**ENTERGY ARKANSAS INC** Form 10-O

August 08, 2012

# **UNITED STATES** SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

### FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

Telephone (800) 368-3749

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Registrant, State of Incorporation or Registrant, State of Incorporation or Commission Organization, Commission Organization, File Number Address of Principal Executive File Number Address of Principal Executive Offices, Offices, Telephone Telephone Number, and IRS Employer Identification Number, and IRS Employer Identification No. **ENTERGY CORPORATION** ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC. 1-11299 1-31508 (a Delaware corporation) (a Mississippi corporation) 639 Lovola Avenue 308 East Pearl Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Jackson, Mississippi 39201 Telephone (601) 368-5000 Telephone (504) 576-4000 72-1229752 64-0205830 1-10764 0-05807 ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC. ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC. (a Louisiana corporation) (an Arkansas corporation) 1600 Perdido Street 425 West Capitol Avenue Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 New Orleans, Louisiana 70112 Telephone (501) 377-4000 Telephone (504) 670-3700 71-0005900 72-0273040 0-20371 ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, 1-34360 ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. L.L.C. (a Texas corporation) 350 Pine Street (a Louisiana limited liability company) 446 North Boulevard Beaumont, Texas 77701 Telephone (409) 981-2000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802

61-1435798

74-0662730

1-32718 ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC

(a Texas limited liability company)

446 North Boulevard

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802

Telephone (800) 368-3749

75-3206126

1-09067 SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES,

INC.

(an Arkansas corporation)

Echelon One

1340 Echelon Parkway Jackson, Mississippi 39213 Telephone (601) 368-5000

72-0752777

#### **Table of Contents**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on Entergy's corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

	Large		Non-	Smaller
	accelerated	Accelerated	accelerated	reporting
	filer	filer	filer	company
Entergy Corporation	Ö			
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.			Ö	
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana,			Ö	
L.L.C.				
Entergy Louisiana, LLC			Ö	
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.			Ö	
Entergy New Orleans, Inc.			Ö	
Entergy Texas, Inc.			Ö	
System Energy Resources, Inc.			Ö	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Common Stock Outstanding		Outstanding at July 31, 2012
Entergy Corporation	(\$0.01 par value)	177,319,259

Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. separately file this combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company reports herein only as to itself and makes no other representations whatsoever as to any other company. This combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q supplements and updates the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2011 and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, filed by the individual registrants with the SEC, and should be read in conjunction therewith.

# Table of Contents

# ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q June 30, 2012

	Page Number
	Tumber
Forward-looking information	iii
<u>Definitions</u>	v
Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	1
Consolidated Statements of Income	20
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	21
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	22
Consolidated Balance Sheets	24
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	26
Selected Operating Results	27
Notes to Financial Statements	28
Part 1. Item 4. Controls and Procedures	75
Entergy Arkansas, Inc. and Subsidiaries	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	76
Consolidated Income Statements	83
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	85
Consolidated Balance Sheets	86
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Equity	88
Selected Operating Results	89
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	90
<u>Income Statements</u>	99
Statements of Comprehensive Income	100
Statements of Cash Flows	101
Balance Sheets	102
Statements of Changes in Equity	104
Selected Operating Results	105
Entergy Louisiana, LLC and Subsidiaries	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	106
Consolidated Income Statements	115
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	116
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	117
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	118
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	120
Selected Operating Results	121
Entergy Mississippi, Inc.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	122
Income Statements	128
Statements of Cash Flows	129
Balance Sheets	130
Statements of Changes in Common Equity	132
Selected Operating Results	133

i

# Table of Contents

# ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q June 30, 2012

	Page
	Number
Entergy New Orleans, Inc.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	134
Income Statements	139
Statements of Cash Flows	141
Balance Sheets	142
Statements of Changes in Common Equity	144
Selected Operating Results	145
Entergy Texas, Inc. and Subsidiaries	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	146
Consolidated Income Statements	152
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	153
Consolidated Balance Sheets	154
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Equity	156
Selected Operating Results	157
System Energy Resources, Inc.	
Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis	158
Income Statements	161
Statements of Cash Flows	163
Balance Sheets	164
Statements of Changes in Common Equity	166
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	167
Item 1A. Risk Factors	167
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	167
Item 5. Other Information	168
Item 6. Exhibits	172
<u>Signature</u>	175

ii

#### **Table of Contents**

#### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In this combined report and from time to time, Entergy Corporation and the Registrant Subsidiaries each makes statements as a registrant concerning its expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, and future events or performance. Such statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "may," "will," "could," "project," "believe," "anticipate," "intend," "expect," "estimate," "continue," "potential," "plan," "predict," "forecast," and other similar words or expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the only means to identify these statements. Although each of these registrants believes that these forward-looking statements and the underlying assumptions are reasonable, it cannot provide assurance that they will prove correct. Any forward-looking statement is based on information current as of the date of this combined report and speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. Except to the extent required by the federal securities laws, these registrants undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. There are factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements, including those factors discussed or incorporated by reference in (a) Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Form 10-K, (b) Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K and in this report, and (c) the following factors (in addition to others described elsewhere in this combined report and in subsequent securities filings):

- resolution of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including various performance-based rate discussions, Entergy's utility supply plan, and recovery of fuel and purchased power costs;
- the termination of Entergy Arkansas's and Entergy Mississippi's participation in the System Agreement in December 2013 and November 2015, respectively;
- regulatory and operating challenges and uncertainties associated with the Utility operating companies' proposal to move to the MISO RTO, the operations of the independent coordinator of transmission for Entergy's utility service territory, and the scheduled expiration of the current independent coordinator of transmission arrangement in November 2012:
- risks associated with the proposed spin-off and subsequent merger of Entergy's electric transmission business into a subsidiary of ITC Holdings Corp., including the risk that Entergy and the Utility operating companies may not be able to timely satisfy the conditions or obtain the approvals required to complete such transaction or such approvals may contain material restrictions or conditions, and the risk that if completed, the transaction may not achieve its anticipated results;
- changes in utility regulation, including the beginning or end of retail and wholesale competition, the ability to recover net utility assets and other potential stranded costs, and the application of more stringent transmission reliability requirements or market power criteria by the FERC;
- changes in regulation of nuclear generating facilities and nuclear materials and fuel, including possible shutdown of nuclear generating facilities, particularly those owned or operated by the Entergy Wholesale Commodities business, and the effects of new or existing safety or environmental concerns regarding nuclear power plants and nuclear fuel;
- resolution of pending or future applications, and related regulatory proceedings and litigation, for license renewals or modifications of nuclear generating facilities;
- the performance of and deliverability of power from Entergy's generation resources, including the capacity factors at its nuclear generating facilities;
- Entergy's ability to develop and execute on a point of view regarding future prices of electricity, natural gas, and other energy-related commodities;
- prices for power generated by Entergy's merchant generating facilities and the ability to hedge, meet credit support requirements for hedges, sell power forward, or otherwise reduce the market price risk associated with those

facilities, including the Entergy Wholesale Commodities nuclear plants;

• the prices and availability of fuel and power Entergy must purchase for its Utility customers, and Entergy's ability to meet credit support requirements for fuel and power supply contracts;

iii

#### **Table of Contents**

#### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION (Concluded)

- volatility and changes in markets for electricity, natural gas, uranium, and other energy-related commodities;
- changes in law resulting from federal or state energy legislation or legislation subjecting energy derivatives used in hedging and risk management transactions to governmental regulation;
- changes in environmental, tax, and other laws, including requirements for reduced emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, carbon, mercury, and other substances, and changes in costs of compliance with environmental and other laws and regulations;
- uncertainty regarding the establishment of interim or permanent sites for spent nuclear fuel and nuclear waste storage and disposal;
- variations in weather and the occurrence of hurricanes and other storms and disasters, including uncertainties associated with efforts to remediate the effects of hurricanes, ice storms, or other weather events and the recovery of costs associated with restoration, including accessing funded storm reserves, federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, securitization, and insurance;
  - effects of climate change;
  - Entergy's ability to manage its capital projects and operation and maintenance costs;
  - Entergy's ability to purchase and sell assets at attractive prices and on other attractive terms;
- the economic climate, and particularly economic conditions in Entergy's Utility service territory and the Northeast United States and events that could influence economic conditions in those areas;
  - the effects of Entergy's strategies to reduce tax payments;
  - changes in the financial markets, particularly those affecting the availability of capital and Entergy's ability to refinance existing debt, execute share repurchase programs, and fund investments and acquisitions;
- actions of rating agencies, including changes in the ratings of debt and preferred stock, changes in general corporate ratings, and changes in the rating agencies' ratings criteria;
  - changes in inflation and interest rates;
  - the effect of litigation and government investigations or proceedings;
    - advances in technology;
- the potential effects of threatened or actual terrorism, cyber attacks or data security breaches, and war or a catastrophic event such as a nuclear accident or a natural gas pipeline explosion;
  - Entergy's ability to attract and retain talented management and directors;
    - changes in accounting standards and corporate governance;
- declines in the market prices of marketable securities and resulting funding requirements for Entergy's defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans;
- changes in decommissioning trust fund values or earnings or in the timing of or cost to decommission nuclear plant sites;
  - factors that could lead to impairment of long-lived assets; and
- the ability to successfully complete merger, acquisition, or divestiture plans, regulatory or other limitations imposed as a result of merger, acquisition, or divestiture, and the success of the business following a merger, acquisition, or divestiture.

iv

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **DEFINITIONS**

Certain abbreviations or acronyms used in the text and notes are defined below:

Abbreviation or Acronym

Term

AFUDC Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

ALJ Administrative Law Judge

ANO 1 and 2 Units 1 and 2 of Arkansas Nuclear One (nuclear), owned by Entergy Arkansas

APSC Arkansas Public Service Commission

ASU Accounting Standards Update issued by the FASB

Board of Directors of Entergy Corporation

capacity factor Actual plant output divided by maximum potential plant output for the period

City Council or Council Council of the City of New Orleans, Louisiana

D.C. Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

Entergy

Entergy Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries

Entergy Corporation Entergy Corporation, a Delaware corporation

Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Predecessor company for financial reporting purposes to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana that

included the assets and business operations of both Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and

**Entergy Texas** 

Entergy Gulf States Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., a company created in connection with the

Louisiana jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and the successor company to Entergy

Gulf States, Inc. for financial reporting purposes. The term is also used to refer to the

Louisiana jurisdictional business of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires.

Entergy Texas Entergy Texas, Inc., a company created in connection with the jurisdictional separation of

Entergy Gulf States, Inc. The term is also used to refer to the Texas jurisdictional business

of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires.

Entergy Wholesale Entergy's non-utility business segment primarily comprised of the ownership and operation of six nuclear power plants, the

ownership of interests in non-nuclear power plants, and the sale of the electric power produced by those plants to

wholesale customers

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

ERCOT Electric Reliability Council of Texas
FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FitzPatrick James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (nuclear), owned by an Entergy subsidiary in the

Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment

Form 10-K Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the

SEC by Entergy Corporation and its Registrant Subsidiaries

Grand Gulf Unit No. 1 of Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (nuclear), 90% owned or leased by System

Energy

GWh Gigawatt-hour(s), which equals one million kilowatt-hours

Independence Steam Electric Station (coal), owned 16% by Entergy Arkansas, 25% by

Entergy Mississippi, and 7% by Entergy Power

Indian Point 2 Unit 2 of Indian Point Energy Center (nuclear), owned by an Entergy subsidiary in the

Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment

Indian Point 3 Unit 3 of Indian Point Energy Center (nuclear), owned by an Entergy subsidiary in the

Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment

IRS	Internal Revenue Service	
ISO	Independent System Operator	

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **DEFINITIONS** (Concluded)

Abbreviation or Acronym Term

kW Kilowatt, which equals one thousand watts

kWh Kilowatt-hour(s)

LPSC Louisiana Public Service Commission

MISO Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., a regional

transmission organization

MMBtu One million British Thermal Units
MPSC Mississippi Public Service Commission

MW Megawatt(s), which equals one thousand kilowatts

MWh Megawatt-hour(s)

Net MW in operation
NRC
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NYPA
New York Power Authority

Palisades Power Plant (nuclear), owned by an Entergy subsidiary in the

Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (nuclear), owned by an Entergy

subsidiary in the Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment

PPA Purchased power agreement or power purchase agreement

PUCT Public Utility Commission of Texas

Registrant Subsidiaries Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy

Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc.,

Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc.

River Bend Station (nuclear), owned by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana

RTO Regional transmission organization SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

SPP Southwest Power Pool

System Agreement Agreement, effective January 1, 1983, as modified, among the Utility

operating companies relating to the sharing of generating capacity and

other power resources

System Energy Resources, Inc.

