

UDR, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
October 29, 2013  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20549  
FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR  
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number

1-10524 (UDR, Inc.)

333-156002-01 (United Dominion Realty, L.P.)

UDR, Inc.

United Dominion Realty, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (UDR, Inc.)

54-0857512

Delaware (United Dominion Realty, L.P.)

54-1776887

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation of organization)

Identification No.)

1745 Shea Center Drive, Suite 200, Highlands Ranch, Colorado 80129

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

(720) 283-6120

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UDR, Inc. Yes x No o

United Dominion Realty, L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

UDR, Inc. Yes x No o

United Dominion Realty, L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

UDR, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

United Dominion Realty, L.P.:

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer x Smaller reporting company o  
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

UDR, Inc.

Yes  No

United Dominion Realty, L.P.

Yes  No

The number of shares of UDR, Inc.'s common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of October 25, 2013 was 250,739,165.

---

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

INDEX

PAGE

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

UDR, INC.:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012 (audited) 4

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 5

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 6

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (unaudited) 7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 8

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) 9

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012 (audited) 38

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 39

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 40

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Capital for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (unaudited) 41

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 42

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) 43

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 64

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 90

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 90

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>91</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>106</u>
Exhibit 12.1	
Exhibit 12.2	
Exhibit 31.1	
Exhibit 31.2	
Exhibit 31.3	
Exhibit 31.4	
Exhibit 32.1	
Exhibit 32.2	
Exhibit 32.3	
Exhibit 32.4	

---

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 of UDR, Inc. a Maryland corporation, and United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, of which UDR is the parent company and sole general partner. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Report to “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company,” “UDR” or “UDR, Inc.” refer collectively to UDR, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries and joint ventures, including the Operating Partnership. Unless the context otherwise requires, the references in this Report to the “Operating Partnership” or the “OP” refer to United Dominion Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. “Common stock” refers to the common stock of UDR and “stockholders” means the holders of shares of UDR’s common stock and preferred stock. The limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership are referred to as the “OP Units” and the holders of the OP Units are referred to as “unitholders”. This combined Form 10-Q is being filed separately by UDR and the Operating Partnership.

There are a number of differences between our Company and our Operating Partnership, which are reflected in our disclosure in this report. UDR is a real estate investment trust (a “REIT”), whose most significant asset is its ownership interest in the Operating Partnership. UDR also conducts business through other subsidiaries, including its taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”), whose activities include development of land and land entitlement. UDR acts as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, holds interests in subsidiaries and joint ventures, owns and operates properties, issues securities from time to time and guarantees debt of certain of our subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of a substantial portion of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity securities. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed certain outstanding debt of UDR. As of September 30, 2013, UDR owned 110,883 units (100%) of the general partnership interests of the Operating Partnership and 174,846,997 units (or approximately 94.9%) of the limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership. UDR conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets through the Operating Partnership, and, by virtue of its ownership of the OP Units and being the Operating Partnership’s sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Separate financial statements and accompanying notes, as well as separate discussions under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” are provided for each of UDR and the Operating Partnership.

---

UDR, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2013 (unaudited)	December 31, 2012 (audited)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate owned:		
Real estate held for investment	\$7,626,586	\$7,564,780
Less: accumulated depreciation	(2,153,141 )	(1,923,429 )
Real estate held for investment, net	5,473,445	5,641,351
Real estate under development (net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,524 and \$1,253)	486,948	489,795
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation	5,960,393	6,131,146
Cash and cash equivalents	11,149	12,115
Restricted cash	23,022	23,561
Deferred financing costs, net	27,269	24,990
Notes receivable, net	66,707	64,006
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net	531,712	477,631
Other assets	135,814	125,654
Total assets	\$6,756,066	\$6,859,103
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Liabilities:		
Secured debt	\$1,381,794	\$1,430,135
Unsecured debt	2,081,378	1,979,198
Real estate taxes payable	29,052	14,076
Accrued interest payable	26,702	30,937
Security deposits and prepaid rent	26,757	25,025
Distributions payable	61,907	57,915
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	98,607	104,567
Total liabilities	3,706,197	3,641,853
Commitments and contingencies (Note 12)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	220,964	223,418
Equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized 2,803,812 shares of 8.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible issued and outstanding (2,803,812 shares at December 31, 2012)	46,571	46,571
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 350,000,000 shares authorized 250,743,021 shares issued and outstanding (250,139,408 shares at December 31, 2012)	2,507	2,501
Additional paid-in capital	4,106,751	4,098,882
Distributions in excess of net income	(1,321,202 )	(1,143,781 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(6,608 )	(11,257 )
Total stockholders' equity	2,828,019	2,992,916
Noncontrolling interests	886	916
Total equity	2,828,905	2,993,832

Total liabilities and equity	\$6,756,066	\$6,859,103
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

UDR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
<b>REVENUES:</b>				
Rental income	\$ 190,379	\$ 181,766	\$ 563,293	\$ 531,483
Joint venture management and other fees	3,207	3,320	9,347	9,026
Total revenues	193,586	185,086	572,640	540,509
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>				
Property operating and maintenance	37,388	36,839	109,607	106,835
Real estate taxes and insurance	24,348	22,633	71,074	65,079
Property management	5,236	4,998	15,491	14,615
Other operating expenses	1,787	1,467	5,237	4,284
Real estate depreciation and amortization	84,266	88,223	252,839	260,604
General and administrative	11,364	10,022	30,706	33,139
Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net	(6,460 )	—	(12,253 )	—
Other depreciation and amortization	1,176	1,078	3,460	3,013
Total operating expenses	159,105	165,260	476,161	487,569
Operating income	34,481	19,826	96,479	52,940
Income/(loss) from unconsolidated entities	(3,794 )	(719 )	(6,081 )	(5,822 )
Interest expense	(30,939 )	(31,845 )	(92,723 )	(108,132 )
Interest and other income/(expense), net	829	1,235	3,291	2,435
Income/(loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	577	(11,503 )	966	(58,579 )
Tax benefit, net	2,658	2,960	7,314	28,654
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	3,235	(8,543 )	8,280	(29,925 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	(1,133 )	—	263,183
Net income/(loss)	3,235	(9,676 )	8,280	233,258
Net (income)/loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(84 )	687	(198 )	(8,644 )
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	37	(42 )	30	(137 )
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	3,188	(9,031 )	8,112	224,477
Distributions to preferred stockholders — Series E (Convertible)	(931 )	(931 )	(2,793 )	(2,793 )
Distributions to preferred stockholders — Series G	—	—	—	(2,286 )
Premium on preferred stock redemptions, net	—	—	—	(2,791 )
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 2,257	\$ (9,962 )	\$ 5,319	\$ 216,607
Income/(loss) per weighted average common share — basic:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.04 )	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.16 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ —	\$ (0.00 )	\$ —	\$ 1.08
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.04 )	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.92



Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income/(loss) per weighted average common share — diluted:

Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04 )	\$0.02	\$(0.16 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$—	\$(0.00 )	\$—	\$1.08
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04 )	\$0.02	\$0.92
Common distributions declared per share	\$0.235	\$0.220	\$0.705	\$0.660
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — basic	249,985	249,825	249,962	235,173
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — diluted	251,454	249,825	251,439	235,173

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

---

UDR, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income/(loss)	\$3,235	\$(9,676 )	\$8,280	\$233,258
Other comprehensive income/(loss), including portion attributable to noncontrolling interests:				
Other comprehensive income/(loss) - derivative instruments:				
Unrealized holding gain/(loss)	(386 )	(1,355 )	(334 )	(4,847 )
(Gain)/loss reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income	1,635	1,925	5,180	5,677
Other comprehensive income/(loss), including portion attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,249	570	4,846	830
Comprehensive income/(loss)	4,484	(9,106 )	13,126	234,088
Comprehensive (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(92 )	606	(364 )	(8,782 )
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$4,392	\$(8,500 )	\$12,762	\$225,306

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UDR, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid-in Capital	Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss), net	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
Balance at December 31, 2012	2,803,812	\$46,571	250,139,408	\$2,501	\$4,098,882	\$(1,143,781)	\$(11,257)	\$916	\$2,993,832
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	—	—	—	—	—	8,112	—	—	8,112
Net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(30 )	(30 )
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	—	—	—	—	—	—	4,649	—	4,649
Issuance of common and restricted shares, net	—	—	531,772	5	6,159	—	—	—	6,164
Adjustment for conversion of noncontrolling interest of unitholders in the Operating Partnership	—	—	71,841	1	1,710	—	—	—	1,711
Common stock distributions declared (\$0.705 per share)	—	—	—	—	—	(176,795 )	—	—	(176,795 )
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series E (\$0.9966 per share)	—	—	—	—	—	(2,793 )	—	—	(2,793 )
Adjustment to reflect redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(5,945 )	—	—	(5,945 )
	2,803,812	\$46,571	250,743,021	\$2,507	\$4,106,751	\$(1,321,202)	\$(6,608 )	\$886	\$2,828,905

Balance at  
September 30,  
2013

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

---

UDR, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands, except for share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income/(loss)	\$8,280	\$233,258
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	256,299	269,957
Net gain on the sale of depreciable property, net of tax	—	(251,398 )
Tax benefit, net	(7,314 )	(28,654 )
Loss from unconsolidated entities	6,081	5,822
Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net	(618 )	—
Other	19,641	18,290
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase)/decrease in operating assets	(12,543 )	17,371
Increase/(decrease) in operating liabilities	(8,529 )	(14,957 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	261,297	249,689
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Acquisition of real estate assets (net of liabilities assumed) and initial capital expenditures	—	(16,839 )
Development of real estate assets	(229,650 )	(170,182 )
Capital expenditures and other major improvements — real estate assets, net of escrow reimbursement	(112,822 )	(107,643 )
Capital expenditures — non-real estate assets	(6,174 )	(3,784 )
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(24,626 )	(321,164 )
Distributions received from unconsolidated joint ventures	106,618	28,787
Issuance of notes receivable	(2,680 )	(63,998 )
Proceeds from sales of real estate investments, net	140,834	593,367
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	(128,500 )	(61,456 )
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Payments on secured debt	(44,525 )	(487,133 )
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt	—	3,851
Payments on unsecured debt	(122,500 )	(100,000 )
Proceeds from the issuance of unsecured debt	299,943	396,400
Net proceeds/(repayment) of revolving bank debt	(76,000 )	(421,000 )
Proceeds from the issuance of common shares through public offering, net	—	756,236
Payments for the repurchase of Series G preferred stock, net	—	(81,609 )
Distributions paid to redeemable noncontrolling interests	(6,987 )	(6,768 )
Distributions paid to preferred stockholders	(2,794 )	(6,023 )
Distributions paid to common stockholders	(172,897 )	(152,438 )
Other	(8,003 )	(19,875 )
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	(133,763 )	(118,359 )
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(966 )	69,874

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	12,115	12,503
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$11,149	\$82,377

Nine Months Ended  
September 30,  
2013                      2012

Supplemental Information:

Interest paid during the period, net of amounts capitalized	\$99,266	\$103,173
---	----------	-----------

Non-cash transactions:

Secured debt assumed in the acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange	\$—	\$34,412
--	-----	----------

Fair market value adjustment of secured debt assumed in acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange	\$—	\$2,617
--	-----	---------

Conversion of operating partnership redeemable noncontrolling interests to common stock (71,841 shares in 2013 and 18,440 shares in 2012)	\$1,711	\$479
---	---------	-------

Transfer of real estate owned to investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures	\$139,950	—
--	-----------	---

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

### Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

UDR, Inc., collectively with our consolidated subsidiaries (“UDR”, the “Company”, “we”, “our”, or “us”) is a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of UDR and its subsidiaries, including United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership” or the “OP”). As of September 30, 2013, there were 184,281,253 units in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 174,957,880 units or 94.9% were owned by UDR and 9,323,373 units or 5.1% were owned by limited partners. The consolidated financial statements of UDR include the noncontrolling interests of the unitholders in the Operating Partnership.

The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared according to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted according to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and eliminations necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position as of September 30, 2013, and results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 have been included. Such adjustments are normal and recurring in nature. The interim results presented are not necessarily indicative of results that can be expected for a full year. The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2012 appearing in UDR’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2013.

The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued. No recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2011-10, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The objective of this update is to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity’s financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity’s recognized assets and recognized liabilities within the scope of this update. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either 1) offset on the balance sheet in accordance with the “Offsetting Guidance” in ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45 (collectively, the offsetting guidance) or 2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, regardless of whether they are offset in accordance with the “Offsetting Guidance”. The amendments, which were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2013, impact the Company’s disclosures related to its derivative

activities. (See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activity.) The new guidance did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) to require preparers to report, in one place, information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. For significant items reclassified out of AOCI to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period, reporting (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes thereto) is required about the effect of the reclassifications on the



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

respective line items in the statement where net income is presented. For items that are not reclassified to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period, a cross reference to other existing disclosures is required in the notes. The amendments, which were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2013, did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the required disclosures in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss) or in the notes thereto for each of the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

**Revenue and Real Estate Sales Gain Recognition**

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with GAAP. Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Company recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, and the amounts are fixed and determinable.

The Company accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with GAAP. For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Company no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting. Unless certain limited criteria are met, non-monetary transactions, including property exchanges, are accounted for at fair value.

Sales to entities in which we retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and defer the gain on the interest we retain. The Company recognizes any deferred gain when the property is sold to a third party. In transactions accounted for by us as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

**Notes Receivable**

The following table summarizes our notes receivable as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Balance outstanding		Interest rate	
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Note due October 2014 - related party	\$24,481	\$24,481	2.93	%
Note due February 2017	14,580	13,200	10.00	%
Note due June 2022 (net of discount of \$254 and \$275)	26,246	26,225	7.00	%
Note due July 2017	1,400	100	8.00	%
Total notes receivable, net	\$66,707	\$64,006		

The Company has a \$24.5 million unsecured note receivable with one of its unconsolidated joint ventures, which bears an interest rate of one month LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum. Interest payments are due monthly. The note is due October 2014, and may be extended for one year.

The Company has a secured note receivable with an unaffiliated third party with an aggregate commitment of \$14.6 million, which bears an interest rate of 10.00% per annum. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company loaned an additional \$1.4 million under the note. Interest payments are due monthly. The note matures at the earliest of the following: (a) the closing of any private or public capital raising in the amount of \$5.0 million or greater; (b) an acquisition; (c) acceleration in the event of default; or (d) the fifth anniversary of the date of the note

(February 2017).

10

---

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

In 2012, the Company purchased mezzanine debt securing a mortgage on a class A community in West Los Angeles. The \$26.5 million loan was purchased at a yield of 7.25% and bears a coupon rate of 7.00%. Interest payments are due monthly and the note is due June 2022. The discount is amortized using the effective interest method.

The Company has a secured note receivable with an unaffiliated third party with an aggregate commitment of \$2.5 million, which bears an interest rate of 8.00% per annum. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company loaned an additional \$1.3 million under the note. Interest payments are due monthly. The note matures at the earliest of the following: (a) the closing of any private or public capital raising in the amount of \$5.0 million or greater; (b) an acquisition; (c) acceleration in the event of default; or (d) the fifth anniversary of the date of the note (July 2017).

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized \$1.1 million and \$3.1 million and \$931,000 and \$1.7 million of interest income, net of accretion, from these notes receivable, of which \$184,000 and \$547,000 and \$95,000 and \$95,000 was related party interest income, respectively. Interest income is included in "Interest and other income/(expense), net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

**Comprehensive Income/(Loss)**

Comprehensive income/(loss), which is defined as the change in equity during each period from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources, including all changes in equity during a period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to stockholders, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company's other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the gain/(loss) (effective portion) on derivative instruments that are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges, (gain)/loss reclassified from other comprehensive income/(loss) into earnings, and the allocation of other comprehensive income/(loss) to redeemable noncontrolling interests. The (gain)/loss reclassified from other comprehensive income/(loss) is included in interest expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activity for further discussion. The allocation of other comprehensive income/(loss) to redeemable noncontrolling interests during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$45,000 and \$196,000 and \$39,000 and \$1,000, respectively.

**Income Taxes**

Due to the structure of the Company as a REIT and the nature of the operations for the operating properties, no provision for federal income taxes has been provided for at UDR. Historically, the Company has generally incurred only state and local excise and franchise taxes. UDR has elected for certain consolidated subsidiaries to be treated as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries ("TRS"), primarily those engaged in development activities.

Income taxes for our TRS, RE<sup>3</sup>, are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date. The Company's deferred tax assets are generally the result of differing depreciable lives on capitalized assets and the timing of expense recognition for certain accrued liabilities. As of September 30, 2013, UDR recorded a net income tax receivable of \$695,000 and a deferred tax asset of \$31.7 million (net of a valuation allowance of \$1.4 million), which are classified in "Other assets" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Prior to 2012, RE<sup>3</sup> had a history of losses and, as a result, historically recognized a valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets. Each quarter, the Company evaluates the need to retain all or a portion of the valuation allowance

on its net deferred tax assets. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company determined that it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets, including any remaining net operating loss carry forward, would be realized. In making this determination, the Company analyzed, among other things, its recent history of earnings from sales of depreciable property, forecasts of future earnings and its cumulative earnings for the last twelve quarters. The reversal of the valuation allowance of \$22.9 million in the first quarter of 2012 and the income tax benefit of \$5.8 million during nine months ended September 30, 2012 resulted in an income tax benefit of \$28.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, which is reflected in continuing operations, and classified as "Tax benefit, net" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

GAAP defines a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. GAAP also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting for interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company recognizes its tax positions and evaluates them using a two-step process. First, UDR determines whether a tax position is more likely than not (greater than 50 percent probability) to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company will then determine the amount of benefit to recognize and record the amount that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

UDR had no material unrecognized tax benefit, accrued interest or penalties at September 30, 2013. UDR and its subsidiaries are subject to federal income tax as well as income tax of various state jurisdictions. The tax years 2009 through 2012 remain open to examination by tax jurisdictions to which we are subject. When applicable, UDR recognizes interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense.

**3. REAL ESTATE OWNED**

Real estate assets owned by the Company consist of income producing operating properties, properties under development and land held for future development. As of September 30, 2013, the Company owned and consolidated 141 communities in 10 states plus the District of Columbia totaling 41,420 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Land	\$1,887,520	\$1,907,169
Depreciable property — held and used:		
Building and improvements	5,466,487	5,384,971
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	272,579	272,640
Under development:		
Land	112,091	151,154
Construction in progress	377,381	339,894
Real estate owned	8,116,058	8,055,828
Accumulated depreciation	(2,155,665)	(1,924,682)
Real estate owned, net	\$5,960,393	\$6,131,146

In June 2013, the Company sold a 50% interest in five partnerships (the "UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships") to MetLife for approximately \$141.3 million, before transaction costs of \$936,000. The properties held by the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships are located in Addison, Texas and consist of two operating communities with 739 apartment homes, one community under development with 391 apartment homes upon completion, and 28.4 acres of developable land parcels. The transaction resulted in a gain of approximately \$436,000 which the Company has deferred until completion of the development community (projected for the end of 2013). The UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships are accounted for under the equity method of accounting and are included in "Investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See further discussion of this transaction in Note 5, Joint Ventures and Partnerships.

In accordance with GAAP, the operations of the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships' assets, prior to the sale of a 50% interest, have been classified as a component of continuing operations on the Consolidated Statements of

Operations, as UDR will recognize significant direct cash flows from the partially disposed properties over the duration of the partnership.

All development projects and related carrying costs are capitalized and reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as “Real estate under development.” The costs of development projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development are capitalized during the construction period. These costs, excluding the direct costs of development and capitalized interest, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, were \$2.4 million and \$8.5 million and \$2.5 million and \$7.2 million,

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, total capitalized interest was \$6.6 million and \$23.2 million and \$7.6 million and \$17.6 million, respectively.

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting three of the Company's operating communities (1,706 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Company has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Company recognized a \$9.0 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$10.4 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$14.5 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were recognized. With the exception of one of the properties that is under redevelopment at September 30, 2013, the rehabilitation of the remaining two properties was substantially completed as of September 30, 2013.

During 2013, the Company settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$14.5 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. See Note 14, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges for additional information.

#### 4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations represent properties that UDR has either sold or which management believes meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale. In order to be classified as held for sale and reported as discontinued operations, a property's operations and cash flows have been or will be divested to a third party by the Company whereby UDR will not have any continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. The results of operations of the property are presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented and do not impact the net earnings reported by the Company. Once a property is deemed as held for sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Company determines that the property no longer meets the criteria of held for sale, the Company will recapture any unrecorded depreciation for the property. The assets and liabilities of properties classified as held for sale are presented separately on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at the lower of their carrying amount or their estimated fair value less the costs to sell the assets.

There were no sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 that met the criteria to be reported as discontinued operations. In addition, the Company had no communities at September 30, 2013 that met the criteria to be classified as held for sale. There were no sales during the three months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company sold 21 communities with 6,507 apartment homes, respectively.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, UDR recognized a net gain/(loss) on the sale of communities, before tax of \$0.0 and \$8.8 million, of \$(1.1) million and \$260.2 million, respectively, which were included in discontinued operations. The results of operations for sold properties are included in "Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following is a summary of income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Rental income	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$30,316
Rental expenses	—	—	—	10,566
Property management	—	—	—	834
Real estate depreciation	—	—	—	6,340
Interest and other (income)/expense, net	—	—	—	791
	—	—	—	18,531
Income/(loss) attributable to disposed properties	—	—	—	11,785
Net gain/(loss) on the sale of depreciable properties, net of tax	—	(1,133 )	—	251,398
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$—	\$(1,133 )	\$—	\$263,183
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$—	\$(1,053 )	\$—	\$253,126

## 5. JOINT VENTURES AND PARTNERSHIPS

UDR has entered into joint ventures and partnerships with unrelated third parties to acquire real estate assets that are either consolidated and included in real estate owned on the Consolidated Balance Sheets or are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, and are included in "Investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net" on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company consolidates an entity in which we own less than 100% but control the joint venture or partnership as well as any variable interest entity where we are the primary beneficiary. In addition, the Company consolidates any joint venture or partnership in which we are the general partner or managing member and the third party does not have the ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process nor the ability to remove us as general partner or managing member without cause.

UDR's joint ventures and partnerships are funded with a combination of debt and equity. Our losses are limited to our investment and except as noted below, the Company does not guarantee any debt, capital payout or other obligations associated with our joint ventures and partnerships.

## Unconsolidated Joint Ventures and Partnerships

The Company recognizes earnings or losses from our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships consisting of our proportionate share of the net earnings or losses of the joint ventures and partnerships. In addition, we may earn fees for providing management services to the unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships.



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following table summarizes the Company's investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships, net which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Joint Venture	Location of Properties	Number of Properties	Number of Apartment Homes	Investment at September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	UDR's Ownership Interest	
UDR/MetLife I (a)	Various	8 operating communities	1,641	\$49,550	\$75,129	13.2	%
		7 land parcels	N/A			4.0	%
UDR/MetLife II (a)	Various	15 operating communities	3,119	327,446	327,001	50.0	%
UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park® (b)	Addison, TX	2 operating communities	739	79,478	—	50.0	%
		1 development community (*)	391				
		6 land parcels	N/A				
Lodge at Stoughton	Stoughton, MA	1 operating community	240	14,941	16,311	95.0	%
UDR/KFH	Washington D.C.	3 operating communities	660	26,998	29,663	30.0	%
Texas	Texas	8 operating communities	3,359	1,522	3,457	20.0	%
13th & Market	San Diego, CA	1 development community (*)	264	31,151	29,930	95.0	%
Domain College Park	College Park, MD	1 development community (*)	256	26,001	25,546	95.0	%
				557,087	507,037		
				(25,375	) (29,406	)	
				\$531,712	\$477,631		

The number of apartment homes for the communities under development presented in the table above is based on the projected number of total homes. The number of apartment homes completed as of September 30, 2013 for one (\*) development community at UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park®, 13th & Market, and Domain College Park was 239, 9, and 162, respectively.

