

HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD

Form 20-F/A

March 24, 2014

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 24, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F/A
(Amendment No. 1)

(Mark One)

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001 31545

HARMONY GOLD MINING COMPANY LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

RANDFONTEIN OFFICE PARK, CNR WARD AVENUE AND MAIN REEF ROAD,

RANDFONTEIN, SOUTH AFRICA, 1760

(Address of principal executive offices)

Riana Bisschoff, Group Company Secretary

tel: +27 11 411 6020, riana.bisschoff@harmony.co.za, fax: +27 (0) 11 696 9734,

Randfontein Office Park, CNR Ward Avenue and Main Reef Road, Randfontein, South Africa, 1760

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Ordinary shares, with nominal value Rand 50 cents per share*

(Title of Class)

American Depositary Shares (as evidenced by American Depositary Receipts),

each representing one ordinary share

(Title of Class)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

The number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the last full fiscal year covered by this Annual Report was:

435,289,890 ordinary shares, with nominal value of Rand 50 cents per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

YES NO

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

US GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other

by the International Accounting Standards Board

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17 " Item 18 "

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES " NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

YES x NO "

* Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares, pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Explanatory Note

Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited (**Harmony**) is filing this Amendment No. 1 on Form 20-F/A (the **Form 20-F/A**) to amend its annual report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 (the **2013 Form 20-F**) as originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the **SEC**) on October 25, 2013. The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in the Consolidated Financial Statements (the **Report**), as submitted to the SEC by Harmony on page F-2 of the 2013 Form 20-F was erroneously dated October 25, 2012, which precedes the date of the financial statements referenced in the Report. This amendment replaces that Report with the correct version of the Report dated October 25, 2013 and presents Item 8 and Item 18 of the 2013 Form 20-F with the correct version of the Report as page F-2. This amendment does not contain any changes to data and footnotes in the Consolidated Financial Statements of Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited and its consolidated subsidiaries, presented on pages F-3 through F-72 of the 2013 Form 20-F.

Other than as expressly set forth above, this Form 20-F/A does not, and does not purport to, revise, update, amend or restate the information presented in any Item of the 2013 Form 20-F or reflect any events that have occurred after the filing of the 2013 Form 20-F.

Item 8. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS

Please refer to *Item 18. Financial Statements* of this annual report.

Legal Proceedings

None of our properties is the subject of pending material legal proceedings. We have experienced a number of claims and legal and arbitration proceedings incidental to the normal conduct of our business, such as the ones described below. The directors, however, do not believe that liabilities related to such claims and proceedings are likely to be, individually or in the aggregate, material to the Company's consolidated financial condition.

AngloGold Ashanti court case

Harmony has been named as a second defendant in the Mr. Thembekekile Mankayi v AngloGold Ashanti court case, under which the principles of compensation under ODIMWA are currently being tested. The case was heard in the High Court of South Africa in June 2008, and an appeal heard in the Supreme Court of Appeals in 2010. In both instances judgement was awarded in favour of AngloGold Ashanti. A further appeal that was lodged by Mr Mankayi was heard in the Constitutional Court in 2010.

Judgement in the Constitutional Court was handed down on March 3, 2011. The judgement allows Mr Mankayi's executor to proceed with the case in the High Court of South Africa. Should anyone bring similar claims against Harmony in future, those claimants would need to provide that silicosis was contracted while in the employment of the Company and that it was contracted due to negligence on the Company's part. The link between the cause (negligence by the Company while in its employ) and the effect (the silicosis) will be an essential part of any case. Should anyone bring similar claims against Harmony in future, those claimants would need to provide evidence proving that silicosis was contracted while in the employment of the Company and that it was contracted due to negligence on the Company's part. The link between the cause (negligence by the Company while in its employ) and the effect (the silicosis) will be an essential part of any case. It is therefore uncertain as to whether the Company will incur any costs related to silicosis claims in the future and due to the limited information available on any claims and potential claims and the uncertainty of the outcome of these claims, no estimation can be made for the possible obligation.

A-1

South Africa class action

On August 23, 2012, Harmony and all its subsidiaries were served with court papers entailing an application by three of its former employees requesting that the South Gauteng High Court certify a class action. In essence, the applicants want the court to declare them as representing a class of people for the purposes of instituting an action for relief and to obtain directions as to what procedure to follow in pursuing the relief required against Harmony. Harmony has subsequently retained legal counsel in this regard and on September 5, 2012, Harmony served and filed its notice of intention to oppose the application as it is of the view that the applicants cannot form part of a class as, according to their own averments, they worked at different operations. At this stage and in the absence of a Court decision on this matter, it is uncertain as to whether the Company will incur any costs related to silicosis claims in the near future. Due to the limited information available on any claims and potential claims, and the uncertainty of the outcome of these claims, no estimation can be made for the possible obligation.

On January 8, 2013, Harmony and its subsidiaries, alongside other mining companies operating in South Africa (collectively the respondents), were served with another application to certify a class on behalf of classes of mine workers, former mine workers and their dependents who were previously employed by, or who are currently employed by, the respondents, who allegedly contracted silicosis and/or other occupational lung diseases. Harmony has filed notices of its intention to oppose both applications and has instructed its attorneys to defend the claims. Following the receipt of this application, Harmony was advised that there was a potential overlap between the application of August 23, 2012 and the application of January 8, 2013. After deliberation between the respondents attorneys and the applicants attorneys, it was resolved that the applicants attorneys will consolidate the two applications and serve an amended application which will be considered by the respondents. The respondents are awaiting a consolidated application of the two separate applications served.

At this stage, and in the absence of a court decision on this matter it is uncertain as to whether the company will incur any costs related to silicosis claims in the near future. Due to the limited information available on any claims and potential claims and the uncertainty of the outcome of these claims, no estimation can be made for the possible obligation.

US class action

The Company reached a mutually acceptable settlement with the class plaintiffs during fiscal 2012 in a pending class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in which certain ADR and ADR Option holders are seeking damages against us pertaining to our business practices for the period April 25, 2007 to August 7, 2007. The settlement was approved by the Court in November 2011 but a single class member filed an appeal of the Court's order approving the settlement. That appeal resulted in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirming the decision of the District Court. The objecting plaintiff has asked the United States Supreme Court to review the case and this is pending. The settlement amount has been paid into escrow by the company's insurers and will be distributed to the plaintiffs once the appeal has been finalized.

Dividends and Dividend Policy

On August 13, 2010, the board approved a final dividend for fiscal 2010 of R0.50 per share that was paid on September 20, 2010. The total amount of the dividend paid was R214 million (US\$29.3 million). As the dividend was declared after the reporting date of June 30, 2010, the dividend was recorded in fiscal 2011.

On August 12, 2011, the board approved a final dividend for fiscal 2011 of R0.60 per share that was paid on September 19, 2011. The total amount of the dividend paid was R258 million (US\$33.9 million). This was recorded in fiscal 2012. On February 1, 2012, the board approved an interim dividend for fiscal 2012 of R0.40 per share that was paid on March 12, 2012. The total amount of the dividend paid for fiscal 2012 was R431 million (US\$58.6 million).

On August 13, 2012, the board approved a final dividend for fiscal 2012 of R0.50 per share that was paid on September 17, 2012. The total amount of the dividend paid was R217 million (US\$26.7 million). This was recorded in fiscal 2013. On February 2, 2013, the board approved an interim dividend for fiscal 2013 of R0.50 per share that was paid on March 13, 2013. The total amount of the dividend paid was R217 million (US\$24.1 million). The total amount of the dividend paid in fiscal 2013 was R435 million (US\$50.4 million).

For information on our accounting policy relating to dividends, see note 15 to the consolidated financial statements.

A-2

Recent Developments

Work stoppages and wage agreement settlement

Operations were disrupted by strike action by members of the NUM at all South African operations except Kusaalethu from the night shift on September 3, 2013. The final offer made by the Chamber of Mines was accepted by members of the NUM on September 8, 2013 and incorporates a two-year agreement. The agreement offers category 4 and 5 employees and rock drill operators pay increases of 8%, and other employees pay increases of 7.5%, effective July 1, 2013. Employees will receive further CPI-linked increases effective July 1, 2014. The strike action will have an impact on Harmony's performance for the first quarter of fiscal 2014. All of the operations affected by the strike were normalized from the night shift on September 8, 2013.

Item 18. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Financial Statements

The financial statements appear in this annual report on Form 20-F beginning on page F-3. The report of the independent registered public accounting firm appears on page F-2.

Item 19. EXHIBITS

- *12.1 Certification of the principal executive officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d)-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- *12.2 Certification of the principal financial officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d)-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- *13.1 Certification of the principal executive officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- *13.2 Certification of the principal financial officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Filed herewith

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Exchange Act, we hereby certify that we meet all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F/A and that we have duly caused this annual report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HARMONY GOLD MINING COMPANY LIMITED

By: /s/ Frank Abbott
Frank Abbott
Chief Financial Officer
Date: March 24, 2014

S-1

Index to Financial Statements

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited | |
| <u>Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Income Statements for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Cash Flow Statements for the years ended June 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated income statements, statements of comprehensive income, statement of changes in shareholders' equity and cash flow statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited and its subsidiaries at June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2013 in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 15 (b). Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc.

Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa

October 25, 2013

F-2

Consolidated income statements

for the years ended June 30, 2013

| Figures in million | Note | 2013 | US dollar 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Continuing operations | | | | |
| Revenue | | 1,803 | 1,953 | 1,659 |
| Cost of sales | 5 | (1,831) | (1,561) | (1,533) |
| Production costs | | (1,292) | (1,276) | (1,218) |
| Amortization and depreciation | | (220) | (247) | (230) |
| (Impairment)/reversal of impairment of assets | | (274) | 7 | (39) |
| Other items | | (45) | (45) | (46) |
| Gross (loss)/profit | | (28) | 392 | 126 |
| Corporate, administration and other expenditure | | (53) | (45) | (46) |
| Social investment expenditure | | (14) | (9) | (12) |
| Exploration expenditure | | (76) | (64) | (46) |
| Profit on sale of property, plant and equipment | 6 | 16 | 8 | 4 |
| Other expenses - net | 7 | (40) | (6) | (3) |
| Operating (loss)/profit | 8 | (195) | 276 | 23 |
| Loss from associates | | | | (7) |
| Reversal of impairment/(impairment) of investment in associate | 9 | | 7 | (20) |
| Impairment of investments | 20 | (10) | (19) | |
| Net gain on financial instruments | 19 | 20 | 11 | 18 |
| Gain on farm-in option | 10 | | | 38 |
| Investment income | 11 | 21 | 12 | 19 |
| Finance costs | 12 | (29) | (37) | (38) |
| (Loss)/profit before taxation | | (193) | 250 | 33 |
| Taxation | 13 | (69) | 16 | 55 |
| Net (loss)/profit from continuing operations | | (262) | 266 | 88 |
| Discontinued operations | | | | |
| Profit/(loss) from discontinued operations | 14 | 36 | 75 | (2) |
| Net (loss)/profit for the year | | (226) | 341 | 86 |
| <i>Attributable to:</i> | | | | |
| Owners of the parent | | (226) | 341 | 86 |
| Non-controlling interest | | | | |
| (Loss)/earnings per ordinary share (cents) | 15 | | | |
| (Loss)/earnings from continuing operations | | (61) | 61 | 21 |

| | | | |
|---|-------------|----|-----|
| Earnings/(loss) from discontinued operations | 8 | 18 | (1) |
| Total (loss)/earnings | (53) | 79 | 20 |
| Diluted (loss)/earnings per ordinary share (cents) | 15 | | |
| (Loss)/earnings from continuing operations | (61) | 61 | 21 |
| Earnings/(loss) from discontinued operations | 8 | 18 | (1) |
| Total diluted (loss)/earnings | (53) | 79 | 20 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income

for the years ended June 30, 2013

| Figures in million | Note | US dollar | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------|------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net (loss)/profit for the year | | (226) | 341 | 86 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of income tax | | (668) | (595) | 540 |
| Foreign exchange translation (loss)/gain | 26 | (667) | (607) | 555 |
| Loss on fair value movement of available-for-sale investments | 26 | (9) | (7) | (15) |
| Impairment of available-for-sale investments recognized in profit or loss | 26 | 10 | 19 | |
| Reversal of fair value movement on acquisition of associate | 26 | (2) | | |
| Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year | | (894) | (254) | 626 |

Attributable to:

| | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|-----|
| Owners of the parent | (894) | (254) | 626 |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|-----|

Non-controlling interest

All items in Other comprehensive income/(loss) will be reclassified to profit or loss when specific conditions are met.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated balance sheets

as at June 30, 2013

| Figures in million | Note | US dollar | |
|---|---|--------------|-------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | | |
| Non-current assets | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 16 | 3,287 | 4,003 |
| Intangible assets | 17 | 220 | 268 |
| Restricted cash | 18 | 4 | 4 |
| Restricted investments | 19 | 206 | 224 |
| Deferred tax assets | 13 | 10 | 59 |
| Investments in associates | 22 | 11 | |
| Investments in financial assets | 20 | 5 | 18 |
| Inventories | 24 | 6 | 7 |
| Trade and other receivables | 21 | | 3 |
| Total non-current assets | | 3,749 | 4,586 |
| Current assets | | | |
| Inventories | 24 | 143 | 121 |
| Trade and other receivables | 21 | 116 | 152 |
| Income and mining taxes | | 13 | 14 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 209 | 216 |
| | | 481 | 503 |
| Assets of disposal groups classified as held for sale | 14 | | 174 |
| Total current assets | | 481 | 677 |
| | Washington and California CDS and Class II products | | |
| | ♠ California with pending tribal state compact negotiations | | |
| | ♠ Oklahoma with approvals | | |

for Instant
Bingo
games

We continue monitoring gaming legislation under consideration in several other states, including Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Texas. With our leadership position in traditional and Class III gaming markets and growing presence in CDS and Class II markets, we are well positioned to be a supplier of choice in any potential new markets.

Table of Contents

Sales and Service Regions

Our North America sales and service offices are organized into four regions, to better respond to customer needs.

US Western

Representing approximately 44% of gaming devices in the North America market, the western region spans 11 states, including:

- ♠ Traditional casino markets in Nevada and Colorado
- ♠ Class II and class III markets in California, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Oregon, Wyoming and New Mexico
- ♠ CDS markets in Washington
- ♠ VLT markets in Oregon, Montana and New Mexico

Nevada continues to dominate this region as the largest and most established gaming market in the world, with approximately 195,000 machines in over 250 casinos.

- ♠ Las Vegas, Nevada's largest concentration of gaming, initiated a new wave of expansion in 2005 with the opening of Wynn Las Vegas. This was followed by the Venetian expansion project scheduled to open in 2007, the June 2006 ground breaking of the MGM City Center complex and Wynn's Encore expansion expected in 2010. Boyd Gaming also announced redevelopment plans for the Stardust property to be known as Echelon Place expected to begin in 2007.
- ♠ The Las Vegas off-Strip or locals market experienced new growth in 2006 with the opening of Boyd's South Coast and Station's Red Rock Station Casino, as well the Station's Green Valley Ranch expansion. Other upcoming projects announced include property expansions in North Las Vegas and a major facility in the Southern Hills master planned community.
- ♠ Northern Nevada continued to show signs of expansion in 2006. In Reno, Station Casinos is progressing with new property plans and the Atlantis Casino Resort is considering adding properties as well as expanding their existing casino property. Additionally, the Reno Peppermill Casino received zoning approval for a new property north of Sparks in Spanish Springs.

Table of Contents

California tribal properties continued installation of CDS Class II games in 2006, including a significant expansion at the San Pablo Casino at Lytton Rancheria in the San Francisco Bay Area. In 2006, the Governor of California announced the renegotiation of several tribal state compacts now pending legislative approval. We anticipate approval of these compacts in early 2007 and it may cause other tribes to consider compact renegotiations for facility expansions.

Washington continues with IGT game placements and we anticipate further market expansion in 2007 with seven properties that have applied to open new or expand existing facilities.

Oregon lottery continues with the installation of over 5,000 IGT machines under two contracts awarded in 2006, which are expected to be completed during 2007.

US Central

Spanning 18 states and approximately 28% of North America gaming devices, the central region encompasses:

- ♣ riverboats in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Mississippi and Missouri
- ♣ land based casinos in Michigan and Louisiana
- ♣ Class III casinos in Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin
- ♣ Class II and Class III markets in Oklahoma
- ♣ racinos in Arkansas
- ♣ VLT markets in Louisiana and South Dakota

The largest concentration is in the riverboat jurisdictions and land based casinos with approximately 112,000 machines in 98 locations. The Mississippi Gulf Coast lost 16,000 machines destroyed by hurricane Katrina in August 2005. To date, 10 casinos have reopened with an aggregate of 12,000 machines, while most other affected operators and new operators are expecting to build new facilities over the next two years.

The Iowa Racing Commission granted four additional gaming licenses in May 2005. New openings include:

- ♣ the Riverside Casino and Golf Resort in 2006 with 1,100 units
- ♣ the Wild Rose Casino in 2006 with 500 units
- ♣ two properties scheduled to open in 2007 with 1,500 units

Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin comprise 126 tribal casino properties with approximately 74,000 Class III gaming devices, with continuing opportunities for TITO conversions and legacy product replacements.

Oklahoma currently contains approximately 35,000 gaming machines. We initiated Class III game installations in fiscal 2005 and our installed base grew from 1,000 to 5,400 units during fiscal 2006. Oklahoma also became the 19th state to join the IGT Native American Progressive Systems links in 2006 with 198 WAP and 66 stand-alone games currently installed.

Arkansas regulations for games of skill were approved by the Racing Commission in August 2006. We expect to begin installing units by the end of calendar 2006 at:

- ♣ Southland Park in West Memphis, opening their expanded facility with 800 units
- ♣ Oaklawn Park in Hot Springs with an initial 130 units and plans for a larger facility to open 2008

Louisiana and South Dakota anticipate VLT replacements in the near term, while Nebraska, Kansas, and Texas may generate additional opportunities in 2007 and beyond.

US Eastern

Comprising approximately 17% of the North America installed base, the eastern region includes:

- ♣ traditional casino markets in Atlantic City, New Jersey and cruise ships

- ♠ tribal Class III casinos in Connecticut, New York, and North Carolina
- ♠ centrally monitored facilities in Delaware, Maine, New York, Rhode Island, and West Virginia
- ♠ Class II CDS facilities in Alabama and Florida
- ♠ Pennsylvania when its program starts in fiscal 2007

Table of Contents

Atlantic City remains the region's largest market with approximately 39,000 machines. This market is becoming more competitive as casino ownership concentrates due to mergers and properties update their floors to include more table games and non-gaming amenities. Pinnacle Entertainment recently purchased The Sands, which closed in November 2006 to make way for a major resort casino.

Six new cruise ships were added in fiscal 2006 and nine more are expected to launch in 2007. Each ship carries approximately 200 gaming machines.

New York and Connecticut casinos are in the midst of Class III expansions. New York's Seneca added 900 machines during fiscal 2006 to its Niagara Casino, is adding a new hotel to its Allegany property and planning a new facility in Buffalo, New York. Foxwoods in Connecticut is expected to open its 1,500 machine expansion in 2008.

New York, Rhode Island, Maine and West Virginia racino markets continue to grow.

- ♣ In New York and Rhode Island, we have long-term revenue sharing arrangements in place and continue achieving market share gains at existing facilities through the reallocation process based on quality game performance.
- ♣ New York's addition of Tioga Downs and Yonkers in 2006 and the anticipated opening of Vernon Downs in early fiscal 2007 will bring this market up to approximately 10,000 machines. The New York Aqueduct track opening is not expected until 2008.
- ♣ In Rhode Island, we expect the Lincoln Park 1,400 machine expansion to open in April 2007.
- ♣ In Maine, we expect the permanent Penn National facility to open in mid 2008. Our machines were installed at the temporary facility in November 2005.
- ♣ In West Virginia, we anticipate Charles Town will complete its 800 to 1000 unit expansion in early 2007.

Alabama CDS charitable bingo markets grew in 2006 with expansions at two facilities.

Florida tribal properties continue replacing competitor products with IGT Class II CDS machines. Ongoing Florida tribal compact negotiations will determine if Class III machines will be allowed in this market. If Class III machines are allowed, we anticipate the Florida market could increase by as many as 15,000 units and gradually replace Class II machines. With court decisions upholding the Broward County voter referendum allowing gaming at racetracks, operators announced plans to install 6,000 new games at four facilities beginning early in 2007.

Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board approved regulations and awarded the first five conditional gaming licenses in September 2006 for the 61,000 slot machines in 14 racetrack venues statewide legalized in July 2004. In December, the gaming board is expected to consider whether to distribute seven additional licenses. With IGT shipments in October 2006 and the first casino opening in November 2006, we anticipate approximately 40,000 games will be installed in this market through fiscal 2010.

Canada

Every Canadian province has some form of gaming, which we service with four sales and technical support facilities in Canada. Casinos, racinos and public gaming in Canada make up 11% of the North America market installed base. VLT programs are operated by government lottery corporations in Alberta, Manitoba, Quebec, Saskatchewan, and the four Atlantic provinces. While Canadian markets have stabilized, replacements and expansions provide steady machine, parts, and game software sales.

Table of Contents

International

Our International sales and service offices are located to better serve customer needs, address specific regulatory environments, and pursue new and expanding market opportunities outside of North America.

IGT's presence in international gaming markets commenced in 1986, and we continue to pursue growth opportunities outside of North America. Our goal is to further capitalize on the design and manufacturing experience in North America, while customizing products for unique local and regulatory environments. Our International headquarters, located in the Netherlands, oversees all international operations servicing:

- ♣ casino markets in Asia, Europe, Latin America, Russia and South Africa

- ♣ club markets in Australia and New Zealand

- ♣ AWP markets in the UK and continental Europe

- ♣ pachisuro markets in Japan

- ♣ US military bases overseas

The outlook for international market expansion continues to improve as support increases for legalized casinos as a means of promoting tourism revenue. We anticipate increased financial contributions from our international operations as these markets continue to grow in significance.

Europe

Our Netherlands office opened in 1992 to service casino markets in continental Europe, the Middle East and North Africa. Casinos and slot halls in these markets compete with non-casino environments such as pubs and arcades. We anticipate moderate growth in Europe's installed unit base. Replacement units in this market are increasingly driven by cashless solutions such as TITO.

In 2004, we opened a representative office in Moscow to explore market opportunities in Russia and the Commonwealth of Independent States (former Soviet bloc countries). Commercial outlook for fiscal 2007 in Russia is uncertain due to the impact of unfavorable legislative changes.

Latin America

With offices in Argentina, Mexico, and Brazil, we market gaming equipment in several legalized gaming jurisdictions in Latin America. Our office in Mexico was established in conjunction with our entry into the CDS Video Bingo market beginning in fiscal 2005. Mexico's CDS market continues to expand and we anticipate further CDS development in other Latin America jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

South Africa

Our office in Midrand, Gauteng services the gaming markets located in Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa and the Indian Ocean Islands. Casino gaming in South Africa is governed under its 1996 National Gambling Act, allowing for the allocation of up to 40 casino licenses in nine provinces. We introduced WAP games in South Africa during fiscal 2006.

Asia

Our Macau office was established in fiscal 2005 to service the Asia region. Rapid growth is projected in Macau and the surrounding region over the next few years, as countries such as the Philippines, Singapore, Vietnam, and Cambodia consider and implement further gaming expansion and development.

