

Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.  
Form 10-Q/A  
March 01, 2010

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q/A  
AMENDMENT NO.1**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number 000-51064**

**GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC.**  
**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Delaware**

*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)*

**51-0510250**

*(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)*

**122 West Washington Avenue  
Madison, Wisconsin 53703**

*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**53703**

*(Zip Code)*

**(608) 661-4700**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

*(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock was 31,297,046 as of November 5, 2009.



**Table of Contents**

**EXPLANATORY NOTE**

Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. is filing this Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009 to reflect the restatement of its condensed consolidated financial statements, the notes thereto, and related disclosures.

In connection with the year-end closing process, our Audit Committee, in consultation with its management, determined that it was necessary to restate previously issued financial statements because of errors that occurred during the computation of the valuation allowance on certain deferred tax assets recorded as of September 30, 2009. To correct the errors, we have made adjustments (the Adjustments) to restate the previously issued financial statements as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009.

The Adjustments had the effect of decreasing our deferred tax liability by \$5.2 million as of September 30, 2009, and decreasing our previously-reported net loss by \$5.2 million for the three and nine month periods, respectively, ended September 30, 2009. The Adjustments are described in the table in Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

This Form 10-Q/A has not been updated except as required to reflect the effects of the restatement. This amendment and restatement includes changes to Part I, Items 1, 2 and 4. Except as identified in the prior sentence, no other items included in the original Form 10-Q have been amended, and such items remain in effect as of the filing date of the original Form 10-Q.

---

**Great Wolf Resorts, Inc.**  
**Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A**  
**For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009**  
**INDEX**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 (restated) and December 31, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 (restated) and 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (restated) and 2008</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	43
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	44
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	44
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	44
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	44
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	44
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	44
<u>Signature</u>	46
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	<b>September 30, 2009 (Unaudited) (As restated)</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,994	\$ 14,231
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$109 and \$114	2,709	2,167
Accounts receivable unconsolidated affiliates, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$1,201	1,923	925
Inventory	5,301	4,265
Other current assets	12,056	3,055
 Total current assets	 49,983	 24,643
Property and equipment, net	687,983	716,173
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated affiliates	29,327	43,855
Other assets	28,148	31,561
Other intangible assets	23,829	23,829
 Total assets	 \$ 819,270	 \$ 840,061
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 14,638	\$ 81,464
Accounts payable	5,157	23,217
Accounts payable unconsolidated affiliates	3	622
Accrued expenses	23,041	22,565
Accrued expenses unconsolidated affiliates		1,184
Advance deposits	7,961	7,498
Gift certificates payable	3,921	5,416
 Total current liabilities	 54,721	 141,966
Mortgage debt	446,042	333,259
Other long-term debt	92,270	92,328
Deferred tax liability	666	
Deferred compensation liability	776	568
 Total liabilities	 594,475	 568,121

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity:

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized; 31,298,546 and 30,982,646 shares issued and outstanding	313	310
Additional paid-in-capital	400,767	399,641
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding		
Accumulated deficit	(176,085)	(127,811)
Deferred compensation	(200)	(200)
 Total stockholders' equity	 224,795	 271,940
 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	 \$ 819,270	 \$ 840,061

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**

(Unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(As restated)		(As restated)	
Revenues:				
Rooms	\$ 46,214	\$ 40,994	\$ 122,869	\$ 115,801
Food and beverage	11,877	10,088	33,084	30,751
Other hotel operations	11,333	9,759	30,458	28,439
Management and other fees	626	863	1,617	2,292
Management and other fees affiliates	1,202	1,767	3,636	4,363
	71,252	63,471	191,664	181,646
Other revenue from managed properties affiliates	3,966	5,942	14,486	14,993
Other revenue from managed properties	1,609		1,609	
Total revenues	76,827	69,413	207,759	196,639
Operating expenses by department:				
Rooms	6,332	5,407	17,309	15,827
Food and beverage	9,226	8,176	25,506	24,311
Other	8,926	7,832	24,618	22,573
Other operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	14,911	11,637	46,542	41,729
Property operating costs	8,201	8,642	29,657	28,556
Depreciation and amortization	15,136	11,995	42,352	34,755
Loss on disposition of property	11	19	202	19
Asset impairment loss	24,000		24,000	
	86,743	53,708	210,186	167,770
Other expenses from managed properties affiliates	3,966	5,942	14,486	14,993
Other expenses from managed properties	1,609		1,609	
Total operating expenses	92,318	59,650	226,281	182,763
Net operating (loss) income	(15,491)	9,763	(18,522)	13,876
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	(962)		(962)	
Investment income affiliates	(310)	(625)	(1,030)	(1,629)
Interest income	(131)	(279)	(467)	(1,178)
Interest expense	9,671	6,808	24,715	20,599
	(23,759)	3,859	(40,778)	(3,916)

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q/A

(Loss) income before income taxes and equity in unconsolidated affiliates				
Income tax expense (benefit)	13,163	1,755	6,380	(1,282)
Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax	1	(67)	1,116	1,612
Net (loss) income	\$ (36,923)	\$ 2,171	\$ (48,274)	\$ (4,246)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps		(271)		(220)
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (36,923)	\$ 2,442	\$ (48,274)	\$ (4,026)
Net (loss) income per share-basic	\$ (1.18)	\$ 0.07	\$ (1.55)	\$ (0.14)
Net (loss) income per share-diluted	\$ (1.18)	\$ 0.07	\$ (1.55)	\$ (0.14)
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	31,291,004	30,840,691	31,179,049	30,793,822
Diluted	31,291,004	30,840,691	31,179,049	30,793,822

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(Unaudited; dollars in thousands)**

	<b>Nine months ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(As</b>	
	<b>restated)</b>	
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (48,274)	\$ (4,246)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	42,352	34,755
Bad debt expense	642	65
Non-cash employee compensation and professional fees expense	829	(7)
Loss on disposition of property	202	19
Asset impairment loss	24,000	
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	(962)	
Equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates	965	2,620
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	6,535	(2,290)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable and other assets	(7,711)	(3,855)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(4,522)	204
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,056	27,265
Investing activities:		
Capital expenditures for property and equipment	(48,206)	(98,811)
Loan repayment from unconsolidated affiliates	8,833	2,500
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	(303)	(9,823)
Proceeds from sale of interest in unconsolidated affiliate	6,000	
Investment in development	978	(2,288)
Proceeds from sale of assets	66	
Decrease in restricted cash	161	58
Increase in escrows	(1,866)	(607)
Net cash used in investing activities	(34,337)	(108,971)
Financing activities:		
Principal payments on long-term debt	(5,151)	(56,667)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	51,051	149,663
Payment of loan costs	(11,856)	(4,003)
Net cash provided by financing activities	34,044	88,993

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q/A

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	13,763	7,287
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	14,231	18,597
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 27,994	\$ 25,884

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$ 24,254	\$ 20,381
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 379	\$ 365
Non-cash items:		
Construction in process accruals	\$ 15	\$ 10,180

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited; dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

**1. ORGANIZATION**

The terms Great Wolf Resorts®, us, we and our are used in this report to refer to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

**Business Summary**

We are a family entertainment resort company. We are the largest licensor, owner, operator and developer in North America of drive-to family resorts featuring indoor waterparks and other family-oriented entertainment activities. Our resorts generally feature approximately 300 to 600 family suites that sleep from six to ten people and each includes a wet bar, microwave oven, refrigerator and dining and sitting area. We provide a full-service entertainment resort experience to our target customer base: families with children ranging in ages from 2 to 14 years old that live within a convenient driving distance of our resorts. We operate under our Great Wolf Lodge® and Blue Harbor Resort™ brand names.

We earn revenues through the sale of rooms, which includes admission to our indoor waterpark, and other revenue-generating resort amenities. Each of our resorts features a combination of the following revenue-generating amenities: themed restaurants, ice cream shop and confectionery, full-service spa, game arcade, gift shop, miniature golf, interactive game attraction and meeting space. We also generate revenues from licensing arrangements, management fees and other fees with respect to our operation or development of properties owned in whole or in part by third parties.

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of resorts. As of September 30, 2009, we operate and/or license eleven Great Wolf Lodge resorts (our signature Northwoods-themed resorts), and one Blue Harbor Resort (a nautical-themed property).

	<b>Ownership</b>		<b>Number of Guest Suites</b>	<b>Number of Condo Units (1)</b>	<b>Indoor Entertainment Area (2) (Approx. ft<sup>2</sup>)</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>Opened</b>			
Wisconsin Dells, WI (3)		1997	308	77	102,000
Sandusky, OH (3)		2001	271		41,000
Traverse City, MI	100%	2003	280		57,000
Kansas City, KS	100%	2003	281		57,000
Sheboygan, WI	100%	2004	182	64	54,000
Williamsburg, VA	100%	2005	405		87,000
Pocono Mountains, PA	100%	2005	401		101,000
Niagara Falls, ONT (4)		2006	406		104,000
Mason, OH	100%	2006	401		105,000
Grapevine, TX (5)	100%	2007	605		110,000
Grand Mound, WA (6)	49%	2008	398		74,000
Concord, NC	100%	March 2009	402		97,000

(1) Condominium units are individually owned by third parties and are

managed by us.

- (2) Our indoor entertainment areas generally include our indoor waterpark, game arcade, children s activity room, family tech center, MagiQuest® (an interactive game attraction) and fitness room, as well as our spa in the resorts that have such amenities.

**Table of Contents**

(3) These properties are owned by CNL Lifestyle Properties, Inc. (CNL), a real estate investment trust focused on leisure and lifestyle properties. Prior to August 2009, these properties were owned by a joint venture between CNL and us. In August 2009 we sold our 30.26% joint venture interest to CNL for \$6,000. We currently manage both properties and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to these resorts.

(4) An affiliate of Ripley Entertainment, Inc. (Ripley), our licensee, owns this resort. We have granted Ripley a license to use the Great Wolf Lodge name for this resort through April 2016. We managed the resort on behalf of Ripley through April 2009.

(5)

In late 2007, we began construction on an additional 203 suites and 20,000 square feet of meeting space as an expansion of this resort. The meeting space, along with 92 rooms, was opened in December 2008, with the rest of the rooms completed and opened in January 2009.

- (6) This property is owned by a joint venture. The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation (Chehalis) owns a 51% interest in the joint venture, and we own a 49% interest. We operate the property and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to the property under long-term agreements through April 2057, subject to earlier termination in certain situations. The joint venture leases the land for the resort from the United

States  
Department of  
Interior, which is  
trustee for  
Chehalis.

## 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

*General* We have prepared these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements according to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, we have omitted certain information and footnote disclosures that are normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The December 31, 2008 consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, accompanying notes and other information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions. Such estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Our actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Certain 2008 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 presentation. A reclassification of accounts payable unconsolidated affiliates which was initially included in accrued expenses unconsolidated affiliates occurred in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as well as a reclassification of bad debt expense that was initially included in accounts receivable and other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flow.

*Principles of Consolidation* Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of all of our majority-owned subsidiaries. As part of our consolidation process, we eliminate all significant intercompany balances and transactions.

*Investments In and Advances to Unconsolidated Affiliates* We use the equity method to account for our investment in our unconsolidated joint venture, as we do not have a controlling interest. Net income or loss is allocated between the partners in the joint ventures based on the hypothetical liquidation at book value method (HLBV). Under the HLBV

**Table of Contents**

method, net income or loss is allocated between the partners based on the difference between each partner's claim on the net assets of the partnership at the end and beginning of the period, after taking into account contributions and distributions. Each partner's share of the net assets of the partnership is calculated as the amount that the partner would receive if the partnership were to liquidate all of its assets at net book value and distribute the resulting cash to creditors and partners in accordance with their respective priorities. Periodically we may make advances to our affiliates.