TWh Terawatt-hour(s), which equals one billion kilowatt-hours

Unit Power Sales Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, as amended and approved by

Agreement FERC, among Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy

Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and System Energy, relating to the sale of capacity and energy from System Energy's share of Grand Gulf

Utility Entergy's business segment that generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power, with a small amount of natural gas distribution

Utility operatin gEntergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana,

companies Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas

Vermont Yankee Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (nuclear), owned by an

Entergy subsidiary in the Entergy Wholesale Commodities business

segment

Waterford 3 Unit No. 3 (nuclear) of the Waterford Steam Electric Station, 100%

owned or leased by Entergy Louisiana

weather-adjusted usage Electric usage excluding the effects of deviations from normal weather

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Entergy operates primarily through two business segments: Utility and Entergy Wholesale Commodities.

- The Utility business segment includes the generation, transmission, distribution, and sale of electric power in portions of Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas, and Louisiana, including the City of New Orleans; and operates a small natural gas distribution business. As discussed in more detail in "Plan to Spin Off the Utility's Transmission Business," in the Form 10-K, in December 2011, Entergy entered into an agreement to spin off its transmission business and merge it with a newly-formed subsidiary of ITC Holdings Corp.
- The Entergy Wholesale Commodities business segment includes the ownership and operation of six nuclear power plants located in the northern United States and the sale of the electric power produced by those plants to wholesale customers. This business also provides services to other nuclear power plant owners. Entergy Wholesale Commodities also owns interests in non-nuclear power plants that sell the electric power produced by those plants to wholesale customers.

#### **Results of Operations**

Second Quarter 2012 Compared to Second Quarter 2011

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Entergy Wholesale Commodities, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the second quarter 2012 to the second quarter 2011 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

	Utility	Entergy Wholesale Commodities (In The	Parent & S Other (1) ousands)	Entergy
2nd Qtr 2011 Consolidated Net Income	\$252,741	\$ 65,556	\$2,301	\$320,598
Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	(153,294	) (30,239	) (1,090	) (184,623 )
Other operation and maintenance expenses	37,324	16,831	6,230	60,385
Taxes other than income taxes	2,424	6,558	(86	) 8,896
Depreciation and amortization	6,679	3,893	(23	) 10,549
Other income	(3,946	) 6,096	2,669	4,819
Interest expense	2,495	1,170	8,569	12,234
Other expenses	1,551	(50,250	) -	(48,699 )
Income taxes	(263,497	) (18,106	) 8,449	(273,154)
2nd Qtr 2012 Consolidated Net Income (Loss)	\$308,525	\$ 81,317	\$(19,259	370,583

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

Net income for Utility in the second quarter 2012 was significantly affected by a settlement with the IRS related to the income tax treatment of the Louisiana Act 55 financing of the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita storm costs, which resulted in a reduction in income tax expense. The net income effect was partially offset by a regulatory charge, which reduced net revenue, because the benefits will be shared with customers. See Note 10 to the financial statements for additional discussion of the settlement and benefit sharing.

Refer to "ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES - SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS" for further information with respect to operating statistics.

#### Net Revenue

#### Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2012 to the second quarter 2011.

	(In Millions)
2011 net revenue	\$1,305
Louisiana Act 55 financing tax settlement sharing	(165)
Volume/weather	(1)
Retail electric price	3
Miscellaneous insignificant items	10
2012 net revenue	\$1,152

The Louisiana Act 55 financing tax settlement sharing variance results from a regulatory charge because the benefits of the settlement with the IRS related to the uncertain tax position regarding the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita Louisiana Act 55 financing will be shared with customers of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana. See Note 10 to the financial statements for additional discussion of the settlement and benefit sharing.

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of milder weather, as compared to the prior period, on residential and commercial sales. This was substantially offset by an increase of 988 GWh, or 4%, in weather-adjusted usage across all customer classes.

The retail electric price variance is primarily due to:

- a special formula rate plan rate increase at Entergy Louisiana effective May 2011 in accordance with a previous LPSC order relating to the acquisition of Unit 2 of the Acadia Energy Center; and
- a base rate increase at Entergy Texas beginning May 2011 as a result of the settlement of the December 2009 rate case.

These increases were partially offset by a formula rate plan decrease at Entergy New Orleans effective October 2011. See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for further discussion of these proceedings.

Amount

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

Amount

#### **Entergy Wholesale Commodities**

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the second quarter 2012 to the second quarter 2011.

	Millions)
2011 net revenue	\$474
Nuclear realized price changes	(51)
Nuclear valuma	(1)

Nuclear volume (1 )
Other 22
2012 net revenue \$444

As shown in the table above, net revenue for Entergy Wholesale Commodities decreased by \$30 million, or 6%, in the second quarter 2012 compared to the second quarter 2011 primarily due to lower pricing in its contracts to sell power. Lower volume in its nuclear fleet resulting from more planned and unplanned outage days in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was substantially offset by the exercise of resupply options provided for in purchase power agreements whereby Entergy Wholesale Commodities may elect to supply power from another source when the plant is not running. Amounts related to the exercise of resupply options are included in the GWh billed in the table below. Partially offsetting the lower net revenue from the nuclear fleet was higher net revenue from the Rhode Island State Energy Center, which was acquired in December 2011.

Following are key performance measures for Entergy Wholesale Commodities for the second quarter 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Owned capacity	6,612	6,016
GWh billed	11,674	10,567
Average realized revenue per MWh	\$48.27	\$52.74
Entergy Wholesale Commodities Nuclear Fleet		
Capacity factor	85%	91%
GWh billed	10,426	9,993
Average realized revenue per MWh	\$48.67	\$52.38
Refueling Outage Days:		
Indian Point 2	1	-
Indian Point 3	-	7
Palisades	34	-
Pilgrim	-	25

Realized Revenue per MWh for Entergy Wholesale Commodities Nuclear Plants

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Wholesale Commodities nuclear business's average realized price per MWh, including the factors that influence it and the decrease in the annual average realized price per MWh to \$54.73 in 2011 from \$59.16 in 2010. Entergy Wholesale Commodities' nuclear business is likely to continue to experience a

decrease again in 2012 from 2011 because, as shown in the contracted sale of energy table in "Market and Credit Risk Sensitive Instruments," Entergy Wholesale Commodities has sold forward 90% of its planned nuclear energy output for the remainder of 2012 for an average contracted energy price of \$49 per MWh. In addition, Entergy Wholesale Commodities has sold forward 84% of its planned nuclear energy output for 2013 for an average contracted energy price of \$45-50 per MWh.

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

Other Income Statement Items

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$485 million for the second quarter 2011 to \$522 million for the second quarter 2012 primarily due to:

- an increase of \$22 million in compensation and benefits costs primarily due to decreasing discount rates and changes in certain actuarial assumptions resulting from a recent experience study. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements herein for further discussion of benefits costs;
- \$10 million of costs incurred in 2012 related to the planned spin-off and merger of the Utility's transmission business:
  - an increase of \$8 million in distribution expenses primarily due to the timing of contract work; and
- an increase of \$8 million in fossil-fueled generation expenses resulting from higher outage costs primarily because of the timing of the outages and increased scope of outages compared to the same period in the prior year.

The increase was partially offset by the effect of the deferral, as approved by the FERC, and the LPSC for the Louisiana jurisdiction, of costs incurred through June 2012 related to the transition and implementation of joining the MISO RTO, which reduced expenses by \$12 million.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily due to additions to plant in service.

### **Entergy Wholesale Commodities**

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$231 million for the second quarter 2011 to \$248 million for the second quarter 2012 primarily due to:

- an increase of \$7 million due to the operations of the Rhode Island State Energy Center, which was acquired in December 2011; and
- an increase of \$6 million in compensation and benefits costs primarily due to decreasing discount rates and changes in certain actuarial assumptions resulting from a recent experience study. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements herein for further discussion of benefits costs.

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to increased property taxes at FitzPatrick. Previously, FitzPatrick was granted an exemption from property taxation and paid taxes according to a payment in lieu of property taxes agreement. This agreement expired on June 30, 2011 and FitzPatrick is now being taxed under the current property tax system.

Other expenses decreased primarily due to a credit to decommissioning expense of \$49 million in second quarter 2012 resulting from a reduction in the decommissioning cost liability for a plant as a result of a revised decommissioning cost study. See "Critical Accounting Estimates – Nuclear Decommissioning Costs" below for further discussion.

Parent & Other

Interest expense increased primarily due to the issuance of \$500 million of 4.7% senior notes by Entergy Corporation in January 2012 and a higher interest rate on outstanding borrowings on the Entergy Corporation credit facility.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the second quarter 2012 was (49.2%). The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the second quarter 2012 is related to (1) an IRS settlement on how to treat the Louisiana Act 55 Financing of the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita storm costs, as discussed further in Note 10 to the financial statements; and (2) a unanimous court decision from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirming an earlier decision of the U.S. Tax Court holding that Entergy was entitled to claim a credit against its U.S. tax liability for the U.K. windfall tax that it paid, both of which enabled Entergy to reverse provisions for uncertain tax positions.

The effective income tax rate for the second quarter 2011 was 32%. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the second quarter 2011 was primarily due to a settlement regarding an issue which had previously been considered an uncertain tax position. This was partially offset in 2011 by a Michigan tax law change that repealed the business tax and enacted a corporate income tax, which eliminates a deduction that was available under the business tax; state income taxes; and certain book and tax differences for Utility plant items.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Entergy Wholesale Commodities, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the six months ended June 30, 2012 to the six months ended June 30, 2011 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

	Utility	Entergy Wholesale Commodities (In Tho	Parent & Other (1) usands)	
2011 Consolidated Net Income (Loss)	\$421,394	\$ 188,789	\$(35,906	\$574,277
Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits)	(195,693	) (103,221	) (2,242	) (301,156 )
Other operation and maintenance expenses	79,349	40,429	6,494	126,272
Asset impairment	-	355,524	-	355,524
Taxes other than income taxes	5,932	14,914	(15	) 20,831
Depreciation and amortization	14,160	11,733	(12	) 25,881
Other income	6,389	10,947	155	17,491
Interest expense	8,060	3,573	10,355	21,988
Other expenses	2,846	(49,008	) -	(46,162)
Income taxes	(253,995	) (193,454	9,883	(437,566)
2012 Consolidated Net Income (Loss)	\$375,738	\$ (87,196	\$ (64,698	) \$223,844

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

Refer to "ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES - SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS" for further information with respect to operating statistics.

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

As discussed in more detail in Note 11 to the financial statements, results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 include a \$355.5 million (\$223.5 million after-tax) impairment charge to write down the carrying values of Vermont Yankee and related assets to their fair values. Also, net income for Utility in the six months ended June 30, 2012 was significantly affected by a settlement with the IRS related to the income tax treatment of the Louisiana Act 55 financing of the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita storm costs, which resulted in a reduction in income tax expense. The net income effect was partially offset by a regulatory charge, which reduced net revenue, because the benefits will be shared with customers. See Note 10 to the financial statements for additional discussion of the settlement and benefit sharing.

#### Net Revenue

#### Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2012 to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

	(In Millions)
2011 net revenue	\$2,453
Louisiana Act 55 financing tax settlement sharing	(165)
Volume/weather	(48)
Retail electric price	14
Other	3
2012 net revenue	\$2,257

The Louisiana Act 55 financing tax settlement sharing variance results from a regulatory charge because the benefits of the settlement with the IRS related to the uncertain tax position regarding the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita Louisiana Act 55 financing will be shared with customers. See Note 10 to the financial statements for additional discussion of the settlement and benefit sharing.

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of milder weather, as compared to the prior period, on residential and commercial sales. This was partially offset by an increase of 1,817 GWh, or 4%, in weather-adjusted usage across all customer classes. Industrial sales growth was largely due to expansions. This sector had growth from both large and small industrial customers. Improvements in chemicals were partially offset by declines in refineries and pipelines.

The retail electric price variance is primarily due to:

- a special formula rate plan rate increase at Entergy Louisiana effective May 2011 in accordance with a previous LPSC order relating to the acquisition of Unit 2 of the Acadia Energy Center; and
- a base rate increase at Entergy Texas beginning May 2011 as a result of the settlement of the December 2009 rate case.