Australia and New Zealand

Australia is one of the largest and most established markets for video gaming products outside of North America. Our offices in Australia and New Zealand provide sales and customer service for casino, club, and hotel gaming. Base or neuter machines manufactured in Reno are shipped to Australia for completion with necessary game development, programming, testing, delivery and installation. Although harm minimization continues to be an important political issue in this region, most of the more restrictive gaming measures are fully implemented and our experience indicates operator confidence is returning to the market.

United Kingdom

We established full scale operations in Manchester, England with the acquisition of Barcrest Limited in March 1998. Barcrest is a leading UK manufacturer of AWP games and top box products, servicing markets in both the UK and continental Europe. We added facilities in the Midlands region during fiscal 2002 for casino gaming implementations under the new UK Gambling Act.

Barcrest markets are primarily replacement driven, dominated by pubs, licensed betting offices, bingo halls and arcades that demand regular releases of new machines. Our efforts in this market are built around three design centers focusing on UK products, and one design center focused on products for continental Europe. The UK market installed base is approximately 240,000 units and new games are launched every four to six weeks.

Japan

We have served the gaming market in Japan since 1990 with engineering, development, sales, and administration from our head office in Tokyo and a logistics office in Nagano. Our manufacturing arrangement with Sega Sammy, a Japanese pachislots maker, originated in 2004 is helping to position our company as a more competitive and consistent performer in the pachisuro marketplace. During 2006, Japan began the transition from Reg-4 games to the more restrictive Reg-5 games. All Reg-4 pachisuro machines are required to be removed from the market by the end of September 2007. The market installed base of pachisuro machines is estimated at over 1.8 million units with an estimated 50% average annual turnover.

Internet Gaming

Our internet customer relations are concentrated in the UK and Ireland. We do not provide internet gaming services in jurisdictions where they are not legal.

COMPETITION

The market for gaming machines and systems is highly competitive, constantly evolving and subject to rapid technological change. New product development is a significant driver of competition. Principal competitive factors include:

- ♠ product functionality and features
- ♠ product architecture and technological innovations
- ♠ availability and quality of support
- ♠ ease and speed of product implementation
- ♠ vendor and product reputation

- ♠ knowledge of gaming industry practice
- ♠ customer acceptance and player preference

15

Table of Contents

We think we have a global competitive advantage attributable to:

- ♠ broad alliances and long history with customers
- ♠ the breadth of our gaming products
- ♠ a dynamic and diverse library of innovative and strong performing games
- ♠ developing systems that enhance operator profitability
- ♠ an extensive collection of intellectual properties
- ♠ the highest levels of customer service and support
- ♠ the financial strength to aggressively invest in research and development
- ♠ an extensive, well established infrastructure of sales and manufacturing
- ♠ worldwide recognition and geographic diversity

Our competitors include, but are not limited to, the following manufacturers that have developed casino-style gaming products and are either authorized to sell products or are in the licensing process in many US and foreign gaming jurisdictions:

- ♠ Ainsworth Gaming Technology
- ♠ Aristocrat Leisure Limited
- ♠ Aruze, formerly known as Universal
- ♠ Bally Technologies, Inc. (formerly Alliance)
- ♠ Franco Gaming, Ltd., a division of Recreativos Franco
- ♠ Gauselmann Group (Atronics)
- ♠ Konami Co. Ltd.
- ♠ Lottomatica, (acquired GTech in 2006)
- ♠ Multimedia Gaming Inc.
- ♠ Novomatic Industries
- ♠ Progressive Gaming International Corporation
- ♠ Rocket Gaming Systems
- ♠ Scientific Games Corporation
- ♠ Shuffle Master Inc.
- ♠ Unidesa (part of the Cirsa Group)

♠ Video Game Technologies

♠ WMS Industries

OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW

Manufacturing and Suppliers

In addition to our main production facility in Reno, Nevada, we manufacture AWP and UK casino products in the UK and pachisuro machines through a third party manufacturer in Japan. AWP and pachisuro machines provide lower game yields due to associated regulatory restrictions and generally have shorter product life cycles. International casino and club gaming machines are fabricated, whole or in kit form, at our Reno facility.

Our manufacturing operations primarily involve the assembly of electronic components, cables, harnesses, video monitors and prefabricated parts purchased from outside sources. We also operate facilities for cabinet manufacturing, silkscreen, and digital design. We have a broad base of material suppliers and utilize multi-sourcing practices to ensure component availability.

Our Reno facility currently devotes approximately 889,000 square feet to product development, manufacturing, warehousing, shipping and receiving. We enlarged our Reno facility with additional space for offices, manufacturing, and a new data center in fiscal 2005. The consolidation of various Las Vegas leased facilities and 830 employees into a new 37-acre central campus began in fiscal 2004 and is estimated to be completed in 2007.

During fiscal 2006, our ISO 9001.2000 manufacturing industry certification was maintained for our Nevada and UK facilities demonstrating our commitment to quality management. The ISO standards represent an international consensus with respect to the design and use of practices intended to ensure ongoing customer satisfaction with consistent delivery of products and services.

We generally carry a significant amount of inventory due to the broad range of products we manufacture. Our production backlog totaled approximately \$353.9 million at October 31, 2006 and \$442.1 million at October 31, 2005. We reasonably expect to fill this backlog within the next fiscal year.

Table of Contents

Sales and Distribution

Our products and services are sold to gaming operators and governmental entities that conduct gaming operations. We market our products through our worldwide network of approximately 290 sales associates. We use third party distributors and agents in certain markets under arrangements that generally do not specify minimum purchases and provide for termination if certain performance standards are not maintained.

Customer Service

We consider customer service an important aspect of our overall marketing strategy and a key factor differentiating us from our competitors. We provide product delivery and services for installation, warranty, after-market technical support, new product training and support, supplemental equipment and spare parts, product retrofit, game conversions, as well as WAP networks and internet casino operations. We employ approximately 1,000 trained personnel in over 50 customer service centers worldwide.

IGT provides access to product information and a direct link for two-way communication between the customer and IGT 24 hours a day, seven days a week. We also offer customers a variety of classes through the IGT Training University to ensure their employees can successfully use our products to their full potential. We provide 24-hour customer support through our website (www.IGT.com) and a fully staffed Global Support Center telephone hotline, with access to a full range of field support engineering resources to resolve technical issues.

Regulatory Compliance

We dedicate over 90 people to regulatory compliance worldwide in order to ensure that IGT products meet requirements in each gaming jurisdiction and that we obtain the necessary approvals and licenses. IGT holds over 340 gaming licenses in over 290 licensed jurisdictions worldwide, as well as 100 or more international jurisdictions not requiring licensure. We submitted almost 47,000 products for regulatory approval during fiscal 2006.

Employees

As of September 30, 2006, we employed approximately 5,200 individuals worldwide, including 4,200 in North America and 1,000 internationally. We believe we have favorable relationships with our employees. IGT is committed to providing employees a stable and rewarding work environment, the opportunity to grow based on their talents, and the opportunity to share in the success of the company, which they make possible.

GOVERNMENT GAMING REGULATION

General

We operate in most legal casino gaming jurisdictions worldwide, as well as in a significant number of legalized lottery jurisdictions. The manufacture and distribution of gaming equipment and related software and the operation of casinos is subject to regulation in these jurisdictions by various regulators at all levels from city and tribal officials along with federal regulatory agencies, with the majority of oversight being provided by each individual state's gaming regulators. While the regulatory requirements vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, the majority of these jurisdictions require:

- ♣ licenses and/or permits
- ♣ findings of suitability
- ♣ documentation of qualification including evidence of financial stability
- ♣ other required approvals for companies that manufacture and distribute gaming equipment
- ♣ individual suitability of officers, directors, major stockholders and key employees

Various gaming regulatory agencies have issued licenses allowing us to manufacture and distribute our gaming machines and systems. IGT and our key personnel have obtained or applied for all government licenses, permits, registrations, findings of suitability and approvals necessary to maintain compliance with all regulatory agency requirements. Many of the regulations at each level are similar or overlapping; however, we are required to satisfy all conditions individually for each jurisdiction. Laws of the various gaming regulatory agencies serve to protect the public and ensure that gaming related activity is conducted honestly, competitively, and free of corruption. In the jurisdictions where we operate gaming machines, regulatory oversight additionally ensures that the local authorities

receive the appropriate amount of tax revenues. As such, our operations financial systems and reporting functions must demonstrate high levels of detail and integrity.

In some jurisdictions, regulators not only govern the activities that take place in their particular jurisdiction, but they also oversee activities that occur in other jurisdictions to ensure that the company is in compliance with local standards on a worldwide basis. As a Nevada corporation, we are held responsible by our state regulatory authorities to maintain Nevada standards for all of our operations worldwide. For this reason, in a number of jurisdictions, we employ community staff members and legal resources familiar with local customs who regularly report to our compliance department to assist in keeping us compliant with applicable regulations worldwide.

Table of Contents

The nature of the industry and our worldwide operations make this process very time consuming and requires extensive resources. Through this process, we seek to assure both regulators and investors that all our operations maintain the highest levels of integrity and avoid any appearance of improprieties. We have never been denied a gaming related license, nor have our licenses ever been suspended or revoked.

Federal Registration

The Gambling Devices Act of 1962 makes it unlawful for a person to manufacture, transport, or receive gaming machines, gaming devices or components across interstate lines unless that person has first registered with the Attorney General of the US Department of Justice. In addition, gambling device identification and record keeping requirements are imposed by this act. Violation of this act may result in seizure and forfeiture of the equipment, as well as other penalties. Entities involved in the manufacture and transportation of gaming devices are required to register annually. We are confident that we have complied with the registration requirements of this act.

Responsible Gaming

Social responsibility is a cornerstone of IGT's business philosophy. Our Corporate Responsible Gaming Program continues to gain positive recognition in the US and internationally. New gaming jurisdictions are becoming more cognizant of the importance of social protections as a critical part of any new or expanding gaming initiatives. We dedicate a full time position to the implementation of a formal responsible gaming program that strives to provide protection for vulnerable populations while helping to ensure the future of our business.

We partner with both gaming stakeholders and the community to raise awareness of problem gambling, promote education and research, as well as provide funding for treatment. IGT works closely with public policy makers to formulate sound responsible gaming policies. It is our goal to provide the best gaming entertainment in the world for our customers while endeavoring to create the most effective safety net possible for those individuals who may be adversely affected.

Nevada

The manufacture, sale and distribution of gaming devices in Nevada or for use outside Nevada are subject to extensive state and local laws, regulations and ordinances of the Nevada Gaming Commission, the State Gaming Control Board, and various county and municipal regulatory authorities (collectively referred to as the Nevada gaming authorities). These laws, regulations and ordinances primarily cover the responsibility, financial stability and character of gaming equipment manufacturers, distributors and operators, as well as persons financially interested or involved in gaming operations.

The manufacture, distribution and operation of gaming devices require separate licenses. The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Nevada gaming authorities seek to:

- (i) prevent unsavory or unsuitable persons from having a direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity
- (ii) establish and maintain responsible accounting practices and procedures
- (iii) maintain effective control over the financial practices of licensees, including establishing minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues, providing reliable record keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Nevada gaming authorities
- (iv) prevent cheating and fraudulent practices, and
- (v) provide a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees.

Changes in these laws, regulations, procedures, and judicial or regulatory interpretations could have an adverse effect on our gaming operations.

Our subsidiaries conducting the manufacture, sale, and distribution of gaming devices in Nevada or for use outside Nevada, as well as the operation of slot machine routes and other gaming activities in Nevada, are each required to be licensed by the Nevada gaming authorities. Our licenses must be renewed periodically and the Nevada gaming authorities have broad discretion regarding such renewals. Licenses are not transferable. Each type of machine we sell

in Nevada must first be approved by the Commission and may require subsequent machine modification. Our gaming subsidiaries licensed in Nevada must also report substantially all loans, leases, and sales of securities and similar financing transactions of a material nature to the GCB and/or have them approved by the Commission. We believe we have obtained all required licenses and/or approvals necessary to carry on our business in Nevada.

Table of Contents

The Company is registered with the Commission as a publicly traded corporation and is required periodically to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Commission and to furnish any other information that the Commission may require. No person may become a stockholder of or receive any percentage of profits from our licensed gaming subsidiaries, without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Nevada gaming authorities. Our officers, directors and key employees who are actively engaged in the administration or supervision of gaming and/or directly involved in gaming activities of our licensed gaming subsidiaries may be required to file applications with the Nevada gaming authorities and may be required to be licensed or found suitable by them. Officers, directors, and certain key employees of our licensed gaming subsidiaries must file applications with the Nevada gaming authorities and may be required by them to be licensed or found suitable.

In addition, anyone having a material relationship or involvement with us or any of our licensed gaming subsidiaries may be required to be found suitable or licensed and to pay to the GCB all of its investigation costs and fees. The Commission may deny an application for licensure or finding of suitability for any cause deemed reasonable. A finding of suitability is comparable to licensing and both require submission of detailed personal and financial information followed by a thorough background investigation. We must report changes in licensed positions to the Commission. The Commission may disapprove any change in position by one of our officers, directors, or key employees, or require us to suspend or dismiss officers, directors or other key employees and sever relationships with other persons who refuse to file appropriate applications, or whom the Nevada gaming authorities find unsuitable to act in such capacities. Determinations of suitability or of questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Nevada.

We are required to submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Commission. If the Commission determines that we are in violation of any gaming laws, our gaming licenses can be limited, conditioned, suspended or revoked. In addition, the Company, our licensed gaming subsidiaries and any persons involved may be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation of the gaming laws at the discretion of the Commission. The Commission also has the power to appoint a supervisor to operate our gaming properties and, under certain circumstances, earnings generated during the supervisor's appointment could be forfeited to the State of Nevada. The limitation, conditioning or suspension of our gaming licenses or the appointment of a supervisor could (and revocation of our gaming licenses would) materially and adversely affect our gaming operations.

The Commission may require any beneficial holder of our voting securities, regardless of the number of shares owned, to file an application, be investigated, and be found suitable, in which case the applicant would be required to pay the costs and fees of the GCB investigation. If the beneficial holder of voting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership, or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information including a list of beneficial owners. Any person who acquires more than 5% of the Company's voting securities must report this to the Commission. Any person who becomes a beneficial owner of more than 10% of our voting securities must apply for a finding of suitability within 30 days after the Chairman of the GCB mails the written notice requiring this finding of suitability.

Under certain circumstances, an institutional investor, as this term is defined in the Nevada gaming regulations, acquiring more than 10% but not more than 15% of our voting securities, may apply to the Commission for a waiver of these finding of suitability requirements, provided the institutional investor holds the voting securities for investment purposes only. An institutional investor will not be deemed to hold voting securities for investment purposes unless the voting securities were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of its business.

Our voting securities must not be acquired for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly,

- (i) the election of a majority of our board of directors,
- (ii) any change in our corporate charter, bylaws, management, policies or operations, or
- (iii) any other action the Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding our voting securities for investment purposes only.

The Commission considers voting on all matters voted on by stockholders and the making of financial and other informational inquiries of the type normally made by securities analysts, to be consistent with investment intent.

The Commission has the power to investigate any person who holds our debt or equity securities. The Clark County Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board, with jurisdiction over gaming in the Las Vegas area, may similarly require a finding of suitability of a security holder. The applicant stockholder is required to pay all costs of such investigation. Our bylaws provide for us to pay these costs that are related to our officers, directors or employees.

Table of Contents

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Commission or the Chairman of the GCB may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner who fails to identify the beneficial owner, if requested to do so. Any stockholder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of our common stock beyond such period of time as may be prescribed by the Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense.

We are subject to disciplinary action and possible loss of our approvals if, after we receive notice that a person is unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with us or any of our licensed gaming subsidiaries, we:

- (i) pay that person any dividend or interest upon our voting securities,
- (ii) allow that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through securities held by that person,
- (iii) give remuneration in any form to that person, for services rendered or otherwise, or
- (iv) fail to pursue all lawful efforts to require such unsuitable person to relinquish his voting securities for cash at fair market value.

Additionally, the Clark County authorities have taken the position that they have the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation controlling a gaming licensee.

The Commission may, in its discretion, require the holder of our debt securities to file an application, be investigated and be found suitable to own any of our debt securities. If the Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own any of these securities, then pursuant to the Nevada gaming laws, we can be sanctioned, including the loss of our approvals, if without prior Commission approval, we:

- (i) pay to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest, or any distribution whatsoever;
- (ii) recognize any voting right by such unsuitable person in connection with such securities;
- (iii) pay the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or
- (iv) make any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation, or similar transaction.

We are required to maintain a current stock ledger in Nevada, subject to examination by the Commission at any time. If any of our securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Commission. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. We are also required to render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner. The Commission has the power at any time to require our stock certificates to bear a legend indicating that the securities are subject to the Nevada gaming laws and the regulations of the Commission. To date, the Commission has not imposed this requirement on us.

We may not make a public offering of our securities without the prior approval of the Commission if the securities or their proceeds are intended to be used to construct, acquire or finance gaming facilities in Nevada, or retire or extend obligations incurred for such purposes. Such approval, if given, does not constitute a finding, recommendation, or approval by the Commission or the GCB as to the accuracy or adequacy of the prospectus or the investment merits of the securities. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

In July 2005, the Commission granted us prior approval to make public offerings for a period of two years, subject to certain conditions (referred to as a shelf approval). The chairman of the GCB may rescind the shelf approval for good cause without prior notice upon the issuance of an interlocutory stop order. The shelf approval does not constitute a finding, recommendation, or approval by the Commission or the GCB as to the accuracy or adequacy of the prospectus or the investment merits of the securities offered. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

Changes in control of the Company through merger, consolidation, acquisition of assets or stock, management or consulting agreements or any form of takeover cannot occur without the prior investigation of the GCB and approval

of the Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of us must satisfy the GCB and the Commission in a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control. The Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control, to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process relating to the transaction.

Table of Contents

The Nevada legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and other corporate defense tactics that affect Nevada gaming licensees, and publicly traded corporations that are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Commission has established a regulatory scheme to guard against the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Nevada's gaming industry and to further Nevada's policy to:

- (i) assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates
- (ii) preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and
- (iii) promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Commission before we can make exceptional repurchases of voting securities above their current market price and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management, can be consummated. Nevada's gaming laws and regulations also require prior approval by the Commission if we were to adopt a plan of recapitalization proposed by our board of directors in opposition to a tender offer made directly to our stockholders for the purpose of acquiring control of us.

License fees and taxes are imposed by the Nevada gaming authorities and are payable either quarterly or annually. The fees and taxes are computed in various ways depending on the type of activity involved by our subsidiaries and the cities and counties where our subsidiaries conduct operations. Annual fees are payable to the GCB to renew our licenses as a manufacturer, distributor, and operator of a slot machine route. Nevada law also requires persons providing gaming machines in Nevada to casino customers on a revenue participation basis to pay their proportionate share of the taxes imposed on gaming revenues generated by the participation gaming machines.

Any person who is licensed, required to be licensed, registered, required to be registered, or is under common control with such persons (collectively referred to as licensees), and who proposes to participate in the conduct of gaming operations outside of Nevada is required to deposit with the GCB, and thereafter maintain, a revolving fund in the amount of \$10,000 to pay the expenses of investigation by the GCB of the licensee's participation in foreign gaming. This revolving fund is subject to increase or decrease at the discretion of the Commission. As a licensee, we are required to comply with certain reporting requirements imposed by the Nevada laws. We are also subject to disciplinary action by the Commission if we knowingly violate any laws of the foreign jurisdiction pertaining to our foreign gaming operation, fail to conduct our foreign gaming operations in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations, engage in activities that are harmful to the State of Nevada or its ability to collect gaming taxes and fees, or employ a person in the foreign operation who has been denied a license or finding of suitability in Nevada on the grounds of personal unsuitability.

Native America

Federal law, tribal-state compacts, and tribal gaming regulations govern gaming on Native American lands. The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 provides the framework for federal and state control over all gaming on Native American lands and is administered by the National Indian Gaming Commission and the Secretary of the US Department of the Interior. The commission has authority to issue regulations governing tribal gaming activities, approve tribal ordinances for regulating gaming, approve management agreements for gaming facilities, conduct investigations and monitor tribal gaming generally. The act is subject to interpretation by the commission and may be subject to judicial and legislative clarification or amendment.

The act requires that the tribe and the state enter into a written agreement, a tribal-state compact, which governs the terms of the gaming activities. Tribal-state compacts vary from state-to-state and in many cases require equipment manufacturers and/or distributors to meet ongoing registration and licensing requirements. In addition, many Native American tribes, to regulate gaming-related activity on Indian lands, have established tribal gaming commissions. Indian tribes are sovereign in their own government systems, with primary regulatory authority over gaming on land within the tribes' jurisdictions. IGT distributes gaming equipment to Native American tribes that have negotiated compacts with their states and/or have received federal approval where required.

International

Certain foreign countries permit the importation, sale and operation of gaming equipment in casino and non-casino environments. Some countries prohibit or restrict the payout feature of the traditional slot machine or limit the operation and the number of slot machines to a controlled number of casinos or casino-like locations. Each gaming machine must comply with the individual country's regulations. Certain jurisdictions require the licensing of gaming machine operators and manufacturers.

We manufacture and supply gaming equipment to various international markets including Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, Japan, Latin America, New Zealand, and the UK. We have obtained the required licenses to manufacture and distribute our products in the various foreign jurisdictions where we do business.

Table of Contents

Internet Gaming

With the acquisition of WagerWorks in August 2005, we provide online gaming content and services for certain jurisdictions. We provide online casino software and services from Alderney in the British Channel Islands, which has a substantial regulatory structure for internet based wagering under the Alderney Gaming Control Commission. Recognizing the legal uncertainties and recent legislative initiatives regarding online gaming in the US, we do not accept wagers from US citizens. We have extensive operational control structures for regulatory compliance encompassing software and game development, computer operations, consumer cash handling, and identity checking.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We urge you to carefully review the following discussion of the specific risks and uncertainties that affect our business. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

Our ability to operate in our existing markets or expand into new jurisdictions could be adversely affected by changing regulations or problems with obtaining needed licenses or approvals.

We operate only in jurisdictions where gaming is legal. The gaming industry is subject to extensive governmental regulation by US federal, state and local governments, as well as tribal officials or organizations and foreign governments. While the regulatory requirements vary by jurisdiction, most require:

- ♠ licenses and/or permits
- ♠ findings of suitability
- ♠ documentation of qualifications, including evidence of financial stability
- ♠ other required approvals for companies who manufacture or distribute gaming equipment and services
- ♠ individual suitability of officers, directors, major stockholders and key employees

Any delays in obtaining regulatory approvals needed for expansion within existing markets or into new jurisdictions can negatively affect our opportunities for growth. Further, changes in existing gaming regulations may hinder or prevent us from continuing to operate in those jurisdictions where we currently do business, which would harm our operating results. In particular, the enactment of unfavorable legislation or government efforts affecting or directed at manufacturers or gaming operators, such as referendums to increase gaming taxes or requirements to use local distributors, can have a negative impact on our operations.

Slow growth in the number of new casinos or the rate of replacement of existing gaming machines could limit or reduce our future profits.

