

INPHI Corp
Form 10-K
March 07, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number 001-34942

Inphi Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

77-0557980
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

3945 Freedom Circle, Suite 1100,

Santa Clara, California 95054

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (408) 217-7300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class	Name of Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☒ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes ☐ No ☒

The Registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, first traded on the New York Stock Exchange on November 11, 2010. Accordingly, the Registrant's common stock was not trading publicly on June 30, 2010. As of February 23, 2011, the aggregate market value of the Registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$ 288.8 million, based on the closing price of the common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for that date.

The total number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, as of February 23, 2011 was 25,388,810.

Table of Contents

INPHI CORPORATION
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	9
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	24
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	24
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	25
<u>Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)</u>	
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	26
<u>Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u>	29
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	42
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	43
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	75
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	75
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	75
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	75
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	79
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	89
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	91
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	93
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	94

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report, the terms may, might, will, objective, intend, should, could, can, would, expect, believe, estimate, predict, potential, plan, or the negative of these terms, and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are statements that relate to future periods and include statements regarding our anticipated trends and challenges in our business and the markets in which we operate, including the market for 40G and 100G high-speed analog semiconductor solutions, our plans for future products, such as our isolation memory buffer or iMB, clock and data recovery, or CDR, and serializer/deserializer, or SERDES, products, and enhancements of existing products, our expectations regarding our expenses and revenue, including our expectations that our research and development, sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses may increase in absolute dollars, our anticipated cash needs and our estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for additional financing, our anticipated growth strategies, our ability to retain and attract customers, particularly in light of our dependence on a limited number of customers for a substantial portion of our revenue, the anticipated costs and benefits of our recent acquisition of Winyatek Technology Inc., and our expectations regarding competition as more and larger semiconductor companies enter our markets and as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those risks discussed below, as well as factors affecting our quarterly results, our ability to manage our growth, our ability to sustain or increase profitability, demand for our solutions, the effect of declines in average selling prices for our products, our ability to compete, our ability to rapidly develop new technology and introduce new products, our ability to safeguard our intellectual property, trends in the semiconductor industry and fluctuations in general economic conditions, and the risks set forth throughout this Report, including the risks set forth under Item 1A., Risk Factors. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

All references to Inphi, we, us or our mean Inphi Corporation.

Inphi®, iMB and the Inphi logo are trademarks or service marks owned by Inphi. All other trademarks, service marks and trade names appearing in this report are the property of their respective owners.

Overview

Our Company

We are a fabless provider of high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications and computing markets. Our analog semiconductor solutions provide high signal integrity at leading-edge data speeds while reducing system power consumption. Our semiconductor solutions are designed to address bandwidth bottlenecks in networks, maximize throughput and minimize latency in computing environments and enable the rollout of next generation communications and computing infrastructures. Our solutions provide a vital high-speed interface between analog signals and digital information in high-performance systems such as telecommunications transport systems, enterprise networking equipment, datacenters and enterprise servers, storage platforms, test and measurement equipment and military systems. We provide 40G and 100G high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications market and high-speed memory interface solutions for the computing market. We have a broad product portfolio with 17 product lines and over 170 products as of December 31, 2010.

We leverage our proprietary high-speed analog signal processing expertise and our deep understanding of system architectures to address data bottlenecks in current and emerging communications, enterprise network, computing and storage architectures. We develop these solutions as a result of our competitive strengths, including our system-level simulation capabilities, analog design expertise, strong relationships with industry leaders, extensive broad process technology experience and high-speed package modeling and design expertise. We use our core technology and strength in high-speed analog design to enable our customers to deploy next generation communications and computing systems that operate with high performance at high speed. We believe we are at the forefront of developing semiconductor solutions that deliver 100G speeds throughout the network infrastructure, including core, metro and the datacenter. Furthermore, our analog signal processing expertise enables us to improve throughput in computing systems. For example, some of our computing products enable up to four times the memory capacity on server platforms while using the current generation of memory devices.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

We have ongoing, informal collaborative discussions with industry and technology leaders such as Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Alcatel-Lucent, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. and Intel Corporation to design architectures and products that solve bandwidth bottlenecks in existing and next generation communications and computing systems. Although we do not have any formal agreement

Table of Contents

with these entities, we engage in informal discussions with these entities with respect to anticipated technological challenges, next generation customer requirements and industry conventions and standards. We help define industry conventions and standards within the markets we target by collaborating with technology leaders, original equipment manufacturers or OEMs, systems manufacturers and standards bodies. Our products are designed into systems sold by OEMs, including Agilent Technologies, Inc., Alcatel-Lucent, Cisco Systems, Inc., Danaher Corporation, Dell Inc., EMC Corporation, Hewlett-Packard Company, Huawei, International Business Machines Corporation and Oracle Corporation. We believe we are one of a limited number of suppliers to these OEMs, and in some cases we may be the sole supplier for certain applications. We sell both directly to these OEMs and to other intermediary systems or module manufacturers that, in turn, sell to these OEMs.

Our Business

Our semiconductor solutions leverage our deep understanding of high-speed analog signal processing and our system architecture knowledge to address data bottlenecks in current and emerging network architectures. We design and develop our products for the communications and computing markets, which typically have two to three year design cycles, and product life cycles of 10 or more years. We believe our leadership position in developing high-speed analog semiconductors is a result of the following core strengths:

System-Level Simulation Capabilities. We design our high-speed analog semiconductor solutions to be critical components in complex systems. In order to understand and solve system problems, we work closely with systems vendors to develop proprietary component, channel and system simulation models. We use these proprietary simulation and validation tools to accurately predict system performance prior to fabricating the semiconductor or alternately, to identify and optimize critical semiconductor parameters to satisfy customer system requirements. We use these simulation and validation capabilities to reduce our customers' time to market and engineering investments, thus enabling us to establish differentiated design relationships with our customers.

Analog Design Expertise. We believe that we are a leader in developing broadband analog semiconductors operating at high frequencies of up to 100 GHz. High-speed analog circuit design is extremely challenging because, as frequencies increase, semiconductors are increasingly sensitive to temperature, power supply noise, process variation and interaction with neighboring circuit elements. Development of components that work robustly at high frequencies requires an understanding of analog circuit design, including electromagnetic theory and practical experience in implementation and testing. Our analog design expertise has enabled us to design and commercially ship the first 18 GHz track-and-hold amplifier, 28 GHz linear transimpedance amplifier, 40 GHz transimpedance amplifier and 50 GHz multiplexer, or MUX and demultiplexer, or DEMUX components.

Strong Relationships with Industry Leaders. We develop many of our high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for applications and systems that are driven by industry leaders in the communications and computing markets. Through our established relationships with industry leaders, we have repeatedly demonstrated the ability to address their technological challenges. As a result, we are designed into several of their current systems and believe we are well-positioned to develop high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for their emerging architectures. For instance, our high-speed memory interface designs have been validated for Intel's Xeon® Core i7® and next generation platforms. We have ongoing, informal collaborative discussions with communication companies such as Alcatel-Lucent and Huawei to address their next generation 100G efforts, although we have not entered into formal agreements with these entities. Specifically, we engage in informal discussions with these entities with respect to anticipated technological challenges, next generation customer requirements and industry conventions and standards. As a result of our development efforts with industry leaders, we help define industry conventions and standards within the markets we target by collaborating with technology leaders, OEMs and systems manufacturers, as well as standards bodies such as the Joint Electronic Device Engineering Councils, or JEDEC, and the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, or IEEE, and the Optical Internetworking Forum, or OIF, to establish industry standards.

Broad Process Technology. We employ process technology experts, device technologists and circuit designers who have extensive experience in many process technologies including complementary metal oxide semiconductor, or CMOS, silicon germanium, or SiGe and III-V technologies such as gallium arsenide, or GaAs or indium phosphide, or InP. We have developed specific internal models and design kits for each process to support a uniform design methodology across all of our semiconductor solutions. For example, our products using 40 nanometer CMOS technology require development of accurate models for sub-circuits such as integrated phase lock loop, or PLLs, varactors and inductors. As another example, for III-V materials-based processes, in-house model development is a necessity and we believe also provides a substantial competitive advantage because these processes have

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

complex material and device interactions. Combined with our fabless manufacturing strategy, our design expertise, proprietary model libraries and uniform design methodology allow us to use the best possible materials and substrates to design and develop our semiconductor solutions. We believe that our ability to design high-speed analog semiconductors in a wide range of materials and process technologies allows us to provide superior performance, power, cost and reliability for a specific set of market requirements.

Table of Contents

High-Speed Package Modeling and Design. We have developed deep expertise in high-speed package modeling and design, since introducing the first high-speed 50 GHz MUX and DEMUX product in 2001. At high frequencies, the interaction between an analog device, its package and the external environment can significantly affect product performance. Accurately modeling and developing advanced packaging allows semiconductor solutions to address this challenge. Due to the advanced nature of this work, there is a limited supply of engineers with experience in high-speed package modeling and design, and therefore this required expertise can be difficult to acquire for companies that have not invested in developing such a skill set. We have developed an infrastructure to simulate electrical, mechanical and thermal properties of devices and packages that we integrate within our semiconductor design process and implement at our third-party packaging providers. Modeling is an inherently iterative process, and since our model libraries are used extensively by our circuit designers, the accuracy and value of these models increases over time. Our current packaging and modeling techniques enable us to deliver semiconductors that are energy efficient, offer high-speed processing and enable advanced signal integrity, all in a small footprint.

We believe that our system-level simulation capabilities, our analog design and broad process technology design capabilities as well as our strengths in packaging enable us to differentiate ourselves by delivering advanced high-speed analog signal processing solutions. For example, we believe we have successfully demonstrated the feasibility of our next generation 100G Ethernet architecture well ahead of our competitors. Within the server market, we have applied our analog signal processing expertise to develop our iMB™ technology, which is designed to expand the memory capacity in existing server and computing platforms. Adoption of the iMB™ allows up to four times the memory capacity to be installed in a server platform, while using the current generation of memory devices.

We believe the key benefits that our solutions provide to our customers are as follows:

High Performance. Our high-speed analog semiconductor solutions are designed to meet the specific technical requirements of our customers in their respective end-markets. In many cases, our close design relationships and deep engineering expertise put us in a position where we are one of a limited group of semiconductor vendors that can provide the necessary solution. For instance, in the broadband communications market, we believe our products achieve the highest signal integrity and attain superior signal transmission distance at required error-free or low error rates. In the computing market, we believe our products achieve industry leading data transfer rates at the smallest die size.

Low Power and Small Footprint. In each of the end markets that we serve, the power budget of the overall system is a key consideration for systems designers. Power consumption greatly impacts system operation cost, footprint and cooling requirements, and is increasingly becoming a point of focus for our customers. We believe that our high speed analog signal processing solutions enable our customers to implement system architectures that reduce overall system power consumption. We also believe that, at high frequencies, our high-speed analog semiconductor devices typically consume less power than competitors' standard designs, which often incorporate power-consuming digital signal processing to perform data transfer functions, thereby further reducing overall system power consumption. In addition, in many of our applications, we are able to design and deliver semiconductors that have a smaller footprint and therefore reduce the overall system size.

Faster Time to Market. Our customers compete in markets that require high-speed, reliable semiconductors that can be integrated into their systems as soon as new market opportunities develop. To meet our customers' time-to-market requirements, we work closely with them early in their design cycles and are actively involved in their development processes. Over the past nine years, we have developed methodologies and simulation environments that accurately predict the behavior of complex integrated circuits within various communications systems. In addition, we have developed an extensive internal library of proven building block circuits such as amplifiers, phase frequency detectors and transmitters that are reused to shorten design cycles and reduce risk.

Products

Our products address bandwidth bottlenecks throughout the cloud computing and network communications infrastructure, as depicted in the illustration below. For instance, our high-speed memory interface products can be found in servers where they allow CPUs to better utilize available memory resources. In addition, our products find application in devices such as dense wavelength division multiplexers that enable core and aggregation networks.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, we had more than 170 products across 17 product lines, including products that have commercially shipped, products for which we have shipped engineering samples and products under development, that perform a wide range of functions such as amplifying, encoding, multiplexing, demultiplexing, retiming and buffering data and clock signals at speeds up to 100 Gbps. These products are key enablers for servers, routers, switches, storage and other equipment that process, store and transport data traffic. Our products are also used in test and measurement equipment and military radar systems that capture and process high-speed and ultra broadband signals. We introduced 8 new products in 2010. We design and develop our products for the communications and computing markets, which typically have two to three year design cycles, and product life cycles as long as 10 years or more.

In 2009, we successfully introduced and began to ship a new product in production which we identify as product number INSSTE32882-GS04, or the GS04 product, and which consists of an integrated PLL and register buffer. Sales of the GS04 product comprised 18% and 43% of our total revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2010, we also began to ship in production volume a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer, which is shipping in the form of product number INSSTE32882LV-GS02, or the GS02 product. The GS02 product has been launched and is currently in full commercial production and is shipping in commercial volume. Sales of the GS02 product comprised 32% of our total revenue in 2010. There were no other products that generated more than 10% of our total revenue in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Table of Contents

The table below lists our products, their application speed in gigabits per second, or Gbps or G, and functional description.

Product Line	Speed	Description	Application
Clock and Data Recovery (CDR)	100G	Recovers the clock from high-speed signals; used to retune the signal prior to re-transmitting to ensure the highest signal integrity	Enables the next generation of small form factor 100G Ethernet modules, line cards and backplane applications
Clock fanout	10G to 50G	Provides replication and buffering of high-speed clock signals	Typically used to distribute a high-speed clock to multiple chips in a system
Demultiplexer (DEMUX)	10G to 50G	De-serializes a high-speed data stream to multiple lower speed data streams for further signal processing	Typically used in high-speed data acquisition applications
D Flip Flops	10G to 50G	Retimes the input signal to deliver optimal signal integrity	Typically used in high-speed pattern generation applications
Differential Amplifiers	10G	Amplifies differential signals and drives high-speed analog-to-digital converters	Typically used to amplify linear broadband signals or drive high-speed analog-to-digital converters for data acquisition applications
Differential Encoders	10G	Provides differential encoding function for Differential Phase Shift Keying (DPSK) transmission	Typically used in 10 Gbps ultra long haul optical transceivers
Isolation Memory Buffer (iMB™)	1.6G	Provides critical high-speed interface between the central processing unit (CPU) and memory	Architecture adopted by the Joint Electronic Device Engineering Council as an industry standard
Latched Comparator	10G to 50G	Used as a high-speed 1-bit analog-to-digital converter	Typically used in high-speed data acquisition applications
Logic Gates	10G to 50G	Standard logic gates used as general-purpose building blocks for high-speed data processing	Typically used in test and measurement applications
Modulator Driver	40G to 100G	Amplifies a small signal to 8 volts (or higher) output voltage in order to drive optical modulators for very long distance data transmission	Typically used in optical transmission systems and test and measurement equipment
Multiplexer (MUX)	10G to 50G	Serializes multiple data streams to a high-speed data stream prior to transmission	Typically used in high-speed pattern generation applications
Phase-Lock Loop (PLL)*	1.86G	Provides critical high-speed interface between CPU and memory	Typically used for all but the lowest capacity modules in order to install sufficient memory in computing and storage platforms
Prescalers	10G to 50G	Divides the high frequency clock to a lower frequency clock	Typically used in test and measurement, military and ultra long haul optical transmission equipment
Register Buffers*	1.86G	Regenerates a CPU's command and address signals	Typically used for all but the lowest capacity modules in order to install sufficient memory in computing and storage platforms
Return-to-Zero (RZ) Converter	10G	Converts a Non-Return-to-Zero (NRZ) digital bit stream to RZ format	Typically used in 10 Gbps ultra long haul optical transceivers
Serializer-Deserializer (SERDES)	100G	Combines a serializer, deserializer, equalizer and CDR functions on one chip	Enables the next generation of high density 100G Ethernet linecards
Transimpedance Amplifier (TIA)	10G to 100G	Amplifies small currents generated by a photodetector for further signal processing	Typically used in optical transceivers for Ethernet, synchronous optical networking, dense wavelength division multiplexing, as well as other optical receiver applications

* Product number INSSTE32882-GS04, or the GS04 product, consists of an integrated PLL and register buffer. Sales of the GS04 product comprised 18% and 43% of our total revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2010, a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer started shipping in volume as product number INSSTE32882LV-GS02. Sales of the GS02 product comprised 32% of our total revenue in 2010.

Table of Contents

Each of the products listed in the table above are currently in commercial production except for our iMB product, for which we are currently shipping engineering samples and expect to commence commercial production in 2011, and our CDR and SERDES products, which are under development. We currently expect to commence shipments of engineering samples of our CDR and SERDES products in 2011, and commercial production of these products in 2012.

Customers

We sell our products directly to OEMs and indirectly to OEMs through module manufacturers, ODMs and sub-systems providers. We work closely with technology leaders, including microprocessor and communications equipment companies, to design architectures and products that help solve bandwidth bottlenecks in and between systems. These technology leaders often design our products into reference designs, which they provide to their customers and suppliers. For example, in the server market we work closely with major CPU manufacturers to address the bottleneck between their CPU and the increasing amount of memory attached to it. These CPU manufacturers then provide their server CPU customers and memory module partners with a validation report, including validation of our memory interface products. These server OEMs and memory module companies then design our memory interface products into their production systems. Ultimately, our sales into these servers are to memory module companies, including Hynix, Micron, Samsung and others. In the networking market, we work closely with OEMs to deliver high performance communication links. These OEMs design our product into their systems and then require their ODM and electronics manufacturing services suppliers to purchase and use that specific product from us. We also work directly with module manufacturers to design our products into their modules, which they sell to OEMs.

We work closely with our customers throughout design cycles that often last two to three years and we are able to develop long-term relationships with them as our technology becomes embedded in their products. As a result, we believe we are well-positioned to not only be designed into their current systems, but also to continually develop next generation high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for their future products. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold our products to more than 160 customers.

Sales to customers in Asia accounted for 80%, 77% and 64% of our total revenue in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Because many of our customers or their OEM manufacturers are located in Asia, we anticipate that a majority of our future revenue will continue to come from sales to that region. Although a large percentage of our sales are made to customers in Asia, we believe that a significant number of the systems designed by these customers and incorporating our semiconductor products are then sold to end users outside Asia.

We currently rely, and expect to continue to rely, on a limited number of customers for a significant portion of our revenue. In the year ended December 31, 2010, Samsung accounted for 34% of our total revenue, and our 10 largest customers collectively accounted for 76% of our total revenue. In addition, sales directly and through distributors to Micron accounted for 11% of our total revenue in the year ended December 31, 2010. Samsung directly accounted for 36% of our total revenue and sales directly and through distributors to Micron accounted for 17% of our total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009. No other single customer directly or indirectly accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue in 2010 or 2009.

Sales and Marketing

Our design cycle from initial engagement to volume shipment is typically two to three years, with product life cycles in the markets we serve ranging from two to 10 years or more. For many of our products, early engagement with our customers' technical staff is necessary for success. To ensure an adequate level of early engagement, our application and development engineers work closely with our customers to identify and propose solutions to their systems challenges.

In addition to our direct customers, we work closely with technology leaders such as Intel and AMD for the computing and storage markets and Alcatel-Lucent, Cisco, Huawei for the networking and communications market to anticipate and solve next generation challenges facing our customers. As part of the sales and product development process, we often design our products in close collaboration with these industry leaders and help define their architecture. We also participate actively in setting industry standards with organizations such as IEEE, JEDEC and OIF to have a voice in the definition of future market trends.

We sell our products worldwide through multiple channels, including our direct sales force and a network of sales representatives and distributors. For the year ended December 31, 2010, 79% of our revenue was generated by our direct sales team and third-party sales representatives. We operate direct sales offices in Japan, Korea, Singapore, Taiwan and the United States and employ sales personnel that cover our direct customers and manage our channel partners. We utilize two sales representatives and two distributors in Asia, a distributor in Europe, a distributor in Israel, nine sales representatives in North America and a distributor in Japan. Our channel network includes more than 100 sales professionals to support our products and customers, including seven in Japan, 21 in Asia (other than Japan), 62 in North America and 26 in Europe, the Middle East and Africa, or EMEA. All of these sales professionals are sales agents and are employed by our distributors and sales

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

representatives except for 10 sales agents who are our direct employees, including two in Japan, three in Asia, four in North America and one in EMEA. We believe these distributors and sales representatives have the requisite technical experience in our target markets and are able to leverage existing relationships and

Table of Contents

understanding of our customers' products to effectively sell our products. Given the breadth of our target markets, customers and products, we provide our direct and indirect sales teams with regular training and share product information with our customers and sales team using web-based tools.

Manufacturing

We operate a fabless business model and use third-party foundries and assembly and test manufacturing contractors to manufacture, assemble and test our semiconductor products. We also inspect and test parts in our Westlake Village, California, facility. This outsourced manufacturing approach allows us to focus our resources on the design, sale and marketing of our products. In addition, we believe outsourcing many of our manufacturing and assembly activities provides us the flexibility needed to respond to new market opportunities, simplifies our operations and significantly reduces our capital requirements.

We subject our third-party manufacturing contractors to qualification requirements in order to meet the high quality and reliability standards required of our products. We carefully qualify each of our partners and processes before applying the technology to our products. Our engineers work closely with our foundries and other contractors to increase yield, lower manufacturing costs and improve product quality.

Wafer Fabrication. We currently utilize a wide range of semiconductor processes to develop and manufacture our products. Each of our foundries tends to specialize in a particular semiconductor wafer process technology. We choose the semiconductor process and foundry that we believe provides the best combination of performance attributes for any particular product. For most of our products, we utilize a single foundry for semiconductor wafer production. Our principal foundries are Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd., or TSMC, in Taiwan, Sumitomo Electric Device Innovations Inc., or SEDI, in Japan, WIN Semiconductors Corp. in Taiwan, Global Communications Semiconductors, Inc., or GCS, in North America and United Monolithic Semiconductors S.A.S, or UMS, in France.

Package and Assembly. Upon the completion of processing at the foundry, the finished wafers are shipped to our third-party assemblers for packaging and assembly. Currently, our principal packaging and assembly contractors are Orient Semiconductor Electronics Ltd., or OSE in Taiwan, STATS ChipPAC Ltd. in Korea, Signetics Korea Co., Ltd. in Korea, Kyocera Corporation in North America and Japan, and Natel Engineering Co., Inc., in North America.

Test. At the last stage of integrated circuit production, our third-party test service providers test the packaged and assembled integrated circuits. Currently, OSE in Taiwan, STATS ChipPAC in Korea, Signetics in Korea and Presto Engineering in North America are our test partners. We also perform testing in our Westlake Village, California, facility.

We are committed to maintaining the highest level of quality in our products. Our objective is that our products meet all of our customer requirements, are delivered on-time and function reliably throughout their useful lives. As part of our total quality assurance program, our quality management system has been certified to ISO 9001:2008 standards. Our manufacturing partners are also ISO 9001 certified.

Research and Development

We focus our research and development efforts on developing products that address bandwidth bottlenecks in networks and minimize latency in computing environments. We believe that our continued success depends on our ability to both introduce improved versions of our existing products and to develop new products for the markets that we serve. We devote a portion of our resources to expanding our core technology including efforts in system-level simulation, high-speed analog design, supporting a broad range of process technologies and high-speed package modeling and design.

We develop models that are used as an input to a combination of proprietary and commercially available simulation tools. We use these tools to predict overall system performance based on the performance of our product. After our product is manufactured, we perform system measurements and refine our model set to improve the model's accuracy and predictive ability. As a result, our models and simulation tools have improved over time and we have been able to very accurately predict overall system performance prior to fabricating a part.

We have assembled a core team of experienced engineers and systems designers in three design centers located in the United States, the United Kingdom and Taiwan. Our technical team typically has, on average, more than 20 years of industry experience with more than 75% having

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

advanced degrees and more than 25% having Ph.Ds. These engineers and designers are involved in advancing our core technologies, as well as applying these core technologies to our product development activities across a number of areas including telecommunications transport systems, enterprise networking equipment, datacenters and enterprise servers, storage platforms, test and measurement and military systems. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, our research and development expenses were \$23.8 million, \$17.8 million and \$17.5 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Competition

The global semiconductor market in general, and the communications and computing markets in particular, are highly competitive. We expect competition to increase and intensify as more and larger semiconductor companies enter our markets. Increased competition could result in price pressure, reduced profitability and loss of market share, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, revenue and operating results.

Currently, our competitors range from large, international companies offering a wide range of semiconductor products to smaller companies specializing in narrow markets. Our primary competitors include Broadcom Corporation, Hittite Microwave Corporation, Integrated Device Technology, Inc., or IDT, and Texas Instruments Incorporated, as well as other smaller analog signal processing companies. We expect competition in our target markets to increase in the future as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings. In addition, as we continue to develop our 100G semiconductor solutions for enterprise networks, we may face competition from companies such as Broadcom and NetLogic Microsystems, Inc.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on elements both within and outside of our control, including industry and general economic trends. During past periods of downturns in our industry, competition in the markets in which we operate intensified as our customers reduced their purchase orders. Many of our competitors are significantly larger, have greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, customer support and other resources, are more established than we are, and have significantly better brand recognition and broader product offerings with which to withstand similar adverse economic or market conditions in the future. These developments may materially and adversely affect our current and future target markets and our ability to compete successfully in those markets.

We compete or plan to compete in different target markets to various degrees on the basis of a number of principal competitive factors, including:

product performance;

power budget;

features and functionality;

customer relationships;

size;

ease of system design;

product roadmap;

reputation and reliability;

customer support; and

price.

We believe we compete favorably with respect to each of these factors. We maintain our competitive position through our ability to successfully design, develop and market complex high-speed analog solutions for the customers that we serve.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of intellectual property rights, including patents, trade secrets, copyrights and trademarks, and contractual protections, to protect our core technology and intellectual property. As of December 31, 2010, we had 30 issued and allowed patents in the United States and other patent applications pending in the United States. The 30 issued and allowed patents in the United States expire in the years beginning in 2021 through 2027. Many of our issued patents and pending patent applications relate to high-speed circuit and package designs.

We may not receive competitive advantages from any rights granted under our patents, and our patent applications may not result in the issuance of any patents. In addition, any future patent may be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by a third party or found to be unenforceable or invalidated. Others may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our proprietary technologies, duplicate our proprietary technologies or design around patents owned or licensed by us.

In addition to our own intellectual property, we also use third-party licensors for certain technologies embedded in our semiconductor solutions. These are typically non-exclusive contracts provided under paid-up licenses. These licenses are generally perpetual or automatically renewed for so long as we continue to pay any maintenance fees that may be due. To date, maintenance

Table of Contents

fees have not constituted a significant portion of our capital expenditures. We have entered into a number of licensing arrangements pursuant to which we license third-party technologies. We do not believe our business is dependent to any significant degree on any individual third-party license.

We generally control access to and use of our confidential information through the use of internal and external controls, including contractual protections with employees, contractors and customers. We rely in part on United States and international copyright laws to protect our mask work. All employees and consultants are required to execute confidentiality agreements in connection with their employment and consulting relationships with us. We also require them to agree to disclose and assign to us all inventions conceived or made in connection with the employment or consulting relationship.

Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, unauthorized parties may still copy or otherwise obtain and use our software, technology or other information that we regard as proprietary intellectual property. In addition, we intend to expand our international operations, and effective patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may not be available or may be limited in foreign countries.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights and positions, which has resulted in protracted and expensive litigation for many companies. We have in the past received and, particularly as a public company, we expect that in the future we may receive, communications from various industry participants alleging our infringement of their patents, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights. Any lawsuits could subject us to significant liability for damages, invalidate our proprietary rights and harm our business and our ability to compete. Any litigation, regardless of success or merit, could cause us to incur substantial expenses, reduce our sales and divert the efforts of our technical and management personnel. In the event we receive an adverse result in any litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages, seek licenses from third parties, which may not be available on reasonable terms or at all, cease sale of products, expend significant resources to develop alternative technology or discontinue the use of processes requiring the relevant technology.

Employees

At December 31, 2010, we employed 166 full-time equivalent employees, including 93 in research, product development and engineering, 28 in sales and marketing and 19 in general and administrative management and 26 in manufacturing logistics. We consider relations with our employees to be good and have never experienced a work stoppage. None of our employees are either represented by a labor union or subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

Other

We were incorporated in Delaware in November 2000 as TCom Communications, Inc. and changed our name to Inphi Corporation in February 2001. Our principal executive offices are located at 3945 Freedom Circle, Suite 1100, Santa Clara, California 95054. Our telephone number at that location is (408) 217-7300. Our website address is www.inphi.com. Information on our website is not part of this report and should not be relied upon in determining whether to make an investment decision. The inclusion of our website address in this report does not include or incorporate by reference into this report any information on our website.

We electronically file our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended with the SEC. The public may read or copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>. You may obtain a free copy of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports with the SEC on our website.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business

Our revenue and operating results can fluctuate from period to period, which could cause our share price to fluctuate.

Our revenue and operating results have fluctuated in the past and may fluctuate from period to period in the future due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors relating to our business that may contribute to these fluctuations include the following factors, as well as other factors described elsewhere in this report:

the receipt, reduction or cancellation of orders by customers;

fluctuations in the levels of component inventories held by our customers;

Table of Contents

the gain or loss of significant customers;

market acceptance of our products and our customers' products;

our ability to develop, introduce and market new products and technologies on a timely basis;

the timing and extent of product development costs;

new product announcements and introductions by us or our competitors;

incurrence of research and development and related new product expenditures;

fluctuations in sales by module manufacturers who incorporate our semiconductor solutions in their products, such as memory modules;

cyclical fluctuations in our markets;

fluctuations in our manufacturing yields;

significant warranty claims, including those not covered by our suppliers;

changes in our product mix or customer mix;

intellectual property disputes; and

loss of key personnel or the inability to attract qualified engineers.

As a result of these and other factors, the results of any prior quarterly or annual periods should not be relied upon as indications of our future revenue or operating performance. Fluctuations in our revenue and operating results could cause our share price to decline.

We have an accumulated deficit and have incurred net losses in the past. We may incur net losses in the future.

As of December 31, 2010, we had an accumulated deficit of \$34.6 million. We have incurred net losses in each year through 2008. We generated net income (loss) of \$26.1 million, \$7.3 million and \$(3.4) million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We may incur net losses in the future.

We depend on a limited number of customers for a substantial portion of our revenue, and the loss of, or a significant reduction in orders from, one or more of our major customers could negatively impact our revenue and operating results. In addition, if we offer more favorable prices to attract or retain customers, our average selling prices and gross margins would decline.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our 10 largest customers collectively accounted for 76% of our total revenue. Sales directly to Samsung accounted for 34% and 36% of our total revenue and sales directly and through distributors to Micron accounted for 11% and 17% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Some of our customers, including Samsung and Micron, use our products primarily in high-speed memory devices. We believe our operating results for the foreseeable future will continue to depend on sales to a relatively small number of customers. In the future, these customers may decide not to purchase our products at all, may purchase fewer products than they did in the past or may alter their purchasing patterns.

In addition, our relationships with some customers may deter other potential customers who compete with these customers from buying our products. To attract new customers or retain existing customers, we may offer these customers favorable prices on our products. In that event, our average selling prices and gross margins would decline. The loss of a key customer, a reduction in sales to any key customer or our inability to attract new significant customers could negatively impact our revenue and materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

We do not have long-term purchase commitments from our customers and if our customers cancel or change their purchase commitments, our revenue and operating results could suffer.

Substantially all of our sales to date, including sales to Samsung and Micron, have been made on a purchase order basis. We do not have any long-term commitments with any of our customers. As a result, our customers may cancel, change or delay product purchase commitments with little or no notice to us and without penalty. This in turn could cause our revenue to decline and materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

We may face claims of intellectual property infringement, which could be time-consuming, costly to defend or settle and result in the loss of significant rights and which could harm our relationships with our customers and distributors.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by companies that hold patents and other intellectual property rights and that vigorously pursue, protect and enforce intellectual property rights. From time to time, third parties may assert against us and our customers and distributors their patent and other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to our business.

Claims that our products, processes or technology infringe third-party intellectual property rights, regardless of their merit or resolution, could be costly to defend or settle and could divert the efforts and attention of our management and technical personnel. For example, Netlist, Inc. filed suit against us in the United States District Court, Central District of California, in September 2009, alleging that our iMB and certain other memory module components infringe three of Netlist's patents. For more details, see Item 3., Legal Proceedings.

