

ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC  
Form 10-Q  
February 08, 2010

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 25, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-11056

ADVANCED PHOTONIX, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation  
or organization)

33-0325826  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

2925 Boardwalk, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (734) 864-5600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer      Accelerated filer      Non-accelerated filer      Smaller reporting company  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES    NO

As of February 3, 2010, there were 24,463,978 of Class A Common Stock, \$.001 par value, and 31,691 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$.001 par value outstanding.

---

# Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Advanced Photonix, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
For the Quarter Ended December 25, 2009

## Table of Contents

	Page
<b>PART I</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>
<b>Item 1.</b>	<b>Financial Statements</b>
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 25, 2009 (unaudited) and March 31, 2009 3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 (unaudited) 4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 (unaudited) 5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 6
<b>Item 2.</b>	<b>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</b> 18
<b>Item 3.</b>	<b>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</b> 27
<b>Item 4.</b>	<b>Controls and Procedures</b> 28
<b>PART II</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>
<b>Item 1</b>	<b>Legal Proceedings</b> 29
<b>Item 1A</b>	<b>Risk Factors</b> 29
<b>Item 2</b>	<b>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</b> 30
<b>Item 3</b>	<b>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</b> 30
<b>Item 5</b>	<b>Other Information</b> 30
<b>Item 6</b>	<b>Exhibits</b> 31-36
	Exhibit 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
	Exhibit 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
	Exhibit 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
	Exhibit 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

ADVANCED PHOTONIX, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 25, 2009 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,007,000	\$ 2,072,000
Restricted cash	500,000	500,000
Accounts receivable, net	2,505,000	3,284,000
Inventories, net	3,681,000	3,669,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	338,000	252,000
Total current assets	9,031,000	9,777,000
Equipment and leasehold improvements, net	3,568,000	4,322,000
Goodwill	4,579,000	4,579,000
Intangibles and patents, net	7,605,000	8,975,000
Other assets	110,000	388,000
Total Assets	\$ 24,893,000	\$ 28,041,000
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 1,356,000
Accrued compensation	940,000	1,037,000
Accrued interest	624,000	513,000
Other accrued expenses	740,000	615,000
Current portion of long-term debt - related parties	450,000	1,401,000
Current portion of long-term debt - bank line of credit	1,394,000	--
Current portion of long-term debt - bank term loan	1,229,000	434,000
Current portion of long-term debt - MEDC	1,014,000	353,000
Total current liabilities	7,421,000	5,709,000
Long-term debt, less current portion - MEDC	1,210,000	1,871,000
Long-term debt, less current portion - bank line of credit	--	1,394,000
Long-term fair value warrant liability	172,000	--
Long-term debt, less current portion - related parties	951,000	--
Long-term debt, less current portion - bank term loan	--	1,121,000
Total liabilities	9,754,000	10,095,000
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Class A redeemable convertible preferred stock, \$.001 par value; 780,000 shares authorized; 40,000 shares outstanding	--	--
Class A Common Stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 authorized; December 25, 2009 – 24,463,978 shares issued and outstanding, March 31, 2009 – 24,089,726 shares issued and outstanding	24,000	24,000
Class B Common Stock, \$.001 par value; 4,420,113 shares authorized; December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2009 - 31,691 issued and outstanding	--	--
Additional paid-in capital	50,100,000	52,400,000
Accumulated deficit	(34,985,000)	(34,478,000)
Total shareholders' equity	15,139,000	17,946,000
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 24,893,000	\$ 28,041,000

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

ADVANCED PHOTONIX, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008
Sales, net	\$ 4,588,000	\$ 7,606,000	\$ 15,947,000	\$ 23,565,000
Cost of products sold	3,009,000	4,329,000	9,306,000	12,967,000
Gross profit	1,579,000	3,277,000	6,641,000	10,598,000
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research, development and engineering	1,183,000	1,112,000	3,413,000	3,321,000
Sales and marketing	380,000	605,000	1,249,000	1,935,000
General and administrative	998,000	1,236,000	3,152,000	3,751,000
Amortization expense	518,000	516,000	1,552,000	1,561,000
Wafer fabrication relocation expenses	--	58,000	40,000	266,000
Total operating expenses	3,079,000	3,527,000	9,406,000	10,834,000
Income (loss) from operations	(1,500,000)	(250,000)	(2,765,000)	(236,000)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest income	1,000	(3,000)	4,000	25,000
Interest expense	(66,000)	(84,000)	(202,000)	(248,000)
Interest expense, related parties	(15,000)	(22,000)	(44,000)	(77,000)
Change in fair value of warrant liability	174,000	--	121,000	--
Other income/(expense)	62,000	--	54,000	(2,000)
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,344,000)	\$ (359,000)	\$ (2,832,000)	\$ (538,000)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.02)
Weighted average common shares outstanding				
Basic and diluted	24,483,000	24,109,000	24,323,000	24,057,000

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

ADVANCED PHOTONIX, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,832,000)	\$ (538,000)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	847,000	861,000
Amortization	1,552,000	1,561,000
Stock-based compensation expense	303,000	145,000
Change in fair value of warrant liability	(121,000)	--
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	779,000	(1,239,000)
Inventories	(12,000)	(101,000)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	192,000	(236,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(187,000)	1,391,000
Net cash provided by operating activities	521,000	1,844,000
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(93,000)	(612,000)
Change in restricted cash	--	1,000,000
Patent expenditures	(182,000)	(129,000)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(275,000)	259,000
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Payments on capital lease financing	--	(1,917,000)
Proceeds from bank term loan	--	1,736,000
Borrowings on line of credit	--	94,000
Payment on bank term loan	(326,000)	(73,000)
Payments on long-term debt – related parties	--	(450,000)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	15,000	48,000
Net cash used in financing activities	(311,000)	(562,000)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(65,000)	1,541,000
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,072,000	82,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,007,000	\$ 1,623,000
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		
	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ --	\$ 3,000
Cash paid for interest	\$ 136,000	\$ 211,000

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Advanced Photonix, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
December 25, 2009

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

Business Description

General – Advanced Photonix, Inc. ® (the Company, we or API), was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in June 1988. The Company is engaged in the development and manufacture of optoelectronic devices and value-added sub-systems and systems. The Company serves a variety of global Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), in a variety of industries. The Company supports the customers from the initial concept and design phase of the product, through testing to full-scale production. The Company has two manufacturing facilities located in Camarillo, California and Ann Arbor, Michigan.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Silicon Sensors Inc. ("SSI") and Picometrix, LLC ("Picometrix"). The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. All material inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for the periods presented have been included. The Company evaluates subsequent events through the date the accompanying financial statements were issued, which was February 8, 2010. Operating results for the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the balance of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2010.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis and the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009.

Note 2. Recent Pronouncements and Accounting Changes

In August 2009, the FASB issued new guidance related to measuring certain liabilities at fair value which provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, an entity is required to measure fair value utilizing one or more of the following techniques: (1) a valuation technique that uses quoted prices for identical or similar liabilities when traded as assets; or (2) another valuation technique that is consistent with the principles of existing guidance, such as a present value technique or market approach. The new guidance became effective for the Company during the current quarter and did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.



On July 1, 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 105 (Prior authoritative literature: SFAS No. 168, “The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162”), (“SFAS No. 168”). FASB ASC 105 replaces SFAS No. 162, “The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles” and establishes the Accounting Standards Codification” (Codification) as the single official source of authoritative U.S. accounting and reporting standards for nongovernmental entities, in addition to guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification becomes non-authoritative. The ASC supersedes all existing, non-SEC accounting and reporting standards applied by non-governmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). FASB ASC 105 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. As the Codification does not change GAAP, the implementation did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified guidance that addresses how an entity should evaluate whether an instrument is indexed to its own stock. The guidance is effective for fiscal years (and interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2008. The guidance must be applied to outstanding instruments as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the guidance is adopted and should be treated as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The Company adopted this provision on April 1, 2009. See Note 7 for a discussion on the impact that this adoption had on the Company’s financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued new guidance that enhances consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of disclosures on fair value of financial instruments. The guidance requires these disclosures on a quarterly basis, providing qualitative and quantitative information about fair value estimates for all those financial instruments not measured on the balance sheet at fair value. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance in the first quarter of FY 2010 is included in Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued new guidance pertaining to subsequent events which is intended to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date—that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and shall be applied prospectively. The Company has adopted this guidance effective with the Q1 2010 financial statements. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

During Q1 2010, the Company adopted various accounting standards related to fair value measurements, non-controlling interests, useful life of intangible assets, accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion, participating securities, and business combinations. The adoption of these new standards did not have a material effect on the Company’s results of operations, financial position, or liquidity.

