WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC

Form 10-Q July 27, 2018

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF $^{\rm X}$ 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2018

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF $^{\rm 0}$ 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 001-34654

WASHINGTON FEDERAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington 91-1661606

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

425 Pike Street Seattle, Washington 98101

(Address of principal executive offices and zip

code)

(206) 624-7930

(Registrant's telephone number, including area

code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

to

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Emerging growth company o

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Title of class: July 24, 2018 Common stock, \$1.00 par value 83,537,545

Table of Contents

WASHINGTON FEDERAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I

Item 1.	Financial	Statements	(Unaudited)

The Consolidated Financial Statements of Washington Federal, Inc. and Subsidiaries filed as a part of the report are as follows:

	Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2018 and September 30, 2017	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the nine months ended June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017	7
	Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>35</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>46</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>46</u>
PART II		
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>47</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>47</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>48</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>48</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>48</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>48</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>48</u>
	Signatures	<u>49</u>

Table of Contents

WASHINGTON FEDERAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (UNAUDITED)

ACCETC		September 30, 2017, except share
Cash and cash equivalents Available-for-sale securities, at fair value Held-to-maturity securities, at amortized cost Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$128,666 and \$123,073 Interest receivable Premises and equipment, net Real estate owned FHLB and FRB stock Bank owned life insurance Intangible assets, including goodwill of \$301,368 and \$293,153 Federal and state income tax assets, net Other assets	\$345,919 1,255,401 1,670,450 11,325,971 43,670 269,674 11,275 128,790 214,752 311,796 4,293 184,330 \$15,766,321	\$313,070 1,266,209 1,646,856 10,882,622 41,643 263,694 20,658 122,990 211,330 298,682 — 185,826 \$15,253,580
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities Customer accounts	ф. 5 72 7.66	Φ.(.2(1.150
Transaction deposit accounts Time deposit accounts	\$6,572,766 4,714,707 11,287,473	\$6,361,158 4,473,850 10,835,008
FHLB advances Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,370,000 32,632 89,953 13,780,058	2,225,000 56,631 131,253 13,247,892
Stockholders' equity Common stock, \$1.00 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized; 135,343,437 and 134,957,511 shares issued; 83,534,098 and 87,193,362 shares outstanding Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes Treasury stock, at cost; 51,809,339 and 47,764,149 shares Retained earnings	135,344 1,665,421 8,137 (975,001 1,152,362 1,986,263 \$15,766,321	134,958 1,660,885 5,015 (838,060) 1,042,890 2,005,688 \$15,253,580

Table of Contents WASHINGTON FEDERAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Month 30,	s Ended June	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
	(In thousands	s, except share	(Intahousands, except share da	ıta)
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans receivable	\$ 131,541	\$ 117,457	\$ 382,581	\$ 348,326
Mortgage-backed securities	18,022	15,992	52,588	45,007
Investment securities and cash equivalents	5,509	4,267	14,762	13,345
	155,072	137,716	449,931	406,678
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Customer accounts	18,887	12,764	49,939	38,173
FHLB advances	16,333	16,337	47,104	49,011
	35,220	29,101	97,043	87,184
Net interest income	119,852	108,615	Our industry is highly regulated and continues to undergo various regulatory and legislative changes, which could adversely affect our prospects and results of operations.	

As an ILEC, we have traditionally been and continue to be subject to significant regulation from federal, state and local governments and in many respects are subject to more extensive regulation than our competitors. This regulation imposes substantial compliance costs on us, and often restricts our ability to adjust rates to reflect market conditions and impacts our ability to compete and respond to changing industry conditions. In recent years, the communications industry has undergone various fundamental regulatory changes that have generally permitted competition in each segment of the telephone industry, while also permitting, in more limited respects, reduced regulation of ILECs. Congress and state legislatures may enact laws, and the FCC and state regulatory commissions may adopt new regulations or take other actions that could adversely affect our business prospects or results of operations.

Proposals in Congress and at the FCC that would regulate the manner in which providers of high-speed Internet service may manage their networks or utilize data from their customers could affect our ability to manage our network and market IP-based value-added services to our customers. These proposals are sometimes referred to as Internet regulation or net neutrality proposals. It is uncertain whether any such regulations will be adopted or enforcement actions taken, and if so, what impact they may have on our business.

FCC regulations require service providers to maintain safeguards to prevent the unauthorized use or disclosure of Customer Proprietary Network Information, or CPNI, which were recently revised to require additional security procedures that required modifications to our practices. Additional proposed CPNI rules are pending consideration at the FCC that could require further modification of our use and protection of this data. Additionally, the Federal Trade Commission is considering principles governing on-line behavioral marketing and consumer privacy. It is uncertain whether any new regulations or enforcement actions will be undertaken, and if so, what impact they may have on our business.

There are also regulatory uncertainties regarding the appropriate level of compensation we receive from other carriers for calls they receive from our network or hand off to our network. There is regulatory uncertainty surrounding the rules governing the appropriate level of compensation VoIP providers pay to exchange traffic with us, which may adversely affect our access revenues and place us at a competitive disadvantage. There is a proceeding pending at the FCC on the pricing of special access services, which also creates uncertainty regarding the rates we charge for those services in the future. Some states are reviewing

their rules regarding the appropriateness of current intrastate switched access rates, which could adversely affect us by requiring a reduction in those rates. Although there has been little recent activity, the FCC is considering comprehensive reform of all the rules governing intercarrier compensation, which could resolve some of these uncertainties but could also serve to reduce our revenues unless the plan provides a feasible mechanism to replace those revenues with revenues from other sources.

Various legislative and regulatory proposals are also pending on the state and federal level that could substantially alter universal service support mechanisms, which could reduce our USF revenue and adversely affect our ability to fund the provision of services in high-cost rural markets at affordable rates. At the federal level, these proposals include changes in the method of calculating USF contributions, reverse auctions (where carriers would bid for the right to receive USF support), broadband mandates and other fundamental structural changes to the program. Several of the states in which we operate are also considering changes to their USF programs.

Other pending rule changes could also have a substantial impact on our operations, including, in particular, rule changes on intercarrier compensation and universal service. Litigation and different objectives among federal and state regulators could create uncertainty and delay our ability to respond to new regulations. Moreover, changes in tax laws, regulations or policies could increase our tax rate. We are unable to predict the future actions of Congress, state legislatures and the various regulatory bodies that govern us, but those actions could materially affect our business.

Due to competitive, technological and regulatory changes our core business could decline, which could have an adverse effect on our business and future prospects.

Due to the competitive, technological and regulatory changes described above, the local communications industry has recently experienced a decline in access lines, interstate and intrastate access traffic and long distance traffic. The recent decline in access lines and usage, coupled with the other changes resulting from competitive, technological and regulatory developments,

could materially adversely affect our core business and future prospects. We anticipate that expected increases in our data revenue could be more than offset by continued declines in revenues from traditional voice services. Our access lines declined 6.3% in 2007 and 6.1% in 2006. We expect access line losses to continue due to competition and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The need to raise additional capital may adversely affect holders of our common stock by increasing our leverage and reducing our credit ratings.

We may need to incur additional debt or issue securities to fund working capital needs, capital expenditures and product development requirements or to make acquisitions and other investments. Due to limitations in our tax sharing agreement with Sprint Nextel, for specified periods of up to 30 months following the spin-off, any additional capital we seek to raise may have to be in the form of debt, which could increase our leverage and reduce our credit ratings. Further, any debt securities or preferred stock we issue will have liquidation rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock. If we raise funds through the issuance of common equity, ownership interest will be diluted, and we may lower the per share amount of any dividends.

A significant portion of our workforce is unionized, and if we are unable to reach new agreements before our current labor contracts expire, our unionized workers could engage in strikes or other labor actions that could materially disrupt our ability to provide services to our customers.

As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately 18 thousand active employees. Approximately 35%

of these employees were represented by unions subject to collective bargaining agreements. Of the union-represented employees, approximately 32% had collective bargaining agreements that will expire in 2008. We cannot predict the outcome of these negotiations and may be unable to reach new agreements, and union employees may engage in strikes, work slowdowns or other labor actions, which could materially disrupt our ability to provide services. New labor agreements may impose significant new costs on us, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations in the future.

We face hurricane and other natural disaster risks, which can disrupt our operations and cause us to incur substantial additional capital costs.

Approximately 52% of our access lines are located in Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina and Texas, and our operations there are subject to the many hazards inherent in being located in areas frequently hit by

severe storms, hurricanes and tornadoes, including downed telephone lines, power-outages, damaged or destroyed property and equipment, and work interruptions.

Although we maintain property and casualty insurance and may under certain circumstances be able to seek recovery of some additional costs through increased rates, only a portion of our additional costs directly related to such hurricanes and natural disasters have historically been recoverable. We cannot predict whether we will continue to be able to obtain insurance for hurricane-related damages or, if obtainable and carried, whether this insurance will be adequate to cover our losses. In addition, we expect any insurance of this nature to be subject to substantial deductibles and to provide for premium adjustments based on claims. Any future hurricane-related costs and work interruptions could adversely affect our operations and our financial condition.

We have substantial indebtedness, which could restrict our ability to pay dividends and have a negative impact on our financing options and liquidity.

The extent to which we are leveraged could:

Limit our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures and acquisitions;

Limit our ability to refinance our indebtedness on terms acceptable to us or at all;

Require us to dedicate a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to paying the principal of and interest on our indebtedness, thereby reducing funds available for other corporate purposes;

Prevent or make it more difficult for us to pay our anticipated cash dividends on our common stock and remain in compliance with the term of our indebtedness; and

Make us more vulnerable to economic downturns and limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures.

Our financing arrangements subject us to various restrictions that could limit our operating flexibility.

Our credit agreement and other financing arrangements contain covenants and other restrictions that, among other things, require us to satisfy certain financial tests and ratios and restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness. In addition, both the indenture governing our senior notes and our credit agreement restrict our ability to create liens, which could limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness. The restrictions and covenants in our financing arrangements, and in future financing arrangements, may limit our ability to respond to market conditions, provide for capital investment needs, or take advantage of business opportunities by limiting the amount of additional indebtedness we may incur. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Liquidity.

We may not have access to capital on acceptable terms, and if we are not able to obtain sufficient financing, we may be unable to maintain or grow our business.

Since the spin-off, our credit ratings from each of the major rating agencies have remained at the lower end of investment grade. Credit ratings affect the interest rate charged on financings, as well as the amounts of indebtedness and types of financing structures that may be available to us. Regulatory restrictions and the terms of our indebtedness limit our ability to raise capital through our subsidiaries, pledge the stock of our subsidiaries, encumber the assets of our subsidiaries and cause our subsidiaries to guarantee our indebtedness. We may not be able to raise the capital we require on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are not able to obtain sufficient financing, we may be unable to maintain or grow our business. Further, issuances of equity securities are subject to limitations imposed on us in the tax sharing agreement.

Risk Factors Relating to Ownership of our Common Stock

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock could fluctuate significantly for many reasons, including in response to the risk factors listed in this report or for reasons not specifically related to our performance, such as industry or market trends, reports by industry analysts, investor perceptions, or negative announcements by our customers or competitors regarding their own performance, as well as general economic and industry conditions. For example, to the extent that other companies within our industry experience declines in their stock price, our stock price may decline as well.

Anti-takeover provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, the terms of our spin-off from Sprint Nextel, including several of our agreements with Sprint Nextel, and certain provisions of Delaware law could delay or prevent a change of control that you may favor.

Provisions included in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or other change of control that stockholders may consider favorable or may impede the ability of the holders of our common stock to change our management. Provisions in our certificate of incorporation or bylaws, among other things, include:

Limiting the right of stockholders to call meetings of stockholders;

Regulating how stockholders may present proposals or

nominate directors for election at annual meetings of stockholders:

Requiring any business combination initiated by a stockholder of 10% or more of our common stock to be approved by the holders of at least 75% of our common stock; and

Authorizing our board of directors to issue preferred stock in one or more series, without stockholder approval. An acquisition or further issuance of our equity securities could cause our spin-off from Sprint Nextel to be taxable to Sprint Nextel, requiring us under the tax sharing agreement to indemnify Sprint Nextel for the resulting tax. Moreover, under the tax sharing agreement, we are prohibited from engaging in certain transactions involving our stock for specified periods of up to 30 months following the spin-off, except in specified circumstances. This indemnity obligation and related provisions under the tax sharing agreement might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control that you may consider favorable.

In addition, several of the agreements that we have entered into with Sprint Nextel, including certain commercial service agreements, require Sprint Nextel s consent to any assignment by us of our rights and obligations under the agreements and may also be terminated upon a change of control of our company. The consent and termination rights set forth in these agreements might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control that you may consider favorable.

Risk Relating to the Spin-off from Sprint Nextel

Our future financial performance may be worse than the performance reflected in our

historical financial information.

For the periods prior to spin-off, the historical financial information included in this annual report does not reflect what our results of operations and cash flows would have been had we been an independent company during the entire periods presented. This is primarily a result of the following:

Our historical financial information for the periods prior to spin-off reflects allocations for services historically provided by Sprint Nextel. These allocations are different from the costs and do not reflect

the increased costs associated with being an independent company, including changes in our cost structure, personnel needs, financing and operations of the business and from reduced economies of scale; and

Our historical financial information for periods prior to spin-off does not reflect the debt and related interest expense that was incurred as part of the spin-off. See Note 4, Long-Term Debt and Financial Instruments, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information.

For additional information about the past financial performance of our business and the basis of presentation of the historical consolidated financial statements see Item 6, Selected Financial Data, Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Result of Operation and the Consolidated Financial Statements, included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Before our spin-off from Sprint Nextel, our business was principally operated as one of Sprint Nextel s business units, and therefore we have only a brief operating history as an independent public company. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our business strategy and operations will be successful on a stand-alone basis.

Our profitability may be adversely affected when charges cease for certain support functions that we provide to Sprint Nextel on a transitional basis following the spin-off.

Following the spin-off, we will continue to provide support to Sprint Nextel with respect to certain functions on a transitional basis for up to two years. Among the principal services that we

provide to Sprint Nextel are billing support services, field technician support for complex voice customers and information technology application services. Amounts paid by Sprint Nextel for these services were \$29 million during 2007, and are expected to be approximately \$5 million during 2008. Upon expiration of these transitional arrangements, we will need to replace such amounts with new revenue sources or otherwise reduce our cost structure appropriately or our profitability will suffer.

We could incur a significant liability if the distribution of Embarq common stock in the spin-off is determined to be a taxable transaction. If the distribution does not qualify as a tax-free transaction, tax could be imposed on Sprint Nextel and we may be required to indemnify Sprint Nextel for such tax.

On July 20, 2005, Sprint Nextel received a private letter ruling from the IRS that the spin-off qualifies for tax-free treatment under Code Sections 355 and 361. In addition, Sprint Nextel obtained an opinion of counsel from each of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP and Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP that the spin-off so qualified. Such opinions primarily addressed those tax matters upon which the IRS customarily declines to rule. Notwithstanding the IRS private letter ruling and the opinions, the IRS could determine that the distribution should be treated as a taxable transaction if it determines that any of the representations, assumptions or undertakings that were included in the request for the private letter ruling are false or have been violated and/or if it disagrees with the conclusions in the opinions that are not covered by the IRS ruling.

If the spin-off failed to qualify for tax-free treatment, it will be treated as a taxable dividend to Sprint Nextel stockholders in an amount equal to the fair market

value of our common stock issued to Sprint Nextel holders and Sprint Nextel would be required to recognize taxable gain in an amount up to the fair market value of our common stock that Sprint Nextel distributed to its stockholders in the spin-off plus the fair market value of the senior notes that Sprint Nextel received from us. Furthermore, events after the spin-off could cause Sprint Nextel to recognize such gain even if the spin-off otherwise would have qualified for tax-free treatment. For example, under Code Section 355(e), relatively small acquisitions of either our equity securities or Sprint Nextel s equity securities that are deemed to be part of a plan or a series of related transactions that include the spin-off could cause Sprint Nextel to recognize gain on the spin-off. Under the tax sharing agreement between Sprint Nextel and us, we are generally required to indemnify Sprint Nextel against any tax resulting from the spin-off if such

tax results from (1) an issuance of our equity securities, a redemption of our equity securities or our involvement in other acquisitions of our equity securities, (2) other actions or failures to act by us or (3) any of our representations or undertakings being incorrect or violated. We estimate that if any of these conditions occur the indemnification obligation to Sprint Nextel for taxes would be significant and we are unlikely to have the ability to pay that obligation.

We agreed to certain restrictions to preserve the tax-free treatment of our spin-off from Sprint Nextel, which may reduce our strategic and operating flexibility.

Under the tax sharing agreement, in order to preserve the tax-free treatment to Sprint Nextel of the spin-off, for specified periods of up to 30 months following the spin-off, Embarq may be prohibited, except in specified circumstances, from:

Issuing, redeeming or being involved in other acquisitions of our equity securities;

Transferring significant amounts of our assets;

Amending our certificate of incorporation or bylaws;

Failing to comply with the IRS requirement for a spin-off that we engage in the active conduct of a trade or business after the spin-off; or

Engaging in other actions or transactions that could jeopardize the tax-free status of the spin-off.

A private letter ruling issued by the IRS after the spin-off provides us with the flexibility to pursue open market repurchases of our common stock without adversely affecting the tax-free status of our spin-off from Sprint Nextel.

These restrictions may limit our ability to pursue strategic transactions or engage in new business or other transactions that may maximize the value of our business. See Our Relationship with Sprint Nextel Tax Sharing Agreement in Part I, Item 1 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

The agreements that we entered into with Sprint Nextel may involve, or may appear to involve, conflicts of interest.

We entered into certain agreements with Sprint Nextel to provide a framework for our initial relationship with Sprint Nextel following the spin-off. We negotiated these agreements with Sprint Nextel while we were still a wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel. Accordingly, our executive officers and some of our directors were employees, officers or directors of Sprint Nextel or its subsidiaries at the time of the negotiations and, as such, had an obligation to serve the interests of Sprint Nextel and its subsidiaries. As a result, they could be viewed as having had a conflict of interest.

We do not benefit from the economics of ownership associated with the network infrastructure to support our long distance and other video entertainment offerings. Additionally, we do not own or have a license to all technology that may be necessary to expand our product offerings. Both of these could adversely affect our business and profitability.

We may need to obtain the right to use certain patents from Sprint Nextel or third parties to be able to offer new products and services. We have the right to use all of Sprint Nextel s existing patents for a five-year period after the spin-off, however, we do have the right to use the Sprint Nextel patents for business that we were conducting as of the spin-off for the life of those patents. If we cannot license or otherwise obtain rights to use any required technology from Sprint Nextel following that five-year period or from a third party on reasonable terms, our ability to offer new IP-based products and services, including VoIP, or other new offerings may be restricted, made more costly or delayed. Our inability to implement IP-based or other new offerings on a cost-effective basis could impair our ability to successfully meet increasing competition from companies offering integrated communications services, including cable operators and other service providers offering high-speed Internet services that can be used as a platform to support voice services utilizing VoIP. Our inability to deploy new technologies could also prevent us from successfully diversifying, modifying or bundling our service offerings and result in accelerated loss of access lines, customer usage and revenues or otherwise adversely affect our business and profitability.

Restrictions in the patent agreement entered into with Sprint Nextel could adversely

affect our ability to grow through acquisitions, be acquired or make divestitures.

