

Edgar Filing: Amtrust Financial Services, Inc. - Form 10-K

Amtrust Financial Services, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 29, 2016
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from to .

Commission File Number: 001-33143

AMTRUST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

59 Maiden Lane, 43rd Floor
New York, New York

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(212) 220-7120

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

04-3106389

(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

10038

(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common Shares, \$0.01 par value per share

Series A Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

Depository Shares, each representing 1/40th of a share of
7.25% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series B

Depository Shares, each representing 1/40th of a share of
7.625% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series C

Depository Shares, each representing 1/40th of a share of
7.50% Non-Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series D

7.25% Subordinated Notes due 2055

7.50% Subordinated Notes due 2055

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: Amtrust Financial Services, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Amtrust Financial Services, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated Filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Accelerated Filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller Reporting Company <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--	---	--

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2015, the last business day of the registrant’s most recently completed second quarter, the aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates was \$2,622,599,316.

As of February 11, 2016, the number of common shares of the registrant outstanding was 175,750,143.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the registrant to be filed subsequently with the SEC are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AMTRUST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
PART I		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>49</u>
PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>89</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>92</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>95</u>
PART III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>95</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>96</u>
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>96</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Note on Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements that are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created by The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When we use words such as “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” or similar expressions, we do so to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include the plans and objectives of management for future operations, including those relating to future growth of our business activities and availability of funds, and are based on current expectations that involve assumptions that are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond our control. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in these statements as a result of significant risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, non-receipt of expected payments from insureds or reinsurers, changes in interest rates, a downgrade in the financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries, the effect of the performance of financial markets on our investment portfolio, the amounts, timing and prices of any share repurchases made by us under our share repurchase program, development of claims and the effect on loss reserves, accuracy in projecting loss reserves, the cost and availability of reinsurance coverage, the effects of emerging claim and coverage issues, changes in the demand for our products, our degree of success in integrating acquired businesses, the effect of general economic conditions, state and federal legislation, regulations and regulatory investigations into industry practices, risks associated with conducting business outside the United States, developments relating to existing agreements, disruptions to our business relationships with Maiden Holdings, Ltd., National General Holdings Corp., ACP Re, Ltd., breaches in data security or other disruptions with our technology, heightened competition, changes in pricing environments, and changes in asset valuations. Additional information about these risks and uncertainties, as well as others that may cause actual results to differ materially from those projected, is contained in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The projections and statements in this report speak only as of the date of this report and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as may be required by law.

Item 1. Business

Legal Organization

AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that was acquired by its principal stockholders in 1998 and began trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on November 13, 2006. References to “AmTrust,” the “Company,” “we,” “our,” or “us” in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in other statements and information publicly disseminated by AmTrust Financial Services, Inc., refer to the consolidated operations of the holding company.

Business Overview

AmTrust underwrites and provides property and casualty insurance products, including workers' compensation, commercial automobile, general liability and extended service and warranty coverage, in the United States and internationally to niche customer groups that we believe are generally under served within the broader insurance market.

Our business model focuses on achieving superior returns and profit growth with the careful management of risk. We pursue these goals through geographic and product diversification, as well as an in-depth understanding of our insured exposures. Our product mix includes, primarily, workers' compensation, extended warranty and other commercial property/casualty insurance products. Our workers' compensation and property/casualty insurance policyholders in the United States are generally small and middle market businesses. Our extended warranty customers are manufacturers, distributors and retailers of commercial and consumer products. We have also built a strong and growing distribution

of extended warranty and specialty risk products, including liability and other property/casualty products, in Europe. The majority of our products are sold through independent third-party brokers, agents, retailers or administrators. Our strategy is to target small to middle size customer markets throughout the U.S. and Europe where our proprietary technology platform enables us to efficiently manage the high volume of policies and claims that result from serving large numbers of small policyholders and warranty contract holders. The technology we have developed offers a level of service that is a competitive advantage in these high volume, lower risk markets by enhancing our ability to service, underwrite and adjudicate claims. Additionally, our ability to maintain and analyze high volumes of loss data over a long historical period allows us to better manage and forecast the underlying risk inherent in the portfolio. Since our inception in 1998, we have grown both organically and through an opportunistic acquisition strategy. We believe we approach acquisitions conservatively, and our strategy is to take relatively modest integration and balance sheet risk. Our acquisition activity has involved the purchase of companies, renewal rights to established books of insurance portfolios, access to distribution networks and the hiring of established teams of underwriters with expertise in our specialty lines.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We are committed to driving long-term stockholder value and industry-leading returns on equity by continuing to execute on our lower risk, lower volatility business model and leveraging technology to help maintain a more efficient cost structure, consistently generate solid underwriting profits and ensure strong customer service and retention rates. Additionally, we are focused on further enhancing our economies of scale by opportunistically expanding our geographic reach and product set, growing our network of agents and other distributors, developing new client relationships and executing our acquisition strategy. We are also focused on maintaining our disciplined approach to capital management while maximizing an appropriate risk-adjusted return on our growing investment portfolio. We continue to carefully monitor and maintain appropriate levels of reserves and seek to minimize our reinsurance recoverable exposure in order to maintain a strong balance sheet. We intend to expand our business and capital base to take advantage of profitable growth opportunities while maintaining or improving our A.M. Best ratings. Our principal insurance subsidiaries are rated “A” (Excellent) by A.M. Best Company (“A.M. Best”), which is the third highest of 16 rating levels.

Competition

The insurance industry, in general, is highly competitive and there is significant competition in the commercial business insurance sector. Competition in the insurance business is based on many factors, including coverage availability, claims management, safety services, payment terms, premium rates, policy terms, types of insurance offered, overall financial strength, financial ratings assigned by independent rating organizations, such as A.M. Best, and reputation. Some of the insurers with which we compete have greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. In the future, we may also compete with new market entrants. Our competitors include other insurance companies, state insurance pools and self-insurance funds. We generally target niche sectors and clients where the market is not as competitive as the broader market and where we have particular expertise and provide differentiated offerings compared to our competitors.

More than one hundred insurance companies participate in the workers’ compensation market. The insurance companies with which we compete vary by state and by the industries we target. We believe our competitive advantages include our efficient underwriting and claims management practices and systems and our A.M. Best rating of “A” (Excellent). In addition, we believe our lower processing costs allow us to competitively price our insurance products.

We believe the niche markets in the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty sector in which we do business are less competitive than most other insurance sectors (including workers’ compensation insurance). We believe our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty teams are recognized for their knowledge and expertise in the targeted markets. Nonetheless, we face significant competition, including several internationally well-known insurers that have greater financial, marketing and management resources and experience than we have. We believe that our competitive advantages include our ownership of both a U.S. warranty provider and a U.K. warranty provider, which enables us to directly administer the business, the ability to provide technical assistance to non-affiliate warranty providers, experienced underwriting, resourceful claims management practices and good relations with warranty administrators in the European Union, Asia and the United States.

Our Specialty Program segment employs a niche strategy of targeting smaller businesses, which helps to differentiate our offerings from those of our competitors. Most of our competing carriers pursue larger risks. We do not compete for high exposure business and underwrite lower hazard classes of business where service and execution are the basis for attracting and retaining business as opposed to providing the lowest price. Our competitive A.M. Best rating and financial size allow us to compete favorably for target business.

Underwriting and Claims Management Philosophy

We believe that proactive and prompt claims management is essential to reducing losses and lowering loss adjustment expenses and enables us to more effectively and accurately measure reserves. To this end, we utilize our proprietary technology and extensive database of loss history in order to appropriately price and structure policies, maintain lower levels of loss, enhance our ability to accurately predict losses, and maintain lower claims costs than the industry as a whole. We believe a strong underwriting foundation is best accomplished through careful risk selection and continuous evaluation of underwriting guidelines relative to loss experience. We are committed to a consistent and thorough review of each new underwriting opportunity and our portfolio as a whole, and, where permissible and appropriate, we customize the terms, conditions and exclusions of our coverage in order to manage risk and enhance profitability.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Business Segments

We manage our business through three segments, Small Commercial Business, Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty, and Specialty Program, which are based on the products we provide and the markets we serve.

The following table provides our gross written premium by segment for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Small Commercial Business	\$3,320,650	\$2,999,714	\$1,659,980
Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty	2,158,921	1,983,052	1,511,649
Specialty Program	1,319,966	1,105,199	879,455
Corporate and other ⁽¹⁾	—	—	65,827
Total	\$6,799,537	\$6,087,965	\$4,116,911

⁽¹⁾ Corporate and other includes a former segment related to the Personal Lines Quota Share with National General Holdings Corp.'s personal lines insurance companies. On August 1, 2013, we received notice, effective August 1, 2013, that our participation in the Personal Lines Quota Share was terminated on a run-off basis.

Additional financial information regarding our segments is presented in Note 25. "Segments" to our audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Small Commercial Business

This segment provides workers' compensation insurance to small businesses that operate in low and medium hazard classes and commercial package and other property and casualty insurance products to small businesses, with average annual premiums of approximately \$8,500. We are authorized to write our Small Commercial Business products in all 50 states. We distribute our policies through a network of over 8,000 select retail and wholesale agents who are paid commissions based on the annual policy premiums written. Workers' compensation insurance pricing and coverage options are generally mandated and regulated on a state by state basis and provide coverage for the statutory obligations of employers to pay medical care expenses and lost wages for employees who are injured in the course of their employment. Commercial package products provide a broad array of insurance to small businesses, including commercial property, general liability, inland marine, automobile, workers' compensation and umbrella coverage.

We believe the small business component of the workers' compensation market is generally less competitive than the broader insurance market because the smaller policy size and low average premiums needed by these types of policyholders generally does not fit the underwriting and profitability criteria of many of our competitors. Our highly customized and proprietary technology platform enables us to individually underwrite, manage and control losses in a cost-effective manner for a large number of small policies while still providing quality customer service and responsive claims management to our clients and the agents that distribute our products. We believe these factors have been key to our ability to achieve high retention and renewal rates. Our policy renewal rate on voluntary business (excluding assigned risk plans), which represented approximately 96% of the segment's gross written premiums in 2015, was 84%, 85%, and 87% in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Some of our commonly written small business risks include:

- restaurants;
- retail;
- office and professional;
- service industries;
- schools;

hospitality;
light manufacturing;
wholesale operations;
auto service;
surety;
lumber;
community banks;
artisan contractors; and
not-for-profits.

3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We are focused on continuing to broaden our market share by enhancing our current agent relationships as well as developing new agent relationships. Our on-line rating and submission system permits agents and brokers to easily determine in real-time if the risk and pricing parameters for a prospective workers' compensation client meet our underwriting criteria and deliver an application for underwriting approval to us in a paperless environment. Our underwriting system will not allow business to be placed if it does not fit within our guidelines. These same types of efficiencies also exist for our commercial package product business. Our system handles most clerical duties, so that our underwriters can focus on making decisions on risk submissions.

We administer all Small Commercial Business claims in house. Our claims management process is structured to provide prompt service and personal attention with a designated adjuster assigned to each case. Our system guides the insured and other involved parties through the claims adjudication process in an effort to allow them to return to normal business operations as soon as possible. We seek to limit the number of claim disputes with all parties through early intervention in the claims process. We use a proprietary system of internet-based tools and applications that enable our claims staff to concentrate on investigating submitted claims, to seek subrogation opportunities and to determine the actual amount of damages involved in each claim. This system allows the claims process to begin as soon as a claim is submitted.

Our workers' compensation claims adjusters have an average of 14 years of experience and have teams located in nine different states. Each adjuster handles an average monthly pending caseload of approximately 143 cases. Supervision of the adjusters is performed by internal supervisors and a claims manager in each region.

In 2015, approximately 75% of our Small Commercial Business workers' compensation claims were for medical expenses only, while 25% of claims were for medical expenses and lost wages, compared with 77% and 23%, respectively, in 2014.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 1.3% of the 20,243 Small Commercial Business workers' compensation claims reported for accident year 2010 were open, 2.1% of the 24,433 claims reported for accident year 2011 were open, 3.2% of the 35,490 claims reported for accident year 2012 were open, 5.6% of the 50,413 claims reported for accident year 2013 were open, 9.9% of the 75,913 claims reported for accident year 2014 were open and 31.3% of the 86,613 claims reported for accident year 2015 were open.

We maintain Small Commercial Business property and casualty claims operations in several of our domestic offices. As of December 31, 2015, we employed 240 property and casualty claim adjusters. Overall, our property and casualty claims adjusters handle an average monthly pending caseload of approximately 114 claims.

As of December 31, 2015, our Small Commercial Business property and casualty claims were approximately 63% automobile and 11% property and inland marine, with the remaining 26% involving general liability and umbrella losses, compared to 60%, 9% and 31%, respectively, in 2014. At the end of 2015, 34% of the 24,652 claims reported in accident year 2015 remained open, while 19% and 14% of the 24,867 claims and 26,478 claims from 2014 and 2013, respectively, remained open (2014 and 2013 number of claims adjusted for the impact of acquisitions).

Our Small Commercial Business property and casualty claims adjusters have an average of 18 years of experience. Supervision of the adjusters is performed by our internal claims management, comprised of a staff that has an average of over 29 years of experience. Increases in reserves over the authority of the claims adjuster must be approved by supervisors up to such supervisor's authority. Above the supervisor limit, the reserve must be approved by the claims manager. If over the claims manager's authority limit, it must be approved by the regional vice president. If above the regional vice president's authority limit, it will be sent to the senior vice president for workers' compensation or commercial package products and/or the Chief Claims Officer.

In addition to growing organically, we have further enhanced our marketing and customer liaison capabilities for small-business workers' compensation and property and casualty insurance by acquiring companies or distribution networks and renewal rights from companies that have long-standing, established agent relationships, underwriting and claims management expertise, and/or infrastructure to provide additional support to our platform. These transactions have also enabled us to further expand our geographic reach and offer additional products.

Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty

In our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment we provide custom designed coverages, such as accidental damage plans and payment protection plans offered in connection with the sale of consumer and commercial goods in the United States and Europe, and certain niche property, casualty and specialty liability risks in the United States and Europe, including general liability, employers' liability and professional and medical liability. Our model is focused on developing coverage plans by evaluating and analyzing historical product and industry data to establish appropriate pricing and contract terms and enhancing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the profitability of the plans by limiting the frequency and severity of losses while delivering superior customer service. We own Lloyd's property and casualty insurance syndicates that focus on general insurance and provides access to Lloyd's underwriting platform for small brokers. We believe that our proprietary technology platform and strong industry expertise provide us a competitive advantage. We carefully select administrators with extensive industry knowledge and target industries and coverage plans that have demonstrated consistently favorable loss experience. Additionally, we utilize extensive historical claims data and detailed actuarial analysis to ensure our ability to more accurately forecast the frequency and severity of losses and draft restrictive, risk-specific coverage terms with clearly identified coverage restrictions to further reduce the level of losses. Our efficient and proactive claims management process enables us to ensure superior customer service, and if necessary, proactively adjust our premiums based on changes in actual loss experience. Our specialty risk business primarily covers the following risks:

- legal expenses in the event of unsuccessful litigation;
- property damage for residential properties;
- home emergency repairs caused by incidents affecting systems, such as plumbing, wiring or central heating;
- latent defects that materialize on real property after building or completion;
- payment protection to insureds if they become unable to meet financial obligations under finance contracts;
- guaranteed asset protection ("GAP") to cover the difference between an insurer's settlement and the asset value in the event of a total loss; and
- general liability, employers' liability, public liability, negligence of advisers and liability of health care providers and medical facilities.

Our extended warranty business covers selected consumer and commercial goods and other risks, including:

- automotive;
- consumer electronics and appliances;
- commercial equipment; and
- recreational vehicle and power sports.

We also serve as a third party administrator to provide claims handling and call center services to the consumer products and automotive industries in the U.S., Canada, Europe and Asia.

In our extended warranty business, we issue policies to our clients that provide for payment or replacement of goods to meet our clients' contractual liabilities to the end purchasers of the warranty under contracts that have coverage terms with durations ranging from one month to 120 months depending on the type of product. Our U.S. warranty policies currently have an average term of 57 months, while our European warranty policies have an average term of 43 months and our European casualty policies have a term of 12 months. In the event that the frequency or the severity of loss on the claims of a program exceeds original projections, we generally have the right to increase premium rates for the balance of the term of the contract and, in Europe, the right to cancel prior to the end of the term. We believe that the profitability of each coverage plan we underwrite is largely dependent upon our ability to accurately forecast the frequency and severity of claims and manage the claims process efficiently. We continuously collect and analyze claims data in order to forecast future claims trends. We also provide warranty administration services in the United States and internationally.

We underwrite our specialty risk coverage on a coverage plan-level basis, which involves substantial data collection and actuarial analysis as well as analysis of applicable laws governing policy coverage language and exclusions. We prefer to apply a historical rating approach in which we analyze historical loss experience of the covered product or similar products rather than an approach that attempts to estimate our total exposure without such historical data. In addition, we believe that the quality of the marketing and claims administration service provided by the warranty administrator is a significant driver of the profitability of the product. Accordingly, a critical evaluation of the prospective warranty administrator is an important component of underwriting a plan. The results of our underwriting

analysis are used to determine the premium we charge and drive the description of the plan coverage and exclusions. The underwriting process generally takes three months or more to complete.

We market our extended warranty and GAP products in the United States and internationally primarily through brokers and third party warranty administrators, through a direct marketing group and our own warranty administrators AMT Warranty, Car Care and AmTrust International Limited. Third party administrators generally handle claims on our policies and provide monthly loss reports. We review the monthly reports and if the losses are unexpectedly high, we generally have the right under our policies to adjust our pricing or cease underwriting new business under the coverage plan. We routinely audit the claims paid by the administrators. We hire third party experts to validate certain types of claims. For example, we engage engineering consultants to validate claims made on coverage we provide on heavy machinery. We generally settle our extended warranty claims in-kind — by repair or replacement — rather than in cash. When possible, we negotiate volume fixed-fee repair or replacement agreements with third parties to reduce our loss exposure.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

In 2015, approximately 59% of gross written premium for this segment originated internationally, while 41% originated in the United States. During 2015, we did not have a broker derive over ten percent of our gross written premium. In 2014 and 2013, we derived over ten percent of our gross written premium in this segment from one broker.

Specialty Program

Our Specialty Program segment provides workers' compensation, general liability, commercial auto liability, property coverage, excess and surplus lines programs and other specialty commercial property and casualty insurance to narrowly defined, homogeneous groups of small and middle market companies whose business model and risk profile generally requires in-depth knowledge of a specific industry or sector focus in order to appropriately evaluate, price and manage the coverage risk. The type of risk covered by this segment is similar to the type of risk in our Small Commercial Business segment but also covers, to a small extent, certain higher risk businesses. We partner with managing general agents and other wholesale agents and claims administrators who have a strong track record and history underwriting certain types of risk and who, subject to our underwriting standards, originate and assist in managing a book of business and generally share in the portfolio risk. Our products and underwriting criteria often entail customized coverage, loss control and claims services as well as risk sharing mechanisms. The coverage is offered through accounts with various agents to multiple insureds.

Policyholders in this segment primarily include the following types of industries:

- public entities;
- retail;
- wholesale;
- service operations;
- artisan contracting;
- trucking;
- light and medium manufacturing;
- habitational; and
- professional employer organizations.

We establish the underwriting standards used with our agency partners by conducting detailed actuarial analysis using historical and industry data. Prior to entering into a relationship with an agency, we perform extensive due diligence on the agent including a review of underwriting, claims and financial control areas that generally takes three to nine months to complete. Additionally, once we have entered into a relationship with an agency, we carefully monitor the loss experience of the portfolio associated with each agent and conduct quarterly underwriting audits.

As of December 31, 2015, we underwrote 122 programs through 41 independent wholesale and managing general agents. Workers' compensation insurance comprised approximately 41%, 37% and 32% of this business in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Other liability insurance comprised approximately 40%, 29% and 36% of this business in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Commercial auto liability and physical damage insurance combined comprised approximately 12%, 12% and 15% of this business in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Excess workers' compensation insurance comprised approximately 5%, 13% and 8% of this business in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During 2015, 2014 and 2013, we derived over ten percent of our gross written premium in this segment from one program.

Distribution

We market our Small Commercial Business products through unaffiliated third parties that typically charge us a commission. We market our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty products through unaffiliated third parties that, in lieu of a commission, charge an administrative fee, based on the policy amount, to the manufacturer or retailer that offers the extended warranty or accidental damage coverage plan. Accordingly, the success of our business is dependent upon our ability to motivate these third parties to sell our products and support them in their sales efforts. The Specialty Program business is distributed through a limited number of qualified general and wholesale agents who charge us a commission. We restrict our agent network to experienced, professional agents that have the requisite licensing to conduct business with us. We incentivize the sales organizations through profit sharing arrangements to assure the profitability of the business written.

Geographic Diversity

Our insurance subsidiaries domiciled in the United States are collectively licensed to provide workers' compensation insurance and commercial property and casualty insurance, including service contract reimbursement coverages related to our Specialty Risk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

and Extended Warranty segment, in 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, and in the year ended December 31, 2015, we wrote commercial property and casualty in 50 states and the District of Columbia.

The table below identifies, for the year ended December 31, 2015, the top ten producing states by percentage of direct gross written premium for our Small Commercial Business segment and the equivalent percentage for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Percentage of Aggregate Small Commercial Business Direct Gross Written Premium by State⁽¹⁾

State	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
California	24.2	% 25.7	% 23.8	%
New York	18.7	15.8	14.3	
Florida	11.7	9.8	8.6	
New Jersey	7.2	6.1	5.8	
Illinois	4.6	5.5	6.5	
Georgia	4.0	4.1	4.2	
Texas	2.9	2.6	2.9	
Pennsylvania	2.5	3.0	4.5	
Connecticut	1.5	1.6	1.9	
Minnesota	1.5	1.6	1.9	
All Other States and the District of Columbia	21.2	24.2	25.6	
	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%

⁽¹⁾ Direct premiums consist of gross premiums written other than premiums assumed.

Through our insurance subsidiaries, we are licensed to provide specialty risk and extended warranty coverage in 50 states and the District of Columbia, and in Ireland and the United Kingdom, and pursuant to European Union law, certain other European Union member states. Through our subsidiary, AmTrust at Lloyd's, we are licensed to underwrite business internationally in locations where Lloyd's is licensed.

Based on coverage plans written or renewed in 2015, 2014 and 2013, the European Union accounted for approximately 54%, 57% and 72%, respectively, of our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty business and in 2015, the United Kingdom, Italy and France accounted for approximately 44%, 24% and 6%, respectively, of our European Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty business. For a discussion of the various risks we face related to our foreign operations, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

The table below shows the geographic distribution of our annualized gross premiums written in our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment with respect to coverage plans in effect at December 31, 2015.

Percentage of Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty Direct Gross Written Premiums by Country⁽²⁾

Country	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
United States	39	% 35	% 27	%
United Kingdom	27	28	32	
Italy	15	14	20	
France	4	4	5	
Sweden	3	2	3	
Other	12	17	13	
Total	100	% 100	% 100	%

(2) Direct premiums consist of gross premiums written other than premiums assumed.

7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The table below shows the distribution by state of our direct written premiums in our Specialty Program segment.

Percentage of Specialty Program Direct Gross Written Premiums by State⁽³⁾

State	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
California	38	% 38	% 39	%
New York	20	21	22	
New Jersey	6	6	7	
Florida	5	5	4	
Texas	4	4	3	
Illinois	2	2	2	
Georgia	2	2	2	
Pennsylvania	2	2	2	
Arizona	2	1	1	
North Carolina	1	1	1	
All other States and the District of Columbia	18	18	17	
Total	100	% 100	% 100	%

⁽³⁾ Direct premiums consist of gross premiums written other than premiums assumed.

Acquisitions and Strategic Investments

We have grown at an above-industry average rate through a combination of organic growth and strategic acquisitions of other companies or selected books of businesses. We have balanced our opportunistic acquisition strategy with a conservative approach to risk. We will continue to evaluate the acquisition of companies, distribution networks and renewal rights, and other alternative types of transactions as they present themselves. We seek transactions that we believe can be accretive to earnings and return on equity. The following is a summary of our major acquisition and strategic investment activity during 2015 and 2014. For details of the impact of these acquisitions and strategic investments on our results of operations, see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Acquisitions" and "– Strategic Investments."

Springfield

On October 7, 2015, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Springfield Insurance Company and its affiliates, Springfield Insurance Company Ltd. and Unified Grocers Insurance Services (collectively "Springfield") for approximately \$31.6 million. Springfield, domiciled in California, is an insurance carrier providing workers' compensation and commercial package insurance to Unified Grocers Inc., an association of independently owned grocery stores, its members and its customers.

Warranty Solutions

On September 25, 2015, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Warranty Solutions, a Wells Fargo business ("Warranty Solutions") for approximately \$156.2 million in cash. Warranty Solutions designs, markets, administers and underwrites vehicle service contracts for new and used automobiles through a national network of more than 70 active agencies and 1,500 franchised and independent dealers.

CorePointe Insurance Company

On March 2, 2015, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of CorePointe Insurance Company ("CorePointe") for approximately \$68.8 million. CorePointe, a Michigan-based specialty property and casualty insurance company, markets commercial package insurance products primarily to automobile and motorcycle dealerships and auto repair shops. The majority of CorePointe's insurance products and services are distributed through managing general agents ("MGAs") with whom it has long-standing relationships.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TMI Solutions, LLC

On January 6, 2015, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of TMI Solutions, LLC ("TMIS") for approximately \$61.5 million. TMIS offers monthly billed warranty solutions for a variety of consumer electronics as well as consumer protection services. TMIS warranties are primarily distributed in conjunction with large telecommunication monthly customer billing services and their customers include various Fortune 500 companies.

Oryx Insurance Brokerage, Inc.

On January 6, 2015, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of Oryx Insurance Brokerage, Inc. ("Oryx") for approximately \$37.6 million. Oryx is an MGA and wholesaler providing insurance products to the construction industry in upstate New York.

Comp Options Insurance Company, Inc.

On October 1, 2014, we acquired Comp Options Insurance Company, Inc. ("Comp Options"), a Florida-based workers' compensation insurer, from an affiliate of Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Florida, for approximately \$34.3 million in cash. Comp Options offers workers' compensation insurance to small businesses with low-hazard risk profiles in the state of Florida.

Tower Renewal Rights Agreement

In January 2014, we entered into a cut through quota share reinsurance agreement (the "Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement") with Tower Group International, Ltd. ("Tower") to provide a 100% quota share for a majority of Tower's in force commercial lines policies and most new and renewal commercial lines business. At the inception of the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement, we initially assumed \$174 million of unearned premium. During 2014, we assumed approximately \$475 million of premium through the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement. In conjunction with ACP Re, Ltd.'s ("ACP Re") merger with Tower, on September 15, 2014, we entered into various agreements with Tower including, primarily, a renewal rights agreement and a quota share reinsurance agreement. Based on the terms of the renewal rights agreement, we are required to pay a maximum amount of \$30 million over a three year period based on 3% of gross written premium of the Tower commercial lines business. The quota share reinsurance agreement allows us to reinsure 100% of all losses for Tower's new and renewal commercial lines business written after September 15, 2015. The quota share agreement replaced the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement. Additionally, we loaned ACP Re \$125 million to finance its purchase of Tower.