Infringement claims also could harm our relationships with our customers or distributors and might deter future customers from doing business with us. We do not know whether we will prevail in these proceedings given the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in intellectual property litigation. If any pending or future proceedings result in an adverse outcome, we could be required to:

cease the manufacture, use or sale of the infringing products, processes or technology;

pay substantial damages for infringement;

expend significant resources to develop non-infringing products, processes or technology, which may not be successful;

license technology from the third-party claiming infringement, which license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all;

cross-license our technology to a competitor to resolve an infringement claim, which could weaken our ability to compete with that competitor; or

pay substantial damages to our customers or end users to discontinue their use of or to replace infringing technology sold to them with non-infringing technology, if available.

Any of the foregoing results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Winning business is subject to lengthy competitive selection processes that require us to incur significant expenditures prior to generating any revenue or without any guarantee of any revenue related to this business. Even if we begin a product design, a customer may decide to cancel or change its product plans, which could cause us to generate no revenue from a product. If we fail to generate revenue after incurring substantial expenses to develop our products, our business and operating results would suffer.

We are focused on winning more competitive bid processes, known as design wins, that enable us to sell our high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for use in our customers' products. These selection processes typically are lengthy and can require us to incur significant design and development expenditures and dedicate scarce engineering resources in pursuit of a single customer opportunity. We may not win the competitive selection process and may never generate any revenue despite incurring significant design and development expenditures. Failure to obtain a design win could prevent us from offering an entire generation of a product. This could cause us to lose revenue and require us to write off obsolete inventory, and could weaken our position in future competitive selection processes. Even after securing a design win, we may experience delays in generating revenue from our products as a result of the lengthy development cycle typically required. Our customers generally take a considerable amount of time to evaluate our products. Our design cycle from initial engagement to volume shipment is typically two to three years.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

The delays inherent in these lengthy sales cycles increase the risk that a customer will decide to cancel, curtail, reduce or delay its product plans or adopt a competing design from one of our competitors, causing us to lose anticipated revenue. In addition, any delay or cancellation of a customer's plans could materially and adversely affect our financial results, as we may have incurred significant expense without generating any revenue. Finally, our customers' failure to successfully market and sell their products could reduce demand for our products and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we were unable to generate revenue after incurring substantial expenses to develop any of our products, our business would suffer.

Our customers require our products and our third-party contractors to undergo a lengthy and expensive qualification process which does not assure product sales. If we are unsuccessful in or delayed in qualifying any of our products with a customer, our business and operating results would suffer.

Prior to purchasing our products, our customers require that both our products and our third-party contractors undergo extensive qualification processes, which involve testing of our products in the customers' systems, as well as testing for reliability. This

Table of Contents

qualification process may continue for several months. However, qualification of a product by a customer does not assure any sales of the product to that customer. Even after successful qualification and sales of a product to a customer, a subsequent revision in our third party contractors' manufacturing process or our selection of a new supplier may require a new qualification process with our customers, which may result in delays and in our holding excess or obsolete inventory. After our products are qualified, it can take several months or more before the customer commences volume production of components or systems that incorporate our products. Despite these uncertainties, we devote substantial resources, including design, engineering, sales, marketing and management efforts, to qualifying our products with customers in anticipation of sales. If we are unsuccessful or delayed in qualifying any of our products with a customer, sales of those products to the customer may be precluded or delayed, which may impede our growth and cause our business to suffer.

The complexity of our products could result in undetected defects and we may be subject to warranty claims and product liability, which could result in a decrease in customers and revenue, unexpected expenses and loss of market share. In addition, our product liability insurance may not adequately cover our costs arising from products defects or otherwise.

Our products are sold as components or as modules for use in larger electronic equipment sold by our customers. A product usually goes through an intense qualification and testing period performed by our customers before being used in production. We primarily outsource our product testing to third parties and also perform some testing in our Westlake Village, California, facility. We inspect and test parts, or have them inspected and tested in order to screen out parts that may be weak or potentially suffer a defect incurred through the manufacturing process. From time to time, we are subject to warranty or product liability claims that may require us to make significant expenditures to defend these claims or pay damage awards. For example, in September 2010, we were informed of a claim related to repair and replacement costs in connection with shipments of over 4,000 integrated circuits made by us during the summer and fall of 2009. Of these shipments, approximately 4% were later confirmed or suspected to have random manufacturing process anomalies in the wafer die in the product. Based on our standard warranty provisions, we provided replacement parts to the customer for the known and suspected failures that had occurred. In addition, and without informing us, in the fall of 2009, the customer instituted its own larger scale replacement program that covered the replacement of entire subassemblies in which our product was only one component. In September 2010, the customer made an initial claim for approximately \$18 million against us for the costs incurred relative to that program. We believe the amount of the claim is without merit as our warranty liability is contractually limited to the repair or replacement of the affected Inphi products, which, to the extent the customer has requested replacement, has already been completed. A formal claim has yet to be made and discussions with the customer are ongoing. However, claims of this nature are subject to various risks and uncertainties and there can be no assurance that this matter will be resolved without further significant costs to us, including the potential for arbitration or litigation.

Generally, our agreements seek to limit our liability to the replacement of the part or to the revenue received for the product, but these limitations on liability may not be effective or sufficient in scope in all cases. If a customer's equipment fails in use, the customer may incur significant monetary damages including an equipment recall or associated replacement expenses, as well as lost revenue. The customer may claim that a defect in our product caused the equipment failure and assert a claim against us to recover monetary damages. The process of identifying a defective or potentially defective product in systems that have been widely distributed may be lengthy and require significant resources, and we may incur significant replacement costs and contract damage claims from our customers as well as harm to our reputation. In certain situations, circumstances might warrant that we consider incurring the costs or expense related to a recall of one of our products in order to avoid the potential claims that may be raised should the customer reasonably rely upon our product only to suffer a failure due to a design or manufacturing process defect. Defects in our products could harm our relationships with our customers and damage our reputation. Customers may be reluctant to buy our products, which could harm our ability to retain existing customers and attract new customers and our financial results. In addition, the cost of defending these claims and satisfying any arbitration award or judicial judgment with respect to these claims could harm our business prospects and financial condition. Although we carry product liability insurance, this insurance may not adequately cover our costs arising from defects in our products or otherwise.

We rely on our relationships with industry and technology leaders to enhance our product offerings and our inability to continue to develop or maintain such relationships in the future would harm our ability to remain competitive.

We develop many of our semiconductor products for applications in systems that are driven by industry and technology leaders in the communications and computing markets. We also work with OEMs, system manufacturers and standards bodies to define industry conventions and standards within our target markets. We believe these relationships enhance our ability to achieve market acceptance and widespread adoption of our products. If we are unable to continue to develop or maintain these relationships, our semiconductor solutions would become less desirable to our customers, our sales would suffer and our competitive position could be harmed.

If we fail to accurately anticipate and respond to market trends or fail to develop and introduce new or enhanced products to address these trends on a timely basis, our ability to attract and retain customers could be impaired and our competitive position could be harmed.

Table of Contents

We operate in industries characterized by rapidly changing technologies and industry standards as well as technological obsolescence. We have developed products that may have long product life cycles of 10 years or more, as well as other products in more volatile high growth or rapidly changing areas, which may have shorter life cycles of only two to three years. We believe that our future success depends on our ability to develop and introduce new technologies and products that generate new sources of revenue to replace, or build upon, existing product revenue streams that may be dependent upon limited product life cycles. If we are not able to repeatedly introduce, in successive years, new products that ship in volume, our revenue will likely not grow and may decline significantly and rapidly. In 2009, we successfully introduced and began to ship a new product in production which we identify as product number INSSTE32882-GS04, or the GS04 product, and which consists of an integrated phase lock loop, or PLL, and register buffer. Sales of the GS04 product comprised 18% and 43% of our total revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2010, we also began to ship in production volume a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer, which is shipping in the form of product number INSSTE32882LV-GS02, or the GS02 product. Sales of the GS02 product comprised 32% of our total revenue in 2010. There were no other products that generated more than 10% of our total revenue in 2010, 2009 or 2008. As we continued to grow our business in 2010, the GS04 product matured. As a result, sales of the GS04 product are now declining in volume. We currently expect that by 2011 the GS04 product will no longer be material to our total revenue. This underscores the importance of the need for us to continually develop and introduce new products to diversify our revenue base as well as generate new revenue to replace and build upon the success of previously introduced products which may be rapidly maturing.

To compete successfully, we must design, develop, market and sell new or enhanced products that provide increasingly higher levels of performance and reliability while meeting the cost expectations of our customers. The introduction of new products by our competitors, the delay or cancellation of a platform for which any of our semiconductor solutions are designed, the market acceptance of products based on new or alternative technologies or the emergence of new industry standards could render our existing or future products uncompetitive from a pricing standpoint, obsolete and otherwise unmarketable. Our failure to anticipate or timely develop new or enhanced products or technologies in response to technological shifts could result in decreased revenue and our competitors winning design wins. In particular, we may experience difficulties with product design, manufacturing, marketing or certification that could delay or prevent our development, introduction or marketing of new or enhanced products. Although we believe our products are fully compliant with applicable industry standards, proprietary enhancements may not in the future result in full conformance with existing industry standards under all circumstances. Due to the interdependence of various components in the systems within which our products and the products of our competitors operate, customers are unlikely to change to another design, once adopted, until the next generation of a technology. As a result, if we fail to introduce new or enhanced products that meet the needs of our customers or penetrate new markets in a timely fashion, and our designs do not gain acceptance, we will lose market share and our competitive position, very likely on an extended basis, and operating results will be adversely affected.

If sufficient market demand for 100G solutions does not develop or develops more slowly than expected, or if we fail to accurately predict market requirements or market demand for 100G solutions, our business, competitive position and operating results would suffer.

We are currently investing significant resources to develop semiconductor solutions supporting 100G data transmission rates in order to increase the number of such solutions in our product line. If we fail to accurately predict market requirements or market demand for 100G semiconductor solutions, or if our 100G semiconductor solutions are not successfully developed or competitive in the industry, our business will suffer. If 100G networks are deployed to a lesser extent or more slowly than we currently anticipate, we may not realize any benefits from our investment. As a result, our business, competitive position, market share and operating results would suffer.

Our target markets may not grow or develop as we currently expect and are subject to market risks, any of which could materially harm our business, revenue and operating results.

To date, a substantial portion of our revenue has been attributable to demand for our products in the communications and computing markets and the growth of these overall markets. These markets have fluctuated in size and growth in recent times. Our operating results are impacted by various trends in these markets. These trends include the deployment and broader market adoption of next generation technologies, such as 40 gigabits per second, or Gbps or G, and 100G, in communications and enterprise networks, timing of next generation network upgrades, the introduction and broader market adoption of next generation server platforms, timing of enterprise upgrades and the introduction and deployment of high-speed memory interfaces in computing platforms. We are unable to predict the timing or direction of the development of these markets with any accuracy. For example, we expect that the deployment of different types of memory devices for which our iMB product is designed will be substantially dependent on the development of next generation server platforms. We have not generated any significant revenue from our iMB product to date, and if the development or adoption of next generation server platforms is delayed, or if these server platforms do not interoperate with memory devices for which our iMB product is designed, we may not realize revenue from our iMB product. In addition, because some of our products are not limited in the systems or geographic areas in which they may be deployed, we cannot always determine with accuracy how, where or into which applications our products are being deployed. If our target markets do not grow or develop in ways that we currently expect, demand for our semiconductor products may decrease and our business and operating results could suffer.

Table of Contents

We rely on a limited number of third parties to manufacture, assemble and test our products, and the failure to manage our relationships with our third-party contractors successfully could adversely affect our ability to market and sell our products and our reputation. Our revenue and operating results would suffer if these third parties fail to deliver products or components in a timely manner and at reasonable cost or if manufacturing capacity is reduced or eliminated as we may be unable to obtain alternative manufacturing capacity.

We operate an outsourced manufacturing business model. As a result, we rely on third-party foundry wafer fabrication and assembly and test capacity. We also perform testing in our Westlake Village, California, facility. We generally use a single foundry for the production of each of our various semiconductors. Currently, our principal foundries are GCS, SEDI, TSMC, TowerJazz Semiconductor Ltd., UMS and WIN Semiconductors. We also use third-party contract manufacturers for a significant majority of our assembly and test operations, including Kyocera, Natel, OSE, Presto, Signetics and STATS ChipPAC.

Relying on third-party manufacturing, assembly and testing presents significant risks to us, including the following:

failure by us, our customers or their end customers to qualify a selected supplier;

capacity shortages during periods of high demand;

reduced control over delivery schedules and quality;

shortages of materials;

misappropriation of our intellectual property;

limited warranties on wafers or products supplied to us; and

potential increases in prices.

The ability and willingness of our third-party contractors to perform is largely outside our control. If one or more of our contract manufacturers or other outsourcers fails to perform its obligations in a timely manner or at satisfactory quality levels, our ability to bring products to market and our reputation could suffer. For example, if that manufacturing capacity is reduced or eliminated at one or more facilities, including as a response to the recent worldwide decline in the semiconductor industry, or any of those facilities are unable to keep pace with the growth of our business, we could have difficulties fulfilling our customer orders and our revenue could decline. In addition, if these third parties fail to deliver quality products and components on time and at reasonable prices, we could have difficulties fulfilling our customer orders, our revenue could decline and our business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

Additionally, as many of our fabrication and assembly and test contractors are located in the Pacific Rim region, principally in Taiwan, our manufacturing capacity may be similarly reduced or eliminated due to natural disasters, political unrest, war, labor strikes, work stoppages or public health crises, such as outbreaks of H1N1 flu. This could cause significant delays in shipments of our products until we are able to shift our manufacturing, assembly or test from the affected contractor to another third-party vendor. There can be no assurance that alternative capacity could be obtained on favorable terms, if at all.

Our costs may increase substantially if the wafer foundries that supply our products do not achieve satisfactory product yields or quality.

The wafer fabrication process is an extremely complicated process where the slightest changes in the design, specifications or materials can result in material decreases in manufacturing yields or even the suspension of production. From time to time, our third-party wafer foundries have experienced, and are likely to experience manufacturing defects and reduced manufacturing yields related to errors or problems in their manufacturing processes or the interrelationship of their processes with our designs. In some cases, our third-party wafer foundries may not be

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

able to detect these defects early in the fabrication process or determine the cause of such defects in a timely manner. We may incur substantial research and development expense for prototype or development stage products as we qualify the products for production.

Generally, in pricing our semiconductors, we assume that manufacturing yields will continue to increase, even as the complexity of our semiconductors increases. Once our semiconductors are initially qualified with our third-party wafer foundries, minimum acceptable yields are established. We are responsible for the costs of the wafers if the actual yield is above the minimum. If actual yields are below the minimum we are not required to purchase the wafers. The minimum acceptable yields for our new products are generally lower at first and increase as we achieve full production. Unacceptably low product yields or other product manufacturing problems could substantially increase the overall production time and costs and adversely impact our operating results on sales of our products. Product yield losses will increase our costs and reduce our gross margin. In addition to significantly harming our operating

Table of Contents

results and cash flow, poor yields may delay shipment of our products and harm our relationships with existing and potential customers.

We do not have any long-term supply contracts with our contract manufacturers or suppliers, and any disruption in our supply of products or materials could have a material adverse affect on our business, revenue and operating results.

We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with any of our third-party contract manufacturers. We make substantially all of our purchases on a purchase order basis, and our contract manufacturers are not required to supply us products for any specific period or in any specific quantity. We expect that it would take approximately nine to 12 months to transition from our current foundry or assembly services to new providers. Such a transition would likely require a qualification process by our customers or their end customers. We generally place orders for products with some of our suppliers several months prior to the anticipated delivery date, with order volumes based on our forecasts of demand from our customers. Accordingly, if we inaccurately forecast demand for our products, we may be unable to obtain adequate and cost-effective foundry or assembly capacity from our third-party contractors to meet our customers' delivery requirements, or we may accumulate excess inventories. On occasion, we have been unable to adequately respond to unexpected increases in customer purchase orders and therefore, were unable to benefit from this incremental demand. None of our third-party contract manufacturers have provided any assurance to us that adequate capacity will be available to us within the time required to meet additional demand for our products.

Our foundry vendors and assembly and test vendors may allocate capacity to the production of other companies' products while reducing deliveries to us on short notice. In particular, other customers that are larger and better financed than us or that have long-term agreements with our foundry vendor or assembly and test vendors may cause our foundry vendor or assembly and test vendors to reallocate capacity to those customers, decreasing the capacity available to us. We do not have long-term supply contracts with our third-party contract manufacturers and if we enter into costly arrangements with suppliers that include nonrefundable deposits or loans in exchange for capacity commitments, commitments to purchase specified quantities over extended periods or investment in a foundry, our operating results could be harmed. We may not be able to make any such arrangement in a timely fashion or at all, and any arrangements may be costly, reduce our financial flexibility, and not be on terms favorable to us. Moreover, if we are able to secure foundry capacity, we may be obligated to use all of that capacity or incur penalties. These penalties may be expensive and could harm our financial results. To date, we have not entered into such arrangements with our suppliers. If we need another foundry or assembly and test subcontractor because of increased demand, or if we are unable to obtain timely and adequate deliveries from our providers, we might not be able to cost effectively and quickly retain other vendors to satisfy our requirements.

Many of our customers depend on us as the sole source for a number of our products. If we are unable to deliver these products as the sole supplier or as one of a limited number of suppliers, our relationships with these customers and our business would suffer.

A number of our customers do not have alternative sources for our semiconductor solutions and depend on us as the sole supplier or as one of a limited number of suppliers for these products. Since we outsource our manufacturing to third-party contractors, our ability to deliver our products is substantially dependent on the ability and willingness of our third-party contractors to perform, which is largely outside our control. A failure to deliver our products in sufficient quantities or at all to our customers that depend on us as a sole supplier or as one of a limited number of suppliers may be detrimental to their business and, as a result, our relationship with the customer would be negatively impacted. If we are unable to maintain our relationships with these customers after such failure, our business and financial results may be harmed.

If we are unable to attract, train and retain qualified personnel, particularly our design and technical personnel, we may not be able to execute our business strategy effectively.

Our future success depends on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, including our management, sales and marketing, and finance, and particularly our design and technical personnel. We do not know whether we will be able to retain all of these personnel as we continue to pursue our business strategy. Historically, we have encountered difficulties in hiring qualified engineers because there is a limited pool of engineers with the expertise required in our field. Competition for these personnel is intense in the semiconductor industry. As the source of our technological and product innovations, our design and technical personnel represent a significant asset. The loss of the services of one or more of our key employees, especially our key design and technical personnel, or our inability to attract and retain qualified design and technical personnel, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to effectively manage our growth, and we may need to incur significant expenditures to address the additional operational and control requirements of our growth, either of which could harm our business and operating results.

To effectively manage our growth, we must continue to expand our operational, engineering and financial systems, procedures and controls and to improve our accounting and other internal management systems. This may require substantial managerial and financial resources, and our efforts in this regard may not be successful. Our current systems, procedures and controls may not be adequate to support our future operations. If we fail to adequately manage our growth, or to improve our operational, financial and

Table of Contents

management information systems, or fail to effectively motivate or manage our new and future employees, the quality of our products and the management of our operations could suffer, which could adversely affect our operating results.

We face intense competition and expect competition to increase in the future. If we fail to compete effectively, it could have an adverse effect on our revenue, revenue growth rate, if any, and market share.

The global semiconductor market in general, and the communications and computing markets in particular, are highly competitive. We compete or plan to compete in different target markets to various degrees on the basis of a number of principal competitive factors, including product performance, power budget, features and functionality, customer relationships, size, ease of system design, product roadmap, reputation and reliability, customer support and price. We expect competition to increase and intensify as more and larger semiconductor companies enter our markets. Increased competition could result in price pressure, reduced profitability and loss of market share, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, revenue and operating results.

Currently, our competitors range from large, international companies offering a wide range of semiconductor products to smaller companies specializing in narrow markets. Our primary competitors include Broadcom Corporation, Hittite Microwave Corporation, Integrated Device Technology, Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated, as well as other analog signal processing companies. We expect competition in the markets in which we participate to increase in the future as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings. In addition, as we develop our 100G semiconductor solution, we may face competition from companies such as Broadcom and NetLogic Microsystems, Inc.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on elements both within and outside of our control, including industry and general economic trends. During past periods of downturns in our industry, competition in the markets in which we operate intensified as our customers reduced their purchase orders. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources with which to withstand similar adverse economic or market conditions in the future. These developments may materially and adversely affect our current and future target markets and our ability to compete successfully in those markets.

We use a significant amount of intellectual property in our business. Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property can be difficult and costly and if we are unable to protect our intellectual property, our business could be adversely affected.

Our success depends in part upon our ability to protect our intellectual property. To accomplish this, we rely on a combination of intellectual property rights, including patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets in the United States and in selected foreign countries where we believe filing for such protection is appropriate. Effective protection of our intellectual property rights may be unavailable, limited or not applied for in some countries. Some of our products and technologies are not covered by any patent or patent application, as we do not believe patent protection of these products and technologies is critical to our business strategy at this time. A failure to timely seek patent protection on products or technologies generally precludes us from seeking future patent protection on these products or technologies. We cannot guarantee that:

any of our present or future patents or patent claims will not lapse or be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or abandoned;

our intellectual property rights will provide competitive advantages to us;

our ability to assert our intellectual property rights against potential competitors or to settle current or future disputes will not be limited by our agreements with third parties;

any of our pending or future patent applications will be issued or have the coverage originally sought;

our intellectual property rights will be enforced in jurisdictions where competition may be intense or where legal protection may be weak;

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

any of the trademarks, copyrights, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights that we presently employ in our business will not lapse or be invalidated, circumvented, challenged or abandoned; or

we will not lose the ability to assert our intellectual property rights against or to license our technology to others and collect royalties or other payments.

For example, we filed a complaint against Netlist in Federal District Court in November 2009 alleging that Netlist infringes two of our patents. Netlist asserts in its amended answer to the complaint that it does not infringe the patents, that the patents are invalid and that one of the patents is unenforceable due to inequitable conduct before the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the USPTO. For more details, see Item 3., Legal Proceedings.

In addition, our competitors or others may design around our protected patents or technologies. Effective intellectual property protection may be unavailable or more limited in one or more relevant jurisdictions relative to those protections available in the United

Table of Contents

States, or may not be applied for in one or more relevant jurisdictions. If we pursue litigation to assert our intellectual property rights, an adverse decision in any of these legal actions could limit our ability to assert our intellectual property rights, limit the value of our technology or otherwise negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Unauthorized use of our intellectual property may have occurred or may occur in the future. Although we have taken steps to minimize the risk of this occurring, any such failure to identify unauthorized use and otherwise adequately protect our intellectual property would adversely affect our business. Moreover, if we are required to commence litigation, whether as a plaintiff or defendant, not only would this be time-consuming, but we would also be forced to incur significant costs and divert our attention and efforts of our employees, which could, in turn, result in lower revenue and higher expenses.

We also rely on contractual protections with our customers, suppliers, distributors, employees and consultants, and we implement security measures designed to protect our trade secrets. We cannot assure you that these contractual protections and security measures will not be breached, that we will have adequate remedies for any such breach or that our suppliers, employees or consultants will not assert rights to intellectual property arising out of such contracts.

In addition, we have a number of third-party patent and intellectual property license agreements. Some of these license agreements require us to make one-time payments or ongoing royalty payments. We cannot guarantee that the third-party patents and technology we license will not be licensed to our competitors or others in the semiconductor industry. In the future, we may need to obtain additional licenses, renew existing license agreements or otherwise replace existing technology. We are unable to predict whether these license agreements can be obtained or renewed or the technology can be replaced on acceptable terms, or at all.

Average selling prices of our products often decrease over time, which could negatively impact our revenue and gross margins.

Our operating results may be impacted by a decline in the average selling prices of our semiconductors. If competition increases in our target markets, we may need to reduce the average unit price of our products in anticipation of competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by us or our competitors and for other reasons. If we are unable to offset any reductions in our average selling prices by increasing our sales volumes or introducing new products with higher margins, our revenue and gross margins will suffer. To maintain our revenue and gross margins, we must develop and introduce new products and product enhancements on a timely basis and continually reduce our costs as well as our customers' costs. Failure to do so would cause our revenue and gross margins to decline.

We are subject to order and shipment uncertainties, and differences between our estimates of customer demand and product mix and our actual results could negatively affect our inventory levels, sales and operating results.

Our revenue is generated on the basis of purchase orders with our customers rather than long-term purchase commitments. In addition, our customers can cancel purchase orders or defer the shipments of our products under certain circumstances. Our products are manufactured using semiconductor foundries according to our estimates of customer demand, which requires us to make separate demand forecast assumptions for every customer, each of which may introduce significant variability into our aggregate estimates. It is difficult for us to forecast the demand for our products, in part because of the complex supply chain between us and the end-user markets that incorporate our products. Due to our lengthy product development cycle, it is critical for us to anticipate changes in demand for our various product features and the applications they serve to allow sufficient time for product development and design. We have limited visibility into future customer demand and the product mix that our customers will require, which could adversely affect our revenue forecasts and operating margins. Moreover, because some of our target markets are relatively new, many of our customers have difficulty accurately forecasting their product requirements and estimating the timing of their new product introductions, which ultimately affects their demand for our products. Our failure to accurately forecast demand can lead to product shortages that can impede production by our customers and harm our customer relationships. Conversely, our failure to forecast declining demand or shifts in product mix can result in excess or obsolete inventory. The rapid pace of innovation in our industry could also render significant portions of our inventory obsolete. Excess or obsolete inventory levels could result in unexpected expenses or increases in our reserves that could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In contrast, if we were to underestimate customer demand or if sufficient manufacturing capacity were unavailable, we could forego revenue opportunities, potentially lose market share and damage our customer relationships. In addition, any significant future cancellations or deferrals of product orders or the return of previously sold products due to manufacturing defects could materially and adversely impact our profit margins, increase our write-offs due to product obsolescence and restrict our ability to fund our operations.

We rely on third-party sales representatives and distributors to assist in selling our products. If we fail to retain or find additional sales representatives and distributors, or if any of these parties fail to perform as expected, it could reduce our future sales.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

In 2010, we derived 79% of our total revenue from sales by our direct sales team and third-party sales representatives. In addition, in 2010 and 2009, approximately 21% and 22% of our sales were made through third-party distributors, respectively. Two of

Table of Contents

our distributors, which sell solely to Micron, accounted for 11% and 17% of our total revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. We are unable to predict the extent to which these third-party sales representatives and distributors will be successful in marketing and selling our products. Moreover, many of these third-party sales representatives and distributors also market and sell competing products, which may affect the extent to which they promote our products. Even where our relationships are formalized in contracts, our third-party sales representatives and distributors often have the right to terminate their relationships with us at any time. Our future performance will also depend, in part, on our ability to attract additional third-party sales representatives and distributors who will be able to market and support our products effectively, especially in markets in which we have not previously sold our products. If we cannot retain our current distributors or find additional or replacement third-party sales representatives and distributors, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed. Additionally, if we terminate our relationship with a distributor, we may be obligated to repurchase unsold products. We record a reserve for estimated returns and price credits. If actual returns and credits exceed our estimates, our operating results could be harmed.

The facilities of our third-party contractors and distributors are located in regions that are subject to earthquakes and other natural disasters.

The facilities of our third-party contractors and distributors are subject to risk of catastrophic loss due to fire, flood or other natural or man-made disasters. A number of our facilities and those of our contract manufacturers are located in areas with above average seismic activity and also subject to typhoons and other Pacific storms. Several foundries that manufacture our wafers are located in Taiwan, Japan and California, and a majority of our third-party contractors who assemble and test our products are located in Asia. In addition, our headquarters are located in California. The risk of an earthquake in the Pacific Rim region or California is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines. For example, in 2002 and 2003, major earthquakes occurred in Taiwan. Any catastrophic loss to any of these facilities would likely disrupt our operations, delay production, shipments and revenue and result in significant expenses to repair or replace the facility. In particular, any catastrophic loss at our California locations would materially and adversely affect our business.

We rely on third-party technologies for the development of our products and our inability to use such technologies in the future would harm our ability to remain competitive.

We rely on third parties for technologies that are integrated into our products, such as wafer fabrication and assembly and test technologies used by our contract manufacturers, as well as licensed architecture technologies. If we are unable to continue to use or license these technologies on reasonable terms, or if these technologies fail to operate properly, we may not be able to secure alternatives in a timely manner or at all, and our ability to remain competitive would be harmed. In addition, if we are unable to successfully license technology from third parties to develop future products, we may not be able to develop such products in a timely manner or at all.

Our business would be adversely affected by the departure of existing members of our senior management team and other key personnel.

Our success depends, in large part, on the continued contributions of our senior management team, in particular, the services of Young K. Sohn, our President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as other key personnel, including Dr. Loi Nguyen, one of our founders and our Vice President of Networking, Communications and Multi-Market Products. In February 2011, our Chief Technology Officer resigned and we promoted our Vice President of Engineering for New Business Initiatives to serve as our new Chief Technology Officer. This change could negatively affect our operations and our relationships with our customers, employees and market leaders. In addition, we have not entered into non-compete agreements with members of our senior management team. The loss of any member of our senior management team or key personnel could harm our ability to implement our business strategy and respond to the rapidly changing market conditions in which we operate.

Potential future acquisitions could be difficult to integrate, divert attention of key personnel, disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value and impair our operating results.

As part of our business strategy, we have pursued and may continue to pursue acquisitions in the future that we believe will complement our business, semiconductor solutions or technologies. For example, we recently acquired all of the outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc., a Taiwanese company. Any acquisition involves a number of risks, many of which could harm our business, including:

difficulties in integrating the operations, technologies, products, existing contracts, accounting and personnel of the target company;

realizing the anticipated benefits of any acquisition;

difficulties in transitioning and supporting customers, if any, of the target company;

diversion of financial and management resources from existing operations;

Table of Contents

the price we pay or other resources that we devote may exceed the value we realize, or the value we could have realized if we had allocated the purchase price or other resources to another opportunity;

potential loss of key employees, customers and strategic alliances from either our current business or the target company's business;

assumption of unanticipated problems or latent liabilities, such as problems with the quality of the target company's products;

inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset acquisition costs;

dilutive effect on our stock as a result of any equity-based acquisitions;

inability to successfully complete transactions with a suitable acquisition candidate; and

in the event of international acquisitions, risks associated with accounting and business practices that are different from applicable U.S. practices and requirements.

Acquisitions also frequently result in the recording of goodwill and other intangible assets that are subject to potential impairments, which could harm our financial results. As a result, if we fail to properly evaluate acquisitions or investments, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of any such acquisitions, and we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipate. The failure to successfully evaluate and execute acquisitions or investments or otherwise adequately address these risks could materially harm our business and financial results.

Tax benefits that we receive may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase our costs.

In 2010, we began to expand our international presence to take advantage of the opportunity to recruit additional engineering design talent, as well as to more closely align our operations geographically with our customers and suppliers in Asia. In certain international jurisdictions, we have also entered into agreements with local governments to provide us with, among other things, favorable local tax rates if certain minimum criteria are met. These agreements may require us to meet several requirements as to investment, headcount and activities to retain this status. We currently believe that we will be able to meet all the terms and conditions specified in these agreements. However, if adverse changes in the economy or changes in technology affect international demand for our products in an unforeseen manner or if we fail to otherwise meet the conditions of the local agreements, we may be subject to additional taxes, which in turn would increase our costs.

Changes in our effective tax rate may harm our results of operations. A number of factors may increase our future effective tax rates, including:

the jurisdictions in which profits are determined to be earned and taxed;

the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities;

changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities and in deferred tax valuation allowances;

changes in the value of assets or services transferred or provided from one jurisdiction to another;

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

adjustments to income taxes upon finalization of various tax returns;

increases in expenses not deductible for tax purposes, including write-offs of acquired in-process research and development and impairments of goodwill in connection with acquisitions;

changes in available tax credits;

changes in tax laws or the interpretation of such tax laws, and changes in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; and

a decision to repatriate non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes.

We are subject to additional regulatory compliance requirements, including Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as a result of becoming a public company and our management has limited experience managing a public company.

