

AMES NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[Mark One]

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-32637

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

IOWA
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

42-1039071
(I. R. S. Employer Identification Number)

405 FIFTH STREET
AMES, IOWA 50010
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (515) 232-6251

NOT APPLICABLE
(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this Chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| | |
|---|---|
| COMMON STOCK, \$2.00 PAR VALUE (Class) | 9,432,915 (Shares Outstanding at April 29, 2011) |
|---|---|

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Part I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 36 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 36 |
| Part II. Other Information | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 36 |
| Item 1.A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 36 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 37 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 37 |
| Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u> | 37 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 37 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 37 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 38 |

Index

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| ASSETS | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 18,580,475 | \$ 15,478,133 |
| Federal funds sold | 1,100,000 | 3,000,000 |
| Interest bearing deposits in financial institutions | 35,945,642 | 19,229,814 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 494,296,844 | 469,907,901 |
| Loans receivable, net | 417,087,088 | 418,093,571 |
| Loans held for sale | 975,296 | 1,993,108 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 11,408,888 | 11,538,588 |
| Accrued income receivable | 6,726,176 | 6,098,535 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,707,025 | 3,305,983 |
| Other real estate owned | 10,472,356 | 10,538,883 |
| Other assets | 4,431,503 | 3,790,329 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,003,731,293 | \$ 962,974,845 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Demand, noninterest bearing | \$ 101,688,858 | \$ 105,513,143 |
| NOW accounts | 222,146,501 | 201,230,880 |
| Savings and money market | 215,151,239 | 199,017,213 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | 99,776,899 | 94,858,053 |
| Other time | 141,099,064 | 143,242,355 |
| Total deposits | 779,862,561 | 743,861,644 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | | |
| | 57,072,064 | 54,858,701 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 402,556 | 2,047,175 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 16,229,153 | 16,745,497 |
| Other long-term borrowings | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Dividend payable | 1,226,279 | 1,037,621 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 4,198,891 | 3,061,183 |
| Total liabilities | 878,991,504 | 841,611,821 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, \$2 par value, authorized 18,000,000 shares; 9,432,915 shares issued and outstanding | 18,865,830 | 18,865,830 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 22,651,222 | 22,651,222 |
| Retained earnings | 78,765,735 | 76,519,493 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income-net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale | 4,457,002 | 3,326,479 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 124,739,789 | 121,363,024 |

| | | | | |
|--|----|---------------|----|-------------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 1,003,731,293 | \$ | 962,974,845 |
|--|----|---------------|----|-------------|

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

Index

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Interest income: | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 5,740,432 | \$ 6,099,479 |
| Securities: | | |
| Taxable | 1,662,469 | 1,827,521 |
| Tax-exempt | 1,636,965 | 1,365,582 |
| Interest bearing deposits and federal funds sold | 107,926 | 130,113 |
| Total interest income | 9,147,792 | 9,422,695 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Deposits | 1,370,911 | 1,662,354 |
| Other borrowed funds | 378,642 | 403,158 |
| Total interest expense | 1,749,553 | 2,065,512 |
| Net interest income | 7,398,239 | 7,357,183 |
| Provision for loan losses | - | 323,798 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,398,239 | 7,033,385 |
| Noninterest income: | | |
| Trust department income | 514,544 | 530,716 |
| Service fees | 329,558 | 399,823 |
| Securities gains, net | 421,155 | 536,983 |
| Gain on sale of loans held for sale | 220,865 | 153,536 |
| Merchant and ATM fees | 175,871 | 165,387 |
| Other noninterest income | 185,207 | 171,320 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,847,200 | 1,957,765 |
| Noninterest expense: | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,766,508 | 2,598,039 |
| Data processing | 445,815 | 450,964 |
| Occupancy expenses | 394,158 | 401,154 |
| FDIC insurance assessments | 272,742 | 313,357 |
| Other real estate owned | 75,795 | 56,353 |
| Other operating expenses, net | 654,591 | 713,072 |
| Total noninterest expense | 4,609,609 | 4,532,939 |
| Income before income taxes | 4,635,830 | 4,458,211 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,163,309 | 1,188,611 |
| Net income | \$ 3,472,521 | \$ 3,269,600 |

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.35 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.11 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 4,603,044 | \$ 4,020,055 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,472,521 | \$ 3,269,600 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | - | 323,798 |
| Provision for off-balance sheet commitments | 5,000 | 13,000 |
| Amortization, net | 1,241,499 | 636,519 |
| Depreciation | 167,371 | 181,712 |
| Provision (credit) for deferred income taxes | (65,000) | 198,735 |
| Securities gains, net | (421,155) | (536,983) |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned | (13,224) | (11,754) |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Decrease (increase) in loans held for sale | 1,017,812 | (685,165) |
| Increase in accrued income receivable | (627,641) | (740,688) |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets | (643,534) | 346,202 |
| Increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,132,708 | 323,760 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 5,266,357 | 3,318,736 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale | (72,419,765) | (48,046,365) |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale | 13,083,096 | 11,881,313 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available-for-sale | 35,919,373 | 36,859,140 |
| Net increase in interest bearing deposits in financial institutions | (16,713,338) | (9,321,194) |
| Net decrease in federal funds sold | 1,900,000 | - |
| Net decrease in loans | 882,614 | 5,502,745 |
| Net proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 230,606 | 51,216 |
| Purchase of bank premises and equipment, net | (35,311) | (49,940) |
| Other changes in other real estate owned | (26,986) | 1,833 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (37,179,711) | (3,121,252) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Increase in deposits | 36,000,917 | 1,341,364 |
| Increase in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2,213,363 | 4,067,675 |
| Payments from other short-term borrowings, net | (1,644,619) | (37,339) |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | - | 2,500,000 |
| Payments on long-term borrowings | (516,344) | (500,000) |
| Dividends paid | (1,037,621) | (943,292) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 35,015,696 | 6,428,408 |

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 3,102,342 | 6,625,892 |
| CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS | | |
| Beginning | 15,478,133 | 18,796,664 |
| Ending | \$ 18,580,475 | \$ 25,422,556 |

5

Index

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)
 Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | |
| Cash payments for: | | |
| Interest | \$1,759,140 | \$2,116,133 |
| Income taxes | 167,716 | 696,462 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$123,869 | \$701,529 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Index

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are unaudited. In the opinion of the management of Ames National Corporation (the "Company"), these financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly these consolidated financial statements. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results which may be expected for an entire year. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in complete financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been omitted in accordance with the requirements for interim financial statements. The interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the year-end audited financial statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the "Annual Report"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned banking subsidiaries (the "Banks"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain immaterial reclassifications have been made to previously presented financial statements to conform to the 2011 presentation.

Fair value of financial instruments: The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair value disclosures:

Cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits in financial institutions and federal funds sold: The recorded amount of these assets approximates fair value.

Securities available-for-sale: Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the securities credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions.

Loans receivable: The fair value of loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates, which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. The estimate of maturity is based on the historical experience, with repayments for each loan classification modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of current economic and lending conditions. The effect of nonperforming loans is considered in assessing the credit risk inherent in the fair value estimate.

Loans held for sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is based on prevailing market prices.

Deposit liabilities: Fair values of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW and money market accounts, are equal to the amount payable on demand as of the respective balance sheet date. Fair values of certificates of deposit are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value estimates do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market.

Index

Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase: The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximate fair value because of the generally short-term nature of the instruments.

Short-term borrowings: The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings approximate fair value because of the generally short-term nature of the instruments.

FHLB advances and other long-term borrowings: Fair values of FHLB advances and other long-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on interest rates currently being offered with similar terms.

Accrued income receivable and accrued interest payable: The carrying amounts of accrued income receivable and interest payable approximate fair value.

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In April, 2011 the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance which modifies certain aspects contained in the Receivables topic of FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 310. The standard clarifies the guidance on evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this Update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on the Company’s financial position and results of operations.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance for improving disclosures about fair value measurements, which requires additional disclosure in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). A reporting entity should separately present information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued guidance for improving disclosures about an entity’s credit quality and risk exposures of its loans and the allowance for loan losses. These enhanced disclosures were effective for the Company for reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. In January 2011, the FASB temporarily delayed the effective date of the disclosures required for troubled debt restructurings. The amendment to the original pronouncement did not delay any of the other required disclosures. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements, and the Company made all applicable required disclosures.

2. Dividends

On February 9, 2011, the Company declared a cash dividend on its common stock, payable on May 16, 2011 to stockholders of record as of May 2, 2011, equal to \$0.13 per share.

3. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share amounts were calculated using the weighted average shares outstanding during the periods presented. The weighted average outstanding shares for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were 9,432,915. The Company had no potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the periods presented.

Index

4. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. No material changes in the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements have occurred since December 31, 2010.

5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments (as described in Note 1) were as follows:

| | March 31, 2011 | | December 31, 2010 | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 18,580,475 | \$ 18,580,000 | \$ 15,478,133 | \$ 15,478,000 |
| Federal funds sold | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| Interest bearing deposits | 35,945,642 | 35,946,000 | 19,229,814 | 19,230,000 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 494,296,844 | 494,297,000 | 469,907,901 | 469,908,000 |
| Loans receivable, net | 417,087,088 | 415,206,000 | 418,093,571 | 415,833,000 |
| Loans held for sale | 975,296 | 975,000 | 1,993,108 | 1,993,000 |
| Accrued income receivable | 6,726,176 | 6,726,000 | 6,098,535 | 6,099,000 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ 779,862,561 | \$ 782,039,000 | \$ 743,861,644 | \$ 746,401,000 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 57,072,064 | 57,072,000 | 54,858,701 | 54,859,000 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 402,556 | 403,000 | 2,047,175 | 2,047,000 |
| FHLB and other long-term borrowings | 36,229,153 | 38,714,000 | 36,745,497 | 39,303,000 |
| Accrued interest payable | 860,868 | 861,000 | 870,455 | 870,000 |

The methodology used to determine fair value as of March 31, 2011 did not change from the methodology used in the Annual Report.

6. Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities carried at fair value are required to be classified and disclosed according to the process for determining fair value. There are three levels of determining fair value.

- Level 1: Inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices, unadjusted, for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. A quoted price in an active market provides the most reliable evidence of fair value and shall be used to measure fair value whenever available.

Index

- Level 2: Inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs to the valuation methodology that are derived principally from or can be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The following table presents the balances of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| Description | Total | Quoted Prices in Active markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--|---------------|--|---|--|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | 90,760,000 | - | 90,760,000 | - |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 142,300,000 | - | 142,300,000 | - |
| State and political subdivisions | 235,198,000 | - | 235,198,000 | - |
| Corporate bonds | 20,197,000 | - | 20,197,000 | - |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | 2,822,000 | 2,822,000 | - | - |
| Equity securities, other | 3,020,000 | - | 3,020,000 | - |
| | \$494,297,000 | \$2,822,000 | \$491,475,000 | \$ - |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| U.S. treasury | \$503,000 | \$503,000 | \$- | \$ - |
| U.S. government agencies | 87,413,000 | - | 87,413,000 | - |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 127,349,000 | - | 127,349,000 | - |
| State and political subdivisions | 228,373,000 | - | 228,373,000 | - |
| Corporate bonds | 20,372,000 | - | 20,372,000 | - |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | 2,814,000 | 2,814,000 | - | - |
| Equity securities, other | 3,084,000 | 18,000 | 3,066,000 | - |
| | \$469,908,000 | \$3,335,000 | \$466,573,000 | \$ - |

Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, as well as U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets. Level 2 securities include U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities (including pools and collateralized mortgage obligations), municipal bonds, and corporate debt securities.

Index

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). The following table presents the assets carried on the balance sheet (after specific reserves) by caption and by level with the valuation hierarchy as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| Description | Total | Quoted Prices in Active markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|
| March 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Loans | \$3,540,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 3,540,000 |
| Other real estate owned | 10,472,000 | - | - | 10,472,000 |
| Total | \$ 14,012,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 14,012,000 |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Loans | \$3,660,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 3,660,000 |
| Other real estate owned | 10,539,000 | - | - | 10,539,000 |
| Total | \$ 14,199,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 14,199,000 |

Loans in the tables above consist of impaired credits held for investment. Impaired loans are valued by management based on collateral values underlying the loans. Management uses appraised values and adjusts for trends observed in the market to determine the value of impaired loans. Other real estate owned in the table above consists of real estate obtained through foreclosure. Management uses appraised values and adjusts for trends observed in the market and for disposition costs in determining the value of other real estate owned.

Index

7. Debt and Equity Securities

The amortized cost of securities available for sale and their approximate fair values are summarized below:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| March 31, 2011: | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ 89,854,420 | \$ 1,076,850 | \$ (171,695) | \$ 90,759,575 |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 140,474,927 | 2,308,406 | (483,379) | 142,299,954 |
| State and political subdivisions | 231,581,155 | 4,175,642 | (558,331) | 235,198,466 |
| Corporate bonds | 18,919,947 | 1,283,292 | (6,411) | 20,196,828 |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | 3,402,389 | - | (580,768) | 2,821,621 |
| Equity securities, other | 2,989,400 | 31,000 | - | 3,020,400 |
| | \$ 487,222,238 | \$ 8,875,190 | \$ (1,800,584) | \$ 494,296,844 |

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| December 31, 2010: | | | | |
| U.S. treasury | \$ 499,885 | \$ 3,265 | \$ - | \$ 503,150 |
| U.S. government agencies | 86,336,578 | 1,190,768 | (114,727) | 87,412,619 |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 125,740,846 | 2,237,443 | (629,668) | 127,348,621 |
| State and political subdivisions | 226,352,715 | 3,254,157 | (1,234,045) | 228,372,827 |
| Corporate bonds | 19,220,366 | 1,183,213 | (31,575) | 20,372,004 |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | 3,402,389 | - | (588,208) | 2,814,181 |
| Equity securities, other | 3,074,999 | 9,500 | - | 3,084,499 |
| | \$ 464,627,778 | \$ 7,878,346 | \$ (2,598,223) | \$ 469,907,901 |

Non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was primarily impacted by net security gains of approximately \$421,000 and \$537,000, respectively.

Index

Unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| March 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | \$25,237,050 | \$(171,695) | \$- | \$- | \$25,237,050 | \$(171,695) |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 40,336,186 | (458,186) | 1,897,485 | (25,193) | 42,233,671 | (483,379) |
| State and political subdivisions | 39,853,787 | (557,328) | 510,128 | (1,003) | 40,363,915 | (558,331) |
| Corporate obligations | 243,589 | (6,411) | - | - | 243,589 | (6,411) |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | - | - | 2,821,621 | (580,768) | 2,821,621 | (580,768) |
| | \$105,670,612 | \$(1,193,620) | \$5,229,234 | \$(606,964) | \$110,899,846 | \$(1,800,584) |

| | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or More | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2010: | | | | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | \$15,321,189 | \$(107,139) | \$372,404 | \$(7,588) | \$15,693,593 | \$(114,727) |
| U.S. government mortgage-backed securities | 43,327,689 | (629,668) | - | - | 43,327,689 | (629,668) |
| State and political subdivisions | 53,299,308 | (1,218,282) | 497,051 | (15,763) | 53,796,359 | (1,234,045) |
| Corporate obligations | 2,022,914 | (31,575) | - | - | 2,022,914 | (31,575) |
| Equity securities, financial industry common stock | - | - | 2,814,181 | (588,208) | 2,814,181 | (588,208) |
| | \$113,971,100 | \$(1,986,664) | \$3,683,636 | \$(611,559) | \$117,654,736 | \$(2,598,223) |

At March 31, 2011, debt securities have unrealized losses of \$1,219,816. These losses are generally due to changes in interest rates or general market conditions. In analyzing an issuers' financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond ratings agencies have occurred and industry analysts' reports. Unrealized losses on equity securities totaled \$580,768 as of March 31, 2011. Management analyzed the financial condition of the equity issuers and considered the general market conditions and other factors in concluding that the unrealized losses on equity securities were not other-than-temporary. Due to potential changes in conditions, it is at least reasonably possible changes in fair values and management's assessments will occur in the near term and that such changes could lead to additional impairment charges, thereby materially affecting the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements.

Index

8. Credit Disclosures

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Construction Real Estate | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Consumer and Other | |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 731,000 | \$ 1,404,000 | \$ 2,720,000 | \$ 486,000 | \$ 1,152,000 | \$ 735,000 | \$ 293,000 | \$ 7,521,000 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | 35,000 | 17,000 | 8,000 | 30,000 | (46,000) | (33,000) | (11,000) | - |
| Recoveries of loans charged-off | - | - | - | - | 14,000 | - | 6,000 | 20,000 |
| Loans charged-off | - | - | - | - | - | - | (14,000) | (14,000) |
| Balance, March 31, 2011 | \$ 766,000 | \$ 1,421,000 | \$ 2,728,000 | \$ 516,000 | \$ 1,120,000 | \$ 702,000 | \$ 274,000 | \$ 7,527,000 |

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 | | | | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Construction Real Estate | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Consumer and Other | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | \$ 1,040,000 | \$ 1,133,000 | \$ 2,683,000 | \$ 523,000 | \$ 1,199,000 | \$ 642,000 | \$ 432,000 | \$ 7,652,000 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | 47,000 | 189,000 | 4,000 | 1,000 | 59,000 | 35,000 | (11,000) | 324,000 |
| Recoveries of loans charged-off | - | - | - | - | 1,000 | 14,000 | 6,000 | 21,000 |
| Loans charged-off | (22,000) | (115,000) | (20,000) | - | - | (15,000) | (143,000) | (315,000) |
| Balance, March 31, 2010 | \$ 1,065,000 | \$ 1,207,000 | \$ 2,667,000 | \$ 524,000 | \$ 1,259,000 | \$ 676,000 | \$ 284,000 | \$ 7,682,000 |

Allowance for loan losses disaggregated on the basis of impairment analysis method as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | Total |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Construction Real Estate | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Consumer and Other | |

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 218,000 | \$ 186,000 | \$ 26,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 13,000 | \$ 443,000 |
|---|------------|------------|-----------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 548,000 | 1,235,000 | 2,702,000 | 516,000 | 1,120,000 | 702,000 | 261,000 | 7,084,000 |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Balance March 31, 2011 | \$ 766,000 | \$ 1,421,000 | \$ 2,728,000 | \$ 516,000 | \$ 1,120,000 | \$ 702,000 | \$ 274,000 | \$ 7,527,000 |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|

December 31, 2010

| | 1-4 Family | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| | Construction Real Estate | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Agricultural | Consumer and Other | Total | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 223,000 | \$ 158,000 | \$ 42,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 22,000 | \$ 445,000 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 508,000 | 1,246,000 | 2,678,000 | 486,000 | 1,152,000 | 735,000 | 271,000 | 7,076,000 |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Balance December 31, 2010 | \$ 731,000 | \$ 1,404,000 | \$ 2,720,000 | \$ 486,000 | \$ 1,152,000 | \$ 735,000 | \$ 293,000 | \$ 7,521,000 |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|

Index

Loans receivable disaggregated on the basis of impairment analysis method as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Construction Real Estate | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial | Agricultural | Consumer and Other | T |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$3,925,000 | \$1,044,000 | \$968,000 | \$- | \$45,000 | \$- | \$14,000 | \$5,990,000 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 16,302,000 | 88,496,000 | 138,424,000 | 31,997,000 | 78,365,000 | 43,703,000 | 21,394,000 | 418,381,000 |
| Balance March 31, 2011 | \$20,227,000 | \$89,540,000 | \$139,392,000 | \$31,997,000 | \$78,410,000 | \$43,703,000 | \$21,408,000 | \$424,371,000 |
| | December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | |
| | Construction Real Estate | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial | Agricultural | Consumer and Other | T |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$4,156,000 | \$1,395,000 | \$802,000 | \$- | \$45,000 | \$- | \$34,000 | \$6,432,000 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 15,441,000 | 87,538,000 | 138,568,000 | 31,931,000 | 78,128,000 | 45,630,000 | 22,018,000 | 419,244,000 |
| Balance March 31, 2010 | \$19,597,000 | \$88,933,000 | \$139,370,000 | \$31,931,000 | \$78,173,000 | \$45,630,000 | \$22,052,000 | \$425,683,000 |

Index

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payment of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. The Company will apply its normal loan review procedures to identify loans that should be evaluated for impairment. The following is a recap of impaired loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on these loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011:

| | March 31, 2011 | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| With no specific reserve recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate - construction | \$ 1,149,000 | \$ 1,149,000 | \$ - | \$ 1,220,000 | \$ - |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 501,000 | 501,000 | - | 674,000 | - |
| Real estate - commercial | 318,000 | 318,000 | - | 227,000 | - |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | 45,000 | 145,000 | - | 45,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | - | - | - | 5,000 | - |
| Total loans with no specific reserve: | 2,013,000 | 2,113,000 | - | 2,171,000 | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | |
| Real estate - construction | 2,776,000 | 2,776,000 | 218,000 | 2,821,000 | - |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 543,000 | 543,000 | 186,000 | 546,000 | - |
| Real estate - commercial | 650,000 | 650,000 | 26,000 | 658,000 | - |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | 14,000 | 14,000 | 13,000 | 19,000 | - |
| Total loans with specific reserve: | 3,983,000 | 3,983,000 | 443,000 | 4,044,000 | - |
| Total | | | | | |
| Real estate - construction | 3,925,000 | 3,925,000 | 218,000 | 4,041,000 | - |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 1,044,000 | 1,044,000 | 186,000 | 1,220,000 | - |
| Real estate - commercial | 968,000 | 968,000 | 26,000 | 885,000 | - |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | 45,000 | 145,000 | - | 45,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | 14,000 | 14,000 | 13,000 | 24,000 | - |
| | \$ 5,996,000 | \$ 6,096,000 | \$ 443,000 | \$ 6,215,000 | \$ - |

Index

| | December 31, 2010 | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance |
| With no specific reserve recorded: | | | |
| Real estate - construction | \$ 1,290,000 | \$ 1,290,000 | \$- |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 846,000 | 846,000 | - |
| Real estate - commercial | 136,000 | 136,000 | - |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | 45,000 | 145,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |
| Total loans with no specific reserve: | 2,327,000 | 2,427,000 | - |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Real estate - construction | 2,866,000 | 2,866,000 | 223,000 |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 549,000 | 549,000 | 158,000 |
| Real estate - commercial | 666,000 | 666,000 | 42,000 |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | - | - | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | 24,000 | 24,000 | 22,000 |
| Total loans with specific reserve: | 4,105,000 | 4,105,000 | 445,000 |
| Total | | | |
| Real estate - construction | 4,156,000 | 4,156,000 | 223,000 |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 1,395,000 | 1,395,000 | 158,000 |
| Real estate - commercial | 802,000 | 802,000 | 42,000 |
| Real estate - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Operating - commercial | 45,000 | 145,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - |
| Consumer and other | 34,000 | 34,000 | 22,000 |
| | \$6,432,000 | \$6,532,000 | \$445,000 |

There are no significant differences between nonaccrual and impaired loan balances at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Index

Past due loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, are as follows:

March 31, 2011

| | 30-89 Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Greater Than 90 Days Accruing |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Real estate - construction | \$872,000 | \$- | \$872,000 | \$19,355,000 | \$20,227,000 | \$- |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 665,000 | 641,000 | 1,306,000 | 88,234,000 | 89,540,000 | 20,000 |
| Real estate - commercial | 315,000 | 318,000 | 633,000 | 138,759,000 | 139,392,000 | 27,000 |
| Real estate - agricultural | 339,000 | - | 339,000 | 31,658,000 | 31,997,000 | - |
| Operating - commercial | 790,000 | 72,000 | 862,000 | 77,548,000 | 78,410,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | 172,000 | - | 172,000 | 43,531,000 | 43,703,000 | - |
| Consumer and other | 135,000 | 7,000 | 142,000 | 21,266,000 | 21,408,000 | - |
| | \$3,288,000 | \$1,038,000 | \$4,326,000 | \$420,351,000 | \$424,677,000 | \$47,000 |

December 31, 2010

| | 30-89 Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Greater Than 90 Days Accruing |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Real estate - construction | \$135,000 | \$- | \$135,000 | \$19,462,000 | \$19,597,000 | \$- |
| Real estate - 1 to 4 family residential | 413,000 | 684,000 | 1,097,000 | 87,836,000 | 88,933,000 | 21,000 |
| Real estate - commercial | 205,000 | 136,000 | 341,000 | 139,029,000 | 139,370,000 | - |
| Real estate - agricultural | 49,000 | - | 49,000 | 31,883,000 | 31,932,000 | - |
| Operating - commercial | 1,399,000 | 45,000 | 1,444,000 | 76,728,000 | 78,172,000 | - |
| Operating - agricultural | - | - | - | 45,630,000 | 45,630,000 | - |
| Consumer and other | 131,000 | 10,000 | 141,000 | 21,911,000 | 22,052,000 | - |
| | \$2,332,000 | \$875,000 | \$3,207,000 | \$422,479,000 | \$425,686,000 | \$21,000 |

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Index

The credit risk profile as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows:

The credit risk profile by internally assigned grade at March 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | Construction Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Operating | Agricultural Operating | Total |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Pass | \$6,508,000 | \$86,879,000 | \$29,177,000 | \$67,108,000 | \$40,833,000 | \$230,505,000 |
| Special Mention | 2,728,000 | 40,163,000 | 2,273,000 | 9,053,000 | 1,004,000 | 55,221,000 |
| Substandard | 7,066,000 | 11,382,000 | 547,000 | 2,204,000 | 1,866,000 | 23,065,000 |
| Substandard-Impaired | 3,925,000 | 968,000 | - | 45,000 | - | 4,938,000 |
| | \$20,227,000 | \$139,392,000 | \$31,997,000 | \$78,410,000 | \$43,703,000 | \$313,729,000 |

The credit risk profile based on payment activity at March 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Consumer and Other | Total |
|----------------|--|-----------------------|----------------|
| Performing | \$ 88,476,000 | \$ 21,394,000 | \$ 109,870,000 |
| Non-performing | 1,064,000 | 14,000 | 1,078,000 |
| | \$ 89,540,000 | \$ 21,408,000 | \$ 110,948,000 |

The credit risk profile by internally assigned grade at December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | Construction Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Agricultural Real Estate | Commercial Operating | Agricultural Operating | Total |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Pass | \$6,739,000 | \$83,235,000 | \$29,580,000 | \$64,791,000 | \$42,941,000 | \$227,286,000 |
| Special Mention | 3,694,000 | 42,137,000 | 2,351,000 | 8,922,000 | 1,318,000 | 58,422,000 |
| Substandard | 5,008,000 | 13,196,000 | - | 4,415,000 | 1,371,000 | 23,990,000 |
| Substandard-Impaired | 4,156,000 | 802,000 | - | 45,000 | - | 5,003,000 |
| | \$19,597,000 | \$139,370,000 | \$31,931,000 | \$78,173,000 | \$45,630,000 | \$314,701,000 |

The credit risk profile based on payment activity at December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | 1-4 Family Residential Real Estate | Consumer and Other | Total |
|----------------|--|-----------------------|----------------|
| Performing | \$ 87,538,000 | \$ 22,018,000 | \$ 109,556,000 |
| Non-performing | 1,395,000 | 34,000 | 1,429,000 |
| | \$ 88,933,000 | \$ 22,052,000 | \$ 110,985,000 |

Index

9. Subsequent Events

Management evaluated subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. There were no significant events or transactions occurring after March 31, 2011, but prior to May 10, 2011 that provided additional evidence about conditions that existed at March 31, 2011. There were no events or transactions that provided evidence about conditions that did not exist at March 31, 2011.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Ames National Corporation (the "Company") is a bank holding company established in 1975 that owns and operates five bank subsidiaries in central Iowa (the "Banks"). The following discussion is provided for the consolidated operations of the Company and its Banks, First National Bank, Ames, Iowa (First National), State Bank & Trust Co. (State Bank), Boone Bank & Trust Co. (Boone Bank), Randall-Story State Bank (Randall-Story Bank) and United Bank & Trust NA (United Bank). The purpose of this discussion is to focus on significant factors affecting the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company does not engage in any material business activities apart from its ownership of the Banks. Products and services offered by the Banks are for commercial and consumer purposes including loans, deposits and trust services. The Banks also offer investment services through a third-party broker-dealer. The Company employs eleven individuals to assist with financial reporting, human resources, audit, compliance, marketing, technology systems and the coordination of management activities, in addition to 176 full-time equivalent individuals employed by the Banks.

The Company's primary competitive strategy is to utilize seasoned and competent Bank management and local decision making authority to provide customers with faster response times and more flexibility in the products and services offered. This strategy is viewed as providing an opportunity to increase revenues through creating a competitive advantage over other financial institutions. The Company also strives to remain operationally efficient to provide better profitability while enabling the Company to offer more competitive loan and deposit rates.

The principal sources of Company revenues and cash flow are: (i) interest and fees earned on loans made by the Company and Banks; (ii) interest on fixed income investments held by the Company and Banks; (iii) fees on trust services provided by those Banks exercising trust powers; (iv) service charges on deposit accounts maintained at the Banks and (v) securities gains. The Company's principal expenses are: (i) interest expense on deposit accounts and other borrowings; (ii) provision for loan losses; (iii) salaries and employee benefits; (iv) data processing costs associated with maintaining the Banks' loan and deposit functions; (v) occupancy expenses for maintaining the Banks' facilities; and (vi) Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance assessments. The largest component contributing to the Company's net income is net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on earning assets (primarily loans and investments) and interest paid on interest bearing liabilities (primarily deposits and other borrowings). One of management's principal functions is to manage the spread between interest earned on earning assets and interest paid on interest bearing liabilities in an effort to maximize net interest income while maintaining an appropriate level of interest rate risk.

The Company had net income of \$3,473,000, or \$0.37 per share, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to net income of \$3,270,000, or \$0.35 per share, for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Total equity capital as of March 31, 2011 totaled \$124.7 million or 12.4% of total assets.

Index

The Company's earnings for the first quarter increased \$203,000 from the \$3,270,000 earned a year ago. The higher quarterly earnings can be primarily attributed to lower provision for loan losses, offset in part by lower securities gains and higher salaries and employee benefits. Salaries and employee benefits increase is primarily due to normal salary increases and higher incentive pay.

Net loan recoveries for the quarter totaled \$6,000, compared to net charge-offs of \$294,000 in the first quarter of 2010. There was no provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2011 compared to the provision for loan losses of \$324,000 for the same period in 2010. This decrease in the net loan charge-offs and the provision for loan losses was due primarily to more stable economic conditions in the Company's lending area in 2011 as compared to 2010.

The following management discussion and analysis will provide a review of important items relating to:

- Challenges
- Key Performance Indicators and Industry Results
 - Critical Accounting Policies
 - Income Statement Review
 - Balance Sheet Review
- Asset Quality and Credit Risk Management
 - Liquidity and Capital Resources
- Forward-Looking Statements and Business Risks

Challenges

Management has identified certain events or circumstances that may negatively impact the Company's financial condition and results of operations in the future and is attempting to position the Company to best respond to those challenges.

- In March of 2009, the Office of Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") imposed individual minimum capital ratios requiring First National to maintain, on an ongoing basis, Tier One Leverage Capital of 9% of Adjusted Total Assets and Total Risk Based Capital of 11% of Risk Weighted Assets. As of March 31, 2011, First National exceeded these capital ratios. Failure to maintain the individual minimum capital ratios could result in additional regulatory action against First National.
- Interest rates are likely to increase as the economy continues its gradual recovery and an increasing interest rate environment may present a challenge to the Company. Increases in interest rates may negatively impact the Company's net interest margin if interest expense increases more quickly than interest income. The Company's earning assets (primarily its loan and investment portfolio) have longer maturities than its interest bearing liabilities (primarily deposits and other borrowings); therefore, in a rising interest rate environment, interest expense may increase more quickly than interest income as the interest bearing liabilities reprice more quickly than earning assets. In response to this challenge, the Banks model quarterly the changes in income that would result from various changes in interest rates. Management believes Bank earning assets have the appropriate maturity and repricing characteristics to optimize earnings and the Banks' interest rate risk positions.
- The Company's market in central Iowa has numerous banks, credit unions, and investment and insurance companies competing for similar business opportunities. This competitive environment will continue to put downward pressure on the Banks' net interest margins and thus affect profitability. Strategic planning efforts at the Company and Banks continue to focus on capitalizing on the Banks' strengths in local markets while working to identify opportunities for improvement to gain competitive advantages.

Index

- Net Loans decreased to \$417.1 million as of March 31, 2011 from \$418.1 million as of December 31, 2010, a 0.2% decline. A decline in the loan portfolio would have a negative impact on the Company's earnings for the year.
- The Company has \$2.8 million in impaired loans with two Des Moines area development companies with specific reserves totaling \$218,000. The Company has additional customer relationships with real estate developers in the Des Moines area that may become impaired in the future if economic conditions do not improve or become worse. The Company has a limited number of such credits and is actively engaged with the customers to minimize credit risk.
- Other real estate owned amounted to \$10.5 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Other real estate owned costs amounted to \$76,000 and \$56,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Management obtains independent appraisals or performs evaluations to determine that these properties are carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value less cost to sell. It is at least reasonably possible that change in fair values will occur in the near term and that such changes could have a negative impact on the Company's earnings.
- The FDIC imposes an assessment against all depository institutions for deposit insurance. The FDIC has the authority to increase insurance assessments. FDIC insurance assessments amounted to \$273,000 and \$313,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, 26 banks failed compared to 161 bank failures for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 140 for the year ended December 31, 2009. An increase in FDIC deposit assessments will have a negative impact on the Company's earnings.
- The Company operates in a highly regulated environment and is subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination. The compliance burden and impact on the Company's operations and profitability is significant. Additionally, in July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. The impacts on operations of the Dodd-Frank Act are currently unknown, as the Dodd-Frank Act delegates to various federal agencies the task of implementing its many provisions through regulation. Hundreds of new federal regulations, studies and reports addressing all of the major areas of the new law, including the regulation of financial institutions and their holding companies, will be required, ensuring that federal rules and policies in this area will be further developing for months and years to come. Based on the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and anticipated implementing regulations, it is highly likely that financial institutions as well as their holding companies will be subject to significantly increased regulation and compliance obligations that expose them to noncompliance risk and consequences. The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection ("BCFP") has broad rulemaking authority to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of the new federal consumer protection laws, and to prevent evasions thereof," with respect to all financial institutions that offer financial products and services to consumers. The BCFP is also authorized to prescribe rules, applicable to any covered person or service provider, identifying and prohibiting acts or practices that are "unfair, deceptive, or abusive" in connection with any transaction with a consumer for a consumer financial product or service, or the offering of a consumer financial product or service ("UDAP authority"). The full reach and impact of the BCFP's broad new rulemaking powers and UDAP authority on the operations of financial institutions offering consumer financial products or services is currently unknown. Notwithstanding, insured depository institutions with assets of \$10 billion or less will continue to be supervised and examined by their primary federal regulators, rather than the BCFP, with respect to compliance with the federal consumer protection laws. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including but not limited to the imposition of restrictions on the operation of an institution, the classification of assets by the institution and the adequacy of an institution's allowance for loan losses. Any change in such regulation and oversight, whether in the form of restrictions on activities, regulatory policy, regulations, or legislation, including but not limited to changes in the regulations governing banks, could have a material impact on the Company's operations. It is unknown at this time to what extent legislation will be passed into law or regulatory proposals will be adopted, or the effect that such passage or adoption will have on the banking industry or the

Company. Applicable laws and regulations may change, and there is no assurance that such changes will not adversely affect the Company's business.

Index

Key Performance Indicators and Industry Results

Certain key performance indicators for the Company and the industry are presented in the following chart. The industry figures are compiled by the FDIC and are derived from 7,657 commercial banks and savings institutions insured by the FDIC. Management reviews these indicators on a quarterly basis for purposes of comparing the Company's performance from quarter to quarter against the industry as a whole.

Selected Indicators for the Company and the Industry

| | Quarter Ended | | | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| | March 31, 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 |
| | Company | Company | Industry* | Company | Industry | Company | Industry |
| Return on assets | 1.40 % | 1.40 % | 0.66 % | 1.02 % | 0.09 % | 0.74 % | 0.12 % |
| Return on equity | 11.31 % | 10.91 % | 5.99 % | 8.31 % | 0.90 % | 5.89 % | 1.24 % |
| Net interest margin | 3.53 % | 3.74 % | 3.76 % | 3.78 % | 3.47 % | 3.94 % | 3.18 % |
| Efficiency ratio | 49.86 % | 50.26 % | 57.22 % | 63.87 % | 55.53 % | 67.40 % | 59.02 % |
| Capital ratio | 12.42 % | 12.80 % | 8.90 % | 12.32 % | 8.65 % | 12.57 % | 7.49 % |

*Latest available data

Key performances indicators include:

•Return on Assets

This ratio is calculated by dividing net income by average assets. It is used to measure how effectively the assets of the Company are being utilized in generating income. The Company's annualized return on average assets was 1.40% and 1.43%, respectively, for the three month periods ending March 31, 2011 and 2010. The decrease in this ratio in 2011 from the previous period is primarily the result of higher average assets, offset in part by an increase in net income.

•Return on Equity

This ratio is calculated by dividing net income by average equity. It is used to measure the net income or return the Company generated for the shareholders' equity investment in the Company. The Company's return on average equity was 11.31% and 11.46%, respectively for the three month periods ending March 31, 2011 and 2010. The decrease in this ratio in 2011 from the previous period is primarily the result of higher average equity, offset in part by an increase in net income.

Index

•Net Interest Margin

The net interest margin for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was 3.53% and 3.78%, respectively. The ratio is calculated by dividing net interest income by average earning assets. Earning assets are primarily made up of loans and investments that earn interest. This ratio is used to measure how well the Company is able to maintain interest rates on earning assets above those of interest-bearing liabilities, which is the interest expense paid on deposits and other borrowings. The decrease in this ratio in 2011 is primarily the result of an increase in lower yielding investment securities.

•Efficiency Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing noninterest expense by net interest income and noninterest income. The ratio is a measure of the Company's ability to manage noninterest expenses. The Company's efficiency ratio was 49.9% and 48.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The change in the efficiency ratio in 2011 from the previous period is primarily the result of increased salaries and benefits and decreased securities gains.

•Capital Ratio

The average capital ratio is calculated by dividing average total equity capital by average total assets. It measures the level of average assets that are funded by shareholders' equity. Given an equal level of risk in the financial condition of two companies, the higher the capital ratio, generally the more financially sound the company. The Company's capital ratio is significantly higher than the industry average.

Industry Results

The FDIC Quarterly Banking Profile reported the following results for the fourth quarter of 2010:

Fourth Quarter Earnings Contrast Favorably with Year-Earlier Net Loss

Lower expenses for troubled loans continued to boost the earnings of insured commercial banks and savings institutions in fourth quarter 2010. The 7,657 institutions filing year-end reports posted quarterly net income of \$21.7 billion, a substantial improvement over the \$1.8 billion net loss in fourth quarter 2009 and the second-highest quarterly total reported since second quarter 2007. The greatest year-over-year improvement in earnings occurred at the largest banks, but almost two out of every three institutions (62%) reported better net income than a year ago. One in four institutions reported a net loss in the fourth quarter, an improvement from a year ago when more than one in three (35%) were unprofitable.

Provisions Fall to Lowest Level in More than Three Years

Insured institutions set aside \$31.6 billion in provisions for loan losses in the fourth quarter, almost 50% less than the \$62.9 billion they set aside a year earlier. This is the smallest quarterly loss provision for the industry since third quarter 2007. Much of the year-over-year reduction in provisions was concentrated among some of the largest banks. Seven large institutions accounted for more than half of the \$31.3 billion reduction. However, a majority of insured institutions (54%) reduced their provisions in the fourth quarter compared to a year ago.

Index

Revenue Growth Slows

Revenue growth was sluggish in the fourth quarter. Net operating revenue (net interest income plus total noninterest income) was \$163.6 billion, only \$2.8 billion (1.7%) higher than a year earlier and \$2.1 billion (1.3%) less than in third quarter 2010. This is the second-smallest year-over-year increase in quarterly net operating income in the past two years (after the \$911 million year-over-year increase in second quarter 2010). Despite the small size of the aggregate increase, revenues were up at almost two-thirds of all institutions (62.4%).

Fee Income Declines

Among the notable areas of noninterest revenue weakness, service charge income on deposit accounts at banks filing Call Reports was \$2.1 billion (20.7%) lower than a year earlier. This is the second consecutive quarter that deposit account fees have declined by 20% or more from the prior year. Asset servicing income was \$2.2 billion (32.3%) lower, and securitization income was down by \$1.5 billion (90.7%). Both declines were primarily the result of changes in accounting rules that affected financial reporting in 2010. The new accounting rules also were responsible for much of the \$7.5 billion (7.5%) year-over-year increase in quarterly net interest income. A majority of institutions (59.8%) reported higher net interest margins than a year ago, but fourth quarter margins were lower than third quarter margins at 55% of institutions.

Higher Asset Values Contribute to Income Improvement

The industry's bottom line also benefited from improvement in asset values. Gains on sales of loans and other assets totaled \$4 billion in the fourth quarter, more than three times the \$1.3 billion in gains that sales produced in fourth quarter 2009. Realized gains on securities totaled \$2.3 billion, compared to \$5 million in realized losses a year earlier.

Full-Year Earnings Represent Sharp Improvement from Revised 2009 Loss

Full-year 2010 net income totaled \$87.5 billion, compared to a revised net loss of \$10.6 billion in 2009. This is the highest full-year earnings total for the industry since 2007. More than two out of every three institutions (67.5%) reported higher earnings in 2010 than in 2009. The proportion of unprofitable institutions fell from 30.6% in 2009 to 21% in 2010. This is the first time in six years that the percentage of institutions reporting full-year net losses has declined. The largest factor in the improvement in the industry's net income was a \$92.6 billion (37.1%) reduction in loan-loss provisions. The second-largest source of improvement was a \$28.7 billion decline in charges for goodwill impairment. An additional contribution came from realized gains on securities and other assets, which were \$10.8 billion higher. The improvement in full-year earnings was limited by increased income taxes, which were \$32.2 billion higher than in 2009. Overall net operating revenue growth was relatively weak in 2010. The \$10.8 billion (1.6%) increase was the second-worst year-over-year change in the past 16 years, after the \$20.4 billion decline registered in 2008. Noninterest income from service charges on deposit accounts was \$5.5 billion (13.1%) lower than in 2009. This is the first time in the 69 years that these data have been collected that full-year service charge income has declined. Insured institutions paid \$53.9 billion in dividends in 2010, an increase of \$6.7 billion (14.3%) over 2009, but less than half the annual record of \$110.3 billion paid in 2007. Retained earnings totaled \$33.6 billion, marking the first year since 2006 that the industry as a whole has reported internal capital growth.

Index

Loan Losses Continue to Decline across Most Major Categories

Net loan and lease charge-offs (NCOs) totaled \$41.9 billion in the fourth quarter, a decline of \$13 billion (23.7%) compared to fourth quarter 2009. With the exception of credit cards (which reflected the application of new accounting rules in 2010), almost all major loan categories posted year-over-year declines in quarterly charge-offs. Real estate construction and development loan charge-offs were \$4.2 billion lower, while charge-offs of commercial and industrial (C&I) loans were down by \$4 billion. Closed-end one-to-four family residential real estate NCOs were \$3.1 billion lower, and home equity line of credit NCOs fell by \$1.5 billion. NCOs of nonfarm nonresidential real estate loans were only \$101 million higher than a year earlier. Reported credit card NCOs were \$2.9 billion higher due to the inclusion in 2010 of NCOs on securitized credit card balances that were not included in prior years. Even with the reporting change, the year-over-year increase in quarterly credit card NCOs was the smallest in two years. On a consecutive-quarter basis, credit card NCOs have fallen in each of the past three quarters.

Nonperforming Asset Balances Fall for Third Consecutive Quarter

The amount of loan and lease balances that were noncurrent (90 days or more past due or in nonaccrual status) fell for a third consecutive quarter, declining by \$17.9 billion (4.7%). Noncurrent balances declined in all major loan categories, led by real estate construction loans (down \$7.4 billion), C&I loans (down \$3.2 billion), multifamily residential real estate loans (down \$2.1 billion), and closed-end one-to-four family residential real estate loans (down \$2 billion). The industry's inventory of other real estate owned (primarily property acquired through foreclosure) declined for the first time since fourth quarter 2005, falling by \$374 million. At the end of 2010, noncurrent assets and other real estate owned represented 3.11% of total industry assets, the lowest share since the end of third quarter 2009.

Reserve Balances Shrink as Loss Provisions Trail Net Charge-Offs

Reserves for loan and lease losses declined for a third consecutive quarter, falling by \$11.1 billion (4.6%), as net charge-offs of \$41.9 billion exceeded loss provisions of \$31.6 billion. Four large banks accounted for more than half of the decline in industry reserves, as more than a third of all institutions (39.4%) reduced their loss reserve balances in the fourth quarter. However, owing to the decline in noncurrent loans, the industry's "coverage ratio" of reserves to noncurrent loans and leases remained essentially unchanged from the previous quarter, at 64.2%. More than half of all institutions (52.3%) increased their coverage ratios in the fourth quarter, while 39.3% reported coverage ratio declines.

Tier 1 Capital Posts Small Increase

Equity capital fell by \$8.5 billion (0.6%) in the fourth quarter, the first quarterly decline since fourth quarter 2008. The drop was caused by a \$16.2 billion (71.9%) decline in unrealized gains on securities held for sale. In contrast, insured institution tier 1 leverage capital, which is not affected by changes in securities values, increased by \$3.4 billion (0.3%). Total regulatory capital declined by \$616 million, reflecting the reduction in loan-loss reserves in the fourth quarter. At the end of 2010, almost 96% of all insured institutions, representing more than 99% of all insured institution assets, met or exceeded the minimum requirements of the highest regulatory capital category, according to the calculations used for purposes of Prompt Corrective Action.

Loan Balances Decline at a Majority of Institutions

Total assets of insured institutions declined by \$51.8 billion (0.4%) in the fourth quarter. Assets in trading accounts fell by \$43.1 billion (5.6%), while total loan and lease balances dropped by \$13.6 billion (0.2%). The largest reductions in loan portfolios occurred in real estate construction and development loans, where balances fell by \$32.5 billion (9.2%); non-credit card consumer loans (down \$29 billion, or 4.9%); and home equity lines of credit, where drawn balances shrank by \$11 billion (1.7%). Securities portfolios rose by \$26.1 billion (1%), as institution holdings

of mortgage-backed securities increased by \$42.7 billion (3%). Among loan categories that posted increases during the quarter, credit cards had a seasonal increase of \$18.1 billion (2.6%); one-to-four family residential mortgage loans increased for the second quarter in a row, rising by \$17 billion (0.9%); and C&I loans also posted a second consecutive quarterly increase, rising by \$11.8 billion (1%). Loan balances fell at almost 60% of insured institutions in the fourth quarter.

Index

Deposit Growth Remains Strong

Deposits grew strongly for a second consecutive quarter, rising by \$149.3 billion (1.6%), after a \$132.7 billion (1.5%) increase in the third quarter. Noninterest-bearing deposits in domestic offices increased by \$81.6 billion (5.1%). Nondeposit liabilities fell by \$200.4 billion (7.8%), as Federal Home Loan Bank advances declined by \$15.9 billion (4%), other secured borrowings dropped by \$64.9 billion (14.3%), and liabilities in trading accounts fell by \$30.2 billion (9.5%). At year-end, deposits funded 70.7% of total industry assets, the highest proportion since the end of first quarter 1996.

Failures Reached an 18-Year High in 2010

The number of insured institutions reporting quarterly financial results fell from 7,761 to 7,657 in the fourth quarter. Thirty insured institutions failed during the quarter and an additional 73 were absorbed in mergers. There were three new charters added in the quarter. For all of 2010, mergers absorbed 197 institutions, while 157 insured commercial banks and savings institutions failed. This is the largest annual number of bank failures since 1992, when 181 institutions failed. Only 11 new reporters were added during 2010, the smallest annual total in the FDIC's 77-year history. The number of institutions on the FDIC's "Problem List" increased from 860 to 884 in the fourth quarter. Total assets of "problem" institutions increased from \$379 billion to \$390 billion.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion contained in this Item 2 and other disclosures included within this report are based, in part, on the Company's audited consolidated financial statements. These statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial information contained in these statements is, for the most part, based on the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. However, the preparation of these statements requires management to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained in the Company's Annual Report. Based on its consideration of accounting policies that involve the most complex and subjective estimates and judgments, management has identified its most critical accounting policies to be those related to the allowance for loan losses, valuation of other real estate owned and the assessment of other-than-temporary impairment of certain financial instruments.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses that is treated as an expense and charged against earnings. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that collectability of the principal is unlikely. The Company has policies and procedures for evaluating the overall credit quality of its loan portfolio, including timely identification of potential problem loans. On a quarterly basis, management reviews the appropriate level for the allowance for loan losses, incorporating a variety of risk considerations, both quantitative and qualitative. Quantitative factors include the Company's historical loss experience, delinquency and charge-off trends, collateral values, known information about individual loans and other factors. Qualitative factors include the general economic environment in the Company's market area. To the extent actual results differ from forecasts and management's judgment, the allowance for loan losses may be greater or lesser than future charge-offs. Due to potential changes in conditions, it is at least reasonably possible that change in estimates will occur in the near term and that such changes could be material to the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements.

Index

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate properties acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially recorded at the fair value less estimated selling cost at the date of foreclosure. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and property held for sale is carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value less cost to sell. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a property exceeds its fair value. Costs of significant property improvements are capitalized, whereas costs relating to holding property are expensed. The portion of interest costs relating to development of real estate is capitalized. Independent appraisals or evaluations are periodically performed by management, and any subsequent write-downs are recorded as a charge to operations, if necessary, to reduce the carrying value of a property to the lower of its cost basis or fair value less cost to sell. These appraisals or evaluations are inherently subjective and require estimates that are susceptible to significant revisions as more information becomes available. Due to potential changes in conditions, it is at least reasonably possible that changes in fair values will occur in the near term and that such changes could materially affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Investment Securities

Declines in the fair value of available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are generally reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers: (1) the intent to sell the investment securities and the more likely than not requirement that the Company will be required to sell the investment securities prior to recovery; (2) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; and (3) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Due to potential changes in conditions, it is at least reasonably possible that change in management's assessment of other-than-temporary impairment will occur in the near term and that such changes could be material to the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements.

Index

Income Statement Review for the Three Months ended March 31, 2011

The following highlights a comparative discussion of the major components of net income and their impact for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

AVERAGE BALANCES AND INTEREST RATES

The following two tables are used to calculate the Company's net interest margin. The first table includes the Company's average assets and the related income to determine the average yield on earning assets. The second table includes the average liabilities and related expense to determine the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. The net interest margin is equal to the interest income less the interest expense divided by average earning assets.

AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AND INTEREST RATES

Three Months ended March 31,

| | 2011 | | | | 2010 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| | Average balance | Revenue/ expense | Yield/ rate | | Average balance | Revenue/ expense | Yield/ rate | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets | | | | | | | | |
| Loans 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 78,458 | \$ 1,003 | 5.11 | % | \$ 68,042 | \$ 993 | 5.84 | % |
| Agricultural | 41,509 | 574 | 5.53 | % | 39,674 | 582 | 5.87 | % |
| Real estate | 280,676 | 3,883 | 5.53 | % | 286,054 | 4,201 | 5.87 | % |
| Consumer and other | 21,809 | 280 | 5.14 | % | 24,173 | 323 | 5.34 | % |
| Total loans (including fees) | 422,452 | 5,740 | 5.44 | % | 417,943 | 6,099 | 5.84 | % |
| Investment securities | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 261,582 | 1,662 | 2.54 | % | 239,761 | 1,828 | 3.05 | % |
| Tax-exempt 2 | 217,675 | 2,517 | 4.63 | % | 170,464 | 2,097 | 4.92 | % |
| Total investment securities | 479,257 | 4,179 | 3.49 | % | 410,225 | 3,925 | 3.83 | % |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 35,451 | 108 | 1.22 | % | 26,649 | 130 | 1.95 | % |
| Total interest-earning assets | 937,160 | \$ 10,027 | 4.28 | % | 854,817 | \$ 10,154 | 4.75 | % |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 51,677 | | | | 57,529 | | | |

Edgar Filing: AMES NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 988,837 | \$ 912,346 |
|--------------|------------|------------|

1 Average loan balance includes nonaccrual loans, if any. Interest income collected on nonaccrual loans has been included.

2 Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis using an incremental tax rate of 35%.

29

Index

AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS AND INTEREST RATES

Three Months ended March 31,

| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Average balance | Revenue/ expense | Yield/ rate | Average balance | Revenue/ expense | Yield/ rate |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | |
| Deposits | | | | | | |
| NOW, savings accounts and money markets | \$ 420,696 | \$ 327 | 0.31 % | \$ 377,963 | \$ 347 | 0.37 % |
| Time deposits > \$100,000 | 99,138 | 412 | 1.66 % | 89,824 | 451 | 2.01 % |
| Time deposits < \$100,000 | 142,050 | 632 | 1.78 % | 151,205 | 865 | 2.29 % |
| Total deposits | 661,884 | 1,371 | 0.83 % | 618,992 | 1,663 | 1.07 % |
| Other borrowed funds | 96,038 | 379 | 1.58 % | 84,106 | 403 | 1.92 % |
| Total Interest-bearing liabilities | 757,922 | 1,750 | 0.92 % | 703,098 | 2,066 | 1.18 % |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | |
| Demand deposits | 102,884 | | | 90,321 | | |
| Other liabilities | 5,179 | | | 4,821 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 122,852 | | | 114,106 | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | |
| | \$ 988,837 | | | \$ 912,346 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 8,277 | 3.53 % | | \$ 8,089 | 3.78 % |
| Spread Analysis | | | | | | |
| Interest income/average assets | \$ 10,027 | 4.06 % | | \$ 10,154 | 4.45 % | |
| Interest expense/average assets | \$ 1,750 | 0.71 % | | \$ 2,066 | 0.91 % | |
| | \$ 8,277 | 3.35 % | | \$ 8,089 | 3.55 % | |

Net interest
income/average assets

Net Interest Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's net interest margin adjusted for tax exempt income was 3.53% and 3.78%, respectively. Net interest income, prior to the adjustment for tax-exempt income, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$7,398,000 compared to \$7,357,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, interest income decreased \$275,000 or 2.9% when compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease from 2010 was primarily attributable to lower average yields on loans and investment securities, offset in part by higher average balances of investment securities.

Interest expense decreased \$316,000 or 15.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 when compared to the same period in 2010. The lower interest expense for the period is primarily attributable to lower average rates paid on time deposits.

Index

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company did not have a provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to a provision for loan losses of \$324,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. This decrease in the provision for loan losses was due primarily to more stable economic conditions in 2011. Net recoveries of \$6,000 were realized in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and compare to net charge-offs of \$294,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Non-interest Income and Expense

Non-interest income decreased \$111,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as the result of securities gains of \$421,000 in 2011 as compared to securities gains of \$537,000 in 2010. Another contributing factor to the decline in the non-interest income was the decreases in service fees, offset in part by increases in gain on sale of loans held for sale. The decrease in service fees are primarily due to lower overdraft fees due in part to regulatory changes associated with the Dodd-Frank Act. The increase in gain on sale of loans held for sale is primarily due to an increased volume of loans sold. Excluding net security gains for the three months ending March 31, 2011 and 2010, non-interest income increased \$5,000, or 0.4%.

Non-interest expense increased \$77,000 or 1.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 can be mainly attributed to higher salaries and employee benefit costs. The increase in salaries and benefit costs were due to normal salary increases and increasing incentive pay as the result of higher profitability.

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$1,163,000 and \$1,189,000, representing an effective tax rate of 25% and 27%, respectively. The increased effect of income from tax exempt securities in 2011, offset in part by higher pretax earnings in 2011 led to the lower effective tax rate in comparison to same period in 2010.

Balance Sheet Review

As of March 31, 2011, total assets were \$1,003,731,000, a \$40,756,000 increase compared to December 31, 2010. The increase in securities available-for-sale and interest bearing deposits in financial institutions were funded primarily by an increase in deposits.

Investment Portfolio

The investment portfolio totaled \$494,297,000 as of March 31, 2011, 5.2% higher than the December 31, 2010 balance of \$469,908,000. The increase in the investment portfolio was primarily due to an increase in U.S. government mortgage-backed securities, state and political subdivisions securities and to a lesser extent U.S. government agency securities.

On a quarterly basis, the investment securities portfolio is reviewed for other-than-temporary impairment. As of March 31, 2011, gross unrealized losses of \$1,801,000, are considered to be temporary in nature due to the general economic conditions and other factors. As a result of the Company's favorable liquidity position, the Company does not have the intent to sell impaired securities and management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will hold these securities until recovery of their cost basis to avoid considering an impairment to be other-than-temporary.

Index

Loan Portfolio

The loan portfolio, net of the allowance for loan losses, declined \$1,006,000, or 0.2%, during the three months as net loans totaled \$417,087,000 as of March 31, 2011 compared to \$418,094,000 as of December 31, 2010. The decline in the loan portfolio is primarily due to a decrease in the agricultural operating loan portfolio.

Deposits

Deposits totaled \$779,863,000 as of March 31, 2011, an increase of \$36,001,000 from December 31, 2010. The increase in deposits occurred in NOW, savings, money market and time deposit accounts \$100,000 and over. The increases occurred in public, commercial and retail types of deposit accounts. The increase is primarily attributed to increases in public funds NOW accounts, commercial money market accounts and retail money market and savings accounts.

Federal Funds Purchased and Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaled \$57,072,000 as of March 31, 2011, \$2,213,000 higher than December 31, 2010. This increase is due primarily to an increase in federal funds purchased, offset in part by a decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase. The decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase is primarily due to a decrease related to a retail customer.

Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") Advances and Other Long-Term Borrowings

FHLB advances and other long-term borrowings totaled \$36,229,000 and \$36,745,000 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no proceeds from FHLB advances and payment on FHLB advances amounted to \$516,000.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. No material changes in the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements have occurred since December 31, 2010.

Asset Quality Review and Credit Risk Management

The Company's credit risk is historically centered in the loan portfolio, which on March 31, 2011 totaled \$417,087,000 compared to \$418,094,000 as of December 31, 2010. Net loans comprise 41.6% of total assets as of March 31, 2011. The object in managing loan portfolio risk is to reduce the risk of loss resulting from a customer's failure to perform according to the terms of a transaction and to quantify and manage credit risk on a portfolio basis. The Company's level of problem loans (consisting of non-accrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more) as a percentage of total loans was 1.42% at March 31, 2011, as compared to 1.79% at December 31, 2010 and 2.02% at March 31, 2010. The Company's level of problem loans as a percentage of total loans at March 31, 2011 of 1.42% is lower than the Company's peer group (438 bank holding companies with assets of \$500 million to \$1 billion) of 3.11% as of December 31, 2010.

Impaired loans, net of specific reserves, totaled \$5,553,000 as of March 31, 2011 compared to impaired loans of \$5,987,000 as of December 31, 2010 and \$7,250,000 as of March 31, 2010. The decrease in impaired loans from December 31, 2010 to March 31, 2011, is due primarily to payments received and repossessions of real estate

associated with these loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payment of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. The Company applies its normal loan review procedures to identify loans that should be evaluated for impairment. We monitor and report our troubled debt restructuring on a quarterly basis. At March 31, 2011, troubled debt restructurings were not a material portion of the loan portfolio. We review 90 days past due loans that are still accruing interest no less frequently than quarterly to determine if there is a strong reason that the credit should not be placed on non-accrual. As of March 31, 2011, non-accrual loans totaled \$5,997,000; loans past due 90 days and still accruing totaled \$47,000. This compares to non-accrual loans of \$6,277,000 and loans past due 90 days and still accruing of \$21,000 on December 31, 2010. Other real estate owned totaled \$10,472,000 as of March 31, 2011 and \$10,539,000 as of December 31, 2010.

Index

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of outstanding loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was 1.77%. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$7,527,000 and \$7,521,000 as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Net recoveries of loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$6,000 compared to net charge-offs of loans of \$294,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The allowance for loan losses is management's best estimate of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Factors considered in establishing an appropriate allowance include: an assessment of the financial condition of the borrower, a realistic determination of value and adequacy of underlying collateral, the condition of the local economy and the condition of the specific industry of the borrower, an analysis of the levels and trends of loan categories and a review of delinquent and classified loans.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity management is the process by which the Company, through its Banks' Asset and Liability Committees (ALCO), ensures that adequate liquid funds are available to meet its financial commitments on a timely basis, at a reasonable cost and within acceptable risk tolerances. These commitments include funding credit obligations to borrowers, funding of mortgage originations pending delivery to the secondary market, withdrawals by depositors, maintaining adequate collateral for pledging for public funds, trust deposits and borrowings, paying dividends to shareholders, payment of operating expenses, funding capital expenditures and maintaining deposit reserve requirements.

Liquidity is derived primarily from core deposit growth and retention; principal and interest payments on loans; principal and interest payments, sale, maturity and prepayment of investment securities; net cash provided from operations; and access to other funding sources. Other funding sources include federal funds purchased lines, FHLB advances and other capital market sources.

As of March 31, 2011, the level of liquidity and capital resources of the Company remain at a satisfactory level and compare favorably to that of other FDIC insured institutions. Management believes that the Company's liquidity sources will be sufficient to support its existing operations for the foreseeable future.

Index

The liquidity and capital resources discussion will cover the following topics:

- Review of the Company's Current Liquidity Sources
 - Review of Statements of Cash Flows
 - Company Only Cash Flows
- Ρεσπιεω οφ Χομμιτμεντσ φορ Χαπιταλ Εξπενδιτυρεσ, Χαση Φλωω Υνχερταιντιεσ ανδ Κνοων Τρενδσ ιν Λιθιιδιτυ ανδ Χαση Φλωωσ Νεεδσ
 - Capital Resources

Review of the Company's Current Liquidity Sources

Liquid assets of cash and due from banks and interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 totaled \$55,626,000 and \$37,708,000, respectively, and provide an adequate level of liquidity.

Other sources of liquidity available to the Banks as of March 31, 2011 include outstanding lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines, Iowa of \$71,864,000, with \$16,229,000 of outstanding FHLB advances at March 31, 2011. Federal funds borrowing capacity at correspondent banks was \$107,535,000, with \$9,400,000 of outstanding federal fund balances as of March 31, 2011. The Company had securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaling \$47,672,000 and long-term repurchase agreements of \$20,000,000 as of March 31, 2011.

Total investments as of March 31, 2011 were \$494,297,000 compared to \$469,908,000 as of December 31, 2010. These investments provide the Company with a significant amount of liquidity since all of the investments are classified as available-for-sale as of March 31, 2011.

The investment portfolio serves an important role in the overall context of balance sheet management in terms of balancing capital utilization and liquidity. The decision to purchase or sell securities is based upon the current assessment of economic and financial conditions, including the interest rate environment, liquidity and credit considerations. The portfolio's scheduled maturities represent a significant source of liquidity.

Review of Statements of Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$5,266,000 compared to the \$3,319,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily related to changes in loans held for sale, accrued expenses and other liabilities and amortization, offset in part by changes in other assets.

Net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$37,180,000 and compared to the \$3,121,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in net cash used in investing activities was primarily due to changes in securities available-for-sale, interest bearing deposits in financial institutions and loans, offset in part by changes in federal funds sold.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 totaled \$35,016,000 compares to \$6,428,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to changes in deposits, offset in part by changes in borrowings. As of March 31, 2011, the Company did not have any external debt financing, off-balance sheet financing arrangements, or derivative instruments linked to its stock.

Index

Company Only Cash Flows

The Company's liquidity on an unconsolidated basis is heavily dependent upon dividends paid to the Company by the Banks. The Company requires adequate liquidity to pay its expenses and pay stockholder dividends. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, dividends paid by the Banks to the Company amounted to \$1,321,000 compared to \$850,000 for the same period in 2010. Various federal and state statutory provisions limit the amounts of dividends banking subsidiaries are permitted to pay to their holding companies without regulatory approval. Federal Reserve policy further limits the circumstances under which bank holding companies may declare dividends. For example, a bank holding company should not continue its existing rate of cash dividends on its common stock unless its net income is sufficient to fully fund each dividend and its prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with its capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. In addition, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have issued policy statements, which provide that insured banks and bank holding companies should generally pay dividends only out of current operating earnings. Federal and state banking regulators may also restrict the payment of dividends by order. The quarterly dividend declared by the Company increased to \$0.13 per share in 2011 from \$0.11 per share in 2010.

The Company, on an unconsolidated basis, has interest bearing deposits and marketable investment securities totaling \$12,136,000 as of March 31, 2011 that are presently available to provide additional liquidity to the Banks.

Review of Commitments for Capital Expenditures, Cash Flow Uncertainties and Known Trends in Liquidity and Cash Flows Needs

No material capital expenditures or material changes in the capital resource mix are anticipated at this time. The primary cash flow uncertainty would be a sudden decline in deposits causing the Banks to liquidate securities. Historically, the Banks have maintained an adequate level of short-term marketable investments to fund the temporary declines in deposit balances. There are no known trends in liquidity and cash flow needs as of March 31, 2011 that are of concern to management.

Capital Resources

The Company's total stockholders' equity as of March 31, 2011 totaled \$124,740,000 and was higher than the \$121,363,000 recorded as of December 31, 2010. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, stockholders' equity as a percentage of total assets was 12.43% and 12.60%, respectively. The capital levels of the Company currently exceed applicable regulatory guidelines as of March 31, 2011.

Forward-Looking Statements and Business Risks

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides the Company with the opportunity to make cautionary statements regarding forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report, including forward-looking statements concerning the Company's future financial performance and asset quality. Any forward-looking statement contained in this Quarterly Report is based on management's current beliefs, assumptions and expectations of the Company's future performance, taking into account all information currently available to management. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to management. If a change occurs, the Company's business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations, asset quality, plans and objectives may vary materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the actual results of the Company include, but are not limited to, the following: economic conditions, particularly in the concentrated geographic area in which the Company and its affiliate banks operate; competitive products and pricing available in the marketplace; changes in credit and other risks posed by the Company's loan and investment portfolios, including declines in commercial or residential real

estate values or changes in the allowance for loan losses dictated by new market conditions or regulatory requirements; fiscal and monetary policies of the U.S. government; changes in governmental regulations affecting financial institutions (including regulatory fees and capital requirements); changes in prevailing interest rates; credit risk management and asset/liability management; the financial and securities markets; the availability of and cost associated with sources of liquidity; and other risks and uncertainties inherent in the Company's business, including those discussed under the headings "Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements and Business Risks" in the Company's Annual Report. Management intends to identify forward-looking statements when using words such as "believe", "expect", "intend", "anticipate", "estimate", "should" or similar expressions. Undue reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to revise or update such forward-looking statements to reflect current events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Index

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's market risk is comprised primarily of interest rate risk arising from its core banking activities of lending and deposit taking. Interest rate risk results from the changes in market interest rates which may adversely affect the Company's net interest income. Management continually develops and applies strategies to mitigate this risk. Management does not believe that the Company's primary market risk exposure and how it has been managed year-to-date in 2011 changed significantly when compared to 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II.

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.

Legal Proceedings

Not applicable

Item 1.A.

Risk Factors

The following paragraphs supplement the discussion under Items 1A "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report:

Index

Regulatory concerns.

On March 16, 2009, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) informed the Company's lead bank, First National, of the OCC's decision to establish individual minimum capital ratios for First National in excess of the capital ratios that would otherwise be imposed under applicable regulatory standards. The OCC is requiring First National to maintain, on an ongoing basis, Tier 1 Leverage Capital of 9% of Adjusted Total Assets and Total Risk Based Capital of 11% of Risk-Weighted Assets. As of March 31, 2011, First National exceeded the 9% Tier 1 and 11% Total Risk Based capital requirements. Failure to maintain the individual minimum capital ratios established by the OCC could result in additional regulatory action against First National.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other information

Not applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMES NATIONAL CORPORATION

DATE: May 10, 2011

By: /s/ Thomas H. Pohlman

Thomas H. Pohlman, President
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ John P. Nelson

John P. Nelson, Vice President
(Principal Financial Officer)

Index

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are filed herewith:

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---|
| <u>31.1</u> | -Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>31.2</u> | -Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 |
| <u>32.1</u> | -Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| <u>32.2</u> | -Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |