NU SKIN ENTERPRISES INC Form 10-K/A April 29, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K/A

(Amendment No. 1)

(Mark One)

 $_{\rm X}$ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

Commission file number: 001-12421

NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<u>Delaware</u> 87-0565309

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

75 West Center Street Provo, UT 84601

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 345-1000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Class A common stock, \$.001 par value
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Name of exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No ...

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company "

Based on the closing sales price of the Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2007, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$832 million. All executive officers and directors of the Registrant have been deemed, solely for the purpose of the foregoing calculation, to be affiliates of the Registrant.

As of February 15, 2008, 63,410,845 shares of the Registrant s Class A common stock, \$.001 par value per share, and no shares of the Registrant s Class B common stock, \$.001 par value per share, or preferred stock were outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference. None.

Explanatory Note

This Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-K (the Amendment) amends Nu Skin s (the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2008 (the Original Filing). We are refiling Part III to include information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 because our definitive proxy statement containing such information will not be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, we are including information in Item 9B regarding the revised deadline for submitting stockholder proposals to be included in our proxy statement for our annual meeting in 2008. In addition, in connection with the filing of this Amendment and pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are including with this Amendment certain currently dated certifications. Accordingly, Item 15 of Part IV has also been amended to reflect the filing of these currently dated certifications.

Except as described above, no other changes have been made to the Original Filing. This Amendment continues to speak as of the date of the Original Filing and we have not updated the disclosures contained therein to reflect any events that occurred subsequent to the date of the Original Filing. The filing of this Form 10-K/A is not a representation that any statements contained in items of Form 10-K other than Part II, Item 9B and Part III, Items 10 through 14 are true or complete as of any date subsequent to the Original Filing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART II			-1-
	Item 9B.	Other Information	-1-
PART III			-1-
	Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	-1-
	Item 11.	Executive Compensation	-3-
	Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	-29-
	Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	-32-
	Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	-33-

Explanatory Note 2

PART IV			-34-
	Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	-34-
SIGNATUR	ES		-35-
EXHIBITS			-36-
		-i-	

PART II

Item 9B. Other Information

On February 25, 2008 and February 29, 2008, Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. (the Company) executed amendments (collectively, the Amendments) to the following loan and credit agreements (collectively, the Credit Agreements): (i) Note Purchase Agreement dated October 12, 2000 between the Company and The Prudential Insurance Company of America, as amended; (ii) Private Shelf Agreement dated as of August 26, 2003 between the Company and Prudential Investment Management, Inc., as amended (the Private Shelf Agreement); and (iii) Credit Agreement dated as of May 10, 2001 among the Company, various financial institutions and the Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, as amended. The Amendments provide that for purposes of calculating the minimum Fixed Charges Coverage ratio the amount of Consolidated Net Income Available for Fixed Charges for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2007 shall be increased by \$15 million.

The date of the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be June 25, 2008. As a consequence of having advanced the date of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders by more than 30 days from the date of the previous annual meeting, the deadline for the Company s receipt of stockholder proposals for inclusion in the proxy statement and for a stockholder proposal to be raised at this year's annual meeting was December 26, 2007.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Directors and Executive Officers

The information for our executive officers is contained in Part I, Item 1 of our Form 10-K. Set forth below are the name, age, and business experience of each of our directors, listed in alphabetical order:

Daniel W. Campbell, 53, has served as a director of our company since March 1997 and currently serves as our Lead Independent Director. Mr. Campbell has been a Managing General Partner of EsNet, Ltd., a privately held investment company, since 1994. From 1992 to 1994, Mr. Campbell was the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WordPerfect Corporation, a software company, and prior to that was a partner of Price Waterhouse LLP. He currently serves as a director of The SCO Group, Inc., a provider of software solutions for businesses. He received a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University.

Christine Day, 46, has served as a director since May 2007. Ms. Day was recently appointed to serve as the Chief Executive Officer of Lululemon Athletica, a marketer of athletic and casual wear, beginning in June. She was serving as the Executive Vice President of Lululemon Athletica, a position she has held since January 2008. Ms. Day previously served as President of the Asia Pacific Group for Starbucks Coffee from January 2004 to February 2007. Prior to her role as president of the Asia Pacific Group, Ms. Day served in a variety of positions with Starbucks since 1986, including senior vice president of Starbucks Coffee International, senior vice president of North American finance and administration, and senior vice president of North American strategic business systems. She currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Select Comfort (NASDAQ: SCSS). She graduated from Central Washington University with a bachelor of arts in Administration and is a graduate of Harvard Business School s Advanced Management Program. Ms. Day has notified the Company that she will not be standing for re-election at the Company s annual meeting of shareholders this year.

Jake Garn, 75, has served as a director of our company since March 1997. Senator Garn served as a Managing Director of Summit Ventures, LLC, a lobbying firm, from 2000 to 2004, when he retired. He currently serves on the boards of directors of Franklin Covey Co., a provider of time management seminars and products and Headwaters, Inc., a provider of products, technologies and services to the energy, construction and home improvement industries. From 1974 to 1993, Senator Garn was a member of the United States Senate and served on numerous Senate Committees. He received a B.S. degree from the University of Utah.

-1-

M. Truman Hunt, 49, has served as our President since January 2003 and our Chief Executive Officer since May 2003. He has also served as a director of our company since May 2003. Mr. Hunt joined Nu Skin International, Inc. (NSI) (which we acquired in 1998) in 1994 and has served in various positions with NSI and our company, including Vice President and General Counsel from May 1998 to January 2003 and Executive Vice President from January 2001 until January 2003. Prior to 1994, Mr. Hunt served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Better Living Products, Inc., an NSI affiliate involved in the manufacture and distribution of houseware products sold through traditional retail channels and he was a securities and business attorney in private practice. He received a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University and a J.D. degree from the University of Utah.

Andrew D. Lipman, 56, has served as a director of our company since May 1999. Mr. Lipman is a partner and head of the Telecommunications, Media and Technology Group of Bingham McCutchen LLP, an international law firm. Mr. Lipman previously held a similar position from 1988 with Swidler Berlin, LLP, which mergered with Bingham and McCutchen in 2006. He also currently serves as a member of the boards of directors of Sutron Corporation, a provider of hydrological and meteorological monitoring products, and The Management Network Group, Inc., a telecommunications-related consulting firm. He received a B.A. degree from the University of Rochester and a J.D. degree from Stanford Law School.

Steven J. Lund, 55, has served as a director and Vice Chairman of our company since September of 2006. Prior to this, he was on a three-year leave of absence serving on a church assignment in Georgia. Mr. Lund served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of our company from its inception in 1996 until his 2003 leave of absence. Mr. Lund was a founding shareholder of Nu Skin International, Inc. (NSI) (which we acquired in 1998) and served as the Executive Vice President of NSI until the Company s acquisition of NSI. Mr. Lund previously worked as an attorney in private practice. He received a B.A. degree from Brigham Young University and a J.D. degree from Brigham Young University s J. Reuben Clark Law School.