### Note 3. Share-Based Compensation

The Company has five stock equity plans: The 1990 Incentive Stock Option and Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, the 1991 Directors’ Stock Option Plan (The Directors’ Plan), the 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan, the 2000 Stock Option Plan and the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. As of December 25, 2009, under all of our plans, there were 7,200,000 shares authorized for issuance, with 1,865,010 shares remaining available for future grant.

Options typically vest at the rate of 25% per year over four years and are exercisable up to ten years from the date of issuance. Options granted under the Directors’ Plan typically vests at the rate of 50% per year over two years. Under these plans, the option exercise price equals the stock’s market price on the date of grant. Options and restricted stock awards may be granted to employees, officers, directors and consultants. Under the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, stock options typically vest within four years from grant date and restricted stock awards typically vest within one year.

## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Restricted shares are granted with a per share or unit purchase price at 100% of fair market value on the date of grant. The shares of restricted stock vest after either three, six or twelve months, and are not transferable for one year after the grant date. Stock-based compensation will be recognized over the expected vesting period of the stock options and restricted stock.

During the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, there were no restricted shares granted by the Company. There were no stock options granted during the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008. For the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009; there were 78,000 stock options granted and 344,000 restricted shares granted by the Company. For the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008, the Company granted 292,000 stock options and 56,000 restricted shares.

The following table summarizes information regarding options outstanding and options exercisable at December 26, 2008 and December 25, 2009 and the changes during the periods then ended:

	Number of Options Outstanding (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Number of Shares Exercisable (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
Balance of March 31, 2008	2,619	\$ 1.92	2,198	\$ 1.87
Granted	264	\$ 1.50		
Exercised	--	--		
Expired	(38)	\$ 1.25		
Balance of June 27, 2008	2,845	\$ 1.89	2,312	\$ 1.90
Granted	28	\$ 1.76		
Exercised	(42)	\$ 0.88		
Expired	(21)	\$ 1.75		
Balance of September 26, 2008	2,810	\$ 1.91	2,343	\$ 1.93
Granted	--	--		
Exercised	(14)	\$ 0.80		
Expired	--	--		
Balance of December 26, 2008	2,796	\$ 1.91	2,384	\$ 1.93
	Number of Options Outstanding (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Number of Shares Exercisable (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
Balance of March 31, 2009	2,746	\$ 1.92	2,374	\$ 1.93
Granted	78	\$ 0.63		
Exercised	--	--		
Expired	(20)	\$ 1.80		
Balance of June 26, 2009	2,804	\$ 1.92	2,493	\$ 1.92
Granted	--	--		
Exercised	--	--		
Expired	(10)	\$ 2.12		
Balance of September 25, 2009	2,794	\$ 1.88	2,547	\$ 1.94
Granted	--	--		
Exercised	(30)	\$ 0.52		
Expired	(78)	\$ 1.07		
Balance of December 25, 2009	2,686	\$ 1.92	2,474	\$ 1.96

## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Information regarding stock options outstanding as of December 25, 2009 is as follows:

Price Range	Shares (in 000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life in Years
<b>Options Outstanding</b>			
\$0.50 - \$1.25	754	\$ 0.75	6.3
\$1.50 - \$2.50	1,226	\$ 1.90	5.6
\$2.68 - \$5.34	706	\$ 3.19	4.0
<b>Options Exercisable</b>			
\$0.50 - \$1.25	712	\$ 0.75	3.8
\$1.50 - \$2.50	1,056	\$ 1.96	5.3
\$2.68 - \$5.34	706	\$ 3.19	4.0

The intrinsic value of options exercised in quarter ending December 25, 2009 was zero since no options were exercised and approximately \$15,100 in quarter ending December 26, 2008. The intrinsic value of options exercised for the nine months ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 was approximately \$7,200 and \$47,100, respectively.

During FY 2009 and FY 2010, restricted shares were issued to certain individuals. The restricted share transactions are summarized below:

	Shares (in 000's)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per share
Unvested, March 31, 2008	--	--
Granted	29	\$ 1.50
Vested	--	--
Expired	--	--
Unvested, June 27, 2008	29	\$ 1.50
Granted	27	\$ 1.87
Vested	--	--
Expired	--	--
Unvested, September 26, 2008	56	\$ 1.68
Granted	--	--
Vested	--	--
Expired	--	--
Unvested, December 26, 2008	56	\$ 1.68

	Shares (in 000's)	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value per share
Unvested, March 31, 2009	29	\$ 1.50
Granted	195	\$ 0.65
Vested	(29)	\$ 1.50
Expired	--	--
Unvested, June 26, 2009	195	\$ 0.65
Granted	149	\$ 0.67
Vested	(160)	\$ 0.65
Expired	--	--
Unvested, September 25, 2009	184	\$ 0.67
Granted	--	--
Vested	(10)	\$ 0.66
Expired	--	--
Unvested, December 25, 2009	174	\$ 0.66



## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

The Company estimates the fair value of stock-based awards utilizing the Black-Scholes pricing model for stock options and the intrinsic value for restricted stock. The fair value of the awards is amortized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is generally the vesting period. The Black-Scholes fair value calculations involve significant judgments, assumptions, estimates and complexities that impact the amount of compensation expense to be recorded in current and future periods. The factors include:

- The time period that stock-based awards are expected to remain outstanding has been determined based on the average of the original award period and the remaining vesting period in accordance with the SEC's short-cut approach pursuant to SAB No. 107, "Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Statements". The expected term assumption for awards issued during the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 was 6.3 years. As additional evidence develops from the employee's stock trading history, the expected term assumption will be refined to capture the relevant trends.
- The future volatility of the Company's stock has been estimated based on the weekly stock price from the acquisition date of Picometrix LLC (May 2, 2005) to the date of the latest stock grant. The expected volatility assumption for awards issued during the three month periods ending December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 averaged 70% and 41%, respectively. As additional evidence develops, the future volatility estimate will be refined to capture the relevant trends.
- A dividend yield of zero has been assumed for awards issued during the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, based on the Company's actual past experience and the fact that Company does not anticipate paying a dividend on its shares in the near future.
- The Company has based its risk-free interest rate assumption for awards issued during the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury issues with an equivalent expected term, which averaged 2.2% and 3.5% during the respective periods.
- The forfeiture rate, for awards issued during the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, were approximately 19.0% and 18.7%, respectively, and was based on the Company's actual historical forfeiture trend.

The Company recorded \$78,000 and \$45,000 of stock-based compensation expense (as classified in table below) in our consolidated statements of operations for the three month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, respectively, and \$303,000 and \$145,000 for the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, respectively.

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008
Cost of Products Sold	\$ 3,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 12,000	\$ 9,000
Research and Development expense	9,000	10,000	61,000	35,000
General and Administrative expense	63,000	25,000	217,000	81,000
Sales and Marketing expense	3,000	6,000	13,000	20,000
Total Stock Based Compensation	\$ 78,000	\$ 45,000	\$ 303,000	\$ 145,000

At December 25, 2009, the total stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock options and restricted shares granted to employees under the Company's stock option plans but not yet recognized was approximately \$154,000. This expense will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.6 years and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures.

Note 4 Credit Risk

Pervasiveness of Estimates and Risk - The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable.