*Income Taxes* At the end of each interim reporting period, we estimate the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. The rate determined is used in providing for income taxes on a year-to-date basis.

We recorded a valuation allowance of \$23,265 during the period ended September 30, 2009, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize some of our deferred tax assets, primarily consisting of certain net operating loss carryforwards, before they expire. In the period ended September 30, 2009, we determined that due to current conditions in the credit markets, real estate markets and our current financial position, the tax planning strategy we previously expected to generate substantial taxable income was no longer feasible. The valuation allowance is based on our estimates of taxable income solely from the reversal of existing deferred tax liabilities and the period over which deferred tax assets reverse. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or we adjust these estimates in a future period, we may need to increase or decrease our valuation allowance, which could materially impact our consolidated statement of operations.

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments* We include disclosures in the notes to our financial statements on the fair value of financial instruments whenever summarized financial information for interim reporting periods is presented. The carrying amounts related to cash and cash equivalents, other current assets, escrows, accounts payable, gift certificates payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the relatively short maturities of such instruments.

**Table of Contents**

*Comprehensive Income* We record the effective portion of the interest rate swap's gain or loss to be initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately.

*Segments* We have two reportable segments:

resort ownership/operation-revenues derived from our consolidated owned resorts; and

resort third-party management/license-revenues derived from management, license and other related fees from unconsolidated managed resorts.

The following summarizes significant financial information regarding our segments:

	<b>Resort Ownership/ Operation</b>	<b>Resort Third-Party Management/License</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Totals per Financial Statements</b>
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2009</b>				
Revenues	\$ 69,424	\$ 7,403	\$	\$ 76,827
Depreciation and amortization	(14,932)		(204)	(15,136)
Asset impairment loss	(24,000)			(24,000)
Operating (loss) income	(17,757)	1,828	438	(15,491)
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate			(962)	(962)
Investment income affiliates				(310)
Interest income				(131)
Interest expense				9,671
Loss before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates				\$ (23,759)
Additions to long-lived assets	2,215		145	\$ 2,360

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Resort Ownership/ Operation</b>	<b>Resort Third-Party Management/License</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Totals per Financial Statements</b>
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2009</b>				
Revenues	\$ 186,411	\$ 21,348	\$	\$ 207,759
Depreciation and amortization	(41,776)		(576)	(42,352)
Asset impairment loss	(24,000)			(24,000)
Operating (loss) income	(21,416)	5,253	(2,359)	(18,522)
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate			(962)	(962)
Investment income affiliates				(1,030)
Interest income				(467)
Interest expense				24,715
Loss before income taxes and equity in income of unconsolidated affiliates				\$ (40,778)
Additions to long-lived assets	47,834		372	\$ 48,206
Total assets	741,895	2,218	75,157	\$ 819,270
<b>Three months ended September 30, 2008</b>				
Revenues	\$ 60,841	\$ 8,572	\$	\$ 69,413
Depreciation and amortization	(11,796)		(199)	(11,995)
Operating income (loss)	5,416	2,630	1,717	9,763
Investment income affiliates				(625)
Interest income				(279)
Interest expense				6,808
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates				\$ 3,859
Additions to long-lived assets	43,285		254	\$ 43,539
<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2008</b>				
Revenues	\$ 174,991	\$ 21,648	\$	\$ 196,639

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q/A

Depreciation and amortization	(34,171)		(584)	(34,755)
Operating income (loss)	9,977	6,655	(2,756)	13,876
Investment income affiliates				(1,629)
Interest income				(1,178)
Interest expense				20,599
Loss before income taxes and equity in losses of unconsolidated affiliates				\$ (3,916)
Additions to long-lived assets	98,019		792	\$ 98,811
Total assets	730,802	1,999	133,435	\$ 866,236

10

---

**Table of Contents**

The Other items in the table above represent corporate-level activities that do not constitute a reportable segment. Total assets at the corporate level primarily consist of cash, our investments in and advances to affiliates, and intangible assets.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance on how to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability. In such situations, an entity may conclude that transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value, and may adjust the transactions or quoted prices to arrive at the fair value of the asset or liability. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial information for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance as of September 30, 2009, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance which changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The guidance will require a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. The adoption of this guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on codification and the hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The Codification superseded all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other nongrandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. The guidance is effective for interim quarterly periods beginning July 1, 2009. This adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued guidance on measuring liabilities as fair value which provides clarification on measuring liabilities at fair value when a quoted price in an active market is not available. The guidance is effective for the first reporting period beginning after issuance. The adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. This guidance eliminates the residual method under the current guidance and replaces it with the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in a multiple deliverable arrangement. The selling price for each deliverable shall be determined using vendor specific objective evidence of selling price, if it exists, otherwise third-party evidence of selling price shall be used. If neither exists for a deliverable, the vendor shall use its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable. After adoption, this guidance will also require expanded qualitative and quantitative disclosures. The guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, although early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****3. INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATES*****CNL Joint Venture***

On August 6, 2009, we sold our 30.26% joint venture interest to CNL for \$6,000. We recognized a \$962 gain on this sale.

Summary financial data for this joint venture is as follows:

	<b>Period July 1 through August 5, 2009</b>	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Period January 1 through August 5, 2009</b>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2008</b>
<b>Operating data:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 5,100	\$ 10,120	\$ 19,750	\$ 26,488
Operating expenses	\$ (4,383)	\$ (10,793)	\$ (24,213)	\$ (31,978)
Net income (loss)	\$ 717	\$ (673)	\$ (4,463)	\$ (5,490)

We had a receivable from the joint venture of \$1,465 as of December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2008, we reserved \$1,201 against this receivable. We had a payable to the joint venture of \$1,225 as of December 31, 2008.

***Grand Mound Joint Venture***

Summary financial data for this joint venture is as follows:

	<b>September 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
<b>Balance sheet data:</b>		
Total assets	\$ 148,162	\$ 152,215
Total liabilities	\$ 114,135	\$ 118,636

	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2009</b>		<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2009</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Operating data:</b>				
Revenue	\$ 11,170	\$ 12,253	\$ 31,303	\$ 25,544
Operating expenses	\$ (9,165)	\$ (9,579)	\$ (26,467)	\$ (23,861)
Net income (loss)	\$ 634	\$ 463	\$ 448	\$ (3,171)

We have a receivable from the joint venture of \$1,923 and \$661 that relates primarily to accrued preferred equity returns as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. We have a payable to the joint venture of \$2 and \$581 as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively.

**4. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION**

We recognized share-based compensation expense of \$360, and \$828, net of estimated forfeitures, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The total income tax (benefit) expense recognized related to share-based compensation was \$56 and \$129 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

We recognize compensation expense on grants of share-based compensation awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of each award recipient. As of September 30, 2009, total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation awards was \$2,187, which we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of approximately 3.0 years.

**Table of Contents**

The Great Wolf Resorts 2004 Incentive Stock Plan (the Plan) authorizes us to grant up to 3,380,740 stock options, stock appreciation rights or shares of our common stock to employees and directors. At September 30, 2009, there were 1,117,149 shares available for future grants under the Plan.

We anticipate having to issue new shares of our common stock for stock option exercises.

*Stock Options*

We have granted non-qualified stock options to purchase our common stock under the Plan with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the grant dates. The exercise price for certain options granted under the plans may be paid in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares. Stock options expire ten years from the grant date and vest ratably over three years.

We recorded stock option expense of \$8 and \$22 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. There were no stock options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 or 2008. We recorded stock option expense of \$8 and \$104 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

A summary of stock option activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, is:

	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life</b>
Number of shares under option:			
Outstanding at beginning of period	475,000	\$ 17.59	
Granted			
Exercised			
Forfeited	(21,500)	\$ 18.12	
Outstanding at end of period	453,500	\$ 17.57	5.34 years
Exercisable at end of period	448,500	\$ 17.62	5.25 years

There was no intrinsic value of our outstanding or exercisable stock options at September 30, 2009 or 2008.

*Market Condition Share Awards*

Certain officers are eligible to receive shares of our common stock in payment of market condition share awards granted to them.

We granted 541,863 and 84,748 market condition share awards during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$82 and \$285 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, related to these grants. We recorded negative share-based compensation expense of \$(129) and \$(161) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively. Included in the 2008 amounts were reversals of expense related to the resignation of two senior officers in 2008, as the service condition of these shares was not met.

Of the 2009 market condition shares granted:

541,863 were based on our common stock's performance in 2009 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. These shares vest ratably over a three-year period, 2009-2011. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$1.26 as of the grant date.

**Table of Contents**

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

Dividend yield	
Weighted average, risk free interest rate	0.62%
Expected stock price volatility	96.51%
Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index)	37.89%

We used an expected dividend yield of 0% as we do not currently pay a dividend and do not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the one-year T-bill rate. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for a two-year period ending on the grant date. The expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data for a two-year period ending on the grant date.

Of the 2008 market condition shares awards granted:

84,748 were based on our common stock's performance in 2008 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. These shares vest ratably over a three-year period, 2008-2010. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$1.63 as of the grant date.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

Dividend yield	
Weighted average, risk free interest rate	2.05%
Expected stock price volatility	34.98%
Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index)	20.08%

We used an expected dividend yield of 0% as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the one-year T-bill rate. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for a two-year period ending on the grant date. The expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data for a two-year period ending on the grant date. Due to resignation of a senior officer in May 2008, 55,046 shares were forfeited.

The market condition for these shares was not met and therefore no shares related to this grant were issued.

Of the 2007 market condition shares awards granted:

81,293 were based on our common stock's absolute performance during the three-year period 2007-2009. For shares that are earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2009, and the other half vest on December 31, 2010. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$6.65.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

**Table of Contents**

Dividend yield	
Weighted average, risk free interest rate	4.73%
Expected stock price volatility	42.13%

We used an expected dividend yield of 0% as we do not currently pay a dividend and do not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the four-year T-bill rate. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for a two-year period ending on the grant date. Due to the resignation of two senior officers in 2008, 58,628 shares were forfeited.

81,293 were based on our common stock's performance in 2007-2010 relative to a stock index, as designated by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. For shares that are earned, half of the shares vest on December 31, 2009, and the other half vest on December 31, 2010. The per share fair value of these market condition shares was \$8.24.

The fair value of these market condition shares was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation and the following assumptions:

Dividend yield	
Weighted average, risk free interest rate	4.73%
Expected stock price volatility	42.13%
Expected stock price volatility (small-cap stock index)	16.64%

We used an expected dividend yield of 0% as we did not pay a dividend and did not contemplate paying a dividend in the foreseeable future. The weighted average, risk free interest rate was based on the four-year T-bill rate. Our expected stock price volatility was estimated using daily returns data of our stock for a two-year period ending on the grant date. The expected stock price volatility for the small cap stock index was estimated using daily returns data for a two-year period ending on the grant date. Due to the resignation of two senior officers in 2008, 58,628 shares were forfeited.

*Performance Share Awards*

Certain officers are eligible to receive shares of our common stock in payment of performance share awards granted to them in accordance with the terms thereof. We granted 180,622 and 37,386 performance shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Grantees of performance shares are eligible to receive shares of our common stock based on the achievement of certain individual and departmental performance goals during the calendar year. The per share fair value of performance shares granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, was \$1.54 and \$7.09, respectively, which represents the fair value of our common stock on the grant dates. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$46 and \$138 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$23 and \$27 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, related to these grants. Included in the 2008 amounts were reversals of expense related to the resignation of a senior officer in 2008, as the service condition of these shares was not met.