Patricia Negrón, 41, has served as a director of our company since June 2005. Ms. Negrón is currently an independent business consultant, author and advisor to Goode Partners, LLC. In 1999, Ms. Negrón launched the financial advisory group at Breakaway Solutions, an internet consulting firm, which she managed until 2001. Ms. Negrón previously worked as a research analyst at the investment banking firm of Adams Harkness & Hill. She received a B.S. degree from Armstrong Atlantic State University and a Certificate of Special Studies in Administration and Management from Harvard University Extension School.

Blake M. Roney, 50, has served as Chairman of the Board since our inception in 1996. Mr. Roney was a founder of NSI in 1984 and served as its Chief Executive Officer and President until our acquisition of NSI in March 1998. Since our acquisition of NSI, Mr. Roney has retained his position as Chairman of the Board of our company. He received a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University.

Sandra N. Tillotson, 51, has served as a director of our company since its inception in 1996 and as Senior Vice President since May 1998. Ms. Tillotson was a founding shareholder of NSI and served as a Vice President of NSI from its formation until our acquisition of NSI in 1998. She earned a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University.

We are not aware of any family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers. Our Certificate of Incorporation contains provisions eliminating or limiting the personal liability of directors for violations of a director s fiduciary duty to the extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requires our officers and directors and persons who own beneficially more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our equity securities. Officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely upon a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us or written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, all officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements, except for the following: Each of Mr. Joe Chang and Mr. Dan Chard filed one late report on Form 4 related to one transaction and Ms. Melisa Quijano filed a late report on Form 3. In addition, Andrew Fan filed one late report with respect to one transaction by his wife.

-2-

Code of Conduct

We have adopted a code of conduct that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors, including our subsidiaries. This code is available on our website at http://www.nuskinenterprises.com. In addition, any substantive amendments we make to this code, and any material

Code of Conduct 4

waivers we grant (including implicit waivers) to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions will be disclosed on our website.

Audit Committee

The audit committee currently consists of Daniel Campbell (its chair), Christine Day and Patricia Negrón, each of whom is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Board of Directors has determined that Daniel Campbell is an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee s responsibilities include, among other things:

selecting our independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing the activities and the reports of the independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing our quarterly and annual financial statements and our significant accounting policies, practices and procedures;

approving in advance the audit and non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm; and

reviewing the adequacy of our internal controls and internal auditing methods and procedures.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing and administering our executive compensation program, which consists of a variety of components, including base salary, cash incentive bonuses, equity awards, and retirement benefits. This compensation and discussion analysis is intended to provide more information regarding:

our compensation objectives;

the various components of our compensation program and how they relate to our objectives;

the factors taken into consideration in establishing the levels of compensation or participation in these various components; and

the compensation decisions during the year related to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the other executive officers listed in the summary compensation table (the Named Officers) and factors and analysis related to such decisions.

Objectives

The primary objectives of our compensation program are to:

successfully recruit and retain experienced and talented executives;

-3-

provide competitive compensation arrangements that are linked to corporate and individual performance; and align the financial interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

Objectives 5

The following table identifies each of the key components of our compensation program and the primary objectives that each of the components is designed to accomplish:

Component of Compensation Program Primary Objective

Base Salary Retention

Discretionary Bonuses Retention

Pay-for-Performance

Cash Incentive Plan Pay-for-Performance

Stockholder Alignment

Equity Incentive Plan Pay-for-Performance

Stockholder Alignment

Retention

Retirement and Deferred Compensation Plans Retention

Severance Arrangements Retention

We also provide perquisites and other personal benefits to executive officers that represent a very small portion of their overall compensation.

Process for Determining Compensation

Role of Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer

The Compensation Committee is responsible for evaluating the performance of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer relative to their performance goals and targets and for setting their compensation. The Compensation Committee has given the Chief Executive Officer the responsibility of evaluating the performance of the other executive officers and discussing the evaluations with the Compensation Committee. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer can also make recommendations to the Compensation Committee with regard to the compensation packages for new executive officers and/or adjustments in compensation for other executive officers. The Compensation Committee reviews any such recommendations and has the authority to approve, revise, or reject such recommendations.

Use of Compensation Consultants and Survey Data

-4-

The Compensation Committee has retained the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co. as its independent compensation consultant to assist the Compensation Committee in the review of our executive compensation program, to provide compensation data and alternatives to the Committee, and to provide advice to the Committee as requested. The compensation consultant engaged by the Compensation Committee generally does not perform any work for our company outside of the services it performs for the Compensation Committee. We utilized the compensation data and alternatives provided by the compensation consultant to analyze compensation decisions in light of current market rates and practices, and to help ensure that our compensation decisions are competitive in the market and economically defensible. We compare the compensation proposals for our Named Officers to the compensation practices of a peer group of publicly-traded companies that compete in our industry or are similar in size to us. The competitive cash compensation data provided by Frederic W. Cook & Co. includes limited use of national survey data calibrated for all industries for companies with similar revenue levels as us. The group is reviewed and updated by the Compensation Committee from time to time to insure we are utilizing an appropriate group in terms of size and relevance. The group was most recently reviewed and revised in November 2007 by the Compensation Committee taking into account the input and recommendations of Fredric W. Cook & Co. The Compensation Committee determined that it was appropriate to expand the group to include consumer product companies with market capitalizations in the range of 1/3rd to 3 times our market capitalization. The three companies removed from the previous peer group had market capitalizations outside this range. The revised peer group was used in analyzing the special equity grant in December 2007 as discussed below. Our company is at the median of this group with respect to revenue and market capitalization. Peer group information and other data is only one factor used by the Committee in making decisions. The following table indicates the companies that are included in the revised peer group as well as the companies that were included in the previous peer group.

-5-

Current Peer Group
Bare Escentuals

<u>Previous Peer Group</u> Alberto Culver

Blyth Chattern ga: 1 m.1g1110 01 m 1 2111 21 m 1 1 1 0 2 0

Chattern CSS Industries

Elizabeth Arden Gaiam

Herbalife Inter Parfums NBTY Perrigo Playtex Products

Prestige Brands

Sensient

Tupperware Brands Usana Health Sciences

Mix of Compensation

Blyth

Church and Dwight Elizabeth Arden

Herbalife NBTY Perrigo

Playtex Products Sensient Tech Tupperware

USANA Health Sciences

Weight Watchers

When we review the compensation for an executive, we do not use a specific formula or allocation targets to establish the level or mix of compensation. Rather, we exercise judgment in determining a mix of compensation that we believe is appropriate to accomplish our compensation objectives under the circumstances applicable to the executive. We also take into consideration the relative mix of compensation provided by other companies and try to make sure each component is competitive. When reviewing one component of compensation, we will consider the compensation paid under other components. For example, in the past we took into consideration the value of Mr. Hunt s initial unvested restricted stock grant when considering his salary level, which has resulted in his salary being below the median of our peer group. Historically, a majority of target compensation is typically tied to corporate performance in the form of our cash incentive plans and our equity incentive plans.

