

UMB FINANCIAL CORP
Form 10-K
February 25, 2014
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-4887

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Missouri
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri
(Address of principal executive offices)

43-0903811
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

64106
(ZIP Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code): **(816) 860-7000**

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Title of each class
Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value
Name of each exchange on which registered
The NASDAQ Global Select Market
Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2013 the aggregate market value of common stock outstanding held by nonaffiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1,842,394,141 based on the NASDAQ Global Select Market closing price of that date.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Class | Outstanding at February 14, 2014 |
| Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value | 45,235,254 |

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company's definitive Proxy Statement to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 22, 2014, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

INDEX

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>PART I</u> | 3 |
| <u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u> | 3 |
| <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u> | 11 |
| <u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | 15 |
| <u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u> | 15 |
| <u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 16 |
| <u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u> | 16 |
| <u>PART II</u> | 17 |
| <u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | 17 |
| <u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | 18 |
| <u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 20 |
| <u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 49 |
| <u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | 56 |
| <u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | 105 |
| <u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 105 |
| <u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 107 |
| <u>PART III</u> | 107 |
| <u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | 107 |
| <u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | 107 |
| <u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | 107 |
| <u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | 108 |
| <u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u> | 108 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | 108 |
| <u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | 108 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 111 |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT | |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT | |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 | |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 | |

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

UMB Financial Corporation (the Company) is a diversified financial holding company that is headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri. Together with its subsidiaries, the Company supplies banking services, institutional investment management, asset servicing, and payment solutions to its customers in the United States and around the globe.

The Company was organized as a corporation under Missouri law in 1967 and is registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the BHCA) and a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the GLBA). The Company currently owns all of the outstanding stock of one national bank and several nonbank subsidiaries.

The Company's national bank, UMB Bank, National Association (the Bank), has its principal office in Missouri and also has branches in Arizona, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma, and Texas. The Bank offers a full complement of banking services to commercial, retail, government, and correspondent-bank customers, including a wide range of asset-management, trust, bank-card, and cash-management services.

The Company's significant nonbank subsidiaries include the following:

Scout Investments, Inc. (Scout) is an institutional asset-management company that is headquartered in Kansas City, Missouri. Scout offers domestic and international equity strategies through its Scout Asset Management Division and fixed-income strategies through its Reams Asset Management Division.

UMB Fund Services, Inc. (UMBFS) is located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, Kansas City, Missouri, and Chadds Ford, Pennsylvania and provides fund accounting, transfer agency, and other services to mutual fund groups. JD Clark & Co., Inc., a subsidiary of UMBFS, is located in Ogden, Utah, and provides similar services to alternative-investment groups.

On a full-time equivalent basis at December 31, 2013, the Company and its subsidiaries employed 3,498 persons.

Business Segments

The Company's products and services are grouped into four segments: Bank, Institutional Investment Management, Asset Servicing, and Payment Solutions.

These segments and their financial results are described in detail in (i) the section of Management's Discussion and Analysis entitled *Business Segments*, which can be found in Item 7, pages 33 through 35, of this report and (ii) Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which can be found in Item 8, pages 87 through 88, of this report.

Competition

The Company faces intense competition in each of its business segments and in all of the markets and geographic regions that the Company and its subsidiaries serve. Competition comes from both traditional and non-traditional financial-services providers, including banks, savings associations, finance companies, investment advisors, asset managers, mutual funds, private-equity firms, hedge funds, brokerage firms, mortgage-banking companies, credit-card companies, insurance companies, trust companies, securities processing companies, and credit unions. Many of these competitors, moreover, are not subject to the same kind or degree of supervision and regulation that the Company and its subsidiaries experience.

Table of Contents

Competition is based on a number of factors. Banking customers are generally influenced by convenience, rates and pricing, personal experience, quality and availability of products and services, lending limits, transaction execution, and reputation. Investment advisory services compete primarily on returns, expenses, third-party ratings, and the reputation and performance of managers. Asset servicing competes primarily on price, quality of services, and reputation.

Successfully competing in the Company's chosen markets and regions also depends on the Company's ability to attract, retain, and motivate talented employees, to invest in technology and infrastructure, and to innovate, all the while effectively managing its expenses. The Company expects that competition will only intensify in the future.

Government Monetary and Fiscal Policies

In addition to the impact of general economic conditions, the Company's business, results of operations, financial condition, capital, liquidity, and prospects are significantly affected by government monetary and fiscal policies that are announced or implemented in the United States and abroad.

A sizeable influence is exerted, in particular, by the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), which influences monetary and credit conditions in the economy in pursuit of maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates. Among the FRB's policy tools are (1) open market operations (that is, purchases or sales of securities in the open market for the purpose of adjusting the supply of reserve balances and thus achieving targeted federal funds rates or for the purpose of putting pressure on longer-term interest rates and thus achieving more desirable levels of economic activity and job creation), (2) the discount rate charged on loans by the Federal Reserve Banks, (3) the level of reserves required to be held by depository institutions against specified deposit liabilities, (4) the interest paid on balances maintained with the Federal Reserve Banks by depository institutions, including balances used to satisfy their reserve requirements, and (5) other deposit and loan facilities.

The FRB and its policies have a substantial bearing on the availability of loans and deposits, the rates and other aspects of pricing for loans and deposits, and the conditions in equity, fixed-income, currency, and other markets in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate. Policies announced or implemented by other central banks around the world have a meaningful effect as well.

Tax and other fiscal policies, moreover, impact not only general economic conditions but also give rise to incentives or disincentives that affect how the Company and its customers prioritize objectives, operate businesses, and deploy resources.

Regulation and Supervision

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to regulatory frameworks in the United States at federal, State, and local levels and in the foreign jurisdictions where its business segments operate. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to the direct supervision of government authorities charged with overseeing the kinds of financial activities conducted by its business segments.

This section summarizes some pertinent provisions of the principal laws that apply to the Company or its subsidiaries. The descriptions, however, are not complete and are qualified in their entirety by the full text and judicial or administrative interpretations of those laws and of other laws that affect the Company or its subsidiaries.

Overview

The Company is a bank holding company under the BHCA and a financial holding company under the GLBA. As a result, the Company and its subsidiaries including all of its businesses and operations in the

Table of Contents

United States and abroad are subject to the regulation, supervision, and examination of the FRB and to restrictions on permissible activities. This scheme of regulation, supervision, and examination is intended primarily for the protection and benefit of depositors and other customers of the Bank, the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the banking and financial systems as a whole, and the broader economy, not for the protection or benefit of its shareholders or its non-deposit creditors.

Many of the Company's subsidiaries are also subject to separate or related schemes of regulation, supervision, and examination: for example, (1) the Bank by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) under the National Banking Acts, the FDIC under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA), and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act); (2) Scout, Scout Distributors, LLC, UMBFS, UMB Distribution Services, LLC, UMB Financial Services, Inc., and Prairie Capital Management, LLC by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and State regulatory authorities under federal and State securities laws, and UMB Distribution Services, LLC and UMB Financial Services, Inc. by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) as well; and (3) UMB Insurance, Inc. by State regulatory authorities under applicable State insurance laws. These schemes, like that overseen by the FRB, are designed to protect public or private interests that often are not aligned with those of its shareholders or non-deposit creditors.

The FRB possesses extensive authorities and powers to regulate the conduct of the Company's businesses and operations. If the FRB were to take the position that the Company or its subsidiaries have violated any law or commitment or engaged in any unsafe or unsound practice, formal or informal corrective or enforcement actions could be taken by the FRB against the Company, its subsidiaries, and institution-affiliated parties (such as directors, officers, and agents). These enforcement actions could include an imposition of civil monetary penalties and could directly affect not only the Company, its subsidiaries, and institution-affiliated parties but also the Company's counterparties, shareholders, and creditors and its commitments, arrangements, or other dealings with them. The OCC has similarly expansive authorities and powers over the Bank and its subsidiaries, as does the CFPB over matters involving consumer financial laws. The SEC, FINRA, and other domestic or foreign government authorities also have an array of means at their disposal to regulate and enforce matters within their jurisdiction that could impact the Company's businesses and operations.

Restrictions on Permissible Activities and Corporate Matters

Bank holding companies and their subsidiaries are generally limited, under the BHCA, to the business of banking and to closely related activities that are a proper incident to banking.

As a bank holding company that has elected to become a financial holding company under the GLBA, the Company is also able directly or through its subsidiaries to engage in activities that are financial in nature, that are incidental to a financial activity, or that are complementary to a financial activity and do not pose a substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally. Activities that are financial in nature include (1) underwriting, dealing in, or making a market in securities, (2) providing financial, investment, or economic advisory services, (3) underwriting insurance, and (4) merchant banking.

The Company's ability to directly or indirectly engage in these banking and financial activities, however, is subject to conditions and other limits imposed by law or the FRB and, in some cases, requires the approval of the FRB or other government authorities. These conditions or other limits may arise due to the particular type of activity or may apply more generally. An example of the former are the substantial restrictions on the timing, amount, form, substance, interconnectedness, and management of its merchant banking investments. An example of the latter is a condition that, in order for the Company to engage in broader financial activities, its depository institutions must remain well capitalized and well managed under applicable banking laws and must receive at least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA).

Under amendments to the BHCA effected by the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 and the Dodd-Frank Act, the Company may acquire banks outside of its home State of Missouri

Table of Contents

subject to specified limits and may establish new branches in other States to the same extent as banks chartered in those States. Under the BHCA, however, the Company must procure the prior approval of the FRB to directly or indirectly acquire ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of voting securities of an unaffiliated bank, savings association, or bank holding company or substantially all of its assets. In deciding whether to approve any acquisition or branch, the FRB, the OCC, and other government authorities will consider public or private interests that may not be aligned with those of its shareholders or non-deposit creditors. The FRB also has the power to require the Company to divest a depository institution that cannot maintain its well capitalized or well managed status.

The FRB also maintains a targeted policy that requires a bank holding company to inform and consult with staff of the FRB sufficiently in advance of (1) declaring and paying a dividend that could raise safety and soundness concerns (for example, a dividend that exceeds earnings in the period for which the dividend is being paid), (2) redeeming or repurchasing regulatory capital instruments when the holding company is experiencing financial weaknesses, or (3) redeeming or repurchasing common stock or perpetual preferred stock that would result in a net reduction as of the end of the quarter in the amount of those equity instruments outstanding compared with the beginning of the quarter in which the redemption or repurchase occurred.

Requirements Affecting the Relationships among the Company, Its Subsidiaries, and Other Affiliates

The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank, Scout, UMBFS, and its other subsidiaries but receives the vast majority of its funds in the form of dividends from those subsidiaries. Without the approval of the OCC, however, dividends payable by the Bank in any calendar year may not exceed the lesser of (1) the current year's net income combined with the retained net income of the two preceding years and (2) undivided profits. In addition, under the Basel III capital-adequacy standards described below, the Bank will be compelled beginning January 1, 2016, to maintain a capital conservation buffer in excess of its minimum risk-based capital ratios and will be restricted in declaring and paying dividends whenever the buffer is breached. The authorities and powers of the FRB, the OCC, and other government authorities to prevent any unsafe or unsound practice also could be employed to further limit the dividends that the Bank or its other subsidiaries may declare and pay.

The Dodd-Frank Act codified the FRB's policy requiring a bank holding company like UMB to serve as a source of financial strength for its depository-institution subsidiaries and to commit resources to support those subsidiaries in circumstances when the holding company might not otherwise elect to do so. The functional regulator of any nonbank subsidiary of the holding company, however, may prevent that subsidiary from directly or indirectly contributing its financial support, and if that were to preclude the holding company from serving as an adequate source of strength, the FRB may instead require the divestiture of depository-institution subsidiaries and impose operating restrictions pending such a divestiture.

A number of laws, principally, Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, also exist to prevent the Company and its nonbank subsidiaries from taking improper advantage of the benefits afforded to the Bank as a depository institution, including its access to federal deposit insurance and the discount window. These laws generally require the Bank and its subsidiaries to deal with the Company and its nonbank subsidiaries only on market terms and, in addition, impose restrictions on the Bank and its subsidiaries in directly or indirectly extending credit to or engaging in other covered transactions with the Company or its nonbank subsidiaries. The Dodd-Frank Act recently extended the restrictions to derivatives and securities lending transactions and expanded the restrictions for transactions involving hedge funds or private-equity funds that are owned or sponsored by the Company or its nonbank subsidiaries.

In addition, under amendments to the BHCA effected by the Dodd-Frank Act and commonly known as the Volcker Rule, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to extensive limits on proprietary trading and on owning or sponsoring hedge funds and private-equity funds. The limits on proprietary trading are largely focused on purchases or sales of financial instruments by a banking entity as principal primarily for the purpose of short-

Table of Contents

term resale, benefitting from actual or expected short-term price movements, or realizing short-term arbitrage profits. The limits on owning or sponsoring hedge funds and private-equity funds are designed to ensure that banking entities generally maintain only small positions in managed or advised funds and are not exposed to significant losses arising directly or indirectly from them. The Volcker Rule also provides for increased capital charges, quantitative limits, rigorous compliance programs, and other restrictions on permitted proprietary trading and fund activities, including a prohibition on transactions with a covered fund that would constitute a covered transaction under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. The Company is currently assessing the impact to its businesses of the final regulation implementing the Volcker Rule, which was issued in December 2013, and have until July 21, 2015, to fully conform its activities.

Additional Requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act

On an annual basis beginning in the fall of 2013, the Company and the Bank are required under the Dodd-Frank Act to conduct forward-looking, company-run stress tests as an aid to ensuring that each entity would have sufficient capital to absorb losses and support operations during adverse economic conditions. The first disclosure of a summary of stress-test results for UMB and the Bank is expected to occur in 2015 based on the results of the 2014 stress tests.

Several additional requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act and related regulations apply by their terms only to bank holding companies with consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more and systemically important nonbank financial companies. These requirements include enhanced prudential standards, submission to the comprehensive capital analysis and review, more stringent capital and liquidity requirements, stricter limits on leverage, early remediation requirements, resolution planning, single-counterparty exposure limits, increased liabilities for assessments to the FRB and the FDIC, and mandates imposed by the Financial Stability Oversight Council. While the Company and its subsidiaries are not expressly subject to these requirements, their imposition on global and super-regional institutions has resulted in heightened supervision of regional institutions like the Company by the FRB, the OCC, and other government authorities and in a more aggressive use of their extensive authorities and powers to regulate the Company's businesses and operations.

Capital-Adequacy Standards

The FRB and the OCC have adopted risk-based capital and leverage guidelines that require the capital-to-assets ratios of bank holding companies and national banks, respectively, to meet specified minimum standards.

The risk-based capital ratios are based on a banking organization's risk-weighted asset amounts (RWAs), which are generally determined under the standardized approach applicable to the Company and the Bank by (1) assigning on-balance-sheet exposures to broad risk-weight categories according to the counterparty or, if relevant, the guarantor or collateral (with higher risk weights assigned to categories of exposures perceived as representing greater risk) and (2) multiplying off-balance-sheet exposures by specified credit conversion factors to calculate credit equivalent amounts and assigning those credit equivalent amounts to the relevant risk-weight categories. The leverage ratio, in contrast, is based on an institution's average on-balance-sheet exposures alone.

The Company and the Bank are currently subject to capital-adequacy standards that were originally promulgated in 1989 and that are commonly known as Basel I. In July 2013, the FRB and the OCC issued comprehensive revisions to the capital-adequacy standards, commonly known as Basel III, to which the Company and the Bank will begin transitioning on January 1, 2015, with full conformance required by January 1, 2019.

Under Basel I, total qualifying capital is divided into two tiers: more loss-absorbent tier 1 capital and less loss-absorbent tier 2 capital. The maximum amount of tier 2 capital that may be included in a banking organization's qualifying total capital is limited to 100% of its tier 1 capital.

Table of Contents

The Company and the Bank must maintain, under Basel I, a minimum total risk-based capital ratio of total qualifying capital to RWAs of 8.0%, a minimum tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of tier 1 capital to RWAs of 4.0%, and a minimum tier 1 leverage ratio of tier 1 capital to average on-balance-sheet exposures of 4.0%.

The capital ratios for the Company and the Bank as of December 31, 2013, are set forth below:

| | Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | Tier 1 Risk Based Capital Ratio | Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| UMB Financial Corporation | 8.41 | 13.61 | 14.43 |
| UMB Bank, n.a. | 7.21 | 11.73 | 12.56 |

These capital-to-assets ratios also play a central role in prompt corrective action (PCA), which is an enforcement framework used by the federal banking agencies to constrain the activities of banking organizations based on their levels of regulatory capital. Five categories have been established using thresholds for the total risk-based capital ratio, the tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, and the leverage ratio: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. While bank holding companies are not subject to the PCA framework, the FRB is empowered to compel a holding company to take measures such as the execution of financial or performance guarantees when prompt correction action is required in connection with one of its depository-institution subsidiaries. At December 31, 2013, the Bank was well capitalized under the PCA framework.

Basel III bolsters the quantity and quality of capital required under the capital-adequacy guidelines, in part, by (1) imposing a new minimum common-equity tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.5%, (2) raising the minimum tier 1 risk-based capital ratio to 6.0%, (3) establishing a new capital conservation buffer of common-equity tier 1 capital to RWAs of 2.5%, (4) amending the definition of qualifying capital to be more conservative, and (5) limiting capital distributions and specified discretionary bonus payments whenever the capital conservation buffer is breached. Basel III also enhances the risk sensitivity of the standardized approach to determining a banking organization's RWAs and addresses other perceived weaknesses in the capital-adequacy guidelines that were identified during the past several years. In addition, as part of their Basel III rulemaking, the federal banking agencies have made corresponding revisions to the PCA framework.

Final and proposed rules relating to Basel III include a number of more rigorous provisions applicable only to banking organizations that are larger or more internationally active than the Company and the Bank. These include, for example, a supplementary leverage ratio incorporating off-balance-sheet exposures, a liquidity coverage ratio, and a net stable funding ratio. It is not yet clear whether, as with the Dodd-Frank Act, these standards may be informally applied or considered by the FRB and the OCC in their regulation, supervision, and examination of UMB and the Bank.

Deposit Insurance and Related Matters

The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC in the standard insurance amount of \$250 thousand per depositor for each account ownership category. This insurance is funded through assessments on the Bank and other insured depository institutions. In connection with implementing the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC in 2011 changed each institution's assessment base from its total insured deposits to its average consolidated total assets less average tangible equity and created a scorecard method for calculating assessments that combines CAMELS ratings and specified forward-looking financial measures to determine each institution's risk to the DIF. The Dodd-Frank Act also required the FDIC, in setting assessments, to offset the effect of increasing its reserve for the DIF on institutions with consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion. The result of this revised approach to deposit-insurance assessments is generally an increase in costs, on an absolute or relative basis, for institutions with consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more.

If an insured depository institution such as the Bank were to become insolvent or if other specified events were to occur relating to its financial condition or the propriety of its actions, the FDIC may be appointed as

Table of Contents

conservator or receiver for the institution. In that capacity, the FDIC would have the power (1) to transfer assets and liabilities of the institution to another person or entity without the approval of the institution's creditors, (2) to require that its claims process be followed and to enforce statutory or other limits on damages claimed by the institution's creditors, (3) to enforce the institution's contracts or leases according to their terms, (4) to repudiate or disaffirm the institution's contracts or leases, (5) to seek to reclaim, recover, or recharacterize transfers of the institution's assets or to exercise control over assets in which the institution may claim an interest, (6) to enforce statutory or other injunctions, and (7) to exercise a wide range of other rights, powers, and authorities, including those that could impair the rights and interests of all or some of the institution's creditors. In addition, the administrative expenses of the conservator or receiver could be afforded priority over all or some of the claims of the institution's creditors, and under the FDIA, the claims of depositors (including the FDIC as subrogee of depositors) would enjoy priority over the claims of the institution's unsecured creditors.

The FDIA also provides that an insured depository institution can be held liable for any loss incurred or expected to be incurred by the FDIC in connection with another commonly controlled insured depository institution that is in default or in danger of default. This cross-guarantee liability is generally superior in right of payment to claims of the institution's holding company and its affiliates.

Other Regulatory and Supervisory Matters

As a public company, the Company is subject to the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and other federal and State securities laws. In addition, because the Company's common stock is listed with The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC, it is subject to the listing rules of that exchange.

The Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act of 1970 (commonly known as the Bank Secrecy Act), the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, and related laws require all financial institutions, including banks and broker-dealers, to establish a risk-based system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism. These laws include a variety of recordkeeping and reporting requirements (such as currency and suspicious activity reporting) as well as know-your-customer and due-diligence rules.

Under the CRA, the Bank has a continuing and affirmative obligation to help meet the credit needs of its local communities including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The CRA does not create specific lending programs but does establish the framework and criteria by which the OCC regularly assesses the Bank's record in meeting these credit needs. The Bank's ratings under the CRA are taken into account by the FRB and the OCC when considering merger or other specified applications that UMB or the Bank may submit from time to time.

The Bank is subject as well to a vast array of consumer-protection laws, such as qualified-mortgage and other mortgage-related rules under the jurisdiction of the CFPB. Lending limits, restrictions on tying arrangements, limits on permissible interest-rate charges, and other laws governing the conduct of banking or fiduciary activities are also applicable to the Bank. In addition, the GLBA imposes on the Company and its subsidiaries a number of obligations relating to financial privacy.

Acquisitions

A discussion of past acquisitions is included in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which can be found in Item 8, pages 91 through 92, of this report.

Statistical Disclosure

The information required by Guide 3, Statistical Disclosure by Bank Holding Companies, has been included in Items 6, 7, and 7A, pages 18 through 55, of this report.

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Registrant. The following are the executive officers of the Company, each of whom is elected annually, and there are no arrangements or understandings between any of the persons so named and any other person pursuant to which such person was elected as an officer.

| Name | Age | Position with Registrant |
|---------------------|------------|--|
| Craig Anderson | 54 | Mr. Anderson joined the Company in 1986. In 2011, he was named President of Commercial Banking for the Bank and, in that capacity, is responsible for all areas of commercial banking, including treasury management. Prior to his appointment to that position, he served as the President for Regional Banking for the Bank from September 2009 through November 2011 and as Chairman and CEO of National Bank of America in Salina, Kansas, from May 2004 to September 2009. |
| Peter J. deSilva | 52 | Mr. deSilva has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company since January 2004. He was named Vice Chairman of the Bank in January 2014 and, between December 2012 and January 2014, served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank. Mr. deSilva was previously employed by Fidelity Investments from 1987 to 2004, the last seven years as Senior Vice President with principal responsibility for brokerage operations. |
| Michael D. Hagedorn | 47 | Mr. Hagedorn has served as Vice Chairman of the Company since October 2009 and was named President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank in January 2014. Between March 2005 and January 2014, he served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company and, from October 2009 to January 2014, also as Chief Administrative Officer of the Company. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wells Fargo, Midwest Banking Group, from April 2001 to March 2005. |
| Daryl S. Hunt | 57 | Mr. Hunt was named Chief Administrative Officer of the Company in January 2014. Between November 2007 and January 2014, he served as Executive Vice President of the Operations and Technology Group for the Company and the Bank. Previously, Mr. Hunt worked at Fidelity Investments where he served as Senior Vice President for Transfer Operations from 2006 to 2007, Senior Vice President of Customer Processing Operations from 2003 to 2006, and Senior Vice President of Outbound Mail Operations from 2001 to 2003. |
| Andrew J. Iseman | 49 | Mr. Iseman joined Scout as Chief Executive Officer in August 2010. From February 2009 to June 2010, he served as Chief Operating Officer of RK Capital Management. He was previously employed by Janus Capital Group from January 2003 to April 2008, most recently serving as the Executive Vice President from January 2008 to April 2008 and Chief Operating Officer from May 2007 to April 2008. |
| J. Mariner Kemper | 41 | Mr. Kemper has served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since May 2004, as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank between December 2012 and January 2014, and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of UMB Bank Colorado, n.a. (a prior subsidiary of UMB) between 2000 and 2012. He was President of UMB Bank Colorado from 1997 to 2000. |

Table of Contents

| Name | Age | Position with Registrant |
|-------------------|------------|---|
| David D. Kling | 67 | Mr. Kling has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of the Company since October 2008. He previously served as the Executive Vice President for Enterprise Services of the Bank since November 2007. He also served as Executive Vice President of Financial Services and Support of the Bank from 1997 to 2007. |
| Christine Pierson | 51 | Ms. Pierson joined the Company in January 2011 as Executive Vice President of Consumer Banking. Prior to 2011, she served the Vice President of US Sales Animal Health Division for Bayer Healthcare Corporation since 2005. |
| Lawrence G. Smith | 66 | Mr. Smith has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Organizational Effectiveness Officer of the Bank since March 2005. Prior to coming to the Bank, Mr. Smith was Vice President Human Resources for Fidelity Investments in Boston, Massachusetts where he was responsible for Fidelity's business group human resource activities. |
| Scott A. Stengel | 42 | Mr. Stengel was named Executive Vice President and General Counsel of the Company and the Bank in January 2014. He joined the Company as Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel in April 2013 after practicing law in Washington, D.C., as a partner with King & Spalding LLP from 2011 to 2013 and as a partner with Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP from 2005 to 2011. |
| Brian J. Walker | 42 | Mr. Walker was named Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of UMB in January 2014 and has served as Chief Accounting Officer of UMB since June 2007. From July 2004 to June 2007, he served as a Certified Public Accountant for KPMG, where he worked primarily as an auditor for financial institutions. He worked as a Certified Public Accountant for Deloitte & Touche from November 2002 to July 2004. |
| John P. Zader | 52 | Mr. Zader serves as Chief Executive Officer of UMBFS, which he joined in December 2006. He previously served as a consultant to Jefferson Wells International in 2006 and as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of U.S. Bancorp Fund Services, LLC (a mutual- and hedge-fund service provider) from 1988 to 2006. |

The Company makes available free of charge on its website at www.umb.com/investor, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files or furnishes such material with or to the SEC.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Financial-services companies routinely encounter and address risks and uncertainties. In the following paragraphs, the Company describes some of the principal risks and uncertainties that could adversely affect its business, results of operations, financial condition (including capital and liquidity), or prospects or the value of or return on an investment in the Company. These risks and uncertainties, however, are not the only ones faced by the Company. Other risks and uncertainties that are not presently known to the Company, that it has failed to appreciate, or that it currently consider immaterial may adversely affect the Company as well. Except where otherwise noted, the descriptions here address risks and uncertainties that may affect the Company as well as its

Table of Contents

subsidiaries. These risk factors should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis (which can be found in Item 7 of this report beginning on page 20) and its Consolidated Financial Statements (which can be found in Item 8 of this report beginning on page 56).

The levels of or changes in interest rates could affect the Company's business. The Company's business, results of operations, and financial condition are highly dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on earning assets (such as loans and investments) and interest expense on deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is significantly affected by market rates of interest, which in turn are influenced by monetary and fiscal policies, general economic conditions, the regulatory environment, competitive pressures, and expectations about future changes in interest rates. The policies and regulations of the FRB, in particular, have a substantial impact on market rates of interest. See "Government Monetary and Fiscal Policies" in Part I, Item 1. The Company may be adversely affected by policies, regulations, or events that have the effect of altering the difference between long-term and short-term interest rates (commonly known as the yield curve), depressing the interest rates associated with its earning assets to levels near the rates associated with interest expense, or changing the relationship between different interest-rate indices. The Company's customers and counterparties also may be negatively impacted by the levels of or changes in interest rates, which could increase the risk of delinquency or default on obligations to the Company. The levels of or changes in interest rates, moreover, may have an adverse effect on the value of the Company's investment portfolio and other financial instruments, the return on or demand for loans, the prepayment speed of loans, the cost or availability of deposits or other funding sources, or the purchase or sale of investment securities. In addition, a rapid change in interest rates could result in interest expense increasing faster than interest income because of differences in the maturities of the Company's assets and liabilities. The level of and changes in market rates of interest and, as a result, these risks and uncertainties are beyond the Company's control. See "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Interest Rate Risk" in Part II, Item 7A for a discussion of how the Company monitors and manages interest-rate risk.

Weak or deteriorating economic conditions could increase the Company's credit risk and adversely affect its lending or other banking businesses and the value of its loans or investment securities. The Company's business and results of operations depend significantly on general economic conditions. When those conditions are weak or deteriorating in any of the markets or regions where the Company operates, its business or results of operations could be adversely affected. The Company's lending and other banking businesses, in particular, are susceptible to weak or deteriorating economic conditions, which could result in reduced loan demand or utilization rates and at the same time increased delinquencies or defaults. These kinds of conditions also could dampen the demand for products in the Company's asset-management, insurance, brokerage, or related businesses. If delinquencies or defaults on the Company's loans or investment securities increase, their value could be adversely affected. In addition, to the extent that charge-offs exceed estimates, an increase to the amount of provision expense related to the allowance for loan losses would reduce its income. See "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Credit Risk" in Part II, Item 7A for a discussion of how the Company monitors and manages credit risk.

Challenging business, economic, or market conditions could adversely affect the Company's fee-based banking, investment-management, asset-servicing, or other businesses. The Company's fee-based banking, investment-management, asset-servicing, and other businesses are driven by wealth creation in the economy, robust market activity, fiscal stability, and positive investor, business, and consumer sentiment. Economic downturns, market disruptions, high unemployment or underemployment, unsustainable debt levels, depressed real-estate markets, or other challenging business, economic, or market conditions could adversely affect these businesses and their results. For example, if any of these conditions were to cause flows into or the fair value of assets held in the funds and accounts advised by Scout to weaken or decline, its revenue could be negatively impacted. If the funds or other groups that are clients of UMBFS were to encounter similar difficulties, its revenue also could suffer. The Company's bank-card revenue is driven primarily by transaction volumes in business and consumer spending that generate interchange fees, and any of these conditions could dampen those volumes. Revenue from trading, asset management, custody, trust, cash and treasury management, and the Company's other fee-based businesses could be adversely affected as well if any of these conditions were to occur or persist.

