition***

On February 22, 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of EndoArt, a provider of telemetrically-controlled (or remote-controlled) implants used in the treatment of morbid obesity and other conditions, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$97.1 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition consideration was all cash, funded from the Company's cash and equivalents balances. The Company accounted for the EndoArt acquisition as a business combination. In connection with the EndoArt acquisition, the Company acquired assets with a fair value of \$98.5 million and assumed liabilities of \$1.4 million.

In conjunction with the EndoArt acquisition, the Company recorded an in-process research and development expense of \$72.0 million related to EndoArt's *EasyBand* Remote Adjustable Gastric Banding System in the United States, which had not received approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as of the EndoArt acquisition date and had no alternative future use.

***Cornéal Acquisition***

On January 2, 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of Cornéal, a health care company that develops, manufactures and markets dermal fillers, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$209.2 million, net of \$2.3 million associated with the settlement of a pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement. The Company recorded the \$2.3 million charge at the acquisition date to effectively settle the pre-existing unfavorable distribution agreement between Cornéal and one of the Company's subsidiaries, primarily related to distribution rights for *Juvéderm*® in the United States. Prior to the acquisition, the Company also had a \$4.4 million payable to Cornéal outstanding for products purchased under the distribution agreement, which was effectively settled upon the acquisition. The Company accounted for the Cornéal acquisition as a business combination. In connection with the Cornéal acquisition, the Company acquired assets with a fair value of \$284.8 million and assumed liabilities of \$75.6 million. As a result of the acquisition, the Company obtained the technology, manufacturing process and worldwide distribution rights for *Juvéderm*®, *Surgiderm*® and certain other hyaluronic acid-based dermal fillers. The acquisition was funded from the Company's cash and equivalents balances and its committed long-term credit facility. During 2009, the Company received \$9.2 million related to a contractual purchase price adjustment which was recorded as a reduction to goodwill.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Pro Forma Results of Operations***

The following unaudited *pro forma* operating results for the year ended December 31, 2007 assume the Esprit acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2007, and exclude any *pro forma* charges for inventory fair value adjustments, share-based compensation expense and transaction costs.

|                                                                                            | 2007<br>(in millions, except<br>per share amounts) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Product net sales                                                                          | \$ 3,911.9                                         |
| Total revenues                                                                             | \$ 3,971.8                                         |
| Earnings from continuing operations attributable to Allergan, Inc.                         | \$ 447.0                                           |
| Earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders: |                                                    |
| Basic                                                                                      | \$ 1.47                                            |
| Diluted                                                                                    | \$ 1.45                                            |

The *pro forma* information is not necessarily indicative of the actual results that would have been achieved had the Esprit acquisition occurred on the indicated date, or the results that may be achieved in the future.

The Company does not consider the acquisitions of Samil, EndoArt or Cornéal to be material business combinations, either individually or in the aggregate. Accordingly, the supplemental *pro forma* operating results presented above do not include any adjustments related to these three acquisitions.

**Note 3: Discontinued Operations**

On July 2, 2007, the Company completed the sale of the ophthalmic surgical device business that it acquired as a part of the Cornéal acquisition in January 2007, for \$28.6 million. The net assets of the disposed business consisted of current assets of \$24.3 million, non-current assets of \$9.8 million and current liabilities of \$4.2 million. The Company recorded a pre-tax loss of \$1.3 million (\$1.0 million net of tax) associated with the sale.

The following amounts related to the ophthalmic surgical device business have been segregated from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations through the date of disposition. The Company did not account for its ophthalmic surgical device business as a separate legal entity. Therefore, the following selected financial data for the Company's discontinued operations is presented for informational purposes only and does not necessarily reflect what the net sales or earnings would have been had the business operated as a stand-alone entity. The financial information for the Company's discontinued operations includes allocations of certain expenses to the ophthalmic surgical device business. These amounts have been allocated to the Company's discontinued operations on the basis that is considered by management to reflect most fairly or reasonably the utilization of the services provided to, or the benefit obtained by, the ophthalmic surgical device business.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table sets forth selected financial data of the Company's discontinued operations for 2007.

***Selected Financial Data for Discontinued Operations***

|                                                       | (in millions) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Product net sales                                     | \$ 20.0       |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes | \$ (1.1)      |
| Loss from discontinued operations                     | \$ (0.7)      |

**Note 4: Restructuring Charges and Integration Costs*****2009 Restructuring Plan***

On February 4, 2009, the Company announced a restructuring plan that involved a workforce reduction of approximately 460 employees, primarily in the United States and Europe. The majority of the employees affected by the restructuring plan were U.S. urology sales and marketing personnel as a result of the Company's decision to focus on the urology specialty and to seek a partner to promote *Sanctura XR* to general practitioners, and marketing personnel in the United States and Europe as the Company adjusted its back-office structures to a reduced short-term sales outlook for some businesses. The restructuring plan also included modest workforce reductions in other functions as the Company re-engineered its processes to increase efficiency and productivity.

As part of the restructuring plan, the Company modified the outstanding stock options issued in its February 2008 full-round employee stock option grant. The stock options were originally granted with an exercise price of \$64.47 with a standard four year graded vesting term, a ten year contractual term, and standard 90 day expiration upon termination of employment provisions. These options were modified to be immediately vested in full and to remove the 90 day expiration upon termination of employment provision. Because the modified awards became fully vested and there was no future derived service period, all unamortized compensation expense related to the original grant and the additional compensation expense attributable to the modification of the awards was recognized in full on the modification date.

In addition, the contractual provisions of outstanding stock options, other than the February 2008 full-round employee stock option grant, held by employees impacted by the workforce reduction were modified to extend the stock option expiration dates. Under the original contractual provisions, outstanding stock options held by employees involved in a workforce reduction automatically become fully vested upon termination of employment and the stock options expire after the earlier of 90 days from termination of employment or the remaining stock option contractual term. Under the modified terms, stock options for the impacted employees will expire after the earlier of three years from termination of employment or the remaining contractual term. All unamortized compensation expense related to the original stock option awards plus the incremental compensation expense associated with the modifications will be recognized ratably from the modification date to the employees' expected termination date. The fair value of the modifications to all share-based awards was generally estimated using a lattice model. The total incremental pre-tax compensation expense associated with the modifications attributable to the 2009 restructuring plan was \$11.0 million.

The Company began to record costs associated with the 2009 restructuring plan in the first quarter of 2009 and substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring plan in the second quarter of 2009. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance and other one-time termination benefits. During 2009, the Company recorded pre-tax restructuring charges of \$42.2 million and recognized a total of \$78.6 million related to employee stock option modifications, consisting of \$5.0 million of cost of sales, \$52.6 million

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

in selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses and \$21.0 million in research and development (R&D) expenses, and recognized \$2.3 million of asset write-offs and accelerated depreciation costs in SG&A expenses.

The following table presents the restructuring charges related to the 2009 restructuring plan during 2009:

|                                                                    | <b>Employee<br/>Severance</b> | <b>Other<br/>(in millions)</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Net charge during 2009                                             | \$ 32.6                       | \$ 9.6                         | \$ 42.2      |
| Spending                                                           | (26.6)                        | (7.8)                          | (34.4)       |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 (included in Other accrued expenses ) | \$ 6.0                        | \$ 1.8                         | \$ 7.8       |

***Restructuring and Phased Closure of Arklow Facility***

On January 30, 2008, the Company announced the phased closure of its breast implant manufacturing facility at Arklow, Ireland and the transfer of production to the Company's manufacturing plant in Costa Rica. The Arklow facility was acquired by the Company in connection with its 2006 acquisition of Inamed Corporation (Inamed) and employed approximately 360 people. As of March 31, 2009, all production activities at the Arklow facility had ceased. Certain employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production in Arklow were capitalized to inventory as incurred and recognized as cost of sales in the periods the related products were sold.

The Company began to record costs associated with the closure of the Arklow manufacturing facility in the first quarter of 2008 and substantially completed all activities related to the restructuring and phased closure of the Arklow facility in the third quarter of 2009. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has recorded cumulative pre-tax restructuring charges of \$35.6 million, cumulative costs for the rollout of capitalized employee termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production of \$23.2 million and cumulative costs related to one-time termination benefits and asset impairments of \$1.3 million. The restructuring charges primarily consist of employee severance, one-time termination benefits, contract termination costs and other costs related to the closure of the Arklow manufacturing facility. During 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$8.4 million and \$27.2 million of pre-tax restructuring charges, respectively. During 2009, the Company recognized \$14.4 million of cost of sales for the rollout of capitalized employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production and \$0.1 million of R&D expenses related to one-time termination benefits. During 2008, the Company recognized \$8.8 million of cost of sales for the rollout of capitalized employee retention termination benefits and accelerated depreciation costs related to inventory production, \$0.9 million of SG&A expenses and \$0.3 million of R&D expenses related to one-time termination benefits and asset impairments.



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table presents the restructuring activities related to the phased closure of the Arklow facility through December 31, 2009:

|                                                                    | <b>Employee<br/>Severance</b> | <b>Contract<br/>Termination<br/>Costs</b> | <b>Other</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                                                    | (in millions)                 |                                           |              |              |
| Net charge during 2008                                             | \$ 20.5                       | \$ 5.6                                    | \$ 1.1       | \$ 27.2      |
| Spending                                                           | (7.2)                         | (0.5)                                     | (1.0)        | (8.7)        |
| Foreign exchange translation effects                               | (1.8)                         | (0.6)                                     |              | (2.4)        |
| Balance at December 31, 2008                                       | 11.5                          | 4.5                                       | 0.1          | 16.1         |
| Net charge during 2009                                             | 3.4                           | 4.1                                       | 0.9          | 8.4          |
| Spending                                                           | (13.9)                        | (5.2)                                     | (0.5)        | (19.6)       |
| Foreign exchange translation effects                               | (0.7)                         | 0.1                                       | 0.1          | (0.5)        |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 (included in Other accrued expenses ) | \$ 0.3                        | \$ 3.5                                    | \$ 0.6       | \$ 4.4       |

**Other Restructuring Activities and Integration Costs**

Included in 2009 are a \$0.3 million restructuring charge reversal related to the Company's closure of its collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, which was substantially completed in the fourth quarter of 2008, and \$0.6 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the Company's fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of its European operations.

Included in 2008 are \$3.4 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's closure of its collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, \$4.0 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the Company's fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of its European operations, \$6.6 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's 2007 acquisition of Cornéal and \$0.1 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's 2007 acquisition of EndoArt SA.

Included in 2007 are \$7.5 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's 2006 acquisition of Inamed, \$1.7 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's closure of its collagen manufacturing facility in Fremont, California, \$1.0 million of restructuring charges for an abandoned leased facility related to the Company's fiscal year 2005 restructuring and streamlining of its European operations and \$16.6 million of restructuring charges related to the Company's 2007 acquisition of Cornéal.

