

EL PASO CORP/DE
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 1-14365

El Paso Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

*(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)*

76-0568816

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

**El Paso Building
1001 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas**

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77002

(Zip Code)

Telephone Number: (713) 420-2600

Internet Website: www.elpaso.com

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock, par value \$3 per share. Shares outstanding on November 3, 2009: 701,270,947

EL PASO CORPORATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Caption	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	28
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	53
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	54
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	55
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	56
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	56
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	56
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	56
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	56
<u>Signatures</u>	57
<u>EX-12</u>	
<u>EX-31.A</u>	
<u>EX-31.B</u>	
<u>EX-32.A</u>	
<u>EX-32.B</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Below is a list of terms that are common to our industry and used throughout this document:

/d	= per day	MMBtu	= million British thermal units
Bbl	= barrels	MMcf	= million cubic feet
BBtu	= billion British thermal units	MMcfe	= million cubic feet of natural gas equivalents
Bcf	= billion cubic feet	GWh	= thousand megawatt hours
LNG	= liquefied natural gas	GW	= gigawatts
MBbls	= thousand barrels	NGL	= natural gas liquids
Mcf	= thousand cubic feet	TBtu	= trillion British thermal units
Mcfe	= thousand cubic feet of natural gas equivalents	tonne	= metric ton

When we refer to natural gas and oil in equivalents, we are doing so to compare quantities of oil with quantities of natural gas or to express these different commodities in a common unit. In calculating equivalents, we use a generally recognized standard in which one Bbl of oil is equal to six Mcf of natural gas. Also, when we refer to cubic feet measurements, all measurements are at a pressure of 14.73 pounds per square inch.

When we refer to us, we, our, ours, the company or El Paso, we are describing El Paso Corporation and/or subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In millions, except per common share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Operating revenues	\$ 981	\$ 1,598	\$ 3,438	\$ 4,020
Operating expenses				
Cost of products and services	45	68	158	195
Operation and maintenance	346	328	910	874
Ceiling test charges	5	1	2,085	8
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	200	292	653	903
Taxes, other than income taxes	56	70	181	230
	652	759	3,987	2,210
Operating income (loss)	329	839	(549)	1,810
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	11	52	42	141
Other income, net	33	(3)	71	52
Interest and debt expense	(256)	(221)	(764)	(675)
Income (loss) before income taxes	117	667	(1,200)	1,328
Income tax expense (benefit)	35	215	(425)	450
Net income (loss)	82	452	(775)	878
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(15)	(7)	(38)	(23)
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	67	445	(813)	855
Preferred stock dividends	9	9	28	28
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ 58	\$ 436	\$ (841)	\$ 827
Basic earnings per common share				
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.63	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.19
Diluted earnings per common share				
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.58	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.12

Edgar Filing: EL PASO CORP/DE - Form 10-Q

Dividends declared per El Paso Corporation s common share	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.13
---	---------	---------	---------	---------

See accompanying notes.

1

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In millions, except share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,024
Accounts and notes receivable		
Customers, net of allowance of \$9 in 2009 and 2008	271	466
Affiliates	84	133
Other	121	217
Materials and supplies	172	187
Assets from price risk management activities	316	876
Deferred income taxes	231	
Other	109	148
Total current assets	2,425	3,051
Property, plant and equipment, at cost		
Pipelines	19,237	18,042
Natural gas and oil properties, at full cost	20,537	20,009
Other	357	342
	40,131	38,393
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	22,931	20,535
Total property, plant and equipment, net	17,200	17,858
Other assets		
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	1,705	1,703
Assets from price risk management activities	109	201
Other	718	855
	2,532	2,759
Total assets	\$ 22,157	\$ 23,668

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In millions, except share amounts)
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable		
Trade	\$ 300	\$ 372
Affiliates	7	6
Other	492	618
Short-term financing obligations, including current maturities	339	1,090
Liabilities from price risk management activities	224	250
Accrued interest	241	192
Other	852	715
Total current liabilities	2,455	3,243
Long-term financing obligations, less current maturities	13,633	12,818
Other		
Liabilities from price risk management activities	523	767
Deferred income taxes	265	565
Other	1,557	1,679
	2,345	3,011
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Equity		
El Paso Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued 750,000 shares of 4.99% convertible perpetual stock; stated at liquidation value	750	750
Common stock, par value \$3 per share; authorized 1,500,000,000 shares; issued 715,877,755 shares in 2009 and 712,628,781 shares in 2008	2,148	2,138
Additional paid-in capital	4,505	4,612
Accumulated deficit	(3,466)	(2,653)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(709)	(532)
Treasury stock (at cost); 14,612,967 shares in 2009 and 14,061,474 shares in 2008	(282)	(280)
Total El Paso Corporation stockholders' equity	2,946	4,035
Noncontrolling interests	778	561

Total equity		3,724		4,596
Total liabilities and equity		\$ 22,157	\$	23,668

See accompanying notes.

3

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income (loss)	\$ (775)	\$ 878
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	653	903
Ceiling test charges	2,085	8
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(448)	470
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliates, adjusted for cash distributions	17	(12)
Other non-cash income items	53	16
Asset and liability changes	196	(212)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,781	2,051
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(2,081)	(1,905)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(39)	(362)
Net proceeds from the sale of assets and investments	303	671
Net change in restricted cash	41	35
Other	(26)	44
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,802)	(1,517)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,369	4,083
Payments to retire long-term debt and other financing obligations	(1,290)	(3,556)
Dividends paid	(133)	(113)
Net proceeds from issuance of noncontrolling interests	212	15
Distributions to noncontrolling interest holders	(33)	(20)
Repurchase of common shares		(77)
Other	(7)	9
Net cash provided by financing activities	118	341
Change in cash and cash equivalents	97	875
Cash and cash equivalents		
Beginning of period	1,024	285
End of period	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,160

See accompanying notes.

4

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2009	2008
El Paso Corporation stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock:		
Balance at beginning and end of period	\$ 750	\$ 750
Common stock:		
Balance at beginning of period	2,138	2,128
Other, net	10	8
Balance at end of period	2,148	2,136
Additional paid-in capital:		
Balance at beginning of period	4,612	4,699
Dividends	(133)	(119)
Other, including stock-based compensation	26	69
Balance at end of period	4,505	4,649
Accumulated deficit:		
Balance at beginning of period	(2,653)	(1,834)
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	(813)	855
Cumulative effect of adopting new pension plan accounting standards, net of income tax of \$2		4
Balance at end of period	(3,466)	(975)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Balance at beginning of period	(532)	(272)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(177)	102
Cumulative effect of adopting new pension plan accounting standards, net of income tax of \$2		3
Balance at end of period	(709)	(167)
Treasury stock, at cost:		
Balance at beginning of period	(280)	(191)
Share repurchases		(77)
Stock-based and other compensation	(2)	(10)
Balance at end of period	(282)	(278)
Total El Paso Corporation stockholders' equity at end of period	2,946	6,115

Noncontrolling interests:		
Balance at beginning of period	561	565
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(33)	(20)
Issuance of noncontrolling interests	212	15
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	38	23
Other		(24)
Balance at end of period	778	559
Total equity at end of period	\$ 3,724	\$ 6,674

See accompanying notes.

5

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In millions)
(Unaudited)

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 82	\$ 452	\$ (775)	\$ 878
Pension and postretirement obligations:				
Unrealized actuarial losses arising during period (net of income taxes of \$1 in 2008)				(2)
Reclassification of actuarial losses during period (net of income taxes of \$3 and \$11 in 2009 and \$2 and \$7 in 2008)	7	3	21	13
Cash flow hedging activities:				
Unrealized mark-to-market gains (losses) arising during period (net of income taxes of \$5 and \$3 in 2009 and \$227 and \$5 in 2008)	(5)	405	5	10
Reclassification adjustments for changes in initial value to the settlement date (net of income taxes of \$34 and \$114 in 2009 and \$24 and \$46 in 2008)	(61)	42	(203)	81
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(59)	450	(177)	102
Comprehensive income (loss)	23	902	(952)	980
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(15)	(7)	(38)	(23)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	\$ 8	\$ 895	\$ (990)	\$ 957

See accompanying notes.

6

Table of Contents

EL PASO CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies*Basis of Presentation*

We prepared this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Because this is an interim period filing presented using a condensed format, it does not include all of the disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). You should read this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q along with our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which contains a summary of our significant accounting policies and other disclosures. The financial statements as of September 30, 2009, and for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, are unaudited. We derived the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, from the audited balance sheet filed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As discussed below, certain amounts related to noncontrolling interests have been retrospectively adjusted within these consolidated financial statements to reflect the January 1, 2009 adoption of new presentation and disclosure requirements for noncontrolling interests. Our financial statements for prior periods also include certain reclassifications that were made to conform to the current period presentation, none of which impacted our reported net income (loss) or stockholders' equity. In our opinion, we have made adjustments, all of which are of a normal, recurring nature to fairly present our interim period results. We have evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing on November 6, 2009, the date of issuance of our financial statements. Due to the seasonal nature of our businesses, information for interim periods may not be indicative of our operating results for the entire year.

Significant Accounting Policies

The information below provides an update of our significant accounting policies and accounting pronouncements issued but not yet adopted as discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Fair Value Measurements. On January 1, 2009, we adopted new accounting and reporting standards related to our non-financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, as further described in Note 6. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

On January 1, 2009, we also adopted accounting standard updates regarding how companies should consider their own credit in determining the fair value of their liabilities that have third party credit enhancements related to them. Substantially all of the derivative liabilities in our Marketing segment are supported by letters of credit. Under these accounting standard updates, non-cash credit enhancements, such as letters of credit, should not be considered in determining the fair value of these liabilities, including derivative liabilities. Accordingly, we recorded a \$34 million gain (net of \$18 million of taxes), or \$0.05 per share, in the first quarter of 2009 as a result of adopting these new accounting updates.

Business Combinations. On January 1, 2009, we adopted accounting standard updates related to business acquisitions. These updates apply to acquisitions that are effective after December 31, 2008 and require that all acquired assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interests and certain contingencies be measured at fair value, and certain other acquisition-related costs be expensed rather than capitalized.

Noncontrolling Interests. Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted accounting standard updates on accounting and reporting for noncontrolling interests in the financial statements which require us to present our noncontrolling interests that have the characteristics of permanent equity (primarily related to El Paso Pipeline Partners, L.P., our consolidated subsidiary) as a separate component of equity rather than as a mezzanine item between liabilities and equity on our balance sheets. Additionally, we are also required to present our noncontrolling interests as a separate caption in our income statements. Our financial statements for all periods presented have been adjusted to retrospectively apply these changes to the presentation and disclosure requirements related to noncontrolling interests. These accounting standard updates also require that all transactions with noncontrolling interest holders after adoption, including the issuance and repurchase of noncontrolling interests, be accounted for as equity transactions unless a change in control of the subsidiary occurs.

Table of Contents*New Accounting Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Adopted*

As of September 30, 2009, the following accounting standards have not yet been adopted by us:

Oil and Gas Reserves Reporting. In December 2008, the SEC issued a final rule adopting revisions to its oil and gas reporting requirements. The revisions will impact the determination and disclosures of oil and gas reserves information. Among other items, the new rules will revise the definition of proved reserves and will require full cost companies to use a twelve month average commodity price in determining future net revenues, rather than a period-end price as is currently required. These changes, along with other proposed changes, will impact the manner in which we perform our full cost ceiling test calculation and determine any related ceiling test charge. The provisions of this final rule are effective on December 31, 2009, and cannot be applied earlier than that date. We are currently assessing the impact that this final rule may have on our determination and disclosures of oil and gas reserves information.

Transfers of Financial Assets. In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued updates to the existing accounting standards on financial asset transfers. Among other items, these accounting standard updates eliminate the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE) for purposes of evaluating whether an entity should be consolidated as a variable interest entity and are effective for existing QSPEs as of January 1, 2010 and for transactions entered into on or after January 1, 2010. We are currently assessing the impact that these accounting standard updates may have on our financial statements, including any impacts it may have on accounting for our accounts receivable sales program and the related senior beneficial interests (see Note 13).

Variable Interest Entities. In June 2009, the FASB issued updates to existing accounting standards for variable interest entities which revise how companies determine their primary beneficiaries, among other changes. These updates require companies to use a qualitative approach based on their responsibilities and controlling power over the variable interest entities' operations rather than a quantitative approach in determining the primary beneficiary as previously required. We are currently assessing the impact that these accounting standard updates, effective January 1, 2010, may have on our financial statements.

2. Acquisitions and Divestitures*Acquisitions*

Gulf LNG. In February 2008, we paid \$295 million to complete the acquisition of a 50 percent interest in the Gulf LNG Clean Energy Project, an LNG terminal which is currently under construction in Pascagoula, Mississippi. The terminal is expected to be placed in service in late 2011 at an estimated total cost of \$1.1 billion. In addition, we have a commitment to loan Gulf LNG up to \$150 million of which we have advanced approximately \$49 million as of September 30, 2009. Our partner in this project has a commitment to loan up to \$64 million. We account for our investment in Gulf LNG using the equity method.

