HALF ROBERT INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ Form DEF 14A April 21, 2015

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11

- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:
- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- 5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC.

2884 Sand Hill Road

Menlo Park, California 94025

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held

Thursday, May 21, 2015

11:00 A.M.

To the Stockholders:

The annual meeting of stockholders of ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC. (the Company) will be held at 11:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 21, 2015 at The Westin Hotel San Francisco Airport, 1 Old Bayshore Highway, Millbrae, California, 94030. The meeting will be held for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect six directors.
- 2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as auditors for 2015.
- 3. To cast an advisory vote to approve executive compensation.
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2015 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting and any adjournment of the meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on May 21, 2015

Pursuant to rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials both by sending you this full set of proxy materials, including a proxy card, and by notifying you of the availability of our proxy materials on the Internet. This proxy statement and our 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at *http://www.roberthalf.com/14aFilings* and *http://www.roberthalf.com/14aFilings* and *http://www.roberthalf.com/14aFilings* and

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

EVELYN CRANE-OLIVER Secretary

Menlo Park, California

April 21, 2015

IMPORTANT

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING, PLEASE SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED FORM AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENCLOSED POST-PAID ENVELOPE. ALTERNATIVELY, YOU MAY, IF YOU WISH, VOTE VIA THE INTERNET OR VIA TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE CALL FROM A TOUCH-TONE TELEPHONE IN THE U.S. BY FOLLOWING THE DIRECTIONS ON THE ENCLOSED FORM. IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING AND SO DESIRE, YOU MAY WITHDRAW YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON.

THANK YOU FOR ACTING PROMPTLY.

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

INTRODUCTION

The enclosed proxy is solicited on behalf of the present Board of Directors (sometimes referred to as the Board) of Robert Half International Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), the principal executive offices of which are located at 2884 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. The approximate date on which this proxy statement and the enclosed proxy are being mailed to the Company s stockholders is April 21, 2015. The proxy is solicited for use at the annual meeting of stockholders (the Meeting) to be held at 11:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 21, 2015, at The Westin Hotel San Francisco Airport, 1 Old Bayshore Highway, Millbrae, California, 94030. Only stockholders of record on April 1, 2015 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Meeting and any adjournment of the Meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote. At the close of business on April 1, 2015, the Company had outstanding and entitled to vote 135,262,766 shares of its common stock, \$.001 par value (Common Stock).

A stockholder giving a proxy in the form accompanying this proxy statement has the power to revoke the proxy prior to its exercise. A proxy can be revoked by an instrument of revocation delivered prior to the Meeting to the Secretary of the Company, by a duly executed proxy bearing a date later than the date of the proxy being revoked, or at the Meeting if the stockholder is present and elects to vote in person. The Company has retained the services of Georgeson Shareholder Communications, Inc. to solicit the proxies of certain stockholders for the annual meeting. The cost of such services is estimated to be \$10,000 plus reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, solicitation of proxies may be made by directors, officers or employees of the Company (who will receive no extra compensation for their services) by telephone, by fax or in person as well as by mail. Costs of solicitation will be borne by the Company.

An automated system administered by the Company s transfer agent will tabulate votes cast at the Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are each included in the determination of the number of shares present and voting, and each is tabulated separately. Abstentions are counted in tabulations of the votes cast on proposals presented to stockholders or with respect to election of directors, whereas broker non-votes are not counted for purposes of determining whether a proposal has been approved or a nominee has been elected.

NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

There are six nominees for director. All of the nominees are presently directors of the Company. The present term of office of all directors will expire upon election of directors at the Meeting. The full Board of Directors will be elected at the Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting and until their successors are elected.

Proxies cannot be voted for more than six persons. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Meeting. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted FOR the election of the nominees named below unless stockholders specify in their proxies to the contrary. Although the Board does not expect any nominee to become unavailable to serve as a director for any reason, should that occur before the Meeting, proxies will be voted for the balance of those named and such substitute nominee as may be selected by the Board.

Directors

The following table lists the name of each nominee for election as director, the age on the date of the Meeting and the year current service as a director began.

Name	Age	Director Since
Andrew S. Berwick, Jr.	81	1981
Harold M. Messmer, Jr.	69	1982
Barbara J. Novogradac	54	2009
Robert J. Pace	52	2009
Frederick A. Richman	69	2008
M. Keith Waddell	58	1999

Biographical Information

Mr. Berwick has been President of Berwick-Pacific Corporation, a real estate development company, for more than the past five years. He is Chairman Emeritus of California Healthcare System.

Mr. Messmer has been Chairman of the Board since 1988 and Chief Executive Officer since 1987. From 1985 through 2004 he served as President.

Ms. Novogradac has been president of Novogradac Investment Company, a private real estate investment company that invests in residential rental properties, land development opportunities and light industrial commercial assets, since 2001. From 1990 to 2001, Ms. Novogradac held various positions with the Company, including Senior Vice President and Controller.

