

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC

Form 10-K

April 03, 2007

Table of Contents

United States

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

Commission file number 1-11398

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

11-2520310
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

60 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717

(Address of principal executive offices)

(631) 586-5200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class
Common Stock, \$.001 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered
American Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of June 30, 2006 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock (based on its reported last sale price on the American Stock Exchange of \$7.20) held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$30,841,956.

As of March 20, 2007, the registrant had 5,550,273 common shares, \$.001 par value, outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) from the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the Registrant's fiscal year covered by this report.

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u> | | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>10</u> |

| | | |
|-----------------|--|------------|
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securityholders</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u> | <u>17</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>17</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>17</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>20</u> |
| | <u>Index to Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-1</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

CPI Aerostructures, Inc. (“CPI Aero” or the “Company”) is engaged in the contract production of structural aircraft parts principally for the United States Air Force and other branches of the U.S. armed forces and, to a lesser extent, for prime military aircraft contractors. We also provide aircraft parts to the commercial sector of the aircraft industry. Our strategy for growth includes de-emphasizing our commercial operations and concentrating on government and military sales as a prime contractor and as a subcontractor for other defense prime contractors. Approximately 93% of our revenues for 2006, 96% of our revenues for 2005 and 99% of our revenues for 2004 were derived from government contract sales.

In our capacity as a prime contractor supplying structural aircraft parts to the U.S. Government, we deliver skin panels, leading edges, flight control surfaces, engine components, wing tips, cowl doors, nacelle assemblies and inlet assemblies for military aircraft such as the C-5A “Galaxy” cargo jet, the T-38 “Talon” jet trainer, the C-130 “Hercules” cargo jet, the A-10 “Thunderbolt” or “Warthog” attack jet, the E-3 “Sentry” AWACS jet, the US-60 Blackhawk helicopter and the MH-60-S mine counter measure helicopter. We also operate as a subcontractor to leading aerospace prime contractors such as Northrop Grumman Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation and Vought Aircraft Industries, Inc. This is a field of opportunity that has opened up to us over the past few years. As a subcontractor, we deliver pod assemblies and bonded assemblies as well as perform small bench assembly work and

various kitting work. We also supply commercial aircraft products including aprons and engine mounts, which attach jet engine housings to aircraft such as the Lear 60 and Astra Galaxy business jets. Our products are sub-assemblies, a series of parts fixed together to form a larger unit that will comprise a part of a complex aerodynamic structure. In conjunction with our assembly operations, we provide engineering, technical and program management services to our customers.

CPI Aero has 26 years of experience as a contractor, completing over 2,300 contracts to date. Most members of our management team have held management positions at large defense contractors, including Northrop Grumman Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation and The Fairchild Corporation. Our technical team possesses extensive technical expertise and program management and integration capabilities. Our competitive advantage lies in our ability to offer large contractor capabilities with the flexibility and responsiveness of a small company, while staying competitive in cost and delivering superior quality products. While the larger prime contractors compete for significant modification awards and subcontract components to other suppliers, they generally do not compete for awards for smaller modifications or spare and repair parts, even for planes for which they are the original manufacturer. We qualify as a small business because we have less than 1,000 employees, and this allows us to compete on military awards set aside for companies with this small business status.

While historically the majority of our contracts have been valued below \$200,000, we have competed for, and have been awarded, significantly larger contracts, including an estimated \$61 million award for the T-38 “Talon” jet trainer and an estimated \$215 million award for the C-5 cargo plane. We intend to continue to bid on these larger contracts. We believe that our success with the T-38 and C-5 programs will allow us to compete more effectively for larger awards in the future.

CPI Aero was incorporated under the laws of the State of New York in January 1980 under the name Composite Products International, Inc. CPI Aero changed its name to Consortium of Precision Industries, Inc. in April 1989 and to CPI Aerostructures, Inc. in July 1992. In January 2005, we began doing business under the name CPI Aero.

Our principal office is located at 60 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717 and our telephone number is (631) 586-5200.

1

Table of Contents

Significant Contracts

The ongoing maintenance of existing aircraft by the U.S. Air Force is the primary driver of our growth in both the number of contracts and the size of awards through mid 2005. Our contracts with the Air Force accounted for substantially all of our revenue for 2004, 2005 and 2006. In most cases, we supply structural aircraft parts for aircraft that are no longer being manufactured but continue to be used and need to be maintained. CPI Aero has been awarded contracts within these maintenance programs on the C-5A and T-38.

The C-5A “Galaxy” cargo jet is one of the largest aircraft in the world and can carry a maximum cargo load of 270,000 pounds. Lockheed delivered the first C-5A in 1970. The C-5A Galaxy carries fully equipped combat-ready military units to any point in the world on short notice and then provides field support to sustain the fighting force. The Air Force has created a comprehensive program to ensure the capabilities of its C-5A fleet until 2040. We are one of the leading suppliers of structural spare parts and assemblies for the C-5A aircraft. We assemble numerous C-5A parts, including panels, slats, spoilers and wing-tips and are the only supplier of C-5A wing-tips to the U.S. government.

Like the C-5A itself, the wing-tip is a large structure and is expensive — costing up to \$750,000 for each replacement piece. Our first C-5A contract was approximately \$590,000 of structural spares and was awarded in 1995. In 2004, the Air Force awarded us a seven-year TOP contract to build an assortment of parts for the C-5A, including wing tips and panels. The TOP contract is the largest contract in our history, with a potential value of up to \$215 million. Since 1995, we have received releases under contracts for C-5A parts aggregating approximately \$83 million, including \$14.7 million from the TOP contract. Future releases under the TOP contract are unpredictable. C-5A contracts accounted for approximately 32% of our revenues for 2006.

The T-38 “Talon” is a twin-engine, high-altitude, supersonic jet primarily used for pilot training that first flew in 1959. More than 1,100 were delivered to the Air Force between 1961 and 1972, when production ended. There are approximately 500 T-38’s in active service with the Air Force, which has a program designed to extend the structural life of the T-38 until 2020. In 2001, we were awarded a ten-year contract to build the structural inlets for the T-38 Propulsion Modification Program. At the time, the T-38 contract was the largest in our history, worth an estimated \$61 million over the life of the program. The length and size of this program allow us to develop a long-term backlog and establish ourselves as a successful prime contractor for larger and longer term programs. The T-38 contract accounted for 27% of our revenues for 2006.

The balance of our revenue is generated from various smaller contract awards on assorted aircraft, including the MH-60S helicopter and the E-3 AWACS jet.

Sales and Marketing

We obtain all of our military contracts for our products and services through the process of competitive bidding. While historically the majority of our contracts have been valued below \$200,000, we successfully have competed for and have been awarded significantly larger contracts. Our average sales cycle, which generally commences at the time a prospective customer issues a request for proposal and ends upon delivery of the final product to the customer, typically ranges from six to 24 months. Our military customers have included Defense Supply Center Richmond, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (AFB), Warner Robins AFB, Tinker AFB, NAVICP, Hill AFB, U.S. Army and Redstone. Our commercial customers have included Boeing, B.F. Goodrich (Rohr), Northrop Grumman, Lockheed Martin, Nordam, Shinmaywa and Derco.

We use third party service providers to help locate small government contracts that are regularly posted by the various defense logistic agencies. The service providers screen contracts according to criteria we establish and forward matching contracts to us. We then view the relevant contracts directly on government websites and select contracts on which we will bid based on how well they fit our core competency. As of March 20, 2007, we had over \$300 million in bids outstanding, and we continue to make bids on contracts on a weekly basis. We generally bid on 40 to 50 contracts per week. Over the past three years, we have been awarded approximately 13% of the contracts on which we have bid.

2

Table of Contents

We qualify for small business status because we have fewer than 1,000 employees. The military’s fiscal year 2006 program goals were 23% for small business prime contracting and 43% for subcontracting. During 2006, approximately 65% of the value of our current contracts were awarded to us under this program.

The U.S. Air Force operates three Air Logistics Centers (ALC) through which it purchases all structural replacement and modification parts. Each ALC is located on a domestic Air Force base and is responsible for the repair and modification of different aircraft. Parts worn out in the normal course of operation and discarded instead of repaired are ordered through the centralized Defense Supply Center Richmond (DSCR). We use on-site consultants at each ALC and the DSCR to help in the procurement process. These consultants are important as relationship managers and typically have previous experience on the procurement side. The consultants provide us with timely feedback and keep us apprised of large contracts that might be up for bidding. Additionally, we currently have agreements with six sales representatives to market our products to a broader base of customers.

The Market

The majority of our parts are sold for use by the U.S. Air Force. Accordingly, the national defense budget and procurement funding decisions drive demand for our business. The U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) budget has been increasing over the past few years. Government spending requirements for procurement, operations and maintenance for 2007 and beyond will continue to be affected by the global war on terrorism through the continued need for military missions and reconstruction efforts in Iraq and Afghanistan and the related fiscal consequences of war. We expect that DoD spending will grow with the overall level of defense spending and we expect to benefit to the extent that such spending is allocated to aircraft modification programs and spending on spare and repair parts.

In 2006 the DoD completed the Congressionally mandated 2006 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR). The QDR affirmed the DoD's commitment to its extensive investments in cargo transportability and strategic lift and for maintaining and enhancing this capability by recapitalizing and modernizing its mobility platforms, including the acquisition and modernization of C-5 aircraft, one of our major programs.

Notwithstanding defense budget increases and the DoD's commitment to maintaining support for aging aircraft, during the two year period through August 2006 there was a significant slowdown in government contract awards as well as releases under previously awarded contracts. Faced with the uncertainties of appropriations and timing of contract awards and releases under previously awarded contracts, which we believe have been driven by the uncertainties of war and market and economic trends, we have expanded our activities to include operating as a subcontractor to leading aerospace prime contractors. While the slowdown in government contract awards also has affected these prime contractors, because they are able to bid on and receive contract awards for different programs than we are, we believe that pursuing such opportunities will enable us to access programs that we would not otherwise be able to given our smaller size and resources. By increasing our customer base, we have positioned our company to take advantage of additional market opportunities and reduce the impact of the slowdown in government contract awards and releases. These subcontracting opportunities have begun to materialize, and we were awarded approximately \$7.0 million of subcontracts during 2006. We currently have proposals submitted to multiple prime contractors, and while we cannot predict the timing of awards, some of our outstanding proposals are so significant in size that any single award could increase our revenue and net income substantially.

Backlog

We produce custom assemblies pursuant to long-term contracts and customer purchase orders. Backlog consists of aggregate values under such contracts and purchase orders, excluding the portion previously included in operating revenues on the basis of percentage of completion accounting, and including estimates of future contract price escalation. Substantially all of our backlog is subject to termination at will and rescheduling, without significant penalty. Congress often appropriates funds for

Table of Contents

a particular program or contract on a yearly or quarterly basis, even though the contract may call for performance that is expected to take a number of years. Therefore, our funded backlog does not include the full value of our contracts. Our backlog as of December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2006 was as follows:

| | December 31, 2005 | December 31, 2006 |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Backlog | | |
| Funded | \$ 22,218,392 | \$ 26,763,778 |
| Unfunded | 28,291,000 | 21,391,000 |
| Total | \$ 50,509,392 | \$ 48,154,778 |

Of the total amount of our backlog at December 31, 2006, approximately 97.3% was attributable to government contracts. Approximately \$25.5 million (96%) of the funded backlog at December 31, 2006 is expected to be recognized as revenue during 2007.

Material and Parts

We subcontract production of substantially all component parts incorporated into our products to third party manufacturers under firm fixed price orders. Our decision to purchase certain components generally is based upon whether the components are available to meet required specifications at a cost and delivery consistent with customer requirements. From time to time, we are required to purchase custom made parts from sole suppliers and manufacturers in order to meet specific customer requirements. In the second quarter of 2006, we experienced delays in deliveries and poor workmanship on parts received from some of our suppliers. These delivery delays and rework costs associated with poor workmanship caused a reduction in gross profit on some of our contracts.

We obtain our raw materials from several commercial sources. Although certain items are only available from limited sources of supply, we believe that the loss of any single supplier would not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Competition

The markets for our products are highly competitive. We compete with numerous larger, well-established prime contractors engaged in the supply of aircraft parts and assemblies to the military, including Northrop Grumman Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, The Boeing Company, The Nordam Group and Vought Aircraft Industries, Inc. All of these competitors possess significantly larger infrastructures, greater resources and the capabilities to respond to much larger contracts. In certain instances, we also may act as a subcontractor to some of these major prime contractors. We also compete against smaller contractors such as Aerocomponents, Aerospace Engineering and Support, GSE Dynamics, Honeycomb Company of America, Alton Iron Works, B&B Devices and Precision Manufacturing.

We believe that our competitive advantage lies in our ability to offer large contractor capabilities with the flexibility and responsiveness of a small company, while staying competitive in cost and delivering superior quality products. While the larger prime contractors compete for significant modification awards and subcontract components to other suppliers, they generally do not compete for awards in smaller modifications, spares and replacement parts, even for planes for which they are the original manufacturer. We believe we compete effectively against the smaller competitors because our smaller competitors generally do not have the expertise we have in responding to requests for proposals for government contracts.

Government Regulation

Environmental Regulation

We are subject to regulations administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, various state agencies and county and local authorities acting in cooperation with federal and state authorities. Among other things, these

4

Table of Contents

regulatory bodies impose restrictions to control air, soil and water pollution, to protect against occupational exposure to chemicals, including health and safety risks, and to require notification or reporting of the storage, use and release of certain hazardous chemicals and substances. The extensive regulatory framework imposes compliance burdens and risks on us. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with these regulations and to obtain injunctions or impose civil and criminal fines in the case of violations.

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) imposes strict, joint and several liability on the present and former owners and operators of facilities that release hazardous substances into the environment. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) regulates the generation, transportation, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous waste. In New York, the handling, storage and disposal of hazardous substances are governed by the Environmental Conservation Law, which contains the New York counterparts of CERCLA and RCRA. In addition, the Occupational Safety and Health Act, which requires employers to provide a place of employment that is free from recognized and preventable hazards that are likely to cause serious physical harm to employees, obligates employers to provide notice to employees regarding the presence of hazardous chemicals and to train employees in the use of such substances.

Our operations require the use of a limited amount of chemicals and other materials for painting and cleaning, including solvents and thinners, which are classified under applicable laws as hazardous chemicals and substances. We have obtained a permit from the Town of Islip, New York, Building Division in order to maintain a paint booth containing flammable liquids.

Federal Aviation Administration Regulation

We are subject to regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. The FAA prescribes standards and licensing requirements for aircraft and aircraft components. We are subject to inspections by the FAA and may be subjected to fines and other penalties (including orders to cease production) for noncompliance with FAA regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in the termination of or our disqualification from some of our contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Government Contract Compliance

Our government contracts are subject to the procurement rules and regulations of the United States government. Many of the contract terms are dictated by these rules and regulations. Specifically, cost-based pricing is determined under the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), which provide guidance on the types of costs that are allowable in establishing prices for goods and services under U.S. Government contracts. For example, costs such as those related

to charitable contributions, advertising, interest expense, and public relations are unallowable, and therefore not recoverable through sales. During and after the fulfillment of a government contract, we may be audited in respect of the direct and allocated indirect costs attributed thereto. These audits may result in adjustments to our contract costs. Additionally, we may be subject to U.S. government inquiries and investigations because of our participation in government procurement. Any inquiry or investigation can result in fines or limitations on our ability to continue to bid for government contracts and fulfill existing contracts.

We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations governing our operations and have obtained all material licenses and permits required for the operation of our business.

Insurance

We maintain a \$2 million general liability insurance policy, a \$10 million products liability insurance policy, and a \$5 million umbrella liability insurance policy. Additionally, we maintain a \$5 million director and officers' insurance policy. We believe this coverage is adequate for the types of

5

Table of Contents

products presently marketed because of the strict inspection standards imposed on us by our customers before they take possession of our products. Additionally, the Federal Acquisition Regulations generally provide that we will not be held liable for any loss of or damage to property of the government that occurs after the government accepts delivery of our products and that results from any defects or deficiencies in our products unless the liability results from willful misconduct or lack of good faith on the part of our managerial personnel.

Proprietary Information

None of our current assembly processes or products are protected by patents. We rely on proprietary know-how and confidential information and employ various methods to protect the processes, concepts, ideas and documentation associated with our products. These methods, however, may not afford complete protection and there can be no assurance that others will not independently develop such processes, concepts, ideas and documentation.

Employees

As of March 20, 2007, we had 61 full-time employees. We employ temporary personnel with specialized disciplines on an as-needed basis. None of our employees is a member of a union. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

6

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should consider the following risks carefully in evaluating us and our business before making an investment decision. The risks described below are not the only risks we face. Additional risks may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. If that happens, the trading price of our common shares could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks related to our business

We depend on government contracts for most of our revenues.

We are a supplier, either directly or as a subcontractor, to the U.S. government and its agencies, principally the U.S. Air Force. 93% of revenue for 2006, 96% of revenue for 2005 and 99% of our revenue for 2004 were derived from government contract sales. Two of our contracts, for the T-38 ‘‘Talon’’ and the C-5, accounted for 27% and 32% of our revenue for 2006, respectively. We depend on these government contracts for most of our business. If we are suspended or barred from contracting with the U.S. government, if our reputation or relationship with individual federal agencies were impaired, or if the government otherwise ceased doing business with us or significantly decreased the amount of business it does with us, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results would be materially adversely affected.

We face risks relating to government contracts.

There are inherent risks in contracting with the U.S. government, including risks that are peculiar to the defense industry, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results. All contracts with the U.S. government contain provisions and are subject to laws and regulations that give the government rights and remedies not typically found in commercial contracts, including rights that allow the government to:

- terminate contracts for convenience in whole or in part at any time;
- reduce or modify contracts or subcontracts if its requirements or budgetary constraints change;
- cancel multi-year contracts and related orders if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;
- adjust contract costs and fees on the basis of audits completed by its agencies;
- claim rights in products and systems produced by us;
- suspend or bar us from doing business with U.S. government; and
- control or prohibit the export of our products.

If the U.S. government terminates a contract for convenience, we may recover only our incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If the government terminates a contract for default, we may not recover even those amounts, and instead may be liable for excess costs incurred by the government in procuring undelivered items and services from another source.

We have risks associated with competing in the bidding process for U.S. government contracts.

We obtain many of our U.S. government contracts through a competitive bidding process. In the bidding process, we face the following risks:

- We must bid on programs in advance of their completion, which may result in unforeseen technological difficulties or cost overruns;
- We must devote substantial time and effort to prepare bids and proposals for competitively awarded contracts that may not be awarded to us; and

Table of Contents

- Awarded contracts may not generate sales sufficient to result in profitability.

We are subject to strict governmental regulations relating to the environment, which could result in fines and remediation expense in the event of non-compliance.

We are required to comply with extensive and frequently changing environmental regulations at the federal, state and local levels. Among other things, these regulatory bodies impose restrictions to control air, soil and water pollution, to protect against occupational exposure to chemicals, including health and safety risks, and to require notification or reporting of the storage, use and release of certain hazardous substances into the environment. This extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on us. In addition, these regulations may impose liability for the cost of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our facilities without regard to whether we knew of, or caused, the release of such substances. Furthermore, we are required to provide a place of employment that is free from recognized and preventable hazards that are likely to cause serious physical harm to employees, provide notice to employees regarding the presence of hazardous chemicals and to train employees in the use of such substances. Our operations require the use of a limited amount of chemicals and other materials for painting and cleaning that are classified under applicable laws as hazardous chemicals and substances. If we are found not to be in compliance with any of these rules, regulations or permits, we may be subject to fines, remediation expenses and the obligation to change our business practice, any of which could result in substantial costs that would adversely impact our business operations and financial condition.

We may be subject to fines and disqualification for non-compliance with Federal Aviation Administration regulations.

We are subject to regulation by the Federal Aviation Administration under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended. The FAA prescribes standards and licensing requirements for aircraft and aircraft components. We are subject to inspections by the FAA and may be subjected to fines and other penalties (including orders to cease production) for noncompliance with FAA regulations. Our failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in the termination of or our disqualification from some of our contracts, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If our subcontractors or suppliers fail to perform their contractual obligations, our prime contract performance and our ability to obtain future business could be materially and adversely impacted.

Many of our contracts involve subcontracts with other companies upon which we rely to perform a portion of the services that we must provide to our customers. There is a risk that we may have disputes with our subcontractors, including disputes regarding the quality and timeliness of work performed by the subcontractor, customer concerns about the subcontract, our failure to extend existing task orders or issue new task orders under a subcontract, or our hiring of personnel of a subcontractor. A failure by one or more of our subcontractors to satisfactorily provide on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies or perform the agreed-upon services may materially and adversely impact our ability to perform our obligations as the prime contractor. Subcontractor performance deficiencies could result in a customer eliminating our ability to progress bill or terminating our contract for default. A prohibition on progress billing may have an adverse effect upon our profitability and a default termination could expose us to liability and have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete for future contracts and orders. In addition, a delay in our ability to obtain components and equipment parts from our suppliers may affect our ability to meet our customers' needs and may have an adverse effect upon our profitability.

Contract cost growth that cannot be justified as an increase in contract value due from customers exposes us to reduced profitability and the potential loss of future business.

Operating margin is adversely affected when contract costs that cannot be billed to customers are incurred. This cost growth can occur if estimates to complete increase due to technical challenges or if initial estimates used for calculating the contract price were incorrect. The cost estimation process

8

Table of Contents

requires significant judgment and expertise. Reasons for cost growth may include unavailability and productivity of labor, the nature and complexity of the work to be performed, the effect of change orders, the availability of materials, the effect of any delays in performance, availability and timing of funding from the customer, natural disasters, and the inability to recover any claims included in the estimates to complete. A significant change in cost estimates on one or more programs could have a material effect on the company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

We use estimates when accounting for contracts. Changes in estimates could affect our profitability and our overall financial position.

We recognize revenue from our contracts over the contractual period under the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method of accounting, sales and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at the completion of the contract. Recognized revenues that will not be billed under the terms of the contract until a later date are recorded as an asset captioned "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts." Contracts where billings to date have exceeded recognized revenues are recorded as a liability captioned "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts." Changes to the original estimates may be required during the life of the contract. Estimates are reviewed monthly and the effect of any change in the estimated gross margin percentage for a contract is reflected in cost of sales in the period the change becomes known. The use of the POC method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods. As a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by us during any reporting period. We continually evaluate all of the issues related to the assumptions, risks and uncertainties inherent with the application of the POC method of accounting; however, we cannot assure you that our estimates will be accurate. If our estimates are not accurate or a contract is terminated, we will be forced to adjust revenue in later periods. Furthermore, even if our estimates are accurate, we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and we may need to borrow money to pay taxes until the reported earnings materialize to actual cash receipts.

If the contracts associated with our backlog were terminated, our financial condition would be adversely affected.

The maximum contract value specified under each government contract that we enter into is not necessarily indicative of the revenues that we will realize under that contract. Because we may not receive the full amount we expect under a contract, we may not accurately estimate our backlog because the actual accrual of revenues on programs included in backlog may never occur or may change. Cancellations of pending contracts or terminations or reductions of contracts in progress could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations. As of December 31, 2006, our backlog was \$48.2 million, of which 56% was funded and 44% was unfunded.

Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations or to enter into a new credit facility could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and operations.

At December 31, 2006, we had a cash balance of \$38,564. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we used cash of \$1,015,151, \$676,767 and \$560,768, respectively, to fund our operations. Although we expect to have positive cash flow during the year ending December 31, 2007, our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations or future borrowings may not be available to us in an amount sufficient to enable us to fund our liquidity needs. Although we are currently negotiating to secure a long term credit agreement with our current lender, JPMorgan Chase Bank, or with another financial institution, we may not be able to secure a new line of credit on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

We may be unable to retain personnel who are key to our operations.

Our success, among other things, is dependent on our ability to attract and retain highly qualified senior officers and engineers. Competition for key personnel is intense. Our ability to attract and

9

Table of Contents

retain senior officers and experienced, top rate engineers is dependent on a number of factors, including prevailing market conditions and compensation packages offered by companies competing for the same talent. The inability to hire and retain these persons may adversely affect our production operations and other aspects of our business.

We will be required to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the year ending December 31, 2007 and beyond. Any delays or difficulty in satisfying these requirements could adversely affect our future results of operations and our stock price.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to document and test the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in accordance with an established internal control framework and to report on our conclusion as to the effectiveness of our internal controls. It also requires an independent registered public accounting firm to test our internal control over financial reporting and report on the effectiveness of such controls for the year ending December 31, 2008 and subsequent years. An independent registered public accounting firm is also required to test, evaluate and report on the completeness of our assessment.

We may discover areas of our internal controls that need improvement. We cannot be certain that any remedial measures we take will ensure that we implement and maintain adequate internal controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future. Our failure to implement or maintain adequate internal controls, or any difficulties we experience in their implementation, could harm our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. If we are unable to conclude that we have effective internal control over financial reporting, or if our independent auditors are unable to provide us with an unqualified report regarding the effectiveness of our management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008 and in future periods as required by Section 404, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, which could result in a decrease in the price of our common stock. Failure to comply with Section 404 could potentially subject us to sanctions or investigations by the SEC, the American Stock Exchange or other regulatory authorities.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has reported material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. If these material weaknesses are not remediated or were to recur, it could result in a material misstatement in our financial statements that would not be prevented or detected, cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information and have a negative effect on the trading price of our stock.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable

Item 2. PROPERTIES

CPI Aerostructures' executive offices and production facilities are situated in an approximate 60,000 square foot building located at 60 Heartland Blvd., Edgewood, New York 11717. CPI Aerostructures occupies this facility under a ten-year lease that commenced in January 2005. The current monthly base rent is \$33,684, plus real estate taxes. Our base rent increases at 3% per year through 2014. We believe that our facilities are adequate for our current needs.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITYHOLDERS

None

10

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND
ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common shares are listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol CVU. The following table sets forth for 2006 and 2005, the high and low sales prices of our common shares for the periods indicated, as reported by the American Stock Exchange.

| Period | High | Low |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| 2005 | | |
| Quarter Ended March 31, 2005 | \$11.66 | \$ 9.55 |
| Quarter Ended June 30, 2005 | \$10.14 | \$ 8.80 |
| Quarter Ended September 30, 2005 | \$10.35 | \$ 8.90 |
| Quarter Ended December 31, 2005 | \$10.10 | \$ 9.14 |
| 2006 | | |
| Quarter Ended March 31, 2006 | \$10.35 | \$ 7.95 |
| Quarter Ended June 30, 2006 | \$8.17 | \$ 7.12 |
| Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 | \$7.25 | \$ 4.35 |
| Quarter Ended December 31, 2006 | \$7.25 | \$ 4.50 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

On March 20, 2007, the closing sale price for our common shares on the American Stock Exchange was \$6.60. On March 20, 2007, there were 141 holders of record of our common shares and, we believe, over 2,200 beneficial owners of our common shares.

Dividend Policy

To date, we have not paid any dividends on our common shares. Any payment of dividends in the future is within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, if any, our capital requirements and financial condition and other relevant factors. Our board of directors does not intend to declare any cash or other dividends in the foreseeable future, but intends instead to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business operations.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities, Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

We made the following sales of unregistered securities during the three months ended December 31, 2006.

| Date of Sale | Title of Security | Number Sold | Consideration Received and Description of Underwriting or Other Discounts to Market Price Afforded to Purchasers | Exemption from Registration Claimed | If Option, Warrant or Convertible Security, Terms of Exercise or Conversion |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 12/4/06 | Common Stock | 750 | Common Stock issued under Performance Equity Plan 2000 — no cash consideration received by the Company | 4(2) | N/A |

11

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our financial data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The data has been derived from our audited financial statements. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

| Statements of Operations Data: | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Revenue | \$17,907,989 | \$25,526,404 | \$30,269,030 | \$27,288,035 | \$23,999,257 |
| Cost of sales | 16,264,351 | 19,513,391 | 19,973,231 | 18,283,252 | 16,297,905 |
| Gross profit | 1,643,638 | 6,013,013 | 10,295,799 | 9,004,783 | 7,701,352 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,551,974 | 3,342,729 | 3,424,953 | 3,177,173 | 2,691,632 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Income (loss) from operations | (1,908,336) | 2,670,284 | 6,870,846 | 5,827,610 | 5,009,720 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest/ other income | 6,656 | 5,463 | 7,294 | 16,206 | 69,233 |
| Interest expense | (20,326) | (18,314) | (8,109) | (148,006) | (510,329) |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | — | — | — | 2,431,233 | — |
| Total other income (expense), net | (13,670) | (12,851) | (815) | 2,299,433 | (441,096) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (1,922,006) | 2,657,433 | 6,870,031 | 8,127,043 | 4,568,624 |
| Provision for income taxes | (657,000) | 1,138,000 | 1,794,000 | 195,000 | 124,000 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (1,265,006) | 1,519,433 | 5,076,031 | 7,932,043 | 4,444,624 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of assets held for sale | | | | | |
| — discontinued operations | — | — | — | 461,235 | — |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,265,006) | \$ 1,519,433 | \$ 5,076,031 | \$ 8,393,278 | \$ 4,444,624 |
| Basic net income per common share: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.94 | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.63 |
| Income from discontinued operations | — | — | — | 0.09 | — |
| Income (loss) per common share — basic | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.94 | \$ 1.72 | \$ 1.63 |
| Diluted net income per common share: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.83 | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.40 |
| Income from discontinued operations | — | — | — | 0.09 | — |
| Income per common share — diluted | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.83 | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.40 |
| Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding | 5,446,711 | 5,422,101 | 5,386,595 | 4,872,255 | 2,721,522 |
| Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding | 5,446,711 | 6,114,808 | 6,096,302 | 5,382,266 | 3,181,834 |

Balance Sheet Data:

| | At December 31, | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| Cash | \$ 38,564 | \$ 877,182 | \$ 1,756,350 | \$ 2,794,310 | \$ 91,937 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 28,783,708 | 28,389,202 | 26,030,507 | 17,449,887 | 11,382,106 |
| Total current assets | 31,006,495 | 31,458,345 | 29,609,862 | 23,399,350 | 15,202,592 |
| Total assets | 32,160,187 | 32,687,784 | 30,759,124 | 23,939,090 | 15,604,746 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,883,991 | 5,428,429 | 5,213,460 | 4,079,976 | 13,227,018 |
| Working capital | 25,122,504 | 26,029,916 | 24,396,402 | 19,319,374 | 1,975,574 |
| Short term debt | 392,188 | 87,617 | 83,144 | 7,303 | 8,024,160 |
| Long term debt | — | 42,188 | 129,276 | 26,311 | 40,192 |
| Shareholders' equity | 26,177,655 | 27,162,272 | 25,416,388 | 19,832,803 | 2,337,536 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 32,160,187 | 32,687,784 | 30,759,124 | 23,939,090 | 15,604,746 |

12

Table of Contents

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Form 10-K and in future filings by us with the Commission, the words or phrases “will likely result,” “management expects” or “we expect,” “will continue,” “is anticipated,” “estimated” or similar expressions are intended to identify “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, each of which speaks only as of the date made. As such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical earnings and those presently anticipated or projected. The risks are included in “Item 1A: Risk Factors” and “Item 7: Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included in this Form 10-K. We have no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions, which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances occurring after the date of such statements.

You should read the financial information set forth below in conjunction with our financial statements and notes thereto.

Business Operations

We are engaged in the contract production of structural aircraft parts principally for the United States Air Force and other branches of the U.S. armed forces and to a lesser extent, for prime military aircraft contractors. We also provide aircraft parts to the commercial sector of the aircraft industry. Our strategy for growth includes de-emphasizing our commercial operations and concentrating on government and military sales as a prime contractor and as a subcontractor for other defense prime contractors.

Notwithstanding defense budget increases and the DoD’s commitment to maintaining support for aging aircraft during the two-year period through August 2006, there was a significant slowdown in government contract awards as well as releases under previously awarded contracts. Faced with the uncertainties of appropriations and timing of contract awards and releases under previously awarded contracts, which we believe have been driven by the uncertainties of war and market and economic trends, we have expanded our activities to include operating as a subcontractor to leading aerospace prime contractors. While the slowdown in government contract awards also has affected these prime contractors, because they are able to bid on and receive contract awards for different programs than we are, we believe that pursuing such opportunities will enable us to access programs that we would not otherwise be able to given our smaller size and resources. By increasing our customer base, we have positioned our company to take advantage of additional market opportunities and reduce the impact of the slowdown in government contract awards and releases. These subcontracting opportunities have begun to materialize, and we were awarded approximately \$7.0 million of subcontracts during 2006 compared to \$2.2 million in 2005. We currently have proposals submitted to multiple prime contractors, and while we cannot predict the timing of awards, some of our outstanding proposals are so significant in size that any single award could increase our revenue and net income substantially.

After winning a contract, the length of the contract varies but is typically between six months and two years for U.S. government contracts (although our T-38 contract and our C-5 TOP contract are for periods of 10 years and 7 years, respectively), and up to 10 years for commercial contracts. Our one commercial contract has an indefinite life. Except in cases where contract terms permit us to bill on a progress basis, we must incur upfront costs in producing assemblies and bill our customers upon delivery. Because of the upfront costs incurred, the timing of our billings and the nature of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting described below, there can be a significant disparity between the periods in which (a) costs are expended, (b) revenue and earnings are recorded and (c) cash is received.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from our contracts over the contractual period under the percentage-of-completion (POC) method of accounting. Under the POC method of accounting, sales and gross profit are recognized as work is performed based on the relationship between actual costs incurred and total estimated costs at the completion of the contract. Recognized revenues that will not be billed under the terms of the contract until a later date are recorded as an asset captioned "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts." Contracts where billings to date have exceeded recognized revenues are recorded as a liability captioned "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts." Changes to the original estimates may be required during the life of the contract. Estimates are reviewed monthly and the effect of any change in the estimated gross margin percentage for a contract is reflected in cost of sales in the period the change becomes known. The use of the POC method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods. As a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by us during any reporting period. We continually evaluate all of the issues related to the assumptions, risks and uncertainties inherent with the application of the POC method of accounting; however, we cannot assure you that our estimates will be accurate. If our estimates are not accurate or a contract is terminated, we will be forced to adjust revenue in later periods. Furthermore, even if our estimates are accurate, we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and we may need to borrow money to pay taxes until the reported earnings materialize to actual cash receipts.

Stock Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 R, "Share-Based Payment" for employee options, using the modified prospective transition method. SFAS 123 R revised SFAS 123 to eliminate the option to use the intrinsic value method and required the Company to expense the fair value of all employee stock-based compensation over the vesting period. Under the modified prospective transition method, the Company recognized compensation cost for the year ended December 31, 2006, which includes (1) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS 123 and (2) compensation cost related to share-based payments granted within the period, which vested fully upon grant. In accordance with the modified prospective method, the Company has not restated prior period results.

Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2006 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2005

Revenue. Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$17,907,989 compared to \$25,526,404 for the same period last year, representing a decrease of \$7,618,415 or 30%. The decrease was due to fewer contract awards and releases in 2006 as compared to 2005, which resulted from the overall slowdown in the government contract award process and smaller than anticipated releases on our multiyear contracts during the 18 month period from February 2005 through August 2006.

We generate revenue primarily from government contracts and to a lesser extent from one commercial contract. Revenue from government contracts for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$16,627,210 compared to \$24,473,234 for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$7,846,024 or 32%.

During the year December 31, 2006, we received approximately \$30.0 million of new contract awards. Included in this amount is approximately \$7.9 million related to the C-5 TOP contract and \$7.0 million related to our work as a subcontractor for other prime contractors. Although the C-5

14

Table of Contents

contract is valued at up to \$215 million over the seven-year life of the program, orders under this program, including the \$7.9 million of awards received during 2006, totaled only \$14.7 million as of December 31, 2006. As of December 31, 2006, we had over \$300 million in bids outstanding.

Although we are not actively pursuing commercial contract work, our one remaining commercial contract accounted for revenue of \$1,304,433 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$1,112,380 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$1,643,638 compared to \$6,013,013 for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$4,369,375. As a percentage of revenue, gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 9.2% compared to gross profit of 23.6% for the same period last year.. The decrease in gross profit percentage was due to overtime and rework costs incurred to correct poor supplier workmanship and delays in deliveries by some of our suppliers.

Additionally, as previously reported, we had maintained our overhead levels through June 2006 in anticipation of new awards and releases on contracts we had already been awarded. We reduced our staff by approximately 12% at the end of the second quarter of 2006 when these awards and releases did not materialize. These staff reductions, along with tighter control over other overhead costs, reduced factory overhead by approximately \$70,000 in the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses year ended December 31, 2006 were \$3,551,974 compared to \$3,342,729 for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$209,245, or 6.3%. This increase was primarily due to recording non-cash compensation of approximately \$256,000 related to stock options as required pursuant to SFAS 123R as described in Note 9 of the Financial Statements, offset by a decrease in legal and accounting fees of approximately \$40,000.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$20,326, compared to \$18,314 for 2005, an increase of \$2,012. The change is deemed immaterial.

Income (Loss) from operations. We had a loss from operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$1,908,336 compared to income from operations of \$2,670,284 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The loss was a result of lower sales and lower gross margins described earlier.

Year Ended December 31, 2005 as Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2004

Revenue. Our revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$25,526,404 compared to \$30,269,030 for 2004, representing a decrease of \$4,742,626 or 16%. This decrease was due to fewer contracts in 2005 as compared to 2004 and a less favorable product mix.

Gross profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$6,013,013, compared to \$10,295,799 for 2004, a decrease of \$4,282,786 or 42%. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 24% compared to 34% for December 31, 2004. Gross profit percentage declined for a number of reasons, including rework costs related to a first article rejection, increased factory overhead related to our move to new, larger facilities and related utility and maintenance costs, and higher indirect labor costs. The increased level of factory overhead and indirect labor have been maintained in anticipation of releases on contracts, including the C-5 TOP contract, that we already have been awarded and the receipt of any additional awards on some of our major outstanding bids. If these events are not forthcoming, we plan on reassessing this position.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$3,342,729, compared to \$3,424,953 for 2004, a decrease of \$82,224, or 2%. This decrease reflects a decrease of approximately \$240,000 in officers' bonuses, offset by an increase in expenses related to our efforts to sell to prime contractors.

Income from operations. Income from operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$2,670,284 compared to income from operations of \$6,870,846 for the year ended December 31, 2004,

15

Table of Contents

a decrease of \$4,200,562, or 61%. The decrease was due to the decrease in gross profit described earlier and increases in expenses related to our efforts to sell to prime contractors.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$18,314, compared to \$8,109 for 2004, an increase of \$10,205, or 126%. Interest expense is considered immaterial to our operations in both 2005 and 2004.

Income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$2,657,433 compared to income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2004 of \$6,870,031, a decrease of \$4,212,598, or 61%. The decrease was due to the decrease in gross profit described earlier and increases in expenses related to our efforts to sell to prime contractors. The 2005 results include income taxes computed at an effective tax rate of 43% compared to 26% for 2004. The increase was the result of us utilizing our remaining state net operating loss carryforward at the beginning of 2005. Basic income per common share for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$0.28 on an average of 5,422,101 shares outstanding, compared to \$0.94 per share from continuing operations on an average of 5,386,595 shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

At December 31, 2006, we had working capital of \$25,122,504 compared to \$26,029,916 at December 31, 2005, a decrease of \$907,412, or 3%.

Cash Flow

A large portion of our cash is used to pay for materials and processing costs associated with contracts that are in process and which do not provide for progress payments. Contracts that permit us to bill on a progress basis must be

classified as “on time” for us to apply for progress payments. Due to delays in deliveries from some of our suppliers, we are presently late on two of our contracts for which progress payments are available and, as a result we have been precluded from applying for progress payments on these contracts. In February 2007, we agreed to pay \$75,000 to have the late delivery orders on the C-5 TOP contract classified as “on time.” Accordingly, beginning in February 2007, we have been able to apply for progress payments under this program. Costs for which we are not able to bill on a progress basis are components of “Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts” on our balance sheet and represent the aggregate costs and related earnings for uncompleted contracts for which the customer has not yet been billed. These costs and earnings are recovered upon shipment of products and presentation of billings in accordance with contract terms.

Because the POC method of accounting requires us to use estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and tax purposes) as reported and actual cash that we receive during any reporting period. Accordingly, it is possible that we may have a shortfall in our cash flow and may need to borrow money until the reported earnings materialize into actual cash receipts.

At December 31, 2006, we had a cash balance of \$38,564. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, we used cash of \$1,015,151, \$676,767 and \$560,768, respectively, to fund our operations. Because of our historical use of cash, and the expiration of a long term line of credit, beginning in June 2006, we began to reduce expenses and implement a plan to improve cash flow.

At December 31, 2006, we had costs in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts of \$28,783,708, which represents unbilled receivables of which we expect approximately 96% to be converted into cash within the next year. This amount represents \$27,653,000 of funded delivery orders, or funded backlog, to be shipped and collected by December 31, 2007. In addition, we will be filing a tax refund of approximately \$628,000 for the carryback of our net tax loss recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006.

16

Table of Contents

Based on our projected cash expenses and the above described sources of cash, we expect to have positive cash flow during the year ending December 31, 2007.

JP Morgan Chase Credit Facility

In September 2003, we entered into a three year, \$5.0 million revolving credit facility with JP Morgan Chase Bank (the “Chase Facility”), secured by our assets. The facility specified interest rates that ranged between the Prime Rate and 225 basis points over LIBOR, depending on certain terms and conditions.

In October 2006, the Chase Facility was amended and restated to provide for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility, secured by our assets. The facility specifies an interest rate equal to the greater of (a) the prime rate and (b) the federal funds rate, plus 0.5%. The Chase Facility expired on December 31, 2006 and has been extended until April 30, 2007.

Although we are currently negotiating to secure a long term credit agreement with JP Morgan Chase Bank, or with another financial institution, there is no assurance that a new line of credit can be secured on terms acceptable to us.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had borrowed \$350,000 under the Chase Facility.

Contractual Obligations

The table below summarizes information about our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006 and the effects these obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in the future years.

| | Total | Payments Due By Period (\$) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | After 5 years |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Short-Term Debt | 350,000 | 350,000 | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Capital Lease Obligations | 42,188 | 42,188 | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Operating Leases | 3,537,705 | 397,838 | 831,838 | 882,499 | 1,425,530 |
| Employment Agreement Compensation** | 1,487,450 | 773,150 | 714,300 | -0- | -0- |
| Total Contractual Cash Obligations | 5,417,343 | 1,563,176 | 1,546,138 | 882,499 | 1,425,530 |

** The employment agreements provide for bonus payments that are excluded from these amounts.

Inflation

Inflation historically has not had a material effect on our operations.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK
None

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
(a) Financial Statements

This information appears following Item 15 of this Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
None

17

Table of Contents

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures was made as of December 31, 2006 under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer. Based on that evaluation, we concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a result of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting described below.

In the process of conducting the audit for the year ended December 31, 2006, J.H. Cohn LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm (“JHC”), identified that costs incurred, revenue recognized and billings to the customer on certain contracts during the year ended December 31, 2006 were not recognized properly in that:

- the sales and cost estimates used to recognize revenue on a significant contract did not reflect the most current available information. As a result, the 2006 margin with respect to this contract was overstated; and
- gross margin was overstated on certain contracts because we recognized revenue on change orders where the customer approval had not been obtained.

These two errors resulted in an overstatement of net income in our statement of operations.

Our internal control over reviewing and recording revenue recognition did not detect these errors and therefore was not effective at preventing or detecting material misstatement of the financial statements. This was due to an insufficient level of skilled resources to ensure timely and accurate financial reporting.

As part of the communications by JHC with our audit committee with respect to JHC’s audit procedures for the year ended December 31, 2006, JHC informed the audit committee that these significant deficiencies constituted material weaknesses under standards established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, or PCAOB. However, JHC confirmed to the audit committee that they would still be able to rely on their previously completed interim review of our financial statements.

In order to remediate these material weaknesses, we plan to take the following corrective actions:

- We will add resources to our accounting department to manage the estimating process necessary to properly and timely report our revenue.
- We will begin a regular education program for more members of our accounting department so that the task of financial reporting management will be more diversified and allow a higher level of review.

Except as described above, during the most recently completed fiscal quarter, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

18

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

See Item 14.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

See Item 14.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND
MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

See Item 14.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

See Item 14.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 will be contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this report pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and incorporated herein by reference.

19

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

| Exhibit Number | Name of Exhibit | No. in Document |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 1.1 | Form of Underwriting Agreement between EarlyBirdCapital, Inc. and the Company, dated February 12, 2003. (9) | 1.1 |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended. (1) | 3.1 |
| 3.1(a) | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation filed on July 14, 1998. (3) | 3.1(a) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. (12) | 3.2 |
| 4.1 | Form of Warrant issued to designees of EarlyBirdCapital, Inc., dated February 19, 2003. (9) | 4.7 |
| 10.1 | 1992 Stock Option Plan. (1) | 10.3 |
| 10.2 | 1995 Employee Stock Option Plan. (2) | 10.4 |
| 10.3 | Form of military contract. (1) | 10.7 |
| 10.4 | 1998 Performance Equity Plan. (3) | 10.28 |
| 10.5 | Performance Equity Plan 2000. (4) | 10.29 |
| 10.5.1 | Amendment to Performance Equity Plan 2000 (13) | 10.6.1 |
| *10.6 | Stock Option Agreement, dated August 14, 2001, between Edward J. Fred and the Company. (5) | 10.35 |
| *10.7 | Stock Option Agreement, dated August 14, 2001, between Arthur August and the Company. (6) | 10.36 |
| *10.8 | Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Arthur August, dated June 18, 2002. (7) | 10.55 |
| *10.9 | Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Edward J. Fred, dated June 18, 2002. (8) | 10.56 |
| 10.10 | Form of Merger & Acquisition Agreement, between EarlyBirdCapital, Inc. and the Company. (9) | 10.26 |

Edgar Filing: CPI AEROSTRUCTURES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|----------|---|---------|
| 10.11 | Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and Chemical Investments dated February 26, 2002, as assigned to Crescendo Partners, II. (9) | 10.27 |
| 10.15.1 | Schedule of Omitted Document in the form of Exhibit 10.11, including material detail in which such document differs from Exhibit 10.11. (9) | 10.27.1 |
| *10.16 | Stock Option agreement between Vincent Palazzolo and the Company, dated as of May 17, 2004 (10) | 10.22 |
| *10.17 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Edward J. Fred and the Company, dated February 7, 2005. (11) | 10.23 |
| *10.17.1 | Amendment, dated as of December 1, 2006 to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and Edward J. Fred, dated February 7, 2005. (11) | 10.25 |

20

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Name of Exhibit | No. in Document |
|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 10.18 | Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (14) | 10.23 |
| 10.19 | Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Note made by the Company and payable to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (14) | 10.24 |
| 10.20 | Amended and Restated Security Agreement between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (14) | 10.25 |
| *10.21 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Vincent Palazzolo and the Company, dated as of December 1, 2006. (15) | 10.23 |
| *10.22 | Stock Option Agreement between the Company and Vincent Palazzolo, dated December 1, 2006 (15) | 10.24 |
| 14 | Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (15) | 14 |
| **21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. | |
| **23.1 | Consent of J.H. Cohn LLP | |
| **31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer | |
| **31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer | |
| **32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | |

*Management compensation contract or arrangement.

**Filed herewith.

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-49270) declared effective on September 16, 1992 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for year ended December 31, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference.

- (5) Filed as an exhibit to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Edward J. Fred on October 19, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Arthur August on October 19, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Arthur August on July 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to Schedule 13D filed on behalf of Edward J. Fred on July 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (No. 333-101902) declared effective on February 12, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 24, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.

21

Table of Contents

- (11) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (12) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (13) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 24, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (14) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (15) Filed as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 1, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.

22

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|--|------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended</u> | |
| <u>December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | <u>F-6</u> |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
CPI Aerostructures, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CPI Aerostructures, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and its results of operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 9, the Company changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation upon adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment".

/s/J.H. Cohn LLP

Jericho, New York
March 30, 2007

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 38,564 | \$ 877,182 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1,422,135 | 1,849,796 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | 28,783,708 | 28,389,202 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 133,618 | 342,165 |
| Refundable income tax | 628,470 | — |
| Total current assets | 31,006,495 | 31,458,345 |
| Plant and equipment, net | 855,736 | 962,209 |
| Deferred income taxes | 78,000 | 24,000 |
| Other assets | 219,956 | 243,230 |
| Total Assets | \$ 32,160,187 | \$ 32,687,784 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 4,758,763 | \$ 4,559,181 |
| Accrued expenses | 225,040 | 146,521 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 42,188 | 87,617 |
| Line of credit | 350,000 | — |
| Deferred income taxes | 508,000 | 502,000 |
| Income taxes payable | — | 133,110 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,883,991 | 5,428,429 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | — | 42,188 |
| Other liabilities | 98,541 | 54,895 |
| Total Liabilities | 5,982,532 | 5,525,512 |
| Commitments | | |
| Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock — \$.001 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares, issued 5,478,807 and 5,475,057 shares, respectively, and outstanding 5,447,792 and 5,444,042 shares, respectively. | 5,479 | 5,475 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 23,048,520 | 22,768,135 |
| Retained earnings | 3,444,512 | 4,709,518 |
| Treasury stock, 31,015 shares of common stock (at cost) | (320,856) | (320,856) |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 26,177,655 | 27,162,272 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 32,160,187 | \$ 32,687,784 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F-3

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| Year ended December 31, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Revenue | \$ 17,907,989 | \$ 25,526,404 | \$ 30,269,030 |
| Cost of sales | 16,264,351 | 19,513,391 | 19,973,231 |
| Gross profit | 1,643,638 | 6,013,013 | 10,295,799 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,551,974 | 3,342,729 | 3,424,953 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (1,908,336) | 2,670,284 | 6,870,846 |
| Interest income (expense) | | | |
| Interest income | 6,656 | 5,463 | 7,294 |
| Interest expense | (20,326) | (18,314) | (8,109) |
| Total other income (expense), net | (13,670) | (12,851) | (815) |
| Income (loss) before provision for(benefit from) income taxes | (1,922,006) | 2,657,433 | 6,870,031 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (657,000) | 1,138,000 | 1,794,000 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,265,006) | \$ 1,519,433 | \$ 5,076,031 |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share: | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.94 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share: | \$ (0.23) | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.83 |
| Shares used in computing earnings per common share: | | | |
| Basic | 5,446,711 | 5,422,101 | 5,386,595 |
| Diluted | 5,446,711 | 6,114,808 | 6,096,302 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F-4

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

| | Common Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | (Accumulated Deficit)/Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|--|------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2004 | 5,301,770 | \$ 5,302 | \$ 21,713,447 | \$ (1,885,946) | — | \$ 19,832,803 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 5,076,031 | — | 5,076,031 |
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options and warrants | 138,120 | 138 | 371,319 | — | — | 371,457 |
| Treasury stock | — | — | — | — | \$(320,856) | (320,856) |
| Common stock issued as | 3,525 | 3 | 35,950 | — | — | 35,953 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| employee compensation | | | | | | |
| Tax benefits from stock option plans | — | — | 421,000 | — | — | 421,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 5,443,415 | 5,443 | 22,541,716 | 3,190,085 | (320,856) | 25,416,388 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 1,519,433 | — | 1,519,433 |
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options | 29,667 | 30 | 153,263 | — | — | 153,293 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 1,975 | 2 | 19,156 | — | — | 19,158 |
| Tax benefits from stock option plans | — | — | 54,000 | — | — | 54,000 |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 5,475,057 | 5,475 | 22,768,135 | 4,709,518 | (320,856) | 27,162,272 |
| Net loss | — | — | — | (1,265,006) | — | (1,265,006) |
| Common stock issued upon exercise of options | 3,000 | 3 | 19,047 | — | — | 19,050 |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 750 | 1 | 5,064 | — | — | 5,065 |
| Stock compensation expense | — | — | 256,274 | — | — | 256,274 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 5,478,807 | \$ 5,479 | \$ 23,048,520 | \$ 3,444,512 | \$ (320,856) | \$ 26,177,655 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F-5

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| Year ended December 31, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,265,006) | \$ 1,519,433 | \$ 5,076,031 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 215,973 | 193,628 | 184,355 |
| Other liabilities | 43,646 | 54,895 | — |
| Stock compensation expense | 256,274 | — | — |
| Common stock issued as employee compensation | 5,065 | 19,158 | 35,953 |
| Deferred portion of provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (48,000) | 334,000 | 1,202,000 |
| Tax benefit for stock options | (4,600) | 54,000 | 421,000 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable | 427,661 | (208,794) | 106,822 |
| Increase in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | (394,506) | (2,358,695) | (8,580,620) |
| | 208,546 | (136,888) | 167,326 |

| | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|--------------|
| Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets | | | |
| Increase in other assets | 23,274 | — | (87,278) |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 278,102 | (151,614) | 971,643 |
| Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable | (133,110) | 4,110 | (58,000) |
| Increase in refundable income taxes | (628,470) | | |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,015,151) | (676,767) | (560,768) |
| Net cash used in investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of plant and equipment | (109,500) | (273,080) | (667,684) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 19,050 | 153,293 | 50,601 |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | — | — | 170,000 |
| Payment of long-term debt | (87,617) | (82,614) | (30,109) |
| Proceeds from line of credit | 350,000 | — | — |
| Tax benefit for stock options | 4,600 | — | — |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 286,033 | 70,679 | 190,492 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | (838,618) | (879,168) | (1,037,960) |
| Cash at beginning of year | 877,182 | 1,756,350 | 2,794,310 |
| Cash at end of year | \$ 38,564 | \$ 877,182 | \$ 1,756,350 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activity: | | | |
| Plant and equipment acquired through capital lease | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 38,915 |
| Stock options proceeds paid through Company's stock | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 320,856 |
| Supplemental schedule of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for interest | \$ 20,326 | \$ 18,314 | \$ 8,109 |
| Cash paid for income taxes: | \$ 403,093 | \$ 490,331 | \$ 199,500 |

See Notes to Financial Statements

F-6

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

1. PRINCIPAL BUSINESS ACTIVITY AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

CPI Aerostructures, Inc.'s ("CPI Aero" or the "Company") operations consist of the design and production of complex aerospace structural subassemblies under U.S. government and commercial contracts. The length of the Company's contracts varies but is typically between six months and two years for U.S. government contracts, although the Company's T-38 contract and C-5 TOP contract are for 10 years and 7 years, respectively. The

Company's one commercial contract has an indefinite life. The Company's revenue is recognized based on the percentage of completion method of accounting for its contracts measured by the percentage of total costs incurred to date to estimated total costs at completion for each contract. Contract costs include all direct material, labor costs, tooling and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, tools, repairs and depreciation costs. Selling, general and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recognized in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which revisions are determined to be required. The percentage of completion method of accounting involves considerable use of estimates in determining revenues, costs and profits and in assigning the amounts to accounting periods and, as a result, there can be a significant disparity between earnings (both for accounting and taxes) as reported and actual cash received by the Company's during any reporting period. In accordance with industry practice, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts, included in the accompanying balance sheets, contain amounts relating to contracts and programs with long production cycles, a portion of which will not be realized within one year. The Company's recorded revenue may be adjusted in later periods in the event that the Company's cost estimates prove to be inaccurate or a contract is terminated.

The Company's government contracts are subject to the procurement rules and regulations of the United States government. Many of the contract terms are dictated by these rules and regulations. Specifically, cost-based pricing is determined under the Federal Acquisition Regulations ("FAR"), which provide guidance on the types of costs that are allowable in establishing prices for goods and services under U.S. Government contracts. For example, costs such as those related to charitable contributions, advertising, interest expense, and public relations are unallowable, and therefore not recoverable through sales. During and after the fulfillment of a government contract, the Company may be audited in respect of the direct and allocated indirect costs attributable thereto. These audits may result in adjustments to the Company's contract costs.

The Company maintains cash in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash.

F-7

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Accounts receivable are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances. The Company writes off accounts when they are deemed to be uncollectible. The Company has experienced insignificant amounts of bad debts in such accounts.

Depreciation and amortization of plant and equipment is provided by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets or the life of the lease, for leasehold improvements.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates by management. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The Company reviews its long-lived assets and certain related intangibles for impairment whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. As a result of its review, the Company does not believe that any such change has occurred. If such changes in circumstance are present, a loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value of the asset is in excess of the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and amounts expected to be realized upon its eventual disposition.

The fair value of the Company's short-term debt is estimated based on the current rates offered to the Company for debt of similar terms and maturities. Using this method, the fair value of the Company's short-term debt was not significantly different than the stated value at December 31, 2006.

The Company incurred freight and delivery costs of approximately \$123,000, \$164,000 and \$178,000, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. These costs are included in cost of sales.

Basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding adjusted for the incremental shares attributed to outstanding options and warrants to purchase common stock. Incremental shares of 692,707 and 727,479 were used in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share in 2005 and 2004, respectively. Incremental shares of 155,000 and 75,000 were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculations at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as their exercise price was in excess of the Company's stock price and, accordingly, these shares are not assumed to be exercised for the diluted earnings per share calculation. No incremental shares were included in the diluted earnings per share calculation for December 31, 2006 as the Company recorded a net loss and the effect would be anti-dilutive.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company began recording compensation expense associated with stock options in accordance Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment." Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation related to stock options under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25; therefore, the Company measured compensation expense for its stock option plans using the intrinsic value

F-8

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2006

method, that is, as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the grant date over the amount required to be paid to acquire the stock, and provided the disclosures required by SFAS No. 123 and 148.

The Company has adopted the modified prospective transition method provided under SFAS No. 123R, and as a result, has not retroactively adjusted results from prior period. Under this transition method, compensation

expense associated with stock options recognized in fiscal year 2006 includes: (1) expense related to the remaining unvested portion of all stock option awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123; and (2) expense related to all stock option awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R. (See Note 9)

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156 “Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140” which amends SFAS No. 140, “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities,” with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time it undertakes an obligation to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations, requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable, and permits an entity to choose subsequent measurement methods for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 also requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the balance sheet and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption, which is required by January 1, 2007.

In April 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FIN 46 (R)-6, “Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No 46(R)” (FSP FIN 46(R)-6’). FSP FIN 46(R)-6 requires that the determination of the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities” (“FIN 46R”), be based on an analysis of the design of the entity. In evaluating whether an interest with a variable interest entity creates or absorbs variability, FSP FIN 46(R)-6 focuses on the role of a contract or arrangement in the design of an entity, regardless of its legal form or accounting classification. The Company adopted the guidance in FSP FIN 46 (R)-6 prospectively as of July 1, 2006 to all entities that the Company first becomes involved with and to all entities previously required to be analyzed under FIN 46R when a reconsideration event has occurred under paragraph 7 of FIN 46R. The adoption of FIN 46 (R)-6 did not have a material impact on the

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

In June 2006, the FASB issued interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of SFAS No. 109" ("FIN 48"), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. Currently, the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes is subject to significant and varied interpretations that have resulted in diverse and inconsistent accounting practices and measurements. Addressing such diversity, FIN 48 prescribes a consistent recognition threshold and measurement attribute, as well as clear criteria for subsequently recognizing, derecognizing and measuring changes in such tax positions for financial statement purposes. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We have not yet determined the impact of FIN 48 on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or financial statement disclosures.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for all financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has not completed an analysis as to the impact of this statement on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 provides a "Fair Value Option" under which a company may irrevocably elect fair value as the initial and subsequent measurement attribute for certain financial assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 will be available on a contract-by-contract basis with changes in fair value recognized in earnings as those changes occur. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years after November 15, 2007. SFAS No. 159 also allows early adoption provided that the entity also adopts the

requirements of SFAS No. 157. The Company does not believe the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have a material impact, if any, on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (“SAB 108”). SAB 108 requires that public companies utilize a “dual approach” to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company adopted SAB 108 on December 31, 2006, and there was no impact on its financial statements.

F-10

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

2. COSTS AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS IN EXCESS OF BILLINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS:

At December 31, 2006, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts (unbilled) consist of:

| | U.S. Government | Commercial | Total |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts | \$ 45,799,483 | \$ 15,312,176 | \$ 61,111,659 |
| Estimated earnings | 27,022,765 | 6,666,257 | 33,689,022 |
| | 72,822,248 | 21,978,433 | 94,800,681 |
| Less billings to date | 45,978,150 | 20,038,823 | 66,016,973 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | \$ 26,844,098 | \$ 1,939,610 | \$ 28,783,708 |

At December 31, 2005, costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts (unbilled) consist of:

| Commercial | Total |
|------------|-------|
|------------|-------|

| | U.S. Government | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts | \$ 41,075,851 | \$ 14,400,603 | \$ 55,476,454 |
| Estimated earnings | 25,430,030 | 6,273,397 | 31,703,427 |
| | 66,505,881 | 20,674,000 | 87,179,881 |
| Less billings to date | 39,878,934 | 18,911,745 | 58,790,679 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts | \$ 26,626,947 | \$ 1,762,255 | \$ 28,389,202 |

Unbilled costs and estimated earnings are billed in accordance with applicable contract terms. As of December 31, 2006, \$1,808,000 of the balances above, primarily commercial contracts, are not expected to be collected within one year. There are no amounts billed under retainage provisions.

F-11

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2006

3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE:

Accounts receivable consists of trade receivables as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Billed receivables | \$ 1,182,700 | \$ 1,517,669 |
| Unbilled receivables on completed contracts | 239,435 | 332,127 |
| | \$ 1,422,135 | \$ 1,849,796 |

4. PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:

Plant and equipment, at cost, consists of the following:

| December 31, | 2006 | 2005 | Estimated Useful Life |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Machinery and equipment | \$ 570,062 | 544,074 | 5 to 10 years |
| Computer equipment | 731,304 | 653,013 | 5 years |
| Furniture and fixtures | 145,179 | 144,581 | 7 years |
| Automobiles and trucks | 23,488 | 23,488 | 5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | 613,935 | 609,312 | 10 years |
| Less accumulated | 2,083,968 | 1,974,468 | |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| depreciation and amortization | 1,228,232 | 1,012,259 |
| | \$ 855,736 | \$962,209 |

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$215,973, \$193,628 and \$184,355, respectively.

5. RELATED PARTY
TRANSACTIONS:

In October 2000, the Company adopted a Greit Plan for the purpose of offering senior management a deferred compensation death benefit plan (the "Plan") that provides a tax-free benefit and which is tax-neutral to the Company. Pursuant to the Plan, the Company made a noninterest-bearing loan to an employee in the amount of \$150,000, which was used to purchase the Plan. The Plan has since been terminated. The surrender value has been returned to the employee who has placed the proceeds from the surrender value in an annuity, which will mature to \$150,000. Simultaneously, the employee purchased an insurance policy in the amount of \$150,000, of which the Company is the sole beneficiary. The loan to the employee will be repaid to the Company upon the maturity date of the annuity or upon the death of the employee, whichever occurs first.

6. LINE OF CREDIT:

In September 2003, the Company entered into a three year, \$5.0 million revolving credit facility with JP Morgan Chase Bank (the "Chase Facility"), secured by the assets of the Company. The facility specified interest rates that ranged between the Prime Rate and 225 basis points over LIBOR, depending on certain terms and conditions.

F-12

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

In October 2006, the Chase Facility was amended and restated to provide for a \$1.0 million revolving credit facility, secured by the assets of the Company. The facility specifies an interest rate equal to the greater of (a) the prime rate and (b) the federal funds rate, plus 0.5% (6.0% at December 31, 2006). The facility expired on December 31, 2006 and has been extended through April 30, 2007.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had borrowed \$350,000 under the Chase Facility.

7. COMMITMENTS:

The Company has employment agreements with four employees. The aggregate future commitment under these agreements is as follows:

| | | |
|--------------------------|------|--------------|
| Year ending December 31, | | |
| | 2007 | \$ 773,150 |
| | 2008 | 348,000 |
| | | \$ 1,121,150 |

These agreements provide for additional bonus payments that are calculated as defined.

The Company leases an office and warehouse facility under a non-cancelable operating lease which expires in December 2014. The aggregate future commitment under this agreement is as follows:

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Year ending December 31, | | |
| | 2007 | \$ 397,838 |
| | 2008 | 409,772 |
| | 2009 | 422,066 |
| | 2010 | 434,728 |
| | 2011 | 447,771 |
| | Thereafter | 1,425,530 |
| | | \$ 3,537,705 |

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$430,050, \$436,248 and \$313,167, respectively.

8. INCOME TAXES:

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

| Years ended December 31, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ (628,000) | \$ 734,000 | \$ 572,000 |
| State and local | | 70,000 | 20,000 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (29,000) | 334,000 | 1,202,000 |
| | \$ (657,000) | \$ 1,138,000 | \$ 1,794,000 |

F-13

[Table of Contents](#)

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

The difference between the income tax provision computed at the federal statutory rate and the actual tax provision is accounted for as follows:

| December 31, | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Taxes computed at the federal statutory rate | \$ (597,000) | \$ 904,000 | \$ 2,336,000 |
| State income taxes | (78,000) | 70,000 | 20,000 |
| Permanent differences | 18,000 | 136,000 | 38,000 |
| Utilization of net operating loss carryforward | | 28,000 | (600,000) |
| | \$ (657,000) | \$ 1,138,000 | \$ 1,794,000 |

The components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| State net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 78,000 | — |
| Temporary differences | \$ (508,000) | \$ (478,000) |
| | \$ (430,000) | \$ (478,000) |

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the temporary differences results from depreciation and difference in revenue recognized between book and taxes.

During 2004, the Company reversed approximately \$850,000 of valuation allowance, which was reducing the deferred tax asset related to the Company's net operating loss carryforwards to an amount that the Company deemed more likely than not to be realized. The Company has no valuation allowance at December 31, 2006.

The Company recognized, for income tax purposes, a tax benefit of \$4,600 and \$54,000 and \$421,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for compensation expense related to its stock option plan for which no corresponding charge to operations has been recorded. Such amounts have been added to additional paid-in capital in those years.

9. EMPLOYEE
STOCK
OPTION PLANS:

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company began recording compensation expense associated with stock options in accordance SFAS No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment." Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation related to stock options under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25; therefore, the Company measured compensation expense for its stock option plans

using the intrinsic value method, that is, as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the grant date over the amount required to be paid to acquire the stock, and provided the disclosures required by SFAS No. 123 and 148.

F-14

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2006

The Company has adopted the modified prospective transition method provided under SFAS No. 123R, and as a result, has not retroactively adjusted results from prior period. Under this transition method, compensation expense associated with stock options recognized in fiscal year 2006 includes: (1) expense related to the remaining unvested portion of all stock option awards granted prior to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123; and (2) expense related to all stock option awards granted subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123R.

As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company's net loss for the year ended December 31, 2006, includes approximately \$256,000 of compensation expense and related reductions in income tax expense of \$4,600. The compensation expense related to the Company's stock based compensation arrangements is recorded as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. Prior to the Company's adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company presented tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as cash flows from operating activities on the Company's statement of cash flows. SFAS 123R requires that cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the cumulative compensation cost recognized from options exercised (excess tax benefits) be classified as cash inflows from financing activities and cash outflows from operating activities.

In April 1992, the Company adopted the 1992 Stock Option Plan (the "1992 Plan"). The 1992 Plan, for which

83,334 common shares are reserved for issuance, provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options may not be exercised more than five years from the date of issuance. No more options may be granted under the 1992 Plan.

In 1995, the Company adopted the 1995 Stock Option Plan (the "1995 Plan"), as amended, for which 200,000 common shares are reserved for issuance. The 1995 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options' exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company's shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company's president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company's shares on the date of issuance.

In 1998, the Company adopted the 1998 Performance Equity Plan (the "1998 Plan"). The 1998 Plan, as amended, reserved 463,334 common shares for issuance. The 1998 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options' exercise price is equal to the closing price of the Company's shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company's president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company's shares on the date of issuance.

F-15

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

In 2000, the Company adopted the Performance Equity Plan 2000 (the "2000 Plan"). The 2000 Plan, as amended, reserved 1,230,000 common shares for issuance. The 2000 Plan provides for the issuance of either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to employees, consultants or others who provide services to the Company. The options' exercise price is equal to the

closing price of the Company's shares on the day of issuance, except for incentive stock options granted to the Company's president, which are exercisable at 110% of the closing price of the Company's shares on the date of issuance.

The Company has 285 options available for grant under the 1995 Plan, 666 options available for grant under the 1998 Plan, and 373,025 options available for grant under the 2000 Plan.

The estimated fair value of each option award granted was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The following weighted-average assumptions were used for option grants during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.2% | 3.9% | 4.0% |
| Expected volatility | 61% | 32% | 35% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected option term-in years | 5 | 5 | 5 |

The risk free interest rate for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is based on the 5 year U.S. Treasury note rate on the day of grant. The expected volatility computation for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 is based on the average of the volatility over the most recent two year period. The expected volatility computation for the year ended December 31, 2006 is based on the average of the volatility over the most recent three year period which represents the Company's estimate of expected volatility over the expected option term. The Company has never paid a dividend, and is not expected to pay a dividend in the foreseeable future, therefore the dividend yield is assumed to be zero.

F-16

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2006

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans is as follows:

| Fixed Options | Options | Weighted average Exercise Price | Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-----------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2004 | 1,114,752 | \$ 3.84 | | |
| Granted during period | 130,000 | 10.44 | | |
| Exercised | (120,000) | 2.78 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | (50,000) | 8.75 | | |
| Outstanding and vested at December 31, 2004 | 1,074,752 | \$ 4.53 | 6.39 | |
| Granted during period | 80,000 | 10.01 | | |
| Exercised | (24,667) | 5.88 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | — | | | |
| Outstanding and vested at December 31, 2005 | 1,130,085 | \$ 4.89 | 5.36 | |
| Granted during period | 113,333 | 8.12 | | |
| Exercised | (3,000) | 6.35 | | |
| Forfeited/Expired | — | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 1,240,418 | \$ 5.17 | 4.38 | \$ 3,309,435 |
| Vested at December 31, 2006 | 1,215,418 | \$ 5.14 | 4.44 | \$ 3,308,985 |

The weighted-average fair value of each option granted during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model was \$2.78, \$3.49 and \$5.69, respectively.

As of December 31, 2006 there was \$90,562 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option awards which will be amortized through December 2008.

The net income for the years December 31, 2005 and 2004 does not include any compensation charges related to options granted to employees. The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on net loss and loss per share assuming the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 instead of the intrinsic value method under APB No. 25 to stock-based employee compensation for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004:

F-17

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

| Year ended December 31, | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Net income — as reported | \$ 1,519,433 | \$ 5,076,031 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | 483,205 | 476,865 |
| Net income — pro forma | \$ 1,036,228 | \$ 4,599,166 |
| Basic income per share — as reported | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.94 |
| Basic income per share — pro forma | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.85 |
| Diluted income per share — as reported | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.83 |
| Diluted income per share — pro forma | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.75 |

10. WARRANTS AND OPTIONS:

In February 2003, the Company issued to an underwriter (and its designees) warrants to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock as compensation related to the Company's public offering. The warrants are exercisable, in whole or in part, at \$4.40 per share from February 2004 through February 2008. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, warrants to purchase 195,000 shares remain outstanding.

The fair value of the warrants on the date of issuance was \$775,000.

11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN:

On September 11, 1996, CPI's board of directors instituted a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). On October 1, 1998, the Company amended and standardized its plan as required by the Code. Pursuant to the amended plan, qualified employees may contribute a percentage of their pretax eligible compensation to the Plan and the Company will match a percentage of each employee's contribution. Additionally, the Company has a profit-sharing plan covering all eligible employees. Contributions by the Company are at the discretion of management. The amount of contributions recorded by the Company in 2006, 2005 and 2004 amounted to \$65,823, \$91,318 and \$108,706, respectively.

12. MAJOR CUSTOMER:

93% of the sales in 2006, 96% of the sales in 2005 and 99% of sales in 2004 were to the U.S. government. 85% and 78% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, were from the U. S. government.

13. SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth certain unaudited quarterly statement of operations data from the eight quarters ended December 31, 2006. This information is unaudited, but in

the opinion of management, it has been prepared substantially on the same basis as the audited financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report, and all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, have been included in the amounts stated below. The quarterly data should be read in conjunction with the current audited statements and notes thereto. The total of the quarterly EPS data may not be indicative of full year results.

F-18

Table of Contents

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

| 2006 | Quarter Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| Revenue | \$5,030,193 | \$ 2,457,016 | \$4,412,931 | \$6,007,849 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 116,894 | (2,038,334) | (12,577) | 21,758 |
| Net income/(loss) | 68,894 | (1,333,334) | (12,577) | 12,011 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | 0.01 | (0.24) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | 0.01 | (0.24) | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| 2005 | Quarter Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | March 31, | June 30, | September 30, | December 31, |
| Revenue | \$6,245,102 | \$6,313,432 | \$6,452,246 | \$6,515,624 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 936,606 | 867,817 | 879,847 | (13,984) |
| Net income/(loss) | 578,656 | 509,193 | 548,498 | (116,914) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.10 | (0.02) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | (0.01) |

F-19

Table of Contents

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 30, 2007

CPI AEROSTRUCTURES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Edward J. Fred
Edward J. Fred
Chief Executive Officer, President,
Secretary and Director

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ Eric Rosenfeld Eric Rosenfeld | Chairman of the Board of Directors | March 30, 2007 |
| /s/ Edward J. Fred Edward J. Fred | Chief Executive Officer, President, Secretary and Director | March 30, 2007 |
| /s/ Vincent Palazzolo Vincent Palazzolo | Chief Financial Officer | March 30, 2007 |
| /s/ Walter Paulick Walter Paulick | Director | March 30, 2007 |
| /s/ Kenneth McSweeney Kenneth McSweeney | Director | March 30, 2007 |
| /s/ Harvey Bazaar Harvey Bazaar | Director | March 30, 2007 |