Table of Contents

The Company operates in a highly regulated industry, and its business could be adversely affected by the regulatory and supervisory frameworks applicable to it, changes in those frameworks, and other regulatory risks and uncertainties. The Company is subject to expansive regulatory frameworks in the United States at federal, State, and local levels and in the foreign jurisdictions where its business segments operate. In addition, the Company is subject to the direct supervision of government authorities charged with overseeing the kinds of financial activities conducted by its business segments. Much in these regulatory and supervisory frameworks is designed to protect public or private interests that often are not aligned with those of its shareholders or nondeposit creditors. See Regulation and Supervision in Part I, Item 1. In the wake of the recent economic crisis, moreover, government scrutiny of all financial-services companies has been amplified, fundamental changes have been made to the banking, securities, and other laws that govern financial services (with the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III being two of the more prominent examples), and a host of related business practices have been reexamined and reshaped. These seismic shifts in the financial-services industry have yet to slow in an appreciable way, and as a result, the Company expects to continue devoting increased time and resources to risk management, compliance, and regulatory change management. All of this could have a detrimental impact on the Company's business and results of operations. Risks also exist that government authorities could judge the Company's business or other practices unfavorably and bring formal or informal corrective or enforcement actions against it including fines or other penalties and directives to change its products or services that, for practical or other reasons, the Company could not resist and that also could give rise to litigation by private plaintiffs. These and other regulatory risks and uncertainties could adversely affect the Company's reputation, business, results of operations, financial condition, or prospects.

The Company's business relies on systems, employees, service providers, and counterparties, and failures by any of them or other operational risks could adversely affect the Company. We engage in a variety of businesses in diverse markets and rely on systems, employees, service providers, and counterparties to properly process a high volume of transactions. This gives rise to meaningful operational risk including the risk of fraud by employees or outside parties, unauthorized access to its premises or systems, errors in processing, failures of technology, breaches of internal controls or compliance safeguards, inadequate integration of acquisitions, human error, and breakdowns in business continuity plans. Significant financial, business, reputational, regulatory, or other harm could come to the Company as a result of these or related risks and uncertainties. For example, the Company could be negatively impacted if financial, accounting, data-processing, or other systems were to fail or not fully perform their functions. The Company also could be adversely affected if key personnel or a significant number of employees were to become unavailable due to a pandemic, natural disaster, war, act of terrorism, accident, or other reason. These risks arise as well with the systems and employees of the service providers and counterparties on whom we depend as well as with their third-party service providers and counterparties. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Operational Risk in Part II, Item 7A for a discussion of how the Company monitors and manages operational risk.

In the ordinary course of its business, the Company collects, stores, and transmits sensitive, confidential, or proprietary data and other information, including intellectual property, business information, and the personally identifiable information of its customers and employees. The secure processing, storage, maintenance, and transmission of this information is critical to the Company's operations and reputation, and if any of this information were mishandled, misused, improperly accessed, or lost, the Company could suffer significant financial, business, reputational, regulatory, or other damage. For example, despite security measures, the Company's information technology and infrastructure may be breached in cyber-attacks, by computer viruses or malware, or with other means. A breach also could occur due to employee error, malfeasance, or other disruptions. Even when an attempted breach is successfully avoided or thwarted, the Company may need to expend substantial resources in doing so and may be required take actions that could adversely affect customer satisfaction or behavior. If a breach were to occur, moreover, the Company could be exposed to regulatory actions or litigation by private plaintiffs. Despite the Company's efforts to ensure the integrity of systems and controls, it may not be able to anticipate or implement effective measures to prevent all security breaches or all risks to the sensitive, confidential, or proprietary information that it collects, stores, or transmits.

Table of Contents

Negative publicity outside of the Company's control or its failure to successfully manage issues arising from its conduct or in connection with the financial-services industry generally could damage the Company's reputation and adversely affect its business. The performance and value of the Company's business could be negatively impacted by any reputational harm that it may suffer. This harm could arise from negative publicity outside of its control or its failure to adequately address issues arising from its conduct or in connection with the financial-services industry generally. Risks to the Company's reputation could arise in any number of contexts—for example, continuing government responses to the recent economic crisis, cyber-attacks and security breaches, mergers and acquisitions, lending practices, actual or potential conflicts of interest, failures to prevent money laundering, and corporate governance.

The Company's faces intense competition from other financial-services companies, and competitive pressures could adversely affect the Company's business. The Company faces intense competition in each of its business segments and in all of its markets and geographic regions, and the Company expects competitive pressures only to intensify in the future—especially in light of legislative and regulatory initiatives arising out of the recent economic crisis, technological innovations that alter the barriers to entry, current economic and market conditions, and government monetary and fiscal policies. See Competition in Part I, Item 1. Competitive pressures may drive the Company to take actions that it might otherwise eschew, such as lowering the interest rates on loans or raising the interest rates on deposits in order to keep or attract high-quality customers. These pressures also may accelerate actions that it might otherwise elect to defer, such as investments in technology or infrastructure. Whatever the reason, actions that it takes in response to competition may adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition. This result could be exacerbated if the Company is not successful in introducing new products and services, achieving market acceptance of its products and services, developing and maintaining a strong customer base, or prudently managing expenses.

The Company's risk-management framework may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss. The Company maintains an enterprise risk-management program that is designed to identify, quantify, monitor, report, and control the risks that it faces. These include interest-rate risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, reputational risk, and compliance and litigation risk. While the Company assesses and improves this program on an ongoing basis, there can be no assurance that its approach and framework for risk management and related controls will effectively mitigate risk and limit losses in its business. If conditions or circumstances arise that expose flaws or gaps in the Company's risk-management program or if its controls break down, the performance and value of its business could be adversely affected.

Liquidity is essential to the Company's business, and it could be adversely affected by constraints in or increased costs for funding. Liquidity is the ability to fund increases in assets and meet obligations as they come due, all without incurring unacceptable losses. Banks are especially vulnerable to liquidity risk because of their role in the maturity transformation of demand or short-term deposits into longer-term loans or other extensions of credit. The Company, like other financial-services companies, relies to a significant extent on external sources of funding (such as deposits and borrowings) for the liquidity needed in the conduct of its business. A number of factors beyond the Company's control, however, could have a detrimental impact on the level or cost of that funding and thus on its liquidity. These include market disruptions, changes in its credit ratings or the sentiment of its investors, the loss of substantial deposit relationships, and reputational damage. Unexpected declines or limits on the dividends declared and paid by the Company's subsidiaries also could adversely affect its liquidity position. While the Company's policies and controls are designed to ensure that it maintains adequate liquidity to conduct its business in the ordinary course even in a stressed environment, there can be no assurance that its liquidity position will never become compromised. In such an event, the Company may be required to sell assets at a loss in order to continue its operations. This could damage the performance and value of its business, prompt regulatory intervention, and harm its reputation, and if the condition were to persist for any appreciable period of time, its viability as a going concern could be threatened. See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk—Liquidity Risk in Part II, Item 7A for a discussion of how the Company monitors and manages liquidity risk.

Table of Contents

An inability to attract, retain, or motivate qualified employees could adversely affect the Company's business. Skilled employees are the Company's most important resource, and competition for talented people is intense. Even though compensation is among the Company's highest expenses, it may not be able to hire the best people, keep them with the Company, or properly motivate them to perform at a high level. Recent scrutiny of compensation practices, especially in the financial-services industry, has made this only more difficult. In addition, some parts of its business are particularly dependent on key personnel, including investment management, asset servicing, and commercial lending. If the Company were to lose and find itself unable to replace these personnel or other skilled employees or if the competition for talent drove its compensation costs to unsustainable levels, the business, results of operations, and financial condition could be negatively impacted.

The Company is subject to a variety of litigation or other proceedings, which could adversely affect its business. The Company is involved from time to time in a variety of judicial, alternative-dispute, or other proceedings arising out of its business or operations. The Company establishes reserves for claims when appropriate under generally accepted accounting principles, but costs often can be incurred in connection with a matter before any reserve has been created. In addition, the actual costs associated with resolving a claim may be substantially higher than amounts that the Company has reserved. Substantial legal claims could have a detrimental impact on the Company's business, results of operations, and financial condition and cause reputational harm.

Changes in accounting standards could impact the Company's financial statements and reported earnings. Accounting standard-setting bodies, such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, periodically change the financial accounting and reporting standards that affect the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. These changes are beyond the Company's control and could have a meaningful impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The Company's management's selection of accounting methods, assumptions, and estimates could impact its financial statements and reported earnings. To comply with generally accepted accounting principles, management must sometimes exercise judgment in selecting, determining, and applying accounting methods, assumptions, and estimates. This can arise, for example, in determining the allowance for loan losses or the fair value of assets or liabilities. The judgments required of management can involve difficult, subjective, or complex matters with a high degree of uncertainty, and several different judgments could be reasonable under the circumstances and yet result in significantly different results being reported. See "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in Part II, Item 7. If management's judgments later prove to have been inaccurate, we may experience unexpected losses that could be substantial.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There are no unresolved comments from the staff of the SEC required to be disclosed herein as of the date of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company's headquarters building, the UMB Bank Building, is located at 1010 Grand Boulevard in downtown Kansas City, Missouri, and opened during July 1986. Of the 250,000 square feet, 227,000 square feet is occupied by departments and customer service functions of UMB Bank, n.a. as well as offices of the parent company, UMB Financial Corporation. The remaining 23,000 square feet of space within the building is leased to a law firm.

Other main facilities of UMB Bank, n.a. in downtown Kansas City, Missouri are located at 928 Grand Boulevard (185,000 square feet); 906 Grand Boulevard (140,000 square feet); and 1008 Oak Street (180,000 square feet). Both the 928 Grand and 906 Grand buildings house backroom support functions. The 928 Grand building also houses Scout Investments, Inc. Additionally, within the 906 Grand building there is 20,000 square

Table of Contents

feet of space leased to several small tenants. The 928 Grand building underwent a major renovation during 2004 and 2005. The 928 Grand building is connected to the UMB Bank Building (1010 Grand) by an enclosed elevated pedestrian walkway. The 1008 Oak building, which opened during the second quarter of 1999, houses the Company's operations and data processing functions.

UMB Bank, n.a. leases 52,000 square feet in the Hertz Building located in the heart of the commercial sector of downtown St. Louis, Missouri. This location has a full-service banking center and is home to some operational and administrative support functions. UMB Bank, n.a. also leases 30,000 square feet on the first, second, third, and fifth floors of the 1670 Broadway building located in the financial district of downtown Denver, Colorado. The location has a full-service banking center and is home to additional operational and administrative support functions.

UMB Fund Services, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, leases 72,000 square feet in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, at which its fund services operation is headquartered. JD Clark & Co., Inc. is headquartered in Ogden, Utah where it leases 37,300 square feet.

As of December 31, 2013, the Bank operated a total of 112 banking centers and one wealth management office.

The Company utilizes all of these properties to support aspects of all of the Company's business segments.

Additional information with respect to premises and equipment is presented in Notes 1 and 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, pages 62 and 80 of this report.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are named defendants in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, none of these proceedings are expected to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The Company's stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Stock Market under the symbol UMBF. As of February 14, 2014, the Company had 2,184 shareholders of record. Company stock information for each full quarter period within the two most recent fiscal years is set forth in the table below.

| Per Share 2013 | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| Dividend | \$ 0.215 | \$ 0.215 | \$ 0.215 | \$ 0.225 |
| Book value | 31.73 | 30.20 | 32.85 | 33.30 |
| Market price: | | | | |
| High | 49.42 | 56.14 | 62.20 | 65.44 |
| Low | 43.27 | 46.34 | 51.86 | 53.72 |
| Close | 49.07 | 55.67 | 54.34 | 64.28 |

| Per Share 2012 | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept. 30 | Dec. 31 |
| Dividend | \$ 0.205 | \$ 0.205 | \$ 0.205 | \$ 0.215 |
| Book value | 29.90 | 30.89 | 31.88 | 31.71 |
| Market price: | | | | |
| High | 46.33 | 51.57 | 52.61 | 49.17 |
| Low | 37.68 | 42.90 | 46.80 | 40.55 |
| Close | 44.74 | 51.23 | 48.68 | 43.82 |

Information concerning restrictions on the ability of the Registrant to pay dividends and the Registrant's subsidiaries to transfer funds to the Registrant is presented in Item 1, page 3 and Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements provided in Item 8, pages 82 and 83 of this report. Information concerning securities the Company issued under equity compensation plans is contained in Item 12, pages 107 and 108 and in Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements provided in Item 8, pages 84 through 87 of this report.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information about share repurchase activity by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2013:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| October 1 - October 31, 2013 | 205 | \$ 59.84 | 205 | 1,985,553 |
| November 1 - November 30, 2013 | 23,393 | 52.01 | 23,393 | 1,962,160 |
| December 1 - December 31, 2013 | 4,280 | 60.40 | 4,280 | 1,957,880 |
| Total | 27,878 | \$ 53.35 | 27,878 | |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

On April 23, 2013, the Company announced a plan to repurchase up to 2 million shares of common stock. This plan will terminate on April 22, 2014. All open market share purchases under the share repurchase plans are intended to be within the scope of Rule 10b-18 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Rule 10b-18 provides a

Table of Contents

safe harbor for purchases in a given day if the Company satisfies the manner, timing and volume conditions of the rule when purchasing its own common shares. The Company has not made any repurchases other than through this plan.

ITEM 6. *SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA*

For a discussion of factors that may materially affect the comparability of the information below, please see Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, pages 20 through 49, of this report.

Table of Contents**FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY**

(in thousands except per share data)

As of and for the years ended December 31

| EARNINGS | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Interest income | \$ 348,341 | \$ 339,685 | \$ 343,653 | \$ 346,507 | \$ 356,217 |
| Interest expense | 15,072 | 19,629 | 26,680 | 35,894 | 53,232 |
| Net interest income | 333,269 | 320,056 | 316,973 | 310,613 | 302,985 |
| Provision for loan losses | 17,500 | 17,500 | 22,200 | 31,510 | 32,100 |
| Noninterest income | 491,833 | 458,122 | 414,332 | 360,370 | 310,176 |
| Noninterest expense | 624,178 | 590,454 | 562,746 | 512,622 | 460,585 |
| Net income | 133,965 | 122,717 | 106,472 | 91,002 | 89,484 |

AVERAGE BALANCES

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Assets | \$ 15,030,762 | \$ 13,389,192 | \$ 12,417,274 | \$ 11,108,233 | \$ 10,110,655 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 6,221,318 | 5,251,278 | 4,756,165 | 4,490,587 | 4,383,551 |
| Securities | 7,034,542 | 6,528,523 | 5,774,217 | 5,073,839 | 4,382,179 |
| Interest-bearing due from banks | 663,818 | 547,817 | 837,807 | 593,518 | 492,915 |
| Deposits | 11,930,318 | 10,521,658 | 9,593,638 | 8,451,966 | 7,584,025 |
| Long-term debt | 4,748 | 5,879 | 11,284 | 19,141 | 32,067 |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,337,107 | 1,258,284 | 1,138,625 | 1,066,872 | 1,006,591 |

YEAR-END BALANCES

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Assets | \$ 16,911,852 | \$ 14,927,196 | \$ 13,541,398 | \$ 12,404,932 | \$ 11,663,355 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 6,521,869 | 5,690,626 | 4,970,558 | 4,598,097 | 4,332,228 |
| Securities | 7,051,127 | 7,134,316 | 6,277,482 | 5,742,104 | 5,003,720 |
| Interest-bearing due from banks | 2,093,467 | 720,500 | 1,164,007 | 848,598 | 1,057,195 |
| Deposits | 13,640,766 | 11,653,365 | 10,169,911 | 9,028,741 | 8,534,488 |
| Long-term debt | 5,055 | 5,879 | 6,529 | 8,884 | 25,458 |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,506,065 | 1,279,345 | 1,191,132 | 1,060,860 | 1,015,551 |

PER SHARE DATA

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Earnings basic | \$ 3.25 | \$ 3.07 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 2.27 | \$ 2.22 |
| Earnings diluted | 3.20 | 3.04 | 2.64 | 2.26 | 2.20 |
| Cash dividends | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.71 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 26.77% | 27.04% | 29.70% | 33.04% | 31.98% |
| Book value | \$ 33.30 | \$ 31.71 | \$ 29.46 | \$ 26.24 | \$ 25.11 |
| Market price | | | | | |
| High | 65.44 | 52.61 | 45.20 | 44.51 | 49.75 |
| Low | 43.27 | 37.68 | 30.49 | 31.88 | 33.65 |
| Close | 64.28 | 43.82 | 37.25 | 41.44 | 39.35 |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Return on average assets | 0.89% | 0.92% | 0.86% | 0.82% | 0.89% |
| Return on average equity | 10.02 | 9.75 | 9.35 | 8.53 | 8.89 |
| Average equity to average assets | 8.90 | 9.40 | 9.17 | 9.60 | 9.96 |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 14.43 | 11.92 | 12.20 | 12.45 | 14.18 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This review highlights the material changes in the results of operations and changes in financial condition for the year-ended December 31, 2013. It should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, notes to condensed consolidated financial statements, and other financial statistics appearing elsewhere in this report. Results of operations for the periods included in this review are not necessarily indicative of results to be attained during any future period.

CAUTIONARY NOTICE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

From time to time the Company has made, and in the future will make, forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements often use words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, project, outlook, forecast, target, goal, or other words of comparable meaning or future-tense or conditional verbs such as may, will, should, would, or could. Forward-looking statements convey the Company's expectations, intentions, or forecasts about future events, circumstances, results, or aspirations.

This report, including any information incorporated by reference in this report, contains forward-looking statements. The Company also may make forward-looking statements in other documents that are filed or furnished with the SEC. In addition, the Company may make forward-looking statements orally or in writing to investors, analysts, members of the media, or others.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, which may change over time and many of which are beyond the Company's control. You should not rely on any forward-looking statement as a prediction or guarantee about the future. Actual future objectives, strategies, plans, prospects, performance, conditions, or results may differ materially from those set forth in any forward-looking statement. While no list of assumptions, risks, or uncertainties could be complete, some of the factors that may cause actual results or other future events, circumstances, or aspirations to differ from those in forward-looking statements include:

- local, regional, national, or international business, economic, or political conditions or events;
- changes in laws or the regulatory environment, including as a result of recent financial-services legislation or regulation;
- changes in monetary, fiscal, or trade laws or policies, including as a result of actions by central banks or supranational authorities;
- changes in accounting standards or policies;
- shifts in investor sentiment or behavior in the securities, capital, or other financial markets, including changes in market liquidity or volatility or changes in interest or currency rates;
- changes in spending, borrowing, or saving by businesses or households;
- the Company's ability to effectively manage capital or liquidity or to effectively attract or deploy deposits;
- changes in any credit rating assigned to the Company or its affiliates;

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

adverse publicity or other reputational harm to the Company;

changes in the Company's corporate strategies, the composition of its assets, or the way in which it funds those assets;

Table of Contents

the Company's ability to develop, maintain, or market products or services or to absorb unanticipated costs or liabilities associated with those products or services;

the Company's ability to innovate to anticipate the needs of current or future customers, to successfully compete in its chosen business lines, to increase or hold market share in changing competitive environments, or to deal with pricing or other competitive pressures;

changes in the credit, liquidity, or other condition of the Company's customers, counterparties, or competitors;

the Company's ability to effectively deal with economic, business, or market slowdowns or disruptions;

judicial, regulatory, or administrative investigations, proceedings, disputes, or rulings that create uncertainty for or are adverse to the Company or the financial-services industry;

the Company's ability to address stricter or heightened regulatory or other governmental supervision or requirements;

the Company's ability to maintain secure and functional financial, accounting, technology, data processing, or other operating systems or facilities, including its capacity to withstand cyber-attacks;

the adequacy of the Company's corporate governance, risk-management framework, compliance programs, or internal controls, including its ability to control lapses or deficiencies in financial reporting or to effectively mitigate or manage operational risk;

the efficacy of the Company's methods or models in assessing business strategies or opportunities or in valuing, measuring, monitoring, or managing positions or risk;

the Company's ability to keep pace with changes in technology that affect the Company or its customers, counterparties, or competitors;

mergers or acquisitions, including the Company's ability to integrate acquisitions;

the adequacy of the Company's succession planning for key executives or other personnel;

the Company's ability to grow revenue, to control expenses, or to attract or retain qualified employees;

natural or man-made disasters, calamities, or conflicts, including terrorist events; or

other assumptions, risks, or uncertainties described in the Risk Factors (Item 1A), Management's Discussion and Analysis (Item 7), or the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Item 8) in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or described in any of the Company's

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

quarterly or current reports.

Any forward-looking statement made by the Company or on its behalf speaks only as of the date that it was made. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of events, circumstances, or results that arise after the date that the statement was made. You, however, should consult further disclosures (including disclosures of a forward-looking nature) that the Company may make in any subsequent Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or Current Report on Form 8-K.

Results of Operations

Overview

The Company focuses on the following four core strategies. Management believes these strategies will guide its efforts to achieving its vision, to deliver *the* Unparalleled Customer Experience, all the while maintaining a focus to improve net income and strengthen the balance sheet.

The first strategy is to grow the Company's fee-based businesses. As the industry continues to experience economic uncertainty, the Company has continued to emphasize its fee-based operations. With a diverse source of revenues, this strategy has helped reduce the Company's exposure to sustained low interest rates. During 2013,

Table of Contents

noninterest income increased \$33.7 million, or 7.4 percent, to \$491.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. Trust and securities processing income increased \$40.9 million, or 18.1 percent, for year-to-date December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Equity earnings on alternative investments increased \$18.6 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to \$17.0 million in unrealized gains on Prairie Capital Management equity method investments. These increases in noninterest income were offset by decreases in trading and investment banking income, gains on sales of available for sale securities and other noninterest income. Trading and investment banking income decreased \$9.7 million, or 32.0 percent, due to a decline in trading volume. Gains of \$8.5 million on securities available for sale were recognized during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$20.2 million during the same period in 2012. Other noninterest income decreased \$11.3 million primarily due to an \$8.7 million adjustment in contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions recognized in 2012. These adjustments were due to the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2012 related to fair value measurements and changes in cash flow projections.

The second strategy is a focus on net interest income through loan and deposit growth. During 2013, continued progress on this strategy was illustrated by an increase in net interest income of \$13.2 million, or 4.1 percent, from the previous year. The Company has continued to show increased net interest income in a historically low rate environment through the effects of increased volume of average earning assets and a low cost of funds in its balance sheet. Average earning assets increased by \$1.6 billion, or 13.0 percent, from 2012. Average loan balances increased \$970.0 million, or 18.5 percent, for year-to-date December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Earning asset growth was primarily funded with a \$955.6 million increase in average interest-bearing deposits, or 15.3 percent, and a \$453.0 million increase in average noninterest-bearing deposits, or 10.6 percent, compared to 2012 respectively. Net interest margin, on a tax-equivalent basis, decreased 20 basis points, and net interest spread decreased 16 basis points compared to 2012, respectively.

The third strategy is a focus on improving operating efficiencies. At December 31, 2013, the Company had 112 branches. The Company continues to emphasize increasing its primary retail customer base by providing a broad offering of services through our existing branch network. These efforts have resulted in the total loans and deposits growth previously discussed. The Company continues to invest in technological advances that will help management drive operating efficiencies through improved data analysis and automation. During 2013, systems infrastructure enhancements have been implemented. In addition to the use of automation technology, the Company has merged the subsidiary banks into a single chartered entity. This helped enhance regulatory capital and provides a more streamlined structure for the implementation of strategic initiatives. The Company continues to evaluate core systems and will invest in enhancements that will yield operating efficiencies. The Company evaluates its cost structure for opportunities to moderate expense growth without sacrificing growth initiatives.

The fourth strategy is a focus on capital management. The Company places a significant emphasis on the maintenance of a strong capital position, which management believes promotes investor confidence, provides access to funding sources under favorable terms, and enhances the Company's ability to capitalize on business growth and acquisition opportunities. The Company continues to maximize shareholder value through a mix of reinvesting in organic growth, evaluating acquisition opportunities that complement the strategies, increasing dividends over time, and properly utilizing a share buy-back strategy. At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$1.5 billion in total shareholders' equity. This is an increase of \$226.7 million, or 17.7 percent, compared to total shareholders' equity at December 31, 2012. On September 16, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 3.9 million shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$201.2 million to be used for strategic growth purposes. In addition, UMB granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 585 thousand shares of common stock. On October 17, 2013, the underwriters exercised the option of 585 thousand shares, which generated additional net proceeds of \$30.2 million. At December 31, 2013, the Company had a total risk-based capital ratio of 14.43 percent. The Company repurchased 66,462 shares at an average price of \$52.67 per share during 2013. Further, the Company paid \$36.4 million in dividends during 2013, which represents an 8.1 percent increase compared to 2012.

Table of Contents
Earnings Summary

The Company recorded consolidated net income of \$134.0 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013. This represents a 9.2 percent increase over 2012. Net income for 2012 was \$122.7 million, or an increase of 15.3 percent compared to 2011. Basic earnings per share for the year-ended December 31, 2013, were \$3.25 per share compared to \$3.07 per share in 2012 and \$2.66 per share in 2011. Basic earnings per share for 2013 increased 5.9 percent over 2012, which increased 15.4 percent over 2011. Fully diluted earnings per share for the year-ended December 31, 2013, were \$3.20 per share compared to \$3.04 per share in 2012 and \$2.64 per share in 2011. The Company's net interest income increased to \$333.3 million in 2013 compared to \$320.1 million in 2012 and \$317.0 million in 2011. In total, a favorable volume variance outpaced the impact from an unfavorable rate variance, resulting in a \$13.2 million increase in net interest income in 2013, compared to 2012. The impact from an unfavorable rate variance and favorable volume variance on earning assets was slightly offset by the reduced cost of funding on the volume growth of interest-bearing deposits, resulting in the net favorable volume variance described. See Table 2 on page 27. The favorable volume variance on earning assets was predominately driven by the increase in average loan balances of \$970.0 million, or 18.5 percent, for 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This was largely impacted by an unfavorable rate variance in the same categories. Additionally, a 10 basis points reduction in rate on a volume increase of \$955.6 million on average interest-bearing deposits helped drive the resulting increase in net interest income. While decreasing due to the current low rate environment, the Company continues to see benefit from interest-free funds. The impact of this benefit is illustrated on Table 3 on page 28. The \$3.1 million increase in net interest income in 2012, compared to 2011, is primarily a result of a favorable volume variance. The favorable volume variance on earning assets was predominately driven by the increase in average loan balances of \$495.1 million, or 10.4 percent, for 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. This was more than offset by an unfavorable rate variance in the same categories. However, a 12 basis points reduction in rate on a volume increase of \$86.2 million on interest-bearing deposits drove the resulting increase in net interest income. The current economic environment has made it difficult to anticipate the future of the Company's margins. The magnitude and duration of this impact will be largely dependent upon the Federal Reserve's policy decisions and market movements. See Table 20 on page 50 for an illustration of the impact of a rate increase or decrease on net interest income as of December 31, 2013.

The Company had an increase of \$33.7 million, or 7.4 percent, in noninterest income in 2013, compared to 2012, and a \$43.8 million, or 10.6 percent, increase in 2012, compared to 2011. The increase in 2013 is primarily attributable to higher trust and securities processing income and equity earnings in alternative investments, partially offset by decreases in trading and investment banking, gains on the sales of securities available for sale, and other noninterest income. Trust and securities processing income increased \$40.9 million, or 18.2 percent, for the year-ended December 31, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. Equity earnings on alternative investments increased \$18.6 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to \$17.0 million in unrealized gains on Prairie Capital Management equity method investments. Trading and investment banking income decreased \$9.7 million, or 32.0 percent, due to a general decline in trading volume. Gains of \$8.5 million on securities available for sale were recognized during the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$20.2 million during the same period in 2012. Other noninterest income decreased \$11.3 million primarily due to an \$8.7 million adjustment in contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions recognized in 2012. These adjustments were due to the adoption of new accounting guidance related to fair value measurements and additional changes in cash flow projections. The change in noninterest income in 2013 from 2012, and 2012 from 2011 is illustrated on Table 6 on page 31.

Noninterest expense increased in 2013 by \$33.7 million, or 5.7 percent, compared to 2012 and increased in 2012 by \$27.7 million, or 4.9 percent, compared to 2011. This increase is primarily driven by an increase of \$19.8 million, or 6.2 percent, in salary and employee benefit expense, a \$6.6 million, or 12.9 percent, increase in processing fees primarily driven by fees paid by the advisor to third-party distributors of the Scout Funds, and a \$5.7 million, or 13.2 percent increase in equipment expense driven by increased computer hardware and software expense. Other noninterest expense increased \$3.7 million, or 11.4 percent, due to a \$5.2 million increase in contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions, offset by a \$4.0 million decrease in derivatives expense. The increase in noninterest expense in 2013 from 2012, and 2012 from 2011 is illustrated on Table 7 on page 32.

Table of Contents

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is a significant source of the Company's earnings and represents the amount by which interest income on earning assets exceeds the interest expense paid on liabilities. The volume of interest earning assets and the related funding sources, the overall mix of these assets and liabilities, and the rates paid on each affect net interest income. Table 2 summarizes the change in net interest income resulting from changes in volume and rates for 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Net interest margin is calculated as net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis (FTE) as a percentage of average earning assets. Net interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis to adjust for the tax-exempt status of earnings from certain loans and investments, which are primarily obligations of state and local governments. A critical component of net interest income and related net interest margin is the percentage of earning assets funded by interest-free sources. Table 3 analyzes net interest margin for the three years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Net interest income, average balance sheet amounts and the corresponding yields earned and rates paid for the years 2011 through 2013 are presented in Table 1 below.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the average earning assets and resulting yields, as well as the average interest-bearing liabilities and resulting yields, expressed in both dollars and rates.