Following the spin-off, if we grow by acquisitions to become more than twice our size as of the spin-off date, any new companies that we acquire, or operations that are integrated with new acquisitions, will not have the benefit of the patent agreement with Sprint Nextel. Also, if we undergo a change in control and there is a functional integration of our operations with a third party we will lose the benefits of some or all of the patents governed by the patent agreement with Sprint Nextel. The benefits of that agreement do not extend to any parent company or any companies under common control with us. These limitations may make us less attractive as an acquisition candidate and reduce the value that an acquirer would be willing to pay for our business. Divestitures of our assets and operations could also be restricted because the benefits of the agreement would continue to apply only if divested operations or assets are isolated from the other members of the corporate group making the acquisition and only if the business is conducted in substantially the same manner and in substantially the same geographic area that it was conducted before the divestiture. These limitations may make our assets less attractive to a potential acquirer and could reduce the value that an acquirer would be willing to pay for the assets.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

We have property in each of the 18 states where we provide local communications services. Our property mainly consists of land, buildings, metallic cable and wire facilities, fiber-optic cable facilities, switching equipment

and other electronics. We have been granted easements, rights-of-way and rights-of-occupancy, mainly by municipalities and private landowners. Most cable facilities are buried, but some metallic and fiber cable is above-ground on telephone poles. In addition to owning our own poles, we also contract with other utilities, including many electric companies, to connect cable and wire to their owned poles.

Our gross property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2007, was distributed among the business segments as follows:

As of December 31, 2007

(millions)

Telecommunications	
segment	\$ 20,616
Logistics segment	186
Total	\$ 20.802

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Seven former manufactured gas plant sites have been identified that may have been owned or operated by entities acquired by our subsidiary, Centel, before that company was acquired by Sprint Nextel. These sites are not currently owned or operated by either Sprint Nextel or us. On three sites, we and the current landowners are working with the EPA pursuant to administrative consent orders. Expenditures pursuant to the orders are not expected to be material. On five sites, including the three sites where the EPA is involved, Centel has entered into agreements with other potentially responsible parties to share costs. Further, Sprint Nextel has agreed to indemnify us for most of any eventual liability arising from all seven of these sites.

In December 2007, a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit in Kansas federal court, challenging the decision to make certain modifications to our retiree benefits programs effective January 1, 2008. Defendants include us, certain of our benefits plans, our Compensation Committee, and our plan administrator. Additional defendants include Sprint Nextel and certain of their benefits plans. In addition, complaints have been filed with the Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission, or EEOC, asserting that the benefits plan changes are discriminatory. We and the other defendants intend to vigorously contest these claims and charges.

Various lawsuits, including putative class actions, regulatory proceedings against us and other claims typical for a business enterprise are pending against or would otherwise impact the entities comprising our operations. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of each of these proceedings and whether they will be resolved consistent with our expectations, we expect that the outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to Vote of Security Holders

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter 2007.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following individuals are currently serving as our executive officers:

Name	Age	Position(s)
Thomas		Interim President
A. Gerke		and Chief
(1)	(51)	Executive Officer
Gene M.		Chief Financial
Betts (2)	(55)	Officer
Harrison		
S. Campbell	(46)	President Consumer Markets
William E. Cheek	(52)	President Wholesale Markets
Richard B. Green	(50)	Vice President and Controller
Claudia S. Toussaint	(44)	General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

E.J. Senior Vice
Holland, President Human
Jr. (7) Resources and
(64) Communications
Thomas J.
McEvoy
(8) President Business
(49) Markets

- (1) Mr. Gerke has been our Interim President and Chief **Executive Officer since** December 2007. He served as General Counsel Law and External Affairs from May 2006 through December 2007. Mr. Gerke served as General Counsel Law and External Affairs at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. He served as Executive Vice President General Counsel and External Affairs of Sprint Corporation (Sprint) from May 2003 until August 2005. Before that, he served as Vice President Global Markets Group Business Development of Sprint since June 2002.
- (2) Mr. Betts has been our Chief Financial Officer since May 2006. In January 2008, in addition to his existing duties, he assumed oversight responsibility for our Logistics business unit. He served as Senior Vice President Finance at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division, a position he held from August 2005 until May 2006. He served as Senior Vice President Finance and Treasurer of Sprint from 1998 until August 2005.
- (3) Mr. Campbell has been our President Consumer Markets since May 2006. He served in this role at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. He served as President Emerging and Mid-Markets of the Sprint Business Solutions division of Sprint from October 2003 until August 2005. He served as President of the Mass Markets Organization of Sprint from May 2002 to October 2003.

Mr. Cheek has been our President Wholesale Markets since May 2006. He served in this role at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. He served as Assistant Vice President Strategic Sales and Account Management in Sprint Business Solutions from January 2004 until July 2005. From January 2002 until December 2003, he served as President Wholesale Markets in the Business and Wholesale Markets Group of Sprint s local telecommunications division.

- (5) Mr. Green has been our Vice President and Controller since May 2007. Mr. Green served as the Vice President of Financial Planning and **Decision Support from May** 2006 through May 2007, and from November 2005 to May 2006 he served as Vice President of Financial Planning and Decision Support of Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division. Mr. Green served as Vice President, Finance of the local telecommunications division of Sprint from March 2004 to November 2005, and he served as Vice President, Decision Support Transformation of Sprint from April 2003 to February 2004. Before that he Mr. Green served as Vice President, Financial Reporting and Operations Analysis, Global Markets Group for Sprint since July 2000.
- (6) Ms. Toussaint was appointed General Counsel and Corporate Secretary in December 2007. She served as Vice President and Corporate Secretary from May 2006 until December 2007. Prior to her roles at Embarq, Ms. Toussaint served as Vice President, Corporate Governance and Ethics, and Corporate Secretary for Sprint, a role she assumed in April 2003. From 1997 through early

2003, she served as an attorney for Sprint and its operating subsidiaries in roles of increasing and varied responsibility.

(7) Mr. Holland has been our Senior Vice President Human Resources since May 2006 and assumed additional responsibility for Internal and External Communications and Events Management in January 2008. He served as Vice President Human Resources and Labor Relations at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. From May 2000 to July 2005, he served as Vice President Compensation, Benefits Labor and Employee Relations for Sprint.

(8) Mr. McEvoy has been our President Business Markets since May 2006. He served as the President Business Markets at Sprint Nextel s local telecommunications division from August 2005 until May 2006. Previously, he served as President of Sprint Business Solutions Enterprise Markets of Sprint from April 2004 until August 2005. He served as President LTD Consumer Markets of Sprint from July 2000 to April 2004.

There are no known family relationships between any of our executive officers and directors. Officers are elected annually.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Stock Data

Beginning on May 18, 2006, our common stock was listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol EQ. As of February 27, 2008, there were approximately 34 thousand shareholders of record of our common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share of common stock for the year 2007 and for 2006 periods since spin-off, according to the New York Stock Exchange, and dividends declared per share during such periods:

Common Stock

2007 Market Price	Н	igh	Low	Cash D	Dividends (1)
First					
Quarter	\$	57.31	\$ 51.36	\$	0.500
Second					
Quarter		65.06	55.91		0.625
Third					
Quarter		64.08	55.60		0.625
Fourth					
Quarter		57.36	47.66		0.625

Common Stock

2006 Market Price	Н	igh	L	DW	Cash Div	vidends ⁽³⁾
First						
Ouarter	\$	N/A	\$	N/A	\$	N/A

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

Second			
Quarter (2)	43.75	39.33	N/A
Third			
Quarter	49.94	39.77	0.500
Fourth			
Quarter	52.94	47.54	0.500

- (1) Total dividend payments to stockholders in 2007 were approximately \$367 million.
- (2) Represents activity from May 18, 2006, through June 30, 2006.
- (3) Total dividend payments to stockholders in 2006 were approximately \$150 million.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock from May 18, 2006, when regular way trading in our common stock began on the New York Stock Exchange, through December 31, 2007, with the total return over the same period on the S&P 500® Index and the Dow Jones US Telecommunications Total Return Index. The graph shows the growth of a \$100 investment in our common stock, the S&P $500^{\text{®}}$ Index and the Dow Jones US Telecommunications Total Return Index on May 18, 2006 and the reinvestment of all dividends paid.

Comparison of Cumulative Total Return*

May 18, 2006 to December 31, 2007

Among Embarq Corporation, the S&P 500® Index

and the Dow Jones US
Telecommunications Total Return
Index

*\$100 invested on May 18, 2006, including reinvestment of dividends.

The information provided in this item under the heading Stock Performance Graph shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Embarq did not acquire any of its equity securities during the fourth quarter 2007.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data. The financial position data as of December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 and results of operations, dividends paid to Sprint Nextel and cash flow data for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 have been derived from our consolidated financial statements that were audited by KPMG LLP. The financial position data as of December 31, 2003, have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation

have been included. The following information should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and the notes related to those consolidated financial statements.

Our consolidated financial information may not be indicative of our future performance and does not necessarily reflect what our financial condition and results of operations would have been had we operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented, particularly since many changes occurred in our operations and capitalization as a result of our spin-off from Sprint Nextel.

2006

2007

For the	Years	Ended	December	31.

2005

2004

2003 (3)

	2007	2006 20		2005	2004	2003 (3)	
		(mi	llions, exce	ept per share	data)		
Financial Position							
Property, plant and equipment,							
net	\$ 7,748	\$ 7,9	988 \$	7,804	\$ 7,97	7 \$ 7,979	
Total assets	8,901	9,	19	9,221	9,329		
Total debt	5,878	6,4	158	1,125	1,240	0 1,392	
Stockholders							
equity Dividends per common	264	(4	168)	4,852	4,960	0 4,889	
share (1)	2.375	1	.00	-			
Results of							
Operations							
Net operating revenue	6,365	6,3	363	6,254	6,139	9 6,159	
Operating Income (2)	1,504	1,5	544	1,552	1,590	0 1,616	
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net							
	683		784	894	91′	7 920	
Earnings per common							
share (1)	4.50	5	.26	-			
Cash Flow Data							
Net cash from operating							
activities	1,624	2.0)53	1,898	2,064	4 1,804	
Capital expenditures	829		923	828	97:		

⁽¹⁾ Before spin-off, Embarq was a wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel. As such, Embarq did not have common shares prior to 2006.

⁽²⁾ In 2007, we recorded \$26 million in spin-off related costs and \$80 million of severance charges in operating income, which reduced income before cumulative effect of change in

accounting principle, net by \$67 million.

In 2006, we recorded \$116 million in spin-off related costs and \$34 million in severance charges in operating income, which reduced income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net by \$95 million.

In 2005, we recorded \$80 million in allocated asset impairments of which \$77 million resulted from the abandonment of a network monitoring software application in operating income. This allocated charge reduced income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net by \$49 million.

In 2004, we recorded severance charges of \$40 million in operating income, which reduced income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net by \$25 million.

In 2003, we recorded severance charges of \$25 million in operating income, which reduced income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net by \$16 million.

(3) The December 31, 2003, financial position data was derived from unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make forward-looking statements in this document. These forward-looking statements relate to our outlook or expectations for earnings, revenues, expenses, asset quality or other future financial or business performance, strategies

or expectations, or the impact of legal, regulatory or supervisory matters on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Specifically, forward-looking statements may include:

statements relating to our plans, intentions, expectations, objectives or goals;

statements relating to our future economic performance, business prospects, revenue, income and financial condition, and any underlying assumptions relating to those statements; and

statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words estimate, plan, project, forecast, intend, expect, anticipate, believe, seek, target or similar expressions.

These statements reflect our management s judgment based on currently available information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. With respect to these forward-looking statements, our management has made assumptions regarding, among other things, customer growth and retention, pricing, operating costs, network usage, technology and the economic and regulatory environment.

Future performance cannot be ensured. Actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Some factors that could cause our actual results to differ include, but are not limited to:

the effects of vigorous competition in the markets in which we operate, including access line loss to cable operators and wireless providers;

the impact of new, emerging and competing technologies on our business;

the effect of changes in the legal and regulatory environment and the impact of

compliance with regulatory mandates;

potential fluctuations in our financial performance, including revenues, capital expenditures and operating expenses;

the impact of any adverse change in the ratings assigned to our debt by ratings agencies on the cost of financing or the ability to raise additional financing if needed;

the effects of mergers, consolidations or other unexpected developments in the industries relevant to our operations;

the failure to realize expected improvement in operating efficiencies;

the costs and business risks with the development of new products and services;

the uncertainties related to our investments in networks, systems and other businesses;

the uncertainties related to the implementation of our business strategies;

the inability of third parties to perform to our requirements under agreements related to our business operations;

our ownership of or ability to license technology that may be necessary to expand our business offerings;

restrictions in our patent agreement with Sprint Nextel;

unexpected adverse results of legal proceedings involving our company;

the impact of equipment failure or other breaches of network or information technology security;

potential work stoppages;

a determination by the IRS that the spin-off from Sprint Nextel should be treated as a taxable transaction;

the volatility in the equity market;

the possible impact of adverse changes in economic, political or other external factors, including hurricanes and other severe weather, over which we have no control; and

other risks referenced in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, including in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors , and from time to time in other filings of ours with the SEC.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this document. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any events or circumstances after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

OVERVIEW

Operations

We provide, both directly and through wholesale and sales agency relationships, a suite of communications services, consisting of local and long distance voice, data, high-speed Internet, satellite video, wireless and other communications-related products and services to consumer and business customers primarily in our local service territories in 18 states. We also provide access

to our local network and wholesale communications services for customers, including other carriers. Through our Logistics segment, we engage in wholesale product distribution, logistics and configuration services.

Our consumer and business strategy is to maximize profitable communications services revenue per customer by providing bundled and integrated products and services that meet the needs of our consumer and business customers within our local territories. This strategy has four key elements: 1) provide useful products and solutions to attract and retain customers by marketing integrated service offerings, 2) improve the customer experience and perception of service and product offerings, 3) manage our costs and 4) maintain a customer focused culture that promotes high performance and employee satisfaction.

Our wholesale business strategy is structured around increasing the utilization and achieved return of our core network investment by providing a full set of wholesale services to other carriers and wireless providers. Services offered include switched access, special access, intelligent network database, collocation, resale, unbundled network element platforms, high speed data services and billing and collection services. In addition, we offer public pay telephone services along with services to inmates in numerous correctional institutions both inside and outside our traditional local service territories.

We have continued to experience declines in the number of switched access lines we serve. The following table reflects the losses in switched access lines by year:

	As of Decen	nber 31,	Difference			
	2007	2006	Amount	%		
	(th	ousands)				
Primary	3,967	4,288	(321)	(7.5)%		
Additional	305	371	(66)	(17.8)%		
Total						
Consumer	4,272	4,659	(387)	(8.3)%		
Business	2,038	2,059	(21)	(1.0)%		
Wholesale	164	190	(26)	(13.7)%		
Total	6,474	6,908	(434)	(6.3)%		
	As of Decen	nber 31,	Differe	nce		
	2006	2005	Amount	%		
	(th	ousands)				
Primary			(0.4.5)	((0) 01		
	4,288	4,603	(315)	(6.8)%		
Additional	4,288 371	4,603 453	` /	(6.8)%		
,		,	` /	` /		
,		,	` /	` /		
Additional		,	` /	(18.1)%		
Additional Total	371	453	(82)	(18.1)%		
Additional Total Consumer	371 4,659	453 5,056	(82) (397) (27)	(18.1)%		

Consumer switched access line losses represent the most significant portion of our losses. The primary driver of these losses has been the increasing overlap of cable operators within our local service territories offering VoIP services. As of December 31, 2007, approximately 70% of our local service territories face competition from cable providers for voice services. This compares to approximately 60% as of December 31, 2006, and approximately 40% as of December 31, 2005. Consumer losses can also be attributed to an increasing number of customers

7,359

(451) (6.1)%

6,908

Total

choosing to discontinue traditional wireline phone service to rely solely on wireless services and, to a lesser extent, growth of other facilities-based or non facilities-based providers.

Product substitution among our offerings also contributes to our access line losses. Certain additional consumer access lines have been replaced with our high-speed Internet service and certain of our business access line losses result from the conversion to our data services. These substitutions result in a reduction in the number of switched access lines we serve, however, do not represent a loss of the customer relationship.

We expect that these access line loss trends will continue or be slightly higher into 2008.

Growth in other services that we generally provide on a bundled basis such as high-speed Internet, various data services, wireless and video have continued to offset a substantial portion of the revenue declines associated with the access line losses discussed above.

In 2007, we increased our high-speed Internet subscriber base by 26% ending the year with approximately 1.3 million subscribers. Simplified and more attractive pricing plans have continued to be successful. Additionally, in 2007, we launched myEMBARQ.com, a new EMBARQTM branded web portal, which offers a variety of enhanced services for our high speed Internet subscribers, such as e-mail with unlimited storage, music and video downloads, commercial free radio, discounted access to popular web sites, news, games, and other content. In addition to enhancing our subscribers high speed Internet experience, this portal provides us with additional revenue generating opportunities, through content downloads, web advertisements and other website partner arrangements.

Demand for data services has also continued to be strong. Our data services consist mainly of dedicated circuits connecting another carrier s network to their customer s locations, wireless carrier s cell towers to mobile switching stations, or business customers to our network. Revenues associated with these services increased 8.4% in 2007.

In the second quarter of 2006, we launched EMBARQ branded wireless service. We provide this service through a MVNO relationship with Sprint Nextel. As of December 31, 2007, we had 112 thousand wireless subscribers, compared to 48 thousand a year ago. Our wireless service has been and continues to be dilutive to our results of operations, but we have and continue to take the actions necessary to improve these results. In late 2007, we began to take steps to minimize dilution, such as modifying our offerings and credit policies to more selectively target customers and reducing related advertising and promotional expenses. In 2008, we have continued to evaluate our approach to optimize the performance of our wireless business. In connection with that evaluation, we will take certain steps to accomplish an orderly transition away from our current approach to our MVNO arrangement and will further evaluate our wireless service offerings.

Video service is also a growing element of our bundled service offers. We currently offer video and pay per view service through sales agency relationships with various satellite providers. As of December 31, 2007, we had 200 thousand video service subscribers, compared to 162 thousand as of December 31, 2006.

To measure our success in our bundling initiatives as well as attracting and retaining high value customers, average monthly

revenue per household (ARPH) is a measure that we closely monitor. This measure is calculated by dividing one twelfth of annual consumer revenue by average primary access lines.

					Г	ifference	
	2	007	2	006	Am	ount	%
Annual consumer revenue	ф.	2 (90	ф	2.500	Φ	00	2.50
(millions) Average primary access lines (thousands)	\$	2,680 4,128	\$	2,590 4,446	\$	90 (318)	3.5%
ARPH	\$	54.10	\$	48.55	\$	5.55	11.4%

Approximately one-half of the improvement in ARPH is attributable to our success in developing and selling bundled offers, which we believe increases customer satisfaction and loyalty to Embarq. The remaining improvement results from a full year s impact of long distance customers transferred from Sprint Nextel at spin-off.

Spin-Off from Sprint Nextel

In December 2004, Sprint Nextel announced its intention to spin-off their local communications business and product distribution operations from their other businesses in a tax-free transaction. On May 17, 2006, the date of the spin-off, in exchange for, and as a condition to, the transfer of assets and the assumption of liabilities described below, we (1) issued to Sprint Nextel 149.1 million shares of our common stock and (2) issued to Sprint Nextel \$4.5 billion of Embarq senior notes and transferred to Sprint Nextel \$2.1 billion in cash. In exchange for, and contemporaneously with, the issuance of our common stock and transfer of debt and cash, Sprint Nextel transferred the Embarq

assets, at historical cost, consisting of Sprint Nextel s local communications operations, wholesale product distribution operations and the consumer and certain business long distance customers located in our service territories; and we assumed certain liabilities related to our business. The spin-off was completed through a pro rata distribution to Sprint Nextel shareholders consisting of one share of our common stock for every 20 shares of Sprint Nextel voting and non-voting shares owned by Sprint Nextel s shareholders as of the close of business on May 8, 2006, the record date for the distribution.

