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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

## BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | Unaudited September 30, |  | December <br> 31, <br> 2010 <br> are amounts) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 <br> (Dollars in tho | 2010 <br> ands, except per |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 68,832 | \$ 53,838 | \$ 48,024 |
| Interest earning deposits | 665 | 524 | 1,005 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 69,497 | 54,362 | 49,029 |
| Investment securities - available for sale ( AFS ) | 439,596 | 412,443 | 398,698 |
| Loans and leases not covered by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) loss share agreements | 1,863,114 | 1,888,936 | 1,856,429 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 865,096 | 391,014 | 494,895 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(39,136)$ | $(40,250)$ | $(40,230)$ |
| Net loans and leases | 2,689,074 | 2,239,700 | 2,311,094 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 318,730 | 123,702 | 154,150 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 183,644 | 164,834 | 170,497 |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 34,338 | 41,868 | 42,216 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 73,249 | 17,540 | 31,145 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 11,641 | 15,055 | 13,899 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 61,499 | 59,198 | 59,771 |
| Intangible assets, net | 12,716 | 7,536 | 7,925 |
| Other, net | 36,663 | 39,572 | 35,146 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,930,647 | \$ 3,175,810 | \$ 3,273,570 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |
| Demand non-interest bearing | \$ 466,938 | \$ 271,407 | \$ 298,585 |
| Savings and interest bearing transaction | 1,609,632 | 1,271,374 | 1,299,058 |
| Time | 969,899 | 872,933 | 943,110 |
| Total deposits | 3,046,469 | 2,415,714 | 2,540,753 |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | 46,334 | 55,750 | 43,324 |
| Other borrowings | 289,353 | 294,502 | 282,139 |
| Subordinated debentures | 64,950 | 64,950 | 64,950 |
| FDIC clawback payable | 24,475 | 6,036 | 7,203 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 48,715 | 19,354 | 11,431 |
| Total liabilities | 3,520,296 | 2,856,306 | 2,949,800 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |
| Stockholders equity: |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock; $\$ 0.01$ par value; $1,000,000$ shares authorized; no shares outstanding at September 30, 2011 and 2010 or at December 31, 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Common stock; $\$ 0.01$ par value; $50,000,000$ shares authorized; $34,277,280,33,980,980$, and $34,107,280$ shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011, September 30, 2010 and |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2010, respectively | 343 | 340 | 341 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 49,080 | 44,246 | 45,107 |
| Retained earnings | 349,592 | 260,862 | 275,074 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 7,930 | 10,624 | (167) |
| Total stockholders equity before noncontrolling interest | 406,945 | 316,072 | 320,355 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 3,406 | 3,432 | 3,415 |
| Total stockholders equity | 410,351 | 319,504 | 323,770 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 3,930,647 | \$ 3,175,810 | \$ 3,273,570 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Unaudited

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Months Ended } \\ & \text { September 30, } \\ & \text { 2011 } 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, <br> 2011 <br> 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | \$ 27,793 | \$ 29,707 | \$ 83,715 | \$ 89,035 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 19,089 | 6,205 | 48,119 | 8,942 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 838 | 636 | 2,324 | 3,701 |
| Tax-exempt | 4,177 | 4,540 | 12,610 | 14,191 |
| Deposits with banks and federal funds sold | 5 | 4 | 31 | 16 |
| Total interest income | 51,902 | 41,092 | 146,799 | 115,885 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 4,389 | 5,028 | 14,367 | 15,137 |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | 35 | 92 | 153 | 302 |
| Other borrowings | 2,712 | 2,734 | 8,096 | 9,433 |
| Subordinated debentures | 430 | 470 | 1,288 | 1,323 |
| Total interest expense | 7,566 | 8,324 | 23,904 | 26,195 |
| Net interest income | 44,336 | 32,768 | 122,895 | 89,690 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | $(1,500)$ | $(4,300)$ | $(7,500)$ | $(11,900)$ |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 42,836 | 28,468 | 115,395 | 77,790 |


| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 4,734 | 4,002 | 13,158 | 11,137 |
| Mortgage lending income | 815 | 1,024 | 2,130 | 2,367 |
| Trust income | 810 | 802 | 2,395 | 2,518 |
| Bank owned life insurance income | 585 | 580 | 1,728 | 1,577 |
| Gains on investment securities | 638 | 570 | 989 | 4,318 |
| Gains on sales of other assets | 1,727 | 267 | 2,839 | 232 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of FDIC clawback payable | 2,861 | 906 | 7,783 | 1,177 |
| Other loss share income, net | 2,976 | 295 | 4,931 | 295 |
| Gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions | 0 | 16,122 | 65,708 | 26,160 |
| Other | 925 | 615 | 2,458 | 1,895 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest income | 16,071 | 25,183 | 104,119 | 51,676 |


| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 14,597 | 10,539 | 41,061 | 27,810 |
| Net occupancy and equipment | 4,301 | 2,782 | 11,182 | 7,619 |
| Other operating expenses | 12,902 | 10,244 | 40,948 | 26,717 |
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| Total non-interest expense | 31,800 | 23,565 |  | 93,191 |  | 62,146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income before taxes | 27,107 | 30,086 |  | 126,323 |  | 67,320 |
| Provision for income taxes | 8,220 | 9,878 |  | 42,605 |  | 20,310 |
| Net income | 18,887 | 20,208 |  | 83,718 |  | 47,010 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 17 | 17 |  | 33 |  | 60 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 18,904 | \$ 20,225 | \$ | 83,751 | \$ | 47,070 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.60 | \$ | 2.45 | \$ | 1.39 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.59 | \$ | 2.43 | \$ | 1.38 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.095 | \$ 0.075 | \$ | 0.27 | \$ | 0.22 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Unaudited

|  | Common Stock | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained <br> Earnings (Dolla | AccumulatedOtherComprehensiveIncome(Loss)in thousands) |  | Noncontrolling <br> Interest |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances January 1, 2010 | \$ 338 | \$ 41,415 | \$ 221,243 | \$ | 6,032 | \$ | 3,442 | \$ 272,470 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | 0 | 0 | 47,010 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 47,010 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | 0 | 60 |  | 0 |  | (60) | 0 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gains/losses on investment securities AFS, net of \$4,657 tax effect | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 7,216 |  | 0 | 7,216 |
| Reclassification of gains/losses included in net income, net of \$1,694 tax effect | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $(2,624)$ |  | 0 | $(2,624)$ |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51,602 |
| Common stock dividends | 0 | 0 | $(7,451)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | $(7,451)$ |
| Issuance of 171,900 split adjusted shares of common stock for exercise of stock options | 2 | 1,962 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1,964 |
| Tax benefit (expense) on exercise and forfeiture of stock options | 0 | 271 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 271 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 0 | 598 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 598 |
| Noncontrolling interest cash contribution | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 50 | 50 |
| Balances September 30, 2010 | \$ 340 | \$ 44,246 | \$ 260,862 | \$ | 10,624 | \$ | 3,432 | \$ 319,504 |
| Balances January 1, 2011 | \$ 341 | \$ 45,107 | \$ 275,074 | \$ | (167) | \$ | 3,415 | \$ 323,770 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | 0 | 0 | 83,718 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 83,718 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | 0 | 33 |  | 0 |  | (33) | 0 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized gains/losses on investment securities AFS, net of \$5,614 tax effect | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 8,698 |  | 0 | 8,698 |
| Reclassification of gains/losses included in net income, net of $\$ 388$ tax effect | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (601) |  | 0 | (601) |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91,815 |
| Common stock dividends | 0 | 0 | $(9,233)$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | $(9,233)$ |
| Issuance of 171,600 split adjusted shares of common stock for exercise of stock options | 2 | 2,665 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2,667 |
| Tax benefit (expense) on exercise and forfeiture of stock options | 0 | 285 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 285 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 0 | 1,023 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1,023 |
| Forfeiture of 1,600 split adjusted shares of unvested common stock under restricted stock plan | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
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| Noncontrolling interest cash contribution | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balances | September 30, 2011 | $\$ 343$ | $\$ 49,080$ | $\$ 349,592$ | $\$$ | 7,930 | $\$$ | 3,406 | $\$ 410,351$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Unaudited

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, <br> 2011 <br> 2010 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 83,718 | \$ 47,010 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation | 3,902 | 3,290 |
| Amortization | 1,168 | 270 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 33 | 60 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 7,500 | 11,900 |
| Provision for losses on foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 8,877 | 7,128 |
| Writedown of other assets | 1,250 | 0 |
| Net amortization (accretion) of investment securities AFS | 264 | (510) |
| Net gains on investment securities AFS | (989) | $(4,318)$ |
| Originations and purchases of mortgage loans for sale | $(99,529)$ | $(123,974)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans for sale | 99,840 | 113,554 |
| Accretion of loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $(48,119)$ | $(8,942)$ |
| Accretion of FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of FDIC clawback payable | $(7,783)$ | $(1,177)$ |
| Gains on dispositions of premises and equipment, foreclosed and other assets | $(2,839)$ | (232) |
| Gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions | $(65,708)$ | $(26,160)$ |
| Deferred income tax expense | 28,875 | 10,280 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance ( BOLI ) | $(1,728)$ | $(1,577)$ |
| Current tax benefit on exercise of stock options | (488) | (639) |
| Compensation expense under stock-based compensation plans | 1,023 | 598 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,779 | 244 |
| Other assets, net | (303) | $(1,348)$ |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 2,489 | 1,896 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 14,232 | 27,353 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities AFS | 96,743 | 251,528 |
| Proceeds from maturities/calls/paydowns of investment securities AFS | 20,349 | 42,874 |
| Purchases of investment securities AFS | $(7,586)$ | $(103,817)$ |
| Net (increase) paydowns of portfolio loans and leases not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $(2,853)$ | 14,773 |
| Cash flows from assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 244,040 | 39,057 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | $(16,845)$ | $(9,961)$ |
| Proceeds from disposition of premises and equipment, foreclosed and other assets | 7,168 | 13,564 |
| Cash paid for interest in unconsolidated investments and noncontrolling interest | $(1,735)$ | $(4,104)$ |
| Purchase of BOLI | 0 | $(10,200)$ |
| Net cash proceeds received in FDIC-assisted acquisitions | 365,394 | 141,085 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 704,675 | 374,799 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Net decrease in deposits | $(609,017)$ | $(331,196)$ |
| Net repayments of other borrowings | $(85,605)$ | $(101,521)$ |
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| Net increase in repurchase agreements with customers | 2,261 | 11,481 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 2,667 | 1,964 |
| Current tax benefit on exercise of stock options | 488 |  |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | $(9,233)$ | $(7,451)$ |
| Net cash used by financing activities | $(698,439)$ | $(426,084)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 20,468 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period | 49,029 | 78,294 |
| Cash and cash equivalents end of period | $\$ 69,497$ | $\$ 54,362$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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## BANK OF THE OZARKS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited

## 1. Organization and Principles of Consolidation

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the Company ) is a bank holding company headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas, which operates under the rules and regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. The Company owns a wholly-owned state chartered bank subsidiary Bank of the Ozarks (the Bank ), four 100\%-owned finance subsidiary business trusts Ozark Capital Statutory Trust II ( Ozark II ), Ozark Capital Statutory Trust III ( Ozark III ), Ozark Capital Statutory Trust IV ( Ozark IV ) and Ozark Capital Statutory Trust V ( Ozark V ) (collectively, the
Trusts ) and, indirectly through the Bank, a subsidiary engaged in the development of real estate and a subsidiary that owns a private aircraft. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank, the real estate subsidiary and the aircraft subsidiary. Significant intercompany transactions and amounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

## 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) in Article 10 of Regulation S-X and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ) for interim financial information. Certain information, accounting policies and footnote disclosures normally included in complete financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted in accordance with such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary, consisting of normal recurring items, have been included for a fair presentation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year or future periods.

On August 16, 2011, the Company completed a 2-for-1 stock split in the form of a stock dividend, effected by issuing one share of common stock for each share of such stock outstanding on August 5, 2011. All share and per share information in the consolidated financial statements and the notes to the consolidated financial statements has been adjusted to give effect to this stock split.

Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made to conform with the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income.

## 3. Acquisitions

2011 Acquisitions

On January 14, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Oglethorpe Bank ( Oglethorpe ) with two offices in Georgia, including Brunswick and St. Simons Island.

On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former First Choice Community Bank ( First Choice ) with seven offices in Georgia, including Dallas, Newnan (2), Senoia, Sharpsburg, Douglasville and Carrollton. On July 1, 2011, the Company closed one of the offices in Newnan, Georgia, and on October 26, 2011, the Company closed the
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office in Carrollton, Georgia.

On April 29, 2011, the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former The Park Avenue Bank ( Park Avenue ) with 11 offices in Georgia, including Valdosta (3), Bainbridge (2), Cairo, Lake Park, Stockbridge, McDonough, Oakwood and Athens and one office in Ocala, Florida. On October 21, 2011, the Company closed the office in Stockbridge, Georgia.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Oglethorpe acquisition is as follows:

|  | As Recorded by Oglethorpe | January 14, 2011 |  |  | As Recorded by the Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value <br> Adjustments <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Assets acquired: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 14,710 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 14,710 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 6,532 |  | $(3,447)$ | b |  | 3,085 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements ( covered loans ) | 154,018 |  | $(73,342)$ | b |  | 80,676 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  | 52,395 | c |  | 52,395 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 16,554 |  | $(9,410)$ | d |  | 7,144 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  | 401 | e |  | 401 |
| Other assets | 1,054 |  | (621) | f |  | 433 |
| Total assets acquired | 192,868 |  | $(34,024)$ |  |  | 158,844 |
| Liabilities assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 195,067 |  |  | i |  | 195,067 |
| FDIC clawback payable |  |  | 924 | h |  | 924 |
| Other liabilities | 333 |  | 100 | f |  | 433 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 195,400 |  | 1,024 |  |  | 196,424 |
| Net assets acquired | $(2,532)$ | \$ | $(35,048)$ |  |  | $(37,580)$ |
| Asset discount bid | $(38,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from FDIC | \$ 40,532 |  |  |  |  | 40,532 |
| Pre-tax gain |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,952 |

A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the First Choice acquisition is as follows:

|  | April 29, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As Recorded by First Choice | Fair Value <br> Adjustments <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  | As Recorded <br> by the <br> Company |
| Assets acquired: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 38,018 | \$ |  | \$ 38,018 |
| Investment securities AFS | 4,588 | (20) | a | 4,568 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,973 | (419) | b | 1,554 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 246,451 | $(96,557)$ | b | 149,894 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  | 59,544 | c | 59,544 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 2,773 | $(1,102)$ | d | 1,671 |
| Core deposit intangible |  | 495 | e | 495 |
| Other assets | 931 | (861) | f | 70 |
| Total assets acquired | 294,734 | $(38,920)$ |  | 255,814 |
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| Liabilities assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | 293,344 |  |  | i |  | 293,344 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ( FHLB-Atlanta ) advances | 4,000 |  |  | g |  | 4,000 |
| FDIC clawback payable |  |  | 930 | h |  | 930 |
| Other liabilities | 478 |  | 100 | f |  | 578 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 297,822 |  | 1,030 |  |  | 298,852 |
| Net assets acquired | $(3,088)$ | \$ | $(39,950)$ |  |  | $(43,038)$ |
| Asset discount bid | $(42,900)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from FDIC | \$ 45,988 |  |  |  |  | 45,988 |
| Pre-tax gain |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,950 |
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A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Park Avenue acquisition is as follows:


## Explanation of fair value adjustments

a- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustment based on the Company s independent third parties pricing of investment securities AFS.
b- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustments based on the Company s evaluation of the acquired loan portfolio.
c- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Company expects to receive from the FDIC under the loss share agreements.
d- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustments based on the Company s evaluation of the acquired foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
e- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of the core deposit intangible.
f- Adjustment reflects the amount needed to adjust the carrying value of other assets and other liabilities to estimated fair value.
g- Adjustment reflects the amount of the prepayment penalty, if any, assessed on early payoff of FHLB-Atlanta advances.
h- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Company expects to make to the FDIC under the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements at the conclusion of the term of the loss share agreements.
i- Because the Company reset deposit rates for these assumed deposits, as provided for under the purchase and assumption agreements, to reflect an appropriate market rate of interest, there was no fair value adjustment for such assumed deposits.
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The Company s results of operations included the operating results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities from the respective dates of acquisition through the end of the reporting period. Due to the significant fair value adjustments and the nature of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Company believes pro forma information that would include pre-acquisition historical results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities is not relevant. Accordingly, no pro forma information is included in these consolidated financial statements.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## 2010 Acquisitions

On March 26, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Unity National Bank ( Unity ) with five offices in Georgia, including Cartersville (2), Rome, Adairsville and Calhoun.

On July 16, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Woodlands Bank ( Woodlands ) with eight offices, including two in South Carolina; two in North Carolina; one in Georgia and three in Alabama. On October 26, 2010, the Company closed four of the Woodlands offices, and in December 2010 the Company relocated two offices. The Company also renegotiated the leases on the remaining two offices. As a result, the Company now operates one office each in Bluffton, South Carolina; Wilmington, North Carolina; Savannah, Georgia; and Mobile, Alabama.

On September 10, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Horizon Bank (Horizon ) with four offices in Florida, including Bradenton (2), Palmetto and Brandon. On December 23, 2010, the Company closed the office in Brandon, Florida.

On December 17, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Chestatee State Bank (Chestatee ) with four offices in Georgia, including Dawsonville (2), Cumming and Marble Hill.

## Purchase Accounting and Purchase Accounting Adjustments

Purchased loans acquired in a business combination, including covered loans, are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of GAAP applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and pursuant to the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ( AICPA ) December 18, 2009 letter in which the AICPA summarized the SEC s view regarding the accounting in subsequent periods for discount accretion associated with non-credit impaired loans acquired in a business combination or asset purchase. Considering, among other factors, the general lack of adequate underwriting, proper documentation, appropriate loan structure and insufficient equity contributions for a large number of these acquired loans, and the uncertainty of the borrowers and/or guarantors ability or willingness to make contractually required (or any) principal and interest payments, management has determined that a significant portion of the purchased loans acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions have evidence of credit deterioration since origination. Accordingly, management has elected to apply the provisions of GAAP applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality as provided by the AICPA s December 18, 2009 letter, to all purchased loans acquired in its FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

At the time such purchased loans are acquired, management individually evaluates substantially all loans acquired in the transaction. This evaluation allows management to determine the estimated fair value of the purchased loans (not considering any FDIC loss sharing agreements) and includes no carryover of any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses. In determining the estimated fair value of purchased loans, management considers a number of factors including, among other things, the remaining life of the acquired loans, estimated prepayments, estimated loss ratios, estimated value of the underlying collateral, estimated holding periods, and net present value of cash flows expected to be received. To the extent that any purchased loan acquired in a FDIC-assisted acquisition is not specifically reviewed, management applies a loss estimate to that loan based on the average expected loss rates for the purchased loans that were individually reviewed in that purchased loan portfolio.

As provided for under GAAP, management has up to 12 months following the date of the acquisition to finalize the fair values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities. Once management has finalized the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities within this 12 month period, management considers such values to be the day 1 fair values ( Day 1 Fair Values ).

In determining the Day 1 Fair Values of purchased loans, management calculates a non-accretable difference (the credit component of the purchased loans) and an accretable difference (the yield component of the purchased loans). The non-accretable difference is the difference between the contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected in accordance with management s determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows will result in a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income.
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The accretable difference on purchased loans is the difference between the expected cash flows and the net present value of expected cash flows. Such difference is accreted into earnings using the effective yield method over the term of the loans. In determining the net present value of the expected cash flows, the Company used discount rates ranging from $6.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum depending on the risk characteristics of each individual loan. The weighted average period during which management expects to receive the estimated cash flows for its covered loan portfolio (not considering any payment under the FDIC loss share agreements) is 2.4 years.

Management separately monitors the purchased loan portfolio and periodically reviews loans contained within this portfolio against the factors and assumptions used in determining the Day 1 Fair Values. A loan is reviewed (i) any time it is renewed or extended, (ii) at any other time additional information becomes available to the Company that provides material additional insight regarding the loan s performance, the status of the borrower, or the quality or value of the underlying collateral, or (iii) in conjunction with the annual review of projected cash flows of each acquired portfolio. Management separately reviews, on an annual basis, the performance of a substantial portion of each acquired loan portfolio, or more frequently to the extent that material information becomes available regarding the performance of an individual loan, to make determinations of the constituent loans performance and to consider whether there has been any significant change in performance since management s initial expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. To the extent that a loan is performing in accordance with management s performance expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan is not included in any of the credit quality ratios, is not considered to be a nonaccrual or impaired loan, is not risk rated in a similar manner as are the Company s non-purchased loans and is not considered in the determination of the required allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that a loan s performance has deteriorated from management s expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan will be included in the Company s credit quality metrics, may be considered a nonaccrual or impaired loan, and is considered in the determination of the required level of allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that deterioration in the credit quality of the loan would result in some portion or all of such loan being included in the calculation of the allowance for loan and lease losses, there would be an increase of the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the portion of such additional loss expected to be collected from the FDIC. Currently, the expected losses on covered assets for each of the Company s loss share agreements would result in expected recovery of approximately $80 \%$ of incurred losses. Any improvement in the expected performance of a purchased loan would result in (i) a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income and (ii) a decrease in the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the applicable percentage of the portion of such loss no longer expected to be incurred by the Company.

Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, are recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. In estimating the fair value of covered foreclosed assets, management considers a number of factors including, among others, appraised value, estimated holding periods, net present value of cash flows expected to be received, and estimated selling costs. Discount rates ranging from $8.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum were used to determine the net present value of covered foreclosed assets.

In connection with the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company has recorded an FDIC loss share receivable to reflect the indemnification provided by the FDIC. Since the indemnified items are covered loans and covered foreclosed assets, which are measured at Day 1 Fair Values, the FDIC loss share receivable is also measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values, and is calculated by discounting the cash flows expected to be received from the FDIC. A discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum was used to determine the net present value of the FDIC loss share receivable. These cash flows are estimated by multiplying estimated losses by the reimbursement rates as set forth in the loss share agreements. The balance of the FDIC loss share receivable is adjusted periodically to reflect changes in expectations of discounted cash flows, expense reimbursements under the loss share agreements and other factors.

Pursuant to the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements for the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company may be required to reimburse the FDIC should actual losses be less than certain thresholds established in each loss share agreement. The amount of the clawback provision for each acquisition is measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. It is calculated as the difference between management s estimated losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets and the loss threshold contained in each loss share agreement, multiplied by the applicable clawback provisions contained in each loss share agreement. This clawback amount, which is payable to the FDIC upon termination of the applicable loss share agreement, is then discounted back to net present value using a discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are less than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will increase. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are more than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will decrease.

The Day 1 Fair Values of investment securities acquired in business combinations are generally based on quoted market prices, broker quotes, comprehensive interest rate tables or pricing matrices, or a combination thereof. The Day 1 Fair Values of assumed liabilities in business combinations is generally the amount payable by the Company necessary to completely satisfy the assumed obligations.
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Subsequent to the reporting of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the Unity, Woodlands and Horizon acquisitions, the Company made certain adjustments to these values in order to establish the Day 1 Fair Values. As a result of those adjustments, the Company has recast the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Unity, Woodlands and Horizon acquisitions to reflect the Day 1 Fair Values. The following tables provide a summary of the Day 1 Fair Values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including any such recast adjustments, for the Company s 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Unity acquisition, including recast adjustments, is as follows:

(1) Represents the Day 1 Fair Values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities in the Unity acquisition. (The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Woodlands acquisition, including recast adjustments, is as follows:

July 16, 2010

|  | As <br> Recorded <br> by <br> Woodlands |  | Fair Value Adjustments |  | 16, | Recast Adjustments ands) |  | As Recorded by the Company ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | in th |  |  |  |  |
| Assets acquired: | - (Dollas in thoasands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 13,447 |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  | \$ | 13,447 |
| Investment securities AFS |  | 85,017 |  | (525) | a |  |  |  | 84,492 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 1,500 |  | (387) | b |  |  |  | 1,113 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 270,335 |  | $(82,337)$ | b |  | $(1,520)$ |  | 186,478 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  |  | 54,827 | c |  | 1,039 |  | 55,866 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 12,258 |  | $(7,229)$ | d |  |  |  | 5,029 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  |  | 200 | e |  |  |  | 200 |
| Other assets |  | 2,556 |  | $(1,411)$ | f |  | 327 |  | 1,472 |
| Total assets acquired |  | 385,113 |  | $(36,862)$ |  |  | (154) |  | 348,097 |
| Liabilities assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 344,723 |  |  | i |  |  |  | 344,723 |
| FHLB-Atlanta advances |  | 10,000 |  | 142 | g |  |  |  | 10,142 |
| FDIC clawback payable |  |  |  | 3,030 | h |  | (89) |  | 2,941 |
| Other liabilities |  | 258 |  |  | f |  | (65) |  | 193 |
| Total liabilities assumed |  | 354,981 |  | 3,172 |  |  | (154) |  | 357,999 |
| Net assets acquired |  | 30,132 | \$ | $(40,034)$ |  | \$ |  |  | $(9,902)$ |
| Asset discount bid |  | $(54,392)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from FDIC |  | 24,260 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,260 |
| Pre-tax gain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 14,358 |

(1) Represents the Day 1 Fair Values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities in the Woodlands acquisition.

A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Horizon acquisition, including recast adjustments, is as follows:

|  | September 10, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As <br> Recorded <br> by Horizon | Fair Value Adjustments (Do | Recast Adjustments in thousands) |  | Recorded by the mpany ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Assets acquired: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 11,775 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 11,775 |
| Investment securities AFS | 5,312 | (207) | a |  | 5,105 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,323 | (431) | b |  | 892 |
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| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 138,778 |  | $(45,775)$ | b |  | $(1,195)$ |  | 91,808 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  | 29,089 | c |  |  |  | 29,089 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 8,391 |  | $(4,708)$ | d |  |  |  | 3,683 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  | 396 | e |  |  |  | 396 |
| Other assets | 2,868 |  | (887) | f |  | 1,195 |  | 3,176 |
| Total assets acquired | 168,447 |  | $(22,523)$ |  |  |  |  | 145,924 |
| Liabilities assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 152,387 |  |  | i |  |  |  | 152,387 |
| FHLB-Atlanta advances | 18,000 |  | 1,251 | g |  |  |  | 19,251 |
| FDIC clawback payable |  |  | 1,461 | h |  |  |  | 1,461 |
| Other liabilities | 562 |  |  | f |  |  |  | 562 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 170,949 |  | 2,712 |  |  |  |  | 173,661 |
| Net assets acquired | $(2,502)$ | \$ | $(25,235)$ |  | \$ |  |  | $(27,737)$ |
| Asset discount bid | $(27,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from FDIC | \$ 29,502 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,502 |
| Pre-tax gain |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,765 |

(1) Represents the Day 1 Fair Values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities in the Horizon acquisition.
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A summary of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Chestatee acquisition is as follows:

|  | As Recorded by Chestatee | December 17, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value <br> Adjustments (Dollars in thousands) |  |  | As Recorded by the Company ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| Assets acquired: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 21,964 | \$ |  |  | \$ | 21,964 |
| Investment securities AFS | 7,204 |  | (47) | a |  | 7,157 |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 5,269 |  | $(1,693)$ | b |  | 3,576 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 163,428 |  | $(46,620)$ | b |  | 116,808 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  | 42,072 | c |  | 42,072 |
| Foreclosed and repossessed assets covered by loss share agreements | 31,647 |  | $(18,241)$ | d |  | 13,406 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  | 550 | e |  | 550 |
| Other assets | 1,722 |  | (621) | f |  | 1,101 |
| Total assets acquired | 231,234 |  | $(24,600)$ |  |  | 206,634 |
| Liabilities assumed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 234,468 |  |  | i |  | 234,468 |
| FDIC clawback payable |  |  | 1,091 | h |  | 1,091 |
| Other liabilities | 440 |  | 200 | f |  | 640 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 234,908 |  | 1,291 |  |  | 236,199 |
| Net assets acquired | $(3,674)$ | \$ | $(25,891)$ |  |  | $(29,565)$ |
| Asset discount bid | $(34,750)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from FDIC | \$ 38,424 |  |  |  |  | 38,424 |
| Pre-tax gain |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,859 |

(1) The Day 1 Fair Values of assets and liabilities assumed in the Chestatee acquisition are not yet finalized as of September 30, 2011. Management expects such Day 1 Fair Values will be finalized during the fourth quarter of 2011.
Explanation of fair value adjustments
a- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustment based on the Company s independent third parties pricing of investment securities AFS.
b- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustments based on the Company s evaluation of the acquired loan portfolio.
c- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Company expects to receive from the FDIC under the loss share agreements.
d- Adjustment reflects the fair value adjustments based on the Company s evaluation of the acquired foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
e- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of the core deposit intangible.
f- Adjustment reflects the amount needed to adjust the carrying value of other assets and other liabilities to estimated fair value.
g- Adjustment reflects the amount of the prepayment penalty, if any, assessed on early payoff of FHLB-Atlanta advances.
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h- Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Company expects to make to the FDIC under the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements at the conclusion of the term of the loss share agreements.
i- Because the Company reset deposit rates for these assumed deposits, as provided for under the purchase and assumption agreements, to reflect an appropriate market rate of interest, there was no fair value adjustment for such assumed deposits.
The recast adjustments to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities for Unity, Woodlands and Horizon were made subsequent to the acquisition, but prior to their one year anniversaries and, as provided for under GAAP, were considered to be purchase accounting adjustments in deriving the Day 1 Fair Values for the acquired assets and assumed liabilities. These adjustments impacted the net assets acquired and the resulting pre-tax gains on these acquisitions. However, because the net effect on net assets acquired and resulting pre-tax gains was not material, management recorded the impact of such adjustments as an increase or decrease to non-interest income during the quarter in which the adjustments were determined. The net increase or decrease to non-interest income is included as an adjustment to other liabilities and/or to other assets in the above tables.
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The Company s results of operations included the operating results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities from the respective dates of acquisition through the end of the reporting period. Due to the significant fair value adjustments and the nature of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Company believes pro forma information that would include pre-acquisition historical results of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities is not relevant. Accordingly, no pro forma information is included in these consolidated financial statements.

As a result of the recast adjustments recorded on the Unity, Woodlands and Horizon acquisitions and used by management in its determination of Day 1 Fair Values, certain amounts previously reported in the Company s consolidated financial statements have been recast. The following is a summary of those financial statement captions that have been impacted by these recast adjustments.

|  | As Previously Reported | $\qquad$ |  | As Recast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2010: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | \$ 394,482 | \$ | $(3,468)$ | \$ 391,014 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 122,098 |  | 1,604 | 123,702 |
| Other assets | 38,050 |  | 1,522 | 39,572 |
| FDIC clawback payable | 6,163 |  | (127) | 6,036 |
| Accrued interest and other liabilities | 19,569 |  | (215) | 19,354 |
| December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | \$ 497,545 | \$ | $(2,650)$ | \$ 494,895 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 153,111 |  | 1,039 | 154,150 |
| Other assets | 33,624 |  | 1,522 | 35,146 |
| FDIC clawback payable | 7,292 |  | (89) | 7,203 |

## Loss Share Agreements and Other Acquisition Matters

In conjunction with these FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Bank entered into loss share agreements with the FDIC such that the Bank and the FDIC will share in the losses on assets covered under the loss share agreements. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Unity acquisition, on losses up to $\$ 65.0$ million, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $80 \%$ of losses. On losses exceeding $\$ 65.0$ million, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $95 \%$ of losses. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Woodlands acquisition, the Chestatee acquisition, the Oglethorpe acquisition and the First Choice acquisition, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $80 \%$ of losses. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Horizon acquisition, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank on single family residential loans and related foreclosed real estate for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 11.8$ million, (ii) $30 \%$ of losses between $\$ 11.8$ million and $\$ 17.9$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 17.9$ million. For non-single family residential loans and related foreclosed real estate, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 32.3$ million, (ii) $0 \%$ of losses between $\$ 32.3$ million and $\$ 42.8$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 42.8$ million. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Park Avenue acquisition, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 218.2$ million, (ii) $0 \%$ of losses between $\$ 218.2$ million and $\$ 267.5$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 267.5$ million.

The loss share agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans and related foreclosed real estate provide for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank s reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries of covered losses for ten years from the date on which each applicable loss share agreement was entered. The loss share agreements applicable to commercial loans and related foreclosed real estate provide for FDIC loss sharing for five years from the date on which each applicable loss share agreement was entered and the Bank s reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries of covered losses for an additional three years thereafter.

To the extent that actual losses incurred by the Bank are less than (i) $\$ 65$ million on the Unity assets covered under the loss share agreements, (ii) $\$ 107$ million on the Woodlands assets covered under the loss share agreements, (iii) $\$ 60$ million on the Horizon assets covered under the loss share agreements, (iv) $\$ 66$ million on the Chestatee assets covered under the loss share agreements, (v) $\$ 66$ million on the Oglethorpe assets covered under the loss share agreements, (vi) $\$ 87$ million on the First Choice assets covered under the loss share agreements and (vii) $\$ 269$ million on the Park Avenue assets covered under the loss share agreements, the Bank may be required to reimburse the FDIC under the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements.

The terms of the purchase and assumption agreements for the Unity, Woodlands, Horizon, Chestatee, Oglethorpe, First Choice and Park Avenue acquisitions provide for the FDIC to indemnify the Bank against certain claims, including claims with respect to assets, liabilities or any affiliate not acquired or otherwise assumed by the Bank and with respect to claims based on any action by Unity s, Woodlands , Horizon s, Chestatee s, Oglethorpe s, First Choice s or Park Avenue s directors, officers or employees.
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## 4. Earnings Per Common Share ( EPS )

Basic EPS is computed by dividing reported earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing reported earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding after consideration of the dilutive effect, if any, of the Company s outstanding common stock options using the treasury stock method. No options to purchase shares of the Company s common stock for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 were excluded from the diluted EPS calculation as all options were dilutive for the respective periods.

Basic and diluted EPS are computed as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30,$2011$$2010$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common shares weighted-average (basic) | 34,264 | 33,962 | 34,211 | 33,892 |
| Common share equivalents weighted-average | 246 | 172 | 223 | 138 |
| Common shares diluted | 34,510 | 34,134 | 34,434 | 34,030 |
| Net income available to common stockholders (in thousands) | \$ 18,904 | \$ 20,225 | \$ 83,751 | \$ 47,070 |
| Basic EPS | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 2.45 | \$ 1.39 |
| Diluted EPS | 0.55 | 0.59 | 2.43 | 1.38 |

## 5. Investment Securities

At September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, the Company classified all of its investment securities portfolio as available for sale ( AFS ). Accordingly, its investment securities are stated at estimated fair value in the consolidated financial statements with unrealized gains and losses, net of related income tax, reported as a separate component of stockholders equity and included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010. The Company s holdings of other equity securities include Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas ( FHLB Dallas ), FHLB Atlanta and First National Banker s Bankshares, Inc. ( FNBB ) shares, which do not have readily determinable fair values and are carried at cost.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Losses }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value }\end{array} \\ \text { (1) }\end{array}\right]$

| December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | $\$ 378,822$ | $\$$ | 6,431 | $\$(6,706)$ | $\$ 378,547$ |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,269 |  |  |  | 1,269 |
| Other equity securities | 18,882 |  |  |  | 18,882 |
| Total | $\$ 398,973$ | $\$$ | 6,431 | $\$(6,706)$ | $\$ 398,698$ |
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| September 30, 2010: | $\$ 376,347$ | $\$ 18,941$ | $\$(1,460)$ | $\$ 393,828$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 193 |  |  |  | 193 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities <br> Other equity securities | 18,422 |  |  | 18,422 |  |
| Total | $\$ 394,962$ | $\$ 18,941$ | $\$(1,460)$ | $\$ 412,443$ |  |

(1) The Company utilizes independent third parties as its principal pricing sources for determining fair value of investment securities which are measured on a recurring basis. For investment securities traded in an active market, the fair values are obtained from independent pricing services and based on quoted market prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on market prices for comparable securities, broker quotes or comprehensive interest rate tables and pricing matrices or a combination thereof. For investment securities traded in a market that is not active, fair value is determined using unobservable inputs.
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The following table shows estimated fair value of investment securities AFS having gross unrealized losses and the amount of such unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual investment securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010.

|  | Less than 12 Months |  |  | 12 Months or More |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated <br> Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Estimated Unrealized <br> Fair Value Losses <br> (Dollars in thousands)  |  |  |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| September 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 12,917 | \$ | 488 |  | 20,531 | \$ | 894 | \$ | 33,448 | \$ | 1,382 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 12,917 | \$ | 488 |  | 20,531 | \$ | 894 |  | 33,448 | \$ | 1,382 |
| December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 174,356 | \$ | 6,153 | \$ | 5,387 | \$ | 553 |  | 179,743 | \$ | 6,706 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 174,356 | \$ | 6,153 | \$ | 5,387 | \$ | 553 |  | 179,743 | \$ | 6,706 |
| September 30, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 37,529 | \$ | 1,213 | , | 5,718 | \$ | 248 | \$ | 43,246 | \$ | 1,460 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 37,529 |  | 1,213 |  | 5,718 | \$ | 248 |  | 43,246 | \$ | 1,460 |

In evaluating the Company s unrealized loss positions for other-than-temporary impairment for the investment securities portfolio, management considers the credit quality of the issuer, the nature and cause of the unrealized loss, the severity and duration of the impairments and other factors. At September 30, 2011 and 2010 and December 31, 2010 management determined the unrealized losses were the result of fluctuations in interest rates and did not reflect deteriorations of the credit quality of the investments. Accordingly, management considers these unrealized losses to be temporary in nature. The Company does not have the intent to sell these investment securities with unrealized losses and, more likely than not, will not be required to sell these investment securities before fair value recovers to amortized cost.

The following table shows the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities AFS by maturity or estimated date of repayment at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

|  | September 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maturity or Estimated Repayment | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value (Dollars | Amortized Cost ousands) | Estimated Fair Value |
| One year or less | \$ 2,891 | \$ 2,896 | \$ 4,773 | \$ 4,808 |
| After one year to five years | 13,984 | 14,114 | 17,635 | 17,893 |
| After five years to ten years | 22,776 | 23,355 | 21,134 | 21,592 |
| After ten years | 386,898 | 399,231 | 355,431 | 354,405 |
| Total | \$ 426,549 | \$ 439,596 | \$ 398,973 | \$ 398,698 |

For purposes of this maturity distribution, all investment securities AFS are shown based on their contractual maturity date, except (i) FHLB Dallas, FHLB Atlanta and FNBB stock with no contractual maturity date are shown in the longest maturity category, (ii) U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities are allocated among various maturities based on an estimated repayment schedule utilizing Bloomberg median prepayment speeds and interest rate levels at the measurement dates and (iii) mortgage-backed securities issued by housing authorities of states and political subdivisions are allocated among various maturities based on an estimated repayment schedule projected by management at the measurement dates. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or
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prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.
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Sales activities in the Company s investment securities AFS were as follows:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales proceeds | \$ 96,743 |  | 251,528 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 1,044 | \$ | 4,881 |
| Gross realized losses | (55) |  | (402) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment charges |  |  | (161) |
| Net gains on investment securities | \$ 989 | \$ | 4,318 |

6. Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ( ALLL )

The following table is a summary of activity within the ALLL.

|  | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> 3010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$(Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Beginning balance | $\$ 40,230$ | $\$ 39,619$ |
| Loans and leases charged off | $(8,913)$ | $(12,213)$ |
| Recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off | 319 | 944 |
|  |  |  |
| Net loans and leases charged off | $(8,594)$ | $(11,269)$ |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 7,500 | 11,900 |
|  |  |  |
| Ending balance | $\$ 39,136$ | $\$ 40,250$ |

The following table is a summary of the Company s ALLL as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

|  | Beginning Balance | Charge-offs |  | Recoveries ars in thousands |  | Provision | Ending Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 2,249 | \$ | (197) | \$ | 26 | \$ 1,729 | \$ 3,807 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 8,694 |  | (117) |  | 7 | (618) | 7,966 |
| Construction/land development | 9,482 |  | (902) |  | 19 | $(1,602)$ | 6,997 |
| Agricultural | 2,169 |  | (4) |  |  | 684 | 2,849 |
| Multifamily residential | 1,563 |  |  |  |  | 388 | 1,951 |
| Commercial and industrial | 3,645 |  | (77) |  | 15 | 249 | 3,832 |
| Consumer | 1,381 |  | (231) |  | 66 | 108 | 1,324 |
| Direct financing leases | 1,538 |  | (98) |  | 5 | 153 | 1,598 |
| Other | 185 |  | (1) |  | 1 | (25) | 160 |
| Unallocated | 8,218 |  |  |  |  | 434 | 8,652 |
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| Total | $\$ 39,124$ | $\$$ | $(1,627)$ | $\$$ | 139 | $\$$ | 1,500 | $\$ 39,136$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The following table is a summary of the Company s ALLL as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

|  | Beginning Balance |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { rge-offs } \\ (\mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | Rec | eries <br> housands) |  | vision |  | ding <br> alance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 2,999 | \$ | (909) | \$ | 40 | \$ | 1,677 | \$ | 3,807 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 8,313 |  | $(1,020)$ |  | 14 |  | 659 |  | 7,966 |
| Construction/land development | 10,565 |  | $(4,220)$ |  | 29 |  | 623 |  | 6,997 |
| Agricultural | 2,569 |  | (617) |  |  |  | 897 |  | 2,849 |
| Multifamily residential | 1,320 |  |  |  |  |  | 631 |  | 1,951 |
| Commercial and industrial | 4,142 |  | $(1,092)$ |  | 78 |  | 704 |  | 3,832 |
| Consumer | 2,051 |  | (657) |  | 150 |  | (220) |  | 1,324 |
| Direct financing leases | 1,726 |  | (324) |  | 5 |  | 191 |  | 1,598 |
| Other | 201 |  | (74) |  | 3 |  | 30 |  | 160 |
| Unallocated | 6,344 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,308 |  | 8,652 |
| Total | \$ 40,230 | \$ | $(8,913)$ | \$ | 319 | \$ | 7,500 |  | 9,136 |
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The following table is a summary of the Company s ALLL and recorded investment in loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as of September 30, 2011.

|  | Allowance f ALLL for Individually Evaluated Impaired Loans and Leases | or Loan and <br> ALLL for All Other Loans and Leases | ease Losses <br> Total <br> ALLL <br> (Dollar | Loans by FDIC Individually Evaluated Impaired Loans and Leases in thousands) | and L A L | d Leases no oss Share <br> All Other oans and Leases | Covered eements <br> Total <br> Loans and Leases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 91 | \$ 3,716 | \$ 3,807 | \$ 1,511 | \$ | 259,194 | \$ 260,705 |
| Non-farm/non-residential |  | 7,966 | 7,966 | 782 |  | 688,342 | 689,124 |
| Construction/land development | 113 | 6,884 | 6,997 | 16,456 |  | 420,328 | 436,784 |
| Agricultural |  | 2,849 | 2,849 | 878 |  | 73,257 | 74,135 |
| Multifamily residential |  | 1,951 | 1,951 |  |  | 162,807 | 162,807 |
| Commercial and industrial | 798 | 3,034 | 3,832 | 921 |  | 131,036 | 131,957 |
| Consumer | 3 | 1,321 | 1,324 | 33 |  | 44,371 | 44,404 |
| Direct financing leases |  | 1,598 | 1,598 |  |  | 52,957 | 52,957 |
| Other | 3 | 157 | 160 | 17 |  | 10,224 | 10,241 |
| Unallocated |  | 8,652 | 8,652 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 1,008 | \$ 38,128 | \$ 39,136 | \$ 20,598 |  | 1,842,516 | \$ 1,863,114 |

The following table is a summary of the Company s ALLL and recorded investment in loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as of December 31, 2010.

|  | Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses |  |  | Loans and Leases not Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALLL <br> for Individually Evaluated Impaired Loans and Leases | ALLL for All Other Loans and Leases | Total ALLL <br> (Dolla | Individually <br> Evaluated <br> Impaired <br> Loans <br> and <br> Leases <br> in thousands) | All Other <br> Loans and Leases | Total Loans and Leases |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 33 | \$ 2,966 | \$ 2,999 | \$ 945 | \$ 265,069 | \$ 266,014 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 71 | 8,242 | 8,313 | 3,096 | 675,369 | 678,465 |
| Construction/land development | 508 | 10,057 | 10,565 | 4,086 | 492,651 | 496,737 |
| Agricultural | 403 | 2,166 | 2,569 | 2,456 | 79,280 | 81,736 |
| Multifamily residential |  | 1,320 | 1,320 |  | 103,875 | 103,875 |
| Commercial and industrial | 928 | 3,214 | 4,142 | 947 | 119,091 | 120,038 |
| Consumer | 33 | 2,018 | 2,051 | 182 | 54,219 | 54,401 |
| Direct financing leases |  | 1,726 | 1,726 |  | 42,754 | 42,754 |
| Other | 44 | 157 | 201 | 115 | 12,294 | 12,409 |
| Unallocated |  | 6,344 | 6,344 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 2,020 | \$ 38,210 | \$ 40,230 | \$ 11,827 | \$ 1,844,602 | \$ 1,856,429 |
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At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company s acquired covered loans were performing in accordance with management s performance expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. Accordingly, none of the ALLL at September 30, 2011 or December 30, 2010 was allocated to covered loans.
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## Table of Contents

The following table is a summary of credit quality indicators for the Company s loans and leases as of September $30,2011$.

## Risk Rated Loans and Leases

|  | Satisfactory | Moderate | Watch | Substandard | Total <br> Dollars in thous | Loans and Leases not Risk Rated nds) | Total Non-covered Loans and Leases | Covered Loans | Total <br> Loans <br> and <br> Leases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 260,705 | \$ 260,705 | \$ 210,858 | \$ 471,563 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 521,758 | 97,288 | 52,404 | 17,674 | 689,124 |  | 689,124 | 390,099 | 1,079,223 |
| Construction/land development | 228,266 | 165,235 | 20,263 | 23,020 | 436,784 |  | 436,784 | 177,029 | 613,813 |
| Agricultural | 48,904 | 10,739 | 7,492 | 7,000 | 74,135 |  | 74,135 | 28,960 | 103,095 |
| Multifamily residential | 109,628 | 48,701 | 3,687 | 791 | 162,807 |  | 162,807 | 17,620 | 180,427 |
| Commercial and industrial | 93,040 | 32,812 | 1,594 | 4,511 | 131,957 |  | 131,957 | 33,756 | 165,713 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  | 44,404 | 44,404 | 1,028 | 45,432 |
| Direct financing leases | 50,159 | 2,419 | 29 | 350 | 52,957 |  | 52,957 |  | 52,957 |
| Other | 6,392 | 1,816 | 406 | 149 | 8,763 | 1,478 | 10,241 | 5,746 | 15,987 |
| Total | \$ 1,058,147 | \$ 359,010 | \$ 85,875 | \$ 53,495 | \$ 1,556,527 | \$ 306,587 | \$ 1,863,114 | \$ 865,096 | \$ 2,728,210 |

The following table is a summary of credit quality indicators for the Company s loans and leases as of December 31, 2010.

|  |  | k R | Loans an | d Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Satisfactory | Moderate | Watch | Substandard | Total Dollars in thous | Loans and Leases not Risk Rated nds) | Total Non-covered <br> Loans and Leases | Covered Loans |  | Total <br> Loans <br> and <br> Leases |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 266,014 | \$ 266,014 | \$ 132,494 | \$ | 398,508 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 504,923 | 122,883 | 32,476 | 18,183 | 678,465 |  | 678,465 | 213,327 |  | 891,792 |
| Construction/land development | 258,933 | 201,038 | 21,135 | 15,631 | 496,737 |  | 496,737 | 108,548 |  | 605,285 |
| Agricultural | 58,879 | 10,489 | 3,609 | 8,759 | 81,736 |  | 81,736 | 9,643 |  | 91,379 |
| Multifamily residential | 90,700 | 8,579 | 3,699 | 897 | 103,875 |  | 103,875 | 10,709 |  | 114,584 |
| Commercial and industrial | 79,926 | 34,274 | 1,659 | 4,179 | 120,038 |  | 120,038 | 17,646 |  | 137,684 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  | 54,401 | 54,401 | 1,301 |  | 55,702 |
| Direct financing leases | 38,666 | 3,328 | 676 | 84 | 42,754 |  | 42,754 |  |  | 42,754 |
| Other | 9,484 | 1,836 | 157 | 242 | 11,719 | 690 | 12,409 | 1,227 |  | 13,636 |
| Total | \$ 1,041,511 | \$ 382,427 | \$ 63,411 | \$47,975 | \$ 1,535,324 | \$ 321,105 | \$ 1,856,429 | \$494,895 | \$ | 2,351,324 |

The Company scredit quality indicators consist of an internal grading system analysis used to assign grades to all loans and leases except residential 1-4 family loans, consumer loans and acquired covered loans. The grade for each individual loan or lease is determined by the account officer and other approving officers at the time the loan or lease is made and changed from time to time to reflect an ongoing assessment of loan or lease risk. Grades are reviewed on specific loans and leases monthly for all past due loans and leases as a part of past due meetings
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held by senior management, quarterly for all nonaccrual and special reserve loans and leases, and annually as part of the Company s internal loan review process. In addition, individual loan grades are reviewed in connection with all renewals, extensions and modifications. The following categories of credit quality indicators are used by the Company.

Satisfactory Loans and leases in this category are considered to be a satisfactory credit risk and are generally considered to be collectible in full.

Moderate Loans and leases in this category are considered to be a marginally satisfactory credit risk and are generally considered to be collectible in full.
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Watch Loans and leases in this category are presently protected from apparent loss, however weaknesses exist which could cause future impairment of repayment of principal or interest.

Substandard Loans and leases in this category are characterized by deterioration in quality exhibited by a number of weaknesses requiring corrective action and posing risk of some loss.

The Company does not use these same credit quality indicators in its grading of covered loans. Instead, the Company grades covered loans as either performing in accordance with management $s$ performance expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Value or not performing in accordance with management s performance expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company concluded that all covered loans were peforming in accordance with management s performance expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values.

The following table is a summary of impaired loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as of and for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table is a summary of impaired loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

|  | Principal Balance | Net <br> Chargeoffs to Date | Principal <br> Balance, <br> Net of <br> Chargeoffs <br> ollars in tho | Specific <br> Allowance <br> ds) | Average <br> Carrying <br> Value <br> Year Ended <br> December 31, <br> 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired loans and leases for which there is a related ALLL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 305 | \$ (84) | \$ 221 | \$ 33 | \$ | 457 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 654 | (210) | 444 | 71 |  | 298 |
| Construction/land development | 1,835 | (92) | 1,743 | 508 |  | 854 |
| Agricultural | 1,336 | (131) | 1,205 | 403 |  | 912 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,490 | (786) | 704 | 928 |  | 317 |
| Consumer | 176 | (30) | 146 | 33 |  | 195 |
| Other | 364 | (277) | 87 | 44 |  | 101 |
| Total impaired loans and leases with a related ALLL | 6,160 | $(1,610)$ | 4,550 | 2,020 |  | 3,134 |
| Impaired loans and leases for which there is not a related ALLL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 851 | (127) | 724 |  |  | 1,333 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 3,481 | (829) | 2,652 |  |  | 4,490 |
| Construction/land development | 6,139 | $(3,796)$ | 2,343 |  |  | 3,603 |
| Agricultural | 1,392 | (141) | 1,251 |  |  | 1,229 |
| Multifamily residential | 133 | (133) |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 764 | (521) | 243 |  |  | 1,554 |
| Consumer | 93 | (56) | 37 |  |  | 53 |
| Other | 45 | (18) | 27 |  |  | 56 |
| Total impaired loans and leases without a related ALLL | 12,898 | $(5,621)$ | 7,277 |  |  | 12,318 |
| Total impaired loans and leases | \$ 19,058 | \$ $(7,231)$ | \$ 11,827 | \$ 2,020 | \$ | 15,452 |

Interest income on impaired loans and leases is recognized on a cash basis when and if actually collected. Total interest income recognized on impaired loans and leases for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2010 was not material.

The following table is an aging analysis of past due loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011.

|  |  | 30-89 <br> Days <br> Due ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Days <br> More ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | Total <br> ast Due <br> ars in th |  | $\text { urrent }{ }^{(3)}$ |  | al Loans Leases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ | 2,900 | \$ | 1,111 | \$ | 4,011 | \$ | 256,694 | \$ | 260,705 |
| Non-farm/non-residential |  | 4,551 |  | 782 |  | 5,333 |  | 683,791 |  | 689,124 |
| Construction/land development |  | 5,285 |  | 16,187 |  | 21,472 |  | 415,312 |  | 436,784 |
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| Agricultural | 61 | 878 | 939 | 73,196 | 74,135 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Multifamily residential |  |  |  | 162,807 | 162,807 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,796 | 317 | 2,113 | 129,844 | 131,957 |
| Consumer | 667 | 249 | 916 | 43,488 | 44,404 |
| Direct financing leases | 21 | 335 | 356 | 52,601 | 52,957 |
| Other | 60 |  | 60 | 10,181 | 10,241 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 15,341$ | $\$ 19,859$ | $\$ 35,200$ | $\$ 1,827,914$ | $\$ 1,863,114$ |

(1) Includes $\$ 1.0$ million of loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, on nonaccrual status at September 30, 2011.
(2) All loans and leases greater than 90 days past due, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, were on nonaccrual status at September 30, 2011.
(3) Includes $\$ 2.0$ million of loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, on nonaccrual status at September 30, 2011.
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The following table is an aging analysis of past due loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at December 31, 2010.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30-89 } \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due }{ }^{(1)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \text { Days } \\ & \text { or More }{ }^{(2)} \end{aligned}$ |  | Pa | Total <br> ast Due <br> ars in tho | nd | $\text { mrent }{ }^{(3)}$ |  | al Loans Leases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 3,809 | \$ | 726 | \$ | 4,535 | \$ | 261,479 | \$ | 266,014 |
| Non-farm/non residential | 6,261 |  | 3,337 |  | 9,598 |  | 668,867 |  | 678,465 |
| Construction/land development | 11,104 |  | 4,249 |  | 15,353 |  | 481,384 |  | 496,737 |
| Agricultural | 956 |  | 2,108 |  | 3,064 |  | 78,672 |  | 81,736 |
| Multifamily residential | 881 |  |  |  | 881 |  | 102,994 |  | 103,875 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,639 |  | 881 |  | 2,520 |  | 117,518 |  | 120,038 |
| Consumer | 1,187 |  | 146 |  | 1,333 |  | 53,068 |  | 54,401 |
| Direct financing leases |  |  | 84 |  | 84 |  | 42,670 |  | 42,754 |
| Other | 201 |  |  |  | 201 |  | 12,208 |  | 12,409 |
| Total | \$ 26,038 | \$ | 11,531 |  | 37,569 |  | ,818,860 |  | ,856,429 |

(1) Includes $\$ 1.2$ million of loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2010.
(2) All loans and leases greater than 90 days past due, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, were on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2010.
(3) Includes $\$ 1.3$ million of loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, on nonaccrual status at December 31, 2010.

The following table is an aging analysis of past due loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements at September 30, 2011.

|  | $\mathbf{3 0 - 8 9}$ <br> Days <br> Past Due | 90 Days <br> or More <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Total <br> Cast Due | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate: | $\$ 13,213$ | $\$ 31,630$ | $\$ 44,843$ | $\$ 166,015$ | $\$ 210,858$ |
| Residential 1-4 family | 28,119 | 60,322 | 88,441 | 301,658 | 390,099 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 10,167 | 63,295 | 73,462 | 103,567 | 177,029 |
| Construction/land development | 897 | 5,567 | 6,464 | 22,496 | 28,960 |
| Agricultural | 826 | 3,388 | 4,214 | 13,406 | 17,620 |
| Multifamily residential | 1,254 | 4,723 | 5,977 | 27,779 | 33,756 |
| Commercial and industrial | 81 | 21 | 102 | 926 | 1,028 |
| Consumer |  | 40 | 40 | 5,706 | 5,746 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 54,557$ | $\$ 168,986$ | $\$ 223,543$ | $\$ 641,553$ | $\$ 865,096$ |
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The following table is an aging analysis of past due loans covered by FDIC loss share agreement at December 31, 2010.

|  | 30-89 Days <br> Past Due | 90 Days <br> or More | Total <br> Past Due <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Cotal <br> Covered <br> Loans |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate: | $\$ 9,663$ | $\$ 17,130$ | $\$ 26,793$ | $\$ 105,701$ | $\$ 132,494$ |
| Residential 1-4 family | 11,070 | 14,478 | 25,548 | 187,779 | 213,327 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 18,948 | 26,487 | 45,435 | 63,113 | 108,548 |
| Construction/land development | 98 | 602 | 700 | 8,943 | 9,643 |
| Agricultural | 892 | 1,808 | 2,700 | 8,009 | 10,709 |
| Multifamily residential | 507 | 798 | 1,305 | 16,341 | 17,646 |
| Commercial and industrial | 356 | 25 | 381 | 920 | 1,301 |
| Consumer | 643 |  | 643 | 584 | 1,227 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 42,177$ | $\$ 61,328$ | $\$ 103,505$ | $\$ 391,390$ | $\$ 494,895$ |

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, a significant portion of the Company s covered loans were past due, including many that were 90 days or more past due. However, such delinquencies were included in the Company s performance expectations in determining the Day 1 Fair Values. Accordingly, these covered loans continue to accrete interest income and continue to be performing in accordance with management $s$ performance expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values.

## 7. Foreclosed Assets

The amount and type of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements are as follows:

|  | September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ <br> (Dollars in <br> thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate: | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | 2,439 | $\$$ | 4,018 |
| Non-farm/non-residential | 3,298 | 3,866 |  |
| Construction/land development | 28,113 | 33,701 |  |
| Agricultural | 239 | 459 |  |
| Total real estate | 34,089 | 42,044 |  |
| Repossessions | 249 | 172 |  |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $\$ 34,338$ | $\$$ | 42,216 |

The amount and type of foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements are as follows:

| September 30, |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| (Dollars in thousands) |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |

$\$ 15,219 \quad \$ \quad 10,624$
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| Non-farm/non-residential | 12,314 | 3,755 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Construction/land development | 43,038 | 16,366 |
| Multifamily residential | 2,649 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Total real estate | 73,220 | 30,745 |
| Repossessions | 29 | 400 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $\$ 73,249$ | $\$$ |
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## 8. FHLB Advances

FHLB advances, all of which are from FHLB Dallas, with original maturities exceeding one year totaled $\$ 280.8$ million at September 30, 2011. Interest rates on these advances ranged from $1.34 \%$ to $5.12 \%$ at September 30,2011 with a weighted-average interest rate of $3.80 \%$. At September 30, 2011 aggregate annual maturities and weighted-average interest rates of FHLB advances with an original maturity of over one year were as follows:

| Maturity | Amount <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Weighted-Average <br> Interest Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$$ | 11 |

At September 30, 2011, \$280 million of FHLB advances contained quarterly call features and are callable as follows:

|  | Amount | Weighted-Average <br> Interest Rate <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Maturity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Callable quarterly | $\$ 260,000$ | $3.90 \%$ | 2017 |
| Callable quarterly | 20,000 | 2.53 | 2018 |
|  | $\$ 280,000$ | 3.80 |  |

## 9. Subordinated Debentures

The Company had the following issues of trust preferred securities outstanding and subordinated debentures owed to the Trusts at September 30, 2011.

|  | Subordinated <br> Debentures <br> Owed to Trusts | Trust Preferred <br> Securities <br> of the Trusts | Interest Rate <br> Spread to <br> 90-day LIBOR <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Interest Rate at <br> September 30, 2011 | Final Maturity Date |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | $\$ 14,434$ | $\$$ | 14,000 | $2.95 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | September 25, 2033 |
| Ozark III | 14,433 | 14,000 | 2.90 | 3.27 | September 29, 2033 |  |
| Ozark II | 15,464 | 15,000 | 2.22 | 2.52 | September 28, 2034 |  |
| Ozark IV | 20,619 | 20,000 | 1.60 | 1.95 | December 15, 2036 |  |
| Ozark V | $\$ 64,950$ | $\$$ | 63,000 |  |  |  |

At September 30, 2011 the Company had $\$ 64.9$ million of subordinated debentures outstanding and had an asset of $\$ 1.9$ million representing its investment in the common equity issued by the Trusts. The interest rates on the subordinated debentures and related trust preferred securities are based on a spread over the 90 -day London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) and reset periodically. The sole assets of the Trusts are the adjustable rate debentures and the liabilities of the Trusts are the trust preferred securities. At September 30, 2011 the Trusts did not have any restricted net assets. The Company has, through various contractual arrangements, unconditionally guaranteed payment of all obligations of the
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Trusts with respect to the trust preferred securities. There are no restrictions on the ability of the Trusts to transfer funds to the Company in the form of cash dividends, loans or advances.

The trust preferred securities and the subordinated debentures mature at or near the $30^{\text {th }}$ anniversary date of their issuance. However, these securities and debentures may be prepaid at par, subject to regulatory approval, prior to maturity at any time on or after September 25 and 29 , 2008, respectively, for the Ozark III and Ozark II securities and debentures; on or after September 28, 2009 for the Ozark IV securities and debentures; and on or after December 15, 2011 for the Ozark V securities or debentures, or at an earlier date upon certain changes in tax laws, investment company laws or regulatory capital requirements.
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## 10. Supplemental Data for Cash Flows

Supplemental cash flow information is as follows:

|  | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash paid during the period for: | $\$ 25,777$ | $\$ 27,113$ |
| Interest | 12,656 | 10,279 |
| Taxes | 13,323 | 7,555 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: <br> Net change in unrealized gains/losses on investment securities AFS | 8,613 | 10,952 |
| Loans transferred to foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share <br> agreements <br> Loans advanced for sales of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share <br> agreements <br> Covered loans transferred to foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share <br> agreements | 482 | 9,476 |

## 11. Guarantees and Commitments

Outstanding standby letters of credit are contingent commitments issued by the Company generally to guarantee the performance of a customer in third party arrangements. The maximum amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these guarantees at September 30, 2011 was $\$ 14.7$ million. The Company holds collateral to support guarantees when deemed necessary. Collateralized commitments at September 30, 2011 totaled $\$ 14.0$ million.

At September 30, 2011 the Company had outstanding commitments to extend credit, excluding commitments to extend credit on loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, totaling $\$ 220$ million. These commitments extend over varying periods of time with the majority to be disbursed or to expire within a one-year period.

## 12. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a nonqualified stock option plan for certain employees of the Company. This plan provides for the granting of nonqualified options to purchase shares of common stock in the Company. No option may be granted under this plan for less than the fair market value of the common stock, defined by the plan as the average of the highest reported asked price and the lowest reported bid price, on the date of the grant. The benefits or amounts that may be received by or allocated to any particular officer or employee of the Company under this plan will be determined in the sole discretion of the Company s board of directors or its personnel and compensation committee. While the vesting period and the termination date for the employee plan options are determined when options are granted, all such employee options outstanding at September 30, 2011 were issued with a vesting date of three years after issuance and an expiration date seven years after issuance.

The Company also has a nonqualified stock option plan for non-employee directors. This plan permits each director who is not otherwise an employee of the Company, or any subsidiary, to receive options to purchase 1,000 shares of the Company s common stock on the day following his or her election as a director of the Company at each annual meeting of stockholders and up to 1,000 shares upon election or appointment for the first time as a director of the Company. No option may be granted under this plan for less than the fair market value of the common stock, defined by the plan as the average of the highest reported asked price and the lowest reported bid price, on the date of the grant. These options are exercisable immediately and expire ten years after issuance.

All shares issued in connection with options exercised under both the employee and non-employee director stock option plans are in the form of newly issued shares.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table summarizes stock option activity for both the employee and non-employee director stock option plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

|  |  |  | Weighted-Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Life | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in years) |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| (in thousands) |
| :--- |

(1) Based on closing price of $\$ 20.93$ per share on September 30, 2011.
(2) At September 30, 2011 the Company estimates that outstanding options to purchase 46,215 shares of its common stock will not vest and will be forfeited prior to their vesting date.
Intrinsic value for stock options is defined as the amount by which the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. For those stock options where the exercise price exceeds the current market price of the underlying stock, the intrinsic value is zero. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million, respectively.

Options to purchase 19,800 shares and 20,000 shares of the Company s common stock were issued during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Stock-based compensation expense for stock options included in non-interest expense was $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock-based compensation was $\$ 0.8$ million at September 30, 2011 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

The Company has a restricted stock plan that permits issuance of up to 400,000 shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units. All officers and employees of the Company are eligible to receive awards under the restricted stock plan. The benefits or amounts that may be received by or allocated to any particular officer or employee of the Company under the restricted stock plan will be determined in the sole discretion of the Company s board of directors or its personnel and compensation committee. Shares of common stock issued under the restricted stock plan may be shares of original issuance, shares held in treasury or shares that have been reacquired by the Company. All restricted stock awards outstanding at September 30, 2011 were issued with a vesting date of three years after issuance.

The following table summarizes non-vested restricted stock activity for the period indicated.
Nine Months Ended
September 30,
$\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$
107,800
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| Granted |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Forfeited | $(1,600)$ |  |
| Vested |  |  |
| Outstanding $\quad$ September 30,2011 |  | 106,200 |
|  | $\$$ | 16.72 |

The fair value of the restricted stock awards is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period (generally three years) and is based on the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant multiplied by the number of shares granted that are expected to vest. Stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock included in non-interest expense was $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 38,000$ for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense for nonvested restricted stock awards was $\$ 1.1$ million at September 30, 2011 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.
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On October 18, 2011 the Company s Personnel and Compensation Committee approved the issuance of (i) options to purchase 215,400 shares of the Company s common stock and (ii) restricted stock awards for 95,700 shares of restricted stock. Both the option grants and restricted stock awards were issued with terms similar to the Company s existing grants and awards. Total compensation expense for these option grants and restricted stock awards is expected to be $\$ 3.5$ million and is expected to be recognized ratably over the three-year vesting period.

## 13. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income consists of net income, net income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interest, unrealized gains and losses on investment securities AFS, net of income taxes, and reclassification adjustments for unrealized gains and losses on investment securities AFS sold, net of income taxes. Total comprehensive income was $\$ 23.5$ million and $\$ 25.1$ million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $\$ 91.8$ million and $\$ 51.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## 14. Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis using various valuation techniques and assumptions, depending on the nature of the asset or liability. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, fair value is used either annually or on a non-recurring basis to evaluate certain assets and liabilities for impairment or for disclosure purposes.

The Company applies the following fair value hierarchy.

Level 1 Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable.

Level 3 Instruments whose inputs are unobservable.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table sets forth the Company $s$ assets and liabilities for the dates indicated that are accounted for at fair value.

|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities AFS ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ | \$ 349,853 |  | 18,914 | \$ 368,767 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 50,518 |  |  | 50,518 |
| Total investment securities AFS |  | 400,371 |  | 18,914 | 419,285 |
| Impaired loans and leases |  |  |  | 19,590 | 19,590 |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  | 34,338 | 34,338 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  | 73,249 | 73,249 |
| Derivative assets interest rate lock commitments ( IRLC ) and forward sales commitments ( FSC ) |  |  |  | 223 | 223 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ | \$ 400,371 |  | 146,314 | \$ 546,685 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities IRLC and FSC | \$ | \$ | \$ | 223 | \$ 223 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ | \$ | 223 | \$ 223 |

## December 31, 2010:

| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities AFS ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ | \$ 358,511 | \$ | 20,036 | \$ 378,547 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,269 |  |  | 1,269 |
| Total investment securities AFS |  | 359,780 |  | 20,036 | 379,816 |
| Impaired loans and leases |  |  |  | 9,807 | 9,807 |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  | 42,216 | 42,216 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |  | 31,145 | 31,145 |
| Derivative assets IRLC and FSC |  |  |  | 55 | 55 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ | \$ 359,780 |  | 103,259 | \$ 463,039 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities IRLC and FSC | \$ | \$ | \$ | 55 | \$ 55 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ | \$ | 55 | \$ 55 |

September 30, 2010:

| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Investment securities AFS ${ }^{(1)}$ : | $\$ 373,412$ | $\$$ | 20,416 | $\$ 393,828$ |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 193 |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |
|  | 373,605 | 20,416 | 394,021 |  |
| Total investment securities AFS |  | 15,977 | 15,977 |  |
| Impaired loans and leases |  | 41,868 | 41,868 |  |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 17,540 | 17,540 |  |  |
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| Derivative assets IRLC and FSC |  |  | 136 |  | 136 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ | \$ 373,605 | \$ | 95,937 | \$ 469,542 |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative liabilities IRLC and FSC | \$ | \$ | \$ | 136 | \$ | 136 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ | \$ | 136 | \$ | 136 |

(1) Does not include $\$ 20.3$ million at September 30, 2011, $\$ 18.9$ million at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 18.4$ million at September 30, 2010 of FHLB Dallas, FHLB Atlanta and FNBB stock that do not have readily determinable fair values and are carried at cost.
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The following methods and assumptions are used to estimate the fair value of the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value.

Investment securities The Company utilizes independent third parties as its principal pricing sources for determining fair value of investment securities which are measured on a recurring basis. For investment securities traded in an active market, fair values are based on quoted market prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities, broker quotes or comprehensive interest rate tables and pricing matrices or a combination thereof. For investment securities traded in a market that is not active, fair value is determined using unobservable inputs.

The Company has determined that certain of its investment securities had a limited to non-existent trading market at September 30, 2011. As a result, the Company considers these investments as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Specifically, the fair values of certain obligations of state and political subdivisions consisting primarily of certain unrated private placement bonds (the private placement bonds ) in the amount of \$18.9 million at September 30, 2011 were calculated using Level 3 hierarchy inputs and assumptions as the trading market for such securities was determined to be not active. This determination was based on the limited number of trades or, in certain cases, the existence of no reported trades for the private placement bonds. The private placement bonds are generally prepayable at par value at the option of the issuer. As a result, management believes the private placement bonds should be individually valued at the lower of (i) the matrix pricing provided by the Company s third party pricing services for comparable unrated municipal securities or (ii) par value. At September 30, 2011, the third parties pricing matrices valued the Company s portfolio of private placement bonds at $\$ 19.0$ million which exceeded the aggregate of the lower of the matrix pricing or par value of the private placement bonds by $\$ 0.1$ million. Accordingly, at September 30, 2011 the Company reported the private placement bonds at $\$ 18.9$ million which was the lower of the matrix pricing or par value.

Impaired loans and leases Fair values are measured on a nonrecurring basis and are based on the underlying collateral value of the impaired loan or lease, net of selling costs, or the estimated discounted cash flows for such loan or lease. At September 30, 2011 the Company has reduced the carrying value of its impaired loans and leases (all of which are included in nonaccrual loans and leases) by $\$ 10.1$ million to the estimated fair value of $\$ 19.6$ million for such loans and leases. The $\$ 10.1$ million adjustment to reduce the carrying value of impaired loans and leases to estimated fair value consisted of $\$ 9.1$ million of partial charge-offs and $\$ 1.0$ million of specific loan and lease loss allocations.

Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements Repossessed personal properties and real estate acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are measured on a non-recurring basis and are initially recorded at the lesser of current principal investment or fair value less estimated cost to sell at the date of repossession or foreclosure. Valuations of these assets are periodically reviewed by management with the carrying value of such assets adjusted to the then estimated fair value net of estimated selling costs, if lower, until disposition. Fair values of foreclosed and repossessed assets held for sale are generally based on third party appraisals, broker price opinions or other valuations of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification.

Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, are recorded at estimated fair value on the date of acquisition. In estimating the fair value of covered foreclosed assets, management considers a number of factors including, among others, appraised value, estimating holding periods, net present value of cash flows expected to be received, and estimated selling costs. A discount rate ranging from $8.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum was used to determine the net present value of covered foreclosed assets. Valuations of these assets are periodically reviewed by management with the carrying value of such assets adjusted to the then estimated fair value net of estimated selling costs, if lower, until disposition.

Derivative assets and liabilities The fair values of IRLC and FSC derivative assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis and are based primarily on the fluctuation of interest rates between the date on which the IRLC and FSC were entered and the measurement date.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table presents additional information for the periods indicated about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs or value drivers to determine fair value.


## 15. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments.
Cash and due from banks For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.
Investment securities The Company utilizes independent third parties as its principal pricing sources for determining fair value of investment securities which are measured on a recurring basis. For investment securities traded in an active market, fair values are based on quoted market prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities, broker quotes or comprehensive interest rate tables, pricing matrices or a combination thereof. For investment securities traded in a market that is not active, fair value is determined using unobservable inputs. The Company s investments in the common stock of the FHLB Dallas, FHLB Atlanta and FNBB totaling $\$ 20.3$ million at September 30, 2011, $\$ 18.9$ million at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 18.4$ million at September 30, 2010 do not have readily determinable fair values and are carried at cost.

Loans and leases The fair value of loans and leases net of allowance for loan and lease losses is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rate at which similar loans or leases would be made to borrowers or lessees with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

FDIC loss share receivable The fair value of the FDIC loss share receivable is based on the net present value of future cash proceeds expected to be received from the FDIC under the provisions of the loss share agreements using a discount rate that is based on current market rates.

Deposit liabilities The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, money market deposits and other transaction accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity time deposits is estimated using the rate currently available for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Repurchase agreements For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.
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Other borrowed funds For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of long-term instruments is estimated based on the current rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Subordinated debentures The fair values of these instruments are based primarily upon discounted cash flows using rates for securities with similar terms and remaining maturities.
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Derivative assets and liabilities The fair values of IRLC and FSC derivative assets and liabilities are based primarily on the fluctuation of interest rates between the date on which the IRLC and FSC were entered and the measurement date.

Off-balance sheet instruments The fair values of commercial loan commitments and letters of credit were not material at September 30, 2011 and 2010 or at December 31, 2010 and are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements.

The fair values of certain of these instruments were calculated by discounting expected cash flows, which contain numerous uncertainties and involve significant judgments by management. Fair value is the estimated amount at which financial assets or liabilities could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced or liquidation sale. Because no market exists for certain of these financial instruments and because management does not intend to sell these financial instruments, the Company does not know whether the fair values represent values at which the respective financial instruments could be sold individually or in the aggregate.

The following table presents the estimated fair values, for the dates indicated, of the Company s financial instruments.

|  | Carrying <br> Amount | September 30, |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimated | Estimated |  | Estimated |  |
|  |  | Fair <br> Value | Carrying Amount (Dollars in |  | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 69,497 | \$ 69,497 | \$ 54,362 | \$ 54,362 | \$ 49,029 | \$ 49,029 |
| Investment securities AFS | 439,596 | 439,596 | 412,443 | 412,443 | 398,698 | 398,698 |
| Loans and leases, net of ALLL | 2,689,074 | 2,679,924 | 2,239,700 | 2,222,048 | 2,311,094 | 2,293,339 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 318,730 | 318,219 | 123,702 | 123,319 | 154,150 | 154,422 |
| Derivative assets IRLC and FSC | 223 | 223 | 136 | 136 | 55 | 55 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, savings and interest bearing transaction deposits | \$ 2,076,570 | \$ 2,076,570 | \$ 1,542,781 | \$ 1,542,781 | \$ 1,597,643 | \$ 1,597,643 |
| Time deposits | 969,899 | 978,442 | 872,933 | 878,571 | 943,110 | 947,447 |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | 46,334 | 46,334 | 55,750 | 55,750 | 43,324 | 43,324 |
| Other borrowings | 289,353 | 351,403 | 294,502 | 365,374 | 282,139 | 349,964 |
| Subordinated debentures | 64,950 | 30,036 | 64,950 | 29,251 | 64,950 | 29,377 |
| Derivative liabilities IRLC and FSC | 223 | 223 | 136 | 136 | 55 | 55 |

## 16. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2011-02, A Creditor $s$ Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-02 amend and clarify GAAP related to the accounting for debt restructurings. Specifically, ASU No. 2011-02 requires that, when evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both (i) the restructuring constitutes a concession and (ii) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. In evaluating whether a concession has been granted, a creditor must evaluate whether (i) a debtor has access to funds at a market rate for debt with similar risk characteristics as the restructured debt in order to determine if the restructuring would be considered to be at a below-market rate, indicating that the creditor has granted a concession, (ii) a temporary or permanent increase in the contractual interest rate as a result of a restructuring may be considered a concession because the new contractual interest rate on the restructured debt is still below the market interest rate for new debt with similar risk characteristics, and (iii) a restructuring that results in a delay in payment is either significant and is a concession or is insignificant and is not a concession. In evaluating whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties, a creditor may conclude that a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties, even though the debtor is not currently in payment default.
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A creditor should evaluate whether it is probable that the debtor would be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without a modification of the debt. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-02 were effective July 1, 2011 and were applied retroactively to modifications occurring on or after January 1, 2011. The Company reassessed significant modifications and loan restructurings occurring between January 1, 2011 and September 30, 2011 noting no such modifications and loan restructurings that were considered troubled debt restructurings under the provisions of ASU No. 2011-02. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were no defaults on any loans that were considered troubled debt restructurings during the preceding 12 months.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which revises the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in their financial statements. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 require reporting the components of comprehensive income in either (i) a continuous statement of comprehensive income or (ii) two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income but rather removes the presentation options available under ASC 220,
Comprehensive Income. The new presentation disclosures required by ASU 2011-05 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. As this ASU amends only the presentation of comprehensive income, the adoption will have no impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 provide the option of performing a qualitative assessment before calculating the fair value of a reporting unit in Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test. If based on qualitative factors, the fair value of the reporting unit is more likely than not less than the carrying amount, the two step impairment test would be required. This ASU is effective beginning January 1, 2012; however, early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2011-08 will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## GENERAL

Net income available to common stockholders for Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. (the Company ) was $\$ 18.9$ million for the third quarter of 2011, a $6.5 \%$ decrease from $\$ 20.2$ million for the third quarter of 2010 . Diluted earnings per common share were $\$ 0.55$ for the third quarter of 2011, a $6.8 \%$ decrease from $\$ 0.59$ for the third quarter of 2010 . For the first nine months of 2011 , net income available to common stockholders totaled $\$ 83.8$ million, a $77.9 \%$ increase from $\$ 47.1$ million for the first nine months of 2010 . Diluted earnings per common share for the first nine months of 2011 were $\$ 2.43$, a $76.1 \%$ increase from $\$ 1.38$ for the first nine months of 2010.

On August 16, 2011 the Company completed a 2-for-1 stock split, in the form of a stock dividend, effected by issuing one share of common stock for each share of such stock outstanding on August 5, 2011. All share and per share information in this Management siscussion and Analysis has been adjusted to give effect to this stock split.

The Company s annualized return on average assets was $1.91 \%$ for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $2.60 \%$ for the third quarter of 2010. Its annualized return on average common stockholders equity was $18.97 \%$ for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $26.28 \%$ for the third quarter of 2010. The Company s annualized return on average assets was $3.01 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $2.15 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010. Its annualized return on average common stockholders equity was $31.01 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $21.79 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010.

Total assets were $\$ 3.93$ billion at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 3.27$ billion at December 31, 2010. Loans and leases, excluding those covered by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) loss share agreements, were $\$ 1.86$ billion at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements (covered loans ) were $\$ 865$ million at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 495$ million at December 31, 2010. Deposits were $\$ 3.05$ billion at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 2.54$ billion at December 31, 2010.

Common stockholders equity was $\$ 407$ million at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 320$ million at December 31, 2010. Book value per common share was $\$ 11.87$ at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 9.39$ at December 31, 2010. Changes in common stockholders equity and book value per common share reflect earnings, dividends paid, stock option and stock grant transactions and changes in unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale ( AFS ).

Annualized results for these interim periods may not be indicative of results for the full year or future periods.

## ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company is a bank holding company whose primary business is commercial banking conducted through its wholly-owned state chartered bank subsidiary Bank of the Ozarks (the Bank ). The Company s results of operations depend primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income from earning assets, such as loans, leases, covered loans and investments, and the interest expense incurred on interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits, borrowings and subordinated debentures. The Company also generates non-interest income, including service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage lending income, trust income, bank owned life insurance ( BOLI ) income, gains and losses on investment securities and from sales of other assets, gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions, accretion of FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of FDIC clawback payable, and other loss share income.

The Company s non-interest expense consists of employee compensation and benefits, net occupancy and equipment and other operating expenses. The Company s results of operations are significantly impacted by its provision for loan and lease losses and its provision for income taxes. The following discussion provides a comparative summary of the Company s operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes presented elsewhere in this report.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is analyzed in this discussion and the following tables on a fully taxable equivalent ( FTE ) basis. The adjustment to convert certain income to a FTE basis consists of dividing federal tax-exempt income by one minus the Company s statutory federal income tax rate of $35 \%$. The FTE adjustments to net interest income were $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $\$ 6.8$ million and $\$ 7.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. No adjustments have been made in this analysis for income exempt from state income taxes or for interest expense deductions disallowed under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code as a result of investment in certain tax-exempt securities.
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Net interest income for the third quarter of 2011 increased $32.3 \%$ to $\$ 46.6$ million compared to $\$ 35.2$ million for the third quarter of 2010. Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased $33.2 \%$ to $\$ 129.7$ million compared to $\$ 97.3$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Net interest margin was $5.90 \%$ for the third quarter and $5.77 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $5.31 \%$ for the third quarter and $5.14 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010 . The growth in net interest income was a result of the improvement in net interest margin, which increased 59 basis points ( bps ) for the third quarter and 63 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010, and growth in average earning assets which increased $19.2 \%$ for the third quarter and $18.7 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010.

The Company s improvement in net interest margin for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 resulted from a combination of factors including, among others, an increase in the volume of the Company s covered loan portfolio, which is higher yielding than the Company s non-covered loan and lease portfolio, and reductions in rates paid on most categories of interest bearing liabilities, partially offset by decreases in yield on the Company s loan and lease portfolio not covered by FDIC loss share agreements and the Company s aggregate investment securities portfolio.

Yields on earning assets increased 28 bps for the third quarter and 31 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. These increases were primarily the result of an increase in the yield on covered loans of 30 bps for the third quarter and 63 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010, partially offset by decreases in yields on non-covered loans and leases of eight bps for the third quarter and 12 bps for the first nine months of 2011 and decreases in the aggregate yield on the Company s investment securities portfolio of 58 bps for the third quarter and 49 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010.

The decline in rates on average interest bearing liabilities was primarily due to the declines in rates on interest bearing deposits, the largest component of the Company s interest bearing liabilities. Rates on interest bearing deposits decreased 32 bps for the third quarter and 29 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. This decrease in the rate on interest bearing deposits was principally due to (i) a change in mix of the Company s interest bearing deposits as a result of growth in the volume of savings and interest bearing transaction accounts resulting in an increase in these deposits to $61.2 \%$ of total interest bearing deposits for the third quarter and $59.3 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $58.3 \%$ for the third quarter and $55.7 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010 and (ii) effectively managing the repricing of both time deposits and savings and interest bearing transaction deposits which resulted in lower rates paid on deposits as they were renewed or otherwise repriced.

The Company sother borrowing sources include (i) repurchase agreements with customers ( repos ), (ii) other borrowings comprised primarily of Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas ( FHLB Dallas ) advances, and, to a lesser extent, Federal Reserve Bank ( FRB ) borrowings and federal funds purchased, and (iii) subordinated debentures. The rates on repos decreased 34 bps for the third quarter and 30 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 primarily as a result of the Company s efforts to effectively manage the rates on its interest bearing liabilities, including repos. The rates on the Company s other borrowings, which consist primarily of fixed rate callable FHLB Dallas advances, increased 27 bps in the third quarter and decreased 17 bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The increase in rates for other borrowings for the third quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was primarily due to decreased utilization of lower rate short-term federal funds purchased and short-term FHLB Dallas borrowings. The decrease in rates for other borrowings for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was due primarily to the repayment of $\$ 60.0$ million of fixed rate, callable FHLB Dallas advances with a weighted-average interest rate of $6.25 \%$ that were repaid on their maturity dates in May 2010. The rates paid on the Company s subordinated debentures, which are tied to a spread over the 90-day London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) and reset periodically, decreased 25 bps for the third quarter and seven bps for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 primarily as a result of the decrease in the 90-day LIBOR on the applicable reset dates during 2011.

The increase in average earning assets was due primarily to increases in the Company s average balance of covered loans from $\$ 298$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 149$ million for the first nine months of 2010 to $\$ 885$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 744$ million for the first nine months of 2011. The Company made seven FDIC-assisted acquisitions during 2010 and the first nine months of 2011, resulting in significant increases in its covered loan portfolio over the last seven quarters. These increases were partially offset by decreases in the Company s average non-covered loans and leases of $\$ 100$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 79$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. The declines in the average balances of non-covered loans and leases is due primarily to paydowns and payoffs of existing loans and leases throughout the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 more than offsetting loan and lease originations, the majority of which were funded during September 2011. The Company s average earnings assets were also affected by changes in its average investment securities portfolio, which increased $\$ 17$ million for the third quarter and decreased $\$ 42$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010. In recent years, the Company has generally been a net seller of investment securities as a result of ongoing evaluations of interest rate risk and to free up capital for FDIC-assisted acquisitions.
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Average Consolidated Balance Sheets and Net Interest Analysis FTE

|  | Three Months Ended September 30,2011 |  |  |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | 2011 <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Yield/ <br> Rate | Average Balance | 2010 <br> Income/ Expense | Yield/ <br> Rate <br> (Dollars in | Average Balance thousands) | 2011 <br> Income/ Expense | Yield/ <br> Rate | Average Balance | 2010 <br> Income/ Expense | Yield/ <br> Rate |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest earning deposits and federal funds sold | \$ 1,405 | \$ 5 | 1.60\% | \$ 1,180 | \$ 4 | 1.46\% | \$ 1,865 | \$ 31 | 2.21\% | \$ 1,298 | \$ 16 | 1.64\% |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 109,782 | 838 | 3.03 | 57,056 | 636 | 4.42 | 101,646 | 2,324 | 3.06 | 99,705 | 3,701 | 4.96 |
| Loans and leases |  |  |  |  |  | 7.33 | 344,845 | 19,399 | 7.52 | 388,650 | 21,832 | 7.51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.22 | 1,815,004 | 83,734 | 6.17 | 1,893,971 | 89,044 | 6.29 |
| Covered loans* | 884,864 | 19,089 | 8.56 | 297,941 | 6,205 | 8.26 | 744,069 | 48,119 | 8.65 | 149,035 | 8,942 | 8.02 |
| Total interest earning assets FTE | 3,134,532 | 54,158 | 6.85 | 2,630,476 | 43,539 | 6.57 | 3,007,429 | 153,607 | 6.83 | 2,532,659 | 123,535 | 6.52 |
| Non interest earning assets | 800,269 |  |  | 453,313 |  |  | 706,952 |  |  | 398,025 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 3,934,801 |  |  | \$ 3,083,789 |  |  | \$ 3,714,381 |  |  | \$ 2,930,684 |  |  |

## LIABILITIES AND

STOCKHOLDERS

## EQUITY

Interest bearing
liabilities:
Deposits:


| Total interest bearing liabilities | 3,052,542 | 7,566 | 0.98 | 2,484,900 | 8,324 | 1.33 | 2,926,913 | 23,904 | 1.09 | 2,375,998 | 26,195 | 1.47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 419,349 |  |  | 267,605 |  |  | 377,278 |  |  | 245,223 |  |  |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 64,069 |  |  | 22,468 |  |  | 45,642 |  |  | 17,214 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 3,535,960 |  |  | 2,774,973 |  |  | 3,349,833 |  |  | 2,638,435 |  |  |
| Common stockholders equity | 395,430 |  |  | 305,378 |  |  | 361,123 |  |  | 288,800 |  |  |
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| Noncontrolling <br> interest | 3,411 | 3,438 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* Covered loans are loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements
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The following table reflects how changes in the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in interest rates have affected the Company s interest income, interest expense and net interest income for the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to changes attributable to (1) changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior yield/rate); (2) changes in yield/rate (changes in yield/rate multiplied by prior volume); and (3) changes in both yield/rate and volume (changes in yield/rate multiplied by changes in volume). The changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and yield/rate have all been allocated to the changes due to volume.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> September 30, 2011 <br> Over |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Over |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 |  |  |
|  | Volume | Yield/ Rate | Net <br> Change <br> (Dollars in | Volume housands) | Yield/ Rate | Net Change |
| Increase (decrease) in: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income FTE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest earning deposits and federal funds sold | \$ | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ 9 | \$ 6 | 15 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 402 | (200) | 202 | 44 | $(1,421)$ | $(1,377)$ |
| Tax-exempt FTE | (671) | 116 | (555) | $(2,464)$ | 31 | $(2,433)$ |
| Loans and leases FTE | $(1,549)$ | (364) | $(1,913)$ | $(3,643)$ | $(1,667)$ | $(5,310)$ |
| Covered loans | 12,661 | 223 | 12,884 | 38,481 | 696 | 39,177 |
| Total interest income FTE | 10,844 | (225) | 10,619 | 32,427 | $(2,355)$ | 30,072 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings and interest bearing transaction | 548 | (756) | (208) | 1,926 | $(1,615)$ | 311 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | (88) | (389) | (477) | (239) | $(1,008)$ | $(1,247)$ |
| Other time deposits | 485 | (439) | 46 | 1,517 | $(1,351)$ | 166 |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | (14) | (43) | (57) | (38) | (111) | (149) |
| Other borrowings | (230) | 208 | (22) | (936) | (401) | $(1,337)$ |
| Subordinated debentures |  | (40) | (40) |  | (35) | (35) |
| Total interest expense | 701 | $(1,459)$ | (758) | 2,230 | $(4,521)$ | $(2,291)$ |
| Increase in net interest income FTE | \$ 10,143 | \$ 1,234 | \$ 11,377 | \$ 30,197 | \$ 2,166 | \$ 32,363 |

## Non-Interest Income

The Company s non-interest income consists primarily of service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage lending income, trust income, BOLI income, gains on investment securities and on sales of other assets, gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions, accretion of FDIC loss share receivable net of amortization of FDIC clawback payable, and other loss share income.

Non-interest income for the third quarter of 2011 decreased $36.2 \%$ to $\$ 16.1$ million compared to $\$ 25.2$ million for the third quarter of 2010. Non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased $101.5 \%$ to $\$ 104.1$ million compared to $\$ 51.7$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. These results include pre-tax bargain purchase gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions of none for the third quarter and $\$ 65.7$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 16.1$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 26.2$ million for the first nine months of 2010.
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Service charges on deposit accounts, traditionally the Company s largest source of non-interest income, increased $18.3 \%$ to $\$ 4.7$ million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 4.0$ million for the third quarter of 2010 . Service charges on deposit accounts increased $18.1 \%$ to $\$ 13.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 11.1$ million for the same period in 2010. The increase in service charges on deposit accounts is due to a number of factors including, primarily, growth in the number of transaction accounts, increased utilization of fee-based services by customers, selectively increasing certain fees, and the addition of deposit customers from the Company s seven FDIC-assisted acquisitions during the last seven quarters.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

## Table of Contents

Mortgage lending income decreased $20.4 \%$ to $\$ 0.8$ million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 1.0$ million for the third quarter of 2010. Mortgage lending income decreased $10.0 \%$ to $\$ 2.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 2.4$ million for the same period in 2010. The volume of originations of mortgage loans available for sale decreased $23.6 \%$ and $19.7 \%$, respectively, for the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 . During the third quarter of 2011, approximately $54 \%$ of the Company s originations of mortgage loans available for sale were related to mortgage refinancings and $46 \%$ were related to new home purchases, compared to approximately $64 \%$ for refinancings and approximately $36 \%$ for new home purchases in the third quarter of 2010.

Trust income was $\$ 0.81$ million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, an increase of $1.0 \%$ from $\$ 0.80$ million for the same period in 2010. Trust income was $\$ 2.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, a decrease of $4.9 \%$ from $\$ 2.5$ million for the same period in 2010. The decrease in trust income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to a decline in corporate trust income earned for services provided in connection with new municipal bond issues.

Net gains on investment securities were $\$ 0.64$ million in the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.57$ million in the third quarter of 2010. For the first nine months of 2011, net gains on investment securities were $\$ 1.0$ million compared to $\$ 4.3$ million in the first nine months of 2010 .

Net gains on sales of other assets were $\$ 1.7$ million in the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.3$ million in the third quarter of 2010 . Net gains on sales of other assets were $\$ 2.8$ million in the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.2$ million in the first nine months of 2010. The increases in net gains on sales of other assets in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 are primarily due to net gains on sales of foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets. Because the estimated fair value of acquired covered foreclosed assets includes a net present value component, which is not accreted into income over the expected holding period of the covered foreclosed assets, the sale of a majority of the Company s covered foreclosed assets has resulted in gains on such sales.

The Company recognized $\$ 2.9$ million of income from the accretion of the FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of the FDIC clawback payable, during the third quarter of 2011 and $\$ 7.8$ million of such income during the first nine months of 2011, compared to $\$ 0.9$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 1.2$ million for the first nine months of 2010. The FDIC loss share receivable reflects the indemnification provided by the FDIC in FDIC-assisted acquisitions, and the FDIC clawback payable represents the obligation of the Company to reimburse the FDIC should actual losses be less than certain thresholds established in each loss share agreement. The FDIC loss share receivable and the FDIC clawback payable are both carried at net present value.

The accretion of the FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of the FDIC clawback payable, increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 primarily due to the Company having entered into seven FDIC-assisted acquisitions at September 30, 2011 compared to three FDIC-assisted acquisitions at September 30, 2010, resulting in the significant increase in the balance of the FDIC loss share receivable.

As the Company collects payments in future periods from the FDIC under the loss share agreements, the balance of the FDIC loss share receivable, absent any significant revisions of the amounts expected to be collected under the loss share agreements, will decline, resulting in a corresponding decrease in the accretion of the FDIC loss share receivable. Because any amounts due under the FDIC clawback payable are due at the conclusion of the loss share agreements, absent any significant revision of the amounts expected to be paid to the FDIC under the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements, the amortization of this liability is not expected to change significantly over the next several quarters. Further analysis of the FDIC loss share receivable and the FDIC clawback payable is presented on pages 51 through 53 of this Management s Discussion and Analysis.

Other loss share income, net, consisting primarily of income recognized on covered loan prepayments and payoffs that are not considered yield adjustments, was $\$ 3.0$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 4.9$ million in the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 0.3$ million in both the third quarter and first nine months of 2010.

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company made three FDIC-assisted acquisitions which resulted in bargain purchase gains totaling $\$ 65.7$ million. Specifically, on January 14, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Oglethorpe Bank ( Oglethorpe ). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 3.0$ million in the first quarter of 2011. On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets
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and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former First Choice Community Bank ( First Choice ). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 2.9$ million in the second quarter of 2011. On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former The Park Avenue Bank ( Park Avenue ). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 59.8$ million in the second quarter of 2011.

During the first nine months of 2010, the Company made three FDIC-assisted acquisitions. Specifically, on March 26, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Unity National Bank ( Unity ). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 10.0$ million in the first quarter of 2010. On July 16, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Woodlands Bank ( Woodlands ). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 14.3$ million in the third quarter of 2010. On September 10, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Horizon Bank (Horizon). This FDIC-assisted acquisition resulted in the Company recognizing a pre-tax bargain purchase gain of $\$ 1.8$ million in the third quarter of 2010.

Additionally, on December 17, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of the former Chestatee State Bank (Chestatee ).

An analysis of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and a detailed discussion of the day 1 fair values adjustments, as well as the key factors and methodologies utilized to determine the estimated day 1 fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the resulting bargain purchase gain for each of the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions is included in footnote 3 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

## Non-Interest Income

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 4,734 | \$ 4,002 | \$ 13,158 | \$ 11,137 |
| Mortgage lending income | 815 | 1,024 | 2,130 | 2,367 |
| Trust income | 810 | 802 | 2,395 | 2,518 |
| BOLI income | 585 | 580 | 1,728 | 1,577 |
| Gains on investment securities | 638 | 570 | 989 | 4,318 |
| Gains on sales of other assets | 1,727 | 267 | 2,839 | 232 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of FDIC clawback payable | 2,861 | 906 | 7,783 | 1,177 |
| Other loss share income, net | 2,976 | 295 | 4,931 | 295 |
| Gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions |  | 16,122 | 65,708 | 26,160 |
| Other | 925 | 615 | 2,458 | 1,895 |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 16,071 | \$ 25,183 | \$ 104,119 | \$ 51,676 |

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense increased $34.9 \%$ to $\$ 31.8$ million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 23.6$ million for the third quarter of 2010. Non-interest expense increased $50.0 \%$ to $\$ 93.2$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 62.1$ million for the same period in 2010. This increase in non-interest expense was primarily due to the fact that the Company made seven FDIC-assisted acquisitions over the last seven quarters. There were five factors significantly affecting non-interest expense. First, at September 30, 2011 the Company had 113 offices compared to 90 offices at September 30, 2010. Second, the Company had 1,102 full-time equivalent employees at September 30, 2011 compared to 838 full-time equivalent employees at September 30, 2010. Third, the Company incurred acquisition and conversion costs of $\$ 1.2$ million in the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 1.7$ million in the third quarter of 2010, and $\$ 5.5$ million in the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 2.5$ million in the first nine months of 2010. Fourth, loan collection and repossession expense totaled $\$ 2.5$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 5.8$ million in the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 1.0$ million in the third quarter and $\$ 2.9$ million in the first nine months of 2010. Fifth, the Company recorded a $\$ 1.25$ million impairment charge related to its only equity investment in a real estate development project during the second quarter of 2011. There was no impairment charge related to this investment during 2010.

The Company s efficiency ratio (non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income FTE and non-interest income) was $50.8 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to $39.0 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The Company s efficiency ratio was $39.9 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $41.7 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following table presents non-interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

## Non-Interest Expense

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2010 }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |$)$

## Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was $\$ 8.2$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 42.6$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 9.9$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 20.3$ million for the first nine months of 2010 . The effective income tax rate was $30.3 \%$ for the third quarter and $33.7 \%$ for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $32.8 \%$ for the third quarter and $30.2 \%$ for the first nine months of 2010 . The effective tax rates for the periods were affected by various factors including non-taxable income and non-deductible expenses and the timing of FDIC-assisted acquisitions which resulted in gains in certain periods affecting the Company s mix of taxable and tax-exempt income.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

## Loan and Lease Portfolio

At September 30, 2011 the Company s loan and lease portfolio, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, was $\$ 1.86$ billion, compared to $\$ 1.86$ billion at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 1.89$ billion at September 30, 2010. Real estate loans, the Company s largest category of loans, consist of all loans secured by real estate as evidenced by mortgages or other liens, including all loans made to finance the development of real property construction projects, provided such loans are secured by real estate. Total real estate loans were $\$ 1.62$ billion at September 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 1.63$ billion at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 1.65$ billion at September 30, 2010. The amount and type of loans and leases outstanding, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010 and their respective percentage of the total loan and lease portfolio are reflected in the following table.

## Loan and Lease Portfolio

|  | September 30, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | $2010$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ | 260,705 | 14.0\% | \$ | 276,090 | 14.6\% | \$ | 266,014 | 14.3\% |
| Non-farm/non-residential |  | 689,124 | 37.0 |  | 686,340 | 36.3 |  | 678,465 | 36.5 |
| Construction/land development |  | 436,784 | 23.4 |  | 498,258 | 26.4 |  | 496,737 | 26.8 |
| Agricultural |  | 74,135 | 4.0 |  | 87,363 | 4.6 |  | 81,736 | 4.4 |
| Multifamily residential |  | 162,807 | 8.7 |  | 103,230 | 5.5 |  | 103,875 | 5.6 |
| Total real estate |  | 1,623,555 | 87.1 |  | 1,651,281 | 87.4 |  | 1,626,827 | 87.6 |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 131,957 | 7.1 |  | 126,678 | 6.7 |  | 120,038 | 6.5 |
| Consumer |  | 44,404 | 2.4 |  | 55,200 | 2.9 |  | 54,401 | 2.9 |
| Direct financing leases |  | 52,957 | 2.8 |  | 41,571 | 2.2 |  | 42,754 | 2.3 |
| Agricultural (non-real estate) |  | 7,257 | 0.4 |  | 11,949 | 0.7 |  | 9,962 | 0.6 |
| Other |  | 2,984 | 0.2 |  | 2,257 | 0.1 |  | 2,447 | 0.1 |
| Total loans and leases |  | 1,863,114 | 100.0\% |  | 1,888,936 | 100.0\% |  | 1,856,429 | 100.0\% |

Included in the Company s loan and lease portfolio are certain loans acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions, primarily consumer loans, that are not covered by loss share. The amount of unpaid principal balance, the valuation discount and the carrying value of these non-covered acquired loans at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010 are reflected in the following table.

## Non-Covered Loans Acquired in FDIC-Assisted Acquisitions

|  | September 30, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$ 12,368 | \$2,738 | \$ | 7,689 |
| Valuation discount | $(5,399)$ | (781) |  | $(2,373)$ |
| Carrying value | \$ 6,969 | \$ 1,957 | \$ | 5,316 |

(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)

## Table of Contents

The amount and type of non-farm/non-residential loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, and their respective percentage of the total non-farm/non-residential loan portfolio are reflected in the following table.

## Non-Farm/Non-Residential Loans

|  | September 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail, including shopping centers and strip centers | \$ 252,970 | 36.7\% | \$ 225,172 | 32.8\% | \$ 225,701 | 33.3\% |
| Churches and schools | 40,085 | 5.8 | 56,243 | 8.2 | 56,670 | 8.3 |
| Office, including medical offices | 104,775 | 15.2 | 94,003 | 13.7 | 90,924 | 13.4 |
| Office warehouse, warehouse and mini-storage | 59,030 | 8.6 | 65,716 | 9.6 | 64,137 | 9.5 |
| Gasoline stations and convenience stores | 10,448 | 1.5 | 15,659 | 2.3 | 14,452 | 2.1 |
| Hotels and motels | 54,514 | 7.9 | 45,152 | 6.6 | 45,078 | 6.6 |
| Restaurants and bars | 34,363 | 5.0 | 39,757 | 5.8 | 39,069 | 5.8 |
| Manufacturing and industrial facilities | 9,580 | 1.4 | 11,424 | 1.6 | 10,215 | 1.5 |
| Nursing homes and assisted living centers | 28,931 | 4.2 | 29,978 | 4.4 | 29,711 | 4.4 |
| Hospitals, surgery centers and other medical | 58,009 | 8.4 | 62,230 | 9.0 | 63,157 | 9.3 |
| Golf courses, entertainment and recreational facilities | 12,885 | 1.9 | 13,516 | 2.0 | 13,457 | 2.0 |
| Other non-farm/non residential | 23,534 | 3.4 | 27,490 | 4.0 | 25,894 | 3.8 |
| Total | \$ 689,124 | 100.0\% | \$ 686,340 | 100.0\% | \$ 678,465 | 100.0\% |
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The amount and type of construction/land development loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, and their respective percentage of the total construction/land development loan portfolio are reflected in the following table.

## Construction/Land Development Loans

|  | 2011 Septem |  |  | 30, 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Unimproved land | \$ | 92,367 | 21.1\% | \$ 97,306 | 19.5\% | \$ | 99,084 | 20.0\% |
| Land development and lots: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential and multifamily |  | 150,948 | 34.6 | 179,047 | 35.9 |  | 168,080 | 33.8 |
| Non-residential |  | 67,365 | 15.4 | 74,444 | 15.0 |  | 74,745 | 15.1 |
| Construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner occupied |  | 11,727 | 2.7 | 14,144 | 2.8 |  | 13,505 | 2.7 |
| Non-owner occupied: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre-sold |  | 5,830 | 1.3 | 4,549 | 0.9 |  | 4,153 | 0.8 |
| Speculative |  | 36,602 | 8.4 | 44,817 | 9.0 |  | 43,899 | 8.8 |
| Multifamily |  | 8,356 | 1.9 | 58,138 | 11.7 |  | 60,536 | 12.2 |
| Industrial, commercial and other |  | 63,589 | 14.6 | 25,813 | 5.2 |  | 32,735 | 6.6 |
| Total |  | 436,784 | 100.0\% | \$ 498,258 | 100.0\% |  | 496,737 | 100.0\% |

The establishment of interest reserves for construction and development loans is an established banking practice, and many of the Company s construction and development loans provide for the use of interest reserves. When the Company underwrites construction and development loans, it considers the expected total project costs, including hard costs such as land, site work and construction costs and soft costs such as architectural and engineering fees, closing costs, leasing commissions and construction period interest. Based on the total project costs and other factors, the Company determines the required borrower cash equity contribution and the maximum amount the Company is willing to loan. In the vast majority of cases, the Company requires that all of the borrower $s$ cash equity contribution be contributed prior to any material loan advances. This ensures that the borrower $s$ cash equity required to complete the project will in fact be available for such purposes. As a result of this practice, the borrower s cash equity typically goes toward the purchase of the land and early stage hard costs and soft costs. This results in the Company funding the loan later as the project progresses, and accordingly the Company typically funds the majority of the construction period interest through loan advances. However, when the Company initially determines the borrower s cash equity requirement, the Company typically requires borrower s cash equity in an amount to cover a majority, or all, of the soft costs, including an amount equal to construction period interest, and an appropriate portion of the hard costs. In the third quarter of 2011, the Company advanced construction period interest totaling approximately $\$ 0.7$ million on construction and development loans. While the Company advanced these sums as part of the funding process, the Company believes that the borrowers in effect had in most cases already provided for these sums as part of their initial equity contribution. Specifically, the maximum committed balance of all construction and development loans which provide for the use of interest reserves at September 30, 2011 was approximately $\$ 405$ million, of which $\$ 275$ million was outstanding at September 30, 2011 and $\$ 130$ million remained to be advanced. The weighted average loan to cost on such loans, assuming such loans are ultimately fully advanced, will be approximately $61 \%$, which means that the weighted average cash equity contributed on such loans, assuming such loans are ultimately fully advanced, will be approximately $39 \%$. The weighted average final loan to value ratio on such loans, based on the most recent appraisals and assuming such loans are ultimately fully advanced, is expected to be approximately $56 \%$.
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The amount and type of the Company s real estate loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011 based on the metropolitan statistical area ( MSA ) and other geographic areas in which the principal collateral is located are reflected in the following table. Data for individual states and MSAs is separately presented when aggregate real estate loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, in that state or MSA exceed $\$ 10.0$ million.

## Geographic Distribution of Real Estate Loans

|  | Residential <br> $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ <br> Family | Non- <br> Farm/Non- <br> Residential | Construction/ <br> Land | Mevelopment <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Agricultural <br> Residential | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dallas Fort Worth Arlington, TX MSA | 5,792 | 162,229 | 137,197 |  | 57,863 | 363,081 |
| Houston Sugar Land Baytown, TX MSA |  | 21,661 | 30,847 |  | 12,963 | 65,471 |
| San Antonio New Braunfels, TX MSA |  | 9,421 | 17,031 |  |  | 26,452 |
| Austin Round Rock San Marcos, TX MSA |  |  | 1,830 |  | 17,623 | 19,453 |
| Texarkana, TX Texarkana, AR MSA | 11,316 | 9,616 | 4,086 | 254 | 1,132 | 26,404 |
| Beaumont Port Arthur, TX MSA |  |  |  |  | 17,154 | 17,154 |
| All other Texas ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1,220 | 13,914 | 1,027 |  | 3,094 | 19,225 |
| Total Texas | 18,328 | 216,841 | 192,018 | 254 | 109,829 | 537,270 |


| North Carolina/South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlotte Gastonia Rock Hill, NC SC MSA | 722 | 27,306 | 15,480 | 26,968 | 70,476 |
| Wilson, NC MSA |  | 16,707 |  |  | 16,707 |
| All other North Carolina ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 15,535 | 29,701 |  | 45,236 |
| All other South Carolina ${ }^{(3)}$ | 3,410 | 11,641 | 5,301 | 6,443 | 26,795 |
| Total North Carolina/ South Carolina | 4,132 | 71,189 | 50,482 | 33,411 | 159,214 |
| Oklahoma: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tulsa, OK MSA |  | 10,079 |  |  | 10,079 |
| All other Oklahoma ${ }^{(4)}$ | 800 | 4,373 | 2,760 |  | 7,933 |
| Total Oklahoma | 800 | 14,452 | 2,760 |  | 18,012 |
| Truckee Grass Valley, CA MSA |  |  | 26,483 |  | 26,483 |
| All other California ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 2,516 |  |  | 2,516 |
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| Total California |  |  |  | 2,516 | 26,483 |  |  |  |  |  | 28,999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Washington Arlington | Alexandria, DC | VA | MD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WV MSA |  |  |  |  |  | 18,092 |  |  |  |  | 18,092 |
| Louisiana |  |  |  | 1,565 |  | 621 |  | 10,617 |  |  | 12,803 |
| All other states ${ }^{(3)(5)}$ |  |  | 3,297 | 32,492 |  | 12,026 |  | 748 |  | 4,104 | 52,667 |
| Total real estate loans |  |  | \$ 260,705 | \$ 689,124 | \$ | 436,784 | \$ | 74,135 | \$ | 162,807 | \$ 1,623,555 |

(1) This geographic area includes the following counties in Western Arkansas: Johnson, Logan, Pope and Yell counties.
(2) This geographic area includes the following counties in Northern Arkansas: Baxter, Boone, Marion, Newton, Searcy and Van Buren counties.
(3) These geographic areas include all MSA and non-MSA areas that are not separately reported.
(4) This geographic area includes all loans in Oklahoma except loans in the Tulsa, OK MSA, which are reported separately, and loans in Le Flore and Sequoyah counties which are included in the Fort Smith, AR-OK MSA above.
(5) Includes all states not separately presented above.
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The amount and percentage of the Company s loan and lease portfolio, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, by office of origination are reflected in the following table.

## Loan and Lease Portfolio by State of Originating Office

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\text { Loans and Leases Attributable to Offices In } & \mathbf{2 0 1 1} & & \text { September 30, } \\ \text { 2010 } \\ \text { (Dollars in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

The following table reflects loans and leases, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as of September 30, 2011 grouped by expected amortizations, expected paydowns or the earliest repricing opportunity for floating rate loans. This cash flow or repricing schedule approximates the Company s ability to reprice the outstanding principal of loans and leases either by adjusting rates on existing loans and leases or reinvesting principal cash flow in new loans and leases.

## Loan and Lease Cash Flows or Repricing

|  | 1 Year <br> or Less | Over 1 <br> Through <br> 2 Years | Over 2 <br> Through <br> 3 Years | Over <br> 3 Years | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Covered Assets, FDIC Loss Share Receivable and FDIC Clawback Payable

On March 26, 2010, the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Unity in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Unity, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On July 16, 2010, the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Woodlands in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 1.1$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Woodlands that are covered by loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.
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On September 10, 2010, the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Horizon in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 0.9$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Horizon that are covered by loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.
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On December 17, 2010, the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Chestatee in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 3.6$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Chestatee that are covered by loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On January 14, 2011, the Company through the Bank, acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Oglethorpe in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 3.1$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Oglethorpe that are covered by loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of First Choice in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 1.6$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from First Choice that are covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, acquired substantially all the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Park Avenue in a FDIC-assisted acquisition. Loans comprise the majority of the assets acquired and all but $\$ 17.7$ million of acquired consumer loans are subject to loss share agreements with the FDIC whereby the Bank is indemnified against a portion of the losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets. The loans acquired from Park Avenue that are covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as well as the covered foreclosed assets, are presented as covered assets in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In conjunction with each of these acquisitions, the Bank entered into loss share agreements with the FDIC such that the Bank and the FDIC will share in the losses on assets covered under the loss share agreements. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Unity acquisition, on losses up to $\$ 65$ million, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $80 \%$ of losses. On losses exceeding $\$ 65$ million, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $95 \%$ of losses. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Woodlands, Chestatee, Oglethorpe and First Choice acquisitions, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for $80 \%$ of losses. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Horizon acquisition, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank on single family residential loans and related foreclosed real estate for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 11.8$ million, (ii) $30 \%$ of losses between $\$ 11.8$ million and $\$ 17.9$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 17.9$ million. For non-single family residential loans and related foreclosed real estate, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 32.3$ million, (ii) $0 \%$ of losses between $\$ 32.3$ million and $\$ 42.8$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 42.8$ million. Pursuant to the terms of the loss share agreements for the Park Avenue acquisition, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for (i) $80 \%$ of losses up to $\$ 218.2$ million, (ii) $0 \%$ of losses between $\$ 218.2$ million and $\$ 267.5$ million and (iii) $80 \%$ of losses in excess of $\$ 267.5$ million.

The loss share agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans and related foreclosed real estate provide for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank s reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries of covered losses for ten years from the date on which each applicable loss share agreement was entered. The loss share agreements applicable to commercial loans and related foreclosed real estate provide for FDIC loss sharing for five years from the date on which each applicable loss share agreement was entered and the Bank s reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries of covered losses for an additional three years thereafter.

To the extent that actual losses incurred by the Bank are less than (i) $\$ 65$ million on the Unity assets covered under the loss share agreements, (ii) $\$ 107$ million on the Woodlands assets covered under the loss share agreements, (iii) $\$ 60$ million on the Horizon assets covered under the loss share agreements, (iv) $\$ 66$ million on the Chestatee assets covered under the loss share agreements, (v) $\$ 66$ million on the Oglethorpe assets covered under the loss share agreements, (vi) $\$ 87$ million on the First Choice assets covered under the loss share agreements and (vii) $\$ 269$ million on the Park Avenue assets covered under loss share agreements, the Bank may be required to reimburse the FDIC under the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements. The covered loans and covered foreclosed assets and the related FDIC loss share receivable and the FDIC clawback payable are reported at the net present value of expected future amounts to be paid or received.
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A summary of the covered assets, the FDIC loss share receivable and the FDIC clawback payable is as follows:

## Covered Assets, FDIC Loss Share Receivable and FDIC Clawback Payable

|  | September 30, |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |
|  | 年lars in thous |  |  |  |  |
| Covered loans | \$ | 865,096 | \$ 391,014 | \$ | 494,895 |
| Covered foreclosed assets |  | 73,249 | 17,540 |  | 31,145 |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  | 318,730 | 123,702 |  | 154,150 |
| Total |  | 1,257,075 | \$ 532,256 | \$ | 680,190 |
| FDIC clawback payable | \$ | 24,475 | \$ 6,036 | \$ | 7,203 |

## Covered Loans

Purchased loans acquired in a business combination, including covered loans, are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP ) applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and pursuant to the American Institute of Certificated Public Accountant s ( AICPA ) December 18, 2009 letter in which the AICPA summarized the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC ) view regarding the accounting in subsequent periods for discount accretion associated with non-credit impaired loans acquired in a business combination or asset purchase. Considering, among other factors, the general lack of adequate underwriting, proper documentation, appropriate loan structure and insufficient equity contributions for a large number of these acquired loans, and the uncertainty of the borrowers and/or guarantors ability or willingness to make contractually required (or any) principal and interest payments, management has determined that a significant portion of the purchased loans acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions have evidence of credit deterioration since origination. Accordingly, management has elected to apply the provisions of GAAP applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality as provided by the AICPA s December 18, 2009 letter, to all purchased loans acquired in its FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

At the time such purchased loans are acquired, management individually evaluates substantially all loans acquired in the transaction. This evaluation allows management to determine the estimated fair value of the purchased loans (not considering any FDIC loss sharing agreements) and includes no carryover of any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses. In determining the estimated fair value of purchased loans, management considers a number of factors including, among other things, the remaining life of the acquired loans, estimated prepayments, estimated loss ratios, estimated value of the underlying collateral, estimated holding periods, and net present value of cash flows expected to be received. To the extent that any purchased loan acquired in a FDIC-assisted acquisition is not specifically reviewed, management applies a loss estimate to that loan based on the average expected loss rates for the purchased loans that were individually reviewed in that purchased loan portfolio.

As provided for under GAAP, management has up to 12 months following the date of the acquisition to finalize the fair values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities. Once management has finalized the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities within this 12 month period, management considers such values to be the day 1 fair values ( Day 1 Fair Values ).

In determining the Day 1 Fair Values of purchased loans, management calculates a non-accretable difference (the credit component of the purchased loans) and an accretable difference (the yield component of the purchased loans). The non-accretable difference is the difference between the contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected in accordance with management s determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows will result in a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income.

The accretable difference on purchased loans is the difference between the expected cash flows and the net present value of expected cash flows. Such difference is accreted into earnings using the effective yield method over the term of the loans. In determining the net present value of the expected cash flows, the Company used discount rates ranging from $6.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum depending on the risk characteristics of each individual loan. The weighted average period during which management expects to receive the estimated cash flows for its covered loan portfolio (not considering any payment under the FDIC loss share agreements) is 2.4 years.
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Management separately monitors the purchased loan portfolio and periodically reviews loans contained within this portfolio against the factors and assumptions used in determining the Day 1 Fair Values. A loan is reviewed (i) any time it is renewed or extended, (ii) at any other time additional information becomes available to the Company that provides material additional insight regarding the loan s performance, the status of the borrower, or the quality or value of the underlying collateral, or (iii) in conjunction with the annual review of projected cash flows of each acquired portfolio. Management separately reviews, on an annual basis, the performance of a substantial portion of each acquired loan portfolio, or more frequently to the extent that material information becomes available regarding the performance of an individual loan, to make determinations of the constituent loans performance and to consider whether there has been any significant change in performance since management s initial expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. To the extent that a loan is performing in accordance with management s performance expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan is not included in any of the credit quality ratios, is not considered to be a nonaccrual or impaired loan, is not risk rated in a similar manner as are the Company s non-purchased loans and is not considered in the determination of the required allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that a loan s performance has deteriorated from management s expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan will be included in the Company s credit quality metrics, may be considered a nonaccrual or impaired loan, and is considered in the determination of the required level of allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that deterioration in the credit quality of the loan would result in some portion or all of such loan being included in the calculation of the allowance for loan and lease losses, there would be an increase of the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the portion of such additional loss expected to be collected from the FDIC. Currently, the expected losses on covered assets for each of the Company s loss share agreements would result in expected recovery of approximately $80 \%$ of incurred losses. Any improvement in the expected performance of a purchased loan would result in (i) a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income and (ii) a decrease in the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the applicable percentage of the portion of such loss no longer expected to be incurred by the Company.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table presents a summary, by acquisition, of covered loans acquired as of the dates of acquisition and activity within covered loans during the periods indicated.

## Covered Loans

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unity | Woodlands | Horizon | Chestatee <br> (Dollars in thousands) | First <br> Choice | Park <br> Avenue | Total |

Carrying value at January 1,

| 2011 | \$ 114,983 | \$ 175,720 | \$ | 87,714 | \$ 116,478 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 494,895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Covered loans acquired |  |  |  |  |  | 80,676 | 149,894 | 262,917 | 493,487 |
| Accretion | 5,858 | 10,583 |  | 5,096 | 6,810 | 4,786 | 5,223 | 9,763 | 48,119 |
| Transfers to foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $(3,663)$ | $(11,098)$ |  | $(1,833)$ | $(1,860)$ | $(1,851)$ |  | (360) | $(20,665)$ |
| Payments received | $(16,710)$ | $(30,855)$ |  | $(9,201)$ | $(27,171)$ | $(19,093)$ | $(15,842)$ | $(29,169)$ | $(148,041)$ |
| Other activity, net | (92) | (800) |  | (552) | (817) | (150) | (54) | (234) | $(2,699)$ |

Carrying value at
September 30, 2011
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\$ 100,376 & \$ 143,550 & \$ 81,224 & \$ & 93,440 & \$ & 64,368 & \$ 139,221 & \$ 242,917 & \$ 865,096\end{array}$
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The following table presents a summary of the carrying value and type of covered loans at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010.

## Covered Loan Portfolio

|  | September 30, |  | December <br> 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| Real estate: |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |
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The following table presents a summary, by acquisition, of changes in the accretable difference on covered loans during the periods indicated.

## Accretable Difference on Covered Loans

|  | Unity |  | oodlands | Horizon | Chestatee (Dollars in | Oglethorpe <br> thousands) | First <br> Choice | Park <br> Avenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accretable difference at January 1, 2010 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Accretable difference acquired | 24,308 |  | 44,692 | 35,245 |  |  |  |  | 104,245 |
| Accretion | $(5,238)$ |  | $(3,275)$ | (429) |  |  |  |  | $(8,942)$ |
| Adjustments to accretable difference due to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered loans transferred to foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | (208) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (208) |
| Covered loans paid off | (228) |  | (442) |  |  |  |  |  | (670) |
| Cash flow revisions as a result of renewals and/or modifications of covered loans | 1,015 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,019 |
| Other, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretable difference at September 30, 2010 | \$ 19,649 | \$ | 40,979 | \$ 34,816 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 95,444 |
| Accretable difference at January 1, 2011 | \$ 15,279 | \$ | 37,182 | \$ 30,970 | \$ 21,711 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 105,142 |
| Accretable difference acquired |  |  |  |  |  | 23,981 | 24,074 | 63,420 | 111,475 |
| Accretion | $(5,858)$ |  | $(10,583)$ | $(5,096)$ | $(6,810)$ | $(4,786)$ | $(5,223)$ | $(9,763)$ | $(48,119)$ |
| Adjustments to accretable difference due to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered loans transferred to foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | (312) |  | $(1,256)$ | (188) | (391) | (195) |  | (23) | $(2,365)$ |
| Covered loans paid off | (235) |  | $(1,095)$ | (586) | $(3,920)$ | $(2,456)$ | $(1,002)$ | $(2,177)$ | $(11,471)$ |
| Cash flow revisions as a result of renewals and/or modifications of covered loans | 3,050 |  | 4,201 | 1,369 | 2,151 | 1,046 | (112) | 1,170 | 12,875 |
| Other, net | 42 |  | 35 | 27 | 143 | 25 | 186 | 172 | 630 |

Accretable difference at September 30,
2011
\$ 11,966 $\quad \$ 28,484 \quad \$ 26,496 \quad \$ 12,884 \quad \$ 17,615 \quad \$ 17,923 \quad \$ 52,799 \quad \$ 168,167$
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## Foreclosed Assets Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements

Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, are recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. In estimating the fair value of covered foreclosed assets, management considers a number of factors including, among others, appraised value, estimated holding periods, net present value of cash flows expected to be received, and estimated selling costs. Discount rates ranging from $8.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum were used to determine the net present value of covered foreclosed assets.

The following table presents a summary, by acquisition, of foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, as of the dates of acquisition and activity within covered foreclosed assets during the periods indicated.

## Foreclosed Assets Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements

|  | Unity | Woodlands |  | Horizon | Chestatee (Dollars in | Oglethorpe thousands) |  | First Choice | Park Avenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At acquisition date: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance on acquired bank s books | \$ 20,304 | \$ | 12,258 | \$ 8,391 | \$ 31,647 | \$ | 16,554 | \$ 2,773 | \$ 91,442 | \$ 183,369 |
| Total expected losses | $(9,979)$ |  | $(5,897)$ | $(3,678)$ | $(15,960)$ |  | $(7,848)$ | (628) | $(49,400)$ | $(93,390)$ |
| Discount for net present value of expected cash flows | $(1,466)$ |  | $(1,332)$ | $(1,030)$ | $(2,281)$ |  | $(1,562)$ | (474) | $(10,412)$ | $(18,557)$ |
| Fair value at acquisition date | \$ 8,859 | \$ | 5,029 | \$ 3,683 | \$ 13,406 | \$ | 7,144 | \$ 1,671 | \$ 31,630 | \$ 71,422 |
| Carrying value at January 1,2010 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Covered foreclosed assets acquired | 8,859 |  | 5,029 | 3,683 |  |  |  |  |  | 17,571 |
| Loans transferred to covered foreclosed assets | 1,812 |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,881 |
| Sales of covered foreclosed assets | $(1,655)$ |  | (257) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,912)$ |
| Carrying value at September 30, 2010 | \$ 9,016 | \$ | 4,841 | \$ 3,683 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ 17,540 |
| Carrying value at January 1,2011 | \$ 8,060 | \$ | 5,996 | \$ 3,683 | \$ 13,406 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ 31,145 |
| Covered foreclosed assets acquired |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,144 | 1,671 | 31,630 | 40,445 |
| Loans transferred to covered foreclosed assets | 3,663 |  | 11,098 | 1,833 | 1,860 |  | 1,851 |  | 360 | 20,665 |
| Sales of covered foreclosed assets | $(2,120)$ |  | $(4,848)$ | $(1,442)$ | $(4,173)$ |  | $(1,131)$ |  | $(5,292)$ | $(19,006)$ |
| Carrying value at September 30, 2011 | \$ 9,603 | \$ | 12,246 | \$ 4,074 | \$ 11,093 | \$ | 7,864 | \$ 1,671 | \$ 26,698 | \$ 73,249 |
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The following table presents a summary of the carrying value and type of foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and December 31, 2010.

## Foreclosed Assets Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements

|  | September 30, |  | December <br> $\mathbf{3 1 ,}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |

## FDIC Loss Share Receivable

In connection with the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company has recorded an FDIC loss share receivable to reflect the indemnification provided by the FDIC. Since the indemnified items are covered loans and covered foreclosed assets, which are measured at Day 1 Fair Values, the FDIC loss share receivable is also measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values, and is calculated by discounting the cash flows expected to be received from the FDIC. A discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum was used to determine the net present value of the FDIC loss share receivable. These cash flows are estimated by multiplying estimated losses by the reimbursement rates as set forth in the loss share agreements. The balance of the FDIC loss share receivable is adjusted periodically to reflect changes in expectations of discounted cash flows, expense reimbursements under the loss share agreements and other factors.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table presents a summary, by acquisition, of the FDIC loss share receivable as of the dates of acquisition and the activity within the FDIC loss share receivable during the periods indicated.

## FDIC Loss Share Receivable

|  | Unity |  | oodlands | Horizon |  | Chestatee (Dollars in |  | Oglethorpe housands) | First Choice | Park <br> Avenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At acquisition date: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected principal loss on covered assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered loans | \$ 51,354 | \$ | 73,220 | \$ 40,537 |  | 41,996 |  | \$ 65,043 | \$ 81,583 | \$ 115,127 | \$ 467,860 |
| Covered foreclosed assets | 9,979 |  | 5,897 | 3,678 |  | 15,960 |  | 7,848 | 628 | 49,400 | 93,390 |
| Total expected principal losses | 60,333 |  | 79,117 | 44,215 |  | 57,956 |  | 72,891 | 82,211 | 164,527 | 561,250 |
| Estimated loss sharing percentage ${ }^{(1)}$ | 80\% |  | 80\% | 80\% |  | 80\% |  | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% | 80\% |
| Estimated recovery from FDIC loss share agreements | 48,266 |  | 63,294 | 35,372 |  | 46,365 |  | 58,313 | 65,769 | 131,622 | 449,001 |
| Discount for net present value on FDIC loss share receivable | $(4,119)$ |  | $(7,428)$ | $(6,283)$ |  | $(4,293)$ |  | $(5,918)$ | $(6,225)$ | $(17,939)$ | $(52,205)$ |
| Net present value of FDIC loss share receivable at acquisition date | \$ 44,147 | \$ | 55,866 | \$ 29,089 |  | 42,072 |  | \$ 52,395 | \$ 59,544 | \$ 113,683 | \$ 396,796 |
| Carrying value at January 1,2010 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| FDIC loss share receivable recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accretion income | 830 |  | 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,245 |
| Cash received from FDIC | $(7,110)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(7,110)$ |
| Other activity, net | 538 |  | (73) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 465 |
| Carrying value at September 30, $2010$ | \$ 38,405 | \$ | 56,208 | \$ 29,089 | \$ |  |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 123,702 |
| Carrying value at January 1, 2011 | \$ 31,120 | \$ | 51,776 | \$ 29,182 |  | 42,072 |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 154,150 |
| FDIC loss share receivable recorded in acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,395 | 59,544 | 113,683 | 225,622 |
| Accretion income | 741 |  | 1,461 | 849 |  | 1,086 |  | 1,517 | 1,198 | 1,569 | 8,421 |
| Cash received from FDIC | $(4,408)$ |  | $(15,384)$ | $(4,085)$ |  | $(10,385)$ |  | $(4,324)$ | $(8,004)$ | $(12,138)$ | $(58,728)$ |
| Other activity, net | 327 |  | $(2,858)$ | 776 |  | (24) |  | $(3,283)$ | $(1,410)$ | $(4,263)$ | $(10,735)$ |
| Carrying value at September 30, 2011 | \$ 27,780 |  | 34,995 | \$ 26,722 |  | 32,749 |  | \$ 46,305 | \$ 51,328 | \$ 98,851 | \$ 318,730 |

(1) Certain of the Company s loss share agreements contain tranches whereby the FDIC s loss sharing percentage is more than or less than $80 \%$. However, management $s$ current expectation of most of the principal losses on covered assets under each of the loss share agreements falls in the tranches whereby the FDIC would reimburse the Company for approximately $80 \%$ of such losses.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## FDIC Clawback Payable

Pursuant to the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements for the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company may be required to reimburse the FDIC should actual losses be less than certain thresholds established in each loss share agreement. The amount of the clawback provision for each acquisition is measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. It is calculated as the difference between management s estimated losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets and the loss threshold contained in each loss share agreement, multiplied by the applicable clawback provisions contained in each loss share agreement. This clawback amount, which is payable to the FDIC upon termination of the applicable loss share agreement, is then discounted back to net present value using a discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are less than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will increase. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are more than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will decrease.

The following table presents a summary, by acquisition, of the FDIC clawback payable as of the dates of acquisition and activity within the FDIC clawback payable during the periods indicated.

## FDIC Clawback Payable

|  | Unity | Woodlands |  | Horizon | Chestatee Oglethorpe (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  | First <br> Choice | Park <br> Avenue | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At acquisition date: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated FDIC clawback payable | \$ 2,612 | \$ | 4,846 | \$ 2,380 | \$ | 1,778 | \$ | 1,506 | \$ 1,515 | \$ 24,219 | \$ | 38,856 |
| Discount for net present value on FDIC clawback payable | $(1,046)$ |  | $(1,905)$ | (919) |  | (687) |  | (582) | (585) | $(9,351)$ |  | $(15,075)$ |
| Net present value of FDIC clawback payable at acquisition date | \$ 1,566 | \$ | 2,941 | \$ 1,461 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ | 924 | \$ 930 | \$ 14,868 | \$ | 23,781 |
| Carrying value at January 1, 2010 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  |
| FDIC clawback payable recorded in acquisition | 1,566 |  | 2,941 | 1,461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,968 |
| Amortization expense | 43 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| Other activity, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrying value at September 30, 2010 | \$ 1,609 | \$ | 2,966 | \$ 1,461 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | 6,036 |
|  | \$ 1,629 | \$ | 3,004 | \$ 1,479 | \$ | 1,091 | \$ |  | \$ | , | \$ | 7,203 |
| FDIC clawback payable recorded in acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 924 | 930 | 14,868 |  | 16,722 |
| Amortization expense | 60 |  | 113 | 55 |  | 41 |  | 31 | 19 | 319 |  | 638 |
| Other activity, net |  |  |  |  |  | (88) |  |  |  |  |  | (88) |
| Carrying value at September 30, 2011 | \$ 1,689 | \$ | 3,117 | \$ 1,534 | \$ | 1,044 | \$ | 955 | \$ 949 | \$ 15,187 |  | 24,475 |
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## Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets, excluding all assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, consist of (1) nonaccrual loans and leases, (2) accruing loans and leases 90 days or more past due, (3) certain restructured loans and leases providing for a reduction or deferral of interest or principal because of a deterioration in the financial position of the borrower or lessee and (4) real estate or other assets that have been acquired in partial or full satisfaction of loan or lease obligations or upon foreclosure.

The Company generally places a loan or lease on nonaccrual status when such loan or lease is (i) deemed impaired, (ii) considered a troubled or restructured debt, or (iii) 90 days or more past due or earlier when doubt exists as to the ultimate collection of payments. The Company may continue to accrue interest on certain loans and leases contractually past due 90 days or more if such loans or leases are both well secured and in the process of collection. At the time a loan or lease is placed on nonaccrual status, interest previously accrued but uncollected is generally reversed and charged against interest income. Nonaccrual loans and leases are generally returned to accrual status when payments are less than 90 days past due and the Company reasonably expects to collect all payments. If a loan or lease is determined to be uncollectible, the portion of the principal determined to be uncollectible will be charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. Income on nonaccrual loans or leases, including impaired loans and leases, is recognized on a cash basis when and if actually collected.

The following table presents information concerning nonperforming assets, including nonaccrual and certain restructured loans and leases, and foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010.

## Nonperforming Assets

|  | September 30, |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { December } \\ \text { 31, }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 |  |  |  |$]$

(1) All troubled and restructured loans and leases as of the dates shown were on nonaccrual status and are included as nonaccrual loans and leases in this table.
(2) Repossessed personal properties and real estate acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are initially recorded at the lesser of current principal investment or estimated market value less estimated cost to sell at the date of repossession or foreclosure. Valuations of these assets are periodically reviewed by management with the carrying value of such assets adjusted through non-interest expense to the then estimated market value net of estimated selling costs, if lower, until disposition.
(3) Excludes assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, except for their inclusion in total assets.

At September 30, 2011, management had not identified any purchased loan acquired in its FDIC-assisted acquisitions where the expected performance had deteriorated from management s performance expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. Accordingly, none of the Company s covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisitions were included (i) in the calculation of the Company s credit quality metrics, (ii) as a nonaccrual or impaired loan, or (iii) in its determination of the appropriate level of allowance for loan and lease losses. Because no covered loans are included in the Company s calculation of its credit quality metrics, as a nonaccrual or impaired loan, or in its determination of the appropriate level of allowance for loan and lease losses, but may be included in future periods when and if appropriate, the Company s credit quality ratios, its level of nonaccrual or impaired loans and its allowance for loan and lease loss ratios may not be comparable from period to period or with such ratios of other financial institutions, including institutions that have made FDIC-assisted
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acquisitions.

If an adequate current determination of collateral value has not been performed, once a loan or lease is considered impaired, management seeks to establish a realistic value for the collateral. This assessment may include (i) obtaining an updated appraisal, (ii) obtaining one or more broker price opinions or comprehensive market analyses, (iii) internal evaluations or (iv) other methods deemed appropriate considering the size and complexity of the loan and the underlying collateral. On an ongoing basis, typically at least quarterly, the Company evaluates the underlying collateral on all impaired loans and leases and, if needed, due to changes in market or property conditions, the underlying collateral is reassessed and the estimated fair value is revised. The determination of collateral value includes any adjustments considered necessary related to estimated holding period and estimated selling costs.
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At September 30, 2011, the Company has reduced the carrying value of its impaired loans and leases (all of which were included in nonaccrual loans and leases) by $\$ 10.1$ million to the estimated fair value of $\$ 19.6$ million for such loans and leases. The $\$ 10.1$ million adjustment to reduce the carrying value of impaired loans and leases to estimated fair value consisted of $\$ 9.1$ million of partial charge-offs and $\$ 1.0$ million of specific loan and lease loss allocations.

The following table presents information concerning the geographic location of nonperforming assets, excluding assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, at September 30, 2011. Nonaccrual loans and leases are reported at the physical location of the principal collateral. Foreclosed real estate assets are reported at the physical location of the asset. Repossessions are reported at the physical location where the borrower resided or had its principal place of business at the time of repossession.

## Geographic Distribution of Nonperforming Assets Not Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements

|  | Nonaccrual Loans and Leases | ForeclosedAssets(Dollars in thousands) |  |  | Total <br> Nonperforming Assets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas | \$ 5,999 | \$ | 16,545 | \$ | 22,544 |
| Texas | 10,890 |  | 15,974 |  | 26,864 |
| North Carolina | 3,856 |  |  |  | 3,856 |
| South Carolina | 1,596 |  | 1,155 |  | 2,751 |
| Georgia | 381 |  | 65 |  | 446 |
| Florida |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other | 83 |  | 599 |  | 682 |
| Total | \$ 22,805 | \$ | 34,338 | \$ | 57,143 |

The following table is a summary of activity within foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements for the periods indicated.

## Activity Within Foreclosed Assets Not Covered by FDIC Loss Share Agreements

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance January 1 | \$ 42,216 | \$ 61,148 |
| Loans transferred into foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 8,613 | 10,952 |
| Sales of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $(7,729)$ | $(23,104)$ |
| Write downs of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $(8,877)$ | $(7,128)$ |
| Foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions | 115 |  |
| Balance September 30 | \$ 34,338 | \$ 41,868 |
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## Allowance and Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses: The following table shows an analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses ( ALLL ) for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year Ended } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 <br> (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 40,230 | \$ 39,619 | \$ | 39,619 |
| Loans and leases charged off: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 6,766 | 4,530 |  | 7,045 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,092 | 5,550 |  | 6,937 |
| Consumer | 657 | 1,020 |  | 1,196 |
| Direct financing leases | 324 | 369 |  | 478 |
| Agricultural (non-real estate) | 74 | 744 |  | 1,108 |
| Total loans and leases charged off | 8,913 | 12,213 |  | 16,764 |
| Recoveries of loans and leases previously charged off: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 83 | 414 |  | 485 |
| Commercial and industrial | 78 | 349 |  | 656 |
| Consumer | 150 | 161 |  | 212 |
| Direct financing leases | 5 | 20 |  | 20 |
| Agricultural (non-real estate) | 3 |  |  | 2 |
| Total recoveries | 319 | 944 |  | 1,375 |
| Net loans and leases charged off | 8,594 | 11,269 |  | 15,389 |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 7,500 | 11,900 |  | 16,000 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 39,136 | \$ 40,250 | \$ | 40,230 |
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases outstanding during the periods indicated ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.63\% ${ }^{(2)}$ | 0.80\% ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 0.81\% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2.10\% | 2.13\% |  | 2.17\% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | 172\% | 236\% |  | 288\% |

(1) Excludes assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
(2) Annualized.

The Company s allowance for loan and lease losses was $\$ 39.1$ million, or $2.10 \%$ of total loans and leases (excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements), at September 30, 2011 compared with $\$ 40.2$ million, or $2.17 \%$ of total loans and leases (excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements), at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 40.3$ million, or $2.13 \%$ of total loans and leases (excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements), at September 30, 2010. The Company s allowance for loan and lease losses was equal to $172 \%$ of its total nonperforming loans and leases (excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements) at September 30, 2011 compared to $288 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $236 \%$ at September 30, 2010. The Company s unallocated allowance for loan and lease losses was $22.1 \%$ of its total allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2011 compared to $15.8 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $15.4 \%$ at September 30, 2010.
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At September 30, 2011, the Company had one credit relationship totaling $\$ 10.5$ million that was considered impaired and for which the Company had determined that no specific allowance for loan and lease losses was necessary. Primarily as a result of this credit relationship, the Company s allowance for loan and lease losses as a percent of its total nonperforming loans and leases decreased to $172 \%$ at September 30, 2011 compared to 288\% at December 31, 2010.

Net charge-offs were $\$ 1.5$ million for the third quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 4.2$ million for the third quarter of 2010. Net charge-offs were $\$ 8.6$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 11.3$ million for the first nine months of 2010. The Company s annualized net charge-off ratio was $0.33 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to $0.88 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The Company s annualized net charge-off ratio was $0.63 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $0.80 \%$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The provision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 1.5$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 7.5$ million for the first nine months of 2011 compared to $\$ 4.3$ million for the third quarter and $\$ 11.9$ million for the first nine months of 2010.

Management monitors the allowance for loan and lease losses as a whole in relation to various facts and circumstances, described below, and loan and lease portfolio conditions experienced for a given period. The Company maintains the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level management believes is appropriate to absorb estimated losses in the loan and lease portfolio.
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For each quarterly reporting period, management reviews numerous facts and circumstances, credit quality indicators, and a number of trends and other information in determining the appropriate amount of allowance for loan and lease losses and the amount of provision recorded during the period. The various information and data reviewed includes both objective and subjective criteria. The objective criteria utilized to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and required additions to such allowance consists primarily of an internal grading system, historical loss rates and specific allowances. In addition to these objective criteria, management subjectively assesses the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the need for additions thereto, with consideration given to the nature, mix and volume of the portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans and leases, national, regional and local business and economic conditions that may affect borrowers or lessees ability to pay, the value of collateral securing the loans and leases, and other relevant factors. The sum of the allowance amounts derived from this methodology, combined with a reasonable unallocated allowance determined by management that reflects inherent but undetected losses in the portfolio and imprecision in the allowance methodology, is utilized as the primary indicator of the appropriate estimate of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

At September 30, 2011, management s calculation of the sum of allowance amounts derived from its objective calculation based on the internal grading system and specific allowances resulted in a calculated amount of allowance for loan and lease losses that was less than such calculated amount at December 31, 2010, primarily due to a reduction of specific allocations for impaired loans and leases at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. This decrease in the objective calculated amount of the required allowance for loan and lease losses supported a reduction in the overall amount of the allowance in both volume and as a percentage of non-covered loans and leases. Additionally, net charge-offs and the Company s net charge-off ratio for the first nine months of 2011 decreased compared to the amount of net charge-offs and net charge off ratio for 2010 in further support of a reduction of the allowance. The past due ratio decreased 13 bps at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010. In reviewing certain credit quality indicators at September 30, 2011, specifically the ratio of nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases, management noted this ratio had increased by 27 basis points at September 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010, primarily as a result of the $\$ 10.5$ million credit relationship previously discussed. These facts were considered as part of management s subjective assessment and implied decreasing the allowance both in volume and as a percentage of non-covered loans and leases. Given this information, management concluded that the overall level of allowance at September 30, 2011, both in volume and as a percent of total loans and leases, should decrease compared to such levels at December 31, 2010. Accordingly, the Company recorded the level of provision during the third quarter of 2011 necessary to provide for the amount of net charge-offs of non-covered loans and leases during the third quarter of 2011.

The portion of the allowance that is not derived by the allowance allocation percentages compensates for the uncertainty and complexity in estimating loan and lease losses, including factors and conditions that may not be fully reflected in the determination and application of the allowance allocation percentages. The factors and conditions evaluated in determining the unallocated portion of the allowance may include the following: (1) general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, (2) credit quality trends (including trends in nonperforming loans and leases expected to result from existing conditions), (3) trends that could affect collateral values, (4) seasoning of the loan and lease portfolio, (5) specific industry conditions affecting portfolio segments, (6) recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, (7) concentrations of credit to single borrowers or related borrowers or to specific industries, or in specific collateral types in the loan and lease portfolio, including concentrations of credit in commercial real estate loans, (8) the Company s expansion into new markets, (9) the offering of new loan and lease products, (10) expectations regarding the current business cycle, (11) bank regulatory examination results and (12) findings of the internal loan review department.

The key factors management considered that supported its unallocated ALLL of $22.1 \%$ of the total ALLL at September 30, 2011 primarily consisted of factor \#1 general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, factor \#3 trends that could affect collateral values, factor \#7 concentrations of credit to single borrowers or related borrowers or to specific industries, or in specific collateral types in the loan and lease portfolio, including concentrations of credit in commercial real estate loans and factor \#10 expectations regarding the current business cycle. Specifically, management concluded that economic data available at December 31, 2010 supported the probability of some improvement in the level of economic activity. However, much economic data available at September 30, 2011 suggested less certainty regarding the potential for and magnitude of economic recovery. These factors led management to conclude that the unallocated allowance at September 30, 2011 appropriately reflects these qualitative factors that affect the allowance for loan and lease losses.

While management believes the current allowance is appropriate, changing economic and other conditions may require future adjustments to the allowance for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies, as part of their examination process, may require adjustments to the allowance for loan and lease losses based on their judgments and estimates.
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## Investment Securities

At September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, the Company classified all of its investment securities portfolio as available for sale. Accordingly, its investment securities are stated at estimated fair value in the consolidated financial statements with the unrealized gains and losses, net of related income tax, reported as a separate component of stockholders equity and included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The following table presents the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities AFS at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010. The Company s holdings of other equity securities include FHLB Dallas, Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ( FHLB Atlanta ) and First National Banker s Bankshares, Inc. ( FNBB ) shares which do not have readily determinable fair values and are carried at cost.

## Investment Securities

|  | 2011 Septen |  | ber 30,$2010$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value | Amortized Cost (Dollars in | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \\ \text { housands) } \end{gathered}$ | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 357,489 | \$ 368,767 | \$ 376,347 | \$ 393,828 | \$ 378,822 | \$ 378,547 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 48,749 | 50,518 | 193 | 193 | 1,269 | 1,269 |
| Other equity securities | 20,311 | 20,311 | 18,422 | 18,422 | 18,882 | 18,882 |
| Total | \$ 426,549 | \$ 439,596 | \$ 394,962 | \$ 412,443 | \$ 398,973 | \$ 398,698 |

The Company utilizes independent third parties as its principal pricing sources for determining fair value of investment securities which are measured on a recurring basis. For investment securities traded in an active market, the fair values are based on quoted market prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on market prices for comparable securities, broker quotes or comprehensive interest rate tables, pricing matrices or a combination thereof. For investment securities traded in a market that is not active, fair value is determined using unobservable inputs.

The Company s investment securities portfolio is reported at estimated fair value, which included gross unrealized gains of $\$ 14.4$ million and gross unrealized losses of $\$ 1.4$ million at September 30, 2011; gross unrealized gains of $\$ 6.4$ million and gross unrealized losses of $\$ 6.7$ million at December 31, 2010; and gross unrealized gains of $\$ 18.9$ million and gross unrealized losses of $\$ 1.5$ million at September 30, 2010. Management believes that all of its unrealized losses on individual investment securities at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, are the result of fluctuations in interest rates and do not reflect deterioration in the credit quality of its investments. Accordingly management considers these unrealized losses to be temporary in nature. The Company does not have the intent to sell these investment securities with unrealized losses and, more likely than not, will not be required to sell these investment securities before fair value recovers to amortized cost.

## (The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table presents unaccreted discounts and unamortized premiums of the Company $s$ investment securities for the dates indicated.

## Unaccreted Discounts and Unamortized Premiums

|  | Amortized Cost | Unaccreted Discount |  | Unamortized Premium ousands) |  | Par <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 357,489 | \$ | 4,936 | \$ | (147) | \$ 362,278 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 48,749 |  |  |  | $(1,767)$ | 46,982 |
| Other equity securities | 20,311 |  |  |  |  | 20,311 |
| Total | \$ 426,549 | \$ | 4,936 | \$ | $(1,914)$ | \$ 429,571 |
| December 31, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 378,822 | \$ | 5,307 | \$ | (193) | \$ 383,936 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,269 |  |  |  | (22) | 1,247 |
| Other equity securities | 18,882 |  |  |  |  | 18,882 |
| Total | \$ 398,973 | \$ | 5,307 | \$ | (215) | \$ 404,065 |
| September 30, 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 376,347 | \$ | 4,338 | \$ | (211) | \$ 380,474 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities | 193 |  |  |  |  | 193 |
| Other equity securities | 18,422 |  |  |  |  | 18,422 |
| Total | \$ 394,962 | \$ | 4,338 | \$ | (211) | \$ 399,089 |

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company recognized net premium amortization of $\$ 0.1$ million compared to $\$ 0.1$ million of net discount accretion during the third quarter of 2010. During the first nine months of 2011, the Company recognized net premium amortization of $\$ 0.3$ million compared to $\$ 0.5$ million of net discount accretion during the first nine months of 2010.

The Company had net gains of $\$ 0.6$ million from the sale of $\$ 58$ million of investment securities in the third quarter of 2011 compared with net gains of $\$ 0.6$ million from the sale of $\$ 138$ million of investment securities in the third quarter of 2010. The Company had net gains of $\$ 1.0$ million from the sale of $\$ 96$ million of investment securities in the first nine months of 2011 compared with net gains of $\$ 4.3$ million from the sale of $\$ 247$ million of investment securities in the first nine months of 2010. During the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, investment securities totaling $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 5$ million matured, were called or were paid down by the issuer. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, investment securities totaling $\$ 20$ million and $\$ 43$ million matured, were called or were paid down by the issuer. The Company purchased $\$ 0.01$ million and $\$ 12$ million of investment securities during the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and purchased $\$ 8$ million and $\$ 104$ million of investment securities during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company also acquired $\$ 136$ million and $\$ 95$ million of investment securities from FDIC-assisted acquisitions during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In recent years the Company has been a net seller of investment securities. Reductions of its investment securities portfolio have been undertaken primarily as a result of the Company s ongoing evaluations of interest rate risk and to free up capital for FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

The Company invests in securities it believes offer good relative value at the time of purchase, and it will, from time to time reposition its investment securities portfolio. In making its decisions to sell or purchase securities, the Company considers credit quality, call features, maturity dates, relative yields, current market factors, interest rate risk and other relevant factors.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The following table presents the types and estimated fair values of the Company s investment securities AFS at September 30, 2011 based on credit ratings by one or more nationally-recognized credit rating agencies.

## Credit Ratings of Investment Securities

|  | $\mathbf{A A A}{ }^{(1)}$ | AA ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{A}^{(3)}$ <br> (Dollars | BBB ${ }^{(4)}$ <br> thousands) | (Dollars in thousands) | -Rated ${ }^{(5)}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | \$ | \$ 127,464 | \$ 5,840 | \$ 6,211 | \$ | 135,506 | \$ 275,021 |
| Texas | 1,333 | 29,665 | 13,382 | 14,879 |  | 11,911 | 71,170 |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |  | 5,914 | 5,914 |
| Louisiana |  | 4,255 |  |  |  |  | 4,255 |
| South Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | 3,439 | 3,439 |
| Connecticut |  |  | 2,711 |  |  |  | 2,711 |
| Iowa |  |  | 2,556 |  |  |  | 2,556 |
| Massachusetts |  |  |  |  |  | 2,021 | 2,021 |
| Georgia |  | 617 | 190 | 610 |  |  | 1,417 |
| Alabama |  |  |  | 263 |  |  | 263 |
| U.S. Government agency residential mortgage-backed securities |  | 50,518 |  |  |  |  | 50,518 |
| Other equity securities |  |  |  |  |  | 20,311 | 20,311 |
| Total | \$ 1,333 | \$ 212,519 | \$ 24,679 | \$ 21,963 | \$ | 179,102 | \$ 439,596 |
| Percentage of total | 0.3\% | 48.4\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% |  | 40.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Cumulative percentage of total | 0.3\% | 48.7\% | 54.3\% | 59.3\% |  | 100.0\% |  |

(1) Includes securities rated Aaa by Moody s, AAA by Standard \& Poor s (S\&P) or a comparable rating by other nationally-recognized credit rating agencies.
(2) Includes securities rated Aa1 to Aa3 by Moody s, AA+ to AA- by S\&P or a comparable rating by other nationally-recognized credit rating agencies.
(3) Includes securities rated A1 to A3 by Moody s , $\mathrm{A}+$ to $\mathrm{A}-$ by $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{P}$ or a comparable rating by other nationally-recognized credit rating agencies.
(4) Includes securities rated Baa1 to Baa3 by Moody s, BBB+ to BBB- by S\&P or a comparable rating by other nationally-recognized credit rating agencies.
(5) Includes all securities that are not rated or securities that are not rated but that have a rated credit enhancement where the Company has ignored such credit enhancement. For these securities, the Company has performed its own evaluation of the security and/or the underlying issuer and believes that such security or its issuer has credit characteristics equivalent to those which would warrant a credit rating of investment grade (i.e., Baa3 or better by Moody s or BBB- or better by S\&P or a comparable rating by another nationally-recognized credit rating agency).
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## Deposits

The Company s lending and investment activities are funded primarily by deposits. The amount and type of deposits outstanding at September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010 and their respective percentage of the total deposits are reflected in the following table.

## Deposits

|  | September 30, |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | \$ | 466,938 | 15.3\% | \$ | 271,407 | 11.2\% | \$ | 298,585 | 11.8\% |
| Interest bearing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transaction (NOW) |  | 716,102 | 23.5 |  | 652,058 | 27.0 |  | 625,524 | 24.6 |
| Savings and money market |  | 893,530 | 29.3 |  | 619,316 | 25.6 |  | 673,534 | 26.5 |
| Time deposits less than \$100,000 |  | 420,195 | 13.8 |  | 427,123 | 17.7 |  | 459,027 | 18.1 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more |  | 549,704 | 18.1 |  | 445,810 | 18.5 |  | 484,083 | 19.0 |
| Total deposits |  | 3,046,469 | 100.0\% |  | ,415,714 | 100.0\% |  | ,540,753 | 100.0\% |

The Company s total deposits increased $\$ 0.63$ billion to $\$ 3.05$ billion at September 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 2.42$ billion at September 30, 2010. In recent years, the Company has benefited from favorable changes in its deposit mix. The Company s non-CD deposits have grown and comprised $68.2 \%$ of total deposits at September 30, 2011, compared to $62.9 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $63.9 \%$ at September 30, 2010. Non-CD deposits totaled $\$ 2.08$ billion at September 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 1.60$ billion at December 31, 2010 and $\$ 1.54$ billion at September 30, 2010.

The amount and percentage of the Company s deposits, by state of originating office, are reflected in the following table.

## Deposits by State of Originating Office

| Deposits Attributable to Offices In | September 30, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in th | sands) |  |  |
| Arkansas | \$ 1,584,472 | 52.0\% | \$ 1,681,417 | 69.6\% | \$ 1,607,962 | 63.3\% |
| Texas | 448,464 | 14.8 | 417,684 | 17.3 | 455,089 | 17.9 |
| Georgia | 801,446 | 26.3 | 144,931 | 6.0 | 328,037 | 12.9 |
| Florida | 172,009 | 5.6 | 105,094 | 4.3 | 99,842 | 4.0 |
| South Carolina | 12,701 | 0.4 | 31,291 | 1.3 | 17,958 | 0.7 |
| North Carolina | 14,208 | 0.5 | 18,735 | 0.8 | 15,816 | 0.6 |
| Alabama | 13,169 | 0.4 | 16,562 | 0.7 | 16,049 | 0.6 |
| Total | \$ 3,046,469 | 100.0\% | \$ 2,415,714 | 100.0\% | \$ 2,540,753 | 100.0\% |

## Other Interest Bearing Liabilities

The Company relies on other interest bearing liabilities to supplement the funding of its lending and investing activities. Such liabilities consist of repurchase agreements with customers, other borrowings (primarily FHLB Dallas advances and, to a lesser extent, FRB borrowings and federal funds purchased) and subordinated debentures.

The following table reflects the average balance and rate paid for each category of other interest bearing liabilities for the quarters and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

## Average Balances and Rates of Other Interest Bearing Liabilities
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|  | Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010 |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 <br> 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average | Rate | Average | Rate | Average | Rate | Average | Rate |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | \$ 37,082 | 0.37\% | \$ 51,618 | 0.71\% | \$ 39,944 | 0.51\% | \$ 50,009 | 0.81\% |
| Other borrowings ${ }^{(1)}$ | 283,176 | 3.80 | 307,264 | 3.53 | 291,484 | 3.71 | 325,175 | 3.88 |
| Subordinated debentures | 64,950 | 2.63 | 64,950 | 2.87 | 64,950 | 2.65 | 64,950 | 2.72 |
| Total other interest bearing liabilities | \$ 385,208 | 3.27\% | \$ 423,832 | 3.09\% | \$ 396,378 | 3.22\% | \$ 440,134 | 3.36\% |

(1) Included in other borrowings at September 30, 2011 are FHLB Dallas advances that contain quarterly call features and mature as follows: 2017, $\$ 260$ million at $3.90 \%$ weighted-average interest rate and $2018, \$ 20$ million at $2.53 \%$ weighted-average interest rate.
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## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

## Capital Resources

Subordinated Debentures. At September 30, 2011 and 2010 and at December 31, 2010, the Company had an aggregate of $\$ 64.9$ million of subordinated debentures and related trust preferred securities outstanding consisting of (i) $\$ 20.6$ million of subordinated debentures and securities issued in 2006 that bear interest, adjustable quarterly, at LIBOR plus $1.60 \%$; (ii) $\$ 15.4$ million of subordinated debentures and securities issued in 2004 that bear interest, adjustable quarterly, at LIBOR plus $2.22 \%$; and (iii) $\$ 28.9$ million of subordinated debentures and securities issued in 2003 that bear interest, adjustable quarterly, at a weighted-average rate of LIBOR plus $2.925 \%$. These subordinated debentures and securities generally mature 30 years after issuance and may be prepaid at par, subject to regulatory approval, on or after approximately five years from the date of issuance, or at an earlier date upon certain changes in tax laws, investment company laws or regulatory capital requirements. These subordinated debentures and the related trust preferred securities provide the Company additional regulatory capital to support its expected future growth and expansion.

Tangible Common Stockholders Equity. The Company uses its tangible common stockholders equity ratio as the principal measure of the strength of its capital. The tangible common stockholders equity ratio is calculated by dividing total common stockholders equity less intangible assets by total assets less intangible assets. The Company s tangible common stockholders equity ratio was $10.06 \%$ at September 30, 2011 compared to $9.57 \%$ at December 31, 2010 and $9.74 \%$ at September 30, 2010.

Common Stock Dividend Policy. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company paid a dividend of $\$ 0.095$ per common share compared to $\$ 0.075$ per common share in the quarter ended September 30, 2010. On October 3, 2011, the Company s board of directors approved a dividend of $\$ 0.10$ per common share that was paid on October 21, 2011. The determination of future dividends on the Company s common stock will depend on conditions existing at that time.

## Capital Compliance

Bank regulatory authorities in the United States impose certain capital standards on all bank holding companies and banks. These capital standards require compliance with certain minimum risk-based capital ratios and a minimum leverage ratio. The risk-based capital ratios consist of (1) Tier 1 capital (i.e. common stockholders equity excluding goodwill, certain intangibles and net unrealized gains and losses on AFS investment securities, and including, subject to limitations, trust preferred securities ( TPS ), certain types of preferred stock and other qualifying items) to risk-weighted assets and (2) total capital (Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital, including the qualifying portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the portion of TPS not counted as Tier 1 capital) to risk-weighted assets. The leverage ratio is measured as Tier 1 capital to adjusted quarterly average assets.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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The Company s and the Bank s risk-based capital and leverage ratios exceeded these minimum requirements, as well as the minimum requirements to be considered well capitalized , at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and are presented in the following tables.

## Consolidated Capital Ratios

|  | September 30, 2011 (Dollar | D | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ecember 31, } \\ & 2010 \\ & \text { nds) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 capital: |  |  |  |
| Common stockholders equity | \$ 406,945 | \$ | 320,355 |
| Allowed amount of trust preferred securities | 63,000 |  | 63,000 |
| Net unrealized (gains) losses on investment securities AFS | $(7,930)$ |  | 167 |
| Less goodwill and certain intangible assets | $(12,716)$ |  | $(7,925)$ |
| Total tier 1 capital | 449,299 |  | 375,597 |
| Tier 2 capital: |  |  |  |
| Qualifying allowance for loan and lease losses | 30,853 |  | 29,241 |
| Total risk-based capital | \$ 480,152 | \$ | 404,838 |
| Risk-weighted assets | \$ 2,459,953 | \$ | 2,328,251 |
| Adjusted quarterly average assets | \$ 3,922,085 | \$ | 3,160,452 |
| Ratios at end of period: |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage | 11.46\% |  | 11.88\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 18.26 |  | 16.13 |
| Total risk-based capital | 19.52 |  | 17.39 |
| Minimum ratio guidelines: |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3.00\% |  | 3.00\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 4.00 |  | 4.00 |
| Total risk-based capital | 8.00 |  | 8.00 |
| Minimum ratio guidelines to be well capitalized : |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage | 5.00\% |  | 5.00\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 6.00 |  | 6.00 |
| Total risk-based capital | 10.00 |  | 10.00 |

(1) Regulatory authorities require institutions to operate at varying levels (ranging from 100-200 bps) above a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of $3 \%$ depending upon capitalization classification.

## Capital Ratios of the Bank

September 30, December 31,
2011
2010
(Dollars in thousands)

| Stockholders equity Tier 1 | $\$ 430,075$ | $\$$ | 358,852 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | $11.01 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ |  |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 17.50 | 15.49 |  |
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## Liquidity

Bank Liquidity. Liquidity represents an institution s ability to provide funds to satisfy demands from depositors, borrowers and other creditors by either converting assets into cash or accessing new or existing sources of incremental funds. Generally the Company relies on deposits, loan and lease and covered loan repayments, and repayments of its investment securities as its primary sources of funds. The principal deposit sources utilized by the Company include consumer, commercial and public funds customers in the Company s markets. The Company has used these funds, together with brokered deposits, FHLB Dallas advances, federal funds purchased and other sources of short-term borrowings, to make loans and leases, acquire investment securities and other assets and to fund continuing operations.

Deposit levels may be affected by a number of factors, including rates paid by competitors, general interest rate levels, returns available to customers on alternative investments, general economic and market conditions and other factors. Loan and lease repayments are a relatively stable source of funds but are subject to the borrowers and lessees ability to repay the loans and leases, which can be adversely affected by a number of factors including changes in general economic conditions, adverse trends or events affecting business industry groups or specific businesses, declines in real estate values or markets, business closings or lay-offs, inclement weather, natural disasters and other factors. Furthermore, loans and leases generally are not readily convertible to cash. Accordingly, the Company may be required to rely from time to time on other sources of liquidity to meet loan, lease and deposit withdrawal demands or otherwise fund operations. Such secondary sources include FHLB Dallas advances, secured and unsecured federal funds lines of credit from correspondent banks and FRB borrowings.

At September 30, 2011 the Company had unused borrowing availability that was primarily comprised of the following four sources: (1) $\$ 829$ million of available blanket borrowing capacity with the FHLB Dallas, (2) $\$ 115$ million of investment securities available to pledge for federal funds or other borrowings, (3) $\$ 144$ million of available unsecured federal funds borrowing lines and (4) $\$ 71$ million from borrowing programs of the FRB.

The Company anticipates it will continue to rely on deposits, loan and lease and covered loan repayments and repayments of its investment securities to provide liquidity, as well as other funding sources as appropriate. Additionally, when necessary, the sources of borrowed funds described above will be used to augment the Company s primary funding sources.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 ( Dodd-Frank Act ). On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. Among other things, the Dodd-Frank Act provides full deposit insurance with no maximum coverage amount for noninterest bearing transaction accounts for two years beginning December 31, 2010. Participation in this deposit insurance coverage of the Dodd-Frank Act is mandatory for all financial institutions and requires no separate fee assessment to the Bank. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act permanently increases the maximum deposit insurance coverage for all other deposit categories to $\$ 250,000$ retroactive to January 1, 2008.

Sources and Uses of Funds. Net cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 14.2$ million and $\$ 27.4$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Net cash provided by operating activities is comprised primarily of net income, adjusted for certain non-cash items and for changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Investing activities provided $\$ 704.7$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and $\$ 373.6$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The Company s primary sources and uses of cash for investing activities include net activity in its investment securities portfolio, which provided $\$ 109.5$ million and $\$ 190.6$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, net loan and lease activity, which used $\$ 2.9$ million and provided $\$ 14.8$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and purchases of premises and equipment, which used $\$ 16.8$ million and $\$ 10.0$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company received $\$ 365.4$ million and $\$ 141.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, in connection with FDIC-assisted acquisitions and received cash of $\$ 244.0$ million and $\$ 39.1$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, from liquidation of covered assets. The Company had proceeds from dispositions of premises and equipment, foreclosed and other assets of $\$ 7.2$ million and $\$ 13.6$ million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company paid $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 4.1$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for interest in unconsolidated investments and noncontrolling interests; and paid $\$ 10.2$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 (none in the nine months ended September 30, 2011) to purchase BOLI.

Financing activities used $\$ 698.4$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and $\$ 426.1$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The Company s primary financing activities include net changes in deposit accounts, which used $\$ 609.0$ million and $\$ 331.2$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and net repayments of other borrowings and repurchase agreements with customers, which used $\$ 83.3$ million and $\$ 90.0$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition the Company paid common stock cash dividends of $\$ 9.2$ million and $\$ 7.5$ million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 , respectively. Proceeds and current tax benefits from exercise of stock options provided $\$ 3.2$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
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## Growth and Expansion

On March 26, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Unity with five offices in Georgia, including Cartersville (2), Rome, Adairsville and Calhoun.

On July 16, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and other liabilities of Woodlands, with offices in South Carolina (2), North Carolina (2), Georgia (1) and Alabama (3). On October 26, 2010 the Company closed four Woodlands offices including one each in South Carolina and North Carolina and two in Alabama, and in December 2010 the Company relocated two offices. The Company also renegotiated the leases on the two remaining offices. As a result the Company now operates one office each in Bluffton, South Carolina; Wilmington, North Carolina; Savannah, Georgia; and Mobile, Alabama.

On September 10, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and other liabilities of Horizon, with four offices in Florida, including Bradenton (2), Palmetto and Brandon. The Company closed the Brandon office on December 23, 2010.

On December 17, 2010 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Chestatee with four offices in Georgia, including Dawsonville (2), Cumming and Marble Hill.

On January 14, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Oglethorpe with two offices in Georgia, including Brunswick and St. Simons Island.

On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of First Choice with seven offices in Georgia, including Dallas, Newnan (2), Senoia, Sharpsburg, Douglasville and Carrollton. On July 1, 2011, the Company closed one of the offices in Newnan, Georgia, and on October 26, 2011, the Company closed the office in Carrollton, Georgia.

On April 29, 2011 the Company, through the Bank, entered into a purchase and assumption agreement with loss share agreements with the FDIC pursuant to which it acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all of the deposits and certain other liabilities of Park Avenue with eleven offices in Georgia, including Valdosta (3), Bainbridge (2), Cairo, Lake Park, Stockbridge, McDonough, Oakwood, and Athens and one office in Ocala, Florida. On October 21, 2011, the Company closed the office in Stockbridge, Georgia.

The Company plans to continue evaluating and bidding on failed bank opportunities and hopes to make additional FDIC-assisted acquisitions in the coming quarters.

In addition, the Company expects to continue its growth and de novo branching strategy, although it has slowed the pace of new office openings in recent years and currently has a significant focus on additional FDIC-assisted acquisitions. In the first and second quarters of 2011, the Company opened three offices in the metro-Dallas area in Carrollton, Texas; Plano, Texas; and Keller, Texas. In 2012, the Company expects to open a loan production office in Austin, Texas; open a full service banking office in The Colony, Texas in the metro-Dallas area; replace its existing Charlotte, North Carolina loan production office with a full service banking office; and open its second banking office in Mobile, Alabama.

Opening new offices is subject to availability of qualified personnel and suitable sites, designing, constructing, equipping and staffing such offices, obtaining regulatory and other approvals and many other conditions and contingencies that the Company cannot predict with certainty. The Company may increase or decrease its expected number of new offices as a result of a variety of factors including the Company sfinancial results, changes in economic or competitive conditions, strategic opportunities or other factors.

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company had $\$ 16.8$ million of capital expenditures for premises and equipment, including premises and equipment acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions. The Company s capital expenditures for the full year of 2011 are expected to be in the range of $\$ 30$ million to $\$ 35$ million and include premises and equipment expected to be acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011 in connection with the earlier First Choice and Park Avenue acquisitions, progress payments on construction projects expected to be completed in 2011 or 2012, furniture and equipment costs and acquisition of sites for future development. Actual expenditures may vary significantly from those expected, depending on the number and cost of additional sites acquired for future development, progress or delays encountered on ongoing and new
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construction projects, delays in or inability to obtain required approvals and other factors.
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## Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. The Company s determination of (i) the provisions to and the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, (ii) the fair value of its investment securities portfolio, (iii) the fair value of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements and (iv) the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed pursuant to business combination transactions, including the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, all involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity than its other significant accounting policies. Accordingly, the Company considers the determination of (i) the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, (ii) the fair value of its investment securities portfolio, (iii) the fair value of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements and (iv) the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed pursuant to business combination transactions to be critical accounting policies.

Provisions to and adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Provisions to and the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses are based on the Company s evaluation of the loan and lease portfolio utilizing objective and subjective criteria as described in this report. See the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this Management s Discussion and Analysis for a detailed discussion of the Company sallowance for loan and lease losses. Changes in the criteria used in this evaluation or the availability of new information could cause the allowance to be increased or decreased in future periods. In addition bank regulatory agencies, as part of their examination process, may require adjustments to the allowance for loan and lease losses based on their judgments and estimates.

Fair value of the investment securities portfolio. The Company has classified all of its investment securities as AFS. Accordingly, its investment securities are stated at estimated fair value in the consolidated financial statements with unrealized gains and losses, net of related income taxes, reported as a separate component of stockholders equity and any related changes are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The Company utilizes independent third parties as its principal sources for determining fair value of its investment securities that are measured on a recurring basis. For investment securities traded in an active market, the fair values are based on quoted market prices if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on market prices for comparable securities, broker quotes or comprehensive interest rate tables, pricing matrices or a combination thereof. For investment securities traded in a market that is not active, fair value is determined using unobservable inputs.

The fair values of the Company s investment securities traded in both active and inactive markets can be volatile and may be influenced by a number of factors including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates, credit quality of the issuer, general market conditions including market liquidity conditions and other factors. Factors and conditions are constantly changing and fair values could be subject to material variations that may significantly impact the Company s financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Fair value of foreclosed assets not covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Repossessed personal properties and real estate acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are measured on a non-recurring basis and are initially recorded at the lesser of current principal investment or fair value less estimated cost to sell at the date of repossession or foreclosure. Valuations of these assets are periodically reviewed by management with the carrying value of such assets adjusted through non-interest expense to the then estimated fair value net of estimated selling costs, if lower, until disposition. Fair values of these assets are generally based on third party appraisals, broker price opinions or other valuations of the property.

Fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed pursuant to business combination transactions. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations are recorded at estimated fair value on their purchase date. Purchased loans acquired in a business combination, including covered loans, are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of GAAP applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality and pursuant to the AICPA s December 18, 2009 letter in which the AICPA summarized the SEC s view regarding the accounting in subsequent periods for discount accretion associated with non-credit impaired loans acquired in a business combination or asset purchase. Considering, among other factors, the general lack of adequate underwriting, proper documentation, appropriate loan structure and insufficient equity contributions for a large number of these acquired loans, and the uncertainty of the borrowers and/or guarantors ability or willingness to make contractually required (or any) principal and interest payments, management has determined that a significant portion of the purchased loans acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions have evidence of credit deterioration since origination. Accordingly, management has elected to apply the provisions of GAAP applicable to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality as provided by the AICPA s December 18, 2009 letter, to all purchased loans acquired in its FDIC-assisted acquisitions.
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At the time such purchased loans are acquired, management individually evaluates substantially all loans acquired in the transaction. This evaluation allows management to determine the estimated fair value of the purchased loans (not considering any FDIC loss sharing agreements) and includes no carryover of any previously recorded allowance for loan and lease losses. In determining the estimated fair value of purchased loans, management considers a number of factors including, among other things, the remaining life of the acquired loans, estimated prepayments, estimated loss ratios, estimated value of the underlying collateral, estimated holding periods, and net present value of cash flows expected to be received. To the extent that any purchased loan acquired in a FDIC-assisted acquisition is not specifically reviewed, management applies a loss estimate to that loan based on the average expected loss rates for the purchased loans that were individually reviewed in that purchased loan portfolio.

As provided for under GAAP, management has up to 12 months following the date of the acquisition to finalize the fair values of acquired assets and assumed liabilities. Once management has finalized the fair value of acquired assets and assumed liabilities within this 12 month period, management considers such values to be the Day 1 Fair Values.

In determining the Day 1 Fair Values of purchased loans, management calculates a non-accretable difference (the credit component of the purchased loans) and an accretable difference (the yield component of the purchased loans). The non-accretable difference is the difference between the contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected in accordance with management s determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows will generally result in a provision for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows will result in a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income.

The accretable difference on purchased loans is the difference between the expected cash flows and the net present value of expected cash flows. Such difference is accreted into earnings using the effective yield method over the term of the loans. In determining the net present value of the expected cash flows, the Company used discount rates ranging from $6.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum depending on the risk characteristics of each individual loan. The weighted average period during which management expects to receive the estimated cash flows for its covered loan portfolio (not considering any payment under the FDIC loss share agreements) is 2.4 years.

Management separately monitors the purchased loan portfolio and periodically reviews loans contained within this portfolio against the factors and assumptions used in determining the Day 1 Fair Values. A loan is reviewed (i) any time it is renewed or extended, (ii) at any other time additional information becomes available to the Company that provides material additional insight regarding the loan s performance, the status of the borrower, or the quality or value of the underlying collateral, or (iii) in conjunction with the annual review of projected cash flows of each acquired portfolio. Management separately reviews, on an annual basis, the performance of a substantial portion of each acquired loan portfolio, or more frequently to the extent that material information becomes available regarding the performance of an individual loan, to make determinations of the constituent loans performance and to consider whether there has been any significant change in performance since management $s$ initial expectations established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values. To the extent that a loan is performing in accordance with management s performance expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan is not included in any of the credit quality ratios, is not considered to be a nonaccrual or impaired loan, is not risk rated in a similar manner as are the Company s non-purchased loans and is not considered in the determination of the required allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that a loan s performance has deteriorated from management s expectation established in conjunction with the determination of the Day 1 Fair Values, such loan will be included in the Company s credit quality metrics, may be considered a nonaccrual or impaired loan, and is considered in the determination of the required level of allowance for loan and lease losses. To the extent that deterioration in the credit quality of the loan would result in some portion or all of such loan being included in the calculation of the allowance for loan and lease losses, there would be an increase of the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the portion of such additional loss expected to be collected from the FDIC. Currently, the expected losses on covered assets for each of the Company s loss share agreements would result in expected recovery of approximately $80 \%$ of incurred losses. Any improvement in the expected performance of a purchased loan would result in (i) a reversal of the provision for loan and lease losses to the extent of prior charges and then an adjustment to accretable yield, which would have a positive impact on interest income and (ii) a decrease in the FDIC loss share receivable balance for the applicable percentage of the portion of such loss no longer expected to be incurred by the Company.

Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, or covered foreclosed assets, are recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. In estimating the fair value of covered foreclosed assets, management considers a number of factors including, among others, appraised value, estimated holding periods, net present value of cash flows expected to be received, and estimated selling costs. Discount rates ranging from $8.0 \%$ to $9.5 \%$ per annum were used to determine the net present value of covered foreclosed assets.
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In connection with the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company has recorded an FDIC loss share receivable to reflect the indemnification provided by the FDIC. Since the indemnified items are covered loans and covered foreclosed assets, which are measured at Day 1 Fair Values, the FDIC loss share receivable is also measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values, and is calculated by discounting the cash flows expected to be received from the FDIC. A discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum was used to determine the net present value of the FDIC loss share receivable. These cash flows are estimated by multiplying estimated losses by the reimbursement rates as set forth in the loss share agreements. The balance of the FDIC loss share receivable is adjusted periodically to reflect changes in expectations of discounted cash flows, expense reimbursements under the loss share agreements and other factors.

Pursuant to the clawback provisions of the loss share agreements for the Company s FDIC-assisted acquisitions, the Company may be required to reimburse the FDIC should actual losses be less than certain thresholds established in each loss share agreement. The amount of the clawback provision for each acquisition is measured and recorded at Day 1 Fair Values. It is calculated as the difference between management sestimated losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets and the loss threshold contained in each loss share agreement, multiplied by the applicable clawback provisions contained in each loss share agreement. This clawback amount, which is payable to the FDIC upon termination of the applicable loss share agreement, is then discounted back to net present value using a discount rate of $5.0 \%$ per annum. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are less than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will increase. To the extent that actual losses on covered loans and covered foreclosed assets are more than estimated losses, the applicable clawback payable to the FDIC upon termination of the loss share agreements will decrease.

The Day 1 Fair Values of investment securities acquired in business combinations are generally based on quoted market prices, broker quotes, comprehensive interest rate tables or pricing matrices, or a combination thereof. The Day 1 Fair Values of assumed liabilities in business combinations is generally the amount payable by the Company necessary to completely satisfy the assumed obligations.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of certain recently issued and recently adopted accounting pronouncements.

## Forward-Looking Information

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, other filings made by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other oral and written statements or reports by the Company and its management include certain forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements about economic, real estate market, competitive, employment, credit market and interest rate conditions; plans, goals, beliefs, expectations, thoughts, estimates and outlook for the future; revenue growth; net income and earnings per common share; net interest margin; net interest income; non-interest income, including service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage lending and trust income, gains (losses) on investment securities and sales of other assets; gains on FDIC-assisted acquisitions; income from accretion of the FDIC loss share receivable, net of amortization of the FDIC clawback payable; other loss share income; non-interest expense; efficiency ratio; anticipated future operating results and financial performance; asset quality and asset quality ratios, including the effects of current economic and real estate market conditions; nonperforming loans and leases; nonperforming assets; net charge-offs; net charge-off ratio; provision and allowance for loan and lease losses; past due loans and leases; current or future litigation; interest rate sensitivity, including the effects of possible interest rate changes; future growth and expansion opportunities including plans for making additional FDIC-assisted acquisitions and plans for opening new offices or closing offices; opportunities and goals for future market share growth; expected capital expenditures; loan, lease and deposit growth; changes in covered assets; changes in the volume, yield and value of the Company s investment securities portfolio; availability of unused borrowings and other similar forecasts and statements of expectation. Words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, plan, look, seek, may, will, could, trend, target, goal, hope, relate to the Company or its management, identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements made by the Company and its management are based on estimates, projections, beliefs, plans and assumptions of management at the time of such statements and are not guarantees of future performance. The Company disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement based on the occurrence of future events, the receipt of new information or otherwise.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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Actual future performance, outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements made by the Company and its management due to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Certain factors that may affect operating results of the Company include, but are not limited to, potential delays or other problems in implementing the Company s growth and expansion strategy including delays in identifying satisfactory sites, hiring qualified personnel, obtaining regulatory or other approvals, obtaining permits and designing, constructing and opening new offices; the ability to enter into additional FDIC-assisted acquisitions or problems with integrating or managing such acquisitions; opportunities to profitably deploy capital; the ability to attract new or retain existing deposits, loans and leases; the ability to generate future revenue growth or to control future growth in non-interest expense; interest rate fluctuations, including changes in the yield curve between short-term and long-term interest rates; competitive factors and pricing pressures, including their effect on the Company s net interest margin; general economic, unemployment, credit market and real estate market conditions, including their effect on the creditworthiness of borrowers and lessees, collateral values, the value of investment securities and asset recovery values, including the value of the FDIC loss share receivable and related assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements; changes in legal and regulatory requirements; recently enacted and potential legislation and regulatory actions, including legislation and regulatory actions intended to stabilize economic conditions and credit markets, increase regulation of the financial services industry and protect homeowners or consumers; changes in U.S. government monetary and fiscal policy; possible further downgrade of U.S. Treasury securities; adoption of new accounting standards or changes in existing standards; and adverse results in current or future litigation as well as other factors described in this and other Company reports and statements. Should one or more of the foregoing risks materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results or outcomes may vary materially from those described in the forward-looking statements.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## SELECTED AND SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth selected consolidated financial data of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and supplemental quarterly financial data of the Company for each of the most recent eight quarters beginning with the fourth quarter of 2009 through the third quarter of 2011. These tables are qualified in their entirety by the consolidated financial statements and related notes presented elsewhere in this report.

## Selected Consolidated Financial Data

|  | Three Months End 2011 <br> (Do | d September 30, 2010 <br> ars in thousands, | Nine Months Ended 2011 <br> xcept per share amoun | September 30, 2010 <br> s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income statement data: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 51,902 | \$ 41,092 | \$ 146,799 | \$ 115,885 |
| Interest expense | 7,566 | 8,324 | 23,904 | 26,195 |
| Net interest income | 44,336 | 32,768 | 122,895 | 89,690 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 1,500 | 4,300 | 7,500 | 11,900 |
| Non-interest income | 16,071 | 25,183 | 104,119 | 51,676 |
| Non-interest expense | 31,800 | 23,565 | 93,191 | 62,146 |
| Net income available to common stockholders | 18,904 | 20,225 | 83,751 | 47,070 |
| Common share and per common share data:* |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings diluted | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 2.43 | \$ 1.38 |
| Book value | 11.87 | 9.30 | 11.87 | 9.30 |
| Dividends | 0.095 | 0.075 | 0.27 | 0.22 |
| Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding (thousands) | 34,510 | 34,134 | 34,434 | 34,030 |
| End of period shares outstanding (thousands) | 34,277 | 33,980 | 34,277 | 33,980 |
| Balance sheet data at period end: |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 3,930,647 | \$ 3,175,810 | \$ 3,930,647 | \$ 3,175,810 |
| Loans and leases not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,863,114 | 1,888,936 | 1,863,114 | 1,888,936 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 865,096 | 391,014 | 865,096 | 391,014 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 39,136 | 40,250 | 39,136 | 40,250 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 318,730 | 123,702 | 318,730 | 123,702 |
| Investment securities AFS | 439,596 | 412,443 | 439,596 | 412,443 |
| Foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 73,249 | 17,540 | 73,249 | 17,540 |
| Total deposits | 3,046,469 | 2,415,714 | 3,046,469 | 2,415,714 |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | 46,334 | 55,750 | 46,334 | 55,750 |
| Other borrowings | 289,353 | 294,502 | 289,353 | 294,502 |
| Subordinated debentures | 64,950 | 64,950 | 64,950 | 64,950 |
| Total common stockholders equity | 406,945 | 316,072 | 406,945 | 316,072 |
| Loan and lease (including covered loans) to deposit ratio | 89.55\% | 94.52\% | 89.55\% | 94.52\% |
| Average balance sheet data: |  |  |  |  |
| Total average assets | \$ 3,934,801 | \$ 3,083,789 | \$ 3,714,381 | \$ 2,930,684 |
| Total average common stockholders equity | 395,430 | 305,378 | 361,123 | 288,800 |
| Average common equity to average assets | 10.05\% | 9.90\% | 9.72\% | 9.85\% |
| Performance ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Return on average assets** | 1.91\% | 2.60\% | 3.01\% | 2.15\% |
| Return on average common stockholders equity** | 18.97 | 26.28 | 31.01 | 21.79 |
| Net interest margin FTE** | 5.90 | 5.31 | 5.77 | 5.14 |
| Efficiency ratio | 50.75 | 39.02 | 39.86 | 41.71 |
| Common stock dividend payout ratio | 17.27 | 12.58 | 11.11 | 15.83 |
| Asset quality ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans and leases**(1) | 0.33\% | 0.88\% | 0.63\% | 0.80\% |
| Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1.22 | 0.90 | 1.22 | 0.90 |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1.45 | 1.85 | 1.45 | 1.85 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of: |  |  |  |  |
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| Total loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | $2.10 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonperforming loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | $172 \%$ | $236 \%$ | $172 \%$ | $236 \%$ |
| Capital ratios at period end: |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage | $11.46 \%$ | $11.73 \%$ | $11.46 \%$ | $11.73 \%$ |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 18.26 | 15.45 | 18.26 | 15.45 |
| Total risk-based capital | 19.52 | 16.71 | 19.52 | 16.71 |

* Adjusted to give effect to 2-for-1 stock split effective August 16, 2011.
** Ratios annualized based on actual days.
(1) Excludes loans and/or foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, except for their inclusion in total assets.
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## Supplemental Quarterly Financial Data

|  | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carnings Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 28,495 | \$ | 27,193 | \$ | 29,729 |  | 32,768 |  | 33,945 |  | 36,083 |  | 42,476 |  | 44,336 |
| ederal tax (FTE) adjustment |  | 2,229 |  | 2,649 |  | 2,554 |  | 2,447 |  | 2,341 |  | 2,318 |  | 2,235 |  | 2,256 |
| Net interest income (FTE) |  | 30,724 |  | 29,842 |  | 32,283 |  | 35,215 |  | 36,286 |  | 38,401 |  | 44,711 |  | 46,592 |
| rovision for loan and lease losses |  | $(5,600)$ |  | $(4,200)$ |  | $(3,400)$ |  | $(4,300)$ |  | $(4,100)$ |  | $(2,250)$ |  | $(3,750)$ |  | $(1,500)$ |
| Non-interest income |  | 13,257 |  | 17,365 |  | 9,127 |  | 25,183 |  | 18,646 |  | 12,990 |  | 75,058 |  | 16,071 |
| Non-interest expense |  | $(19,001)$ |  | $(17,471)$ |  | $(21,110)$ |  | $(23,565)$ |  | $(25,274)$ |  | $(26,192)$ |  | $(35,200)$ |  | $(31,800)$ |
| retax income (FTE) |  | 19,380 |  | 25,536 |  | 16,900 |  | 32,533 |  | 25,558 |  | 22,949 |  | 80,819 |  | 29,363 |
| TE adjustment |  | $(2,229)$ |  | $(2,649)$ |  | $(2,554)$ |  | $(2,447)$ |  | $(2,341)$ |  | $(2,318)$ |  | $(2,235)$ |  | $(2,256)$ |
| rovision for income taxes |  | $(4,472)$ |  | $(6,944)$ |  | $(3,488)$ |  | $(9,878)$ |  | $(6,303)$ |  | $(6,004)$ |  | $(28,380)$ |  | $(8,220)$ |
| Noncontrolling interest |  | 17 |  | 11 |  | 32 |  | 17 |  | 17 |  | 3 |  | 13 |  | 17 |
| referred stock dividend |  | $(3,048)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ | 9,648 | \$ | 15,954 | \$ | 10,890 |  | 20,225 |  | 16,931 |  | 14,630 |  | 50,217 |  | 18,904 |
| Earnings per common share diluted* | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.32 |  | 0.59 |  | 0.49 | \$ | 0.43 | \$ | 1.46 |  | 0.55 |
| Non-interest Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ervice charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 3,338 | \$ | 3,202 | \$ | 3,933 | \$ | 4,002 |  | 4,019 | \$ | 3,838 | \$ | 4,586 |  | 4,734 |
| Mortgage lending income |  | 682 |  | 527 |  | 815 |  | 1,024 |  | 1,495 |  | 681 |  | 634 |  | 815 |
| rust income |  | 880 |  | 922 |  | 794 |  | 802 |  | 888 |  | 782 |  | 803 |  | 810 |
| 3ank owned life insurance income |  | 1,729 |  | 464 |  | 534 |  | 580 |  | 574 |  | 568 |  | 575 |  | 585 |
| Gains (losses) on investment securities |  | 6,322 |  | 1,697 |  | 2,052 |  | 570 |  | 226 |  | 152 |  | 199 |  | 638 |
| fains (losses) on sales of other assets |  | (142) |  | (73) |  | 38 |  | 267 |  | 571 |  | 407 |  | 705 |  | 1,727 |
| Accretion of FDIC loss share receivable, net of mortization of FDIC clawback payable |  |  |  |  |  | 271 |  | 906 |  | 1,252 |  | 1,998 |  | 2,923 |  | 2,861 |
| ther loss share income, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 295 |  | 304 |  | 971 |  | 984 |  | 2,976 |
| Jains on FDIC-assisted transactions |  |  |  | 10,037 |  |  |  | 16,122 |  | 8,859 |  | 2,952 |  | 62,756 |  |  |
| ther |  | 448 |  | 589 |  | 690 |  | 615 |  | 458 |  | 641 |  | 893 |  | 925 |
| otal non-interest income | \$ | 13,257 | \$ | 17,365 | \$ | 9,127 |  | 25,183 |  | 18,646 |  | 12,990 |  | 75,058 |  | 16,071 |
| Non-interest Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alaries and employee benefits | \$ | 8,131 | \$ | 8,275 | \$ | 8,996 | \$ | 10,539 | \$ | 12,351 |  | 11,647 |  | 14,817 |  | 14,597 |
| Net occupancy expense |  | 2,156 |  | 2,421 |  | 2,416 |  | 2,782 |  | 2,999 |  | 3,106 |  | 3,775 |  | 4,301 |
| ther operating expenses |  | 8,686 |  | 6,748 |  | 9,587 |  | 10,111 |  | 9,764 |  | 11,211 |  | 16,172 |  | 12,398 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 28 |  | 27 |  | 111 |  | 133 |  | 160 |  | 228 |  | 436 |  | 504 |
| Otal non-interest expense | \$ | 19,001 | \$ | 17,471 | \$ | 21,110 |  | 23,565 |  | 25,274 |  | 26,192 |  | 35,200 |  | 31,800 |
| Llowance for Loan and Lease Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3alance at beginning of period | \$ | 39,280 | \$ | 39,619 | \$ | 39,774 | \$ | 40,176 | \$ | 40,250 | \$ | 40,230 |  | 39,225 |  | 39,124 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(5,261)$ |  | $(4,045)$ |  | $(2,998)$ |  | $(4,226)$ |  | $(4,120)$ |  | $(3,255)$ |  | $(3,851)$ |  | $(1,488)$ |
| rovision for loan and lease losses |  | 5,600 |  | 4,200 |  | 3,400 |  | 4,300 |  | 4,100 |  | 2,250 |  | 3,750 |  | 1,500 |

## Edgar Filing: BANK OF THE OZARKS INC - Form 10-Q

| 3alance at end of period | \$ 39,619 | \$ 39,774 | \$ 40,176 | \$ 40,250 | \$ 40,230 | \$ 39,225 | \$ 39,124 | \$ 39,136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| elected Ratios: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jet interest margin - FTE** | 4.89\% | 4.99\% | 5.10\% | 5.31\% | 5.35\% | 5.61\% | 5.80\% | 5.90\% |
| Efficiency ratio | 43.20 | 37.01 | 50.98 | 39.02 | 46.01 | 50.97 | 29.39 | 50.75 |
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases**(1) | 1.08 | 0.86 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.33 |
| Nonperforming loans and leases/total loans and leases(1) | 1.24 | 1.02 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 1.09 | 1.22 |
| Jonperforming assets/total assets(1) | 3.06 | 2.68 | 2.12 | 1.85 | 1.72 | 1.62 | 1.39 | 1.45 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and eases(1) | 2.08 | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.13 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 2.10 |
| oans and leases past due 30 days or more, including past lue non-accrual loans and leases, to total loans and eases(1) | 1.99 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.90 | 2.02 | 2.19 | 2.47 | 1.89 |

* Adjusted to give effect to 2-for-1 stock split effective August 16, 2011.
** Annualized based on actual days.
(1) Excludes loans and/or foreclosed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, except for their inclusion in total assets.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest rate risk results from timing differences in the repricing of assets and liabilities or from changes in relationships between interest rate indexes. The Company s interest rate risk management is the responsibility of the ALCO and Investments Committee ( ALCO ), which reports to the board of directors. The ALCO oversees the asset/liability (interest rate risk) position, liquidity and funds management and investment portfolio functions of the Company.

The Company regularly reviews its exposure to changes in interest rates. Among the factors considered are changes in the mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, interest rate spreads and repricing periods. Typically, the ALCO reviews on at least a quarterly basis the Company s relative ratio of rate sensitive assets (RSA ) to rate sensitive liabilities (RSL ) and the related cumulative gap for different time periods. However, the primary tool used by ALCO to analyze the Company s interest rate risk and interest rate sensitivity is an earnings simulation model.

This earnings simulation modeling process projects a baseline net interest income (assuming no changes in interest rate levels) and estimates changes to that baseline net interest income resulting from changes in interest rate levels. The Company relies primarily on the results of this model in evaluating its interest rate risk. This model incorporates a number of additional factors including: (1) the expected exercise of call features on various assets and liabilities, (2) the expected rates at which various RSA and RSL will reprice, (3) the expected growth in various interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the expected interest rates on new assets and liabilities, (4) the expected relative movements in different interest rate indexes which are used as the basis for pricing or repricing various assets and liabilities, (5) existing and expected contractual cap and floor rates on various assets and liabilities, (6) expected changes in administered rates on interest bearing transaction, savings, money market and time deposit accounts and the expected impact of competition on the pricing or repricing of such accounts and (7) other relevant factors. Inclusion of these factors in the model is intended to more accurately project the Company s expected changes in net interest income resulting from interest rate changes. The Company typically models its change in net interest income assuming interest rates go up 100 bps , up 200 bps , down 100 bps and down 200 bps . Based on current conditions, the Company is now modeling its change in net interest income assuming interest rates go up 100 bps , up 200 bps , up 300 bps and up 400 bps . For purposes of this model, the Company has assumed that the change in interest rates phases in over a 12 -month period. While the Company believes this model provides a reasonably accurate projection of its interest rate risk, the model includes a number of assumptions and predictions which may or may not be correct and may impact the model results. These assumptions and predictions include inputs to compute baseline net interest income, growth rates, expected changes in administered rates on interest bearing deposit accounts, competition and a variety of other factors that are difficult to accurately predict. Accordingly, there can be no assurance the earnings simulation model will accurately reflect future results.

The following table presents the earnings simulation model s projected impact of a change in interest rates on the projected baseline net interest income for the 12-month period commencing October 1, 2011. This change in interest rates assumes parallel shifts in the yield curve and does not take into account changes in the slope of the yield curve.

| Shift in <br> Interest Rates <br> (in bps) | $\%$ Change in <br> Projected Baseline <br> Net Interest Income |
| :---: | :---: |
| +400 | $(3.4) \%$ |
| +300 | $(3.0)$ |
| +200 | $(2.2)$ |
| +100 | $(1.2)$ |
| -100 | Not meaningful |
| -200 | Not meaningful |

In the event of a shift in interest rates, management may take certain actions intended to mitigate the negative impact to net interest income or to maximize the positive impact to net interest income. These actions may include, but are not limited to, restructuring of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, seeking alternative funding sources or investment opportunities and modifying the pricing or terms of loans, leases and deposits.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

An evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Company s Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, which are defined under SEC rules as controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

The Company s management, including the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Company s Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, has evaluated any changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarterly period covered by this report and has concluded that there was no change during the quarterly period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
(The remainder of this page intentionally left blank)
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On April 8, 2011, the Company was served with a petition filed on March 31, 2011 by the Seib Family, GP, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, as General Partner of Seib Family, LP in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, Cause Number 11-04057, against the Company and two entities which the plaintiff apparently believed had some type of ownership interest in a former borrower of the Bank, alleging, among other things, that the defendants fraudulently induced the plaintiff to purchase a tract of real estate consisting of approximately 60 acres located at 318 Cadiz Street in Dallas, Texas, owned by the former borrower and financed by the Bank. The petition alleges that the defendants knew that a levee protecting the property from the Trinity River flood plain did not meet federal standards, that the defendants omitted to disclose that information to plaintiff prior to the sale of the property, and that due to the problems or potential problems with the levee, the value of the property was significantly impaired, as supported by a report by the U.S. Corps of Engineers concerning the condition of the levee, released at approximately the same time as the plaintiff purchased the property from the former borrower and affiliates with the aid and assistance of the Company. The petition alleges that the plaintiff did not become aware of the U.S. Corps of Engineers report until a month or two after it purchased the property.

The original petition alleged that the defendants conduct violated the Texas Securities Act and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and seeks compensatory damages, trebled under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, plus exemplary damages, attorneys fees, costs, interest, and other relief the court deems just. Since the original petition was filed, the plaintiff has (i) dropped all claims against the Company, but substituted the Bank as a defendant and (ii) dropped all claims with respect to the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. Under its amended petition, the Plaintiff is seeking $\$ 15,962,677$ in actual damages and $\$ 31,925,354$ in exemplary damages. Discovery is currently ongoing with respect to this petition. The Company believes the allegations of the petition are wholly without merit and intends to vigorously defend against these claims.

The Company is party to various other legal proceedings, as both plaintiff and defendant, arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims of lender liability, predatory lending, broken promises and other similar lending-related claims, as well as legal proceedings arising from acquired operations in its FDIC-assisted transactions and third party claims alleging that the Company and the Bank, along with certain other financial institutions, have infringed certain business method patents claimed to be violated by the institutions use of web site authentication software and check imaging and processing software not authorized by the patent holder claimants. While the ultimate resolution of these various claims and proceedings cannot be determined at this time, management of the Company believes that such claims and proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the future results of operations, financial condition or liquidity of the Company.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A Risk Factors in the Company s 2010 annual report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 10, 2011.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company had no unregistered sales of equity securities and did not purchase any shares of its common stock during the period covered by this report.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable.

## Item 4. Reserved

Item 5. Other Information
Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits
Reference is made to the Exhibit Index set forth immediately following the signature page of this report.
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## SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.
DATE: November 8, 2011
/s/ Greg McKinney
Greg McKinney
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
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## Bank of the Ozarks, Inc.

## Exhibit Index

| Exhibit <br> Number |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 (i) | Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, among Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Oglethorpe Bank, Brunswick, Georgia, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Bank of the Ozarks (previously filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, filed with the Commission on January 20, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 2(i) (a) | Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2011, among Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of First Choice Community Bank, Dallas, Georgia, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Bank of the Ozarks (previously filed as Exhibit 2.1(a) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, filed with the Commission on May 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 2(i) (b) | Purchase and Assumption Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2011, among Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of The Park Avenue Bank, Valdosta, Georgia, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Bank of the Ozarks (previously filed as Exhibit 2.1(b) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as amended, filed with the Commission on May 4, 2011, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3 (i) (a) | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated May 22, 1997 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Commission on May 22, 1997, as amended, Commission File No. 333-27641, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3 (i) (b) | Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated December 9, 2003 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on March 12, 2004 for the year ended December 31, 2003, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3 (i) (c) | Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant dated December 10, 2008 (previously filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 10, 2008, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 3 (ii) | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, dated December 11, 2007 (previously filed as Exhibit 3(ii) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 11, 2007, and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 10.1 | Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and its directors and its executive officers (previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 21, 2011 and incorporated herein by this reference). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101 | The following materials from Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements *** |

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulations S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.
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