Based on the achievement of certain individual and departmental performance goals, employees earned and were issued 18,084 performance shares in February 2009 related to 2008 grants and 20,843 performance shares in February 2008 related to 2007 grants. Since all shares originally granted were not earned, we recorded a reduction in expense of \$2 and \$10 during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to the shares not earned.

*Deferred Compensation Awards*

Pursuant to their employment arrangements, certain executives received bonuses upon completion of our initial public offering (IPO). Executives receiving bonus payments totaling \$2,200 elected to defer those payments pursuant to our

**Table of Contents**

deferred compensation plan. To satisfy this obligation, we contributed 129,412 shares of our common stock to the trust that holds the assets to pay obligations under our deferred compensation plan. The fair value of that stock at the date of contribution was \$2,200. We recorded the fair value of the shares of common stock, at the date the shares were contributed to the trust, as a reduction of our stockholders' equity. Also, we account for the change in fair value of the shares held in the trust as a charge to compensation cost. We recorded share-based compensation expense of \$18 and \$(334), for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, related to these grants. We recorded negative share-based compensation expense of \$92 and \$796, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

In 2008, one of the executives who had deferred a bonus payment as discussed above resigned from our company. As a result, we have reclassified \$2,000 previously recorded as deferred compensation to additional paid-in-capital.

*Non-vested Shares*

We have granted non-vested shares to certain employees and our directors. Shares vest ratably over various periods up to five years from the grant date. We valued the non-vested shares at the closing market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

A summary of non-vested shares activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 is as follows:

	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>
Non-vested shares balance at beginning of period	300,249	\$ 9.29
Granted	331,179	\$ 2.88
Forfeited	(36,041)	\$ 6.47
Vested	(80,123)	\$ 9.64
Non-vested shares balance at end of period	515,264	\$ 5.41

Our non-vested shares had an intrinsic value of \$280 at September 30, 2009.

We recorded share-based expense of \$186 and \$645 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, related to these shares. We recorded share-based expense of \$19 and \$463 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

*Vested Shares*

We have an annual short-term incentive plan for certain employees, which provides them the potential to earn cash bonus payments. For 2007 and 2008, certain of these employees had the option to elect to have some or all of their annual bonus compensation related to performance in those years paid in the form of shares of our common stock rather than cash. Employees making this election received shares having a market value equal to 125% of the cash they would have otherwise received. Shares issued in lieu of cash bonus payments are fully vested upon issuance.

We issued 17,532 shares in February 2009 related to 2008 bonus amounts. We valued these shares at \$32 based on the closing market value of our common stock on the date of the grant.

We issued 265,908 shares in February 2008 related to 2007 bonus amounts. These shares were valued at \$2,055 based on the closing market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

**Table of Contents**

In 2008 and 2009, our directors had the option to elect to have some or the entire cash portion of their annual fees paid in the form of shares of our common stock rather than cash. Directors making this election can receive shares having a market value equal to 125% of the cash they would otherwise receive. Shares issued in lieu of cash fee payments are fully vested upon issuance. We recorded non-cash professional fees expense of \$20 and \$74 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, related to these elections to receive shares in lieu of cash. We issued 9,061 and 31,347 shares in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. We recorded non-cash professional fees expense of \$73 and \$365 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, related to these elections to receive shares in lieu of cash. We issued 20,069 and 72,293 shares in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

**5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consist of the following:

	<b>September 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Land and improvements	\$ 60,718	\$ 51,684
Building and improvements	427,524	353,537
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	340,323	315,577
Construction in process	626	117,063
	829,191	837,861
Less accumulated depreciation	(141,208)	(121,688)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 687,983	\$ 716,173

Depreciation expense was \$13,001 and \$10,941 for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$37,481 and \$32,750 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Because of triggering events that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2009, related to our resort in Sheboygan, including changes in the expectation of how long we will hold this property, current period and historical operating losses and the deterioration in the current market conditions, we tested this resort to determine if further assessment for potential impairment was required. Based on this testing, we determined the carrying value of this resort was not recoverable. As a result, we recorded a \$24,000 impairment charge to decrease the resort's carrying value to its estimated fair value (net of estimated disposal costs) as of September 30, 2009. To determine the estimated fair value for purposes of calculating the impairment charge, we used a combination of historical and projected cash flows and other available market information, such as recent sales prices for similar assets. Although we believe our estimated fair value for the resort is reasonable, the actual fair value we ultimately realize from this resort could differ materially from this estimate. The impaired long-lived asset is included in our Resort Ownership/Operation segment.

**6. LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	<b>September 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Long-Term Debt:		
Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan	\$ 69,145	\$ 70,211
Mason mortgage loan	74,800	76,800
Pocono Mountains mortgage loan	95,746	96,571
Williamsburg mortgage loan	63,500	64,625
Grapevine mortgage loan	78,709	78,709

Concord construction loan

17

78,549

27,594

---

**Table of Contents**

	<b>September 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
Junior subordinated debentures	80,545	80,545
Other Debt:		
City of Sheboygan bonds	8,527	8,493
City of Sheboygan loan	3,343	3,503
Other	86	
	552,950	507,051
Less current portion of long-term debt	(14,638)	(81,464)
Total long-term debt	\$ 538,312	\$ 425,587

*Traverse City/Kansas City Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Traverse City and Kansas City resorts. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.96%, is subject to a 25-year principal amortization schedule, and matures in January 2015. The loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

*Mason Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Mason resort. On July 31, 2009 the loan maturity was extended to July 1, 2011. We incurred loan fees of \$1,145 related to the extension of this loan. The loan bears interest at a floating rate of 30 day LIBOR plus a spread of 425 basis points with an interest rate floor of 6.50% (effective rate of 6.50% as of September 30, 2009). The LIBOR benchmark changes to 90 day LIBOR on December 1, 2009. The loan requires principal amortization payments of \$1,000 per quarter in 2009 and \$2,000 per quarter thereafter. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum tangible net worth provision for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. The loan has no restrictions on the repayment of loan principal and has exit fees that can be paid upon full repayment of the loan or at maturity. In addition, we have provided the Mason mortgage lenders with a \$30,000 corporate guaranty and cross-collateralization on our Grapevine resort. The corporate guaranty and cross-collateralization on the Grapevine property will remain in place until we make a \$30,000 principal reduction of the Mason loan over the remaining term of the loan. Should there be certain liquidity-producing events, including the sale of majority-owned equity interest in any of our existing properties or the refinance of a mortgage loan on an existing property, we will be required to use 50 percent of the net proceeds towards the \$30,000 mandatory principal reduction. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the extension of this loan in January 2009, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's then-maturity date in November 2009. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$10 that caps the loan at 7.25% interest through November 2009. In conjunction with the extension of this loan in July 2009, we are required to execute an interest rate cap on or before November 30, 2009 through the loan's maturity date. We have not executed this interest rate cap as of November 5, 2009.

*Pocono Mountains Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Pocono Mountains resort. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.10% and matures in December 2016. The loan is currently subject to a 30-year principal amortization schedule. The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

*Williamsburg Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Williamsburg resort. The loan bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 350 basis points with a minimum rate of 6.25% per annum (effective rate of 6.25% as of September 30, 2009). This loan matures in August 2011 and has a one-year extension available at our option, assuming the property meets an operating performance threshold. The loan has no applicable prepayment fees.

The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the closing of this loan, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$522 that caps the

**Table of Contents**

loan at 8% interest through the loan's maturity date. This interest rate cap was designated as an ineffective cash flow hedge. We mark the interest rate cap to market and record the change to interest expense.

*Grapevine Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Grapevine resort. On July 31, 2009 the loan maturity was extended to July 1, 2011. We incurred loan fees of \$1,415 related to the extension of this loan. The loan bears interest at a floating rate of 90 day LIBOR plus a spread of 400 basis points with an interest rate floor of 7.00% (effective rate of 7.0% as of September 30, 2009). The loan requires principal amortization payments of \$800 per quarter until maturity. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum tangible net worth provision for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. The loan has no restrictions on the repayment of loan principal and has exit fees that must be paid upon full repayment of the loan or at maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the extension of this loan in July 2009, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$205 that caps the loan at 7% interest through December 2010. This interest rate cap was designated as an ineffective cash flow hedge. We mark the interest rate cap to market and record the change to interest expense.

*Concord Construction Loan* In April 2008 we closed on a \$63,940 construction loan to fund a portion of the total costs of our Great Wolf Lodge resort under construction in Concord. The four-year loan was potentially expandable to a maximum principal amount of up to \$79,900. The loan bears interest at a floating annual rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum (effective rate of 6.50% as of September 30, 2009). The loan requires interest only payments until the one-year anniversary of the conversion date of the property and then requires monthly principal payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum consolidated tangible net worth provision. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009. In January 2009, the total commitments under this loan increased from \$63,940 to \$79,900. We incurred loan fees in connection with the increase of our loan commitments.

*Junior Subordinated Debentures* In March 2005 we completed a private offering of \$50,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) through Great Wolf Capital Trust I (Trust I), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.80% through March 2015 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035 and are callable at no premium after March 2010. In addition, we invested \$1,500 in Trust I's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust I.

Trust I used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$51,550 of our junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$1,600, including \$1,500 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$100 of costs incurred directly by Trust I. Trust I paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 30-year period. The proceeds from our debenture sale, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust I, were \$48,400. We used the net proceeds to retire a construction loan.

In June 2007 we completed a private offering of \$28,125 of TPS through Great Wolf Capital Trust III (Trust III), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.90% through June 2012 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 300 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in June 2017 and are callable at no premium after June 2012. In addition, we invested \$870 in the Trust's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust III.

Trust III used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$28,995 of our junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the trust securities. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$932, including \$870 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$62 of costs incurred directly by Trust III. Trust III paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 10-year period. The proceeds from our debenture sales, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust III, were \$27,193. We used the net proceeds for development costs.

**Table of Contents**

Issue trusts, like Trust I and Trust III (collectively, the Trusts), are generally variable interest. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary under the Trusts, and accordingly we do not include the financial statements of the Trusts in our consolidated financial statements.

Based on the foregoing accounting authority, our consolidated financial statements present the debentures issued to the Trusts as long-term debt. Our investments in the Trusts are accounted as cost investments and are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. For financial reporting purposes, we record interest expense on the corresponding debentures in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

*City of Sheboygan Bonds* The City of Sheboygan (the City) bonds represent the face amount of bond anticipation notes (BANs) issued by the City in November 2003 in conjunction with the construction of the Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin. In accordance with the provisions of, we have recognized as a liability the obligations for the BANs. We have an obligation to fund certain minimum guaranteed amounts of room tax payments to be made by the Blue Harbor Resort through 2028, which obligation is indirectly related to the payments by the City on the BANs.

*City of Sheboygan Loan* The City of Sheboygan loan amount represents a loan made by the City in 2004 in conjunction with the construction of the Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin. The loan is noninterest bearing and matures in 2018. Our obligation to repay the loan will be satisfied by certain minimum guaranteed amounts of real and personal property tax payments to be made by the Blue Harbor Resort through 2018.

*Future Maturities* Future principal requirements on long-term debt are as follows:

<b>Through September 30,</b>	
2010	\$ 14,638
2011	210,809
2012	79,532
2013	3,606
2014	3,892
Thereafter	240,473
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 552,950</b>

**7. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price). GAAP outlines a valuation framework and creates a fair value hierarchy in order to increase the consistency and comparability of fair value measurements and the related disclosures. Certain assets and liabilities must be measured at fair value, and disclosures are required for items measured at fair value.

We measure our financial instruments using inputs from the following three levels of the fair value hierarchy. The three levels are as follows:

Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (that is, interest rates, yield curves, etc.), and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market corroborated inputs).

