

KEY TECHNOLOGY INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2016

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

✓ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
for the transition period from \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 0-21820

---

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Oregon 93-0822509  
(State or jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

150 Avery Street  
Walla Walla, Washington 99362  
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(509) 529-2161  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value, on July 31, 2016 was 6,402,783 shares.

---

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item</u>		
<u>1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
	Unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets, June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015	<u>3</u>
	Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>4</u>
	Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>5</u>
	Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three- and nine-months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>6</u>
	Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015	<u>7</u>
	<u>Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Item</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>2.</u>		
<u>Item</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>3.</u>		
<u>Item</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>4.</u>		

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>2.</u>		
<u>Item</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>6.</u>		
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>29</u>
	<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	<u>30</u>

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 JUNE 30, 2016 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

	June 30, September 30, 2016 2015	
	(in thousands)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,771	\$ 7,726
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$249 and \$261, respectively	19,913	14,836
Inventories:		
Raw materials	11,181	14,291
Work-in-process and sub-assemblies	11,887	9,769
Finished goods	7,735	7,237
Total inventories	30,803	31,297
Deferred income taxes	3,904	3,972
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,602	4,108
Total current assets	62,993	61,939
Property, plant and equipment, net	14,052	14,799
Deferred income taxes	3,548	2,917
Goodwill	10,131	10,223
Investment in Proditec	1,127	1,127
Intangibles and other assets, net	5,529	6,541
Total	\$97,380	\$ 97,546
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$9,319	\$ 10,800
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	5,400	5,452
Customers' deposits	6,902	4,712
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	2,293	2,618
Customer purchase plans	1,818	1,506
Income taxes payable	—	2
Short-term borrowings	1,000	—
Current portion of long-term debt	600	705
Other accrued liabilities	1,179	1,313
Total current liabilities	28,511	27,108
Long-term debt	4,711	5,149
Deferred income taxes	1,815	2,144
Other long-term liabilities	376	408
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock	33,952	32,676
Warrants	—	665
Retained earnings and other shareholders' equity	28,015	29,396
Total shareholders' equity	61,967	62,737

Total

\$97,380 \$ 97,546

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

---

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

---

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016      2015 (in thousands, except per share data)	
Net sales	\$36,207	\$30,810
Cost of sales	25,553	21,573
Gross profit	10,654	9,237
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	4,135	4,146
Research and development	2,719	2,296
General and administrative	1,781	1,844
Amortization of intangibles	235	356
Total operating expenses	8,870	8,642
Gain on disposition of assets	13	8
Earnings from operations	1,797	603
Other income (expense)	(228)	(156)
Earnings before income taxes	1,569	447
Income tax expense	534	152
Net earnings	\$1,035	\$295
Net earnings per share		
- basic	\$0.16	\$0.05
- diluted	\$0.16	\$0.05
Shares used in per share calculations - basic	6,369	6,280
Shares used in per share calculations - diluted	6,369	6,301
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
 FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

---

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016      2015 (in thousands, except per share data)	
Net sales	\$89,520	\$72,482
Cost of sales	63,417	52,063
Gross profit	26,103	20,419
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	12,293	12,342
Research and development	7,911	6,554
General and administrative	6,261	5,912
Amortization of intangibles	887	1,128
Total operating expenses	27,352	25,936
Gain on disposition of assets	10	10
Loss from operations	(1,239 )	(5,507 )
Other income (expense)	(758 )	(228 )
Loss before income taxes	(1,997 )	(5,735 )
Income tax benefit	(784 )	(2,256 )
Net loss	\$(1,213 )	\$(3,479 )
Net loss per share		
- basic	\$(0.19 )	\$(0.55 )
- diluted	\$(0.19 )	\$(0.55 )
Shares used in per share calculations - basic	6,309	6,274
Shares used in per share calculations - diluted	6,309	6,274
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
 FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

---

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016		Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Net earnings (loss)	\$1,035	\$295	\$(1,213)	\$(3,479)
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(423 )	455	(274 )	(2,653 )
Unrealized changes in fair value of derivatives	—	51	—	(14 )
Reclassification adjustment for foreign currency translation included in net earnings (loss)	6	—	18	—
Income tax (expense) benefit related to items of comprehensive income (loss)	142	(172 )	87	907
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$760	\$629	\$(1,382)	\$(5,239)

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
	(in thousands)	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$(1,213)	\$ (3,479 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Gain on disposition of assets	(10 )	(10 )
Foreign currency exchange loss	227	11
Depreciation and amortization	3,763	3,920
Share based payments	860	1,000
Reclassification from other comprehensive income	18	—
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	(14 )	(12 )
Deferred income taxes	(779 )	(1,759 )
Deferred rent	6	9
Bad debt expense	(1 )	(1 )
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	(5,228 )	(1,023 )
Inventories	(80 )	(5,746 )
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	625	(875 )
Income taxes receivable	3	2,767
Intangibles and other long term assets	(33 )	(47 )
Accounts payable	(1,410 )	5,326
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	(70 )	(550 )
Customers' deposits	2,176	2,554
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	(307 )	(165 )
Income taxes payable	(43 )	(7 )
Other accrued liabilities	(31 )	(49 )
Other	4	(18 )
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(1,537 )	1,846
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from sale of property	32	12
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(1,760 )	(1,694 )
Cash used in investing activities	(1,728 )	(1,682 )

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

(Continued)

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015

---

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
	(in thousands)	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Additions to short-term borrowings	\$2,000	\$ —
Repayments of short-term borrowings	(1,000 )	—
Payments on long-term debt	(534 )	(559 )
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	14	12
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	37	138
Exchange of shares for statutory withholding	(255 )	(333 )
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	262	(742 )
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH</b>	48	(164 )
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	(2,955 )	(742 )
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD</b>	7,726	9,741
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF THE PERIOD</b>	\$4,771	\$ 8,999
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$308	\$ 185
Cash paid (refunded) during the period for income taxes	\$26	\$ (3,237 )
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		(Concluded)

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

---

1. Unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been omitted from these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015. The results of operations for the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, have been made to present fairly the Company's financial position at June 30, 2016 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASU 2014-09”). ASU 2014-09 supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under GAAP. The standard’s core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company is evaluating its existing revenue recognition policies to determine whether any contracts in the scope of the guidance will be affected by the new requirements. ASU 2014-09, as amended by ASU 2015-14, is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods therein.

In July 2015, FASB issued ASU 2015-11, "Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory" ("ASU 2015-11"). The previous standard required entities to measure inventory at the lower of cost or market, with market defined as net realizable value or replacement cost. ASU 2015-11 requires entities to measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. ASU 2015-11 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods therein. The Company is evaluating the impact of this update, but does not anticipate that it will have a material effect on its financial statements.

In November 2015, FASB issued ASU 2015-17, "Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes," which eliminates the current requirement to present deferred tax liabilities and assets as current and non-current in a classified balance sheet. Instead, entities will be required to classify all deferred tax assets and liabilities as non-current. This ASU will be effective for the Company beginning in its first quarter of fiscal year 2018 and may be adopted prospectively or retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the timing of its adoption of this ASU. The Company does not expect its adoption to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases" ("ASU 2016-02"), which is intended to improve financial reporting about leasing transactions. ASU 2016-02 will require lessees to recognize the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases on their balance sheet. Lessees will be required to recognize assets and liabilities for leases with lease terms of more than 12 months. ASU 2016-02 is effective for public companies for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, or October 1, 2019 for the Company. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has not yet determined the effect of the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations, and consolidated statement of cash flows.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, "Stock Compensation," which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment award transactions, including adjustments to the timing of when excess tax benefits should be recorded and classification in the statement of cash flows. The guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those years. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU.