Table of Contents

Table 1

THREE YEAR AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS/YIELDS AND RATES (tax-equivalent basis) (in millions)

| | Average Balance | 2013 Interest Income/ Expense (1) | Rate Earned/ Paid (1) | Average Balance | 2012 Interest Income/ Expense (1) | Rate Earned/ Paid (1) |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned interest (FTE) (2) (3) (4) | \$ 6,221.3 | \$ 229.7 | 3.69% | \$ 5,251.3 | \$ 217.6 | 4.14% |
| Securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 4,876.3 | 75.2 | 1.54 | 4,612.5 | 81.0 | 1.76 |
| Tax-exempt (FTE) | 2,102.2 | 62.5 | 2.97 | 1,862.8 | 57.9 | 3.11 |
| Total securities | 6,978.5 | 137.7 | 1.97 | 6,475.3 | 138.9 | 2.14 |
| Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 36.6 | 0.2 | 0.53 | 26.5 | 0.1 | 0.46 |
| Interest-bearing | 663.9 | 1.9 | 0.29 | 547.8 | 1.8 | 0.33 |
| Other earning assets (FTE) | 56.0 | 1.1 | 1.90 | 53.2 | 1.2 | 2.34 |
| Total earning assets (FTE) | 13,956.3 | 370.6 | 2.66 | 12,354.1 | 359.6 | 2.91 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (72.4) | | | (73.0) | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 439.5 | | | 402.1 | | |
| Other assets | 707.4 | | | 706.0 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 15,030.8 | | | \$ 13,389.2 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings deposits | \$ 6,073.5 | \$ 5.3 | 0.09% | \$ 5,021.5 | \$ 6.5 | 0.13% |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 527.3 | 3.5 | 0.66 | 577.6 | 4.9 | 0.85 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 619.9 | 4.4 | 0.71 | 665.9 | 6.0 | 0.90 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 7,220.7 | 13.2 | 0.18 | 6,265.0 | 17.4 | 0.28 |
| Short-term debt | 0.2 | | | 5.6 | 0.1 | 1.75 |
| Long-term debt | 4.7 | 0.2 | 4.26 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5.08 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 1,613.6 | 1.7 | 0.11 | 1,410.5 | 1.9 | 0.13 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 8,839.2 | 15.1 | 0.17 | 7,687.0 | 19.7 | 0.26 |
| Noninterest bearing demand deposits | 4,709.6 | | | 4,256.6 | | |
| Other | 144.9 | | | 187.3 | | |
| Total | 13,693.7 | | | 12,130.9 | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,337.1 | | | 1,258.3 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 15,030.8 | | | \$ 13,389.2 | | |
| Net interest income (FTE) | | \$ 355.5 | | | \$ 339.9 | |
| Net interest spread | | | 2.49% | | | 2.65% |
| Net interest margin | | | 2.55% | | | 2.75% |

(1)

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Interest income and yields are stated on a fully tax-equivalent (FTE) basis, using a rate of 35%. The tax-equivalent interest income and yields give effect to disallowance of interest expense, for federal income tax purposes related to certain tax-free assets. Rates earned/paid may not compute to the rates shown due to presentation in millions. The tax-equivalent interest income totaled \$22.2 million, \$19.9 million, and \$18.6 million in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

- (2) Loan fees are included in interest income. Such fees totaled \$10.9 million, \$11.0 million, and \$11.6 million in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.
- (3) Loans on non-accrual are included in the computation of average balances. Interest income on these loans is also included in loan income.
- (4) Amount includes loans held for sale.

Table of Contents**THREE YEAR AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS/YIELDS AND RATES (tax-equivalent basis) (in millions)**

| | Average Balance | 2011 Interest Income/ Expense (1) | Rate Earned/ Paid (1) |
|---|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned interest (FTE) (2) (3) | \$ 4,756.2 | \$ 219.4 | 4.61% |
| Securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 4,224.5 | 85.1 | 2.01 |
| Tax-exempt (FTE) | 1,497.8 | 53.0 | 3.54 |
| Total securities | 5,722.3 | 138.1 | 2.41 |
| Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 31.3 | 0.1 | 0.32 |
| Interest-bearing | 837.8 | 3.3 | 0.39 |
| Other earning assets (FTE) | 51.9 | 1.4 | 2.64 |
| Total earning assets (FTE) | 11,399.5 | 362.3 | 3.18 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (73.0) | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 396.9 | | |
| Other assets | 693.9 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 12,417.3 | | |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings deposits | \$ 4,731.3 | \$ 8.0 | 0.17% |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 662.0 | 7.8 | 1.18 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 785.5 | 8.8 | 1.12 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | 6,178.8 | 24.6 | 0.40 |
| Short-term debt | 25.3 | 0.2 | 0.79 |
| Long-term debt | 11.3 | 0.2 | 1.77 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 1,471.0 | 1.7 | 0.12 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 7,686.4 | 26.7 | 0.35 |
| Noninterest bearing demand deposits | 3,414.8 | | |
| Other | 177.4 | | |
| Total | 11,278.6 | | |
| Total shareholders equity | 1,138.7 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 12,417.3 | | |
| Net interest income (FTE) | | \$ 335.6 | |
| Net interest spread | | | 2.83% |
| Net interest margin | | | 2.94% |

Table of Contents

Table 2

RATE-VOLUME ANALYSIS (in thousands)

This analysis attributes changes in net interest income either to changes in average balances or to changes in average rates for earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The change in net interest income is due jointly to both volume and rate and has been allocated to volume and rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amount of the change in each. All rates are presented on a tax-equivalent basis and give effect to the disallowance of interest expense for federal income tax purposes, related to certain tax-free assets. The loan average balances and rates include nonaccrual loans.

| Average Volume | | Average Rate | | 2013 vs. 2012 | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|---|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | | Volume | Rate | Total |
| | | | | Change in interest earned on: | | | |
| \$ 6,221,318 | \$ 5,251,278 | 3.69% | 4.14% | Loans | \$ 35,918 | \$ (23,644) | \$ 12,274 |
| | | | | Securities: | | | |
| 4,876,304 | 4,612,510 | 1.54 | 1.76 | Taxable | 4,068 | (9,879) | (5,811) |
| 2,102,216 | 1,862,786 | 2.97 | 3.11 | Tax-exempt | 5,315 | (3,140) | 2,175 |
| 36,589 | 26,459 | 0.53 | 0.46 | Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 53 | 19 | 72 |
| 663,818 | 547,817 | 0.29 | 0.33 | Interest-bearing due from banks | 335 | (206) | 129 |
| 56,022 | 53,227 | 1.90 | 2.34 | Other | 52 | (235) | (183) |
| 13,956,267 | 12,354,077 | 2.66 | 2.91 | Total | 45,741 | (37,085) | 8,656 |
| | | | | Change in interest incurred on: | | | |
| 7,220,675 | 6,265,040 | 0.18 | 0.28 | Interest-bearing deposits | 1,745 | (5,978) | (4,233) |
| 1,613,584 | 1,410,478 | 0.11 | 0.13 | Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 219 | (364) | (145) |
| 4,972 | 11,514 | 3.02 | 2.86 | Other | (197) | 18 | (179) |
| \$ 8,839,231 | \$ 7,687,032 | 0.17% | 0.26% | Total | 1,767 | (6,324) | (4,557) |
| | | | | Net interest income | \$ 43,974 | \$ (30,761) | \$ 13,213 |

| Average Volume | | Average Rate | | 2012 vs. 2011 | Increase (Decrease) | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|---|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | | Volume | Rate | Total |
| | | | | Change in interest earned on: | | | |
| \$ 5,251,278 | \$ 4,756,165 | 4.14% | 4.61% | Loans | \$ 20,571 | \$ (22,256) | \$ (1,685) |
| | | | | Securities: | | | |
| 4,612,510 | 4,224,456 | 1.76 | 2.01 | Taxable | 6,816 | (10,923) | (4,107) |
| 1,862,786 | 1,497,834 | 3.11 | 3.54 | Tax-exempt | 10,458 | (7,001) | 3,457 |
| 26,459 | 31,273 | 0.46 | 0.32 | Federal funds sold and resell agreements | (22) | 42 | 20 |
| 547,817 | 837,807 | 0.33 | 0.39 | Interest-bearing due from banks | (947) | (548) | (1,495) |
| 53,227 | 51,927 | 2.34 | 2.64 | Other | 25 | (183) | (158) |
| 12,354,077 | 11,399,462 | 2.91 | 3.18 | Total | 36,901 | (40,869) | (3,968) |
| | | | | Change in interest incurred on: | | | |
| 6,265,040 | 6,178,795 | 0.28 | 0.40 | Interest-bearing deposits | 240 | (7,452) | (7,212) |
| 1,410,478 | 1,471,011 | 0.13 | 0.12 | Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | (81) | 253 | 172 |
| 11,514 | 36,580 | 2.86 | 0.93 | Other | (716) | 704 | (12) |
| \$ 7,687,032 | \$ 7,686,386 | 0.26% | 0.35% | Total | (557) | (6,495) | (7,052) |

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Net interest income | \$ 37,458 | \$ (34,374) | \$ 3,084 |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|

Table of Contents

Table 3

ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST MARGIN (in thousands)

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Average earning assets | \$ 13,956,267 | \$ 12,354,077 | \$ 11,399,462 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | 8,839,231 | 7,687,032 | 7,686,386 |
| Interest-free funds | \$ 5,117,036 | \$ 4,667,045 | \$ 3,713,076 |
| Free funds ratio (free funds to earning assets) | 36.66% | 37.78% | 32.57% |
| Tax-equivalent yield on earning assets | 2.66% | 2.91% | 3.18% |
| Cost of interest-bearing liabilities | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.35 |
| Net interest spread | 2.49% | 2.65% | 2.83% |
| Benefit of interest-free funds | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| Net interest margin | 2.55% | 2.75% | 2.94% |

The Company experienced an increase in net interest income of \$13.2 million, or 4.1 percent, for the year 2013, compared to 2012. This follows an increase of \$3.1 million, or 1.0 percent, for the year 2012, compared to 2011. As illustrated in Table 1, the 2013 increase is due to the favorable volume variances in earning assets, which was largely offset by the rate variances. In 2012, the volume variance on earning assets was more than offset by the rate variances. However, the Company reduced the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities by 9 basis points during 2013 and 2012, resulting in the positive increase in net interest income.

The decrease in the cost of funds has led to a declining beneficial impact from interest-free funds. However, the Company still maintains a significant portion of its deposit funding with noninterest-bearing demand deposits. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits represented 38.0 percent, 42.2 percent and 38.8 percent of total outstanding deposits at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As illustrated in Table 3, the impact from these interest-free funds was 6 basis points in 2013, compared to 10 basis points in 2012 and 11 basis points in 2011.

The Company has experienced a repricing of its earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities during the 2013 interest rate cycle. The average rate on earning assets during 2013 has decreased by 25 basis points, while the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 9 basis points, resulting in a 16 basis point decline in spread. The volume of loans has increased from an average of \$5.3 billion in 2012 to an average of \$6.2 billion in 2013. Loan-related earning assets tend to generate a higher spread than those earned in the Company's investment portfolio. By design, the Company's investment portfolio is moderate in duration and liquid in its composition of assets. If the Federal Reserve's Open Market Committee maintains rates at current levels, the Company anticipates a negative impact to interest income as a result. The magnitude of this impact will be largely dependent upon the Federal Reserve's policy decisions, market movements and the duration of this rate environment.

During 2014, approximately \$1.1 billion of available for sale securities are expected to have principal repayments. This includes approximately \$404 million which will have principal repayments during the first quarter of 2014. The total investment portfolio had an average life of 47.6 months and 40.0 months as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. It should be noted that the Company also had a portfolio of short-term investments with original maturities of one year or less as of the end of both 2013 and 2012. At December 31, 2013, the amount of such investments was approximately \$15 million, and without these investments, the average life of the investment portfolio would have remained at 47.6 months. At December 31, 2012, the amount of such short-term investments was approximately \$215 million, and without these short-term investments, the average life of the investment portfolio would have been 41.2 months.

Table of Contents**Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses**

The allowance for loan losses (ALL) represents management's judgment of the losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. An analysis is performed quarterly to determine the appropriate balance of the ALL. The analysis reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors. After the balance sheet analysis is performed for the ALL, the provision for loan losses is computed as the amount required to adjust the ALL to the appropriate level.

Table 4 presents the components of the allowance by loan portfolio segment. The Company manages the ALL against the risk in the entire loan portfolio and therefore, the allocation of the ALL to a particular loan segment may change in the future. Management of the Company believes the present ALL is adequate considering the Company's loss experience, delinquency trends and current economic conditions. Future economic conditions and borrowers' ability to meet their obligations, however, are uncertainties which could affect the Company's ALL and/or need to change its current level of provision. For more information on loan portfolio segments and ALL methodology refer to Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table 4

ALLOCATION OF ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (in thousands)

This table presents an allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan portfolio segment, which represents the inherent probable loss derived by both quantitative and qualitative methods. The amounts presented are not necessarily indicative of actual future charge-offs in any particular category and are subject to change.

| Loan Category | December 31 | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commercial | \$ 48,886 | \$ 43,390 | \$ 37,927 | \$ 39,138 | \$ 40,420 |
| Real estate | 15,342 | 15,506 | 20,486 | 18,557 | 13,321 |
| Consumer | 10,447 | 12,470 | 13,593 | 16,243 | 10,128 |
| Leases | 76 | 60 | 11 | 14 | 270 |
| Total allowance | \$ 74,751 | \$ 71,426 | \$ 72,017 | \$ 73,952 | \$ 64,139 |

Table 5 presents a five-year summary of the Company's ALL. Also, please see Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Credit Risk on page 52 in this report for information relating to nonaccrual, past due, restructured loans, and other credit risk matters. For more information on loan portfolio segments and ALL methodology refer to Note 3 of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As illustrated in Table 5 below, the ALL decreased as a percentage of total loans to 1.15 percent as of December 31, 2013, compared to 1.26 percent as of December 31, 2012. Based on the factors above, management of the Company had no change in expense related to the provision for loan losses in 2013, compared to 2012. This compares to a \$4.7 million, or 21.2 percent, decrease in the provision for loan losses in 2012, compared to 2011.

Table of Contents

Table 5

ANALYSIS OF ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (in thousands)

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Allowance-beginning of year | \$ 71,426 | \$ 72,017 | \$ 73,952 | \$ 64,139 | \$ 52,297 |
| Provision for loan losses | 17,500 | 17,500 | 22,200 | 31,510 | 32,100 |
| Allowance of banks and loans acquired | | | | | |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | |
| Commercial | (4,748) | (8,446) | (12,693) | (6,644) | (5,532) |
| Consumer | | | | | |
| Credit card | (10,531) | (11,148) | (13,493) | (15,606) | (13,625) |
| Other | (1,600) | (1,530) | (1,945) | (2,979) | (4,911) |
| Real estate | (775) | (932) | (532) | (258) | (881) |
| Total charge-offs | (17,654) | (22,056) | (28,663) | (25,487) | (24,949) |
| Recoveries: | | | | | |
| Commercial | 867 | 1,136 | 813 | 637 | 1,419 |
| Consumer | | | | | |
| Credit card | 1,720 | 1,766 | 2,366 | 1,327 | 1,334 |
| Other | 815 | 1,035 | 1,317 | 1,797 | 1,936 |
| Real estate | 77 | 28 | 32 | 29 | 2 |
| Total recoveries | 3,479 | 3,965 | 4,528 | 3,790 | 4,691 |
| Net charge-offs | (14,175) | (18,091) | (24,135) | (21,697) | (20,258) |
| Allowance-end of year | \$ 74,751 | \$ 71,426 | \$ 72,017 | \$ 73,952 | \$ 64,139 |
| Average loans, net of unearned interest | \$ 6,217,240 | \$ 5,243,264 | \$ 4,748,909 | \$ 4,478,377 | \$ 4,356,187 |
| Loans at end of year, net of unearned interest | 6,520,512 | 5,686,749 | 4,960,343 | 4,583,683 | 4,314,705 |
| Allowance to loans at year-end | 1.15% | 1.26% | 1.45% | 1.61% | 1.49% |
| Allowance as a multiple of net charge-offs | 5.27x | 3.95x | 2.98x | 3.41x | 3.17x |
| Net charge-offs to: | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 81.00% | 103.38% | 108.71% | 68.86% | 63.11% |
| Average loans | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.47 |

Noninterest Income

A key objective of the Company is the growth of noninterest income to enhance profitability and provide steady income, as fee-based services are typically non-credit related and are not generally affected by fluctuations in interest rates. Noninterest income increased \$33.7 million, or 7.4 percent, to \$491.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in 2013 is primarily attributable to higher trust and securities processing income and equity earnings on alternative investments, partially offset by trading and investment banking, gains on the sales of securities available for sale, and other noninterest income. The increase in 2012 is primarily attributable to higher trust and securities processing income, gains on the sale of securities available for sale, and adjustments of the contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions.

The Company's fee-based services provide the opportunity to offer multiple products and services to customers which management believes will more closely align to the customer's product demand with the Company. The Company's ongoing focus is to continue to develop and offer multiple products and services to its customers. The Company is currently emphasizing fee-based services including trust and securities processing, bankcard, securities trading/brokerage and cash/treasury management. Management believes that it can offer these products and services both efficiently and profitably, as most have common platforms and support structures.

Table of Contents

Table 6

SUMMARY OF NONINTEREST INCOME (in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | Dollar Change | | Percent Change | |
| | | | | 13-12 | 12-11 | 13-12 | 12-11 |
| Trust and securities processing | \$ 265,948 | \$ 225,094 | \$ 208,392 | \$ 40,854 | \$ 16,702 | 18.1% | 8.0% |
| Trading and investment banking | 20,641 | 30,359 | 27,720 | (9,718) | 2,639 | (32.0) | 9.5 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 84,133 | 78,694 | 74,659 | 5,439 | 4,035 | 6.9 | 5.4 |
| Insurance fees and commissions | 3,727 | 4,095 | 4,375 | (368) | (280) | (9.0) | (6.4) |
| Brokerage fees | 11,470 | 11,105 | 9,950 | 365 | 1,155 | 3.3 | 11.6 |
| Bankcard fees | 62,031 | 60,567 | 59,767 | 1,464 | 800 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Gains on sales of securities available for sale, net | 8,542 | 20,232 | 16,125 | (11,690) | 4,107 | (57.8) | 25.5 |
| Equity earnings on alternative investments | 19,048 | 422 | 3 | 18,626 | 419 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| Other | 16,293 | 27,554 | 13,341 | (11,261) | 14,213 | (40.9) | >100.0 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 491,833 | \$ 458,122 | \$ 414,332 | \$ 33,711 | \$ 43,790 | 7.4% | 10.6% |

Noninterest income and the year-over-year changes in noninterest income are summarized in Table 6 above. The dollar change and percent change columns highlight the respective net increase or decrease in the categories of noninterest income in 2013 compared to 2012, and in 2012 compared to 2011.

Trust and securities processing income consists of fees earned on personal and corporate trust accounts, custody of securities services, trust investments and investment management services, and mutual fund assets servicing. This income category increased by \$40.9 million, or 18.1 percent in 2013, compared to 2012, and increased by \$16.7 million, or 8.0 percent in 2012, compared to 2011. The Company increased fund administration and custody services fee income by \$5.4 million and \$4.6 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Advisory fee income from the Scout Funds increased \$21.5 million in 2013 compared to 2012 and \$9.0 million in 2012 compared to 2011. Fee income from institutional and personal investment management services increased \$12.7 million in 2013 and \$3.4 million in 2012. Management continues to emphasize sales of services to both new and existing clients as well as increasing and improving the distribution channels.

Trading and investment banking income decreased by \$9.7 million, or 32.0 percent in 2013, compared to 2012, and increased \$2.6 million, or 9.5 percent in 2012, compared to 2011. The income in this category is market driven and impacted by general increases or decreases in trading volume.

Gains on sales of securities available for sale decreased \$11.7 million in 2013 compared to 2012 and increased by \$4.1 million in 2012 compared to 2011. This change in sales activity is due to the strategic initiative to rotate earning assets into loans and out of the investment portfolio.

Equity earnings on alternative investments increased \$18.6 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to \$17.0 million in unrealized gains on Prairie Capital Management investments.

Other noninterest income decreased \$11.3 million primarily due to an \$8.7 million adjustment in contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions recognized in 2012. These adjustments were due to the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2012 related to fair value measurements and changes in cash flow projections.

Table of Contents**Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense increased in 2013 by \$33.7 million, or 5.7 percent, compared to 2012 and increased in 2012 by \$27.7 million, or 4.9 percent, compared to 2011. The main drivers of this increase in 2013 were salaries and employee benefits expense, equipment expense, processing fees, and other noninterest expense. The increases in 2012 were salaries and employee benefits expense, marketing and business development, and increases in the contingent consideration liability on acquisitions. Table 7 below summarizes the components of noninterest expense and the respective year-over-year changes for each category.

Table 7

SUMMARY OF NONINTEREST EXPENSE (in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31 | | | | | | Percent Change | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | Dollar Change | | 13-12 | 12-11 | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 339,691 | \$ 319,852 | \$ 294,756 | \$ 19,839 | \$ 25,096 | 6.2% | 8.5% | |
| Occupancy, net | 39,291 | 37,927 | 38,406 | 1,364 | (479) | 3.6 | (1.2) | |
| Equipment | 49,207 | 43,465 | 42,728 | 5,742 | 737 | 13.2 | 1.7 | |
| Supplies and services | 20,387 | 21,045 | 22,166 | (658) | (1,121) | (3.1) | (5.1) | |
| Marketing and business development | 22,703 | 24,604 | 20,150 | (1,901) | 4,454 | (7.7) | 22.1 | |
| Processing fees | 57,791 | 51,191 | 49,985 | 6,600 | 1,206 | 12.9 | 2.4 | |
| Legal and consulting | 18,703 | 17,980 | 15,601 | 723 | 2,379 | 4.0 | 15.2 | |
| Bankcard | 18,381 | 18,154 | 15,600 | 227 | 2,554 | 1.3 | 16.4 | |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 13,218 | 14,775 | 16,100 | (1,557) | (1,325) | (10.5) | (8.2) | |
| Regulatory fees | 9,129 | 9,447 | 10,395 | (318) | (948) | (3.4) | (9.1) | |
| Class action litigation settlement | | | 7,800 | | (7,800) | | (>100.0) | |
| Other | 35,677 | 32,014 | 29,059 | 3,663 | 2,955 | 11.4 | 10.2 | |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 624,178 | \$ 590,454 | \$ 562,746 | \$ 33,724 | \$ 27,708 | 5.7% | 4.9% | |

Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$19.8 million, or 6.2 percent, and \$25.1 million, or 8.5 percent, in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in both 2013 and 2012 is primarily due to higher employee base salaries, higher commissions and bonuses and higher cost of benefits. Base salaries increased by \$10.5 million, or 5.3 percent, in 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. Commissions and bonuses increased by \$5.0 million, or 7.6 percent, in 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. Employee benefits increased by \$4.4 million, or 8.0 percent, in 2013, compared to the same period in 2012.

Equipment expense increased \$5.7 million, or 13.2 percent in 2013. This increase is driven by increased computer hardware and software expenses.

Processing fees increased \$6.6 million, or 12.9 percent in 2013. This increase is primarily driven by fees paid by the advisor to third-party distributors of the Scout Funds.

Other noninterest expense increased \$3.7 million, or 11.4 percent, primarily driven by an increase in contingent consideration liabilities on acquisitions of \$5.2 million due to changes in cash flow projections, offset by a \$4.0 million decrease in derivative expense, compared to 2012.

Table of Contents**Income Taxes**

Income tax expense totaled \$49.5 million, \$47.5 million, and \$39.9 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These amounts equate to effective rates of 27.0 percent, 27.9 percent, and 27.3 percent for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the effective tax rate from 2011 to 2012 results from changes in the portion of income earned from tax-exempt municipal securities and an increase in the state marginal tax rate. The decrease in the effective tax rate from 2012 to 2013 is primarily attributable to federal tax credits realized.

On September 13, 2013, the IRS released final tangible property regulations under Sections 162(a) and 263(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and proposed regulations under Section 168 of the IRC. These regulations generally apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and will affect all taxpayers that acquire, produce, or improve tangible property. Based upon preliminary analysis, the adoption of these regulations will not have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

For further information on income taxes refer to Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Business Segments

The Company has strategically aligned its operations into the following four reportable segments (collectively, Business Segments): Bank, Payment Solutions, Institutional Investment Management, and Asset Servicing. Business segment financial results produced by the Company's internal management accounting system are evaluated regularly by the Executive Committee in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance for individual Business Segments. The Business Segments were redefined during the first quarter of 2012 to reflect the Executive Committee's changes in executive management responsibilities for each of the core businesses, the products and services provided and the types of customers served, and how financial information is currently evaluated by management. The management accounting system assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each business segment using methodologies that are refined on an ongoing basis.

Table 8

Bank Operating Results

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Dollar Change 13-12 | Percent Change 13-12 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 285,112 | \$ 274,843 | \$ 10,269 | 3.7% |
| Provision for loan losses | 5,112 | 9,267 | (4,155) | (44.8) |
| Noninterest income | 210,535 | 214,595 | (4,060) | (1.9) |
| Noninterest expense | 376,365 | 381,585 | (5,220) | (1.4) |
| Income before taxes | 114,170 | 98,586 | 15,584 | 15.8 |
| Income tax expense | 28,532 | 26,452 | 2,080 | 7.9 |
| Net income | \$ 85,638 | \$ 72,134 | \$ 13,504 | 18.7% |

Bank net income increased \$13.5 million, or 18.7 percent, from \$72.1 million in 2012 to \$85.6 million in 2013. Net interest income improved \$10.3 million, or 3.7 percent driven by strong Commercial loan growth, while being slightly offset by interest rate margin compression. Provision decreased by \$4.2 million due to improvements in the credit characteristics of the loan portfolio in this segment.

Noninterest income decreased \$4.1 million, or 1.9 percent, over the same period in 2012. The decrease in noninterest income was driven by decreases in securities gains of \$11.7 million, bond trading income of \$10.5 million, and other noninterest income of \$8.0 million. The reduction in other noninterest income was primarily

Table of Contents

due to a decrease of \$3.8 million in fair value adjustments to contingent consideration liabilities due to the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2012 and a decrease of \$3.6 million in fair value adjustments on interest rate swap transactions compared to last year. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$6.2 million in trust and securities processing income and an increase of \$18.6 million in equity earnings on alternative investments, primarily due to \$17.0 million of unrealized gains on Prairie Capital Management equity method investments recognized in 2013.

Noninterest expense decreased \$5.2 million, or 1.4 percent, to \$376.4 million, compared to 2012, which was primarily driven by a decrease in fair value adjustments on interest rate swap transactions of \$4.0 million.

Table 9

Payment Solutions Operating Results

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Dollar Change 13-12 | Percent Change 13-12 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 45,832 | \$ 43,350 | \$ 2,482 | 5.7% |
| Provision for loan losses | 12,388 | 8,233 | 4,155 | 50.5 |
| Noninterest income | 74,223 | 67,887 | 6,336 | 9.3 |
| Noninterest expense | 86,746 | 69,095 | 17,651 | 25.5 |
| Income before taxes | 20,921 | 33,909 | (12,988) | (38.3) |
| Income tax expense | 6,732 | 9,555 | (2,823) | (29.5) |
| Net income | \$ 14,189 | \$ 24,354 | \$ (10,165) | (41.7)% |

Payments Solutions net income after taxes decreased \$10.2 million, or 41.7 percent, to \$14.2 million from the prior year. Net interest income increased by \$2.5 million, or 5.7 percent, due to growth in earning assets and deposits, but offset with a reduction in funds transfer pricing credit on deposits. Provision expense increased by \$4.2 million, or 50.5 percent. Noninterest income increased \$6.3 million, or 9.3 percent, driven by an increase in deposit service charge income from institutional banking and investor services and healthcare services customers as well as an increase in bankcard income for healthcare services. Noninterest expense increased by \$17.7 million, or 25.5 percent, due to increases in salaries and benefits of \$4.9 million, support services of \$3.2 million, technology project expenses of \$3.3 million, processing fees of \$2.2 million due to increased volumes, fraud losses of \$0.8 million, and legal and compliance fees of \$0.8 million.

Table 10

Institutional Investment Management Operating Results

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Dollar Change 13-12 | Percent Change 13-12 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Net interest income | \$ (32) | \$ 2 | \$ (34) | (>100.0)% |
| Provision for loan losses | | | | |
| Noninterest income | 126,442 | 100,051 | 26,391 | 26.4 |
| Noninterest expense | 88,336 | 70,981 | 17,355 | 24.5 |
| Income before taxes | 38,074 | 29,072 | 9,002 | 31.0 |
| Income tax expense | 10,011 | 8,118 | 1,893 | 23.3 |
| Net income | \$ 28,063 | \$ 20,954 | \$ 7,109 | 33.9% |

Table of Contents

Institutional Investment Management net income increased \$7.1 million, or 33.9 percent, to \$28.1 million for 2013 compared to the prior year. Noninterest income increased \$26.4 million, or 26.4 percent, to \$126.4 million primarily due to a \$29.2 million increase in advisory fees driven from an increase in assets under management of \$7.7 billion, which was offset by a decrease of \$4.3 million in fair value adjustments to the contingent consideration liability due to the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2012. Noninterest expense increased \$17.4 million, or 24.5 percent, to \$88.3 million compared to a year ago. This increase was primarily due to a \$5.9 million increase in salaries and benefits, a \$5.7 million increase in third party distribution expense and a \$5.1 million increase in contingent consideration liability on acquisitions related to cash flow estimate changes on acquisitions compared to last year.

*Table 11***Asset Servicing Operating Results**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Dollar Change 13-12 | Percent Change 13-12 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 2,357 | \$ 1,861 | \$ 496 | 26.65% |
| Provision for loan losses | | | | |
| Noninterest income | 80,633 | 75,589 | 5,044 | 6.67 |
| Noninterest expense | 72,731 | 68,793 | 3,938 | 5.72 |
| Income before taxes | 10,259 | 8,657 | 1,602 | 18.51 |
| Income tax expense | 4,184 | 3,382 | 802 | 23.71 |
| Net income | \$ 6,075 | \$ 5,275 | \$ 800 | 15.17% |

Asset Servicing net income increased \$0.8 million, or 15.2 percent, to \$6.1 million compared to 2012. Net interest margin increased by \$0.5 million, or 26.7 percent, due to deposit growth within this segment. Noninterest income increased \$5.0 million, or 6.7 percent, resulting from a \$5.5 million increase in fee income from business added in transfer agent, alternative investment, and fund administration services, increases from asset based fees, fund growth and a \$0.7 million gain from the transfer of trust-related distribution services. These increases were offset by a decrease in miscellaneous income of \$1.3 million in fair value adjustments to the contingent consideration liability compared to last year due to the adoption of new accounting guidance in 2012. Noninterest expense increased \$3.9 million, or 5.7 percent, due primarily to a \$1.9 million increase in fair value adjustments to the contingent consideration liability on acquisitions and an increase of \$1.7 million in salary and benefit expense to support business growth.

