FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO INC Form DEF 14A March 20, 2012

## UNITED STATES

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

#### PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(A) OF

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Franklin Electric Co., Inc. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

#### PAYMENT OF FILING FEE (CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX):

ý No fee required.

o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4)Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5)Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
   Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for
- o which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- (1) Amount previously paid:

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

## FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC.

400 East Spring Street Bluffton, Indiana 46714

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held

May 4, 2012 at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time

To the Shareholders of Franklin Electric Co., Inc.

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. (the "Company"), an Indiana corporation, will be held at The Courtyard by Marriott, 1150 South Harrison Street, Fort Wayne, Indiana on Friday, May 4, 2012, at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time. The purposes of the meeting are to:

<sup>1</sup>. Elect Jerome D. Brady and David M. Wathen as directors for terms expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders;

2. Ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2012 fiscal year;

3. Approve, on an advisory basis, the executive compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the Proxy Statement;

4. Approve the Franklin Electric Co., Inc. 2012 Stock Plan; and

5. Transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 5, 2012, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

You are urged to vote your proxy whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. If you do attend, you may nevertheless vote in person which will revoke any previously executed proxy.

By order of the Board of Directors.

John J. Haines Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Bluffton, Indiana March 20, 2012 FRANKLIN ELECTRIC CO., INC.

400 East Spring Street, Bluffton, Indiana 46714

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be Held on May 4, 2012

## GENERAL INFORMATION

This Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy are furnished to shareholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Franklin Electric Co., Inc. (the "Company"), 400 East Spring Street, Bluffton, Indiana, 46714 for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 4, 2012, or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Shareholders were sent Notice of the Annual Meeting, as well as information regarding how to access this Proxy Statement and the Company's 2011 Annual Report, including the financial statements contained therein, beginning on or about March 20, 2012.

The expenses of solicitation, including the cost of printing and mailing, will be paid by the Company. Officers and employees of the Company, without additional compensation, may solicit proxies personally, by telephone, email, or by facsimile. Arrangements will also be made with brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of shares held of record by such persons, and the Company will reimburse such entities for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them in connection therewith.

## NOTICE AND VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

Shareholders will receive a Notice Card with information regarding the availability of proxy materials over the internet. Shareholders who wish to receive a paper or email copy of the proxy materials must request one by submitting the request to the Secretary of the Company at the Company's address listed on the first page of this proxy statement. There is no charge for requesting a copy. Requests can also be made at the voting website, via telephone, or via email, as described in the Notice Card.

Voting by Internet: Use the internet link and control number provided to you on your Proxy Card. You may vote until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on May 3, 2012. You will need the control number provided on your Proxy Card to access the website.

Voting by Telephone: Call the toll-free telephone number provided on your Proxy Card. Telephone voting will be available until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on May 3, 2012. Detailed instructions will be provided during the call. The procedures are designed to authenticate votes cast by using the last 4 digits of a shareholder's social security/taxpayer I.D. number.

Voting by Mail: Request a hardcopy of the proxy materials by submitting your request to the Secretary of the Company at the Company's address listed on the first page of this proxy statement. Then complete the Proxy Card, date and sign it, and return it in the envelope provided. Shareholders may also vote their shares in person at the Annual Meeting.

Employees who are participants in the Company's DISP (401(k)) plan will receive a notice and instructions by email or other method that explains how to vote shares credited to their DISP accounts.

If a shareholder does not specify the manner in which the proxy shall be voted, the shares represented thereby will be voted:

FOR the election of the nominees for director as set forth in this Proxy Statement;

FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2012 fiscal year;

FOR approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers;

FOR approval of the Franklin Electric Co., Inc. 2012 Stock Plan; and

In accordance with the recommendations of management with respect to other matters that may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

A shareholder who has executed a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is voted by (i) delivering written notice of such revocation to Mr. John J. Haines, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary, 400 East Spring Street, Bluffton, Indiana 46714, (ii) executing and delivering a subsequently dated proxy by mail, or voting by telephone or through the internet at a later date, or (iii) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

## SHAREHOLDERS ENTITLED TO VOTE AND SHARES OUTSTANDING

The Board of Directors of the Company fixed the close of business on March 5, 2012, as the record date (the "Record Date") for determining shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the Record Date, there were 65,000,000 shares of Common Stock, \$.10 par value (the "Common Stock"), authorized, of which 23,364,243 shares of Common Stock were outstanding. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote of the shareholders of the Company. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for the Annual Meeting and will be counted as

present for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. A majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum but will not be counted as votes cast on any matter submitted to shareholders. As a result, abstentions and broker non-votes will not have any effect on the voting results with respect to any of the matters scheduled to be submitted to shareholders at the Annual Meeting.

## SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table shows the persons known by the Company to be the beneficial owners of more than five percent of the Company's Common Stock as of March 5, 2012, unless otherwise noted. The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and investment power, unless otherwise noted.

Name and address of beneficial owner	Amount and nature of beneficial ownership		Percent of class
Patricia Schaefer			
5400 Deer Run Court	2,000,084	(1)	8.56
Muncie, IN 47304			
Diane D. Humphrey			
2279 East 250 North Road	1,620,070	(2)	6.93
Bluffton, IN 46714			
BlackRock Inc.			
40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street	1,612,320	(3)	6.90
New York, NY 10022			
Earnest Partners, LLC			
1180 Peachtree Street	1,403,981	(4)	6.01
Atlanta, GA 30309			
The Vanguard Group, Inc.			
100 Vanguard Blvd.	1,198,208	(5)	5.13
Malver, PA 19355			

(1) Pursuant to agreements with Ms. Schaefer, the Company has a right of first refusal with respect to 1,708,040 shares owned by Ms. Schaefer.

(2) Pursuant to agreements with Ms. Humphrey, the Company has a right of first refusal with respect to 1,461,718 shares owned by Ms. Humphrey.

(3) According to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC, as of December 31, 2011, BlackRock Inc. has sole investment and voting power with respect to all shares.

(4) According to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC, as of December 31, 2011, Earnest Partners, LLC has sole investment and voting power with respect to 494,528 shares.

(5) According to a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC, as of December 31, 2011, The Vanguard Group, Inc. has sole investment and voting power with respect to 29,209 shares.

## SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table shows the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by directors, nominees, each of the executive officers named in the "Summary Compensation Table" below, and all executive officers and directors as a group, as of March 5, 2012. The nature of beneficial ownership is sole voting and investment power, unless otherwise noted, except for restricted shares, with respect to which the holder has investment power only after the shares vest.

Name of beneficial owner	Amount and nature of beneficial o	wnership Percent of class
Jerome D. Brady	69,071 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	*
David T. Brown	0(2)	*
David A. Roberts	23,529 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	*
Thomas R. VerHage	0(2)	*
David M. Wathen	$2,249^{(2)}$	*
Thomas L. Young	18,329	*
-		
DeLancey W. Davis	24,911 <sup>(1)(3)(4)(5)</sup>	*
John J. Haines	51,929 (1)(3)(5)	*
Gregg C. Sengstack	272,377 <sup>(1)(3)(4)(5)</sup>	1.17
Robert J. Stone	124,324 <sup>(1)(3)(5)</sup>	*
R. Scott Trumbull	482,541 <sup>(1)(2)(3)(5)(6)</sup>	2.07
All directors and executive officers as a	1,222,613(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)	5 02
group	1,222,013(1)(2)(3)(4)(3)(6)	5.23

\* Less than 1 percent of class

Includes shares issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days after March 5, 2012 as follows: Mr.

(1)Brady, 32,919; Mr. Roberts, 8,000; Mr. Haines, 34,276; Mr. Sengstack, 96,677; Mr. Stone, 49,212; Mr. Davis, 14,622; and Mr. Trumbull, 287,025. All directors and executive officers as a group, 646,297.
 Does not include stock units credited, pursuant to the terms of the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred

(2)Compensation Plan described under "Director Compensation," to: Mr. Brady, 5,562; Mr. Brown, 17,701; Mr. Roberts, 1,194; Mr. Wathen, 21,651; Mr. VerHage, 2,001; and Mr. Trumbull, 1,983.

(3) Includes shares held by the 401(k) Plan Trustee as of December 31, 2011: Mr. Haines, 2,497; Mr. Sengstack, (3) 14,147; Mr. Stone, 11,839; Mr. Davis, 59; and Mr. Trumbull, 2,981. All executive officers as a group, 33,923.

(4) Duris 4 000 All and a store which vest three years after the grant date as follows: Mr. Sengstack, 4,000; and Mr.

<sup>(4)</sup>Davis, 4,000. All executive officers as a group, 12,000.

(5) Includes restricted shares which vest at the end of four years as follows: Mr. Haines, 15,156; Mr. Sengstack, 7,377;

(5) Mr. Stone, 7,311; Mr. Davis, 4,593; and Mr. Trumbull, 26,064. All executive officers as a group, 71,254.

(6) Includes 907 restricted shares awarded to Mr. Trumbull, which vest next year.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors, officers, and greater than 10 percent shareholders to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of Common Stock of the Company and to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of these reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required to be filed, the Company believes that its directors, officers and greater than 10 percent shareholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them during 2011, except that Messrs. Trumbull, Sengstack, Davis, Thomas J. Strupp (VP, Global Human Resources) and Daniel J. Crose (VP, Global Water Product Supply) each filed a late Form 4 to reflect the forfeiture of performance-based restricted stock that occurred when it was determined that the performance goals were not attained. Mr. Sengstack also filed a late Form 4 in connection with an option exercise, and Mr. Wathen filed a late Form 4 with respect to a Corporate Governance Committee

meeting fee that was deferred into stock units under the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.

## PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Amended and Restated By-laws provide that the Board of Directors shall consist of seven directors, divided into three classes of two or three directors each. Each year, the directors of one of the three classes are elected to serve terms of three years and until their successors have been elected and qualified. Two directors will be elected at the Annual Meeting this year. Directors are elected by the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares voted (i.e., the two nominees who receive the most votes will be elected).

Jerome D. Brady and David M. Wathen have been nominated to serve as directors of the Company for terms expiring in 2015. Messrs. Brady and Wathen are currently directors of the Company. The nominees have indicated their willingness to serve as directors if elected. If, however, any nominee is unwilling or unable to serve as a director, shares represented by the proxies will be voted for the election of another nominee proposed by the Board of Directors or the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting.

# THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE.

## INFORMATION CONCERNING NOMINEES AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS

Set forth below for the director nominees and continuing directors are their ages, year the principal occupations and directorships for the past five years, and legal proceedings, if With respect to each nominee or continuing director, we describe under the heading "Reparticular experience and other attributes that have led to the conclusion that the individe of Directors of the Company. Nominees for Directors with terms expiring in 2015	any, for the past ten elevant Experience"	years. the
Jerome D. Brady	Age:	68
Director of the Company	Director Sind	
Principal Occupation: Retired in 2000.	Director Sind	. 1770
Formerly: President and Chief Executive Officer of C&K Components, a ma	nufacturer of	
electro-mechanical switches, from 1997-2000; prior thereto, President, CEO		Л
International, Inc., a manufacturer of printing equipment, from 1995-1997.		
Directorships – Public Companies: Circor International, Inc.		
Relevant Experience: Mr. Brady received his bachelor's degree in economics	from the University	v of
Pennsylvania, Wharton School and his MBA in finance from the University	-	
Anderson School. Mr. Brady brings to the Board his experience as CEO of tw		•
manufacturing companies, as well as other relevant private company board e		
enables him to serve as an "audit committee financial expert." His experience	e on the Board of the	Company
also helps give the Board a historical perspective in its deliberations.		
David M. Wathen	Age:	59
Director of the Company	Director Sind	
Principal Occupation: President and Chief Executive Officer of TriMas Corp engineered products, since 2009.	oration, a manufactu	irer of
<ul> <li>Formerly: President and Chief Executive Officer, Balfour Beatty, Inc. (U.S. Construction and building management services company, from 2002 - 2006; partner, Questor Management Company, a performance improvement consul prior thereto, Group Executive/Corporate Officer, Eaton Corporation, a globa diversified power management solutions, from 1997-2000.</li> <li>Relevant Experience: Mr. Wathen received his bachelor's degree in mechani University and his MBA from Saint Francis College. Mr. Wathen brings to the CEO of two companies and leadership positions in others, including over two general management experience in the same industry as the Company and direlectrical businesses serving pump OEMs and distributor channels similar to His background enables him to serve as an "audit committee financial expert of the Company also helps give the Board a historical perspective in its delib</li> </ul>	prior thereto, Opera ting firm, from 2000 al technology leader cal engineering from ne Board his experie enty years direct tech rect experience mana those served by the ." His experience on	nting )-2002; in n Purdue nce as nnical and aging Company.
or the company also helps give the board a mistorical perspective in its deno		

Directors with terms expiring in 2013 R. Scott Trumbull 63 Age: Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company Director Since: 1998 Principal Occupation: Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since 2003. Formerly: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Owens-Illinois, Inc., a global manufacturer of glass and plastic packaging products, from 2001 to 2002; prior thereto, Executive Vice President of International Operations & Corporate Development of Owens-Illinois, Inc., from 1993 to 2001. Directorships - Public Companies: Health Care REIT Relevant Experience: Mr. Trumbull received his bachelor's degree in economics from Denison University and his MBA from Harvard Business School. His positions at Owens-Illinois gave him significant experience in leading both domestic and global manufacturing businesses. Prior to joining Franklin Electric's board, Mr. Trumbull served as a board member of The Calphalon Corporation and presently serves on the board of another public company. His experience as a director of the Company since 1998 and as CEO since 2003 mean that he brings a unique understanding of the Company's markets and businesses to the Board's deliberations. Thomas L. Young Age: 68 Director of the Company Director Since: 2005 Principal Occupation: President, Titus Holdings Ltd., a private investment company, since 2005. Formerly: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Owens-Illinois, Inc., a global manufacturer of glass and plastic packaging products, from 2003 until retirement in 2005; Co-Chief Executive Officer from January 2004 to April 2004; prior thereto, Executive Vice President, Administration and General Counsel, from 1993 through 2003. Directorships - Public Companies: Owens-Illinois, Inc.

Relevant Experience: Mr. Young received his bachelor's degree from St. John's College and his JD with honors from Notre Dame Law School. Mr. Young's background qualifies him to serve as an "audit committee financial expert" and he served on the Audit Committee from 2005-2011. He also brings to the Board extensive experience as an executive officer of a publicly traded manufacturing company, as well as experience from present and prior directorships. His experience on the Board of the Company also helps give the Board a historical perspective in its deliberations.

Directors wi	th terms expiring in 2014		
Directors wi David T. Br		Age:	63
	he Company	Director Sinc	
	rincipal Occupation: Retired in 2007.	Director Sinc	<b>C</b> . 2008
	ormerly: President and Chief Executive Officer of Owens Corning, a world lead	er in building r	naterials
	ystems and glass fiber composites, from 2002 until 2007; prior thereto, Executiv	•	
•	Thief Operating Officer, from 2001 through 2002; prior thereto, Vice President a		
	ystems Business, from 1997 through 2000.	na i resident, n	isulating
	birectorships – Public Companies: BorgWarner, Inc.; RSC Holdings, Inc.		
	elevant Experience: Mr. Brown received his bachelor's degree in economics fro	m Purdue Univ	versity Mr
	rown adds to the Board his experience in a long career at Owens Corning, wher		
	anks from salesman to regional sales manager to chief operating officer and ultir		-
	the company out of an asbestos related bankruptcy. In addition to his perspective	•	
	lobal manufacturer, he brings his experience on the Board of Borg Warner, Inc.		
David A. Ro		Age:	64
	he Company	Director Sinc	
	rincipal Occupation: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Carlisle		
	diversified global manufacturing company, since 2007.	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b> ,
	ormerly: Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Graco, Inc., a manuf	facturer of fluid	l-handling
	quipment and systems, from 2001 to 2007.		U
	irectorships – Public Companies: Carlisle Companies, Inc.; Polypore Internation	nal, Inc.; Graco	Inc.
	2001-2007); Arctic Cat (2006-2009); ADC Telecommunications, Inc. (2008-201		
	elevant Experience: Mr. Roberts received his bachelor's degree in technology fi		iversity and
	is MBA from Indiana University. He brings to the Board his experience as CEO		•
	ublicly-held manufacturing companies. His experience on the Board of the Com		
B	oard a historical perspective in its deliberations.		-
Thomas R. V	VerHage	Age:	59
Director of t	he Company	Director Sinc	e: 2010
P	rincipal Occupation: Retired in 2011.		
	ormerly: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Donaldson Company, Inc.,		
fi	ltration systems and replacement parts, from 2004 until 2011; prior thereto, Part	ner, Deloitte &	Touche,
	LP, a major international accounting and consulting firm, from 2002 to 2004; pr		nior
	artner, Arthur Andersen, LLP, a consulting and accounting firm, from 1976 to 2	002.	
	Pirectorships – Public Companies: Hutchinson Technology, Inc.		
R	elevant Experience: Mr. VerHage received his bachelor's degree in business ad	ministration and	d his MBA
Ċ.		1	

from the University of Wisconsin. Mr. VerHage adds to the Board his financial and accounting expertise from his experience as CFO of Donaldson Company, Inc. and his prior experience with two major public accounting firms. His background enables him to serve as an "audit committee financial expert."

## INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD AND ITS COMMITTEES

#### Director Independence

The Board of Directors of the Company has determined that each of the current directors, except for R. Scott Trumbull, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, is an "independent director" in compliance with the independence standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and under the applicable rules adopted by The NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. ("NASDAQ"). In making its independence determinations, the Board concluded that no director, other than Mr. Trumbull, has any material relationship in the Company, except as a director and shareholder.

#### Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Company is led by R. Scott Trumbull, who has served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since 2003. The Board consists of Mr. Trumbull and six independent directors. The Board has three standing committees – Audit, Management Organization and Compensation and Corporate Governance. The Audit Committee is primarily responsible for risk oversight and the full board receives regular reports from the Audit Committee and from the Company's officers and other management personnel regarding risk management. Each of the other two committees also considers risk as it falls within its area of responsibility. The Company does not have a lead director but the non-management directors meet in executive session at each regular board meeting with a rotating chair.

The Company has employed the same basic leadership structure of a combined Chairman and Chief Executive Officer role for over ten years and believes this leadership structure has been and is effective for the Company. Having a combined Chairman/Chief Executive Officer role provides the Company with a unified leadership structure that allows it to carry out strategic initiatives with an understanding of the risks involved in the Company's businesses and their interrelationships. The Chairman/Chief Executive Officer is a single individual who is seen by the Company's customers, business partners, investors and shareholders as someone who provides strong leadership for the Company and is viewed as such in the industries in which the Company competes. The Company believes that the board committees, all of which are chaired by and consist of independent directors, and the full Board of Directors, provide effective oversight of the Company's businesses and the risks involved in them.

#### Meetings

The Board held four regularly scheduled meetings during 2011. Each director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate meetings of the Board and Board committees of which he was a member during the period that each served as a director. All directors attended the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

#### Committees

Audit Committee. The members of the Audit Committee during 2011 were Jerome D. Brady (Chairman), David M. Wathen and Thomas R. VerHage. Thomas L. Young also served until February 2011, when he moved to the Management Organization and Compensation Committee, and Mr. VerHage replaced Mr. Young on the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is an "independent director" in compliance with the independence standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and under the applicable NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has adopted an Audit Committee charter, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com under "Corporate Governance," that sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Audit Committee. Under its charter, the Audit Committee appoints the

Company's independent registered public accounting firm and assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by reviewing the Company's financial information, the Company's system of internal control, the Company's processes for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the Company's audit and risk management processes. It is the general responsibility of the Audit Committee to advise and make recommendations to the Board of Directors in all matters regarding the Company's accounting methods and internal control procedures. The Audit Committee held four meetings in 2011.

The Audit Committee is also responsible for the review, approval, or ratification of transactions between the Company and "related persons." The Audit Committee reviews information compiled in response to the Directors' and Officers' Questionnaires or otherwise developed by the Company with respect to any transactions with the Company in which any director, executive officer, 5% beneficial holders, or any member of his or her immediate family, has a direct or indirect material interest that would require disclosure under applicable SEC regulations. In 2011, there were no such transactions.

The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee as well as Thomas L. Young are "audit committee financial experts" as defined by Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act and are "independent" under the applicable NASDAQ rules.

Management Organization and Compensation Committee. The members of the Management Organization and Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee") during 2011 were David A. Roberts (Chairman), David T. Brown and Thomas L. Young. Thomas R. VerHage also served until February 2011, when he moved to the Audit Committee, and Mr. Young replaced Mr. VerHage on the Compensation Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee is an "independent director" in compliance with the independence standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and under applicable NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has adopted a Compensation Committee charter, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com under "Corporate Governance," that sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee recommends to the Board of Directors the annual salary and bonus for the Chief Executive Officer, determines and approves the equity awards for the Chief Executive Officer and the annual salary, bonus and equity awards of the other executive officers of the Company; reviews and submits to the Board of Directors recommendations concerning bonus and stock plans; periodically reviews the Company's policies in the area of management benefits; and oversees the Company's management development and organization structure. As part of its oversight responsibilities, the Compensation Committee evaluated the risks arising from the Company's compensation policies and practices, with the assistance of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, an independent executive consulting firm. The Committee considered, among other factors, the design of the incentive compensation programs, which are closely linked to corporate performance and capped the mix of long- and short-term compensation, the distribution of compensation as between equity and cash, and other factors that mitigate risk. The Committee concluded that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not involve undue risk. The Compensation Committee held six meetings in 2011.