The Company maintains cash balances at four financial institutions that are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to \$250,000. As of December 25, 2009, the Company had cash at three financial institutions in excess of federally insured amounts. As excess cash is available, the Company invests in short-term and long-term investments, primarily consisting of Government Securities Money Market instruments, and Repurchase agreements. As of December 25, 2009, cash deposits held at financial institutions in excess of FDIC insured amounts of \$250,000 were approximately \$1.7 million. As of March 31, 2009, cash deposits held at financial institutions in excess of FDIC insured amounts of \$250,000 were approximately \$2.0 million.

Accounts receivable are unsecured and the Company is at risk to the extent such amount becomes uncollectible. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. At December 25, 2009, one (1) customer comprised 10% or more of accounts receivable. As of March 31, 2009, one customer comprised 10% or more of accounts receivable.

Note 5. Detail of Certain Asset Accounts

Cash and Cash Equivalents - The Company considers all highly liquid investments, with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Compensating Cash Balance - During FY 2009, the Company established a Credit Facility with The PrivateBank and Trust Company with a minimum compensating balance requirement of \$500,000. This amount has been separately disclosed on the accompanying balance sheets as restricted cash.

Accounts Receivable - Receivables are stated at amounts estimated by management to be the net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on specific identification. Accounts receivable are charged off when it becomes apparent, based upon age or customer circumstances, that such amounts will not be collected.

Accounts receivable are unsecured and the Company is at risk to the extent such amount becomes uncollectible. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Any unanticipated change in the customers' credit worthiness or other matters affecting the collectability of amounts due from such customers could have a material effect on the results of operations in the period in which such changes or events occur. The allowance for doubtful accounts on December 25, 2009 was \$59,000 and on March 31, 2009 was \$62,000.

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Inventories - Inventories, which include material, labor and manufacturing overhead, are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates the first in, first out method) or market. Inventories consist of the following at December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

	December 25, 2009	March 31, 2009
Raw material	\$ 3,572,000	\$ 3,316,000
Work-in-process	737,000	808,000
Finished products	444,000	392,000
Total inventories	4,753,000	4,516,000
Less reserve	(1,072,000)	(847,000)
Inventories, net	\$ 3,681,000	\$ 3,669,000

Slow moving and obsolete inventories are reviewed throughout the year. To calculate a reserve for obsolescence, the Company begins with a review of its slow moving inventory. Any inventory, which has been slow moving within the past 12 months, is evaluated and reserved if deemed appropriate. In addition, any residual inventory, which is customer specific and remaining on hand at the time of contract completion, is reserved for at the standard unit cost. The complete list of slow moving and obsolete inventory is then reviewed by the production, engineering and/or purchasing departments to identify items that can be utilized in the near future. Items identified as useable in the near future are then excluded from slow moving and obsolete inventory and the remaining amount is then reserved as slow moving and obsolete. Additionally, non-cancelable open purchase orders for parts the Company is obligated to purchase where demand has been reduced may be reserved. Reserves for open purchase orders where the market price is lower than the purchase order price are also established. If a product that had previously been reserved for is subsequently sold, the amount of reserve specific to that item is then reversed.

Intangible Assets - Intangible assets that have definite lives consist of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Weighted Average Lives in Years	December 25, 2009			March 31, 2009			
		Amortization Method	Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization Net	Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization Net	Carrying Value	
Non-Compete agreement	3	Cash Flow	\$ 130	\$ 130	\$ --	\$ 130	\$ 130	\$ --
Customer list	15	Straight Line	475	344	131	475	334	141
Trademarks	15	Cash Flow	2,270	618	1,652	2,270	514	1,756
Customer relationships	5	Cash Flow	1,380	933	447	1,380	726	654
Technology	10	Cash Flow	10,950	6,436	4,514	10,950	5,231	5,719
Patents pending			550	--	550	502	--	502
Patents		Straight Line	429	118	311	295	92	203
Total Intangibles			\$ 16,184	\$ 8,579	\$ 7,605	\$ 16,002	\$ 7,027	\$ 8,975

Amortization expense for both the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 was approximately \$1.6 million. Patent amortization expense for the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008, was approximately \$26,000 and \$11,000, respectively. The current patents held by the Company have remaining useful lives ranging from 2 years to 20 years.

Assuming no impairment to the intangible value, future amortization expense for intangible assets and patents are as follows:

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Intangible Assets (000's)		Patents (a) (000's)	
2010 (3 months)	\$ 509	2010 (3 months)	\$ 11
2011	1,584	2011	38
2012	1,305	2012	38
2013	1,088	2013	37
2014	901	2014	37
2015 & after	1,357	2015 & after	150
Total	\$ 6,744	Total	\$ 311

- a) Patent pending costs of \$550,000 are not included in the chart above. These costs will be amortized beginning the month the patents are granted.

Note 6. Debt

Total outstanding debt of the Company as of December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2009 consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

	As of	
	December 25, 2009	March 31, 2009
Bank term loan	\$ 1,229	\$ 1,555
Bank line of credit	1,394	1,394
MEDC loans	2,224	2,224
Debt to Related Parties	1,401	1,401
Total	\$ 6,248	\$ 6,574

Term Loan and Line of Credit –During FY 2009, the Company established a new credit facility with The PrivateBank and Trust Company. As part of this banking relationship, the Company established a three year Line of Credit of \$3.0 million at an interest rate of prime plus 1%, adjusted quarterly. In addition, the Company also established an Equipment Installment Loan of \$1.736 million amortized over a term of four years at an interest rate of prime plus 1%. The prime rate at December 25, 2009 was 3.25%. The facility contains customary representations, warranties and financial covenants including minimum debt service coverage ratio, Adjusted EBITDA level, and Net Worth requirements (as defined in the agreement). The principal loan amount of the Line of Credit is due on December 25, 2011, and the principal amount of the Term Loan is due on September 25, 2011, provided that if existing loans to the Company by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation have not converted to equity on or before August 31, 2011, the outstanding principal shall be due on August 31, 2011. The availability under the Line of Credit will be determined by the calculation of a borrowing base that includes a percentage of accounts receivable and inventory.

On May 29, 2009, the Company amended its credit facility effective March 31, 2009. According to the terms of the amended loan agreement, the Adjusted EBITDA level is measured on a year to date basis for the June 26, 2009, September 25, 2009, December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2010 test dates and thereafter on a trailing four quarter basis. In addition, the Debt Service Coverage ratio and the Net Worth covenants were amended. The amended minimum Debt Service Coverage ratio is 1.0 to 1.0 for the first quarter of FY 2010, 1.25 to 1.0 for the second quarter of FY 2010 and 1.5 to 1.0 thereafter. The amended minimum Net Worth covenant is \$15.5 million and will increase by 10% of Net Income for each fiscal year that the Company reports net income.



The line of credit Agreement is guaranteed by each of API's wholly-owned subsidiaries and the loan is secured by a Security Agreement among API, its subsidiaries and The PrivateBank, pursuant to which API and its subsidiaries granted to The PrivateBank a first-priority security interest in certain described assets.

At December 25, 2009, the Company was not in compliance with the Debt Service Coverage ratio, Net Worth requirements or with the Adjusted EBITDA level and the Company believes it is probable such covenants will not be met at March 31, 2010. This constitutes an event of default under the terms of our credit facility agreement which gives The PrivateBank and Trust Company the ability to provide us with notice that they are exercising their rights under the credit facility by demanding payment in full of the outstanding indebtedness under our credit facility. Although we have not received such notice from The PrivateBank and Trust Company, we have classified the outstanding balances under the Line of Credit and Term Loan as current liabilities on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at December 25, 2009.

While the Company believes we have good relations with our Lender, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to amend our current credit facility agreement that we are currently discussing. Our recent operating results have caused our failure to meet the financial covenants of our existing credit facility. We have had a covenant violation in the past and successfully negotiated an amended credit facility and we are in active discussions with our current Lender to amend our current credit facility agreement. If we do not succeed in negotiating an amended agreement or replacement financing, our Lender would be able to commence foreclosure on some or all of our assets that serve as collateral for the debt owed our Lender, or exercise other rights and remedies given them under our current credit facility. Substantially all of our tangible assets serve as collateral for the debt that is owed our Lender.