(a) In June 2013 and within UDR/MetLife I, the Company exchanged with MetLife its approximately 10% ownership interest in four operating communities and paid MetLife an additional \$15.6 million in cash for an increased ownership interest of approximately 35% in two high-rise operating communities, bringing UDR's ownership interest in the two high-rise operating communities to 50% each. The two high-rise operating communities are located in Denver, Colorado and San Diego, California and were subsequently contributed to UDR/MetLife II. The four operating communities in which UDR exchanged its ownership interest are located in Washington, D.C.; San

Francisco, California; Dallas, Texas; and Charlotte, North Carolina. At 50% ownership, the Company's pro-rata share of the undepreciated book value of the UDR/MetLife II joint venture assets and outstanding debt was \$796.4 million and \$444.6 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013. UDR will continue to fee manage the four operating communities in which UDR exchanged its ownership interests.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

(b) In June 2013, the Company sold a 50% interest in five partnerships (the "UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships") to MetLife for approximately \$141.3 million. The transaction resulted in a gain of approximately \$436,000 which the Company has deferred until completion of the development community (projected for the end of 2013). Under the terms of the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships, the Company serves as the general partner with significant participating rights held by our partner, and earns fees for property management, asset management, and financing transactions. The UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Our initial investment was approximately \$80.2 million, which consisted of approximately \$140.0 million (50% of our net book value of the real estate at the time of the transaction) reduced by our share of the net proceeds received upon encumbering the assets of approximately \$58.7 million and other operating adjustments.

At closing, a total of \$118.3 million of secured debt was placed on the two operating communities and the community under development. The debt on the two operating communities carries an interest rate of 4.0% with a term of ten years and the non-recourse construction loan on the community under development carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 175 basis points with a term of two years and two one-year extension options. The Company has guaranteed the completion of the construction of the development. Proceeds from the construction loan will be used for completion of construction of the development. Upon completion, at its 50% ownership, the Company's pro-rata share of the undepreciated book value of the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships' real estate assets and outstanding debt will be approximately \$145.0 million and \$62.8 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had deferred fees and deferred profit from the sale of properties to joint ventures or partnerships of \$25.4 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, which will be recognized through earnings over the weighted average life of the related properties, upon the disposition of the properties to a third party, or upon completion of certain development obligations.

The Company recognized \$2.8 million and \$8.5 million and \$3.3 million and \$9.0 million of management fees during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for our management of the joint ventures and partnerships. The management fees are classified in "Joint venture management and other fees" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company may, in the future, make additional capital contributions to certain of our joint ventures and partnerships should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund acquisitions or operations.

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures or partnerships during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Combined summary financial information relating to all of the unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships operations (not just our proportionate share), is presented below for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues	\$62,694	\$67,822	\$186,381	\$205,572
Real estate depreciation and amortization	22,052	24,263	65,166	76,637
Net (income)/loss	4,816	(3,691)	32,295	(8,768)
UDR income/(loss) from unconsolidated entities	(3,794)	(719)	(6,081)	(5,822)

Combined summary balance sheets relating to all of the unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships (not just our proportionate share) are presented below as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Real estate, net	\$3,221,001	\$3,189,814
Total assets	3,295,430	3,266,518
Amount due to UDR	32,495	34,843
Third party debt	1,777,117	1,663,427
Total liabilities	1,852,178	1,747,855
Total equity	1,443,252	1,518,663
Equity held by noncontrolling interests	—	12,755
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net	531,712	477,631

**Consolidated Joint Ventures**

In January 2012, the Company formed a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to acquire 399 Fremont (land for future development) in San Francisco, California. At closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 92.5% in the joint venture. The Company's total investment was \$55.5 million, which consists of its initial investment of \$37.3 million and an option to acquire its partner's 7.5% ownership interest in the joint venture. In October 2012, the Company exercised the option and paid \$13.5 million, resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture at fair value. In January 2013, the Company subsequently acquired its partner's 7.5% ownership interest for \$4.7 million.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 6. SECURED AND UNSECURED DEBT

The following is a summary of our secured and unsecured debt at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Principal Outstanding		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Number of Communities Encumbered
<b>Secured Debt:</b>					
<b>Fixed Rate Debt</b>					
Mortgage notes payable (a)	\$448,191	\$455,533	5.43	% 2.8	8
Fannie Mae credit facilities (b)	627,494	631,078	4.99	% 5.3	22
Total fixed rate secured debt	1,075,685	1,086,611	5.17	% 4.2	30
<b>Variable Rate Debt</b>					
Mortgage notes payable	—	37,415	—	—	—
Tax-exempt secured notes payable (c)	94,700	94,700	0.87	% 9.4	2
Fannie Mae credit facilities (b)	211,409	211,409	1.61	% 6.8	7
Total variable rate secured debt	306,109	343,524	1.38	% 7.6	9
Total Secured Debt	1,381,794	1,430,135	4.33	% 5.0	39
<b>Unsecured Debt:</b>					
<b>Commercial Banks</b>					
Borrowings outstanding under an unsecured credit facility due December 2017 (d), (h)	—	76,000	—	% 4.2	
<b>Senior Unsecured Notes</b>					
3.70% Medium-Term Notes due October 2020 (net of discount of \$56) (g), (h)	299,944	—	3.70	% 7.0	
4.63% Medium-Term Notes due January 2022 (net of discount of \$2,972 and \$3,241) (h)	397,028	396,759	4.63	% 8.3	
1.44% Term Notes due June 2018 (e), (h)	35,000	35,000	1.44	% 4.7	
2.50% Term Notes due June 2018 (e), (h)	65,000	65,000	2.50	% 4.7	
6.05% Medium-Term Notes due June 2013	—	122,500	—	—	
5.13% Medium-Term Notes due January 2014	184,000	184,000	5.13	% 0.3	
5.50% Medium-Term Notes due April 2014 (net of discount of \$37 and \$89)	128,463	128,411	5.50	% 0.5	
5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2015 (net of discount of \$166 and \$262)	325,009	324,913	5.25	% 1.3	

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2016	83,260	83,260	5.25	% 2.3
2.73% Term Notes due June 2018 (f), (h)	250,000	250,000	2.73	% 4.7
8.50% Debentures due September 2024	15,644	15,644	8.50	% 11.0
4.25% Medium-Term Notes due June 2018 (net of discount of \$2,001 and \$2,322) (h)	297,999	297,678	4.25	% 4.7
Other	31	33	N/A	N/A
Total Unsecured Debt	2,081,378	1,979,198	4.35	% 4.5
Total Debt	\$3,463,172	\$3,409,333	4.34	% 4.7

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification of the above table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Company having effectively established a fixed interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt encumbers \$2.2 billion or 26.9% of UDR's total real estate owned based upon gross book value (\$5.9 billion or 73.1% of UDR's real estate owned based on gross book value is unencumbered) as of September 30, 2013.

(a) At September 30, 2013, fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from December 2014 through May 2019 and carry interest rates ranging from 3.43% to 5.94%.

The Company will from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$1.3 million and \$3.8 million and \$1.3 million and \$3.6 million of a reduction to interest expense based on amortization on the fair market adjustment of debt assumed in acquisition of properties, respectively. The unamortized fair market adjustment was a net premium of \$13.1 million and \$16.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(b) UDR has three secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$927.7 million at September 30, 2013. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for terms of seven to ten years (maturing at various dates from May 2017 through July 2023) and bear interest at floating and fixed rates. At September 30, 2013, we have \$627.5 million of the outstanding balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 4.99% and the remaining balance of \$211.4 million on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable interest rate of 1.61%.

On June 28, 2013, the Company refinanced \$186 million of a Fannie Mae credit facility that carried an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 284 basis points and was scheduled to mature in 2019. The new loans include a \$90 million, 7-year fixed-rate loan that carries an interest rate of 3.95% and a \$96 million, 10-year variable-rate loan that carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 190 basis points. Three of the Company's communities were released from the facility and added to the Company's unencumbered asset pool.

Further information related to these credit facilities is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Borrowings outstanding	\$838,903	\$842,487		
Weighted average borrowings during the period ended	840,011	903,817		
Maximum daily borrowings during the period ended	841,494	1,054,735		
Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	4.2	% 4.3		%
Weighted average interest rate at the end of the period	4.1	% 4.4		%

(c) The variable rate mortgage notes payable that secure tax-exempt housing bond issues mature on August 2019 and March 2032, respectively. Interest on these notes is payable in monthly installments. The variable rate mortgage notes have interest rates of 0.80% and 1.04%, respectively, as of September 30, 2013.

(d) The Company has a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility. In June 2013, the Company amended its unsecured revolving credit facility. The amendment extended the maturity date to December 2017, included a six month extension option, and contained an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.45 billion. Based on the Company's current credit rating, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 110 basis points and a facility fee of 20 basis points. In the third quarter of 2013, the Company paid off the

balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had no balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility.



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following is a summary of short-term bank borrowings under UDR's bank credit facility at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
Total revolving credit facility	\$900,000	\$900,000		
Borrowings outstanding at end of period (1)	—	76,000		
Weighted average daily borrowings during the period ended	206,920	167,038		
Maximum daily borrowings during the period ended	372,000	788,000		
Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	1.2	% 1.5	%	
Interest rate at end of the period	—	% 1.4	%	

(1) Excludes \$2.3 million and \$3.9 million of letters of credit at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

(e) In June 2013, the Company amended and re-priced its \$100 million unsecured term notes due in January 2016. The loan was re-priced from LIBOR plus 142.5 basis points to LIBOR plus 125 basis points, and the maturity date was extended to June 2018.

(f) In June 2013, the Company amended and re-priced its \$250 million unsecured term notes due in January 2016. The loan was re-priced from LIBOR plus 142.5 basis points to LIBOR plus 125 basis points, and the maturity date was extended to June 2018.

(g) On September 26, 2013, the Company issued \$300 million of 3.70% senior unsecured medium-term notes due October 2020. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in April 2014. The notes were priced at 99.981% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$56,000 at September 30, 2013. We used the net proceeds to repay the borrowings outstanding on our \$900 million unsecured credit facility and for general corporate purposes. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

(h) The Operating Partnership is a guarantor at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The aggregate maturities, including amortizing principal payments of secured debt, of total debt for the next five calendar years subsequent to September 30, 2013 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year	Total Fixed Secured Debt	Total Variable Secured Debt	Total Secured Debt	Total Unsecured Debt (a)	Total Debt
2013	\$3,362	\$—	\$3,362	\$—	\$3,362
2014	48,009	—	48,009	311,572	359,581
2015	197,204	—	197,204	324,382	521,586
2016	136,440	—	136,440	432,477	568,917
2017	178,372	65,000	243,372	—	243,372
Thereafter	512,298	241,109	753,407	1,012,947	1,766,354
Total	\$1,075,685	\$306,109	\$1,381,794	\$2,081,378	\$3,463,172

(a) With the exception of the 1.44% Term Notes due June 2018 and revolving credit facility which carry a variable interest rate, all unsecured debt carries fixed interest rates.

We were in compliance with the covenants of our debt instruments at September 30, 2013.



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 7. INCOME/(LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted income/(loss) per share for the periods presented (dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator for income/(loss) per share:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$3,235	\$(8,543)	\$8,280	\$(29,925)
(Income)/loss from continuing operations attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(84)	) 607	(198)	) 1,413
(Income)/loss from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	37	(42)	) 30	(137)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to UDR, Inc.	3,188	(7,978)	) 8,112	(28,649)
Distributions to preferred stockholders - Series E (Convertible)	(931)	) (931)	) (2,793)	) (2,793)
Distributions to preferred stockholders - Series G	—	—	—	(2,286)
Premium on preferred stock redemptions, net	—	—	—	(2,791)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$2,257	\$(8,909)	) \$5,319	\$(36,519)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$—	\$(1,133)	) \$—	\$263,183
(Income)/loss from discontinued operations attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	—	80	—	(10,057)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$—	\$(1,053)	) \$—	\$253,126
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$2,257	\$(9,962)	) \$5,319	\$216,607
Denominator for income/(loss) per share:				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	250,744	250,162	250,663	235,904
Non-vested restricted stock awards	(759)	) (337)	) (701)	) (731)
Denominator for basic income/(loss) per share	249,985	249,825	249,962	235,173
Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of:				
Stock options and unvested restricted stock	1,469	—	1,477	—
Denominator for diluted income/(loss) per share	251,454	249,825	251,439	235,173
Income/(loss) per weighted average common share-basic:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04)	) \$0.02	\$(0.16)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$—	\$(0.00)	) \$—	\$1.08
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04)	) \$0.02	\$0.92
Income/(loss) per weighted average common share-diluted:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04)	) \$0.02	\$(0.16)

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$—	\$(0.00	) \$—	\$1.08
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$0.01	\$(0.04	) \$0.02	\$0.92

21

---

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Basic income/(loss) per common share is computed based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted income/(loss) per share is computed based upon the common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of the OP Units, convertible preferred stock, stock options, and restricted stock. Only those instruments having a dilutive impact on our basic income/(loss) per share are included in diluted income/(loss) per share during the periods.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the effect of the conversion of the OP Units, convertible preferred stock, stock options and restricted stock is not dilutive, and is therefore not included in the above calculations as the Company reported a loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders.

The following table sets forth the additional shares of Common Stock outstanding by equity instrument if converted to Common Stock for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (shares in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
OP Units	9,323	9,406	9,343	9,415
Preferred Stock	3,036	3,036	3,036	3,036
Stock options and unvested restricted stock	1,469	1,364	1,477	1,360

**8. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS****Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests in the Operating Partnership**

Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners are represented by OP Units. The income is allocated to holders of OP Units based upon net income attributable to common stockholders and the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding to total common shares plus OP Units outstanding during the period. Capital contributions, distributions, and profits and losses are allocated to noncontrolling interests in accordance with the terms of the individual partnership agreements.

Limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount as defined in the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership (the "Operating Partnership Agreement"), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of the Company for each OP Unit), as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement. Accordingly, the Company records the OP Units outside of permanent equity and reports the OP Units at their redemption value using the Company's stock price at each balance sheet date.

The following table sets forth redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following period (dollars in thousands):

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, December 31, 2012	\$223,418	
Mark to market adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	5,945	
Conversion of OP Units to Common Stock	(1,711	)
Net income/(loss) attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	198	
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(7,082	)
Allocation of other comprehensive income/(loss)	196	
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, September 30, 2013	\$220,964	



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following sets forth net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders and transfers from redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following periods (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$2,257	\$(9,962)	\$5,319	\$216,607
Conversion of OP Units to UDR Common Stock	—	333	1,711	479
Change in equity from net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders and conversion of OP Units to UDR Common Stock	\$2,257	\$(9,629)	\$7,030	\$217,086
Noncontrolling Interests				

Noncontrolling interests represent interests of unrelated partners in certain consolidated affiliates, and is presented as part of equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets since these interests are not redeemable. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests was \$37,000 and \$30,000 and \$(42,000) and \$(137,000), respectively.

**9. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

Description:	Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Position at September 30, 2013	Fair Value Estimate at September 30, 2013	Fair Value at September 30, 2013, Using Quoted Prices in		
			Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Notes receivable (a)	\$66,707	\$67,562	\$—	\$—	\$67,562
Total assets	\$66,707	\$67,562	\$—	\$—	\$67,562
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	\$6,398	\$6,398	\$—	\$6,398	\$—
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate:					
(c)					
Mortgage notes payable	448,191	468,211	—	—	468,211
Fannie Mae credit facilities	627,494	657,504	—	—	657,504
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (c)					
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	94,700	94,700	—	—	94,700
Fannie Mae credit facilities	211,409	211,409	—	—	211,409
Unsecured debt instruments: (c)					
Senior unsecured notes	2,081,378	2,157,302	—	—	2,157,302
Total liabilities	\$3,469,570	\$3,595,524	\$—	\$6,398	\$3,589,126
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership (d)	\$220,964	\$220,964	\$—	\$220,964	\$—



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Description:	Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Position at December 31, 2012	Fair Value Estimate at December 31, 2012	Fair Value at December 31, 2012, Using Quoted Prices in		
			Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Notes receivable (a)	\$64,006	\$64,930	\$—	\$—	\$64,930
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	2	2	—	2	—
Total assets	\$64,008	\$64,932	\$—	\$2	\$64,930
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	\$11,022	\$11,022	\$—	\$11,022	\$—
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate:					
(c)					
Mortgage notes payable	455,533	494,728	—	—	494,728
Fannie Mae credit facilities	631,078	689,295	—	—	689,295
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (c)					
Mortgage notes payable	37,415	37,415	—	—	37,415
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	94,700	94,700	—	—	94,700
Fannie Mae credit facilities	211,409	211,409	—	—	211,409
Unsecured debt instruments: (c)					
Commercial bank	76,000	76,000	—	—	76,000
Senior unsecured notes	1,903,198	2,039,736	—	—	2,039,736
Total liabilities	\$3,420,355	\$3,654,305	\$—	\$11,022	\$3,643,283
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership (d)	\$223,418	\$223,418	\$—	\$223,418	\$—

(a) See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies.

(b) See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activity.

(c) See Note 6, Secured and Unsecured Debt.

(d) See Note 8, Noncontrolling Interests.

There were no transfers into or out of each of the levels of the fair value hierarchy.

## Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise

above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves and volatilities. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In conjunction with the FASB's fair value measurement guidance, the Company made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio.

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership have a redemption feature and are marked to their redemption value. The redemption value is based on the fair value of the Company's common stock at the redemption date, and therefore, is calculated based on the fair value of the Company's common stock at the balance sheet date. Since the valuation is based on observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership are classified as Level 2.

**Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value**

At September 30, 2013, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

We estimate the fair value of our notes receivable and debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality, where applicable (Level 3).

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. Our estimates of fair value represent our best estimate based upon Level 3 inputs such as industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of our investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. Based on the significance of the unobservable inputs, we classify these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company did not incur any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

After determining an other-than-temporary decrease in the value of an equity method investment has occurred, we estimate the fair value of our investment by estimating the proceeds we would receive upon a hypothetical liquidation

of the investment at the date of measurement. Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the investment giving consideration to the terms of the joint venture agreement and the estimated discounted future cash flows to be generated from the underlying joint venture assets. The inputs and assumptions utilized to estimate the future cash flows of the underlying assets are based upon the Company's evaluation of the economy, market trends, operating results, and other factors, including judgments regarding costs to complete any construction activities, lease up and occupancy rates, rental rates, inflation rates, capitalization rates utilized to estimate the projected cash flows at the disposition, and discount rates.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 10. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

## Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

## Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in "Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net" and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded less than a \$1,000 loss from ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item, and the fair value of interest rate swaps that were not zero at inception of the hedging relationship.

Amounts reported in "Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. Through September 30, 2014, the Company estimates that an additional \$5.1 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (dollars in thousands):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	11	\$419,787
Interest rate caps	5	\$274,291

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of GAAP.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings and resulted in a gain/(loss) of \$280,000 and \$275,000 and \$(1,000) and \$290,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (dollars in thousands):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	2	\$155,197

27

---

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at:		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at:	
		September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate products	Other assets	\$—	\$2	Other liabilities	\$6,398	\$11,022
Total		\$—	\$2		\$6,398	\$11,022
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate products	Other assets	\$—	\$—	Other liabilities	\$—	\$—
Total		\$—	\$—		\$—	\$—

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	
	2013	2012		2013	2012		2013	2012
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships								
For the Three Months Ended September 30,								
Interest rate products	\$ (386 )	\$ (1,355 )	Interest expense	\$ (1,635 )	\$ (1,925 )	Interest expense	\$ —	\$ —
Total	\$ (386 )	\$ (1,355 )		\$ (1,635 )	\$ (1,925 )		\$ —	\$ —
For the Nine Months Ended September 30,								
Interest rate products	\$ (334 )	\$ (4,847 )	Interest expense	\$ (5,180 )	\$ (5,677 )	Interest expense	\$ —	\$ —
Total	\$ (334 )	\$ (4,847 )		\$ (5,180 )	\$ (5,677 )		\$ —	\$ —
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments								
For the Three Months Ended September 30,								
Interest rate products			Interest and other income, net	\$ 280			\$ (1 )	)
Total				\$ 280			\$ (1 )	)
For the Nine Months Ended September 30,								
Interest rate products			Interest and other income, net	\$ 275			\$ 290	
Total				\$ 275			\$ 290	





Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the Company could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company's default on the indebtedness.

Certain of the Company's agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the Company's financial condition that materially changes the Company's creditworthiness in an adverse manner, the Company may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, no cash collateral was posted or required to be posted by the Company or by a counterparty. The Company also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the Company's indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the Company being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

The Company has certain agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where, in the event of default by the Company or the counterparty, the right of setoff may be exercised. Any amount payable to one party by the other party may be reduced by its setoff against any amounts payable by the other party. Events that give rise to default by either party may include, but are not limited to, the failure to pay or deliver payment under the derivative contract, the failure to comply with or perform under the derivative agreement, bankruptcy, a merger without assumption of the derivative agreement, or in a merger, a surviving entity's creditworthiness is materially weaker than the original party to the derivative agreement.

As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$6.9 million. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at September 30, 2013, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$6.9 million.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The Company has elected not to offset derivative positions in the consolidated financial statements. The tables below present the effect on its financial position had the Company made the election to offset its derivative positions as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

## Offsetting of Derivative Assets

				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (a)	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received	Net Amount
September 30, 2013	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
December 31, 2012	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—	\$—	\$2

(a) Amounts reconcile to the aggregate fair value of derivative assets in the "Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet" located in this footnote.

## Offsetting of Derivative Liabilities

				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (b)	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Posted	Net Amount
September 30, 2013	\$6,398	\$—	\$6,398	\$—	\$—	\$6,398
December 31, 2012	\$11,022	\$—	\$11,022	\$—	\$—	\$11,022

(b) Amounts reconcile to the aggregate fair value of derivative liabilities in the "Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet" located in this footnote.

## 11. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$2.4 million and \$6.7 million and \$830,000 and \$7.9 million, respectively, as stock based compensation expense, which is inclusive of awards

granted to our independent directors.

31

---

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Commitments

## Real Estate Under Development

The following summarizes the Company's real estate commitments at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	Number of Properties	Costs Incurred to Date	Expected Costs to Complete (a)	Average Ownership Stake	
Wholly owned — under development	6	\$489,472	\$292,978	100	%
Wholly owned — redevelopment	2	117,727	17,573	100	%
Joint ventures:					
Unconsolidated joint ventures	3	212,629	1,778	76	%
		\$819,828	\$312,329		

(a) Represents UDR's remaining equity commitment of unconsolidated joint ventures.

## Contingencies

## Litigation and Legal Matters

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. The Company believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

## 13. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

GAAP guidance requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments' performance. UDR's chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of its executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments. UDR owns and operates multifamily apartment communities that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures for UDR's apartment communities are rental income and net operating income ("NOI"). Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as rental income less direct property rental expenses. Rental expenses include real estate taxes, insurance, personnel, utilities, repairs and maintenance, administrative and marketing. Excluded from NOI is property management expense which is calculated as 2.75% of property revenue to cover the regional supervision and accounting costs related to consolidated property operations, and land rent. UDR's chief operating decision maker utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss. UDR's two reportable segments are Same-Store Communities and Non-Mature Communities/Other:

Same-Store Communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 for quarter-to-date comparison and January 1, 2012 for year-to-date comparison and held as of September 30, 2013. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior period, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the communities are not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Non-Mature Communities/Other represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2011, 2012 or 2013, sold properties, redevelopment properties, consolidated joint venture properties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our apartment communities on a same-store community and non-mature community/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria under GAAP as each of our apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Company's reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief operating decision maker.