Sprint Nextel historically performed many important functions for our operations, including information technology support, treasury, accounting, finance and tax administration, human resources, legal, regulatory, public relations and strategic development functions. See Note 2, Spin-off from Sprint Nextel, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional related party transaction information. Since the spin-off,

Sprint Nextel has provided support to us with respect to certain of these functions on a transitional basis and will continue to provide some of these services for up to two years following the spin-off. As such, we are required to replicate certain facilities, systems, infrastructure and personnel before the termination of these agreements with Sprint Nextel. On a cumulative basis, through December 31, 2007, capital expenditures and other costs associated with developing and implementing our own support functions, including information technology systems and infrastructure have totaled approximately \$250 million.

The total spin-off related charges and capital expenditures were as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

2006

(millio	ons)	
\$ 26	\$	116
12		96
\$	\$ 26	

2007

Approximately \$67 million of the 2006 spin-off related capital expenditures were incurred by Sprint Nextel on our behalf before the spin-off. The resulting property, plant and equipment were transferred to us at spin-off. Accordingly, these capital expenditures are not reflected in our reported capital expenditures in 2006. On an ongoing basis, we do not expect to incur significant amounts of spin-off related costs.

Industry Environment

We operate in an industry that has been and continues to be subject to intense competition and

regulatory and legislative change. Given these factors, as well as the trend toward consolidation in the industry, we routinely assess the implications of these industry factors on our operations. These assessments, along with regulatory and legislative developments, may impact the future valuation of our long-lived assets and could have a material effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The fundamental objective of financial reporting is to provide useful information that allows a reader to understand our business activities. To aid in that understanding, management has identified our critical accounting policies. These policies are considered critical because they have the potential to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, and because they require judgments and estimation due to the uncertainty involved in measuring, at a specific point in time, events that are continuous in nature.

Revenue Recognition Policies

Total net operating revenue primarily consists of revenue generated from voice, data, high-speed Internet, wireless service and product sales. We recognize operating revenues as services are rendered or as products are sold in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition. Certain service activation and installation fees are deferred and amortized over the average life of the customer. In addition, revenue with multiple deliverables is recorded in compliance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. We also record revenue in accordance with EITF Issue No. 99-19,

Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus net as an Agent.

Net operating revenues include certain revenue reserves for access disputes with CLECs, wireless and long distance carriers, billing errors and customer disputes, and returns on product sales. Selling, general and administrative expense includes charges for estimated bad debt expense. These reserves require management s judgment and are based on many factors including historical trending and industry norms and current economic environment.

If the revenue reserve as a percentage of our net operating revenues were to be increased by 10 basis points, our net operating revenues would be reduced by \$3 million for 2007 and \$4 million for 2006. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, if the accounts receivable reserve estimates as a percentage of accounts receivable were increased by 1%, bad debt expense would increase approximately \$7 million for each period.

Management believes the reserve estimate selected, in each instance, represents its best estimate of future outcomes, but the actual outcomes could differ from the estimate selected.

Property, Plant and Equipment (PP&E) and Intangibles

PP&E and intangibles are evaluated for impairment whenever indicators of impairment arise. Accounting standards require that if an impairment indicator is present, we must assess whether the carrying amount of the asset is unrecoverable by estimating the sum of the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest costs, expected to result from the asset. An impairment is recognized based on the fair value of the asset if the carrying amount is in excess of the recoverable amount.

In estimating future cash flows, we use our internal business forecasts. We develop our forecasts based on recent data for existing products and services, planned timing of new products and services, and other industry and economic factors.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, Sprint Nextel terminated development and deployment of a network monitoring software application resulting in approximately \$80 million in allocated asset impairment charges to our business.

Depreciable Lives of Assets

Estimates and assumptions are used in setting depreciable lives and testing for recoverability of our long lived assets. Assumptions are based on internal studies of use, industry data on lives, recognition of technological advancements and understanding of business strategy. We perform annual internal studies to confirm the appropriateness of depreciation rates for each group of assets. These studies utilize models, which take into account actual usage, physical wear and tear, replacement history, assumptions about technology evolution and in certain instances actuarially-determined probabilities to calculate remaining lives of our asset base.

If our studies had resulted in a depreciable rate that was 5% higher or lower than those used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, recorded depreciation expense would have been impacted by the following for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	200′	7	20	006	20	05
			(mil	lions)		
Depreciation						
rate						
sensitivity	\$	53	\$	55	\$	58
	Income To	axes				

meome raxes

Current income tax expense represents the amount of income taxes paid or currently payable to various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate. Inherent in the current provision for income taxes are estimates and judgments regarding the interpretations of tax regulations, the tax class life assigned to assets, and the timing of deferred tax asset and liability realization. The amount of income taxes we ultimately pay is subject to ongoing audits by federal and

state taxing authorities. Our estimate for the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issues is highly judgmental. We believe we have adequately provided for any foreseeable outcome related to these matters. However, our future results may include adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period the assessments are made or resolved. As a result, our effective tax rate may fluctuate on a quarterly basis.

The consolidated financial statements reflect certain amounts related to deferred tax assets and liabilities, which result from temporary differences between the assets and liabilities measured for financial statement purposes versus the assets and liabilities measured for tax return purposes. Management must assess the expected realizable future tax benefits of deferred tax assets and record any required valuation allowances. Our valuation allowance was \$10 million as of December 31, 2007, and \$7 million as of December 31, 2006. The valuation allowance primarily relates to state net operating loss carryovers.

Actual income taxes could vary from estimates due to changes in income tax laws, significant changes in the jurisdictions in which we operate or our ability to generate sufficient future taxable income.

Employee Benefit Plan Assumptions

Retirement benefits are significant costs of doing business yet represent obligations that will be settled far in the future. Retirement benefit accounting is intended to reflect the recognition of the future benefit costs over the employee s expected tenure with us based on the terms of the benefit plans and the related investment and funding decisions. The accounting standards require that management make assumptions regarding such variables as the return on assets, the discount rate and future health care costs. These assumptions are subject to changing market conditions. Changes in these key assumptions can have a significant impact on the projected benefit obligation and periodic benefit cost incurred.

In selecting our discount rate, a hypothetical portfolio of bonds rated AA- or better that produces a cash flow matching the

projected benefit payments of the plan was constructed. For the December 31, 2007, measurement date, this exercise produced a discount rate of 6.3%, a 20 basis point increase from the 6.1% rate determined at December 31, 2006.

If the discount rates used in determining the December 31, 2007, projected benefit obligation and 2007 benefit cost for our defined benefit pension plans were 10 basis points lower, it would generate a \$39 million increase in the projected benefit obligation and a \$3 million increase in benefit costs.

The long-term expected return on plan assets was determined by considering forward-looking estimates of the expected long-term returns for a portfolio invested according to the pension trust s target investment policy. If the assumption regarding the expected long-term return on plan assets for 2007 of 8.5% were 25 basis points lower, our pension expense for 2007 would have been \$8 million higher.

In determining postretirement medical and life insurance benefit obligations, assumptions are made concerning the cost of health care, including medical inflation and discount rates. A 100 basis point increase in the assumed medical inflation rate would generate a \$4 million increase in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation reported on the balance sheet, and a \$1 million increase in benefit costs in 2007. If the discount rate used in determining the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was 10 basis points lower, it would generate a \$2 million increase in the obligation as of December 31, 2007, and would have had an immaterial impact on benefit costs.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation

No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This Interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As prescribed by the interpretation, the cumulative effect of applying these provisions was reported as an adjustment to our opening balance of retained earnings. We record interest associated with these liabilities as interest expense. Associated

penalties are recorded as income tax expense. See Note 5, Income Taxes, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Significant New Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements this standard provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities on the balance sheet by clarifying the definition of fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, for assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured on a recurring basis. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2007 20		006		005	
			(m	illions)		
Net Operating Revenues						
Telecommunications segment	\$	5,899	\$	5,833	\$	5,691
Logistics segment		466		530		563
Total net operating revenues	\$	6,365	\$	6,363	\$	6,254
Operating Income (Loss)						
Telecommunications segment	\$	1,506	\$	1,560	\$	1,593
Logistics segment		(2)		(16)		(41)
	\$	1,504	\$	1,544	\$	1,552

Total operating income

Income Before
Cumulative Effect
of Change in
Accounting
Principle \$ 683 \$ 784 \$ 894

Segmental Results of Operations Telecommunications

Our Telecommunications segment consists primarily of regulated local phone companies serving approximately 6.5 million access lines in primarily 18 states as of December 31, 2007. We provide, both directly and through wholesale and sales agency relationships, local and long distance voice, data, high-speed Internet, satellite video, wireless and other communications-related products and services to consumer and business customers within our local service territories. We also provide access to our local network and other wholesale communications services to other carriers.

	For t	the Years En	Differen	Difference		
(millions)	2007	% of Revenues	2006	% of Revenues	\$	Percent
Net operating revenues					·	
Voice	\$ 4,238	3 72%	\$ 4,346	75%	\$ (108)	(2)%
Data	765	13%	706	12%	59	8%
High-speed	404		202			
Internet	489		393	6%	96	24%
Wireless	51		7	-%	44	NM
Other	243	3 4%	270	5%	(27)	(10)%
Service						
revenues	5,786	98%	5,722	98%	64	1%
Product						
revenues	113	3 2%	111	2%	2	2%
Total net operating revenues	5,899	9 100%	5,833	100%	66	1%
Operating expenses						
Costs of						
services	1,635	5 28%	1,593	27%	42	3%
Costs of products	143	3 2%	116	2%	27	23%
Selling,	14.	5 270	110	270	21	2370
general and						
administrative	1,567	7 26%	1,548	27%	19	1%
Depreciation	1,048		1,016	17%	32	3%
Total						
operating						
expenses	4,393	3 74%	4,273	73%	120	3%

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

Operating income	\$ 1,506	26%	\$ 1,560	27%	\$ (54)	(3)%
Capital expenditures	\$ 827		\$ 921		\$ (94)	(10)%
Switched access lines (thousands)	6,474		6,908		(434)	(6.3)%
Switched access minutes of use (millions)	28,327		30,893		(2,566)	(8)%
High-speed Internet subscribers (thousands)	1,277		1,017		260	26%
Wireless						
subscribers (thousands)	112		48		64	NM

	For the Years Ended December 31,					Difference			
			% of			% of			
(millions)	2	2006	Revenues	2	2005	Revenues		\$	Percent
Net operating revenues									
Voice	\$	4,346	75%	\$	4,265	75%	\$	81	2%
Data		706	12%		657	12%		49	7%
High-speed Internet		393	6%		310	5%		83	27%
Wireless		7	-%		-	-%		7	NM
Other		270	5%		334	6%		(64)	(19)%
Service revenues		5,722	98%		5,566	98%		156	3%
Product revenues		111	2%		125	2%		(14)	(11)%
Total net operating revenues		5,833	100%		5,691	100%		142	2%
Operating expenses									
Costs of services		1,593	27%		1,415	25%		178	13%
Costs of products		116	2%		117	2%		(1)	(1)%
Selling, general and administrative		1,548	27%		1,519	27%		29	2%
Depreciation		1,016	17%		967	17%		49	5%
Asset impairment		-	-%		80	1%		(80)	NM
Total operating expenses		4,273	73%		4,098	72%		175	4%
Operating income	\$	1,560	27%	\$	1,593	28%	\$	(33)	(2)%
Capital expenditures	\$	921		\$	823		\$	98	12%
Switched access lines (thousands)		6,908			7,359			(451)	(6.1)%
Switched access minutes of use (millions)		30,893			34,331			(3,438)	(10)%
High-speed Internet subscribers (thousands)		1,017			693			324	47%
Wireless subscribers (thousands)		48			-			48	NM

Net Operating Revenues

Net operating revenues increased \$66 million, or 1%, in 2007 and \$142 million, or 2%, in 2006. Variances in individual categories of revenue are discussed below.

Voice

Voice revenues include monthly recurring fees for local service, enhanced calling features and long distance. Additionally, voice revenues include access and other wholesale services to other carriers to enable connectivity to our network as well as USF receipts and customer surcharges. Voice revenues declined \$108 million in 2007 and increased \$81

million in 2006.

The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(millio	ns)	
Transfer of			
long distance			
customers			
from Sprint			
Nextel	\$ 160	\$	286
Decline in			
voice revenues			
primarily due			
to access line			
losses	(211)		(179)
Discontinuance			
of USF			
surcharges on			
high-speed			
Internet			
services	(14)		(12)
Decline in			
access			
revenues			
primarily			
associated with			
lower access			
minutes of use	(14)		(43)
Significant			
billing dispute			
settled in 2006	(34)		34
Other	5		(5)
Total increase			
(decrease)	\$ (108)	\$	81

As the transfer of long distance customers will no longer impact our annual comparisons, we expect that the rate of decline of total voice revenues will increase in 2008 due to previously discussed access line loss trends.

Data

Data revenues represent data network services sold to business customers and special access services sold to other carriers. Data revenues increased \$59 million in 2007 and \$49 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(million	is)	
Special			
access			
revenue	\$ 31	\$	21
Transfer			
of			
dedicated			
IP			
customers			
from			
Sprint			
Nextel	10		16
Ethernet			
and other			
business			
data			
services	19		9
Other	(1)		3
Total			
increase	\$ 59	\$	49

We expect continued growth in special access and business data services in 2008.

High-speed Internet

High-speed Internet revenues increased \$96 million in 2007 and \$83 million in 2006. These increases are due to a 26% increase in subscribers in 2007 and a 47% increase in 2006. On an ongoing basis, we expect that high-speed Internet revenue will continue to increase, but at a lower growth rate, as our base grows.

Wireless

During the second quarter 2006, we began offering wireless communication services. The \$44 million increase in 2007 and \$7 million increase in 2006 was attributed to the increase in wireless subscribers. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately 112 thousand subscribers to our wireless service as compared to 48 thousand subscribers a year ago.

See Overview-Operations for further discussion of actions to reduce earnings dilution with respect to our wireless offerings. These actions could result in reduced wireless revenue in 2008.

Other Service

Other service revenues mainly includes professional services, intelligent network database services, billing and collection services and sales of products and services through various sales agency relationships, including

our video service offering. Other service revenues declined \$27 million in 2007 and \$64 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

(millions)			
\$	(4)	\$	(3)
	(19)		(40)
	(8)		(10)
	3		7
	-		(13)
	1		(5)
\$	(27)	\$	(64)
		\$ (4) (19) (8) 3	\$ (4) \$ (19) (8) 3 - 1

Product Revenues

Product revenues are derived mainly from sales of customer premises equipment, or CPE, which is communications equipment that resides at a business customer s location for the management of voice and data networks and applications. Sales of high-speed Internet equipment and wireless handsets to our customers also are reflected in product revenues. Product revenues increased \$2 million in 2007 and decreased \$14 million in 2006. The 2006 decrease in revenue was due in part to the

transfer of certain large CPE customers to Sprint Nextel as part of the spin-off.

Costs of Services

Costs of services include costs to operate and maintain the local network including employee-related costs directly supporting our network, costs directly associated with various service offerings, intercarrier compensation (such as access payments and reciprocal compensation), federal and state USF assessments and various operating taxes. Cost of services increased \$42 million in 2007 and \$178 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(millions)			
Transfer of				
long distance				
customers				
from Sprint				
Nextel	\$	85	\$	168
Long distance				
costs				
(primarily rate				
driven)		(15)		-
Reciprocal				
compensation				
(impacted by				
\$18 million of				
favorable				
settlements in				
2006, and \$7				
million				
favorable				
settlement in				
2005)		11		(9)
Discontinuance				
of USF				
requirements				
on high-speed				
Internet				
services		(14)		(12)
Network				
severance				
charges		17		20
Network labor				
and benefits		(35)		9

Hurricane related costs Other costs	- (7)	(11) 13
Total increase (decrease)	\$ 42	\$ 178

Costs of Products

Costs of products increased \$27 million in 2007 and decreased \$1 million in 2006. The 2007 cost increase is related to higher product costs associated with wireless handsets and other telecommunications equipment. Our

cost of products related to wireless handsets will likely decrease in 2008 due to actions taken or to be taken to reduce earnings dilution with respect to our wireless offerings.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative (SGA) costs, includes costs associated with selling and marketing, customer service, information technology, bad debt expense, general corporate costs and all other employee-related costs. These costs increased \$19 million in 2007 and \$29 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(millions)			
Transfer of				
long distance				
customers				
from Sprint				
Nextel	\$	25	\$	50
Elimination of				
allocated				
charges				
associated with				
the spin-off		(51)		(108)
Other allocated				
cost reductions		-		(46)
Spin-off				
related charges		(85)		85
Severance				
charges		33		10
Increased costs				
of corporate				
support				
functions, net				
of subsequent				
employee				
reductions		17		39
Effect of 2007				
postretirement				
benefit plan				
amendment		(21)		-
Stock		36		(11)
compensation				

and other		
benefits costs		
Bad debt		
expense	42	2
Systems and		
process		
improvement		
initiatives	25	3
Gain on sale of		
exchanges	12	(12)
Other	(14)	17
Total increase	\$ 19	\$ 29

SGA costs include charges for estimated bad debt expense. The reserve for bad debt requires management s judgment and is based on many factors. Bad debt expense increased to approximately 1.5% of net operating revenues in 2007 from 0.9% in 2006. The increase is partially due to additional bad debt expense associated with long distance customers transferred from Sprint Nextel at spin, additional expense associated with our wireless offering, as well as current economic factors.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense increased \$32 million in 2007 and \$49 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(millio	ns)	
Transfer of			
shared assets			
from Sprint			
Nextel	\$ 38	\$	53
Depreciation			
rate			
reductions	(48)		(27)
Plant growth	36		23
Incremental	6		-
depreciation			
resulting			
from			
capitalized			
sales and use			

tax assessments

Total		
increase	\$ 32	\$ 49

Asset Impairment

In 2005, we recorded \$80 million in asset impairment charges. This was primarily due to the terminated development and deployment of a network management software application, which resulted in approximately \$77 million in allocated impairment charges. Sprint Nextel, in conjunction with our management, terminated this project after evaluating business strategies and determining that the project would not provide the cost structure, flexibility or operational functionality we would need once the spin-off was complete.

Segmental Results of Operations Logistics

Through our Logistics segment, we procure, configure, service and distribute equipment, materials and supplies to the communications industry. The products that we offer include outside plant, telephones and accessories and network access equipment from leading manufacturers.