Corporate Governance Committee. The members of the Corporate Governance Committee (the "Governance Committee") are David M. Wathen (Chairman), David T. Brown, David A. Roberts and Thomas L. Young. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Governance Committee is an "independent director" in compliance with the independence standards set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and under applicable NASDAQ rules. The Board of Directors has adopted a Governance Committee charter, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com under "Corporate Governance," that sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Governance Committee. Under its charter, the Governance Committee reviews the size of the Company's Board of Directors and committee structure and recommends appointments to the Board and the Board Committees; reviews and recommends to the Board of Directors under the Company's equity based and compensation plans; and develops and recommends to the Board corporate governance guidelines deemed necessary for the Company. The Governance Committee held two meetings in 2011.

#### Director Nomination Process

The Governance Committee is responsible for identifying and recommending to the Board candidates for director. The Governance Committee considers diversity when identifying candidates for directorships. Although the Company does not have a written policy regarding diversity, the Governance Committee seeks to identify persons from various backgrounds and with a variety of life experiences who have a reputation for and a record of integrity and good business judgment and the willingness to make an appropriate time commitment. The Governance Committee also considers whether a person has experience in a highly responsible position in a profession or industry relevant to the conduct of the Company's business. The Governance Committee takes into account the current composition of the Board and the extent to which a person's particular expertise, experience and ability will complement the expertise and

experience of other directors. Candidates for director should also be free of conflicts of interest or relationships that may interfere with the performance of their duties. Based on its evaluation and consideration, the Governance Committee submits its recommendation for director candidates to the full Board of Directors, which is then responsible for selecting the candidates to be elected by the shareholders. The Governance Committee evaluates its success in achieving these goals for Board composition from time to time, particularly when considering Board succession and candidates to fill vacancies.

The Governance Committee will consider as candidates for director persons recommended or nominated by shareholders. Shareholders may recommend candidates for director by writing to the Secretary of the Company at the address listed below under "Other Corporate Governance Matters." Nominations of directors may be made by any shareholder entitled to vote in the election of directors, provided that written notice of intent to make a nomination is given to the Secretary of the Company not later than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of shareholders. The notice must set forth (i) information regarding the proposed nominee as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC, and (ii) the consent of such nominee to serve as a director of the Company if so elected.

## Other Corporate Governance Matters

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com under "Corporate Governance," that provide among other things that the Company's independent directors will meet in executive session, outside the presence of the non-independent directors and management, at least twice a year. In 2011, the independent directors met in executive session four times.

Anyone may contact the Board of Directors, any Board Committee, any independent director or any other director by writing to the Secretary of the Company as follows:

Franklin Electric Co., Inc. Attention: [Board of Directors], [Board Committee], [Board Member] c/o Corporate Secretary Franklin Electric Co., Inc. 400 E. Spring Street Bluffton, IN 46714

The independent directors of the Board have approved a process for collecting, organizing and responding to written shareholder communications addressed to the Board, Board Committees or individual directors.

Copies of the Company's corporate governance documents, including the Board Committee charters and the Corporate Governance Guidelines are available upon written request to the Secretary of the Company at the address listed above or on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com under "Corporate Governance."

In compliance with Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics for its directors, principal financial officer, controller, principal executive officer, and other employees. The Company has posted its code of ethics on the Company's website at www.franklin-electric.com. The Company will disclose any amendments to the Code and any waivers from the Code for directors and executive officers by posting such information on its website.

# MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Management Organization and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors hereby furnishes the following report to the shareholders of the Company in accordance with rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Management Organization and Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement.

Based upon this review and discussion, the Management Organization and Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

This report is submitted on behalf of the members of the Management Organization and Compensation Committee.

David A. Roberts (Chairman) David T. Brown Thomas L. Young

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section of the proxy is intended to provide our shareholders information about the compensation awarded to our "named executive officers" in fiscal 2011. Our "named executive officers" are those named in the Summary Compensation Table of this proxy statement: the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the next three most highly compensated executive officers who were serving at the end of the last fiscal year. You should review this section together with the tabular disclosures beginning on page 26.

**Executive Summary** 

2011 Executive Compensation Highlights

The Management Organization and Compensation Committee of the Board (the "Committee") believes that executive compensation should be tied to both Company performance and individual performance, which is why a significant portion of the total compensation opportunity is linked to performance.

Performance-based compensation represented between 46% and 55% of our named executive officers' total targeted compensation for fiscal 2011.

The annual cash incentive awards are directly aligned with critical one-year operating results, as well as individual strategic objectives. No cash awards are earned unless a threshold level of performance is attained. Earned payouts cannot exceed 200% of the target opportunity.

Long-term incentive awards are equity-based and are designed to align management's interests with those of our shareholders and to foster retention of key executives. The 2011 long-term incentive grants are predominantly performance-based, with 60% of the targeted value awarded as stock options to focus executives on delivering results that drive shareholder value.

The Company does not provide perquisites to the named executive officers.

The Company has in place stock ownership requirements to further align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders.

#### Fiscal 2011 Company Performance

For 2011, diluted earnings per share were \$2.65, an increase of 61% compared to 2010 diluted earnings per share of \$1.65. Sales in 2011 were \$821.1 million, an increase of 15% compared to 2010 sales of \$713.8 million. In addition to the financial results achieved by the Company, there was significant progress made regarding key strategic initiatives in 2011 as follows:

The Company continued to increase its sales base in high growth developing regions. Developing region sales increased by 18% in 2011 and now represent 36% of consolidated sales.

The Company continued to improve its cost and quality position by expanding manufacturing in the Linares, Mexico production complex and laid the groundwork for the construction of a new pump manufacturing facility in Brazil.

- The Company continued to gain share in the North American water systems pump market. After having only
  been in the North American groundwater pump market for only six years, the Company has already achieved a
- significant market position and has room for additional growth.

The Company developed new products that provide its customers with a pre-packaged systems solution. These pre-packaged systems are designed to feature more of the Company's technology, provide significantly higher revenue per installation and have the potential to materially increase the growth rate of the Company in the years ahead.

#### Prior Year Say on Pay Results

At the May 6, 2011 shareholders' meeting, the "Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation" proposal (the "say on pay" vote) received support from approximately 97% of votes cast. The Committee considered these results and, based on the overwhelming support from shareholders, determined that the results of the vote did not call for any major changes to the executive compensation plans and programs already in place for 2011 or for the 2012 fiscal year. The Board has approved a redesign of the Company's retirement program beginning in 2012, which is described on page 23. Management Organization and Compensation Committee

The Committee, consisting entirely of independent directors, has the responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring adherence with the Company's compensation program and providing input to the Board with respect to management

development and succession planning. The role of the Committee is to oversee, on behalf of the Board and for the benefit of the Company and its shareholders, the Company's compensation and benefit plans and policies, administer its stock plans (including reviewing and approving equity grants to all executive officers, including the CEO) and review all other compensation decisions relating to the executive officers of the Company and approve these decisions for all executive officers (other than the CEO, whose non-equity compensation is approved by the Board). In addition, the Committee (i) reviews the Company's organization structure, (ii) reviews the recruitment of key employees and management's development plans for key employees, (iii) makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the CEO succession plan and (iv) reviews compensation risk to determine whether the compensation about the Board and Its Committees - Committees - Management Organization and Compensation Committee." The Committee meets a minimum of three times annually to discharge its duties.

Compensation Philosophy and Pay Objectives

The Company and the Committee believe that compensation paid to executive officers, including the named executive officers, should be aligned with the strategy and performance of the Company on both a short-term and long-term basis, and that such compensation should assist the Company in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company's long-term success. Compensation should be structured to ensure that a significant portion of the executive's compensation opportunities will be directly related to Company performance and other factors that directly and indirectly influence shareholder value.

The Committee encourages superior short-term performance through the use of annual cash incentive awards and superior long-term performance through equity incentive awards. For the Company's CEO and CFO, the cash incentive compensation is designed to reward Company-wide performance by tying the great majority of their cash incentive awards to financial goals such as return on invested capital and earnings per share, with the balance linked to specific operational goals. For other executive officers, the cash incentive compensation is weighted to reward most heavily the achievement of specific operational goals within areas under their control, although Company-wide performance is still an important factor. Stock-based compensation has generally consisted of stock options and restricted stock, both of which create a strong link to shareholder value creation, with the majority of the awards in the form of stock options. The Company also provides retirement benefits for its executive officers and, under certain circumstances described below, severance benefits.

Historically, the Committee generally has set executive pay opportunities based on a number of factors deemed appropriate by the Committee, including individual performance, market competitive pay data and the experience level of the executive. Subject to the factors set forth above, the Committee used the following pay objectives as a guide in assessing competitiveness of pay opportunities at a peer group of companies for 2011 pay decisions. The higher targeted pay objectives for annual bonus and long-term incentive components reflect the Committee's belief that a significant portion of total compensation should be at risk and variable:

Pay Component	Targeted Pay Objectives
Base Salary	50 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Annual Bonus Opportunity	65 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Long-Term Incentives	65 <sup>th</sup> percentile

Role of Management in Compensation Decisions

The Committee makes equity compensation decisions with respect to the CEO and all compensation decisions with respect to all other executive officers of the Company. The Committee recommends to the Board, for its review and approval, the annual salary and annual incentive compensation for the CEO.

The CEO reviews the performance of other executive officers, including the other named executive officers, and makes recommendations to the Committee with respect to their compensation, including annual salary adjustments, annual cash incentive opportunities and payments and grants of long-term incentive awards. The Committee approves the compensation of these executives after considering the CEO's input and recommendations and its own judgment of each executive's performance during the period.

The Committee and the CEO also discuss the financial metrics to be used to measure the performance of the Company and its business units, taking into account the strategic goals of the Company and input from the CFO. The CEO also describes the individual strategic initiatives he set for each executive, to determine the extent to which these individual performance targets for the previous year have been achieved.

Although the CEO regularly attends Committee meetings, he is present only by invitation of the Committee and has no independent right to attend such meetings. In fiscal 2011, the CEO attended all of the Committee meetings, but he did not participate in any executive sessions.

Role of Compensation Consultant in Compensation Decisions

The Committee utilizes the Company's Human Resources department and has the authority under its charter to engage the services of outside consultants to assist the Committee. In accordance with this authority, the Committee has engaged the services of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC ("Meridian"), an independent executive compensation consulting firm (the "Compensation Consultant"), to conduct reviews of its total compensation program for the CEO and other executive officers and to provide advice to the Committee in the design and implementation of its executive compensation program.