1 mount

These increases were partially offset by a formula rate plan decrease at Entergy New Orleans effective October 2011. See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for further discussion of these proceedings.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### **Entergy Wholesale Commodities**

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the six months ended June 30, 2012 to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Amount (In Millions)

2011 net revenue	\$999
Nuclear realized price changes	(117)
Nuclear volume	(8)
Other	21
2012 net revenue	\$895

As shown in the table above, net revenue for Entergy Wholesale Commodities decreased by \$104 million, or 10%, in the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to lower pricing in its contracts to sell power. Lower volume in its nuclear fleet resulting from more planned and unplanned outage days in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was substantially offset by the exercise of resupply options provided for in purchase power agreements whereby Entergy Wholesale Commodities may elect to supply power from another source when the plant is not running. Amounts related to the exercise of resupply options are included in the GWh billed in the table below. Partially offsetting the lower net revenue from the nuclear fleet was higher net revenue from the Rhode Island State Energy Center, which was acquired in December 2011.

Following are key performance measures for Entergy Wholesale Commodities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Owned capacity	6,612	6,016
GWh billed	22,955	21,121
Average realized revenue per MWh	\$48.77	\$54.77
Entergy Wholesale Commodities Nuclear Fleet		
Capacity factor	87%	91%
GWh billed	20,264	19,906
Average realized revenue per MWh	\$49.47	\$54.91
Refueling Outage Days:		
Indian Point 2	28	-
Indian Point 3	-	30
Palisades	34	-
Pilgrim	-	25

Other Income Statement Items

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$933 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$1,012 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to:

• an increase of \$35 million in compensation and benefits costs primarily due to decreasing discount rates and changes in certain actuarial assumptions resulting from a recent experience study. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS – Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements herein for further discussion of benefits costs;

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

- an increase of \$21 million in fossil-fueled generation expenses resulting from higher outage costs primarily because of the timing of the outages and increased scope of outages compared to the same period in the prior year;
- \$16 million of costs incurred in 2012 related to the planned spin-off and merger of the Utility's transmission business;
  - an increase of \$8 million in distribution expenses primarily due to the timing of contract work; and
    - nuclear insurance refunds of \$5 million received in 2011.

The increase was partially offset by the effect of the deferral, as approved by the FERC, and the LPSC for the Louisiana jurisdictions, of costs incurred through June 2012 related to the transition and implementation of joining the MISO RTO, which reduced expenses by \$10 million.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily due to additions to plant in service.

Interest expense increased primarily due to net debt issuances by certain of the Utility operating companies.

#### **Entergy Wholesale Commodities**

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$440 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$480 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to:

- an increase of \$18 million in compensation and benefits costs primarily due to decreasing discount rates and changes in certain actuarial assumptions resulting from a recent experience study. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements herein for further discussion of benefits costs; and
- an increase of \$11 million due to the operations of the Rhode Island State Energy Center, which was acquired in December 2011.

The asset impairment variance is due to a \$355.5 million (\$223.5 million after-tax) impairment charge recorded in the first quarter 2012 to write down the carrying values of Vermont Yankee and related assets to their fair values. See Note 11 to the financial statements for further discussion of this charge.

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to increased property taxes at FitzPatrick. Previously, FitzPatrick was granted an exemption from property taxation and paid taxes according to a payment in lieu of property taxes agreement. This agreement expired on June 30, 2011 and FitzPatrick is now being taxed under the current property tax system.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily due to additions to plant in service, including the acquisition of the Rhode Island State Energy Center in December 2011.

Other expenses decreased primarily due to a credit to decommissioning expense of \$49 million in the second quarter 2012 resulting from a reduction in the decommissioning cost liability for a plant as a result of a revised decommissioning cost study. See "Critical Accounting Estimates – Nuclear Decommissioning Costs" below for further discussion.

Other income increased primarily due to an increase of \$9 million in realized earnings on the decommissioning trust funds.

# Parent & Other

Interest expense increased primarily due to the issuance of \$500 million of 4.7% senior notes by Entergy Corporation in January 2012 and a higher interest rate on outstanding borrowings on the Entergy Corporation credit facility.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were (120.6%) and 35.4%, respectively. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is primarily related to (1) an IRS settlement on how to treat the Louisiana Act 55 financing of the Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita storm costs, as discussed further in Note 10 to the financial statements; and (2) a unanimous court decision from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirming an earlier decision of the U.S. Tax Court holding that Entergy was entitled to claim a credit against its U.S. tax liability for the U.K. windfall tax that it paid, both of which enabled Entergy to reverse provisions for uncertain tax positions. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to a settlement regarding an issue which had previously been considered an uncertain tax position. This was partially offset by a Michigan tax law change that repealed the business tax and enacted a corporate income tax, which eliminates a deduction that was available under the business tax; state income taxes; and certain book and tax differences for Utility plant items.

Plan to Spin Off the Utility's Transmission Business

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's plan to spin off its transmission business and merge it with a newly formed subsidiary of ITC Holdings Corp.

Entergy Wholesale Commodities Authorizations to Operate Its Nuclear Power Plants

In March 2011 and May 2012 the NRC renewed the operating licenses of Vermont Yankee and Pilgrim, respectively, for an additional 20 years, as a result of which each license now expires in 2032. For additional discussion regarding activity in Vermont and the continued operation of the Vermont Yankee plant, see "Impairment of Long-Lived Assets" in Note 11 to the financial statements herein. In the Vermont Yankee license renewal case, Vermont and the New England Coalition appealed the NRC's renewal of Vermont Yankee's license to the D.C. Circuit. In June 2012 the D.C. Circuit denied that appeal. In the Pilgrim license renewal case, three contentions remained pending before the ASLB at the time the license was issued. One of those contentions was subsequently denied by the ASLB and not appealed within the applicable time. A second remaining contention was denied by the ASLB and then appealed to the NRC. A third contention was denied by the ASLB on July 20, 2012 and the deadline of August 6, 2012 for an appeal to the NRC passed without an appeal being filed. The NRC has indicated that should the appeal of a contention result in voiding of the recently-issued license, Pilgrim could operate under the "timely renewal" doctrine in reliance on the prior, and now superseded, license until proceedings concerning the renewed license are final. Massachusetts has appealed the NRC's renewal of Pilgrim's license to the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. Entergy has intervened in that appeal.

The NRC operating licenses for Indian Point 2 and Indian Point 3 expire in September 2013 and December 2015, respectively. Under federal law, nuclear power plants may continue to operate beyond their license expiration dates while their renewal applications are pending NRC approval. In April 2007, Entergy submitted an application to the NRC to renew the operating licenses for Indian Point 2 and 3 for an additional 20 years. The ASLB has admitted 21 contentions raised by the State of New York or other parties, which were combined into 16 discrete issues. Two of the issues have been resolved, leaving 14 issues that are currently subject to ASLB hearings. In July 2011, the ASLB granted the State of New York's motion for summary disposition of an admitted contention challenging the adequacy of a section of Indian Point's environmental analysis as incorporated in the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) (discussed below). That section provided cost estimates for Severe Accident Mitigation

Alternatives (SAMAs), which are hardware and procedural changes that could be implemented to mitigate estimated impacts of off-site radiological releases in case of a hypothesized severe accident. In addition to finding that the SAMA cost analysis was insufficient, the ASLB directed the NRC staff to explain why cost-beneficial SAMAs should not be required to be implemented. Entergy appealed the ASLB's decision to the NRC and the NRC staff supported Entergy's appeal, while the State of New York opposed it. In December 2011 the NRC denied Entergy's appeal as premature, stating that the appeal could be renewed at the conclusion of the ASLB proceedings.

**Table of Contents** 

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

Pursuant to ASLB scheduling orders in the Indian Point 2 and 3 license renewal proceeding, the parties have submitted several rounds of testimony on "Track 1" contentions, which represent a majority of the contentions pending before the ASLB. Hearings on Track 1 contentions are scheduled to begin October 15, 2012. Hearings on the remaining issues will follow the submission of additional testimony on dates yet to be set.

The NRC staff currently is also continuing to perform its technical and environmental reviews of the Indian Point 2 and 3 license renewal application. The NRC staff issued a Final Safety Evaluation Report (FSER) in August 2009, a supplement to the FSER in August 2011, a FSEIS in December 2010 and a supplement to the FSEIS in June 2012. The NRC staff issued a draft supplemental FSEIS in June 2012 and has stated its intent to issue, following an opportunity for comment, another supplement to the FSER in August 2012.

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation has taken the position that Indian Point must obtain a new state-issued Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification as part of the license renewal process. In addition, the consistency of Indian Point's operations with New York State's coastal management policies must be resolved as required by the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). On July 24, 2012, Entergy filed a supplement to the Indian Point license renewal application currently pending before the NRC. The supplement states that, based on applicable federal law and in light of prior reviews by the State of New York, the NRC may issue the requested renewed operating licenses for Indian Point without the need for an additional consistency review by the State of New York under the CZMA. On July 30, 2012, Entergy filed a motion for declaratory order with the ASLB seeking confirmation of its position that no further CZMA consistency determination is required before the NRC may issue renewed licenses.

The hearing process is an integral component of the NRC's regulatory framework, and evidentiary hearings on license renewal applications are not uncommon. Entergy intends to participate fully in the hearing process as permitted by the NRC's hearing rules. As noted in Entergy's responses to the various intervenor filings, Entergy believes the contentions proposed by the intervenors are unsupported and without merit. Entergy will continue to work with the NRC staff as it completes its technical and environmental reviews of the Indian Point 2 and 3 license renewal application.

On June 8, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit vacated the NRC's 2010 update to its Waste Confidence Decision, which had found generically that a permanent geologic repository to store spent nuclear fuel would be available when necessary and that spent nuclear fuel could be stored at nuclear reactor sites in the interim without significant environmental effects, and remanded the case for further proceedings. The court concluded that the NRC had not satisfied the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) when it considered environmental effects in reaching these conclusions. The NRC has not yet announced what steps it will take in response to the court's decision. The Waste Confidence Decision has been relied upon by NRC license renewal applicants to address some of the issues that NEPA requires the NRC to address before it issues a renewed license. Certain nuclear opponents have filed requests with the NRC asking it to address the issues raised by the court's decision in the license renewal proceedings for a number of nuclear plants including Grand Gulf and Indian Point 2 and 3. On August 7, 2012 the NRC issued an order stating that it will not issue final licenses dependent upon the Waste Confidence Decision until the D.C. Circuit's remand is addressed, but also stating that licensing reviews and proceedings should continue to move forward.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's capital structure, capital expenditure plans and other uses of capital, and sources of capital. Following are updates to that discussion.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### Capital Structure

Entergy's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Debt to capital	57.4 %	57.3 %
Effect of excluding the securitization bonds	(2.1)%	(2.3)%
Debt to capital, excluding securitization bonds (1)	55.3 %	55.0 %
Effect of subtracting cash	(0.6)%	(1.5)%
Net debt to net capital, excluding securitization bonds (1)	54.7 %	53.5 %

(1) Calculation excludes the Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas securitization bonds, which are non-recourse to Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Louisiana, and Entergy Texas, respectively.

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt, common shareholders' equity, and subsidiaries' preferred stock without sinking fund. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy uses the net debt to net capital ratio and the ratios excluding securitization bonds in analyzing its financial condition and believes they provide useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy's financial condition.

Entergy Corporation has in place a credit facility that has a borrowing capacity of \$3.5 billion and expires in March 2017. Entergy Corporation has the ability to issue letters of credit against 50% of the total borrowing capacity of the facility. Following is a summary of the borrowings outstanding and capacity available under the facility as of June 30, 2012.

			Letters	Capacity			
	Capacity	Borrowings	of Credit	Available			
(In Millions)							
	\$3,500	\$1,470	\$8	\$2,022			

A covenant in Entergy Corporation's credit facility requires Entergy to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. The calculation of this debt ratio under Entergy Corporation's credit facility is different than the calculation of the debt to capital ratio above. Entergy is currently in compliance with the covenant. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of the facility's maturity date may occur.

See Note 4 to the financial statements herein for additional discussion of the Entergy Corporation credit facility and discussion of the Registrant Subsidiaries' credit facilities.

## Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital

See the table and discussion in the Form 10-K under "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital," that sets forth the amounts of planned construction and other capital investments by operating segment for 2012 through 2014. Following are updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

#### Grand Gulf Uprate

As discussed in more detail in the Form 10-K, the estimated capital investments for 2012-2014 include System Energy's approximately 178 MW uprate of the Grand Gulf nuclear plant. Grand Gulf's spring 2012 refueling outage was completed in June 2012, and the majority of uprate-related capital improvements were made during this outage. Based upon the uprate-related work completed during the spring 2012 refueling outage, additional information from

**Table of Contents** 

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

the project's engineering, procurement and construction contractor, the costs required to install instrumentation in the steam dryer in response to evolving guidance from the NRC staff, and delays in obtaining NRC approval, System Energy now estimates the total capital investment to be made in the course of the implementation of the Grand Gulf uprate project is approximately \$874 million, including SMEPA's share. Construction work was completed in June 2012 and in July 2012 the NRC approved the license amendment, which allows the plant to operate at the uprated capacity level.