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of UDR's total revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following table details rental income and NOI from continuing and discontinued operations for UDR's reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and reconciles NOI to "Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc." per the Consolidated Statements of Operations (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Reportable apartment home segment rental income				
Same-Store Communities				
West Region	\$64,183	\$60,414	\$180,105	\$170,533
Mid-Atlantic Region	41,749	40,867	124,700	121,028
Northeast Region	14,777	13,824	43,440	40,417
Southeast Region	29,165	27,983	86,643	82,360
Southwest Region	13,293	12,492	30,280	28,359
Non-Mature Communities/Other	27,212	26,186	98,125	119,102
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$190,379	\$181,766	\$563,293	\$561,799
Reportable apartment home segment NOI				
Same-Store Communities				
West Region	\$45,549	\$41,765	\$127,599	\$118,548
Mid-Atlantic Region	28,666	28,251	86,477	83,879
Northeast Region	10,640	9,987	31,410	29,013
Southeast Region	18,607	17,718	56,124	53,076
Southwest Region	7,983	7,455	18,541	16,880
Non-Mature Communities/Other	17,198	17,118	62,461	77,923
Total segment and consolidated NOI	128,643	122,294	382,612	379,319
Reconciling items:				
Joint venture management and other fees	3,207	3,320	9,347	9,026
Property management	(5,236)	(4,998)	(15,491)	(15,449)
Other operating expenses	(1,787)	(1,467)	(5,237)	(4,284)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(84,266)	(88,223)	(252,839)	(266,944)
General and administrative	(11,364)	(10,022)	(30,706)	(33,139)
Hurricane-related recoveries/(charges), net	6,460	—	12,253	—
Other depreciation and amortization	(1,176)	(1,078)	(3,460)	(3,013)
Income/(loss) from unconsolidated entities	(3,794)	(719)	(6,081)	(5,822)
Interest expense	(30,939)	(31,845)	(92,723)	(108,132)
Interest and other income/(expense), net	829	1,235	3,291	1,644
Tax benefit, net	2,658	2,960	7,314	28,654
Net (income)/loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(84)	687	(198)	(8,644)
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	37	(42)	30	(137)
Net gain/(loss) on sale of depreciable property, net of tax	—	(1,133)	—	251,398
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$3,188	\$9,031	\$8,112	\$224,477



Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following table details the assets of UDR's reportable segments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Reportable apartment home segment assets:		
Same-Store Communities:		
West Region	\$2,496,900	\$2,422,987
Mid-Atlantic Region	1,428,109	1,419,873
Northeast Region	736,639	723,437
Southeast Region	885,847	887,482
Southwest Region	433,588	385,377
Non-Mature Communities/Other	2,134,975	2,216,672
Total segment assets	8,116,058	8,055,828
Accumulated depreciation	(2,155,665)	(1,924,682)
Total segment assets — net book value	5,960,393	6,131,146
Reconciling items:		
Cash and cash equivalents	11,149	12,115
Restricted cash	23,022	23,561
Deferred financing costs, net	27,269	24,990
Notes receivable, net	66,707	64,006
Investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net	531,712	477,631
Other assets	135,814	125,654
Total consolidated assets	\$6,756,066	\$6,859,103

Capital expenditures related to our same-store communities totaled \$15.5 million and \$37.4 million and \$14.6 million and \$40.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Capital expenditures related to our non-mature communities/other totaled \$552,000 and \$2.0 million and \$664,000 and \$4.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

- i. West Region — Orange County, San Francisco, Seattle, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, San Diego, Inland Empire, Sacramento, and Portland
- ii. Mid-Atlantic Region — Washington D.C., Richmond, Baltimore, Norfolk, and other Mid-Atlantic
- iii. Northeast Region — New York and Boston
- iv. Southeast Region — Tampa, Orlando, Nashville, and other Florida
- v. Southwest Region — Dallas and Austin

#### 14. HURRICANE-RELATED (RECOVERIES)/CHARGES

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting three of the Company's operating communities (1,706 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Company has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Company recognized a \$9.0 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$10.4 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$14.5 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were recognized. With the exception of one of the properties that is under redevelopment at September 30, 2013, the rehabilitation of the remaining two properties was substantially completed as of September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$14.5 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. As a result, the Company recognized a Hurricane-related recovery of approximately \$2.0 million and \$4.8 million and a casualty gain of approximately \$654,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Both the recovery and casualty gain were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Company recognized \$4.4 million of business interruption losses for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$3.6 million were related to rent concession rebates provided to residents during the period the properties were uninhabitable and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and \$767,000 were related to rent that was not contractually receivable and were classified as a reduction to "Rental income" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As noted below, the Company recovered from the insurance carrier approximately \$4.2 million of the \$4.4 million of 2012 business interruption losses. The Company estimates that it incurred an additional \$3.4 million of business interruption losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As noted below, the Company recovered from the insurance carrier approximately \$2.6 million of the \$3.4 million of 2013 business interruption losses.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received approximately \$3.8 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, of insurance proceeds for recovery of business interruption losses. Of the \$6.8 million of insurance proceeds received during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$4.2 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2012 and the remaining \$2.6 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2013. The \$6.8 million of recovery was classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as of September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

---

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except for unit data)

	September 30, 2013 (unaudited)	December 31, 2012 (audited)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Real estate owned:		
Real estate held for investment	\$4,165,089	\$4,095,528
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,231,375 )	(1,096,001 )
Real estate held for investment, net	2,933,714	2,999,527
Real estate under development (net of accumulated depreciation \$1,145 and \$1,132)	139,848	86,260
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation	3,073,562	3,085,787
Cash and cash equivalents	2,422	2,804
Restricted cash	13,488	12,926
Deferred financing costs, net	6,167	6,072
Other assets	26,857	28,665
Total assets	\$3,122,496	\$3,136,254
<b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL</b>		
Liabilities:		
Secured debt	\$937,023	\$967,239
Notes payable due to General Partner	88,696	88,696
Real estate taxes payable	13,881	5,783
Accrued interest payable	3,390	3,604
Security deposits and prepaid rent	14,378	13,360
Distributions payable	43,488	40,752
Deferred gains on the sale of depreciable property	63,838	63,838
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	42,849	34,226
Total liabilities	1,207,543	1,217,498
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Capital:		
Partners' capital:		
General partner: 110,883 OP Units outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	1,162	1,223
Limited partners: 184,170,370 OP Units outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	1,819,935	1,921,445
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(3,612 )	(5,369 )
Total partners' capital	1,817,485	1,917,299
Payable/(receivable) due to/(from) General Partner	84,804	(11,056 )
Noncontrolling interests	12,664	12,513
Total capital	1,914,953	1,918,756
Total liabilities and capital	\$3,122,496	\$3,136,254
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.		



UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In thousands, except per unit data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
<b>REVENUES:</b>				
Rental income	\$ 103,997	\$ 100,235	\$ 306,766	\$ 294,161
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>				
Property operating and maintenance	19,994	19,478	57,765	56,959
Real estate taxes and insurance	12,011	10,930	34,425	31,190
Property management	2,860	2,756	8,436	8,089
Other operating expenses	1,406	1,321	4,215	3,944
Real estate depreciation and amortization	44,855	51,012	135,555	148,217
General and administrative	6,855	4,635	18,324	19,581
Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net	(3,807)	—	(8,083)	—
Total operating expenses	84,174	90,132	250,637	267,980
Operating income	19,823	10,103	56,129	26,181
Interest expense	8,506	9,357	26,284	34,240
Interest expense on note payable due to General Partner	267	490	801	1,468
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	11,050	256	29,044	(9,527)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	—	(132)	—	54,162
Net income/(loss)	11,050	124	29,044	44,635
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39)	(39)	(151)	(304)
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 11,011	\$ 85	\$ 28,893	\$ 44,331
Income/(loss) per weighted average OP Unit- basic and diluted:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$0.06	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$(0.05)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$—	\$0.00	\$—	\$0.29
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$0.06	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.24
Weighted average OP Units outstanding - basic and diluted	184,281	184,281	184,281	184,281
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.				

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income/(loss)	\$11,050	\$124	\$29,044	\$44,635
Other comprehensive income/(loss), including portion attributable to noncontrolling interests:				
Other comprehensive income/(loss)- derivative instruments:				
Unrealized holding gain/(loss)	(201	) (503	) (284	) (1,873
(Gain)/loss reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income/(loss)	590	863	2,041	2,545
Other comprehensive income/(loss), including portion attributable to noncontrolling interests	389	360	1,757	672
Comprehensive income/(loss)	11,439	484	30,801	45,307
Comprehensive (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39	) (39	) (151	) (304
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$11,400	\$445	\$30,650	\$45,003

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Class A Limited Partners	Limited Partners	UDR, Inc. Limited Partner	General Partner	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) net	Total Partners' Capital	Payable/(Receivable) due to/(from) General Partner	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$41,656	\$181,762	\$1,698,027	\$1,223	\$(5,369)	\$1,917,299	\$(11,056)	\$12,513	\$1,918,756
Distributions OP Unit	(1,742 )	(5,340 )	(123,304 )	(78 )	—	(130,464 )	—	—	(130,464 )
Redemptions for common shares of UDR	—	(1,711 )	1,711	—	—	—	—	—	—
Adjustment to reflect limited partners' capital at redemption value	1,327	3,550	(4,877 )	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income/(loss)	275	1,187	27,414	17	—	28,893	—	151	29,044
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	—	—	—	—	1,757	1,757	—	—	1,757
Net change in amount due to/(from) General Partner	—	—	—	—	—	—	95,860	—	95,860
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$41,516	\$179,448	\$1,598,971	\$1,162	\$(3,612)	\$1,817,485	\$84,804	\$12,664	\$1,914,953

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.



UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands, except for unit data)  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income/(loss)	29,044	44,635
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	135,555	149,422
Net gain on the sale of depreciable property	—	(51,050 )
Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net	(618 )	—
Other	2,413	2,363
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase)/decrease in operating assets	(10,201 )	454
Increase/(decrease) in operating liabilities	8,495	8,584
Net cash provided by operating activities	164,688	154,408
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Development of real estate assets	(45,490 )	(11,531 )
Proceeds from sales of real estate investments, net	—	113,126
Capital expenditures and other major improvements — real estate assets, net of escrow reimbursement	(59,223 )	(67,188 )
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	(104,713 )	34,407
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Advances from/(to) General Partner, net	(11,199 )	41,273
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt	—	26,054
Payments on secured debt	(41,034 )	(248,160 )
Distributions paid to partnership unitholders	(6,987 )	(6,768 )
Payments of financing costs	(1,137 )	—
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	(60,357 )	(187,601 )
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(382 )	1,214
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,804	704
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$2,422	\$1,918
<b>Supplemental Information:</b>		
Interest paid during the period, net of amounts capitalized	\$31,933	\$37,552
Reallocation of credit facilities debt from General Partner	\$13,682	\$—
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (“UDR, L.P.”, the “Operating Partnership”, “we” or “our”) is a Delaware limited partnership, that owns, acquires, renovates, redevelops, manages, and disposes of multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier to entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier to entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. UDR, L.P. is a subsidiary of UDR, Inc. (“UDR” or the “General Partner”), a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, through which UDR conducts a significant portion of its business. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, rental revenues of the Operating Partnership represented 55% and 54% and 55% and 54%, respectively, of the General Partner’s consolidated rental revenues (including those classified within discontinued operations). At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership’s apartment portfolio consisted of 70 communities located in 18 markets consisting of 21,685 apartment homes.

Interests in UDR, L.P. are represented by operating partnership units (“OP Units”). The Operating Partnership’s net income is allocated to the partners, which is initially based on their respective distributions made during the year and secondly, their percentage interests. Distributions are made in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership Agreement”), on a per unit basis that is generally equal to the dividend per share on UDR’s common stock, which is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the ticker symbol “UDR”.

As of September 30, 2013, there were 184,281,253 OP Units outstanding, of which, 174,957,880 or 94.9% were owned by UDR and affiliated entities and 9,323,373 or 5.1% were owned by non-affiliated limited partners. See Note 9, Capital Structure.

The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared according to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted according to such rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and eliminations necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position as of September 30, 2013, and results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 have been included. Such adjustments are normal and recurring in nature. The interim results presented are not necessarily indicative of results that can be expected for a full year. The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by UDR and the Operating Partnership with the SEC on February 27, 2013.

The accompanying interim unaudited consolidated statements are presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

The Operating Partnership evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued. No recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2011-10, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The objective of this update is to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity’s financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity’s recognized assets and recognized liabilities within the scope of this update. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either 1) offset on the balance sheet in accordance with the “Offsetting Guidance” in ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45 (collectively, the offsetting guidance) or 2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, regardless of whether they are offset in accordance with the “Offsetting Guidance”. The amendments, which were adopted by the Operating Partnership on January 1, 2013, impact the Operating Partnership’s disclosures related to its derivative activities. (See Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activity.) The new guidance did not have any impact on the Operating Partnership’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) to require preparers to report, in one place, information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. For significant items reclassified out of AOCI to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period, reporting (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes thereto) is required about the effect of the reclassifications on the respective line items in the statement where net income is presented. For items that are not reclassified to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period, a cross reference to other existing disclosures is required in the notes. The amendments, which were adopted by the Operating Partnership on January 1, 2013, did not have any impact on the Operating Partnership’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the required disclosures in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss) or in the notes thereto for each of the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Revenue and Real Estate Sales Gain Recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with GAAP. Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Operating Partnership recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, fixed and determinable.

The Operating Partnership accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with GAAP. For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Operating Partnership no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting. Unless certain limited criteria are met, non-monetary transactions, including property exchanges, are accounted for at fair value.

Sales to entities in which we or our General Partner retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and will defer the gain on the interest we or our General Partner retain. The Operating Partnership will recognize any deferred gain when the property is then sold to a third party. In transactions accounted for by us as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in

either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

Comprehensive income/(loss), which is defined as the change in equity during each period from transactions and other events and circumstances from nonowner sources, including all changes in equity during a period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to stockholders, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income/(Loss). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Operating Partnership's other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the gain/(loss) (effective portion) on derivative instruments that are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges and (gain)/loss reclassified from other comprehensive income/(loss) into earnings. The (gain)/loss reclassified from other comprehensive income/(loss) is included in interest expense in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activity for further discussion.

Income taxes

The taxable income or loss of the Operating Partnership is reported on the tax returns of the partners. Accordingly, no provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for federal or state income taxes on income that is passed through to the partners. However, any state or local revenue, excise or franchise taxes that result from the operating activities of the Operating Partnership are recorded at the entity level. The Operating Partnership's tax returns are subject to examination by federal and state taxing authorities. Net income for financial reporting purposes differs from the net income for income tax reporting purposes primarily due to temporary differences, principally real estate depreciation and the tax deferral of certain gains on property sales. The differences in depreciation result from differences in the book and tax basis of certain real estate assets and the differences in the methods of depreciation and lives of the real estate assets.

The Operating Partnership evaluates the accounting and disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Operating Partnership's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. Management of the Operating Partnership is required to analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations, for all major jurisdictions, which include federal and certain states. The Operating Partnership has no examinations in progress and none are expected at this time.

Management of the General Partner has reviewed all open tax years (2009 through 2012) of tax jurisdictions and concluded there is no material tax liability resulting from unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in future tax returns.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 3. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Real estate assets owned by the Operating Partnership consists of income producing operating properties, properties held for sale, properties under development, and land held for future development. At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership owned and consolidated 70 communities in nine states plus the District of Columbia totaling 21,685 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Land	\$1,014,663	\$1,006,724
Depreciable property — held and used:		
Buildings and improvements	3,024,688	2,970,510
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	125,738	118,294
Under development:		
Land	25,833	25,833
Construction in progress	115,160	61,559
Real estate owned	4,306,082	4,182,920
Accumulated depreciation	(1,232,520 )	(1,097,133 )
Real estate owned, net	\$3,073,562	\$3,085,787

The Operating Partnership did not have any acquisitions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

All development projects and related carrying costs are capitalized and reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as "Real estate under development." The costs of development projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development site are capitalized during the construction period. These costs, excluding the direct costs of development and capitalized interest for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, were \$618,000 and \$2.0 million and \$564,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, total capitalized interest was \$1.7 million and \$4.4 million and \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting two of the Operating Partnership's operating communities (1,001 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Operating Partnership has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Operating Partnership recognized a \$7.1 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$7.0 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$10.8 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were recognized. The rehabilitation of these two properties was substantially completed as of September 30, 2013.

During 2013, the Company settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$10.8 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. See Note 13, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges for additional information.





Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations represent properties that the Operating Partnership has either sold or which management believes meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale. In order to be classified as held for sale and reported as discontinued operations, a property's operations and cash flows have or will be divested to a third party by the Operating Partnership whereby the Operating Partnership will not have any significant continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. The results of operations of the property are presented as discontinued operations for all periods presented and do not impact the net earnings reported by the Operating Partnership. Once a property is deemed as held for sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Operating Partnership determines that the property no longer meets the criteria of held for sale, the Operating Partnership will recapture any unrecorded depreciation for the property. The assets and liabilities of properties deemed as held for sale are presented separately on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Properties deemed as held for sale are reported at the lower of their carrying amount or their estimated fair value less the costs to sell the assets.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership did not dispose of any communities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership sold four communities with 1,314 apartment homes. At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had no communities that met the criteria to be classified as held for sale and included in discontinued operations.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership recognized a net gain/(loss) on the sale of communities of \$(132,000) and \$51.1 million, respectively, which were included in discontinued operations. The results of operations for these properties are classified in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the line item entitled "Income/(loss) from discontinued operations."

The following is a summary of income/(loss) from discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Rental income	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$6,750
Rental expenses	—	—	—	2,247
Property management	—	—	—	186
Real estate depreciation	—	—	—	1,205
	—	—	—	3,638
Income/(loss) attributable to disposed properties	—	—	—	3,112
Net gain/(loss) on the sale of depreciable property	—	(132 )	—	51,050
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	\$—	\$(132 )	\$—	\$54,162

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 5. DEBT

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification in the following table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Operating Partnership having effectively established the fixed interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt consists of the following as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Principal Outstanding		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Number of Communities Encumbered
<b>Fixed Rate Debt</b>					
Mortgage notes payable	\$388,878	\$394,999	5.43	% 2.8	5
Fannie Mae credit facilities	379,087	370,638	4.71	% 5.7	10
Total fixed rate secured debt	767,965	765,637	5.07	% 4.2	15
<b>Variable Rate Debt</b>					
Mortgage notes payable	—	37,415	—	—	—
Tax-exempt secured note payable	27,000	27,000	1.04	% 18.5	1
Fannie Mae credit facilities	142,058	137,187	1.91	% 8.0	5
Total variable rate secured debt	169,058	201,602	1.77	% 9.7	6
Total Secured Debt	\$937,023	\$967,239	4.48	% 5.2	21

As of September 30, 2013, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$927.7 million with \$838.9 million outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of seven to 10 years and bear interest at floating and fixed rates. At September 30, 2013, \$627.5 million of the outstanding balance was fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 4.99% and the remaining balance of \$211.4 million on these facilities had a weighted average variable interest rate of 1.61%. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the General Partner reallocated an additional \$13.7 million of the Fannie Mae credit facilities to the Operating Partnership. At September 30, 2013, there was a total of \$521.1 million of these credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. Following is information related to the credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
	(dollars in thousands)		
Borrowings outstanding	\$521,145	\$507,825	
Weighted average borrowings during the period ended	521,834	544,793	
Maximum daily borrowings during the period	522,755	635,762	
Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	4.2	% 4.3	%
Interest rate at the end of the period	4.1	% 4.4	%

The Operating Partnership may from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. The unamortized fair value adjustment of the fixed rate debt instruments on the Operating Partnership's properties was a net premium of \$10.9 million and \$13.8 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

**Fixed Rate Debt**

Mortgage notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from December 2015 through May 2019 and carry interest rates ranging from 3.43% to 5.94%.

Secured credit facilities. At September 30, 2013, the General Partner had borrowings against its fixed rate facilities of \$627.5 million of which \$379.1 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of September 30, 2013, the fixed rate Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.71%.

**Variable Rate Debt**

Tax-exempt secured note payable. The variable rate mortgage note payable that secures tax-exempt housing bond issues matures March 2032. Interest on this note is payable in monthly installments. The note had an interest rate of 1.04% as of September 30, 2013.

Secured credit facilities. At September 30, 2013, the General Partner had borrowings against its variable rate facilities of \$211.4 million of which \$142.1 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of September 30, 2013, the variable rate borrowings under the Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average floating interest rate of 1.91%.

The aggregate maturities of the Operating Partnership's secured debt due during each of the next five calendar years subsequent to September 30, 2013 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fixed Mortgage Notes	Credit Facilities	Variable Tax Exempt Notes Payable	Credit Facilities	Total
2013	\$2,109	\$84	\$—	\$—	\$2,193
2014	8,573	344	—	—	8,917
2015	192,923	364	—	—	193,287
2016	131,956	382	—	—	132,338
2017	1,640	15,684	—	6,566	23,890
Thereafter	51,677	362,229	27,000	135,492	576,398
Total	\$388,878	\$379,087	\$27,000	\$142,058	\$937,023

**Guarantor on Unsecured Debt**

The Operating Partnership is a guarantor on the General Partner's unsecured revolving credit facility with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$900 million, \$250 million of term notes due June 2018, \$100 million of term notes due June 2018, \$300 million of medium-term notes due June 2018, \$300 million of medium-term notes due October 2020, and \$400 million of medium-term notes due January 2022. As of September 30, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under the unsecured credit facility. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$76.0 million.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

6. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Payable/(Receivable) Due To/(From) the General Partner

The Operating Partnership participates in the General Partner's central cash management program, wherein all the Operating Partnership's cash receipts are remitted to the General Partner and all cash disbursements are funded by the General Partner. In addition, other miscellaneous costs such as administrative expenses are incurred by the General Partner on behalf of the Operating Partnership. As a result of these various transactions between the Operating Partnership and the General Partner, the Operating Partnership had a net payable balance of \$84.8 million and a net receivable balance of \$11.1 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which is reflected as an increase and a reduction of capital, respectively, on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Allocation of General and Administrative Expenses

The General Partner provides various general and administrative and other overhead services for the Operating Partnership including legal assistance, acquisitions analysis, marketing and advertising, and allocates these expenses to the Operating Partnership first on the basis of direct usage when identifiable, with the remainder allocated based on its pro-rata portion of UDR's total apartment homes. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the general and administrative expenses allocated to the Operating Partnership by UDR were \$6.5 million and \$17.4 million and \$4.5 million and \$19.1 million, respectively, and are included in "General and administrative" expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. In the opinion of management, this method of allocation reflects the level of services received by the Operating Partnership from the General Partner.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Operating Partnership incurred \$3.1 million and \$9.1 million and \$2.8 million and \$8.3 million, respectively, of related party management fees related to a management agreement entered into in 2011 with wholly owned subsidiaries of RE<sup>3</sup>. (See further discussion in paragraph below.) These related party management fees are initially recorded in "General and administrative" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and a portion related to management fees charged by the Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS") of the General Partner is reclassified to "Property management" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. (See further discussion below.)