	For the Years Ended December 31,						Difference		
(millions)	2	2007	% of Revenues	:	2006	% of Revenues		\$	Percent
Net operating revenues Operating expenses	\$	466	100 %	\$	530	100 %	\$	(64)	(12)%
Costs of products and services		418	90 %		483	91 %		(65)	(13)%
Selling, general and administrative Depreciation		41	9 %		52	10 %		(11)	(21)%
and amortization		9	2 %		11	2 %		(2)	(18)%
Total operating expenses		468	101 %		546	103 %		(78)	(14)%
Operating loss	\$	(2)	(1)%	\$	(16)	(3)%	\$	14	88 %
Capital expenditures	\$	2		\$	2		\$	-	- %

		For t	he Year	s Ende	ed D	ecemb	er 31,		Differ	ence
(millions)	2	006	% o Reven	_	2	2005	% Reve		\$	Percent
Net operating revenues Operating expenses	\$	530	1	00 %	\$	563		100 %	\$ (33)	(6)%
Costs of products and services		483 52		91 % 10 %		517 75		92 % 13 %	(34) (23)	(7)% (31)%

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

Selling, general and administrative						
Depreciation and amortization	11	2 %	12	2 %	(1)	(8)%
Total operating expenses	546	103 %	604	107 %	(58)	(10)%
Operating loss	\$ (16)	(3)% \$	(41)	(7)% \$	25	(61)%
Capital expenditures	\$ 2	\$	5	\$	(3)	(60)%

Net Operating Revenues

Revenues from the Logistics segment decreased \$64 million in 2007 and \$33 million in 2006. The following table lists the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 202006 vs. 2005

	(mil	lions	5)
Significant			
new supply			
contracts	\$ 10	\$	74
Exit of			
enterprise			
and wireless			
markets	(21)		(116)
Loss of			
significant			
supply			
contracts	(50)		-
Other	(3)		9
Total			
decrease	\$ (64)	\$	(33)

Cost of Products and Services

Cost of products and services includes costs of equipment sold and other operating taxes. These costs decreased \$65 million in 2007 and \$34 million in 2006. The drivers of these changes are directly associated with the revenue factors noted above, as well as a reduction of inventory reserves related to previously exited markets and a 2006 third

quarter charge associated with certain in-process inventory.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$11 million in 2007 and \$23 million in 2006. The 2007 decrease in costs was driven by a decrease in spin-off costs, a decrease in severance charges as well as favorable bad debt experience. The 2006 comparison benefited from reductions in workforce associated with the exit of the unprofitable enterprise and wireless markets initiated in late 2005 and completed in 2006, and the integration of certain support functions with those established by us at spin-off. These benefits were somewhat offset by severance charges and spin-off costs attributed to the Logistics business.

Consolidated Non-operating Items

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2	2007	2	2006	2	005
			(mi	llions)		
Interest						
expense	\$	432	\$	324	\$	83
Other						
(income)						
expense,						
net		(3)		(14)		(3)
Income tax						
expense		392		450		578
Cumulative						
effect of						
change in						
accounting						
principles,						
net		-		-		16

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$108 million in 2007 and \$241 million in 2006. These increases are primarily due to the full year impact of the issuance of the long-term debt associated with spin-off partially offset by the reduction of debt outstanding and

elimination of notes previously payable to Sprint Nextel.

Our effective interest rate on long-term debt was 7.2% for 2007 and 7.1% for 2006. The slightly higher effective interest rate is primarily due to the pay down of spin-off related debt bearing lower effective interest rates. Interest costs on pre-spin-off short-term borrowings from Sprint Nextel have been excluded so as not to distort the effective interest rate on long-term debt. See Liquidity and Capital Resources below for more information on our financing activities.

Other (Income) Expense, Net

Other (income) expense decreased \$11 million in 2007 and increased \$11 million in 2006. These variances were primarily due to a \$5 million gain related to the 2006 liquidation of our holdings in the Rural Telephone Bank and a \$3 million gain resulting from the re-designation of a derivative instrument, also recorded in 2006.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense decreased \$58 million in 2007 and \$128 million in 2006. Our effective tax rates were 36.5% in 2007 and 2006 while the 2005 rate was 39.3%. In 2007, the effective tax rate was impacted by modifications in our legal entity structure and a favorable state tax ruling. The decrease in effective tax rate from 2005 to 2006 was the result of enacted state legislation, adjustments to deferred taxes to properly reflect our deferred tax income tax liabilities and the benefit of consolidated, combined, or unitary methodologies on state income taxes. See Note 5, Income Taxes, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, for additional information.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principles, Net

Embarq adopted FIN 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, in 2005, which clarified that an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of an Asset Retirement Obligation if the amount can be reasonably estimated. The adoption resulted in a cumulative effect of change in accounting principles, net of tax, of \$16 million.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We manage our liquidity and capital resource needs primarily through managing the use of capital and the timing and amount of capital expenditures.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes the components of our increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	F	For the Years Ended Dece				ember 31,		
	2	2007		2006		005		
			(mi	(llions)				
Operating activities	\$	1,624	\$	2,053	\$	1,898		
Investing activities		(794)		(880)		(811)		
Financing activities		(814)		(1,223)		(1,097)		
Increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents	\$	16	\$	(50)	\$	(10)		

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$429 million in 2007 from 2006 and increased \$155 million in 2006 from 2005. These changes were based on the following:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(million	es)	
Collections			
from			
customers	\$ (38)	\$	152
Payments to			
employees			
and suppliers	(85)		(51)
	(75)		79

operating

activities

Contributions		
to the defined		
benefit		
pension plan		
Interest		
payments	(147)	(204)
Income tax		
payments,		
net	(101)	201
Other	17	(22)
Total		
increase		
(decrease) in		
cash from		

The changes in cash from operating activities as detailed in the table above were impacted by the drivers discussed in Results of Operations, as well as the timing of certain working capital, employee benefit plan and income tax requirements.

\$ (429)

\$ 155

Investing Activities

Net cash used by investing activities decreased \$86 million in 2007 compared to 2006 and increased \$69 million in 2006 compared to 2005.

Capital expenditures account for the majority of our investing activities. Our capital expenditures primarily fund new service addresses and network capacity increases; regulatory mandates; internal infrastructure; new capabilities; sales success based expenditures primarily related to growth in high-speed Internet and data services; and spin-off related expenditures. Capital expenditures of \$829 million in 2007 decreased \$94 million from \$923 million in 2006, which increased \$95 million from \$828 million in 2005. The following table shows the major drivers of these changes:

Increase (Decrease)

2007 vs. 2006 2006 vs. 2005

	(millio	ns)	
Network			
buildout and			
mandates	\$ (81)	\$	(75)
Internal			
infrastructure	21		(5)
New			
capabilities	(19)		21
Sales success			
based	2		125
Spin-off			
capital			
expenditures	(17)		29
Total increase			
(decrease) in			
capital			

During 2007, capital requirements associated with new service addresses declined in part due to the downturn in residential construction and development in our service territories. This trend in the housing market could affect capital requirements in the future.

\$

(94)

95

expenditures

In 2006, the company sold a total of 25 rural telephone exchanges in north central Kansas. Cash proceeds of \$15 million were received in 2006. An additional

\$15 million of cash proceeds from the fourth quarter 2006 sale were received in the 2007 first quarter. Also, in 2006, we received proceeds of \$9 million from the liquidation of our investment in the Rural Telephone Bank.

Financing Activities

Net cash used by financing activities decreased \$409 million in 2007 from 2006 and increased \$126 million in 2006 from 2005.

In 2007, we made net cash payments on our long-term debt of \$577 million. In connection with the spin-off in 2006, we borrowed \$2.1 billion under our credit agreement and paid Sprint Nextel a net amount of \$2.2 billion in cash. In addition to us remitting the proceeds from the borrowing to Sprint Nextel, our \$308 million advance receivable balance, which was classified as cash, was settled, and Sprint Nextel transferred \$200 million of cash to us. Between the spin-off and the end of 2006, we made net payments of \$790 million on our outstanding credit agreement.

In 2007, dividends totaling \$367 million were paid to shareholders. In 2006, we paid \$194 million in dividends to Sprint Nextel before the spin-off and \$150 million in dividends to shareholders in the third and fourth quarters of 2006. Dividends of \$983 million were paid to Sprint Nextel in 2005.

Net proceeds from common and treasury stock issued in connection with stock based compensation plans were \$109 million in 2007 compared to \$20 million in the post-spin period of 2006. In 2007, the company recognized excess tax benefits related to stock-based compensation expense of \$25 million, an increase over the \$2 million excess tax benefit in 2006.

Capital Requirements

We currently expect capital expenditures for 2008 to be approximately \$800 million. We continue to review capital expenditure requirements and will adjust spending and capital investment in response to operational needs and customer demand.

Liquidity

We expect that cash from operations will fully fund our capital requirements.

Our total indebtedness at December 31, 2007, was \$5.9 billion, consisting of \$4.5 billion in senior notes, \$770 million in direct borrowings under our credit agreement and approximately \$623 million of other debt. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$410 million in direct borrowings under our revolving credit facility with available letters of credit of approximately \$29 million, leaving approximately \$1.1 billion of availability under the revolving credit facility. Current debt maturities as of December 31, 2007, were \$99 million. We are in compliance with all applicable financial covenants associated with our borrowings.

We may also incur additional indebtedness from time to time for general corporate purposes, including working capital requirements and capital expenditures. Regulatory restrictions and the terms of our indebtedness, however, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, raise capital through our subsidiaries, pledge the stock of our subsidiaries, encumber our assets or the assets of our subsidiaries, or cause our subsidiaries to guarantee our indebtedness.

We expect to pay regular quarterly dividends. Our ability to fund a regular quarterly dividend will be impacted by our ability to generate cash from operations. The declaration, amount and timing of future dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors, and will depend upon many factors, including our financial condition, results of operations, growth prospects, funding requirements, applicable law and other factors our board of directors deems relevant. On January 9, 2008, we announced

that our board of directors declared an increased dividend of \$0.6875 per share on our common stock. The dividend is payable on March 31, 2008, to stockholders of record on March 10, 2008.

Also, on January 9, 2008, our board of directors authorized a program for the repurchase of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price of up to \$500 million until June 30, 2009. We anticipate purchasing shares either in the open market or through private transactions, depending on market conditions and other factors, in accordance with applicable securities laws.

In connection with the spin-off, we established our own pension and other postretirement benefit plans. Our pension plans are funded in excess of current federal minimum requirements. Contributions to our pension plans during 2008 are expected to be approximately \$75 million based on projected plan asset and liability levels.

As discussed in Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, amendments to certain of our other postretirement benefit plans were approved in 2007 and are fully effective January 1, 2008. We now expect a reduction of approximately \$10 million in benefit payments in 2008 compared to 2007 related to these amendments (which is lower than previously disclosed estimates due to better than expected 2007 claims experience). Consequently, contributions to our other postretirement benefit plans, including medical and life insurance benefits, are expected to be approximately \$30 million in 2008.

In December 2007, a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit in Kansas federal court, challenging this decision. See Note 3, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial

Statements, for additional information.

Future Contractual Obligations

The following table represents our future contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

	Total		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		After 2012	
	(millions)													
Long-term														
debt	\$	5,878	\$	99	\$	2	\$	2	\$	770	\$	-	\$	5,005
Interest on long-term debt ⁽¹⁾		5,604		422		415	41	5		386		370		3,596
Operating		3,004		722		713	71	,		300		310		3,370
leases		139		37		32	2	4		18		11		17
Purchase obligations and other		244		130		42	2	7		25		20		-
Total	\$	11,865	\$	688	\$	491	\$ 46	8	\$	1,199	\$	401	\$	8,618

(1) Estimated interest on the bank credit agreement assumes payment schedule based upon the outstanding balances and interest rates as of December 31, 2007, and excludes any additional prepayment or drawdown under the revolving credit facility.

Our adoption of FIN 48 resulted in the recognition of a liability for uncertain tax positions of \$96 million as of December 31, 2007. The liability has not been assigned to any particular year due to the inherent uncertainty regarding the timing of future cash outflows.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not participate in, secure or finance any unconsolidated, special purpose entities.

Financial Strategies

Derivatives

We manage exposure to interest rate risk by regularly monitoring our mix of floating and fixed-rate

debt. We may enter into interest rate swap agreements or other derivative transactions to manage this exposure. As of December 31, 2007, there were no outstanding derivative instruments.

During the 2005 fourth quarter, we entered into swaption derivative contracts for a cumulative notional amount of \$600 million. These swaption contracts were intended to mitigate the interest rate variability of the first ten years semi-annual interest payments on the first \$600 million of our debt issuance in connection with the spin-off.

Additionally, in the 2005 fourth quarter we entered into Treasury collars for a cumulative notional amount of \$2.4 billion. These treasury collars were intended to mitigate the interest rate variability of the first ten years semi-annual interest payments on the next \$2.4 billion of our debt issuance. The Treasury collars were accounted for as cash flow hedges.

On May 12, 2006, the swaption contracts and treasury collars entered into in 2005 were terminated. The accumulated other comprehensive income associated with these transactions of \$51 million (\$30 million net of tax) will be amortized using the effective interest method and reclassified to interest expense as a yield adjustment of the hedged semi-annual interest payment for ten years.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are susceptible to market risks related to changes in interest rates and do not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

We are subject to interest rate risk primarily associated with our borrowings under our credit agreement. From time to time, we may consider entering into swap and other agreements to manage our exposure to interest rate changes on our debt.

Approximately 87% of our outstanding debt at December 31, 2007, is fixed-rate debt. While changes in interest rates impact the fair value of this debt, there is no impact on earnings and cash flows because we do not intend to prepay these obligations.

We perform interest rate sensitivity analyses on our variable-rate debt. These analyses indicate that a 1% change in interest rates would have an annual pre-tax impact of \$8 million on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows at December 31, 2007. While earnings and cash flows are impacted as interest rates change, our variable-rate debt is not subject to changes in fair values.

We also perform a sensitivity analysis on the fair market value of our outstanding debt. A 10% decrease in market interest rates would cause an increase of approximately \$167 million in fair market value of our outstanding debt at December 31, 2007.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The consolidated financial statements required by this item begin on page F-1 of this annual report on Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. The financial statement schedule required under SEC Regulation S-X is filed pursuant to Item 15 of this annual report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act, and in connection with the preparation of this annual report on Form 10-K, our management, including the Interim Chief **Executive Officer and Chief** Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, the Interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer each concluded that the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2007, in providing assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Interim Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and in providing reasonable assurance that the information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

During 2007, there have been no changes that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(b) Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over

financial reporting. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes.

Our management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. This assessment was based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, in Internal Control Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management believes that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, has been audited by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report that appears on page F-2.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Part III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item regarding our executive officers is incorporated by reference to Part I of this report under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant. All other information required by this item, other than the code of ethics information below, is incorporated herein by reference to the information set forth in the proxy statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

We have adopted a code of ethics, which we refer to as the Embarq Code of Conduct, which applies to all our employees, including our executive officers, and directors. The Embarq Code of Conduct is publicly available on our website at

www.embarq.com/governance/ethics/ and you may also obtain a copy free of charge by writing to: Corporate Secretary, Embarq Corporation, 5454 W. 110th St., Overland Park, KS 66211. If a provision of the Embarg Code of Conduct is amended, other than by a technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendment, or a waiver of the Embarq Code of Conduct is granted to a director or executive officer, the notice of such amendment or waiver will be posted on our website. Also, we may elect to disclose the amendment or waiver in a report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in our proxy statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in our proxy statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in our proxy statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the proxy statement relating to our 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) 1. The consolidated financial statements of Embarq filed as part of this report are listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- 2. The consolidated financial statement schedule of Embarq filed as part of this report is listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements. All other financial statement schedules are not required under the related instructions, or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.
- 3. The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

Exhibit

Number Description

- 2.1* Separation and
 Distribution Agreement
 (Incorporated by
 reference to Exhibit 2.1
 to Amendment No. 4 to
 the Registration
 Statement on Form 10
 (File No. 001-32732),
 filed with the Securities
 and Exchange
 Commission on May 2,
 2006).
- 2.2* **Transition Services** Agreement between **Embarq Corporation** (receiver) and Sprint Nextel Corporation (provider) dated as of January 20, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission on April 28, 2006).

- 2.3* **Transition Services** Agreement between **Embarg Corporation** (provider) and Sprint **Nextel Corporation** (receiver) dated as of January 20, 2006 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 0001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 28, 2006.
- 2.4* Tax Sharing Agreement dated as of May 17, 2006 by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Embarq Corporation and certain Embarq subsidiaries (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on May 18, 2006).
- 2.5* Employee Matters
 Agreement dated as of
 May 17, 2006 between
 Sprint Nextel
 Corporation and
 Embarq Corporation
 (Incorporated by
 reference to Exhibit 2.2
 to the Current Report on
 Form 8-K (File No.
 001-32732), filed with
 the Securities and
 Exchange Commission
 on May 18, 2006).
- 2.6* Patent Agreement dated as of May 17, 2006 by and between Sprint Nextel Corporation and Embarq Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

on May 18, 2006).

2.7* Trademark Assignment and License Agreement dated as of May 17, 2006, by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Embarq Corporation and certain Embarq subsidiaries (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on May 18, 2006).

2.8* Software and Proprietary Information Agreement dated as of May 17, 2006 by and between Embarq Corporation and Sprint Nextel Corporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on May 18, 2006).

3.1 Amended and Restated
Certificate of
Incorporation
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 3.1
to Amendment No. 4 to
the Registration
Statement on Form 10
(File No. 001-32732),
filed with the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on May 2,
2006).

Exhibit

Number Description

- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 25, 2006).
- 4.1 Indenture, dated as of May 17, 2006, by and between Embarq Corporation and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, a national banking association, as trustee (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on May 18, 2006).
- 4.2 6.738% Global Note due 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-32372), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).
- 4.3 7.082% Global Note due 2016 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-32372), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).
- 4.4 7.995% Global Note due 2036 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-32372), filed with the Securities and

Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).

- 4.5 Credit Agreement, dated May 10, 2006, by and among Embarq Corporation (borrower), the banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders (initial lenders) and issuers of letters of credit (initial issuing banks) and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on May 11, 2006).
- 10.1** Agreement Regarding Special Compensation and Post Employment Restrictive Covenants, dated as of December 12, 1995, by and between Sprint Corporation and Gene M. Betts (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant s Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on March 14, 2006).
- 10.2** Special Compensation and Non-Compete Agreement, dated as of August 12, 1996, by and between Sprint Corporation and William R. Blessing (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the registrant s Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on March 14, 2006).
- 10.3** Employment
 Agreement, dated as of
 December 3, 2003, by

and among Sprint Corporation,
Sprint/United
Management Company
and Thomas A. Gerke
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.10 to the registrant s
Amendment No. 1 to the
Registration Statement
on Form 10 (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on March 14, 2006).

10.4** Waiver with respect to Employment Agreement of Mr. Gerke, dated as of December 16, 2007.

10.5** Employment Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2005, by and among Sprint Corporation, Sprint/United Management Company and Daniel R. Hesse (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the registrant s Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on March 14, 2006).

10.6** Special Compensation and Non-Compete Agreement, dated as of December 9, 1997, by and between Sprint Corporation and Thomas J. McEvoy (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the registrant s Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form 10 (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and **Exchange Commission** on March 14, 2006).

Exhibit

Number Description

10.7** Embarq Corporation
2006 Equity Incentive
Plan, as amended and
restated (Incorporated
by reference to Exhibit
10.1 to the registrant s
Current Report on Form
8-K (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on December 13, 2006).

10.8** Embarq Corporation
2006 Short-Term
Incentive Plan
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.14 to the registrant s
Amendment No. 4 to the
Registration Statement
on Form 10 (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on May 2, 2006).

10.9** Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between Embarq Corporation and each of its directors and each of the following officers: Gene M. Betts, William R. Blessing, Harrison S. Campbell, William E. Cheek, Thomas A. Gerke, Richard B. Green, E.J. Holland, Jr., Thomas J. McEvoy and Claudia S. Toussaint (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 5, 2006).

10.10** Embarq Corporation
Amended and Restated
Supplemental Executive
Retirement Plan
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit

10.11 to the registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2007).

10.11** Embarq Corporation
Key Management
Benefit Plan
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.2
to the registrant s Current
Report on Form 8-K
(File No. 001-32732),
filed with the Securities
and Exchange
Commission on May 11,
2006).

10.12** Embarq Corporation
Non-Employee Director
Compensation Program
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit
10.13 to the registrant s
Annual Report on Form
10-K (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on March 9, 2007).

10.13** Form of Special Equity
Award Agreement for
Individuals with
Employment or
Severance Agreements
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.1
to the Current Report on
Form 8-K (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on July 25, 2006).

10.14** Form of Special Equity
Award Agreement for
Individuals without
Employment or
Severance Agreements
(Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.2
to the Current Report on
Form 8-K (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on July 25, 2006).

