BLACKROCK MUNIHOLDINGS NEW JERSEY QUALITY FUND, INC.

Form N-CSR October 01, 2014

## **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM N-CSR**

## CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

## **INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number: 811-08621

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniHoldings

New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2014

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2014

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JULY 31, 2014

## ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC)

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MJI)

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

# Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Dear Shareholder</u>	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Fund Summaries	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	44
Statements of Operations	45
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	46
Statements of Cash Flows	49
Financial Highlights	50
Notes to Financial Statements	56
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	66
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	67
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan	71
Officers and Directors	72
Additional Information	75

## Shareholder Letter

Dear Shareholder,

The latter part of 2013 was a strong period for equities and other risk assets such as high yield bonds, despite the mixed tone of economic and financial news and uncertainty as to when and by how much the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to gradually reduce (or taper) its asset purchase programs. Stock markets rallied in September when the Fed defied investors expectations with its decision to delay tapering. The momentum was disrupted temporarily, however, when the U.S. debt ceiling debate led to a partial government shutdown, roiling financial markets globally until a compromise was struck in mid-October. The remainder of 2013 was generally positive for developed market stocks, while fixed income and emerging market investments struggled as Fed tapering became increasingly imminent. When the central bank ultimately announced its tapering plans in mid-December, equity investors reacted positively, as this action signaled the Fed s perception of real improvement in the economy.

Most asset classes continued to move higher in 2014 despite the pull back in Fed stimulus. The year got off to a rocky start, however. A number of emerging economies showed signs of financial stress while facing the broader headwind of diminishing global liquidity. These risks, combined with disappointing U.S. economic data, caused equities to decline in January while bond markets found renewed strength from investors seeking relatively safer assets.

Although these headwinds persisted, equities were back on the rise in February as investors were encouraged by a one-year extension of the U.S. debt ceiling and market-friendly comments from the new Fed Chairwoman, Janet Yellen. While it was clear that U.S. economic data had softened, investors were assuaged by increasing evidence that the trend was temporary and weather-related, and continued to take on risk given expectations that growth would pick up later in the year.

In the months that followed, interest rates trended lower and bond prices climbed higher in the modest growth environment. Financial markets exhibited a remarkably low level of volatility despite rising geopolitical risks and mixed global economic news. Tensions in Russia and Ukraine and signs of decelerating growth in China caused some turbulence, but markets were resilient as investors focused on signs of improvement in the U.S. recovery, stronger corporate earnings and increased merger-and-acquisition activity. Importantly, investors were comforted by comments from the Fed offering reassurance that no changes to short-term interest rates were on the horizon.

In the low-rate environment, investors looked to equities as a source of yield, pushing major indices to record highs. As stock prices moved higher, investors soon became wary of stretched valuations and a new theme emerged in the markets. Stocks that had experienced significant price appreciation in 2013, particularly growth and momentum names, broadly declined as investors fled to stocks with cheaper valuations. This rotation resulted in the strongest performers of 2013 struggling most in 2014, and vice versa. Especially hard hit were U.S. small cap and European stocks where earnings growth had not kept pace with recent market gains. In contrast, emerging market stocks benefited from the trend. As a number of developing countries took steps to stabilize their finances, investors looked past political risks hardly batting an eye at a military coup in Thailand and poured back into these attractively priced investments.

Asset prices tend to be more vulnerable to bad news when investors believe valuations are stretched. Consequently, markets came under pressure in July as geopolitical tensions intensified with the tragic downing of a Malaysian civilian airliner over Ukraine, the continued fragmentation of Iraq and a ground war between Israel and Hamas in Gaza. As the period came to a close, financial troubles in Argentina and Portugal as well as new U.S. and European sanctions on Russia were additional headwinds for the markets.

Despite a host of challenges, most asset classes generated solid returns for the six- and 12-month periods ended July 31, 2014, with equities generally outperforming fixed income. Emerging market equities delivered impressive gains. Developed markets also performed well, although small cap stocks lagged due to relatively higher valuations. Most fixed income assets produced positive returns even as the Fed reduced its open-market purchases. Tax-exempt municipal bonds benefited from a favorable supply-and-demand environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities close to historic lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s world.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Asset prices pushed higher over the period despite modest global growth, geopolitical risks and a shift toward tighter U.S. monetary policy.

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

## Total Returns as of July 31, 2014

	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500 <sup>®</sup> Index)	9.44%	16.94%
U.S. small cap equities	(0.30)	8.56
(Russell 2000® Index)		
International equities	7.03	15.07
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging	15.70	15.32
Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	0.02	0.05
(BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	2.71	3.50
(BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment-grade	2.16	3.97
bonds (Barclays		
U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	4.11	7.38
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	3.33	8.18
(Barclays U.S.		
Corporate High Yield 2%		
Issuer Capped Index)		
issuer capped mack)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

## Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2014 Municipal Market Conditions

The latter part of 2013 was a generally negative period for municipal bond performance. Heightened uncertainty as to when the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to reduce its bond-buying stimulus program (and by how much) caused interest rates to be volatile and generally move higher. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) Municipal bond mutual funds saw strong outflows through year end when the Fed finally announced its plan to begin the gradual reduction of stimulus in January of 2014. Relieved of anxiety around policy changes, investors again sought the relative safety of municipal bonds in the New Year. Surprisingly, interest rates trended lower in the first half of 2014 even as the Fed pulled back on its open-market bond purchases. Softer U.S. economic data amid one of the harshest winters on record, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in stronger demand for fixed income investments, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors. Still, for the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, municipal bond funds saw net outflows of approximately \$35 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

High levels of interest rate volatility in the latter half of 2013, particularly on the long-end of the curve, resulted in a curtailment of tax-exempt issuance during the period. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended July 31 remained relatively strong at \$303 billion (but meaningfully lower than the \$364 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 40%) as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of July 31, 2014

6 months: 4.11% 12 months: 7.38%

#### A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2013 to July 31, 2014, muni yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 90 basis points (bps) from 4.20% to 3.30%, while 10-year rates decreased 41 bps from 2.67% to 2.26% on and 5-year rates fell 5 bps from 1.27% to 1.22% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 78 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 29 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 32 bps on 30-year and 2 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 37 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries across the yield curve as investors sought to reduce interest rate risk later in the period. On the short and intermediate parts of the curve, the outperformance of municipal bonds versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets into short- and intermediate-duration investments, which are less sensitive to interest rate movements. Additionally, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing in the new higher tax rate environment. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in the low-rate environment. However, opportunities have not been as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

## Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, 16 consecutive quarters of positive revenue growth coupled with the elimination of more than 750,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

## The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value ( NAV ) of their common shares ( Common Shares ). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by a Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Fund s shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Fund with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Fund s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Fund s return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Fund had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trust s portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Fund s obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Fund s NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Fund s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Fund s Common Shares than if the Fund were not leveraged. In addition, the Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Fund will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares) or Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act ), each Fund is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Fund may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Fund may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Fund segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Fund s obligations under the TOB (including accrued interest), a TOB will not be considered a senior security and will not be subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

## **Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Funds may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Funds ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders and/or may cause a Fund to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Funds investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 5

## Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. s (MUC) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal and California income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in investment grade municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 12.25% based on market price and 15.94% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 15.36% based on market price and 15.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Municipal bonds with longer durations (and greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) tended to provide the strongest returns. In this environment, the Fund s exposure to the long end of the yield curve had a positive impact on performance. Security selection also helped performance, particularly with respect to the Fund s holdings of high quality school district issues, which performed well amid the improvement in the State of California s finances. In addition the Fund s holdings in the health care, transportation and utilities sectors contributed to returns. The use of leverage, which was achieved through the use of tender option bonds contributed to performance as well.

The Fund s cash reserves were generally maintained at a minimal level. However, to the extent reserves were held, the cash holdings added little in the form of additional yield and provided no price appreciation in a generally positive period for the municipal market. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information	
Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	MUC
Initial Offering Date	February 27, 1998
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.04) <sup>1</sup>	6.11%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	12.45%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0715
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.8580
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	35%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc.

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.04	\$13.31	5.48%	\$14.64	\$12.63
Net Asset Value	\$15.82	\$14.52	8.95%	\$15.91	\$13.94

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	37%	36%
Utilities	25	24
Transportation	14	11
Health	12	10
Education	6	13
State	6	6

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	15%	12%
AA/Aa	76	75
A	9	13

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

## Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>2</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	2%
2015	8
2016	12
2017	14
2018	13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 7

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. s (MUJ) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and New Jersey personal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 13.24% based on market price and 15.79% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.38% based on market price and 13.86% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Positive contributors to performance included the Fund s exposure to the long-end of the municipal yield curve, as the curve flattened over the period. (Long-rates fell much more than short and intermediate rates.) The Fund s duration exposure and corresponding interest rate sensitivity also added to returns as municipal rates declined. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) The income generated from the Fund s holdings of New Jersey state tax-exempt municipal bonds contributed to performance as well.

The Funds modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Fund sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

MUJ
March 11, 1998
6.29%
12.21%
\$0.074
\$0.888
38%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.11	\$ 13.30	6.09%	\$ 14.55	\$ 12.52
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.74	\$ 14.51	8.48%	\$ 15.85	\$ 13.87

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
Transportation	23%	21%
State	22	27
Education	18	15
County/City/Special District/School District	14	13
Health	12	11
Housing	6	7
Utilities	3	5
Corporate	2	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	9%	9%
AA/Aa	51	46
A	33	38
BBB/Baa	7	7
$N/R^2$		

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Ba or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$10,039, representing less than 1%, of the Fund s long-term investments.

## Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 3%

 2014
 3%

 2015
 7

 2016
 3

 2017
 7

 2018
 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund s (MFT) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Performance**

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 16.10% based on market price and 16.40% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 14.52% based on market price and 14.95% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Fund s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance. The Fund s longer-dated holdings in the health care, education and transportation sectors experienced strong market appreciation, aiding performance. The Fund also benefited from its holdings in the State of California, as the continued improvement in the State s economy was a catalyst for market appreciation during the period.

The Fund s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Fund sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MFT
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.26) <sup>1</sup>	6.43%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	11.36%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.071
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.852
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	38%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.26	\$ 12.20	8.69%	\$ 13.78	\$ 11.80
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.83	\$ 13.61	8.96%	\$ 14.92	\$ 13.01

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
Transportation	36%	27%
Utilities	21	23
County/City/Special District/School District	18	20
Health	11	11
State	9	10
Education	2	6
Housing	2	1
Tobacco	1	2

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	6%	9%
AA/Aa	62	64
A	27	27
BBB/Baa	5	

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

### Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>2</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,

2014

2015

2016

2%

2017 2018 2 11

<sup>2</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 11

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. s (MIY) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Michigan income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Performance**

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 14.74% based on market price and 15.24% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.67% based on market price and 12.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Positive contributors to performance included the Fund s exposure to the long-end of the municipal yield curve, as the curve flattened over the period. (Long-rates fell much more than short and intermediate rates.) The Fund s duration exposure and corresponding interest rate sensitivity also added to returns as municipal rates declined. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) The income generated from the Fund s holdings of Michigan state tax-exempt municipal bonds contributed to performance as well.

The Funds modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Fund sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MIY
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.47) <sup>1</sup>	6.41%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	11.83%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.072
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.864
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	38%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 45.81%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc.

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$13.47	\$12.57	7.16%	\$14.12	\$11.94
Net Asset Value	\$15.24	\$14.16	7.63%	\$15.30	\$13.36

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
Education	21%	17%
County/City/Special District/School District	19	25
Health	18	14
Utilities	13	12
State	11	13
Transportation	9	10
Housing	6	6
Corporate	3	3

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	3%	1%
AA/Aa	73	70
A	24	29

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Ba or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

## Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>2</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	2%
2015	7
2016	6
2017	8
2018	13

<sup>2</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 13

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. s (MJI) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes and New Jersey personal income tax as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Performance**

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 13.85% based on market price and 16.64% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.38% based on market price and 13.86% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Positive contributors to performance included the Fund s exposure to the long-end of the municipal yield curve, as the curve flattened over the period. (Long-rates fell much more than short and intermediate rates.) The Fund s duration exposure and corresponding interest rate sensitivity also added to returns as municipal rates declined. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) The income generated from the Fund s holdings of New Jersey state tax-exempt municipal bonds contributed to performance as well.

The Fund s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Fund sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MJI
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.15) <sup>1</sup>	6.28%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	12.19%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.074
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.888
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	37%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$14.15	\$13.27	6.63%	\$14.54	\$12.48
Net Asset Value	\$15.61	\$14.29	9.24%	\$15.70	\$13.58

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
Transportation	24%	20%
Education	22	17
State	17	24
County/City/Special District/School District	12	11
Health	11	10
Housing	6	7
Utilities	4	8
Corporate	4	3

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	6%	6%
AA/Aa	51	45
A	36	42
BBB/Baa	7	7

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

### Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>2</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	6%
2015	3
2016	3
2017	8
2018	8

<sup>2</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 15

Fund Summary as of July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality
Fund

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund s (MPA) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Pennsylvania income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Pennsylvania income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Performance**

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Fund returned 13.45% based on market price and 15.39% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Pennsylvania Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 16.01% based on market price and 13.04% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Fund s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as interest rates declined during the period. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The Fund s exposure to long-maturity bonds benefited performance given that the yield curve flattened with rates falling more significantly in the 20- to 30-year maturity range. The income generated from coupon payments on the Fund s portfolio of Pennsylvania tax-exempt bonds also contributed to performance.

The Fund s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits detracted from results. Credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Fund sold its exposure to these securities early in the period. The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information	
Symbol on NYSE	MPA
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.89) <sup>1</sup>	6.39%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	11.65%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.074
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.888
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	36%

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 45.14%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund

### Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.89	\$ 13.07	6.27%	\$ 14.37	\$ 12.30
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.77	\$ 14.59	8.09%	\$ 15.85	\$ 13.94

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	25%	22%
Health	17	16
State	16	17
Transportation	11	13
Education	10	11
Corporate	8	7
Utilities	7	7
Housing	6	7

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	1%	1%
AA/Aa	74	73
A	19	22
BBB/Baa	6	4
$N/R^2$		

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Ba or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$539,850, representing less than 1%, and \$525,235, representing less than 1%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

## Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 7%

 2014
 7%

 2015
 10

 2016
 11

 2017
 6

 2018
 12

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 17

# Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California 120.4%	ì í		
Corporate 0.4%			
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34  County/City/Special District/School District 37.9%	\$ 2,435	\$ 2,806,192	
Centinela Valley Union High School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/41	9,100	10,803,611	
City of Garden Grove California, COP, Series A, Financing Project (AMBAC), 5.50%, 3/01/26	4,040	4,055,958	
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	3,500	4,074,980	
County of Los Angeles California Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, Refunding RB			
(BHAC), 5.00%, 10/01/34	7,915	8,230,729	
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, 2/01/35	2,500	2,820,050	
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K, Series A, 6.00%, 3/01/36	2,440	2,930,562	
County of Ventura California Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C,	,		
5.50%, 8/01/33	5,050	5,813,207	
Culver City Redevelopment Finance Authority California, Refunding, Tax Allocation Bonds,			
Series A (AGM), 5.60%, 11/01/25	3,750	3,765,113	
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, GO, Election of 2006, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/40	30,000	33,046,200	
Garden Grove Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/40	5,500	6,242,170	
Grossmont Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.13%, 7/15/40	2,000	2,348,900	
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety Repair & Improvements, Series C:			
5.25%, 11/01/32	5,715	6,631,457	
5.75%, 11/01/34	12,085	14,622,850	
Los Alamitos Unified School District, GO, Refunding, School Facilities Improvement, Series E,			
5.25%, 8/01/39	3,700	4,190,102	
Los Angeles Community College District, GO, Series E (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31	11,215	11,953,396	
Los Angeles Community Redevelopment Agency California, RB, Bunker Hill Project, Series A	7,000	7 102 020	
(AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/27	7,000	7,102,830	
Los Rios Community College District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/35	11,000	12,123,650	
Oxnard Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2004, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/35	10,000	10,873,100	
Redlands Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	5,000	5,634,400	
Riverside Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 California (continued)	8,750	9,623,512	
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)			
San Diego Regional Building Authority, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,			
5.50%, 2/01/29	\$ 900	\$ 1,049,382	
San Francisco California Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC),			
5.00%, 7/01/30	23,100	23,828,805	
San Jose California Financing Authority, LRB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation			
Project, Series A:			
5.75%, 5/01/36	2,560	2,738,867	
5.75%, 5/01/42	4,500	5,290,380	
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Convention Center Expansion &	••••	24.550.000	
Renovation Project, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/39	20,000	21,770,000	
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38 West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO:	5,635	6,556,604	
Election of 2005, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/35	5,000	5,066,200	
Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/41	5,390	5,883,724	
Election of 2010, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	3,195	3,610,957	
Election of 2012, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,827,500	
2.00.00.00.2012, 50.000.1, 50.00.00	2,500	2,027,000	
Education 3.3%		245,509,196	
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A,			
5.25%, 10/01/38	2,300	2,594,630	
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 6.00%, 1/01/42	2,750	3,154,965	
Gavilan Joint Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series D:	2,730	2,121,700	
5.50%, 8/01/31	2,170	2,504,310	

5.75%, 8/01/35	8,400	9,779,616	
University of California, RB, Series L, 5.00%, 5/15/36	3,030	3,258,038	
		21,291,559	
Health 18.4%			
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps., Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare:			
Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/30	2,305	2,784,855	
Series B, 6.25%, 8/01/39	6,305	7,284,734	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:			
Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41	8,620	9,314,341	
Kaiser Permanente, Series A, 5.25%, 4/01/39	7,275	7,520,822	
Providence Health Services, Series B, 5.50%, 10/01/39	4,130	4,761,518	
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46	7,500	7,944,300	
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	9,655	11,556,070	

#### Portfolio Abbreviations

AGC AGM AMBAC	Assured Guaranty Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	EDA EDC ERB	Economic Development Authority Economic Development Corp. Education Revenue Bonds	IDA IDB ISD	Industrial Development Authority Industrial Development Board Independent School District
AMT ARB BARB	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to) Airport Revenue Bonds Building Aid Revenue Bonds	GAB GARB GO	Grant Anticipation Bonds General Airport Revenue Bonds General Obligation Bonds	LRB M/F NPFGC	Lease Revenue Bonds Multi-Family National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
BHAC CAB COP	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. Capital Appreciation Bonds Certificates of Participation	HDA HFA HUD	Housing Development Authority Housing Finance Agency Department of Housing and Urban Development	Q-SBLF RB S/F	Qualified School Bond Loan Fund Revenue Bonds Single-Family

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California (continued)	(555)	,	
Health (concluded)			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:			
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34	\$ 3,700	\$ 4,178,521	
Saint Joseph s Health System, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	10,000	10,837,100	
Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/38	10,970	12,129,529	
Stanford Hospital, Series A-3, 5.50%, 11/15/40	3,065	3,494,253	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente:			
Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/42	12,500	13,532,125	
Series B, 5.25%, 3/01/45	12,505	12,887,528	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:	2.500	2.610.025	
Kaiser Permanente, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/31	2,500	2,618,825	
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41	6,235	6,684,918	
Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/38	1,625	1,901,721	
		119,431,160	
State 9.4%			
California State Public Works Board, LRB:	2 (72	1005.005	
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34	3,670	4,367,997	
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33	2,015	2,381,831	
California State Public Works Board, RB, California State Prisons, Series C, 5.75%, 10/01/31	1,205	1,406,211	
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:	5.000	5 000 050	
6.00%, 3/01/33	5,000	5,998,850	
6.00%, 4/01/38	28,095	33,063,601	
University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series D (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/15/41	13,000	14,000,090	
		61,218,580	
Transportation 20.5%			
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39	9,650	11,438,821	
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, AMT:	5,000	5 670 750	
2nd Series 34E (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/24	5,000	5,679,750	
Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/29  City of Los Angeles Celifornia Department of Airports, APP, Los Angeles International Airport	6,435	7,130,945	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, ARB, Los Angeles International Airport, Senior Series D, 5.25%, 5/15/29	2,590	2,979,536	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles International Airport, Series A:			
Senior, 5.00%, 5/15/40	3,750	4,106,925	
5.25%, 5/15/39	5,835	6,626,926	
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT:	·	,	
5.25%, 3/01/23	3,785	4,343,931	
6.25%, 3/01/34	1,400	1,619,380	
County of Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series A			
(AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/35	9,000	9,314,190	
County of Orange California, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34	6,345	7,125,245	
County of Sacramento California, ARB:			
Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41	8,190	9,279,516	
Senior Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/39	2,650	3,023,094	
Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.75%, 7/01/28	13,275	15,133,234	
Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33	19,530	20,718,791	
California (concluded)			
Transportation (concluded)			
County of San Bernardino California Transportation Authority, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 3/01/40	4,545	5,239,703	
Los Angeles Harbor Department, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/34	5,530	6,327,315	
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/34	2,500	2,577,950	
County of San Mateo California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/32	10,000	10,296,700	
		132,961,952	
		. , ,	

Utilities 30.5%			
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities, Series A,			
5.38%, 10/01/36	2,200	2,521,970	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%,			
7/01/39	16,000	17,771,040	
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/28	2,000	2,305,260	
City of Napa California Water Revenue, RB (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/35	9,100	9,905,896	
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water, RB, Series B,			
5.00%, 11/01/30	10,000	11,418,200	
County of Sacramento California Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, RB:			
5.00%, 6/01/16 (a)	3,490	3,790,768	
5.00%, 12/01/36	1,010	1,066,126	
Dublin-San Ramon Services District, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 8/01/41	4,000	4,840,440	
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB:			
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/32	10,000	11,052,400	
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	6,670	7,332,731	
Sub-Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/37	11,190	12,301,839	
Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/33	5,000	5,480,700	
East Bay Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, RB, Series A (NPFGC):			
5.00%, 6/01/15 (a)	8,830	9,185,761	
5.00%, 6/01/35	3,240	3,340,796	
Eastern Municipal Water District, COP, Series H, 5.00%, 7/01/33	2,505	2,801,291	
El Dorado Irrigation District/El Dorado County Water Agency, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM),			
5.25%, 3/01/39	10,000	11,344,400	
Imperial Irrigation District, Refunding RB, Electric System, 5.13%, 11/01/38	9,500	10,355,475	
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB:			
Series A, 5.38%, 7/01/38	9,375	10,663,406	
Sub-Series A-2, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	7,500	8,036,850	
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A:			
5.00%, 7/01/35	12,870	13,319,292	
5.00%, 7/01/37	5,500	6,017,605	
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Refunding RB, Series C, 5.00%, 7/01/35	2,515	2,856,537	
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A:			
5.25%, 5/15/34	1,060	1,209,555	
5.25%, 5/15/39	10,000	11,337,800	
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Water, Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	8,000	9,292,960	
San Juan Water District, Refunding RB, San Juan & Citrus Heights, 5.25%, 2/01/33	7,325	8,246,412	
		197,795,510	
Total Municipal Bonds 120.4%		781,014,149	
Total Praincipal Dollar 120.7 //		701,017,172	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)	(000)	Value
California 29.1%		
County/City/Special District/School District 18.0% County of Alameda California Joint Powers Authority, Refunding LRB (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/34	\$ 13,180	\$ 14,556,255
Desert Community College District California, GO, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/37	16,530	18,180,190
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, GO, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/40	10,000	11,015,400
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO:	ŕ	, ,
Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	6,647	7,348,137
Election of 2001, Series E-1, 5.00%, 8/01/33	11,770	13,219,358
Election of 2003, Series F-1, 5.00%, 8/01/33	10,000	11,231,400
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33	9,596	11,458,954
Poway Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002, Improvement District 02, Series 1-B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30	10,000	10,935,400
San Bernardino Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM),	.,	.,,
5.00%, 8/01/31	17,770	18,958,280
Education 4.00		116,903,374
Education 4.9% Riverside Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	8,910	9,799,485
University of California, RB:	0,910	7,177,403
Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/41	8,000	8.615.440
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34	11,190	13,100,543
		31,515,468
	Par	- , ,
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
•	(000)	Value
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded)	(000)	Value
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b)	(000)	Value
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM),	` ,	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	16,740	18,549,762
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	16,740	18,549,762
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1%	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1%	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments	16,740 14,510	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d)	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d)	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d)	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8%	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.9%	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764 2,207,320
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.9% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764 2,207,320 2,207,320 971,794,084 19,344,027
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.9% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (13.6)%	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764 2,207,320 2,207,320 971,794,084 19,344,027 (88,301,430)
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.9% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764 2,207,320 2,207,320 971,794,084 19,344,027
Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) California (concluded) Utilities 6.2% County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Sub-Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37 Rancho Water District Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/34  Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 29.1% Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$900,566,455) 149.5%  Short-Term Securities BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (c)(d) Total Short-Term Securities  (Cost \$2,207,320) 0.3% Total Investments (Cost \$902,773,775) 149.8% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.9% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (13.6)%	16,740 14,510 5,008	18,549,762 15,993,212 5,610,799 40,153,773 188,572,615 969,586,764 2,207,320 2,207,320 971,794,084 19,344,027 (88,301,430)

#### Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (c) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held at July 31,	Net	Shares Held at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	501,963	1,705,357	2,207,320	\$ 206