Management Fee

In 2011, the Operating Partnership entered into a management agreement with wholly owned subsidiaries of RE<sup>3</sup>. Under the management agreement, the Operating Partnership is charged a management fee equal to 2.75% of gross rental revenues, which is classified in "Property management" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Guaranties by the General Partner

The Operating Partnership provided a "bottom dollar" guaranty to certain limited partners as part of their original contribution to the Operating Partnership. The guaranty protects the tax basis of the underlying contribution and is reflected on the OP unitholder's Schedule K-1 tax form. The guaranty was made in the form of a note payable issued by the Operating Partnership to the General Partner at an annual interest rate of 0.932% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Interest payments are made monthly and the note is due December 31, 2013. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, the note payable due to the General Partner was \$83.2 million.

In 2011, the Operating Partnership also provided a "bottom dollar" guaranty in conjunction with 1,802,239 OP Units issued in partial consideration to the seller for the acquisition of an operating community. The guaranty was made in the form of a note payable issued by the Operating Partnership to the General Partner at an annual interest rate of 5.337%. Interest payments are due monthly and the note matures on August 31, 2021. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, the note payable due to the General Partner was \$5.5 million.



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

## 7. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The estimated fair values of the Operating Partnership's financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

Description:	Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Position on September 30, 2013	Fair Value Estimate at September 30, 2013	Fair Value at September 30, 2013, Using		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (a)	\$3,181	\$3,181	\$—	\$3,181	\$—
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (b)					
Mortgage notes payable	388,878	406,823	—	—	406,823
Fannie Mae credit facilities	379,087	392,764	—	—	392,764
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (b)					
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	27,000	27,000	—	—	27,000
Fannie Mae credit facilities	142,058	142,058	—	—	142,058
Total liabilities	\$940,204	\$971,826	\$—	\$3,181	\$968,645

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Description:	Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Position on December 31, 2012	Fair Value Estimate at December 31, 2012	Fair Value at December 31, 2012, Using Quoted Prices in		
			Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (a)	\$2	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—
Total assets	\$2	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (a)	\$4,750	\$4,750	\$—	\$4,750	\$—
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (b)					
Mortgage notes payable	394,999	429,973	—	—	429,973
Fannie Mae credit facilities	370,638	399,389	—	—	399,389
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (b)					
Mortgage notes payable	37,415	37,415	—	—	37,415
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	27,000	27,000	—	—	27,000
Fannie Mae credit facilities	137,187	137,187	—	—	137,187
Total liabilities	\$971,989	\$1,035,714	\$—	\$4,750	\$1,030,964

(a) See Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activity.

(b) See Note 5, Debt.

**Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value**

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves and volatilities. The Operating Partnership incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Operating Partnership has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Operating Partnership has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Operating Partnership has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions



and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Operating Partnership has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In conjunction with the FASB's fair value measurement guidance, the Operating Partnership made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio.

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

At September 30, 2013, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Operating Partnership using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Operating Partnership would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The General Partner estimates the fair value of our debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality (Level 3).

The Operating Partnership records impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect management's best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. The General Partner's estimates of fair value represent management's estimates based upon Level 3 inputs such as industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

## 8. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

### Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Operating Partnership is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The General Partner principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The General Partner manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the General Partner enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The General Partner's and the Operating Partnership's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the General Partner's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the General Partner's investments and borrowings.

### Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The General Partner's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the General Partner primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the General Partner making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

A portion of the General Partner's interest rate derivatives have been allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the General Partner's underlying debt instruments allocated to the Operating Partnership. (See Note 5, Debt.)

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in "Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows

associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Operating Partnership recorded less than a \$1,000 loss of ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Amounts reported in “Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net” related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the General Partner’s variable-rate debt that is allocated to the Operating Partnership. During the next twelve months through September 30, 2014, we estimate that an additional \$2.3 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

As of September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (dollars in thousands):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	2	\$96,974
Interest rate caps	5	\$255,561

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company’s exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of GAAP.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings and resulted in losses of \$0 and \$5,000 and \$2,000 and \$9,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, we had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (dollars in thousands):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	1	\$83,289

## Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Operating Partnership’s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at:		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at:	
		September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate products	Other assets	\$—	\$2	Other liabilities	\$3,181	\$4,750
Total		\$—	\$2		\$3,181	\$4,750
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest rate products	Other assets	\$—	\$—	Other liabilities	\$—	\$—
Total		\$—	\$—		\$—	\$—



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations  
The tables below present the effect of the derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	
	2013	2012		2013	2012
For the Three Months Ended September 30,					
Interest rate products	\$ (201 )	\$ (503 )	Interest expense	\$ (590 )	\$ (863 )
Total	\$ (201 )	\$ (503 )		\$ (590 )	\$ (863 )
For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
Interest rate products	\$ (284 )	\$ (1,873 )	Interest expense	\$ (2,041 )	\$ (2,545 )
Total	\$ (284 )	\$ (1,873 )		\$ (2,041 )	\$ (2,545 )

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	
		2013	2012
For the Three Months Ended September 30,			
Interest rate products	Other operating expenses	\$—	\$ (2 )
Total		\$—	\$ (2 )
For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
Interest rate products	Other operating expenses	\$ (5 )	\$ (9 )
Total		\$ (5 )	\$ (9 )

## Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The General Partner has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the General Partner defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not

been accelerated by the lender, then the General Partner could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the General Partner could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the General Partner's default on the indebtedness.

Certain of the General Partner's agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the General Partner's financial condition that materially changes the General Partner's creditworthiness in an adverse

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

manner, the General Partner may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, no cash collateral was posted or required to be posted by the General Partner or by a counterparty.

The General Partner also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the General Partner's indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the General Partner being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

The General Partner has certain agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where in the event of default by the General Partner or the counterparty, the right of setoff may be exercised. Any amount payable to one party by the other party may be reduced by its setoff against any amounts payable by the other party. Events that give rise to default by either party may include, but are not limited to, the failure to pay or deliver payment under the derivative contract, the failure to comply with or perform under the derivative agreement, bankruptcy, a merger without assumption of the derivative agreement, or in a merger, a surviving entity's creditworthiness is materially weaker than the original party to the derivative agreement.

As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position that were allocated to the Operating Partnership, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$3.3 million. As of September 30, 2013, the General Partner has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the General Partner had breached any of these provisions at September 30, 2013, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$3.3 million.



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The General Partner has elected not to offset derivative positions in the consolidated financial statements. The table below presents the effect on the Operating Partnership's financial position had the General Partner made the election to offset its derivative positions as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

## Offsetting of Derivative Assets

				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (a)	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Received	Net Amount
September 30, 2013	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
December 31, 2012	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—	\$—	\$2

(a) Amounts reconcile to the aggregate fair value of derivative assets in the "Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet" located in this footnote.

## Offsetting of Derivative Liabilities

				Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets		
	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (b)	Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Posted	Net Amount
September 30, 2013	\$3,181	\$—	\$3,181	\$—	\$—	\$3,181
December 31, 2012	\$4,750	\$—	\$4,750	\$—	\$—	\$4,750

(b) Amounts reconcile to the aggregate fair value of derivative liabilities in the "Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet" located in this footnote.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

9. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General Partnership Units

The General Partner has complete discretion to manage and control the operations and business of the Operating Partnership, which includes but is not limited to the acquisition and disposition of real property, construction of buildings and making capital improvements, and the borrowing of funds from outside lenders or UDR and its subsidiaries to finance such activities. The General Partner can generally authorize, issue, sell, redeem or purchase any OP Unit or securities of the Operating Partnership without the approval of the limited partners. The General Partner can also approve, with regard to the issuances of OP Units, the class or one or more series of classes, with designations, preferences, participating, optional or other special rights, powers and duties including rights, powers and duties senior to limited partnership interests without approval of any limited partners except holders of Class A Partnership Units. There were 110,883 General Partnership units outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all of which were held by UDR.

Limited Partnership Units

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 184,170,370 limited partnership units outstanding, of which 1,873,332 were Class A Limited Partnership units. UDR owned 174,846,997 or 94.9% and 174,775,152 or 94.9% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which 121,661 were Class A Limited Partnership units. The remaining 9,323,373 or 5.1% and 9,395,218 or 5.1% limited partnership units, were held by non-affiliated partners at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership units.

Subject to the Operating Partnership Agreement, the limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount (as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of UDR for each OP Unit), as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement.

The non-affiliated limited partners' capital is adjusted to redemption value at the end of each reporting period with the corresponding offset against UDR's limited partner capital account based on the redemption rights noted above. The aggregate value upon redemption of the then-outstanding OP Units held by limited partners was \$221.0 million and \$223.4 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, based on the value of UDR's common stock at each period end. A limited partner has no right to receive any distributions from the Operating Partnership on or after the date of redemption of its OP Units.

Class A Limited Partnership Units

Class A Partnership units have a cumulative, annual, non-compounded preferred return, which is equal to 8% based on a value of \$16.61 per Class A Partnership unit.

Holders of the Class A Partnership Units exclusively possess certain voting rights. The Operating Partnership may not do the following without approval of the holders of the Class A Partnership Units: (i) increase the authorized or issued amount of Class A Partnership Units, (ii) reclassify any other partnership interest into Class A Partnership Units, (iii) create, authorize or issue any obligations or security convertible into or the right to purchase any Class Partnership units, (iv) enter into a merger or acquisition, or (v) amend or modify the Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership in a manner that adversely affects the relative rights, preferences or privileges of the Class A Partnership Units.

Allocation of profits and losses

Profit of the Operating Partnership is allocated in the following order: (i) to the General Partner and the Limited Partners in proportion to and up to the amount of cash distributions made during the year, and (ii) to the General

Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses and depreciation and amortization expenses, non-recourse liabilities are allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses allocated to the Limited Partners are capped to the extent that such an allocation would not cause a deficit in the Limited

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Partners' capital accounts. Such losses are, therefore, allocated to the General Partner. If any Partner's capital balance were to fall into a deficit, any income and gains are allocated to each Partner sufficient to eliminate its negative capital balance.

**10. INCOME/(LOSS) PER OPERATING PARTNERSHIP UNIT**

Basic income/(loss) per OP Unit is computed by dividing net income/(loss) attributable to general and limited partner units by the weighted average number of general and limited partner units (including redeemable OP Units) outstanding during the year. Diluted income/(loss) per OP Unit reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue OP Units were exercised or converted into OP Units or resulted in the issuance of OP Units that shared in the income/(loss) of the Operating Partnership. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no dilutive instruments outstanding, and therefore, diluted income/(loss) per OP Unit and basic income/(loss) per OP Unit are the same.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted income/(loss) per OP Unit for the periods presented (dollars in thousands, except per OP Unit data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator for income/(loss) per OP Unit — basic and diluted:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 11,050	\$ 256	\$ 29,044	\$(9,527 )
(Income)/loss from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39 )	(40 )	(151 )	(118 )
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 11,011	\$ 216	\$ 28,893	\$(9,645 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	\$—	\$(132 )	\$—	\$54,162
(Income)/loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	1	—	(186 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$—	\$(131 )	\$—	\$53,976
Net income/(loss)	\$ 11,050	\$ 124	\$ 29,044	\$ 44,635
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39 )	(39 )	(151 )	(304 )
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 11,011	\$ 85	\$ 28,893	\$ 44,331
Denominator for income/(loss) per OP Unit — basic and diluted:				
Weighted average OP Units outstanding — basic and diluted	184,281	184,281	184,281	184,281
Income/(loss) per weighted average OP Unit — basic and diluted:				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.16	\$(0.05 )
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$—	\$(0.00 )	\$—	\$ 0.29

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$0.06	\$0.00	\$0.16	\$0.24
--	--------	--------	--------	--------

59

---

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contingencies

Litigation and Legal Matters

The Operating Partnership is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. The Operating Partnership cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. The General Partner believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on the Operating Partnership's financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

12. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

GAAP guidance requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments' performance. The Operating Partnership has the same chief operating decision maker as that of its parent, the General Partner. The chief operating decision maker consists of several members of UDR's executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments.

The Operating Partnership owns and operates multifamily apartment communities throughout the United States that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures of the Operating Partnership's apartment communities are rental income and net operating income ("NOI"), and are included in the chief operating decision maker's assessment of UDR's performance on a consolidated basis. Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as total revenues less direct property operating expenses. Rental expenses include real estate taxes, insurance, personnel, utilities, repairs and maintenance, administrative and marketing. Excluded from NOI is property management expense which is calculated as 2.75% of property revenue to cover the regional supervision and accounting costs related to consolidated property operations, and land rent. The chief operating decision maker of the General Partner utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss.

The Operating Partnership's two reportable segments are Same-Store Communities and Non-Mature Communities/Other:

Same-Store Communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 for quarter-to-date comparison and January 1, 2012 for year-to-date comparison and held as of September 30, 2013. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior period, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the communities are not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Non-Mature Communities/Other represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2011, 2012 or 2013, sold properties, redevelopment properties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management of the General Partner evaluates the performance of each of the Operating Partnership's apartment communities on a same-store community and non-mature community/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria of Topic 280 as each of the apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief

operating decision maker.

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of the Operating Partnership's total revenues during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

60

---

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following table details rental income and NOI from continuing and discontinued operations for the Operating Partnership's reportable segments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and reconciles NOI to "Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders" per the Consolidated Statements of Operations (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Reportable apartment home segment rental income				
Same-Store Communities				
West Region	\$49,840	\$46,848	\$137,641	\$130,492
Mid-Atlantic Region	17,105	16,789	51,095	49,719
Northeast Region	9,303	8,861	27,414	25,649
Southeast Region	10,841	10,292	32,177	30,356
Southwest Region	6,508	6,117	15,751	14,799
Non-Mature Communities/Other	10,400	11,328	42,688	49,896
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$103,997	\$100,235	\$306,766	\$300,911
Reportable apartment home segment NOI				
Same-Store Communities				
West Region	\$35,691	\$32,522	\$98,275	\$91,318
Mid-Atlantic Region	11,483	11,569	34,689	34,151
Northeast Region	6,750	6,581	20,083	18,821
Southeast Region	6,957	6,616	20,898	19,785
Southwest Region	4,040	3,847	9,945	9,112
Non-Mature Communities/Other	7,071	8,692	30,686	37,328
Total segment and consolidated NOI	71,992	69,827	214,576	210,515
Reconciling items:				
Property management	(2,860	) (2,756	) (8,436	) (8,275
Other operating expenses	(1,406	) (1,321	) (4,215	) (3,944
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(44,855	) (51,012	) (135,555	) (149,422
General and administrative	(6,855	) (4,635	) (18,324	) (19,581
Hurricane-related recoveries/(charges), net	3,807	—	8,083	—
Interest expense	(8,773	) (9,847	) (27,085	) (35,708
Net gain/(loss) on the sale of depreciable real estate	—	(132	) —	51,050
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39	) (39	) (151	) (304
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$11,011	\$85	\$28,893	\$44,331





Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

The following table details the assets of the Operating Partnership's reportable segments as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Reportable apartment home segment assets		
Same-Store Communities		
West Region	\$1,838,689	\$1,827,648
Mid-Atlantic Region	705,107	701,741
Northeast Region	442,586	434,138
Southeast Region	326,639	322,882
Southwest Region	225,728	224,429
Non-Mature Communities/Other	767,333	672,082
Total segment assets	4,306,082	4,182,920
Accumulated depreciation	(1,232,520)	(1,097,133)
Total segment assets - net book value	3,073,562	3,085,787
Reconciling items:		
Cash and cash equivalents	2,422	2,804
Restricted cash	13,488	12,926
Deferred financing costs, net	6,167	6,072
Other assets	26,857	28,665
Total consolidated assets	\$3,122,496	\$3,136,254

Capital expenditures related to the Operating Partnership's same-store communities totaled \$7.2 million and \$19.6 million and \$8.7 million and \$23.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Capital expenditures related to the Operating Partnership's non-mature communities/other totaled \$124,000 and \$369,000 and \$307,000 and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

- i. West Region — Orange County, San Francisco, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, Seattle, Sacramento, Inland Empire, Portland, and San Diego
- ii. Mid-Atlantic Region — Metropolitan D.C. and Baltimore
- iii. Northeast Region — New York and Boston
- iv. Southeast Region — Nashville, Tampa, and other Florida
- v. Southwest Region — Dallas

### 13. HURRICANE-RELATED (RECOVERIES)/CHARGES

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting two of the Operating Partnership's operating communities (1,001 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Operating Partnership has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS- (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Operating Partnership recognized a \$7.1 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$7.0 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$10.8 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were recognized. The rehabilitation of these two properties was substantially completed as of September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$10.8 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. As a result, the Company recognized a Hurricane-related recovery of approximately \$1.0 million and \$3.3 million and a casualty gain of approximately \$582,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Both the recovery and casualty gain were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Operating Partnership recognized \$2.2 million of business interruption losses for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$1.8 million were related to rent concession rebates provided to residents during the period the properties were uninhabitable and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and \$400,000 were related to rent that was not contractually receivable and were classified as a reduction to "Rental income" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company estimates that it incurred an additional \$2.1 million of business interruption losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As noted, the Company settled the Hurricane Sandy claims as of September 30, 2013.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership received approximately \$2.2 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, of insurance proceeds for recovery of business interruption losses. Of the \$4.2 million of insurance proceeds received during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$2.1 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2012 and the remaining \$2.1 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2013. The \$4.2 million of recovery was classified as "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements concerning property acquisitions and dispositions, development activity and capital expenditures, capital raising activities, rent growth, occupancy, and rental expense growth. Words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “likely,” “will,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among other things, unfavorable changes in the apartment market, changing economic conditions, the impact of inflation/deflation on rental rates and property operating expenses, expectations concerning availability of capital and the stabilization of the capital markets, the impact of competition and competitive pricing, acquisitions, developments and redevelopments not achieving anticipated results, delays in completing developments, redevelopments and lease-ups on schedule, expectations on job growth, home affordability an demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing, expectations concerning development and redevelopment activities, expectations on occupancy levels, expectations concerning the joint ventures with third parties, expectations that automation will help grow net operating income, and expectations on annualized net operating income.

The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements:

- general economic conditions;
- unfavorable changes in apartment market and economic conditions that could adversely affect occupancy levels and rental rates;
- the failure of acquisitions to achieve anticipated results;
- possible difficulty in selling apartment communities;
- competitive factors that may limit our ability to lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents;
- insufficient cash flow that could affect our debt financing and create refinancing risk;
- failure to generate sufficient revenue, which could impair our debt service payments and distributions to stockholders;
- development and construction risks that may impact our profitability;
- potential damage from natural disasters, including hurricanes and other weather-related events, which could result in substantial costs to us;
- risks from extraordinary losses for which we may not have insurance or adequate reserves;
- uninsured losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insurance retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage;

- delays in completing developments and lease-ups on schedule;
- our failure to succeed in new markets;
- changing interest rates, which could increase interest costs and affect the market price of our securities;
- potential liability for environmental contamination, which could result in substantial costs to us;
- the imposition of federal taxes if we fail to qualify as a REIT under the Code in any taxable year;

Table of Contents

- our internal control over financial reporting may not be considered effective which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, and in turn have an adverse effect on our stock price; and

• changes in real estate laws, tax laws and other laws affecting our business.

A discussion of these and other factors affecting our business and prospects is set forth in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors. We encourage investors to review these risk factors.

Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore such statements included in this Report may not prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this Report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

UDR, INC.:

Business Overview

UDR, Inc. is a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, and manages apartment communities. We were formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation. In September 2003, we changed our state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland. Our subsidiaries include an operating partnership, United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Report to “we,” “us,” “our,” “the Company,” or “UDR” refer collectively to UDR, Inc., its subsidiaries and its consolidated joint ventures.

At September 30, 2013, our consolidated real estate portfolio included 141 communities in 10 states plus the District of Columbia totaling 41,420 apartment homes, and our total real estate portfolio, inclusive of our unconsolidated operating communities, included an additional 37 communities with 10,168 apartment homes. The same-store community apartment home population for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 37,995 and 36,704, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of September 30, 2013.

Same-Store Communities	Number of Apartment Communities	As of September 30, 2013			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
		Number of Apartment Homes	Percentage of Total Carrying Value	Total Carrying Value (in thousands)	Average Physical Occupancy	Monthly Income per Occupied Home (a)	Average Physical Occupancy	Monthly Income per Occupied Home (a)
<b>West Region</b>								
Orange County, CA	10	3,290	7.5 %	\$605,963	95.5 %	\$1,690	95.0 %	\$1,676
San Francisco, CA	11	2,436	8.1 %	659,573	96.6 %	2,654	96.4 %	2,643
Seattle, WA	11	2,165	5.8 %	474,677	96.7 %	1,519	96.3 %	1,492
Los Angeles, CA	5	919	3.6 %	295,667	94.9 %	2,126	95.3 %	2,101
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	2.0 %	158,788	96.8 %	1,173	93.7 %	1,155
Inland Empire, CA	2	654	1.3 %	101,745	95.3 %	1,451	94.7 %	1,446
Portland, OR	3	716	0.9 %	72,565	97.0 %	1,116	96.8 %	1,094
Sacramento, CA	2	914	0.9 %	70,598	95.6 %	930	93.0 %	917
San Diego, CA	2	366	0.7 %	57,324	94.7 %	1,549	95.0 %	1,517
<b>Mid-Atlantic Region</b>								
Metropolitan D.C.	13	4,313	10.9 %	884,199	96.7 %	1,825	96.9 %	1,810
Baltimore, MD	11	2,301	3.8 %	305,234	96.0 %	1,451	96.3 %	1,445
Richmond, VA	4	1,358	1.7 %	137,887	95.7 %	1,205	96.3 %	1,191
Norfolk, VA	6	1,438	1.1 %	88,421	94.0 %	1,013	93.9 %	1,013
Other Mid-Atlantic	1	168	0.2 %	12,367	95.2 %	1,031	96.3 %	1,011
<b>Northeast Region</b>								
New York, NY	2	700	5.1 %	417,429	97.7 %	3,609	97.0 %	3,561
Boston, MA	4	1,179	3.9 %	319,211	96.2 %	2,167	96.4 %	2,119
<b>Southeast Region</b>								
Tampa, FL	10	3,452	4.1 %	334,838	95.6 %	1,093	96.0 %	1,088
Orlando, FL	11	3,167	3.5 %	284,340	96.0 %	1,020	96.0 %	1,004
Nashville, TN	8	2,260	2.3 %	187,070	97.2 %	1,011	97.0 %	996
Other Florida	1	636	1.0 %	79,599	95.2 %	1,309	95.4 %	1,298
<b>Southwest Region</b>								
Dallas, TX	8	2,725	3.5 %	287,901	96.5 %	1,108	96.5 %	1,086
Austin, TX	4	1,273	1.8 %	145,687	96.9 %	1,231	96.7 %	1,350
<b>Total/Average Same-Store Communities</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>37,995</b>	<b>73.7 %</b>	<b>5,981,083</b>	<b>96.1 %</b>	<b>\$1,490</b>	<b>95.9 %</b>	<b>\$1,468</b>
Non Matures, Commercial	5	3,016	20.3 %	1,645,503				



Properties & Other					
Total Real Estate Held for Investment	141	41,011	94.0	%	7,626,586
Real Estate Under Development (b)	—	409	6.0	%	489,472
Total Real Estate Owned	141	41,420	100.0	%	8,116,058
Total Accumulated Depreciation					(2,155,665 )
Total Real Estate Owned, Net of Accumulated Depreciation					\$5,960,393

(a) Monthly Income per Occupied Home represents total monthly revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.