The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

MEDC Loans - The Michigan Economic Development Corporation (MEDC) entered into two loan agreements with Picometrix LLC, one in fiscal 2004 (MEDC-loan 1) and one in fiscal 2005 (MEDC-loan 2). Both loans are unsecured.

The MEDC-loan 1 is for \$1.025 million with an interest rate of 7%. Under the original terms of the promissory note, interest accrued but unpaid through October 2008 would be added to then outstanding principal balance of the note and the restated principal would be amortized over the remaining four years (December 15, 2012). Effective December 23, 2008, the MEDC-loan 1 was amended and restated to change the start date of repayment of principal and interest from October 2008 to October 2009. Commencing in October 2009, the Company was schedule to pay the MEDC the restated principal and accrued interest on any unpaid balance over the remaining three years. The Company is currently in negotiations with the MEDC to amend the MEDC loan 1. The Company and the MEDC have mutually agreed that the payment of restated principal and accrued interest is suspended until the renegotiated MEDC loan 1 has been completed. The Company anticipates that the amendment will be completed in the 4th quarter.

MEDC-loan 2 is for \$1.2 million with an interest rate of 7%. Under the original terms of the promissory note, interest accrued, but unpaid in the first two years of this agreement was added to the then outstanding principal of this promissory note. During the third year of this agreement, the Company was to pay interest on the restated principal of the Note until October 2008, at which time the Company was to repay the restated principal over the remaining three years (December 15, 2011). Effective January 26, 2009, the MEDC-loan 2 was amended and restated to change the start date of repayment of principal and interest from October 2008 to November 2009 and to extend the repayment period to October 2012. The Company is currently in negotiations with the MEDC to amend the MEDC loan 2. The Company and the MEDC have mutually agreed that the payment of restated principal and accrued interest is suspended until the renegotiated MEDC loan 2 has been completed. The Company anticipates that the amendment will be completed in the 4th quarter.

## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Included in accrued interest on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2009 is approximately \$603,000 and \$488,000, respectively, of accrued interest related to the MEDC loans described above.

Related Party Debt - As a result of the acquisition of Picotronic, Inc. (dba Picometrix) in May 2005, the stockholders of Picometrix received four-year API promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$2.9 million ("Debt to Related Parties"). The notes bear an interest rate of prime plus 1.0% and are secured by all of the intellectual property of Picometrix. The interest rate at December 25, 2009 was 4.25%. API has the option of prepaying the debt to related parties without penalty. Note holders include Robin Risser and Steve Williamson, the Company's CFO and CTO, respectively.

The Company's only significant related party transactions relate to the payment of principal and interest on the Related Party Debt. On November 30, 2009, the Company and the note holders entered into the fourth amendments to the Notes to extend the due date for the remaining principal balance of the Notes (in the aggregate amount of \$1,400,500) to March 1, 2011 payable in two installments as follows:

December 1, 2010	\$450,000
March 1, 2011	\$950,500

On December 25, 2009, the remaining balance on the notes was \$1.4 million. Robin Risser and Steve Williamson, the Company's CFO and CTO, respectively, are the note holders.

Interest payments made to Related Parties during the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 were approximately \$45,000 and \$81,000, respectively.

### Note 7. Stockholders' Equity

At March 31, 2009, the Company had the following warrants outstanding and exercisable:

	Shares (000's)	Exercise Price
Convertible Note – 1st Tranche	695	\$1.7444
Convertible Note – 2nd Tranche	695	\$1.7444
Private Placement	741	\$1.8500

The exercise price for the Convertible Note warrants are subject to adjustment, based on a formula contained in the Convertible Note agreement, if common stock is issued in the future below the \$1.7444 exercise price. Such adjustments cannot reduce the exercise price below \$1.70 without obtaining shareholder approval. The exercise price for the Private Placement warrants are subject to adjustment based on a formula contained in the Private Placement agreement, if common stock is issued in the future below the \$1.85 exercise price. Such adjustments cannot reduce the exercise price below \$1.79 without obtaining shareholder approval.

As a result of adopting the FASB's guidance on how an entity should evaluate whether an instrument is indexed to its own stock on April 1, 2009 (as discussed in Note 2), the above mentioned warrants, which previously were treated as equity, are no longer afforded equity treatment because of their exercise price reset features. On April 1, 2009, the Company reclassified from additional paid-in-capital, as a cumulative effect adjustment, a \$2,326,000 decrease in accumulated deficit and the initial recognition of a \$294,000 warrant liability, to reflect the fair value of the warrants on that date. The fair value liability of these warrants decreased to approximately \$255,000 as of June 26, 2009, increased to approximately \$347,000 as of September 25, 2009 and subsequently decreased to approximately \$172,000 as of December 25, 2009. As a result, the Company recorded \$39,000, (\$92,000) and \$174,000 as other income (expense) from the change in the fair value of these warrants for the three months ended June 26, 2009, September 25, 2009 and December 25, 2009, respectively.

The fair value of the warrants was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions:

	December 25, 2009	April 1, 2009
Expected term (in years)	.3 – 2.7	1.1 – 3.5
Volatility	69.8% - 85.46%	72.09% - 97.99%
Expected dividend	--	--
Risk-free interest rate	0.58% - 1.16%	0.58% - 1.16%

Expected volatility is based primarily on historical volatility. Historical volatility is based on the weekly stock price for the most recent period equivalent to the term of the warrants. A dividend yield of zero has been assumed based on the Company's actual past experience and the fact that the Company does not anticipate paying a dividend on its shares in the future. The Company has based its risk-free interest on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury issues with equivalent expected term.

The inputs used to determine the fair value of the warrants are classified as Level 2 inputs.

#### Note 8. Consolidation Activities

Wafer Fabrication consolidation - The Company completed the consolidation and modernization of its wafer fabrication facilities during Q1 2010. Prior to this consolidation, the Company had excess wafer fabrication capacity at its three locations (including Dodgeville, WI), with the Ann Arbor, MI facility having the most modern infrastructure. The wafer fabrication facilities and equipment in its Wisconsin and California facilities had similar capabilities and both required substantial upgrade and improvement in order to maintain production capabilities. Since the Ann Arbor facility, when equipped, would have the physical capacity to produce all of the Company's current and foreseeable wafer requirements and would not significantly impact current production requirements during any upgrade process, management decided to consolidate all optoelectronic wafer fabrication into the Ann Arbor facility.

The Company spent approximately \$2.3 million wafer fabrication consolidation expense to complete the consolidation. The costs incurred consisted of labor and associated expense of \$1.1 million, travel and relocation costs of \$169,000, accelerated depreciation expense on de-commissioned assets of \$150,000 and supplies, consulting and other related costs of \$854,000 and \$40,000 to re-provision the California clean room into a hybrid assembly area. All costs associated with the consolidation were recorded as expenses when incurred. As a result of the completion of the wafer fabrication consolidation, the Company expects to realize cost reductions through elimination of duplicate expenditures and yield improvements as well as an increase in new product development capability. Related costs incurred for the nine-month periods ended December 25, 2009 and December 26, 2008 were \$40,000 and \$266,000, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

### Note 9. Earnings Per Share

The Company's net earnings per share calculations are in accordance with FASB ASC 260-10 (prior authoritative literature: SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share"). Accordingly, basic earnings (loss) per share are computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for each year. The calculation of earnings (loss) per share is as follows:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008	December 25, 2009	December 26, 2008
Basic and Diluted				
Weighted Average Basic Shares				
Outstanding	24,483,000	24,109,000	24,323,000	24,057,000
Dilutive effect of Stock Options and				
Warrants	--	--	--	--
Weighted Average Diluted Shares				
Outstanding	24,483,000	24,109,000	24,323,000	24,057,000
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,344,000)	\$ (359,000)	\$ (2,832,000)	\$ (538,000)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.02)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.02)

The dilutive effect of stock options for the periods presented was not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share because to do so would have had an anti-dilutive effect as the Company had a net loss for these periods. As of December 25, 2009, the number of anti-dilutive shares excluded from diluted earnings per share totaled approximately 4.2 million shares, which includes 2.1 million anti-dilutive warrants.

On April 1, 2009, the Company adopted the FASB's guidance on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities which requires that invested restricted stock with a non-forfeitable right to receive dividends be included in the two-class method of computing earnings per share. This guidance did not have a material impact on our reported earnings per share amounts.

### Note 10. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value of all financial instruments potentially subject to valuation risk (principally consisting of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and debt) approximates the fair value based upon the short-term nature of these instruments, and in the case of debt, the prevailing interest rates available to the Company.

### Note 11. Subsequent Events

In January 2010, The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Picometrix LLC, entered into a "Fourth Addendum & Extension Agreement" for its lease of the Ann Arbor, MI facility. The 50,000 sq. ft. facility houses the Company's research, development and manufacturing for its terahertz and high-speed optical receiver product platforms, API's corporate headquarters, and the semiconductor micro-fabrication facility for all three of Advanced Photonix's product platforms. The state-of-the-art facility was completed in 2001 and was designed to meet the unique requirements of the Company's ultrafast optoelectronic product platforms; including InP and GaAs material growth, semiconductor micro-fabrication, and precision hybrid assembly and high-speed test. In addition, the facility includes an industry leading HSOR laboratory and three secure user laboratories for collaborative terahertz application development.

In 2001, the Company entered into a 10-year lease with two 5-year options to renew at a lease rate tied to the CPI, with a minimum increase for each 5-year option. The original lease included the first right of refusal to purchase the facility and was scheduled to expire in May 31, 2011. In January 2010, the Company amended the lease terms and extended the lease to May 31, 2021. The new lease represents a 19% reduction in lease payments over the new lease term, or approximately \$1.6 million in savings. The Company retained the right of first refusal to purchase the property during the new lease term. In addition, the Company negotiated an option to purchase the facility on May 31, 2016 for no less than \$7.1 million. Rent will decrease from \$58,689 per month to \$50,754 per month commencing January, 2010 through May, 2011, then will decrease to \$48,238 per month from June, 2011 through May, 2016 and will increase to \$52,432 in June, 2016 through the remainder of the lease term.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), including, without limitation, statements containing the words "may," "will," "can," "anticipate," "believe," "plan," "estimate," "continue," and similar expressions constitute "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including risks described in the Risk Factors sections and elsewhere in this filing. Except for our ongoing obligation to disclose material information as required by federal securities laws, we do not intend to update you concerning any future revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Risk Factors as well as our financial statements and the related notes.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of Company's financial condition and results of operations is based on its condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statement and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from such estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Application of the Company's accounting policies requires management to make certain judgments and estimates about the amounts reflected in the financial statements. Management uses historical experience and all available information to make these estimates and judgments, although differing amounts could be reported if there are changes in the assumptions and estimates. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory allowances, valuation of intangible assets and goodwill, depreciation and amortization, warranty costs, taxes and contingencies. Management has identified the following accounting policies as critical to an understanding of its financial statements and/or as areas most dependent on management's judgment and estimates.

Global Economic Conditions

The credit markets and the financial services industry continue to experience a period of significant disruption characterized by the bankruptcy, failure, collapse or sale of various financial institutions, increased volatility in securities prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability and a significant level of intervention from the United States and other governments. Continued concerns about the systemic impact of potential long-term or widespread recession, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the global commercial and residential real estate markets and related mortgage markets and reduced consumer confidence have contributed to increased market volatility and diminished expectations for most developed and emerging economies continuing into 2010. As a result of these market conditions, the cost and availability of credit has been and may continue to be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Continued turbulence in the United States and international markets and economies could restrict our ability to refinance our existing indebtedness, increase our costs of borrowing, limit our access to capital necessary to meet our liquidity needs and materially harm our operations or our ability to implement our business strategy.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is derived principally from the sales of the Company's products. The Company recognizes revenue when the basic criteria of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 are met. Specifically, the Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, usually in the form of a purchase order, when shipment has occurred since its terms are FOB source, or when services have been rendered, title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, the price is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured in terms of both credit worthiness of the customer and there are no post shipment obligations or uncertainties with respect to customer acceptance.

The Company sells certain of its products to customers with a product warranty that provides warranty repairs at no cost. The length of the warranty term is one year from date of shipment. The Company accrues the estimated exposure to warranty claims based upon historical claim costs. The Company's management reviews these estimates on a regular basis and adjusts the warranty provisions as actual experience differs from historical estimates or as other information becomes available.

The Company does not provide price protection or general right of return. The Company's return policy only permits product returns for warranty and non-warranty repair or replacement and requires pre-authorization by the Company prior to the return. Credit or discounts, which have been historically insignificant, may be given at the discretion of the Company and are recorded when and if determined.

The Company predominantly sells directly to original equipment manufacturers with a direct sales force. The Company sells in limited circumstances through distributors. Sales through distributors represent approximately 6% of total revenue. Significant terms and conditions of distributor agreements include FOB source, net 30 days payment terms, with no return or exchange rights, and no price protection. Since the product transfers title to the distributor at the time of shipment by the Company, the products are not considered inventory on consignment.

Revenue is also derived from technology research and development contracts. We recognize revenue from these contracts as services and/or materials are provided.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

As of December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2009, our consolidated balance sheet included \$4.6 million in goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over amounts assigned to tangible or identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed from our business acquisitions.

In accordance with FASB guidance, goodwill shall be tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The impairment test shall consist of a comparison of the fair value of the asset with its carrying amount, as defined. This guidance requires a two-step method for determining goodwill impairment. Step one is to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with the unit's carrying amount, including goodwill. If this test indicates that the fair value is less than the carrying value, then step two is required to compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

We determine the fair value of our single reporting unit to be equal to our market capitalization plus a control premium. Market capitalization is determined by multiplying the shares outstanding on the assessment date by the average market price of our common stock over a 10-day period before and a 10-day period after each assessment date. We use this 20-day duration to consider inherent market fluctuations that may affect any individual closing price. We believe that our market capitalization alone does not fully capture the fair value of our business as a whole, or the substantial value that an acquirer would obtain from its ability to obtain control of our business. As such, in determining fair value, we add a control premium — which seeks to give effect to the increased consideration a potential acquirer would be required to pay in order to gain sufficient ownership to set policies, direct operations and make decisions related to our company — to our market capitalization.

The Company's evaluation as of March 31, 2009 indicated there were no impairments. As of March 31, 2009, our market capitalization calculated as described as above, had fallen to \$17.1 million and our carrying value, including goodwill, had decreased to \$17.9 million. We applied a 25% control premium to market capitalization to determine a fair value of \$21.4 million. We believe that including a control premium at this level is supported by recent transaction data in our industry. Absent the inclusion of a control premium greater than 4% for FY 2009, our carrying value would have exceeded fair value, requiring a step two analysis which may have resulted in an impairment of goodwill.

We determined in the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 that there were no events or changes in circumstances since the end of fiscal year 2009 requiring an impairment test. Our stock price has fluctuated from a high of \$0.90 to a low of \$0.57 during the third quarter of FY 2010. The current macroeconomic environment continues to be challenging and we cannot be certain of the duration of these conditions and their potential impact on our stock price performance. If our stock price declines in the future and such a decline persist, an impairment of goodwill may be recorded.

In accordance with FASB guidance, the carrying value of long-lived assets, including amortizable intangibles and property and equipment, are evaluated whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that a potential impairment has occurred relative to a given asset or assets. Impairment is deemed to have occurred if projected undiscounted cash flows associated with an asset are less than the carrying value of the asset. The estimated cash flows include management's assumptions of cash inflows and outflows directly resulting from the use of that asset in operations. The amount of the impairment loss recognized is equal to the excess of the carrying value of the asset over its then estimated fair value. The Company's evaluation for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, indicated there were no impairments.

We determined in the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 that there were no events or changes in circumstances since the end of fiscal year 2009 that would indicate that a potential impairment has occurred. We may subsequently experience unforeseen issues that may adversely affect our business and may trigger an evaluation of the recoverability of the carrying value of our long-lived assets. Future determinations of significant write-offs of our long-lived assets could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

#### Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

The Company records deferred income taxes for the future tax consequences of events that were recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The Company records a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets when, in management's judgment, it is more likely than not that the deferred income tax assets will not be realized in the foreseeable future. Consistent with the March 31, 2009 10-K, the Company has a full valuation allowance on its net Deferred Tax Assets as of December 25, 2009.

#### Inventories

The Company's inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost under the first-in, first-out method) or market. Slow moving and obsolete inventories are reviewed throughout the year. To calculate a reserve for obsolescence, we begin with a review of our slow moving inventory.

Any inventory, which has been slow moving within the past 12 months, is evaluated and reserved if deemed appropriate. In addition, any residual inventory, which is customer specific and remaining on hand at the time of contract completion, is reserved for at the standard unit cost. The complete list of slow moving and obsolete inventory is then reviewed by the production, engineering and/or purchasing departments to identify items that can be utilized in the near future. These items are then excluded from the analysis and the remaining amount of slow-moving and obsolete inventory is then reserved for. Additionally, non-cancelable open purchase orders for parts we are obligated to purchase where demand has been reduced may be reserved. Reserves for open purchase orders where the market price is lower than the purchase order price are also established. If a product that had previously been reserved for is subsequently sold, the amount of reserve specific to that item is then reversed.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In anticipation of the economic slowdown, the Company instituted company-wide cost reduction measures to mitigate the impact of the revenue shortfall. These included headcount reductions, benefit reductions and wage freezes. In addition, the Company deferred all non-essential capital expenditures. These measures, combined with prior cost savings through facility consolidations over the past few years, have significantly lowered the Company's breakeven cash flow during this fiscal year. During the first nine months of the year, these cost reduction measures have saved approximately \$2.6 million in cost of goods sold overhead and operating expenses without sacrificing the investments necessary in new product developments that will drive growth as the economy emerges from the recession. Despite a 32% drop in year-to-date revenue, the Company's gross margin percentage of revenue only fell from 45% to 42% during the first nine months of the year. This reduction in gross margin percentage was primarily driven by lower capacity utilization, offset by overhead cost reductions and more favorable product mix.

### Revenues

The Company predominantly operates in one industry segment, consisting of light and radiation detection devices. The Company sells its products to multiple markets including telecommunications, industrial sensing/non destructive testing (NDT), military-aerospace, medical, and homeland security.

Revenues by market consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

Revenues	Three months ended				Nine months ended			
	December 25, 2009		December 26, 2008		December 25, 2009		December 26, 2008	
Telecommunications	\$ 1,222	26%	\$ 1,521	20%	\$ 4,411	28%	\$ 5,211	22%
Industrial Sensing/NDT	1,838	40%	2,915	38%	5,829	36%	9,237	39%
Military/Aerospace	1,462	32%	2,537	33%	5,212	33%	6,780	29%
Medical	80	2%	453	6%	340	2%	1,445	6%
Homeland Security	(14)	--	180	3%	155	1%	892	4%
Total Revenues	\$ 4,588	100%	\$ 7,606	100%	\$ 15,947	100%	\$ 23,565	100%

The Company's revenues for the quarter ended December 25, 2009 were \$4.6 million, a decrease of 40% (or \$3.0 million) from revenues of \$7.6 million for the quarter ended December 26, 2008. Year to date revenues were approximately \$15.9 million, 32% lower (or approximately \$7.6 million) from the prior year. The Company experienced reductions in all five markets for the quarter and for the first nine months ending December 25, 2009.



Telecommunications market revenues of \$1.2 million for Q3 2010 were 20% lower compared to the prior year quarter. For the nine-month period ending December 25, 2009, revenues were \$4.4 million, down 15% (or \$800,000) from the prior year. The Company's telecommunications revenues for the first nine months of the year continued to reflect the economic slowdown and the reduction in capital expenditures as our customers and service providers responded to the recession. Verizon and AT&T CAPEX were down approximately 10% for the year, with wireline CAPEX down 16%. AT&T, the largest 40G deploying service provider, reduced wireline CAPEX by approximately 21% for calendar year 2009, which included shifting capital expenditures away from 40G deployments in our 3rd quarter to wireless, primarily for enhanced wireless coverage and 10G wireless backhaul. Current North American customer plans for capital spending are projected to be up approximately 4% in calendar year 2010 over calendar year 2009, which should result in stronger telecommunication revenue in future quarters. North American wireline CAPEX is expected to be stronger in the second half of calendar year 2010. The company expects telecommunication revenue to grow in the 4th quarter, however to be slightly down for the fiscal year.

Medical market revenues for Q3 2010 were \$80,000, a decrease of 82% (or \$373,000) from Q3 2009. Revenues for the nine-months ending December 25, 2009 were \$340,000, down 76% (or \$1.1 million) from the prior year. This decrease was primarily a result of the Company's decision to eliminate business at a customer that did not meet its profitability criteria. The Company expects Medical market revenues to be lower for the year as compared to the prior year.

Military/Aerospace market revenues in Q3 2010 were \$1.5 million, a decrease of 42% (or \$1.0 million) from the comparable prior period revenues of \$2.5 million. Military/Aerospace market revenues for the nine-month period were approximately \$5.2 million, 23% (or approximately \$1.6 million) lower than the comparable prior year period. The decreases were attributable primarily to the timing of purchase order releases and a slight reduction in customer requirements. The Company expects military revenues for the year to be down for the year compared to the prior year, based on the timing of releases for large purchase orders for existing military design wins.

Industrial Sensing/NDT market revenues decreased to \$1.8 million in Q3 2010 and to \$5.8 million for the nine-month period, decreases of 37% (or \$1.1 million) and 37% (or \$3.4 million) from the comparable prior year periods. The Company's Industrial Sensing/NDT revenue in the third quarter continued to experience a slow down as our customers responded to reduced demand as the result of the recession and our customers delayed capital expenditures which delayed purchases of our terahertz products. The Company expects Industrial Sensing/NDT revenues to remain flat in the last quarter of the year, however, we expect a substantial decline in the Industrial Sensing/NDT market for fiscal year 2010 compared to the prior year.

Homeland Security revenues in Q3 2010 were zero and \$155,000 for the nine-month period. The sales in the current fiscal year are attributable to a THz development contract for the nuclear gauge replacement from the Department of Homeland Security. The Company expects Homeland Security revenues for the remainder of the year to be down significantly as compared to the prior year as a result of the completion of the terahertz development contract which ended in September 2009.

#### Gross Profit

Gross profit for Q3 2010 was \$1.6 million compared to Q3 2009 of \$3.3 million, or a decrease of \$1.7 million on a drop in revenue volume of 40% (or \$3.0 million). Gross profit margins decreased 20% to 34% for Q3 2010 compared to 43% of sales for the comparable prior year. The lower gross profit margin was due primarily to lower volume, offset partially by cost savings now being realized through our FY 2010 company-wide cost reduction initiative and prior years' facilities consolidation activities.

Year to date gross profit was \$6.6 million (or 42% of revenue), compared to the first nine months of FY 2009 of \$10.6 million (or 45% of revenue). The lower gross profit margin was due primarily to lower volume, offset partially by cost savings now being realized through our FY 2010 company-wide cost reduction initiative and prior years' facilities consolidation activities. Despite a 32% drop in revenue (\$7.6 million), the Company's gross margin percentage dropped to only 42% during the first nine months of the year, compared to 45% for the comparable prior nine month period.

#### Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses were \$3.1 million during Q3 2010 as compared to \$3.5 million in Q3 2009, a decrease of approximately \$448,000. This decrease was primarily driven by G&A cost savings initiatives, lower sales and marketing expenses related to the decrease in revenue, combined with the completion of the Wafer Fabrication consolidation in Q1 2010. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in R&D expenses.

Total operating expenses for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 were \$9.4 million as compared to \$10.8 million for the same prior year period, a decrease of \$1.4 million. This decrease was primarily driven by G&A cost savings initiatives, lower sales and marketing expenses related to the decrease in revenue, combined with the completion of the Wafer Fabrication consolidation in Q1 2010. These decreases were partially offset by a slight increase in R&D expenses.

Research, development and engineering (RD&E) expenses of \$1.2 million increased by \$71,000 in Q3 2010 compared to Q3 2009, primarily due to higher spending on product development in our high speed optical receiver (HSOR) product platform.

Research, development and engineering (RD&E) expenses increased by \$92,000 for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, compared to the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008, primarily due to product and application development in our THz product platform. The Company plans to continue to invest in the next generation 40G/100G HSOR products and THz applications in FY 2010 in order to gain HSOR market share and move THz from the laboratory to the factory floor.

Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$225,000 (or 37%) to \$380,000 in Q3 2010, as compared to \$605,000 for Q3 2009. Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$686,000 (or 35%) to \$1.2 million for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, compared to \$1.9 million for nine-month period ended December 26, 2008. These decreases were primarily attributable to lower compensation expenses driven by the Company's cost saving measures implemented in response to the recession and lower sales volume.

The Company has and will continue to focus its sales and marketing activity for the growing Telecom and Industrial/NDT markets. However, sales and marketing expense savings are expected to continue for the balance of FY 2010.

Total general and administrative expenses (G&A) decreased \$238,000 (19%), to approximately \$998,000 (22% of sales) in Q3 2010 as compared to \$1.2 million (16% of sales) in Q3 2009. Total general and administrative expenses (G&A) decreased by \$599,000 (16%), to approximately \$3.2 million (20% of sales) for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, compared to \$3.8 million (16% of sales) for nine-month period ended December 26, 2008. The 19% and 16% decrease, respectively, were primarily attributable to the Company's cost savings initiatives resulting from labor and other spending reductions.

The Company expects to tightly control G&A expenses for the remainder of the year. Planned FY 2010 expenditures associated with the external reporting requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act by the end of fiscal year 2010 have been delayed as a result of a recent Security & Exchange Commission announcement that delayed the external reporting requirements until the end of fiscal year 2011.

## Edgar Filing: ADVANCED PHOTONIX INC - Form 10-Q

Amortization expense of \$518,000 in Q3 2010 and \$1.6 million for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 were flat compared to Q3 2009 and to the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008. The Company's utilizes the cash flow amortization method on the majority of its intangible assets.

The non-cash expensing of stock option and restricted stock grants included in operating expenses was \$78,000 for the three month period ended December 25, 2009 compared to \$45,000 for the three months ended December 26, 2008, an increase of \$33,000.

The non-cash expensing of stock option and restricted stock grants included in operating expenses was \$303,000 for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 compared to \$145,000 for the nine-months ended December 26, 2008, an increase of \$158,000.

Other operating expenses incurred related to the previously announced wafer fabrication consolidation to the Company's Ann Arbor facility, which amounted to \$40,000 for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, compared to \$266,000 for the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008. Wafer fabrication consolidation was completed in the first quarter of 2010.

### Other Income (Expense), net

Interest income for Q3 2010 was \$1,000 and \$4,000 for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, a decrease of \$21,000 from the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008. This decrease was due primarily to lower interest rates.

Interest expense in Q3 2010 was \$81,000 compared to \$106,000 in Q3 2009, a decrease of \$25,000 (or 24%). Interest expense for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 was \$246,000, compared to \$325,000 for the nine-month period ended December 26, 2008, a decrease of \$79,000 (or 24%). The Company incurred lower interest expense to banks and related parties primarily due to the combination of lower debt obligations and lower interest rates.

As discussed in Note 7 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the adoption of the FASB's guidance on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities on April 1, 2009 requires our outstanding warrants to be recorded as a liability at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The fair value of the warrant is determined using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, and is affected by changes in inputs to that model including our stock price, expected stock price volatility and contractual term. To the extent that the fair value of the warrant liability increases or decreases, the Company records an expense or income in our statements of operations. The income of \$174,000 on the change in fair value of the warrant liability in the third quarter of FY 2010 is primarily due to the change in the stock price, expected volatility, interest rates and contractual life of the warrants which are the primary assumptions applied to the Black-Scholes model used to calculate the fair value of the warrants.

For the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009, the Company recognized income of \$121,000 on the change in fair value of the warrant liability,

The Company incurred a net loss for Q3 2010 of approximately \$1.3 million (\$0.05 per share), as compared to a net loss of \$359,000 (\$0.01 per share) in Q3 2009, for an increase in losses of approximately \$985,000.

Net loss for the nine-month period ended December 25, 2009 was \$2.8 million (\$0.12 per share), as compared to a net loss of \$538,000 (\$0.02 per share) for the comparable prior year periods, an increase in loss of approximately \$2.3 million. The increase in losses for the quarter and on a year-to-date basis is primarily attributable to lower revenue resulting in lower gross margins offset by reduced operating expenses.

#### Fluctuation in Operating Results

The Company's operating results may fluctuate from period to period and will depend on numerous factors, including, but not limited to, customer demand and market acceptance of the Company's products, new product introductions, product obsolescence, component price fluctuation, varying product mix, and other factors. If demand does not meet the Company's expectations in any given quarter, the sales shortfall may result in an increased impact on operating results due to the Company's inability to adjust operating expenditures quickly enough to compensate for such shortfall. The Company's results of operations could be materially adversely affected by changes in economic conditions, governmental or customer spending patterns for the markets it serves. The current turbulence in the global financial markets and its potential impact on global demand for our customers' products and their ability to finance capital expenditures could materially affect the Company's operating results. In addition, any significant reduction in defense spending as a result of a change in governmental spending patterns could reduce demand for the Company's product sold into the military market.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At December 25, 2009, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$2.0 million, a decrease of \$65,000 from the March 31, 2009 balance of \$2.1 million. The lower balance is attributable to an increase of cash from operating activities of \$521,000, a decrease from investing activities of \$275,000, and a decrease of \$311,000 from financing activities.

#### Operating Activities

The increase of \$521,000 in cash resulting from operating activities was primarily attributable to net cash used in operations of \$251,000, offset by a net cash increase from operating assets and liabilities of \$770,000. This net cash increase from operating assets and liabilities was primarily the result of a decrease in net accounts receivable of \$779,000, and a decrease in prepaid expenses and/or other assets of \$192,000, offset by an increase in inventory of \$12,000 and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$187,000. Cash used in operations of \$251,000 included a loss from operations of \$2.8 million, non-cash income of \$121,000 to record a change in fair value of warrants, offset by \$2.7 million in depreciation, amortization, and stock-based compensation expenses.

#### Investing Activities

The Company used \$275,000 in investing activities for capital expenditures of \$93,000 (which includes \$40,000 to complete the conversion of the California clean room to hybrid assembly manufacturing space) and patent expenditures of \$182,000.

#### Financing Activities

For the nine-months ended December 25, 2009, the Company used \$311,000 in financing activities, comprised of a \$326,000 reduction in the bank term loan, offset by proceeds from stock options exercised of \$15,000.

During 2009, the Company established a new credit facility with The PrivateBank and Trust Company. As part of this banking relationship, the Company established a three year Line of Credit of \$3.0 million at an interest rate of prime plus 1%, adjusted quarterly. In addition, the Company also established an Equipment Installment Loan of \$1.736 million amortized over a term of four years at an interest rate of prime plus 1%. The prime rate at December 25, 2009 was 3.25%. The facility contains customary representations, warranties and financial covenants including minimum debt service coverage ratio, Adjusted EBITDA level, and Net Worth requirements (as defined in the agreement). The principal loan amount of the Line of Credit is due on December 25, 2011, and the principal amount of the Term Loan is due on September 25, 2011, provided that if existing loans to the Company by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation have not converted to equity on or before August 31, 2011, the outstanding principal shall be due on August 31, 2011. The availability under the Line of Credit will be determined by the calculation of a borrowing base that includes a percentage of accounts receivable and inventory.