As a public company, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. The individuals who constitute our management team have limited experience managing a publicly traded company, and limited experience complying with the increasingly complex and changing laws pertaining to public companies. Our management team and

Table of Contents

other personnel will need to devote a substantial amount of time to new compliance initiatives and we may not successfully or efficiently manage our transition into a public company. We expect rules and regulations such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to increase our legal and finance compliance costs and to make some activities more time-consuming and costly. We will need to hire a number of additional employees with public accounting and disclosure experience in order to meet our ongoing obligations as a public company. For example, Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires that our management report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. Section 404 compliance may divert internal resources and will take a significant amount of time and effort to complete. We may not be able to successfully complete the procedures and certification and attestation requirements of Section 404 by the time we will be required to do so. If we fail to do so, or if in the future our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or independent registered public accounting firm determines that our internal controls over financial reporting are not effective as defined under Section 404, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by The New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, or other regulatory authorities. Furthermore, investor perceptions of our company may suffer, and this could cause a decline in the market price of our stock. Irrespective of compliance with Section 404, any failure of our internal controls could have a material adverse effect on our stated results of operations and harm our reputation. If we are unable to implement these changes effectively or efficiently, it could harm our operations, financial reporting or financial results and could result in an adverse opinion on internal controls from our independent auditors.

Our insiders who are significant stockholders may control the election of our board and may have interests that conflict with those of other stockholders.

Our directors and executive officers, together with members of their immediate families and affiliated funds, beneficially owned, in the aggregate, more than 35.5% of our outstanding capital stock as of December 31, 2010. In addition, entities affiliated with Walden International and Tallwood I, L.P. beneficially owned 14.0% and 13.8%, respectively, of our outstanding capital stock as of December 31, 2010. Lip-Bu Tan and Diosdado Banatao, who are affiliated with Walden International and Tallwood I, L.P., respectively, are currently two of the eight members of our board of directors. As a result, acting together, this group has the ability to exercise significant control over most matters requiring our stockholders' approval, including the election and removal of directors and significant corporate transactions.

Risks Related to Our Industry

We may be unable to make the substantial and productive research and development investments which are required to remain competitive in our business.

The semiconductor industry requires substantial investment in research and development in order to develop and bring to market new and enhanced technologies and products. Many of our products originated with our research and development efforts and have provided us with a significant competitive advantage. Our research and development expense was \$23.8 million in 2010, \$17.8 million in 2009 and \$17.5 million in 2008. We are committed to investing in new product development in order to remain competitive in our target markets. We do not know whether we will have sufficient resources to maintain the level of investment in research and development required to remain competitive. In addition, we cannot assure you that the technologies which are the focus of our research and development expenditures will become commercially successful.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected by worldwide economic conditions, as well as political and economic conditions in the countries in which we conduct business.

Our business and operating results are impacted by worldwide economic conditions, including the current European debt crisis. Uncertainty about current global economic conditions may cause businesses to continue to postpone spending in response to tighter credit, unemployment or negative financial news. This in turn could have a material negative effect on the demand for our semiconductor products or the products into which our semiconductors are incorporated. Although the United States economy has recently shown signs of recovery, the strength and duration of any economic recovery will be impacted by the European debt crisis and the reaction to any efforts to address the crisis. Multiple factors relating to our international operations and to particular countries in which we operate could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. These factors include:

changes in political, regulatory, legal or economic conditions;

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

restrictive governmental actions, such as restrictions on the transfer or repatriation of funds and foreign investments and trade protection measures, including export duties and quotas and customs duties and tariffs;

disruptions of capital and trading markets;

changes in import or export requirements;

transportation delays;

Table of Contents

civil disturbances or political instability;

geopolitical turmoil, including terrorism, war or political or military coups;

public health emergencies;

differing employment practices and labor standards;

limitations on our ability under local laws to protect our intellectual property;

local business and cultural factors that differ from our customary standards and practices;

nationalization and expropriation;

changes in tax laws;

currency fluctuations relating to our international operating activities; and

difficulty in obtaining distribution and support.

A significant portion of our products are manufactured, assembled and tested outside the United States. Any conflict or uncertainty in these countries, including due to natural disasters, public health concerns, political unrest or safety concerns, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if the government of any country in which our products are manufactured or sold sets technical standards for products manufactured in or imported into their country that are not widely shared, it may lead some of our customers to suspend imports of their products into that country, require manufacturers in that country to manufacture products with different technical standards and disrupt cross-border manufacturing relationships which, in each case, could harm our business.

Changes in current or future laws or regulations or the imposition of new laws or regulations, including new or changed tax regulations, environmental laws and export control laws, or new interpretations thereof, by federal or state agencies or foreign governments could impair our ability to compete in international markets.

Changes in current laws or regulations applicable to us or the imposition of new laws and regulations in the United States or other jurisdictions in which we do business, such as China, Japan, Korea, Singapore and Taiwan, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, we have entered into agreements with local governments to provide us with, among other things, favorable local tax rates if certain minimum criteria are met, as discussed in our risk factor entitled Tax benefits that we received may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would increase our costs. These agreements may require us to meet several requirements as to investment, headcount and activities to retain this status. If we fail to otherwise meet the conditions of the local agreements, we may be subject to additional taxes, which in turn would increase our costs. In addition, potential future U.S. tax legislation could impact the tax benefits we effectively realize under these agreements.

Due to environmental concerns, the use of lead and other hazardous substances in electronic components and systems is receiving increased attention. In response, the European Union passed the Restriction on Hazardous Substances, or RoHS, Directive, legislation that limits the use of lead and other hazardous substances in electrical equipment. The RoHS Directive became effective July 1, 2006. We believe that our current product designs and material supply chains are in compliance with the RoHS Directive. If our product designs or material supply chains are deemed not to be in compliance with the RoHS Directive, we and our third party manufacturers may need to redesign products with components meeting the requirements of the RoHS Directive and we may incur additional expense as well as loss of market share and damage to our

reputation.

In addition, we are subject to export control laws, regulations and requirements that limit which products we sell and where and to whom we sell our products. In some cases, it is possible that export licenses would be required from U.S. government agencies for some of our products in accordance with the Export Administration Regulations and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations. We may not be successful in obtaining the necessary export licenses in all instances. Any limitation on our ability to export or sell our products imposed by these laws would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, changes in our products or changes in export and import laws and implementing regulations may create delays in the introduction of new products in international markets, prevent our customers from deploying our products internationally or, in some cases, prevent the export or import of our products to certain countries altogether. While we are not aware of any other current or proposed export or import regulations which would materially restrict our ability to sell our products in countries such as China, Japan, Korea, Singapore or Taiwan, any change in export or import regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations, or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by these regulations, could result in decreased use of our products by, or in our decreased ability to export or sell our products to, existing or potential customers with international operations. In such event, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We are subject to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry, which has suffered and may suffer from future recessionary downturns.

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and is characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence and price erosion, evolving standards and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry experienced a significant downturn during the current global recession. These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and accelerated erosion of average selling prices. The most recent downturn and any future downturns could negatively impact our business and operating results. Furthermore, any upturn in the semiconductor industry could result in increased competition for access to third-party foundry and assembly capacity. We are dependent on the availability of this capacity to manufacture and assemble our integrated circuits. None of our third-party foundry or assembly contractors has provided assurances that adequate capacity will be available to us in the future.

Our products must conform to industry standards in order to be accepted by end users in our markets.

Our products comprise only a part of larger electronic systems. All components of these systems must uniformly comply with industry standards in order to operate efficiently together. These industry standards are often developed and promoted by larger companies who are industry leaders and provide other components of the systems in which our products are incorporated. In driving industry standards, these larger companies are able to develop and foster product ecosystems within which our products can be used. We work with a number of these larger companies in helping develop industry standards with which our products are compatible. If larger companies do not support the same industry standards that we do, or if competing standards emerge, market acceptance of our products could be adversely affected, which would harm our business.

Some industry standards may not be widely adopted or implemented uniformly, and competing standards may still emerge that may be preferred by our customers. Products for communications and computing applications are based on industry standards that are continually evolving. Our ability to compete in the future will depend on our ability to identify and ensure compliance with these evolving industry standards. The emergence of new industry standards could render our products incompatible with products developed by other suppliers or make it difficult for our products to meet the requirements of certain OEMs. As a result, we could be required to invest significant time and effort and to incur significant expense to redesign our products to ensure compliance with relevant standards. If our products are not in compliance with prevailing industry standards for a significant period of time, we could miss opportunities to achieve crucial design wins. We may not be successful in developing or using new technologies or in developing new products or product enhancements that achieve market acceptance. Our pursuit of necessary technological advances may require substantial time and expense.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

The trading price and volume of our common stock is subject to price volatility.

The trading price of our common stock has experienced wide fluctuations. For example, since our initial public offering the closing price of our common stock ranged from \$15.12 on November 23, 2010 to \$26.63 on February 17, 2011. Volatility in the market price of our common stock may occur in the future. The market price of shares of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to many risk factors listed in this report and others beyond our control, including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our financial condition and operating results;

changes in the economic performance or market valuations of other companies that provide high-speed analog semiconductor solutions;

loss of a significant amount of existing business;

actual or anticipated changes in our growth rate relative to our competitors;

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our competitors' operating results or changes in their growth rates;

issuance of new or updated research or reports by securities analysts;

our announcement of actual results for a fiscal period that are higher or lower than projected results or our announcement of revenue or earnings guidance that is higher or lower than expected;

regulatory developments in our target markets affecting us, our customers or our competitors;

fluctuations in the valuation of companies perceived by investors to be comparable to us;

share price and volume fluctuations attributable to inconsistent trading volume levels of our shares;

Table of Contents

sales or expected sales of additional common stock;

terrorist attacks or natural disasters or other such events impacting countries where we or our customers have operations; and

general economic and market conditions.

Furthermore, the stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected and continue to affect the market prices of equity securities of many companies. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions such as recessions, interest rate changes or international currency fluctuations, may cause the market price of shares of our common stock to decline. In the past, companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have been subject to securities class action litigation. We may be the target of this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation against us could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention from other business concerns, which could seriously harm our business.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they change their recommendations regarding our stock adversely, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

Substantial future sales of our common stock in the public market could cause our stock price to fall.

Sales of our common stock in the public market or the perception that sales could occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline significantly. As of December 31, 2010, we had 25,088,122 shares of common stock outstanding, of which 17,233,838 shares are eligible for sale at various times upon the expiration of lock-up agreements in May 2011 and subject to vesting requirements and the requirements of Rule 144.

Our directors, executive officers and substantially all of our stockholders have agreed with limited exceptions that they will not sell any shares of common stock owned by them without the prior written consent of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., on behalf of the underwriters, until May 9, 2011. At any time and without public notice, Morgan Stanley and Deutsche Bank may in their sole discretion release some or all of the securities from these lock-up agreements prior to the expiration of the lock-up period. As resale restrictions end, the market price of our common stock could decline if the holders of those shares sell them or are perceived by the market as intending to sell them.

We may not be able to obtain capital when desired on favorable terms, if at all, or without dilution to our stockholders and our failure to raise capital when needed could prevent us from executing our growth strategy.

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents, and cash flows from our operating activities, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months. We operate in an industry, however, that makes our prospects difficult to evaluate. It is possible that we may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations or otherwise have the capital resources to meet our future capital needs. If this occurs, we may need additional financing to execute on our current or future business strategies, including to:

invest in our research and development efforts by hiring additional technical and other personnel;

expand our operating infrastructure;

acquire complementary businesses, products, services or technologies; or

otherwise pursue our strategic plans and respond to competitive pressures.

If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of our stockholders could be significantly diluted, and these newly-issued securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing stockholders. If we raise additional funds by obtaining loans from third parties, the terms of those financing arrangements may include negative covenants or other restrictions on our business that could impair our operational flexibility, and would also require us to incur interest expense. We have not made arrangements to obtain additional financing and there is no assurance that additional financing will be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If adequate funds are not available or are not available on

Table of Contents

acceptable terms, if and when needed, our ability to fund our operations, take advantage of unanticipated opportunities, develop or enhance our products, or otherwise respond to competitive pressures could be significantly limited.

Delaware law and our corporate charter and bylaws contain anti-takeover provisions that could delay or discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. These provisions include the following:

the right of our board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of our board of directors;

the classification of our board of directors so that only a portion of our directors are elected each year, with each director serving a three-year term;

the requirement for advance notice for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at a stockholders' meeting;

the ability of our board of directors to alter our bylaws without obtaining stockholder approval;

the ability of our board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with rights set by our board of directors, which rights could be senior to those of common stock;

the required approval of holders of at least two-thirds of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws or amend or repeal the provisions of our certificate of incorporation regarding the election and removal of directors and the ability of stockholders to take action by written consent; and

the elimination of the right of stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders and to take action by written consent.

In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. These provisions may prohibit or restrict large stockholders, in particular those owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from merging or combining with us. These provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and under Delaware law could discourage potential takeover attempts and could reduce the price that investors might be willing to pay for shares of our common stock in the future and result in our market price being lower than it would without these provisions.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently intend to do so for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, you are not likely to receive any dividends on your common stock for the foreseeable future and the success of an investment in shares of our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in their value. There is no guarantee that shares of our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which our stockholders have purchased their shares.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We currently lease our principal executive office in Santa Clara, California, under a lease for 14,578 square feet of office space that expires in July 31, 2015. The total minimum lease payments under this lease are \$2.1 million. We also lease 29,090 square feet of office space in Westlake Village, California under a lease that expires on December 31, 2016. The total minimum lease payments under this lease are \$3.6 million. Our Singapore subsidiary currently leases 2,368 square feet of office space in Singapore under a lease that expires on March 14, 2012. Our United Kingdom subsidiary currently leases office space in Northamptonshire, England under a lease that expires on September 24, 2011. We also lease 8,640 square feet of office space in Hsinchu, Taiwan under a lease that expires on March 31, 2013. We believe that current facilities are sufficient to meet our needs for the foreseeable future. For additional information regarding our obligations under property leases, see Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are currently a party to the following legal proceedings:

Netlist, Inc. v. Inphi Corporation, Case No. 09-cv-6900 (C.D. Cal.)

On September 22, 2009, Netlist filed suit in the United States District Court, Central District of California, or the Court, asserting that we infringe U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537. Netlist filed an amended complaint on December 22, 2009, further asserting that we infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 7,619,912 and 7,636,274, collectively with U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537, the patents-in-suit, and seeking both unspecified monetary damages to be determined and an injunction to prevent further infringement. These infringement claims allege that our iMB and certain other memory module components infringe the patents-in-suit. We answered the amended complaint on February 11, 2010 and asserted that we do not infringe the patents-in-suit and that the patents-in-suit are invalid. We have since filed *inter partes* requests for reexamination with the USPTO asserting that the patents-in-suit are invalid.

On August 27, 2010, the USPTO granted the Request for Inter Partes Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon each of the different issues that we raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO has not, however, accompanied its Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, indicating that such evaluation will be forthcoming at a later time. With respect to the granted reexamination request for U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274, the USPTO will evaluate the validity of this patent in reexamination proceedings.

On September 8, 2010, the USPTO ordered the Inter Partes Request for Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon different issues that we raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO accompanied this Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, and rejected some but not all of claims. In a response dated October 8, 2010, Netlist responded to the USPTO determination by amending some but not all of the claims, adding new claims and making arguments why the claims were not invalid in view of the cited references. We provided rebuttal comments to the USPTO on January 27, 2011, which the USPTO will consider, and the proceeding will continue in accordance with established *inter partes* reexamination procedures.

On September 8, 2010, the USPTO ordered the Inter Partes Request for Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon different issues that we raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO accompanied this Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, and determined that all of the claims were patentable based upon our reexamination. Netlist has not commented upon this Reexamination Order. The USPTO on February 28, 2011 also merged the Proceedings of our Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912, bearing Control No. 90/001,339 with Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding 95/000,578 filed October 20, 2010 on behalf of SMART Modular Technologies, Inc. and Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding 95/000,579 filed October 21, 2010 on behalf of Google, Inc. In each of these other Reexamination Proceedings, the USPTO had indicated that there existed a substantial new question of patentability with respect to certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912, but had not accompanied the Reexamination Orders related thereto with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, indicating that such evaluation would be forthcoming at a later time. The merged Reexamination Proceeding will be conducted in accordance with established procedures for merged Reexamination Proceedings. As part of the merged Reexamination Proceeding, once the USPTO issues a Right of Notice of Appeal, we will have the opportunity to appeal the USPTO determination of our Reexamination Request in accordance with these established procedures for merged Reexamination Proceedings.

The reexamination proceedings could result in a determination that the patents-in-suit, in whole or in part, are valid or invalid, as well as modifications of the scope of the patents-in-suit.

A third party, Sanmina-SCI Corporation, or SSC, has also requested interference proceedings with the USPTO with respect to each of the patents-in-suit. In its April 21, 2010 Request for Continued Examination of U.S. Application No. 11/142,989, or SSC 989 patent application, SSC asserted that it has priority to the inventions claimed by the patents-in-suit and should be granted rights to those inventions. We have entered into an agreement with SSC for a non-exclusive license to those rights, if any, that SSC may obtain to the inventions claimed by the patents-in-suit if the USPTO agrees to commence interference proceedings and if SSC prevails in those proceedings.

The USPTO, in a communication dated July 7, 2010, acknowledged that claims were submitted in a filing made in the SSC 989 patent application to invoke an Interference with each of the patents-in-suit, but has declined to declare an Interference at this time. The July 7, 2010 USPTO communication rejected the claims submitted to invoke the Interference based upon 35 USC 112, with the rejection asserting that these claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Table of Contents

claimed invention. SSC responded to this USPTO communication on December 24, 2010, and a further communication from the USPTO is anticipated.

In connection with the reexamination requests and the interference proceedings, we also filed a motion to stay proceedings with the Court, which was granted on May 18, 2010, whereby the Court stayed the proceedings until at least February 14, 2011, requested that Netlist notify the Court within one week of any action taken by the USPTO in connection with the reexamination or interference proceedings, and requested that the parties file papers by January 31, 2011 stating their position on whether the stay should be extended. We filed our paper on January 31, 2011 stating the reasons we believe the stay should be maintained and Netlist, having been given leave to file its paper later, filed its paper on February 21, 2011. Based on these papers the Court ordered a continued stay of the proceedings until February 24, 2012, that the parties file papers by January 30, 2012 stating their position on whether the stay should be extended, and that Netlist notify the Court within one week of any action taken by the USPTO in the reexamination or interference proceedings. While the Court granted the stay until February 24, 2012, the Court could lift the stay before then. For example, if the USPTO confirms that all claims of the patents-in-suit are patentable, the Court may decide to lift the stay.

If this litigation results in an adverse outcome, we may be required to cease the manufacture, use or sale of any product held to infringe Netlist's patents, including our iMB product, unless and until we or our customers obtain a license from Netlist. A license from Netlist may or may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. An adverse outcome could also result in our having to pay damages for infringement and the expenditure of significant resources to redesign any infringing product, including our iMB product, in a non-infringing manner, which may or may not be successful. To date, we have only sampled our iMB product and, as a result, we have generated very little revenue. Our ability to generate future revenue from our iMB product, could be adversely affected, though it is currently difficult to estimate the level at which this may affect our revenue.

Inphi Corporation v. Netlist, Inc, Case No. 09-cv-8749 (C.D. Cal.).

On November 30, 2009, we filed suit in the United States District Court, Central District of California asserting that Netlist infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,307,863 and 7,479,799, collectively the patents-in-suit, and are seeking both unspecified monetary damages and an injunction to prevent further infringement. Netlist answered the complaint on January 15, 2010 and filed an amended answer on April 22, 2010, asserting that it does not infringe the patents-in-suit, that the patents-in-suit are invalid and that U.S. Patent No. 7,479,799 is unenforceable due to inequitable conduct before the USPTO. Discovery is currently proceeding, and the Court has set a trial date of October 11, 2011.

While we intend to defend and prosecute these lawsuits vigorously, litigation, whether or not determined in our favor or settled, could be costly and time-consuming and could divert our attention and resources, which could adversely affect our business. We are unable to assess the possible outcome of these matters. However, because of the nature and inherent uncertainties of litigation, should the outcome of these actions be unfavorable, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

We are not currently a party to any other material litigation. The semiconductor industry is characterized by frequent claims and litigation, including claims regarding patent and other intellectual property rights as well as improper hiring practices. We may from time to time become involved in litigation relating to claims arising from our ordinary course of business. These claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED) PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market for Registrant's Common Equity

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol **IPHI** and has been since our initial public offering on November 11, 2010. Prior to that date, our common stock was not traded on any public exchange. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock in each quarter since our stock began trading:

Table of Contents

2010	Low	High
Fourth Quarter (from November 11, 2010)	\$ 14.73	\$ 20.94

As of March 3, 2011, we had approximately 189 holders of record of our common stock. This number does not include the number of persons whose shares are in nominee or in street name accounts through brokers.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on shares of our capital stock. We expect to retain all of our earnings to finance the expansion and development of our business and we do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future. Our board of directors will determine future dividends, if any.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

The following sets forth information regarding all unregistered securities sold during the year ended December 31, 2010 and give effect to reflect the 3-for-7 reverse stock split of our outstanding shares of capital stock on November 3, 2010 and the conversion of all preferred stock into common stock effected immediately prior to the completion of our initial public offering:

On various dates between January 1, 2010 and November 16, 2010, the closing of our initial public offering, we issued and sold an aggregate of 395,253 shares of our common stock to employees, directors and consultants pursuant to options granted under 2000 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan, or 2000 Stock Plan. The exercise prices ranged from \$0.70 to \$3.74 per share, for aggregate consideration of \$483,837. *

In April 2010, we granted a restricted stock award for 17,142 shares of our common stock, with a fair value of \$9.29 per share to a member of our Board of Directors.*

In August 2010, we granted a restricted stock award for 17,142 shares of our common stock, with a fair value of \$12.02 per share, to a member of our Board of Directors.*

On June 30, 2010, we issued an aggregate of 313,713 shares of our former Series E preferred stock as part of the consideration to acquire all outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc.**

None of the foregoing transactions involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commission, or any public offering, and the registrant believes that each transaction was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act in reliance on the following exemptions:

- * with respect to these transactions, Rule 701, Rule 506 of Regulation D or Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act as transactions pursuant to a compensatory benefit plan approved by the registrant's board of directors; and
- ** with respect to this transaction, Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act as a transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering. Each recipient of the securities in these transactions represented his or her intention to acquire the securities for investment only and not with a view to, or for resale in connection with, any distribution thereof.

Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

Our initial public offering of 7,820,000 shares of common stock was effected through a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564) that was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 10, 2010. We issued all 7,820,000 shares on November 16, 2010 for gross proceeds of \$93,840,000. The underwriters of the offering were Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Jefferies & Company, Inc., Stifel Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated and Needham & Company, LLC. We paid the underwriters a commission of \$6,568,800 and incurred additional offering expenses of approximately \$2,573,169. After deducting the underwriters' commission and the offering expenses, we received net proceeds of approximately \$84,698,031. No payments for such expenses were made directly or indirectly to (i) any of our directors, officers or their associates, (ii) any person(s) owning 10% or more of any class of our equity securities or (iii) any of our affiliates. All of the net proceeds from the initial public offering remain invested in short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities, as described in our prospectus dated November 10, 2010.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

Information regarding the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans can be found under Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Share Performance Graph

The following information is not deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such a filing.

Set forth below is a line graph showing the cumulative total stockholder return (change in stock price plus reinvested dividends) assuming the investment of \$100 on November 11, 2010 (the day of our initial public offering) in each of our common stock, the S&P 500 Index and PHLX Semiconductor Index for the period commencing on November 11, 2010 and ending on December 31, 2010. The comparisons in the table are required by the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of future performance of our common stock.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read together with Item 7., Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the selected statements of operations data for each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, have been derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this report. The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and the selected statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 have been derived from our audited financial statements not included in this report. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenue	\$ 55,253	\$ 37,617	\$ 32,727	\$ 31,681	\$ 19,741
Revenue from related party ⁽¹⁾	27,940	21,235	10,227	4,556	1,557
Total revenue	83,193	58,852	42,954	36,237	21,298
Cost of revenue ⁽²⁾	29,438	21,269	19,249	16,028	11,244
Gross profit	53,755	37,583	23,705	20,209	10,054
Operating expense:					
Research and development ⁽²⁾	23,781	17,847	17,501	17,332	11,645
Sales and marketing ⁽²⁾	8,823	7,704	6,339	5,157	3,190
General and administrative ⁽²⁾	9,212	3,947	3,169	2,966	2,446
Total operating expense	41,816	29,498	27,009	25,455	17,281
Income (loss) from operations	11,939	8,085	(3,304)	(5,246)	(7,227)
Other income (expense)	(50)	73	(124)	(95)	405
Income (loss) before income taxes	11,889	8,158	(3,428)	(5,341)	(6,822)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes ⁽³⁾	(14,242)	829			
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,131	\$ 7,329	\$ (3,428)	\$ (5,341)	\$ (6,822)
Net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders	\$ 5,240	\$ 130	\$ (3,428)	\$ (5,341)	\$ (6,822)
Earnings per share:					
Basic	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.08	\$ (2.66)	\$ (6.57)	\$ (16.35)
Diluted	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.05	\$ (2.66)	\$ (6.57)	\$ (16.35)
Weighted-average shares used in computing earnings per share:					
Basic	5,086,169	1,668,876	1,289,431	813,290	417,232
Diluted	8,546,537	2,785,277	1,289,431	813,290	417,232

- (1) Revenue from related party consists of revenue from Samsung, which together with associated entities, held over 13% of our outstanding shares of common stock before our initial public offering. After our initial public offering in November 2010, Samsung, together with associated entities, holds less than 10% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Revenue from Samsung for the entire year of 2010 was presented as revenue from related party.

Footnotes continued on the following page.

Table of Contents

	2010	2009	As of December 31, 2008		2007	2006
			(in thousands)			
Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 110,172	\$ 19,061	\$ 9,052	\$ 3,268	\$ 5,587	
Working capital	116,887	20,055	10,721	3,010	7,504	
Total assets	158,957	34,472	20,373	16,190	15,785	
Total liabilities	16,271	11,588	6,558	10,522	6,180	
Convertible preferred stock		77,616	77,616	67,680	67,680	
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 142,686	\$ (54,732)	\$ (63,801)	\$ (62,012)	\$ (58,076)	

Footnotes continued from the prior page.

- (2) Stock-based compensation expense is included in our results of operations as follows:

	2010	As of December 31,			2006
		2009	2008	2007	
	(in thousands)				
Operating expenses:					
Cost of revenue	\$ 107	\$ 31	\$ 119	\$ 19	\$ 9
Research and development	1,381	475	358	168	76
Sales and marketing	526	238	101	66	133
General and administrative	691	421	417	574	280

- (3) The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 included the releases and reversals of valuation allowances against deferred tax assets provided in prior periods. Please see note 7 to the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report, the terms may, might, will, objective, intend, should, could, can, would, expect, believe, estimate, predict, potential, plan, or the negative of these terms, and similar expressions intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are statements that relate to future periods and include statements regarding our anticipated trends and challenges in our business and the markets in which we operate, including the market for 40G and 100G high-speed analog semiconductor solutions, our plans for future products, such as our isolation memory buffer or iMB, clock and data recovery, or CDR, and serializer/deserializer, or SERDES, products, and enhancements of existing products, our expectations regarding our expenses and revenue, including our expectations that our research and development, sales and marketing and general and administrative expenses may increase in absolute dollars, our anticipated cash needs and our estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for additional financing, our anticipated growth strategies, our ability to retain and attract customers, particularly in light of our dependence on a limited number of customers for a substantial portion of our revenue, the anticipated costs and benefits of our recent acquisition of Winyatek Technology Inc., and our expectations regarding competition as more and larger semiconductor companies enter our markets and as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those risks discussed below, as well as factors affecting our quarterly results, our ability to manage our growth, our ability to sustain or increase profitability, demand for our solutions, the effect of declines in average selling prices for our products, our ability to compete, our ability to rapidly develop new technology and introduce new products, our ability to safeguard our intellectual property, trends in the semiconductor industry and fluctuations in general economic conditions, and the risks set forth throughout this Report, including the risks set forth under Item 1A., Risk Factors. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Overview

We are a fabless provider of high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications and computing markets. Our analog semiconductor solutions provide high signal integrity at leading-edge data speeds while reducing system power consumption. Our semiconductor solutions are designed to address bandwidth bottlenecks in networks, maximize throughput and minimize latency in computing environments and enable the rollout of next generation communications and computing infrastructures. Our solutions provide a vital high-speed interface between analog signals and digital information in high-performance systems such as telecommunications transport systems, enterprise networking equipment, datacenter and enterprise servers, storage platforms, test and measurement equipment and military systems. We provide 40G and 100G high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications market and high-speed memory interface solutions for the computing market. We have a broad product portfolio with 17 product lines and over 170 products as of December 31, 2010. We have ongoing, informal collaborative discussions with industry and technology leaders such as AMD, Alcatel-Lucent, Huawei and Intel to design architectures and products that solve bandwidth bottlenecks in existing and next generation communications and computing systems. Although we do not have any formal agreements with these entities, we engage in informal discussions with these entities with respect to anticipated technological challenges, next generation customer requirements and industry conventions and standards. We help define industry conventions and standards within the markets we target by collaborating with technology leaders, OEMs, systems manufacturers and standards bodies.

The history of our product development and sales and marketing efforts is as follows:

From 2000 to 2002, we were primarily engaged in the development of our core high-speed analog products and proprietary system architecture models to address bottlenecks in emerging network architectures. Specifically, during this period, we developed and shipped our 50 GHz MUX and DEMUX products. During this period, we also began development work on our initial 40G products.

In 2003, we introduced and shipped 13G, 25G and 50G logic products, 20G MUX and 40G transimpedance amplifiers and modulator drivers for the communications, test and measurement and military markets. During this period, we also began the development of our first generation high-speed PLLs and register solution used primarily in conjunction with double data rate 2, or DDR2, modules for the computing market.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

In 2005, we introduced and shipped our high-speed PLLs and register solution used primarily in conjunction with DDR2 modules for the computing market.

In 2006, we began development of our second generation single chip high-speed PLLs and register solution to be used primarily in conjunction with double data rate 3, or DDR3, modules for the computing market and were the first to introduce this product to the market. In addition, we introduced and shipped track-and-hold amplifiers for the communications market.

Table of Contents

In 2007, we began volume shipments of our high-speed PLLs and register solution used primarily in conjunction with DDR2 modules, and continued development of our single chip high-speed PLLs and register solution, used primarily in conjunction with DDR3 modules.

In 2008, we began volume shipments of our 40G drivers for the communications market and commenced shipments of our high-speed PLLs and register solution used primarily in conjunction with DDR3 modules for the computing market.

In 2009, due to the launch of Intel's Nehalem-based platform servers, we began volume shipments of our single chip high-speed PLLs and register solution to be used primarily in conjunction with DDR3 modules. We also shipped engineering samples of the first generation of our isolation memory buffer, or iMB, for the computing market. We also began development of our second generation iMB product, the architecture for which has been adopted by the Joint Electronic Device Engineering Council, or JEDEC, and development of our low power CMOS SERDES product for next generation 100G Ethernet in enterprise networks.

In 2010, we began to ship in production volume a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer. We also shipped engineering samples of the second generation iMB product.

Our products are designed into systems sold by OEMs, including Agilent, Alcatel-Lucent, Cisco, Danaher, Dell, EMC, HP, Huawei, IBM and Oracle. We believe we are one of a limited number of suppliers to these OEMs, and in some cases we may be the sole supplier for certain applications. We sell both directly to these OEMs and to module manufacturers, original design manufacturers, or ODMs, and subsystems providers that, in turn, sell to these OEMs. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold our products to more than 160 customers. A significant portion of our revenue has been generated by a limited number of customers. Sales directly to Samsung accounted for 34% and 36% of our total revenue and sales directly and through distributors to Micron accounted for 11% and 17% of our total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Substantially all of our sales to date, including our sales to Samsung and Micron, are made on a purchase order basis. Since the beginning of 2006, we have shipped more than 100 million high-speed analog semiconductors. Our total revenue increased to \$83.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$58.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2010, our accumulated deficit was \$34.6 million.