(d) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts				Notional	Unrealized	
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Appreciation	
(411)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 51,214,453	\$ 98,963	

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Funds of own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

See Notes to Financial Statements

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds <sup>1</sup>		\$ 969,586,764		\$ 969,586,764
Short-Term Securities	\$ 2,207,320			2,207,320
Total	\$ 2,207,320	\$ 969,586,764		\$ 971,794,084

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

			Levei	
	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>				
Assets:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ 98,963			\$ 98,963

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument. The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 562,000			\$ 562,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (88,271,444)		(88,271,444)
VMTP Shares		(254,000,000)		(254,000,000)
Total	\$ 562,000	\$ (342,271,444)		\$ (341,709,444)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey 138.8%	, ,	
Corporate 2.9%		
County of Salem New Jersey Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Atlantic City		
Electric, AMT, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/23	\$ 4,190	\$ 4,635,942
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project, AMT:		
Series A, 5.70%, 10/01/39	2,500	2,739,450
Series B, 5.60%, 11/01/34	2,150	2,363,022
		9,738,414
County/City/Special District/School District 18.2%		7,730,414
Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33	2,690	2,758,272
City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, Refunding, CAB (AGM):	2,070	2,730,272
5.00%, 7/01/32	4,605	4,813,008
5.00%, 7/01/33	1,395	1,455,250
5.00%, 7/01/37	1,470	1,518,628
County of Essex New Jersey Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Project Consolidation	1,170	1,310,020
(NPFGC):		
5.50%, 10/01/27	250	315,768
5.50%, 10/01/28	4,840	6,145,832
County of Hudson New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB:	.,	5,210,000
County Secured, County Services Building Project (AGM), 5.00%, 4/01/27	750	811,860
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.25%, 1/01/39	2,000	2,140,320
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44	3,600	3,857,148
County of Middlesex New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB, Senior Citizens Housing Project, AMT	-,	2,001,010
(AMBAC), 5.50%, 9/01/30	500	501,805
County of Monmouth New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB, Governmental Loan (AMBAC):		,,,,,,,
5.35%, 12/01/17	5	5,019
5.38%, 12/01/18	5	5,019
County of Union New Jersey, GO, Refunding:		7, .
4.00%, 3/01/29	2,590	2,740,453
4.00%, 3/01/30	2,590	2,727,788
4.00%, 3/01/31	2,925	3,068,179
County of Union New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:		
Resources Recovery Facility, Covanta Union, Inc., AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/31	450	486,013
Solid Waste System, County Deficiency Agreement, 5.00%, 6/15/41	5,415	5,893,307
Edgewater Borough Board of Education, GO, Refunding, (AGM):		
4.25%, 3/01/34	1,235	1,309,878
4.25%, 3/01/35	1,300	1,371,981
4.30%, 3/01/36	1,370	1,442,733
Morristown Parking Authority, RB, (NPFGC):		
5.00%, 8/01/30	1,830	1,912,844
5.00%, 8/01/33	3,000	3,120,150
New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority, Refunding RB, (NPFGC):		
5.50%, 3/01/21	5,890	6,809,606
5.50%, 3/01/22	3,150	3,672,081
New Jersey State Transit Corp., COP, Federal Transit Administration Grants, Subordinate, Series A		
(AGM) (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/15/15 (a)	2,000	2,090,080
		60,973,022
New Jersey (continued)		
Education 28.2%		
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB:		
Higher Education Capital Improvement Fund, 5.00%, 9/01/33	4,310	4,739,750
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/16 (a)	1,200	1,306,500
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/22	2,880	3,113,539
Richard Stockton College, Series F (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/31	2,625	2,780,479
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:		
College of New Jersey, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	9,740	10,730,948
Montclair State University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/39	11,055	12,287,080

Montclair State University, Series J (NPFGC), 4.25%, 7/01/30	3,775	3,840,987	
Montclaire State University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/44	2,520	2,794,201	
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Series H, 5.00%, 7/01/31	3,000	3,288,450	
Ramapo College, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/37	345	372,331	
Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC), 4.25%, 7/01/31	1,250	1,271,238	
Seton Hall University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/38	360	391,410	
Seton Hall University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/43	430	467,010	
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/27	2,800	2,900,464	
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/34	900	920,286	
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/28	250	277,670	
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC), 4.75%, 7/01/34	4,000	4,244,600	
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, RB, Senior Student Loan, Series 1A,			
AMT:			
4.00%, 12/01/28	790	788,191	
4.50%, 12/01/28	3,380	3,527,841	
4.00%, 12/01/29	4,140	4,073,222	
4.00%, 12/01/29	710	707,487	
4.50%, 12/01/29	4,150	4,325,504	
4.63%, 12/01/30	4,080	4,245,770	
4.00%, 12/01/31	1,335	1,289,076	
4.25%, 12/01/32	1,460	1,445,692	
4.13%, 12/01/35	710	677,539	
4.50%, 12/01/36	1,280	1,284,774	
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, Refunding RB, Series 1, AMT,			
5.38%, 12/01/24	1,500	1,684,470	
New Jersey Institute of Technology, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/42	5,045	5,433,969	
Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, Refunding RB, Series L:			
5.00%, 5/01/30	1,100	1,263,592	
5.00%, 5/01/43	7,150	7,934,927	
		94,408,997	
Health 19.1%		, , , , , , ,	
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB:			
Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospital (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/15/15 (a)	10,775	11,358,143	
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series I (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	720	755,424	
		· ·	

22 ANNUAL REPORT See Notes to Financial Statements.

JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
Health (concluded)		
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series II (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	\$ 6,050	\$ 6,347,660
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series V (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	3,800	3,986,960
Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/43	4,885	5,424,011
Virtua Health, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38	3,035	3,271,366
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:		
5.00%, 7/01/28	2,130	2,415,441
5.00%, 7/01/29	510	574,831
5.50%, 7/01/31	2,880	3,212,323
AHS Hospital Corp., 6.00%, 7/01/41	3,080	3,565,685
Catholic Health East Issue, 5.00%, 11/15/33	1,375	1,465,668
Hackensack University Medical (AGC), 5.13%, 1/01/27	1,500	1,597,710
Hackensack University Medical (AGM), 4.63%, 1/01/30	5,480	5,743,040
Kennedy Health System, 5.00%, 7/01/42	360	377,978
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, 5.00%, 7/01/25	700	784,378
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, 5.00%, 7/01/26	1,590	1,764,089
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/24	1,820	2,030,155
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.63%, 7/01/32	4,010	4,390,068
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.63%, 7/01/37	3,560	3,866,089
St. Luke s Warren Hospital Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/34	740	789,869
St. Luke s Warren Hospital Obligated Group, 4.00%, 8/15/37	440	415,888
		64,136,776
Housing 9.0%		3 1,22 3,1 1 3
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB:		
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/27	4,800	5,180,784
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM) (HUD), 4.70%, 11/01/25	6,120	6,272,021
M/F Housing, Series A, 4.55%, 11/01/43	3,575	3,603,350
M/F Housing, Series A, AMT (NPFGC), 4.85%, 11/01/39	935	918,890
S/F Housing, Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	930	957,826
S/F Housing, Series B, 4.50%, 10/01/30	6,735	7,114,584
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, AMT:	·	
M/F Housing, Series 2, 4.60%, 11/01/38	2,400	2,438,736
M/F Housing, Series 2, 4.75%, 11/01/46	3,015	3,058,898
S/F Housing, Series T, 4.70%, 10/01/37	615	620,486
		30,165,575
State 29.6%		30,103,373
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB (AGM):		
CAB, Series B, 0.00%, 11/01/23 (b)	9,000	7,025,760
CAB, Series B, 0.00%, 11/01/25 (b)	10,000	7,210,400
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/15 (a)	1,960	2,095,828
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/15 (a)	2,730	2,919,189
Garden State Preservation Trust, Refunding RB,	_,,	_,, ., ,
Series C (AGM):		
5.25%, 11/01/20	5,000	6,056,750
5.25%, 11/01/21	7,705	9,440,166
New Jersey (continued)		
State (concluded)		
New Jersey EDA, LRB, Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, College Avenue		
Redevelopment Project, 5.00%, 6/15/33	2,185	2,477,593
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
Liberty State Park Project, Series C, 5.00%, 3/01/22	2,670	2,740,301
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/24	1,785	2,118,849
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/25	4,000	4,764,760
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/26	7,500	8,939,475
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/33	11,105	11,223,046
School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/18 (a)	2,775	3,375,121

School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34	25	28,968	
School Facilities Construction, Series L (AGM), 5.00%, 3/01/15 (a)	9,000	9,254,430	
School Facilities Construction, Series O, 5.25%, 3/01/15 (a)	1,420	1,461,947	
School Facilities Construction, Series U, 5.00%, 9/01/37	2,475	2,696,388	
School Facilities Construction, Series Y, 5.00%, 9/01/33	3,000	3,287,880	
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:			
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/26	895	984,885	
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/28	1,520	1,654,900	
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/29	2,000	2,163,300	
School Facilities Construction, Series N-1 (NPFGC), 5.50%, 9/01/27	1,000	1,219,070	
School Facilities Construction, Series NN, 5.00%, 3/01/29	4,500	4,923,270	
State of New Jersey, COP, Equipment Lease Purchase, Series A, 5.25%, 6/15/27	1,080	1,208,099	
	•		
		99,270,375	
Transportation 27.6%		, ,	
Delaware River Port Authority, RB:			
5.00%, 1/01/29	1,250	1,414,275	
5.00%, 1/01/37	4,465	4,881,986	
Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40	3,700	3,930,288	
Delaware River Port Authority, Refunding RB, Port District Project:			
5.00%, 1/01/26	1,745	1,887,392	
5.00%, 1/01/27	1,300	1,396,408	
New Jersey EDA, RB, Private Activity Bond, The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project (AMT):	,	•	
5.13%, 1/01/34	1,630	1,745,159	
5.38%, 1/01/43	5,495	5,829,371	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Growth & Income Securities, Series B (AMBAC),	-,	2,022,000	
5.15%, 1/01/35 (c)	7,615	7,832,637	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Refunding RB:	.,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/26	4,900	5,969,621	
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/29	2,000	2,423,960	
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/30	4,000	4,855,160	
Series A (BHAC), 5.25%, 1/01/29	500	616,315	
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16 (d)	255	277,295	
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16 (d)	1,535	1,593,453	
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16 (d)	305	331,666	
Series C (NPFGC), 6.50%, 1/01/16	605	656,310	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:	003	030,310	
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/15/36 (b)	7,210	2,311,454	
Сты, типорогии от бузин, эснез С (типьте), 0.00 /0, 12/13/30 (0)	7,210	2,311,737	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (concluded)		
Transportation (concluded)		
	\$ 6,000	\$ 2,049,360
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AGM), 0.00%, 12/15/32 (b)	4,050	1,709,545
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/15/35 (b)	1,400	473,228
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/33	4,300	4,623,833
Transportation System, Series A, 6.00%, 6/15/35	4,365	5,225,080
Transportation System, Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 6/15/24  Transportation System, Series A (ACC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	1,205	1,449,507
Transportation System, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	2,000 4,050	2,339,040 4,495,054
Transportation System, Series AA, 5.25%, 6/15/33		
Transportation System, Series B, 5.50%, 6/15/31 Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36	1,425 1,775	1,625,697 1,930,419
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal	1,773	1,930,419
LLC Project:		
Series 6, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 12/01/25	3,000	3,007,860
Series 6, AMT (NPFGC), 6.25%, 12/01/15	1,500	1,561,125
Series 8, 6.00%, 12/01/42	2,500	2,868,200
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB, AMT:	2,300	2,000,200
178th Series, 5.00%, 12/01/33	2,850	3,154,266
Consolidated, 152nd Series, 5.75%, 11/01/30	5,175	5,857,272
South Jersey Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Transportation System, Series A:	3,173	3,631,212
5.00%, 11/01/28	1,025	1,103,074
5.00%, 11/01/29	1,025	1,096,361
5.00%, 11/01/2)	1,023	1,070,301
		92,521,671
Utilities 4.2%		
County of Essex New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding RB, (AGC), 4.13%, 4/01/22	1,330	1,436,812
North Hudson Sewerage Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 8/01/20 (d)	4,335	5,196,495
Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC) (b):		2.502.502
0.00%, 9/01/28	6,600	3,783,582
0.00%, 9/01/29	6,900	3,741,042
		14,157,931
Total Municipal Bonds in New Jersey		465,372,761
Guam 1.0%		
State 1.0%		
Territory of Guam, RB, Business Privilege Tax Bonds:		
Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/36	305	325,417
Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/42	2,500	2,634,575
Series B-1, 5.00%, 1/01/37	395	414,177
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		3,374,169
Puerto Rico 0.5%		
Health 0.5%		
Puerto Rico Industrial Tourist Educational Medical & Environmental Control Facilities Financing		
Authority, RB, Hospital De La Concepcion, Series A, 6.50%, 11/15/20	1,750	1,758,960
Total Municipal Bonds 140.3%		470,505,890
	Par	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value
New Jersey 19.2%	(000)	v aiuc
County/City/Special District/School District 4.0%		
County of Union New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding LRB, Resource Recovery Facility,		
Covanta Union, Inc., Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/31	12,370	13,359,971
Education 0.3%	12,370	13,337,771
Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, RB, Series F, 5.00%, 5/01/39	990	1,099,151
State 5.0%	770	1,077,131
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB, Election of 2005, Series A (AGM), 5.75%, 11/01/28	9,160	11,715,274
Sand 1 10001 (11011 1110), 11D, Election of 2000, Delico 11 (11011), 5.10 /0, 111/01/20	>,100	11,/10,2/7

New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 3/01/29 (f)	4,780	5,229,901	
		16,945,175	
Transportation 9.9%		20,5 10,210	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/38 (f)	5,200	5,645,224	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B,	,	• •	
5.25%, 6/15/36 (f)	1,900	2,066,802	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, Consolidated, 163rd Series, AMT, 5.00%, 7/15/39	11,456	12,902,963	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB, Consolidated, 169th Series, AMT, 5.00%, 10/15/41	5,500	5,927,460	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, Consolidated, 152nd Series, AMT,			
5.25%, 11/01/35	5,998	6,548,290	
		33,090,739	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts 19.2%		64,495,036	
Total Long-Term Investments			
(Cost \$498,648,550) 159.5%		535,000,926	
Short-Term Securities	Shares	Value	
BIF New Jersey Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (g)(h)	4,710,150	4,710,150	
Total Short-Term Securities			
(Cost \$4,710,150) 1.4%		4,710,150	
Total Investments (Cost \$503,358,700) 160.9%		539,711,076	
Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.9%		3,119,362	
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest			
Expense and Fees Payable (10.3)%		(34,705,693)	
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (51.5)%		(172,700,000)	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 335,424,745	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.
(MUJ)

#### Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Zero-coupon bond.
- (c) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (d) Security is collateralized by municipal or U.S. Treasury obligations.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Fund to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from June 15, 2019 to September 1, 2020 is \$8,818,272.
- (g) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
BIF New Jersey Municipal Money Fund	7.170.770	(2.460,620)	4.710.150	\$ 2

(h) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

C	ontracts				Notional	Unrealized	
	Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Appreciation	
	(205)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 25,544,922	\$ 87,799	
-							

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds <sup>1</sup>		\$ 535,000,926		\$ 535,000,926
Short-Term Securities	\$ 4,710,150			4,710,150
Total	\$ 4,710,150	\$ 535,000,926		\$ 539,711,076

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	I	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	1	Cotal
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>						
Assets:						
Interest rate contracts	\$	87,799			\$	87,799

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ)

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 280,000			\$ 280,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (34,699,311)		(34,699,311)
VRDP Shares		(172,700,000)		(172,700,000)
Total	\$ 280,000	\$ (207,399,311)		\$ (207,119,311)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

#### BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds Alabama 4.4%	(000)	Value
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC):		
6.13%, 6/01/34 6.00%, 6/01/39	\$ 1,500 2,985	\$ 1,747,545 3,460,719
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	350	382,515
3.36%, 12/01/33	330	302,313
C-116		5,590,779
California 19.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A,		
5.25%, 10/01/38	1,960	2,211,076
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42	1,150	1,376,435
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, 2nd Series A, AMT:		
5.50%, 5/01/28	720	831,794
5.25%, 5/01/33 City of San Jose California Potending APP, Series A.1, AMT:	560	619,931
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT: 5.50%, 3/01/30	1,600	1,775,728
6.25%, 3/01/34	1,250	1,445,875
County of Sacramento California, ARB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41	1,400	1,586,242
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series C,	1,400	1,500,242
5.50%, 11/01/33	970	1,144,804
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC),		, , , , ,
5.00%, 8/01/32	2,780	3,073,040
Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series E, 5.50%, 8/01/34	1,000	1,129,840
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Water, Refunding RB, Series B (AGC), 5.38%, 8/01/34	1,020	1,172,031
State of California, GO, Various Purposes (AGC), 5.50%, 11/01/39	3,450	3,954,287
State of California Public Works Board, RB:		
Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33	490	560,315
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/31	1,000	1,181,180
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/40	370	430,965
University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38	2,235	2,532,210
		25,025,753
Colorado 2.1%		
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT:	500	572.715
5.50%, 11/15/28 5.50%, 11/15/30	500 225	572,715 254,124
5.50%, 11/15/31	270	302,484
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM),	270	302,404
6.00%, 5/15/26	1,300	1,479,907
		2,609,230
Florida 11.4%		
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	270	303,161
County of Hillsborough Florida Aviation Authority, Refunding ARB, Tampa International Airport, Series		
A, AMT, 5.50%, 10/01/29	1,170	1,312,096
County of Lee Florida, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.38%, 10/01/32	1,000	1,089,050
County of Manatee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae,		
Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 5.90%, 9/01/40	120	122,381
Florida (concluded)		
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport:	1.040	2 100 221
Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/38	1,840	2,109,321
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/42 Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/26	2,125 590	2,355,435 710,690
Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/26 Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/27	775	925,606
Series B, AMT, 6.25%, 10/01/38	310	362,288
Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/42	410	468,327
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB:	-	

Seaport, Series D, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/26	735	885,352	
Water & Sewer System, Series B, 5.25%, 10/01/29	500	574,000	
County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/31	2,165	2,328,501	
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/32	710	796,222	
		14,342,430	
Hawaii 1.0%		14,542,450	
State of Hawaii, Department of Transportation, COP, AMT:			
5.25%, 8/01/25	250	287,433	
5.25%, 8/01/26	810	924,226	
3.23 /t, 6/01/20	610	924,220	
		1,211,659	
Illinois 23.1%			
City of Chicago Illinois, GARB, O Hare International Airport, 3rd Lien:			
Series A, 5.75%, 1/01/39	770	866,420	
Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	3,680	4,402,752	
City of Chicago Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A:			
5.25%, 1/01/29	1,000	1,058,590	
5.25%, 1/01/33	570	593,609	
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Series A:			
Sales Tax, 5.25%, 1/01/38	525	562,217	
Waterworks, 2nd Lien (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/01/36	1,165	1,201,406	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB:		, , , , ,	
Federal Transit Administration, Section 5309, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/26	1,400	1,558,732	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	1,000	1,087,990	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.00%, 12/01/44	1,235	1,320,277	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Federal Transit Administration, Section 5309	-,=-0	-,,	
(AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/28	3,000	3,185,010	
City of Chicago Illinois Wastewater Transmission, RB, 2nd Lien, 5.00%, 1/01/42	1,375	1,434,634	
City of Chicago Illinois, Midway Airport, Refunding ARB, 2nd Lien, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 1/01/41	385	401,132	
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:	303	401,132	
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,500	1,676,220	
5.25%, 12/01/43	2,700	2,916,891	
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Carle Foundation, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/41	1,555	1,763,495	
	1,333	1,703,493	
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 6/01/23	940	1,093,502	
	270	314,258	
6.00%, 6/01/28 State of Illinois CO:	270	314,238	
State of Illinois, GO:	505	(15.041	
5.25%, 2/01/31	585	615,841	
5.25%, 2/01/32	1,000	1,047,220	
5.50%, 7/01/33	1,500	1,602,300	
5.50%, 7/01/38	280	296,570	
		28,999,066	
Indiana 4.1%			
Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges East End Crossing Project,			
Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/40	375	388,492	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

#### BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Indiana (concluded) Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A		
(AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38	\$ 4,310	\$ 4,789,703
		5,178,195
Louisiana 2.1%		, ,
City of New Orleans Louisiana Aviation Board, Refunding GARB, Restructuring (AGC):	275	420,200
Series A-1, 6.00%, 1/01/23 Series A-2, 6.00%, 1/01/23	375 160	430,399 183,637
Lake Charles Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT, 5.50%, 1/01/29	1,000	1,131,790
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/29	805	880,573
Toolean Sellening Cospy, Normaning Tay, Tablet Blacket, Solido 11, 516078, 67 10/27	000	000,070
Massachusetts 2.3%		2,626,399
Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, RB, Education Loan, Issue I, AMT, 5.00%, 1/01/26	880	960,608
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT, 5.35%, 12/01/42	1,910	1,962,773
	-,	-,,- ,-
		2,923,381
Michigan 5.3%  City of Detait Michigan Refunding RR Savage Disposal System Senior Lieu (ACM)		
City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB, Sewage Disposal System, Senior Lien (AGM): Series B, 7.50%, 7/01/33	660	722,291
Series C-1, 7.00%, 7/01/27	2,285	2,479,842
City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB, 2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36	1,800	1,839,510
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V,		
8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	1,265	1,631,584
		6,673,227
Minnesota 2.8%		0,073,227
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%,		
11/15/38	3,000	3,529,980
Mississippi 1.5%		
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Special Obligation, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM),		
6.88%, 12/01/40	1,190	1,547,095
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Mississippi State University Improvement Project, 5.25%, 8/01/38	260	294,653
improvement Project, 3.23%, 8/01/38	200	294,033
		1,841,748
Nevada 4.3%		1,041,740
County of Clark Nevada, ARB, Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A (AGM),		
5.25%, 7/01/39	2,375	2,566,211
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, 5.00%, 6/01/38	1,000	1,081,780
County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/34	1,500	1,715,100
		5,363,091
New Jersey 6.4%		0,000,071
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
Private Activity Bond, The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT, 5.38%, 1/01/43	1,000	1,060,850
School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/18 (a)	980	1,191,935
School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34 The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/31	20 530	23,174
New Jersey (concluded)	530	574,043
New Jersey (concluded) New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series RR, 5.00%, 6/15/33	500	540,430
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Virtua Health, Series A (AGC), 5.50%,	200	2,
7/01/38	1,400	1,509,032
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:		
Series A, 5.50%, 6/15/41	1,195	1,323,654
Series AA, 5.50%, 6/15/39	1,600	1,768,880

		7,991,998	
New York 5.5%			
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer			
System, 2nd General Resolution, Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	1,545	1,767,434	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority Building Aid, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series			
S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/29	2,000	2,316,600	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB, Consolidated, 166th Series, 5.25%, 7/15/36	2,500	2,807,575	
		6,891,609	
Ohio 1.9%		0,001,000	
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1:			
5.25%, 2/15/30	585	662,302	
5.25%, 2/15/31	1,500	1,688,745	
	2,200	2,000,110	
		2 251 047	
Demonstration 2.10/		2,351,047	
Pennsylvania 2.1%			
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB: Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/44	440	481.994	
		- /	
Sub-Series A, 6.00%, 12/01/41	2,000	2,179,500	
		2,661,494	
South Carolina 4.9%			
County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25%, 12/01/38	1,470	1,690,941	
County of Charleston South Carolina Airport District, ARB, Series A, AMT:			
5.50%, 7/01/26	1,810	2,092,704	
6.00%, 7/01/38	1,155	1,305,496	
5.50%, 7/01/41	1,000	1,095,820	
		6,184,961	
Texas 19.1%			
Austin Community College District Public Facility Corp., RB, Educational Facilities Project, Round			
Rock Campus, 5.25%, 8/01/33	2,250	2,520,045	
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 3/01/37	930	1,057,122	
City of Frisco Texas ISD, GO, School Building (AGC), 5.50%, 8/15/41	1,210	1,427,643	
City of Houston Texas Utility System, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien, Series A (AGC):			
6.00%, 11/15/35	2,700	3,169,962	
6.00%, 11/15/36	2,055	2,417,831	
5.38%, 11/15/38	1,000	1,130,210	
Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, ARB, Joint Improvement, Series H, AMT, 5.00%, 11/01/37	980	1,026,011	
Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Refunding RB, Joint Revenue, Series E, 5.50%, 11/01/27	2,500	2,866,825	
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, 5.50%, 5/15/33	730	824,272	
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 9/01/41	2,750	3,129,280	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

#### BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Texas (concluded)			
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, 1st Tier:		h 1121000	
(AGM), 6.00%, 1/01/43	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,124,800	
Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38 Red River Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project, 5.25%, 3/15/38	1,400 420	1,594,768 476,288	
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB (AGC):	420	470,200	
6.50%, 1/01/19 (a)	265	323,191	
6.50%, 7/01/37	835	936,661	
		24,024,909	
Virginia 1.3%			
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 1/01/43	380	418,505	
Virginia Public School Authority, RB, Fluvanna County School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/18 (a)	1,000	1,233,120	
		1,651,625	
Washington 1.5%			
City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/36	1,000	1,114,480	
State of Washington, GO, Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 2/01/36	725	827,631	
T . 134 . 14 . 17 . 14 . 17 . 14 . 17 . 14 . 17 . 17		1,942,111	
Total Municipal Bonds 127.0%		159,614,692	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (b) Alabama 1.2% City of Mobile Alabama Board of Water & Sewer Commissioners, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/31	1,500	1,567,245	
District of Columbia 0.7%			
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/35 (c)	760	873,914	
Florida 2.4%			
County of Hillsborough Florida Aviation Authority, ARB, Tampa International Airport, Series A, AMT (AGC), 5.50%, 10/01/38	2,499	2,782,302	
County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2,			
AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	240	248,218	
		3,030,520	
Kentucky 0.9%			
Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27  Nevada 7.5%	1,002	1,140,772	
County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO:			
Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38	2,010	2,333,248	
Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	1,994	2,337,151	
Las Vegas Valley Water District, GO, Refunding, Series C, 5.00%, 6/01/28	4,200	4,737,474	
		9,407,873	
New Jersey 2.2% New Jersey Haysing & Montages Finance Agency P.P. S/F Haysing Series CC 5.25% 10/01/20	1.610	1 717 055	
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36	1,610	1,717,855	
(c)	1,000	1,087,791	
V./	_,000	-,,,,,,	
		2,805,646	
New York 12.6%		2,000,040	
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer			
System, 2nd General Resolution: Series BB, 5.25%, 6/15/44	2,999	3,330,900	
Delice DD, 3.23 /d, U/13/144	4,777	3,330,900	

Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	1,095	1,252,475	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, BARB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3,			
5.25%, 1/15/39	1,000	1,119,297	
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47 (c)	1,000	1,137,537	
New York Liberty Development Corp., RB, 1 World Trade Center Port Authority Consolidated Bonds,			
5.25%, 12/15/43	3,000	3,305,640	
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 World Trade Center Project,			
5.75%, 11/15/51 (c)	1,770	1,984,241	
New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Personal Income Tax, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38	3,250	3,679,618	
		15,809,708	
Texas 2.4%		13,007,700	
City of San Antonio Texas Public Service Board, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31 (c)	2,609	2,959,373	
Utah 0.9%	2,007	2,757,515	
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41	1,004	1,068,160	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to	1,00.	1,000,100	
Tender Option Bond Trusts 30.8%		38,663,211	
Total Long-Term Investments			
(Cost \$180,889,379) 157.8%		198,277,903	
(Casta 4-20)-00-1-00-1-00-1-00-1-00-1-00-1-00-1-			
Short-Term Securities	Shares		
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.03% (d)(e)	2,565,273	2,565,273	
Total Short-Term Securities	., ,	_,,	
(Cost \$2,565,273) 2.0%		2,565,273	
Total Investments (Cost \$183,454,652) 159.8%		200,843,176	
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.3%		1,592,727	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Expense and Fees Payable (16.1)%

VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (45.0)%

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

**Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest** 

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 29

(20,288,965)

(56,500,000)

\$ 125,646,938

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

#### Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Fund to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 16, 2016 to November 15, 2019 is \$4,647,054.
- (d) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	8,162,312	(5,597,039)	2,565,273	\$ 683

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

(	Contracts				Notional Unrealized	
	Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value Appreciation	
	(79)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 9,844,141 \$ 33,835	

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments <sup>1</sup>		\$ 198,277,903		\$ 198,277,903
Short-Term Securities	\$ 2,565,273			2,565,273
Total	\$ 2,565,273	\$ 198,277,903		\$ 200,843,176

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	,	<b>Total</b>
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>					
Assets:					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 33,835			\$	33,835

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 108,000			\$ 108,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (20,283,757)		(20,283,757)
VMTP Shares		(56,500,000)		(56,500,000)
Total	\$108,000	\$ (76,783,757)		\$ (76,675,757)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Michigan 136.9%		
Corporate 4.9%		
County of Monroe EDC Michigan, Refunding RB, Detroit Edison Co. Project, Series AA (NPFGC),		
6.95%, 9/01/22	\$ 10,695	\$ 13,648,103
County/City/Special District/School District 25.1%		
Anchor Bay School District, GO, Refunding (Q-SBLF):	0.60	1 021 200
4.38%, 5/01/27	960 900	1,031,290
4.50%, 5/01/29 Bay City School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/36	2,800	961,461 2,946,160
Birmingham City School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM) (Q-SBLF), 3.00%, 3/01/30	2,000	2,940,100
(a)	1,000	1,012,150
Charter Township of Canton Michigan, GO, Capital Improvement (AGM):	1,000	1,012,130
5.00%, 4/01/25	1,840	2,026,171
5.00%, 4/01/26	2,000	2,204,020
5.00%, 4/01/27	500	545,475
Chippewa Valley Schools, GO, Refunding, Unlimited Tax (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/32	1,970	2,180,002
City of Oak Park Michigan, GO, Street Improvement (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/30	500	525,305
Columbia Michigan School District, GO, Unlimited Tax, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.00%,		
5/01/38	3,215	3,495,026
Comstock Park Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site, Series B (Q-SBLF):		
5.50%, 5/01/36	750	826,643
5.50%, 5/01/41	1,355	1,480,812
County of Genesee Michigan, GO, Refunding, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/19	600	617,010
Dearborn Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, GO, Limited Tax, Redevelopment, Series A		
(AGC), 5.50%, 5/01/39	3,300	3,548,919
Dearborn School District, GO, Series A (Q-SBLF):	020	1 022 201
5.00%, 5/01/32	930	1,032,384
5.00%, 5/01/33	990	1,094,069
5.00%, 5/01/34 Flint EDC, RB, Michigan Department of Human Services Office Building Project, 5.25%, 10/01/41	745 3,070	820,856 3,207,597
Fraser Public School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/25	2,000	2,066,300
Goodrich Area School District Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM), 5.00%, 5.00125	2,000	2,000,300
5.50%, 5/01/32	600	668,118
5.50%, 5/01/36	1,200	1,322,628
5.50%, 5/01/41	1,575	1,721,239
Harper Creek Community School District Michigan, GO, Refunding (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/22	1,125	1,162,294
Hudsonville Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 5/01/41	4,100	4,420,415
L Anse Creuse Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM):	·	
5.00%, 5/01/24	1,000	1,033,150
5.00%, 5/01/25	1,525	1,575,554
5.00%, 5/01/26	1,600	1,653,040
5.00%, 5/01/35	3,000	3,077,070
Lincoln Consolidated School District Michigan, GO, Refunding (NPFGC), 4.63%, 5/01/28	3,650	3,846,333
Livonia Public Schools School District Michigan, GO, Series I (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/43	3,090	3,280,869
Montrose Community Schools, GO, (NPFGC), 6.20%, 5/01/17	625	675,494
Parchment School District, County of Kalamazoo, State of Michigan, GO, School Building & Site		
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/25	900	989,856
W ++ 1D 1	Par	¥7. ¥
Municipal Bonds Michigan (continued)	(000)	Value
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Romulus Community Schools, GO, Unlimited Tax, Refunding (AGM):		
4.25%, 5/01/26	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,285,716
4.25%, 5/01/27	1,200	1,275,168
4.50%, 5/01/29	1,025	1,094,567
Thornapple Kellogg School District Michigan, GO, Refunding, School Building & Site (NPFGC),	-,020	-,,/-
5.00%, 5/01/32	2,500	2,698,975
Troy School District, GO (Q-SBLF), 5.00%, 5/01/28	1,240	1,416,365
Van Dyke Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/28	1,250	1,384,975
Walled Lake Consolidated School District, GO (Q-SBLF):	•	

5.00%, 5/01/37	1,770	1,929,919	
5.00%, 5/01/40	1,630	1,766,708	
		69,900,103	
Education 19.6%		07,700,103	
Grand Valley State University, RB, (NPFGC), 5.50%, 2/01/18	1,445	1,544,315	
Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, RB, Limited Obligation, Hillsdale College Project,	1,443	1,544,515	
5.00%, 3/01/35	1,720	1,721,170	
Michigan State University, Refunding RB, General:	1,720	1,721,170	
Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/41	4,980	5,530,041	
Series C, 5.00%, 6/15/41	4,700	5,052,124	
Michigan Technological University, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/34	1,650	1,784,953	
Oakland University, RB, General, Series A:	1,030	1,704,755	
5.00%, 3/01/38	8,485	9,089,641	
5.00%, 3/01/43	13,865	14,780,506	
University of Michigan, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/39	2,125	2,404,905	
Wayne State University, RB, Series A:	2,123	2,404,703	
5.00%, 11/15/40	2,000	2,150,560	
4.00%, 11/15/44	620	620,546	
Western Michigan University, Refunding RB, General, University and College Improvements:	020	020,510	
5.25%, 11/15/40	2,100	2,286,585	
5.25%, 11/15/43	5,255	5,730,840	
(AGM), 5.25%, 11/15/33	620	687,214	
(AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/39	1,085	1,173,677	
(11011), 5.00 %, 11/15/57	1,003	1,173,077	
W. L		54,557,077	
Health 28.4%	4.750	7.074.000	
Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/36	4,750	5,051,292	
Kent Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, Spectrum Health, Series A, 5.00%,			
11/15/29	4,500	4,964,220	
Michigan Finance Authority, RB, Sparrow Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/36	1,550	1,630,399	
Michigan Finance Authority, Refunding RB:			
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/31	1,745	1,892,784	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/31	3,100	3,385,758	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/35	4,100	4,414,839	
Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/39	3,350	3,576,293	
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, RB:			
Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/25	3,700	4,186,365	
McLaren Health Care, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/35	1,000	1,011,760	
MidMichigan Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 4/15/26	620	633,435	
MidMichigan Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 4/15/36	3,550	3,589,654	

32 ANNUAL REPORT

See Notes to Financial Statements.

JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Michigan (continued)			
Health (concluded)			
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB:			
Henry Ford Health System, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,533,000	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/01/32	4,000	4,290,120	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 7/15/21	600	657,330	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 7/15/25	3,260	3,422,087	
Hospital, Oakwood Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 7/15/37	630	642,102	
Hospital, Sparrow Obligated Group, 5.00%, 11/15/31	3,100	3,176,198	
McLaren Health Care, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/35	1,390	1,488,398	
McLaren Health Care, Series A, 5.75%, 5/15/38	4,500	5,058,675	
Trinity Health Credit Group, Series A, 6.25%, 12/01/28	930	1,084,789	
Trinity Health Credit Group, Series C, 4.00%, 12/01/32	4,460	4,501,924	
Trinity Health Credit, Series A, 6.50%, 12/01/33	1,000	1,173,180	
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital:	, i	, i	
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/39	11,000	11,750,640	
Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	1,000	1,289,790	
Series W, 6.00%, 8/01/39	925	1,029,784	
State of Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Henry Ford Health, 5.75%, 11/15/39	1,965	2,117,622	
Sturgis Building Authority, RB, Sturgis Hospital Project (NPFGC), 4.75%, 10/01/14 (a)	475	478,662	
Starges Burtaing Mathority, RB, Starges Hospital Hoject (1111 GC), 4.75 %, 10/01/14 (a)	473	470,002	
		79,031,100	
Housing 10.2%			
Michigan State HDA, RB:			
Deaconess Tower, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.25%, 2/20/48	1,000	1,014,440	
Series A, 4.75%, 12/01/25	4,235	4,501,974	
Series A, 4.45%, 10/01/34	620	636,573	
Series A, 4.63%, 10/01/39	2,165	2,213,778	
Series A, 4.75%, 10/01/44	3,100	3,177,314	
Williams Pavilion, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 4.75%, 4/20/37	3,615	3,639,510	
Michigan State HDA, Refunding RB:	·		
Rental Housing, Series D, 4.50%, 10/01/48	7,790	7,913,627	
Series A, 6.05%, 10/01/41	4,825	5,261,421	
	,	•	
		28,358,637	
State 14.4%		20,330,037	
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB:			
5.00%, 10/15/31	1,000	1,060,930	
Facilities Program, Series I, 6.25%, 10/15/38	3,900	4,540,809	
Facilities Program, Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/24	4,000	4,640,080	
Facilities Program, Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/25	2,000	2,311,460	
Facilities Program, Series I (AGC), 5.25%, 10/15/26	600	690,882	
		1,391,938	
Facilities Program, Series IA, 5.50%, 10/15/45  Facilities Program, Series II. (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26	1,250		
Facilities Program, Series II (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26	4,500	5,124,105	
Michigan State Finance Authority, RB, Local Government Loan Program, Series F:	1.000	1.062.650	
5.00%, 4/01/31	1,000	1,063,650	
5.25%, 10/01/41	6,085	6,441,764	
W IN	Par	** .	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Michigan (continued)			
State (concluded)			
Michigan Strategic Fund, Refunding RB, Cadillac Place Office Building Project, 5.25%, 10/15/31	\$ 4,350	\$ 4,709,875	
State of Michigan, COP, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 6/01/22 (b)(c)	3,000	2,513,040	
State of Michigan Trunk Line Fund, RB:			
5.00%, 11/15/33	1,850	2,065,081	
5.00%, 11/15/36	3,125	3,441,187	
		39,994,801	
Transportation 14.1%		37,777,001	
11 HILDPOT WHOOM 1741 /U			

State of Michigan, RB, GAB (AGM), 5.25%, 9/15/27	5,250	5,906,197	
Wayne County Airport Authority, RB, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, AMT			
(NPFGC):			
5.25%, 12/01/25	6,270	6,611,652	
5.25%, 12/01/26	6,300	6,643,287	
5.00%, 12/01/34	4,435	4,595,902	
Wayne County Airport Authority, Refunding RB, AMT (AGC):	ĺ		
5.75%, 12/01/25	4,000	4,587,280	
5.75%, 12/01/26	1,000	1,146,820	
5.38%, 12/01/32	8,700	9,732,516	
5.5070, 12101752	0,700	5,752,510	
		39,223,654	
Utilities 20.2%			
City of Detroit Michigan Sewage Disposal System, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Series A,			
5.25%, 7/01/39	1,645	1,611,343	
City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, RB:			
2nd Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/36	3,000	3,226,080	
Senior Lien, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/34	6,000	5,910,480	
City of Detroit Michigan Water Supply System, Refunding RB, 2nd Lien, Series C (AGM),			
5.00%, 7/01/29	10,470	10,477,120	
City of Grand Rapids Michigan Sanitary Sewer System, RB:			
5.00%, 1/01/37	930	1,032,347	
4.00%, 1/01/42	1,700	1,714,484	
City of Holland Michigan Electric Utility System, RB, Series A:			
5.00%, 7/01/33	1,860	2,088,296	
4.13%, 7/01/39	1,450	1,479,275	
5.00%, 7/01/39	7,575	8,394,994	
City of Lansing Michigan, RB, Board of Water & Light Utilities System, Series A:	.,	-,	
5.00%, 7/01/27	1,970	2,232,168	
5.00%, 7/01/31	4,230	4,712,854	
5.00%, 7/01/37	2,065	2,271,066	
5.50%, 7/01/41	3,000	3,483,540	
City of Port Huron Michigan, RB, Water Supply System:	5,000	3,403,340	
5.25%, 10/01/31	310	333,520	
5.63%, 10/01/40	1,000	1,084,120	
County of Genesee Michigan, GO, Water Supply System (NPFGC), 5.13%, 9/03/14 (a)	1,000	1,004,120	
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, RB, State Clean Water Revolving Fund:	1,000	1,004,440	
5.00%, 10/01/27	1 250	1 252 712	
	1,250	1,353,713	
5.00%, 10/01/29  Paoled Project 5.00%, 10/01/27	2,000	2,277,120	
Pooled Project, 5.00%, 10/01/27	1,240	1,435,275	
		56,122,235	
Total Municipal Bonds in Michigan		380,835,710	
Guam 3.5%			
State 3.5%			
Territory of Guam, RB:			
Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/36	500	533,470	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

		_			
Mondain al Dan da		Par		¥7-1	
Municipal Bonds Cyan (concluded)		(000)		Value	
Guam (concluded)					
State (concluded) Territory of Guam RB (concluded):					
Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/42	\$	4,850	\$	5,111,076	
Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/42 Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series B-1, 5.00%, 1/01/32	φ	1,695	Ф	1,781,699	
Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series B-1, 5.00%, 1/01/32 Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series B-1, 5.00%, 1/01/37		665		697,286	
Limited Obligation Bonds, Series B-1, 3.00%, 1/01/29		1,400		1,532,118	
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		1,400		9,655,649	
Total Municipal Bonds 140.4%				390,491,359	
1904 Fruincipal Bonds 14044 //				370,471,337	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (d) Mishigan 17 20					
Michigan 17.2%  County/City/Special District/School District 4.4%					
County/City/Special District/School District 4.4% Lakewood Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/37		6,770		7,309,418	
Portage Public Schools Michigan, GO, School Building & Site (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/31		4,650		5,070,453	
				12,379,871	
Education 12.7%					
Michigan State University, Refunding RB, General, Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/38		6,220		6,947,740	
Saginaw Valley State University, Refunding RB, General (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/31		7,500		8,249,850	
Wayne State University, RB, General, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/40		6,190		6,655,983	
Wayne State University, Refunding RB, General (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35		12,207		13,372,023	
				35,225,596	
		Par			
Municipal Bonds Transferred to					
Tender Option Bond Trusts (d)		(000)		Value	
Michigan (concluded) Health 0.1%					
Michigan Finance Authority, RB, Hospital, Trinity Health Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/39	\$	190	\$	202,834	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 17.2%				47,808,301	
Total Long-Term Investments					
(Cost \$413,056,033) 157.6%				438,299,660	
Short-Term Securities		Shares		Value	
BIF Michigan Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (e)(f)	3,	889,640		3,889,640	
Total Short-Term Securities					
(Cost \$3,889,640) 1.4%				3,889,640	
Total Investments (Cost \$416,945,673) 159.0%				442,189,300	
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.4%				4,046,149	
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest					
Expense and Fees Payable (8.4)%				(23,492,163)	
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (52.0)%			(	144,600,000)	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$ :	278,143,286	

**Notes to Schedule of Investments** 

(a)	U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this sec premium to par.	urity, as well as to re	tire the bond in full at	the date indicated, typi	ically at a
(b)	Zero-coupon bond.				
(c)	Security is collateralized by municipal or U.S. Treasury obligations.				
(d)	Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund receive financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for detail				lateral in a
	Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year follows:	ended July 31, 2014	, for purposes of Secti	ion 2(a)(3) of the 1940.	Act, were as
Affi	iliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2013	Net Activity	Shares Held at July 31, 2014	Income
BIF	Michigan Municipal Money Fund	479,667	3,409,973	3,889,640	\$ 2

(f) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

Contracts				Notional	Unrealized
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Appreciation
(140)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 17,445,313	\$ 59,960

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds <sup>1</sup>		\$ 438,299,660		\$ 438,299,660
Short-Term Securities	\$ 3,889,640			3,889,640
Total	\$ 3,889,640	\$ 438,299,660		\$ 442,189,300

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	7	otal .
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>					
Assets:					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 59,960			\$	59,960

<sup>2</sup> Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.
The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Assets:					
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 210,000			\$ 210,000	
Liabilities:					
TOB trust certificates		\$ (23,487,000)		(23,487,000)	
VRDP Shares		(144,600,000)		(144,600,000)	
Total	\$ 210,000	\$ (168,087,000)		\$ (167,877,000)	

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MJI)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New Jersey 126.7%			
Corporate 6.9%			
County of Salem New Jersey Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Chambers Project,			
AMT, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/23	\$ 1,710	\$ 1,891,995	
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:			
New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project, Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39	5,000	5,478,900	
New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project, Series B, AMT, 5.60%, 11/01/34	1,000	1,099,080	
United Water of New Jersey, Inc., Series B (AMBAC), 4.50%, 11/01/25	1,000	1,092,390	
		9,562,365	
County/City/Special District/School District 15.3%			
Borough of Hopatcong New Jersey, GO, Refunding, Sewer (AMBAC), 4.50%, 8/01/33	750	769,035	
City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB, Refunding (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	1,250	1,295,900	
County of Essex New Jersey Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, AMT (NPFGC), 4.75%, 11/01/32	1,000	1,026,580	
County of Hudson New Jersey, COP, Refunding (NPFGC), 6.25%, 12/01/16	1,000	1,111,250	
County of Hudson New Jersey Improvement Authority, RB:			
CAB, Series A-1 (NPFGC), 0.00%, 12/15/32 (a)	1,000	459,310	
County Secured, County Services Building Project (AGM), 5.00%, 4/01/27	250	270,620	
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.25%, 1/01/39	1,000	1,070,160	
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC), 5.38%, 1/01/44	1,400	1,500,002	
County of Monmouth New Jersey Improvement Authority, Refunding RB, Governmental Loan			
(AMBAC):	~	5.010	
5.20%, 12/01/14	5	5,019	
5.25%, 12/01/15	5	5,018	
5.00%, 12/01/17	5	5,015	
5.00%, 12/01/18	5 5	5,013	
5.00%, 12/01/19 County of Union New Jersey, CO. Refundings	3	5,012	
County of Union New Jersey, GO, Refunding: 4.00%, 3/01/29	1,060	1,121,575	
4.00%, 3/01/29 4.00%, 3/01/30	1,060	1,116,392	
4.00%, 3/01/31	1,200	1,258,740	
County of Union New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	1,200	1,230,740	
Resources Recovery Facility, Covanta Union, Inc., AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/31	200	216,006	
Solid Waste System, County Deficiency Agreement, 5.00%, 6/15/41	2,155	2,345,351	
Edgewater Borough Board of Education, GO, Refunding (AGM):	2,100	2,5 10,551	
4.25%, 3/01/34	300	318,189	
4.25%, 3/01/35	300	316,611	
4.30%, 3/01/36	300	315,927	
New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC):		,	
5.50%, 3/01/21	1,540	1,780,440	
5.50%, 3/01/22	1,050	1,224,027	
New Jersey State Transit Corp., COP, Federal Transit Administration Grants, Subordinate, Series A			
(AGM) (NPFGC), 5.00%, 9/15/15 (b)	1,000	1,045,040	
Newark Housing Authority, Refunding RB, Newark Redevelopment Project (NPFGC), 4.38%, 1/01/37	2,720	2,724,869	
		21,311,101	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New Jersey (continued)			
Education 30.0%			
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB:	<b>4. 2</b> 0 c 0	D 2005 100	
Higher Education Capital Improvement Fund, 5.00%, 9/01/33	\$ 2,060	\$ 2,265,403	
Montclair State University, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/16 (b)	1,600	1,742,000	
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	2.905	4 100 101	
College of New Jersey, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35	3,805	4,192,121	
Montclair State University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/39 Montclaire State University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/44	4,500	5,001,525	
Montclaire State University, Series A, 5.00%, //01/44  Montclair State University, Series J (NPFGC), 4.25%, 7/01/30	1,020 2,765	1,130,986 2,813,332	
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Series H, 5.00%, 7/01/31	1,000	1,096,150	
New Jersey Insultate of Teelinology, Series 11, 3.00 /0, 1/01/31	1,000	1,050,130	