A representative from Meridian is invited by the Committee to attend the relevant portions of its meetings. During 2011, Meridian participated in five of the six Committee's meetings in person or by telephone. In the course of fulfilling its consulting responsibilities, representatives of Meridian regularly communicate with the Chairman of the Committee outside of regular Committee meetings. A representative of Meridian meets with the Committee in executive session at most meetings. Meridian also meets with management from time to time to gather information and to review proposals that management may make to the Committee.

Peer Group Benchmarking

For 2011, the Committee reviewed the 2010 peer group to ensure that all included companies continued to be relevant comparators. As part of this process, the Committee considered revenue size and industry, as well as companies that compete with the Company for executive talent. Based on this review, the Committee again approved the 33-company peer group listed below (the "2011 Peer Group") for purposes of updating the executive pay study in late 2010 to assist in 2011 pay decisions. The peer companies are primarily engaged in manufacturing, are publicly traded and had annual revenue between \$250 million and \$2.2 billion. Due to the differences in size among the companies in the 2011 Peer Group, the Compensation Consultant used a form of regression analysis to adjust the survey results based on Company revenue as compared to revenue of other companies in the peer group and each executive's level of responsibility as compared to executives in comparable positions in the 2011 Peer Group.

AMCOL International Corporation Ameron International Corporation Badger Meter, Inc. **Baldor Electric Company** Ceradyne, Inc. Clean Harbors, Inc. Crane Co. Curtiss-Wright Corporation Eagle Materials Inc. ESCO Technologies Inc. Esterline Technologies Corporation Global Industries, Ltd. Graco Inc. GrafTech International Ltd. H&E Equipment Services, Inc. **IDEX** Corporation Kaman Corporation

Matthews International Corporation Mueller Water Products, Inc. Neenah Paper, Inc. Nordson Corporation **Orbital Sciences Corporation** Otter Tail Corporation Pike Electric Corporation Robbins & Myers, Inc. Sauer-Danfoss Inc. Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. **Tecumseh Products Company** Valmont Industries, Inc. Waste Connections, Inc. Walters Corporation Watts Water Technologies, Inc. Woodward Governor Company

#### Setting Executive Compensation

The Company compensates its executives through programs that emphasize performance-based compensation. For the executive officers, including the named executive officers, the compensation package for 2011 included base salary, an annual cash incentive opportunity and an annual long-term incentive opportunity in the form of stock options and restricted stock. Base salary is intended to provide a certain level of fixed compensation commensurate with an executive's position, responsibilities and contributions to the Company. The Company has structured annual and long-term incentive compensation to motivate executives to achieve the strategic objectives set by the CEO and the Board, to tie executives' long-term interests to those of the Company's shareholders, to reward the executives for achieving such goals and to provide a retention incentive.

The mix of compensation among base salary, annual bonus opportunity and long-term incentives is a result of the targeted pay objective for each component of pay. This approach results in a significant portion of the compensation of those senior management members having the greatest ability to influence the Company's performance being performance based, which the Committee believes is appropriate. Additionally, after setting each separate component of pay, the Committee reviews the total compensation package of each named executive officer to assess the level of total target compensation opportunity provided in relation to the competitive range of market practice and may make adjustments to one or more components of pay based on this assessment.

In December 2010, the Compensation Consultant provided the Committee with a pay study to be used in connection with 2011 pay decisions. The pay study included 2010 compensation data for the companies in the 2011 Peer Group, with cash data "aged" to reflect expected 2011 compensation levels for the 2011 Peer Group. In February 2011, the Committee set the specific components of the compensation of the named executive officers, with the overall goal of paying compensation at levels competitive with the 2011 pay study. Total targeted compensation for 2011 for the named executive officers was, on an aggregate basis, 6.2% below the targeted level of total compensation in the 2011 pay study.

The following table relates the 2011 total targeted compensation (the sum of base salary, target annual bonus opportunity and long-term incentives) for the named executive officers to total targeted compensation for similar positions in the 2011 Peer Group, using targets of the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for base salary and the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile for annual bonus opportunity and long-term incentives:

	2011 Targeted	Percentage Points
Named Executive Officer	Total	Above or Below the
	Compensation <sup>(1)</sup>	Targeted Philosophy <sup>(2)</sup>
R. Scott Trumbull	\$2,567,515	+0.2%
John J. Haines	\$787,500	-22.0%
Gregg C. Sengstack <sup>(2)</sup>	\$887,005	-1.3%
Robert J. Stone	\$850,250	-5.2%
DeLancey W. Davis	\$674,750	-13.3%

Based on annualized base salary rates effective January 2, 2011 (for Messrs. Haines, Stone and Davis) or
 June 1, 2011 (for Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack), plus target annual bonus opportunity (based on salary targeted to be paid for 2011) and economic value of long-term incentives.

(2)Based on Mr. Sengstack's position prior to his December 2011 promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer.

The following sections discuss the individual elements of the Company's compensation program, including any changes made for fiscal 2011.

#### Base Salary

The Company pays its executives annual salaries, which provide a degree of financial stability and are intended to reflect the competitive marketplace and help attract and retain quality executives. In determining 2011 base salary for each executive, the Committee took into account the targeted annual salary objective for the position based on the results of the pay study for 2011 and assessed the responsibilities associated with the position, individual contribution

and performance, skill set, prior experience and external pressures to attract and retain talent. Based on these factors, the Committee made the base salary adjustments shown in the table below for the named executive officers. Although base salary adjustments typically are effective as of June 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, the Committee increased the base

salaries of Messrs. Haines, Stone and Davis effective as of January, 2011, in order to bring these salaries more in line with the market. The table also shows the relationship of the resulting salaries to the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of salaries paid to executives in comparable positions in the 2011 Peer Group. The resulting base salaries of the named executive officers were, on an aggregate basis, 3.9% below the 50th percentile of salaries paid to executives in comparable positions at the companies in the 2011 Peer Group.

Named Executive Officer	2010 Base Salary	2011 Base Salary	% Change	Percentage Points Above or Below 50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (2011 Base Salary)
R. Scott Trumbull <sup>(1)</sup>	\$657,000	\$675,000	2.7	% -0.1%
John J. Haines <sup>(2)</sup>	\$275,000	\$300,000	9.1	% -11.5%
Gregg C. Sengstack <sup>(3)</sup>	\$321,400	\$335,000	4.2	% +0.4%
Robert J. Stone <sup>(2)</sup>	\$300,000	\$315,000	5.0	% -5.9%
DeLancey W. Davis <sup>(2)</sup>	\$252,300	\$290,000	14.9	% -6.3%
(1) 2011 base colory offective June 1, 2011				

(1)2011 base salary effective June 1, 2011.

(2)2011 base salaries effective January 2, 2011.

(3) 2011 base salary effective June 1, 2011. Does not reflect Mr. Sengstack's salary adjustment in December 2011 in connection with his promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer.

In December 2011, the Board appointed Mr. Sengstack to serve as President and Chief Operating Officer. In connection with Mr. Sengstack's new role, the Committee increased his base salary to \$400,000, commencing December 2011, taking into consideration the 2011 pay data provided by the Compensation Consultant for the position of chief operating officer in the 2011 Peer Group. Annual Cash Incentive Award

The executive officers of the Company are eligible to participate in the Executive Officer Annual Incentive Cash Bonus Program (the "Annual Bonus Plan"). The Annual Bonus Plan, which works in conjunction with the Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"), is designed to motivate and reward participants for achieving or exceeding financial and individual goals that support the overall business objectives and strategic direction of the Company. Under the MIP, the Committee sets a performance-based ceiling on the bonuses paid under the Annual Bonus Plan so that they meet the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. For 2011, the MIP covered Messrs. Trumbull, Sengstack, Stone and Davis (as CFO, Mr. Haines is not subject to Code Section 162(m)). The Committee established Company operating income before restructuring charges as a performance goal under the MIP and a bonus pool equal to 15% of operating income, with Mr. Trumbull eligible for a bonus opportunity of 33.33% of the bonus pool and each of the other named executive officers eligible for a bonus opportunity of 16.67% of the bonus pool. As discussed below, the Committee also established performance criteria for each participant pursuant to the Annual Bonus Plan and exercised its discretion to adjust the bonus pool amounts on the basis of achievement of the Annual Bonus Plan criteria.

The target annual cash incentive opportunities under the Annual Bonus Plan for 2011 were set, as they were for 2010, at 100% of base salary for the CEO; 75% for Mr. Sengstack and Mr. Stone; and 67.5% for Mr. Haines and Mr. Davis. Based on the pay study for 2011, the target level of annual bonus opportunity for the named executive officers was, on an aggregate basis, 2.8% above the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile of the annual bonus opportunity for executives in comparable positions in the 2011 Peer Group.

The table below shows the target annual bonus opportunities for each of the named executive officers for 2011 and the relationship of the target opportunity to the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile of executives in comparable positions in the 2011 Peer Group. Target amounts for the named executive officers are based on their respective target bonus percentage multiplied by the amount of base salary targeted to be paid to the executive for the year (taking into account salary increases approved at the beginning of the year).

	2011 Target Bonus	2011 Target	Percentage Points	
Named Executive Officer	Opportunity	Bonus	Above or Below	
Named Executive Officer	(as a % of Base	Opportunity	65 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Target Opportunity	
	Salary)	(in dollars)		
R. Scott Trumbull	100.0	% \$667,515	+0.7%	
John J. Haines	67.5	% \$202,500	-10.0%	
Gregg C. Sengstack	75.0	% \$247,005 (1	) +11.9%	
Robert J. Stone	75.0	% \$236,250	+6.5%	
DeLancey W. Davis	67.5	% \$195,750	+11.3%	

(1) Does not reflect Mr. Sengstack's salary adjustment in December 2011 in connection with his promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer.

Of the total target bonus opportunity, 90% is based on achievement of financial goals and 10% is based on the achievement of individual strategic objectives.

In the beginning of 2011, the Committee approved certain financial performance targets to be used under the Annual Bonus Plan for 2011. The corporate-wide financial performance targets for the named executive officers were return on invested capital and earnings per share. The Committee selected return on invested capital due to the belief that it is a primary and consistent measure that many of the Company's shareholders use to evaluate performance and compare the Company to its peer group. The Committee also believes earnings per share is an important indicator of profitability that aligns the interests of the executive officers with those of the Company's shareholders. In addition, the CFO had a portion of his target annual bonus based on fixed cost management. For the business unit leaders, financial performance targets for 2011 also included operating income after non-GAAP adjustments (Operating Income or OI) for the relevant business units. A portion of the target annual bonus for the business unit leaders also included either inventory turns or fixed cost management or both, in order to provide a continued incentive to control inventory and focus on cash generation through working capital improvements.

The individual strategic objectives were agreed to between the executive and the CEO (in the case of the executives other than the CEO) and between the Committee and the CEO (in the case of the CEO). These strategic objectives are as follows:

Mr. Trumbull: Continue building the Company's market presence in developing regions; implement next phase of the global motor and pump supply plan; and champion the ongoing integration of the Company's Continuous Improvement Processes into the daily operations of the Company's global business units.

