BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD QUALITY FUND III, INC.

Form N-CSRS April 05, 2019

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM N-CSR**

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-06540

Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. (MYI)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniYield Quality

Fund III, Inc., 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2019

Date of reporting period: 01/31/2019

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JANUARY 31, 2019

## SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MYN)

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. (MYI)

Beginning on January 1, 2021, as permitted by regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, paper copies of each Fund s shareholder reports will no longer be sent by mail, unless you specifically request paper copies of the reports from BlackRock or from your financial intermediary, such as a broker-dealer or bank. Instead, the reports will be made available on a website, and you will be notified by mail each time a report is posted and provided with a website link to access the report.

You may elect to receive all future reports in paper free of charge. If you hold accounts directly with BlackRock, you can call Computershare at (800) 699-1236 to request that you continue receiving paper copies of your shareholder reports. If you hold accounts through a financial intermediary, you can follow the instructions included with this disclosure, if applicable, or contact your financial intermediary to request that you continue to receive paper copies of your shareholder reports. Please note that not all financial intermediaries may offer this service. Your election to receive reports in paper will apply to all funds advised by BlackRock Advisors, LLC or its affiliates, or all funds held with your financial intermediary, as applicable.

If you already elected to receive shareholder reports electronically, you will not be affected by this change and you need not take any action. You may elect to receive electronic delivery of shareholder reports and other communications by contacting your financial intermediary, if you hold accounts through a financial intermediary. Please note that not all financial intermediaries may offer this service.

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

#### The Markets in Review

#### Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended January 31, 2019, concerns about a variety of political risks and a modest slowdown in global growth worked against the equity market, while the bond market delivered modest positive returns. Though the market s appetite for risk remained healthy for most of the reporting period, risk-taking declined sharply later in the reporting period. As a result, bonds held their value better than stocks, which posted negative returns across the globe. Shorter-term, higher-quality securities led the bond market, and U.S. equities outperformed most international stock markets.

Volatility rose in emerging market stocks, as the rising U.S. dollar and higher interest rates in the U.S. disrupted economic growth abroad. U.S.-China trade relations and debt concerns adversely affected the Chinese stock market, while Turkey and Argentina became embroiled in currency crises, largely due to hyperinflation in both countries. An economic slowdown in Europe also led to negative performance for European equities.

Volatility in the U.S. equity market spiked in October, as a wide range of risks were brought to bear on markets, ranging from rising interest rates and slowing global growth to heightened trade tensions and political turmoil in several countries, including the United States. These risks manifested in a broad based sell-off in December, leading to the worst December performance on record since 1931.

By comparison, fixed income securities delivered modest positive returns with relatively low volatility. In fixed income markets, short-term U.S. Treasury interest rates rose the fastest, while longer-term rates were relatively unchanged. This led to positive returns for U.S. Treasuries and a substantial flattening of the yield curve. Although the credit fundamentals in corporate markets remained relatively solid, investment-grade and high-yield bonds trailed U.S. Treasuries.

The U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed ) increased short-term interest rates four times during the reporting period. The Fed also continued to reduce its balance sheet, gradually reversing the unprecedented stimulus measures it enacted after the financial crisis. By our estimation, the Fed s neutral interest rate (the theoretical rate that is neither stimulative nor restrictive to the economy) is approximately 3.5%. The Fed funds rate is currently at 2.5%, which is stimulative to the economy. At its latest meeting in late January, the Fed left interest rates unchanged and signaled a slower pace of rate hikes in response to the global economic slowdown. Relatively low inflation gives the Fed room to maintain support for the economy until the economic data builds the case for changing interest rates.

Although fears of recession drove equity volatility higher at the end of 2018, we continue to believe the probability of recession in 2019 remains relatively low. Economic growth and global earnings are likely to slow somewhat in 2019 the tax cut stimulus will be less pronounced, and the Fed s rate hikes in 2018 will gain traction in 2019. Trade frictions look more baked into asset prices than a year ago, but markets may be overlooking European political risks. Consequently, we are cautious on European equities, as European unity remains tenuous with a history of flare-ups. We continue to prefer to take risk in U.S. and emerging market equities. Within U.S. equities, we believe that companies with high-quality earnings and strong balance sheets offer the most attractive risk/reward trade-off. We also favor short-term bonds over long-term bonds because they offer nearly equivalent yields with far lower volatility.

In this environment, investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes, and be nimble as market conditions change. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,

# Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

# Total Returns as of January 31, 2019

-	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities	(3.00)%	(2.31)%
(S&P 500 <sup>®</sup> Index)		
U.S. small cap equities	(9.62)	(3.52)
(Russell 2000 <sup>®</sup> Index)		
International equities	(7.80)	(12.51)
(MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities	(2.60)	(14.24)
(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	1.10	1.95
(ICE BofAML 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	4.20	3.21
(ICE BofAML 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment grade bonds	2.71	2.25
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal bonds	1.86	3.08
(S&P Municipal Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	1.07	1.73
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped		
Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE IS NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

# Table of Contents

	Page
The Markets in Review	2
Semi-Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	5
Fund Summaries	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	14
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	39
Statements of Operations	40
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	41
Statements of Cash Flows	45
Financial Highlights	46
Notes to Financial Statements	50
Director and Officer Information	60
Additional Information	61
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	63

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended January 31, 2019

#### **Municipal Market Conditions**

Municipal bonds experienced positive performance during the period, despite challenged total returns during most of 2018 as interest rates moved higher on the back of continued Fed policy normalization, fiscal stimulus, strong economic growth, and increased U.S. Treasury issuance. Performance turned particularly strong late in the year, with interest rates rallying as the Fed began to indicate a pivot from forecast based to data driven policy and the potential for a slower pace of future rate hikes. During the period, demand for the asset class remained firm, although displayed some bouts of volatility. Broadly, investors favored the tax-exempt income, diversification, quality, and value of municipal bonds given that tax reform ultimately lowered the top individual tax rate just 2.6% while eliminating deductions. During the 12 months ended January 31, 2019, municipal bond funds experienced net inflows of approximately \$2.7 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance underwhelmed from a historical perspective at \$315 billion (below the \$394 billion issued in the prior 12-month period), a direct result of the elimination of advanced refundings through the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. This shift transitioned the market from an existing net positive supply environment to a much more favorable net negative supply environment in which reinvestment income (coupons, calls, and maturities) largely outstripped gross issuance and provided a powerful technical tailwind.

A Closer Look at Yields

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of January 31, 2019 6 months: 1.86%

12 months: 3.08%

From January 31, 2018 to January 31, 2019, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds increased by 11 basis points (bps) from 2.91% to 3.02%, while 10-year rates decreased by 18 bps from 2.35% to 2.17% and 5-year rates decreased by 7 bps from 1.83% to 1.76% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve was nearly unchanged over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities bear steepening just 1 bp, which is significant given that the corresponding U.S. Treasury curve bear flattened 26 bps. (Bear steepening is the widening of the yield curve caused by long-term rates increasing at a faster rate than short-term rates. Bear flattened is a yield-rate environment in which

short-term interest rates are increasing at a faster rate than long-term interest rates.) The municipal yield curve is now more than 2.5 times steeper than the U.S. Treasury curve.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds strongly outperformed U.S. Treasuries, driven by the front and intermediate portions of the yield curve. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income, incremental yield, and tax shelter in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