Ramapo College, Series I (AMBAC), 4.25%, 7/01/31	1,250	1,271,238	
Rowan University, Series B (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/26	2,575	2,872,207	
Seton Hall University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/38	140	152,215	
Seton Hall University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/43	170	184,632	
Stevens Institute of Technology, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/34	1,500	1,533,810	
William Paterson University, Series C (AGC), 4.75%, 7/01/34	1,115	1,183,182	
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, RB, Senior Student Loan, Series 1A, AMT:			
4.00%, 12/01/28	710	708,374	
4.50%, 12/01/28	1,170	1,221,176	
4.00%, 12/01/29	1,575	1,549,595	
4.00%, 12/01/29	290	288,973	
4.50%, 12/01/29	1,550	1,615,550	
4.63%, 12/01/30	1,475	1,534,929	
4.00%, 12/01/31	290	280,024	
4.25%, 12/01/32	590	584,218	
4.13%, 12/01/35	290	276,741	
4.50%, 12/01/36	525	526,958	
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, Refunding RB, Series 1, AMT,			
5.50%, 12/01/26	1,800	2,010,816	
New Jersey Institute of Technology, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/42	1,900	2,046,490	
Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, Refunding RB, Series L:			
5.00%, 5/01/30	465	534,155	
5.00%, 5/01/43	2,850	3,162,873	
		41,779,673	
Health 14.7%			
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB:			
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series I (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	700	734,440	
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series II (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	975	1,022,970	
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, Series V (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38	940	986,248	
Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/43	2,220	2,464,955	
Virtua Health, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38	1,000	1,077,880	
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:			
5.00%, 7/01/28	870	986,589	
5.00%, 7/01/29	205	231,059	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MJI)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
Health (concluded)		
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB (concluded):		
5.50%, 7/01/31	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,310,583
AHS Hospital Corp., 6.00%, 7/01/41	1,100	1,273,459
Catholic Health East Issue, 5.00%, 11/15/33	550	586,267
Hackensack University Medical (AGM), 4.63%, 1/01/30	2,315	2,426,120
Kennedy Health System, 5.00%, 7/01/42	140	146,992
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, 5.00%, 7/01/25	300	336,162
Meridian Health System Obligated Group, 5.00%, 7/01/26	2,130	2,363,214
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/24	1,820	2,030,155
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.63%, 7/01/32	440	481,703
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.63%, 7/01/37	1,300	1,411,774
St. Luke s Warren Hospital Obligated Group, 5.00%, 8/15/34	300	320,217
St. Luke s Warren Hospital Obligated Group, 4.00%, 8/15/37	180	170,136
		20,360,923
Housing 9.6%		, , , -
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB:		
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/27	1,970	2,126,280
Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM) (HUD), 4.70%, 11/01/25	2,475	2,536,479
M/F Housing, Series A, 4.55%, 11/01/43	1,425	1,436,300
M/F Housing, Series A, AMT (NPFGC), 4.90%, 11/01/35	820	820,238
M/F Housing, Series A, AMT (NPFGC), 4.85%, 11/01/39	400	393,108
S/F Housing, Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	325	334,724
S/F Housing, Series B, 4.50%, 10/01/30	2,720	2,873,299
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, AMT:		
M/F Housing, Series 2, 4.60%, 11/01/38	1,070	1,087,270
M/F Housing, Series 2, 4.75%, 11/01/46	1,205	1,222,545
S/F Housing, Series T, 4.70%, 10/01/37	445	448,969
		13,279,212
State 19.0%		13,277,212
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB (AGM):		
CAB, Series B, 0.00%, 11/01/23 (a)	6,725	5,249,804
Election of 2005, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/15 (b)	2,605	2,785,526
New Jersey EDA, LRB, Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, College Avenue Redevelopment		
Project, 5.00%, 6/15/33	880	997,841
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
CAB, Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 0.00%, 7/01/21 (a)	2,325	1,885,226
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/25	1,000	1,191,190
Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/33	7,000	7,074,410
School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/18 (b)	1,185	1,441,268
School Facilities Construction (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34	15	17,381
School Facilities Construction, Series KK, 5.00%, 3/01/38	380	403,047
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
State (concluded)		
New Jersey EDA, RB (concluded):		
School Facilities Construction, Series U, 5.00%, 9/01/37	\$ 1,040	\$ 1,133,028
School Facilities Construction, Series U (AMBAC), 5.00%, 9/01/37	365	397,649
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:		
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/26	355	390,653
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/28	910	990,762
Cigarette Tax, 5.00%, 6/15/29	1,195	1,292,572
School Facilities Construction, Series NN, 5.00%, 3/01/29	500	547,030
State of New Jersey, COP, Equipment Lease Purchase, Series A, 5.25%, 6/15/27	500	559,305

		26,356,692	
Transportation 25.5%			
Delaware River Port Authority, RB:			
5.00%, 1/01/29	750	848,565	
5.00%, 1/01/37	2,865	3,132,562	
Series D, 5.05%, 1/01/35	1,430	1,538,466	
Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40	1,500	1,593,360	
Delaware River Port Authority, Refunding RB, Port District Project:			
5.00%, 1/01/26	700	757,120	
5.00%, 1/01/27	525	563,934	
New Jersey EDA, RB, Private Activity Bond, The Goethals Bridge Replacement Project (AMT):			
5.38%, 1/01/43	2,235	2,371,000	
5.13%, 1/01/34	660	706,629	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Growth & Income Securities, Series B (AMBAC),			
5.15%, 1/01/35 (c)	3,005	3,090,883	
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/29	2,000	2,423,960	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:			
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AGM), 0.00%, 12/15/32 (a)	4,750	2,005,022	
CAB, Transportation System, Series C (AMBAC), 0.00%, 12/15/35 (a)	2,760	932,935	
Transportation System, Series A, 6.00%, 6/15/35	2,000	2,394,080	
Transportation System, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 12/15/28	780	912,226	
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/33	1,700	1,828,027	
Transportation System, Series AA, 5.25%, 6/15/33	1,640	1,820,220	
Transportation System, Series B, 5.50%, 6/15/31	730	832,813	
Transportation System, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/36	725	788,481	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB:			
Consolidated, 93rd Series, 6.13%, 6/01/94	1,000	1,166,870	
Special Project, JFK International Air Terminal LLC Project, Series 8, 6.00%, 12/01/42	1,500	1,720,920	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, AMT:			
5.00%, 12/01/33	1,155	1,278,308	
Consolidated, 152nd Series, 5.75%, 11/01/30	2,000	2,263,680	
South Jersey Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Transportation System, Series A:			
5.00%, 11/01/28	200	215,234	
5.00%, 11/01/29	200	213,924	
		35,399,219	
		,,	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MJI)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

M. Challe at	Par	¥7.1	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New Jersey (concluded)			
Utilities 5.7%	Φ (70	ф <b>722</b> 000	
County of Essex New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding RB, (AGC), 4.13%, 4/01/22	\$ 670	\$ 723,808	
North Hudson Sewerage Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 8/01/20 (d)	1,710	2,049,828	
Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC) (a):			
0.00%, 9/01/26	4,100	2,614,980	
0.00%, 9/01/29	2,750	1,490,995	
0.00%, 9/01/33	2,350	1,031,885	
		7.911.496	
Total Municipal Bonds in New Jersey		175,960,681	
		, ,	
Guam 3.3%			
State 3.3%			
Territory of Guam, RB, Business Privilege Tax Bonds:			
Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/36	120	128,033	
Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/42	4,100	4,320,703	
Series B-1, 5.00%, 1/01/37	155	162,525	
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		4,611,261	
·			
Puerto Rico 3.1%			
Health 3.1%			
Puerto Rico Industrial Tourist Educational Medical & Environmental Control Facilities Financing			
Authority, RB, Hospital De La Concepcion, Series A, 6.13%, 11/15/30	4,220	4,236,796	
Total Municipal Bonds 133.1%		184,808,738	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. ,,	

#### **Municipal Bonds Transferred to**

Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)			
New Jersey 23.6%			
County/City/Special District/School District 3.8%			
County of Union New Jersey Utilities Authority, Refunding LRB, Resource Recovery Facility,			
Covanta Union, Inc., Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/31	4,930	5,324,548	
Education 3.2%			
Rutgers - The State University of New Jersey, RB, Series F, 5.00%, 5/01/39	4,003	4,446,565	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value	
New Jersey (concluded)			
State 4.6%			
Garden State Preservation Trust, RB, Election of 2005, Series A (AGM), 5.75%, 11/01/28	\$ 3,300	\$ 4,220,568	
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 3/01/29 (f)	1,918	2,098,518	
		6,319,086	
Transportation 12.0%			
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/38 (f)	4,100	4,451,042	
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series B,			
5.25%, 6/15/36 (f)	760	826,721	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, Consolidated, 163rd Series, AMT, 5.00%, 7/15/39	4,089	4,604,984	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB, Consolidated, 169th Series, AMT, 5.00%, 10/15/41	4,500	4,849,740	
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, Consolidated, 152nd Series, AMT,			
5.25%, 11/01/35	1,829	1,997,228	
		16,729,715	
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		32,819,914	
•			

Tender Option Bond Trusts 23.6%		
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost \$203,481,588) 156.7%	217,628,652	
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
BIF New Jersey Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (g)(h)	2,535,160 2,535,160	
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$2,535,160) 1.8%	2,535,160	
Total Investments (Cost \$206,016,748) 158.5%	220,163,812	
Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.9%	1,174,285	
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest		
Expense and Fees Payable (13.0)%	(18,047,428)	
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (46.4)%	(64,400,000)	
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 138,890,669	

#### Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) Zero-coupon bond.
- (b) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (c) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (d) Security is collateralized by municipal or U.S. Treasury obligations.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Fund to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB trust certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB trust. In the case of a shortfall, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from June 15, 2019 to September 1, 2020 is \$5,098,359.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

38 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

### Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MJI)

(g) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
BIF New Jersey Municipal Money Fund	3.764.692	(1.229.532)	2,535,160	\$ 7

(h) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

				Notional Unrealized
Contracts Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value Appreciation
(85)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 10,591,797 \$ 36,404

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Fund s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds <sup>1</sup>		\$ 217,628,652		\$ 217,628,652
Short-Term Securities	\$ 2,535,160			2,535,160
Total	\$ 2,535,160	\$ 217,628,652		\$ 220,163,812
2 0 0 1	Ψ 2,000,100	Ψ 217,020,002		φ 220,100,012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	T	otal
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>					
Assets:					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 36,404			\$	36,404

<sup>2</sup> Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 158,000			\$ 158,000
Liabilities:				
TOB trust certificates		\$ (18,044,269)		(18,044,269)
VRDP Shares		(64,400,000)		(64,400,000)
Total	\$ 158,000	\$ (82,444,269)		\$ (82,286,269)

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 39

## Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014

### BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Pennsylvania 116.0%		
Corporate 12.8%		
Beaver County IDA, Refunding RB, Series B, 3.50%, 12/01/35 (a)	\$ 3,235	\$ 3,274,111
County of Beaver Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, First Energy, Nuclear Energy Corp. Project,		
Mandatory Put Bonds, Series A, 3.38%, 1/01/35 (a)	1,200	1,221,060
County of Delaware Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Water Facilities, Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.		
Project, Series B, AMT (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/36	2,520	2,582,521
County of Northumberland Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project, AMT		
(NPFGC), 5.05%, 10/01/39	4,500	4,507,920
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB:		
American Water Co. Project, 6.20%, 4/01/39	1,300	1,490,229
Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Project, Series B, 4.50%, 12/01/42	2,630	2,708,743
Waste Management, Inc. Project, Series A, AMT, 5.10%, 10/01/27	1,200	1,246,488
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Amtrak Project, Series A,		
AMT, 5.00%, 11/01/41	5,865	6,180,537
		23,211,609
County/City/Special District/School District 38.4%		
Bristol Township School District, GO, 5.00%, 6/01/40	775	838,752
Chambersburg Area School District, GO:		
5.25%, 9/01/15 (b)	640	675,123
5.25%, 3/01/27	1,860	1,948,462
(NPFGC), 5.25%, 3/01/26	2,115	2,219,291
City of Philadelphia Pennsylvania, GO, Refunding, Series A:		
(AGM), 5.25%, 12/15/32	5,000	5,326,600
(AGC), 5.00%, 8/01/24	2,000	2,202,740
City of Pittsburgh Pennsylvania, GO, Series B, 5.00%, 9/01/26	970	1,099,621
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, GO, 5.00%, 6/15/26	2,420	2,883,091
County of Lycoming Pennsylvania Water & Sewer Authority, RB, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/41	400	422,584
County of York Pennsylvania, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 3/01/36	400	440,896
East Stroudsburg Area School District, GO, Refunding, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 9/01/25	3,000	3,309,180
East Stroudsburg Area School District, GO, Series A:		
7.75%, 9/01/17 (b)	960	1,167,485
7.75%, 9/01/27	1,040	1,240,938
Erie County Conventional Center Authority, RB, 5.00%, 1/15/36	8,850	8,891,595
Lower Merion School District, GO, Refunding, Series A, 3.25%, 11/15/27	2,035	2,058,016
Marple Newtown School District, GO, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/31	3,500	3,934,980
Northeastern School District York County, GO, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 4/01/32	1,585	1,708,709
Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority, RB, Quality Redevelopment Neighborhood, Series B, AMT	4.645	4.762.100
(NPFGC), 5.00%, 4/15/27	4,645	4,762,100
Philadelphia School District, GO, Series E, 6.00%, 9/01/38  Philadelphia School District, GO, (ACM), 5.00%, 4/01/41	3,300	3,611,256 799,960
Philipsburg-Osceola Pennsylvania Area School District, GO (AGM), 5.00%, 4/01/41 Shaler Area School District Pennsylvania, GO, CAB (Syncora), 0.00%, 9/01/30 (c)	755	
	6,145	3,260,291
Pennsylvania (continued) County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
State Public School Building Authority, RB (AGM):		
Community College, Allegheny County Project, 5.00%, 7/15/34	\$ 1,880	\$ 2,021,489
Corry Area School District, CAB, 0.00%, 12/15/22 (c)	1,640	1,259,897
Corry Area School District, CAB, 0.00%, 12/15/23 (c)	1,980	1,449,499
Corry Area School District, CAB, 0.00%, 12/15/24 (c)	1,980	1,384,990
Corry Area School District, CAB, 0.00%, 12/15/25 (c)	1,770	1,181,387
State Public School Building Authority, Refunding RB:	1,770	1,101,507
Harrisburg School District Project, Series A (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/33	1,065	1,116,141
School District Philadelphia Project, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/26	1,500	1,615,965
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO, 5.25%, 6/01/43	5,120	5,648,128
Township of Falls Authority, RB, Water & Sewer Authority, 5.00%, 12/01/37	1,070	1,150,036
	2,070	1,100,000
		60 600 000
Education ( 00)		69,629,202
Education 6.9%		

County of Adams Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Gettysburg College, 5.00%, 8/15/26	100	109,526	
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB:			
Drexel University, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/37	1,500	1,608,225	
Shippensburg University Student Services, Student Housing, 5.00%, 10/01/44	1,195	1,204,488	
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:			
Drexel University, Series A, 5.25%, 5/01/41	2,750	2,968,295	
La Salle University, 5.00%, 5/01/37	985	1,033,098	
La Salle University, 5.00%, 5/01/42	1,600	1,675,856	
State System of Higher Education, Series AL, 5.00%, 6/15/35	280	306,404	
Thomas Jefferson University, 4.00%, 3/01/37	375	368,392	
Widener University, Series A, 5.25%, 7/15/33	1,360	1,450,998	
Widener University, Series A, 5.50%, 7/15/38	340	364,568	
Swarthmore Borough Authority, Refunding RB, Swarthmore College Project, 5.00%, 9/15/38	830	927,857	
Township of East Hempfield IDA, RB, Student Services, Inc., Student Housing Project at Millersville			
University of Pennsylvania:			
5.00%, 7/01/35	385	396,146	
5.00%, 7/01/45	200	203,446	
		12,617,299	
Health 16.7%			
County of Allegheny Pennsylvania Hospital Development Authority, RB, Health Center, UPMC Health,			
Series B (NPFGC), 6.00%, 7/01/26	2,000	2,503,720	
County of Berks Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, Reading Hospital & Medical Center,			
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/40	765	819,185	
County of Centre Pennsylvania Hospital Authority, RB, Mount Nittany Medical Center Project,			
7.00%, 11/15/46	2,020	2,400,810	
County of Cumberland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, Diakon Lutheran,			
6.38%, 1/01/39	500	539,850	
County of Lehigh Pennsylvania, RB, Lehigh Valley Health Network, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33	7,995	8,373,483	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

40 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

## Schedule of Investments (continued)

### BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Pennsylvania (continued)			
Health (concluded)			
County of Montgomery Pennsylvania Higher Education & Health Authority, Refunding RB, Abington			
Memorial Hospital Obligated Group, Series A, 5.13%, 6/01/33	\$ 490	\$ 514,422	
County of Montgomery Pennsylvania IDA, RB, Acts Retirement-Life Community:			
Series A, 4.50%, 11/15/36	55	54,538	
Series A-1, 6.25%, 11/15/29	235	264,732	
County of Montgomery Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Acts Retirement-Life Communities:			
5.00%, 11/15/27	690	735,809	
5.00%, 11/15/28	445	472,056	
Lancaster IDA, Refunding RB:			
5.38%, 5/01/28	420	442,579	
5.75%, 5/01/35	745	794,476	
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Pennsylvania Health System,			
Series A, 4.00%, 8/15/39	7,600	7,552,272	
Philadelphia Hospitals & Higher Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Presbyterian Medical	.,	,,,,,,	
Center, 6.65%, 12/01/19 (d)	2,390	2,793,097	
South Fork Municipal Authority, Refunding RB, Conemaugh Valley Memorial Hospital, Series B	_,_,_,	_,,,,,,,,	
(AGC), 5.38%, 7/01/35	1,840	1,985,121	
(1.50), 5150 h, 101150	1,070	1,200,121	
		30,246,150	
Housing 7.2%			
Pennsylvania HFA, RB, S/F Mortgage, Series 114-C:			
3.65%, 10/01/37	1,915	1,865,172	
3.70%, 10/01/42	3,345	3,171,863	
Pennsylvania HFA, Refunding RB, S/F Mortgage:			
Series 092-A, AMT, 4.75%, 4/01/31	595	597,213	
Series 096-A, AMT, 4.70%, 10/01/37	2,730	2,771,168	
Series 099-A, AMT, 5.15%, 4/01/38	855	901,991	
Series 110-B, 4.75%, 10/01/39	655	681,521	
Philadelphia Housing Authority, RB, Capital Fund Program, Series A (AGM), 5.50%, 12/01/18	3,000	3,039,390	
		13,028,318	
State 6.0%			
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, GO, 1st Series:			
5.00%, 11/15/24	1,000	1,171,980	
5.00%, 4/01/26	1,140	1,336,342	
5.00%, 6/01/28	2,300	2,651,141	
5.00%, 6/15/29	1,000	1,168,830	
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Unemployment	1,000	1,100,030	
Compensation, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/23	600	625,452	
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Oil Franchise Tax, Remarketing, Series C (NPFGC),	000	023,432	
5.00%, 12/01/32	3,600	3,985,020	
5.00 M, 12/01/32	3,000	3,963,020	
		10,938,765	
Transportation 16.7%			
City of Philadelphia Pennsylvania, ARB, Series A:			
5.00%, 6/15/40	2,500	2,642,975	
AMT (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/37	5,595	5,708,579	
Delaware River Port Authority, RB:			
5.00%, 1/01/37	1,970	2,153,978	
Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40	1,560	1,657,094	
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB:			
CAB, Sub-Series A-3, 0.00%, 12/01/42 (c)	4,100	965,386	
CAB, Sub-Series A-3 (AGM), 0.00%, 12/01/40 (c)	1,100	318,714	
Series A (AMBAC), 5.25%, 12/01/32	350	354,851	
Pennsylvania (concluded)		,001	
Transportation (concluded)			
Series A (AMBAC), 5.50%, 12/01/31	\$ 7,800	\$ 7,914,582	
	Ψ .,000	· /,> 1 .,002	

Sub-Series A, 6.00%, 12/01/41	700	762,825	
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B (AGM), 5.25%, 6/01/39	3,500	3,839,955	
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, RB, Capital Grant Receipts:			
5.00%, 6/01/28	1,570	1,723,954	
5.00%, 6/01/29	2,080	2,274,688	
		30,317,581	
Utilities 11.3%		50,517,561	
Allegheny County Sanitary Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/01/30	5,000	5,233,800	
City of Philadelphia Pennsylvania Gas Works, RB:	2,000	0,200,000	
1998 General Ordinance, 4th Series (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32	3,300	3,310,197	
9th Series, 5.25%, 8/01/40	1,430	1,600,470	
City of Philadelphia Pennsylvania Water & Wastewater, RB:	1,.50	1,000,170	
Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/36	700	748.230	
Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/40	3,000	3,208,140	
County of Allegheny Pennsylvania Sanitary Authority, RB, Sewer Improvement, 5.25%, 12/01/41	1,215	1,338,225	
County of Bucks Pennsylvania Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Water System (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/41	150	163,523	
County of Delaware Pennsylvania Regional Water Quality Control Authority, RB, Sewer			
Improvements, 5.00%, 5/01/33	350	390.096	
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, Philadelphia Biosolids Facility, 6.25%,			
1/01/32	1,420	1,549,249	
Reading Area Water Authority Pennsylvania, RB (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/27	2,680	2,930,982	
	,	, , , , ,	
		20 472 012	
m (IX tt ID It D It D		20,472,912	
Total Municipal Bonds in Pennsylvania		210,461,836	
O 0 #61			
Guam 0.5%			
State 0.5%	905	000.000	
Territory of Guam, RB, Limited Obligation Bonds, Section 30, Series A, 5.63%, 12/01/29	805	880,968	
Total Municipal Bonds 116.5%		211,342,804	

### **Municipal Bonds Transferred to**

**Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)** 

Pennsylvania 38.4%			
Education 9.1%			
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB:			
Series AE (NPFGC), 4.75%, 6/15/32	8,845	9,272,116	
University of Pennsylvania Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 8/15/41	4,270	4,808,020	
University of Pittsburgh, RB, The Commonwealth System of Higher Education, Capital Project, Series			
B, 5.00%, 9/15/28	2,202	2,509,022	
		16,589,158	
		10,505,150	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 41

### Schedule of Investments (continued)

### BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

\$ 181,458,616

#### **Municipal Bonds Transferred to**

	Par	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value
Pennsylvania (continued)		
Health 9.9%		
Geisinger Authority Pennsylvania, RB, Health System:	¢ 2.500	¢ 2.709.000
Series A, 5.13%, 6/01/34 Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/39	\$ 2,500 3,128	\$ 2,708,000 3,378,434
Series A-1, 5.13%, 6/01/41	6,272	6,759,357
Philadelphia Hospitals & Higher Education Facilities Authority, RB, The Children s Hospital of	0,272	0,739,337
Philadelphia Project, Series C, 5.00%, 7/01/41	4.680	5,027,443
1 madeipina 1 roject, beries C, 3.00 %, 7701741	4,000	3,027,443
		17,873,234
Housing 1.7%		17,673,234
Pennsylvania HFA, Refunding RB, S/F Mortgage, Series 115A, AMT, 4.20%, 10/01/33	3,000	3,011,820
State 17.7%	2,000	5,011,020
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, GO, Series 1, 5.00%, 3/15/28	5,203	5,938,561
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Oil Franchise Tax, Senior Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%,	-,	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
12/01/32	10,000	11,069,500
State Public School Building Authority, Refunding RB, School Distric of Philadelphia Project, Series	,	, ,
B (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/26	14,026	15,110,549
		32,118,610
Pennsylvania (concluded)		32,110,010
State (concluded)		
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
•		
Tender Option Bond Trusts 38.4%		\$ 69,592,822
Total Long-Term Investments		+ 0,,0,2,0,0
(Cost \$265,617,397) 154.9%		280,935,626
		,
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
BIF Pennsylvania Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (f)(g)	1,714,474	1,714,474
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$1,714,474) 0.9%		1,714,474
Total Investments (Cost \$267,331,871) 155.8%		282,650,100
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.1%		2,181,430
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest		_,,
Expense and Fees Payable (20.4)%		(37,072,914)
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value (36.5)%		(66,300,000)

### Notes to Schedule of Investments

(a) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

(b) U.S. government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

- (c) Zero-coupon bond.
- (d) Security is collateralized by municipal or U.S. Treasury obligations.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB. In exchange for which the Fund received cash and residual interest certificates. These bonds serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 3 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) Investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund during the year ended July 31, 2014, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act, were as follows:

	Shares Held at July 31,	Net	Shares Held at July 31,	
Affiliate	2013	Activity	2014	Income
BIF Pennsylvania Municipal Money Fund	3,198,164	(1.483,690)	1,714,474	

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

					Unrealized
Contracts				Notional	Appreciation
Sold	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	(Depreciation)
(92)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	\$ 11,464,063	\$ 12,698
(25)	U.S. Treasury Long Bond	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2014	3,435,156	(53,941)
Total					\$ (41,243)

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a disclosure hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that the Fund has the ability to access

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Funds of own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments)

See Notes to Financial Statements

42 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

### Schedule of Investments (concluded)

#### BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

Level 3

**Total** 

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. In accordance with the Fund s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value disclosure hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments and derivative financial instruments is based on the pricing transparency of the investment and derivative financial instrument and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, please refer to Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

Level 1

Level 2

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy as of July 31, 2014:

Assets:					
Investments:					
Long-Term Investments <sup>1</sup>		\$ 280,935,626		\$ 28	30,935,626
Short-Term Securities	\$ 1,714,474				1,714,474
Total	\$ 1,714,474\$	280,935,626		\$ 28	32,650,100
<sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup>					
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>2</sup> Assets:					
	\$ 12,698			\$	12,698
Assets:	\$ 12,698			\$	12,698
Assets: Interest rate contracts	\$ 12,698 (53,941)			\$	12,698 (53,941)
Assets: Interest rate contracts Liabilities:	, ,,,,,,			\$	·

<sup>2</sup> Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument. The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2014, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1		Level 2	Level 3		Total	
Assets:							
Cash	\$	56,238			\$	56,238	
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts		175,000				175,000	
Liabilities:							
TOB trust certificates			\$ (37,066,212)		(	(37,066,212)	
VRDP Shares			(66,300,000)		(	(66,300,000)	
Total	•	231,238	\$ (103,366,212)	¢	\$ (1	03,134,974)	
Total	Ф	231,236	\$ (103,300,212)	φ	\$ (1	03,134,974)	

There were no transfers between levels during the year ended July 31, 2014.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 43

## Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	BlackRock MuniHoldings	BlackRock			BlackRock MuniYield	
	California Quality	MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality	BlackRock MuniYield Investment	BlackRock MuniYield Michigan	New Jersey Quality	BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania
July 31, 2014	Fund, Inc. (MUC)	Fund, Inc. (MUJ)	Quality Fund (MFT)	Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY)	Fund, Inc. (MJI)	Quality Fund (MPA)
Assets						
Investments at value unaffiliated Investments at value affiliated Cash	\$ 969,586,764 2,207,320	\$ 535,000,926 4,710,150	\$ 198,277,903 2,565,273	\$ 438,299,660 3,889,640	\$ 217,628,652 2,535,160	\$ 280,935,626 1,714,474 56,238
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts Interest receivable Investments sold receivable	562,000 13,573,992 15,911,035	280,000 4,391,626	108,000 2,183,798 15,369	210,000 5,137,891	158,000 1,596,070	175,000 2,770,257
Variation margin receivable on financial futures contracts	19,264	9,608	3,703	6,562	3,984	6,656
Deferred offering costs Prepaid expenses	72,363 34,892	328,428 27,319	19,490 25,125	256,667 27,100	220,881 24,841	200,136 25,805
Total assets	1,001,967,630	544,748,057	203,198,661	447,827,520	222,167,588	285,884,192
Accrued Liabilities						
Income dividends payable Common Shares	2,931,678	1,576,638	601,596	1,313,921	658,239	851,328
Investments purchased payable Investment advisory fees payable	7,041,758 464,120	239,816	85,740	189,037	93,545	120,716
Officer s and Directors fees payable	244,501	4,396	1,652	3,644	1,808	2,426
Interest expense and fees payable	29,986	6,382	5,208	5,163	3,159	6,702
Other accrued expenses payable	147,462	96,769	73,770	85,469	75,899	78,192
Total accrued liabilities	10,859,505	1,924,001	767,966	1,597,234	832,650	1,059,364
Other Liabilities						
TOB trust certificates	88,271,444	34,699,311	20,283,757	23,487,000	18,044,269	37,066,212
VRDP Shares, at liquidation value of \$100,000 per share <sup>3,4</sup>		172,700,000		144,600,000	64,400,000	66,300,000
VMTP Shares, at liquidation value of \$100,000 per share <sup>3,4</sup>	254,000,000		56,500,000			
Total other liabilities	342,271,444	207,399,311	76,783,757	168,087,000	82,444,269	103,366,212
Total liabilities	353,130,949	209,323,312	77,551,723	169,684,234	83,276,919	104,425,576
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	\$ 648,836,681	\$ 335,424,745	\$ 125,646,938	\$ 278,143,286	\$ 138,890,669	\$ 181,458,616
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders C	onsist of					
Paid-in capital <sup>5,6,7</sup>	\$ 586,006,297	\$ 299,214,869	\$ 118,012,879	\$ 261,336,487	\$ 125,356,076	\$ 170,185,735
Undistributed net investment income	7,238,325	4,839,680	1,975,590	2,374,959	2,375,083	1,741,993
Accumulated net realized loss Net unrealized appreciation/depreciation	(13,527,213) 69,119,272	(5,069,979) 36,440,175	(11,763,890) 17,422,359	(10,871,747) 25,303,587	(3,023,958) 14,183,468	(5,746,098) 15,276,986
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	\$ 648,836,681	\$ 335,424,745	\$ 125,646,938	\$ 278,143,286	\$ 138,890,669	\$ 181,458,616
Net asset value per Common Share	\$ 15.82	\$ 15.74	\$ 14.83	\$ 15.24	\$ 15.61	\$ 15.77

<ul> <li>Investments at cost unaffiliated</li> <li>Investments at cost affiliated</li> </ul>	\$ \$	900,566,455 2,207,320	\$ \$	498,648,550 4.710,150	\$	180,889,379 2,565,273	\$	413,056,033 3,889,640	\$ 2 \$	203,481,588 2,535,160	\$	265,617,397 1,714,474
<sup>3</sup> Preferred Shares outstanding:	Ψ	2,207,320	Ψ	1,710,150	Ψ	2,303,273	Ψ	3,007,010	Ψ	2,333,100	Ψ	1,711,171
Par value \$0.05 per share						565						663
Par value \$0.10 per share		2,540		1,727				1,446		644		
4 Preferred Shares authorized		18,140		9,847		1,000,565		8,046		3,584		1,000,663
5 Common Shares outstanding		41,002,483		21,305,921		8,473,184		18,248,909		8,895,127		11,504,433
6 Par value per Common Share	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.10
7 Common Shares authorized		200 million		200 million		unlimited		200 million		200 million		unlimited

See Notes to Financial Statements.

44 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

# Statements of Operations

	BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc.	BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund,	BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality	BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund,	BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund,	BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality
Year Ended July 31, 2014	(MUC)	Inc. (MUJ)	Fund (MFT)	Inc. (MIY)	Inc. (MJI)	Fund (MPA)
Investment Income						
Interest	\$ 43,002,249	\$ 23,416,752	\$ 9,173,892	\$ 19,701,379	\$ 9,759,312	\$ 12,553,244
Income affiliated	206	2	683	2	7	
Total income	43,002,455	23,416,754	9,174,575	19,701,381	9,759,319	12,553,244
Expenses						
Investment advisory Liquidity fees	5,561,196	2,895,790 816,395	984,600	2,164,356	1,069,246 304,435	1,392,968
Accounting services	127,235	78,342	34,517	63,666	36,811	45,347
Professional	107,943	87,761	52,821	66,843	60,973	57,556
Officer and Directors	81,591	30,781	11,069	25,297	12,383	16,355
Custodian	42,695	27,314	13,278	23,869	12,929	16,201
Transfer agent	40,181	31,622	22,761	31,458	23,071	28,829
Printing	15,773	11,421	7,920	10,467	8,134	8,638
Registration	13,720	8,967	8,978	8,962	9,054	8,966
Remarketing fees on Preferred Shares		127,562			47,568	
Miscellaneous	86,523	65,238	59,339	59,374	61,956	59,666
Total expenses excluding interest expense, fees and						
amortization of offering costs	6,076,857	4,181,193	1,195,283	2,454,292	1,646,560	1,634,526
Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs <sup>1</sup>	3,611,786	1,035,187	794,875	1,606,798	427,185	927,307
Total avnances	9,688,643	5,216,380	1,990,158	4,061,090	2,073,745	2,561,833
Total expenses Less fees waived by Manager	(333,001)	(204,612)	(932)	(106)	(2,408)	(106)
Dess lees warved by Manager	(555,001)	(201,012)	(732)	(100)	(2,100)	(100)
Total expenses after fees waived	9,355,642	5,011,768	1,989,226	4,060,984	2,071,337	2,561,727
Net investment income	33,646,813	18,404,986	7,185,349	15,640,397	7,687,982	9,991,517
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)						
Net realized loss from:						
Investments	(4,185,803)	(3,483,900)	(4,220,643)	(5,935,201)	(1,698,932)	(1,581,394)
Financial futures contracts	(1,028,070)	(515,507)	(173,905)	(311,437)	(215,085)	(702,026)
	(5,213,873)	(3,999,407)	(4,394,548)	(6,246,638)	(1,914,017)	(2,283,420)
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation on:						
Investments	60,215,989	30,793,386	14,754,036	26,719,701	13,935,458	16,150,572
Financial futures contracts	98,963	87,799	33,835	59,960	36,404	(41,243)
	60,314,952	30,881,185	14,787,871	26,779,661	13,971,862	16,109,329
Net realized and unrealized gain	55,101,079	26,881,778	10,393,323	20,533,023	12,057,845	13,825,909
Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common						
Shareholders Resulting from Operations	\$ 88,747,892	\$ 45,286,764	\$ 17,578,672	\$ 36,173,420	\$ 19,745,827	\$ 23,817,426

<sup>1</sup> Related to TOBs, VRDP Shares and/or VMTP Shares.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 45

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders:	Quality Fund	Holdings California d, Inc. (MUC) ed July 31, 2013	BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MU, Year Ended July 31, 2014 2013			
Operations						
Net investment income	\$ 33,646,813	\$ 35,078,128	\$ 18,404,986	\$ 18,297,716		
Net realized gain (loss)	(5,213,873)	4,123,267	(3,999,407)	640,240		
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation	60,314,952	(78,639,908)	30,881,185	(43,197,563)		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders resulting from operations	g 88,747,892	(39,438,513)	45,286,764	(24,259,607)		
·	, ,	, , ,	,	, , ,		
Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders From <sup>1</sup>						
Net investment income	(35,180,130)	(38,222,539)	(18,919,661)	(18,910,036)		
Net realized gain			(107,719)			
Decrease in net assets resulting from dividends and distributions to Common Shareholders	(35,180,130)	(38,222,539)	(19,027,380)	(18,910,036)		
Conidat Share Transportions						
Capital Share Transactions Reinvestment of common dividends		1,852,754		497,797		
Reliivestificiit of Common dividends		1,632,734		491,191		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders						
Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders	53,567,762	(75,808,298)	26,259,384	(42,671,846)		
Beginning of year	595,268,919	671,077,217	309,165,361	351,837,207		
End of year	\$ 648,836,681	\$ 595,268,919	\$ 335,424,745	\$ 309,165,361		
Undistributed net investment income, end of year	\$ 7,238,325	\$ 8,922,327	\$ 4,839,680	\$ 5,341,924		

<sup>1</sup> Dividends and distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

46 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

# Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders:	Inves Quality Fu	MuniYield tment und (MFT) ed July 31, 2013	Mich Quality Fund	MuniYield nigan d, Inc. (MIY) ed July 31, 2013
Operations				
Net investment income	\$ 7,185,349	\$ 7,093,951	\$ 15,640,397	\$ 16,382,871
Net realized gain (loss)	(4,394,548)	/-	(6,246,638)	630,209
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation	14,787,871	(18,405,631)	26,779,661	(37,218,376)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders resulting from				
operations	17,578,672	(10,748,166)	36,173,420	(20,205,296)
Dividends to Common Shareholders From <sup>1</sup>				
Net investment income	(7,219,153)	(7,217,546)	(16,371,077)	(16,743,706)
Capital Share Transactions				
Reinvestment of common dividends		93,174		485,894
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders				
Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders	10,359,519	(17,872,538)	19,802,343	(36,463,108)
Beginning of year	115,287,419	133,159,957	258,340,943	294,804,051
End of year	\$ 125,646,938	\$ 115,287,419	\$ 278,143,286	\$ 258,340,943
	+,- :-,- :-	+,,	+ =	7 0,0 10,0 10
Undistributed net investment income, end of year	\$ 1,975,590	\$ 1,964,734	\$ 2,374,959	\$ 3,122,486
Dividends for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.				

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 47

# Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders:	BlackRock M Jer Quality Fund Year Endo 2014	rsey d, Inc. (MJI)	BlackRock MuniYie Quality Fun Year Ended 2014	d (MPA)
Operations				
Net investment income	\$ 7,687,982	\$ 7,643,570	\$ 9,991,517	\$ 10,296,569
Net realized loss	(1,914,017)	(311,747)	(2,283,420)	141,354
Net change in unrealized appreciation/depreciation	13,971,862	(17,628,493)	16,109,329	(22,994,319)
Distributions to VRDP Shareholders from net realized gain		(5,857)		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders resulting from operations	19,745,827	(10,302,527)	23,817,426	(12,556,396)
Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders From <sup>1</sup>				
Net investment income	(7,898,872)	(7,777,215)	(10,215,936)	(10,214,489)
Net realized gain	(38,170)	(254,189)		
Decrease in net assets resulting from dividends and distributions to Common				
Shareholders	(7,937,042)	(8,031,404)	(10,215,936)	(10,214,489)
Capital Share Transactions				
Reinvestment of common dividends		473,838		65,526
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders				
Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders	11,808,785	(17,860,093)	13,601,490	(22,705,359)
Beginning of year	127,081,884	144,941,977	167,857,126	190,562,485
End of year	\$ 138,890,669	\$ 127,081,884	\$ 181,458,616	\$ 167,857,126
Undistributed net investment income, end of year	\$ 2,375,083	\$ 2,577,866	\$ 1,741,993	\$ 2,030,860
Dividends and distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with fed regulations.	deral income tax			

See Notes to Financial Statements.

48 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

### Statements of Cash Flows

					BlackRock MuniYield	
	BlackRock MuniHoldings California	BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey	BlackRock	BlackRock MuniYield Michigan	New Jersey	BlackRock
	Quality	Quality	MuniYield Investment Quality	Quality	Quality	MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality
Year Ended July 31, 2014	Fund, Inc. (MUC)	Fund, Inc. (MUJ)	Fund (MFT)	Fund, Inc. (MIY)	Fund, Inc. (MJI)	Fund (MPA)
Cash Provided by Operating Activities  Net increase in net assets resulting from operations  Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets resulting from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ 88,747,892	\$ 45,286,764	\$ 17,578,672	\$ 36,173,420	\$ 19,745,827	\$ 23,817,426
(Increase) decrease in interest receivable Increase in variation margin receivable on financial futures	713,493	382,322	69,267	(381,497)	242,149	377,705
contracts (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses Increase in cash pledged for financial futures contracts Increase (decrease) in investment advisory fees payable	(19,264) 30,450 (562,000) (24,683)	(9,608) (21,719) (280,000) 14,669	(3,703) (22,929) (108,000) (2,490)	(6,562) (22,371) (210,000) 560	(3,984) (22,604) (158,000) 2,867	(6,656) (22,719) (175,000) (2,711)
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable Increase (decrease) in other accrued expenses payable	(54,562) (21,581)	(7,797) (139,583)	(7,154) 11,709	(4,578) (24,973)	(4,092) (32,359)	(11,909) 7,147
Increase (decrease) in Officer s and Directors fees payabl Net realized loss on investments Net unrealized gain on investments	e 48,447 4,185,803 (60,215,989)	243 3,483,900 (30,793,386)	(343) 4,220,643 (14,754,036)	61 5,935,201 (26,719,701)	(187) 1,698,932 (13,935,458)	(183) 1,581,394 (16,150,572)
Amortization of premium and accretion of discount on investments  Proceeds from sales of long-term investments	5,030,074 332,365,869	(226,909) 84,625,364	635,505 70,450,844	1,035,659 83,303,472	(407,456) 38,486,565	385,407 58,717,883
Purchases of long-term investments Net proceeds from sales (purchases) of short-term	(249,389,378)	(82,216,323)	(66,568,867)	(67,826,440)	(36,406,441)	(43,785,766)
securities	(1,705,357)	2,460,620	7,901,962	(3,409,973)	1,229,532	1,483,690
Net cash provided by operating activities	119,129,214	22,558,557	19,401,080	27,842,278	10,435,291	26,215,136
Cash Used for Financing Activities						
Proceeds from TOB trust certificates Repayments of TOB trust certificates Cash dividends paid to Common Shareholders Decrease in bank overdraft Amortization of deferred offering costs	(84,044,488) (35,180,130) (12,651) 108,055	(3,531,804) (19,027,380) (8,444) 9,071	(12,228,010) (7,219,153) (4,529) 50,612	95,000 (11,483,787) (16,453,198) (7,264) 6,971	(2,500,724) (7,937,042) (4,566) 7,041	4,701,559 (20,645,249) (10,215,936) (5,337) 6,065
Net cash used for financing activities	(119,129,214)	(22,558,557)	(19,401,080)	(27,842,278)	(10,435,291)	(26,158,898)
Cash Net increase in cash Cash at haringing of year						56,238
Cash at beginning of year  Cash at end of year						\$ 56,238
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information Cash paid during the year for interest and fees	\$ 3,558,293	\$ 1,033,913	\$ 751,417	\$ 1,604,405	\$ 424,236	\$ 933,151

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 49

# Financial Highlights

 $\label{eq:california} \begin{tabular}{ll} BlackRock\ MuniHoldings\ California\ Quality\ Fund,\ Inc. \\ \hline (MUC) \end{tabular}$ 

	2	Year Ended July 2014 2013 2012					2011	2010	
Per Share Operating Performance									
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$	14.52	\$	16.41	\$	14.27	\$ 14.55	\$	13.21
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Dividends to AMPS Shareholders from net investment income		0.82 1.34		0.86 (1.82)		0.95 2.13 (0.01)	0.97 (0.33) (0.02)		0.92 1.24 (0.03)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations		2.16		(0.96)		3.07	0.62		2.13
Dividends to Common Shareholders from net investment income <sup>2</sup>		(0.86)		(0.93)		(0.93)	(0.90)		(0.79)
Net asset value, end of year	\$	15.82	\$	14.52	\$	16.41	\$ 14.27	\$	14.55
Market price, end of year	\$	14.04	\$	13.31	\$	16.36	\$ 13.15	\$	14.04
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>3</sup> Based on net asset value	1	15.94%	(	(6.16)%	:	22.26%	4.88%		16.96%
Based on market price	1	12.25%	(1	3.71)%		32.27%	0.16%	:	22.40%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Total expenses		1.57%		1.64%		1.48%4	1.38%4		1.23%4
Total expenses after fees waived		1.51%		1.56%		1.39%4	1.25%4		1.12%4
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees, and amortization of offering costs <sup>5</sup>	ı	0.93%		0.92%		1.01%4,6	1.02%4		0.98%4
Net investment income		5.44%		5.27%		6.14%4	6.93%4		6.52%4
Dividends to AMPS shareholders						0.06%	0.16%		0.18%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		5.44%		5.27%		6.08%	6.77%		6.34%
Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of year (000)	\$ 6	648,837	\$ :	595,269	\$ 6	571,077	\$ 583,400	\$ 5	594,734
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)							\$ 254,000	\$ 2	254,000
VMTP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000)	\$ 2	254,000	\$ 2	254,000	\$ 2	254,000			
Portfolio turnover rate		25%		34%		46%	24%		25%
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)							\$ 82,421	\$	83,538
Asset coverage per VMTP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year	\$ 3	55,448	\$ 3	334,358	\$ 3	364,204			

	Based on average Common Snares outstanding.
2	Dividends for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.
3	Total investment returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of dividends and distributions.
4	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS shareholders.
5	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs related to TOBs and/or VMTP Shares. See Note 3 and Note 9 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VMTP Shares, respectively.
6	For the year ended July 31, 2012, the total expense ratio after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees, amortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 0.97%.
See	Notes to Financial Statements.
50	ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

# Financial Highlights

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.  $(MUJ) \label{eq:muj} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \end{subarray} \begin{subarray}{ll} \en$ 

	Year Ended July 31, 2014 2013 2012 20					2011 2010				
Per Share Operating Performance										
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$	14.51	\$	16.54	\$	14.73	\$	15.19	\$	14.40
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Dividends and distributions to AMPS shareholders from:		0.86 1.27		0.86 (2.00)		0.83 1.87		0.93 (0.47)		1.00 0.67
Net investment income Net realized gain								(0.03)		(0.03) $(0.00)^2$
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations		2.13		(1.14)		2.70		0.43		1.64
Dividends and distributions to Common Shareholders from: <sup>3</sup> Net investment income		(0.89)		(0.89)		(0.89)		(0.89)		(0.84)
Net realized gain		(0.01)		(0.07)		(0.05)		(0.07)		(0.01)
Total dividends and distributions to Common Shareholders		(0.90)		(0.89)		(0.89)		(0.89)		(0.85)
Net asset value, end of year	\$	15.74	\$	14.51	\$	16.54	\$	14.73	\$	15.19
Market price, end of year	\$	14.11	\$	13.30	\$	16.05	\$	13.74	\$	15.05
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>4</sup>										
Based on net asset value		15.79%		(7.19)%		18.96%	3.28%		11.95%	
Based on market price		13.24%	(	(12.33)%		23.76%		(2.77)%	19.37%	
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders										
Total expenses		1.64%		1.61%		1.81%		1.21%5		1.13%5
Total expenses after fees waived		1.57%		1.58%		1.78%		1.17%5		1.08%5
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization of offering ${\rm costs}^6$		1.25%7		1.33%7		1.43% <sup>7</sup>		1.11%5		1.05% <sup>5</sup>
Net investment income		5.78%		5.28%		5.28%		6.36%5		6.71%5
Dividends to AMPS shareholders								0.21%		0.22%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		5.78%		5.28%		5.28%		6.15%		6.49%
Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of year (000)	\$ 3	335,425	\$	309,165	\$ :	351,837	\$ 3	313,084	\$ 3	322,681
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)									\$ 1	72,700
VRDP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000)	\$ 1	72,700	\$	172,700	\$	172,700	\$	172,700		
Portfolio turnover rate		16%		10%		17%		12%		13%
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year									\$	71,713

Ass	set coverage per VRDP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year	\$ 294,224	\$ 279,019	\$ 303,727	\$ 281,288				
1	Based on average Common Shares outstanding.								
2	Amount is greater than \$(0.005) per share.								
3	Dividends and distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with f	ederal income t	ax regulations.						
4	Total investment returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of dividends and distributions.								
5	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS shareholders.								
6	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs related to TOBs and/or for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VRDP Shares, respective		See Note 3 and	Note 9 of the	Notes to Financial Statem	ients			
7	For the years ended July 31, 2014, July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012, the total ex amortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 0.95%, 0.93%			d excluding in	nterest expense, fees,				
See	Notes to Financial Statements.								
	ANNUAL REPORT		JUL	Y 31, 2014		51			

## Financial Highlights

### BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT)

		Year Ended July 2014 2013 2012				-	y 31, 2011			2010
Per Share Operating Performance										
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$	13.61	\$	15.73	\$	13.40	\$	13.87	\$	12.83
Net investment income <sup>1</sup>		0.05		0.94		0.97		0.01		0.02
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)		0.85 1.22		0.84 (2.11)		0.87 2.32		0.91 (0.49)		0.92 0.98
Dividends to AMPS shareholders from net investment income						(0.01)		(0.04)		(0.04)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations		2.07		(1.27)		3.18		0.38		1.86
Dividends to Common Shareholders from net investment income <sup>2</sup>		(0.85)		(0.85)		(0.85)		(0.85)		(0.82)
Net asset value, end of year	\$	14.83	\$	13.61	\$	15.73	\$	13.40	\$	13.87
Market price, end of year	\$	13.26	\$	12.20	\$	15.47	\$	12.39	\$	14.28
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>3</sup>										
Based on net asset value		16.40%		(8.41)%		24.51%		3.20%		14.99%
Based on market price		16.10%	(	(16.52)%		32.43%		(7.32)%		28.72%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders		1.650		1.700		1.500/4		1 22014		1.106/4
Total expenses		1.67%		1.72%		1.58%4		1.23%4		1.19%4
Total expenses after fees waived		1.67%		1.72%		1.58%4		1.23%4		1.19%4
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs <sup>5</sup>	f	1.00%		1.00%		1.08%4,6		1.11%4		1.09%4
onering costs		1.00%		1.00%		1.00%		1.11%		1.05 %
Net investment income		6.04%		5.36%		$5.94\%^{4}$		$6.91\%^{4}$		$6.80\%^{4}$
Dividends to AMPS shareholders						0.08%		0.28%		0.29%
Dividends to Alvips shareholders						0.08%		0.28%		0.29%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		6.04%		5.36%		5.86%		6.63%		6.51%
Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of year (000)	\$	125,647	\$	115,287	\$	133,160	\$	113,423	\$	117,341
							_		_	
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)							\$	56,525	\$	56,525
VMTP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000)	\$	56,500	\$	56,500	\$	56,500				
Portfolio turnover rate		32%		51%		43%		29%		38%
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)							\$	75,165	\$	76,900
Asset coverage per VMTP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year	\$	322,384	\$	304,049	\$	335,681				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on average Common Shares outstanding.

2	Dividends for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.
3	Total investment returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of dividends and distributions.
4	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS shareholders.
5	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs related to TOBs and/or VMTP Shares. See Note 3 and Note 9 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VMTP Shares, respectively.
6	For the year ended July 31, 2012, the total expense ratio after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees, amortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 1.05%.
C	Notes to Financial Statements.
366	Notes to Financial Statements.
52	ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

# Financial Highlights

 $\label{eq:continuity} \begin{tabular}{ll} BlackRock\ MuniYield\ Michigan\ Quality\ Fund,\ Inc. \\ \hline (MIY) \end{tabular}$ 

	Year Ended July 31, 2014 2013 2012 2011						2010			
Per Share Operating Performance										
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$	14.16	\$	16.18	\$	14.63	\$	14.92	\$	13.93
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)		0.86 1.12		0.90 (2.00)		0.87 1.61		0.93 (0.26)		0.98 0.94
Dividends to AMPS shareholders from net investment income								(0.04)		(0.05)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations		1.98		(1.10)		2.48		0.63		1.87
Dividends to Common Shareholders from net investment income <sup>2</sup>		(0.90)		(0.92)		(0.93)		(0.92)		(0.88)
Net asset value, end of year	\$	15.24	\$	14.16	\$	16.18	\$	14.63	\$	14.92
Market price, end of year	\$	13.47	\$	12.57	\$	16.05	\$	13.39	\$	14.55
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>3</sup>										
Based on net asset value	1	5.24%		(7.09)%		17.60%		4.78%		14.31%
Based on market price	1	4.74%	(1	16.86)%		27.46%	(	(1.67)%		26.76%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders										
Total expenses		1.54%		1.50%		1.72%		1.37%4		1.07%4
Total expenses after fees waived		1.54%		1.50%		1.72%		1.36%4		1.07%4
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization of offering ${\rm costs}^5$		0.93%		0.89%		1.38%6		1.23%4		1.03%4
Net investment income		5.94%		5.62%		5.65%		6.48%4		6.72%4
Dividends to AMPS shareholders								0.25%		0.31%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		5.94%		5.62%		5.65%		6.23%		6.41%
Supplemental Data										
Net assets applicable Common Shareholders, end of year (000)	\$ 2	78,143	\$ :	258,341	\$ 2	294,804	\$ 2	266,326	\$ 2	271,609
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)									\$	144,650
VRDP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000)	\$ 14	44,600	\$	144,600	\$ 1	144,600	\$ 1	144,600		
Portfolio turnover rate		16%		17%		19%		16%		15%
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year									\$	71,945
Asset coverage per VRDP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year	\$ 29	92,354	\$ :	278,659	\$ 3	303,876	\$ 2	284,181		

<sup>1</sup> Based on average Common Shares outstanding.