Components of Compensation

Base Salaries

In establishing and approving base salaries, we consider various factors including:

current market practices and salary levels;

the nature of each executive officer's responsibilities and capabilities;

individual performance and the performance of our company;

the overall total direct compensation of an executive officer, consisting of base salary, targeted cash incentive payments and other cash payments, and equity incentive awards;

competitive offers made to executive officers and the level of salary that may be required to recruit or retain our executive officers; and

the recommendations of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer for officers other than themselves.

Base salaries are typically reviewed annually during our evaluation period in February and March and changes are typically made effective for the first pay period ending in April. We do not assign any specific weights to the factors identified above, but emphasize establishing base salaries that are competitive with the salaries paid by other companies in order to enable us to attract and retain qualified and effective executive officers. In order to remain competitive, we use the median level for base salaries from our peer group and industry surveys as a guideline, except for our key performers, which include our Named Officers, where we use the 75th percentile as a guideline. We use a higher threshold for our key performers because we believe that maintaining a higher salary level for key performers will increase retention. However, these levels are merely guidelines, and we set salaries above and below these guidelines depending on various factors, including corporate and individual performance, experience in the position, the uniqueness of the position and responsibility, levels of other compensation components, and level of retention risk.

The salaries of Mr. Hunt and all other executive officers were reviewed in the first quarter of 2007. Based on the decrease in operating income in 2006 and the efforts to control costs, no increases were approved for the Named Officers, except for the contractual increase of \$25,000 that Mr. Chard was entitled to under the terms of his employment agreement. Mr. Hunt unilaterally reduced his own base salary in 2006 from \$665,000 to \$550,000. Mr. Sumihiro s base salary was approved in connection with the employment agreement offered to him to accept a position as the head of our Japan operations. Based on Mr. Sumihiro s years of experience at Amway Japan, including his position as chief operating officer and the limited pool of qualified candidates with high level management experience in direct selling in Japan, we believe the approved salary level was appropriate. Mr. Sumihiro s base salary also includes a \$100,000 foreign service premium related to his position as an expatriate (a foreign service assignment).

Cash Incentive Bonuses

Consistent with our objective to tie a significant portion of the executive officers compensation to our financial performance, we utilized a cash incentive plan that paid incentive bonuses based on our performance in quarterly and semi-annual incentive periods in 2007. The plan was designed to motivate executive officers and reward them for meeting their short-term operating targets. We used revenue and operating income as the two performance targets in 2007, with 70% of the bonus tied to revenue targets and 30% of the bonus tied to operating income targets. We have historically elected to allocate a larger portion of the bonus to revenue because we believe that revenue growth is critical to our long-term success. However, we also include operating income targets so that revenue growth does not come at the expense of the bottom line. If the minimum operating target is not achieved, we do not pay a bonus even if the associated revenue target is met. Because our executive officers cannot control foreign currency fluctuations, we have eliminated the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on the performance targets by using fixed foreign currency exchange rates in calculating targets and actual performance. We establish our revenue and operating targets for the first two quarters of the year and the first semi-annual period at the beginning of the year. We establish targets for the last two quarters and second semi-annual period in the middle of the year, generally following completion of the second quarter. The bonus amounts payable for the quarterly periods are paid at half the rate of the semi-annual period bonuses.

Bonuses are computed based upon the degree to which the targeted performance measures were met or exceeded. We establish incentive targets at three levels, referred to as Minimum, Budget, and Stretch targets. If Budget targets are met for a particular incentive period, a participant will receive a bonus amount equal to the pre-established percentage of salary (the Target Bonus). If a Budget target is not met, the bonus amount decreases linearly until reaching 50% of the Target Bonus at the Minimum target level. No bonus is paid if Minimum operating income targets are not met. To the extent actual revenue or operating income measures exceed Budget level, the bonus amount increases linearly above the Target Bonus until reaching 200% of the Target Bonus at the Stretch level. If performance exceeds the Stretch level, the bonus amount increases in proportion to the extent to which Stretch targets are exceeded, but may not exceed \$3 million under the terms of our incentive plan. The Compensation Committee has the discretion to exclude extraordinary, infrequent or non-operational items or amounts from the calculations to the extent it determines appropriate. During the last three years, bonuses at the corporate level have been earned for six of the 12 quarterly incentive periods, and three of the six semi-annual periods. Approximately half of the bonuses were paid out below target bonus level. Based on our review of our incentive plan, our performance compared to our peer group, and the relative level of bonuses earned by our executives compared to our peer group, we believe that the level of bonuses paid under our incentive plan has been reflective of and consistent with corporate performance. For example, the level of bonuses paid to our executives was below the median and consistent with our performance as compared to our peer group.

We set the targeted level of bonuses (the Target Bonus Percentages) based on an executive officer s position and responsibility and market practices. The target levels are intended to tie a meaningful portion of an executive officer s total cash compensation to our performance. We have set Mr. Hunt s target bonus percentage at 100%, which is in line with the market practices of our peer group. Because we believe that our other top corporate executives should work as a team and share the responsibility to support the goals and performance of our company, we have typically structured our cash incentives for these executives to be similar in size and composition. The target bonuses for our Chairman, Mr. Roney, and the Named Officers serving on our executive committee (Mr. Chang, Mr. Chard, and Mr. Wood) are set at 60% and are tied to the same targets as Mr. Hunt s. Because Mr. Sumihiro is the President of our operations in Japan, his bonus is tied to revenue and operating profit in Japan. His target bonus percentage is set at 50%, which is consistent with the bonus percentages of our regional heads who are not on our executive management committee.

-7-

Incentive Plan Targets

The table below sets forth the operating income and revenue targets for the incentive periods in 2007, the actual performance both in amount and as a percentage of the target performance, and the percentage of the target bonus that was earned.* The total dollar amount of the bonuses earned is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

(dollar amounts expressed in thousands)

O1 2007 O2 2007 H1 2007 O3 2007 O4 2007 2H 2007

Revenue

Budget Target	\$277,385	\$297,294	\$574,679	\$295,304	\$304,365	\$599,669
Actual	\$272,250	\$290,339	\$562,589	\$288,032	\$296,828	\$584,860
% of Target	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%	98%
% of Target Bonus	76%	70%	73%	0%	0%	0%
Operating Profit						
Budget Target	\$ 19,000	\$ 25,900	\$ 44,900	\$ 23,700	\$ 33,000	\$ 56,700
Actual	\$ 17,682	\$ 25,229	\$ 42,911	\$ 18,672	\$ 23,709	\$ 42,381
% of Target	93%	97%	96%	79%	72%	75%
% of Target Bonus	67%	82%	75%	0%	0%	0%

* We establish targets and determine bonus payouts based on pre-established, fixed currency exchange rates. Differences between actual results reported in the table above and results reported in our audited financial statements are a result of the difference between the fixed currency rates established for bonuses and actual foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations during the period. In addition, we also excluded the following charges in determining actual performance: (a) fourth quarter restructuring charges of \$17.0 million; and (b) second quarter expenses of \$2.8 million related to closing operations in Brazil. We believe it was appropriate to exclude the charges so that management would not be penalized for making decisions to improve profitability and based on the understanding that targets for the second half of 2007 would be adjusted to reflect anticipated cost savings with respect to closing Brazil, and targets for 2008 would reflect the anticipated cost savings with respect to the actions taken in the fourth quarter.