Demand for our products is driven substantially by the replacement of existing gaming machines, the establishment of new gaming jurisdictions, and the addition of new casinos or expansion of existing casinos within existing gaming jurisdictions. The establishment or expansion of gaming in any jurisdiction typically requires a public referendum or other legislative action. As a result, gaming continues to be the subject of public debate, and there are numerous active organizations that oppose gaming. Opposition to gaming could result in restrictions on or even prohibitions of gaming operations in any jurisdiction. In addition, the rate of growth in the North American marketplace has diminished. A continued reduction in growth or in the number of gaming jurisdictions or delays in the opening of new or expanded casinos could reduce the demand for our products.

Demand for our products could be adversely affected by changes in player and operator preferences.

As a supplier of gaming machines, we must offer themes and products that appeal to gaming operators and players. If we are unable to anticipate or timely react to any significant changes in player preferences, such as a negative change in the trend of acceptance of our newest systems innovations or jackpot fatigue (declining play levels on smaller jackpots), the demand for our gaming products could decline. Further, our products could suffer a loss of floor space to table games and operators may reduce revenue sharing arrangements, each of which would harm our sales and financial results. In addition, general changes in consumer behavior, such as reduced travel activity and redirection of entertainment dollars to other venues, could result in reduced demand for our products.

Our business is vulnerable to changing economic conditions.

Unfavorable changes in general economic conditions including recession, economic slowdown, or higher fuel or other transportation costs, may reduce disposable income of casino patrons or result in fewer patrons visiting casinos. Such a decline in the relative health of the gaming industry would likely result in a decline in the amount of resources our customers have to purchase our products and services. This may also result in reduced play levels, which could cause our cash flows and revenues from revenue sharing products to decline. Our operating results may be negatively impacted by a decrease in interest rates causing an increase in jackpot expense and a reduction of investment income.

Table of Contents

Our success in the competitive gaming industry depends in large part on our ability to develop and manage frequent introductions of innovative products.

The gaming industry is intensely competitive, and many of our competitors have substantial resources and specialize in the development and marketing of their products. Because the gaming industry is characterized by dynamic customer demand and rapid technological advances, we must continually introduce and successfully market new themes and technologies in order to remain competitive and effectively stimulate customer demand. Our customers will accept a new product only if it is likely to increase operator profits more than competitors' products. There is no guarantee that our new products will attain this market acceptance or that our competitors will not more effectively anticipate or respond to changing customer preferences. In addition, any delays by us in introducing new games on schedule could negatively impact our operating results by providing an opportunity for our competitors to introduce new products and gain market share ahead of us.

Failure to attract, retain and motivate key employees may adversely affect our ability to compete.

Our success depends largely on recruiting and retaining talented employees. The market for qualified executives and highly skilled, technical workers is intensely competitive. The loss of key employees or an inability to hire a sufficient number of technical staff could limit our ability to develop successful products and cause delays in getting new products to market.

We may be unable to protect our intellectual property.

A significant portion of our revenues is generated from products using certain intellectual property rights, and our operating results would be negatively impacted if we were unsuccessful in protecting these rights from infringement. In addition, some of our most popular games and features are based on trademarks, patents and other intellectual property licensed from third parties. The continued success of these games may depend upon our ability to retain or expand these licenses with reasonable terms. We also depend on trade secret law to protect certain proprietary knowledge and have entered into confidentiality agreements with those of our employees who have access to this information. However, there can be no guarantees that our employees will not breach these agreements, and if these agreements are breached it is unlikely that the remedies available to us will be sufficient to compensate us for the damages suffered.

We may be subject to claims of intellectual property infringement or invalidity.

Periodically we receive notification from others claiming that we are infringing their patent, trademark or other intellectual property rights. Regardless of their merit, such claims may cause us to incur significant costs. Responding to these claims could also require us to stop selling or to redesign our products, to pay significant amounts in damages or enter into agreements to pay significant licensing fees or royalties. Additionally, if any of these claims prove successful, it could limit our ability to bring new products to market in the future.

Our gaming machines and online operations may experience losses due to fraudulent activities.

We incorporate security features into the design of our gaming machines and other systems, including those responsible for our online operations, designed to prevent us and our patrons from being defrauded. However, there can be no guarantee that such security features will continue to be effective in the future. If our security systems fail to prevent fraud, our operating results could be adversely affected. Additionally, if third parties breach our security systems and defraud our patrons, the public may lose confidence in our gaming machines and operations.

Our outstanding debt obligations subject us to additional risks.

Our Debentures are currently convertible through mid January 2007. Due to the net settlement feature of our new Debentures, our liquidity could be impacted if a significant number of Debentures are converted. Additionally, our Senior Credit Facility subjects us to a number of financial covenants which could result in an event of default if not complied with. The Senior Credit Facility also includes restrictions that may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry.

The risks related to operations outside of traditional US law could negatively affect our results.

We operate in many countries outside of the US and tribal jurisdictions with sovereign immunity which subjects us to certain inherent risks including:

- ♠ political or economic instability in international markets

- ♠ additional costs of compliance with international laws
- ♠ tariffs and other trade barriers
- ♠ fluctuations in foreign exchange rates
- ♠ adverse changes in the creditworthiness of parties with whom we have significant receivables or forward currency exchange contracts

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

We expect our current properties will be adequate for our near-term business needs.

Corporate Headquarters

Our largest manufacturing facility and corporate headquarters are located in Reno, Nevada, where we built our own 1.2 million square foot facility to house our manufacturing, cabinet production, silkscreen, engineering, sales and corporate administrative functions. This facility supports production for North America and all international markets, except Japan and the UK. In fiscal 2005, we completed an expansion of our Reno facility adding more than 100,000 square feet. We also maintain warehousing facilities in Reno totaling 191,000 square feet.

North America

Our largest sales and service office is located in Las Vegas, Nevada, where we lease approximately 448,000 square feet in warehousing, sales and administration facilities. The operating leases for the facilities expire between March 2007 and June 2008. In fiscal 2004, we purchased land and began construction of a new 600,000 square foot Las Vegas campus, primarily to consolidate several leased facilities. This facility is scheduled for completion in 2007. Additionally, we lease approximately 395,000 square feet of warehousing, sales and service facilities throughout the US and Canada to support local market needs.

International

Our most significant international facilities are located in the UK and Australia. We own 149,000 square feet and lease 30,000 square feet under leases expiring through September 2010, which support manufacturing, sales and administrative functions in the UK. We own 12,000 square feet in New Zealand and lease 121,000 square feet in Australia under leases expiring through November 2009, for subassembly, sales and administration. All other properties used for international operations total 263,000 square feet under leases expiring through April 2012.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

IGT has been named in and has brought lawsuits in the normal course of business. We do not expect the outcome of these suits to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. A description of certain of these matters is contained in Note 15 of our Consolidated Financial Statements and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol IGT. The following table presents the high and low closing prices of our common stock as traded on the NYSE and quarterly cash dividends declared for the last two fiscal years.

| | Stock Price High | Stock Price Low | Dividends Declared |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Fiscal 2006 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$31.29 | \$25.44 | \$0.125 |
| Second Quarter | 37.18 | 30.12 | 0.125 |
| Third Quarter | 39.39 | 34.71 | 0.125 |
| Fourth Quarter | 42.34 | 35.48 | 0.130 |
| Fiscal 2005 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$36.67 | \$31.23 | \$0.120 |
| Second Quarter | 33.87 | 26.23 | 0.120 |
| Third Quarter | 30.21 | 24.22 | 0.120 |
| Fourth Quarter | 29.43 | 26.49 | 0.125 |

There were approximately 2,400 record holders of IGT's common stock and the closing price was \$43.75 as of December 1, 2006.

IGT's transfer agent and registrar is: The Bank of New York
Investor Relations Department
PO Box 11258
Church Street Station
New York, NY 10286
(800) 524-4458

Share Repurchases

The purpose of our 1990 common stock repurchase authorization, as amended, is to return value to our shareholders and reduce the number of shares outstanding. We may repurchase shares in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions, or under Rule 10b5-1 trading plans, depending on market conditions and other factors. The authorization does not specify an expiration date.

Our fourth quarter repurchases and remaining authorization is summarized below. Shares purchased exclude treasury shares acquired in non-cash transactions related to forfeited stock awards or shares exchanged for options exercised. We repurchased no additional shares after September 30, 2006 through December 8, 2006.

| Periods | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as part of a Publicly Announced Plan | Maximum Number of Shares Still Available for Purchase Under the Plan |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | | | | |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|------|
| July 2 - July 29, 2006 | 0.2 | \$ 38.02 | 0.2 | 17.5 |
| July 30 - August 26, 2006 | 2.3 | \$ 37.39 | 2.3 | 15.2 |
| August 27 - September 30, 2006 | 3.8 | \$ 40.84 | 3.8 | 11.4 |
| Period total | 6.3 | \$ 39.49 | 6.3 | |

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

| As of and for Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002⁽¹⁾ |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,511.7 | \$ 2,379.4 | \$ 2,484.7 | \$ 2,128.1 | \$ 1,728.5 |
| Gross profit | 1,371.7 | 1,190.7 | 1,319.2 | 1,094.8 | 842.9 |
| Earnings of unconsolidated affiliates ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | 32.5 |
| Operating income | 725.1 | 663.7 | 814.3 | 665.9 | 516.5 |
| Income from continuing operations, net of tax ^(2,3) | 473.6 | 436.5 | 429.8 | 375.3 | 254.7 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax ⁽⁴⁾ | | | 58.9 | 15.4 | 16.5 |
| Net income ^(2,3,4) | 473.6 | 436.5 | 488.7 | 390.7 | 271.2 |
| Basic earnings per share ^(2,3) | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.09 | \$ 0.75 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Net income | 1.41 | 1.27 | 1.41 | 1.14 | 0.80 |
| Diluted earnings per share ^(2,3) | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 1.04 | \$ 0.74 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Net income | 1.34 | 1.20 | 1.32 | 1.08 | 0.79 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 336.8 | 343.7 | 346.8 | 344.0 | 338.4 |
| Diluted | 355.8 | 370.2 | 376.3 | 366.7 | 344.2 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.505 | \$ 0.485 | \$ 0.420 | \$ 0.175 | \$ |
| Cash from operations | \$ 624.1 | \$ 726.4 | \$ 623.6 | \$ 408.7 | \$ 589.1 |
| Cash from investing | (234.0) | (215.8) | 364.8 | (597.5) | 105.1 |
| Cash from financing ⁽⁵⁾ | (386.9) | (525.6) | (1,121.7) | 420.7 | (589.2) |
| Capital expenditures ⁽⁶⁾ | 310.5 | 238.6 | 210.9 | 128.6 | 116.2 |
| Cash used for share repurchases | 426.7 | 354.7 | 129.8 | 161.3 | 249.3 |
| Cash and investment securities ^(5,8) | \$ 589.1 | \$ 688.1 | \$ 766.7 | \$ 1,315.6 | \$ 430.2 |
| Working capital | 129.1 | 219.6 | 949.7 | 1,133.1 | 750.6 |
| Total assets | 3,902.7 | 3,864.4 | 3,873.0 | 4,185.2 | 3,315.8 |
| Notes payable, net (current and non-current) ⁽⁵⁾ | 832.4 | 811.0 | 791.9 | 1,552.9 | 972.0 |
| Jackpot liabilities (current and non-current) ⁽⁷⁾ | 546.7 | 705.8 | 719.3 | 541.1 | 577.7 |
| Non-current liabilities | 614.1 | 741.1 | 1,336.4 | 1,552.7 | 1,369.4 |
| Stockholders' equity | 2,042.0 | 1,905.7 | 1,976.6 | 1,687.5 | 1,433.1 |

⁽¹⁾ The results of Anchor and the consolidation of

the JV following the acquisition of Anchor on December 30, 2001 were included for nine months in fiscal 2002 and the full year thereafter. Prior to the Anchor acquisition, our share of the JV was reflected net of expenses in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates.

(2) Fiscal 2002 earnings were reduced by: \$20.2 million (\$12.6 million after tax or \$0.03 per diluted share) related to litigation settlements; and \$21.2 million pretax (\$13.2 million after tax or \$0.04 per diluted share) for losses on early debt retirement.

(3) Fiscal 2004 includes \$127.9 million pretax (\$81.4 million after tax or \$0.22 per diluted share) for losses on early debt redemption.

- (4) *Certain discontinued Anchor operations were reclassified and sold subsequent to acquisition. Fiscal 2004 includes related gain on sale of \$56.7 million after tax.*
- (5) *Fiscal 2004 includes \$969.6 million of principal debt reduction. Fiscal 2003 includes proceeds of \$575.0 million from debentures issued.*
- (6) *Capital spending increases relate primarily to additional investments in gaming operations equipment. Additionally, fiscal 2005 and 2006 includes spending for our new Las Vegas campus and Reno expansion.*
- (7) *Jackpot liabilities increased in fiscal 2004 due to VIE s consolidated and decreased in fiscal 2006 due to VIE s*

deconsolidated.

(8) *Cash and
investment
securities
include
restricted
amounts.*

26

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation
COMPANY OVERVIEW

The following MDA is intended to enhance the reader's understanding of International Game Technology, our operations and our present business environment. MDA is provided as a supplement to and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. Throughout this section, table amounts are presented in millions, except units, and EPS.

Our MDA is organized into the following sections:

- ♣ **OUR BUSINESS** – a general description of our business and operating segments

- ♣ **OUR FOCUS** – a summary of our strategies and opportunities

- ♣ **RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS** – a discussion of recently issued accounting standards with significance to our business

- ♣ **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES** – a discussion of accounting policies that require critical judgments and estimates

- ♣ **CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS** – a comparative analysis of income from continuing operations for the three fiscal years presented in our consolidated financial statements

- ♣ **BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS** – a comparative analysis of business segment results for the three fiscal years presented in our consolidated financial statements

- ♣ **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES** – a year-over-year comparative analysis of cash flows and capital resources for the three fiscal years presented in our consolidated financial statements

- ♣ **FINANCIAL CONDITION** – analysis of significant changes in our financial position

OUR BUSINESS

International Game Technology is a global company specializing in the design, manufacture, and marketing of computerized gaming equipment, systems and services. Our vision is to be the preeminent supplier of gaming products to the world. We strive to maintain a wide array of entertainment inspired gaming product lines, targeting gaming markets in all legal jurisdictions worldwide. We are committed to providing quality products at competitive prices, designed to increase the potential for operator profits by serving players better.

Our annual revenues totaled \$2.5 billion in fiscal 2006. We derive our revenues in two ways, either from the sale (product sales) and the placement (gaming operations) of gaming products, services or intellectual properties. Operating results reviewed by our chief decision maker encompass all revenue sources within each geographical customer region. We currently view our business in two regional operating segments, each incorporating all types of revenues.

- ♣ North America includes our operations in the US and Canada.

- ♣ International encompasses our efforts abroad in Asia, Australia, New Zealand, Europe, Japan, Latin America, Russia, South Africa, and the UK.

Additionally, certain income and expenses related to company-wide initiatives are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to an operating segment. See the **BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS** below and Note 18 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional segment information and financial results.

OUR FOCUS

We remain dedicated to generating financial growth by continuing to focus on the three cornerstones of our success: product development; market development; and capital deployment.

Product Development

The driving force behind our success is the ability to offer the best games, platforms and systems through dedicated product development efforts and superior customer service. Our surveys indicate we invest a great deal more in product development than our competitors and expect this advantage will help us to deliver the broadest product lines across most markets. We pioneer innovation centered on serving players better by utilizing the power of networked gaming, information technology, game design, and services to maximize the potential for operator profitability.

Table of Contents

Our game libraries are perpetually evolving to meet changing player preferences and fit the needs of a variety of global gaming markets. During the year just ended, we introduced the concept of group play with *Wheel of Fortune® Super Spin* on our *AVP TrimLine* platform. We plan to further this concept with other multi-player interactive games in the upcoming year. Expansion of low-denomination and MLP games continues to drive incremental growth in our gaming operations installed base. Recently, we have been intensifying our efforts to expand our video game offerings and reducing time to market.

Our server-based design efforts have shifted toward a more comprehensive vision of enterprise-wide casino applications with features beyond operational efficiencies as part of our commitment to lead the industry in the networked gaming transformation. We believe that our applications will help differentiate our products in this area and offer the operator new ways to engage and interact with their players, as well as market cross-functional products and player conveniences. With four commercial field trials under way and our first large scale installation anticipated in fiscal 2008, we expect *sbä* technology will become more significant in 2009 and 2010.

Our slot systems market share continues to grow, with installations up 23% in fiscal 2006 to finish the year at 627 systems installed worldwide. We expect to bring the third tier of our *Table iD* product to market in fiscal 2007. This product was developed in cooperation with PGIC and ShuffleMaster. *Table iD* will extend IGT's product reach into the table games area of the casino floor, with the automation of accounting functions, player tracking, and added bonus features.

We continue building our intellectual property portfolio, capitalizing \$21.8 million of additions this year.

Substantiating the strength of our patents, original IGT patents are frequently cited in later patent applications and applied in other industries. See the Product Development section of Item I. Business for further discussion of our product development efforts.

Market Development

IGT maintains a significant market share and is dependent in part on new market opportunities to generate growth. With industry analysts projecting a modest average annual growth rate through 2010, we have several initiatives directed at enhancing this growth rate and accommodating entry into new areas of gaming. We continue expanding our presence in non-traditional CDS and Class II markets, most significantly in Mexico, as well as Oklahoma, California, Florida, Washington, Alabama, South Africa, and Malta. During fiscal 2006, we more than tripled our CDS installed base to over 14,300 units, up 10,000 from last year.

After a relatively quiet period for new market opportunities in North America, we look forward with cautious optimism to several new markets opening in 2007. Pennsylvania and Arkansas overcame legislative hurdles this year. Two new tracks are scheduled to open in New York, and Florida is expected to expand with race tracks in Broward County and growth in tribal facilities.

Prospects for growth in international markets are favorable. We will continue expanding our presence in Mexico. We expect to realize the benefits of a level playing field in Japan as the market fully transitions to a Reg-5 environment in fiscal 2007. Already an active provider of gaming systems solutions in Macau, we are focused on customized product development to improve our market position.

As has been the case over the past 10 to 15 years, we believe additional US states will continue to evaluate gaming as a viable means for promoting tourism, adding jobs to local economies, and raising tax revenues. Trends appear positive in terms of the public's acceptance of gaming as a form of entertainment. Similarly, gaming continues expanding in a number of foreign countries, where competition for tourism spending and the need for additional government revenues make gaming a compelling option. See the Regional Markets section of Item I. Business for further discussion of our market development efforts.

Capital Deployment

We generated substantial operating cash flows in fiscal 2006, allowing us to reinvest in our business through capital expenditures and business alliances, as well as generate returns to our shareholders through dividends and share repurchases. See the LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES section that follows for current share repurchase activity.

We enter into strategic business acquisitions and alliances as part of our ongoing effort to create shareholder value designed to complement our internal resources. We consider businesses that:

- ^a offer opportunities to diversify our geographic reach
- ^a expand our product lines and customer base
- ^a leverage our technological and manufacturing infrastructure to increase our rates of return

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2006, we purchased a minority equity interest in Walker Digital's gaming subsidiary, Casino IP Holdings, LLC, and executed agreements for cooperative product development and marketing. This relationship provides access to an intellectual property portfolio focused on the creative use of casino networks, particularly for player preferences, which we expect will facilitate our server-based product line.

Additionally, we signed an agreement that will permit us to acquire Venture Catalyst Incorporated (VCAT) for an estimated purchase price of \$22.0 million. We expect VCAT's Mariposa software will enhance our ability to provide leading technology and services for casino customer relationship management. The transaction is expected to close in the first half of fiscal 2007 subject to regulatory approvals and VCAT shareholder approval.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we adopted SFAS 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, and recognized share-based compensation in our financial statements. See the CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS section that follows for a discussion of the impact to operating results for the year and Note 3 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the adoption of SFAS 123R. See Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding recently issued accounting standards.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Our consolidated financial statements were prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the US, which require us to make assumptions and estimates about future events and apply judgments that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates and those differences could be material. We base our estimates on historical experience, current trends in our company, and the industry as a whole, and other factors management considers relevant when preparing our consolidated financial statements.

We consider the following accounting estimates to be the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results and they require us to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain or variable. Senior management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of the following accounting estimates, considered most sensitive to changes from external factors, with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Intangible Assets, including Goodwill and Prepaid Royalties

We review goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually, and whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable or warrant a revision to the estimated remaining useful life, in accordance with SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, and SFAS 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*.

Our forecasted future cash flows used to test the recoverability or determine the fair value of intangibles are based on assumptions that are consistent with plans used to manage the underlying business. Factors used in our evaluations of potential impairment and fair value require significant judgments about respective estimated useful lives, risk rates, forecasted growth rates, brand history, expected market growth, competitive environment, market share, future business prospects and success of our products. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of recoverability or fair value. While we believe that our estimates of future revenues and cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions could materially affect our assessment of useful lives, recoverability and fair values.

Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, allocation of related goodwill, assignment of corporate shared assets and liabilities to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. We determine the fair value of our reporting units using the discounted cash flow method, and compared the implied valuation multiples to a group of guideline public companies under the Market approach to test the reasonableness of the discounted cash flow results.

Our goodwill and intangible assets totaled \$1.4 billion at September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. If the estimated fair value or useful lives of our intangible assets were to decrease, the most significant impact would be an increase to amortization expense and/or impairment, thereby decreasing our results of operations. We recorded no goodwill impairment charges in fiscal years 2006, 2005 or 2004.

We also regularly evaluate the estimated future benefit of prepaid royalties, as well as minimum commitments not yet paid, to determine amounts unlikely to be realized from forecasted sales or placements of our games. If actual or

revised forecasts fall below the initial estimate, then we may need to revise the remaining useful life and/or record additional charges. The carrying value of our prepaid and deferred royalties totaled \$136.5 million at September 30, 2006 and \$99.4 million at September 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

Jackpot Liabilities and Expenses

Changes to our estimates for jackpot liabilities and resulting expense primarily relate to variations in the jackpot life cycle, volume of slot play, timing of jackpots won, and market interest rate trends (see Item 7A, Market Risk). The initial progressive meter liability on a new system is deferred and subsequently amortized to jackpot expense as future contribution revenues are generated from slot play. Current and non-current portions of jackpot liabilities, as well as jackpot expense, may also be impacted by changes in our estimates and assumptions regarding the number of future winners who may elect lump sum payout .

Our jackpot liabilities totaled \$546.7 million at September 30, 2006 and \$705.8 million at September 30, 2005. Jackpot expense totaled \$184.9 million for fiscal 2006, \$258.5 million in fiscal 2005 and \$292.0 million in fiscal 2004. See Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional accounting policies related to jackpot liabilities and expense.

Inventory and Gaming Operations Equipment

The determination of obsolete or excess inventory requires us to estimate the future demand for our products within specific time horizons, generally, one year or less. If we experience a significant unexpected decrease in demand for our products or a higher occurrence of inventory obsolescence because of changes in technology or customer requirements, we could be required to increase our inventory provisions. Inventory management remains an area of focus as we balance the need to maintain strategic inventory levels to ensure competitive lead times versus the risk of inventory obsolescence because of rapidly changing technology and customer requirements. Our inventories totaled \$162.1 million at September 30, 2006 and \$142.3 million at September 30, 2005.

We are also required to estimate salvage values and useful lives for our gaming operations equipment. Trends in market demand and technological obsolescence may require us to record additional asset charges, which would have a negative impact on gross profit. In fiscal 2005, our assessment of estimated salvage values resulted in technological obsolescence charges of \$41.6 million to cost of gaming operations due to expected future releases of more advanced cabinet and game designs.