Sales to customers in Asia accounted for 80%, 77% and 64% of our total revenue in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Because many of our customers or their OEM manufacturers are located in Asia, we anticipate that a majority of our future revenue will continue to come from sales to that region. Although a large percentage of our sales are made to customers in Asia, we believe that a significant number of the systems designed by these customers are then sold to end users outside Asia.

In April 2010, we received approval from the government of Singapore to set up an international headquarters from which to conduct our international operations. Because of its geographic alignment with suppliers and customers, we established our operations in Singapore to become a new international headquarters office for receiving and fulfilling orders for product shipped to locations outside the United States. Singapore has a strong university system and an established group of technology-based companies from which to recruit new engineers. We intend to build a team of engineering capability in Singapore both for development as well as testing associated with manufacturing. International operations in Singapore commenced on May 1, 2010 and during 2010, we transitioned our international operations from the United States to our Singapore subsidiary.

Demand for new features changes rapidly. It is difficult for us to forecast the demand for our products, in part because of the complex supply chain between us and the end-user markets that incorporate our products. Due to our lengthy product development cycle, it is critical for us to anticipate changes in demand for our various product features and the applications they serve to allow sufficient time for product development and design. Our failure to accurately forecast demand can lead to product shortages that can impede production by our customers and harm our customer relationships. Conversely, our failure to forecast declining demand or shifts in product mix can result in excess or obsolete inventory.

Although revenue generated by each design win and the timing of the recognition of that revenue can vary significantly, we consider ongoing design wins to be a key factor in our future success. We consider a design win to occur when an OEM or contract manufacturer notifies us that it has selected our products to be incorporated into a product or system under development. The design win process is typically lengthy, and as a result, our sales cycles will vary based on the market served, whether the design win is with an existing or new customer and whether our product is under consideration for inclusion in a first or subsequent generation product. In addition, our customers' products that incorporate our semiconductors can be complex and can require a substantial amount of time to define, design and produce in volume. As a result, we can incur significant design and development expenditures in circumstances where we do not ultimately recognize, or experience delays in recognizing revenue. Our customers generally order our products on a purchase order basis. We do not have any long-term purchase commitments (in excess

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

of one year) from any of our customers. Once our product is incorporated into a customer's design, however, we believe that our product is likely to continue to be purchased for that design throughout that product's life cycle because of the time and expense associated with redesigning the product or substituting

Table of Contents

an alternative semiconductor. Our design cycle from initial engagement to volume shipment is typically two to three years. Product life cycles in the markets we serve typically range from two to 10 years or more and vary by application.

On June 30, 2010, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc., in exchange for \$3.3 million in cash, 313,713 shares of our Series E preferred stock and earn-out consideration up to \$2,000,000 to be determined based on certain operating metrics.

Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Management Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, we are required to make assumptions and estimates about future events, and apply judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses and the related disclosures. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors that management believes to be relevant at the time our consolidated financial statements are prepared. On a regular basis, we review the accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material.

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in note 1 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements. We believe that the following accounting estimates are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, and they require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, resulting from the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We have reviewed these critical accounting estimates and related disclosures with our audit committee.

Revenue Recognition

Our products are fully functional at the time of shipment and do not require production, modification or customization. We recognize revenue from product sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Our fee is considered fixed or determinable at the execution of an agreement, based on specific products and quantities to be delivered at specified prices, which is evidenced by a customer purchase order or other persuasive evidence of an arrangement. Our agreements with non-distributor customers do not include rights of return or acceptance provisions. Product revenue is recognized upon shipment of product to end customers.

Approximately 21% of our sales were made through distributors in 2010. Sales to distributors are included in deferred revenue and we include the related costs in inventory until sales and delivery to the end customers occurs. Two distributor arrangements, which together accounted for 11% of our total revenue in 2010, allow for limited price protection and rights of stock rotation on product unsold by the distributors. The price protection rights allow distributors the right to a credit in the event of declines in the price of our product that they hold prior to the sale to a specific end customer. In the event that we reduce the selling price of products held by distributors, deferred revenue related to distributors with price protection rights is reduced upon notification to the customer of the price change. Stock rotation in the two distributor arrangements is limited to returns for exchange only for a small percentage of product (5%-10%) purchased over a limited period of time (during the immediately prior three to nine months). Other than these two arrangements, no other customer arrangements include any rights of return or acceptance provisions. There were no material product returns or price protection credits in 2010, 2009 and 2008. Revenue recognition on product sales through distributors is highly dependent on receiving pertinent and accurate data from our distributors in a timely fashion. Distributors provide us periodic data prior to the release of our consolidated financial statements regarding the product, price, quantity and end customer when products are resold, as well as the quantities of our products they still have in stock.

We have not experienced any significant sales returns from end customers due to our stringent quality control standards. We monitor collectability of accounts receivable primarily through review of the accounts receivable aging. Our policy is to record an allowance for doubtful accounts based on specific collection issues we have identified, aging of underlying receivables and historical experience of uncollectible balances. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, our allowance for doubtful accounts was \$68,000.

We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology we use to record the allowance for doubtful accounts during the past three years. If actual results are not consistent with the assumptions and estimates used, for example, if the financial condition of the customer deteriorated, we may be required to record additional expense that could materially negatively impact our operating results. To date, however, substantially all of our receivables have been collected within the credit term of 30 to 45 days.

Inventory Valuation

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

We value our inventory, which includes materials, labor and overhead, at the lower of cost or market. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost, on a first-in, first-out basis. We periodically write-down our inventory to the lower of cost or market based on our estimates that consider historical usage and future demand. These factors are impacted by market and economic conditions, technology changes, new product introductions and changes in strategic direction. The calculation of our inventory valuation requires management to make assumptions and to apply judgment regarding forecasted customer demand and

Table of Contents

technological obsolescence that may turn out to be inaccurate. Inventory valuation reserves were \$1,372,000, \$916,000 and \$938,000, as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Inventory valuation reserves, once established, are not reversed until the related inventory has been sold or scrapped.

We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology we use to record inventory reserves during the past three years. We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be a material change in the future estimates or assumptions that we use to calculate our inventory reserve. However, if estimates regarding customer demand are inaccurate or changes in technology affect demand for certain products in an unforeseen manner, we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material.

Product Warranty

Our products are under warranty against defects in material and workmanship generally for a period of one or two years. We accrue for estimated warranty cost at the time of sale based on anticipated warranty claims and actual historical warranty claims experience including knowledge of specific product failures that are outside of our typical experience. The warranty obligation is determined based on product failure rates, cost of replacement and failure analysis cost. We monitor product returns for warranty-related matters and monitor an accrual for the related warranty expense based on historical experience. Our warranty obligation requires management to make assumptions regarding failure rates and failure analysis costs. If actual warranty costs differ significantly from these estimates, adjustments may be required in the future, which would adversely affect our gross margins and operating results. The warranty liability as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, were \$602,000 and \$450,000, respectively, and was immaterial in 2008.

In September 2010, we were informed of a claim related to repair and replacement costs in connection with shipments of over 4,000 integrated circuits made by us during the summer and fall of 2009. Of these shipments, approximately 4% were later confirmed or suspected to have random manufacturing process anomalies in the wafer die in the product. These anomalies made the circuitry of a small number of random die per foundry wafer susceptible to failure under certain customer specific system operating conditions. At the time of shipment in 2009 and early 2010, we established an initial warranty reserve and added to that accrual as the problem was identified and reliable information became available. The foundry who produced the wafers has informed us that the random anomalies are normal in a Gallium Arsenide, or GaAs, manufacturing process.

In March 2010, we developed additional tests to screen out the wafer die that might be susceptible to this type of failure and resumed shipments to the customer with no subsequent additional reported incidents. Based on our standard warranty provisions, we have provided replacement parts to the customer for the known and suspected failures that had occurred.

In addition and without informing us, in the fall of 2009 the customer instituted its own larger scale replacement program that covered the replacement of entire subassemblies in which our product was only one component. In September 2010, the customer made an initial claim for approximately \$18 million against us for the costs incurred relative to that program. We believe the amount of the claim is without merit as our warranty liability is contractually limited to the repair or replacement of our affected products, which to the extent the customer has requested replacement, has already been completed. A formal claim has yet to be made and discussions with the customer are ongoing. At this time, we believe our current warranty reserves are adequate to address the matter and that our obligations under our standard warranty provisions have been fulfilled. However, claims of this nature are subject to various risks and uncertainties and there can be no assurance that this matter will be resolved without further significant costs to us, including the potential for arbitration or litigation. If and when the amount of any additional loss, if any, becomes both probable and determinable, we may be required to record an incremental reserve. We currently expect to continue to do business with this customer for both current and future products.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets.

Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the acquired net tangible and intangible assets. The amounts and useful lives assigned to intangible assets acquired, other than goodwill, impact the amount and timing of future amortization. The value of our intangible assets, including goodwill, could be impacted by future adverse changes such as: (a) any future declines in our operating results, (b) a decline in the valuation of technology company stocks, including the valuation of our common stock, (c) a further significant slowdown in the worldwide economy or the semiconductor industry, (d) any failure to meet the performance projections included in our forecasts of future operating results or (e) the abandonment of any of our acquired in-process research and development projects. We evaluate goodwill and purchased intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives, on an annual basis in the fourth quarter or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist. Significant management judgment is required in performing periodic impairment tests. The testing for a potential impairment of goodwill involves a two-step process. The first step involves comparing the estimated fair values of our reporting units with their respective book values, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value exceeds book value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired and no additional steps are necessary. If, however, the fair value of the reporting unit is less than book value, then the carrying amount of the goodwill is compared with its implied fair value. The estimate of implied fair value of goodwill may

require valuations of certain internally generated and unrecognized intangible assets such as our technology, customer

Table of Contents

relationships, patents and trademarks. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the excess. If our actual results, or the plans and estimates used in future impairment analyses, are lower than the original estimates used to assess the recoverability of these assets, we could incur additional impairment charges. On June 30, 2010, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc. for which we recorded goodwill and identifiable intangible assets of \$5,281,000 and \$1,640,000, respectively. See note 2 to the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on the grant date fair values of the awards. The fair value is estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The value of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in our consolidated statements of operations. We elected to treat share-based payment awards with graded vesting schedules and time-based service conditions as a single award and recognize stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis (net of estimated forfeitures) over the requisite service period. Stock-based compensation expenses are classified in the statement of operations based on the department to which the related employee reports.

We account for stock options issued to non-employees in accordance with the guidance for equity-based payments to non-employees. Stock option awards to non-employees are accounted for at fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Our management believes that the fair value of stock options is more reliably measured than the fair value of the services received. The fair value of the unvested portion of the options granted to non-employees is re-measured each period. The resulting increase in value, if any, is recognized as expense during the period the related services are rendered.

The Black-Scholes option pricing model requires management to make assumptions and to apply judgment in determining the fair value of our awards. The most significant assumptions and judgments include estimating the fair value of underlying stock, expected volatility and expected term. In addition, the recognition of stock-based compensation expense is impacted by estimated forfeiture rates.

We estimated the expected volatility from the historical volatilities of several unrelated public companies within the semiconductor industry because our common stock has no trading history. When selecting the public companies used in the volatility calculation, we selected companies in the semiconductor industry with comparable characteristics to us, including stage of development, lines of business, market capitalization, revenue and financial leverage. The weighted average expected life of options was calculated using the simplified method of prescribed guidance provided by the SEC. This decision was based on the lack of relevant historical data due to our limited experience and the lack of active market for our common stock. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding to the expected term of the options. The expected dividend rate is zero based on the fact that we have not historically paid dividends and have no intention to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The forfeiture rate is established based on the historical average period of time that options were outstanding and adjusted for expected changes in future exercise patterns.

We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood that there will be material changes in the estimates and assumptions we use to determine stock-based compensation expense. In the future, if we determine that other option valuation models are more reasonable, the stock-based compensation expense that we record in the future may differ significantly from what we have recorded using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when and where the differences are expected to reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we considered historical levels of income, projections of future income, expectations and risk associated with estimates of future taxable income and ongoing prudent and practical tax planning strategies. To the extent that we believe it is more likely than not that some portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized, we would increase the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. Although, we believe that the judgment we used is reasonable, actual results can differ due to a change in market conditions, changes in tax laws and other factors.

From inception through 2008, we incurred annual losses, and accordingly, we determined that a valuation allowance should be recorded against all of our deferred tax assets. We considered future taxable income and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in determining the need for a valuation allowance and evaluated the need for a valuation allowance on a regular basis. The determination of recording or releasing a tax valuation allowance is made, in part, pursuant to an assessment performed by management regarding the likelihood that we will generate

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

sufficient future taxable income against which the benefits of our deferred tax assets may or may not be realized. This assessment requires management to exercise significant judgment and make estimates with respect to our ability

Table of Contents

to generate revenue, gross profits, operating income and taxable income in future periods. Among other factors, management must make assumptions regarding current and projected overall business and semiconductor industry conditions, operating efficiencies, our ability to timely develop, introduce and consistently manufacture new products to meet our customers' needs and specifications, our ability to adapt to technological changes and the competitive environment, which may impact our ability to generate taxable income and, in turn, realize the value of our deferred tax assets. Significant cumulative operating losses in 2008 and prior years, uncertainty with respect to the acceptance of our products by end customers and significant economic uncertainties in the market made our ability to project future taxable income highly uncertain and volatile at December 31, 2009. Although 2009 was our first profitable year, only the last three quarters of the year were profitable and the vast majority of our pre-tax income was generated in the last two quarters of the year. Based upon management's assessment of all available evidence, including a relatively short period of recent profitability coupled with significant uncertainties associated with our 2010 business outlook, we concluded, as of December 31, 2009, that it was not more likely than not that our net deferred tax assets would be realized. See note 7 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2010, we received our first substantial quantity of production orders for a new low voltage product, product number INSSTE32882LV-GS02, or the GS02 product, which was a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer. This new low voltage product was widely expected in the market to be significant and is expected to begin shipping in high volumes for both us and our competitors with a new Intel platform in the second half of 2010. This GS02 product has been launched and is currently in full commercial production and is shipping in commercial volume. The arrival of these production orders from one of our largest customers reduced concerns and increased our confidence in the strength of our business outlook for the balance of 2010. In addition, certain other new product introductions began to gain traction with customers, providing additional confidence in our longer term outlook. We also achieved further clarity around certain contingencies related to ongoing litigation and certain other product acceptance concerns that existed at December 31, 2009. Furthermore, during the first quarter of 2010, we unexpectedly received additional orders for an older product that allowed us to exceed the overall plan for the quarter and continue our recent trend of profitability into the first quarter of 2010. At its April 30, 2010 meeting, based on a review of the positive developments that materialized in the first quarter of 2010, our board of directors decided to authorize management to retain investment bankers and proceed with plans to pursue a potential initial public offering. Based on these positive developments and an additional quarter of profitable operation, we reassessed the need for a valuation allowance at March 31, 2010 and concluded that a change in circumstances had occurred. Management determined that, based on our prospects and business outlook, it was then reasonable to conclude that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will be realized. Accordingly, we released the full valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets based on the weight of positive evidence that existed at March 31, 2010. Significant judgment is required to determine the timing and extent of a valuation allowance release and our ability to utilize deferred tax assets will continue to be dependent on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income in future periods.

In accordance with FASBs guidance on *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, we perform a comprehensive review of uncertain tax positions regularly. The guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken, or expected to be taken, in a tax return. We determine the tax liability for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to determine whether it is more likely than not based on technical merits that each income tax position would be sustained upon examination. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a tax authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The assessment of each tax position requires significant judgment and estimates. We believe our tax return positions are fully supported, but tax authorities could challenge certain positions, which may not be fully sustained. All tax positions are periodically analyzed and adjusted as a result of events, such as the resolution of tax audits, issuance of new regulations or new case law, negotiations with tax authorities, and expiration of statutes of limitations.

Results of Operations and Key Operating Metrics

The following describes the line items in the statements of operations, which we consider to be our key operating metrics.

Revenue. We generate revenue from sales of our semiconductor products to end customers. A portion of our products is sold indirectly to customers through distributors.

We design and develop high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications and computing markets. Our revenue is driven by various trends in these markets. These trends include the deployment and broader market adoption of next generation 40G and 100G technologies in communications and enterprise networks, the timing of next generation network and enterprise server upgrades in different geographic locations worldwide, the introduction and broader market adoption of next generation server platforms such as Intel's Nehalem-based platform, and the deployment of high-speed memory interfaces in server and computing platforms.

Our revenue is also impacted by changes in the number and average selling prices of our semiconductor products. Our products are typically characterized by a life cycle that begins with higher average selling prices and lower volumes, followed by broader market adoption, higher volumes, and average selling prices that are lower than initial levels.

Table of Contents

We operate in industries characterized by rapidly changing technologies and industry standards as well as technological obsolescence. Our revenue growth is dependent on our ability to continually develop and introduce new products to meet the changing technology and performance requirements of our customers, diversify our revenue base and generate new revenue to replace, or build upon, the success of previously introduced products which may be rapidly maturing. As a result, our revenue is impacted to a more significant extent by product life cycles for a variety of products and to a much lesser extent, if any, by any single product. In 2008, there were no products that represented more than 10% of our total revenue. In 2009, we successfully introduced and began to ship a new product in production which integrated a new PLL, along with a new register buffer. Sales of this newly introduced part comprised 18% and 43% of our total revenue in 2010 and 2009, respectively. In 2010, this product has matured. As a result, sales of this product in 2010 declined in volume. We currently expect that by 2011 the new product introduced in 2009 will no longer be material to our total revenue. In 2010, we also began to ship in production volume a new low voltage version of our integrated PLL and register buffer, which is shipping in the form of product number INSSTE32882LV-GS02, or the GS02 product. Sales of the GS02 product comprised 32% of our total revenue in 2010. In 2011, we expect that revenue from sales of GS02 will continue to be significant.

The following table is based on the geographic location to which our product is initially shipped. In most cases this will differ from the ultimate location of the end user of a product containing our technology. For sales to our distributors, their geographic location may be different from the geographic locations of the ultimate end customer. Sales by geography for the periods indicated were:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
Korea	\$ 14,319	\$ 18,307	\$ 15,147
United States	13,528	10,727	12,265
China	29,238	9,924	2,258
Japan	6,557	5,688	5,903
Taiwan	6,838	5,687	1,544
Other	12,713	8,519	5,837
	\$ 83,193	\$ 58,852	\$ 42,954

In 2009, we were shipping products to a customer in Korea. However, in 2010, this customer requested to ship majority of the products to their facility in China, which resulted in a significant shift in revenue between China and Korea.

Cost of revenue. Cost of revenue includes cost of materials such as wafers processed by third-party foundries, costs associated with packaging and assembly, test and shipping, cost of personnel, including stock-based compensation, as well as equipment associated with manufacturing support, logistics and quality assurance, warranty costs, write down of inventories, amortization of production mask costs, overhead and other indirect costs, such as allocated occupancy and information technology, or IT, costs.

As some semiconductor products mature and unit volumes increase, their average selling prices may decline. These declines are often paired with improvements in manufacturing yields and lower wafer, assembly and test costs, which offset some of the margin reduction that results from lower prices. However, our gross profit, period over period, may fluctuate as a result of changes in average selling prices due to new product introductions or existing product transitions into larger scale commercial volumes, manufacturing costs as well as our product mix.

Research and development. Research and development expense includes personnel-related expenses, including salaries, stock-based compensation and employee benefits. It also includes pre-production engineering mask costs, software license expenses, prototype wafer, packaging and test costs, design and development costs, testing and evaluation costs, depreciation expense and other indirect costs. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We expect research and development expense to increase as a result of the establishment of a design center in the United Kingdom and our acquisition of Winyatek Technology Inc. In addition, we expect research and development expense to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to invest resources to develop more products and enhance our existing product portfolio.

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expense consists primarily of salaries, stock-based compensation, employee benefits, travel, promotions, trade shows, marketing and customer support, commission payments to employees, depreciation expense and other indirect costs. We expect sales and marketing expense to increase in absolute dollars to support the growth of our business and promote our products to current and potential customers.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

General and administrative. General and administrative expense consists primarily of salaries, stock-based compensation, employee benefits and expenses for executive management, legal, finance and human resources. In addition, general and administrative expenses include fees for professional services and other indirect costs. We expect general and administrative expense

Table of Contents

to increase in absolute dollars due to the general growth of our business and the costs associated with becoming a public company for, among other things, SEC reporting and compliance, including compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, director fees, insurance, transfer agent fees and similar expenses.

Provision (benefit) for income taxes. In each period since our inception to December 31, 2009, we have recorded a valuation allowance for the full amount of our deferred tax asset, as the realization of the full amount of our deferred tax asset was uncertain. Therefore, no deferred tax expense or benefit was recognized in the consolidated financial statements. In 2009, a provision for current income tax was recorded primarily due to our inability to use net operating loss carryforwards for state tax purposes in California and alternative minimum tax for federal tax purposes. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a net tax benefit of \$14.2 million, which reflects an effective tax rate benefit of 120%. The effective tax rate benefit of 120% differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to a release of our deferred tax valuation allowance and, to a lesser extent, foreign income taxes provided at lower rates, geographic mix in profitability and recognition of federal research and development credits. In 2011, we expect the effective tax rate to be lower than 35% due to foreign operations subject to lower tax rates.

The following table sets forth a summary of our statement of operations for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
Total revenue	\$ 83,193	\$ 58,852	\$ 42,954
Cost of revenue	29,438	21,269	19,249
Gross profit	53,755	37,583	23,705
Operating expense:			
Research and development	23,781	17,847	17,501
Sales and marketing	8,823	7,704	6,339
General and administrative	9,212	3,947	3,169
Total operating expenses	41,816	29,498	27,009
Income (loss) from operations	11,939	8,085	(3,304)
Other income (expense)	(50)	73	(124)
Income (loss) before income taxes	11,889	8,158	(3,428)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(14,242)	829	
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,131	\$ 7,329	\$ (3,428)

The following table sets forth a summary of our statement of operations as a percentage of each line item to the revenue:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Total revenue	100%	100%	100%
Cost of revenue	35	36	45
Gross profit	65	64	55
Operating expense:			
Research and development	29	30	41
Sales and marketing	11	13	15

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

General and administrative	11	7	7
Total operating expenses	51	50	63
Income (loss) from operations	14	14	(8)
Other income (expense)			
Income (loss) before income taxes	14	14	(8)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(17)	2	
Net income (loss)	31%	12%	(8)%

Table of Contents**Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008****Revenue**

	Year Ended December 31,			2010	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			

Total revenue	\$ 83,193	\$ 58,852	\$ 42,954	\$ 24,341	41%	\$ 15,898	37%
---------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----	-----------	-----

Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$24.3 million due to a 66% increase in the number of units sold, partially offset by a decrease in average selling price of 15%. The increase in unit volumes was a result of a wider acceptance of our products and technology in new server platforms, such as Intel's Nehalem-based platform servers. This increase was partially offset by a year-over-year decrease in average selling price of certain products of approximately 15%. Our average selling price decreased primarily as a result change in product mix.

Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased by \$15.9 million due to a combination of a 7% increase in the number of units sold and an increase in average selling price of 29%, primarily due to changes in product mix. The increase in revenue was primarily driven by the increased adoption of high-speed memory interfaces by our end customers.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

	Year Ended December 31,			2010	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			

Cost of revenue	\$ 29,438	\$ 21,269	\$ 19,249	\$ 8,169	38%	\$ 2,020	10%
Gross profit	53,755	37,583	23,705	16,172	43%	13,878	59%
Gross profit as a percentage of revenue	65%	64%	55%		1%		9%

Cost of revenue and gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$8.2 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, compared to the prior year primarily due to an increase in the number of units purchased by customers consistent with the overall increase in revenue. Product costs as a percentage of revenue were relatively unchanged compared to the prior year.

Cost of revenue in 2009 increased by \$2.0 million as a result of an increase in the number of units sold in 2009, compared to 2008 specifically for our high-speed memory interface products. Gross profit and gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased in 2009 relative to 2008 primarily because of a shift in product mix to newer higher margin products shipping in volume.

Research and Development

	Year Ended December 31,			2010	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			

Research and development	\$ 23,781	\$ 17,847	\$ 17,501	\$ 5,934	33%	\$ 346	2%
--------------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	----------	-----	--------	----

Research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$5.9 million due to the increase in research and development headcount, establishment of a design center in United Kingdom and the acquisition of Winyatek Technology Inc., which together resulted in a \$3.7 million increase in personnel costs and stock-based compensation expense, a \$0.7 million increase in pre-production engineering mask costs and packaging development expense and engineering software expense of \$0.2 million. The increase in personnel and development expense was primarily driven by our strategy to expand our product offerings and enhance our existing products. Specifically, we accelerated the development of our products for next generation communications networks and high-speed memory interfaces. In addition, rent expense increased by \$0.2 million due to new building leases for two offices in California.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased by \$0.3 million primarily due to continued product enhancements initiatives. Specifically, the increase is related to pre-production engineering mask costs of \$0.3 million and additional personnel costs, including stock-based compensation of \$0.2 million. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in recruiting expenses by \$0.2 million due to payment of fees to an outside recruitment company for new employees hired in 2008.

Table of Contents**Sales and Marketing**

	Year Ended December 31,			2010	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			
Sales and marketing	\$ 8,823	\$ 7,704	\$ 6,339	\$ 1,119	15%	\$ 1,365	22%

Sales and marketing expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$1.1 million primarily due to an increase in personnel costs, including stock-based compensation expense, to support the increased sales activities.

Sales and marketing expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased by \$1.4 million from 2008 primarily due to an increase in sales activities. Personnel costs, including stock-based compensation expense increased by \$0.2 million and commission expense increased by \$0.5 million. In addition, marketing expenses increased by \$0.3 million.

General and Administrative

	Year Ended December 31,			2010	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			
General and administrative	\$ 9,212	\$ 3,947	\$ 3,169	\$ 5,265	133%	\$ 778	25%

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by \$5.3 million primarily due to third-party professional fees and personnel costs. Outside legal fees increased by \$1.8 million related primarily to litigation matters described in note 15 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements. Accounting and consulting fees increased by \$0.8 million due to expenses incurred for our 2009 audit and quarterly reviews and the establishment of our subsidiary in Singapore. Other professional fees increased by \$0.4 million for consulting services in information technology and human resource functions. General and administrative headcount increased, resulting in a \$1.4 million increase in personnel costs and stock-based compensation expense.

General and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 increased compared to 2008 due to additional personnel costs of \$0.6 million which consist of salaries of new employees, stock-based compensation and incentive pay.

Provision (benefit) for Income Taxes

	Year Ended December 31,			2008	Change	2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Amount	%	Amount	%
				(dollars in thousands)			
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ (14,242)	\$ 829	\$	\$ (15,071)	N/M	\$ 829	N/M

The income tax benefit of \$14.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 reflects an effective tax rate benefit of 120%. The effective tax rate benefit of 120% for the year ended December 31, 2010 differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to a release of our deferred tax valuation allowance \$24 million and, to a lesser extent, foreign income taxes provided at lower rates, geographic mix in profitability and recognition of federal research and development credits.

The provision for income taxes in 2009 consisted of state income taxes recorded due to our inability to use net operating loss carryforwards for state tax purposes in California and federal income taxes related to alternative minimum tax.

During 2008, we did not record a provision for income tax primarily due to net losses realized and a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

We have historically financed our operating activities and capital expenditures primarily through proceeds from the issuances of capital stock. We achieved profitability on an annual basis beginning in 2009 and on a quarterly basis in the second quarter of 2009. We have funded our operating activities and capital expenditures primarily through cash generated from operations since 2009. As of December 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$110.2 million.

Our primary uses of cash are to fund operating expenses, purchase inventory and acquire property and equipment. Cash used to fund operating expenses is impacted by the timing of when we pay these expenses, as reflected in the changes in our outstanding accounts payable and accrued expenses. Our primary sources of cash are cash receipts on accounts receivable from our revenue. Aside

Table of Contents

from the growth in amounts billed to our customers, net cash collections of accounts receivable are impacted by the efficiency of our cash collections process, which can vary from period to period, depending on the payment cycles of our major customers.

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the periods indicated:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 12,361	\$ 9,849	\$ 1,377
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,664)	(556)	(2,478)
Net cash provided by financing activities	86,365	716	6,885
Effect of currency exchange rate on cash	49		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 91,111	\$ 10,009	\$ 5,784

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2010 primarily reflected net income of \$26.1 million, increases to accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.3 million, depreciation and amortization of \$1.8 million and stock-based compensation of \$2.7 million offset by increases in inventory of \$0.6 million, accounts receivable of \$1.9 million, deferred income taxes and deferred charge of \$16.1 million and decrease in income tax payable of \$1.4 million. Our accounts payable and accrued expenses increased as a result of increased production volumes. Our inventories increased as a result of growing production for immediate delivery to customers in the first quarter of 2011, and accounts receivable increased as a result of increased shipments.

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2009 primarily reflected net income of \$7.3 million, increases to accounts payable of \$1.4 million, accrued expense of \$1.1 million and deferred revenue of \$1.6 million, depreciation of \$1.3 million and stock-based compensation of \$1.2 million. These were offset by an increase in receivables of \$4.6 million. Our accounts payable and accrued expenses increased in 2009 to support our increased production volumes and overall operational growth. Our deferred revenue increased due to payments received from customers for future shipments. Our accounts receivable increased as a result of significantly higher product shipments in the fourth quarter of 2009 to meet customer demand.

Net cash provided by operating activities in 2008 primarily reflected the decline in receivables and inventory of \$1.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, increases to accrued expenses by \$0.5 million and deferred revenue by \$0.4 million, depreciation of \$1.4 million and stock-based compensation of \$1 million. These were partially offset by a net loss of \$3.4 million and a decrease in accounts payable of \$1.2 million. Receivables decreased due to improved collection efforts. Inventory decreased due to increased shipment of products to customers. The decrease in accounts payable was due to the timing of payments of vendors as a result of purchasing activities.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

In 2010, net cash used in investing activities consisted of net cash used to acquire all of the outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc. of \$2.5 million and purchases of property, equipment of \$5.2 million, of which \$1.9 million was invested in leasehold improvements, including new laboratories, in connection with our move to our new facilities.

Net cash used in investing activities during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of purchases of property and equipment of \$0.6 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2010 consisted primarily of \$85.7 million net proceeds from the sale of common stock in our initial public offering, the proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$0.5 million and the excess tax benefit related to stock-based payment of \$0.2 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2009 consisted primarily of \$0.7 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2008 consisted of net proceeds of \$9.9 million from our sale of Series E preferred stock and \$0.6 million of net proceeds from the exercise of stock options, offset by the repayment on our line of credit of \$3.7 million.

Table of Contents***Operating and Capital Expenditure Requirements***

Our principal source of liquidity as of December 31, 2010 consisted of \$110.2 million of cash and cash equivalents. Based on our current operating plan, we believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents from operations will be sufficient to finance our operational cash needs through at least the next 12 to 18 months. In the future, we expect our operating and capital expenditures to increase as we increase headcount, expand our business activities and grow our end customer base which will result in higher needs for working capital. Our ability to generate cash from operations is also subject to substantial risks described in Item 1A. Risk Factors. If any of these risks occur, we may be unable to generate or sustain positive cash flow from operating activities. We would then be required to use existing cash and cash equivalents to support our working capital and other cash requirements. If additional funds are required to support our working capital requirements, acquisitions or other purposes, we may seek to raise funds through debt financing or from other sources. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of our stockholders could be significantly diluted, and these newly-issued securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing stockholders. If we raise additional funds by obtaining loans from third parties, the terms of those financing arrangements may include negative covenants or other restrictions on our business that could impair our operating flexibility, and would also require us to incur interest expense. We can provide no assurance that additional financing will be available at all or, if available, that we would be able to obtain additional financing on terms favorable to us.

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010:

		Payments due by period			
		Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
	Total	(in thousands)			
Operating lease obligations	\$ 10,801	\$ 3,726	\$ 4,145	\$ 2,194	\$ 736

As of December 31, 2010, we had noncancelable purchase obligations consisting primarily of research and development contracts and commitments to purchase services of \$0.9 million, which are payable in 2011 for \$0.7 million and in 2012 for \$0.2 million.

As of December 31, 2010, we recorded a liability for our uncertain tax position of \$1.0 million. We are unable to reasonably estimate the timing of payments in individual years due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Since our inception, we have not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements, such as the use of structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.

Recent Authoritative Accounting Guidance

See note 1 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding recently issued accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK***Interest Rate Sensitivity***

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$110.2 million and \$19.1 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which was held for working capital purposes. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. We do not believe that we have any material exposure to changes in the fair value of these investments as a result of changes in interest rates due to their short-term nature. Declines in interest rates, however, will reduce future investment income.

Foreign Currency Risk

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

To date, our international customer and vendor agreements have been denominated almost exclusively in United States dollars. Accordingly, we have limited exposure to foreign currency exchange rates and do not currently enter into foreign currency hedging transactions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	44
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	45
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	46
<u>Consolidated Statements of Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	48
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	49

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the board of directors and stockholders of Inphi Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit) and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Inphi Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Los Angeles, California

March 4, 2011

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 110,172	\$ 19,061
Accounts receivable, net	6,666	4,570
Accounts receivable from related party	3,386	3,411
Inventories	5,095	3,942
Deferred tax assets	1,665	
Income tax receivable	2,214	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,366	374
Total current assets	130,564	31,358
Property and equipment, net	7,206	3,114
Goodwill	5,847	
Identifiable intangible assets	1,624	
Deferred tax assets	6,182	
Deferred tax charge	7,293	
Other assets, net	241	
Total assets	\$ 158,957	\$ 34,472
Liabilities, Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 6,692	\$ 4,438
Income tax payable		444
Deferred revenue	2,647	3,383
Accrued employee expenses	1,749	1,274
Other accrued expenses	1,843	1,248
Other current liabilities	746	516
Total current liabilities	13,677	11,303
Other liabilities	2,594	285
Total liabilities	16,271	11,588
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Convertible Preferred Stock:		
Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 528,858 shares authorized; 518,555 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009		12,016
Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,926,670 shares authorized; 2,905,783 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009		24,985
Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 6,503,902 shares authorized; 6,503,882 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009		18,690
Series D Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 3,512,880 shares authorized; 3,509,749 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009		11,989
Series E Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,045,714 shares authorized; 1,043,731 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009		9,936

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Total convertible preferred stock		77,616
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 25,088,122 and, 2,033,542 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively	25	2
Additional paid-in capital	176,505	6,041
Accumulated deficit	(34,644)	(60,775)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	800	
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	142,686	(54,732)
Total liabilities, convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 158,957	\$ 34,472

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 55,253	\$ 37,617	\$ 32,727
Revenue from related party	27,940	21,235	10,227
Total revenue	83,193	58,852	42,954
Cost of revenue	29,438	21,269	19,249
Gross profit	53,755	37,583	23,705
Operating expense:			
Research and development	23,781	17,847	17,501
Sales and marketing	8,823	7,704	6,339
General and administrative	9,212	3,947	3,169
Total operating expense	41,816	29,498	27,009
Income (loss) from operations	11,939	8,085	(3,304)
Other income (expense)	(50)	73	(124)
Income (loss) before income taxes	11,889	8,158	(3,428)
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(14,242)	829	
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,131	\$ 7,329	\$ (3,428)
Net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders	\$ 5,240	\$ 130	\$ (3,428)
Net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.08	\$ (2.66)
Diluted	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.05	\$ (2.66)
Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	5,086,169	1,668,876	1,289,431
Diluted	8,546,537	2,785,277	1,289,431

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders Equity (Deficit)**

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Series A Convertible Preferred Stock		Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series C Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series D Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Series E Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Total Preferred Stock
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	
Balance at December 31, 2007	518,555	\$ 12,016	2,905,783	\$ 24,985	6,503,882	\$ 18,690	3,509,749	\$ 11,989		\$	\$ 67,680
Exercise of stock options											
Stock-based compensation expense											
Issuance of preferred stock, net									1,043,731	9,936	9,936
Net loss											
Balance at December 31, 2008	518,555	12,016	2,905,783	24,985	6,503,882	18,690	3,509,749	11,989	1,043,731	9,936	77,616
Exercise of stock options											
Stock-based compensation expense											
Net income											
Balance at December 31, 2009	518,555	12,016	2,905,783	24,985	6,503,882	18,690	3,509,749	11,989	1,043,731	9,936	77,616
Exercise of stock options, warrant and restricted stock award grant											
Income tax benefit from stock option exercises											
Stock-based compensation expense											
Issuance of preferred stock									313,713	4,538	4,538
Issuance of common stock in connection with initial public offering, net	(518,555)	(12,016)	(2,905,783)	(24,985)	(6,503,882)	(18,690)	(3,509,749)	(11,989)	(1,357,444)	(14,474)	(82,154)

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Conversion of
preferred stock
to common
stock

Conversion of
preferred stock
warrant to
common stock
warrant

Net income

Currency
translation
adjustment

Total
comprehensive
income

Balance at
December 31,
2010

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

Common Stock

Additional Paid-in Capital

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)

Shares

Amount

Deficit

Balance at December 31, 2007	986,056	\$ 1	\$ 2,663	\$ (64,676)		\$ (62,012)
Exercise of stock options	591,919	1	643			644
Stock-based compensation expense			995			995
Issuance of preferred stock, net						
Net loss				(3,428)		(3,428)
Balance at December 31, 2008	1,577,975	2	4,301	(68,104)		(63,801)
Exercise of stock options	455,567		575			575
Stock-based compensation expense			1,165			1,165
Net income				7,329		7,329
Balance at December 31, 2009	2,033,542	2	6,041	(60,775)		(54,732)
Exercise of stock options, warrant and restricted stock award grant	439,167		584			584
Income tax benefit from stock option exercises			216			216
Stock-based compensation expense			2,705			2,705
Issuance of preferred stock						
Issuance of common stock in connection with initial public offering, net	7,820,000	8	84,690			84,698
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	14,795,413	15	82,139			82,154
Conversion of preferred stock warrant to common stock warrant			130			130
Net income				26,131		26,131
Currency translation adjustment					800	800
Total comprehensive income						26,931
Balance at December 31, 2010	25,088,122	\$ 25	\$ 176,505	\$ (34,644)	\$ 800	\$ 142,686

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(in thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,131	\$ 7,329	\$ (3,428)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	1,820	1,291	1,430
Stock-based compensation	2,705	1,165	995
Deferred income taxes and deferred tax charge	(16,054)		
Amortization of deferred tax charge	746		
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	(216)		
Other noncash items	89	27	51
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effect of acquisition):			
Accounts receivable	(1,890)	(4,588)	1,766
Inventories	(627)	143	635
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,083)	(88)	245
Income tax payable/receivable	(1,442)	444	
Accounts payable	344	1,413	(1,185)
Accrued expenses	965	1,062	492
Deferred revenue	(736)	1,605	373
Other liabilities	1,609	46	3
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,361	9,849	1,377
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,165)	(560)	(2,550)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		4	72
Acquisition, net of cash acquired	(2,499)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,664)	(556)	(2,478)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Repayment of capital lease obligations		(17)	(45)
Repayment of line of credit			(3,650)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	485	733	644
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	216		
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock issuance			9,936
Proceeds from initial public offering, net of costs paid	85,664		
Net cash provided by financing activities	86,365	716	6,885
Effect of currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	49		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	91,111	10,009	5,784
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	19,061	9,052	3,268
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 110,172	\$ 19,061	\$ 9,052

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Supplemental Cash Flow Information			
Interest paid	\$	\$	\$ 63
Income taxes paid	2,502	381	
Noncash investing and financing activities			
Acquisition of Winyatek Technology Inc. in exchange for Series E preferred shares	\$	4,538	
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock		82,154	
Conversion of preferred stock warrant to common stock warrant		130	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Inphi Corporation (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in November 2000. The Company is a fabless provider of high-speed analog semiconductor solutions for the communications and computing markets. The Company's semiconductor solutions are designed to address bandwidth bottlenecks in networks, maximize throughput and minimize latency in computing environments and enable the rollout of next generation communications and computing infrastructures. In addition, the semiconductor solutions provide a vital high-speed interface between analog signals and digital information in high-performance systems such as telecommunications transport systems, enterprise networking equipment, datacenter and enterprise servers, storage platforms, test and measurement equipment and military systems.

The Company is subject to certain risks and uncertainties and believes changes in any of the following areas could have a material adverse effect on the Company's future financial position or results of operations or cash flows: ability to sustain profitable operations due to history of losses and accumulated deficit, dependence on limited number of customers for a substantial portion of revenue, product defects, risks related to intellectual property matters, lengthy sales cycle and competitive selection process, lengthy and expensive qualification process, ability to develop new or enhance products in a timely manner, market development of and demand for the Company's products, reliance on third parties to manufacture, assemble and test products and ability to compete.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements through December 31, 2009 reflect the stand-alone operations of the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company established subsidiaries in the United Kingdom and Singapore. In addition, on June 30, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of Winyatek Technology Inc. ("WTI"). Accordingly, for the year ended December 31, 2010, the financial statements reflect the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reverse Stock Split

In October 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a 3-for-7 reverse stock split of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock and preferred stock, which was effected on November 3, 2010. All common stock and preferred stock data and stock option plan information have been adjusted to reflect the split.

Initial Public Offering

In November 2010, the Company completed the initial public offering, or IPO, of its common stock in which it sold and issued 7,820,000 shares of common stock, including 1,020,000 shares related to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment, at an issue price of \$12.00 per share. The Company raised a total of \$93.8 million in gross proceeds in the IPO, or approximately \$84.7 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$6.5 million and other offering costs of \$2.6 million. Immediately prior to the closing of the IPO, all shares of the Company's then-outstanding convertible preferred stock outstanding automatically converted into 14,795,413 shares of common stock and the warrants to purchase preferred stock converted into warrants to purchase common stock.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates, including those related to (i) the collectibility of accounts receivable; (ii) write down for excess and obsolete inventories; (iii) warranty obligations; (iv) the value assigned to and estimated useful lives of long-lived assets; (v) the realization of tax assets and estimates of tax liabilities and tax reserves; (vi) the valuation of equity securities; (vii) amounts recorded in

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

connection with acquisitions; (viii) recoverability of intangible assets and goodwill and (ix) the recognition and disclosure of contingent liabilities. These estimates are based on historical data and experience, as well as various other factors that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. The Company engages third party valuation specialists to assist with estimates related to the valuation of financial instruments and assets associated with various contractual arrangements, the underlying value of preferred and common equity prior to the Company's IPO and valuation of assets acquired in connection with acquisitions. Such estimates often require the selection of appropriate valuation methodologies and models, and

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

significant judgment in evaluating ranges of assumptions and financial inputs. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or circumstances.

Foreign Currency Translation

The Company and its subsidiaries except WTI use the U.S. dollar as its functional currency. Foreign currency assets and liabilities are remeasured into U.S. dollars at the end-of-period exchange rates except for non-monetary assets and liabilities, which are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Revenue and expenses are remeasured at the exchange rate in effect during the period the transaction occurred, except for those expenses related to balance sheet amounts, which are remeasured at historical exchange rates. Gains or losses from foreign currency transactions are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as part of Other income (expense). Foreign currency gain or loss in 2010, 2009 and 2008 were not material.

The functional currency of WTI is the New Taiwan Dollar. Assets and liabilities of WTI are translated into US dollars at period-end exchange rates. Income, expense, and cash flow items are translated at average exchange rates prevailing during the period. The resulting currency translation adjustment is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity.

Business Combinations

The Company accounts for acquisitions of businesses using the purchase method of accounting where the cost is allocated to the underlying net tangible and intangible assets acquired, based on their respective estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill. Determining the fair value of certain acquired assets and liabilities is subjective in nature and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including, but not limited to, the selection of appropriate valuation methodology, projected revenues, expenses and cash flows, weighted average cost of capital, discount rates, evaluation of in-process research and development (IPR&D), estimates of customer turnover rates and estimates of terminal values. Acquisitions are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements as of the date of acquisition.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original or remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents with major financial institutions and, at times, such balances with any one financial institution may exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits. Cash equivalents primarily consist of money market funds.

Fair Market Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount reflected in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, prepaid and other current assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities, approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments.

Inventories

Inventories include materials, labor and overhead and are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is computed using standard cost, which approximates actual cost, on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventories are reduced for write downs based on periodic reviews for evidence of slow-moving or obsolete parts. The write-down is based on comparison between inventory on hand and estimated future sales for each specific product. Once written down, inventory write downs are not reversed until the inventory is sold or scrapped. Inventory write downs are also established when conditions indicate that the net realizable value is less than cost due to physical deterioration, obsolescence, changes in price level or other causes. Inventory valuation reserves were \$1,372 and \$916, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)****Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is provided on property and equipment over the estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or lease terms. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Useful lives by asset category are as follows:

Asset Category	Years
Office equipment	3 years
Software	3 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of lease term or estimated useful life
Production equipment	2 years
Computer equipment	5 years
Lab equipment	5 years
Furniture and fixtures	7 years

Equipment Under Capital Leases

The Company leases certain of its equipment under capital lease agreements. The assets and liabilities under capital leases are initially recorded at the fair value of the assets under lease. There were no material capital lease obligations outstanding at December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets represent rights acquired for developed technology, customer relationships and IPR&D in connection with the acquisition of WTI. Intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over periods ranging from four to five years using a method that reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are consumed, or if that pattern cannot be reliably determined, using a straight-line amortization method. Acquired IPR&D is capitalized and amortization commences upon completion of the underlying projects. If any of the projects are abandoned, the Company would be required to impair the related IPR&D asset.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets and Goodwill***Long-lived Assets***

The Company assesses the impairment of long-lived assets, which consist primarily of property and equipment and intangible assets, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such assets might be impaired and the carrying value may not be recoverable. Events or changes in circumstances that may indicate that an asset is impaired include significant decreases in the market value of an asset, significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future results of operations, a change in the extent or manner in which an asset is utilized, significant declines in the estimated fair value of the overall Company for a sustained period, shifts in technology, loss of key management or personnel, changes in the Company's operating model or strategy and competitive forces.

If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable and the expected undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value is recorded. Fair value is determined based on the present value of estimated expected future cash flows using a discount rate commensurate with the risk involved, quoted market prices or appraised values, depending on the nature of the assets.

Goodwill

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the acquired net assets. The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually during the fourth quarter of its fiscal year or when events or circumstances change that would

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

indicate that goodwill might be permanently impaired. Events or circumstances which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, a loss of key personnel, significant changes in the manner of the Company's use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the Company's overall business, significant negative industry or economic trends or significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future results of operations.

The testing for a potential impairment of goodwill involves a two-step process. The first step involves comparing the estimated fair values of the Company's reporting units with their respective book values, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value exceeds book value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired and no additional steps are necessary. If, however, the fair value of the reporting unit is less than book value, then the carrying amount of the goodwill is compared with its implied fair value. The estimate of implied fair value of goodwill may require valuations of certain internally generated and unrecognized intangible assets such as the Company's technology, customer relationships, patents and trademarks. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to the excess.

Internal Use Software Costs

Certain external and internal computer software costs acquired for internal use are capitalized. Training costs and maintenance are expensed as incurred, while upgrades and enhancements are capitalized if it is probable that such expenditures will result in additional functionality. Capitalized costs are included within property and equipment.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's products are fully functional at the time of shipment and do not require additional production, modification, or customization. The Company recognizes revenue when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. The Company's sales arrangements do not include multiple elements.

Product revenue is recognized upon shipment of product to customers, net of accruals for estimated sales returns and allowances, which to date, have not been significant. However, some of the Company's sales are made through distributors under arrangements that allow for price protection or rights of return on product unsold by the distributors. Product revenue on sales made through distributors with rights of return or price protection is deferred until the distributors sell the product to end customers. Sales to distributors are included in deferred revenue and the Company includes the related costs in inventory until sale to the end customers occurs. Price protection rights allow distributors the right to a credit in the event of declines in the price of the Company's product that they hold prior to the sale to an end customer. In the event that the Company reduces the selling price of products held by distributors, deferred revenue related to distributors with price protection rights is reduced upon notification to the customer of the price change. There were no material product returns or price declines in 2010, 2009 and 2008. The Company's sales to direct customers are made primarily pursuant to standard purchase orders for delivery of products. The Company generally allows customers to cancel or change purchase orders within limited notice periods prior to the scheduled shipment.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes cost of materials, such as wafers processed by third-party foundries, cost associated with packaging and assembly, test and shipping, cost of personnel, including stock-based compensation, and equipment associated with manufacturing support, logistics and quality assurance, warranty cost, write down of inventories, amortization of production mask costs, overhead and an allocated portion of occupancy costs.

Warranty

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

The Company's products are under warranty against defects in material and workmanship generally for a period of one or two years. The Company accrues for estimated warranty cost at the time of sale based on anticipated warranty claims and actual historical warranty claims experience including knowledge of specific product failures that are outside of the Company's typical experience. The warranty obligation is determined based on product failure rates, cost of replacement and failure analysis cost. If actual warranty costs differ significantly from these estimates, adjustments may be required in the future. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the warranty liability was \$602 and \$450, respectively.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

The following table sets forth changes in warranty accrual included in other accrued expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets:

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Beginning balance	\$ 450	\$ 450
Accruals for warranties	165	450
Settlements	(13)	
Ending balance	\$ 602	\$ 450

In September 2010, the Company was informed of a claim related to repair and replacement costs in connection with shipments of over 4,000 integrated circuits made by the Company during the summer and fall of 2009. Of these shipments, approximately 4% were later confirmed or suspected to have random manufacturing process anomalies in the wafer die in the product. These anomalies made the circuitry of a small number of random die per foundry wafer susceptible to failure under certain customer specific system operating conditions. At the time of shipment in 2009 and early 2010, the Company established an initial warranty reserve and added to that accrual as the problem was identified and reliable information became available. The foundry who produced the wafers has informed the Company that the random anomalies are normal in a Gallium Arsenide (GaAs) manufacturing process.

In March 2010, the Company developed additional tests to screen out the wafer die that might be susceptible to this type of failure and resumed shipments to the customer with no subsequent additional reported incidents. Based on its standard warranty provisions, the Company has provided replacement parts to the customer for the known and suspected failures that had occurred.

In addition and without informing the Company, in the fall of 2009 the customer instituted its own larger scale replacement program that covered the replacement of entire subassemblies in which the Company's product was only one component. In September 2010, the customer made an initial claim for approximately \$18 million against the Company for the costs incurred relative to that program. Management believes the amount of the claim is without merit as its warranty liability is contractually limited to the repair or replacement of the Company's affected products, which to the extent the customer has requested replacement, has already been completed. A formal claim has yet to be made and discussions with the customer are ongoing. At this time, the Company believes its current warranty reserves are adequate to address the matter and that the Company's obligations under its standard warranty provisions have been fulfilled. However, claims of this nature are subject to various risks and uncertainties and there can be no assurance that this matter will be resolved without further significant costs to the Company, including the potential for arbitration or litigation. If and when the amount of any additional loss, if any, becomes both probable and determinable, the Company may be required to record an incremental reserve. The Company currently expects to continue to do business with this customer for both current and future products.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense consists of costs incurred in performing research and development activities including salaries, stock-based compensation, employee benefits, occupancy costs, overhead costs and prototype wafer, packaging and test costs. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Sales and Marketing Expense

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Sales and marketing expense consists of salaries, stock-based compensation, employee benefits, travel and trade show costs. The Company expenses sales and marketing costs as incurred. Advertising expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were not material.

General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense consists of salaries, stock-based compensation, employee benefits and expenses for executive management, legal, finance and human resources personnel. In addition, general and administrative expense includes fees for professional services and occupancy costs. These costs are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company must also make judgments in evaluating whether deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent that it believes that recovery is not likely, the Company must establish a valuation allowance. The carrying value of the Company's net deferred tax asset is based on whether it is more likely than not that the Company will generate sufficient future taxable income to realize these deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets which the Company does not believe meet the more likely than not criteria. The Company's judgments regarding future taxable income may change over time due to changes in market conditions, changes in tax laws, tax planning strategies or other factors. If the Company's assumptions and consequently its estimates change in the future, the valuation allowance the Company has established may be increased or decreased, resulting in a material respective increase or decrease in income tax expense (benefit) and related impact on the Company's reported net income (loss).

In accordance with FASBs guidance on *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, the Company performs a comprehensive review of uncertain tax positions regularly. In this regard, an uncertain tax position represents an expected treatment of a tax position taken in a filed tax return, or planned to be taken in a future tax return or claim, which has not been reflected in measuring income tax expense for financial reporting purposes. Until these positions are sustained by the taxing authorities, the Company does not recognize the tax benefits resulting from such positions and reports the tax effects as a liability for uncertain tax positions in our consolidated financial statements. The Company recognizes potential interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions in income taxes on the consolidated statement of operations.

Stock-Based Compensation

Stock-based compensation for stock option and restricted stock awards issued to the Company's employees is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period, on a straight-line basis. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for valuing stock option awards granted to employees and directors at the grant date. Determining the fair value of stock option awards at the grant date requires the input of various assumptions, including fair value of the underlying common stock, expected future share price volatility, expected term, risk-free interest rate and dividend rate. Changes in these assumptions can materially affect the fair value of the options. The Company based its estimate of expected volatility on the estimated volatility of similar entities whose share prices are publicly available. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding to the expected life of the options. The weighted average expected life of options was calculated using the simplified method as prescribed by guidance provided by the Securities and Exchange Commission. This decision was based on the lack of relevant historical data due to the Company's limited experience and the lack of an active market for the Company's common stock. The expected dividend yield is zero because the Company has not historically paid dividends and has no present intention to pay dividends. The Company establishes the estimated forfeiture rates based on historical experience. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service period which is equal to vesting period.

The Company has elected to treat share-based payment awards with graded vesting schedules and time-based service conditions as single awards and recognizes stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis (net of estimated forfeitures) over the requisite service period.

The Company recognizes non-employee stock-based compensation expenses based on the estimated fair value of the equity instrument determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Management believes that the fair value of the stock options is more reliably measured than the fair value of the services received. The fair value of each non-employee variable stock award is re-measured each period until a commitment date is reached, which is generally the vesting date.

Earnings per Share

The Company applies the two-class method for calculating earnings per share. Under the two-class method, net income (loss) is allocated between common stock and other participating securities based on their participation rights. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

income (loss) allocable to common stockholders (after the reduction for any preferred stock dividends assuming current income for the period had been distributed) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, net of shares subject to repurchase by the Company, during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing the net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted for the

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

effects of potentially dilutive common stock, which are comprised of stock options, warrants to purchase common stock and convertible preferred stock.

Segment Information

The Company's operations are located primarily in the United States, and materially all tangible assets are located in Westlake Village, California. The Company operates in one segment related to the design, development and sale of high speed analog connectivity components that operate to maintain, amplify and improve signal integrity at high speeds in a wide variety of applications. The Company's chief operating decision-maker is its Chief Executive Officer, who reviews operating results on an aggregate basis and manages the Company's operations as a single operating segment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB reached final consensus on a new revenue recognition guidance regarding revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new accounting guidance addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting, and how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. The new accounting guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010 and may be applied retrospectively or prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or disclosures.

In January 2010, FASB issued an amendment regarding improving disclosures about fair value measurements. This new guidance requires additional new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's disclosures for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company does not expect that the adoption of the guidance relating to Level 3 fair value measurements will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or disclosures.

In April 2010, FASB issued an accounting guidance concerning application of milestone method of recognizing revenue for research and development which include payment provisions under which all or a portion of the considerations to be received is contingent upon the achievement of certain events. A milestone event must carry a substantive uncertainty when the arrangement is entered as to whether the event will be achieved. A milestone is deemed substantive when the milestone consideration is: a) proportionate with the vendor's performance to achieve the milestone or the delivered items enhanced value resulting for the specific outcome of the vendor's performance to achieve the milestone; b) related solely to the vendor's past performance; and c) reasonable relative to all deliverables and payment terms in the arrangement. This guidance requires disclosures of accounting policy for the recognition of milestone consideration and description of the arrangement. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is allowed. The Company adopted this guidance in the year ended December 31, 2010. Revenue recognized under the milestone method was not material in 2010. There was no effect on prior years' financial statements since there were no arrangements in prior periods.

In December 2010, FASB issued an amendment to the goodwill impairment test. The amendment modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

impact on its financial position, results of operations or disclosures since the Company does not have any reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts.

In December 2010, FASB issued an amendment to the disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations. The amendments specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or disclosures.

2. Acquisition

On June 30, 2010, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of WTI in exchange for \$3.3 million in cash and 313,713 shares of Series E preferred stock. WTI is primarily engaged in the research, design, development, manufacture and sale of Nand Flash Controller System-On-Chip, secure digital/multi-media card controller, and card reader products. As a result of the acquisition, the Company is expected to expand its technology and engineering resources.

The fair value of consideration transferred is shown in the table below:

Cash	\$ 3,344
Series E preferred stock	4,538
	\$ 7,882

The Company issued 313,713 shares of Series E preferred stock that has a total fair value of \$4.5 million based on the valuation performed as of June 30, 2010, the acquisition date. The acquisition of WTI includes a contingent consideration arrangement that requires additional consideration to be paid by the Company based on achievement of certain revenue and gross margin targets of WTI over the three fiscal quarters starting July 1, 2010. The amount of contingent consideration, if any, is payable on or before May 15, 2011. The amount of consideration the Company could pay under the agreement ranges from \$0 to \$2 million. The fair value of the contingent consideration on the acquisition date and at December 31, 2010 was determined to be insignificant as the probability of WTI achieving the revenue and gross margin requirement is deemed to be remote.

The acquisition has been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting which requires, among other things, that assets acquired and liabilities assumed be recognized at their fair values as of the acquisition date.

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation as of the acquisition date:

Cash	\$ 808
Receivables	174
Inventories	493
Other current assets	100
Property and equipment	68
Identifiable intangible assets	1,530
In-process research and development	110
Other noncurrent assets	34
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(539)
Deferred tax liabilities, net	(177)

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Total identifiable net assets	2,601
Goodwill	5,281
Net assets acquired	\$ 7,882

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

As of the acquisition date, the fair value of receivables, inventories, property and equipment, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximated the book value acquired.

Identifiable intangible assets consist of developed technology of \$800 and customer relationships of \$730. The Company used a relief-from-royalty method to value developed technology. The relief-from-royalty method estimates the cost savings that accrue to the owner of an intangible asset that would otherwise be payable as royalties or license fees on revenue earned through the use of the asset. The royalty rate used is based on an analysis of licensing agreements related to similar technologies. Revenue is projected over the expected remaining useful life of the developed technology. The market-derived royalty rate is then applied to estimate the royalty savings. Customer relationships represent future projected revenue that will be derived from sales of products to existing customers. Developed technology and customer relationships will be amortized on a straight-line method, which approximates the pattern of economic consumption over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Developed technology 4 years

Customer relationships 5 years

The Company capitalized \$110 of IPR&D costs related to the WTI acquisition. Upon completion of the projects, the related IPR&D assets will be amortized over their estimated useful lives. If any of the projects are abandoned, the Company will be required to impair the related IPR&D asset. The fair value of the IPR&D was determined using the relief-from-royalty method similar to the process as discussed above. The significant assumptions underlying the valuation of IPR&D are:

Estimated percent complete	7%
Estimated time to complete	6 months
Estimated cost to complete	\$ 92
Discount rate	32.5%

As of December 31, 2010, the projects are expected to be completed in February 2011 and will commence commercial production in the second quarter of 2011.

The accumulated amortization of developed technology and customer relationships as of December 31, 2010 was \$111 and \$81, respectively. Estimated amortization expense of identifiable intangible assets for the next five years is as follows: \$401 in 2011, \$408 in 2012, \$408 in 2013, \$297 in 2014 and \$110 in 2015.

Goodwill is calculated as the excess of the consideration transferred over the net assets recognized and is attributable to the workforce of the acquired business and the synergies expected to arise after the Company's acquisition of WTI. Goodwill is not amortized and is not deductible for tax purposes. The change in goodwill from acquisition date to December 31, 2010 was due to foreign currency translation.

The Company incurred acquisition costs of \$0.3 million which are included in general and administrative expense in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2010.

WTI contributed revenue of \$1,359 and pre-tax loss of \$869 to the Company for the period from June 30 to December 31, 2010.

Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents a summary of the Company's consolidated results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009, assuming the WTI acquisition had been completed as of January 1, 2010 and

January 1, 2009, respectively:

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2010 (unaudited)	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2009 (unaudited)
Revenue	\$ 84,316	\$ 60,427
Net income	\$ 25,738	\$ 5,838
Net income allocable to common stockholders	\$ 5,186	\$
Net income per share basic	\$ 1.02	\$
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.61	\$

The unaudited pro forma consolidated results were prepared using the acquisition method of accounting and are based on the historical financial information of the Company and WTI, reflecting the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The unaudited pro forma consolidated results are not necessarily indicative of what our consolidated results of operations actually would have been had we completed the acquisition as of the beginning of each period presented. In addition, the unaudited pro forma consolidated results do not purport to project the future results of operations of the combined company nor do they reflect the expected realization of any cost savings associated with the acquisition.

3. Concentrations

Financial instruments that subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable. The Company extends differing levels of credit to customers and does not require collateral deposits. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company maintained an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$68.

The following table summarizes the significant customers and distributors revenue and accounts receivable as a percentage of total revenue and total accounts receivable, respectively:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenue			
Customer A	34%	36%	24%
Customer B	*	*	12
Customer C	*	*	11
Customer D	*	*	*
Customer E	*	*	*
	December 31,		
	2010	2009	
Accounts Receivable			
Customer A	33%	42%	

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Customer B	*	*
Customer C	11	*
Customer D	*	11
Customer E	*	12

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

* Less than 10% of total revenue and accounts receivable

Customers D and E are distributors that sell the Company's products exclusively to an end customer. In the aggregate, revenue to such end customer, including revenue made through distributors as a percentage of total revenue was 11%, 17% and 11% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Raw materials	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,002
Work in process	2,033	1,375
Finished goods	2,034	1,565
	\$ 5,095	\$ 3,942

Finished goods held by distributors were \$482 and \$442 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

5. Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Laboratory and production equipment	\$ 11,882	\$ 10,556
Office, software and computer equipment	3,655	2,575
Furniture and fixtures	729	166
Leasehold improvements	2,652	48
	18,918	13,345
Less accumulated depreciation	(11,712)	(10,231)
	\$ 7,206	\$ 3,114

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$1,640, \$1,291 and \$1,430 respectively.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, laboratory and production equipment includes \$397 in assets that have been capitalized under capital leases. Accumulated amortization of equipment under capital leases was \$388 and \$342 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization expense in connection with equipment purchased under capital leases was \$45, \$70 and \$73 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, computer software costs included in property and equipment were \$1,471 and \$1,251, respectively. Amortization expense of capitalized computer software costs was \$184, \$134 and \$125 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

6. Lines of Credit

In June 2007, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with an unrelated financial institution, which provided for borrowing up to an aggregate of \$10 million. Amounts borrowed under the Loan and Security Agreement were collateralized by

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

substantially all of the assets of the Company and carried an interest rate of prime per annum. The average effective interest rate during 2008 was 6.25%. The Company repaid the entire outstanding balance of \$3,650 in February 2008, and the \$10 million facility was terminated on June 8, 2009.

In connection with the Loan and Security Agreement, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 12,857 shares of common stock (see Note 10).

7. Income Taxes

Income (loss) before income taxes consists of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States	\$ 12,765	\$ 8,158	\$ (3,428)
Foreign	(876)		
Total	\$ 11,889	\$ 8,158	\$ (3,428)

Income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Current:			
U.S. Federal	\$ (6,158)	\$ 253	\$
U.S. State	(1,015)	576	
Foreign	29		
	(7,144)	829	
Deferred:			
U.S. Federal	(4,523)		
U.S. State	(2,427)		
Foreign	(148)		
	(7,098)		
Total	\$ (14,242)	\$ 829	\$

Income tax expense (benefit) differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 35% to pretax income (loss) as a result of the following:

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Provision (benefit) at statutory rate	\$ 4,161	\$ 2,855	\$ (1,200)
State income taxes	1,653	375	16
Research and development credits	(2,063)	(713)	(528)
Change in valuation allowance	(24,022)	(1,964)	1,544
Foreign earnings, taxed at different rates	4,912		
Unrecognized tax benefits	791		
Stock-based compensation	391		
Other	(65)	276	168
	\$ (14,242)	\$ 829	\$

Significant components of the Company's net deferred taxes consist of the following:

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carry forwards	\$ 8,051	\$ 15,424
Research and development credits	3,788	4,602
Stock-based compensation	1,150	721
Other temporary differences	1,666	3,731
 Total deferred tax assets	 14,655	 24,478
Deferred tax liabilities		
Subpart F income on foreign subsidiaries earnings	(5,635)	
Amortization and depreciation	(953)	(425)
Other	(249)	(31)
 Total deferred tax liabilities	 (6,837)	 (456)
 Less: valuation allowance		(24,022)
 Deferred tax assets, net	 \$ 7,818	 \$

At December 31, 2010, the Company has recorded a deferred tax charge of \$7.3 million, which represents the tax on the intercompany transfer of intangible assets in connection with the Company's international reorganization during 2010 in accordance with ASC 740-10-25-3. The deferred tax charge is being amortized over the estimated useful life of 8 years to income tax expense.

Valuation Allowance

The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2008 and 2009. In determining the need for a valuation allowance, management reviewed all available evidence pursuant to the requirements of ASC 740. The determination of recording or releasing tax valuation allowances is made, in part, pursuant to an assessment performed by management regarding the likelihood that the Company will generate sufficient future taxable income against which benefits of the deferred tax assets may or may not be realized. This assessment requires management to exercise significant judgment and make estimates with respect to the Company's ability to generate revenue, gross profits, operating income and taxable income in future periods. Amongst other factors, management must make assumptions regarding overall current and projected business and semiconductor industry conditions, operating efficiencies, the Company's ability to timely develop, introduce and consistently manufacture new products to customers' specifications, acceptance of new products, customer concentrations, technological change and the competitive environment which may impact the Company's ability to generate taxable income and, in turn, realize the value of the deferred tax assets. Significant cumulative operating losses in 2008 and prior years, uncertainty with respect to the acceptance of the Company's products by end customers and significant economic uncertainties in the market made the Company's ability to project future taxable income highly uncertain and volatile at December 31, 2009. Although 2009 was the Company's first profitable year, only the last three quarters of the year were profitable and the vast majority of the Company's pre-tax income was generated in the last two quarters of the year. Based upon management's assessment of all available evidence, including a relatively short period of recent profitability, coupled with significant uncertainties associated with the Company's 2010 business outlook, the Company concluded as of December 31, 2009, that it was not more likely than not that its net deferred tax assets would be realized.

In March 2010, the Company received its first substantial quantity of production orders for a new low voltage product, which was a new low voltage version of the Company's integrated PLL and register buffer. This new low voltage product was widely expected in the market to be significant and is expected to begin shipping in high volumes for both the Company and its competitors with a new Intel platform in the second

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

half of 2010. This new low voltage product is currently in commercial production and is shipping in volume. The arrival of these production orders from one of the Company's largest customers reduced concerns and increased confidence in the strength of the Company's business outlook for the balance of 2010. In addition, certain other new product introductions began to gain traction with customers, providing additional confidence in the Company's longer term outlook. The Company also achieved further clarity around certain contingencies related to ongoing litigation and certain other product acceptance concerns that existed at December 31, 2009. Furthermore, during the first quarter of 2010 the Company unexpectedly received additional orders for an older product that allowed the Company to exceed its overall plan for the quarter and continue the recent trend of profitability into the first quarter of 2010. At its April 30, 2010 meeting, based on a review of the positive developments that materialized in the first quarter of 2010, the Company's Board of Directors decided to authorize management to retain investment bankers and proceed with plans to pursue a potential initial public offering. Based on these positive developments and an additional quarter of profitable operation, management reassessed the need for a valuation allowance at March 31, 2010 and concluded that a

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

change in circumstances had occurred. Management determined that, based on the Company's prospects and business outlook, it was reasonable to conclude that it is more likely than not that the Company's deferred tax assets will be realized. Accordingly, the Company released the full valuation allowance recorded against its deferred tax assets based on the weight of positive evidence that existed at March 31, 2010. Significant judgment is required to determine the timing and extent of a valuation allowance release and the Company's ability to utilize deferred tax assets will continue to be dependent on the ability to generate sufficient taxable income in future periods.

In the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company was profitable and utilized a substantial amount of its federal net operating loss carryforward. Based on the current trend of operating results and Company forecasts, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that it will recognize the full benefit of the deferred tax assets and no valuation allowance is required as of December 31, 2010.

The decrease in the valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$24,022, \$2,280 and \$3,950, respectively.

General Income Tax Disclosures

The Company has net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$12.9 million and \$41.5 million, respectively at December 31, 2010 that will begin to expire in 2022 and 2016 for federal income tax purposes and state income tax purposes, respectively. In addition, the Company has NOL carryforwards for foreign income tax purposes of \$18.3 million at December 31, 2010, which do not expire.

At December 31, 2010, the Company also has federal and state research and development (R&D) tax credit carryforwards of \$3.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The federal tax credits will begin to expire in 2024, unless previously utilized. The state tax credits do not expire.

Pursuant to Internal Revenue Code sections 382 and 383, use of the Company's NOL and R&D credits generated prior to June 2004 are subject to an annual limitation due to a cumulative ownership percentage change that occurred in that period. The Company has had two changes in ownership, one in December 2000 and the second in June 2004, resulting in an annual limitation on NOL and R&D credit utilization.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately \$3.0 million of unrecognized tax benefits, \$2.4 million of which, if recognized, would affect the effective income tax rate. The Company does not expect any significant increases or decreases to its unrecognized tax benefits within the next 12 months.

The following table summarizes the changes in unrecognized tax benefits:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance as of January 1	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,057	\$ 891
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	1,438	226	166
Additions based on tax positions of prior year	264		
Balance as of December 31	\$ 2,985	\$ 1,283	\$ 1,057

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. The Company recognized no interest or penalties during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 as the prior year's unrecognized tax benefits reduce tax attributes that have not yet been utilized on the Company's tax return.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, state of California and certain foreign jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for tax years ended on or before December 31, 2006 or to California state income tax examinations for tax years ended on or before December 31, 2005. However, to the extent allowed by law, the tax authorities may have the right to examine prior periods where net operating losses or tax credits were generated and carried forward, and make adjustments up to the amount of the net operating loss or credit carryforward.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

On December 31, 2009, the federal R&D credit statute expired and was retroactively reinstated into law on December 17, 2010. Accordingly, the Company recorded a tax benefit for federal research credits for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company does not provide for U.S. income taxes on undistributed earnings of its controlled foreign corporations that are intended to be invested indefinitely outside the United States. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$0.1 million of undistributed earnings.

8. Earnings Per Share

The following shows the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009	2008
Numerator			
Net income (loss)	\$ 26,131	\$ 7,329	\$ (3,428)
Less amount allocable to preferred stockholders	(20,805)	(7,193)	
Less amount allocable to unvested early exercised options and unvested restricted stock award	(86)	(6)	
Net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders basic and diluted	\$ 5,240	\$ 130	\$ (3,428)
Denominator			
Weighted average common stock	5,137,029	1,671,565	1,289,431
Less weighted average unvested common stock subject to repurchase and unvested restricted stock award	(50,860)	(2,689)	
Weighted average common stock basic	5,086,169	1,668,876	1,289,431
Effect of potentially dilutive securities:			
Add options to purchase common stock	3,425,528	1,103,828	
Add warrants to purchase common stock	34,840	12,573	
Weighted-average common stock diluted	8,546,537	2,785,277	1,289,431
Earnings per share			
Basic	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.08	\$ (2.66)

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Diluted	\$	0.61	\$	0.05	\$	(2.66)
---------	----	------	----	------	----	--------

Net income has been allocated to the common stock, convertible participating preferred stock before conversion to common stock, unvested early exercised options and unvested restricted stock award based on their respective rights to share in dividends.

The following securities were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as inclusion would have been anti-dilutive:

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Convertible preferred stock	12,776,077	14,481,699	14,367,283
Common stock options	938,691	2,145,688	5,639,483
Warrant to purchase common stock			38,571
Warrant to purchase redeemable convertible preferred stock		17,187	17,187
Unvested early exercised options	32,872	2,689	
Unvested restricted stock award	17,987		
	13,765,627	16,647,263	20,062,524

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)****9. Convertible Preferred Stock**

Convertible preferred stock as of December 31, 2009 consists of the following:

	Par Value Per Share	Shares		Liquidation Value
		Authorized	Outstanding	
Series A	\$ 0.001	528,858	518,555	\$ 12,100
Series B	\$ 0.001	2,926,670	2,905,783	24,985
Series C	\$ 0.001	6,503,902	6,503,882	18,690
Series D	\$ 0.001	3,512,880	3,509,749	11,989
Series E	\$ 0.001	1,045,714	1,043,731	10,000
		14,518,024	14,481,700	\$ 77,764

The Company's Board of Directors is authorized to determine the rights of each offering of convertible preferred stock including, among other terms, dividend rights, voting rights, conversion rights, redemption prices and liquidation preferences, if any, subject to the limitations of applicable laws, regulations and its charter. In October 2010, the majority of preferred stockholders consented to the automatic conversion of preferred stock to common stock on a one-for-one basis immediately prior to the completion of the initial public offering. In November 2010, the Company completed its IPO and all shares of the Company's then outstanding convertible preferred stock automatically converted into 14,795,413 shares of common stock. Accordingly, no convertible preferred stock was outstanding at December 31, 2010.

The following summarizes the terms of each series of the Company's previously convertible preferred stock:

Conversion Rights

Each share of Series E and Series D was convertible, at the holder's option, into such number of fully paid and nonassessable shares of common stock as determined by dividing \$9.5809 and \$3.4160 by the applicable conversion price, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the conversion price of the Series E and Series D was \$9.5809 and \$3.4160, respectively, such that each share of Series E and Series D was convertible into one share of common stock.

Each share of Series C, Series B and Series A was convertible, at the holder's option, into such number of fully paid and nonassessable shares of common stock as determined by dividing \$2.8738 by the applicable conversion price, as defined. At December 31, 2009 the conversion price of the Series C, Series B and Series A was \$2.8738 such that each share of Series C, Series B or Series A was convertible into one share of common stock.

In the event of the issuance of additional shares of common stock, subject to certain exclusions, at a price per share less than the conversion price for any series of convertible preferred stock in effect on the date of such issuance, the conversion price for that series will be adjusted based on a weighted average anti-dilution formula. The conversion price was also subject to adjustment based on certain other anti-dilution provisions. Each share of convertible preferred stock automatically converted into shares of common stock at its then effective conversion rate either immediately upon the closing of a qualified initial public offering as defined, or upon the consent of a majority of the preferred stockholders.

Redemption Provisions

The holders of Series E, Series D, Series C and Series B were entitled to redeem their shares in three equal installments at any time between July 30, 2012 and July 30, 2013, upon the written election of the holders of then outstanding shares. The redemption provisions required at least 50% of Series E and Series D and 66 ²/₃% of the Series C and Series B, voting on an as converted to common stock basis. The redemption price for each share of preferred stock was the sum equal to the Original Issue Price for each share of preferred stock, plus all declared but unpaid dividends on such shares at the time of payment. The Series A was not redeemable.

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

Liquidation Preference

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, either voluntary or involuntary, the holders of Series E were entitled to receive, prior and in preference to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of the Series D, Series C, Series B, Series A or common stock, \$9.5809 per share for Series E plus any dividends declared but unpaid on such shares. If the funds to be distributed were insufficient to permit full payment of the preferential amounts, then the assets will be distributed to the Series E holders ratably in proportion to the full amount due to the Series E holders. After the payment of the Series E liquidation preference, any excess assets and funds of the Company will be distributed to the holders of the Series D, prior and in preference to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of the Series C, Series B, Series A or common stock, at \$3.4160 per share for Series D plus any dividends declared but unpaid on such shares. If the funds to be distributed were insufficient to permit full payment of the preferential amounts, then the assets will be distributed to the Series D holders ratably in proportion to the full amount due to the Series D holders. After the payment of the Series D liquidation preference, any excess assets and funds of the Company will be distributed to the holders of the Series C and Series B, prior and in preference to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of the Series A or common stock, at \$2.8738 per share for Series C and \$8.5984 per share of Series B, plus any dividends declared but unpaid on such shares. If the funds to be distributed were insufficient to permit full payment of the preferential amounts, then the assets will be distributed to the Series C and Series B holders on a pari passu basis ratably in proportion to the full amount due to the Series C and Series B holders. After the payment of the Series C and Series B liquidation preference, any excess assets and funds of the Company will be distributed to the holders of the Series A, prior and in preference to any distribution of any assets or surplus funds to the holders of the common stock, at \$23.34 per share for Series A plus any dividends declared but unpaid on such shares. If the funds to be distributed were insufficient to permit full payment of the preferential amounts, then the assets will be distributed to the Series A holders ratably in proportion to the full amount due to the Series A holders.

After the payment of the convertible preferred stock liquidation preferences, any excess assets and funds of the Company will be distributed ratably among the holders of the common stock and convertible preferred stockholders in proportion to the number of common shares held by them or issuable upon the conversion of the convertible preferred stock.

Liquidation was deemed to include the Company's sale of all or substantially all of its assets or the acquisition of the Company by another person or entity by means of merger or consolidation resulting from the transfer of 50% or more of the Company's voting power. Convertible preferred stockholders can waive this deemed liquidation preference by a vote of at least 67% of the convertible preferred stock, voting as a single class on an as-converted to common stock basis.

Voting Rights

The convertible preferred stockholders were entitled to one vote for each share of common stock into which such convertible preferred stock can be converted.

Dividends

The holders of each series of convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive noncumulative dividends per annum when and if declared by the Board of Directors. The Series E, Series D, Series C, Series B and Series A were entitled to dividends of \$0.7665, \$0.2733, \$0.2299, \$0.6879, and \$1.8667, per share, respectively. After the foregoing dividend payments, if any have been made in full for in a given calendar year, the holders of the preferred stock were entitled to receive dividends with the holders of common stock on an as-converted common stock basis if declared by the Board of Directors. No dividends have been declared or paid to date.

10. Warrants

In connection with various financing agreements, the Company issued warrants to purchase common stock and preferred stock. At December 31, 2009, the following warrants were outstanding:

	Number of Shares	Exercise Price per Share
Series B Preferred Stock Warrants	15,045	\$ 8.60
Series D Preferred Stock Warrants	2,142	3.42
Common Stock Warrants	38,571	1.54

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

The warrants to purchase Series B expire upon the earlier to occur of 1) a Qualifying Acquisition as defined or 2) December 31, 2010. The warrant to purchase Series D expires on the longer to occur of 1) the seventh anniversary after the issuance date or 2) 3 years after the Company's initial public offering. The warrant to purchase 12,857 shares of common stock expires on June 8, 2014. The warrant to purchase 25,714 of common stock expires on the earliest to occur of 1) June 22, 2012, 2) a Qualified Initial Public Offering, or 3) a Qualifying Acquisition as defined.

In June 2005, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on the classification of freestanding warrants and other similar instruments on shares that are redeemable (either puttable or mandatorily redeemable). The guidance requires liability classification for warrants issued that are exercisable into convertible preferred stock. Liability classification requires the warrants to be remeasured to their fair value each reporting period. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of the warrants of \$55, was included in accrued liabilities and the changes in fair value has been recorded in other income (expense).

In November 2010, upon completion of the initial public offering, all preferred stock warrants were converted to common stock warrants. In addition, 15,045 warrants were exercised. As of December 31, 2010, there were 2,142 and 38,571 outstanding common stock warrants with exercise price of \$3.42 and \$1.54 per share, respectively.

11. Stock Option Plan

In 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan (the Plan). Under provisions of the Plan, employees, outside directors, consultants and other independent advisors who provide services to the Company may be issued incentive and non-qualified stock options to purchase common stock or may be issued shares of common stock directly. The Board of Directors is authorized to administer the Plan and establish the stock option terms, including the exercise price and vesting period. Options granted under the plan may have varying vesting schedules; however, options generally vest 25% upon completion of one year of service and thereafter in 36 equal monthly installments. Options granted are immediately exercisable and the shares issued upon exercise of the option are subject to a repurchase right held by the Company. The repurchase price under the repurchase right is the original exercise price and the right lapses in accordance with the option-vesting schedule. There were 32,875 and 89,232 unvested shares subject to the Company's repurchase right as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The proceeds received from the unvested early exercise of options are presented in the balance sheet as liabilities and subsequently classified to equity based on the vesting schedule. The vesting of certain options granted or shares issued under the Plan is subject to acceleration of vesting upon the occurrence of certain events as defined in the Plan.

Under the Plan, the exercise price, in the case of an incentive stock option, can not be less than 100%, and in the case of a nonqualified stock option, not less than 85%, of the fair market value of such shares on the date of grant. The term of the option is determined by the Board but in no case can exceed 10 years. At December 31, 2009, 7,901,158 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the Plan.

In June 2010, the Board of Directors approved the Company's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, which became effective in November 2010. Upon completion of the Company's initial public offering, shares originally reserved for issuance under the 2000 Plan but which were not issued or subject to outstanding grants on the effective date of the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, and share subject to outstanding options or forfeiture restriction under the 2000 Plan on the effective date of the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan that are subsequently forfeited or terminated before being exercised, become available for awards under the 2010 Stock Incentive plan, up to 428,571 shares. At December 31, 2010, 2,032,192 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. There were no equity awards granted under this plan in 2010.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Risk-free interest rate	2.99%	2.67%	4.13%
Expected life (in years)	6.42	6.25	6.25
Dividend yield			
Expected volatility	60.00%	68.00%	55.00%

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes information regarding options outstanding:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	5,362,506	1.61	6.84	\$ 15,175
Granted	1,839,738	9.75		
Exercised	(396,753)	1.22		
Canceled	(133,242)	2.88		
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	6,672,249	\$ 3.85	6.88	\$ 108,369
Exercisable at December 31, 2010	6,672,249	\$ 3.85	6.88	\$ 108,369
Vested at December 31, 2010	3,874,307	\$ 1.61	5.48	\$ 71,608
Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2010	6,658,466	\$ 3.84	6.87	\$ 108,205

The intrinsic value of options outstanding, exercisable and vested and expected to vest is calculated based on the difference between the exercise price and the fair value of the Company's common stock as of the respective balance sheet date.

Stock-based compensation expense is included in the Company's results of operations as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Operating expenses			
Cost of goods sold	\$ 107	\$ 31	\$ 119
Research and development	1,381	475	358
Sales and marketing	526	238	101
General and administrative	691	421	417

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

\$ 2,705 \$ 1,165 \$ 995

Total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options at December 31, 2010, prior to the consideration of expected forfeitures, is approximately \$10,219 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.52 years.

The total fair value of employee options vested during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$887, \$963 and \$1,007, respectively.

The weighted average grant date fair value per share of stock options granted to employees during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$5.79, \$1.34 and \$1.10, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$3,247, \$890 and \$294, respectively. The intrinsic value of exercised options is calculated based on the difference between the exercise price and the fair value of the Company's common stock as of the exercise date. Cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$485, \$733 and \$644, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

On April 30, 2010, the Board of Directors granted 17,142 restricted stock awards to a Board member with a fair value of \$9.29. The award vests 25% after one year of service and thereafter in 36 equal monthly installments.

On August 17, 2010, the Board of Directors granted 17,142 restricted stock awards to a Board member with a fair value of \$12.02. The award vests 25% after one year of service and thereafter in 36 equal monthly installments.

12. Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has established a 401(k) tax-deferred savings plan (the Plan) which permits participants to make contributions by salary deduction pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Company may, at its discretion, make matching contributions to the Plan. Furthermore, the Company is responsible for administrative costs of the Plan. The Company has not made contributions to the Plan since its inception.

13. Fair Value Measurements

The guidance on fair value measurements requires fair value measurements to be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, or

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

The following table presents information about assets and liabilities required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis:

December 31, 2010	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents	\$ 80,017	\$	\$ 80,017
December 31, 2009	Level 1	Level 3	Total
Cash equivalents	\$ 15,212	\$	\$ 15,212
Warrants		(55)	(55)
	\$ 15,212	\$ (55)	\$ 15,157

As of December 31, 2010, cash equivalents consist mainly of money market funds which are valued using the amortized cost method, in accordance with Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act which approximates fair value. Cash equivalents as of December 31, 2010 are categorized as Level 2.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2009, cash equivalents consist mainly of money market funds which are traded in active exchange markets. The cash equivalents are categorized as Level 1.

The Company utilized a Black-Scholes option pricing model in order to determine the fair value of the preferred stock warrants, including the consideration of a risk-free interest rate, expected term and expected volatility. Certain inputs used in the model are unobservable. The fair values of preferred stock warrants can change significantly based on market conditions and changes in the underlying value of the convertible preferred stock. Preferred stock warrants were categorized as Level 3. As of December 31, 2010, the preferred stock warrants were converted to common stock warrant as a result of the IPO.

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

The following table summarizes the change in value of the preferred stock warrants for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 55	\$ 72
Change in fair value included in other (income) expense	75	(17)
Transfer to additional paid-in capital	(130)	
Balance at end of year	\$	\$ 55

14. Segment Information

The Company operates in one reportable segment. The Company's Chief Executive Officer, who is considered to be the chief operating decision maker, manages the Company's operations as a whole and reviews consolidated financial information for purposes of evaluating financial performance and allocating resources. Revenue by region is classified based on the locations to which the product is transported, which may differ from the customer's principal offices.

The following table sets forth the Company's revenue by geographic region:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States	\$ 13,528	\$ 10,727	\$ 12,265
Korea	14,319	18,307	15,147
Japan	6,557	5,688	5,903
China	29,238	9,924	2,258
Taiwan	6,838	5,687	1,544
Other	12,713	8,519	5,837
	\$ 83,193	\$ 58,852	\$ 42,954

As of December 31, 2009, substantially all of the Company's long-lived tangible assets were located in the United States. As of December 31, 2010, \$1,280 of long-lived tangible assets are located outside the United States of which \$864 are located in Taiwan.

15. Commitments and Contingencies**Leases**

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

The Company leases its facility and certain equipment under noncancelable lease agreements expiring in various years through 2016. The Company also licenses certain software used in its research and development activities under a term license subscription and maintenance arrangement.

Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases having initial terms in excess of one year are as follows:

Table of Contents**Inphi Corporation****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)**

	December 31, 2010
2011	\$ 3,726
2012	2,965
2013	1,180
2014	1,188
2015	1,006
2016	736
	\$ 10,801

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, lease operating expense was \$3,272, \$2,811 and \$2,649, respectively.

Noncancelable Purchase Obligations

The Company's noncancelable purchase obligations consisted primarily of license and consulting fees the Company committed to pay under several agreements. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's future noncancelable purchase obligations are as follows:

	December 31, 2010
2011	\$ 745
2012	175
	\$ 920

Legal Proceedings

Netlist, Inc. v. Inphi Corporation, Case No. 09-cv-6900 (C.D. Cal.)

On September 22, 2009, Netlist filed suit in the United States District Court, Central District of California, or the Court, asserting that the Company infringes U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537. Netlist filed an amended complaint on December 22, 2009, further asserting that the Company infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,619,912 and 7,636,274, collectively with U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537, the patents-in-suit, and seeking both unspecified monetary damages to be determined and an injunction to prevent further infringement. These infringement claims allege that the Company's iMB and certain other memory module components infringe the patents-in-suit. The Company answered the amended complaint on February 11, 2010 and asserted that the Company does not infringe the patents-in-suit and that the patents-in-suit are invalid. The Company has since filed *inter partes* requests for reexamination with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the USPTO), asserting that the patents-in-suit are invalid.

On August 27, 2010, the USPTO granted the Request for Inter Partes Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon each of the different issues that the Company raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO has not, however, accompanied its Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, indicating that such evaluation will be forthcoming at a later time. With respect to the granted reexamination request for U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274, the USPTO will evaluate the validity of this patent in reexamination proceedings.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

On September 8, 2010, the USPTO ordered the Inter Partes Request for Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon different issues that the Company raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO accompanied this Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, and rejected some but not all of claims. In a response dated October 8, 2010, Netlist responded to the USPTO determination by amending

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

some but not all of the claims, adding new claims and making arguments why the claims were not invalid in view of the cited references. The Company provided rebuttal comments to the USPTO on January 27, 2011, which the USPTO will consider, and the proceeding will continue in accordance with established inter partes reexamination procedures.

On September 8, 2010, the USPTO ordered the Inter Partes Request for Reexamination for U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912 and found a substantial new question of patentability based upon different issues that we raised as the reexamination requestor. The USPTO accompanied this Reexamination Order of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912 with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, and determined that all of the claims were patentable based upon the Company's reexamination. Netlist has not commented upon this Reexamination Order. The USPTO on February 28, 2011 also merged the Proceedings of our Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912, bearing Control No. 90/001,339 with Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding 95/000,578 filed October 20, 2010 on behalf of SMART Modular Technologies, Inc. and Inter Partes Reexamination Proceeding 95/000,579 filed October 21, 2010 on behalf of Google, Inc. In each of these other Reexamination Proceedings, the USPTO had indicated that there existed a substantial new question of patentability with respect to certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912, but had not accompanied the Reexamination Orders related thereto with its own evaluation of the validity of this patent, indicating that such evaluation would be forthcoming at a later time. The merged Reexamination Proceeding will be conducted in accordance with established procedures for merged Reexamination Proceedings. As part of the merged Reexamination Proceeding, once the USPTO issues a Right of Notice of Appeal, the Company will have the opportunity to appeal the USPTO determination of its Reexamination Request in accordance with these established procedures for merged Reexamination Proceedings.

The reexamination proceedings could result in a determination that the patents-in-suit, in whole or in part, are valid or invalid, as well as modifications of the scope of the patents-in-suit.

A third party, Sanmina-SCI Corporation, or SSC, has also requested interference proceedings with the USPTO with respect to each of the patents-in-suit. In its April 21, 2010 Request for Continued Examination of U.S. Application No. 11/142,989 (SSC 989 patent application), SSC asserted that it has priority to the inventions claimed by the patents-in-suit and should be granted rights to those inventions. The Company has entered into an agreement with SSC for a non-exclusive license to those rights, if any, that SSC may obtain to the inventions claimed by the patents-in-suit if the USPTO agrees to commence interference proceedings and if SSC prevails in those proceedings.

The USPTO, in a communication dated July 7, 2010, acknowledged that claims were submitted in a filing made in the SSC 989 patent application to invoke an Interference with each of the patents-in-suit, but has declined to declare an Interference at this time. The July 7, 2010 USPTO communication rejected the claims submitted to invoke the Interference based upon 35 USC 112, with the rejection asserting that these claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. SSC responded to this USPTO communication on December 24, 2010, and a further communication from the USPTO is anticipated.

In connection with the reexamination requests and the interference proceedings, the Company also filed a motion to stay proceedings with the Court, which was granted on May 18, 2010, whereby the Court stayed the proceedings until at least February 14, 2011, requested that Netlist notify the Court within one week of any action taken by the USPTO in connection with the reexamination or interference proceedings, and requested that the parties file papers by January 31, 2011 stating their position on whether the stay should be extended. The Company filed its paper on January 31, 2011 stating the reasons it believed the stay should be maintained and Netlist, having been given leave to file its paper later, filed its paper on February 21, 2011. The Court ordered a continued stay of the proceedings until February 24, 2012, that the parties file papers by January 30, 2012 stating their position on whether the stay should be extended, and that Netlist notify the Court within one week of any action taken by the USPTO in the reexamination or interference proceedings. While the Court granted the stay until February 24, 2012, the Court could lift the stay before then. For example, if the USPTO confirms that all claims of the patents-in-suit are patentable, the Court may decide to lift the stay. While the Company intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously, litigation, whether or not determined in the Company's favor or settled, could be costly and time-consuming and could divert management's attention and resources, which could adversely affect the Company's business.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

If this litigation results in an adverse outcome, we may be required to cease the manufacture, use or sale of any product held to infringe Netlist's patents, including our iMB product, unless and until we or our customers obtain a license from Netlist. A license from Netlist may or may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. An adverse outcome could also result in our having to pay damages for infringement and the expenditure of significant resources to redesign any infringing product, including our iMB product, in a non-infringing manner, which may or may not be successful. To date, we have only sampled our iMB product and, as a result, we have generated very little revenue. Our ability to generate future revenue from our iMB product, could be adversely affected, though it is currently difficult to estimate the level at which this may affect our revenue.

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Dollars in thousands except share and per share amounts)

Inphi Corporation v. Netlist, Inc, Case No. 09-cv-8749 (C.D. Cal.).

On November, 30, 2009, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court, Central District of California asserting that Netlist infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 7,307,863 and 7,479,799, collectively the patents-in-suit, and are seeking both unspecified monetary damages and an injunction to prevent further infringement. Netlist answered the complaint on January 15, 2010 and filed an amended answer on April 22, 2010, asserting that it does not infringe the patents-in-suit, that the patents-in-suit are invalid and that U.S. Patent No. 7,479,799 is unenforceable due to inequitable conduct before the USPTO. Discovery is currently proceeding, and the Court has set a trial date of October 11, 2011.

The Company is unable to assess the possible outcome of these matters. However, because of the nature and inherent uncertainties of litigation, should the outcome of these actions be unfavorable, the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, the Company may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, investors, directors, officers, employees and other parties with respect to certain matters, including, but not limited to, losses arising out of the Company's breach of such agreements, services to be provided by the Company, or from intellectual property infringement claims made by third-parties. These indemnifications may survive termination of the underlying agreement and the maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. The Company has not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnifications. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is immaterial. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

16. Related Party Transactions

The Company recognized \$27,940, \$21,235 and \$10,227 in revenue during the December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, from an investor. The receivable balance from the investor as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$3,386, and \$3,411, respectively.

In 2007, the Company entered into software subscription and maintenance agreement with Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (Cadence), a related party company. A member of the Company's Board of Directors is also the Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Cadence. The Company committed to pay \$7 million payable in 16 quarterly payments through May 2011. The Company paid \$2.1 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.4 million in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Operating lease expense related to this agreement included in research and development expense was \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. In December 2010, the software subscription and maintenance agreement was renewed effective June 30, 2011. Under the new agreement, the Company committed to pay \$5.25 million payable in 10 quarterly payments through November 2013.

17. Subsequent Events

On January 20, 2011, the Board of Directors granted a 8,879 restricted stock unit award to a Board member and options to purchase an aggregate of 26,450 shares to new employees and a consultant.

Table of Contents

Supplementary Financial Information (Unaudited)

Quarterly Results of Operations

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Mar. 31, 2010	Jun. 30, 2010	Sept. 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2010
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Total revenue	\$ 19,086	\$ 21,099	\$ 21,862	\$ 21,146
Gross profit	11,899	13,755	14,307	13,794
Net income ⁽¹⁾	11,999	7,578	3,579	2,975
Basic earnings per share	0.65	0.37	0.11	0.20
Diluted earnings per share	0.26	0.14	0.05	0.11

	Year Ended December 31, 2009			
	Mar. 31, 2009	Jun. 30, 2009	Sept. 30, 2009	Dec. 31, 2009
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Total revenue	\$ 10,336	\$ 12,986	\$ 18,370	\$ 17,160
Gross profit	6,633	8,334	11,997	10,619
Net income (loss)	(461)	1,223	3,846	2,721
Basic earnings per share	(0.29)		0.15	0.08
Diluted earnings per share	(0.29)		0.08	0.03

- (1) Net income for the quarters ended March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010, included the releases and reversals of valuation allowance of \$10.1 million, \$6.9 million, \$4.4 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15 (e) under the Securities Exchange Act 1934, or the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures met. Our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to meet reasonable assurance standards. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

(b) *Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* This annual report does not include a report of management's assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting or an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm due to a transition period established by rules of the SEC for newly public companies.