#### **Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers**

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized problems among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding California, New York, Texas and Florida continue to exhibit improved credit fundamentals. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities are faced with elevated borrowing costs and difficult budgetary decisions. Across the country on the local level, property values support credit stability. Standard & Poor s recent decision to remove its negative outlook on New Mexico underscores the improvement in state finances as it was the only remaining state with the designation. Revenue bonds continue to drive performance as investors continue to seek higher yield bonds in the tobacco sector. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of January 31, 2019, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

4

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value ( NAV ) of, their common shares ( Common Shares ). However, there is no guarantee that these objectives can be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Funds (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Funds—shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Fund s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Fund with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Fund s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Fund s longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Funds—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Funds had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Funds—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Funds—obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Funds—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Funds intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Fund s NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Fund s Common Shares than if the Fund were not leveraged. In addition, each Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Fund s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Fund incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Funds investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Funds investment adviser will be higher than if the Funds did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Fund has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares ( VRDP Shares ) or Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares ( VMTP Shares ) (collectively, Preferred Shares ) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts ( TOB Trusts ) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Fund is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Fund may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Fund may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares—governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Fund segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Fund sobligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then the TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Funds may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, commodity, index, market, and/or other assets without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. The Funds—successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser—s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Funds—investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

THE BENEFITS AND RISKS OF LEVERAGING / DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

5

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. s (MUE) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). The municipal obligations in which the Fund primarily invests are either rated investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )	MUE
Initial Offering Date	February 26, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$12.01) <sup>(a)</sup>	4.90%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	8.28%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0490
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.5880
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 <sup>(d)</sup>	38%

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.80%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain.
- (d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

#### **Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV	
$MUE^{(a)(b)}$	(0.24)%	1.00%	
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c)	2.46	0.94	

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

6

#### The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

The Fund s positions in the transportation, pre-refunded, state-tax backed and utilities sectors contributed to performance. Its allocation to the tobacco sector, while limited, detracted.

The Fund s allocation to higher-rated issues, which outpaced lower-quality bonds, aided results.

Income made a meaningful contribution to performance relative to price appreciation. The Fund s use of leverage augmented the contribution from income.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that U.S. Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Fund s return.

Reinvestment had an adverse effect on the Fund s income, as the proceeds of higher-yielding bonds that matured or were called needed to be reinvested at lower prevailing rates.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.

## Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	01/31/19	07/31/18	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.01	\$ 12.36	(2.83)%	\$12.38	\$11.28
Net Asset Value	13.33	13.55	(1.62)	13.55	13.07

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Total Investments\*

#### SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	01/31/19	07/31/18
Transportation	37%	35%
County/City/Special District/School District	14	18
Utilities	12	14
Education.	11	10
Health	10	9
State	9	8
Housing	3	3
Corporate	2	1
Tobacco	2	2

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

# CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	11%
2020	3
2021	17
2022	5
2023	23

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- \* Excludes short-term securities.

## **CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** (a)

Credit Rating	01/31/19	07/31/18
AAA/Aaa	2%	5%
AA/Aa	50	53
A	32	30
BBB/Baa	12	9
$N/R^{(b)}$	4	3

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s ( S&P ) or Moody s Investors Service ( Moody s ) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality.

Fund Summary 7

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. s (MCA) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal and California income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MCA
Initial Offering Date	October 30, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$13.00) <sup>(a)</sup>	4.80%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	10.46%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0520
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.6240
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 <sup>(d)</sup>	42%

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 54.10%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

#### **Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based		
	On		
	Market Price	NAV	
$MCA^{(a)(b)}$	0.45%	0.69%	
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds <sup>(c)</sup>	2.77	0.80	

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund s discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

#### The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

California municipal bonds lagged the national market. However, the state s debt gained a measure of support from strong demand among retail investors looking for tax-exempt income in a state with the country s most punitive income tax regime. The credit quality of state and local authorities remained consistent, but investors were alert for any changes in fiscal responsibility demonstrated by the new governor and his administration.

Income, which was enhanced by leverage, was the largest contributor to Fund performance. However, the cost of leverage became more expensive during the period due to the Fed s two interest rate increases.

Positions in short-dated maturities were top performers on a price basis, as yields fell the most for bonds with maturities of ten years and below. (Prices and yields move in opposite directions.) Longer-dated maturities, while experiencing less price appreciation than short-term issues, provided the Fund with an attractive level of income.

At the sector level, positions in transportation and school district issues aided results. In both cases, holdings in higher-quality bonds were key contributors. Conversely, an allocation to the tobacco sector was a slight detractor. The sector experienced yield spread widening, which led to poor performance relative to other market segments.

The Fund s higher-quality mandate proved beneficial given that higher-rated bonds outperformed in the period.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that U.S. Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Fund s return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

## Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	01/31/19	07/31/18	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.00	\$ 13.30	(2.26)%	\$13.48	\$12.34
Net Asset Value	14.96	15.27	(2.03)	15.27	14.65

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Total Investments\*

#### SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	01/31/19	07/31/18
County/City/Special District/School District	33%	32%
Health	18	17
Transportation	18	16
Utilities	13	15
Education	12	14
State	4	4
Corporate	1	1
Tobacco	1	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

## CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (b)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	14%
2020	6
2021	11
2022	3
2023	6

(b) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

# CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

Credit Rating	01/31/19	07/31/18
AAA/Aaa	8%	9%
AA/Aa	71	72
A	15	13
BBB/Baa	3	3
N/R	3	3

(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

Fund Summary 9

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes short-term securities.

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. s (MYN) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes and New York State and New York City personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MYN
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$11.93) <sup>(a)</sup>	4.27%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	8.48%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0425
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.5100
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 <sup>(d)</sup>	40%

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 49.62%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

#### **Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

Returns Based
On

Market Price NAV
2.55% 1.47%

 $MYN^{(a)(b)} \\$ 

1.12

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund s discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

## The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

The New York municipal market finished somewhat behind the national indexes due primarily to elevated new-issue supply. While New York continues to benefit from a broad and diverse economic base, a tax revenue shortfall possibly driven by changes stemming from the federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act had an adverse effect on investor sentiment. In addition to making revenue forecasting more of a challenge, the tax-law changes made New York s tax structure less competitive relative to lower-tax states.

Income, which was enhanced by leverage, was the largest contributor to Fund performance. However, the cost of leverage became more expensive during the period due to the Fed s two interest rate increases.

The Fund s position in the housing sector, which has an above-average sensitivity to the direction of bond yields, also contributed to Fund performance.

The Fund s yield curve positioning detracted from Fund performance, largely as a result of an underweight in the outperforming five- to ten-year maturity area.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that U.S. Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Fund s return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

## Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	01/31/19	07/31/18	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 11.93	\$ 11.89	0.34%	\$11.97	\$11.09
Net Asset Value	13.64	13.74	(0.73)	13.74	13.23

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Total Investments\*

#### SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	01/31/19	07/31/18
Transportation	23%	25%
County/City/Special District/School District	18	15
Education	17	18
State	15	17
Utilities	14	14
Health	6	6
Housing	4	2
Corporate	2	2
Tobacco	1	1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

# CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	11%
2020	4
2021	16
2022	9
2023	9

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- \* Excludes short-term securities.

## CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION (a)

Credit Rating	01/31/19 07/	31/18
AAA/Aaa	11%	20%
AA/Aa	57	48
A	22	23
BBB/Baa	6	5
BB/Ba	1	
N/R	3	4

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2019 and July 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and 1%, respectively, of the Fund s total investments.