Share-based Compensation

We began accounting for share-based compensation in accordance with SFAS 123R in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Under the fair value recognition provisions, we estimate share-based compensation at the award grant date and recognize expense over the service period. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, and changes in the assumptions used can materially affect the fair value estimate. Judgment is required in estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rates, expected dividends, and expected terms that options remain outstanding. See Note 3 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding the adoption of SFAS 123R.

Income Taxes

Determination of the appropriate amount and classification of income taxes depends on several factors, including estimates of the timing and probability of realization of deferred income taxes, as well as income tax payment timing. We adjust deferred taxes based on the changes in the difference between the book and tax basis of our assets and liabilities, measured by future tax rates we estimate will apply when these differences are expected to reverse. This process involves estimating our current tax position in each federal, state, and foreign jurisdiction, as well as making judgments as to whether our taxable income in future periods will be sufficient to fully recover any deferred tax assets. We establish a valuation allowance to the extent recovery of deferred tax assets is not likely based on our estimation of future taxable income in each jurisdiction.

The calculation of our tax liabilities also involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize potential tax liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues in the US and other jurisdictions based on our estimate of the extent to which additional taxes will be due. If payment of these amounts proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of liabilities could result in the recognition of a future tax benefit. If our tax liabilities are understated, a charge to our tax provision would result. Changes in current tax laws, enacted tax rates, geographic mix or the estimated level of annual taxable income could change our valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, which in turn impacts our effective tax rate and tax provision. Additionally, we are currently evaluating the impact of the guidance issued in June 2006 under FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB*

Statement No. 109, effective for IGT at the beginning of fiscal year 2008.

Net deferred tax assets totaled \$136.6 million at September 30, 2006 and \$93.2 million at September 30, 2005 and accrued income taxes totaled \$36.1 million at September 30, 2006 and \$14.5 million at September 30, 2005.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis**

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|------|------------|------|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | | 05 vs 04 | |
| <i>(In millions except units & EPS)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,511.7 | \$ 2,379.4 | \$ 2,484.7 | \$ 132.3 | 6% | \$ (105.3) | -4% |
| Gross profit | 1,371.7 | 1,190.7 | 1,319.2 | 181.0 | 15% | (128.5) | -10% |
| Gross margin | 55% | 50% | 53% | 5 pp | 10% | (3) pp | -6% |
| Operating income | \$ 725.1 | \$ 663.7 | \$ 814.3 | \$ 61.4 | 9% | \$ (150.6) | -18% |
| Operating margin | 29% | 28% | 33% | 1 pp | 4% | (5) pp | -15% |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 429.8 | \$ 37.1 | 8% | \$ 6.7 | 2% |
| Discontinued operations | | | 58.9 | | | (58.9) | * |
| Net income | 473.6 | 436.5 | 488.7 | 37.1 | 8% | (52.2) | -11% |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.14 | 12% | \$ 0.03 | 3% |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.15 | | | (0.15) | * |
| Net income | 1.34 | 1.20 | 1.32 | 0.14 | 12% | (0.12) | -9% |
| Product sales | | | | | | | |
| Machines | \$ 896.8 | \$ 867.9 | \$ 1,065.3 | \$ 28.9 | 3% | \$ (197.4) | -19% |
| Non-machine | 363.5 | 313.0 | 256.0 | 50.5 | 16% | 57.0 | 22% |
| Total product sales | 1,260.3 | 1,180.9 | 1,321.3 | 79.4 | 7% | (140.4) | -11% |
| Gross profit | \$ 641.7 | \$ 576.6 | \$ 690.1 | \$ 65.1 | 11% | \$ (113.5) | -16% |
| Gross margin | 51% | 49% | 52% | 2 pp | 4% | (3) pp | -6% |
| Units sold | 112,000 | 141,900 | 159,200 | (29,900) | -21% | (17,300) | -11% |
| Gaming operations | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,251.4 | \$ 1,198.5 | \$ 1,163.4 | \$ 52.9 | 4% | \$ 35.1 | 3% |
| Gross profit | 730.0 | 614.1 | 629.1 | 115.9 | 19% | (15.0) | -2% |
| Gross margin | 58% | 51% | 54% | 7 pp | 14% | (3) pp | -6% |
| Installed base units | 49,600 | 38,800 | 37,200 | 10,800 | 28% | 1,600 | 4% |

Fiscal 2006 vs Fiscal 2005

Consolidated operating results improved over the prior year primarily due to a more favorable product sales mix and growth in our gaming operations installed base. EPS was also positively impacted by share repurchases and the exchange of our old Debentures for new Debentures with a net settlement feature in April 2006. See Notes 10 and 14 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding this exchange and the impact on our EPS calculation.

Significant items affecting the comparability of fiscal 2006 to fiscal 2005 included:

^a current year R&D charges of \$7.2 million after tax related to the buyout of a third party development contract

^a prior year salvage value and asset obsolescence charges of \$26.6 million after tax

- ^a prior year Gulf Coast hurricane charges of \$3.2 million after tax and estimated lost earnings of \$6.3 million after tax
- ^a prior year reorganization severance costs of \$6.7 million after tax
- ^a prior year income of \$6.0 million after tax realized on early loan payoffs

Table of Contents*Consolidated Product Sales*

Revenues and gross profits grew in the current year driven by an improved mix of premium priced products, including our AVP® Trimline machines, and record non-machine sales including a 47% increase in gaming systems. Gross margin also increased due to fewer lower margin pachisuro machine sales. We estimate product sales margins will fluctuate between 49% and 51% for fiscal 2007, depending on the geographical mix and types of products sold.

Consolidated Gaming Operations

Current year revenue improvement is attributable to our installed base reaching an all time high, with growth in casino and lease operations units, as well as additions from Wager Works. Our expansion into the central determination and Class II markets of Mexico, California, Alabama, Florida, New York, Washington, and South Africa, as well as the emerging instant bingo and poker markets of Oklahoma, is a principal factor in our installed base growth. Gross profit and margin increases are primarily due to lower jackpot expenses and prior year obsolescence charges. Overall performance was strengthened by the continuing success of our low-denomination and MLP games, as well as the more recent community play installations of *Wheel of Fortuneâ Super Spin* . We estimate gaming operations margins will trend between 57% and 59% next year, depending on installed base mix, play levels, and interest rate movements. Continued decline in average revenue per device is primarily due to the increasing mix of lower revenue central determination and Class II units.

Fiscal 2005 vs Fiscal 2004

Fiscal 2005 income and EPS from continuing operations grew slightly despite declining unit volumes. Year over year earnings improvements were attributable to increased international contributions, growth in gaming operations revenues and non-machine sales.

In addition to fiscal 2005 items noted above, significant items in fiscal 2004 affecting comparability included:

- ^a early debt redemption losses of \$77.0 million after tax
- ^a income tax provision reductions of \$13.9 million, primarily related to foreign tax credits
- ^a an additional week related to our 52/53-week fiscal year, primarily affecting gaming operations and operating expenses

Fiscal 2004 discontinued operations related to certain Anchor operations divested subsequent to acquisition are discussed further in Note 4 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated Product Sales

Fiscal 2005 sales were adversely affected by the slowdown in demand for TITO related replacement machines, as well as fewer new market opportunities. Average machine prices declined in fiscal 2005 due to a higher mix of lower priced pachisuro machines. The allocation of fixed costs across lower unit sales volumes also contributed to the fiscal 2005 gross margin decline.

Consolidated Gaming Operations

Revenues improved in fiscal 2005 primarily due to installed base growth, as well as increased play levels resulting from new game introductions and removal of lower performing units. The decrease in fiscal 2005 gross profit is primarily due to technical obsolescence charges related to demand transitions toward our newer platform games and the extra week in 2004. Gross margin decline in 2005 was also attributable to an increasing mix of lower yielding CDS and Class II games, as well as an additional six months of VIE trust revenues and expenses with no gross profit impact.

Operating Expenses

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | | 05 vs 04 | |
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 375.5 | \$ 318.7 | \$ 311.3 | \$ (56.8) | -18% | \$ (7.4) | -2% |
| Research and development | 188.5 | 138.4 | 129.3 | (50.1) | -36% | (9.1) | -7% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 82.6 | 69.9 | 64.3 | (12.7) | -18% | (5.6) | -9% |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------|-----------|-----|
| Total | \$ 646.6 | \$ 527.0 | \$ 504.9 | \$ (119.6) | -23% | \$ (22.1) | -4% |
| Percent of revenues | 26% | 22% | 20% | | | | |

Table of Contents

Operating expenses are increasing as we prepare for anticipated growing markets and pursue developing new technology. Consolidated operating expenses increased in fiscal 2006 compared to 2005 mainly due to:

- ^a additional legal and compliance costs of \$17.0 million primarily related to obtaining and protecting intellectual property, as well as regulatory requirements for new products
- ^a additional investment in R&D to support next generation technologies, including \$11.3 million related to the buyout of a third party development contract
- ^a SFAS 123R share-based compensation of \$35.3 million
- ^a additional WagerWorks operating expenses of \$25.0 million, including amortization of acquired intangibles

The increase in consolidated operating expenses in fiscal 2005 over fiscal 2004 is principally the result of:

- ^a higher legal and compliance costs
- ^a additional severance costs of \$10.0 million related to several operational reorganization initiatives
- ^a higher R&D costs supporting our commitment to the development of innovative games, platforms and systems
- ^a partially offset by reduced bad debt provisions required to maintain reserves, as a result of a more favorable risk profile on outstanding receivables

Other Income (Expense) and Taxes

| <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | | 05 vs 04 | |
| Interest income | \$ 65.4 | \$ 77.9 | \$ 59.8 | \$ (12.5) | -16% | \$ 18.1 | 30% |
| Interest expense | (50.8) | (58.1) | (90.5) | 7.3 | 13% | 32.4 | 36% |
| Loss on debt redemption | | | (127.9) | | | 127.9 | * |
| Other | 7.2 | (2.3) | (2.2) | 9.5 | * | (0.1) | * |
| Total other income (expense) | \$ 21.8 | \$ 17.5 | \$ (160.8) | \$ 4.3 | * | \$ 178.3 | * |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|--|
| Income tax provisions | \$ 273.3 | \$ 244.7 | \$ 223.7 | \$ (28.6) | | \$ (21.0) | |
| Tax rate | 36.6% | 35.9% | 34.2% | (0.7) pp | | (1.7) pp | |

Fiscal 2005 interest income included \$10.2 million in financing fees realized on an early loan payoff. Other income (expense) included a favorable legal settlement of \$3.9 million and a \$2.1 million gain on the sale of our Rapid City, South Dakota facility in fiscal 2006 versus unfavorable legal settlements in the prior year. The deconsolidation of our NJ VIE trusts in November 2005 also decreased interest income and expense related to jackpot annuities. See Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion on the NJ VIE trusts deconsolidation. The fiscal 2004 early debt redemption related to our 2009 and 2004 senior notes reduced interest costs in subsequent years.

Our current year tax rate increased primarily due to the expiration of R&D tax credits and changes in the geographic mix of taxable income. We anticipate an effective tax rate between 36% and 37% in fiscal 2007, depending on potential reinstatement of R&D tax credits and variations in geographic mix. The 2004 tax provision was reduced \$13.9 million primarily due to the utilization of foreign income tax credits as a result of changes in our geographic mix of taxable income.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS A Year Over Year Comparative Analysis**

Operating income for each division reflects applicable operating expenses. See Note 18 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional business segment information.

North America

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|-----|------------|------|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | | 05 vs 04 | |
| <i>(In millions, except units)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Total segment | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,978.2 | \$ 1,878.9 | \$ 2,137.1 | \$ 99.3 | 5% | \$ (258.2) | -12% |
| Gross profit | 1,122.3 | 972.4 | 1,153.2 | 149.9 | 15% | (180.8) | -16% |
| Gross margin | 57% | 52% | 54% | 5 pp | 10% | (2) pp | -4% |
| Operating income | \$ 747.7 | \$ 639.1 | \$ 842.9 | \$ 108.6 | 17% | \$ (203.8) | -24% |
| Operating margin | 38% | 34% | 39% | 4 pp | 12% | (5) pp | -13% |
| Product sales | | | | | | | |
| Machines | \$ 521.2 | \$ 456.9 | \$ 777.7 | \$ 64.3 | 14% | \$ (320.8) | -41% |
| Non-machine | 283.2 | 252.0 | 213.4 | 31.2 | 12% | 38.6 | 18% |
| Total product sales | 804.4 | 708.9 | 991.1 | 95.5 | 13% | (282.2) | -28% |
| Gross profit | \$ 441.2 | \$ 380.3 | \$ 538.2 | \$ 60.9 | 16% | \$ (157.9) | -29% |
| Gross margin | 55% | 54% | 54% | 1 pp | 2% | | pp |
| Units sold | 51,100 | 50,500 | 92,500 | 600 | 1% | (42,000) | -45% |
| Gaming operations | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,173.8 | \$ 1,170.0 | \$ 1,146.0 | \$ 3.8 | | \$ 24.0 | 2% |
| Gross profit | 681.1 | 592.1 | 615.0 | 89.0 | 15% | (22.9) | -4% |
| Gross margin | 58% | 51% | 54% | 7 pp | 14% | (3) pp | -6% |
| Installed base units | 42,600 | 37,300 | 36,400 | 5,300 | 14% | 900 | 2% |

Fiscal 2006 vs Fiscal 2005

The North America operating segment posted improved operating results in the current year from the combined performance of product sales and gaming operations.

North America Product Sales

With units up just slightly, a more favorable mix of premium priced products, including our new AVP® TrimLineä machines, was the primary driver of improvements in all product sales measures. Growing non-machine sales included additional IGT Advantageä systems installations, increased game theme conversions and intellectual property revenues.

North America Gaming Operations

Growth in our installed base, as well as game play performance, is driving improved gaming operations revenues.

Gross profit and margin improvements in fiscal 2006 are primarily attributable to:

- ^a lower jackpot expenses related to effective yield management strategies
- ^a technological obsolescence charges in fiscal 2005 related to demand transitioning toward newer platform games
- ^a increased contribution from higher margin MLP, CDS, and lease operations games

The growth in our installed base resulted primarily from placements of:

- ^a Instant Bingo and poker products in Oklahoma
- ^a CDS and Class II units in California, Alabama, and Florida
- ^a lease operations games in New York and Delaware

While growth in our installed base is largely dependent on gaming industry expansion, we continually focus on strategies to improve yields, including:

- ^a managing the types of games and jurisdictions
- ^a replacement of underperforming games with higher yielding games
- ^a the pace of new game introductions
- ^a the size of initial progressive jackpots and related pricing.

Table of Contents**Fiscal 2005 vs Fiscal 2004****North America Product Sales**

Machine sales were down in fiscal 2005 as a result of lower replacement demand and fewer new market opportunities. Despite lower machine volumes in fiscal 2005, we maintained gross margins as a result of increased pricing realization and a growing contribution from non-machine revenues.

North America Gaming Operations

Revenues improved in fiscal 2005, despite interruptions from hurricanes Katrina and Rita, attributable to:

^a growth in our installed base

^a increased play levels

^a VIE consolidations included for an additional six months in fiscal 2005

Gross profit decline in fiscal 2005 was primarily due to:

^a salvage and asset obsolescence charges related to transitioning demand toward newer games

^a the extra week adding approximately \$11.1 million in fiscal 2004

^a partially offset by increased play levels

Gross margin also declined related to the additional six months of VIE trust revenues and expenses with no gross profit.

The growth in our installed base of proprietary games was primarily related to additional placements in:

^a casino operation markets in Alabama, California, Florida and Washington

^a lease operation markets in New York, Rhode Island, and Delaware

International

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | | 05 vs 04 | |
| <i>(In millions, except units)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Total segment | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 533.5 | \$ 500.5 | \$ 347.6 | \$ 33.0 | 7% | \$ 152.9 | 44% |
| Gross profit | 249.4 | 218.3 | 166.0 | 31.1 | 14% | 52.3 | 32% |
| Gross margin | 47% | 44% | 48% | 3 pp | 7% | (4) pp | -8% |
| Operating income | \$ 111.2 | \$ 115.0 | \$ 81.2 | \$ (3.8) | -3% | \$ 33.8 | 42% |
| Operating margin | 21% | 23% | 23% | (2) pp | -9% | | pp |
| Product sales | | | | | | | |
| Machines | \$ 375.6 | \$ 411.0 | \$ 287.6 | \$ (35.4) | -9% | \$ 123.4 | 43% |
| Non-machine | 80.3 | 61.0 | 42.6 | 19.3 | 32% | 18.4 | 43% |
| Total product sales | 455.9 | 472.0 | 330.2 | (16.1) | -3% | 141.8 | 43% |
| Gross profit | \$ 200.5 | \$ 196.3 | \$ 151.9 | \$ 4.2 | 2% | \$ 44.4 | 29% |
| Gross margin | 44% | 42% | 46% | 2 pp | 5% | (4) pp | -9% |
| Units sold | 60,900 | 91,400 | 66,700 | (30,500) | -33% | 24,700 | 37% |
| Gaming operations | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 77.6 | \$ 28.5 | \$ 17.4 | \$ 49.1 | 172% | \$ 11.1 | 64% |
| Installed base units | 7,000 | 1,500 | 800 | 5,500 | 367% | 700 | 88% |

Fiscal 2006 vs Fiscal 2005

Overall international improvements for the current year are substantially due to growth in gaming operations. Stronger operating results achieved most notably in Australia, Latin America and the UK offset a difficult year in Japan due to regulatory transitions and additional operating costs from WagerWorks.

International product sales were down in fiscal 2006 due to Japan's market transition from Reg-4 to the more restrictive Reg-5 games. Other international markets reflected improved units shipped. Gross margin improvement was attributable to fewer lower margin pachisuro games, as well as greater contributions from premium products and non-machine revenues.

Table of Contents

International margins will fluctuate depending upon the geographic mix of product sales, especially related to the timing of contributions from Japan. Successful pachisuro game releases in Japan add incrementally to gross profit and operating income, but lower prices provide reduced gross margins. During fiscal 2006 we worked to position ourselves as a leading Reg-5 supplier in Japan, but these less volatile games were not as popular in the marketplace competing against the less restrictive Reg-4 product. We expect to benefit in the upcoming year when all products available for sale must be Reg-5 compliant.

The increase in gaming operations revenues is primarily the result of increased placements in Mexico's growing CDS Video Bingo market, as well as the addition of WagerWorks acquired in August 2005. Our installed base in Mexico grew to over 5,100 games from the initial 500 units placed last year. Additionally, we introduced WAP games in South Africa during fiscal 2006.

Fiscal 2005 vs Fiscal 2004

International revenues and gross profit improved in fiscal 2005 primarily due to:

- ^a the success of *The Terminator*[®] and *Winning Post* pachisuro games in Japan, with combined sales of 48,100 units versus 18,000 *Nobunaga* units in fiscal 2004
- ^a a strong mix of premium products and parts sales in Australia
- ^a increased casino market sales in Europe and South Africa
- ^a increased machine and systems sales in Latin America
- ^a favorable foreign exchange
- ^a gaming operations installed base growth

The decline in product sales gross margins in fiscal 2005 was primarily due to a greater volume of lower margin pachisuro games.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Capital Resources**

Our principal source of liquidity is cash generated from operations, which allows us to reinvest in our business. Other sources of capital include, but are not limited to, the issuance of public or private placement debt, bank borrowings under our credit facility and the issuance of equity securities. We expect our available capital resources will sufficiently fund current capital expenditures and operating requirements, scheduled debt repayments, dividends, interest and income tax obligations.

Our working capital decreased to \$129.1 million at September 30, 2006 from \$219.6 million at September 30, 2005, primarily due to reductions in cash and investments, as well as additional foreign borrowings and increased accrued liabilities. Working capital statistics for fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year included:

- ^a average days sales outstanding remained consistent with the prior year at 74 days
- ^a inventory turns decreased to 3.8 compared to 4.2

Cash Flows Summary

| Years ended September 30, (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|
| | | | | 06 vs 05 | 05 vs 04 |
| Operations | \$ 624.1 | \$ 726.4 | \$ 623.6 | \$ (102.3) | \$ 102.8 |
| Investing | (234.0) | (215.8) | 364.8 | (18.2) | (580.6) |
| Financing | (386.9) | (525.6) | (1,121.7) | 138.7 | 596.1 |

Operations Operating cash flows decreased in fiscal 2006 primarily due to:

- ^a increased receivables consistent with growth in product sales

- ^a additional prepayments to secure long-term licensing rights
- ^a timing of income tax payments
- ^a current year classification of excess tax benefits from employee stock plans in financing cash flows as a result of SFAS 123R accounting changes

Table of Contents

Fluctuations in net cash flows from operations in fiscal 2005 over fiscal 2004 were primarily related to:

- ^a additional cash used in fiscal 2004 to extend exclusive rights to licensed properties
- ^a higher interest payments in fiscal 2004 on our senior notes subsequently redeemed
- ^a timing of receivable collections
- ^a payments to jackpot winners in excess of collections to fund jackpot liabilities
- ^a timing of income tax payments

Fluctuations in net cash flows related to WAP jackpot liabilities reflect timing variations in jackpot life cycles, slot play volumes, and winner payments.

Investing

Fluctuation in net investing cash flows in fiscal 2006 versus 2005 is predominantly due to:

- ^a additional capital expenditures
- ^a equity investment in Casino IP Holdings, LLC,
- ^a offset by net investment securities proceeds
- ^a \$86.7 million additional cash used in the prior year for business acquisitions

Fluctuation in net investing cash flows in fiscal 2005 compared to 2004 was primarily attributed to:

- ^a fiscal 2004 proceeds of \$151.5 million from the sale of discontinued OES operations
- ^a less cash provided from net investment securities proceeds
- ^a receipt of \$46.4 million in fiscal 2004 on the Pala note acquired with Anchor
- ^a additional capital expenditures

The deconsolidation of New Jersey's past winner liabilities reduced investing cash flows for winner payments and jackpot annuity proceeds. See Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about accounting for jackpot liabilities.

Current year capital investments include ongoing construction of our new Las Vegas campus and gaming operations equipment additions consistent with installed base growth, largely in Mexico (refer to OPERATING RESULTS discussion above). Estimated costs to complete Las Vegas construction totaled \$30.6 million at September 30, 2006. We also plan to initiate new construction in Reno for a cost of \$75.0 million over the next two years. The increase in spending on gaming operations equipment in fiscal 2005 over fiscal 2004 also included spending associated with the deployment of new products incorporating more innovative features.

Capital Expenditures

| | | | | Increase (Decrease) | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 06 vs | 05 vs 04 |
| | | | | 05 | |
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ 83.1 | \$ 48.5 | \$ 48.9 | \$ 34.6 | \$ (0.4) |
| Gaming operations equipment | 202.9 | 170.8 | 132.3 | 32.1 | 38.5 |
| Intellectual property | 24.5 | 19.3 | 29.7 | 5.2 | (10.4) |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 310.5 | \$ 238.6 | \$ 210.9 | \$ 71.9 | \$ 27.7 |

| | | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
| North America | 80% | 92% | 97% |
| International | 20% | 8% | 3% |

Financing

The fluctuation in net financing cash flows in fiscal 2006 is primarily the result of current year proceeds from employee stock plans, including excess tax benefits realized and current year share repurchases, including a structured share repurchase.

Net financing cash flows improved in fiscal 2005 versus 2004 primarily as a result of the 2004 redemption of senior notes, partially offset by additional fiscal 2005 share repurchases, including a structured share repurchase, and dividends paid.