Balance Sheet Analysis**Loans and Loans Held For Sale**

Loans represent the Company's largest source of interest income. Loan balances held for investment increased by \$833.8 million, or 14.7 percent, in 2013. Commercial and commercial real estate loans had the most significant growth in outstanding balances in 2013, compared to 2012. Residential real estate, construction real estate, and other consumer loans also experienced increases compared to 2012. These increases were offset by small decreases in credit card and HELOC loans.

Table of Contents

Table 12

ANALYSIS OF LOANS BY TYPE (in thousands)

| | 2013 | 2012 | December 31 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 3,301,503 | \$ 2,873,694 | \$ 2,234,817 | \$ 1,937,052 | \$ 1,963,533 |
| Commercial credit card | 103,270 | 104,320 | 95,339 | 84,544 | 65,273 |
| Real estate construction | 152,875 | 78,486 | 84,590 | 128,520 | 106,914 |
| Real estate commercial | 1,702,151 | 1,435,811 | 1,394,555 | 1,294,897 | 1,141,447 |
| Leases | 23,981 | 19,084 | 3,834 | 7,055 | 7,510 |
| Total business-related | 5,283,780 | 4,511,395 | 3,813,135 | 3,452,068 | 3,284,677 |
| Real estate residential | 289,356 | 212,363 | 185,886 | 193,157 | 218,081 |
| Real estate HELOC | 566,128 | 573,923 | 533,032 | 476,057 | 435,814 |
| Consumer credit card | 318,336 | 334,518 | 333,646 | 322,208 | 231,254 |
| Consumer other | 62,912 | 54,550 | 94,644 | 140,193 | 144,879 |
| Total consumer-related | 1,236,732 | 1,175,354 | 1,147,208 | 1,131,615 | 1,030,028 |
| Loans before allowance and loans held for sale | 6,520,512 | 5,686,749 | 4,960,343 | 4,583,683 | 4,314,705 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (74,751) | (71,426) | (72,017) | (73,952) | (64,139) |
| Net loans before loans held for sale | 6,445,761 | 5,615,323 | 4,888,326 | 4,509,731 | 4,250,566 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,357 | 3,877 | 10,215 | 14,414 | 17,523 |
| Net loans and loans held for sale | \$ 6,447,118 | \$ 5,619,200 | \$ 4,898,541 | \$ 4,524,145 | \$ 4,268,089 |
| As a % of total loans and loans held for sale | | | | | |
| Commercial | 50.63% | 50.49% | 44.96% | 42.13% | 45.32% |
| Commercial credit card | 1.58 | 1.83 | 1.92 | 1.84 | 1.51 |
| Real estate-construction | 2.34 | 1.38 | 1.70 | 2.80 | 2.47 |
| Real estate-commercial | 26.10 | 25.23 | 28.06 | 28.16 | 26.35 |
| Leases | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| Total business-related | 81.02 | 79.27 | 76.72 | 75.08 | 75.82 |
| Real estate residential | 4.44 | 3.73 | 3.74 | 4.20 | 5.03 |
| Real estate HELOC | 8.68 | 10.09 | 10.72 | 10.35 | 10.06 |
| Consumer credit card | 4.88 | 5.88 | 6.71 | 7.01 | 5.34 |
| Consumer other | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.90 | 3.05 | 3.35 |
| Total consumer-related | 18.96 | 20.66 | 23.07 | 24.61 | 23.78 |
| Loans held for sale | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.40 |
| Total loans and loans held for sale | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Included in Table 12 is a five-year breakdown of loans by type. Business-related loans continue to represent the largest segment of the Company's loan portfolio, comprising approximately 81.0 percent and 79.3 percent of total loans and loans held for sale at the end of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Commercial loans represent the largest percent of total loans. Commercial loans have increased \$427.8 million, or 14.9 percent, compared to 2012. Commercial loans have also increased to 50.6 percent of total loans compared to 50.5 percent in 2012. The Company has also increased its capacity to lend through increased commitments over 2012. Commercial line utilization has remained low due to the current economic conditions.

As a percentage of total loans, commercial real estate and construction real estate loans now comprise 28.4 percent of total loans, compared to 26.6 percent at the end of 2012. Commercial real estate increased \$266.3 million, or 18.6 percent, and construction real estate loans increased \$74.4 million, or 94.8 percent, compared to

Table of Contents

2012. Generally, these loans are made for working capital or expansion purposes and are primarily secured by real estate with a maximum loan-to-value of 80 percent. Most of these properties are owner-occupied and/or have other collateral or guarantees as security.

Residential real estate loans increased \$77.0 million, or 36.3 percent, and now represent 4.44 percent of total loans compared to 3.73 percent in 2012.

Nonaccrual, past due and restructured loans are discussed under **Credit Risk** within the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk in Item 7A on page 52 of this report.

Investment Securities

The Company's security portfolio provides liquidity as a result of the composition and cash flow characteristics of the underlying securities. This liquidity can be used to fund loan growth or to offset the outflow of traditional funding sources. In addition to providing a potential source of liquidity, the security portfolio can be used as a tool to manage interest rate sensitivity. The Company's goal in the management of its securities portfolio is to maximize return within the Company's parameters of liquidity goals, interest rate risk and credit risk. The Company maintains high liquidity levels while investing in only high-grade securities. The security portfolio generates the Company's second largest component of interest income.

Securities available for sale and securities held to maturity comprised 44.5 percent and 52.0 percent of earning assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total investment securities remained flat totaling \$7.1 billion at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Management expects deposit balance changes, loan demand, and collateral pledging requirements for public funds to be the primary factors impacting changes in the level of security holdings.

Securities available for sale comprised 95.9 percent of the Company's investment securities portfolio at December 31, 2013, compared to 97.2 percent at year-end 2012. Securities available for sale had a net unrealized loss of \$52.3 million at year-end, compared to a net unrealized gain of \$134.8 million the preceding year. This market value change reflects primarily the impact of mid and longer-term market interest rate increases during the second half of 2013. These amounts are reflected, on an after-tax basis, in the Company's other comprehensive income in shareholders equity, as an unrealized loss of \$32.6 million at year-end 2013, compared to an unrealized gain of \$85.6 million for 2012.

The securities portfolio achieved an average yield on a tax-equivalent basis of 2.0 percent for 2013, compared to 2.1 percent in 2012, and 2.4 percent in 2011. The decrease in yield is due to the replacement of higher yielding securities with lower yielding securities as the investment portfolio is reinvested. The average life of the securities portfolio was 47.6 months at December 31, 2013, compared to 40.0 months at year-end 2012. The increase in average life from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013, was related primarily to mortgage-backed securities holdings experiencing extension due to slower prepayment rates resulting from market interest rate increases during the second half of 2013.

Included in Tables 13 and 14 are analyses of the cost, fair value and average yield (tax-equivalent basis) of securities available for sale and securities held to maturity.

The securities portfolio contains securities that have unrealized losses and are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired (see the table of these securities in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 76 of this document). The unrealized losses in the Company's investments in direct obligations of U.S. treasury obligations, U.S. government agencies, federal agency mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities, and Corporates were caused by changes in interest rates. The Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and does not believe it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before a recovery of fair value. The Company expects to recover its cost basis in the securities and does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Table 13

SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE (in thousands)

| December 31, 2013 | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 110,789 | \$ 110,200 |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,258,176 | 1,257,663 |
| Mortgage-backed | 2,984,963 | 2,944,566 |
| State and political subdivisions | 2,003,509 | 1,995,246 |
| Corporates | 457,275 | 454,736 |
| Total | \$ 6,814,712 | \$ 6,762,411 |

| December 31, 2012 | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 116,856 | \$ 117,851 |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,019,640 | 1,026,115 |
| Mortgage-backed | 3,480,006 | 3,556,193 |
| State and political subdivisions | 1,842,715 | 1,892,684 |
| Corporates | 337,706 | 338,887 |
| Commercial Paper | 5,733 | 5,733 |
| Total | \$ 6,802,656 | \$ 6,937,463 |

| | U.S. Treasury Securities | | U.S. Agency Securities | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 150 | 1.01% | \$ 218,900 | 0.92% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 105,420 | 0.87 | 1,038,763 | 0.71 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 4,630 | 1.75 | | |
| Due after 10 years | | | | |
| Total | \$ 110,200 | 0.91% | \$ 1,257,663 | 0.74% |

| | Mortgage-backed Securities | | State and Political Subdivisions | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 27,917 | 2.59% | \$ 288,887 | 2.51% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 2,561,318 | 2.11 | 806,912 | 2.63 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 340,202 | 1.87 | 741,422 | 3.02 |
| Due after 10 years | 15,129 | 3.28 | 158,025 | 3.18 |
| Total | \$ 2,944,566 | 2.09% | \$ 1,995,246 | 2.80% |

| | Corporates | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| December 31, 2013 | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 17,894 | 0.57% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 436,842 | 0.99 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | | |
| Due after 10 years | | |
| Total | \$ 454,736 | 0.97% |

Table of Contents

| | U.S. Treasury Securities | | U.S. Agency Securities | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 2,005 | 0.98% | \$ 252,983 | 1.03% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 96,026 | 0.89 | 773,132 | 0.87 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 19,820 | 1.67 | | |
| Due after 10 years | | | | |
| Total | \$ 117,851 | 1.02% | \$ 1,026,115 | 0.91% |

| | Mortgage-backed Securities | | State and Political Subdivisions | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 56,799 | 3.04% | \$ 217,581 | 2.96% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 3,325,225 | 2.11 | 826,808 | 2.84 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 171,013 | 1.89 | 692,953 | 3.23 |
| Due after 10 years | 3,156 | 3.34 | 155,342 | 3.25 |
| Total | \$ 3,556,193 | 2.11% | \$ 1,892,684 | 3.03% |

| | Corporates | | Commercial Paper | | Total Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 37,723 | 1.06% | \$ 5,733 | 0.40% | \$ 572,824 |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 301,164 | 1.09 | | | 5,322,355 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | | | | | 883,786 |
| Due after 10 years | | | | | 158,498 |
| Total | \$ 338,887 | 1.09% | \$ 5,733 | 0.40% | \$ 6,937,463 |

Table 14

SECURITIES HELD TO MATURITY (in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Weighted Average Yield/Average Maturity |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| December 31, 2013 | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 40 | \$ 44 | 2.84% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 31,387 | 34,640 | 2.46 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 97,929 | 108,078 | 2.89 |
| Due over 10 years | 80,414 | 88,748 | 2.97 |
| Total | \$ 209,770 | \$ 231,510 | 10 yr. 4 mo. |

December 31, 2012

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 1,751 | \$ 1,976 | 4.81% |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 31,802 | 35,887 | 3.06 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 28,084 | 31,691 | 3.21 |
| Due over 10 years | 53,119 | 59,941 | 3.14 |
| Total | \$ 114,756 | \$ 129,495 | 10 yr. 8 mo. |

Table of Contents**FEDERAL BANK STOCK AND OTHER SECURITIES (in thousands)**

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| 2013 | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock | \$ 16,279 | \$ 16,279 |
| Other securities marketable | 20 | 16,632 |
| Other securities non-marketable | 17,139 | 17,571 |
| Total Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | \$ 33,438 | \$ 50,482 |
| 2012 | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock | \$ 11,779 | \$ 11,779 |
| Other securities non-marketable | 14,281 | 14,554 |
| Total Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | \$ 26,060 | \$ 26,333 |

Other marketable and non-marketable securities include Prairie Capital Management alternative investments in hedge funds and private equity funds, which are accounted for as equity-method investments. The fair value of other marketable securities includes alternative investment securities of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2013. The fair value of other non-marketable securities includes the alternative investment securities fair of \$4.7 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2.2 million at December 31, 2012.

Other Earning Assets

Federal funds transactions essentially are overnight loans between financial institutions, which allow for either the daily investment of excess funds or the daily borrowing of another institution's funds in order to meet short-term liquidity needs. The net borrowed position was \$1.0 million at December 31, 2013, and the net sold position was \$32.7 million at December 31, 2012.

The Bank buys and sells federal funds as agent for non-affiliated banks. Because the transactions are pursuant to agency arrangements, these transactions do not appear on the balance sheet and averaged \$270.1 million in 2013 and \$348.6 million in 2012.

At December 31, 2013, the Company held securities bought under agreements to resell of \$75.2 million compared to \$57.2 million at year end 2012. The Company used these instruments as short-term secured investments, in lieu of selling federal funds, or to acquire securities required for collateral purposes. These investments averaged \$31.8 million in 2013 and \$22.0 million in 2012.

The Company also maintains an active securities trading inventory. The average holdings in the securities trading inventory in 2013 were \$56.0 million, compared to \$53.2 million in 2012, and were recorded at market value. As discussed in the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Trading Account in Part II, Item 7A on page 52, the Company offsets the trading account securities by the sale of exchange-traded financial futures contracts, with both the trading account and futures contracts marked to market daily.

Interest-bearing due from banks totaled \$2.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 compared to \$720.5 million as of December 31, 2012 and includes amounts due from the Federal Reserve Bank and from certificates of deposits held at other financial institutions. The amount due from the Federal Reserve Bank totaled \$2.1 billion and \$698.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The amounts due from certificates of deposit totaled \$30.5 million and \$21.7 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**Deposits and Borrowed Funds**

Deposits represent the Company's primary funding source for its asset base. In addition to the core deposits garnered by the Company's retail branch structure, the Company continues to focus on its cash management services, as well as its asset management and mutual fund servicing segments in order to attract and retain additional core deposits. Deposits totaled \$13.6 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$11.7 billion at year end 2012. Deposits averaged \$11.9 billion in 2013 and \$10.5 billion in 2012. The Company continually strives to expand, improve and promote its cash management services in order to attract and retain commercial funding customers.

Noninterest-bearing demand deposits averaged \$4.7 billion in 2013 and \$4.3 billion in 2012. These deposits represented 39.5 percent of average deposits in 2013, compared to 40.5 percent in 2012. The Company's large commercial customer base provides a significant source of noninterest-bearing deposits. Many of these commercial accounts do not earn interest; however, they receive an earnings credit to offset the cost of other services provided by the Company. As previously announced, a single Asset Servicing client is expected to migrate its deposits to another institution. As of December 31, 2013, this client's deposits totaling \$1.5 billion remained on the balance sheet.

Table 15

MATURITIES OF TIME DEPOSITS OF \$100,000 OR MORE (in thousands)

| | December 31 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Maturing within 3 months | \$ 574,689 | \$ 364,449 |
| After 3 months but within 6 months | 103,730 | 99,700 |
| After 6 months but within 12 months | 104,883 | 122,514 |
| After 12 months | 174,548 | 155,402 |
| Total | \$ 957,850 | \$ 742,065 |

Table 16

ANALYSIS OF AVERAGE DEPOSITS (in thousands)

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Amount | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 4,709,643 | \$ 4,256,618 | \$ 3,414,843 | \$ 2,795,458 | \$ 2,372,456 |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings | 6,073,516 | 5,021,526 | 4,731,300 | 4,059,615 | 3,631,486 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 527,281 | 577,656 | 661,957 | 728,804 | 782,469 |
| Total core deposits | 11,310,440 | 9,855,800 | 8,808,100 | 7,583,877 | 6,786,411 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 619,878 | 665,858 | 785,537 | 868,089 | 797,614 |
| Total deposits | \$ 11,930,318 | \$ 10,521,658 | \$ 9,593,637 | \$ 8,451,966 | \$ 7,584,025 |
| As a % of total deposits | | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | 39.48% | 40.46% | 35.59% | 33.07% | 31.28% |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings | 50.90 | 47.72 | 49.32 | 48.03 | 47.88 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 4.42 | 5.49 | 6.90 | 8.63 | 10.32 |
| Total core deposits | 94.80 | 93.67 | 91.81 | 89.73 | 89.48 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 5.20 | 6.33 | 8.19 | 10.27 | 10.52 |
| Total deposits | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |

Table of Contents

Repurchase agreements are transactions involving the exchange of investment funds by the customer for securities by the Company, under an agreement to repurchase the same issues at an agreed-upon price and date. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased totaled \$1.6 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2012. These agreements averaged \$1.6 billion and \$1.4 billion in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company enters into these transactions with its downstream correspondent banks, commercial customers, and various trust, mutual fund and local government relationships.

Table 17

SHORT-TERM DEBT (in thousands)

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| At December 31: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 12,834 | 0.04% | \$ | % |
| Repurchase agreements | 1,570,384 | 0.19 | 1,787,270 | 0.33 |
| Other | 107 | 5.89 | | |
| Total | \$ 1,583,325 | 0.19% | \$ 1,787,270 | 0.33% |
| Average for year: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 56,934 | 0.08% | \$ 35,589 | 0.06% |
| Repurchase agreements | 1,556,650 | 0.11 | 1,374,888 | 0.14 |
| Other | 224 | 5.36 | 5,656 | 1.17 |
| Total | \$ 1,613,808 | 0.11% | \$ 1,416,133 | 0.14% |
| Maximum month-end balance: | | | | |
| Federal funds purchased | \$ 109,466 | | \$ 65,343 | |
| Repurchase agreements | 2,048,513 | | 1,787,270 | |
| Other | | | | |

The Company had two fixed-rate advances at December 31, 2013, from the Federal Home Loan Banks each at rate of 5.89 percent. These advances, collateralized by the Company's securities, are used to offset interest rate risk of longer-term fixed-rate loans.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

The Company places a significant emphasis on the maintenance of a strong capital position, which promotes investor confidence, provides access to funding sources under favorable terms, and enhances the Company's ability to capitalize on business growth and acquisition opportunities. The Company is not aware of any trends, demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that would materially change its capital position or affect its liquidity in the foreseeable future. As previously announced, a single Asset Servicing client is expected to migrate its deposits to another institution. As of December 31, 2013, this client's deposits totaling \$1.5 billion remained on the balance sheet. Capital is managed for each subsidiary based upon its respective risks and growth opportunities as well as regulatory requirements.

Total shareholder's equity was \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.3 billion one year earlier. On September 16, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 3.9 million shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$201.2 million to be used for strategic growth purposes. On October 17, 2013, an additional 585 thousand shares were issued with net proceeds of \$30.2 million as a result of the underwriter's exercising the overallotment of shares. The total increase in shareholder's equity as a result of the common stock issuance was \$231.4 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013. During each year, management has the opportunity to repurchase shares of the Company's stock if it concludes that the repurchases would enhance overall shareholder value. During 2013 and 2012, the Company acquired 66,462 shares and 472,956 shares of its common stock, respectively.

Table of Contents

Risk-based capital guidelines established by regulatory agencies establish minimum capital standards based on the level of risk associated with a financial institution's assets. A financial institution's total capital is required to equal at least 8% of risk-weighted assets. At least half of that 8% must consist of tier 1 core capital, and the remainder may be tier 2 supplementary capital. The risk-based capital guidelines indicate the specific risk weightings by type of asset. Certain off-balance-sheet items (such as standby letters of credit and binding loan commitments) are multiplied by credit conversion factors to translate them into balance sheet equivalents before assigning them specific risk weightings. Due to the Company's high level of core capital and substantial portion of earning assets invested in government securities, the tier 1 capital ratio of 13.61 percent and total capital ratio of 14.43 percent substantially exceed the regulatory minimums.

In July 2013 the Federal Reserve approved a final rule to implement in the United States the Basel III regulatory capital reforms from the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule increases minimum requirements for both the quantity and quality of capital held by banking organizations. The rule includes a new minimum ratio of common equity tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5% and a common equity tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The final rule also adjusted the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity. Beginning January 1, 2015, the Company must be compliant with revised minimum regulatory capital ratios and will begin the transitional period for definitions of regulatory capital and regulatory capital adjustments and deductions established under the final rule. Compliance with the risk-weighted asset calculations will be required on January 1, 2015. The Company believes its current capital ratios are higher than those required in the final rule.

For further discussion of capital and liquidity, see the Liquidity Risk section of Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk on page 54 of this report.

Table of Contents

Table 18

RISK-BASED CAPITAL (in thousands)

This table computes risk-based capital in accordance with current regulatory guidelines. These guidelines as of December 31, 2013, excluded net unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale from the computation of regulatory capital and the related risk-based capital ratios.

| | Risk-Weighted Category | | | | Total |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 0% | 20% | 50% | 100% | |
| Risk-Weighted Assets | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,357 | \$ | \$ 1,357 |
| Loans and leases | | 43,742 | 332,465 | 6,144,305 | 6,520,512 |
| Securities available for sale | 1,542,118 | 4,778,148 | 37,171 | 457,275 | 6,814,712 |
| Securities held to maturity | | 209,770 | | | 209,770 |
| Federal funds and resell agreements | | 87,018 | | | 87,018 |
| Trading securities | 400 | 516 | 3,072 | 24,476 | 28,464 |
| Cash and due from banks | 2,156,047 | 458,421 | | | 2,614,468 |
| All other assets | 16,279 | | | 480,981 | 497,260 |
| Category totals | 3,714,844 | 5,577,615 | 374,065 | 7,107,037 | 16,773,561 |
| Risk-weighted totals | | 1,115,523 | 187,033 | 7,107,037 | 8,409,593 |
| Off-balance-sheet items (risk-weighted) | | 3,148 | 1,107 | 1,028,166 | 1,032,421 |
| Total risk-weighted assets | \$ | \$ 1,118,671 | \$ 188,140 | \$ 8,135,203 | \$ 9,442,014 |

| | Tier1 | Tier2 | Total |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Regulatory Capital | | | |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 1,506,065 | \$ | \$ 1,506,065 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive gains | 32,641 | | 32,641 |
| Premium on purchased banks | (251,650) | | (251,650) |
| Disallowed servicing assets and purchased credit card relationships | (1,956) | | (1,956) |
| Allowance for loan losses (1) | | 76,915 | 76,915 |
| Total capital | \$ 1,285,100 | \$ 76,915 | \$ 1,362,015 |

| | Company |
|---|---------|
| Capital ratios | |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 13.61% |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 14.43% |
| Leverage ratio (Tier 1 to total average assets less goodwill and intangibles) | 8.41% |

(1) Amount is inclusive of a reserve for off-balance sheet arrangements.

For further discussion of regulatory capital requirements, see Note 10, *Regulatory Requirements* within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on pages 82 and 83.

Commitments, Contractual Obligations and Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's main off-balance sheet arrangements are loan commitments, commercial and standby letters of credit, futures contracts and forward exchange contracts, which have maturity dates rather than payment

Table of Contents

due dates. These commitments and contingent liabilities are not required to be recorded on the Company's balance sheet. Since commitments associated with letters of credit and lending and financing arrangements may expire unused, the amounts shown do not necessarily reflect the actual future cash funding requirements. See Table 19 below, as well as Note 14, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on pages 89 through 91 for detailed information and further discussion of these arrangements. Management does not anticipate any material losses from its off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table 19

COMMITMENTS, CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS (in thousands)

The table below details the contractual obligations for the Company as of December 31, 2013. The Company has no capital leases or long-term purchase obligations. Includes principal payments only.

| | Payments due by Period | | | | More than 5 years |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Fed funds purchased and repurchase agreements | \$ 1,583,218 | \$ 1,583,218 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Short-term debt obligations | 107 | 107 | | | |
| Long-term debt obligations | 5,055 | 1,396 | 2,030 | 814 | 815 |
| Operating lease obligations | 62,250 | 7,986 | 13,696 | 12,153 | 28,415 |
| Time open and C.D. s | 1,449,642 | 1,154,844 | 214,553 | 76,268 | 3,977 |
| Total | \$ 3,100,272 | \$ 2,747,551 | \$ 230,279 | \$ 89,235 | \$ 33,207 |

As of December 31, 2013, the Company's total liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits were \$5.0 million. The Company cannot reasonably estimate the timing of the future payments of these liabilities. Therefore, these liabilities have been excluded from the table above. See Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for information regarding the liabilities associated with unrecognized tax benefits.

The table below (a continuation of Table 19 above) details the commitments, contingencies and guarantees for the Company as of December 31, 2013.

| | Maturities due by Period | | | | More than 5 years |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | |
| Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit for loans (excluding credit card loans) | \$ 2,690,268 | \$ 387,123 | \$ 873,684 | \$ 695,836 | \$ 733,625 |
| Commitments to extend credit under credit card loans | 2,215,278 | 2,215,278 | | | |
| Commercial letters of credit | 5,949 | 5,949 | | | |
| Standby letters of credit | 356,054 | 240,251 | 91,102 | 24,476 | 225 |
| Futures contracts | | | | | |
| Forward contracts | 21,525 | 21,525 | | | |
| Spot foreign exchange contracts | 8,001 | 8,001 | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,297,075 | \$ 2,878,127 | \$ 964,786 | \$ 720,312 | \$ 733,850 |

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of financial condition and results of operations discusses the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to customers and suppliers, allowance for loan losses, bad debts, investments, financing operations, long-lived assets, taxes, other contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which have formed the basis for making such judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Under different assumptions or conditions, actual results may differ from the recorded estimates.

Management believes that the Company's critical accounting policies are those relating to: the allowance for loan losses, goodwill and other intangibles, revenue recognition, accounting for stock-based compensation, accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, and fair value measurements.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company's allowance for loan losses represents management's judgment of the loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is reviewed quarterly, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors such as historical trends, internal ratings, migration analysis, current economic conditions, loan growth and individual impairment testing.

Larger commercial loans are individually reviewed for potential impairment. For these loans, if management deems it probable that the borrower cannot meet its contractual obligations with respect to payment or timing such loans are deemed to be impaired under current accounting standards. Such loans are then reviewed for potential impairment based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan given the availability of cash flows, collateral and other legal options. Any allowance related to the impairment of an individually impaired loan is based on the present value of discounted expected future cash flows, the fair value of the underlying collateral, or the fair value of the loan. Based on this analysis, some loans that are classified as impaired do not have a specific allowance as the discounted expected future cash flows or the fair value of the underlying collateral exceeds the Company's basis in the impaired loan.

The Company also maintains an internal risk grading system for other loans not subject to individual impairment. An estimate of the inherent loan losses on such risk-graded loans is based on a migration analysis which computes the net charge-off experience related to each risk category.

An estimate of inherent losses is computed on remaining loans based on the type of loan. Each type of loan is segregated into a pool based on the nature of such loans. This includes remaining commercial loans that have a low risk grade, as well as other homogenous loans. Homogenous loans include automobile loans, credit card loans and other consumer loans. Allowances are established for each pool based on the loan type using historical loss rates, certain statistical measures and loan growth.

An estimate of the total inherent loss is based on the above three computations. From this an adjustment can be made based on other factors management considers to be important in evaluating the probable losses in the portfolio such as general economic conditions, loan trends, risk management and loan administration and changes in internal policies. For more information on loan portfolio segments and ALL methodology refer to Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually and more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Company changed its goodwill testing date from November 30 to October 1. The selection of October 1 as the annual testing date is preferable as the Company will have more time and greater availability of accounting resources because the new testing date is two months earlier relative to the fiscal year-end close and reporting process. As a result of the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date, the Company completed a test as of October 1, 2012 and no more than 12 months elapsed between annual tests. The change in accounting principle related to changing the annual goodwill impairment testing date did not accelerate, delay, or cause an impairment charge. Due to the significant judgments and estimates that are utilized in the goodwill impairment test, the Company determined it was impracticable to objectively determine, without the use of hindsight, the assumptions that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods before October 1, 2012. As such, the Company prospectively applied the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

To test goodwill for impairment, the Company performs a qualitative assessment of each reporting unit. If the Company determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, the two-step impairment test is not required. Otherwise, the Company compares the fair value of its reporting units to their carrying amounts to determine if an impairment is indicated. If an impairment is indicated, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is compared to its carrying amount. An impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill over its implied fair value. As a result of such impairment tests, the Company has not recognized an impairment charge.

For customer-based identifiable intangibles, the Company amortizes the intangibles over their estimated useful lives of up to seventeen years. When facts and circumstances indicate potential impairment of amortizing intangible assets, the Company evaluates the fair value of the asset and compares it to the carrying value for possible impairment. For more information see "Goodwill and Other Intangibles" in Note 7 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue recognition includes the recording of interest on loans and securities and is recognized based on a rate multiplied by the principal amount outstanding and also includes the impact of the amortization of related premiums and discounts. Interest accrual is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, the likelihood of collection becomes doubtful, or the loan is past due for a period of ninety days or more unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Other noninterest income is recognized as services are performed or revenue-generating transactions are executed.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The amount of compensation recognized is based primarily on the value of the awards on the grant date. To value stock options, the Company uses the Black-Scholes model, which requires the input of several variables. The expected option life is derived from historical exercise patterns and represents the amount of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and implied volatilities of the Company's stock. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The fair value of the stock on the grant date is used to value awards of restricted stock. Forfeitures are estimated at the grant date and reduce the expense recognized. The forfeiture rate is adjusted annually based on experience. The value of the awards, adjusted for forfeitures, is amortized using the straight-line method over the requisite service period. Management of the Company believes that it is probable that all current performance-based awards will achieve the performance target. Please see the discussion of the Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation under Note 1 and Note 11 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on pages 66 and 84.

Table of Contents**Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes**

The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. federal and various states jurisdictions. The calculation of tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in these jurisdictions. The Company records the financial statement effects of an income tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on the basis of technical merits. We recognize the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the related tax authority. The measurement of any unrecognized tax benefit is based on management's best judgment. These liabilities may change as a result of changes in tax laws and regulations, interpretations of law by taxing authorities, and income tax examinations among other factors. Due to the complexity of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may differ from the current estimate of the tax liabilities. These differences will be reflected as increases or decreases to income tax expense in the period in which they are determined. See the discussion of Liabilities Associated with Unrecognized Tax Benefits under Note 16 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is measured in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value include the market approach, income approach and cost approach. The market approach uses prices or relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach involves discounting future amounts to a single present amount and is based on current market expectations about those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of the asset.

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). An instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the instrument's fair value measurement. The three levels within the fair value hierarchy are described as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that are available at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability for which there is little, if any, market activity at the measurement date. Unobservable inputs reflect assumptions about what market participants would use to price the asset or liability. The inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which might include the Company's own financial data such as internally developed pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the fair value determination requires significant management judgment.

The Company's fair value measurements involve various valuation techniques and models, which involve inputs that are observable, when available, and the most significant of which include available-for-sale, trading securities, and contingent consideration measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Fair value pricing information obtained from third party data providers and pricing services for investment securities are reviewed for appropriateness on a periodic basis. The third party service providers are also

Table of Contents

analyzed to understand and evaluate the valuation methodologies utilized. This review includes an analysis of current market prices compared to pricing provided by the third party pricing service to assess the relative accuracy of the data provided.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Risk Management

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange prices, commodity prices or equity prices. Financial instruments that are subject to market risk can be classified either as held for trading or held for purposes other than trading.

The Company is subject to market risk primarily through the effect of changes in interest rates of its assets held for purposes other than trading. The following discussion of interest risk, however, combines instruments held for trading and instruments held for purposes other than trading because the instruments held for trading represent such a small portion of the Company's portfolio that the interest rate risk associated with them is immaterial.

Interest Rate Risk

In the banking industry, a major risk exposure is changing interest rates. To minimize the effect of interest rate changes to net interest income and exposure levels to economic losses, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management within guidelines established by its Funds Management Committee (FMC) and approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The FMC is responsible for approving and ensuring compliance with asset/liability management policies, including interest rate exposure. The Company's primary method for measuring and analyzing consolidated interest rate risk is the Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis. The Company also uses a Net Portfolio Value model to measure market value risk under various rate change scenarios and a gap analysis to measure maturity and repricing relationships between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at specific points in time. The Company does not use hedges or swaps to manage interest rate risk except for limited use of futures contracts to offset interest rate risk on certain securities held in its trading portfolio and one fair value hedge as disclosed in Note 17 *Derivatives* to Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Overall, the Company attempts to manage interest rate risk by positioning the balance sheet to maximize net interest income while maintaining an acceptable level of interest rate and credit risk, remaining mindful of the relationship among profitability, liquidity, interest rate risk and credit risk.

Net Interest Income Modeling

The Company's primary interest rate risk tool, the Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, measures interest rate risk and the effect of interest rate changes on net interest income and net interest margin. This analysis incorporates all of the Company's assets and liabilities together with forecasted changes in the balance sheet and assumptions that reflect the current interest rate environment. Through these simulations, management estimates the impact on net interest income of a 300 basis point upward or a 100 basis point downward gradual change (e.g. ramp) of market interest rates over a one year period. Assumptions are made to project rates for new loans and deposits based on historical analysis, management outlook and repricing strategies. Asset prepayments and other market risks are developed from industry estimates of prepayment speeds and other market changes. The results of these simulations can be significantly influenced by assumptions utilized and management evaluates the sensitivity of the simulation results on a regular basis.

Table of Contents

Table 20 shows the expected net interest income increase or decrease over the next twelve months as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table 20

MARKET RISK (in thousands)

| | Net Interest Income | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Rate Change in Basis Points | Amount of Change | Amount of Change |
| 300 | \$ 11,794 | \$ 20,471 |
| 200 | 8,030 | 13,576 |
| 100 | 4,025 | 6,501 |
| Static | | |
| (100) | N/A | N/A |

The Company is positioned close to neutral with respect to interest rate changes and slightly positive in rising rate environments at December 31, 2013. Large increases in interest rates are projected to cause increases in net interest income with smaller changes having little impact. Due to the already low interest rate environment interest rates on liabilities are so low that there is little room for further rate reductions. The Company did not include a 100 basis point falling scenario. For projected increases in rates, net interest income is projected to increase due to the Company being positioned to adjust yields on assets with changes in market rates more than the cost of paying liabilities is projected to increase.

Repricing Mismatch Analysis

The Company also evaluates its interest rate sensitivity position in an attempt to maintain a balance between the amount of interest-bearing assets and interest-bearing liabilities which are expected to mature or reprice at any point in time. While a traditional repricing mismatch analysis (gap analysis) provides a snapshot of interest rate risk, it does not take into consideration that assets and liabilities with similar repricing characteristics may not, in fact, reprice at the same time or the same degree. Also, it does not necessarily predict the impact of changes in general levels of interest rates on net interest income.

Table 21 is a static gap analysis, which presents the Company's assets and liabilities, based on their repricing or maturity characteristics and reflecting principal amortization. Table 22 presents the break-out of fixed and variable rate loans by repricing or maturity characteristics for each loan class.

Table of Contents

Table 21

INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS (in millions)

| December 31, 2013 | 1-90 Days | 91-180 Days | 181-365 Days | Total | 1-5 Years | Over 5 Years | Total |
|---|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Earning assets | | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 3,554.5 | \$ 283.5 | \$ 475.3 | \$ 4,313.3 | \$ 1,978.7 | \$ 229.9 | \$ 6,521.9 |
| Securities | 655.7 | 249.9 | 460.5 | 1,366.1 | 3,803.0 | 1,853.6 | 7,022.7 |
| Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 87.0 | | | 87.0 | | | 87.0 |
| Other | 2,100.9 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2,104.3 | 17.5 | 0.1 | 2,121.9 |
| Total earning assets | \$ 6,398.1 | \$ 534.6 | \$ 938.0 | \$ 7,870.7 | \$ 5,799.2 | \$ 2,083.6 | \$ 15,753.5 |
| % of total earning assets | 40.6% | 3.4% | 6.0% | 50.0% | 36.8% | 13.2% | 100.0% |
| Funding sources | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings | \$ 1,365.4 | \$ 1,024.0 | \$ 2,048.0 | \$ 4,437.4 | \$ 209.3 | \$ 2,354.4 | \$ 7,001.1 |
| Time deposits | 706.6 | 214.3 | 233.9 | 1,154.8 | 290.8 | 4.0 | 1,449.6 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 1,583.2 | | | 1,583.2 | | | 1,583.2 |
| Borrowed funds | 5.2 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.2 |
| Noninterest-bearing sources | 3,322.8 | 75.0 | 137.3 | 3,535.1 | 782.8 | 1,396.5 | 5,714.4 |
| Total funding sources | \$ 6,983.2 | \$ 1,313.3 | \$ 2,419.2 | \$ 10,715.7 | \$ 1,282.9 | \$ 3,754.9 | \$ 15,753.5 |
| % of total earning assets | 44.3% | 8.3% | 15.5% | 68.1% | 8.1% | 23.8% | 100.0% |
| Interest sensitivity gap | \$ (585.1) | \$ (778.7) | \$ (1,481.2) | \$ (2,845.0) | \$ 4,516.3 | \$ (1,671.3) | |
| Cumulative gap | (585.1) | (1,363.8) | (2,845.0) | (2,845.0) | 1,671.3 | | |
| As a % of total earning assets | (3.7)% | (8.6) | (18.1) | (18.1) | 10.6 | | |
| Ratio of earning assets to funding sources | 0.92 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.73 | 4.52 | 0.55 | |
| Cumulative ratio of Earning Assets 2013 to Funding Sources 2012 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 1.14 | 1.00 | |
| | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 1.15 | 1.00 | |

Table of Contents

Table 22

Maturities and Sensitivities to Changes in Interest Rates

This table details loan maturities by variable and fixed rates as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Due in one year or less | Due after one year through five years | Due after five years | Total |
|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Variable Rate | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 2,165,580 | \$ 17,434 | \$ | \$ 2,183,014 |
| Commercial Credit Card | 103,270 | | | 103,270 |
| Real Estate Construction | 86,075 | 8,454 | | 94,529 |
| Real Estate Commercial | 299,015 | 122,867 | 1,464 | 423,346 |
| Real Estate Residential | 26,046 | 48,631 | 12,479 | 87,156 |
| Real Estate HELOC | 10,069 | | | 10,069 |
| Consumer Credit Card | 318,117 | 219 | | 318,336 |
| Consumer Other | 28,526 | 1,062 | | 29,588 |
| Leases | 23,981 | | | 23,981 |
| Total variable rate loans | 3,060,679 | 198,667 | 13,943 | 3,273,289 |
| Fixed Rate | | | | |
| Commercial | 392,537 | 684,963 | 40,989 | 1,118,489 |
| Commercial Credit Card | | | | |
| Real Estate Construction | 25,299 | 26,384 | 6,663 | 58,346 |
| Real Estate Commercial | 389,349 | 787,175 | 102,281 | 1,278,805 |
| Real Estate Residential | 45,886 | 92,243 | 65,428 | 203,557 |
| Real Estate HELOC | 383,915 | 172,090 | 54 | 556,059 |
| Consumer Credit Card | | | | |
| Consumer Other | 15,621 | 17,201 | 502 | 33,324 |
| Leases | | | | |
| Total fixed rate loans | 1,252,607 | 1,780,056 | 215,917 | 3,248,580 |
| Total loans and loans held for sale | \$ 4,313,286 | \$ 1,978,723 | \$ 229,860 | \$ 6,521,869 |

Trading Account

The Company's subsidiary, UMB Bank, n.a. carries taxable governmental securities in a trading account that is maintained according to Board-approved policy and procedures. The policy limits the amount and type of securities that can be carried in the trading account and requires compliance with any limits under applicable law and regulations, and mandates the use of a value-at-risk methodology to manage price volatility risks within financial parameters. The risk associated with the carrying of trading securities is offset by the sale of exchange-traded financial futures contracts, with both the trading account and futures contracts marked to market daily.

This account had a balance of \$28.5 million as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$55.8 million as of December 31, 2012.

Credit Risk

Credit risk represents the risk that a customer or counterparty may not perform in accordance with contractual terms. The Company utilizes a centralized credit administration function, which provides information on the Bank's risk levels, delinquencies, an internal ranking system and overall credit exposure. Loan requests are centrally reviewed to ensure the consistent application of the loan policy and standards. In addition, the Company has an internal loan review staff that operates independently of the Bank. This review team performs periodic examinations of the bank's loans for credit quality, documentation and loan administration. The respective regulatory authority of the Bank also reviews loan portfolios.

Table of Contents

Another means of ensuring loan quality is diversification of the portfolio. By keeping its loan portfolio diversified, the Company has avoided problems associated with undue concentrations of loans within particular industries. Commercial and construction real estate loans comprise only 28.4 percent of total loans at December 31, 2013, with no history of significant losses. The Company has no significant exposure to highly-leveraged transactions and has no foreign credits in its loan portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses is discussed on pages 29 and 30. Also, please see Table 5 for a five-year analysis of the ALL. The adequacy of the ALL is reviewed quarterly, considering such items as historical loss trends including a migration analysis, a review of individual loans, current economic conditions, loan growth and characteristics, industry or segment concentration and other factors. A primary indicator of credit quality and risk management is the level of non-performing loans. Non-performing loans include both nonaccrual loans and restructured loans. The Company's non-performing loans increased \$2.6 million from December 31, 2012, and increased \$2.5 million compared to December 31, 2011. While the Company plans to increase its loan portfolio, management does not intend to compromise the Company's high credit standards as it grows its loan portfolio. The impact of future loan growth on the allowance for loan losses is uncertain as it is dependent on many factors including asset quality and changes in the overall economy.

The Company had \$1.3 million in other real estate owned as of December 31, 2013. There was \$3.5 million of other real estate owned at December 31, 2012. Loans past due more than 90 days totaled \$3.2 million at December 31, 2013, compared to \$3.6 million at December 31, 2012.

A loan is generally placed on nonaccrual status when payments are past due 90 days or more and/or when management has considerable doubt about the borrower's ability to repay on the terms originally contracted. The accrual of interest is discontinued and recorded thereafter only when actually received in cash.

Certain loans are restructured to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal due to deterioration in the financial condition of the respective borrowers. The Company had \$12.1 million of restructured loans at December 31, 2013, and \$12.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Table 23 summarizes the various aspects of credit quality discussed above.

Table 23

LOAN QUALITY (in thousands)

| | 2013 | 2012 | December 31 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 19,305 | \$ 16,376 | \$ 22,650 | \$ 24,925 | \$ 21,263 |
| Restructured loans on nonaccrual | 11,401 | 11,727 | 2,931 | 217 | 2,000 |
| Total non-performing loans | 30,706 | 28,103 | 25,581 | 25,142 | 23,263 |
| Other real estate owned | 1,288 | 3,524 | 5,959 | 4,387 | 5,203 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 31,994 | \$ 31,627 | \$ 31,540 | \$ 29,529 | \$ 28,466 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more | \$ 3,218 | \$ 3,554 | \$ 5,998 | \$ 5,480 | \$ 8,319 |
| Restructured loans accruing | 665 | 752 | 3,089 | | |
| Allowance for loans losses | 74,751 | 71,426 | 72,017 | 73,952 | 64,139 |
| Ratios | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans as a % of loans | 0.47% | 0.49% | 0.52% | 0.55% | 0.54% |
| Non-performing assets as a % of loans plus other real estate owned | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.66 |
| Non-performing assets as a % of total assets | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more as a % of loans | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses as a % of loans | 1.15 | 1.26 | 1.45 | 1.61 | 1.48 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses as a multiple of non-performing loans | 2.43x | 2.54x | 2.82x | 2.94x | 2.76x |

Table of Contents**Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity represents the Company's ability to meet financial commitments through the maturity and sale of existing assets or availability of additional funds. The Company believes that the most important factor in the preservation of liquidity is maintaining public confidence that facilitates the retention and growth of a large, stable supply of core deposits and wholesale funds. Ultimately, public confidence is generated through profitable operations, sound credit quality and a strong capital position. The primary source of liquidity for the Company is regularly scheduled payments on and maturity of assets, which include \$6.8 billion of high-quality securities available for sale. The liquidity of the Company and the Bank is also enhanced by its activity in the federal funds market and by its core deposits. On September 16, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 3.9 million shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$201.2 million to be used for strategic growth purposes. On October 17, 2013, an additional 585 thousand shares were issued with net proceeds of \$30.2 million as a result of the underwriter's exercising the overallocation of shares. The total increase in shareholder's equity as a result of the common stock issuance was \$231.4 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013. Management believes it can raise debt or equity capital on favorable terms in the future, should the need arise.

Another factor affecting liquidity is the amount of deposits and customer repurchase agreements that have pledging requirements. All customer repurchase agreements require collateral in the form of a security. The U.S. Government, other public entities, and certain trust depositors require the Company to pledge securities if their deposit balances are greater than the FDIC-insured deposit limitations. These pledging requirements affect liquidity risk in that the related security cannot otherwise be disposed due to the pledging restriction. At December 31, 2013, \$5.9 billion, or 87.7 percent, of the securities available-for-sale were pledged or used as collateral, compared to \$5.9 billion, or 85.6 percent, at December 31, 2012. However of these amounts, securities with a market value of \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2012 were pledged at the Federal Reserve Discount Window but were unencumbered as of those dates.

The Company also has other commercial commitments that may impact liquidity. These commitments include unused commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and commercial letters of credit. The total amount of these commercial commitments at December 31, 2013, was \$5.3 billion. The Company believes that since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total amount of these commercial commitments does not necessarily represent the future cash requirements of the Company.

The Company's cash requirements consist primarily of dividends to shareholders, debt service, operating expenses, and treasury stock purchases. Management fees and dividends received from bank and non-bank subsidiaries traditionally have been sufficient to satisfy these requirements and are expected to be sufficient in the future. The Bank is subject to various rules regarding payment of dividends to the Company. For the most part, the bank can pay dividends at least equal to its current year's earnings without seeking prior regulatory approval.

To enhance general working capital needs, the Company has a revolving line of credit with Wells Fargo, N.A. which allows the Company to borrow up to \$25.0 million for general working capital purposes. The interest rate applied to borrowed balances will be at the Company's option either 1.00 percent above LIBOR or 1.75 percent below Prime on the date of an advance. The Company will also pay a 0.2 percent unused commitment fee for unused portions of the line of credit. The Company had no advances outstanding at December 31, 2013.

Operational Risk

The Company is exposed to numerous types of operational risk. Operational risk generally refers to the risk of loss resulting from the Company's operations, including, but not limited to the risk of fraud by employees or persons outside the Company, the execution of unauthorized transactions by employees or others, errors relating to transaction processing and systems, and breaches of the internal control system and compliance requirements. This risk of loss also includes the potential legal or regulatory actions that could arise as a result of an operational deficiency, or as a result of noncompliance with applicable regulatory standards. Included in the legal and regulatory issues with which the Company must comply are a number of rules resulting from the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

The Company operates in many markets and places reliance on the ability of its employees and systems to properly process a high number of transactions. In the event of a breakdown in the internal control systems, improper operation of systems or improper employee actions, the Company could suffer financial loss, face regulatory action and suffer damage to its reputation. In order to address this risk, management maintains a system of internal controls with the objective of providing proper transaction authorization and execution, safeguarding of assets from misuse or theft, and ensuring the reliability of financial and other data.

The Company maintains systems of controls that provide management with timely and accurate information about the Company's operations. These systems have been designed to manage operational risk at appropriate levels given the Company's financial strength, the environment in which it operates, and considering factors such as competition and regulation. The Company has also established procedures that are designed to ensure that policies relating to conduct, ethics and business practices are followed on a uniform basis. In certain cases, the Company has experienced losses from operational risk. Such losses have included the effects of operational errors that the Company has discovered and included as expense in the statement of income. While there can be no assurance that the Company will not suffer such losses in the future, management continually monitors and works to improve its internal controls, systems and corporate-wide processes and procedures.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

UMB Financial Corporation and Subsidiaries

Kansas City, Missouri

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of UMB Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of UMB Financial Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri

February 25, 2014

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES***(in thousands, except share data)*

| | December 31 | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Loans | \$ 6,520,512 | \$ 5,686,749 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (74,751) | (71,426) |
| Net loans | 6,445,761 | 5,615,323 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,357 | 3,877 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Available for sale | 6,762,411 | 6,937,463 |
| Held to maturity (market value of \$231,510 and \$129,495, respectively) | 209,770 | 114,756 |
| Trading | 28,464 | 55,764 |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | 50,482 | 26,333 |
| Total investment securities | 7,051,127 | 7,134,316 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 87,018 | 89,868 |
| Interest-bearing due from banks | 2,093,467 | 720,500 |
| Cash and due from banks | 521,001 | 667,774 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 249,689 | 244,600 |
| Accrued income | 78,216 | 69,749 |
| Goodwill | 209,758 | 209,758 |
| Other intangibles | 55,585 | 68,803 |
| Other assets | 118,873 | 102,628 |
| Total assets | \$ 16,911,852 | \$ 14,927,196 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 5,189,998 | \$ 4,920,581 |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings | 7,001,126 | 5,450,450 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 491,792 | 540,269 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 957,850 | 742,065 |
| Total deposits | 13,640,766 | 11,653,365 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 1,583,218 | 1,787,270 |
| Short-term debt | 107 | |
| Long-term debt | 5,055 | 5,879 |
| Accrued expenses and taxes | 153,450 | 182,468 |
| Other liabilities | 23,191 | 18,869 |
| Total liabilities | 15,405,787 | 13,647,851 |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, \$1.00 par value; 80,000,000 shares authorized, 55,056,730 shares issued and 45,221,237 and 40,340,878 shares outstanding, respectively | 55,057 | 55,057 |
| Capital surplus | 882,407 | 732,069 |
| Retained earnings | 884,630 | 787,015 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (32,640) | 85,588 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Treasury stock, 9,835,493 and 14,715,852 shares, at cost, respectively | (283,389) | (380,384) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,506,065 | 1,279,345 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 16,911,852 | \$ 14,927,196 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES***(in thousands, except share and per share data)*

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| INTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Loans | \$ 229,665 | \$ 217,391 | \$ 219,076 |
| Securities: | | | |
| Available for sale taxable interest | 75,202 | 81,013 | 85,120 |
| Available for sale tax exempt interest | 37,113 | 35,960 | 33,079 |
| Held to maturity tax exempt interest | 3,286 | 2,264 | 1,687 |
| Total securities income | 115,601 | 119,237 | 119,886 |
| Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 193 | 121 | 102 |
| Interest-bearing due from banks | 1,918 | 1,789 | 3,284 |
| Trading securities | 964 | 1,147 | 1,305 |
| Total interest income | 348,341 | 339,685 | 343,653 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Deposits | 13,183 | 17,416 | 24,628 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 1,739 | 1,884 | 1,712 |
| Other | 150 | 329 | 340 |
| Total interest expense | 15,072 | 19,629 | 26,680 |
| Net interest income | 333,269 | 320,056 | 316,973 |
| Provision for loan losses | 17,500 | 17,500 | 22,200 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 315,769 | 302,556 | 294,773 |
| NONINTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Trust and securities processing | 265,948 | 225,094 | 208,392 |
| Trading and investment banking | 20,641 | 30,359 | 27,720 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 84,133 | 78,694 | 74,659 |
| Insurance fees and commissions | 3,727 | 4,095 | 4,375 |
| Brokerage fees | 11,470 | 11,105 | 9,950 |
| Bankcard fees | 62,031 | 60,567 | 59,767 |
| Gains on sales of securities available for sale, net | 8,542 | 20,232 | 16,125 |
| Equity earnings on alternative investments | 19,048 | 422 | 3 |
| Other | 16,293 | 27,554 | 13,341 |
| Total noninterest income | 491,833 | 458,122 | 414,332 |
| NONINTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 339,691 | 319,852 | 294,756 |
| Occupancy, net | 39,291 | 37,927 | 38,406 |
| Equipment | 49,207 | 43,465 | 42,728 |
| Supplies and services | 20,387 | 21,045 | 22,166 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Marketing and business development | 22,703 | 24,604 | 20,150 |
| Processing fees | 57,791 | 51,191 | 49,985 |
| Legal and consulting | 18,703 | 17,980 | 15,601 |
| Bankcard | 18,381 | 18,154 | 15,600 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 13,218 | 14,775 | 16,100 |
| Regulatory fees | 9,129 | 9,447 | 10,395 |
| Class action litigation settlement | | | 7,800 |
| Other | 35,677 | 32,014 | 29,059 |
| | | | |
| Total noninterest expense | 624,178 | 590,454 | 562,746 |
| | | | |
| Income before income taxes | 183,424 | 170,224 | 146,359 |
| Income tax expense | 49,459 | 47,507 | 39,887 |
| | | | |
| Net income | \$ 133,965 | \$ 122,717 | \$ 106,472 |

PER SHARE DATA

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net income basic | \$ 3.25 | \$ 3.07 | \$ 2.66 |
| Net income diluted | 3.20 | 3.04 | 2.64 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 41,275,839 | 40,034,428 | 40,034,435 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME***(in thousands)*

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 133,965 | \$ 122,717 | \$ 106,472 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities: | | | |
| Change in unrealized holding (losses) gains, net | (178,500) | 27,164 | 104,204 |
| Less: Reclassifications adjustment for gains included in net income | (8,542) | (20,232) | (16,125) |
| Change in unrealized (losses) gains on securities during the period | (187,042) | 6,932 | 88,079 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 68,814 | (2,443) | (32,445) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | (118,228) | 4,489 | 55,634 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 15,737 | \$ 127,206 | \$ 162,106 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES***(dollars in thousands, except per share data)*

| | Common Stock | Capital Surplus | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Treasury Stock | Total |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| Balance January 1, 2011 | \$ 55,057 | \$ 718,306 | \$ 623,415 | \$ 25,465 | \$ (361,383) | \$ 1,060,860 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 106,472 | 55,634 | | 162,106 |
| Cash Dividends (\$0.79 per share) | | | (31,964) | | | (31,964) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | (9,142) | (9,142) |
| Issuance of equity awards | | (2,244) | | | 2,484 | 240 |
| Recognition of equity based compensation | | 6,510 | | | | 6,510 |
| Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans | | 79 | | | | 79 |
| Sale of treasury stock | | 295 | | | 315 | 610 |
| Exercise of stock options | | 353 | | | 1,480 | 1,833 |
| Balance December 31, 2011 | \$ 55,057 | \$ 723,299 | \$ 697,923 | \$ 81,099 | \$ (366,246) | \$ 1,191,132 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 122,717 | 4,489 | | 127,206 |
| Cash Dividends (\$0.83 per share) | | | (33,625) | | | (33,625) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | (20,419) | (20,419) |
| Issuance of equity awards | | (1,911) | | | 2,156 | 245 |
| Recognition of equity based compensation | | 6,917 | | | | 6,917 |
| Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans | | 359 | | | | 359 |
| Sale of treasury stock | | 587 | | | 389 | 976 |
| Exercise of stock options | | 2,818 | | | 3,736 | 6,554 |
| Balance December 31, 2012 | \$ 55,057 | \$ 732,069 | \$ 787,015 | \$ 85,588 | \$ (380,384) | \$ 1,279,345 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | 133,965 | (118,228) | | 15,737 |
| Cash Dividends (\$0.87 per share) | | | (36,350) | | | (36,350) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | (3,501) | (3,501) |
| Issuance of equity awards | | (1,651) | | | 2,101 | 450 |
| Recognition of equity based compensation | | 7,936 | | | | 7,936 |
| Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans | | 1,224 | | | | 1,224 |
| Sale of treasury stock | | 520 | | | 256 | 776 |
| Exercise of stock options | | 3,986 | | | 5,032 | 9,018 |
| Common stock issuance | | 138,323 | | | 93,107 | 231,430 |
| Balance December 31, 2013 | \$ 55,057 | \$ 882,407 | \$ 884,630 | \$ (32,640) | \$ (283,389) | \$ 1,506,065 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES***(in thousands)*

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$ 133,965 | \$ 122,717 | \$ 106,472 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 17,500 | 17,500 | 22,200 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 44,221 | 40,847 | 42,931 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense | (5,123) | 3,675 | (197) |
| Net decrease (increase) in trading securities and other earning assets | 8,252 | 2,378 | (15,662) |
| Gains on sales of securities available for sale | (8,542) | (20,232) | (16,125) |
| (Gains) losses on sales of assets | (1,431) | (904) | 175 |
| Amortization of securities premiums, net of discount accretion | 53,248 | 50,435 | 44,909 |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (118,418) | (237,997) | (204,099) |
| Net gains on sales of loans held for sale | (887) | (2,010) | (1,598) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 121,825 | 246,345 | 209,896 |
| Issuance of equity awards | 450 | 245 | 240 |
| Equity based compensation | 7,936 | 6,917 | 6,510 |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Accrued income | (8,467) | 6,248 | 656 |
| Accrued expenses and taxes | 61,092 | 8,376 | 16,990 |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | (10,423) | (20,796) | (255) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 295,198 | 223,744 | 213,043 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity | 34,033 | 9,756 | 8,814 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 685,031 | 1,016,129 | 1,012,068 |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale | 1,495,867 | 1,691,293 | 1,561,960 |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity | (135,598) | (39,642) | (34,788) |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (2,238,238) | (3,561,042) | (3,008,900) |
| Net increase in loans | (844,993) | (738,343) | (407,232) |
| Net decrease (increase) in fed funds sold and resell agreements | 2,850 | (23,790) | 169,098 |
| Net (increase) decrease in interest bearing balances due from other financial institutions | (10,160) | 129,076 | 20,117 |
| Purchases of bank premises and equipment | (38,313) | (44,038) | (35,557) |
| Net cash received (paid) for acquisitions | 26,087 | 17,597 | (8,134) |
| Proceeds from sales of bank premises and equipment | 2,586 | 1,473 | 182 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,020,848) | (1,541,531) | (722,372) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net increase in demand and savings deposits | 1,800,207 | 1,737,072 | 1,286,818 |
| Net increase (decrease) in time deposits | 159,639 | (272,627) | (145,648) |
| Net decrease in fed funds purchased and repurchase agreements | (204,052) | (163,557) | (133,515) |
| Net change in short-term debt | (407) | (12,000) | (22,020) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 1,000 | 1,029 | 500 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (1,310) | (1,679) | (4,055) |
| Payment of contingent consideration on acquisitions | (16,172) | (17,371) | (8,316) |
| Cash dividends paid | (36,168) | (33,787) | (31,801) |
| Net tax benefit related to equity compensation plans | 1,224 | 359 | 79 |
| Common stock issuance | 231,430 | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and sales of treasury shares | 9,794 | 7,530 | 2,443 |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (3,501) | (20,419) | (9,142) |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,941,684 | 1,224,550 | 935,343 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks | 1,216,034 | (93,237) | 426,014 |
| Cash and due from banks at beginning of year | 1,366,394 | 1,459,631 | 1,033,617 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of year | \$ 2,582,428 | \$ 1,366,394 | \$ 1,459,631 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 46,445 | \$ 44,074 | \$ 41,041 |
| Total interest paid | 15,823 | 20,975 | 28,148 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

UMB Financial Corporation (the Company) is a bank holding company, which offers a wide range of banking and other financial services to its customers through its branches and offices in the states of Missouri, Kansas, Colorado, Illinois, Oklahoma, Arizona, Nebraska, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Indiana, Wisconsin, Utah, Texas, and Massachusetts. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also impact reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Following is a summary of the more significant accounting policies to assist the reader in understanding the financial presentation.

Consolidation

The Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries are included in the consolidated financial statements (references hereinafter to the Company in these Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements include wholly owned subsidiaries). Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition

Interest on loans and securities is recognized based on rate times the principal amount outstanding. This includes the impact of amortization of premiums and discounts. Interest accrual is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, the likelihood of collection becomes doubtful. Other noninterest income is recognized as services are performed or revenue-generating transactions are executed.

Cash and Due From Banks

Cash on hand, cash items in the process of collection, and amounts due from correspondent banks are included in cash and due from banks.

Interest-bearing Due From Banks

Amounts due from the Federal Reserve Bank which are interest-bearing for all periods presented, and amounts due from certificates of deposits issued by other financial institutions are included in interest-bearing due from banks. The amounts due from the Federal Reserve Bank presented in the table below are considered cash and cash equivalents. The amounts due from certificates of deposit totaled \$30.7 million and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

This table provides a summary of cash and due from banks as presented on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31 | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Due from the Federal Reserve | \$ 2,061,427 | \$ 698,620 |
| Cash and due from banks | 521,001 | 667,774 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of year | \$ 2,582,428 | \$ 1,366,394 |

Table of Contents

Loans and Loans Held for Sale

Loans are classified by the portfolio segments of commercial, real estate, consumer, and leases. The portfolio segments are further disaggregated into the loan classes of commercial, commercial credit card, real estate construction, real estate commercial, real estate residential, real estate HELOC, consumer credit card, consumer other, and leases.

A loan is considered to be impaired when management believes it is probable that it will be unable to collect all principal and interest due according to the contractual terms of the loan. If a loan is impaired, the Company records a valuation allowance equal to the carrying amount of the loan in excess of the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate, based on the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

A loan is accounted for as a troubled debt restructuring when a concession had been granted to a debtor experiencing financial difficulties. The Company's modifications generally include interest rate adjustments, and amortization and maturity date extensions. These modifications allow the debtor short-term cash relief to allow them to improve their financial condition. Restructured loans are individually evaluated for impairment as part of the allowance for loan loss analysis.

Loans, including those that are considered to be impaired and restructured, are evaluated regularly by management. Loans are considered delinquent when payment has not been received within 30 days of its contractual due date. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when the collection of interest or principal is 90 days or more past due, unless the loan is adequately secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current income. Loans may be returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Interest payments received on non-accrual loans are applied to principal unless the remaining principal balance has been determined to be fully collectible.

The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's continuing evaluation of the pertinent factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio, including actual loan loss experience, current economic conditions, detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured, determination of the existence and realizable value of the collateral and guarantees securing such loans. The actual losses, notwithstanding such considerations, however, could differ from the amounts estimated by management.

The Company maintains a reserve, separate from the allowance for loan losses, to address the risk of loss associated with loan contingencies, which is included in the accrued expenses and taxes line item in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. In order to maintain the reserve for off-balance sheet items at an appropriate level, a provision to increase or reduce the reserve is included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. The level of the reserve will be adjusted as needed to maintain the reserve at a specified level in relation to contingent loan risk. The risk of loss arising from un-funded loan commitments has been assessed by dividing the contingencies into pools of similar loan commitments and by applying two factors to each pool. The gross amount of contingent exposure is first multiplied by a potential use factor to estimate the degree to which the unused commitments might reasonably be expected to be used in a time of high usage. The resultant figure is then multiplied by a factor to estimate the risk of loss assuming funding of these loans. The potential loss estimates for each segment of the portfolio are added to arrive at a total potential loss estimate that is used to set the reserve.

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. Loan fees (net of certain direct loan origination costs) on loans held for sale are deferred until the related loans are sold or repaid. Gains or losses on loan sales are recognized at the time of sale and determined using the specific identification method.

Table of Contents

Securities

Debt securities available for sale principally include U.S. Treasury and agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, certain securities of state and political subdivisions, and corporates. Securities classified as available for sale are measured at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until realized. Realized gains and losses on sales are computed by the specific identification method at the time of disposition and are shown separately as a component of noninterest income.

Securities held to maturity are carried at amortized historical cost based on management's intention, and the Company's ability to hold them to maturity. The Company classifies certain securities of state and political subdivisions as held to maturity.

Trading securities, acquired for subsequent sale to customers, are carried at market value. Market adjustments, fees and gains or losses on the sale of trading securities are considered to be a normal part of operations and are included in trading and investment banking income.

Equity-method investments

The Company accounts for certain other investments using equity-method accounting. For non-marketable equity-method investments, the Company's proportionate share of the income or loss is recognized on a one-quarter lag. When transparency in pricing exists, other investments are considered marketable equity-method investments. For marketable equity-method investments, the Company recognizes its proportionate share of income or loss as of the date of the Company's financial statements.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually and more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstance indicate that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the Company changed its goodwill testing date from November 30 to October 1. The selection of October 1 as the annual testing date was preferable as the Company will have more time and greater availability of accounting resources because the new testing date is two months earlier relative to the fiscal year-end close and reporting process. As a result of the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date, the Company completed a test as of October 1, 2012 and no more than 12 months elapsed between annual tests. The change in accounting principle related to changing the annual goodwill impairment testing date did not accelerate, delay, or cause an impairment charge. Due to the significant judgments and estimates that are utilized in the goodwill impairment test, the Company determined it was impracticable to objectively determine, without the use of hindsight, the assumptions that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods before October 1, 2012. As such, the Company prospectively applied the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

To test goodwill for impairment, the Company performs a qualitative assessment of each reporting unit. If the Company determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not greater than the carrying amount, the two-step impairment test is not required. Otherwise, the Company compares the fair value of its reporting units to their carrying amounts to determine if an impairment is indicated. If an impairment is indicated, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is compared to its carrying amount. An impairment loss is measured as the excess of the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill over its implied fair value. As a result of such impairment tests, the Company has not recognized an impairment charge.

No goodwill impairments were recognized in 2013, 2012, or 2011. Other intangible assets are amortized over a period of up to 17 years and are evaluated for impairment when events or circumstances dictate. No intangible asset impairments were recognized in 2013, 2012, or 2011. The Company does not have any indefinite lived intangible assets.

Table of Contents

Bank Premises and Equipment

Bank premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation, which is computed primarily on the straight line method. Bank premises are depreciated over 15 to 40 year lives, while equipment is depreciated over lives of 3 to 20 years. Gains and losses from the sale of bank premises and equipment are included in other noninterest income.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, including premises and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets may not be recoverable. The impairment review includes a comparison of future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or group of assets to their current carrying value. If the carrying value of the asset or group of assets exceeds expected cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges), an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeds fair value. No impairments were recognized in 2013, 2012, or 2011.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured based on the differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the periods in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The provision for deferred income taxes represents the change in the deferred income tax accounts during the year excluding the tax effect of the change in net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale.

The Company records deferred tax assets to the extent these assets will more likely than not be realized. All available evidence is considered in making such determination, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. A valuation allowance is recorded for the portion of deferred tax assets that do not meet the more-likely-than-not threshold, and any changes to the valuation allowance are recorded in income tax expense.

The Company records the financial statement effects of an income tax position when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that it will be sustained upon examination. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured and recorded as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority. Previously recognized tax benefits are derecognized in the first period in which it is no longer more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained. The benefit associated with previously unrecognized tax positions are generally recognized in the first period in which the more-likely-than-not threshold is met at the reporting date, the tax matter is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation or when the related statute of limitations for the relevant taxing authority to examine and challenge the tax position has expired. The recognition, derecognition and measurement of tax positions are based on management's best judgment given the facts, circumstance and information available at the reporting date.

The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in other noninterest expense. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related liability lines in the consolidated balance sheet. During 2013, the Company accrued an immaterial amount in interest and penalties, and as of December 31, 2013, has recognized an immaterial liability for interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits.

Table of Contents**Derivatives**

The Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Currently, one of the Company's derivatives is designated in a qualifying hedging relationship. However, the remainder of the Company's derivatives are not designated in qualifying hedging relationships, as the derivatives are not used to manage risks within the Company's assets or liabilities. All changes in fair value of the Company's derivatives are recognized directly in earnings.

Per Share Data

Basic income per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted year-to-date income per share includes the dilutive effect of 562,741; 398,939; and 275,522 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options granted by the Company at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Options issued under employee benefit plans to purchase 504,938 and 879,588 shares of common stock were outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options were anti-dilutive.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company measures the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of the grant. The grant date fair value is estimated using either an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or an observed market price, if such a price exists. Such cost is generally recognized over the vesting period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award and, in some cases, when performance metrics are met. The Company also estimates the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued by applying a forfeiture rate to each grant.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Presentation of Comprehensive Income In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income: Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05), which amends the FASB Standards Codification to allow the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. These amendments do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. ASU 2011-05 was effective for the Company for the period ended March 31, 2012; however, certain provisions related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments have been deferred by ASU No. 2011-12 (ASU 2011-12) *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. ASU 2011-12 allows entities to continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before ASU 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are not affected by ASU 2011-12. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 with no material impact on its financial statements except for a change in presentation. In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income: Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, which adds new disclosure

Table of Contents

requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new disclosure requirements were effective for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2012 and were adopted by the Company for the quarter-ended March 31, 2013. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not impact the Company's financial statements except for additional financial statement disclosures.

Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-06, "Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution" (ASU 2012-06), which addresses diversity in practice regarding the subsequent measurement of an indemnification asset in a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution that includes a loss-sharing agreement. The amendments are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012 with early adoption permitted and were adopted by the Company for the quarter-ended March 31, 2013. The adoption of this accounting pronouncement did not impact the Company's financial statements.

Investment Companies In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-08, "Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements" for investment companies. The amendments changed the assessment of whether an entity is an investment company by requiring an entity to possess certain fundamental characteristics, while allowing judgment in assessing other typical characteristics. The ASU is effective January 1, 2014, and the Company does not anticipate a change in the status of any subsidiary, or a change in the accounting applied to a subsidiary, under the new guidelines.

3. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loan Origination/Risk Management

The Company has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to minimize the level of risk within the loan portfolio. Diversification of the loan portfolio manages the risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions. The Company maintains an independent loan review department that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a continual basis. Management regularly evaluates the results of the loan reviews. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Commercial loans are underwritten after evaluating and understanding the borrower's ability to operate profitably and prudently expand its business. Commercial loans are made based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of the borrower, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Most commercial loans are secured by the assets being financed or other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory and may incorporate a personal guarantee. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the availability of funds for the repayment of these loans may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts from its customers. Commercial credit cards are generally unsecured and are underwritten with criteria similar to commercial loans including an analysis of the borrower's cash flow, available business capital, and overall credit-worthiness of the borrower.

Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial loans, in addition to those of real estate loans. These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts, and the repayment of these loans is largely dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. The Company requires an appraisal of the collateral be made at origination and on an as-needed basis, in conformity with current market conditions and regulatory requirements. The underwriting standards address both owner and non-owner occupied real estate.

Construction loans are underwritten using feasibility studies, independent appraisal reviews, sensitivity analysis or absorption and lease rates and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction

Table of Contents

loans are based upon estimates of costs and value associated with the complete project. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment substantially dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long-term borrowers, sales of developed property or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is obtained. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, economic conditions, and the availability of long-term financing.

Underwriting standards for residential real estate and home equity loans are based on the borrower's loan-to-value percentage, collection remedies, and overall credit history.

Consumer loans are underwritten based on the borrower's repayment ability. The Company monitors delinquencies on all of its consumer loans and leases and periodically reviews the distribution of FICO scores relative to historical periods to monitor credit risk on its credit card loans. The underwriting and review practices combined with the relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Consumer loans and leases that are 90 days past due or more are considered non-performing.

This table provides a summary of loan classes and an aging of past due loans as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 30-89 Days Past Due and Accruing | Greater than 90 Days Past Due and Accruing | Non-Accrual Loans | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 2,107 | \$ 135 | \$ 8,042 | \$ 10,284 | \$ 3,291,219 | \$ 3,301,503 |
| Commercial credit card | 362 | 82 | 38 | 482 | 102,788 | 103,270 |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | 186 | | 934 | 1,120 | 151,755 | 152,875 |
| Real estate commercial | 3,611 | 344 | 19,213 | 23,168 | 1,678,983 | 1,702,151 |
| Real estate residential | 1,257 | 13 | 868 | 2,138 | 287,218 | 289,356 |
| Real estate HELOC | 880 | 6 | 210 | 1,096 | 565,032 | 566,128 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | 3,230 | 2,448 | 1,031 | 6,709 | 311,627 | 318,336 |
| Consumer other | 1,727 | 190 | 370 | 2,287 | 60,625 | 62,912 |
| Leases | | | | | 23,981 | 23,981 |
| Total loans | \$ 13,360 | \$ 3,218 | \$ 30,706 | \$ 47,284 | \$ 6,473,228 | \$ 6,520,512 |

Table of Contents

This table provides a summary of loan classes and an aging of past due loans as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 30-89 Days Past Due and Accruing | Greater than 90 Days Past Due and Accruing | Non-Accrual Loans | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 5,170 | \$ 93 | \$ 14,122 | \$ 19,385 | \$ 2,854,309 | \$ 2,873,694 |
| Commercial credit card | 561 | 43 | 61 | 665 | 103,655 | 104,320 |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | 3,750 | | 1,263 | 5,013 | 73,473 | 78,486 |
| Real estate commercial | 3,590 | 113 | 8,170 | 11,873 | 1,423,938 | 1,435,811 |
| Real estate residential | 1,371 | 49 | 666 | 2,086 | 210,277 | 212,363 |
| Real estate HELOC | 1,324 | 50 | 225 | 1,599 | 572,324 | 573,923 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | 2,989 | 2,955 | 2,285 | 8,229 | 326,289 | 334,518 |
| Consumer other | 1,116 | 251 | 1,311 | 2,678 | 51,872 | 54,550 |
| Leases | | | | | 19,084 | 19,084 |
| Total loans | \$ 19,871 | \$ 3,554 | \$ 28,103 | \$ 51,528 | \$ 5,635,221 | \$ 5,686,749 |

The Company sold \$121.8 million, \$246.3 million, and \$209.9 million of residential real estate and student loans without recourse during the periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 respectively.

The Company has ceased the recognition of interest on loans with a carrying value of \$30.7 million and \$28.1 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Restructured loans totaled \$12.1 million and \$12.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest amounted to \$3.2 million and \$3.6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There was an insignificant amount of interest recognized on impaired loans during 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Credit Quality Indicators

As part of the on-going monitoring of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including trends related to the risk grading of specified classes of loans, net charge-offs, non-performing loans, and general economic conditions.

The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a rating to each of its commercial, commercial real estate, and construction real estate loans. The loan rankings are summarized into the following categories: Non-watch list, Watch, Special Mention, and Substandard. Any loan not classified in one of the categories described below is considered to be a Non-watch list loan. A description of the general characteristics of the loan ranking categories is as follows:

Watch This rating represents credit exposure that presents higher than average risk and warrants greater than routine attention by Company personnel due to conditions affecting the borrower, the Borrower's industry or the economic environment. These conditions have resulted in some degree of uncertainty that results in higher than average credit risk.

Special Mention This rating reflects a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or the institution's credit position at some future date. The rating is not adversely classified and does not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Table of Contents

Substandard This rating represents an asset inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Loans in this category are characterized by the distinct possibility that the bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard assets, does not have to exist in individual assets classified substandard. This category may include loans where the collection of full principal is doubtful or remote. All other classes of loans are generally evaluated and monitored based on payment activity. Non-performing loans include restructured loans on non-accrual and all other non-accrual loans.

This table provides an analysis of the credit risk profile of each loan class as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile by Risk Rating**

| | Commercial 2013 | Real estate- construction 2013 | Real estate- commercial 2013 |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Non-watch list | \$ 3,041,224 | \$ 151,359 | \$ 1,565,894 |
| Watch | 110,932 | 210 | 76,647 |
| Special Mention | 78,064 | | 19,876 |
| Substandard | 71,283 | 1,306 | 39,734 |
| Total | \$ 3,301,503 | \$ 152,875 | \$ 1,702,151 |

Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity**

| | Commercial credit card 2013 | Real estate- residential 2013 | Real estate- HELOC 2013 |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Performing | \$ 103,232 | \$ 288,488 | \$ 565,918 |
| Non-performing | 38 | 868 | 210 |
| Total | \$ 103,270 | \$ 289,356 | \$ 566,128 |

| | Consumer- credit card 2013 | Consumer- other 2013 | Leases 2013 |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Performing | \$ 317,305 | \$ 62,542 | \$ 23,981 |
| Non-performing | 1,031 | 370 | |
| Total | \$ 318,336 | \$ 62,912 | \$ 23,981 |

Table of Contents

This table provides an analysis of the credit risk profile of each loan class as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile by Risk Rating**

| | Commercial 2012 | Real estate- construction 2012 | Real estate- commercial 2012 |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|---|
| Non-watch list | \$ 2,670,925 | \$ 75,631 | \$ 1,325,460 |
| Watch | 98,636 | 518 | 63,278 |
| Special Mention | 29,462 | 14 | 11,613 |
| Substandard | 74,671 | 2,323 | 35,460 |
| Total | \$ 2,873,694 | \$ 78,486 | \$ 1,435,811 |

Credit Exposure**Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity**

| | Commercial credit card 2012 | Real estate- residential 2012 | Real estate- HELOC 2012 |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| Performing | \$ 104,259 | \$ 211,697 | \$ 573,698 |
| Non-performing | 61 | 666 | 225 |
| Total | \$ 104,320 | \$ 212,363 | \$ 573,923 |

| | Consumer- credit card 2012 | Consumer- other 2012 | Leases 2012 |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Performing | \$ 332,233 | \$ 53,239 | \$ 19,084 |
| Non-performing | 2,285 | 1,311 | |
| Total | \$ 334,518 | \$ 54,550 | \$ 19,084 |

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's judgment of inherent probable losses within the Company's loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. Accordingly, the methodology is based on historical loss trends. The Company's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses is designed to account for credit deterioration as it occurs. The provision for probable loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to non-accrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors.

The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific loans; however, the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the adequacy of the allowance is

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company's control, including, among other things, the performance of the Company's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and changes in the regulatory environment.

Table of Contents

The Company's allowance for loan losses consists of specific valuation allowances and general valuation allowances based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar characteristics and trends, general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Company.

The allowances established for probable losses on specific loans are based on a regular analysis and evaluation of impaired loans. Loans are classified based on an internal risk grading process that evaluates the obligor's ability to repay, the underlying collateral, if any, and the economic environment and industry in which the borrower operates. When a loan is considered impaired, the loan is analyzed to determine the need, if any, to specifically allocate a portion of the allowance for loan losses to the loan. Specific valuation allowances are determined by analyzing the borrower's ability to repay amounts owed, collateral deficiencies, the relative risk ranking of the loan and economic conditions affecting the borrower's industry.

General valuation allowances are calculated based on the historical loss experience of specific types of loans including an evaluation of the time span and volume of the actual charge-off. The Company calculates historical loss ratios for pools of similar loans with similar characteristics based on the proportion of actual charge-offs experienced to the total population of loans in the pool. The historical loss ratios are updated based on actual charge-off experience. A valuation allowance is established for each pool of similar loans based upon the product of the historical loss ratio, time span to charge-off, and the total dollar amount of the loans in the pool. The Company's pools of similar loans include similarly risk-graded groups of commercial loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial credit card, home equity loans, consumer real estate loans and consumer and other loans. The Company also considers a loan migration analysis for criticized loans. This analysis includes an assessment of the probability that a loan will move to a loss position based on its risk rating. The consumer credit card pool is evaluated based on delinquencies and credit scores. In addition, a portion of the allowance is determined by a review of qualitative factors by Management.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES AND RECORDED INVESTMENT IN LOANS

This table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Commercial | Real estate | Consumer | Leases | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 43,390 | \$ 15,506 | \$ 12,470 | \$ 60 | \$ 71,426 |
| Charge-offs | (4,748) | (775) | (12,131) | | (17,654) |
| Recoveries | 867 | 77 | 2,535 | | 3,479 |
| Provision | 9,377 | 534 | 7,573 | 16 | 17,500 |
| Ending Balance | \$ 48,886 | \$ 15,342 | \$ 10,447 | \$ 76 | \$ 74,751 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,882 | \$ 1,370 | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,252 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 46,004 | 13,972 | 10,447 | 76 | 70,499 |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending Balance: loans | \$ 3,404,773 | \$ 2,710,510 | \$ 381,248 | \$ 23,981 | \$ 6,520,512 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 14,635 | 15,543 | 11 | | 30,189 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 3,390,138 | 2,694,967 | 381,237 | 23,981 | 6,490,323 |

Table of Contents

This table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | Total |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Commercial | Real estate | Consumer | Leases | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 37,927 | \$ 20,486 | \$ 13,593 | \$ 11 | \$ 72,017 |
| Charge-offs | (8,446) | (932) | (12,678) | | (22,056) |
| Recoveries | 1,136 | 28 | 2,801 | | 3,965 |
| Provision | 12,773 | (4,076) | 8,754 | 49 | 17,500 |
| Ending Balance | \$ 43,390 | \$ 15,506 | \$ 12,470 | \$ 60 | \$ 71,426 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,393 | \$ 781 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,174 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 41,997 | 14,725 | 12,470 | 60 | 69,252 |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending Balance: loans | \$ 2,978,014 | \$ 2,300,583 | \$ 389,068 | \$ 19,084 | \$ 5,686,749 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 15,057 | 11,203 | 49 | | 26,309 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,962,957 | 2,289,380 | 389,019 | 19,084 | 5,660,440 |

This table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | Total |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| | Commercial | Real estate | Consumer | Leases | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 39,138 | \$ 18,557 | \$ 16,243 | \$ 14 | \$ 73,952 |
| Charge-offs | (12,693) | (532) | (15,438) | | (28,663) |
| Recoveries | 813 | 32 | 3,683 | | 4,528 |
| Provision | 10,669 | 2,429 | 9,105 | (3) | 22,200 |
| Ending Balance | \$ 37,927 | \$ 20,486 | \$ 13,593 | \$ 11 | \$ 72,017 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 3,662 | \$ 268 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,930 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 34,266 | 20,217 | 13,593 | 11 | 68,087 |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending Balance: loans | \$ 2,330,156 | \$ 2,198,063 | \$ 428,290 | \$ 3,834 | \$ 4,960,343 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 11,061 | 12,468 | 23 | | 23,552 |
| Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | 2,319,095 | 2,185,595 | 428,267 | 3,834 | 4,936,791 |

Table of Contents**Impaired Loans**

This table provides an analysis of impaired loans by class for the year ended December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment with No Allowance | Recorded Investment with Allowance | Total Recorded Investment | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 17,227 | \$ 3,228 | \$ 11,407 | \$ 14,635 | \$ 2,882 | \$ 14,791 |
| Commercial credit card | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | 1,408 | 810 | | 933 | | 1,186 |
| Real estate commercial | 14,686 | 5,305 | 123 | 13,523 | 94 | 10,506 |
| Real estate residential | 1,317 | 1,087 | 8,218 | 1,087 | 1,276 | 1,122 |
| Real estate HELOC | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | | | | | | |
| Consumer other | 12 | 11 | | 11 | | 34 |
| Leases | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 34,650 | \$ 10,441 | \$ 19,748 | \$ 30,189 | \$ 4,252 | \$ 27,639 |

This table provides an analysis of impaired loans by class for the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment with No Allowance | Recorded Investment with Allowance | Total Recorded Investment | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 22,453 | \$ 12,119 | \$ 2,938 | \$ 15,057 | \$ 1,393 | \$ 13,287 |
| Commercial credit card | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | 276 | 276 | | 276 | | 118 |
| Real estate commercial | 9,334 | 6,777 | 2,213 | 8,990 | 733 | 9,925 |
| Real estate residential | 2,357 | 1,714 | 223 | 1,937 | 48 | 2,622 |
| Real estate HELOC | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | | | | | | |
| Consumer other | 51 | 49 | | 49 | | 43 |
| Leases | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 34,471 | \$ 20,935 | \$ 5,374 | \$ 26,309 | \$ 2,174 | \$ 25,995 |

Table of Contents

This table provides an analysis of impaired loans by class for the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment with No Allowance | Recorded Investment with Allowance | Total Recorded Investment | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 14,368 | \$ 2,940 | \$ 8,121 | \$ 11,061 | \$ 3,662 | \$ 8,038 |
| Commercial credit card | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | 90 | 50 | | 50 | | 15 |
| Real estate commercial | 9,323 | 7,983 | 1,247 | 9,230 | 226 | 7,000 |
| Real estate residential | 3,568 | 2,329 | 859 | 3,188 | 42 | 2,312 |
| Real estate HELOC | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | | | | | | |
| Consumer other | 23 | 23 | | 23 | | 28 |
| Leases | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 27,372 | \$ 13,325 | \$ 10,227 | \$ 23,552 | \$ 3,930 | \$ 17,393 |

Troubled Debt Restructurings

A loan modification is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when a concession had been granted to a debtor experiencing financial difficulties. The Company's modifications generally include interest rate adjustments, principal reductions, and amortization and maturity date extensions. These modifications allow the debtor short-term cash relief to allow them to improve their financial condition. The Company's restructured loans are individually evaluated for impairment and evaluated as part of the allowance for loan loss as described above in the Allowance for Loan Losses section of this note.

The Company had \$347 thousand and \$534 thousand in commitments to lend to borrowers with loan modifications classified as TDRs as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company made no TDRs in the last 12 months that had payment defaults for the year ended December 31, 2013.

This table provides a summary of loans restructured by class during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 2 | \$ 1,128 | \$ 1,066 | 7 | \$ 9,800 | \$ 9,775 |
| Commercial credit card | | | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | | | |
| Real estate construction | | | | | | |
| Real estate commercial | 1 | 937 | 937 | 1 | 54 | 54 |
| Real estate residential | | | | | | |
| Real estate HELOC | | | | | | |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Consumer credit card | | | | | | |

Consumer other
Leases

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|----|-------|----|-------|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| Total | 3 | \$ | 2,065 | \$ | 2,003 | 8 | \$ | 9,854 | \$ | 9,829 |
|-------|---|----|-------|----|-------|---|----|-------|----|-------|

Table of Contents**4. SECURITIES****Securities Available for Sale**

This table provides detailed information about securities available for sale at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 110,789 | \$ 284 | \$ (873) | \$ 110,200 |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,258,176 | 2,793 | (3,306) | 1,257,663 |
| Mortgage-backed | 2,984,963 | 23,942 | (64,339) | 2,944,566 |
| State and political subdivisions | 2,003,509 | 23,493 | (31,756) | 1,995,246 |
| Corporates | 457,275 | 902 | (3,441) | 454,736 |
| Total | \$ 6,814,712 | \$ 51,414 | \$ (103,715) | \$ 6,762,411 |
| | | | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| 2012 | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 116,856 | \$ 1,166 | \$ (171) | \$ 117,851 |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,019,640 | 6,597 | (122) | 1,026,115 |
| Mortgage-backed | 3,480,006 | 78,600 | (2,413) | 3,556,193 |
| State and political subdivisions | 1,842,715 | 51,341 | (1,372) | 1,892,684 |
| Corporates | 337,706 | 1,945 | (764) | 338,887 |
| Commercial Paper | 5,733 | | | 5,733 |
| Total | \$ 6,802,656 | \$ 139,649 | \$ (4,842) | \$ 6,937,463 |

The following table presents contractual maturity information for securities available for sale at December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Due in 1 year or less | \$ 523,655 | \$ 525,831 |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 2,377,330 | 2,387,937 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 757,277 | 746,052 |
| Due after 10 years | 171,487 | 158,025 |
| Total | 3,829,749 | 3,817,845 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2,984,963 | 2,944,566 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 6,814,712 | \$ 6,762,411 |

Securities may be disposed of before contractual maturities due to sales by the Company or because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Proceeds from the sales of securities available for sale were \$0.7 billion and \$1.0 billion for 2013 and 2012, respectively. Securities transactions resulted in gross realized gains of \$8.7 million for 2013, \$20.2 million for 2012, and \$16.2 million for 2011. The gross realized losses were \$200 thousand for 2013, \$30 thousand for 2012, and \$70 thousand for 2011.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Securities available for sale and held to maturity with a market value of \$5.9 billion for both December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, were pledged to secure U.S. Government deposits, other public deposits and certain trust deposits as required by law. Of this amount, securities with a market value of \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2012 were pledged at the Federal Reserve Discount Window but were unencumbered as of those dates.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the Company's available for sale investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands).

| 2013 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Description of Securities | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 39,822 | \$ (873) | \$ | \$ | \$ 39,822 | \$ (873) |
| U.S. Agencies | 675,509 | (3,130) | 9,824 | (176) | 685,333 | (3,306) |
| Mortgage-backed | 1,945,964 | (60,719) | 89,147 | (3,620) | 2,035,111 | (64,339) |
| State and political subdivisions | 662,225 | (25,064) | 87,061 | (6,692) | 749,286 | (31,756) |
| Corporates | 271,834 | (2,458) | 41,522 | (983) | 313,356 | (3,441) |
| Commercial Paper | | | | | | |
| Total temporarily-impaired debt securities available for sale | \$ 3,595,354 | \$ (92,244) | \$ 227,554 | \$ (11,471) | \$ 3,822,908 | \$ (103,715) |

| 2012 | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Description of Securities | | | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 29,747 | \$ (171) | \$ | \$ | \$ 29,747 | \$ (171) |
| U.S. Agencies | 295,747 | (122) | | | 295,747 | (122) |
| Mortgage-backed | 398,384 | (2,413) | | | 398,384 | (2,413) |
| State and political subdivisions | 132,951 | (1,358) | 2,604 | (14) | 135,555 | (1,372) |
| Corporates | 178,564 | (764) | | | 178,564 | (764) |
| Commercial Paper | 5,733 | | | | 5,733 | |
| Total temporarily-impaired debt securities available for sale | \$ 1,041,126 | \$ (4,828) | \$ 2,604 | \$ (14) | \$ 1,043,730 | \$ (4,842) |

The unrealized losses in the Company's investments in U.S. treasury obligations, U.S. government agencies, federal agency mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities, and corporates were caused by changes in interest rates. The Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and does not believe it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before a recovery of fair value. The Company expects to recover its cost basis in the securities and does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2013.

Securities Held to Maturity

The table below provides detailed information for securities held to maturity at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| 2013 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| State and political subdivisions | \$ 209,770 | \$ 21,740 | \$ | \$ 231,510 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| State and political subdivisions | \$ 114,756 | \$ 14,739 | \$ | \$ 129,495 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents contractual maturity information for securities held to maturity at December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Due in 1 year or less | \$ 40 | \$ 44 |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 31,387 | 34,640 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 97,929 | 108,078 |
| Due after 10 years | 80,414 | 88,748 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ 209,770 | \$ 231,510 |

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

There were no sales of securities held to maturity during 2013, 2012, or 2011.

Trading Securities

The net unrealized gains on trading securities at December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$151 thousand, \$403 thousand, and \$571 thousand, respectively. Net unrealized gains/losses were included in trading and investment banking income on the consolidated statements of income.