Fund Summary 11

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

#### **Fund Overview**

**BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.** s (MYI) (the Fund ) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from U.S. federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Fund s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

#### **Fund Information**

Symbol on NYSE	MYI
Initial Offering Date	March 27, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$12.44) <sup>(a)</sup>	4.87%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	8.23%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.0505
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>(c)</sup>	\$0.6060
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 <sup>(d)</sup>	40%

- (a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- (b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.80%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- (c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- (d) Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

#### **Performance**

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based	
	On	
	Market Price	NAV
$MYI^{(a)(b)}$	2.36%	1.50%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged)(c)	2.46	0.94

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Fund s discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

12

#### The following discussion relates to the Fund s absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

Portfolio income, enhanced by leverage, made the largest contribution to the Fund s return. The Fund s position in bonds with five- to 10-year maturities also contributed, as yields in this area declined most sharply while finishing largely unchanged among both short- and long-term issues. (Prices and yields move in opposite directions.)

At the sector level, positions in state tax-backed and school district issues were key contributors to performance.

The Fund sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that U.S. Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Fund s return.

The Fund s allocation to lower-rated issues also detracted from the Fund s return, as yield spreads generally widened during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

## Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	01/31/19	07/31/18	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.44	\$ 12.46	(0.16)%	\$ 12.57	\$11.54
Net Asset Value	13.84	13.98	(1.00)	13.98	13.38

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Fund s Total Investments\*

#### SECTOR ALLOCATION

Sector	01/31/19	07/31/18
Transportation	30%	28%
State	21	19
Health	16	16
Utilities	13	14
Education	9	10
County/City/Special District/School District	8	8
Corporate	2	3
Tobacco	1	1
Housing		1

For Fund compliance purposes, the Fund s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

# CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	10%
2020	2
2021	9
2022	5
2023	9

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- \* Excludes short-term securities.

## **CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION** (a)

Credit Rating	01/31/19	07/31/18
AAA/Aaa	4%	6%
AA/Aa	48	49
A	27	25
BBB/Baa	16	16
N/R	5	4

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of not-rated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2019 and July 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and 1%, respectively, of the Fund s total investments.

Fund Summary 13

Schedule of Investments (unaudited)	BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund	l II,	Inc. (MUE)
January 31, 2019	(Percentages shown are based	l on	Net Assets)
	Pa	r	
Security	(000	)	Value
Municipal Bonds 130.8%			
Alabama 2.1%			
City of Birmingham Alabama Special Care Facilities	•		
Hospital (AGC), 6.00%, 06/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$ 5,223	5 \$	5,296,948
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Z	1	<b>.</b>	1 010 202
Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	940	)	1,010,303
			6,307,251
Arizona 0.9%			0,507,251
Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior, 5.00%, 12/01/	2,450	)	2,846,263
•			
California 18.9%			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB,		_	
6.00%, 08/15/20 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,86:	5	3,058,445
California Municipal Finance Authority, ARB, Senior 5.00%, 12/31/43	Lien, Linxs APM Project, AMT, 1,400	)	1,527,148
City & County of San Francisco California Airports C	·	,	1,327,146
Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 01/0	•	)	240,864
City & County of San Francisco California Airports C			- ,
Series A:	-		
2nd, 5.50%, 05/01/28	1,800		2,044,710
2nd, 5.25%, 05/01/33	1,410		1,574,716
5.00%, 05/01/44  City of San Jose Colifornia, Refunding ARR, Norman	1,860 V Minete Sen Jose International	)	2,035,324
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Norman Airport SJC, Series A-1, AMT, 5.50%, 03/01/30	4,04:	5	4,311,687
City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%,	·		2,921,072
County of Riverside Public Financing Authority, RB,			,- ,
5.25%, 11/01/40	4,500	)	5,226,840
Emery Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010,		5	2,057,756
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair	•	_	
5.50%, 11/01/33	2,44;	,	2,835,002
Los Angeles Community College District California, 0 5.25%, 08/01/20 <sup>(a)</sup>	GO, Election of 2008, Series C, 2,000	)	2,109,620
Redondo Beach Unified School District, GO, Election	·		2,933,689
Regents of the University of California Medical Center		•	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Series J:	, , ,		
5.25%, 05/15/23 <sup>(a)</sup>	5,903		6,793,112
5.25%, 05/15/38	1,675	5	1,870,925
State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various	s Capital Projects, Series I:		

5.50%, 11/01/30

5.50%, 11/01/31

5.50%, 11/01/33

5,714,700

3,568,325 3,410,010

5,000

3,130

3,000

# ${\tt Edgar\ Filing:\ BLACKROCK\ MUNIYIELD\ QUALITY\ FUND\ III,\ INC.\ -\ Form\ N-CSRS}$

State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F, 5.25%, 09/01/33	1,260	1,412,951
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 08/01/40	940	1,090,663
	<i>y</i> .0	56,737,559
Colorado 2.1%		30,737,337
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT:		
5.50%, 11/15/28	1,500	1,700,070
5.50%, 11/15/30 5.50%, 11/15/31	565 675	636,834 759,200
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, NCMC, Inc. Project, Series B (AGM),	073	139,200
6.00%, 05/15/19 <sup>(a)</sup>	3,300	3,340,260
		6,436,364
Counity	Par (000)	Value
Security Connecticut 1.0%	(000)	vaiue
State of Connecticut, GO, Series A, 5.00%, 04/15/38	\$ 1,690 \$	1,848,826
State of Connecticut Health & Educational Facility Authority, Refunding RB, Sacred Heart University Issue, Series I-1, 5.00%, 07/01/42	1,015	1,114,186
		2,963,012
Delaware 0.5%		
State of Delaware Health Facilities Authority, RB, Beebe Medical Center Project, 5.00%, 06/01/43	1,400	1,510,376
Florida 19.9%		
Central Florida Expressway Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 5.00%, 07/01/48	4,730	5,302,472
City of Jacksonville Florida, RB, 5.00%, 10/01/38	1,000	1,125,480
County of Broward Florida Airport System, ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/45 County of Broward Florida Airport System Revenue, ARB, Series A, AMT,	1,440	1,571,702
5.13%, 10/01/38	5,665	6,181,931
County of Hillsborough Florida Aviation Authority, Refunding ARB, Tampa International	2.005	2 200 002
Airport, Series A, AMT, 5.50%, 10/01/29 County of Lee Florida, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.38%, 10/01/32	2,995 2,500	3,389,082 2,670,725
County of Lee Florida HFA, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT	2,500	2,070,723
(Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 6.00%, 09/01/40 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport Department:	180	181,276
Series A, 5.38%, 10/01/33	1,765	1,974,400
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/42	3,000	3,341,610
Series B, AMT, 6.25%, 10/01/38	800	918,960
Series B, AMT, 6.00%, 10/01/42	1,060	1,207,626
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System, Series B,		
5.25%, 10/01/29  Construct Minut Data Florida Assistina Referration ARR Società A AMT 5.00% 10/01/21	3,130	3,545,946
County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/31 County of Miami-Dade Florida Aviation Revenue, Refunding ARB, Series A, AMT,		5,568,947
5.00%, 10/01/32	5,000	5,392,450
County of Orange Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 08/01/19 <sup>(a)</sup> Reedy Creek Florida Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 06/01/32	7,600 1,805	7,744,552 2,034,867
-		