Table of Contents**Stock Repurchases**

Under our ongoing share repurchase authorization we may repurchase an additional 11.4 million shares of IGT common stock as of September 30, 2006. We repurchase shares in open market or privately negotiated transactions, depending on market conditions and other factors, to return value to shareholders and reduce outstanding share dilution.

We repurchased 11.7 million shares for an aggregate price of \$426.7 million in fiscal 2006, 12.8 million shares for an aggregate price of \$354.7 million in fiscal 2005 and 4.1 million shares for an aggregate price of \$129.9 million in fiscal 2004. We repurchased no additional shares after September 30, 2006 through December 8, 2006.

In September 2006, we bought 3.7 million shares through an accelerated share repurchase transaction for an initial value of \$150.0 million or \$40.97 per share. The initial price paid under the agreement was subject to a purchase price adjustment based on the weighted average price of our stock through November 3, 2006, subject to a specified collar. Because our weighted average stock price during the contract period was above the cap price of \$40.97, we settled this transaction in November 2006 with no additional cash or shares delivered by either party. The results of this transaction are reflected in the treasury stock component of stockholders' equity.

During the third quarter, we prepaid \$100.0 million in a structured share repurchase transaction designed to settle in cash or IGT shares based on the closing stock price on June 29, 2006. Since the closing price of our stock was above the predetermined threshold price of \$35.50 per share, we received cash of \$101.1 million upon settlement in July. In September 2005, we prepaid \$74.0 million in a similar structured share repurchase transaction, which resulted in cash received of \$77.8 million because our stock price was above the predetermined threshold price of \$27.40 per share on November 15, 2005. The results of these transactions are reflected in stockholders' equity. We may use structured share repurchases in the future as part of our normal share repurchase strategy.

Credit Facilities and Indebtedness (See Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements)

On April 4, 2006, we completed the exchange of 99.5% or \$964.8 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of our old Debentures for new Debentures with a net share settlement feature, which requires us to settle the conversion obligation to holders in cash up to the accreted principal amount and in common shares for any remaining conversion value. All other terms of the new Debentures are substantially the same. Our Debentures are currently convertible through mid January 2007.

On December 20, 2005, we entered into an amended and restated unsecured \$2.5 billion credit facility with a syndicate of banks, replacing the previous credit facility of \$1.5 billion. We continue to be in full compliance with all covenants contained in all debt agreements at September 30, 2006.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

| September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | Increase (Decrease) 06 vs 05 | |
|--|-------------|-------------|---|-----|
| Total assets | \$3,902.7 | \$3,864.4 | \$ 38.3 | 1% |
| Total liabilities | 1,860.7 | 1,958.7 | (98.0) | -5% |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,042.0 | 1,905.7 | 136.3 | 7% |

Total assets and liabilities decreased by \$139.2 million during the current year with the deconsolidation of our NJ trust VIEs as explained in Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements. This decrease to total assets was offset by increases in:

^a other assets related to prepaid licensing rights and intellectual property investments

^a property, plant and equipment

^a receivables related to sales timing

The decrease in total liabilities was partially offset by increased borrowing on foreign credit facilities and payment timing of liabilities.

Total stockholders' equity increased during fiscal 2006 primarily as the result of:

- ^a earnings
- ^a proceeds from employee stock plans
- ^a partially offset by dividends declared and share repurchases

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

The following table summarizes our minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of September 30, 2006 with the expected effect on our future liquidity and cash flows.

| | Payments due by period | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| <i>(in millions)</i> | | | | | |
| Senior credit facility | \$ 200.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 200.0 | \$ |
| Foreign credit facilities | 21.3 | 21.3 | | | |
| Credit facility interest and fees | 56.3 | 14.1 | 26.8 | 15.4 | |
| Letters of credit | 4.4 | 4.4 | | | |
| Debentures, net | 611.1 | 611.1 | | | |
| Previous winner payments | 567.2 | 56.6 | 95.2 | 89.6 | 325.8 |
| Future winner payments | 161.4 | 113.4 | 16.1 | 3.8 | 28.1 |
| Licenses, royalties & IP rights | 16.2 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| Community reinvestment | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | | |
| Marketing agreements | 3.5 | 3.5 | | | |
| Construction commitments | 105.6 | 68.1 | 37.5 | | |
| Operating leases | 31.7 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 6.1 | 1.6 |
| Open purchase orders | 161.2 | 159.9 | 1.3 | | |
| Unfunded loans | 17.8 | 17.8 | | | |
| Totals | \$ 1,960.3 | \$ 1,090.4 | \$ 196.2 | \$ 316.9 | \$ 356.8 |

Our credit facility represents the principal amount due at maturity. Credit facility interest resets quarterly and is estimated using current rates. Our letters of credit are issued under our credit facility line of credit to insure our payment to certain vendors and governmental agencies.

The Debentures are presented net of unamortized discount at September 30, 2006. Holders have the right to require IGT to redeem the Debentures for an amount equal to their accreted value, plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on January 29, 2008, 2013, 2018, 2023 and 2028. The accreted value will be \$625.0 million at January 29, 2008, and \$966.1 million at maturity on January 29, 2033. See Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to long-term debt.

Winner payments represent the gross amounts due for jackpots. The timing and amount of expected future winner payments are estimated based on historical patterns of winners' lump sum payment elections. We maintain cash and investments at sufficient levels to fund our jackpot liabilities and winner payments. See Notes 1 and 12 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to jackpot liabilities.

Unconditional amounts payable for licenses, royalties, intellectual property and community reinvestments were recorded as liabilities at September 30, 2006. Royalties contingent on future game sales or placements are not included in the table above. Construction commitments relate to construction projects for Las Vegas and Reno. See Note 11 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding operating leases.

Unfunded loans represent amounts available under development financing arrangements with select customers to finance new or expanding gaming facilities. See Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding facility notes.

Table of Contents

Arrangements With Off-Balance Sheet Risks

In the normal course of business, we are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds and other guarantees not reflected in our balance sheet. We may provide indemnifications of varying scope and terms to customers, vendors, lessors, business partners and other parties with respect to certain matters, including but not limited to, losses arising:

^a out of our breach of agreements with those parties

^a from services to be provided by us

^a from intellectual property infringement claims made by third parties

Additionally, we have agreements with our directors and certain officers that require us, among other things, to indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers. We have also agreed to indemnify certain former officers and directors of acquired companies. We maintain director and officer insurance, which may cover our liabilities arising from these indemnification obligations in certain circumstances.

It is not possible to determine the maximum potential obligations under these indemnification undertakings due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Such indemnification undertakings may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, we have not incurred material costs related to indemnification obligations.

We do not expect any material losses from, nor are we dependent on off-balance sheet arrangements to fund our operations. We describe additional off-balance sheet arrangements in Note 15 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We use derivative financial instruments to minimize our market risk exposure resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. The primary business objective of our hedging program, as defined in our corporate risk management policy, is to minimize the impact of transaction, remeasurement, and specified economic exposures to our net income and earnings per share. The counter parties to these instruments are major commercial banks and we believe that losses related to credit risk are remote. We are not party to leveraged derivatives and do not hold or issue financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Risk

We routinely use forward exchange contracts to hedge our net exposures, by currency, related to our monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional foreign currency of our operations. In addition, from time to time, we may enter into forward exchange contracts to establish with certainty the US dollar amount of future firm commitments denominated in a foreign currency.

Hedging

Our net foreign currency exposure related to our monetary assets and liabilities totaled \$151.0 million at September 30, 2006 and \$138.8 million at September 30, 2005. The market value of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled \$148.7 million at September 30, 2006 and \$126.8 million at September 30, 2005. Given our foreign exchange position, a 10% adverse change in foreign exchange rates upon which these foreign exchange contracts are based would result in exchange gains and losses. In all material aspects, these exchange gains and losses would be offset by exchange gains and losses on the underlying net monetary exposures for which the contracts are designated as hedges. We do not expect material exchange rate gains or losses from unhedged foreign currency exposures.

Translation

As currency rates change, translation of our foreign currency functional businesses into US dollars affects year-over-year comparability of equity. We do not generally hedge translation risks because cash flows from our international operations are generally reinvested locally. Changes in the currency exchange rates that would have the largest impact on translating our international net assets include the British pound, the Australian dollar, the Japanese yen and the Euro. We estimate that a 10% change in foreign exchange rates would have impacted reported equity by approximately \$10.0 million at September 30, 2006 versus \$5.0 million at September 30, 2005. This increase is consistent with our international growth. This sensitivity analysis disregards the possibility that rates can move in opposite directions and that gains from one area may or may not be offset by losses from another area.

Interest Rate Risk

We estimate interest rate risk as the potential change in earnings resulting from a hypothetical 100 bps adverse change in interest rates.

Costs to fund jackpot liabilities

Fluctuations in prime, treasury and agency rates due to changes in market and other economic conditions directly impact our costs to fund jackpots, and therefore our gross profit in gaming operations. If interest rates decline, our costs increase, and correspondingly our gross profit declines. We estimate that a hypothetical decline of 100 bps in interest rates would have reduced our gross profit by approximately \$14.5 million in fiscal year 2006 and \$24.2 million in fiscal 2005. We do not currently manage this exposure with derivative financial instruments.

Convertible Debentures Price Risk

The fair value of our Debentures is affected by changes in the price of IGT stock and changes in interest rates. The Debentures fair value will typically increase as our stock price increases and decrease if our stock price decreases. In general, the fair value of an investment in a fixed interest rate debt instrument increases as interest rates fall and decreases as interest rates rise. The stock price and interest rate changes impact the fair value of the Debentures, but do not affect our financial position, cash flows or results of operations. The fair value based on quoted market prices totaled \$854.4 million as of September 30, 2006 and \$615.2 million as of September 30, 2005. See Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding holder conversion rights.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Stockholders and Board of Directors

International Game Technology

Reno, Nevada:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of International Game Technology and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, and stockholders' equity and comprehensive income for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of International Game Technology and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Notes 1 and 3 to the consolidated financial statements, on October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, which changed its method of accounting for share-based compensation.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 11, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Los Angeles, California

December 11, 2006

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS**

| Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Product sales | \$ 1,260.3 | \$ 1,180.9 | \$ 1,321.3 |
| Gaming operations | 1,251.4 | 1,198.5 | 1,163.4 |
| Total revenues | 2,511.7 | 2,379.4 | 2,484.7 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | |
| Cost of product sales | 618.6 | 604.3 | 631.2 |
| Cost of gaming operations | 521.4 | 584.4 | 534.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 375.5 | 318.7 | 311.3 |
| Research and development | 188.5 | 138.4 | 129.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 82.6 | 69.9 | 64.3 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 1,786.6 | 1,715.7 | 1,670.4 |
| Operating income | 725.1 | 663.7 | 814.3 |
| Other income (expense) | | | |
| Interest income | 65.4 | 77.9 | 59.8 |
| Interest expense | (50.8) | (58.1) | (90.5) |
| Loss on debt redemption | | | (127.9) |
| Other | 7.2 | (2.3) | (2.2) |
| Total other income (expense) | 21.8 | 17.5 | (160.8) |
| Income from continuing operations before tax | 746.9 | 681.2 | 653.5 |
| Provision for income taxes | 273.3 | 244.7 | 223.7 |
| Income from continuing operations | 473.6 | 436.5 | 429.8 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax of \$35.3 | | | 58.9 |
| Net income | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 488.7 |
| Basic earnings per share | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.24 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.17 |
| Net income | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.41 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | | |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.17 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 0.15 |
| Net income | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.32 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.505 | \$ 0.485 | \$ 0.420 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | | |
| Basic | 336.8 | 343.7 | 346.8 |
| Diluted | 355.8 | 370.2 | 376.3 |

See accompanying notes

43

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| September 30, <i>(In millions, except par value)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 294.6 | \$ 288.9 |
| Investment securities, at market value | 191.7 | 268.3 |
| Restricted cash and investments | 102.8 | 130.9 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 353.1 | 327.8 |
| Current maturities of notes and contracts receivable, net | 93.7 | 98.2 |
| Inventories | 162.1 | 142.3 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 47.2 | 52.2 |
| Deferred income taxes | 19.7 | 50.0 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 110.8 | 78.6 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 1,375.7 | 1,437.2 |
| Notes and contracts receivable, net | 63.1 | 49.3 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 469.8 | 385.2 |
| Jackpot annuity investments | 340.2 | 469.4 |
| Deferred income taxes | 116.9 | 43.2 |
| Intangible assets, net | 257.0 | 286.3 |
| Goodwill, net | 1,095.1 | 1,090.9 |
| Other assets | 184.9 | 102.9 |
| | | |
| | \$ 3,902.7 | \$ 3,864.4 |
| | | |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current maturities of notes payable | \$ 632.4 | \$ 611.0 |
| Accounts payable | 115.5 | 96.7 |
| Jackpot liabilities | 170.0 | 203.9 |
| Accrued employee benefit plan liabilities | 75.9 | 60.2 |
| Dividends payable | 43.4 | 42.6 |
| Accrued interest | 2.0 | 4.8 |
| Accrued income taxes | 36.1 | 14.5 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 171.3 | 183.9 |
| | | |
| Total current liabilities | 1,246.6 | 1,217.6 |
| Notes payable, net of current maturities | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Non-current jackpot liabilities | 376.7 | 501.9 |
| Other liabilities | 37.4 | 39.2 |
| | | |
| | 1,860.7 | 1,958.7 |

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders Equity

Common stock: \$.00015625 par value; 1,280 shares authorized; 720.5 and 712.8

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| shares issued | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,864.2 | 1,623.6 |
| Treasury stock at cost: 386.3 and 374.6 shares | (2,603.6) | (2,176.9) |
| Deferred compensation | | (11.4) |
| Retained earnings | 2,774.9 | 2,471.1 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 6.4 | (0.8) |
| | 2,042.0 | 1,905.7 |
| | \$ 3,902.7 | \$ 3,864.4 |

See accompanying notes

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS STATEMENTS**

| Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Operations | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 488.7 |
| Adjustments: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization, and asset charges | 235.4 | 222.2 | 149.8 |
| Debt discounts and deferred offering costs | 14.7 | 16.6 | 17.8 |
| Share-based compensation | 37.0 | 3.7 | 2.0 |
| Bad debt provisions | (0.5) | 0.1 | 18.2 |
| Inventory obsolescence | 19.5 | 17.9 | 10.0 |
| (Gain) loss on assets sold | (2.4) | (0.1) | 0.2 |
| Loss on debt redemption | | | 127.9 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | | (90.8) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding acquisitions and VIE consolidations/ deconsolidations: | | | |
| Receivables | (44.5) | 3.9 | 21.9 |
| Inventories | (21.3) | (10.2) | (22.9) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 21.4 | 27.6 | (30.7) |
| Jackpot liabilities | (49.4) | (41.0) | (28.6) |
| Income taxes, net of employee stock plans | 13.6 | 25.2 | 39.6 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | (33.6) | | |
| Other current assets | (24.9) | (5.3) | (30.9) |
| Other non-current assets | (14.5) | 29.3 | (48.6) |
| Cash from operations | 624.1 | 726.4 | 623.6 |
| Investing | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (310.5) | (238.6) | (210.9) |
| Investment securities, net | 74.8 | 49.7 | 458.5 |
| Jackpot annuity investments, net | 27.8 | 28.1 | 26.6 |
| Loans receivable cash advanced | (3.9) | (3.9) | (24.3) |
| Loans receivable payments received | 11.2 | 26.6 | 62.2 |
| Proceeds from assets sold | 8.9 | 1.5 | 6.3 |
| Changes in restricted cash | 17.6 | 11.4 | 4.6 |
| Proceeds from discontinued operations sold | | | 151.5 |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates | (56.0) | | |
| Business acquisitions | (3.9) | (90.6) | (109.7) |
| Cash from investing | (234.0) | (215.8) | 364.8 |
| Financing | | | |
| Debt repayments | (34.4) | (31.9) | (982.6) |
| Debt proceeds | 46.8 | 41.3 | 197.5 |
| Debt issuance costs and redemption premium | (7.6) | | (120.2) |
| Employee stock plan proceeds | 91.4 | 59.5 | 52.3 |
| Excess tax benefits from employee stock plans | 33.6 | | |
| Dividends paid | (168.9) | (165.8) | (138.9) |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Share repurchases | (426.7) | (354.7) | (129.8) |
| Structured share repurchase transactions | 78.9 | (74.0) | |
| Cash from financing | (386.9) | (525.6) | (1,121.7) |
| Foreign exchange rates effect on cash | 2.5 | (3.1) | (0.1) |
| Net change in cash and equivalents | 5.7 | (18.1) | (133.4) |
| Beginning cash and equivalents | 288.9 | 307.0 | 440.4 |
| Ending cash and equivalents | \$ 294.6 | \$ 288.9 | \$ 307.0 |

See accompanying notes

45

Table of Contents**Consolidated Supplemental Cash Flows Information**

Depreciation, amortization, and asset charges reflected in the cash flows statements are comprised of the amounts presented separately on the income statements, plus amounts classified as a component of cost of product sales and cost of gaming operations.

| Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Investment securities | | | |
| Purchases | \$ (674.0) | \$ (656.0) | \$ (1,529.0) |
| Proceeds from sales | 748.8 | 705.7 | 1,987.5 |
| Net | \$ 74.8 | \$ 49.7 | \$ 458.5 |
| Jackpot funding | | | |
| Collections to fund jackpots | \$ 143.1 | \$ 242.1 | \$ 279.9 |
| Payments to winners | (192.5) | (283.1) | (308.5) |
| Net change in jackpot liabilities | (49.4) | (41.0) | (28.6) |
| Jackpot annuity purchases | (18.3) | (32.2) | (25.1) |
| Jackpot annuity proceeds | 46.1 | 60.3 | 51.7 |
| Net change in jackpot annuity investments | 27.8 | 28.1 | 26.6 |
| Net jackpot funding cash flows | \$ (21.6) | \$ (12.9) | \$ (2.0) |
| Capital expenditures | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | \$ (83.1) | \$ (48.5) | \$ (48.9) |
| Gaming operations equipment | (202.9) | (170.8) | (132.3) |
| Intellectual property | (24.5) | (19.3) | (29.7) |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ (310.5) | \$ (238.6) | \$ (210.9) |
| Payments | | | |
| Interest | \$ 15.4 | \$ 9.7 | \$ 73.9 |
| Income taxes | 262.3 | 218.2 | 228.0 |
| Non-cash investing and financing items: | | | |
| Net change in capital expenditure accruals | \$ 6.2 | \$ 11.5 | \$ |
| Interest accretion for jackpot annuity investments | 30.3 | 31.2 | 27.3 |
| Acquisitions | | | |
| Fair value of assets | \$ 2.8 | \$ 102.3 | \$ 149.9 |
| Fair value of liabilities | (1.1) | 11.7 | 40.2 |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----|----------|
| Initial VIE consolidations | | | |
| Fair value of assets | \$ | \$ | \$ 185.2 |
| Fair value of liabilities | | | 185.2 |
| VIE deconsolidations | | | |
| Fair value of assets | \$(139.2) | \$ | \$ |
| Fair value of liabilities | (139.2) | | |

See accompanying notes

46

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Common stock | | | |
| Shares | | | |
| Beginning shares | 712.8 | 708.0 | 703.3 |
| Employee stock plans | 7.6 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Debentures converted | 0.1 | | |
| Ending shares | 720.5 | 712.8 | 708.0 |
| Ending balance | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 | \$ 0.1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,623.6 | \$ 1,607.7 | \$ 1,537.1 |
| Employee stock plans shares issued | 91.4 | 59.6 | 52.3 |
| Tax benefit of employee stock plans | 43.1 | 26.6 | 15.0 |
| Share-based compensation | 37.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| FAS 123R adoption adjustment | (11.4) | | |
| Structured share repurchase transactions | 78.9 | (74.0) | |
| Debentures converted | 1.6 | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 1,864.2 | \$ 1,623.6 | \$ 1,607.7 |
| Treasury stock | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ (2,176.9) | \$ (1,821.8) | \$ (1,691.9) |
| Treasury shares acquired | (426.7) | (355.1) | (129.9) |
| Ending balance | \$ (2,603.6) | \$ (2,176.9) | \$ (1,821.8) |
| Deferred compensation | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ (11.4) | \$ (11.8) | \$ (12.6) |
| Share-based compensation | | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| FAS 123R adoption adjustment | 11.4 | | |
| Ending balance | \$ | \$ (11.4) | \$ (11.8) |
| Retained earnings | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,471.1 | \$ 2,201.4 | \$ 1,858.6 |
| Dividends declared | (169.8) | (166.8) | (145.9) |
| Net income | 473.6 | 436.5 | 488.7 |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,774.9 | \$ 2,471.1 | \$ 2,201.4 |

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ (0.8) | \$ 1.0 | \$ (3.7) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 7.2 | (1.8) | 4.7 |
| Ending balance | \$ 6.4 | \$ (0.8) | \$ 1.0 |
| Unrealized gains on securities | \$ | \$ 0.2 | \$ 0.2 |
| Foreign currency translation | \$ 6.4 | \$ (1.0) | \$ 0.8 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 488.7 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Unrealized holding losses | (0.2) | (0.1) | |
| Foreign currency translation | 7.9 | (2.5) | 7.4 |
| Income tax (provision)benefit | (0.5) | 0.8 | (2.7) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 480.8 | \$ 434.7 | \$ 493.4 |

See accompanying notes

47

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies****Basis of Presentation and Consolidation**

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC and include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that are necessary to fairly present our consolidated results of operations, financial position, and cash flows for each period presented.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of International Game Technology and all majority-owned or controlled subsidiaries and variable interest entities of which we are the primary beneficiary. All appropriate inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. We use the equity method to account for 50% or less owned joint ventures, as well as investments in unconsolidated affiliates when we exercise significant influence, but do not control the affiliate and when we are not the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity.

Our fiscal year is reported on a 52/53-week period that ends on the Saturday nearest to September 30 each year. Similarly, our quarters end on the Saturday nearest to the last day of the quarter end month. For simplicity, all fiscal periods are presented as ending on the calendar month end. Consistent with this practice, the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, October 1, 2005 and October 2, 2004 are all presented as ended on September 30 of the relevant year. The results of operations for fiscal 2006 and 2005 contain 52 weeks versus 53 weeks in fiscal 2004.

Unconsolidated Affiliate

In February 2006, IGT paid \$56.0 million for a 10% equity interest in Casino IP Holdings, LLC (LLC), a variable interest entity formed to hold, develop, and license Walker Digital's intellectual property identified for gambling use. IGT agreed to cooperatively develop and market products, expected to be integral to our operations, using certain LLC innovations. We are not the primary beneficiary of the LLC and apply the equity method of accounting. Our maximum exposure to loss at September 30, 2006, is our net investment in the LLC of \$52.8 million, included in other non-current assets. Our portion of the LLC losses for the year ended September 30, 2006 totaling \$3.2 million is not material and presented as a component of SG&A expense.

WAP Trust VIE Consolidations

We consolidated our WAP trusts in Iowa and NJ beginning March 31, 2004, under FIN 46 (revised December 2003), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. Prior to consolidation, we recognized revenues from the trusts based on contractual fee arrangements. Consolidated trust assets equal liabilities and relate primarily to jackpot funding. These VIE consolidations increase gaming operations revenues and costs by approximately the same amount, resulting in no material impact to gross profit or net income.