2	Dividends for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.
3	Total investment returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of dividends and distributions.
4	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS shareholders.
5	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs related to TOBs and/or VRDP Shares. See Note 3 and Note 9 of the Notes to Financial Statement for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VRDP Shares, respectively.
6	For the year ended July 31, 2012, the total expense ratio after fees waived and paid indirectly and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 0.98%.
See	Notes to Financial Statements.
	ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

53

# Financial Highlights

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

	2014		Year 2013	r Er	ided July 3 2012	1,	2011	:	2010
Per Share Operating Performance									
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$ 14.29	\$	16.35	\$	14.53	\$	15.00	\$	14.07
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to VRDP Shareholders from net realized gain Dividends and distributions to AMPS shareholders from:	0.86 1.35		0.86 (2.01) (0.00) <sup>2</sup>		0.82 1.89		0.91 (0.48)		0.98 0.94
Net investment income Net realized gain							(0.04)		(0.04) (0.01)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations	2.21		(1.15)		2.71		0.39		1.87
Dividends and distributions to Common Shareholders from: <sup>3</sup>									
Net investment income Net realized gain	(0.89) $(0.00)^2$		(0.88) (0.03)		(0.89)		(0.86)		(0.84) (0.10)
Total dividends and distributions to Common Shareholders	(0.89)		(0.91)		(0.89)		(0.86)		(0.94)
Net asset value, end of year	\$ 15.61	\$	14.29	\$	16.35	\$	14.53	\$	15.00
Market price, end of year	\$ 14.15	\$	13.27	\$	16.31	\$	13.16	\$	14.92
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders4	16 6464		(7.41)0/		10.220/		2.100		12.00%
Based on net asset value	16.64%		(7.41)%		19.32%		3.10%		13.90%
Based on market price	13.85%	(	13.81)%		31.42%		(6.12)%		24.34%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders									
Total expenses	1.58%		1.54%		1.71%5		1.13%5		1.06%5
Total expenses after fees waived	1.58%		1.53%		1.70%5		1.12%5		1.05%5
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization of offering ${\rm costs}^6$	1.25%7		1.29%7		1.38% <sup>5,7</sup>		1.08%5		1.02%5
Net investment income	5.86%		5.34%		5.31%5		6.32%5		6.64%5
Dividends to AMPS shareholders							0.31%		0.29%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders	5.86%		5.34%		5.31%		6.01%		6.35%
Supplemental Data									
Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of year (000)	\$ 138,891	\$	127,082	\$	144,942	\$	128,481	\$ :	132,281
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000)								\$	64,475
VRDP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000)	\$ 64,400	\$	64,400	\$	64,400	\$	64,400		
Portfolio turnover rate	17%		11%		21%		12%		12%

Ass	set coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year					\$ 76,29
Ass	set coverage per VRDP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year \$3	315,669	\$ 297,332	\$ 325,065	\$ 299,505	
1	Based on average Common Shares outstanding.					
2	Amount is greater than \$(0.005) per share.					
3	Dividends and distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with federal i	income tax	regulations.			
4	Total returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of d				y different retu	rns. Where
5	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS shareholders.					
6	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs related to TOBs and/or VRDP for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VRDP Shares, respectively.	Shares. S	ee Note 3 and N	ote 9 of the No	tes to Financial	l Statement
7	For the years ended July 31, 2014, July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2012, the total expense ramortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 0.98%, 0.93% and 0.000 and 0.000 are supported by the control of the cost of the			excluding inter	est expense, fee	es,
See	e Notes to Financial Statements.					
54	ANNUAL REPORT		JULY	31, 2014		

# Financial Highlights

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA)

2014 2013 2012 2011 20	10
Per Share Operating Performance	
	14.28
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> 0.87 0.90 0.85 0.92	0.92
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)  1.20 (1.99)  1.66 (0.38)	1.02
Dividends to AMPS Shareholders from net investment income (0.03)	(0.03)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations 2.07 (1.09) 2.51 0.51	1.91
Dividends to Common Shareholders from net investment income <sup>2</sup> $(0.89)$ $(0.89)$ $(0.91)$ $(0.92)$	(0.81)
Net asset value, end of year \$ 15.77 \$ 14.59 \$ 16.57 \$ 14.97 \$	15.38
Market price, end of year \$ 13.89 \$ 13.07 \$ 15.98 \$ 13.94 \$	15.26
warket price, end of year \$ 15.09 \$ 15.07 \$ 15.98 \$ 15.94 \$	13.20
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>3</sup>	
Based on net asset value 15.39% (6.78)% 17.34% 3.84% 14	.18%
Based on market price 13.45% (13.42)% 21.53% (2.55)% 25	.70%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders	
	.15%4
·	
Total expenses after fees waived 1.48% 1.53% 1.65% 1.36% <sup>4</sup> 1	.15%4
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees and amortization	
of offering $costs^5$ 0.94% 1.28% <sup>6</sup> 1.14% <sup>4</sup> 1	.00%4
N	170/4
Net investment income 5.79% 5.46% 5.38% 6.24% <sup>4</sup> 6	.17%4
Dividends to AMPS Shareholders 0.18% 0	.22%
Direction to Airi 5 Shareholders	.22 /0
Net investment income to Common Shareholders 5.79% 5.46% 5.38% 6.06% 5	.95%
Supplemental Data	
Net assets applicable Common Shareholders, end of year (000) \$ 181,459 \$ 167,857 \$ 190,562 \$ 171,938 \$ 17	5,530
ANTEGO 1'	. 250
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year (000) \$ 6	5,350
VRDP Shares outstanding at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year (000) \$ 66,300 \$ 66,300 \$ 66,300 \$ 66,300	
TADI Shares outstanding at \$100,000 hydridation value, end of year (000) \$00,500 \$00,500 \$00,500 \$00,500	
Portfolio turnover rate 16% 8% 23% 11%	6%
	5 /0
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of year \$9	1,517
Asset coverage per VRDP Shares at \$100,000 liquidation value, end of year \$ 373,693 \$ 353,178 \$ 387,425 \$ 359,333	

<sup>1</sup> Based on average Common Shares outstanding.

2	Dividends for annual periods determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations.
3	Total investment returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of dividends and distributions.
4	Does not reflect the effect of dividends to AMPS Shareholders.
5	Interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs relate to TOBs and/or VRDP Shares. See Note 3 and Note 9 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs and VRDP Shares, respectively.
6	For the year ended July 31, 2012, the total expense ratio after fees waived and excluding interest expense, fees, amortization of offering costs, liquidity and remarketing fees was 0.99%.
See Notes to Financial Statements.	
	ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 55

### Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1. Organization:

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC), BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ), BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT), BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY), BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY), and BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA) (collectively, the Funds) are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. MUC, MUJ, MIY and MJI are organized as Maryland corporations. MFT and MPA are organized as Massachusetts business trusts. The Boards of Directors and the Boards of Trustees of the Funds are collectively referred to throughout this report as the Board of Directors or the Board, and the directors/trustees thereof are collectively referred to throughout this report as Directors. The Funds determine and make available for publication the NAVs of their Common Shares on a daily basis.

#### 2. Significant Accounting Policies:

The Funds financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Each Fund is considered an investment company under U.S. GAAP and follows the accounting and reporting guidance applicable to investment companies. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds:

Valuation: U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price the Funds would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Funds determine the fair values of their financial instruments at market value using independent dealers or pricing services under policies approved by the Board. The BlackRock Global Valuation Methodologies Committee (the Global Valuation Committee ) is the committee formed by management to develop global pricing policies and procedures and to provide oversight of the pricing function for the Funds for all financial instruments.

Municipal investments (including commitments to purchase such investments on a when-issued basis) are valued on the basis of prices provided by dealers or pricing services. In determining the value of a particular investment, pricing services may use certain information with respect to transactions in such investments, quotations from dealers, pricing matrixes, market transactions in comparable investments and information with respect to various relationships between investments. Financial futures contracts traded on exchanges are valued at their last sale price. Investments in open-end registered investment companies are valued at NAV each business day.

In the event that the application of these methods of valuation results in a price for an investment that is deemed not to be representative of the market value of such investment, or if a price is not available, the investment will be valued by the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, in accordance with a policy approved by the Board as reflecting fair value ( Fair Value Assets ). When determining the price for Fair Value Assets, the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, seeks to determine the price that each Fund might reasonably expect to receive from the current sale of that asset in an arm s-length transaction. Fair value determinations shall be based upon all available factors that the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, deems relevant consistent with the principles of fair value measurement. The pricing of all Fair Value Assets is subsequently reported to the Board or a committee thereof on a quarterly basis.

Segregation and Collateralization: In cases where a Fund enters into certain investments (e.g., financial futures contracts) or certain borrowings (e.g., TOBs) that would be senior securities for 1940 Act purposes, the Fund may segregate or designate on its books and records cash or liquid securities having a market value at least equal to the amount of the Fund s future obligations under such investments or borrowings. Doing so allows the investment or borrowing to be excluded from treatment as a senior security. Furthermore, if required by an exchange or counterparty agreement, the Fund may be required to deliver/deposit cash and/or securities to/with an exchange, or broker-dealer or custodian as collateral for certain investments or obligations.

Investment Transactions and Investment Income: For financial reporting purposes, investment transactions are recorded on the dates the transactions are entered into (the trade dates). Realized gains and losses on investment transactions are determined on the identified cost basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Interest income, including amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on debt securities, is recognized on the accrual basis.

Dividends and Distributions: Dividends from net investment income are declared and paid monthly. Distributions of capital gains are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The character and timing of dividends and distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP. Dividends and distributions to Preferred Shareholders are accrued and determined as described in Note 9.

Deferred Compensation Plan: Under the Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan ) approved by each Fund s Board, the independent Directors (Independent Directors ) may defer a portion of their annual complex-wide compensation. Deferred amounts earn an approximate return as though equivalent dollar amounts had been invested in common shares of certain other BlackRock Closed-End Funds selected by the Independent Directors. This has the same economic effect for the Independent Directors as if the Independent Directors had invested the deferred amounts directly in certain other BlackRock Closed-End Funds.

56 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

The Plan is not funded and obligations thereunder represent general unsecured claims against the general assets of each Fund. Deferred compensation liabilities are included in officer s and directors fees payable in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities and will remain as a liability of the Funds until such amounts are distributed in accordance with the Plan.

Recent Accounting Standard: In June 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance to improve the financial reporting of reverse repurchase agreements and other similar transactions. The guidance will require expanded disclosure for entities that enter into reverse repurchase agreements and similar transactions accounted for as secured borrowings. It is effective for financial statements with fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Management is evaluating the impact, if any, of this guidance on the Funds financial statement disclosures.

Other: Expenses directly related to a Fund are charged to that Fund. Other operating expenses shared by several funds are pro-rated among those funds on the basis of relative net assets or other appropriate methods.

The Funds have an arrangement with the custodian whereby fees may be reduced by credits earned on uninvested cash balances, which, if applicable, are shown as fees paid indirectly in the Statements of Operations. The custodian imposes fees on overdrawn cash balances, which can be offset by accumulated credits earned or may result in additional custody charges.

#### 3. Securities and Other Investments:

Zero-Coupon Bonds: The Funds may invest in zero-coupon bonds, which are normally issued at a significant discount from face value and do not provide for periodic interest payments. Zero-coupon bonds may experience greater volatility in market value than similar maturity debt obligations which provide for regular interest payments.

Forward Commitments and When-Issued Delayed Delivery Securities: The Funds may purchase securities on a when-issued basis and may purchase or sell securities on a forward commitment basis. Settlement of such transactions normally occurs within a month or more after the purchase or sale commitment is made. The Funds may purchase securities under such conditions with the intention of actually acquiring them, but may enter into a separate agreement to sell the securities before the settlement date. Since the value of securities purchased may fluctuate prior to settlement, the Funds may be required to pay more at settlement than the security is worth. In addition, the Funds are not entitled to any of the interest earned prior to settlement. When purchasing a security on a delayed delivery basis, the Funds assume the rights and risks of ownership of the security, including the risk of price and yield fluctuations. In the event of default by the counterparty, the Funds maximum amount of loss is the unrealized appreciation of unsettled when-issued transactions, which is shown in the Schedules of Investments.

Municipal Bonds Transferred to TOBs: The Funds leverage their assets through the use of TOBs. A TOB is a special purpose entity established by a third party sponsor, into which a fund, or an agent on behalf of a fund, transfers municipal bonds into a trust ( TOB Trust ). Other funds managed by the investment advisor may also contribute municipal bonds to a TOB into which a Fund has contributed bonds. A TOB typically issues two classes of beneficial interests: short-term floating rate certificates ( TOB Trust Certificates ), which are sold to third party investors, and residual certificates ( TOB Residuals ), which are generally issued to the participating funds that contributed the municipal bonds to the TOB Trust. If multiple funds participate in the same TOB, the rights and obligations under the TOB Residual will be shared among the funds ratably in proportion to their participation

The TOB Residuals held by a Fund include the right of a Fund (1) to cause the holders of a proportional share of the TOB Trust Certificates to tender their certificates at par plus accrued interest upon the occurrence of certain mandatory tender events defined in the TOB agreements, and (2) to transfer, subject to a specified number of days prior notice, a corresponding share of the municipal bonds from the TOB to a Fund. The TOB may also be collapsed without the consent of a Fund, as the TOB Residual holder, upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the TOB agreements. Such termination events may include the bankruptcy or default of the municipal bond, a substantial downgrade in credit quality of the municipal bond, the inability of the TOB to obtain renewal of the liquidity support agreement, a substantial decline in market value of the municipal bond and a judgment or ruling that interest on the municipal bond is subject to federal income taxation. Upon the occurrence of a termination event, the TOB would generally be liquidated in full with the proceeds typically applied first to any accrued fees owed to the trustee, remarketing agent and liquidity provider, and then to the holders of the TOB Trust Certificates up to par plus accrued interest owed on the TOB Trust Certificates, with the balance paid out to the TOB Residual holder. During the year ended July 31, 2014, no TOBs in which the Funds participated were terminated without the consent of the Funds.

The cash received by the TOB from the sale of the TOB Trust Certificates, less transaction expenses, is paid to a Fund. The Funds typically invest the cash received in additional municipal bonds. Each Fund s transfer of the municipal bonds to a TOB Trust is accounted for as a secured borrowing; therefore, the municipal bonds deposited into a TOB are presented in the Funds Schedules of Investments and the TOB Trust

Certificates are shown in other liabilities in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. The carrying amount of each Fund s payable to the holder of the TOB Trust Certificates, as reported in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities as TOB Trust Certificates, approximates its fair value.

The Funds may invest in TOBs on either a non-recourse or recourse basis. TOB Trusts are typically supported by a liquidity facility provided by a bank or other financial institution (the Liquidity Provider ) that allows the holders of the TOB Trust Certificates to tender their certificates in exchange for payment from the Liquidity Provider of par plus accrued interest on any business day prior to the occurrence of the termination events described above. When a

Fund invests in TOBS on a non-recourse basis, and the Liquidity Provider is required to make a payment under the liquidity facility due to a termination event, the Liquidity Provider will typically liquidate all or a portion of the municipal securities held in the TOB Trust and then fund, on a net basis, the balance, if any, of the amount owed under the liquidity facility over the liquidation proceeds (the Liquidation Shortfall). If a Fund invests in a TOB on a recourse basis, the Funds will typically enter into a reimbursement agreement with the Liquidity Provider where the Funds is required to repay the Liquidity Provider the amount of any Liquidation Shortfall. As a result, a Fund investing in a recourse TOB will bear the risk of loss with respect to any Liquidation Shortfall. If multiple Funds participate in any such TOB, these losses will be shared ratably, including the maximum potential amounts owed by the Funds at July 31, 2014, in proportion to their participation. The recourse TOB Trusts are identified in the Schedules of Investments including the maximum potential amounts owed by the Funds at July 31, 2014.

Interest income, including amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts, from the underlying municipal bonds is recorded by the Funds on an accrual basis. Interest expense incurred on the secured borrowing and other expenses related to remarketing, administration and trustee services to a TOB are shown as interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations. The TOB Trust Certificates have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender such certificates to the TOB for redemption at par at each reset date. At July 31, 2014, the aggregate value of the underlying municipal bonds transferred to TOBs, the related liability for TOB Trust Certificates and the range of interest rates on the liability for TOB Trust Certificates were as follows:

	U	nderlying		
	N	<b>Aunicipal</b>	Liability for	
	Tra	Bonds insferred to	TOB Trust	Range of
		TOBs	Certificates	<b>Interest Rates</b>
MUC	\$	188,572,615	\$ 88,271,444	0.06% - 0.14%
MUJ	\$	64,495,036	\$ 34,699,311	0.06% - 0.13%
MFT	\$	38,663,211	\$ 20,283,757	0.06% - 0.31%
MIY	\$	47,808,301	\$ 23,487,000	0.04% - 0.21%
MJI	\$	32,819,914	\$ 18,044,269	0.06% - 0.13%
MPA	\$	69,592,822	\$ 37,066,212	0.07% - 0.18%

For the year ended July 31, 2014, the Funds average TOB Trust Certificates outstanding and the daily weighted average interest rate, including fees, were as follows:

	Average TOB	
	Trust	Daily Weighted
	Certificates	Average
	Outstanding	Interest Rate
MUC	\$ 138,395,091	0.58%
MUJ	\$ 35,118,477	0.66%
MFT	\$ 21,403,900	0.68%
MIY	\$ 24,835,072	0.55%
MJI	\$ 18,253,985	0.69%
MPA	\$ 39.661.319	0.63%

Should short-term interest rates rise, the Funds investments in TOBs may adversely affect the Funds net investment income and dividends to Common Shareholders. Also, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB Trust may adversely affect the Funds NAVs per share.

#### 4. Derivative Financial Instruments:

The Funds engage in various portfolio investment strategies using derivative contracts both to increase the returns of the Funds and/or to economically hedge their exposure to certain risks such as interest rate risk. These contracts may be transacted on an exchange or over-the-counter (OTC).

Financial Futures Contracts: The Funds purchase and/or sell financial futures contracts and options on financial futures contracts to gain exposure to, or economically hedge against, changes in interest rates (interest rate risk). Financial futures contracts are agreements between the Funds and a counterparty to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying instrument at a specified price and at a specified date. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, financial futures contracts are settled either through physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date.

Upon entering into a financial futures contract, the Funds are required to deposit initial margin with the broker in the form of cash or securities in an amount that varies depending on a contract size and risk profile. The initial margin deposit must then be maintained at an established level over the life of the contract. Securities deposited as initial margin are designated on the Schedules of Investments and cash deposited, if any, is recorded on the Statements of Assets and Liabilities as cash pledged for financial futures contracts. Pursuant to the contract, the Funds agree to receive from or pay to the broker an amount of cash equal to the daily fluctuation in value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin. Variation margin is recorded by the Funds as unrealized appreciation or depreciation and, if applicable, as a receivable or payable for variation margin in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

When the contract is closed, the Funds record a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed. The use of financial futures contracts involves the risk of an imperfect correlation in the movements in the price of financial futures contracts, interest or foreign currency exchange rates and the underlying assets.

The following is a summary of the Funds derivative financial instruments categorized by risk exposure:

#### Fair Values of Derivative Financial Instruments as of July 31, 2014

		Value					
		MUC	MUJ	MFT			
	Statements of Assets and Liabilities	<b>Derivative Derivative</b>	<b>Derivative Derivative</b>	Derivative Derivative			
	Location	Assets Liabilities	Assets Liabilities	Assets Liabilities			
Interest rate contracts	Net unrealized appreciation/depreciation <sup>1</sup>	\$ 98,963	\$ 87,799	\$ 33,835			
		MIY	MJI	MPA			
		<b>Derivative Derivative</b>	<b>Derivative Derivative</b>	Derivative Derivative			
		Assets Liabilities	Assets Liabilities	Assets Liabilities			
Interest rate contracts	Net unrealized appreciation/depreciation <sup>1</sup>	\$ 59,960	\$ 36,404	\$ 12,698 \$ (53,941)			

Includes cumulative appreciation/depreciation on financial futures contracts as reported in the Schedules of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

#### The Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments in the Statements of Operations

		Year Ended July	y 31, 2014			
	Net R	ealized Loss Fro	om	Net Change in Uni	realized Appreciati	on/Depreciation on
	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MUC	MUJ	MFT
Interest rate contracts:						
Financial futures contracts	\$ (1,028,070)	\$ (515,507)	\$ (173,905)	\$ 98,963	\$ 87,799	\$ 33,835
	MIY	MJI	MPA	MIY	MJI	MPA
Interest rate contracts:						
Financial futures contracts	\$ (311,437)	\$ (215,085)	\$ (702,026)	\$ 59,960	\$ 36,404	\$ (41,243)

For the year ended July 31, 2014, the average quarterly balances of outstanding derivative financial instruments were as follows:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Financial futures contracts:						
Average number of contracts sold	453	156	93	104	66	158
Average notional value of contracts sold	\$ 56,777,832	\$ 19,527,441	\$ 11,633,230	\$ 12,978,262	\$ 8,281,895	\$ 19,754,957
Counterparty Credit Risk: A derivative cont	ract may suffer a m	ark_to_market los	s if the value of t	he contract decre	eases due to an i	ınfavorahle

Counterparty Credit Risk: A derivative contract may suffer a mark-to-market loss if the value of the contract decreases due to an unfavorable change in the market rates or values of the underlying instrument. Losses can also occur if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

A Fund s risk of loss from counterparty credit risk on OTC derivatives is generally limited to the aggregate unrealized gain netted against any collateral held by such Fund.

With exchange-traded futures, there is less counterparty credit risk to the Funds since the exchange or clearinghouse, as counterparty to such instruments, guarantees against a possible default. The clearinghouse stands between the buyer and the seller of the contract; therefore, credit risk is limited to failure of the clearinghouse. While offset rights may exist under applicable law, a Fund does not have a contractual right of offset against a clearing broker or clearinghouse in the event of a default (including the bankruptcy or insolvency) of the clearing broker or clearinghouse. Additionally, credit risk exists in exchange-traded futures with respect to initial and variation margin that is held in a clearing broker s customer accounts. While clearing brokers are required to segregate customer margin from their own assets, in the event that a clearing

broker becomes insolvent or goes into bankruptcy and at that time there is a shortfall in the aggregate amount of margin held by the clearing broker for all its clients, typically the shortfall would be allocated on a pro rata basis across all the clearing broker s customers, potentially resulting in losses to the Funds.

#### 5. Investment Advisory Agreement and Other Transactions with Affiliates:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. is the largest stockholder and an affiliate, for 1940 Act purposes, of BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock).

Each Fund entered into an Investment Advisory Agreement with BlackRock Advisors, LLC (the Manager), the Funds investment advisor, an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of BlackRock, to provide investment advisory and administration services. The Manager is responsible for the management of each Fund s portfolio and provides the necessary personnel, facilities, equipment and certain other services to the operations of each Fund. For such services, each Fund pays the Manager a monthly fee based on a percentage of each Fund s average daily net assets at the following annual rates:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Investment advisory fee	0.55%	0.55%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%

Average daily net assets are the average daily value of each Fund s total assets minus its total accrued liabilities.

The Manager voluntarily agreed to waive its investment advisory fees by the amount of investment advisory fees each Fund pays to the Manager indirectly through its investment in affiliated money market funds. However, the Manager does not waive its investment advisory fees by the amount of investment advisory fees paid in connection with each Fund s investment in other affiliated investment companies, if any. These amounts are included in fees waived by Manager in the Statements of Operations. For the year ended July 31, 2014, the amounts waived were:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Amounts waived	\$ 3.985	\$ 5,345	\$ 932	\$ 106	\$ 2,408	\$ 106

The Manager, for MUC and MUJ, voluntarily agreed to waive its investment advisory fee on the proceeds of the Preferred Shares and TOBs that exceed 35% of total assets minus the sum of its accrued liabilities. This amount is included in fees waived by Manager in the Statements of Operations. For the year ended July 31, 2014, the waivers were:

MUC \$329,016 MUJ \$199,267

Prior to July 1, 2014, BlackRock Investment Management, LLC (BIM), an affiliate of the Manager, served as a sub-advisor to each Fund pursuant to sub-advisory agreements with the Manager, and received for its services a monthly fee from the Manager at an annual rate equal to a percentage of the investment advisory fees paid by each Fund to the Manager under the Investment Advisory Agreements. Effective July 1, 2014, the sub-advisory agreements between the Manager and BIM, with respect to each Fund, expired.

Certain officers and/or Directors of the Funds are officers and/or directors of BlackRock or its affiliates. The Funds reimburse the Manager for compensation paid to the Funds Chief Compliance Officer, which is included in officer and directors in the Statements of Operations.

The Funds may purchase securities from, or sell securities to, an affiliated fund provided the affiliation is due solely to having a common investment advisor, common officers, or common trustees. For the year ended July 31, 2014, the purchase and sale transactions with an affiliated fund in compliance with Rule 17a-7 under the 1940 Act were as follows:

	MUC	MFT
Purchases	\$ 1,567,211	
Sales		\$ 1,906,715

#### 6. Purchases and Sales:

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding short-term securities, for the year ended July 31, 2014 were as follows:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Purchases	\$ 250,452,318	\$ 82,216,323	\$ 61,410,971	\$ 67,826,440	\$ 36,406,441	\$ 43,785,766
Sales	\$ 337 974 257	\$ 84 625 364	\$ 68 792 391	\$ 81 674 466	\$ 38 486 565	\$ 58 305 350

#### 7. Income Tax Information:

It is each Fund s policy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, applicable to regulated investment companies, and to distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.

Each Fund files U.S. federal and various state and local tax returns. No income tax returns are currently under examination. The statute of limitations on the Funds U.S. federal tax returns remains open for each of the four years ended July 31, 2014. The statutes of limitations on each Fund s state and local tax returns may remain open for an additional year depending upon the jurisdiction.

Management has analyzed tax laws and regulations and their application the Funds facts and circumstances and does not believe that there are any uncertain tax positions that require recognition of a tax liability.

U.S. GAAP requires that certain components of net assets be adjusted to reflect permanent differences between financial and tax reporting. These reclassifications have no effect on net assets or net asset values per share. As of July 31, 2014, the following permanent differences attributable to amortization methods on fixed income securities, non-deductible expenses, the reclassification of distributions and distributions received from a regulated investment company were reclassified to the following accounts:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Paid-in capital	\$ (112,615)	\$ (12,171)	\$ (51,627)	\$ (9,567)	\$ (8,196)	\$ (7,257)
Undistributed net investment income	\$ (150,685)	\$ 12,431	\$ 44,660	\$ (16,847)	\$ 8,107	\$ (64,448)
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 263,300	\$ (260)	\$ 6.967	\$ 26.414	\$ 89	\$ 71.705

The tax character of distributions paid was as follows:

		MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Tax-exempt income <sup>1</sup>	7/31/14	\$ 37,867,090	\$ 19,712,925	\$ 7,817,944	\$ 17,810,213	\$ 8,194,726	\$ 10,885,337
	7/31/13	41,098,361	19,503,101	7,857,658	18,306,103	7,934,464	10,932,517
Ordinary income <sup>2</sup>	7/31/14	4,953			21,887	39,156	101
•	7/31/13	1,849			3,619	121,948	
Long-term capital gains <sup>3</sup>	7/31/14		110,815				
	7/31/13					203,299	
Total	7/31/14	\$ 37,872,043	\$ 19,823,740	\$ 7,817,944	\$ 17,832,100	\$ 8,233,882	\$ 10,885,438
	7/31/13	\$ 41.100.210	\$ 19.503.101	\$ 7.857.658	\$ 18.309.722	\$ 8.259.711	\$ 10.932.517

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Undistributed tax-exempt income	\$ 7,328,987	\$ 4,069,637	\$ 1,876,211	\$ 2,312,339	\$ 2,028,350	\$ 1,416,369
Undistributed ordinary income	175	2,989	215		2,047	2,914
Capital loss carryforwards	(13,209,170)	(3,805,742)	(10,745,672)	(9,953,666)	(2,198,376)	(3,459,717)
Net unrealized gains (losses) <sup>4</sup>	68,710,392	36,048,146	17,126,318	24,530,062	13,792,616	14,162,566
Qualified late-year losses <sup>5</sup>		(105,154)	(623,013)	(81,936)	(90,044)	(849,251)
Total	\$ 62,830,384	\$ 36,209,876	\$ 7,634,059	\$ 16,806,799	\$ 13,534,593	\$ 11,272,881

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The difference between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized gains was attributable primarily to the tax deferral of losses on wash sales, and straddles, amortization methods of premiums and discounts on fixed income securities, the realization for tax purposes of unrealized gains/losses on certain futures contracts, the treatment of residual interests in tender option bond trusts and the deferral of compensation to Directors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Funds designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2014, as exempt-interest dividends.

Ordinary income consists primarily of taxable income recognized from market discount and net short-term capital gains. Additionally, all ordinary income distributions are comprised of interest-related dividends and qualified short-term capital dividends for non-U.S. residents and are eligible for exemption from U.S. withholding tax for nonresident aliens and foreign corporations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Fund designates the amount paid during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2014, as a capital gain dividend. As of July 31, 2014, the tax components of accumulated net earnings were as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Funds have elected to defer certain qualified late-year losses and recognize such losses in the next taxable year.

As of July 31, 2014, the Funds had capital loss carryforwards available to offset future realized capital gains through the indicated expiration dates as follows:

Expires July 31,	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
2016				\$ 1,401,889		
2017	\$ 6,504,940			2,031,132		\$ 1,066,968
2018			\$ 4,616,682			893,908
2019						50,303
No expiration <sup>6</sup>	6,704,230	\$ 3,805,742	6,128,990	6,520,645	\$ 2,198,376	1,448,538
_						
Total	\$ 13,209,170	\$ 3,805,742	\$ 10,745,672	\$ 9,953,666	\$ 2,198,376	\$ 3,459,717

As of July 31, 2014, gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation based on cost for federal income tax purposes were as follows:

	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
Tax cost	\$ 814,552,102	\$ 468,963,618	\$ 163,431,449	\$ 393,508,995	\$ 188,326,894	\$ 231,005,973
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 69,052,605	\$ 37,325,099	\$ 17,313,877	\$ 26,636,935	\$ 14,490,688	\$ 15,691,674
Gross unrealized depreciation	(82,067)	(1,276,952)	(185,907)	(1,443,630)	(698,039)	(1,113,759)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 68,970,538	\$ 36,048,147	\$ 17,127,970	\$ 25,193,305	\$ 13,792,649	\$ 14,577,915

#### 8. Concentration, Market and Credit Risk:

MUC, MUJ, MIY, MJI, and MPA invest a substantial amount of their assets in issuers located in a single state or limited number of states. Please see the Schedules of Investments for concentrations in specific states or U.S. territories.

Many municipalities insure repayment of their bonds, which may reduce the potential for loss due to credit risk. The market value of these bonds may fluctuate for other reasons, including market perception of the value of such insurance, and there is no guarantee that the insurer will meet its obligation.

In the normal course of business, the Funds invest in securities and enter into transactions where risks exist due to fluctuations in the market (market risk) or failure of the issuer of a security to meet all its obligations (issuer credit risk). The value of securities held by the Funds may decline in response to certain events, including those directly involving the issuers whose securities are owned by the Funds; conditions affecting the general economy; overall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Must be utilized prior to losses subject to expiration.

market changes; local, regional or global political, social or economic instability; and currency and interest rate and price fluctuations. Similar to issuer credit risk, the Funds may be exposed to counterparty credit risk, or the risk that an entity with which the Funds have unsettled or open transactions may fail to or be unable to perform on its commitments. The Funds manage counterparty credit risk by entering into transactions only with counterparties that they believe have the financial resources to honor their obligations and by monitoring the financial stability of those counterparties. Financial assets, which potentially expose the Funds to market, issuer and counterparty credit risks, consist principally of financial instruments and receivables due from counterparties. The extent of the Funds exposure to market, issuer and counterparty credit risks with respect to these financial assets is generally approximated by their value recorded in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities, less any collateral held by the Funds.

The Funds invest a significant portion of their assets in fixed-income securities and/or uses derivatives tied to the fixed income markets. See the Schedules of Investments for these securities and/or derivatives. Changes in market interest rates or economic conditions, including the Federal Reserve s decision in December 2013 to taper its quantitative easing policy, may affect the value and/or liquidity of such investments. Interest rate risk is the risk that prices of bonds and other fixed-income securities will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. The Funds may be subject to a greater risk of rising interest rates due to the current period of historically low rates.

As of July 31, 2014, MUC and MPA invested a significant portion of their assets in securities in the county/city/special district/school district sector. MIY and MJI invested a significant portion of their assets in securities in the education sector. MUJ invested a significant portion of their assets in securities in the state sector. MUJ, MFT and MJI invested a significant portion of their assets in securities in the transportation sector. MUC and MFT also invested a significant portion of their assets in securities in the utilities sector. Changes in economic conditions affecting such sectors would have a greater impact on the Funds and could affect the value, income and/or liquidity of positions in such securities.

The Funds may hold a significant amount of bonds subject to calls by the issuers at defined dates and prices. When bonds are called by issuers and the Funds reinvest the proceeds received, such investments may be in securities with lower yields than the bonds originally held, and correspondingly, could adversely impact the yield and total return performance of a fund.

On December 10, 2013, regulators published final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule ), which prohibit banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading of certain instruments and limit such entities investments in, and relationships with, covered funds , as defined in the rules. Banking entities subject to the Volcker Rule are required to fully comply by July 21, 2015. The Volcker Rule may preclude banking entities and their affiliates from (i) sponsoring TOB trust programs (as such programs are presently structured) and (ii) continuing relationships with or services for existing TOB trust programs. As a result, TOB trusts may need to be restructured or unwound. There can be no assurances that TOB trusts can be restructured, that new sponsors of TOB trusts will develop, or that alternative forms of leverage will be available to the Fund. Any alternative forms of leverage may be more or less advantageous to the Fund than existing TOB leverage.

TOB transactions constitute an important component of the municipal bond market. Accordingly, implementation of the Volcker Rule may adversely impact the municipal market, including through reduced demand for and liquidity of municipal bonds and increased financing costs for municipal issuers. Any such developments could adversely affect the Fund. The ultimate impact of these rules on the TOB market and the overall municipal market is not yet certain.

#### 9. Capital Share Transactions:

MFT and MPA are authorized to issue an unlimited number of shares, all of which were initially classified as Common Shares. The par value for each Fund s Common Shares is \$0.10. The par value for each Fund s Preferred Shares outstanding is \$0.05. Each Fund s Board is authorized, however, to reclassify any unissued Common Shares to Preferred Shares without approval of Common Shareholders.

MUC, MUJ, MIY and MJI are authorized to issue 200 million shares, par value \$0.10 per share, all of which were initially classified as Common Shares. Each Fund s Board is authorized, however, to reclassify any unissued Common Shares without approval of Common Shareholders.

#### Common Shares

For the years shown, shares issued and outstanding increased by the following amounts as a result of dividend reinvestment:

Year Ended	MUC	MUJ	MFT	MIY	MJI	MPA
July 31, 2014						
July 31, 2013	111,790	29,853	5,789	29,750	28,723	3,912
Preferred Shares						

Each Fund s Preferred Shares rank prior to the Fund s Common Shares as to the payment of dividends by the Fund and distribution of assets upon dissolution or liquidation of the Fund. The 1940 Act prohibits the declaration of any dividend on the Fund s Common Shares or the repurchase of the Fund s Common Shares if the Fund fails to maintain the asset coverage of at least 200% of the liquidation preference of the outstanding Preferred Shares. In addition, pursuant to the Preferred Shares governing instruments, the Fund is restricted from declaring and paying dividends on classes of shares ranking junior to or on parity with the Preferred Shares or repurchasing such shares if the Fund fails to declare and pay dividends on the Preferred Shares, redeem any Preferred Shares required to be redeemed under the Preferred Shares governing instruments or comply with the basic maintenance amount requirement of the agencies rating the Preferred Shares.

The holders of Preferred Shares have voting rights equal to the holders of Common Shares (one vote per share) and will vote together with holders of Common Shares (one vote per share) as a single class. However, the holders of Preferred Shares, voting as a separate class, are also entitled to elect two Directors for each Fund. In addition, the 1940 Act requires that along with approval by shareholders that might otherwise be required, the approval of the holders of a majority of any outstanding Preferred Shares, voting separately as a class would be required to (a) adopt any plan of reorganization that would adversely affect the Preferred Shares, (b) change a Fund s sub-classification as a closed-end investment company or change its fundamental investment restrictions or (c) change its business so as to cease to be an investment company.

#### **VRDP Shares**

MUJ, MIY, MJI and MPA (collectively, the VRDP Funds ), have issued Series W-7 VRDP Shares, \$100,000 liquidation value per share, in a privately negotiated offering. The VRDP Shares were offered to qualified institutional buyers as defined pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the Securities Act ) and include a liquidity feature, pursuant to a liquidity agreement, that allows the holders of VRDP Shares to have their shares purchased by the liquidity provider in the event of a failed remarketing. The VRDP Funds are required to redeem the VRDP Shares owned by the liquidity provider after six months of continuous, unsuccessful remarketing. Upon the occurrence of the first unsuccessful remarketing, the VRDP Funds are required to segregate liquid assets to fund the redemption. The VRDP Shares are subject to certain restrictions on transfer.

The VRDP Shares outstanding as of July 31, 2014 were as follows:

	Issue Date	Shares Issued	Aggrega	ate Principal	<b>Maturity Date</b>
MUJ	6/30/11	1,727	\$	172,700,000	7/01/41
MIY	4/21/11	1,446	\$	144,600,000	5/01/41
MJI	6/30/11	644	\$	64,400,000	7/01/41
MPA	5/19/11	663	\$	66 300 000	6/01/41

The VRDP Funds entered into a fee agreement with the liquidity provider that may require an initial commitment and a per annum liquidity fee payable to the liquidity provider. These fees, if applicable, are shown as liquidity fees in the Statements of Operations.

The fee agreement between MUJ, MIY, MJI and MPA and the liquidity provider are scheduled to expire, unless renewed or terminated in advance, as follows:

	MUJ	MIY	MJI	MPA
Expiration date	4/19/17	7/09/15	4/19/17	7/09/15

In the event the fee agreement is not renewed or is terminated in advance, and the VRDP Funds do not enter into a fee agreement with an alternate liquidity provider, the VRDP Shares will be subject to mandatory purchase by the liquidity provider prior to the termination of the fee agreement. The VRDP Funds are required to redeem any VRDP Shares purchased by the liquidity provider six months after the purchase date. Immediately after the purchase of any VRDP Shares by the liquidity provider, the VRDP Funds are required to begin to segregate liquid assets with the VRDP Fund s custodian to fund the redemption. There is no assurance the VRDP Funds will replace such redeemed VRDP Shares with any other preferred shares or other form of leverage.

Each VRDP Fund is required to redeem its VRDP Shares on the maturity date, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased. Six months prior to the maturity date, each VRDP Fund is required to begin to segregate liquid assets with the Fund scustodian to fund the redemption. In addition, each VRDP Fund is required to redeem certain of its outstanding VRDP Shares if it fails to maintain certain asset coverage, basic maintenance amount or leverage requirements.

Subject to certain conditions, the VRDP Shares may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time at the option of the VRDP Funds. The redemption price per VRDP Share is equal to the liquidation value per share plus any outstanding unpaid dividends. In the event of an optional redemption of the VRDP Shares prior to the initial termination date of the fee agreement, the VRDP Funds must pay the respective liquidity provider fees on such redeemed VRDP Shares for the remaining term of the fee agreement up to the initial termination date.

Dividends on the VRDP Shares are payable monthly at a variable rate set weekly by the remarketing agent. Such dividend rates are generally based upon a spread over a base rate and cannot exceed a maximum rate. In the event of a failed remarketing, the dividend rate of the VRDP Shares will be reset to a maximum rate. The maximum rate is determined based on, among other things, the long-term preferred share rating assigned to the VRDP Shares and the length of time that the VRDP Shares fail to be remarketed. At the date of issuance, the VRDP Shares were assigned a long-term rating of Aaa from Moody s and AAA from Fitch. Subsequent to the issuance of the VRDP Shares, Moody s completed a review of its methodology for rating securities issued by registered closed-end funds. As of July 31, 2014, the VRDP Shares were assigned a long-term rating of Aa2 from Moody s under its new ratings methodology. The VRDP Shares continue to be assigned a long-term rating of AAA from Fitch.

The short-term ratings on the VRDP Shares are directly related to the short-term ratings of the liquidity provider for such VRDP Shares. Changes in the credit quality of the liquidity provider could cause a change in the short-term credit ratings of the VRDP Shares as rated by Moody s, Fitch and/or S&P. A change in the short-term credit rating of the liquidity provider or the VRDP Shares may adversely affect the dividend rate paid on such shares, although the dividend

rate paid on the VRDP Shares is not directly related based upon either short-term rating. The liquidity provider may be terminated prior to the scheduled termination date if the liquidity provider fails to maintain short-term debt ratings in one of the two highest rating categories. The short-term ratings on the VRDP Shares were withdrawn by Moody s, Fitch and/or S&P at the commencement of the special rate period, as described below.

For financial reporting purposes, the VRDP Shares are considered debt of the issuer; therefore, the liquidation value, which approximates fair value, of the VRDP Shares is recorded as a liability in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Unpaid dividends are included in interest expense and fees payable in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities, and the dividends accrued and paid on the VRDP Shares are included as a component of interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations. The VRDP Shares are treated as equity for tax purposes. Dividends paid to holders of the VRDP Shares are generally classified as tax-exempt income for tax-reporting purposes.

MUJ and MJI incur remarketing fees of 0.01% on the aggregate principal amount of all the VRDP Shares, which, if any, are included in remarketing fees on Preferred Shares in the Statements of Operations. All of the remarketable VRDP Shares that were tendered for remarketing during the year ended July 31, 2014 were successfully remarketed.

The annualized dividend rates for the VRDP Shares for the year ended July 31, 2014 were as follows:

	MUJ	MIY	MJI	MPA
Rate	0.46%	1.01%	0.46%	1.01%

On June 21, 2012, MIY and MPA commenced a three-year term ending June 24, 2015 (special rate period) with respect to their VRDP Shares. The implementation of the special rate period resulted in a mandatory tender of the VRDP Shares prior to the commencement of the special rate period. The mandatory tender event was not the result of a failed remarketing.

On April 17, 2014, MUJ and MJI commenced a three-year term ending April 19, 2017 with respect to their VRDP Shares. The implementation of the special rate period resulted in a mandatory tender of the VRDP Shares prior to the commencement of the special rate period. The mandatory tender event was not the result of a failed remarketing.

The liquidity and fee agreements remain in effect for the duration of the special rate period and the VRDP shares are still subject to mandatory redemption by the VRDP Funds on maturity date. The VRDP Shares will not be remarketed or subject to optional or mandatory tender events during such time. During the special rate period, the VRDP Funds are required to maintain the same asset coverage, basic maintenance amount and leverage requirements for the VRDP Shares. The VRDP Funds will not pay any liquidity and remarketing fees during the special rate period and instead will pay dividends monthly based on the sum of Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Municipal Swap Index and a percentage per annum based on the long-term ratings assigned to the VRDP Shares. The short-term ratings were withdrawn by Moody s, Fitch and/or S&P. Short-term ratings may be re-assigned upon the termination of the special rate period when the VRDP Shares revert back to remarketable securities.

If the VRDP Funds redeems the VRDP Shares on a date that is one year or more before the end of the special rate period and the VRDP Shares are rated above A1/A by Moody s and Fitch respectively, then such redemption is subject to a redemption premium payable to the holder of the VRDP Shares based on the time remaining in the special rate period, subject to certain exceptions for redemptions that are required to maintain minimum asset coverage requirements. After June 24, 2015 for MIY and MPA and April 19, 2017 for MUJ and MJI the holder of the VRDP Shares and the VRDP Funds may mutually agree to extend the special rate period. If the special rate period is not extended, the VRDP Shares will revert back to remarketable securities and will be remarketed and available for purchase by qualified institutional investors.

VRDP Shares issued and outstanding remained constant for the year ended July 31, 2014.

#### VMTP Shares

MUC and MFT (collectively, the VMTP Funds ), have issued Series W-7 VMTP Shares, \$100,000 liquidation value per share, in a privately negotiated offering and sale of VMTP Shares exempt from registration under the Securities Act.

The VMTP Shares outstanding as of the year ended July 31, 2014 were as follows:

					Term
	Issue Date	Shares Issued	Agg	regate Principal	Date
MUC	3/22/12	2,540	\$	254,000,000	4/01/15
MFT	12/16/11	565	\$	56,500,000	12/31/15

Each VMTP Fund is required to redeem its VMTP Shares on the term date, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased or unless extended. The term date for VMTP Shares of MFT was extended to December 31, 2015 in June 2014. There is no assurance that the term of a Fund s VMTP Shares will be extended or that a Fund s VMTP Shares will be replaced with any other preferred shares or other form of leverage upon the redemption or repurchase of the VMTP Shares. Six months prior to term date, each VMTP Fund is required to begin to segregate liquid assets with the Fund s custodian to fund the redemption. In addition, each VMTP Fund is required to redeem certain of its outstanding VMTP Shares if it fails to maintain certain asset coverage, basic maintenance amount or leverage requirements.

Subject to certain conditions, a Fund s VMTP Shares may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time at the option of the Fund. The redemption price per VMTP Share is equal to the liquidation value per share plus any outstanding unpaid dividends and applicable redemption premium. If the Fund redeems the VMTP Shares on a date that is one year or more prior to the term date and the VMTP Shares are rated above A1/A+ by Moody s and Fitch, respectively, then such redemption is subject to a prescribed redemption premium (up to 3% of the liquidation preference) payable to the holder of the VMTP Shares based on the time remaining to the term date, subject to certain exceptions for redemptions that are required to maintain minimum asset coverage requirements. The VMTP Shares are subject to certain restrictions on transfer, and a Fund may also be required to register the VMTP Shares for sale under the Securities Act under certain circumstances. In addition, amendments to the VMTP governing document generally require the consent of the holders of VMTP Shares.

Dividends on the VMTP Shares are declared daily and payable monthly at a variable rate set weekly at a fixed rate spread to the SIFMA Municipal Swap Index. The fixed spread is determined based on the long-term preferred share rating assigned to the VMTP Shares by Moody s and Fitch. At the date of issuance, the VMTP Shares were assigned long-term ratings of Aaa from Moody s and AAA from Fitch. Subsequent to the issuance of the VMTP Shares, Moody s completed a review of its methodology for rating securities issued by registered closed-end funds. As of July 31, 2014, the VMTP Shares were assigned a long-term rating of Aa1 and Aa2 from Moody s under its new rating methodology. The VMTP Shares continue to be assigned a long-term rating of AAA from Fitch. The dividend rate on the VMTP Shares is subject to a step-up spread if the Fund fails to comply with certain provisions, including, among other things, the timely payment of dividends, redemptions or gross-up payments, and maintaining certain asset coverage and leverage requirements.

The average annualized dividend rates for the VMTP Shares for the year ended July 31, 2014 were as follows:

	MUC	MFT
Rate	1.06%	1.06%

For financial reporting purposes, the VMTP Shares are considered debt of the issuer; therefore the liquidation value, which approximates fair value, of the VMTP Shares is recorded as a liability in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Unpaid dividends are included in interest expense and fees payable in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities, and the dividends accrued and paid on the VMTP Shares are included as a component of interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations. The VMTP Shares are treated as equity for tax purposes. Dividends paid to holders of the VMTP Shares are generally classified as tax-exempt income for tax-reporting purposes.

VMTP Shares issued and outstanding remained constant for the year ended July 31, 2014.

Offering Costs: The Funds incurred costs in connection with the issuance of VRDP Shares and/or VMTP Shares. For VRDP Shares, these costs were recorded as a deferred charge and will be amortized over the 30-year life of the VRDP Shares with the exception of upfront fees paid to the liquidity provider which were amortized over the life of the liquidity agreement. For VMTP Shares, these costs were recorded as a deferred charge and will be amortized over the 3-year life of the VMTP Shares. Amortization of these costs is included in interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations.

#### 10. Subsequent Events:

Management s evaluation of the impact of all subsequent events on the Funds financial statements was completed through the date the financial statements were issued and the following items were noted:

Each Fund paid a net investment income dividend on September 2, 2014 to Common Shareholders of record on August 15, 2014 as follows:

	Common
	Dividend
	Per Share
MUC	\$ 0.0715
MUC MUJ	\$ 0.0740

MFT	\$ 0.0710
MIY	\$ 0.0720
MJI	\$ 0.0740
MPA	\$ 0.0740

Additionally, the Funds declared a net investment income dividend on September 2, 2014 payable to Common Shareholders of record on September 15, 2014 for the same amounts noted above.

The dividends declared on Preferred Shares for the period August 1, 2014 to August 31, 2014 were as follows:

			Dividends
	Preferred Shares	Series	Declared
MUC	VMTP Shares	W-7	\$ 226,930
MUJ	VRDP Shares	W-7	\$ 136,693
MFT	VMTP Shares	W-7	\$ 50,478
MIY	VRDP Shares	W-7	\$ 303,282
MJI	VRDP Shares	W-7	\$ 50,973
MPA	VRDP Shares	W-7	\$ 56,419

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of

BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc.,

BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.,

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc., and

BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc.

and to the Shareholders and Board of Trustees of

BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund and

BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund, BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc., and BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (collectively, the Funds), including the schedules of investments, as of July 31, 2014, and the related statements of operations and the statements of cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the periods presented. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Funds are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of July 31, 2014, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund, BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc., BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc., and BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund as of July 31, 2014, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the periods presented, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

September 24, 2014

66 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

127

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements

The Board of Directors or Trustees, as applicable, (each, a Board, collectively, the Boards, and the members of which are referred to as Board Members ) of BlackRock MuniHoldings California Quality Fund, Inc. (MUC), BlackRock MuniHoldings New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MUJ), BlackRock MuniYield Investment Quality Fund (MFT), BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY), BlackRock MuniYield New Jersey Quality Fund, Inc. (MIY) and BlackRock MuniYield Pennsylvania Quality Fund (MPA) and together with MUC, MUJ, MFT, MIY and MJI, each a Fund, and, collectively, the Funds) met in person on May 9, 2014 (the May Meeting) and June 5-6, 2014 (the June Meeting) to consider the approval of each Fund s investment advisory agreement (each, an Advisory Agreement, and, collectively, the Advisory Agreements) with BlackRock Advisors, LLC (the Manager), each Fund s investment advisor. At the June Meeting, it was noted that the sub-advisory agreement among the Manager, BlackRock Investment Management, LLC and each Fund would expire effective July 1, 2014. It was also noted that the non-renewal of each Fund s sub-advisory agreement would not result in any change in the nature or quality of services provided to such Fund, or in the portfolio management team that serves such Fund. The Manager is referred to herein as BlackRock.

#### **Activities and Composition of the Board**

Each Board consists of eleven individuals, nine of whom are not interested persons of such Fund as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act ) (the Independent Board Members ). The Board Members are responsible for the oversight of the operations of the Funds and perform the various duties imposed on the directors of investment companies by the 1940 Act. The Independent Board Members have retained independent legal counsel to assist them in connection with their duties. The Chairman of each Board is an Independent Board Member. Each Board has established six standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Governance and Nominating Committee, a Compliance Committee, a Performance Oversight Committee, an Executive Committee, and a Leverage Committee, each of which is chaired by an Independent Board Member and composed of Independent Board Members (except for the Executive Committee and the Leverage Committee, each of which also has one interested Board Member).

#### The Advisory Agreements

Pursuant to the 1940 Act, the Boards are required to consider the continuation of the Advisory Agreements on an annual basis. The Boards have four quarterly meetings per year, each extending over two days, and a fifth one-day meeting to consider specific information surrounding the consideration of renewing the Advisory Agreements. In connection with this process, the Boards assessed, among other things, the nature, scope and quality of the services provided to the Funds by BlackRock, its personnel and its affiliates, including, as applicable, investment management, administrative, and shareholder services; oversight of fund service providers; marketing services; risk oversight; compliance and assistance in meeting applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

The Boards, acting directly and through their respective committees, consider at each of their meetings, and from time to time as appropriate, factors that are relevant to their annual consideration of the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, including the services and support provided by BlackRock to the Funds and their shareholders. Among the matters the Boards considered were: (a) investment performance for one-year, three-year, five-year and/or since inception periods, as applicable, against peer funds, and applicable benchmarks, if any, as well as senior management s and portfolio managers analysis of the reasons for any over-performance or underperformance against their peers and/or benchmark, as applicable; (b) fees, including advisory, administration, if applicable, and other amounts paid to BlackRock and its affiliates by the Funds for services such as call center; (c) Fund operating expenses and how BlackRock allocates expenses to the Funds; (d) the resources devoted to, risk oversight of, and compliance reports relating to, implementation of the Funds investment objective, policies and restrictions, and meeting new regulatory requirements; (e) the Funds compliance with their Code of Ethics and other compliance policies and procedures; (f) the nature, cost and character of non-investment management services provided by BlackRock and its affiliates; (g) BlackRock s and other service providers internal controls and risk and compliance oversight mechanisms; (h) BlackRock s implementation of the Funds valuation and liquidity procedures; (k) an analysis of management fees for products with similar investment objectives across the open-end fund, closed-end fund and institutional account product channels, as applicable; (l) BlackRock s compensation methodology for its investment professionals and the incentives it creates; and (m) periodic updates on BlackRock s business.

The Boards have engaged in an ongoing strategic review with BlackRock of opportunities to consolidate funds and of BlackRock s commitment to investment performance. BlackRock also furnished information to the Boards in response to specific questions. These questions covered issues such as: BlackRock s profitability; investment performance; subadvisory and advisory relationships with other clients (including mutual funds sponsored by third parties); investment professional investment in funds they manage; and management fee levels and breakpoints. The Boards further discussed with BlackRock: BlackRock s management structure; portfolio turnover; BlackRock s portfolio manager compensation and performance accountability; marketing support for the Funds; services provided to the Funds by BlackRock affiliates; and BlackRock s oversight of relationships with third party service providers.

The Board of each Fund considered BlackRock s efforts during the past year with regard to refinancing outstanding AMPS, as well as ongoing time and resources devoted to other forms of preferred shares and alternative leverage. As of the date of this report, each Fund has redeemed 100% of its outstanding AMPS.

#### **Board Considerations in Approving the Advisory Agreements**

The Approval Process: Prior to the May Meeting, the Boards requested and received materials specifically relating to the Advisory Agreements. The Boards are continuously engaged in a process with their independent legal counsel and BlackRock to review the nature and scope of the information provided to better assist their deliberations. The materials provided in connection with the May Meeting included (a) information independently compiled and prepared by Lipper, Inc. ( Lipper ) on Fund fees and expenses as compared with a peer group of funds as determined by Lipper ( Expense Peers ) and the investment performance of the Funds as compared with a peer group of funds as determined by Lipper Funds are ranked by Lipper in quartiles, ranging from first to

Funds are ranked by Lipper in quartiles, ranging from first to fourth, where first is the most desirable quartile position and fourth is the least desirable.

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements (continued)

fourth, where first is the most desirable quartile position and fourth is the least desirable. and a customized peer group selected by BlackRock; (b) information on the profits realized by BlackRock and its affiliates pursuant to the Advisory Agreements and a discussion of fall-out benefits to BlackRock and its affiliates; (c) a general analysis provided by BlackRock concerning investment management fees charged to other clients, such as institutional clients and open-end funds, under similar investment mandates, as applicable; (d) review of non-management fees; (e) the existence, impact and sharing of potential economies of scale; (f) a summary of aggregate amounts paid by each Fund to BlackRock and (g) if applicable, a comparison of management fees to similar BlackRock closed-end funds, as classified by Lipper.

At the May Meeting, the Boards reviewed materials relating to their consideration of the Advisory Agreements. As a result of the discussions that occurred during the May Meeting, and as a culmination of the Boards—year-long deliberative process, the Boards presented BlackRock with questions and requests for additional information. BlackRock responded to these requests with additional written information in advance of the June Meeting.

At the June Meeting, each Board, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements between the Manager and its Fund for a one-year term ending June 30, 2015. In approving the continuation of the Advisory Agreements, the Boards considered: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by BlackRock; (b) the investment performance of the Funds and BlackRock; (c) the advisory fee and the cost of the services and profits to be realized by BlackRock and its affiliates from their relationship with the Funds; (d) the Funds costs to investors compared to the costs of Expense Peers and performance compared to the relevant performance comparison as previously discussed; (e) economies of scale; (f) fall-out benefits to BlackRock and its affiliates as a result of its relationship with the Funds; and (g) other factors deemed relevant by the Board Members.

The Boards also considered other matters they deemed important to the approval process, such as payments made to BlackRock or its affiliates relating to securities lending, services related to the valuation and pricing of Fund portfolio holdings, direct and indirect benefits to BlackRock and its affiliates from their relationship with the Funds and advice from independent legal counsel with respect to the review process and materials submitted for the Boards review. The Boards noted the willingness of BlackRock personnel to engage in open, candid discussions with the Boards. The Boards did not identify any particular information as determinative, and each Board Member may have attributed different weights to the various items considered.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of the Services Provided by BlackRock

The Boards, including the Independent Board Members, reviewed the nature, extent and quality of services provided by BlackRock, including the investment advisory services and the resulting performance of the

Funds. Throughout the year, each Board compared its Fund s performance to the performance of a comparable group of closed-end funds and/or the performance of a relevant benchmark, as applicable. The Boards met with BlackRock s senior management personnel responsible for investment activities, including the senior investment officers. Each Board also reviewed the materials provided by its Fund s portfolio management team

discussing the Fund s performance and the Fund s investment objective, strategies and outlook.

The Boards considered, among other factors, with respect to BlackRock: the number, education and experience of investment personnel generally and their Funds portfolio management teams; investments by portfolio managers in the funds they manage; portfolio trading capabilities; use of technology; commitment to compliance; credit analysis capabilities; risk analysis and oversight capabilities; and the approach to training and retaining portfolio managers and other research, advisory and management personnel. The Boards engaged in a review of BlackRock s compensation structure with respect to the Funds portfolio management teams and BlackRock s ability to attract and retain high-quality talent and create performance incentives.

In addition to advisory services, the Boards considered the quality of the administrative and other non-investment advisory services provided to the Funds. BlackRock and its affiliates provide the Funds with certain services (in addition to any such services provided to the Funds by third parties) and officers and other personnel as are necessary for the operations of the Funds. In particular, BlackRock and its affiliates provide the Funds with the following administrative services including, among others: (i) preparing disclosure documents, such as the prospectus and the statement of additional information in connection with the initial public offering and periodic shareholder reports; (ii) preparing communications with analysts to support secondary market trading of the Funds; (iii) oversight of daily accounting and pricing; (iv) preparing periodic filings with regulators and stock exchanges; (v) overseeing and coordinating the activities of other service providers; (vi) organizing Board meetings and preparing the materials for such Board meetings; (vii) providing legal and compliance support; (viii) furnishing analytical and other support to assist the Board in its consideration of strategic issues such as the merger or consolidation of certain closed-end funds; and (ix) performing

other administrative functions necessary for the operation of the Funds, such as tax reporting, fulfilling regulatory filing requirements and call center services. The Boards reviewed the structure and duties of BlackRock s fund administration, shareholder services, legal and compliance departments and considered BlackRock s policies and procedures for assuring compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

B. The Investment Performance of the Funds and BlackRock Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, also reviewed and considered the performance history of its Fund. In preparation for the May Meeting, the Boards worked with their independent legal counsel, BlackRock and Lipper to develop a template for, and were provided with reports independently prepared by Lipper, which included a comprehensive analysis of each Fund s performance. The Boards also reviewed a narrative and statistical analysis of the Lipper data that was prepared by BlackRock, which analyzed various factors that affect Lipper s rankings. In connection with its review, each Board received and reviewed information regarding the investment performance, based on net asset value (NAV), of its Fund as compared to other funds in that Fund s applicable Lipper category and the customized peer group selected by BlackRock. The Boards were provided with a description of the methodology used by Lipper to select peer funds and periodically meets with Lipper representatives to review its methodology. Each Board and its Performance Oversight Committee regularly review, and meet with Fund management to discuss, the performance of its Fund throughout the year.

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements (continued)

The Board of each of MUC and MIY noted that for each of the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, its respective Fund ranked in the second quartile against its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite. BlackRock believes that the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is an appropriate performance metric for MUC and MIY in that it measures a blend of total return and yield.

The Board of MJI noted that for the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, MJI ranked in the first, third and second quartiles, respectively, against its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite. BlackRock believes that the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is an appropriate performance metric for MJI in that it measures a blend of total return and yield. The Board of MJI and BlackRock reviewed and discussed the reasons for MJI s underperformance during the three-year period and noted that they will monitor MJI s performance.

The Board of MUJ noted that for the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, MUJ ranked in the first, third and fourth quartiles, respectively, against its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite. BlackRock believes that the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is an appropriate performance metric for MUJ in that it measures a blend of total return and yield. MUJ s Board also noted the Fund s improved performance during the one-year period. The Board of MUJ s and BlackRock reviewed and discussed the reasons for the Fund s underperformance during the three- and five-year periods. MUJ s Board was informed that, among other things, the most significant factor impacting the Fund s performance compared to its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is its below average yield. The single largest issue confronting the Fund was the dearth of New Jersey specific municipal bonds, especially diversified new issuance. In the performance periods under discussion, this prevented a more aggressive posture from being implemented, but more importantly, this inhibited the Fund from increasing its respective leverage to use to enhance the Fund s yield. When compared to other states represented in the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite, New Jersey has a relatively smaller amount of issuers eligible to use for leveraging.

The Board of MFT noted that for the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, MFT ranked in the fourth, third and third quartiles, respectively, against its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite. BlackRock believes that the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is an appropriate performance metric for MFT in that it measures a blend of total return and yield. The Board of MFT and BlackRock reviewed and discussed the reasons for the Fund s underperformance during these periods. MFT s Board was informed that, among other things, the most significant factor impacting the Fund s performance compared to its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is its below average yield. Impacting performance during the one-year period was the Fund s large leverage and duration position compared to its peers, given the rising rate environment. Holdings in health care, transportation and higher quality university bonds also contributed to poor performance.

The Board of MPA noted that for each of the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, the Fund ranked in the fourth quartile against its Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite. BlackRock believes that the Customized Lipper Peer Group Composite is an appropriate performance metric for MPA in that it measures a blend of total return and yield. The Board of MPA and BlackRock reviewed and discussed the reasons for the Fund s underperformance during these periods. MPA s Board was informed that, among other things, Pennsylvania bond prices were under negative pressure in 2012. Security selection reflects a negative attribute due to the Fund s higher quality bias during a period where investor appetite for yield drove demand for lower rated securities that resulted in tighter credit spreads. Further and significantly, during the summer and fall of 2013, the Fund sold all of its positions in Puerto Rican government-related debt as a result of the Fund management s conviction that the Commonwealth s challenges will only intensify. These positions were generally higher-yielding and resulted in realized losses, which impacted performance.

The Boards of MUJ, MFT and MPA BlackRock also discussed BlackRock s strategy for improving each Fund s performance and BlackRock s commitment to providing the resources necessary to assist the Funds portfolio managers in seeking to improve the Funds performance.

C. Consideration of the Advisory/Management Fees and the Cost of the Services and Profits to be Realized by BlackRock and its Affiliates from their Relationship with the Funds The Board, including the Independent Board Members, reviewed its Funds contractual management feer ate compared with the other funds in its Lipper category. The contractual management feer ate represents a combination of the advisory fee and any administrative fees, before taking into account any reimbursements or fee waivers. The Board also compared the Funds total expense ratio, as well as its actual management feer rate, to those of other funds in its Lipper category. The total expense ratio represents a funds total net operating expenses, excluding any investment related expenses. The total expense ratio gives effect to any expense reimbursements or fee waivers that benefit a fund, and the actual management feer rate gives effect to any management feer reimbursements or waivers that benefit a fund. The Boards considered the services provided and the fees charged by BlackRock and its affiliates to other types of clients with similar investment mandates, as applicable, including institutional accounts.

The Boards received and reviewed statements relating to BlackRock s financial condition. The Boards were also provided with a profitability analysis that detailed the revenues earned and the expenses incurred by BlackRock for services provided to the Funds. The Boards reviewed BlackRock s profitability with respect to the Funds and other funds the Boards currently oversee for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to available aggregate profitability data provided for the prior two years. The Boards reviewed BlackRock s profitability with respect

to certain other fund complexes managed by the Manager and/or its affiliates. The Boards reviewed BlackRock s assumptions and methodology of allocating expenses in the profitability analysis, noting the inherent limitations in allocating costs among various advisory products. The Boards recognized that profitability may be affected by numerous factors including, among other things, fee waivers and expense reimbursements by the Manager, the types of funds managed, precision of expense allocations and business mix. As a result, calculating and comparing profitability at individual fund levels is difficult.

The Boards noted that, in general, individual fund or product line profitability of other advisors is not publicly available. The Boards reviewed BlackRock s overall operating margin, in general, compared to that of certain other publicly-traded asset management firms. The Boards considered the differences between BlackRock and these other firms, including the contribution of technology at BlackRock, BlackRock s expense management, and the relative product mix.

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements (concluded)

In addition, the Boards considered the cost of the services provided to the Funds by BlackRock, and BlackRock s and its affiliates profits relating to the management of the Funds and the other funds advised by BlackRock and its affiliates. As part of its analysis, the Boards reviewed BlackRock s methodology in allocating its costs to the management of the Funds. The Boards also considered whether BlackRock has the financial resources necessary to attract and retain high quality investment management personnel to perform its obligations under the Advisory Agreements and to continue to provide the high quality of services that is expected by the Boards. The Boards further considered factors including but not limited to BlackRock s commitment of time, assumption of risk and liability profile in servicing the Funds in contrast to what is required of BlackRock with respect to other products with similar investment objectives across the open-end fund, ETF, closed-end fund and institutional account product channels, as applicable.

The Board of each of MUC, MIY, MJI and MPA noted that its respective Funds contractual management fee rate ranked in the first quartile, and that the actual management fee rate and total expense ratio each ranked in the first quartile, relative to the Funds Expense Peers.

The Board of MUJ noted that MUJ s contractual management fee rate ranked in the second quartile, and that the actual management fee rate and total expense ratio each ranked in the second quartile, relative to the Fund s Expense Peers.

The Board of MFT noted that MFT s contractual management fee rate ranked in the first quartile, and that the actual management fee rate and total expense ratio each ranked in the second quartile, relative to the Fund s Expense Peers.

D. Economies of Scale Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of its Fund increase. Each Board also considered the extent to which its Fund benefits from such economies and whether there should be changes in the advisory fee rate or breakpoint structure in order to enable the Fund to participate in these economies of scale, for example through the use of breakpoints in the advisory fee based upon the asset level of the Fund.

Based on the Boards review and consideration of the issue, the Boards concluded that most closed-end funds do not have fund level breakpoints because closed-end funds generally do not experience substantial growth after the initial public offering. They are typically priced at scale at a fund s inception.

E. Other Factors Deemed Relevant by the Board Members The Boards, including the Independent Board Members, also took into account other ancillary or fall-out benefits that BlackRock or its affiliates may derive from their respective relationships with the Funds, both tangible and intangible, such as BlackRock s ability to leverage its investment professionals who manage other portfolios and risk management personnel, an increase in BlackRock s profile in the investment advisory community, and the engagement of BlackRock s affiliates as service providers to the Funds, including securities lending and cash management services. The Boards also considered BlackRock s overall operations and its efforts to expand the scale of, and improve the quality of, its operations. The Boards also noted that BlackRock may use and benefit from third party research obtained by soft dollars generated by certain registered fund transactions to assist in managing all or a number of its other client accounts. The Boards further noted that it had considered the investment by BlackRock s funds in exchange traded funds (i.e., ETFs) without any offset against the management fees payable by the funds to BlackRock.

In connection with its consideration of the Advisory Agreements, the Boards also received information regarding BlackRock s brokerage and soft dollar practices. The Boards received reports from BlackRock which included information on brokerage commissions and trade execution practices throughout the year.

The Boards noted the competitive nature of the closed-end fund marketplace, and that shareholders are able to sell their Fund shares in the secondary market if they believe that their Fund s fees and expenses are too high or if they are dissatisfied with the performance of their Fund.

The Boards also considered the various notable initiatives and projects BlackRock performed in connection with its closed-end fund product line. These initiatives included completion of the refinancing of auction rate preferred securities; developing equity shelf programs; efforts to eliminate product overlap with fund mergers; ongoing services to manage leverage that has become increasingly complex; share repurchases and other support initiatives for certain BlackRock funds; and continued communications efforts with shareholders, fund analysts and financial advisers. With respect to the latter, the Independent Board Members noted BlackRock s continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a comprehensive secondary market communication program designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. BlackRock s support services included, among other things: continuing communications concerning the refinancing efforts related to auction rate preferred securities; sponsoring and participating in conferences; communicating with closed-end fund analysts covering the BlackRock funds throughout the year; providing marketing and product updates for the closed-end funds; and maintaining and enhancing its closed-end fund website.

#### Conclusion

Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements between the Manager and its Fund for a one-year term ending June 30, 2015. Based upon their evaluation of all of the aforementioned factors in their totality, the Boards, including the Independent Board Members, were satisfied that the terms of the Advisory Agreements were fair and reasonable and in the best interest of the Funds and their shareholders. In arriving at their decision to approve the Advisory Agreements, the Boards did not identify any single factor or group of factors as all-important or controlling, but considered all factors together, and different Board Members may have attributed different weights to the various factors considered. The Independent Board Members were also assisted by the advice of independent legal counsel in making this determination. The contractual fee arrangements for the Funds reflect the results of several years of review by the Board Members and predecessor Board Members, and discussions between such Board Members (and predecessor Board Members) and BlackRock. As a result, the Board Members conclusions may be based in part on their consideration of these arrangements in prior years.

#### Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans

Pursuant to each Fund s Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Reinvestment Plan ), Common Shareholders are automatically enrolled to have all distributions of dividends and capital gains reinvested by Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the Reinvestment Plan Agent ) in the respective Fund s shares pursuant to the Reinvestment Plan. Shareholders who do not participate in the Reinvestment Plan will receive all distributions in cash paid by check and mailed directly to the shareholders of record (or if the shares are held in street name or other nominee name, then to the nominee) by the Reinvestment Plan Agent, which serves as agent for the shareholders in administering the Reinvestment Plan.

After the Funds declare a dividend or determine to make a capital gain distribution, the Reinvestment Plan Agent will acquire shares for the participants accounts, depending upon the following circumstances, either (i) through receipt of unissued but authorized shares from the Funds (newly issued shares) or (ii) by purchase of outstanding shares on the open market or on the Fund's primary exchange (open-market purchases). If, on the dividend payment date, the net asset value per share (NAV) is equal to or less than the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions (such condition often referred to as a market premium), the Reinvestment Plan Agent will invest the dividend amount in newly issued shares acquired on behalf of the participants. The number of newly issued shares to be credited to each participant is account will be determined by dividing the dollar amount of the dividend by the NAV on the date the shares are issued. However, if the NAV is less than 95% of the market price on the dividend payment date, the dollar amount of the dividend will be divided by 95% of the market price on the dividend payment date, the NAV is greater than the market price per share plus estimated brokerage commissions (such condition often referred to as a market discount), the Reinvestment Plan Agent will invest the dividend amount in shares acquired on behalf of the participants in open market purchases. If the Reinvestment Plan Agent is unable to invest the full dividend amount in open-market purchases, or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Reinvestment Plan Agent will invest any un-invested portion in newly issued shares. Investments in newly issued shares made in this manner would be made pursuant to the same process described above and the date of issue for such newly issued shares will substitute for the dividend payment date.

Participation in the Reinvestment Plan is completely voluntary and may be terminated or resumed at any time without penalty by notice if received and processed by the Reinvestment Plan Agent prior to the dividend record date. Additionally, the Reinvestment Plan Agent seeks to process notices received after the record date but prior to the payable date and such notices often will become effective by the payable date. Where late notices are not processed by the applicable payable date, such termination or resumption will be effective with respect to any subsequently declared dividend or other distribution.

The Reinvestment Plan Agent s fees for the handling of the reinvestment of dividends and distributions will be paid by each Fund. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Reinvestment Plan Agent s open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of dividends and distributions. The automatic reinvestment of dividends and distributions will not relieve participants of any federal income tax that may be payable on such dividends or distributions.

Each Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Reinvestment Plan. There is no direct service charge to participants in the Reinvestment Plan. However, each Fund reserves the right to amend the Reinvestment Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants. Participants in MPA that request a sale of shares are subject to a \$2.50 sales fee and a \$0.15 per share fee. Per share fees include any applicable brokerage commissions the Reinvestment Plan Agent is required to pay. Participants in MUC, MUJ, MFT, MIY and MJI that request a sale of shares are subject to a \$0.02 per share sold brokerage commission. All correspondence concerning the Reinvestment Plan should be directed to Computershare Trust Company, N.A., through the internet at http://www.computershare.com/blackrock, or in writing to Computershare, P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170, Telephone: (800) 699-1236. Overnight correspondence should be directed to the Reinvestment Plan Agent at Computershare, 211 Quality Circle, Suite 210, College Station, TX 77845.

# Officers and Directors

	Position(s)	Length		Number of BlackRock- Advised Registered Investment Companies ( RICs ) Consisting of	
Name, Address <sup>1</sup>	Held with	of Time			Public
and Year of Birth	Funds	Served as a Director <sup>3</sup>	Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years	investment Portfolios ( Portfolios ) Overseen	n Directorships
Independent Directors	<sub>3</sub> 2				
Richard E. Cavanagh	Chairman of the Board and Director		Trustee, Aircraft Finance Trust from 1999 to 2009; Director, The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America since 1998; Director, Arch Chemical (chemical and allied products) from 1999 to 2011; Trustee, Educational Testing Service from 1997		
1946			to 2009 and Chairman thereof from 2005 to 2009; Senior Advisor, The Fremont Group since 2008 and Director thereof since 1996; Faculty Member/Adjunct Lecturer, Harvard University since 2007; President and Chief Executive Officer, The Conference Board, Inc. (global business research organization) fr		