Tohopekaliga Water Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/21(a)	6,965	7,599,163
		59,751,189
Hawaii 1.7%		
State of Hawaii Airports System, ARB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/45	2,805	3,083,424
State of Hawaii Airports System, COP, AMT:		
5.25%, 08/01/25	740	826,950
5.25%, 08/01/26	1,205	1,340,081
		5,250,455
Illinois 14.2%		5,250,155
City of Chicago Illinois Midway International Airport, Refunding GARB, 2nd Lien,		
Series A, AMT:		
5.00%, 01/01/41	1,140	1,227,655
5.50%, 01/01/28	1,000	1,106,690
5.50%, 01/01/29	1,500	1,655,925
5.38%, 01/01/33	2,000	2,180,060
City of Chicago Illinois O Hare International Airport, GARB:		
3rd Lien, Series A, 5.75%, 01/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	1,680	1,808,184
3rd Lien, Series A, 5.75%, 01/01/39	320	339,315
3rd Lien, Series C, 6.50%, 01/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	9,085	9,903,831
Senior Lien, Series D, AMT, 5.00%, 01/01/42	735	795,777

14

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued) BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

January 31, 2019

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Security	(000)		Value
Illinois (continued)			
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB, Sales Tax Receipts:			
5.25%, 12/01/36	\$ 2,940	\$ 3,1	06,316
5.25%, 12/01/40	1,500		76,560
City of Chicago Illinois Wastewater Transmission, RB, 2nd Lien, 5.00%, 01/01/42	2,985		22,847
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:	,	,	,
5.25%, 12/01/30	1,270	1.3	23,111
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,205		56,128
5.25%, 12/01/43	2,960	-	48,652
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Presence Health Network, Series C,	,	- ,-	-,
5.00%, 02/15/41	975	1.0	79,481
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB <sup>(a)</sup> :	,,,	1,0	,,,.01
5.50%, 06/01/21	2,350	2.5	48,176
6.00%, 06/01/21	670		34,099
State of Illinois, GO:	0,70	,	21,022
5.25%, 02/01/31	1,495	1.5	66,401
5.25%, 02/01/32	2,320		23,356
5.50%, 07/01/33	1,000		55,070
5.50%, 07/01/38	700	-	30,520
3.30%, 07/01/30	700	,	30,320
		42,5	88,154
Indiana 0.2%		42,5	88,154
<ul><li>Indiana 0.2%</li><li>State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges,</li></ul>		42,5	88,154
	460		88,154 86,031
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges,	460		
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges,	460		
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40	460 1,950	4	
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40 <b>Iowa 0.7</b> %		4	86,031
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40 <b>Iowa 0.7%</b> State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48		4	86,031
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5%		4	86,031
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM),	1,950	2,0	86,031 08,091
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29		2,0	86,031
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A,	1,950 2,225	2,0	86,031 08,091 03,014
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29	1,950	2,0	86,031 08,091
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A,	1,950 2,225	2,0 2,5 2,0	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29	1,950 2,225	2,0 2,5 2,0	86,031 08,091 03,014
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29  Maryland 6.4%	1,950 2,225	2,0 2,5 2,0	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29  Maryland 6.4%  County of Howard Maryland Housing Commission, RB, M/F Housing, Woodfield Oxford	1,950 2,225 2,020	2,0 2,5 2,0 4,5	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049 40,063
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29  Maryland 6.4%  County of Howard Maryland Housing Commission, RB, M/F Housing, Woodfield Oxford Square Apartments, 5.00%, 12/01/42	1,950 2,225	2,0 2,5 2,0 4,5	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29  Maryland 6.4%  County of Howard Maryland Housing Commission, RB, M/F Housing, Woodfield Oxford Square Apartments, 5.00%, 12/01/42  Maryland Stadium Authority, RB, Construction and Revitalization Program:	1,950 2,225 2,020 2,450	2,0 2,5 2,0 4,5	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049 40,063 87,821
State of Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Private Activity Bond, Ohio River Bridges, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40  Iowa 0.7% State of Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Lifespace Communities, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/48  Louisiana 1.5% Lake Charles Louisiana Harbor & Terminal District, RB, Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.50%, 01/01/29  Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 05/15/29  Maryland 6.4%  County of Howard Maryland Housing Commission, RB, M/F Housing, Woodfield Oxford Square Apartments, 5.00%, 12/01/42	1,950 2,225 2,020	2,0 2,5 2,0 4,5 2,6 11,0	86,031 08,091 03,014 37,049 40,063

Massachusetts 1.8%		19,239,624
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, RB: Emerson College Issue, Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/47 Emerson College Issue, Series A, 5.25%, 01/01/42	420 940	449,984 1,026,282
UMass Darthmouth Student Housing Project, 5.00%, 10/01/43  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Emerson College, Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/40  Massachusetts HEA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/42	2,265 1,025	2,409,371 1,113,150
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT, 5.35%, 12/01/42	485	488,143 5,486,930
Michigan 1.6% Hudsonville Michigan Public Schools, GO, School Building & Site (Q-SBLF), 5.25%, 05/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup> Michigan State of Equal RP, 1.75 Increases and Projects AMT, 5.00%, 06/20/48	3,420	3,687,410
Michigan Strategic Fund, RB, I-75 Improvement Projects, AMT, 5.00%, 06/30/48	895	971,406 4,658,816
Security Minnesota 0.3%	<i>Par</i> (000)	Value
County of St. Paul Minnesota Housing & Redevelopment Authority, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series A, 4.00%, 11/15/43	\$ 985	\$ 989,304
Mississippi 2.4% Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM), 6.88%, 12/01/40	2,225	2,634,756
Mississippi State University Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Mississippi State University Improvement Project, 5.25%, 08/01/23 <sup>(a)</sup> State of Mississippi, RB, Series A:	1,000	1,150,090
5.00%, 10/15/37 4.00%, 10/15/38	565 2,815	643,123 2,851,060
Montana 0.3% Montana State Board of Housing, RB, S/F, Series B-2:		7,279,029
3.38%, 12/01/37 3.50%, 12/01/42 3.60%, 12/01/47	395 175 265	379,247 165,237 251,300
Nevada 2.5%		795,784
City of Carson City Nevada, Refunding RB, Carson Tahoe Regional Healthcare Project, 5.00%, 09/01/42 County of Clark Nevada, ARB, Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A	1,130	1,195,325
(AGM), 5.25%, 07/01/39 County of Clark Nevada, GO, Stadium Improvement, Series A:	3,210	3,293,107
5.00%, 06/01/36 5.00%, 06/01/37	2,065 500	2,404,176 579,475
		7,472,083

New Jersey 8.1%		
New Jersey EDA, RB:		
Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT, Private Activity Bond, 5.38%, 01/01/43	1,940	2,083,657
Private Activity Bond, Goethals Bridge Replacement Project, AMT (AGM),		
5.00%, 01/01/31	1,355	1,470,703
State Government Buildings Project, Series A, 5.00%, 06/15/47	2,500	2,607,125
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Virtua Health, Series A (AGC),		
5.50%, 07/01/38	3,400	3,449,878
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series BB,		
AMT, 3.80%, 10/01/32	2,455	2,480,213
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:		
Transportation Program Bonds, Series S, 5.25%, 06/15/43	2,980	3,215,420
Transportation System, Series AA, 5.50%, 06/15/39	3,040	3,223,281
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Refunding RB, Transportation System,		
Series A, 5.00%, 12/15/32	2,735	2,981,615
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB:		
Series A, 5.25%, 06/01/46	2,355	2,489,989
Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 06/01/46	445	443,131
		24,445,012
New York 8.2%		
City of New York New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water &		
Sewer System, 2nd General Resolution, Series EE, 5.38%, 06/15/43	2,220	2,358,040
City of New York New York Water & Sewer System, Refunding RB, 2nd General	, -	, ,
Resolution, Fiscal 2009, Series EE, 5.25%, 06/15/40	6,930	7,015,100
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Senior, Fiscal 2012:	-,	.,,
5.75%, 02/15/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	580	627,531
5.75%, 02/15/47	360	386,654
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB:	200	200,021
Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	8,500	9,342,690
Series A-1, 5.25%, 11/15/39	1,550	1,727,553
	1,550	1,.21,000

SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS 15

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)  Bla	ckRock MuniHoldings Quality Fun	d II, Inc. (MUE)
January 31, 2019	(Percentages shown are base	ed on Net Assets)
Security	Pa (000	
New York (continued)	(000	vaiue
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding ARB, C 5.25%, 07/15/36	\$ 2,00	· · ·
TSASC, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/41	89	5 925,099
		24,503,407
Ohio 0.9%		
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastru 5.25%, 02/15/31	cture Projects, Series A-1, 2,50	0 2,783,350
Oklahoma 0.5%		
Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, RB, Provident Okla Inc., Cross Village Student Housing Project, Series A, 5.25%, 0		0 1,528,513
Oregon 0.4%		
Oregon Health & Science University, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 07/	01/42 1,10	0 1,237,159
Pennsylvania 7.2%		
Altoona Area School District, GO, 5.00%, 12/01/36	18	5 207,918
County of Delaware Springfield School District, GO:		
5.00%, 03/01/40	1,48	· · ·
5.00%, 03/01/43 County of Montgomery Higher Education & Health Authority,	1,10	0 1,237,324
Jeferson University, Series A, 5.00%, 09/01/48	1,69	0 1,860,014
County of Westmoreland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, Re	•	
5.00%, 08/15/36	2,21	
Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, RB, S/F, Series 125B,		0 2,943,870
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, 2nd Series	· •	0 2.757.401
License Fund, 5.00%, 12/01/41 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series B-1, 5.25°	2,49 %, 06/01/47 2,30	
Swarthmore Borough Authority, RB, Swarthmore College, 5.00		
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO:	1,00	1,000,701
5.25%, 06/01/37	2,50	0 2,763,875
5.25%, 06/01/43	1,10	0 1,210,429
		21,554,362
<b>South Carolina</b> 5.3% County of Charleston South Carolina, RB, Special Source, 5.25 County of Charleston South Carolina Airport District, ARB, Se		0 4,249,025
6 00% 07/01/38	2 94	0 3 345 838

6.00%, 07/01/38

5.50%, 07/01/41

3,345,838

2,775,850

1,482,781

2,940

2,500

1,360

South Carolina Jobs EDA, Refunding RB, Prisma Health Obligated Group, Series A, 5.00%, 05/01/43		
State of South Carolina Ports Authority, RB, AMT, 5.25%, 07/01/50	1,870	2,031,736
State of South Carolina Public Service Authority, RB, Series E, 5.50%, 12/01/53	1,000	1,066,520
State of South Carolina Public Service Authority, Refunding RB, Series C, 5.00%, 12/01/46	1,000	1,053,040
		16,004,790
Tennessee 1.1%	2.000	2 276 020
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority, ARB, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 07/01/40	3,000	3,276,030
Texas 9.9%		
City of Beaumont Texas, GO, Certificates of Obligation, 5.25%, 03/01/37	2,345	2,605,811
City of Houston Texas Airport System Revenue, Refunding RB, Sub-Series D,		
5.00%, 07/01/37	2,010	2,312,445
	Par	
Security	(000)	Value
Texas (continued)		
City of Houston Texas Combined Utility System, Refunding RB, Combined 1st Lien,		
Series A (AGC) <sup>(a)</sup> :		
6.00%, 05/15/19	\$ 6,345 \$	6,422,028
6.00%, 05/15/19	355	359,363
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Christus Health,	2.700	2 024 777
Series B, 5.00%, 07/01/35	2,500	2,831,775
Dallas-Fort Worth Texas International Airport, ARB, Joint Improvement, AMT:	1 615	1 (70 200
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/38	1,615	1,679,390
Series H, 5.00%, 11/01/37	1,810	1,911,360
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, 5.50%, 05/15/33	2,155	2,435,452
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Special Projects, Series A, 5.50%, 09/01/21(a)	5,480	5,990,791
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, 1st Tier, Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/48 Red River Texas Education Financing Corp., RB, Texas Christian University Project,	1,775	1,984,414
5.25%, 03/15/38	1,070	1,190,118
3.25 70, 03/13/30	1,070	1,170,110
		29,722,947
Vermont 1.0%		
University of Vermont & State Agricultural College, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/43	2,535	2,851,064
Virginia 1.8%		
City of Lexington Virginia IDA, RB, Washington & Lee University, 5.00%, 01/01/43	945	1,019,683
Virginia Small Business Financing Authority, RB, Transform 66 P3 Project, AMT,		
5.00%, 12/31/49	4,000	4,283,000
		5,302,683
Washington 6.4%		3,302,003
City of Seattle Washington Municipal Light & Power, Refunding RB, Series A,		
5.25%, 02/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	2,400	2,567,616
Port of Seattle Washington, ARB, AMT:	۷,∓00	2,507,010
Intermediate Lien, Series C, 5.00%, 05/01/37	2,485	2,776,142
Series A, 5.00%, 05/01/43	660	726,838
State of Washington, COP, Series B:	000	. 20,030
5.00%, 07/01/36	1,000	1,161,970
	•	. ,

5.00%, 07/01/38	1,155	1,328,793
State of Washington, GO:	7 565	9 901 400
Series C, 5.00%, 02/01/36 Various Purposes, Series B, 5.25%, 02/01/21 <sup>(a)</sup>	7,565 1,865	8,801,499 1,995,252
various 1 urposes, series B, 5.25 %, 62/01/21	1,003	1,993,232
		19,358,110
Wisconsin 0.8%		
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Milwaukee Regional Medical Center Thermal Service, 5.00%, 04/01/44	2,065	2,322,299
Wyoming 0.20		
Wyoming 0.2% State of Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A (BAM), 5.00%, 01/01/42	570	633,179
Total Municipal Bonds 130.8%		
(Cost \$375,518,157)		392,869,283
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts(b)		
California 2.8%		
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Refunding, Consolidated Capital Assessment		
District No. 2 Bonds, 5.00%, 10/01/47	7,499	8,415,437
Calamada 120		
Colorado 1.2% City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System Revenue, Refunding ARB,		
Sub-System, Series A, AMT, 5.25%, 12/01/43 <sup>(c)</sup>	3,262	3,726,413
	- ,	-,,

16

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)  B	lackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fu	id II, Inc. (MUE)
January 31, 2019	(Percentages shown are bas	ed on Net Assets)
	n	
Security Connecticut 1.1% State of Connecticut Health & Educational Facility Authority.	Po (00)	
Credit Group, 5.00%, 12/01/45	\$ 3,00	51 \$ 3,361,805
Illinois 3.3%		
City of Chicago Illionis Waterworks, Refunding RB, 2017 2n (AGM), 5.25%, 11/01/33 State of Illinois Toll Highway Authority, RB:	d Lien, Water Revenue Project 70	760,365
Series A, 5.00%, 01/01/40	1,98	
Series B, 5.00%, 01/01/40	6,14	
Maryland 0.9%		9,756,400
City of Baltimore Maryland, RB, Wastewater Project, Series	A, 5.00%, 07/01/46 2,49	9 2,793,895
Nevada 2.8%  County of Clark Nevada Water Reclamation District, GO, Ser	ries B, 5.50%, 07/01/29 <sup>(a)</sup> 8,2 <sup>a</sup>	7 8,377,966
New Jersey 1.5%		
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F F 5.25%, 10/01/29	lousing, Series CC, 3,0 <sup>4</sup>	3,051,525
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transp 5.25%, 06/15/36 <sup>(c)</sup>	ortation System, Series B, 1,50	00 1,563,717
		4,615,242
New York 6.5% City of New York Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refun	ding RB, Series FF,	
5.00%, 06/15/45 New York Liberty Development Corp., ARB, 1 World Trade	5,95	6,448,732
Consolidated Bonds, 5.25%, 12/15/43  New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 4 Work	7,5	5 8,158,023
5.75%, 11/15/51 <sup>(c)</sup>	4,40	00 4,814,456
		19,421,211
Pennsylvania 4.0% Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, GO, 1st Series, 4.00%, 03/0		3,723,552
County of Northampton General Purpose Authority, Refunding RB, Lafayette College, 4.00%, 11/01/38 <sup>(c)</sup>	5,92	6,113,697
County of Westmoreland Pennsylvania Municipal Authority, 5.00%, 08/15/38	Refunding RB, (BAM), 1,90	2,189,278
		12.026.527