10.15** Form of 2006 Annual Equity Award for

Executive Officers other than Mr. Hesse (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2006).

- 10.16** Form of 2006 Annual
 Equity Award for Daniel
 R. Hesse (Incorporated
 by reference to Exhibit
 10.2 to the Current
 Report on Form 8-K
 (File No. 001-32732),
 filed with the Securities
 and Exchange
 Commission on August
 1, 2006).
- 10.17** Form of Award
 Agreement for Outside
 Directors (Incorporated
 by reference to Exhibit
 10.3 to the Current
 Report on Form 8-K
 (File No. 001-32732),
 filed with the Securities
 and Exchange
 Commission on August
 1, 2006).
- 10.18** Summary of Embarq
 Corporation 2007
 Short-Term Incentive
 Program (Incorporated
 by reference to Exhibit
 10.1 of the Current
 Report on Form 8-K
 (File No. 001-32372),
 filed with the Securities
 and Exchange
 Commission on
 February 27, 2007).
- 10.19** Form of 2007 Award
 Agreement for
 Executive Officers other
 than Mr. Hesse
 (Incorporated by
 reference to Exhibit 10.1
 to the Current Report on
 Form 8-K (File No.
 001-32372), filed with
 the Securities and
 Exchange Commission
 on February 27, 2007.

Exhibit

Number Description

10.20** Form of 2007 Award
Agreement for Daniel R.
Hesse (Incorporated by
reference to Exhibit 10.2
to the Current Report on
Form 8-K (File No.
001-32372), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on February 27, 2007).

10.21** Executive Severance Plan, including Form of Participation Agreement entered into between Embarq Corporation and the following executive officers: Harrison S. Campbell, William E. Cheek, Richard B. Green, E.J. Holland, Jr. and Claudia S. Toussaint (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2007.

10.22** Form of 2007 Award
Agreement for
Non-Employee
Directors (Incorporated
by reference to Exhibit
10.2 to the Registrant s
Current Report on Form
8-K (File No.
001-32732), filed with
the Securities and
Exchange Commission
on April 30, 2007).

10.23** Agreement dated as of December 17, 2007 by and among Sprint Nextel Corporation, Embarq Corporation and certain other entities (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and

Exchange Commission on December 18, 2007).

- 10.24** Voluntary Termination of Employment Letter Agreement between Embarq Corporation and Daniel R. Hesse dated December 17, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-32732), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 18, 2007)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Our company will furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, a copy of the instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt that does not exceed 10% of the total assets of our company.

^{*} Schedules and/or exhibits not filed will be furnished

supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

** Management contracts or compensatory plan or arrangement

57

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

(Registrant)

By /s/
Thomas
A. Gerke
Thomas A.
Gerke
Interim
President
and Chief
Executive
Officer

Dated: February 29, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 29th day of February 2008.

/s/
Thomas
A. Gerke
Thomas A.
Gerke
Interim
President
and Chief
Executive
Officer
(Principal
Executive
Officer)

/s/ Gene M. Betts Gene M. Betts

Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

/s/

Richard B. Green Richard B. Green Vice President and Controller (Chief Accounting Officer)

58

SIGNATURES

EMBARQ CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 29th day of February 2008.

/s/ Peter	/s/
C. Brown	William
	A. Owens
Peter C.	William A.
Brown	Owens
Director	Director
/s/ Steven	/s/ Dinesh
A. Davis	C. Paliwal
Steven A.	Dinesh C.
Davis	Paliwal
Director	Director
/s/	
/S/	
Richard	/s/
, 6,	/s/ Stephanie
Richard	,
Richard A.	Stephanie
Richard A. Gephardt	Stephanie M. Shern
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A.	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt Director	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie M. Shern
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie M. Shern
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt Director	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie M. Shern Director
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt Director	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie M. Shern Director
Richard A. Gephardt Richard A. Gephardt Director /s/ John P. Mullen	Stephanie M. Shern Stephanie M. Shern Director /s/ Laurie A. Siegel

EMBARQ CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page Reference
Report of KPMG	
LLP, Independent	
Registered Public	
Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated	
Balance Sheets as	
of December 31,	
2007 and 2006	F-3
Consolidated	
Statements of	
Operations and	
Comprehensive	
Income for the	
Years Ended	
December 31,	
2007, 2006 and	
<u>2005</u>	F-4
Consolidated	
Statements of	
Cash Flows for	
the Years Ended	
December 31,	
2007, 2006 and	
<u>2005</u>	F-5
Consolidated	
Statements of	
<u>Stockholders</u>	
Equity for the	
Years Ended	
December 31,	
<u>2007, 2006</u>	
and 2005	F-6
Notes to	
<u>Consolidated</u>	
Financial Financial	
<u>Statements</u>	F-7
<u>Schedule</u>	
II Consolidated	
Valuation and	
Qualifying	
Accounts	E 35

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Embarq Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Embarq Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, cash flows, and stockholders equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited the financial statement schedule, Schedule II Consolidated Valuation and Qualifying Accounts. We also have audited the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements and related financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Item 9A(b)). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and related financial statement schedule and an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the

company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Embarq Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth there in. Additionally in our opinion, Embarq Corporation and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement

Obligations, as of December 31, 2005. Also, as discussed in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), as of December 31, 2006. Lastly, as discussed in Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes- an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, as of January 1, 2007.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Kansas City, Missouri

February 28, 2008

EMBARQ CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(millions, except per share data)

Δ.	ηf	D	ecem	her	31

	2	007	2006			
Assets						
Current assets						
Cash and						
equivalents	\$	69	\$	53		
Accounts			_			
receivable, net						
of allowance						
for doubtful						
accounts of \$60						
and \$53		616		660		
Inventories, net		138		179		
Deferred						
income taxes		76		73		
Prepaid						
expenses and						
other current						
assets		87		86		
Total current						
assets		986		1,051		
Gross property,						
plant and						
equipment		20,802		20,470		
Accumulated						
depreciation	(13,054)		(12,482)		
Net property,						
plant and						
equipment		7,748		7,988		
Goodwill		27		27		
Prepaid		21		21		
pension asset		108		_		
Other assets		32		53		
other assets		32		33		
Total	\$	8,901	\$	9,119		
	·	- /		., .		
Liabilities and						
Stockholders						
Equity						
Current						
liabilities						
Current						
maturities of						
long-term debt	\$	99	\$	37		

387

503

Accounts		
payable Payroll and		
employee		
benefits	208	198
Accrued		
income taxes	27	68
Accrued	.=	0.5
operating taxes Deferred	97	96
revenue	202	211
Accrued	202	211
interest	56	52
Other current		
liabilities	122	99
Total current	1 100	1.064
liabilities Noncurrent	1,198	1,264
liabilities		
Long-term debt	5,779	6,421
Deferred		
income taxes	1,130	1,067
Benefit plan	220	ć0.5
obligations Other	320	685
noncurrent		
liabilities	210	150
Total		
noncurrent		
liabilities	7,439	8,323
Stockholders		
equity		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value;		
200 shares		
authorized; no		
shares issued		
and		
outstanding	-	-
Common stock,		
\$.01 par value; 1,250 shares		
authorized;		
153.1 and		
149.7 shares		
issued and		
outstanding	2	1
Paid-in capital	(231)	(414)
Retained earnings	623	308
Accumulated	023	300
other		
comprehensive		
income (loss)	(130)	(363)
Total		
stockholders	264	(460)
equity	264	(468)

Total \$ 8,901 \$ 9,119

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED
STATEMENTS OF
OPERATIONS AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(millions, except per share data)

2007

For the Years Ended December 31,

2006

2005

	21	007	20	000	2005	
Net Operating						
Revenues						
Service revenues	\$	5,786	\$	5,722	\$	5,566
Product	-	2,	-	-,	-	-,
revenues		579		641		688
Total net						
operating						
revenue		6,365		6,363		6,254
Operating						
Expenses						
Cost of services		1,637		1,594		1,417
Cost of products		559		598		632
Selling, general						
and						
administrative		1,608		1,600		1,594
Depreciation		1,057		1,027		979
Asset						
impairment		-		-		80
Total operating						
expenses		4,861		4,819		4,702
Operating		1.504				1.550
Income		1,504		1,544		1,552
Interest expense		432		324		83
Other (income)		(3)		(14)		(3)
expense, net		(3)		(14)		(3)
I D.f						
Income Before		1.075		1 224		1 472
Income Taxes Income tax		1,075		1,234		1,472
		392		450		578
expense		392		730		370
Income Defens						
Income Before Cumulative						
Effect of						
Change in						
Accounting						
Principle, Net		683		784		894
Cumulative		-		-		16
effect of change						

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

in accounting principle, net					
Net Income	\$	683	\$	784	\$ 878
Amendments to					
and					
remeasurements of employee					
benefit plans,					
net of tax		(232)		-	-
Amortization of					
employee					
benefit plans prior service					
cost and					
actuarial losses,					
net of tax		(5)		-	-
Unrealized					
holding gains on					
cash flow derivatives, net					
of tax		_		(39)	Ç
Amortization of				(37)	
cash flow					
derivatives, net					
of tax		4		1	
Net minimum					
pension liability adjustment, net					
of tax		-		-	(6
Comprehensive					
Income, Net of					
Tax	\$	916	\$	822	\$ 875
Earnings per			(Pro	forma)	
common share	Ф	4.50	Ф	5.06	
Basic	\$	4.50	\$	5.26	
Diluted	\$	4.44	\$	5.21	
Weighted					
average					
average common shares					
average common shares outstanding		151 0		149.2	
average common shares outstanding Basic		151.9		149.2	
average common shares outstanding Basic Potentially		151.9		149.2	
average common shares outstanding Basic Potentially dilutive shares under equity		151.9		149.2	
average common shares outstanding Basic Potentially dilutive shares		2.0		149.2	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(millions)

For t	he	Vears	Ended	Decem	ber 31.

	200) 7	2	2006		2005	
Operating Activities							
Net income	\$	683	\$	784	\$	878	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	·						
Cumulative effect of change in accounting						16	
principle		1.057		1 027		16	
Depreciation Provision for losses on accounts		1,057		1,027		979	
receivable		94		55		54	
Deferred and noncurrent							
income taxes		(43)		(69)		33	
Stock-based							
compensation							
expense		50		31		-	
Net losses (gains) on sales		(7)		(17)		1	
of assets		(7) 45		(17)		1 17	
Other, net Changes in assets and liabilities: Accounts		43		39		17	
receivable		(75)		(35)		(78)	
Inventories and other current		(13)		(33)		(76)	
assets		(4)		29		(14)	
Accounts payable and other current							
liabilities		(98)		235		93	
Noncurrent assets and liabilities, net		(78)		(26)		(81)	
,		` '		` /		` ′	
		1,624		2,053	1	,898	

Net cash			
provided by			
operating			
activities			
Investing			
Activities			
Capital			
expenditures	(829)	(923)	(828)
Proceeds from			
construction			
reimbursements	10	10	9
Proceeds from			
sales of assets	25	33	8
Net cash used			
by investing			
activities	(794)	(880)	(811)
activities	(// .)	(000)	(011)
Financina			
Financing Activities			
1200070000			
Issuance of		1 (00	
long-term debt	-	1,600	-
Principal			
payments on	(505)	(400)	(115)
long-term debt	(787)	(492)	(115)
Borrowings			
under revolving	4 400	0.00	
credit agreement	1,430	920	-
Repayments			
under revolving			
credit agreement	(1,220)	(720)	-
Net cash paid to			
Sprint Nextel			
associated with			
the spin-off	-	(2,208)	-
Proceeds from			
common stock			
issued	109	20	-
Purchase of			
treasury shares	(2)	-	-
Dividends paid			
to stockholders	(367)	(150)	-
Dividends paid			
to Sprint Nextel	-	(194)	(983)
Excess tax			
benefits from			
stock-based			
compensation	25	2	-
Other, net	(2)	(1)	1
Net cash used			
by financing			
activities	(814)	(1,223)	(1,097)
	(011)	(1,223)	(1,0)1)
Inonousa			
Increase			
(Decrease) in			
Cash and	14	(50)	(10)
Equivalents	16 52	(50)	(10)
Cash and	53	103	113
Equivalents at			

Beginning of Period

Cash and Equivalents at End of Period

\$ 69 \$ 53 \$ 103

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED
STATEMENTS OF
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(millions, except per share data)

Accumulated

						Other		Total
					C	omprehensi	Ve	Total
		Commor	Treasury	Paid-in	Retained	omprenensi		Stockholders
	Preferred		·			Income		
	Stock	Stock	Stock	Capital	Earnings	(Loss)	Equity	Equity
January 1, 2005								
balance			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (522)		\$ 4,960
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	878	878
Dividends paid								
to Sprint Nextel	-	-	-	-	-	-	(983)	(983)
Cash flow								
derivatives, net								
of tax	-	-	-	-	-	(9)	-	(9)
Net minimum								
pension liability								
adjustment, net								
of tax	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
December 31,								
2005 balance	-			-	-	(525)	5,377	4,852
Net income			_	-	-	-	326	326
Dividends paid								
to Sprint Nextel	_		_	_	_	_	(194)	(194)
Cash flow							(-, -)	(-, -,
derivatives, net								
of tax	_		_	_	_	39	_	39
Net transfer to								
Sprint Nextel	_	. 1	_	(467)	_	516	(5,509)	(5,459)
Sprint (Cate)		•		(107)		310	(5,50)	(3,137)
May 17, 2006								
balance	-	. 1	-	(467)	-	30	-	(436)
Net income	-	-		_	458	-	-	458
Dividends paid								
to shareholders								
(\$1.00 per share)	_		_	_	(150)	_	_	(150)
Common stock					()			
issued	-		_	20	_	_	_	20
Stock-based								
compensation								
expense	_		_	31	_	_	_	31
Excess tax				51				51
benefits from								
stock-based								
compensation	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	2
compensation			_	_	_	(1)	_	(1)
		_	_	_	_	(1)	_	(1)

Amortization of cash flow derivative, net of tax	:								
Adoption of									
SFAS No. 158,									
net of tax		-	-	-	-	-	(392)	-	(392)
December 31,									
2006 balance		-	1	-	(414)	308	(363)	-	(468)
Cumulative effect of									
adoption of FIN									
48, net of tax		-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
January 1, 2007	,								
Balance		_	1	_	(414)	309	(363)	_	(467)
Net income		_	-	_	-	683	-	_	683
Dividends to									
shareholders									
(\$2.375 per									
share)		-	-	-	-	(369)	-	-	(369)
Common stock									
issued, net		-	1	-	106	-	-	-	107
Purchase of				(2)					(2)
treasury stock Issuance of		-	-	(2)	-	-	-	-	(2)
treasury stock		_	_	2	_	_	_	_	2
Stock-based		_			_	_	_	_	
compensation									
expense		-	-	-	49	-	-	-	49
Excess tax									
benefits from									
stock-based									
compensation		-	-	-	25	-	-	-	25
Amendments to and									
remeasurements									
of employee									
benefit plans									
(net of tax)		-	-	-	-	-	232	-	232
Amortization of									
(net of tax):									
Employee									
benefit plans									
prior service cost and									
actuarial losses							5		5
Cash flow		_	-	-	-	-	J	-	5
derivative		_	_	_	-	_	(4)	_	(4)
Adjustment of							(-)		(.)
net transfer to									
Sprint Nextel		-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3
December 31,									
2007 Balance	\$	- \$	2 \$	- 9	\$ (231) \$	623 \$	(130) \$	- \$	264

Common Shares Outstanding

Shares issued at spin-off	149.1		
Shares issued under equity incentive plans	0.6		
Shares outstanding as of December 31,	140.7		
2006 Shares issued under equity incentive plans	149.7 3.4		
Shares outstanding as of			
December 31, 2007	153.1		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Background, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Background

Embarq was incorporated in 2005 under the laws of Delaware and was formerly a wholly owned subsidiary of Sprint Nextel. On May 17, 2006, Sprint Nextel spun-off its local communications business and product distribution operations, thereby establishing Embarq as a separate, stand-alone company.

Embarq provides, both directly and through its wholesale and sales agency relationships, a suite of communications services, consisting of local and long distance voice, data, high-speed Internet, satellite video, wireless and other communications-related products and services to consumer and business customers primarily in local service territories in 18 states. Embarq also provides access to its local network and other wholesale communications services for customers, including other carriers. Through its Logistics segment, Embarq engages in wholesale product distribution, logistics and configuration services.

Embarq has a significant presence in Florida, North Carolina, Nevada and Ohio (these four states represent nearly two-thirds of all of Embarg s access lines). The remaining states (in order of number of access lines) are: Virginia, Pennsylvania, Texas, Indiana, Missouri, Tennessee, New Jersey, Minnesota, Kansas, South Carolina, Washington, Oregon, Nebraska and Wyoming. As the incumbent local exchange carrier, or ILEC, Embarq is generally the carrier of last resort in these local service territories

and receives funding under universal service programs in certain of these territories.

As of December 31, 2007, Embarq had approximately 18 thousand active employees. Approximately 35% of these employees were represented by unions subject to collective bargaining agreements. Of the union-represented employees, approximately 32% have collective bargaining agreements that will expire within one year. There were no material changes related to employee collective bargaining agreements during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect all the accounts of Embarq, and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements reflect Embarq s consolidated operations as a separate, stand-alone entity following the spin-off, together with the combined historical operations of the entities that comprised the local communications business and product distribution operations of Sprint Nextel before the spin-off.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements were prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. These principles require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. The reported amounts of gross property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2006, have been

reduced by approximately \$335 million related to fully depreciated assets at that date. These reclassifications and revisions had no effect on the results of operations or stockholders equity, as previously reported.

Significant Accounting Policies

Cash and Equivalents

Cash and equivalents include cash and highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Revenue & Accounts Receivable

Total net operating revenue is comprised of revenue generated from voice, data, high-speed Internet, wireless service and product sales. Embarq recognizes operating revenues as services are rendered or as products are sold in accordance with SAB No. 104, Revenue Recognition. Certain service activation and installation fees are deferred and amortized over the average life of the customer. In addition, revenue with multiple deliverables, primarily related to wireless revenue, is recorded in compliance with EITF Issue No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables. Embarq also records revenue in accordance with EITF Issue No. 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus net as an Agent.

During 2006, Embarq adopted EITF Issue No. 06-03, How Sales Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). Embarq records federal and state USF surcharges on a gross basis. The total amount of surcharges recorded in net operating revenue was as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	200)7	2006	2	2005
		(mil	lions)		
Federal and state	\$	92 \$	98	\$	87

USF surcharges

Revenue reserves are established for access disputes with CLECs and long distance carriers, billing errors and customer disputes, and returns on product sales.

Allowance for doubtful accounts represents the estimate of accounts receivables that are deemed to be uncollectible, with specific allowances for accounts with known collection risks.

These reserves require management s judgment based on historical trending, contractual terms, industry norms and recognition of current market indicators.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and consisted of materials, supplies and equipment held for resale and internal use. Cost is principally determined on a first-in first-out method.

Embarq s inventory aggregated by class was as follows:

As of December 31,

2007	2006

	(millions)	
Resale		
inventory,		
net of		
allowance		
of \$10 and		
\$15	\$ 83 \$	105
Other		
inventory		
held for		
internal		
use	55	74
Total		
inventory,		
net	\$ 138 \$	179

Property, Plant and Equipment

Embarq records property, plant and equipment at historical cost. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Embarq capitalizes software in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position 98-1 Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software developed or obtained for Internal Use and EITF Issue No. 97-13 Accounting for Costs Incurred in Connection with a Consulting Contract or an Internal Project that Combines Business Process Reengineering and Information Technology Transformation.