On November 4, 2005, because of an earlier change in gaming regulations, we assumed direct responsibility for NJ WAP jackpot system operations previously under the control of a separate trust administrator, including casino contribution revenues and future winner payments. The gaming operations revenues and costs related to these NJ systems continue to be reflected in our consolidated and North America operating results. IGT, as trustee and administrator, will establish and consolidate any future NJ WAP trusts only for periodic payments to winners who decline lump sum payout. The administration of past winner payments remained the responsibility of the existing NJ trusts, which continued to be administered by the third party through November 2006. Accordingly, we deconsolidated approximately \$139.2 million of NJ VIE assets and liabilities related to past winners during the first quarter of fiscal 2006.

Effective November 2006, IGT received approval from the NJ gaming authorities and entered into agreement with the trustees to assume administration of the trusts related to past winners. As a result of this new agreement, IGT will again consolidate jackpot assets and liabilities of these trusts in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. This consolidation will increase our interest income and expense, but will have no incremental impact on revenues or costs of gaming operations.

We continue to consolidate the Iowa trust VIE with assets of \$4.1 million and revenues of \$2.2 million, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006. Consolidated trust VIE assets, inclusive of Iowa and NJ, were \$165.3 million at September 30, 2005. Trust VIE consolidations contributed revenues of \$44.2 million in fiscal 2005 and \$23.8 million during the last half of fiscal 2004.

Table of Contents

Use of Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with US GAAP. Accordingly, we are required to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based on our historical experience, contract terms, observance of known trends in our company and the industry as a whole, and information available from other outside sources. Our estimates affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On a regular basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, revenue recognition, product returns, long-lived assets, prepaid and deferred royalties, jackpot liabilities, inventory obsolescence, share-based compensation, income taxes, bad debts, investments, warranty obligations, long-term contracts, contingencies and litigation. Actual results may differ from initial estimates.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when all of the following have been satisfied:

- ^a persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists

- ^a the price to the customer is fixed and determinable

- ^a delivery has occurred and any acceptance terms have been fulfilled

- ^a no significant contractual obligations remain

- ^a collection is reasonably assured

Product Sales

Our product sales revenues are generated from the sale of gaming machines, systems, parts, conversion kits, content fees, intellectual property royalty and license fees, equipment and services. Revenues are reported net of incentive rebates, discounts, sales taxes and other taxes of a similar nature. Revenues related to customized R&D contracts are recognized as the related work is delivered. We recognize license fee revenues from business affiliates over the term of the associated agreement unless the fee is in exchange for products delivered or services performed that represent the culmination of a separate earnings process. Amounts received prior to completing the earnings process are deferred until revenue recognition criteria are met.

Our sales credit terms are predominately 90 days or less. In certain jurisdictions and in limited circumstances, we may extend credit terms up to two years. We also grant extended payment terms under contracts of sale secured by the related equipment sold, generally for terms of one to four years with interest recognized at prevailing rates.

For sales arrangements with multiple deliverables, we apply the guidance from SOP 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended, and EITF 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. Deliverables are divided into separate units of accounting if:

- ^a each item has value to the customer on a stand alone basis

- ^a we have objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items

- ^a delivery of any undelivered item is considered probable and substantially in our control

The majority of our multiple element contracts are for some combination of machines, systems software, license fees and maintenance. The contracts separately state pricing for each deliverable based on our standard price list (the price charged for the deliverable when it is sold separately) less a specified discount. The terms of performance, cancellation, termination, or refund provisions in our multiple element contracts are similar to those in a contract or sales order for an individual stand alone deliverable. Each deliverable is accompanied by a fully executed sales order signed by the customer and revenues are recognized when delivery has occurred.

Our services for initial installation, as well as standard warranty and technical support, are not separately priced components of our sales arrangements and are included in our revenues when the product is delivered. If the installation of the product is not considered inconsequential and perfunctory, then we defer revenue recognition until

the installation is complete.

License and/or warranty maintenance agreements with customer support terms that extend beyond the standard period included in the sale of the product are recognized ratably over the term of the service period. Revenues generated for additional training and education classes are recognized when the services are performed. When software systems require significant customization specific to the individual customer, we defer recognition of revenues until the software system product is successfully installed, fully operational, and accepted by the customer.

Table of Contents

Gaming Operations

Gaming operations revenues are generated from providing customers with our proprietary gaming products, services, and/or intellectual properties under a variety of recurring revenue arrangements, including:

- ^a WAP systems

- ^a central determination systems

- ^a stand alone participation and flat fee

- ^a equipment leasing and rental

- ^a online gaming solutions

WAP systems entail a configuration of numerous electronically linked slot machines located in multiple casino properties, connecting to an IGT central computer system via a network of communications equipment. WAP systems games differ from stand alone units in that they build a progressive jackpot with every wager until a player hits the top award winning combination. WAP contribution revenues are recognized based on a percentage of coin-in generated by the game. Participating casinos pay a percentage of the coin-in either directly to IGT or a trust for services related to the design, assembly, installation, operation, maintenance, and marketing of the WAP systems and to administer the progressive jackpot funding.

Unlike WAP systems where the game outcome is determined within the slot machine itself, central determination systems determine and deliver game outcomes to the linked machines. CDS, stand alone, and other equipment leasing or rentals are recognized based on our participation percentage of the net win or on a flat fee basis with the passage of time.

Online gaming solutions encompass online casino gaming software and content licensing, as well as back office operational support services. All online gaming solutions are provided under revenue sharing arrangements based on net gaming revenues.

Share-based Compensation

On October 1, 2005, IGT adopted the provisions of SFAS 123R and SAB 107, collectively *Share-Based Payment*, requiring the measurement and recognition of all share-based compensation under the fair value method. We implemented SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method and adjusted previously recorded deferred compensation back to additional paid-in capital. We have elected not to use the alternate method for establishing and accounting for the APIC pool provided for in FSP FAS 123R-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards*.

For the year ended September 30, 2006, we recognized compensation expense for all current award grants and for the unvested portion of previous award grants based on grant date fair values. Prior to fiscal 2006, we accounted for share-based awards under the APB 25 intrinsic value method, which resulted in compensation expense recorded only for restricted share awards and the modification or acquisition of outstanding unvested options. Prior period financial statements have not been adjusted to reflect fair value share-based compensation under SFAS 123R.

With the adoption of SFAS 123R, we changed our method of expense attribution for fair value share-based compensation from the accelerated approach to the straight-line approach for future service based awards granted. We anticipate the straight-line method will provide a more meaningful measure of costs incurred for service based awards. We use the accelerated method to expense our outstanding performance-based awards, as defined in SFAS 123R. Compensation for share-based awards granted prior to the beginning of fiscal 2006 will continue to be recognized under the accelerated method.

We use historical data and projections to estimate expected employee behaviors related to option exercises and forfeitures. SFAS 123R requires that forfeitures be included as part of the grant date estimate. The cumulative effect of forfeitures related to previous SFAS 123 pro forma expense was not material. Prior to adopting SFAS 123R, we reduced stock-based compensation expense when forfeitures occurred.

The fair value of restricted share awards is based on the grant date market price of IGT stock. We estimate the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, and changes in assumptions used can materially affect the fair value estimate. Expected volatility and dividends are based on implied and historical IGT stock factors. Expected term represents the estimated weighted average time between grant and employee exercise. Risk free rate is based on US Treasury rates appropriate for the expected term. See Note 3 for more information on our share-based compensation plans.

Table of Contents

Advertising Costs

IGT expenses advertising costs as incurred, except long term outdoor billboards that are capitalized and amortized over the contract life. Advertising expenses totaled \$17.5 million in fiscal 2006, \$19.5 million in fiscal 2005 and \$19.4 million in fiscal 2004.

Research and Development

Our products reach technological feasibility shortly before the products are released to manufacturing and therefore R&D costs are expensed as incurred. Employee related costs associated with product development are included in R&D costs. R&D performed for specific customers is charged to cost of product sales when the related sale is recorded.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, and (b) operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. We determine the net current and non-current deferred tax assets or liabilities separately for federal, state, and foreign jurisdictions.

Accrued income taxes are reduced by the tax benefits from employee stock options exercised. We receive an income tax benefit on the difference between the market price of the stock issued at the time of exercise and the option price.

Earnings Per Share

We compute EPS using the weighted average number of common and potential shares outstanding. See Note 14.

Cash and Equivalents

Cash and equivalents consist primarily of deposits held at major banks, commercial paper, and other money market securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Restricted Cash and Investments

We are required by gaming regulations to maintain sufficient reserves in restricted accounts to be used for the purpose of funding payments to progressive systems jackpot winners. The restricted amounts (comprised of cash and short-term investments) are based primarily on the levels of the progressive systems primary jackpot meters (those displayed to slot players) and vary by jurisdiction. Compliance with restricted cash requirements is reported to the gaming authorities in various jurisdictions.

Investment Securities

Our investment securities are classified as available-for-sale and stated at market value. Unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax effects, are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Market value is determined by the most recently traded price of the security at the balance sheet date. Net realized gains or losses are determined on the specific identification cost method.

Receivables

The carrying amount reflected in our consolidated balance sheet for receivables approximates fair value.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts on our accounts, notes and contracts receivable that we have deemed to have a high risk of collectibility. We analyze historical collection trends, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in our customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. We also evaluate specifically reserved notes for placement on non-interest-accrual status.

Equipment Financing Contracts

IGT grants extended payment terms to qualifying customers under contracts of sale. These contracts are generally for terms of one to four years, with interest recognized at prevailing rates, and secured by the related equipment sold.

Facility Notes

IGT provides development financing loans to select customers for new or expanding gaming facilities, generally under terms of one to ten years with interest at prevailing rates. Certain agreements may also include provisions for the facility to reserve a percentage of its floor space for the placement of IGT proprietary games, which may be reduced if the machines do not meet certain performance standards. These agreements may call for IGT to receive a

portion of the net win on these proprietary games as repayment for some or all of the amounts financed. Unfunded development financing loans totaled \$17.8 million at September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market value. We regularly assess inventory quantities on hand and record provisions for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on our estimated forecast of product demand and production requirements.

Jackpot Annuity Investments

These investments represent discounted qualifying US treasury or agency securities purchased and held to maturity to fund annual jackpot payments due previous winners. We have both the intent and ability to hold these investments to maturity and, therefore, classify them as held-to-maturity. Accordingly, these investments are stated at cost, adjusted for interest accretion over the term of the security. Many jurisdictions require regulatory approval for liquidation of these annuity investments.

Property, Plant and Equipment

We depreciate our property, plant and equipment down to salvage value using the straight-line method. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and improvements are capitalized. Asset charges related to gaming operations equipment are recorded to cost of gaming operations.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We amortize our finite lived intangible assets to reflect the pattern in which the economic benefits of the assets will be consumed based on projected usage and revenues over one to 18 years. We determine amortization periods generally reflecting useful lives and the cash flow streams associated with them. When the pattern in which economic benefit of asset consumption is undeterminable, we amortize using the straight-line method.

We consider certain factors when assigning useful lives such as legal, regulatory and contractual provisions, as well as the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors. See Note 9.

We evaluate the carrying value of our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment at least annually as of July 1 or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable from related future undiscounted cash flows. Indicators that could trigger an impairment review include legal and regulatory factors, market conditions, and operational performance. Impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the assets, and is recognized as a component of income from operations. We recognized no material impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets in fiscal 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Other Assets

Other assets are primarily comprised of prepaid or deferred royalty costs, investments in unconsolidated affiliates, deferred debt offering costs, and deposits.

Royalties

We pay royalty and license fees for the use of third party trade names, celebrity likenesses, content, and other intellectual property rights. We have classified prepaid and deferred royalty costs as current and non-current assets based on the period of expected consumption related to projected revenues. We amortize prepaid and deferred royalties to cost of product sales or cost of gaming operations over the estimated period of expected consumption based on forecasted sales and placement schedules. If a pattern cannot be reliably determined, we use the straight-line method over the contract life. We also contract with certain parties for intellectual property rights where future payments are contingent upon revenues generated.

We evaluate the future realization of prepaid and deferred royalties quarterly. Portions deemed unrealizable related to royalties incurred after the related product has been released for general distribution are charged to cost of product sales or cost of gaming operations. Royalties deemed unlikely to be realized before the related product has been released for general distribution are charged to R&D expense.

Derivatives

We recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities at the fair value of the instruments based on current market rates. Accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use and resulting designation. We use derivative financial instruments to minimize our market risk exposure resulting from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. The primary business objective of our hedging program is to minimize the impact to our earnings resulting from exchange rate changes. The counter parties to our agreements are major commercial banks and we expect losses related to credit risk will be remote. We are not a party to leveraged derivatives and do not hold

or issue financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Hedging

We routinely use derivative financial instruments to hedge our net exposure, by currency, related to our monetary assets and liabilities denominated in non-functional foreign currency. These hedging instruments are subject to fluctuations in value that are generally offset by the value of the underlying exposures being hedged. These forward exchange contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133 and resulting gains or losses are recognized in current earnings.

Jackpot Liabilities and Expense

WAP systems jackpots are generally payable in equal installments over a 20 to 26 year period or immediately in the case of our instant win progressive jackpots. Winners may elect to receive a lump sum payment for the present value of the jackpot discounted at applicable interest rates in lieu of periodic annual installments. Discount rates eligible for use in the lump sum payment calculation vary by jurisdiction and are impacted by market forces and other economic conditions.

Jackpot liabilities are comprised of payments due previous winners, as well as amounts due future winners of progressive jackpots not yet won. Previous winner liabilities for periodic payments are carried at the amortized cost of the jackpot annuity investments used to fund future periodic payments. Liabilities due future winners are carried at the present value of the amount carried on progressive jackpot meters for jackpots not yet won. Jackpot expense for the cost to fund jackpots is subject to changes in the discount or interest rate used to present value progressive jackpot liabilities due future winners.

We estimate the present value of progressive jackpot liabilities due future winners using current market prime, treasury, or agency rates weighted with historical lump sum payout election ratios. The most recent historical patterns indicate that approximately 85% of winners will elect the lump sum payment option. Additionally, we estimate current liabilities for jackpots not yet won based on our historical experience with winner payment elections, in conjunction with the theoretical projected number of jackpots expected to hit within one year.

WAP Systems Interest

Interest income accretion on jackpot annuity investments used to fund periodic payments is offset by interest expense accretion for the accompanying jackpot liabilities for payments due previous winners. The interest income and expense accrete at approximately the same rate and vary depending on the amount of jackpots won and the number of winners electing periodic payments. WAP systems interest expense totaled \$23.2 million in fiscal 2006, \$31.3 million in fiscal 2005 and \$26.1 million in 2004.

We also hold a significant amount of cash and short-term investments related to our WAP operations on which we earn interest income.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities are primarily comprised of accrued license and royalty fees, deferred compensation, minority interest, and customer deposits.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of certain IGT international subsidiaries is the local currency. For those subsidiaries, we translate assets and liabilities at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, and income and expense accounts at average exchange rates during the year. Resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded directly to accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity. Gains and losses resulting from transactions in non-functional currencies are recorded in income. For subsidiaries whose functional currency is the US dollar, gains and losses on non-US dollar denominated assets and liabilities are recorded in income.

Hurricane Damages

We suffered damages and losses to our US gulf coast operations from the hurricanes in August and September 2005, primarily affecting gaming operations machines destroyed or temporarily shutdown.

As a result, we recorded \$5.5 million pre-tax of hurricane related expenses in September 2005, including \$2.8 million for the carrying value of assets destroyed, net of minimum insurance recoveries, \$1.7 million in other operating costs, and \$1.0 million for disaster relief programs. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we received a \$5.0 million advance on existing receivables. The advance did not affect our operating results or working capital.

No determination has been made as to the total amount or timing of insurance recoveries. We carry comprehensive business interruption and property damage insurance. Negotiations with our insurance carriers are ongoing, and we continue to work closely with consultants to ascertain the full amount of insurance proceeds due to IGT because of the damages and losses suffered in the hurricanes. We will record any business interruption insurance recoveries for lost earnings and any property insurance reimbursements over book value when realized and all contingencies are resolved. This may result in periodic fluctuations affecting comparability.

Table of Contents**Recently Issued Accounting Standards***SFAS 157*

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. This statement is effective for us beginning in October 2008. We are evaluating whether adoption of this statement will result in a change to our fair value measurements.

SAB 108

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*. SAB 108 requires analysis of misstatements using both an income statement (rollover) approach and a balance sheet (iron curtain) approach in assessing materiality and provides for a one-time cumulative effect transition adjustment. SAB 108 is effective for our fiscal year 2007 annual financial statements. We do not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

FIN 48

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. FIN 48 prescribes the recognition threshold and measurement criteria for determining the tax benefit amounts to recognize in the financial statements. This interpretation is effective for us beginning in October 2007. We are evaluating the potential impact of adopting this interpretation on our future results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

SFAS 154

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, requiring retrospective application to prior-period financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS 154 also redefines restatement as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of errors. This statement is effective for us beginning in October 2006. Although we have no current application for this statement, the adoption of this statement may affect our future results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

SFAS 123R (including FSP s) and SAB 107

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, replacing and superseding both SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and APB 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123R requires fair value measurement and recognition in the financial statements for all share-based compensation arrangements. SFAS 123R also requires additional accounting and disclosures related to income tax effects and cash flows resulting from share-based compensation arrangements.

In March 2005, the SEC issued SAB 107, *Share-Based Payment*, providing interpretive guidance on SFAS 123R valuation methods, assumptions used in valuation models, and the interaction of SFAS 123R with existing SEC guidance. The additional SAB 107 requirement for the classification of stock compensation expense to the same financial statement line as cash compensation affected our cost of product sales and gaming operations, related gross profits and margins, R&D, and SG&A expenses. We adopted the provisions of SFAS 123R and SAB 107 in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. See Note 3 for additional information.

2. Balance Sheet Components*Inventories*

| September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 79.9 | \$ 69.8 |
| Work-in-process | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Finished goods | 77.6 | 67.9 |

| | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------|----------|
| Total inventories | | \$ 162.1 | \$ 142.3 |
|-------------------|--|----------|----------|

Table of Contents**Property, Plant and Equipment**

| September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | Useful lives in years |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Land | \$ 35.4 | \$ 20.7 | |
| Buildings | 104.6 | 102.4 | 30 - 40 |
| Gaming operations equipment | 608.8 | 483.2 | 1 - 3 |
| Manufacturing machinery and equipment | 194.1 | 220.1 | 2 - 15 |
| Leasehold improvements | 14.0 | 10.5 | lease term |
| Construction in process | 82.6 | 39.3 | |
| Total | 1,039.5 | 876.2 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (569.7) | (491.0) | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 469.8 | \$ 385.2 | |

Las Vegas facilities under construction totaled \$57.7 million at September 30, 2006 and \$25.1 million at September 30, 2005. Interest capitalized during fiscal 2006 totaled \$1.3 million and \$0.9 million during fiscal 2005. During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, we listed our facilities in Rapid City, South Dakota for sale and reclassified them to other assets held for sale. The property sold in September 2006 for a total gain of \$4.4 million. Because we retained 50% use of the property under leaseback provisions, \$2.3 million of the gain is deferred and will be amortized over the 5-year lease. The net book value of assets held for sale totaled \$1.8 million at September 30, 2006.

3. Employee Benefit Plans

We have established a variety of employee benefit programs to attract, retain and motivate our employees.

Cash Incentives

Our profit sharing and 401(k) plan was adopted for US employees. IGT matches 100% of an employee's contributions up to \$750 per year. Participants immediately vest in their contributions and IGT's matching contributions. Additionally, IGT contributes a portion of profits to eligible employees, which vest over a seven-year period. Cash sharing is distributed semi-annually to all eligible employees and management bonuses are paid annually to selected employees.

Our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, implemented in September 1999, provides an unfunded incentive compensation arrangement for eligible management and highly compensated employees. Participants may elect to defer up to 50% of their annual earnings with a minimum deferral of \$2,000. Distributions can be paid out as short-term payments or at retirement. Retirement benefits can be paid out as a lump sum or in annual installments over a term of up to 15 years.

Total annual contributions from operating profits for these plans totaled \$88.4 million in fiscal 2006, \$66.7 million in fiscal 2005, and \$81.0 million in fiscal 2004.

Share-based Compensation

Our share-based payment arrangements are designed to attract and retain employees. The amount, frequency, and terms of share-based awards may vary based on competitive practices, company operating results, and government regulations. New IGT shares are issued upon option exercise or restricted share grant. IGT's restricted share awards (referred to in SFAS 123R as non-vested share awards), are earned over the employee's service (vesting) period, and hold no further restrictions upon vesting. Unrecognized costs related to all share-based awards outstanding at September 30, 2006 totaled \$74.9 million and are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.3 years.

Stock Incentive Plan

Under the IGT SIP, our eligible employees and non-employee directors may be granted non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted shares or stock appreciation rights. SIP grants may vest over time of service or based on performance. We generally grant stock options at an exercise price equal to the market price at the date of grant, with a 10-year contractual term. Our share-based compensation arrangements typically vest ratably over five years of continuous service. At September 30, 2006, 13.6 million shares remain available for grant under the IGT SIP. Each restricted share granted counts as four shares against this allowance.

Table of Contents***Current year stock options activity as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006***

| Options | Shares <i>(thousands)</i> | Exercise Price <i>(per share)</i> | Weighted Average | Aggregate Intrinsic Value <i>(millions)</i> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Remaining Contractual Term <i>(years)</i> | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 22,774 | \$ 22.80 | | |
| Granted | 1,395 | 30.37 | | |
| Exercised | (6,130) | 13.67 | | |
| Forfeited | (440) | 29.23 | | |
| Expired | (46) | 23.22 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 17,553 | \$ 26.45 | 6.9 | \$ 264.1 |
| Exercisable at end of period | 7,538 | \$ 22.65 | 6.1 | \$ 142.4 |

Current year restricted shares activity as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006

| Restricted Shares/Units | Shares <i>(thousands)</i> | Grant Date Fair Value <i>(per share)</i> | Weighted Average | Aggregate Intrinsic Value <i>(millions)</i> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | Remaining Vesting Period <i>(years)</i> | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 514 | \$ 26.78 | | |
| Granted | 1,252 | 36.14 | | |
| Vested | (187) | 33.12 | | |
| Forfeited | (9) | 34.67 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 1,570 | \$ 33.45 | 4.1 | \$ 65.2 |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the IGT qualified ESPP, eligible employees are granted an option with a 12-month term to purchase a limited number of shares, exercisable the last day in February each year. Eligible employees may participate in this plan through payroll deductions up to certain limits. The option price is currently equal to 85% of the market price of our stock on the grant date or exercise date, whichever is less. Approximately 248,000 shares were issued in February 2006 under this plan. Based on expected payroll contributions as of September 30, 2006, we expect to issue approximately 231,000 shares in February 2007 under this plan. At September 30, 2006, 3.0 million shares were available for future grants.

Additionally, eligible UK employees may enroll annually in the Barcrest Savings Related Share Option Scheme established in January 1999. Employees must elect to vest over three, five, or seven years and the option price is equal to 80% of the market price of our stock on the grant date. Approximately 31,000 shares were issued during fiscal 2006 under this plan and approximately 688,000 shares were available for grant at September 30, 2006. Based on enrollment through September 2006, we expect to issue approximately 87,000 shares under this plan over the next

seven years.

Option Valuation Assumptions

| Years Ended September 30, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Expected volatility | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.29 |
| Expected dividends | 1.55% | 1.57% | 1.14% |
| Expected term (in years) | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Risk free rate | 4.45% | 3.42% | 2.61% |

56

Table of Contents**Reported Share-based Compensation**

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Pre-tax | \$ 37.0 | \$ 3.7 | \$ 2.0 |
| Tax benefit | (11.1) | (1.4) | (0.7) |
| After-tax | \$ 25.9 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 1.3 |

Pro Forma Net Income Comparison

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Reported net income | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 488.7 |
| Incremental after-tax pro forma share-based compensation | | (21.5) | (26.4) |
| Comparative net income (prior year pro forma) | \$ 473.6 | \$ 415.0 | \$ 462.3 |
| Basic EPS as reported | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.41 |
| Basic EPS (prior year pro forma) | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.21 | \$ 1.33 |
| Diluted EPS as reported | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.32 |
| Diluted EPS (prior year pro forma) | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.25 |

Other Share-based Compensation Information

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions except per share amounts)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted average grant date fair value per share: | | | |
| Options granted | \$ 7.65 | \$ 7.95 | \$ 7.11 |
| Restricted shares granted | \$ 36.14 | \$ 35.51 | \$ 36.48 |
| Total intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 135.6 | \$ 79.5 | \$ 113.0 |
| Total fair value of restricted shares vested | 6.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Tax benefit realized for tax return deductions | 50.8 | 26.6 | 15.0 |

4. Acquisitions, Divestitures and Discontinued Operations**Acquisitions**

We have not provided pro forma financial information for the following acquisitions, as they were not material to our consolidated results.

WagerWorks

On August 25, 2005, we completed the acquisition of WagerWorks, a provider of internet gaming technology, content and services. We anticipate this business combination will facilitate the distribution of our game content across remote channels and mediums, including the internet and interactive television. We allocated the aggregate purchase price of \$89.1 million to:

- ^a tangible assets of \$5.6 million, including \$1.4 million in cash
- ^a total liabilities of \$7.4 million
- ^a identifiable intangibles of \$31.1 million, including \$10.1 million in patents, \$7.0 million in contracts, and \$14.0 million in developed technology

^a \$1.8 million of in-process R&D with no future alternative use, charged to R&D expense

^a \$58.0 million in goodwill not deductible for tax purposes

Acres

On October 27, 2003, we completed the acquisition of Acres, specializing in the development of gaming systems technology designed to assist casino operators in increasing patron loyalty. This business combination enabled us to utilize the Acres gaming systems technology in developing more integrated gaming systems products. The aggregate purchase price of \$134.0 million was allocated to net tangible assets of \$9.7 million, identifiable intangibles and non-deductible goodwill of \$122.5 million, and in-process R&D of \$1.8 million charged immediately to expense.

Table of Contents**Divestitures and Discontinued Operations**

Subsequent to the completion of the Anchor acquisition on December 30, 2001, we divested certain acquired operations inconsistent with IGT's core business strategy. IGT OnLine Entertainment Systems, Inc. and the lottery systems business of VLC, Inc. were sold in November 2003 for \$151.5 million, resulting in a net gain of \$56.7 million after tax of \$34.1 million. The results of these discontinued operations during 2004 also provided revenues of \$13.6 million and income before tax of \$3.4 million.

5. Investment Securities

Our portfolio of investment securities is available for sale and comprised primarily of interest bearing auction rate securities. We buy and sell ARS regularly as a means of effective cash management. Our portfolio included restricted ARS of \$64.8 million at September 30, 2006 compared to \$63.1 million in restricted ARS and equity securities of \$1.2 million at September 30, 2005.

Book value approximates fair value and there were no material unrealized gains or losses at September 30, 2006 and 2005. We recorded no gains or losses during these years.

6. Jackpot Annuity Investments

| September 30, (In millions) | Book Value | Gross Unrealized Gains | Losses | Market Value |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 2006 | \$ 387.4 | \$ 26.2 | \$ (3.6) | \$ 410.0 |
| 2005 | \$ 521.6 | \$ 46.1 | \$ (2.8) | \$ 564.9 |

Future maturities of these securities through 2031, including the accreted interest at maturity, totaled:

| September 30, (In millions) | Within 1 year | 2-5 years | 6-10 years | Thereafter | Total |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 2006 | \$ 47.2 | \$ 183.1 | \$ 168.7 | \$ 156.8 | \$ 555.8 |

7. Receivables

Our notes and contracts receivable are presented net of unearned interest income and deferred loan fees totaling \$3.3 million at September 30, 2006 and \$4.0 million at September 30, 2005, and net of allowances for doubtful accounts below.

| September 30, (In millions) | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 20.4 | \$ 26.1 | \$ 21.0 |
| Provisions | 3.1 | (0.7) | 10.6 |
| Write-offs net of recoveries | (5.3) | (5.0) | (5.5) |
| Ending balance | \$ 18.2 | \$ 20.4 | \$ 26.1 |
| Allowance for doubtful notes and contracts: | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 42.8 | \$ 42.4 | \$ 34.0 |
| Provisions | (3.6) | 0.7 | 7.6 |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Write-offs net of recoveries | (0.2) | (0.3) | 0.8 |
| Ending balance | \$ 39.0 | \$ 42.8 | \$ 42.4 |
| Current | \$ 21.5 | \$ 27.6 | |
| Non-current | 17.5 | 15.2 | |

58

Table of Contents

Estimated future collections below, as of September 30, 2006 are net of allowances for notes of \$9.9 million and contracts of \$29.1 million:

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|------------|----------|
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Notes | \$ 19.7 | \$ 11.3 | \$ 2.2 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.1 | \$ 36.9 |
| Contracts | 74.0 | 31.3 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 | | 119.9 |
| | \$ 93.7 | \$ 42.6 | \$ 13.6 | \$ 4.0 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.1 | \$ 156.8 |

8. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash or equivalents, short-term investments, and receivables. We place short-term investments in high credit quality financial institutions or in short duration high quality securities. With the exception of US Government and Agency securities, our investment policy limits the amount of credit exposure in any one financial institution, industry group or type of investment. Cash on deposit may be in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits.

Our revenues and resulting receivables are concentrated in specific legalized gaming regions. We had no sales to a single customer that exceeded 10% of revenues during 2006, 2005, or 2004. Our net receivables at September 30, 2006 were concentrated in Nevada 28%, California 9%, Europe 9%, and all other jurisdictions 5% or less individually 41% in North America and 13% International.

9. Goodwill and Other Intangibles**Goodwill Activity by Segment**

The tax benefit of Anchor options exercised subsequent to acquisition in the table below was recorded in accordance with EITF 00-23, *Issues Related to the Accounting for Stock Compensation under APB 25 and FIN 44*.

| | North America | International | Total |
|---|------------------|---------------|------------|
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 994.7 | \$ 40.9 | \$ 1,035.6 |
| WagerWorks acquisition | | 56.6 | 56.6 |
| Tax benefit of Anchor options exercised | (0.4) | | (0.4) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | (0.9) | (0.9) |
| Ending balance | 994.3 | 96.6 | 1,090.9 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | | | |
| Business acquisition | | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| WagerWorks purchase price adjustments | | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Tax benefit of Anchor options exercised | (2.2) | | (2.2) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Ending balance | \$ 992.1 | \$ 103.0 | \$ 1,095.1 |

Table of Contents**Intangible Balances**

| | 2006 | | | 2005 | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | | |
| Finite lived intangibles | | | | | | |
| Patents | \$ 332.1 | \$ 119.4 | \$ 212.7 | \$ 323.3 | \$ 92.7 | \$ 230.6 |
| Contracts | 19.6 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 23.4 | 5.6 | 17.8 |
| Trademarks | 5.1 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 8.5 | 1.3 |
| Developed technology | 44.2 | 14.9 | 29.3 | 38.9 | 7.7 | 31.2 |
| Customer relationships | 6.8 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 5.4 |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 407.8 | \$ 150.8 | \$ 257.0 | \$ 402.1 | \$ 115.8 | \$ 286.3 |

Intangible Additions

Patent additions in the following table include capitalized legal costs.

| | Acquisitions and Valuation Adjustments | | Other Additions | Weighted Average Life (Years) |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------|--------------------|--|
| Year ended September 30, 2006 | | | | |
| <i>(In millions, except life)</i> | | | | |
| Finite lived intangibles: | | | | |
| Patents | \$ 0.1 | \$ 17.3 | | 4 |
| Contracts | (3.9) | | | 8 |
| Developed technology | 0.8 | 4.5 | | 9 |
| Total | \$ (3.0) | \$ 21.8 | | |

Intangible Amortization

Aggregate amortization expense totaled \$44.6 million in fiscal 2006, \$39.4 million in 2005 and \$36.6 million in 2004.

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | |
| Estimated annual amortization | \$43.1 | \$37.7 | \$34.8 | \$31.2 | \$27.3 |

10. Credit Facilities and Indebtedness

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Outstanding Balance at September 30, | | |
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | |
| Senior credit facility | \$ 200.0 | \$ 200.0 |
| Foreign credit facilities | 21.3 | 8.8 |
| Senior convertible debentures, net of unamortized discount | 611.1 | 602.2 |
| Total notes payable, net | \$ 832.4 | \$ 811.0 |

Book value approximates fair value for our credit facilities. The aggregate fair value of our Debentures based on quoted market prices was \$854.4 million as of September 30, 2006 and \$615.2 million as of September 30, 2005.

Future debt obligations totaled \$632.4 million, net of unamortized discount of \$355.7, due in 2007 and \$200.0 million due in 2011. We continue to be in compliance with all applicable covenants at September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

Senior Credit Facility

On December 20, 2005, we entered into an amended and restated unsecured \$2.5 billion credit facility with a syndicate of banks, replacing the previous credit facility of \$1.5 billion. The new five-year credit facility provides a \$2.5 billion revolving line of credit, of which up to \$100.0 million is available for letters of credit and up to \$50.0 million is available for swingline (same day funds) borrowing. Borrowings outstanding totaled \$200.0 million at September 30, 2006 and \$4.4 million was reserved for letters of credit.

Interest rates and facility fees applicable to the credit facility may fluctuate based on our public credit ratings and/or debt to capitalization ratio. At September 30, 2006, the interest rate was LIBOR plus 37.5 bps or 5.66375% with a facility fee of 12.5 bps.

Financial covenants (as defined in the new facility agreement) include a minimum ratio of EBITDA to interest expense minus interest on jackpot liabilities and a maximum ratio of Debt to EBITDA. Absence of compliance with required covenants causes an event of default that, if not cured, could cause the entire outstanding borrowings under the credit facility to become immediately due and payable.

The new credit facility agreement also includes certain restrictions on our ability to:

- ^a incur additional debt, guarantee debt, or enter into swap agreements
- ^a incur liens
- ^a enter into business combinations, liquidate, or dissolve
- ^a sell, transfer, lease or dispose of substantially all assets
- ^a change the nature of the business

Foreign Credit Facilities

Our foreign credit facilities totaled \$50.0 million at September 30, 2006. Of this amount, \$21.3 million was drawn with a weighted average interest rate of 1.52%. Renewals on these facilities occur annually.

Senior Convertible Debentures

In a private offering on January 29, 2003 and February 5, 2003, we issued approximately \$969.8 million principal amount at maturity of zero-coupon senior convertible debentures (old Debentures) due January 29, 2033 for gross proceeds of approximately \$575.0 million. The old Debentures are convertible into 21.1704 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount at maturity.

On April 4, 2006, IGT entered into a new indenture as a result of exchanging our old Debentures for an equal aggregate principal amount at maturity of new Debentures and an exchange fee of \$2.51 per Debenture. In the aggregate, \$964.8 million principal amount at maturity, or 99.5%, of the old Debentures were tendered and accepted for exchange. The terms of the new Debentures are substantially similar to the old Debentures, except that the new Debentures include a net share settlement feature requiring us to satisfy our conversion obligation to holders in cash, per the terms of the indenture, up to the accreted principal amount and in common shares for any remaining conversion value.

Except for the exchange fee, there is no impact on interest expense as a result of the exchange. This exchange reduced share dilution associated with the Debentures by enabling us to apply the treasury stock method rather than the if-converted method for calculating diluted EPS related to contingently issuable shares.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, approximately 2,900 Debentures were converted, resulting in 56,000 shares issued and \$0.2 million cash paid. The Debentures were classified in current liabilities at September 30, 2006 and remain convertible through January 16, 2007.

Debenture Terms

Absent a yield adjustment, the Debentures have a yield to maturity of 1.75%. The yield adjustment feature may require IGT to pay contingent cash interest on the Debentures at prevailing market rates to be determined during any six month period commencing on or after January 29, 2006, if the average closing sale prices of our common stock for specified measurement periods is less than or equal to 60% of the accreted conversion price of the Debentures during

such specified periods.

Holders have the right to require IGT to redeem the Debentures for an amount equal to accreted value plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any, on January 29, 2008, 2013, 2018, 2023 and 2028. IGT may call the Debentures for redemption in cash equal to accreted value plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any. If IGT calls the Debentures, holders will be notified at least 15 days, but not more than 60 days, prior to the redemption date and will have the right to convert prior to redemption.

Table of Contents

The Debentures are convertible under any of the following circumstances:

- (i) during specified conversion periods, if the closing sales price of our common stock is more than 120% of the accreted conversion price on the first day of such conversion period for at least 20 of the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the first day of such conversion period (for example, the Debentures were convertible during the conversion period beginning July 18, 2006 through October 13, 2006, because the closing price of our common stock was more than \$35.70 per share for at least 20 of the 30 consecutive trading days ending on July 18, 2006)
- (ii) during specified periods, if the average trading price for a Debenture is less than 95% of the average closing sale price of our common stock multiplied by the conversion rate for a specified period
- (iii) during any period that our long term senior debt ratings (or the ratings on the Debentures, if rated) are reduced to below Ba2 by Moody's and below BB by Standard & Poor's or our long-term senior debt (or the Debentures, if rated) cease to be rated by both rating agencies
- (iv) the Debentures have been called for redemption
- (v) upon the occurrence and continuance of specified corporate transactions

We may also be required to repurchase the Debentures upon the occurrence of specified change of control events at the accreted value plus accrued and unpaid cash interest, if any. Upon a change of control, we may elect to settle the repurchase price in cash or common stock valued at 95% of its average closing sale price for the five day trading period ending on the third trading day prior to the repurchase date. Our right to pay the repurchase price for Debentures in common stock upon a repurchase date or upon a change of control is subject to certain conditions, including the registration under applicable federal and state securities laws of the shares of common stock to be issued.

Senior Notes

In February 2004, we redeemed our \$400.0 million senior notes due May 2004. On July 16, 2004, we redeemed the \$569.6 million principal amount outstanding of our 2009 senior notes. We recognized aggregate losses of \$127.9 million on these early debt retirements.

11. Commitments

We lease certain of our facilities and equipment under various agreements for periods through April 2012. The following table shows future minimum payments required under these leases that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms as of September 30, 2006. Certain facility leases provide that we pay utilities, maintenance, property taxes, and certain other operating expenses applicable to the leased property, including liability and property damage insurance. For leased properties no longer in use, we have accrued lease payments, net of anticipated sublease receipts.

| | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total |
|----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------|
| <i>(In millions)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Operating leases | \$ 11.6 | \$ 7.5 | \$ 4.9 | \$ 3.7 | \$ 2.4 | \$ 1.6 | \$ 31.7 |

Rental expense in continuing operations totaled \$14.3 million for fiscal 2006, \$12.7 million for fiscal 2005, and \$11.5 million for fiscal 2004. Rental expense in discontinued operations totaled \$520,000 for fiscal 2004.

12. Jackpot Liabilities

| September 30, | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|
| <i>(in millions)</i> | | |
| Payments due previous winners | \$ 567.2 | \$ 767.3 |
| Payments due future winners | 161.4 | 176.3 |
| Unamortized discounts | (181.9) | (237.8) |

| | | | |
|--|----|----------|----------|
| Total jackpot liabilities | | \$ 546.7 | \$ 705.8 |
| Estimated fair value based on quoted market prices | | \$ 569.0 | \$ 750.3 |
| | 62 | | |

Table of Contents

| Future jackpot payments due <i>(In millions)</i> | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Thereafter | Total |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Previous winners | \$ 56.6 | \$48.7 | \$46.5 | \$45.5 | \$44.1 | \$325.8 | \$567.2 |
| Future winners | 113.4 | 14.2 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 28.1 | 161.4 |

13. Derivatives**Foreign Currency Hedging**

Our net foreign currency exposure related to our monetary assets and liabilities totaled \$151.0 million at September 30, 2006 and \$138.8 million at September 30, 2005. The fair value of foreign currency contracts hedging this exposure totaled \$148.7 million at September 30, 2006 and \$126.8 million at September 30, 2005.

Debentures Yield Adjustment

The yield adjustment feature of our debentures requires contingent cash interest payments that are triggered by our stock price and is thus considered an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation under SFAS 133. In anticipation of a potential adjustment, IGT may exercise its redemption right. Therefore, an investor would be expected to attribute no economic value to the yield adjustment feature. Accordingly, we have ascribed no value and recorded no derivative asset or liability for this embedded derivative.

14. Earnings Per Share

The calculation of diluted EPS from continuing operations below reflects our outstanding old Debenture shares for all periods presented, in accordance with the adoption of EITF 04-8, *The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share*, in our first quarter of fiscal 2005.

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 473.6 | \$ 436.5 | \$ 429.8 |
| After-tax interest expense on convertible debentures | 4.3 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
| Diluted EPS Numerator | \$ 477.9 | \$ 446.0 | \$ 439.1 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 336.8 | 343.7 | 346.8 |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based awards | 4.4 | 6.0 | 9.0 |
| Dilutive effect of debentures | 14.6 | 20.5 | 20.5 |
| Diluted EPS Denominator | 355.8 | 370.2 | 376.3 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.27 | \$ 1.24 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.17 |

Weighted average antidilutive stock-based awards excluded from diluted

| | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| EPS | 7.1 | 8.9 | 0.6 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|

In September 2006, we acquired 3.7 million shares through a structured accelerated share repurchase for an initial value of \$150.0 million or \$40.97 per share. The initial price paid under the accelerated share repurchase agreement was subject to a future purchase price adjustment based on the weighted average price of our stock through November 3, 2006, subject to a specified collar. Because our weighted average stock price during the contract period was above the cap price of \$40.97, we settled this transaction in November 2006 with no additional cash or shares delivered by either party. The results of this transaction are reflected in the treasury stock component of shareholders equity.

During the third quarter, we prepaid \$100.0 million in a structured share repurchase transaction designed to settle in cash or IGT shares based on the closing stock price on June 29, 2006. Since the closing price of our stock was above the predetermined threshold price of \$35.50 per share, we received cash of \$101.1 million upon settlement in July. In September 2005, we prepaid \$74.0 million in a similar structured share repurchase transaction, which resulted in cash received of \$77.8 million because our stock price was above the predetermined threshold price of \$27.40 per share on November 15, 2005. Because these transactions settled in cash, they did not impact earnings per share.

Table of Contents

On April 4, 2006, we issued new Debentures to holders who had properly tendered their old Debentures. This exchange reduced share dilution associated with the Debentures by enabling us to apply the treasury stock method for calculating diluted EPS related to contingently issuable shares. See Note 10 for additional information about the exchange.

There were no transactions from the end of fiscal 2006 through December 8, 2006 that would have materially changed the number of basic or diluted shares outstanding.

15. Contingencies**Litigation**

IGT has been named in and has brought lawsuits in the normal course of business. We do not expect the outcome of these suits, including the lawsuits described below, to have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of future operations .

Bally

On December 7, 2004, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Nevada, alleging that defendants Alliance Gaming Corp., Bally Gaming Int l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed six US patents held by IGT, US Patent numbers 6,827,646; 5,848,932; 5,788,573; 5,722,891; 6,712,698; and 6,722,985. On January 21, 2005, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT s asserted claims. Defendants also asserted fourteen counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity and unenforceability of the asserted patents, and for antitrust violations and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT denies these allegations and discovery is ongoing.

On April 28, 2006, IGT filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that defendants Bally Technologies, Inc., Bally Gaming Int l, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc. infringed nine US patents held by IGT, US Patent numbers RE 38,812; RE 37,885; 6,832,958; 6,319,125; 6,244,958; 6,431,983; 6,607,441; 6,565,434; and 6,620,046. The complaint alleges that the BALLY POWER BONUSING technology infringes one or more of the claims of the asserted IGT patents. The lawsuit seeks monetary damages and an injunction. On June 30, 2006, defendants filed an answer denying the allegations in the complaint and raising various affirmative defenses to IGT s asserted claims. Defendants also asserted twelve counterclaims against IGT, including counterclaims for a declaratory judgment of non-infringement, invalidity, unenforceability of the asserted patents, antitrust violations, unfair competition, and intentional interference with prospective business advantage. IGT denies these allegations, and discovery is ongoing.

On September 5, 2006, Bally filed a complaint in US District Court for the District of Nevada alleging that IGT is infringing US Patent No. 7,100,916, entitled Indicator Wheel System. The products named in the Complaint are IGT s gaming machines with wheel features, including, without limitation, *Wheel of Fortune*, *Wheel of Gold*, *The Addams Family*, *American Bandstand*, *The Apprentice*, *Dilbert Wheelbert*, *Drew Carey Great Balls of Cash*, *ELI Dream of Jeannie*, *I Love Lucy*, *Indiana Jones: Raiders of the Lost Ark*, *M*A*S*H**, *Megabucks with Morgan Fairchild*, *Regis On the Town*, *Sinatra* and *Twilight Zone* gaming machines. The lawsuit seeks unspecified monetary damages and an injunction. On October 6, 2006, IGT filed an answer and counterclaims denying infringement and seeking a declaration that the patent is invalid and non-infringed. IGT intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit. Discovery is ongoing.

Aristocrat

On June 30, 2005, Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. filed a patent infringement lawsuit against IGT. The Complaint was served on IGT on December 13, 2005. Aristocrat alleges that IGT has willfully infringed US Patent No. 6,093,102. Aristocrat contends that the patent covers its Reel Power® video slot technology and IGT s *Multiway* video slot games. The lawsuit seeks unspecified damages and an injunction. IGT believes that the patent is invalid and not infringed and intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit. On January 13, 2006, Aristocrat filed a First Amended Complaint adding Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. as a plaintiff. On January 19, 2006, IGT filed its Answer to the First Amended Complaint.

On June 12, 2006, Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. filed a patent infringement lawsuit against IGT. Aristocrat alleges that IGT has willfully infringed US Patent No. 7,056,215, which

issued on June 6, 2006. The IGT products named in the complaint are the *Fort Knox*[®] mystery progressive slot machines. IGT believes that the patent is invalid and not infringed and intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

Table of Contents

Brochu v. Loto Quebec

Loto Quebec commenced an action in warranty against VLC, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of IGT, and another manufacturer of video lottery machines in October 2003, in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec, District of Quebec, seeking indemnification for any damages that may be awarded against Loto Quebec in a class action suit, also filed in the Superior Court of the Province of Quebec. The class action against Loto Quebec, to which neither IGT nor any of its affiliates are parties, was filed by Jean Brochu on behalf of himself and a class of other persons who allegedly developed pathological behaviors through the play of video lottery machines made available by Loto Quebec in taverns and other public locations. In this action, plaintiff seeks to recover on behalf of the class damages of approximately CAD\$578.7 million, representing CAD\$4,863 per class member, and CAD\$119.0 million in punitive damages. Loto Quebec filed its Plea in Defense in the main action in February 2006. VLC filed its Plea in Defense in the warranty action in April 2006. The Court has scheduled trial of the entire action against Loto Quebec to commence in mid-2007.