Mr. Pace is a retired partner and managing director of Goldman, Sachs & Co. He was with Goldman Sachs for over twenty years and held numerous senior leadership positions with that firm.

Mr. Richman has been a Consultant to Deloitte Tax, LLP since 2008. From 2001 to 2008, he was a Principal with Deloitte Tax, LLP. Prior to 2001 he was a senior partner with O Melveny & Myers LLP, a law firm. Mr. Richman also served as a director of the Company from 1994 through 2001.

Mr. Waddell has been Vice Chairman of the Board since 1999, President since 2004 and Chief Financial Officer since 1988. He served as Treasurer from 1987 until 2004.

Other Public Company Directorships

From January 1, 2010 through the present, the following directors have held directorships with other public companies:

Mr. Messmer served as a director of HCP, Inc., and a member of its Compensation Committee and its Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, from 1985 through April 2011. HCP, Inc. is a real estate investment trust that focuses on the health care industry.

Qualification to Serve As Director

The Nomination and Governance Committee has determined that each of the nominees is qualified to continue to serve as a director of the Company. The reasons for these determinations are as follows:

Mr. Berwick has substantial private investment and entrepreneurial experience. He has served as a director of the Company since 1981, during which time the Company has experienced substantial growth.

Mr. Messmer has been Chairman since 1988 and Chief Executive Officer since 1987, during which time he has directed and presided over the Company s substantial growth. More details regarding Mr. Messmer and the Company s growth during his tenure is contained below in the section titled Board of Directors Leadership Structure. He has been a director since 1982.

Ms. Novogradac has financial expertise derived from her experience as president of a real estate investment company, with a major public accounting firm and as controller of the Company.

Mr. Pace has substantial investment banking experience as a former senior member of Goldman, Sachs & Co., including service on its Investment Banking Division s global Operating Committee.

Mr. Richman has financial expertise as a senior tax expert with both O Melveny & Myers LLP, a law firm, and Deloitte Tax, LLP. He served as a director of the Company from 1994 through 2001 and from 2008 through the present.

Mr. Waddell has more than 25 years of service as Chief Financial Officer, during which time the Company experienced substantial growth, and has been a director since 1999.

Executive Officers

The following table lists the name of each current executive officer of the Company, his age on the date of the Meeting, and his current positions and offices with the Company:

Name	Age	Office
Harold M. Messmer, Jr.	69	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
M. Keith Waddell	58	Vice Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Financial Officer
Paul F. Gentzkow	59	President and Chief Operating Officer-Staffing Services
Robert W. Glass	56	Executive Vice President, Corporate Development
Michael C. Buckley	49	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer

Mr. Gentzkow has been President and Chief Operating Officer-Staffing Services since 2004. From 2000 until 2004, he served as Executive Vice President, Operations. For more than five years prior to his election as an executive officer, he served as Director of Field Operations.

Mr. Glass has been Executive Vice President, Corporate Development since 2004. From 1993 until 2004, he served as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development. From 1987 until 1993 he served as Vice President.

Mr. Buckley has been Treasurer since 2004 and Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer since 2007. He was Vice President from 2001 through 2007 and served as Controller, Corporate Accounting from 1999 until 2004. From 1995 through 1999, he held various other positions with the Company.

The executive officers of the Company are also officers of the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries.

All of the executive officers serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. Mr. Messmer has an employment agreement with the Company to serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. In addition, severance agreements have been entered into with certain executive officers. See the discussion under Employment Agreement and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control below.

There are no family relationships between any of the directors or executive officers.

CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In this proxy statement, the Company has disclosed information that may be forward-looking in nature, including the earnings per share, revenue and net income goals for 2015 discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement. The goals for 2015 are targets set by the Compensation Committee for compensation purposes only. They are not a guarantee of future performance or intended to be the Company s projections or guidance for 2015. To the extent they may be deemed forward looking statements , they are subject to risks and uncertainties associated with our business. For information regarding risks and uncertainties associated with our business and a discussion of some of the factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from these goals used for performance-based compensation, please refer to our SEC filings, including the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors and Legal Proceedings sections of our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company undertakes no obligation to update information in this proxy statement.

BENEFICIAL STOCK OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth information as of March 31, 2015, concerning beneficial ownership of Common Stock by (i) the only persons known to the Company to be beneficial owners of 5% or more of the outstanding Common Stock, (ii) each director or nominee for director, (iii) each executive officer, and (iv) all executive officers and directors as a group. All persons have sole voting and investment power except as otherwise indicated.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Common Stock
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	10,127,400(a)	7.5%
P.O. Box 2600		
Valley Forge, PA 19482		
BlackRock, Inc.	7,325,337(b)	5.4%
55 East 52 nd Street		
New York, NY 10055		
Wellington Management Group LLP 280 Congress Street Boston, MA 02210	7,030,874(c)	5.2%
Andrew S. Berwick, Jr.	433,536(d)	0.3%
Harold M. Messmer, Jr.	1,245,790(e)	0.9%
Barbara J. Novogradac	122,672(f)	0.1%
Robert J. Pace	63,750(g)	0.0%
Frederick A. Richman	41,250(h)	0.0%
M. Keith Waddell	1,375,538(i)	1.0%
Paul F. Gentzkow	755,185(j)	0.6%
Robert W. Glass	373,969(k)	0.3%
Michael C. Buckley	147,917(l)	0.1%
All executive officers and directors as a group (9 persons)	4,559,607	3.4%