Table of Contents

(b) The Company is currently developing six wholly-owned communities with 1,976 apartment homes, 409 of which have been completed.

We report in two segments: Same-Store Communities and Non-Mature Communities/Other.

Our Same-Store Communities segment represents those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 for quarter-to-date comparison, and January 1, 2012 for year-to-date comparison, and held as of September 30, 2013. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior period, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the communities are not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Our Non-Mature Communities/Other segment represents those communities that were acquired or developed in 2011, 2012 or 2013, sold properties, redevelopment properties, consolidated joint venture properties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, sales of properties, borrowings under our credit agreements, and/or the issuance of debt and/or equity securities. Our primary source of liquidity is our cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings under our credit agreements. We routinely use our unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by property operations and borrowings under our credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities, the repayment of financing on development activities, and potential property acquisitions, through secured and unsecured borrowings, the issuance of debt or equity securities, and/or the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by property operations and borrowings under credit agreements will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of dividends by the Company in accordance with REIT requirements. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations, borrowings under credit agreements, the issuance of debt or equity securities, and dispositions of properties.

**Future Capital Needs**

Future development and redevelopment expenditures may be funded through unsecured or secured credit facilities, proceeds from the issuance of equity or debt securities, the sale of properties and, to a lesser extent, from cash flows provided by property operations. Acquisition activity in strategic markets may be funded through joint ventures, by the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of properties, through the issuance of equity or debt securities, the issuance of operating partnership units and the assumption or placement of secured and/or unsecured debt.

We have a shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or "SEC" which provides for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of common stock, preferred stock, guarantees of debt securities, warrants, subscription rights, purchase contracts and units to facilitate future financing activities in the public capital markets.

Access to capital markets is dependent on market conditions at the time of issuance.

As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$3.4 million of principal payments on secured debt in the remainder of 2013 and \$359.6 million in 2014. We anticipate repaying that debt with cash flow from our operations, proceeds from debt or equity offerings, proceeds from dispositions of properties, or from borrowings under our credit agreements.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our critical accounting policies are those having the most impact on the reporting of our financial condition and results and those requiring significant judgments and estimates. These policies include those related to (1) capital expenditures, (2) impairment of long-lived assets, (3) real estate investment properties, and (4) revenue recognition.

Our other critical accounting policies are described in more detail in the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in UDR's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC

on February 27, 2013. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies from those reported in our Form

67

---

10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2013. With respect to these critical accounting policies, we believe that the application of judgments and assessments is consistently applied and produces financial information that fairly depicts the results of operations for all periods presented.

#### Statements of Cash Flows

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities, net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities, and net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### Operating Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$261.3 million compared to \$249.7 million for the comparable period in 2012. The increase in cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to improved income from continuing operations.

#### Investing Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities was \$(128.5) million compared to \$(61.5) million for the comparable period in 2012. The change in investing activities was due to changes in the level of investment activities, which reflect our strategy as it relates to our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships, dispositions, capital expenditures, and development activities, all of which are discussed in further detail throughout this Report.

#### Capital Expenditures

In conformity with GAAP, we capitalize those expenditures that materially enhance the value of an existing asset or substantially extend the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

Total capital expenditures, which in aggregate include recurring capital expenditures and major renovations, of \$133.7 million or \$3,247 per stabilized home were spent on all of our communities, excluding development and commercial properties, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$109.6 million or \$2,449 per stabilized home for the comparable period in prior year.

The increase in total capital expenditures was primarily due to:

an increase in major renovations of 43.6% or \$29.0 million. Major renovations of \$95.6 million or \$2,323 per home were spent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$66.6 million or \$1,487 per home for the comparable period in the prior year. Major renovations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were primarily attributable to the redevelopment of two wholly-owned communities (1,670 homes) with a budget of \$135.3 million of which we have incurred \$117.7 million of costs at September 30, 2013;

This increase was partially offset by:

a decrease of 31.2% or \$3.2 million in revenue-enhancing capital expenditures, such as kitchen and bath remodels, on our existing operating portfolio; and  
a decrease in total recurring capital expenditures of 5.4% or \$1.8 million. Total recurring capital expenditures of \$31.0 million or \$752 per stabilized home were spent for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$32.7 million or \$732 per stabilized home for the comparable period in the prior year, which was due to a 19.3% or \$2.1 million decrease in turnover capital expenditures and a 1.7% or \$367,000 increase in asset preservation expenditures.

---

The following table outlines capital expenditures and repair and maintenance costs for all of our communities, excluding real estate under development and commercial properties, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, (dollars in thousands)			Per Home Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	% Change	2013	2012	% Change
Turnover capital expenditures	\$8,912	\$11,040	(19.3)%	\$216	\$247	(12.6)%
Asset preservation expenditures	22,063	21,696	1.7%	536	485	10.5%
Total recurring capital expenditures	30,975	32,736	(5.4)%	752	732	2.7%
Revenue enhancing improvements	7,081	10,286	(31.2)%	172	230	(25.2)%
Major renovations	95,605	66,578	43.6%	2,323	1,487	56.2%
Total capital expenditures	\$133,661	\$109,600	22.0%	\$3,247	\$2,449	32.6%
Repair and maintenance expense	\$24,455	\$27,937	(12.5)%	\$594	\$624	(4.8)%
Average stabilized home count (a)	41,167	44,762				

(a) Average number of homes is calculated based on the number of stabilized homes outstanding at the end of each month.

We will continue to selectively add revenue enhancing improvements which we believe will provide a return on investment in excess of our cost of capital. Our objective in redeveloping a community is twofold: we aim to meaningfully grow rental rates while also achieving cap rate compression through asset quality improvement. Recurring capital expenditures during 2013 are projected to be approximately \$1,020 per home.

#### Development

At September 30, 2013, our development pipeline for six wholly-owned communities totaled 1,976 homes, 409 of which have been completed, with a budget of \$782.5 million in which we have a carrying value of \$489.5 million. The estimated completion date for these communities will be through the second quarter of 2015.

#### Consolidated Joint Ventures

In January 2012, the Company formed a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to acquire 399 Fremont (land for future development) in San Francisco, California. At closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 92.5% in the joint venture. The Company's total investment was \$55.5 million, which consists of its initial investment of \$37.3 million and an option to acquire its partner's 7.5% ownership interest in the joint venture. In October 2012, the Company exercised the option and paid \$13.5 million, resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture at fair value. In January 2013, the Company subsequently acquired its partner's 7.5% ownership interest for \$4.7 million.

#### Unconsolidated Joint Ventures and Partnerships

The Company recognizes earnings or losses from our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships consisting of our proportionate share of the net earnings or losses of the joint ventures and partnerships. In addition, we may earn fees for providing management services to the unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships.

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the Company's investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships, net which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Joint Venture	Location of Properties	Number of Properties	Number of Apartment Homes	Investment at September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	UDR's Ownership Interest	
UDR/MetLife I (a)	Various	8 operating communities	1,641	\$ 49,550	\$ 75,129	13.2	%
		7 land parcels	N/A			4.0	%
UDR/MetLife II (a)	Various	15 operating communities	3,119	327,446	327,001	50.0	%
UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park® (b)	Addison, TX	2 operating communities	739	79,478	—	50.0	%
		1 development community (*)	391				
		6 land parcels	N/A				
Lodge at Stoughton	Stoughton, MA	1 operating community	240	14,941	16,311	95.0	%
UDR/KFH	Washington D.C.	3 operating communities	660	26,998	29,663	30.0	%
Texas	Texas	8 operating communities	3,359	1,522	3,457	20.0	%
13th & Market	San Diego, CA	1 development community (*)	264	31,151	29,930	95.0	%
Domain College Park	College Park, MD	1 development community (*)	256	26,001	25,546	95.0	%
				557,087	507,037		
Deferred fees and gains on the sale of depreciable property				(25,375	) (29,406	)	
Total investment in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures, net				\$ 531,712	\$ 477,631		

The number of apartment homes for the communities under development presented in the table above is based on the projected number of total homes. The number of apartment homes completed as of September 30, 2013 for one (\*) development community at UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park®, 13th & Market, and Domain College Park were 239, 9, and 162, respectively.

(a) In June 2013 and within UDR/MetLife I, the Company exchanged with MetLife its approximately 10% ownership interest in four operating communities and paid MetLife an additional \$15.6 million in cash for an increased ownership interest of approximately 35% in two high-rise operating communities, bringing UDR's ownership interest in the two high-rise operating communities to 50% each. The two high-rise operating communities are located in Denver, Colorado and San Diego, California and were subsequently contributed to UDR/MetLife II. The four operating communities in which UDR exchanged its ownership interest are located in Washington, D.C.; San Francisco, California; Dallas, Texas; and Charlotte, North Carolina. At 50% ownership, the Company's pro-rata share of the undepreciated book value of the UDR/MetLife II joint venture assets and outstanding debt was \$796.4 million and \$444.6 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013. UDR will continue to fee manage the four operating communities in which UDR exchanged its ownership interests.



(b) In June 2013, the Company sold a 50% interest in five partnerships (the "UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships") to MetLife for approximately \$141.3 million. The transaction resulted in a gain of approximately \$436,000 which the Company has deferred until completion of the development community (projected for the end of 2013). Under the terms of the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships, the Company serves as the general partner with significant participating rights held by our partner, and earns fees for property management, asset management, and financing transactions. The UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Our initial investment was approximately \$80.2 million, which consisted of approximately \$140.0 million (50% of our net book value of the real estate at the time of the transaction) reduced by our share of the net proceeds received upon encumbering the assets of approximately \$58.7 million and other operating adjustments.

At closing, a total of \$118.3 million of secured debt was placed on the two operating communities and the community under development. The debt on the two operating communities carries an interest rate of 4.0% with a term of ten years and the non-recourse construction loan on the community under development carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus 175 basis points with a term of two years and two one-year extension options. The Company has guaranteed the completion of the construction of the development. Proceeds from the construction loan will be used for completion of construction of the development. Upon completion, at its 50% ownership, the Company's pro-rata share of the undepreciated book value of the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park<sup>®</sup> Partnerships' real estate assets and outstanding debt will be approximately \$145.0 million and \$62.8 million, respectively.

The Company may, in the future, make additional capital contributions to certain of our joint ventures and partnerships should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund acquisitions or operations.

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures and partnerships during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### Financing Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities was \$(133.8) million compared to \$(118.4) million for the comparable period of 2012.

The following significant financing activities occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

- amended its \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The amendment extended the maturity date from October 2015 to December 2017, included a six month extension option, and contained an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.45 billion. Based on the Company's current credit rating, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 110 basis points and a facility fee of 20 basis points;
- amended and re-priced its \$100 million and \$250 million unsecured term notes due in January 2016. The loans were re-priced from LIBOR plus 142.5 basis points to LIBOR plus 125 basis points, and the maturity date was extended to June 2018;

- on June 28, 2013, the Company refinanced \$186 million of a Fannie Mae credit facility that carried an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 284 basis points and was scheduled to mature in 2019. The new loans include a \$90 million, 7-year fixed-rate loan that carries an interest rate of 3.95% and a \$96 million, 10-year variable-rate loan that carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 190 basis points. Three of the Company's communities were released from the facility and added to the Company's unencumbered asset pool;

- on September 26, 2013, the Company issued \$300 million of 3.70% senior unsecured medium-term notes due October 2020. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in April 2014. The notes were priced at 99.981% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$56,000 at September 30, 2013. We used the net proceeds to repay the borrowings outstanding on our \$900 million unsecured credit facility and for general corporate purposes. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

- repaid \$44.5 million of secured debt. The \$44.5 million of secured debt included \$40.9 million of mortgage payments and the repayment of \$3.6 million of credit facilities;

- repaid \$122.5 million of 6.05% unsecured medium-term notes due June 2013; and



paid off the balance outstanding under the Company's \$900 million revolving credit facility.

---

In 2012, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement under which the Company could offer and sell up to 20 million shares of its common stock, from time to time, to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we sold 71,000 shares of common stock through this program. As of September 30, 2013, we had 19,929,000 shares of common stock available for sale under this program.

#### Credit Facilities

As of September 30, 2013, we have secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$927.7 million with \$838.9 million outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for terms of seven to ten years and bear interest at floating and fixed rates. We have \$627.5 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 4.99% and the remaining balance of \$211.4 million on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable rate of 1.61% at September 30, 2013. In June 2013, we refinanced \$186 million of a Fannie Mae credit facility as discussed above.

In June 2013, we amended our \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility as discussed above. As of September 30, 2013, we had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility, leaving \$900 million of unused capacity (excluding \$2.3 million of letters of credit at September 30, 2013).

The Fannie Mae credit facilities and the bank unsecured revolving credit facility are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations.

#### Derivative Instruments

As part of UDR's overall interest rate risk management strategy, we use derivatives as a means to fix the interest rates of variable rate debt obligations or to hedge anticipated financing transactions. UDR's derivative transactions used for interest rate risk management include interest rate swaps with indexes that relate to the pricing of specific debt instruments of UDR. We believe that we have appropriately controlled our interest rate risk through the use of derivative instruments to minimize any unintended effect on consolidated earnings. Derivative contracts did not have a material impact on the results of operations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (see Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activity in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of UDR, Inc. included in this Report).

#### Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$341.1 million in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of September 30, 2013. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$5.9 million based on the average balance outstanding during the year.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

The Company also utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and generally designates these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of derivative instruments.

Funds from Operations, Funds from Operations as Adjusted, and Adjusted Funds from Operations

#### Funds from Operations

Funds from operations ("FFO") is defined as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or "GAAP"), excluding impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate or of investments in

non-consolidated investees that are driven by measurable decreases in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the investee, gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for

---

unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. This definition conforms with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust's ("NAREIT") definition issued in April 2002. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Thus, NAREIT created FFO as a supplemental measure of a REIT's operating performance. In the computation of diluted FFO, OP Units, unvested restricted stock, stock options, and the shares of Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock are dilutive; therefore, they are included in the diluted share count.

Activities of our taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"), include development and land entitlement. From time to time, we develop and subsequently sell a TRS property which results in a short-term use of funds that produces a profit that differs from the traditional long-term investment in real estate for REITs. We believe that the inclusion of these TRS gains in FFO is consistent with the standards established by NAREIT as the short-term investment is incidental to our main business. TRS gains on sales, net of taxes, are defined as net sales proceeds less a tax provision and the gross investment basis of the asset before accumulated depreciation.

We consider FFO a useful metric for investors as we use FFO in evaluating property acquisitions and our operating performance, and believe that FFO should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash flow as a measure of our activities in accordance with GAAP. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and is not necessarily indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs.

#### Funds from Operations as Adjusted

FFO as Adjusted is defined as FFO excluding the impact of acquisition-related costs and other non-comparable items including, but not limited to, prepayment costs/benefits associated with early debt retirement, gains on sales of marketable securities and TRS property, deferred tax valuation allowance increases and decreases, storm-related expenses, severance costs and legal costs. Management believes that FFO as Adjusted is useful supplemental information regarding our operating performance as it provides a consistent comparison of our operating performance across time periods and allows investors to more easily compare our operating results with other REITs.

FFO as Adjusted is not intended to represent cash flow or liquidity for the period, and is only intended to provide an additional measure of our operating performance. We believe that net income attributable to UDR, Inc. is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to FFO as Adjusted. However, other REITs may use different methodologies for calculating FFO as Adjusted or similar FFO measures and, accordingly, our FFO as Adjusted may not always be comparable to FFO as Adjusted or similar FFO measures calculated by other REITs. FFO as Adjusted should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of financial performance, or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity.

#### Adjusted Funds from Operations

Adjusted FFO, or "AFFO", is a non-GAAP financial measure that management uses as a supplemental measure of our performance. AFFO is defined as FFO as Adjusted less recurring capital expenditures that are necessary to help preserve the value of and maintain functionality at our communities. Therefore, Management considers AFFO a useful supplemental performance metric for investors as it is more indicative of the Company's operational performance than FFO or FFO as Adjusted.

AFFO is not intended to represent cash flow or liquidity for the period, and is only intended to provide an additional measure of our operating performance. We believe that net income attributable to UDR, Inc. is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure to AFFO. Management believes that AFFO is a widely recognized measure of

the operations of REITs, and presenting AFFO will enable investors to assess our performance in comparison to other REITs. However, other REITs may use different methodologies for calculating AFFO and, accordingly, our AFFO may not always be comparable to AFFO calculated by other REITs. AFFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of financial performance, or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make distributions.

---

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following table outlines our reconciliation of net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc. to FFO, FFO as Adjusted, and AFFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$3,188	\$(9,031)	\$8,112	\$224,477
Distributions to preferred stockholders	(931)	(931)	(2,793)	(5,079)
Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	84,266	88,223	252,839	266,944
Net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	47	(645)	168	8,781
Real estate depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated joint ventures	10,514	6,852	25,462	22,634
Net (gain)/loss on the sale of depreciable property in discontinued operations, excluding TRS	—	1,133	—	(243,649)
Premium on preferred stock redemptions, net	—	—	—	(2,791)
Funds from operations (“FFO”), basic	\$97,084	\$85,601	\$283,788	\$271,317
Distribution to preferred stockholders — Series E (Convertible)	931	931	2,793	2,793
FFO, diluted	\$98,015	\$86,532	\$286,581	\$274,110
FFO per common share, basic	\$0.37	\$0.33	\$1.09	\$1.11
FFO per common share, diluted	\$0.37	\$0.33	\$1.09	\$1.10
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units outstanding — basic	259,308	259,231	259,305	244,588
Weighted average number of common shares, OP Units, and common stock equivalents outstanding — diluted	263,813	263,631	263,818	248,984
Impact of adjustments to FFO:				
Acquisition-related costs (including joint ventures)	\$—	\$1,451	\$—	\$2,230
Joint venture financing and acquisition fee	(36)	—	(254)	—
Costs/(benefit) associated with debt extinguishment and tender offer	—	—	178	(277)
Redemption of preferred stock	—	—	—	2,791
Gain on sale of TRS property and marketable securities	—	—	—	(7,749)
Severance costs and other restructuring expense	—	—	—	171
Reversal of deferred tax valuation allowance	—	—	—	(22,876)
Hurricane-related recoveries, net	(4,059)	—	(9,665)	—
	\$(4,095)	\$1,451	\$(9,741)	\$(25,710)
FFO as Adjusted, diluted	\$93,920	\$87,983	\$276,840	\$248,400
FFO as Adjusted per common share, diluted	\$0.36	\$0.33	\$1.05	\$1.00
Recurring capital expenditures	(11,989)	(12,848)	(30,975)	(32,736)
AFFO	\$81,931	\$75,135	\$245,865	\$215,664
AFFO per common share, diluted	\$0.31	\$0.29	\$0.93	\$0.87

The following table is our reconciliation of FFO share information to weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted, reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (shares in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units outstanding — basic	259,308	259,231	259,305	244,588
Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding	(9,323 )	(9,406 )	(9,343 )	(9,415 )
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — basic per the Consolidated Statements of Operations	249,985	249,825	249,962	235,173
Weighted average number of common shares, OP Units, and common stock equivalents outstanding — diluted	263,813	263,631	263,818	248,984
Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding	(9,323 )	(9,406 )	(9,343 )	(9,415 )
Weighted average incremental shares from assumed conversion of stock options	—	(1,242 )	—	(1,238 )
Weighted average incremental shares from unvested restricted stock	—	(122 )	—	(122 )
Weighted average number of Series E preferred shares outstanding	(3,036 )	(3,036 )	(3,036 )	(3,036 )
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding — diluted per the Consolidated Statements of Operations	251,454	249,825	251,439	235,173

A presentation of cash flow metrics based on GAAP is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2013	2012
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$261,297	\$249,689
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	\$(128,500 )	\$(61,456 )
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	\$(133,763 )	\$(118,359 )
Results of Operations		

The following discussion explains the changes in results of operations that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.

#### Net Income/(Loss) Attributable to Common Stockholders

Net income attributable to common stockholders was \$2.3 million (\$0.01 per diluted share) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to net loss of \$10.0 million (\$0.04 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders for the three months ended September 30, 2013 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- an increase in total property NOI primarily due to higher occupancy and higher revenue per occupied home at our same-store communities;
  - hurricane-related recoveries in 2013 resulting from the effects of Hurricane Sandy on three of our New York, New York communities in 2012 (see Note 14, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details); and
  - a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012 partially offset by the depreciation from developed or redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013.
- This was partially offset by:

Table of Contents

an increase in losses from unconsolidated entities primarily related to the increase in depreciation from the UDR/MetLife Vitruvian Park® transaction in June 2013.

Net income attributable to common stockholders was \$5.3 million (\$0.02 per diluted share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to net income of \$216.6 million (\$0.92 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The decrease in net income attributable to common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- a decrease in net gains of \$251.4 million on the sale of depreciable property related to the disposition of 21 communities in 2012; and

- a decrease in tax benefit, net primarily due to a reversal of tax valuation allowance of \$22.9 million in 2012.

This was partially offset by:

- an increase in total property NOI primarily due to higher occupancy and higher revenue per occupied home partially offset by the disposition of 21 communities in 2012;

- hurricane-related recoveries in 2013 resulting from the effects of Hurricane Sandy on three of our New York, New York communities in 2012 (see Note 14, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details);

- a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012 and intangible assets related to in place leases acquired in 2011 and 2012 becoming fully amortized in 2012 partially offset by the depreciation from developed and redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013; and

- a decrease in interest expense due to lower debt balances and lower interest rates.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income results are primarily from net operating income ("NOI") generated from the operation of our apartment communities. The Company defines NOI, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, as rental income less direct property rental expenses. Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. Rental expenses include real estate taxes, insurance, personnel, utilities, repairs and maintenance, administrative and marketing. Excluded from NOI is property management expense which is calculated as 2.75% of property revenue to cover the regional supervision and accounting costs related to consolidated property operations, and land rent.



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total property NOI (which includes discontinued operations) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, (a)		% Change	September 30, (b)		% Change		
	2013	2012			2013		2012	
<b>Same-Store Communities:</b>								
Same-store rental income	\$ 163,167	\$ 155,580	4.9	% \$ 465,168	\$ 442,697	5.1	%	
Same-store operating expense (c)	(51,722 )	(50,404 )	2.6	% (145,017 )	(141,301 )	2.6	%	
Same-store NOI	111,445	105,176	6.0	% 320,151	301,396	6.2	%	
<b>Non-Mature Communities/Other NOI:</b>								
Acquired communities NOI	4,039	3,670	10.1	% 14,229	12,049	18.1	%	
Sold communities NOI	(1 )	1,358	(100.1 )	% 2,291	22,699	(89.9 )	%	
Developed communities NOI	1,749	(165 )	(1,160.0 )	% 2,507	(120 )	(2,189.2 )	%	
Redeveloped communities NOI	9,643	8,246	16.9	% 32,829	31,340	4.8	%	
Commercial NOI and other	1,768	4,009	(55.9 )	% 10,605	11,955	(11.3 )	%	
Total non-mature communities/other NOI	17,198	17,118	0.5	% 62,461	77,923	(19.8 )	%	
<b>Total Property NOI</b>	<b>\$ 128,643</b>	<b>\$ 122,294</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>% \$ 382,612</b>	<b>\$ 379,319</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>%</b>	

(a) Same-store consists of 37,995 apartment homes.

(b) Same-store consists of 36,704 apartment homes.

(c) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc. as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Total property NOI	\$ 128,643	\$ 122,294	\$ 382,612	\$ 379,319
Joint venture management and other fees	3,207	3,320	9,347	9,026
Property management	(5,236 )	(4,998 )	(15,491 )	(15,449 )
Other operating expenses	(1,787 )	(1,467 )	(5,237 )	(4,284 )
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(84,266 )	(88,223 )	(252,839 )	(266,944 )
General and administrative	(11,364 )	(10,022 )	(30,706 )	(33,139 )
Hurricane-related recoveries/(charges), net	6,460	—	12,253	—
Other depreciation and amortization	(1,176 )	(1,078 )	(3,460 )	(3,013 )
Income/(loss) from unconsolidated entities	(3,794 )	(719 )	(6,081 )	(5,822 )
Interest expense	(30,939 )	(31,845 )	(92,723 )	(108,132 )
Interest and other income/(expense), net	829	1,235	3,291	1,644
Tax benefit, net	2,658	2,960	7,314	28,654
Net (income)/loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership	(84 )	687	(198 )	(8,644 )
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	37	(42 )	30	(137 )
Net gain/(loss) on sale of depreciable properties, net of tax	—	(1,133 )	—	251,398
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ 3,188	\$ (9,031 )	\$ 8,112	\$ 224,477



Table of Contents

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

## Same-Store Communities

Our same-store community properties (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 and held on September 30, 2013) consisted of 37,995 apartment homes and provided 87% of our total NOI for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

NOI for our same-store community properties increased 6.0% or \$6.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in property NOI was attributable to a 4.9% or \$7.6 million increase in property rental income partially offset by a 2.6% or \$1.3 million increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.0% or \$6.0 million increase in rental rates, a 7.9% or \$922,000 increase in reimbursement and fee income and a 46.2% or \$403,000 decrease in concessions. Physical occupancy increased 0.2% to 96.1% and total monthly income per occupied home increased 4.7% to \$1,490.

The increase in operating expenses was primarily driven by an 8.7% or \$1.4 million increase in real estate taxes and a 16.2% or \$331,000 increase in insurance costs, which was partially offset by a 5.2% or \$436,000 decrease in repair and maintenance expense.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) increased to 68.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 67.6% for the comparable period in 2012.

## Non-Mature Communities/Other

The remaining \$17.2 million or 13% of our total NOI during the three months ended September 30, 2013 was generated from our “non-mature communities/other.” UDR’s non-mature communities/other consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same-store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, and non-apartment components of mixed use properties. NOI from non-mature communities/other increased by 0.5% or \$80,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily driven by an increase in NOI of \$1.4 million from redevelopment communities, an increase of \$1.9 million from development communities completed in 2012 and 2013, and an increase of 10.1% or \$369,000 from communities acquired in 2011 and 2012, which was partially offset by a decrease in NOI of \$1.4 million from communities sold in 2012 and a decrease of 55.9% or \$2.2 million from commercial and other communities.

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Our same-store community properties (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2012 and held on September 30, 2013) consisted of 36,704 apartment homes and provided 84% of our total NOI for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

NOI for our same-store community properties increased 6.2% or \$18.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in property NOI was attributable to a 5.1% or \$22.5 million increase in property rental income partially offset by a 2.6% or \$3.7 million increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.1% or \$17.8 million increase in rental rates, an 8.7% or \$2.8 million increase in reimbursement and fee income, and a 45.3% or \$1.4 million decrease in concessions. Physical occupancy increased 0.2% to 95.9% and total monthly income per occupied home increased 4.9% to \$1,468. The increase in operating expenses was primarily driven by a 9.1% or \$4.1 million increase in real estate taxes and a 4.4% or \$1.5 million increase in personnel costs, which was partially offset by a 6.9% or \$1.6 million decrease in repair and maintenance expense and a 4.9% or \$474,000 decrease in administration and marketing expenses.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) increased to 68.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 68.1% for the comparable period in 2012.

## Non-Mature Communities/Other

The remaining \$62.5 million or 16% of our total NOI during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was generated from our “non-mature communities/other.” UDR’s non-mature communities/other consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties,



Table of Contents

sold properties, and non-apartment components of mixed use properties. NOI from non-mature communities/other decreased by 19.8% or \$15.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in NOI of \$20.4 million from communities sold in 2012, partially offset by an increase in NOI of 18.1% or \$2.2 million from communities acquired in 2011 and 2012, and an increase of \$2.6 million from development communities completed in 2012 and 2013.

**Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization**

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, real estate depreciation and amortization attributable to both continuing and discontinued operations decreased 4.5% or \$4.0 million as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The decrease in depreciation and amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012 partially offset by the depreciation from developed and redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, real estate depreciation and amortization on both continuing and discontinued operations decreased 5.3% or \$14.1 million as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The decrease in depreciation and amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012 and intangible assets related to in place leases acquired in 2011 and 2012 becoming fully amortized in 2012. The decrease was partially offset by the depreciation from developed and redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013.

**Tax Benefit, Net**

UDR elected for RE<sup>3</sup> to be treated as a TRS. Income taxes for RE<sup>3</sup> are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date.

The Company recognized an income tax benefit from RE<sup>3</sup> of \$2.7 million and \$7.3 million and \$3.0 million and \$28.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is an income tax benefit of \$22.9 million from RE<sup>3</sup>, which resulted from the reversal of a net deferred tax asset valuation allowance. Prior to 2012, RE<sup>3</sup> had a history of losses and, as a result, had historically recognized a valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets. Each quarter, the Company evaluates the need to retain all or a portion of the valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets. In the first quarter of 2012, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets, including any remaining net operating losses, will be realized. In making this determination, the Company analyzed, among other things, its recent history of earnings, forecasts of future earnings from sales of depreciable property, and its cumulative earnings for the last twelve quarters.

**Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges, Net**

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting three of the Company's operating communities (1,706 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Company has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Company recognized a \$9.0 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$10.4 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$14.5 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were

recognized. With the exception of one of the properties that is under redevelopment at September 30, 2013, the rehabilitation of the remaining two properties was primarily completed in the third quarter of 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$14.5 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. As a result, the Company recognized a Hurricane-related recovery of approximately \$2.0 million and \$4.8 million and a casualty gain of approximately \$654,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Both the recovery and casualty gain were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## Table of Contents

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Company recognized \$4.4 million of business interruption losses for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$3.6 million were related to rent concession rebates provided to residents during the period the properties were uninhabitable and were classified in "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and \$767,000 were related to rent that was not contractually receivable and were classified as a reduction to "Rental income" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company estimates that it incurred an additional \$3.4 million of business interruption losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As noted, the Company settled the Hurricane Sandy claims as of September 30, 2013.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received approximately \$3.8 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, of insurance proceeds for recovery of business interruption losses. Of the \$6.8 million of insurance proceeds received during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$4.2 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2012 and the remaining \$2.6 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2013. The \$6.8 million of recovery was classified as "Hurricane-related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations as of September 30, 2013.

### General and Administrative

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, general and administrative expense increased 13.4% or \$1.3 million as compared to the comparable periods in 2012. The increase in general and administrative expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to an increase in stock based compensation expense for the long-term incentive plan and other compensation expense partially offset by acquisition costs incurred in 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, general and administrative expense decreased 7.3% or \$2.4 million as compared to the comparable periods in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to acquisitions costs incurred in 2012.

### Interest Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, interest expense decreased by 2.8% or \$906,000 as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The decrease in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to lower debt balances and lower interest rates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, interest expense decreased by 14.3% or \$15.4 million as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The decrease in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was primarily due to lower debt balances and lower interest rates and higher capitalized interest from development and redevelopment activity.

### Net Gain/(Loss) on the Sale of Depreciable Properties, Net of Tax

There were no sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 that met the criteria to be classified as held for sale and reported as discontinued operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recognized a net gain/(loss) on the sale of communities of \$(1.1) million and \$251.4 million, respectively. The gain/(loss) is included in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our divestiture activity from period to period as well as the extent of gains related to specific properties sold.

### Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, the majority of our leases are for a term of fourteen months or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

80

---



Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:

Business Overview

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership” or “UDR, L.P.”), is a Delaware limited partnership formed in February 2004 and organized pursuant to the provisions of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (as amended from time to time, or any successor to such statute, the “Act”). The Operating Partnership is the successor-in-interest to United Dominion Realty, L.P., a limited partnership formed under the laws of Virginia, which commenced operations on November 4, 1995. Our sole general partner is UDR, Inc., a Maryland corporation (“UDR” or the “General Partner”), which conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets through the Operating Partnership. At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership’s real estate portfolio included 70 communities located in nine states and the District of Columbia with a total of 21,685 apartment homes. As of September 30, 2013, UDR owned 110,883 units of our general limited partnership interests and 174,846,997 units of our limited partnership interests (the “OP Units”), or approximately 94.9% of our outstanding OP Units. By virtue of its ownership of our OP Units and being our sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this Report to the Operating Partnership or “we,” “us” or “our” refer to UDR, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. We refer to our General Partner together with its consolidated subsidiaries (including us) and the General Partner’s consolidated joint ventures as “UDR” or the “General Partner.”

UDR is a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, and manages apartment communities. The General Partner was formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation and changed its state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland in September 2003. At September 30, 2013, the General Partner’s consolidated real estate portfolio included 141 communities located in 10 states and the District of Columbia with a total of 41,420 apartment homes. In addition, the General Partner had an ownership interest in 37 communities with 10,168 completed apartment homes through unconsolidated operating communities. The Operating Partnership's same-store community apartment home population for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 20,188 and 19,530, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of September 30, 2013.

Same-Store Communities	Number of Apartment Communities	As of September 30, 2013			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
		Number of Apartment Homes	Percentage of Total Carrying Value	Total Carrying Value (in thousands)	Average Physical Occupancy	Monthly Income per Occupied Home (a)	Average Physical Occupancy	Monthly Income per Occupied Home (a)
<b>West Region</b>								
Orange County, CA	8	2,935	12.0 %	\$516,518	94.6 %	\$1,649	94.8 %	\$1,634
San Francisco, CA	9	2,185	12.9 %	555,625	96.7 %	2,496	96.5 %	2,462
Seattle, WA	5	932	4.9 %	210,661	97.3 %	1,479	97.1 %	1,440
Los Angeles, CA	3	463	2.9 %	126,536	94.5 %	1,964	95.0 %	1,933
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	3.7 %	158,788	96.8 %	1,173	93.7 %	1,155
Inland Empire, CA	1	414	1.6 %	70,073	95.7 %	1,562	94.7 %	1,548
Portland, OR	3	716	1.7 %	72,565	97.0 %	1,116	96.8 %	1,094
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.6 %	70,598	95.6 %	930	93.0 %	914
San Diego, CA	2	366	1.3 %	57,324	94.7 %	1,549	95.0 %	1,517
<b>Mid-Atlantic Region</b>								
Metropolitan D.C.	7	2,378	12.9 %	555,803	96.2 %	1,907	96.4 %	1,896
Baltimore, MD	5	994	3.5 %	149,304	87.9 %	1,533	87.8 %	1,525
<b>Northeast Region</b>								
New York, NY	1	493	6.2 %	266,643	97.7 %	3,468	96.9 %	3,422
Boston, MA	2	833	4.1 %	175,943	95.9 %	1,790	96.3 %	1,759
<b>Southeast Region</b>								
Tampa, FL	3	1,154	2.7 %	115,175	95.9 %	1,155	96.3 %	1,142
Nashville, TN	6	1,612	3.1 %	131,865	97.0 %	987	97.0 %	971
Other Florida	1	636	1.8 %	79,599	95.2 %	1,309	95.4 %	1,298
<b>Southwest Region</b>								
Dallas, TX	2	1,348	4.3 %	186,453	95.5 %	1,386	95.6 %	1,358
Austin, TX	1	250	0.9 %	39,275	97.5 %	1,578	—	—
<b>Total/Average</b>								
Same-Store Communities	68	20,188	82.1 %	3,538,748	95.8 %	\$1,614	95.3 %	\$1,577
Non Matures, Commercial Properties & Other Real Estate Under Development (b)	2	1,472	14.6 %	626,341				
Total Real Estate Owned	70	21,685	100.0 %	4,306,082				
Total Accumulated Depreciation				(1,232,520 )				

Total Real Estate Owned, Net of Accumulated Depreciation	\$3,073,562
---	-------------

- (a) Monthly Income per Occupied Home represents total monthly revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.
- (b) As of September 30, 2013 the Operating Partnership was developing two wholly-owned communities with 652 homes, 25 of which were completed.

82

---

## Table of Contents

We report in two segments: Same-Store Communities and Non-Mature Communities/Other.

Our Same-Store Communities segment represents those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 for quarter-to-date comparison, and January 1, 2012 for year-to-date comparison, and held as of September 30, 2013. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior period, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the communities are not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Our Non-Mature Communities/Other segment represents those communities that were acquired or developed in 2011, 2012 or 2013, sold properties, redevelopment properties, consolidated joint venture properties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, the sale of properties, and the issuance of debt. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. The Operating Partnership's primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements. The General Partner will routinely use its unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities and potential property acquisitions through borrowings and the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by operations and borrowings will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of distributions. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations, and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements.

### Future Capital Needs

Future capital expenditures are expected to be funded with proceeds from the issuance of secured debt or unsecured debt, sales of properties, borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner's credit agreements, and to a lesser extent, from cash flows provided by operating activities.

As of September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had approximately \$2.2 million of principal payments on secured debt in the remainder of 2013 and \$8.9 million in 2014. We anticipate that we will repay that debt with operating cash flows or proceeds from borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner's credit agreements. The repayment of debt will be recorded as an offset to the "Payable/(receivable) due to/(from) General Partner."

### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our critical accounting policies are those having the most impact on the reporting of our financial condition and results and those requiring significant judgments and estimates. These policies include those related to (1) capital expenditures, (2) impairment of long-lived assets, (3) real estate investment properties, and (4) revenue recognition. Our other critical accounting policies are described in more detail in the section entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in UDR's current Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2013. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies from those reported in UDR's Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2013. With respect to these critical accounting policies, we believe that the application of judgments and assessments is consistently applied and produces financial information that fairly depicts the results of operations for all periods presented.

### Statements of Cash Flows

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities, net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities, and net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.



## Table of Contents

### Operating Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$164.7 million compared to \$154.4 million for the comparable period in 2012. The increase in net cash flow from operating activities was primarily due to improved income from continuing operations.

### Investing Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities was \$(104.7) million compared to \$34.4 million for the comparable period in 2012. The change was primarily due to the increase in development activities and a decrease in dispositions. Changes in the level of investment activities from period to period reflect our strategy as it relates to development activities, capital expenditures, and dispositions.

### Real Estate Under Development and Redevelopment

At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership was developing two wholly-owned communities totaling 652 homes with a budget of \$219.1 million in which we had a carrying value of \$141.0 million. The estimated completion date for these communities will be through the third quarter of 2014.

At September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership is redeveloping one wholly-owned community with 964 apartment homes, of which 511 have been completed. The estimated completion date for this community will be through the second quarter of 2014.

### Financing Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities was \$(60.4) million compared to \$(187.6) million for the comparable period of 2012. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a decrease in payments on secured debt, a decrease in advances from the General Partner, and a decrease in proceeds from the issuance of secured debt.

### Credit Facilities

As of September 30, 2013, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$927.7 million with \$838.9 million outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for terms of seven to ten years and bear interest at floating and fixed rates. At September 30, 2013, \$627.5 million of the funded balance was fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 4.99% and the remaining balance on these facilities was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.61%. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the General Partner reallocated an additional \$13.7 million of the Fannie Mae credit facilities to the Operating Partnership. At September 30, 2013, there was a total of \$521.1 million of these credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

The Operating Partnership is a guarantor on the General Partner's unsecured revolving credit facility with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$900 million, \$250 million of term notes due June 2018, \$100 million of term notes due June 2018, \$300 million of medium-term notes due June 2018, \$300 million of medium-term notes due October 2020, and \$400 million of medium-term notes due January 2022. As of September 30, 2013, there was no outstanding borrowings under the unsecured credit facility. As of December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$76.0 million.

The credit facilities are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations.

### Derivative Instruments

As part of our General Partner's overall interest rate risk management strategy, our General Partner uses derivatives as a means to fix the interest rates of variable rate debt obligations or to hedge anticipated financing transactions. Our General Partner's derivative transactions used for interest rate risk management include interest rate swaps with indexes that relate to the pricing of specific debt instruments of our General Partner that are allocated to the Operating Partnership. The General Partner believes that we have appropriately controlled our interest rate risk through the use of derivative instruments (allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the General Partner's underlying debt instruments allocated to the Operating Partnership) to minimize any unintended effect on consolidated earnings. Derivative contracts did not have a material impact on the results of operations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (see Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activity in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of United Dominion Realty, L.P. included in this Report).



Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$169.1 million in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of September 30, 2013. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$1.7 million based on the balance at September 30, 2013.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

The General Partner also utilizes derivative financial instruments allocated to the Operating Partnership to manage interest rate risk and generally designates these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. See Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activities, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of derivative instruments.

Results of Operations

The following discussion explains the changes in results of operations that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.



Table of Contents

Net Income/(Loss) Attributable to OP Unitholders

Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders was \$11.0 million (\$0.06 per diluted OP Unit) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$85,000 (\$0.00 per diluted OP Unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net income attributable to OP unitholders resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- hurricane-related recoveries in 2013 resulting from the effects of Hurricane Sandy on two of our New York, New York communities in 2012 (see Note 13, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details);
- a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012 partially offset by the depreciation from developed or redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013; and
- an increase in total property NOI primarily due to higher occupancy and higher revenue per occupied home at our same-store communities.

Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders was \$28.9 million (\$0.16 per diluted OP Unit) for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$44.3 million (\$0.24 per diluted OP Unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The decrease in net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- a decrease in net gains of \$51.1 million on the sale of depreciable property related to the disposition of four communities in 2012.

This was partially offset by:

- a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense primarily from the disposition of assets in 2012, partially offset by the depreciation from developed or redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013;
- hurricane-related recoveries in 2013 resulting from the effects of Hurricane Sandy on two of our New York, New York communities in 2012 (see Note 13, Hurricane-Related (Recoveries)/Charges, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details); and
- a decrease in interest expense due to lower debt balances and lower interest rates.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income results primarily from net operating income ("NOI") generated from the operation of our apartment communities. The Operating Partnership defines NOI, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, as rental income less direct property rental expenses. Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. Rental expenses include real estate taxes, insurance, personnel, utilities, repairs and maintenance, administrative and marketing. Excluded from NOI is property management expense which is calculated as 2.75% of property revenue to cover regional supervision and accounting costs related to consolidated property operations, and land rent.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total portfolio (which includes discontinued operations) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, (a)		% Change	September 30, (b)		% Change		
	2013	2012			2013		2012	
<b>Same-Store Communities:</b>								
Same-store rental income	\$93,597	\$88,907	5.3	% \$264,079	\$251,013	5.2	%	
Same-store operating expense (c)	(28,676 )	(27,772 )	3.3	% (80,189 )	(77,826 )	3.0	%	
Same-store NOI	64,921	61,135	6.2	% 183,890	173,187	6.2	%	
<b>Non-Mature Communities/Other NOI:</b>								
Acquired communities NOI	4,039	3,673	10.0	% 11,060	10,520	5.1	%	
Sold communities NOI	—	—	—	% —	4,503	(100.0)	)%	
Developed communities NOI	(110 )	(32 )	243.8	% (129 )	—	—	%	
Redeveloped communities NOI	2,342	2,719	(13.9)	)% 13,869	15,274	(9.2)	)%	
Commercial NOI and other	800	2,332	(65.7)	)% 5,886	7,031	(16.3)	)%	
Total non-mature communities/other NOI	7,071	8,692	(18.6)	)% 30,686	37,328	(17.8)	)%	
<b>Total Property NOI</b>	<b>\$71,992</b>	<b>\$69,827</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>% \$214,576</b>	<b>\$210,515</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>%</b>	

(a) Same-store consists of 20,188 apartment homes.

(b) Same-store consists of 19,530 apartment homes.

(c) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Total property NOI	\$71,992	\$69,827	\$214,576	\$210,515
Property management	(2,860 )	(2,756 )	(8,436 )	(8,275 )
Other operating expenses	(1,406 )	(1,321 )	(4,215 )	(3,944 )
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(44,855 )	(51,012 )	(135,555 )	(149,422 )
General and administrative	(6,855 )	(4,635 )	(18,324 )	(19,581 )
Hurricane-related recoveries/(charges), net	3,807	—	8,083	—
Interest expense	(8,773 )	(9,847 )	(27,085 )	(35,708 )
Net gain/(loss) on sale of depreciable property	—	(132 )	—	51,050
Net (income)/loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(39 )	(39 )	(151 )	(304 )
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$11,011	\$85	\$28,893	\$44,331

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

**Same-Store Communities**

Our same-store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to July 1, 2012 and held on September 30, 2013) consisted of 20,188 apartment homes and provided 90% of our total NOI for the three months ended September 30, 2013.



Table of Contents

NOI for our same-store community properties increased 6.2% or \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 5.3% or \$4.7 million increase in total revenue, which was partially offset by a 3.3% or \$904,000 increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.3% or \$3.7 million increase in rental rates and a 6.7% or \$452,000 increase in fee and reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.5% to 95.8% and total income per occupied home increased 4.8% to \$1,614 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by an 8.9% or \$781,000 increase in real estate taxes. As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 69.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 68.8% for the comparable period in 2012.

**Non-Mature Communities/Other**

The remaining \$7.1 million or 10% of our total NOI during the three months ended September 30, 2013, was generated from communities that we classify as “Non-Mature Communities/Other.” The Operating Partnership’s non-mature communities/other consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same-store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, and non-apartment components of mixed use properties. NOI from non-mature communities/other decreased 18.6% or \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in NOI of \$1.5 million from Commercial and other properties.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

**Same-Store Communities**

Our same-store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2012 and held on September 30, 2013) consisted of 19,530 apartment homes and provided 86% of our total NOI for the nine months ended June 30, 2013.

NOI for our same-store community properties increased 6.2% or \$10.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 5.2% or \$13.1 million increase in total revenue, which was partially offset by a 3.0% or \$2.4 million increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.4% or \$10.8 million increase in rental rates and a 7.6% or \$1.4 million increase in fee and reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.2% to 95.3% and total income per occupied home increased 5.0% to \$1,577 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by an 8.7% or \$2.2 million increase in real estate tax and a 4.9% or \$899,000 increase in personnel costs, which was partially offset by a 6.7% or \$860,000 decrease in repair and maintenance expense.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 69.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 69.0% for the comparable period in 2012.

**Non-Mature Communities/Other**

The remaining \$30.7 million or 14% of our total NOI during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was generated from communities that we classify as Non-Mature Communities/Other. The Operating Partnership’s Non-Mature Communities/Other consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same-store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, and non-apartment components of mixed use properties. NOI from Non-Mature Communities/Other decreased 17.8% or \$6.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in NOI of \$4.5 million from properties sold in 2012 and a decrease of 9.2% or \$1.4 million from redeveloped properties.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, real estate depreciation and amortization from continuing and discontinued operations decreased by 12.1% or \$6.2 million and 9.3% or \$13.9 million as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The decrease in depreciation and amortization for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 is primarily from disposition of assets in 2012, partially offset by the depreciation from developed and redeveloped units placed in service in 2012 and 2013.

Interest Expense

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, interest expense decreased by 10.9% or \$1.1 million and 24.1% or \$8.6 million as compared to the comparable periods in 2012. The decrease in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 is primarily due to lower debt balances and lower interest rates.