## Ninemile Point Unit 6 Self-Build Project

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Louisiana's Ninemile Point Unit 6 self-build project. The Ninemile 6 capacity and energy is proposed to be allocated 55% to Entergy Louisiana, 25% to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and 20% to Entergy New Orleans. In February 2012 the City Council passed a resolution authorizing Entergy New Orleans to purchase 20% of the Ninemile 6 energy and capacity. In June 2011, Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC an application seeking certification that the public necessity and convenience would be served by Entergy Louisiana's construction of the facility. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana joined in the application, seeking certification of its purchase under a life-of-unit power purchase agreement of its allocated share of the capacity and energy generated by Ninemile 6. In March 2012 the LPSC unanimously voted to grant the certifications requested by Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and Entergy Louisiana has given the contractor a full notice to proceed with the construction. Under the terms approved by the LPSC, costs may be recovered through Entergy Louisiana's and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's formula rate plans, if one is in effect when the project is placed in service; alternatively, Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana must file rate cases approximately 12 months prior to the expected in-service date.

### Hot Spring Energy Facility Purchase Agreement

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Arkansas's agreement to acquire the Hot Spring Energy Facility. In July 2011, Entergy Arkansas filed its application with the APSC requesting approval of the acquisition and full cost recovery. In July 2012 the APSC approved the acquisition and cost recovery through a capacity acquisition rider and set the level of return on equity at the level established in Entergy Arkansas's June 2009 base rate proceeding. The parties have satisfied their obligations under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) review of the transaction is ongoing. Closing has been delayed while the DOJ continues its review. Entergy Arkansas does not know when the DOJ will conclude its review or the extent to which its review of the transaction will be affected by the ongoing civil investigation of competitive issues concerning the Utility operating companies that is discussed in the Form 10-K.

#### Hinds Energy Facility Purchase Agreement

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Mississippi's agreement to acquire the Hinds Energy Facility. In July 2011, Entergy Mississippi filed with the MPSC requesting approval of the acquisition and full cost recovery. In February 2012 the MPSC granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity and approved the estimated acquisition cost. In April 2012, facilities studies were issued indicating that long-term transmission service is available for the Hinds facility provided that supplemental transmission upgrades estimated at approximately \$580,000 are made and assuming that various projects already included in the transmission construction plan are completed. Entergy Mississippi and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff filed a joint stipulation in the retail cost recovery proceeding that provides that the non-fuel ownership costs of the Hinds facility should be recovered through the power management rider, and the MPSC adopted the stipulation on August 7, 2012. The parties have satisfied

their obligations under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act. The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) review of the transaction is ongoing. Closing has been delayed while the DOJ continues its review. Entergy Mississippi does not know when the DOJ will conclude its review or the extent to which its review of the transaction will be affected by the ongoing civil investigation of competitive issues concerning the Utility operating companies that is discussed in the Form 10-K.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### Dividends and Stock Repurchases

Declarations of dividends on Entergy's common stock are made at the discretion of the Board. Among other things, the Board evaluates the level of Entergy's common stock dividends based upon Entergy's earnings, financial strength, and future investment opportunities. At its July 2012 meeting, the Board declared a dividend of \$0.83 per share, which is the same quarterly dividend per share that Entergy has paid since second quarter 2010.

#### Cash Flow Activity

As shown in Entergy's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	2012 2011 (In Millions)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	\$694	\$1,294	
Cash flow provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	1,188	977	
Investing activities	(1,500	) (1,827	)
Financing activities	(99	) 86	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(411	) (764	)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$283	\$530	

#### **Operating Activities**

Entergy's cash flow provided by operating activities increased by \$211 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$178 million in pension contributions. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements herein for a discussion of qualified pension and other postretirement benefits funding; and
  - an increase in deferred fuel cost collections.

These increases were partially offset by:

- the decreases in Entergy Wholesale Commodities net revenue that is discussed above;
  - an increase of \$42 million in income tax payments; and
- a refund of \$30.6 million, including interest, paid to AmerenUE in June 2012. The FERC ordered Entergy Arkansas to refund to AmerenUE the rough production cost equalization payments previously collected. See Note 2 to the financial statements for further discussion of the FERC order.

#### **Investing Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$327 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to:

- the purchase of the Acadia Unit 2 by Entergy Louisiana for approximately \$300 million in April 2011;
- a decrease in nuclear fuel purchases because of variations from year to year in the timing and pricing of fuel reload requirements, material and services deliveries, and the timing of cash payments during the nuclear fuel cycle; and

**Table of Contents** 

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

• a change in collateral deposit activity, reflected in the "Decrease (increase) in other investments" line on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, as Entergy received \$51 million in net deposits from Entergy Wholesale Commodities' counterparties during 2012 and returned net deposits of \$40 million in 2011. Entergy Wholesale Commodities' forward sales contracts are discussed in the Market and Credit Risk Sensitive Instruments section below.

These decreases were partially offset by an increase in construction expenditures, primarily in the Utility business resulting from spending on the power uprate project at Grand Gulf. Entergy's construction spending plans for 2012 through 2014 are discussed in the Form 10-K and are updated in the Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital section in this report.

#### Financing Activities

Entergy's financing activities used \$99 million of cash for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to providing \$86 million of cash for the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to long-term debt activity providing approximately \$125 million of cash in 2012 compared to \$519 million of cash in 2011. For details of Entergy's long-term debt activity in 2012 see Note 4 to the financial statements herein. This was partially offset by Entergy repurchasing \$160 million of its common stock in the six months ended June 30, 2011 and \$51 million in proceeds from the sale in 2012 of a portion of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's investment in Entergy Holdings Company's Class A preferred membership interests to a third party. Entergy's share repurchase programs are discussed in the Form 10-K.

Rate, Cost-recovery, and Other Regulation

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Rate, Cost-recovery, and Other Regulation" in the Form 10-K for discussions of rate regulation, federal regulation, and related regulatory proceedings.

State and Local Rate Regulation and Fuel-Cost Recovery

See Note 2 to the financial statements herein for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K regarding these proceedings.

Federal Regulation

Entergy's Proposal to Join the MISO RTO

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Utility operating companies' proposal to join the MISO RTO. Following are updates to that discussion.

The LPSC voted to grant Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's and Entergy Louisiana's application for transfer of control to MISO, subject to conditions, on May 23, 2012, and issued its order on June 28, 2012. Staff, advisors, and intervenors have filed testimony in the Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas proceedings. Most parties were conditionally supportive of or did not oppose the requested transfer of control to MISO as in the public interest. Several parties, including the MPSC staff, the City Council advisors, and the PUCT staff proposed various conditions to be included in the orders granting the requested change of control. The APSC

Staff argued Entergy Arkansas has yet to provide an RTO option that is in the public interest and noted that Entergy Arkansas should maintain the standalone option until uncertainties are resolved regarding possible RTO membership. The APSC conducted a hearing on the merits on May 30-31, 2012. The APSC issued an order on August 3, 2012 in which it stated that it was unable, at this time, to reach a finding that Entergy Arkansas's application is in the public interest. The order listed several conditions for Entergy Arkansas and MISO to meet before the APSC will approve Entergy Arkansas's application, including some conditions that are of concern to Entergy Arkansas. Entergy Arkansas continues to analyze the order, and it intends to continue to pursue its proposal to join MISO. On July 18, 2012, the MPSC issued an order postponing its hearing on Entergy Mississippi's change of

<u>Table of Contents</u> Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

control request, which had been scheduled for July 19-20, 2012, to allow parties additional time to conduct further analysis. The City Council has scheduled a hearing for September 18, 2012. Entergy Texas submitted its change of control filing on April 30, 2012, and hearings in the PUCT proceeding regarding Entergy Texas's request were scheduled to begin on July 30, 2012. A settlement in principle was reached among several of the parties, however, pursuant to which Entergy Texas's membership in MISO would be found in the public interest subject to certain conditions. Entergy Texas and the other settling parties in the case filed a non-unanimous stipulation with the PUCT on August 6, 2012, and further proceedings have been scheduled to consider objections, if any, to the settlement. A hearing on the non-unanimous stipulation is now scheduled for August 24, 2012.

In June 2011, MISO filed with the FERC a request for a transitional waiver of provisions of its open access transmission, energy, and operating reserve markets tariff regarding allocation of transmission network upgrade costs, in order to establish a transition for the integration of the Utility operating companies. In September 2011 the FERC issued an order denying on procedural grounds MISO's request, further advising MISO that submitting modified tariff sheets is the appropriate method for implementing the transition that MISO seeks for the Utility operating companies. The FERC did not address the merits of any transition arrangements that may be appropriate to integrate the Utility operating companies into MISO. MISO worked with its stakeholders to prepare the appropriate changes to its tariff and filed the proposed tariff changes with the FERC in November 2011. On April 19, 2012, the FERC conditionally accepted MISO's proposal related to the allocation of transmission upgrade costs in connection with the transition and integration of the Utility operating companies into MISO. On May 21, 2012, MISO filed a compliance filing in accordance with the provisions of the FERC's April 19, 2012 Order. Two parties filed requests for rehearing of the FERC's April 19, 2012 Order that are still outstanding. On June 11, 2012, FERC issued a tolling order granting the pending rehearing requests for purposes of further consideration.

In addition, the Utility operating companies have proposed giving authority to the E-RSC, upon unanimous vote and within the first five years after the Utility operating companies join the MISO RTO, (i) to require the Utility operating companies to file with the FERC a proposed allocation of certain transmission upgrade costs among the Utility operating companies' transmission pricing zones that would differ from the allocation that would occur under the MISO Open Access Transmission Tariff and (ii) to direct the Utility operating companies as transmission owners to add projects to MISO's transmission expansion plan.

Market and Credit Risk Sensitive Instruments

Commodity Price Risk

**Power Generation** 

As a wholesale generator, Entergy Wholesale Commodities' core business is selling energy, measured in MWh, to its customers. Entergy Wholesale Commodities enters into forward contracts with its customers and sells energy in the day ahead or spot markets. In addition to selling the energy produced by its plants, Entergy Wholesale Commodities sells unforced capacity, which allows load-serving entities to meet specified reserve and related requirements placed on them by the ISOs in their respective areas. Entergy Wholesale Commodities' forward fixed price physical power contracts consist of contracts to sell energy only, contracts to sell capacity only, and bundled contracts in which it sells both capacity and energy. While the terminology and payment mechanics vary in these contracts, each of these types of contracts requires Entergy Wholesale Commodities to deliver MWh of energy, make capacity available, or both. In addition to its forward fixed price physical power contracts, Entergy Wholesale Commodities also uses financial contracts to hedge a portion of its commodity price risk. The following is a summary of the amount of Entergy

Wholesale Commodities' planned energy output that is currently sold forward under physical or financial contracts (2012 represents the remainder of the year):

## Table of Contents

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

## Entergy Wholesale Commodities Nuclear Portfolio

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Energy					
Percent of planned generation sold forward (a):					
Unit-contingent (b)	61%	41%	22%	12%	12%
Unit-contingent with guarantee of availability (c)	18%	19%	15%	13%	13%
Firm LD (d)	24%	24%	28%	-%	-%
Offsetting positions (e)	(13)%	-%	(6)%	-%	-%
Total	90%	84%	59%	25%	25%
Planned generation (TWh) (f) (g)	21	40	41	41	40
Average revenue under contract per MWh (h)	\$49	\$45-50	\$46-49	\$49-57	\$50-59
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Capacity (o)					
Percent of capacity sold forward (i):					
Bundled capacity and energy contracts (j)	16%	16%	16%	16%	16%
Capacity contracts (k)	49%	26%	13%	12%	5%
Total	65%	42%	29%	28%	21%
Planned net MW in operation (g) (l)	5,011	5,011	5,011	5,011	5,011
Average revenue under contract per kW per month (applies to Capacity contracts only) (h)	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$3.0	\$3.3	\$3.4
Blended Capacity and Energy Recap (based on revenues)					
% of planned generation and capacity sold forward	91%	83%	63%	29%	28%
Average revenue under contract per MWh (h)	\$51	\$46	\$47	\$51	\$51
Entergy Wholesale Commodities Non-Nuclear Portfolio					
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Energy					
Percent of planned generation sold forward (a):					
Cost-based contracts (m)	38%	34%	30%	33%	31%
Firm LD (d)	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%
Total	43%	39%	35%	39%	37%
Planned generation (TWh) (f) (n)	3	7	7	6	6

oacity

Percent of capacity sold forward (i):					
Cost-based contracts (m)	35%	29%	24%	24%	24%
Bundled capacity and energy contracts (j)	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%
Capacity contracts (k)	52%	47%	47%	48%	20%
Total	95%	84%	79%	80%	52%
Planned net MW in operation (l) (n)	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

- (a) Percent of planned generation output sold or purchased forward under contracts, forward physical contracts, forward financial contracts or options that mitigate price uncertainty that may require regulatory approval or approval of transmission rights
- (b) Transaction under which power is supplied from a specific generation asset; if the asset is not operating, seller is generally not liable to buyer for any damages
- (c) A sale of power on a unit-contingent basis coupled with a guarantee of availability provides for the payment to the power purchaser of contract damages, if incurred, in the event the seller fails to deliver power as a result of the failure of the specified generation unit to generate power at or above a specified availability threshold. All of Entergy's outstanding guarantees of availability provide for dollar limits on Entergy's maximum liability under such guarantees.
- (d) Transaction that requires receipt or delivery of energy at a specified delivery point (usually at a market hub not associated with a specific asset) or settles financially on notional quantities; if a party fails to deliver or receive energy, defaulting party must compensate the other party as specified in the contract; a portion of which may be capped through the use of risk management products
- (e) Transactions for the purchase of energy, generally to offset a Firm LD transaction
- (f) Amount of output expected to be generated by Entergy Wholesale Commodities resources considering plant operating characteristics, outage schedules, and expected market conditions that effect dispatch
- (g) Assumes NRC license renewal for plants whose current licenses expire within five years and uninterrupted normal operation at all plants. NRC license renewal applications are in process for two units, as follows (with current license expirations in parentheses): Indian Point 2 (September 2013) and Indian Point 3 (December 2015). For a discussion regarding the continued operation of the Vermont Yankee plant, see "Impairment of Long-Lived Assets" in Note 1 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and "Vermont Yankee" in Note 11 to the financial statements herein.
- (h) Revenue on a per unit basis at which generation output, capacity, or a combination of both is expected to be sold to third parties (including offsetting positions), given existing contract or option exercise prices based on expected dispatch or capacity, excluding the revenue associated with the amortization of the below-market PPA for Palisades. Revenue may fluctuate due to factors including positive or negative basis differentials, option premiums and market prices at time of option expiration, costs to convert firm LD to unit-contingent, and other risk management costs. Also, average revenue under contract excludes payments owed under the value sharing agreement with NYPA.
- (i) Percent of planned qualified capacity sold to mitigate price uncertainty under physical or financial transactions
- (j) A contract for the sale of installed capacity and related energy, priced per megawatt-hour sold
- (k) A contract for the sale of an installed capacity product in a regional market
- (l) Amount of capacity to be available to generate power and/or sell capacity considering uprates planned to be completed during the year. The increased capacity figure for the nuclear portfolio from the 10-K reflects the final testing and confirmation of a small incremental increase in output associated with equipment replacements at Palisades.

- (m) Contracts priced in accordance with cost-based rates, a ratemaking concept used for the design and development of rate schedules to ensure that the filed rate schedules recover only the cost of providing the service; these contracts are on owned non-utility resources located within Entergy's service territory, which do not operate under market-based rate authority. The percentage sold assumes approval of long-term transmission rights. Includes sales to the Utility through 2013 of 121 MW of capacity and energy from Entergy Power sourced from Independence Steam Electric Station Unit 2.
- (n) Non-nuclear planned generation and net MW in operation include purchases from affiliated and non-affiliated counterparties under long-term contracts and exclude energy and capacity from Entergy Wholesale Commodities' wind investment accounted for under the equity method of accounting and from the 544 MW Ritchie plant that is not planned to operate.
- (o) Reflects effect of ISO New England's acceptance in the second quarter 2012 of Vermont Yankee's bid to delist for the June 2015 through May 2016 forward capacity auction #6 and retroactively for the June 2013 through May 2014 forward capacity auction #4. ISO New England has until May 2013 to consider Vermont Yankee's delist bid for forward capacity auction #5.

**Table of Contents** 

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

Entergy estimates that a \$10 per MWh change in the annual average energy price in the markets in which the Entergy Wholesale Commodities nuclear business sells power, based on June 30, 2012 market conditions, planned generation volumes, and hedged positions, would have a corresponding effect on pre-tax net income of \$19 million in 2012.

Some of the agreements to sell the power produced by Entergy Wholesale Commodities' power plants contain provisions that require an Entergy subsidiary to provide collateral to secure its obligations under the agreements. The Entergy subsidiary is required to provide collateral based upon the difference between the current market and contracted power prices in the regions where Entergy Wholesale Commodities sells power. The primary form of collateral to satisfy these requirements is an Entergy Corporation guaranty. Cash and letters of credit are also acceptable forms of collateral. At June 30, 2012, based on power prices at that time, Entergy had liquidity exposure of \$193 million under the guarantees in place supporting Entergy Wholesale Commodities transactions, \$20 million of guarantees that support letters of credit, and \$14 million of posted cash collateral to the ISOs. As of June 30, 2012, the liquidity exposure associated with Entergy Wholesale Commodities assurance requirements, including return of previously posted collateral from counterparties, would increase by \$151 million for a \$1 per MMBtu increase in gas prices in both the short-and long-term markets. In the event of a decrease in Entergy Corporation's credit rating to below investment grade, based on power prices as of June 30, 2012, Entergy would have been required to provide approximately \$45 million of additional cash or letters of credit under some of the agreements.

As of June 30, 2012, substantially all of the counterparties or their guarantors for 100% of the planned energy output under contract for Entergy Wholesale Commodities nuclear plants through 2016 have public investment grade credit ratings.

#### **Nuclear Matters**

After the nuclear incident in Japan resulting from the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami, the NRC established a task force to conduct a review of processes and regulations relating to nuclear facilities in the United States. The task force issued a near-term (90-day) report in July 2011 that made initial recommendations, which were subsequently refined and prioritized after input from stakeholders. The task force then issued a second report in September 2011. Based upon the task force's recommendations, the NRC issued three orders effective on March 12, 2012. The three orders require U.S. nuclear operators, including Entergy, to undertake plant modifications or perform additional analyses that will, among other things, result in increased operating and capital costs associated with operating Entergy's nuclear plants. The orders are being analyzed and an estimate of the increased costs cannot be made at this time.

With the issuance of the three orders, the NRC also provided members of the public an opportunity to request a hearing. Two established anti-nuclear groups, Pilgrim Watch and Beyond Nuclear, filed hearing requests, focused on Pilgrim, regarding two of the three orders. These requests sought to have the NRC impose expanded remedial requirements to address the issues raised by the NRC's orders. Beyond Nuclear subsequently withdrew its hearing request and the NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board denied Pilgrim Watch's hearing request. Pilgrim Watch appealed the Board's decision to the NRC.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, impairment of long-lived assets and trust fund investments, qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and other contingencies. Following are updates to that discussion. For updates of the

impairment of long-lived assets discussion regarding Vermont Yankee see Note 11 to the financial statements herein.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries** 

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

#### **Nuclear Decommissioning Costs**

In the second quarter 2012, Entergy Louisiana recorded a revision to its estimated decommissioning cost liability for Waterford 3 as a result of a revised decommissioning cost study. The revised estimate resulted in a \$48.9 million increase in its decommissioning cost liability, along with a corresponding increase in the related asset retirement costs asset that will be depreciated over the remaining life of the unit.

In the second quarter 2012, Entergy Wholesale Commodities recorded a reduction of \$60.6 million in the estimated decommissioning cost liability for a plant as a result of a revised decommissioning cost study. The revised estimate resulted in a credit to decommissioning expense of \$49 million, reflecting the excess of the reduction in the liability over the amount of the undepreciated asset retirement costs asset.

#### Qualified Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) became federal law on July 6, 2012. Under the law, the segment rates used to calculate funding liabilities must be within a corridor of the 25-year average of prior segment rates. The interest rate corridor applies to the determination of minimum funding requirements and benefit restrictions. The pension funding stabilization provisions will provide for a near-term reduction in minimum funding requirements for single employer defined benefit plans in response to the current, historically low interest rates. The law does not reduce contribution requirements over the long term. Entergy is currently analyzing the effect this law will have on the planned 2012 contributions to the pension trust. See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS – Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for further discussion of pension funding.

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

The accounting standard-setting process, including projects between the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to converge U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards, is ongoing and the FASB and the IASB are each currently working on several projects that have not yet resulted in final pronouncements. Final pronouncements that result from these projects could have a material effect on Entergy's future net income or financial position.

# ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended

	2012	10111				JIILII	3 Lilucu	
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	(In Thousar	nds,	Except Shar	e Da	ata)			
OPERATING REVENUES								
Electric	\$1,934,550		\$2,212,038		\$3,719,392		\$4,077,936	
Natural gas	23,879		28,891		69,886		100,014	
Competitive businesses	560,171		562,350		1,112,982		1,166,538	
TOTAL	2,518,600		2,803,279		4,902,260		5,344,488	
OPERATING EXPENSES								
Operating and Maintenance:								
Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and								
gas purchased for resale	437,157		563,333		975,994		1,071,026	
Purchased power	345,298		451,227		630,264		813,845	
Nuclear refueling outage expenses	57,822		62,966		121,706		126,951	
Asset impairment	-		-		355,524		-	
Other operation and maintenance	772,881		712,496		1,494,517		1,368,245	
Decommissioning	11,942		55,497		69,845		110,762	
Taxes other than income taxes	138,111		129,215		275,280		254,449	
Depreciation and amortization	274,755		264,206		554,971		529,090	
Other regulatory charges	137,650		5,601		138,032		491	
TOTAL	2,175,616		2,244,541		4,616,133		4,274,859	
	,,.		, ,-		,,		, , , , , , , , , , ,	
OPERATING INCOME	342,984		558,738		286,127		1,069,629	
	- ,		,		,		,,.	
OTHER INCOME								
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	28,282		20,753		52,590		38,042	
Interest and investment income	29,285		35,921		70,276		62,668	
Miscellaneous - net	(13,036	)	(16,962	)	(31,025	)	(26,360	)
TOTAL	44,531	,	39,712	,	91,841		74,350	,
1 0 11 122	,001		65,712		, 1,0 .1		, .,	
INTEREST EXPENSE								
Interest expense	149,616		136,049		296,361		272,183	
Allowance for borrowed funds used during	11,010		100,019		<b>2</b> >0,001		2,2,100	
construction	(10,483	)	(9,150	)	(19,874	)	(17,684	)
TOTAL	139,133	,	126,899	,	276,487	,	254,499	,
1011112	137,133		120,0))		270,107		23 1, 199	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	248,382		471,551		101,481		889,480	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	240,302		471,551		101,401		002,100	
Income taxes	(122,201	)	150,953		(122,363	)	315,203	
income taxes	(122,201	)	150,755		(122,303	)	313,203	
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	370,583		320,598		223,844		574,277	
CONSOLIDATED MET INCOME	510,505		320,370		223,044		317,411	

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY ARKANSAS INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred dividend requirements of subsidiaries	5,582	5,015	10,526	10,031
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENTERGY CORPORATION	\$365,001	\$315,583	\$213,318	\$564,246
Earnings per average common share:				
Basic	\$2.06	\$1.77	\$1.21	\$3.16
Diluted	\$2.06	\$1.76	\$1.20	\$3.14
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.83	\$0.83	\$1.66	\$1.66
Basic average number of common shares				
outstanding	177,166,519	177,808,890	177,015,941	178,318,784
Diluted average number of common shares				
outstanding	177,565,351	178,925,180	177,470,486	179,502,551

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

	Three M	ont	hs Ended		Six Months Ended		
	2012 (In Thousa	and	2011 (s)		2012	2011	
Net Income	\$370,583		\$320,598		\$223,844	\$574,277	
Other comprehensive income (loss)							
Cash flow hedges net unrealized gain (loss)							
(net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$58,275),							
(\$7,208), \$17,219 and (\$41,843))	(108,090	)	(13,516	)	37,345	(71,724)	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities							
(net of tax expense of \$10,479, \$1,964, \$14,355 and							
\$3,057)	17,060		2,339		23,327	6,598	
Net unrealized investment gains (losses)							
(net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$11,749), \$3,386,							
\$37,389 and \$28,726)	(18,025	)	3,186		32,082	27,871	
Foreign currency translation							
(net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$113), \$6, \$54 and \$167)	•	)	11		101	311	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(109,264	)	(7,980	)	92,855	(36,944)	
Comprehensive Income	261,319		312,618		316,699	537,333	
Preferred dividend requirements of subsidiaries	5,582		5,015		10,526	10,031	
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Entergy Corporation	\$255,737		\$307,603		\$306,173	\$527,302	

21

See Notes to Financial Statements.

### ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

2012 2011 (In Thousands)

#### **OPERATING ACTIVITIES**

OFERATING ACTIVITIES				
Consolidated net income	\$223,844		\$574,277	
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash flow				
provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning, including nuclear fuel amortization	832,662		852,028	
Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued	(122,657	)	305,121	
Asset impairment	355,524		-	
Changes in working capital:				
Receivables	(52,185	)	(168,253	)
Fuel inventory	(19,222	)	(5,457	)
Accounts payable	8,339		(76,803	)
Prepaid taxes and taxes accrued	(12,446	)	(2,810	)
Interest accrued	(6,978	)	(39,404	)
Deferred fuel costs	5,909		(198,052	)
Other working capital accounts	(108,441	)	(112,386	)
Changes in provisions for estimated losses	(19,267	)	(5,954	)
Changes in other regulatory assets	113,645		96,549	
Changes in pensions and other postretirement liabilities	(34,541	)	,	)
Other	23,733		(9,301	)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	1,187,919		977,249	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Construction/capital expenditures	(1,252,277	)	(991,293	)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	54,417		38,681	
Nuclear fuel purchases	(240,804	)		)
Payment for purchase of plant	(	)		)
Changes in securitization account	12,876		9,106	
NYPA value sharing payment		)	(72,000	)
Payments to storm reserve escrow account	· · ·	)	(3,294	)
Receipts from storm reserve escrow account	17,884		-	
Decrease (increase) in other investments	37,076		(42,994	)
Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	944,833		636,359	
Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds	(998,579		(699,530	)
Net cash flow used in investing activities	(1,500,206)	)	(1,827,72)	3)
See Notes to Financial Statements.				

### ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

2012 2011 (In Thousands)

#### FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Proceeds from the issuance of:		
Long-term debt	1,325,162	1,075,180
Preferred stock	51,000	-
Treasury stock	34,628	16,958
Retirement of long-term debt	(1,199,926)	(555,940)
Repurchase of common stock	-	(159,602)
Changes in credit borrowings - net	(4,615)	15,960
Dividends paid:		
Common stock	(293,741)	(296,355)
Preferred stock	(11,165)	(10,031)
Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	(98,657)	86,170
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(101)	(310)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(411,045)	(764,614)
•		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	694,438	1,294,472
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$283,393	\$529,858
	·	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest - net of amount capitalized	\$253,617	\$267,493
Income taxes	\$42,450	\$77

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### **ASSETS**

June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (Unaudited)

2012 2011 (In Thousands)

#### **CURRENT ASSETS**

**DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS** 

Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash	\$90,279	\$81,468
Temporary cash investments	193,114	612,970
Total cash and cash equivalents	283,393	694,438
Securitization recovery trust account	37,428	50,304
Accounts receivable:		
Customer	560,924	568,558
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(30,226)	(31,159)
Other	147,631	166,186
Accrued unbilled revenues	359,121	298,283
Total accounts receivable	1,037,450	1,001,868
Deferred fuel costs	67,716	209,776
Accumulated deferred income taxes	4,337	9,856
Fuel inventory - at average cost	221,354	202,132
Materials and supplies - at average cost	912,884	894,756
Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs	235,822	231,031
System agreement cost equalization	35,380	36,800
Prepayments and other	367,736	291,742
TOTAL	3,203,500	3,622,703
OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS		
Investment in affiliates - at equity	45,319	44,876
Decommissioning trust funds	4,015,377	3,788,031
Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation)	259,352	260,436
Other	405,494	416,423
TOTAL	4,725,542	4,509,766
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		
Electric	40,310,515	39,385,524
Property under capital lease	812,214	809,449
Natural gas	348,439	343,550
Construction work in progress	1,582,583	1,779,723
Nuclear fuel	1,505,692	1,546,167
TOTAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	44,559,443	43,864,413
Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization	18,563,697	18,255,128
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT - NET	25,995,746	25,609,285

Regulatory assets:

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY ARKANSAS INC - Form 10-Q

Regulatory asset for income taxes - net	738,734	799,006
Other regulatory assets (includes securitization property of		
\$967,292 as of June 30, 2012 and \$1,009,103 as of		
December 31, 2011)	4,542,228	4,636,871
Deferred fuel costs	238,428	172,202
Goodwill	377,172	377,172
Accumulated deferred income taxes	29,904	19,003
Other	1,066,351	955,691
TOTAL	6,992,817	6,959,945
TOTAL ASSETS	\$40,917,605	\$40,701,699
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

## ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS LIABILITIES AND EQUITY

June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (Unaudited)

2012 2011 (In Thousands)

#### **CURRENT LIABILITIES**

Currently maturing long-term debt	\$420,389	\$2,192,733
Notes payable	103,716	108,331
Accounts payable	1,035,834	1,069,096
Customer deposits	357,402	351,741
Taxes accrued	265,789	278,235
Accumulated deferred income taxes	83,107	99,929
Interest accrued	176,534	183,512
Deferred fuel costs	185,914	255,839
Obligations under capital leases	3,753	3,631
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	46,341	44,031
System agreement cost equalization	72,785	80,090
Other	290,379	283,531
TOTAL	3,041,943	4,950,699
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	7,963,476	8,096,452
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	280,041	284,747
Obligations under capital leases	36,513	38,421
Other regulatory liabilities	913,736	728,193
Decommissioning and asset retirement cost liabilities	3,400,985	3,296,570
Accumulated provisions	366,799	385,512
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	3,096,805	3,133,657
Long-term debt (includes securitization bonds of \$1,019,971 as of		
June 30, 2012 and \$1,070,556 as of December 31, 2011)	11,968,935	10,043,713
Other	537,866	501,954
TOTAL	28,565,156	26,509,219
Commitments and Contingencies		
Subsidiaries' preferred stock without sinking fund	186,510	186,511
EQUITY		
Common Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 500,000,000 shares;		
issued 254,752,788 shares in 2012 and in 2011	2,548	2,548
Paid-in capital	5,356,475	5,360,682
Retained earnings	9,366,221	9,446,960
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(75,597)	
Less - treasury stock, at cost (77,562,145 shares in 2012 and		

78,396,988 shares in 2011)	5,619,651	5,680,468
Total common shareholders' equity	9,029,996	8,961,270
Subsidiaries' preferred stock without sinking fund	94,000	94,000
TOTAL	9,123,996	9,055,270
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$40,917,605	\$40,701,699
· ·		
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
25		

## ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited) (In Thousands)

## Common Shareholders' Equity

	Subsidiaries' Preferred	Common	Treasury	Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv	
	Stock	Stock	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Income (Loss	
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 94,000	\$2,548	\$(5,524,811)	\$5,367,474	\$8,689,401	\$ (38,212	) \$8,590,400
Consolidated net income (a)	10,031	-	-	-	564,246	-	574,277
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-	(36,944	) (36,944 )
Common stock repurchases	-	-	(159,602)	-	-	-	(159,602)
Common stock issuances related to stock plans Common stock	-	_	30,939	(1,342 )	-	-	29,597
dividends declared	-	-	-	-	(296,131)	-	(296,131)
Preferred dividend requirements of subsidiaries (a)	(10,031 )	-	-	-	-	-	(10,031 )
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 94,000	\$2,548	\$(5,653,474)	\$5,366,132	\$8,957,516	\$ (75,156	) \$8,691,566
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$94,000	\$2,548	\$(5,680,468)	\$5 360 682	\$9,446,960	\$ (168,452	) \$9,055,270
	φ <del>94,000</del>	Ψ2,540	\$(3,000,400)	\$5,500,002	\$ 2,440,200	ψ (100, <del>4</del> 32	) \$9,033,270
Consolidated net income (a) Other	10,526	-	-	-	213,318	-	223,844
comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	92,855	92,855
Common stock issuances related			co o. =	// OG=			
to stock plans	-	-	60,817	(4,207 )	(294,057)	-	56,610 (294,057)
					(=> .,00 /		(=> 1,00 /

Common stock
dividends
declared
Preferred

dividend requirements of

subsidiaries (a) (10,526 ) - - - - (10,526

Balance at June

30, 2012 \$94,000 \$2,548 \$(5,619,651) \$5,356,475 \$9,366,221 \$ (75,597 ) \$9,123,996

See Notes to Financial

Statements.

(a) Consolidated net income and preferred dividend requirements of subsidiaries for 2012 and 2011 include \$7.2 million and \$6.7 million, respectively, of preferred dividends on subsidiaries' preferred stock without sinking fund that is not presented as equity.

## ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)

	onths Ended	Increase/				
Description	2012	2012 2011		(Decrease)		
	(1	Dollars in Milli	ons)			
Utility Electric Operating Revenues:						
Residential	\$677	\$760	\$(83	)	(11	)
Commercial	523	575	(52	)	(9	)
Industrial	506	589	(83	)	(14	)
Governmental	47	52	(5	)	(10	)
Total retail	1,753	1,976	(223	)	(11	)
Sales for resale	21	64	(43	)	(67	)
Other	161	172	(11	)	(6	)
Total	\$1,935	\$2,212	\$(277	)	(13	)
Utility Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh):						
Residential	7,940	7,993	(53	)	(1	)
Commercial	7,148	6,944	204		3	
Industrial	10,408	10,140	268		3	
Governmental	605	604	1		-	
Total retail	26,101	25,681	420		2	
Sales for resale	836	1,036	(200	)	(19	)
Total	26,937	26,717	220		1	
Entergy Wholesale Commodities:						
Operating Revenues	\$568	\$568	\$-		-	
Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh)	11,674	10,567	1,107		10	
			_			
		nths Ended	Increase			
Description	2012	2011	(Decreas	e)	%	
	()	Dollars in Milli	ons)			
Utility Electric Operating Revenues:	*	* . *00	***			
Residential	\$1,347	\$1,508	\$(161	)	(11	)
Commercial	1,026	1,076	(50	)	(5	)
Industrial	995	1,068	(73	)	(7	)
Governmental	95	99	(4	)	(4	)
Total retail	3,463	3,751	(288	)	(8	)
Sales for resale	60	128	(68	)	(53	)
Other	196	199	(3	)	(2	)
Total	\$3,719	\$4,078	\$(359	)	(9	)
Utility Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh):					(0)	
Residential	15,700	17,034	(1,334	)	(8	)

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY ARKANSAS INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial	13,561	13,394	167	1	
Industrial	20,366	19,657	709	4	
Governmental	1,184	1,186	(2	) -	
Total retail	50,811	51,271	(460	) (1	)
Sales for resale	1,568	1,983	(415	) (21	)
Total	52,379	53,254	(875	) (2	)
Entergy Wholesale Commodities:					
Operating Revenues	\$1,128	\$1,178	\$(50	) (4	)
Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh)	22,955	21,121	1,834	9	

#### ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy)

Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are involved in a number of legal, regulatory, and tax proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions, and governmental agencies in the ordinary course of business. While management is unable to predict the outcome of such proceedings, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on Entergy's results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition, except as otherwise discussed in the Form 10-K or in this report. Entergy discusses regulatory proceedings in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein, discusses tax proceedings in Note 3 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Note 10 to the financial statements herein, and discusses a judicial proceeding involving Vermont Yankee in Note 1 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and in Note 11 to the financial statements herein.

#### Nuclear Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information on nuclear liability and property insurance associated with Entergy's nuclear power plants.

#### Conventional Property Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information on Entergy's non-nuclear property insurance program.

#### **Employment Litigation**

The Registrant Subsidiaries and other Entergy subsidiaries are responding to various lawsuits in both state and federal courts and to other labor-related proceedings filed by current and former employees and third parties not selected for open positions. These actions include, but are not limited to, allegations of wrongful employment actions; wage disputes and other claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act or its state counterparts; claims of race, gender and disability discrimination; disputes arising under collective bargaining agreements; unfair labor practice proceedings and other administrative proceedings before the National Labor Relations Board; claims of retaliation; and claims for or regarding benefits under various Entergy Corporation sponsored plans. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are responding to these lawsuits and proceedings and deny liability to the claimants.

Asbestos Litigation (Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas)

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding asbestos litigation at Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas.

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Financial Statements

NOTE 2. RATE AND REGULATORY MATTERS (Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy)

#### Regulatory Assets

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding regulatory assets in the Utility business presented on the balance sheets of Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries. Following are updates to that information.