Level 3 includes unobservable inputs that reflect our assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. We develop these inputs based on the best information available, including our own data.

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009:

	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Interest rate caps	\$	\$ (23)	\$	\$ (23)
Long-term debt		438,151		438,151
	\$	\$438,174	\$	\$438,174

Level 2 assets consist of our interest rate caps and our long-term debt. To determine the estimated fair value of our interest rate caps we use market information provided by the banks from whom the interest rate caps were purchased from.

As of September 30, 2009, we estimate the total fair value of our long-term debt to be \$114,662 less than its total carrying value due to the terms of the existing debt being different than those terms currently available to us for indebtedness with similar risks and remaining maturities. These fair value estimates have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these consolidated financial statements since that date, and current estimates of fair values may differ significantly.

The following table summarizes the valuation of financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the statement of financial position at September 30, 2009:

	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Property and Equipment	\$	\$	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000

Property and equipment with a carrying amount of \$30,000 were written down to their fair value of \$6,000, resulting in an impairment charge of \$24,000. To determine the estimated fair value for purposes of calculating the impairment charge related to our resort in Sheboygan, we used a combination of historical and projected cash flows and other available market information, such as recent sales prices for similar assets.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, other current assets, escrows, accounts payable, gift certificates payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

**Table of Contents****8. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded comprehensive loss, net of tax, of approximately \$271 and \$220, respectively, related to gain on our interest rate swap. We had no similar amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

**9. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

We calculate our basic earnings per common share by dividing net loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Our diluted earnings per common share assume the issuance of common stock for all potentially dilutive stock equivalents outstanding using the treasury stock method. In periods in which we incur a net loss, we exclude potentially dilutive stock equivalents from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding as the effect of those potentially dilutive items is anti-dilutive.

The trust that holds the assets to pay obligations under our deferred compensation plan has 11,765 shares of our common stock. We treat those shares of common stock as treasury stock for purposes of our earnings per share computations and therefore we exclude them from our basic and diluted earnings per share calculations.

Options to purchase 453,500 shares of common stock were not included in the computations of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2009, because the exercise prices of the options were greater than the average market price of the common shares during that period. There were 767,825 shares of common stock that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2009, because the market and/or performance criteria related to these shares had not been met at September 30, 2009.

Basic and diluted earnings per common share are as follows:

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>		<b>Nine months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(As restated)</b>		<b>(As restated)</b>	
Net (loss) income	\$ (36,923)	\$ 2,171	\$ (48,274)	\$ (4,246)
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	31,291,004	30,840,691	31,179,049	30,793,822
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	31,291,004	30,840,691	31,179,049	30,793,822
Net loss per share basic	\$ (1.18)	\$ 0.07	\$ (1.55)	\$ (0.14)
Net loss per share diluted	\$ (1.18)	\$ 0.07	\$ (1.55)	\$ (0.14)

**10. RESTATEMENT**

Subsequent to our issuance of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we determined that certain spreadsheet errors were made during the computation of the valuation allowance on certain deferred tax assets recorded as of September 30, 2009. Due to the errors, we have made adjustments (the Adjustments) and the Audit Committee, in consultation with management, determined that it was necessary to restate the previously issued financial statements for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009.

The Adjustments had the effect of decreasing our net deferred tax liability by \$5,225 as of September 30, 2009, and decreasing our previously-reported income tax expense and net loss by \$5,225 for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009. A summary of the significant effects of the restatement is as follows:

	<b>As Previously</b>		<b>As</b>
	<b>Reported</b>	<b>Adjustment</b>	<b>Restated</b>
<b>September 30, 2009:</b>			
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet			
Deferred tax liability	\$ 5,891	\$ (5,225)	\$ 666

Edgar Filing: Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. - Form 10-Q/A

Total liabilities	599,700	(5,225)	594,475
Accumulated deficit	(181,310)	5,225	(176,085)
Total stockholders' equity	219,570	5,225	224,795
<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>			
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations:			
Income tax expense	18,267	(5,104)	13,163
Equity in loss (income) of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax	122	(121)	1
Net loss	(42,148)	5,225	(36,923)
Comprehensive loss	(42,148)	5,225	(36,923)
Net loss per share-basic	\$ (1.35)	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.18)
Net loss per share-diluted	\$ (1.35)	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.18)
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>			
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations:			
Income tax expense (benefit)	11,484	(5,104)	6,380
Equity in loss of unconsolidated affiliates, net of tax	1,237	(121)	1,116
Net loss	(53,499)	5,225	(48,274)
Comprehensive loss	(53,499)	5,225	(48,274)
Net loss per share-basic	\$ (1.72)	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.55)
Net loss per share-diluted	\$ (1.72)	\$ 0.17	\$ (1.55)
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:			
Net loss	(53,499)	5,225	(48,274)
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	11,760	(5,225)	6,535

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*As described in Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, subsequent to the issuance of the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, our Audit Committee, in consultation with its management, determined that it was necessary to restate our previously issued financial statements for the correction of errors in the computation of the valuation allowance on certain deferred tax assets recorded as of September 30, 2009. To correct the errors, we have made adjustments (the Adjustments) to restate the previously issued financial statements as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009. The Adjustments had the effect of decreasing our deferred tax liability by \$5.2 million as of September 30, 2009, and decreasing our previously-reported net loss by \$5.2 million for the three and nine month periods, respectively, ended September 30, 2009.*

*The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. We make statements in this section that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. For a complete discussion of forward-looking statements, see the section in Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K entitled, Forward-Looking Statements. All dollar amounts in this discussion, except for per share data and operating statistics, are in thousands.*

**Overview**

The terms Great Wolf Resorts, us, we and our are used in this report to refer to Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

*Business.* We are a family entertainment resort company that provides our guests with a high-quality vacation at an affordable price. We are the largest owner, operator and developer in North America of drive-to family resorts featuring indoor waterparks and other family-oriented entertainment activities. Our resorts generally feature 300 to 600 family suites that sleep from six to ten people and each includes a wet bar, microwave oven, refrigerator and dining and sitting area. We provide a full-service entertainment resort experience to our target customer base: families with children ranging in ages from 2 to 14 years old that live within a convenient driving distance of our resorts. We operate under our Great Wolf Lodge and Blue Harbor Resort brand names. Our resorts are open year-round and provide a consistent and comfortable environment where our guests can enjoy our various amenities and activities.

We provide our guests with a self-contained vacation experience and focus on capturing a significant portion of their total vacation spending. We earn revenues through the sale of rooms, which includes admission to our indoor waterpark, and other revenue-generating resort amenities. Each of our resorts features a combination of the following revenue-generating amenities: themed restaurants and snack bars, ice cream shop and confectionery, full-service spa, kids spa, game arcade, gift shops, MagiQuest (an interactive game attraction), mini golf, gr8\_space and meeting space. We also

**Table of Contents**

generate revenues from licensing arrangements, management fees and other fees with respect to our operation or development of properties owned in whole or in part by third parties.

The following table presents an overview of our portfolio of resorts. As of September 30, 2009, we operate and/or license eleven Great Wolf Lodge resorts (our signature Northwoods-themed resorts), and one Blue Harbor Resort (a nautical-themed property).

	<b>Ownership Percentage</b>	<b>Opened</b>	<b>Number of Guest Suites</b>	<b>Number of Condo Units (1)</b>	<b>Indoor Entertainment Area (2)  (Approx. ft<sup>2</sup>)</b>
Wisconsin Dells, WI (3)		1997	308	77	102,000
Sandusky, OH (3)		2001	271		41,000
Traverse City, MI	100%	2003	280		57,000
Kansas City, KS	100%	2003	281		57,000
Sheboygan, WI	100%	2004	182	64	54,000
Williamsburg, VA	100%	2005	405		87,000
Pocono Mountains, PA	100%	2005	401		101,000
Niagara Falls, ONT (4)		2006	406		104,000
Mason, OH	100%	2006	401		105,000
Grapevine, TX (5)	100%	2007	605		110,000
Grand Mound, WA (6)	49%	2008	398		74,000
Concord, NC	100%	March 2009	402		97,000

(1) Condominium units are individually owned by third parties and are managed by us.

(2) Our indoor entertainment areas generally include our indoor waterpark, game arcade, children's activity room, family tech center, MagiQuest and fitness room, as well as our spa in the resorts that have such amenities.

- (3) These properties are owned by CNL Lifestyle Properties, Inc. (CNL), a real estate investment trust focused on leisure and lifestyle properties. Prior to August 2009, these properties were owned by a joint venture between CNL and us. In August 2009 we sold our 30.26% joint venture interest to CNL for \$6,000. We currently manage both properties and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to these resorts.
- (4) An affiliate of Ripley Entertainment, Inc. (Ripley), our licensee, owns this resort. We have granted Ripley a license to use the Great Wolf Lodge name for this resort through April 2016. We managed the resort on behalf of Ripley through April 2009.
- (5) In late 2007, we began

construction on an additional 203 suites and 20,000 square feet of meeting space as an expansion of this resort. The meeting space, along with 92 rooms, was opened in December 2008, with the rest of the rooms completed and opened in January 2009.

- (6) This property is owned by a joint venture. The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation (Chehalis) owns a 51% interest in the joint venture, and we own a 49% interest. We operate the property and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand to the property under long-term agreements through April 2057, subject to earlier termination in certain situations. The joint venture leases the land for the resort from the United States Department of

the Interior,  
which is trustee  
for Chehalis.

*Industry Trends.* We operate in the family entertainment resort segment of the travel and leisure industry. The concept of a family entertainment resort with an indoor waterpark was first introduced to the United States in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin, and has evolved since 1987. In an effort to boost occupancy and daily rates, as well as capture off-season demand, hotel operators in the Wisconsin Dells market began expanding indoor pools and adding waterslides and other

**Table of Contents**

water-based attractions to existing hotels and resorts. The success of these efforts prompted several local operators to build new, larger destination resorts based primarily on the concept.

We believe that these properties, which typically are themed and include other resort features such as arcades, retail shops and full food and beverage service in addition to the indoor waterpark, have historically outperformed standard hotels in the market. We believe that the rate premiums and increased market share in the Wisconsin Dells for hotels and resorts with some form of an indoor waterpark can be attributed to several factors, including the ability to provide a year-round vacation destination without weather-related risks, the wide appeal of water-based recreation and the favorable trends in leisure travel discussed below.

While no standard industry definition for a family entertainment resort featuring an indoor waterpark has developed, we generally consider resorts with at least 200 rooms featuring indoor waterparks larger than 25,000 square feet, as well as a variety of water slides and other water-based attractions, to be competitive with our resorts. A recent Hotel & Leisure Advisors, LLC (H&LA) survey indicates that there are 132 open indoor waterpark indoor waterpark resort properties in the United States and Canada. Of the total, 46 are considered indoor waterpark destination resorts offering more than 30,000 square feet of indoor waterpark space. Of these 46 properties, 11 are Great Wolf Resorts properties. The same survey indicated 13 openings of indoor waterpark resorts projected for 2009. The 2009 openings include only two indoor waterpark destination resorts, one of which is our property in Concord, North Carolina.

We believe recent vacation trends favor drive-to family entertainment resorts featuring indoor waterparks, as the number of families choosing to take shorter, more frequent vacations that they can drive to have increased in recent years. We believe these trends will continue. We believe indoor waterpark resorts are generally less affected by changes in economic cycles, as drive-to destinations are generally less expensive and more convenient than destinations that require air travel.

*Outlook.* We believe that no other operator or developer other than us has established a portfolio of family entertainment resorts that feature indoor waterparks. We intend to continue to expand our portfolio of resorts throughout the United States and to selectively seek licensing and management opportunities domestically and internationally. The resorts we plan to develop in the future require significant industry knowledge and substantial capital resources. Similar family entertainment resorts compete directly with several of our resorts.

Our primary business objective is to increase long-term stockholder value. We believe we can increase stockholder value by executing our internal and external growth strategies. Our primary internal growth strategies are to: maximize total resort revenue; minimize costs by leveraging our economies of scale; and build upon our existing brand awareness and loyalty in order to compete more effectively. Our primary external growth strategies are to: capitalize on our first-mover advantage by being the first to operate family entertainment resorts featuring indoor waterparks in our selected target markets; focus on development and strategic growth opportunities by seeking to develop additional resorts by targeting licensing and joint venture opportunities; and continue to innovate by leveraging our in-house expertise, in conjunction with the knowledge and experience of our third-party suppliers and designers.

In attempting to execute our internal and external growth strategies, we are subject to a variety of business challenges and risks. These include: development and licensing of properties; increases in costs of constructing, operating and maintaining our resorts; competition from other entertainment companies, both within and outside our industry segment; and external economic risks, including family vacation patterns and trends. We seek to meet these challenges by providing sufficient management oversight to site selection, development and resort operations; concentrating on growing and strengthening awareness of our brand and demand for our resorts; and maintaining our focus on safety.

Our business model is highly dependent on consumer spending, and a vacation experience at one of our resorts is a discretionary expenditure for a family. Over the past two years, the slowing U.S. economy has led to a decrease in credit for consumers and a related decrease in consumer discretionary spending. This trend continued through the third quarter of 2009 as consumers experienced several negative economic impacts, including:

**Table of Contents**

severe turbulence in the banking and lending sectors, which has led to a general lessening of the availability of credit to consumers;

an increased national unemployment rate;

a continuing decline in the national average of home prices; and

high volatility in the stock market that led to substantial declines in leading market averages and aggregate household savings from 2007 to 2009.

These and other factors impact the amount of discretionary income for consumers and consumer sentiment toward discretionary purchases. As a result, these types of items could negatively impact consumer spending in future periods. While we believe the convenience, quality and overall affordability of a stay at one of our resorts continues to be an attractive alternative to other potential family vacations, a sustained decrease in overall consumer discretionary spending could have a material, adverse effect on our overall results. Also:

We believe that our Traverse City and Sandusky resorts have been and will continue to be affected by especially adverse general economic circumstances in the Michigan/Northern Ohio region (such as bankruptcies of several major companies and/or large announced layoffs by major employers) and increased competition that has occurred in these markets over the past few years. The Michigan/Northern Ohio region includes cities that have historically been the Traverse City and Sandusky resorts' largest origin of customers. We believe the adverse general economic circumstances in the region have negatively impacted overall discretionary consumer spending in that region over the past few years and may continue to do so going forward. Although we have taken steps to reduce our operating costs at these resorts, we believe the general regional economic downturn has and may continue to have an impact on the operating performance of our Traverse City and Sandusky resorts.

The Wisconsin Dells property has been significantly impacted by the abundance of competing indoor waterpark resorts in that market. The Wisconsin Dells market has approximately 16 indoor waterpark resorts that compete with us. We believe this large number of competing properties in a relatively small tourist destination location has and will likely continue to have an impact on the operating performance of the Wisconsin Dells resort.

We have experienced much lower-than-expected occupancy and lower-than-expected average daily room rates at our Sheboygan, Wisconsin property since its opening in 2004. We believe this operating weakness has been primarily attributable to the fact that the overall development of Sheboygan as a tourist destination continues to lag materially behind our initial expectations. We believe this has materially impacted and will likely continue to impact the consumer demand for our indoor waterpark resort in that market and the operations of the resort.

Because of triggering events that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2009, related to our resort in Sheboygan, including changes in the expectation of how long we will hold this property, current period and historical operating losses and the deterioration in the current market conditions, we tested this resort to determine if further assessment for potential impairment was required. Based on this testing, we determined the carrying value of this resort was not recoverable. As a result, we recorded a \$24,000 impairment charge to decrease the resort's carrying value to its estimated fair value (net of estimated disposal costs) as of September 30, 2009. To determine the estimated fair value for purposes of calculating the impairment charge, we used a combination of historical and projected cash flows and other available market information, such as recent sales prices for similar assets. Although we believe our estimated fair value for the resort is reasonable, the actual fair value we ultimately realize from this resort could differ materially from this estimate. The impaired long-lived asset is included in our Resort Ownership/Operation segment.

Our Mason resort opened its first phase in December 2006 and has experienced lower-than-expected occupancy and lower-than-expected average daily room rates. We believe this is due, in part, to the opening of competitive properties in the region.

**Table of Contents**

Our external growth strategies are based primarily on developing additional indoor waterpark resorts (in conjunction with joint venture partners) or licensing our intellectual property and proprietary systems to others. Developing resorts of the size and scope of our family entertainment resorts generally requires obtaining financing for a significant portion of a project's expected construction costs. The general tightening in U.S. lending markets has dramatically decreased the overall availability of construction financing.

Although the ultimate effect on our external growth strategy of the current credit environment is difficult to predict with certainty, we believe that the availability of construction financing to us and other investors has decreased significantly over the past two years. Also, we expect that the terms of construction financing may be less favorable than we have experienced historically. We believe that we and other investors may be able to continue to obtain construction financing sufficient to execute development strategies; however, the more difficult credit market environment is likely to continue at least through 2009, and possibly beyond.

*Revenue and Key Performance Indicators.* We seek to generate positive cash flows and maximize our return on invested capital from each of our owned resorts. Our rooms revenue represents sales to guests of room nights at our resorts and is the largest contributor to our cash flows and profitability. Rooms revenue accounted for approximately 66% of our total consolidated resort revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We employ sales and marketing efforts to increase overall demand for rooms at our resorts. We seek to optimize the relationship between room rates and occupancies through the use of yield management techniques that attempt to project demand in order to selectively increase room rates during peak demand. These techniques are designed to assist us in managing our higher occupancy nights to achieve maximum rooms revenue and include such practices as:

Monitoring our historical trends for occupancy and estimating our high occupancy nights;

Offering the highest discounts to previous guests in off-peak periods to build customer loyalty and enhance our ability to charge higher rates in peak periods;

Structuring rates to allow us to offer our previous guests the best rate while simultaneously working with a promotional partner or offering internet specials;

Monitoring sales of room types daily to evaluate the effectiveness of offered discounts; and

Offering specials on standard suites and yielding better rates on larger suites when standard suites sell out. In addition, we seek to maximize the amount of time and money spent on-site by our guests by providing a variety of revenue-generating amenities.

We have several key indicators that we use to evaluate the performance of our business. These indicators include the following:

Occupancy;

Average daily room rate, or ADR;

Revenue per available room, or RevPAR;

Total revenue per available room, or Total RevPAR;

Total revenue per occupied room, or Total RevPOR; and

**Table of Contents**

Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA.

Occupancy, ADR and RevPAR are commonly used measures within the hospitality industry to evaluate hotel operations and are defined as follows:

Occupancy is calculated by dividing total occupied rooms by total available rooms.

ADR is calculated by dividing total rooms revenue by total occupied rooms.

RevPAR is the product of occupancy and ADR.

Total RevPAR and Total RevPOR are defined as follows:

Total RevPAR is calculated by dividing total revenue by total available rooms.

Total Rev POR is calculated by dividing total revenue by total occupied rooms.

Occupancy allows us to measure the general overall demand for rooms at our resorts and the effectiveness of our sales and marketing strategies. ADR allows us to measure the effectiveness of our yield management strategies. While ADR and RevPAR only include rooms revenue, Total RevPOR and Total RevPAR include both rooms revenue and other revenue derived from food and beverage and other amenities at our resorts. We consider Total RevPOR and Total RevPAR to be key performance indicators for our business because we derive a significant portion of our revenue from food and beverage and other amenities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, approximately 34% of our total consolidated resort revenues consisted of non-rooms revenue.

We use RevPAR and Total RevPAR to evaluate the blended effect that changes in occupancy, ADR and Total RevPOR have on our profitability. We focus on increasing ADR and Total RevPOR because we believe those increases can have the greatest positive impact on our profitability. In addition, we seek to maximize occupancy, as increases in occupancy generally lead to greater total revenues at our resorts, and we believe maintaining certain occupancy levels is key to covering our fixed costs. Increases in total revenues as a result of higher occupancy are, however, typically accompanied by additional incremental costs (including housekeeping services, utilities and room amenity costs). In contrast, increases in total revenues from higher ADR and Total RevPOR are typically accompanied by lower incremental costs and result generally, in a greater increase in profitability.

We also use EBITDA as a measure of the operating performance of each of our resorts. EBITDA is a supplemental financial measure and is not defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). See Non-GAAP Financial Measures below for further discussion of our use of EBITDA and a reconciliation to net income.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unconsolidated financial statements, as well as revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

**Table of Contents**

For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, please refer to Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes in any of our accounting policies since December 31, 2008.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance on how to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability. In such situations, an entity may conclude that transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value, and may adjust the transactions or quoted prices to arrive at the fair value of the asset or liability. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued guidance which requires disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial information for periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance as of September 30, 2009, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance which changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The guidance will require a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. The adoption of this guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on codification and the hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The Codification superseded all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. The guidance is effective for interim quarterly periods beginning July 1, 2009. This adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2009, the FASB issued guidance on measuring liabilities as fair value which provides clarification on measuring liabilities at fair value when a quoted price in an active market is not available. The guidance is effective for the first reporting period beginning after issuance. The adoption of this guidance, as of September 30, 2009, did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables. This guidance eliminates the residual method under the current guidance and replaces it with the relative selling price method when allocating revenue in a multiple deliverable arrangement. The selling price for each deliverable shall be determined using vendor specific objective evidence of selling price, if it exists, otherwise third-party evidence of selling price shall be used. If neither exists for a deliverable, the vendor shall use its best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable. After adoption, this guidance will also require expanded qualitative and quantitative disclosures. The guidance is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, although early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

We use EBITDA as a measure of our operating performance. EBITDA is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. EBITDA is commonly defined as net income plus (a) net interest expense, (b) income taxes, and (c) depreciation and amortization.

EBITDA as calculated by us is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other companies. In addition, EBITDA (a) does not represent net income or cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP; (b) is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund our cash flow needs; and (c) should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flows from operating activities or our other financial information as determined under GAAP.

We believe EBITDA is useful to an investor in evaluating our operating performance because:

a significant portion of our assets consists of property and equipment that are depreciated over their remaining useful lives in accordance with GAAP. Because depreciation and amortization are non-cash items, we believe that presentation of EBITDA is a useful measure of our operating performance;

it is widely used in the hospitality and entertainment industries to measure operating performance without regard to items such as depreciation and amortization; and

we believe it helps investors meaningfully evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of items directly resulting from our asset base, primarily depreciation and amortization, from our operating results.

Our management uses EBITDA:

as a measurement of operating performance because it assists us in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis as it removes the impact of items directly resulting from our asset base, primarily depreciation and amortization, from our operating results;

for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget;

as a valuation measure for evaluating our operating performance and our capacity to incur and service debt, fund capital expenditures and expand our business; and

as one measure in determining the value of other acquisitions and dispositions.

Using a measure such as EBITDA has material limitations. These limitations include the difficulty associated with comparing results among companies and the inability to analyze certain significant items, including depreciation and interest expense, which directly affect our net income or loss. Management compensates for these limitations by considering the economic effect of the excluded expense items independently, as well as in connection with its analysis of net income.