Mr. Haines: Build and implement standardized inventory reporting tools; optimize global capital deployment prioritization, usage and reporting policies; and implement financial reporting tools along with more effective reporting and forecasting processes.

Mr. Sengstack: Continue building the water systems sales and distribution platform in the Asia/Pacific region; complete the integration of recent water and fueling business acquisitions; and introduce new global pumping system applications to the market.

Mr. Stone: Expand implementation of lean principles throughout the water business unit; develop water product configuration strategy for expanding sales revenue in new application markets; and expand the water systems sales and distribution platform in the Latin America region.

Mr. Davis: Increase market share of the US/Canada water pumping systems; expand water systems industry leadership position in technical service and training; enhance product development processes; and improve sales contribution margins.

These measures were aligned with the goals of the overall corporate short-term and long-term strategies. Focusing on and achieving these goals would help drive the Company's overall success. The goals, when set, were thought to be achievable but would require considerable effort on the part of each executive to achieve.

A threshold level of performance is also established for each performance measure, below which no bonus is earned for that performance measure. For the financial-based measures, the performance threshold was set at 80% of the target performance level. Payouts for threshold level performance were set at 33% of target. For every 1.0% by which

performance exceeds the threshold level, the actual payout level increases 3.35% up to the target level (i.e., 100% of target payout), and for every 1.0% by

which performance exceeds the target level, the actual payout increases 5.0% up to the maximum performance level (i.e., 200% of target payout). For the measures tied to individual strategic objectives, the performance threshold was set at 10% of the target performance level, and the payout is capped at the target level. Payouts are made in proportion to the Committee's evaluation of performance.

The performance goals, and the relative percentage of salary assigned to each performance goal, were as follows:

R. Scott		John J.		Gregg C.		Robert J.		DeLancey	/
Trumbull	1	Haines		Sengstack		Stone		W. Davis	
40	%	27.0	%	15.0	%	15.0	%	13.5	%
50	%	27.0	%	15.0	%	15.0	%	13.5	%
—		—		22.5	%	30.0	%	27.0	%
—		—		7.5	%	—			
		6.75	%	7.5	%	7.5	%	6.75	%
10	%	6.75	%	7.5	%	7.5	%	6.75	%
100	%	67.5	%	75.0	%	75.0	%	67.5	%
	Trumbul 40 50 — — 10	Trumbull 40 % 50 %  10 %	Trumbull       Haines         40       %       27.0         50       %       27.0         —       —       —         —       —       —         —       6.75       10       %       6.75	Trumbull       Haines         40       %       27.0       %         50       %       27.0       %                6.75       %         10       %       6.75       %	Trumbull         Haines         Sengstack           40         %         27.0         %         15.0           50         %         27.0         %         15.0           —         —         22.5	Trumbull         Haines         Sengstack           40         %         27.0         %         15.0         %           50         %         27.0         %         15.0         %             22.5         %            7.5         %            6.75         %         7.5         %           10         %         6.75         %         7.5         %	Trumbull       Haines       Sengstack       Stone         40       %       27.0       %       15.0       %       15.0         50       %       27.0       %       15.0       %       15.0           22.5       %       30.0          7.5       %           6.75       %       7.5       %       7.5         10       %       6.75       %       7.5       %       7.5	Trumbull         Haines         Sengstack         Stone           40         %         27.0         %         15.0         %         15.0         %           50         %         27.0         %         15.0         %         15.0         %             22.5         %         30.0         %             7.5         %              6.75         %         7.5         %         7.5         %           10         %         6.75         %         7.5         %         7.5         %	Trumbull         Haines         Sengstack         Stone         W. Davis           40         %         27.0         %         15.0         %         13.5           50         %         27.0         %         15.0         %         13.5           50         %         27.0         %         15.0         %         13.5             22.5         %         30.0         %         27.0            7.5         %               6.75         %         7.5         %         6.75           10         %         6.75         %         7.5         %         6.75

The chart below sets forth (i) the threshold, target and maximum performance levels for 2011 and the actual level of attainment for return on invested capital, earnings per share, inventory turns and fixed costs; and (ii) for all five performance goals, the percentage at which target was attained. The performance goals were established without regard to the impact of certain non-GAAP items. Accordingly, and with the approval of the Committee, the following were excluded: restructuring changes (increased operating income by \$1.6 million and earnings per share by \$.05); legal settlement costs in the Fueling Segment (increased operating income by \$700,000 and earnings per share by \$.02); and foreign exchange gain on a particular contract (decreased earnings per share by \$.02). The "Actual" results shown in the table reflect these adjustments where appropriate.

The Company does not publicly report operating income by business units below the operating segment level given the size of the business units as compared to its competitors and given the potential for competitive harm. The operating income goals were set at the beginning of 2011 and the Committee believed at the time that it would require a high degree of execution of the 2011 business plan in order to attain these goals.

Performance Goal Achievement	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual	% of Attainm of Target	lent
Return on Invested Capital Earnings Per Share	13.36 % \$1.80	16.70 % \$2.25	20.04 % \$2.70	19.20 % \$2.70	115.0 120.0	% %
Business Unit OI	—	_	_	_	103.0% to 126.5%	
Inventory Turns · Sengstack	2.73x	3.41x	4.09x	3.50x	102.6	%
Fixed Costs (In millions) • Haines • Sengstack • Stone • Davis	\$43.68 \$109.20 \$52.68 \$31.92	\$36.40 \$91.00 \$43.90 \$26.60	\$29.12 \$72.80 \$35.12 \$21.28	\$39.10 \$90.50 \$45.90 \$26.80	93.1% 100.6% 95.6% 99.3%	

A prorated percentage is paid for performance between the threshold and target levels (with a 3.35% payout increase for each 1% above threshold) and for performance between the target and maximum levels (with a 5.0% payout increase for each 1% above target). The percentage of attainment of target results for the business unit OI goals represents the range of results for the various business units.

The CEO determined the extent to which the other named executive officers attained their individual strategic goals, which were reviewed and approved by the Committee. The Committee reviewed the CEO's individual strategic goals

and determined the level of performance attained to be 95%. In 2011, the named executive officers, other than the CEO, achieved their individual performance goals at 100%.

Based on the results summarized above, the following table sets forth the actual bonus payouts for each named executive officer as a percentage of his target opportunity.

Executive	Payout Percentage			
Executive	(% of Targe	(% of Target)		
R. Scott Trumbull	179.4	%		
John J. Haines	167.6	%		
Gregg C. Sengstack	141.0	$\%^{(1)}$		
Robert J. Stone	173.5	%		
DeLancey W. Davis	174.7	%		
Reflects Mr. Sengstack's salary adjustment in December 2011 in connection with	his promotion to Pre	sident and		
<sup>(1)</sup> Chief				

Operating Officer.

In connection with Mr. Sengstack's promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer, the Committee in December, 2011 increased his target bonus for 2012 to 85% of annual base salary (\$340,000).

For additional information about the specific awards made to the named executive officers for 2011 pursuant to the above criteria, see the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table. Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The Committee grants equity incentives to its executive officers to more closely align the executives' compensation with the return received by the Company's shareholders, to offer an incentive for long-term performance, to provide a retention incentive and to encourage stock ownership. Consistent with the approach used in 2010, the Committee determined to deliver long-term incentives to the named executive officers in 2011 through a combination of nonqualified stock options and shares of restricted stock. Both types of equity are used to align the interests of the named executive officers with those of shareholders. Stock options provide an element of risk to the executives in that value is created for the executive only when the stock price increases, while restricted stock provides executives with outright value which supports their retention and helps manage the potential increased dilution that would result in using only options. Based on a review of market data and input from the Compensation Consultant, the Committee determined to deliver the targeted economic value with 60% in the form of stock options and 40% in the form of restricted stock.

In determining the size of equity grants made to the named executive officers, the Committee uses the pay study provided by the Compensation Consultant as a guide. The Committee then considers other important factors such as experience level, time in position and past performance to approve the long-term incentive value to be granted to each named executive officer. Based on the pay study for 2011, total targeted long-term incentive compensation to the named executive officers for 2011 was, on an aggregate basis, 13% below the targeted level of long-term incentive compensation for executives in comparable positions in the 2011 Peer Group.

The following table shows the relationship of the 2011 targeted economic value for the named executive officers to the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile of targeted economic value of long-term incentives awarded to executives in comparable positions at the companies in the 2011 Peer Group.

Named Executive Officer	Targeted Economic Value for 2011	Percentage Points Above or Below 65 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
R. Scott Trumbull	\$1,225,000	+0.1%
John J. Haines	\$285,000	-36.0%
Gregg C. Sengstack	\$305,000	-11.3%
Robert J. Stone	\$299,000	-12.1%
DeLancey W. Davis	\$189,000	-35.4%

Equity grants are typically made on an annual basis at the Committee's meeting following the public release of the Company's fiscal year-end results. Stock options are valued as of the date of grant using a modified Black-Scholes methodology. They have an exercise price equal to 100% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and vest over four years, at 25% per year. Restricted stock is valued using a valuation methodology used by the Compensation Consultant taking into account the fair market value on the date of grant adjusted to reflect the vesting provisions of the award. The restricted stock vests 100% on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. In December 2011, in connection with Mr. Sengstack's promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer, the Committee determined that it would use an economic value of \$510,000 in making his long-term incentive award for 2012.

For additional information about the material terms of these awards, see the narrative disclosure under the Summary Compensation Table.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In December 2006, the Board approved stock ownership guidelines for the executives of the Company, pursuant to which executives are required to maintain direct ownership in the Company's common stock in amounts as follows: CEO: five times annual base salary.

President and COO, Senior Vice Presidents: three times annual base salary.

Corporate Vice Presidents: one times annual base salary.

An individual has five years from the date the executive is appointed to the relevant position to comply with these guidelines. Stock options do not count toward these guidelines. All shares held directly or beneficially, including shares of restricted stock, shares of stock acquired upon exercise of stock options, and shares credited under the Company's Directed Investment Salary Plan (the 401(k) Plan, or "DISP") count toward these guidelines. As of the end of 2011, all named executive officers either met or exceeded their respective stock ownership requirements. Retirement Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans and defined contribution retirement plans in which certain of the named executive officers currently participate.

# Basic Retirement Plan

The Basic Retirement Plan is a tax-qualified plan that generally covers employees in the U.S. Under the Basic Retirement Plan, a participant retiring at age 65 is eligible to receive a monthly single life annuity equal to his credited service times a flat dollar amount (\$25 for most U.S. salaried employees). Participants age 55 or older with 10 years of vesting service may retire prior to age 65 with a reduced benefit. All named executive officers except Mr. Haines participated in the Basic Retirement Plan in 2011. Mr. Haines is ineligible because he was hired after February 21, 2006 when the Plan was closed to all new salaried employees. Cash Balance Pension Plan

The Cash Balance Pension Plan is a tax-qualified plan that covers most salaried employees in the U.S. Under the Cash Balance Pension Plan, a participant is eligible to receive the amount credited to his account or a monthly single life annuity based on the amount credited to his account. The Plan benefits consist of:

An opening balance for participants in the Plan at December 31, 1999, equal to the present value of the participant's accrued benefit earned at December 31, 1999 under the applicable prior pension plan;

Annual contributions made by the Company as of the end of each calendar year that range from 3% to 12% of the participant's compensation;

Pay credits equal to a percentage of eligible compensation based on credited service and transition credits from 2000-2004 equal to 6% of eligible compensation for participants with 45 points (age plus service) at December 31, 1999; and

Interest credits based on the 30-year Treasury rate for the November preceding each plan year.

All named executive officers participated in the Cash Balance Pension Plan in 2011.

# Pension Restoration Plan

In order to provide eligible employees, including named executive officers, with the portion of their retirement benefits that cannot be paid under the tax-qualified pension plans, the Company maintains the Pension Restoration Plan. The Plan, which is non-qualified, provides retirement benefits to eligible executives based on all eligible compensation including compensation in excess of Internal Revenue Code limits. The benefit for Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack is determined by applying a formula based on credited service and final average compensation, with an offset for benefits provided by the Basic Retirement Plan, the Cash Balance Pension Plan and Social Security. The benefit for Messrs. Haines, Stone and Davis is determined by applying the Cash Balance Pension Plan formula for all eligible compensation, offset for benefits provided by the Cash Balance Pension Plan. Directed Investment Salary Plan (DISP)

The DISP is a tax qualified 401(k) plan that covers all U.S. employees, including the named executive officers. An employee can elect to defer 1-50% of his compensation on a pre-tax basis, up to a maximum in 2011 of \$16,500, or \$22,000 if age 50 or over, and the Company will make a matching contribution of up to 3.5% of the employee's first 5% of deferral contributions (table listed below), taking into consideration Internal Revenue Code compensation limits. (The compensation limit was \$245,000 in 2011.)

Employee Contribution	Company Match
1%	1.0%
2%	2.0%
3%	2.5%
4%	3.0%
5%	3.5%

The DISP also holds participants' accounts that were held in the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan, which was merged into the DISP in 2010.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company maintains the Deferred Compensation Plan, which provides an additional benefit to attract and retain key executives at a minimal cost to the Company. The Deferred Compensation Plan permits executive officers of the Company to elect each year to defer up to 90% of their bonus awards and up to 50% of their salary. The Company does not contribute any amounts to the Deferred Compensation Plan. Deferred amounts are credited to a notional account maintained on behalf of the participant, which is adjusted for earnings and losses based on investment funds made available under the DISP, as elected by the participant. A participant's account under the Deferred Compensation Plan will be distributed to him as soon as practicable after the first of the month following termination of employment (provided that distribution to a "key employee" as defined in Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code will be deferred for six months). No named executive officer contributed to the Deferred Compensation Plan in 2011. Redesign of Retirement Program

In 2011, the Company implemented a redesign of its retirement program, which included the following: (i) effective as of December 31, 2011, participants in the Basic Retirement Plan and Cash Balance Plan generally stopped accruing benefits, although participants 50 and older as of such date (which includes Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack) will accrue benefits under the Basic Retirement Plan until December 31, 2016; and (ii) beginning January 1, 2012, the Company will provide DISP participants with an additional annual service-based contribution that ranges from 3% to 9% of their compensation, depending on their years of service with the Company. In addition, participants other than Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack stopped accruing benefits under the Pension Restoration Plan, and those participants will instead participate in two new defined contribution retirement accounts, which are intended to replace the Pension Restoration Plan benefits. In one account, the Company will provide the portion of the service-based contribution that could not be made under the DISP due to IRS limitations. Earnings on this account will be credited at the rate of earnings on the participant's underlying DISP account. In the other account, the Company will provide an additional

contribution of 2% to 4% of compensation depending on years of service. Earnings on this account will follow the methodology used in the now-frozen cash balance plan, which credits earnings based on the 30-year Treasury rate, but not less than 4.5%.

Perquisites, Other Personal Benefits, and Other Compensation

The Company does not provide the named executive officers with perquisites or other personal benefits such as Company vehicles, club memberships, financial planning assistance or tax preparation. Employment Agreements

During 2011, the Company had employment agreements with Messrs. Trumbull, Haines and Sengstack. All agreements are three-year agreements, which automatically extend for an additional year unless either party gives notice not to renew. If the agreement is not renewed by the Company, and the executive terminates his employment, the executive is entitled to a payment equal to 12 months of salary and the bonus paid for the preceding year, a bonus pro-rated for the time of employment in the current year, continued participation in the Company's benefit plans for 12 months, and immediate vesting of all stock options. If the executive's employment is terminated prior to a change in control without cause by the Company or for good reason by the executive (as defined in the agreements), the executive is entitled to these same benefits, except that Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack are entitled to 18 months of continued salary, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> times the bonus paid for the preceding year and 18 months of benefits continuation. If the executive's employment is terminated without cause by the Company or for good reason by the executive following a change in control of the Company, the executive is entitled to receive a payment equal to 36 months of continued salary, three times the bonus paid for the preceding year (24 months of salary and two times bonus for Mr. Haines), a bonus pro-rated for the time of employment in the current year, continued participation in the Company's benefit plans for 36 months (24 months for Mr. Haines), and immediate vesting and cashout of outstanding options. In addition, in order to provide these executives an additional element of security in a change in control, these amounts are payable if the executive terminates employment for any reason in the 13<sup>th</sup> month following a change in control. Any prorata bonus is determined by using the executive's actual bonus for the past year, except that any prorata bonus payable to Mr. Trumbull because of a termination prior to a change in control would be determined with reference to the bonus otherwise payable to him had he continued to be employed for the full year in which employment terminated. In addition, the executive will receive a gross-up payment to cover any liability arising under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G as a result of the payments. Under his agreement, Mr. Trumbull is deemed to have five years of full-time service with the Company as of January 1, 2003 for purposes of vesting and benefit accrual under the Company's Pension Restoration Plan.

Messrs. Trumbull, Haines, Sengstack, Stone and Davis have each signed a confidentiality and non-compete agreement with the Company. Under this agreement, they agree to maintain all confidential information of the Company, and for a period of 18 months after termination of employment from the Company they agree not to, directly or indirectly, participate in the design, development, manufacture, or distribution of electrical submersible motors or related products in competition with the Company.

**Employment Security Agreements** 

The Company has entered into employment security agreements ("ESAs") with Mr. Stone, Mr. Davis, and other certain executives that provide benefits upon a change in control of the Company, in order to extend these benefits to some executives who are not party to employment agreements.

Each ESA provides that if within two years after a change in control (as defined in the ESA) the Company terminates the executive's employment for any reason other than cause, or the executive terminates his employment with the Company for good reason (as defined in the ESA), the executive is entitled to the following:

A lump sum payment equal to the sum of two times the executive's base salary, a prorated portion of the executive's target bonus for the current year (based on the termination date), and two times the executive's target bonus for the current year;

A lump sum payment equal to the increase in benefits under the Company's tax-qualified and supplemental retirement plans that results from crediting the executive with additional service for 24 months (or, if earlier, until age 65); Immediate vesting of all stock-based awards and deemed satisfaction of all performance-based awards;

Continued coverage under the Company's health and welfare plans for 24 months following termination (or, if earlier, until age 65);

12 months of executive outplacement services (not to exceed \$50,000) with a professional outplacement firm selected by the Company; and

For agreements entered into prior to 2009, including Mr. Stone's and Mr. Davis's, a gross-up payment to cover any excise and related income tax liability under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code as a result of payments made or benefits provided under the ESA (except that if the payments and benefits subject to Section 280G are less than 110% of the amount that could be paid without incurring Section 280G liability, the payments under the ESA will be reduced so that no such liability will be incurred). Agreements entered into after 2009 do not provide for a gross-up payment and instead reduce ESA payments, so that this liability will not be incurred.

25

The ESAs contain a restrictive covenant that prohibits the executive from soliciting employees of the Company for 18 months following termination. The confidentiality and non-compete agreements of Mr. Stone and Mr. Davis also applies for 18 months following a termination of employment under the ESAs.

The Company determined that these agreements directly fulfill the Company's objective to attract and retain key executives. By providing these agreements the executives are able to remain focused on the best interests of the shareholders in the event of a potential change-in-control situation. Additionally, these agreements provide benefits which strive to retain the executives during a transitional period.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility for federal income tax purposes of executive compensation paid to the CEO and the three other most highly compensated officers other than the chief financial officer of a public company to \$1,000,000 per year, but contains an exception for certain performance-based compensation. While base salary and time-based restricted stock, by their nature, do not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m), the Committee has structured the annual cash incentive awards under the Annual Bonus Plan and the grant of stock options to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). Although the Committee attempts to establish and maintain compensation programs that optimize the tax deductibility of compensation, the Committee retains discretion to authorize payment of compensation that may not be fully tax deductible when it believes this would be in the best interests of the Company. For 2011, the Company expects that all of the compensation paid in 2011 will be deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes.

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

#### Summary Compensation Table

The following tables set forth compensation information for the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010.

·							Change in Pension		
							Value & Nonqualified		
						Non-Equity	Deferred		
Name and				Stock	Option	· ·	nCompensatio	nAll Other	
Principal	Year	Salary	Bonu	ısAwards	Awards	Compensatio	-	Compensation	n Total
Position (a)	(b)	(\$)(c)	(\$)(d	$)(\$)(e)^{(1)}$	(\$)(f) <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$)(g)	$($)(h)^{(2)}$	$(\$)(i)^{(3)}$	(\$)(j)
R. Scott	2011	667,515		589,085	750,156	1,197,789	1,071,060	19,173	4,294,778
Trumbull, Chairman o	2010 f	648,888	—	464,780	416,854	1,226,398	679,374	14,023	3,450,317
the Board &	-								
CEO	2009	637,513	—	249,759	581,861	115,390	863,245	69,487	2,517,255
John J.	2011	300,006		137,065	174,519	339,457	21,364	8,787	981,198
Haines,	2011	264,590		115,280	133,727	319,544	10,432	8,716	852,289
VP, CFO & Secretary	2009	250,005			119,235	46,501	9,896	8,562	434,199
Gregg C.	2011	334,757		146,663	186,773	354,106	444,727	10,830	1,477,856
Sengstack,	2010	317,491		115,280	133,728	353,049	307,858	8,611	1,236,017
President & COO	2009	308,257	11,7	14212,426	184,589	65,967	441,747	26,606	1,251,306
Robert J.	2011	315,007		143,797	183,100	409,950	60,901	8,952	1,121,707
Stone,	2010	294,382	—	115,280	133,728	379,076	35,247	8,704	966,417
Sr. VP, President									
Americas									
Water									
Systems	2009	286,507	33,23	3415,875	184,589	43,836	29,798	8,842	702,681
Group									
DeLancey	2011	290,006		90,899	115,737	342,004	34,623	8,827	882,096
W. Davis,									
VP, Dresident									
President US/Canada									
Business									
Unit									

(1) The amounts in columns (e) and (f) are the grant date fair values of the restricted stock and option awards computed in accordance with FASB Codification Topic 718 and represent the Company's total projected expense

of grants made to the named executive officers in 2011. See Note 15 of the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 for a complete description of the assumptions used for these valuations.