## 2. Share-based compensation

During the nine months ended June 30, 2016, the Company granted 117,104 shares of service-based stock awards. The fair value of these grants ranged between \$7.12 and \$11.76 per share based on the fair market value at the grant date. The restrictions on these shares lapse at the end of the required service periods ranging from October 2016 through December 2018. During the nine months ended June 30, 2016, the Company also granted 63,257 shares of performance-based stock awards. The fair value of these grants ranged between \$9.01 and \$11.76 per share based on the fair market value at the grant date. The restrictions on the shares granted lapse upon achievement of performance-based objectives for the three-year period ending September 30, 2018 and continued employment through September 30, 2018. The Company estimates that it is less than probable that the performance-based objectives on any of the performance-based awards granted in fiscal 2016 will be achieved and, therefore, has not recorded any share-based compensation expense in fiscal 2016 related to these awards.

Share-based compensation expense included in the Company's results was as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Cost of goods sold	\$84	\$33	\$122	\$120
Operating expenses	227	292	738	880
Total share-based compensation expense	\$311	\$325	\$860	\$1,000

Share-based compensation expense remaining capitalized in inventory at June 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$22,000 and \$1,000, respectively.

## 3. Earnings (loss) per share

The calculation of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share ("EPS") is as follows (in thousands, except per-share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016			Three Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:						
Net earnings	\$1,035	6,369	\$ 0.16	\$295	6,280	\$ 0.05
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options	—	—		—	—	
Warrants	—	—		—	21	
Diluted EPS:						
Net earnings plus assumed conversions	\$1,035	6,369	\$ 0.16	\$295	6,301	\$ 0.05



Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2016			Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015		
	Loss	Shares	Per-Share Amount	Loss	Shares	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:						
Net loss	\$(1,213)	6,309	\$ (0.19 )	\$(3,479)	6,274	\$ (0.55 )
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options	—	—		—	—	
Warrants	—	—		—	—	
Diluted EPS:						
Net loss plus assumed conversions	\$(1,213)	6,309	\$ (0.19 )	\$(3,479)	6,274	\$ (0.55 )

The weighted-average number of diluted shares does not include the potential issuance of common shares which are anti-dilutive. Common stock equivalent shares for the assumed exercise of warrants are calculated using the treasury stock method. The following potentially issuable common shares at June 30, 2016 and 2015 were not included in the calculation of diluted EPS as they were anti-dilutive:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2015	2015
Common shares from:			
Assumed exercise of stock options	—	—	-10,000
Warrants	—	—	-250,000

All options expired in February 2015. The warrants expired in March 2016, and there were no warrants exercised.

#### 4. Income taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is based on the estimated effective income tax rate for the year. Changes in the estimated effective income tax rate are accounted for in the period the change in estimate occurs. During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the research and development tax credit was permanently renewed retroactive to January 1, 2015. In the first quarter of fiscal 2016, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$106,000 for additional research and development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2015 due to the renewal of this tax credit. During the first quarter of fiscal 2015, the research and development tax credit was renewed for a one-year period retroactive to January 1, 2014. In the first quarter of fiscal 2015, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$305,000 for additional research and development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2014 due to the changes in tax law that were enacted during the quarter to retroactively renew these tax credits.

#### 5. Derivative instruments

The Company uses derivative instruments as risk management tools but does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Derivatives used for interest rate swap hedging purposes are designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of the identified risk exposure related to the Company's variable rate mortgage at the inception of the contract. A hedge is deemed effective if changes in the fair value of the derivative contract are highly correlated with changes in the underlying hedged item at inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income over the term of the derivative contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is not effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in earnings.

At June 30, 2016, the Company had an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$5.0 million that fixes the interest rate on its LIBOR-based variable rate mortgage at 6.20%. At June 30, 2016, the fair value of the swap agreement recorded as a liability in Other long-term liabilities on the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$208,000. There were losses of \$3,000 and gains of \$41,000 recognized as part of net earnings in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations related to the swap agreement during the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016, respectively, because the interest rate swap was not designated as a hedge due to its ineffectiveness. During the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded \$32,000 and \$101,000 as interest expense related to the interest rate



swap reflecting actual interest payments and settlements on the interest rate swap. The interest rate swap matures in July 2018. The fair value of a previous interest rate swap is being amortized into earnings from Other Comprehensive Income over the original forecasted settlement period of the swap as it is no longer designated as a hedge. As a result, the Company amortized \$6,000 and \$18,000 of losses from Other Comprehensive Income into net earnings during the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016.

At June 30, 2016, the Company had a one-month undesignated forward exchange contract for €7.8 million (\$8.6 million). Forward exchange contracts are used to manage the Company's foreign currency exchange risk related to its ongoing operations. Net foreign currency gains of \$174,000 and of \$72,000 were recorded for forward exchange contracts in the three- and nine-month periods ended June 30, 2016 as a component of foreign currency gains or losses in Other income (expense) on the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The gains or losses on the Company's forward exchange contracts are generally offset by gains or losses recorded on the underlying assets or liabilities held in foreign currencies. At June 30, 2016, the Company had assets of \$39,000 for settlements under these forward exchange contracts in Other current assets on the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## 6. Fair value measurements

Fair value measurements are classified under the following hierarchy:

Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs or significant value-drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3 – Model-derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant value-drivers are unobservable.

When available, the Company uses quoted market prices to determine fair value and classify such measurements within Level 1. In some cases where market prices are not available, the Company makes use of observable market-based inputs to calculate fair value, in which case the measurements are classified within Level 2. If quoted or observable market processes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that use, where possible, current market-based parameters such as interest rates, yield curves and currency rates. These measurements are classified within Level 3.

### Derivative financial instruments

Interest rate swap agreements are measured on a recurring basis based on quoted prices for similar financial instruments and other observable inputs which approximate fair value. The fair value of foreign currency forward contracts is based on the differential between contract price and the market-based forward rate.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consistent with the fair value hierarchy provisions:

Description	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2016 (in thousands)		Total Assets/ (Liabilities) at
	Level 1	Level 2	
	12	3	

Fair Value

Derivatives:

Interest rate swap	—	—	\$ (208 )
Forward exchange contracts	—	—	—

At June 30, 2016, the Company also had long-term debt of approximately \$5.3 million. The Company's long-term debt is recorded at historical cost and the Company has not elected to value such financial instruments at fair value. The fair value of the debt approximated its carrying value based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and maturities.

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Forward exchange contracts had a fair value of zero at the reporting date, as these contracts were entered into as of that date. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

At June 30, 2016, the Company's non-controlling interest in Proditec SAS had a carrying value of approximately \$1.1 million. This investment is being accounted for under the cost method. The fair value of the Company's investment in Proditec was not estimated as there were no events or changes in circumstances that may have had a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment, and our management determined that it was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investment. Further, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's investment, and sufficient information is not readily available for the Company to utilize a valuation model to determine its fair value without incurring excessive costs relative to the materiality of the investment. The Company's cost method investment is evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment on at least a quarterly basis or when an event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment.