On May 29, 2009, the Company amended its credit facility with The PrivateBank and Trust Company, headquartered in Chicago, IL effective March 31, 2009. As part of this amendment, the Company continued its three year Line of Credit of \$3.0 million, with a minimum compensating balance requirement of \$500,000. The borrowings are based on 80% of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and 50% of the Company's eligible inventory, subject to certain limitations as defined by the agreement. The line of credit is guaranteed by each of API's wholly-owned subsidiaries and the loan is secured by a Security Agreement among API, its subsidiaries and The PrivateBank, pursuant to which API and its subsidiaries granted to The PrivateBank a first-priority security interest in certain described assets. All business assets of the Company secure the line other than the intellectual property of the Company's Picometrix subsidiary. The amended loan agreement contains customary representations, warranties and financial covenants including minimum debt service coverage ratio, Adjusted EBITDA level, and Net Worth Requirements (as defined in the agreement). According to the terms of the amended loan agreement, the Adjusted EBITDA level is measured on a year to date basis for the June 26, 2009, September 25, 2009, December 25, 2009 and March 31, 2010 test dates and thereafter on a trailing four quarter basis. In addition, the Debt Service Coverage ratio and the Net Worth covenants were amended. The amended minimum Debt Service Coverage ratio is 1.0 to 1.0 for the first quarter FY 2010, 1.25 to 1.0 for the second quarter FY 2010, and 1.5 to 1.0 thereafter. The amended minimum Net Worth covenant is \$15.5 million and will increase by 10% of Net Income for each fiscal year that the Company reports net income.

At December 25, 2009, the Company was not in compliance with the financial covenants and the Company believes it is probable such covenants will not be met at March 31, 2010. This constitutes an event of default under the terms of our credit facility agreement which gives The PrivateBank and Trust Company the ability to provide us with notice that they are exercising their rights under the credit facility by demanding payment in full of the outstanding indebtedness under our credit facility. Although we have not received such notice from The PrivateBank and Trust Company, we have classified the outstanding balances under the Line of Credit and Term Loan as current liabilities on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at December 25, 2009.

While we believe we have good relations with our Lender, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to amend our current credit facility agreement that we are currently discussing. Our recent operating results have caused our failure to meet the financial covenants of our existing credit facility. We have had a covenant violation in the past and successfully negotiated an amended credit facility and we are in active discussions with our current Lender to amend our current credit facility agreement. If we do not succeed in negotiating an amended agreement or replacement financing, our Lender would be able to commence foreclosure on some or all of our assets that serve as collateral for the debt owed our Lender, or exercise other rights and remedies given them under our current credit facility. Substantially all of our tangible assets serve as collateral for the debt that is owed our Lender.

The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

The Company identifies and discloses all significant off balance sheet arrangements and related party transactions. API does not utilize special purpose entities or have any known financial relationships with other companies' special purpose entities.

#### Operating Leases

The Company enters into operating leases where the economic climate is favorable. The liquidity impact of operating leases is not material.

In January, 2010, The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, Picometrix LLC, entered into a "Fourth Addendum & Extension Agreement" for its lease of the Ann Arbor, MI facility. The Company amended the lease terms and extended the lease to May 31, 2021. The new lease represents a 19% reduction in lease payments over the new lease term, or approximately \$1.6 million in savings. The Company retained the right of first refusal to purchase the property during the new lease term. In addition, the Company negotiated an option to purchase the facility on May 31, 2016 for no less than \$7.1 million. (See Note 11 – Subsequent Events).

#### Purchase Commitments

The Company has purchase commitments for materials, supplies, services, and property, plant and equipment as part of the normal course of business. Commitments to purchase inventory at above-market prices have been reserved. Certain supply contracts may contain penalty provisions for early termination. Based on current expectations, API does not believe that it is reasonably likely to incur any material amount of penalties under these contracts.

#### Other Contractual Obligations

The Company does not have material financial guarantees that are reasonably likely to affect liquidity.

The Company believes that existing cash and cash equivalents and cash flow from future operations, in conjunction with the current credit facility, if successfully amended, or a similar new credit facility arrangement is entered into, will be sufficient to fund our anticipated cash needs at least for the next twelve months. However, we may require additional financing to fund our operations in the future and there can be no assurance that additional funds will be available, especially if we experience operating results below expectations, or, if financing is available, there can be no assurance as to the terms on which funds might be available. If adequate financing is not available as required, or is not available on favorable terms, our business, financial position and results of operations will be adversely affected.

#### Recent Pronouncements and Accounting Changes

See "Note 2. Recent Pronouncements and Accounting Changes" regarding the effect of certain recent accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

At December 25, 2009, most of the Company's interest rate exposure is linked to the prime rate, subject to certain limitations, offset by cash investment indexed to the prime rate. As such, the Company is at risk to the extent of changes in the prime rate and does not believe that moderate changes in the prime rate will materially affect our operating results or financial condition.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officers (the “Certifying Officers”) are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Company. The Certifying Officers have designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information is made known to them, particularly during the period in which this report was prepared. The Certifying Officers have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) (the Rules) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (or Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report and believe that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective based on the required evaluation.

There was no change in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 25, 2009 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Part II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information regarding litigation proceedings described in our Annual Report on Form 10K for the year ended March 31, 2009 is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to management may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

To the knowledge of management, there have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 except as follows:

We may be unable to obtain financing to fund ongoing operations and future growth.

While the Company believes our ongoing cost controls will allow us to generate cash and achieve profitability in the future, there is no assurance as to when or if we will be able to achieve our projections. Our future cash flows from operations, combined with our accessibility to cash and credit, may not be sufficient to allow us to finance ongoing operations or to make required investments for future growth. We may need to seek additional credit or access capital markets for additional funds. The recent disruption in credit markets and our recent operating losses make it uncertain whether we will be able to access the credit markets when necessary or desirable. If we are not able to access credit markets and obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms when needed, our business could be materially harmed and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We are not in compliance with certain covenants under our Credit Facility.

At December 25, 2009, the Company was not in compliance with the financial covenants and the Company believes it is probable such covenants will not be met at March 31, 2010. This constitutes an event of default under the terms of our credit facility agreement which gives The PrivateBank and Trust Company (our Lender) the ability to provide us with notice that they are exercising their rights under the credit facility by demanding payment in full of the outstanding indebtedness under our credit facility. Although we have not received such notice from our Lender, we have classified the outstanding balances under the Line of Credit and Term Loan as current liabilities on the consolidated condensed balance sheet at December 25, 2009.

While we believe we have good relations with our Lender, we can provide no assurance that we will be able to amend our current credit facility agreement that we are currently discussing. Our recent operating results have caused our failure to meet the financial covenants of our existing credit facility. We have had a covenant violation in the past and successfully negotiated an amended credit facility and we are in active discussions with our current Lender to amend our current credit facility agreement. If we do not succeed in negotiating an amended agreement or replacement financing, our Lender would be able to commence foreclosure on some or all of our assets that serve as collateral for the debt owed our Lender, or exercise other rights and remedies given them under our current credit facility. Substantially all of our tangible assets serve as collateral for the debt that is owed our Lender.



Any impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, could negatively impact our results of operations.

The Company's goodwill is subject to an impairment test on an annual basis and is also tested whenever events and circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Any excess goodwill value resulting from the impairment test must be written off in the period of determination. Intangible assets (other than goodwill) are generally amortized over the useful life of such assets. In addition, from time to time, we may acquire or make an investment in a business which will require us to record goodwill based on the purchase price and the value of the acquired tangible and intangible assets. We may subsequently experience unforeseen issues with such business which adversely affect the anticipated returns of the business or value of the intangible assets and trigger an evaluation of the recoverability of the recorded goodwill and intangible assets for such business. Future determinations of significant write-offs of goodwill or intangible assets as a result of an impairment test or any accelerated amortization of other intangible assets could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition. We are currently in the process of completing our annual impairment analysis for goodwill and other intangible assets, in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this report:

Exhibit No.

10.1	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Richard D. Kurtz Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2009
10.2	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Robin Risser Exhibit 10.2 of the Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2009
10.3	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Steve Williamson Exhibit 10.3 of the Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2009
31.1	Certificate of the Registrant's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and Director pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certificate of the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer, and Secretary pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certificate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Advanced Photonix, Inc.  
(Registrant)

February 8, 2010

/s/ Richard Kurtz  
Richard Kurtz  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer  
and Director

/s/ Robin Risser  
Robin Risser  
Chief Financial Officer  
and Director