The Insko Dico Group

On January 3, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Insko Insurance Services, Inc. ("Insko Dico") and its subsidiaries for a purchase price of approximately \$88.7 million. Insko Dico's subsidiaries include Developers Surety and Indemnity Company and Indemnity Company of California, which offer surety insurance to developers and contractors in all 50 states with California as the largest state. In addition, Insko Dico's subsidiary, Builders Insurance Services, markets general liability insurance policies to contractors in several states in the western region of the U.S.

Investment in National General Holdings Corp.

We have an approximate 12% ownership interest in National General Holdings Corp. ("NGHC"). NGHC is publicly-held specialty personal lines insurance holding company (Nasdaq: NGHC) that operates fifteen insurance companies in the United States and provides a variety of insurance products, including personal and commercial automobile, homeowners and umbrella, supplemental health, lender-place and other niche insurance products.

NGHC's two largest stockholders are The Michael Karfunkel 2005 Family Trust (the "Trust") and Michael Karfunkel individually. Michael Karfunkel is the Chairman of our Board of Directors and the father-in-law of Barry D. Zyskind, our President and Chief Executive Officer. The ultimate beneficiaries of the Trust include Michael Karfunkel's children, one of whom is married to Mr. Zyskind. Michael Karfunkel is also the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of NGHC.

In addition to our equity investment in NGHC, we provide NGHC and its affiliates information technology development services in connection with the development and licensing of a policy management system, and printing and mailing services for policy and policy related materials, such as invoices, quotes, notices and endorsements, associated with the policies we process for NGHC and its affiliates on the policy management system. We also manage the assets of certain of NGHC's subsidiaries, including the assets of reciprocal insurers managed by subsidiaries of NGHC, for an annual fee. For a more detailed description of our equity investment in NGHC and the services we provide to NGHC, see Note 14. "Related Party Transactions."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Life Settlement Contracts

We currently have a 50% ownership interest in each of four entities (collectively, the “LSC Entities”) formed for the purpose of acquiring life settlement contracts, with a subsidiary of NGHC owning the other 50%. The LSC Entities are: Tiger Capital LLC (“Tiger”); AMT Capital Alpha, LLC (“AMT Alpha”); AMT Capital Holdings, S.A. (“AMTCH”); and AMT Capital Holdings II, S.A. (“AMTCH II”).

A life settlement contract is a contract between the owner of a life insurance policy and a third-party who obtains the ownership and beneficiary rights of the underlying life insurance policy. The LSC Entities may also acquire premium finance loans made in connection with the borrowers’ purchase of life insurance policies that are secured by the policies. The LSC Entities acquire the underlying policies securing the loan through the borrowers’ voluntary surrender of the policy in satisfaction of the loan or foreclosure. A third party serves as the administrator of the Tiger and AMTCH II life settlement contract portfolios, for which it receives an administrative fee. The third party administrator is eligible to receive a percentage of profits after certain time and performance thresholds have been met. We provide certain actuarial and finance functions related to the LSC Entities. For a description of how we account for our investment in life settlement contracts, see Note 3. “Fair Value of Financial Instruments” and Note 6. “Investment in Life Settlements.”

Reinsurance

Reinsurance is a transaction between insurance companies in which the original insurer, or ceding company, remits a portion of its policy premiums to a reinsurer, or assuming company, as payment for the reinsurer assuming a portion of the insured policies’ risk. Reinsurance agreements may be proportional in nature, under which the assuming company participates in the premiums and losses of the ceding company via a pro rata share. Under these “quota share reinsurance” arrangements, the ceding company transfers, or cedes, a percentage of the risk under each policy within the covered class or classes of business to the reinsurer and recovers the same percentage of the ceded loss and loss adjustment expenses. The ceding company pays the reinsurer that same percentage of the insurance premium on the ceded policies, less a ceding commission. Reinsurance agreements may also be structured so that the assuming company indemnifies the ceding company against all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, which is called an “attachment level” or “retention.” The assuming company provides this indemnification for a premium, usually determined as a percentage of the ceding company’s insurance premiums for the covered class or classes of business. This arrangement is known as “excess of loss reinsurance.” Excess of loss reinsurance may be written in layers, in which a reinsurer or group of reinsurers accepts a band of coverage up to a specified amount. Any liability exceeding the coverage limits of the reinsurance program is retained by the ceding company.

We believe reinsurance is a valuable tool to appropriately manage the risk inherent in our insurance portfolio as well as to enable us to reduce earnings volatility and generate stronger returns. We also utilize reinsurance agreements to increase our capacity to write a greater amount of profitable business. Our insurance subsidiaries utilize reinsurance agreements to transfer portions of the underlying risk of the business we write to various affiliated and third-party reinsurance companies. Reinsurance does not discharge or diminish our obligation to pay claims covered by the insurance policies we issue; however, it does permit us to recover certain incurred losses from our reinsurers and our reinsurance recoveries reduce the total aggregate of losses that we may incur as a result of a covered loss event.

The total amount, cost and limits relating to the reinsurance coverage we purchase may vary from year to year based upon a variety of factors, including the availability of quality reinsurance at an acceptable price and the level of risk that we choose to retain for our own account. For a more detailed description of our reinsurance arrangements, including our quota share reinsurance agreement with Maiden Reinsurance Ltd. (“Maiden Reinsurance”), formerly known as Maiden Insurance Company Ltd., (the “Maiden Quota Share”), see “Reinsurance” in “Item 7. Management’s

Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Loss Reserves

Workers’ Compensation Business

We record reserves for estimated losses under insurance policies that we write and for loss adjustment expenses related to the investigation and settlement of policy claims. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses represent the estimated cost of all reported and unreported loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid at a given point in time. In establishing our reserves, we do not use loss discounting, which involves recognizing the time value of money and offsetting estimates of future payments by future expected investment income. Our process and methodology for estimating reserves applies to both our voluntary and assigned risk business and does not include our reserves for mandatory pooling arrangements that we participate in as a condition of doing business in a state that funds workers’ compensation assigned risk plans in that state. As is common practice,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

we record reserves for mandatory pooling arrangements as those reserves are reported to us by the pool administrators. We use a combination of internal actuarial resources as well as external consulting actuaries to assist in the evaluation of the adequacy of our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

When a claim is reported, we establish an initial case reserve for the estimated amount of our loss based on our estimate of the most likely outcome of the claim at that time. Generally, a case reserve is established within 30 days after the claim is reported and consists of anticipated medical costs, indemnity costs and specific adjustment expenses, which we refer to as defense and cost containment expenses (“DCC”). At any point in time, the amount paid on a claim, plus the reserve for future amounts to be paid, represents the estimated total cost of the claim, or the case incurred amount. The estimated amount of loss for a reported claim is based upon various factors, including:

- type of loss;
- severity of the injury or damage;
- age and occupation of the injured employee;
- estimated length of temporary disability;
- anticipated permanent disability;
- expected medical procedures, costs and duration;
- our knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim;
- insurance policy provisions, including coverage, related to the claim;
- jurisdiction of the occurrence; and
- other benefits defined by applicable statute.

The case incurred amount can vary due to uncertainties with respect to medical treatment and outcome, length and degree of disability, employment availability and wage levels and judicial determinations. As changes occur, the case incurred amount is adjusted. The initial estimate of the case incurred amount can vary significantly from the amount ultimately paid, especially in circumstances involving severe injuries with comprehensive medical treatment. Changes in case incurred amounts, or case development, are an important component of our historical claim data, which our actuaries use to estimate the ultimate value of our claims.

In addition to case reserves, we establish reserves on an aggregate basis for loss and DCC expenses that have been incurred but not reported, or IBNR. Our IBNR reserves are also intended to provide for aggregate changes in case incurred amounts as well as the unpaid cost of recently reported claims for which an initial case reserve has not yet been established.

The third component of our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses is our adjusting and other reserve, or AO reserve. Our AO reserve is established for the costs of future unallocated loss adjustment expenses for all known and unknown claims. Our AO reserve covers primarily the estimated cost of administering claims. The final component of our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses is the reserve for mandatory pooling arrangements.

We began writing workers’ compensation in 2001. In order to establish IBNR reserves, we project ultimate losses by accident year both through use of our historical experience, and the use of industry experience by state. Our internal and external consulting actuaries project ultimate losses in multiple ways before selecting a result based on an evaluation of the method inputs. These methods include, but are not limited to:

Loss Development Factor Approaches. Loss development factors are the ratio of losses at successive evaluations for a defined group of claims (e.g., accident year, accident quarter, etc.). Our actuarial resources, both internal and external, will evaluate loss development factors for both the industry as a whole and AmTrust specific experience.

Quarterly Development Methods (Use of AmTrust Factors). Quarterly incurred and paid loss development factors are derived from our historical, cumulative incurred and paid losses by accident quarter. These factors are then applied to the latest actual incurred and paid losses and DCC, respectively, by quarter to estimate ultimate losses and DCC,

based on the assumption that each accident quarter will develop to an estimated ultimate cost in a similar manner to prior years.

Annual Development Methods (Use of AmTrust Factors). Yearly incurred and paid loss development factors are derived from our historical, cumulative incurred and paid losses by accident year. These factors are then applied to the latest actual incurred and paid losses and DCC, respectively, by year to estimate ultimate losses and DCC.

Our actuaries will generally evaluate and/or incorporate industry loss development factors and compare indications based on those factors with the indications based on AmTrust specific factors. Of the three methods above, the use of industry loss development factors has generally produced higher estimates of workers' compensation losses and DCC expenses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Expected Loss Ratio Approach. The Expected Loss Ratio (ELR) approach is generally relied upon for only the most recent accident periods while claims experience may be too immature or volatile to rely upon development methods projections of ultimate loss and DCC. The ELR is generally based on the business plan, trended historical results, or recent industry results, all supplemented by discussions with various stakeholders including Underwriting and Claims. The ELR, when applied to earned premium for an accident period, will provide an indication for estimated ultimate loss and DCC for the period.

Bornhuetter-Ferguson (BF) Approaches. The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method is a weighted blend of the Loss Development Factor and Expected Loss Ratio approaches. The BF method splits the ultimate claims into two components: actual reported (or paid) claims to date and expected unreported (or unpaid) claims. As experience matures, more weight is given to the actual claims experience while the expected claims component becomes gradually less important. The key assumption to the BF methods is that unreported (or unpaid) claims will emerge (paid) at the selected expected loss ratio.

Each method produces estimated ultimate loss and DCC expenses net of amounts that will be ultimately paid by our excess of loss reinsurers. Our actuaries estimate a range of ultimate losses, along with a selection that gives more weight to the results from our AmTrust specific loss development factors and less weight to the results from industry development factors.

We establish IBNR reserves for our workers' compensation segment by determining an "ultimate loss pick," which is our estimate of our net loss ratio for a specific period, based on actual incurred losses and application of loss development factors. We estimate our ultimate incurred loss and DCC for a period by multiplying the ultimate loss pick for the period by the earned premium for the period. From that total, we subtract actual paid loss and DCC and actual case reserves for reported losses. The remainder constitutes our IBNR reserves. Our internal actuarial team reviews the ultimate loss picks on a quarterly basis, and our external actuaries provide an additional independent assessment on, at minimum, an annual basis.

Management establishes our loss and DCC reserves by assessing the results of the aforementioned actuarial techniques as prepared by both the internal and external actuarial resources, followed by a review of specific underwriting, claims handling and other operational considerations. In utilizing its judgment, management makes certain assumptions regarding our business, including, among other things, frequency of claims, severity of claims and claim closure rates.

In order to establish our AO reserves, we review our past adjustment expenses in relation to past claims and estimate our future costs based on expected claims activity and duration.

As of December 31, 2015, our best estimate of our ultimate liability for workers' compensation loss and loss adjustment expenses, net of amounts recoverable from reinsurers, was \$2,054.3 billion, of which \$80 million was reserves resulting from our participation in mandatory pooling arrangements, as reported by the pool administrators. This estimate was derived from the procedures and methods described above, which rely, substantially, on judgment.

The table below shows the carried reserves for workers' compensation losses and DCC expenses on both a gross and net basis, as well as the actuarially determined range of reasonable estimates as of December 31, 2015:

(Amounts in Millions)	Loss & DCC Expense Reserves	Mandatory Pooling Arrangements	Total
Gross Workers' Compensation Reserves:			
Lower estimate	\$2,795.1	\$80.0	\$2,875.1

Edgar Filing: Amtrust Financial Services, Inc. - Form 10-K

Gross reserve	3,116.5	80.0	3,196.5
Higher estimate	3,352.0	80.0	3,432.0
Net Workers' Compensation Reserves:			
Lower estimate	\$1,771.0	\$80.0	\$1,851.0
Net reserve	1,974.3	80.0	2,054.3
Higher estimate	2,123.4	80.0	2,203.4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The higher estimate would increase net reserves by \$149.1 million and reduce net income and stockholders' equity by \$96.9 million. The lower net estimate would decrease net reserves by \$203.3 million and increase net income and stockholders equity by \$132.1 million. A change in our net loss and DCC expense reserve would not have an immediate impact on our liquidity, but would affect cash flows in future years as claim and expense payments are made.

We do not anticipate that we will make any material reserve adjustments but will continue to monitor the accuracy of our loss development factors and adequacy of our reserves. For a more detailed description of our liabilities for unpaid losses and loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") on a consolidated basis and by segment, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty

Our actual net reserves, including IBNR, on Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$1,006 million and \$1,089 million, respectively. An upward movement of 5% on overall reserves would result in a reduction of income in 2015 of \$50.3 million before tax and \$32.7 million after tax. A downward movement of 5% on overall reserves would result in an increase of income of \$50.3 million before tax and \$32.7 million after tax.

Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty claims are usually paid quickly, development on known claims is negligible, and generally, case reserves are not established. IBNR reserves for warranty claims are generally "pure" IBNR, which refers to amounts for claims that occurred prior to an accounting date but are reported after that date. The reporting lag for warranty IBNR claims is generally small, usually in the range of one to three months. Management determines warranty IBNR by examining the experience of individual coverage plans. Our consulting actuary, at the end of each calendar year, reviews our IBNR by looking at our overall coverage plan experience, with assumptions of claim reporting lag and average monthly claim payouts. Our net IBNR as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 for our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment was \$476 million and \$375 million, respectively. The increase in the net IBNR resulted primarily from an increase of earned premium. Though we believe this is a reasonable best estimate of future claims development, this amount is subject to a substantial degree of uncertainty.

There is generally more uncertainty in the unearned premium reserve than in the IBNR reserve in our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment because our warranty claims are short-tailed. Claims are generally reported immediately after they occur and are closed within months of reporting. In the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment, the reserve for unearned premium is an estimate of our liability for projected future losses emanating from the unearned portion of written policies and is inherently more uncertain. Approximately 52.6% of policies written have policy terms exceeding one year. A portion of these policies are not earned evenly over the contract period but over the period of risk in proportion to the amount of insurance protection provided. As of December 31, 2015, we had unearned premium of approximately of \$682 million on these policies. An upward/downward movement of 5% on the entire pool of the earn out pattern for these policies would result in an increase/decrease in unearned premium of approximately \$34.1 million. In 2015, we had no material changes to prior period estimates of these claim patterns. Our liability for return of unearned premium is not significant.

The reserve for Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty unearned premium is calculated by analyzing each coverage plan separately, subdivided by contract year, type of product and length of contract. These individual reserve calculations may differ in actuarial methodologies depending on:

- the type of risk;
- the length of the exposure period;
- the availability of past loss experience; and
-

the extent of current claim experience and potential experience of similar classes of risk underwritten by the program administrators.

The primary actuarial methodology used to project future losses for the unexpired terms of contracts is to project the future number of claims, then multiply them by an average claim cost. The future number of claims is derived by applying to unexpired months a selected ratio of the number of claims to expired months. The selected ratio is determined from a combination of:

- past experience of the same expired policies;
- current experience of the earned portion of the in-force policies or contracts; and
- past and/or current experience of similar type policies or contracts.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The average claim cost is also determined by using past and/or current experience of the same or similar contracts. In order to confirm the validity of the projected future losses derived through application of the average claim cost method, we also utilize a loss ratio method. The loss ratio method entails the application of the projected ultimate loss ratio, which is based on historical experience, to the unearned portion of the premium. If the loss ratio method indicates that the average claim cost method has not produced a credible result for a particular coverage plan, we will make a judgment as to the appropriate reserve for that coverage plan. We generally will choose a point in the range between results generated by the average claim cost method and loss ratio method. In making our judgment, we consider, among other things, the historical performance of the subject coverage plan or similar plans, our analysis of the performance of the administrator and coverage terms.

Different Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty products have different patterns of incidence during the period of risk. Some products tend to show increasing incidence of claims during the risk period; others may show relatively uniform incidence of claims, while still others tend to show decreasing claim incidence. Incorrect earnings of warranty policy premiums, inadequate pricing of warranty products, changes in conditions during long contract durations or incorrect estimates of future warranty losses on unexpired contracts may produce a deficiency or a redundancy in the unearned premium reserve. Our unearned premium reserve as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 for our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment was \$1,296.1 million and \$1,086.3 million, respectively. Although we believe this is a reasonable best estimate of our unearned premium reserve, this amount is subject to a substantial degree of uncertainty.

Property and Casualty Insurance

Our actual net reserves, including IBNR, for property and casualty insurance as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$1,480 million and \$357 million, respectively. An upward movement of 5% on overall reserves would result in a reduction of income in 2015 of \$74.0 million before tax and \$48.1 million after tax. A downward movement of 5% on overall reserves would result in an increase of income of \$74.0 million before tax and \$48.1 million after tax.

We record reserves for estimated losses under insurance policies that we write and for loss adjustment expenses related to the investigation and settlement of policy related claims. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses represent the estimated costs of all reported and unreported loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid at a given point in time. In establishing our reserves we do not use loss discounting, which involves recognizing the time value of money and offsetting estimates of future payments by future expected investment income. We utilize a combination of internal actuarial resources as well as external consulting actuaries to assist in the evaluation of the adequacy of our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses.

When a claim is reported, we establish an initial case reserve for the estimated amount of our loss based on our estimate of the most likely outcome of the claim at that time. Generally, we establish a case reserve within 30 days after the claim is reported and consists of anticipated costs and specific adjustment expenses, which we refer to as DCC expenses. At any point in time, the amount paid on a claim, plus the reserve for future amounts to be paid, represents the estimated total cost of the claim, or the case incurred amount. The estimated amount of loss for a reported claim is based upon various factors, such as:

- line of business — general liability, auto liability, or auto physical damage;
- severity of injury or property damage;
- number of claimants;
- statute of limitation and repose;
- insurance policy provisions, especially applicable policy limits and coverage limitations;
- expected medical procedures, costs, and duration treatment;
- our knowledge of circumstances surrounding the claim; and
- potential salvage and subrogation.

Case incurred amounts can vary greatly because of the uncertainties inherent in the estimates of severity of loss, costs of medical treatments, judicial rulings, litigation expenses, and other factors. As changes occur, the case reserves are adjusted. The initial estimate of a claim's incurred amount can vary significantly from the amount ultimately paid when the claim is closed, especially in the circumstances involving litigation and severe personal injuries. Changes in case incurred amounts, or case development, are an important component of our historical claim data that is used by our actuaries to estimate the ultimate value of our claims.

In addition to case reserves, we establish reserves on an aggregate basis for loss and DCC expenses that have been incurred but not yet reported, or IBNR. Our IBNR reserves are also intended to include aggregate development on known claims, provision for claims that re-open after they have been closed, and provision for claims that have been reported but have not yet been recorded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The final component of the reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses is the estimate of the AO reserve. This reserve is established for the costs of future unallocated loss adjustment expenses for all known and unknown claims. Our AO reserve covers primarily the estimated cost of administering claims by our claim staff.

We began writing additional lines of business beyond workers' compensation in 2006. These include, but are not limited to, general liability, commercial auto and commercial property (jointly known as CPP). Our internal and external consulting actuaries project ultimate losses in multiple ways before selecting a result based on an evaluation of the method outputs. These methods include, but are not limited to:

- Loss Development Factor Approaches.** Loss development factors are the ratio of losses at successive evaluations for a defined group of claims (e.g., accident year, accident quarter, etc.). Our actuarial resources, both internal and external, will generally evaluate loss development factors for both the industry as a whole and AmTrust specific experience.

Given the limited loss experience to date, industry loss development factors are weighted with AmTrust specific loss development factors. The industry factors relied upon include Insurance Services Office (ISO) published factors for business with similar risk characteristics as that which AmTrust writes.

In 2008, we acquired retail commercial package business in connection with our acquisition of a subsidiary of Unitrin, Inc. ("UBI"). We were able to access UBI's historical loss data for analysis of that business. Additionally, the claims adjusting has remained stable. As the volume of AmTrust specific experience grows, we will give additional weight to loss development factors implied from our own actual experience.

- Expected Loss Ratio Approach.** The Expected Loss Ratio (ELR) approach is generally relied upon for only the most recent accident periods while claims experience may be too immature or volatile to rely upon for a projection of ultimate loss and DCC. The ELR is generally based on the business plan, trended historical results, or recent industry results, all supplemented by discussions with various stakeholders including Underwriting and Claims. The ELR, when applied to earned premium for an accident period, will provide an indication for estimated ultimate loss and DCC for the period.

- Bornhuetter-Ferguson (BF) Approaches.** The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method is a weighted blend of the Loss Development Factor and Expected Loss Ratio approaches. The BF method splits the ultimate claims into two components: actual reported (or paid) claims to date and expected unreported (or unpaid) claims. As experience matures, more weight is given to the actual claims experience while the expected claims component becomes gradually less important. The key assumption to the BF methods is that unreported (or unpaid) claims will emerge (paid) off at the selected expected loss ratio.

Each method produces estimated ultimate loss and DCC expenses net of amounts that will be ultimately paid by our excess of loss reinsurers.

For CPP lines of business, ultimate loss and IBNR selections are based on one of the above methods depending on the accident year and line of business. Our actuaries estimates a range of ultimate losses, along with the recommended IBNR and reserve amounts.

Because we determine our reserves based on assumptions that may give significant weight to industry incurred development patterns, our ultimate losses may differ substantially from our estimates produced by the above methods.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Reconciliation of Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

The table below shows the reconciliation of loss reserves on a gross and net basis for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, reflecting changes in losses incurred and paid losses:

(Amounts in Thousands)	2015	2014	2013
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of related reinsurance recoverables at beginning of year	\$5,664,205	\$4,368,234	\$2,426,400
Less: Reinsurance recoverables at beginning of year	2,149,444	1,739,689	1,180,212
Net balance, beginning of year	3,514,761	2,628,545	1,246,188
Incurred related to:			
Current year	2,648,277	2,324,062	1,486,418
Prior year	33,931	18,557	30,943
Total incurred losses during the year	2,682,208	2,342,619	1,517,361
Paid losses and LAE related to:			
Current year	(841,447)	(886,724)	(617,539)
Prior year	(1,018,931)	(554,495)	(335,621)
Total payments for losses and LAE	(1,860,378)	(1,441,219)	(953,160)
Commuted loss reserves	129,377	—	—
Acquired outstanding loss and loss adjustment reserve	116,044	71,755	807,592
Effect of foreign exchange rates	(38,832)	(86,939)	10,564
Net balance, December 31	4,543,180	3,514,761	2,628,545
Plus reinsurance recoverables at end of year	2,665,187	2,149,444	1,739,689
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of related reinsurance recoverables at end of year	\$7,208,367	\$5,664,205	\$4,368,234
Gross loss reserves by segment:			
Small Commercial Business	\$3,934,696	\$2,854,380	\$1,982,977
Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty	1,674,006	1,669,293	1,537,887
Specialty Program	1,597,259	1,126,435	817,272
Other	2,406	14,097	30,098
	\$7,208,367	\$5,664,205	\$4,368,234

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, our gross reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses were \$7,208 million, \$5,664.2 million, and \$4,368.2 million, of which our IBNR reserves constituted 53.3%, 49.6% and 42.4%, respectively.

Loss Development

The table below shows the net loss development for business written each year from 2005 through 2015. The table reflects the changes in our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves in subsequent years from the prior loss estimates based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year on a general accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) basis.

The next section of the table sets forth the re-estimates in later years of incurred losses, including payments, for the years indicated. The next section of the table shows, by year, the cumulative amounts of loss and loss adjustment expense payments, net of amounts recoverable from reinsurers, as of the end of each succeeding year. For example, with respect to the net loss reserves of \$150.3 million as of December 31, 2005, by December 31, 2007 (two years later), \$35.9 million had actually been paid in settlement of the claims that relate to liabilities as of December 31, 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The “cumulative redundancy (deficiency)” represents the aggregate change in the estimates over all prior years. Therefore, each amount in the table includes the effects of changes in reserves for all prior years. For example, assume a claim that occurred in 2005 is reserved for \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2005. Assuming this claim estimate was changed in 2014 to \$4.4 million, and was settled for \$4.4 million in 2014, the \$0.4 million deficiency would appear as a deficiency in each year from 2005 through 2013. A redundancy means that the original estimate was higher than the current estimate. A deficiency means that the current estimate is higher than the original estimate.

Analysis of Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserve Development

As of and for the Year Ended December 31,

(Amounts in Thousands)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Reserve for loss and loss adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance recoverables	\$ 150,340	\$ 251,678	\$ 517,365	\$ 509,656	\$ 530,070	\$ 592,660	\$ 906,783	\$ 1,246,188	\$ 2,628,545	\$ 3,514,000
Net reserve estimated as of										
One year later	150,854	253,767	516,821	504,829	538,016	604,302	919,640	1,277,132	2,647,102	3,548,000
Two years later	150,516	215,465	519,346	490,379	540,723	641,557	961,013	1,345,776	2,831,495	
Three years later	122,601	221,362	518,877	491,613	559,251	669,883	1,003,525	1,460,295		
Four years later	120,975	220,505	515,427	497,276	565,322	675,608	1,081,519			
Five years later	121,716	216,830	517,866	493,967	557,913	723,991				
Six years later	120,618	216,922	519,462	485,820	591,089					
Seven years later	120,582	215,805	514,978	496,932						
Eight years later	119,915	213,571	517,644							
Nine years later	118,333	212,048								
Ten years later	117,613									
Net cumulative redundancy (deficiency)	32,727	39,630	(279)	12,724	(61,019)	(131,331)	(174,736)	(214,107)	(202,949)	(33,930)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Amounts in Thousands)	Year Ended December 31,									
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Cumulative amount of reserve paid, net of reinsurance recoverable through										
One year later	\$24,050	\$38,010	\$141,992	\$102,644	\$158,737	\$253,309	\$354,768	\$335,621	\$554,495	\$1,018,495
Two years later	35,894	83,671	184,875	182,260	291,824	426,656	536,346	596,343	1,096,248	
Three years later	49,625	98,495	229,227	261,397	388,821	516,315	686,421	883,739		
Four years later	53,853	109,422	270,522	311,112	434,906	600,057	851,192			
Five years later	55,885	116,282	295,135	331,733	476,843	678,810				
Six years later	57,094	122,185	303,689	352,925	518,095					
Seven years later	59,280	125,518	315,072	371,261						
Eight years later	60,424	127,588	318,525							
Nine years later	61,372	128,002								
Ten years later	61,371									
Net										
reserve – December 31,	150,340	251,678	517,365	509,656	530,070	592,660	906,783	1,246,188	2,628,545	3,514,495
Reinsurance Recoverable	17,667	44,127	258,027	504,404	561,874	670,877	972,392	1,180,212	1,739,689	2,149,495
Gross reserves – December 31,	168,007	295,805	775,392	1,014,060	1,091,944	1,263,537	1,879,175	2,426,400	4,368,234	5,664,495
Net re-estimated reserve	117,613	212,048	517,644	496,932	591,089	723,991	1,081,519	1,460,295	2,831,495	3,548,495
Re-estimated reinsurance recoverable	13,821	37,179	258,166	491,811	626,554	819,540	1,159,770	1,382,984	1,874,010	2,170,495
Gross re-estimated reserve	131,434	249,227	775,810	988,743	1,217,643	1,543,531	2,241,289	2,843,279	4,705,505	5,718,495
Gross cumulative redundancy (deficiency)	36,573	46,578	(418)	25,317	(125,699)	(279,994)	(362,114)	(416,879)	(337,271)	(54,680)

In 2015 and 2014, our liabilities for unpaid loss and LAE attributable to prior years increased by \$33.9 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, due to higher actuarial estimates based on actual losses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Investments

Our investment portfolio, exclusive of life settlement contracts, equity investment in unconsolidated related party subsidiaries, and other investments, is summarized in the table below by type of investment.

(Amounts in Thousands)	December 31, 2015		December 31, 2014		
	Carrying Value	Percentage of Portfolio	Carrying Value	Percentage of Portfolio	
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$1,312,669	18.9	% \$1,088,975	19.7	%
Time and short-term deposits	84,266	1.2	63,916	1.2	
U.S. treasury securities	70,759	1.0	43,870	0.8	
U.S. government agencies	45,558	0.7	13,538	0.2	
Municipals	540,426	7.8	482,041	8.7	
Foreign government	113,745	1.6	112,731	2.0	
Commercial mortgage back securities	151,318	2.2	38,685	0.7	
Residential mortgage backed securities:					
Agency backed	974,838	14.0	975,782	17.7	
Non-agency backed	120,229	1.7	22,503	0.4	
Collateralized loan / debt obligations	226,094	3.2	—	—	
Asset-backed securities	31,837	0.5	710	—	
Corporate bonds	3,158,993	45.3	2,563,414	46.6	
Preferred stocks	4,989	0.1	3,506	0.1	
Common stocks	126,779	1.8	104,287	1.9	
	\$6,962,500	100.0	% \$5,513,958	100.0	%

Investments in foreign government securities include securities issued by national entities as well as instruments that are unconditionally guaranteed by such entities. As of December 31, 2015, our foreign government securities were issued or guaranteed primarily by governments in Canada and Europe.

The table below summarizes the credit quality of our fixed maturity securities as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, as rated by Standard and Poor's.

	2015	2014	
U.S. Treasury	1.3	% 1.0	%
AAA	12.2	6.7	
AA	29.0	39.0	
A	28.8	27.9	
BBB, BBB+, BBB-	25.8	23.4	
BB, BB+, BB-	1.9	1.6	
B, B+, B-,	0.1	0.1	
Other	0.9	0.3	
Total	100.0	% 100.0	%

Our investments in equity securities, which are either classified as available-for-sale or trading, constitute approximately 1.9% of our total investment portfolio. For the equity securities classified as available-for-sale, we generally split them into two types of investment categories. One category consists mainly of large capitalized companies, for which the individual investments are generally liquid in nature and their market prices are typically reflective of their value. The second category is small capitalized companies with an average market capitalization of approximately \$400 million, most without widespread distribution or trading of shares. We have invested in the second category of securities in which we believe true value is not properly reflected in the market price and where a

catalyst, or event, will send the market price toward our estimate of true value. We typically have a holding period of 36 months for these equity securities. This catalyst, in many instances, takes up to 24 months to occur. Sometimes, a catalyst that does not occur soon after our initial investment requires the passage of another operating cycle, and the 24 month

TABLE OF CONTENTS

time frame allows for these types of situations. These equity securities tend to be relatively unknown stocks that have less trading volume than well-known or larger capitalized stocks and can, therefore, experience significant price fluctuations without fundamental reasons. These price fluctuations can be large on a percentage basis because many stocks in this category are also low-priced stocks that are often distressed or in a turnaround phase. We believe that in down markets, equity securities with lower turnover are more heavily penalized by the market, even when the underlying fundamentals of the security have held up. Therefore, we believe, and our experience bears out, that, for investments in small cap stocks, an unrealized loss of 35% or less is not necessarily indicative of a fundamental problem with the issuer. Prices of lower turnover stocks can also react significantly to a catalyst or an event that causes market participants to take an interest. When the market participants' interest increases in an equity security, causing trading volume and market bid to increase, we typically seek to exit these positions. For these reasons, we generally consider certain equity investments in this small capitalization category to be other than temporarily impaired when the investment is in an unrealized loss position in excess of 35% of cost basis for greater than 24 months.

We generally purchase life settlement contracts through secondary market transactions. The policies we purchased are universal life insurance policies issued by rated life insurance companies. Before we purchase a life settlement contract, we conduct a rigorous underwriting review that includes obtaining life expectancy estimates on individual insureds from specialized life expectancy providers. The price we are willing to pay for a policy is primarily a function of: (i) the policy's face value; (ii) the expected actuarial mortality of the insured; (iii) the premiums expected to be paid over the life of the insured; and (iv) market competition from other purchasers. We seek to earn profits by purchasing policies at discounts to the face value of the insurance benefit. The discounts at which we purchase are expected to exceed the costs necessary to pay premiums and financing and servicing costs through the date of the insured's mortality.

Additional financial information regarding our investments is presented under the subheading "Investment Portfolio" in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Certain International Tax Considerations

We operate our business in several foreign countries and are subject to taxation in several foreign jurisdictions. A brief description of certain international tax considerations affecting us appears below.

Bermuda

Bermuda currently does not impose any income, corporation or profits tax, withholding tax, capital gains tax or capital transfer tax on any of our Bermuda subsidiaries, or any estate duty or inheritance tax applicable to shares of any of our Bermuda subsidiaries (except in the case of shareholders resident in Bermuda). Except as set out in the following paragraph, our Bermuda subsidiaries may be subject to such Bermuda tax in the future.

Our significant operating Bermuda subsidiary, AmTrust International Insurance, Ltd. ("AII"), has received a written assurance from the Bermuda Minister of Finance under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, as amended, that, if any legislation is enacted in Bermuda imposing tax computed on profits or income, or computed on any capital asset, gain or appreciation, or any tax in the nature of estate duty or inheritance tax, then the imposition of that tax would not be applicable to these Bermuda subsidiaries or to any of their operations, shares, debentures or obligations until March 31, 2035; provided that the assurance is subject to the condition that it will not be construed to prevent the application of such tax to people ordinarily resident in Bermuda, or to prevent the application of any taxes payable by our Bermuda subsidiaries in respect of real property or leasehold interests in Bermuda held by them. Our Bermuda subsidiaries may be subject to any such tax after March 31, 2035.

AII made a Section 953(d) election, which became effective starting January 1, 2012. This means that for U.S. federal income tax purposes, AII is treated as a U.S. corporation that is subject to tax and is included in our consolidated U.S. tax return. The other remaining significant Bermuda operations are not currently subject to taxation in the U.S. These operations meet certain legislative exceptions in the Internal Revenue Code that allow for deferral of taxation on the earnings generated by these operations until such earnings are repatriated to the U.S.

Ireland

AmTrust International Underwriters Limited (“AIU”), a company incorporated in Ireland, is managed and controlled in Ireland and, therefore, is resident in Ireland for Irish tax purposes and subject to Irish corporation tax on its worldwide profits (including revenue profits and capital gains). Income derived by AIU from an Irish trade (that is, a trade that is not carried on wholly outside of Ireland) is subject to Irish corporation tax at the current rate of 12.5%. Other income (that is, income from passive investments,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

income from non-Irish trades and income from certain dealings in land) is generally subject to Irish corporation tax at the current rate of 25%.

The Irish Revenue Commissioners have published a statement indicating that deposit interest earned by an insurance company on funds held for regulatory purposes is regarded as part of the insurance company's trading income, and accordingly is part of the profits taxed at 12.5%. This statement also indicates acceptance of case law that states that investment income of an insurance company is likewise considered as trading income where it is derived from assets required to be held for regulatory capital purposes. Other investment income earned by AIU is generally taxed in Ireland at a rate of 25%.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, AIU is a controlled foreign corporation and its earnings generally are included in our U.S. federal taxable income. A credit against U.S. federal income tax liability is available for any Irish tax paid on such earnings.

As long as our principal class of common stock is listed on a recognized stock exchange in an EU member state or country with which Ireland has a tax treaty (e.g., NASDAQ), and provided that such shares are substantially and regularly traded on that exchange, Irish dividend withholding tax does not apply to dividends and other distributions paid by AIU to its parent, provided that the parent makes an appropriate declaration, in prescribed form, to AIU before the dividend is paid.

AmTrust or any of our subsidiaries, other than AIU, will not be considered resident in Ireland for Irish tax purposes unless the central management and control of such companies is, as a matter of fact, located in Ireland.

Insurance companies are subject to an insurance premium tax in the form of a stamp duty charged at 3% of certain premium income. It applies to general insurance business, other than reinsurance, life insurance, certain maritime, aviation and transit insurance, and health insurance.

This tax applies to a premium in respect of a policy where the risk is located in Ireland. Legislation provides that risk is located in Ireland:

- in the case of insurance of buildings together with their contents, where the building is in Ireland;
- in the case of insurance of vehicles, where the vehicle is registered in Ireland;
- in the case of insurance of four months or less duration of travel or holiday if the policyholder took out the policy in Ireland; and
- in all three cases of insurance where the policyholder is resident in Ireland, or if not an individual, where the head office of the policyholder is in Ireland or its branch to which the insurance relates is in Ireland.

An additional contribution of 2% to the Insurance Compensation Fund applies to premiums received in relation to non-life insurance policies. Similar to the 3% non-life insurance level noted above, the contribution applies where premiums are received in respect of risks located in Ireland.

Luxembourg

We have eleven Luxembourg-based subsidiaries. AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A., our non-life insurance company, is owned by AmTrust Equity Solutions, Ltd. AmTrust Holdings Luxembourg S.A.R.L ("AHL"), a Luxembourg holding company, is owned by AII, our Bermuda insurance company. AHL owns all of the issued and outstanding stock of our five Luxembourg-domiciled reinsurance companies. The reinsurance companies have accumulated equalization reserves, which are catastrophe reserves in excess of required reserves that are determined by a formula based on the volatility of the business reinsured. Because AII is an insurance company with the ability to cede premiums and losses, the reinsurance companies are well-positioned to either maintain or utilize their

equalization reserves. Luxembourg does not impose any income, corporation or profits tax on AHL or its subsidiaries provided sufficient premium and/or associated losses cause the equalization reserves to be either maintained or exhausted. However, if the reinsurance companies cease to write business or are unable to utilize their equalization reserves, they will ultimately recognize income that will be taxed by Luxembourg at a rate of approximately 30%. To mitigate any potential tax liability, we restructured our Luxembourg operations by entering a fiscal unity structure for Luxembourg income tax purposes. AHL and certain subsidiaries filed a common request to benefit from the fiscal unity regime present in Luxembourg effective January 1, 2013. The fiscal unity was extended to certain other subsidiaries of AHL effective January 1, 2014. Under the fiscal unity, AHL and the Luxembourg reinsurance companies will benefit as any tax losses accrued by any members of the fiscal unity group will be available to offset any taxable profits to be realized by any other members of the group including, but not limited to, any income as a result of the reduction in the equalization reserves.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

All of the Luxembourg-domiciled entities are subject to a Net Wealth Tax of 0.5% on their net wealth based on prescribed valuation methods.

Dividend payments made by AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A. or AHL to their respective parent companies are subject to withholding taxes of 15%.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A., AHL and all of its subsidiaries are controlled foreign corporations and their earnings generally are included in our U.S. federal taxable income. A credit against U.S. federal income tax liability is available for any Luxembourg income tax paid on such earnings.

United Kingdom

AmTrust International Limited ("AIL"), a company incorporated in the United Kingdom, is managed and controlled in the U.K. and, therefore, is treated as a resident in the U.K. for U.K. tax purposes and subject to corporation tax on its worldwide profits (including revenue profits and capital gains). AIL is a holding company of 55 subsidiaries, of which 32 are domiciled and managed in the U.K. Earnings derived by AIL and its U.K. domiciled subsidiaries are subject to British corporation tax at the rate of 20% from April 1, 2015.

AIL's subsidiaries domiciled outside of the U.K. are subject to taxation in their respective countries. All of the foreign subsidiaries are treated as separate legal and taxing bodies that are not taxed in the U.K. These subsidiaries are located in Spain, Italy, Brazil, Germany, Singapore, Malaysia, Indonesia, India, Russia, Ireland, Cayman Island, U.S., Turkey, Jersey and China.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, AIL and all of its subsidiaries are controlled foreign corporations and their earnings are generally deferred from inclusion in our U.S. federal taxable income. If a portion of the earnings is either deemed repatriated or actually repatriated, a credit against U.S. federal income tax liability is available for any local income taxes paid on such earnings.

AIL may pay dividends to its parent free of U.K. withholding tax. Dividends paid to AIL from its subsidiaries could be subject to potential withholding taxes from the countries in which the subsidiaries are domiciled. The withholding rates are subject to provisions within the double tax treaties. In the current structure of AIL, dividends paid by its indirectly owned China entity would be subject to a 5% withholding tax, dividends paid by its indirectly owned Russia entity would be subject to a 5% withholding tax, dividends paid by its indirectly owned Indonesia entity would be subject to a 10% withholding tax and dividends paid by its indirectly owned Turkey entity would be subject to a 15% withholding tax.

We do not expect AmTrust or any of its subsidiaries, other than AIL and its U.K. subsidiaries, to be resident in the U.K. for tax purposes unless the central management and control of such companies is, as a matter of fact, located in the U.K. A company not resident in the U.K. for tax purposes can be subject to corporation tax if it carries on a trade through a branch or agency in the U.K. or disposes of certain specified assets (e.g., land, minerals, or mineral rights, or unquoted shares deriving the greater part of their value from such assets). In such cases, the charge to corporation tax is limited to trading income connected with the branch or agency, capital gains on the disposal of assets used in the branch or agency which are situated in the U.K. at or before the time of disposal, capital gains arising on the disposal of specified assets, with tax imposed at the rates discussed above, plus U.K. income tax (generally by way of withholding) on certain U.K. source income.

Insurance companies are subject to an insurance premium tax at 9.5%, which was increased from 6% on November 1, 2015. The premium tax applies to premiums for most general insurance, such as for buildings and contents and motor insurance, where the insured risk is in the U.K. Life assurance and other long term insurance remain exempt, though

there are anti-avoidance rules surrounding long term medical care policies. As an anti-avoidance measure, the rate increases to 20% for insurance sold by suppliers of specified goods or services, e.g. mechanical breakdown insurance, travel insurance (irrespective of supplier), insurance sold with televisions and car hire, and, from April 1, 2004 forward, any “non-financial” GAP insurance sold through suppliers of motor vehicles or persons connected with them.

Ratings

Our principal insurance subsidiaries are rated “A” (Excellent) by A.M. Best. An “A” rating is the third highest of the 16 categories used by A.M. Best, and is assigned to companies that have, in A.M. Best’s opinion, an excellent ability to meet their ongoing obligations to policyholders. Many insurance buyers, agents and brokers use the ratings assigned by A.M. Best and other agencies to assist them in assessing the financial strength and overall quality of the companies from which they are considering purchasing insurance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

These ratings were derived from an in-depth evaluation of these subsidiaries' balance sheets strengths, operating performances and business profiles. A.M. Best evaluates, among other factors, our capitalization, underwriting leverage, financial leverage, asset leverage, capital structure, quality and appropriateness of reinsurance, adequacy of reserves, quality and diversification of assets, liquidity, profitability, spread of risk, revenue composition, market position, management, market risk and event risk. A.M. Best ratings are intended to provide an independent opinion of an insurer's ability to meet its obligations to policyholders and are not an evaluation directed at investors.

Regulation

General

The business of insurance and reinsurance is regulated in most countries, although the degree and type of regulation vary significantly from one jurisdiction to another. We are subject to extensive regulation in the United States and in Europe, which for us primarily consists of the United Kingdom, Ireland and Luxembourg, as well as in Bermuda.

United States

As of December 31, 2015, we had seventeen operating insurance subsidiaries domiciled in the United States: AmTrust Insurance Company of Kansas, Inc. ("AICK"), Associated Industries Insurance Company, Inc. ("AIIC"), AmTrust Title Insurance Company ("ATIC"), Comp Options Insurance Company ("COIC"), CorePointe Insurance Company ("CPIC"), Developers Surety and Indemnity Company ("DSIC"), First Nonprofit Insurance Company ("FNIC"), Heritage Indemnity Company ("HIC"), Indemnity Company of California ("ICC"), Milwaukee Casualty Insurance Co. ("MCIC"), Rochdale Insurance Company ("RIC"), Sequoia Insurance Company ("SIC"), Sequoia Indemnity Company ("SID"), Security National Insurance Company ("SNIC"), Springfield Insurance Company ("SPIC"), Technology Insurance Company, Inc., ("TIC"), and Wesco Insurance Company ("WIC") (collectively, the "U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries").

Holding Company Regulation

We qualify as a holding company system under laws that regulate insurance holding company systems. Each insurance company in a holding company system is required to register with the insurance supervisory agency of its state of domicile (and in any other state in which the insurance company may be deemed to be commercially domiciled by reason of concentration of its business within such state) and periodically furnish information concerning its ownership, operations and transactions, particularly with other companies within the holding company system that may materially affect its operations, management or financial condition.

The insurance laws in most states provide that all transactions among members of an insurance holding company system must be fair and reasonable. These laws require disclosure of material transactions within the holding company system and, in some cases, prior notice of or approval for certain transactions, including, among other things, (a) the payment of certain dividends, (b) cost sharing agreements, (c) intercompany agency, service or management agreements, (d) acquisition or divestment of control of or merger with domestic insurers, (e) sales, purchases, exchanges, loans or extensions of credit, guarantees or investments if such transactions are equal to or exceed certain thresholds, and (f) reinsurance agreements. All transactions within a holding company system affecting an insurer must have fair and reasonable terms and are subject to other standards and requirements established by law and regulation.

Dividends

Our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries are subject to statutory requirements as to maintenance of policyholders' surplus and payment of dividends. In general, the maximum amount of dividends that the U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries may pay in any 12-month period without prior regulatory approval is the greater of adjusted statutory net income or 10% of statutory policyholders' surplus as of the preceding calendar year end. Adjusted statutory net income is generally defined for this purpose to be statutory net income, net of realized capital gains, for the calendar year preceding the date of the dividend. Also, most states restrict an insurance company's ability to pay dividends in excess of its statutory unassigned surplus or earned surplus. Lastly, state insurance regulators may limit or restrict an insurance company's ability to pay stockholder dividends, if such a dividend has been paid within the previous year, as a condition to issuance of a certificate of authority or as a condition to approval of a change of control, or for other regulatory reasons.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Change of Control

State insurance holding company laws require advance approval by the respective state insurance departments of any change of control of an insurer. "Control" is generally defined as the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the company, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract or otherwise. Control is generally presumed to exist through the direct or indirect ownership of 10% or more of the voting securities of a domestic insurance company or any entity that controls a domestic insurance company. In addition, insurance laws in many states contain provisions that require pre- and post-notification to the insurance departments of a change of control of certain non-domestic insurance companies licensed in those states, as well as post-notification of a change of control of certain agencies and third party administrators.

Any future transactions that would constitute a change of control, including a change of control of AmTrust and/or any of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries, would generally require the party acquiring or divesting control to obtain the prior approval of the department of insurance in the state in which the insurance company being acquired is domiciled (and in any other state in which the company may be deemed to be commercially domiciled by reason of concentration of its insurance business within such state) and may also require pre-notification in certain other states. Obtaining these approvals may result in the material delay of, or deter, any such transaction.

These laws may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter or prevent a change of control of AmTrust, including through transactions, and in particular unsolicited transactions, that some or all of our stockholders might consider to be desirable.

State Insurance Regulation

Insurance companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the department of insurance in the state in which they are domiciled and, to a lesser extent, other states in which they are authorized to conduct business. The primary purpose of such regulatory powers is to protect individual policyholders. State insurance authorities have broad regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers, including, among other things, the power to (a) grant and revoke licenses to transact business, including individual lines of authority, (b) set the standards of solvency to be met and maintained, (c) determine the nature of, and limitations on, investments and dividends, (d) approve policy rules, rates and forms prior to issuance, (e) regulate and conduct specific examinations regarding marketing, unfair trade, claims and fraud prevention and investigation practices, and (f) conduct periodic comprehensive and risk-focused examinations of the financial condition of insurance companies domiciled in their state. In particular, the U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries' commercial policy rates and forms, including workers' compensation policies, are closely regulated in all states. Workers' compensation insurers are also subject to regulation by the specific workers' compensation regulators in the states in which they provide such insurance.

Our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries are required to file detailed financial statements and other reports with the departments of insurance in all states in which they are licensed to transact business. These reports include details concerning claims reserves held by the insurer, specific investments held by the insurer, and numerous other disclosures about the insurer's financial condition and operations. These financial statements are subject to periodic examination by the department of insurance in each state in which they are filed.

State insurance laws and insurance departments also regulate investments that insurers are permitted to make. Limitations are placed on the amounts an insurer may invest in a particular issuer, as well as the aggregate amount an insurer may invest in certain types of investments. Certain investments (such as real estate) are prohibited by certain jurisdictions. Each of our domiciliary states has its own regulations and limitations on the amounts an insurer may invest in a particular issuer and the aggregate amount an insurer may invest in certain types of investments. In general, investments may not exceed a certain percentage of surplus, admitted assets or total investments. To ensure

compliance in each state, we review our investment portfolio quarterly based on each state's regulations and limitations.

In addition, many states have laws and regulations that limit an insurer's ability to withdraw from a particular market. For example, states may limit an insurer's ability to cancel or not renew policies. Furthermore, certain states prohibit an insurer from withdrawing from one or more lines of business written in the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. The state insurance department may disapprove any proposed plan that may lead to market disruption. Laws and regulations that limit cancellation and non-renewal and that subject program withdrawals to prior approval requirements may restrict the ability of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries to exit unprofitable markets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Insurance agencies, producers, third party administrators, claims adjusters and service contract providers and administrators are subject to licensing requirements and regulation by insurance regulators in various states in which they conduct business. Many of our subsidiaries and certain of our employees are subject to licensing requirements and regulation by insurance regulators in various states.

Federal and State Legislative and Regulatory Changes

From time to time, various regulatory and legislative changes have been proposed in the insurance industry. Among the proposals that have in the past been or are at present being considered are the possible introduction of federal regulation in addition to, or in lieu of, the current system of state regulation of insurers and proposals in various state legislatures (some of which have been enacted) to conform portions of their insurance laws and regulations to various model acts adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”). The NAIC has undertaken a Solvency Modernization Initiative focused on updating the U.S. insurance solvency regulatory framework, including capital requirements, governance and risk management, group supervision, accounting and financial reporting and reinsurance. The NAIC has adopted amendments to the Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act and the Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation to introduce the concept of “enterprise risk” within an insurance company holding system. The amendments impose more extensive informational requirements on insurers in order to protect the licensed insurance companies from enterprise risk, and have been adopted in all the states in which our U.S. Insurance Companies are domiciled. Additionally, the NAIC has adopted the Risk Management and Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA) Model Act, which requires insurers to perform an ORSA and, upon request of a state, file an ORSA Summary Report with the state. Also, as part of the Solvency Modernization Initiative, the NAIC has adopted the Corporate Governance Annual Filing Model Regulation and Corporate Governance Annual Disclosure Model Act to become effective in 2016 that will require us to file a confidential report prepared by the insurer or insurance group, the purpose of which is to provide the most relevant information necessary to permit state regulators to gain an understanding of the corporate governance structure, policies and practices utilized by the insurer.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), enacted in 2010, established a Federal Insurance Office (the “FIO”) within the U.S. Department of the Treasury. The FIO was initially charged with monitoring certain aspects of the insurance industry, gathering data, and conducting a study on methods to modernize and improve the insurance regulatory system in the United States. In 2013, the FIO issued a report recommending that Congress consider direct federal involvement should the states fail to accomplish necessary modernization reforms in the near term. The FIO continues to support the current state-based regulatory regime, but may consider federal regulation should the states fail to take steps to greater uniformity.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act gives the Federal Reserve supervisory authority over a number of financial services companies, including insurance companies, if they are designated by the Financial Stability Oversight Council as “systemically important.” In such a case, the Federal Reserve’s supervisory authority could include the ability to impose heightened financial regulation upon that insurance company and could impact its capital, liquidity and leverage requirements as well as its business and investment conduct. We have not been designated as “systemically important” by the Financial Stability Oversight Council.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (“TRIA”), as extended by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015 (“TRIPRA”), requires that commercial property and casualty insurance companies, like our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries, offer coverage (with certain exceptions, such as with respect to commercial auto liability) for certain acts of terrorism and has established a federal assistance program through the end of 2020 to help such insurers cover claims for terrorism-related losses.

Specific federal regulatory developments include the introduction of legislation in Congress that would repeal the McCarran-Ferguson Act antitrust exemption for the insurance industry. The antitrust exemption allows insurers to compile and share loss data, develop standard policy forms and manuals and predict future loss costs with greater reliability, among other things. The ability of the industry, under the exemption permitted in the McCarran-Ferguson Act, to collect loss cost data and build a credible database as a means of predicting future loss costs is an important part of cost-based pricing. If the ability to collect this data were removed, the predictability of future loss costs and the reliability of pricing could be undermined.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

State Insurance Department Examinations

As part of their regulatory oversight process, state insurance departments conduct periodic detailed and risk-focused financial examinations of insurance companies domiciled in their states, generally once every three to five years. Examinations are generally carried out in cooperation with the insurance departments of other states under guidelines promulgated by the NAIC. The following is a list of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries that have scheduled or ongoing financial examinations and the periods under examination:

Company	State Insurance Department	Years of Examination
TIC	New Hampshire	2014
RIC	New York	2014
COIC	Florida	2014
ICC	California	2010-2014
HIC	California	2011-2014
WIC	Delaware	2012-2014
SNIC	Delaware & California	2013-2014
FNIC	Delaware	2010-2014
AIC	Florida	2012-2014
DSIC	Iowa	2010-2014
MCIC	Wisconsin	2011-2014

A second type of regulatory oversight examination of insurance companies involves a review by an insurance department of an authorized company's market conduct, which entails a review and examination of a company's compliance with laws governing marketing, underwriting, rating, policy-issuance, claims-handling and other aspects of its insurance business during a specified period of time. The following is a list of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries that have scheduled or ongoing market conduct reviews and the periods and subjects covered under examination:

Company	State Insurance Department	Period of Examination	Subject
SPIC	California	2014	Claims
ICC	California	2015	Claims
WIC	California	2015	Claims
SNIC	Kentucky	2013-2014	State/Local Taxes
AICK	Washington	2015	Rate Filing Compliance
CPIC	Washington	2015	Rate Filing Compliance
CPIC	California	2014	Claims, Rating and Marketing
WIC	Nevada	2014-2015	Claims
SIC	Nevada	2014-2015	Claims

Guaranty Fund Assessments

Most, if not all, of the states where we are licensed to transact business require that property and casualty insurers doing business within the state participate in a guaranty association, which is organized to pay contractual benefits owed pursuant to insurance policies issued by impaired, insolvent or failed insurers. These associations levy assessments, up to prescribed limits, on all member insurers in a particular state on the basis of the proportionate share of the premiums written by the member insurers in the lines of business in which the impaired, insolvent or failed insurer is engaged. Some states permit member insurers to recover assessments paid through full or partial premium

tax offsets.

26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Property and casualty insurance company insolvencies or failures may result in additional guaranty association assessments to our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries at some future date. At this time, we are unable to determine the impact, if any, such assessments may have on their financial positions or results of their operations. As of December 31, 2015, each of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries has established accruals for guaranty fund assessments with respect to insurers that are currently subject to insolvency proceedings.

Residual Market Programs

Many of the states in which our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries conduct business or intend to conduct business require that all licensed insurers that provide workers' compensation and commercial automobile insurance participate in a program to provide workers' compensation insurance to those employers that have not or cannot procure coverage from an insurer on a voluntary basis. The level of required participation in such residual market programs of insurers is generally determined by calculating the volume of the voluntarily issued business in that state of the particular insurer as a percentage of all voluntarily issued business in that state by all insurers. The resulting factor is the proportion of the premiums the insurer must accept as a percentage of all premiums for policies issued in that state's residual market program.

Insurance companies generally can fulfill their residual market obligations by either issuing insurance policies to employers assigned to them and being wholly responsible for the results, or participating in state reinsurance pools managed by a Plan Administrator where the results of all policies provided through these administered pools are shared by the participating companies through a 100% quota reinsurance agreement with the servicing carrier. Currently, our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries satisfy their residual market obligations by participating in the administered pools. None of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries issues policies to employers assigned to them except to the extent that TIC acts as a servicing carrier for workers' compensation assigned risk plans in multiple states ("Assigned Risk Plans") administered by National Council on Compensation Insurance ("NCCI"), The Workers Compensation Rating Bureau of Indiana and Aon.

Coverage provided by the Assigned Risk Plans is offered through servicing carriers, which issue policies to employers assigned to them by the Assigned Risk Plan's administrator. Policies issued pursuant to the Assigned Risk Plans are 100% reinsured by the administered pools, which are funded by premiums charged for the policies and assessments on insurers which write workers' compensation insurance in the states which participate in the pools.

As noted above, TIC acts as a servicing carrier for the Assigned Risk Plans. Servicing carrier contracts are generally awarded based on a competitive bidding process. As a servicing carrier, we receive fee income for our services but do not retain any underwriting risk, which is fully reinsured by the various NCCI pools.

Second Injury Funds

A number of states operate trust funds that reimburse insurers and employers for claims paid to injured employees for aggravation of prior conditions or injuries. These state-managed trust funds are funded through assessments against insurers and self-insurers providing workers' compensation coverage in a particular state. We received recoveries of approximately \$6.1 million, \$5.7 million and \$2.8 million from such state-managed trust funds in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The aggregate amount of cash we paid for assessments to state-managed trust funds for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was approximately \$23.4 million, \$14.6 million and \$11.7 million, respectively.

Risk-Based Capital Regulations

Our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries are required to report their risk-based capital based on a formula developed and adopted by the NAIC that attempts to measure statutory capital and surplus needs based on the risks in the insurer's mix of products and investment portfolio. The formula is designed to allow insurance regulators to identify weakly-capitalized companies. Under the formula, a company determines its "risk-based capital" by taking into account certain risks related to the insurer's assets (including risks related to its investment portfolio and ceded reinsurance) and the insurer's liabilities (including underwriting risks related to the nature and experience of its insurance business). The insurance departments in our domiciliary states generally require a minimum total adjusted risk-based capital equal to 150% of an insurance company's authorized control level risk-based capital. At December 31, 2015, our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries' risk-based capital levels exceeded the minimum requirements.

Insurance Regulatory Information System Ratios

The NAIC Insurance Regulatory Information System, or IRIS, is part of a collection of analytical tools designed to provide state insurance regulators with an integrated approach to screening and analyzing the financial condition of insurance companies operating in their respective states. IRIS is intended to assist state insurance regulators in targeting resources to those insurers in greatest need of regulatory attention. IRIS consists of two phases: statistical and analytical. In the statistical phase, the NAIC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

database generates key financial ratio results based on financial information obtained from insurers' annual statutory statements. The analytical phase is a review of the annual statements, financial ratios and other automated solvency tools. The primary goal of the analytical phase is to identify companies that appear to require immediate regulatory attention. Each ratio has an established "usual range" of results. A ratio result falling outside the usual range, however, is not considered a failing result; rather, unusual values are viewed as part of the regulatory early monitoring system. Furthermore, in some years, it may not be unusual for financially sound companies to have several ratios with results outside of the usual ranges. An insurance company may fall out of the usual range for one or more ratios because of specific transactions that are in themselves immaterial or because of certain reinsurance or pooling structures or changes in such structures. Management does not anticipate regulatory action as a result of the 2015 IRIS ratio results for our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries. In all instances in prior years, regulators have been satisfied upon follow-up that no regulatory action was required.

Statutory Accounting Principles

Statutory accounting principles, or SAP, are a basis of accounting developed to assist insurance regulators in monitoring and regulating the solvency of insurance companies. SAP is primarily concerned with measuring an insurer's solvency. Statutory accounting focuses on valuing assets and liabilities of insurers at financial reporting dates in accordance with appropriate insurance law and regulatory provisions applicable in each insurer's domiciliary state.

GAAP, like SAP, is concerned with a company's solvency, but it is also concerned with other financial measurements, principally income and cash flows. Accordingly, GAAP gives more consideration to appropriately matching revenue and expenses and accounting for management's stewardship of assets than does SAP. As a direct result, different assets and liabilities and different amounts of assets and liabilities will be reflected in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP as compared to SAP.

Credit for Reinsurance

In addition to regulatory requirements imposed by the jurisdictions in which they are licensed, reinsurers' business operations are affected by regulatory requirements in various states governing "credit for reinsurance" that are imposed on their ceding companies. Under the Non-Admitted and Reinsurance Reform Act, effective July 21, 2011, if the state of domicile of a ceding insurer is an NAIC accredited state, or has financial solvency requirements substantially similar to the requirements necessary for NAIC accreditation, and recognizes credit for reinsurance for the insurer's ceded risk, then no other state may deny such credit for reinsurance. Because all states are currently accredited by the NAIC, the NIRA prohibits a state in which a U.S. ceding insurer is licensed but not domiciled from denying credit for reinsurance for the insurer's ceded risk if the cedant's domestic state regulator recognizes credit for reinsurance. The ceding company in this instance is permitted to reflect in its statutory financial statements a credit in an aggregate amount equal to the ceding company's liability for unearned premium (which are that portion of premiums written that apply to the unexpired portion of the policy period), loss reserves and loss expense reserves to the extent ceded to the reinsurer. AII, which reinsures risks of our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries, is not licensed, accredited or approved in any state in the United States. The great majority of states, however, permit a credit to statutory surplus resulting from reinsurance obtained from a non-licensed or non-accredited reinsurer to be recognized to the extent that the reinsurer provides a letter of credit, trust fund or other acceptable security arrangement. AII posts security to permit our U.S. Insurance Subsidiaries to receive credit for reinsurance on business ceded to AII pursuant to our intercompany reinsurance agreements.

Privacy Regulations

In 1999, Congress enacted the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which, among other things, protects consumers from the unauthorized dissemination of certain personal information. Subsequently, states have implemented additional

regulations to address privacy issues. Certain aspects of these laws and regulations apply to all financial institutions, including insurance and finance companies, and require us to maintain appropriate policies and procedures for managing and protecting certain personal information of our policyholders. We may also be subject to future privacy laws and regulations, which could impose additional costs and impact our results of operations or financial condition. In 2000, the NAIC adopted the Privacy of Consumer Financial and Health Information Model Regulation, which assisted states in promulgating regulations to comply with the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. In 2002, to further facilitate the implementation of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, the NAIC adopted the Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information Model Regulation. Several states have now adopted similar provisions regarding the safeguarding of policyholder information. To the best of our knowledge, we are in compliance with all applicable privacy laws and regulations.

Telephone and Email Sales Regulations

The U.S. Congress, the Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Trade Commission and various states have promulgated and enacted rules and laws that govern telephone and email solicitations. There are numerous state statutes and regulations governing telephone sales activities and email solicitations that do or may apply to our operations, including the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

operations of our call centers. For example, some states place restrictions on the methods and timing of calls and require that certain mandatory disclosures be made during the course of a telephone sales call. Federal and state “Do Not Call” regulations must be followed for us to engage in telephone sales activities. In addition, both the federal and state statutes have rules governing commercial email messages restricting the content of the messages, as well as the method and manner of distribution, including requiring certain opt-out mechanisms.

Regulatory Coordination

State regulators in the United States and regulatory agencies outside the United States are increasingly coordinating the regulation of internationally active insurance groups through participation in supervisory colleges. A supervisory college, as defined by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors, is a forum for cooperation and communication between the involved supervisors established for the fundamental purpose of facilitating the effectiveness of supervision of entities that belong to an insurance group; facilitating both the supervision of the group as a whole on a group-wide basis; and improving the legal entity supervision of the entities within the insurance group. Our regulators conducted a supervisory college for our insurance group in 2015.

Ireland

AIU is a non-life insurance company organized under the laws of Ireland. AIU is subject to the regulation and supervision of the Central Bank of Ireland (the “Irish Central Bank”) pursuant to the Insurance Acts 1909 to 2000, as amended (the “Insurance Acts”), and the European Communities (Non Life Framework) Regulations 1994 (as amended) (the “Regulations”). AIU has been authorized to underwrite various classes of non-life insurance business. AIU, as an Irish authorized insurance company, is permitted to carry on insurance business in any other member state of the European Economic Area by way of freedom to provide services, on the basis that it has notified the Irish Central Bank of its intention to do so, or by way of freedom of establishment, subject to the approval of the Irish Central Bank, and subject to complying with such conditions as may be laid down by the regulator of the jurisdiction in which the insurance activities are carried out for reasons of the “general good.”

Qualifying Shareholders

The Insurance Acts and Regulations require that anyone acquiring or disposing of a “qualifying holding” in an insurance company (such as AIU), or anyone who proposes to decrease or increase that holding to “specified levels,” must first notify the Irish Central Bank of their intention to do so. It also requires any insurance company that becomes aware of any acquisitions or disposals of its capital, such that such holdings amount to a qualifying holding exceeding or falling below the “specified levels,” to notify the Irish Central Bank. If the Irish Central Bank is not satisfied as to the suitability of the acquirer in view of the necessity to “ensure the sound and prudent management of the insurance undertaking,” it may oppose the proposed transaction. Under the European Communities (Assessment of Acquisitions in the Financial Sector) Regulations 2009, there is a strict time-frame for the assessment of a proposed transaction, which may take up to 80 working days. A “qualifying holding” means a direct or indirect holding in an insurance company that represents 10% or more of the capital or of the voting rights of such company or that makes it possible to exercise a significant influence over the management of such company. The “specified levels” are 20%, 33% and 50%, or such other level of ownership that results in the insurance company becoming the acquirer’s subsidiary.

Any person having a shareholding of 10% or more of the issued share capital in AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. or AmTrust Equity Solutions, Ltd. (the direct parent of AIU) or a 20% or more holding in the intermediate companies between AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. and AmTrust Equity Solutions, Ltd. would be considered to have an indirect holding in AIU at or over the 20% limit. Any change that resulted in the indirect acquisition or disposal of a shareholding of greater than or equal to 10% in the share capital of AIU, or a change that resulted in an increase to or decrease below one of the specified levels, would need to be approved with the Irish Central Bank prior to the

transaction. The Irish Central Bank's approval would be required if any person were to acquire a shareholding equal to or in excess of 10% of AIU's outstanding common stock or in excess of one of the specified levels.

AIU is required, at such times as may be specified by the Irish Central Bank, and at least once a year, to notify the Irish Central Bank of the names of shareholders possessing qualifying holdings and the size of such holdings.

Financial Requirements and Regulatory Guidelines

AIU is required to establish and maintain an adequate solvency margin and a minimum guarantee fund, both of which must be free from all foreseeable liabilities. Currently, the solvency margin is calculated as the higher amount of a percentage of the annual amount of premiums (premiums basis) or the average burden of claims for the last three years (claims basis).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The amount of the minimum guarantee fund that AIU is required to maintain is equal to the minimum solvency margin, which at December 31, 2015 was approximately €35.9 million (or \$39.0 million). The amount of the minimum guarantee fund may never be less than €3.7 million (or \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2015). In addition to the Insurance Acts and Regulations, AIU is expected to comply with various guidelines and codes issued by the Irish Central Bank.

Restrictions on Dividends

As a matter of Irish company law, AIU is restricted to declaring dividends only out of “profits available for distribution.” Profits available for distribution are a company’s accumulated realized profits less its accumulated realized losses. Such profits may not include profits previously distributed or capitalized and such losses do not include amounts previously written off in a reduction or reorganization of capital. In addition, one of the conditions imposed on AIU when it was first authorized as an Irish insurance company was a restriction on making dividend payments without the Irish Central Bank’s prior approval.

Bermuda

Classification

AII is registered as an insurer under the Insurance Act 1978 of Bermuda, as amended (the “Insurance Act - Bermuda”). Until December 31, 2015, AII was registered as a Class 3 insurer. Beginning January 1, 2016, AII has been registered as a Class 3B insurer. Accordingly, AII can carry on general business, broadly including all types of insurance business other than long-term business. Any descriptions of requirements applicable to Class 3B insurers will apply to AII in 2016. AII is also licensed as a Class C insurer to carry on long-term business, which broadly includes life insurance and disability insurance with terms in excess of five years. The Insurance Act - Bermuda provides that no person shall carry on any insurance business in, from or within Bermuda unless that person has first been registered under the Insurance Act - Bermuda by the Bermuda Monetary Authority (“BMA”). The BMA is responsible for the day-to-day supervision of insurers and monitors compliance with the solvency and liquidity standards imposed by the Insurance Act - Bermuda.

Annual Financial Statements, Annual Statutory Financial Return and Annual Capital and Solvency Return

AII is required to file with the BMA financial statements, a statutory financial return and a capital and solvency return no later than four months after its financial year end (unless specifically extended). The statutory financial return for an insurer includes, among other matters, a report of the approved auditor on the statutory financial statements of such insurer, the solvency certificates, the declaration of statutory ratios, a schedule of reinsurance ceded, a declaration of compliance confirming compliance with the minimum criteria, minimum margin of solvency, applicable enhanced capital requirements and adherence to the license conditions, the statutory financial statements themselves, the opinion of the loss reserve specialist and the approved actuary’s certificate. The solvency certificates must be signed by the principal representative and at least two directors of the insurer who are required to certify, among other matters, whether the minimum solvency margin has been met and whether the insurer complied with the conditions attached to its certificate of registration. The approved auditor is required to state whether, in his opinion, it was reasonable for the directors to so certify. Where an insurer’s accounts have been audited for any purpose other than compliance with the Insurance Act - Bermuda, an express statement to that effect must be filed with the statutory financial return. The capital and solvency return includes AII’s Bermuda Solvency Capital Return model for a Class 3B insurer, a commercial insurer’s solvency self-assessment, a catastrophe risk return and a schedule of loss triangles or reconciliation of net loss reserves and a schedule of eligible capital. The capital and solvency return also includes a capital and solvency declaration that the return fairly represents the financial condition of AII in all material respects. AII is also required to file audited U.S. GAAP annual financial statements, which are published by the BMA.

Quarterly Financial Statements

AI is required to prepare and file quarterly financial returns with the BMA on or before the last day of the months of May, August and November of each year.

Insurance Code of Conduct

The Insurance Code of Conduct prescribes the duties and standards with which registered insurers must adhere and comply, to ensure that the registered insurer implements sound corporate governance, risk management and internal controls. Failure to comply with these requirements will be a factor considered by the BMA in determining whether an insurer is conducting its business in a sound and prudent manner as prescribed by the Insurance Act - Bermuda. Any failure to comply with the requirements of the Insurance Code of Conduct could result in the BMA exercising its statutory powers of intervention.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Minimum Solvency Margin, Enhanced Capital Requirement and Restrictions on Dividends and Distributions

Under the Insurance Act - Bermuda, the value of the general business assets of a registered Class 3B insurer, such as AII, must exceed the amount of its general business liabilities by an amount greater than the prescribed minimum solvency margin. AII is required, with respect to its general business, to maintain a minimum solvency margin equal to the greatest of: 25% of the enhanced capital requirement ("ECR"); \$1.0 million; 20% of net premiums written up to \$6.0 million plus 15% of net premiums written over \$6.0 million; and 15% of loss and other insurance reserves. AII is also required to maintain available statutory capital and surplus at least equal to its ECR. While not specifically referenced in the Insurance Act - Bermuda, the BMA has also established a target capital level ("TCL") for each insurer subject to an enhanced capital requirement equal to 120% of its ECR. While AII is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL would likely result in increased regulatory oversight.

AII would be prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends during any financial year if it is in breach of its minimum solvency margin or minimum liquidity ratio or if the declaration or payment of such dividends would cause it to fail to meet such margin or ratio. In addition, if it has failed to meet its minimum solvency margin or minimum liquidity ratio on the last day of any financial year, AII is prohibited, without the approval of the BMA, from declaring or paying any dividends during the next financial year.

As a registered Class 3B insurer, AII is prohibited from declaring or paying dividends of more than 25% of its total statutory capital and surplus, as shown in the previous year's statutory balance sheet, unless at least seven days prior to payment of any such dividend, it files with the BMA an affidavit that it will continue to meet its minimum capital requirements. In addition, AII is prohibited, without the approval of the BMA, from reducing by 15% or more its total statutory capital as set out in its previous year's financial statements.

As a registered Class C long-term insurer, AII is required to establish and maintain a long-term business fund and no payment may be made directly or indirectly from AII's long-term business fund for any purpose other than a purpose related to AII's long-term business, unless such payment can be made out of any surplus certified by AII's approved actuary to be available for distribution otherwise than to policyholders. AII is required, with respect to its long-term business, to maintain a minimum solvency margin of the greater of \$0.5 million or 1.5% of assets. AII is required to obtain a certification from its approved actuary prior to declaring or paying any dividends. Such certificate will not be given unless the value of its long-term business assets exceeds its long-term business liabilities (as certified by the approved actuary) by the amount of the dividend and the greater of \$0.5 million or 1.5% of assets. The amount of any such dividend shall not exceed the aggregate of the excess referenced in the preceding sentence and other funds properly available for the payment of dividends, being funds arising out of its business, other than its long-term business.

Minimum Liquidity Ratio

The Insurance Act - Bermuda provides a minimum liquidity ratio for general business insurers. An insurer engaged in general business, such as AII, is required to maintain the value of its relevant assets at not less than 75% of the amount of its relevant liabilities. Relevant assets include cash and time deposits, quoted investments, unquoted bonds and debentures, first liens on real estate, investment income due and accrued, accounts and premiums receivable and reinsurance balances receivable. There are certain categories of assets which, unless specifically permitted by the BMA, do not automatically qualify as relevant assets, such as unquoted equity securities, investments in and advances to affiliates and real estate and collateral loans. The relevant liabilities are total general business insurance reserves and total other liabilities less deferred income tax and sundry liabilities (by interpretation, those not specifically defined) and letters of credit and guarantees.

Notification of New or Increased Shareholder Control

Pursuant to Section 30E of the Insurance Act - Bermuda, any person who becomes a holder of at least 10%, 20%, 33% or 50% of our shares or AII's shares (a "shareholder controller") must notify the BMA in writing within 45 days of becoming such a holder. Pursuant to Section 30J of the Insurance Act - Bermuda, AII must notify the BMA in writing of the fact that any person has become or ceased to be a shareholder controller of AII within 45 days of becoming aware of the relevant facts. The BMA may, by written notice, object to such a person if it appears to the BMA that the person is not fit and proper to be such a holder. Any person who does not comply with such a notice from the BMA will be guilty of an offense. AII must also list every person who has become or ceased to be a shareholder controller during the financial year at the time of filing its annual financial statements for that year, specifying the dates when such person either became or ceased to be a shareholder controller.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Objection to Existing Shareholder Controller

For so long as we have a subsidiary that is an insurer registered under the Insurance Act - Bermuda, the BMA may at any time, by written notice, object to a person holding 10% or more of our shares if it appears to the BMA that the person is not or is no longer fit and proper to be such a holder. In such a case, the BMA may require the shareholder to reduce its holding of our shares and direct, among other things, that such shareholder's voting rights shall not be exercisable. A person who does not comply with such a notice or direction from the BMA will be guilty of an offense.

Luxembourg

AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A. is a non-life insurance company, having its registered office in Luxembourg-city, organized under the laws of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg and governed by the law dated August 10, 1915 on commercial companies, as amended (the "Companies Act") and the law dated December 6, 1991 on the insurance sector as amended (the "Insurance Act - Luxembourg"). The Insurance Act - Luxembourg was replaced by the Luxembourg law dated December 7, 2015 on the insurance sector, which became effective as of January 1, 2016.

On January 19, 2012, AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A. received its authorization from the Ministry of Treasury and Budget to carry out non-life insurance business in or from Luxembourg in compliance with Article 27 of the Insurance Act - Luxembourg. AmTrust Insurance Luxembourg S.A. is supervised by the Commissariat aux Assurances (the "CAA").

AmTrust Holdings Luxembourg S.A.R.L. sold two reinsurance companies on December 23, 2015 for a nominal amount. The sale did not have any impact on our results of operations, cash flows or financial positions. We now own five reinsurance companies (the "Reinsurance Companies"). The Reinsurance Companies also have their registered offices in Luxembourg-city, are organized under the laws of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg and governed by the Companies Act and the Insurance Act - Luxembourg. In the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, a reinsurance company is a company created or owned by an industrial, commercial or financial group, which aims at reinsuring exclusively all or part of the risks of the group to which it belongs and is subject to the same rules applicable to reinsurance undertakings. The Reinsurance Companies are also supervised by the CAA.

Qualifying Shareholders

Under the Insurance Act - Luxembourg, in order to obtain a license to do insurance and reinsurance business in the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg, the reinsurance and insurance companies must provide the CAA with the identity of the shareholders or members, direct or indirect, private individuals or legal bodies, that hold in the undertaking a "qualified participation," as well as the amount of these participations. A qualified participation is the direct or indirect holding of at least 10% of the share capital or the voting rights in the company or the right to exert a significant influence on the management of the company in which the participation is held.

Change of Control

The Insurance Act - Luxembourg provides that any natural person or legal person that intends to directly or indirectly acquire a "qualified participation" in a Luxembourg insurer or reinsurer must inform the CAA thereof in advance and indicate the amount of his/its holding. Any natural or legal person must also inform the CAA if it is contemplated to directly or indirectly increase his/its "qualified participation" in such a way that the proportion of voting rights or shares held by him/it would reach or exceed the thresholds of 20%, 33 1/3% or 50%, or if the Luxembourg insurance or reinsurance undertaking would become a subsidiary.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Financial Requirements and Regulatory Guidelines

Solvency margin: The Grand-Ducal regulation dated December 14, 1994, as amended as of November 14, 2012 ("Regulation 1"), provides that insurance companies must at all times have a solvency margin that is adequate for their entire business. Regulation 1 requires the solvency margin correspond to the business assets of the insurance company, free of any financial commitments after deduction of the intangible assets. The Grand-Ducal regulation dated December 5, 2007, as amended as of July 4, 2014 (applicable as of January 1, 2015) ("Regulation 2"), provides that reinsurance companies must at all times have a solvency margin that is adequate for their entire business. Regulation 2 requires the solvency margin to correspond to the business assets of the reinsurance company, free of any financial commitments after deduction of the intangible assets.

Guarantee fund: Insurance companies and reinsurance companies must establish minimum guarantee funds that constitute one-third of their respective solvency margin. According to the Grand-Ducal regulation dated July 4, 2014 (applicable January 1, 2015), the size of the guarantee fund cannot be less than €3.6 million for professional reinsurance companies and €1.225 million for captive reinsurance companies.

Equalization Reserves: The Insurance Act - Luxembourg provides that reinsurance undertakings must establish a compulsory volatility or catastrophe reserve in excess of required reserves determined by a formula based on the volatility of the business ceded to the reinsurance company.

As of December 31, 2015, our Luxembourg insurance and reinsurance companies were in compliance with these financial requirements and regulatory guidelines.

Restrictions on Dividends

A Luxembourg insurance company's articles of association and the Companies Act govern annual dividend distributions. The Companies Act also provides specific conditions for the payment of interim dividends. Except for cases of reductions of subscribed capital, a Luxembourg insurance company cannot make a distribution to shareholders when, on the closing date of the financial year, the net assets as set out in the annual accounts are, or following such a distribution would become, lower than the amount of the subscribed capital plus the reserves which may not be distributed under law or by virtue of the articles of association. In addition, the amount of a distribution to shareholders may not exceed the amount of the profits at the end of the last financial year plus any profits carried forward and any amounts drawn from reserves that are available for that purpose, less any losses carried forward and sums to be placed to reserve in accordance with the law or the articles of association.

United Kingdom

AmTrust Europe Ltd. ("AEL") and Motors Insurance Company Limited ("MICL") are non-life insurance companies organized under the laws of the United Kingdom (including the Companies Act 2006 and the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA)). As insurance companies, AEL and MICL are "dual regulated" by both the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA), a subsidiary of the Bank of England, and the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). The stated objective of the United Kingdom government for this dual regulation is to foster a regulatory culture of judgment, expertise and proactive supervision. The FCA takes a more proactive, interventionist approach and has been given a product intervention power that enables it to act quickly to ban or impose restrictions on financial products. The FCA can also make public (through a warning notice), at a much earlier stage in enforcement proceedings, a statement that enables consumers, firms and market users to understand the nature of its concerns that will usually name the company under investigation and, in certain circumstances, name an individual.

AEL and MICL are both authorized to underwrite various classes of non-life insurance business within the United Kingdom and, for certain of these classes, they are authorized to underwrite risks within some member states of the European Economic Area under the European Council Non-Life Insurance Directives. This is either on a “freedom of services” or on a “freedom of establishment” basis and is subject to complying with such “general good” conditions as may be laid down by the local regulatory authorities.

Change in Control

The FSMA requires controllers of insurers to be approved by the PRA and the FCA. This includes individuals or corporate bodies who wish to take, or increase, control in an authorized insurer. A change in control also occurs when an existing controller decreases control.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A controller is a person or entity who (i) owns or controls 10% or more of the issued share capital or voting power of the authorized insurer, (ii) owns or controls 10% or more of the issued share capital or voting power of a controller of the authorized insurer, or (iii) who otherwise can exercise significant management control of the authorized insurer or one of its controllers. In the case of AEL, this includes AmTrust Financial Services, Inc., AII, AII Insurance Management Limited, AII Reinsurance Broker Ltd., AmTrust Equity Solutions, Ltd., AIL, AmTrust North America, Inc. and Barry Zyskind, Michael Karfunkel, Leah Karfunkel and George Karfunkel. In the case of MICL, it includes the aforementioned and Car Care Plan (Holdings) Limited.

Financial Requirements and Regulatory Guidelines

AEL and MICL are required to maintain regulatory capital resources equal to or in excess of the individual capital guidance (“ICG” or “Required Minimum Capital”) that the PRA issues in respect of each company. The ICG is the amount of capital resources that the PRA considers a company should maintain, taking into account the company’s business profile, structure and risk management systems. As of December 31, 2015, AEL and MICL each maintained capital resources in excess of their respective required ICG.

Restrictions on Dividends

AEL and MICL may only make distributions out of profits available for distribution. Profits available for distribution are the accumulated, realized profits of an insurer so far as not previously distributed or capitalized, less the insurer's accumulated, realized losses so far as not previously written off in a reduction or reorganization of capital. The test of whether the distribution is legal is applied by reference to relevant accounts complying with specified requirements.

Lloyd's

We participate in the Lloyd’s market through our ownership of AmTrust at Lloyd’s Limited (“ATL”), a managing agent for syndicates 1206 and 44, both of which are “fully aligned” AmTrust syndicates (i.e., AmTrust provides 100% of the syndicate capital). On April 1, 2015, ATL also became the managing agent for syndicate 2526, for which AmTrust provides 99.5% of the syndicate capital. ATL is dual-regulated by the FCA and PRA. The Society of Lloyd’s, the FCA and the PRA have statutory responsibilities, including under the Lloyd’s Acts 1871 - 1982 and FSMA, in relation to the supervision of insurance business underwritten in the Lloyd’s markets and the supervision of managing agents operating in the market at Lloyd’s.

The FCA, the PRA and Lloyd's have complementary objectives in ensuring that the Lloyd's market is appropriately regulated. To minimize duplication, there are arrangements between them for co-operation on supervision and enforcement.

Our Lloyd’s operations are also governed by The Council of Lloyd’s, which, through the Lloyd’s Franchise Board, is responsible for regulating and directing the business of insurance at Lloyd’s in line with its statutory powers, subject to its bylaws and in furtherance of the objects of Lloyd’s. Lloyd’s prescribes, in respect of its managing agents and corporate members, certain minimum standards relating to their management and control, solvency and various other requirements. By entering into a membership agreement with Lloyd’s, ATL undertook to comply with Lloyd’s bylaws and regulations as well as the provisions of the Lloyd’s Acts and the Financial Services and Markets Act that are applicable to them. The operation of syndicates 1206, 44 and 2526, as well as the managing agent and its directors, is subject to the Lloyd’s supervisory regime.

Members of Lloyd’s must support their underwriting capacity by providing a deposit (referred to as “Funds at Lloyd’s” or “FAL”) in the form of cash, securities or letters of credit in an amount determined by Lloyd’s equal to a specified percentage of the member’s underwriting capacity. Each member calculates the amount of such deposit through the completion of an annual capital adequacy exercise and submits the results of this exercise to Lloyd’s for approval. Lloyd’s then advises the member of the amount of deposit that is required. When a managing agent of a syndicate proposes to increase underwriting capacity for the following underwriting year, the consent of the Council of Lloyd’s

may be required.

The Council of Lloyd's has wide discretionary powers to regulate members' underwriting at Lloyd's. It may, for instance, change the basis on which syndicate expenses are allocated or vary the FAL ratio or the investment criteria applicable to the provision of FAL. Exercising any of these powers might affect the return on an investment of the corporate member in a given underwriting year. Further, the annual business plans of a syndicate are subject to the review and approval of the Lloyd's Franchise Board, which is responsible for setting risk management and profitability targets for the Lloyd's market and operates a business planning and monitoring process for all syndicates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

If a member of Lloyd's is unable to pay its debts to policyholders, such debts may be payable by the Lloyd's Central Fund, which acts similarly to state guaranty funds in the United States. If Lloyd's determines that the Central Fund needs to be increased, it has the power to assess premium levies on current Lloyd's members. The Council of Lloyd's has discretion to call or assess up to 3% of a member's underwriting capacity in any one year as a Central Fund contribution.

Solvency II

The European Union's executive body, the European Commission, implemented a new capital adequacy and risk management regulation called "Solvency II" that applies to our businesses across the European Union (including the United Kingdom) and impacts AEL, MICL, our Lloyd's syndicates, AIU and our Luxembourg entities. Solvency II became effective on January 1, 2016 and imposes new requirements with respect to capital structure, technical provisions, solvency calculations, governance, disclosure and risk management, and we have undertaken considerable preparatory work to ensure that the impacted businesses were compliant upon implementation. In addition, under Solvency II, it is possible that the U.S. parent of a European Union subsidiary could be subject to certain Solvency II requirements if the regulator determines that the subsidiary's capital position is dependent on the parent company and the U.S. parent is not already subject to regulations deemed "equivalent" to Solvency II. Such regulation could apply to us as the U.S. parent of a European Union subsidiary and could result in a need for additional capital, increased costs of compliance, increased disclosure and less flexibility in our capital management.

Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 59 Maiden Lane, 43rd Floor, New York, New York 10038, and our telephone number at that location is (212) 220-7120. Our website is www.amtrustgroup.com. Our internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately 6,200 employees worldwide.

None of our U.S. employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We do have non-U.S. employees covered by labor agreements. Certain members of our management team have employment agreements. The remainder of our employees are at-will employees.

Available Information

We file our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements on Schedule 14A and all amendments to those reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). You may read or obtain copies of these documents by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by accessing the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Our internet website address is www.amtrustgroup.com. You can also obtain on our website's Investor Relations page, free of charge, a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports or amendments with, or furnish them to, the SEC.

Also available at the "Corporate Governance" section of the Investor Relations page of our website, free of charge, are copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and the charters for our Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Copies of our Code of Business

Conduct and Ethics, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and Charters are also available in print free of charge, upon request by any stockholder. You can obtain such copies in print by contacting Investor Relations by mail at our corporate office. We intend to disclose on our website any amendment to, or waiver of, any provision of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to our directors and executive officers that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under the rules of the SEC or NASDAQ.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this report, including our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto. Included below are the primary risks and uncertainties that, if realized, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, or our access to liquidity. The following discussion of risk factors includes forward-looking statements and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements. See “Note on Forward-Looking Statements.”

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business is sensitive to general economic, business, and industry conditions.

We are exposed to general economic, business and industry conditions, both in the United States and internationally. Adverse global economic and financial conditions are difficult to predict and mitigate against, and therefore the potential impact is difficult to estimate. Adverse general economic conditions may cause, among other things, significant reductions in available capital and liquidity from banks and other credit providers, substantial volatility in equity and currency values worldwide, and/or a prolonged recessionary or slow growth period. Several of the risks we face, including those related to our investment portfolio, reinsurance arrangements, our estimates of loss reserves, emerging claim and coverage issues, the competitive environment and regulatory developments result from, or are made worse by, an economic slowdown or financial disruption.

Our loss reserves are based on estimates and may be inadequate to cover our actual losses.

The principal cost associated with our property and casualty insurance business is claims. In writing property and casualty insurance policies, we receive premiums today and promise to pay covered losses in the future. However, significant time may pass before all claims that have occurred as of any given balance sheet date will be reported and concluded. We will not know whether reserves established or the premiums charged for the coverages provided were sufficient until well after the balance sheet date. Our loss reserves are based on estimates of the ultimate cost of individual claims and on actuarial estimation techniques. These estimates are based on historical information and on estimates of future trends that may affect the frequency of claims and changes in the average cost of claims that may arise in the future. They are inherently uncertain and do not represent an exact measure of actual liability. Judgment is required to determine the relevance of historical payment and claim settlement patterns under current facts and circumstances. The interpretation of this historical data can be impacted by external forces, principally legislative changes, economic fluctuations and legal trends.

Our estimated unpaid losses are material (\$7.2 billion at December 31, 2015), so even small percentage increases to the aggregate liability estimate can result in materially lower future periodic reported earnings. An increase in reserves could result in a reduction in our surplus, which could result in a downgrade in our A.M. Best rating. Such a downgrade could, in turn, adversely affect our ability to sell insurance policies.

In particular, workers’ compensation claims are often paid over a long period of time and there are no policy limits on our liability for workers’ compensation claims as there are for other forms of insurance. Therefore, estimating reserves for workers’ compensation claims may be more uncertain than estimating reserves for other types of insurance claims with shorter or more definite periods between occurrence of the claim and final determination of the loss and with policy limits on liability for claim amounts.

Catastrophic losses, including those that may result from the possible negative effects of climate change, or the frequency of smaller insured losses may exceed our expectations as well as the limits of our reinsurance, which could

adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Property and casualty insurers are subject to claims arising from catastrophes. Catastrophes can cause losses in multiple property and casualty lines, including property and workers' compensation. Workers' compensation constitutes approximately 41% of our business and we write commercial property insurance in our Specialty Program segment and our Small Commercial Business segment. In addition, we issue policies that cover crop-related revenue shortfalls or production losses due to natural causes and other perils such as drought, excessive moisture, hail, wind, frost, insects, and disease. The incidence and severity of catastrophes, such as those due to natural disasters and also large-scale terrorist attacks, are inherently unpredictable, and our losses from catastrophes could be substantial.

Longer-term weather trends are changing and new types of catastrophic losses may be developing due to climate change, a phenomenon that is expected to result in an increased incidence of extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, including

TABLE OF CONTENTS

effects on global weather patterns, sea, land and air temperature, sea levels, rain and snow. Climate change could increase the frequency and severity of catastrophic losses we experience in both coastal and non-coastal areas.

In addition, it is possible that we may experience an unusual frequency of smaller losses in a particular period, which could cause substantial volatility in our financial condition or results of operations for any fiscal quarter or year, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations and our ability to write new business. Although we attempt to manage our exposure to these types of catastrophic and cumulative losses, including through the use of reinsurance, the severity or frequency of these types of losses may exceed our expectations as well as the limits of our reinsurance coverage.

If we do not accurately price our policies, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

In general, the premiums for our insurance policies are established at the time the policy is issued and, therefore, before all of our underlying costs are known. Like other insurance companies, we rely on estimates and assumptions in setting our premium rates. Establishing adequate premiums is necessary, together with investment income, to generate sufficient revenue to offset losses, loss adjustment expenses and other underwriting expenses and to earn a profit. If we do not accurately assess the risks that we assume, we may not charge adequate premiums to cover our losses and expenses, which could reduce our net income and cause us to become unprofitable. In order to accurately price our policies, we must collect and properly analyze a substantial volume of data from our insureds; develop, test and apply appropriate rating formulas; closely monitor and timely recognize changes in trends; and project both frequency and severity of our insureds' losses with reasonable accuracy.

Our ability to undertake these efforts successfully and, as a result, accurately price our policies, is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, some of which are outside our control, including:

- availability of sufficient reliable data and our ability to properly analyze available data;
- uncertainties generally inherent in estimates and assumptions;
- our failure to implement appropriate rating formulas or other pricing methodologies;
- regulatory constraints on rate increases;
- increases or changes in taxes;
- unexpected escalation in the costs of ongoing medical treatment;
- our failure to accurately estimate investment yields and the duration of our liability for loss and loss adjustment expenses; and
- unanticipated court decisions, legislation or regulatory action.

Our premium rates, generally, are established for the term of the policy. Consequently, we could set our premiums too low, which would negatively affect our results of operations and our profitability, or we could set our premiums too high, which could reduce our competitiveness and lead to lower revenues.

A downgrade in the A.M. Best rating of our principal insurance subsidiaries would likely reduce the amount of business we are able to write and could adversely impact the competitive positions of our insurance subsidiaries.

A.M. Best evaluates insurance companies based on their ability to pay claims. Our principal insurance subsidiaries are rated "A" (Excellent) by A.M. Best. The ratings of A.M. Best are subject to periodic review using, among other things, proprietary capital adequacy models, and are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time. Our competitive position relative to other companies is determined in part by our A.M. Best rating. If our A.M. Best rating is reduced, our competitive position in the insurance industry could suffer and it would be more difficult for us to market our products. A significant downgrade could result in a substantial loss of business as policyholders move to other companies with higher ratings.

If market conditions cause our reinsurance to be more costly or unavailable, we may be required to bear increased risks or reduce the level of our underwriting commitments.

As part of our overall risk and capacity management strategy, we purchase quota share reinsurance and excess of loss and catastrophe reinsurance. The Maiden Quota Share and our reinsurance agreement with Maiden Reinsurance for our European medical liability business reinsure approximately 40% of our net retained premiums. In addition, we purchase reinsurance on an excess of loss and catastrophe basis for protection against catastrophic events and other large losses. Market conditions beyond our control, in terms of price and available capacity, may affect the level of our business and profitability. The Maiden Quota Share

TABLE OF CONTENTS

was renewed through June 30, 2019 and our excess of loss and catastrophe reinsurance facilities are generally subject to annual renewal.

We may be unable to maintain our current reinsurance facilities, including the Maiden Quota Share and our reinsurance agreement with Maiden Reinsurance for our European medical liability business, or to obtain other reinsurance in adequate amounts and at favorable rates. Increases in the cost of reinsurance would adversely affect our profitability. In addition, if we are unable to renew our expiring facilities or to obtain new reinsurance on favorable terms, either our net exposure to risk would increase, which would increase our costs, or, if we are unwilling to bear an increase in net risk exposures, we would have to reduce the amount of risk we underwrite, which would reduce our revenues.

Retentions in various lines of business expose us to potential losses.

We retain risk for our own account on business underwritten by our insurance subsidiaries. The determination to reduce the amount of reinsurance we purchase or not to purchase reinsurance for a particular risk or line of business is based on a variety of factors, including market conditions, pricing, availability of reinsurance, the level of our capital and our loss history. Such determinations have the effect of increasing our financial exposure to losses associated with such risks or in such lines of business and, in the event of significant losses associated with such risks or lines of business, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We may not be able to recover amounts due from our third-party reinsurers, which would adversely affect our financial condition.

Reinsurance does not discharge our obligations under the insurance policies we write; it merely provides us with a contractual right to seek reimbursement on certain claims. We remain liable to our policyholders even if we are unable to make recoveries that we are entitled to receive under our reinsurance contracts. As a result, we are subject to credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. Losses are recovered from our reinsurers after underlying policy claims are paid. The creditworthiness of our reinsurers may change before we recover amounts to which we are entitled. Therefore, if a reinsurer were unable to meet its obligations to us, we would be responsible for claims and claim settlement expenses for which we would have otherwise received payment from the reinsurer. If we were unable to collect these amounts from our reinsurers, our costs would increase and our financial condition would be adversely affected. As of December 31, 2015, we had an aggregate amount of approximately \$3.0 billion of recoverables from third-party reinsurers on paid and unpaid losses.

Our relationships with Maiden Holdings, Ltd., NGHC and ACP Re, Ltd. and their subsidiaries may present, and make us vulnerable to, difficult conflicts of interest, business opportunity issues and legal challenges.

Conflicts of interest could arise with respect to business opportunities that could be advantageous to Maiden, NGHC, ACP Re or their subsidiaries, on the one hand, and us or our subsidiaries, on the other hand. In addition, potential conflicts of interest may arise should our interests and those of Maiden, NGHC or ACP Re diverge. For a complete description of our relationships with Maiden, NGHC and ACP Re, see the discussion found in Note 14. "Related Party Transactions."

In addition, three members of our Board of Directors, Donald T. DeCarlo, who is an independent member of our Board of Directors, and Mr. Zyskind and Mr. Michael Karfunkel, are also members of NGHC's board of directors. Mr. Zyskind's service as our President and Chief Executive Officer, as non-executive chairman of the board of Maiden, and as a member of NGHC's board, and Mr. DeCarlo's and Mr. Michael Karfunkel's services as a member of our Board and NGHC's board could raise a potential challenge under anti-trust laws. Section 8 of the Clayton Antitrust Act prohibits a person from serving as a director or officer in any two competing corporations under certain circumstances.

If we and Maiden or NGHC were in the future deemed to be competitors within the meaning of the Clayton Antitrust Act and certain thresholds relating to direct competition between us and Maiden or NGHC are met, the Department of Justice and Federal Trade Commission could challenge the arrangement.

We receive significant ceding commission from Maiden.

We receive significant ceding commission from Maiden through the Maiden Quota Share and our reinsurance agreement with Maiden Reinsurance for our European medical liability business. A detailed description of these reinsurance arrangements is found in “Reinsurance” in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

We may be unable to maintain these reinsurance arrangements beyond their current terms, and we may not be able to readily replace these arrangements if they terminate. If we were unable to continue or replace these arrangements on equally favorable terms, our underwriting capacity and commission and fee income could decline, we could experience a downgrade in our A.M. Best rating, and our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

We receive significant service and fee income from NGHC, Maiden and ACP Re.

We receive significant service and fee income from NGHC, Maiden and ACP Re through a series of agreements described in Note 14. "Related Party Transactions." We may be unable to maintain these arrangements. If we no longer provide these services to Maiden, NGHC and ACP Re and do not replace them with services provided to other parties on equally favorable terms and at similar levels, our service and fee income could decline, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We may not be able to successfully acquire or integrate additional business or manage the growth of our operations, which could make it difficult for us to compete and could negatively affect our profitability.

From time to time we may pursue acquisition opportunities if we believe that such opportunities are consistent with our long-term objectives. The process of integrating an acquired business or company can be complex and costly, may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures and will require substantial management attention. We may not be able to successfully identify and acquire additional existing business on acceptable terms or integrate any business that we acquire.

In addition, our growth strategy of expanding in our existing markets, opportunistically acquiring books of business, other insurance companies or producers, entering new geographic markets and further developing our relationships with independent agencies and extended warranty/service contract administrators subjects us to various risks, including risks associated with our ability to identify profitable new geographic markets for entry, attract and retain qualified personnel for expanded operations, identify, recruit and integrate new independent agencies and extended warranty/service contract administrators, integrate information technology systems, manage risks associated with the acquisition of entities in foreign markets with which we are less familiar, expand existing agency relationships and augment our internal monitoring and control systems as we expand our business.

We may not be able to effectively manage our growth and any new business may not be profitable. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We rely on our information technology and telecommunications systems to conduct our business, and our success and profitability rely, in part, on our ability to continue to develop and implement technology improvements.

We depend in large part on our technology systems for conducting business and processing claims, and thus our business success is dependent on maintaining the effectiveness of existing technology systems and on continuing to develop and enhance technology systems that support our business processes and strategic initiatives in a cost and resource efficient manner. Some system development projects are long-term in nature, may negatively impact our expense ratios as we invest in the projects and may cost more than we expect to complete. In addition, system development projects may not deliver the benefits we expect once they are complete, or may be replaced or become obsolete more quickly than expected, which could result in accelerated recognition of expenses. If we do not effectively and efficiently manage and upgrade our technology platform, or if the costs of doing so are higher than we expect, our ability to provide competitive services to new and existing customers in a cost effective manner and our ability to implement our strategic initiatives could be adversely impacted.

If we experience security breaches or other disruptions involving our technology, our ability to conduct our business could be adversely affected, we could be liable to third parties and our reputation could suffer.

Our business is highly dependent on our ability to access our information technology and telecommunication systems. We rely upon our systems, as well as the systems of our vendors, to perform business functions, such as underwriting

and administering policies, processing claim payments, providing customer support, and complying with insurance regulatory requirements. Our operations are dependent upon our ability to process our business timely and efficiently and protect our information systems from physical loss or unauthorized access. In the event one or more of our facilities cannot be accessed due to a natural catastrophe, terrorist attack or power outage, or systems and telecommunications failures or outages, external attacks such as computer viruses, malware or cyber-attacks, or other disruptions occur, our ability to perform business operations on a timely basis could be significantly impaired and may cause our systems to be inaccessible for an extended period of time. A sustained business interruption or system failure could adversely impact our ability to perform necessary business operations in a timely manner, hurt our relationships with our business partners and customers and affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our operations depend on the reliable and secure processing, storage and transmission of confidential and other information in our computer systems and networks. Like other global companies, we have, from time to time, experienced threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, systems failures and disruptions. While we have

TABLE OF CONTENTS

experienced, and expect to continue to experience, these types of threats to our information technology and systems, to date none of these threats has had a material impact on our business or operations. Computer viruses, hackers, employee misconduct and other external hazards could expose our data systems to security breaches, cyber-attacks or other disruptions. In addition, we routinely transmit and receive personal, confidential and proprietary information by electronic means. Our systems and networks may be subject to breaches or interference. Any such event may result in operational disruptions as well as unauthorized access to or the disclosure or loss of our proprietary information or our customers' information, which in turn may result in legal claims, regulatory scrutiny and liability, damage to our reputation, the incurrence of costs to eliminate or mitigate further exposure, the loss of customers or affiliated advisers or other damage to our business. In addition, the trend toward broad consumer and general public notification of such incidents and negative media attention could exacerbate the harm to our business, financial condition or results of operations. Even if we successfully protect our technology infrastructure and the confidentiality of sensitive data, we could suffer harm to our business and reputation if attempted security breaches are publicized. Advances in criminal capabilities, discovery of new vulnerabilities, attempts to exploit vulnerabilities in our systems, data thefts, physical system or network break-ins or inappropriate access, or other developments could compromise or breach the technology or other security measures protecting the networks and systems used in connection with our business.

Operational risks, including the risk of fraud, are inherent in our business, and we may not be successful in preventing internal control failures or detecting all errors or fraud.

As a result of limitations inherent in all control systems, we may not be able to adequately prevent fraud or errors from occurring. Our controls and procedures for prevention and detection of fraud may not prevent errors or instances of human fraud. Judgments in decision making can be faulty and breakdowns may occur through simple human error. In addition, controls can be circumvented by individuals or multiple persons acting in collusion. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and any design may not succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in maintaining a cost-effective control system, operational errors or fraud may occur and may not be detected. Any ineffectiveness in our internal controls resulting from fraud or error could have a material adverse effect on our business.

If our businesses do not perform well, we may be required to recognize an impairment of our goodwill or intangible assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We review our finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable from estimated future cash flows. We test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment at least annually. If we determined that such goodwill or intangible assets has or have been impaired, we would be required to write down the goodwill or the intangible asset by the amount of the impairment, with a corresponding charge to net income. Such write downs could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Our significant level of indebtedness could limit cash flow available for our operations and expose us to risks that could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations, and impair our ability to satisfy our indebtedness obligations.

We have a significant amount of indebtedness. As of December 31, 2015, our total consolidated indebtedness was approximately \$1.0 billion, which does not include approximately \$168.0 million aggregate principal amount of a loan made by Maiden Reinsurance to us in connection with a reinsurance agreement between AII and Maiden Reinsurance that requires Maiden Reinsurance to provide sufficient collateral to secure its proportionate share of AII's obligations. This amount is accounted for as a note payable on our balance sheet. We may incur additional indebtedness to meet future financing needs. Our indebtedness could have significant negative consequences for our

business, results of operations and financial condition, including increasing our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, limiting our ability to obtain additional financing, requiring the dedication of portions of our cash flow from operations to service our indebtedness, thereby reducing the amount of our cash flow available for other purposes, limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business, restricting our operational flexibility due to restrictive covenants that will limit our ability to explore certain business opportunities, dispose of assets and take other actions and placing us at a possible competitive disadvantage with less leveraged competitors and competitors that may have better access to capital resources.

As of December 31, 2015, our annual debt service obligation on our outstanding indebtedness was approximately \$54 million. We may be unable to maintain sufficient cash reserves, our business may not generate cash flow from operations at levels sufficient to permit us to pay principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness, or our cash needs may increase. If we were unable to generate sufficient cash flow or otherwise obtain funds necessary to make required payments, or if we failed to comply with the various requirements of any indebtedness that we have incurred or may incur in the future, we would be in default, which would permit the holders of the affected indebtedness to accelerate the maturity of such indebtedness and could cause us to default

TABLE OF CONTENTS

under our other indebtedness. Any default under any indebtedness that we have incurred or may incur in the future could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Additional capital that we may require in the future may not be available to us, or only available to us on unfavorable terms.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including regulatory requirements, the financial stability of our reinsurers, future acquisitions and our ability to write new business and establish premium rates sufficient to cover our estimated claims. We may need to raise additional capital or curtail our growth to support future operating requirements or cover claims. If we have to raise additional capital, equity or debt financing may not be available to us or may be available only on terms that are not favorable, such as terms resulting in dilution to our stockholders, or the securities sold may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our currently issued and outstanding common stock. In addition, under certain circumstances, we may sell our common stock, or securities convertible or exchangeable into shares of our common stock, at a price per share less than the market value of our common stock. If we cannot obtain adequate additional capital on favorable terms or at all, we may be unable to support future growth or operating requirements and, as a result, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The covenants in our credit facilities and indentures governing our convertible senior notes due 2021 and 2044 and 6.125% notes due 2023 limit our financial and operational flexibility, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Our credit facilities and indentures governing our convertible senior notes and 6.125% notes due 2023 contain covenants that limit our ability, among other things, to borrow money, make particular types of investments or other restricted payments, sell assets, merge or consolidate. These covenants could restrict our ability to achieve our business objectives, and therefore, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition. In addition, our credit facilities and the indenture governing our 6.125% notes due 2023 also require us to maintain specific financial ratios. If we fail to comply with these covenants or meet these financial ratios, the lenders under our credit facilities could cancel their commitments to lend and/or issue letters of credit and the lenders under our credit facilities and our noteholders could declare a default and demand immediate repayment of all amounts owed to them.

If we were unable to realize our investment objectives, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Investment income is an important component of our net income. Our investments are subject to a variety of risks, including risks related to general economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations, market volatility, various regulatory issues, credit risk, potential litigation, tax audits, tax law changes and disputes, failure to monetize in an effective and/or cost-efficient manner and poor operating results. General economic conditions may be adversely affected by U.S. involvement in hostilities with other countries and large-scale acts of terrorism, or the threat of hostilities or terrorist acts.

We may be forced to liquidate investments at times and prices that are not optimal, which could have an adverse impact on our results of operations. Investment losses could decrease our asset base and adversely affect our ability to conduct business and pay claims. Any significant decline in our investment income would adversely affect our revenues and net income and, as a result, decrease our surplus and stockholders' equity.

A significant amount of our assets is invested in fixed income securities and is subject to market fluctuations.

Our investment portfolio consists substantially of fixed income securities. As of December 31, 2015, our investment in fixed income securities was approximately \$5.43 billion, or 78% of our total investment portfolio.

The fair market value of these assets and the investment income from these assets fluctuate depending on general economic and market conditions. The fair market value of fixed income securities generally decreases as interest rates rise. Conversely, if interest rates decline, the fair market value of fixed income securities generally increases. However, investment income earned from future investments in fixed income securities will decrease due to being reinvested at lower interest rates. In addition, some fixed income securities, such as mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities, carry prepayment risk as a result of interest rate fluctuations. Based upon the composition and duration of our investment portfolio at December 31, 2015, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would result in a decrease in the fair value of our investments of approximately \$281.3 million.

The value of investments in fixed income securities, and particularly our investments in high-yield securities, is subject to impairment as a result of deterioration in the credit worthiness of the issuer or increases in market interest rates. Our investments are subject to losses as a result of a general decrease in commercial and economic activity for an industry sector in which we

TABLE OF CONTENTS

invest, as well as risks inherent in particular securities. These conditions could result in lower than expected yields on our fixed securities and short term investment portfolio. In addition, our investment in less liquid investments, such as our investment in NGHC, life settlement contracts and real estate, is subject to increased valuation uncertainty because the valuation is more subjective, thereby increasing the risk that the estimated fair value (i.e., the carrying cost) does not reflect the price at which an actual transaction would occur.

As a result of the risks set forth above, the value of our investment portfolio could decrease, our net investment income could decrease, or we could experience realized and/or unrealized investment losses, all of which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Our international operations expose us to investment, political, legal and economic risks, including foreign currency and credit risk.

Our expanding international operations expose us to increased investment, political, legal and economic risks, including foreign currency and credit risk. Changes in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Investments outside the United States also subject us to additional laws and regulations, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the U.K. Bribery Act and similar laws in other countries that prohibit the making of improper payments to foreign officials. If our controls are ineffective and an employee or intermediary fails to comply with applicable laws and regulations, we could suffer civil and criminal penalties and our business and our reputation could be adversely affected.

Our investments in non-U.S. dollar denominated securities are subject to fluctuations in the currency markets, and those markets can be volatile. We may, from time to time, experience losses resulting from fluctuations in the values of these non-U.S. dollar denominated currencies or be unable to repatriate cash to the United States, or otherwise make available cash in the United States, and to do so at a favorable foreign exchange rate and with favorable tax ramifications, all of which could adversely affect our operating results.

We may be subject to taxes on our Luxembourg affiliates' equalization reserves.

We have made several acquisitions of Luxembourg-domiciled reinsurance companies. In connection with these transactions, we acquire cash and the associated equalization reserves of the reinsurance companies. An "equalization reserve" is a catastrophe reserve in excess of required reserves determined by a formula based on the volatility of the business ceded to the reinsurance company and is established pursuant to Luxembourg law. Luxembourg reinsurance companies are required to establish equalization reserves for Luxembourg statutory and tax purposes, but the equalization reserves are not recognized under U.S. GAAP.

Provided that we are able to cede business that generates a net loss to the reinsurance companies through intercompany reinsurance arrangements sufficient to offset the reinsurers' required reductions of the equalization reserves, Luxembourg would not, under laws currently in effect, impose any income, corporation or profits tax on the reinsurance companies. However, if the reinsurance companies were to cease reinsuring business without exhausting the equalization reserves, they would be taxed by Luxembourg at a rate of approximately 30%. As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$37 million of unutilized equalization reserves and an associated deferred tax liability of approximately \$11 million. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we were able to reduce our overall income tax expense by a net amount of approximately \$2 million to reflect the net reduction of the deferred tax liability related to the utilization of the equalization reserves.

Resolution of uncertain tax matters and changes in tax laws or taxing authority interpretations of tax laws could result in actual tax benefits or deductions that are different than we have estimated, both with regard to amounts recognized and the timing of recognition. Such differences could affect our results of operations or cash flows.

Our provision for income taxes, our recorded tax liabilities and net deferred tax assets, including any valuation allowances, are recorded based on estimates. These estimates require us to make significant judgments regarding a number of factors, including, among others, the applicability of various federal and state laws, our interpretation of tax laws and the interpretations given to those tax laws by taxing authorities and courts, the timing of future income and deductions, and our expected levels and sources of future taxable income. Additionally, from time to time there are changes to tax laws and interpretations of tax laws that could change our estimates of the amount of tax benefits or deductions expected to be available to us in future periods. In either case, changes to our prior estimates would be reflected in the period changed and could have a material effect on our effective tax rate, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, the U.S. Congress, government agencies in non-U.S. jurisdictions where we do business, and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, as part of its “base erosion and profit shifting” initiative, have focused on perceived tax avoidance by multinational corporations. As a result, the tax laws

TABLE OF CONTENTS

in the U.S., United Kingdom, Bermuda, Ireland, Italy, and Luxembourg and other countries in which we do business could change on a prospective or retroactive basis, and any such changes could adversely affect our financial results.

We are subject to U.S. federal and various state and foreign jurisdiction taxes. We are periodically under routine examination by various federal, state, local and foreign authorities regarding tax matters and our tax positions could be successfully challenged and the costs of defending our tax positions could be considerable, both of which could negatively affect our results of operations.

Our business is dependent on the efforts of our principal executive officers.

Our success is dependent on the efforts of our principal executive officers, Barry D. Zyskind, Ronald E. Pipoly, Jr., Michael Saxon, Christopher Longo and Max Caviat, because of their industry expertise, knowledge of our markets and relationships with our independent agencies and warranty administrators. Although we have entered into employment agreements with all of our principal executive officers, should any of these executive officers cease working for us, we may be unable to find acceptable replacements with comparable skills and experience in the workers' compensation insurance industry and/or the specialty risk sectors that we target, and our business may be adversely affected. We do not currently maintain life insurance policies with respect to our executive officers or other employees.

We are an insurance holding company and do not have any direct operations.

Our operations are substantially conducted through direct and indirect subsidiaries. As a holding company, we do not own any significant assets other than equity in our subsidiaries. Payments from our insurance subsidiaries pursuant to management agreements and tax sharing agreements, as well as fee income we generate from providing services discussed throughout this report, are our primary source of funds to pay our direct expenses. The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends or make distributions or other payments to us depends on the availability of cash flow from operations and proceeds from the sale of assets and other capital-raising activities. Dividends or other distributions from our subsidiaries to us may be subject to contractual and other restrictions and are subject to other business considerations.

Payment of dividends by our insurance subsidiaries is restricted by insurance laws of various states, and the laws of certain foreign countries in which we do business (primarily Ireland, United Kingdom and Bermuda), including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. As a result, at times, we may not be able to receive dividends from our insurance subsidiaries, which would affect our ability to pay dividends on our common stock and preferred stock, as discussed below, and to pay principal and interest on our outstanding indebtedness. As of December 31, 2015, our insurance subsidiaries collectively could pay dividends to us of \$621.6 million without prior regulatory approval. Any dividends paid by our subsidiaries would reduce their surplus. The inability of our operating subsidiaries to pay dividends and other permitted payments in an amount sufficient to enable us to meet our cash requirements at the holding company level would have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Risks Related to Our Industry

The property and casualty insurance industry is cyclical in nature, which may affect our overall financial performance.

Historically, the financial performance of the property and casualty insurance industry has tended to fluctuate in cyclical periods of price competition and excess capacity (known as a soft market) followed by periods of high premium rates and shortages of underwriting capacity (known as a hard market). Although an individual insurance company's financial performance is also dependent on its own specific business characteristics, the profitability of most property and casualty insurance companies tends to follow this cyclical market pattern. We cannot predict with

certainly the timing or duration of changes in the market cycle because the cyclical nature is due in large part to the actions of our competitors and general economic factors beyond our control. We have experienced increased price competition in certain of our target markets, and these cyclical patterns, the actions of our competitors, and general economic factors could cause our revenues and net income to fluctuate, which may cause the price of our common stock to be volatile.

Negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Although we engage in other businesses, approximately 41% of our gross written premium currently is attributable to workers' compensation insurance. As a result, negative developments in the economic, competitive or regulatory conditions affecting the workers' compensation insurance industry could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. For example, in certain states in which we do business, insurance regulators set the premium rates we may charge. In addition, if legislators in one of our larger markets were to enact legislation to increase the scope or amount of benefits for employees under workers' compensation insurance policies without related premium increases or loss control measures, this could negatively affect

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the workers' compensation insurance industry. Negative developments in the workers' compensation insurance industry could have a greater effect on us than on more diversified insurance companies that also sell many other types of insurance.

We operate in a highly competitive industry and may lack the financial resources to compete effectively.

We compete with other insurance companies, both domestic and foreign, and many of our existing and potential competitors are larger, have longer operating histories, and possess greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. In our Small Commercial Business segment, we also compete with individual self-insured companies, state insurance pools and self-insurance funds. We compete on the basis of many factors, including coverage availability, responsiveness to the needs of our independent producers, claims management, payment/settlement terms, premium rates, policy terms, types of insurance offered, overall financial strength, financial ratings and reputation. If any of our competitors offer premium rates, policy terms or types of insurance that are more competitive than ours, we could lose market share. We may be unable to maintain our current competitive position in the markets in which we currently operate or establish a competitive position in new markets into which we may expand.

The effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business are uncertain.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until after we have issued insurance policies that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of our liability under an insurance policy may not be known until many years after the policy is issued. In addition, the potential passage of new legislation designed to expand the right to sue, to remove limitations on recovery, to deem by statute the existence of a covered occurrence, to extend the statutes of limitations or otherwise repeal or weaken tort reforms could have an adverse impact on our business. The effects of these and other unforeseen emerging claim and coverage issues are extremely hard to predict and could be harmful to our business and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We are heavily regulated, and changes in regulation may reduce our profitability, limit our growth or restrict our ability to transact business.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation in the jurisdictions in which they operate. Such regulations may relate to among other things, the types of business we can write, the rates we can charge for coverage, the level of capital we must maintain, and restrictions on the types and size of investments we can make. Regulations may also restrict the timing and amount of dividend payments. Accordingly, changes in regulations related to these or other matters or regulatory actions imposing restrictions on our insurance subsidiaries, may adversely impact our results of operations and restrict our ability to allocate capital. For a discussion of the various types of regulation we face, see "Item 1. Business – Regulation."

We may have exposure to losses from terrorism for which we are required by law to provide coverage regarding such losses.

U.S. insurers are required by state and federal law to offer coverage for terrorism in certain commercial lines, including workers' compensation. As discussed under "Item 1. Business – Regulation – United States – Federal and State Legislative and Regulatory Changes," the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, or TRIA, as extended by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2015, or TRIPRA, requires commercial property and casualty insurance companies to offer coverage for acts of terrorism, whether foreign or domestic, and established a federal assistance

program through the end of 2020 to help cover claims related to future terrorism-related losses. The impact of any terrorist act is unpredictable, and the ultimate impact on us would depend upon the nature, extent, location and timing of such an act. Although we reinsure a portion of the terrorism risk we retain under the program, our terrorism reinsurance does not provide full coverage for an act stemming from nuclear, biological or chemical terrorism.

The effects of litigation on our business are uncertain.

Although we are not currently involved in any material litigation with our customers, other members of the insurance industry are the target of class action lawsuits and other types of litigation, some of which involve claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts, and the outcomes of which are unpredictable. This litigation is based on a variety of issues, including insurance and claim settlement practices. If we become subject to such litigation, it could have a material adverse effect on our business.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Risks Related to our Common Stock, Preferred Stock and Outstanding Indebtedness

Our revenues and results of operations may fluctuate as a result of developments beyond our control, which may cause volatility in the price of our shares of common stock and the market price of our Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock.

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "AFSI." Our Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols "AFSI-A," "AFSI-B", "AFSI-C" and "AFSI-D." Our performance, as well as the risks discussed herein, government or regulatory action, tax laws, interest rates and general market conditions could have a significant impact on the future market price of our common stock and the market price of our Preferred Stock. Developments that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price of our common stock or Preferred Stock include:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- changes to our earnings estimates or publications of research reports about us or the industry;
- rising levels of claims costs, changes in the frequency or severity of claims or new types of claims and new or changing judicial interpretations relating to the scope of insurance company liability;
- the financial stability of our third-party reinsurers, changes in the level of reinsurance capacity, termination of reinsurance arrangements and changes in our capital capacity;
- increases in market interest rates that may lead purchasers of common or preferred stock to demand a higher yield;
- changes in market valuations of other insurance companies;
- adverse market reaction to any increased indebtedness we incur in the future;
- fluctuations in interest rates or inflationary pressures and other changes in the investment environment that affect returns on invested assets;
- changes to our credit worthiness;
- the market for similar securities;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- reaction to the sale or purchase of company stock by our principal stockholders or our executive officers;
- changes in the economic environment in the markets in which we operate, including reduction in the business activities of our policyholders;
- changes in tax law;
- speculation in the press or investment community; and
- general market, economic and political conditions.

If securities or industry analysts fail to continue publishing research about our business, if they change their recommendations adversely or if our results of operations do not meet their expectations, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock is influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage or fail to publish reports on us regularly, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline. In addition, it is possible that in some future period our operating results will be below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, or if our results of operations do not meet their expectations, our stock price could decline.

Our share repurchase program could affect the price of our common stock and increase volatility.

Repurchases of our common stock pursuant to our share repurchase program could affect our stock price and increase its volatility. The existence of a stock repurchase program could also cause our stock price to be higher than it would be in the absence of such a program and could potentially reduce the market liquidity for our stock. Stock repurchases may not enhance stockholder value because the market price of our common stock may decline below the levels at

which we repurchased shares of common

45

TABLE OF CONTENTS

stock. Although our stock repurchase program is intended to enhance long-term stockholder value, short-term stock price fluctuations could reduce the program's effectiveness.

Failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could have a material adverse effect on our common stock price and the market price of our Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires an annual management assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. If we fail to achieve and maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, we may be unable to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404. Moreover, effective internal controls are necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports. If we cannot produce reliable financial reports or otherwise maintain appropriate internal controls, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information, and the market price for our common and preferred stock could decline.

Our principal stockholders have the ability to control our business, which may be disadvantageous to other stockholders.

Based on the number of shares outstanding as of December 31, 2015, Barry D. Zyskind, Michael Karfunkel, Leah Karfunkel (wife of Michael Karfunkel and sole trustee of the Trust) and George Karfunkel, directly or indirectly, collectively own or control approximately 48% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, these stockholders, acting together, have the ability to control all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election and removal of directors, amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, any proposed merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and other corporate transactions. These stockholders may have interests that are different from other stockholders.

On November 10, 2015, we ceased being a "controlled company" as defined in NASDAQ Listing Rule 5615(e), meaning we are no longer exempt from the board and board committee independence requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. As a result, we have one year from the date we ceased being a "controlled company" to have an entirely independent compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee. If we are unable to achieve compliance with these requirements, our common stock could be de-listed from the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

In addition, Michael Karfunkel and George Karfunkel, through entities that each of them controls, have entered into transactions with us and may from time to time in the future enter into other transactions with us. As a result, these individuals may have interests that are different from, or in addition to, their interest as our stockholders. Such transactions may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Our principal stockholders could delay or prevent an acquisition or merger of our company even if the transaction could benefit other stockholders. Moreover, this concentration of share ownership makes it difficult for other stockholders to replace directors and management without the consent of the controlling stockholders. In addition, this significant concentration of share ownership may adversely affect the price prospective buyers are willing to pay for our common stock because investors often perceive disadvantages in owning stock in companies with controlling stockholders, which could, in turn, materially and adversely affect the trading price of our convertible senior notes.

We may be unable to pay dividends on our common stock or Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock.

As discussed above, the ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends is regulated and under certain circumstances, restricted, pursuant to applicable law. If our insurance subsidiaries could not pay dividends, we may

not, in turn, be able to pay dividends to stockholders. In addition, the terms of our junior subordinated debentures and our credit facilities limit, in some circumstances, our ability to pay dividends on our common stock and Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock, and future financing arrangements may include prohibitions on dividends or other restrictions. For these reasons, we may be unable to pay dividends on our common stock or Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock.

We have a history of paying dividends to our stockholders. However, future cash dividends will depend upon our results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements and other factors including the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us, which ability is restricted in the manner discussed above. Also, we may be unable to continue to pay dividends even if the necessary financial conditions are met and if sufficient cash is available for distribution.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Our shares of Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares are equity interests and are subordinate to our existing and future indebtedness.

Our shares of Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares are equity interests and do not constitute indebtedness. As such, the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares rank junior to all of our indebtedness and other non-equity claims of our creditors with respect to assets available to satisfy our claims, including our liquidation, dissolution and winding up. As of December 31, 2015, our total consolidated debt was \$1,010 million and our total consolidated liabilities were approximately \$14.0 billion. We may incur additional debt and liabilities in the future. Our existing and future indebtedness may restrict payments of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares. Additionally, unlike indebtedness, where principal and interest would customarily be payable on specified due dates, in the case of the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares, dividends are payable only if declared by our Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board of Directors). Our ability to pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares may be limited by the terms of our agreements governing our existing and future indebtedness and by the provisions of other existing and future agreements.

Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares are non-cumulative.

Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares are non-cumulative and payable only out of our legally available funds. Consequently, if our Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board of Directors) does not authorize and declare a dividend for any dividend period with respect to the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares, holders of the Series A, B, C and D Preferred Stock and, in turn, the depositary shares, will not be entitled to receive any such dividend, and such unpaid dividend will not accumulate and will never be payable. We have no obligation to pay dividends for a dividend period on or after the dividend payment date for such period if our Board of Directors (or a duly authorized committee of the Board of Directors) has not declared such dividend before the related dividend payment date. If dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock and the Series B, C and D Preferred Stock represented by depositary shares are authorized and declared with respect to any subsequent dividend period, we will be free to pay dividends on any other series of preferred stock and/or our common shares.

We may not have the ability to raise the funds necessary to finance any required purchases of our convertible senior notes due 2044 upon the occurrence of a “fundamental change,” which would constitute an event of default under the indentures.

If a fundamental change (as such term is defined in the indenture governing our convertible senior notes due 2044) occurs, holders of these convertible senior notes will have the right, at their option, to require us to purchase for cash any or all of the notes, or any portion of the principal amount thereof such that the principal amount that remains outstanding of each note purchased in part equals \$1,000 or an integral multiple of \$1,000 in excess thereof. The fundamental change purchase price will equal 100% of the principal amount of the convertible senior notes to be purchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the fundamental change purchase date. However, we may not have sufficient funds at the time we are required to purchase the convertible senior notes surrendered therefor and we may not be able to arrange necessary financing on acceptable terms, if at all.

We have not established a sinking fund for payment of the convertible senior notes due 2044, nor do we anticipate doing so. In addition, our ability to purchase these convertible senior notes may be limited by law, by regulatory

authority or we may in the future enter into credit agreements or other agreements that may contain provisions prohibiting redemption or repurchase of the notes under certain circumstances, or may provide that a designated event constitutes an event of default under that agreement. If a fundamental change occurs at a time when we are prohibited from purchasing these convertible senior notes, we could seek a waiver from the holders of these notes or attempt to refinance these notes. If we were not able to obtain consent, we would not be permitted to purchase the convertible senior notes. Our failure to purchase tendered convertible senior notes would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the notes, which might constitute a default under the terms of our other indebtedness.

The conditional conversion features of the convertible senior notes due 2044, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial condition.

If one of the conversion contingencies in our convertible senior notes due 2044 is triggered, holders of these convertible senior notes will be entitled to convert the notes at any time during specified periods. If one or more holders elect to convert their convertible senior notes, we may be required to settle all or a portion of our conversion obligation through the payment of cash, which could adversely affect our liquidity and various aspects of our business.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certain provisions in our convertible senior notes due 2021 and 2044 could delay or prevent an otherwise beneficial takeover or takeover attempt of us.

Certain provisions in our convertible senior notes could make it more difficult or more expensive for a third party to acquire us. For example, if an acquisition event constitutes a fundamental change within the meaning of our outstanding convertible senior notes, holders of our convertible senior notes will have the right to require us to purchase their notes in cash. In addition, if an acquisition event constitutes a make-whole fundamental change within the meaning of our outstanding convertible senior notes, we may be required to increase the conversion rate for holders who convert their notes in connection with such make-whole fundamental change. In any of these cases, and in other cases, our obligations under the convertible senior notes as well as provisions of our organizational documents and other agreements could increase the cost of acquiring us or otherwise discourage a third party from acquiring us or removing incumbent management.

Our subordinated notes are subordinated in right of payment to our senior indebtedness and holders of these subordinated notes may recover ratably less than unsubordinated creditors in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization. In addition, our subordinated notes are structurally subordinate to the debt of our subsidiaries.

Our subordinated notes rank junior in right of payment to the claims of holders of our senior indebtedness. Therefore, in the event of a bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency, receivership or similar proceeding relating to us or our property, our creditors, other than those in respect of debt ranking equal with or junior to the subordinated notes, will be entitled to receive payment in full of all obligations due to them before the holders of the subordinated notes will be entitled to receive any payment. As a result, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, holders of the subordinated notes may recover ratably less than unsubordinated creditors. In addition, the indentures governing the subordinated notes prevent us from making payments in respect of the subordinated notes if any principal, premium or interest in respect of our senior indebtedness is not paid within any applicable grace period (including at maturity) or any other default on our senior indebtedness occurs and the maturity of such senior indebtedness is accelerated in accordance with its terms.

In addition, because we are a holding company, our rights and the rights of our creditors, including the holders of our subordinated notes, to participate in the distribution or allocation of the assets of any subsidiary during its liquidation or reorganization, will be subject to the prior claims of such subsidiary's creditors, unless we are ourselves a creditor with recognized claims against the subsidiary. Claims from creditors (other than us) against the subsidiaries may include long-term and medium-term debt and substantial obligations related to federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, and other short-term borrowings. Our subordinated notes are not obligations of, or guaranteed by, our subsidiaries, and our subsidiaries have no obligation to pay any amounts due on the subordinated notes.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

All of our properties are owned or leased by us or our subsidiaries and are used for office functions (corporate, claims, underwriting, business units) or as call centers. We own 16 properties worldwide, the majority of which consist of commercial office space. Our properties are not segregated by segment. We own significant properties in the United States in the states of California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Ohio and Texas and internationally in the United

Kingdom.

We lease approximately 876,300 square feet throughout the United States and internationally. These leases are generally short-term to medium-term leases for commercial office space.

48

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Shareholder Litigation

We and certain of our officers were defendants in related putative securities class action lawsuits filed in February 2014 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Plaintiffs in the lawsuits purported to represent a class of our stockholders who purchased shares between February 15, 2011 and December 11, 2013. On April 24, 2014, the court issued an order consolidating the related actions, appointing lead plaintiff and approving the selection of co-lead counsel. On September 4, 2014, the plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint. The consolidated amended complaint asserted claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and sought damages in an unspecified amount, attorney's fees and other relief. The lead plaintiffs asserted the Section 11 claim on behalf of persons or entities who purchased our Series A preferred stock in or traceable to our public offering on June 5, 2013 and did not sell those shares of Series A preferred stock prior to December 12, 2013. On October 24, 2014, we filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint, which the lead plaintiffs opposed. The Court granted our motion to dismiss with prejudice on September 29, 2015. The plaintiffs are appealing the Court's decision, and the appeal is pending.

In addition, we have received three stockholder demands for production, pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, of our books and records. On April 7, 2015, one of those stockholders, Cambridge Retirement System, filed a derivative action in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against the Company, as nominal defendant, and against our board of directors, Leah Karfunkel, and ACP Re, as defendants. The stockholder has since amended its complaint to add NGHC as a defendant. The stockholder purports to bring the derivative action on our behalf, alleging breaches of the duties of loyalty and care on the part of our directors and controlling stockholders, and claims for unjust enrichment against ACP Re and NGHC related to our transactions involving Tower. The complaint seeks damages, disgorgement and reform of our governance practices. We believe the allegations in this action to be unfounded and will vigorously pursue our defenses; however, we cannot reasonably estimate the potential range of loss, if any.

Trust Risk Group dispute

In October 2014, a dispute arose between our subsidiary, AEL, and its Italian medical liability broker, Trust Risk Group SpA ("TRG"), and agent, Trust Risk Italia SRL ("TRI," a subsidiary of TRG, collectively, "TRG"). TRG asserted that it was entitled to advanced commissions of approximately €95.8 million (or \$104.1 million as of December 31, 2015) related to our Italian medical liability business produced by TRG. TRG deducted approximately €42.2 million (or \$45.8 million as of December 31, 2015) from premium payable to us with the intention of deducting approximately €48.7 million (or \$52.9 million as of December 31, 2015) from future premium payable to us. We dispute that TRG is entitled to advanced commission. We terminated our brokerage and agency relationship with TRG and TRI, respectively, and notified our insureds and retail brokers to pay premiums directly to us.

TRG has initiated arbitration proceedings against us in Milan, Italy seeking monetary damages based upon its allegations that we improperly terminated the producer agreements and an entitlement to advanced commissions on the business produced for us. We believe the allegations to be unfounded and will vigorously pursue our defenses; however, we cannot reasonably estimate the potential range of loss, if any.

Other than as discussed above, we are not involved presently in any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us or our properties.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

49

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Stockholders

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "AFSI". We have one class of authorized common stock for 500,000,000 shares at a par value of \$0.01 per share. As of February 11, 2016, there were 156 registered record holders of our common stock. This figure does not include beneficial owners who hold shares in nominee names.

On December 15, 2015, our Board of Directors declared a two-for-one stock split of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, in the form of a 100% stock dividend. All stockholders of record at the close of business on January 19, 2016 received one additional share of our common stock for each share held on that date. The additional share of common stock was distributed to stockholders of record on February 2, 2016. As a result, we retrospectively adjusted all share and per share amounts to reflect the stock split.

Price Range of Common Stock

The following table shows the high and low sales prices per share for our common stock and the cash dividends declared with respect to such shares ⁽¹⁾:

	High	Low	Dividends Declared
2015			
First quarter	\$29.50	\$24.43	\$0.125
Second quarter	\$32.99	\$28.18	\$0.125
Third quarter	\$35.94	\$26.03	\$0.15
Fourth quarter	\$35.63	\$28.68	\$0.15
2014	High	Low	Dividends Declared
First quarter	\$19.82	\$15.15	\$0.10
Second quarter	\$23.55	\$17.78	\$0.10
Third quarter	\$23.01	\$19.13	\$0.10
Fourth quarter	\$29.66	\$19.90	\$0.125

⁽¹⁾ The prices and cash dividends declared have been adjusted for the two-for-one stock split effective February 2, 2016.

On February 11, 2016, the closing price per share for our common stock was \$24.41.

Dividend Policy

Our Board of Directors has historically declared the payment of quarterly cash dividends. Any determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our results of operations and cash flows, our financial position and capital requirements.

We are a holding company that transacts business through our operating subsidiaries. Our primary assets are the capital stock of these operating subsidiaries. Payments from our insurance subsidiaries pursuant to management

agreements and tax sharing agreements, as well as fee income we generate from providing services discussed throughout this report, are our primary source of funds to pay our direct expenses. We anticipate that such payments, together with dividends paid to us by our subsidiaries, will continue to be the primary source of funds. The ability to pay dividends to our stockholders largely depends upon the surplus and earnings of our subsidiaries and their ability to pay dividends to us. Payment of dividends by our insurance subsidiaries is regulated by insurance laws of various states, and the laws of certain foreign countries in which we do business, including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. In addition, the terms of our junior subordinated debentures, revolving credit facility and convertible senior notes limit, in the event of certain circumstances, our ability to pay dividends on our common stock, and future borrowings may include prohibitions and restrictions on dividends. As a result, at times, we may not be able to receive dividends from our insurance subsidiaries and may not receive dividends in amounts necessary to pay dividends on our capital

TABLE OF CONTENTS

stock. As of December 31, 2015, our insurance subsidiaries could pay dividends to us of \$621.6 million without prior regulatory approval. Any dividends paid by our subsidiaries would reduce their surplus. During 2015, our insurance subsidiaries paid dividends of \$63.6 million, which were subsequently contributed to certain of our other insurance subsidiaries.

Share Repurchase Plan

In December 2013, our Board of Directors approved a \$150 million share repurchase program. The Board of Directors may suspend, modify or terminate the repurchase program at any time without prior notice. Under this repurchase program, we are not obligated to repurchase any particular number of shares. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of our Board of Directors, the program will expire when we have repurchased the full value of the shares authorized. During the three months ended December 31, 2015, no shares were repurchased pursuant to the authorized plan.

During the three months ended December 31, 2015, we repurchased 184,898 split-adjusted shares of our common stock from one employee in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to this employee under our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as amended ("the Plan"). The shares were withheld at the direction of the employee as permitted under the Plan in order to pay the minimum amount of tax liability owned by the employee from the vesting of restricted stock.

The following table summarizes our stock repurchases for the three-month period ended December 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program	Maximum Number (or approximate dollar value) of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under Plan or Program
October 1 - 31, 2015	—	\$—	—	\$90,298,041
November 1 - 30, 2015	—	—	—	90,298,041
December 1 - 31, 2015	184,898	30.79	—	90,298,041
Total	184,898		—	\$90,298,041

⁽¹⁾ Includes 184,898 split-adjusted shares that were withheld to satisfy tax withholding amounts due from the employee upon the vesting of previously issued restricted shares.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Common Stock Performance Graph

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock for the period beginning December 31, 2010 and ending on December 31, 2015 with the cumulative total return on the NASDAQ Global Market Index and a peer group comprised of the NASDAQ Insurance Index. The graph shows the change in value of an initial \$100 investment on December 31, 2010.

Comparative Cumulative Total Returns Since 12/31/10 for AmTrust Financial Services, Inc.: NASDAQ Composite and NASDAQ Insurance

This information is not deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth our selected historical consolidated financial and operating information for the periods ended and as of the dates indicated. The selected consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 are derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this report, which have been audited by BDO USA, LLP, our independent auditors. These historical results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected from any future period. You should read the following selected consolidated financial information together with the other information contained in this report, including “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in Part IV of this report.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(Amounts in Thousands)				
Selected Income Statement Data ⁽¹⁾					
Gross written premium	\$6,799,537	\$6,087,965	\$4,116,911	\$2,749,326	\$2,150,472
Ceded gross written premium	(2,539,479)	(2,131,347)	(1,551,238)	(1,101,289)	(873,875)
Net written premium	\$4,260,058	\$3,956,618	\$2,565,673	\$1,648,037	\$1,276,597
Change in unearned premium	(238,331)	(430,054)	(299,683)	(229,185)	(239,736)
Net earned premium	\$4,021,727	\$3,526,564	\$2,265,990	\$1,418,852	\$1,036,861
Service and fee income	478,206	409,743	331,559	172,174	108,660
Net investment income	156,290	131,601	84,819	68,167	55,515
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments	8,117	16,423	15,527	8,981	2,768
Total revenues	\$4,664,340	\$4,084,331	\$2,697,895	\$1,668,174	\$1,203,804
Loss and loss adjustment expense	2,682,208	2,342,619	1,517,361	922,675	678,333
Acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses ⁽²⁾	979,502	856,923	533,162	356,005	271,367
Other expenses ⁽³⁾	466,759	436,350	291,617	177,709	117,090
Total expenses	\$4,128,469	\$3,635,892	\$2,342,140	\$1,456,389	\$1,066,790
Income before other income (expense), provision for income taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries and non-controlling interest	\$535,871	\$448,439	\$355,755	\$211,785	\$137,014
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense	(48,052)	(45,857)	(34,691)	(28,508)	(16,079)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(5,271)	(9,831)	—	—	—
Gain on life settlement contracts net of profit commission	19,844	12,306	3,800	13,822	46,892
Foreign currency gain (loss)	43,260	60,245	(6,533)	(242)	(2,418)
Gain on acquisition	5,826	—	48,715	—	5,850
Gain on sale of subsidiary	—	6,631	—	—	—
Total other income (expense)	\$15,607	\$23,494	\$11,291	\$(14,928)	\$34,245
Income before income taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries and non-controlling interest	\$551,478	\$471,933	\$367,046	\$196,857	\$171,259
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	66,341	53,686	98,019	21,292	(15,023)
Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries and non-controlling interest	485,137	418,247	269,027	175,565	186,282

Edgar Filing: Amtrust Financial Services, Inc. - Form 10-K

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries (related parties)	25,385	28,351	11,566	9,295	4,882
Net income	510,522	446,598	280,593	184,860	191,164
Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling and redeemable non-controlling interest of subsidiaries	(6,928) 416	1,633	(6,873) (20,730
Net income attributable to AmTrust stockholders	\$ 503,594	\$ 447,014	\$ 282,226	\$ 177,987	\$ 170,434
Dividends on preferred stock	(31,590) (12,738) (3,989) —	—
Net income attributable to AmTrust common stockholders	\$ 472,004	\$ 434,276	\$ 278,237	\$ 177,987	\$ 170,434

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
	(Amounts in Thousands, Except Percentages and per Share Data)					
Per Share Data ⁽⁴⁾						
Net income allocated to AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. common stockholders – basic	\$2.86	\$2.89	\$1.87	\$1.21	\$1.17	
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	165,042	149,866	148,326	146,538	145,370	
Diluted Income Per Share:						
Net income allocated to AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. common stockholders – diluted	\$2.80	\$2.72	\$1.78	\$1.17	\$1.15	
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	168,360	159,034	155,968	151,240	148,862	
Dividend declared per common share	\$0.55	\$0.425	\$0.28	\$0.20	\$0.17	
Selected Insurance Ratios and Operating Information						
Net loss ratio ⁽⁵⁾	66.7	% 66.4	% 67.0	% 65.0	% 65.4	%
Net expense ratio ⁽⁶⁾	24.3	% 24.3	% 23.5	% 25.1	% 26.2	%
Net combined ratio ⁽⁷⁾	91.0	% 90.7	% 90.5	% 90.1	% 91.6	%
Return on equity ⁽⁸⁾	22.7	% 28.4	% 22.5	% 17.5	% 21.2	%
	As of December 31,					
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
	(Amounts in Thousands)					
Selected Balance Sheet Data ⁽¹⁾						
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$1,312,669	\$1,088,975	\$930,461	\$493,132	\$429,951	
Investments	5,886,866	4,575,881	3,657,309	2,203,270	1,656,687	
Reinsurance recoverable	3,008,670	2,440,627	1,929,848	1,318,395	1,098,569	
Premiums receivable, net	2,115,653	1,851,682	1,593,975	1,251,262	932,992	
Goodwill and intangibles assets	800,045	667,681	665,393	532,839	392,455	
Total assets	17,111,632	13,847,368	11,279,126	7,436,511	5,762,419	
Reserves for loss and loss adjustment expense	7,208,367	5,664,205	4,368,234	2,426,400	1,879,175	
Unearned premiums	4,014,728	3,447,203	2,680,982	1,773,593	1,366,170	
Deferred income tax (asset) liability	(37,167)) 106,363	274,519	264,032	148,297	
Revolving credit facility	130,000	120,000	—	—	—	
Notes payable	250,000	250,000	250,000	—	—	
2.75% Convertible senior notes due 2044	163,082	157,679	—	—	—	
5.5% Convertible senior notes due 2021	5,190	56,745	164,218	161,218	138,506	
Junior subordinated debentures	123,714	123,714	123,714	123,714	123,714	
7.25% Subordinated notes due 2055	150,000	—	—	—	—	
7.50% Subordinated notes due 2055	135,000	—	—	—	—	
Common stock, preferred stock and additional paid in capital less treasury stock	1,705,089	1,026,163	864,173	468,226	282,805	
Total AmTrust Financial Services, Inc. equity	2,909,060	2,037,020	1,441,005	1,144,121	890,563	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (1) Results for a number of periods were affected by our various acquisitions from 2011 to 2015.
Acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses include policy acquisition expenses, commissions paid directly to producers, premium taxes and assessments, salary and benefits and other insurance general and administrative expenses which represent other costs that are directly attributable to insurance activities. These costs and expenses are reduced by ceding commission earned through external reinsurance agreements.
- (2) Other operating expenses include non-cash amortization of tangible and intangible assets, goodwill impairment and non-insurance revenue generating activities in which we engage.
- (3) Share and per share data for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were adjusted as a result of the two-for-one stock split effective February 2, 2016.
- (4) Net loss ratio is calculated by dividing the loss and loss adjustment expense by net premiums earned.
- (5) Net expense ratio is calculated by dividing the total of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses by net premiums earned.
- (6) Net combined ratio is calculated by adding net loss ratio and net expense ratio together.
- (7) Return on equity is calculated by dividing net income by the average stockholders' equity for the period.
- (8)

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements that are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created by The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. See "Note on Forward-Looking Statements."

Overview

We are a multinational specialty property and casualty insurer focused on generating consistent underwriting profits. We provide insurance coverage for small businesses and products with high volumes of insureds and loss profiles that we believe are predictable. We target lines of insurance that we believe generally are under served by the market. We have grown by hiring teams of underwriters with expertise in our specialty lines, through acquisitions of companies and assets that, in each case, provide access to distribution networks and renewal rights to established books of specialty insurance business. We have operations in three business segments:

• **Small Commercial Business.** We provide workers' compensation, commercial package and other commercial insurance lines produced by wholesale agents, retail agents and brokers in the United States.

• **Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty.** We provide coverage for consumer and commercial goods and custom designed coverages, such as accidental damage plans and payment protection plans offered in connection with the sale of consumer and commercial goods, in the United States and Europe, and certain niche property, casualty and specialty liability risks in the United States and Europe, including general liability, employers' liability and professional and medical liability.

• **Specialty Program.** We write commercial insurance for narrowly defined classes of insureds, requiring an in-depth knowledge of the insured's industry segment, through general and other wholesale agents.

We transact business primarily through our seventeen insurance subsidiaries domiciled in the United States and five insurance subsidiaries domiciled internationally, primarily in Europe. We are authorized to write business in all 50 states in the United States and in the European Union. Through our subsidiary, AmTrust at Lloyd's, we are licensed to underwrite business internationally in locations where Lloyd's is licensed. Our principal operating subsidiaries are rated "A" (Excellent) by A.M. Best Company ("A.M. Best").

Insurance, particularly workers' compensation, is, generally affected by seasonality. The first quarter generally produces greater premiums than subsequent quarters. Nevertheless, the impact of seasonality on our Small Commercial Business and Specialty Program segments has not been significant. We believe that this is because we

serve many small businesses in different geographic locations. We believe seasonality may be muted by our acquisition activity. Additionally, our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment may be impacted by seasonality of the automotive and consumer electronic markets.

We evaluate our operations by monitoring key measures of growth and profitability, including return on equity and net combined ratio. Our return on equity was 22.7%, 28.4% and 22.5% for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Our overall financial objective is to produce a return on equity of 15.0% or more over the long term. In addition, we target a net combined ratio of 95.0% or lower over the long term, while seeking to maintain optimal operating leverage in our insurance subsidiaries commensurate with our A.M. Best rating objectives. Our net combined ratio was 91.0%, 90.7% and 90.5% for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. A key factor in achieving our targeted net combined ratio

TABLE OF CONTENTS

is a continuous focus on our net expense ratio. Our strategy across our segments is to maintain premium rates, deploy capital judiciously, manage our expenses and focus on the businesses in which we have expertise, which we believe should provide opportunities for greater returns.

Investment income is also an important part of our business. Because the period of time between our receipt of premiums and the ultimate settlement of claims is often several years or longer, we are able to invest cash from premiums for significant periods of time. Our net investment income was \$156.3 million, \$131.6 million and \$84.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We held 18.9% and 19.7% of total invested assets in cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Our most significant balance sheet liability is our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expense. We record reserves for estimated losses under insurance policies that we write and for loss adjustment expenses related to the investigation and settlement of policy claims. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses represent the estimated cost of all reported and unreported loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid at any given point in time based on known facts and circumstances. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid are not discounted using present value factors. Our loss reserves are reviewed at least annually by our external actuaries. Reserves are based on estimates of the most likely ultimate cost of individual claims. These estimates are inherently uncertain. Judgment is required to determine the relevance of our historical experience and industry information under current facts and circumstances. The interpretation of this historical and industry data can be impacted by external forces, principally frequency and severity of future claims, length of time to achieve ultimate settlement of claims, inflation of medical costs and wages, insurance policy coverage interpretations, jury determinations and legislative changes. Accordingly, our reserves may prove to be inadequate to cover our actual losses. If we change our estimates, these changes would be reflected in our results of operations during the period in which they are made, with increases in our reserves resulting in decreases in our earnings.

Acquisitions

During 2015 and 2014, we have made the following significant acquisitions:

Springfield

On October 7, 2015, we acquired Springfield Insurance Company and its affiliates, Springfield Insurance Company Ltd. and Unified Grocers Insurance Services (collectively, "Springfield") for approximately \$26.6 million in cash and a contingent earn-out valued at \$5.0 million as of the acquisition date. Springfield, domiciled in California, is an insurance carrier providing workers' compensation and commercial package insurance to Unified Grocers Inc., an association of independently owned grocery stores, its members and its customers. We are in the process of completing our acquisition accounting and expect to have it completed in 2016. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as Springfield's results of operations, will be included as a component of the Small Commercial Business segment. As a result of this acquisition, we recorded approximately \$3.2 million of gross written premium and \$0.6 million of service and fee income during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Warranty Solutions

On September 25, 2015, we acquired Warranty Solutions, a Wells Fargo business ("Warranty Solutions") for approximately \$156.2 million in cash. Warranty Solutions designs, markets, administers and underwrites vehicle service contracts for new and used automobiles through a national network of more than 70 active agencies and 1,500 franchised and independent dealers. The goodwill and intangible assets associated with the acquisition was initially measured at \$84.2 million. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as Warranty Solutions' results of operations, are included as a component of the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment. We are in the process of completing

our acquisition accounting and expect to have it completed in 2016. As a result of this acquisition, we recorded approximately \$22.8 million of written premium and \$24.3 million of service and fee income for the year ended December 31, 2015.

CorePointe Insurance Company

On March 2, 2015, we acquired CorePointe Insurance Company ("CorePointe") for approximately \$68.8 million. CorePointe, a Michigan-based specialty property and casualty insurance company, markets commercial package insurance products primarily to automobile and motorcycle dealerships and auto repair shops. The majority of CorePointe's insurance products and services are distributed through managing general agents ("MGAs") with whom it has long-standing relationships. As a result of the acquisition, we recorded intangible assets in the amount of approximately \$2.7 million, which consisted of approximately \$1.2 million related to the valuation of the acquired loss and loss adjustment expense reserve and approximately \$1.5 million for state licenses. Additionally, we recognized a gain of approximately \$5.8 million related to the acquisition. CorePointe's results of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

operations are included in our Specialty Program segment. We recorded approximately \$39.4 million of written premium related to CorePointe during the year ended December 31, 2015.

TMI Solutions, LLC

On January 6, 2015, we acquired TMI Solutions, LLC ("TMIS") for approximately \$29.5 million in cash and a contingent earn-out provision valued at \$32.0 million. TMIS offers monthly billed warranty solutions for a variety of consumer electronics as well as consumer protection services. TMIS's warranties are primarily distributed in conjunction with large telecommunication monthly customer billing services and their customers include various Fortune 500 companies. As a result of the acquisition, we obtained certain identifiable intangible assets of approximately \$32.5 million and recorded goodwill of approximately \$38.3 million. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as TMIS's results of operations, are included as a component of the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment. As a result of this acquisition, we recorded approximately \$13.7 million of service and fee income related to TMIS during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Oryx Insurance Brokerage, Inc.

On January 6, 2015, we acquired Oryx Insurance Brokerage, Inc. ("Oryx") for approximately \$30.6 million in cash and a contingent earn-out provision valued at \$7.0 million. Oryx, established in 1996, is a managing general agent and wholesaler providing insurance products to the construction industry in upstate New York. For 2014, Oryx placed \$80.0 million in premiums through over 135 agencies with the majority of business underwritten by us. As a result of the acquisition, we obtained certain identifiable intangible assets of approximately \$18.5 million and recorded goodwill of approximately \$24.4 million. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as Oryx's results of operations, are included as a component of the Specialty Program segment. As a result of our underwriting relationship with Oryx, we had approximately \$93.3 million and \$72.2 million of gross written premium during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, we recorded approximately \$0.4 million of service and fee income related to Oryx during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Comp Options Insurance Company, Inc.

On October 1, 2014, we acquired Comp Options Insurance Company, Inc. ("Comp Options"), a Florida-based workers' compensation insurer, from an affiliate of Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Florida, for approximately \$34.3 million in cash. Comp Options offers workers' compensation insurance to small businesses with low-hazard risk profiles in the state of Florida. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as Comp Options' results of operations, are included as a component of the Small Commercial Business segment. As a result of this acquisition, we recorded approximately \$65.1 million and \$18.7 million of written premium during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, we recorded approximately \$3.2 million and \$1.0 million of service and fee income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Tower Renewal Rights Agreement

In January 2014, we entered into a cut through quota share reinsurance agreement (the "Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement") with Tower Group International, Ltd. ("Tower") to provide a 100% quota share for a majority of Tower's in force commercial lines policies and most new and renewal commercial lines business. At the inception of the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement, we initially assumed \$174 million of unearned premium. During 2014, we assumed approximately \$475 million of premium, earned approximately \$387 million of premium, and incurred approximately \$225 million of loss and loss adjustment expense, respectively, related to the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we also incurred approximately \$92 million of commission expense and approximately \$16 million of unallocated claims expense as part of the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement.

Additionally, we recorded approximately \$34 million of prepaid claim expense as of December 31, 2014, which is reported as a component of other assets.

In conjunction with ACP Re, Ltd.'s ("ACP Re") merger with Tower, on September 15, 2014, we entered into various agreements with Tower including, primarily, a renewal rights agreement and a quota share reinsurance agreement. Based on the terms of the renewal rights agreement, we are required to pay a maximum amount of \$30 million over a three year period based on 3% of gross written premium of the Tower commercial lines business. The quota share reinsurance agreement allows us to reinsure 100% of all losses for Tower's new and renewal commercial lines business written after September 15, 2015. The quota share agreement replaced the Cut Through Reinsurance Agreement. As a result of the renewal rights transaction, we generated approximately \$370 and \$133 million of gross written premium for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Additionally, we loaned ACP Re \$125 million to finance its purchase of Tower.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Insko Dico Group

On January 3, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Insko Insurance Services, Inc. (“Insko Dico”) and its subsidiaries for a acquisition price of approximately \$88.7 million. Insko Dico's subsidiaries include Developers Surety and Indemnity Company and Indemnity Company of California, which offer surety insurance to developers and contractors in all 50 states with California as the largest state. In addition, Insko Dico's subsidiary, Builders Insurance Services, markets general liability insurance policies to contractors in several states in the western region of the U.S. The goodwill and intangible assets, as well as Insko Dico's results of operations, are included as a component of the Small Commercial Business segment. The identifiable intangible assets consist of agency relationships, which have a 20 year life, and licenses that have an indefinite life. As a result of this transaction, we recorded approximately \$71.3 million and \$55.5 million of written premium for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, we recorded approximately \$1.0 million and \$3.7 million of service and fee income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In addition to these acquisitions, our results of operations for 2014 compared to 2013 include references to the following entities acquired prior to 2014: AmTrust at Lloyd’s Limited (“AmTrust at Lloyds”), AMTCS Holdings, Inc. (“AMTCS”), Sequoia Insurance Company (“Sequoia”) and Car Care Plan (Holdings) Limited (“CCPH”).

Strategic Investments

Investment in National General Holdings Corp.

We have an approximate 12% ownership interest in National General Holding Corp. (“NGHC”). NGHC is a publicly-held specialty personal lines insurance holding company (Nasdaq: NGHC) that operates fifteen insurance companies in the United States and provides a variety of insurance products, including personal and commercial automobile, homeowners and umbrella, supplemental health, lender-placed and other niche insurance products. As of December 31, 2015, NGHC's two largest stockholders are The Michael Karfunkel 2005 Family Trust (the “Trust”) and Michael Karfunkel individually. Michael Karfunkel is the Chairman of our Board of Directors and the father-in-law of Barry D. Zyskind, our President and Chief Executive Officer. The ultimate beneficiaries of the Trust include Michael Karfunkel’s children, one of whom is married to Mr. Zyskind. In addition, Michael Karfunkel is the chairman, president and chief executive officer of NGHC. In accordance with ASC 323-10-15, Investments-Equity Method and Joint Ventures, we account for our investment in NGHC under the equity method as we have the ability to exert significant influence on NGHC's operations. We recorded \$25.4 million, \$28.4 million and \$11.6 million of income during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to our equity investment in NGHC.

Master Services Agreement

We provide NGHC and its affiliates information technology development services in connection with the development and licensing of a policy management system at a cost which is currently 1.25% of gross written premium of NGHC and its affiliates plus our costs for development and support services. We provide development services at a price of cost plus 20%. In addition, we provide NGHC and its affiliates printing and mailing services at a per piece cost for policy and policy related materials, such as invoices, quotes, notices and endorsements, associated with the policies we process for NGHC and its affiliates on the policy management system. We recorded approximately \$35.9 million, \$25.6 million and \$24.2 million of fee income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to this agreement.

Asset Management Agreement

We manage the assets of certain of NGHC's subsidiaries, including the assets of reciprocal insurers managed by subsidiaries of NGHC, for an annual fee equal to 0.20% of the average aggregate value of the assets under management for the preceding quarter if the average aggregate value for the preceding quarter is \$1 billion or less and 0.15% of the average aggregate value of the assets under management for the preceding quarter if the average aggregate value for that quarter is more than \$1 billion. We managed approximately \$2.4 billion of assets as of December 31, 2015 related to this agreement. As a result of this agreement, we earned approximately \$2.7 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.7 million of asset management fees for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Life Settlement Contracts

We currently have a 50% ownership interest in each of four entities (collectively, the "LSC Entities") formed for the purpose of acquiring life settlement contracts, with a subsidiary of NGHC owning the remaining 50% interest. The LSC Entities are: Tiger Capital LLC ("Tiger"); AMT Capital Alpha, LLC ("AMT Alpha"); AMT Capital Holdings, S.A. ("AMTCH"); and AMT Capital Holdings II, S.A. ("AMTCH II").

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A life settlement contract is a contract between the owner of a life insurance policy and a third-party who obtains the ownership and beneficiary rights of the underlying life insurance policy. The LSC Entities may also acquire premium finance loans made in connection with the borrowers' purchase of life insurance policies that are secured by the policies. The LSC Entities acquire the underlying policies securing the loan through the borrowers' voluntary surrender of the policy in satisfaction of the loan or foreclosure. A third party serves as the administrator of the Tiger and AMTCH II life settlement contract portfolios, for which it receives an administrative fee. The third party administrator is eligible to receive a percentage of profits after certain time and performance thresholds have been met. We provide certain actuarial and finance functions related to the LSC Entities. In conjunction with our 12.0% ownership percentage of NGHC, we ultimately receive 56.0% of the profits and losses of the LSC Entities. As such, in accordance with ASC 810-10, Consolidation, we have been deemed the primary beneficiary and, therefore, consolidate the LSC Entities.

We account for investments in life settlements in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which states that an investor shall elect to account for its investments in life settlement contracts by using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. We have elected to account for these policies using the fair value method. Refer to Note 4, "Fair Value of Financial Instruments" for a discussion of the determination of the fair value of our investment in life settlement contracts.

Total capital contributions of \$1.1 million and \$36.1 million were committed to the LSC Entities during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of which our proportionate share was \$0.6 million and \$17.9 million in those same periods. The LSC Entities used the contributed capital to pay premiums and purchase policies. Our investments in life settlements and premium finance loans were approximately \$264.0 million and \$264.5 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and are included in Prepaid expenses and other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We recorded a gain on investment in life settlement contracts, net of profit commission, of approximately \$19.8 million, \$12.3 million and \$13.8 million, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Principal Revenue and Expense Items

Gross Written Premium. Gross written premium represents estimated premiums from each insurance policy that we write, including as a servicing carrier for assigned risk plans, during a reporting period based on the effective date of the individual policy. Certain policies that we underwrite are subject to premium audit at that policy's cancellation or expiration. The final actual gross premiums written may vary from the original estimate based on changes to the final rating parameters or classifications of the policy.

Net Written Premium. Net written premium is gross written premium less that portion of premium that we cede to third party reinsurers under reinsurance agreements. The amount ceded under these reinsurance agreements is based on a contractual formula contained in the individual reinsurance agreement.

Net Earned Premium. Net earned premium is the earned portion of our net written premiums. We earn insurance premiums on a pro rata basis over the term of the policy. At the end of each reporting period, premiums written that are not earned are classified as unearned premiums, which are earned in subsequent periods over the remaining term of the policy. Our workers' compensation insurance and commercial package policies typically have a term of one year. Thus, for a one-year policy written on July 1, 2015 for an employer with a constant payroll during the term of the policy, we would earn half of the premiums in 2015 and the other half in 2016. We earn our specialty risk and extended warranty coverages over the estimated exposure time period. The terms vary depending on the risk. The coverages range in duration from one month to 120 months. Our U.S. warranty business has an average duration of 57

months, while our European warranty business has an average duration of 43 months and our European casualty business has average duration of 12 months.

Service and Fee Income. We currently generate service and fee income from the following sources:

Product warranty registration and service — Our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty business generates fee revenue for product warranty registration and claims handling services provided to unaffiliated third party retailers, manufacturers and dealerships. Additionally, we provide credit monitoring services for a fee.

• Servicing carrier — We act as a servicing carrier for workers' compensation assigned risk plans in multiple states. In addition, we also offer claims adjusting and loss control services for fees to unaffiliated third parties.

• Management services — We provide services to insurance consumers, traditional insurers and insurance producers by offering flexible and cost effective alternatives to traditional insurance tools in the form of various risk retention groups and captive management companies, as well as management of workers' compensation and commercial property

TABLE OF CONTENTS

programs. We also offer programs and alternative funding options for non-profit and public sector organizations for the management of their state unemployment insurance obligations.

Insurance fees — We recognize fee income associated with the issuance of workers' compensation policies for installment fees, in jurisdictions where it is permitted and approved, and reinstatement fees, which are fees charged to reinstate a policy after it has been canceled for non-payment, in jurisdictions where it is permitted and approved.

Additionally, we recognize broker commissions and policy management fees associated with general liability policies placed by one of our managing general agencies.

• Broker services — We provide brokerage services to Maiden in connection with our reinsurance agreement for which we receive a fee.

• Asset management services — We currently manage the investment portfolios of certain subsidiaries of Maiden, NGHC, and ACP Re, Ltd. for which we receive a management fee.

• Information technology services — We provide information technology and printing and mailing services to NGHC and its affiliates for a fee.

Net Investment Income and Realized Gains and (Losses). We invest our statutory surplus funds and the funds supporting our insurance liabilities primarily in cash and cash equivalents, fixed maturity and equity securities. Our net investment income includes interest and dividends earned on our invested assets. We report net realized gains and losses on our investments separately from our net investment income. Net realized gains occur when we sell our investment securities for more than their costs or amortized costs, as applicable. Net realized losses occur when we sell our investment securities for less than their costs or amortized costs, as applicable, or we write down the investment securities as a result of other-than-temporary impairment. We classify equity securities and our fixed maturity securities primarily as available-for-sale. We report net unrealized gains (losses) on those securities classified as available-for-sale separately within accumulated other comprehensive income on our balance sheet. Additionally, we have a small portfolio of equity securities classified as trading securities. We report unrealized gains (losses) on those securities classified as trading securities within net realized gains (losses) on investment on the consolidated statement of income.

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses Incurred. Loss and loss adjustment expenses ("LAE") incurred represent our largest expense item and, for any given reporting period, include estimates of future claim payments, changes in those estimates from prior reporting periods and costs associated with investigating, defending and servicing claims. These expenses fluctuate based on the amount and types of risks we insure. We record loss and loss adjustment expenses related to estimates of future claim payments based on case-by-case valuations and statistical analysis. We seek to establish all reserves at the most likely ultimate exposure based on our historical claims experience. It is typical for our more serious bodily injury claims to take several years to settle, and we revise our estimates as we receive additional information about the condition of injured employees and claimants and the costs of their medical treatment. Our ability to estimate loss and loss adjustment expenses accurately at the time of pricing our insurance policies is a critical factor in our profitability.

Acquisition Costs and Other Underwriting Expenses. Acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses consist of policy acquisition expenses, salaries and benefits and general and administrative expenses, net of ceding commissions. These items are described below:

Policy acquisition expenses comprise commissions directly attributable to those agents, wholesalers or brokers that produce premiums written on our behalf. In most instances, we pay commissions based on collected premium, which reduces our credit risk exposure associated with producers in case a policyholder does not pay a premium. We pay state and local taxes, licenses and fees, assessments and contributions to various state guaranty funds based on our premiums or losses in each state. Surcharges that we may be required to charge and collect from insureds in certain jurisdictions are recorded as accrued liabilities, rather than expense. These expenses are offset by ceding commissions received.

•

Salaries and benefits expenses are those salaries and benefits expenses for employees that are directly involved in the origination, issuance and maintenance of policies, claims adjustment and accounting for insurance transactions. We classify salaries and benefits associated with employees that are involved in fee generating activities as other expenses.

General and administrative expenses are comprised of other costs associated with our insurance activities, such as federal excise tax, postage, telephones and internet access charges, as well as legal and auditing fees and board and bureau charges.

Ceding commission on reinsurance transactions is a commission we receive from ceding gross written premium to third party reinsurers, and is netted against acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses. In connection with the Maiden Quota Share, which is our primary source of ceding commissions, the amount we receive is a blended rate based on a contractual formula contained in the individual reinsurance agreements, and the rate may not correlate specifically to the cost structure of the individual segments. The ceding commissions we receive cover a portion of our capitalized direct

TABLE OF CONTENTS

acquisition costs and a portion of other underwriting expenses. Ceding commissions received from reinsurance transactions that represent recovery of capitalized direct acquisition costs are recorded as a reduction of capitalized unamortized deferred acquisition costs and the net amount is charged to expense in proportion to net premium revenue recognized. Ceding commissions received from reinsurance transactions that represent the recovery of other underwriting expenses are recognized in the income statement over the insurance contract period in proportion to the insurance protection provided and classified as a reduction of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses. Ceding commissions received, but not yet earned, that represent the recovery of other underwriting expenses are classified as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities. We allocate earned ceding commissions to its segments based on each segment's proportionate share of total acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses recognized during the period.

Gain (loss) on Investment in Life Settlement Contracts. The gain (loss) on investment in life settlement contracts includes the gain (loss) on acquisition of life settlement contracts, the gain (loss) realized upon a mortality event and the change in fair value of the investments in life settlements as evaluated at the end of each reporting period. We determine fair value based upon our estimate of the discounted cash flow related to policies (net of the reserves for improvements in mortality, the possibility that the high net worth individuals represented in our portfolio may have access to better health care, the volatility inherent in determining the life expectancy of insureds with significant reported health impairments, the possibility that the issuer of the policy or a third party will contest the payment of the death benefit payable to us and the future expenses related to the administration of the portfolio), which incorporates current life expectancy assumptions, premium payments, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life settlement contracts and the rate of return that a buyer would require on the policies as no comparable market pricing is available. The gain (loss) realized upon a mortality event is the difference between the death benefit received and the recorded fair value of that particular policy. We allocate gain (loss) on investment in life settlement contracts to our segments based on gross written premium by segment.

Other Expense. Other expense includes those charges that are related to the amortization of tangible and intangible assets and non-insurance fee generating activities in which we engage, including salaries and benefits expenses and other charges directly attributable to non-insurance fee generating activities, such as those generated by Builders & Tradesman's Insurance Services, Inc. ("BTIS"), CNH Industrial Canada Insurance and CNH Industrial Insurance Agency Inc. (collectively, "CNH"), First Nonprofit Insurance Company ("FNIC"), Oryx Insurance Brokerage, Inc., RS Acquisition Holdco, LLC and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Risk Services"), TMI Solutions, LLC, Warrantech Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Warrantech") and Warranty Solutions.

Interest Expense. Interest expense represents amounts we incur on our outstanding indebtedness at the then-applicable interest rates.

Income Tax Expense. We incur federal income tax expense as well as income tax expense in certain foreign jurisdictions in which we operate.

Net Loss Ratio. The net loss ratio is a measure of the underwriting profitability of an insurance company's business. Expressed as a percentage, this is the ratio of net losses and LAE incurred to net premiums earned.

Net Expense Ratio. The net expense ratio is a measure of an insurance company's operational efficiency in administering its business. Expressed as a percentage, this is the ratio of the sum of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses to net premiums earned. As we allocate certain acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses based on premium volume to our segments, net loss ratio on a segment basis may be impacted period over period by a shift in the mix of net written premium.

Net Combined Ratio. The net combined ratio is a measure of an insurance company's overall underwriting profit. This is the sum of the net loss and net expense ratios. If the net combined ratio is at or above 100 percent, an insurance company cannot be profitable without investment income, and may not be profitable if investment income is insufficient.

Net Premiums Earned less Expenses Included in Combined Ratio (Underwriting Income). Underwriting income is a measure of an insurance company's overall operating profitability before items such as investment income, interest expense and income taxes.

Return on Equity. We calculate return on equity by dividing net income by the average of stockholders' equity.

Critical Accounting Policies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

It is important to understand our accounting policies in order to understand our financial statements. These policies require us to make estimates and assumptions. Our management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of the estimates and assumptions we use with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the related disclosures. Some of the estimates result from judgments that can be subjective and complex, and, consequently, actual results in future periods might differ significantly from these estimates.

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 2. "Significant Accounting Policies" in the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. We believe that our most critical accounting policies relate to the reporting of earned premium, reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses, including losses that have occurred but have not been reported prior to the reporting date, amounts recoverable from third party reinsurers, deferred policy acquisition costs, valuation of investments, valuation of life settlement contracts and related profit commission, business combinations, goodwill and intangible assets, and income taxes and valuation allowance, each of which is discussed below.

The following is a description of our critical accounting policies.

Premiums. We recognize insurance premiums, other than in our Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment, as earned on the straight-line basis over the contract period. Insurance premiums on Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty business are earned based on estimated program coverage periods. We base these estimates on the expected distribution of coverage periods by contract at inception, and because a single contract may contain multiple coverage period options, we revise these estimates based on the actual coverage periods selected by the insured. Unearned premiums represent the portion of premiums written that is applicable to the unexpired term of the contract or policy in force. We base premium adjustments on contracts and audit premiums on estimates made over the contract period. Earned but unbilled premium ("EBUB") estimates the amount of audit premium for those policies that have yet to be audited as of the date of the quarter or year end. Workers' compensation policies are subject to audit and the final premium may increase or decrease materially from the original premium due to revisions to actual payroll and/or employee classification. Based on guidance in FASB ASC 944 as well as Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles 53, we determine EBUB using statistically supported aggregate calculations based on our historical premium audit results. We have not had a material adjustment as a result of actual premium audits materially differing from the estimates used in calculating EBUB. We also estimate an allowance for doubtful accounts based on a percentage of premium.

Reserves for Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses and Unearned Premium Reserves. We record reserves for estimated losses under insurance policies that we write and for loss adjustment expenses related to the investigation and settlement of policy claims. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses represent the estimated cost of all reported and unreported loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred and unpaid at any given point in time based on known facts and circumstances. In establishing our reserves, we do not use loss discounting, which would involve recognizing the time value of money and offsetting estimates of future payments by future expected investment income. Our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses are estimated using case-by-case valuations and actuarial analysis.

We utilize a combination of generally accepted and standard actuarial methods to estimate our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses. Embedded within these actuarial methods are loss development assumptions selected by either a review of our specific loss development history, industry loss development characteristics, or a combination of both depending on the line of business and the maturity of the loss experience to date. Our actuaries generate a range within which it is reasonably likely that our ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses for claims incurred in a particular time period will fall. Although not an exhaustive list, the range is affected by factors such as line of

business, volatility of losses, duration of liabilities and other macroeconomic factors that create uncertainty around the estimate. The results of multiple actuarial methods may also influence the range selection.

Loss development factors are a key assumption underlying many of the actuarial methods utilized. Loss development factors are the ratio of losses at successive evaluations for a defined group of claims (e.g., accident year, accident quarter, etc.). Loss development factors may be dependent on a number of elements, including frequency and severity of claims, length of time to achieve ultimate settlement of claims, case reserving practices, projected inflation of medical costs and wages (for workers' compensation), insurance policy coverage interpretations, judicial determinations and existing laws and regulations. The predictive ability of loss development factors is dependent on consistent underwriting, claims handling, and inflation, among other factors, and predictable legislatively and judicially imposed legal requirements. If all things remain equal, losses incurred in 2015 should develop similarly to losses incurred in 2014 and prior years over comparable time periods. However, due to the inherent uncertainty in the loss development factors, future development may differ significantly from past development.

Notwithstanding the inherent uncertainty, we have not observed material variability in our loss development factors for our largest books of business. We believe that it is reasonably likely that we could experience a 5% deviation in our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves due to changes in the elements that underlie loss development, such as claims frequency or severity.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

For example, as of December 31, 2015, the average cost per workers' compensation claim was \$12,481, which was a 3.0% increase over the claims severity from 2001 – 2014 of \$12,121. In 2015, claims frequency (number of claims per \$1.0 million of payroll) increased to .986 from .982, an increase of .39%, for the period between 2001 and 2015.

In the event of a 5% increase in claims frequency (all other things remaining equal) as measured by our workers' compensation insureds' payroll, which we believe is the most important assumption regarding our business, our loss reserves as of December 31, 2015 would be understated by \$52 million and would result in an after tax reduction in stockholders' equity of \$34 million. In the event of a 5% increase in claim severity (all other things remaining equal), which is the average incurred loss per claim, our loss and loss adjustment expense reserves would be understated by \$35.3 million and would result in an after tax reduction in stockholders' equity of \$22.9 million.

On a quarterly basis, we review our reserves to determine whether the expected loss emergence based on our actuarial assumptions is consistent with the actual loss emergence to date. We also perform monthly diagnostic reviews in the form of reported and paid loss ratios to date and compare to comparable historical points to detect any possible anomalous results. In the event of a result that looks materially different from our previous loss history, we would seek to determine the causes (e.g., underwriting, claims, inflation, and/or regulatory) and may adjust our reserves depending on the identified cause. For example, if the emergence of our total incurred losses were 5% greater than what our loss emergence patterns (development factors) would have predicted, we may adjust our reserves for the periods in question. In 2015, 2014 and 2013, our liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE attributable to prior accident years increased by \$33.9 million, \$18.6 million and \$30.9 million, respectively. This was primarily driven by unfavorable loss development in our Small Commercial Business and Specialty Program segments, as well as a portion of our European casualty business in 2015. We will continue to monitor the accuracy of our loss development factors and related actuarial assumptions, as well as the adequacy of our reserves.

There is generally more uncertainty in the unearned premium reserve than in the IBNR reserve in our Specialty Risk and

Extended Warranty segment because our warranty claims are short-tailed. Claims are generally reported immediately after they occur and are closed within months of reporting. In the Specialty Risk and Extended Warranty segment, the reserve for unearned premium is an estimate of our liability for projected future losses emanating from the unearned portion of written policies and is inherently more uncertain than the IBNR reserve. Approximately 52.6% of policies written have policy terms exceeding one year. A portion of these policies are not earned on a straight line over the contract period. Those policies are earned over the period of risk in proportion to the amount of insurance protection provided. As of December 31, 2015, we had unearned premium of approximately \$682 million on these policies. An upward/downward movement of 5% on the entire pool of the earn out pattern for these policies would result in an increase/decrease in unearned premium of approximately \$34.1 million. In 2015, we had no material changes to prior period estimates of these claim patterns. Our liability for return of unearned premium is not significant.

Additional information regarding our reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses can be found in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and "Item 1. Business — Loss Reserves."

Reinsurance. We account for reinsurance premiums, losses and LAE ceded to other companies on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. We record premiums earned and losses incurred ceded to other companies as reductions of premium revenue and losses and LAE. We account for commissions allowed by reinsurers on business ceded as ceding commission, which is a reduction of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses. Reinsurance recoverables relate to the portion of reserves and paid losses and LAE that are ceded to other companies. We remain contingently liable for all loss payments in the event of failure to collect from the reinsurer.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs. We defer commission expenses, premium taxes and assessments as well as certain underwriting and safety costs that vary with and are primarily related to the successful acquisition of insurance policies. These acquisition costs are capitalized and charged to expense ratably as premiums are earned. We may realize deferred policy acquisition costs only if the ratio of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (calculated on a discounted basis) to the premiums to be earned is less than 100%, as it historically has been. If, hypothetically, that ratio were to be above 100%, we could not continue to record deferred policy acquisition costs as an asset and may be required to establish a liability for a premium deficiency reserve.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Our estimates of fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities are based on the framework established in ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The framework is based on the inputs used in valuation and gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and requires that observable inputs be used in the valuations when available. The disclosure of fair value estimates in the ASC 820 hierarchy is based on whether the significant inputs into the valuation are observable. In determining the level of the hierarchy in which the estimate is disclosed, the highest priority is given to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs that reflect our significant market assumptions. Additionally, valuation of fixed maturity investments is more subjective when markets are less

TABLE OF CONTENTS

liquid due to lack of market based inputs, which may increase the potential that the estimated fair value of an investment is not reflective of the price at which an actual transaction could occur. Fair values of other financial instruments approximate their carrying values. We report fixed maturity securities and equity securities classified as available-for-sale at their estimated fair values based on quoted market prices or a recognized pricing service, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax effects, reported as a separate component of comprehensive income in stockholders' equity. Equity securities classified as trading securities are stated at estimated fair market value. Gains and losses, both realized and unrealized, are included in the net realized gain or loss on investment on the consolidated statement of income. For both available-for-sale and trading securities, we determine realized gains and losses on the specific identification method.

For investments that have quoted market prices in active markets, we use the quoted market prices as fair value and include these prices in the amounts disclosed in the Level 1 hierarchy. We receive the quoted market prices from nationally recognized third party pricing services ("pricing service"). When quoted market prices are unavailable, we utilize a pricing service to determine an estimate of fair value. This pricing method is used, primarily, for fixed maturities. The fair value estimates provided by the pricing service are included in the Level 2 hierarchy. If we determine that the fair value estimate provided by the pricing service does not represent fair value or if quoted market prices and an estimate from pricing services are unavailable, we produce an estimate of fair value based on dealer quotations of the bid price for recent activity in positions with the same or similar characteristics to that being valued or through consensus pricing of a pricing service. Depending on the level of observable inputs, we will then determine if the estimate is Level 2 or Level 3 hierarchy.

Fixed Maturities. We utilize a pricing service to estimate fair value measurements for all of our fixed maturities. The pricing service utilizes market quotations for fixed maturity securities that have quoted market prices in active markets. Since fixed maturities other than U.S. treasury securities generally do not trade on a daily basis, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements using relevant market data, benchmark curves, sector groupings and matrix pricing. The pricing service we utilize has indicated it will produce an estimate of fair value only if there is verifiable information to produce a valuation. As the fair value estimates of most fixed maturity investments are based on observable market information rather than market quotes, the estimates of fair value other than U.S. Treasury securities are included in Level 2 of the hierarchy. U.S. Treasury securities are included in the amount disclosed in Level 1 as the estimates are based on unadjusted prices. Our Level 2 investments include obligations of U.S. government agencies, municipal bonds, corporate debt securities and other mortgage backed securities.

Equity Securities. We utilize a pricing service to estimate the fair value of the majority of our available-for-sale and trading equity securities. The pricing service utilizes market quotations for equity securities that have quoted market prices in active markets and their respective quoted prices are provided as fair value. We classify the values of these equity securities as Level 1. The pricing service also provides fair value estimates for certain equity securities whose fair value is based on observable market information rather than market quotes. We classify the value of these equity securities as Level 2. We also hold certain equity securities that are issued by privately-held entities or direct equity investments that do not have an active market. We estimate the fair value of these securities primarily based on inputs such as third party broker quote, issuers' book value, market multiples, and other inputs. These equity securities are classified as Level 3 due to significant unobservable inputs used in the valuation.

Other Investments. Other investments consisted primarily of investments in private limited partnerships, real estate partnerships and annuities. Other investments accounted for approximately 1.4% of our investment portfolio as of December 31, 2015, which we believe is immaterial to our overall financial position or results of operations. We use the equity method of accounting to account for a majority of our other investments, which are typically accounted for on a three month lag basis. For the other investments reported at fair value, we estimate the fair value based on significant unobservable inputs in the valuation process. As a result, we classified the fair value estimates as Level 3 in the financial hierarchy.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Other-than-temporary-impairment (“OTTI”). Quarterly, our Investment Committee (“Committee”) evaluates each security that has an unrealized loss as of the end of the subject reporting period for “OTTI”. We generally consider an investment to be impaired when it has been in a significant unrealized loss position (in excess of 35% of cost if the issuer has a market capitalization of under \$1 billion and in excess of 25% of cost if the issuer has a market capitalization of \$1 billion or more) for over 24 months. In addition, the Committee uses a set of quantitative and qualitative criteria to review our investment portfolio to evaluate the necessity of recording impairment losses for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of our investments. The criteria the Committee primarily considers include:

- the current fair value compared to amortized cost;
 - the length of time the security’s fair value has been below its amortized cost;
 - specific credit issues related to the issuer such as changes in credit rating, reduction or elimination of dividends or non-payment of scheduled interest payments;
 - whether management intends to sell the security and, if not, whether it is not more than likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis;
 - the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer of the security, including any specific events that may affect its operations or earnings;
 - the occurrence of a discrete credit event resulting in the issuer defaulting on material outstanding obligations or the issuer seeking protection under bankruptcy laws; and
- other items, including company management, media exposure, sponsors, marketing and advertising agreements, debt restructuring, regulatory changes, acquisitions and dispositions, pending litigation, distribution agreements and general industry trends.

Impairment of investment securities results in a charge to operations when a market decline below cost is deemed to be other-than-temporary. We write down investments immediately that we consider to be impaired based on the above criteria collectively.

Based on guidance in FASB ASC 320-10-65, in the event of the decline in fair value of a debt security, a holder of that security that does not intend to sell the debt security and for whom it is not more than likely than not that such holder will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, is required to separate the decline in fair value into (a) the amount representing the credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors. The amount of total decline in fair value related to the credit loss shall be recognized in earnings as an OTTI with the amount related to other factors recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax. OTTI credit losses result in a permanent reduction of the cost basis of the underlying investment. The determination of OTTI is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of the loss realization. During 2015, 2014 and 2013, we recorded impairment write-downs of approximately \$19.2 million, \$8.0 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, after determining that certain of our investments were OTTI.

Life Settlements. When we become the owner of a life insurance policy, the life insurance premium for such policy is accounted for as an investment in life settlements. Investments in life settlements are accounted for in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which states that an investor shall elect to account for its investments in life settlement contracts using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. We have elected to account for these investments using the fair value method.

Life Settlement Profit Commission. We retain a third party service provider to perform certain administration functions to effectively manage the life settlement contracts held by Tiger Capital, LLC and AMT Capital Holdings II S.A. and a portion of their fee is contingent on the overall profitability of the life settlement contracts. We accrue the related profit commission on life settlements at fair value, in relation to life settlements purchased prior to December 31, 2010. This profit commission is calculated based on the discounted anticipated cash flows and the provisions of the underlying contract. In addition, we accrue a best estimate in relation to profit commission due on

certain life settlement contracts acquired subsequent to December 31, 2010 as no contractual relationship currently exists.

Business Combinations. We account for business combinations under the acquisition method of accounting, which requires us to record assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at their respective fair values as of the acquisition date in our consolidated financial statements. We account for the insurance and reinsurance contracts under the acquisition method as new contracts, which requires us to record assets and liabilities at fair value. We adjust the fair value of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves by recording the acquired loss reserves based on our existing accounting policies and then discounting them based on expected reserve payout patterns using a current risk-free rate of interest. This risk free interest rate is then adjusted based on different cash flow scenarios that use different payout and ultimate reserve assumptions deemed to be

TABLE OF CONTENTS

reasonably possible based upon the inherent uncertainties present in determining the amount and timing of payment of such reserves. The difference between the acquired loss and LAE reserves and our best estimate of the fair value of such reserves at the acquisition date is recorded either as an intangible asset or an other liability, as applicable, and amortized proportionately to the decrease in the acquired loss and loss adjustment expense reserves over the payout period for the acquired loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. We record contingent consideration at fair value based on the terms of the purchase agreement with subsequent changes in fair value recorded through earnings. The determination of fair value may require management to make significant estimates and assumptions. The purchase price is the fair value of the total consideration conveyed to the seller and we record the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the acquired net assets, where applicable, as goodwill. We assign fair values to intangible assets based on valuation techniques including the income and market approaches. We expense costs associated with the acquisition of a business in the period incurred. We include the results of operations of an acquired business in our consolidated financial statements from the date of the acquisition.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets. We account for goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with ASC 820, Business Combinations and ASC 350, Intangibles — Goodwill and Other. We record an acquisition price paid that is in excess of net assets (“goodwill”) arising from a business combination as an asset, and it is not amortized. We amortize intangible assets with a finite life over the estimated useful life of the asset. We do not amortize intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. We review our finite-lived intangible assets for impairment when events or change in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such intangible assets may not be recoverable from estimated cash flows. We test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the goodwill or intangible asset is impaired, it is written down to its realizable value with a corresponding expense reflected in the consolidated statement of operations.

Income Taxes. We file a consolidated United States (“US”) income tax return for our eligible domestic subsidiaries. Our non-domestic subdivisions file income tax returns in their respective local jurisdictions. As part of the US consolidated income tax return filing, we are party to federal income tax allocation agreements amongst the includible entities. Under the tax allocation agreements, we pay to or receive from our subsidiaries the amount, if any, by which the group’s federal income tax liability was affected by virtue of inclusion of the subsidiary in the consolidated federal return.

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of “temporary differences” between the amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and such amounts as measured by tax laws and regulations. The deferred tax asset primarily consists of book versus tax differences for premiums earned, loss and loss adjustment expense reserve discounting, policy acquisition costs, earned but unbilled premiums, and unrealized holding gains and losses on marketable equity securities. We record changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities that are associated with components of other comprehensive income, primarily unrealized investment gains and losses, directly to other comprehensive income. Otherwise, we include changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities as a component of income tax expense.

In assessing the recoverability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that we will generate future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, tax planning strategies and projected future taxable income in making this assessment. If necessary, we establish a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amounts that are more likely than not to be realized.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Results of Operations

Consolidated Results of Operations

	Year End December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
	(Amounts in Thousands)		
Gross written premium	\$6,799,537	\$6,087,965	\$4,116,911
Net written premium	\$4,260,058		