Hurricane-related (Recoveries)/Charges, Net

In October 2012, Hurricane Sandy hit the East Coast, affecting two of the Operating Partnership's operating communities (1,001 apartment homes) located in New York City. The properties suffered some physical damage, and were closed to residents for a period following the hurricane. The Operating Partnership has insurance policies that provide coverage for property damage and business interruption, subject to applicable retention.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Operating Partnership recognized a \$7.1 million impairment charge for the damaged assets' net book value and incurred \$7.0 million of repair and cleanup costs during the year ended December 31, 2012. The impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were reduced as of December 31, 2012 by \$10.8 million of estimated insurance recovery, and were classified in "Hurricane related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no material adjustments to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred were recognized. The rehabilitation of these two properties was primarily completed in the third quarter of 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had settled the Hurricane Sandy claims and received insurance proceeds in excess of the \$10.8 million estimated insurance recovery receivable related to the impairment charge and the repair and cleanup costs incurred. As a result, the Company recognized a Hurricane-related recovery of approximately \$1.0 million and \$3.3 million and a casualty gain of approximately \$582,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Both the recovery and casualty gain were classified in "Hurricane related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Based on the claims filed and management's estimates, the Operating Partnership recognized \$2.2 million of business interruption losses for the year ended December 31, 2012, of which \$1.8 million were related to rent concession rebates provided to residents during the period the properties were uninhabitable and were classified in "Hurricane related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and \$400,000 were related to rent that was not contractually receivable and were classified as a reduction to "Rental income" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company estimates that it incurred an additional \$2.1 million of business interruption losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. As noted, the Company settled the Hurricane Sandy claims as of September 30, 2013.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership received approximately \$2.2 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, of insurance proceeds for recovery of business interruption losses. Of the \$4.2 million of insurance proceeds received during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$2.1 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2012 and the remaining \$2.1 million related to recovery of business interruption losses incurred in 2013. The \$4.2 million of recovery was classified as "Hurricane related (recoveries)/charges, net" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Net Gain/(Loss) on the Sale of Depreciable Properties

There were no sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized net gain/(loss) on the sale of communities of \$(132,000) and \$51.1 million, respectively. The net gain/(loss) is included in "Income/(loss) from discontinued operations" on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our divestiture activity from period to period as well as the extent of gains related to specific properties sold.

Table of Contents

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company and the Operating Partnership are exposed to interest rate changes associated with our unsecured credit facility and other variable rate debt as well as refinancing risk on our fixed rate debt. The Company's and the Operating Partnership's involvement with derivative financial instruments is limited and we do not expect to use them for trading or other speculative purposes. The Company and the Operating Partnership use derivative instruments solely to manage their exposure to interest rates.

See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 under the heading "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" for a more complete discussion of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. As of September 30, 2013, our market risk has not changed materially from the amounts reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

It should be noted that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. As a result, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that such disclosure controls and procedures will meet their objectives.

As of September 30, 2013, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are effective at the reasonable assurance level described above.

Table of Contents

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is a party to various claims and routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that the results of any such claims and litigation, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that affect the business and the results of operations of the Company and the Operating Partnership, some of which are beyond the control of the Company and the Operating Partnership. The following is a description of important factors that may cause the actual results of operations of the Company and the Operating Partnership in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or discussed in forward-looking statements set forth in this Report relating to our financial results, operations and business prospects. Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this Report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

Risks Related to Our Real Estate Investments and Our Operations

Unfavorable Apartment Market and Economic Conditions Could Adversely Affect Occupancy Levels, Rental Revenues and the Value of Our Real Estate Assets. Unfavorable market conditions in the areas in which we operate and unfavorable economic conditions generally may significantly affect our occupancy levels, our rental rates and collections, the value of the properties and our ability to strategically acquire or dispose of apartment communities on economically favorable terms. Our ability to lease our properties at favorable rates is adversely affected by the increase in supply in the multifamily market and is dependent upon the overall level in the economy, which is adversely affected by, among other things, job losses and unemployment levels, recession, personal debt levels, a downturn in the housing market, stock market volatility and uncertainty about the future. Some of our major expenses, including mortgage payments and real estate taxes, generally do not decline when related rents decline. We would expect that declines in our occupancy levels, rental revenues and/or the values of our apartment communities would cause us to have less cash available to pay our indebtedness and to distribute to UDR's stockholders, which could adversely affect our financial condition and the market value of our securities. Factors that may affect our occupancy levels, our rental revenues, and/or the value of our properties include the following, among others:

- downturns in the national, regional and local economic conditions, particularly increases in unemployment;
- declines in mortgage interest rates, making alternative housing more affordable;
- government or builder incentives which enable first time homebuyers to put little or no money down, making alternative housing options more attractive;
- local real estate market conditions, including oversupply of, or reduced demand for, apartment homes;
- declines in the financial condition of our tenants, which may make it more difficult for us to collect rents from some tenants;
- changes in market rental rates;
- our ability to renew leases or re-lease space on favorable terms;
  - the timing and costs associated with property improvements, repairs or renovations;
- declines in household formation; and
- rent control or stabilization laws, or other laws regulating rental housing, which could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs.



Continued Economic Weakness Following the Economic Recession that the U.S. Economy Recently Experienced May Materially and Adversely Affect our Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The U.S. economy continues to experience some weakness following a severe recession, including relatively high levels of unemployment and weak consumer spending. If the economic recovery slows or stalls, or if the economy experiences another recession, we may experience adverse effects on our occupancy levels, our rental revenues and the value of our properties, any of which could adversely affect our cash flow, financial condition and results of operations. We are also exposed to risks relating to the housing market recovery that has

Table of Contents

accompanied the economic recovery, to the extent that when demand for single family homes increases, demand for apartments may decline, which could adversely affect our cash flow, financial condition and results of operations. Substantial International, National and Local Government Spending and Increasing Deficits May Adversely Impact Our Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The values of, and the cash flows from, the properties we own are affected by developments in global, national and local economies. As a result of the most recent recession and the significant government interventions, federal, state and local governments have incurred record deficits and assumed or guaranteed liabilities of private financial institutions or other private entities. These increased budget deficits and the weakened financial condition of federal, state and local governments may lead to reduced governmental spending, tax increases, public sector job losses, increased interest rates, currency devaluations or other adverse economic events, which may directly or indirectly adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Risk of Inflation/Deflation.** Substantial inflationary or deflationary pressures could have a negative effect on rental rates and property operating expenses. The general risk of inflation is that our debt interest and general and administrative expenses increase at a rate higher than our rental rates. The predominant effects of deflation include high unemployment and credit contraction. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financing or refinancing for our properties. High unemployment may have a negative effect on our occupancy levels and our rental revenues.

**We Are Subject to Certain Risks Associated with Selling Apartment Communities, Which Could Limit Our Operational and Financial Flexibility.** We periodically dispose of apartment communities that no longer meet our strategic objectives, but adverse market conditions may make it difficult to sell apartment communities like the ones we own. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property. Furthermore, we may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. These conditions may limit our ability to dispose of properties and to change our portfolio promptly in order to meet our strategic objectives, which may in turn have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and the market value of our securities. We are also subject to the following risks in connection with sales of our apartment communities: a significant portion of the proceeds from our overall property sales may be held by intermediaries in order for some sales to qualify as like-kind exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the "Code," so that any related capital gain can be deferred for federal income tax purposes. As a result, we may not have immediate access to all of the cash proceeds generated from our property sales; and federal tax laws limit our ability to profit on the sale of communities that we have owned for less than two years, and this limitation may prevent us from selling communities when market conditions are favorable.

**Competition Could Limit Our Ability to Lease Apartment Homes or Increase or Maintain Rents.** Our apartment communities compete with numerous housing alternatives in attracting residents, including other apartment communities, condominiums and single-family rental homes, as well as owner occupied single-and multi-family homes. Competitive housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartment homes and increase or maintain rents.

**We May Not Realize the Anticipated Benefits of Past or Future Acquisitions, and the Failure to Integrate Acquired Communities and New Personnel Successfully Could Create Inefficiencies.** We have selectively acquired in the past, and if presented with attractive opportunities we intend to selectively acquire in the future, apartment communities that meet our investment criteria. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

- we may be unable to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;
- even if we are able to finance the acquisition, cash flow from the acquisition may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments on the acquisition;
- even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for an apartment community, we may be unable to complete the acquisition after incurring certain acquisition-related costs;
-

we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with the evaluation and negotiation of potential acquisitions, including potential acquisitions that we are subsequently unable to complete; when we acquire an apartment community, we may invest additional amounts in it with the intention of increasing profitability, and these additional investments may not produce the anticipated improvements in profitability; and we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate acquired apartment communities and new personnel into our existing operations, and the failure to successfully integrate such apartment communities or personnel will result in inefficiencies that could adversely affect our expected return on our investments and our overall profitability.

Table of Contents

Competition Could Adversely Affect Our Ability to Acquire Properties. In the past, other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, developer partnerships, investment companies and other public and private apartment REITs, have competed with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties, and such competition in the future may make it more difficult for us to pursue attractive investment opportunities on favorable terms, which could adversely affect our ability to grow.

Development and Construction Risks Could Impact Our Profitability. In the past we have selectively pursued the development and construction of apartment communities, and we intend to do so in the future as appropriate opportunities arise. Development activities have been, and in the future may be, conducted through wholly-owned affiliated companies or through joint ventures with unaffiliated parties. Our development and construction activities are subject to the following risks:

- we may be unable to obtain construction financing for development activities under favorable terms, including but not limited to interest rates, maturity dates and/or loan to value ratios, or at all which could cause us to delay or even abandon potential developments;

- we may be unable to obtain, or face delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations, which could result in increased development costs, could delay initial occupancy dates for all or a portion of a development community, and could require us to abandon our activities entirely with respect to a project for which we are unable to obtain permits or authorizations;

- yields may be less than anticipated as a result of delays in completing projects, costs that exceed budget and/or higher than expected concessions for lease up and lower rents than expected;

- if we are unable to find joint venture partners to help fund the development of a community or otherwise obtain acceptable financing for the developments, our development capacity may be limited;

- we may abandon development opportunities that we have already begun to explore, and we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in connection with exploring such opportunities;

- we may be unable to complete construction and lease-up of a community on schedule, or incur development or construction costs that exceed our original estimates, and we may be unable to charge rents that would compensate for any increase in such costs;

- occupancy rates and rents at a newly developed community may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, preventing us from meeting our profitability goals for that community; and

- when we sell to third parties communities or properties that we developed or renovated, we may be subject to warranty or construction defect claims that are uninsured or exceed the limits of our insurance.

In some cases in the past, the costs of upgrading acquired communities exceeded our original estimates. We may experience similar cost increases in the future. Our inability to charge rents that will be sufficient to offset the effects of any increases in these costs may impair our profitability.

Bankruptcy or Defaults of Our Counterparties Could Adversely Affect Our Performance. We have relationships with and, from time to time, we execute transactions with or receive services from many counterparties, such as general contractors engaged in connection with our development activities. As a result, bankruptcies or defaults by these counterparties could result in services not being provided, or volatility in the financial markets and economic weakness could affect the counterparties' ability to complete transactions with us as intended, both of which could result in disruptions to our operations that may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Property Ownership Through Joint Ventures May Limit Our Ability to Act Exclusively in Our Interest. We have in the past and may in the future develop and/or acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when we believe circumstances warrant the use of such structures. If we use such a structure, we could become engaged in a dispute with one or more of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to operate a jointly-owned property. Moreover, joint venture partners may have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives, including objectives that relate to the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of a property. In some instances, joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest. Also, our joint venture partners might refuse to make capital contributions when due and we may be

responsible to our partners for indemnifiable losses. Frequently, we and our partners may each have the right to trigger a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our partners' interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have initiated such a transaction and may result in the valuation of our interest in the joint venture (if we are the seller) or of the other partner's interest in the joint venture (if we are the buyer) at levels which may not be representative of the valuation that would result from an arm's length marketing process.

We are also subject to risk in cases where an institutional owner is our joint venture partner, including (i) a deadlock if we and our joint venture partner are unable to agree upon certain major and other decisions, (ii) the limitation of our ability to

Table of Contents

liquidate our position in the joint venture without the consent of the other joint venture partner, and (iii) the requirement to provide guarantees in favor of lenders with respect to the indebtedness of the joint venture. We Could Incur Significant Insurance Costs and Some Potential Losses May Not Be Adequately Covered by Insurance. We have a comprehensive insurance program covering our property and operating activities. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits of these policies are adequate and appropriate. There are, however, certain types of extraordinary losses which may not be adequately covered under our insurance program. In addition, we will sustain losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insured retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage.

If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In such an event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Material losses in excess of insurance proceeds may occur in the future. If one or more of our significant properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to UDR's stockholders.

As a result of our substantial real estate holdings, the cost of insuring our apartment communities is a significant component of expense. Insurance premiums are subject to significant increases and fluctuations, which can be widely outside of our control. We insure our properties with insurance companies that we believe have a good rating at the time our policies are put into effect. The financial condition of one or more of our insurance companies that we hold policies with may be negatively impacted resulting in their inability to pay on future insurance claims. Their inability to pay future claims may have a negative impact on our financial results. In addition, the failure of one or more insurance companies may increase the costs to renew our insurance policies or increase the cost of insuring additional properties and recently developed or redeveloped properties.

Failure to Succeed in New Markets May Limit Our Growth. We have acquired in the past, and we may acquire in the future if appropriate opportunities arise, apartment communities that are outside of our existing markets. Entering into new markets may expose us to a variety of risks, and we may not be able to operate successfully in new markets.

These risks include, among others:

- inability to accurately evaluate local apartment market conditions and local economies;
- inability to hire and retain key personnel;
  - lack of familiarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and
- inability to achieve budgeted financial results.

Potential Liability for Environmental Contamination Could Result in Substantial Costs. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, as a current or former owner or operator of real estate, we could be required to investigate and remediate the effects of contamination of currently or formerly owned real estate by hazardous or toxic substances, often regardless of our knowledge of or responsibility for the contamination and solely by virtue of our current or former ownership or operation of the real estate. In addition, we could be held liable to a governmental authority or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination. These costs could be substantial, and in many cases environmental laws create liens in favor of governmental authorities to secure their payment. The presence of such substances or a failure to properly remediate any resulting contamination could materially and adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent an affected property.

In addition, our properties are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws, including laws governing the management of wastes and underground and aboveground storage tanks.

Noncompliance with these environmental, health and safety laws could subject us to liability. Changes in laws could increase the potential costs of compliance with environmental laws, health and safety laws or increase liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations.

As the owner or operator of real property, we may also incur liability based on various building conditions. For example, buildings and other structures on properties that we currently own or operate or those we acquire or operate in the future contain, may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material, or ACM. Environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained and may impose fines or penalties on owners, operators or employers for non-compliance with those requirements.

These requirements include special precautions, such as removal, abatement or air monitoring, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation or demolition of a building, potentially resulting in substantial costs. In addition, we may be

Table of Contents

subject to liability for personal injury or property damage sustained as a result of exposure to ACM or releases of ACM into the environment.

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders, or that such costs or liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our Properties May Contain or Develop Harmful Mold or Suffer from Other Indoor Air Quality Issues, Which Could Lead to Liability for Adverse Health Effects or Property Damage or Cost for Remediation. When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants or to increase ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Compliance or Failure to Comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 or Other Safety Regulations and Requirements Could Result in Substantial Costs. The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including our properties, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. From time to time claims may be asserted against us with respect to some of our properties under this Act. If, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Real Estate Tax and Other Laws. Generally we do not directly pass through costs resulting from compliance with or changes in real estate tax laws to residential property tenants. We also do not generally pass through increases in income, service or other taxes, to tenants under leases. These costs may adversely affect net operating income and the ability to make distributions to stockholders. Similarly, compliance with or changes in (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions or (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating housing, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect funds from operations and the ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Risk of Damage from Catastrophic Weather Events. Certain of our communities are located in the general vicinity of mudslides and fires, and others where there are hurricanes, tornadoes or risks of other inclement weather. The adverse weather events could cause damage or losses that may be greater than insured levels. In the event of a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose our capital invested in the affected community, as well as anticipated future revenue from that community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations.

Risk of Earthquake Damage. Some of our communities are located in the general vicinity of active earthquake faults. We cannot assure you that an earthquake would not cause damage or losses greater than insured levels. In the event of a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose our capital invested in the affected community, as well as anticipated future revenue from that community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations. Insurance coverage for earthquakes can be costly due to limited industry



capacity. As a result, we may experience shortages in desired coverage levels if market conditions are such that insurance is not available or the cost of insurance makes it, in management's view, economically impractical.

**Risk of Accidental Death Due to Fire, Natural Disasters or Other Hazards.** The accidental death of persons living in our communities due to fire, natural disasters or other hazards could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Our insurance coverage may not cover all losses associated with such events, and we may experience difficulty

Table of Contents

marketing communities where such any such events have occurred, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Actual or Threatened Terrorist Attacks May Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business and Operating Results and Could Decrease the Value of Our Assets. Actual or threatened terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results. Attacks that directly impact one or more of our apartment communities could significantly affect our ability to operate those communities and thereby impair our ability to achieve our expected results. Further, our insurance coverage may not cover all losses caused by a terrorist attack. In addition, the adverse effects that such violent acts and threats of future attacks could have on the U.S. economy could similarly have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Mezzanine Loan Assets Involve Greater Risks of Loss than Senior Loans Secured by Income-producing Properties. We may acquire mezzanine loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. Mezzanine loans may involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage lending secured by income-producing real property, because the loan may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender and because it is in second position and there may not be adequate equity in the property. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some of or all our initial expenditure. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal.

We May Experience a Decline in the Fair Value of Our Assets and Be Forced to Recognize Impairment Charges, Which Could Materially and Adversely Impact Our Financial Condition, Liquidity and Results of Operations and the Market Price of UDR's Common Stock. A decline in the fair value of our assets may require us to recognize an impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale. If we are required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future, these charges could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and the per share trading price of UDR's common stock.

Any Material Weaknesses Identified in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Could Have an Adverse Effect on UDR's Stock Price. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate and report on our internal control over financial reporting. If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which in turn could have an adverse effect on UDR's stock price.

Our Business and Operations Would Suffer in the Event of System Failures. Despite system redundancy, the implementation of security measures and the existence of a disaster recovery plan for our internal information technology systems, our systems are vulnerable to damages from any number of sources, including computer viruses, unauthorized access, energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war, and telecommunication failures. We rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information and to manage or support a variety of our business processes, including financial transactions and keeping of records, which may include personal identifying information of tenants and lease data. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmitting and storing confidential tenant information, such as individually identifiable information relating to financial accounts. Although

we take steps to protect the security of the data maintained in our information systems, it is possible that our security measures will not be able to prevent the systems' improper functioning, or the improper disclosure of personally identifiable information, such as in the event of cyber attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could materially and adversely affect us.

## Table of Contents

**Our Success Depends on Our Senior Management.** Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, whose continued service is not guaranteed. We may not be able to find qualified replacements for the individuals who make up our senior management if their services should no longer be available to us. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**We May be Adversely Affected by New Federal Laws and Regulations.** The United States Administration and Congress have enacted, or called for consideration of, proposals relating to a variety of issues, including with respect to health care, financial regulation reform, climate change, executive compensation and others. We believe that these and other potential proposals could have varying degrees of impact on us ranging from minimal to material. At this time, we are unable to predict with certainty what level of impact specific proposals could have on us.

Federal rulemaking and administrative efforts that may have an impact on us focus principally on the areas perceived as contributing to the global financial crisis and the recent economic downturn. These initiatives have created a degree of uncertainty regarding the basic rules governing the real estate industry and many other businesses that is unprecedented in the United States at least since the wave of lawmaking and regulatory reform that followed in the wake of the Great Depression. The federal legislative response in this area culminated in the enactment on July 21, 2010 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”). Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities; thus, the impact on us may not be known for an extended period of time. The Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those rules, along with other legislative and regulatory proposals that are proposed or pending in the United States Congress, may limit our revenues, impose fees or taxes on us, and/or intensify the regulatory framework in which we operate in ways that are not currently identifiable.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure in particular, including certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, have created uncertainty for public companies like ours and could significantly increase the costs and risks associated with accessing the U.S. public markets. Because we are committed to maintaining high standards of internal control over financial reporting, corporate governance and public disclosure, our management team will need to devote significant time and financial resources to comply with these evolving standards for public companies. We intend to continue to invest appropriate resources to comply with both existing and evolving standards, and this investment has resulted and will likely continue to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue generating activities to compliance activities.

**We May be Adversely Affected by New State and Local Laws and Regulations.** We are subject to state and local laws, regulations and ordinances at locations where we operate and to the rules and regulations of various local authorities regarding a wide variety of matters that could affect, directly or indirectly, our operations. We cannot predict what matters might be considered in the future by these state and local authorities, nor can we judge what impact, if any, the implementation of new legislation might have on our business.

**The Adoption of Derivatives Legislation by Congress Could Have an Adverse Impact on our Ability to Hedge Risks Associated with our Business.** The Dodd-Frank Act regulates derivative transactions, which include certain instruments used in our risk management activities. The Dodd-Frank Act contemplates that most swaps will be required to be cleared through a registered clearing facility and traded on a designated exchange or swap execution facility. There are some exceptions to these requirements for entities that use swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risk and REITs meeting certain criteria. While we may ultimately be eligible for such exceptions, we cannot ensure we will qualify for them. Although the Dodd-Frank Act includes significant new provisions regarding the regulation of derivatives, the impact of those requirements will not be known definitively until regulations have been adopted and fully implemented by both the SEC and the Commodities Futures Trading Commission, and market participants establish registered clearing facilities under those regulations. The new legislation and any new regulations could increase the operational and transactional cost of derivatives contracts and affect the number and/or creditworthiness of available hedge counterparties to us.

Changes in the System for Establishing U.S. Accounting Standards May Materially and Adversely Affect Our Reported Results of Operations. Accounting for public companies in the United States has historically been conducted in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as in effect in the United States (“GAAP”). GAAP is established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”), an independent body whose standards are recognized by the SEC as authoritative for publicly held companies. The International Accounting Standards Board (the “IASB”) is a London-based independent board established in 2001 and charged with the development of International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). IFRS generally reflects accounting practices that prevail in Europe and in developed nations around the world.

## Table of Contents

IFRS differs in material respects from GAAP. Among other things, IFRS has historically relied more on “fair value” models of accounting for assets and liabilities than GAAP. “Fair value” models are based on periodic revaluation of assets and liabilities, often resulting in fluctuations in such values as compared to GAAP, which relies more frequently on historical cost as the basis for asset and liability valuation.

We are monitoring the SEC's activity with respect to the proposed adoption of IFRS by United States public companies. It is unclear at this time how the SEC will propose that GAAP and IFRS be harmonized if the proposed change is adopted. In addition, switching to a new method of accounting and adopting IFRS would be a complex undertaking. We would potentially need to develop new systems and controls based on the principles of IFRS. Since these are new endeavors, and the precise requirements of the pronouncements ultimately to be adopted are not now known, the magnitude of costs associated with this conversion are uncertain.

We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of IFRS on our financial position and results of operations. Such evaluation cannot be completed, however, without more clarity regarding the specific IFRS standards that would potentially be adopted. Until there is more certainty with respect to the IFRS standards that could be adopted, prospective investors should consider that our conversion to IFRS could have a material adverse impact on our reported results of operations.