Federal Reserve Bank Stock and Other Securities

The table below provides detailed information for Federal Reserve Bank stock and other securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock | \$ 16,279 | \$ | \$ | \$ 16,279 |
| Other securities marketable | 20 | 16,612 | | 16,632 |
| Other securities non-marketable | 17,139 | 432 | | 17,571 |
| Total Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | \$ 33,438 | \$ 17,044 | \$ | \$ 50,482 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock | \$ 11,779 | \$ | \$ | \$ 11,779 |
| Other securities non-marketable | 14,281 | 273 | | 14,554 |
| Total Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | \$ 26,060 | \$ 273 | \$ | \$ 26,333 |

Federal Reserve Bank stock is based on the capital structure of the investing bank and is carried at cost. Other marketable and non-marketable securities include Prairie Capital Management alternative investments in hedge funds and private equity funds, which are accounted for as equity-method investments. The fair value of other marketable securities includes alternative investment securities of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2013. The fair value of other non-marketable securities includes alternative investment securities of \$4.7 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2.2 million at December 31, 2012. Unrealized gains or losses on alternative investments are recognized in the Equity Earnings on Alternative Investments line of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. Unrealized gains on alternative investments of \$422 thousand were recorded in the other noninterest income line of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income in the previously filed Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2012. This amount was moved to Equity Earnings on Alternative Investments line of the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income within this filing to conform to the current year presentation.

Table of Contents**5. SECURITIES PURCHASED UNDER AGREEMENTS TO RESELL**

The Company regularly enters into agreements for the purchase of securities with simultaneous agreements to resell (resell agreements). The agreements permit the Company to sell or repledge these securities. Resell agreements were \$75.2 million and \$57.2 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company obtains possession of collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned under resell agreements.

6. LOANS TO OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

Certain Company and principal Bank executive officers and directors, including companies in which those persons are principal holders of equity securities or are general partners, borrow in the normal course of business from the Bank. All such loans have been made on the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the same time for comparable transactions with unrelated parties. In addition, all such loans are current as to repayment terms.

For the years 2013 and 2012, an analysis of activity with respect to such aggregate loans to related parties appears below (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31 | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Balance beginning of year | \$ 480,291 | \$ 266,869 |
| New loans | 149,709 | 487,455 |
| Repayments | (136,627) | (274,033) |
| Balance end of year | \$ 493,373 | \$ 480,291 |

7. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 by operating segment are as follows (in thousands):

| | Bank | Institutional Investment Management | Asset Servicing | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|--------------|
| Balances as of January 1, 2012 | \$ 144,109 | \$ 47,529 | \$ 19,476 | \$ 211,114 |
| Goodwill disposals during period | (1,356) | | | (1,356) |
| Balances as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 142,753 | \$ 47,529 | \$ 19,476 | \$ 209,758 |
| Balances as of January 1, 2013 | \$ 142,753 | \$ 47,529 | \$ 19,476 | \$ 209,758 |
| Balances as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 142,753 | \$ 47,529 | \$ 19,476 | \$ 209,758 |

Table of Contents

Following are the intangible assets that continue to be subject to amortization as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Core deposit intangible assets | \$ 36,497 | \$ 31,674 | \$ 4,823 |
| Customer relationships | 103,960 | 54,062 | 49,898 |
| Other intangible assets | 3,247 | 2,383 | 864 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 143,704 | \$ 88,119 | \$ 55,585 |
| | | | |
| | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Core deposit intangible assets | \$ 36,497 | \$ 30,403 | \$ 6,094 |
| Customer relationships | 103,960 | 42,399 | 61,561 |
| Other intangible assets | 3,247 | 2,099 | 1,148 |
| Total intangible assets | \$ 143,704 | \$ 74,901 | \$ 68,803 |

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$13.2 million, \$14.8 million and \$16.1 million, respectively. The following table discloses the estimated amortization expense of intangible assets in future years (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| For the year ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 12,146 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2015 | 9,550 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2016 | 8,342 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2017 | 7,098 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2018 | 4,908 |

8. BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Bank premises and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Land | \$ 44,731 | \$ 45,578 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 300,175 | 295,147 |
| Equipment | 124,992 | 121,979 |
| Software | 121,974 | 105,284 |
| | 591,872 | 567,988 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (247,519) | (237,054) |
| Accumulated amortization | (94,664) | (86,334) |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | \$ 249,689 | \$ 244,600 |

Consolidated rental and operating lease expenses were \$10.9 million in 2013, \$10.8 million in 2012, and \$10.1 million in 2011. Consolidated bank premises and equipment depreciation and amortization expenses were \$31.0 million in 2013, \$26.1 million in 2012, and \$26.8 million in 2011.

Table of Contents

Minimum future rental commitments as of December 31, 2013, for all non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 7,986 |
| 2015 | 7,291 |
| 2016 | 6,405 |
| 2017 | 6,193 |
| 2018 | 5,960 |
| Thereafter | 28,415 |
| Total | \$ 62,250 |

9. BORROWED FUNDS

The components of the Company's short-term and long-term debt are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31 | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Short-term debt: | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank 5.89% due 2014 | \$ 107 | \$ |
| Total short-term debt | 107 | |
| Long-term debt: | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank 5.89% due 2014 | | 514 |
| Kansas Equity Fund IV, L.P. 0% due 2017 | 173 | 297 |
| Kansas Equity Fund V, L.P. 0% due 2017 | 176 | 232 |
| Kansas Equity Fund VI, L.P. 0% due 2017 | 369 | 499 |
| Kansas Equity Fund IX, L.P. 0% due 2023 | 408 | 462 |
| Kansas Equity Fund X, L.P. 0% due 2021 | 469 | 495 |
| Kansas City Equity Fund 2007, L.L.C. 0% due 2016 | 162 | 308 |
| Kansas City Equity Fund 2008, L.L.C. 0% due 2016 | 290 | 431 |
| Kansas City Equity Fund 2009, L.L.C. 0% due 2017 | 504 | 637 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2005 L.L.C. 0% due 2014 | | 10 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2006 L.L.C. 0% due 2014 | | 32 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2007 L.L.C. 0% due 2016 | 179 | 325 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2008 L.L.C. 0% due 2016 | 310 | 460 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2009 L.L.C. 0% due 2017 | 544 | 695 |
| St. Louis Equity Fund 2012 L.L.C. 0% due 2020 | 481 | 482 |
| MHEG Community Fund 41, L.P. 0% due 2024 | 990 | |
| Total long-term debt | 5,055 | 5,879 |
| Total borrowed funds | \$ 5,162 | \$ 5,879 |

Aggregate annual repayments of long-term debt at December 31, 2013, are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2014 | \$ 1,396 |
| 2015 | 1,175 |
| 2016 | 855 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2017 | 475 |
| 2018 | 339 |
| Thereafter | 815 |
| Total | \$ 5,055 |

Table of Contents

All of the Federal Home Loan Bank notes are secured by investment securities of the Company. Federal Home Loan Bank notes require monthly principal and interest payments and may require a penalty for payoff prior to the maturity date.

The Company has a revolving line of credit with Wells Fargo, N.A. which allows the Company to borrow up to \$25.0 million for general working capital purposes. The interest rate applied to borrowed balances will be at the Company's option either 1.00 percent above LIBOR or 1.75 percent below Prime on the date of an advance. The Company will also pay a 0.2 percent unused commitment fee for unused portions of the line of credit. The Company currently has no outstanding balance on this line of credit.

The Company enters into sales of securities with simultaneous agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements). The amounts received under these agreements represent short-term borrowings. The amount outstanding at December 31, 2013, was \$1.6 billion (with accrued interest payable of \$8 thousand). The amount outstanding at December 31, 2012, was \$1.8 billion (with accrued interest payable of \$16 thousand).

The carrying amounts and market values of the securities and the related repurchase liabilities and weighted average interest rates of the repurchase liabilities (grouped by maturity of the repurchase agreements) were as follows as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

| Maturity of the Repurchase Liabilities | Securities Market Value | Repurchase Liabilities | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| On Demand | \$ 6,351 | \$ 6,351 | 0.01% |
| 2 to 30 days | 1,582,462 | 1,563,783 | 0.19 |
| Over 90 Days | 251 | 250 | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 1,589,064 | \$ 1,570,384 | 0.19% |

10. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Payment of dividends by the Bank to the parent company is subject to various regulatory restrictions. For national banks, the governing regulatory agency must approve the declaration of any dividends generally in excess of the sum of net income for that year and retained net income for the preceding two years.

The Bank maintains a reserve balance with the Federal Reserve Bank as required by law. During 2013, this amount averaged \$639.7 million, compared to \$467.6 million in 2012.

The Company is required to maintain minimum amounts of capital to total risk weighted assets, as defined by the banking regulators. At December 31, 2013, the Company is required to have minimum tier 1 and total capital ratios of 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively. The Company's actual ratios at that date were 13.61% and 14.43%, respectively. The Company is required to have a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, and the leverage ratio at December 31, 2013, was 8.41%.

As of December 31, 2013, the most recent notification from the Office of Comptroller of the Currency categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well-capitalized the Bank must maintain total risk-based, tier 1 risk-based and tier 1 leverage ratios of 10.0%, 6.0% and 5.0%, respectively. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

In July 2013 the Federal Reserve approved a final rule to implement in the United States the Basel III regulatory capital reforms from the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule increases minimum requirements for both the quantity and quality of capital held by banking organizations. The rule includes a new minimum ratio of common equity tier 1 capital to risk-

Table of Contents

weighted assets of 4.5% and a common equity tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The final rule also adjusted the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity. Beginning January 1, 2015, the Company must be compliant with revised minimum regulatory capital ratios and will begin the transitional period for definitions of regulatory capital and regulatory capital adjustments and deductions established under the final rule. Compliance with the risk-weighted asset calculations will be required on January 1, 2015. The Company believes its current capital ratios are higher than those required in the final rule.

In addition, under amendments to the BHCA effected by the Dodd-Frank Act and commonly known as the Volcker Rule, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to extensive limits on proprietary trading and on owning or sponsoring hedge funds and private-equity funds. The limits on proprietary trading are largely focused on purchases or sales of financial instruments by a banking entity as principal primarily for the purpose of short-term resale, benefitting from actual or expected short-term price movements, or realizing short-term arbitrage profits. The limits on owning or sponsoring hedge funds and private-equity funds are designed to ensure that banking entities generally maintain only small positions in managed or advised funds and are not exposed to significant losses arising directly or indirectly from them. The Volcker Rule also provides for increased capital charges, quantitative limits, rigorous compliance programs, and other restrictions on permitted proprietary trading and fund activities, including a prohibition on transactions with a covered fund that would constitute a covered transaction under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. The Company is currently assessing the impact to its businesses of the final regulation implementing the Volcker Rule, which was issued in December 2013, and have until July 21, 2015, to fully conform its activities.

Actual capital amounts as well as required and well-capitalized tier 1, total and tier 1 Leverage ratios as of December 31, for the Company and its banks are as follows (in thousands):

| | Actual | | 2013 For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Tier 1 Capital: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | \$ 1,285,100 | 13.61% | \$ 377,681 | 4.00% | \$ N/A | N/A% |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 1,095,446 | 11.73 | 373,509 | 4.00 | 560,264 | 6.00 |
| Total Capital: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | 1,362,015 | 14.43 | 755,361 | 8.00 | N/A | N/A |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 1,172,361 | 12.56 | 747,018 | 8.00 | 933,773 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Leverage: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | 1,285,100 | 8.41 | 611,206 | 4.00 | N/A | N/A |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 1,095,446 | 7.21 | 608,148 | 4.00 | 760,185 | 5.00 |
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 Capital: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | \$ 926,465 | 11.05% | \$ 335,449 | 4.00% | \$ N/A | N/A% |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 875,645 | 10.54 | 332,363 | 4.00 | 498,544 | 6.00 |
| Total Capital: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | 999,757 | 11.92 | 670,898 | 8.00 | N/A | N/A |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 948,937 | 11.42 | 664,726 | 8.00 | 830,907 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Leverage: | | | | | | |
| UMB Financial Corporation | 926,465 | 6.81 | 544,564 | 4.00 | N/A | N/A |
| UMB Bank, n. a. | 875,645 | 7.58 | 462,152 | 4.00 | 577,690 | 5.00 |

Table of Contents**11. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

The Company has a discretionary noncontributory profit sharing plan, which features an employee stock ownership plan. This plan is for the benefit of substantially all eligible officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company has accrued and anticipates making a discretionary payment of \$2.5 million in March 2014, for 2013. A \$2.5 million contribution was paid in 2013, for 2012. A \$2.0 million contribution was paid in 2012, for 2011.

The Company has a qualified 401(k) profit sharing plan that permits participants to make contributions by salary deduction. The Company made a matching contribution to this plan of \$5.1 million in 2013, for 2012 and \$4.5 million in 2012, for 2011. The Company anticipates making a matching contribution of \$5.4 million in March 2014, for 2013.

The Company recognized \$2.0 million, \$2.3 million, and \$2.1 million in expense related to outstanding stock options and \$5.9 million, \$4.6 million, and \$4.4 million in expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The Company has \$4.5 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the outstanding options and \$12.4 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants at December 31, 2013.

2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan

On April 18, 2002, the shareholders of the Company approved the 2002 Incentive Stock Options Plan (the 2002 Plan), which provides incentive options to certain key employees to receive up to 2 million common shares of the Company. All options that are issued under the 2002 Plan are in effect for 10 years (except for any option granted to a person holding more than 10 percent of the Company's stock, in which case the option is in effect for five years). All options issued prior to 2005, under the 2002 Plan, could not be exercised until at least four years 11 months after the date they are granted. Options issued in 2006, 2007, and 2008 under the 2002 Plan, have a vesting schedule of 50 percent after three years; 75 percent after four years and 100 percent after four years and eleven months. Except under circumstances of death, disability or certain retirements, the options cannot be exercised after the grantee has left the employment of the Company or its subsidiaries. The exercise period for an option may be accelerated upon the optionee's qualified disability, retirement or death. All options expire at the end of the exercise period. Prior to 2006, the Company made no recognition in the balance sheet of the options until such options were exercised and no amounts applicable thereto were reflected in net income as all options were granted at strike prices at the then current fair value of the underlying shares. For options granted after January 1, 2006, compensation expense is recognized on unvested options outstanding. Options are granted at exercise prices of no less than 100 percent of the fair market value of the underlying shares based on the fair value of the option at date of grant. On January 25, 2011, the Board of Directors amended and froze the 2002 Plan such that no shares of Company stock shall thereafter be available for grants under the 2002 Plan. Existing awards granted under the 2002 Plan will continue in accordance with their terms under the 2002 Plan. The plan expired without modification on April 17, 2012.

The table below discloses the information relating to option activity in 2013, under the 2002 Plan:

| | | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Stock Options Under the 2002 Plan | | | | |
| Outstanding | December 31, 2012 | 492,849 | 34.71 | |
| Granted | | | | |
| Canceled | | (16,359) | 35.13 | |
| Exercised | | (134,999) | 31.26 | |
| Outstanding | December 31, 2013 | 341,491 | 36.05 | 3.0 |
| Exercisable | December 31, 2013 | 341,491 | 36.05 | |
| Exercisable and expected to be exercisable | December 31, 2013 | 341,491 | 36.05 | 3.0 |
| | | | | \$ 9,640,274 |

Table of Contents

No options were granted under the 2002 Plan during 2011, 2012 or 2013. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$3.4 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested shares.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan

At the April 26, 2005, shareholders meeting, the shareholders approved the UMB Financial Corporation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (LTIP) which became effective as of January 1, 2005. The LTIP permits the issuance to selected officers of the Company service-based restricted stock grants, performance-based restricted stock grants and non-qualified stock options. Service-based restricted stock grants contain a service requirement. The performance-based restricted grants contain performance and service requirements. The non-qualified stock options contains a service requirement.

At the April 23, 2013 shareholders meeting, the shareholders approved amendments to the LTIP Plan, including increasing the shares of the Company's stock reserved under the Plan from 5.25 million shares to 7.44 million shares. Additionally, the shareholders approved increasing the maximum benefits any one eligible employee may receive under the plan during any one fiscal year from \$1 million to \$2 million taking into account the value of all stock options and restricted stock received.

The service-based restricted stock grants contain a service requirement with varying vesting schedules. The majority of these grants utilize a vesting schedule in which 50 percent of the shares vest after three years of service, 75 percent after four years of service and 100 percent after five years of service. The remainder of these grants utilize vesting schedules in which the grants vest ratably over three years or contain a three-year cliff vesting.

The performance-based restricted stock grants contain a service and a performance requirement. The performance requirement is based on a predetermined performance requirement over a three year period. The service requirement portion is a three year cliff vesting. If the performance requirement is not met, the executives do not receive the shares.

The dividends on service and performance-based restricted stock grants are treated as two separate transactions. First, cash dividends are paid on the restricted stock. Those cash dividends are then paid to purchase additional shares of restricted stock. Dividends earned as additional shares of restricted stock have the same terms as the associated grant. The dividends paid on the stock are recorded as a reduction to retained earnings (similar to all dividend transactions).

The table below discloses the status of the service-based restricted shares during 2013:

| Service-Based Restricted Stock | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested December 31, 2012 | 372,296 | \$ 40.55 |
| Granted | 166,726 | 47.60 |
| Canceled | (27,703) | 41.92 |
| Vested | (84,397) | 38.64 |
| Nonvested December 31, 2013 | 426,922 | \$ 43.58 |

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$10.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested shares. The cost is expected to be recognized over a period of 2.9 years. Total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$4.3 million, \$2.3 million, and \$2.0 million respectively.

Table of Contents

The table below discloses the status of the performance-based restricted shares during 2013:

| Performance-Based Restricted Stock | | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested | December 31, 2012 | 114,140 | \$ 40.02 |
| Granted | | 37,771 | 45.58 |
| Canceled | | (4,600) | 42.67 |
| Vested | | (35,114) | 37.84 |
| Nonvested | December 31, 2013 | 112,197 | \$ 42.46 |

As of December 31, 2013, there was \$1.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested shares. The cost is expected to be recognized over a period of 1.7 years. Total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$1.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

The non-qualified stock options carry a service requirement and will vest 50 percent after three years, 75 percent after four years and 100 percent after five years.

The table below discloses the information relating to non-qualified option activity in 2013 under the LTIP:

| Stock Options Under the LTIP | | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Outstanding | December 31, 2012 | 1,246,111 | \$ 38.97 | | |
| Granted | | 281,299 | 45.59 | | |
| Canceled | | (55,149) | 41.98 | | |
| Exercised | | (130,236) | 36.74 | | |
| Outstanding | December 31, 2013 | 1,342,025 | 40.45 | 6.4 | \$ 31,974,269 |
| Exercisable | December 31, 2013 | 476,815 | 37.76 | | |
| Exercisable and expected to be exercisable | December 31, 2013 | 1,274,383 | \$ 40.37 | 6.3 | \$ 30,473,151 |

The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of its options. The assumptions for stock-based awards in the past three years utilized in the model are shown in the table below.

| Black-Scholes pricing model: | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted average fair value of the granted options | \$ 10.18 | \$ 8.83 | \$ 9.73 |
| Weighted average risk-free interest rate | 1.49% | 1.14% | 2.65% |
| Expected option life in years | 6.25 | 6.25 | 6.25 |
| Expected volatility | 26.36% | 27.02% | 24.54% |
| Expected dividend yield | 1.83% | 1.95% | 1.80% |

The expected option life is derived from historical exercise patterns and represents the amount of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected volatility is based on historical volatilities of the Company's stock. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the years 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$10.18, \$8.83, and \$9.73. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$2.4 million, \$1.3 million and \$476 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$4.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the nonvested shares. The cost is expected to be recognized over a period of 3.3 years.

Table of Contents

Cash received from options exercised under all share based compensation plans was \$9.0 million, \$6.6 million, and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The tax benefit realized for stock options exercised was \$1.2 million in 2013, \$359 thousand in 2012 and \$79 thousand in 2011.

The Company has no specific policy to repurchase common shares to mitigate the dilutive impact of options; however, the Company has historically made adequate discretionary purchases to satisfy stock option exercise activity. See a description of the Company's share repurchase plan in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements provided in Item 8, page 89 of this report.

12. BUSINESS SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has strategically aligned its operations into the following four reportable segments (collectively, *Business Segments*): Bank, Payment Solutions, Institutional Investment Management, and Asset Servicing. Business segment financial results produced by the Company's internal management reporting system are evaluated regularly by senior executive officers in deciding how to allocate resources and assess performance for individual Business Segments. The Business Segments were redefined during the first quarter of 2012 to reflect how executive management responsibilities were changed for each of the core businesses, the products and services provided and the types of customers served, and how financial information is evaluated by management. The management reporting system assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each business segment using methodologies that are refined on an ongoing basis. In 2011, the Business Segments were Commercial Financial Services, Institutional Financial Services, and Personal Financial Services. For comparability purposes, amounts in all periods presented are based on methodologies in effect at December 31, 2013. Previously reported results have been reclassified to conform to the current organizational structure.

The following summaries provide information about the activities of each segment:

The *Bank* provides a full range of banking services to commercial, retail, government and correspondent bank customers through the Company's branches, call center, internet banking, and ATM network. Services include traditional commercial and consumer banking, treasury management, leasing, foreign exchange, merchant bankcard, wealth management, brokerage, insurance, capital markets, investment banking, corporate trust, and correspondent banking.

Payment Solutions provides consumer and commercial credit and debit card, prepaid debit card solutions, healthcare services, and institutional cash management. Healthcare services include health savings account and flexible savings account products for healthcare providers, third-party administrators and large employers.

Institutional Investment Management provides equity and fixed income investment strategies in the intermediary and institutional markets via mutual funds, traditional separate accounts and sub-advisory relationships.

Asset Servicing provides services to the asset management industry, supporting a range of investment products, including mutual funds, alternative investments and managed accounts. Services include fund administration, fund accounting, investor services, transfer agency, distribution, marketing, custody, alternative investment services, managed account services, and collective and multiple-series trust services.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Line of business/segment financial results were as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Bank | Payment Solutions | Institutional Investment Management | Asset Servicing | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 285,112 | \$ 45,832 | \$ (32) | \$ 2,357 | \$ 333,269 |
| Provision for loan losses | 5,112 | 12,388 | | | 17,500 |
| Noninterest income | 210,535 | 74,223 | 126,442 | 80,633 | 491,833 |
| Noninterest expense | 376,365 | 86,746 | 88,336 | 72,731 | 624,178 |
| Income before taxes | 114,170 | 20,921 | 38,074 | 10,259 | 183,424 |
| Income tax expense | 28,532 | 6,732 | 10,011 | 4,184 | 49,459 |
| Net income | \$ 85,638 | \$ 14,189 | \$ 28,063 | \$ 6,075 | \$ 133,965 |
| Average assets | \$ 11,148,000 | \$ 1,785,000 | \$ 79,000 | \$ 2,019,000 | \$ 15,031,000 |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Bank | Payment Solutions | Institutional Investment Management | Asset Servicing | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 274,843 | \$ 43,350 | \$ 2 | \$ 1,861 | \$ 320,056 |
| Provision for loan losses | 9,267 | 8,233 | | | 17,500 |
| Noninterest income | 214,595 | 67,887 | 100,051 | 75,589 | 458,122 |
| Noninterest expense | 381,585 | 69,095 | 70,981 | 68,793 | 590,454 |
| Income before taxes | 98,586 | 33,909 | 29,072 | 8,657 | 170,224 |
| Income tax expense | 26,452 | 9,555 | 8,118 | 3,382 | 47,507 |
| Net income | \$ 72,134 | \$ 24,354 | \$ 20,954 | \$ 5,275 | \$ 122,717 |
| Average assets | \$ 10,950,000 | \$ 876,000 | \$ 81,000 | \$ 1,482,000 | \$ 13,389,000 |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | Bank | Payment Solutions | Institutional Investment Management | Asset Servicing | Total |
| Net interest income | \$ 273,027 | \$ 42,102 | \$ 45 | \$ 1,799 | \$ 316,973 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,040 | 9,160 | | | 22,200 |
| Noninterest income | 203,815 | 55,611 | 84,159 | 70,747 | 414,332 |
| Noninterest expense | 373,529 | 57,834 | 65,573 | 65,810 | 562,746 |
| Income before taxes | 90,273 | 30,719 | 18,631 | 6,736 | 146,359 |
| Income tax expense | 22,623 | 9,057 | 5,588 | 2,619 | 39,887 |
| Net income | \$ 67,650 | \$ 21,662 | \$ 13,043 | \$ 4,117 | \$ 106,472 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Average assets | \$ 10,336,000 | \$ 717,000 | \$ 90,000 | \$ 1,274,000 | \$ 12,417,000 |
|----------------|---------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|

Table of Contents**13. COMMON STOCK AND EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table summarizes the share transactions for the three years ended December 31, 2013:

| | Shares Issued | Shares in Treasury |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance December 31, 2010 | 55,056,730 | (14,626,649) |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | | (254,274) |
| Sale of Treasury Stock | | 16,218 |
| Issued for stock options & restricted stock | | 234,317 |
| Balance December 31, 2011 | 55,056,730 | (14,630,388) |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | | (514,824) |
| Sale of Treasury Stock | | 21,950 |
| Issued for stock options & restricted stock | | 407,410 |
| Balance December 31, 2012 | 55,056,730 | (14,715,852) |
| Common stock issuance | | 4,485,000 |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | | (99,402) |
| Sale of Treasury Stock | | 14,661 |
| Issued for stock options & restricted stock | | 480,100 |
| Balance December 31, 2013 | 55,056,730 | (9,835,493) |

On September 16, 2013, the Company completed the issuance of 3.9 million shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$201.2 million to be used for strategic growth purposes. On October 17, 2013, an additional 585 thousand shares were issued with net proceeds of \$30.2 million as a result of the underwriter's exercising the overallotment of shares. The total increase in shareholder's equity as a result of the common stock issuance was \$231.4 million for the year-ended December 31, 2013.

The Company's Board of Directors approved a plan to repurchase up to 2 million shares of common stock annually at its 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 meetings. All open market share purchases under the share repurchase plans are intended to be within the scope of Rule 10b-18 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Rule 10b-18 provides a safe harbor for purchases in a given day if the Company satisfies the manner, timing and volume conditions of the rule when purchasing its own common shares. The Company has not made any repurchases other than through these plans.

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share gives effect to all potential common shares that were outstanding during the year.

The shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share, are shown below:

| | For the Years Ended December 31 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted average basic common shares outstanding | 41,275,839 | 40,034,428 | 40,034,435 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock | 562,741 | 398,939 | 275,522 |
| Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding | 41,838,580 | 40,433,367 | 40,309,957 |

14. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND GUARANTEES

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in order to meet the financing needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest

Table of Contents

rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit, standby letters of credit, and futures contracts. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract or notional amount of those instruments reflects the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit is represented by the contract or notional amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the agreement. These conditions generally include, but are not limited to, each customer being current as to repayment terms of existing loans and no deterioration in the customer's financial condition. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The interest rate is generally a variable rate. If the commitment has a fixed interest rate, the rate is generally not set until such time as credit is extended. For credit card customers, the Company has the right to change or terminate terms or conditions of the credit card account at any time. Since a large portion of the commitments and unused credit card lines are never actually drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on an individual basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral pledged by customers varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, real estate, plant and equipment, stock, securities and certificates of deposit.

Commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate trade or commerce. Under the terms of a commercial letter of credit, as a general rule, drafts will be drawn when the underlying transaction is consummated as intended.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company payable upon the non-performance of a customer's obligation to a third party. The Company issues standby letters of credit for terms ranging from three months to five years. The Company generally requires the customer to pledge collateral to support the letter of credit. The maximum liability to the Company under standby letters of credit at December 31, 2013 and 2012, was \$356.1 million and \$343.5 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, standby letters of credit totaling \$51.8 million and \$62.5 million, respectively, were with related parties to the Company.

The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities. The Company holds collateral supporting those commitments when deemed necessary. Collateral varies but may include such items as those described for commitments to extend credit.

Futures contracts are contracts for delayed delivery of securities or money market instruments in which the seller agrees to make delivery at a specified future date, of a specified instrument, at a specified yield. Risks arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from movement in securities values and interest rates. Instruments used in trading activities are carried at market value and gains and losses on futures contracts are settled in cash daily. Any changes in the market value are recognized in trading and investment banking income.

The Company uses contracts to offset interest rate risk on specific securities held in the trading portfolio. Open futures contract positions average notional amount was \$11.4 million and \$22.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net futures activity resulted in losses of \$0.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million for 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The Company controls the credit risk of its futures contracts through credit approvals, limits and monitoring procedures.

Table of Contents

The Company also enters into foreign exchange contracts on a limited basis. For operating purposes, the Company maintains certain balances in foreign banks. Foreign exchange contracts are purchased on a monthly basis to avoid foreign exchange risk on these foreign balances. The Company will also enter into foreign exchange contracts to facilitate foreign exchange needs of customers. The Company will enter into a contract to buy or sell a foreign currency at a future date only as part of a contract to sell or buy the foreign currency at the same future date to a customer. During 2013, contracts to purchase and to sell foreign currency averaged approximately \$12.4 million compared to \$68.2 million during 2012. The net gains on these foreign exchange contracts for 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$2.2 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

With respect to group concentrations of credit risk, most of the Company's business activity is with customers in the states of Missouri, Kansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Arizona, Illinois, and Texas. At December 31, 2013, the Company did not have any significant credit concentrations in any particular industry.

The following table summarizes the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments as described above.

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Contract or Notional Amount December 31 | |
|--|--|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Commitments to extend credit for loans (excluding credit card loans) | \$ 2,690,268 | \$ 2,458,444 |
| Commitments to extend credit under credit card loans | 2,215,278 | 2,184,415 |
| Commercial letters of credit | 5,949 | 1,041 |
| Standby letters of credit | 356,054 | 343,503 |
| Futures contracts | | 7,500 |
| Forward contracts | 21,525 | 2,005 |
| Spot foreign exchange contracts | 8,001 | 2,910 |

15. ACQUISITIONS

The following acquisitions were completed during the third and fourth quarters of 2010. The pro-forma impact of these transactions was not material. Each of these acquisitions has a contingent consideration liability measured at fair value through earnings which has had payments and valuation adjustments applied since the acquisition date. A rollforward of these changes is included in Note 18 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8 on pages 96 through 101.