Exploration and Production properties. During the third quarter of 2009, we acquired a 50 percent interest in the South Alamein concession in the Western Desert of Egypt for approximately \$39 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we acquired additional interests in onshore domestic natural gas and oil properties for approximately \$61 million.

Divestitures

During the first quarter of 2009, we completed the sale of our interest in the Porto Velho power generation facility in Brazil to our partner in the project for total consideration of \$179 million, including \$78 million in notes receivable (see Note 14). Subsequently, in the second quarter of 2009, we sold the notes, including accrued interest, to a third party financial institution for \$57 million and recorded a loss of \$22 million. During 2009 we also sold our investment in the Argentina-to-Chile pipeline to our partners in the project for approximately \$32 million and completed the sale of non-core natural gas producing properties located in our Central and Western regions for approximately \$95 million. During 2008, we sold natural gas and oil properties primarily in the Gulf Coast region for total proceeds of \$637 million as well as two power investments located in Central America and Asia.

Table of Contents**3. Ceiling Test Charges**

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a reduction to our property, plant and equipment due to total non-cash ceiling test charges of \$2.1 billion that resulted primarily from declines in natural gas prices. In the first quarter of 2009, capitalized costs exceeded the ceiling limit by approximately \$2.0 billion for our domestic full cost pool and approximately \$28 million for our Brazilian full cost pool. The calculation of the first quarter of 2009 ceiling test charges was based on the March 31, 2009 spot natural gas price of \$3.63 per MMBtu and oil price of \$49.66 per barrel.

By September 30, 2009, spot natural gas prices declined to \$3.30 per MMBtu while oil prices improved to \$70.61 per barrel. As a result of higher oil prices, reserve additions and lower costs, we did not have a ceiling test charge in our domestic or Brazilian full cost pools during the second or third quarters of 2009.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded non-cash ceiling test charges in our Egyptian full cost pool totaling approximately \$26 million, of which \$5 million was recorded in the third quarter of 2009. During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded non-cash ceiling test charges of \$1 million and \$8 million in our Egyptian full cost pool.

In performing our ceiling test charge calculations, we are required to hold prices constant over the life of the reserves, even though actual prices of natural gas and oil are volatile and change from period to period. We may be required to record additional ceiling test charges in the future if commodity prices decrease from the September 30, 2009 levels.

4. Income Taxes

Income taxes included in our net income (loss) for the periods ended September 30 were as follows:

	Quarters Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In millions, except rates)			
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$ 35	\$ 215	\$ (425)	\$ 450
Effective tax rate	30%	32%	35%	34%

Effective Tax Rate. We compute interim period income taxes by applying an anticipated annual effective tax rate to our year-to-date income or loss, except for significant unusual or infrequently occurring items. Significant tax items are recorded in the period that the item occurs. Our effective tax rate may be affected by items such as our annual estimate of dividend exclusions on earnings from unconsolidated affiliates where we anticipate receiving dividends, the effect of state income taxes (net of federal income tax effects), and the effect of foreign income which can be taxed at different rates.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, our effective tax rate was relatively consistent with the statutory rate and the customary relationship between our pretax accounting income and income tax expense. During the third quarter of 2009, our effective tax rate was primarily impacted by foreign income which can be taxed at different rates. During the third quarter of 2008, our effective tax rate was lower than the statutory rate primarily due to the foreign tax impact of fluctuations in exchange rates.

Deferred Tax Asset. As of September 30, 2009, we have a net federal deferred tax asset of \$131 million primarily as a result of recognizing a deferred tax benefit attributable to the domestic ceiling test charge during the first quarter of 2009. We believe it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of this net deferred tax asset (net of existing valuation allowances) based on recognition of sufficient taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences or net operating losses are deductible.

Table of Contents**5. Earnings Per Share**

We calculated basic and diluted earnings per common share as follows:

Quarters Ended September 30,

	2009		2008	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	(In millions, except per share amounts)			
Net income attributable to El Paso Corporation	\$ 67	\$ 67	\$ 445	\$ 445
Convertible preferred stock dividends	(9)	(9)	(9)	
Interest on trust preferred securities				3
Net income attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ 58	\$ 58	\$ 436	\$ 448
Weighted average common shares outstanding	696	696	696	696
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Options and restricted stock		4		4
Trust preferred securities				8
Convertible preferred stock				58
Weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive securities	696	700	696	766
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:				
Net income attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.58

Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2009		2008	
	Basic	Diluted	Basic	Diluted
	(In millions, except per share amounts)			
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	\$ (813)	\$ (813)	\$ 855	\$ 855
Convertible preferred stock dividends	(28)	(28)	(28)	
Interest on trust preferred securities				8
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ (841)	\$ (841)	\$ 827	\$ 863
Weighted average common shares outstanding	695	695	697	697
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Options and restricted stock				4
Trust preferred securities				8
Convertible preferred stock				58
Weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive securities	695	695	697	767

Basic and diluted earnings per common share:

Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation's common stockholders	\$ (1.21)	\$ (1.21)	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.12
---	-----------	-----------	---------	---------

We exclude potentially dilutive securities (as well as their related income statement impacts) from the determination of diluted earnings per share when their impact on net income attributable to El Paso Corporation per common share is antidilutive. These potentially dilutive securities consist of our employee stock options, restricted stock, convertible preferred stock and trust preferred securities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we incurred losses attributable to El Paso Corporation and, accordingly, excluded all of our potentially dilutive securities from the determination of diluted earnings per share as their impact on loss per common share was antidilutive. For the quarter ended September 30, 2009, our convertible preferred stock and trust preferred securities were antidilutive. Additionally, for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 and nine months ended September 30, 2008, certain of our employee stock options were antidilutive. For a further discussion of our potentially dilutive securities, see our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**6. Fair Value Measurements**

We use various methods to determine the fair values of our financial instruments and other derivatives that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, which depend on a number of factors, including the availability of observable market data over the contractual term of the underlying instrument. For some of our instruments, the fair value is calculated based on directly observable market data or data available for similar instruments in similar markets. For other instruments, the fair value may be calculated based on these inputs as well as other assumptions related to estimates of future settlements of these instruments. We separate our financial instruments and other derivatives into three levels (Levels 1, 2 and 3) based on our assessment of the availability of observable market data and the significance of non-observable data used to determine the fair value of our instruments. Our assessment of an instrument can change over time based on the maturity or liquidity of the instrument, which could result in a change in the classification of the instruments between levels.

Each of these levels and our corresponding instruments classified by level are further described below:

Level 1 instruments fair values are based on quoted prices for the instruments in actively traded markets. Included in this level are our marketable securities invested in non-qualified compensation plans whose fair value is determined using the quoted prices of these instruments.

Level 2 instruments fair values are primarily based on pricing data representative of quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets (or identical assets and liabilities in less active markets). Included in this level are our interest rate swaps, production-related natural gas and oil derivatives and certain of our other natural gas derivatives (such as natural gas supply arrangements) whose fair values are based on commodity pricing data obtained from third party pricing sources and our creditworthiness or that of our counterparties (adjusted for collateral related to our asset positions).

Level 3 instruments fair values are partially calculated using pricing data that is similar to Level 2 above, but their fair value also reflects adjustments for being in less liquid markets or having longer contractual terms. For these instruments, we obtain pricing data from third party pricing sources, adjust this data based on the liquidity of the underlying forward markets over the contractual terms and use the adjusted pricing data to develop an estimate of forward price curves that market participants would use. The curves are then used to estimate the value of settlements in future periods based on contractual settlement quantities and dates. Our valuation of these instruments considers specific contractual terms, statistical and simulation analysis, present value concepts and other internal assumptions related to (i) contract maturities that extend beyond the periods in which quoted market prices are available; (ii) the uniqueness of the contract terms; (iii) the limited availability of forward pricing information in markets where there is a lack of viable participants, such as in the Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Maryland (PJM) forward power market and the forward market for ammonia; and (iv) our creditworthiness or that of our counterparties (adjusted for collateral related to our asset positions).

Since a significant portion of the fair value of our power-related derivatives and certain of our remaining natural gas derivatives with longer terms or in less liquid markets than similar Level 2 derivatives rely on the techniques discussed above, we classify these instruments as Level 3 instruments.

Table of Contents

Listed below are the fair values of our financial instruments that are recorded at fair value classified in each level at September 30, 2009 (in millions):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<i>Assets</i>				
Commodity-based derivatives				
Production-related natural gas and oil derivatives	\$	\$ 273	\$	\$ 273
Other natural gas derivatives		79	20	99
Power-related derivatives			42	42
Interest rate derivatives		11		11
Marketable securities invested in non-qualified compensation plans	19			19
Total assets	19	363	62	444
<i>Liabilities</i>				
Commodity-based derivatives				
Production-related natural gas and oil derivatives		(54)		(54)
Other natural gas derivatives		(136)	(143)	(279)
Power-related derivatives			(396)	(396)
Interest rate derivatives		(18)		(18)
Other			(32)	(32)
Total liabilities		(208)	(571)	(779)
Total	\$ 19	\$ 155	\$ (509)	\$ (335)

On certain derivative contracts recorded as assets we are exposed to the risk that our counterparties may not perform or post the required collateral, if any, with us. We have assessed this counterparty risk in light of the collateral our counterparties have posted with us. Based on this assessment, we have determined that our exposure is primarily related to our production-related derivatives and is limited to five financial institutions, each of which has a current Standard & Poor's credit rating of A or better.

The following table presents the changes in our financial assets and liabilities included in Level 3 for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009 (in millions):

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Change in fair value reflected in operating revenues ⁽¹⁾	Change in fair value reflected in operating expenses ⁽²⁾	Settlements, net	Balance at End of Period
Quarter Ended September 30, 2009					
Assets	\$ 73	\$ (10)	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 62
Liabilities	(582)	(9)	(3)	23	(571)
Total	\$ (509)	\$ (19)	\$ (3)	\$ 22	\$ (509)

**Nine Months Ended
September 30, 2009**

Assets	\$	103	\$	(35)	\$		\$	(6)	\$	62
Liabilities		(751)		79		22		79		(571)
Total	\$	(648)	\$	44	\$	22	\$	73	\$	(509)

(1) Includes approximately \$19 million of net losses and \$30 million of net gains that had not been realized through settlements for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

(2) Includes approximately \$3 million of net losses and \$22 million of net gains that had not been realized through settlements for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the carrying value and fair value of all our financial instruments and derivatives that are measured at fair value:

	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(In millions)			
Long-term financing obligations, including current maturities	\$13,972	\$13,871	\$13,908	\$11,227
Marketable securities invested in non-qualified compensation plans	19	19	19	19
Commodity-based derivatives	(315)	(315)	(25)	(25)
Interest rate and foreign currency derivatives	(7)	(7)	85	85
Other	17	17	72	72

As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, short-term borrowings, and trade receivables and payables represented fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments. The carrying amounts of our restricted cash and noncurrent receivables approximate their fair value based on their interest rates and our assessment of our ability to recover these amounts. We estimated the fair value of debt based on quoted market prices for the same or similar issues, including consideration of our credit risk related to those instruments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we did not have any non-financial assets and liabilities that were recorded at fair value subsequent to their initial measurement.

7. Price Risk Management Activities

Our price risk management activities relate primarily to derivatives entered into to hedge or otherwise reduce (i) the commodity price exposure on our natural gas and oil production; (ii) interest rate exposure on our long-term debt; and (iii) our historical foreign currency exposure on our Euro-denominated debt. We also hold other derivatives not intended to hedge these exposures, including those related to our legacy trading activities. When we enter into derivative contracts, we may designate the derivative as either a cash flow hedge or a fair value hedge, at which time we prepare the required documentation. Hedges of cash flow exposure are designed to hedge forecasted sales transactions or limit the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability. Hedges of fair value exposure are entered into to protect the fair value of a recognized asset, liability or firm commitment.

Production-Related Derivatives. We attempt to mitigate commodity price risk and stabilize cash flows associated with our forecasted sales of natural gas and oil production through the use of derivative natural gas and oil swaps, basis swaps and option contracts. These derivatives do not mitigate all of the commodity price risks of our sales of natural gas and oil production and, as a result, we are subject to commodity price risks on our remaining forecasted production. Prior to removing the accounting hedge designation on all of our production-related derivatives during the fourth quarter of 2008, certain of these derivatives were designated as cash flow hedges. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we have production-related derivatives on 353 TBtu and 187 TBtu of natural gas and 727 MBbl and 3,431 MBbl of oil.