### Risks Related to Our Indebtedness and Financings

**Insufficient Cash Flow Could Affect Our Debt Financing and Create Refinancing Risk.** We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our operating income and cash flow will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, or could restrict our borrowing capacity under our line of credit due to debt covenant restraints. Sufficient cash flow may not be available to make all required principal payments and still satisfy UDR's distribution requirements to maintain its status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, the full limits of our line of credit may not be available to us if our operating performance falls outside the constraints of our debt covenants. We are also likely to need to refinance substantially all of our outstanding debt as it matures. We may not be able to refinance existing debt, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt, which could create pressures to sell assets or to issue additional equity when we would otherwise not choose to do so. In addition, our failure to comply with our debt covenants could result in a requirement to repay our indebtedness prior to its maturity, which could have an adverse effect on our cash flow, increase our financing costs and impact our ability to make distributions to UDR's stockholders.

**Failure to Generate Sufficient Revenue Could Impair Debt Service Payments and Distributions to Stockholders.** If our apartment communities do not generate sufficient net rental income to meet rental expenses, our ability to make required payments of interest and principal on our debt securities and to pay distributions to UDR's stockholders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may affect the net rental income generated by our apartment communities:

- the national and local economies;
- local real estate market conditions, such as an oversupply of apartment homes;
- tenants' perceptions of the safety, convenience, and attractiveness of our communities and the neighborhoods where they are located;
- our ability to provide adequate management, maintenance and insurance;
- rental expenses, including real estate taxes and utilities;
- competition from other apartment communities;
- changes in interest rates and the availability of financing;
- changes in governmental regulations and the related costs of compliance; and
- changes in tax and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multi-family housing.

Expenses associated with our investment in an apartment community, such as debt service, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in rental income from that community. If a community is mortgaged to secure payment of debt and we are unable to make the mortgage payments, we could sustain a loss as a result of foreclosure on the community or the exercise of other remedies by the

mortgage holder.

**Our Debt Level May Be Increased.** Our current debt policy does not contain any limitations on the level of debt that we may incur, although our ability to incur debt is limited by covenants in our bank and other credit agreements. We manage our debt to be in compliance with these debt covenants, but subject to compliance with these covenants, we may increase the amount of our debt at any time without a concurrent improvement in our ability to service the additional debt.

98

---

Table of Contents

Financing May Not Be Available and Could Be Dilutive. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity. We and other companies in the real estate industry have experienced limited availability of financing from time to time. If we issue additional equity securities to finance developments and acquisitions instead of incurring debt, the interests of our existing stockholders could be diluted.

Failure To Maintain Our Current Credit Ratings Could Adversely Affect Our Cost of Funds, Related Margins, Liquidity, and Access to Capital Markets. Moody's and Standard & Poor's, the major debt rating agencies, routinely evaluate our debt and have given us ratings on our senior unsecured debt and preferred stock. These ratings are based on a number of factors, which included their assessment of our financial strength, liquidity, capital structure, asset quality, and sustainability of cash flow and earnings. Due to changes in market conditions, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings, which could adversely affect our cost of funds and related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

Disruptions in Financial Markets May Adversely Impact Availability and Cost of Credit and Have Other Adverse Effects on Us and the Market Price of UDR's Stock. Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance debt obligations will depend on our operating and financial performance, which in turn is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. During the past few years, the United States stock and credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing. The potential downgrade of the U.S.'s credit rating and the European debt crisis have contributed to instability in global credit markets. Continued uncertainty in the stock and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing for acquisitions, development of our properties and other purposes at reasonable terms, which may negatively affect our business. Additionally, due to this uncertainty, we may be unable to refinance our existing indebtedness or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing indebtedness. If we are not successful in refinancing this debt when it becomes due, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms, which might adversely affect our ability to service other debt and to meet our other obligations. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. These events also may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of UDR's common or preferred stock. The disruptions in the financial markets have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on the market value of UDR's common shares and other adverse effects on us and our business.

Prospective buyers of our properties may also experience difficulty in obtaining debt financing which might make it more difficult for us to sell properties at acceptable pricing levels. Tightening of credit in financial markets and high unemployment rates may also adversely affect the ability of tenants to meet their lease obligations and for us to continue increasing rents on a prospective basis. Disruptions in the credit and financial markets may also have other adverse effects on us and the overall economy.

A Change in U.S. Government Policy Regarding Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac Could Have a Material Adverse Impact on Our Business. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of financing for secured multifamily rental real estate. We and other multifamily companies depend heavily on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to finance growth by purchasing or guaranteeing apartment loans. In September 2008, the U.S. government assumed control of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and placed both companies into a government conservatorship under the Federal Housing Finance Agency. The Administration and lawmakers have proposed potential options for the future of mortgage finance in the U.S. that could involve the phase out of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. While we believe Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will continue to provide liquidity to our sector, should they discontinue doing so, have their mandates changed or reduced or be disbanded or reorganized by the government, it would significantly reduce our access to debt capital and adversely affect our ability to finance or refinance existing indebtedness at competitive rates and it may adversely affect our ability to sell assets. Uncertainty in the future activity and involvement of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as a



source of financing could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and make it more difficult or not possible for us to sell properties or may adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing.

**The Soundness of Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect Us.** We have relationships with many financial institutions, including lenders under our credit facilities, and, from time to time, we execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, financial institutions or the financial services industry generally, could result in losses or defaults by these institutions. In the event that the volatility of the financial markets adversely affects these financial institutions or counterparties, we or other parties to the transactions with us may be unable to complete transactions as intended, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Changing Interest Rates Could Increase Interest Costs and Adversely Affect Our Cash Flow and the Market Price of Our Securities. We currently have, and expect to incur in the future, interest-bearing debt at rates that vary with market interest rates. As of September 30, 2013, UDR had approximately \$341.1 million of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 9.8% of total outstanding indebtedness as of such date. As of September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership had approximately \$169.1 million of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 18.0% of total outstanding indebtedness to third parties as of such date. An increase in interest rates would increase our interest expenses and increase the costs of refinancing existing indebtedness and of issuing new debt. Accordingly, higher interest rates could adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service our debt and to make distributions to security holders. The effect of prolonged interest rate increases could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and develop properties. In addition, an increase in market interest rates may lead our security holders to demand a higher annual yield, which could adversely affect the market price of UDR's common and preferred stock and debt securities.

Interest Rate Hedging Contracts May Be Ineffective and May Result in Material Charges. From time to time when we anticipate issuing debt securities, we may seek to limit our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates during the period prior to the pricing of the securities by entering into interest rate hedging contracts. We may do this to increase the predictability of our financing costs. Also, from time to time we may rely on interest rate hedging contracts to limit our exposure under variable rate debt to unfavorable changes in market interest rates. If the terms of new debt securities are not within the parameters of, or market interest rates fall below that which we incur under a particular interest rate hedging contract, the contract is ineffective. Furthermore, the settlement of interest rate hedging contracts has involved and may in the future involve material charges. In addition, our use of interest rate hedging arrangements may expose us to additional risks, including a risk that a counterparty to a hedging arrangement may fail to honor its obligations. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. There can be no assurance that our hedging activities will have desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Termination of these hedging agreements typically involves costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs.

Risks Related to Tax Laws

We Would Incur Adverse Tax Consequences if UDR Failed to Qualify as a REIT. UDR has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. Our qualification as a REIT requires us to satisfy numerous requirements, some on an annual and quarterly basis, established under highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations, and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. We intend that our current organization and method of operation enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT, but we may not so qualify or we may not be able to remain so qualified in the future. In addition, U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs and other corporations and the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended at any time, potentially with retroactive effect. Future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or adversely affect UDR's stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and would not be allowed to deduct dividends paid to UDR's stockholders in computing our taxable income. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year after the year in which we first failed to qualify as a REIT. The additional tax liability from the failure to qualify as a REIT would reduce or eliminate the amount of cash available for investment or distribution to UDR's stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities and our ability to raise additional capital. In addition, we would no longer be required to make distributions to UDR's stockholders. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property.

Dividends Paid By REITs Generally Do Not Qualify for Reduced Tax Rates. In general, the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate for dividends paid to individual U.S. shareholders is 20%. Unlike dividends received from a corporation that is not a REIT, our distributions to individual shareholders generally are not eligible for the reduced

rates.

UDR May Conduct a Portion of Our Business Through Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, Which are Subject to Certain Tax Risks. We have established several taxable REIT subsidiaries. Despite UDR's qualification as a REIT, its taxable REIT subsidiaries must pay income tax on their taxable income. In addition, we must comply with various tests to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and our income from and investments in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally do not constitute permissible income and investments for these tests. While we will attempt to ensure that our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not adversely affect our REIT qualification, we cannot provide assurance that we will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, we may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, we may jeopardize our ability to retain

100

---

Table of Contents

future gains on real property sales, or our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be denied deductions, to the extent our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not deemed to be arm's length in nature or are otherwise not respected. REIT Distribution Requirements Limit Our Available Cash. As a REIT, UDR is subject to annual distribution requirements, which limit the amount of cash we retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for our distributed earnings not to be subject to corporate income tax. We intend to make distributions to UDR's stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code.

Certain Property Transfers May Generate Prohibited Transaction Income, Resulting in a Penalty Tax on Gain Attributable to the Transaction. From time to time, we may transfer or otherwise dispose of some of our properties. Under the Code, any gain resulting from transfers of properties that we hold as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business would be treated as income from a prohibited transaction and subject to a 100% penalty tax. Since we acquire properties for investment purposes, we do not believe that our occasional transfers or disposals of property are prohibited transactions. However, whether property is held for investment purposes is a question of fact that depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction. The Internal Revenue Service may contend that certain transfers or disposals of properties by us are prohibited transactions. If the Internal Revenue Service were to argue successfully that a transfer or disposition of property constituted a prohibited transaction, then we would be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on any gain allocable to us from the prohibited transaction and we may jeopardize our ability to retain future gains on real property sales. In addition, income from a prohibited transaction might adversely affect UDR's ability to satisfy the income tests for qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We Could Face Possible State and Local Tax Audits and Adverse Changes in State and Local Tax Laws. As discussed in the risk factors above, because UDR is organized and qualifies as a REIT it is generally not subject to federal income taxes, but it is subject to certain state and local taxes. From time to time, changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. A shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in which we own apartment communities may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional state and local taxes. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and the amount of cash available for the payment of distributions to UDR's stockholders. In the normal course of business, entities through which we own real estate may also become subject to tax audits. If such entities become subject to state or local tax audits, the ultimate result of such audits could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

The Operating Partnership Intends to Qualify as a Partnership, But Cannot Guarantee That It Will Qualify. The Operating Partnership intends to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes at any such time that the Operating Partnership admits additional limited partners other than UDR. If classified as a partnership, the Operating Partnership generally will not be a taxable entity and will not incur federal income tax liability. However, the Operating Partnership would be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes if it were a "publicly traded partnership," unless at least 90% of the Operating Partnership's income was qualifying income as defined in the Code. A "publicly traded partnership" is a partnership whose partnership interests are traded on an established securities market or are readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof). Although the Operating Partnership's partnership units are not traded on an established securities market, because of the redemption right, the Operating Partnership's units held by limited partners could be viewed as readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof), and the Operating Partnership may not qualify for one of the "safe harbors" under the applicable tax regulations. Qualifying income for the 90% test generally includes passive income, such as real property rents, dividends and interest. The income requirements applicable to REITs and the definition of qualifying income for purposes of this 90% test are similar in most respects. The Operating Partnership may not meet this qualifying income test. If the Operating Partnership were to be taxed as a corporation, it would incur substantial tax liabilities, and UDR would then fail to qualify as a REIT for tax purposes, unless it qualified for relief under certain statutory savings provisions, and our ability to raise additional capital would be impaired.

Qualifying as a REIT Involves Highly Technical and Complex Provisions of the Code. Our qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Moreover, new legislation, court decisions or administrative guidance, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the REIT income and asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals, and upon our

Table of Contents

ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

**Risks Related to Our Organization and Ownership of UDR's Stock**

**Changes in Market Conditions and Volatility of Stock Prices Could Adversely Affect the Market Price of UDR's Common Stock.** The stock markets, including the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”), on which we list UDR's common stock, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. As a result, the market price of UDR's common stock could be similarly volatile, and investors in UDR's common stock may experience a decrease in the value of their shares, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In addition to the risks listed in this “Risk Factors” section, a number of factors could negatively affect the price per share of UDR's common stock, including:

- general market and economic conditions;
- actual or anticipated variations in UDR's quarterly operating results or dividends or UDR's payment of dividends in shares of UDR's stock;
- changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;
- difficulties or inability to access capital or extend or refinance existing debt;
- decreasing (or uncertainty in) real estate valuations;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;
- the general reputation of real estate investment trusts and the attractiveness of their equity securities in comparison to other equity securities (including securities issued by other real estate companies);
- general stock and bond market conditions, including changes in interest rates on fixed income securities, that may lead prospective purchasers of UDR's stock to demand a higher annual yield from future dividends;
- a change in analyst ratings;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- terrorist activity which may adversely affect the markets in which UDR's securities trade, possibly increasing market volatility and causing the further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending;
- failure to qualify as a REIT;
- strategic decisions by us or by our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestments, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments or changes in business strategy;
- failure to satisfy listing requirements of the NYSE;
- governmental regulatory action and changes in tax laws; and
- the issuance of additional shares of UDR's common stock, or the perception that such sales might occur, including under UDR's at-the-market equity distribution program.

Many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of shares of UDR's common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or our prospects.

**We May Change the Dividend Policy for UDR's Common Stock in the Future.** The decision to declare and pay dividends on UDR's common stock, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual prohibitions or other limitations under our indebtedness, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, state law and such other factors as our board of directors considers relevant. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of UDR's common stock.

**Maryland Law May Limit the Ability of a Third Party to Acquire Control of Us, Which May Not be in UDR's Stockholders' Best Interests.** Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to various Maryland laws which may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire our Company and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in UDR's stockholders' best interests. The Maryland General Corporation Law restricts mergers and other business combination transactions between us and any person who acquires beneficial ownership of shares of UDR's stock representing 10% or more of the voting power without our board of directors' prior approval. Any such business combination transaction could not be completed until five years after the person acquired such voting power, and generally only with the approval of stockholders representing 80% of all votes entitled to be cast and 66 2/3% of the votes entitled to be cast, excluding the interested stockholder, or upon payment of a

Table of Contents

fair price. Maryland law also provides generally that a person who acquires shares of our equity stock that represents 10% (and certain higher levels) of the voting power in electing directors will have no voting rights unless approved by a vote of two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote.

Limitations on Share Ownership and Limitations on the Ability of UDR's Stockholders to Effect a Change in Control of Our Company Restricts the Transferability of UDR's Stock and May Prevent Takeovers That are Beneficial to UDR's Stockholders. One of the requirements for maintenance of our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes is that no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned by five or fewer individuals, including entities specified in the Code, during the last half of any taxable year. Our charter contains ownership and transfer restrictions relating to UDR's stock primarily to assist us in complying with this and other REIT ownership requirements; however, the restrictions may have the effect of preventing a change of control, which does not threaten REIT status. These restrictions include a provision that generally limits ownership by any person of more than 9.9% of the value of our outstanding equity stock, unless our board of directors exempts the person from such ownership limitation, provided that any such exemption shall not allow the person to exceed 13% of the value of our outstanding equity stock. Absent such an exemption from our board of directors, the transfer of UDR's stock to any person in excess of the applicable ownership limit, or any transfer of shares of such stock in violation of the ownership requirements of the Code for REITs, will be considered null and void, and the intended transferee of such stock will acquire no rights in such shares. These provisions of our charter may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing someone from taking control of us, even though a change of control might involve a premium price for UDR's stockholders or might otherwise be in UDR's stockholders' best interests.

#### Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

##### Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

From time to time the Company issues shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for operating partnership units ("OP Units") tendered to the Operating Partnership, for redemption in accordance with the provisions of the Operating Partnership's limited partnership agreement. The holders of limited partnership OP Units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of their limited partnership OP Units in exchange for a cash payment based on the market value of our common stock at the time of redemption. However, the Operating Partnership's obligation to pay the cash amount is subject to the prior right of the Company to acquire such OP Units in exchange for either the Cash Amount or the number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the number of OP Units being redeemed.

On April 16, 2013, we issued 2,604 shares of our common stock upon redemption of OP Units. Because these shares of common stock were issued to accredited investors in transactions not involving a public offering, the transaction is exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in accordance with Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. We did not issue any other shares of our common stock upon redemption of OP Units during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

##### Repurchase of Equity Securities

In February 2006, UDR's Board of Directors authorized a 10 million share repurchase program. In January 2008, our Board of Directors authorized a new 15 million share repurchase program. Under the two share repurchase programs, UDR may repurchase shares of our common stock in open market purchases, block purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. As reflected in the table below, no shares of common stock were repurchased under these programs during the quarter ended September 30, 2013.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or



Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-Q

			Programs	Programs (1)
Beginning Balance	9,967,490	\$22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510
July 1, 2013 through July 31, 2013	—	—	—	15,032,510
August 1, 2013 through August 31, 2013	—	—	—	15,032,510
September 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013	—	—	—	15,032,510
Balance as of September 30, 2013	9,967,490	\$22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510

103

---

Table of Contents

(1) This number reflects the amount of shares that were available for purchase under our 10,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in February 2006 and our 15,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in January 2008.

Item 3.      DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4.      MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

104

---

Table of Contents

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

On July 18, 2013, the Company terminated the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan, which had permitted eligible employees to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. There is no other information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, that was not previously disclosed in a Form 8-K.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits filed or furnished with this Report are set forth in the Exhibit Index.

105

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each of the registrants has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UDR, Inc.  
(registrant)

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Mark A. Schumacher  
Mark A. Schumacher  
Chief Accounting Officer and Senior Vice  
President (Principal Accounting Officer)

United Dominion Realty, L.P.  
(registrant)

By: UDR, Inc., its general partner

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Mark A. Schumacher  
Mark A. Schumacher  
Chief Accounting Officer and Senior Vice  
President (Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. Description

- 3.1 Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.09 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005 and filed with the SEC on August 1, 2005).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc. dated and filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of the State of Maryland on March 14, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 and filed with the SEC on March 15, 2007).
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc. dated and filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of the State of Maryland on August 30, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the SEC on September 1, 2011).
- 3.4 Certificate of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated February 19, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to United Dominion Realty, L.P.'s Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated and filed with the SEC on October 15, 2010).
- 3.5 Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of February 23, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 3.6 First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated June 24, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.06 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.7 Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated February 23, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006).
- 3.8 Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated February 2, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009).
- 3.9 Fourth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated December 27, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007).
- 3.10 Fifth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated March 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).
- 3.11 Sixth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated December 9, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008 and filed with the Commission on December 10, 2008).

3.12 Seventh Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P., dated as of March 13, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 18, 2009 and filed with the SEC on March 19, 2009).

107

---

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description
3.13	Eighth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P., dated as of November 17, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2010 and filed with the SEC on November 18, 2010).
3.14	Amended and Restated Bylaws of UDR, Inc. (as amended through May 12, 2011) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 13, 2011).
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends of UDR, Inc.
12.2	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges of United Dominion Realty, L.P.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR, Inc.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc.
31.3	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR Inc., general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.
31.4	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR Inc., general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR, Inc.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc.
32.3	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR Inc., general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.
32.4	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR Inc., general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.
101	XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). The following materials from this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the periods ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc., (ii) consolidated statements of operations of UDR, Inc., (iii) consolidated statements of comprehensive income/(loss) of UDR, Inc., (iv) consolidated statements of changes in equity of UDR, Inc., (v) consolidated statements of cash flows of UDR, Inc., (vi) notes to consolidated financial statements of UDR, Inc., (vii) consolidated balance sheets of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (viii) consolidated statements of operations of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (ix) consolidated statements of comprehensive income/(loss) of United Dominion Realty, L.P.; (x) consolidated statements of changes in capital of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (xi) consolidated statements of cash flows of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (xi) notes to consolidated financial statements of United Dominion Realty, L.P.





Table of Contents

## EXHIBIT 12.1

UDR, Inc.

Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends

(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$3,235	\$(8,543)	\$8,280	\$(29,925)
Add (from continuing operations):				
Interest on indebtedness	30,939	31,845	92,723	108,132
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	554	510	1,596	1,534
	\$34,728	\$23,812	\$102,599	\$79,741
Fixed charges and preferred stock dividends (from continuing operations):				
Interest on indebtedness	\$30,939	\$31,845	\$92,723	\$108,132
Capitalized interest	6,635	7,616	23,212	17,604
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	554	510	1,596	1,534
Fixed charges	\$38,128	\$39,971	\$117,531	\$127,270
Add:				
Preferred stock dividends	\$931	\$931	\$2,793	\$5,079
Premium on preferred stock redemptions, net	—	—	—	2,791
Combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	\$39,059	\$40,902	\$120,324	\$135,140
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	—	—	—	—
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges and the ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends were deficient of 1:1 ratio by \$3.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges and the ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends were deficient of 1:1 ratio by \$14.9 million and \$17.7 million, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges and the ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends were deficient of 1:1 ratio by \$16.2 million and \$17.1 million, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges and the ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends were deficient of 1:1 ratio by \$47.5 million and \$55.4 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

## EXHIBIT 12.2

United Dominion Realty, L.P.  
 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges  
 (Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$11,050	\$256	\$29,044	\$(9,527 )
Add from continuing operations:				
Interest on indebtedness	8,773	9,847	27,085	35,708
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	426	416	1,271	1,242
	\$20,249	\$10,519	\$57,400	\$27,423
Fixed charges from continuing operations:				
Interest on indebtedness	\$8,773	\$9,847	\$27,085	\$35,708
Capitalized interest	1,689	1,151	4,408	2,470
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor	426	416	1,271	1,242
Fixed charges	\$10,888	\$11,414	\$32,764	\$39,420
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	1.9	—	1.8	—

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges was deficient of achieving a 1:1 ratio by \$895,000.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges was deficient of achieving a 1:1 ratio by \$12.0 million.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, Thomas W. Toomey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of UDR, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey  
Chief Executive Officer and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

111

---

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, Thomas M. Herzog, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of UDR, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas M. Herzog

Thomas M. Herzog  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

112

---

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.3

CERTIFICATION

I, Thomas W. Toomey, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United Dominion Realty, L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey  
Chief Executive Officer and President of UDR,  
Inc. (Principal Executive Officer),  
general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.

113

---



Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 31.4

CERTIFICATION

I, Thomas M. Herzog, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of United Dominion Realty, L.P.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas M. Herzog

Thomas M. Herzog  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
of UDR, Inc. (Principal Financial Officer),  
general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.

114

---

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the periodic report of UDR, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), I, Thomas W. Toomey, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, hereby certify as of the date hereof, solely for purposes of Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, that to the best of my knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the dates and for the periods indicated.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey  
Chief Executive Officer and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the periodic report of UDR, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), I, Thomas M. Herzog, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, hereby certify as of the date hereof, solely for purposes of Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, that to the best of my knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company at the dates and for the periods indicated.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas M. Herzog

Thomas M. Herzog  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial  
Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 32.3

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the periodic report of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Thomas W. Toomey, Chief Executive Officer and President of UDR, Inc., the general partner of the Operating Partnership, hereby certify as of the date hereof, solely for purposes of Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, that to the best of my knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Operating Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey  
Chief Executive Officer and President of UDR,  
Inc. (Principal Executive Officer),  
general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT 32.4

CERTIFICATION

In connection with the periodic report of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”) on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Report”), I, Thomas M. Herzog, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc., the general partner of the Operating Partnership, hereby certify as of the date hereof, solely for purposes of Title 18, Chapter 63, Section 1350 of the United States Code, that to the best of my knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Operating Partnership at the dates and for the periods indicated.

Date: October 29, 2013

/s/ Thomas M. Herzog

Thomas M. Herzog  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
of UDR, Inc. (Principal Financial Officer),  
general partner of United Dominion Realty, L.P.