Embarq categorizes its property, plant and equipment into three main categories: network assets; buildings and improvements; and administrative and other. Network assets principally consist of metallic cable and wire facilities, fiber optic cable facilities, switching equipment, conduit, poles and other central office equipment. Buildings and improvements principally consist of owned general office facilities and leasehold improvements. Administrative and other assets principally consist of land, furniture, information technology equipment and vehicles.

The costs of homogeneous units of property, plant and equipment are aggregated to form groups of assets that are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful life established for each specific group. Estimates and assumptions used in establishing the depreciation rates associated with each group are based on internal studies of use, industry data on lives, recognition of technological advancements and understanding of business strategy. Assumptions are evaluated annually. Generally, changes in depreciation rates are effected through changes in the remaining depreciable lives of the applicable group assets and are

considered an accounting estimate in accordance with SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*.

Ordinary asset retirements are generally charged against accumulated depreciation with no gain or loss recognized. Ordinary asset retirements totaled \$493 million in 2007 and \$468 million in 2006. Embarq recognizes gains and losses on unusual or unanticipated asset retirements. See Note 11, Sale of Exchanges, for additional information.

Embarq s gross property, plant and equipment and associated range of estimated useful lives by category were as follows:

			As of Dec	embe	er 31,
	Range of Estimate Lives	ed Useful	2007		2006
	(years)		(mill	ions)	
Network assets		3 to 35	\$ 18,900	\$	18,503
Buildings and					
improvements		5 to 30	1,038		1,006
Administrative					
and other					
assets		3 to 15	864		961
Gross					
property, plant					
and equipment			\$ 20,802	\$	20,470

Embarq evaluates property, plant and equipment for impairment whenever indicators of impairment exist. Accounting standards require that if an impairment indicator is present, Embarq must assess whether the carrying amount of the asset is recoverable by estimating the sum of the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest costs, expected to result from the asset. If the carrying amount is more than the recoverable amount, an impairment charge must be recognized based on the fair value of the asset. Although no impairments occurred during 2007 or 2006, Embarq s telecommunications segment

recorded \$80 million in allocated asset impairments in 2005, of which, \$77 million resulted from the abandonment of a network monitoring software application.

On an annual basis, Embarq performs an analysis of the remaining life depreciation rates. In 2007, 2006 and 2005, depreciation rates for various digital switching equipment and digital loop carrier equipment were adjusted, which resulted in the following:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2007		20	006(1)	2005	
Depreciation (increase) decrease (millions)	\$	48	\$	27	\$	(10)
Increase in basic and diluted earnings per						` ,
share (dollars)		0.20		0.11		NA

(1) Pro forma earnings per share information. See Earnings Per Common Share below, for additional information.

Goodwill

Embarq accounts for goodwill in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired in business combinations accounted for as purchases. The book value of goodwill was \$27 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006 with \$12 million related to United Telephone Southeast LLC and \$11 million related to acquisitions completed by Centel, both subsidiaries of Embarq. The remaining \$4 million was related to various other Embarq businesses.

Embarq evaluates goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and whenever events or circumstances indicate these assets may be impaired. Embarq determines impairment by comparing net

assets of the reporting unit to its respective fair value. In the event the unit s net assets exceed its fair value, an implied fair value of goodwill must be determined by assigning the unit s fair value to each asset and liability of the unit. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts assigned to its assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss is measured by the difference between the goodwill carrying value and the implied fair value.

Derivatives

Embarq recognizes derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and measures those instruments at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities as Amended. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income (loss) depending on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting.

Embarq uses derivative instruments only for hedging and risk management purposes. Hedging activity may be done for the purpose of mitigating the risks associated with an asset, liability, committed transaction or probable forecasted transaction. Embarq is primarily exposed to the market risk associated with unfavorable movements in interest rates. Embarq does not enter into derivative transactions for speculative or trading purposes.

At inception and on an on-going basis, Embarq assesses whether each derivative that qualifies for hedge accounting continues to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. If and when a derivative instrument is no longer expected to be highly effective, hedge accounting is discontinued. Hedge ineffectiveness, if any, is included in current period

earnings.

Severance Liabilities

Embarq provides severance benefits for involuntarily terminated employees. Such benefits are recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 112, *Employers Accounting for Postemployment Benefits*. Voluntary offers for

separation or other contractual separation benefits are recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 88, Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination. Other one-time benefit arrangements or exit costs that are part of an organized restructuring plan are recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities.

At various times in recent years, Embarq has taken steps, including both voluntary and involuntary reductions in its workforce in order to better align organizational resources with Embarq s business needs as well as to improve its overall cost structure. Related to these reductions, Embarq recognized the following severance charges:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2007		2	006	20	05
			(mill	ions)		
Cost of services						
Telecommunications segment	\$	34	\$	20	\$	-
Selling, general and administrative						
Telecommunications						
segment		43		10		-
Logistics segment		3		4		-
Subtotal		46		14		-
Total severance charges	\$	80	\$	34	\$	-

Legal and Other Contingent Liabilities

Embarq accrues loss contingencies for legal, environmental and other contingent liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. See Note 3, Commitment and

Contingencies, for additional information.

Leases

Embarq accounts for capital and operating leases in accordance with SFAS No. 13, *Accounting for Leases*. See Note 3, Commitments and Contingencies, for additional information.

Advertising

Embarq recognizes advertising expenses as incurred. This includes production, media and other promotional and sponsorship costs. Total advertising expense was as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	200	7	2	2006	2	2005
			(m	illions)		
Advertising						
expense	\$	94	\$	103	\$	78

Income Taxes

Embarq accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Before spin-off, Embarq s operations were included in the consolidated federal income tax return and certain combined or consolidated state income tax returns of Sprint Nextel. In accordance with Sprint Nextel s tax sharing arrangement, income tax expense was recorded and charged to Embarq on the basis of filing separate returns in each taxing jurisdiction. After spin-off, Embarq was subject to income taxes as a stand alone entity.

As of January 1, 2007, Embarq adopted FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. This interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. As prescribed by the interpretation, the cumulative effect of applying these provisions was reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings.

Embarq records interest associated with these liabilities as interest expense. Associated penalties are recorded as income tax expense. The total amount of interest and penalties recognized as of December 31, 2007, were as follows:

Interest Penalties

		(milli	ions)	
Recognized in				
the				
Consolidated				
Balance Sheet	\$	4	\$	2
Recognized in				
the				
Consolidated				
Statement of				
Operations and				
Comprehensive				
Income		3		2
Asset Retiremen	t Ob	ligatio	ns	

Embarq recognizes Asset Retirement Obligations, or ARO, in accordance with SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. In 2005, FIN 47,

Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, was issued which clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of an ARO if the amount can be reasonably estimated. The adoption of this interpretation in 2005 resulted in an ARO liability of \$28 million, an ARO asset of \$4 million and a cumulative change in accounting principle, net, of \$16 million.

Embarq recognized an ARO related to the removal and disposal of the asbestos in company buildings, removal and environmental cleanup of fuel storage tanks used in standby power supply systems and decommissioning of leased building spaces. The fair value of the assets legally restricted to settle the ARO and the corresponding liability as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, was as follows:

As of December 31,

2007 2006

(millions)

ARO assets	\$	4 \$	4			
ARO						
liability		32	29			
In addition, an	AR	O liability				
exists, but was	not	recognized	l, in			
situations where Embarq has been						
granted easeme	ents	and				
rights-of-way b	oy th	e United S	States			
government, m	ıunic	cipalities a	nd			
private landow	ners	to route it	S			
cable facilities	. Mc	st cable				
facilities are bu	ıried	l, however	,			
some metallic	and	fiber cable	are			
above-ground	on c	ompany-ov	wned			
poles. In additi	on,	Embarq				
contracts with	othe	r utilities t	o			
connect cable a	and '	wire to the	ir			
poles. As of Do	ecen	nber 31, 20	07,			
an estimated se	ettlei	ment date f	or			
these obligatio	ns w	as				
in determinate.						

Stock-based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, Sprint Nextel adopted SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, utilizing the modified prospective method. The revised standard requires the recognition of compensation cost of unvested share-based awards granted to employees before January 1, 2003, which were outstanding as of January 1, 2006. The allocated impact of the adoption of this standard was immaterial to Embarq because Sprint Nextel had previously accounted for share-based awards in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock Compensation Transition and Disclosure,

as of January 1, 2003, using the prospective method. Following the spin-off, Embarq implemented the provisions of SFAS No. 123R related to the options, nonvested stock and nonvested stock units held by its employees. Embarq recognizes compensation expense related to share-based awards with graded vesting that only have a service condition on a straight line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. See Note 7, Stock-Based Compensation, for additional information.

As SFAS No. 123R was adopted using the modified prospective method, no retrospective application of net income was required for awards granted prior to January 1, 2003, that were vested in prior years. The pro forma effect, net of tax, had such awards been expensed under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R would have resulted in an approximately \$4 million reduction to net income in 2005.

Earnings per Common Share

Embarq calculates basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share. For purposes of calculating pro forma basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2006, Embarq assumed that the total common shares issued at spin-off, as well as its related potential dilutive securities, were outstanding for the period from January 1, 2006, through May 17, 2006.

The dilution effect for 2007 and 2006 was primarily related to outstanding stock options and restricted stock units.

Approximately 2.1 million stock options in 2007 and 3.3 million stock options in 2006 had an exercise price that was above the average annual market price of Embarq stock. As such, these amounts were not included in the

computation of diluted earnings per share.

Note 2. Spin-off from Sprint Nextel

In December 2004, Sprint Nextel announced its intention to spin-off its local communications business and product distribution operations from its other businesses in a tax-free transaction. On May 17, 2006, the date of the spin-off, in exchange for, and as a condition to, the transfer of assets and the assumption of liabilities described below, Embarq (1) issued to Sprint Nextel 149.1 million shares of company common stock and (2) issued to Sprint Nextel \$4.5 billion of Embarq senior notes and transferred to Sprint Nextel \$2.1 billion in cash. In exchange for, and contemporaneously with, the issuance of Embarq s common stock and transfer of debt and cash, Sprint Nextel transferred the Embarq assets, consisting of Sprint Nextel s local communications operations, wholesale product distribution operations and consumer and certain business long distance customers located in its local service territories; and Embarg assumed certain liabilities related to its business. The spin-off was completed through a pro rata distribution to Sprint Nextel shareholders consisting of one share of Embarq common stock for every 20 shares of Sprint Nextel voting and non-voting shares owned by Sprint Nextel s shareholders as of the close of business on May 8, 2006, the record date for the distribution.

The impacts of the spin-off have been reflected in the consolidated financial statements for periods after the spin-off. Accordingly, the revenues and related expenses from the transferred long distance customers and the interest expense associated with the spin-related borrowings are reflected only in periods after the spin-off. Additionally, while management believes these consolidated

financial statements include all historical costs; periods before the spin-off do not include all the expenses that would have been incurred had Embarq been a separate, stand-alone entity. Thus, Embarq s results of operations and cash flows before the spin-off are not fully comparable to those after the spin-off.

Relationship with Sprint Nextel

Before the spin-off, Embarq provided to and received from Sprint Nextel a variety of services that were considered related party transactions. Revenues and expenses resulting from these activities were as follows:

For the Years Ended

December 31,

2006 2005

	(milli	ions)	
Related			
party			
revenues	\$ 138	\$	428
Related			
party			
expenses	488		1,253

Services provided to Sprint Nextel that make up related party revenues included, but were not limited to, voice, access, data and telecommunications equipment, as well as billing and collection and lease of network facilities. Services Sprint Nextel provided to Embarq that make up related party expenses included charges for facilities, information services, marketing and certain corporate and administrative services. Sprint Nextel directly assigned, where possible, related costs based on Embarq s use of these services. Where direct assignment was not possible, or practical, Sprint Nextel used indirect methods, including time studies and headcounts, to allocate shared service costs to Embarq. The services provided by Sprint Nextel were generally accounted for based on fully distributed costs, which Embarq believes approximated fair value.

In connection with Embarq s spin-off, Embarq entered into certain agreements with Sprint Nextel. The key terms of the principal agreements that continue to be operative are summarized

below:

Separation and Distribution Agreement. Embarq entered into a separation and distribution agreement that generally requires Embarq and Sprint Nextel to indemnify each other and each other s representatives and affiliates against certain liabilities. The separation and distribution agreement also contains the terms of the spin-off and certain covenants regarding cooperation to effect the transactions contemplated by the spin-off. The agreement also governs rights both Embarq and Sprint Nextel have to access certain of each other s information following the spin-off. As of December 31, 2007, all material provisions of this agreement have been satisfied. As an ancillary agreement to the separation and distribution agreement, Embarq also entered into an employee matters agreement relating to certain compensation and employee benefit obligations with respect to Embarq s current and former employees.

Tax Sharing Agreement. In connection with the spin-off, Embarq entered into a tax sharing agreement with Sprint Nextel. The tax sharing agreement covers, among other things, Embarq s and Sprint Nextel s rights and obligations with respect to taxes for both the pre- and post-spin-off periods. As of December 31, 2007, settlement of the parties rights and obligations relating to certain pre-spin-off periods is still pending. Embarq has reflected the estimated amounts that may be due from or to Sprint Nextel, pursuant to this agreement in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These estimates are based on preliminary calculations, which are still subject to further adjustment based on the agreed-upon processes to resolve these matters, including arbitration.

Under the tax sharing agreement, in order to preserve the tax-free

treatment to Sprint Nextel of the spin-off, for specified periods of up to 30 months following the spin-off, Embarq may be prohibited, except in specified circumstances, from

Issuing, redeeming or being involved in other acquisitions of our equity securities;

Transferring significant amounts of our assets;

Amending our certificate of incorporation or bylaws;

Failing to comply with the IRS requirement for a spin-off that we engage in the active conduct of a trade or business after the spin-off; or

Engaging in other actions or transactions that could jeopardize the tax-free status of the spin-off.

A private letter ruling issued by the IRS after the spin-off provides Embarq with the flexibility to pursue open market repurchases of Embarq s common stock without adversely affecting the tax-free status of Embarq s spin-off from Sprint Nextel.

Embarq has an obligation to indemnify Sprint Nextel for taxes resulting from the spin-off if that tax results from (1) an issuance of our equity securities, a redemption of our equity securities or our involvement in other acquisitions of our equity securities, (2) other actions or failures to act by us (such as those described above) or (3) any of our representations or undertakings referred to in the tax sharing agreement being incorrect or violated.

Embarq currently does not believe these prohibitions impose a significant constraint on Embarq s flexibility to execute its existing strategy.

Intellectual Property
Agreements. In connection with
the spin-off, Embarq entered into
a patent agreement, software and
proprietary information agreement
and a trademark assignment and
license agreement with Sprint
Nextel. These agreements govern
Embarq s relationship with Sprint
Nextel with respect to ownership
of and use of intellectual property.

Transition Services
Agreements. Embarq entered into transition services agreements with Sprint Nextel under which both parties agreed to provide certain specified services to one

another for up to two years following the spin-off. At December 31, 2007, Embarq has exited the majority of these agreements and it is expected that Embarq will exit the remaining agreements according to their terms.

Commercial Service
Agreements. Embarq and Sprint
Nextel entered into commercial
service agreements pursuant to
which each company obtains
services from one another. The
principal agreements are described
below:

Embarq entered into a non-exclusive wholesale agreement, which Embarq refers to as the MVNO agreement, with Sprint Nextel. Under this agreement, for a period of 7 years, Embarq is able to resell certain CDMA-based wireless voice and data services in Embarq s local service territories.

Under a 5 year wholesale master services agreement with Sprint Nextel, which Embarq refers to as the long distance agreement, Embarq has the ability to market and sell our own branded wireline long distance voice and data services to consumer and small business customers. Under this agreement, Embarq must purchase a minimum of 95% of certain categories of our domestic and international long distance voice and data services from Sprint Nextel.

In addition to the MVNO agreement and long distance agreement, Embarq also entered into a sales agency agreement with Sprint Nextel to sell certain Sprint Nextel-branded wireless and wireline voice and data services to business customers and a teleservices agreement where Embarq purchases payphone and private branch exchange repair and refurbishing and reverse

logistics services from Sprint Nextel. Embarq also entered into certain agreements with Sprint Nextel where Embarq is providing certain services to Sprint Nextel, including calling name database services, toll free rehome services and special access services.

Note 3. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation, Claims and Assessments

Seven former manufactured gas plant sites have been identified that may have been owned or operated by entities acquired by Embarq s subsidiary, Centel, before that company was acquired by Sprint Nextel. These sites are not currently owned or operated by either Sprint Nextel or Embarg. On three sites, Embarq and the current landowners are working with the EPA pursuant to administrative consent orders. Expenditures pursuant to the orders are not expected to be material. On five sites, including the three sites where the EPA is involved, Centel has entered into agreements with other potentially responsible parties to share costs. Further, Sprint Nextel has agreed to indemnify Embarq for most of any eventual liability arising from all seven of these sites.

In December 2007, a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit in Kansas federal court, challenging the decision to make certain modifications to Embarq s retiree benefits programs effective January 1, 2008. See Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans. for additional information. Defendants include Embarq Corporation, certain of its benefits plans, its Compensation Committee, and its plan administrator. Additional defendants include Sprint Nextel and certain of its benefits plans. In addition, complaints have been filed with the EEOC, asserting that the benefits plan changes are discriminatory. Embarq and other defendants intend to vigorously contest these claims and charges.

Various lawsuits, including putative class actions, regulatory proceedings against Embarq and other claims typical for a business enterprise are pending against or would otherwise impact the

entities comprising Embarq. While it is not possible to determine the ultimate disposition of each of these proceedings and whether they will be resolved consistent with Embarq s expectations, Embarq expects that the outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

Purchase Commitments

Embarq has minimum purchase commitments with various vendors through 2013. These outstanding commitments represent non-cancelable commitments to purchase goods and services, consisting primarily of network maintenance and equipment, information technology services, customer support provided by third parties and other expenses related to normal business operations. As of December 31, 2007, outstanding minimum fixed purchase commitments to be paid in future years were as follows:

	(mil	lions)
2008	\$	130
2009		42
2010		27
2011		25
2012		20
Thereafter		_

Amounts actually paid will likely be higher due to variable components of certain agreements which include hours contracted, subscribers and other factors. The total amounts of purchase commitments paid for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 that had an original term in excess of one year were as follows:

2007	2006	2005	
	(millions)		
\$ 51	\$ 17	\$ 33	

Purchase commitments paid

Leases

Embarq leases various equipment, office facilities, retail outlets, switching facilities, and other network sites. These leases, with few exceptions, provide for automatic renewal options and escalations that are either fixed or based on the consumer price index. Any rent abatements, along with rent escalations, are included in the computation of rent expense calculated on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The lease term for most leases includes the initial non-cancelable term plus at least one renewal period, as the exercise of the related renewal option or options is reasonably assured.

Embarq s gross rental expense amounts for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	200	7		2006	2	2005
			(n	iillions)		
Gross rental						
expense	\$	114	\$	108	\$	82
			~ -	2005		

As of December 31, 2007, our minimum rental commitments for operating leases were as follows:

2008 2009 2010 2011 2012	(mil	lions)
	\$	37
2009		32
2010		24
2011		18
2012		11
Thereafter		17

Note 4. Long-term Debt and Financial Instruments

The following table summarizes Embarq s long-term debt:

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

Interest Rate Maturing 2007	2006	
(millio	ons)	
Senior		
notes		
Notes due		
·	\$ 1,000	
Notes due		
2016 7.1% 2016 2,000	2,000	
Notes due		
2036 8.0% 2036 1,485	1,485	
Term		
credit		
facility 5.5% and 5.9% 2011 360	1,110	
Revolving		
credit		
facility 5.4% and 5.9% 2011 410	200	
Other 6.8% to 9.8% 2008 to 2025 623	663	
Total debt \$ 5,878	\$ 6,458	
Current		
maturities (99)	(37)	
Total		
long-term		
-	\$ 6,421	

In connection with the spin-off, Embarq issued senior notes in an aggregate amount of \$4.5 billion. The senior notes will mature in three tranches, with the earliest maturity in 2013. In addition to the senior notes issued, Embarq entered into a credit agreement with certain financial institutions. The credit agreement expires in May 2011 and originally consisted of a \$1.6 billion term facility and a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility, with a \$200 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The credit agreement provides for interest rates equal to a base rate

of London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin is based on Embarq s non-credit enhanced long-term senior unsecured debt rating. The credit agreement includes customary covenants that, among other things, require Embarq to maintain certain financial ratios and restrict its ability to incur additional indebtedness.

Various subsidiaries of Embarq have outstanding first mortgage bonds or unsecured debentures. This debt is included in Other in the above table. Each issue of first mortgage bonds is secured by substantially all of the property, plant and equipment of the issuing subsidiary. In the aggregate, approximately 55% of Embarq s property, plant and equipment is pledged to secure one or more issues of first mortgage bonds. As of December 31, 2007, approximately \$423 million of first mortgage bonds were outstanding.

Embarq was in compliance with all applicable financial covenants associated with Embarq s borrowings. As of December 31, 2007, Embarq had letters of credit available under the revolving credit facility of approximately \$29 million.

The following table represents scheduled principal payments of Embarq s long-term debt as of December 31, 2007:

	(mi	illions)
2008	\$	99
2009		2
2010		2
2011		770
2012		-
Thereafter		5,005

In anticipation of issuing its senior notes at the time of spin-off, Embarq took steps in the 2005 fourth quarter to limit its interest rate risk by entering into hedge

transactions. On May 12, 2006, the hedge instruments entered into in 2005 were terminated. The accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) associated with these hedges was \$51 million, or \$30 million net of tax, and was amortized using the effective interest method and reclassified to interest expense as a yield adjustment of the hedged semi-annual interest payment for ten years. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, Embarq reclassified approximately \$5 million and \$3 million as a reduction of interest expense. At December 31, 2007, there were no outstanding derivatives.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of long-term debt was \$6.1 billion as of December 31, 2007, and was \$6.6 billion as of December 31, 2006. These amounts reflect the present value of estimated future cash flows using a discount rate based on the risks involved. The carrying value of Embarq s other financial instruments, primarily cash and equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximate fair value as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, due to their short-term nature. Embarq has no significant concentrations of credit risk related to its accounts receivable. See Note 6, Employee Benefit Plans, and Note 7, Stock-based Compensation, for additional information related to fair value.

Note 5. Income Taxes

Embarq s income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2	2007		2006		2005	
			(m	illions)			
Current							
income tax							
expense							
Federal	\$	386	\$	441	\$	461	
State		49		78		84	
Total							
current		435		519		545	
Deferred							
and							
non-current							
income tax							
expense							
(benefit)							
Federal		(14)		(60)		25	
State		(29)		(9)		8	
Total							
deferred and							
non-current							
income tax							
(hanafit)		(42)		(60)		22	
(benefit)		(43)		(69)		33	
Total	\$	392	\$	450	\$	578	

The differences that caused Embarq s effective income tax rates to vary from the 35% federal statutory rate for income taxes related to continuing operations were as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2	007	2	2006	2005
			(m	illions)	
Income tax			,	ĺ	
expense at					
the federal					
statutory rate	\$	376	\$	432	\$ 515

Effect of:			
State income			
taxes, net of			
federal			
income tax			
effect	26	46	60
Nonrecurring			
federal and			
state			
deferred tax			
adjustments,			
net	(12)	(16)	-
Other, net	2	(12)	3
Income tax			
expense	\$ 392	\$ 450	\$ 578
Effective			
income tax			
rate	36.5%	36.5%	39.3%

In 2007, Embarq s modified its legal entity structure, which resulted in state income tax expense being reduced by \$14 million and a nonrecurring deferred tax adjustment of \$6 million. Also, a favorable state ruling in 2007 resulted in a nonrecurring deferred tax adjustment of \$6 million. In 2006, nonrecurring deferred tax adjustments of approximately \$16 million were required to properly reflect our deferred income tax liabilities. In addition, other, net in 2006 included \$7 million in favorable deferred tax adjustments stemming from enacted state legislation.

Income tax expense (benefit) allocated to other items was as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	200	7		2006	2	2005	
			(mi	illions)			
Amortization of							
employee							
benefit plans							
prior service							
costs and							
actuarial loss (1)	\$	4	\$	-	\$		_
Amendments to		150		-			-
and							

remeasurements			
of employee			
benefit plans (1)			
Cumulative			
effect of change			
in accounting			
principle	-	-	(10)
Additional			
minimum			
pension liability			
(1)	-	321	9
SFAS No. 158			
adoption (1)	-	(248)	-
Unrealized			
holding gains on			
cash flow			
derivatives (1)	-	27	(6)
Amortization of			
cash flow			
derivatives (1)	(1)	(2)	-

(1) These amounts have been recorded directly in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Embarq recognizes deferred income taxes for the temporary differences between the carrying amounts of its assets and liabilities for financial statement purposes and their tax bases. The sources of the differences that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities, along with the income tax effect of each, were as follows:

2007

As of December 31,

2006

	2007			2000			
	Current	Nonc	current	Curre	ent	Nonc	current
			(mil	llions)			
Deferred Tax							
Assets							
Benefit plan							
obligations	\$ -	\$	79	\$	-	\$	261
Accruals and							
other							
liabilities	73		11		70		19
Stock based			22				26
compensation	-		33		-		26
Net operating							
loss			25				0
carryforward	-		35		-		8
Intangibles	-		29		-		33
Other, net	3		16		3		7
Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	76		203		73		354
Valuation							
allowance	-		(10)		-		(7)
Total deferred tax assets	76		193		73		347
Deferred Tax							
Liabilities							
Property,							
plant and							
equipment	-		1,323		-		1,414
Total deferred							
tax liabilities	-		1,323		-		1,414
Current Deferred Tax Asset	\$ 76	\$	-	\$	73	\$	-

Noncurrent
Deferred Tax
Liability \$ - \$ 1,130 \$ - \$ 1,067

In conjunction with the spin-off, Sprint Nextel transferred to Embarq certain assets and liabilities, which resulted in the transfer or establishment of a net deferred tax liability of \$53 million. This amount includes a deferred tax asset of \$268 million related to the transfer from Sprint Nextel of property, plant, and equipment, customer transfers, postretirement obligations and other liabilities, offset by a \$321 million deferred tax liability related to the elimination of the additional minimum pension liability.

At December 31, 2007, Embarq did not have any federal net operating loss carryforwards. However, Embarq had state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$545 million. Related to these loss carryforwards are state tax benefits of \$35 million before consideration of valuation allowance. The loss carryforwards expire in varying amounts through 2026.

Management believes it is more likely than not that these deferred income tax assets, net of the valuation allowance, will be realized based on current income tax laws and expectations of future taxable income stemming from the reversal of existing deferred tax liabilities or ordinary operations. Uncertainties surrounding income tax law changes, shifts in operations between state taxing jurisdictions and future operating income levels may, however, affect the ultimate realization of all or some of these deferred income tax assets. When Embarq evaluated these and other qualitative factors and uncertainties concerning its industry, it was determined that they provide continuing evidence requiring the valuation allowance, which we recognize related to the

realization of the tax benefit of the net operating loss carryforwards as of December 31, 2007.

FIN 48

As of January 1, 2007, Embarq adopted FIN 48 by analyzing uncertain tax positions for tax periods prior and subsequent to spin-off. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits related to these positions was \$301 million, primarily related to the classification of USF receipts as taxable income. While the ultimate recognition

of USF receipts is highly certain, there is uncertainty about the timing of such recognition. Of the \$301 million, approximately \$55 million represented uncertain tax positions that could result in a potential future obligation. The remaining \$246 million represents refund claims. Due to the uncertainty of these refund claims, Embarq has not recognized the impact to current or deferred taxes in its consolidated financial statements.

As of December 31, 2007, the total liability for uncertain tax positions was \$96 million. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefit changes through 2007 was as follows:

	(millions)		
Unrecognized tax			
benefits as of			
January 1, 2007	\$	301	
Increase (decrease)			
as a result of tax			
positions taken in			
prior years		33	
Increase (decrease)			
as result of tax			
positions taken			
during the current			
year		14	
Decrease related to			
settlements with			
taxing authorities		(8)	
Unrecognized tax			
benefits as of			
December 31, 2007	\$	340	

Embarq expects it is reasonably possible the liability for uncertain tax positions related to USF will increase by \$10 million to \$15 million in 2008.

It is reasonably possible that settlements will be made with various tax authorities related to tax positions taken on prior year returns. These positions relate to tax accounting methods and consolidated state income tax return positions. Embarq expects

that the negotiated settlement of these matters will result in a \$35 million to \$40 million reduction of the unrecognized tax benefit in 2008.

Additionally, Embarq has unrecognized tax benefits related to various other tax positions that are not individually significant. Embarq believes that it is reasonably possible that these items may be settled during 2008 resulting in a reduction of the unrecognized tax benefit up to \$7 million.

For years before 1990, Embarq is generally no longer subject to examination by U.S. federal, state, and local tax authorities, either as a component of Sprint Nextel s income tax returns or on a stand-alone basis.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefit that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate was \$23 million for these tax positions.

Note 6. Employee Benefit Plans

Assets and liabilities recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets relating to Embarq s various employee benefit plans were as follows:

As of December 31,

2006

	(millions)	
Prepaid		
pension asset	\$ 108 \$	-
Benefit plan		
obligations		
Defined		
benefit		
pension		
liability	\$ 32 \$	59
Postretirement		

219

69

557

69

benefit liability

Other benefit

obligations

2007

Total \$ 320 \$ 685

Embarq s Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Concurrent with the spin-off, Embarq established a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan. Pension benefits for plan participants represented by a collective bargaining agreement are based on negotiated schedules. All other participants pension benefits are based on each individual participant s years of service and compensation. Embarq also established certain other nonqualified defined benefit plans to provide supplemental benefits to certain executives. Embarq uses a December 31 measurement date for the plans.

Embarg initially measured the plans at May 17, 2006, based on actual plan assets and their respective accrued benefit obligations transferred from Sprint Nextel. Upon the final measurements, an additional \$326 million in pension obligation was transferred to Embarq from Sprint Nextel, which was recognized through paid-in capital. In addition, Embarq s pension plan assets exceeded the accumulated benefit obligation and required the elimination of the additional minimum pension liability of \$917 million that was recorded as of December 31, 2005.

The following table presents information related to Embarq s defined benefit pension plans since their initial measurements:

		Projected Benefit	Plan	Funded	nrecogniz Net S Loss	Servi Er Cost	mo ktiz ans Otib Asset I	nr Com ncome
		Obligation		Status	(1)	(1)		ognized
May 17, 2006		\$ (3,148)	\$ 3,089	\$ (59)	\$ 742	\$ 74	\$ (1)	\$ 815
Service cost	31	(31)	-	(31)	-	-	-	-
Interest cost	121	(121)	-	(121)	-	-	-	-
Expected return on								
assets	(166)	-	-	-	166	-	-	166
Amortization of actuarial								
losses	24	-	-	_	(24)	_	-	(24)
Amortization of prior								
service cost	10	-	-	-	-	(10)	-	(10)
Actuarial								
losses	-	(84)	-	(84)	84	-	-	84
Plan amendments		(15)		(15)		1.5		1.5
. /	-	(15)	-	(15)	-	15	-	15
Actual return on assets	-	-	249	249	(249)	-	-	(249)
Benefit		100	(100)					
payments	-	106	(106)	-	-	-	-	-
Employer				_				
contributions December 31	- ,	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
2006 (3)	20	(3,293)	3,234	(59)	719	79	(1)	797
Service cost	55	(55)	-	(55)	-	-	-	-
Interest cost	199	(199)	-	(199)	-	-	-	-
Expected return on								
assets	(263)	-	-	-	263	-	-	263
Amortization of actuarial								
losses	46	-	-	-	(46)	-	-	(46)
Amortization of prior								
service cost	16	-	-	-	-	(16)	-	(16)
Actuarial								
gains	-	10		10	(10)	-	-	(10)
Plan								
amendments	-	(12)	-	(12)	-	12	-	12
Actual return on assets	-	-	314	314	(314)	-	-	(314)
Benefit payments	_	207	(207)	_	_	_	-	-
Employer contributions	-	-	77	77	-	-	-	-
December 31 2007 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 53	\$ (3,342)	\$ 3,418	\$ 76	\$ 612	\$ 75	\$(1)	\$ 686

⁽¹⁾ The adoption of SFAS No. 158 on December 31, 2006, required the pension obligation recognized to

equal the funded status of the plans as measured by the difference between the projected benefit obligations and the plans asset values. This resulted in an increase in the obligation of \$797 million. In combination with the associated deferred tax impact of \$307 million, this adjustment reduced stockholder s equity by \$490 million.

- (2) Plan amendments were a result of collective bargaining agreement renewals, which impacted certain union employee benefit schedules.
- (3) The accumulated benefit obligation was \$3,179 million at December 31, 2006.
- (4) The accumulated benefit obligation was \$3,228 million at December 31, 2007.

Plan Asset Allocations	Actual	Targeted	•	ted Future Payments (millions)
Equity securities	57%	50% to 65%	2008	\$ 196
Debt securities	23%	15% to 30%	2009	201
Real estate	10%	5% to 15%	2010	209
Other	10%	5% to 15%	2011	219
Total	100%	100%	2012	230

2013-2017

1,320

Embarq s investment strategy is to maintain a diversified asset portfolio in order to provide a nominal long-term rate of return. The expected rate of return on plan assets was determined through evaluation of information obtained from investment advisors corroborated with peer data for reasonableness.

Embarq is planning to contribute approximately \$75 million to its defined benefit pension plans in 2008.

Embarq s Postretirement Benefit Plans

Concurrent with spin-off, Embarq established its own postretirement benefit plans that provide postretirement benefits, principally medical and life insurance, to its eligible former employees. The plans allow eligible employees retiring before certain dates to benefits at no or reduced cost. Employees retiring after certain dates are eligible for benefits on a shared cost basis. Embarq generally funds and expects to continue funding its postretirement obligations as benefits are paid. Embarq uses a December 31 measurement date for the plans.

Embarq initially measured the plans at May 17, 2006, based on actual plan assets and their respective accrued benefit obligations transferred from Sprint Nextel. Upon final measurements, an additional postretirement liability of \$16 million was transferred to Embarq from Sprint Nextel, which was recognized

through paid-in capital.

During the second quarter of 2007, Embarq amended its other postretirement medical and life insurance plans. Effective January 1, 2008, Embarq eliminated medical coverage and Medicare premium subsidies for Medicare-eligible retirees and Medicare-eligible beneficiaries and capped the maximum amount of life insurance benefits through the company-sponsored plan for qualified retirees at \$10 thousand. In addition, effective September 1, 2007, Embarq eliminated company-provided life insurance coverage for retirees who also have benefits through a separate subsidiary company-sponsored plan. In December 2007, a group of retirees filed a putative class action lawsuit in Kansas federal court, challenging this decision. See Note 3, Commitments and Contingencies, for additional information.

The amendment caused Embarq to remeasure these postretirement benefit plans as of June 30, 2007. The accumulated postretirement benefit obligations were reduced by \$301 million with a corresponding increase in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. The reduction in the obligation will be amortized to other postretirement benefit cost over the remaining average life of retirees.

The following table presents information related to the Embarq s postretirement benefit plans since their initial measurements:

(millions) I]	ccumulate Projected Benefit Obligation	Plan	Funded	recogniz	Servi T er	mo kti ans ūti Asset	zerhulated her Comp. Income cognized (1)
May 17, 2006	\$ -	\$ (601)	\$ 43	\$ (558)	\$ 173	\$ (350)	\$ (6)	\$ (183)
Service cost	5	(5)	-	(5)	-	-	-	-
Interest cost	23	(23)	-	(23)	-	-	-	-
Expected		(-)		(-)				
return on								
assets	(2)	_	_	_	2	_	_	2
Amortization	(-)							_
of actuarial								
losses	11	_	_	_	(11)	_	_	(11)
Amortization					(11)			(11)
of prior								
service cost	(37)					37		37
Employer	(31)					31		31
contributions			27	27				
Benefit	-	-	21	21	-	-	-	-
payments		28	(28)					
Actual return		20	(28)	_				-
on assets			4	4	(4)			(4)
Actuarial		-	4	4	(4)	-	-	(4)
gains or		(2)		(2)	2			2
losses December 31,		(2)	-	(2)			-	2
2006		(603)	46	(557)	162	(212)	(6)	(157)
Service cost	8		40	(8)	102	(313)	(6)	(157)
Interest cost	26	(8)	_	(26)		-		-
Expected	20	(20)	-	(20)	-	-	-	-
return on								
assets	(3)				3			3
Amortization	(3)				3	-		3
of prior								
service cost	(68)					68		68
Amortization	(00)	-	-	-	-	08	-	00
of actuarial								
losses	15				(15)			(15)
Amortization	13		-		(15)		-	(15)
of transition								
	(1)						1	1
asset	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Employer			27	27				
contributions Benefit	-		37	37			-	-
		20	(20)					
payments	-	39	(39)	-	-	-	-	-
Plan		201		201		(201)		(201)
amendments	-	301	-	301	-	(301)	-	(301)
Actual return			2	2	(2)			(2)
on assets	-	-	3	3	(3)	-	-	(3)
Actuarial								
gains or		21		21	(21)			(21)
losses	-	31	-	31	(31)	-	-	(31)
December 31,		\$ (266)	¢ 47	\$ (210)	¢ 112	¢ (546)	\$ (5)	\$ (125)
2007	\$ (23)	\$ (200)	3 4/	\$ (219)	\$ 110	\$ (546)	a (2)	a (433)

(1) The adoption of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006, required the post-retirement benefit obligation recognized to equal the funded status of the plans as measured by the difference between the accumulated projected benefit obligation and the plan asset value. This resulted in a decrease in the obligation of \$157 million. In combination with the associated deferred tax impact of \$59 million, this adjustment increased stockholder s equity by \$98 million.

Plan Asset Allocations	Actual	Expected Future Benefit Payments	(millions)
Equity securities	67%	2008	\$ 32
Debt securities	33%	2009	27
Total	100%	2010	24
		2011	23
		2012	20
		2013-2017	104

Embarq is planning to contribute approximately \$30 million to their postretirement benefit plans in 2008.

Assumptions and Other Information

Accounting for long term obligations such as defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit obligations requires the use of certain assumptions. Embarq developed assumptions used to calculate the discount rate based on a hypothetical portfolio of bonds rated AA- or better that produced a cash flow matching the projected benefit payments of the plan. The expected rate of future pay raises was based on a weighted-average of past experience and industry peer group survey data. The expected long-term return on plan assets was determined by considering forward-looking estimates of the expected long-term returns for a portfolio invested according to the pension trust s target investment policy. Health care costs increases and assumed health care cost trends were developed per review of surveys of employers expectations of medical cost increases and to a spot survey of the retiree medical inflation assumptions expected to be used by other plan sponsors.

The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine the benefit obligation and net benefit costs related to the defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans:

2007 2006

Benefit		
obligation		
assumptions		
Discount rate	6.3%	6.1%
Rate of		
compensation		
increase	4.0%	4.0%
Health care cost		
increases in the		
following year	7.9%	8.6%
Net benefit cost		
4		
assumptions		
Discount rate (1)	6.1%	6.4%
	6.1%	6.4%
Discount rate (1)	6.1%	6.4%
Discount rate (1) Rate of	6.1% 4.0%	6.4% 4.3%
Discount rate (1) Rate of compensation		
Discount rate (1) Rate of compensation increase		
Discount rate (1) Rate of compensation increase Long-term		
Discount rate (1) Rate of compensation increase Long-term expected return	4.0%	4.3%
Discount rate (1) Rate of compensation increase Long-term expected return on plan assets	4.0%	4.3%

(1) The June 30, 2007, remeasurement of the other postretirement benefit expense used a discount rate of 6.4% The ultimate assumed health care trend rate used in calculating the benefit obligation and net benefit costs for 2007 and 2006 was 5% and is expected to be reached in 2012.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	2	007	200)6
		(mill	lions)	
Health				
Care Cost				
Trend Rate				
Sensitivity:				
Effect on				
service and				
interest cost				
1% increase	\$	1	\$	1
Effect on		(1)		(1)
service and				

Edgar Filing: WASHINGTON FEDERAL INC - Form 10-Q

interest cost		
1% decrease		
Effect on		
APBO 1%		
increase	4	30
Effect on		
APBO 1%		
decrease	(4)	(26)

F-26

Subsequent to the adoption of SFAS No. 158, the amount recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to Embarq s defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans was equivalent to the unrecognized net loss, unrecognized prior service cost (credit) and the unamortized transition asset for each respective plan at December 31, 2007. The total amount of amortization related to these items that are expected to be recognized into net periodic benefit cost in 2008 is as follows:

Defined Benefit Postretirement Pension Plan Benefits

	(millions)	
Amortization		
of net losses	\$ 25 \$	12
Amortization		
of prior		
service cost		
(credit)	17	(53)
Amortization		
of net		
transition		
asset	-	(1)

Defined Contribution Plans

Embarq has defined contribution employee savings plans. Participants may contribute portions of their pay to the plans. Embarq matched contributions for non-union employees and union-represented employees in cash. For certain other union-represented employees, Embarq matched contributions in company stock. Embarq may, at the discretion of the Employee Benefits Committee, and subject to a respective collective bargaining agreement provide matching contributions based on the performance of Embarq stock compared to the Dow Jones US Telecommunications Total Return Index. The matching contribution was equal to 25% of participants contributions, up to 6% of their

pay, in 2007 and was equal to 28% of participants contributions, up to 6% of their pay, in 2006. Embarq recognized expense of \$10 million for 2007 and \$8 million for 2006 related to these plans.

Allocated Benefit Plan Costs

Before spin-off, a substantial number of Embarq employees were covered as part of Sprint Nextel s noncontributory defined benefit pension plan and postretirement benefit plans. Embarq was allocated plan assets, benefit obligations and net periodic benefit costs from Sprint Nextel related to individuals that could be identified as supporting Embarq s operations. The following table summarizes the total benefit plan costs reflected in Embarq s Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

For the Years Ended December 31,

2006 2005

(millions)

Allocated		
defined benefit		
pension cost	\$ 20	\$ 64
Allocated		
postretirement		
benefit cost	2	16

Before spin-off, certain Embarq employees participated in Sprint Nextel s defined contribution plan, which had similar matching contributions to Embarq s plan. Prior to 2006, Sprint Nextel matched participants contributions in Sprint Nextel stock. Embarq recognized allocated contribution charges of \$5 million for the pre-spin-off 2006 period and \$15 million in 2005.

Other Benefit Obligations

Other benefit obligations recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, mainly represent certain long-term disability

obligations recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 112, Employers Accounting for Postemployment Benefits.

Note 7. Stock-based Compensation

Share-based Payment Plans

Under the Embarq Corporation 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, Embarq can grant a wide range of awards related to Embarq common stock, including stock options, nonvested stock and stock units, otherwise referred to as restricted stock and stock units, stock appreciation right awards, performance share awards and performance unit awards to Embarq s directors and employees. Under the 2006 Plan, 24.5 million common shares were reserved for issuance, of which approximately 12.3 million common shares remained available at December 31, 2007. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Embarg (the Compensation Committee) may award options to purchase common stock and set all the terms and conditions of the options, except that the option exercise price per share shall be no less than the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant. In addition, the Compensation Committee has discretion to set the vesting schedule for the options which can have a term not to exceed ten years and may establish the terms and conditions of the awards including the satisfaction of performance and market goals.

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2006, Embarq began offering an Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which allows eligible employees to deduct up to 20% of eligible compensation to purchase common shares of stock at a price equal to 90% of its market value on the last trading day of each quarter. The aggregate number of shares an employee may purchase cannot exceed 15 thousand shares or the equivalent of \$25 thousand in any calendar year, subject to limitations imposed by Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. Under this plan,

0.9 million common shares were reserved for issuance, of which approximately 0.7 million remained available at December 31, 2007.

Stock Options

Embarq uses the Black-Scholes-Merton model to estimate the fair value of stock options. The risk-free interest rate was determined using the yield available on the option grant date for a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury security with a term equivalent to the expected life of the option. The dividend yield was determined based upon estimated annual dividends and the fair value and shares outstanding of Embarq s stock on the option grant date. Due to Embarq s relatively short life as an independent company, the expected volatility was based on a blend of the historic and implied volatility of Embarq s peer group. The expected life for options granted during the year was determined by applying the simplified method as described by SAB No. 107, Share-Based Payment.

The weighted average grant date fair value was \$12.02 in 2007 and \$13.62 in 2006 for all options converted or granted. These fair values were based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	2007	2006			
Risk-free					
interest rate	4.7%	5.0%			
Expected					
dividend yield	3.5%	4.4%			
Expected					
volatility	24.4%	22.5%			
Expected term					
(years)	6.0	4.7			
A summary of the	activity	related			
to Embarq s stock options for 2007					
is presented below	':				

	Options	Veighted Averag Exercise Price		
	(millions)			
Beginning				
balance				
outstanding	9.2	\$	51.04	
Granted	0.9		56.46	
Exercised	2.8		38.63	
Forfeited/expired	0.9		56.44	
Ending balance outstanding	6.4	\$	56.51	

The total amount of options exercisable and expected to vest, net of expected forfeitures, at December 31, 2007, was:

	Weighted AverageV Aggregate Intrinsic Remaining					Exercise		
	Options	'	Value	Contractual	Term	Price		
				(millions)				
Exercisable	4.5	\$	24.1		3.4	\$	60.24	
Expected to vest, net of expected								
forfeitures	1.9	\$	7.4		8.1	\$	47.72	
	stater	nent		inancial elated to stocl was as follow				

For the Years Ended December 31,

2007 2006

	(millions)				
Cash					
received	\$	109	\$	21	
Tax					
benefit					
realized		22		3	
Intrinsic					
value		60		8	

During 2007, approximately
1.0 million stock options with a
weighted average fair value of
\$11.62 became fully vested.
During 2006, approximately
0.1 million stock options with a
weighted average fair value of
\$18.93 became fully vested. As of
December 31, 2007, there was \$11
million of total unrecognized
compensation cost related to
unvested stock options that is
expected to be recognized over a
weighted-average period of 0.7
years.

Restricted Stock Units

Prior to 2007, the fair value for restricted stock units where vesting was dependent only on service was calculated using the average of the high and low price

of Embarq stock on the day of grant. Beginning in 2007, the fair value of such awards was calculated using the closing stock price on the day of grant. During 2007, Embarq granted restricted stock units that contained market conditions that affect factors other than vesting. The fair value of these awards was determined based on their expected outcome as of the grant date.

The weighted average grant date fair value for restricted stock units granted in 2007 was \$55.41 and \$43.86 in 2006. A summary of the activity related to the restricted stock units 2007 was as follows:

Weighted Average Unit Award Fair Value

	(millions)	
Beginning		
balance		
nonvested	2.0 \$	43.85
Granted	0.8	55.41
Vested	0.7	47.54
Forfeited	0.5	47.83
Ending		
balance		
nonvested	1.6 \$	46.87

In February 2007, Embarq granted 0.5 million restricted stock units that had performance and market provisions which could result in the initial number of awards granted being increased up to 200% or decreased to zero. The conversion ratios related to these awards are equally weighted to the performance and market conditions for the two and three year performance periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$20 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock units that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.9 years.

The total intrinsic value of restricted stock units vested during 2007 was \$8 million and \$1 million in 2006.

Compensation Expense

After the spin-off, stock-based compensation expense was calculated on the direct method based on each employee s individual grant. Before the spin-off, stock-based compensation expense was allocated to Embarq by Sprint Nextel based on the total Embarq employee headcount as a percentage of Sprint Nextel s total employees.

A summary of the total expense and income tax benefit related to our equity plans for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,

	2007		2006		2005	
			(mi	llions)		
Compensation						
Expense						
Pre spin-off						
allocated						
expense	\$	-	\$	22	\$	49
Post spin-off						
direct expense		50		31		-
Total	\$	50	\$	53	\$	49
Income Tax						
Benefit						
Pre spin-off						
allocated						
expense	\$	-	\$	8	\$	18
Post spin-off						
direct expense		18		11		-
•						
Total	\$	18	\$	19	\$	18

Resignation of Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

In December 2007, Embarq s CEO resigned resulting in the forfeiture

of 0.6 million stock options and 0.4 million restricted stock units. These forfeitures resulted in the reversal of \$12 million in compensation expense recognized in 2007 and prior years and reduced unearned compensation expense by \$11 million as of December 31, 2007.

Resignation of Chief Operating Officer (COO)

On December 19, 2006, Embarq entered into a general release and agreement with the COO, which eliminated that position. Embarq recognized \$8 million of stock-based compensation expense associated with this event.

The general release and agreement provided that Embarq will vest those portions of the former COO s equity grants that remain unvested at the end of his 18 month severance period, which included 43,043 stock option awards and 63,045 restricted stock unit awards. This was considered to be a modification of vesting terms requiring a revaluation of the modified awards. The fair value for the modified stock options was \$11.37 per option and \$51.22 per unit for the restricted stock units. Of the total \$8 million stock compensation charge recognized related to this event, approximately \$4 million was the value associated with the modified awards.

Spin-off from Sprint Nextel

The employee matters agreement provided that at spin-off, Sprint Nextel options held by Embarq employees would be converted into options to purchase shares of Embarq common stock. At spin-off, Embarq employees held approximately 15 million Sprint Nextel stock options which were converted into approximately 8 million Embarq stock options. These options had substantially the same terms and conditions as the terms and conditions of the underlying Sprint Nextel options.

Additionally, a special equity award of Embarq restricted stock units was

granted to Embarq employees who had their Sprint Nextel options converted into Embarq options. These awards vest over a three year service period and were valued at the closing market value of Embarq stock on the first business day following the grant date. No incremental compensation expense resulted from this modification event.

Pursuant to the employee matters agreement, Sprint Nextel and Embarq employees retained any restricted stock and restricted stock units issued by Sprint Nextel. To compensate for the loss in value of these units related to the spin-off, the holders of these awards were issued pro rata one Embarq award for every 20 Sprint Nextel awards held. The terms and conditions of the Embarq awards were substantially the same as the terms and conditions of the corresponding Sprint Nextel awards and vest over the remaining term of the Sprint Nextel awards. Embarq will recognize the minimal incremental compensation cost related to this modification event over the remaining vesting period of the awards.

Note 8. Business Segment Information

Embarq has two segments, Telecommunications and Logistics. The Telecommunications segment provides, both directly and through wholesale and sales agency relationships, local and long distance voice, data, high-speed Internet, satellite video, wireless and other communications-related products and services to consumer and business customers primarily within Embarq s local service territories in 18 states. The Telecommunications segment also provides access to Embarq s local network and other wholesale communications services to customers, including other carriers. The Logistics segment

engages in wholesale product distribution, logistics and configuration services to third parties.

Embarq manages its segments to the operating income level. Items such as interest, other income and expense, or income taxes are managed at the consolidated level. The reconciliation for operating income to net income is shown on the face of the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

The financial information by operating segment as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, were as follows:

Telecommunications Logistics Consolidated

	(millions)						
2007							
Service							
revenues							
Voice	\$	4,238	\$	-	\$	4,238	
Data		765		-		765	
High-speed							
Internet		489		-		489	
Wireless		51		-		51	
Other		243		-		243	
Total service							
revenues		5,786		_		5,786	
Product		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				- ,	
revenues		113		466		579	
Total net							
operating							
revenues		5,899		466		6,365	
Depreciation		1,048		9		1,057	
Operating		1,040				1,057	
expenses		4,393		468		4,861	
Operating		7,373		400		7,001	
income (loss)		1,506		(2)		1,504	
Operating		1,500		(2)		1,504	
margin		26%	'n	-%		24%	
Capital		2070)	- /t		24 /0	
expenditures		827		2.		829	
Total assets		8,792		109		8,901	
1 otal assets		0,192		109		0,501	

T	Telecommunications Logistics					Consolidated	
			(m	illions)			
2006							
Service							
revenues							
Voice	\$	4,346	\$	-	\$	4,346	
Data		706		-		706	
High-speed							
Internet		393		-		393	
Wireless		7		-		7	
Other		270		-		270	
Total service							
revenues		5,722		-		5,722	
Product							
revenues		111		530		641	
Total net operating							
revenues		5,833		530		6,363	
Related party revenues		124		14		138	
Depreciation		1,016		11		1,027	
Operating		1,010		11		1,027	
expenses		4,273		546		4,819	
Operating		4,273		340		4,017	
income (loss)		1,560		(16)		1,544	
Operating		1,500		(10)		1,544	
margin		27%		(3)%)	24%	
Capital		2170		(3) /(,	21/0	
expenditures		921		2		923	
Total assets		8,924		195		9,119	
Т	elecon	nmunicatio	ns Lo	gistics	Con	solidated	
2005			(m	illions)			
Service							
revenues							
Voice	\$	4,265	\$	_	\$	4,265	
Data	Ψ	657	Ψ	_	Ψ	657	
High-speed							
Internet		310		-		310	
Other		334		-		334	
Total Service							
revenues		5,566		_		5,566	
Product		3,300				3,300	
revenues		125		563		688	
Total net							
operating							
revenues		5,691		563		6,254	
Related party		3,071		303		J,2JT	
revenues		374		54		428	
Depreciation							
Depreciation		967		12		979	
Depreciation		967 4,098		12 604		979 4,702	

Operating			
expenses			
Operating			
income (loss)	1,593	(41)	1,552
Operating			
margin	28%	(7)%	25%
Capital			
expenditures	823	5	828
Total assets	9,009	212	9,221

Note 9. Supplemental Cash Flow Information and Non-Cash Activities

Embarq s supplemental cash flow information and non-cash activities were as follows:

	2007			2006	2005	
			(n	nillions)		
Supplemental				,		
Cash Flow						
Information						
Cash paid for						
interest, net of						
amounts						
capitalized	\$	437	\$	290	\$	86
Cash paid for						
income taxes		449		348		549
Non-Cash						
Activities						
Capital						
expenditure	_		_	_		
accrual	\$	1	\$	3	\$	-
Dividend						
accrual		2		-		-
Extinguishment						
of debt		3		-		-
Issuance of						
treasury stock						
to the						
Employee						
Stock Purchase		_				
Plan		2		-		-
Transactions						
with Sprint						
Nextel						
associated with						
spin-off:						
Distribution of				(4.405)		
senior notes		-		(4,485)		-
Transfer of				460		
notes receivable		-		460		-
Transfer and						
subsequent						
adjustment of						
property, plant						
and equipment,		4		212		
net		4		313		-
Transfer of						
post-retirement and other						
and other benefit						
obligations				(250)		
Elimination of		-		(358)		-
additional		-		037		-
minimum						
minimulli						

pension liability and pension intangible Transfer and subsequent adjustment of other assets and liabilities, net (2)35 Deferred taxes related to assets and liabilities transferred and subsequently adjusted (53)

Note 10. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements this standard provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities on the balance sheet by clarifying the definition of fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, for assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured on a recurring basis. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material effect on Embarq s financial condition or results of operations.

Note 11. Sale of Exchanges

In the 2006 first quarter, Embarq finalized the sale of 13 exchanges including approximately 5,200 access lines in north central Kansas for approximately \$18 million in cash. This sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$6 million and was reflected as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

In the 2006 fourth quarter, Embarq finalized the sale of 12 exchanges in north central Kansas,

serving approximately 5,400 access lines. Proceeds from this sale were approximately \$17 million, which generated a pre-tax gain of \$6 million. This gain was reflected as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income.

Note 12. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

	2007 Quarters									
		1st	2 nd	31		4 th				
		(millions, except per share data)								
Net operating										
revenue	\$	1,589	\$ 1,60	5 \$	1,594 \$	1,577				
Operating income		371	40	0	363	370				
Net		160	1.7		157	100				
income Basic		160	17	6	157	190				
earnings per share		1.07	1.1	6	1.02	1.24				
Diluted		1.07	1,1	U	1.02	1,21				
earnings										
per share		1.05	1.1	5	1.01	1.23				
•										
		2006 Quarters								
		1 ^{st (1)}	2 ^{nd (1)}	3 ¹	·d	4 th				
	(millions, except per share data)									
Net		,	,		ĺ					
operating										
revenue	\$	1,561	\$ 1,57	9 \$	1,606 \$	1,617				
Operating										
income		366	40	6	366	406				
Net										
income		214	21	6	160	194				
Basic										

(1) Pro forma earnings per share information. See Note 1, Background, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies, for additional information.

1.45

1.44

1.07

1.06

1.30

1.28

Note 13. Subsequent Events

Dividend Declaration

1.44

1.42

earnings

per share

Diluted earnings

per share

In January 2008, Embarq declared a dividend of \$0.6875 per share payable on March 31, 2008, to stockholders of record on March 10, 2008. The declaration

and payment of future dividends to holders of Embarq common stock is at the discretion of Embarq s board of directors, and depends upon many factors, including Embarq s financial condition, results of operations, growth prospects, funding requirements, applicable law and other factors the board of directors deems relevant.

Repurchase of Common Stock

In January 2008, Embarq s board of directors authorized a program for the repurchase of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of up to \$500 million that will expire on June 30, 2009. Embarq anticipates purchasing shares either in the open market or through private transactions, depending on market conditions and other factors, in accordance with applicable securities laws.

Stock-based Compensation

On February 27, 2008, Embarq s Compensation Committee approved the grant of 0.4 million restricted stock units related to the 2007 short-term incentive program to certain non-executive employees. These grants vest in December 2008 and are expected to result in Embarq recognizing approximately \$17 million in compensation expense during 2008.

EMBARQ CORPORATION

SCHEDULE
II CONSOLIDATED
VALUATION AND
QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Balance Beginning	Charged to	Other	Balance End of
of Period	income	Deductions	s ⁽¹⁾ Period

	(millions)							
December 31,								
2007								
Allowance								
for doubtful								
accounts	\$	53	\$	94	\$	87	\$	60
Revenue								
reserve		28		92		59		61
Valuation								
allowance								
Deferred tax								
asset		7		3		-		10
December 31,								
2006								
Allowance								
for doubtful								
accounts	\$	57	\$	55	\$	59	\$	53
Revenue								
reserve		24		36		32		28
Valuation								
allowance								
Deferred tax								
asset		2		5		-		7
December 31,								
2005								
Allowance								
for doubtful								
accounts	\$	61	\$	54	\$	58	\$	57
Revenue								
reserve		48		60		84		24
Valuation								
allowance								
Deferred tax								
asset		2		-		-		2

⁽¹⁾ Accounts written off and billing disputes resolved, net of recoveries.