Other Commodity-Based Derivatives. In our Marketing segment, we have long-term natural gas and power derivative contracts that are primarily related to our legacy trading activities. These contracts include forwards, swaps and options that we either intend to manage until their expiration or seek opportunities to liquidate to the extent it is economical and prudent. None of these derivatives are designated as accounting hedges. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our other commodity based derivative contracts include (i) natural gas contracts that obligate us to sell natural gas to power plants and have various expiration dates ranging from 2012 to 2019, with expected obligations under individual contracts with third parties ranging from 12,550 MMBtu/d to 104,750 MMBtu/d and (ii) derivative power contracts that require us to swap locational differences in power prices between three power plants in the PJM eastern region with the PJM west hub on approximately 3,700 GWh from 2009 to 2012, 2,400 GWh for 2013 and 1,700 GWh from 2014 to April 2016. These contracts also require us to provide approximately 1,700

GWh of power per year and approximately 71 GW of installed capacity per year in the PJM power pool through April 2016. For these natural gas and power contracts, we have entered into contracts in previous years to economically mitigate our exposure to commodity price changes on substantially all of these volumes, although we continue to have exposure to changes in locational price differences between the PJM regions.

Interest Rate Derivatives. We have long-term debt with variable interest rates that exposes us to changes in market-based interest rates. We use interest rate swaps to convert the variable rates on certain of these debt instruments to a fixed interest rate. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we have interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges that converted the interest rate on approximately \$172 million of debt from a LIBOR-based variable rate to a fixed rate of 4.56%.

Table of Contents

We also have long-term debt with fixed interest rates that exposes us to paying higher than market rates should interest rates decline. We use interest rate swaps to protect the value of certain of these debt instruments by converting the fixed amounts of interest due under the debt agreements to variable interest payments and record changes in the fair value of these derivatives in interest expense. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we have interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges that convert the interest rate on approximately \$218 million of debt from a fixed rate to a variable rate of LIBOR plus 4.18%. In addition, as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had interest rate swaps not designated as hedges with a notional amount of \$222 million for which changes in the fair value of these swaps are substantially eliminated by offsetting swaps contracts.

Cross-Currency Derivatives. During the second quarter of 2009, our Euro-denominated debt matured and we settled all of our related cross-currency swaps. These cross-currency swaps were designated as fair value hedges of this debt.

Balance Sheet Presentation. Our derivatives are reflected at fair value on our balance sheet as assets and liabilities from price risk management activities. We net our derivative assets and liabilities for counterparties where we have a legal right of offset and classify our derivatives as either current or non-current assets or liabilities based on their anticipated settlement date. The following table presents the fair value of our derivatives on a gross basis by contract type. We have not netted these contracts for counterparties where we have a legal right of offset or for cash collateral associated with these derivatives, which is not significant to our financial statements.

	Fair Value of Asset Derivatives		Fair Value of Liability Derivatives	
	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(In millions)			
<i>Derivatives Designated as Hedges:</i>				
Cash flow hedges				
Interest rate derivatives	\$	\$	\$ (18)	\$ (21)
Fair value hedges				
Interest rate derivatives	11	12		
Cross-currency derivatives		94		
Total derivatives designated as hedges	11	106	(18)	(21)
<i>Derivatives not Designated as Hedges:</i>				
Commodity-based derivatives				
Production-related	408	738	(189)	(56)
Other natural gas	618	853	(798)	(1,122)
Power-related	65	111	(419)	(549)
Total commodity-based derivatives	1,091	1,702	(1,406)	(1,727)
Interest rate derivatives	12	12	(12)	(12)
Total derivatives not designated as hedges	1,103	1,714	(1,418)	(1,739)
Impact of master netting arrangements ⁽¹⁾	(689)	(743)	689	743
	425	1,077	(747)	(1,017)

Total assets (liabilities) from price risk management activities					
Other derivatives ⁽²⁾				(32)	(55)
Total derivatives	\$ 425	\$	1,077	\$ (779)	\$ (1,072)

(1) Includes adjustments to net assets or liabilities to reflect master netting arrangements we have with our counterparties.

(2) Included in other current and noncurrent liabilities on our balance sheets.

Statements of Income, Comprehensive Income and Cash Flow Presentation. Derivatives that we have designated as accounting hedges impact our revenues or expenses based on the nature and timing of the transactions that they hedge. Changes in derivative fair values designated as cash flow hedges are deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss to the extent they are effective and then recognized in earnings when the hedged transactions occur. Ineffectiveness related to our cash flow hedges is recognized in earnings as it occurs. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as fair value hedges are recognized in earnings as offsets to the changes in fair values of the related hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments.

Table of Contents

Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges are marked-to-market each period and changes in their fair value are generally reflected in income as indicated in the table below. In our cash flow statement, cash inflows and outflows associated with the settlement of our derivative instruments are recognized in operating cash flows (other than those derivatives intended to hedge the principal amounts of our foreign currency denominated debt, which are recorded in financing activities). Listed below are the impacts to our income statement and statement of comprehensive income for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009:

	Operating Revenues	Interest Expense	Other Income	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
	(In millions)			
Quarter Ended September 30, 2009				
<i>Commodity-based derivatives</i>				
Production-related derivatives ⁽¹⁾	\$ 87	\$	\$	\$ (95)
Other natural gas and power derivatives not designated as hedges	(20)			
Total commodity-based derivatives	67			(95)
<i>Interest rate derivatives⁽²⁾</i>				
Designated as cash flow hedges ⁽³⁾		1		
Designated as fair value hedges ⁽⁴⁾		1		
Total interest rate derivatives		2		
Total price risk management activities ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 67	\$ 2	\$	\$ (95)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009				
<i>Commodity-based derivatives</i>				
Production-related derivatives ⁽¹⁾	\$ 536	\$	\$	\$ (322)
Other natural gas and power derivatives not designated as hedges	53			
Total commodity-based derivatives	589			(322)
<i>Interest rate and foreign currency derivatives⁽²⁾</i>				
Designated as cash flow hedges ⁽³⁾		3	(5)	8
Designated as fair value hedges ⁽⁴⁾		6	(21)	
Total interest rate and foreign currency derivatives		9	(26)	8
Total price risk management activities ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 589	\$ 9	\$ (26)	\$ (314)

(1) Included in operating

revenues for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009 is \$95 million and \$322 million representing the amount of accumulated other comprehensive income that was reclassified into income related to commodity-based derivatives for which we removed the hedging designation during the fourth quarter of 2008. We anticipate that approximately \$75 million of our accumulated other comprehensive income will be reclassified to operating revenues during the next twelve months.

- (2) We have not reflected in this table approximately \$2 million and \$4 million of losses recognized for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009 related to interest rate derivatives not designated as hedges that were offset completely

by the impact of certain swaps.

Settlements related to these swaps were not material for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

- (3) Included in these amounts is approximately \$1 million representing the amount of accumulated other comprehensive income that was reclassified into income related to these hedges. We anticipate that \$2 million of our accumulated other comprehensive income will be reclassified to interest expense during the next twelve months. No ineffectiveness was recognized on our interest rate cash flow hedges for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

- (4) Amounts only reflect the financial statement impact of these derivative contracts. The table does not reflect the offsetting impact

of changes to the carrying value of the underlying debt hedged by these derivative instruments as a result of changes in fair value attributable to the risk being hedged, which is also recorded in other income and interest expense and substantially offsets the financial statement impact of these derivatives. We also recorded a decrease to interest expense of approximately \$1 million and \$3 million during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009 as a result of converting the interest rate on the underlying debt from a fixed rate to a floating rate. No ineffectiveness was recognized on our fair value hedges for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

- (5) We also had approximately \$3 million of net losses and \$22 million of net gains for the

quarter and nine
months ended
September 30,
2009 recognized
in operating
expenses related
to other derivative
instruments not
associated with
our price risk
management
activities.

Table of Contents**8. Debt, Other Financing Obligations and Other Credit Facilities**

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(In millions)	
Short-term financing obligations, including current maturities	\$ 339	\$ 1,090
Long-term financing obligations	13,633	12,818
Total	\$ 13,972	\$ 13,908

Changes in Long-Term Financing Obligations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we had the following changes in our long-term financing obligations (in millions):

Company	Interest Rate	Book Value Increase (Decrease)	Cash Received (Paid)
<i>Issuances</i>			
El Paso Notes due 2016 ⁽¹⁾	8.25%	\$ 478	\$ 473
Tennessee Gas Pipeline (TGP) notes due 2016 ⁽¹⁾	8.00%	237	234
Southern LNG notes due 2014 and 2016	9.60%	135	134
Elba Express Company LLC credit facility	variable	129	121
Ruby Holding Company loan commitment	7.00%	157	154
Ruby Pipeline, LLC term loan	variable	116	115
El Paso Pipeline Partners, L.P. (EPB) revolving credit facilities	variable	138	138
<i>Increases through September 30, 2009</i>		\$ 1,390	\$ 1,369
<i>Repayments, repurchases, and other</i>			
El Paso Corporation			
	6.375% to		
Notes due 2009	7.125%	\$ (1,054)	\$ (1,054) ⁽²⁾
Revolving credit facilities	variable	(97)	(97)
EPB revolving credit facilities	variable	(188)	(188)
El Paso Exploration and Production Company revolving credit facility	variable	(20)	(20)
Other	variable	33	(14)
<i>Decreases through September 30, 2009</i>		\$ (1,326)	\$ (1,373)

⁽¹⁾ Principal amount of the notes is \$500 million for El Paso Corporation and

\$250 million for
TGP.

- (2) Amount does not reflect \$83 million received in conjunction with the settlement of fair value hedges related to our Euro denominated notes.

Credit Facilities. As of September 30, 2009, we had total available capacity under various credit agreements (not including capacity available under the EPB \$750 million revolving credit facility and all project financings) of approximately \$1.4 billion. In determining our available capacity, we have assessed our lender's ability to fund under our various credit facilities, as further discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

During the first nine months of 2009, we increased the size of or entered into new letter of credit facilities totaling \$275 million. As of September 30, 2009, we had total letter of credit capacity under these facilities of \$300 million with a weighted average fixed facility fee of 6.77% and maturities ranging from December 2013 to September 2014. Additionally, during 2009, \$300 million of letter of credit facilities entered into in 2007 matured.

The availability of borrowings under our credit agreements and our ability to incur additional debt is subject to various financial and non-financial covenants and restrictions. These restrictions include potential limitations in the credit agreements of certain of our subsidiaries on their ability to declare and pay dividends and loan funds to us. As of December 31, 2008, the restricted net assets of our consolidated subsidiaries were approximately \$1 billion. Additionally, the revolving credit facility of our exploration and production subsidiary is collateralized by certain of our natural gas and oil properties and has a borrowing base subject to revaluation on a semi-annual basis. Our existing borrowing base was approved by the banks in May 2009 and will be redetermined in November 2009. There have been no significant changes to our restrictive covenants from those disclosed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K and as of September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

Table of Contents

Letters of Credit. We enter into letters of credit in the ordinary course of our operating activities as well as periodically in conjunction with the sales of assets or businesses. As of September 30, 2009, we had outstanding letters of credit issued under all of our facilities of approximately \$1.5 billion. Included in this amount is approximately \$0.8 billion of letters of credit securing our recorded obligations related to price risk management activities.

Other. During the second quarter of 2009, our wholly owned subsidiary, Elba Express Company, secured a \$165 million non-recourse financing facility which is available only to the related pipeline project. As of September 30, 2009, \$129 million has been borrowed under this facility. During the third quarter of 2009, Ruby, a consolidated variable interest entity, entered into a loan commitment for \$405 million, which is available only to fund the Ruby pipeline project. As of September 30, 2009, \$157 million has been borrowed by Ruby under this loan commitment. In addition, during 2008 our wholly owned subsidiary, Ruby Pipeline L.L.C., entered into a letter of credit facility which is available only to secure the purchase of pipe for the Ruby pipeline project and in 2009 this facility was amended to provide up to \$145 million in loans. As of September 30, 2009, \$116 million has been borrowed under this facility. For a further discussion of Ruby, see Note 13.

9. Commitments and Contingencies*Legal Proceedings*

Cash Balance Plan Lawsuit. In December 2004, a purported class action lawsuit entitled *Tomlinson, et al. v. El Paso Corporation and El Paso Corporation Pension Plan* was filed in U.S. District Court for Denver, Colorado. The lawsuit alleges various violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act as a result of our change from a final average earnings formula pension plan to a cash balance pension plan. The trial court has dismissed the claims that our plan violated ERISA. Our costs and legal exposure related to this lawsuit are not currently determinable.

Retiree Medical Benefits Matters. In 2002, a lawsuit entitled *Yolton et al. v. El Paso Tennessee Pipeline Co. and Case Corporation* was filed in a federal court in Detroit, Michigan. The lawsuit was filed on behalf of a group of retirees of Case Corporation (Case) that alleged they are entitled to retiree medical benefits under a medical benefits plan for which we serve as plan administrator pursuant to a merger agreement with Tenneco Inc. Although we had asserted that our obligations under the plan were subject to a cap pursuant to an agreement with the union for Case employees, the trial court ruled that the benefits were vested and not subject to the cap. As a result, we were obligated to pay the amounts above the cap in the first quarter of 2008, and we adjusted our existing indemnification accrual using current actuarial assumptions and reclassified our liability as a postretirement benefit obligation. See Note 10 for a discussion of the impact of this matter. We intend to pursue appellate options following the determination by the trial court of any damages incurred by the plaintiffs during the period when premium payments above the cap were paid by the retirees. We believe our accruals established for this matter are adequate.

Price Reporting Litigation. Beginning in 2003, several lawsuits were filed against El Paso Marketing L.P. (EPM) alleging that El Paso, EPM and other energy companies conspired to manipulate the price of natural gas by providing false price information to industry trade publications that published gas indices. The first set of cases, involving similar allegations on behalf of commercial and residential customers, was transferred to a multi-district litigation proceeding (MDL) in the U.S. District Court for Nevada and styled *In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation*. These cases were dismissed. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, however, reversed the dismissal and ordered that these cases be remanded to the trial court. The second set of cases also involve similar allegations on behalf of certain purchasers of natural gas. These include *Farmland Industries v. Oneok Inc., et al.* (filed in state court in Wyandotte County, Kansas in July 2005) and *Missouri Public Service Commission v. El Paso Corporation, et al.* (filed in the circuit court of Jackson County, Missouri at Kansas City in October 2006), and the purported class action lawsuits styled: *Leggett, et al. v. Duke Energy Corporation, et al.* (filed in Chancery Court of Tennessee in January 2005); *Ever-Bloom Inc., et al. v. AEP Energy Services Inc., et al.* (filed in federal court for the Eastern District of California in September 2005); *Learjet, Inc., et al. v. Oneok Inc., et al.* (filed in state court in Wyandotte County, Kansas in September 2005); *Breckenridge, et al. v. Oneok Inc., et al.* (filed in state court in Denver County, Colorado in May 2006); *Arandell, et al. v. Xcel Energy, et al.* (filed in the circuit court of Dane County, Wisconsin in December 2006); *Heartland, et al. v. Oneok Inc., et al.* (filed in the circuit court of Buchanan

County, Missouri in March 2007); and *Newpage Wisconsin System, Inc., et al.* (filed in the circuit court of Wood County, Wisconsin in March 2009). The *Leggett* case was dismissed by the Tennessee state court, but in October 2008, the Tennessee Court of Appeals reversed the dismissal, remanding the matter to the trial court. The decision has been appealed to the Tennessee Supreme Court. The *Missouri Public Service* case was dismissed by the state court. The dismissal has been appealed. The remaining cases have all been transferred to the MDL proceeding. The *Breckenridge Case* has been dismissed as to El Paso and other defendants, and a motion for reconsideration of this decision was denied. This ruling can still be appealed. Discovery is proceeding in the MDL cases. We reached an agreement to settle the *Western States* and *Ever-Bloom* cases which was approved by the court and paid. Our costs and legal exposure related to the remaining lawsuits and claims are not currently determinable.

Table of Contents

Gas Measurement Cases. A number of our subsidiaries were named defendants in actions that generally allege mismeasurement of natural gas volumes and/or heating content resulting in the underpayment of royalties. The first set of cases was filed in 1997 by an individual under the False Claims Act and have been consolidated for pretrial purposes (*In re: Natural Gas Royalties Qui Tam Litigation*, U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming). These complaints allege an industry-wide conspiracy to underreport the heating value as well as the volumes of the natural gas produced from federal and Native American lands. In October 2006, the U.S. District Judge issued an order dismissing all claims against all defendants. In March 2009, the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the dismissals and in October 2009, the plaintiff's appeal to the United States Supreme Court was denied.

Similar allegations were filed in a set of actions initiated in 1999 in *Will Price, et al. v. Gas Pipelines and Their Predecessors, et al.*, in the District Court of Stevens County, Kansas. The plaintiffs seek certification of a class of royalty owners in wells on non-federal and non-Native American lands in Kansas, Wyoming and Colorado. The plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of monetary damages in the form of additional royalty payments (along with interest, expenses and punitive damages) and injunctive relief with regard to future gas measurement practices. In September 2009, the court denied the motions for class certification. The plaintiffs have filed a motion for reconsideration. Our costs and legal exposure related to these lawsuits and claims are not currently determinable.

MTBE. Certain of our subsidiaries used, produced, sold or distributed methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) as a gasoline additive. Various lawsuits were filed throughout the U.S. regarding the potential impact of MTBE on water supplies. The lawsuits have been brought by different parties, including state attorney generals, water districts and individual water companies. They have sought different remedies, including remedial activities, damages, attorneys fees and costs. These cases were initially consolidated for pre-trial purposes in multi-district litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Several cases were later remanded to state court. In 2008, we settled 59 of these lawsuits. The settlement payments were covered by insurance. Additionally, in July 2009, we settled an additional case which our insurance covered. Following dismissal of the settled cases we have 32 lawsuits that remain. Although there have been settlement discussions with other plaintiffs, such discussions have been unsuccessful to date. While the damages claimed in the remaining actions are substantial, there remains significant legal uncertainty regarding the validity of the causes of action asserted and the availability of the relief sought. We have or will tender these remaining cases to our insurers. It is likely that our insurers will assert denial of coverage on the 12 most-recently filed cases. Our costs and legal exposure related to these remaining lawsuits are not currently determinable.

In addition to the above proceedings, we and our subsidiaries and affiliates are named defendants in numerous lawsuits and governmental proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of our business. There are also other regulatory rules and orders in various stages of adoption, review and/or implementation. For each of these matters, we evaluate the merits of the case or claim, our exposure to the matter, possible legal or settlement strategies and the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome. If we determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and can be estimated, we establish the necessary accruals. While the outcome of these matters, including those discussed above, cannot be predicted with certainty, and there are still uncertainties related to the costs we may incur, based upon our evaluation and experience to date, we believe we have established appropriate reserves for these matters. It is possible, however, that new information or future developments could require us to reassess our potential exposure related to these matters and adjust our accruals accordingly, and these adjustments could be material. As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$55 million accrued for our outstanding legal and governmental proceedings.

Table of Contents*Rates and Regulatory Matters*

EPNG Rate Case. In June 2008, El Paso Natural Gas Company (EPNG) filed a rate case with the FERC as required under the settlement of its previous rate case. The filing proposed an increase in EPNG's base tariff rates. In August 2008, the FERC issued an order accepting the proposed rates effective January 1, 2009, subject to refund and the outcome of a hearing and a technical conference. The FERC issued an order in December 2008 that generally accepted most of EPNG's proposals in the technical conference proceeding. The FERC has appointed an administrative law judge to preside over a hearing if EPNG is unable to reach a negotiated settlement with its customers on the remaining issues. The hearing is currently scheduled to begin in early January 2010. The outcome of the hearing is not currently determinable.

SNG Rate Case. In March 2009, Southern Natural Gas Company (SNG) filed a rate case with the FERC as permitted under the settlement of its previous rate case. The filing proposed an increase in SNG's base tariff rates. In April 2009, the FERC issued an order accepting the proposed rates effective September 1, 2009, subject to refund pending the outcome of a hearing. On October 5, 2009, SNG filed with the FERC a settlement of the rate case. The settlement resolved all issues set for hearing and was supported by the FERC Staff and not opposed by the participants associated with the rate case. On October 20, 2009, the Administrative Law Judge assigned to the case certified that the settlement was uncontested. Under the terms of the settlement SNG, (i) increased its base tariff rates, (ii) implemented a volume tracker for gas used in operations, (iii) agreed to file its next general rate case to be effective no earlier than September 1, 2012 and no later than September 1, 2013, and (iv) the vast majority of SNG's firm transportation contracts expiring prior to September 1, 2013 will be extended until August 31, 2013. SNG expects the FERC to approve the settlement in early 2010.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. On October 3, 2007, the Minerals Management Service (MMS) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking that is applicable to pipelines located in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). If adopted, the proposed rules would substantially revise MMS OCS pipeline and rights-of-way regulations. The proposed rules would have the effect of (i) increasing the financial obligations of entities which have pipelines and pipeline rights-of-way in the OCS, (ii) increasing the regulatory requirements imposed on the operation and maintenance of existing pipelines and rights-of-way in the OCS, and (iii) increasing the requirements and preconditions for obtaining new rights-of-way in the OCS.

Other Matter

Navajo Nation. In March 2009, representatives of the Navajo Nation and EPNG executed a final agreement setting forth the full terms and conditions of the Navajo Nation's consent to EPNG's rights-of-way through the Navajo Nation. EPNG submitted the Navajo Nation's consent agreement in support of EPNG's pending application to the United States Department of the Interior (the Department) for an extension of the Department's current right-of-way grant. We expect the submission will result in the Department's final processing of our application. EPNG has filed with the FERC for recovery of payments under rights-of-way in its recent rate case.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control. These laws and regulations require us to remove or remedy the effect on the environment of the disposal or release of specified substances at current and former operating sites. At September 30, 2009, we had accrued approximately \$195 million for environmental matters, which has not been reduced by \$24 million for amounts to be paid directly under government sponsored programs or through settlement arrangements. Our accrual includes approximately \$190 million for expected remediation costs and associated onsite, offsite and groundwater technical studies and approximately \$5 million for related environmental legal costs. Of the \$195 million accrual, \$15 million was reserved for facilities we currently operate and \$180 million was reserved for non-operating sites (facilities that are shut down or have been sold) and Superfund sites.

Table of Contents

Our estimates of potential liability range from approximately \$195 million to approximately \$385 million. Our accrual represents a combination of two estimation methodologies. First, where the most likely outcome can be reasonably estimated, that cost has been accrued (\$10 million). Second, where the most likely outcome cannot be estimated, a range of costs is established (\$185 million to \$375 million) and if no one amount in that range is more likely than any other, the lower end of the expected range has been accrued. Our environmental remediation projects are in various stages of completion. Our recorded liabilities reflect our current estimates of amounts we will expend to remediate these sites. However, depending on the stage of completion or assessment, the ultimate extent of contamination or remediation required may not be known. As additional assessments occur or remediation efforts continue, we may incur additional liabilities. By type of site, our reserves are based on the following estimates of reasonably possible outcomes:

Sites	September 30, 2009	
	Expected	High
	(In millions)	
Operating	\$ 15	\$ 21
Non-operating	164	325
Superfund	16	39
Total	\$ 195	\$ 385

Below is a reconciliation of our accrued liability from January 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009 (in millions):

Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$ 204
Additions/adjustments for remediation activities	21
Payments for remediation activities	(30)
Balance as of September 30, 2009	\$ 195

For the remainder of 2009, we estimate that our total remediation expenditures will be approximately \$18 million, most of which will be expended under government directed clean-up plans. In addition, we expect to make capital expenditures for environmental matters of approximately \$8 million in the aggregate for the years 2009 through 2013. These expenditures primarily relate to compliance with clean air regulations.

CERCLA Matters. As part of our environmental remediation projects, we have received notice that we could be designated, or have been asked for information to determine whether we could be designated, as a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) with respect to 31 active sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) or state equivalents. We have sought to resolve our liability as a PRP at these sites through indemnification by third parties and settlements, which provide for payment of our allocable share of remediation costs. Because the clean-up costs are estimates and are subject to revision as more information becomes available about the extent of remediation required, and in some cases we have asserted a defense to any liability, our estimates could change. Moreover, liability under the federal CERCLA statute is joint and several, meaning that we could be required to pay in excess of our pro rata share of remediation costs. Our understanding of the financial strength of other PRPs has been considered, where appropriate, in estimating our liabilities. Accruals for these issues are included in the previously indicated estimates for Superfund sites.

It is possible that new information or future developments could require us to reassess our potential exposure related to environmental matters. We may incur significant costs and liabilities in order to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. It is also possible that other developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws, regulations and orders of regulatory agencies, as well as claims for damages to property and the environment or injuries to employees and other persons resulting from our current or past operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities in the future. As this information becomes available, or other relevant developments

occur, we will adjust our accrual amounts accordingly. While there are still uncertainties related to the ultimate costs we may incur, based upon our evaluation and experience to date, we believe our reserves are adequate.

Guarantees and Other Contractual Commitments

Guarantees and Indemnifications. We are involved in various joint ventures and other ownership arrangements that sometimes require financial and performance guarantees. In a financial guarantee, we are obligated to make payments if the guaranteed party fails to make payments under, or violates the terms of, the financial arrangement. In a performance guarantee, we provide assurance that the guaranteed party will execute on the terms of the contract. If they do not, we are required to perform on their behalf. We also periodically provide indemnification arrangements related to assets or businesses we have sold. These arrangements include, but are not limited to, indemnifications for income taxes, the resolution of existing disputes and environmental matters.

Table of Contents

Our potential exposure under guarantee and indemnification agreements can range from a specified amount to an unlimited dollar amount, depending on the nature of the claim and the particular transaction. While many of these agreements may specify a maximum potential exposure, or a specified duration to the indemnification obligation, there are circumstances where the amount and duration are unlimited. For those arrangements with a specified dollar amount, we have a maximum stated value of approximately \$804 million, which primarily relates to indemnification arrangements associated with the sale of ANR Pipeline Company in 2007, our Macae power facility in Brazil, and other legacy assets. These amounts exclude guarantees for which we have issued related letters of credit discussed in Note 8. Included in the above maximum stated value are certain indemnification agreements that have expired; however, claims were made prior to the expiration of the related claim periods. We are unable to estimate a maximum exposure of our guarantee and indemnification agreements that do not provide for limits on the amount of future payments due to the uncertainty of these exposures.

As of September 30, 2009, we have recorded obligations of \$54 million related to our guarantee and indemnification arrangements. Our liability consists primarily of an indemnification that one of our subsidiaries provided related to its sale of an ammonia facility that is reflected in our financial statements at its estimated fair value. We have provided a partial parental guarantee of our subsidiary's obligations under this indemnification. We believe that our guarantee and indemnification agreements for which we have not recorded a liability are not probable of resulting in future losses based on our assessment of the nature of the guarantee, the financial condition of the guaranteed party and the period of time that the guarantee has been outstanding, among other considerations.

Commitments and Other Matters. During the second quarter of 2009, TGP filed an amendment to a 1995 FERC settlement that, if approved by the FERC, would provide for interim refunds to its customers of approximately \$157 million of amounts collected related to certain environmental costs. These refunds are recorded as other current and non-current liabilities on our balance sheet and are expected to be paid over a three year period with interest commencing within 20 days after the FERC's order becomes final.

Purchase Obligations. During 2009, we entered into additional contracts to purchase and install approximately \$0.4 billion of pipe primarily associated with the Ruby pipeline project and TGP's 300 Line expansion which are anticipated to be placed in service between 2010 and 2011.

10. Retirement Benefits

Net Benefit Cost (Income). The components of net benefit cost (income) for our pension and postretirement benefit plans for the periods ended September 30 are as follows:

	Quarters Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits		Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In millions)							
Service cost	\$ 6	\$ 4	\$	\$	\$ 14	\$ 11	\$	\$
Interest cost	31	30	10	10	91	90	29	27
Expected return on plan assets	(43)	(47)	(3)	(4)	(129)	(140)	(9)	(12)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)	12	6		(1)	34	18		(3)
Amortization of prior service credit	(1)	(1)	(1)		(1)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Net benefit cost (income)	\$ 5	\$ (8)	\$ 6	\$ 5	\$ 9	\$ (23)	\$ 19	\$ 11

Other Matter. In various court rulings prior to March 2008, we were required to indemnify Case for certain benefits paid to a closed group of Case retirees as further discussed in Note 9. In conjunction with those rulings, we recorded a liability for estimated amounts due under the indemnification using actuarial methods similar to those used in estimating our postretirement benefit plan obligations.

In March 2008, we received a summary judgment from the trial court on this matter, and thus became the primary party that is obligated to pay these benefit payments. As a result of the judgment, we adjusted our obligation using current actuarial assumptions and recorded a \$65 million reduction to operation and maintenance expense. We also reclassified this obligation from an indemnification liability to a postretirement benefit obligation.

Table of Contents**11. Equity**

Common and Preferred Stock Dividends. The table below shows the amount of dividends paid and declared (dollars in millions, except per share amount):

	Common Stock⁽¹⁾	Convertible Preferred Stock (4.99%/Year)
Amount paid through September 30, 2009	\$ 105	\$ 28
Amount paid in October 2009	\$ 34	\$ 9
Dividends declared subsequent to September 30, 2009:		
Date of declaration	November 3, 2009	November 3, 2009
Payable to shareholders on record	December 4, 2009	December 15, 2009
Date payable	January 4, 2010	January 4, 2010

(1) Common stock dividends were paid at \$0.05 per share through October 2009. As recently announced, we have reduced our common stock dividends to \$0.01 per share beginning with our November 2009 dividend declaration.

Dividends on our common and preferred stock are treated as a reduction of additional paid-in-capital since we currently have an accumulated deficit. For the fourth quarter of 2009, we expect dividends paid on our common and preferred stock will be taxable to our stockholders because we anticipate that these dividends will be paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits for tax purposes.

The terms of our 750,000 outstanding shares of 4.99% convertible preferred stock provide for the conversion ratio on our preferred stock to increase when we pay quarterly dividends to our common shareholders in excess of \$0.04 per share, as we did for all dividends paid during 2009. The terms of these preferred shares also prohibit the payment of dividends on our common stock unless we have paid or set aside for payment all accumulated and unpaid dividends on such preferred stock for all preceding dividend periods. In addition, although our credit facilities do not contain any direct restriction on the payment of dividends, dividends are included as a fixed charge in the calculation of our fixed charge coverage ratio under our credit facilities. If we are unable to comply with our fixed charge coverage ratio, our ability to pay additional dividends would be restricted.

Noncontrolling Interests. During 2009, our subsidiary EPB, a master limited partnership, issued 12.7 million common units for net proceeds of \$212 million. Our ownership interest in EPB decreased from 74 percent to

67 percent as a result of the EPB equity offering. EPB makes quarterly distributions of available cash to its unitholders in accordance with its partnership agreement.

In July 2009, EPB acquired an additional 18 percent interest in one of our consolidated subsidiaries, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), for \$215 million. As a result of this acquisition, EPB now owns a 58 percent interest in CIG, a 25 percent interest in SNG and a 100 percent interest in Wyoming Interstate Company (WIC).

12. Business Segment Information

As of September 30, 2009, our business consists of two core segments, Pipelines and Exploration and Production. We also have Marketing and Power segments. Our segments are strategic business units that provide a variety of energy products and services. They are managed separately as each segment requires different technology and marketing strategies. Our corporate activities include our general and administrative functions, as well as other miscellaneous businesses and various other contracts and assets, all of which are immaterial. A further discussion of each segment follows.

Pipelines. Provides natural gas transmission, storage, and related services, primarily in the United States. As of September 30, 2009, we conducted our activities primarily through seven wholly or majority owned interstate pipeline systems and equity interests in four transmission systems. In addition to the storage capacity in our wholly and majority owned pipelines systems, we also own or have interests in two underground natural gas storage facilities and two LNG terminalling facilities, one of which is under construction.

Exploration and Production. Engaged in the exploration for and the acquisition, development and production of natural gas, oil and NGL, in the United States, Brazil and Egypt.

Marketing. Markets and manages the price risks associated with our natural gas and oil production as well as manages our remaining legacy trading portfolio.

Power. Manages the risks associated with our remaining international power and pipeline assets and investments located primarily in South America and Asia. We continue to pursue the sale of these assets.

Table of Contents

Our management uses earnings before interest expense and income taxes (EBIT) as a measure to assess the operating results and effectiveness of our business segments which consist of both consolidated businesses and investments in unconsolidated affiliates. We believe EBIT is useful to our investors because it allows them to evaluate more effectively the operating performance using the same performance measure analyzed internally by our management. We define EBIT as net income (loss) adjusted for items such as (i) interest and debt expense (ii) income taxes and (iii) net income attributable to noncontrolling interests so that our investors may evaluate our operating results without regard to our financing methods or capital structure. EBIT may not be comparable to measures used by other companies. Additionally, EBIT should be considered in conjunction with net income (loss), income (loss) before income taxes and other performance measures such as operating income or operating cash flows. Below is a reconciliation of our EBIT to our net income (loss) for the periods ended September 30:

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In millions)			
Segment EBIT	\$ 378	\$ 886	\$ (478)	\$ 1,905
Corporate and other	(20)	(5)	4	75
Interest and debt expense	(256)	(221)	(764)	(675)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(35)	(215)	425	(450)
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	67	445	(813)	855
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	15	7	38	23
Net income (loss)	\$ 82	\$ 452	\$ (775)	\$ 878

The following table reflects our segment results for the periods ended September 30:

	Segments					Total
	Pipelines	Exploration and Production	Marketing	Power	Corporate and Other⁽¹⁾	
	(In millions)					
Quarter Ended						
September 30, 2009						
Revenue from external customers	\$656	\$ 218 ⁽²⁾	\$ 107	\$	\$	\$ 981
Intersegment revenue	11	125 ⁽²⁾	(133)		(3)	
Operation and maintenance	209	107	2	5	23	346
Ceiling test charges		5				5
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	104	93			3	200
Earnings (losses) from unconsolidated affiliates	27	(7)		(10)	1	11
EBIT	326	88	(28)	(8)	(20)	358
Quarter Ended						
September 30, 2008						
	\$615	\$ 528 ⁽²⁾	\$ 450	\$	\$ 5	\$1,598

Revenue from external customers						
Intersegment revenue	13	353 ⁽²⁾	(361)		(5)	
Operation and maintenance	223	89	7	4	5	328
Ceiling test charges		1				1
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	97	191			4	292
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	28	10		12	2	52
EBIT	278	532	82	(6)	(5)	881

(1) Includes eliminations of intercompany transactions. Our intersegment revenues, along with our intersegment operating expenses, were incurred in the normal course of business between our operating segments. During the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded an intersegment revenue elimination of \$3 million and \$5 million in the Corporate and Other column to remove intersegment transactions.

(2) Revenues from external customers include gains of \$87 million and \$158 million for the quarters

ended
September 30,
2009 and 2008
related to our
hedging of price
risk associated
with our natural
gas and oil
production.
Intersegment
revenues
represent sales
to our
Marketing
segment, which
is responsible
for marketing
our production
to third parties.

Table of Contents

	Segments				Corporate and Other⁽¹⁾	Total
	Pipelines	Exploration and Production	Marketing	Power		
	(In millions)					
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009						
Revenue from external customers	\$2,016	\$ 977 ⁽²⁾	\$ 443	\$	\$ 2	\$3,438
Intersegment revenue	34	375 ⁽²⁾	(401)		(8)	
Operation and maintenance	587	306	7	11	(1)	910
Ceiling test charges		2,085				2,085
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	310	334			9	653
Earnings (losses) from unconsolidated affiliates	73	(29)		(5)	3	42
EBIT	1,049	(1,536)	34	(25)	4	(474)
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008						
Revenue from external customers	\$1,954	\$ 856 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,194	\$	\$ 16	\$4,020
Intersegment revenue	40	1,283 ⁽²⁾	(1,308)		(15)	
Operation and maintenance	623	295	17	13	(74)	874
Ceiling test charges		8				8
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	295	600			8	903
Earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	74	36		28	3	141
EBIT	954	1,078	(131)	4	75	1,980

(1) Includes eliminations of intercompany transactions. Our intersegment revenues, along with our intersegment operating expenses, were incurred in the normal course of business between our operating segments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, we recorded an intersegment

revenue
elimination of
\$8 million and
\$16 million in
the Corporate
and Other
column to
remove
intersegment
transactions.

- (2) Revenues from external customers include gains of \$536 million and losses of \$45 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 related to our hedging of price risk associated with our natural gas and oil production. Intersegment revenues represent sales to our Marketing segment, which is responsible for marketing our production to third parties.

Total assets by segment are presented below:

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(In millions)	
Pipelines	\$ 16,897	\$ 15,121
Exploration and Production	3,904	6,142
Marketing	260	465
Power	207	417
Total segment assets	21,268	22,145
Corporate and Other	889	1,523

Total consolidated assets	\$ 22,157	\$	23,668
---------------------------	-----------	----	--------

Table of Contents**13. Variable Interest Entities and Qualifying Special Purpose Entities***Variable Interest Entities*

We have an investment in Ruby Pipeline Holding Company L.L.C. (Ruby), a variable interest entity that owns our Ruby pipeline project which has approximately \$0.4 billion of net property, plant and equipment as of September 30, 2009. We consolidate Ruby as its primary beneficiary based on the conditions discussed below. During the third quarter of 2009, we entered into an agreement with several infrastructure funds managed by Global Infrastructure Partners (GIP), whereby it will invest up to \$700 million and acquire a 50 percent interest in Ruby. As part of this agreement, GIP entered into a loan commitment to provide project funding of \$405 million to Ruby, which will be converted into a preferred equity interest in Ruby upon satisfaction of certain conditions. As of September 30, 2009, \$157 million has been borrowed under this loan commitment.

In October 2009, GIP contributed \$145 million to Ruby and received a convertible preferred equity interest in Ruby that was simultaneously exchanged for a convertible preferred equity interest in a holding company of Cheyenne Plains Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Cheyenne Plains). GIP will hold this interest in Cheyenne Plains until certain conditions are satisfied including placing the Ruby pipeline project in-service. GIP is committed to contribute up to an additional \$150 million of preferred equity contributions to Ruby under the conditions that all Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approvals for construction of the project are obtained and third party financing of approximately \$1.4 billion is secured by Ruby by December 2010. GIP will have the right to convert its preferred equity to common equity in Ruby at any time. However, the preferred equity is subject to a mandatory conversion to common equity in Ruby upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, including Ruby entering into additional firm transportation agreements.

If all conditions to closing are satisfied or waived, at the time of project completion, GIP would own a 50 percent equity interest in Ruby and all ownership in Cheyenne Plains would be transferred back to us. However, the GIP preferred equity interests in Ruby and Cheyenne Plains, along with amounts borrowed under GIP's loan commitment to Ruby, must be repaid in cash to GIP if (i) all FERC approvals for construction of the Ruby pipeline project are not obtained by December 2010, (ii) third party financing of approximately \$1.4 billion is not secured by Ruby by December 2010 or (iii) the Ruby pipeline project is not placed in-service within 16 months of obtaining all FERC approvals. Additionally, if the financings are not completed, GIP has the option to convert its preferred interest in Cheyenne Plains to a 50 percent common interest in Cheyenne Plains. Our obligation to repay these amounts is secured by our equity interests in Ruby, Cheyenne Plains, and a portion of approximately 55 million common units we own in our master limited partnership (MLP), El Paso Pipeline Partners, LP.

We hold interests in other variable interest entities that we account for as investments in unconsolidated affiliates. These entities do not have significant operations and accordingly do not have a material impact to our financial statements.

Qualifying Special Purpose Entities

Accounts Receivable Sales Program. Several of our pipeline subsidiaries have agreements to sell certain accounts receivable to qualifying special-purpose entities (QSPEs) whose purpose is solely to invest in our pipeline receivables, which are short-term assets that generally settle within 60 days. During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we received net proceeds of approximately \$0.4 billion and \$1.4 billion related to sales of receivables to the QSPEs and changes in our subordinated beneficial interests, and recognized losses of approximately \$1 million on these transactions. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$152 million and \$174 million of receivables outstanding with the QSPEs, for which we received cash of \$83 million and \$82 million and received subordinated beneficial interests of approximately \$68 million and \$89 million. The QSPEs also issued senior beneficial interests on the receivables sold to a third party financial institution, which totaled \$84 million and \$85 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. We reflect the subordinated beneficial interest in receivables sold at their fair value on the date they are issued. These amounts (adjusted for subsequent collections) are recorded as accounts receivable from affiliates on our balance sheet. Our ability to recover the carrying value of our subordinated beneficial interests is based on the collectibility of the underlying receivables sold to the QSPEs. We reflect accounts receivable sold under this program and changes in the subordinated beneficial interests as operating cash flows in our statement of cash flows. Under the agreements, we earn a fee for servicing the

accounts receivable and performing all administrative duties for the QSPEs which is reflected as a reduction of operation and maintenance expense in our income statement. The fair value of these servicing and administrative agreements as well as the fees earned were not material to our financial statements for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents**14. Investments in, Earnings from and Transactions with Unconsolidated Affiliates**

We hold investments in unconsolidated affiliates which are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The earnings from unconsolidated affiliates reflected in our income statement include (i) our share of net earnings directly attributable to these unconsolidated affiliates, and (ii) any impairments and other adjustments recorded by us. The information below related to our unconsolidated affiliates includes (i) our net investment and earnings (losses) we recorded from these investments, (ii) summarized financial information of our proportionate share of these investments, and (iii) revenues and charges with our unconsolidated affiliates.

	Investment		Earnings (Losses) from Unconsolidated Affiliates			
	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
			September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
	(In millions)		(In millions)			
<i>Net Investment and Earnings (Losses)</i>						
Four Star ⁽¹⁾	\$ 465	\$ 525	\$ (7)	\$ 10	\$ (29)	\$ 36
Citrus	619	564	20	20	54	52
Gulf LNG ⁽²⁾	282	279	(1)		(2)	
Gasoductos de Chihuahua	177	174	5	8	17	21
Porto Velho ⁽³⁾		(64)				
Bolivia-to-Brazil Pipeline	99	119	(6)	9	(7)	15
Argentina to Chile Pipeline ⁽⁴⁾		27		2	4	5
Other	63	79		3	5	12
Total	\$ 1,705	\$ 1,703	\$ 11	\$ 52	\$ 42	\$ 141

⁽¹⁾ Amortization of our purchase cost in excess of the underlying net assets of Four Star was \$12 million and \$13 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 and \$37 million and \$40 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

- (2) In February 2008, we acquired a 50 percent interest in Gulf LNG. See Note 2. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had outstanding advances and receivables of \$49 million and \$26 million, not included above, related to our investment in Gulf LNG.
- (3) As of December 31, 2008, we had outstanding advances and receivables of \$242 million, not included above, related to our investment in Porto Velho. During 2009, we completed the sale of our investment in and receivables from Porto Velho as further discussed in Note 2, Acquisitions and Divestitures.
- (4) In June 2009, we completed the sale of our investment in the Argentina to Chile Pipeline

as further
discussed in
Note 2,
Acquisitions
and
Divestitures.

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008

(In millions)

Summarized Financial Information

Operating results data:

Operating revenues	\$ 124	\$ 196	\$ 382	\$ 576
Operating expenses	58	81	195	258
Income from continuing operations and net income	34	64	93	186

As of December 31, 2008, approximately \$433 million of the equity in undistributed earnings of 50 percent or less owned entities accounted for by the equity method was included in our consolidated accumulated deficit. We received distributions and dividends from our unconsolidated affiliates of \$25 million and \$48 million for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 and \$61 million and \$129 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Included in these amounts are returns of capital of \$1 million and \$2 million for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and returns of capital of less than \$1 million for the same periods in 2008. Our revenues and charges with unconsolidated affiliates were not material during the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

Other Investment-Related Matters

Manaus/Rio Negro. In 2008, we transferred our ownership in the Manaus and Rio Negro facilities to the plants power purchaser as required by their power purchase agreements. As of September 30, 2009, we have approximately \$65 million of Brazilian reais-denominated accounts receivable owed to us under the projects' terminated power purchase agreements, which are guaranteed by the purchaser's parent. The purchaser has withheld payment of these receivables in light of their Brazilian reais-denominated claims of approximately \$63 million related to plant maintenance the purchaser claims should have been performed at the plants prior to the transfer, inventory levels and other items. The purchaser's parent has also withheld payment of these receivables under its guarantee in light of these claims. We have initiated legal action against the purchaser's parent for their failure to pay us under the performance guaranty, and the purchaser's parent has filed motions with the Brazilian courts to have the power purchaser added as a defendant to that litigation. Settlement discussions with the purchaser and its parent have been unsuccessful to date, and we currently anticipate that resolution of each of these matters will likely occur through the legal proceedings in the Brazilian courts. We have reviewed our obligations under the power purchase agreement in relation to the claims and have accrued an obligation for the uncontested claims. We believe the remaining contested claims are without merit. The ultimate resolution of each of these matters is unknown at this time, and adverse developments related to either our ability to collect amounts due to us or related to the dispute could require us to record additional losses in the future.

During 2009, the Brazilian taxing authorities began legal proceedings against the Manaus and Rio Negro projects for \$65 million of Brazilian reais-denominated ICMS taxes allegedly due on capacity payments received from the plants' power purchaser from 1999 to 2001 and secured a court order prohibiting our subsidiaries from transferring or otherwise disposing of any assets. We believe that these ICMS tax assessments on the projects are without merit. By agreement, the power purchaser must indemnify the Manaus and Rio Negro projects for these ICMS taxes, along with related interest and penalties, and has therefore been defending the projects against this lawsuit. In order to continue its defense of this matter, the power purchaser is required to provide security for the potential tax liability to the court's satisfaction. The power purchaser offered to pledge certain assets, but this offer was rejected by the tax authorities and the court. The power purchaser has appealed the court's decision. If the power purchaser is unable to resolve this tax matter, any potential taxes owed by the Manaus and Rio Negro projects are also guaranteed by the purchaser's parent.

Bolivia-to-Brazil. We own an 8 percent interest in the Bolivia-to-Brazil pipeline. As of September 30, 2009, our total investment and guarantees related to this pipeline project was approximately \$112 million. We continue to monitor and evaluate the potential impact that regional and political events in Bolivia could have on our investment in this pipeline project, as further discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As new information becomes available or future material developments arise, we may be required to record an impairment of our investment.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The information contained in Item 2 updates, and you should read it in conjunction with, information disclosed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the financial statements and notes presented in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview and Outlook

During the first nine months of 2009, both our pipeline and exploration and production operations continued to provide a strong base of earnings and significant operating cash flow. In late 2008, we outlined our plan to respond to the volatility in the financial markets, energy industry and the global economy while retaining our long-term growth potential comprised of our committed pipeline project backlog and our core domestic and international drilling programs, as well as our natural gas and oil resource positions. Since that time we have executed on that plan by securing significant financing for our pipeline backlog, entering into a partnering agreement on our Ruby pipeline project, and managing our exposure to a volatile commodity price environment through an expanded hedging program, among other actions. We believe that the stability of our pipeline earnings coupled with the hedging program in our exploration and production business, will continue to protect our earnings base and operating cash flow despite economic conditions and the current commodity price environment.

In our pipeline business, approximately three-fourths of the revenues are collected in the form of demand or reservation charges which are not dependent upon commodity prices or throughput levels. We continue to grow our pipeline business through expansions of our existing pipeline systems, as well as greenfield projects. During 2009, we have placed four growth projects in-service. In addition, our backlog of growth projects at September 30, 2009, is approximately \$6 billion (net to our ownership interest) of which we have spent approximately \$2 billion inception-to-date on these projects. We expect to place these projects in-service over the next several years. We have significantly mitigated the risk associated with our remaining backlog by (i) entering into an agreement with several infrastructure funds managed by GIP, whereby it will invest up to \$700 million in our Ruby pipeline project (ii) subscribing approximately 90 percent of the capacity of our aggregate backlog under contract terms of 10-30 years primarily with investment-grade customers and (iii) purchasing or committing to purchase steel at fixed prices for all of our largest projects as well as contracting for a significant portion of the construction costs. Finally, we remain focused on growing our MLP.

In our exploration and production business, we continued to generate significant positive operating cash flow during the quarter despite a lower level of drilling activity, lower commodity prices and a reduction in capital spending in 2009. Although it impacts our near-term growth profile, the reductions in our 2009 capital program have been managed to retain substantially all of our existing natural gas and oil resource positions for future exploration and production when commodity prices return to more favorable levels. The derivatives we have in place related to our 2009-2011 production provide significant downside protection to sustain us through the current commodity price environment while still allowing upside potential should prices recover. As of September 30, 2009, we had 40 TBtu of natural gas hedges with an average floor price of \$9.02 per MMBtu, 32 TBtu of natural gas hedges with an average ceiling price of \$14.35 per MMBtu and 727 MBbls of crude oil swaps at \$56.48 per barrel on our remaining anticipated 2009 production. During the first nine months of 2009, we settled all of our \$110.00 per barrel 2009 fixed price oil swaps, receiving approximately \$186 million in cash. Due to lower natural gas prices at the end of the first quarter of 2009, we recorded approximately \$2.1 billion of non-cash ceiling test charges, primarily in our domestic full cost pool, which significantly impacted our earnings for 2009. If commodity prices decrease from the September 30, 2009 levels, we may be required to record additional ceiling test charges in the future. Throughout 2009 we have also implemented numerous cost saving measures including additional cost reductions in our capital and maintenance programs by renegotiating contracts with contractors, suppliers, and service providers, and deferring or eliminating various discretionary costs.

As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$2.4 billion of available liquidity (see additional discussion in *Liquidity and Capital Resources*). Our 2009 capital program is estimated to total approximately \$3.1 billion, \$2 billion of which relates to our pipeline business and approximately \$1 billion relates to our exploration and production business. We expect to invest approximately \$1 billion of capital during the last quarter of 2009. Our remaining debt maturities in 2009 are not material and in 2010 we have approximately \$250 million of debt (excluding Ruby debt

which we anticipate will convert into Ruby preferred equity) that will mature.

Table of Contents

Although the financial and commodity markets have shown signs of improvement, they remain volatile. We currently expect that the volatility in the financial markets and commodity markets will continue for the fourth quarter of 2009 and beyond. In light of this continued volatility, we recently announced additional steps we are taking to further improve our financial flexibility to fund our core businesses. These steps include:

A reduction of \$150 million in annual operating and administrative expenses achieved primarily by reducing internal costs and improving efficiencies from leveraging a consolidated supply chain organization. We expect to achieve a portion of our overall projected savings associated with these measures beginning in 2009. In conjunction with the efforts, we also estimate that we will incur approximately \$25 million to \$30 million in one-time reorganization costs primarily in 2009;

The sale of \$300 million to \$500 million of assets during 2010; and

A reduction in our quarterly dividend from \$0.05 per share to \$0.01 per share, which will result in annual cash savings of approximately \$112 million.

The additional steps we are taking to further improve our financial flexibility to fund our core businesses are designed to (i) provide incremental funding for our 2010 capital programs focused on our pipeline backlog of growth opportunities and unconventional natural gas drilling inventory in our exploration and production business, (ii) improve our overall cost structure, (iii) protect our credit profile and (iv) enhance our returns.

We currently expect that the 2010 capital budget for our exploration and production business will be comparable with our 2009 total spending level, with approximately one-half of the capital program targeted for our Haynesville, Altamont and Eagle Ford areas. In our pipeline business, we currently estimate that the 2010 capital budget will increase from our 2009 capital program, primarily due to the anticipated construction of our Ruby pipeline project. For reporting purposes, during the construction phase, Ruby is consolidated; however after the pipeline is placed in-service, Ruby will be reported as an equity investment.