## 7. Financing arrangements

The Company's domestic credit facility provides for a maximum of \$20.3 million consisting of a three-year term loan of \$5.3 million and revolving loans up to the lesser of \$15.0 million or a borrowing base calculated based on the outstanding amount of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. The credit facility also provides for a credit sub-facility of up to \$4.0 million for standby letters of credit. The credit facility matures on July 19, 2018. The revolving credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the lender's base lending rate or LIBOR using a tiered structure depending on the Company's achievement of a specified financial ratio. The Company's base lending rate option is the lender's base lending rate plus 0.75%, 1.00% or 1.25% per annum. The Company's LIBOR option is LIBOR plus 2.25%, 2.50% or 2.75%. At June 30, 2016, the interest rate was 4.75% on outstanding borrowings. The term loan bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the lender's base lending rate plus 1.75% or the one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.25%. The Company also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the term loan interest rate at 6.20%. The credit facility is secured by the Company's receivables, equipment and fixtures, inventory, general intangibles, subsidiary stock, securities, investment property, financial assets, real property, and certain other assets. The credit facility contains covenants related to minimum liquidity levels and certain financial covenants that will be applicable only if the Company does not exceed certain calculated total unrestricted cash and credit availability or an event of default occurs. The credit facility permits capital expenditures to a certain level and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facility also restricts, above certain levels, acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends and lease expenditures. At June 30, 2016, the Company had \$1.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit and \$0.9 million of outstanding standby letters of credit.

The Company's Belgian subsidiary has a credit accommodation with a commercial bank in Belgium. This credit accommodation totals €2.7 million (\$3.0 million) and includes an operating line of €800,000 (\$0.9 million), a bank guarantee facility of €500,000 (\$0.6 million), and loan agreement provisions of €1.4 million (\$1.5 million). The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's current assets. The Belgian operating line bears interest at the bank's prime rate, plus 1.25%. At June 30, 2016, the interest rate was 9.75% and the subsidiary had no borrowings under the operating line. At June 30, 2016, the subsidiary had various loans outstanding under the loan agreement provisions totaling €303,000 (\$335,000). The fixed interest rates on these loans ranged from 2.91% to 3.98%. The loans mature between November 2016 and November 2017. At June 30, 2016, the subsidiary had no bank guarantees outstanding under the bank guarantee facility.

## 8. Contractual guarantees and indemnities

Product warranties

The Company provides warranties on its products ranging from ninety days to five years following the date of shipment, the majority of which are for periods of one year or less. Management establishes allowances for warranty costs based upon the types of products shipped and product warranty experience. The provision for warranty costs is charged to cost of sales at the time of sale, and it is periodically assessed for adequacy based on changes in these factors.

A reconciliation of the changes in the Company's allowances for warranties for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Beginning balance	\$2,255	\$2,151
Warranty costs incurred	(2,112 )	(2,440 )
Warranty expense accrued	1,778	2,352
Translation adjustments	(9 )	(82 )
Ending balance	\$1,912	\$1,981

#### Intellectual property and general contractual indemnities

The Company, in the normal course of business, provides specific, limited indemnification to its customers for liability and damages related to intellectual property rights. In addition, the Company may enter into contracts with customers where it agrees to indemnify the customer for personal injury or property damage caused by the Company's products and services. Indemnification is typically limited to replacement of the items or the actual price of the products and services. The Company maintains product liability insurance as well as errors and omissions insurance, which may provide a source of recovery in the event of an indemnification claim, but does not maintain insurance coverage for claims related to intellectual property rights.

Historically, any amounts payable under these indemnifications have not had a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnifications. If the Company determines it is probable that a loss has occurred under these indemnifications, then any such reasonably estimable loss would be recognized.

#### Director and officer indemnities

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and certain executive officers that require the Company to indemnify such individuals against certain expenses, judgments and fines in third-party and derivative proceedings. The Company may recover, under certain circumstances, some of the expenses and liabilities that arise in connection with such indemnifications under the terms of its directors' and officers' insurance policies. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnification agreements.

#### Bank guarantees and letters of credit

At June 30, 2016, the Company had standby letters of credit totaling \$0.9 million. If the Company fails to meet its contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become liabilities of the Company. This amount consists of approximately \$0.9 million of outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's domestic credit facility and no outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's Belgian subsidiary's credit facility. Bank guarantees arise when the Company collects customer deposits prior to order fulfillment. The customer deposits received are recorded as current liabilities on the Company's balance sheet. The bank guarantees repayment of the customer deposit in the event an order is not completed. The bank guarantee is canceled upon shipment and transfer of title. These bank guarantees arise in the normal course of the Company's business and are not deemed to expose the Company to any significant risks since they are satisfied as part of the design and manufacturing process.



ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Information Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

From time to time, Key Technology, Inc. ("we", "us" or "our"), through its management, may make forward-looking public statements with respect to the company regarding, among other things, expected future revenues or earnings, projections, plans, future performance, product development and commercialization, and other estimates relating to our future operations. Forward-looking statements may be included in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), in press releases or in oral statements made with the approval of our authorized executive officers. The words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "intends," "is anticipated," "estimates," "believes," "projects" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as enacted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, the occurrence of any of which could cause the price of our common stock to fluctuate significantly, making it difficult for shareholders to resell common stock at a time or price they find attractive. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. Our actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those listed below:

- adverse changes in general economic conditions may adversely affect our customers, our business and our results of operations;
- ongoing uncertainty in the global economy may adversely affect our operating results;
- variable economic conditions in the food processing industry, either globally or regionally, may adversely affect our sales;
- significant investments in unsuccessful research and development efforts could materially adversely affect our business;
- our existing and new products may not compete successfully in either current or new markets, which could result in the loss of market share and a decrease in our sales and profits;
- the loss of any of our significant customers could reduce our sales and profitability;
- competition may result in lower sales and prices for our products and services;
- consolidation by our competitors could increase competition in the food processing equipment industry, and
- consolidation by our food processing industry customers could increase their purchasing power, both of which could reduce our sales and profitability;
- customer sourcing initiatives and purchasing power may adversely affect our new equipment and aftermarket sales, and result in reduced gross margins;
- our sales and profits may vary widely from quarter to quarter and year to year due to the timing and size of major orders;
- our operating results are seasonal and may further fluctuate due to severe weather conditions affecting the agricultural industry in various parts of the world;
- the failure of our independent sales representatives to perform as expected could harm our net sales;
- our international operations subject us to a number of risks that could adversely affect our sales, operating results and growth;
- we have made, or may make, acquisitions or enter into distribution agreements or similar business relationships that could disrupt our operations and harm our operating results;
- fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could result in unanticipated losses that could adversely affect our results of operations and financial position;
- advances in technology by competitors may adversely affect our sales and profitability;
-

our expansion into new markets, increasingly complex projects and applications, and integrated product offerings could increase our cost of operations and reduce gross margins and profitability;

- the failure of our suppliers to deliver quality products in a timely manner or our inability to obtain components for our products could adversely affect our operating results;
- our dependence on certain suppliers may leave us temporarily without adequate access to raw materials, intellectual property or products;
- the limited availability and possible cost fluctuations of materials used in our products could adversely affect our gross margins;
- our products may suffer from defects, leading to warranty claims;
- information security breaches or business system disruptions may adversely affect our business;
- our potential inability to attract and retain experienced management and other key personnel, or the loss of key management personnel, may adversely affect our business and prospects for growth;
- the potential inability to protect our intellectual property, especially as we expand geographically, may adversely affect our competitive advantage;



intellectual property-related litigation expenses and other costs resulting from infringement claims asserted against us by third parties may adversely affect our results of operations and our customer relations; and our financing agreements contain restrictive and financial covenants that may adversely affect us.