(a) Information is as of December 31, 2014, the latest date for which information is available to the Company. According to a Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc., which identified itself as an investment adviser, sole voting power is held with respect to 234,892 shares, shared dispositive power is held with respect to 224,383 shares and sole dispositive power is held with respect to 9,903,017 shares.

(b) Information is as of December 31, 2014, the latest date for which information is available to the Company. According to a Schedule 13G filed by BlackRock, Inc., which identified itself as a parent holding company, sole dispositive power is held with respect to all of such shares and sole voting power is held with respect to 6,191,992 shares.

(c) Information is as of December 31, 2014, the latest date for which information is available to the Company. According to a Schedule 13G filed by Wellington Management Group LLP, which identified itself as an investment adviser, shared voting power is held with respect to 5,336,693 shares and shared dispositive power is held with respect to 7,030,874 shares.

- (d) Includes 15,500 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Berwick has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans.
- (e) Includes 403,348 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Messmer has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans, an aggregate of 45,167 shares as to which Mr. Messmer has voting and dispositive power but disclaims pecuniary interest and 797,275 shares as to which Mr. Messmer shares voting and dispositive power with his wife.
- (f) Includes 16,600 shares held by Novogradac Rivers Foundation, as to which shares Ms. Novogradac shares voting and dispositive power but in which she has no pecuniary interest, and 15,500 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Ms. Novogradac has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans.
- (g) Includes 15,500 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Pace has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans.
- (h) Includes 15,500 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Richman has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans.

- (i) Includes 323,229 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Waddell has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans and 1,052,309 shares as to which Mr. Waddell shares voting and dispositive power with his wife.
- (j) Includes 272,626 shares that were acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Gentzkow has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans, 1,250 shares held by Mr. Gentzkow s son and 481,309 shares as to which Mr. Gentzkow shares voting and dispositive power with his wife.
- (k) Includes 69,451 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Glass has sole voting power but as to which disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans and 304,518 shares as to which Mr. Glass shares voting and dispositive power with his wife.
- (1) Includes 86,034 shares acquired pursuant to Company benefit plans, as to which shares Mr. Buckley has sole voting power but as to which shares disposition is restricted pursuant to the terms of such plans and 61,883 shares as to which Mr. Buckley shares voting and dispositive power with his wife.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

The following discussion provides information about the Company s compensation policies and decisions regarding the Company s CEO, CFO and the three most highly compensated executive officers (other than the CEO and the CFO) who were serving as executive officers at the end of 2014. These named executive officers are Harold M. Messmer, Jr., Chairman and CEO; M. Keith Waddell, Vice Chairman and CFO; Paul F. Gentzkow, President and Chief Operating Officer, Staffing Services; Robert W. Glass, Executive Vice President, Corporate Development; and Michael Buckley, Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer.

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A), we provide the following:.

Executive Summary	page 7
Compensation Governance	page 8
2014 Financial Highlights & 2014 Compensation Highlights	page 10
Compensation Philosophy Pay for Performance	page 12
Compensation Process.	page 14
Policy Regarding Compensation in Excess of \$1 Million a Year	page 14
Other Benefits	page 14

Executive Summary

In 2014, the Company s financial performance was significantly above 2013 levels. EPS grew by 23% and total shareholder return was 45% for the year. In May 2014, the Company s stockholders cast a 90% vote in favor of the Company s 2013 executive compensation. The Compensation Committee took the following steps, consistent with such vote, to continue to align 2014 compensation with performance and shareholder interests.

- 1. The Company did not change base salaries for its executive officers in 2014. In fact, base salaries for the CEO and CFO have not changed during the last 17 years.
- 2. The Company set 2014 target cash bonus levels at the same amounts as 2013 target bonus levels. Target equity values for 2014 were also the same as 2013 target equity values. This was notwithstanding a 14% increase in 2014 target earnings per share (EPS) as compared to 2013 actual EPS.
- 3. Cash bonuses were subject to two annual performance conditions net income (80% weighting) and revenue (20% weighting). Target amounts for 2014 were set to achieve 13.15% annual growth in net income and 7.64% annual growth in revenue. Actual 2014 performance was achieved at 107% of target net income and 103% of target revenue. As a result, bonuses for 2014 were equal to 106% of 2014 target bonuses.
- 4. All equity awards issued to executive officers during 2014 were again 100% performance shares. Performance share grants were subject to three-year cliff vesting and two performance conditions annual EPS and a modifier based on three-year cumulative total shareholder return (TSR) relative to an industry GICS index. Target EPS for 2014 was set to achieve 14% Annual EPS growth.

Actual 2014 EPS was achieved at 108% of target. The three-year TSR modifier for the 2014 grant was set such that relative TSR above or below the 50th percentile would result in stipulated increases or decreases to the granted shares. For 2014, the first year of the three-year period, the Company s calculated TSR was 45% which ranked it at the 9th percentile of the comparative index. However, because the TSR modifier is ultimately a three-year cumulative calculation, two years remain before the final result will be determined.

- 5. In 2014, 94% of the total compensation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer was based on Company performance.
- 6. The Compensation Committee believes that 2014 compensation is directly aligned with performance.

In line with the Compensation Committee s pay for performance philosophy, the compensation of the CEO, when expressed as a percentage of the Company s total market capitalization, was 0.16% as compared with a median of 0.48% for the staffing industry, as illustrated below.

* 2014 Staffing Firm Executive Compensation Analysis prepared by Equilar Inc., for Staffing Industry Analysts on 10/28/2014 for chief executive officers at 57 global staffing firms. (Compensation data for 2013 was used as it was the latest data available.)

Compensation Governance

At the May 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company s 2013 compensation was approved by 90% of the shares present and entitled to vote.

The Company s compensation program has the following features for alignment with best practices:

Hedging and Pledging Policy	The Company does not allow any pledging or hedging of Company stock by directors, officers, and employees.
Maximum Award Amounts	The Compensation Committee establishes caps on maximum awards with appropriate balance between long-term and short-term objectives.
No Dividends on Unearned Shares	Equity awards do not receive dividends until all performance conditions and time vesting requirements have been satisfied. Dividends declared prior to the satisfaction of all requirements are accrued but not paid until the shares vest. If a portion of the award is forfeited, the accrued dividends on that portion will also be forfeited.
Clawback Policy	The Compensation Committee has adopted an Executive Compensation Clawback Policy. This policy is available at the Company s website at <i>www.roberthalf.com</i> in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Center tab. The Company has never restated its financial statements.
Severance Benefits Policy	The Compensation Committee has adopted a Compensation Committee Policy Regarding Severance Benefits for Executive Officers, pursuant to which future severance agreements with any executive officer shall not, individually or in the aggregate, provide severance benefits, as defined in the policy, that exceed 2.99 times the sum of such executive officer s base salary and annual bonus. This policy is available at the Company s website at <i>www.roberthalf.com</i> in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Center tab. No executive officer has ever been terminated under circumstances that required severance payments.
Share Ownership Policy	The Board of Directors has adopted a policy regarding minimum required share ownership by the Company s executive officers. Details regarding such policy are available at the Company s website at <i>www.roberthalf.com</i> in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Center tab. Pursuant to such policy, the minimum number of shares that Messrs. Messmer, Waddell, Gentzkow, Glass, and Buckley are required to own are 184,643, 93,201, 93,201, 72,099, and 55,198, respectively. Each such officer owns significantly more shares than the minimum requirement.
	The Board of Directors has also adopted a policy regarding minimum required share ownership by the Company s directors. Details regarding such policy are available at the Company s website at <i>www.roberthalf.com</i> in the Corporate Governance section under the Investor Center tab. Pursuant to such policy, each director is required to own a minimum of 10,000 shares no later than three years from the commencement of such individual s current tenure as director. Each director also owns significantly more shares than the minimum requirement.

No Excise Tax Gross-Up Payments The Compensation Committee has established that no excise tax gross up payments shall be made to executive officers or outside directors in the event of a change in control.

No Perquisites

The Compensation Committee again provided no perquisites to the executive officers during 2014.

2014 Financial Highlights

In the view of the Compensation Committee, management achieved excellent results in 2014, as noted below:

- 1. The Company s TSR for 2014 was 45%.
- 2. The Company s EPS for 2014 was 23% higher than the EPS for the prior year.
- 3 The fourth quarter of 2014 marked the 19th consecutive quarter of double-digit net income and EPS percentage growth on a year-over-year basis.
- 4. The Company s return on equity for 2014 was 32%.
- 5. The Company had operating cash flow of \$341 million in 2014, which helped to fund approximately \$162 million in stock repurchases on the open market, \$63 million in capital expenditures and the payment of \$98 million in dividends to stockholders. The cash dividend has been raised every year since it was initiated in 2004.
- 6. The Company has returned \$1.1 billion to stockholders during the past five years in the form of either dividends or stock repurchases.
- 7. The Company ended the year with \$287 million in cash and cash equivalents and virtually no debt. Longstanding, conservative financial policies have left the Company with the financial resources to expand as the economy allows.
- 8. In March 2014, the Company was named to FORTUNE[®] magazine s Most Admired Companies list, an honor it has achieved for the last 15 years.
- 9. In 2014, Mr. Messmer (the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer) and Mr. Waddell (the Company s Vice Chairman, President and Chief Financial Officer) were each individually named in the Company s applicable business sector to *Institutional Investor* magazine s 2014 All-America Executive Team, which honors the #1 ranked Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (as determined by buy-side institutional investors).
- 10. The Company retained all key executives and field personnel during the year, which it believes is critical to its future success.