In October 2009, we announced our re-entry into the midstream business where we believe that the movement to more unconventional supply basins will present future opportunities. In addition, we believe that we may have unique organic growth opportunities where we can leverage our existing competencies and the existing footprints of our pipeline and exploration and production businesses. We intend to re-enter the business at a measured pace, consistent with our overall liquidity and capital constraints.

We will continue to have additional funding requirements for our capital program in 2010 and will be opportunistic in accessing the capital markets. We will also continue to assess and take further actions where warranted to meet our objectives, as well as to address further changes in the financial and commodity markets which may include limited access to the capital markets during certain periods and commodity prices lower than current forecasts.

Table of Contents**Segment Results**

We have two core operating business segments, Pipelines and Exploration and Production. We also have a Marketing segment that markets our natural gas and oil production and manages our legacy trading activities and a Power segment that has remaining interests in power and pipeline assets in South America and Asia. Our segments are managed separately, provide a variety of energy products and services, and require different technology and marketing strategies. Our corporate activities include our general and administrative functions, as well as other miscellaneous businesses, contracts and assets all of which are immaterial.

Our management uses earnings before interest expense and income taxes (EBIT) as a measure to assess the operating results and effectiveness of our business segments, which consist of both consolidated businesses and investments in unconsolidated affiliates. We believe EBIT is useful to our investors because it allows them to evaluate more effectively our operating performance using the same performance measure analyzed internally by our management. We define EBIT as net income (loss) adjusted for items such as (i) interest and debt expense, (ii) income taxes and (iii) net income attributable to noncontrolling interests so that our investors may evaluate our operating results without regard to our financing methods or capital structure. EBIT may not be comparable to measures used by other companies. Additionally, EBIT should be considered in conjunction with net income (loss), income (loss) before income taxes and other performance measures such as operating income or operating cash flows.

Below is a reconciliation of our EBIT (by segment) to our consolidated net income (loss) for the periods ended September 30:

<i>Segment</i>	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In millions)			
Pipelines	\$ 326	\$ 278	\$ 1,049	\$ 954
Exploration and Production	88	532	(1,536)	1,078
Marketing	(28)	82	34	(131)
Power	(8)	(6)	(25)	4
Segment EBIT	378	886	(478)	1,905
Corporate and other	(20)	(5)	4	75
Consolidated EBIT	358	881	(474)	1,980
Interest and debt expense	(256)	(221)	(764)	(675)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(35)	(215)	425	(450)
Net income (loss) attributable to El Paso Corporation	67	445	(813)	855
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	15	7	38	23
Net income (loss)	\$ 82	\$ 452	\$ (775)	\$ 878

Table of Contents**Pipelines Segment**

Overview and Operating Results. During the first nine months of 2009, we continued to deliver strong operational and financial performance in our Pipelines segment. Our EBIT for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009 increased 17 percent and 10 percent from the same periods for 2008. In the first nine months of 2009, we benefited from several expansion projects placed in service in 2008 and 2009, stronger revenues due to increased re-contracting and marketing efforts, higher volumes of gas not used in operations and effective cost control. Below are the operating results for our Pipelines segment as well as a discussion of factors impacting EBIT for the periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, or that could potentially impact EBIT in future periods.

	Quarters Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
	(In millions, except for volumes)			
Operating revenues	\$ 667	\$ 628	\$ 2,050	\$ 1,994
Operating expenses	(373)	(387)	(1,104)	(1,133)
Operating income	294	241	946	861
Other income, net	47	44	141	117
EBIT before adjustment for noncontrolling interests	341	285	1,087	978
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(15)	(7)	(38)	(24)
EBIT	\$ 326	\$ 278	\$ 1,049	\$ 954
Throughput volumes (BBtu/d) ⁽¹⁾	17,757	18,905	18,460	18,736

(1) Throughput volumes include our proportionate share of unconsolidated affiliates and exclude intrasegment activities.

	Quarter Ended September 30, 2009				Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009			
	Variance				Variance			
	Operating Revenue	Operating Expense	Other	EBIT Impact	Operating Revenue	Operating Expense	Other	EBIT Impact
	Favorable/(Unfavorable)							
	(In millions)							
Expansions	\$ 30	\$ (6)	\$ 9	\$ 33	\$ 73	\$ (16)	\$ 30	\$ 87
Reservation and usage revenues	(4)			(4)	22			22
Gas not used in operations and	8	13		21	6	23		29

revaluations									
Bankruptcy proceeds	(1)	(1)	(2)	(45)	(2)			(47)	
Loss on long-lived assets		(2)	(2)		22			22	
Operating and general and administrative expenses		13	13		15			15	
Hurricanes	7	4	11	7	(1)			6	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(8)	(8)				(14)	(14)
Other ⁽¹⁾	(1)	(7)	(6)	(14)	(7)	(12)	(6)	(25)	
Total impact on EBIT	\$ 39	\$ 14	\$ (5)	\$ 48	\$ 56	\$ 29	\$ 10	\$ 95	

(1) Consists of individually insignificant items on several of our pipeline systems.

Expansions. During 2009, we benefited from increased reservation revenues and throughput volumes due to projects placed in-service throughout 2008 and 2009 including the Medicine Bow expansion, the High Plains Pipeline, the Carthage Expansion and the Totem Gas Storage project.

We continue to make progress on our backlog of expansion projects, spending approximately \$1 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and approximately \$2 billion inception-to-date on these projects. The capacity of our backlog of expansion projects is approximately 90 percent subscribed with contract terms of 10-30 years and will be placed in-service over the next several years. In addition, financings have been completed to fund our \$1.6 billion expansion capital plan in 2009 and a substantial portion of the capital needs for the Gulf LNG, Florida Gas Transmission (FGT) Phase VIII and Ruby projects. During 2009, we have placed four growth projects in-service and expect three additional projects, representing \$1.0 billion of our expansion backlog, to be placed in-service by the end of 2010.

Table of Contents

Additionally, listed below are significant updates to our December 31, 2008 backlog of projects originally discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

WIC Piceance Lateral Expansion. In September 2009, our WIC Piceance Lateral Expansion project was placed in-service.

WIC Systems Expansion. In July and November 2009, WIC filed applications with the FERC for certificate authorization to construct the WIC expansion project.

CIG Raton 2010 Expansion. During the first quarter of 2009, we agreed with our customers to defer the targeted in-service date for our Raton 2010 project from June 2010 to December 2010. In September 2009, CIG filed an application with the FERC for certificate authorization for this project.

Totem Gas Storage. In June 2009, our Totem Gas Storage project was placed in-service.

Concord Lateral Expansion. In October 2009, our Concord Lateral Expansion project was placed in-service.

TGP 300 Line Expansion. In July 2009, TGP filed an application with the FERC for certificate authorization for its 300 Line Expansion project to add firm transportation capacity to its existing pipeline system in the northeast U.S. market area. All of the firm transportation capacity resulting from this project is fully subscribed with one shipper based on a precedent agreement which was executed in the third quarter of 2009. In October 2009, we entered into a pipeline installation contract for approximately \$194 million.

Ruby Pipeline Project. We expect that the Ruby pipeline project will consist of approximately 680 miles of 42 pipeline and multiple compressor stations with total horsepower of approximately 157,000; however, final sizing will be based on market support. In June 2009, the FERC issued a draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) related to our Ruby pipeline project, which is expected to be issued in final form in January 2010. In September 2009, we received a Preliminary Determination from the FERC on non-environmental issues related to this project. Subject to FERC approval, the project is anticipated to be placed in-service during the first quarter of 2011.

As discussed further in *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below, in August 2009, we entered into an agreement with GIP, whereby it will invest up to \$700 million in the Ruby pipeline project. We have also selected a financial advisor and in conjunction with our partner, we have begun working through a financing plan.

FGT Phase VIII Project. In September 2009, the FERC issued a final EIS. We also received the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration special permit from the Department of Transportation in order to operate the pipeline at higher operating pressures.

South System III and Southeast Supply Header Phase II. In August 2009, we received certificates of authorization from the FERC on the South System III and the Southeast Supply Header Phase II projects.

Elba Expansion III/ Elba Express/ Cypress Phase III. During the second quarter of 2009, BG LNG Services LLC (BG) and SNG, Elba Express and Southern LNG, Inc. entered into agreements to delay the in-service date of the Elba III Phase B expansion project. The modified agreements give BG the option to delay the in-service date of the Elba III Phase B expansion to as late as December 31, 2014, or, in the event certain conditions are unable to be met by BG, to terminate the Elba III Phase B expansion. In exchange for this delay/termination option, BG has committed to subscribe to certain firm Phase B capacity on El Paso's Elba Express pipeline and to provide certain rate considerations on an existing transportation contract on El Paso's SNG Pipeline. In addition, BG has given up its right to proceed with Phase III of the Cypress Expansion Project on SNG.

Table of Contents

In addition to our backlog of contracted organic growth projects, we have other projects that are in various phases of commercial development. Many of the potential projects involve expansion capacity to serve increased natural gas-fired generation loads, as well as new supply projects.

Potential Power Plant Loads. In early 2009, SNG executed a non-binding letter of intent (LOI) with Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) to expand SNG's pipeline system by approximately 600 MMcf/d by constructing approximately 375 miles of 36-inch pipeline from western Alabama to northern Florida. This expansion project was subject to the Florida Public Service Commission's (PSC) approval for FPL to build an intrastate pipeline which would connect to our SNG system. The PSC rejected FPL's proposal and SNG's LOI with FPL has expired. The future of this project is uncertain.

Along the Front Range of CIG's system, utilities have various projects under development that involve constructing new natural gas-fired generation in part to provide backup capacity required when renewable generation is not available during certain daily or seasonal periods.

Potential Supply Projects. TGP's system is located over a significant portion of the Marcellus Basin that is under various phases of development by producers. TGP has executed firm transportation contracts with shippers from the basin utilizing its existing capacity. In addition, TGP has been in discussions with producers to expand its system to provide additional transportation capacity from the Marcellus Basin.

Most of our potential expansion projects would have in-service dates for 2014 and beyond. If we are successful in contracting for these new projects, the capital requirements could be substantial and would be incremental to our backlog of contracted organic growth projects. Although we pursue the development of these potential projects from time to time, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in negotiating the definitive binding contracts necessary for such projects to be included in our backlog of contracted organic growth projects.

Reservation and Usage Revenues. During the quarter ended September 30, 2009, our reservation and usage revenues decreased slightly as compared to the same period in 2008 primarily due to lower volumes delivered and lower average system rates in our TGP system. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, our overall EBIT was favorably impacted by (i) increased reservation and other services revenues on our EPNG system during the first nine months of 2009 primarily resulting from higher contracted capacity to primary delivery points in California and an increase in EPNG's tariff rates effective January 1, 2009, subject to refund, which was partially offset by decreased usage revenues primarily due to reduced throughput in 2009, (ii) increased revenues for the mainline and lateral capacity on our Rocky Mountain region systems primarily due to new contracts and restructured contract terms and (iii) additional capacity sales from the Marcellus Basin in the northeast market area of our TGP system.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, our throughput volumes on our TGP and EPNG systems decreased compared with the same period in 2008. This was due, in part, to general weakness in natural gas demand in the United States, including in the northeast and southwest. Although fluctuations in throughput on our pipeline systems have a limited effect on our short-term results since a material portion of our revenues are derived from firm reservation charges, it can be an indication of the risks we may face when seeking to recontract or renew any of our existing firm transportation contracts. Continuing negative economic impacts on demand, as well as adverse shifting of sources of supply, could negatively impact basis differentials and our ability to renew firm transportation contracts that are expiring on our system or our ability to renew such contracts at current rates. If we determine there is a significant change in our costs or billing determinants on any of our pipeline systems, we will have the option to file rate cases on certain of our pipelines with the FERC to recover our prudently incurred costs.

Table of Contents

Gas Not Used in Operations and Revaluations. During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009, our overall EBIT was favorably impacted by \$13 million and \$32 million primarily due to retained fuel volumes in excess of fuel used in operations, higher realized prices on operational sales and lower electric compression utilization in one of our pipelines. In addition, during the quarter ended September 30, 2009, our overall EBIT was favorably impacted by \$5 million primarily due to favorable revaluation of retained volumes on our SNG system. Effective September 1, 2009, a volume tracker was implemented as part of SNG's rate case settlement as further discussed below, therefore our SNG system no longer shares retained gas not used in operations.

In addition, during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2008, CIG and WIC recorded cost and revenue tracker adjustments associated with the implementation of fuel and related gas cost recovery mechanisms, which the FERC approved subject to the outcome of technical conferences. The implementation of these mechanisms was protested by a limited number of shippers. On July 31, 2009, and October 1, 2009, the FERC issued orders to CIG and WIC, respectively, directing us to remove the cost and revenue components from their fuel recovery mechanisms. Due to these orders, our future earnings may be impacted by both positive and negative fluctuations in gas prices related to fuel imbalance revaluations, their settlement, and other gas balance related items. We continue to explore options to minimize the price volatility associated with these operational pipeline activities.