Included in 2009 are \$0.4 million of SG&A expenses related to transaction costs associated with the Samil acquisition and \$0.4 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with the Cornéal acquisition. Included in 2008 are \$0.1 million of cost of sales and \$2.1 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with the acquisitions of Esprit and Cornéal. Included in 2007 are \$0.2 million of cost of sales and \$14.5 million of SG&A expenses related to integration costs associated with the acquisitions of Esprit, Cornéal, EndoArt and Inamed.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5: Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions**

|                                                            | December 31,<br>2009                      2008<br>(in millions) |          |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Trade receivables, net                                     |                                                                 |          |
| Trade receivables                                          | \$ 627.6                                                        | \$ 587.6 |
| Less allowance for sales returns – medical device products | 20.7                                                            | 17.8     |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts                       | 30.3                                                            | 31.4     |
|                                                            | \$ 576.6                                                        | \$ 538.4 |
| Inventories                                                |                                                                 |          |
| Finished products                                          | \$ 137.9                                                        | \$ 174.9 |
| Work in process                                            | 34.9                                                            | 36.8     |
| Raw materials                                              | 41.1                                                            | 50.8     |
|                                                            | \$ 213.9                                                        | \$ 262.5 |
| Other current assets                                       |                                                                 |          |
| Prepaid expenses                                           | \$ 71.2                                                         | \$ 80.2  |
| Deferred taxes                                             | 252.9                                                           | 238.2    |
| Other                                                      | 44.6                                                            | 40.9     |
|                                                            | \$ 368.7                                                        | \$ 359.3 |
| Investments and other assets                               |                                                                 |          |
| Deferred executive compensation investments                | \$ 56.2                                                         | \$ 48.4  |
| Capitalized software                                       | 87.3                                                            | 85.8     |
| Prepaid pensions                                           | 24.6                                                            | 0.9      |
| Prepaid royalties                                          | 10.0                                                            | 20.0     |
| Interest rate swap fair value                              | 30.4                                                            | 61.9     |
| Debt issuance costs                                        | 7.5                                                             | 10.9     |
| Equity investments                                         | 5.1                                                             | 5.9      |
| Other                                                      | 45.6                                                            | 38.3     |
|                                                            | \$ 266.7                                                        | \$ 272.1 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net                         |                                                                 |          |
| Land                                                       | \$ 58.2                                                         | \$ 51.8  |
| Buildings                                                  | 737.8                                                           | 689.4    |
| Machinery and equipment                                    | 571.3                                                           | 535.5    |
|                                                            | 1,367.3                                                         | 1,276.7  |
| Less accumulated depreciation                              | 559.2                                                           | 501.3    |
|                                                            | \$ 808.1                                                        | \$ 775.4 |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                 |          |          |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Other accrued expenses                          |          |          |
| Sales rebates and other incentive programs      | \$ 158.6 | \$ 102.0 |
| Restructuring charges                           | 12.6     | 18.9     |
| Royalties                                       | 33.8     | 52.1     |
| Accrued interest                                | 10.8     | 13.6     |
| Sales returns specialty pharmaceutical products | 20.8     | 7.5      |
| Product warranties breast implant products      | 6.7      | 6.3      |
| Other                                           | 139.4    | 136.3    |
|                                                 | \$ 382.7 | \$ 336.7 |

F-21

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

|                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>December 31,</b>  |                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>2009</b>          | <b>2008</b>       |
|                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>(in millions)</b> |                   |
| <b>Other liabilities</b>                                                                                                                                                               |                      |                   |
| Postretirement benefit plan                                                                                                                                                            | \$ 41.0              | \$ 39.0           |
| Qualified and non-qualified pension plans                                                                                                                                              | 117.7                | 156.2             |
| Deferred executive compensation                                                                                                                                                        | 59.8                 | 51.6              |
| Deferred income                                                                                                                                                                        | 95.0                 | 80.8              |
| Product warranties – breast implant products                                                                                                                                           | 22.7                 | 23.2              |
| Unrecognized tax benefit liabilities                                                                                                                                                   | 23.2                 | 22.4              |
| Other                                                                                                                                                                                  | 29.0                 | 29.6              |
|                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>\$ 388.4</b>      | <b>\$ 402.8</b>   |
| <b>Accumulated other comprehensive loss</b>                                                                                                                                            |                      |                   |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments                                                                                                                                               | \$ 21.3              | \$ (15.9)         |
| Deferred holding gains on derivative instruments, net of taxes of \$3.3 million and \$3.8 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively                                                      | 4.9                  | 5.7               |
| Actuarial losses not yet recognized as a component of pension and postretirement benefit plan costs, net of taxes of \$76.9 million and \$98.1 million for 2009 and 2008, respectively | (129.0)              | (187.1)           |
| Unrealized loss on investments, net of applicable income tax benefit of \$1.5 million                                                                                                  |                      | (1.4)             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>\$ (102.8)</b>    | <b>\$ (198.7)</b> |

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately \$5.6 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, of the Company's finished goods medical device inventories, primarily breast implants, were held on consignment at a large number of doctors' offices, clinics and hospitals worldwide. The value and quantity at any one location are not significant. At December 31, 2009, approximately \$7.0 million of specific reserves for sales returns related to certain eye care pharmaceutical products genericized during 2009 are included in Accrued sales returns – specialty pharmaceutical products.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 6: Intangibles and Goodwill**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the components of amortizable and unamortizable intangibles and goodwill and certain other related information were as follows:

*Intangibles*

|                                         | December 31, 2009             |                          |                                                    | December 31, 2008             |                          |                                                    |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|                                         | Gross Amount<br>(in millions) | Accumulated Amortization | Weighted Average Amortization Period<br>(in years) | Gross Amount<br>(in millions) | Accumulated Amortization | Weighted Average Amortization Period<br>(in years) |
| <b>Amortizable Intangible Assets:</b>   |                               |                          |                                                    |                               |                          |                                                    |
| Developed technology                    | \$ 1,396.4                    | \$ (317.2)               | 14.3                                               | \$ 1,390.8                    | \$ (215.0)               | 14.3                                               |
| Customer relationships                  | 42.3                          | (42.0)                   | 3.1                                                | 42.3                          | (37.8)                   | 3.1                                                |
| Licensing                               | 224.7                         | (102.3)                  | 10.0                                               | 223.5                         | (78.9)                   | 10.0                                               |
| Trademarks                              | 27.5                          | (19.6)                   | 6.3                                                | 27.3                          | (14.9)                   | 6.3                                                |
| Core technology                         | 191.7                         | (49.5)                   | 15.2                                               | 190.4                         | (36.5)                   | 15.2                                               |
| Other                                   | 5.6                           | (0.4)                    | 7.1                                                |                               |                          |                                                    |
|                                         | 1,888.2                       | (531.0)                  | 13.5                                               | 1,874.3                       | (383.1)                  | 13.5                                               |
| <b>Unamortizable Intangible Assets:</b> |                               |                          |                                                    |                               |                          |                                                    |
| Business licenses                       |                               |                          |                                                    | 0.7                           |                          |                                                    |
|                                         | \$ 1,888.2                    | \$ (531.0)               |                                                    | \$ 1,875.0                    | \$ (383.1)               |                                                    |

Developed technology consists primarily of current product offerings, primarily saline and silicone gel breast implants, obesity intervention products, dermal fillers, skin care and urologics products acquired in connection with business combinations and asset acquisitions. Customer relationship assets consist of the estimated value of relationships with customers acquired in connection with the Inamed acquisition, primarily in the breast implant market in the United States. Licensing assets consist primarily of capitalized payments to third party licensors related to the achievement of regulatory approvals to commercialize products in specified markets and up-front payments associated with royalty obligations for products that have achieved regulatory approval for marketing. Core technology consists of proprietary technology associated with silicone gel breast implants, gastric bands and intragastric balloon systems acquired in connection with the Inamed acquisition, dermal filler technology acquired in connection with the Cornéal acquisition, gastric band technology acquired in connection with the EndoArt acquisition, and a drug delivery technology acquired in connection with the Company's 2003 acquisition of Oculex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Other intangible assets consist of acquired product registration rights and distributor relationships.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table provides amortization expense by major categories of acquired amortizable intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

|                        | 2009          | 2008     | 2007     |
|------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
|                        | (in millions) |          |          |
| Developed technology   | \$ 101.4      | \$ 98.7  | \$ 71.5  |
| Customer relationships | 4.2           | 13.6     | 13.6     |
| Licensing              | 23.2          | 20.9     | 19.0     |
| Trademarks             | 4.4           | 4.8      | 4.8      |
| Core technology        | 12.7          | 12.9     | 12.4     |
| Other                  | 0.4           |          |          |
|                        | \$ 146.3      | \$ 150.9 | \$ 121.3 |

Amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets generally benefits multiple business functions within the Company, such as the Company's ability to sell, manufacture, research, market and distribute products, compounds and intellectual property. The amount of amortization expense excluded from cost of sales consists primarily of amounts amortized with respect to developed technology and licensing intangible assets.

Estimated amortization expense is \$144.2 million for 2010, \$140.7 million for 2011, \$135.3 million for 2012, \$121.1 million for 2013 and \$116.2 million for 2014.

**Goodwill**

|                           | December 31,  |            |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------|
|                           | 2009          | 2008       |
|                           | (in millions) |            |
| Specialty Pharmaceuticals | \$ 73.2       | \$ 49.2    |
| Medical Devices           | 1,925.1       | 1,932.6    |
|                           | \$ 1,998.3    | \$ 1,981.8 |

The increase in Specialty Pharmaceuticals goodwill at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008 is primarily due to the Samil acquisition.

**Note 7: Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt**

| 2009<br>Average<br>Effective<br>Interest<br>Rate | December 31,<br>2009 | 2008<br>Average<br>Effective<br>Interest<br>Rate | December 31,<br>2008 |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                          |       | (in<br>millions) |       | (in<br>millions) |
|------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|
| Bank loans                               | 2.59% | \$ 18.1          | 3.14% | \$ 4.4           |
| Medium term notes; maturing 2012         | 7.47% | 25.0             | 7.47% | 25.0             |
| Real estate mortgage; maturing 2017      | 5.65% | 20.0             |       |                  |
| Senior notes due 2016                    | 5.79% | 798.6            | 5.79% | 798.4            |
| Interest rate swap fair value adjustment |       | 30.4             |       | 61.9             |
|                                          |       | 892.1            |       | 889.7            |
| Less current maturities                  |       | 18.1             |       | 4.4              |
| Total long-term debt                     |       | \$ 874.0         |       | \$ 885.3         |

F-24

---

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

At December 31, 2009, the Company had a committed long-term credit facility, a commercial paper program, a medium-term note program, an unused shelf registration statement that allows the Company to issue additional securities, including debt securities, in one or more offerings from time to time, a real estate mortgage and various foreign bank facilities. The committed long-term credit facility expires in May 2012. The termination date can be further extended from time to time upon the Company's request and acceptance by the issuer of the facility for a period of one year from the last scheduled termination date for each request accepted. The committed long-term credit facility allows for borrowings of up to \$800 million. The commercial paper program also provides for up to \$600 million in borrowings. Borrowings under the committed long-term credit facility and medium-term note program are subject to certain financial and operating covenants that include, among other provisions, maximum leverage ratios. Certain covenants also limit subsidiary debt. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had no borrowings under its committed long-term credit facility, \$25.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the medium-term note program, \$20.0 million in borrowings outstanding under the real estate mortgage, \$18.1 million in borrowings outstanding under various foreign bank facilities and no borrowings under the commercial paper program. Commercial paper, when outstanding, is issued at current short-term interest rates. Additionally, any future borrowings that are outstanding under the long-term credit facility will be subject to a floating interest rate. The Company may from time to time seek to retire or purchase its outstanding debt.

On April 12, 2006, the Company completed concurrent private placements of \$800.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (2016 Notes) and \$750.0 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2026 Convertible Notes. (See Note 8, Convertible Notes, for a description of the 2026 Convertible Notes.)

The 2016 Notes, which were sold at 99.717% of par value with an effective interest rate of 5.79%, are unsecured and pay interest semi-annually at a rate of 5.75% per annum, and are redeemable at any time at the Company's option, subject to a make-whole provision based on the present value of remaining interest payments at the time of the redemption. The aggregate outstanding principal amount of the 2016 Notes will be due and payable on April 1, 2016, unless earlier redeemed by the Company. The original discount of approximately \$2.3 million and the deferred debt issuance costs associated with the 2016 Notes are being amortized using the effective interest method over the stated term of 10 years.

On January 31, 2007, the Company entered into a nine-year, two-month interest rate swap with a \$300.0 million notional amount with semi-annual settlements and quarterly interest rate reset dates. The swap receives interest at a fixed rate of 5.75% and pays interest at a variable interest rate equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 0.368%, and effectively converts \$300.0 million of the 2016 Notes to a variable interest rate. Based on the structure of the hedging relationship, the hedge meets the criteria for using the short-cut method for a fair value hedge. The investment in the derivative and the related long-term debt are recorded at fair value. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized in its consolidated balance sheets an asset reported in Investments and other assets and a corresponding increase in Long-term debt associated with the fair value of the derivative of \$30.4 million and \$61.9 million, respectively. The differential to be paid or received as interest rates change is accrued and recognized as an adjustment of interest expense related to the 2016 Notes. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized \$14.3 million, \$7.9 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, as a reduction of interest expense due to the differential to be received.

In February 2006, the Company entered into interest rate swap contracts based on 3-month LIBOR with an aggregate notional amount of \$800.0 million, a swap period of 10 years and a starting swap rate of 5.198%. The Company entered into these swap contracts as a cash flow hedge to effectively fix the future interest rate for the 2016 Notes. In April 2006, the Company terminated the interest rate swap contracts and received approximately



**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

\$13.0 million. The total gain was recorded to accumulated other comprehensive loss and is being amortized as a reduction to interest expense over a 10 year period to match the term of the 2016 Notes. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized \$1.3 million, respectively, as a reduction of interest expense due to the amortization of deferred holding gains on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges. These amounts were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss. As of December 31, 2009, the remaining unrecognized gain of \$8.2 million (\$4.9 million, net of tax) is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. The Company expects to reclassify an estimated pre-tax amount of \$1.3 million from accumulated other comprehensive loss as a reduction in interest expense during fiscal year 2010 due to the amortization of deferred holding gains on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges.

No portion of amounts recognized from contracts designated as cash flow hedges was considered to be ineffective during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The aggregate maturities of total long-term debt, excluding the interest rate swap fair value adjustment of \$30.4 million, for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows: \$18.1 million in 2010; \$617.3 million in 2011; \$25.0 million in 2012, zero in 2013 and 2014 and \$818.6 million thereafter. Interest incurred of \$1.0 million in 2009, \$1.4 million in 2008 and \$1.3 million in 2007 has been capitalized and included in property, plant and equipment.

**Note 8: Convertible Notes**

In 2006, the Company issued the 2026 Convertible Notes for an aggregate principal amount of \$750.0 million. The 2026 Convertible Notes are unsecured and pay interest semi-annually on the principal amount of the notes at a rate of 1.50% per annum. The 2026 Convertible Notes will be convertible into cash and, if applicable, shares of the Company's common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 15.7904 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the 2026 Convertible Notes if the Company's stock price reaches certain specified thresholds. As of December 31, 2009, the conversion criteria had not been met. The Company is permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes from and after April 5, 2009 to April 4, 2011 if the closing price of its common stock reaches a specified threshold, and will be permitted to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes at any time on or after April 5, 2011. Holders of the 2026 Convertible Notes will also be able to require the Company to redeem the 2026 Convertible Notes on April 1, 2011, April 1, 2016 and April 1, 2021 or upon a change in control of the Company. The 2026 Convertible Notes mature on April 1, 2026, unless previously redeemed by the Company or earlier converted by the note holders.

The Company separately measures and accounts for the liability and equity components of the 2026 Convertible Notes. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of the liability component is \$617.3 million with an effective interest rate of 5.59%. The difference between the carrying value of the liability component and the principal amount of the 2026 Convertible Notes of \$649.7 million is recorded as debt discount and is being amortized to interest expense through the first note holder put date in April 2011.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid \$98.3 million to repurchase \$100.3 million principal amount of the 2026 Convertible Notes with a carrying value of \$92.3 million and a calculated fair value of approximately \$97.0 million. The Company recognized a \$4.7 million loss on extinguishment of the convertible debt. In addition, the Company wrote off \$0.6 million of related unamortized deferred debt issuances costs as loss on extinguishment of the convertible debt. The difference between the amount paid to repurchase the 2026 Convertible Notes and the calculated fair value of the liability component was recognized as a reduction to additional paid in capital, net of the effect of deferred taxes.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 9: Income Taxes**

The components of earnings from continuing operations before income taxes were:

|              | Year Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|              | 2009                    | 2008            | 2007            |
|              | (in millions)           |                 |                 |
| U.S.         | \$ 394.3                | \$ 346.2        | \$ 364.9        |
| Non-U.S.     | 454.2                   | 416.0           | 299.5           |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>\$ 848.5</b>         | <b>\$ 762.2</b> | <b>\$ 664.4</b> |

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

|                       | Year Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                       | 2009                    | 2008            | 2007            |
|                       | (in millions)           |                 |                 |
| <b>Current</b>        |                         |                 |                 |
| U.S. federal          | \$ 234.7                | \$ 207.6        | \$ 186.0        |
| U.S. state            | 41.5                    | 46.5            | 29.8            |
| Non-U.S.              | 61.3                    | 44.4            | 52.6            |
| <b>Total current</b>  | <b>337.5</b>            | <b>298.5</b>    | <b>268.4</b>    |
| <b>Deferred</b>       |                         |                 |                 |
| U.S. federal          | (87.8)                  | (86.8)          | (99.8)          |
| U.S. state            | (17.7)                  | (3.0)           | 8.4             |
| Non-U.S.              | (7.3)                   | (11.2)          | 0.4             |
| <b>Total deferred</b> | <b>(112.8)</b>          | <b>(101.0)</b>  | <b>(91.0)</b>   |
| <b>Total</b>          | <b>\$ 224.7</b>         | <b>\$ 197.5</b> | <b>\$ 177.4</b> |

The current provision for income taxes does not reflect the tax benefit of \$7.3 million, \$11.1 million and \$36.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to the exercise of employee stock options recorded directly to Additional paid-in capital in the consolidated balance sheets.

The reconciliations of the U.S. federal statutory tax rate to the combined effective tax rate follow:

|                                      | 2009  | 2008  | 2007  |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Statutory rate of tax expense        | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State taxes, net of U.S. tax benefit | 3.3   | 4.4   | 4.0   |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                                               |        |        |        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Tax differential on foreign earnings                                          | (11.2) | (14.4) | (18.6) |
| U.S. tax effect of foreign earnings and dividends, net of foreign tax credits | 1.7    | 1.6    | 0.4    |
| Other credits (R&D)                                                           | (4.3)  | (3.7)  | (3.8)  |
| In-process research and development                                           |        |        | 10.8   |
| Tax audit settlements/adjustments                                             | 1.3    | 2.1    | (0.6)  |
| Change in valuation allowance                                                 |        |        | (0.7)  |
| Other                                                                         | 0.7    | 0.9    | 0.2    |
| Effective tax rate                                                            | 26.5%  | 25.9%  | 26.7%  |

F-27

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Withholding and U.S. taxes have not been provided on approximately \$2,184.5 million of unremitted earnings of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries because the Company has currently reinvested these earnings indefinitely in such operations, or the U.S. taxes on such earnings will be offset by appropriate credits for foreign income taxes paid. Such earnings would become taxable upon the sale or liquidation of these non-U.S. subsidiaries or upon the remittance of dividends. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of the deferred tax liability on such unremitted earnings. Upon remittance, certain foreign countries impose withholding taxes that are then available, subject to certain limitations, for use as credits against the Company's U.S. tax liability, if any.

The Company and its domestic subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. During the first quarter of 2008, the Company completed the federal income tax audit by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for tax years 2003 and 2004. As a result of the audit, the Company paid a total settlement amount of \$21.8 million, of which \$14.0 million was paid in 2007 as an advance payment and the remaining \$7.8 million was paid during the first quarter of 2008. The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries are currently under examination by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for tax years 2005 and 2006. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company made an advance payment of \$36.0 million to the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. The Company believes the additional tax liability, if any, for such years, will not have a material effect on the financial position of the Company. The Company's acquired subsidiary, Inamed, is currently under examination by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service for the pre-acquisition years 2003 through 2006.

At December 31, 2009, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards in certain non-U.S. subsidiaries, with various expiration dates, of approximately \$53.9 million. The Company has U.S. net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$130.0 million which are subject to limitation under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. If not utilized, the U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2026. The Company's subsidiary, Inamed, has a U.S. federal net operating loss carryback of approximately \$46.6 million generated in the pre-acquisition year 2006.

The Company has a subsidiary in Costa Rica under a tax incentive grant, which provides that the Company will be exempt from local income tax until the current tax incentive grant expires at the end of 2015.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Temporary differences and carryforwards/carrybacks which give rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

|                                                                 | 2009          | 2008     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------|
|                                                                 | (in millions) |          |
| Deferred tax assets                                             |               |          |
| Net operating loss carryforwards/carrybacks                     | \$ 66.4       | \$ 88.0  |
| Accrued expenses                                                | 89.5          | 74.0     |
| Capitalized expenses                                            | 58.4          | 48.9     |
| Deferred compensation                                           | 29.1          | 27.1     |
| Medicare, Medicaid and other accrued health care rebates        | 39.7          | 28.6     |
| Postretirement medical benefits                                 | 16.0          | 16.1     |
| Capitalized intangible assets                                   | 54.4          | 65.3     |
| Deferred revenue                                                | 14.2          | 15.9     |
| Inventory reserves and adjustments                              | 72.2          | 68.6     |
| Share-based compensation awards                                 | 89.2          | 49.2     |
| Manufacturing, AMT and research credit carryforwards/carrybacks | 8.5           | 3.1      |
| Unbilled costs                                                  | 21.3          | 21.0     |
| Pension plans                                                   | 40.8          | 54.3     |
| Transaction costs                                               | 3.1           | 3.8      |
| State taxes                                                     | 11.2          | 12.9     |
| All other                                                       | 12.6          | 16.0     |
|                                                                 | 626.6         | 592.8    |
| Less: valuation allowance                                       | (4.6)         | (8.4)    |
| Total deferred tax assets                                       | 622.0         | 584.4    |
| Deferred tax liabilities                                        |               |          |
| Discount on convertible notes                                   | 11.9          | 24.9     |
| Interest rate swap                                              | 3.2           | 3.8      |
| Depreciation                                                    | 13.1          | 20.8     |
| Developed and core technology intangible assets                 | 343.9         | 365.8    |
| All other                                                       | (1.6)         | (0.1)    |
| Total deferred tax liabilities                                  | 370.5         | 415.2    |
| Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)                           | \$ 251.5      | \$ 169.2 |

The balances of net current deferred tax assets and net non-current deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2009 were \$252.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The balances of net current deferred tax assets and net non-current deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2008 were \$238.2 million and \$69.0 million, respectively. Net current deferred tax assets are included in Other current assets in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

In February 2009, the California Legislature enacted 2009-2010 budget legislation containing various California tax law changes including an election to apply a single sales factor apportionment formula for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The Company anticipates making the election and as a result, the state and federal deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities were re-determined during the first quarter of 2009 to reflect an adjustment to the resulting tax rate. The impact of the adjustment was an increase to the provision for income taxes of \$1.5

million.

F-29

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Based on the Company's historical pre-tax earnings, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefit of the existing total deferred tax assets at December 31, 2009. Management believes the existing net deductible temporary differences will reverse during periods in which the Company generates net taxable income; however, there can be no assurance that the Company will generate any earnings or any specific level of continuing earnings in future years. Certain tax planning or other strategies could be implemented, if necessary, to supplement income from operations to fully realize recorded tax benefits.

**Disclosures for Uncertainty in Income Taxes**

The Company classifies interest expense related to uncertainty in income taxes in the consolidated statements of earnings as interest expense. Income tax penalties are recorded in income tax expense, and are not material.

A tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning and end of 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

|                                                               | 2009          | 2008    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------|
|                                                               | (in millions) |         |
| Balance, beginning of year                                    | \$ 47.5       | \$ 59.6 |
| Gross increase as a result of positions taken in a prior year | 20.5          | 24.0    |
| Gross decrease as a result of positions taken in a prior year | (21.0)        | (14.2)  |
| Gross increase as a result of positions taken in current year | 0.1           | 1.2     |
| Decreases related to settlements                              | (7.8)         | (23.1)  |
| Balance, end of year                                          | \$ 39.3       | \$ 47.5 |

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate is \$35.5 million and \$42.0 million, respectively.

In 2009, the total amount of interest expense related to uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the Company's consolidated statement of earnings is \$5.5 million. The total amount of accrued interest expense related to uncertainty in income taxes included in the Company's consolidated balance sheet is \$11.1 million and \$12.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The change to the accrued interest expense balance between December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is primarily due to a decrease for an advance payment made during the year in connection with the ongoing 2005 and 2006 U.S. Internal Revenue Service income tax audit, partially offset by an increase for the current year interest expense.

The Company expects that during the next 12 months it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefit liabilities related to various audit issues will decrease by approximately \$18.0 million to \$20.0 million primarily due to settlements of income tax audits in the United States.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following tax years remain subject to examination:

| <b>Major Jurisdictions</b> | <b>Open Years</b> |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| U.S. Federal               | 2005 - 2008       |
| California                 | 2003 - 2008       |
| Brazil                     | 2004 - 2008       |
| Canada                     | 2005 - 2008       |
| France                     | 2007 - 2008       |
| Germany                    | 2006 - 2008       |
| Italy                      | 2005 - 2008       |
| Ireland                    | 2003 - 2008       |
| Spain                      | 2005 - 2008       |
| United Kingdom             | 2007 - 2008       |

**Note 10: Employee Retirement and Other Benefit Plans*****Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans***

The Company sponsors various qualified defined benefit pension plans covering a substantial portion of its employees. In addition, the Company sponsors two supplemental nonqualified plans covering certain management employees and officers. U.S. pension benefits are based on years of service and compensation during the five highest consecutive earnings years. Foreign pension benefits are based on various formulas that consider years of service, average or highest earnings during specified periods of employment and other criteria.

The Company also has one retiree health plan that covers U.S. retirees and dependents. Retiree contributions are required depending on the year of retirement and the number of years of service at the time of retirement. Disbursements exceed retiree contributions and the plan currently has no assets. The accounting for the retiree health care plan anticipates future cost-sharing changes to the written plan that are consistent with the Company's past practice and management's intent to manage plan costs. The Company's history of retiree medical plan modifications indicates a consistent approach to increasing the cost sharing provisions of the plan.

***Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans***

The Company recognizes on its balance sheet an asset or liability equal to the over- or under-funded benefit obligation of each defined benefit pension and other postretirement plan. Actuarial gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost are recognized, net of tax, as a component of other comprehensive income.

In the first quarter of 2008, the Company changed the measurement date for its defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans from September 30 to December 31 in accordance with the authoritative guidance issued by the FASB with regard to measurement dates for pension and other postretirement plans. As a result, the Company recognized an increase of \$5.2 million in its net pension liability, an increase of \$1.6 million in related deferred income tax assets, a reduction of \$4.6 million in its beginning retained earnings and an increase of \$1.0 million in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are unrecognized actuarial losses of \$202.9 million and \$282.1 million, respectively, related to the Company's pension plans. Of the December 31, 2009 amount, the Company expects to recognize approximately \$10.2 million in net periodic



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

benefit cost during 2010. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are unrecognized prior service credits of \$1.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and unrecognized actuarial losses of \$4.7 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, related to the Company's retiree health plan. Of the December 31, 2009 amounts, the Company expects to recognize \$0.3 million of the unrecognized prior service credits and \$0.1 million of the unrecognized actuarial losses in net periodic benefit cost during 2010.

Components of net periodic benefit cost, change in projected benefit obligation, change in plan assets, funded status, funding policy, fair value of plan assets, estimated future payments and assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost are summarized below for the Company's U.S. and major non-U.S. pension plans and retiree health plan.

**Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

Components of net periodic benefit cost for the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

|                                               | Pension Benefits |         |         | Other Postretirement Benefits |        |        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|
|                                               | 2009             | 2008    | 2007    | 2009                          | 2008   | 2007   |
|                                               | (in millions)    |         |         |                               |        |        |
| Service cost                                  | \$ 23.0          | \$ 24.8 | \$ 24.9 | \$ 1.6                        | \$ 1.5 | \$ 1.8 |
| Interest cost                                 | 37.3             | 34.4    | 30.8    | 2.4                           | 2.2    | 2.1    |
| Expected return on plan assets                | (42.9)           | (41.9)  | (36.8)  |                               |        |        |
| Amortization of prior service costs (credits) | 0.1              |         |         | (0.3)                         | (0.3)  | (0.2)  |
| Recognized net actuarial losses               | 12.6             | 6.5     | 11.4    | 0.1                           | 0.1    | 0.3    |
| Net periodic benefit cost                     | \$ 30.1          | \$ 23.8 | \$ 30.3 | \$ 3.8                        | \$ 3.5 | \$ 4.0 |

**Table of Contents**

## ALLERGAN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

**Benefit Obligation, Change in Plan Assets and Funded Status**

The table below presents components of the change in projected benefit obligation, change in plan assets and funded status at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

|                                                 | Pension Benefits |            | Other Postretirement Benefits |           |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
|                                                 | 2009             | 2008       | 2009                          | 2008      |
|                                                 | (in millions)    |            |                               |           |
| <b>Change in Projected Benefit Obligation</b>   |                  |            |                               |           |
| Projected benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 620.0         | \$ 578.6   | \$ 39.9                       | \$ 35.9   |
| Adjustments due to change in measurement date   |                  | 13.0       |                               | 0.9       |
| Service cost                                    | 23.0             | 24.8       | 1.6                           | 1.5       |
| Interest cost                                   | 37.3             | 34.4       | 2.4                           | 2.2       |
| Participant contributions                       | 1.6              | 1.7        |                               |           |
| Actuarial (gains) losses                        | (20.5)           | (2.1)      | (0.3)                         | 0.8       |
| Benefits paid                                   | (13.2)           | (12.7)     | (1.5)                         | (1.4)     |
| Plan amendment in 2008                          |                  | 1.3        |                               |           |
| Impact of foreign currency translation          | 7.0              | (19.0)     |                               |           |
| Projected benefit obligation, end of year       | 655.2            | 620.0      | 42.1                          | 39.9      |
| <b>Change in Plan Assets</b>                    |                  |            |                               |           |
| Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year    | 462.7            | 547.5      |                               |           |
| Adjustments due to change in measurement date   |                  | (2.0)      |                               |           |
| Actual return on plan assets                    | 89.6             | (141.7)    |                               |           |
| Company contributions                           | 12.9             | 84.5       | 1.5                           | 1.4       |
| Participant contributions                       | 1.6              | 1.7        |                               |           |
| Benefits paid                                   | (13.2)           | (12.7)     | (1.5)                         | (1.4)     |
| Impact of foreign currency translation          | 6.3              | (14.6)     |                               |           |
| Fair value of plan assets, end of year          | 559.9            | 462.7      |                               |           |
| Funded status of plans                          | \$ (95.3)        | \$ (157.3) | \$ (42.1)                     | \$ (39.9) |

Net accrued benefit costs for pension plans and other postretirement benefits are reported in the following components of the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

|                              | Pension Benefits |        | Other Postretirement Benefits |       |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|
|                              | 2009             | 2008   | 2009                          | 2008  |
|                              | (in millions)    |        |                               |       |
| Investments and other assets | \$ 24.6          | \$ 0.9 | \$                            | \$    |
| Accrued compensation         | (2.2)            | (2.0)  | (1.1)                         | (0.9) |

## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                           |           |            |           |           |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Other liabilities         | (117.7)   | (156.2)    | (41.0)    | (39.0)    |
| Net accrued benefit costs | \$ (95.3) | \$ (157.3) | \$ (42.1) | \$ (39.9) |

The accumulated benefit obligation for the Company's U.S. and major non-U.S. pension plans was \$590.2 million and \$543.4 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-33

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for pension plans with a projected benefit obligation in excess of plan assets and pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of the fair value of plan assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

|                                | <b>Projected Benefit<br/>Obligation<br/>Exceeds<br/>the Fair Value of<br/>Plan Assets</b> |             | <b>Accumulated<br/>Benefit<br/>Obligation<br/>Exceeds the Fair<br/>Value of<br/>Plan Assets</b> |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                                | <b>2009</b>                                                                               | <b>2008</b> | <b>2009</b>                                                                                     | <b>2008</b> |
|                                | (in millions)                                                                             |             |                                                                                                 |             |
| Projected benefit obligation   | \$ 568.4                                                                                  | \$ 606.1    | \$ 568.4                                                                                        | \$ 519.1    |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 513.4                                                                                     | 530.5       | 513.4                                                                                           | 455.7       |
| Fair value of plan assets      | 448.5                                                                                     | 448.0       | 448.5                                                                                           | 372.6       |

The Company's funding policy for its funded pension plans is based upon the greater of: (i) annual service cost, administrative expenses and a seven year amortization of any funded deficit or surplus relative to the projected pension benefit obligations or (ii) local statutory requirements. The Company's funding policy is subject to certain statutory regulations with respect to annual minimum and maximum company contributions. Plan benefits for the nonqualified plans are paid as they come due. In 2010, the Company expects to pay contributions of between \$30.0 million and \$40.0 million for its U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and between \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million for its other postretirement plan (unaudited).

**Fair Value of Plan Assets**

The Company measures the fair value of plan assets based on the prices that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are based on a three-tier hierarchy described in Note 13, Fair Value Measurements.

The table below presents total plan assets by investment category as of December 31, 2009 and the classification of each investment category within the fair value hierarchy with respect to the inputs used to measure fair value:

|                                | <b>Total</b>  | <b>Level 1</b> | <b>Level 2</b> | <b>Level 3</b> |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                | (in millions) |                |                |                |
| <b>Cash and Equivalents</b>    | \$ 7.1        | \$ 7.1         | \$             | \$             |
| <b>Equity Securities</b>       |               |                |                |                |
| U.S. large-cap growth          | 41.5          | 41.5           |                |                |
| U.S. mid-cap growth            | 16.2          | 16.2           |                |                |
| U.S. small-cap growth          | 16.0          | 16.0           |                |                |
| U.S. large-cap index           | 29.7          | 29.7           |                |                |
| U.S. large-cap value           | 39.8          | 39.8           |                |                |
| International equities         | 156.1         | 156.1          |                |                |
| <b>Fixed Income Securities</b> |               |                |                |                |
| U.S. Treasury bonds            | 18.8          |                | 18.8           |                |
| Global corporate bonds         | 160.9         |                | 160.9          |                |
| International government bonds | 2.6           |                | 2.6            |                |
| International bond funds       | 54.5          | 54.5           |                |                |
| Global corporate bond funds    | 7.7           | 7.7            |                |                |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                     |          |          |          |    |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----|
| International government bond funds | 9.0      | 9.0      |          |    |
|                                     | \$ 559.9 | \$ 377.6 | \$ 182.3 | \$ |

F-34

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's target asset allocation for both its U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans' assets is 50% equity securities and 50% fixed income securities. Risk tolerance on invested pension plan assets is established through careful consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status and corporate financial condition. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through annual liability measures, periodic asset/liability studies and quarterly investment portfolio reviews.

**Assumptions**

The weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost and projected benefit obligation were as follows:

|                                                     | Pension Benefits |       |       | Other Postretirement Benefits |       |       |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
|                                                     | 2009             | 2008  | 2007  | 2009                          | 2008  | 2007  |
| <b>For Determining Net Periodic Benefit Cost</b>    |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| U.S. Plans:                                         |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| Discount rate                                       | 6.19%            | 6.25% | 5.90% | 6.05%                         | 6.25% | 5.90% |
| Expected return on plan assets                      | 8.25%            | 8.25% | 8.25% |                               |       |       |
| Rate of compensation increase                       | 4.25%            | 4.25% | 4.25% |                               |       |       |
| Non-U.S. Pension Plans:                             |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| Discount rate                                       | 5.71%            | 5.50% | 4.65% |                               |       |       |
| Expected return on plan assets                      | 6.03%            | 6.82% | 6.43% |                               |       |       |
| Rate of compensation increase                       | 4.01%            | 4.13% | 4.24% |                               |       |       |
| <b>For Determining Projected Benefit Obligation</b> |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| U.S. Plans:                                         |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| Discount rate                                       | 6.04%            | 6.19% |       | 6.09%                         | 6.05% |       |
| Rate of compensation increase                       | 4.25%            | 4.25% |       |                               |       |       |
| Non-U.S. Pension Plans:                             |                  |       |       |                               |       |       |
| Discount rate                                       | 6.16%            | 5.71% |       |                               |       |       |
| Rate of compensation increase                       | 3.25%            | 4.01% |       |                               |       |       |

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported as other postretirement benefits. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

|                                                      | 1-Percentage-Point Increase | 1-Percentage-Point Decrease |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                                      | (in millions)               |                             |
| Effect on total service and interest cost components | \$ 0.8                      | \$ (0.6)                    |
| Effect on postretirement benefit obligation          | 7.9                         | (6.3)                       |

The assumed annual health care cost trend rate for the retiree health plan was 9% for 2009, gradually decreasing to 5% in 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter.

For the U.S. qualified pension plan, the expected return on plan assets was determined using a building block approach that considers diversification and rebalancing for a long-term portfolio of invested assets. Historical market returns are studied and long-term historical relationships between equities and fixed income are preserved in a manner consistent with the widely-accepted capital market principle that assets with higher



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

volatility generate a greater return over the long run. Current market factors such as inflation and interest rates are also evaluated before long-term capital market assumptions are determined. The Company's U.S. pension plan assets are managed by outside investment managers using a total return investment approach whereby a mix of equities and debt securities investments are used to maximize the long-term rate of return on plan assets. The intent of this strategy is to minimize plan expenses by outperforming plan liabilities over the long run. The Company's overall expected long-term rate of return on assets for 2010 is 8.25% for its U.S. funded pension plan.

For non-U.S. funded pension plans, the expected rate of return was determined based on asset distribution and assumed long-term rates of returns on fixed income instruments and equities. The Company's non-U.S. pension plans' assets are also managed by outside investment managers using a total return investment approach using a mix of equities and debt securities investments to maximize the long-term rate of return on the plans' assets. The Company's overall expected long-term rate of return on assets for 2010 is 5.85% for its non-U.S. funded pension plans.

***Estimated Future Benefit Payments***

Estimated benefit payments over the next 10 years for the Company's U.S. and major non-U.S. pension plans and retiree health plan are as follows:

|             | <b>Pension<br/>Benefits</b> | <b>Other<br/>Postretirement<br/>Benefits</b> |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|             | (in millions)               |                                              |
| 2010        | \$ 17.9                     | \$ 1.1                                       |
| 2011        | 19.9                        | 1.2                                          |
| 2012        | 22.0                        | 1.3                                          |
| 2013        | 24.4                        | 1.5                                          |
| 2014        | 26.7                        | 1.7                                          |
| 2015 - 2019 | 184.1                       | 12.0                                         |
|             | <b>\$ 295.0</b>             | <b>\$ 18.8</b>                               |

***Savings and Investment Plan***

The Company has a Savings and Investment Plan, which allows all U.S. employees to become participants upon employment. In 2009, 2008 and 2007, participants' contributions, up to 4% of compensation, generally qualified for a 100% Company match. Effective February 13, 2009, the Company reduced the 100% Company match to up to 2% of compensation. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company increased the 100% Company match to up to 3% of compensation. Company contributions are used to purchase various investment funds at the participants' discretion. The Company's cost of the plan was \$8.1 million in 2009, \$16.9 million in 2008 and \$13.8 million in 2007.

In addition, the Company has a Company sponsored retirement contribution program under the Savings and Investment Plan, which provides all U.S. employees hired after September 30, 2002 with at least six months of service and certain other employees who previously elected to participate in the Company sponsored retirement contribution program under the Savings and Investment Plan, a Company provided retirement contribution of 5% of annual pay if they are employed on the last day of each calendar year. Participating employees who receive the 5% Company retirement contribution do not accrue benefits under the Company's defined benefit pension plan.



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's cost of the retirement contribution program under the Savings and Investment Plan was \$16.9 million, \$17.7 million and \$10.4 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

**Note 11: Employee Stock Plans**

The Company has an incentive award plan that provides for the granting of non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, restricted stock and restricted stock units to officers, key employees and non-employee directors.

Stock option grants to officers and key employees under the incentive award plan are generally granted at an exercise price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant, generally expire ten years after their original date of grant and generally become vested and exercisable at a rate of 25% per year beginning twelve months after the date of grant. Restricted share awards to officers and key employees generally become fully vested and free of restrictions four years from the date of grant, except for restricted stock grants pursuant to the Company's management bonus plan, which generally become fully vested and free of restrictions two years from the date of grant.

Under the terms of the incentive award plan, each eligible non-employee director is granted non-qualified stock options on the date of each regular annual meeting of stockholders at which the directors are to be elected. Non-qualified stock options to non-employee directors become fully vested and exercisable one year from the date of grant. In addition, each eligible non-employee director receives a restricted share award upon election, reelection or appointment to the board of directors. Restricted share awards to non-employee directors generally vest and become free of restrictions at the rate of 33<sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>% per year beginning twelve months after the date of grant.

At December 31, 2009, the aggregate number of shares available for future grant under the incentive award plan for stock options and restricted share awards was approximately 16.3 million shares.

**Share-Based Award Activity and Balances**

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity:

|                                                                          | 2009                                                             |                                          | 2008                   |                                          | 2007                   |                                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                                                          | Number<br>of<br>Shares                                           | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Number<br>of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Number<br>of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price |
|                                                                          | (in thousands, except option exercise price and fair value data) |                                          |                        |                                          |                        |                                          |
| Outstanding, beginning of year                                           | 21,238                                                           | \$ 48.96                                 | 18,695                 | \$ 44.50                                 | 20,241                 | \$ 41.03                                 |
| Options granted                                                          | 5,790                                                            | 40.73                                    | 4,643                  | 63.33                                    | 4,067                  | 59.07                                    |
| Options exercised                                                        | (1,835)                                                          | 35.68                                    | (1,511)                | 34.35                                    | (3,920)                | 35.08                                    |
| Options cancelled                                                        | (296)                                                            | 52.01                                    | (589)                  | 57.41                                    | (1,693)                | 59.88                                    |
| Outstanding, end of year                                                 | 24,897                                                           | 47.99                                    | 21,238                 | 48.96                                    | 18,695                 | 44.50                                    |
| Exercisable, end of year                                                 | 16,628                                                           | 48.98                                    | 11,481                 | 40.90                                    | 9,434                  | 36.76                                    |
| Weighted average per share fair value of options granted during the year |                                                                  | \$15.44                                  |                        | \$19.82                                  |                        | \$17.27                                  |



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised in 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$35.9 million, \$39.2 million and \$106.2 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average remaining contractual life of options outstanding and options exercisable are 6.5 years and 5.6 years, respectively, and based on the Company's closing year-end stock price of \$63.01 at December 31, 2009, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable are \$380.4 million and \$239.5 million, respectively. Upon exercise of stock options, the Company generally issues shares from treasury.

The following table summarizes the Company's restricted share activity:

|                                            | 2009                   |                                                 | 2008                   |                                                 | 2007                   |                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                                            | Number<br>of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant-Date<br>Fair Value | Number<br>of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant-Date<br>Fair Value | Number<br>of<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant-Date<br>Fair Value |
| (in thousands, except fair value data)     |                        |                                                 |                        |                                                 |                        |                                                 |
| Restricted share awards, beginning of year | 678                    | \$ 52.12                                        | 559                    | \$ 49.56                                        | 525                    | \$ 43.27                                        |
| Shares granted                             | 455                    | 42.95                                           | 362                    | 57.38                                           | 201                    | 59.22                                           |
| Shares vested                              | (304)                  | 46.49                                           | (210)                  | 53.71                                           | (131)                  | 39.25                                           |
| Shares cancelled                           | (15)                   | 58.96                                           | (33)                   | 56.34                                           | (36)                   | 49.19                                           |
| Restricted share awards, end of year       | 814                    | 48.99                                           | 678                    | 52.12                                           | 559                    | 49.56                                           |

The total fair value of restricted shares that vested was \$12.7 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$7.7 million in 2007.

***Valuation and Expense Recognition of Share-Based Awards***

The Company accounts for the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based awards made to the Company's employees and directors based on the estimated fair value of the awards.

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense by award type for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

|                                               | 2009          | 2008    | 2007    |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
|                                               | (in millions) |         |         |
| Employee and director stock options           | \$ 131.2      | \$ 62.2 | \$ 54.5 |
| Employee and director restricted share awards | 12.1          | 11.0    | 11.3    |
| Stock contributed to employee benefit plans   | 8.6           | 19.9    | 15.9    |
| Pre-tax share-based compensation expense      | 151.9         | 93.1    | 81.7    |
| Income tax benefit                            | (50.9)        | (31.8)  | (29.0)  |
| Net share-based compensation expense          | \$ 101.0      | \$ 61.3 | \$ 52.7 |



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes pre-tax share-based compensation expense by expense category for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively:

|                                          | 2009          | 2008    | 2007    |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
|                                          | (in millions) |         |         |
| Cost of sales                            | \$ 12.1       | \$ 8.9  | \$ 7.4  |
| Selling, general and administrative      | 101.6         | 61.4    | 55.0    |
| Research and development                 | 38.2          | 22.8    | 19.3    |
| Pre-tax share-based compensation expense | \$ 151.9      | \$ 93.1 | \$ 81.7 |

Share-based compensation expense for 2009 includes \$78.6 million of pre-tax compensation expense from stock option modifications related to the 2009 restructuring plan, including incremental pre-tax compensation expense of \$11.0 million due to the change in fair value from the modifications, consisting of \$5.0 million of cost of sales, \$52.6 million in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$21.0 million in research and development expenses.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the original grant date. The determination of fair value using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables, including expected stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, expected dividends and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Stock options granted during 2009, 2008 and 2007 were valued using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

|                                 | 2009   | 2008   | 2007   |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Expected volatility             | 39.82% | 26.89% | 26.17% |
| Risk-free interest rate         | 1.64%  | 3.49%  | 4.52%  |
| Expected dividend yield         | 0.40%  | 0.40%  | 0.49%  |
| Expected option life (in years) | 5.71   | 5.71   | 4.95   |

The Company estimates its stock price volatility based on an equal weighting of the Company's historical stock price volatility and the average implied volatility of at-the-money options traded in the open market. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates for the appropriate term of the Company's stock options. The Company does not target a specific dividend yield for its dividend payments but is required to assume a dividend yield as an input to the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company's history and an expectation of future dividend amounts. The expected option life assumption is estimated based on actual historical exercise activity and assumptions regarding future exercise activity of unexercised, outstanding options.

The Company recognizes share-based compensation cost over the vesting period using the straight-line single option method. Share-based compensation expense is recognized only for those awards that are ultimately expected to vest. An estimated forfeiture rate has been applied to unvested awards for the purpose of calculating compensation cost. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. These estimates are revised, if necessary, in future periods if actual forfeitures differ from the estimates. Changes in forfeiture estimates impact compensation cost in the period in which the change in estimate occurs.

As of December 31, 2009, total compensation cost related to non-vested stock options and restricted stock not yet recognized was approximately \$104.7 million, which is expected to be recognized over the next



---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

48 months (31 months on a weighted-average basis). The Company has not capitalized as part of inventory any share-based compensation costs because such costs were negligible as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

**Note 12: Financial Instruments**

In the normal course of business, operations of the Company are exposed to risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company addresses these risks through controlled risk management that includes the use of derivative financial instruments to economically hedge or reduce these exposures. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company has not experienced any losses to date on its derivative financial instruments due to counterparty credit risk.

To ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of its interest rate and foreign exchange hedge positions, the Company continually monitors its interest rate swap positions and foreign exchange forward and option positions both on a stand-alone basis and in conjunction with its underlying interest rate and foreign currency exposures, from an accounting and economic perspective.

However, given the inherent limitations of forecasting and the anticipatory nature of the exposures intended to be hedged, the Company cannot assure that such programs will offset more than a portion of the adverse financial impact resulting from unfavorable movements in either interest or foreign exchange rates. In addition, the timing of the accounting for recognition of gains and losses related to mark-to-market instruments for any given period may not coincide with the timing of gains and losses related to the underlying economic exposures and, therefore, may adversely affect the Company's consolidated operating results and financial position.

***Interest Rate Risk Management***

The Company's interest income and expense is more sensitive to fluctuations in the general level of U.S. interest rates than to changes in rates in other markets. Changes in U.S. interest rates affect the interest earned on cash and equivalents, interest expense on debt as well as costs associated with foreign currency contracts. For a discussion of the Company's interest rate swap activities, see Note 7, Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt.

***Foreign Exchange Risk Management***

Overall, the Company is a net recipient of currencies other than the U.S. dollar and, as such, benefits from a weaker dollar and is adversely affected by a stronger dollar relative to major currencies worldwide. Accordingly, changes in exchange rates, and in particular a strengthening of the U.S. dollar, may negatively affect the Company's consolidated revenues or operating costs and expenses as expressed in U.S. dollars.

From time to time, the Company enters into foreign currency option and forward contracts to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with foreign exchange rate changes to allow management to focus its attention on its core business issues. Accordingly, the Company enters into various contracts which change in value as foreign exchange rates change to economically offset the effect of changes in the value of foreign currency assets and liabilities, commitments and anticipated foreign currency denominated sales and operating expenses. The Company enters into foreign currency option and forward contracts in amounts between minimum and maximum anticipated foreign exchange exposures, generally for periods not to exceed 18 months. The Company does not designate these derivative instruments as accounting hedges.

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company uses foreign currency option contracts, which provide for the sale or purchase of foreign currencies to offset foreign currency exposures expected to arise in the normal course of the Company's business. While these instruments are subject to fluctuations in value, such fluctuations are anticipated to offset changes in the value of the underlying exposures.

Probable but not firmly committed transactions are comprised of sales of products and purchases of raw material in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. A majority of these sales are made through the Company's subsidiaries in Europe, Asia Pacific, Canada and Brazil. The Company purchases foreign exchange option contracts to economically hedge the currency exchange risks associated with these probable but not firmly committed transactions. The duration of foreign exchange hedging instruments, whether for firmly committed transactions or for probable but not firmly committed transactions, generally does not exceed 18 months.

All of the Company's outstanding foreign currency option contracts are entered into to reduce the volatility of earnings generated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily earnings denominated in the Canadian dollar, Mexican peso, Australian dollar, Brazilian real, euro and Korean won. Current changes in the fair value of open foreign currency option contracts are recorded through earnings as Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net while any realized gains (losses) on settled contracts are recorded through earnings as Other, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized realized gains on settled foreign currency option contracts of \$10.6 million, \$10.6 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. The premium costs of purchased foreign exchange option contracts are recorded in Other current assets and amortized to Other, net over the life of the options.

All of the Company's outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts are entered into to offset the change in value of certain intercompany receivables or payables that are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The realized and unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency forward contracts and the revaluation of the foreign denominated intercompany receivables or payables are recorded through Other, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized total realized and unrealized (losses) gains from foreign exchange forward contracts of \$(11.0) million, \$19.1 million and \$(14.5) million, respectively.

The fair value of outstanding foreign exchange option and forward contracts, collectively referred to as foreign currency derivative financial instruments, are recorded in Other current assets and Accounts payable, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, foreign currency derivative assets associated with the foreign exchange option contracts of \$14.0 million and \$24.3 million, respectively, were included in Other current assets. At December 31, 2009, net foreign currency derivative assets associated with the foreign exchange forward contracts of \$1.0 million were included in Other current assets. At December 31, 2008, net foreign currency derivative liabilities associated with the foreign exchange forward contracts of \$0.9 million were included in Accounts payable.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the notional principal and fair value of the Company's outstanding foreign currency derivative financial instruments were as follows:

|                                                                                           | 2009                  |               | 2008                  |               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|                                                                                           | Notional<br>Principal | Fair<br>Value | Notional<br>Principal | Fair<br>Value |
|                                                                                           | (in millions)         |               |                       |               |
| Foreign currency forward exchange contracts<br>(Receive U.S. dollar/pay foreign currency) | \$ 86.7               | \$ 0.8        | \$ 112.2              | \$ (3.6)      |
| Foreign currency forward exchange contracts<br>(Pay U.S. dollar/receive foreign currency) | 47.9                  | 0.2           | 63.3                  | 2.7           |
| Foreign currency sold put options                                                         | 296.2                 | 14.0          | 216.5                 | 24.3          |



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The notional principal amounts provide one measure of the transaction volume outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and do not represent the amount of the Company's exposure to market loss. The estimates of fair value are based on applicable and commonly used pricing models using prevailing financial market information as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The amounts ultimately realized upon settlement of these financial instruments, together with the gains and losses on the underlying exposures, will depend on actual market conditions during the remaining life of the instruments.

**Other Financial Instruments**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company's other financial instruments included cash and equivalents, trade receivables, equity investments, accounts payable and borrowings. The carrying amount of cash and equivalents, trade receivables and accounts payable approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The fair value of marketable equity investments, notes payable and long-term debt were estimated based on quoted market prices and interest rates. The fair value of non-marketable equity investments which represent investments in start-up technology companies or partnerships that invest in start-up technology companies, are estimated based on the fair value and other information provided by these ventures.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's other financial instruments at December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

|                             | 2009            |            | 2008            |            |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
|                             | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
|                             | (in millions)   |            |                 |            |
| Cash and equivalents        | \$ 1,947.1      | \$ 1,947.1 | \$ 1,110.4      | \$ 1,110.4 |
| Non-current investments:    |                 |            |                 |            |
| Marketable equity           |                 |            | 0.6             | 0.6        |
| Non-marketable equity       | 5.1             | 5.1        | 5.3             | 5.3        |
| Notes payable               | 18.1            | 18.1       | 4.4             | 4.4        |
| Long-term debt              | 874.0           | 926.3      | 885.3           | 860.9      |
| Long-term convertible notes | 617.3           | 651.4      | 685.2           | 712.9      |

The Company sold all of its marketable equity investments in the third quarter of 2009 and recognized a pre-tax loss of \$0.7 million. Marketable equity investments include unrealized holding losses, net of tax, of \$1.4 million at December 31, 2008, which are included as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the consolidated balance sheet. In July 2009, the Company sold a non-marketable equity investment in connection with a third-party tender offer for the business underlying the equity investment and recognized a \$25.3 million pre-tax gain. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized unrealized pre-tax holding gains (losses) related to changes in the fair value of marketable equity investments of \$2.9 million, \$(5.8) million and \$0.8 million, respectively, as a component of Other comprehensive income (loss).

**Concentration of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk principally consist of trade receivables. Wholesale distributors, major retail chains and managed care organizations account for a substantial portion of trade receivables. This risk is limited due to the number of customers comprising the Company's customer base, and their geographic dispersion. At December 31, 2009, no single customer represented more than 10% of trade receivables, net. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are performed and, generally, no collateral is required. The Company has purchased an insurance policy intended to reduce the

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Company's exposure to potential credit risks associated with certain U.S. customers. To date, no claims have been made against the insurance policy. The Company maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have not exceeded management's estimates.

**Note 13: Fair Value Measurements**

The Company measures fair value based on the prices that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are based on a three-tier hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs for which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

As of December 31, 2009, the Company has certain assets and liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. These include commercial paper and foreign time deposits classified as cash equivalents, other cash equivalents, foreign exchange derivatives and the interest rate swap with a \$300.0 million notional amount. These assets and liabilities are classified in the table below in one of the three categories of the fair value hierarchy described above.

|                                         | Total      | Level 1<br>(in millions) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| <b>Assets</b>                           |            |                          |         |         |
| Commercial paper                        | \$ 574.6   | \$ 574.6                 | \$      | \$      |
| Foreign time deposits                   | 156.9      | 156.9                    |         |         |
| Other cash equivalents                  | 1,108.6    | 1,108.6                  |         |         |
| Foreign exchange derivative assets      | 15.0       |                          | 15.0    |         |
| Interest rate swap derivative asset     | 30.4       |                          | 30.4    |         |
|                                         | \$ 1,885.5 | \$ 1,840.1               | \$ 45.4 | \$      |
| <b>Liabilities</b>                      |            |                          |         |         |
| Interest rate swap derivative liability | \$ 30.4    | \$                       | \$ 30.4 | \$      |

Commercial paper, foreign time deposits and other cash equivalents are valued at cost, which approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. Foreign currency derivative assets and liabilities are valued using quoted forward foreign exchange prices and option volatility at the reporting date. The interest rate swap derivative asset and liability are valued using LIBOR yield curves at the reporting date. The Company believes the fair values assigned to its derivative instruments as of December 31, 2009 are based upon reasonable estimates and assumptions.

**Note 14: Legal Proceedings**

The Company is involved in various lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business.

*Clayworth v. Allergan, et al.*

In August 2004, James Clayworth, R.Ph., doing business as Clayworth Pharmacy, filed a complaint entitled *Clayworth v. Allergan, et al.* in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Alameda. The



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

complaint, as amended, named the Company and 12 other defendants and alleged unfair business practices, including a price fixing conspiracy relating to the reimportation of pharmaceuticals from Canada. The complaint sought damages, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs. In January 2007, the court entered a notice of entry of judgment of dismissal against the plaintiffs, dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint. On the same date, the plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the Court of Appeal of the State of California. In April 2007, the plaintiffs filed an opening brief with the court of appeal. The defendants filed their joint opposition in July 2007, and the plaintiffs filed their reply in August 2007. In May 2008, the court of appeal heard oral arguments and took the matter under submission. In July 2008, the court of appeal affirmed the superior court's ruling, granting the Company's motion for summary judgment. In August 2008, the plaintiffs filed a petition for rehearing with the court of appeal, which the court denied. In September 2008, the plaintiffs filed a petition for review with the Supreme Court of the State of California, which the supreme court granted in November 2008. In February 2009, the plaintiffs filed their opening brief on the merits with the supreme court and defendants filed their answer brief in May 2009. In June 2009, the plaintiffs filed their reply brief on the merits with the supreme court.

*Kramer et al. v. Allergan, Inc.*

In July 2008, a complaint entitled *Kramer, Bryant, Spears, Doolittle, Clark, Whidden, Powell, Moore, Hennessey, Sody, Breeding, Downey, Underwood-Boswell, Reed-Momot, Purdon & Hahn v. Allergan, Inc.* was filed in the Superior Court for the State of California for the County of Orange. The complaint makes allegations relating to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> and *Botox*<sup>®</sup> Cosmetic including failure to warn, manufacturing defects, negligence, breach of implied and express warranties, deceit by concealment and negligent misrepresentation and seeks damages, attorneys' fees and costs. In 2009, the plaintiffs Hennessey, Hahn, Underwood-Boswell, Purdon, Moore, Clark, Reed-Momot and Whidden were dismissed without prejudice. In October 2009, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment against plaintiff Dee Spears, which the court denied in December 2009. The trial related to plaintiff Dee Spears began in January 2010 and is in progress.

*Government Investigations*

In March 2008, the Company received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the U.S. Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Northern District of Georgia, or DOJ. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to the Company's sales and marketing practices in connection with *Botox*<sup>®</sup>. In December 2009, the DOJ served us with a Supplemental Subpoena Duces Tecum requesting the production of additional documents relating to certain of the Company's speaker bureau programs.

In September 2009, Allergan received service of process of an Investigative Demand from the Department of Justice for the State of Oregon. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to our sales and marketing practices in connection with *Aczone*<sup>®</sup>. In January 2010, the Company received service of a Subpoena Duces Tecum from the Attorney General, State of Delaware. The subpoena requests the production of documents relating to the Company's sales and marketing practices in connection with *Restas*<sup>®</sup> and *Acular LS*<sup>®</sup>.

The Company is involved in various other lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. These other matters are, in the opinion of management, immaterial both individually and in the aggregate with respect to the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Because of the uncertainties related to the incurrence, amount and range of loss on any pending litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim, management is currently unable to predict the ultimate outcome of any litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim, determine whether a liability has been incurred or make an estimate of the reasonably possible liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome. The Company believes, however,

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

that the liability, if any, resulting from the aggregate amount of uninsured damages for any outstanding litigation, investigation or claim, other than the inquiry being conducted by the DOJ related to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> discussed herein and in Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, liquidity or results of operations. However, an adverse ruling in a patent infringement lawsuit involving the Company could materially affect its ability to sell one or more of its products or could result in additional competition. In view of the unpredictable nature of such matters, the Company cannot provide any assurances regarding the outcome of any litigation, investigation, inquiry or claim to which the Company is a party or the impact on the Company of an adverse ruling in such matters. As additional information becomes available, the Company will assess its potential liability and revise its estimates.