On July 30, 2010, UMB Advisors, LLC (UMB Advisors) and UMB Merchant Banc, LLC (UMBMB), subsidiaries of UMB Financial Corporation, completed the purchase of substantially all of the assets of Prairie Capital Management LLC (Prairie Capital) and PCM LLC (PCM) for cash of \$25.9 million and future consideration. After the completion of the transaction, UMB Advisors name was changed to Prairie Capital Management, LLC. Prairie Capital is in the business of providing investment management services, and PCM is the general partner of various investment funds and associated with Prairie Capital's business. UMB Advisors purchased substantially all of the assets of Prairie Capital's business, and UMBMB purchased substantially all of the assets of PCM's business. This acquisition increased the Company's assets under management base by \$2.2 billion and increased the Company's servicing assets by \$2.6 billion. Goodwill amounted to \$32.2 million with the remaining purchase price allocated to cash, furniture, fixtures, prepaid assets, and unearned income. Identifiable intangible assets amounted to \$19.4 million. Total goodwill and intangible assets are inclusive of contingent earn-out payments based on revenue targets over five years. This earn-out liability was estimated to be \$26.0 million at the purchase date. Since the date of acquisition, earn-out payments and valuation adjustments have been made resulting in a contingent earn-out liability of \$16.6 million at December 31, 2013.

On September 1, 2010, Scout Investment Advisors, Inc. (Scout), a wholly-owned subsidiary of UMB Financial Corporation, completed the purchase of substantially all of the assets of Reams Asset Management

Table of Contents

Company, LLC (Reams) for cash of \$44.7 million and future consideration. Reams is a provider of investment management services to institutional clients and a manager of over \$9.8 billion in fixed income assets. Reams is now operated as a division of Scout Investments, Inc. Goodwill amounted to \$47.5 million with the remaining purchase price allocated to cash, furniture, fixtures, prepaid assets, and unearned income. Identifiable intangible assets totaled \$26.0 million. Total goodwill and intangible assets are inclusive of contingent earn-out payments based on revenue and expense targets over five years. This earn-out liability was estimated to be \$32.5 million at the purchase date. Since the date of acquisition, earn-out payments and valuation adjustments have been made resulting in a contingent earn-out liability of \$29.6 million at December 31, 2013.

16. INCOME TAXES

Income taxes as set forth below produce effective income tax rates of 27.0 percent in 2013, 27.9 percent in 2012, and 27.3 percent in 2011. These percentages are computed by dividing total income tax by the sum of such tax and net income.

Income tax expense includes the following components (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Current tax | | | |
| Federal | \$ 50,832 | \$ 40,837 | \$ 37,669 |
| State | 3,750 | 2,995 | 2,415 |
| Total current tax expense | 54,582 | 43,832 | 40,084 |
| Deferred tax | | | |
| Federal | (4,278) | 2,862 | (178) |
| State | (845) | 813 | (19) |
| Total deferred tax (benefit) expense | (5,123) | 3,675 | (197) |
| Total tax expense | \$ 49,459 | \$ 47,507 | \$ 39,887 |

The reconciliation between the income tax expense and the amount computed by applying the statutory federal tax rate of 35% to income taxes is as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Statutory federal income tax expense | \$ 64,199 | \$ 59,578 | \$ 51,226 |
| Tax-exempt interest income | (14,146) | (13,480) | (12,301) |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefits | 1,887 | 2,475 | 1,193 |
| Federal tax credits | (2,338) | (1,090) | (687) |
| Other | (143) | 24 | 456 |
| Total tax expense | \$ 49,459 | \$ 47,507 | \$ 39,887 |

In preparing its tax returns, the Company is required to interpret complex tax laws and regulations to determine its taxable income. Periodically, the Company is subject to examinations by various taxing authorities that may give rise to differing interpretations of these complex laws. Upon examination, agreement of tax liabilities between the Company and the multiple tax jurisdictions in which the Company files tax returns may ultimately be different. The Company is currently not under federal audit by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company is in the examination process with one state taxing authority for tax years 2009, 2010 and 2011. The Company believes the aggregate amount of any additional liabilities that may result from this examination, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows of the Company.

Table of Contents

Deferred income tax expense (benefit) results from differences between the carrying value of assets and liabilities measured for financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities for income tax return purposes.

The significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale | \$ 19,495 | \$ |
| Loans, principally due to allowance for loan losses | 28,367 | 27,148 |
| Stock-based compensation | 6,302 | 5,486 |
| Accrued expenses | 19,943 | 12,813 |
| Miscellaneous | 4,474 | 5,369 |
| Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowance | 78,581 | 50,816 |
| Valuation allowance | (2,680) | (2,775) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 75,901 | 48,041 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale | | (49,319) |
| Land, buildings and equipment | (25,403) | (26,049) |
| Original issue discount | (4,439) | (4,505) |
| Partnership investments | (6,540) | |
| Intangibles | (238) | (3,430) |
| Miscellaneous | (5,408) | (4,802) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (42,028) | (88,105) |
| Net deferred tax asset (liability) | \$ 33,873 | \$ (40,064) |

The Company has various state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2013. These net operating losses expire at various times between 2014 and 2033. The Company has a full valuation allowance for these state net operating losses as they are not expected to be realized. In addition, the Company has a valuation allowance of \$1.7 million to reduce certain other state deferred tax assets to the amount of tax benefit management believes it will more likely than not realize.

The net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2013 is included in other assets. The net deferred tax liability at December 31, 2012 is included in accrued expenses and taxes.

Liabilities Associated With Unrecognized Tax Benefits

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various states. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years prior to 2010 in the jurisdictions in which it files.

The gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits totaled \$5.0 million and \$4.3 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits, net of associated deferred tax benefit, that would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized, would be \$3.3 million and \$2.8 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The unrecognized tax benefit relates to state tax positions that have a corresponding federal tax benefit. While it is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next twelve months, the Company does not expect this change to have a material impact on the results of operations or the financial position of the Company.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits opening balance | \$ 4,347 | \$ 4,101 |
| Gross increases tax positions in prior period | | |
| Gross decreases tax positions in prior period | (80) | (141) |
| Gross increases current-period tax positions | 1,049 | 1,057 |
| Settlements | | |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (319) | (670) |
| Unrecognized tax benefits ending balance | \$ 4,997 | \$ 4,347 |

17. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES**Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives**

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to certain fixed rate assets. The Company also has interest rate derivatives that result from a service provided to certain qualifying customers and, therefore, are not used to manage interest rate risk of the Company's assets or liabilities. The Company has entered into an offsetting position for each of these derivative instruments with a matching instrument from another financial institution in order to minimize its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions.

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company's derivative asset and derivative liability are located within Other Assets and Other Liabilities, respectively, on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

This table provides a summary of the fair value of the Company's derivative assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|---|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | December 31, | | December 31, | |
| Fair value | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest Rate Products: | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 2,442 | \$ 3,503 | \$ 2,346 | \$ 3,625 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | 76 | | |
| Total | \$ 2,518 | \$ 3,503 | \$ 2,346 | \$ 3,625 |

Fair Value Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed-rate assets due to changes in the benchmark interest rate, LIBOR. Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve making fixed-rate

Table of Contents

payments to a counterparty in exchange for the Company receiving variable-rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$6.9 million that was designated as a fair value hedge of interest rate risk associated with the Company's fixed rate loan assets.

Designated Hedges

For derivatives designated and that qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. The Company includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the related derivatives. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a net gain of \$2 thousand in other noninterest expense related to hedge ineffectiveness.

Non-designated Hedges

The remainder of the Company's derivatives are not designated in qualifying hedging relationships. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and result from a service the Company provides to certain customers, which the Company implemented during the first quarter of 2010. The Company executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are simultaneously offset by interest rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had twenty-four interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$334.0 million related to this program. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized net gains of \$217 thousand and net losses of \$79 thousand, respectively, related to changes in the fair value of these swaps.

Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Income Statement

This table provides a summary of the amount of gain (loss) recognized in other noninterest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income related to the Company's derivative asset and liability as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (*in thousands*):

| | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized For the Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest Rate Products | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | \$ 217 | \$ (79) |
| Total | \$ 217 | \$ (79) |
| Interest Rate Products | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | |
| Fair value adjustments on derivatives | \$ 76 | \$ |
| Fair value adjustments on hedged items | (74) | |
| Total | \$ 2 | \$ |

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations.

Table of Contents**18. DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The following table presents information about the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value.

Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the hierarchy. In such cases, the fair value is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| Description | December 31, 2013 | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 400 | \$ 400 | \$ | \$ |
| U.S. Agencies | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed | 515 | | 515 | |
| State and political subdivisions | 3,072 | | 3,072 | |
| Trading other | 24,477 | 24,477 | | |
| Trading securities | 28,464 | 24,877 | 3,587 | |
| U.S. Treasury | 110,200 | 110,200 | | |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,257,663 | | 1,257,663 | |
| Mortgage-backed | 2,944,566 | | 2,944,566 | |
| State and political subdivisions | 1,995,246 | | 1,995,246 | |
| Corporates | 454,736 | 454,736 | | |
| Available for sale securities | 6,762,411 | 564,936 | 6,197,475 | |
| Company-owned life insurance | 19,619 | | 19,619 | |
| Derivatives | 2,518 | | 2,518 | |
| Total | \$ 6,813,012 | \$ 589,813 | \$ 6,223,199 | \$ |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | \$ 19,825 | \$ 19,825 | \$ | \$ |
| Contingent consideration liability | 46,201 | | | 46,201 |
| Derivatives | 2,346 | | 2,346 | |
| Total | \$ 68,372 | \$ 19,825 | \$ 2,346 | \$ 46,201 |

Table of Contents

| Description | December 31, 2012 | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury | \$ 400 | \$ 400 | \$ | \$ |
| U.S. Agencies | 506 | | 506 | |
| Mortgage-backed | 11,288 | | 11,288 | |
| State and political subdivisions | 12,913 | | 12,913 | |
| Trading other | 30,657 | 30,657 | | |
| Trading securities | 55,764 | 31,057 | 24,707 | |
| U.S. Treasury | 117,851 | 117,851 | | |
| U.S. Agencies | 1,026,115 | | 1,026,115 | |
| Mortgage-backed | 3,556,193 | | 3,556,193 | |
| State and political subdivisions | 1,892,684 | | 1,892,684 | |
| Corporates | 338,887 | 338,887 | | |
| Commercial paper | 5,733 | | 5,733 | |
| Available for sale securities | 6,937,463 | 456,738 | 6,480,725 | |
| Company-owned life insurance | 10,539 | | 10,539 | |
| Derivatives | 3,503 | | 3,503 | |
| Total | \$ 7,007,269 | \$ 487,795 | \$ 6,519,474 | \$ |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | \$ 13,705 | \$ 13,705 | \$ | \$ |
| Contingent consideration liability | 51,163 | | | 51,163 |
| Derivatives | 3,625 | | 3,625 | |
| Total | \$ 68,493 | \$ 13,705 | \$ 3,625 | \$ 51,163 |

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending fair value of balances of the contingent consideration liability:

| | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 51,163 | \$ 72,046 |
| Payment of contingent consideration on acquisitions | (16,172) | (17,371) |
| Income from fair value adjustments | (138) | (9,656) |
| Expense from fair value adjustments | 11,348 | 6,144 |
| Ending balance | \$ 46,201 | \$ 51,163 |

The Company adopted ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04) for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The amendments set forth by the ASU require the Company's contingent consideration liability to be measured from the perspective of a market participant that holds an identical asset as of the measurement date. Due to this methodology change, the Company began calculating the discount rates using a weighted average cost of capital approach, which caused an increase in the discount rates utilized. This resulted in a \$6.9 million (\$4.7 million, net of tax) reduction of the contingent consideration liabilities and a corresponding increase to other non-interest income due which is included in the Income from fair value adjustments line in the table above for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table presents certain quantitative information about the significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement for the contingent consideration liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

| Description | Valuation Techniques | Significant | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | | Unobservable Inputs | Range |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Contingent consideration liability | Discounted cash flows | Revenue and expense growth percentage | 1% -78% |
| An increase in the revenue growth percentage may result in a significantly higher estimated fair value of the contingent consideration liability. Alternatively, a decrease in the revenue growth percentage may result in a significantly lower estimated fair value of the contingent consideration liability. | | | |

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments measured on a recurring basis:

Securities Available for Sale and Investment Securities Fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Trading Securities Fair values for trading securities (including financial futures), are based on quoted market prices where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices for similar securities.

Company-owned Life Insurance Fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes with adjustments for dividends, capital gains, and administrative charges.

Derivatives Fair values are determined using valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and implied volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Deferred Compensation Fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes.

Contingent Consideration The fair value of contingent consideration liabilities are derived from a discounted cash flow model of future contingent payments. The valuation of these liabilities are estimated by a collaborative effort of the Company's mergers and acquisitions group, business unit management, and the corporate accounting group. These groups report primarily to the Company's Chief Financial Officer. These future contingent payments are calculated based on estimates of future income and expense from each acquisition. These estimated cash flows are projected by the business unit management and reviewed by the mergers and acquisitions group. To obtain a current valuation of these projected cash flows, a discount rate is applied to determine the present value. The cash flow projections and discount rates are reviewed quarterly and updated as market conditions necessitate. Potential valuation adjustments are made as future income and expense projections for each acquisition are made which affect the calculation of the related contingent consideration payment. These adjustments are recorded through noninterest income and expense.

Table of Contents

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2013 Using

| Description | December 31, 2013 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Gains (Losses) Recognized During the Twelve Months Ended December 31 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | December 31 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 15,496 | \$ | \$ | \$ 15,496 | \$ (2,496) |
| Other real estate owned | 329 | | | 329 | (125) |
| Total | \$ 15,825 | \$ | \$ | \$ 15,825 | \$ (2,621) |

Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2012 Using

| Description | December 31, 2012 | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Gains (Losses) Recognized During the Twelve Months Ended December 31 |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | December 31 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 5,178 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,178 | \$ 1,756 |
| Other real estate owned | 924 | | | 924 | (455) |
| Total | \$ 6,102 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,102 | \$ 1,301 |

Valuation methods for instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments measured on a non-recurring basis:

Impaired loans While the overall loan portfolio is not carried at fair value, adjustments are recorded on certain loans to reflect partial write-downs that are based on the value of the underlying collateral. In determining the value of real estate collateral, the Director of Property Management, who reports to the Chief Risk Officer, obtains external appraisals. The external appraisals are generally based on recent sales of comparable properties which are then adjusted for the unique characteristics of the property being valued. Upon receiving the external appraisal, the Company's appraisal department led by the Chief Appraiser who reports to the Chief Credit Officer review the appraisal to determine if the appraisal is a reasonable basis for the value of the property based upon historical experience and detailed knowledge of the specific property and location. In the case of non-real estate collateral, reliance is placed on a variety of sources, including external estimates of value and judgments based on the experience and expertise of internal specialists within the Company's property management group and the Company's credit department. The valuation of the impaired loans is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Because many of these inputs are not observable, the measurements are classified as Level 3.

Other real estate owned Other real estate owned consists of loan collateral which has been repossessed through foreclosure. This collateral is comprised of commercial and residential real estate and other non-real estate property, including auto, recreational and marine vehicles. Other real estate owned is recorded as held for sale initially at the lower of the loan balance or fair value of the collateral. The initial valuation of the

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

foreclosed property is obtained through an appraisal process similar to the process described in the impaired loans paragraph above. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are reviewed quarterly and updated periodically, and the assets may be marked down further, reflecting a new cost basis. Fair value measurements may be based upon appraisals or third-party price opinions and, accordingly, those measurements may be classified as Level 2. Other fair value measurements may be based on internally developed pricing methods, and those measurements may be classified as Level 3.

Table of Contents

Fair value disclosures require disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments at December, 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows (*in millions*):

| | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2013 Using | | | | Total Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| FINANCIAL ASSETS | | | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | \$ 209.8 | \$ | \$ 231.5 | \$ | \$ 231.5 |
| Federal Reserve Bank and other | 50.5 | | 50.5 | | 50.5 |
| Loans (exclusive of allowance for loan loss) | 6,521.9 | | 6,571.6 | | 6,571.6 |
| FINANCIAL LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Time deposits | 1,449.6 | | 1,449.4 | | 1,449.4 |
| Long-term debt | 5.1 | | 4.5 | | 4.5 |
| OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit for loans | | | | | 6.0 |
| Commercial letters of credit | | | | | 0.1 |
| Standby letters of credit | | | | | 2.0 |

| | Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2012 Using | | | | Total Estimated Fair Value |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| FINANCIAL ASSETS | | | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | \$ 114.8 | \$ | \$ 129.5 | \$ | \$ 129.5 |
| Federal Reserve Bank and other | 26.3 | | 26.3 | | 26.3 |
| Loans (exclusive of allowance for loan loss) | 5,690.6 | | 5,754.1 | | 5,754.1 |
| FINANCIAL LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Time deposits | 1,282.3 | | | | 1,287.9 |
| Long-term debt | 5.9 | | | | 6.1 |
| OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS | | | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit for loans | | | | | 5.6 |
| Commercial letters of credit | | | | | 0.2 |
| Standby letters of credit | | | | | 2.1 |
| The fair values of cash and short-term investments, demand and savings deposits, federal funds and repurchase agreements, and short-term debt approximate the carrying values. | | | | | |

Securities Held to Maturity Fair value of held-to-maturity securities are estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar investments would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Table of Contents

Federal Reserve Bank and Other Amount consists of Federal Reserve Bank stock held by the Bank, Prairie Capital Management equity-method investments, and other miscellaneous investments. The fair value of Federal Reserve Bank stock is considered to be the carrying value as no readily determinable market exists for these investments because they can only be redeemed with the FRB. The fair value of Prairie Capital Management marketable equity-method investments are based on quoted market prices used to estimate the value of the underlying investment. For non-marketable equity-method investments, the Company's proportionate share of the income or loss is recognized on a one-quarter lag based on the valuation of the underlying investment(s).

Loans Fair values are estimated for portfolios with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type, such as commercial, real estate, consumer, and credit card. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and variable interest rate categories. The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Time Deposits The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates that are currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Long-Term Debt Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value of existing debt.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Instruments The fair value of loan commitments and letters of credit are determined based on the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreement and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. Neither the fees earned during the year on these instruments nor their fair value at year-end are significant to the Company's consolidated financial position.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these consolidated financial statements since those dates and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amount presented herein.

Table of Contents**19. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION**

| | December 31 | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands) | | |
| ASSETS: | | |
| Investment in subsidiaries: | | |
| Banks | \$ 1,159,098 | \$ 1,062,651 |
| Non-banks | 175,650 | 151,825 |
| Total investment in subsidiaries | 1,334,748 | 1,214,476 |
| Goodwill on purchased affiliates | 5,011 | 5,011 |
| Cash | 110,932 | 6,993 |
| Securities available for sale and other | 61,685 | 58,567 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,512,376 | \$ 1,285,047 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ | \$ |
| Accrued expenses and other | 6,311 | 5,702 |
| Total liabilities | 6,311 | 5,702 |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,506,065 | 1,279,345 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,512,376 | \$ 1,285,047 |

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in thousands) | | | |
| INCOME: | | | |
| Dividends and income received from subsidiary banks | \$ 54,750 | \$ 78,000 | \$ 41,000 |
| Service fees from subsidiaries | 33,443 | 27,821 | 30,422 |
| Other | 387 | 1,012 | 694 |
| Total income | 88,580 | 106,833 | 72,116 |
| EXPENSE: | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 32,223 | 30,683 | 33,194 |
| Other | 9,198 | 9,428 | 14,974 |
| Total expense | 41,421 | 40,111 | 48,168 |
| Income before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 47,159 | 66,722 | 23,948 |
| Income tax benefit | (4,307) | (4,248) | (6,458) |
| Income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 51,466 | 70,970 | 30,406 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries: | | | |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Banks | 64,674 | 44,797 | 65,885 |
| Non-Banks | 17,825 | 6,950 | 10,181 |
| Net income and comprehensive income | \$ 133,965 | \$ 122,717 | \$ 106,472 |

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31 | | |
|---|------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) | | | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 133,965 | \$ 122,717 | \$ 106,472 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | (137,249) | (129,747) | (117,066) |
| Net decrease (increase) in trading securities | 6,181 | (11,380) | (6,629) |
| Other | (8,467) | (16,812) | (6,567) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (5,570) | (35,222) | (23,790) |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net capital investment in subsidiaries | (156,000) | (3,000) | (6,900) |
| Dividends received from subsidiaries | 54,750 | 78,000 | 41,000 |
| Net capital (expenditures) proceeds for premises and equipment | (406) | 466 | (538) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (101,656) | 75,466 | 33,562 |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Proceeds from short-term debt | | | 10,000 |
| Cash dividends paid | (36,168) | (33,787) | (31,801) |
| Common stock issuance | 231,430 | | |
| Net issuance (purchase) of treasury stock | 15,903 | (5,368) | 129 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 211,165 | (39,155) | (21,672) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 103,939 | 1,089 | (11,900) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 6,993 | 5,904 | 17,804 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 110,932 | \$ 6,993 | \$ 5,904 |

Table of Contents**20. SUMMARY OF OPERATING RESULTS BY QUARTER (unaudited) (in thousands except per share data)**

| 2013 | Three Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept 30 | Dec 31 |
| Interest income | \$ 83,902 | \$ 86,212 | \$ 89,096 | \$ 89,131 |
| Interest expense | 4,419 | 3,885 | 3,551 | 3,217 |
| Net interest income | 79,483 | 82,327 | 85,545 | 85,914 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,000 | 5,000 | 6,500 | 4,000 |
| Noninterest income | 121,016 | 113,585 | 121,625 | 135,607 |
| Noninterest expense | 150,378 | 150,311 | 153,063 | 170,426 |
| Income tax expense | 13,180 | 10,672 | 13,175 | 12,432 |
| Net income | \$ 34,941 | \$ 29,929 | \$ 34,432 | \$ 34,663 |

| 2012 | Three Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept 30 | Dec 31 |
| Interest income | \$ 84,733 | \$ 85,350 | \$ 84,979 | \$ 84,623 |
| Interest expense | 5,644 | 4,977 | 4,614 | 4,394 |
| Net interest income | 79,089 | 80,373 | 80,365 | 80,229 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,000 |
| Noninterest income | 132,301 | 110,226 | 106,321 | 109,274 |
| Noninterest expense | 141,904 | 144,686 | 145,905 | 157,959 |
| Income tax expense | 18,619 | 12,248 | 10,156 | 6,484 |
| Net income | \$ 46,367 | \$ 29,165 | \$ 26,125 | \$ 21,060 |

| Per Share | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept 30 | Dec 31 |
| 2013 | | | | |
| Net income basic | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 0.78 |
| Net income diluted | 0.87 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.77 |
| Dividend | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0.225 |
| Book value | 31.73 | 30.20 | 32.85 | 33.30 |
| Per Share | | | | |

| Per Share | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | Sept 30 | Dec 31 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Net income basic | \$ 1.16 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 0.65 | \$ 0.53 |
| Net income diluted | 1.15 | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.52 |
| Dividend | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 0.215 |
| Book value | 29.90 | 30.89 | 31.88 | 31.71 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures At the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-K, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's Disclosure Controls and Procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) and have concluded that the Company's Disclosure Controls and Procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-K.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission's *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*. Because this assessment was conducted to meet the reporting requirements of Section 112 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA), it included controls over the preparation of the schedules equivalent to the basic financial statements in accordance with the instructions for the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies (Form FR Y-9C).

Based on the evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective at the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-K. Deloitte & Touche LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included within this report, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting at the end of the period covered by this report. Deloitte & Touche LLP's attestation report is set forth below.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting No changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such controls during the last quarter of the period covered by this report.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

UMB Financial Corporation and Subsidiaries

Kansas City, Missouri

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of UMB Financial Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Because management's assessment and our audit were conducted to meet the reporting requirements of Section 112 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA), management's assessment and our audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting included controls over the preparation of the schedules equivalent to the basic financial statements in accordance with the instructions for the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies (Form FR Y-9C). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated February 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Kansas City, Missouri

February 25, 2014

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item relating to executive officers is included in Part I of this Form 10-K (pages 10 through 11) under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrants.

The information required by this item regarding Directors is incorporated herein by reference under the caption Proposal #1: Election of Directors of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 22, 2014 (the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders).

The information required by this item regarding the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee financial experts is incorporated herein by reference under the caption Corporate Governance Committees of the Board of Directors Audit Committee of the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The information required by this item concerning Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance is incorporated herein by reference under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance of the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to all directors, officers and employees, including its chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer. You can find the Company's code of ethics on its website by going to the following address: www.umb.com/aboutumb/investorrelations. The Company will post any amendments to the code of ethics, as well as any waivers that are required to be disclosed, under the rules of either the SEC or NASDAQ. A copy of the code of ethics will be provided, at no charge, to any person requesting same, by written notice sent to the Company's Corporate Secretary, 8 floor, 1010 Grand Blvd., Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference under the Executive Compensation section of the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

This information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's 2014 Proxy Statement under the caption Stock Ownership Principal Shareholders.

Security Ownership of Management

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders under the caption Stock Beneficially Owned by Directors and Nominees and Executive Officers.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes shares authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plan |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | | | |
| 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan | 341,491 | \$ 36.05 | None |
| 2005 Long-term Incentive Plan Non-Qualified Stock Options | 1,342,025 | 40.45 | 5,605,307 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | None | None | None |
| Total | 1,683,516 | \$ 39.56 | 5,605,307 |

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information provided under the captions "Corporate Governance Certain Transactions" and "Corporate Governance Director Independence" of the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the information provided under the caption "Proposal #2: Ratification of Selection of Independent Public Accountants" of the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES****Consolidated Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules**

The following Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company are included in item 8 of this report.

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2013

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Independent Auditors Report

Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the parent company only may be found in item 8 above. All other schedules have been omitted because the required information is presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in the notes thereto, the amounts involved are not significant or the required subject matter is not applicable.

Table of Contents

Exhibits

The following Exhibit Index lists the Exhibits to Form 10-K:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation restated as of April 25, 2006. Amended Article III was filed with the Missouri Secretary of State on May 18, 2006 and incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and filed with the Commission on May 9, 2006.
- 3.2 Bylaws, amended and restated as of January 28, 2014 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 (ii).2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K and filed with the Commission on January 28, 2014.
- 4 Description of the Registrant's common stock in Amendment No. 1 on Form 8 to its General Form for Registration of Securities on Form 10 dated March 5, 1993. The following portions of those documents define some of the rights of the holders of the Registrant's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share: Articles III (authorized shares), X (amendment of the Bylaws) and XI (amendment of the Articles of Incorporation) of the Articles of Incorporation and Articles II (shareholder meetings), Sections 2 (number and classes of directors) and 3 (election and removal of directors) of Article III, Section 1 (stock certificates) of Article VII and Section 4 (indemnification) of Article IX of the By-laws. Note: No long-term debt instrument issued by the Registrant exceeds 10% of the consolidated total assets of the Registrant and its subsidiaries. In accordance with paragraph 4 (iii) of Item 601 of Regulation S-K, the Registrant will furnish to the Commission, upon request, copies of long-term debt instruments and related agreements.
- 10.1 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan, amended and restated as of April 22, 2008 incorporated by reference to Appendix B of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's April 22, 2008 Annual Meeting filed with the Commission on March 17, 2008.
- 10.2 UMB Financial Corporation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan amended and restated as of April 23, 2013 incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's April 23, 2013 Annual Meeting filed with the Commission on March 13, 2013.
- 10.3 Deferred Compensation Plan, dated as of April 20, 1995 and incorporated by reference to

Exhibit 10.6 to Company's Form 10-K filed on March 12, 2003.
- 10.4 UMBF 2005 Short-Term Incentive Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Form 10-K for December 31, 2004 and filed with the Commission on March 14, 2005.
- 10.5 Stock purchase agreement between the Company and Prairie Capital Management, LLC dated June 27, 2010 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and filed with the Commission on August 4, 2010.
- 10.6 Asset purchase agreement between the Company and Reams Asset Management Company, LLC, MME Investments, LLC, Mark M. Egan, David B. McKinney, Hilltop Capital, LLC, Thomas M. Fink, Stephen T. Vincent, Todd C. Thompson, Deanne B. Olson, Daniel P. Spurgeon dated September 1, 2010 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 and filed with the Commission on November 4, 2010.
- 10.7 Scout Investments Retention and Annual Performance Program incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 12, 2012.
- 10.8 Annual Variable Pay Plan Scout Investments/Leadership, January 1, 2014- December 31, 2014 for Andrew Iseman incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 13, 2013.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------|---|
| 10.9 | Annual Variable Pay Plan UMB Fund Services/Leadership, January 1, 2014-December 31, 2014 for John Zader incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on February 13, 2013. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23 | Consent of Independent Auditors |
| 24 | Powers of Attorney |
| 31.1 | CEO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 31.2 | CFO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 32.1 | CEO Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 32.2 | CFO Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

/s/ J. Mariner Kemper

J. Mariner Kemper

Chairman of the Board,

Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Brian J. Walker

Brian J. Walker

Chief Financial Officer

Chief Accounting Officer

Date: February 25, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities on the date indicated.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| DAVID R. BRADLEY, JR. | Director | NANCY K. BUESE | Director |
| David R. Bradley, Jr. | | Nancy K. Buese | |
| PETER J. DESILVA | Director, | TERRENCE P. DUNN | Director |
| Peter J. deSilva | President, and | Terrence P. Dunn | |
| | Chief Operating Officer | | |
| KEVIN C. GALLAGHER | Director | GREGORY M. GRAVES | Director |
| Kevin C. Gallagher | | Gregory M. Graves | |
| ALEXANDER C. KEMPER | Director | KRIS A. ROBBINS | Director |
| Alexander C. Kemper | | Kris A. Robbins | |
| THOMAS D. SANDERS | Director | L. JOSHUA SOSLAND | Director |
| Thomas D. Sanders | | L. Joshua Sosland | |
| PAUL UHLMANN III | Director | THOMAS J. WOOD III | Director |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-K

Paul Uhlmann III

Thomas J. Wood III

/s/ J. MARINER KEMPER Director,

J. Mariner Kemper

Chairman of the Board,

Attorney-in-Fact for each director

Chief Executive Officer