CERUS CORP Form DEF 14A April 29, 2013

# **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

# Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

# **Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

(Amondment No. )

(Amendment No)									
Filed by the Registrant x									
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "									
Check the appropriate box:									
" Preliminary Proxy Statement									
" Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))									
x Definitive Proxy Statement									
Definitive Additional Materials									
" Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12  CERUS CORPORATION									
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)									
N/A									
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if Other Than the Registrant)									
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box)									
x No fee required.									

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1.	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
2.	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
2.	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies.
3.	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
4.	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5.	Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.								
Chec was	ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.							
6.	Amount Previously Paid:							
7.	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:							
8.	Filing Party:							
9.	Date Filed:							

#### CERUS CORPORATION

### 2550 Stanwell Dr.

### Concord, CA 94520

# NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

# To be held on June 12, 2013

Dear Stockholder										_			_
	•	21	Ы	പ	h	Ы	0	$\overline{}$	1	•	or	ነዾ	Г

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cerus Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), will be held on Wednesday, June 12, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. local time at the Company s principal executive offices, located at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520 for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect the two nominees for director named in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice to the Board of Directors, to hold office until the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- 2. To approve the Company s 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.
- **3.** To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice.
- **4.** To ratify the selection by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for its fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.
- 5. To conduct any other business properly brought before the annual meeting.

These items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice.

The record date for the annual meeting is April 15, 2013. Only stockholders of record at the close of business on that date may vote at the annual meeting or any adjournment thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Lori L. Roll

Secretary

Concord, California

April 29, 2013

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. Whether or not you expect to attend the annual meeting in person, please vote as soon as possible. You can vote over the telephone or the internet, or, if you received a printed copy of the proxy materials via mail, by completing, signing and returning the proxy card as instructed in the materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet or to request a paper or electronic copy of the full set of proxy materials may be found in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the full set of proxy materials, as applicable, mailed to stockholders. If you vote over the telephone or the internet, your vote must be received no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 11, 2013. Even if you have voted by proxy, you may still vote in person if you attend the annual meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the annual meeting, you must bring with you a proxy issued in your name from that record holder that confirms that you are the beneficial owner of those shares or follow the instructions for internet or telephone voting procedures provided by your broker, bank or other nominee.

#### CERUS CORPORATION

2550 Stanwell Drive

Concord, CA 94520

#### PROXY STATEMENT

### FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

**JUNE 12, 2013** 

### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THESE PROXY MATERIALS AND VOTING

### Why did I receive a notice regarding the availability of proxy materials on the internet or a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials to our stockholders over the internet. Accordingly, we are sending by mail only a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or the Notice, to certain of our stockholders of record and posting our proxy materials online at <a href="https://www.proxyvote.com">www.proxyvote.com</a>. In addition, certain of our stockholders who previously requested to receive paper copies of our proxy materials instead of a Notice will be furnished a full set of proxy materials in the mail instead of the Notice. We intend to mail the full sets of proxy materials to the stockholders described in the previous sentence on or about April 29, 2013.

The Notice contains only an overview of the complete proxy materials available. Stockholders are encouraged to access and review all the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request a paper or electronic copy of the full set of the proxy materials for review prior to voting. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet or to request a paper or electronic copy of the full set of the proxy materials may be found in the Notice. We intend to mail the Notice on or about April 29, 2013 to all stockholders of record as of April 15, 2013.

### If I received a Notice, will I receive any proxy materials by mail other than the Notice?

No, if you received a Notice, you will not receive any other proxy materials by mail unless you request a paper or electronic copy of the proxy materials. To request that a full set of the proxy materials be sent to your specified postal or email address, please go to www.proxyvote.com or call 1-800-579-1639. Please have your proxy card in hand when you access the website or call and follow the instructions provided. You may also request a full set of the proxy materials by sending an email, referencing the control number set forth in the Notice, to sendmaterial@proxyvote.com.

# How do I attend the annual meeting?

The annual meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 12, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. local time at our principal executive offices located at 2550 Stanwell Dr., Concord, CA 94520. Directions to the annual meeting may be found at

http://www.cerus.com/About-Cerus/map-and-directions-usa/default.aspx. Information on how to vote in person at the annual meeting is discussed below.

## Who can vote at the annual meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 15, 2013 are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. On this record date, there were 69,715,330 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If on April 15, 2013 your shares were registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, then you are a stockholder of record. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at

the annual meeting or vote by proxy. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy over the telephone or on the internet as instructed below, or if you request a printed copy of the proxy materials, we urge you to vote by returning your proxy card to ensure your vote is counted. If you submit your proxy telephonically or over the internet, you must vote no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 11, 2013.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker or Bank

If on April 15, 2013 your shares were held, not in your name, but rather in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, dealer or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name and the Notice or the full set of proxy materials, as applicable, will be forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered to be the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the annual meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or other agent regarding how to vote the shares in your account. You are also invited to attend the annual meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the annual meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker or other agent.

### What am I voting on?

There are four matters scheduled for a vote:

Proposal No. 1: Election of two directors;

Proposal No. 2: Approval of our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2008 Plan, as amended;

Proposal No. 3: Advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with SEC rules; and

Proposal No. 4: Ratification of the selection by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, or the Board, of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

# What if another matter is properly brought before the annual meeting?

The Board of Directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment.

### How do I vote?

You may either vote For all the nominees to the Board or you may Withhold your vote for any nominee you specify. For each of the other matters to be voted on, you may vote For or Against or abstain from voting. The procedures for voting are fairly simple:

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote in person at the annual meeting, vote by proxy over the telephone, vote by proxy through the internet or vote by proxy using a proxy card that you may request or that was delivered to you. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure your vote is counted. You may still attend the annual meeting and vote in person even if you have already voted by proxy.

To vote in person, come to the annual meeting and we will give you a ballot when you arrive.

To vote using the proxy card, simply complete, sign and date the proxy card that you may request or that was delivered and return it promptly in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card to us before the annual meeting, we will vote your shares as you direct.

To vote over the telephone, dial toll-free 1-800-6903 using a touch-tone phone and follow the recorded instructions. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the Notice or the full set of proxy materials. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on June 11, 2013 to be counted.

To vote through the internet, go to http://www.proxyvote.com to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the Notice or the full set of proxy materials. Your vote must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 11, 2013 to be counted.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank

If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank, or other agent, you should have received a Notice or the full set of proxy materials containing voting instructions from that organization rather than from us. Simply follow the voting instructions in the Notice or the full set of proxy materials to ensure that your vote is counted. Alternatively, you may vote by telephone or over the internet as instructed by your broker or bank. To vote in person at the annual meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other agent. Follow the instructions from your broker or bank included with these proxy materials, or contact your broker or bank to request a proxy form.

We provide internet proxy voting to allow you to vote your shares online, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your internet access, such as usage charges from internet access providers and telephone companies.

### How many votes do I have?

On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of common stock you owned as of April 15, 2013.

### What happens if I do not vote?

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

If you are a stockholder of record and do not vote by completing a proxy card or you do not vote by telephone, through the internet or in person at the annual meeting, your shares will not be voted.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank

If you are a beneficial owner and do not instruct your broker, bank, or other agent how to vote your shares, the question of whether your broker or nominee will still be able to vote your shares depends on whether the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, deems the particular proposal to be a routine matter. Brokers and nominees can use their discretion to vote uninstructed shares with respect to matters that are considered to be routine, but not with respect to non-routine matters. Under the rules and interpretations of the NYSE, non-routine matters are matters that may substantially affect the rights or privileges of stockholders, such as mergers, stockholder proposals, elections of directors (even if not contested), executive compensation (including any advisory stockholder votes on executive compensation and on the frequency of stockholder votes on executive compensation), and certain corporate governance proposals, even if management-supported. Accordingly, your broker or nominee may not vote your shares on Proposal Nos. 1, 2 or 3 without your instructions, but may vote your shares on Proposal No. 4.

### What if I return a proxy card or otherwise vote but do not make specific choices?

If you return a signed and dated proxy card or otherwise vote without marking voting selections or if you indicate when voting on the internet or by telephone that you wish to vote as recommended by the Board, then your shares will be voted, as applicable, For the election of both nominees for director named herein to the Board to hold office until the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders; For the approval of the 2008 Plan, as amended; For advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement; and For the ratification of the selection by the Audit Committee of the Board of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 (each as further described in this Proxy Statement). If any other matter is properly presented at the annual meeting, your proxyholder (one of the individuals identified on the proxy card) will vote your shares using his or her best judgment.

### Who is paying for this proxy solicitation?

We will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. We estimate that we will pay Alliance Advisors, LLC, our proxy solicitor, a fee of up to \$10,000 to solicit proxies, though the costs of this proxy solicitation process could be lower or higher than our estimate. In addition to these written proxy materials, our proxy solicitor, directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by other means of communication; however, our directors and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. We may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

### What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice or more than one set of proxy materials?

If you receive more than one Notice or more than one set of proxy materials, your shares may be registered in more than one name or in different accounts. Please follow the voting instructions for each Notice or set of proxy materials that you receive to ensure that all of your shares are voted

### Can I change or revoke my vote after submitting my proxy?

Stockholder of Record: Shares Registered in Your Name

Yes. You can revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the final vote at the annual meeting. If you are the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote in any one of the following ways, regardless of how you previously voted:

You may submit another properly completed proxy card with a later date.

You may grant a subsequent proxy by telephone or through the internet.

You may send a timely written notice that you are revoking your proxy to our Corporate Secretary at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520.

You may attend the annual meeting and vote in person. Simply attending the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy. Your most current proxy card or telephone or internet proxy is the one that is counted.

Beneficial Owner: Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank. If your shares are held by your broker or bank as a nominee or agent, you should follow the instructions to change or revoke your vote provided by your broker or bank.

### When are stockholder proposals due for next year s annual meeting?

Our stockholders may submit proposals on matters appropriate for stockholder action at annual stockholder meetings in accordance with Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the 1934 Act. For such proposals to be included in our proxy materials relating to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, all applicable requirements of Rule 14a-8 must be satisfied and your proposal must be submitted in writing by December 30, 2013 to our Corporate Secretary at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520. However, if our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is not held between May 13, 2014 and July 12, 2014, then the deadline will be a reasonable time prior to the time that we begin to print and mail our proxy materials.

Pursuant to our bylaws, if you wish to submit a proposal or nominate a director at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, but you are not requesting that your proposal or nomination be included in next year s proxy materials, you must submit your proposal in writing to our Corporate Secretary at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520 by no earlier than the close of business on March 14, 2014 and no later than the close of business on April 13, 2014. However, if our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is not held between May 13, 2014 and July 12, 2014, then you must notify our Corporate Secretary, in writing, no earlier than the close of business on the 90<sup>th</sup> day prior to the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and no later than the close of business on the later of (i) the 60<sup>th</sup> day prior to the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or (ii) if we publicly announce the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders fewer than 70 days prior to the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the 10<sup>th</sup> day following the day that we first make a public announcement of the date of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. We also advise you to review our bylaws, which contain additional requirements about advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations. The chairperson of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders may determine, if the facts warrant, that a matter has not been properly brought before the annual meeting and, therefore, may not be considered at the annual meeting. In addition, if you do not also comply with the requirements of the 1934 Act, our management will have discretionary authority to vote all shares for which we have proxies in opposition to any such stockholder proposal or director nomination.

### How are votes counted?

Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the annual meeting, who will separately count, for the proposal to elect directors, votes For, Withhold and broker non-votes and, with respect to the other proposals, votes For, Against, abstentions and broker non-votes. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted for the purpose of determining the number of votes cast and will therefore not have any effect with respect to any of the proposals.

# What are broker non-votes ?

As discussed above, when a beneficial owner of shares held in street name does not give instructions to the broker or nominee holding the shares as to how to vote on matters deemed by the NYSE to be non-routine, the broker or nominee cannot vote the shares. These unvoted shares are counted as broker non-votes.

### How many votes are needed to approve each proposal?

For the election of directors, the two nominees receiving the highest number of For votes (from the holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote) will be elected as directors.

To be approved, Proposal No. 2, approval of the 2008 Plan, as amended, must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

Proposal No. 3, advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement, will be considered to be approved if it receives For votes from the holders of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

To be approved, Proposal No. 4, the ratification of the selection by the Audit Committee of the Board of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, must receive For votes from the holders of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting.

### What is the quorum requirement?

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present if stockholders holding at least a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote are present at the annual meeting in person or represented by proxy. On the record date, there were 69,715,330 shares outstanding and entitled to vote. Thus, the holders of 34,857,666 shares must be present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting to have a quorum.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or one is submitted on your behalf by your broker, bank or other nominee) or vote over the telephone or on the internet, or if you vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted towards the quorum requirement. If there is no quorum, the chair of the annual meeting or the holders of a majority of shares present at the annual meeting in person or represented by proxy may adjourn the annual meeting to another date.

## What proxy materials are available on the internet?

Our proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com.

### How can I find out the results of the voting at the annual meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. In addition, final voting results will be published in a current report on Form 8-K that we expect to file within four business days after the annual meeting. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Form 8-K within four business days after the meeting, we intend to file a Form 8-K to publish preliminary results and, within four business days after the final results are known to us, file an additional Form 8-K to publish the final results.

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This proxy statement contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the 1934 Act that involve risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in Proposal No. 2 Approval of the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our estimates regarding our the number of shares needed to attract and retain qualified employees, the submission of modular PMA filings for the INTERCEPT Blood System for platelets and plasma, and the timing thereof, our ability to obtain and maintain regulatory approvals of the INTERCEPT Blood System, our estimates regarding our ability to commercialize and achieve market acceptance of the INTERCEPT Blood System, the anticipated progress of our research, development and clinical programs, and our ability to attract and retain key employees. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as anticipate, will, believe, estimate, expect, plan, and similar expressions intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking

statements reflect our current views with respect to future events, are based on assumptions, and are subject to risks and uncertainties. There can be no assurance that these statements will prove to be correct. Certain important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in such statements, including whether our pre-clinical and clinical data or data from commercial use will be considered sufficient by regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval for our products, market acceptance of our products, reimbursement, development and testing of additional configurations of our products, regulation by domestic and foreign regulatory authorities, our limited experience in sales, marketing and regulatory support for the INTERCEPT Blood System, incompatibility of our platelet system with some commercial platelet collection methods, our need to complete certain of our product components—commercial design, more effective product offerings by, or clinical setbacks of, our competitors, volatility in our stock price, and other factors discussed below and under the caption—Risk Factors,—in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 12, 2013. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements publicly, or to update the reasons actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

#### PROPOSAL NO. 1

#### ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

This Proposal No. 1 is to elect the two nominees for director named herein to the Board. The Board is divided into three classes and each class has a three-year term. Vacancies on the Board may be filled by a majority of the remaining directors, unless the Board determines by resolution that a vacancy be filled by our stockholders. A director elected by the Board to fill a vacancy in a class, including a vacancy created by an increase in the number of directors, shall serve for the remainder of the full term of that class and until the director successor is elected and qualified.

The Board presently has seven members. There are two directors in the class whose term of office expires at the annual meeting. Proxies will not be voted for a greater number of persons than the two nominees named below. Each of the nominees listed below was previously elected as a director of Cerus by our stockholders. If elected at the annual meeting, each of these nominees would serve until the 2016 annual meeting and until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, or, if sooner, until the director s death, resignation or removal. It is our policy to invite directors and nominees for director to attend our annual meetings of stockholders. In 2012, Mr. Greenman attended our annual meeting of stockholders.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes of the holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors. The two nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected. Shares represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of both of the nominees named below. If any nominee becomes unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, the proxies will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee or nominees proposed by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board. Each nominee for election has agreed to serve if elected. Our management has no reason to believe that either of these nominees will be unable to serve.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks to assemble a board that, as a whole, possesses the appropriate balance of professional and industry knowledge, financial expertise and high-level management experience necessary to oversee and direct our business. To that end, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has identified and evaluated nominees in the broader context of the Board's overall composition, with the goal of recruiting members who complement and strengthen the skills of other members and who also exhibit integrity, collegiality, sound business judgment and other qualities that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee views as critical to the effective functioning of the Board. The brief biographies below include information, as of the date of this proxy statement, regarding the specific and particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills of each director or nominee that led the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to believe that such nominee or director should continue to serve on the Board. However, each of the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may have a variety of reasons why he or she believes a particular person would be an appropriate nominee for the Board, and these views may differ from the views of other members.

### Nominees For Election For A Three Year Term Expiring At The 2016 Annual Meeting

Dr. Laurence M. Corash, 69, one of our co-founders, has served as a member of our Board since December 2002. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Dr. Corash continues to be a valuable member of the Board in part due to his extensive knowledge of us, having been a director since 2002, which brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board. The Board also considered his scientific expertise obtained from almost 30 years as an M.D. in the field of hematology, which is relevant to our pathogen inactivation technology for blood products. Dr. Corash has been our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical and Chief Scientific Officer since July 2009. Previously, he had been our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical Officer since March 2008. Prior to that, he had been our Vice President, Medical Affairs since July 1996

and Director of Medical Affairs from July 1994. Dr. Corash was a consultant to us from 1991 until 1994, when he joined us as Director, Medical Affairs. Dr. Corash has been a Professor of Laboratory Medicine at the University of California, San Francisco since July 1985. He was the Chief of the Hematology Laboratory for the Medical Center at the University of California, San Francisco from 1982 to 1997. From February 1990 to July 1994, Dr. Corash was a member of the FDA Advisory Panel for Hematology Devices. From 2008 until 2011, Dr. Corash served as the industry representative on the Department of Health and Human Services Advisory Committee on Blood Safety and Availability.

Gail Schulze, 61, has served as a member of our Board since 2007. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Ms. Schulze continues to be a valuable member of the Board in part due to her extensive experience in executive roles in both large and small life sciences organizations at various stages of development. From May 2008 until June 2012, she was the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Zosano Pharma, a private biopharmaceutical company. From May 2006 to March 2007, she was the Chief Executive Officer and a director of YM Biosciences US and President, YM Biosciences, Inc., a global biopharmaceutical organization with three late-stage oncology programs. Prior to joining YM Biosciences in 2006, she was President, Chief Executive Officer and director of Eximias Pharmaceutical Corporation, also a late-stage biopharmaceutical company focused on oncology. From 2001 to 2004, Ms. Schulze was Chief Operating Officer and Deputy Chief Executive Officer of Aventis Behring LLC, a fully independent biologics subsidiary of Aventis, and from 1997 to 2001, she was Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer. From 1995 to 1997, she was Corporate Vice President of Allegiance Healthcare Corporation, where she led the creation and management of the Cost Management Services group of divisions. From 1979 to 1995, Ms. Schulze held multiple positions within Baxter Healthcare Corporation focused on the global development and commercialization of chronic therapies, most recently as President of the US Managed Care division and President of Renal Division Europe. Ms. Schulze has served on multiple private and public boards. She received a B.A. with highest honors in psychobiology from the University of California, Santa Cruz, studied neurophysiology at the University of Wisconsin and received her M.B.A. from the Stanford Graduate School of Business.

#### THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS

### A VOTE IN FAVOR OF EACH NAMED NOMINEE.

### DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING

Timothy B. Anderson, 66, has served as a member of our Board since 2003. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Mr. Anderson should continue to serve as a member of the Board in part due to his extensive knowledge of us, having been a director since 2003, which brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board. The Board also considered his operational and global industry experience obtained from his many years as an executive with Baxter International. Mr. Anderson was Senior Vice President of Strategy and Business Development of Baxter International, Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from 1999 until 2002, and held various management positions at Baxter International from 1992 until 1999, including President, Biotech Group from 1992 until 1997, Group Vice President from 1993 until 1997 and Chairman, Baxter Europe from 1997 until 1999. From 2006 until 2010, Mr. Anderson served as a member of the board of directors of Puricore Public Limited Company.

Bruce C. Cozadd, 49, has served as a member of our Board since November 2001. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Mr. Cozadd should continue to serve as a member of the Board in part due to his extensive financial management expertise as the former Chief Financial Officer of ALZA Corporation and his industry expertise as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc. This expertise has also led the Board to determine that he is an audit committee financial expert as defined the by the SEC. The Board also believes that because Mr. Cozadd has been a director since 2001, he brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board. Mr. Cozadd is a co-founder of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and has served

as its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since April 2009. In January 2012, Mr. Cozadd became the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc, the successor to Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. From 2003 until April 2009, he served as Executive Chairman of Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. From 1991 until 2001, he held various positions with ALZA Corporation, a pharmaceutical company now owned by Johnson & Johnson, most recently as its Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, with responsibility for research and development, manufacturing and sales and marketing and prior to that as Chief Financial Officer and Vice President, Corporate Planning and Analysis. Previously, Mr. Cozadd was a member of the health care investment banking team at Smith Barney, Harris Upham & Co. He serves on the boards of Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc, Threshold Pharmaceuticals, a biotechnology company, and The Nueva School and Stanford Hospital and Clinics, both non-profit organizations. He received a B.S. in molecular biophysics & biochemistry and economics from Yale University and an M.B.A. from the Stanford Graduate School of Business.

William M. Greenman, 46, has served our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board since April 2011. The Nominating and Corporate Governance concluded that Mr. Greenman should continue to serve as a member of the Board in part due to his extensive knowledge of our day-to-day operations obtained as a result of his various executive roles at Cerus over the last eighteen years. Mr. Greenman served as our Senior Vice President, Business Development and Marketing from August 2008 until April 2011 and was named our Chief Business Officer in April 2010. Mr. Greenman served as our President, Cerus Europe, from 2006 until August 2008. From 1999 to 2006, Mr. Greenman served as our Vice President, Business Development after returning to Cerus after a brief time in the venture capital business. Prior to joining us in 1995 as Director of Business Development, Mr. Greenman worked in various marketing and business development positions in Baxter s Biotech Division from 1991 to 1995. Mr. Greenman has served on the board of directors of Aduro BioTech, a venture backed private cancer vaccines company, since June 2010. Mr. Greenman holds a B.A.S. in economics and biological sciences from Stanford University.

### DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE UNTIL THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING

*B.J. Cassin*, 79, has served as Chair of the Board since December 1992. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Mr. Cassin continues to be a valuable member of the Board in part due to his extensive knowledge of us, having been a director since 1992, which brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board. Mr. Cassin has been a private venture capitalist since 1979. Previously, Mr. Cassin co-founded Xidex Corporation, a manufacturer of data storage media, in 1969. Mr. Cassin is currently a director of a number of private companies.

Daniel N. Swisher Jr., 50, has served as a member of our Board since June 2011. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee concluded that Mr. Swisher continues to be a valuable member of the Board in part due to his extensive financial management expertise as the former Chief Financial Officer of Sunesis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Sunesis, and his industry expertise as the current President and Chief Executive Officer of Sunesis. Mr. Swisher has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Sunesis and a member of its board of directors since January 2004 and as its President since August 2005. From December 2001 to December 2003, he served as the Chief Business Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Sunesis. From June 1992 to September 2001, Mr. Swisher served in various management roles, including Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing, for ALZA Corporation. Mr. Swisher holds a B.A. from Yale University and an M.B.A. from the Stanford Graduate School of Business.

### INFORMATION REGARDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

#### INDEPENDENCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ, listing standards require that a majority of the members of a listed company s board of directors qualify as independent, as affirmatively determined by the Board. The Board consults with our counsel to ensure that the Board s determinations are consistent with all relevant securities and other laws and regulations regarding the definition of independent, including those set forth in applicable NASDAQ listing standards, as in effect from time to time.

Consistent with these considerations, after its review to determine if there were any relevant transactions or relationships between each director, or any of his or her family members, and us, our senior management and our independent registered public accounting firm, the Board has affirmatively determined that the following five current directors are independent directors within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ listing standards: Ms. Schulze and Messrs. Anderson, Cassin, Cozadd and Swisher. In making this determination, the Board found that none of these directors had a material or other disqualifying relationship with us. Mr. Greenman, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Dr. Corash, our Senior Vice President and Chief Medical and Chief Scientific Officer, are not independent directors by virtue of their employment with us.

#### BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE

The Board has an independent chair, Mr. Cassin, who has authority, among other things, to call and preside over Board meetings, including meetings of the independent directors, to set meeting agendas and to determine materials to be distributed to the Board. Accordingly, the Board Chair has substantial ability to shape the work of the Board. We believe that separation of the positions of Board Chair and Chief Executive Officer reinforces the independence of the Board in its oversight of our business and affairs. In addition, we believe that having an independent Board Chair creates an environment that is more conducive to objective evaluation and oversight of management s performance, increasing management accountability and improving the ability of the Board to monitor whether management s actions are in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders. As a result, we believe that having an independent Board Chair can enhance the effectiveness of the Board as a whole.

### ROLE OF THE BOARD IN RISK OVERSIGHT

Our management team is responsible for identifying and reviewing risks facing us, including, without limitation, strategic, operational, financial and regulatory risks and generally meets on a weekly basis as part of such responsibility to review and discuss our risk exposure on a day-to-day basis. The Board does not have a standing risk management committee, but rather administers this oversight function directly through the Board as a whole, as well as through various Board standing committees that address risks inherent in their respective areas of oversight. In particular, our Board is responsible for monitoring and assessing strategic risk exposure, our Audit Committee has the responsibility to consider and discuss our major financial risk exposures and the steps our management has taken to monitor and control these exposures, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken. The Audit Committee also monitors compliance with securities and financial regulations, in addition to oversight of the performance of our internal audit function. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee monitors the effectiveness of our corporate governance guidelines. Our Compensation Committee assesses and monitors whether any of our compensation policies and programs has the potential to encourage excessive risk-taking. To the extent any risks identified by each standing committee of the Board are material to our strategic, operational, financial or regulatory matters or otherwise merit discussion by the whole Board, the respective committee chair will raise those risks at the next scheduled meeting of the Board. Typically, the Audit Committee of the Board meets at least quarterly to review our major financial risk exposures in connection with various matters, including the filing of our annual and quarterly reports with the SEC. The other committees of the Board meet at least annually to review and discuss each committee is respective areas of oversight and re

The Board and its committees periodically receive risk management updates through monthly business reports provided by management and discussed at meetings of the Board or its committees throughout the year. Following consideration of the information provided by management, the Board provides feedback and makes recommendations, as needed, to help minimize our risk exposure.

#### MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors met five times during 2012. Each Board member attended 75% or more of the aggregate number of the meetings held by the Board and of the committees on which he or she served that were held during the period for which he or she was a director or committee member.

As required under applicable NASDAQ listing standards, in 2012, our independent directors met five times in regularly scheduled executive sessions at which only independent directors were present.

#### Information Regarding Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The following table provides membership and meeting information for fiscal 2012 for each of the Board committees:

			Nominating and Corporate
Name	Audit	Compensation	Governance
B.J. Cassin		X	X*
Timothy B. Anderson	X		X
Bruce C. Cozadd	X*	X	X
Gail Schulze		X*	X
Daniel N. Swisher, Jr.	X		X
Total meetings in fiscal 2012	4	3	1

## \* Committee Chairperson

Below is a description of each standing committee of the Board. Each of the committees has authority to engage legal counsel or other experts or consultants, as it deems appropriate to carry out its responsibilities. The Board has determined that each member of each committee meets the applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules and regulations regarding independence and that each member is free of any relationship that would impair his or her individual exercise of independent judgment with regard to us.

### **Audit Committee**

The Audit Committee of the Board was established by the Board in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the 1934 Act to oversee our corporate accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of our financial statements. The Audit Committee: evaluates the performance of and assesses the qualifications of the independent registered public accounting firm; reviews the relationships between us and any prospective independent registered public accounting firm that may bear on independence and discusses those relationships with the prospective independent registered public accounting firm; determines and approves the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm; determines whether to retain or terminate the existing independent registered public accounting firm or to appoint and engage a new independent registered public accounting firm; reviews and approves the retention of the independent registered public accounting firm to perform any proposed permissible non-audit services; monitors the rotation of partners of the independent registered public accounting firm on our audit engagement team as required by law; confers with management and the independent registered public accounting firm regarding the effectiveness of internal controls over

financial reporting; establishes procedures, as required under applicable law, for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints we receive regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters; reviews the financial statements to be included in our annual report on Form 10-K; discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the results of the annual audit and the results of our quarterly financial statements; annually discusses with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; reviews the results of management s efforts to monitor compliance with our programs and policies designed to ensure adherence to applicable laws and rules, as well as to our Ethics Code, including review and approval of related-party transactions, and reviews and discusses with management and the independent registered public accounting firm our disclosures under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in periodic reports filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee also performs those specific functions as set forth above under the heading Role of the Board in Risk Oversight.

The Audit Committee is composed of three directors: Messrs. Anderson, Cozadd (Chair) and Swisher, all of whom were on the Audit Committee for all of 2012, The Audit Committee met four times during the fiscal year. The Audit Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at <a href="https://www.cerus.com">www.cerus.com</a> under the section entitled Investors at Corporate Governance.

The Board reviews the NASDAQ listing standards and applicable definitions of independence for Audit Committee members on an annual basis and has determined that all members of our Audit Committee are independent (as independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(c)(2)(A)(i) and (ii) of the NASDAQ listing standards).

The Board has also determined that Mr. Cozadd qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in applicable rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC and satisfied the financial sophistication requirements of the NASDAQ listing standards. The Board made a qualitative assessment of Mr. Cozadd s level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including his formal education and his service in executive capacities having financial oversight responsibilities. These positions include various management positions at ALZA Corporation, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Jazz Pharmaceuticals plc, pursuant to which Mr. Cozadd has experience supervising the preparation of financial reports. For further information on Mr. Cozadd s experience, please see his biography under Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors.

### Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors<sup>1</sup>

Our management has primary responsibility for preparing our financial statements and establishing the financial reporting process. Our independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an audit of our financial statements and expressing an opinion as to the conformity of such financial statements with United States generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 with our management. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountants

The material in this Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Cerus Corporation under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the 1933 Act, or 1934 Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm, the accounting firm s independence. Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Audit Committee:

Mr. Bruce C. Cozadd

Mr. Timothy B. Anderson

Mr. Daniel N. Swisher, Jr.

### **Compensation Committee**

The Compensation Committee is composed of three directors: Mr. Cassin, Mr. Cozadd and Ms. Schulze (Chair), all of whom were on the Compensation Committee for all of 2012. All members of our Compensation Committee are independent (as independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards). The Compensation Committee met three times during the 2012 fiscal year. The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at *www.cerus.com* under the section entitled Investors at Corporate Governance.

The Compensation Committee acts on behalf of the Board to review, adopt and oversee our compensation strategy, policies, plans and programs including: establishment of corporate objectives relevant to the compensation of our executive officers and other senior management and evaluation of performance in light of these stated objectives; review and approval of the compensation and other terms of employment or service, including severance and change-in-control arrangements of our chief executive officer and the other executive officers; and administration of our equity compensation plans, pension and profit-sharing plans, deferred compensation plans and other similar plan and programs. The Compensation Committee also performs those specific functions as set forth above under the heading Role of The Board in Risk Oversight. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees as appropriate, including, but not limited to, a subcommittee composed of one or more members of the Board, to grant stock awards under our equity incentive plans to persons who are not (i) Covered Employees as defined under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended from time to time, or the Code; (ii) individuals with respect to whom we wish to comply with Section 162(m) or (iii) then subject to Section 16 of the 1934 Act.

Under its charter, the Compensation Committee has the authority to retain and terminate any compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of executive compensation, including the authority to approve such consultant s reasonable fees and other retention terms, all at our expense. In this regard, the Compensation Committee engaged Radford, an AON Hewitt Consulting Company, or Radford Consulting, as a compensation consultant to evaluate certain aspects of executive compensation in 2012, as described in greater detail under the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement. In April 2013, the Compensation Committee analyzed whether the work of Radford Consulting as a compensation consultant raised any conflict of interest, taking into consideration the following factors: (i) the provision of other services to our company by Radford Consulting; (ii) the amount of fees from our company paid to Radford Consulting as a percentage of the firm s total revenue; (iii) Radford Consulting s policies and procedures that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any business or personal relationship of Radford Consulting or the individual compensation advisors employed by the firm with an executive officer of our company; (v) any business or personal relationship of the individual compensation advisors with any member of the Compensation Committee; and (vi) any stock of our company owned by the individual compensation advisors employed by the firm. The Compensation Committee determined, based on its analysis of the above factors, that the work of Radford Consulting and the individual compensation advisors employed by Radford as compensation consultants to our company has not created any conflict of interest. Going forward, the Compensation Committee intends to assess the independence of any of our compensation advisers by reference to the foregoing factors, consistent with applicable NASDAQ listing standards.

For additional information on the specific processes, procedures and determinations of the Compensation Committee with respect to executive compensation for 2012 and 2013 to date, including the roles of executive officers and Radford Consulting in determining the amount and form of executive compensation, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

With respect to director compensation matters, the Compensation Committee determines and sets non-employee director compensation in the manner more fully described under the section of this Proxy Statement entitled Director Compensation.

## **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

As noted above, our Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Cassin and Cozadd and Ms. Schulze. No member of the Compensation Committee is or has ever been one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers has served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Compensation Committee, nor has such a relationship existed in the past.

### Compensation Committee Report<sup>2</sup>

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or the CD&A, contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement and incorporated into our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended 2012.

Compensation Committee:

Ms. Gail Schulze

Mr. B.J. Cassin

Mr. Bruce C. Cozadd

### **Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee**

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board is responsible for identifying, reviewing and evaluating candidates to serve as directors; reviewing, evaluating and considering the recommendation for nomination of incumbent directors; recommending to the Board candidates for election to the Board; considering recommendations for Board nominees and proposals submitted by our stockholders; making recommendations regarding the membership of the committees of the Board; assessing the performance of the Board; overseeing all aspects of our corporate governance functions on behalf of the Board; and making recommendations to the Board regarding corporate governance issues. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also performs those specific functions as set forth above under the heading Role of the Board in Risk Oversight.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of five directors: Messrs. Cassin (Chair), Anderson, Cozadd, Swisher and Ms. Schulze, all of whom were on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for all of 2012. All members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are independent (as independence is currently defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ listing standards). The

The material in this report is not soliciting material, is furnished to, but not deemed filed with, the SEC and is not deemed to be incorporated by reference in any filing of Cerus under the Securities Act or the 1934 Act, other than the Cerus Annual Report on Form 10-K, where it shall be deemed to be furnished, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met once during 2012. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted a written charter that is available to stockholders on our website at *www.cerus.com* under the section entitled Investors at Corporate Governance.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not determined specific minimum criteria that a Board member must possess, but generally a qualified candidate must possess the highest personal and professional integrity, have demonstrated exceptional ability and judgment and have the ability to work effectively with other members of the Board. While we do not have a formal policy on Board diversity, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee takes into account a broad range of diversity considerations when assessing director candidates, including individual backgrounds and skill sets, professional experience and other factors that contribute to our Board having an appropriate range of expertise, talents, experiences and viewpoints, and considers those diversity considerations, in view of the needs of the Board as a whole, when making decisions on director nominations. In the case of incumbent directors whose terms of office are set to expire, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates these directors—overall service to us during their terms, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, quality of performance, and any other relationships and transactions that might impair the directors independence. In identifying potential new members to our Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee uses an informal network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm. For the directors nominated for re-election for a three-year term expiring at the 2016 annual meeting, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee did not pay a fee to any third party to assist in the process of identifying or evaluating director candidates but has in the past, and may again in the future, pay fees to third parties to assist in identifying or evaluating director candidates.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders. When considering candidates for membership to the Board that are nominated by stockholders, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee employs the same policy that it uses to evaluate candidates recommended by members of the Board. Any stockholder wishing to nominate a director candidate should submit in writing the candidate s name, biographical information, business qualifications, including a description of the proposed nominee s business experience for at least the previous five years, and a representation that the nominating stockholder is a beneficial or record owner of our stock, to Mr. Cassin, Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Cerus Corporation at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520. Any such submission also must be accompanied by the written consent of the proposed nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. All qualified submissions are reviewed by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee at the next appropriate meeting. If a stockholder wishes the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to consider a director candidate for nomination at our next annual meeting of stockholders, then recommendations must be received by us no sooner than 90 and no later than 60 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year s annual meeting of stockholders.

To date, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not rejected a timely director nominee from a stockholder or group of stockholders that beneficially owned more than 5% of our voting stock.

### STOCKHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

To date, we have not adopted a formal process for stockholder communications with the Board. However, every effort has been made to ensure that the views of stockholders are heard by the Board or individual directors, as applicable, and that appropriate responses are provided to stockholders in a timely manner. We believe our responsiveness to stockholder communications to the Board has been excellent. Our stockholders may communicate directly with any member of our senior management, the independent members of the Board or any Chair of a Board Committee, including the Chair of the Board, by writing directly to those individuals at Cerus Corporation at 2550 Stanwell Drive, Concord, California 94520. Stockholder communications related to director candidate recommendations should be directed to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate

Governance Committee, Mr. Cassin. In addition, if our stockholders or employees have any concerns related to our financial or accounting practices, we encourage communicating those concerns directly to the Chair of the Audit Committee, Mr. Cozadd.

### **Code of Ethics**

We have adopted the Cerus Corporation Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, or the Ethics Code, that applies to all of our officers, directors and employees. The Ethics Code is available on our website at <a href="https://www.cerus.com">www.cerus.com</a> on the Corporate Governance page of the section entitled Investors. If we make any substantive amendments to the Ethics Code or grant any waiver from a provision of the Ethics Code to any executive officer or director, we intend to promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver as required by applicable laws. To satisfy our disclosure requirements, we may post any waivers of or amendments to the Ethics Code on our website in lieu of filing such waivers or amendments on a Form 8-K.

Our employees are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the Ethics Code. The Audit Committee has established procedures to receive, retain and address complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and to allow for the confidential and anonymous submission by employees of related concerns.

### PROPOSAL NO. 2

# APPROVAL OF THE 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN, AS AMENDED

Our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2008 Plan, was originally adopted by the Compensation Committee of the Board on April 22, 2008, pursuant to authority delegated by the Board, and subsequently approved by our stockholders. The 2008 Plan is the successor to and continuation of our 1999 Equity Incentive Plan and 1998 Non-Officer Stock Option Plan, or the Prior Plans. Prior to stockholder approval of this Proposal No. 2, there is an aggregate total of 13,540,940 shares of common stock previously authorized for issuance under the 2008 Plan.