(2) The amounts in column (h) represent the annual change in the present value of each named executive officer's benefits under the Company's defined benefit pension plans.

These amounts represent the Company's life insurance contributions for 2011 of \$47; the Company's matching

(3) contributions under its 401(k) plan for 2011 of \$8,575; and a Medicare tax reimbursement in 2011 related to the Pension Restoration Plan as follows: Mr. Trumbull: \$10,551; Mr. Haines: \$165; Mr. Sengstack: \$2,208; Mr. Stone: \$330; Mr. Davis: \$205.

### Salary

Salary adjustments for Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack were effective as of June 1, 2011. Salary adjustments for Messrs. Haines, Stone and Davis were effective as of January 1, 2011 in order to bring their salaries more in line with market.

# Restricted Stock Awards

The 2011 restricted stock awards consisted of 13,564 shares awarded to Mr. Trumbull; 3,156 shares awarded to Mr. Haines; 3,377 shares awarded to Mr. Sengstack; 3,311 shares awarded to Mr. Stone and 2,093 shares awarded to Mr. Davis.

The 2010 restricted stock awards consisted of 16,127 shares awarded to Mr. Trumbull and 4,000 shares awarded to each of Messrs. Haines, Sengstack and Stone. Mr. Trumbull's award included 3,627 shares to compensate him for the 10,314 stock options that were not awarded to him in 2009 due to limitations under the Stock Plan. Twenty-five (25) percent of this award vested at grant, with the balance vesting ratably over the next three years.

In connection with his increased responsibilities, Mr. Sengstack received a restricted stock award for 4,000 shares in 2009; the award will vest on April 28, 2012. There were no other restricted stock awards granted in 2009.

Except as noted above, restricted stock awards vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date.

### Option Awards

The 2011 grants to the named executive officers consisted of options for 43,096 shares to Mr. Trumbull; 10,026 shares to Mr. Haines; 10,730 shares to Mr. Sengstack; 10,519 shares to Mr. Stone and 6,649 shares to Mr. Davis. These grants had an exercise price of \$43.43.

The 2010 grants to the named executive officers consisted of options for 39,900 shares to Mr. Trumbull and 12,800 shares to each of Messrs. Haines, Sengstack and Stone. These grants had an exercise price of \$28.82.

The 2009 grants to the named executive officers consisted of options for 100,000 shares to Mr. Trumbull; 20,492 shares to Mr. Haines and 31,724 shares to Messrs. Sengstack and Stone. These grants had an exercise price of \$17.34.

All of the stock options granted in 2011, 2010 and 2009 vest over four years, at 25% per year, and expire after ten years.

### Long Term Bonus Program

On March 5, 2009, Messrs. Trumbull, Sengstack and Stone received awards of cash and unrestricted stock as part of the incentive payments made under the Long Term Bonus Program resulting from the attainment of certain Company-wide performance goals measured over a five-year period from 2004 to 2008. One-half of the payments were made in cash and one-half was made in awards of unrestricted stock. The number of shares of stock awarded, the grant date value of which is reflected in the "Stock Awards" column for 2009, were as follows: Mr. Trumbull: 14,016; Mr. Sengstack: 6,959; and Mr. Stone: 6,504. Mr. Haines did not participate in the Program because his employment did not commence until April 14, 2008.

Bonus and Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

The amounts in columns (d) and (g) of the Summary Compensation Table reflect the bonuses paid in 2011, 2010 and 2009 to the named executive officers under the Company's performance-based Executive Officer Annual Incentive Cash Bonus Program. A description of this program can be found in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement.

Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings

Messrs. Trumbull, Sengstack, Stone and Davis participate in two tax-qualified defined benefit retirement plans and one non-qualified defined benefit retirement plan. Mr. Haines participates in one tax-qualified defined benefit retirement plan and one non-qualified defined benefit retirement plan. Descriptions of these retirement plans can be found in the 2011 Pension Benefits Table and accompanying narrative included in this proxy statement.

### 2011 Grant of Plan Based Awards Table

The following table sets forth the	plan-based grants made	during the fiscal y	vear ended December 31, 2011.

		Estimated	Possible P	ayouts		All Other			
		Under Nor	-Equity Ir	centive		Option			
		Plan Awar	$ds^{(1)}$		All Other Stock	Awards:	Exercise or	Grant Date	
Name	Grant				Awards: Number	r Number of	Base Price of	Fair Value of	
(a)	Date	Threshold	Target	Maximum	of Shares of	Securities	Option	Options and	
(u)	(b)	(\$)(c)	(\$)(d)	(\$)(e)	Stock	Underlying	Awards	Awards	
	(0)	$(\psi)(\mathbf{c})$	(ψ)(u)	$(\Psi)(\mathbf{c})$	$(#)(i)^{(2)}$	Options	(\$/sh)(k)	(\$)(1) <sup>(4)</sup>	
						$(#)(j)^{(3)}$			
R. Scott	3/2/2011	220,280	667,515	1,335,030					
Trumbull	3/2/2011				13,564	43,096	43.43	1,339,240	
John J.	3/2/2011	66,826	202,505	405,009					
Haines	3/2/2011				3,156	10,026	43.43	311,584	
Gregg C.	3/2/2011	82,852	251,068	502,136					
Sengstack	3/2/2011				3,377	10,730	43.43	333,436	
Robert J.	3/2/2011	77,964	236,256	472,512					
Stone	3/2/2011				3,311	10,519	43.43	326,897	
DeLancey	/ 3/2/2011	64,599	195,754	391,508					
W. Davis	3/2/2011				2,093	6,649	43.43	206,636	

The amounts reflected in the non-equity incentive compensation estimated possible payouts for 2011 were established under the Executive Officer Annual Incentive Bonus Program. The estimated payouts shown in the Table were based on performance in 2011, which has now occurred. Thus, the amounts shown in "threshold", "target", (1) and "meningum" and

(1) and "maximum" columns reflect the range of potential payouts when the performance goals were set in early 2011. Actual amounts paid for 2011 are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table. A description of this program can be found in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement. Restricted stock awards were granted to Mr. Trumbull (13,564 shares), Mr. Haines (3,156 shares), Mr. Sengstack

(2) (3,377 shares), Mr. Stone (3,311 shares) and Mr. Davis (2,093 shares). The awards vest on March 2, 2015 if they are still employed with the Company on such date. Vesting is accelerated upon a change in control of the Company.

The exercise price for grants of stock options is determined using the closing price of the Company's Common

(3)Stock on the date of grant. The option grants expire after ten years and are vested over four years, at 25% per year. Vesting is accelerated upon a change in control of the Company, death, disability or retirement.

(4) The grant date fair value of the stock options and stock awards shown in the above table was computed in accordance with FASB Codification Topic 718.

# 2011 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table

# The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2011.

Option Awards<sup>(1)</sup> Stock Awards

	Option Awar	u3 <sup></sup> /			SLOCK AW	aius		E
Name (a)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Option Exercise price (\$/sh)(e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(g)		Unearned Shares, Units, or	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(j) <sup>(7)</sup>
R. Scott Trumbull	60,800 30,200 18,500 14,500 42,975 50,000 9,975 0	0 0 0 14,325 50,000 29,925 43,096	29.95 40.93 45.90 48.87 32.19 17.34 28.82 43.43	02-12-2014 02-10-2015 02-17-2016 02-09-2017 02-28-2018 03-05-2019 02-22-2020 03-02-2021	27,878 <sup>(2)</sup>	1,214,366	N/A	N/A
John J. Haines	7,500 10,246 3,200 0	2,500 10,246 9,600 10,026	40.45 17.34 28.82 43.43	05-01-2018 03-05-2019 02-22-202003-02-2021	15,156 <sup>(3)</sup>	660,195	N/A	N/A
Gregg C. Sengstack	16,000 16,000 9,000 3,900 3,600 11,475 15,862 3,200 0	0 0 0 0 3,825 15,862 9,600 10,730	24.08 29.95 40.93 45.90 48.87 32.19 17.34 28.82 43.43	12-13-2012 02-12-2014 02-10-2015 02-17-2016 02-09-2017 02-28-2018 03-05-2019 02-22-202003-02-2021	11,377 <sup>(4)</sup>	495,582	N/A	N/A
Robert J. Stone	7,200 5,450 3,900 3,600 11,475 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	<ul> <li>43.43</li> <li>29.95</li> <li>40.93</li> <li>45.90</li> <li>48.87</li> <li>32.19</li> <li>17.34</li> <li>28.82</li> <li>43.43</li> </ul>	02-12-2014 02-10-2015 02-17-2016 02-09-2017 02-28-2018 03-05-2019 02-22-2020 03-02-2021	7,311 <sup>(5)</sup>	318,467	N/A	N/A

(	C	2,425	32.19	02 20 2010				
DeLancey 3	3,862	9,343	17.34	02-28-2018	8,593(6)	274 211	NT/A	NT/A
W. Davis (	C	6,000	28.82	03-05-2019 02-22-202003-02-2021	8,393(0)	374,311	N/A	N/A
(	C	6,649	43.43	02-22-202003-02-2021				

Each option grant has a ten-year term and vests pro rata over four or five years beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Options with grant dates prior to January 1, 2005 vest over five years, and options with grant

(1)dates after January 1, 2005 vest over four years. Vesting is accelerated upon death, disability, retirement or a change in control of the Company. Exercise prices are determined using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant.

Of Mr. Trumbull's restricted stock awards, 13,564 shares vest after 4 years on March 2, 2015, 12,500 shares vest (2) after 4 years on February 22, 2014, 907 shares vest on February 22, 2013 and 907 shares vested on February 22, 2012.

(3) Of Mr. Haines's restricted stock awards, 3,156 shares vest after 4 years on March 2, 2015, 4,000 shares vest after 4 years on February 22, 2014 and 8,000 shares vested after 4 years on April 14, 2012.

(4) Of Mr. Sengstack's restricted stock awards, 3,377 shares vest after 4 years on March 2, 2015, 4,000 shares vest after 4 years on February 22, 2014 and 4,000 shares vest after 3 years on April 28, 2012.