Environmental Matters

CCSC, a casino operation sold by IGT in April 2003, is located in an area that has been designated by the EPA as a superfund site as a result of contamination from historic mining activity in the area. The EPA is entitled to proceed against current and prior owners and operators of properties located within the site for remediation and response costs associated with their properties and with the entire site. CCSC is located within the drainage basin of North Clear Creek, Colorado and is therefore subjected to potentially contaminated surface and ground water from upstream mining related sources. Soil and ground water samples on the site indicate that several contaminants exist in concentrations exceeding drinking water standards. We have applied the guidance in Statement of Position 96-1 Environmental Remediation Liabilities and determined that a liability has not yet been incurred.

Miller

In June 2003, a class action lawsuit was filed in Clark County, Nevada, District Court against Acres and its directors, entitled Paul Miller v. Acres Gaming Incorporated, et al. The complaint alleged that Acres directors breached their fiduciary duties to their stockholders in connection with the approval of the merger transaction between Acres and IGT and sought to enjoin and/or void the merger agreement among other forms of relief. On September 19, 2003, the Court denied plaintiff's motion for a TRO to prevent Acres stockholders from voting on the merger. On September 24, 2003, plaintiff petitioned the Nevada Supreme Court to vacate the denial of the TRO and to enjoin Acres from holding its stockholder vote on the merger. The Nevada Supreme Court denied the petition on September 25, 2003. The plaintiff's action also seeks damages. On December 23, 2003, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiff's second amended complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. On April 29, 2004, the Court issued a ruling denying defendants' motion to dismiss the second amended complaint. On May 12, 2004, the Court issued an order denying defendants' motion to dismiss. Pursuant to stipulation of the parties on August 13, 2004, plaintiff filed a third amended complaint. The Court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the third amended complaint. On April 7, 2006 defendant filed a Notice of Removal to United States District Court, D. Nev. (Las Vegas). Plaintiff sought to remand this action to state court, which was granted in August 2006.

Nevada Sales & Use Tax / Wrongful Termination Matter

In February 2003, an IGT employee, subsequently placed on administrative leave, filed a complaint under Nevada's False Claims Act (State of Nevada ex rel. James McAndrews v. International Game Technology, Anchor Coin and Spin for Cash® Wide Area Progressive) alleging that IGT failed to pay requisite Nevada sales/use taxes on certain Wheel of Fortune® games placed in Nevada since 1997 and in connection with royalties received under intellectual property licensing agreements related to the placement of Action Gaming games in Nevada since 1997.

In February 2006, the Nevada Supreme Court ordered the case dismissed and in March 2006, the trial court entered an order of dismissal. McAndrews, no longer an IGT employee, filed a separate complaint alleging employment retaliation in June 2006.

In October 2004 and again in July 2005, NDT advised us that we had a good-faith legal basis for our position that no sales tax was payable on royalties received, but that NDT believed that sales tax may be payable on some amount of the royalties. We disagreed with NDT's position that sales tax may be payable on any part of the royalties. In February 2006, the audit of our sales/use tax returns by NDT for the years April 2000 through March 2003 was

completed, and the resulting audit assessment was immaterial to our operating results, financial position or cash flows.

Table of Contents

OSHA / Wrongful Termination Matter

On July 8, 2004, two former employees filed a complaint with the US Department of Labor, OSHA, alleging retaliatory termination in violation of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The former employees allege that they were terminated in retaliation for questioning whether Anchor and its executives failed to properly disclose information allegedly affecting the value of Anchor's patents in connection with IGT's acquisition of Anchor in 2001. The former employees also allege that the acquired patents are overvalued on the financial statements of IGT. Outside counsel, retained by an independent committee of our Board of Directors, reviewed the allegations and found them to be entirely without merit.

On November 10, 2004, the employees withdrew their complaint filed with OSHA and filed a notice of intent to file a complaint in federal court. On December 1, 2004, a complaint was filed under seal in the US District Court for Nevada. IGT filed a motion to dismiss the complaint in December 2004. The court denied the motion on May 2, 2005. IGT appealed this denial to the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals denied our appeal in March 2006. Discovery is ongoing. IGT believes that the allegations are without merit and intends to vigorously defend this matter.

In the purchase price allocation, IGT used the relief of royalty valuation methodology to estimate the fair value of the patents at \$164.4 million, which is being amortized over the useful economic life. The carrying value of the patents at September 30, 2006 totaled \$95.5 million, with a remaining life of approximately 10 years.

Poulos

Along with a number of other public gaming corporations, IGT was a defendant in three class action lawsuits, later consolidated into a single action. Plaintiffs alleged that the defendants engaged in fraudulent and misleading conduct by inducing people to play video poker machines and electronic slot machines, based on false beliefs concerning how the machines operate and the extent to which there is an opportunity to win on a given play. The amended complaint alleges that the defendants' acts constituted violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, giving rise to claims for common law fraud and unjust enrichment, and seeks compensatory, special, incidental and punitive damages of several billion dollars.

In December 1997, the Court denied the motions that would have dismissed the Consolidated Amended Complaint or that would have stayed the action pending Nevada gaming regulatory action. In June 2002, the Court denied the plaintiffs' motion for class certification. An appeal of that denial was filed timely with the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. On August 10, 2004, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the US District court's denial of class certification. The class plaintiffs did not appeal the decision and proceeded with only their individual claims. Prior to the scheduled trial date on September 7, 2005, the US District Court of Nevada granted the defendants' pending motions for summary judgment. The plaintiffs timely filed a Notice of Appeal to the US Ninth Circuit Court. In June 2006, all parties stipulated to dismiss, with prejudice, this appeal.

Arrangements with Off-Balance Sheet Risks

In the normal course of business, we are party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk, such as performance bonds, guarantees and product warranties not reflected in our balance sheet. We do not expect any material losses to result from these arrangements, and we are not dependent on off-balance sheet financing arrangements to fund our operations.

Performance Bonds

Performance bonds outstanding related to gaming operations totaled \$29.7 million at September 30, 2006. We are liable to reimburse the bond issuer in the event of exercise due to nonperformance.

Letters of Credit

Outstanding letters of credit issued under our line of credit to ensure payment to certain vendors and governmental agencies totaled \$4.4 million at September 30, 2006.

IGT Licensor Arrangements

Our sales agreements that include software and intellectual property licensing arrangements may provide a clause whereby IGT indemnifies the third party licensee against liability and damages (including legal defense costs) arising from any claims of patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret infringement. Should such a claim occur, we could be required to make payments to the licensee for any liabilities or damages incurred. Historically, we have not incurred

any significant costs due to infringement claims. As we consider the likelihood of recurring future costs to be remote, no liability has been recorded.

Table of Contents*Product Warranties*

Our warranty costs in the table below are accrued based on historical trends in product failure rates and expected costs to provide warranty services. We typically provide 90-day product warranties and up to one year for certain products and jurisdictions.

| September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 6.0 | \$ 6.9 |
| Reduction for payments made | (7.9) | (4.3) |
| Accrual for new warranties issued | 10.4 | 5.7 |
| Adjustments for pre-existing warranties | (0.2) | (2.3) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 8.3 | \$ 6.0 |

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for various levels of workers' compensation, directors' and officers' liability, electronic errors and omissions liability, as well as employee medical, dental, prescription drug, and disability coverage. We purchase stop loss coverage to protect against unexpected claims. Accrued insurance claims and reserves include estimated settlements for known claims, and actuarial estimates of claims incurred but not reported.

State and Federal Taxes

We are subject to sales, use, income and other tax audits and administrative proceedings in various federal, state, and local jurisdictions. While we believe we have properly reported our tax liabilities in each jurisdiction, we can give no assurance that taxing authorities will not propose adjustments that increase our tax liabilities.

16. Income Taxes

Income from continuing operations before tax consisted of:

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| U.S. | \$ 658.6 | \$ 570.1 | \$ 612.5 |
| Non U.S. | 88.3 | 111.1 | 41.0 |
| Income from continuing operations before tax | \$ 746.9 | \$ 681.2 | \$ 653.5 |

The effective income tax rates differ from statutory US federal income tax rates as follows:

| Years ended September 30, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Federal statutory tax | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Foreign subsidiaries tax, net | (0.4) | (0.1) | (0.3) |
| State income tax, net | 2.0 | 2.2 | 3.0 |
| Prior years foreign tax credits | | | (2.5) |
| Other, net | | (1.2) | (1.0) |
| Provision for income taxes | 36.6% | 35.9% | 34.2% |

Components of our provision for income taxes on income from continuing operations:

| Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Federal | \$ 261.9 | \$ 213.5 | \$ 163.4 |

Edgar Filing: HARMONY GOLD MINING CO LTD - Form 20-F/A

| | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| State | 20.5 | 14.4 | 28.6 |
| Foreign | 30.6 | 25.7 | 10.3 |
| Total current | 313.0 | 253.6 | 202.3 |
| Federal | (25.8) | (4.0) | 20.1 |
| State | (1.6) | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| Foreign | (12.3) | (5.8) | (0.4) |
| Total deferred | (39.7) | (8.9) | 21.4 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 273.3 | \$ 244.7 | \$ 223.7 |

Table of Contents

Significant components of our deferred income tax assets and liabilities are presented in the table below.

| September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------|-------------|
| Reserves | \$ 40.3 | \$ 40.7 |
| Share-based compensation | 1.3 | |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 7.8 | 4.2 |
| State income taxes net | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Foreign | 24.6 | |
| Other | 1.2 | 4.0 |
| Current deferred tax assets | 77.6 | 51.4 |
| Prepaid expenses | (6.0) | (1.4) |
| Interest expense on convertible debt | (41.8) | |
| Other | (1.0) | |
| Valuation allowance | (9.1) | |
| Current deferred tax liabilities | (57.9) | (1.4) |
| Net current deferred tax assets | 19.7 | 50.0 |
| Jackpot payment timing difference | 129.0 | 115.1 |
| Share-based compensation | 6.0 | |
| Foreign | 3.5 | 24.7 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 25.9 | 2.6 |
| State income taxes net | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| Goodwill and intangibles | 16.8 | 12.8 |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 11.8 | 14.4 |
| Other | 1.4 | 4.9 |
| Non-current deferred tax assets | 198.1 | 177.0 |
| Intangibles | (74.5) | (83.2) |
| Interest expense on convertible debt | | (29.6) |
| Other | (6.7) | (2.9) |
| Valuation allowance | | (18.1) |
| Non-current deferred tax liabilities | (81.2) | (133.8) |
| Non-current deferred tax asset | 116.9 | 43.2 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 136.6 | \$ 93.2 |

Our U.S. net operating loss carry forwards in the amount of \$56.1 million at September 30, 2006, will expire in tax years ending 2018 through 2024. The valuation allowance relates to net operating losses and deferred tax assets from our operations in Australia. We have not recognized potential tax benefits, as we currently consider it unlikely these benefits will be realized.

We have not provided for US deferred income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on approximately \$92.6 million in cumulative undistributed earnings of certain non-US subsidiaries as of September 30, 2006, which we expect to be permanently reinvested in operations outside the US.

17. Related Party Transactions

During fiscal 2006, 2005, and 2004, two IGT board members were also board members for certain IGT customers. During fiscal 2004, a member of our board was also a partner in a law firm that we retained as outside counsel. We continue to place gaming products under revenue sharing or joint venture arrangements in alliance with various gaming companies and design games under expense sharing arrangements with certain distributors.

Transactions recorded with related parties included:

| Years Ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | \$ 15.3 | \$ 56.0 | \$ 4.2 |
| Expenses | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Accounts receivable, net of payables | 2.5 | 8.2 | 0.2 |
| Largest net receivable during the year | 7.1 | 15.5 | 1.5 |

Table of Contents**18. Business Segments**

We view our business in two regional operating segments, each incorporating all types of revenues:

^a North America includes our operations in the US and Canada

^a International encompasses our efforts in Asia, Australia, New Zealand, Europe, Japan, Latin America, Russia, South Africa, and the UK.

Additionally, certain income and expenses related to company-wide initiatives are managed at the corporate level and not allocated to any operating segment.

Our business segments are designed to allocate resources within a framework of management responsibility.

Operating costs from one segment may benefit other segments. We continually evaluate the alignment of our business development and administrative functions for reporting purposes, which may result in changes to segment allocations. Prior year amounts are reclassified to conform to the current management view and presentation. We do not recognize inter-company revenues or expenses upon the transfer of gaming products between our operating segments. North America includes revenues from Canada totaling \$127.5 million in fiscal 2006, \$84.0 million in 2005 and \$137.2 million in 2004. IGT's segment profit reflects income before tax.

| Segments for Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| North America | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,978.2 | \$1,878.9 | \$2,137.1 |
| Product sales | 804.4 | 708.9 | 991.1 |
| Gaming operations | 1,173.8 | 1,170.0 | 1,146.0 |
| Gross profit | 1,122.3 | 972.4 | 1,153.2 |
| Product sales | 441.2 | 380.3 | 538.2 |
| Gaming operations | 681.1 | 592.1 | 615.0 |
| Segment profit | 772.3 | 665.9 | 864.2 |
| Interest income | 45.8 | 60.4 | 46.5 |
| Interest expense | 23.5 | 31.4 | 26.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 199.9 | 202.6 | 132.7 |
| Long-lived assets | 476.3 | 491.2 | 472.4 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 208.7 | 179.9 | 176.3 |
| Total assets | 2,558.9 | 2,709.3 | 2,923.7 |
| International | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 533.5 | \$ 500.5 | \$ 347.6 |
| Product sales | 455.9 | 472.0 | 330.2 |
| Gaming operations | 77.6 | 28.5 | 17.4 |
| Gross profit | 249.4 | 218.3 | 166.0 |
| Product sales | 200.5 | 196.3 | 151.9 |
| Gaming operations | 48.9 | 22.0 | 14.1 |
| Segment profit | 113.4 | 116.3 | 83.4 |
| Interest income | 3.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Interest expense | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26.3 | 8.1 | 4.5 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Long-lived assets | 88.8 | 60.3 | 13.7 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 59.8 | 19.8 | 6.9 |
| Total assets | 512.4 | 411.9 | 224.4 |

Table of Contents

| Segments for Years ended September 30, <i>(In millions)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Corporate | | | |
| Net unallocated expenses | \$ (138.8) | \$ (101.0) | \$ (294.1) |
| Interest income | 15.9 | 15.4 | 11.9 |
| Interest expense | 27.1 | 26.5 | 64.0 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9.2 | 11.5 | 12.6 |
| Long-lived assets | 161.7 | 120.0 | 101.1 |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 44.7 | 38.9 | 27.7 |
| | | | |
| Total assets | 831.4 | 743.2 | 724.9 |
| | | | |
| Consolidated | | | |
| Revenues | \$2,511.7 | \$2,379.4 | \$2,484.7 |
| Product sales | 1,260.3 | 1,180.9 | 1,321.3 |
| Gaming operations | 1,251.4 | 1,198.5 | 1,163.4 |
| | | | |
| Gross profit | 1,371.7 | 1,190.7 | 1,319.2 |
| Product sales | 641.7 | 576.6 | 690.1 |
| Gaming operations | 730.0 | 614.1 | 629.1 |
| Segment profit | 746.9 | 681.2 | 653.5 |
| | | | |
| Interest income | 65.4 | 77.9 | 59.8 |
| Interest expense | 50.8 | 58.1 | 90.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 235.4 | 222.2 | 149.8 |
| Long-lived assets | 726.8 | 671.5 | 587.2 |
| | | | |
| Additions to long-lived assets | 313.2 | 238.6 | 210.9 |
| Total assets | 3,902.7 | 3,864.4 | 3,873.0 |

19. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

| September 30, <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| 2006 | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 616.2 | \$ 644.4 | \$ 612.4 | \$ 638.7 |
| Gross profit | 332.0 | 350.2 | 332.5 | 357.0 |
| Operating income | 185.8 | 192.7 | 171.6 | 175.0 |
| Net income | 120.6 | 124.0 | 114.1 | 114.9 |
| | | | | |
| Diluted EPS | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| | | | | |
| 2005 | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 641.2 | \$ 551.0 | \$ 579.6 | \$ 607.6 |
| Gross profit | 313.1 | 273.7 | 308.2 | 295.7 |
| Operating income | 189.7 | 146.2 | 167.4 | 160.4 |
| Net income | 122.5 | 93.9 | 114.7 | 105.4 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------|----|------|------|------|------|
| Diluted EPS | | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.30 |
| | 70 | | | | |

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that IGT's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting.

We have evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006. This evaluation was performed using the *Internal Control Evaluation Framework* developed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on such evaluation, management has concluded that, as of such date, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

The attestation report issued by Deloitte & Touche LLP on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting follows below Item 9A.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Stockholders and Board of Directors

International Game Technology

Reno, Nevada:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that International Game Technology and subsidiaries (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended September 30, 2006 of the Company and our report dated December 11, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's adoption of SFAS 123R, *Share-Based Payment*.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Los Angeles, California

December 11, 2006

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None

Part III

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, except as provided below, is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

We have adopted the International Game Technology Code of Ethics for Executive and Financial Officers (the finance code of ethics), a code of ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Controller and other finance organization employees. The finance code of ethics is publicly available on our website at www.IGT.com. If we make any substantive amendments to the finance code of ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Corporate Controller, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on that website.

Item 11. Executive Compensation**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

Equity compensation plans approved and not approved by shareholders as of September 30, 2006:

| Plan category <i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i> | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans |
|--|--|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders ⁽¹⁾ | 19.1 | \$ 24.28 | 16.6 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders ⁽²⁾ | 0.1 | \$ 28.27 | 0.7 |
| Total | 19.2 | \$ 24.30 | 17.3 |

(1) Includes shares under the International Game Technology Stock Incentive Plan and Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan. ESPP shares are not included in securities to be

issued until
exercised each
year in
February.

- (2) Includes shares available under the Barcrest Savings Related Share Option Scheme, a broad-based UK employee stock purchase program established in January 1999 to satisfy certain UK tax requirements. This program is generally intended to provide UK employees the same benefits available under the US Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Shareholder approval was not required for this plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Table of Contents

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Consolidated Financial Statements:

Reference is made to the Index and Financial Statements and Related Information under Item 8 in Part II hereof where these documents are listed.

(a)(2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules are either not required or the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

Parent Company Financial Statements Financial Statements of the Registrant only are omitted under Rule 3-05 as modified by ASR 302.

(a)(3) Exhibits:

3.1 Articles of Incorporation of International Game Technology, as amended

3.2 Third Restated Code of Bylaws of International Game Technology, dated June 23, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K, File number 001-10684, filing date June 29, 2005)

4.1 Indenture dated as of January 29, 2003 between IGT, any subsidiary that becomes a party thereto, and the Bank of New York, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement No. 333-103339, Form S-3 filed by Registrant on February 20, 2003)

4.2 Form of Zero-Coupon Convertible Debentures (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-103339), as filed by Registrant on February 20, 2003)

4.3 Indenture, dated as of April 4, 2006, between IGT and The Bank of New York, N.A., as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed April 5, 2006)

10.1* Form of officers and directors indemnification agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 1996)

10.2 Amendment Notes between Silver Club and CMS-El Capitan and International Game Technology dated November 5, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 2, 1999)

10.3 Barcrest Savings Related Share Option Scheme (incorporated by reference to Registration Statement No. 333-94349, Form S-8 filed by Registrant on January 10, 2000)

10.4* IGT Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registrant's Report on Form 10K/A for the year ended September 30, 2000)

10.5* International Game Technology 1993 Stock Option Plan (Amended and Restated Effective as of August 27, 1996) (Composite Plan Document Incorporating Amendments 1998-I, 1998-II and 2000-I)(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Registrant's Report on Form 10Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2001)

- 10.6* International Game Technology 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended March 7, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed March 13, 2006)
- 10.7* IGT Profit Sharing Plan (as amended and restated as of April 1, 2002)(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2002)
- 10.8* Amendments to IGT Profit Sharing Plan dated July 14, 2003 and November 15, 2005
- 10.9* Amended Employment Agreement with Maureen Mullarkey, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer dated January 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 29, 2003), and Exhibit A referenced therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001)
- 10.10 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 29, 2003, by and among International Game Technology, NWAC Corporation, and Acres Gaming (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K, File number 001-10684, filing date June 29, 2003)

Table of Contents

- 10.11* Employment Agreement with Thomas J. Matthews, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Operating Officer dated October 27, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2003)
- 10.12* Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement with Thomas J. Matthews, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Operating Officer dated September 29, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed October 4, 2006)
- 10.13* Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Thomas J. Matthews, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Operating Officer dated September 29, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed October 4, 2006)
- 10.14* Performance Share Award Agreement with Thomas J. Matthews, Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chief Operating Officer dated September 29, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed October 4, 2006)
- 10.15* IGT 2002 Stock Incentive Plan Agreement Forms: Director Stock Option Agreement; Incentive Stock Option Agreement; Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement; Restricted Stock Award Agreement; UK Stock Option Sub-Plan (the UK Sub-Plan) Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Registrant's Report on form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004)
- 10.16* International Game Technology Employee Stock Purchase Plan, amended and restated effective as of December 8, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed March 13, 2006)
- 10.17* Summary of IGT Management Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004)
- 10.18* Summary of Named Executive Officer Compensation Arrangements (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006)
- 10.19 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 26, 2005, by and among International Game Technology, and Winter Subsidiary, Inc., and WagerWorks, Inc., and Carl Berg and DDJ Capital Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Registrant's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2005)
- 10.20 Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of December 20, 2005, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. as Syndication Agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Wachovia Bank, National Association, and Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. as Co-Documentation Agents, and Banc of America Securities LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and The Royal Bank of Scotland PLC as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, and a syndicate of other lenders (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed December 22, 2005)
- 21 Subsidiaries
- 23 Independent Auditors' Consent
- 24 Power of Attorney (see next page)

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents**Power of Attorney Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 12th day of December 2006.

International Game Technology

By: /s/ Maureen T. Mullarkey
Maureen T. Mullarkey
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer,
Treasurer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Each person whose signature appears below hereby authorizes Thomas J. Matthews and Maureen T. Mullarkey, or either of them, as attorneys-in-fact to sign on their behalf, individually, and in each capacity stated below, and to file all amendments and/or supplements to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Thomas J. Matthews Thomas J. Matthews | Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Maureen T. Mullarkey Maureen T. Mullarkey | Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President, Finance (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) and Treasurer | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Neil Barsky Neil Barsky | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Robert A. Bittman Robert A. Bittman | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Richard Burt Richard Burt | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Patti Hart Patti Hart | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Leslie Heisz Leslie Heisz | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Robert A. Mathewson Robert A. Mathewson | Director | December 12, 2006 |

| | | |
|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Robert A. Mathewson | | December 12, 2006 |
| /s/ Robert Miller | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| Robert Miller | | |
| /s/ Frederick Rentschler | Director | December 12, 2006 |
| Frederick Rentschler | | |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of International Game Technology, as amended |
| 10.8* | Amendments to IGT Profit Sharing Plan dated July 14, 2003 and November 15, 2005 |
| 21 | Subsidiaries |
| 23 | Independent Auditors Consent |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(b) of the Exchange Act and section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement