

GARTNER INC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

Commission File Number 1-14443

Gartner, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

04-3099750
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

P.O. Box 10212
56 Top Gallant Road
Stamford, CT

06902-7700
(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (203) 316-1111

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 30, 2010, 95,309,605 shares of the registrant's common shares were outstanding.

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	19
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	31
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	32
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	33
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	33
ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	33
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	34

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GARTNER, INC.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 122,364	\$ 116,574
Fees receivable, net	284,098	317,598
Deferred commissions	54,479	70,253
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	57,026	53,400
Total current assets	517,967	557,825
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net	45,205	52,466
Goodwill	503,816	513,612
Intangible assets, net	18,643	24,113
Other assets	88,640	67,263
Total Assets	\$ 1,174,271	\$ 1,215,279
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 162,594	\$ 255,966
Deferred revenues	450,152	437,207
Current portion of long-term debt	279,750	205,000
Total current liabilities	892,496	898,173
Long-term debt	77,250	124,000
Other liabilities	97,544	80,571
Total Liabilities	1,067,290	1,102,744
Stockholders Equity		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$.0005 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized; 156,234,416 shares issued for both periods	78	78
Additional paid-in capital	591,730	590,864
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	6,463	11,322
Accumulated earnings	548,908	509,392
Treasury stock, at cost, 60,939,344 and 60,356,672 common shares, respectively	(1,040,198)	(999,121)
Total Stockholders Equity	106,981	112,535
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 1,174,271	\$ 1,215,279

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GARTNER, INC.Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:				
Research	\$ 209,095	\$ 183,919	\$ 419,768	\$ 371,607
Consulting	75,760	69,314	147,399	139,633
Events	29,340	16,738	42,861	32,264
Total revenues	314,195	269,971	610,028	543,504
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of services and product development	138,336	117,100	261,382	233,744
Selling, general and administrative	130,322	115,367	260,890	230,931
Depreciation	6,440	6,338	13,024	12,813
Amortization of intangibles	2,537	405	5,463	804
Acquisition and integration charges	2,330		5,841	
Total costs and expenses	279,965	239,210	546,600	478,292
Operating income	34,230	30,761	63,428	65,212
Interest expense, net	(3,180)	(4,011)	(6,564)	(8,191)
Other (expense) income, net	(643)	(1,132)	1,109	(2,378)
Income before income taxes	30,407	25,618	57,973	54,643
Provision for income taxes	10,294	8,433	18,457	17,462
Net income	\$ 20,113	\$ 17,185	\$ 39,516	\$ 37,181
Income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.39
Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.39
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	95,657	94,370	95,810	94,134
Diluted	98,855	96,523	99,689	96,344

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GARTNER, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<i>Operating activities:</i>		
Net income	\$ 39,516	\$ 37,181
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of intangibles	18,487	13,617
Stock-based compensation expense	16,034	13,151
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(7,821)	(416)
Deferred taxes	(707)	680
Amortization of debt issue costs	531	714
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Fees receivable, net	21,359	69,215
Deferred commissions	13,497	8,957
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,150	1,253
Other assets	(33,572)	(4,397)
Deferred revenues	26,631	(18,758)
Accounts payable, accrued, and other liabilities	(37,513)	(58,663)
<i>Cash provided by operating activities</i>	61,592	62,534
<i>Investing activities:</i>		
Additions to property, equipment and leasehold improvements	(7,693)	(8,446)
Acquisitions (net of cash received)	(12,151)	
<i>Cash used in investing activities</i>	(19,844)	(8,446)
<i>Financing activities:</i>		
Proceeds from stock issued for stock plans	10,997	4,347
Proceeds from debt issuance	63,000	
Payments on debt	(35,000)	(99,750)
Purchases of treasury stock	(75,104)	(3,659)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	7,821	416
<i>Cash used by financing activities</i>	(28,286)	(98,646)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	13,462	(44,558)
Effects of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(7,672)	593
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	116,574	140,929
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 122,364	\$ 96,964

See the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

GARTNER, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The fiscal year of Gartner, Inc. (the Company) represents the period from January 1 through December 31. When used in these notes, the terms Company, we, us, or our refer to Gartner, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America, as defined in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 270 for interim financial information and with the instructions to Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X on Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes of Gartner, Inc. filed in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In the opinion of management, all normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented herein have been included. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 may not be indicative of the results of operations for the remainder of 2010.

Principles of consolidation. The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of estimates. The preparation of the accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Such estimates include the valuation of accounts receivable, goodwill, intangible assets, other long-lived assets, assets and liabilities acquired in business acquisitions, tax accruals and other liabilities. In addition, estimates are used in revenue recognition, income tax expense, performance-based compensation charges, depreciation and amortization, and the allowance for losses. Management believes its use of estimates in the interim condensed consolidated financial statements to be reasonable.

Management evaluates its estimates on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the general economic environment and actions it may take in the future. We adjust such estimates when facts and circumstances dictate. However, these estimates may involve significant uncertainties and judgments and cannot be determined with precision. In addition, these estimates are based on our best judgment at a point in time and as such these estimates may ultimately differ from actual results. Changes in estimates resulting from weakness in the economic environment or other factors beyond our control could be material and would be reflected in the Company's financial statements in future periods.

Note 2 Acquisitions

In December 2009 the Company acquired AMR Research, Inc. (AMR Research) and Burton Group, Inc. (Burton Group). The Company's consolidated results include the operating results of these acquisitions beginning on their respective acquisition dates. The Company paid a total of \$117.7 million in cash for all of the outstanding shares of AMR Research and Burton Group. The Company considers the allocation of the purchase price to be preliminary as it relates to certain tax related items. The Company recorded \$2.3 million and \$5.8 million of acquisition and integration charges related to these acquisitions in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

In connection with the acquisitions, the Company received contractual indemnifications from the selling shareholders for certain pre-acquisition liabilities of the acquired companies. The Company estimated these liabilities at approximately \$6.1 million. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 805, the Company recorded a \$6.1 million receivable in Prepaid expenses and other current assets and a \$6.1 million liability in Accrued liabilities, which were included in the purchase price allocation. The Company believes the indemnification assets are fully collectible, since a portion of the sale proceeds were escrowed pending resolution of the liabilities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company paid \$3.5 million related to the settlement of a portion of these pre-acquisition liabilities, resulting in a remaining liability balance of \$2.6 million. The Company believes the \$2.6 million is a reasonable estimate of the amount necessary to satisfy the remaining exposures. Of the \$3.5 million paid to date, the Company has received reimbursement of \$1.6 million from the escrow account and expects to receive the remaining \$1.9 million in the third quarter of 2010. These items had no impact on the Company's results of operations or recorded goodwill.

Note 3 Comprehensive Income

The components of Comprehensive income include net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, realized and unrealized gains or losses on interest rate swaps, and deferred gains and losses on defined benefit pension plans.

Amounts recorded in Comprehensive income are as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income:	\$ 20,113	\$ 17,185	\$ 39,516	\$ 37,181
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(3,789)	4,772	(6,329)	5,960
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps	919	1,275	1,623	1,921
Amortization of realized gain on terminated interest rate swap	(13)	(63)	(39)	(137)
Amortization of pension unrealized gain	(54)	(46)	(113)	(90)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,937)	5,938	(4,858)	7,654
Comprehensive income	\$ 17,176	\$ 23,123	\$ 34,658	\$ 44,835

Note 4 Computation of Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Numerator:				
Net income used for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 20,113	\$ 17,185	\$ 39,516	\$ 37,181
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of common shares used in the calculation of basic earnings per share	95,657	94,370	95,810	94,134
Common stock equivalents associated with stock-based compensation plans (1), (2)	3,198	2,153	3,879	2,210

Edgar Filing: GARTNER INC - Form 10-Q

Shares used in the calculation of diluted earnings per share	98,855	96,523	99,689	96,344
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.39
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.39

(1) For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 1.6 million and 3.9 million, respectively, of common stock equivalents were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

(2) For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 0.4 million and 3.1 million, respectively, of common stock equivalents were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Note 5 Stock-Based Compensation

The Company grants stock-based compensation awards as an incentive for employees and directors to contribute to the Company's long-term success. The Company currently awards stock-settled stock appreciation rights, service- and performance-based restricted stock units, and common stock equivalents. At June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately 6.5 million shares of its common

stock, par value \$.0005 per share (the Common Stock) available for awards of stock-based compensation under its 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 505 and 718, as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 (SAB No. 107) and No. 110 (SAB No. 110). Stock-based compensation expense is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, which is recognized over the related service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The service period is the period over which the related service is performed, which is generally the same as the vesting period. At the present time, the Company issues treasury shares upon the exercise, release or settlement of stock-based compensation awards.

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards requires the input of certain highly complex and subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock compensation awards and the Common Stock price volatility. In addition, determining the appropriate amount of associated periodic expense requires management to estimate the amount of employee forfeitures and the likelihood of the achievement of certain performance targets. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards and the associated periodic expense represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change and the Company deems it necessary in the future to modify the assumptions it made or to use different assumptions, or if the quantity and nature of the Company's stock-based compensation awards changes, then the amount of expense may need to be adjusted and future stock compensation expense could be materially different from what has been recorded in the current period.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The Company recognized the following amounts of stock-based compensation expense by award type in the periods indicated (in millions):

Award type:	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Stock appreciation rights (SARs)	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.3	\$ 2.8	\$ 2.4
Common stock equivalents (CSEs)	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Restricted stock units (RSUs)	5.6	4.9	13.0	10.5
Total	\$ 6.8	\$ 6.3	\$ 16.0	\$ 13.1

Stock-based compensation was recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows (in millions):

Amount recorded in:	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Cost of services and product development	\$ 3.1	\$ 3.4	\$ 7.8	\$ 6.5
Selling, general and administrative	3.7	2.9	8.2	6.6
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 6.8	\$ 6.3	\$ 16.0	\$ 13.1

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$54.2 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, which is expected to be recognized as stock-based compensation expense over the remaining weighted-average service period of approximately 2.3 years.

Stock-Based Compensation Awards

The following disclosures provide information regarding the Company's stock-based compensation awards, all of which are classified as equity awards in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 505:

Stock Appreciation Rights

Stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SARs) are settled in common shares and are similar to stock options as they permit the holder to participate in the appreciation of the Common Stock. SARs may be settled in shares of Common Stock by the employee once the applicable vesting criteria have been met. SARs vest ratably over a four-year service period and expire seven years from the grant date. The fair value of SARs awards is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over four years. SARs are awarded only to the Company's executive officers.

When SARs are exercised, the number of shares of Common Stock issued is calculated as follows: (1) the total proceeds from the SARs exercise (calculated as the closing price of the Common Stock on the date of exercise less the exercise price of the SARs, multiplied by the number of SARs exercised) is divided by (2) the closing price of the Common Stock on the exercise date. The Company will withhold a portion of the share of Common Stock issued upon exercise to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. SARs recipients do not have any of the rights of a Gartner stockholder, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends and distributions, until after actual shares of common stock are issued in respect of the award, which is subject to the prior satisfaction of the vesting and other criteria relating to such grants.

A summary of the changes in SARs outstanding for the six months ended June 30, 2010, follows:

	SARs in millions	Per Share Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Per Share Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	2.9	\$ 15.43	\$ 6.09	4.67 years
Granted	0.6	22.06	8.27	6.62 years
Forfeited				na
Exercised	(0.4)	14.55	5.98	na
Outstanding at June 30, 2010 (1)	3.1	\$ 16.78	\$ 4.98	4.78 years
Vested and exercisable at June 30, 2010 (1)	1.4	\$ 16.62	\$ 6.44	3.76 years

na=not applicable

(1) At June 30, 2010, SARs outstanding had an intrinsic value of \$19.7 million. SARs vested and exercisable had an intrinsic value of \$9.3 million.

The fair value of the SARs was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010 (5)	2009	2010	2009
Expected dividend yield (1)		0%	0%	0%
Expected stock price volatility (2)		49%	40%	50%
Risk-free interest rate (3)		1.9%	2.4%	2.3%
Expected life in years (4)		4.6	4.8	4.8

- (1) The dividend yield assumption is based on the history and expectation of the Company's dividend payouts. Historically, Gartner has not paid cash dividends on its Common Stock.
- (2) The determination of expected stock price volatility was based on both historical Common Stock prices and implied volatility from publicly traded options in Common Stock.
- (3) The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield of a U.S. Treasury security with a maturity similar to the expected life of the award.
- (4) The expected life in years is based on the simplified calculation provided for in SEC SAB No. 107. The simplified

method determines the expected life in years based on the vesting period and contractual terms as set forth when the award is made. The Company continues to use the simplified method for SARs awards, as permitted by SEC SAB No. 110, since it does not have the necessary historical exercise and forfeiture data to determine an expected life.

- (5) The Company did not make any grants during this period.

Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, and Common Stock Equivalents

Restricted stock awards give the awardee the right to vote and to receive dividends and distributions on these shares; however, the awardee may not sell the restricted shares until all restrictions on the release of the shares have lapsed and the shares are released.

Restricted stock units (RSUs) give the awardee the right to receive Common Stock when the vesting conditions are met and the restrictions lapse, and each RSU that vests entitles the awardee to one common share. RSU awardees do not have any of the rights of a Gartner stockholder, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends and distributions, until after the common shares are released.

Common stock equivalents (CSEs) are convertible into Common Stock, and each CSE entitles the holder to one common share. Members of our Board of Directors receive directors' fees payable in CSEs unless they opt to receive up to 50% of the fees in cash. Generally, the CSEs are converted when service as a director terminates unless the director has elected an accelerated release.

The fair value of restricted stock, RSUs, and CSEs is determined on the date of grant based on the closing price of the Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on that date. The fair value of these awards is recognized as compensation expense as follows: (i) restricted stock awards vest based on the achievement of a market condition and are expensed on a straight-line basis over approximately three years; (ii) service-based RSUs vest ratably over four years and are expensed on a straight-line basis over four years; (iii) performance-based RSUs are subject to both performance and service conditions, vest ratably over four years, and are expensed on an accelerated basis; and (iv) CSEs vest immediately and are recorded as expense on the date of grant.

A summary of the changes in restricted stock, RSUs and CSEs during the six months ended June 30, 2010, follows:

	Restricted Stock	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value (1)	Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value (1)	Common Stock Equivalents (CSEs)	Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value (1)
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	200,000	\$ 7.30	3,763,805	\$ 14.57	135,224	na
Granted (2), (3)			1,110,449	22.13	10,527	\$ 22.94
Vested or released			(1,423,838)	15.14	(32,994)	na
Forfeited			(45,538)	15.91		na
Outstanding at June 30, 2010 (4), (5)	200,000	\$ 7.30	3,404,878	\$ 16.52	112,757	na

na=not available

(1) Per share.

(2) The 1.1 million RSUs consisted of 0.5 million performance-based RSUs awarded to executives and 0.6 million service-based RSUs awarded to non-executive employees and certain board members. The

0.5 million performance-based RSUs represent the target amount of the award. The actual number of RSUs that will ultimately be granted will be between 0% and 200% of the target amount, depending on the level of achievement of the performance metric. The performance metric is the dollar level of the Company's ending subscription-based contract value for 2010. If the specified minimum level of achievement is not met, the performance-based RSUs will be forfeited in their entirety, and any compensation expense already recorded will be reversed.

- (3) CSEs represent fees paid to directors. The CSEs vest when granted and are convertible into common shares when the director leaves the Board of Directors or earlier if the director elects to accelerate the release.
- (4) Vesting on the 200,000 shares of

restricted stock held by our CEO is subject to a market condition as follows: (i) 100,000 shares will vest when the Common Stock trades at an average price of \$25 or more each trading day for sixty consecutive trading days; and (ii) 100,000 shares will vest when the Common Stock trades at an average price of \$30 or more each trading day for sixty consecutive trading days. There is no remaining unamortized cost on this grant.

- (5) The weighted-average remaining contractual term of the RSUs is 1.6 years. The restricted stock awards and the CSEs have no defined contractual term.

Stock Options

Historically, the Company granted stock options to employees that allowed them to purchase shares of the Common Stock at a certain price. The Company has not made any stock option grants since 2006. All outstanding options are fully vested and there is no remaining unamortized cost. The Company received approximately \$9.5 million and \$2.9 million in cash from option exercises in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A summary of the changes in stock options outstanding in the six months ended June 30, 2010, follows:

	Options in millions	Per Share Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Vested and outstanding at December 31, 2009	4.7	\$ 10.65	3.07 years	\$ 34.8
Expired			na	na
Exercised (1)	(0.9)	10.22	na	na
Vested and outstanding at June 30, 2010	3.8	\$ 10.75	2.72 years	\$ 47.2

na=not applicable

(1) Options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010, had an intrinsic value of \$12.7 million.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has an employee stock purchase plan (the ESPP Plan) under which eligible employees are permitted to purchase Common Stock through payroll deductions, which may not exceed 10% of an employee's compensation (or \$23,750 in any calendar year), at a price equal to 95% of the closing price of the Common Stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange at the end of each offering period.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately 1.5 million shares available for purchase under the ESPP Plan. The ESPP Plan is considered non-compensatory under FASB ASC Topic 718, and as a result the Company does not record compensation expense related to employee share purchases. The Company received approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million in cash from share purchases under the ESPP Plan in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Note 6 Segment Information

The Company manages its business in three reportable segments: Research, Consulting and Events. Research consists primarily of subscription-based research products, access to research inquiry, as well as peer networking services and membership programs. Consulting consists primarily of consulting, measurement engagements, and strategic advisory services. Events consists of various symposia, conferences, and exhibitions.

The Company evaluates reportable segment performance and allocates resources based on gross contribution margin. Gross contribution, as presented in the table below, is defined as operating income excluding certain Cost of services and product development and Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, depreciation, acquisition and integration charges, amortization of intangibles, and Other charges. Certain bonus and fringe benefit costs included in consolidated Cost of services and product development are not allocated to segment expense. The accounting policies used by the reportable segments are the same as those used by the Company.

Edgar Filing: GARTNER INC - Form 10-Q

The Company does not identify or allocate assets, including capital expenditures, by reportable segment. Accordingly, assets are not reported by segment because the information is not available and is not reviewed in the evaluation of segment performance or in making decisions in the allocation of resources. There are no inter-segment revenues.

The following tables present information about the Company's reportable segments (in thousands):

	Research	Consulting	Events	Consolidated
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010:				
Revenues	\$ 209,095	\$ 75,760	\$ 29,340	\$ 314,195
Gross contribution	135,970	31,819	11,499	179,288
Corporate and other expenses				(145,058)
Operating income				\$ 34,230

	Research	Consulting	Events	Consolidated
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Revenues	\$ 183,919	\$ 69,314	\$ 16,738	\$ 269,971
Gross contribution	119,465	27,636	5,584	152,685
Corporate and other expenses				(121,924)
Operating income				\$ 30,761

	Research	Consulting	Events	Consolidated
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010:				
Revenues	\$ 419,768	\$ 147,399	\$ 42,861	\$ 610,028
Gross contribution	274,706	60,241	16,714	351,661
Corporate and other expenses				(288,233)
Operating income				\$ 63,428

	Research	Consulting	Events	Consolidated
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Revenues	\$ 371,607	\$ 139,633	\$ 32,264	\$ 543,504
Gross contribution	244,196	54,656	10,367	309,219
Corporate and other expenses				(244,007)
Operating income				\$ 65,212

Note 7 Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of acquired businesses over the estimated fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible net assets acquired. The evaluation of goodwill is performed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 350, which requires an annual assessment of potential goodwill impairment at the reporting unit level. A reporting unit can be an operating segment or a business if discrete financial information is prepared and reviewed by management. Under the impairment test, if a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value, goodwill impairment is recognized to the extent that the reporting unit's carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill. The fair value of reporting units is estimated using discounted cash flows, market multiples, and other valuation techniques.

The following table presents changes to the carrying amount of goodwill by reporting segment during the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Research	Consulting	Events	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 370,630	\$ 100,744	\$ 42,238	\$ 513,612
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(8,014)	(1,559)	(223)	(9,796)
Balance, June 30, 2010 (1)	\$ 362,616	\$ 99,185	\$ 42,015	\$ 503,816

(1) The Company did not record

any goodwill impairment losses during the six months ended June 30, 2010 or the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. In addition, the Company does not have any accumulated goodwill impairment losses.

Intangible Assets

		Trade	Customer	Noncompete	
June 30, 2010	Content	Name	Relationships	Agreements	Total
Gross cost	\$ 10,634	\$ 5,758	\$ 7,210	\$ 399	\$ 24,001
Accumulated amortization	(3,545)	(576)	(901)	(336)	(5,358)
Net	\$ 7,089	\$ 5,182	\$ 6,309	\$ 63	\$ 18,643

		Trade	Customer	Noncompete	
December 31, 2009	Content	Name	Relationships	Agreements	Total
Gross cost	\$ 10,634	\$ 5,758	\$ 14,910	\$ 416	\$ 31,718
Accumulated amortization			(7,315)	(290)	(7,605)
Net	\$ 10,634	\$ 5,758	\$ 7,595	\$ 126	\$ 24,113

Intangible assets are being amortized against earnings over the following periods:

	Content	Trade Name	Customer Relationships	Noncompete Agreements
Useful Life (Years)	1.5	5	4	2-5

Aggregate amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$2.5 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$5.5 million and \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense by year from purchased intangibles is as follows (in thousands):

2010 (remaining six months)	\$ 5,066
2011	6,525
2012	2,955
2013	2,955
2014 and thereafter	1,142
	\$ 18,643

Note 8 Debt

Credit Agreement

The Company has a Credit Agreement dated as of January 31, 2007, that provides for a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility and a five-year, \$180.0 million term loan (the "original term loan"). On April 9, 2008, the Company entered into a First Amendment with the lenders to the Credit Agreement, which provided for a new \$150.0 million term loan (the "2008 term loan"). The revolving credit facility may be increased up to an additional \$100.0 million at the discretion of the Company's lenders (the "expansion feature"), for a total revolving credit facility of \$400.0 million. However, the \$100.0 million expansion feature may or may not be available to the Company depending upon prevailing credit market conditions. To date, the Company has not sought to borrow under the expansion feature. The following table provides information regarding amounts outstanding under the Company's Credit Agreement:

Description:	Amount Outstanding December 31, 2009	Amount Outstanding June 30, 2010	Annualized Effective Interest Rates June 30, 2010
	(In thousands)	(In thousands)	(3)
Original Term Loan (1)	\$ 126,000	\$ 103,500	5.94%
2008 Term Loan (1)	75,000	62,500	1.79%
Revolver (2)	128,000	191,000	1.35%
Total	\$ 329,000	\$ 357,000	

(1) During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company repaid

\$22.5 million of the original term loan and \$12.5 million of the 2008 term loan pursuant to the loan repayment schedules.

- (2) The Company had approximately \$108.0 million of available borrowing capacity on the revolver (not including the expansion feature) as of June 30, 2010.
- (3) The annualized effective rate on the original term loan consisted of the interest rate swap rate (see below) of 5.06% plus a margin of 0.88%. The effective rate on the 2008 term loan consisted of a three-month LIBOR base rate plus a margin of 1.25%, while the revolver rate consisted of a LIBOR base rate plus a margin of 0.88%.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement carry interest rates that are either prime-based or Libor-based. Interest rates under these borrowings include a base rate plus a margin between 0.00% and 0.75% on Prime-based borrowings and between 0.625% and 1.75% on Libor-based borrowings. Generally, the Company's borrowings are Libor-based. The revolving loans may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed until January 31, 2012, at which time all amounts borrowed

must be repaid. The revolver borrowing capacity is reduced for both amounts outstanding under the revolver and for letters of credit.

The original term loan will be repaid in 18 consecutive quarterly installments which commenced on September 30, 2007, with the final payment due on January 31, 2012, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty or premium at the option of the Company. The 2008 term loan is co-terminus with the original 2007 term loan under the Credit Agreement and will be repaid in 16 consecutive quarterly installments which commenced June 30, 2008, plus a final payment due on January 31, 2012, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty or premium at the option of Gartner.

The Credit Agreement contains certain customary restrictive loan covenants, including, among others, financial covenants requiring a maximum leverage ratio, a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, and a minimum annualized contract value ratio and covenants limiting Gartner's ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make acquisitions, be acquired, dispose of assets, pay dividends, repurchase stock, make capital expenditures, and make investments. A failure to comply with these covenants in the future could result in acceleration of all amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement, which would materially impact our financial condition unless accommodations could be negotiated with our lenders. The Company was in full compliance with its financial covenants as of June 30, 2010.

Interest Rate Swap Hedge

The Company has an interest rate swap contract that hedges the base interest rate risk on its original term loan. The effect of the swap is to convert the floating base rate on the term loan to a fixed rate. Under the swap terms, the Company pays a fixed rate of 5.06% on the original term loan and in return receives a three-month LIBOR rate. The three-month LIBOR rate received on the swap matches the base rate paid on the original term loan since the Company optionally selects a three-month LIBOR rate on the original term loan. The notional amount of the interest rate swap declines over time and constantly matches the outstanding amount of the original term loan. Other critical terms of the swap and the original term loan also match.

The Company accounts for the interest rate swap on its original term loan as a cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815. Since the swap is hedging the forecasted interest payments on the original term loan and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the swap are recorded in Other comprehensive income as long as the swap continues to be a highly effective hedge of the base interest rate risk on the original term loan. Any ineffective portion of change in the fair value of the hedge is recorded in earnings. At June 30, 2010 there was no ineffective portion of the hedge. The interest rate swap had a negative fair value of approximately \$4.5 million at June 30, 2010, which is recorded in Other comprehensive income, net of tax effect.

Letters of Credit

The Company provides letters of credit and related guarantees in the ordinary course of business to facilitate transactions with customers and others. At June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding letters of credit and related guarantees of approximately \$4.0 million.

Note 9 Equity and Stock Programs

Share Repurchases

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$3.5 million available for share repurchases under its previously authorized share repurchase program. On August 5, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new \$500.0 million share repurchase program to be utilized to acquire additional shares of Common Stock.

Repurchases may be made from time-to-time through open market purchases, private transactions, tender offers or other transactions. The amount and timing of repurchases will be subject to the availability of stock, prevailing market conditions, the trading price of the stock, the Company's financial performance and other conditions. Repurchases may also be made from time-to-time in connection with the settlement of the Company's shared-based compensation awards. Repurchases will be funded from cash flow from operations and borrowings under the Company's Credit Agreement.

The Company's share repurchase activity was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Number of shares repurchased	1,636,341	114,257	3,140,041	300,951

Edgar Filing: GARTNER INC - Form 10-Q

Cost of repurchased shares (in thousands):	\$ 39,932	\$ 1,510	\$ 75,104	\$ 3,659
--	-----------	----------	-----------	----------

Note 10 Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$10.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$8.4 million in the prior year quarter. The effective tax rate was 33.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 32.9% for the same period in 2009. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to a change in the estimated annual mix of pre-tax income by jurisdiction.

The provision for income taxes was \$18.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$17.5 million in the same period in 2009. The effective tax rate was 31.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 32.0% for the same period in 2009.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$14.0 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. It is reasonably possible that the gross unrecognized tax benefits will be decreased by \$0.8 million within the next 12 months due primarily to settlements of outstanding audits and the expiration of the relevant statutes of limitation. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had Other liabilities of \$13.2 million and \$13.5 million, respectively, related to long term uncertain tax positions.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an audit of the 2007 tax year early in 2009. The audit is ongoing and the IRS has not formally proposed any adjustments at this time. The Company believes that it has recorded reserves sufficient to cover exposures related to such review. However, the resolution of such matters involves uncertainties and there are no assurances that the ultimate resolution will not exceed the amounts we have recorded. Additionally, the results of the audit could have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in the period or periods for which that determination is made.

Note 11 Derivatives and Hedging

The Company typically enters into a limited number of derivative contracts to offset the potentially negative effects of interest rate and foreign exchange movements. The Company accounts for its outstanding derivative contracts in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, which requires all derivatives, whether designated as hedges or not, to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value.

The following tables provide information regarding the Company's outstanding derivatives contracts as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in thousands, except for number of outstanding contracts):

June 30, 2010

Derivative Contract Type	Number of Contract		Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Gain (Loss) Recorded in OCI (5)
	Contracts	Notional Amount			
Interest Rate Swap (1)	1	\$ 103,500	\$ (4,537)	Other liabilities	\$ (2,722)
Interest Rate Swap (2)	1	93,750	(2,116)	Other liabilities	(701)
Foreign Currency Forwards (3)	16	61,550	(111)	Accrued liabilities	
Total	18	\$ 258,800	\$ (6,764)		\$ (3,423)

December 31, 2009

Derivative Contract Type	Number of Contract		Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Gain (Loss) Recorded in OCI (5)
	Contracts	Notional Amount			
Interest Rate Swap (1)	1	\$ 126,000	\$ (6,594)	Other liabilities	\$ (3,956)

Edgar Filing: GARTNER INC - Form 10-Q

Interest Rate Swap (2)	1	112,500	(2,769)	Other liabilities	(1,090)
Foreign Currency Forwards (3)	19	117,296	740	Other current assets	
Total	21	\$ 355,796	\$ (8,623)		\$ (5,046)

(1) The Company accounts for this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of debt (see Note 8 - Debt), and as a result, changes in fair value are recognized in Other comprehensive income (OCI), net of tax effect.

- (2) Changes in fair value of this swap are recognized in earnings. Prior to September 30, 2009, the Company accounted for this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of debt with changes in fair value recorded in OCI, net of tax effect. On September 30, 2009, the Company discontinued hedge accounting on this interest rate swap and as a result, the remaining loss in OCI is being amortized against earnings through the maturity of the previously hedged debt.
- (3) The Company has foreign exchange transaction risk since it typically enters into transactions in the normal course of business that are denominated in foreign currencies that

differ from the local functional currencies in which the Company and its subsidiaries operate. The Company may enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to offset the effects of this foreign currency transaction risk. These contracts are normally short term in duration. Both realized and unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings since the Company does not designate these contracts as hedges for accounting purposes.

- (4) See Note 12 Fair Value Disclosures for the determination of the fair value of these instruments.
- (5) Represents the amount recorded in OCI, net of tax effect.

At June 30, 2010, the Company's derivative counterparties were all large investment grade financial institutions. The Company did not have any collateral arrangements with its derivative counterparties, and none of the derivative contracts contained credit-risk related contingent features.

The following table provides information regarding gains and losses on the Company's derivative contracts that have been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands):

Amount recorded in:	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Interest expense, net (1)	\$ 1,875	\$ 1,863	\$ 4,318	\$ 3,856
Other income, net (2)	(2,184)	(338)	(2,910)	(1,943)
Total (income) expense, net	\$ (309)	\$ 1,525	\$ 1,408	\$ 1,913

(1) Includes interest expense recorded on interest rate swap contracts.

(2) Includes realized and unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency forward contracts.

Note 12 Fair Value Disclosures

The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, fees receivable from customers, accounts payable, and accruals which are normally short-term in nature. The Company believes the carrying amounts of these financial instruments reasonably approximates their fair value.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had \$357.0 million of outstanding floating rate debt, which is carried at amortized cost. The Company believes the carrying amount of the debt reasonably approximates its fair value as the rate of interest on the term loans and revolver are floating rate which reflect current market rates of interest for similar instruments with comparable maturities.

FASB ASC Topic 820 provides a framework for measuring fair value and a valuation hierarchy based upon the transparency of inputs used in the valuation of an asset or liability. Classification within the hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the resulting fair value measurement. The valuation hierarchy contains three levels:

Level 1 Valuation inputs are unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation inputs are quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and other observable inputs directly or indirectly related to the asset or liability being measured.

Level 3 Valuation inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The following table presents Company assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

Description:	Fair Value June 30, 2010	Fair Value December 31, 2009
Assets:		
Deferred compensation assets (1)	\$ 20,075	\$ 20,214
Foreign currency forward contracts, net (3)		740
	\$ 20,075	\$ 20,954
Liabilities:		
Interest rate swap contracts (2)	\$ 6,653	\$ 9,363
Foreign currency forward contracts, net (3)	111	
	\$ 6,764	\$ 9,363

(1) The Company has two supplemental deferred compensation arrangements for the benefit of certain highly compensated officers, managers and other key employees. The assets consist of investments in money market and mutual funds, and company-owned life insurance. The money market and mutual funds consist of cash equivalents or securities traded in active markets, which the Company considers the fair value of these

assets to be based on a Level 1 input. The value of the Company-owned life insurance is based on indirectly observable prices which the Company considers to be Level 2 inputs.

- (2) The Company has two interest rate swap contracts (see Note 11-Derivatives and Hedging). To determine the fair value of the swaps, the Company relies on mark-to-market valuations prepared by third-party brokers based on observable interest rate yield curves. Accordingly, the fair value of the swaps is determined under a Level 2 input.
- (3) The Company periodically enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates

(see Note 11-Derivatives and Hedging). Valuation of the foreign currency forward contracts is based on foreign currency exchange rates in active markets; thus the Company measures the fair value of these contracts under a Level 2 input.

Note 13 Employee Benefits

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The Company has defined-benefit pension plans in several of its international locations. Benefits paid under these plans are based on years of service and level of employee compensation. The Company accounts for material defined benefit plans in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 715 and 960. None of these plans have plan assets as defined under FASB ASC Topic 960. Net periodic pension expense was \$0.4 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and \$0.8 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Note 14 Commitments and Contingencies

Stamford Headquarters Lease Renewal

Our corporate headquarters is located in approximately 213,000 square feet of leased office space in three buildings located in Stamford, Connecticut. Our Stamford facility accommodates research and analysis, marketing, sales, client support, production, corporate services, executive offices, and administration. The lease for the Stamford facility was scheduled to expire in October 2010.

On April 16, 2010, the Company entered into an amended and restated lease agreement (the 2010 Lease) to renew the lease on the Stamford headquarters facility. Under the terms of the 2010 Lease, the landlord will provide up to \$25.0 million to be used to renovate the three buildings and the parking areas comprising the facility. The 2010 Lease provides for a term of fifteen years, which commences after the earlier of the completion of all of the renovations or June 1, 2012. The 2010 Lease also grants the Company three options to renew at fair market value for five years each, and an option to purchase at fair market value.

In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 840, the Company will account for the 2010 Lease as an operating lease. The total minimum payments the Company will be obligated to pay under the 2010 Lease, including contractual escalation clauses and reduced rents during the renovation period, will be expensed on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The total minimum lease payments under

this non-cancelable lease agreement are approximately \$61.0 million. The tenant improvement allowance will be recorded as deferred rent (liability) and amortized as a reduction to rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Leasehold improvements for which Gartner is determined to be the owner for accounting purposes will be capitalized as fixed assets and amortized to depreciation expense.

Contingencies

We are involved in legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the potential liability, if any, in excess of amounts already accrued from all proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations when resolved in a future period.

The Company has various agreements that may obligate us to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Generally, these indemnification clauses are included in contracts arising in the normal course of business under which we customarily agree to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations related to such matters as title to assets sold and licensed or certain intellectual property rights. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the conditional nature of the Company's obligations and the unique facts of each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by us under these agreements have not been material. As of June 30, 2010, the Company did not have any indemnification agreements that would require material payments.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of the following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is to help facilitate the understanding of significant factors influencing the quarterly operating results, financial condition and cash flows of Gartner, Inc. Additionally, the MD&A also conveys our expectations of the potential impact of known trends, events or uncertainties that may impact future results. You should read this discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Historical results and percentage relationships are not necessarily indicative of operating results for future periods. References to the Company, we, our, and us in this MD&A are to Gartner, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

As previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, in December 2009 we acquired AMR Research, Inc. (AMR Research), and Burton Group, Inc. (Burton Group). As a result, the MD&A disclosures herein include the operating results and business measurements of these acquired businesses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, but excludes them for the comparable periods of 2009.

Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements are any statements other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, hopes, intentions or strategies regarding the future. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as may, will, expects, should, believes, plans, anticipa estimates, predicts, potential, continue, or other words of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements are subject risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Factors That May Affect Future Performance and elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Readers should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's opinion only as of the date on which they were made. Except as required by law, we disclaim any obligation to review or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances as they occur. Readers also should review carefully any risk factors described in other reports filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Gartner, Inc. is the world's leading information technology research and advisory company that helps executives use technology to build, guide and grow their enterprises. We offer independent and objective research and analysis on the information technology, computer hardware, software, communications and related technology industries. We provide comprehensive coverage of the IT industry to approximately 10,900 client organizations, including approximately 400 of the Fortune 500 companies, across 85 countries. Our client base consists primarily of CIOs and other senior IT and executives from a wide variety of business enterprises, government agencies and the investment community.

We have three business segments: Research, Consulting and Events.

Research provides insight for CIOs, other IT executives and professionals, business leaders, technology companies and the investment community through research reports and briefings, access to our analysts, as well as peer networking services and membership programs.

Consulting consists primarily of client engagements that utilize our research insight, benchmarking data, problem-solving methodologies and hands on experience to improve the return on an organization's IT investment through assessments of cost, performance, efficiency and quality.

Events consists of various symposia, summits, and conferences focused on the IT industry as a whole, as well as IT applicable to particular industries and particular roles within an organization.

BUSINESS MEASUREMENTS

We believe the following business measurements are important performance indicators for our business segments:

BUSINESS SEGMENT BUSINESS MEASUREMENTS

Research

Contract value represents the value attributable to all of our subscription-related research products that recognize revenue on a ratable basis. Contract value is calculated as the annualized value of all subscription research contracts in effect at a specific point in time, without regard to the duration of the contract.

Client retention rate represents a measure of client satisfaction and renewed business relationships at a specific point in time. Client retention is calculated on a percentage basis by dividing our current clients, who were also clients a year ago, by all clients from a year ago.

Wallet retention rate represents a measure of the amount of contract value we have retained with clients over a twelve-month period. Wallet retention is calculated on a percentage basis by dividing the contract value of clients, who were clients one year earlier, by the total contract value from a year earlier, excluding the impact of foreign currency exchange. When wallet retention exceeds client retention, it is an indication of retention of higher-spending clients, or increased spending by retained clients, or both.

Number of executive program members represents the number of paid participants in executive programs.

Consulting

Consulting backlog represents future revenue to be derived from in-process consulting, measurement and strategic advisory services engagements.

Utilization rates represent a measure of productivity of our consultants. Utilization rates are calculated for billable headcount on a percentage basis by dividing total hours billed by total hours available to bill.

Billing Rate represents earned billable revenue divided by total billable hours.

Average annualized revenue per billable headcount represents a measure of the revenue generating ability of an average billable consultant and is calculated periodically by multiplying the average billing rate per hour times the utilization percentage times the billable hours available for one year.

Events

Number of events represents the total number of hosted events completed during the period.

Number of attendees represents the number of people who attend events.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL POSITION

The cornerstones of our growth strategy are to focus on producing extraordinary research content, deliver innovative and highly differentiated product offerings, enhance our sales capability, provide world class client service, and improve our operational effectiveness.

We had total revenues of \$314.2 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 16% compared to the prior year quarter. Revenues increased in all three of our business segments, with a particularly strong increase in Events revenues, which increased by 75%. We had net income of \$20.1 million in the second quarter of 2010, an increase of 17% over the prior year quarter, which resulted in diluted earnings per share of \$0.20, an increase of \$.02 over the

prior year quarter. For the six month periods, 2010 revenues increased 12% over 2009, and diluted earnings per share increased by \$.01 per share, to \$0.40.

Research revenues rose 14% quarter-over-quarter, to \$209.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 from \$183.9 million in the prior year quarter. The contribution margin was 65% for both periods. At June 30, 2010, Research contract value was over \$872.2 million, an increase of 19%, or 14% excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation. Approximately 40% of the increase in contract value was attributable to AMR Research and Burton Group. Client retention was 81% and wallet retention was 93% at June 30, 2010, an increase of 4 points and 7 points, respectively, over the prior year quarter.

Consulting revenues increased 9% in the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same quarter in 2009 and the segment contribution margin improved by 2 points. We had a particularly strong quarter in our contract optimization business, which was the primary driver behind both the Consulting revenue increase and higher contribution margin. Consultant utilization was 71% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, a 3 point increase over the prior year quarter. We had 440 billable consultants at June 30, 2010, a 4% decrease from June 30, 2009. For the six month periods, 2010 revenue increased 6% over 2009, and the gross contribution margin improved by 2 points. Events revenues increased 75% quarter-over-quarter, primarily due to timing. We held 21 events in the quarter compared to 14 in the prior year, and we had strong increases in the number of attendees and exhibitors. The quarter-over-quarter segment contribution margin improved by 6 points. We held 30 events in the first half of 2010, with a 33% increase in revenue and a 7 point increase in the contribution margin.

For a more detailed discussion of our segment results, see Segment Results below.

Our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$122.4 million as of June 30, 2010, and we had approximately \$108.0 million of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility. We believe we have a strong cash position and adequate borrowing capacity.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements requires the application of appropriate accounting policies and the use of estimates. The policies discussed below are considered by management to be critical to an understanding of Gartner's financial statements because their application requires complex and subjective management judgments and estimates. Risks related to these critical accounting policies are described below.

Revenue recognition We recognize revenue in accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements (SAB 101), and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition (SAB 104). Once all required criteria for revenue recognition have been met, revenue by significant source is accounted for as follows:

Research revenues are derived from subscription contracts for research products and are deferred and recognized ratably over the applicable contract term. Fees from research reprints are recognized when the reprint is shipped.

Consulting revenues are principally generated from fixed fee and time and material engagements. Revenues from fixed fee contracts are recognized on a percentage of completion basis. Revenues from time and materials engagements are recognized as work is delivered and/or services are provided. Revenues related to contract optimization contracts are contingent in nature and are only recognized upon satisfaction of all conditions related to their payment.

Events revenues are deferred and recognized upon the completion of the related symposium, conference or exhibition.

The majority of research contracts are billable upon signing, absent special terms granted on a limited basis from time to time. All research contracts are non-cancelable and non-refundable, except for government contracts that may have cancellation or fiscal funding clauses, which have not produced material cancellations to date. It is our policy to record the entire amount of the contract that is billable as a fee receivable at the time the contract is signed with a corresponding amount as deferred revenue, since the contract represents a legally enforceable claim.

For those government contracts that permit termination, we bill the client the full amount billable under the contract but only record a receivable equal to the earned portion of the contract. In addition, we only record deferred revenue on these government contracts when cash is received. Deferred revenues attributable to government contracts were \$63.0 million and \$65.3 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. In addition, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had not recognized uncollected receivables or deferred revenues relating to government

contracts that permit termination of \$9.4 million and \$8.3 million, respectively.

Uncollectible fees receivable The allowance for losses is composed of a bad debt and a sales reserve. Provisions are charged against earnings, either as a reduction in revenues or an increase to expense. The measurement of likely and probable losses and the allowance for losses is based on historical loss experience, aging of outstanding receivables, an assessment of current economic conditions and the financial health of specific clients. This evaluation is inherently judgmental and requires material estimates. These valuation reserves are periodically re-evaluated and adjusted as more information about the ultimate collectibility of fees receivable becomes available. Circumstances that could cause our valuation reserves to increase include changes in our clients' liquidity and credit quality, other factors negatively impacting our clients' ability to pay their obligations as they come due, and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. The following table provides our total fees receivable, along with the related allowance for losses (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Total fees receivable	\$ 291,898	\$ 325,698
Allowance for losses	(7,800)	(8,100)
Fees receivable, net	\$ 284,098	\$ 317,598

Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets The evaluation of goodwill is performed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350, which requires goodwill to be assessed for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. In addition, an impairment evaluation of our amortizable intangible assets is also performed on a periodic basis.

Our annual goodwill assessment requires us to estimate the fair values of our reporting units based on estimates of future business operations and market and economic conditions in developing long-term forecasts. If we determine that the fair value of any reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, we must recognize an impairment charge for a portion of the associated goodwill of that reporting unit against earnings in our financial statements.

Factors we consider important that could trigger a review for impairment include the following:

- Significant under-performance relative to historical or projected future operating results;
- Significant changes in the manner of our use of acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- Significant negative industry or economic trends;
- Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and
- Our market capitalization relative to net book value.

Due to the numerous variables associated with our judgments and assumptions relating to the valuation of the reporting units and the effects of changes in circumstances affecting these valuations, both the precision and reliability of the resulting estimates are subject to uncertainty, and as additional information becomes known, we may change our estimates.

Accounting for income taxes As we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions where we operate. This process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our consolidated balance sheets. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets when future realization is in question. We consider the availability of loss carryforwards, existing deferred tax liabilities, future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance. In the event we determine that we are able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of our net recorded amount, an adjustment is made to reduce the valuation allowance and increase income in the period such determination is made. Likewise, if we determine that we will not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance is charged against income in the period such determination is made.

Accounting for stock-based compensation The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC Topics 505 and 718, as interpreted by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 (SAB No. 107) and No. 110 (SAB No. 110). The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense, which is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant, over the

related service period, net of estimated forfeitures (see Note 5 Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards requires the input of certain highly complex and subjective assumptions, including the expected life of the stock compensation awards and the Company's Common Stock price volatility. In addition, determining the appropriate amount of associated periodic expense requires management to estimate the rate of employee forfeitures and the likelihood of achievement of certain performance targets. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of stock compensation awards and the associated periodic expense represent management's best estimates, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change and the Company deems it necessary in the future to modify the assumptions it made or to use different assumptions, or if the quantity and nature of the Company's stock-based compensation awards changes, then the amount of expense may need to be adjusted and future stock compensation expense could be materially different from what has been recorded in the current period.

Restructuring and other accruals We may record accruals for severance costs, costs associated with excess facilities that we have leased, contract terminations, asset impairments, and other items as a result of on-going actions we undertake to streamline our organization, reposition certain businesses and reduce ongoing costs. Estimates of costs to be incurred to complete these actions, such as future lease payments, sublease income, the fair value of assets, and severance and related benefits, are based on assumptions at the time the actions are initiated. These accruals may need to be adjusted to the extent actual costs differ from such estimates. In addition, these actions may be revised due to changes in business conditions that we did not foresee at the time such plans were approved.

We also record accruals during the year for our various employee cash incentive programs. Amounts accrued at the end of each reporting period are based on our estimates and may require adjustment as the ultimate amount paid for these incentives are sometimes not known with certainty until after year end.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overall Results

The following tables summarize the changes in selected line items in our interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operation for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 (1)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Income Increase (Decrease) \$	Income Increase (Decrease) %
Total revenues	\$ 314,195	\$ 269,971	\$ 44,224	16%
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of services & product development	138,336	117,100	(21,236)	(18)%
Selling, general and administrative	130,322	115,367	(14,955)	(13)%
Depreciation	6,440	6,338	(102)	(2)%
Amortization of intangibles	2,537	405	(2,132)	>(100)
Acquisition & integration charges	2,330		(2,330)	(100)%
Operating income	34,230	30,761	3,469	11%
Interest expense, net	(3,180)	(4,011)	831	21%
Other expense, net	(643)	(1,132)	489	43%
Provision for income taxes	10,294	8,433	(1,861)	(22)%
Net income	\$ 20,113	\$ 17,185	\$ 2,928	17%

- (1) Includes the operating results of AMR Research and Burton Group.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (1)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Income Increase (Decrease) \$	Income Increase (Decrease) %
Total revenues	\$ 610,028	\$ 543,504	\$ 66,524	12%
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of services & product development	261,382	233,744	(27,638)	(12)%
Selling, general and administrative	260,890	230,931	(29,959)	(13)%
Depreciation	13,024	12,813	(211)	(2)%
Amortization of intangibles	5,463	804	(4,659)	>(100)%
Acquisition & integration charges	5,841		(5,841)	(100)%
Operating income	63,428	65,212	(1,784)	(3)%
Interest expense, net	(6,564)	(8,191)	1,627	20%
Other income (expense), net	1,109	(2,378)	3,487	>100%
Provision for income taxes	18,457	17,462	(995)	(6)%
Net income	\$ 39,516	\$ 37,181	\$ 2,335	6%

(1) Includes the operating results of AMR Research and Burton Group.

Total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010, increased \$44.2 million, or 16%, compared to the same period in 2009. Revenues increased in all three of our business segments. The impact of foreign currency translation was immaterial. Approximately \$14.0 million of the revenue increase was attributable to AMR Research and Burton Group.

For the six month periods, revenues increased 12% in 2010, or about \$66.5 million, with higher revenues in all three of our business segments. Excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 10%. Approximately \$26.0 million of the \$66.5 million revenue increase was attributable to AMR Research and Burton Group.

Please refer to the section of this MD&A below entitled **Segment Results** for a further discussion of revenues and results by segment.

Cost of services and product development was 18% higher quarter-over-quarter, or \$21.2 million, with foreign currency translation having an immaterial impact. The increase was primarily due to approximately \$14.0 million in higher payroll and related benefits costs, which includes charges for the additional headcount from the AMR Research and Burton Group acquisitions. We also had about \$6.0 million in higher conference expenses due to additional events held in the second quarter of 2010. Cost of services and product development as a percentage of sales increased by 1 point, to 44% from 43%, primarily due to the additional headcount costs related to the AMR Research and Burton Group acquisitions.

For the six month periods, Cost of services and product development increased 12%, or \$27.6 million, in 2010. The unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation added approximately \$4.3 million of the increased expense, and excluding this unfavorable impact, the increase was about 10%. We recognized \$17.0 million in higher payroll and related benefits costs primarily due to the impact of the increased headcount from the AMR Research and Burton

Group acquisitions, \$3.6 million in higher conference expenses and \$2.6 million in higher travel charges, both of which were due to the timing of events and additional events held during the period. Cost of services and product development as a percentage of sales was 43% for both six month periods.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) was \$15.0 million higher quarter-over-quarter, or 13%. The impact of foreign currency translation was immaterial. We recognized \$15.0 million of higher sales commissions, payroll and benefits, and other personnel charges, which included additional headcount costs attributable to AMR Research and Burton Group, as well as higher stock-based compensation expense.

SG&A expense increased 13%, or \$30.0 million, in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year. The unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation added approximately \$5.0 million of the increased expense; excluding this unfavorable impact, the increase in SG&A was 11%. We also had \$25.0 million of higher sales commissions, payroll and benefits, and other personnel charges, which included the additional headcount costs attributable to AMR Research and Burton Group, as well as higher stock-based compensation expense.

Depreciation expense increased 2% for both the three and six month periods of 2010. Capital spending declined to \$7.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$8.4 million in the same period in 2009.

Amortization of intangibles increased in both the three and six month periods of 2010 due to the intangibles recorded related to the acquisitions of AMR Research and Burton Group in December 2009.

Acquisition and Integration Charges were \$2.3 million and \$5.8 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. These charges relate to the acquisitions of AMR Research and Burton Group in December 2009, and include legal, consulting, severance, and other costs.

Operating Income increased \$3.5 million, or 11% quarter-over-quarter, to \$34.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$30.8 million in 2009. Operating income as a percentage of revenues was 11% for both periods.

For the six month periods, operating income declined 3% in 2010. Operating income as a percentage of revenues was 10.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 12.0% for the same period in 2009. The decline was due to the additional intangible amortization and the acquisition and integration charges related to the AMR Research and Burton Group acquisitions.

Please refer to the section of this MD&A entitled Segment Results below for a further discussion of revenues and results by segment.

Interest Expense, Net declined 21% quarter-over-quarter. The decline was primarily due to a reduction in the weighted-average interest rate we paid on our debt, which was somewhat offset by an increase in the average amount of debt outstanding. To a lesser extent, the decline was also due to lower amortization charges on capitalized deferred financing costs.

Interest expense, net declined 20% in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, due to a reduction in the weighted-average interest rate we paid on our debt and to a lesser extent, a slight decline in the average amount of debt outstanding.

Other (Expense) Income, Net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 consisted of net foreign currency exchange gains and losses. In addition, the first six months of 2010 includes a \$2.4 million gain due to an insurance recovery related to a prior period loss.

Provision For Income Taxes was \$10.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$8.4 million in the prior year quarter. The effective tax rate was 33.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 32.9% for the same period in 2009. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to a change in the estimated annual mix of pre-tax income by jurisdiction.

The provision for income taxes was \$18.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$17.5 million in the same period in 2009. The effective tax rate was 31.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 32.0% for the same period in 2009.

Net Income was \$20.1 million and \$17.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, a 17% increase. Basic earnings per share increased \$.03 per share, to \$.021 per share, while diluted earnings per share increased \$.02 per share. The increases reflect the higher net income.

For the six month periods, basic and diluted earnings per share increased \$.02 and \$.01 per share, respectively. The increases reflect the 6% increase in net income, which was partially offset by higher weighted average shares outstanding.

SEGMENT RESULTS

We evaluate reportable segment performance and allocate resources based on gross contribution margin. Gross contribution is defined as operating income excluding certain Cost of services and product development charges, SG&A expenses, Depreciation, Amortization of intangibles, Acquisition and integration charges, and Other charges. Gross contribution margin is defined as gross contribution as a percentage of revenues.

The following sections present the results of our three segments:

Research

	As Of And For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2010(1)	As Of And For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	As Of And For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (1)	As Of And For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
Financial Measurements:								
Revenues (2)	\$ 209,095	\$ 183,919	\$ 25,176	14%	\$ 419,768	\$ 371,607	\$ 48,161	13%
Gross contribution (2)	\$ 135,970	\$ 119,465	\$ 16,505	14%	\$ 274,706	\$ 244,196	\$ 30,510	12%
Gross contribution margin	65%	65%			65%	66%	(1) point	
Business Measurements:								
Contract value (2)	\$ 872,192	\$ 735,974	\$ 136,218	19%				
Client retention	81%	77%	4 points					
Wallet retention	93%	86%	7 points					
Exec. program members	3,833	3,563	270	8%				

(1) Includes AMR Research and Burton Group.

(2) Dollars in thousands.

Research revenues increased 14% on a quarter-over-quarter basis and excluding the favorable effect of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 13%. Approximately 40% of the \$25.1 million revenue increase was attributable to the AMR Research and Burton Group businesses. The segment gross contribution margin was flat quarter-over-quarter, at 65%.

When comparing the six month periods, revenues increased 13% in 2010, with the AMR Research and Burton Group businesses adding slightly less than half of the increase. Adjusted for the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenue increased 11%. The segment gross contribution margin declined 1 point, to 65%, primarily due to additional segment expenses related to the AMR Research and Burton Group businesses.

Research contract value increased \$136.2 million compared to June 30, 2009, a 19% increase. Excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, research contract value increased 14%. Approximately 40% of the \$136.2 million increase in contract value was attributable to the AMR Research and Burton Group businesses.

Consulting

As Of And	As Of And	As Of And	As Of And
--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

	For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2010(1)	For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (1)	For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
Financial Measurements:								
Revenues (2)	\$ 75,760	\$ 69,314	\$ 6,446	9%	\$ 147,399	\$ 139,633	\$ 7,766	6%
Gross contribution (2)	\$ 31,819	\$ 27,636	\$ 4,183	15%	\$ 60,241	\$ 54,656	\$ 5,585	10%
Gross contribution margin	42%	40%	2 points		41%	39%	2 points	
Business Measurements:								
Backlog (2)	\$ 93,600	\$ 81,727	\$ 11,873	15%				
Consultant utilization	71%	68%	3 points		71%	70%	1 point	
Billing rate per hour	\$ 348	\$ 336	\$ 12	4%	\$ 350	\$ 332	\$ 18	5%
Average annualized revenue per billable headcount (2)	\$ 430	\$ 398	\$ 32	8%	\$ 435	\$ 406	\$ 29	7%

(1) Includes AMR Research and Burton Group.

(2) Dollars in thousands.

We had a 9% quarter-over-quarter revenue increase in our Consulting business, but excluding the unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation, Consulting revenues increased 10% quarter-over-quarter. Consulting projects related to AMR Research and Burton Group contributed approximately \$1.4 million of the overall \$6.4 million revenue increase. Consulting billable headcount was 440 at June 30, 2010, a 4% decrease from June 30, 2009.

The higher second quarter 2010 revenues were in our contract optimization business, and to a lesser extent, our strategic advisory (SAS) business, while core consulting revenues were flat. The gross contribution margin improved by 2 points, due to the additional revenues in contract optimization and SAS, which have higher margins than core consulting.

For the six month periods, Consulting revenues increased 6% and excluding the favorable impact from foreign currency translation, revenues increased 4%. The AMR Research and Burton Group businesses added approximately 30% of the \$7.8 million in higher revenues. Consistent with the quarterly results, both the revenue and margin increase were due to the contract optimization and SAS businesses.

Backlog at June 30, 2010 was up 15%, or \$11.9 million, over June 30, 2009, reflecting increases across all of our geographic regions. The AMR Research and Burton Group businesses added approximately \$2.0 million of the increase.

Events

	As Of And For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2010(1)	As Of And For The Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	As Of And For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 (1)	As Of And For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Percentage Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Increase (Decrease)
Financial Measurements:								
Revenues (2)	\$ 29,340	\$ 16,738	\$ 12,602	75%	\$ 42,861	\$ 32,264	\$ 10,597	33%
Gross contribution (2)	\$ 11,499	\$ 5,584	\$ 5,915	>100%	\$ 16,714	\$ 10,367	\$ 6,347	61%
Gross contribution margin	39%	33%	6 points		39%	32%	7 points	
Business Measurements:								
Number of events	21	14	7 events	50%	30	26	4 events	15%
Number of attendees	9,697	5,108	4,589	90%	13,071	9,349	3,722	40%

(1) Includes AMR Research and Burton Group.

(2) Dollars in thousands.

Events revenues increased 75% quarter-over-quarter, or \$12.6 million, with a 90% increase in the number of attendees. Foreign currency translation had an immaterial impact on the revenue increase. We held 21 events in the second quarter of 2010, consisting of 6 ongoing events held in the same quarter of 2009 and 10 events moved into the

quarter on a net basis, for a total of 16 continuing events, and 5 new event launches. The majority of the quarterly revenue increase, approximately \$9.5 million, was due to the timing of events, while the 6 ongoing and 5 new events contributed \$3.1 million. However, when the 16 continuing events held in the second quarter of 2010 are compared to the same 16 events held in 2009, regardless of when the event was held in 2009, revenues from these same events increased 23% in 2010, while the number of attendees increased 22% and the number of exhibitors increased 23%. The quarterly gross contribution margin increased 6 points, primarily due to higher margins on events that were moved into the 2010 second quarter and the new event launches, as compared to the events that were moved out. For the six month periods, revenue increased 33% in 2010, or \$10.6 million, with a 40% increase in the number of attendees. Excluding the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, revenues increased 31%. We held 30 events in the first half of 2010, consisting of 18 ongoing events also held in the first half of 2009, 5 new event launches, and 7 events moved in on a net basis. Revenues increased \$2.3 million and \$2.7 million from the 18 ongoing events and the 5 event launches, respectively, while the net impact of events timing added \$5.6 million. The gross contribution margin increased 7 points in 2010 when comparing the six month periods, due to timing as well as higher margins on the same events held in both periods.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We finance our operations primarily through cash generated from our on-going operating activities. As of June 30, 2010, we had over \$122.0 million of cash and cash equivalents and approximately \$108.0 million of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility. Our cash and cash equivalents are held in numerous locations throughout the world, with over 90% held outside the United States as of June 30, 2010.

We believe that the cash we expect to earn from our on-going operating activities, our existing cash balances, and the borrowing capacity we have under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient for our expected short-term and foreseeable long-term operating needs.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's cash and cash equivalents (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Dollar Increase (Decrease)
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 61,592	\$ 62,534	\$ (942)
Cash used by investing activities	(19,844)	(8,446)	(11,398)
Cash used in financing activities	(28,286)	(98,646)	70,360
Net increase (decrease)	13,462	(44,558)	58,020
Effects of exchange rates	(7,672)	593	(8,265)
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	116,574	140,929	(24,355)
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 122,364	\$ 96,964	\$ 25,400

Operating

Operating cash flow decreased by \$0.9 million, or 2%, due to several factors.

Our operating cash flow decreased because we paid \$6.0 million more related to the settlement of accounts payables in the 2010 period, which was timing related, and we paid \$6.0 million in acquisition and integration payments related to the December 2009 acquisitions of AMR Research and Burton Group. We also paid about \$4.0 million more in bonus payments in 2010.

Almost entirely offsetting these declines in operating cash flow was the \$2.3 million increase in net income, a \$7.0 million decrease in cash payments for severance, interest, and taxes, and \$2.4 million in cash we received from an insurance recovery. We also had a \$3.4 million net increase from other receipts and disbursements.

Investing

We used an additional \$11.4 million of cash in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the prior year, due to \$12.2 million of additional cash paid for the acquisition of Burton Group, which we acquired in late December 2009. We used \$7.7 million of cash for capital expenditures in 2010 compared to \$8.4 million in 2009, a 9% decrease. In total, the Company paid \$117.7 million in cash for all of the outstanding shares of AMR Research and Burton Group, of which \$105.5 million was paid in December 2009 and \$12.2 million was paid in 2010.

Financing

We used \$28.3 million of cash in our financing activities in the 2010 period compared to \$98.6 million used in the prior year period.

On a net basis, we borrowed an additional \$28.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to payments of \$99.8 million in the prior year period. We also realized \$18.8 million from option exercises and excess tax benefits in the 2010 period compared to \$4.8 million in the 2009 period. A higher average stock price in the 2010 period resulted in a significantly increased number of option exercises. We used an additional \$71.4 million in cash for share repurchases in 2010, with \$75.1 million used in the first half of 2010 compared to \$3.6 million in the first half of 2009.

OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

Credit Agreement

At June 30, 2010, we had \$357.0 million outstanding under our Credit Agreement, which includes two amortizing term loans and a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility. The revolving credit facility may be increased up to an additional \$100.0 million at our lenders' discretion (the "expansion feature"), for a total revolving credit facility of \$400.0 million. However, the \$100.0 million expansion feature may or may not be available to us depending upon prevailing credit market conditions.

The term loans are being repaid in consecutive quarterly installments plus a final payment due on January 31, 2012, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty or premium at our option. The revolving loans may be borrowed, repaid and reborrowed until January 31, 2012, at which time all amounts borrowed must be repaid. See Note 8 "Debt" in the accompanying Notes to the interim condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the Company's Credit Agreement.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through June 30, 2010, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions with unconsolidated entities or other persons.

Stamford Headquarters Lease Renewal

Our corporate headquarters is located in approximately 213,000 square feet of leased office space in three buildings located in Stamford, Connecticut. Our Stamford facility accommodates research and analysis, marketing, sales, client support, production, corporate services, executive offices, and administration. The lease for the Stamford facility was scheduled to expire in October 2010.

On April 16, 2010, the Company entered into an amended and restated lease agreement (the "2010 Lease") to renew the lease on the Stamford headquarters facility. Under the terms of the 2010 Lease, the landlord will provide up to \$25.0 million to be used to renovate the three buildings and the parking areas comprising the facility. The 2010 Lease provides for a term of fifteen years, which commences after the earlier of the completion of all of the renovations or June 1, 2012, as well as three (3) five-year renewal options and an option to purchase at fair market value. The total minimum lease payments under this non-cancelable lease agreement are approximately \$61.0 million.

BUSINESS AND TRENDS

Our quarterly and annual revenue, operating income, and cash flow fluctuate as a result of many factors, including: the timing of our Symposium/ITxpo series that normally occurs during the fourth calendar quarter, and other events; the amount of new business generated; the mix of domestic and international business; changes in market demand for our products and services; changes in foreign currency rates; the timing of the development, introduction and marketing of new products and services; competition in the industry; and other factors. The potential fluctuations in our operating income could cause period-to-period comparisons of operating results not to be meaningful and could provide an unreliable indication of future operating results.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE PERFORMANCE

We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond our control. A description of the risk factors associated with our business is included under "Risk Factors" contained in Item 1A. of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K which is incorporated herein by reference.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-20, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*. ASU 2010-20 requires additional disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The purpose of the additional disclosures is to enable users of financial statements to better understand the nature of credit risk inherent in an entity's portfolio of financing receivables and how that risk is analyzed. The new disclosures are required to be made in interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of this rule but do not believe it will have an impact on our consolidated financial results since the rule requires additional disclosure only.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. ASU 2010-06 requires fair value hierarchy disclosures to be further disaggregated by class of assets and liabilities. A class is often a subset of assets or liabilities within a line item in the balance sheet. In addition, significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy are required to be disclosed. These additional disclosure requirements became effective January 1, 2010. In general, Gartner does not anticipate transfers between the different levels of the fair value hierarchy, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, there were none. Our required fair value disclosures are presented in Note 12 *Fair Value Disclosures*, herein in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Beginning January 1, 2011, the FASB will also require additional disclosures regarding changes in Level 3 instruments. Gartner currently does not have any Level 3 instruments.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-14, *Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements*. Under ASU 2009-14, all tangible products containing both software and non-software components, that function together to deliver the product's essential functionality, will no longer be within the scope of rules governing Software revenue recognition (formerly known as SOP 97-2). This means that entities that sell joint hardware and software products that meet the scope exception (i.e., essential functionality) will be required to follow the guidance in ASU 2009-13 below. The Update provides a list of items to consider when determining whether the software and non-software components function together to deliver a product's essential functionality. ASU 2009-14 will be effective for Gartner beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, but early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this rule but do not believe it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*. ASU 2009-13 requires companies to allocate revenue in arrangements involving multiple deliverables based on the estimated selling price of each deliverable, even though such deliverables are not sold separately either by the company itself or other vendors. ASU 2009-13 eliminates the requirement that all undelivered elements must have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. As a result, the new guidance is expected to allow some companies to recognize revenue on transactions that involve multiple deliverables earlier than under current requirements. ASU 2009-13 will be effective for Gartner beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, but early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this rule but do not believe it will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

We had exposure to changes in interest rates arising from the \$166.0 million outstanding on our two term loans and \$191.0 million outstanding on our revolver as of June 30, 2010. All of these borrowings are floating rate, which may be either prime-based or LIBOR-based. Interest rates under these borrowings include a base rate plus a margin currently between 0.00% and 0.75% on prime borrowings and between .625% and 1.75% on LIBOR-based borrowings.

As of June 30, 2010, the annualized interest rates on the original term loan, the 2008 term loan, and the revolver were 1.42%, 1.79%, and 1.35%, respectively. The rates on the original and 2008 term loans consisted of a three-month LIBOR base rate plus margins of 0.875% and 1.25%, respectively. The rate on the revolver consisted of a LIBOR base rate plus a margin of 0.875%.

We have an interest rate swap contract which effectively converts the floating base rate on the original term loan to a fixed rate. As a result, our exposure to interest rate risk on the original term loan is capped. Including the effect of the interest rate swap, the annualized interest rate on the original term loan was 5.94% as of June 30, 2010.

The Company does not hedge the interest rate risk on the 2008 term loan and the revolver. Accordingly, we are exposed to interest rate risk on this debt. A 25 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates would change pre-tax annual interest expense on the \$300.0 million revolver and the \$70.0 million currently outstanding on the 2008 term loan by approximately \$0.9 million.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We have clients in 80 countries and as a result we conduct business in numerous currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Among the major foreign currencies in which we conduct business are the Euro, the British Pound, the Japanese Yen, the Australian dollar, and the Canadian dollar. Our foreign currency exposure results in both translation risk and transaction risk:

Translation Risk

We are exposed to foreign currency translation risk since the functional currencies of our foreign operations are generally denominated in the local currency. Translation risk arises since the assets and liabilities that we report for our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet dates, and these exchange rates fluctuate over time. These foreign currency translation adjustments are deferred and are recorded as a component of stockholders' equity and do not impact our operating results.

A measure of the potential impact of foreign currency translation on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets can be determined through a sensitivity analysis of our cash and cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2010, we had \$122.4 million of cash and cash equivalents, a substantial portion of which was denominated in foreign currencies. If foreign exchange rates in comparison to the U.S. dollar changed by 10%, the amount of cash and cash equivalents we would have reported on June 30, 2010, would have increased or decreased by approximately \$7.5 million.

Our foreign subsidiaries generally operate in a local functional currency that differs from the U.S. dollar. Revenues and expenses in these foreign currencies translate into higher or lower revenues and expenses in U.S. dollars as the U.S. dollar continuously weakens or strengthens against these other currencies. Therefore, changes in exchange rates may affect our consolidated revenues and expenses (as expressed in U.S. dollars) from foreign operations.

Historically, this impact on our consolidated earnings has not been material since foreign currency movements in the major currencies in which we operate tend to impact our revenues and expenses fairly equally.

Transaction Risk

We also have foreign exchange transaction risk since we typically enter into transactions in the normal course of business that are denominated in foreign currencies that differ from local functional currencies in which the foreign subsidiaries operate.

We typically enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to offset the effects of this foreign currency transaction risk. These contracts are normally short term in duration. Unrealized and realized gains and losses are recognized in earnings. At June 30, 2010, we had 16 outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a total notional amount of \$61.5 million and a net unrealized loss of approximately \$0.1 million. All of these contracts matured by the end of July 2010.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of short-term, highly liquid investments classified as cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and interest rate swap contracts. The majority of the Company's cash equivalent investments and its two interest rate swap contracts are with investment grade commercial banks that are participants in the Company's Credit Agreement. Accounts receivable balances deemed to be collectible from customers have limited concentration of credit risk due to our diverse customer base and geographic dispersion.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We have established disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner. Specifically, these controls and procedures ensure that the information is accumulated and communicated to our executive management team, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management conducted an evaluation, as of June 30, 2010, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, under the supervision and with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Based upon that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material Company information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Act.

In addition, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in legal and administrative proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the potential liability, if any, in excess of amounts already accrued from all proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations when resolved in a future period.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

A description of the risk factors associated with our business is included under **Risk Factors** contained in Item 1A. of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

There were no unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this report.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$3.5 million available for share repurchases under its previously authorized share repurchase program. On August 5, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new \$500.0 million share repurchase program to be utilized to acquire additional shares of Common Stock.

Repurchases may be made from time-to-time through open market purchases, private transactions, tender offers or other transactions. The amount and timing of repurchases will be subject to the availability of stock, prevailing market conditions, the trading price of the stock, the Company's financial performance and other conditions. Repurchases may also be made from time-to-time in connection with the settlement of the Company's shared-based compensation awards. Repurchases will be funded from cash flow from operations and borrowings under the Company's Credit Agreement.

The following table provides detail related to repurchases of our Common Stock for treasury in the six months ended June 30, 2010:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under our Share Repurchase Program (in thousands)
2010			
January	2,291	\$ 21.39	
February	949,467	22.93	
March	551,942	24.18	
Total	1,503,700	\$ 23.39	
April	1,527	\$ 24.08	
May	1,068,050	24.36	
June	566,764	24.98	

Edgar Filing: GARTNER INC - Form 10-Q

Total	1,636,341	\$	24.40	\$	500.0 (1)
-------	-----------	----	-------	----	-----------

(1) As of August 5,
2010.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT

NUMBER DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT

- 10.1 Amended and Restated Lease dated April 16, 2010 between Gartner, Inc. and Soundview Farms, LLC.
- 10.2 First Amendment dated April 16, 2010 to Amended and Restated Lease between Gartner, Inc. and Soundview Farms, LLC.
- 31.1 Certification of chief executive officer under Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of chief financial officer under Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a).
- 32 Certification under 18 U.S.C. 1350.
- 101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and (iv) the Notes To Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Items 3, 4, and 5 of Part II are not applicable and have been omitted.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Gartner, Inc.

Date August 9, 2010

/s/ Christopher J. Lafond
Christopher J. Lafond
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)