As indicated above, Mr. Sumihiro s bonus targets were based on Japan s operating results, and no bonuses were earned under the plan based on these results in Japan during the year.

For 2008, we have made several changes to increase the alignment of our cash incentive plan to the interests of our stockholders. First, 50% of the target bonus will be tied to meeting annual incentive targets rather than semi-annual targets. Second, payment of bonuses will be tied to meeting earnings per share targets rather than operating income targets. Third, for corporate officers, 50% of the target bonus will now be tied to operating income as opposed to 30%. Finally, performance will not be calculated using fixed foreign currency exchange rates, but will based on our actual financial results except as described below. We believe these changes will better align the efforts our management team with the interests of our stockholders and help focus their efforts on annual results. The measurement of actual performance will be adjusted, however, to eliminate the impact of foreign currency swings if the Yen moves outside of a pre-established range. In addition, a bonus can be reduced by up to 10% for corporate officers (Mssrs. Hunt, Chang, Chard and Wood) or 20% for the heads of key regions and markets (Mr. Sumihiro) if individual performance goals are not met. In 2008, no changes were made to the target bonus percentages of the Named Officers.

Other Bonus

We retain the right to make discretionary bonuses to executive officers for excellent performance and other factors. We believe that it is appropriate to reward for superior individual performance in exceptional circumstances. However, we have generally only approved discretionary bonuses in limited circumstances. No discretionary bonuses were paid to the Named Officers in 2007.

We have also historically made a year-end holiday gift payment to all corporate employees, including the Named Officers, in the form of a gift certificate or similar merchant credit arrangement or cash in an amount equal to a percentage of each employee s base salary (typically approximately two-week s salary). The amount of the year-end holiday gift we paid to the Named Officers is included in the bonus column of the Summary Compensation Table and represents the same percentage of pay as was provided to other corporate employees.

-8-

We have occasionally agreed to pay retention or guaranteed bonuses when we have determined it was necessary to attract or retain a key executive. We believe a retention bonus paid at the end of an agreed upon employment period can provide a greater incentive to remain employed than an equivalent increase in salary because the salary is paid pro rata throughout the period and the retention bonus is only paid if the employee remains employed at the end of the employment period. In 2007, a retention bonus of \$200,000 was paid to Mr. Chang pursuant to the terms of Mr. Chang s compensation package that was negotiated in 2006 in light of other opportunities available to Mr. Chang. Under the terms of such arrangement, Mr. Chang received retention bonuses at the end of 2006 and 2007 and is entitled to receive retention bonuses at the end of each of the next two years in the amount of \$300,000 and \$400,000, respectively, if he is still employed by us at such time. The retention bonus is back-end weighted so that the majority of the bonus will be received at the end of the later years. We felt that this retention bonus was an appropriate mechanism to incentivize Mr. Chang to remain with our company based on Mr. Chang s contributions in our product development area, his experience in the industry, his value as a spokesperson to our global distributor force, and the other opportunities available to him.

In connection with the negotiation of a compensation package for Mr. Sumihiro, we agreed to pay Mr. Sumihiro a signing bonus of \$100,000 and to guarantee payment of \$150,000 in bonuses in 2007 and 2008. In the event the amount of incentive bonuses earned by Mr. Sumihiro is less than \$150,000 in 2007 or 2008, then we must pay Mr. Sumihiro the difference between \$150,000 and the amount of his cash incentive awards in that year. Mr. Sumihiro received a \$150,000 discretionary bonus in March 2008 based on this contract provision. Based on

the factors discussed above concerning the determination of Mr. Sumihiro salary, as well as the compensation opportunity at his prior employer, we believed it was necessary to offer these guaranteed bonuses and the signing bonus in order to get Mr. Sumihiro to accept the position.

Annual Equity Grants

Aligning the interest of our executive officers with those of our stockholders in order to encourage our executive officers to manage like a company owner is an important objective of our compensation program. In order to accomplish this objective, we have tied a significant portion of the total compensation of executive officers to our long-term stock performance through the grant of equity awards and the adoption of our Stock Ownership Guidelines. We also believe that equity compensation helps retain executives who may have significant value tied up in unvested equity awards.

Based on our review of our compensation program in 2006, we determined that stock options should still be a major component of equity compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and each of the members of the executive management committee because of the strong performance nature of stock options (i.e., they only have value if the stock price increases). We also determined that shifting a portion of the annual grant to restricted stock units would provide greater retention incentives to employees, help compensate for volatility in the stock price, and make our overall grants more cost-effective. Accordingly, we made the following changes to our equity grant practices in 2006:

shifted from stock options to restricted stock units for most employees;

allocated equity awards to non-executive management committee members equally between stock options and restricted stock units:

provided members of the executive management committee with the election to shift 30% of their future stock options to restricted stock units; and

used an approximate 3.5 to 1 ratio to convert stock options to restricted stock units for those employees who will be receiving restricted stock units in lieu of stock options.

As indicated above, we make semi-annual grants of equity awards as well as a limited number of special equity grants. We periodically review the level of our semi-annual equity awards and make adjustments to those levels when we determine it is appropriate. We do not use a fixed formula or criteria in determining whether to adjust the level of semi-annual awards, but make a subjective evaluation that may take into consideration a variety of factors including:

-9-

the practices of other companies;

the degree of responsibility for overall corporate performance;

overall compensation levels;

changes in positions and/or responsibilities;

individual and corporate performance;

potential dilution of our overall equity grants;

the associated expense of such awards; and

recommendations of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer with respect to the other executive officers.

We believe that our Chief Executive Officer should have a significant portion of his total compensation in the form of equity to align his management of the Company with the interests of the stockholders. We had previously established Mr. Hunt s semi-annual stock option grant level at 100,000 options. In 2006, Mr. Hunt unilaterally reduced his semi-annual stock option grant level to 25,000 stock options. Because we believe our other senior executive officers should manage the business as a team, we have typically structured our equity incentives to be similar in size and composition. We have generally provided a similar level of equity awards in our semi-annual grants to each of the members of our

executive management committee, which is the key management group responsible for our strategy and operations. While we generally have not given significant consideration to the value of existing equity awards as we want to encourage stock ownership and the retention of equity awards for long periods, we do review and consider the value of existing awards (inclusive of sales proceeds over the previous three years) of our executive officers in connection with our review of equity compensation practices. In 2007, the semi-annual grant levels for stock options remained as follows:

	Semi-Annual
	Number of
Name	Options
Truman Hunt	25,000
Ritch Wood	22,500
Joe Chang	17,500
Dan Chard	17,500

Mr. Sumihiro s semi-annual grant was set at 17,500 shares and he received an initial equity grant of 100,000 stock options when he joined our company. The factors considered in setting these grant levels were the same factors described above regarding his salary and bonus guarantee. Mr. Chard elected to take 30% of his semi-annual grants in the form of restricted stock units in 2007 (adjusted at a 3.5 to 1 ratio). We have historically not made equity grants to Mr. Roney because of his significant equity interest in our company.

Special Equity Grants

In 2007, management was successful in renewing revenue growth, with revenue growing approximately 4% compared to 2006 despite challenges in China and Japan. In 2006, we experienced a revenue decline of 6%. However, because we were not achieving the desired level of earnings growth, additional steps were taken in the fourth quarter of 2007 to improve earnings. One of these steps was to provide an attractive special incentive to a key group of 22 officers and key managers to align their efforts to significantly improve earnings per share and motivate them to improve earnings. To accomplish this, we determined that the best approach would be to provide these key managers with performance stock options that would only vest if meaningful earnings per share targets were achieved. As a result, we made a special grant of performance stock options to these key employees, 50% of which would vest when earnings per share reach \$1.50 for any 12 month period (determined on a quarterly basis) and 50% of which would vest when earnings per share reaches \$2.00 per share. If these targets are not achieved based on performance through December 2012, the options are forfeited. For reference, our earnings per share in 2007 were \$0.67 (inclusive of \$0.17 per share of restructuring charges (net of taxes)).

-10-

In determining the appropriate number of shares to grant, the Compensation Committee engaged the services of its compensation consultant to review the proposal, provide a comprehensive review of total direct annual compensation (total cash and equity compensation), and advise the committee. In considering the grant, we reviewed and considered various factors including:

the average equity grant over the last three years compared to our peers;

the value of the total holdings of the Named Officers;

the carried-ownership interest (number of shares and options owned by the executive as a percentage of the outstanding shares) of the Named Officers;

the potential financial statement expenses associated with such a grant;

the potential dilution of such grants with respect to the outstanding shares; and

the semi-annual equity grants in 2007.

For purposes of this analysis, we treated one performance option as the equivalent of 0.5 time vested options, based on our valuation of the options. We also spread the grant over the five-year performance period for purposes of analyzing the grant and making comparisons to the equity grant practices of our peer group of companies and industry surveys. Officers with similar level of responsibility were generally granted similar numbers of performance options with various exceptions. Although the grants would increase our overall equity expense and usage rate above historical levels, the Compensation Committee determined the grant would be appropriate based on various factors including the fact that the options would not vest unless significant earnings per share growth occurred and the grant would help unify management in achieving improved earnings growth.

Based on this analysis, we granted 120,000 performance options to each of Mssrs. Wood, Chang and Chard, who are members of our executive committee. Mr. Sumihiro received 50,000 performance options, which was less than other regional mangers received based on the fact that he had received a 100,000 share option grant earlier in the year. Mr. Hunt and Mr. Roney did not receive options at this time. The Committee elected to address Mr. Hunt s compensation at a future time as they considered other potential changes to his compensation. As indicated above, Mr. Roney has not been granted options because of his large equity position in our company. Because the approved grants would only become exercisable if earnings per share grow significantly, the Committee was willing to consider grants that would result in some officers having equity grants levels at the 75th percentile or higher compared to our peer companies. However, based on its determination that the grants would result in the annual grant levels of Mssrs. Wood, Chard and Chang being above the 75th percentile of the peer comparisons, the Committee elected not to grant these individuals the regular time-vested options they would have otherwise received in the second half of 2007. Mr. Hunt and Mr. Sumihiro did receive their regular time vested options of 25,000 options and 17,500 options, respectively, for the second half of the year.

Our equity awards contain forfeiture provisions that allow the Compensation Committee to recover the gains from the exercise or vesting of any equity awards if an executive engages in misconduct including the commission of an act of fraud, intentional misrepresentation, and violation of non-compete and non-solicitation covenants. In such event, we may terminate the outstanding awards of such executive and recover any gains from the exercise or vesting of equity awards during the twelve months preceding the act or anytime thereafter.

Timing of Equity Grants

We make semi-annual equity grants to our executive officers and key employees. These grants generally take place at or near the end of February and August each year. Generally, the grant date is the date that the members of the Compensation Committee are able to meet at or near the end of February and August. The exercise price of the options is set at the closing price of our stock on the date of grant. We use two semi-annual grants for stock options rather than one annual grant in order to minimize the impact of stock volatility on the exercise price during the year. For consistency purposes, we also make grants of restricted stock units on these dates so that the Compensation Committee is reviewing the entire equity grant at the same time. The Compensation Committee generally meets on the proposed grant date where they review the award list and approve the grant. In 2007, the grant to our executive officers was delayed until December 2007 for several reasons including a review by the Compensation Committee of our equity grant practices based on market conditions and performance as well the consideration of a special performance option grant. We also grant a limited number of equity awards at times other than on the general semi-annual grant date. These awards are generally related to new hires, promotions, changes in job responsibilities, and new compensation packages.

-11-

Dividend Equivalent

We provide Mr. Hunt with a payment equal to the dividend that would be paid on 250,000 shares. We believe this provides Mr. Hunt with additional alignment with our stockholders return on investment by tying a portion of his compensation to the dividends that are paid to our stockholders.

Equity Retention Guidelines

In January 2005, we established equity retention guidelines for our executive officers to motivate our executive officers to consider the long-term consequences of business strategies and to provide for a level of long-term performance risk with respect to our compensation programs. These guidelines provide that executive officers and directors must retain 50% to 75% of the net shares (after payment of the exercise price and related taxes) with respect to any equity award unless the individual holds a number of shares equal to designated levels set forth in the guidelines. The designated levels for executive officers are phased in over a five-year period. Outstanding options and restricted stock units are not counted in determining whether a director or officer holds shares equal to or greater than the designated level. At the end of the five-year phase-in period, the designated ownership levels are set at 100,000 shares for our Chief Executive Officer, 20,000 shares for members of our executive management committee, and 10,000 shares for our other executive officers. With the exception of Mr. Roney, Mr. Hunt and Mr. Chang, the Named Officers are subject to the retention requirement because their ownership levels are below the applicable designated levels.

Retirement and Other Post-Termination Benefits

Our executive officers do not participate in any pension or defined benefit plan. We believe it is important for retention purposes to provide executives with a meaningful opportunity to accumulate savings for their retirement. To accomplish this we maintain both a tax-qualified 401(k) plan and a non-qualified deferred compensation plan. Under our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, our highly compensated employees (as defined by the Internal Revenue Code) may elect to defer up to a maximum of 100% of their compensation. We do not make any matching contributions, but we do make discretionary contributions to the plan for select employees ranging from 3% of salary to 10% of salary. In order to provide an incentive for our key employees to remain with us for the long-term, the discretionary contributions do not vest until the participant has 20 years of service. Amounts deferred are credited to accounts that track investment accounts offered through variable insurance products and earnings are tied to the earnings on these investment accounts. Because earnings are not above market, the earnings on the deferred

compensation plan are not included in the Summary Compensation Table, although they are included in the Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year column of the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table on page 25.

The employment agreement entered into by Mr. Hunt when he was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in 2003 contains certain severance and change of control benefits. These benefits provide for acceleration of his equity awards immediately prior to the announcement of a change of control and a lump sum severance benefits in the event his employment is terminated within two years following a change of control. We believe these benefits help to ensure that Mr. Hunt will remain employed and actively engaged in the event of a potential change of control. We believe these benefits are reasonable. We have also agreed to certain severance payments for Mr. Hunt if he is terminated without cause, which we believe are reasonable and necessary in order to attract and retain a qualified Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Chang is also entitled to have his stock options vest in the event of a change of control and is also entitled to certain severance benefits. We also have a severance arrangement with Mr. Chard. The benefits were negotiated in order to retain the services of these employees. These change of control and severance benefits are described and quantified below under the section entitled Employment Agreements and in the table below entitled Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control . We are in the process of reviewing our change in control and severance benefits.

-12-

Our current equity awards for all key employees also provide for accelerated vesting upon a change of control if an employee is terminated within two-years following such change of control. We believe this double trigger acceleration is a reasonable way to protect employees who may be terminated following a change of control. It also assists Nu Skin with retaining their services in the event of a potential change of control and thereafter. We believe such arrangements are in the best interests of the company and our stockholders if they are reasonable in amount and scope, because they can help to retain key employees during a change of control process.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We also provide our executive officers and other key employees with various other benefits. These consist of, among other things, payments for term life insurance, use of recreational equipment and properties, sporting event tickets, security and free company product. We generally pay the income taxes associated with the use of these perquisites. These benefits represent a very small portion of the executive officer s overall compensation. We review these benefits on a regular basis and believe these benefits are reasonable in relation to the executive compensation practices of other companies and make working for our company more attractive. The amount of these benefits is included in the All Other Compensation Table that follows the Summary Compensation Table.

We also provide certain benefits to employees who are fulfilling expatriate assignments that we believe are consistent with the benefits provided by other companies to their expatriate employees. These include such benefits as housing, private schooling, goods allowances, and tax equalization payments. Mr. Sumihiro received benefits related to his expatriate assignment that are quantified in the All Other Compensation Table that follows the Summary Compensation Table.

Tax Limitations on Deductibility

We have taken into consideration the limitation on deductibility for United States income tax purposes of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our highest paid executive officers by structuring a significant portion of our compensation as performance based. Our current cash incentive plan and equity incentive plan have been approved by our shareholders and the awards under these plans can qualify as performance-based for purposes of the deductibility limitations. While we try to structure compensation so that it will be deductible for income tax purposes, we also exercise judgment and may authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when we believe that such payments are appropriate and in the best interests of our company and our stockholders. For example, we have approved certain retention and guaranteed bonuses to attract and retain key employees as discussed above under *Bonus*.

Compensation Committee Report

We have reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommend to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis referred to above be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Jake Garn, Chairman Andrew Lipman Patricia Negrón Christine Day

-13-

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ¹	Bonus ²	Stock Awards ³	Option Awards ³	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁴	All Other Compensation ⁵	Total
Truman Hunt President and Chief Executive Officer	2007 2006	\$550,000 578,750	\$21,154 22,654	\$27,332 768,750	\$341,098 596,366	\$201,983 150,751	\$201,270 188,958	\$1,342,837 2,306,229
Ritch Wood Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2007 2006	350,000 350,000	13,462 13,462		440,026 430,941	77,183 60,456	83,199 84,021	963,869 938,880
Blake Roney (6) Chairman of the Board	2007	750,000	28,846			165,277	33,028	977,151
Joe Chang Chief Scientific Officer and Executive Vice President Product Development	2007 2006	500,000 474,825	220,231 219,231	347,573 291,783	256,291 232,425	110,218 86,367	68,360 69,195	1,502,673 1,373,827
Gary Sumihiro (6) President Nu Skin Japan	2007	270,769	262,692		95,253		538,317	1,167,031
Dan Chard Executive Vice President Distributor Success	2007 2006	322,115 289,324	12,500 11,538	5,284	310,655 233,448	71,676 155,300	71,308 848,805	793,539 1,538,416

- Mr. Hunt, Mr. Chang and Mr. Sumihiro deferred a portion of their salaries under our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table. Each of the named executive officers, except Mr. Roney, also contributed a portion of his salary to our 401(k) retirement savings plan.
- The amounts reported in this column are year-end holiday gift payments that we have historically made to all corporate employees in the form of a gift certificate or similar merchant credit arrangement, or cash in an amount equal to a percentage of each employee s base salary (approximately two-weeks salary). The amount reported in this column for Mr. Chang for 2006 and 2007 includes a \$200,000 retention bonus paid pursuant to Mr. Chang s employment contract for his continued service through each of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007 and a \$1,000 bonus paid to all employees on the tenth anniversary of their employment with the Company. The amount reported in this column for Mr. Sumihiro includes a \$150,000 guaranteed bonus, and a \$100,000 signing bonus, both paid pursuant to Mr. Sumihiro s employment contract.
- (3) These columns represent the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123(R) of equity awards granted to the named executives, and thus may include amounts from awards granted in and prior to 2007 and 2006. Pursuant to the SEC rules, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in note 11 to our financial statements in the Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, as filed with the SEC. These amounts reflect our accounting expense for these awards, and do not correspond to the actual value that will be recognized by the named executives. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for information on stock awards made in 2007.

-14-

- (4) The amounts reported in this column are cash awards to the named executives made pursuant to our Senior Executive Incentive Plan, which is discussed in further detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section heading Cash Incentive Bonuses . Mr. Hunt, Mr. Chang and Mr. Sumihiro deferred a portion of their incentive bonuses under our non-qualified deferred compensation plan, which is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.
- (5) See the All Other Compensation table below for additional information.
- (6) Mr. Roney and Mr. Sumihiro were not named executive officers for 2006. As a result, only 2007 compensation information is included in the Summary Compensation Table.

-15-

All Other Compensation Table

The following table describes the components of the All Other Compensation column for 2007 in the Summary Compensation Table.

		Company		Term Life	Company	Perquisites		
		Contributions		Insurance	Contributions	and		
		to Deferred		Premiums	to 401(k)	Other		
		Compensation	Tax	paid by	Retirement	Personal		
Name	Year	Plan	Payments ¹	Company	Savings Plan	Benefits ²	Other ³	Total
Truman Hunt	2007	\$55,000	\$12,577	\$	\$6,750	\$ 21,943	\$105,000	\$201,270
Ritch Wood	2007	35,000	15,448	300	6,750	25,701		83,199
Blake Roney	2007		1,160	1,680		30,188		33,028
Joe Chang	2007	50,000	2,841	3,270	6,750	5,499		68,360
Gary Sumihirio	2007					538,317		538,317
Dan Chard	2007	30,625	11,922		6,750	20,011	2,000	71,308

The amount reported in this column includes benefits of \$538,317 related to Mr. Sumihiro s expatriate assignment in Japan. Of this amount, \$225,808 was for payment of Mr. Sumihiro s income taxes. We paid foreign and U.S. income taxes owed by Mr. Sumihiro as part of a customary tax equalization plan in which we pay the excess income taxes above and beyond the amount of customary U.S. income taxes on his compensation income he would have owed had he been exclusively employed in the U.S. with no expatriate benefits. In addition, \$312,509 of the amount reported in this column for Mr. Sumihiro was for company-paid housing expenses and expatriate benefits.

The amount reported in this column for Mr. Hunt is a dividend equivalent payment on 250,000 shares of stock pursuant to Mr. Hunt s employment contract.

This column reports amounts reimbursed by us for the payment of taxes with respect to perquisites and other personal benefits provided to the named executive officers.

This column reports our incremental cost for perquisites and personal benefits provided to the named executive officers. In 2007, these benefits included, among other things, the personal use of company-provided vehicles, cabins, sporting tickets, company product, security and prizes at company parties. In addition, Mr. Sumihiro received certain additional benefits in 2007 related to his expatriate assignment. To the extent any individual personal benefit exceeded the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of personal benefits received by a named executive officer, such benefit is identified and quantified below.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards 2007

The following table provides information about equity and non-equity awards granted to the named executive officers in 2007.

			Estimated Future Payouts under non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards 1					Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ²		All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities		Grant Date Fair Value of Stock
Name	Grant Date	Threshold	Target	Max	Threshold	Target	Max	Stock or Units ²	Underlying Options ³	of Option Awards ⁴	and Option Awards ⁵		
Truman Hunt	02/26/2007 12/20/2007 N/A	\$82,500	\$550,000	3,000,000					25,000 25,000	\$17.75 16.50	\$155,750 120,045		
Ritch Wood	02/26/2007 12/20/2007 12/20/2007 N/A	31,500	210,000	3,000,000		60,000 60,000			22,500	17.75 16.50 16.50	140,175 267,652 356,366		
Blake Roney	N/A	67,500	450,000	3,000,000									
Joe Chang	02/26/2007 12/20/2007 12/20/2007 N/A	45,000	300,000	3,000,000		60,000 60,000			17,500	17.75 16.50 16.50	109,025 267,652 356,366		
Gary Sumihiro	04/09/2007 12/20/2007 12/20/2007 12/20/2007 N/A	24,750	165,000	3,000,000		25,000 25,000			100,000 17,500	16.70 16.50 16.50 16.50	509,000 111,522 148,486 84,031		
Dan Chard	02/26/2006 02/26/2006 12/20/2007 12/20/2007 N/A	29,250	195,000	3,000,000		60,000 60,000		1,500	12,500	17.75 16.50 16.50	22,035 77,875 267,652 356,366		

The amounts reported in these columns reflect potential payouts for 2007 under our incentive plan if the minimum and target performance goals, respectively, were satisfied during 2007. In the event that stretch level targets are exceeded, the bonus payable increases in proportion to the extent to which the targets are exceeded, but cannot exceed \$3 million. If all stretch level targets had been met during 2007, the named executives would have been paid the following under this plan: Mr. Hunt (\$1,100,000); Mr. Wood (\$420,000); Mr. Roney (\$900,000); Mr. Chang (\$600,000); Mr. Sumihiro (\$440,000); and Mr. Chard (\$390,000). See the Summary Compensation Table above for awards that were actually paid to the named executives under the incentive plan with respect to the year 2007.

We granted performance stock options to certain named executive officer in December 2007. For additional information relating to our performance stock options, see the Special Equity Grants section in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

The awards reported in this column are stock options granted to the executive officers under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. These stock option awards vest and become exercisable in four equal annual installments beginning on February 26, 2008 in the case of the February awards, and beginning on September 4, 2008 in the case of the December awards.

- (4) This column shows the exercise price for the stock option awards granted, which in each case is the closing price of our stock on the date of the respective grant.
- This column shows the full grant date fair value of the restricted stock unit awards and the stock option awards under SFAS 123(R), which is generally the amount that we would expense in our financial statements over the award s vesting period. For information on the valuation assumptions used in calculating these amounts, refer to note 11 to our financial statements in the Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

-18-

Narrative to Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

Employment Agreements

We have employment agreements or offer letters with Truman Hunt, Joe Chang, Gary Sumihiro and Dan Chard. The following summarizes the material terms of these agreements. For additional discussion on these employment arrangements, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

Truman Hunt

\$665,000 annual base salary. Effective April 2006, Mr. Hunt voluntarily elected to reduce his annual salary to its previous level of \$550,000.

Initial contingent stock award of 250,000 shares of common stock that vested over four years beginning January 2003.

Initial stock option award of 250,000 shares that vested over four years beginning December 2002. He is also entitled to participation in our standard stock incentive plan at no less than 50,000 stock options per year. In 2005 the Compensation Committee approved 200,000 options per year, however, Mr. Hunt voluntarily elected to remain at the 50,000 level.

Senior executive cash incentive plan (currently at a bonus target of 100% of base salary).

Dividend equivalent payments equal to 250,000 times the per share dividend declared.

All equity awards vest upon a change of control. If within 24 months of a change of control Mr. Hunt is involuntarily terminated without cause, he is entitled to a severance payment equal to three times his annual compensation then in effect (current base salary plus current cash bonus target of 100% of base), health insurance benefits for 36 months, and excise tax protection.

If Mr. Hunt is terminated by us without cause, he is entitled to severance payment equal to two times his annual compensation (current base salary plus current cash bonus target of 100% of base) and excise tax protection.

Mr. Hunt is subject to our key employee covenants including confidentiality, assignment of work product, non-competition and non-solicitation.

Joe Chang

\$500,000 annual base salary.

Annual retention bonus for continued employment at the end of each year in the amount of \$200,000 for years 2006 and 2007; \$300,000 for 2008; and \$400,000 for 2009.

Initial contingent stock award of 58,928 shares that vest over four years beginning November 2005.

Participation in our standard stock incentive plan at no less than 35,000 stock options per year.

Senior executive cash incentive plan (currently at a bonus target of 60% of base salary).

All stock options vest upon a change of control.

If Mr. Chang is terminated without cause prior to the end of 2009, Mr. Chang is entitled to (i) his then applicable annual base salary for twelve months, (ii) any retention bonus and cash incentive bonus that would have been payable during such 12-month period, and (iii) vesting of any stock incentive awards that would have been vested during such 12-month period.

-19-

If Mr. Chang remains employed until age 60, upon termination he will be entitled to a four-year consulting contract with us for \$250,000 per year.

Our obligations under this agreement are contingent upon various restrictive covenants, including, among others, non-competition, non-solicitation, non-endorsement and confidentiality.

Gary Sumihiro

\$340,000 annual base salary, plus an additional Foreign Service Premium of \$100,000 for a total of \$440,000 while he serves in Japan.

\$100,000 signing bonus subject to a premature termination clause of 25% each year.

Initial stock option grant of 100,000 shares that vest over four years beginning April 2008.

Participation in our standard stock incentive plan with an initial level of participation of 35,000 stock options per year.

Standard cash incentive bonus plan (currently at a bonus target of 50% of base salary).

Expatriate benefits.

Dan Chard

\$300,000 annual base salary, increased by \$25,000 in February 2007 and by another \$25,000 in February 2008.

Initial stock option award of 100,000 shares that vest 50% over four years beginning February 2006, and 50% in February 2011. He is also entitled to participation in our stock incentive plan at no less than 35,000 stock options per year. All equity awards vest on a change of control.

Senior executive cash incentive plan (currently at a bonus target of 60% of base salary).

For termination without cause, Mr. Chard is entitled to severance equal to 1.5 times his then current base salary.

Expatriate benefits (Mr. Chard relocated to the U.S. in 2006).

Mr. Chard is subject to our key employee covenants including confidentiality, assignment of work product, non-competition and non-solicitation.

-20-

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End-2007

The following table provides information on the holdings of equity awards by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2007. Mr. Roney is not included in this table since he did not own any equity awards as of December 31, 2007.

Name	Grant Dat	Number of Securities Underlyin Unexercis Options (e Exercisals	s Securit ng Underly ed Unexerc	In r of lities ying lities tised U s (#)	ion Awards Equity Icentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Jnexercised Unearned Options(2)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have No	
Truman Hunt	08/21/1009	3 18,0	20			\$13.91	00/21/2000		
Truman Hunt	08/21/1998	,					08/21/2008		
	08/31/1999 08/31/2000					12.94 6.56	08/31/2009 08/31/2010		
	02/28/2001	,				8.20	02/28/2011		
	08/31/2001					6.85	08/31/2011		
	03/01/2002	,				8.99	03/01/2012		
							09/03/2012		
	09/03/2002 01/17/2003					12.00 12.45	12/31/2012		
	02/27/2004			250		19.15	02/27/2014		
	09/01/2004								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		250		26.13	09/01/2014		
	02/28/2005	,		500		22.33	02/28/2015		
	08/31/2005 05/26/2006			,500 750		21.34 17.58	08/31/2015 02/28/2013		
	09/01/2006			,750 ,750		17.36	09/01/2013		
	02/26/2003			,000		17.25	02/26/2014		
	12/20/2007			,000		16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/200		23,	,000		10.50	12/20/2014		
Ritch Wood	08/31/2000) 1,2:	50			\$6.56	08/31/2010		
	02/28/2001		25			8.20	02/28/2011		
	08/31/2001					6.85	08/31/2011		
	03/01/2002					8.99	03/01/2012		
	09/03/2002					12.00	09/03/2012		
	03/10/2003					9.04	03/10/2013		
	05/12/2003					10.31	05/12/2013		
	09/02/2003					11.50	09/02/2013		
	02/27/2004			,375		19.15	02/27/2014		
	09/01/2004	,	,	,375		26.13	09/01/2014		
	02/28/2005			750		22.33	02/28/2015		
	06/09/2005			,000		23.28	06/09/2015		
	08/31/2005			750		21.34	08/31/2015		
	05/26/2006	,		875			02/28/2013		
	09/01/2006			875			09/01/2013		
	02/26/2007	,		500		17.75	02/26/2014		
	12/20/2007		,	,	60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/2007				60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
				-21-					
				-	Awards by Incentive on Awards:			Stock	Awards
Name	Se Un Un Op	exercised otions (#) Une	aber of Securiti Underlying xercised Optio Unexercisable(Nu Se ies Un Uno ns U	n Awards: umber of ecurities iderlying exercised nearned otions(2)	Option Exercise Price (\$) I	Option Expiration Date	Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(4)
rvame	Grant Date Ex	er cisable (#)	Juexercisable(ı) Op	JUOUS(2)	rrice (\$)	expiration Date	v ested (#)(3)	(\$)(4)

			Оря	ion Awards			Stock	Awards
Joe Chang	02/28/2001	3,917			\$8.20	02/28/2011		
C	04/19/2002	12,500			12.45	04/19/2012		
	04/19/2002	25,000			12.45	04/19/2012		
	04/19/2002	12,500			12.45	04/19/2012		
	09/03/2002	12,500			12.00	09/03/2012		
	03/10/2003	12,500			9.04	03/10/2013		
	09/02/2003	17,500			11.50	09/02/2013		
	02/27/2004	13,125	4,375		19.15	02/27/2014		
	09/01/2004	13,125	4,375		26.13	09/01/2014		
	02/28/2005	8,750	8,750		22.33	02/28/2015		
	08/31/2005	8,750	8,750		21.34	08/31/2015		
	04/17/2006						29,464	480,850
	05/26/2006	4,375	13,125		17.58	02/28/2013		
	09/01/2006	4,375	13,125		17.25	09/01/2013		
	02/26/2007		17,500		17.75	02/26/2014		
	12/20/2007			60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/2007			60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
Gary Sumihiro	04/09/2007		100,000		\$16.70	04/09/2014		
•	12/20/2007			25,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/2007			25,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/2007		17,500		16.50	12/20/2014		
Dan Chard	09/09/2002	1,500			\$12.45	09/09/2012		
	03/10/2003	1,500			9.04	03/10/2013		
	09/02/2003	3,750			11.50	09/02/2013		
	02/27/2004	3,750	1,250		19.15	02/27/2014		
	04/29/2004	18,750	6,250		23.87	04/29/2014		
	09/01/2004	7,500	2,500		26.13	09/01/2014		
	02/28/2005	5,000	5,000		22.33	02/28/2015		
	08/31/2005	5,000	5,000		21.34	08/31/2015		
	05/26/2006	4,375	13,125		17.58	02/28/2013		
	05/26/2006	12,500	87,500		17.58	02/28/2013		
	09/01/2006	4,375	13,125		17.25	09/01/2013		
	02/26/2007		12,500		17.75	02/26/2014		
	02/26/2007					02/26/2014	1,500	24,480
	12/20/2007			60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		
	12/20/2007			60,000	16.50	12/20/2014		

-22-

(1) Option Awards Vesting Schedule

<u>Grant</u> 5/26/2006 (Dan Chard 100,000)	Vesting Schedule 50% of the award vests in four equal annual installments beginning on February 28, 2007; the remaining 50% vests on February 28, 2011.
05/26/2006	Vests in four equal annual installments beginning on February 28, 2007.
12/20/2007	Vests in four equal annual installments beginning on September 4, 2008.
All Other Grants	Vest in four equal annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant.

(2) <u>Performance Vesting Options</u>

Vesting for the options is performance based, with the options vesting in two installments if the Company s earnings per share equal or exceed the two established performance levels, measured in terms of diluted earnings per share. Fifty percent of the options will vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding \$1.50 per share and fifty percent of the options will vest upon earnings per share meeting or exceeding \$2.00 per share. If the performance levels have not been met on or prior to the 2nd business day following the filing of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, then any unvested options shall terminate at such time.

(3) Stock Awards Vesting Schedule

<u>Grant</u>	<u>Vesting Schedule</u>
4/17/2006 (Joe Chang)	Vest in four equal annual installments beginning on November 1, 2006.
All Others	Vest in four equal annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant.

The market value of the unvested stock awards reported in this column is based on the closing market price of our stock on December 31, 2007, which was \$16.43.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested 2007

The following table provides information on stock option exercises and vesting of stock awards for the named executives during 2007.

		Optio	on Awards	Stoc	k Awards
		Number of		Number of	
		Shares		Shares	
		Acquired on	Value Realized	Acquired on	Value Realized on
	Name	Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)(1)	Vesting (#)	Vesting (\$)(2)(3)
Truman Hunt				62,500	1,