More information may be found in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015 filed with the SEC on December 11, 2015, which item is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements. We disclaim any obligation subsequently to revise or update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

## Overview

### General

We and our operating subsidiaries design, manufacture, sell and service automation systems that process product streams of discrete pieces to improve safety and quality. These systems integrate electro-optical automated inspection and sorting systems with process systems that include specialized conveying and preparation systems. We provide parts and service for each of our product lines to customers throughout the world. Industries served include food processing, tobacco, plastics, pharmaceuticals and nutraceuticals. We maintain two domestic manufacturing facilities and two European manufacturing facilities located in Belgium and the Netherlands. We market our products directly and through independent sales representatives.

Recently, approximately half of our sales have been made to customers located outside the United States. In our export and international sales, we are subject to the risks of conducting business internationally, including unexpected changes in regulatory requirements; fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar, which could increase or decrease the sales prices of our products in local currencies; tariffs and other barriers and restrictions; and the burdens of complying with a variety of international laws.

The worldwide economy and economic uncertainty continue to challenge our operating results. We continue to see customers seeking to retain cash and requiring higher returns on investment, price sensitivity, longer or delayed purchasing cycles, and more purchasing decisions at corporate levels rather than local operating locations. In addition, in response to excess capacity, the market continues to see very aggressive pricing efforts to stimulate demand, which has increased price competition for our products, especially in automated inspection systems where pricing and competition are particularly aggressive.

### Current Period - Third quarter of fiscal 2016

Net sales of \$36.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were \$5.4 million, or 18%, higher than net sales of \$30.8 million in the corresponding quarter a year ago. The higher net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were primarily due to increased sales in the process systems product lines and potato market. Net sales increased most significantly in North America. International sales were 51% of net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2016, the same as in the corresponding prior-year period. The backlog of \$33.9 million at the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2016 represents an increase of \$4.0 million, or 14%, over the backlog of \$29.9 million at the end of the corresponding quarter a year ago. A significant portion of the ending backlog at June 30, 2016 is not expected to ship until the first and second quarters of fiscal 2017. As a result, net sales for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 are expected to decrease moderately as compared to the net sales recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

Orders in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 of \$32.0 million were up \$3.5 million, or 12%, compared to orders of \$28.5 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Orders increased primarily in process systems and in the North American potato market.

Gross margin percentages in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were 29.4% as compared to 30.0% for the same period in the prior year. The decreases were primarily due to an unfavorable product mix. Gross margins in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 are expected to be consistent with percentages from the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

Operating expenses of \$8.9 million increased approximately \$0.2 million, or 3%, as compared to \$8.6 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is primarily due to increased research and development expenditures related to new product development. Overall operating expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 are expected to be consistent with expense levels in the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

Overall, the Company's earnings from operations of \$1.8 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 improved from earnings from operations of \$603,000 in the same quarter in the prior year. The net earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were \$1.0 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share. The net earnings for the corresponding three-month period in fiscal 2015 were \$295,000, or \$0.05 per diluted share. The net earnings in the most recent three-month period increased as compared to the prior year period primarily due to increased sales and related gross margin, but were partially offset by an increase in operating expenses due to the incremental research and development costs.

## First nine months of fiscal 2016

In the first nine months of fiscal 2016, net sales increased compared to the corresponding period in the prior fiscal year. Net sales of \$89.5 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 were \$17.0 million, or 24%, higher than net sales of \$72.5 million in the corresponding period a year ago. International sales were 54% of net sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 compared to 48% in the corresponding prior year period. Net sales were up 63% in process systems, up 6% in parts and service, and there was little change in automated inspection systems sales. Net sales were up most significantly in the potato market and in the European, North American, and Asia-Pacific regions. Customer orders in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 of \$92.3 million were up \$8.2 million, or 10%, compared to the orders of \$84.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Customer orders increased 26% in process systems, decreased 5% in automated inspection systems, and increased 4% in parts and service. Orders increased primarily in North America and primarily in the potato market.

The net loss for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was \$1.2 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share. The net loss for the corresponding nine-month period in 2015 was \$3.5 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share. The decreased net loss in the more recent nine-month period is primarily due to higher net sales and gross profits, partially offset by increased operating expenses due to incremental research and development costs.

## Application of Critical Accounting Policies

We have identified our critical accounting policies, the application of which may materially affect our financial statements, either because of the significance of the financial statement item to which they relate, or because they require management judgment to make estimates and assumptions in measuring, at a specific point in time, events which will be settled in the future. The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates which management believes have the most significant effect on the financial statements are set forth below:

### Revenue recognition

- Allowances for doubtful accounts
- Valuation of inventories
- Long-lived assets
- Allowances for warranties
- Accounting for income taxes

Management has discussed the development, selection and related disclosures of these critical accounting estimates with the audit committee of our board of directors.

**Revenue Recognition.** We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been provided, the sale price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Additionally, we sell our goods on terms which transfer title and risk of loss at a specified location, typically shipping point, port of loading or port of discharge, depending on the final destination of the goods. Accordingly, revenue recognition from product sales occurs when all criteria are met, including transfer of title and risk of loss, which occurs either upon shipment by us or upon receipt by customers at the location specified in the terms of sale. Sales of system upgrades are recognized as revenue upon completion of the conversion of the customer's existing system when this conversion occurs at the customer site. Certain other, less frequent, equipment sales are recognized as revenue upon completion of installation at the customer site. Revenue earned from services (maintenance, installation support, and repairs) is recognized ratably over the contractual period or as the services are performed. If any contract provides for both equipment and services (multiple deliverables), the sales price is allocated to the various elements based on the relative selling price. Each element is then evaluated for revenue recognition based on the previously described criteria. We typically have a very limited number of contracts with multiple deliverables and

they are not material to the financial statements. Our sales arrangements provide for no other significant post-shipment obligations.

If all conditions of revenue recognition are not met, we defer revenue recognition. In the event of revenue deferral, the sale value is not recorded as revenue to us, accounts receivable are reduced by any related amounts owed by the customer, and the cost of the goods or services deferred is carried in inventory. In addition, we periodically evaluate whether an allowance for sales returns is necessary. Historically, we have experienced few sales returns. We account for cash consideration (such as sales incentives) that are given to customers or resellers as a reduction of revenue rather than as an operating expense unless an identified benefit is received for which fair value can be reasonably estimated. We believe that revenue recognition is a “critical accounting estimate” because our terms of sale vary significantly, and management exercises judgment in determining whether to recognize or defer revenue based on those terms. Such judgments may materially affect net sales for any period. Management exercises judgment within the parameters of GAAP in determining when contractual obligations are met, title and risk of loss are transferred,

the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. At June 30, 2016, we had invoiced \$2.3 million, compared to \$1.9 million at September 30, 2015, for which we have not recognized revenue.

