ALLIED CAPITAL CORP Form N-2/A August 20, 2007 As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 20, 2007

Registration No. 333-141847

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM N-2 REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

x Pre-Effective Amendment No. 2

Post-Effective Amendment No.

ALLIED CAPITAL CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-3434 (202) 721-6100

(Address and Telephone Number, including Area Code, of Principal Executive Offices)

William L. Walton, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Allied Capital Corporation
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-3434

Washington, D.C. 20006-3434

(Name and Address of Agent for Service)

Copies of information to:

Cynthia M. Krus, Esq. Steven B. Boehm, Esq. Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2415

Approximate Date of Proposed Public Offering:

From time to time after the effective date of the Registration Statement.

If any securities being registered on this form will be offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box. x

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Title of Securities Being Registered	Amount Being Registered ⁽¹⁾	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Principal Amount ⁽²⁾	Amount of Registration Fee ⁽³⁾
Debt Securities	\$1,380,000,000	\$1,500,000,000	\$42,366

- (1) In reliance upon Rule 429 under the Securities Act of 1933, this amount is in addition to the amount previously registered by the Registrant under a registration statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-133755). All amounts unsold under the prospectus contained in such prior Registration Statement (a total of \$120,000,000) are carried forward into this Registration Statement, and the prospectus contained as a part of this Registration Statement shall be deemed to be combined with the prospectus contained in the above- referenced registration statement, which has previously been filed.
- (2) Estimated solely for the purpose of computing the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.
- (3) Previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PROSPECTUS (SUBJECT TO COMPLETION)
ISSUED , 2007

\$1,500,000,000

Debt Securities

We may offer, from time to time, up to an aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000,000 of one or more classes or series of debt securities, including notes, debentures, medium-term notes, commercial paper, retail notes or similar obligations evidencing indebtedness in one or more offerings.

The debt securities may be offered at prices and on terms to be described in one or more supplements to this prospectus.

We are an internally managed closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Our investment objective is to achieve current income and capital gains. We seek to achieve our investment objective by investing in primarily private middle market companies in a variety of industries. No assurances can be given that we will continue to achieve our objective.

Please read this prospectus, the accompanying prospectus supplement, if any, and the pricing supplement, if any, before investing in our debt securities and keep it for future reference. The prospectus and the accompanying prospectus supplement, if any, and the pricing supplement, if any, will contain important information about us that a prospective investor should know before investing in our debt securities. We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This information is available free of charge by contacting us at 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC, 20006 or by telephone at (202) 721-6100 or on our website at www.alliedcapital.com. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains such information.

Our 6.875% Notes due 2047 are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AFC.

You should review the information set forth under Risk Factors on page 9 of this prospectus before investing in our debt securities.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This prospectus may not be used to consummate sales of our debt securities unless accompanied by a prospectus supplement and, if applicable, a pricing supplement.

August , 2007

We have not authorized any dealer, salesman or other person to give any information or to make any representation other than those contained in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement, if any, or any pricing supplement, if any, to this prospectus. You must not rely upon any information or representation not contained in this prospectus or any such supplements as if we had authorized it. This prospectus and any such supplements do not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of any offer to buy any security other than the registered securities to which they relate, nor do they constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. The information contained in this prospectus and any such supplements is accurate as of the dates on their covers; however, the prospectus and any supplements will be updated to reflect any material changes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Prospectus Summary	1
Selected Condensed Consolidated Financial Data	6
Where You Can Find Additional Information	8
Risk Factors	9
Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges	18
Use of Proceeds	20
Price Range of Common Stock and Distributions	21
Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Senior Securities	80
Business	83
Portfolio Companies	101
Determination of Net Asset Value	109
Management	113
Portfolio Management	121
Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers	124
Control Persons and Principal Holders of Securities	144
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	146
Tax Status	147
Certain Government Regulations	150
Stock Trading Plans and Ownership Guidelines	154
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	154
Description of Capital Stock	156
Description of Public Notes	163
Plan of Distribution	175
Legal Matters	176
Custodians, Transfer and Dividend Paying Agent and Registrar	176
Brokerage Allocation and Other Practices	177
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	177
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-1

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

This prospectus is part of a registration statement that we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission using the shelf registration process. Under the shelf registration process, which constitutes a delayed offering in

reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, we may offer, from time to time, up to \$1,500,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of debt securities on the terms to be determined at the time of the offering. The debt securities may be offered at prices and on terms described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. This prospectus provides you with a general description of the debt securities we may offer. Each time we use this prospectus to offer debt securities, we will provide a prospectus supplement and, if applicable, a pricing supplement that will contain specific information about the terms of that offering. Please carefully read this prospectus and any such supplements together with the additional information described under Where You Can Find Additional Information in the Prospectus Summary and Risk Factors sections before you make an investment decision.

A prospectus supplement and, if applicable, a pricing supplement may also add to, update or change information contained in this prospectus.

(i)

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary contains basic information about this offering. It may not contain all the information that is important to an investor. For a more complete understanding of this offering, we encourage you to read this entire prospectus and the documents that are referred to in this prospectus, together with any accompanying supplements.

In this prospectus or any accompanying prospectus supplement, unless otherwise indicated, Allied Capital, we, us or our refer to Allied Capital Corporation and its subsidiaries.

BUSINESS (Page 83)

We are a business development company in the private equity business and we are internally managed. We provide long-term debt and equity capital to primarily private middle market companies in a variety of industries. We have participated in the private equity business since we were founded in 1958 and have financed thousands of companies nationwide. Our investment objective is to achieve current income and capital gains.

We believe the private equity capital markets are important to the growth of small and middle market companies because such companies often have difficulty accessing the public debt and equity capital markets. We use the term middle market to include companies with annual revenues typically between \$50 million and \$500 million. We believe that we are well positioned to be a source of capital for such companies.

We primarily invest in the American entrepreneurial economy. At June 30, 2007, our private finance portfolio included investments in 143 companies that generate aggregate annual revenues of over \$12 billion and employ more than 85,000 people.

We generally target companies in less cyclical industries with, among other things, high returns on invested capital, management teams with meaningful equity ownership, well-constructed balance sheets, and the ability to generate free cash flow. As a private equity investor, we spend significant time and effort identifying, structuring, performing due diligence, monitoring, developing, valuing, and ultimately exiting our investments.

Our investment activity is primarily focused on making long-term investments in the debt and equity of primarily private middle market companies. Debt investments may include senior loans, unitranche debt (a single debt investment that is a blend of senior and subordinated debt terms), or subordinated debt (with or without equity features). Equity investments may include a minority equity stake in connection with a debt investment or a substantial equity stake in connection with a buyout transaction. In a buyout transaction, we generally invest in senior debt, subordinated debt and equity (preferred and/or voting or non-voting common) where our equity ownership represents a significant portion of the equity, but may or may not represent a controlling interest.

Our investments in the debt and equity of primarily private middle market companies are generally long-term in nature and are privately negotiated, and no readily available market exists for them. This makes our investments highly illiquid and, as result, we cannot readily trade them. When we make an investment, we enter into a long-term arrangement where our ultimate exit from that investment may be three to ten years in the future.

The capital we provide is used by portfolio companies to fund buyouts, acquisitions, growth, recapitalizations, note purchases, or other types of financings.

1

Our investments are typically structured to provide recurring cash flow in the form of interest income to us as the investor. In addition to earning interest income, we may structure our investments to generate income from management, consulting, diligence, structuring, or other fees. We may also enhance our total return from capital gains through equity features, such as nominal cost warrants, or by investing in equity investments.

We provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies, including, but not limited to, management and consulting services related to corporate finance, marketing, human resources, personnel and board member recruiting, business operations, corporate governance, risk management and other general business matters.

We have elected to be taxed as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, otherwise referred to as the Code. Assuming that we qualify as a regulated investment company, we generally will not be subject to corporate level income taxation on income we timely distribute to our stockholders as dividends. See Tax Status. We pay regular quarterly dividends based upon an estimate of annual taxable income available for distribution to shareholders and the amount of taxable income carried over from the prior year for distribution in the current year. Since 1963, our portfolio has provided sufficient ordinary taxable income and realized net capital gains to sustain or grow our dividends over time.

We are a Maryland corporation and a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which we refer to as the 1940 Act.

As a business development company, we are required to meet certain regulatory tests, the most significant relating to our investments and borrowings. A business development company is required to invest at least 70% of its assets in eligible portfolio companies. A business development company must also maintain a coverage ratio of assets to senior securities of at least 200%. See Certain Government Regulations and Risk Factors.

Our executive offices are located at 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC, 20006-3434 and our telephone number is (202) 721-6100. In addition, we have regional offices in New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles.

Our Internet website address is *www.alliedcapital.com*. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this prospectus and you should not consider information contained on our website to be part of this prospectus.

Our 6.875% Notes due 2047 are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AFC.

DETERMINATION OF

NET ASSET VALUE (Page 109)

Our portfolio investments are generally recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors in the absence of readily available public market values.

Pursuant to the requirements of the 1940 Act, we value substantially all of our portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. Since there is typically no readily available market value for the investments in our portfolio, our Board of Directors determines in good faith the fair value of these portfolio investments pursuant to our valuation policy and consistently applied valuation process.

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while employing a consistently applied valuation process for the types of investments we make. Unlike banks, we are not permitted to provide a general reserve for anticipated loan losses. Instead, we are required to specifically value each individual investment on a quarterly basis. We will record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investment. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/or our equity security has appreciated in value. Without a readily available market value and because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by the Board of Directors may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for the investments, and the differences could be material.

We adjust the valuation of our portfolio quarterly to reflect the change in the value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in value are recorded in our statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION (Page 175)

We may offer, from time to time, up to \$1,500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of debt securities, including notes, debentures, medium-term notes, commercial paper, retail notes or similar obligations evidencing indebtedness, on terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

Our debt securities may be offered at prices and on terms described in one or more supplements to this prospectus. Our debt securities may be offered directly to one or more purchasers, through agents designated from time to time by us, or to or through underwriters or dealers. The supplements to this prospectus relating to any offering of debt securities will identify any agents or underwriters involved in the sale of our debt securities, and will set forth any applicable purchase price, fee and commission or discount arrangement or the basis upon which such amount may be calculated.

We may not offer our debt securities if our BDC asset coverage ratio would be less than 200% after giving effect to such offering. We may not sell debt securities pursuant to this prospectus without delivering a prospectus supplement and, if applicable, a pricing supplement describing the method and terms of the offering of such debt securities.

USE OF PROCEEDS (Page 20)

We intend to use the net proceeds from selling debt securities for general corporate purposes, which includes investing in debt or equity securities in primarily privately negotiated transactions, repayment of indebtedness, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes.

Any supplement to this prospectus relating to any offering of debt securities will more fully identify the use of proceeds from such offering.

RISK FACTORS (Page 9)

Investment in our debt securities involves a number of significant risks relating to our business and our investment objective that you should consider before investing in our debt securities.

Our portfolio of investments is generally illiquid. Our portfolio includes securities primarily issued by private companies. These investments may involve a high degree of business and financial risk; they are illiquid, and may not produce current returns or capital gains. If we were forced to immediately liquidate some or all of the investments in the portfolio, the proceeds of such liquidation could be significantly less than the current value of such investments. We may be required to liquidate some or all of our portfolio investments to meet our debt service obligations or in the event we are required to fulfill our obligations under agreements pursuant to which we guarantee the repayment of indebtedness by third parties.

An economic slowdown may affect the ability of a portfolio company to engage in a liquidity event, which is a transaction that involves the sale or recapitalization of all or part of a portfolio company. These conditions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in our revenues, net income and assets. Numerous other factors may affect a borrower s ability to repay its loan, including the failure to meet its business plan, a downturn in its industry or negative economic conditions.

Our total investment in companies may be significant individually or in the aggregate. As a result, if a significant investment in one or more companies fails to perform as expected, our financial results could be more negatively affected and the magnitude of the loss could be more significant than if we had made smaller investments in more companies.

We may not borrow money unless we maintain asset coverage for indebtedness of at least 200%, which may affect returns to shareholders. We borrow funds to make investments. As a result, we are exposed to the risks of leverage, which may be considered a speculative investment technique. Borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for gain and loss on amounts invested and therefore increase the risks associated with investing in our securities.

A large number of entities and individuals compete for the same kind of investment opportunities as we do. Increased competition would make it more difficult for us to purchase or originate investments at attractive prices. As a result of this competition, sometimes we may be precluded from making otherwise attractive investments.

Our business of making private equity investments and positioning them for liquidity events also may be affected by current and future market conditions.

To maintain our status as a business development company, we must not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets.

We may not be able to pay dividends and failure to qualify as a regulated investment company for tax purposes could have a material adverse effect on the income available for debt service or distributions to our shareholders, which may have a material adverse effect on our total return to common shareholders, if any.

Also, we are subject to certain risks associated with valuing our portfolio, changing interest rates, accessing additional capital, fluctuating financial results, operating in a regulated environment, and certain conflicts of interest.

The trading market or the market value of our publicly issued debt securities may be volatile.

CERTAIN ANTI-TAKEOVER PROVISIONS (Page 158)

Our charter and bylaws, as well as certain statutory and regulatory requirements, contain certain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for Allied Capital. These anti-takeover provisions may inhibit a change in control in circumstances that could give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price for our common stock.

RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (Page 18)

Our ratio of earnings to fixed charges for each of the five years ended December 31, 2006, was 3.6, 12.3, 4.3, 3.4, and 4.2, respectively, and was 4.5 for six months ended June 30, 2007. For more information, see the section entitled Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges in this prospectus.

SENIOR SECURITIES (Page 80)

At June 30, 2007, we had \$1.9 billion of outstanding indebtedness bearing a weighted average annual interest cost of 6.6%. If our portfolio fails to produce adequate returns, we may be unable to make interest or principal payments on our indebtedness when they are due, which could give rise to a default on and acceleration of our indebtedness. Our revolving line of credit and notes payable contain financial and operating covenants that could restrict our business activities, including our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions. Breach of any of those covenants could cause a default under those instruments. Such a default, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on us. In order for us to cover annual interest payments on indebtedness, we had to achieve annual returns on our assets of at least 2.5% as of June 30, 2007, which returns were achieved.

SELECTED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

You should read the condensed consolidated financial information below with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein. Financial information at and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, and 2002, has been derived from our financial statements that were audited by KPMG LLP. Quarterly financial information is derived from unaudited financial data, but in the opinion of management, reflects all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are necessary to present fairly the results for such interim periods. Interim results at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Senior Securities below for more information.

	Six N	l for the Ionths June 30,	A	At and for the Year Ended December 31,					
(in thousands, except per share data)	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002		
	(unai	ıdited)							
Operating Data:									
Interest and related portfolio income:									
Interest and	***	* 101 01 1	.	***	\$210.612	****	***		
dividends	\$204,797	\$ 184,314	\$ 386,427	\$317,153	\$319,642	\$290,719	\$264,042		
Fees and other income	20,831	37,153	66,131	56,999	47,448	38,510	45,886		
Total interest and related portfolio									
income	225,628	221,467	452,558	374,152	367,090	329,229	309,928		
Expenses:									
Interest	64,624	46,346	100,600	77,352	75,650	77,233	70,443		
Employee	50,539	41,826	92,902	78,300	53,739	36,945	33,126		
Employee stock									
options ⁽¹⁾	13,180	8,203	15,599						
Administrative	27,729	21,195	39,005	69,713	34,686	22,387	21,504		
Total operating									
expenses	156,072	117,570	248,106	225,365	164,075	136,565	125,073		
Net investment income									
before income taxes	69,556	103,897	204,452	148,787	203,015	192,664	184,855		
Income tax expense (benefit), including									
excise tax	4,881	12,402	15,221	11,561	2,057	(2,466)	930		
Net investment income	64,675	91,495	189,231	137,226	200,958	195,130	183,925		

Edgar Filing: ALLIED CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Net realized and unrealized gains (losses):														
Net realized gains Net change in unrealized	10	2,545	5	33,075	5	33,301	27	73,496	11	17,240		75,347	۷	14,937
appreciation or depreciation	5	55,024	(4	91,254)	(4	77,409)	46	52,092	(6	58,712)	(*)	78,466)		(571)
Total net gains (losses)	15	57,569		41,821		55,892	73	35,588	۷	18,528		(3,119)	2	14,366
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	\$22	22,244	\$ 1	33,316	\$ 2	245,123	\$87	72,814	\$24	19,486	\$19	92,011	\$22	28,291
Per Share:														
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	1.44	\$	0.94	\$	1.68	\$	6.36	\$	1.88	\$	1.62	\$	2.20
Net investment income plus net realized gains per share ⁽²⁾	\$	1.08	\$	4.38	\$	4.96	\$	2.99	\$	2.40	\$	2.28	\$	2.21
Dividends per common share ⁽²⁾	\$	1.27	\$	1.19	\$	2.47	\$	2.33	\$	2.30	\$	2.28	\$	2.23
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	15	54,446	1	42,466	1	45,599	13	37,274	13	32,458	1	18,351	10)3,574
						6								

At and for the Six Months Ended June 30,

At and for the Year Ended December 31,

(in thousands,	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
except per share data)	(unaudited)					
Balance Sheet Data:	· ·					
Portfolio at value	\$4,471,060	\$4,496,084	\$3,606,355	\$3,013,411	\$2,584,599	\$2,488,167
Total assets	5,045,488	4,887,505	4,025,880	3,260,998	3,019,870	2,794,319
Total debt outstanding ⁽³⁾	1,921,815	1,899,144	1,284,790	1,176,568	954,200	998,450
Undistributed (distributions						
in excess of) earnings	476,015	502,163	112,252	12,084	(13,401)	(15,830)
Shareholders equity	2,991,134	2,841,244	2,620,546	1,979,778	1,914,577	1,546,071
Shareholders equity per						
common share (net asset						
value) ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 19.59	\$ 19.12	\$ 19.17	\$ 14.87	\$ 14.94	\$ 14.22
Common shares						
outstanding at end of						
period	152,652	148,575	136,697	133,099	128,118	108,698
Asset Coverage ratio ⁽⁵⁾	256%	250%	309%	280%	322%	270%
Debt to equity ratio	0.64	0.67	0.49	0.59	0.50	0.65
Other Data:						
Investments funded	\$ 659,141	\$2,437,828	\$1,675,773	\$1,524,523	\$ 931,450	\$ 506,376
Principal collections						
related to investment						
repayments or sales	735,441	1,055,347	1,503,388	909,189	788,328	356,641
Realized gains	120,602	557,470	343,061	267,702	94,305	95,562
Realized losses	(18,057)	(24,169)	(69,565)	(150,462)	(18,958)	(50,625)

	20	007		20	006			20	005	
n thousands, cept per share data)	Qtr 2	Qtr 1	Qtr 4	Qtr 3	Qtr 2	Qtr 1	Qtr 4	Qtr 3	Qtr 2	Qtr 1
uarterly Data naudited):										
tal interest and										
lated portfolio income	\$117,676	\$107,952	\$117,708	\$113,383	\$110,456	\$111,011	\$ 98,169	\$ 94,857	\$ 86,207	\$ 94,91
et investment income	25,175	39,500	49,078	48,658	50,195	41,300	37,073	46,134	15,267	38,75
et increase in net sets resulting from erations	89,158	133,086	33,921	77,886	33,729	99,587	328,140	113,168	311,885	119,62
luted earnings per	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	ĺ
mmon share	0.57	0.87	0.23	0.53	0.24	0.70	2.36	0.82	2.29	0.8

0.61

0.60

0.67

0.63

0.64

0.5

0.57

0.61

0.59

0.58

vidends declared per mmon share⁽⁶⁾ et asset value per

mmon share⁽⁴⁾ 19.59 19.58 19.12 19.38 19.17 19.50 19.17 17.37 17.01 15.2

- (1) Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations below.
- (2) Dividends are based on taxable income, which differs from income for financial reporting purposes. Net investment income and net realized gains are the most significant components of our annual taxable income from which dividends are paid. At December 31, 2006, we had estimated excess taxable income of \$397.1 million carried over for distribution to shareholders in 2007. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Dividends and Distributions below.
- (3) See Senior Securities and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for more information regarding our level of indebtedness.
- (4) We determine net asset value per common share as of the last day of the period presented. The net asset values shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period presented.
- (5) As a business development company, we are generally required to maintain a minimum ratio of 200% of total assets to total borrowings.
- (6) Dividends declared per common share for the fourth quarter of 2006 included the regular quarterly dividend of \$0.62 per common share and an extra dividend of \$0.05 per common share. Dividends declared per common share for the fourth quarter of 2005 included the regular quarterly dividend of \$0.58 per common share and an extra dividend of \$0.03 per common share.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form N-2 together with all amendments and related exhibits under the Securities Act of 1933. The registration statement contains additional information about us and the debt securities being offered by this prospectus.

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can inspect any materials we file with the SEC, without charge, at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the Public Reference Room. The information we file with the SEC is available free of charge by contacting us at 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC, 20006-3434, or by telephone at (202) 721-6100 or on our website at www.alliedcapital.com. The SEC also maintains a website that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding registrants, including us, that file such information electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC s website is www.sec.gov. Information contained on our website or on the SEC s website or on the SEC s website or on the SEC s website to be part of this prospectus.

RISK FACTORS

Investing in Allied Capital involves a number of significant risks relating to our business and investment objective. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will achieve our investment objective.

Our portfolio of investments is illiquid. We generally acquire our investments directly from the issuer in privately negotiated transactions. The majority of the investments in our portfolio are subject to certain restrictions on resale or otherwise have no established trading market. We typically exit our investments when the portfolio company has a liquidity event such as a sale, recapitalization, or initial public offering of the company. The illiquidity of our investments may adversely affect our ability to dispose of debt and equity securities at times when we may need to or when it may be otherwise advantageous for us to liquidate such investments. In addition, if we were forced to immediately liquidate some or all of the investments in the portfolio, the proceeds of such liquidation could be significantly less than the current value of such investments.

Investing in private companies involves a high degree of risk. Our portfolio primarily consists of long-term loans to and investments in middle market private companies. Investments in private businesses involve a high degree of business and financial risk, which can result in substantial losses for us in those investments and accordingly should be considered speculative. There is generally no publicly available information about the companies in which we invest, and we rely significantly on the diligence of our employees and agents to obtain information in connection with our investment decisions. If we are unable to identify all material information about these companies, among other factors, we may fail to receive the expected return on our investment or lose some or all of the money invested in these companies. In addition, these businesses may have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines, smaller market shares and less experienced management than their competition and may be more vulnerable to customer preferences, market conditions, loss of key personnel, or economic downturns, which may adversely affect the return on, or the recovery of, our investment in such businesses. As an investor, we are subject to the risk that a portfolio company may make a business decision that does not serve our interest, which could decrease the value of our investment. Deterioration in a portfolio company s financial condition and prospects may be accompanied by deterioration in any collateral for the loan.

Substantially all of our portfolio investments, which are generally illiquid, are recorded at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors and, as a result, there is uncertainty regarding the value of our portfolio investments. At June 30, 2007, portfolio investments recorded at fair value were 89% of our total assets. Pursuant to the requirements of the 1940 Act, we value substantially all of our investments at fair value as determined in good faith by our Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. Since there is typically no readily available market value for the investments in our portfolio, our Board of Directors determines in good faith the fair value of these investments pursuant to a valuation policy and a consistently applied valuation process.

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while employing a consistently applied valuation process for the types of investments we make. In determining fair value in good faith, we generally obtain financial and other information from portfolio companies, which may represent unaudited, projected or proforma financial information. Unlike banks, we are not

permitted to provide a general reserve for anticipated loan losses; we are instead required by the 1940 Act to specifically value each individual investment on a quarterly basis and record unrealized depreciation for an investment that we believe has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investment. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/or our equity security has appreciated in value. Without a readily available market value and because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by the Board of Directors may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for the investments, and the differences could be material. Our net asset value could be affected if our determination of the fair value of our investments is materially different than the value that we ultimately realize.

We adjust quarterly the valuation of our portfolio to reflect the Board of Directors determination of the fair value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in fair value are recorded in our statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

Economic recessions or downturns could impair our portfolio companies and harm our operating results. Many of the companies in which we have made or will make investments may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions. An economic slowdown may affect the ability of a company to repay our loans or engage in a liquidity event such as a sale, recapitalization, or initial public offering. Our nonperforming assets are likely to increase and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during these periods. Adverse economic conditions also may decrease the value of any collateral securing some of our loans. These conditions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in our revenues, net income, and assets.

Our business of making private equity investments and positioning them for liquidity events also may be affected by current and future market conditions. The absence of an active senior lending environment or a slowdown in middle market merger and acquisition activity may slow the amount of private equity investment activity generally. As a result, the pace of our investment activity may slow. In addition, significant changes in the capital markets could have an effect on the valuations of private companies, which may negatively affect the value of our investments, and on the potential for liquidity events involving such companies. This could affect the timing of exit events in our portfolio and could negatively affect the amount of gains or losses upon exit.

Our borrowers may default on their payments, which may have a negative effect on our financial performance. We make long-term unsecured, subordinated loans and invest in equity securities, which may involve a higher degree of repayment risk. We primarily invest in companies that may have limited financial resources, may be highly leveraged and may be unable to obtain financing from traditional sources. Numerous factors may affect a borrower s ability to repay its loan, including the failure to meet its business plan, a downturn in its industry, or negative economic conditions. A portfolio company s failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans or foreclosure on its secured assets, which could trigger cross defaults under other agreements and jeopardize our portfolio company s ability to meet its obligations under the loans or debt securities that we hold. In addition,

our portfolio companies may have, or may be permitted to incur, other debt that ranks senior to or equally with our securities. This means that payments on such senior-ranking securities may have to be made before we receive any payments on our subordinated loans or debt securities. Deterioration in a borrower s financial condition and prospects may be accompanied by deterioration in any related collateral and may have a negative effect on our financial results.

Our private finance investments may not produce current returns or capital gains. Our private finance investments are typically structured as unsecured debt securities with a relatively high fixed rate of interest and with equity features such as conversion rights, warrants, or options, or as buyouts of companies where we invest in debt and equity securities. As a result, our private finance investments are generally structured to generate interest income from the time they are made and may also produce a realized gain from an accompanying equity feature. We cannot be sure that our portfolio will generate a current return or capital gains.

Our financial results could be negatively affected if a significant portfolio investment fails to perform as expected. Our total investment in companies may be significant individually or in the aggregate. As a result, if a significant investment in one or more companies fails to perform as expected, our financial results could be more negatively affected and the magnitude of the loss could be more significant than if we had made smaller investments in more companies. At June 30, 2007, our largest investments at value were in Mercury Air Centers, Inc. and Business Loan Express, LLC (BLX), which represented 6.3% and 4.4% of our total assets, respectively, and 1.9% and 1.2% of our total interest and related portfolio income, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

BLX is a national, non-bank lender that participates in the Small Business Administration s (SBA) 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program and is licensed by the SBA as a Small Business Lending Company (SBLC). The Office of the Inspector General of the SBA (OIG) and the United States Secret Service are conducting an ongoing investigation of allegedly fraudulently obtained SBA-guaranteed loans issued by BLX. The OIG and the U.S. Department of Justice are also conducting a civil investigation of BLX s lending practices in various jurisdictions. In addition, the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is conducting an investigation of BLX s lending practices under the Business and Industry Loan program. These investigations are ongoing.

As an SBA lender, BLX is also subject to other SBA and OIG audits, investigations, and reviews. These investigations, audits and reviews, changes in the laws or regulations that govern SBLCs or the SBA 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program, or changes in government funding for this program could have a material adverse impact on BLX and, as a result, could negatively affect our financial results.

The current market conditions for small business loans remain very competitive, and as a result, BLX continues to experience high loan prepayments in its securitized loan portfolio. This competitive environment combined with BLX s liquidity constraints has restrained BLX s ability to grow its loan origination volume. Due to the changes in BLX s operations, the status of its current financing facilities and the effect of BLX s current regulatory issues, ongoing investigations and litigation, we are in the process of working with BLX with respect to various potential strategic alternatives including, but not limited to, recapitalization, restructuring, joint venture or sale or divestiture of BLX or some or all of its assets. The ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material adverse impact on BLX s financial condition, and, as a result, our financial results could be negatively

affected. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC .

We borrow money, which magnifies the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and may increase the risk of investing in us. Borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested and, therefore, increase the risks associated with investing in our securities. The debt securities we may issue pursuant to this prospectus and any prospectus supplement, including the applicable pricing supplement, if any, are a form of such borrowings. We borrow from and issue senior debt securities to banks, insurance companies, and other lenders or investors. Holders of these senior securities have fixed dollar claims on our consolidated assets that are superior to the claims of our common shareholders. If the value of our consolidated assets increases, then leveraging would cause the net asset value attributable to our common stock to increase more sharply than it would have had we not leveraged. Conversely, if the value of our consolidated assets decreases, leveraging would cause net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had we not leveraged. Similarly, any increase in our consolidated income in excess of consolidated interest payable on the borrowed funds would cause our net income to increase more than it would without the leverage, while any decrease in our consolidated income would cause net income to decline more sharply than it would have had we not borrowed. Such a decline could negatively affect our ability to make common stock dividend payments. Leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique. We and, indirectly, our stockholders will bear the cost associated with our leverage activity. Our revolving line of credit and notes payable contain financial and operating covenants that could restrict our business activities, including our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions. Breach of any of those covenants could cause a default under those instruments. Such a default, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on us.

At June 30, 2007, we had \$1.9 billion of outstanding indebtedness bearing a weighted average annual interest cost of 6.6% and a debt to equity ratio of 0.64 to 1.00. We may incur additional debt in the future. If our portfolio of investments fails to produce adequate returns, we may be unable to make interest or principal payments on our indebtedness when they are due. In order for us to cover annual interest payments on indebtedness, we must have achieved annual returns on our assets of at least 2.5% as of June 30, 2007, which returns were achieved.

Illustration. The following table illustrates the effect of leverage on returns from an investment in our common stock assuming various annual returns, net of expenses. The calculations in the table below are hypothetical and actual returns may be higher or lower than those appearing below. The calculation assumes (i) \$5,045.5 million in total assets, (ii) an average cost of funds of 6.6%, (iii) \$1,921.8 million in debt outstanding and (iv) \$2,991.1 million of shareholders equity.

Assumed Return on Our Portfolio (net of expenses)

	-20%	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%	20%
Corresponding return to shareholder	-37.98%	-21.11%	-12.67%	-4.24%	4.19%	12.63%	29.50%
			12				

We may not borrow money unless we maintain asset coverage for indebtedness of at least 200%, which may affect returns to shareholders. Under the 1940 Act and the covenants applicable to our public debt, we must maintain asset coverage for total borrowings of at least 200%. Our ability to achieve our investment objective may depend in part on our continued ability to maintain a leveraged capital structure by borrowing from banks, insurance companies or other lenders or investors on favorable terms. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain such leverage. If asset coverage declines to less than 200%, we may be required to sell a portion of our investments when it is disadvantageous to do so. As of June 30, 2007, our asset coverage for senior indebtedness was 256%.

Changes in interest rates may affect our cost of capital and net investment income. Because we borrow money to make investments, our net investment income is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest these funds. As a result, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which would reduce our net investment income. We use a combination of long-term and short-term borrowings and equity capital to finance our investing activities. We utilize our revolving line of credit as a means to bridge to long-term financing. Our long-term fixed-rate investments are financed primarily with long-term fixed-rate debt and equity. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. We have analyzed the potential impact of changes in interest rates on interest income net of interest expense.

Assuming that the balance sheet as of June 30, 2007, were to remain constant and no actions were taken to alter the existing interest rate sensitivity, a hypothetical immediate 1% change in interest rates would have affected net income by approximately 1% over a one year horizon. Although management believes that this measure is indicative of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for potential changes in credit quality, size and composition of the assets on the balance sheet and other business developments that could affect net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the potential outcome simulated by this estimate.

We will continue to need additional capital to grow because we must distribute our income. We will continue to need capital to fund growth in our investments. Historically, we have borrowed from financial institutions or other investors and have issued debt and equity securities to grow our portfolio. A reduction in the availability of new debt or equity capital could limit our ability to grow. We must distribute at least 90% of our investment company taxable ordinary income (as defined in the Code), which excludes realized net long-term capital gains, to our shareholders to maintain our eligibility for the tax benefits available to regulated investment companies. As a result, such earnings will not be available to fund investment originations. In addition, as a business development company, we are generally required to maintain a ratio of at least 200% of total assets to total borrowings, which may restrict our ability to borrow in certain circumstances. We intend to continue to borrow from financial institutions or other investors and issue additional debt and equity securities. If we fail to obtain funds from such sources or from other sources to fund our investments, it could limit our ability to grow, which could have a material adverse effect on the value of our debt securities or common stock.

Loss of regulated investment company tax treatment would substantially reduce net assets and income available for debt service and dividends. We have operated so as to qualify as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Code. If we meet source of income, asset diversification, and distribution requirements, we generally will not be subject to corporate-level income taxation on income we timely distribute to our stockholders as dividends. We would cease to qualify for such tax treatment if we were unable to comply with these requirements. In addition, we may have difficulty meeting the requirement to make distributions to our stockholders because in certain cases we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income. If we fail to qualify as a regulated investment company, we will have to pay corporate-level taxes on all of our income whether or not we distribute it, which would substantially reduce the amount of income available for debt service and distributions to our stockholders. Even if we qualify as a regulated investment company, we generally will be subject to a corporate-level income tax on the income we do not distribute. If we do not distribute at least 98% of our annual taxable income in the year earned, we generally will be required to pay an excise tax on amounts carried over and distributed to shareholders in the next year equal to 4% of the amount by which 98% of our annual taxable income exceeds the distributions from such income for the current year.

There is a risk that our common stockholders may not receive dividends or distributions. We intend to make distributions on a quarterly basis to our stockholders. We may not be able to achieve operating results that will allow us to make distributions at a specific level or to increase the amount of these distributions from time to time. In addition, due to the asset coverage test applicable to us as a business development company, we may be limited in our ability to make distributions. Also, certain of our credit facilities limit our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions. If we do not distribute a certain percentage of our income annually, we will suffer adverse tax consequences, including possible loss of the tax benefits available to us as a regulated investment company. In addition, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and tax regulations, we include in income certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as contractual payment-in-kind interest, which represents contractual interest added to the loan balance that becomes due at the end of the loan term, or the accrual of original issue discount. The increases in loan balances as a result of contractual payment-in-kind arrangements are included in income in advance of receiving cash payment and are separately included in the change in accrued or reinvested interest and dividends in our consolidated statement of cash flows. Since we may recognize income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty meeting the requirement to distribute at least 90% of our investment company taxable income to obtain tax benefits as a regulated investment company.

We operate in a competitive market for investment opportunities. We compete for investments with a large number of private equity funds and mezzanine funds, other business development companies, investment banks, other equity and non-equity based investment funds, and other sources of financing, including specialty finance companies and traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks. Some of our competitors may have greater resources than we do. Increased competition would make it more difficult for us to purchase or originate investments at attractive prices. As a result of this competition, sometimes we may be precluded from making otherwise attractive investments.

There are potential conflicts of interest between us and the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. Certain of our officers serve or may serve in an investment management capacity to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (the Fund), a fund that generally invests in senior, unitranche and second lien debt. Specifically, the credit committee for the Fund includes certain of our officers who serve in similar roles for us. These investment professionals intend to allocate such time and attention as is deemed appropriate and necessary to carry out the operations of the Fund effectively. In this respect, they may experience diversions of their attention from us and potential conflicts of interest between their work for us and their work for the Fund in the event that the interests of the Fund run counter to our interests. Accordingly, they may have obligations to investors in the Fund, the fulfillment of which might not be in the best interests of us or our shareholders.

We have sold assets to the Fund and, as part of our investment strategy, we may offer to sell additional assets to the Fund or we may purchase assets from the Fund. While assets may be sold or purchased at prices that are consistent with those that could be obtained from third parties in the marketplace, there is an inherent conflict of interest in such transactions between us and the Fund.

Although the Fund has a different primary investment objective than we do, the Fund may, from time to time, invest in the same or similar asset classes that we target. These investments may be made at the direction of the same individuals acting in their capacity on behalf of us and the Fund. As a result, such individuals may face conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities between us and the Fund. To the extent the Fund invests in the same or similar asset classes, the scope of opportunities otherwise available to us may be adversely affected. We may also have the same or similar conflicts of interest with one or more financing vehicles associated with the Fund.

Our business depends on our key personnel. We depend on the continued services of our executive officers and other key management personnel. If we were to lose any of these officers or other management personnel, such a loss could result in inefficiencies in our operations and lost business opportunities, which could have a negative effect on our business.

Changes in the law or regulations that govern us could have a material impact on us or our operations. We are regulated by the SEC. In addition, changes in the laws or regulations that govern business development companies, regulated investment companies, and real estate investment trusts may significantly affect our business. Any change in the law or regulations that govern our business could have a material impact on us or our operations. Laws and regulations may be changed from time to time, and the interpretations of the relevant laws and regulations also are subject to change, which may have a material effect on our operations.

Failure to invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets could preclude us from investing in accordance with our current business strategy. As a business development company, we may not acquire any assets other than qualifying assets unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70% of our total assets are qualifying assets. See Certain Government Regulations. Therefore, we may be precluded from investing in what we believe are attractive investments if such investments are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 1940 Act. If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could lose our status as a business development company, which would have a material adverse effect on our business,

financial condition and results of operations. Similarly, these rules could prevent us from making additional investments in existing portfolio companies, which could result in the dilution of our position, or could require us to dispose of investments at inopportune times in order to comply with the 1940 Act. If we were forced to sell nonqualifying investments in the portfolio for compliance purposes, the proceeds from such sale could be significantly less than the current value of such investments.

Results may fluctuate and may not be indicative of future performance. Our operating results may fluctuate and, therefore, you should not rely on current or historical period results to be indicative of our performance in future reporting periods. Factors that could cause operating results to fluctuate include, but are not limited to, variations in the investment origination volume and fee income earned, changes in the accrual status of our loans and debt securities, variations in timing of prepayments, variations in and the timing of the recognition of net realized gains or losses and changes in unrealized appreciation or depreciation, the level of our expenses, the degree to which we encounter competition in our markets, and general economic conditions.

Our common stock price may be volatile. The trading price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially. The price of the common stock may be higher or lower than the price paid by stockholders, depending on many factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time;

significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of business development companies or other financial services companies;

volatility resulting from trading in derivative securities related to our common stock including puts, calls, long-term equity anticipation securities, or LEAPs, or short trading positions;

changes in laws or regulatory policies or tax guidelines with respect to business development companies or regulated investment companies;

actual or anticipated changes in our earnings or fluctuations in our operating results or changes in the expectations of securities analysts;

general economic conditions and trends;

loss of a major funding source; or

departures of key personnel.

The trading market or market value of our publicly issued debt securities may be volatile. Our publicly issued debt securities may or may not have an established trading market. We cannot assure that a trading market for our publicly issued debt securities will ever develop or be maintained if developed. In addition to our creditworthiness, many factors may materially adversely affect the trading market for, and market value of, our publicly issued debt securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

the time remaining to the maturity of these debt securities;

the outstanding principal amount of debt securities with terms identical to these debt securities;

16

the supply of debt securities trading in the secondary market, if any;

the redemption or repayment features, if any, of these debt securities;

the level, direction and volatility of market interest rates generally; and

market rates of interest higher or lower than rates borne by the debt securities.

There also may be a limited number of buyers for our debt securities. This too may materially adversely affect the market value of the debt securities or the trading market for the debt securities.

Our credit ratings may not reflect all risks of an investment in the debt securities. Our credit ratings are an assessment of our ability to pay our obligations. Consequently, real or anticipated changes in our credit ratings will generally affect the market value of the publicly issued debt securities. Our credit ratings, however, may not reflect the potential impact of risks related to market conditions generally or other factors discussed above on the market value of, or trading market for, the publicly issued debt securities.

Terms relating to redemption may materially adversely affect the return on the debt securities. If our debt securities are redeemable at our option, we may choose to redeem the debt securities at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on the debt securities. In addition, if the debt securities are subject to mandatory redemption, we may also be required to redeem the debt securities at times when prevailing interest rates are lower than the interest rate paid on the debt securities. In this circumstance, a holder of the debt securities may not be able to reinvest the redemption proceeds in a comparable security at an effective interest rate as high as the debt securities being redeemed.

RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES

For the six months ended June 30, 2007, and each of the five years ended December 31, 2006, the ratios of earnings to fixed charges of the Company, computed as set forth below, were as follows:

	Six							
	Months							
	Ended							
	June 30,	Year Ended December 31,						
	2007(1)	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002		
Earnings to Fixed Charges*	4.5	3.6	12.3	4.3	3.4	4.2		

For purposes of computing the ratios of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent net increase in net assets resulting from operations plus (or minus) income tax expense (benefit), including excise tax expense plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest expense, a portion of rent expense and preferred stock dividend expense. We have assumed that one-third of the annual rent expense represents fixed charges.

- * Earnings include the net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation can vary substantially from year to year. Excluding the net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation, the earnings to fixed charges ratio would be 3.6 for the six months ended June 30, 2007⁽¹⁾, and 8.2, 6.4, 5.2, 4.4, and 4.2 for each of the five years ended December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (1) The results for the six months ended June 30, 2007, are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus, and any prospectus supplement and pricing supplement, if any, accompanying this prospectus contains forward-looking statements. These statements include the plans and objectives of management for future operations and financial objectives and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate or continue or the nego or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are subject to the inherent uncertainties in predicting future results and conditions. Certain factors that could cause actual results and conditions to differ materially from those projected in these forward-looking statements are set forth above in the Risk Factors section. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include:

changes in the economy;

risks associated with possible disruption in our operations due to terrorism;

future changes in laws or regulations and conditions in our operating areas; and

other risks and uncertainties as may be detailed from time to time in our public announcements and SEC filings. The matters described in Risk Factors and certain other factors noted throughout this prospectus and any prospectus supplement and pricing supplement, if any, accompanying this prospectus and in any exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, constitute cautionary statements identifying important factors with respect to any such forward-looking statements, including certain risks and uncertainties, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in such forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the assumptions on which these forward-looking statements are based are reasonable, any of those assumptions could prove to be inaccurate, and as a result, the forward-looking statements based on those assumptions also could be incorrect. Important assumptions include our ability to originate new investments, maintain certain margins and levels of profitability, access the capital markets for debt and equity capital, the ability to meet regulatory requirements and the ability to maintain certain debt to asset ratios. In light of these and other uncertainties, the inclusion of a projection or forward-looking statement in this prospectus and any prospectus supplement and pricing supplement, if any, accompanying this prospectus should not be regarded as a representation by us that our plans and objectives will be achieved. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this prospectus and the date on the cover of any such supplements with respect to such supplements. The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus and any accompanying prospectus supplement are excluded from the safe harbor protection provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We intend to use the net proceeds from selling debt securities for general corporate purposes, which may include investing in debt or equity securities in primarily privately negotiated transactions, repayment of indebtedness, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes. Because our primary business is to provide long-term debt and equity capital to primarily middle-market companies, we are continuously identifying, reviewing and, to the extent consistent with our investment objective, funding new investments. As a result, we typically raise capital as we deem appropriate to fund such new investments. Any supplement to this prospectus relating to an offering will more fully identify the use of the proceeds from such offering.

We anticipate that substantially all of the net proceeds of any offering of debt securities will be used, as described above or in any prospectus supplement and pricing supplement, if any, accompanying this prospectus, within six months, but in no event longer than two years. Pending investment, we intend to invest the net proceeds of any offering of debt securities in time deposits, income-producing securities with maturities of three months or less that are issued or guaranteed by the federal government or an agency of the federal government, high quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment or other qualifying investments. Our ability to achieve our investment objective may be limited to the extent that the net proceeds of any offering of debt securities, pending full investment, are held in lower-yielding time deposits and other short-term instruments.

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ALD. The following table lists the high and low closing sales prices for our common stock, the closing sales price as a percentage of net asset value (NAV) and quarterly dividends per share. On August 17, 2007, the last reported closing sale price of our common stock was \$29.58 per share.

			g Sales ice	Premium of High	Premium of Low	D 1 1
	$NAV^{(1)}$	High	Low	Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾	Sales Price to NAV ⁽²⁾	Declared Dividends
Year ended December 31, 2005						
First Quarter	\$15.22	\$27.84	\$24.89	183%	164%	\$0.57
Second Quarter	\$17.01	\$29.29	\$25.83	172%	152%	\$0.57
Third Quarter	\$17.37	\$29.17	\$26.92	168%	155%	\$0.58
Fourth Quarter	\$19.17	\$30.80	\$26.11	161%	136%	\$0.58
Extra Dividend						\$0.03
Year ended December 31, 2006						
First Quarter	\$19.50	\$30.68	\$28.51	157%	146%	\$0.59
Second Quarter	\$19.17	\$31.32	\$28.77	163%	150%	\$0.60
Third Quarter	\$19.38	\$30.88	\$27.30	159%	141%	\$0.61
Fourth Quarter	\$19.12	\$32.70	\$29.99	171%	157%	\$0.62
Extra Dividend						\$0.05
Year ended December 31, 2007						
First Quarter	\$19.58	\$32.98	\$28.05	168%	143%	\$0.63
Second Quarter	\$19.59	\$32.96	\$28.90	168%	148%	\$0.64
Third Quarter (through						
August 17, 2007)	*	\$32.87	\$27.10	*	*	\$0.65(3)
Fourth Quarter	*	*	*	*	*	\$0.65(3)

⁽¹⁾ Net asset value per share is determined as of the last day in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the net asset value per share on the date of the high and low sales prices. The net asset values shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

Our common stock continues to trade in excess of net asset value. There can be no assurance, however, that our shares will continue to trade at a premium to our net asset value.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends to shareholders of our common stock. The amount of our quarterly dividends is determined by our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has established a dividend policy to review the dividend rate quarterly, and may adjust the quarterly dividend rate throughout the year. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Dividends and Distributions and Tax Status. There can be no assurance that we will achieve investment results or maintain a tax status that will permit any particular

⁽²⁾ Calculated as the respective high or low closing sales price divided by NAV.

⁽³⁾ On July 27, 2007, our Board of Directors declared a \$0.65 per share dividend for both the third and fourth quarters of 2007. See Management s Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations Dividends and Distributions below.

^{*} Not determinable at the time of filing.

level of dividend payment. Certain of our credit facilities limit our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions.

We maintain an opt in dividend reinvestment plan for our common shareholders. As a result, if our Board of Directors declares a dividend, then our shareholders will receive cash dividends, unless they specifically opt in to the dividend reinvestment plan to reinvest their dividends and receive additional shares of common stock. See Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial

Statements and the Notes thereto. In addition, this prospectus contains certain forward-looking statements. These
statements include the plans and objectives of management for future operations and financial objectives and can be
identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, or
continue or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology. These forward-looking
statements are subject to the inherent uncertainties in predicting future results and conditions. Certain factors that
could cause actual results and conditions to differ materially from those projected in these forward-looking statements
are set forth in Risk Factors above. Other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include:

changes in the economy;

risks associated with possible disruption in our operations due to terrorism;

future changes in laws or regulations and conditions in our operating areas; and

other risks and uncertainties as may be detailed from time to time in our public announcements and SEC filings. Financial or other information presented for private finance portfolio companies has been obtained from the portfolio companies, and this financial information presented may represent unaudited, projected or pro forma financial information, and therefore may not be indicative of actual results. In addition, the private equity industry uses financial measures such as EBITDA or EBITDAM (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, Amortization and, in some instances, Management fees) in order to assess a portfolio company s financial performance and to value a portfolio company. EBITDA and EBITDAM are not intended to represent cash flow from operations as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations or any other measure of performance prescribed by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

OVERVIEW

As a business development company, we are in the private equity business. Specifically, we provide long-term debt and equity investment capital to companies in a variety of industries. Our private finance activity principally involves providing financing to middle market U.S. companies through privately negotiated long-term debt and equity investment capital. Our financing is generally used to fund buyouts, acquisitions, growth, recapitalizations, note purchases, and other types of financings. We generally invest in private companies though, from time to time, we may invest in companies that are public but lack access to additional public capital. Our investment objective is to achieve current income and capital gains.

Our portfolio composition at June 30, 2007 and 2006, and at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	Jun	e 30 ,	December 31,		31,
	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004
Private finance	97%	96%	97%	96%	76%
Commercial real estate finance ⁽¹⁾	3%	4%	3%	4%	24%

(1) On May 3, 2005, we completed the sale of our portfolio of non-investment grade commercial mortgage-backed securities and real estate related collateralized debt obligation bonds and preferred shares investments. Upon the completion of this transaction, our lending and investment activity has been focused primarily on private finance investments.

Our earnings depend primarily on the level of interest and dividend income, fee and other income, and net realized and unrealized gains or losses on our investment portfolio after deducting interest expense on borrowed capital, operating expenses and income taxes, including excise tax. Interest income results from the stated interest rate earned on a loan or debt security and the amortization of loan origination fees and discounts. The level of interest income is directly related to the balance of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. Our ability to generate interest income is dependent on economic, regulatory, and competitive factors that influence new investment activity, interest rates on the types of loans we make, the level of repayments in the portfolio, the amount of loans and debt securities for which interest is not accruing and our ability to secure debt and equity capital for our investment activities. The level of fee income is primarily related to the level of new investment activity and the level of fees earned from portfolio companies. The level of investment activity can vary substantially from period to period depending on many factors, including the amount of debt and equity capital available to middle market companies, the level of merger and acquisition activity for such companies, the general economic environment, and the competitive environment for the types of investments we make.

Because we are a regulated investment company for tax purposes, we intend to distribute substantially all of our annual taxable income available for distribution to shareholders as dividends to our shareholders. See Other Matters below.

PORTFOLIO AND INVESTMENT ACTIVITY

The total portfolio at value, investment activity, and the yield on interest-bearing investments at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and at and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	At and Six Mont June		Years	per 31,		
	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004	
(\$ in millions)						
Portfolio at value	\$4,471.1	\$3,593.5	\$4,496.1	\$3,606.4	\$3,013.4	
Investments funded ⁽¹⁾	\$ 659.1	\$1,251.2	\$2,437.8	\$1,675.8	\$1,524.5	
Change in accrued or reinvested						
interest and dividends ⁽²⁾	\$ 17.7	\$ (9.1)	\$ 11.3	\$ 6.6	\$ 52.2	
Principal collections related to						
investment repayments						
or sales ⁽³⁾	\$ 735.4	\$ 769.6	\$1,055.3	\$1,503.4	\$ 909.2	
Yield on interest-bearing						
investments ⁽⁴⁾	11.6%	12.6%	11.8%	12.8%	14.0%	

- (1) Investments funded included investments acquired through the issuance of our common stock as consideration totaling \$7.2 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. See also Private Finance below.
- (2) Includes changes in accrued or reinvested interest related to our investments in money market securities of \$4.7 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (3) Principal collections related to investment repayments or sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007, included collections of \$182.4 million related to the sale of loans to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. in the second quarter of 2007. See discussion below.
- (4) The weighted average yield on interest-bearing investments is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing loans and debt securities plus the annual amortization of loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount on accruing loans and debt securities less the annual amortization of loan origination costs, divided by (b) total interest-bearing investments at value. The weighted average yield is computed as of the balance sheet date.

Private Finance

The private finance portfolio at value, investment activity, and the yield on loans and debt securities at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and at and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	Six I	At and Months E	for the nded June	30,		At and for the Years Ended December 31,						
	20	07	200	2006		2006		2005		04		
(A • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Value	Yield ⁽²⁾	Value	Yield ⁽²⁾	Value	Yield ⁽²⁾	Value	Yield ⁽²⁾	Value	Yield ⁽²⁾		
(\$ in millions)												
Portfolio at value:												
Loans and debt securities:												
Senior loans	\$ 409.8	8.3%	\$ 275.9	9.5%	\$ 405.2	8.4%	\$ 239.8	9.5%	\$ 234.6	8.5%		
Unitranche debt	681.4	11.4%	515.0	10.7%	799.2	11.2%	294.2	11.4%	43.9	14.8%		
Subordinated debt	1,892.2	12.5%	1,700.3	13.9%	1,980.8	12.9%	1,560.9	13.8%	1,324.4	14.9%		
Total loans and debt securities	2,983.4	11.7%	2,491.2	12.7%	3,185.2	11.9%	2,094.9	13.0%	1,602.9	13.9%		
Equity	2,903.4	11.770	2,471.2	12.7 /0	3,103.2	11.9/0	2,054.9	13.070	1,002.9	13.970		
securities	1,364.9		969.2		1,192.7		1,384.4		699.2			
Total portfolio	\$4,348.3		\$3,460.4		\$4,377.9		\$3,479.3		\$2,302.1			
Investments funded ⁽¹⁾	\$ 643.7		\$1,237.3		\$2,423.4		\$1,462.3		\$1,140.8			
Change in accrued or reinvested interest and	h 12.2		h (115)				.					
dividends Principal collections related to investment repayments or	\$ 12.9		\$ (11.3)		\$ 7.2		\$ 24.6		\$ 45.6			
sales ⁽³⁾	\$ 717.0		\$ 752.4		\$1,015.4		\$ 703.9		\$ 551.9			

⁽¹⁾ Investments funded for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004, included debt investments in certain portfolio companies received in conjunction with the sale of such companies.

See Private Finance, Investments Funded below.

- (2) The weighted average yield on loans and debt securities is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing loans and debt securities plus the annual amortization of loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount on accruing loans and debt securities less the annual amortization of loan origination costs, divided by (b) total loans and debt securities at value. The weighted average yield is computed as of the balance sheet date.
- (3) Includes collections from the sale or repayment of senior loans totaling \$236.2 million and \$228.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$322.7 million, \$301.8 million, and \$35.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Principal collections also included the principal repayment of our \$15 million subordinated debt investment in Drilltec Patents & Technologies Company, Inc. There was no realized gain or loss resulting from the Drilltec repayment.

Our investment activity is focused on making long-term investments in the debt and equity of primarily private middle market companies. Debt investments may include senior loans, unitranche debt (a single debt investment that is a blend of senior and subordinated debt terms), or subordinated debt (with or without equity features). The junior debt that we invest in that is lower in repayment priority than senior debt is also known as mezzanine debt. Equity investments may include a minority equity stake in connection with a debt investment or a substantial equity stake in connection with a buyout transaction. In a buyout transaction, we generally invest in senior and/or subordinated debt and equity (preferred and/or voting or non-voting common) where our equity ownership represents a significant portion of the equity, but may or may not represent a controlling interest.

We intend to take a balanced approach to private equity investing that emphasizes a complementary mix of debt investments and buyout investments. The combination of these two types of investments provides current interest and related portfolio income and the potential for future capital gains. The private equity investment marketplace remained very active through June 30, 2007. Purchase price multiples remained high and debt pricing was very competitive. We did not fund as many investments in the first half of 2007 as we did in the first half of 2006, because we believed that many new investment opportunities were

mis-priced or over-leveraged, and therefore, did not present an opportunity to make a reasonable investment return. For 2006 and 2005, we reviewed over \$65 billion and \$45 billion, respectively, in prospective investments and we closed on approximately 3% of the potential new investments that we reviewed for both years. For the first half of 2007, we reviewed over \$42 billion in prospective investments and we closed on approximately 1% of the potential new investments we reviewed.

The level of investment activity for investments funded and principal repayments for private finance investments can vary substantially from period to period depending on the number and size of investments that we make or that we exit and many other factors, including the amount of debt and equity capital available to middle market companies, the level of merger and acquisition activity for such companies, the general economic environment, and the competitive environment for the types of investments we make. Since June 30, 2007, the debt capital markets in general have become volatile. To the extent that financing for middle market companies becomes more restricted, we may see improved conditions for our investing activities. If these conditions persist, we may be able to deploy debt capital at more attractive yields and on more favorable terms than we have seen in the first two quarters.

Investments Funded. Investments funded and the weighted average yield on loans and debt securities funded for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, consisted of the following:

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Debt Investments		Buyout In	vestments	Total	
Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾
\$177.0	10.2%	\$ 40.0	9.4%	\$217.0	10.0%
57.1	10.7%			57.1	10.7%
114.4	12.5%	103.2	10.9%	217.6	11.8%
348.5	11.0%	143.2	10.5%	491.7	10.9%
99.1(5)(6)		52.9		152.0	
\$447.6		\$196.1		\$643.7	
	\$177.0 57.1 114.4 348.5 99.1 ₍₅₎₍₆₎	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ \$177.0 10.2% 57.1 10.7% 114.4 12.5% 348.5 11.0% 99.1 ₍₅₎₍₆₎ 11.0%	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ Amount \$177.0 10.2% \$ 40.0 57.1 10.7% 114.4 12.5% 103.2 348.5 11.0% 143.2 99.1 ₍₅₎₍₆₎ 52.9	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ \$177.0 10.2% \$ 40.0 9.4% 57.1 10.7% 114.4 12.5% 103.2 10.9% 348.5 11.0% 143.2 10.5% 99.1 ₍₅₎₍₆₎ 52.9	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾ Amount \$177.0 10.2% \$ 40.0 9.4% \$217.0 57.1 10.7% 57.1 114.4 12.5% 103.2 10.9% 217.6 348.5 11.0% 143.2 10.5% 491.7 99.1 ₍₅₎₍₆₎ 52.9 152.0

2006 Investments Funded

	Debt Investments		Buyout In	vestments	Total	
	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾		Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾
(\$ in millions)						
Loans and debt securities:						
Senior loans	\$ 245.4	9.4%	\$ 239.8	8.9%	\$ 485.2	9.2%
Unitranche debt ⁽²⁾	471.7	10.7%	146.5	12.9%	618.2	11.3%
Subordinated debt ⁽³⁾	510.7	13.0%	423.8	14.4%	934.5	13.6%
Total loans and debt securities	1,227.8	11.4%	810.1	12.5%	2,037.9	11.9%
Equity	91.4(5)		294.1		385.5	
Total	\$1,319.2		\$1,104.2		\$2,423.4	

2005 Investments Funded

	Debt Inv	Debt Investments		Buyout Investments		Total	
(A	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾	
(\$ in millions)							
Loans and debt securities:							
Senior loans	\$ 76.8	10.0%	\$250.2	6.4%	\$ 327.0	7.2%	
Unitranche debt ⁽²⁾	259.5	10.5%			259.5	10.5%	

Subordinated debt	296.9(4)	12.3%	330.9	12.5%	627.8	12.4%
Total loans and debt securities	633.2	11.3%	581.1	9.9%	1,214.3	10.6%
Equity	82.5(5)		165.5		248.0	
Total	\$715.7		\$746.6		\$1,462.3	

2004 Investments Funded

	Debt Investments		Buyout Investments		Total	
	Amount	Amount Yield ⁽¹⁾		Yield ⁽¹⁾	Amount	Yield ⁽¹⁾
(\$ in millions)						
Loans and debt securities:						
Senior loans	\$ 25.1	9.1%	\$140.8	7.2%	\$ 165.9	7.5%
Unitranche debt ⁽²⁾	18.9	13.0%			18.9	13.0%
Subordinated debt ⁽³⁾	396.4	13.4%	320.1	15.5%	716.5	14.4%
Total loans and debt securities	440.4	13.2%	460.9	13.0%	901.3	13.1%
Equity	$72.3_{(5)}$		167.2		239.5	
•	,					
Total	\$512.7		\$628.1		\$1,140.8	

- (1) The weighted average yield on interest-bearing investments is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing interest-bearing investments, divided by (b) total interest-bearing investments funded.
- (2) Unitranche debt is a single debt investment that is a blend of senior and subordinated debt terms. The yield on a unitranche investment reflects the blended yield of senior and subordinated debt combined.
- (3) Debt investments funded for the year ended December 31, 2006, included a \$150 million subordinated debt investment in Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc. received in conjunction with the sale of Advantage and a \$30 million subordinated debt investment in STS Operating, Inc. received in conjunction with the sale of STS. Debt investments funded for the year ended December 31, 2004, included a \$47.5 million subordinated debt investment in The Hillman Companies, Inc. received in conjunction with the sale of Hillman.
- (4) Subordinated debt investments for the year ended December 31, 2005, included \$45.5 million in investments in the bonds of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) and collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) that are managed by Callidus Capital Corporation (Callidus), a portfolio company controlled by us. These CLOs and CDOs primarily invest in senior debt.
- (5) Equity investments for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, included \$17.2 million, \$26.1 million, \$47.9 million, and \$23.6 million, respectively, in investments in the preferred shares/income notes of CLOs and CDOs that are managed by Callidus. These CDOs and CLOs primarily invest in senior debt.

Equity investments for the six months ended June 30, 2007, included \$19.1 million invested in the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. See discussion below.

We generally fund new investments using cash. In addition, we may acquire securities in exchange for our common equity. Also, we may acquire new securities through the reinvestment of previously accrued interest and dividends in debt or equity securities, or the current reinvestment of interest and dividend income through the receipt of a debt or equity security (payment-in-kind income). From time to time we may opt to reinvest accrued interest receivable in a new debt or equity security in lieu of receiving such interest in cash.

We may underwrite or arrange senior loans related to our portfolio investments or for other companies that are not in our portfolio. When we underwrite or arrange senior loans, we may earn a fee for such activities. Senior loans underwritten or arranged by us may or may not be funded by us at closing. When these senior loans are closed, we may fund all or a portion of the underwritten commitment pending sale of the loan to other investors, which may include loan sales to Callidus Capital Corporation (Callidus), a portfolio company controlled by us, or funds managed by Callidus or by us, including the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (see below). After completion of loan sales, we may or may not retain a position in these senior loans. We generally earn a fee on the senior loans we underwrite or arrange whether or not we fund the underwritten commitment. In addition, we may fund most or all of the debt and equity capital upon the closing of certain buyout transactions, which may include investments in lower-yielding senior debt. Subsequent to the closing, the portfolio company may refinance all or a portion of the lower-yielding senior debt, which would reduce our investment. Repayments include repayments of senior debt funded by us that was subsequently sold by us or refinanced or repaid by the portfolio companies.

Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. AC Corp is the investment manager to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (the Fund), a fund that generally invests in senior, unitranche and second lien debt. The Fund has closed on \$125 million in equity capital commitments. Callidus acts as special manager to the Fund. One of our affiliates is the general partner of the Fund, and AC Corp serves as collateral manager to a warehouse financing vehicle associated with the Fund. AC Corp will earn a management fee of up to 2% of the net asset value of the Fund and will pay Callidus 25% of that management fee to compensate Callidus for its role as special manager.

We are a special limited partner in the Fund, which is a portfolio investment, and have committed \$31.8 million to the Fund, of which \$19.1 million has been funded. At June 30, 2007, our investment in the Fund totaled \$19.1 million at cost and \$19.3 million at value. As a special limited partner, we expect to earn an incentive allocation of 20% of the annual net income of the Fund, subject to certain performance benchmarks. The value of our investment in the Fund is based on the net asset value of the Fund, which reflects the capital invested plus our allocation of the net earnings of the Fund, including the incentive allocation.

In connection with the Fund s formation in June 2007, we sold an initial portfolio of approximately \$183 million of seasoned assets with a weighted average yield of 10.3% to a warehouse financing vehicle associated with the Fund. We may sell additional loans to the Fund or the warehouse financing vehicle.

Yield. The weighted average yield on the private finance loans and debt securities was 11.7% at June 30, 2007, as compared to 12.7%, 11.9%, 13.0% and 13.9% at June 30, 2006, and December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The weighted average yield on the private finance loans and debt securities may fluctuate from period to period depending

on the yield on new loans and debt securities funded, the yield on loans and debt securities repaid, the amount of loans and debt securities for which interest is not accruing (see Portfolio Asset Quality Loans and Debt Securities on Non-Accrual Status below) and the amount of lower-yielding senior or unitranche debt in the portfolio at the end of the period. Yields on senior and subordinated debt investments have been generally lower because of the supply of capital available to middle market companies.

The yield on the private finance portfolio has declined partly due to our strategy to pursue investments where our position in the portfolio company capital structure is more senior, such as senior debt and unitranche investments that typically have lower yields than subordinated debt investments. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2006, the guaranteed dividend yield on our investment in BLX s 25% Class A equity interests was placed on non-accrual status, and remained on non-accrual status in the first half of 2007. The Class A equity interests are included in our loans and debt securities. See Business Loan Express, LLC below.

Outstanding Investment Commitments. At June 30, 2007, we had outstanding private finance investment commitments as follows:

	Companies More Than 25% Owned ⁽¹⁾	Companies 5% to 25% Owned	Companies Less Than 5% Owned	Total
(\$ in millions)				
Senior loans	\$ 14.6	\$16.0	\$113.6	\$144.2(2)
Unitranche debt			45.4	45.4
Subordinated debt	44.0	0.1		44.1
Total loans and debt securities	58.6	16.1	159.0	233.7
Equity securities	83.3	16.0	73.4	172.7(3)
-				
Total	\$141.9	\$32.1	\$232.4	\$406.4

(1) Includes various commitments to Callidus Capital Corporation (Callidus), a portfolio company controlled by us, which owns 80% (subject to dilution) of Callidus Capital Management, LLC, an asset management company that structures and manages collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), and other related investments, as follows:

	Committed Amount	Amount Drawn	Amount Available to be Drawn
(\$ in millions)			
Revolving line of credit for working capital	\$ 4.0	\$(0.7)	\$ 3.3
Subordinated debt to support warehouse facilities & warehousing			
activities(*)	44.0		44.0
Purchase of preferred equity in future CLO transactions	13.2		13.2
• • •			
Total	\$61.2	\$(0.7)	\$60.5

- (*) Callidus had a secured warehouse credit facility with a third party for up to \$360 million. The facility was used primarily to finance the acquisition of loans pending securitization through a CDO or CLO. In addition, Callidus has a synthetic credit facility with a third party for up to \$50 million. We have agreed to designate our subordinated debt commitment for Callidus to draw upon to provide first loss capital as needed to support these facilities.
- (2) Includes \$125.0 million in the form of revolving senior debt facilities to 30 companies.
- (3) Includes \$89.4 million to 20 private equity and venture capital funds, including \$4.3 million in co-investment commitments to one private equity fund, and \$12.7 million to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (see discussion above).

In addition to these outstanding investment commitments at June 30, 2007, we may be required to fund additional amounts under earn-out arrangements primarily related to

buyout transactions in the future if those companies meet agreed-upon performance targets. We also had commitments to private finance portfolio companies in the form of standby letters of credit and guarantees totaling \$249.2 million. See Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Mercury Air Centers, Inc. At June 30, 2007, our investment in Mercury Air Centers, Inc. (Mercury) totaled \$85.3 million at cost and \$320.1 million at value, or 6.3% of our total assets, which included unrealized appreciation of \$234.8 million. At December 31, 2006, our investment in Mercury totaled \$84.3 million at cost and \$244.2 million at value, which included unrealized appreciation of \$159.9 million.

Mercury owns and operates fixed base operations generally under long-term leases from local airport authorities, which consist of terminal and hangar complexes that service the needs of the general aviation community. Mercury is headquartered in Richmond Heights, OH. We completed the purchase of a majority ownership in Mercury in April 2004.

Total interest and related portfolio income earned from our investment in Mercury for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)					
Interest income	\$4.1	\$5.3	\$9.3	\$8.8	\$5.5
Fees and other income	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.7	1.9
Total interest and related portfolio income	\$4.3	\$5.6	\$9.9	\$9.5	\$7.4

Interest income from Mercury for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, included interest income of \$1.0 million, \$1.0 million, \$2.0 million, \$1.6 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively, which was paid in kind. The interest paid in kind was paid to us through the issuance of additional debt.

Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation included a net increase in unrealized appreciation on our investment in Mercury of \$74.9 million and \$4.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$106.1 million, \$53.8 million, and zero for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

On August 9, 2007, Mercury was sold for an enterprise value of approximately \$452 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. We realized a gain on our majority equity interest of approximately \$259 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. Approximately \$11 million of our proceeds from the sale of our equity is subject to certain holdback provisions. In addition, we were repaid approximately \$51 million of subordinated debt outstanding to Mercury at closing.

Business Loan Express, LLC. BLX originates, sells, and services primarily real estate secured loans, including real estate secured conventional small business loans, SBA 7(a) loans, and small investment real estate loans. BLX has offices across the United States and is headquartered in New York, NY. We acquired BLX in 2000.

At June 30, 2007, our investment in BLX totaled \$324.6 million at cost and \$220.8 million at value, or 4.4% of our total assets, which included unrealized depreciation of \$103.8 million. At December 31, 2006, our investment in BLX totaled \$295.3 million at cost and \$210.7 million at value, or 4.3% of our total assets, which included unrealized depreciation of \$84.6 million. In the first six months of 2007, we increased our investment in BLX by \$29.2 million by acquiring additional Class A equity interests. In addition, in the first quarter of 2007, the chief executive officer of BLX invested \$3.0 million in the form of Class A equity interests in BLX. We agreed to purchase these interests for cash at fair value in the event that BLX amends or otherwise restructures its existing senior credit facility or he is terminated for any reason. The purpose of these additional investments was to fund payments to the SBA in the first quarter of 2007 discussed below and to provide additional equity capital to BLX.

Total interest and related portfolio income earned from our investment in BLX for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)					
Interest income	\$	\$ 7.8	\$11.9	\$14.3	\$23.2
Dividend income				14.0	14.8
Fees and other income	2.8	4.3	7.8	9.2	12.0
Total interest and related portfolio income	\$2.8	\$12.1	\$19.7	\$37.5	\$50.0

Interest and dividend income from BLX for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, included interest and dividend income of \$3.7 million, \$5.7 million, \$8.9 million, and \$25.4 million, respectively, which was paid in kind. The interest and dividends paid in kind were paid to us through the issuance of additional debt or equity interests. In the fourth quarter of 2006, we placed our investment in BLX s 25% Class A equity interests on non-accrual status. As a result, there was no interest income from our investment in BLX for the six months ended June 30, 2007, and this resulted in lower interest income from our investment in BLX for the first six months of 2007 as compared to the first six months of 2006, as well as for 2006 as compared to 2005.

In consideration for providing a guaranty on BLX s revolving credit facility and standby letters of credit (discussed below), we earned fees of \$2.8 million and \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$6.1 million, \$6.3 million, and \$6.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, which were included in fees and other income above. Other assets included a receivable from BLX of \$2.8 million related to these fees at June 30, 2007. At December 31, 2006, accrued interest and fees due from BLX totaled \$1.7 million, which was paid in cash in the first quarter of 2007. The remaining fees and other income relate to management fees from BLX. We did not charge a management fee to BLX in the fourth quarter of 2006 or in the first or second quarter of 2007.

Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation included a net increase in unrealized depreciation on our investment in BLX of \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, a net decrease in unrealized appreciation of \$33.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, a net decrease of \$142.3 million and \$32.3 million for the

years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004, respectively, and a net increase of \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. See Results of Operations, Valuation of Business Loan Express, LLC below.

BLX is a national, non-bank lender that participates in the SBA s 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program and is licensed by the SBA as a Small Business Lending Company (SBLC). The Office of the Inspector General of the SBA (OIG) and the United States Secret Service are conducting an ongoing investigation of allegedly fraudulently obtained SBA-guaranteed loans issued by BLX. Specifically, on or about January 9, 2007, BLX became aware of an indictment captioned as the United States v. Harrington, No. 2:06-CR-20662 pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The indictment alleges that a former BLX employee in the Detroit office engaged in the fraudulent origination of loans guaranteed, in substantial part, by the SBA. We understand that BLX is working cooperatively with the U.S. Attorney s Office and the investigating agencies with respect to this matter. The OIG and the U.S. Department of Justice are also conducting a civil investigation of BLX s lending practices in various jurisdictions. In addition, the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Agriculture is conducting an investigation of BLX s lending practices under the Business and Industry Loan (B&I) program. These investigations are ongoing.

As an SBA lender, BLX is also subject to other SBA and OIG audits, investigations, and reviews. These investigations, audits and reviews, changes in the laws or regulations that govern SBLCs or the SBA 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program, or changes in government funding for this program could have a material adverse impact on BLX and, as a result, could negatively affect our financial results. We have considered BLX s current regulatory issues and ongoing investigations and litigation in performing the valuation of BLX at June 30, 2007. See Results of Operations, Valuation of Business Loan Express, LLC below. We are monitoring the situation. We have retained a third party to work with BLX to review BLX s current internal control systems. The third party conducted the review and offered recommendations to strengthen BLX s controls, which are being implemented.

On March 6, 2007, BLX entered into an agreement with the SBA. According to the agreement, BLX remains a preferred lender in the SBA 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program and retains the ability to sell loans into the secondary market. As part of this agreement, BLX agreed to the immediate payment of approximately \$10 million to the SBA to cover amounts paid by the SBA with respect to some of the SBA-guaranteed loans that have been the subject of inquiry by the United States Attorney s Office for the Eastern District of Michigan. As part of the SBA s increased oversight, the agreement provides that any loans originated and closed by BLX during the term of the agreement will be reviewed by an independent third party selected by the SBA prior to the sale of such loans into the secondary market. The agreement also requires BLX to repurchase the guaranteed portion of certain loans that default after having been sold into the secondary market, and subjects such loans to a similar third party review prior to any reimbursement of BLX by the SBA. In connection with this agreement, BLX also entered into an escrow agreement with the SBA and an escrow agent in which BLX agreed to deposit \$10 million with the escrow agent for any additional payments BLX may be obligated to pay to the SBA in the future. BLX remains subject to SBA rules and regulations and as a result may be required to make additional payments to the SBA in the ordinary course of business. The agreement states that nothing in the agreement shall affect the rights of BLX to securitize or service its loans. Notwithstanding the foregoing, BLX and the SBA are conducting ongoing

discussions with respect to BLX sability to securitize the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans in accordance with the requirements of the SBA regulations.

BLX has a separate non-recourse warehouse facility to enable it to securitize the unguaranteed portion of its SBA loans. BLX has been receiving temporary extensions of the warehouse facility, and the current extension expires on August 30, 2007. BLX is in negotiations with the warehouse facility providers to renew and amend the facility for an additional one-year term, subject to satisfactory conclusion of discussions with the SBA with respect to BLX s ability to securitize the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans. If the current facility were to expire without renewal, the warehouse facility notes would become due and payable, and substantially all collections on the unguaranteed interests that currently are in the warehouse facility would be applied to repay the outstanding amounts owing to the warehouse providers until the warehouse providers were paid in full, similar to an amortizing term loan. In this event, the warehouse providers would not have recourse to BLX for repayment of the warehouse facility notes. In addition, BLX would not have the right to sell additional unguaranteed interests in SBA loans into this facility. In the event that BLX is unable to reach agreement with the SBA on BLX s ability to securitize the unguaranteed portions of SBA loans or if the warehouse providers do not agree to an extension of the warehouse facility, BLX will be required to seek alternative sources of capital to finance SBA loan originations and could incur higher capital costs.

At June 30, 2007, BLX had a three-year \$500.0 million revolving credit facility provided by third-party lenders that matures in March 2009. The revolving credit facility may be expanded to \$600.0 million through new or additional commitments at BLX s option. This facility provides for a sub-facility for the issuance of letters of credit for up to an amount equal to 25% of the committed facility. We have provided an unconditional guaranty to these revolving credit facility lenders in an amount equal to 50% of the total obligations (consisting of principal, letters of credit issued under the facility, accrued interest, and other fees) of BLX under this facility. At June 30, 2007, the principal amount outstanding on the revolving credit facility was \$357.7 million and letters of credit issued under the facility were \$52.9 million. The total obligation guaranteed by us at June 30, 2007, was \$205.8 million. At June 30, 2007, we had also provided four standby letters of credit totaling \$20.0 million in connection with four term securitization transactions completed by BLX.

The guaranty on the BLX revolving line of credit facility can be called by the lenders in the event of a default, which includes certain defaults under our revolving credit facility. Among other requirements, the BLX facility requires that BLX maintain compliance with certain financial covenants such as interest coverage, maximum debt to net worth, asset coverage, and maintenance of certain asset quality metrics. In addition, BLX would have an event of default if BLX failed to maintain its lending status with the SBA and such failure could reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect on BLX, or if BLX failed to maintain certain financing programs for the sale or long-term funding of BLX s loans. In June, 2007, BLX received waivers until September 30, 2007, from its lenders with respect to (i) non-compliance with certain facility covenants and (ii) the requirement for BLX to maintain certain financing programs for SBA loans provide that BLX may retain unguaranteed portions of SBA loans on its balance sheet until September 30, 2007. In addition, BLX previously received waivers from its lenders with respect to certain other covenants to permit BLX to comply with its obligations under its agreement with the SBA. BLX s agreement with the SBA has reduced the company s liquidity due to the working capital required to comply with the agreement. BLX is in negotiations with its lenders to amend

the credit facility covenants, but there can be no assurance that such negotiations will be successful. If the credit facility lenders do not agree to amend the covenants or to waive compliance with the covenants at subsequent quarter ends, BLX would be in default under the credit facility.

The current market conditions for small business loans remain very competitive, and as a result, BLX continues to experience high loan prepayments in its securitized loan portfolio. This competitive environment combined with BLX s liquidity constraints has restrained BLX s ability to grow its loan origination volume. Due to the changes in BLX s operations, the status of its current financing facilities and the effect of BLX s current regulatory issues, ongoing investigations and litigation, we are in the process of working with BLX with respect to various potential strategic alternatives including, but not limited to, recapitalization, restructuring, joint venture or sale or divestiture of BLX or some or all of its assets. The ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material adverse impact on BLX s financial condition, and, as a result, our financial results could be negatively affected.

On or about January 16, 2007, BLX and Business Loan Center LLC (BLC) became aware of a lawsuit titled, United States, ex rel James R. Brickman and Greenlight Capital, Inc. v. Business Loan Express LLC f/k/a Business Loan Express, Inc.; Business Loan Center LLC f/k/a Business Loan Center, Inc.; Robert Tannenhauser; Matthew McGee; and George Harrigan, 05-CV-3147 (JEC), that is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint includes allegations arising under the False Claims Act and relating to alleged fraud in connection with SBA guarantees on shrimp vessel loans made by BLX and BLC. On April 9, 2007, BLX, BLC and the other defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint in its entirety. The motions are pending.

Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc. At December 31, 2005, our investment in Advantage totaled \$257.7 million at cost and \$660.4 million at value, or 16.4% of our total assets, which included unrealized appreciation of \$402.7 million. Advantage is a sales and marketing agency providing outsourced sales, merchandising, and marketing services to the consumer packaged goods industry. Advantage has offices across the United States and is headquartered in Irvine, CA. We completed the purchase of a majority ownership in Advantage in June 2004.

On March 29, 2006, we sold our majority equity interest in Advantage. We were repaid our \$184 million in subordinated debt outstanding and realized a gain at closing on our equity investment sold of \$433.1 million, subject to post-closing adjustments. Subsequent to closing on this sale, we realized additional gains in 2006 resulting from post-closing adjustments totaling \$1.3 million. Our realized gain was \$434.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, subject to post-closing adjustments and excluding any earn-out amounts. In addition, we are entitled to receive additional consideration through an earn-out payment based on Advantage s 2006 audited results. The earn-out payment totaled \$3.1 million, subject to potential post-determination adjustments, and was recorded as a realized gain in the second quarter of 2007.

As consideration for the common stock sold in the transaction, we received a \$150 million subordinated note, with the balance of the consideration paid in cash. In addition, a portion of our cash proceeds from the sale of the common stock were placed in escrow, subject to certain holdback provisions. At June 30, 2007, the amount of the escrow included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet was approximately \$24 million.

For tax purposes, the receipt of the \$150 million subordinated note as part of our consideration for the common stock sold and the hold back of certain proceeds in escrow has allowed us, through installment treatment, to defer the recognition of taxable income for a portion of our realized gain until the note or other amounts are collected.

Total interest and related portfolio income earned from our investment in Advantage while we held a majority equity interest was \$14.1 million (which included a prepayment premium of \$5.0 million) for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and \$37.4 million and \$21.3 million, for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, we earned structuring fees of \$2.3 million on our new \$150 million subordinated debt investment in Advantage upon the closing of the sale transaction in the first quarter of 2006. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation for the six months ended June 30, 2006, and for the year ended December 31, 2006, included the reversal of \$389.7 million of previously recorded unrealized appreciation associated with the realization of a gain on the sale of our majority equity interest in Advantage in the first quarter of 2006.

In connection with the sale transaction, we retained an equity investment in the business valued at \$15 million at closing as a minority shareholder. During the fourth quarter of 2006, Advantage made a distribution on this minority equity investment, which reduced our cost basis to zero and resulted in a realized gain of \$4.8 million.

Our investment in Advantage at June 30, 2007, which was composed of subordinated debt and a minority equity interest, totaled \$153.2 million at cost and \$164.2 million at value, which included unrealized appreciation of \$11.0 million.

Investments in CLOs and Other Similar Funds. Subsequent to June 30, 2007, the debt capital markets have shown volatility and yield spreads have widened. With respect to the CLO market, investor demand for pricing has increased. As a result, we believe that the market yields for our investments in CLOs and other similar funds, which primarily invest in senior corporate loans, may have increased subsequent to June 30, 2007, and as a result, the fair value of our investments may have decreased. At June 30, 2007, these investments represented less than 3.3% of our total assets.

Commercial Real Estate Finance

The commercial real estate finance portfolio at value, investment activity, and the yield on interest-bearing investments at and for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and at and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	Six	At and Months E		ne 30,	A	at and for	the Years	s Ended D	ecember	31,
	20	007	20	006	20	006	20	2005		004
(Φ ! : 11 ·)	Value	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Value	Yield ⁽¹⁾						
(\$ in millions) Portfolio at value:										
CMBS bonds CDO bonds and preferred shares	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$373.8 212.6	14.6% 16.8%
Commercial mortgage loans Real estate	68.7	6.6%	96.5	8.1%	71.9	7.5%	102.6	7.6%	95.0	6.8%
owned Equity interests	20.4 33.7		14.6 22.0		19.6 26.7		13.9 10.6		16.9 13.0	
Total portfolio	\$122.8		\$133.1		\$118.2		\$127.1		\$711.3	
Investments funded Change in	\$ 15.4		\$ 13.9		\$ 14.4		\$213.5		\$383.7	
accrued or reinvested interest	\$ \$0.1		\$ 0.5		\$ 1.0		\$ (18.0)		\$ 6.6	
Principal collections related to investment repayments or sales ⁽²⁾	\$ 18.4		\$ 17.2		\$ 39.9		\$799.5		\$357.3	

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average yield on the interest-bearing investments is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing loans plus the annual amortization of loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount on accruing interest-bearing investments less the annual amortization of origination costs, divided by (b) total interest-bearing investments at value. The weighted average yield is computed as of the balance sheet date. Interest-bearing investments for the commercial real estate finance portfolio include all investments except for real estate owned and equity interests.

⁽²⁾ Principal collections related to investment repayments or sales for the year ended December 31, 2005, included \$718.1 million related to the sale of our CMBS and CDO portfolio in May 2005.

Our commercial real estate investments funded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	Face Amount	Discount	Amount Funded
(\$ in millions)	1 Milouit	Discount	Tunaca
For the Year Ended December 31, 2006			
Commercial mortgage loans	\$ 8.0	\$	\$ 8.0
Equity interests	6.4		6.4
Total	\$ 14.4	\$	\$ 14.4
For the Year Ended December 31, 2005			
CMBS bonds ⁽¹⁾	\$211.5	\$ (90.5)	\$121.0
Commercial mortgage loans	88.5	(0.8)	87.7
Equity interests	4.8		4.8
Total	\$304.8	\$ (91.3)	\$213.5
For the Year Ended December 31, 2004			
CMBS bonds	\$419.1	\$(183.7)	\$235.4
CDO bonds and preferred shares	40.5	(0.1)	40.4
Commercial mortgage loans	112.1	(8.2)	103.9
Equity interests	4.0		4.0
Total	\$575.7	\$(192.0)	\$383.7

⁽¹⁾ The CMBS bonds invested in during 2005, were sold on May 3, 2005.

At June 30, 2007, we had outstanding funding commitments related to commercial mortgage loans and equity interests of \$43.8 million, and commitments in the form of standby letters of credit and guarantees related to equity interests of \$8.2 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we sold commercial mortgage loans with a total outstanding principal balance of \$21.1 million and realized a gain of \$0.7 million. As these loans were purchased at prices that were based in part on comparable Treasury rates, we had a related hedge in place to protect against movements in Treasury rates. Upon the loan sale, we settled the related hedge, which resulted in a realized gain of \$0.5 million, which was included in the realized gain on the sale of \$0.7 million. At June 30, 2007, we did not have any similar hedges in place.

Sale of CMBS Bonds and Collateralized Debt Obligation Bonds and Preferred Shares. On May 3, 2005, we completed the sale of our portfolio of commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and real estate related collateralized debt obligation (CDO) bonds and preferred shares to affiliates of Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec (the Caisse) for cash proceeds of \$976.0 million and a net realized gain of \$227.7 million, after transaction and other costs of \$7.8 million. Transaction costs included investment banking fees, legal and other professional fees, and other transaction costs. The CMBS and CDO assets sold had a cost basis at closing of \$739.8 million, including accrued interest of \$21.7 million. Upon the closing of the sale, we settled all the hedge positions relating to these assets, which resulted in a net realized loss of \$0.7 million, which was included in the net realized gain on the sale. Under the sale agreement, we agreed not to primarily invest in CMBS and real estate-related CDOs and refrain from certain other real estate-related investing or servicing activities for a period of three years, or through May 2008, subject to certain limitations and excluding our existing portfolio and related activities.

PORTFOLIO ASSET QUALITY

Portfolio by Grade. We employ a grading system for our entire portfolio. Grade 1 is used for those investments from which a capital gain is expected. Grade 2 is used for investments performing in accordance with plan. Grade 3 is used for investments that require closer monitoring; however, no loss of investment return or principal is expected. Grade 4 is used for investments that are in workout and for which some loss of current investment return is expected, but no loss of principal is expected. Grade 5 is used for investments that are in workout and for which some loss of principal is expected.

At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, our portfolio was graded as follows:

	20	2007		006	2005		
Grade	Portfolio at Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	Portfolio at Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	Portfolio at Value	Percentage of Total Portfolio	
(\$ in millions)							
1	\$1,727.2	38.6%	\$1,307.3	29.1%	\$1,643.0	45.6%	
2	2,207.0	49.4	2,672.3	59.4	1,730.8	48.0	
3	359.4	8.0	308.1	6.9	149.1	4.1	
4	72.8	1.6	84.2	1.9	26.5	0.7	
5	104.7	2.4	124.2	2.7	57.0	1.6	
	\$4,471.1	100.0%	\$4,496.1	100.0%	\$3,606.4	100.0%	
			38				

The amount of the portfolio in each grading category may vary substantially from period to period resulting primarily from changes in the composition of the portfolio as a result of new investment, repayment, and exit activity, changes in the grade of investments to reflect our expectation of performance, and changes in investment values.

Total Grade 4 and 5 portfolio assets were \$177.5 million, \$208.4 million and \$83.5 million, respectively, or were 4.0%, 4.6% and 2.3%, respectively, of the total portfolio value at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005. Grade 4 and 5 assets include loans, debt securities, and equity securities. We expect that a number of investments will be in the Grades 4 or 5 categories from time to time. Part of the private equity business is working with troubled portfolio companies to improve their businesses and protect our investment. The number and amount of investments included in Grade 4 and 5 may fluctuate from period to period. We continue to follow our historical practice of working with portfolio companies in order to recover the maximum amount of our investment.

At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, \$165.2 million and \$135.9 million, respectively, of our investment in BLX at value was classified as Grade 3, which included our Class A equity interests and certain of our Class B equity interests that were not depreciated. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, \$55.6 million and \$74.8 million, respectively, of our investment in BLX at value was classified as Grade 5, which included certain of our Class B equity interests and our Class C equity interests that were depreciated. At December 31, 2005, our investment in BLX of \$357.1 million at value was classified as Grade 1. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.

Loans and Debt Securities on Non-Accrual Status. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, loans and debt securities at value not accruing interest for the total investment portfolio were as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
(\$ in millions)			
Loans and debt securities in workout status			
(classified as Grade 4 or 5) ⁽¹⁾			
Private finance			
Companies more than 25% owned	\$ 20.4	\$ 51.1	\$ 15.6
Companies 5% to 25% owned	27.5	4.0	
Companies less than 5% owned	22.7	31.6	11.4
Commercial real estate finance	12.3	12.2	12.9
Loans and debt securities not in workout status			
Private finance			
Companies more than 25% owned	171.0	87.1	58.0
Companies 5% to 25% owned	18.3	7.2	0.5
Companies less than 5% owned	19.1	38.9	49.5
Commercial real estate finance	6.8	6.7	7.9
Total	\$298.1	\$238.8	\$155.8
Percentage of total portfolio	6.7%	5.3%	4.3%

In general, interest is not accrued on loans and debt securities if we have doubt about interest collection or where the enterprise value of the portfolio company may not support further accrual. In addition, interest may not accrue on loans to portfolio companies that are more than 50% owned by us depending on such company s capital requirements. To the extent interest payments are received on a loan that is not accruing interest, we may

⁽¹⁾ Workout loans and debt securities exclude equity securities that are included in the total Grade 4 and 5 assets above.

use such payments to reduce our cost basis in the investment in lieu of recognizing interest income. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, our Class A equity interests in BLX of \$95.8 million and \$66.6 million, respectively, which represented 2.1% and 1.5% of the total portfolio at value, respectively, were included in non-accruals. The BLX 25% Class A equity interests were placed on non-accrual status during the fourth quarter of 2006. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.

Loans and Debt Securities Over 90 Days Delinquent. Loans and debt securities greater than 90 days delinquent at value at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, were as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
(\$ in millions)			
Private finance	\$136.1	\$46.5	\$74.6
Commercial mortgage loans	1.9	1.9	6.1
Total	\$138.0	\$48.4	\$80.7
Percentage of total portfolio	3.1%	1.1%	2.2%

The amount of loans and debt securities over 90 days delinquent increased to \$138.0 million at June 30, 2007, from \$48.4 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in loans and debt securities over 90 days delinquent primarily relates to not receiving payment on our Class A equity interests of BLX of \$95.8 million, which represented 2.1% of the total portfolio at value. The Class A equity interests were placed on non-accrual during the fourth quarter of 2006. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.

The amount of the portfolio that is on non-accrual status or greater than 90 days delinquent may vary from period to period. Loans and debt securities on non-accrual status and over 90 days delinquent should not be added together as they are two separate measures of portfolio asset quality. Loans and debt securities that are in both categories (i.e., on non-accrual status <u>and</u> over 90 days delinquent) totaled \$138.0 million, \$44.3 million, and \$60.7 million at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

OTHER ASSETS AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Other assets is composed primarily of fixed assets, assets held in deferred compensation trusts, prepaid expenses, deferred financing and offering costs, and accounts receivable, which includes amounts received in connection with the sale of portfolio companies, including amounts held in escrow, and other receivables from portfolio companies. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, other assets totaled \$153.5 million, \$123.0 million, and \$87.9 million, respectively. The increase from December 31, 2006, to June 30, 2007, was primarily the result of increased prepaid expenses related to tax deposits and deferred financing costs. The increase since December 31, 2005, was primarily the result of amounts received in connection with the sale of Advantage and certain other portfolio companies that are being held in escrow. See Private Finance above.

Accounts payable and other liabilities is primarily composed of the liabilities related to the deferred compensation trust and accrued interest, bonus and taxes, including excise tax. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, accounts payable and other liabilities totaled \$132.5 million, \$147.1 million, and \$102.9 million, respectively. The decrease from December 31, 2006, to June 30, 2007, was primarily the result of the payment of liabilities at December 31, 2006, in the first quarter of 2007 related to accrued 2006 bonuses of \$38.0 million, excise tax of \$15.4 million and an extra dividend of

\$7.5 million, offset by an increase in liabilities for 2007 related to accrued 2007 bonuses and excise taxes totaling \$28.7 million, an increase in the liability related to the deferred compensation trust of \$7.3 million, and an increase in accrued interest payable of \$7.0 million. The increase from December 31, 2005, to December 31, 2006, was primarily the result of an increase in the liability related to the deferred compensation trust of \$13.6 million, accrued bonus of \$11.3 million, accrued interest payable of \$10.3 million, and accrued excise tax of \$9.2 million. Accrued interest fluctuates from period to period depending on the amount of debt outstanding and the contractual payment dates of the interest on such debt.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

The following table summarizes our operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

	For the	or the Three Months Ended June 30,			For the Six Months Ended June 3			
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2007	2006	Change	Percent Change	2007	2006	Change	Percent Change
Interest and Related Portfolio Income:								
Interest and dividends	\$102,814	\$ 95,433	\$ 7,381	8%	\$204,797	\$ 184,314	\$ 20,483	11%
Fees and other income	14,862	15,023	(161)	(1%)	20,831	37,153	(16,322)	(44%)
Total interest and related portfolio income	117,676	110,456	7,220	7%	225,628	221,467	4,161	2%
Expenses:								
Interest	34,336	21,861	12,475	57%	64,624	46,346	18,278	39%
Employee	28,611	20,398	8,213	40%	50,539	41,826	8,713	21%
Employee stock	20,011	20,370	0,213	10 70	30,337	11,020	0,713	2170
options	9,519	4,597	4,922	107%	13,180	8,203	4,977	61%
Administrative	14,505	9,861	4,644	47%	27,729	21,195	6,534	31%
Total operating expenses	86,971	56,717	30,254	53%	156,072	117,570	38,502	33%
Net investment income before income taxes	30,705	53,739	(23,034)	(43%)	69,556	103,897	(34,341)	(33%)
Income tax expense (benefit), including excise tax	5,530	3,544	1,986	56%	4,881	12,402	(7,521)	(61%)
Net investment income	25,175	50,195	(25,020)	(50%)	64,675	91,495	(26,820)	(29%)
Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses):								
Net realized gains	74,879	100,240	(25,361)	*	102,545	533,075	(430,530)	*
Net change in unrealized	(10,896)	(116,706)	105,810	*	55,024	(491,254)	(546,278)	*

appreciation or depreciation

Total net gains (losses)		63,983	(16,466)	80,449	*	1	57,569	41,821	115,748	*
Net income	\$	8 89,158	\$ 33,729	\$ 55,429	164%	\$2	22,244	\$ 133,316	\$ 88,928	67%
Diluted earnings common share	per §	6 0.57	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.33	138%	\$	1.44	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.50	54%
Weighted average common shares outstanding dil	e uted	156,051	143,213	12,838	9%	1	54,446	142,466	11,980	8%

^{*} Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation and net gains (losses) can fluctuate significantly from period to period. As a result, comparisons may not be meaningful.

Total Interest and Related Portfolio Income. Total interest and related portfolio income includes interest and dividend income and fees and other income.

Interest and Dividends. Interest and dividend income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was composed of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		Month	he Six s Ended e 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
(\$ in millions)				
Interest				
Private finance loans and debt securities	\$ 96.9	\$88.6	\$193.5	\$171.2
Commercial mortgage loans	2.5	2.1	3.8	4.8
Cash, U.S. Treasury bills, money market and other				
securities	3.4	2.9	6.2	5.9
Total interest	102.8	93.6	203.5	181.9
Dividends		1.8	1.3	2.4
Total interest and dividends	\$102.8	\$95.4	\$204.8	\$184.3
Total interest and dividends	Ψ102.0	Ψ/3.¬	Ψ207.0	Ψ104.5

The level of interest income from the portfolio, which includes interest paid in cash and in kind, is directly related to the balance of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The interest-bearing investments in the portfolio at value and the yield on the interest-bearing investments in the portfolio at June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

	20	07	2006		
(\$ in millions)	Value	$Yield^{(1)}$	Value	$Yield^{(1)}$	
Private finance loans and debt securities Commercial mortgage loans	\$2,983.4 68.7	11.7% 6.6%	\$2,491.2 96.5	12.7% 8.1%	
Total	\$3,052.1	11.6%	\$2,587.7	12.6%	

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average yield on loans and debt securities is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing loans and debt securities plus the annual amortization of loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount on accruing loans and debt securities less the annual amortization of loan origination costs, divided by (b) total loans and debt securities at value. The weighted average yield is computed as of the balance sheet date. Our interest income from our private finance loans and debt securities has increased year over year primarily as a result of the growth in this portfolio, net of the reduction in yield. The private finance portfolio yield at June 30, 2007, of 11.7% as compared to the private finance portfolio yield of 12.7% at June 30, 2006, reflects the mix of debt investments in the private finance portfolio. The weighted average yield varies from period to period based on the current stated interest on loans and debt securities and the amount of loans and debt securities for which interest is not

Interest income from cash, U.S. Treasury bills, money market and other securities results primarily from interest earned on our liquidity portfolio. See Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources below. The value and weighted average yield of the

liquidity portfolio was \$200.7 million and 5.3%, respectively, at June 30, 2007, and \$201.8 million and 5.3%, respectively, at December 31, 2006.

Dividend income results from the dividend yield on preferred equity interests, if any, or the declaration of dividends by a portfolio company on preferred or common equity interests. Dividend income will vary from period to period depending upon the timing and amount of dividends that are declared or paid by a portfolio company on preferred or common equity interests.

Fees and Other Income. Fees and other income primarily include fees related to structuring, diligence, transaction services, management and consulting services to portfolio companies, commitments, guarantees, and other services and loan prepayment premiums. As a business development company, we are required to make significant managerial assistance available to the companies in our investment portfolio. Managerial assistance includes, but is not limited to, management and consulting services related to corporate finance, marketing, human resources, personnel and board member recruiting, business operations, corporate governance, risk management and other general business matters.

Fees and other income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, included fees relating to the following:

Six nded 0,
2006
\$19.0
6.6
4.6
7.0
\$37.2

Fees and other income are generally related to specific transactions or services and therefore may vary substantially from period to period depending on the level of investment activity and types of services provided. Loan origination fees that represent yield enhancement on a loan are capitalized and amortized into interest income over the life of the loan.

Structuring and diligence fees primarily relate to the level of new investment originations. Private finance investments funded were \$643.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to \$1.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Loan prepayment premiums for the six months ended June 30, 2006, included \$5.0 million related to the repayment of our subordinated debt in connection with the sale of our majority equity interest in Advantage on March 29, 2006. See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further discussion. While the scheduled maturities

⁽¹⁾ The six months ended June 30, 2006 includes \$1.8 million in management fees from Advantage prior to its sale on March 29, 2006. See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further discussion.

⁽²⁾ Includes guaranty and other fees from BLX of \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$2.8 million and \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.

of

private finance and commercial real estate loans generally range from five to ten years, it is not unusual for our borrowers to refinance or pay off their debts to us ahead of schedule. Therefore, we generally structure our loans to require a prepayment premium for the first three to five years of the loan. Accordingly, the amount of prepayment premiums will vary depending on the level of repayments and the age of the loans at the time of repayment.

Mercury and BLX. Mercury and BLX were our largest investments at value at June 30, 2007, and together represented 10.7% and 11.4% of our total assets at June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Total interest and related portfolio income from these investments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was as follows:

	Month	e Three s Ended e 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
(\$ in millions)					
Mercury	\$2.2	\$2.5	\$4.3	\$ 5.6	
BLX	\$1.3	\$6.0	\$2.8	\$12.1	

See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further detail on Mercury and BLX.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses include interest, employee, employee stock options, and administrative expenses.

Interest Expense. The fluctuations in interest expense during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were primarily attributable to changes in the level of our borrowings under various notes payable and our revolving line of credit. Our borrowing activity and weighted average cost of debt, including fees and debt financing costs, at and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

	Months	At and for the Three Months Ended Months June 30, June		s Ended	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
(\$ in millions)					
Total outstanding debt	\$1,921.8	\$1,208.9	\$1,921.8	\$1,208.9	
Average outstanding debt	\$1,965.6	\$1,301.1	\$1,904.4	\$1,395.8	
Weighted average cost ⁽¹⁾	6.6%	6.6%	6.6%	6.6%	

In addition, interest expense included interest paid to the Internal Revenue Service related to installment sale gains totaling \$2.0 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$2.3 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Installment interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2007, is estimated to be a total of \$6.4 million. See Dividends and Distributions below.

⁽¹⁾ The weighted average annual interest cost is computed as the (a) annual stated interest rate on the debt plus the annual amortization of commitment fees, other facility fees and debt financing costs that are recognized into interest expense over the contractual life of the respective borrowings, divided by (b) debt outstanding on the balance sheet date.

Employee Expense. Employee expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

	For the Months June	Ended	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
(\$ in millions)					
Salaries and employee benefits	\$21.2	\$17.6	\$42.6	\$35.0	
Individual performance award (IPA)	2.4	2.1	4.9	3.8	
IPA mark to market expense (benefit)	2.4	(1.5)	(1.6)	(0.6)	
Individual performance bonus (IPB)	2.6	2.2	4.6	3.6	
Total employee expense	\$28.6	\$20.4	\$50.5	\$41.8	
Number of employees at end of period	173	166	173	166	

The change in salaries and employee benefits reflects the effect of compensation increases, the change in mix of employees given their area of responsibility and relevant experience level and an increase in the number of employees. Salaries and employee benefits include an accrual for employee bonuses, which are generally paid annually after the completion of the fiscal year. The quarterly accrual is based upon an estimate of annual bonuses and is subject to change. The amount of the current year bonuses will be finalized by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors at the end of the year. Salaries and employee benefits included accrued bonuses of \$11.2 million and \$9.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$21.7 million and \$16.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The IPA is a long-term incentive compensation program for certain officers. The IPA, which is generally determined annually at the beginning of each year, is deposited into a deferred compensation trust generally in four equal installments, on a quarterly basis, in the form of cash. The trustee is required to use the cash to purchase shares of our common stock in the open market. The accounts of the trust are consolidated with our accounts. We are required to mark to market the liability of the trust and this adjustment is recorded to the IPA compensation expense. Because the IPA is deferred compensation, the cost of this award is not a current expense for purposes of computing our taxable income. The expense is deferred for tax purposes until distributions are made from the trust.

We also have an IPB, which is distributed in cash to award recipients equally throughout the year (beginning in February of each year) as long as the recipient remains employed by us.

The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors have determined the IPA and the IPB for 2007 and they are currently estimated to be approximately \$10 million each; however, the Compensation Committee may adjust the IPA or IPB as needed, or make new awards as new officers are hired. If a recipient terminates employment during the year, any further cash contribution for the IPA or remaining cash payments under the IPB would be forfeited.

Option Cancellation Payment. On July 18, 2007, we completed a tender offer related to our offer to all optionees who held vested in-the-money stock options as of June 20, 2007, the opportunity to receive an option cancellation payment (OCP) equal to the in-the-money value of the stock options cancelled, which would be paid one-half in cash and

one-half in unregistered shares of our common stock. We accepted for cancellation 10.3 million vested options, which in the aggregate had a weighted average exercise price of \$21.50. This resulted in a total option cancellation payment of approximately \$105.6 million, of which \$52.8 million was paid in cash and \$52.8 million was paid through the issuance of 1.7 million unregistered shares of the Company s common stock, determined using the Weighted Average Market Price of \$31.75. The Weighted Average Market Price represented the volume weighted average price of our common stock over the fifteen trading days preceding the first day of the offer period, or June 20, 2007. Our stockholders approved the issuance of the shares of our common stock in exchange for the cancellation of vested in-the-money stock options at our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Cash payments to optionees were paid net of required payroll and income tax withholdings.

As the consideration paid by us for the OCP did not exceed the cancellation date fair value of the options, no expense will be recorded for the transaction in accordance with the guidance in FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004). However, the portion of the OCP paid in cash of \$52.8 million will reduce our paid in capital and will therefore reduce our net asset value in the third quarter of 2007. For income tax purposes, our tax deduction resulting from the OCP will be similar to the tax deduction that would have resulted from an exercise of stock options in the market. Any tax deduction for us resulting from the OCP or an exercise of stock options in the market is limited by Section 162(m) of the Code for persons subject to Section 162(m).

Subsequent to the completion of the tender offer and the cancellation of the 10.3 million vested options, there were 18.3 million options outstanding and 11.0 million shares available to be granted under our Stock Option Plan. As part of this initiative, the Board of Directors adopted a target ownership program that establishes minimum ownership levels for our senior officers and continues to further align the interests of our officers with those of our stockholders.

Stock Options Expense. In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (the Statement), which requires companies to recognize the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees in the income statement. The Statement was effective January 1, 2006, and it applies to our stock option plan. Our employee stock options are typically granted with ratable vesting provisions, and we amortize the compensation cost over the related service period. The Statement was adopted using the modified prospective method of application, which required us to recognize compensation costs on a prospective basis beginning January 1, 2006. Under this method, the unamortized cost of previously awarded options that were unvested as of January 1, 2006, is recognized over the remaining service period in the statement of operations beginning in 2006, using the fair value amounts determined for proforma disclosure under the Statement. With respect to options granted on or after January 1, 2006, compensation cost based on estimated grant date fair value is

recognized in the consolidated statement of operations over the service period. The stock option expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
(\$ in millions)				
Employee Stock Option Expense:				
Previously awarded, unvested options as of January 1, 2006	\$3.3	\$3.3	\$ 6.5	\$6.7
Options granted on or after January 1, 2006	6.2	1.3	6.7	1.5
Total employee stock option expense	\$9.5	\$4.6	\$13.2	\$8.2

In addition to the employee stock option expense, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, administrative expense included \$0.2 million of expense related to options granted to directors during each respective period. Options granted to non-officer directors vest on the grant date and therefore, the full expense is recorded on the grant date.

During the second quarter of 2007, options were granted for 6.4 million shares. One-third of the options granted to employees vested on June 30, 2007, therefore, approximately one-third of the expense related to this grant, or \$5.9 million, was recorded in the second quarter of 2007. Of the remaining options granted, one-half will vest on June 30, 2008, and one-half will vest on June 30, 2009. We estimate that the employee-related stock option expense under the Statement that will be recorded in our consolidated statement of operations, including the expense related to the options granted in the second quarter of 2007, will be approximately \$20.3 million, \$9.2 million, and \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively, which includes approximately \$10.9 million, \$6.3 million, and \$2.6 million, respectively, related to options granted since adoption of the Statement (January 1, 2006). This estimate may change if our assumptions related to future option forfeitures change. This estimate does not include any expense related to future stock option grants as the fair value of those stock options will be determined at the time of grant.

Administrative Expense. Administrative expenses include legal and accounting fees, valuation assistance fees, insurance premiums, the cost of leases for our headquarters in Washington, DC, and our regional offices, portfolio origination and development expenses, travel costs, stock record expenses, directors fees and stock option expense, and various other expenses. Administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

	For the Months June	U	For the Six Months Ending June 30,	
(\$ in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006
Administrative expenses	\$13.6	\$9.4	\$23.5	\$17.8
Investigation and litigation costs	0.9	0.5	4.2	3.4
Total administrative expenses	\$14.5	\$9.9	\$27.7	\$21.2

Administrative expenses, excluding investigation and litigation costs, for the six months ended June 30, 2007, included costs of \$1.4 million incurred in the first quarter of

2007 to engage a third party to work with BLX, a portfolio company controlled by us, to conduct a review of BLX s internal control systems. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above. In addition, administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, included \$2.5 million in placement fees related to securing equity commitments to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. See Private Finance, Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. above.

Investigation and litigation costs include costs associated with requests for information in connection with government investigations and other legal matters. We expect that we will continue to incur legal and other costs associated with these matters. These expenses remain difficult to predict. See Legal Proceedings below.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit), Including Excise Tax. Income tax expense (benefit) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ending June 30,	
(\$ in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$1.5	\$0.3	\$(2.7)	\$ 0.8
Excise tax expense	4.0	3.2	7.6	11.6
Income tax expense (benefit), including excise tax	\$5.5	\$3.5	\$ 4.9	\$12.4

Our wholly owned subsidiary, A.C. Corporation, is a corporation subject to federal and state income taxes and records a benefit or expense for income taxes as appropriate based on its operating results in a given period.

Our estimated annual taxable income for 2007 currently exceeds our estimated dividend distributions to shareholders from such taxable income in 2007, and such estimated excess taxable income will be distributed in 2008. Therefore, we will generally be required to pay a 4% excise tax on the excess of 98% of our taxable income over the amount of actual distributions from such taxable income. We have recorded an estimated excise tax of \$4.0 million and \$7.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. See Dividends and Distributions. While excise tax expense is presented in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as a reduction to net investment income, excise tax relates to both net investment income and net realized gains.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this interpretation did not have a significant effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations.

Realized Gains and Losses. Net realized gains primarily result from the sale of equity securities associated with certain private finance investments and the realization of unamortized discount resulting from the sale and early repayment of private finance loans

and commercial mortgage loans, offset by losses on investments. Net realized gains for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

	Months	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
(\$ in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Realized gains	\$ 87.4	\$101.0	\$120.6	\$537.5	
Realized losses	(12.5)	(0.8)	(18.1)	(4.4)	
Net realized gains	\$ 74.9	\$100.2	\$102.5	\$533.1	

When we exit an investment and realize a gain or loss, we make an accounting entry to reverse any unrealized appreciation or depreciation, respectively, we had previously recorded to reflect the appreciated or depreciated value of the investment. For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, we reversed previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses were realized as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
(\$ in millions)	2007	2006	2007	2006
Reversal of previously recorded net unrealized appreciation associated with realized gains Reversal of previously recorded net unrealized	\$(55.0)	\$(95.6)	\$(87.0)	\$(489.2)
depreciation associated with realized losses	16.6	0.5	22.3	3.2
Total reversal	\$(38.4)	\$(95.1)	\$(64.7)	\$(486.0)

Realized gains for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows: (\$ in millions)

	Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:		
HMT, Inc.		\$39.9
Healthy Pet Corp.		36.6
Wear Me Apparel Corporation		6.1
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.		3.1
Geotrace Technologies, Inc.		1.1
Other		0.6

Total realized gains \$87.4

	Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:		
STS Operating, Inc.		\$ 94.8
United Site Services, Inc.		3.3
MHF Logistical Solutions, Inc.		1.2
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.		0.6
Other		1.1
Total realized gains		\$101.0
	50	

Realized losses for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows: (\$ in millions)

2007

	Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:		
Powell Plant Farms, Inc.		\$11.5
Alaris Consulting, LLC		1.0
Total realized losses		\$12.5

2006

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Other	\$0.3
Total private finance	0.3
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	0.5
Total commercial real estate	0.5
Total realized losses	\$0.8

Realized gains for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows: (\$ in million)

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
HMT, Inc.	\$ 39.9
Healthy Pet Corp.	36.6
Palm Coast Data, LLC	20.0
Wear Me Apparel Corporation	6.1
Mogas Energy, LLC	4.5
Tradesmen International, Inc.	3.8
ForeSite Towers, LLC	3.8
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.	3.1
Geotrace Technologies, Inc.	1.1

Other	1.7
Total realized gains	\$120.6

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.	\$433.7
STS Operating, Inc.	94.8
United Site Services, Inc.	3.3
Nobel Learning Communities, Inc.	1.5
MHF Logistical Solutions, Inc.	1.2
The Debt Exchange, Inc.	1.1
Other	1.3
Total private finance	536.9
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	0.6
Total commercial real estate	0.6
Total realized gains	\$537.5

Realized losses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were as follows: (\$ in millions)

	Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:		
Powell Plant Farms, Inc.		\$11.5
Legacy Partners Group, LLC		5.8
Alaris Consulting, LLC		1.0
Other		(0.2)
Total realized losses		\$18.1

	Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:		

Aspen Pet Products, Inc.	\$1.6
Nobel Learning Communities, Inc.	1.4
Other	0.6
Total private finance	3.6
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	0.8
Total commercial real estate	0.8
Total realized losses	\$4.4

Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation. We determine the value of each investment in our portfolio on a quarterly basis, and changes in value result in unrealized appreciation or depreciation being recognized in our statement of operations. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, is (i) the market

price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. Since there is typically no readily available market value for the investments in our portfolio, we value substantially all of our portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors pursuant to our valuation policy and a consistently applied valuation process. At June 30, 2007, portfolio investments recorded at fair value were approximately 89% of our total assets. Because of the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by the Board of Directors may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for the investments, and the differences could be material.

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while employing a consistently applied valuation process for the types of investments we make. Unlike banks, we are not permitted to provide a general reserve for anticipated loan losses. Instead, we are required to specifically value each individual investment on a quarterly basis. We will record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investment. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/or our equity security has appreciated in value. Changes in fair value are recorded in the statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

As a business development company, we have invested in illiquid securities including debt and equity securities of companies and CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/income notes. The structure of each debt and equity security is specifically negotiated to enable us to protect our investment and maximize our returns. We include many terms governing interest rate, repayment terms, prepayment penalties, financial covenants, operating covenants, ownership parameters, dilution parameters, liquidation preferences, voting rights, and put or call rights. Our investments may be subject to certain restrictions on resale and generally have no established trading market. Because of the type of investments that we make and the nature of our business, our valuation process requires an analysis of various factors. Our fair value methodology includes the examination of, among other things, the underlying investment performance, financial condition, and market changing events that impact valuation.

Valuation Methodology Private Finance. Our process for determining the fair value of a private finance investment begins with determining the enterprise value of the portfolio company. The fair value of our investment is based on the enterprise value at which the portfolio company could be sold in an orderly disposition over a reasonable period of time between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The liquidity event whereby we exit a private finance investment is generally the sale, the recapitalization or, in some cases, the initial public offering of the portfolio company.

There is no one methodology to determine enterprise value and, in fact, for any one portfolio company, enterprise value is best expressed as a range of fair values. However, we

must derive a single estimate of enterprise value. To determine the enterprise value of a portfolio company, we analyze its historical and projected financial results. This financial and other information is generally obtained from the portfolio companies, and may represent unaudited, projected or pro forma financial information. We generally require portfolio companies to provide annual audited and quarterly unaudited financial statements, as well as annual projections for the upcoming fiscal year. Typically in the private equity business, companies are bought and sold based on multiples of EBITDA, cash flow, net income, revenues or, in limited instances, book value. The private equity industry uses financial measures such as EBITDA or EBITDAM (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, Amortization and, in some instances, Management fees) in order to assess a portfolio company s financial performance and to value a portfolio company. EBITDA and EBITDAM are not intended to represent cash flow from operations as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of performance prescribed by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. When using EBITDA to determine enterprise value, we may adjust EBITDA for non-recurring items. Such adjustments are intended to normalize EBITDA to reflect the portfolio company s earnings power. Adjustments to EBITDA may include compensation to previous owners, acquisition, recapitalization, or restructuring related items or one-time non-recurring income or expense items.

In determining a multiple to use for valuation purposes, we generally look to private merger and acquisition statistics, the entry multiple for the transaction, discounted public trading multiples or industry practices. In estimating a reasonable multiple, we consider not only the fact that our portfolio company may be a private company relative to a peer group of public comparables, but we also consider the size and scope of our portfolio company and its specific strengths and weaknesses. In some cases, the best valuation methodology may be a discounted cash flow analysis based on future projections. If a portfolio company is distressed, a liquidation analysis may provide the best indication of enterprise value.

If there is adequate enterprise value to support the repayment of our debt, the fair value of our loan or debt security normally corresponds to cost unless the borrower's condition or other factors lead to a determination of fair value at a different amount. The fair value of equity interests in portfolio companies is determined based on various factors, including the enterprise value remaining for equity holders after the repayment of the portfolio company's debt and other preference capital, and other pertinent factors such as recent offers to purchase a portfolio company, recent transactions involving the purchase or sale of the portfolio company's equity securities, liquidation events, or other events. The determined equity values are generally discounted when we have a minority position, restrictions on resale, specific concerns about the receptivity of the capital markets to a specific company at a certain time, or other factors.

CDO/CLO Assets are carried at fair value, which is based on a discounted cash flow model that utilizes prepayment, re-investment and loss assumptions based on historical experience and projected performance, economic factors, the characteristics of the underlying cash flow and comparable yields for similar bonds and preferred shares/income notes, when available. We recognize unrealized appreciation or depreciation on our CDO/CLO Assets as comparable yields in the market change and/ or based on changes in estimated cash flows resulting from changes in prepayment, re-investment or loss assumptions in the underlying collateral pool. We determine the fair value of our

CDO/CLO Assets on an individual security-by-security basis. If we were to sell a group of these CDO/CLO Assets in a pool in one or more transactions, the total value received for that pool may be different than the sum of the fair values of the individual assets.

As a participant in the private equity business, we invest primarily in private middle market companies for which there is generally no publicly available information. Because of the private nature of these businesses, there is a need to maintain the confidentiality of the financial and other information that we have for the private companies in our portfolio. We believe that maintaining this confidence is important, as disclosure of such information could disadvantage our portfolio companies and could put us at a disadvantage in attracting new investments. Therefore, we do not intend to disclose financial or other information about our portfolio companies, unless required, because we believe doing so may put them at an economic or competitive disadvantage, regardless of our level of ownership or control.

We currently intend to continue to work with third-party consultants to obtain assistance in determining fair value for a portion of the private finance portfolio each quarter. We work with these consultants to obtain assistance as additional support in the preparation of our internal valuation analysis. In addition, we may receive third-party assessments of a particular private finance portfolio company s value in the ordinary course of business, most often in the context of a prospective sale transaction or in the context of a bankruptcy process.

The valuation analysis prepared by management is submitted to our Board of Directors who is ultimately responsible for the determination of fair value of the portfolio in good faith. Valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps, LLC (Duff & Phelps) for our private finance portfolio consisted of certain limited procedures (the Procedures) we identified and requested them to perform. Based upon the performance of the Procedures on a selection of our final portfolio company valuations, Duff & Phelps concluded that the fair value of those portfolio companies subjected to the Procedures did not appear unreasonable. In addition, we also received third-party valuation assistance from Houlihan Lokey Howard and Zukin for certain private finance portfolio companies. For 2007 and 2006, we received third-party valuation assistance as follows:

	200	2006		
	Q1	Q2	Q1	Q2
Number of private finance portfolio companies reviewed	88	92	78	78
Percentage of private finance portfolio reviewed at value	91.8%	92.1%	87.0%	89.6%

Professional fees for third-party valuation assistance were \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and are estimated to be approximately \$1.6 million for 2007.

Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, consisted of the following:

	Month	e Three s Ended e 30,	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2007(1)	2006(1)	2007(1)	$2006^{(1)}$	
(\$ in millions)					
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 27.5	\$ (21.6)	\$119.7	\$ (5.3)	
Reversal of previously recorded unrealized					
appreciation associated with realized gains	(55.0)	(95.6)	(87.0)	(489.2)	
Reversal of previously recorded unrealized					
depreciation associated with realized losses	16.6	0.5	22.3	3.2	
Net change in unrealized appreciation or	¢ (10.0)	ф (11C 7)	Φ. 55.0	¢ (401.2)	
depreciation	\$(10.9)	\$(116.7)	\$ 55.0	\$(491.3)	

Valuation of Mercury Air Centers, Inc. In April 2007, we signed a definitive agreement to sell our majority equity interest in Mercury. Based on this definitive agreement, which was amended in June 2007 to increase the sales price, Mercury was expected to sell for an enterprise value of approximately \$451 million, subject to pre- and post-closing adjustments. See Note 3 Portfolio to our June 30, 2007, consolidated financial statements. At June 30, 2007, we estimated the enterprise value of Mercury to be \$406 million given that the closing of the transaction was subject to certain closing conditions, including regulatory approvals, and the sales price was subject to pre- and post-closing adjustments and certain holdback provisions. Using the enterprise value at June 30, 2007, of \$406 million we determined the value of our investments in Mercury to be \$320.1 million, which included unrealized appreciation of \$234.8 million at June 30, 2007. This is an increase in unrealized appreciation of \$18.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, and \$74.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation included a decrease in unrealized appreciation of \$0.4 million and a net increase in unrealized appreciation of \$4.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively, on our investment in Mercury. We received valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps for our investment in Mercury at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006. The transaction was expected to close in the third quarter of 2007, upon satisfying certain closing conditions, including regulatory approvals. See Valuation Methodology Private Finance above for further discussion of the third-party valuation assistance we received.

Valuation of Business Loan Express, LLC. Our investment in BLX totaled \$324.6 million at cost and \$220.8 million at value at June 30, 2007, and \$295.3 million at cost and \$210.7 million at value at December 31, 2006. To determine the value of our investment in BLX at June 30, 2007, we performed numerous valuation analyses to determine a range of values including: (1) analysis of comparable public company trading multiples; (2) analysis of BLX s value assuming an initial public offering; (3) analysis of merger and acquisition transactions for financial services companies; (4) a discounted

⁽¹⁾ The net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation can fluctuate significantly from period to period. As a result, comparisons may not be meaningful.

dividend analysis; and (5) adding BLX s net asset value (adjusted for certain discounts) to the estimated value of BLX s business operations, which was determined by using a discounted cash flow model. In performing the valuation analyses at June 30, 2007, we continued to consider the impact of various changes in BLX s business model due to the competitive environment. We also continued to consider BLX s current regulatory issues and ongoing investigations and litigation as well as various strategic alternatives. (See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.) We received valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps for our investment in BLX at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006. See *Valuation Methodology Private Finance* above for further discussion of the third-party valuation assistance we received.

With respect to the analysis of comparable public company trading multiples and the analysis of BLX s value assuming an initial public offering, we compute a median trailing and forward price earnings multiple to apply to BLX s pro-forma net income adjusted for certain capital structure changes that we believe would likely occur should the company be sold. Each quarter we evaluate which public commercial finance companies should be included in the comparable group. The comparable group at June 30, 2007, was made up of CIT Group, Inc., Financial Federal Corporation, GATX Corporation, and Marlin Business Services Corporation, which is consistent with the comparable group at both March 31, 2007, and December 31, 2006.

Our investment in BLX at June 30, 2007, was valued at \$220.8 million. This fair value was within the range of values determined by our valuation analyses discussed above. Unrealized depreciation on our investment was \$103.8 million at June 30, 2007. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation included a net decrease of \$19.1 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, and a net decrease of \$10.9 million and \$33.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

Per Share Amounts. All per share amounts included in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section have been computed using the weighted average common shares used to compute diluted earnings per share, which were 156.1 million and 143.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and were 154.4 million and 142.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

The following table summarizes our operating results for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

		2006	2005	Change	Percent Change	2005	2004	Change	Percent Change
E	in thousands, except per share amounts)								
	nterest and Related Portfolio Income								
	Interest and dividends	\$ 386,427	\$317,153	\$ 69,274	22%	\$317,153	\$319,642	\$ (2,489)	(1)%
	Fees and other income	66,131	56,999	9,132	16%	56,999	47,448	9,551	20%
	Total interest and related portfolio income	452,558	374,152	78,406	21%	374,152	367,090	7,062	2%
I	Expenses								
	Interest	100,600	77,352	23,248	30%	77,352	75,650	1,702	2%
	Employee	92,902	78,300	14,602	19%	78,300	53,739	24,561	46%
	Employee stock options	15,599		15,599					
	Administrative	39,005	69,713	(30,708)	(44)%	69,713	34,686	35,027	101%
	Total operating expenses	248,106	225,365	22,741	10%	225,365	164,075	61,290	37%
	Net investment income before income taxes Income tax expense (benefit), including excise tax	204,452	148,787 11,561	55,665 3,660	37% 32%	148,787 11,561	203,015	(54,228) 9,504	(27)% 462%
	Net investment income	189,231	137,226	52,005	38%	137,226	200,958	(63,732)	(32)%
Į	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains Losses)					·			
	Net realized gains Net change in unrealized appreciation or	533,301	273,496	259,805	95%	273,496	117,240	156,256	133%
	depreciation	(477,409)	462,092	(939,501)	*	462,092	(68,712)	530,804	*

Total net gains (losses)	;	55,892	73	35,588	(6	79,696)	*	73	35,588	2	18,528	68	87,060	*
Net income	\$ 24	45,123	\$87	72,814	\$(6	27,691)	(72)%	\$87	72,814	\$24	19,486	\$62	23,328	250%
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	1.68	\$	6.36	\$	(4.68)	(74)%	\$	6.36	\$	1.88	\$	4.48	238%
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	l 14	45,599	13	37,274		8,325	6%	13	37,274	13	32,458		4,816	4%

^{*} Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation and net gains (losses) can fluctuate significantly from year to year.

Total Interest and Related Portfolio Income. Total interest and related portfolio income includes interest and dividend income and fees and other income.

Interest and Dividends. Interest and dividend income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was composed of the following:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Interest			
Private finance loans and debt securities	\$359.9	\$251.0	\$195.2
CMBS and CDO portfolio		29.4	93.3
Commercial mortgage loans	8.3	7.6	9.4
Cash, U.S. Treasury bills, money market and other securities	14.0	9.4	3.1
Total interest	382.2	297.4	301.0
Dividends	4.2	19.8	18.6
Total interest and dividends	\$386.4	\$317.2	\$319.6

The level of interest income, which includes interest paid in cash and in kind, is directly related to the balance of the interest-bearing investment portfolio outstanding during the period multiplied by the weighted average yield. The interest-bearing investments in the portfolio at value and the yield on the interest-bearing investments in the portfolio at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	20	2006		05	2004	
(\$ in millions)	Value	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Value	Yield ⁽¹⁾	Value	Yield ⁽¹⁾
Private finance loans and debt						
securities	\$3,185.2	11.9%	\$2,094.9	13.0%	\$1,602.9	13.9%
CMBS and CDO					586.4	15.4%
Commercial mortgage loans	71.9	7.5%	102.6	7.6%	95.0	6.8%
Total	\$3,257.1	11.8%	\$2,197.5	12.8%	\$2,284.3	14.0%

(1) The weighted average yield on loans and debt securities is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on accruing loans and debt securities plus the annual amortization of loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount on accruing loans and debt securities less the annual amortization of loan origination costs, divided by (b) total loans and debt securities at value. The weighted average yield is computed as of the balance sheet date. Our interest income from our private finance loans and debt securities has increased year over year primarily as a result of the growth in this portfolio, net of the reduction in yield. The private finance portfolio yield at December 31, 2006, of 11.9% as compared to the private finance portfolio yield of 13.0% and 13.9% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, reflects the mix of debt investments in the private finance portfolio. The weighted average yield varies from year to year based on the current stated interest on loans and debt securities and the amount of loans and debt securities for which interest is not accruing. See the discussion of the private finance portfolio yield above under the caption Portfolio and Investment Activity Private Finance.

There was no interest income from the CMBS and real estate-related CDO portfolio in 2006 as we sold this portfolio on May 3, 2005. The CMBS and CDO portfolio sold had a cost basis of \$718.1 million and a weighted average yield on the cost basis of the portfolio of approximately 13.8%. We generally reinvested the principal proceeds from the CMBS and CDO portfolio into our private finance portfolio.

Our interest income from cash, U.S. Treasury bills, money market and other securities has increased primarily as a result of the fluctuations in our level of investments in U.S. Treasury bills, money market and other securities and the weighted average yield on these securities. During the fourth quarter of 2005, we established a liquidity portfolio that is composed primarily of money market and other securities and U.S. Treasury bills. See Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources below. The value and weighted average yield of the liquidity portfolio was \$201.8 million and 5.3%, respectively, at December 31, 2006, and \$200.3 million and 4.2%, respectively, at December 31, 2005.

Dividend income results from the dividend yield on preferred equity interests, if any, or the declaration of dividends by a portfolio company on preferred or common equity interests. Dividend income will vary from year to year depending upon the timing and amount of dividends that are declared or paid by a portfolio company on preferred or common equity interests. Dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2006, did not include any dividends from BLX. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above. Dividend income for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, included dividends from BLX on the Class B equity interests held by us of \$14.0 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2005, \$12.0 million of these dividends were paid in cash and \$2.0 million of these dividends were paid through the issuance of additional Class B equity interests. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the dividends were paid through the issuance of additional Class B equity interests.

Fees and Other Income. Fees and other income primarily include fees related to financial structuring, diligence, transaction services, management and consulting services to portfolio companies, commitments, guarantees, and other services and loan prepayment premiums. As a business development company, we are required to make significant managerial assistance available to the companies in our investment portfolio. Managerial assistance includes, but is not limited to, management and consulting services related to corporate finance, marketing, human resources, personnel and board member recruiting, business operations, corporate governance, risk management and other general business matters.

Fees and other income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, included fees relating to the following:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Structuring and diligence	\$37.3	\$24.6	\$18.4
Management, consulting and other services provided to portfolio companies ⁽¹⁾	11.1	14.4	11.4
Commitment, guaranty and other fees from portfolio companies ⁽²⁾	8.8	9.3	9.4
Loan prepayment premiums	8.8	6.3	5.5
Other income	0.1	2.4	2.7
Total fees and other income ⁽³⁾	\$66.1	\$57.0	\$47.4

- (1) 2006 includes \$1.8 million in management fees from Advantage prior to its sale on March 29, 2006. See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further discussion. 2005 and 2004 include \$6.5 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, in management fees from Advantage.
- (2) Includes guaranty and other fees from BLX of \$6.1 million, \$6.3 million, and \$6.0 million for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above.
- (3) Fees and other income related to the CMBS and CDO portfolio were \$4.1 million and \$6.2 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively. As noted above, we sold our CMBS and CDO portfolio on May 3, 2005.

Fees and other income are generally related to specific transactions or services and therefore may vary substantially from year to year depending on the level of investment activity and types of services provided. Loan origination fees that represent yield enhancement on a loan are capitalized and amortized into interest income over the life of the loan.

Structuring and diligence fees primarily relate to the level of new investment originations. Private finance investments funded were \$2.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$1.5 billion and \$1.1 billion for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Structuring and diligence fees for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, included structuring fees from companies more than 25% owned totaling \$8.3 million, \$9.1 million, and \$11.4 million, respectively.

Loan prepayment premiums for the year ended December 31, 2006, included \$5.0 million related to the repayment of our subordinated debt in connection with the sale of our majority equity interest in Advantage on March 29, 2006. See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further discussion. While the scheduled maturities of private finance and commercial real estate loans generally range from five to ten years, it is not unusual for our borrowers to refinance or pay off their debts to us ahead of schedule. Therefore, we generally structure our loans to require a prepayment premium for the first three to five years of the loan. Accordingly, the amount of prepayment premiums will vary depending on the level of repayments and the age of the loans at the time of repayment.

Mercury, BLX and Advantage. Mercury and BLX were our largest investments at value at December 31, 2006, and together represented 9.3% of our total assets. Advantage and BLX were our largest investments at value at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and together represented 25.3% and 19.0% of our total assets, respectively.

Total interest and related portfolio income from these investments for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Mercury	\$ 9.9	\$ 9.5	\$ 7.4
BLX	\$19.7	\$37.5	\$50.0
Advantage ⁽¹⁾	\$14.1	\$37.4	\$21.3

⁽¹⁾ Includes income from the period we had a majority interest only. See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further discussion.

See Portfolio and Investment Activity above for further detail on Mercury, BLX and Advantage.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses include interest, employee, employee stock options, and administrative expenses.

Interest Expense. The fluctuations in interest expense during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were primarily attributable to changes in the level of our borrowings under various notes payable and our revolving line of credit. Our borrowing

activity and weighted average cost of debt, including fees and debt financing costs, at and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Total outstanding debt	\$1,899.1	\$1,284.8	\$1,176.6
Average outstanding debt	\$1,491.0	\$1,087.1	\$ 985.6
Weighted average cost ⁽¹⁾	6.5%	6.5%	6.6%

(1) The weighted average annual interest cost is computed as the (a) annual stated interest rate on the debt plus the annual amortization of commitment fees, other facility fees and debt financing costs that are recognized into interest expense over the contractual life of the respective borrowings, divided by (b) debt outstanding on the balance sheet date.

In addition, interest expense included interest paid to the Internal Revenue Service related to installment sale gains totaling \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. See Dividends and Distributions below.

Interest expense also included interest on our obligations to replenish borrowed Treasury securities related to our hedging activities of \$0.7 million, \$1.4 million, and \$5.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Employee Expense. Employee expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Salaries and employee benefits	\$73.8	\$57.3	\$40.7
Individual performance award (IPA)	8.1	7.0	13.4
IPA mark to market expense (benefit)	2.9	2.0	(0.4)
Individual performance bonus (IPB)	8.1	6.9	
Transition compensation, net ⁽¹⁾		5.1	
Total employee expense	\$92.9	\$78.3	\$53.7
Number of employees at end of period	170	131	162

(1) Transition compensation for the year ended December 31, 2005, included \$3.1 million of costs under retention agreements and \$3.1 million of transition services bonuses awarded to certain employees in the commercial real estate group as a result of the sale of the CMBS and CDO portfolio. Transition compensation costs were reduced by \$1.1 million for salary reimbursements from CWCapital under a transition services agreement.

The change in salaries and employee benefits reflects the effect of an increase in number of employees, compensation increases, and the change in mix of employees given their area of responsibility and relevant experience level. The overall increase in employee expense during 2006 also reflects the competitive environment for attracting and retaining talent in the private equity industry. Salaries and employee benefits include an accrual for employee bonuses, which are generally paid annually after the completion of the fiscal year. Salaries and employee benefits included bonus expense of \$38.2 million, \$26.9 million, and \$12.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the total accrued bonus was \$38.2 million and \$26.9 million, respectively, and was included in Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities on the accompanying Balance Sheet.

See Employee Expense included in the Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 above for a discussion of the IPA and the IPB.

Stock Options Expense. In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (the Statement). We adopted the Statement in the first quarter of 2006 as discussed above under Stock Options Expense included in the Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The stock option expense for the year ended December 31, 2006, was as follows:

	2006
(\$ in millions)	
Employee Stock Option Expense:	
Previously awarded, unvested options as of January 1, 2006	\$13.2
Options granted on or after January 1, 2006	2.4
Total employee stock option expense	\$15.6

In addition to the employee stock option expense, for the year ended December 31, 2006, administrative expense included \$0.2 million of expense related to options granted to directors during the year. Options granted to non-officer directors vest on the grant date and therefore, the full expense is recorded on the grant date.

Administrative Expense. Administrative expenses include legal and accounting fees, valuation assistance fees, insurance premiums, the cost of leases for our headquarters in Washington, DC, and our regional offices, portfolio origination and development expenses, travel costs, stock record expenses, directors fees and stock option expense, and various other expenses. Administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Administrative expenses	\$34.0	\$33.3	\$30.1
Investigation and litigation costs	5.0	36.4	4.6
Total administrative expenses	\$39.0	\$69.7	\$34.7

The increase in administrative expenses, excluding investigation and litigation costs, for the year ended December 31, 2005, over the year ended December 31, 2004, was primarily due to increased expenses related to evaluating potential new investments of \$2.0 million, accounting fees of \$0.8 million, recruiting and employee training costs of \$0.6 million, and valuation assistance fees of \$0.5 million, offset by a decrease in expenses related to a decline in portfolio workout expenses of \$0.6 million.

Investigation and litigation costs include costs associated with requests for information in connection with government investigations and other legal matters. We expect that we will continue to incur legal and other costs associated with these matters. These expenses remain difficult to predict. See Note 16, Litigation of our Notes to the 2006 Consolidated Financial Statements and Legal Proceedings below.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit), Including Excise Tax. Income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 0.1	\$ 5.4	\$1.1
Excise tax expense ⁽¹⁾	15.1	6.2	1.0
•			
Income tax expense (benefit), including excise tax	\$15.2	\$11.6	\$2.1

(1) 2006 includes an accrual for estimated excise tax of \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, net of the reversal of over accrued estimated excise taxes related to 2005 of \$0.3 million.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, A.C. Corporation, is a corporation subject to federal and state income taxes and records a benefit or expense for income taxes as appropriate based on its operating results in a given period. In addition, our estimated annual taxable income for 2006 exceeded our dividend distributions to shareholders for 2006 from such taxable income, and such estimated excess taxable income will be distributed in 2007. Therefore, we will be required to pay a 4% excise tax on the excess of 98% of our taxable income for 2006 over the amount of actual distributions for 2006. Accordingly, we accrued an estimated excise tax of \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, based upon our current estimate of annual taxable income for 2006. See Dividends and Distributions.

While excise tax expense is presented in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as a reduction to net investment income, excise tax relates to both net investment income and net realized gains. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, excise tax payable was \$15.4 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, which was included in Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities on the accompanying Balance Sheet.

Realized Gains and Losses. Net realized gains primarily result from the sale of equity securities associated with certain private finance investments, the sale of CMBS bonds and CDO bonds and preferred shares, and the realization of unamortized discount resulting from the sale and early repayment of private finance loans and commercial mortgage loans, offset by losses on investments. Net realized gains for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Realized gains	\$557.5	\$343.1	\$ 267.7
Realized losses	(24.2)	(69.6)	(150.5)
Net realized gains	\$533.3	\$273.5	\$ 117.2

When we exit an investment and realize a gain or loss, we make an accounting entry to reverse any unrealized appreciation or depreciation, respectively, we had previously recorded to reflect the appreciated or depreciated value of the investment. For the years

ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, we reversed previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses were realized as follows:

	2006	$2005^{(1)}$	2004
(\$ in millions)			
Reversal of previously recorded net unrealized appreciation			
associated with realized gains	\$(501.5)	\$(108.0)	\$(210.5)
Reversal of previously recorded net unrealized depreciation			
associated with realized losses	22.5	68.0	151.8
Total reversal	\$(479.0)	\$ (40.0)	\$ (58.7)

Realized gains for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows:

(\$ in millions)

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.(1)	\$434.4
STS Operating, Inc.	94.8
Oriental Trading Company, Inc.	8.9
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc. (2)	4.8
United Site Services, Inc.	3.3
Component Hardware Group, Inc.	2.8
Opinion Research Corporation	1.9
Nobel Learning Communities, Inc.	1.5
MHF Logistical Solutions, Inc.	1.2
The Debt Exchange, Inc.	1.1
Other	1.5
Total private finance	556.2
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	1.3
Total commercial real estate	1.3
Total realized gains	\$557.5

⁽¹⁾ Includes the reversal of net unrealized appreciation of \$6.5 million on the CMBS and CDO assets sold and the related hedges. The net unrealized appreciation recorded on these assets prior to their sale was determined on an individual security-by-security basis. The net gain realized upon the sale of \$227.7 million reflects the total value received for the portfolio as a whole.

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Housecall Medical Resources, Inc.	\$ 53.7
Fairchild Industrial Products Company	16.2
Apogen Technologies Inc.	9.0
Polaris Pool Systems, Inc.	7.4
MasterPlan, Inc.	3.7
U.S. Security Holdings, Inc.	3.3
Ginsey Industries, Inc.	2.8
E-Talk Corporation	1.6
Professional Paint, Inc.	1.6
Oriental Trading Company, Inc.	1.0
Woodstream Corporation	0.9
Impact Innovations Group, LLC	0.8
DCS Business Services, Inc.	0.7
Other	3.4
Total private finance	106.1
Commercial Real Estate:	
CMBS/CDO assets, net ⁽³⁾	227.7
Other	9.3
Total commercial real estate	237.0
Total realized gains	\$343.1

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
The Hillman Companies, Inc.	\$150.3
CorrFlex Graphics, LLC	25.7
Professional Paint, Inc.	13.7
Impact Innovations Group, LLC	11.1
The Hartz Mountain Corporation	8.3
Housecall Medical Resources, Inc.	7.2
International Fiber Corporation	5.2
CBA-Mezzanine Capital Finance, LLC	4.1
United Pet Group, Inc.	3.8
Oahu Waste Services, Inc.	2.8
Grant Broadcasting Systems II	2.7
Matrics, Inc.	2.1
SmartMail, LLC	2.1

Other	7.6
Total private finance	246.7
Commercial Real Estate:	
CMBS/CDO assets, net ⁽³⁾	17.4
Other	3.6
Total commercial real estate	21.0
Total realized gains	\$267.7

⁽¹⁾ Represents the realized gain on our majority equity investment only. See Private Finance above.

⁽²⁾ Represents a realized gain on our minority equity investment only. See Private Finance above.

⁽³⁾ Net of net realized losses from related hedges of \$0.7 million and \$3.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Realized losses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were as follows: (\$ in millions)

2006

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Staffing Partners Holding Company, Inc.	\$10.6
Acme Paging, L.P.	4.7
Cooper Natural Resources, Inc.	2.2
Aspen Pet Products, Inc.	1.6
Nobel Learning Communities, Inc.	1.4
Other	1.6
Total private finance	22.1
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	2.1
Total commercial real estate	2.1
Total realized losses	\$24.2

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
Norstan Apparel Shops, Inc.	\$18.5
Acme Paging, L.P.	13.8
E-Talk Corporation	9.0
Garden Ridge Corporation	7.1
HealthASPex, Inc.	3.5
MortgageRamp, Inc.	3.5
Maui Body Works, Inc.	2.7
Packaging Advantage Corporation	2.2
Other	3.7
Total private finance	64.0
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	5.6
Total commercial real estate	5.6
Total realized losses	\$69.6

2004

Portfolio Company	Amount
Private Finance:	
American Healthcare Services, Inc.	\$ 32.9
The Color Factory, Inc.	24.5
Executive Greetings, Inc.	19.3
Sydran Food Services II, L.P.	18.2
Ace Products, Inc.	17.6
Prosperco Finanz Holding AG	7.5
Logic Bay Corporation	5.0
Sun States Refrigerated Services, Inc.	4.7
Chickasaw Sales & Marketing, Inc.	3.8
Sure-Tel, Inc.	2.3
Liberty-Pittsburgh Systems, Inc.	2.0
EDM Consulting, LLC	1.9
Pico Products, Inc.	1.7
Impact Innovations Group, LLC	1.7
Interline Brands, Inc.	1.3
Startec Global Communications Corporation	1.1
Other	2.7
Total private finance	148.2
Commercial Real Estate:	
Other	2.3
Total commercial real estate	2.3
Total realized losses	\$150.5

Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation. For a discussion of our fair value methodology, see Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation included in the Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 above.

Private Finance. We receive third-party valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps and Houlihan Lokey Howard and Zukin for our private finance portfolio. See Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation included in the Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 above for further discussion of the third-party

valuation assistance we received. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we received third-party valuation assistance as follows:

	2006			2005				
	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
Number of private finance portfolio companies reviewed Percentage of private finance	81	105	78	78	80	89	72	36
portfolio reviewed at value	82.9%	86.5%	89.6%	87.0%	92.4%	89.3%	83.0%	74.5%

Professional fees for third-party valuation assistance for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, were \$1.5 million, \$1.4 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, consisted of the following:

	$2006^{(1)}$	$2005^{(1)}$	2004(1)
(\$ in millions)			
Net unrealized appreciation or depreciation	\$ 1.6	\$ 502.1	\$ (10.0)
Reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation			
associated with realized gains	(501.5)	(108.0)	(210.5)
Reversal of previously recorded unrealized depreciation			
associated with realized losses	22.5	68.0	151.8
Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation	\$(477.4)	\$ 462.1	\$ (68.7)

Valuation of Business Loan Express, LLC. Our investment in BLX totaled \$295.3 million at cost and \$210.7 million at value at December 31, 2006, and \$299.4 million at cost and \$357.1 million at value at December 31, 2005. To determine the value of our investment in BLX at December 31, 2006, we performed numerous valuation analyses to determine a range of values including: (1) analysis of comparable public company trading multiples; (2) analysis of BLX s value assuming an initial public offering; (3) analysis of merger and acquisition transactions for financial services companies; (4) a discounted dividend analysis; and (5) adding BLX s net asset value (adjusted for certain discounts) to the value of BLX s business operations, which was determined by using a discounted cash flow model. In performing the valuation analyses at December 31, 2006, we considered the impact of various changes in BLX s business model due to the competitive environment for small business loans and BLX s newer non-SBA real estate lending products. We also considered BLX s regulatory issues and ongoing investigations when we performed our valuation as of December 31, 2006. (See Note 3, Portfolio of our Notes to the 2006 Consolidated Financial Statements.) The competitive SBA lending environment, our estimates of future profitability, and the impact of BLX s legal and regulatory matters resulted in a decrease in the value of our investment in BLX at December 31, 2006. We received valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps for our investment in BLX at December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. See Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation included in the Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 above for further discussion of the third-party valuation assistance we received.

⁽¹⁾ The net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation can fluctuate significantly from year to year. As a result, annual comparisons may not be meaningful.

With respect to the analysis of comparable public company trading multiples and the analysis of BLX s value assuming an initial public offering, we compute a median trailing and forward price earnings multiple to apply to BLX s pro-forma net income adjusted for certain capital structure changes that we believe would likely occur should the company be sold. Each quarter we evaluate which public commercial finance companies should be included in the comparable group. The comparable group at December 31, 2006, was made up of CIT Group, Inc., Financial Federal Corporation, GATX Corporation, and Marlin Business Services Corporation, which is consistent with the comparable group at December 31, 2005.

Our investment in BLX at December 31, 2006, was valued at \$210.7 million. This fair value was within the range of values determined by our valuation analyses discussed above. Unrealized depreciation on our investment was \$84.6 million at December 31, 2006. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation included a net decrease of \$142.3 million and \$32.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004, respectively, and a net increase of \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Per Share Amounts. All per share amounts included in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section have been computed using the weighted average common shares used to compute diluted earnings per share, which were 145.6 million, 137.3 million, and 132.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

OTHER MATTERS

Regulated Investment Company Status. We have elected to be taxed as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Code. As long as we qualify as a regulated investment company, we generally are not taxed on our investment company taxable income or realized net capital gains, to the extent that such taxable income or gains are distributed, or deemed to be distributed, to shareholders on a timely basis.

Dividends are paid to shareholders from taxable income. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses generally are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. See Dividends and Distributions below.

Dividends declared and paid by us in a year generally differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital. We are generally required to distribute 98% of our taxable income during the year the income is earned to avoid paying an excise tax. If this requirement is not met, the Code imposes a nondeductible excise tax equal to 4% of the amount by which 98% of the current year s taxable income exceeds the distribution for the year. The taxable income on which an excise tax is paid is generally carried over and distributed to shareholders in the next tax year. Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year

distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required. See Dividends and Distributions below.

In order to maintain our status as a regulated investment company and obtain regulated investment company tax benefits, we must, in general, (1) continue to qualify as a business development company; (2) derive at least 90% of our gross income from dividends, interest, gains from the sale of securities and other specified types of income; (3) meet asset diversification requirements as defined in the Code; and (4) timely distribute to shareholders at least 90% of our annual investment company taxable income as defined in the Code. We intend to take all steps necessary to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company. However, there can be no assurance that we will continue to qualify for such treatment in future years.

DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Total regular quarterly dividends to common shareholders were \$2.42, \$2.30, and \$2.28 per common share for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. An extra cash dividend of \$0.05, \$0.03 and \$0.02 per common share was declared during 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and was paid to shareholders on January 19, 2007, January 27, 2006, and January 28, 2005, respectively.

Dividends to common shareholders for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, were \$193.4 million and \$166.6 million, respectively, or \$1.27 per common share for the first half of 2007 and \$1.19 per common share for the first half of 2006.

The Board of Directors has declared a dividend of \$0.65 per common share for both the third and fourth quarters of 2007.

Our Board of Directors reviews the dividend rate quarterly, and may adjust the quarterly dividend throughout the year. Dividends are declared considering our estimate of annual taxable income available for distribution to shareholders and the amount of taxable income carried over from the prior year for distribution in the current year. Our goal is to declare what we believe to be sustainable increases in our regular quarterly dividends. To the extent that we earn annual taxable income in excess of dividends paid from such taxable income for the year, we may carry over the excess taxable income into the next year and such excess income will be available for distribution in the next year as permitted under the Code (see discussion below). Such income will be treated under the Code as having been distributed during the prior year for purposes of our qualification for RIC tax treatment for such year. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that we may carry over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. Excess taxable income carried over and paid out in the next year is generally subject to a nondeductible 4% excise tax. We believe that carrying over excess taxable income into future periods may provide increased visibility with respect to taxable earnings available to pay the regular quarterly dividend.

Taxable income includes our taxable interest, dividend and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses generally are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in

taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual payment-in-kind interest or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

The summary of our taxable income and distributions of such taxable income for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, is as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)			
	$(ESTIMATED)^{(1)}$		
Taxable income ⁽²⁾	\$ 595.5	\$ 445.0	\$323.2
Taxable income earned in current year and carried forward			
for distribution in next year	(397.1)	(156.5)	(26.0)
Taxable income earned in prior year and carried forward and			
distributed in current year	156.5	26.0	2.1
Total dividends to common shareholders	\$ 354.9	\$ 314.5	\$299.3

- (1) Our taxable income for 2006 is an estimate and will not be finally determined until we file our 2006 tax return in September 2007. Therefore, the final taxable income and the taxable income earned in 2006 and carried forward for distribution in 2007 may be different than the estimate above. See Risk Factors above and Note 10, Dividends and Distributions and Taxes of our Notes to the 2006 Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (2) See Note 10, Dividends and Distributions and Taxes of our Notes to the 2006 Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the differences between net income for book purposes and taxable income. Our estimated annual taxable income for 2006 exceeded our dividend distributions to shareholders for 2006 from such taxable income, and, therefore, we have carried over excess taxable income, which is currently estimated to be \$397.1 million, for distribution to shareholders in 2007. Estimated excess taxable income for 2006 represents approximately \$120.6 million of ordinary income and approximately \$276.5 million of net long-term capital gains. Our taxable income for 2006 is an estimate and will not be finally determined until we file our 2006 tax return in September 2007. Therefore, the excess taxable income earned in 2006 and carried forward for distribution in 2007 may be different from this estimate.

Dividends paid in 2007 will first be paid out of the excess taxable income carried over from 2006. For the first and second quarters of 2007, we paid dividends of \$193.4 million. The remainder of 2006 estimated excess taxable income to be distributed during the second half of 2007 is approximately \$203.7 million. In accordance with regulated investment company distribution rules, we must declare current year dividends to be paid from carried over excess taxable income from 2006 before we file our 2006 tax return in September 2007, and we must pay such dividends by December 31, 2007. To comply with these rules, on July 27, 2007, our Board of Directors declared a \$0.65 per share dividend for both the third and fourth quarters of 2007. The third and fourth quarter dividends will be paid on September 26, 2007, and December 26, 2007, respectively. We expect that substantially all of the 2007 dividend payments will be made from excess 2006 taxable earnings.

Given that substantially all of 2007 s dividend payments will be made from excess taxable income carried over from 2006, we currently expect to carry over substantially all of our estimated annual taxable income for 2007 for distribution to shareholders in 2008. We will generally be required to pay a 4% excise tax on the excess of 98% of our taxable income for 2007 over the amount of actual distributions from such taxable income in 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, we have recorded an excise tax of \$7.6 million. Excise taxes are accrued based upon estimated excess taxable income as estimated taxable income is earned, therefore, the excise tax accrued to date in 2007 may be adjusted as appropriate in the remainder of 2007 to reflect changes in our estimate of the carry over amount and additional excise tax may be accrued during the remainder of 2007 as additional excess taxable income is earned, if any. Our ability to earn the estimated annual taxable income for 2007 depends on many factors, including our ability to make new investments at attractive yields, the level of repayments in the portfolio, the realization of gains or losses from portfolio exits, and the level of operating expenses incurred. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Risk Factors.

In addition to excess taxable income available to be carried over from one tax year for distribution in the following tax year, we currently estimate that we have cumulative deferred taxable income related to installment sale gains of approximately \$220.7 million as of December 31, 2006, which is composed of cumulative deferred taxable income of \$39.6 million as of December 31, 2005, and approximately \$181.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. These gains have been recognized for financial reporting purposes in the respective years they were realized, but generally will be deferred for tax purposes until the notes or other amounts received from the sale of the related investments are collected in cash. The installment sale gains for 2006 are estimates and will not be finally determined until we file our 2006 tax return in September 2007. See Other Matters Regulated Investment Company Status above.

To the extent that installment sale gains are deferred for recognition in taxable income, we pay interest to the Internal Revenue Service. Installment-related interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, was \$2.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. This interest is included in interest expense in our consolidated statement of operations. See Results of Operations above.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, our liquidity portfolio (see below), cash and investments in money market and other securities, total assets, total debt outstanding, total shareholders equity, debt to equity ratio and asset coverage for senior indebtedness were as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2007	2006	2005
Liquidity portfolio (including money market and other			
securities: 2007-\$200.7; 2006-\$201.8; 2005-\$100.0) Cash and investments in money market securities (including	\$ 200.7	\$ 201.8	\$ 200.3
money market and other securities: 2007-\$103.7; 2006-\$0.4;			
2005-\$22.0)	\$ 149.3	\$ 2.1	\$ 53.3
Total assets	\$5,045.5	\$4,887.5	\$4,025.9
Total debt outstanding	\$1,921.8	\$1,899.1	\$1,284.8
Total shareholders equity	\$2,991.1	\$2,841.2	\$2,620.5
Debt to equity ratio ⁽¹⁾	0.64	0.67	0.49
Asset coverage ratio ⁽²⁾	256%	250%	309%

⁽¹⁾ The debt to equity ratio adjusted for the liquidity portfolio and cash and investments in money market securities was 0.53, 0.60, and 0.39 at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which is calculated as (a) total debt less the value of the liquidity portfolio divided by (b) total shareholders equity.

 $^{(2)}$ As a business development company, we are generally required to maintain a minimum ratio of 200% of total assets to total borrowings.

Cash generated from the portfolio includes cash flow from net investment income and net realized gains and principal collections related to investment repayments or sales. Cash flow provided by our operating activities before new investment activity for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, was as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		Fo	led	
	2007	2006	2006	2005	2004
(\$ in millions)					
Net cash provided by (used in) operating					
activities	\$122.8	\$ 126.8	\$ (597.5)	\$ 116.0	\$ (179.3)
Add: portfolio investments funded	659.1	1,071.2	2,257.8	1,668.1	1,472.4
•					
Total cash provided by operating					
activities before new investments	\$781.9	\$1,198.0	\$1,660.3	\$1,784.1	\$1,293.1

In addition to the net cash flow provided by our operating activities before funding investments, we have sources of liquidity through our liquidity portfolio and revolving line of credit as discussed below.

At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, the value and yield of the securities in the liquidity portfolio were as follows:

	200	2007		2006		05
	Value	Yield	Value	Yield	Value	Yield
(\$ in millions)						
U.S. Treasury bills	\$		\$		\$100.3	4.3%
Money market securities	140.7	5.2%	161.2	5.3%	100.0	4.1%
Certificate of deposit ⁽¹⁾	60.0	5.5%	40.6	5.6%		
•						
Total	\$200.7	5.3%	\$201.8	5.3%	\$200.3	4.2%

The liquidity portfolio was established to provide a pool of liquid assets within our balance sheet given that our investment portfolio is primarily composed of private, illiquid assets for which there is no readily available market. We assess the amount held in and the composition of the liquidity portfolio throughout the year.

We invest otherwise uninvested cash in U.S. government- or agency-issued or guaranteed securities that are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, or in high quality, short-term securities. We place our cash with financial institutions and, at times, cash held in checking accounts in financial institutions may be in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured limit.

We employ an asset-liability management approach that focuses on matching the estimated maturities of our investment portfolio to the estimated maturities of our borrowings. We use our revolving line of credit facility as a means to bridge to long-term financing in the form of debt or equity capital, which may or may not result in temporary differences in the matching of estimated maturities. Availability on the revolving line of

⁽¹⁾ The certificate of deposit at June 30, 2007, matures in September 2007.

credit, net of amounts committed for standby letters of credit issued under the line of credit facility, was \$886.0 million on June 30, 2007. We evaluate our interest rate exposure on an ongoing basis. Generally, we seek to fund our primarily fixed-rate debt portfolio and our equity portfolio with fixed-rate debt or equity capital. To the extent deemed necessary, we may hedge variable and short-term interest rate exposure through interest rate swaps or other techniques.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, we sold new equity of \$93.8 million and \$83.0 million, respectively, in public offerings. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2004, we sold new equity of \$295.8 million and \$70.3 million, respectively, in public offerings. We did not sell new equity in a public offering during the year ended December 31, 2005. During the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, we issued \$7.2 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, of our common stock as consideration for investments. In addition, shareholders equity increased by \$20.4 million, \$15.9 million, \$27.7 million, \$77.5 million, and \$51.3 million through the exercise of stock options, the collection of notes receivable from the sale of common stock, and the issuance of shares through our dividend reinvestment plan for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

We currently target a debt to equity ratio ranging between 0.50:1.00 to 0.70:1.00 because we believe that it is prudent to operate with a larger equity capital base and less leverage.

2006

Annual

Return

At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, we had outstanding debt as follows:

Annual

Return

2007

				to				to				to
	E 114		Annual		E 914		Annual		E 914		Annual	
	•				•				•	Amount Outstanding		
(\$ in millions)	7 mount	Justanan	g cost T a	iy menes	7 mount	Justanum	5 00511	uyments	Tillount	Ouistanumg	Cost	uyments
Notes payable and debentures:												
Privately issued unsecured notes												
Publicly issued unsecured notes		\$1,041.8				\$1,041.4			\$1,164.5	\$1,164.5	6.2%	1.8%
payable SBA debentures	880.0	880.0	6.7%	1.2%	650.0	650.0	6.6%	0.9%				%
(3)			%	%	i		%	%	28.5	28.5	7.5%	0.1%
Total notes payable and debentures	1,921.8	1,921.8	6.4%	2.4%	1,691.4	1,691.4	6.3%	2.2%	1,193.0	1,193.0	6.3%	1.9%

2005

Annual

Return

Revolving
line of
credit ⁽⁶⁾

credit ⁽⁶⁾	922.5	%)	0.1%	922.5	207.7	$6.4\%^{(4)}$	0.3%	772.5	91.8	5.6%(4)	0.2%
Total debt	\$2,844.3 \$1,921.8	6.6%(5)	2 5%	\$2 613 9	\$1 899 1	6.5%(5)	2.5%	\$1 965 5	\$1 284 8	6.5% (5)	2 1%

- (1) The weighted average annual interest cost is computed as the (a) annual stated interest on the debt plus the annual amortization of commitment fees, other facility fees and the amortization of debt financing costs that are recognized into interest expense over the contractual life of the respective borrowings, divided by (b) debt outstanding on the balance sheet date.
- (2) The annual return to cover interest payments is calculated as the June 30, 2007, or December 31, 2006 or 2005, annualized cost of debt per class of financing outstanding divided by total assets at June 30, 2007, or December 31, 2006 or 2005, respectively.
- (3) The SBA debentures were repaid in full during 2006.
- (4) There were no amounts drawn on the revolving line of credit at June 30, 2007. The annual interest cost at December 31, 2006 and 2005, reflects the interest rate payable for borrowings under the revolving line of credit. In addition to the current interest rate payable, there were annual costs of commitment fees, other facility fees and amortization of debt financing costs of \$3.8 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.3 million at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
- (5) The annual interest cost for total debt includes the annual cost of commitment fees and the amortization of debt financing costs on the revolving line of credit and other facility fees regardless of the amount outstanding on the facility as of the balance sheet date.
- (6) At June 30, 2007, \$886.0 million remained unused and available on the revolving line of credit, net of amounts committed for standby letters of credit of \$36.5 million issued under the credit facility.

Privately Issued Unsecured Notes Payable. We have privately issued unsecured long-term notes to institutional investors, primarily insurance companies. The notes have five- or seven-year maturities and fixed rates of interest. The notes require payment of interest only semi-annually, and all principal is due upon maturity. At June 30, 2007, the notes had maturities from May 2008 to May 2013. The notes may be prepaid in whole or in part, together with an interest premium, as stipulated in the note agreements.

We have issued five-year unsecured long-term notes denominated in Euros and Sterling for a total U.S. dollar equivalent of \$15.2 million. The notes have fixed interest rates and have substantially the same terms as our other unsecured notes. The Euro notes require annual interest payments and the Sterling notes require semi-annual interest payments until maturity. Simultaneous with issuing the notes, we entered into a cross currency swap with a financial institution which fixed our interest and principal payments in U.S. dollars for the life of the debt.

On October 16, 2006, we repaid \$150.0 million of unsecured long-term debt that matured. This debt had a fixed interest rate of 7.2%. We used cash generated from operations and borrowings on our revolving line of credit to repay this debt.

On May 1, 2006, we issued \$50.0 million of unsecured long-term debt with a fixed interest rate of 6.8%. This debt matures in May 2013. The proceeds of this issuance were used to repay \$25 million of 7.5% unsecured long-term debt that matured on May 1, 2006, and the remainder was used to fund new portfolio investments and for general corporate purposes.

On October 13, 2005, we issued \$261.0 million of five-year and \$89.0 million of seven-year unsecured long-term notes, primarily to insurance companies. The five-and seven-year notes have fixed interest rates of 6.2% and 6.3%, respectively, and have substantially the same terms as our existing unsecured long-term notes. We used a portion of the proceeds from the new long-term note issuance to repay \$125.0 million of our existing unsecured long-term notes that matured on October 15, 2005, and had an annual weighted average interest cost of 8.3%. During the second quarter of 2005, we repaid \$40.0 million of the unsecured notes payable.

Publicly Issued Unsecured Notes Payable. At June 30, 2007, we had outstanding publicly issued unsecured notes as follows:

	Amount	Maturity Date
(\$ in millions)		•
6.625% Notes due 2011	\$400.0	July 15, 2011
6.000% Notes due 2012	250.0	April 1, 2012
6.875% Notes due 2047	230.0	April 15, 2047
		_
Total	\$880.0	

The 6.625% Notes due 2011 and the 6.000% Notes due 2012 require payment of interest only semi-annually, and all principal is due upon maturity. We have the option to redeem these notes in whole or in part, together with a redemption premium, as stipulated in the notes.

On March 28, 2007, we completed the issuance of \$200.0 million of 6.875% Notes due 2047 for net proceeds of \$193.0 million. In April 2007, we issued additional notes, through an over-allotment option, totaling \$30.0 million for net proceeds of \$29.1 million.

Net proceeds are net of underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses. The notes are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol AFC.

The 6.875% Notes due 2047 require payment of interest only quarterly, and all principal is due upon maturity. We may redeem these notes in whole or in part at any time or from time to time on or after April 15, 2012, at par and upon the occurrence of certain tax events as stipulated in the notes.

Small Business Administration Debentures. Through our small business investment company subsidiary, we had debentures payable to the Small Business Administration (SBA) with contractual maturities of ten years. The notes required payment of interest only semi-annually, and all principal was due upon maturity. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we repaid \$28.5 million and \$49.0 million, respectively, of this outstanding debt. At December 31, 2006, we had no outstanding borrowings from the SBA. Allied Investments L.P., our Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) subsidiary, surrendered its SBIC license and on October 1, 2006, Allied Investments L.P. was merged into its parent, Allied Capital Corporation. Therefore, the SBA is no longer a source of debt capital for us.

Revolving Line of Credit. At June 30, 2007, we had an unsecured revolving line of credit with a committed amount of \$922.5 million that expires on September 30, 2008. At our option, borrowings under the revolving line of credit generally bear interest at a rate equal to (i) LIBOR (for the period we select) plus 1.05% or (ii) the higher of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or the Bank of America N.A. prime rate. The revolving line of credit requires the payment of an annual commitment fee equal to 0.20% of the committed amount (whether used or unused). The revolving line of credit generally requires payments of interest at the end of each LIBOR interest period, but no less frequently than quarterly, on LIBOR based loans and monthly payments of interest on other loans. All principal is due upon maturity.

At June 30, 2007, there were no outstanding borrowings on our unsecured revolving line of credit. The amount available under the line at June 30, 2007, was \$886.0 million, net of amounts committed for standby letters of credit of \$36.5 million. Net repayments under the revolving line of credit for the six months ended June 30, 2007, were \$207.8 million. Net borrowings under the revolving line of credit for the year ended December 31, 2006, were \$116.0 million.

We have various financial and operating covenants required by the revolving line of credit and the privately issued unsecured notes payable outstanding at June 30, 2007. These covenants require us to maintain certain financial ratios, including debt to equity and interest coverage, and a minimum net worth. These credit facilities provide for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, cross-defaults, bankruptcy events, failure to pay judgments, attachment of our assets, change of control and the issuance of an order of dissolution. Certain of these events of default are subject to notice and cure periods or materiality thresholds. Our credit facilities limit our ability to declare dividends if we default under certain provisions. As of June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006 and 2005, we were in compliance with these covenants.

We have certain financial and operating covenants that are required by the publicly issued unsecured notes payable, including that we will maintain a minimum ratio of 200% of total assets to total borrowings, as required by the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, while these notes are outstanding. At June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants.

The following table shows our significant contractual obligations for the repayment of debt and payment of other contractual obligations as of June 30, 2007.

Payments Due By Year

(\$ in millions)	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	After 2011
Unsecured notes payable	\$1,921.8	\$	\$153.0	\$269.3	\$408.0	\$472.5	\$619.0
Revolving line of credit ⁽¹⁾							
Operating leases	22.4	2.2	4.4	4.6	4.5	1.8	4.9
Total contractual obligations	\$1,944.2	\$2.2	\$157.4	\$273.9	\$412.5	\$474.3	\$623.9

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, we have issued guarantees and have extended standby letters of credit through financial intermediaries on behalf of certain portfolio companies. We have generally issued guarantees of debt, rental and lease obligations. Under these arrangements, we would be required to make payments to third-party beneficiaries if the portfolio companies were to default on their related payment obligations. The following table shows our guarantees and standby letters of credit that may have the effect of creating, increasing, or accelerating our liabilities as of June 30, 2007.

Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Year

(\$ in millions)	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	After 2011
Guarantees	\$220.9	\$3.3	\$ 3.0	\$208.3	\$	\$4.4	\$1.9
Standby letters of credit ⁽¹⁾	36.5	3.9	32.6				
Total commitments ⁽²⁾	\$257.4	\$7.2	\$35.6	\$208.3	\$	\$4.4	\$1.9

- (1) Standby letters of credit are issued under our revolving line of credit that expires in September 2008. Therefore, unless a standby letter of credit is set to expire at an earlier date, we have assumed that the standby letters of credit will expire contemporaneously with the expiration of our line of credit in September 2008.
- (2) Our most significant commitments relate to our investment in Business Loan Express, LLC (BLX), which commitments totaled \$228.8 million at June 30, 2007. At June 30, 2007, we guaranteed 50% of the outstanding total obligations on BLX s revolving line of credit, which expires in March 2009, for a total guaranteed amount of \$205.8 million and we had also provided four standby letters of credit totaling \$20.0 million in connection with four term securitizations completed by BLX. In addition, we have agreed to purchase the \$3.0 million of Class A equity interests purchased by the chief executive officer of BLX at fair value in the event that BLX amends or

⁽¹⁾ At June 30, 2007, \$886.0 million remained unused and available on the revolving line of credit, net of amounts committed for standby letters of credit of \$36.5 million issued under the credit facility.

otherwise restructures its existing senior credit facility or he is terminated for any reason. See Private Finance, Business Loan Express, LLC above for further discussion.

In addition, we had outstanding commitments to fund investments totaling \$450.2 million at June 30, 2007. See Portfolio and Investment Activity Outstanding Commitments above. We intend to fund these commitments and prospective investment opportunities with existing cash, through cash flow from operations before new investments, through borrowings under our line of credit or other long-term debt agreements, or through the sale or issuance of new equity capital.

75

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements are based on the selection and application of critical accounting policies, which require management to make significant estimates and assumptions. Critical accounting policies are those that are both important to the presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management s most difficult, complex, or subjective judgments. Our critical accounting policies are those applicable to the valuation of investments, certain revenue recognition matters and certain tax matters as discussed below.

Valuation of Portfolio Investments. As a business development company, we invest in illiquid securities including debt and equity securities of companies and CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/income notes. Our investments may be subject to certain restrictions on resale and generally have no established trading market. We value substantially all of our investments at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors in accordance with our valuation policy. We determine fair value to be the amount for which an investment could be exchanged in an orderly disposition over a reasonable period of time between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Our valuation policy considers the fact that no ready market exists for substantially all of the securities in which we invest. Our valuation policy is intended to provide a consistent basis for determining the fair value of the portfolio. We will record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investments. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/ or our equity security has also appreciated in value. The value of investments in publicly traded securities is determined using quoted market prices discounted for restrictions on resale, if any.

See Results of Operations Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation above for more discussion on portfolio valuation.

Loans and Debt Securities. For loans and debt securities, fair value generally approximates cost unless the borrower s enterprise value, overall financial condition or other factors lead to a determination of fair value at a different amount. The value of loan and debt securities may be greater than our cost basis if the amount that would be repaid on the loan or debt security upon the sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company is greater than our cost basis.

When we receive nominal cost warrants or free equity securities (nominal cost equity), we allocate our cost basis in our investment between debt securities and nominal cost equity at the time of origination. At that time, the original issue discount basis of the nominal cost equity is recorded by increasing the cost basis in the equity and decreasing the cost basis in the related debt securities.

Interest income is recorded on an accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected. For loans and debt securities with contractual payment-in-kind interest, which represents contractual interest accrued and added to the loan balance that generally becomes due at maturity, we will not accrue payment-in-kind interest if the portfolio company valuation indicates that the payment-in-kind interest is not collectible.

In general, interest is not accrued on loans and debt securities if we have doubt about interest collection or where the enterprise value of the portfolio company may not support further accrual. Loans in workout status do not accrue interest. In addition, interest may not accrue on loans or debt securities to portfolio companies that are more than 50% owned by us depending on such company s capital requirements. Loan origination fees, original issue discount, and market discount are capitalized and then amortized into interest income using a method that approximates the effective interest method. Upon the prepayment of a loan or debt security, any unamortized loan origination fees are recorded as interest income and any unamortized original issue discount or market discount is recorded as a realized gain. Prepayment premiums are recorded on loans and debt securities when received.

Equity Securities. Our equity securities in portfolio companies for which there is no liquid public market are valued at fair value based on the enterprise value of the portfolio company, which is determined using various factors, including cash flow from operations of the portfolio company, multiples at which private companies are bought and sold, and other pertinent factors, such as recent offers to purchase a portfolio company, recent transactions involving the purchase or sale of the portfolio company s equity securities, liquidation events, or other events. The determined equity values are generally discounted when we have a minority ownership position, restrictions on resale, specific concerns about the receptivity of the capital markets to a specific company at a certain time, or other factors.

The value of our equity investments in private debt and equity funds are generally valued at the fund s net asset value. The value of our equity securities in public companies for which market quotations are readily available is based on the closing public market price on the balance sheet date. Securities that carry certain restrictions on sale are typically valued at a discount from the public market value of the security.

Dividend income on preferred equity securities is recorded as dividend income on an accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are expected to be collected and to the extent that we have the option to receive the dividend in cash. Dividend income on common equity securities is recorded on the record date for private companies or on the ex-dividend date for publicly traded companies.

Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDO) and Collateralized Loan Obligations (CLO). CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/ income notes (CDO/ CLO Assets) are carried at fair value, which is based on a discounted cash flow model that utilizes prepayment, re-investment and loss assumptions based on historical experience and projected performance, economic factors, the characteristics of the underlying cash flow, and comparable yields for similar bonds and preferred shares/income notes, when available. We recognize unrealized appreciation or depreciation on our CDO/CLO Assets as comparable yields in the market change and/ or based on changes in estimated cash flows resulting from changes in prepayment, re-investment or loss assumptions in the underlying collateral pool. We determine the fair value of our CDO/ CLO Assets on an individual security-by-security basis.

We recognize interest income on the preferred shares/income notes using the effective interest method, based on the anticipated yield and the estimated cash flows over the projected life of the investment. Yields are revised when there are changes in actual or estimated cash flows due to changes in prepayments and/or re-investments, credit losses or asset pricing. Changes in estimated yield are recognized as an adjustment to the estimated

yield over the remaining life of the preferred shares/income notes from the date the estimated yield was changed. CDO and CLO bonds have stated interest rates.

Net Realized Gains or Losses and Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation. Realized gains or losses are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the repayment or sale and the cost basis of the investment without regard to unrealized appreciation or depreciation previously recognized, and include investments charged off during the year, net of recoveries. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation primarily reflects the change in portfolio investment values during the reporting period, including the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation or depreciation when gains or losses are realized. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation also reflects the change in the value of U.S. Treasury bills and deposits of proceeds from sales of borrowed Treasury securities, and depreciation on accrued interest and dividends receivable and other assets where collection is doubtful.

Fee Income. Fee income includes fees for loan prepayment premiums, guarantees, commitments, and services rendered by us to portfolio companies and other third parties such as diligence, structuring, transaction services, management and consulting services, and other services. Loan prepayment premiums are recognized at the time of prepayment. Guaranty and commitment fees are generally recognized as income over the related period of the guaranty or commitment, respectively. Diligence, structuring, and transaction services fees are generally recognized as income when services are rendered or when the related transactions are completed. Management, consulting and other services fees are generally recognized as income as the services are rendered.

Federal and State Income Taxes and Excise Tax. We intend to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) that are applicable to regulated investment companies (RIC) and real estate investment trusts (REIT). We and any of our subsidiaries that qualify as a RIC or a REIT intend to distribute or retain through a deemed distribution all of our annual taxable income to shareholders; therefore, we have made no provision for income taxes exclusive of excise taxes for these entities.

If we do not distribute at least 98% of our annual taxable income in the year earned, we will generally be required to pay an excise tax equal to 4% of the amount by which 98% of our annual taxable income exceeds the distributions from such taxable income during the year earned. To the extent that we determine that our estimated current year annual taxable income will be in excess of estimated current year dividend distributions from such taxable income, we accrue excise taxes on estimated excess taxable income as taxable income is earned using an annual effective excise tax rate. The annual effective excise tax rate is determined by dividing the estimated annual excise tax by the estimated annual taxable income.

Income taxes for AC Corp are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our business activities contain elements of risk. We consider the principal types of market risk to be fluctuations in interest rates. We consider the management of risk essential to conducting our businesses. Accordingly, our risk management systems and procedures are designed to identify and analyze our risks, to set appropriate policies and limits and to continually monitor these risks and limits by means of reliable administrative and information systems and other policies and programs.

Because we borrow money to make investments, our net investment income is dependent upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest these funds. As a result, there can be no assurance that a significant change in market interest rates will not have a material adverse effect on our net investment income. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which would reduce our net investment income. We use a combination of long-term and short-term borrowings and equity capital to finance our investing activities. We utilize our revolving line of credit as a means to bridge to long-term financing. Our long-term fixed-rate investments are financed primarily with long-term fixed-rate debt and equity. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. Such techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. We have analyzed the potential impact of changes in interest rates on interest income net of interest expense.

Assuming that the balance sheet as of June 30, 2007, were to remain constant and no actions were taken to alter the existing interest rate sensitivity, a hypothetical immediate 1% change in interest rates would have affected net income by approximately 1% over a one year horizon. Although management believes that this measure is indicative of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for potential changes in credit quality, size and composition of the assets on the balance sheet and other business developments that could affect net increase in net assets resulting from operations, or net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the potential outcome simulated by this estimate.

In addition, we may have risk regarding portfolio valuation. See Business Portfolio Valuation.

SENIOR SECURITIES

Information about our senior securities is shown in the following tables as of December 31 for the years indicated in the table, unless otherwise noted. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm on the senior securities table as of December 31, 2006, is attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. The indicates information which the SEC expressly does not require to be disclosed for certain types of senior securities.

Class and Year	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of Treasury Securities ⁽¹⁾	Asset Coverage Per Unit ⁽²⁾	Involuntary Liquidating Preference Per Unit ⁽³⁾	Average Market Value Per Unit ⁽⁴⁾
Privately Issued Unsecured Notes				
Payable 1997	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	N/A
1997	180,000,000	2,734	Ф	N/A N/A
1999	419,000,000	·		N/A
2000	544,000,000			N/A
2000	694,000,000			N/A
2002	694,000,000			N/A
2002	854,000,000			N/A
2004	981,368,000			N/A
2005 ⁽⁵⁾	1,164,540,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		N/A
2006 ⁽⁵⁾	1,041,400,000			N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited) ⁽⁶⁾	1,041,815,000			N/A
Publicly Issued Unsecured Notes Payable				
1997	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	N/A
1998	0	0		N/A
1999	0	0		N/A
2000	0	0		N/A
2001	0			N/A
2002	0			N/A
2003	0	0		N/A
2004	0			N/A
$2005^{(5)}$	0	0		N/A
$2006^{(5)}$	650,000,000	,		\$1,000
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited) ⁽⁶⁾	880,000,000	2,556		\$ 745
Revolving Lines of Credit				
1997	\$ 38,842,000	\$2,215	\$	N/A
1998	95,000,000	2,734		N/A
1999	82,000,000			N/A
2000	82,000,000			N/A
2001	144,750,000			N/A
2002	204,250,000	·		N/A
2003	0	0		N/A

2004	112,000,000	2,801	N/A
2005	91,750,000	3,086	N/A
2006	207,750,000	2,496	N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0	N/A
	80		

	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of	Asset	Involuntary Liquidating	Average
	Treasury	Coverage	Preference	Market Value
Class and Year	Securities ⁽¹⁾	Per Unit ⁽²⁾	Per Unit ⁽³⁾	Per Unit ⁽⁴⁾
Small Business Administration Debentures ⁽⁷⁾				
1997	\$ 54,300,000	\$2,215	\$	N/A
1998	47,650,000	2,734	Ψ	N/A
1999	62,650,000	2,283		N/A
2000	78,350,000	2,445		N/A
2001	94,500,000	2,453		N/A
2002	94,500,000	2,704		N/A
2003	94,500,000	3,219		N/A
2004	77,500,000	2,801		N/A
2005	28,500,000	3,086		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A
Overseas Private Investment Corporation Loan				
1997	\$ 8,700,000	\$2,215	\$	N/A
1998	5,700,000	2,734	Ţ	N/A
1999	5,700,000	2,283		N/A
2000	5,700,000	2,445		N/A
2001	5,700,000	2,453		N/A
2002	5,700,000	2,704		N/A
2003	5,700,000	3,219		N/A
2004	5,700,000	2,801		N/A
2005	0	0		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A
Auction Rate Reset Note				
1997	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	N/A
1998	0	0		N/A
1999	0	0		N/A
2000	76,598,000	2,445		N/A
2001	81,856,000	2,453		N/A
2002	0	0		N/A
2003	0	0		N/A
2004	0	0		N/A
2005	0	0		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A

Edgar Filing: ALLIED CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Master Repurchase Agreement and Master Loan and Security Agreement			
1997	\$225,821,000	\$2,215	\$ N/A
1998	6,000,000	2,734	N/A
1999	23,500,000	2,283	N/A
2000	0	0	N/A
2001	0	0	N/A
2002	0	0	N/A
2003	0	0	N/A
2004	0	0	N/A
2005	0	0	N/A
2006	0	0	N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0	N/A
	81		

	Total Amount Outstanding Exclusive of	Asset	Involuntary Liquidating	Average
	Treasury	Coverage	Preference	Market Value
Class and Year	Securities ⁽¹⁾	Per Unit ⁽²⁾	Per Unit ⁽³⁾	Per Unit ⁽⁴⁾
Senior Note Payable ⁽⁸⁾				
1997	\$20,000,000	\$2,215	\$	N/A
1998	0	0	Ψ	N/A
1999	0	0		N/A
2000	0	0		N/A
2001	0	0		N/A
2002	0	0		N/A
2003	0	0		N/A
2004	0	0		N/A
2005	0	0		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A
Redeemable Cumulative Preferred Stoc	K (7)(9)			
1997	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 217	\$100	N/A
1998	1,000,000	267	100	N/A
1999	1,000,000	225	100	N/A
2000	1,000,000	242	100	N/A
2001	1,000,000	244	100	N/A
2002	1,000,000	268	100	N/A
2003	1,000,000	319	100	N/A
2004	0	0		N/A
2005	0	0		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A
Non-Redeemable Cumulative Preferred				
1997	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 217	\$100	N/A
1998	6,000,000	267	100	N/A
1999	6,000,000	225	100	N/A
2000	6,000,000	242	100	N/A
2001	6,000,000	244	100	N/A
2002	6,000,000	268	100	N/A
2003	6,000,000	319	100	N/A
2004	0	0		N/A
2005	0	0		N/A
2006	0	0		N/A
2007 (as of June 30, unaudited)	0	0		N/A

- (1) Total amount of each class of senior securities outstanding at the end of the period presented.
- (2) The asset coverage ratio for a class of senior securities representing indebtedness is calculated as our consolidated total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, divided by senior securities representing indebtedness. This asset coverage ratio is multiplied by \$1,000 to determine the Asset Coverage Per Unit. The asset coverage ratio for a class of senior securities that is preferred stock is calculated as our consolidated total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities, divided by senior securities representing indebtedness, plus the involuntary liquidation preference of the preferred stock (see footnote 3). The Asset Coverage Per Unit for preferred stock is expressed in terms of dollar amounts per share.
- (3) The amount to which such class of senior security would be entitled upon the involuntary liquidation of the issuer in preference to any security junior to it.
- (4) Not applicable, except for publicly issued unsecured notes payable, as other senior securities are not registered for public trading. The average market value of the publicly issued unsecured notes payable is calculated as the weighted average face value of the notes. On August 17, 2007, the closing price of our \$230 million 6.875% Notes due 2047 was \$20.04 per share.
- (5) See Note 4 to our 2006 consolidated financial statements for a description of the terms.
- (6) See Note 4 to our June 30, 2007, consolidated financial statements for a description of the terms.
- (7) Issued by our small business investment company subsidiary to the Small Business Administration. These categories of senior securities were not subject to the asset coverage requirements of the 1940 Act. During 2006, our small business investment company (SBIC) subsidiary surrendered its SBIC license and was merged into its parent.
- (8) We were the obligor on \$15 million of the senior notes. Our small business investment company subsidiary was the obligor on the remaining \$5 million, which was not subject to the asset coverage requirements of the 1940 Act.
- (9) The Redeemable Cumulative Preferred Stock was reclassified to Other Liabilities on the accompanying financial statements during 2003 in accordance with SFAS No. 150.

82

BUSINESS

General

We are a business development company, or BDC, in the private equity business and we are internally managed. Specifically, we provide long-term debt and equity capital to primarily private middle market companies in a variety of industries. We believe the private equity capital markets are important to the growth of small and middle market companies because such companies often have difficulty accessing the public debt and equity capital markets. We believe that we are well positioned to be a source of capital for such companies. We provide our investors the opportunity to participate in the U.S. private equity industry through an investment in our publicly traded stock.

We have participated in the private equity business since we were founded in 1958. Since then through June 30, 2007, we have invested more than \$12 billion in thousands of companies nationwide. We primarily invest in the American entrepreneurial economy, helping to build middle market businesses and support American jobs. We generally invest in established companies with adequate cash flow for debt service and are well positioned for growth. We are not venture capitalists, and we generally do not provide seed, or early stage, capital. At June 30, 2007, our private finance portfolio included investments in 143 companies that generate aggregate annual revenues of over \$12 billion and employ more than 85,000 people.

Our investment objective is to achieve current income and capital gains. In order to achieve this objective, we primarily invest in debt and equity securities of private companies in a variety of industries. However, from time to time, we may invest in companies that are public but lack access to additional public capital.

Private Equity Investing

As a private equity investor, we spend significant time and effort identifying, structuring, performing due diligence, monitoring, developing, valuing, and ultimately exiting our investments. We generally target companies in less cyclical industries with, among other things, high returns on invested capital, management teams with meaningful equity ownership, well-constructed balance sheets, and the ability to generate free cash flow. Each investment is subject to an extensive due diligence process. It is not uncommon for a single investment to take from two months to a full year to complete, depending on the complexity of the transaction.

Our investment activity is primarily focused on making long-term investments in the debt and equity of primarily private middle market companies. These investments are generally long-term in nature and privately negotiated, and no readily available market exists for them. This makes our investments highly illiquid and, as a result, we cannot readily trade them. When we make an investment, we enter into a long-term arrangement where our ultimate exit from that investment may be three to ten years in the future.

We believe illiquid investments generally provide better investment returns on average over time than do more liquid investments, such as public equities and public debt instruments, because of the increased liquidity risk in holding such investments. Investors in illiquid investments cannot manage risk through investment trading techniques. In order to manage our risk, we focus on careful investment selection, thorough due diligence,

portfolio monitoring and portfolio diversification. Our investment management processes have been designed to incorporate these disciplines.

We have focused on investments in the debt and equity of primarily private middle market companies because they can be structured to provide recurring cash flow to us as the investor. In addition to earning interest income, we may earn income from management, consulting, diligence, structuring or other fees. We may also enhance our total return with capital gains realized from investments in equity instruments or from equity features, such as nominal cost warrants. For the period January 1, 1998, through June 30, 2007, we realized \$1.2 billion in cumulative net realized gains from our investment portfolio. Net realized gains for the years 1998 through 2006 as a percentage of total assets are shown in the chart below.

One measure of the performance of a private equity investor is the internal rate of return generated by the investor s portfolio. Since our merger on December 31, 1997, through June 30, 2007, our combined aggregate cash flow internal rate of return, or IRR, has been approximately 21% for private finance and CMBS/CDO investments exited during this period. The IRR is calculated using the aggregate portfolio cash flow for all investments exited over this period. For investments exited during this period, we invested capital totaling \$4.3 billion. The weighted average holding period of these investments was 36 months. Investments are considered to be exited when the original investment objective has been achieved through the receipt of cash and/or non-cash consideration upon the repayment of our debt investment or sale of an equity investment, or through the determination that no further consideration was collectible and, thus, a loss may have been realized. The aggregate cash flow IRR for private finance investments exited was approximately 21% and for CMBS/CDO investments exited was approximately 24% for the same period. The weighted average holding period of the private finance and CMBS/CDO investments was 46 months and 22 months, respectively, for the same period. These IRR results represent historical results. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of future results.

We believe our business model is well suited for long-term illiquid investing. Our balance sheet is capitalized with significant equity capital and we use only a modest level of debt capital, which allows us the ability to be patient and to manage through difficult

market conditions with less risk of liquidity issues. Under the 1940 Act, we are restricted to a debt to equity ratio of approximately one-to-one. Thus, our capital structure, which includes a modest level of long-term leverage, is well suited for long-term illiquid investments.

In general, we compete for investments with a large number of private equity funds and mezzanine funds, other business development companies, hedge funds, investment banks, other equity and non-equity based investment funds, and other sources of financing, including specialty finance companies and traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks. However, we primarily compete with other providers of long-term debt and equity capital to middle market companies, including private equity funds and other business development companies.

We are internally managed, led by an experienced management team with our senior officers and managing directors possessing, on average, 22 years of experience. At June 30, 2007, we had 173 employees focused on transaction sourcing, origination and execution, portfolio monitoring, accounting, valuation and other operational and administrative activities. We are headquartered in Washington, DC, with offices in New York, NY, Chicago, IL, and Los Angeles, CA and have a centralized approval process.

Private Finance Portfolio. Our private finance portfolio is primarily composed of debt and equity securities. We generally invest in private companies though, from time to time, we may invest in companies that are public but lack access to additional public capital. These investments are also generally illiquid.

Our capital is generally used to fund:

Buyouts Acquisitions Growth Recapitalizations
Note purchases

Other types of financings

When assessing a prospective private finance investment, we generally look for companies in less cyclical industries in the middle market (i.e., generally \$50 million to \$500 million in revenues) with certain target characteristics, which may or may not be present in the companies in which we invest. Our target investments generally are in companies with the following characteristics:

Management team with meaningful equity ownership

Dominant or defensible market position

High return on invested capital

Stable operating margins

Ability to generate free cash flow

Well-constructed balance sheet
We generally invest in companies in the following industries:

Business Services Consumer Products Financial Services **Industrial Products Consumer Services**

We intend to take a balanced approach to private equity investing that emphasizes a complementary mix of debt investments and buyout investments. The combination of these two types of investments provides current interest and related portfolio income and the potential for future capital gains. Our strategy is to manage risk in these investments through the structure and terms of our debt and equity investments. It is our preference to structure our investments with a focus on current recurring interest and other income, which may include management, consulting or other fees. We generally target debt investments of \$10 million to \$150 million and buyout investments of up to \$300 million of invested capital.

Debt investments may include senior loans, unitranche debt (a single debt investment that is a blend of senior and subordinated debt terms), or subordinated debt (with or without equity features). The junior debt that we invest in that is lower in repayment priority than senior debt is also known as mezzanine debt. We may make equity investments for a minority equity stake in portfolio companies or may receive equity features, such as nominal cost warrants, in conjunction with our debt investments. We generally target a minimum weighted average portfolio yield of 10% on the debt investments in our private finance portfolio.

Senior loans may carry a fixed rate of interest or a floating rate of interest, usually set as a spread over LIBOR, and generally require payments of both principal and interest throughout the life of the loan. Senior loans generally have contractual maturities of three to six years and interest is generally paid to us monthly or quarterly. Unitranche debt generally carries a fixed rate of interest and may require payments of both principal and interest throughout the life of the loan. Unitranche debt generally has contractual maturities of five to six years and interest is generally paid to us quarterly. Subordinated debt generally carries a fixed rate of interest generally with contractual maturities of five to ten years and generally has interest-only payments in the early years and payments of both principal and interest in the later years, although maturities and principal amortization schedules may vary. Interest is generally paid to us quarterly.

We may underwrite or arrange senior loans related to our portfolio investments or for other companies that are not in our portfolio. When we underwrite or arrange senior loans, we may earn a fee for such activities. Senior loans underwritten or arranged by us may or may not be funded by us at closing. When these senior loans are closed, we may fund all or a portion of the underwritten commitment pending sale of the loan to other investors, which may include loan sales to Callidus Capital Corporation (Callidus), a portfolio company controlled by us, or funds managed by Callidus or by us, including the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (discussed below). After completion of loan sales, we may or may not retain a position in these senior loans. We generally earn a fee on the senior loans we underwrite or arrange whether or not we fund the underwritten commitment. In addition, we may fund most or all of the debt and equity capital upon the closing of certain buyout transactions, which may include investments in lower-yielding senior debt. Subsequent to the closing, the portfolio company may refinance all or a portion of the lower-yielding senior debt, which would reduce our investment. Repayments include repayments of senior debt funded by us that was subsequently sold by us or refinanced or repaid by the portfolio companies.

We may also invest in the bonds or preferred shares/income notes of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) or collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), where the underlying collateral pool consists of senior loans. Certain of the CLOs and CDOs in which we invest may be managed by Callidus Capital Management, a subsidiary of Callidus.

In a buyout transaction, we generally invest in senior debt, subordinated debt and equity (preferred and/or voting or non-voting common) where our equity ownership represents a significant portion of the equity, but may or may not represent a controlling interest. If we invest in non-voting equity in a buyout investment, we generally have an option to acquire a controlling stake in the voting securities of the portfolio company at fair market value. We generally structure our buyout investments such that we seek to earn a blended current return on our total capital invested of approximately 10% through a combination of interest income on our senior loans and subordinated debt, dividends on our preferred and common equity, and management, consulting, or transaction services fees to compensate us for the managerial assistance that we may provide to the portfolio company. As a result of our significant equity investment in a buyout investment there is potential to realize larger capital gains through buyout investing as compared to debt or mezzanine investing.

The structure of each debt and equity security is specifically negotiated to enable us to protect our investment, with a focus on preservation of capital, and maximize our returns. We include many terms governing interest rate, repayment terms, prepayment penalties, financial covenants, operating covenants, ownership parameters, dilution parameters, liquidation preferences, voting rights, and put or call rights. Our senior loans and unitranche debt are generally secured, however in a liquidation scenario, the collateral, if any, may not be sufficient to support our outstanding investment. Our junior or mezzanine loans are generally unsecured. Our investments may be subject to certain restrictions on resale and generally have no established trading market.

At June 30, 2007, 68.6% of the private finance portfolio at value consisted of loans and debt securities and 31.4% consisted of equity securities (equity securities included 29.6% in investment cost basis and 1.8% in net unrealized appreciation). At June 30, 2007, 90% of our private finance loans and debt securities carried a fixed rate of interest and 10% carried a floating rate of interest. The mix of fixed and variable rate loans and debt securities in the portfolio may vary depending on the level of floating rate senior loans or unitranche debt in the portfolio at a given time. The weighted average yield on our private finance loans and debt securities was 11.7% at June 30, 2007.

At June 30, 2007, 39.3% of the private finance investments at value were in companies more than 25% owned, 9.6% were in companies 5% to 25% owned, and 51.1% were in companies less than 5% owned.

Our ten largest investments at value at June 30, 2007, were as follows:

At June 30, 2007

(¢ ::11:			At June 3	00, 2007	
(\$ in millions) Portfolio Company	Company Information	Cost	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)	Value	Percentage of Total Assets
Mercury Air Centers, Inc.	Owns and operates fixed base operations generally under long- term leases from local airport authorities, which consist of terminal and hangar complexes that service the needs of the general aviation community.	\$ 85.3	\$ 234.8	\$320.1	6.3%
Business Loan Express, LLC ⁽¹⁾	Originates, sells, and services primarily real estate secured loans, generally for businesses with financing needs of up to \$8.0 million. Provides primarily real estate secured conventional small business loans, SBA 7(a) loans, and small investment real estate loans.	\$324.6	\$(103.8)	\$220.8	4.4%
WMA Equity Corporation and Affiliates d/b/a Wear Me Apparel	Designer and marketer of licensed and private label children s apparel.	\$182.7	\$	\$182.7	3.6%
Norwesco, Inc.	Designs, manufactures and markets a broad assortment of polyethylene tanks primarily to the agricultural and septic tank markets.	\$120.7	\$ 58.1	\$178.8	3.5%
BenefitMall, Inc.	Insurance general agency providing brokers with products, tools, and services that make selling employee benefits to small businesses more efficient.	\$155.2	\$ 10.6	\$165.8	3.3%
Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	Sales and marketing agency providing outsourced sales, merchandising, and marketing services to the consumer packaged goods industry.	\$153.2	\$ 11.0	\$164.2	3.3%
Financial Pacific Company	Specialized commercial finance company that leases business- essential equipment to small businesses nationwide.	\$ 97.2	\$ 63.0	\$160.2	3.2%

Driven Brands, Inc.	Business format franchisor in the car care sector of the automotive aftermarket industry and in the general car care services with approximately 1,100 locations worldwide operating primarily under the Meineke Car Care Centers [®] and Econo Lube N Ture brands.	\$149.1	\$ (9.9)	\$139.2	2.8%
EarthColor, Inc.	Commercial printer focused on providing a one-stop printing solution of electronic pre-press, printing and finishing primarily for promotional products such as direct mail pieces, brochures, product information and free standing inserts.	\$160.1	\$ (23.4)	\$136.7	2.7%
Huddle House, Inc.	Franchisor of more than 400 value-priced, full service, family dining restaurants.	\$100.2	\$ 1.6	\$101.8	2.0%

⁽¹⁾ See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

⁽²⁾ In August 2007, we sold our majority equity interest in Mercury Air Centers, Inc. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We monitor the portfolio to maintain diversity within the industries in which we invest. We may or may not concentrate in any industry or group of industries in the future. The industry composition of the private finance portfolio at value at June 30, 2007, and December 31, 2006, was as follows:

	2007	2006
Industry		
Business services	39%	39%
Consumer products	23	20
Financial services	12	9
Industrial products	10	9
Retail	4	6
CDO/CLO Funds ⁽¹⁾	4	3
Consumer services	3	6
Healthcare services	1	3
Energy services	1	2
Other	3	3
Total	100%	100%

(1) These funds invest in senior debt representing a variety of industries and are managed by Callidus Capital, our portfolio company.

Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. AC Corp is the investment manager to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (the Fund), a fund that generally invests in senior, unitranche and second lien debt. The Fund has closed on \$125 million in equity capital commitments. Callidus acts as special manager to the Fund. One of our affiliates is the general partner of the Fund, and AC Corp serves as collateral manager to a warehouse financing vehicle associated with the Fund. AC Corp will earn a management fee of up to 2% of the net asset value of the Fund and will pay Callidus 25% of that management fee to compensate Callidus for its role as special manager.

We are a special limited partner in the Fund, which is a portfolio investment, and have committed \$31.8 million to the Fund, of which \$19.1 million has been funded. At June 30, 2007, our investment in the Fund totaled \$19.1 million at cost and \$19.3 million at value. As a special limited partner, we expect to earn an incentive allocation of 20% of the annual net income of the Fund, subject to certain performance benchmarks. The value of our investment in the Fund is based on the net asset value of the Fund, which reflects the capital invested plus our allocation of the net earnings of the Fund, including the incentive allocation.

In connection with the Fund s formation in June 2007, we sold an initial portfolio of approximately \$183 million of seasoned assets with a weighted average yield of 10.3% to a warehouse financing vehicle associated with the Fund. We may sell additional loans to the Fund or the warehouse financing vehicle. See Risk Factors There are potential conflicts of interest between us and the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P.

Commercial Real Estate Finance Portfolio. Since 1998, our commercial real estate investments were generally in the non-investment grade tranches of commercial mortgage-backed securities, also known as CMBS, and in the bonds and preferred shares of collateralized debt obligations, also known as CDOs. On May 3, 2005, we completed the sale of our portfolio of CMBS and CDO investments to affiliates of Caisse de dépôt et

placement du Québec (the Caisse). See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Simultaneous with the sale of our CMBS and CDO portfolio, we entered into a platform assets purchase agreement, under which we have agreed not to primarily invest in CMBS and real estate related CDOs and refrain from certain other real estate related investing or servicing activities for a period of three years, or through May 2008, subject to certain limitations and excluding our existing portfolio and related activities.

At June 30, 2007, our commercial real estate finance portfolio consisted of commercial mortgage loans, real estate owned and equity interests, which totaled \$122.8 million at value.

Business Processes

Business Development and New Deal Origination. Over the years, we believe we have developed and maintained a strong industry reputation and an extensive network of relationships. We have a team of business development professionals dedicated to sourcing deals through our relationships with numerous private equity investors, investment banks, business brokers, merger and acquisition advisors, financial services companies, banks, law firms and accountants through whom we source investment opportunities. Through these relationships, we believe we have been able to strengthen our position as a private equity investor. We are well known in the private equity industry, and we believe that our experience and reputation provide a competitive advantage in originating new investments.

We believe that our debt portfolio relationships and sponsor relationships are a significant source for buyout investments. We generally source our buyout transactions in ways other than going to broad auctions, which include capitalizing on existing relationships with companies and sponsors to participate in proprietary buyout opportunities. We work closely with these companies and sponsors while we are debt investors so that we may be positioned to partner with them on buyout opportunities in a subsequent transaction.

From time to time, we may receive referrals for new prospective investments from our portfolio companies as well as other participants in the capital markets. We may pay referral fees to those who refer transactions to us that we consummate.

New Deal Underwriting and Investment Execution. In a typical transaction, we review, analyze, and substantiate through due diligence, the business plan and operations of the potential portfolio company. We perform financial due diligence, perform operational due diligence, study the industry and competitive landscape, and conduct reference checks with company management or other employees, customers, suppliers, and competitors, as necessary. We may work with external consultants, including accounting firms and industry or operational consultants, in performing due diligence and in monitoring our portfolio investments.

Once we have determined that a prospective portfolio company is suitable for investment, we work with the management and the other capital providers, including senior, junior, and equity capital providers, to structure a deal. We negotiate among these parties to agree on the rights and terms of our investment relative to the other capital in the portfolio company s capital structure. The typical debt transaction requires approximately two to six months of diligence and structuring before funding occurs. The typical buyout transaction may take up to one year to complete because the due diligence

and structuring process is significantly longer when investing in a substantial equity stake in the company.

Our investments are tailored to the facts and circumstances of each deal. The specific structure is designed to protect our rights and manage our risk in the transaction. We generally structure the debt instrument to require restrictive affirmative and negative covenants, default penalties, or other protective provisions. In addition, each debt investment is individually priced to achieve a return that reflects our rights and priorities in the portfolio company s capital structure, the structure of the debt instrument, and our perceived risk of the investment. Our loans and debt securities have an annual stated interest rate; however, that interest rate is only one factor in pricing the investment. The annual stated interest rate may include some component of contractual payment-in-kind interest, which represents contractual interest accrued and added to the loan balance that generally becomes due at maturity or upon prepayment. In addition to the interest earned on loans and debt securities, our debt investments may include equity features, such as nominal cost warrants or options to buy a minority interest in the portfolio company. In a buyout transaction where our equity investment represents a significant portion of the equity, our equity ownership may or may not represent a controlling interest. If we invest in non-voting equity in a buyout, we generally have an option to acquire a controlling stake in the voting securities of the portfolio company at fair market value.

We have a centralized, credit-based approval process. The key steps in our investment process are:

Initial investment screening;

Initial investment committee approval;

Due diligence, structuring and negotiation;

Internal review of diligence results, including peer review;

Final investment committee approval;

Approval by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors (for all debt investments that represent a commitment equal to or greater than \$20 million and every buyout transaction); and

Funding of the investment (due diligence must be completed with final investment committee approval and Executive Committee approval, as needed, before funds are disbursed).

The investment process benefits from the significant professional experience of the members of our investment committee, which is chaired by our Chief Executive Officer and includes our Chief Operating Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Valuation Officer (non-voting member), and certain of our Managing Directors.

Portfolio Monitoring and Development. Middle market companies often lack the management expertise and experience found in larger companies. As a BDC, we are required by the 1940 Act to make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. Our senior level professionals work with portfolio company management teams to assist them in building their businesses. Managerial assistance includes, but is not limited to, management and consulting services related to corporate finance, marketing, human resources, personnel and board member recruiting, business operations,

corporate governance, risk management and other general business matters. Our corporate finance assistance includes supporting our portfolio companies efforts to structure and attract additional capital. We believe our extensive network of industry relationships and our internal resources help make us a collaborative partner in the development of our portfolio companies.

Our team of investment professionals regularly monitors the status and performance of each investment. This portfolio company monitoring process generally includes review of the portfolio company s financial performance against its business plan, review of current financial statements and compliance with financial covenants, evaluation of significant current developments and assessment of future exit strategies. For debt investments we may have board observation rights that allow us to attend portfolio company board meetings. For buyout investments, we generally hold a majority of the seats on the board of directors where we own a controlling interest in the portfolio company and we have board observation rights where we do not own a controlling interest in the portfolio company.

Our portfolio management committee is responsible for review and oversight of the investment portfolio, including reviewing the performance of selected portfolio companies, overseeing portfolio companies in workout status, reviewing and approving certain modifications or amendments to or certain additional investments in existing portfolio companies, reviewing and approving certain portfolio exits, reviewing and approving certain actions by portfolio companies whose voting securities are more than 50% owned by us, reviewing significant investment-related litigation matters where we are a named party, and reviewing and approving proxy votes with respect to our portfolio investments. Our portfolio management committee is chaired by our Chief Executive Officer and includes our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Valuation Officer (non-voting member), our private finance general counsel, and certain of our Managing Directors. From time to time we will identify investments that require closer monitoring or become workout assets. We develop a workout strategy for workout assets and the portfolio management committee gauges our progress against the strategy.

We seek to price our investments to provide an investment return considering the fact that certain investments in the portfolio may underperform or result in loss of investment return or investment principal. As a private equity investor, we will incur losses from our investing activities, however we have a history of working with troubled portfolio companies in order to recover as much of our investments as is practicable.

Portfolio Grading

We employ a grading system for our entire portfolio. Grade 1 is for those investments from which a capital gain is expected. Grade 2 is for investments performing in accordance with plan. Grade 3 is for investments that require closer monitoring; however, no loss of investment return or principal is expected. Grade 4 is for investments that are in workout and for which some loss of current investment return is expected, but no loss of principal is expected. Grade 5 is for investments that are in workout and for which some loss of principal is expected. At June 30, 2007, Grade 1, 2, and 3 investments totaled \$4,293.6 million, and Grade 4 and 5 investments totaled \$177.5 million.

Portfolio Valuation

We determine the value of each investment in our portfolio on a quarterly basis, and changes in value result in unrealized appreciation or depreciation being recognized in our statement of operations. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. Since there is typically no readily available market value for the investments in our portfolio, we value substantially all of our portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors pursuant to our valuation policy and a consistently applied valuation process. Because of the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by the Board of Directors may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for the investments, and the differences could be material.

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while employing a consistently applied valuation process for the types of investments we make. Unlike banks, we are not permitted to provide a general reserve for anticipated loan losses. Instead, we are required to specifically value each individual investment on a quarterly basis. We will record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investment. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/or our equity security has appreciated in value. Changes in fair value are recorded in the statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

As a business development company, we invest in illiquid securities including debt and equity securities of companies and CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/income notes (CDO/CLO Assets). The structure of each debt and equity security is specifically negotiated to enable us to protect our investment and maximize our returns. We include many terms governing interest rate, repayment terms, prepayment penalties, financial covenants, operating covenants, ownership parameters, dilution parameters, liquidation preferences, voting rights, and put or call rights. Our investments may be subject to certain restrictions on resale and generally have no established trading market. Because of the type of investments that we make and the nature of our business, our valuation process requires an analysis of various factors. Our fair value methodology includes the examination of, among other things, the underlying investment performance, financial condition, and market changing events that impact valuation.

Valuation Methodology Private Finance. Our process for determining the fair value of a private finance investment begins with determining the enterprise value of the portfolio company. The fair value of our investment is based on the enterprise value at which the portfolio company could be sold in an orderly disposition over a reasonable period of time between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The liquidity event whereby we exit a private finance investment is generally the sale, the recapitalization or, in some cases, the initial public offering of the portfolio company.

There is no one methodology to determine enterprise value and, in fact, for any one portfolio company, enterprise value is best expressed as a range of fair values. However, we must derive a single estimate of enterprise value. To determine the enterprise value of a portfolio company, we analyze its historical and projected financial results. This financial and other information is generally obtained from the portfolio companies, and may represent unaudited, projected or pro forma financial information. We generally require portfolio companies to provide annual audited and quarterly unaudited financial statements, as well as annual projections for the upcoming fiscal year. Typically in the private equity business, companies are bought and sold based on multiples of EBITDA, cash flow, net income, revenues or, in limited instances, book value. The private equity industry uses financial measures such as EBITDA or EBITDAM (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, Amortization and, in some instances, Management fees) in order to assess a portfolio company s financial performance and to value a portfolio company. EBITDA and EBITDAM are not intended to represent cash flow from operations as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of performance prescribed by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. When using EBITDA to determine enterprise value, we may adjust EBITDA for non-recurring items. Such adjustments are intended to normalize EBITDA to reflect the portfolio company s earnings power. Adjustments to EBITDA may include compensation to previous owners, acquisition, recapitalization, or restructuring related items or one-time non-recurring income or expense items.

In determining a multiple to use for valuation purposes, we generally look to private merger and acquisition statistics, the entry multiple for the transaction, discounted public trading multiples or industry practices. In estimating a reasonable multiple, we consider not only the fact that our portfolio company may be a private company relative to a peer group of public comparables, but we also consider the size and scope of our portfolio company and its specific strengths and weaknesses. In some cases, the best valuation methodology may be a discounted cash flow analysis based on future projections. If a portfolio company is distressed, a liquidation analysis may provide the best indication of enterprise value.

If there is adequate enterprise value to support the repayment of our debt, the fair value of our loan or debt security normally corresponds to cost unless the borrower's enterprise value, overall financial condition or other factors lead to a determination of fair value at a different amount. The value of loan and debt securities may be greater than our cost basis if the amount that would be repaid on the loan or debt security upon the sale or liquidation of the portfolio company is greater than our cost basis. The fair value of equity interests in portfolio companies is determined based on various factors, including the enterprise value remaining for equity holders after the repayment of the portfolio company s debt and other preference capital, and other pertinent factors such as recent offers to purchase a portfolio company, recent transactions involving the purchase or sale of the portfolio company s equity securities, liquidation events, or other events. The determined equity values are generally discounted when we have a minority position, restrictions on resale, specific concerns about the receptivity of the capital markets to a specific company at a certain time, or other factors.

CDO/CLO Assets are carried at fair value, which is based on a discounted cash flow model that utilizes prepayment, re-investment and loss assumptions based on historical experience and projected performance, economic factors, the characteristics of the underlying cash flow and comparable yields for similar bonds and preferred shares/income

notes, when available. We recognize unrealized appreciation or depreciation on our CDO/CLO Assets as comparable yields in the market change and/ or based on changes in estimated cash flows resulting from changes in prepayment, re-investment or loss assumptions in the underlying collateral pool. We determine the fair value of our CDO/CLO Assets on an individual security-by-security basis. If we were to sell a group of these CDO/CLO Assets in a pool in one or more transactions, the total value received for that pool may be different than the sum of the fair values of the individual assets.

As a participant in the private equity business, we invest primarily in private middle market companies for which there is generally no publicly available information. Because of the private nature of these businesses, there is a need to maintain the confidentiality of the financial and other information that we have for the private companies in our portfolio. We believe that maintaining this confidence is important, as disclosure of such information could disadvantage our portfolio companies and could put us at a disadvantage in attracting new investments. Therefore, we do not intend to disclose financial or other information about our portfolio companies, unless required, because we believe doing so may put them at an economic or competitive disadvantage, regardless of our level of ownership or control. To balance the lack of publicly available information about our private portfolio companies, we will continue to work with third-party consultants to obtain assistance in determining fair value for a portion of the private finance portfolio each quarter as discussed below.

Valuation Process. The portfolio valuation process is managed by our Chief Valuation Officer (CVO). The CVO works with the investment professionals responsible for each investment. The following is an overview of the steps we take each quarter to determine the value of our portfolio.

Our valuation process begins with each portfolio company or investment being initially valued by the investment professionals, led by the Managing Director or senior officer who is responsible for the portfolio company relationship (the Deal Team).

The CVO and third-party valuation consultants, as applicable (see below), review the preliminary valuation documentation as prepared by the Deal Team.

The CVO, members of the valuation team, and third-party consultants (see below), as applicable, meet with each Managing Director or responsible senior officer to discuss the preliminary valuation determined and documented by the Deal Team for each of their respective investments.

The CEO, COO, CFO and the Managing Directors meet with the CVO to discuss the preliminary valuation results.

Valuation documentation is distributed to the members of the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors meets separately from the full Board of Directors with the third-party consultants (see below) to discuss the assistance provided and results. The CVO attends this meeting.

The CVO discusses and reviews the valuations with the Board of Directors.

To the extent there are changes or if additional information is deemed necessary, a follow-up Board meeting may take place.

The Board of Directors determines the fair value of the portfolio in good faith.

In connection with our valuation process to determine the fair value of a private finance investment, we work with third-party consultants to obtain assistance and advice as additional support in the preparation of our internal valuation analysis for a portion of the portfolio each quarter. In addition, we may receive other third-party assessments of a particular private finance portfolio company s value in the ordinary course of business, most often in the context of a prospective sale transaction or in the context of a bankruptcy process.

The valuation analysis prepared by management is submitted to our Board of Directors who is ultimately responsible for the determination of fair value of the portfolio in good faith. Valuation assistance from Duff & Phelps, LLC (Duff & Phelps) for our private finance portfolio consisted of certain limited procedures (the Procedures) we identified and requested them to perform. Based upon the performance of the Procedures on a selection of our final portfolio company valuations, Duff & Phelps concluded that the fair value of those portfolio companies subjected to the Procedures did not appear unreasonable. In addition, we also received third-party valuation assistance from Houlihan Lokey Howard and Zukin for certain private finance portfolio companies.

We currently intend to continue to work with third-party consultants to obtain valuation assistance for a portion of the private finance portfolio each quarter. We currently anticipate that we will generally obtain valuation assistance for all companies in the portfolio where we own more than 50% of the outstanding voting equity securities on a quarterly basis and that we will generally obtain assistance for companies where we own equal to or less than 50% of the outstanding voting equity securities at least once during the course of the calendar year. Valuation assistance may or may not be obtained for new companies that enter the portfolio after June 30 of any calendar year during that year or for investments with a cost and value less than \$250,000. For the quarter ended June 30, 2007, Duff & Phelps and Houlihan Lokey assisted us by reviewing our valuation of 92 portfolio companies, which represented 92.1% of the private finance portfolio at value. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Disposition of Investments

We manage our portfolio of investments in an effort to maximize our expected returns. Our portfolio is large and we are generally repaid by our borrowers and exit our debt and equity investments as portfolio companies are sold, recapitalized or complete an initial public offering.

We may retain a position in the senior loans we originate or we may sell all or a portion of these investments. In our debt investments where we have equity features, we are generally in a minority ownership position in a portfolio company, and as a result, generally exit the investment when the majority equity stakeholder decides to sell or recapitalize the company. Where we have a control position in an investment, as we may have in buyout investments, we have more flexibility and can determine whether or not we should exit our investment. Our most common exit strategy for a buyout investment is the

sale of a portfolio company to a strategic or financial buyer. If an investment has appreciated in value, we may realize a gain when we exit the investment. If an investment has depreciated in value, we may realize a loss when we exit the investment.

We are in the investment business, which includes acquiring and exiting investments. It is our policy not to comment on potential transactions in the portfolio prior to reaching a definitive agreement or, in many cases, prior to consummating a transaction. To the extent we enter into any material transactions, we would provide disclosure as required.

Dividends

We have elected to be taxed as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Code. Assuming that we qualify as a regulated investment company, we generally will not be subject to corporate level income taxation on income we timely distribute to our stockholders as dividends. We pay regular quarterly dividends based upon an estimate of annual taxable income available for distribution to shareholders, which includes our taxable interest, dividend, and fee income, as well as taxable net capital gains. Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses generally are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash. Taxable income includes non-cash income, such as changes in accrued and reinvested interest and dividends, which includes contractual payment-in-kind interest, and the amortization of discounts and fees. Cash collections of income resulting from contractual payment-in-kind interest or the amortization of discounts and fees generally occur upon the repayment of the loans or debt securities that include such items. Non-cash taxable income is reduced by non-cash expenses, such as realized losses and depreciation and amortization expense.

As a regulated investment company, we distribute substantially all of our annual taxable income to shareholders through the payment of cash dividends. Our Board of Directors reviews the dividend rate quarterly, and may adjust the quarterly dividend throughout the year. Dividends are declared considering our estimate of annual taxable income available for distribution to shareholders and the amount of taxable income carried over from the prior year for distribution in the current year. Our goal is to declare what we believe to be sustainable increases in our regular quarterly dividends. To the extent that we earn annual taxable income in excess of dividends paid from such taxable income for the year, we may carry over the excess taxable income into the next year and such excess income will be available for distribution in the next year as permitted under the Code. The maximum amount of excess taxable income that may be carried over for distribution in the next year under the Code is the total amount of dividends paid in the following year, subject to certain declaration and payment guidelines. Excess taxable income carried over and paid out in the next year is generally subject to a nondeductible 4% excise tax. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Other Matters Regulated Investment Company Status. We believe that carrying over excess taxable income into future periods may provide increased visibility with respect to taxable earnings available to pay the regular quarterly dividend.

We began paying quarterly dividends in 1963, and our portfolio has provided sufficient ordinary taxable income and realized net capital gains to sustain or grow our dividends over time. Since inception through December 31, 2006, our average annual total return to shareholders (assuming all dividends were reinvested) was 18.1%. Over the past one, three, five and ten years (assuming each period ended on December 31, 2006), our total return to shareholders (assuming all dividends were reinvested) has been 20.6%, 14.6%, 14.4% and 19.1%, respectively, with the dividend providing a meaningful portion of this return.

The percentage of our dividend generated by ordinary taxable income versus capital gain income will vary from year to year. The percentage of ordinary taxable income versus net capital gain income supporting the dividend since 1987 is shown below.

Corporate Structure and Offices

We are a Maryland corporation and a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company under the 1940 Act. We have a real estate investment trust subsidiary, Allied Capital REIT, Inc., and several subsidiaries that are single-member limited liability companies established for specific purposes, including holding real estate property. We also have a subsidiary, A.C. Corporation, that generally provides diligence and structuring services, as well as transaction, management, consulting, and other services, including underwriting and arranging senior loans, to Allied Capital and our portfolio companies.

Our executive offices are located at 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20006-3434 and our telephone number is (202) 721-6100. In addition, we have regional offices in New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles.

Properties

Our principal offices are located at 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20006-3434. Our lease for approximately 59,000 square feet of office space at that location expires in December 2010. The office is equipped with an integrated network of computers for word processing, financial analysis, accounting and loan servicing.

We believe our office space is suitable for our needs for the foreseeable future. We also maintain offices in New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles.

Employees

At June 30, 2007, we employed 173 individuals including investment and portfolio management professionals, operations professionals and administrative staff. The majority of our employees are located in our Washington, DC office. We believe that our relations with our employees are excellent.

Legal Proceedings

On June 23, 2004, we were notified by the SEC that they were conducting an informal investigation of us. The investigation related to the valuation of securities in our private finance portfolio and other matters. On June 20, 2007, we announced that we have entered into a settlement with the SEC that resolves the SEC s informal investigation. As part of the settlement and without admitting or denying the SEC s allegations, we agreed to the entry of an administrative order. In the order the SEC alleged that, between June 30, 2001, and March 31, 2003, we did not maintain books, records and accounts which, in reasonable detail, supported or accurately and fairly reflected valuations of certain securities in our private finance portfolio and, as a result, did not meet certain recordkeeping and internal controls provisions of the federal securities laws. In the administrative order, the SEC ordered us to continue to maintain certain of our current valuation-related controls. Specifically, for a period of two years, we have undertaken to: (1) continue to employ a Chief Valuation Officer, or a similarly structured officer-level employee, to oversee our quarterly valuation processes; and (2) continue to employ third-party valuation consultants to assist in our quarterly valuation processes.

On December 22, 2004, we received letters from the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia requesting the preservation and production of information regarding us and Business Loan Express, LLC in connection with a criminal investigation relating to matters similar to those investigated by the SEC. We produced materials in response to the requests from the U.S. Attorney s office and certain current and former employees were interviewed by the U.S. Attorney s Office. We have voluntarily cooperated with the investigation.

In late December 2006, we received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia requesting, among other things, the production of records regarding the use of private investigators by us or our agents. The Board established a committee, which was advised by its own counsel, to review this matter. In the course of gathering documents responsive to the subpoena, we became aware that an agent of Allied Capital obtained what were represented to be telephone records of David Einhorn and which purport to be records of calls from Greenlight Capital during a period of time in 2005. Also, while we were gathering documents responsive to the subpoena, allegations were made that our management had authorized the acquisition of these records and that management was subsequently advised that these records had been obtained. Our management has stated that these allegations are not true. We are cooperating fully with the inquiry by the United States Attorney s office.

On February 13, 2007, Rena Nadoff filed a shareholder derivative action in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, captioned Rena Nadoff v. Walton, et al., CA 001060-07, seeking unspecified compensatory and other damages, as well as equitable relief

on behalf of Allied Capital Corporation. The complaint was summarily dismissed in July 2007. The complaint alleged breach of fiduciary duty by the Board of Directors arising from internal control failures and mismanagement of Business Loan Express, LLC, an Allied Capital portfolio company.

On February 26, 2007, Dana Ross filed a class action complaint in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in which she alleges that Allied Capital Corporation and certain members of management violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. Thereafter, the court appointed new lead counsel and approved new lead plaintiffs. On July 30, 2007, plaintiffs served an amended complaint. Plaintiffs claim that, between November 7, 2005, and January 22, 2007, Allied Capital either failed to disclose or misrepresented information about our portfolio company, Business Loan Express, LLC. Plaintiffs seek unspecified compensatory and other damages, as well as other relief. We believe the lawsuit is without merit, and we intend to defend the lawsuit vigorously.

In addition to the above matters, we are party to certain lawsuits in the normal course of business.

While the outcome of any of the open legal proceedings described above cannot at this time be predicted with certainty, we do not expect these matters will materially affect our financial condition or results of operations; however, there can be no assurance whether any pending legal proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations in any future reporting period.

100

PORTFOLIO COMPANIES

The following is a listing of each portfolio company or its affiliate, together referred to as portfolio companies, in which we had an equity investment at June 30, 2007. Percentages shown for class of securities held by us represent percentage of the class owned and do not necessarily represent voting ownership or economic ownership. Percentages shown for equity securities other than warrants or options represent the actual percentage of the class of security held before dilution. Percentages shown for warrants and options held represent the percentage of class of security we may own assuming we exercise our warrants or options before dilution.

The portfolio companies are presented in three categories: companies more than 25% owned which represent portfolio companies where we directly or indirectly own more than 25% of the outstanding voting securities of such portfolio company and, therefore, are deemed controlled by us under the 1940 Act; companies owned 5% to 25% which represent portfolio companies where we directly or indirectly own 5% to 25% of the outstanding voting securities of such portfolio company or where we hold one or more seats on the portfolio company s board of directors and, therefore, are deemed to be an affiliated person under the 1940 Act; and companies less than 5% owned which represent portfolio companies where we directly or indirectly own less than 5% of the outstanding voting securities of such portfolio company and where we have no other affiliations with such portfolio company. We make available significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. We generally receive rights to observe the meetings of our portfolio companies board of directors, and may have one or more voting seats on their boards.

For information relating to the amount and nature of our investments in portfolio companies, see our consolidated statement of investments at June 30, 2007, at pages F-75 to F-85.

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
PRIVATE FINANCE Companies More Than 25% Owned			
Alaris Consulting, LLC ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ 1815 South Meyers Road Suite 1000 Oakbrook, IL 60181	Consulting Firm	Equity Interests	83.1%
AllBridge Financial, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 5080 Spectrum Drive Suite 1150 E Addison, TX 75001	Real Estate Finance Company	Class A Equity Interests	95.2%
Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (1)(13) 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Private Debt Fund	Class A-1 Limited Partnership Interest	41.0%
Avborne, Inc. ⁽¹⁾⁽⁷⁾ c/o Trivest, Inc. 7500 NW 26th Street Miami, FL 33122	Aviation Services	Series B Preferred Stock Common Stock	23.8% 27.2%
Avborne Heavy Maintenance, Inc.(1)(7)	Aviation Services		27.5%

c/o Trivest, Inc. 7500 NW 26th Street Miami, FL 33122		Series A Preferred Stock Common Stock	27.5%
Border Foods, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 1750 Valley View Lane	Mexican Ingredient & Food Product	Series A Preferred Stock Series A Common	100.0%
Suite 350 Farmers Branch, TX 75234	Manufacturer	Stock	100.0%
	101		

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
Business Loan Express, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 1633 Broadway	Real-Estate Secured Small Business Lender	Class A Equity Interests	97.0%
New York, NY 10019		Class B Equity Interests Class C Equity Interests Equity Interest in BLX	100.0% 94.9%
		Subsidiary ⁽³⁾	20.0%
Calder Capital Partners, LLC ⁽¹⁾	Private Investment Firm	Equity Interests	65.0%
321 North Clark Street, 8th Floor Chicago, IL 60610			
Callidus Capital Corporation ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	Asset Manager and	Common stock	100.0%
520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022	Finance Company		
Coverall North America, Inc.(1)	Corporate Cleaning Service	Common Stock	98.8%
5201 Congress Avenue Suite 275	Provider		
Boca Raton, Florida 33487			
CR Holding, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 141 Venture Boulevard Spartanburg, SC 29306	Household Cleaning Products	Common Stock	82.2%
Spartanourg, SC 29300			
Direct Capital Corporation ⁽¹⁾ 155 Commerce Way Portsmouth, NH 03801	Business Equipment Leasing	Class A Common Stock	66.1%
Financial Pacific Company ⁽¹⁾	Commercial Finance	Series A Preferred	00.40
3455 South 344th Way Suite 300 Federal Way, WA 98001	Leasing	Stock Common Stock	99.4% 99.4%
ForeSite Towers, LLC ⁽¹⁾		Common Equity Interest	
5809 Feldspar Way Birmingham, AL 35244	Tower Leasing		88.1%
Global Communications, LLC ⁽¹⁾	Muzak Franchisee	Preferred Equity Interest	77.8%
1000 North Dixie Highway	IVIUZAK FIAHCIHSEE	Options for Common	11.8%

West Palm Beach, FL 33401		Equity Interest	59.3%
Gordian Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 499 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022	Financial Advisory Services	Common Stock	100.0%
Hot Stuff Foods, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 2930 W. Maple Street Sioux Falls, SD 57118	Foodservice to Convenience Stores	Class B Common Stock Class A Common Stock ⁽⁶⁾	95.5% 52.9%
Huddle House, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 5901-B Peachtree-Dunwoody Road Suite 450 Atlanta, Georgia 30328	Restaurant Franchisor	Common Stock	97.4%
Impact Innovations Group, LLC 500 Northwinds Parkway Suite 200 Alpharetta, GA 30004	Information Technology Services Provider	Equity Interests in Affiliate ⁽⁵⁾	50.0%
Insight Pharmaceuticals Corporation ⁽¹⁾ 1170 Wheeler Way Suite 150 Langhorne, PA 19047	Marketer of Over-The- Counter Pharmaceuticals	Preferred Stock Common Stock	100.0% 99.7%
Jakel, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 201 S. Madison Avenue Aurora, MO 65605	Manufacturer of Electric Motors and Blowers	Series A-1 Preferred Stock Class B Common Stock	32.3% 100.0%
Legacy Partners Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 520 Madison Avenue, 27th Floor New York, NY 10022	Merger and Acquisition Advisor	Equity Interests	100.0%
Litterer Beteiligungs-GmbH Theodor-Heuss-Anlage 2 68165 Manheim Germany	Scaffolding Company	Equity Interest	25.0%
Mercury Air Centers, Inc. ⁽¹⁾⁽¹²⁾ Cuyahoga County Airport 355 Richmond Road	Fixed Base Operations	Series A Common Stock Common Stock	100.0% 84.3%

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
MVL Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 1061 E. Indiantown Road Suite 300 Jupiter, FL 33477	Market Research Services	Common Stock	64.9%
Old Orchard Brands, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 1991 Twelve Mile Road Sparta, MI 49345	Beverage Manufacturer and Marketer	Equity Interests	78.0%
Penn Detroit Diesel Allison, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 8330 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136	Distributor of Engines, Transmissions, and Parts	Equity Interests	78.0%
Powell Plant Farms, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ Route 3, Box 1058 Troup, TX 75789	Plant Producer & Wholesaler	Preferred Stock Warrants to Purchase Common Stock	100.0% 83.5%
Service Champ, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 180 New Britain Boulevard Chalfont, PA 18914	Wholesale Distributor of Auto Parts	Common Stock	63.9%
Startec Global Communications Corporation ⁽¹⁾ 7631 Calhoun Place Suite 650 Rockville, MD 20855	Telecommunications Services	Common Stock	68.5%
Sweet Traditions, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 11780 Manchester Road Suite 207	Franchisor of Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation	Class B-2 Preferred Stock Class A-1 Common Stock	100.0% 51.0%
St. Louis, MO 63131 Triview Investments, Inc. (1)(11) 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Multi-system Cable Operator, Pharmaceutical Marketer and Hotel Management Company	Common Stock	99.5%
Companies 5% to 25% Owned			

Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 19100 Von Karman Avenue Suite 600 Irvine, CA 92612	Sales and Marketing Agency	Equity Interests	4.1%
Air Medical Group Holdings LLC 306 Davis Drive P.O. Box 768 West Plains, MO 65775	Air Ambulance Service	Series A Preferred Equity Interests Series B Preferred Equity Interests	6.6%
Alpine ESP Holdings, Inc. 3361 Rouse Road Suite 165 Orlando, FL 32817	Engineering and Technical Services	Preferred Stock Common Stock	13.1% 10.8%
Amerex Group, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 350 Fifth Avenue Suite 1401 New York, NY 10118	Outerwear Apparel Supplier	Class A Equity Interests	100.0%
BB&T Capital Partners/ Windsor Mezzanine Fund, LLC 101 N. Cherry Street Suite 400 Winston-Salem, NC 27101	Private Equity Fund	Class A Equity Interests	32.6%
Becker Underwood, Inc. 801 Dayton Avenue Ames, IA 50010	Speciality Chemical Manufacturer	Common Stock	5.6%
BI Incorporated 6400 Lookout Road Boulder, CO 80301	Electronic Monitoring Equipment	Common Stock	7.1%
CitiPostal, Inc. and Affiliates 5 North 11th Street Brooklyn, NY 11211	Storage and Management	Equity Interests Equity Interests of Affiliates	10.0% 10.0%
Creative Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 1601 Broadway, 10th Floor New York, NY 10019	Concept-to-Completion Development	Warrants to Purchase Common Stock	28.5%
	103		

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
Drew Foam Companies, Inc. 144 Industrial Drive Monticello, AR 71655	Polystyrene Block Plastic Foam Manufacturer	Preferred Stock Common Stock	8.8% 7.3%
MedBridge Healthcare, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 110 West North Street Suite 100 Greenville, SC 29601	Sleep Diagnostic Facilities	Debt Convertible into Equity Interests Class C Equity Interest	75.0% 100.0%
MHF Logistical Solutions, Inc.(1)	Third-Party Environmental	Class B Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	84.3%
800 Cranberry Woods Drive Suite 450 Cranberry Township, PA 16066	Environmental Logistics	Warrants to Purchase Class C Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	100.0%
Multi-Ad Services, Inc. 1720 W. Detweiller Drive Peoria, IL 61615	Marketing Services	Series A Preferred Equity Interests Class A Common Equity Interests	17.4% 10.5%
PresAir LLC 1009 W. Boston Post Road Mamaroneck, NY 10543	Pressure Switch Manufacturer	Equity Interests	15.0%
Progressive International Corporation 6111 S. 228th Street Kent, WA 98064	Retail Kitchenware	Series A Redeemable Preferred Stock Class A Common Stock Warrants to Purchase Class A Common Stock	14.3% 1.0% 42.3%
Regency Healthcare Group, LLC 2151 Highland Avenue Suite 350 Birmingham, AL 35205	Hospice Services	Class A Equity Interests	8.8%
SGT India Private Limited ⁽¹⁾ 5858 Westheimer Road	Software/Business Process Developer	Common Stock	17.4%

Houston, TX 77057

Soteria Imaging Services, LLC 6009 Brownsboro Park Boulevard Suite H Louisville, KY 40207 Universal Environmental Services, LLC 411 Dividend Drive Peachtree City, GA 30269 Companies Less Than 5% Owned Axium Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc. 550 Technology Park Lake Mary, FL 32746 Baird Capital Partners IV Limited Partnership 777 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 BenefitMall, Inc. Insurance General Agency 10 Small Businesses Class A Preferred Equity Interests 15.0% Pharmaceutical Services Common Stock 14.6% Limited Partnership Interest 2.5% Limited Partnership Interest 2.5% Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund ILLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%				
Axium Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc. Services Common Stock 14.6% Sorvices Common Stock 14.6% Sorvices Common Stock 14.6% Baird Capital Partners IV Limited Partnership T77 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 BenefitMall, Inc. Agency Dallas, TX 75244 Insurance General Agency Stock (10) Dallas, TX 75244 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd.(8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd.(8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	6009 Brownsboro Park Boulevard Suite H		Equity	10.8%
Axium Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc. Pharmaceutical Services Common Stock 14.6% 550 Technology Park Lake Mary, FL 32746 Baird Capital Partners IV Limited Partnership 777 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 BenefitMall, Inc. Insurance General Agency 100.0% 4851 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75244 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd.(8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd.(8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) S20 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 43.1% S26 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	411 Dividend Drive	Used Oil Recycling		15.0%
Services Common Stock 14.6% 550 Technology Park Lake Mary, FL 32746 Baird Capital Partners IV Limited Partnership 777 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 BenefitMall, Inc. Insurance General Agency to Small Businesses Warrant to Purchase Class C Common Stock (10) 100.0% 4851 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75244 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd.(8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd.(8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	Companies Less Than 5% Owned			
Private Equity Fund Interest 2.5% 777 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 BenefitMall, Inc. Insurance General Agency Stock (10) 100.0% 4851 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1100 to Small Businesses Warrant to Purchase Class C Common Stock(10) 100.0% Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd.(8) CDO/CLO Fund Preferred Shares 68.4% 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd.(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 27.5% 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	550 Technology Park		Common Stock	14.6%
Agency to Small Businesses Warrant to Purchase Class C Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ 100.0% Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund III, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Preferred Shares Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund ILLC ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	777 East Wisconsin Avenue	Private Equity Fund	-	2.5%
520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund IV, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 27.5% 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 43.1% 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	4851 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1100	Agency	Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ Warrant to Purchase Class C Common	
520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus Debt Partners CLO Fund V, Ltd. (8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 43.1% 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC(8) CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	520 Madison Avenue	CDO/CLO Fund	Preferred Shares	68.4%
520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 Callidus MAPS CLO Fund I LLC ⁽⁸⁾ CDO/CLO Fund Income Notes 86.5%	520 Madison Avenue	CDO/CLO Fund	Income Notes	27.5%
	520 Madison Avenue	CDO/CLO Fund	Income Notes	43.1%
New York, NY 10022	520 Madison Avenue	CDO/CLO Fund	Income Notes	86.5%
104		104		

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
Callidus MAPS CLO Fund II, Ltd. ⁽⁸⁾ 520 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022	CDO/CLO Fund	Income Notes	47.1%
Camden Partners Strategic Fund II, L.P. One South Street Suite 2150 Baltimore, MD 21202	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	3.9%
Carlisle Wide Plank Floors, Inc. 1676 Route 9 Stoddard, NH 03464	Wide Plank Wood Flooring	Class A-1 Preferred Stock	5.2%
Catterton Partners V, L.P. 599 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	0.8%
Catterton Partners VI, L.P. 599 West Putnam Avenue Greenwich, CT 06830	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	0.5%
Centre Capital Investors IV, LP 30 Rockefeller Plaza, Suite 5050 New York, NY 10020	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	0.6%
Commercial Credit Group, Inc. 121 West Trade Street	Equipment Finance and	Series C Preferred Stock Series D Preferred	100.0%
Suite 2100	Leasing	Stock Warrant to Purchase	52.0%
Charlotte, NC 28202		Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	28.5%
Cook Inlet Alternative Risk, LLC 10 British American Boulevard Latham, NY 12110	Management Services	Equity Interests	3.7%
Cortec Group Fund IV, L.P. 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	2.2%
Digital VideoStream, LLC	Media Post Production	Debt Convertible	

2600 West Olive Avenue Burbank, CA 91505		into Equity Interests	20.8%
Distant Lands Trading Co. 801 Houser Way North Renton, WA 98055	Provider of Premium Coffee and Coffee Beans	Series A-1 Common Stock Class A Common Stock	10.3% 4.4%
Driven Brands, Inc. (d/b/a Meineke Car Care Centers® and Econo Lube N Tun®) 128 South Tryon Street Suite 900 Charlotte, NC 28202	Franchisor of Car Care Centers	Class B Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ Warrant to Purchase Class A Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	97.9% 51.0%
Dynamic India Fund IV International Financial Services Limited IFS Court, Twenty Eight Cybercity, Ebene, Mauritius	Fund Focused on Real Estate in India	Equity Interests	2.4%
EarthColor, Inc. 527 W. 34th Street, 4th Floor New York, NY 10001	Full Service Commercial Printer	Class B Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ Warrant to Purchase Class C Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	100.0% 100.0%
eCentury Capital Partners, L.P. 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 1150 McLean, VA 22102	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	25.0%
Elexis Beta GmbH Ulmenstraße 22 60325 Frankfurt Germany	Distance Measurement Device Manufacturer	Options to Purchase Shares	9.8%
Fidus Mezzanine Capital, L.P. 101 North Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28246	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest ⁽¹⁵⁾	71.3%
Frozen Specialties, Inc. 720 Barre Road Archbold, OH 43502	Private Label Frozen Food Manufacturer	Warrants to Purchase Class A Common Stock	2.7%
	105		

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
Geotrace Technologies, Inc. 1011 Highway 6 South Suite 220 Houston, TX 77077	Oil and Gas Reservoir Analysis	Warrant to Purchase Preferred Stock Warrant to Purchase Common Stock	8.9% 8.1%
Houston, TX 77077 Grotech Partners, VI, L.P. c/o Grotech Capital Group 9690 Deereco Road Suite 800 Timonium, MD 21093	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	2.4%
Havco Wood Products LLC 3200 East Outer Road Scott City, MO 63780	Hardwood Flooring Products Manufacturer	Equity Interests	4.5%
The Homax Group, Inc. P.O. Box 5643 Bellingham, WA 98227	Supplier of Branded Consumer Products	Preferred Stock Common Stock Warrant to Purchase Preferred Stock Warrant to Purchase Common Stock	0.1% 0.1% 1.1%
International Fiber Corporation 50 Bridge Street North Tonawanda, NY 14120	Cellulose and Fiber Producer	Series A Preferred Stock	4.7%
Kodiak Fund LP 2107 Wilson Boulevard Suite 450 Arlington, VA 22201	Real Estate Finance Fund	Equity Interests	4.0%
MedAssets, Inc. 100 North Pointe Center Suite 150 Alpharetta, GA 30022	Healthcare Outsourcing	Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Common Stock	7.8% 0.4%
Mid-Atlantic Venture Fund IV, L.P. 128 Goodman Drive Bethlehem, PA 18015	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	6.7%

Network Hardware Resale, Inc. 26 Castilian Drive Suite A Santa Barbara, CA 93117	Provider of Pre-Owned Networking Equipment	Debt Convertible into Common Stock	21.8%
Norwesco, Inc. P.O. BOX 439 4365 Steiner St. St. Bonifacius, MN 55375	Polyethylene Tanks Manufacturer	Class B Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾ Warrants to Purchase Class A Common Stock ⁽¹⁰⁾	96.3% 50.2%
Novak Biddle Venture Partners III, L.P. 7501 Wisconsin Avenue East Tower, Suite 1380 Bethesda, MD 20814	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	2.5%
Odyssey Investment Partners Fund III, LP 280 Park Avenue, 38th Floor West Tower New York, NY 10017	Private Equity Investment Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	0.7%
Passport Health Communications, Inc. 720 Cool Springs Blvd Suite 450 Franklin, TN 37067	Healthcare Technology	Preferred Stock Common Stock	6.7% 0.1%
Pendum, Inc. 1415 West Cedar Avenue	Outsourced ATM Services Provider	Series C-2 Preferred Stock Warrants to Purchase Class C-2	100.0%
Denver, CO 80223	110014	Common Stock	100.0%
Performant Financial Corporation 333 N. Canyons Pkwy Suite 100 Livermore, CA 94551	Collections and Default Prevention Services	Common Stock	2.1%
Postle Aluminum Company, LLC 511 Pine Creek Court Elkhart, IN 46516	Aluminum Extrusions Distributor and Manufacturer	Class B Equity Interests	100.0%
Pro Mach, Inc. 6279 Tri-Ridge Boulevard	Packaging Machinery Manufacturer	Equity Interests	2.3%

Suite 410 Loveland, OH 45140

106

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
S.B. Restaurant Company (d/b/a Elephant Bar) 14241 Firestone Boulevard Suite 315 La Mirada, CA 90638	Restaurants	Series B Convertible Preferred Stock Warrants to Purchase Series A Common	2.5%
		Stock	13.1%
SBBUT, LLC 52 River Road Stowe, VT 05672	Holding Company	Equity Interests in Affiliate Company	10.4%
Service Center Metals, LLC 5850 Quality Way Prince George, VA 23875	Manufacturer Aluminum Products	Series C Preferred Equity Interests	2.8%
SPP Mezzanine Fund, L.P. 330 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor New York, NY 10017	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	35.7%
SPP Mezzanine Fund II, L.P. 330 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor New York, NY 10017	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	31.2%
The Step2 Company, LLC 10010 Aurora-Hudson Road Streetsboro, Ohio 44241	Manufacturer of Plastic Childrens and Home Products	Preferred Equity Interests Common Equity Interests	3.3% 3.3%
TransAmerican Auto Parts, LLC		Preferred Equity	
801 West Artesia Boulevard	Auto Parts and	Interests Common Equity	1.4%
Compton, CA 90220	Accessories Retailer and Wholesaler	Interests	1.4%
Updata Venture Partners II, L.P. 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	15.0%
Venturehouse-Cibernet Investors, LLC 509 Seventh Street, NW Washington, DC 20004	Third-Party Billing	Equity Interest	3.3%

9 9			
Venturehouse Group, LLC 509 Seventh Street, NW Washington, DC 20004	Private Equity Fund	Common Equity Interest	3.1%
VICORP Restaurants, Inc. 400 W. 48th Avenue Denver, CO 80216	Restaurants	Warrant to Purchase Preferred Stock Warrant to Purchase Common Stock	1.4% 3.4%
Walker Investment Fund II, LLLP 3060 Washington Road Suite 200 Glenwood, MD 21738	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	5.1%
WMA Equity Corporation and Affiliates ⁽¹⁴⁾ 31 West 34th Street New York, NY 10001	Marketer of Children s Apparel	Common Stock	100.0%
Webster Capital II, L.P. 950 Winter Street Suite 4200 Waltham, MA 02451	Private Equity Fund	Limited Partnership Interest	3.5%
Woodstream Corporation 69 North Locust Street Lititz, PA 17543	Pest Control Manufacturer	Common Stock Warrants to Purchase Common Stock	4.4% 3.7%
York Insurance Services Group, Inc. 99 Cherry Hill Road Suite 102 Parsippany, NJ 07054	Insurance Claims Administrator	Common Stock	2.5%
COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE FINANCE ⁽⁹⁾			
Aquila Binks Forest Development, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 15430 Endeavour Drive Jupiter, FL 33478	Real Estate Developer	Equity Interest	50%
	107		

Name and Address of Portfolio Company	Nature of its Principal Business	Title of Securities Held by the Company	Percentage of Class Held
MGP Park Place Equity, LLC 6901 Rockledge Drive Suite 230 Bethesda, MD 20817	Commercial Real Estate Development	Equity Interest	70.0%
NPH, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Commercial Real Estate Developer	Common Stock	100.0%
Stemmons Freeway Hotel, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Hotel	Equity Interests	100.0%
WSA Commons LLC 421 East 4th Street Cincinnati, OH 45202	Residential Real Estate Development	Equity Interests	50.0%
WSALD-CEH, LLC ⁽¹⁾ 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Commercial Real Estate Developer	Equity Interest	50.0%
Van Ness Hotel, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ 1919 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20006	Hotel	Common Stock	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ The portfolio company is deemed to be an affiliated person under the 1940 Act because we hold one or more seats on the portfolio company s board of directors, are the general partner, or are the managing member.

- (2) Alaris Consulting, LLC owns 95% of Alaris Consulting, Inc.
- (3) Included in Class C Equity Interests in the Consolidated Statement of Investments.
- (4) Callidus Capital Corporation owns 80% of Callidus Capital Management, LLC.
- (5) The affiliate holds subordinated debt issued by Impact Innovations Group, LLC. We made an investment in and exchanged our existing subordinated debt for equity interests in the affiliate.
- (6) In the first quarter of 2007, we exercised our option to acquire a majority of the voting securities of Hot Stuff Foods, LLC at fair market value.
- (7) Avborne, Inc. and Avborne Heavy Maintenance, Inc. are affiliated companies.

- (8) Callidus Capital Management, LLC is the manager of the fund (see Note 4 above).
- (9) These portfolio companies are included in the Commercial Real Estate Finance Equity Interests in the Consolidated Statement of Investments.
- (10) Common stock is non-voting. In addition to non-voting stock ownership, we have an option to acquire a majority of the voting securities of the portfolio company at fair market value.
- (11) Triview Investments Inc. holds investments in Longview Cable & Data, LLC, Triax Holdings, LLC, and Crescent Hotels & Resorts, LLC and affiliates.
- (12) In August 2007, we sold our majority equity interest in Mercury Air Centers, Inc. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
- (13) Our affiliate holds 100% of the general partnership interests in the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (the Fund). See Management s Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. above. We hold 41% of the Class A-1 limited partnership interests in the Fund, however; we only own 25% of the total limited partnership interests in the Fund.
- (14) WMA Equity Corporation holds 23% of the equity interests in Wear Me Apparel LLC.
- (15) Limited partnership interests are non-voting.

108

DETERMINATION OF NET ASSET VALUE

Quarterly Net Asset Value Determination

We determine the net asset value per share of our common stock quarterly. The net asset value per share is equal to the value of our total assets minus liabilities divided by the total number of common shares outstanding.

We determine the value of each investment in our portfolio on a quarterly basis, and changes in value result in unrealized appreciation or depreciation being recognized in our statement of operations. Value, as defined in Section 2(a)(41) of the 1940 Act, is (i) the market price for those securities for which a market quotation is readily available and (ii) for all other securities and assets, fair value is as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors. Since there is typically no readily available market value for the investments in our portfolio, we value substantially all of our portfolio investments at fair value as determined in good faith by the Board of Directors pursuant to our valuation policy and a consistently applied valuation process. Because of the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments determined in good faith by the Board of Directors may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market existed for the investments, and the differences could be material.

There is no single standard for determining fair value in good faith. As a result, determining fair value requires that judgment be applied to the specific facts and circumstances of each portfolio investment while employing a consistently applied valuation process for the types of investments we make. Unlike banks, we are not permitted to provide a general reserve for anticipated loan losses. Instead, we are required to specifically value each individual investment on a quarterly basis. We will record unrealized depreciation on investments when we believe that an investment has become impaired, including where collection of a loan or realization of an equity security is doubtful, or when the enterprise value of the portfolio company does not currently support the cost of our debt or equity investment. Enterprise value means the entire value of the company to a potential buyer, including the sum of the values of debt and equity securities used to capitalize the enterprise at a point in time. We will record unrealized appreciation if we believe that the underlying portfolio company has appreciated in value and/or our equity security has appreciated in value. Changes in fair value are recorded in the statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

As a business development company, we invest in illiquid securities including debt and equity securities of companies and CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/income notes. The structure of each debt and equity security is specifically negotiated to enable us to protect our investment and maximize our returns. We include many terms governing interest rate, repayment terms, prepayment penalties, financial covenants, operating covenants, ownership parameters, dilution parameters, liquidation preferences, voting rights, and put or call rights. Our investments may be subject to certain restrictions on resale and generally have no established trading market. Because of the type of investments that we make and the nature of our business, our valuation process requires an analysis of various factors. Our fair value methodology includes the examination of, among other things, the underlying investment performance, financial condition, and market changing events that impact valuation.

Valuation Methodology. Our process for determining the fair value of a private finance investment begins with determining the enterprise value of the portfolio company. The fair value of our investment is based on the enterprise value at which the portfolio company could be sold in an orderly disposition over a reasonable period of time between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The liquidity event whereby we exit a private finance investment is generally the sale, the recapitalization or, in some cases, the initial public offering of the portfolio company.

There is no one methodology to determine enterprise value and, in fact, for any one portfolio company, enterprise value is best expressed as a range of fair values. However, we must derive a single estimate of enterprise value. To determine the enterprise value of a portfolio company, we analyze its historical and projected financial results. This financial and other information is generally obtained from the portfolio companies, and may represent unaudited, projected or pro forma financial information. We generally require portfolio companies to provide annual audited and quarterly unaudited financial statements, as well as annual projections for the upcoming fiscal year. Typically in the private equity business, companies are bought and sold based on multiples of EBITDA, cash flow, net income, revenues or, in limited instances, book value. The private equity industry uses financial measures such as EBITDA or EBITDAM (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, Amortization and, in some instances, Management fees) in order to assess a portfolio company s financial performance and to value a portfolio company. EBITDA and EBITDAM are not intended to represent cash flow from operations as defined by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and such information should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of performance prescribed by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. When using EBITDA to determine enterprise value, we may adjust EBITDA for non-recurring items. Such adjustments are intended to normalize EBITDA to reflect the portfolio company s earnings power. Adjustments to EBITDA may include compensation to previous owners, acquisition, recapitalization, or restructuring related items or one-time non-recurring income or expense items.

In determining a multiple to use for valuation purposes, we generally look to private merger and acquisition statistics, the entry multiple for the transaction, discounted public trading multiples or industry practices. In estimating a reasonable multiple, we consider not only the fact that our portfolio company may be a private company relative to a peer group of public comparables, but we also consider the size and scope of our portfolio company and its specific strengths and weaknesses. In some cases, the best valuation methodology may be a discounted cash flow analysis based on future projections. If a portfolio company is distressed, a liquidation analysis may provide the best indication of enterprise value.

If there is adequate enterprise value to support the repayment of our debt, the fair value of our loan or debt security normally corresponds to cost unless the borrower s enterprise value, overall financial condition or other factors lead to a determination of fair value at a different amount. The value of loan and debt securities may be greater than our cost basis if the amount that would be repaid on the loan or debt security upon the sale or liquidation of the portfolio company is greater than our cost basis. The fair value of equity interests in portfolio companies is determined based on various factors, including the enterprise value remaining for equity holders after the repayment of the portfolio company s debt and other preference capital, and other pertinent factors such as recent offers to purchase a portfolio company, recent transactions involving the purchase or sale of the portfolio company s equity securities, liquidation events, or other events. The

determined equity values are generally discounted when we have a minority position, restrictions on resale, specific concerns about the receptivity of the capital markets to a specific company at a certain time, or other factors.

Loans and Debt Securities. For loans and debt securities, fair value generally approximates cost unless the borrower s enterprise value, overall financial condition or other factors lead to a determination of fair value at a different amount. The value of loan and debt securities may be greater than our cost basis if the amount that would be repaid on the loan or debt security upon the sale or recapitalization of the portfolio company is greater than our cost basis.

When we receive nominal cost warrants or free equity securities (nominal cost equity), we allocate our cost basis in our investment between debt securities and nominal cost equity at the time of origination. At that time, the original issue discount basis of the nominal cost equity is recorded by increasing the cost basis in the equity and decreasing the cost basis in the related debt securities.

Equity Securities. Our equity securities in portfolio companies for which there is no liquid public market are valued at fair value based on the enterprise value of the portfolio company, which is determined using various factors, including cash flow from operations of the portfolio company, multiples at which private companies are bought and sold, and other pertinent factors, such as recent offers to purchase a portfolio company, recent transactions involving the purchase or sale of the portfolio company s equity securities, liquidation events, or other events. The determined equity values are generally discounted when we have a minority ownership position, restrictions on resale, specific concerns about the receptivity of the capital markets to a specific company at a certain time, or other factors.

The value of our equity investments in private debt and equity funds are generally valued at the fund s net asset value. The value of our equity securities in public companies for which market quotations are readily available is based on the closing public market price on the balance sheet date. Securities that carry certain restrictions on sale are typically valued at a discount from the public market value of the security.

Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDO) and Collateralized Loan Obligations (CLO). CDO and CLO bonds and preferred shares/ income notes (CDO/ CLO Assets) are carried at fair value, which is based on a discounted cash flow model that utilizes prepayment, re-investment and loss assumptions based on historical experience and projected performance, economic factors, the characteristics of the underlying cash flow, and comparable yields for similar bonds and preferred shares/ income notes, when available. We recognize unrealized appreciation or depreciation on our CDO/ CLO Assets as comparable yields in the market change and/ or based on changes in estimated cash flows resulting from changes in prepayment, re-investment or loss assumptions in the underlying collateral pool. We determine the fair value of our CDO/ CLO Assets on an individual security-by-security basis.

Determinations In Connection With Offerings

In connection with each offering of shares of our common stock, the Board of Directors or a committee thereof is required to make the determination that we are not selling shares of our common stock at a price below our then current net asset value at the

time at which the sale is made. The Board of Directors considers the following factors, among others, in making such determination:

the net asset value of our common stock disclosed in the most recent periodic report we filed with the SEC;

our management s assessment of whether any material change in the net asset value has occurred (including through the realization of net gains on the sale of our portfolio investments) from the period beginning on the date of the most recently disclosed net asset value to the period ending two days prior to the date of the sale of our common stock; and

the magnitude of the difference between the net asset value disclosed in the most recent periodic report we filed with the SEC and our management s assessment of any material change in the net asset value since the date of the most recently disclosed net asset value, and the offering price of the shares of our common stock in the proposed offering.

Importantly, this determination does not require that we calculate net asset value in connection with each offering of shares of our common stock, but instead it involves the determination by the Board of Directors or a committee thereof that we are not selling shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value at the time at which the sale is made.

Moreover, to the extent that there is even a remote possibility that we may (i) issue shares of our common stock at a price below the then current net asset value of our common stock at the time at which the sale is made or (ii) trigger the undertaking (which we provided to the SEC in the registration statement to which this prospectus is a part) to suspend the offering of shares of our common stock pursuant to this prospectus if the net asset value fluctuates by certain amounts in certain circumstances until the prospectus is amended, the Board of Directors or a committee thereof will elect, in the case of clause (i) above, either to postpone the offering until such time that there is no longer the possibility of the occurrence of such event or to undertake to determine net asset value within two days prior to any such sale to ensure that such sale will not be below our then current net asset value, and, in the case of clause (ii) above, to comply with such undertaking or to undertake to determine net asset value to ensure that such undertaking has not been triggered.

These processes and procedures are part of our compliance policies and procedures. Records will be made contemporaneously with all determinations described in this section and these records will be maintained with other records we are required to maintain under the 1940 Act.

112

MANAGEMENT

Our Board of Directors oversees our management. The responsibilities of the Board of Directors include, among other things, the oversight of our investment activity, the quarterly valuation of our assets, oversight of our financing arrangements and corporate governance activities. The Board of Directors maintains an Executive Committee, Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, and may establish additional committees from time to time as necessary. All of our directors also serve as directors of our subsidiaries.

The management of our company and our investment portfolio is the responsibility of various corporate committees, including the management committee, the investment committee, and the portfolio management committee. See Portfolio Management.

Structure of Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is classified into three approximately equal classes with three-year terms, with the term of office of only one of the three classes expiring each year. Directors serve until their successors are elected and qualified.

Directors

Our directors have been divided into two groups interested directors and independent directors. Interested directors are interested persons of Allied Capital as defined in the 1940 Act. Information regarding our Board of Directors at August 9, 2007, is as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Director Since ⁽¹⁾	Expiration of Term
Interested Directors				
William L. Walton	57	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	1986	2010
Joan M. Sweeney	47	Chief Operating Officer	2004	2010
Robert E. Long	76	Director	1972	2010
Independent Directors				
Ann Torre Bates	49	Director	2003	2009
Brooks H. Browne	57	Director	1990	2010
John D. Firestone	63	Director	1993	2008
Anthony T. Garcia	51	Director	1991	2008
Edwin L. Harper	65	Director	2006	2009
Lawrence I. Hebert	60	Director	1989	2008
John I. Leahy	76	Director	1994	2009
Alex J. Pollock	64	Director	2003	2009
Marc F. Racicot	59	Director	2005	2008
Guy T. Steuart II	76	Director	1984	2009
Laura W. van Roijen	55	Director	1992	2008

⁽¹⁾ Includes service as a director of any of the predecessor companies of Allied Capital.

Each director has the same address as Allied Capital, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

113

Executive Officers

Information regarding our executive officers at August 9, 2007, is as follows:

Name	Age	Position		
William L. Walton	57	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President		
Joan M. Sweeney	47	Chief Operating Officer		
Kelly A. Anderson	53	Executive Vice President and Treasurer		
Scott S. Binder	52	Chief Valuation Officer		
Ralph G. Blasey III	46	Executive Vice President and Private Finance General Counsel		
John M. Fruehwirth	39	Managing Director		
Michael J. Grisius	43	Managing Director		
Jeri J. Harman	50	Managing Director		
Thomas C. Lauer	40	Managing Director		
G. Scott Lesmes	40	Chief Legal Officer and Chief Compliance Officer		
Robert D. Long	50	Managing Director		
Justin S. Maccarone	48	Managing Director		
Robert M. Monk	41	Managing Director		
Diane E. Murphy	53	Executive Vice President and Director of Human		
		Resources		
Penni F. Roll	41	Chief Financial Officer		
Daniel L. Russell	42	Managing Director		
John M. Scheurer	55	Managing Director		
John D. Shulman	44	Managing Director		
Suzanne V. Sparrow	41	Executive Vice President and Corporate Secretary		

Each executive officer has the same address as Allied Capital, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Biographical Information

Directors

Our directors have been divided into two groups interested directors and independent directors. Interested directors are interested persons of Allied Capital as defined in the 1940 Act.

Interested Directors

William L. Walton has been Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Allied Capital since 1997 and a director since 1986. Mr. Walton s previous experience includes serving as a Managing Director of Butler Capital Corporation, as personal investment advisor to William S. Paley, founder of CBS, and as Senior Vice President in Lehman Brothers Kuhn Loeb s Merger and Acquisition Group. He also founded two education service companies Language Odyssey and SuccessLab. Mr. Walton currently serves on the boards of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the Financial Services Roundtable, and he is the Board President of the National Symphony Orchestra. Mr. Walton is Chairman of the Kelley School of Business Dean s Council at Indiana University.

Joan M. Sweeney is the Chief Operating Officer of Allied Capital and has been employed by Allied Capital since 1993. Ms. Sweeney oversees Allied Capital s daily operations. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. Sweeney was employed by Ernst & Young, Coopers & Lybrand, and the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Robert E. Long has been the Chief Executive Officer and a director of GLB Group, Inc., an investment management firm, since 1997 and President of Ariba GLB Asset Management, Inc., the parent company of GLB Group, Inc., since 2005. He has been the Chairman of Emerald City Radio Partners, LLC since 1997. Mr. Long was the President of Business News Network, Inc. from 1995 to 1998, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Southern Starr Broadcasting Group, Inc. from 1991 to 1995, and a director and the President of Potomac Asset Management, Inc. from 1983 to 1991. Mr. Long is a director of AmBase Corporation, CSC Scientific, Inc., and Advanced Solutions International, Inc. Mr. Long is the father of Robert D. Long, an executive officer of Allied Capital.

Independent Directors

Ann Torre Bates has been a strategic and financial consultant since 1997. From 1995 to 1997, Ms. Bates served as Executive Vice President, CFO and Treasurer of NHP, Inc., a national real estate services firm. From 1991 to 1995, Ms. Bates was Vice President and Treasurer of US Airways. She currently serves on the boards of Franklin Mutual Series, Franklin Mutual Recovery, and SLM Corporation (Sallie Mae).

Brooks H. Browne has been a private investor since 2002. Mr. Browne was the President of Environmental Enterprises Assistance Fund from 1993 to 2002 and served as a director from 1991 to 2005. He currently serves as Chairman of the Board for Winrock International, a non-profit organization.

John D. Firestone has been a Partner of Secor Group, a venture capital firm since 1978. Mr. Firestone has also served as a director of Security Storage Company of Washington, DC, since 1978. He is currently a director of Cuisine Solutions, Inc., and several non-profit organizations, including the National Rehabilitation Hospital, The Washington Ballet and the Tudor Place Foundation of which he is the past president. From 1997 to 2001 he was a director of The Bryn Mawr Trust Corporation.

Anthony T. Garcia has been a private investor since March 2007. Previously, Mr. Garcia was Vice President of Finance of Kirusa, a developer of mobile services, from January to March 2007, and was a private investor from 2003 through 2006. Mr. Garcia was Vice President of Finance of Formity Systems, Inc., a developer of software products for business management of data networks, from 2002 through 2003. Mr. Garcia was a private investor from 2000 to 2001, the General Manager of Breen Capital Group, an investor in tax liens, from 1997 to 2000, and a Senior Vice President of Lehman Brothers Inc. from 1985 to 1996.

Edwin L. Harper has been an executive for Assurant, Inc., a financial services and insurance provider, since 1998. He currently serves as Senior Vice President, Public Affairs and Government Relations and previously served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer for Assurant s largest subsidiary. From 1992 to 1997, Mr. Harper served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Association of American Railroads. He also spent five years with Campbell Soup Company, serving as Chief Financial Officer from 1986 to 1991. Earlier in his career, Mr. Harper served on the White House staffs of

both President Reagan and President Nixon. Mr. Harper currently serves as Director for the Council for Excellence in Government.

Lawrence I. Hebert is Senior Advisor for PNC Bank, N.A., and was a director and President and Chief Executive Officer of Riggs Bank N.A., a subsidiary of Riggs National Corporation, from 2001 to 2005. Mr. Hebert also served as Chief Executive Officer of Riggs National Corporation during 2005 and served as a director of Riggs National Corporation from 1988 to 2005. Mr. Hebert served as a director of Riggs Investment Advisors and Riggs Bank Europe Limited (both indirect subsidiaries of Riggs National Corporation). Mr. Hebert previously served as Vice Chairman from 1983 to 1998, President from 1984 to 1998, and Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 1998 to 2001 of Allbritton Communications Company.

John I. Leahy has been the President of Management and Marketing Associates, a management consulting firm, since 1986. Previously, Mr. Leahy spent 34 years of his career with Black & Decker Corporation, where he served as President and CEO of the United States subsidiary from 1979 to 1981 and President and Group Executive Officer of the Western Hemisphere of Black & Decker Corporation from 1982 to 1985. Mr. Leahy is currently a director of B&L Sales, Inc. and Chairman of Integra Health Management, Inc. He is also Trustee Emeritus of the Sellinger School of Business at Loyola College, Maryland.

Alex J. Pollock has been a Resident Fellow at the American Enterprise Institute since 2004. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago from 1991 to 2004. He currently serves as a director of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, Great Lakes Higher Education Corporation, the Great Books Foundation, the Illinois Council on Economic Education and the International Union for Housing Finance. Allied Capital has contributed \$25 thousand to the American Enterprise Institute.

Marc F. Racicot has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the American Insurance Association since August 2005. Prior to that, he was an attorney at the law firm of Bracewell & Giuliani, LLP from 2001 to 2005. He is a former Governor (1993 to 2001) and Attorney General (1989 to 1993) of the State of Montana. Mr. Racicot was appointed by President Bush to serve as the Chairman of the Republican National Committee from 2002 to 2003 and he served as Chairman of the Bush/Cheney Re-election Committee from 2003 to 2004. He presently serves on the Board of Directors for Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corporation, Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company, Jobs for America s Graduates, and the Board of Visitors for the University of Montana School of Law.

Guy T. Steuart II has been a director of Steuart Investment Company, which manages, operates, and leases real and personal property and holds stock in operating subsidiaries engaged in various businesses, since 1960 where he served as President until 2003 and currently serves as Chairman. Mr. Steuart has served as Trustee Emeritus of Washington and Lee University since 1992.

Laura W. van Roijen has been a private investor since 1992. Ms. van Roijen was a Vice President at Citicorp from 1982 to 1992.

Executive Officers who are not Directors

Kelly A. Anderson, Executive Vice President and Treasurer, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1987. Ms. Anderson s responsibilities include Allied Capital s infrastructure operations, business process management, and certain treasury functions.

Scott S. Binder, Chief Valuation Officer, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1997. He has served as Chief Valuation Officer since 2003. He served as a consultant to the Company from 1991 until 1997. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Binder formed and was President of Overland Communications Group. He also served as a board member and financial consultant for a public affairs and lobbying firm in Washington, DC. Mr. Binder founded Lonestar Cablevision in 1986, serving as President until 1991. In the early 1980 s, Mr. Binder worked for two firms specializing in leveraged lease transactions. From 1976 to 1981, he was employed by Coopers & Lybrand.

Ralph G. Blasey III, Executive Vice President and Private Finance General Counsel, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2004. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Blasey practiced law from 1987 to 2004. He joined the law firm of Baker & Hostetler, LLP in 1989 and was named a partner in 1996.

John M. Fruehwirth, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2003. Previously, he worked at Wachovia (formerly First Union) in several merchant banking groups including Wachovia Capital Partners, Leveraged Capital and Middle Market Capital from 1999 to 2003. Prior to that, Mr. Fruehwirth worked in First Union s Leveraged Finance Group from 1996 to 1998.

Michael J. Grisius, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1992. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Grisius worked in leveraged finance at Chemical Bank from 1989 to 1992 and held senior accountant and consultant positions with KPMG LLP from 1985 to 1988.

Jeri J. Harman, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2004. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. Harman served as a Managing Director and Principal for American Capital Strategies, Ltd., a business development company, from 2000 until 2004. She worked as a Managing Director and Head of Private Placements for First Security Van Kasper from 1996 to 2000 and a Managing Director of Coopers & Lybrand from 1993 to 1996. From 1982 to 1993, Ms. Harman held various senior level positions in the private placement arm of The Prudential Insurance Company of America. She has served on the Board of Directors for the Association of Corporate Growth since 2000 and currently serves on the Board of the Women s Leadership Council.

Thomas C. Lauer, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2004. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Lauer worked in GE Capital s sponsor finance group from 2003 to 2004 and in the merchant banking and leveraged finance groups of Wachovia Securities (previously First Union Securities) from 1997 to 2003. He also held senior analyst positions at Intel Corporation and served as a corporate lender and credit analyst at National City Corporation.

G. Scott Lesmes, Chief Legal Officer and Chief Compliance Officer, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2007. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Lesmes served as Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel at Fannie Mae from 2005 to 2007 where he was responsible for corporate, securities and securitization legal matters. From 2000 to 2005, he was a Vice President and Deputy General Counsel for corporate and securities matters at Fannie Mae. Earlier in his career, he served as an Associate at Silver, Freedman and Taff, LLP specializing in mergers and acquisitions, initial public offerings, and various financing transactions.

Robert D. Long, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2002 and currently manages our business development activities. Prior to joining Allied Capital,

Mr. Long was Managing Director and Head of Investment Banking at C.E. Unterberg from 2001 to 2002, and Managing Director at E*OFFERING/Wit SoundView from 2000 to 2001. He also held management positions at Bank of America (Montgomery Securities) from 1996 to 2000, and Nomura Securities International from 1992 to 1996, and prior to that he served as a Managing Director at CS First Boston.

Justin S. Maccarone, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2005. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Maccarone served as a partner with UBS Capital Americas, LLC, a private equity fund focused on middle market investments, from 1993 to 2005. Prior to that, Mr. Maccarone served as a Senior Vice President at GE Capital specializing in merchant banking and leveraged finance from 1989 to 1993 and served as Vice President of the Leveraged Finance Group at HSBC/ Marine Midland Bank from 1981 to 1989.

Robert M. Monk, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1993. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Monk worked in the leveraged finance group at First Union National Bank (currently Wachovia Securities).

Diane E. Murphy, Executive Vice President and Director of Human Resources, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2000. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. Murphy was employed by Allfirst Financial from 1982 to 1999 and served in several capacities including head of the retail banking group in the Greater Washington Metro Region from 1994 to 1996 and served as the senior human resources executive from 1996 to 1999.

Penni F. Roll, Chief Financial Officer, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1995. Ms. Roll is responsible for Allied Capital s financial operations. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. Roll was employed by KPMG LLP in the firm s audit practice.

Daniel L. Russell, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1998. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Russell was employed by KPMG LLP in the firm s financial services group.

John M. Scheurer, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1991. Mr. Scheurer is currently a member of the Board of Governors of the Commercial Mortgage Securities Association. He has also served as Chairman and as a Vice Chair of the Capital Markets Committee for the Commercial Real Estate Finance Committee of the Mortgage Bankers Association.

John D. Shulman, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2001. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Mr. Shulman served as the President and CEO of Onyx International, LLC, a private equity firm, from 1994 to 2001. He currently serves as a member of the investment committee of Greater China Private Equity Fund.

Suzanne V. Sparrow, Executive Vice President and Corporate Secretary, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1987. Ms. Sparrow manages various special projects for Allied Capital and is involved in Allied Capital s compliance and corporate governance activities.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has established an Executive Committee, an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee. The Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Govern-

ance/ Nominating Committee each operate pursuant to a committee charter. The charter of each Committee is available on our web site at www.alliedcapital.com in the Investor Resources section and is also available in print to any stockholder or other interested party who requests a copy.

The Executive Committee has and may exercise those rights, powers, and authority that the Board of Directors from time to time grants to it, except where action by the Board is required by statute, an order of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission), or Allied Capital s charter or bylaws. The Executive Committee has been delegated authority from the Board to review and approve certain investments. The Executive Committee met 50 times during 2006. The Executive Committee members currently are Messrs. Walton (Chairman), Harper, Hebert, Leahy, Long, Pollock and Steuart. Messrs. Harper, Hebert, Leahy, Pollock, and Steuart are independent directors for purposes of the 1940 Act. Messrs. Walton and Long are interested persons of Allied Capital, as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter approved by the Board of Directors and meets the requirements of Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The charter sets forth the responsibilities of the Audit Committee. The primary function of the Audit Committee is to serve as an independent and objective party to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities for overseeing and monitoring the quality and integrity of our financial statements, the adequacy of our system of internal controls, the review of the independence, qualifications and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm, and the performance of our internal audit function. The Audit Committee met 13 times during 2006. The Audit Committee is presently composed of five persons, including Mmes. Bates (Chairman) and van Roijen and Messrs. Browne, Garcia, and Harper, all of whom are considered independent under the rules promulgated by the New York Stock Exchange. Our Board of Directors has determined that Ms. Bates and Messrs. Browne, Garcia, and Harper are audit committee financial experts as defined under Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as each meets the current independence and experience requirements of Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act and, in addition, are not interested persons of the Company as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

The Compensation Committee approves the compensation of our executive officers, and reviews the amount of salary and bonus for each of the Company s other officers and employees. In addition, the Compensation Committee approves stock option grants for our officers under our Amended Stock Option Plan, determines the Individual Performance Awards (IPA) and Individual Performance Bonuses (IPB) for participants and determines other compensation arrangements for employees. The Compensation Committee met nine times during 2006. The Compensation Committee members currently are Messrs. Garcia (Chairman), Browne, Firestone, Leahy, and Racicot, each of whom is not an interested person as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee recommends candidates for election as directors to the Board of Directors and makes recommendations to the Board as to our corporate governance policies. The Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee met four times during 2006. The Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee members currently are Messrs. Hebert (Chairman), Firestone, Pollock, Racicot, and Steuart, each of whom is not an interested person—as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will consider qualified director nominees recommended by stockholders when such recommendations are submitted to the care of the Corporate Secretary in accordance with the Company s bylaws, Corporate Governance Policy, and any other applicable law, rule or regulation regarding director nominations. When submitting a nomination to the Company for consideration, a stockholder must provide certain information that would be required under applicable Commission rules, including the following minimum information for each director nominee: full name, age and address; principal occupation during the past five years; current directorships on publicly held companies and investment companies; number of shares of Company common stock owned, if any; and, a written consent of the individual to stand for election if nominated by the Board of Directors and to serve if elected by the stockholders.

PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

The management of our company and our investment portfolio is the responsibility of various corporate committees, including the management committee, the investment committee, and the portfolio management committee. In addition, the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors approves certain investment decisions.

Our management committee is responsible for, among other things, business planning and the establishment and review of general investment criteria. The management committee is chaired by William Walton, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), and currently includes Joan Sweeney, our Chief Operating Officer (COO), Penni Roll, our Chief Financial Officer (CFO), Scott Binder, our Chief Valuation Officer (CVO), Scott Lesmes, our Chief Legal Officer, and John Fruehwirth, Michael Grisius, Jeri Harman, Thomas Lauer, Robert D. Long, Justin Maccarone, Robert Monk, Daniel Russell, John Scheurer, and John Shulman, all managing directors. The composition of the committee may change from time to time.

Our investment committee is responsible for approving new investments. Our investment committee is chaired by William Walton, CEO, and currently includes Joan Sweeney, COO, Penni Roll, CFO, Scott Binder, CVO (non-voting), John Fruehwirth, Michael Grisius, Jeri Harman, Thomas Lauer, Robert D. Long, Justin Maccarone, Robert Monk, Daniel Russell, John Scheurer, John Shulman and Paul Tanen, all managing directors. The composition of the committee may change from time to time.

In addition to approval by the investment committee, each transaction that represents a commitment equal to or greater than \$20 million, every buyout transaction, and any other investment that in our judgment demonstrates unusual risk/reward characteristics also requires the approval of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Our Executive Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Walton, Harper, Hebert, Leahy, Long, Pollock and Steuart.

Our portfolio management committee is responsible for review and oversight of the investment portfolio, including reviewing the performance of selected portfolio companies, overseeing portfolio companies in workout status, reviewing and approving certain modifications or amendments to or certain additional investments in existing portfolio companies, reviewing and approving certain portfolio exits, reviewing and approving certain actions by portfolio companies whose voting securities are more than 50% owned by us, reviewing significant investment-related litigation matters where we are a named party, and reviewing and approving proxy votes with respect to our portfolio investments. From time to time we will identify investments that require closer monitoring or become workout assets. We develop a workout strategy for workout assets and the portfolio management committee gauges our progress against the strategy. Our portfolio management committee is chaired by William Walton, CEO, and currently includes Joan Sweeney, COO, Penni Roll, CFO, Scott Binder, CVO (non-voting), Ralph Blasey, Private Finance General Counsel, and Christina DelDonna, Susan Mayer, John Scheurer and Paul Tanen, all managing directors. The composition of the committee may change from time to time.

We are internally managed and our investment professionals manage the investments in our portfolio. These investment professionals have extensive experience in managing investments in private businesses in a variety of industries, and are familiar with our approach of lending and investing. Because we are internally managed, we pay no external

investment advisory fees, but instead we pay the operating costs associated with employing investment and other professionals.

Biographical Information for Non-Executive Officers

Information regarding the business experience of the additional investment professionals who are directors or executive officers is contained under the caption Management Biographical Information.

Christina L. DelDonna, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 1992. Ms. DelDonna has previously worked in a number of other managerial roles during her tenure with Allied Capital. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. DelDonna held several accounting, audit, and financial analyst roles within a variety of industries.

Susan Mayer, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since 2003. Prior to joining Allied Capital, Ms. Mayer served in various investment and management positions with MCI Communications Corporation from 1993 to 2003. Before joining MCI, Ms. Mayer served in a variety of corporate development, management, and consulting roles and was employed by NHP, Inc. from 1991 to 1993, Comsat, Inc. from 1986 to 1991, and the Boston Consulting Group from 1979 to 1986.

Paul R. Tanen, Managing Director, has been employed by Allied Capital since May 2006, and was also employed by Allied Capital from 2000 until 2004. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Tanen served as a consultant to Allied Capital. Prior to working with Allied Capital, Mr. Tanen served as a Managing Director at Ridgefield Partners from 1998 to 2000, and was a Founding Member of the private equity group at Charter Oak Partners from 1992 to 1998.

Compensation

The compensation for the members of our management committee, investment committee, and portfolio management committee includes: (i) annual base salary; (ii) annual cash bonus; (iii) stock options; and (iv) individual performance award and/or individual performance bonus. Compensation for the members of our Executive Committee, with the exception of Mr. Walton, consists of: (i) annual retainer; (ii) attendance fee per committee meeting; and (iii) stock options. The compensation of the members of the management committee, investment committee and portfolio management committee is determined in the same manner as the compensation received by our named executive officers. See Management and Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers for additional information regarding our compensation program and our determination of individual compensation.

Beneficial Ownership

Messrs. Walton, Long and Steuart, members of the Executive Committee, beneficially own shares of our common stock with a value of more than \$1,000,000, based on the closing price of \$32.87 on August 9, 2007, on the New York Stock Exchange. Messrs. Hebert, Leahy, and Pollock, members of the Executive Committee, beneficially own shares of our common stock with a value of \$500,001 to \$1,000,000 based on the August 9, 2007, closing price. Mr. Harper, member of the Executive Committee, beneficially owns shares of our common stock with a value of \$50,001 to \$100,000 based on the August 9, 2007, closing price. Each member of the management committee and the portfolio management committee beneficially owns shares of our common stock with a value of more than \$1,000,000, based on the August 9, 2007 closing price. Each member of the investment committee beneficially owns shares of our common stock with a value of more than \$1,000,000, based on the August 9, 2007 closing price.

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of the members of the Executive Committee, the management committee, the investment committee, and the portfolio management committee serve or may serve in an investment management capacity to the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P. (the Fund). Specifically, the credit committee for the Fund includes certain of our officers who serve in similar roles for us, including William Walton, Christina DelDonna, Thomas Lauer, and Robert D. Long. These investment professionals intend to allocate such time and attention as is deemed appropriate and necessary to carry out the operations of the Fund effectively. In this respect, they may experience diversions of their attention from us and potential conflicts of interest between their work for us and their work for the Fund in the event that the interests of the Fund run counter to our interests. Accordingly, they may have obligations to investors in the Fund, the fulfillment of which might not be in the best interests of us or our shareholders. See Risk Factors There are potential conflicts of interest between us and the Allied Capital Senior Debt Fund, L.P.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Under SEC rules applicable to business development companies, we are required to set forth certain information regarding the compensation of certain executive officers and directors. The following tables set forth compensation earned during the year ended December 31, 2006, by all of our directors, our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and each of our three highest paid executive officers (collectively, the Named Executive Officers or NEOs) in each capacity in which each NEO served. Certain of the NEOs served as both officers and directors.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth compensation that we paid during the year ended December 31, 2006, to our directors. Our directors have been divided into two groups interested directors and independent directors. Interested directors are interested persons as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Change

				in					
				Pension					
				Value					
					and Non-				
	Fees				Qualified				
	Earned or			Non-Equity	_				
				Incentive	Deletted	All			
	Paid in	Stock	Option	Incentive Plan	ompensati	on Other			
Name	Cash	Awards	Awards ⁽¹⁾	Compensation			on Total		
1,000	0031	12 // 442 015	11,111111111111111111111111111111111111	C omp on sucre			2000		
Interested Directors									
William L. Walton ⁽²⁾	\$	n/a	\$	n/a	\$	\$	\$		
Joan M. Sweeney ⁽²⁾	\$	n/a	\$	n/a	\$	\$	\$		
Robert E. Long	\$109,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$127,169		
Independent Directors									
Ann Torre Bates	\$ 84,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$102,169		
Brooks H. Browne	\$102,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$120,169		
John D. Firestone	\$ 66,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$ 84,169		
Anthony T. Garcia	\$102,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$120,169		
Edwin L. Harper	\$ 86,500	n/a	\$36,337(3)	n/a	\$	\$	\$122,837		
Lawrence I. Hebert	\$126,500	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$144,669		
John I. Leahy	\$138,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$156,169		
Alex J. Pollock	\$115,500	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$133,669		
Marc F. Racicot	\$ 64,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$ 82,169		
Guy T. Steuart II	\$106,500	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$124,669		
Laura W. van Roijen	\$ 79,000	n/a	\$18,169	n/a	\$	\$	\$ 97,169		

⁽¹⁾ Reflects the annual grant of 5,000 options. Options granted vest immediately. The fair value of the options was estimated on the grant date for financial reporting purposes using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and pursuant to the requirements of FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised) (FAS 123R). See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for the assumptions used in determining FAS 123R values.

⁽²⁾ Mr. Walton and Ms. Sweeney did not receive any compensation for serving on the Board of Directors. See Executive Compensation Summary Compensation below.

- (3) Reflects the grant of 10,000 options made upon Mr. Harper s initial election to the Board.
- (4) There were no above market or preferential earnings on the non-qualified deferred compensation plans. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation below.

In July 2007, our Board of Directors adopted the following compensation structure for non-officer directors, which is effective for 2007. Each non-officer director receives an annual retainer of \$100,000. In addition, each member of each committee receives an annual retainer of \$45,000 to attend the meetings of the committee and each committee

chair also receives an annual retainer of \$5,000. In addition, members who serve on special purpose committees will receive \$3,000 per meeting.

Directors may choose to defer such fees through the 2005 Allied Capital Corporation Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP I), and may choose to have such deferred income invested in shares of our common stock through a trust, which is owned by us. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for additional information.

Non-officer directors are eligible for stock option awards under our Amended Stock Option Plan pursuant to an exemptive order from the Commission. The terms of the order, which was granted in September 1999, provided for a one-time grant of 10,000 options to each non-officer director on the date that the order was issued, or on the date that any new director is elected by stockholders to the Board of Directors. Thereafter, each non-officer director will receive 5,000 options each year on the date of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders at the fair market value on the date of grant. See Amended Stock Option Plan. The options granted to our directors vest immediately.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of the Compensation Program

Compensation Philosophy. Allied Capital s compensation and benefits programs are designed with the goal of providing compensation that is fair, reasonable and competitive. The programs are intended to help us align the compensation paid to our executive officers with the achievement of certain corporate and executive performance objectives that have been established to achieve the long-term objectives of Allied Capital. We also believe that the compensation programs should enable us to attract, motivate, and retain key officers who will contribute to our future success.

The design of our compensation programs is based on the following:

Competitiveness and Market Alignment Our compensation and benefits programs are designed to be competitive with those provided by companies with whom we compete for talent and to be sufficient to attract the best talent from an increasingly competitive market for top performers in the private equity industry. In general, programs are considered competitive when they are in a range between the median (50th percentile) and 75th percentile of market compensation levels as measured against similarly situated competitor companies. Benefit programs are designed to provide competitive levels of protection and financial security and are not based on performance. As part of its annual review process, the Committee reviews the competitiveness of our current compensation levels of its key employees and executives with a third-party compensation consultant against the competitive market and relative to overall corporate performance during the year.

Achievement of Corporate and Individual Performance Objectives We believe that the best way to accomplish alignment of compensation with the interests of our stockholders is to link pay to individual performance and individual contributions to the returns generated for stockholders. Compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee on a discretionary basis and is dependent on the achievement of certain corporate and executive performance objectives that have been established to achieve long-term objectives of Allied Capital. When individual

performance exceeds expectations and performance goals established during the year, pay levels for the individual are expected to be above competitive market levels. When individual performance falls below expectations, pay levels are expected to be below competitive levels.

Alignment with Requirements of the 1940 Act. Our compensation program must align with the requirements of the 1940 Act, which imposes certain limitations on the structure of a BDC s compensation program. For example, the 1940 Act prohibits a BDC from maintaining a stock option plan and a profit sharing arrangement simultaneously. As a result, if a BDC has a stock option plan, it is prohibited from using a carried interest formula, a common form of compensation in the private equity industry, as a form of compensation. Because of these and other similar limitations imposed by the 1940 Act, the Compensation Committee is limited as to the type of compensation arrangements that can be utilized in order to attract, retain and motivate employees.

Components of Total Compensation. The Compensation Committee determined that the compensation packages for 2006 for the named executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table (the NEOs) should generally consist of the following five key components:

Annual base salary;

Annual cash bonus;

Stock options, priced at current market value;

Individual Performance Award (IPA), which is a cash award that is generally determined at the beginning of the year based upon the individual performance of the officer, which is used exclusively to purchase shares of our common stock in the market through a deferred compensation plan; and

Individual Performance Bonus (IPB), which is a cash award that is generally determined at the beginning of the year based upon the individual performance of the officer and is paid as current compensation during the year. *Base Salary*. Base salary is designed to attract and retain experienced executives who can drive the achievement of

our goals and objectives. While an executive s initial base salary is determined by an assessment of competitive market levels, the factors used in determining increases in base salary include individual performance, changes in role and/or responsibility and changes in the competitive market environment.

We have entered into employment agreements with William L. Walton, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Joan M. Sweeney, our Chief Operating Officer, and Penni F. Roll, our Chief Financial Officer. See Employment Agreements below for information regarding the material terms of these agreements.

Annual Cash Bonus. The annual cash bonus is designed to reward those executives that have achieved certain corporate and executive performance objectives and have contributed to the achievement of certain long-term objectives of Allied Capital. The amount of the annual cash bonus is determined by the Compensation Committee on a discretionary basis. The annual cash bonus, when combined with base salary and the IPA and IPB described below, is benchmarked against a range of compensation that is

126

competitive between the median (50th percentile) and 75th percentile of market compensation levels based on the performance of the individual.

Stock Options. Our principal objective in awarding stock options to our officers and directors is to align each optionee s interests with the success of Allied Capital and the financial interests of our stockholders by linking a portion of such optionee s compensation with the performance of our stock and the value delivered to stockholders. The Compensation Committee evaluates a number of criteria, including the past service of each such optionee to Allied Capital, the present and potential contributions of such optionee to the success of Allied Capital, and such other factors as the Compensation Committee shall deem relevant in connection with accomplishing the purposes of the Amended Stock Option Plan, including the recipient s current stock holdings, years of service, position with us, and other factors. The Compensation Committee does not apply a formula assigning specific weights to any of these factors when making its determination. The Compensation Committee awards stock options on a subjective basis and such awards depend in each case on the performance of the officer under consideration, and in the case of new hires, their potential performance. See Amended Stock Option Plan for additional information.

IPA and IPB. Following the enactment of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we were no longer permitted to provide loans to executive officers for the exercise of stock options, as is statutorily provided for in the 1940 Act. This was a significant development, since a substantial component of the total return to stockholders comes in the form of the dividend paid on our common stock. Under the former loan program, an officer could exercise vested stock options with a loan for the purpose of buying the underlying shares and would then receive dividends on the shares obtained through such exercise and pay us interest on the loan until maturity. The loan program was also desirable because it caused the officers to share in the risk of ownership of the stock, since the loan would have to be repaid. As such, under the loan program, there was a balance of the benefits and risks of share ownership for the officers. When the loan program was discontinued, the Compensation Committee established a long-term incentive compensation program whereby the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors determines an Individual Performance Award (IPA) for certain officers annually, generally at the beginning of each year. In determining the award for any one officer, the Compensation Committee considers individual performance factors, as well as the individual s contribution to the returns generated for stockholders, among other factors. Stockholders approved the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan II (DCP II), through which the IPA is administered, in 2004. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan II for additional detail regarding the DCP II.

As a result of changes in the Code imposed by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (JCA) regarding non-qualified deferred compensation plans, as well as an increase in the competitive market for recruiting and retaining top performers in private equity firms, beginning in 2005 the Board of Directors determined that a portion of the IPA should be paid as an Individual Performance Bonus (IPB). The IPB is determined annually, generally at the beginning of the year, and is distributed in cash in equal installments to award recipients throughout the year as long as each recipient remains employed by us. If a recipient terminates employment during the year, any remaining cash payments under the IPB would be forfeited. In determining an IPB award for any one officer, the Committee considers individual performance factors, as well as the individual s contribution to the returns generated for stockholders, among other factors.

Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements. We entered into employment agreements in 2004 with Mr. Walton and Mmes. Sweeney and Roll and these agreements were amended in 2007 to comply with the JCA and to address other tax related matters. Pursuant to each of these agreements, if the executive s employment is terminated without cause during the term of the agreement, or within 24 months of a change in control, the executive shall be entitled to severance pay. See Severance and Change in Control Arrangements for more detail.

401(k) Plan. We maintain a 401(k) Plan. All full-time employees who are at least 21 years of age have the opportunity to contribute pre-tax salary deferrals to the 401(k) Plan, up to \$15,500 annually for the 2007 plan year, and to direct the investment of these contributions. Plan participants who are age 50 or older during the 2007 plan year are eligible to defer an additional \$5,000 during 2007. The 401(k) Plan allows eligible participants to invest in shares of an Allied Capital Common Stock Fund, consisting of Allied Capital common stock and cash, among other investment options. In addition, during the 2007 plan year, we expect to contribute up to 5% of each participant s eligible compensation for the year, up to maximum compensation of \$225,000, to each participant s plan account on the participant s behalf, which fully vests at the time of the contribution. The contribution with respect to compensation in excess of \$225,000 will be made to The 2005 Allied Capital Corporation Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan I. On August 9, 2007, the 401(k) Plan held less than 1% of the outstanding shares of Allied Capital.

Insurance. We also make available to all employees health insurance, dental insurance, and group life, disability, and other insurance. Prior to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we provided split dollar life insurance arrangements for certain senior officers. We have subsequently terminated our obligations to pay future premiums with respect to existing split-dollar life insurance arrangements.

Perquisites. We provide only limited perquisites such as company-paid parking to our NEOs. We utilize corporate aircrafts for business use in an effort to improve the efficiency of required business travel. Imputed income determined in accordance with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) requirements is reflected in an NEO s aggregate compensation for income tax purposes for any business trip on which a non-employee family member accompanies the NEO. For compensation disclosure purposes, the value of such travel by non-employee family members is calculated using the direct variable costs incurred.

Establishing Compensation Levels

Role of the Compensation Committee and Management. The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors who are also non-employee directors as defined in Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and independent directors as defined by New York Stock Exchange rules.

The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a charter that sets forth the mission of the Compensation Committee and its specific goals and responsibilities. The Compensation Committee s mission is to evaluate the compensation of our executive officers, and their performance relative to their compensation, and to assure that they are compensated effectively in a manner consistent with the compensation philosophy discussed earlier, internal equity considerations, competitive practice, and the requirements of applicable law and the appropriate regulatory bodies. In addition, the Compensation

Committee evaluates and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the compensation of the directors, including their compensation for services on Board committees.

The Compensation Committee s charter reflects these goals and responsibilities, and the Compensation Committee annually reviews and revises its charter as necessary. To assist in carrying out its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee periodically receives reports and recommendations from management and from a third-party compensation consultant that it selects and retains. The Compensation Committee may also, from time to time, consult with legal, accounting or other advisors all in accordance with the authority granted to the Compensation Committee in its charter.

The key members of management involved in the compensation process are the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer and the Director of Human Resources. Management proposes certain corporate and executive performance objectives for executive management that could be established to achieve long-term objectives of Allied Capital and used to determine total compensation, and these proposals are presented to the Compensation Committee for review and approval. Management also participates in the discussion of peer companies to be used to benchmark NEO compensation, and recommends the overall funding level for the annual cash bonus, IPA and IPB, and management s recommendations are presented to the Compensation Committee for review and approval.

Company Compensation Policies. In determining the individual compensation for our NEOs, the Compensation Committee considers the total compensation to be awarded to each NEO and may exercise discretion in determining the portion allocated to the various components of total compensation. We believe that the focus on total compensation provides the ability to align pay decisions with short- and long-term needs of the business. This approach also allows for the flexibility needed to recognize differences in performance by providing differentiated pay.

Assessment of Market Data, Peer Comparisons and Benchmarking of Compensation. The Compensation Committee annually retains a third-party compensation consultant to assess the competitiveness of the current and proposed compensation levels of our NEOs to competitive market practices. For over five years, the Committee has engaged Ernst & Young LLP s Performance and Reward Practice for this purpose. The consultant assists with the assessment of the compensation practices of our direct competitors. Given our unique structure as a publicly traded, internally managed BDC coupled with the fact that most of our direct competitors are privately held private equity partnerships, specific compensation information with respect to our direct competitors typically is not publicly available. There are a limited number of published survey sources that have a primary focus on the private equity industry and that provide annualized information on long-term incentive plans in the industry, which typically take the form of carried interest.

As a part of the annual assessment of compensation, the Compensation Committee and its consultant analyze NEO compensation information relative to: (a) a peer group of publicly traded companies, as determined by the Compensation Committee, including internally managed BDCs, deemed similar to Allied Capital in terms of industry segment, company size and competitive market for executive talent; (b) published survey data on similarly sized private equity firms; and (c) an estimation of aggregate compensation levels paid by externally managed BDCs and similar pass-through structures, such as real estate investment trusts. Through this process, the Compensation Committee benchmarks our

compensation for NEOs, including the CEO, to the median (50th percentile) through the 75th percentile of competitive market data. However, the Compensation Committee was unable to benchmark the compensation data of individual NEOs from the externally managed companies because no individual compensation data is available.

Assessment of Company Performance. The Compensation Committee considered certain corporate and executive performance measures that have been established to achieve long-term total return to stockholders. During 2006, we achieved numerous strategic investment and operational goals and objectives, including the origination of \$2.4 billion in new investments, achievement of approximately \$533 million in net realized gains, and the payment of approximately \$355 million of dividends to stockholders. We also achieved investment grade status from the primary ratings agencies.

Compensation Determination

In identifying prevailing market competitive compensation and benefit levels for similarly situated companies, Allied Capital employs a three-pronged approach as noted above. First, the Compensation Committee reviews compensation information from a proxy peer group that is composed of similarly situated publicly traded companies, including internally managed BDCs, deemed similar to us in terms of industry segment and competitive market for executive talent. Second, the Compensation Committee considers published survey data on similarly sized private equity firms. Third, the Compensation Committee reviews an estimation of aggregate compensation levels paid by externally managed BDCs and similar pass-through structures, such as real estate investment trusts.

The Compensation Committee annually reviews tally sheets that illustrate all components of the compensation provided to our NEOs, including base salary, annual cash bonus, IPAs and IPBs, stock option awards, perquisites and benefits, the accumulated balance under non-qualified deferred compensation plans, and the aggregate amounts that may be paid as the result of certain events of termination under employment agreements including a change of control. The Compensation Committee also provides a full report of all compensation program components to the Board of Directors, including the review and discussion of tally sheets.

Individual compensation levels for NEOs are determined based on individual performance and the achievement of certain corporate and executive performance objectives that have been established to achieve our long-term objectives.

Increases to base salary are awarded to address changes in the external competitive market for a given position, to recognize an executive for assuming additional responsibilities and his/her related performance, or to achieve an appropriate competitive level due to a promotion to a more senior position.

In determining the amount of an executive s variable compensation the annual cash bonus, IPA and IPB the Compensation Committee uses market-based total compensation guidelines described above. Within those guidelines, the Committee considers the overall funding available for such awards, the executive s performance, and the desired mix between the various components of total compensation. We do not use any formula-based approach in determining individual awards. Rather, discretion is exercised in determining the overall total compensation to be awarded to the executive. As a result, the amounts delivered in the form of an annual cash bonus, IPA and IPB are designed to work together

in conjunction with base salary to deliver an appropriate total compensation level to the NEO.

We believe that the discretionary design of our variable compensation program supports its overall compensation objectives by allowing for significant differentiation of pay based on individual performance and by providing the flexibility necessary to ensure that pay packages for its NEOs are competitive relative to our market.

Determination of 2006 Compensation for the CEO and other NEOs. The compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs is determined based on the achievement of certain corporate and executive performance objectives. 2006 was a year of continued progress in achieving the objectives that contribute to the long-term success of Allied Capital. Under Mr. Walton s leadership in 2006, we invested \$2.4 billion in over 65 total transactions, generated approximately \$533 million in net realized gains, paid approximately \$355 million in dividends to stockholders, raised \$700 million in long-term debt and achieved investment grade status from the three primary ratings agencies.

Mr. Walton is paid an annual base salary of \$1,500,000, the same rate that has been in effect since February 2004. Mr. Walton received an annual bonus for 2006 of \$2,750,000, the same amount as the annual bonus that was paid for 2005, in recognition of our performance discussed above and his instrumental role in driving those results. Mr. Walton also received a 2006 IPA of \$1,475,000 and a 2006 IPB of \$1,475,000, which were the same amounts as the prior year. Mr. Walton did not receive any stock option grants in 2006 to help ensure that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires below the NEO level.

Ms. Sweeney is paid an annual base salary of \$1,000,000, the same rate that has been in effect since February 2004. Ms. Sweeney received an annual bonus for 2006 of \$1,500,000, the same amount as the annual bonus that was paid for 2005, in recognition of our performance and her individual performance. Ms. Sweeney also received a 2006 IPA of \$750,000 and a 2006 IPB of \$750,000, which were the same amounts as the prior year. Ms. Sweeney did not receive any stock option grants in 2006 to help ensure that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires below the NEO level.

For 2006, Ms. Roll was paid an annual base salary of \$523,568. Ms. Roll received an annual bonus for 2006 of \$850,000 in recognition of our performance and her individual performance. Ms. Roll also received a 2006 IPA of \$350,000 and a 2006 IPB of \$350,000. Ms. Roll did not receive any stock option grants in 2006 to help ensure that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires below the NEO level.

For 2006, Mr. Shulman was paid an annual base salary of \$561,250. Mr. Shulman received an annual bonus for 2006 of \$3,000,000 in recognition of our performance and his individual performance. Mr. Shulman also received a 2006 IPA of \$1,000,000 and a 2006 IPB of \$1,000,000. Mr. Shulman did not receive any stock option grants in 2006 to help ensure that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires below the NEO level.

For 2006, Mr. Grisius was paid an annual base salary of \$556,538. Mr. Grisius received an annual bonus for 2006 of \$1,500,000 in recognition of our performance and his individual performance. Mr. Grisius also received a 2006 IPA of \$500,000 and a 2006 IPB of \$500,000. Mr. Grisius did not receive any stock option grants in 2006 to help ensure

that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires below the NEO level.

The Compensation Committee determined that the total compensation levels for each of these executives was within a competitive range to existing market levels.

Determination of 2007 IPA and 2007 IPB for NEOs. In determining the 2007 IPAs and IPBs, the Compensation Committee considered each NEO s individual contribution to Allied Capital as a whole. The 2007 IPAs for Mr. Walton, Ms. Sweeney, Ms. Roll, Mr. Shulman and Mr. Grisius were determined to be \$1,475,000, \$750,000, \$350,000, \$500,000 and \$400,000, respectively. The 2007 IPBs for Mr. Walton, Ms. Sweeney, Ms. Roll, Mr. Shulman and Mr. Grisius were determined to be \$1,475,000, \$750,000, \$350,000, \$500,000 and \$400,000, respectively. The 2007 IPAs and IPBs for Mr. Walton, Ms. Sweeney and Ms. Roll remained unchanged from their 2006 award amounts. The 2007 IPAs and IPBs for Messrs. Shulman and Grisius were each decreased by \$500,000 and \$100,000, respectively, from their 2006 awards.

The IPA for 2007 for all recipients in the aggregate has been determined to be approximately \$10 million. This amount represents IPAs expected to be expensed for financial reporting purposes for 2007 assuming each participant remains employed by us throughout the year. This amount is subject to change if there is a change in the composition of the pool of award recipients during the year, or if the Compensation Committee determines that a change to an individual award is needed. The IPAs are not paid to executive officers on a current basis. Instead, IPAs are deposited in a deferred compensation trust in approximately equal cash installments, on a quarterly basis, and the cash is used to purchase shares of our common stock in the market on the New York Stock Exchange. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan II for additional information.

The IPB for 2007 for all recipients in the aggregate has been determined to be approximately \$10 million. The IPB will be distributed in cash to award recipients in equal installments throughout the year as long as the recipient remains employed by us. If a recipient terminates employment during the year, any remaining cash payments under the IPB for the recipient are forfeited. This amount represents IPBs expected to be expensed for financial reporting purposes for 2007 assuming each recipient remains employed by us throughout the year. This amount is subject to change if there is a change in the composition of the pool of award recipients during the year or if the Compensation Committee determines that a change to an individual award is needed.

Stock Option Practices

Our principal objective in awarding stock options to our officers and directors is to align each optionee s interests with the success of Allied Capital and the financial interests of our stockholders by linking a portion of such optionee s compensation with the performance of our stock and the value delivered to stockholders. The Compensation Committee awards stock options on a subjective basis and such awards depend in each case on the performance of the officer under consideration, and in the case of new hires, their potential performance. Stock options are priced at the closing price of the stock on the date the option is granted. The Compensation Committee takes into account material non-public information, among other factors, when granting stock options. During 2006, NEOs did not receive stock option grants to help ensure that we had sufficient stock option reserves to make market competitive stock option grants to new officer hires.

Stock Ownership Initiative

In connection with our 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the issuance of up to 2,500,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for the cancellation of vested in-the-money stock options granted to certain officers and directors under the Amended Stock Option Plan. Under the initiative, which was reviewed and approved by our Board of Directors, all optionees who hold vested stock options with exercise prices below the market value of the stock (or in-the-money options), would be offered the opportunity to receive cash and unregistered common stock in exchange for their voluntary cancellation of their vested stock options. The sum of the cash and common stock to be received by each optionee would equal the in-the-money value of the stock option cancelled. As part of this initiative, the Board of Directors adopted a target ownership program that establishes minimum ownership levels for our senior officers, which is intended to further align the interests of our officers with those of our stockholders. On July 18, 2007, we completed the tender offer in connection with the OCP. See Results of Operations, Option Cancellation Payment above.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements Tax Deductibility of Pay

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in any one year, which applies with respect to certain of our most highly paid executive officers for 2006. There is an exception to the \$1,000,000 limitation for performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements. To maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, the Compensation Committee has not adopted a policy requiring all compensation to be deductible. Mr. Walton s, Ms. Sweeney s and Mr. Shulman s total compensation is above the \$1,000,000 threshold for 2006; accordingly, for 2006, a portion of their total compensation, including salaries, bonuses and IPBs, and the taxable value of their perquisites under the Code, is not deductible by us.

Summary Compensation

The following table sets forth compensation for the year ended December 31, 2006, to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and each of our three highest paid executive officers (collectively, the Named Executive Officers or NEOs) in each capacity in which each NEO served. Certain of the NEOs served as both officers and directors.

Change
in
Pension
Value
and
NonNon-EqQtyalified
IncentiDeferred

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus ⁽¹⁾		Option sAward©∂n			ti Al l Other	n ⁽⁴⁾ Total
William L. Walton, Chief Executive Officer	2006	\$1,500,000	\$5,700,000	n/a	\$421,142	n/a	n/a	\$250,763	\$7,871,905
Joan M. Sweeney, Chief Operating Officer	2006	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000	n/a	\$314,827	n/a	n/a	\$134,418	\$4,449,245
Penni F. Roll, Chief Financial Officer	2006	\$ 523,568	\$1,550,000	n/a	\$490,659	n/a	n/a	\$ 70,571	\$2,634,798
John D. Shulman, Managing Director	2006	\$ 561,250	\$5,000,000	n/a	\$633,987	n/a	n/a	\$130,772	\$6,326,009
Michael J. Grisius, Managing Director	2006	\$ 556.538	\$2,500,000	n/a	\$596,974	n/a	n/a	\$ 81.945	\$3,735,457

⁽¹⁾ This column includes annual cash bonus, IPA and IPB. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for a discussion of these components. The following table provides detail as to the composition of the bonus received by each of the NEOs:

	Bonus	IPA	IPB
Mr. Walton	\$2,750,000	\$1,475,000	\$1,475,000
Ms. Sweeney	\$1,500,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
Ms. Roll	\$ 850,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
Mr. Shulman	\$3,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000

Mr. Grisius \$1,500,000 \$ 500,000 \$ 500,000

- (2) No option grants were made to NEOs in 2006. This column includes amounts from awards granted prior to 2006 which were recognized for financial statement reporting purposes without regard to estimated forfeitures in 2006 in accordance with FAS 123R. See Note 2 to our 2006 consolidated financial statements for the assumptions used in determining FAS 123R values.
- (3) There were no above market or preferential earnings on the non-qualified deferred compensation plans. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation below.
- (4) All Other Compensation is composed of the following:

	Company Contribution to 401(k) Plan	Company Contribution to DCP I	Other ⁽⁵⁾
Mr. Walton	\$11,000	\$201,500	\$38,263
Ms. Sweeney	\$11,000	\$114,000	\$ 9,418
Ms. Roll	\$11,000	\$ 55,154	\$ 4,417
Mr. Shulman	\$11,000	\$117,000	\$ 2,772
Mr. Grisius	\$11,000	\$ 66,770	\$ 4,175

⁽⁵⁾ This amount includes perquisites such as Company-paid parking and the imputed income value of split dollar life insurance arrangements. For Mr. Walton, the amount also includes the premiums associated with executive long-term disability insurance. In addition, the amount includes approximately \$28,000 for Mr. Walton and approximately \$3,000 for Ms. Sweeney related to the direct variable costs associated with the travel of non-employee family members when they have accompanied the NEOs on trips for business purposes. The value of this perquisite is different than each NEO s imputed income, which is calculated in accordance with IRS requirements.

All

All

Grants of Plan-Based Awards⁽¹⁾

			Other	Other		
	Estimated Future Payouts	Estimated Future Payouts	Stock	Option		
	Under Non-Equity Incentive	Under Equity Incentive Plan	Awards;	Awards;F	Exercise	Grant Date
	Plan Awards	Awards	Number of	Number of	or Base	Fair Value
			Shares of	Securities	Price of	of Stock and
	Grant		Stock or	U nderlyin g	Option	Option
Name	DateThresholTargetMaximuff	hreshol&argefMaximu	m Units	Options A	Awards	Awards
William L. Walton						
Joan M. Sweeney						
Penni F. Roll						
John D. Shulman						
Michael J. Grisius						

(1) No option grants were made to NEOs in 2006.

Employment Agreements

We entered into employment agreements in 2004 with William L. Walton, our Chairman and CEO, Joan M. Sweeney, our Chief Operating Officer, and Penni F. Roll, our Chief Financial Officer. These agreements were amended in 2007 to comply with the JCA and to address other tax-related matters. Each of the agreements provides for a three-year term that extends one day at the end of every day during its length, unless either party provides written notice of termination of such extension. In that case, the agreement would terminate three years from such notification.

Each agreement specifies each executive s base salary compensation during the term of the agreement. The Compensation Committee has the right to increase the base salary during the term of the employment agreement. In addition, each employment agreement states that the Compensation Committee may provide, at their sole discretion, an annual cash bonus. This bonus is to be determined with reference to each executive s performance in accordance with performance criteria to be determined by the Compensation Committee in its sole discretion. Under each agreement, each executive is also entitled to participate in our Amended Stock Option Plan, and to receive all other awards and benefits previously granted to each executive including, the payment of life insurance premiums.

The executive has the right to voluntarily terminate employment at any time with 30 days notice, and in such case, the employee will not receive any severance pay. Among other things, the employment agreements prohibit the solicitation of employees from us in the event of an executive s departure for a period of two years. See Severance and Change in Control Arrangements for a discussion of the severance and change in control arrangements set forth in each of these agreements.

Amended Stock Option Plan

Our Amended Stock Option Plan is intended to encourage stock ownership in Allied Capital by our officers and directors, thus giving them a proprietary interest in our performance. The Amended Stock Option Plan was most recently approved by our stockholders on May 15, 2007. Our stockholders approved an amendment to increase the

number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the Stock Option Plan to 37.2 million shares. At December 31, 2006, there were 32.2 million shares authorized under the Stock Option Plan.

On May 15, 2007, the Board of Directors granted a total of 6.4 million options to employees and non-officer directors. In July 2007, in connection with the tender offer discussed above, we accepted for cancellation 10.3 million vested options. Subsequent to

135

the May option grants and completion of the tender offer there were 11.0 million shares available to be granted.

The Compensation Committee s principal objective in awarding stock options to our eligible officers and directors is to align each optionee s interests with our success and the financial interests of our stockholders by linking a portion of such optionee s compensation with the performance of our stock and the value delivered to stockholders.

Stock options are granted under the Amended Stock Option Plan at a price not less than the prevailing market value at the time of the grant and will have realizable value only if our stock price increases. The Compensation Committee determines the amount, if any, and features of the stock options to be awarded to optionees. The Compensation Committee evaluates a number of criteria, including the past service of each such optionee to Allied Capital, the present and potential contributions of such optionee to the success of Allied Capital, and such other factors as the Compensation Committee shall deem relevant in connection with accomplishing the purposes of the Amended Stock Option Plan, including the recipient s current stock holdings, years of service, position with Allied Capital, and other factors. The Compensation Committee does not apply a formula assigning specific weights to any of these factors when making its determination. The Compensation Committee awards stock options on a subjective basis and such awards depend in each case on the performance of the officer under consideration, and in the case of new hires, their potential performance.

The Amended Stock Option Plan is designed to satisfy the conditions of Section 422 of the Code so that options granted under the Amended Stock Option Plan may qualify as incentive stock options. To qualify as incentive stock options, options may not become exercisable for the first time in any year if the number of incentive options first exercisable in that year multiplied by the exercise price exceeds \$100,000.

We have received approval from the SEC to grant non-qualified options under the Amended Stock Option Plan to non-officer directors. Pursuant to the SEC order, non-officer directors receive options to purchase 10,000 shares upon election by stockholders to the Board of Directors, and options to purchase 5,000 shares each year thereafter, on the date of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end

The following table sets forth the stock option awards outstanding at December 31, 2006:

		Optio	on Awards			Stock	Awards ⁽²	!)
		I	Equity ncentive Plan				Plan Awards Number of	Awards: Market or d Payout Value
			Awards:			Market	Units	of Unearned
	Number of	Number of	Number of		Number of	Value of	or Other	Shares,
	Securities	Securities S	Securities		Shares or	Shares or	Rights	Units
	Underlying	UnderlyingU	nderlying		Units of	Units of	That	of Other
	Unexercised	UnexercisedUn	nexercisedOption	Option	Stock That	Stock That	Have	Rights That
	Options	Options U	Jnearned Exercise	Expiration	Have Not	Have Not	Not	Have Not
Name	Exercisable ⁽¹⁾	Unexercisable	Options Price	Date		Vested	Vested	Vested
William L. Walton	659,188 51,196 90,922 755,500 254,274 607,554 300,000	100,000(2)	\$21.375 \$17.875 \$17.750 \$16.813 \$21.590 \$21.520 \$28.980	01/08/2008 12/08/2008 12/30/2009 05/26/2010 09/20/2011 12/13/2012 03/11/2014	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Joan M. Sweeney	310,049 32,469 75,511 285,000 151,722 462,281 225,000	75,000(2)	\$21.375 \$17.875 \$17.750 \$16.813 \$21.590 \$21.520 \$28.980	01/08/2008 12/08/2008 12/30/2009 05/26/2010 09/20/2011 12/13/2012 03/11/2014	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Penni F. Roll	19,254 48,000 3,656		\$21.375 \$19.875 \$17.750	01/08/2008 07/28/2008 12/30/2009	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a

Edgar Filing: ALLIED CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

	75,398 58,927 245,354 150,000 66,667	50,000 ₍₂₎ 133,333 ₍₃₎	\$16.813 \$21.590 \$21.520 \$28.980 \$27.510	05/26/2010 09/20/2011 12/13/2012 03/11/2014 08/03/2015	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
	00,007	133,333(3)	Ψ27.510	00/05/2015	11/ 4	II/ C	11/ 44	11, 6
John D. Shulman	295,429 22,053 289,620 300,000 50,000	100,000 ₍₂₎ 100,000 ₍₃₎	\$21.875 \$21.590 \$21.520 \$28.980 \$27.510	04/05/2011 09/20/2011 12/13/2012 03/11/2014 08/03/2015	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Michael J. Grisius	140,410 140,797 13,767 71,746 225,000 66,667	75,000 ₍₂₎ 133,333 ₍₃₎	\$21.375 \$16.813 \$21.590 \$21.520 \$28.980 \$27.510	01/08/2008 05/26/2010 09/20/2011 12/13/2012 03/11/2014 08/03/2015	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

⁽¹⁾ No stock option awards have been transferred.

⁽²⁾ The options granted vest in four installments on 6/30/04, 6/30/05, 6/30/06 and 6/30/07 and vest immediately upon a change in control.

⁽³⁾ The options granted vest in three installments on 6/30/06, 6/30/07 and 6/30/08 and vest immediately upon a change in control.

⁽⁴⁾ We have not made any stock awards. As a business development company, we are prohibited by the 1940 Act from issuing stock awards except pursuant to an SEC exemptive order.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table sets forth the stock option awards exercised by each NEO during the year ended December 31, 2006.

	Option	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾		Awards
	Number of Shares		Number of Shares	
	Acquired on	Value Realized	Acquired on	Value Realized
Name	Exercise	on Exercise ⁽²⁾	Vesting	on Vesting
William L. Walton	4,646	\$ 35,588	n/a	n/a
Joan M. Sweeney	11,188	\$128,494	n/a	n/a
Penni F. Roll	20,871	\$221,644	n/a	n/a
John D. Shulman			n/a	n/a
Michael J. Grisius	13,306	\$131,751	n/a	n/a

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in 2006 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	Company Contributions in 2006 ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	Aggregate Earnings in 2006 ⁽³⁾	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions in 2006	Aggregate Balance at December 31, 2006
William L. Walton	\$1,453,612	\$100,521	\$1,565,725	\$	\$12,027,985
Joan M. Sweeney	\$ 739,125	\$ 63,565	\$ 949,212	\$	\$ 6,074,302
Penni F. Roll	\$ 344,925	\$ 26,609	\$ 252,786	\$	\$ 2,257,335
John D. Shulman	\$ 985,500	\$ 34,000	\$ 278,929	\$	\$ 2,355,683
Michael J. Grisius	\$ 492,750	\$ 26,609	\$ 260,040	\$	\$ 1,899,901

⁽¹⁾ Executive contributions are based on the IPAs earned during the 2006 plan year (net of FICA tax) and contributed to the 2005 DCP II. There are no other executive deferrals.

⁽¹⁾ See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for more information about the options.

⁽²⁾ Represents the difference between the market price at the date of exercise and the exercise price. These options were exercised and the underlying shares were held by the individuals.

⁽²⁾ Company contributions are based on the excess 401(k) employer contribution made to the 2005 DCP I in 2006 (for the 2005 plan year) and allocated to the participant s account.

⁽³⁾ Includes interest and dividend income and realized and unrealized gains and losses.

⁽⁴⁾ Executive and company contributions are included in the Summary Compensation table above. *The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan I*

Pursuant to changes in federal tax law imposed by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (JCA) regarding non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements, in 2005, we restated and replaced our existing deferred compensation plan (DCP I) with The 2005 Allied Capital Corporation Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (2005 DCP I). The 2005 DCP I is an unfunded plan, as defined by the Code, that provides for the deferral of compensation by our directors, employees, and consultants. Any director, senior officer, or consultant is eligible to participate in the 2005 DCP I at such time and for such period as designated by the Board of Directors. The 2005 DCP I is administered through a grantor trust, and we fund this plan through cash contributions. Directors may choose to defer director s fees through the 2005 DCP I, and may choose to have invested such deferred income in shares of our common stock through the trust. On August 9, 2007, the trust related to the 2005 DCP I held 3,557 shares of our common stock.

We continue to maintain DCP I and all deferrals made to the DCP I (through December 31, 2004) shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of that plan. In the event of termination of employment, the participant s deferral account in DCP I will be immediately distributed, either in lump sum or annual installments, as previously elected 138

by the participant. On August 9, 2007, the trust related to the DCP I held 1,681 shares of our common stock.

In the event of a change of control, all amounts in a participant s deferral account in DCP I will be immediately distributed to the participant. For purposes of DCP I, Change of Control prior to the JCA (Pre-JCA) was defined as (i) the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets; or (ii) the acquisition, whether directly, indirectly, beneficially (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 1934 Act)), or of record, as a result of a merger, consolidation or otherwise, of our securities representing fifteen percent (15%) or more of the aggregate voting power of our then outstanding common stock by any person (within the meaning of Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the 1934 Act), including, but not limited to, any corporation or group of persons acting in concert, other than (A) Allied Capital or its subsidiaries and/or (B) any employee pension benefit plan (within the meaning of Section 3(2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974) of our s or our subsidiaries, including a trust established pursuant to any such plan; or (iii) the individuals who were members of the Board of Directors as of the Effective Date (the Incumbent Board) cease to constitute at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Board; provided, however, that any director appointed by at least two-thirds (2/3) of the then Incumbent Board or nominated by at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors (a majority of the members of the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee shall be members of the then Incumbent Board or appointees thereof), other than any director appointed or nominated in connection with, or as a result of, a threatened or actual proxy or control contest, shall be deemed to constitute a member of the Incumbent Board.

For 2005 and 2006, all deferrals were made to the 2005 DCP I and shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of this plan in compliance with the JCA. In the event of termination of employment, the participant s deferral account in 2005 DCP I will be distributed either in a lump sum or annual installments, as previously elected by the participant, however, in no event will the first payment be made earlier than six months after the date of termination of the participant s employment.

In the event of a change of control, all amounts in a participant s deferral account in 2005 DCP I will be immediately distributed to the participant. For purposes of 2005 DCP I, Change of Control following the JCA (Post-JCA) is defined as (i) the sale or other disposition of at least forty percent (40%) of our assets; or (ii) the acquisition, whether directly, indirectly, beneficially (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 of the 1934 Act), or of record, as a result of a merger, consolidation or otherwise, of our securities representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the aggregate voting power of our then outstanding common stock by any person (within the meaning of Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the 1934 Act), including, but not limited to, any corporation or group of persons acting in concert, other than (A) Allied Capital or its subsidiaries and/or (B) any employee pension benefit plan (within the meaning of Section 3(2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974) of our s or our subsidiaries, including a trust established pursuant to any such plan; or (iii) the individuals who were members of the Board of Directors as of the Effective Date (the Incumbent Board) cease to constitute at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Board of Directors; provided, however, that any director appointed by at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Board (if a majority of the members of the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee of the Board (if a majority of the members of the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee are members of the then Incumbent Board or appointees thereof), other than any director appointed or nominated in

connection with, or as a result of, a threatened or actual proxy or control contest, shall be deemed to constitute a member of the Incumbent Board.

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors administers DCP I and 2005 DCP I. The Board of Directors reserves the right to amend, terminate, or discontinue DCP I and 2005 DCP I, provided that no such action will adversely affect a participant s rights under the plans with respect to the amounts paid to his or her deferral accounts.

The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan II

In conjunction with the IPA, we established a non-qualified deferred compensation plan (DCP II) in 2004, which is administered through a grantor trust with a third-party trustee. In 2005, pursuant to recent changes in law imposed by the JCA regarding non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements, we restated and replaced DCP II with The 2005 Allied Capital Corporation Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan II (2005 DCP II). The 2005 DCP II is an unfunded plan, as defined by the Code, that provides for the deferral of compensation by our officers. All IPA contributions made for 2005 and 2006 were made into the 2005 DCP II.

The IPAs are generally deposited in the trust in equal installments, on a quarterly basis, in the form of cash. The Compensation Committee designed both DCP II and 2005 DCP II to require the trustee to use the cash to purchase shares of our common stock in the market on the New York Stock Exchange. A participant only vests in the award as it is deposited into the trust. The Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, shall designate the senior officers who will receive IPAs and participate in 2005 DCP II. During any period of time in which a participant has an account in either DCP II or 2005 DCP II, any dividends declared and paid on shares of common stock allocated to the participant s accounts shall be reinvested by the trustee as soon as practicable in shares of our common stock purchased in the open market.

We continue to maintain DCP II and all contributions made to DCP II (through December 31, 2004) shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of that plan. In the event of termination of employment, one-third of the participant s deferral account in DCP II will be immediately distributed, one half of the then current remaining balance will be distributed within 30 days of the first anniversary of his or her employment termination date, and the remainder of the account balance will be distributed within 30 days of the second anniversary of the employment termination date. In the event of a change of control (following the Pre-JCA definition for Change in Control), all amounts in a participant s deferral account in DCP II will be immediately distributed to the participant.

Contributions made to the 2005 DCP II shall be distributed pursuant to the terms of this plan in compliance with the JCA. In the event of termination of employment, one-third of the participant s deferral account in 2005 DCP II will be distributed six months after his or her employment termination date, one half of the then current remaining balance will be distributed within 30 days of the first anniversary of his or her employment termination date, and the remainder of the account balance will be distributed within 30 days of the second anniversary of the employment termination date. In the event of a change of control, (following the Post-JCA definition for Change of Control), all amounts in a participant s deferral account in 2005 DCP II will be immediately distributed to the participant.

A participant who violates certain non-solicitation covenants contained in the DCP II and 2005 DCP II during the two years after the termination of his or her employment will forfeit back to us the remaining value of his or her deferral accounts.

The aggregate maximum number of shares of our common stock that the trustee is authorized to purchase in the open market for the purpose of investing the cash from IPAs in DCP II and 2005 DCP II is 3,500,000 shares, subject to appropriate adjustments in the event of a stock dividend, stock split, or similar change in capitalization affecting our common stock. On August 9, 2007, the trust related to the DCP II held 502,429 shares of our common stock and the trust related to the 2005 DCP II held 702,977 shares of our common stock.

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors administers DCP II and 2005 DCP II. The Board of Directors reserves the right to amend, terminate, or discontinue DCP II and 2005 DCP II, provided that no such action will adversely affect a participant s rights under the plans with respect to the amounts contributed to his or her deferral accounts.

Severance and Change in Control Arrangements

We entered into employment agreements in 2004 with William L. Walton, Chairman and CEO, Joan M. Sweeney, Chief Operating Officer, and Penni F. Roll, Chief Financial Officer. These agreements were amended in 2007 to comply with the JCA and to address other tax-related matters. Each of the agreements provides for a three-year term that extends one day at the end of every day during its length, unless either party provides written notice of termination of such extension. In that case, the agreement would terminate three years from such notification.

Pursuant to each of those agreements, if the executive resigns without good reason or his/her employment is terminated with cause, the executive will not receive any severance pay. If, however, employment is terminated by us without cause or by the executive for good reason, the executive will be entitled to severance pay for a period not to exceed 36 months. Severance pay will include three times the average base salary for the preceding three years, plus three times the average bonus compensation for the preceding three years, plus a lump sum severance amount, plus certain benefits for a period of one year. These benefits include COBRA premiums for Mr. Walton, Ms. Sweeney and Ms. Roll and their eligible family members for the maximum period of continuation coverage provided under COBRA, and also include the full cost for substantially equivalent health and dental insurance benefits for six months after such maximum continuation coverage expires at our sole expense. These benefits also include participation in our stock option plan, split-dollar life insurance plan, executive long term disability plan, and deferred compensation plan, if applicable. Additionally, all balances under the deferred compensation plans would be distributed in accordance with the terms of such deferred compensation plans. See Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for the aggregate deferred compensation balances outstanding at December 31, 2006, for each executive. Calculated based on December 31, 2006, data, the aggregate severance value, including the value of ongoing benefits, would have been \$14,537,660 for Mr. Walton, \$9,711,758 for Ms. Sweeney and \$5,149,142 for Ms. Roll. Severance payments will be paid in a lump sum no earlier than six months after separation.

If a termination event occurs within 24 months after a change of control, in addition to the severance value described above, Mr. Walton, Ms. Sweeney and Ms. Roll would

each be entitled to a tax equalization payment to offset any applicable excise tax penalties imposed on the executive under Section 4999 of the Code. Under the terms of the Amended Stock Option Plan, all outstanding options will vest immediately upon a change of control. As of December 31, 2006, the value of the executives unvested options was \$370,000 for Mr. Walton, \$277,500 for Ms. Sweeney and \$874,331 for Ms. Roll. Under this change of control scenario, calculated using December 31, 2006, data, the aggregate payments to the executives, including severance pay, tax equalization payments, the value of the unvested options, and the value of ongoing benefits, would have been \$21,468,883 for Mr. Walton, \$14,081,581 for Ms. Sweeney, and \$8,399,414 for Ms. Roll. Severance payments will be paid in a lump sum no earlier than six months after separation.

If employment is terminated as a result of death or disability (as defined in the executives employment agreements) and no notice of non-renewal has been given, the executive will be entitled to severance pay equal to one times his/her average base salary for the preceding three years, plus one times his/her average bonus compensation for the preceding three years, plus a lump sum severance amount, plus certain benefits previously described for a period of one year. The aggregate severance value for a termination due to death or disability, calculated based on December 31, 2006, data would be \$6,997,954 for Mr. Walton, \$5,158,051 for Ms. Sweeney, and \$2,744,021 for Ms. Roll.

If a notice of non-renewal has been given prior to death or disability of the executive, then instead of using a one times multiple of the average base salary and average bonus compensation as described above, the severance amount that relates to base salary and bonus compensation would be calculated using the number of years remaining between the date of the executive s death or disability and the third anniversary of the notice of non-renewal, but in no event less than one year. Any severance relating to disability will be paid in a lump sum no earlier than six months after separation. Any severance relating to death will be paid in two installments: 75% of such pay will be paid at the time of separation and 25% will be paid on the first anniversary of such separation.

If the term of employment expires in accordance with the agreement after the delivery of a non-renewal notice by either party, the executive would continue to be employed for three years after the notice of non-renewal (unless otherwise terminated under the agreement). At the end of the three-year term, the executive would receive severance pay equal to one times the average base salary for the preceding three years, plus one times the average bonus compensation for the preceding three years, plus a lump sum severance amount, plus the benefits previously described. Severance payments will be paid in a lump sum no earlier than six months after separation.

If any provision of the employment agreements would cause the executive to incur any additional tax under Section 409A of the Code or any regulations or Treasury guidance promulgated thereunder, we will reform the provision in a manner that maintains, to the extent possible, the original intent of the applicable provision without violating the provisions of Section 409A of the Code. In addition, in such a situation, we will notify and consult with the executives prior to the effective date of any such change.

Indemnification Agreements

We have entered into indemnification agreements with our directors and certain senior officers. The indemnification agreements are intended to provide these directors and senior officers the maximum indemnification permitted under Maryland law and the 1940 Act. Each indemnification agreement provides that Allied Capital shall indemnify the director or senior officer who is a party to the agreement (an Indemnitee), including the advancement of legal expenses, if, by reason of his or her corporate status, the Indemnitee is, or is threatened to be, made a party to or a witness in any threatened, pending, or completed proceeding, other than a proceeding by or in the right of Allied Capital.

143

CONTROL PERSONS AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS OF SECURITIES

As of August 9, 2007, there were no persons that owned 25% or more of our outstanding voting securities, and no person would be deemed to control us, as such term is defined in the 1940 Act.

The following table sets forth, as of August 9, 2007, each stockholder who owned more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock, each director, each NEO, and our directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, we believe that each beneficial owner set forth in the table has sole voting and investment power. Certain shares beneficially owned by our executive officers and directors may be held in accounts with third party brokerage firms, where such shares may from time to time be subject to a security interest for margin credit provided in accordance with such brokerage firm s policies.

Our directors are divided into two groups interested directors and independent directors. Interested directors are interested persons as defined in Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act.

Each director and executive officer has the same address as Allied Capital Corporation, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20006.

Number of Shares Owned Beneficially ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Class ⁽²⁾	Dollar Range of Equity Securities Beneficially Owned by Directors ⁽³⁾
$7,646,020_{(4)}$	5.0%	

		over \$100,000
		over \$100,000
$39,716_{(8,15)}$	*	over \$100,000
25,845(7,8)	*	over \$100,000
85,236(7,8,15)	*	over \$100,000
61,031 _(7,8)	*	over \$100,000
74,083(8)	*	over \$100,000
1,946(13,15)	*	\$50,001-\$100,000
28,371(8,12)	*	over \$100,000
25,137	*	over \$100,000
30,288(7,8,9,15)	*	over \$100,000
1,088	*	\$10,001-\$50,000
329,924(10,15)	*	over \$100,000
76,864(7,8,15)	*	over \$100,000
737,993(5,7.15)	*	
727,830(5,15)	*	
596,035(5)	*	
, (-,-		
$10,\!762,\!108 \scriptscriptstyle{(6,11)}$	6.7%	
	Shares Owned Beneficially(1) 7,646,020(4) 1,909,792(5,6,7,15) 848,808(5,15) 39,716(8,15) 25,845(7,8) 85,236(7,8,15) 61,031(7,8) 74,083(8) 1,946(13,15) 28,371(8,12) 25,137 30,288(7,8,9,15) 1,088 329,924(10,15) 76,864(7,8,15) 737,993(5,7,15) 727,830(5,15) 596,035(5)	Shares Owned Beneficially(1) 7,646,020(4) 5.0% 1,909,792(5,6,7,15) 848,808(5,15) 39,716(8,15) 25,845(7,8) 85,236(7,8,15) 61,031(7,8) 74,083(8) 1,946(13,15) 28,371(8,12) 25,137 30,288(7,8,9,15) 1,088 329,924(10,15) 76,864(7,8,15) 737,993(5,7,15) 727,830(5,15) 596,035(5) * * * * * * * * * * * * *

^{*} Less than 1%

Dollar Range of

(1) Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

144

- (2) Based on a total of 154,358,570 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding on August 9, 2007, and the number of shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options exercisable within 60 days held by each executive officer and non-officer director, which totals 5,686,590 in the aggregate.
- (3) Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- (4) Information regarding share ownership was obtained from the Schedule 13F that Capital Research and Management Company filed with the SEC on June 21, 2007.
- (5) Share ownership for the following executive officers includes:

	Owned Directly	Owned Through Deferred Compensation Plans (14)	Options Exercisable Within 60 Days of August 9, 2007	Allocated to 401(k) Plan
William L. Walton	922,475	273,955	462,000	8,367
Joan M. Sweeney	558,018	137,509	135,229	18,052
Penni F. Roll	163,822	49,321	502,511	12,176
Michael J. Grisius	177,122	60,129	479,834	20,908
John D. Shulman	106,459	79,288	410,288	

- (6) Includes 251,362 shares held by the 401(k) Plan, of which Mr. Walton and Mr. Blasey are sub-trustees of the fund holding our shares. The sub-trustees disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares.
- (7) Includes certain shares held in IRA or Keogh accounts: Walton 12,015 shares; Bates 4,250 shares; Browne 12,280 shares; Firestone 3,415 shares; Pollock 1,000 shares; van Roijen 9,883 shares; and Grisius 1,295 shares.
- (8) Beneficial ownership for these non-officer directors includes exercisable options to purchase 40,000 shares, except with respect to Mr. Firestone who has exercisable options to purchase 25,000 shares; Ms. Bates and Mr. Long who have exercisable options to purchase 20,000 shares; and Mr. Garcia, Mr. Hebert, and Mr. Pollock who have exercisable options to purchase 10,000 shares.
- (9) Includes 5,238 shares held in a deferred compensation plan for Mr. Pollock.
- (10) Includes 276,691 shares held by a corporation for which Mr. Steuart serves as an executive officer.
- (11) Includes a total of 5,686,590 shares underlying stock options exercisable within 60 days of August 9, 2007, which are assumed to be outstanding for the purpose of calculating the group s percentage ownership, and 251,362 shares held by the 401(k) Plan.
- (12) Includes 9,000 shares held in a revocable trust.
- (13) Includes 1,500 shares held in a revocable trust.
- $^{(14)}$ See Individual Performance Award and The 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan II for a discussion of shares owned through the deferred compensation plans.

(15) Includes certain shares held in margin accounts or otherwise could be pledged.

145

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following table sets forth certain information, as of August 9, 2007, regarding indebtedness to Allied Capital in excess of \$120,000 of any person serving as a director or executive officer of Allied Capital at any time since January 1, 2006. All of such indebtedness results from loans we made to enable the exercise of stock options. The loans are required to be fully collateralized and are full recourse against the borrower and have varying terms not exceeding ten years. The interest rates charged generally reflect the applicable federal rate on the date of the loan. As of December 31, 2006, the total loans outstanding to such executive officers of Allied Capital was \$2.9 million or 0.1% of Allied Capital s total assets at December 31, 2006.

As a business development company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, we are entitled to provide and have provided loans to our officers in connection with the exercise of stock options. However, as a result of provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we have been prohibited from making new loans to our executive officers since July 30, 2002.

	Amount	Amount		Ran		
	of Principal	of Interest	Highest Amount	Interest Rates		Amount
Name and Position	Paid During	Paid During	Outstanding			Outstanding at
with Company	2006	2006	During 2006	High	Low	August 9, 2007
Executive Officers:						
Kelly A. Anderson,						
Executive Vice President						
and Treasurer	\$	\$24,116	\$496,225	5.96%	3.91%	\$496,225
Michael J. Grisius,						
Managing Director	\$ 24,000	\$12,594	\$230,727	4.68%	3.91%	\$194,727
Penni F. Roll, Chief						
Financial Officer	\$344,246	\$23,179	\$875,770	5.89%	4.45%	\$531,524
Suzanne V. Sparrow,						
Executive Vice President						
and Corporate Secretary	\$147,170	\$17,342	\$556,498	6.18%	4.45%	\$281,213
Joan M. Sweeney, Chief						
Operating Officer and						
Director ⁽¹⁾	\$399,962	\$ 9,346	\$399,962	4.45%	4.45%	\$

⁽¹⁾ Ms. Sweeney is an interested director. Interested directors are interested persons as defined by the 1940 Act. 146

TAX STATUS

The following discussion is a general summary of the material United States federal income tax considerations applicable to us and to an investment in the debt securities. The discussion is based upon the Code, Treasury Regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations, each as of the date of this prospectus and all of which are subject to change. You should consult your own tax advisor with respect to tax considerations that pertain to your purchase of the debt securities.

Taxation as a Regulated Investment Company

We intend to be treated for tax purposes as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of Chapter 1 of the Code. If we (i) qualify as a regulated investment company and (ii) distribute to stockholders in a timely manner at least 90% of our investment company taxable income, as defined in the Code (i.e., net ordinary investment income, including accrued original issue discount, and net realized short-term capital gain in excess of net realized long-term capital loss) (the 90% Distribution Requirement) each year, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on the portion of our investment company taxable income and net capital gain (i.e., net realized long-term capital gain in excess of net realized short-term capital loss) we distribute (or treat as deemed distributed) to stockholders. (We will, however, be subject to such tax to the extent that, prior to February 2, 2013, BLX sells property held by BLX, Inc. on the date of its corporate reorganization, but only to the extent (i) such property had a built-in gain (that is, value in excess of tax basis) on such date and (ii) such built-in gain is recognized on such sale.) In addition, we are generally required to distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (i) 98% of our ordinary income for each calendar year, (ii) 98% of our capital gain net income for the one-year period ending December 31 of that calendar year, and (iii) any income realized, but not taxed or distributed in prior years, in order to avoid the 4% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income of regulated investment companies (the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements). If we do not satisfy the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements for any year, we will be required to pay this 4% excise tax on the amount by which 98% of the current year s taxable income exceeds the distribution for the year. The ordinary income or net capital gain income on which the excise tax is paid is generally distributed to shareholders in the next tax year. Depending on the level of ordinary income or net capital gain income for a tax year, we may choose to carry over the portion of such income in excess of our current year distributions into the next tax year and pay the 4% excise tax, as required. We will be subject to federal income tax at the regular corporate rate on any amounts of investment company taxable income or net capital gain not distributed (or deemed distributed) to our stockholders.

In order to qualify as a regulated investment company for federal income tax purposes, we must, among other things: (a) continue to qualify as a business development company under the 1940 Act; (b) derive in each taxable year at least 90% of our gross income from (i) dividends, interest, payments with respect to securities loans, gains from the sale of stock or other securities, or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in such stock or securities or (ii) net income derived from an interest in a qualified publicly traded partnership (the 90% Income Test); and (c) diversify our holdings so that at the end of each quarter of the taxable year (i) at least 50% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, U.S. government securities, securities of other regulated investment companies, and other securities if such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5% of our assets or more than 10% of the

outstanding voting securities of the issuer, and (ii) no more than 25% of the value of our assets is invested in the securities of any one issuer (other than U.S. government securities or securities of other regulated investment companies), the securities of two or more issuers that are controlled (as determined under applicable Code rules) by us and are engaged in the same or similar or related trades or businesses, or the securities of one or more qualified publicly traded partnerships (the Diversification Tests).

If we acquire or are deemed to have acquired debt obligations that were issued originally at a discount or that otherwise are treated under applicable tax rules as having original issue discount or market discount, we must include in income each year a portion of the original issue discount that accrues over the life of the obligation regardless of whether cash representing such income is received by us in the same taxable year. Any amount accrued as original issue discount will be included in our investment company taxable income for the year of accrual and cash or other assets equal to the amount of such original issue discount accrual may have to be distributed to our stockholders in order to satisfy the 90% Distribution Requirement or the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements even though we have not received any cash representing such income.

To the extent we engage in certain hedging transactions, including hedging transactions in options, future contracts, and straddles, or other similar transactions, we may be subject to special tax rules (including constructive sale, mark-to-market, straddle, wash sale, and short sale rules), the effect of which may be to accelerate our income, disallow, suspend or otherwise limit our losses or deductions, cause adjustments in the holding periods of our securities, convert long-term capital gains into short-term capital gains or ordinary income, or convert short-term capital losses into long-term capital losses, or other tax consequences.

In addition, although we do not currently intend to do so, if we were to invest in certain options, futures, or forward contracts, we may be required to report income from such investments on a mark-to-market basis, which could result in us recognizing unrealized gains and losses for federal income tax purposes even though we may not realize such gains and losses when we ultimately dispose of such investments. We could also be required to treat such gains and losses as 60% long-term capital gain or loss and 40% short-term capital gain or loss regardless of our holding period for the investments.

These rules could affect our investment company taxable income or net capital gain for a taxable year and thus affect the amounts that we would be required to distribute to our stockholders pursuant to the 90% Distribution Requirement and the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements for such year.

Although we do not presently expect to do so, we are authorized to borrow funds and to sell assets in order to satisfy distribution requirements. However, under the 1940 Act, we are not permitted to make distributions to stockholders while our debt obligations and other senior securities are outstanding unless certain asset coverage tests are met. Moreover, our ability to dispose of assets to meet our distribution requirements may be limited by the illiquid nature of our portfolio and other requirements relating to our status as a regulated investment company, including the Diversification Tests. If we dispose of assets in order to meet the 90% Distribution Requirement or the Excise Tax Avoidance Requirements, we may make such dispositions at times that, from an investment standpoint, are not advantageous.

If we fail to satisfy the 90% Distribution Requirement or fail to qualify as a regulated investment company in any taxable year, we will be subject to tax in that year on all of

our taxable income, regardless of whether we make any distributions to our stockholders. In that case, all of our income will be subject to corporate-level tax, reducing the amount available for debt service and distribution to our stockholders, and our distributions to our stockholders generally will be characterized as ordinary income (to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits), although such distributions may constitute—qualified dividend income—to individual shareholders subject to the same reduced maximum rate of tax applicable to long-term capital gains. In contrast, if we qualify as a regulated investment company, our corporate-level federal income tax should be substantially reduced or eliminated, and a portion of our distributions or deemed distributions may be characterized as long-term capital gain in the hands of our stockholders.

Taxation of Debt Holders

We intend to describe in a prospectus supplement the United States federal income tax considerations applicable to the debt securities that will be sold by us pursuant to that supplement, including the taxation of any debt securities that will be sold at an original issue discount or acquired with market discount or amortizable bond premium and the tax treatment of sales, exchanges or retirements of our debt securities. In addition, we may describe in the applicable prospectus supplement the United States federal income tax considerations applicable to holders of our debt securities that are not U.S. persons.

CERTAIN GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

We operate in a highly regulated environment. The following discussion generally summarizes certain government regulations.

Business Development Company. A business development company is defined and regulated by the 1940 Act. A business development company must be organized in the United States for the purpose of investing in or lending to primarily private companies and making managerial assistance available to them. A business development company may use capital provided by public shareholders and from other sources to invest in long-term, private investments in businesses. A business development company provides shareholders the ability to retain the liquidity of a publicly traded stock, while sharing in the possible benefits, if any, of investing in primarily privately owned companies.

As a business development company, we may not acquire any asset other than qualifying assets unless, at the time we make the acquisition, the value of our qualifying assets represent at least 70% of the value of our total assets. The principal categories of qualifying assets relevant to our business are:

Securities purchased in transactions not involving any public offering, the issuer of which is an eligible portfolio company;

Securities received in exchange for or distributed with respect to securities described in the bullet above or pursuant to the exercise of options, warrants or rights relating to such securities; and

Cash, cash items, government securities or high quality debt securities (within the meaning of the 1940 Act), maturing in one year or less from the time of investment.

An eligible portfolio company is generally a domestic company that is not an investment company (other than a small business investment company wholly owned by a business development company) and that:

does not have a class of securities with respect to which a broker may extend margin credit at the time the acquisition is made;

is controlled by the business development company and has an affiliate of a business development company on its board of directors;

does not have any class of securities listed on a national securities exchange; or

meets such other criteria as may be established by the SEC.

Control, as defined by the 1940 Act, is presumed to exist where a business development company beneficially owns more than 25% of the outstanding voting securities of the portfolio company.

In October 2006, the SEC re-proposed rules providing for an additional definition of eligible portfolio company. As re-proposed, the rule would expand the definition of eligible portfolio company to include certain public companies that list their securities on a national securities exchange. The SEC is seeking comment regarding the application of this proposed rule to companies with: (1) a public float of less than \$75 million; (2) a market capitalization of less than \$150 million; or (3) a market capitalization of less than \$250 million. There is no assurance that such proposal will be adopted or what the final proposal will entail.

To include certain securities described above as qualifying assets for the purpose of the 70% test, a business development company must make available to the issuer of those securities significant managerial assistance such as providing significant guidance and counsel concerning the management, operations, or business objectives and policies of a portfolio company. We offer to provide significant managerial assistance to our portfolio companies.

As a business development company, we are entitled to issue senior securities in the form of stock or senior securities representing indebtedness, including debt securities and preferred stock, as long as each class of senior security has an asset coverage of at least 200% immediately after each such issuance. In addition, while any senior securities remain outstanding, we must make provisions to prohibit any distribution to our shareholders unless we meet the applicable asset coverage ratio at the time of the distribution.

We are not generally able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock, or sell warrants, options or rights to acquire such common stock, at a price below the current net asset value of the common stock if our Board of Directors determines that such sale is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders, and our stockholders approve our policy and practice of making such sales. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price which, in the determination of our Board of Directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities (less any distributing commission or discount).

We are also limited in the amount of stock options that may be issued and outstanding at any point in time. The 1940 Act provides that the amount of a business development company s voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options and rights at the time of issuance may not exceed 25% of the business development company s outstanding voting securities, except that if the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options, and rights issued to the business development company s directors, officers, and employees pursuant to any executive compensation plan would exceed 15% of the business development company s outstanding voting securities, then the amount of voting securities that would result from the exercise of all outstanding warrants, options, and rights at the time of issuance shall not exceed 20% of the outstanding voting securities of the business development company.

We may also be prohibited under the 1940 Act from knowingly participating in certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of the members of our Board of Directors who are not interested persons and, in some cases, prior approval by the SEC. We have been granted an exemptive order by the SEC permitting us to engage in certain transactions that would be permitted if we and our subsidiaries were one company and permitting certain transactions among our subsidiaries, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

We have designated a chief compliance officer and established a compliance program pursuant to the requirements of the 1940 Act. We are periodically examined by the SEC for compliance with the 1940 Act.

As with other companies regulated by the 1940 Act, a business development company must adhere to certain substantive regulatory requirements. A majority of our directors must be persons who are not interested persons, as that term is defined in the 1940 Act. Additionally, we are required to provide and maintain a bond issued by a reputable fidelity

insurance company to protect us against larceny and embezzlement. Furthermore, as a business development company, we are prohibited from protecting any director or officer against any liability to us or our shareholders arising from willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person s office.

We maintain a code of ethics that establishes procedures for personal investment and restricts certain transactions by our personnel. Our code of ethics generally does not permit investment by our employees in securities that have been or are contemplated to be purchased or held by us. Our code of ethics is posted on our website at www.alliedcapital.com and is also filed as an exhibit to our registration statement which is on file with the SEC. You may read and copy the code of ethics at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You may obtain information on operations of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the code of ethics is available on the EDGAR database on the SEC Internet site at http://www.sec.gov. You may obtain copies of the code of ethics, after paying a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following email address: publicinfo@sec.gov, or by writing to the SEC s Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549.

As a business development company under the 1940 Act, we are entitled to provide and have provided loans to our officers in connection with the exercise of options. However, as a result of provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we have been prohibited from making new loans to our executive officers since July 2002.

We may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a business development company unless authorized by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities, as defined in the 1940 Act. A majority of the outstanding voting securities of a company is defined under the 1940 Act as the lesser of: (i) 67% or more of such company s shares present at a meeting if more than 50% of the outstanding shares of such company are present and represented by proxy or (ii) more than 50% of the outstanding shares of such company.

Regulated Investment Company Status. We have elected to be taxed as a regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, otherwise referred to as the Code. As long as we qualify as a regulated investment company, we generally are not taxed on our investment company taxable income or realized net capital gains, to the extent that such taxable income or gains are distributed, or deemed to be distributed, to shareholders on a timely basis.

Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses, and generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as gains or losses generally are not included in taxable income until they are realized. In addition, gains realized for financial reporting purposes may differ from gains included in taxable income as a result of our election to recognize gains using installment sale treatment, which generally results in the deferment of gains for tax purposes until notes or other amounts, including amounts held in escrow, received as consideration from the sale of investments are collected in cash.

Dividends declared and paid by us in a year generally differ from taxable income for that year as such dividends may include the distribution of current year taxable income, the distribution of prior year taxable income carried over into and distributed in the current year, or returns of capital. We are generally required to distribute 98% of our taxable

income during the year the income is earned to avoid paying an excise tax. If this requirement is not met, the Code imposes a nondeductible excise tax equal to 4% of the amount by which 98% of the current year s taxable income exceeds the distribution for the year. The taxable income on which an excise tax is paid is generally carried over and distributed to shareholders in the next tax year. Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry over taxable income in excess of current year distributions from such taxable income into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income, as required.

In order to maintain our status as a regulated investment company and obtain regulated investment company tax benefits, we must, in general, (1) continue to qualify as a business development company; (2) derive at least 90% of our gross income from dividends, interest, gains from the sale of securities and other specified types of income; (3) meet asset diversification requirements as defined in the Code; and (4) timely distribute to shareholders at least 90% of our annual investment company taxable income as defined in the Code. We intend to take all steps necessary to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company. However, there can be no assurance that we will continue to qualify for such treatment in future years.

Compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Sarbanes-Oxley Act) imposes a wide variety of regulatory requirements on publicly held companies and their insiders. Many of these requirements apply to us, including:

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer certify the financial statements contained in our periodic reports through the filing of Section 302 certifications;

Our periodic reports disclose our conclusions about the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures;

Our annual report on Form 10-K contains a report from our management on internal control over financial reporting, including a statement that our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as well as our management s assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which must be audited by our independent registered public accounting firm;

Our periodic reports disclose whether there were significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect our internal control over financial reporting subsequent to the date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses; and

We may not make any loan to any director or executive officer and we may not materially modify any existing loans.

We have adopted procedures to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. We will continue to monitor our compliance with all future regulations that are adopted under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and will take actions necessary to ensure that we are in compliance therewith.

In addition, the New York Stock Exchange requires compliance with certain corporate governance rules as part of its listing standards. We have adopted certain policies and procedures to comply with the New York Stock Exchange s corporate governance

rules, and in 2007 we submitted the required CEO certification to the New York Stock Exchange pursuant to Section 303A.12(a) of the listed company manual.

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

We vote proxies relating to our portfolio securities in the best interest of our shareholders. We review on a case-by-case basis each proposal submitted to a shareholder vote to determine its impact on the portfolio securities held by us. Although we generally vote against proposals that may have a negative impact on our portfolio securities, we may vote for such a proposal if there exists compelling long-term reasons to do so.

Our proxy voting decisions are made by our portfolio management committee. To ensure that our vote is not the product of a conflict of interest, we require that: (i) anyone involved in the decision making process disclose to our Chief Compliance Officer any potential conflict that he or she is aware of and any contact that he or she has had with any interested party regarding a proxy vote; and (ii) employees involved in the decision making process or vote administration are prohibited from revealing how we intend to vote on a proposal in order to reduce any attempted influence from interested parties.

Stockholders may obtain information regarding how we voted proxies with respect to our portfolio securities without charge by making a written request for proxy voting information to: Corporate Secretary, Allied Capital Corporation, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 or by telephone at (202) 721-6100.

STOCK TRADING PLANS AND OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

Our Board of Directors has established a target ownership program, which requires senior officers to retain certain ownership levels commensurate with their positions within the company. Our Board of Directors has also established a policy to permit our officers and directors to enter into trading plans to sell shares of our common stock in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Act of 1934. The policy allows our participating officers and directors to adopt a pre-arranged stock trading plan to buy or sell pre-determined amounts of our shares of common stock over a period of time. Our Board of Directors established the policy in recognition of the liquidity and diversification objectives of our officers and directors, including the desire of certain of our officers and directors to sell certain shares of our common stock, subject to the target ownership program.

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

We currently maintain a dividend reinvestment plan that provides for reinvestment of our distributions on behalf of our shareholders by our transfer agent. The dividend reinvestment plan is an opt in plan, which means that if our Board of Directors declares a cash dividend then our shareholders that have not opted in to our dividend reinvestment plan will receive cash dividends, rather than reinvesting dividends in additional shares of common stock.

To enroll in the dividend reinvestment plan, each shareholder must complete an enrollment status form and return it to the plan agent. The plan agent shall then automatically reinvest any dividend in additional shares of common stock. Shareholders may change their status in the dividend reinvestment plan at any time by contacting our transfer agent and plan administrator in writing.

A shareholder s ability to participate in a dividend reinvestment plan may be limited according to how the shares of common stock are held. A nominee may preclude beneficial owners holding shares in street name from participating in the dividend reinvestment plan. Shareholders who wish to participate in a dividend reinvestment plan

may need to hold their shares of common stock in their own name. Shareholders who hold shares in the name of a nominee should contact the nominee for details.

All distributions to investors who do not participate (or whose nominee elects not to participate) in the dividend reinvestment plan will be paid directly, or through the nominee, to the record holder by or under the discretion of the plan agent. The plan agent is American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, 59 Maiden Lane, New York, New York 10038. Their telephone number is (800) 937-5449.

Under the dividend reinvestment plan, we may issue new shares unless the market price of the outstanding shares of common stock is less than 110% of the last reported net asset value. Alternatively, the plan agent may buy shares of common stock in the market. We value newly issued shares of common stock for the dividend reinvestment plan at the average of the reported last sale prices of the outstanding shares of common stock on the last five trading days prior to the payment date of the distribution, but not less than 95% of the opening bid price on such date. The price in the case of shares bought in the market will be the average actual cost of such shares of common stock, including any brokerage commissions. There are no other fees charged to shareholders in connection with the dividend reinvestment plan. Any distributions reinvested under the plan will nevertheless remain taxable to the shareholders.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

The following summary description is based on relevant portions of the Maryland General Corporation Law and our charter and bylaws. This summary is not necessarily complete, and we refer you to the Maryland General Corporation Law and our charter and bylaws for a detailed description of the provisions summarized below.

Capital Stock

Our authorized capital stock consists of 400,000,000 shares, \$0.0001 par value per share, all of which has been initially designated as common stock. Our Board of Directors may classify and reclassify any unissued shares of our capital stock by setting or changing in one or more respects the preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications, terms or conditions or redemption or other rights of such shares of capital stock.

Common Stock

At August 9, 2007, there were 154,358,570 shares of common stock outstanding and 29,266,354 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our amended stock option plan. The following are the outstanding classes of securities of Allied Capital as of August 9, 2007:

				(4)
			(3)	Amount
			Amount	Outstanding
			Held	Outstanding
		(2)	by Us	Exclusive of
	(1)	Amount	or for Our	Amounts Shown
	Title of Class	Authorized	Account	Under(3)
Allied Capital Corporation	Common Stock	400,000,000		154,358,570

All shares of common stock have equal rights as to earnings, assets, dividends and voting and all outstanding shares of common stock are fully paid and non-assessable. Distributions may be paid to the holders of common stock if and when declared by our Board of Directors out of funds legally available therefor. Our common stock has no preemptive, exchange, conversion, or redemption rights and is freely transferable, except where their transfer is restricted by federal and state securities law or by contract. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of Allied Capital, each share of common stock is entitled to share ratably in all of our assets that are legally available for distributions after payment of all debts and liabilities and subject to any prior rights of holders of preferred stock, if any, then outstanding. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, including the election of directors. Except as provided with respect to any other class or series of capital stock, the holders of our common stock will possess exclusive voting power. There is no cumulative voting in the election of directors, which means that holders of a majority of the shares, if they so choose, could elect all of the directors, and holders of less than a majority of the shares would, in that case, be unable to elect any director. All shares of common stock offered hereby will be, when issued and paid for, fully paid and non-assessable.

Preferred Stock

Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to classify and reclassify any unissued shares of stock into other classes or series of stock, including preferred stock. Prior to issuance of shares of each class or series, the Board of Directors is required by Maryland law and by our charter to set the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each class or series. Thus, the Board of Directors could authorize the issuance of shares of preferred stock with terms and conditions which could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for holders of our common stock or otherwise be in their best interest.

In addition, any issuance of preferred stock must comply with the requirements of the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act requires, among other things, that (1) immediately after issuance and before any dividend or other distribution is made with respect to our common stock, we maintain a coverage ratio of total assets to total senior securities, which include all of our borrowings and our preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200%, and (2) the holders of shares of preferred stock, if any are issued, must be entitled as a class to elect two directors at all times and to elect a majority of the directors if dividends on such preferred stock are in arrears by two years or more. The features of preferred stock will be further limited by the requirements applicable to regulated investment companies under the Code.

Limitation on Liability of Directors and Officers; Indemnification and Advance of Expenses

We have adopted provisions in our charter limiting the liability of our directors and officers for monetary damages. The effect of these provisions in the charter is to eliminate the rights of Allied Capital and its stockholders (through stockholders derivative suits on our behalf) to recover monetary damages against a director or officer for breach of the fiduciary duty of care as a director or officer (including breaches resulting from negligent behavior) except for liability resulting from (i) actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services or (ii) active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action. These provisions do not limit or eliminate the rights of Allied Capital or any stockholder to seek non-monetary relief such as an injunction or rescission in the event of a breach of a director s or officer s duty of care. These provisions will not alter the liability of directors or officers under federal securities laws.

Our charter and bylaws authorize us, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law and subject to the requirements of the 1940 Act, to indemnify any present or former director or officer or any individual who, while a director and at our request, serves or has served another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise as a director, officer, partner or trustee, from and against any claim or liability to which that person may become subject or which that person may incur by reason of his or her status as a present or former director or officer and to pay or reimburse their reasonable expenses in advance of final disposition of a proceeding. The charter and bylaws also permit us to indemnify and advance expenses to any person who served a predecessor of us in any of the capacities described above and any of our employees or agents or any employees or agents of our predecessor. In accordance with the 1940 Act, we will not indemnify any person for any liability to which

such person would be subject by reason of such person s willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his or her office.

Maryland law requires a corporation (unless its charter provides otherwise, which our charter does not) to indemnify a director or officer who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made a party by reason of his or her service in that capacity. Maryland law permits a corporation to indemnify its present and former directors and officers, among others, against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements and reasonable expenses actually incurred by them in connection with any proceeding to which they may be made a party by reason of their service in those or other capacities unless it is established that (a) the act or omission of the director or officer was material to the matter giving rise to the proceeding and (1) was committed in bad faith or (2) was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (b) the director or officer actually received an improper personal benefit in money, property or services or (c) in the case of any criminal proceeding, the director or officer had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. However, under Maryland law, a Maryland corporation may not indemnify for an adverse judgment in a suit by or in the right of the corporation or for a judgment of liability on the basis that a personal benefit was improperly received, unless in either case a court orders indemnification, and then only for expenses. In addition, Maryland law permits a corporation to advance reasonable expenses to a director or officer upon the corporation s receipt of (a) a written affirmation by the director or officer of his or her good faith belief that he or she has met the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification by the corporation and (b) a written undertaking by him or her or on his or her behalf to repay the amount paid or reimbursed by the corporation if it is ultimately determined that the standard of conduct was not met.

We have entered into indemnification a