(5) Of Mr. Stone's restricted stock awards, 3,311 shares vest after 4 years on March 2, 2015 and 4,000 shares vest after 4 years on February 22, 2014.

(6) Of Mr. Davis's restricted awards, 2,093 shares vest after 4 years on March 2, 2015, 2,500 shares vest after 4 years on February 22, 2014 and 4,000 shares vest after 3 years on April 28, 2012.

(7) The market value of the stock awards was determined using the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 30, 2011 (\$43.56 per share).

### 2011 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table sets forth the exercised options and vested awards for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)(b)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(c) <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(d)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(e) <sup>(2)</sup>
100,430	2,352,740	907	38,402
26,000	755,365		_
19,062	423,230	—	—
16,360	120,094	—	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)(b) 100,430 26,000 19,062	Number of Shares       Value Realized on         Acquired on       Exercise         Exercise       (\$)(c)^{(1)}         (#)(b)       2,352,740         26,000       755,365         19,062       423,230	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)(b)Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(c)^{(1)}Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(d)100,4302,352,74090726,000755,36519,062423,230

(1) Represents the difference between the closing price of the stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares covered by the options.

(2) Represents the value realized by multiplying the closing price of the stock on the date of vesting by the number of shares that vested.

#### 2011 Pension Benefits Table

The following table sets forth (i) the years of service currently credited to each named executive officer under the Company's pension plans and (ii) the present value of the accumulated benefit payable under each pension plan to each of the named executive officers upon retirement.

		Number of Years of Present Value of		Payments During
Named Executive Officer	Plan Name	Credited Service	Accumulated Benef	itLast Fiscal Year
(a)	(b)	#(c)	$(\$)(d)^{(2)}$	(\$)(e)
	<b>Basic Retirement Plan</b>	9.0	29,911	0
R. Scott Trumbull	Cash Balance Pension Plan	9.0	85,817	0
	Pension Restoration Plan	14.0 <sup>(1)</sup>	5,849,267	0
	<b>Basic Retirement Plan</b>	N/A	N/A <sup>(3)</sup>	0
John J. Haines	Cash Balance Pension Plan	4.0	28,551	0
	Pension Restoration Plan	4.0	17,987	0
	Basic Retirement Plan	23.0	60,318	0
Gregg C. Sengstack	Cash Balance Pension Plan	23.1	396,160	0
	Pension Restoration Plan	23.1	1,837,773	0
	<b>Basic Retirement Plan</b>	19.3	38,760	0
Robert J. Stone	Cash Balance Pension Plan	11.5	110,335	0
	Pension Restoration Plan	11.5	83,634	0
	<b>Basic Retirement Plan</b>	6.6	10,840	0
DeLancey W. Davis	Cash Balance Pension Plan	7.0	56,681	0
	Pension Restoration Plan	7.0	32,979	0

In the Pension Restoration Plan, Mr. Trumbull is credited with his years of preemployment service on the Board. (1)\$785,716 of the "Present Value of Accumulated Benefit" in the Pension Benefits table is attributable to this additional credited service.

The amounts in this column are based on a retirement age of 65 for Messrs. Trumbull, Haines and Davis. For Mr. Sengstack, retirement age equals age 62 for the Basic Retirement Plan and the Pension Restoration plan and age 65 for the Cash Balance Pension Plan. For Mr. Stone, retirement age equals age 62 for the Basic Retirement Plan and

age 65 for the Pension Restoration Plan and Cash Balance Pension Plan.

(3) Mr. Haines is ineligible for the Basic Retirement Plan.

### **Basic Retirement Plan**

The Basic Retirement Plan is a tax-qualified pension plan that covers most U.S. employees of the Company and its affiliates, including the named executive officers who were hired before February 21, 2006. The Basic Retirement Plan provides each eligible named executive officer with a monthly single life annuity commencing at normal retirement age (age 65) equal to the number of years of credited service times \$25. Participants are eligible to receive benefits after completing five years of vesting service. Participants who terminate employment after age 55 with 10 years of vesting service are eligible to receive early retirement benefits that are reduced to reflect commencement prior to age 65. Participants who terminate employment on or after age 62 with 25 years of vesting service are eligible to receive are unreduced for commencement prior to age 65. Participants with five years of vesting service who terminate employment and are not eligible to receive early retirement benefits are eligible for benefits are eligible for benefits commencing at age 65. No named executive officer is currently eligible for early retirement

31

benefits. Mr. Haines is not eligible to participate in the Plan because he was hired after February 21, 2006.

The benefit formula calculates the benefit payable in a single life annuity form, which is the normal form of benefit for unmarried participants. The normal form of benefit payment for married participants is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. Participants, with spousal consent if applicable, can waive the normal form and elect to have benefits paid in various annuity forms, which are the actuarially equivalent of the single life annuity form.

The Basic Retirement Plan was amended in 2011 to provide that participants younger than age 50 as of December 31, 2011 (which included Messrs. Stone and Davis) stopped earning benefits as of such date, and participants 50 or older as of December 31, 2011 (which includes Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack) will stop earning benefits on December 31, 2016.

### Cash Balance Pension Plan

The Cash Balance Pension Plan is a tax-qualified pension plan that covers most U.S. employees of the Company and its affiliates who are classified as "exempt" and who are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, which includes each named executive officer. An account is maintained for each participant under the Plan, which consists of (i) an opening account balance equal to the then present value of the participant's accrued benefit, if any, earned as of December 31, 1999 under one of the Company's prior pension plans, (ii) annual contributions made by the Company as of the end of each calendar year that range from 3% to 12% of the participant's compensation (based on the participant's credited service); (iii) annual transitional credits made by the Company from 2000-2004 equal to 6% of compensation of each participant whose age and years of vesting service as of December 31, 1999 totaled 45 or more; and (iv) annual interest credits made by the Company as of the end of each calendar year for the November preceding each such year (subject to a minimum interest rate of 4.5%). Compensation includes wages subject to withholding, excluding income recognized in connection with the Company's stock based plans, reimbursements or other expense allowances, fringe benefits, moving expenses, deferred compensation taken into account in determining benefits was limited to \$245,000 in 2011).

Participants are eligible to receive benefits after completing three years of service. They can elect to receive their benefits upon termination of employment or they can defer receipt of benefits until age 65. Any accounts remaining in the Cash Balance Plan will continue to be credited with interest until the account is paid. The normal form of benefit payment for unmarried participants is a single life annuity, and the normal form of benefit payment for married participants is a 50% joint and survivor annuity. Participants, with spousal consent if applicable, can waive the normal form, or in a lump sum.

The Cash Balance Plan was amended in 2011 so that as of December 31, 2011, the Plan was closed to new participants and current participants stopped earning annual contributions as of such date (although interest credits continue until the benefit is distributed).

#### Pension Restoration Plan

The Pension Restoration Plan is an unfunded, non-qualified pension plan that is intended to provide an employee with the portion of his benefits that cannot be paid under the Cash Balance Pension Plan or the Contributory Retirement Plan (the predecessor to the Cash Balance Pension Plan) due to Internal Revenue Code limitations on the amount of compensation that can be taken into account in determining benefits under, and the amount of benefits that can be paid from, tax-qualified pension plans. The Plan covers U.S. employees who are selected by the Employee Benefits Committee to participate, which includes all of the named executive officers.

The benefits of Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack are based on the formula in effect under the Contributory Retirement Plan on December 31, 1999, but without regard to the Internal Revenue Code limits. This formula is based on the employee's credited service and final three-year average compensation, with an offset for benefits provided by the Basic Retirement Plan, the Cash Balance Pension Plan and Social Security. There is a minimum benefit whereby if the monthly benefit amount paid to the employee under the Basic Retirement Plan, Cash Balance Pension Plan, Pension Restoration Plan and Social Security is less than a designated percentage of the employee's three-year final average compensation, the difference is paid from the Pension Restoration Plan. The current designated percentage (which is based on years of service at retirement) for Mr. Trumbull is 40% (assuming retirement at age 65), and Mr. Sengstack is 50% (assuming retirement at age 62).

The benefits of Messrs. Haines, Stone and Davis are determined by applying the Cash Balance Pension Plan formula for all eligible compensation (including compensation in excess of the Code limits), offset for the benefits provided by the Cash Balance Pension Plan.

32

The benefit accrued under the Pension Restoration Plan is paid upon termination of employment as follows: (i) if the lump sum value is less than \$1,000,000, it will be paid in a lump sum within 90 days following termination; (ii) if the lump sum value is more than \$1,000,000 but less than \$2,000,000, one-half of the benefit will be paid within 90 days following termination, the remaining benefit will be paid as a single life annuity over the first 12 months following termination, and the benefit remaining at the end of the 12-month period will be paid in a lump sum on the first anniversary of termination; (iii) if the lump sum value is \$2,000,000 or more, one-third will be paid within 90 days following termination, the remaining benefit will be paid as a single life annuity over the first 12 months following termination, one-half of the benefit remaining at the end of the 12-month period will be paid in a lump sum on the first anniversary of termination, the remaining benefit will be paid as a single life annuity over the second 12-month period following termination and the benefit remaining at the end of the second 12-month period will be paid in a lump sum on the second anniversary of termination. If the participant is deemed to be a "key employee" as defined by the Internal Revenue Code, any distribution that is payable due to termination of employment will be delayed for six months following the date of such termination. Notwithstanding the foregoing, upon a change in control of the Company, all participants become fully vested in their benefits, all benefits will be paid in a lump sum within 60 days after the change in control and active participants will have three years of additional age and service credits in determining benefits.

The Pension Restoration Plan was amended so that participants other than Messrs. Trumbull and Sengstack stopped earning benefits as of December 31, 2011 and instead will participate in a new non-qualified deferred compensation arrangement that provides a Company contribution equal to the portion of the service-based contribution that could not be made under the DISP due to IRS limits as well as a Company contribution that ranges from 2% to 4% of eligible compensation depending on length of service.

# Pension Plan Assumptions

The assumptions used in calculating the present value of the accumulated pension benefits are set forth in Footnote 9 of the audited financial statements contained in the Company's Annual Report to Shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company does not grant additional years of credited service under its pension plans, other than the additional years of service credited to Mr. Trumbull (as described in footnote 1 of the 2011 Pension Benefits Table), which was intended to ensure full vesting and benefits in the first years of his employment.

# 2011 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth (i) the contributions made by each named executive officer and the Company in 2011, (ii) the earnings on the account balances as of December 31, 2011 and (iii) the account balances as of December 31, 2011 under the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

Name (a)	Executive Contribution in Last Fiscal Year (b)	Company Contribution in Last Fiscal Year (c)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (d) <sup>(1)</sup>	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (e)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (f) <sup>(2)</sup>
-------------	---	---	--	---	--

R. Scott Trumbull \$