(c) *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.* There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III**ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****Executive Officers and Directors**

The following table shows information about our executive officers and directors as of February 28, 2011:

Name	Age	Position
Young K. Sohn	54	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
John Edmunds	53	Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
Ron Torten	43	Vice President of Worldwide Sales
Diosdado P. Banatao	64	Chairman of the Board
Chenming C. Hu ⁽²⁾	63	Director
David J. Ladd ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	64	Director
Timothy D. Semones	51	Director
Peter J. Simone ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	63	Director
Sam S. Srinivasan ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	66	Lead Director
Lip-Bu Tan	51	Director

(1) Member of our audit committee

Table of Contents

- (2) Member of our compensation committee
- (3) Member of our nominating and corporate governance committee

Young K. Sohn has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2007 and as a director since July 2007. Prior to joining us, Mr. Sohn served as an Advisor at Panorama Capital, a venture capital firm, from June 2006 to June 2007. From August 2003 until his retirement in March 2005, Mr. Sohn served as President of Agilent Technologies, Inc.'s Semiconductor Group, now known as Avago Technologies, and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Oak Technology, Inc., a semiconductor company, from 1999 until it was acquired by Zoran Corporation in August 2003. In addition, Mr. Sohn was an advisor to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Media Lab's OLPC (One Laptop Per Child) program from 2005 to 2007 and was the past President and Chairman of the Asia America MultiTechnology Association (AAMA) from 2001 to 2003. He currently serves on the board of directors for ARM Holdings PLC and Cymer, Inc. Mr. Sohn holds a B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Pennsylvania and an M.S. degree from the MIT Sloan School of Management.

John Edmunds has served as our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer since January 2008. He previously served as Chief Financial Officer of Trident Microsystems, a semiconductor company, from June 2004 to January 2008. Mr. Edmunds also served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Oak Technology, Inc. from January 2000 until it was acquired by Zoran Corporation in August 2003. He continued to serve as Vice President of Finance for Zoran until June 2004. Mr. Edmunds started his career as a C.P.A. with Coopers & Lybrand in San Francisco and San Jose. He holds a B.S. degree in finance and accounting from the University of California, Berkeley.

Ron Torten has served as our Vice President of Worldwide Sales since December 2007. Mr. Torten previously served as Chief Executive Officer of Nemerix, a semiconductor company, from January 2006 to December 2007. From January 2004 to December 2005, he served as Vice President, Worldwide Materials, at Agilent Technologies, Inc., a semiconductor company. Mr. Torten served as Vice President and General Manager for the Networking Entertainment Division at Agere Systems, Inc., a semiconductor company, from April 2000 to January 2004. He holds a B.S. degree in chemical engineering from the Technion - Israel Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the University of California, Davis.

Diosdado P. Banatao has served on our board of directors and as chairman of our board of directors since December 2000 and served as our Interim President and Chief Executive Officer from October 2006 to August 2007. Mr. Banatao has been a Managing Partner of Tallwood Venture Capital, a venture capital firm, since July 2000 and served as Interim President and Chief Executive Officer at Ikanos Communications, Inc. from April 2010 to August 2010. From April 2008 to June 2009, he also served as Interim Chief Executive Officer of SiRF Technology Holdings, Inc., which was acquired by CSR plc in June 2009. Prior to forming Tallwood, Mr. Banatao was a venture partner at Mayfield Fund from January 1998 to May 2000. Mr. Banatao co-founded three technology startups: S3 Incorporated, Chips & Technologies and Mostron. He also held positions in engineering and general management at National Semiconductor Corporation, Seeq Technologies and Intersil Corporation. Mr. Banatao currently serves on the board of directors of Ikanos Communications, Inc. He previously served as Chairman and led investments in SiRF Technology, acquired by CSR plc (CSR); CSR plc (CSR); Marvell Technology Group (MRVL); Acclaim Communications, acquired by Level One (INTC); Newport Communications, acquired by Broadcom (BRCM); Cyra Systems, acquired by Ciena (CIEN); and Stream Machine, acquired by Cirrus Logic (CRUS). He has also served on the board of directors of various privately held companies in the semiconductor industry. Mr. Banatao holds a B.S. degree in electrical engineering, cum laude, from the Mapua Institute of Technology in the Philippines and an M.S. degree in electrical engineering from Stanford University.

Mr. Banatao's background as a technologist, as well as a senior manager of, board member of, and investor in numerous semiconductor companies provides a diversity of experience for his service on our board of directors. The companies with which he has been involved range from start-up companies to very large public corporations.

Dr. Chenming C. Hu has served on our board of directors since August 2010. Since 2004, Dr. Hu has served as the TSMC Distinguished Chair Professor of Microelectronics in Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at University of California, Berkeley, where he has been a professor since 1976. From 2001 until 2004, Dr. Hu was the Chief Technology Officer at Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company. Dr. Hu also serves on the boards of SanDisk Corp. and Formfactor, Inc. and was the founding board chairman of Celestry Design Solutions. Dr. Hu is a member of the U.S. National Academy of Engineering, the Chinese Academy of Sciences and Academia Sinica. Dr. Hu received his B.S. degree from National Taiwan University and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from University of California, Berkeley, all in electrical engineering.

Dr. Hu's background as an academic in electrical engineering and computer science provides a diversity of experience for his service on our board of directors and valuable insight into our industry. Dr. Hu has also served on the board of directors of several other technology companies.

Table of Contents

David J. Ladd has served on our board of directors since June 2007. In 1997, Mr. Ladd joined Mayfield Fund, a forty-one year old venture capital firm, where he has served in various capacities as a member of Mayfield Fund's investment team until his retirement in December 2010. Prior to joining Mayfield Fund, he served as Chief Technology Officer of Octel Communications Corporation from 1994 until it was acquired by Lucent Technologies in 1997. In 1981 he co-founded Opcom/VMX, a voice messaging company, which was acquired by Octel in 1994. Mr. Ladd holds a

B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the University of California, Berkeley and an M.S. degree in Computer Science from Stevens Institute of Technology.

Mr. Ladd's experience as a technologist and as a technology-focused investor, which gives him in-depth knowledge of, and exposure to, current technology and industry trends and developments, provides us with valuable insight into our industry and target markets.

Timothy D. Semones is one of our founders and has served as a director since 2001. Mr. Semones also served as our Chief Financial Officer from November 2000 to January 2008 and as our Chief Operating Officer from October 2006 to June 2007. Mr. Semones previously served as the Director of Marketing at MindSpring Enterprises, an Internet service provider, and the Director of Broadband Technology at Earthlink Network, an Internet service provider. He has also held general management and engineering positions with Measurement Systems, Inc. and Hewlett-Packard Company. Mr. Semones also sits on the board of directors of Semi Dice, Inc. He holds a B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the Anderson School of Management at the University of California, Los Angeles.

As one of our founders and a technologist, Mr. Semones has comprehensive expertise and knowledge regarding our semiconductor solutions and technology, as well as insight into our anticipated future technological needs and industry needs.

Peter J. Simone has served on our board of directors since April 2010. Mr. Simone has served as an investment consultant and as a consultant to numerous private companies since February 2001. He also served as Executive Chairman of SpeedFam-IPEC, Inc., a semiconductor equipment manufacturing company, which was acquired by Novellus Systems, Inc., from June 2001 to December 2002. From February 2000 to February 2001, Mr. Simone served as a director and President of Active Controls Experts, Inc., a manufacturer and distributor of solid-state actuators, and served as President, Chief Executive Officer and director of Xionics Document Technologies, Inc., a software company, from April 1997 until Xionics' acquisition by Oak Technology, Inc. in January 2000. Mr. Simone currently serves on the board of directors of Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc., Newport Corporation, Veeco Instruments, Inc. and Cymer, Inc. He previously served on the board of directors of Sanmina-SCI Corporation from 2003 to 2008. Mr. Simone is also a member of the board of directors of the Massachusetts High Technology Council and is vice president of the board of Walker Home and School for Children. Mr. Simone holds a B.S. degree in accounting from Bentley University and an M.B.A. from Babson College.

Mr. Simone possesses particular knowledge and operational experience across several industries as well as broad experience in financial markets, which provides a diversity of experience.

Sam S. Srinivasan has served on our board of directors since June 2007 and as lead director since February 2011. Mr. Srinivasan served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Health Language, Inc., a software company, from May 2000 to March 2002 and currently serves as Chairman Emeritus. He also served as Senior Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer of Cirrus Logic, Inc., a semiconductor company, from November 1988 to March 1996, and as Director, Internal Audits and subsequently as Corporate Controller of Intel Corporation, a semiconductor company, from May 1984 to November 1988. Currently, Mr. Srinivasan serves on the board of directors of TranSwitch Corporation, as well as its nominating and corporate governance committee and is the chairman of its audit committee. Mr. Srinivasan previously served on the board of directors of SiRF Technology Holdings, Inc. from 2004 to 2009, Centillum Communications, Inc. from 2006 to 2008, and Leadis Technology, Inc. from 2008 to 2009. He holds a B.A. in commerce from Madras University, India and an M.B.A. from Case Western Reserve University. Mr. Srinivasan is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Mr. Srinivasan has considerable financial experience with publicly-traded companies and is a certified public accountant. He has also served as a director for a number of technology companies and as member of various board of director committees.

Lip-Bu Tan has served on our board of directors since May 2002. Mr. Tan has served as Chairman of Walden International, an international venture capital firm, since he founded the firm in 1987. He has also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cadence Design Systems, Inc., an electronic design automation software and engineering services company, since January 2009 and as a director since 2004. Mr. Tan currently serves on the board of directors of Flextronics International Ltd., Semiconductor

Table of Contents

Manufacturing International Corporation and SINA Corporation. He previously served on the board of directors of Centillium Communications, Inc. from 1997 to 2007, Creative Technology, Ltd. from 1990 to 2009, Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. from 1990 to 2007, Leadis Technology, Inc. from 2002 to 2006 and MindTree Ltd. from 2006 to 2009. He holds a B.S. degree in physics from Nanyang University in Singapore, an M.S. degree in nuclear engineering from Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the University of San Francisco.

As Chief Executive Officer of Cadence and a Chairman of an international venture capital firm, as well as a director of a number of technology companies, Mr. Tan has extensive experience in the electronic design and semiconductor industries, as well as international operations and corporate governance expertise.

Board Committees

We have established an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. We believe that the composition of these committees meet the criteria for independence under, and the functioning of these committees complies with the applicable requirements of, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the current rules of the NYSE and SEC rules and regulations. We intend to comply with future requirements as they become applicable to us. Our board of directors has determined that Messrs. Simone and Srinivasan are each an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the rules promulgated by the SEC. Each committee has the composition and responsibilities described below:

Audit Committee. Messrs. Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan serve on our audit committee. Mr. Srinivasan is chairperson of this committee. Our audit committee assists our board of directors in fulfilling its legal and fiduciary obligations in matters involving our accounting, auditing, financial reporting, internal control and legal compliance functions, and is directly responsible for the approval of the services performed by our independent accountants and reviewing of their reports regarding our accounting practices and systems of internal accounting controls. Our audit committee also oversees the audit efforts of our independent accountants and takes actions as it deems necessary to satisfy itself that the accountants are independent of management. Our audit committee is also responsible for monitoring the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements as they relate to financial statements or accounting matters.

In addition, our board of directors considered Mr. Simone's services on the audit committee of four other corporate boards of directors. Mr. Simone serves as a member of our audit committee. He also serves as the chairman of the audit committee of Monotype, Newport, Veeco and Cymer, all publicly-traded companies. Pursuant to the terms of the audit committee charter and the regulations of the NYSE, our board of directors has determined that Mr. Simone's simultaneous service on multiple audit committees would not impair his ability to effectively serve on our audit committee.

Compensation Committee. Dr. Hu and Messrs. Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan serve on our compensation committee. Mr. Simone is chairperson of this committee. Our compensation committee assists our board of directors in meeting its responsibilities with regard to oversight and determination of executive compensation and assesses whether our compensation structure establishes appropriate incentives for officers and employees. Our compensation committee reviews and makes recommendations to our board of directors with respect to our major compensation plans, policies and programs. In addition, our compensation committee reviews and makes recommendations for approval by the independent members of our board of directors regarding the compensation for our executive officers, establishes, modifies the terms and conditions of employment of our executive officers and administers our stock option plans.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Messrs. Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan serve on our nominating and corporate governance committee. Mr. Ladd is chairperson of this committee. Our nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for making recommendations to our board of directors regarding candidates for directorships and the size and composition of the board. In addition, our nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for overseeing our corporate governance guidelines, and reporting and making recommendations to the board concerning corporate governance matters.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Dr. Hu and Messrs. Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan served as members of our compensation committee during 2010. None of the members of our compensation committee is or has in the past served as an officer or employee of our company. None of our executive officers currently serves, or in the past year has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our board of directors or compensation committee.

Table of Contents

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC. Officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders are required to furnish us with copies of all Forms 3, 4 and 5 they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms we have received and written representations from certain reporting persons that they filed all required reports, we believe that all of our officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them with respect to transactions during fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Code of Ethics

Our written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applies to all of its directors and employees, including its executive officers. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at <http://www.inphi.com>. Changes to or waivers of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics will be disclosed on the same website.

ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis discusses the compensation programs and policies for our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and our two other mostly highly compensated executive officers as determined by the rules of the SEC. In February 2011, Dr. Raghavan resigned from his position as Chief Technology Officer. Our named executive officers and their positions in 2010 were:

Young K. Sohn	President and Chief Executive Officer
John Edmunds	Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
Gopal Raghavan	Former Chief Technology Officer
Ron Torten	Vice President of Worldwide Sales

Recommendations for executive compensation are made by our Compensation Committee and approved our board of directors, except that compensation recommendations for our Chief Executive Officer are approved by the non-employee members of our board of directors. The primary components of compensation for our named executive officers were base salary, cash incentive compensation and equity-based compensation. The following information should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth below.

Objectives of the Executive Compensation Program

Our executive compensation program is shaped by the competitive market for executives in the semiconductor industry. We have designed an executive compensation program with the following primary objectives:

to attract, retain and motivate talented and experienced executives;

to provide fair, equitable and reasonable compensation to each executive officer;

to reward job performance, and

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

to further align the interest of our executive officers with that of our stockholders.

Since we were founded in 2000, our executive compensation program has focused primarily on attracting executive talent to manage and operate our business, retaining individuals whose employment is key to our success and growth, and rewarding individuals who help us achieve our business objectives. We aim to achieve these objectives while preserving our cash resources, largely through equity-based compensation. By focusing our executive compensation program primarily on equity-based compensation, we have sought to align the interest of our executive officers and stockholders by motivating executive officers to increase the value of our stock over time.

Our Compensation Committee expects to:

refine and modify our compensation programs to further reflect the competitive market for executive talent and our changing business needs as a public company;

use individual and corporate performance goals to tie the compensation of our executive officers to our financial performance and creation of stockholder value;

use equity-based award programs to continue the long-term connection with stockholder value and executive compensation; and

Table of Contents

structure our executive compensation program as to not incentivize unnecessary risk-taking.

Role of Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee is currently comprised of four independent, non-employee directors, Mr. Simone (Chairman), Dr. Hu, Mr. Ladd and Mr. Srinivasan. Our compensation committee determines and recommends to our board of directors the compensation for our executive officers. With respect to our named executive officers, other than our Chief Executive Officer, our compensation committee meets with our Chief Executive Officer as needed to provide evaluations of our executive officers and other relevant information to our compensation committee and makes recommendations regarding appropriate compensation for each executive, including merit increases, changes to incentive compensation and grant of equity awards. Historically, our compensation committee has established the executive compensation by considering the competitive market for corresponding positions at companies of similar size and stage of development operating in the semiconductor industry. Specifically, our compensation committee used research and industry standards based on their personal knowledge of the competitive market. In 2010, to complement its review of executive compensation for our named executive officers, our compensation committee consulted the 2009 High Technology Executive Compensation Survey, a publicly available compensation survey prepared by Radford, a compensation consulting firm, to benchmark our executive compensation against companies with similar revenues, market capitalization and other financial measures within our industry. We expect that our compensation committee will continue to engage an independent consultant in setting our executive compensation program.

2010 Competitive Market Review

Our compensation committee has the sole authority to retain compensation consultants to assist in its evaluation of our executive compensation program, including authority to approve the consultant's fees and other terms of its engagement. Our compensation committee engaged Radford in January 2010 to perform the following services:

assess and provide recommendations with respect to updating the list of peer companies against which we benchmark our executive compensation;

brief our compensation committee on current compensation market trends;

assess our performance against our peer groups and evaluate our current executive compensation program with a view to supporting and reinforcing our long-term strategic goals; and

assist our compensation committee in developing a competitive executive compensation program to reinforce our long-term strategic goals.

To understand our position relative to market, it has been our historical practice to consider the market for comparable positions on an annual basis to ensure executive compensation remains competitive. Going forward, our compensation committee intends to evaluate the practice of setting our executive compensation program at the median of our peer group as established by our compensation committee. In 2010, Radford selected the following 16 companies to create a benchmark for assistance in determining competitive compensation packages.

Advanced Analogic Technologies	Hittite Microwave	Microsemi	Semtech
Applied Micro Circuits	Integrated Device Technology	Monolithic Power Systems	Silicon Labs
Cavium Networks	Lattice Semiconductor	Netlogic Microsystems	Standard Microsystems
Cirrus Logic	Micrel	Power Integrations	Volterra Semiconductor

Elements of Executive Compensation

Overview

Our executive compensation program consists of three principal components:

base salary;

cash incentive compensation; and

equity-based compensation.

We also provide our executive officers with other benefits, including commuting allowance, severance, change-of-control benefits and the ability to participate in employee benefit plans on the same terms as all other eligible employees. While we do not have an exact formula for allocating between cash and non-cash compensation, we try to balance long-term equity versus short-term cash compensation and variable compensation versus fixed compensation.

Base Salary

Our base salaries are intended to provide financial stability, predictability and security of compensation for our executive officers for fulfilling their core job responsibilities. Our compensation committee considered several factors in determining base salaries,

Table of Contents

including each executive officer's position, functional role, scope of responsibilities and seniority, individual performance, our financial performance and the relative ease or difficulty of replacing such executive officer with a person with comparable experience.

The effective base salary for each of our named executive officers for 2009 and 2010 was as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Annual Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	
	2009	2010
Young K. Sohn	\$ 250,000	\$ 300,000
John Edmunds	\$ 250,000	\$ 260,000
Gopal Raghavan	\$ 200,000	\$ 225,000
Ron Torten	\$ 200,000	\$ 225,000

(1) Reflects the highest annualized base salary established for the named executive officer during each year.

From our time of incorporation until 2009, we did not make substantial increases in our base salary structure for our executive officers. As we did not realize net profits and positive cash flows from operations until second quarter of 2009, our base salaries reflected our status as a start-up company focused principally on technology and product development and efficient use of limited cash resources. However, in 2009, our revenue began to increase and we generated positive cash flows. Accordingly, our compensation committee approved the increase in base salaries of our executive officers in light of their additional responsibilities as we focused on increased customer and revenue growth. The increase was consistent with the Radford survey of base salaries from our peer group and brought our executive officers' base salaries to approximately the 25th percentile of base salaries of our peer group.

Cash Incentive Compensation

Our cash incentive compensation is intended to incentivize our executive officers in the achievement of our pre-determined financial objectives and individual performance objectives. We believe it is important to provide our executive officers with the opportunity to earn annual cash incentive payments to reward performance and the achievement of various pre-determined objectives. In 2010, we established an annual cash incentive plan for our executive officers in 2010 and we anticipate that we will establish similar cash incentive plans in the future. Under the annual cash compensation plan, an executive officer's annual cash incentive award will generally depend on two performance factors, one related to our financial performance and one related to the executive officer's individual performance as measured against specific management-by-objective goals, or MBO.

Year 2010

In 2010, our compensation committee approved a financial performance-based cash incentive plan for our executive officers. The performance target is based on our revenue growth, and the MBO goals for each of our named executive officers, which include, but are not limited to, achieving our financial performance goals, maintaining leadership in the market, building strong engagements with customers, introducing new products and preparing for our initial public offering. Under this cash incentive plan, if our revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 equaled or exceeded \$72 million, then our bonus pool would be equal to 6% of our targeted earnings before income tax, stock-based compensation expense, and depreciation and amortization. Our bonus pool could increase up to a maximum of 12% of our targeted earnings before income tax, stock-based compensation expense, and depreciation and amortization if we exceed our revenue target by 15% or more. The target amounts that could be paid out of the available bonus pool to our named executive officers are as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Target Cash Incentive (\$)	Percentage of Base		Maximum Cash Incentive (\$)	Percentage of Base Salary (%)
		Salary (%)			
Young K. Sohn	150,000	50		300,000	100
John Edmunds	78,000	30		156,000	60
Gopal Raghavan	67,500	30		135,000	60
Ron Torten	67,500	30		135,000	60

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Mr. Sohn's MBO goals in 2010 were centered around us achieving a corporate revenue goal of \$72 million, as well as achieving product development and market penetration goals, exploring potential growth through establishing relationships with third parties and preparing for a possible initial public offering. Mr. Edmunds's MBO goals in 2010 were centered around us achieving a corporate revenue goal of \$72 million, completing our initial public offering and leading certain functional areas within the company. Mr. Raghavan's MBO goals in 2010 were aligned with developing and maintaining technology leadership and exploring the technology aspects of certain potential strategic relationship opportunities. Mr. Torten's MBO goals for 2010 were based upon us achieving our corporate revenue objective of \$72 million and upon achieving his individual MBO goals to increase sales in order for us to achieve our corporate revenue target of \$72 million, to increase design wins and to maintain our leadership position in the markets in which we compete. For 2010, our revenue was \$83.2 million, exceeding our corporate revenue goal of \$72 million. As a result, our named executive officers were eligible to receive a cash incentive payment from the bonus pool. As discussed above, bonuses for each of our named executive officers were determined based on their overall performance and contribution to our

Table of Contents

company, taking into account their MBO goals. In assessing each individual's performance, our compensation committee did not apply a quantitative analysis but instead made a qualitative assessment of the relative importance of the overall objectives achieved by each of our named executive officers. The bonus paid to each of our named executive officers is set forth in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.

Year 2011

In 2011, our compensation committee approved a financial performance-based cash incentive plan for our named executive officers similar to what was in place for 2010. For 2011, the performance target is based on our operating income growth and the MBO goals for each of our named executive officers, which include, but are not limited, achieving our financial performance goals, maintaining leadership in the market, building strong engagements with customers, introducing new products and operating in good form as a public company. In 2011, if we exceed our operating income targets, 33% of such excess will fund the bonus pool for all employees up to a total of \$2 million. We believe that the 2011 goals are reasonably challenging to incentivize our named executive officers to achieve returns for our stockholders, considered in light of general economic conditions, our company and industry, and competitive conditions. In our judgment, the threshold targets are set at levels exceeding the prior year and are intended to incentivize our executive officers to increase stockholder return.

Equity-Based Compensation

Our equity-based compensation is intended to incentivize and retain executive officers through the use of time-based vesting while tying our long-term financial performance and stockholder value creation to the executive officer's financial gain. Historically, equity-based compensation has been our primary long-term incentive compensation component. We believe that equity-based compensation has been and will continue to be a significant part of our executive officers' total compensation packages. We believe both time-based vesting and shares financial success are long-term incentives that motivate executive officers to grow revenue and earnings, enhance stockholder value and align the interests of our stockholders and executives over the long-term. We believe that long-term performance is achieved through an ownership culture that encourages a high level of continuously improving performance by our executive officers through grants of equity awards. The vesting feature of our equity grants contributes to executive officer retention as this feature provides an incentive to our executive officers to remain in our employ during the vesting period. To date, stock options have been the only type of equity award granted to our executive officers.

The equity-based awards granted to our executive officers have been in the form of stock options granted at fair market value with time-based vesting under our 2000 Stock Plan. All of our executive officers receive equity-based awards when they are hired and these awards typically vest over a four-year period, with 1/4th of the shares vesting one year from the vesting commencement date and the remaining shares vesting in equal monthly installments over the following 36 months. The level of equity-based compensation is reviewed periodically and additional option grants are made from time to time. In the future, we expect our compensation committee to review equity-based compensation levels, along with our base salary and annual cash incentives, on an annual basis.

In 2010, our named executive officers were awarded the following stock options under our 2000 Stock Plan:

Named Executive Officer	Date of Award	Number of Shares
Young K. Sohn	4/30/2010	128,571 ⁽¹⁾
John Edmunds	4/30/2010	42,856 ⁽¹⁾
Gopal Raghavan	4/30/2010	107,142 ⁽¹⁾
Ron Torten	4/30/2010	21,428 ⁽¹⁾
	7/14/2010	428 ⁽²⁾

- (1) The awards will begin vesting on April 30, 2011 and will vest as to 1/48th of the shares monthly thereafter over the 48 succeeding months.
 (2) This award vested immediately in full.

Our compensation committee granted the above awards in recognition of our named executive officers' efforts during the previous year after considering the extraordinary growth and development of our business. In determining the amount of the awards above, our compensation committee considered the executive officer's position, the existing equity awards held by the executive officer and the total number of equity awards outstanding. The equity-based awards are meant to provide long-term incentives to motivate the executive officers to stay and contribute to our continuous growth.

Other Compensatory Benefits

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

We believe it is appropriate and necessary for recruitment and retention to provide our executive officers with other forms of compensatory benefits, including the following:

Severance and Change of Control Benefits. Certain of our named executive officers are entitled to severance and change of control benefits pursuant to their offer letters. We believe these severance and change of control benefits are an essential element of our executive compensation package that enables us to recruit and retain talented executives, the terms of which are described below under Employment, Severance and Change in Control Arrangements.

Table of Contents

Benefits. We maintain broad-based benefits that are provided to all eligible employees, including our 401(k), flexible spending accounts, medical, dental and vision care plans, our life and accidental death and dismemberment insurance policies and long-term and short-term disability plans. Executive officers are eligible to participate in each of these programs on the same terms as non-executive employees. We do not provide any retirement benefits separate from the 401(k).

Other Compensation. We pay Mr. Sohn a commuting allowance to reimburse him for expenses incurred traveling between our Westlake Village office and his place of residence. Under his offer letter, Mr. Sohn is entitled to a commuting allowance of \$50,000 per year. The value of this benefit is included in the 2010 Summary Compensation Table under All Other Compensation.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

Section 162(m). Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, which will become applicable to us upon the closing of this offering, generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to any and each of our Chief Executive Officer and other highest paid officers in office at year end. Qualifying performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limitation if specified requirements are met. We periodically review the potential consequences of Section 162(m) and we generally intend to structure the performance-based portion of our executive compensation, where feasible, to comply with exemptions in Section 162(m) so that the compensation remains tax deductible to us. However, our compensation committee may, in its judgment, authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent.

Share-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the awards, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite employee service period. Our compensation committee has determined to retain for the foreseeable future our stock option program as the sole component of its long-term compensation program and to record this expense on an ongoing basis.

Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to Risk Management

We believe that our compensation policies and practices for all employees, including our executive officers, do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company. In making this determination, we assessed our executive and broad-based compensation and benefits programs to determine if the programs' provisions and operations create undesired or unintentional risk of a material nature. This risk assessment process included a review of our compensation policies and practices and an analysis of our executive compensation program. Although we reviewed all compensation programs, we focused on the programs with variability of payout, with the ability of a participant to directly affect payout and the controls on participant action and payout. Based on the foregoing, we believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create inappropriate or unintended significant risk to us as a whole. We also believe that our incentive compensation arrangements provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage significant risks, are compatible with effective internal controls and our risk management practices, and are supported by the oversight and administration of our compensation committee with regard to our executive compensation program.

Several features in our compensation programs and policies mitigate or reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking by employees, including the following:

The core principles outlined above and compensation program elements discussed below are designed to align goals with stockholder interests.

Pay typically consists of a mix of fixed and variable compensation, with the variable compensation designed to reward both short- and long-term corporate performance.

A significant portion of our executive officers' total direct compensation is in the form of equity awards that usually vest over multiple years. Internal controls, the number of people involved and discipline over financial records, financial reporting, disclosure and external communications tend to dilute the ability of any one individual to single handedly have a material influence on our financial reporting in a way that would materially increase the potential value of an individual's equity award.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

The funded pool of our annual bonus program is dependent upon company revenue performance relative to the annual plan and capped in total by our board of directors when the annual business plan is approved in the beginning of the year. All individual awards for executives from the pool are reviewed by our compensation committee in relation to the individual performance against specific preset objectives. All other awards to individual contributors are also reviewed by the committee for reasonableness and equity among the employees.

Our compensation committee has the ability to use, and has used, negative discretion to reduce payouts under the annual bonuses as appropriate to the circumstances.

Our determination that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company was based upon the considerations identified above.

Table of Contents**2010 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth compensation for services rendered in all capacities to us for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 for our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our two other most highly compensated executive officers as of December 31, 2010, whom we refer to as the named executive officers.

Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽²⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
Young K. Sohn	2010	289,583	730,290	175,000	50,000	1,244,873
President and Chief Executive Officer	2009	250,000		100,000	50,000	400,000
John Edmunds	2010	260,000	243,430	63,000		566,430
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer	2009	250,000	12,195	40,000		302,195
Gopal Raghavan ⁽⁴⁾	2010	220,833	608,575	65,000		894,408
Chief Technology Officer	2009	200,000	41,349	53,200		294,549
Ron Torten	2010	212,500	124,433	75,757		412,690
Vice President of Worldwide Sales	2009	200,000	15,118	109,161		324,279

- (1) The amount reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock option awards made to executive officers in note 11 to the notes to our consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that awards will vest or will be exercised (in which case no value will be realized by the individual), or that the value upon exercise will approximate the aggregate grant date fair value. None of our executive officers forfeited any option awards in 2010.
- (2) Reflects the amount approved by our compensation committee as cash incentive to executive officers for 2010 based upon satisfaction of the criteria under our 2010 bonus program. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Cash Incentive Compensation for a discussion on our bonus plan in 2010.
- (3) Represents commuting allowance.
- (4) Dr. Raghavan resigned as our Chief Technology Officer effective February 18, 2011.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2010

The following table sets forth information on grants of plan-based awards in 2010 to our named executive

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ^{(1) (2)}			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽³⁾
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)			
Young K. Sohn	4/30/10		150,000	300,000	128,571	9.29	730,290
John Edmunds	4/30/10		78,000	156,000	42,856	9.29	243,430
Gopal Raghavan	4/30/10		67,500	135,000	707,142	9.29	608,575
Ron Torten	4/30/10				21,428	9.29	121,715
	7/14/10				428	12.02	2,718
			67,000	135,000			

(1)

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

- The target incentive amounts shown in this column reflect our annual bonus awards originally provided under our cash incentive plan and represents pre-established target awards as a percentage of base salary for fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, with the potential for actual awards under the plan to either exceed or be less than such funding target depending upon corporate performance. Actual award amounts are not guaranteed and are determined at the discretion of the Compensation Committee, which may consider an individual's performance during the period. For additional information, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section. Actual cash incentive plan payouts are reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the 2010 Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) The threshold illustrates the smallest payout that can be made if all of the pre-established performance objectives are achieved at the minimum achievement level. Actual awards may be more or less than these amounts and are at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The target is the payout that can be made if the pre-established performance objectives have been achieved at the target achievement level. The maximum is the greatest payout that can be made if the pre-established maximum performance objectives are achieved or exceeded at the outperform achievement levels.
 - (3) The amount reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all awards of stock options made to executive officers in note 11 to the notes to our consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance

Table of Contents

that awards will vest or will be exercised (in which case no value will be realized by the individual), or that the value upon exercise will approximate the aggregate grant date fair value. None of our executive officers forfeited any option awards in 2010.

Narrative to 2010 Summary Compensation Table and Grants Plan-Based Awards in 2010 Table

Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a complete description of compensation plans pursuant to which the amounts listed under the 2010 Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2010 Table were paid or awarded and the criteria for such payment, including targets for payment of annual incentives, as well as performance criteria on which such payments were based. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis also describes the options grants.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2010

The following table presents certain information concerning equity awards held by our named executive officers at December 31, 2010.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾		Option Expiration Date
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	
Young K. Sohn	866,704		1.78	8/15/2017
	128,571 ⁽⁴⁾		9.29	4/30/2020
John Edmunds	183,221		1.96	3/12/2018
	12,857 ⁽²⁾		1.47	2/25/2019
	42,856 ⁽⁴⁾		9.29	4/30/2020
Gopal Raghavan	143,666 ⁽³⁾		2.34	6/7/2012
	268,761 ⁽³⁾		0.70	5/19/2014
	200,571		1.05	5/5/2016
	42,857 ⁽²⁾		1.47	2/25/2019
	428 ⁽³⁾		2.62	8/27/2019
	107,142 ⁽⁴⁾		9.29	4/30/2020
Ron Torten	193,165		1.96	3/12/2018
	8,571 ⁽²⁾		1.47	2/25/2019
	4,285 ⁽³⁾		2.62	8/27/2019
	21,428 ⁽⁴⁾		9.29	4/30/2020
	428 ⁽³⁾		12.02	7/14/2020

- (1) Except as otherwise noted, all option awards listed in the table vest as to 1/4th of the total number of shares subject to the option 12 months after the vesting commencement date, and the remaining shares vest at a rate of 1/48th of the total number of shares subject to the option each month thereafter. Unless otherwise noted, all option awards are subject to early exercise, subject to our right of repurchase during the vesting period.
- (2) This stock option vests in full after three years of service from the grant date.
- (3) This stock option is fully vested.
- (4) This stock option vests in a series of 48 successive equal monthly installments upon completion of each additional month of service over the 48-month period measured from the first anniversary of such optionee's vesting commencement date.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2010

The following table sets forth the number of shares acquired upon exercise of options by each named executive officer during 2010.

Name	Option Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized On Exercise \$(1)
Young K. Sohn		

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

John Edmunds		
Gopal Raghavan	67,285	755,521
Ron Torten	10,285	103,261

- (1) Value realized is based on the fair market value of our common stock on the date of exercise minus the exercise price. As there was no public market for our common stock on the dates the options were exercised, we have assumed the fair market value on the date of exercise was \$12.00, the initial public offering price per share.

Table of Contents

Employment, Severance and Change in Control Arrangements

In July 2007, we entered into an offer letter agreement with Young K. Sohn, our Chief Executive Officer. This offer letter agreement set Mr. Sohn's base salary at an annual rate of \$250,000. Pursuant to the offer letter agreement, Mr. Sohn is entitled to a commuting allowance of \$50,000 annually, or \$4,167 per month. In addition, Mr. Sohn was granted options to purchase 1,220,703 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Stock Plan. Mr. Sohn is also entitled to participate in all employee benefit plans, including group health care plans and all fringe benefit plans. Mr. Sohn's offer letter agreement provides that he is an at-will employee and his employment may be terminated at any time by us. On June 8, 2010, we entered into an amendment to Mr. Sohn's offer letter to conform his offer letter to the requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

Pursuant to Mr. Sohn's offer letter agreement, if Mr. Sohn's employment terminates after a corporate transaction as defined below, he will receive one year of benefits and salary. If he is involuntarily terminated within 18 months of a corporate transaction, then his options granted under the offer letter agreement will become fully vested. If Mr. Sohn's employment is involuntarily terminated and his termination is not subsequent to a corporate transaction, as defined below, Mr. Sohn will receive one year of benefits. However, these provisions were superseded pursuant to a change of control severance agreement we entered into with Mr. Sohn on June 8, 2010. Under this change of control severance agreement, if Mr. Sohn is terminated by us without cause, as defined below, or if he resigns for good reason, as defined below, within 12 months of an Inphi change of control, as defined below, Mr. Sohn will be entitled to receive a lump sum equal to 200% of the sum of his annual base salary, plus his annual target bonus as in effect on his termination date. In addition, if Mr. Sohn elects and pays to continue health insurance under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, or COBRA, we will reimburse Mr. Sohn on a monthly basis an amount equal to the monthly amount we were paying as the employer-portion of premium contributions for health coverage for Mr. Sohn and his eligible dependents, until the earlier of (a) the end of the 24-month period following his termination date or (b) the date Mr. Sohn or his eligible dependents lose eligibility for COBRA continued coverage. We also agreed to accelerate the vesting of 100% of his unvested outstanding equity awards.

In December 2007, we entered into an offer letter agreement with John Edmunds, our Chief Financial Officer. This offer letter agreement set Mr. Edmunds' base salary at an annual rate of \$250,000. Pursuant to the offer letter agreement, Mr. Edmunds was entitled to a commuting allowance of \$2,000 per month and a relocation allowance of up to \$25,000 in the event he relocates to Westlake Village. However, it was agreed that instead of receiving this commuting allowance, we would reimburse Mr. Edmunds for travel expenses incurred for traveling between our headquarters in Sunnyvale, California and Westlake Village, California. In addition, Mr. Edmunds was granted options to purchase 183,221 shares of common stock, determined by our board of directors under the 2000 Stock Plan. Mr. Edmunds is also entitled to participate in all employee benefit plans, including group health care plans and all fringe benefit plans. Mr. Edmunds' offer letter agreement provides that he is an at-will employee and his employment may be terminated at any time by us.

The offer letter agreement further provided that if Mr. Edmunds' employment terminates within 18 months after a corporate transaction, as defined below, his option granted under his offer letter agreement will accelerate as to 50% of the unvested shares. However, pursuant to his stock option agreement, the vesting of the option will accelerate and the option will become fully vested. These provisions were superseded pursuant to a change of control severance agreement we entered into with Mr. Edmunds on June 8, 2010. Under this change of control severance agreement, if Mr. Edmunds is terminated by us without cause, as defined below, or if he resigns for good reason, as defined below, within 12 months of an Inphi change of control, as defined below, Mr. Edmunds will be entitled to receive a lump sum equal to 150% of the sum of his annual base salary, plus his annual target bonus as in effect on his termination date. In addition, if Mr. Edmunds elects and pays to continue health insurance under COBRA, we will reimburse Mr. Edmunds on a monthly basis an amount equal to the monthly amount we were paying as the employer-portion of premium contributions for health coverage for Mr. Edmunds and his eligible dependents, until the earlier of (a) the end of the 18-month period following his termination date or (b) the date Mr. Edmunds or his eligible dependents lose eligibility for COBRA continued coverage. We also agreed to accelerate the vesting of 100% of his unvested outstanding equity awards.

For purposes of the offer letter agreements above, corporate transaction is defined as: (a) a merger or consolidation in which securities possessing more than 50% of the total combined voting power of our outstanding securities are transferred to a person or persons different from the persons holding those securities immediately prior to such transaction or (b) the sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets in complete liquidation or dissolution of our company.

For purposes of the change of control agreements above, good reason is defined as (a) a reduction in compensation by greater than 10%, unless part of a general reduction in compensation applicable to our senior executives, (b) relocation of job site by more than 50 miles, or (c) a material reduction in job responsibilities, change in title or change in reporting structure.

The term cause is defined as (a) commission of a felony, an act involving moral turpitude, or an act constituting common law fraud, and which has a material adverse effect on our the business or affairs or that of our affiliates or stockholders, (b) intentional or willful misconduct or refusal to follow the lawful instructions of our board of directors, or (c) intentional breach of our confidential information obligations which has an

adverse effect on us or our affiliates or stockholders.

The term “change of control” is defined as the occurrence of any one of the following events:

Table of Contents

the approval by our stockholders of our liquidation or dissolution or the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of our assets;

a merger or consolidation where we are not the surviving entity;

any person or persons becoming the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 50% or more of the total voting power of our then outstanding voting securities; or

a change in the composition of our board of directors, as a result of which fewer than a majority of the directors who are currently on our board of directors or who are elected, or nominated for election, to our board of directors with the affirmative votes of at least a majority of those directors whose election or nomination was not in connection with any transactions described in subsections (a), (b) or (c), or in connection with an actual or threatened proxy contest relating to our election of directors.

Potential Payments Upon Termination and Change of Control

The following table shows the potential payments that would have been paid to our named executive officers if they had been involuntarily terminated on December 31, 2010.

Name	Involuntary Termination without a Change of Control	Involuntary Termination Following a Change of Control		
		Severance Payments Attributable to Salary (\$)	Value of Accelerated Equity Awards (\$)	Health Care Benefits (\$)
Young K. Sohn	21,760	750,000	4,648,113 ⁽¹⁾	36,298
John Edmunds		468,000	1,601,907 ⁽²⁾	17,837
Gopal Raghavan				
Ron Torten				

(1) The amount represents the fair market value per share of our common stock as of December 31, 2010, less the option exercise price (\$1.78 and \$9.29) multiplied by the unvested options as of December 31, 2010 (306,591 options). The closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010 was \$20.09.

(2) The amount represents the fair market value per share of our common stock as of December 31, 2010, less the option exercise price (\$1.47, \$1.96 and \$9.29) multiplied by unvested options as of December 31, 2010 (105,336 options). The closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010 was \$20.09.

Each executive will not receive a gross-up payment if the executive officer is required to pay excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code.

In addition to the benefits described above, our 2000 Stock Plan provides for the acceleration of vesting of awards in certain circumstances in connection with a change of control of our company. See Employee Benefit Plans below.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Prior to our initial public offering, our independent directors received an annual retainer of \$32,000 and the chairman of our audit committee received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. In addition, for a description of our compensation arrangements with Young K. Sohn, see Executive Compensation.

Following completion of our initial public offering in November 2010, our non-employee directors, other than our Chairman of the Board and the lead director, receive an annual retainer of \$32,000, prorated for partial service in any year. Our Chairman of the Board and lead director receive an annual retainer of \$50,000 and \$40,000, respectively, so long as such director is not an employee of Inphi. Members of our audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee, other than the chairpersons of those committees,

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

receive an additional annual retainer of \$7,500, \$5,000 and \$4,000, respectively. The chairpersons of our audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee each receive an additional annual retainer of \$15,000, \$10,000 and \$7,500, respectively.

In addition, non-employee directors receive nondiscretionary, automatic grants of restricted stock units our 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. A non-employee director, other than those currently serving on our board of directors, will be automatically granted an initial restricted stock unit for shares of our common stock that have a value of \$160,000, calculated using the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, upon becoming a member of our board of directors. The initial option will vest over four years in equal annual installments. On the first business day following each of our regularly scheduled annual meetings of stockholders, each

Table of Contents

non-employee director will be automatically granted a restricted stock unit for shares of our common stock that have a value of \$80,000, calculated using the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant, provided the director has served on our board of directors for at least six months. These restricted stock units will vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant or immediately prior to our next annual meeting of stockholders, if earlier. The restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors will have a per share fair value equal to the closing price of the underlying shares on the date of grant as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and will become fully vested if a change in control occurs.

We also reimbursed our non-employee directors for their reasonable out-of-pocket costs and travel expenses in connection with their attendance at board and committee meetings.

2010 Director Compensation

The following table sets forth the compensation paid or accrued by us to our non-employee directors in 2010. The table excludes Young K. Sohn, who did not receive any additional compensation from us for his role as a director because he is our Chief Executive Officer.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards(\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾	Total(\$)
Diosdado Banatao	6,250			6,250
Chenming C. Hu	12,625	206,047		218,672
Timothy D. Semones	4,000		86,4000	90,400
Peter J. Simone	29,188	159,249		188,437
Sam S. Srinivasan	43,750			43,750

- (1) Amounts listed in this column represent the fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 as of the grant date multiplied by the number of shares. See note 11 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of assumptions made in determining the grant date fair value.
- (2) Please see the outstanding equity awards table below for the details of the stock awards granted.
- (3) Represents fees earned for consulting services.

The following table lists all outstanding equity awards held by non-employee directors as of the end of December 31, 2010:

Name	Option Grant Date ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards			Option Expiration Date	Stock Award Grant Date	Stock Awards	
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price			Number of Shares or Units That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽²⁾
Sam S. Srinivasan	8/15/07	25,714		\$ 1.78	8/15/2017			
	8/27/09	19,285		\$ 2.62	8/27/2019			
Timothy D. Semones	6/7/02	6,866		\$ 2.34	6/7/2012			
	5/5/06	4,285		\$ 1.05	5/5/2016			
	2/16/07	56,888		\$ 1.22	2/16/2017			
	8/15/07	28,444		\$ 1.78	8/15/2017			
	10/17/07	51,428		\$ 1.94	10/17/2017			
Chenming C. Hu						8/17/10	17,142	344,383
Peter J. Simone						4/30/10	17,142	344,383

- (1) The grant date fair value of the common stock underlying these option awards was equal to the option exercise price on the date the stock options were granted.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

- (2) The amount represents the fair market value of our common stock as of December 31, 2010 multiplied by unvested shares as of December 31, 2010. The closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2010 was \$20.09.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The following report of the compensation committee does not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by Inphi Corporation under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with Inphi Corporation's management. Based on this review and these discussions, the compensation committee recommended to the Board of Directors of

Table of Contents

Inphi Corporation that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in Inphi Corporation's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Respectfully submitted on March , 2011, by the members of the compensation committee of the Board of Directors:

Mr. Peter J. Simone, Chairman

Dr. Chenming C. Hu

Mr. David J. Ladd

Mr. Sam S. Srinivasan

Information regarding compensation committee interlocks can be found under Item 10 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

Principal Stockholders

The following table sets forth information as of February 23, 2011 regarding the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned and the percentage of common stock beneficially owned by:

each person or group of persons known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock;

each of our named executive officers;

each of our directors; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Unless otherwise noted below, the address of each beneficial owner listed in the table is c/o Inphi Corporation, 3945 Freedom Circle, Suite 1100, Santa Clara, California 95054. We have determined beneficial ownership in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Except as indicated by the footnotes below, we believe, based on the information furnished to us, that the persons and entities named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock that they beneficially own, subject to applicable community property laws.

Applicable percentage ownership is based on 25,388,810 shares of common stock outstanding on February 23, 2011. In computing the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, we deemed outstanding shares of common stock subject to options and warrants held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of February 23, 2011. We did not deem these shares outstanding, however, for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership of Shares Before Offering	
	Number	Percent
5% Stockholders:		

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

Entities affiliated with Walden International ⁽¹⁾	3,507,458	13.8%
Tallwood I, L.P. ⁽²⁾	3,458,091	13.6
Entities affiliated with Mayfield Fund ⁽³⁾	3,134,420	12.3
Named Executive Officers and Directors:		
Young K. Sohn ⁽⁴⁾	1,349,274	5.1
John Edmunds ⁽⁵⁾	238,934	*
Gopal Raghavan ⁽⁶⁾	756,901	2.9
Ron Torten ⁽⁷⁾	238,162	*
Diosdado P. Banatao ⁽²⁾	3,458,091	13.6
Chenming Hu ⁽⁸⁾	17,142	*
David J. Ladd ⁽⁹⁾	8,879	*
Timothy D. Semones ⁽¹⁰⁾	229,101	*
Sam S. Srinivasan ⁽¹¹⁾	102,025	*
Peter J. Simone ⁽¹²⁾	17,142	*

Table of Contents

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership of Shares Before Offering	
	Number	Percent
Lip-Bu Tan ⁽¹⁾	3,507,458	13.8
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons) ⁽¹³⁾	9,923,109	39.1

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

- (1) Based on the Forms 4 filed on November 16, 2010, represents 59,210 shares held by Asian Venture Capital Investment Corporation, or AVCIC, 59,210 shares held by International Venture Capital Investment Corporation, or IVCIC, 59,210 shares held by International Venture Capital Investment III Corp., or IVCIC III, 52,423 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel V-A C.V., 52,423 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel V-B, C.V., 62,642 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel VI, L.P., 5,576 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures V Associates Fund, L.P., 2,274,888 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures V, L.P., 804,499 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures VI, L.P., 36,672 shares held by Pacven Walden Ventures V-QP Associates Fund, L.P. and 40,705 shares held by Seed Ventures III Ptd Ltd. Lip-Bu Tan, one of our directors, is the sole director of Pacven Walden Management V Co. Ltd., which is the general partner of Pacven Walden Ventures V, L.P., Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel V-A C.V., Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel V-B C.V., Pacven Walden Ventures V Associates Fund, L.P. and Pacven Walden Ventures V-QP Associates Fund, L.P., or Pacven V and affiliated funds. He is also the sole director of Pacven Walden management VI Co. Ltd., which is the general partners of Pacven Walden Ventures VI, L.P. and Pacven Walden Ventures Parallel VI, L.P., or Pacven VI and Parallel Funds. Mr. Tan is also the President of each of AVCIC, IVCIC and IVCIC III. The voting and investment power over the shares held by AVCIC is determined by a majority of its six directors, You-Lin Lu, Allen Kao, Allen Hsu, Wee Ee Cheong, George Lee and Mr. Tan, all of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by AVCIC except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The voting and investment power over the shares held by IVCIC is determined by a majority of its 13 directors, You-Lin Lu, Allen Hsu, C. C. Kuo, Allen Kao, Yaw Nan Lu, James Tseng, Wen-Ching Tseng, Yu-Hwei Huang, F. C. Sun, Hock Voon Loo, Wee Ee Cheong, Lorin Young and Mr. Tan, all of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by IVCIC except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The voting and investment power over the shares held by IVCIC III is determined by a majority of its four directors, James Tseng, Yaw Nan Lu, Julian Yu and Mr. Tan, all of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of shares held by IVCIC III except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. Mr. Tan, Mary Coleman, Brian Chiang, Hock Voon Loo and Andrew Kau hold shared voting and investment power with respect to the shares held by Pacven V and affiliated funds and Pacven VI and Parallel Funds, all of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of these shares except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The address for Walden International is One California Street, Suite 2800, San Francisco, CA 94111.
- (2) Based on the Form 4 filed by Tallwood I, L.P. on November 16, 2010, consists of 3,458,090 shares held by Tallwood I, L.P. Diosdado Banatao, one of our directors, is the managing member of Tallwood Management Co. LLC, which is the general partner of Tallwood I, L.P. The Banatao Living Trust directly or indirectly holds 100% of the membership interests in Tallwood Management Co. LLC. Mr. and Mrs. Banatao, as trustees of the Banatao Living Trust, hold shared voting and dispositive power over the securities held by this fund. Mr. and Mrs. Banatao disclaim beneficial ownership of the reported securities except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. The principal business address of Tallwood I, L.P. and Tallwood Management Co. LLC is 400 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 230, Palo Alto, CA 94301.
- (3) Based on the Schedule 13G filed Mayfield XI Management, LLC on February 9, 2011, represents 56,418 shares held by Mayfield Associates Fund VI, a Delaware limited partnership, or MF AF VI, 194,333 shares held by Mayfield Principals Fund II, a Delaware limited liability company, or MF PF II, 169,257 shares held by Mayfield XI, a Delaware limited partnership, or MF XI, and 2,714,412 shares held by Mayfield XI Qualified, a Delaware limited partnership, or MF XI Q. Yogen K. Dalal, Janice M. Roberts and Robert T. Vasan are managing directors of Mayfield XI Management, L.L.C., which is the general partner of MF XI Q, MF XI and MF AF VI and the sole Managing Director of MF PF II. The individuals listed herein may be deemed to have voting and dispositive power over the shares which are, or may be, deemed to be beneficially owned by MF XI Q, MF PF II, MF XI and MF AF VI, but disclaim such beneficial ownership except to the extent of his or her pecuniary interest therein. The address of the entities affiliated with Mayfield Fund is 2800 Sand Hill Road, Suite 250, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- (4) Includes 995,275 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 190,776 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011, and 14,090 restricted shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011. Also includes 42,857 shares held by each of Mr. Sohn's three children.
- (5) Includes 238,934 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 90,068 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011.
- (6) Includes 412,855 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, none of which are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011.
- (7) Includes 196,592 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 63,908 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011.
- (8) Consists of 17,142 restricted shares that are subject to forfeiture as of April 24, 2011.
- (9) Consists of 8,879 shares subject to restricted stock units, all of which are unvested as of April 24, 2011.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

- (10) Includes 152,911 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 5,000 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011.

Table of Contents

- (11) Includes 44,999 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 7,501 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011.
- (12) Consists of 17,142 restricted shares that are subject to forfeiture as of April 24, 2011.
- (13) Includes 2,041,566 shares subject to options that are immediately exercisable, of which 357,253 shares are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011, and 43,163 outstanding restricted shares and units that are subject to our right of repurchase as of April 24, 2011 and 34,284 outstanding restricted shares that are subject to forfeiture as of April 24, 2011.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)	
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	6,672,249	\$ 3.85	2,428,572	(1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	None	None	
Total	6,672,249	3.85	2,428,572	(1)

- (1) Includes 2,032,192 shares reserved for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. The 2010 Stock Incentive Plan provides for the grant of options to purchase shares of common stock, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights and stock units. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan is automatically increased on January 1st of each year by the lesser of (i) 3,000,000 shares, (ii) five percent (5%) of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year or (iii) the number of shares determined by the board of directors. In addition, the number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan is increased from time to time in an amount equal to the number of shares subject to outstanding options under the 2000 Stock Plan that are subsequently forfeited or terminate for any other reason before being exercised and unvested shares that are forfeited pursuant to the 2000 Stock Plan.

ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Related Party Transactions

In addition to the compensation arrangements with directors and executive officers described elsewhere in this prospectus, the following is a description of each transaction since January 1, 2008 and each currently proposed transaction in which:

we have been or are to be a participant;

the amount involved exceeds or will exceed \$120,000; and

any of our directors, executive officers or beneficial holders of more than 5% of our capital stock, or any immediate family member of or person sharing the household with any of these individuals (other than tenants or employees), had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Registration Rights

The holders of 14,851,170 shares of common stock, including shares to be issued upon the exercise of warrants to purchase shares of our capital stock, are entitled to contractual rights by which they may require us to register those shares under the Securities Act. All of these shares are

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

subject to a 180-day lock-up period, which expires on May 9, 2011. If we propose to register any of our securities under the Securities Act for our own account, holders of those shares are entitled to include their shares in our registration, provided they accept the terms of the underwriting as agreed upon between us and the underwriters selected by us, and among other conditions, that the underwriters of any such offering have the right to limit the number of shares included in the registration. Subject

Table of Contents

to limitations and conditions specified in the amended and restated investor rights agreement with the holders, six months after our initial public offering, holders of at least 30% of the shares of common stock that were issued upon conversion of our former preferred stock upon completion of our initial public offering and shares of common stock issued as a result of the exercise of certain warrants may require us to prepare and file a registration statement under the Securities Act at our expense covering those shares, provided that the shares to be included in the registration shall include at least 20% of such shares of common stock and shares issued as a result of the exercise of certain warrants, or a lesser percentage if the anticipated aggregate public offering price would exceed \$10,000,000. We are not obligated to effect more than two of these demand registrations.

Sale of Preferred Stock

Messrs. Banatao and Tan, two of our directors, are affiliated with Tallwood I, L.P. and entities affiliated with Walden International, respectively. From January 30, 2008 through April 21, 2008, Tallwood I, L.P., entities affiliated with Walden International, an entity associated with Samsung, and Mr. Srinivasan, one of our directors, purchased 178,729, 267,056, 160,595 and 31,312 shares of our Series E preferred stock, respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1,712,387, \$2,558,651, \$1,538,646 and \$300,000, respectively, or \$9.5809 per share. In connection with these purchases of our Series E preferred stock, Tallwood I, L.P., entities affiliated with Walden International, an entity associated with Samsung and Mr. Srinivasan entered into the same agreements as the other investors, and we believe that the significant terms of these purchases of preferred stock would not differ in any material way from the terms we could have negotiated with unaffiliated third parties.

As Messrs. Banatao and Tan are affiliated with Tallwood I, L.P. and Walden International, respectively, beneficial ownership of the shares purchased by Tallwood I, L.P. and by entities affiliated with Walden International are attributable to Messrs. Banatao and Tan, respectively.

Indemnification Agreements

We intend to enter into indemnification agreements with each of our current directors and executive officers. These agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law against liabilities that may arise by reason of their service to us, and to advance expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified. We also intend to enter into indemnification agreements with our future directors and executive officers.

Other Transactions

We have a business relationship with Samsung, which holds approximately 4.6%, directly, and an additional 4.1%, indirectly, of our outstanding shares of common stock. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, Samsung purchased high-speed PLLs and register solution for approximately \$27.9 million and \$21.2 million, respectively, constituting 34% and 36% of our total revenue, respectively. While Samsung is a significant stockholder, we believe that the terms of our purchase orders, including pricing, would not differ in any material way from the terms we could have negotiated with unaffiliated third parties.

As of December 31, 2010, we have a software subscription and maintenance agreement with Cadence Design Systems, Inc., which agreement was entered into in the ordinary course of business. In connection with this agreement, we committed to pay approximately \$7.0 million, payable in 16 quarterly payments through May 2011. We paid \$1.4 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. In December 2010, we committed to pay an additional \$250,000, payable in four quarterly payments through November 2011. Mr. Tan, one of our directors, is currently the Chief Executive Officer of Cadence. Mr. Tan does not have a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. The agreement with Cadence was entered into in June 2007, prior to Mr. Tan's employment with Cadence. Mr. Tan was appointed the President and Chief Executive Officer of Cadence in January 2009, although he has served as a member of the Cadence board of directors since 2004. Mr. Tan did not participate in the negotiation of, and did not derive any direct or indirect compensation or other benefit, monetary or otherwise, as a result of this agreement. In addition, Mr. Tan is not a party to the agreement. Further, the amounts paid and to be paid to Cadence under this agreement do not, and are not expected to, constitute a material percentage of the revenue of Cadence. Specifically, the amounts paid to Cadence in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 accounted for 0.13%, 0.21% and 0.22% of Cadence's revenue for the years ended January 3, 2009, January 2, 2010 and January 1, 2011, respectively. We believe that the significant terms of these purchases, including pricing, would not differ in any material way from the terms we could have negotiated with unaffiliated third parties.

Procedures for Approval of Related Party Transactions

Pursuant to our Related Person Transactions Policy, the audit committee of our board of directors must approve transactions with our company valued at or above \$120,000 in which any director, officer, 5% or greater stockholder or certain related persons or entities has a direct or indirect material interest.

Director Independence

In June 2010, our board of directors undertook a review of the independence of our directors and considered whether any director has a material relationship with us that could compromise his ability to exercise independent judgment in carrying out his

Table of Contents

responsibilities. As a result of this review, our board of directors determined that Messrs. Banatao, Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan and Dr. Hu, representing a majority of our directors, are independent directors as defined under the rules of the NYSE. Mr. Banatao served as our Interim Chief Executive Officer and beneficially owns approximately 13.8% of our common stock, which represents shares held by Tallwood I, L.P., a venture fund affiliated with Tallwood Venture Capital, of which Mr. Banatao is a Managing Partner. Our board of directors considered Mr. Banatao's prior role with us and his beneficial stock ownership in its determination that Mr. Banatao qualifies as an independent director as defined under the rules of the NYSE.

In determining that Messrs. Banatao, Ladd, Simone and Srinivasan and Dr. Hu qualify as independent directors, our board of directors determined that none of these individuals had any of the relationships enumerated in Rule 303A.02(b) of the New York Stock Exchange Manual, or Rule 303A.02(b), that would preclude them from serving as independent directors. Our board of directors also made an affirmative determination that none of these directors, including Mr. Banatao and Mr. Ladd, had any other material relationship with us, other than in his capacity as a director and stockholder. Our board of directors specifically considered the beneficial ownership of common stock deemed held by Messrs. Banatao and Ladd and determined that such ownership would not impact their ability to exercise independent judgment as a director, notwithstanding such beneficial ownership. Upon concluding that neither Mr. Banatao nor Mr. Ladd had any of the relationships specifically enumerated in Rule 303A.02(b) or any other material relationship with us, and that their respective beneficial ownership of our common stock would not impact their ability to exercise independent judgment as a director or their overall independence from management, our board of directors determined that both Messrs. Banatao and Ladd qualify as independent directors.

ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered for us by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

Services Provided	2010	2009
Audit Fees	\$ 1,257,189	\$ 250,859
Audit-Related Fees	45,184	
Tax Fees	433,666	77,621
All Other Fees	1,500	
Total Fees	\$ 1,737,539	\$ 328,480

Audit Fees. The aggregate fees billed for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements, statutory audits of our subsidiaries, reviews of our interim consolidated financial statements, services rendered in connection with our Form S-1 and Form S-8 related to our initial public offering, comfort letter consents and other matters related to the SEC.

Audit-Related Fees. The aggregate fees billed for the year ended December 31, 2010 were for professional services related to the 2009 audit of Winyatek Technology Inc. acquired in June 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered under Audit-Related Fees above.

Tax Fees. The aggregate fees billed for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were for tax advisory and tax compliance services related to tax research and tax planning services in foreign countries in which we do business, the review of research and development credits and net operating loss carryover, and services related to our federal and state tax returns.

All Other Fees. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the aggregate fees billed were for professional services related to our Sarbanes-Oxley preparation. For the year ended December 31, 2009, there were no fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for professional services rendered under All Other Fees above.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The audit committee has implemented pre-approval policies and procedures related to the provision of audit and non-audit services. Under these procedures, the audit committee pre-approves both the type of services to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the estimated fees related to these services.

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

During the approval process, the audit committee considers the impact of the types of services and the related fees on the independence of the registered public accountant. The services and fees must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of such accountants' independence, including compliance with SEC rules and regulations.

Throughout the year, the audit committee will review any revisions to the estimates of audit and non-audit fees initially approved.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15 EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

1. Financial Statements. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 of report.

(a) *Documents filed as part of this report:*

(1) Financial Statements

Reference is made to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Inphi Corporation under Item 8 of Part II hereof.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required or because the information is included elsewhere in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

See Item 15(b) below. Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed has been identified.

(b) *Exhibits*

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index below are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this report.

(c) *Financial Statements and Schedules*

Reference is made to Item 15(a)(2) above.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INPHI CORPORATION

By: /s/ Young K. Sohn
Young K. Sohn
Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 4, 2011

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Young K. Sohn and John Edmunds, and each of them, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact, each with full power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact or their substitute or substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Young K. Sohn	Chief Executive Officer	March 4, 2011
Young K. Sohn	(Principal Executive Officer), President and Director	
/s/ John Edmunds	Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer	March 4, 2011
John Edmunds	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ Diosdado P. Banatao	Chairman of the Board	March 4, 2011
Diosdado P. Banatao		
/s/ Chenming C. Hu	Director	March 4, 2011
Chenming C. Hu		
/s/ David J. Ladd	Director	March 4, 2011
David J. Ladd		
/s/ Timothy D. Semones	Director	March 4, 2011
Timothy D. Semones		

Edgar Filing: INPHI Corp - Form 10-K

/s/ Peter J. Simone	Director	March 4, 2011
Peter J. Simone		
/s/ Sam S. Srinivasan	Lead Director	March 4, 2011
Sam S. Srinivasan		
/s/ Lip-Bu Tan	Director	March 4, 2011
Lip-Bu Tan		

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	
Number	Description
2.1	Share Purchase Agreement dated as of May 25, 2010, by and among the Registrant, Winyatek Technology Inc. and the shareholder signatories thereto, as amended (excluding certain schedules and exhibits referred to in the agreement, which the Registrant agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request) (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
3(i)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant.
3(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit 3(ii).2 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
4.2	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement dated as of January 30, 2008.
10.1+	Inphi Corporation 2000 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan (as amended on June 2, 2010) and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.2+	Inphi Corporation 2010 Stock Incentive Plan and related form agreements.
10.3+	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.4+	Offer letter dated July 14, 2007 between Young K. Sohn and the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.5+	Change of Control and Severance Agreement dated June 8, 2010, by and between Young K. Sohn and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.6	Offer letter dated December 10, 2007 between John Edmunds and the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.7+	Change of Control and Severance Agreement dated June 8, 2010, by and between John Edmunds and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.8+	Offer letter dated October 3, 2007 between Ron Torten and the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.9	Lease Agreement between the Registrant and H&G Selvin Properties dated as of June 30, 2006, including amendments thereto (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.10	Sublease Agreement between the Registrant and Scintera Networks, Inc. dated as of December 1, 2009, including amendments thereto (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.11	Lease Agreement between the Registrant and Santa Clara Towers, L.P. dated as of April 27, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.12	Lease Agreement between the Registrant and LBA Realty Fund III Company VII, LLC dated as of June 4, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
10.13	Workshop Lease Contract between Winyatek Technology Inc. and Integrated Circuit Solutions Inc. dated as of March 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).

Table of Contents

10.14**	Software License and Maintenance Agreement dated as of June 29, 2007, by and between the Registrant and Cadence Design Systems, Inc.
21.1	List of Subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-167564), as amended).
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
24.1	Power of Attorney (see page 97 of this report).
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
32.1(1)	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
32.2(1)	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).

** Confidential treatment requested.

+ Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.

(1) The material contained in Exhibit 32.1 and Exhibit 32.2 is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.