12,026,527

Security	Par (000)	Value
Texas 1.6%	(000)	, and
County of Tarrant Texas Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Baylor Health Care System Project, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/38	\$ 4,296	\$ 4,644,570
Utah 0.9%		
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services, Inc., 5.00%, 08/15/41(a)	2,504	2,548,760
Virginia 1.4%		
County of Fairfax Virginia EDA, RB, Metrorail Parking System Project, 5.00%, 04/01/47 <sup>(c)</sup>	3,720	4,196,569
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 28.0% (Cost \$82,018,433)		83,884,795
Total Long-Term Investments 158.8% (Cost \$457,536,590)		476,754,078
Total Investments 158.8%		
(Cost \$457,536,590)		476,754,078
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.3%		4,059,078
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable	(16.5)%	(49,500,094)
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value, Net of Deferred Offering Costs (43.6)%		(131,000,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 300,313,062

During the six months ended January 31, 2019, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Fund for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

							(	Change
		Shares						in
	Shares	Hela	Value			Net	Unr	ealized
	Held at	Net at	at		I	Realized A	Appre	ciation
Affiliate	07/31/18	Activit@1/31/19	01/31/19	IncomeG	ain (	Loss) (D	eprec	iation)
BlackRock Liquidity Funds,	2,901,453	(2,901,453)	\$	\$ 47,459	\$	2,687	\$	(289)
MuniCash, Institutional								

<sup>(</sup>a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Fund. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.

<sup>(</sup>c) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement. The aggregate maximum potential amount the Fund could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire between June 15, 2019 and November 1, 2026, is \$13,124,918. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.

## Class(b)

- (a) Includes net capital gain distributions, if applicable.(b) No longer held by the Fund as of period end.

17 SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

January 31, 2019

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of Period End**

#### **Futures Contracts**

Description Short Contracts:	Number of Contracts	Expiration Date	Notional unt (000)	Ap	Value/ Unrealized opreciation preciation)
10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	58	03/20/19	\$ 7,103	\$	(163,618)
Long U.S. Treasury Bond	111	03/20/19	16,282		(714,355)
5-Year U.S. Treasury Note	49	03/29/19	5,628		(99,356)
				\$	(977,329)

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure**

As of period end, the fair values of derivative financial instruments located in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities were as follows:

			Foreign			
			Currency	Interest		
	Commodity	Credit	Equity Exchange	Rate	Other	
	Contracts C	Contracts C	Contracts Contracts	Contracts C	ontracts	Total
Liabilities Derivative Fina	ncial					
Instruments						
Futures Contracts						
Net unrealized depreciation(a)						
	\$	\$	\$ \$	\$ 977,329	\$	\$ 977,329

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes cumulative depreciation on futures contracts if any, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.For the six months ended January 31, 2019, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operations was as follows:

Foreign

				Currency	Interest		
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	Other	
		ContractsC	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts Co	ontracts	Total
Net Realized Gain (Loss) from: Futures Contracts	¢	¢	¢	¢	\$ 224.126	¢	¢ 224.126
Futures Contracts	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 234,126	\$	\$ 234,126
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation on:							
Futures Contracts	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (1,051,783)	\$	\$ (1,051,783)

### **Average Quarterly Balances of Outstanding Derivative Financial Instruments**

Futures contracts:		
Average notional value of contracts	short	
		\$21,002,410

For more information about the Fund s investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

### Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Fund s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Fund s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets: Investments: Long-Term Investments <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	\$ 476,754,078	\$	\$ 476,754,078
Derivative Financial Instruments <sup>(b)</sup> Liabilities: Interest rate contracts	\$ (977,329)	\$	\$	\$ (977,329)

<sup>(</sup>a) See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

(b)

Derivative financial instruments are futures contracts which are valued at the unrealized depreciation on the instrument.

18

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

January 31, 2019

The Fund may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of period end, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Liabilities: TOB Trust Certificates	\$	\$ (49,352,286)	\$	\$ (49,352,286)
VMTP Shares at Liquidation Value	¢	(131,000,000)	¢	(131,000,000)
	\$	\$ (180,352,286)	<b>3</b>	\$ (180,352,286)

During the six months ended January 31, 2019, there were no transfers between levels.

See notes to financial statements.

SCHEDULES OF INVESTMENTS 19

Schedule of Investments (unaudited)  B	lackRock MuniYield California Quality Fu	ınd, I	nc. (MCA)
January 31, 2019	(Percentages shown are base	d on I	Net Assets)
	P	ar	
Security Municipal Bonds 91.2%	(00	0)	Value
California 91.2%			
Corporate 1.3%			
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, RB, S AMT, 4.75%, 11/01/46	an Jose Water Company Project, \$4,0	00 \$	54,283,040
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Dieg		00 <b></b>	.,_00,0.0
5.88%, 02/15/34	2,4	35	2,459,935
			6,742,975
County/City/Special District/School District 30.3%			
Chaffey Joint Union High School District, GO, CAB, El			
0.00%, 08/01/32		50	157,338
0.00%, 08/01/33		00	297,535
0.00%, 08/01/34		05	287,431
0.00%, 08/01/35		45	296,126
0.00%, 08/01/36		00	258,725
0.00%, 08/01/37		50	321,074
0.00%, 08/01/38		30	296,932
0.00%, 08/01/39		50	336,187
0.00%, 08/01/40	1,8		789,395
0.00%, 08/01/41		05	123,961
0.00%, 02/01/42		50	138,936
City & County of San Francisco California, COP, Port F		50	1 165 020
5.25%, 03/01/32 City of Sagramenta California Transient Occurancy Toy	1,0.	30	1,165,920
City of Sacramento California Transient Occupancy Tax		50	1 251 525
Complex, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/48	3,7. Projects Series A (ACC)	30	4,251,525
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements	Projects, Series A (AGC),	00	2,000,000
6.00%, 02/01/19 County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, S	•		1,000,000
County of Orange California Santation District, COP, Sefundation of Orange California Water District, COP, Refundation of Orange California Water District, COP, Refundation of Orange California Santation District, COP, Sefundation of Orange California Santation District, COP, Refundation of Orange California Santation District, COP, Sefundation of Orange California Santation District, COP, Sefundation of Orange California Santation District, COP, Sefundation of Orange California Santation of Orange California Santa			9,223,548
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Author	<b>C</b> .	+3	9,223,346
Measure K, Series A, 6.00%, 03/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	try, Refunding RB, Emilieu Tax,	55	3,001,986
El Monte City School District, GO, Los Angeles Countr			5,044,685
Fowler Unified School District, GO, Election of 2016, S			4,286,931
Garden Grove Unified School District, GO, Election of 2			3,078,596
Gavilan Joint Community College District, GO, Election		23	3,070,370
5.50%, 08/01/21	2,1	65	2,378,815
5.75%, 08/01/21	8,4		9,280,740
Grossmont California Healthcare District, GO, Election			2,776,775
Grossmont California Union High School District, GO,			-, <b>,,,,,</b>
5.50%, 08/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	1,8	80	2,065,669
	5,7		6,576,193
	-,-		, , = =

Kern Community College District, GO, Safety Repair & Improvements, Series C, 5.25%, 11/01/32				
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding LRB, Real Property, Series B (AG 5.50%, 04/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	C),	2,0	75	2,088,384
Menifee Union School District, GO, Series B (BAM), 4.00%, 08/01/43		5,3		5,451,194
Mount San Antonio Community College District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series 5.00%, 08/01/34	Α,	4,50	00	5,091,660
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.25%, 08/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>		8,14		8,894,252
Orchard School District, GO, Election of 2001, Series A (AGC), 5.00%, 08/01/19(b)		7,49	90	7,617,929
Conumity		Par (000)		Value
Security County/City/Special District/School District (continued)		(000)		vaiue
Perris Union High School District, GO, Election of 2012, Series B (BAM),				
5.25%, 09/01/39	\$	2,715	\$	3,152,549
Riverside County Public Financing Authority, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series A (BAM), 4.00%, 10/01/40		2,455		2,487,210
RNR School Financing Authority, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities Distric		,		, ,
No. 92-1, Series A (BAM):		1.500		1 604 210
5.00%, 09/01/37 5.00%, 09/01/41		1,500 3,000		1,694,310 3,341,400
San Jose California Financing Authority, LRB, Convention Center Expansion &		3,000		3,341,400
Renovation Project, Series A:				
5.75%, 05/01/36		2,570		2,577,556
5.75%, 05/01/42		4,500		4,859,730
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Civic Center Project, Series A:				
5.00%, 06/01/32		3,375		3,761,269
5.00%, 06/01/39 San Juan Unified School District, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 08/01/20 <sup>(b)</sup>		5,800		6,446,062
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 08/01/41		6,475 3,000		6,810,988 3,270,570
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC),		3,000		3,270,370
5.75%, 09/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>		5,600		5,735,352
Walnut Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2007, Series B,		7.600		0.405.040
5.75%, 08/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup> Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B,		7,680		8,485,248
5.50%, 08/01/38		1,625		1,895,481
West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO:		1,023		1,023,101
Election of 2010, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 08/01/21(b)		6,140		6,708,932
Election of 2010, Series B, 5.50%, 08/01/39		3,000		3,440,580
Election of 2012, Series A, 5.50%, 08/01/39		2,500		2,867,150
				156,112,829
Education 7.0%		10.000		11 011 000
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/53		10,000 2,750		11,211,200 3,096,637
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 6.00%, 01/01/22 <sup>(b)</sup> California School Finance Authority, RB, Alliance for College-Ready Public Schools Projects, Series A, 5,00%, 07/01/36 <sup>(c)</sup>		755		
Projects, Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/36 <sup>(c)</sup> California School Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Aspire Public Schools Obligated		133		801,667
Group, 5.00%, 08/01/46(c)		1,250		1,309,925
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, University of California,		4,000		4,452,200

Irvine East Campus, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/37		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB, CHF-Irvine		
LLC:		
5.00%, 05/15/33	2,625	2,929,579
5.00%, 05/15/40	2,250	2,453,062
University of California, RB, 5.25%, 05/15/36	3,680	4,199,064
University of California, Refunding RB, General, Series AZ, 5.25%, 05/15/58	5,000	5,709,300
		36,162,634
Health 12.0%		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		
Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41	10,000	10,901,700
Providence Health Services, Series B, 5.50%, 10/01/39	4,205	4,306,803
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 08/15/20 <sup>(b)</sup>	7,715	8,235,917
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:		
Adventist Health System West, 4.00%, 03/01/43	1,400	1,410,444
Adventist Health System/West, 4.00%, 03/01/39	985	1,003,843
Catholic Healthcare West, 6.00%, 07/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	5,500	5,599,330
Dignity Health, 6.00%, 07/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,370	2,412,802

20

2019 BLACKROCK SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued) BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

January 31, 2019

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Security	(000)	Value
Health (continued)		
California Municipal Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Community Medical Centers,		
Series A, 5.00%, 02/01/42	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,368,480
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB:		
Green Bond, Marin General Hospital, 4.00%, 08/01/45	2,500	2,511,225
Huntington Memorial Hospital Project, 4.00%, 07/01/48	1,780	1,767,754
Methodist Hospital of Southern California, 4.25%, 01/01/43	4,550	4,556,552
Sutter Health, Series A, 6.00%, 08/15/20 <sup>(b)</sup>	4,130	4,405,595
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:		
Front Porch Communities and Services, 4.00%, 04/01/42	2,595	2,620,119
Front Porch Communities and Services, 4.00%, 04/01/47	1,320	1,321,769
John Muir Health, Series A, 4.00%, 12/01/57	3,250	3,205,637
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41	2,860	3,071,583
		61,699,553
State 6.3%		
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:		
6.00%, 04/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	4,910	4,945,548
6.00%, 03/01/33	5,500	5,756,245
6.00%, 04/01/38	8,970	9,028,395
6.00%, 11/01/39	3,510	3,614,563
State of California Public Works Board, LRB:	-,	2,021,000
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 04/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	3,670	3,699,397
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33	2,575	2,926,925
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.13%, 11/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,615	2,704,198
various capital frojects, sub series f 1, 0.13 %, 11/01/15	2,013	2,701,170
		32,675,271
Tobacco 1.2%		32,073,271
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Series A-1:	2 175	2 425 224
5.00%, 06/01/33	2,175	2,425,234
5.00%, 06/01/35	3,215	3,555,275
		5 000 500
m		5,980,509
Transportation 21.4%		
Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, 2nd Subordinate Lien, Series B,		
5.00%, 10/01/35	1,500	1,668,180
California Municipal Finance Authority, ARB, AMT:		
Senior Lien, Linxs APM Project, 5.00%, 12/31/43	6,500	7,090,330
Senior Lien-Linxs APM Project, 4.00%, 12/31/47	7,500	7,373,400
City & County of San Francisco Airport Commission, Refunding RB, AMT, San Francisco		
International Airport, Series D, 5.00%, 05/01/43	7,715	8,634,551
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB:		