On October 1, 2009, EPNG received an order from the FERC directing EPNG to modify the cost and revenue component of its fuel recovery mechanism. EPNG is seeking rehearing and clarification of certain aspects of this order; however, we do not believe that this order will have any negative effect to previously reported earnings. Due to the order, our future earnings may be impacted by both positive and negative fluctuations in gas prices related to fuel imbalance revaluations, their settlement, and other gas balance related items. We continue to explore options to minimize the price volatility associated with these operational pipeline activities.

Bankruptcy Proceeds. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we (i) recorded income of approximately \$8 million as a result of settlements received from the Enron Corporation bankruptcy and (ii) recognized revenue of \$39 million related to Calpine's rejection of its transportation contracts with us primarily associated with distributions received under Calpine Corporation's approved plan of reorganization. The impact of bankruptcy proceeds for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 was not material.

Loss on Long-Lived Assets. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded impairments of \$24 million, primarily related to our Essex-Middlesex Lateral project due to a prolonged permitting process. There were no significant impairments recorded for the quarters ended September 30, 2009 and 2008.

Operating and General and Administrative Expenses. For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009, our operating and general and administrative expenses were lower than the same periods in 2008 primarily due to approximately \$17 million and \$33 million of decreased field repair and maintenance expense on several of our pipeline systems. Partially offsetting these cost reductions were increases of approximately \$9 million and \$20 million in accrued benefit costs for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Hurricanes. During the third quarter of 2008, we incurred damage to sections of our Gulf Coast and offshore pipeline facilities due to Hurricanes Ike and Gustav. For the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2008, our EBIT was unfavorably impacted by these hurricanes due to gas loss from various damaged pipelines, lower volume of gas not used in operations, lower usage revenue and repair costs that will not be recoverable from insurance due to losses not exceeding self-retention levels. We continue to evaluate whether to repair or retire those damaged facilities. See *Liquidity and Capital Resources* for a further discussion of these hurricanes.

Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests. During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2009, our net income attributable to noncontrolling interests increased as compared to the same period in 2008 due to (i) the additional public common units issued by our majority-owned MLP and (ii) our contribution of additional interests in CIG and SNG to our MLP. In July 2009, we contributed an additional 18 percent interest in CIG to the MLP and in September 2008 we contributed an additional 15 percent interest in SNG and 30 percent interest in CIG to our MLP. As of September 30, 2009, our MLP owns 58 percent of CIG, 25 percent of SNG and 100 percent of WIC.

Table of Contents

Other Regulatory Matters. Our pipeline systems periodically file for changes in their rates, which are subject to the approval of the FERC. Changes in rates and other tariff provisions resulting from these regulatory proceedings have the potential to positively or negatively impact our profitability. Currently, while certain of our pipelines are expected to continue operating under their existing rates, other pipelines have projected upcoming rate actions with anticipated effective dates from 2011 through 2013.

In June 2008, EPNG filed a rate case with the FERC as required under the settlement of its previous rate case. The filing proposed an increase in EPNG's base tariff rates. In August 2008, the FERC issued an order accepting the proposed rates effective January 1, 2009, subject to refund and the outcome of a hearing and a technical conference. The FERC issued an order in December 2008 that generally accepted most of EPNG's proposals in the technical conference proceeding. The FERC has appointed an administrative law judge to preside over a hearing if EPNG is unable to reach a negotiated settlement with its customers on the remaining issues. The hearing is currently scheduled to begin in early January 2010. The outcome of the hearing is not currently determinable.

In March 2009, SNG filed a rate case with the FERC as permitted under the settlement of its previous rate case. The filing proposed an increase in SNG's base tariff rates. In April 2009, the FERC issued an order accepting the proposed rates effective September 1, 2009, subject to refund pending the outcome of a hearing. On October 5, 2009, SNG filed with the FERC a settlement of the rate case. The settlement resolved all issues set for hearing and was supported by the FERC Staff and not opposed by the participants associated with the rate case. On October 20, 2009, the Administrative Law Judge assigned to the case certified that the settlement was uncontested. Under the terms of the settlement SNG, (i) increased its base tariff rates, (ii) implemented a volume tracker for gas used in operations, (iii) agreed to file its next general rate case to be effective no earlier than September 1, 2012 and no later than September 1, 2013, and (iv) the vast majority of SNG's firm transportation contracts expiring prior to September 1, 2013 will be extended until August 31, 2013. SNG expects the FERC to approve the settlement in early 2010.

Table of Contents**Exploration and Production Segment***Overview and Strategy*

Our Exploration and Production segment conducts our natural gas and oil exploration and production activities. The profitability and performance of this segment are driven by the ability to locate and develop economic natural gas and oil reserves and extract those reserves at the lowest possible production and administrative costs. Accordingly, we manage this business with the goal of creating value through disciplined capital allocation, cost control and portfolio management. Our strategy focuses on building and applying competencies in assets with repeatable programs, executing to improve capital and expense efficiency, and maximizing returns by adding assets and inventory that match our competencies and divesting assets that do not. During 2009, our focus has shifted to more unconventional resource plays including the Haynesville Shale in northwest Louisiana and east Texas, Eagle Ford Shale in south Texas, and Altamont tight oil in Utah. For a further discussion of our business strategy in our production business, see our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our domestic natural gas and oil reserve portfolio blends lower decline rate, typically longer lived assets in our Central and Western divisions, with steeper decline rate, shorter lived assets in our Gulf Coast division. During the second quarter of 2009, we reorganized our domestic exploration and production operations to combine our Texas Gulf Coast and Gulf of Mexico and south Louisiana regions into the Gulf Coast division.

Internationally, our portfolio consists of producing fields along with several exploration and development projects in offshore Brazil and exploration projects in Egypt. Success of our international programs in Brazil and Egypt will require effective project management, strong partner relations and obtaining approvals from regulatory agencies, although current economic conditions may dictate the timing of our spending.

During 2009, the industry experienced reductions in the market price of natural gas from those levels at December 31, 2008. Service and equipment costs also declined, but not at levels commensurate with the reduction in natural gas prices. Based on reduced commodity prices and service equipment costs, we recorded non-cash ceiling test charges of approximately \$2.1 billion during the first quarter of 2009. The challenging commodity price environment continues to put pressure on our economic assumptions related to new development and exploration projects in 2009. Coupled with unprecedented challenges in the credit markets, these events resulted in us reducing our capital spending during 2009. Based on these lower spending levels, we expect our annual 2009 production volumes to be down six percent to eight percent from 2008.

Significant Operational Factors Affecting the Periods Ended September 30, 2009

Production. Our average daily production for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was 698 MMcfe/d (which does not include 72 MMcfe/d from our share of production from our equity investment in Four Star). Below is an analysis of our production volumes by division for the periods ended September 30:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
	MMcfe/d	
United States		
Central	252	238
Western	158	153
Gulf Coast	279	361
International		
Brazil	9	11
Total Consolidated	698	763
Four Star	72	74

In the first nine months of 2009, production volumes increased in our Central and Western divisions. Central division production volumes increased as a result of our successful Arklatex drilling programs including the Haynesville Shale, while our Western division production volumes increased in the Altamont area. In the Haynesville Shale, we have drilled 11 wells and currently have net production of approximately 50 MMcfe per day. In the Altamont area, we drilled four wells in 2009. In our Gulf Coast division, production volumes decreased primarily due to sales of assets in 2008 and 2009 and impacts of Hurricanes Ike and Gustav. In this division, however, our 2009 focus has been on increasing our Eagle Ford Shale acreage, where we hold approximately 112,000 net acres and drilled our first well which was successful. In Brazil, our production volumes decreased primarily due to natural production declines.

Table of Contents

2009 Drilling Results

Our drilling results for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 by division are as follows:

Central. We achieved a 100 percent success rate on 108 gross wells drilled.

Western. We achieved a 100 percent success rate on four gross wells drilled.

Gulf Coast. We achieved an 80 percent success rate on 25 gross wells drilled.

Brazil. Our drilling operations in Brazil are primarily in the Camamu and Espirito Santo Basins.

Camamu Basin. During the first nine months of 2009, we continued the process of obtaining regulatory and environmental approvals that are required to enter the next phase of development in the Pinauna Field. The timing of the Pinauna Field development will be dependent on receiving these approvals and achieving estimated cost reductions that reflect the current commodity price environment.

In 2009, we relinquished our interest in the BM-CAL-6 block following unsuccessful exploration activities in 2008. In the BM-CAL-5 block, we continue to evaluate and search for viable commercial options to develop the resources found by two exploration wells. We, along with the operator, Petrobras, are currently evaluating the areas to retain in this block in advance of the November 2009 contractual relinquishment date. We continue to own a 20 percent interest in two additional blocks in the Camamu basin, CAL-M-312 and CAL-M-372, which are located east of and contiguous to the BM-CAL-5 and BM-CAL-6 blocks. We will be further evaluating these two blocks over the next several years.

Espirito Santo Basin. In the Camarupim Field, we began natural gas and condensate production in October 2009 from the first of four horizontal wells after resolving problems with facilities that delayed the start up of production. We continue to work with the operator, Petrobras, in addressing similar problems in connecting the remaining three wells and anticipate ramping up production from the field in late 2009 and in 2010.

In early 2009, we completed drilling an exploratory well with Petrobras in the ES-5 block in the Espirito Santo Basin in which we own a 35 percent working interest. Hydrocarbons were found in the well and we are now evaluating the results. By the end of 2009, we plan to participate with Petrobras in spudding another exploratory well in the ES-5 block to evaluate an additional prospect.

During the first nine months of 2009, we added approximately 84 Bcfe of reserves in Brazil and, as of September 30, 2009, have total capitalized costs of approximately \$336 million, of which \$143 million are unevaluated capitalized costs.

Egypt. In 2009, we completed drilling two exploratory wells in the South Mariut block that were unsuccessful and recorded charges totaling \$26 million in our full cost pool, including \$5 million in the third quarter of 2009. In addition, CEPSA Egypt S.A. B.V. (CEPSA), the operator of the South Alamein block, completed drilling two wells in the block where hydrocarbons were discovered. These wells are currently being evaluated. We also participated with CEPSA in drilling a third exploratory well on the block which was unsuccessful, and we have plans to spud a fourth exploratory well in the block by the end of 2009. As of September 30, 2009, we have total capitalized costs of approximately \$69 million in Egypt, all of which are unevaluated.

Cash Operating Costs. We monitor cash operating costs required to produce our natural gas and oil production volumes. Cash operating costs is a non-GAAP measure calculated on a per Mcfe basis and includes total operating expenses less depreciation, depletion and amortization expense, ceiling test and other impairment charges, transportation costs and cost of products. Cash operating costs per unit is a valuable measure of operating performance and efficiency for the exploration and production segment.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, cash operating costs per unit were \$1.83/Mcfe as compared to \$1.94/Mcfe during the same period in 2008 primarily due to lower lease operating expenses and production taxes partially offset by lower production volumes in 2009 versus 2008.

Capital Expenditures. Our total natural gas and oil capital expenditures were \$740 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, of which \$531 million were domestic capital expenditures.

Table of Contents*Outlook for 2009*

For the full year 2009, we expect the following on a worldwide basis:

Capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, of approximately \$1 billion. Of this total, we expect to spend approximately \$750 million on our domestic program and approximately \$250 million in Brazil and Egypt.

Average daily production volumes for the year of approximately 680 MMcfe/d to 695 MMcfe/d, which does not include approximately 65 MMcfe/d to 70 MMcfe/d from our equity investment in Four Star. Production volumes from our Brazil operations are expected to average between 10 MMcfe/d and 15 MMcfe/d in 2009.

Average cash operating costs of approximately \$1.85/Mcfe to \$1.95/Mcfe for the year.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization rate of between \$1.70/Mcfe and \$1.80/Mcfe, which includes the impact of our 2009 ceiling test charges.

Price Risk Management Activities

We enter into derivative contracts on our natural gas and oil production to stabilize cash flows, reduce the risk and financial impact of downward commodity price movements on commodity sales and to protect the economic assumptions associated with our capital investment programs. Because this strategy only partially reduces our commodity price exposure, our reported results of operations, financial position and cash flows can be impacted significantly by commodity price movements from period to period. Adjustments to our strategy and the decision to enter into new positions or to alter existing positions are made based on the goals of the overall company.

During the first nine months of 2009, we settled all of our \$110.00 per barrel 2009 fixed price oil swaps, receiving approximately \$186 million in cash and entered into new fixed price oil swaps on 1,866 MBbls of our anticipated 2009 oil production at an average price of \$50.93 per barrel. We also entered into additional option and basis swap contracts on our 2009, 2010 and 2011 natural gas production and swaps on our 2010 oil production. During the first nine months of 2009, we paid \$173 million in premiums to enter into these contracts. The following table reflects the contracted volumes and the minimum, maximum and average prices we will receive under our derivative contracts as of September 30, 2009.

Fixed Price			Texas Gulf Coast Average	Basis Swaps⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		Central Mid-Continent
Swaps⁽¹⁾ Average	Floors⁽¹⁾ Average	Ceilings⁽¹⁾ Average		Western Raton	Rockies	