**Allowances for doubtful accounts.** We establish allowances for doubtful accounts for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, doubtful accounts based on credit profiles of customers, current economic trends, contractual terms and conditions, and customers' historical payment patterns. Factors that affect collectability of receivables include general economic or political factors in certain countries that affect the ability of customers to meet current obligations. We actively manage our credit risk by utilizing an independent credit rating and reporting service, by requiring certain percentages of down payments, and by requiring secured forms of payment for customers with uncertain credit profiles or located in certain countries. Forms of secured payment could include irrevocable letters of credit, bank guarantees, third-party leasing arrangements or EX-IM Bank guarantees, each utilizing Uniform Commercial Code filings, or the like, with governmental entities where possible. We believe that the accounting estimate related to allowances for doubtful accounts is a "critical accounting estimate" because it requires management judgment in making assumptions relative to customer or general economic factors that are outside our control. As of June 30, 2016, the balance sheet included allowances for doubtful accounts of \$249,000 as compared to \$261,000 at September 30, 2015. Amounts charged to bad debt expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were \$(1,000) and \$(1,000). Actual charges to the allowance for doubtful accounts for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were \$12,000 and \$13,000. If we experience actual bad debt expense in excess of estimates, or if estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, the carrying value of accounts receivable will decrease and charges for bad debts will increase, resulting in decreased net earnings.

**Valuation of inventories.** Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Our inventory includes purchased raw materials, manufactured components, purchased components, service and repair parts, work in process, finished goods and demonstration equipment. Write downs for excess and obsolete inventories are made after periodic evaluation of historical sales, current economic trends, forecasted sales, estimated product lifecycles and estimated inventory levels. The factors that contribute to inventory valuation risks are our purchasing practices, electronic component obsolescence, accuracy of sales and production forecasts, introduction of new products, product lifecycles and the associated product support. We actively manage our exposure to inventory valuation risks by maintaining low safety stocks and minimum purchase lots, utilizing just-in-time purchasing practices, managing product end-of-life issues brought on by aging components or new product introductions, and by utilizing inventory minimization strategies such as vendor-managed inventories. We believe that the accounting estimate related to valuation of inventories is a "critical accounting estimate" because it is susceptible to changes from period to period due to the requirement for management to make estimates relative to each of the underlying factors ranging from purchasing to sales to production to after-sale support. At June 30, 2016, cumulative inventory adjustments to the lower of cost or market totaled \$5.1 million, compared to \$4.3 million at June 30, 2015. Amounts charged to expense to record inventory at lower of cost or market for the nine months ending June 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$1.8 million and \$700,000, respectively. Actual charges to the cumulative inventory adjustments upon disposition or sale of inventory were \$931,000 and \$223,000 for the nine months ending June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. If actual demand, market conditions or product lifecycles are adversely different from those estimated by management, inventory adjustments to lower market values will result in a reduction to the carrying value of inventory, an increase in inventory write-offs, and a decrease to gross margins.

**Long-lived assets.** We regularly review all of our long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, and amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If the total of projected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of these assets, an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets is recorded. In addition, goodwill is reviewed based on its fair value at least annually. As of June 30, 2016, we held \$30.8 million of long-lived assets, net of depreciation and amortization. There were no material changes during the quarter that would result in an adjustment of the carrying value for these assets. During the second quarter of fiscal

2016, our stock price declined significantly. Should these declines in our stock price remain or continue, estimates of fair value for our long-lived assets, particularly goodwill, may not exceed, or may not significantly exceed, the carrying value of our single reporting unit. However, despite these developments, the Company's estimate of undiscounted cash flows continues to indicate that such carrying amounts are expected to be recovered. Further, the Company continues to believe that it is not more likely than not that the carrying amount of its goodwill exceeds its fair value. Estimates of future cash flows arising from the utilization of these long-lived assets and estimated useful lives associated with the assets are critical to the assessment of their recoverability and fair values. Estimates of fair value employ a discounted cash flow model that takes into account (1) assumptions that market participants would use in their estimates of fair value, (2) current period actual results, and (3) budgeted results for future periods that have been vetted by senior management. The discounted cash flow model incorporates the same fundamental pricing concepts used to calculate fair value in the acquisition due diligence process and a discount rate that takes into consideration our estimated cost of capital adjusted for the uncertainty inherent in the acquisition. The market approach employs market multiples for comparable publicly traded companies. Estimates of fair value are established using current and forward multiples of both revenue and EBITDA, adjusted for size and performance level relative to peer companies. As noted above, estimates of future cash flows are critical to this assessment and estimates and assumptions are required in applying the income and market approaches which by their nature have an implied degree of uncertainty. These

estimates, assumptions and valuations could be adversely affected if there are significant further reductions in stock price, significantly less than estimated actual results of operations or changes in forecasted results of operations. We believe that the accounting estimate related to long-lived assets is a “critical accounting estimate” because: (1) it is susceptible to change from period-to-period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future sales and cost of sales generated throughout the lives of several product lines over extended periods of time; and (2) the potential effect that recognizing an impairment could have on the assets reported on our balance sheet and the potential material adverse effect on reported earnings or loss. Changes in these estimates could result in a determination of asset impairment, which would result in a reduction to the carrying value and a reduction to net earnings in the affected period.

Allowances for warranties. Our products are covered by standard warranty plans included in the price of the products ranging from 90 days to five years, depending upon the product and contractual terms of sale. The majority of the warranty periods are for one year or less. We establish allowances for warranties for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, warranty claims based on contractual terms, product conditions and actual warranty experience by product line. Our products include both manufactured and purchased components and, therefore, warranty plans include third-party sourced parts which may not be covered by the third-party manufacturer’s warranty. We actively manage our quality program by using a structured product introduction plan, process monitoring techniques utilizing statistical process controls, vendor quality metrics, and feedback loops to communicate warranty claims to designers and engineers for remediation in future production. We believe that the accounting estimate related to allowances for warranties is a “critical accounting estimate” because it is susceptible to: (1) significant fluctuation period-to-period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future warranty claims relative to potential unknown issues arising in both existing and new products, which assumptions are derived from historical trends of known or resolved issues; and (2) risks associated with third-party supplied components being manufactured using processes that we do not control. As of June 30, 2016, the balance sheet included warranty reserves of \$1.9 million. Warranty charges of \$2.1 million were incurred during the nine-month period then ended. Warranty reserves were \$2.0 million as of June 30, 2015 and warranty charges of \$2.4 million were incurred during the nine-month period then ended. If our actual warranty costs are higher than estimates, future warranty plan coverages are different, or estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, reserves for warranty expense will need to increase, warranty expense will increase and gross margins will decrease.

Accounting for income taxes. Our provision for income taxes and the determination of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities involves a significant amount of management judgment. The quarterly provision for income taxes is based partially upon estimates of pre-tax financial accounting income for the full year and is affected by various differences between financial accounting income and taxable income. Judgment is also applied in determining whether the deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. In management’s judgment, when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of specific deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance must be established for the amount of the deferred tax assets that are determined not to be realizable. At June 30, 2016, we had valuation reserves of approximately \$131,000 for deferred tax assets for capital loss carryforwards and changes in the carrying value of our investment in Proditec, and offsetting amounts for foreign deferred tax assets and U.S. deferred tax liabilities, primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards in the foreign jurisdictions that we believe will not be utilized during the carryforward periods. During the nine months ended June 30, 2016, there was no change in our valuation reserves. There were no other material valuation allowances at June 30, 2016 due to anticipated utilization of all the deferred tax assets as we believe we will have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets. We maintain reserves for uncertain tax positions in jurisdictions of operation. These tax jurisdictions include federal, state and various international tax jurisdictions. Potential income tax exposures include potential challenges of various tax credits and deductions, and issues specific to state and local tax jurisdictions. Exposures are typically settled primarily through audits within these tax jurisdictions, but can also be affected by changes in applicable tax law or other factors, which could cause our management to believe a revision of past estimates is appropriate. Thus far, during fiscal 2016, there have been no significant changes in these estimates. Management



believes that an appropriate liability has been established for estimated exposures; however, actual results may differ materially from these estimates. We believe that the accounting estimate related to income taxes is a “critical accounting estimate” because it relies on significant management judgment in making assumptions relative to temporary and permanent timing differences of tax effects, estimates of future earnings, prospective application of changing tax laws in multiple jurisdictions, and the resulting ability to utilize tax assets at those future dates. If our operating results were to fall short of expectations, thereby affecting the likelihood of realizing the deferred tax assets, judgment would have to be applied to determine the amount of the valuation allowance required to be included in the financial statements in any given period. Establishing or increasing a valuation allowance would reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset, increase tax expense and reduce net earnings.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the research and development tax credit was permanently renewed retroactive to January 1, 2015. In the first quarter of fiscal 2016, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$106,000 for additional research and development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2015 due to the renewal of this tax credit. During the first quarter of fiscal 2015, the research and development tax credit was renewed for a one-year period retroactive to January 1, 2014. In the first quarter of fiscal 2015, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$305,000 for additional research and

development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2014 due to the changes in tax law that were enacted during the quarter to retroactively renew these tax credits.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted.** In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASU 2014-09”). ASU 2014-09 supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under GAAP. The standard’s core principle is that a company will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company is evaluating its existing revenue recognition policies to determine whether any contracts in the scope of the guidance will be affected by the new requirements. ASU 2014-09, as amended by ASU 2015-14, is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods therein.

In July 2015, FASB issued ASU 2015-11, "Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory" ("ASU 2015-11"). The previous standard required entities to measure inventory at the lower of cost or market, with market defined as net realizable value or replacement cost. ASU 2015-11 requires entities to measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. ASU 2015-11 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods therein. The Company is evaluating the impact of this update, but does not anticipate that it will have a material effect on its financial statements.

In November 2015, FASB issued ASU 2015-17, "Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes," which eliminates the current requirement to present deferred tax liabilities and assets as current and non-current in a classified balance sheet. Instead, entities will be required to classify all deferred tax assets and liabilities as non-current. This ASU will be effective for the Company beginning in its first quarter of fiscal year 2018 and may be adopted prospectively or retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the timing of its adoption of this ASU. The Company does not expect its adoption to have a material effect on its financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, "Leases" (“ASU 2016-02”), which is intended to improve financial reporting about leasing transactions. ASU 2016-02 will require lessees to recognize the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases on their balance sheet. Lessees will be required to recognize assets and liabilities for leases with lease terms of more than 12 months. ASU 2016-02 is effective for public companies for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, or October 1, 2019 for the Company. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has not yet determined the effect of the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations, and consolidated statement of cash flows.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, "Stock Compensation," which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment award transactions, including adjustments to the timing of when excess tax benefits should be recorded and classification in the statement of cash flows. The guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those years. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU.

## Results of Operations

For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

Net sales increased \$5.4 million, or 18%, to \$36.2 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 from \$30.8 million recorded in the corresponding quarter a year ago. International sales for the three-month period ending June 30, 2016 were 51% of net sales, the same as in the corresponding prior year period. The increase in net sales occurred

primarily in North America and to a lesser extent in Europe. Net sales increased most significantly in the potato market, partially offset by decreases in the tobacco market. Net sales of process systems increased \$8.4 million, or 83%, to \$18.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. This increase was across most process system product types. Automated inspection systems net sales were down \$3.1 million, or 24%, to \$10.0 million due primarily to the timing of shipments. The decrease in automated inspection system sales was primarily in belt-fed and upgrade products. Parts and service sales were \$7.7 million, up \$0.1 million or 1%. Automated inspection systems sales, including upgrade systems, represented 28% of net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared to 42% of net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Process systems sales represented 51% of net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared to 33% during the third quarter of fiscal 2015, while parts and service sales accounted for 21% of net sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2016, compared to 25% during the third quarter of fiscal 2015. We expect net sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 to decrease moderately as compared to the net sales reported in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 due to a significant portion of the beginning backlog that is not expected to ship until the first and second quarters of fiscal 2017.

Total backlog was \$33.9 million at the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2016, which was \$4.0 million, or 14%, higher than the \$29.9 million backlog at the end of the third quarter of the prior fiscal year. Automated inspection systems backlog increased by \$5.3 million, or 48%, to \$16.3 million at the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared to \$11.0 million at the same time a year ago. The increase was primarily in belt-fed products. Backlog for process systems was down \$1.1 million, or 7%, to \$15.6 million at June 30, 2016 compared to \$16.7 million at June 30, 2015. Backlog by product line at June 30, 2016 was 48% automated inspection systems, 46% process systems, and 6% parts and service, as compared to 37% automated inspection systems, 56% process systems, and 7% parts and service at June 30, 2015.

Orders of \$32.0 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were \$3.5 million, or 12%, higher than the third quarter new orders of \$28.5 million a year ago. Orders for process systems during the third quarter of fiscal 2016 increased \$3.4 million, or 34%, to \$13.3 million from \$9.9 million in the comparable quarter of fiscal 2015. The increase in orders for process systems occurred across most product types. Automated inspection systems orders increased \$0.1 million, or 1%, during the third quarter of fiscal 2016 to \$10.7 million compared to \$10.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Orders for parts and service remained relatively the same during the third quarter of fiscal 2016 at \$8.0 million as compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Orders overall were up primarily in the North American potato market.

Gross profit for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 was \$10.7 million, compared to \$9.2 million in the corresponding period last year. Gross margin in the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 29.4% compared to the 30.0% reported in the corresponding quarter of fiscal 2015. This gross margin percentage for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 was lower primarily due to a less favorable product mix. We anticipate the gross margin percentage in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 will be consistent with the percentage from the third quarter of fiscal 2016 due primarily to a similar product mix and lower net sales.

Operating expenses of \$8.9 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 were 24.5% of net sales compared to \$8.6 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2015 and 28.0% of net sales. The increase in operating expenses related to increased research and development expenditures associated with new product development. Total operating expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 are expected to be consistent with expense levels in the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

Other expense for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 was \$228,000, compared to \$156,000, for the corresponding period in fiscal 2015 and increased primarily due to higher interest expense and higher bank charges offset by higher foreign exchange gains in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 as compared to the prior year's third fiscal quarter.

The net earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2016 were \$1.0 million, or \$0.16 per diluted share. The net earnings for the corresponding period last year were \$0.3 million, or \$0.05 per diluted share. The increase in net earnings in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared to the net earnings in the third quarter of fiscal 2015 was primarily the result of higher net sales and resulting higher gross profits, but was partially offset by higher operating expenses due to incremental research and development charges.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

Net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 increased by \$17.0 million, or 24%, to \$89.5 million compared to \$72.5 million for the same period in fiscal 2015. The higher net sales occurred most significantly in the European, North American and Asia-Pacific regions. Net sales increased most significantly in the potato market, partially offset by decreases in the tobacco market. International sales for the more recent nine-month period were 54% of net sales compared to 48% for the nine-month period of fiscal 2015. Increases in total net sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 compared to the same period in the prior year occurred most significantly in process systems sales which were up \$15.9 million or 63%. The increase in process systems sales was across most product families. Automated

inspection systems were down \$0.1 million or less than 1%. Automated inspection system sales decreased primarily in chute-fed products offset by an increase in belt-fed products. Parts and service sales were up \$1.2 million or 6%. Process systems represented 46% of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 compared to 35% of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Automated inspection systems net sales represented 31% of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, compared to 38% of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Parts and service sales were 23% of net sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 27% for the first nine months of fiscal 2015.

Orders for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 increased \$8.2 million, or 10%, to \$92.3 million compared to orders of \$84.1 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Orders for process systems increased \$9.0 million, or 26%, to \$43.5 million compared to \$34.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Orders for process systems increased for most product lines. Orders for automated inspection systems decreased \$1.6 million, or 5%, to \$27.9 million compared to \$29.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Orders for automated inspection systems decreased most significantly for upgrades and chute-fed product lines, partially offset by increases for belt-fed product lines. Orders for parts and service were \$20.9 million, up \$0.8 million, or 4%, from \$20.1 million in the prior year. The increase in orders as compared to the prior year occurred particularly in the potato and

fresh fruit and vegetable markets, partially offset by decreases in the tobacco market. Orders were up most significantly in European, and to a lesser extent in North American and Asia-Pacific, regions.

Gross profit for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was \$26.1 million compared to \$20.4 million in the corresponding period last year. Gross margin for the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as a percentage of net sales, was 29.2% as compared to 28.2% reported for the same period of fiscal 2015. The gross margin percentage for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 increased primarily due to more effective factory utilization, partially offset by a less favorable product mix.

Operating expenses of \$27.4 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 were 30.6% of sales compared with \$25.9 million, or 35.8%, of sales for the same period of fiscal 2015. Operating expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 were higher than the operating expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2015 due primarily to increased spending on research and development.

Other expense for the first three quarters of fiscal 2016 was \$758,000 compared to other expense of \$228,000 for the corresponding period in fiscal 2015 primarily due to higher foreign exchange losses in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2015, higher interest expense and higher bank charges.

The income tax benefit for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was increased by \$106,000, related to expenditures in fiscal 2015, due to the retroactive renewal of the research and development tax credit which occurred during the first quarter of fiscal 2016.

The net loss for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was \$1.2 million, or \$0.19 per diluted share. The net loss for the same period in fiscal 2015 was \$3.5 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share. The net loss for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 decreased primarily due to higher net sales and higher gross profit, partially offset by increased operating expenses due to the incremental research and development costs.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the first nine months of fiscal 2016, net cash decreased by \$2.9 million to \$4.8 million on June 30, 2016 from \$7.7 million on September 30, 2015. Cash used in operating activities was \$1.5 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2016. Investing activities consumed \$1.7 million of cash. Financing activities provided \$0.3 million of cash.

Cash used in operating activities during the nine months ended June 30, 2016 was \$1.5 million. For the first nine months of fiscal 2016, the net loss was \$1.2 million. Non-cash items included in the net loss in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, such as depreciation, amortization, deferred taxes and share-based compensation, were approximately \$4.1 million. In the first nine months of fiscal 2016, changes in non-cash working capital used \$4.4 million of cash in operating activities. The major changes in current assets and liabilities using cash during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 were decreases in accounts payable of \$1.4 million due to the timing of payments, and increases in accounts receivable of \$5.2 million due to the timing of shipments and related collections. These uses were partially offset by increases in customer deposits of \$2.2 million due to the timing of collections and shipments.

For the first nine months of fiscal 2015, \$1.8 million of cash was provided by operating activities, composed of a net loss of \$3.5 million; non-cash items such as depreciation, amortization, deferred taxes and share-based compensation included in the net loss were \$3.2 million; and changes in non-cash working capital provided \$2.2 million. The primary changes in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2015 were the decreased net loss and increases in cash used for working capital. Significant changes in working capital included increased use of cash for accounts payable and increased accounts receivable and a decrease in cash received from tax

refunds, partially offset by decreased uses of cash for inventory.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.7 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and was the same as for the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Cash used for investing activities in the fiscal 2016 period related entirely to capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was \$262,000, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$742,000 during the corresponding period in fiscal 2015. Net short-term borrowings provided \$1.0 million of cash during the first nine months of fiscal 2016. Net cash used in financing activities during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 primarily resulted from \$534,000 of repayments of long-term debt, and \$255,000 of payroll taxes paid in connection with stock surrenders related to compensatory stock awards, partially offset by \$37,000 of proceeds from the issuance of common stock. Cash used in financing activities during the first nine months of fiscal 2015 resulted mainly from payments on long-term debt of \$559,000 and payroll taxes of \$333,000 paid in connection with stock surrenders related to compensatory stock awards, partially offset by \$138,000 of proceeds from the issuance of common stock.

The Company's domestic credit facility provides for a maximum of \$20.3 million consisting of a three-year term loan of \$5.3 million and revolving loans up to the lesser of \$15.0 million or a borrowing base calculated based on the outstanding amount of the Company's eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory. The credit facility also provides for a credit sub-facility of up to \$4.0 million for standby letters of credit. The credit facility matures on July 19, 2018. The revolving credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the lender's base lending rate or LIBOR using a tiered structure depending on the Company's achievement of a specified financial ratio. The Company's base lending rate option is the lender's base lending rate plus 0.75%, 1.00% or 1.25% per annum. The Company's LIBOR option is LIBOR plus 2.25%, 2.50% or 2.75%. At June 30, 2016, the interest rate was 4.75% on outstanding borrowings. The term loan bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the lender's base lending rate plus 1.75% or the one-, two-, or three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.25%. The Company also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the term loan interest rate at 6.20%. The credit facility is secured by the Company's receivables, equipment and fixtures, inventory, general intangibles, subsidiary stock, securities, investment property, financial assets, real property, and certain other assets. The credit facility contains covenants related to minimum liquidity levels and certain financial covenants that will be applicable only if the Company does not exceed certain calculated total unrestricted cash and credit availability or an event of default occurs. The credit facility permits capital expenditures to a certain level and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facility also restricts, above certain levels, acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness, payment of dividends and lease expenditures. At June 30, 2016, the Company had \$1.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit and \$0.9 million of outstanding standby letters of credit.

The Company's Belgian subsidiary has a credit accommodation with a commercial bank in Belgium. This credit accommodation totals €2.7 million (\$3.0 million) and includes an operating line of €800,000 (\$0.9 million), a bank guarantee facility of €500,000 (\$0.6 million), and loan agreement provisions of €1.4 million (\$1.5 million). The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's current assets. The Belgian operating line bears interest at the bank's prime rate plus 1.25%. At June 30, 2016, the interest rate was 9.75%. At June 30, 2016, the subsidiary had no borrowings under the operating line. At June 30, 2016, the subsidiary had various loans outstanding under the loan agreement provisions totaling €303,000 (\$335,000). The fixed interest rates on these loans ranged from 2.91% to 3.98%. The loans mature between November 2016 and November 2017. At June 30, 2016, the subsidiary had no bank guarantees outstanding under the bank guarantee facility.

Our continuing contractual obligations and commercial commitments existing on June 30, 2016 are as follows:

Contractual Obligations <sup>(1)</sup>	Total	Payments due by period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 year	1 – 3 years	4 – 5 years	After 5 years
Long-term debt	\$5,311	\$600	\$4,711	\$—	\$—
Short-term borrowings	1,000	1,000	—	—	—
Interest on long-term debt <sup>(2)</sup>	628	307	321	—	—
Operating leases	5,247	1,268	2,162	1,100	717
Purchase obligations <sup>(3)</sup>	664	664	—	—	—
Total contractual cash obligations	\$12,850	\$3,839	\$7,194	\$1,100	\$717

We also have \$94,000 of contractual obligations related to uncertain tax positions for which the timing and amount

(1) of payment cannot be reasonably estimated due to the nature of the uncertainties and the unpredictability of jurisdictional examinations in relation to the statute of limitations.

(2) Includes the effect of the interest-rate swap agreement that fixed the interest rate at 6.20%.

(3) Purchase obligations are commitments to purchase certain materials, supplies and services which will be used in the ordinary course of business.



We anticipate that current cash balances, ongoing cash flows from operations, and borrowing capacity under currently available operating credit lines will be sufficient to fund our operating needs for the foreseeable future. At June 30, 2016, we had standby letters of credit totaling \$0.9 million, which includes secured bank guarantees under our domestic credit facility. If we fail to meet our contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become our liabilities. We have no off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions, or arrangements or relationships with “special purpose entities.”

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

**Foreign Currency Exchange Risk.** We have assessed our exposure to market risks for our financial instruments and have determined that our exposure to such risks is generally limited to those affected by the value of the U.S. dollar compared to the Euro and to a lesser extent the Australian dollar and Mexican peso.

The terms of sales to European customers are typically denominated in Euros. We expect that our standard terms of sale to international customers, other than those in Europe, will continue to be denominated in U.S. dollars, although as we expand our international operations, transactions denominated in the local currencies of those countries may increase. As of June 30, 2016, management estimates that a 10% change in foreign exchange rates would affect net earnings before taxes by approximately \$13,000 on an annual basis as a result of the conversion to U.S. dollars of cash, accounts receivable, loans to foreign subsidiaries, and sales or other contracts denominated in foreign currencies. These changes would positively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar weakens on world markets and negatively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar strengthens on world markets. We assess our currency exchange risk on an on going basis and may enter into forward contracts to minimize such risk. At June 30, 2016, we held a 30-day forward contract for €7.8 million (\$8.6 million).

As of June 30, 2016, the U.S. dollar gained approximately 1% in value against the Euro compared to its value at September 30, 2015. During the nine-month period ended June 30, 2016, changes in the value of the U.S. dollar against the Euro ranged between a 2% loss and a 6% gain as compared to the value at September 30, 2015. Other relevant foreign currencies both gained and lost value against the U.S. dollar during the first nine months of fiscal 2016. The effect of these fluctuations on our operations and financial results during the nine months ended June 30, 2016 were:

Translation adjustments of (\$181,000), net of income tax, were recognized as a component of comprehensive income as a result of converting the Euro-denominated balance sheets of our European subsidiaries into U.S. dollars and, to a lesser extent, the Australian dollar balance sheet of our Australian subsidiary, and the Peso balance sheets of our Mexican subsidiaries.

Foreign exchange losses of \$227,000, net of the effects of forward exchange contracts settled during the period, were recognized in the other income and expense section of the consolidated statement of operations as a result of conversion of Euro and other foreign currency denominated receivables, intercompany loans, and cash carried on the balance sheet of the U.S. operations, as well as the result of the conversion of other non-functional currency receivables, payables and cash carried on the balance sheets of the European, Australian, and Mexican operations.

When the U.S. dollar strengthens on the world markets, our market and economic outlook for international sales could be negatively affected as products sold to international customers become relatively more expensive to those customers. Conversely, a relatively weaker U.S. dollar makes our U.S.-manufactured goods less expensive to international customers when denominated in U.S. dollars or potentially less profitable to us when denominated in a foreign currency. On the other hand, materials or components imported into the U.S. may be more expensive. Our Netherlands-based subsidiary transacts business primarily in Euros and does not have significant exports to the U.S., but does import a significant portion of its products from its U.S.-based parent company. Our Belgian-based subsidiary also transacts business primarily in Euros and is expected to have significant exports to the U.S.-based parent company.

**Interest Rate Risk.** Under our domestic credit facility, we are able to borrow at either (a) the lender's prime rate plus 75, 100 or 125 basis points or (b) at LIBOR plus 225, 250 or 275 basis points depending on our achievement of a specified financial ratio. Our Belgian subsidiary may borrow on our Belgian credit facility at the lender's prime rate plus 1.25%. At June 30, 2016, we had \$1.0 million of borrowings under our domestic credit facility and no

borrowings under our Belgian credit facility. During the nine months ended June 30, 2016, interest rates applicable to these variable rate credit facilities ranged from 3.19% to 9.75% based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. At June 30, 2016, the rate was 4.75% on our domestic credit facility and would have been 9.75% on our Belgian credit facility. The term loan on our headquarters building bears interest, at the Company's option, at the lender's base rate plus 175 basis points or the one-, two- or three-month LIBOR rate plus 325 basis points, but we simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 6.20%. Long-term fixed-rate borrowings at our Belgian subsidiary bear interest at rates ranging from 2.91% to 3.98%. As of June 30, 2016, management estimates that a 100 basis point change in these interest rates would affect net income before taxes by \$10,000 on an annual basis.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures at June 30, 2016 and concluded that such controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2016 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

26

---

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about purchases made by us or on our behalf during the quarter ended June 30, 2016 of equity securities registered by us under Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1-30, 2016	—	\$ —	—	
May 1-31, 2016	—	—	—	
June 1-30, 2016	110	\$ 9.01	—	
Total	110	\$ 9.01	—	429,202 <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Includes shares of restricted stock surrendered to satisfy tax withholding obligations by plan participants under our employee stock incentive plans. The shares were subsequently canceled.

(2) Effective May 30, 2012, our board of directors approved a discretionary program to repurchase up to 500,000 shares of our outstanding common stock. The timing of any repurchases and the exact number of shares of common stock to be purchased will be determined by us and will depend on market conditions and other factors. The program does not incorporate a fixed expiration date. At June 30, 2016, there were 429,202 shares remaining that could be repurchased under the program. There were no repurchases of common stock under the program during the three months ended June 30, 2016.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The following materials from Key Technology, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three- and nine- months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three- and nine- months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and (v) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the nine months ended June 30, 2016.

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
SIGNATURES

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date: August 5, 2016 By /s/ John J. Ehren  
John J. Ehren  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 5, 2016 By /s/ Jeffrey T. Siegal  
Jeffrey T. Siegal  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
FORM 10-Q FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

---

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following materials from Key Technology, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three- and nine- months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three- and nine- months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and (v) Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the nine months ended June 30, 2016.