Second Series E, 6.00%, 05/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup> Second Series E, 6.00%, 05/01/39 Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.10%, 01/01/20 Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT (AGM), 6.13%, 01/01/27 City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, Refunding ARB, AMT, Series A:	745 8,905 190 985		753,106 9,001,886 190,684 992,003
2nd, 5.25%, 05/01/33 5.00%, 05/01/40	1,900 3,785		2,121,958 4,184,280
5.00%, 05/01/44 <sup>(d)</sup>	6,000		6,768,240
5.00%, 05/01/44	2,660		2,910,732
5.00%, 05/01/49 <sup>(d)</sup>	3,500		3,928,890
	Par		
Security	(000)		Value
Transportation (continued)			
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, ARB:			
Los Angeles International Airoport, Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 05/15/40	\$ 2,500	\$	2,595,575
Series D, AMT, 5.00%, 05/15/35	2,000		2,255,400
Series D, AMT, 5.00%, 05/15/36	1,500		1,686,945
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles			
International Airport, Senior Series A, 5.25%, 05/15/29	3,760		3,800,946
City of Los Angeles Department of Airports, ARB, AMT, Subordinate, Series C,			
5.00%, 05/15/38	3,215		3,644,267
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Norman Y Mineta San Jose International			
Airport SJC, AMT:			
Series A, 5.00%, 03/01/41	3,075		3,410,636
Series A, 5.00%, 03/01/47	6,770		7,463,790
Series A-1, 6.25%, 03/01/34	1,400		1,511,986
County of Sacramento California Airport System Revenue, Refunding ARB:			
Airport System Subordinate Revenue, Sub-Series B, 5.00%, 07/01/41	1,750		1,947,715
Senior Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/41	2,500		2,791,150
County of San Bernardino California Transportation Authority, RB, Series A,	4.500		5 1 40 505
5.25%, 03/01/40	4,500		5,148,585
County of San Diego California Regional Airport Authority, Refunding ARB, Series B,	( 250		( (00 (25
5.00%, 07/01/40	6,350		6,608,635
County of San Diego Regional Airport Authority, ARB, Subordinate, Series B, AMT,	6 000		6 622 020
5.00%, 07/01/47 Port of Los Angeles California Harbor Department, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 08/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	6,000 4,530		6,622,920 4,614,032
Port of Los Angeles California Harbor Department, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT,	4,550		4,014,032
5.00%, 08/01/44	200		222,038
5.00 /0, 00/01/44	200		222,038
		1	09,942,860
Utilities 11.7%		1	05,542,000
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities,			
Series A, 5.38%, 04/01/21 <sup>(b)</sup>	5,000		5,416,550
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Water	5,000		3,110,330
System, Series A, 5.25%, 07/01/39	8,000		8,501,360
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System Revenue, Refunding RB,	-,500		-, <del>-,-</del>
Sub-Series A:			
5.00%, 06/01/20 <sup>(b)</sup>	1,325		1,385,234
5.00%, 06/01/28	675		703,681

City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue, RB,		
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/37	10,000	10,899,800
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue, Refunding		
RB, Series A:		
5.25%, 11/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	6,280	6,453,830
5.00%, 11/01/36	3,335	3,811,872
Dublin-San Ramon Services District Water Revenue, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 02/01/21(b)	4,000	4,352,720
East Bay California Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, Refunding RB,		
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/20 <sup>(b)</sup>	5,000	5,230,650
El Dorado Irrigation District/El Dorado County Water Agency, Refunding RB, Series A		
(AGM), 5.25%, 03/01/39	5,000	5,689,800
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Subordinate, Series A,		
5.25%, 08/01/47	5,000	5,860,150
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A,		
5.25%, 05/15/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	2,000	2,021,380
		60,327,027
Total Municipal Bonds 91.2%		
(Cost \$450,810,679)		469,643,658

Schedules of Investments 21

Schedule of Investments (unaudited) (continued) BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

January 31, 2019

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Security Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts(e)	Par (000)	Value
California 82.6%		
County/City/Special District/School District 28.7%		
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Orange County Civic Center Infrastructure,		
5.00%, 06/01/48	\$ 9,500	\$ 10,704,457
County of Riverside California Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project,	10.000	11 520 600
5.25%, 11/01/45  County of San Lyis Colifornia Ohiona Community College District. CO. Refunding	10,000	11,538,600
County of San Luis California Obispo Community College District, GO, Refunding Election of 2014, Series A, 4.00%, 08/01/40	6,585	6,747,475
County of San Mateo California Community College District, GO, Election of 2014,	0,363	0,747,473
Series A, 5.00%, 09/01/45	17,615	20,018,141
Fremont Union High School District, GO, Refunding Series A, 4.00%, 08/01/46	5,000	5,200,925
Los Angeles California Unified School District, GO:	•	
Election of 2008, Series B-1, 5.25%, 07/01/42 <sup>(f)</sup>	7,075	8,338,779
Series I, 5.00%, 01/01/34	5,000	5,060,375
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008,		
Series A, 6.00%, 08/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	9,596	9,807,106
Los Angeles County Facilities Inc., RB, Vermont Corridor County Administration	11 420	12 002 007
Building, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/51 <sup>(f)</sup> Los Rios Community College District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.00%, 08/01/20 <sup>(b)</sup>	11,420 11,000	12,883,897 11,552,420
Palomar Community College District, GO, Election of 2006, Series C, 5.00%, 08/01/20	15,140	17,196,088
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Refunding, Consolidated Capital Assessment	13,140	17,170,000
District No. 2, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/43	10,005	11,276,202
West Valley-Mission Community College District, GO, Election of 2012, Series B,	-,	, , .
4.00%, 08/01/40	17,000	17,689,265
		148,013,730
Education 11.7%		
University of California, RB:		
Series AM, 5.25%, 05/15/44	9,210	10,372,256
Series O, 5.75%, 05/15/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	11,193	11,323,672
University of California, Refunding RB:	13,002	14 640 790
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/43 Series I, 5.00%, 05/15/40	21,105	14,640,789 23,663,951
Series 1, 5.00 /0, 05/15/140	21,103	23,003,731
		60,000,668
Health 19.1%		, ,
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Kaiser Permanent,		
Sub-Series A-2, 4.00%, 11/01/44	13,280	13,542,081
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		
Lucile Salter Packard Children s Hospital at Stanford, 5.00%, 11/15/56	6,000	6,565,760
Sutter Health, Series A, 4.00%, 11/15/42	7,500	7,605,150

Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 82.6% (Cost \$418,890,289)		424,998,028
		66,638,738
205 Angeles Department of Water, Refunding RD, Series A, 3.00 /0, 07/01/40	0,713	
Los Angeles Department of Water, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/46	8,413	9,408,494
5.00%, 06/01/44 City of Sacramento California Water Revenue, RB, 5.25%, 09/01/47	6,290 14,825	7,118,204 17,281,436
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System Revenue, RB, Green Bonds, Series A, 5,00%, 06/01/44	6 200	7 110 204
Revenue, Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/19 <sup>(b)</sup>	4,380	4,491,909
City & County of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission, RB, Water	4.200	4 401 000
Beaumont Public Improvement Authority, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 09/01/49	6,000	6,881,900
5.00%, 05/01/46	13,500	14,818,815
5.00%, 05/01/39	6,000	6,637,980
Expansion Project, Series A:		
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Anaheim Convention Center		
Utilities 12.9%		
		48,749,164
Senior Revenue, Series A, 5.00%, 05/15/40	5,500	6,144,050
Los Angeles International Airport, Series B, 5.00%, 05/15/41	3,641	4,030,934
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, RB, AMT:	,	, ,
Series D, 5.00%, 05/15/41	13,311	14,859,018
Los Angeles International Airport, Series B, 5.00%, 05/15/46	5,000	5,507,075
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, ARB, AMT:	0,333	0,002,000
4.00%, 04/01/42 4.00%, 04/01/49	\$ 11,250 6,555	\$ 11,525,221 6,682,866
Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge <sup>(f)</sup> :	¢ 11.050	¢ 11.505.001
Transportation 9.5%		
Security	(000)	Value
	Par	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,	, -,
State 0.7% State of California, GO, Refunding, Various Purpose, 5.25%, 10/01/39	3,000	3,443,760
S4-4- 0.70		98,151,968
Series A, 5.00%, 04/01/42	19,860	21,263,705
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente,		
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.00%, 08/15/43	24,940	27,437,990
Providence St. Joseph Health, Series A, 4.00%, 10/01/47	6,018	6,084,838
Lucile Salter Packard Children s Hospital, Series B, 5.00%, 08/15/55	4,500	4,921,594
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.00%, 08/15/52 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:	10,000	10,730,850
Court Harld Code A 5 000/ 00/15/50	10.000	10.720.050