**Note 15: Commitments and Contingencies**

***Operating Lease Obligations***

The Company leases certain facilities, office equipment and automobiles and provides for payment of taxes, insurance and other charges on certain of these leases. Rental expense was \$57.9 million in 2009, \$50.9 million in 2008 and \$41.9 million in 2007.

Future minimum rental payments under non-cancelable operating lease commitments with a term of more than one year as of December 31, 2009 are as follows: \$51.3 million in 2010, \$38.5 million in 2011, \$22.7 million in 2012, \$16.8 million in 2013, \$12.2 million in 2014 and \$36.7 million thereafter.

***Contingencies***

During 2009 and 2008, the Company incurred approximately \$32.2 million and \$25.7 million, respectively, of costs associated with the DOJ's inquiry related to *Botox*<sup>®</sup> discussed in Note 14, Legal Proceedings. Costs associated with responding to the DOJ investigation during fiscal year 2010 are expected to total approximately \$30.0 million to \$40.0 million (unaudited). Estimated costs include attorneys' fees and costs associated with document production, imaging and information services support. Because of the uncertainties related to the incurrence, amount and range of loss, if any, that might be incurred related to this inquiry, management is currently unable to predict the ultimate outcome or determine whether a liability has been incurred or make an estimate of the reasonably possible liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome associated with this inquiry.

During 2009, the Company established a reserve totaling \$9.9 million for a contingent liability associated with regulation changes resulting from a final rule issued by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) that placed retroactive and prospective pricing limits on certain branded pharmaceuticals under the TRICARE Retail Pharmacy Program, even though such branded pharmaceuticals have not historically been subject to a contract with the Company. The Company is currently in negotiations with the DoD to seek a waiver of retroactive rebates.

**Note 16: Guarantees**

The Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, provides that the Company will indemnify, to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law, each person that is involved in or is, or is threatened to be, made a party to any action, suit or proceeding by reason of the fact that he or she, or a person of whom he or she is the legal representative, is or was a director or officer of the Company or was serving at the request of the Company as a director, officer, employee or agent of another corporation or of a

---

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise. The Company has also entered into contractual indemnity agreements with each of its directors and executive officers pursuant to which, among other things, the Company has agreed to indemnify such directors and executive officers against any payments they are required to make as a result of a claim brought against such executive officer or director in such capacity, excluding claims (i) relating to the action or inaction of a director or executive officer that resulted in such director or executive officer gaining illegal personal profit or advantage, (ii) for an accounting of profits made from the purchase or sale of securities of the Company within the meaning of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or similar provisions of any state law or (iii) that are based upon or arise out of such director's or executive officer's knowingly fraudulent, deliberately dishonest or willful misconduct. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. However, the Company has purchased directors' and officers' liability insurance policies intended to reduce the Company's monetary exposure and to enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. The Company has not previously paid any material amounts to defend lawsuits or settle claims as a result of these indemnification provisions. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification arrangements is minimal.

The Company customarily agrees in the ordinary course of its business to indemnification provisions in agreements with clinical trials investigators in its drug, biologics and medical device development programs, in sponsored research agreements with academic and not-for-profit institutions, in various comparable agreements involving parties performing services for the Company in the ordinary course of business, and in its real estate leases. The Company also customarily agrees to certain indemnification provisions in its discovery and development collaboration agreements. With respect to the Company's clinical trials and sponsored research agreements, these indemnification provisions typically apply to any claim asserted against the investigator or the investigator's institution relating to personal injury or property damage, violations of law or certain breaches of the Company's contractual obligations arising out of the research or clinical testing of the Company's products, compounds or drug candidates. With respect to real estate lease agreements, the indemnification provisions typically apply to claims asserted against the landlord relating to personal injury or property damage caused by the Company, to violations of law by the Company or to certain breaches of the Company's contractual obligations. The indemnification provisions appearing in the Company's collaboration agreements are similar, but in addition provide some limited indemnification for the collaborator in the event of third party claims alleging infringement of intellectual property rights. In each of the above cases, the terms of these indemnification provisions generally survive the termination of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company could be required to make under these provisions is generally unlimited. The Company has purchased insurance policies covering personal injury, property damage and general liability intended to reduce the Company's exposure for indemnification and to enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. The Company has not previously paid any material amounts to defend lawsuits or settle claims as a result of these indemnification provisions. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification arrangements is minimal.

**Note 17: Product Warranties**

The Company provides warranty programs for breast implant sales primarily in the United States, Europe and certain other countries. Management estimates the amount of potential future claims from these warranty programs based on actuarial analyses. Expected future obligations are determined based on the history of product shipments and claims and are discounted to a current value. The liability is included in both current and long-term liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The U.S. programs include the *ConfidencePlus*<sup>®</sup> and *ConfidencePlus*<sup>®</sup> Premier warranty programs. The *ConfidencePlus*<sup>®</sup> program currently provides lifetime product replacement, \$1,200 of financial assistance for surgical procedures within ten years of implantation and

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

contralateral implant replacement. The *ConfidencePlus*<sup>®</sup> Premier program, which normally requires a low additional enrollment fee, generally provides lifetime product replacement, \$2,400 of financial assistance for saline breast implants and \$3,500 of financial assistance for silicone gel breast implants for surgical procedures within ten years of implantation and contralateral implant replacement. The enrollment fee is deferred and recognized as income over the ten year warranty period for financial assistance. The warranty programs in non-U.S. markets have similar terms and conditions to the U.S. programs. The Company does not warrant any level of aesthetic result and, as required by government regulation, makes extensive disclosures concerning the risks of the use of its products and breast implant surgery. Changes to actual warranty claims incurred and interest rates could have a material impact on the actuarial analysis and the Company's estimated liabilities. A large majority of the product warranty liability arises from the U.S. warranty programs. The Company does not currently offer any similar warranty program on any other product.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the change in estimated product warranty liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

|                                                 | 2009           | 2008           |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                                 | (in millions)  |                |
| Balance, beginning of year                      | \$ 29.5        | \$ 28.0        |
| Provision for warranties issued during the year | 5.5            | 6.5            |
| Settlements made during the year                | (5.6)          | (5.8)          |
| Increases in warranty estimates                 |                | 0.8            |
| <b>Balance, end of year</b>                     | <b>\$ 29.4</b> | <b>\$ 29.5</b> |
| Current portion                                 | \$ 6.7         | \$ 6.3         |
| Non-current portion                             | 22.7           | 23.2           |
| <b>Total</b>                                    | <b>\$ 29.4</b> | <b>\$ 29.5</b> |

**Note 18: Business Segment Information**

The Company operates its business on the basis of two reportable segments—specialty pharmaceuticals and medical devices. The specialty pharmaceuticals segment produces a broad range of pharmaceutical products, including: ophthalmic products for glaucoma therapy, ocular inflammation, infection, allergy and chronic dry eye; *Botox*<sup>®</sup> for certain therapeutic and aesthetic indications; skin care products for acne, psoriasis, eyelash growth and other prescription and over-the-counter skin care products; and urologics products. The medical devices segment produces a broad range of medical devices, including: breast implants for augmentation, revision and reconstructive surgery; obesity intervention products, including the *Lap-Band*<sup>®</sup> System and the *Orbera* Intra-gastric Balloon System (formerly known as the *BIB*<sup>®</sup> System); and facial aesthetics products. The Company provides global marketing strategy teams to ensure development and execution of a consistent marketing strategy for its products in all geographic regions that share similar distribution channels and customers.

The Company evaluates segment performance on a revenue and operating income basis exclusive of general and administrative expenses and other indirect costs, restructuring charges, in-process research and development expenses, amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to business combinations and asset acquisitions and certain other adjustments, which are not allocated to the Company's segments for performance assessment by the Company's chief operating decision maker. Other adjustments excluded from the Company's segments for performance assessment represent income or expenses that do not reflect, according to established Company-

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

defined criteria, operating income or expenses associated with the Company's core business activities. Because operating segments are generally defined by the products they design and sell, they do not make sales to each other. The Company does not discretely allocate assets to its operating segments, nor does the Company's chief operating decision maker evaluate operating segments using discrete asset information.

**Operating Segments**

|                                                                                 | 2009          | 2008       | 2007       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|                                                                                 | (in millions) |            |            |
| Product net sales:                                                              |               |            |            |
| Specialty pharmaceuticals                                                       | \$ 3,683.8    | \$ 3,502.3 | \$ 3,105.0 |
| Medical devices                                                                 | 763.8         | 837.4      | 774.0      |
| Total product net sales                                                         | 4,447.6       | 4,339.7    | 3,879.0    |
| Other corporate and indirect revenues                                           | 56.0          | 63.7       | 59.9       |
| Total revenues                                                                  | \$ 4,503.6    | \$ 4,403.4 | \$ 3,938.9 |
| Operating income:                                                               |               |            |            |
| Specialty pharmaceuticals                                                       | \$ 1,370.8    | \$ 1,220.1 | \$ 1,047.9 |
| Medical devices                                                                 | 189.2         | 222.0      | 207.1      |
| Total segments                                                                  | 1,560.0       | 1,442.1    | 1,255.0    |
| General and administrative expenses, other indirect costs and other adjustments | 456.7         | 475.2      | 337.0      |
| In-process research and development                                             |               |            | 72.0       |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets (a)                                  | 124.4         | 129.6      | 99.9       |
| Restructuring charges                                                           | 50.9          | 41.3       | 26.8       |
| Total operating income                                                          | \$ 928.0      | \$ 796.0   | \$ 719.3   |

- (a) Represents amortization of identifiable intangible assets related to business combinations and asset acquisitions and related capitalized licensing costs, as applicable.

Product net sales for the Company's various global product portfolios are presented below. The Company's principal markets are the United States, Europe, Latin America and Asia Pacific. The U.S. information is presented separately as it is the Company's headquarters country. U.S. sales, including manufacturing operations, represented 65.4%, 64.6% and 65.7% of the Company's total consolidated product net sales in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Sales to two customers in the Company's specialty pharmaceuticals segment each generated over 10% of the Company's total consolidated product net sales. Sales to Cardinal Health, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 13.9%, 12.0% and 11.2%, respectively, of the Company's total consolidated product net sales. Sales to McKesson Drug Company for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were 12.8%, 12.3% and 11.1%, respectively, of the Company's total consolidated product net sales. No other country or single customer generates over 10% of the Company's total consolidated product net sales. Other medical devices product net sales consist of sales of ophthalmic surgical devices pursuant to a manufacturing and supply agreement entered into as part of the July 2007 sale of the former Corneal



## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

ophthalmic surgical device business, which was substantially concluded in December 2007. Net sales for the Europe region also include sales to customers in Africa and the Middle East, and net sales in the Asia Pacific region include sales to customers in Australia and New Zealand.

F-48

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Long-lived assets, depreciation and amortization and capital expenditures are assigned to geographic regions based upon management responsibility for such items. The Company estimates that total long-lived assets located in the United States, including manufacturing operations and general corporate assets, are approximately \$3,673.2 million and \$3,781.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Product Net Sales by Product Line**

|                                            | 2009              | 2008<br>(in millions) | 2007              |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Specialty Pharmaceuticals:</b>          |                   |                       |                   |
| Eye Care Pharmaceuticals                   | \$ 2,100.6        | \$ 2,009.1            | \$ 1,776.5        |
| <i>Botox</i> <sup>®</sup> /Neuromodulators | 1,309.6           | 1,310.9               | 1,211.8           |
| Skin Care                                  | 208.0             | 113.7                 | 110.7             |
| Urologics                                  | 65.6              | 68.6                  | 6.0               |
| <b>Total Specialty Pharmaceuticals</b>     | <b>3,683.8</b>    | <b>3,502.3</b>        | <b>3,105.0</b>    |
| <b>Medical Devices:</b>                    |                   |                       |                   |
| Breast Aesthetics                          | 287.5             | 310.0                 | 298.4             |
| Obesity Intervention                       | 258.2             | 296.0                 | 270.1             |
| Facial Aesthetics                          | 218.1             | 231.4                 | 202.8             |
| <b>Core Medical Devices</b>                | <b>763.8</b>      | <b>837.4</b>          | <b>771.3</b>      |
| Other                                      |                   |                       | 2.7               |
| <b>Total Medical Devices</b>               | <b>763.8</b>      | <b>837.4</b>          | <b>774.0</b>      |
| <b>Total product net sales</b>             | <b>\$ 4,447.6</b> | <b>\$ 4,339.7</b>     | <b>\$ 3,879.0</b> |

**Geographic Information**

|                                 | 2009              | Product Net Sales<br>2008<br>(in millions) | 2007              |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| United States                   | \$ 2,906.0        | \$ 2,793.2                                 | \$ 2,541.3        |
| Europe                          | 857.8             | 881.9                                      | 762.5             |
| Latin America                   | 256.0             | 262.5                                      | 224.2             |
| Asia Pacific                    | 254.0             | 222.3                                      | 196.7             |
| Other                           | 169.6             | 168.8                                      | 147.5             |
|                                 | 4,443.4           | 4,328.7                                    | 3,872.2           |
| <b>Manufacturing operations</b> | <b>4.2</b>        | <b>11.0</b>                                | <b>6.8</b>        |
| <b>Total product net sales</b>  | <b>\$ 4,447.6</b> | <b>\$ 4,339.7</b>                          | <b>\$ 3,879.0</b> |





## Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                                                |         |         |         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Net basic earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders       | \$ 2.05 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 1.59 |
| <b>Diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders:</b> |         |         |         |
| Continuing operations                                                          | \$ 2.03 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.58 |
| Discontinued operations                                                        |         |         | (0.01)  |
| Net diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc. stockholders     | \$ 2.03 | \$ 1.84 | \$ 1.57 |

F-50

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

For the year ended December 31, 2009, options to purchase 13.2 million shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$39.67 to \$65.63 per share were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect from the assumed exercise of these options calculated under the treasury stock method would be anti-dilutive. There were no potentially diluted common shares related to the Company's 2026 Convertible Notes for the year ended December 31, 2009, as the Company's average stock price for the period was less than the conversion price of the notes.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, options to purchase 11.4 million shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$47.32 to \$65.63 per share were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect from the assumed exercise of these options calculated under the treasury stock method would be anti-dilutive. There were no potentially diluted common shares related to the Company's 2026 Convertible Notes for the year ended December 31, 2008, as the Company's average stock price for the period was less than the conversion price of the notes.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, options to purchase 4.1 million shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$48.07 to \$65.21 per share were outstanding but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect from the assumed exercise of these options calculated under the treasury stock method would be anti-dilutive.

**Note 20: Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31:

|                                                                                            | 2009                    |                                   |                          | 2008                    |                                   |                          | 2007                    |                                   |                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                                                            | Before<br>Tax<br>Amount | Tax<br>(Expense)<br>or<br>Benefit | Net-of-<br>Tax<br>Amount | Before<br>Tax<br>Amount | Tax<br>(Expense)<br>or<br>Benefit | Net-of-<br>Tax<br>Amount | Before<br>Tax<br>Amount | Tax<br>(Expense)<br>or<br>Benefit | Net-of-<br>Tax<br>Amount |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments                                                   | \$ 38.9                 | \$                                | \$ 38.9                  | \$ (39.5)               | \$                                | \$ (39.5)                | \$ 47.1                 | \$                                | \$ 47.1                  |
| Amortization of deferred holding gains<br>on derivatives designated as cash<br>flow hedges | (1.3)                   | 0.5                               | (0.8)                    | (1.3)                   | 0.5                               | (0.8)                    | (1.3)                   | 0.5                               | (0.8)                    |
| Pension and postretirement benefit plan<br>adjustments:                                    |                         |                                   |                          |                         |                                   |                          |                         |                                   |                          |
| Net gain (loss)                                                                            | 66.7                    | (17.8)                            | 48.9                     | (190.3)                 | 64.5                              | (125.8)                  | 53.7                    | (15.2)                            | 38.5                     |
| Amortization                                                                               | 12.6                    | (3.4)                             | 9.2                      | 6.5                     | (2.6)                             | 3.9                      | 11.4                    | (3.9)                             | 7.5                      |
| Unrealized holding gain (loss) on<br>available-for-sale securities                         | 2.9                     | (1.5)                             | 1.4                      | (5.8)                   | 2.7                               | (3.1)                    | 0.8                     | (0.3)                             | 0.5                      |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)                                                          | \$ 119.8                | \$ (22.2)                         | 97.6                     | \$ (230.4)              | \$ 65.1                           | (165.3)                  | \$ 111.7                | \$ (18.9)                         | 92.8                     |
| Net earnings                                                                               |                         |                                   | 623.8                    |                         |                                   | 564.7                    |                         |                                   | 485.3                    |
| Total comprehensive income                                                                 |                         |                                   | 721.4                    |                         |                                   | 399.4                    |                         |                                   | 578.1                    |
| Comprehensive income attributable to<br>noncontrolling interest                            |                         |                                   | 4.2                      |                         |                                   | 1.2                      |                         |                                   | 0.7                      |
| Comprehensive income attributable to<br>Allergan, Inc.                                     |                         |                                   | \$ 717.2                 |                         |                                   | \$ 398.2                 |                         |                                   | \$ 577.4                 |



**Table of Contents**

**ALLERGAN, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**Note 21: Subsequent Event**

On January 15, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of Serica Technologies, Inc., a medical device company focused on the development of biodegradable silk-based scaffolds for use in tissue regeneration, including breast augmentation, revision and reconstruction and bariatric applications, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$70.0 million.

F-52



**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)**

|                                                                           | <b>First<br/>Quarter</b>                    | <b>Second<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Third<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Total<br/>Year</b> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                                           | <b>(in millions, except per share data)</b> |                           |                          |                           |                       |
| <b>2009</b>                                                               |                                             |                           |                          |                           |                       |
| Product net sales                                                         | \$ 994.6                                    | \$ 1,118.7                | \$ 1,127.8               | \$ 1,206.5                | \$ 4,447.6            |
| Total revenues                                                            | 1,007.2                                     | 1,130.8                   | 1,141.3                  | 1,224.3                   | 4,503.6               |
| Operating income                                                          | 82.1                                        | 292.5                     | 236.5                    | 316.9                     | 928.0                 |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes(a)                | 63.4                                        | 257.0                     | 232.3                    | 295.8                     | 848.5                 |
| Net earnings                                                              | 45.0                                        | 176.8                     | 179.2                    | 222.8                     | 623.8                 |
| Net earnings attributable to Allergan, Inc.                               | 44.7                                        | 176.1                     | 179.0                    | 221.5                     | 621.3                 |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc.<br>stockholders   | 0.15                                        | 0.58                      | 0.59                     | 0.73                      | 2.05                  |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc.<br>stockholders | 0.15                                        | 0.58                      | 0.58                     | 0.72                      | 2.03                  |
| <b>2008</b>                                                               |                                             |                           |                          |                           |                       |
| Product net sales                                                         | \$ 1,061.0                                  | \$ 1,155.8                | \$ 1,081.9               | \$ 1,041.0                | \$ 4,339.7            |
| Total revenues                                                            | 1,076.6                                     | 1,172.0                   | 1,098.2                  | 1,056.6                   | 4,403.4               |
| Operating income                                                          | 166.0                                       | 209.0                     | 237.4                    | 183.6                     | 796.0                 |
| Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes(b)                | 149.5                                       | 189.9                     | 233.0                    | 189.8                     | 762.2                 |
| Net earnings                                                              | 107.9                                       | 143.8                     | 166.0                    | 147.0                     | 564.7                 |
| Net earnings attributable to Allergan, Inc.                               | 107.7                                       | 143.4                     | 165.4                    | 146.6                     | 563.1                 |
| Basic earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc.<br>stockholders   | 0.35                                        | 0.47                      | 0.54                     | 0.48                      | 1.85                  |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Allergan, Inc.<br>stockholders | 0.35                                        | 0.47                      | 0.54                     | 0.48                      | 1.84                  |

(a) Includes 2009 pre-tax charges for the following items:

|                                                                                                                                                     | <b>First</b> | <b>Second</b> | <b>Quarter<br/>Third<br/>(in millions)</b> | <b>Fourth</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets                                                                                                          | \$ 38.6      | \$ 35.5       | \$ 36.0                                    | \$ 36.2       | \$ 146.3     |
| Restructuring charges                                                                                                                               | 42.1         | 1.0           | 4.2                                        | 3.6           | 50.9         |
| Compensation expense from stock option modifications<br>related to the 2009 restructuring plan                                                      | 77.0         | 0.6           | 0.7                                        | 0.3           | 78.6         |
| Termination benefits, asset impairments and accelerated<br>depreciation costs related to the phased closure of the<br>Arklow manufacturing facility | 4.5          | 7.2           | 2.8                                        |               | 14.5         |
| Contribution to The Allergan Foundation                                                                                                             |              |               | 18.0                                       |               | 18.0         |
| External costs associated with responding to the U.S.<br>Department of Justice subpoena                                                             | 7.8          | 7.4           | 8.4                                        | 8.6           | 32.2         |
| Upfront payment for technology that has not achieved<br>regulatory approval                                                                         |              |               | 10.0                                       |               | 10.0         |
| Non-cash interest expense associated with amortization of<br>convertible debt discount                                                              | 6.5          | 5.9           | 6.0                                        | 6.1           | 24.5         |
| Loss on extinguishment of convertible debt                                                                                                          | 5.3          |               |                                            |               | 5.3          |

Edgar Filing: ALLERGAN INC - Form 10-K

|                                                                  |        |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Gain on settlement of a manufacturing and distribution agreement | (14.0) | (14.0) |
| Gain on investments, net                                         | (24.6) | (24.6) |

F-53

**Table of Contents****ALLERGAN, INC.****QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)**

(b) Includes 2008 pre-tax charges for the following items:

|                                                                                                                                               | Quarter |         |                        |         | Total    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                                                                                                                               | First   | Second  | Third<br>(in millions) | Fourth  |          |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets                                                                                                    | \$ 34.9 | \$ 35.8 | \$ 39.3                | \$ 40.9 | \$ 150.9 |
| Restructuring charges (reversal)                                                                                                              | 28.4    | 9.4     | (0.2)                  | 3.7     | 41.3     |
| Integration costs                                                                                                                             | 0.6     | 1.3     | 0.1                    | 0.2     | 2.2      |
| Termination benefits, asset impairments and accelerated depreciation costs related to the phased closure of the Arklow manufacturing facility | 0.7     | 0.3     | 4.8                    | 4.2     | 10.0     |
| Esprit fair market value inventory adjustment rollout                                                                                         | 6.7     | 5.0     |                        |         | 11.7     |
| External costs associated with responding to the U.S. Department of Justice subpoena                                                          |         | 9.0     | 6.7                    | 10.0    | 25.7     |
| Upfront payments for technologies that have not achieved regulatory approval                                                                  |         | 13.9    | 6.3                    | 48.5    | 68.7     |
| Settlement of a distribution agreement in Korea                                                                                               |         |         |                        | 13.2    | 13.2     |
| Impairment of intangible asset                                                                                                                |         |         |                        | 5.6     | 5.6      |
| Non-cash interest expense associated with amortization of convertible debt discount                                                           | 6.1     | 6.2     | 6.3                    | 6.3     | 24.9     |

F-54

**Table of Contents****SCHEDULE II****ALLERGAN, INC.****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007**

| <b>Allowance for Doubtful Accounts<br/>Deducted from Trade Receivables</b> | <b>Balance at<br/>Beginning<br/>of<br/>Year</b> | <b>Additions(a)</b> | <b>Deductions(b)<br/>(in millions)</b> | <b>Other(c)</b> | <b>Balance<br/>at End<br/>of Year</b> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2009                                                                       | \$ 31.4                                         | \$ 10.8             | \$ (11.9)                              | \$              | \$ 30.3                               |
| 2008                                                                       | 21.4                                            | 12.6                | (2.6)                                  |                 | 31.4                                  |
| 2007                                                                       | 15.8                                            | 5.3                 | (3.4)                                  | 3.7             | 21.4                                  |

(a) Provision charged to earnings.

(b) Accounts written off, net of recoveries.

(c) Allowance for doubtful accounts acquired as part of the Esprit and Cornéal acquisitions, net of amounts disposed as part of discontinued operations, as applicable.

F-55