2014 Compensation Highlights

The ratio of the CEO s performance-based compensation to total compensation for 2014 was 94%. In other words, only 6% of the CEO s compensation was fixed and the remainder depended on Company performance. Compensation for the Company s CEO for 2014 as compared with 2013 was as follows:

Base Salary

No Change

Cash Bonus	+8%
Value of Performance Shares Closing Price on Day of Grant(a)	No Change
Company Increase in EPS over Prior Year	+23%

(a) If the 2014 performance shares were valued using the Monte Carlo method, as described in the 2014 Summary Compensation Table appearing below, there would be a 3% decrease in the value of the award over 2013.

The ratio of the CEO s performance-based stock awards to total stock awards for 2014 was 100%. Therefore, none of the stock awards were absolute and all were dependent on the Company s performance.

As discussed below and in the descriptions that appear under the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table, each 2014 award under the Annual Performance Bonus Plan and the Stock Incentive Plan was subject to modification depending on various metrics (2014 revenue and 2014 net income for the Annual Performance Bonus Plan and

2014 EPS and three-year TSR for the Stock Incentive Plan). The Compensation Committee adopted target goals that it believed were realistically possible to achieve but not easily achieved. The realistic nature of the targets is borne out by the fact that, with respect to the last ten years, the annual Target EPS set for compensation purposes was achieved six times and not achieved four times.

As is its customary practice, in setting the Targets for 2014, the Compensation Committee considered the Company s annual strategic plan, consensus Wall Street estimates and other items. For 2014, the Target EPS was \$2.09, the target revenue was \$4.57 billion and the target net income was \$285 million. The actual EPS, revenue and net income for the year were \$2.26, \$4.70 billion and \$306 million, respectively.

2014 Annual Performance Bonus Plan

The 2014 bonus award was computed in accordance with a formula whereby the ratio of actual performance relative to target performance is applied directly to target bonuses on a one-for-one basis. For example, if actual performance relative to each of the specified metrics exceeds target by 20%, then actual bonuses exceed target by 20%. As noted above, the Compensation Committee selected revenue and net income as the metrics to emphasize both top line and bottom line performance and avoid any duplication of metrics under the Annual Performance Bonus Plan and the Stock Incentive Plan. For 2014, target bonus awards, target metrics and actual results, and actual bonus awards were as follows:

	Target Bonus	Actual Revenue / Target Revenue (20% weight)	Actual Net Income / Target Net Income (80% weight)	Satisfaction of Performance Metrics (% of target)	Actual Bonus
Mr. Messmer	\$ 3,121,607				\$ 3,318,281
Mr. Waddell	\$ 2,263,599	\$4.70 B / \$4.57 B	\$306 M / \$285 M	%	\$ 2,406,215
Mr. Gentzkow	\$ 2,037,239			106	\$ 2,165,593
Mr. Glass	\$ 641,351	(103% of target)	(107% of target)		\$ 681,759
Mr. Buckley	\$ 735,000	(100 /0 01 00 00 00)	(107 / 01 01 01 00 00)		\$ 781,308

For 2014, the target metric for revenue of \$4.57 billion was an increase of 8% over 2013 and for net income of \$285 million was an increase of 13% over 2013. Target bonuses for 2014 were set by the Compensation Committee at the same levels as 2013 target bonuses without increase.

2014 Performance Shares

All equity awards issued to executive officers during 2014 were again 100% performance shares. When making its determination with respect to the 2014 grant of performance shares to each executive, the Compensation Committee considered such items as the value of the previous year s grant, the number of shares granted the previous year, the price of the Company s stock, the performance of the Company in the prior year, the Target EPS and Target TSR performance metrics set with respect to the grant, the levels of other compensation granted to the executive, the total compensation package for the executive and the individual performance of each executive. The Compensation Committee does not assign specific weights to individual items. Rather the Compensation Committee exercises its business judgment based, in large part, on the Compensation Committee s long-term experience in compensating the management team in a manner that incents the team to produce consistently favorable results for stockholders.

The Compensation Committee determined the target value of the CEO s 2014 performance share grant by first determining his target total direct compensation for 2014, which the Compensation Committee determined should be the same as his target total direct compensation for 2013 with base salary, target bonus and target performance share amounts for 2014 also the same as 2013 amounts. To determine the number of performance shares to be issued, the Compensation Committee used the closing price on the date of grant.

Each of the 2014 grants was made subject to (1) reduction based on earnings per share for 2014, (2) reduction or increase based on TSR for the three year period from January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2016, and (3) time vesting.

The earnings per share requirement provided that the award would be reduced proportionately if actual diluted earnings per share for 2014 did not equal or exceed the target established in March 2014 by the Compensation Committee. For 2014, the target earnings per share established by the Compensation Committee was \$2.09 (an increase of 14% over 2013) and the actual earnings per share were \$2.26, so no reduction was effected and all of the shares remain subject to the three year TSR modifier (50% up or down) and the three year cliff vesting requirement. In setting the target, the Compensation Committee considered the Company s annual strategic plan, consensus Wall Street estimates and other items.

The TSR requirement provided for increase or decrease of the 2014 award by as much as 50% based on how the Company s TSR for the period from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2016 compares to an industry GICS index for the same period. If the Company s TSR is below the 50th percentile, 3 1/3% of the award will be forfeited for each percentile, to a maximum decrease of 50% of the award at the 35th percentile or below. If the Company s TSR is above the 50th percentile, the award will be increased by 2% for each percentile, to a maximum increase of 50% of the total shares shall be forfeited. For 2014, the first year of the three-year period, the Company s calculated TSR was 45% which ranked it at the 9th percentile of the comparative index. However, because the TSR modifier is ultimately a three-year cumulative calculation, two years remain before the final result will be determined.

The time vesting requirement provides that the 2014 award vests in full on the third anniversary of the grant date on a cliff basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the time vesting requirement (but <u>not</u> the earnings per share requirement or the TSR requirement) is waived upon the recipient s death or termination of employment due to total and permanent disability.

No portion of the 2014 performance share award may be released to the recipient until such portion is no longer subject to any of the three requirements (earnings per share, TSR and time vesting).

The Compensation Committee and Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., the Compensation committee s independent compensation consultant, believe that the 2014 awards under the Stock Incentive Plan and the Annual Performance Bonus Plan, considered in the context of each individual s total compensation opportunity and the conditions applicable to such awards, are at competitive levels necessary for retention of the current executive officers and for incenting them to continue to provide superior results to stockholders. It also believes that the relationship of total compensation among the named executive officers is appropriate for purposes of internal equity in light of their roles and responsibilities.

Compensation Philosophy Pay for Performance

The Compensation Committee believes that setting compensation at levels designed to attract and retain key individuals is critical to the success of a personal services business in which there are few tangible assets and in which people represent the true assets of the Company. The Compensation Committee is also mindful of the fact that the Company s industry is fractured with a myriad of private firms owned by entrepreneurial individuals or financed by private equity firms representing the Company s most effective competition in many markets. Successful competitors generate large financial rewards to the owners as the Company knows from its acquisitions of such firms over the years. It is imperative that the Company s compensation program provide significant cash and equity incentives to its key managers so as to compete with both public and private companies for this talent, and the Compensation Committee believes the Company s compensation program achieves this result.

The Compensation Committee further believes that the Company has an outstanding management team that has produced excellent returns since the inception of the Company s current business in 1986. The Company s management has been stable for over two decades: four of the executive officers (Messrs. Messmer, Waddell, Gentzkow and Glass) have been with the Company since the 1980s. Mr. Messmer negotiated the purchase of Robert Half Incorporated, the predecessor to the Company, in 1986 and has been responsible for recruiting the officers and other managers with whom he has directed the growth of the Company ever since that time. This includes the formation of Protiviti, which, since its formation in 2002, has grown from revenues of \$18 million in its first full quarter of operation to approximately \$624 million of annual revenues in 2014. The annual revenues of Robert Half Incorporated at the time of its purchase in 1986 were approximately \$7 million. In fiscal 2014, the Company s revenues were approximately \$4.70 billion.

In the opinion of the Compensation Committee, the Company is fortunate to have a group of outstanding leaders who possess not only considerable management talent but also great entrepreneurial vision, as demonstrated by a series of highly successful new divisions added to the Company s business since 1991, including the aforementioned Protiviti subsidiary. The Compensation Committee s view is that, as a personal services business, it is in the Company s long-term best interest to be known as an organization offering the opportunity to achieve superior remuneration in the industry. The Company believes the vast majority of such remuneration should be contingent on achieving superior performance and, indeed, makes bonuses subject to achievement of goals the Compensation Committee sets and, further, makes annual grants of equity incentives subject to partial or total forfeiture depending on the achievement of goals set by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee s policy to provide the opportunity for top level compensation and incentives for extraordinary results has been essentially unchanged for many years, and it is believed that the success of this policy is reflected by the superior results that management has achieved for the Company.

As part of its effort to emphasize performance-based compensation, the Compensation Committee has set base salaries at levels it considers modest and which, in the case of Messrs. Messmer and Waddell, have not been increased since 1998. The Compensation Committee instead heavily weights remuneration toward performance-based compensation. An examination of the Summary Compensation Table will show that the vast majority of each executive s total direct compensation (base salary, bonus and performance-based cash payments earned under the stockholder-approved Stock Incentive Plan and performance-based cash payments earned under the stockholder-approved Annual Performance Bonus Plan. In 2014, 94% of total CEO compensation was based on Company performance.

While the Compensation Committee is responsible for executive officers compensation, the philosophy of providing the opportunity for superior remuneration for superior long-term performance is applied to all of the Company s professionals. The Company believes its long-term success is due to its ability to attract and retain top talent capable of superior performance and that the Company s compensation practices are an important element in the Company s continuing ability to attract and retain top talent.

Compensation Process

Each component of compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines what changes, if any, should be made to continuing arrangements, such as base salaries and fringe benefits. When determining compensation for the coming year, the Compensation Committee reviews (a) the Company s results for the prior year, (b) the issues that will confront the Company in the coming year, (c) the individual performance of the executive officers, (d) the need to set compensation at levels that promote retention and (e) such other information it deems appropriate. The Compensation Committee does not assign specific weights to these factors. However, the most important of these factors is the Company s performance and, as described in this CD&A, the vast majority of executive compensation is highly contingent upon the Company s results.

Independent Compensation Consultant

In addition, the Compensation Committee from time to time considers executive compensation at competitors and other companies (including the aforementioned staffing industry study) as well as such factors as compensation as a percentage of total market value. After such review, it makes its ultimate determinations using its business judgment based upon its evaluation of such information and its long-term experience with the Company. The Compensation Committee has retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FWC) as its independent compensation consultant. FWC is retained directly by the Compensation Committee (and not on behalf of management) and performs no other consulting or other services for the Company. In compliance with SEC and NYSE rules, the Committee annually reviews information related to FWC s relationship with the Company, the members of the Compensation Committee and the Company s executive officers. The Committee confirmed that FWC does not provide any other services to the Company or its management except with respect to the services provided on behalf of the Committee, and that FWC had no business or personal relationship with any member of the Committee or executive officer. The Committee also reviewed information on the fees paid to FWC (which represent less than 0.1% of FWC s total consulting income during the same period) and FWC s ownership of any Company securities. Considering this information, the Committee has determined that FWC is independent and that its work for the Committee during 2014 has not raised a conflict of interest. While the Compensation Committee receives input from the CEO and CFO and discusses compensation with them, the ultimate decision regarding compensation is solely at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Policy Regarding Compensation in Excess of \$1 Million a Year

Section 162(m) generally disallows a tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our CEO and the three other most highly compensated named executive officers (excluding the CFO) employed at the end of the year. Certain compensation is specifically exempt from the deduction limit to the extent that it is performance based as defined in Section 162(m).

The 2014 awards under the Stock Incentive Plan and the Annual Performance Bonus Plan are intended to comply with the exception for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). In order to maintain flexibility in rewarding individual performance and contributions, the Compensation Committee will not limit all the amounts paid under all of the Company s compensation programs to just those that qualify for tax deductibility. In addition, because of the fact-based nature of the performance-based compensation exception and the limited amount of binding-related guidance, the Company cannot guarantee that compensation that is intended to comply with the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) will in fact so qualify.

Other Benefits

As indicated by the tables appearing below, in addition to the foregoing compensation, each executive also participates in non-tax-qualified deferred compensation arrangements. The Compensation Committee considers

deferred compensation arrangements to be appropriate for a corporation of the Company s size, and, in light of the moderate salaries and long service and historical results of management, believes that the amounts have been set at reasonable levels, particularly in light of the fact that the Company does not provide tax-qualified retirement arrangements for these executives. A detailed description of how the deferred compensation arrangements operate is set forth below in the two paragraphs under the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.

Various agreements, as described elsewhere in this proxy statement, provide for severance benefits in the event of a termination of employment before or after a change in control. (See the discussion below in connection with the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and the discussion below under the heading Employment Agreement and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.) As indicated by such text, the triggering events and benefits vary among each such arrangement, plan or agreement, and the severance benefits do not include for any executive officer an excise tax gross-up payment. Such triggering events and benefits were selected by the Compensation Committee in light of competitive conditions and customary practices at the time of their implementation, and the Compensation Committee believes that they continue to be reasonable.

2014 Supplemental Summary Compensation Table Reflecting Restricted Stock Grants Valued at the Closing Price at Date of Grant

The following table summarizes compensation for the Named Executive Officers (the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the three other executive officers who had the highest compensation for 2014) with performance share awards valued at the closing price on the date of grant. The rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to the Summary Compensation Table, which appears as the first item in the Compensation Tables section, specify that performance based restricted stock awards should be valued in accordance with formulas specified in Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Certification Topic 718, which used the Monte Carlo Simulated Method for 2014. The Compensation Committee believes the valuation of performance share awards using the closing price on the date of grant is a more appropriate method of determining equity award values. No other variations exist between the following table and the Summary Compensation Table.

			Stock Awards								Change in Pension			
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Number of Shares	Market Value on Grant Date(a)		tion ard í		on-Equity Incentive Plan pensation(Nor D Com	alue and aqualified eferred apensation rnings(cC		ll Other pensation(d	l) Total
Harold M. Messmer, Jr. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2014 2013 2012	\$ 525,000 \$ 525,000 \$ 525,000	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	106,069 127,095 167,423	\$ 4,449,595 \$ 4,449,596 \$ 4,944,001		0	\$ \$ \$	3,318,281 3,080,165 3,121,607	\$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ 8,292,876 \$ 8,054,761 \$ 8,590,608
M. Keith Waddell Vice Chairman, President and Chief Financial Officer	2014 2013 2012	\$ 265,000 \$ 265,000 \$ 265,000	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	85,173 102,057 134,440	\$ 3,573,007 \$ 3,573,016 \$ 3,970,013	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$	2,406,215 2,233,547 2,263,599	\$	63,888 66,746 78,613	\$ \$ \$	400,682 374,782 379,290	\$ 6,708,792 \$ 6,513,091 \$ 6,956,516
Paul F. Gentzkow President and Chief Operating Officer-Staffing Services	2014 2013 2012	\$ 265,000 \$ 265,000 \$ 265,000	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	71,764 85,990 113,275	\$ 3,010,500 \$ 3,010,510 \$ 3,345,011		0	\$ \$ \$	2,165,593 2,010,193 2,037,239	\$	53,879 56,068 65,732	\$ \$ \$	364,589 341,279 345,336	\$ 5,859,561 \$ 5,683,050 \$ 6,058,318
Robert W. Glass Executive Vice President, Corporate Development	2014 2013 2012	\$ 245,000 \$ 245,000 \$ 245,000	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	18,150 21,748 28,649	\$ 761,393 \$ 761,397 \$ 846,005	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$	681,759 632,836 641,351		24,764 25,991 30,781	\$ \$ \$	139,014 131,675 132,953	\$ 1,851,930 \$ 1,796,899 \$ 1,896,090
Michael C. Buckley Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Treasurer	2014 2013 2012	\$ 265,000 \$ 265,000 \$ 265,000	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	22,527 26,992 35,557	\$ 945,008 \$ 944,990 \$ 1,049,998	\$ \$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$	781,308 725,242 735,000	\$	11,311 11,096 12,075	\$ \$ \$	156,946 148,536 150,000	\$ 2,159,573 \$ 2,094,864 \$ 2,212,073

(a) All amounts in this column represent grant date value of the underlying stock at the date of grant computed by multiplying the number of shares granted by the closing price per share of the Company s stock on the date of grant.

- (b) Consists of cash payments made under the Annual Performance Bonus Plan, as described below the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.
- (c) Consists of interest in excess of the applicable IRS rate on nonqualified deferred compensation plans determined in accordance with applicable regulations. See the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table below for further information.
- (d) The amounts in this column for 2014 consist of allocations pursuant to defined contribution plans, as described in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.

COMPENSATION TABLES

2014 Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes compensation for the Named Executive Officers (the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the three other executive officers who had the highest compensation for 2014) in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

			Stock Awards							F	Change in Pension alue and			
				Number					lon-Equity Incentive		nqualified eferred			
				of		-	tion		Plan		pensation			
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Shares	Value(a)			Con	pensation(rnings(c)C	omj	pensation(d	
Harold M. Messmer, Jr.	2014	\$ 525,000	\$ 0	106,069	\$ 5,312,996	\$	0	\$	3,318,281	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 9,156,277
	2013	\$ 525,000	\$ 0	127,095	\$ 5,470,169	\$	0	\$	3,080,165	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 9,075,334
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 525,000	\$ 0	167,423	\$ 4,944,001	\$	0	\$	3,121,607	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 8,590,608
M. Keith Waddell	2014	\$ 265,000	\$ 0	85,173	\$ 4,266,316	\$	0	\$	2,406,215	\$	63,888	\$	400,682	\$ 7,402,100
Wi. Kettii waddeli	2014	\$ 265,000	\$ 0 \$ 0	102,057	\$ 4,200,310			Տ	2,400,213		66,746	.թ Տ	374,782	\$ 7,332,608
Vice Chairman, President	2013	\$ 265,000 \$ 265,000	\$ 0 \$ 0	134,440	\$ 3,970,013			\$	2,263,599		78,613	\$	379,290	\$ 6,956,516
and Chief Financial Officer														
Paul F. Gentzkow	2014	\$ 265,000	\$ 0	71,764	\$ 3,594,659	\$	0	\$	2,165,593	\$	53,879	\$	364,589	\$ 6,443,720
	2013	\$ 265,000	\$ 0	85,990	\$ 3,701,010	\$	0	\$	2,010,193	\$	56,068	\$	341,279	\$ 6,373,550
President and Chief	2012	\$ 265,000	\$ 0	113,275	\$ 3,345,011	\$	0	\$	2,037,239	\$	65,732	\$	345,336	\$ 6,058,318
Operating Officer-Staffing Services														
Robert W. Glass	2014	\$ 245,000	\$ 0	18,150	\$									
Executive Vice President, Corporate														

Development