The following table reconciles net loss to EBITDA for the periods presented.

**Table of Contents**

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(As		(As	
	restated)		restated)	
Net (loss) income	\$ (36,923)	\$ 2,171	\$ (48,274)	\$ (4,246)
Adjustments:				
Interest expense, net of interest income	9,540	6,529	24,248	19,421
Income tax expense (benefit)	14,053	1,825	6,531	(2,290)
Depreciation and amortization	15,136	11,995	42,352	34,755
EBITDA	\$ 1,806	\$ 22,520	\$ 24,857	\$ 47,640

**Results of Operations****General**

Our financial information includes:

our subsidiary entity that provides resort development and management/licensing services;

our Traverse City, Kansas City, Sheboygan, Williamsburg, Pocono Mountains, Mason, Grapevine and Concord operating resorts; and

our equity interests in resorts in which we have ownership interests but which we do not consolidate.

*Revenues.* Our revenues consist of:

lodging revenue, which includes rooms, food and beverage, and other department revenues from our resorts;

management fee and other revenue from resorts, which includes fees received under our management, license, development and construction management agreements; and

other revenue from managed properties. We employ the staff at our managed properties. Under our management agreements, the resort owners reimburse us for payroll, benefits and certain other costs related to the operations of the managed properties. We include the reimbursement of payroll, benefits and costs on our condensed consolidated statements of operations as other revenue from managed properties, with a corresponding expense recorded as other expenses from managed properties.

*Operating Expenses.* Our departmental operating expenses consist of rooms, food and beverage and other department expenses.

Our other operating expenses include the following items:

selling, general and administrative expenses, which are associated with the operations and management of resorts and which consist primarily of expenses such as corporate payroll and related benefits, operations management, sales and marketing, finance, legal, information technology support, human resources and other support services, as well as general corporate expenses;

property operation and maintenance expenses, such as utility costs, insurance and property taxes;

depreciation and amortization; and

**Table of Contents**

other expenses from managed properties.

**Three months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the three months ended September 30, 2008**

The following table shows key operating statistics for our resorts for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	Three months ended September 30, 2009	All Properties (a)		
		Three months ended September 30, 2008	Decrease	
			\$	%
<b>Occupancy</b>	69.1%	72.2%	N/A	(4.3)%
<b>ADR</b>	\$246.42	\$ 255.39	\$ (8.97)	(3.5)%
<b>RevPAR</b>	\$170.26	\$ 184.52	\$(14.26)	(7.7)%
<b>Total RevPOR</b>	\$374.36	\$ 384.75	\$(10.39)	(2.7)%
<b>Total RevPAR</b>	\$258.67	\$ 277.98	\$(19.31)	(6.9)%

(a) Includes results for properties that were open for any portion of the period, for all owned and/or managed resorts.

	Three months ended September 30, 2009	Same Store Comparison (b)		
		Three months ended September 30, 2008	Decrease	
			\$	%
<b>Occupancy</b>	69.9%	71.9%	N/A	(2.8)%
<b>ADR</b>	\$240.01	\$ 247.20	\$ (7.19)	(2.9)%
<b>RevPAR</b>	\$167.87	\$ 177.76	\$ (9.89)	(5.6)%
<b>Total RevPOR</b>	\$363.87	\$ 372.68	\$ (8.81)	(2.4)%
<b>Total RevPAR</b>	\$254.50	\$ 268.00	\$(13.50)	(5.0)%

(b) Same store comparison includes properties that were open for the full periods and with comparable number of rooms in 2009 and 2008 (that

is, our  
Wisconsin  
Dells,  
Sandusky,  
Traverse City,  
Kansas City,  
Sheboygan,  
Williamsburg,  
Poconos,  
Niagara Falls,  
Mason and  
Grand Mound  
resorts).

We believe that, consistent with other hospitality and entertainment companies' experience in 2009, the decreases in occupancy and RevPAR were due in part to the effect of the overall economic downturn on consumer discretionary spending. We perform an analysis for possible impairment for each of our operating properties when we believe a triggering event has occurred that would require an impairment analysis. Because of triggering events that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2009, related to our resort in Sheboygan, including changes in the expectation of how long we will hold this property, current period and historical operating losses and the deterioration in the current market conditions, we tested this resort to determine if further assessment for potential impairment was required. Based on this testing, we determined the carrying value of this resort was not recoverable. As a result, we recorded a \$24,000 impairment charge to decrease the resort's carrying value to its estimated fair value (net of estimated disposal costs) as of September 30, 2009. To determine the estimated fair value for purposes of calculating the impairment charge, we used a combination of historical and projected cash flows and other available market information, such as recent sales prices for similar assets. Although we believe our estimated fair value for the resort is reasonable, the actual fair value we ultimately realize from this resort could differ materially from this estimate. No other triggering events were noted for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

Presented below are selected amounts from the statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2009 (As restated)</b>	<b>2008</b>	
Revenues	\$ 76,827	\$69,413	\$ 7,414
Operating expenses:			
Departmental operating expenses	24,484	21,415	3,069
Selling, general and administrative	14,911	11,637	3,274
Property operating costs	8,201	8,642	(441)
Depreciation and amortization	15,136	11,995	3,141
Asset impairment loss	24,000		24,000
Net operating (loss) income	(15,491)	9,763	(25,254)
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	(962)		(962)
Interest expense, net of interest income	9,540	6,529	3,011
Income tax expense	13,163	1,755	11,408
Net (loss) income	(36,923)	2,171	(39,094)

*Revenues.* Total revenues increased due to the following:

An increase in revenue from our Grapevine resort, due to the completion of its expansion in early 2009; and

An increase in revenue from our Concord resort, which opened in March 2009.

This increase was partially offset by decreases in revenues at our resorts due to the overall downturn in consumer discretionary spending.

*Operating expenses.* Total operating expenses increased primarily due to the opening of our Concord resort in March 2009, as well as our expansion at our Grapevine resort, which was completed in January 2009.

Departmental expenses increased by \$3,069 for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the opening of our Concord resort.

Total selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$3,274 in three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the opening of our Concord resort and less labor and overhead expenses allocated to development properties during the three months ended September 30, 2009 than in the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Opening-related costs (included in total property operating costs) related to our resorts were \$9 for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to \$402 for the three months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the opening of our Concord resort in March 2009.

Total depreciation and amortization increased for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008, primarily due to the expansion of our Grapevine resort as well as the opening of our Concord resort. Also, loan fees incurred during the three months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 were higher due to fees incurred in connection with the extensions of our Mason and Grapevine mortgage loans.

**Table of Contents**

We recorded a \$24,000 asset impairment loss related to our resort in Sheboygan during the three months ended September 30, 2009. We had no similar loss in the three months ended September 30, 2008.

*Net operating (loss) income*. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we had net operating loss of \$15,491 as compared to a net operating income of \$9,763 for the three months ended September 30, 2008.

*Net (loss) income*. Net loss increased due to:

A decrease in net operating loss of \$25,254 for the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008,

An increase in net interest expense of \$3,011, mainly due to interest expense on our Concord loan, and less interest being capitalized to development properties in 2009 as compared to 2008; and

An increase in income tax expense mainly due to a \$23,265 income tax expense related to our net operating loss valuation allowance, which was partially offset by the tax impact of our impairment loss.

These increases were partially offset by the gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate in the amount of \$962 recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2009. We had no similar gain in the three months ended September 30, 2008.

***Nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2008***

The following table shows key operating statistics for our resorts for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	<b>All Properties (a)</b>			
	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2009</b>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	
			<b>\$</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Occupancy</b>	63.4%	67.9%	N/A	(6.6)%
<b>ADR</b>	\$243.00	\$ 252.64	\$ (9.64)	(3.8)%
<b>RevPAR</b>	\$154.12	\$ 171.58	\$(17.46)	(10.2)%
<b>Total RevPOR</b>	\$373.87	\$ 386.66	\$(12.79)	(3.3)%
<b>Total RevPAR</b>	\$237.12	\$ 262.59	\$(25.47)	(9.7)%

(a) Includes results for properties that were open for any portion of the period, for all owned and/or managed resorts.

	<b>Same Store Comparison (b)</b>			
	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2009</b>	<b>Nine months ended September 30, 2008</b>	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	
			<b>\$</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Occupancy</b>	63.4%	66.7%	N/A	(4.9)%
<b>ADR</b>	\$235.56	\$ 246.00	\$(10.44)	(4.2)%

<b>RevPAR</b>	\$149.28	\$ 164.01	\$(14.73)	(9.0)%
<b>Total RevPOR</b>	\$358.47	\$ 372.04	\$(13.57)	(3.6)%
<b>Total RevPAR</b>	\$227.17	\$ 248.04	\$(20.87)	(8.4)%

(b) Same store comparison includes properties that were open for the full periods and with comparable number of rooms in 2009 and 2008 (that is, our Wisconsin Dells, Sandusky, Traverse City, Kansas City, Sheboygan, Williamsburg, Poconos, Niagara Falls and Mason resorts).

**Table of Contents**

We believe that consistent with other hospitality and entertainment companies' experience in 2009, the decreases in occupancy and RevPAR were due in part to the effect of the overall economic downturn on consumer discretionary spending. We perform an analysis for possible impairment for each of our operating properties when we believe a triggering event has occurred that would require an impairment analysis. Because of triggering events that occurred in the three months ended September 30, 2009, related to our resort in Sheboygan, including changes in the expectation of how long we will hold this property, current period and historical operating losses and the deterioration in the current market conditions, we tested this resort to determine if further assessment for potential impairment was required. Based on this testing, we determined the carrying value of this resort was not recoverable. As a result, we recorded a \$24,000 impairment charge to decrease the resort's carrying value to its estimated fair value (net of estimated disposal costs) as of September 30, 2009. To determine the estimated fair value for purposes of calculating the impairment charge, we used a combination of historical and projected cash flows and other available market information, such as recent sales prices for similar assets. Although we believe our estimated fair value for the resort is reasonable, the actual fair value we ultimately realize from this resort could differ materially from this estimate. No other triggering events were noted in the period ended September 30, 2009.

Presented below are selected amounts from the statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

	<b>Nine months ended September 30,</b>		<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
	<b>2009 (As restated)</b>	<b>2008</b>	
Revenues	\$207,759	\$196,639	\$ 11,120
Operating expenses:			
Departmental operating expenses	67,433	62,711	4,722
Selling, general and administrative	46,542	41,729	4,813
Property operating costs	29,657	28,556	1,101
Depreciation and amortization	42,352	34,755	7,597
Asset impairment loss	24,000		24,000
Net operating (loss) income	(18,522)	13,876	(32,398)
Gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate	(962)		(962)
Interest expense, net of interest income	24,248	19,421	4,827
Income tax expense (benefit)	6,380	(1,282)	7,662
Net loss	(48,274)	(4,246)	(44,028)

*Revenues.* Total revenues increased due to the following:

An increase in revenue from our Grapevine resort, due to the completion of its expansion in early 2009; and

An increase in revenue from our Concord resort, which opened in March 2009.

This increase was partially offset by decreases in revenues at our resorts due to the overall downturn in consumer discretionary spending.

*Operating expenses.* Total operating expenses increased primarily due to the opening of our Concord resort in March 2009, as well as our expansion at our Grapevine resort, which was completed in January 2009.

Departmental expenses increased by \$4,722 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the opening of our Concord resort.

**Table of Contents**

Total selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$4,813 in nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the opening of our Concord resort, the expansion of our Grapevine resort, and less labor and overhead expenses allocated to development. Separation costs for former officers were \$791 less in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 than in the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Opening-related costs (included in total property operating costs) related to our resorts were \$5,592 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to \$4,350 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, due primarily to the expansion of our Grapevine resort in early 2009 and the opening of our Concord resort in March 2009.

Total depreciation and amortization increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008, primarily due to the expansion of our Grapevine resort, as well as the opening of our Concord resort. Also, loan fees incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008 were higher due to fees incurred in connection with the extensions of our Mason and Grapevine mortgage loans.

We recorded a \$24,000 asset impairment loss related to our resort in Sheboygan during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We had no similar loss in the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

*Net operating (loss) income.* During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we had net operating loss of \$18,522 as compared to a net operating income of \$13,876 for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

*Net loss.* Net loss increased due to:

The decrease in operating income of \$32,398 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008;

An increase in net interest expense of \$4,827 mainly due to interest expense on our Concord loan and less interest being capitalized to development properties; and

An increase in income tax expense mainly due to a \$23,265 income tax expense related to our net operating loss valuation allowance, which was partially offset by the tax impact of our impairment loss.

These amounts were partially offset by the gain on sale of unconsolidated affiliate in the amount of \$962 recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We recognized this gain on the sale of our interest in the joint venture that owned the Wisconsin Dells and Sandusky resorts. We had no similar gain in the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

**Segments**

We have two reportable segments in 2009 and 2008:

resort ownership/operation-revenues derived from our consolidated owned resorts; and

resort third-party management/license-revenues derived from management, license and other related fees from unconsolidated managed resorts.

See our Segments section in our Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in Note 2 of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

	Three months ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2009	2008	Increase / (Decrease)	2009	2008	Increase / (Decrease)
<b>Resort Ownership/Operation</b>						
Revenues	\$69,424	\$60,841	\$ 8,583	\$186,411	\$174,991	\$ 11,420
EBITDA	(2,823)	17,211	(20,034)	20,362	44,147	(23,785)
<b>Resort Third-Party Management/License</b>						
Revenues	7,403	8,572	(1,169)	21,348	21,648	(300)
EBITDA	1,828	2,631	(803)	5,252	6,655	(1,403)
<b>Other</b>						
Revenues						
EBITDA	2,801	2,678	123	(757)	(3,162)	2,405

The Other items in the table above represent corporate-level activities that do not constitute a reportable segment.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We had total indebtedness of \$552,950 and \$507,051 as of September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively, summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Long-Term Debt:		
Traverse City/Kansas City mortgage loan	\$ 69,145	\$ 70,211
Mason mortgage loan	74,800	76,800
Pocono Mountains mortgage loan	95,746	96,571
Williamsburg mortgage loan	63,500	64,625
Grapevine mortgage loan	78,709	78,709
Concord construction loan	78,549	27,594
Junior subordinated debentures	80,545	80,545
Other Debt:		
City of Sheboygan bonds	8,527	8,493
City of Sheboygan loan	3,343	3,503
Other	86	
	552,950	507,051
Less current portion of long-term debt	(14,638)	(81,464)
Total long-term debt	\$ 538,312	\$ 425,587

**Traverse City/Kansas City Mortgage Loan** This loan is secured by our Traverse City and Kansas City resorts. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.96%, is subject to a 25-year principal amortization schedule, and matures in January 2015. The loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

**Mason Mortgage Loan** This loan is secured by our Mason resort. On July 31, 2009 the loan maturity was extended to July 1, 2011. We incurred loan fees of \$1,145 related to the extension of this loan. The loan bears interest at a

floating rate of 30 day LIBOR plus a spread of 425 basis points with an interest rate floor of 6.50% (effective rate of 6.50% as of September 30, 2009). The LIBOR benchmark changes to 90 day LIBOR on December 1, 2009. The loan requires principal amortization payments of \$1,000 per quarter in 2009 and \$2,000 per quarter thereafter. This loan has customary

**Table of Contents**

financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum tangible net worth provision for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. The loan has no restrictions on the repayment of loan principal and has exit fees that can be paid upon full repayment of the loan or at maturity. In addition, we have provided the Mason mortgage lenders with a \$30,000 corporate guaranty and cross-collateralization on our Grapevine resort. The corporate guaranty and cross-collateralization on the Grapevine property will remain in place until we make a \$30,000 principal reduction of the Mason loan over the remaining term of the loan. Should there be certain liquidity-producing events, including the sale of majority-owned equity interest in any of our existing properties or the refinance of a mortgage loan on an existing property, we will be required to use 50 percent of the net proceeds towards the \$30,000 mandatory principal reduction. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the extension of this loan in January 2009, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's then-maturity date in November 2009. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$10 that caps the loan at 7.25% interest through November 2009. In conjunction with the extension of this loan in July 2009, we are required to execute an interest rate cap on or before November 30, 2009 through the loan's maturity date. We have not executed this interest rate cap as of November 5, 2009.

*Pocono Mountains Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Pocono Mountains resort. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.10% and matures in December 2016. The loan is currently subject to a 30-year principal amortization schedule. The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. The loan also has customary restrictions on our ability to prepay the loan prior to maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

*Williamsburg Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Williamsburg resort. The loan bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 350 basis points with a minimum rate of 6.25% per annum (effective rate of 6.25% as of September 30, 2009). This loan matures in August 2011 and has a one-year extension available at our option, assuming the property meets an operating performance threshold. The loan has no applicable prepayment fees. The loan has customary covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the closing of this loan, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$522 that caps the loan at 8% interest through the loan's maturity date. This interest rate cap was designated as an ineffective cash flow hedge. We mark the interest rate cap to market and record the change to interest expense.

*Grapevine Mortgage Loan* This loan is secured by our Grapevine resort. On July 31, 2009 the loan maturity was extended to July 1, 2011. We incurred loan fees of \$1,415 related to the extension of this loan. The loan bears interest at a floating rate of 90 day LIBOR plus a spread of 400 basis points with an interest rate floor of 7.00% (effective rate of 7.0% as of September 30, 2009). The loan requires principal amortization payments of \$800 per quarter until maturity. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum tangible net worth provision for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. The loan has no restrictions on the repayment of loan principal and has exit fees that must be paid upon full repayment of the loan or at maturity. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009.

In conjunction with the extension of this loan in July 2009, we were required to provide interest rate protection on a portion of the loan amount through the loan's maturity date. Therefore, we executed an interest rate cap in the amount of \$205 that caps the loan at 7% interest through December 2010. This interest rate cap was designated as an ineffective cash flow hedge. We mark the interest rate cap to market and record the change to interest expense.

*Concord Construction Loan* In April 2008 we closed on a \$63,940 construction loan to fund a portion of the total costs of our Great Wolf Lodge resort under construction in Concord. The four-year loan was potentially expandable to a maximum principal amount of up to \$79,900. The loan bears interest at a floating annual rate of LIBOR plus a spread of

**Table of Contents**

310 basis points, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum (effective rate of 6.50% as of September 30, 2009). The loan requires interest only payments until the one-year anniversary of the conversion date of the property and then requires monthly principal payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule. This loan has customary financial and operating debt compliance covenants associated with an individual mortgaged property, including a minimum consolidated tangible net worth provision. We were in compliance with all covenants under this loan at September 30, 2009. In January 2009, the total commitments under this loan increased from \$63,940 to \$79,900. We incurred loan fees in connection with the increase of our loan commitments.

*Junior Subordinated Debentures* In March 2005 we completed a private offering of \$50,000 of trust preferred securities (TPS) through Great Wolf Capital Trust I (Trust I), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.80% through March 2015 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035 and are callable at no premium after March 2010. In addition, we invested \$1,500 in Trust I's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust I.

Trust I used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$51,550 of our junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the TPS. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$1,600, including \$1,500 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$100 of costs incurred directly by Trust I. Trust I paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 30-year period. The proceeds from our debenture sale, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust I, were \$48,400. We used the net proceeds to retire a construction loan.

In June 2007 we completed a private offering of \$28,125 of TPS through Great Wolf Capital Trust III (Trust III), a Delaware statutory trust which is our subsidiary. The securities pay holders cumulative cash distributions at an annual rate which is fixed at 7.90% through June 2012 and then floats at LIBOR plus a spread of 300 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in June 2017 and are callable at no premium after June 2012. In addition, we invested \$870 in the Trust's common securities, representing 3% of the total capitalization of Trust III.

Trust III used the proceeds of the offering and our investment to purchase from us \$28,995 of our junior subordinated debentures with payment terms that mirror the distribution terms of the trust securities. The costs of the TPS offering totaled \$932, including \$870 of underwriting commissions and expenses and \$62 of costs incurred directly by Trust III. Trust III paid these costs utilizing an investment from us. These costs are being amortized over a 10-year period. The proceeds from our debenture sales, net of the costs of the TPS offering and our investment in Trust III, were \$27,193. We used the net proceeds for development costs.

Issue trusts, like Trust I and Trust III (collectively, the Trusts), are generally variable interest. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary under the Trusts, and accordingly we do not include the financial statements of the Trusts in our consolidated financial statements.

Based on the foregoing accounting authority, our consolidated financial statements present the debentures issued to the Trusts as long-term debt. Our investments in the Trusts are accounted as cost investments and are included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. For financial reporting purposes, we record interest expense on the corresponding debentures in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

*City of Sheboygan Bonds* The City of Sheboygan (the City) bonds represent the face amount of bond anticipation notes (BANs) issued by the City in November 2003 in conjunction with the construction of the Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin. In accordance with the provisions of, we have recognized as a liability the obligations for the BANs. We have an obligation to fund certain minimum guaranteed amounts of room tax payments to be made by the Blue Harbor Resort through 2028, which obligation is indirectly related to the payments by the City on the BANs.

*City of Sheboygan Loan* The City of Sheboygan loan amount represents a loan made by the City in 2004 in conjunction with the construction of the Blue Harbor Resort in Sheboygan, Wisconsin. The loan is noninterest bearing and

**Table of Contents**

matures in 2018. Our obligation to repay the loan will be satisfied by certain minimum guaranteed amounts of real and personal property tax payments to be made by the Blue Harbor Resort through 2018.

*Future Maturities* Future principal requirements on long-term debt are as follows:

<b>Through September 30,</b>	
2010	\$ 14,638
2011	210,809
2012	79,532
2013	3,606
2014	3,892
Thereafter	240,473
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 552,950</b>

**Short-Term Liquidity Requirements**

Our short-term liquidity requirements generally consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses for the next 12 months, including:

recurring maintenance, repairs and other operating expenses necessary to properly maintain and operate our resorts;

debt maturities within the next year;

property taxes and insurance expenses;

interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness;

general and administrative expenses; and

income taxes.

Historically, we have satisfied our short-term liquidity requirements through a combination of operating cash flows and cash on hand. We believe that cash provided by our operations, together with cash on hand, will be sufficient to fund our short-term liquidity requirements for working capital, capital expenditures and debt service for the next 12 months.

**Long-Term Liquidity Requirements**

Our long-term liquidity requirements generally consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for the following items for periods beyond the next 12 months:

scheduled debt maturities;

costs associated with the development of new resorts;

renovations, expansions and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically to our resorts; and

capital contributions and loans to unconsolidated joint ventures.

We expect to meet these needs through a combination of:

**Table of Contents**

Existing working capital,  
Cash provided by operations,  
Proceeds from investing activities, including sales of partial or whole ownership interests in certain of our resorts; and  
Proceeds from financing activities, including mortgage additional or replacement borrowings under future credit facilities, contributions from joint venture partners, and the issuance of equity instruments, including common stock, or additional or replacement debt, as market conditions permit.

We believe these sources of capital will be sufficient to provide for our long-term capital needs.

Our largest long-term expenditures (other than debt maturities) are expected to be for capital expenditures for development of future resorts, routine capital expenditures for our existing resorts, and capital contributions or loans to joint ventures owning resorts under construction or development. Such expenditures were \$48,509 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We expect to have approximately \$300 of such expenditures for the rest of 2009. As discussed above, we expect to meet these requirements through a combination of cash provided by operations and cash on hand.

We currently project that the combination of our cash on hand plus cash provided by operations in 2009 will be sufficient to meet the short-term liquidity requirements, as described above. Based on our current projections, however, we do not believe that we will have sufficient excess amounts of cash available in 2009 in order either to begin development of any new resorts or to make capital contributions to new joint ventures that would develop resorts that we would license and manage. Also, due to the current state of the capital markets, which are marked by the general unavailability of debt financing for large commercial real estate construction projects, we do not expect to have significant expenditures for development of new resorts until we have all equity and debt capital amounts fully committed, including our projected ability to fund our required equity contribution to a project. We believe this may result in our not making any significant expenditures in 2009 for development of new resorts or capital contributions to new joint ventures that develop future resorts.

***Off Balance Sheet Arrangements***

In August 2009 we sold our 30.26% joint venture interest in the joint venture that owns two resorts, Great Wolf Lodge-Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin and Great Wolf Lodge-Sandusky, Ohio to CNL Income Properties, Inc. We currently manage both properties and license the Great Wolf Lodge brand.

We have one unconsolidated joint venture arrangement at September 30, 2009. We account for our unconsolidated joint venture using the equity method of accounting.

Our joint venture with The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation owns the Great Wolf Lodge resort and conference center on a 39-acre land parcel in Grand Mound, Washington. This resort opened in March 2008. This joint venture is a limited liability company. We are a member of that limited liability company with a 49% ownership interest. At September 30, 2009, the joint venture had aggregate outstanding indebtedness to third parties of \$101,765. As of September 30, 2009, we have made combined loan and equity contributions, net of loan repayments, of \$30,092 to the joint venture to fund a portion of construction costs of the resort. In January 2009, the other member of the joint venture purchased \$5,991 of our loan at par.

**Table of Contents**

Based on the nature of the activities conducted in the joint venture, we cannot estimate with any degree of accuracy amounts that we may be required to fund in the long term. We do not currently believe that any additional future funding of the joint venture will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, as we currently do not expect to make any significant future capital contributions to this joint venture.

**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2009:

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payment Terms</b>			<b>More Than 5 Years</b>
		<b>Less Than 1 Year</b>	<b>1-3 Years</b>	<b>3-5 Years</b>	
Debt obligations (1)	\$ 618,826	\$ 25,383	\$ 311,305	\$ 27,612	\$ 254,526
Operating lease obligations	766	424	326	16	
Reserve on unrecognized tax benefits	1,268			1,268	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 620,860</b>	<b>\$ 25,807</b>	<b>\$ 311,631</b>	<b>\$ 28,896</b>	<b>\$ 254,526</b>

(1) Amounts include interest (for fixed rate debt) and principal. They also include \$8,527 of fixed rate debt recognized as a liability related to certain bonds issued by the City of Sheboygan and \$3,343 of fixed rate debt recognized as a liability related to a loan from the City of Sheboygan. These liabilities will be satisfied by certain future minimum guaranteed amounts of real and personal property tax payments and

room tax  
 payments to be  
 made by our  
 Sheboygan  
 resort.

If we develop future resorts where we are the majority owner, we expect to incur significant additional debt and construction contract obligations.

### **Working Capital**

We had \$27,994 of available cash and cash equivalents and working capital deficit of \$4,738 (current assets less current liabilities) at September 30, 2009, compared to the \$14,231 of available cash and cash equivalents and a working capital deficit of \$117,323 at December 31, 2008. The primary reasons for the working capital deficit at September 30, 2009 are:

The use of cash for capital expenditures and investments in and advances to affiliates and of our properties that were under development, and

Less proceeds from issuances of long-term debt.

### **Cash Flows**

*Nine months ended September 30, 2009, compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2008*

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>Increase/ (Decrease)</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 14,056	\$ 27,265	\$(13,209)
Net cash used in investing activities	(34,337)	(108,971)	74,634
Net cash provided by financing activities	34,044	88,993	(54,949)

*Operating Activities.* The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities resulted primarily from a decrease in operating income and accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

**Table of Contents**

*Investing Activities.* The decrease in net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008, resulted primarily from a decrease in contributions to our investments in affiliates offset by proceeds from the sale of our interest in a joint venture as well as an increase in loan repayments received from our affiliate. This net decrease is also due to a decrease in capital expenditures related to our properties that are in service and in development.

*Financing Activities.* The decrease in net cash provided by financing activities resulted primarily from receiving fewer loan proceeds during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

**Inflation**

Our resort properties are able to change room and amenity rates on a daily basis, so the impact of higher inflation can often be passed along to customers. However, a weak economic environment that decreases overall demand for our products and services could restrict our ability to raise room and amenity rates to offset rising costs.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent, in part, upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. Our earnings are also affected by the changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on our interest income from cash and our interest expense from variable-rate debt instruments. We may use derivative financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risks related to our borrowings. We do not intend to use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

As of September 30, 2009, we had total indebtedness of \$552,950. This debt consisted of:

\$69,145 of fixed rate debt secured by two of our resorts. This debt bears interest at 6.96%.

\$74,800 of variable rate debt secured by one of our resorts. This debt bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 425 basis points, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum. The effective rate was 6.50% at September 30, 2009.

\$95,746 of fixed rate debt secured by one of our resorts. This debt bears interest at 6.10%.

\$63,500 of variable rate debt secured by one of our resorts. This debt bears interest at a floating rate of 30-day LIBOR plus a spread of 350 basis points, with a minimum rate of 6.25% per annum. The effective rate was 6.25% at September 30, 2009.

\$78,709 of variable rate debt secured by one of our resorts. This debt bears interest at a floating rate of 90-day LIBOR plus a spread of 400 basis points, with a minimum rate of 7.00% per annum. The effective rate was 7.00% at September 30, 2009.

\$78,549 of variable rate debt secured by one of our resorts. This debt bears interest at a floating annual rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 310 basis points, with a minimum rate of 6.50% per annum. The effective rate was 6.50% at September 30, 2009.

\$51,550 of subordinated debentures that bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.80% through March 2015 and then at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 310 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in March 2035.

## **Table of Contents**

\$28,995 of subordinated debentures that bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.90% through June 2012 and then at a floating rate of LIBOR plus 300 basis points thereafter. The securities mature in June 2017.

\$8,527 of fixed rate debt (effective interest rate of 10.67%) recognized as a liability related to certain bonds issued by the City of Sheboygan and \$3,343 of non-interest bearing debt recognized as a liability related to a loan from the City of Sheboygan. These liabilities will be satisfied by certain future minimum guaranteed amounts of real and personal property tax payments and room tax payments to be made by the Sheboygan resort.

\$86 related to a capital lease that was entered into in June 2009. The lease matures in May 2012.

As of September 30, 2009, we estimate the total fair value of the indebtedness described above to be \$114,662 less than their total carrying values, due to the terms of the existing debt being different than those terms we believe would currently be available to us for indebtedness with similar risks and remaining maturities.

At September 30, 2009, all of our variable rate debt is subject to minimum rate floors. If LIBOR were to increase or decrease by 1% or 100 basis points, there would be no change in interest expense on our variable rate debt based on our debt balances outstanding and current interest rates in effect as of September 30, 2009, as LIBOR plus the loan's basis points would not increase or decrease above the minimum rate floor.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, there were no other material changes in our market risk exposure. For a complete discussion of our market risk associated with interest rate risk as of September 30, 2009, see Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified pursuant to the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met.

Subsequent to our original evaluation in connection with the originally-filed quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we carried out a further evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the third quarter of 2009. In making this evaluation we considered matters relating to the restatement of our previously-issued consolidated financial statements as of and for the period ended September 30, 2009, including the related material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. After consideration of the matters discussed below, we have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of the third quarter of 2009.

### **Material Weakness in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

We are filing our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, to reflect the restatement of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, the notes thereto, and related disclosures for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Our management believes that the errors giving rise to the restatement occurred because of a variety of factors, including the complexity of the calculation of the valuation allowance on certain deferred tax assets and certain spreadsheet errors that were not detected in the related review and approval process. This control deficiency resulted in adjustments to the September 30, 2009 unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, management has concluded that this control deficiency constituted a material weakness. A material weakness is a

control deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

In order to remediate this material weakness in internal control over financial reporting, we will increase the level of detail in our reviews of complex calculations used to derive significant financial statement amounts or estimates.

**Changes In Internal Control**

During the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A, there have not been any changes to our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Remediation Measures for Identified Material Weakness**

In order to remediate this material weakness in internal control over financial reporting, we will increase the level of detail in our reviews of complex calculations used to derive significant financial statement amounts or estimates.

**Table of Contents****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in litigation from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that the outcome of any pending or threatened litigation will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, as is inherent in legal proceedings where issues may be decided by finders of fact, there is a risk that unpredictable decisions, materially adverse to the Company, could occur.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

*A regional, national or global outbreak of influenza or other disease, such as the recent international outbreak of influenza A(H1N1), could adversely affect our business and results of operations.*

An outbreak of influenza or other communicable disease can impact places of public accommodation, such as our resorts. On June 11, 2009 the World Health Organization (WHO) raised its pandemic alert level, related to influenza A(H1N1), to Level 6, meaning that the disease has reached pandemic levels. In the primary markets of at least three of our resorts, localized public-health measures have been implemented as a result of outbreaks of influenza A(H1N1), including travel bans, the closings of schools and businesses, and cancellations of events. These measures, especially if they become more geographically widespread or sustained over significant time periods, or if public perception of the safety or desirability of visiting our resorts is adversely impacted by these measures or by media coverage of the outbreak, could materially reduce demand for our rooms and meeting spaces and, correspondingly, reduce our revenue, negatively affecting our business and results of operations.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, and subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

We did not make any unregistered sales of equity securities during the applicable period.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

We were not in default of our obligations upon any senior securities during the applicable period.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits listed below are incorporated herein by reference to prior SEC filings by the Registrant or are included as exhibits in this Form 10-Q/A.

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.1	Form of Merger Agreement (Delaware) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 12, 2004)
2.2	Form of Merger Agreement (Wisconsin) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 12, 2004)

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation for Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. dated December 9, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 12, 2004)
3.2	Form of Amended and Restated Bylaws of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. effective December 20, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 12, 2004)
4.1	Form of the Common Stock Certificate of Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed October 21, 2004)
4.2	Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2005, between Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 18, 2005)
4.3	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2005, by and among Chase Manhattan Bank USA, National Association, as Delaware trustee; JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as property trustee; Great Wolf Resorts, Inc., as depositor; and James A. Calder, Alex G. Lombardo and J. Michael Schroeder, as administrative trustees (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 18, 2005)
4.4	Junior Subordinated Debenture, dated as of June 15, 2007, between Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. and JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 19, 2007)
4.5	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2007, by and among Great Wolf Resorts, Inc., as depositor, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as property trustee, Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company, as Delaware trustee, and James A. Calder, Alex P. Lombardo and J. Michael Schroeder, as administrative trustees (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 19, 2007)
4.6	Purchase Agreement, dated April 29, 2009, by and among Great Bear Lodge of Wisconsin Dells, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Great Lakes Services, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and CNL Income Partners, LP, a Delaware limited partnership (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2009)
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

\* Filed herewith.

45

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GREAT WOLF RESORTS, INC.

/s/ James A. Calder  
James A. Calder  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Duly authorized officer)  
(Principal Financial and Accounting  
Officer)

Dated: March 1, 2010