#### Correction of Regulatory Asset for Income Taxes

In the first quarter 2012, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana determined that its regulatory asset for income taxes was overstated because of a difference between the regulatory treatment of the income taxes associated with certain items (primarily pension expense) and the financial accounting treatment of those taxes. Beginning with Louisiana retail rate filings using the 1994 test year, retail rates were developed using the normalization method of accounting for income taxes. With respect to these items, however, the financial accounting for income taxes was computed using the flow-through method of accounting. As a result, over the years Entergy Gulf States Louisiana accumulated a regulatory asset representing the expected future recovery of tax expense for the affected items even though the tax expense was being collected currently in rates from customers and would not be recovered in the future.

The effect was immaterial to the consolidated balance sheets, results of operations, and cash flows of Entergy for all prior reporting periods and on a cumulative basis. Therefore, a cumulative adjustment was recorded in the first quarter 2012 to remove the regulatory asset previously recorded. This adjustment increased 2012 income tax expense by \$46.3 million, decreased the regulatory asset for income taxes by \$75.3 million, and decreased accumulated deferred income taxes by \$29 million.

The effect was also immaterial to the balance sheets, results of operations, and cash flows of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana for all prior reporting periods. Correcting the cumulative effect of the error in the first quarter 2012 could have been material to the 2012 results of operations of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and, therefore, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is revising its prior period financial statements to correct the errors. The corrections affect the prior period financial statements as shown in the tables below:

Three Mon	iths Ended
June 30	), 2011
As	
previously	As
reported	corrected
(In Tho	usands)

Income Statement		
Income taxes	\$31,071	\$29,976
Net income	\$49,310	\$50,405
Earnings applicable to common equity	\$49,104	\$50,199

## Table of Contents

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

As previously reported corrected (In Thousands)   As previously reported (In Thousands)		June 3	ths Ended 0, 2011
Teported (In Thousands)   Thousands   Teported (In Thousands)			Λ α
Income Statement           Income taxes         \$56,923         \$54,879           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Earnings applicable to common equity         \$94,569         \$96,613           Statement of Cash Flows           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 3, 2011 As           As         previously As         reported         corrected (In Thousands)           Balance Sheet           Regulatory asset for income taxes - net         \$249,058         \$173,724           Accumulated deferred income taxes - current         \$5,427         \$5,107           Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued         \$1,397,230         \$1,368,563		1	
Income Statement         \$56,923         \$54,879           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Earnings applicable to common equity         \$94,569         \$96,613           Statement of Cash Flows           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011 As previously As reported (In Thousards)           Regulatory asset for income taxes - net         \$249,058         \$173,724           Accumulated deferred income taxes - current         \$5,427         \$5,107           Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued         \$1,397,230         \$1,368,563			
Income taxes         \$56,923         \$54,879           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Earnings applicable to common equity         \$94,569         \$96,613           Statement of Cash Flows           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011		(III III)	ousailus)
Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Earnings applicable to common equity         \$94,569         \$96,613           Statement of Cash Flows         Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           Balance Sheet         Regulatory asset for income taxes - net         \$249,058         \$173,724           Accumulated deferred income taxes - current         \$5,427         \$5,107           Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued         \$1,397,230         \$1,368,563	Income Statement		
Earnings applicable to common equity         \$94,569         \$96,613           Statement of Cash Flows           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011 As previously As previously activities         As previously corrected (In Thousands)           Balance Sheet           Regulatory asset for income taxes - net         \$249,058         \$173,724           Accumulated deferred income taxes - current         \$5,427         \$5,107           Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued         \$1,397,230         \$1,368,563	Income taxes	\$56,923	\$54,879
Statement of Cash Flows           Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011 As previously As reported corrected (In Thousands)           Balance Sheet           Regulatory asset for income taxes - net         \$249,058         \$173,724           Accumulated deferred income taxes - current         \$5,427         \$5,107           Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued         \$1,397,230         \$1,368,563	Net income	\$94,981	\$97,025
Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011             As	Earnings applicable to common equity	\$94,569	\$96,613
Net income         \$94,981         \$97,025           Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued         \$13,995         \$11,951           Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011             As			
Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued \$13,995 \$11,951     Changes in other regulatory assets \$21,505 \$18,182     Other operating activities \$22,707 \$26,030     December 31, 2011	Statement of Cash Flows		
and non-current taxes accrued\$13,995\$11,951Changes in other regulatory assets\$21,505\$18,182Other operating activities\$22,707\$26,030December 31, 2011 As previously reported 	Net income	\$94,981	\$97,025
Changes in other regulatory assets         \$21,505         \$18,182           Other operating activities         \$22,707         \$26,030           December 31, 2011             As             previously	Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits,		
Other operating activities\$22,707\$26,030December 31, 2011 As previously reported (In Thousands)Balance Sheet Regulatory asset for income taxes - net Accumulated deferred income taxes - current Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued\$249,058 \$173,724 \$5,107 \$1,397,230	and non-current taxes accrued	\$13,995	\$11,951
December 31, 2011  As previously As reported corrected (In Thousands)  Balance Sheet  Regulatory asset for income taxes - net Accumulated deferred income taxes - current Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued  \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563	Changes in other regulatory assets	\$21,505	\$18,182
As previously As reported corrected (In Thousands)  Balance Sheet Regulatory asset for income taxes - net \$249,058 \$173,724 Accumulated deferred income taxes - current \$5,427 \$5,107 Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563	Other operating activities	\$22,707	\$26,030
Regulatory asset for income taxes - net \$249,058 \$173,724 Accumulated deferred income taxes - current \$5,427 \$5,107 Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563			31, 2011
Regulatory asset for income taxes - net \$249,058 \$173,724 Accumulated deferred income taxes - current \$5,427 \$5,107 Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563		previously	As
Balance Sheet Regulatory asset for income taxes - net Accumulated deferred income taxes - current Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued  \$249,058 \$173,724  \$5,107  \$4,207 \$5,107		•	corrected
Regulatory asset for income taxes - net\$249,058\$173,724Accumulated deferred income taxes - current\$5,427\$5,107Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued\$1,397,230\$1,368,563		-	isands)
Regulatory asset for income taxes - net\$249,058\$173,724Accumulated deferred income taxes - current\$5,427\$5,107Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued\$1,397,230\$1,368,563			
Accumulated deferred income taxes - current \$5,427 \$5,107 Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563	Balance Sheet		
Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued \$1,397,230 \$1,368,563			
	Accumulated deferred income taxes - current	\$5,427	\$5,107
Member's equity \$1,439,733 \$1,393,386	Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued	\$1,397,230	\$1,368,563
	Member's equity	\$1,439,733	\$1,393,386

## Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

	Member's Equity		Total E	Equity		
	As		As			
	previously	As	previously	As		
	reported	corrected	reported	corrected		
	(In Thousands)					
Statement of Changes in Equity						
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$1,539,517	\$1,494,593	\$1,509,213	\$1,464,289		
Net income	\$94,981	\$97,025	\$94,981	\$97,025		
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$1,511,821	\$1,468,941	\$1,482,746	\$1,439,866		

Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery

#### **Entergy Texas**

In December 2011, Entergy Texas filed with the PUCT a request to refund approximately \$43 million, including interest, of fuel cost recovery over-collections through October 2011. Entergy Texas and the parties to the proceeding reached an agreement that Entergy Texas will refund \$67 million, including interest and additional over-recoveries through December 2011, over a three-month period. Entergy Texas and the parties requested that interim rates consistent with the settlement be approved effective with the March 2012 billing month, and the PUCT approved the application in March 2012.

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

#### **Retail Rate Proceedings**

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for detailed information regarding retail rate proceedings involving the Utility operating companies. The following are updates to the Form 10-K.

Filings with the LPSC

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In January 2012, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana filed with the LPSC its gas rate stabilization plan for the test year ended September 30, 2011. The filing showed an earned return on common equity of 10.48%, which is within the earnings bandwidth of 10.5%, plus or minus fifty basis points. In April 2012, the LPSC Staff filed its findings, suggesting adjustments that will produce an 11.54% earned return on common equity for the test year and a \$0.1 million rate reduction. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana accepted the LPSC Staff's recommendations, and the rate reduction was effective with the first billing cycle of May 2012.

In May 2012, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2011 test year. The filing reflects an 11.94% earned return on common equity, which is above the earnings bandwidth and indicates a \$6.5 million cost of service rate change is necessary under the formula rate plan. The filing also reflects a \$22.9 million rate decrease for incremental capacity costs. The filing is currently subject to LPSC review.

#### (Entergy Louisiana)

In May 2012, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2011 test year. The filing reflects a 9.63% earned return on common equity, which is within the earnings bandwidth and results in no cost of service rate change under the formula rate plan. The filing also reflects an \$18.1 million rate increase for incremental capacity costs. Subsequently, in June 2012, Entergy Louisiana supplemented the filing to estimate the first year revenue requirement associated with the Waterford 3 replacement steam generator project. The filing is currently subject to LPSC review.

#### Filings with the MPSC

In March 2012, Entergy Mississippi submitted its formula rate plan filing for the 2011 test year. The filing shows an earned return on common equity of 10.92% for the test year, which is within the earnings bandwidth and results in no change in rates. The filing is currently subject to MPSC review.

#### Filings with the City Council

On May 31, 2012, Entergy New Orleans filed its electric and gas formula rate plan evaluation reports for the 2011 test year. The filings request a \$3.0 million electric base revenue increase and a \$1.0 million gas base revenue increase. As part of the filing, Entergy New Orleans is also requesting to increase annual funding for its storm reserve by approximately \$5.7 million for the next five years. The new rates would be effective with the first billing cycle in October 2012. The City Council's and its Advisors' review of these filings is pending.

Filings with the PUCT and Texas Cities

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the rate case that Entergy Texas filed in November 2011 requesting a \$112 million base rate increase reflecting a 10.6% return on common equity based on an adjusted June 2011 test year. In April 2012 the PUCT Staff filed direct testimony recommending a base rate increase of \$66 million and a 9.6% return on common equity. The PUCT Staff, however, subsequently filed a statement of position in the proceeding indicating that it was still evaluating the position it would ultimately take in the case regarding Entergy Texas's recovery of purchased power capacity costs and Entergy Texas's proposal to defer its MISO transition expenses. In

<u>Table of Contents</u>
Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Financial Statements

April 2012, Entergy Texas filed rebuttal testimony indicating a revised request for a \$105 million base rate increase. A hearing was held in late-April through early-May 2012. During the hearing and in its post-hearing brief the PUCT Staff revised its recommendation to a base rate increase of \$27 million. Additionally, the PUCT Staff recommended rejection of Entergy Texas's request to defer MISO transition expenses.

The ALJs issued a proposal for decision in July 2012 recommending a \$16 million rate increase; however, the workpapers supporting the proposal for decision indicated that the result of the ALJs' recommendation was instead a \$28.3 million rate increase. The ALJs' proposal for decision includes recommendations for: a 9.80% return on common equity; a reduction in proposed purchased power capacity costs, stating that they are not known and measureable; a reduction in Entergy Texas's regulatory assets associated with Hurricane Rita; the exclusion from rate recovery of capitalized financially-based incentive compensation; and amortization of \$2.4 million annually of MISO transition expense for five years. Entergy Texas and other parties filed exceptions to the proposal for decision on July 23, 2012. The PUCT is scheduled to consider the proposal for decision at its August 17, 2012 open meeting.

System Agreement Cost Equalization Proceedings

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceedings regarding the System Agreement, including the FERC's October 2011 order, Entergy's December 2011 compliance filing in response to that order, and Entergy Arkansas's February 2012 filing for an interim adjustment to its production cost allocation rider requesting that the \$156 million payment be collected from customers over the 22-month period from March 2012 through December 2013. In March 2012 the APSC issued an order stating that the payment can be recovered from retail customers through the production cost allocation rider, subject to refund. The LPSC and the APSC have requested rehearing of the FERC's October 2011 order. The APSC, LPSC, the PUCT, and other parties intervened in the December 2011 compliance filing proceeding, and the APSC and the LPSC also filed protests.

On May 7, 2012, the FERC issued orders in several System Agreement proceedings, including an order on rehearing in the 2007 rate filing based on 2006 production costs proceeding, an order on the ALJ's initial decision in the 2009 rate filing based on 2008 production costs proceeding, and orders in other proceedings regarding the method of calculating the production costs used in the determination of the rough production cost equalization payments and receipts. The May 7, 2012 FERC orders may result in the reallocation of costs among the Utility operating companies, although there are still FERC decisions pending in other System Agreement proceedings that could affect the rough production cost equalization payments and receipts, including for the 2007 rate filing. The FERC directed Entergy, within 45 days of the issuance of a pending FERC order on rehearing regarding the functionalization of costs in the 2007 rate filing, to file a comprehensive bandwidth recalculation report showing updated payments and receipts in the 2007 rate filing proceeding. In the order in the 2007 rate filing proceeding, the FERC also denied Entergy's request for rehearing regarding the AmerenUE contract and ordered Entergy Arkansas to refund to AmerenUE the rough production cost equalization payments collected from AmerenUE. Under the terms of the FERC's order the refund of \$30.6 million, including interest, was made in June 2012. Entergy Arkansas had previously recorded a regulatory provision for the potential refund to AmerenUE. Entergy has appealed the FERC's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

Rough Production Cost Equalization Rates

2012 Rate Filing Based on Calendar Year 2011 Production Costs

In May 2012, Entergy filed with the FERC the 2012 rates in accordance with the FERC's orders in the System Agreement proceeding. The filing shows the following payments/receipts among the Utility operating companies for 2012, based on calendar year 2011 production costs, commencing for service in June 2012, are necessary to achieve rough production cost equalization under the FERC's orders:

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

	Payments or (Receipts) (In Millions)
Entergy Arkansas	\$41
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	\$-
Entergy Louisiana	(\$41)
Entergy Mississippi	\$-
Entergy New Orleans	\$-
Entergy Texas	\$-

Several parties intervened in the proceeding at the FERC, including the LPSC, which filed a protest as well.

#### Interruptible Load Proceeding

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceeding regarding the treatment under the System Agreement of the Utility operating companies' interruptible loads. Entergy Arkansas filed an application in November 2010 with the APSC for recovery of the refund that it paid. The APSC denied Entergy Arkansas's application, and also denied Entergy Arkansas's petition for rehearing. If the FERC were to order Entergy Arkansas to pay refunds on rehearing in the interruptible load proceeding the APSC's decision would trap FERC-approved costs at Entergy Arkansas with no regulatory-approved mechanism to recover them. In August 2011, Entergy Arkansas filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas asking for a declaratory judgment that the rejection of Entergy Arkansas's application by the APSC is preempted by the Federal Power Act. The APSC filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. In April 2012 the United States district court dismissed Entergy Arkansas's complaint without prejudice stating that Entergy Arkansas's claim is not ripe for adjudication and that Entergy Arkansas did not have standing to bring suit at this time.

#### Entergy Arkansas Opportunity Sales Proceeding

In June 2009, the LPSC filed a complaint requesting that the FERC determine that certain of Entergy Arkansas's sales of electric energy to third parties: (a) violated the provisions of the System Agreement that allocate the energy generated by Entergy System resources, (b) imprudently denied the Entergy System and its ultimate consumers the benefits of low-cost Entergy System generating capacity, and (c) violated the provision of the System Agreement that prohibits sales to third parties by individual companies absent an offer of a right-of-first-refusal to other Utility operating companies. The LPSC's complaint challenges sales made beginning in 2002 and requests refunds. In their response to the complaint, the Utility operating companies explained that the System Agreement clearly contemplates that the Utility operating companies may make sales to third parties for their own account, subject to the requirement that those sales be included in the load (or load shape) for the applicable Utility operating company. The response further explains that the FERC already has determined that Entergy Arkansas's short-term wholesale sales did not trigger the "right-of-first-refusal" provision of the System Agreement. In addition the response argued that while the D.C. Circuit had determined that the "right-of-first-refusal" issue was not properly before the FERC at the time of its earlier decision on the issue, the LPSC raised no additional claims or facts that would warrant the FERC reaching a different conclusion.

In December 2010 the ALJ issued an initial decision. The ALJ found that the System Agreement allowed for Entergy Arkansas to make the sales to third parties but concluded that the sales should be accounted for in the same manner as joint account sales. The ALJ concluded that "shareholders" should make refunds of the damages to the Utility operating companies, along with interest. Entergy disagrees with several aspects of the ALJ's initial decision and in January 2011 filed with the FERC exceptions to the decision.

The FERC issued a decision in June 2012 and held that, while the System Agreement is ambiguous, it does provide authority for individual Utility operating companies to make opportunity sales for their own account and Entergy Arkansas made and priced these sales in good faith. The FERC found, however, that the System Agreement does not provide authority for an individual Utility operating company to allocate the energy associated with such opportunity sales as part of its load, but provides a different allocation authority. The FERC further found that the after-the-fact accounting methodology used to allocate the energy used to supply the sales was inconsistent with the

<u>Table of Contents</u>
Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Financial Statements

System Agreement. Quantifying the effect of FERC's decision will require re-running intra-system bills, and Entergy is unable to estimate the potential effects at this time because in its decision the FERC established further hearing procedures to determine the calculation of the effects. On July 23, 2012, Entergy and the LPSC filed requests for rehearing, which will be pending with the FERC while the calculation hearing procedures move forward before the ALJ appointed to hear that matter.

Storm Cost Recovery Filings with Retail Regulators

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana

Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Act 55 financing of its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita storm costs. In February 2012, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana sold 500,000 of its Class A preferred membership units in Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a wholly-owned Entergy subsidiary, to a third party in exchange for \$51 million plus accrued but unpaid distributions on the units. The 500,000 preferred membership units are mandatorily redeemable in January 2112.

New Nuclear Generation Development Costs (Entergy Gulf States and Entergy Louisiana)

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana are developing a project option for new nuclear generation at River Bend. In March 2010, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC seeking approval to continue the limited development activities necessary to preserve an option to construct a new unit at River Bend. The testimony and legal briefs of the LPSC staff generally support the request of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana, although other parties filed briefs, without supporting testimony, in opposition to the request. At an evidentiary hearing in October 2011, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the LPSC staff presented testimony in support of certification of activities to preserve an option for a new nuclear plant at River Bend. The ALJ recommended, however, that the LPSC decline the request of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana on the basis that the LPSC's rule on new nuclear development does not apply to activities to preserve an option to develop and on the further grounds that the companies improperly engaged in advanced preparation activities prior to certification. There has been no suggestion that the planning activities or costs incurred were imprudent. At its June 28, 2012 meeting the LPSC voted to uphold the ALJ's decision and directed that Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana be permitted to seek recovery of these costs in the rate case filings that are anticipated in January 2013, fully reserving the LPSC's right to determine the recoverability of such costs in rates.

#### Texas Power Price Lawsuit

In August 2003, a lawsuit was filed in the district court of Chambers County, Texas by Texas residents on behalf of a purported class apparently of the Texas retail customers of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. who were billed and paid for electric power from January 1, 1994 to the present. The named defendants include Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, Entergy Power, Entergy Power Marketing Corp., and Entergy Arkansas. Entergy Gulf States, Inc. was not a named defendant, but was alleged to be a co-conspirator. The court granted the request of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. to intervene in the lawsuit to protect its interests.

Plaintiffs allege that the defendants implemented a "price gouging accounting scheme" to sell to plaintiffs and similarly situated utility customers higher priced power generated by the defendants while rejecting and/or reselling to

off-system utilities less expensive power offered and/or purchased from off-system suppliers and/or generated by the Entergy system. In particular, plaintiffs allege that the defendants manipulated and continue to manipulate the dispatch of generation so that power is purchased from affiliated expensive resources instead of buying cheaper off-system power.

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

Plaintiffs stated in their pleadings that customers in Texas were charged at least \$57 million above prevailing market prices for power. Plaintiffs seek actual, consequential and exemplary damages, costs and attorneys' fees, and disgorgement of profits. The plaintiffs' experts have tendered a report calculating damages in a large range, from \$153 million to \$972 million in present value, under various scenarios. The Entergy defendants have tendered expert reports challenging the assumptions, methodologies, and conclusions of the plaintiffs' expert reports.

The case is pending in state district court, and in March 2012 the court found that the case met the requirements to be maintained as a class action under Texas law. On April 30, 2012, the court entered an order certifying the class. The defendants have appealed the order to the Texas Court of Appeals – First District.

#### NOTE 3. EQUITY (Entergy Corporation, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and Entergy Louisiana)

#### Common Stock

#### Earnings per Share

The following tables present Entergy's basic and diluted earnings per share calculations included on the consolidated income statements:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,	
2012		2011
	(In Millions, Except Per Share Data)	

Basic earnings per share	Income	Shares	\$/share	Income	Shares	\$/share
Net income attributable to Entergy Corporation	\$365.0	177.2	\$2.06	\$315.6	177.8	\$1.77
Average dilutive effect of:						
Stock options		0.4	-		1.0	(0.01)
Other equity plans		_	-		0.1	-
Diluted earnings per share	\$365.0	177.6	\$2.06	\$315.6	178.9	\$1.76

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
2012		2011
	(In Millions, Except Per Share Data)	

Basic earnings per share	Income	Shares	\$/share	Income	Shares	\$/share
$\mathcal{C}^{-1}$			·			·

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY ARKANSAS INC - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to Entergy Corporation	\$213.3	177.0	\$1.21	\$564.2	178.3	\$3.16
Average dilutive effect of:						
Stock options		0.4	(0.01)		1.0	(0.02)
Other equity plans		0.1	-		0.2	-
Diluted earnings per share	\$213.3	177.5	\$1.20	\$564.2	179.5	\$3.14
35						

#### **Table of Contents**

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

Entergy's stock options and other equity compensation plans are discussed in Note 5 herein and in Note 12 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

#### Treasury Stock

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, Entergy Corporation issued 834,843 shares of its previously repurchased common stock to satisfy stock option exercises and other stock-based awards. Entergy Corporation did not repurchase any of its common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

#### **Retained Earnings**

On July 27, 2012 Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors declared a common stock dividend of \$0.83 per share, payable on September 4, 2012 to holders of record as of August 9, 2012.

#### Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive loss is included in the equity section of the balance sheets of Entergy, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and Entergy Louisiana. Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the balance sheets included the following components:

	Entergy			Entergy Gulf States Louisiana		Entergy Louisiana	
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012 (In Tho	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
Cash flow hedges net unrealized gain	\$214,842	\$177,497	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	(476,229)	(499,556)	(58,075)	(69,610)	(38,247)	(39,507)	
Net unrealized investment gains	183,020	150,939	-	-	-	-	
Foreign currency translation	2,770	2,668	-	-	-	-	
Total	(\$75,597)	(\$168,452)	(\$58,075)	(\$69,610)	(\$38,247)	(\$39,507)	

Other comprehensive income (loss) and total comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented in Entergy's, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's, and Entergy Louisiana's Statements of Comprehensive Income.

NOTE 4. REVOLVING CREDIT FACILITIES, LINES OF CREDIT, SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS, AND LONG-TERM DEBT (Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy)

Entergy Corporation has in place a credit facility that has a borrowing capacity of \$3.5 billion and expires in March 2017. Entergy Corporation also has the ability to issue letters of credit against 50% of the total borrowing capacity of the credit facility. The commitment fee is currently 0.275% of the commitment amount. Commitment fees and interest rates on loans under the credit facility can fluctuate depending on the senior unsecured debt ratings of Entergy Corporation. The weighted average interest rate for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was 2.1% on the drawn portion of the facility. Following is a summary of the borrowings outstanding and capacity available under the facility as of June 30, 2012.

Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Financial Statements

Capacity Borrowings (In M		Borrowings	Letters of Credit	Capacity Available	
		lions)			
	\$3,500	\$1,470	\$8	\$2,022	

Entergy Corporation's facility requires it to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Entergy is in compliance with this covenant. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy Corporation or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of the facility maturity date may occur.

Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy Texas each had credit facilities available as of June 30, 2012 as follows:

	Faciantian	Amount of	Internet	Amount Drawn as of
Company	Expiration Date	Facility	Interest Rate (a)	June 30, 2012
Entergy Arkansas	April 2013	\$20 million (b)	1.96%	-
Entergy Arkansas	March 2017	\$150 million (c)	1.75%	-
Entergy Gulf States Louisiana	March 2017	\$150 million (d)	1.75%	-
Entergy Louisiana	March 2017	\$200 million (e)	1.75%	-
Entergy Mississippi	May 2013	\$35 million (f)	2.00%	-
Entergy Mississippi	May 2013	\$25 million (f)	2.00%	-
Entergy Mississippi	May 2013	\$10 million (f)	2.00%	-
Entergy Texas	March 2017	\$150 million (g)	2.00%	-

- (a) The interest rate is the rate as of June 30, 2012 that would be applied to outstanding borrowings under the facility.
- (b) The credit facility requires Entergy Arkansas to maintain a debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Borrowings under the Entergy Arkansas credit facility may be secured by a security interest in its accounts receivable.
- (c) The credit facility allows Entergy Arkansas to issue letters of credit against 50% of the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2012, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Arkansas to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total

- capitalization.
- (d) The credit facility allows Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to issue letters of credit against 50% of the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of June 30, 2012, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization.