

VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP

Form 10-Q

August 08, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, DC 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013

OR

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 1-11277

**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

<b>New Jersey</b> (State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	<b>22-2477875</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
<b>1455 Valley Road</b>  <b>Wayne, NJ</b> (Address of principal executive office)	<b>07470</b> (Zip code)
<b>973-305-8800</b>  (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock (no par value), of which 199,448,985 shares were outstanding as of August 5, 2013.

**Table of Contents**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page Number</b>
<b>PART I</b>	
<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1.	
<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2.	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	45
Item 3.	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	83
Item 4.	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	83
<b>PART II</b>	
<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1.	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	84
Item 1A.	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	84
Item 2.	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	84
Item 6.	
<u>Exhibits</u>	84
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	85

**Table of Contents****PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)****(in thousands, except for share data)**

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 401,228	\$ 390,078
Interest bearing deposits with banks	299,097	463,022
Investment securities:		
Held to maturity (fair value of \$1,764,181 at June 30, 2013 and \$1,657,950 at December 31, 2012)	1,766,947	1,599,707
Available for sale	958,656	807,816
Trading securities	14,170	22,157
Total investment securities	2,739,773	2,429,680
Loans held for sale, at fair value	48,901	120,230
Non-covered loans	10,741,208	10,842,125
Covered loans	141,817	180,674
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(117,444)	(130,200)
Net loans	10,765,581	10,892,599
Premises and equipment, net	272,903	278,615
Bank owned life insurance	342,013	339,876
Accrued interest receivable	53,303	52,375
Due from customers on acceptances outstanding	3,775	3,323
FDIC loss-share receivable	40,686	44,996
Goodwill	428,234	428,234
Other intangible assets, net	39,002	31,123
Other assets	542,706	538,495
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 15,977,202</b>	<b>\$ 16,012,646</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 3,545,735	\$ 3,558,053
Interest bearing:		
Savings, NOW and money market	5,331,794	5,197,199
Time	2,365,093	2,508,766
Total deposits	11,242,622	11,264,018
Short-term borrowings	125,060	154,323
Long-term borrowings	2,695,897	2,697,299
	191,009	188,522

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (includes fair value of \$150,001 at June 30, 2013 and \$147,595 at December 31, 2012 for VNB Capital Trust I)

Bank acceptances outstanding	3,775	3,323
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	197,286	202,784
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>14,455,649</b>	<b>14,510,269</b>

### Shareholders Equity

Preferred stock, (no par value, authorized 30,000,000 shares; none issued)		
Common stock, (no par value, authorized 232,023,233 shares; issued 199,254,687 shares at June 30, 2013 and 198,499,275 shares at December 31, 2012)		
	69,707	69,494
Surplus	1,396,996	1,390,851
Retained earnings	94,002	93,495
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(39,152)	(50,909)
Treasury stock, at cost (0 common shares at June 30, 2013 and 61,004 common shares at December 31, 2012)		(554)
<b>Total Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>1,521,553</b>	<b>1,502,377</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 15,977,202</b>	<b>\$ 16,012,646</b>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except for share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 133,966	\$ 143,812	\$ 266,965	\$ 292,272
Interest and dividends on investment securities:				
Taxable	12,925	18,114	27,414	38,865
Tax-exempt	3,673	3,227	7,322	6,346
Dividends	1,504	1,674	3,184	3,425
Interest on federal funds sold and other short-term investments	302	31	518	86
Total interest income	152,370	166,858	305,403	340,994
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Interest on deposits:				
Savings, NOW and money market	4,369	4,690	9,071	10,044
Time	7,794	9,276	15,905	19,461
Interest on short-term borrowings	140	369	284	622
Interest on long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures	30,180	30,452	60,220	61,337
Total interest expense	42,483	44,787	85,480	91,464
<b>Net Interest Income</b>	109,887	122,071	219,923	249,530
Provision for credit losses	2,552	7,405	4,321	13,102
<b>Net Interest Income After Provision for Credit Losses</b>	107,335	114,666	215,602	236,428
<b>Non-Interest Income</b>				
Trust and investment services	2,257	1,984	4,234	3,758
Insurance commissions	4,062	3,283	8,052	8,719
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,822	6,086	11,512	12,032
Gains on securities transactions, net	41	1,204	3,999	1,047
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities				
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)		(550)		(550)
Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings		(550)		(550)
Trading (losses) gains, net	(270)	1,609	(2,472)	621
Fees from loan servicing	1,721	1,149	3,238	2,308
Gains on sales of loans, net	14,366	3,141	29,426	6,307
Gains on sales of assets, net	678	256	410	288
Bank owned life insurance	1,424	1,632	2,765	3,591
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable	(2,000)	(7,022)	(5,175)	(7,112)
Other	4,793	11,258	8,201	15,616
Total non-interest income	32,894	24,030	64,190	46,625

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Non-Interest Expense</b>				
Salary and employee benefits expense	47,733	51,214	98,305	102,240
Net occupancy and equipment expense	18,179	16,903	37,068	34,265
FDIC insurance assessment	5,574	3,208	8,927	6,827
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,927	2,532	3,530	4,490
Professional and legal fees	4,285	3,345	8,177	6,969
Advertising	1,850	1,841	3,652	3,529
Other	15,798	12,467	31,126	27,738
<b>Total non-interest expense</b>	<b>95,346</b>	<b>91,510</b>	<b>190,785</b>	<b>186,058</b>
<b>Income Before Income Taxes</b>	<b>44,883</b>	<b>47,186</b>	<b>89,007</b>	<b>96,995</b>
Income tax expense	10,961	14,366	23,775	29,644
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>\$ 33,922</b>	<b>\$ 32,820</b>	<b>\$ 65,232</b>	<b>\$ 67,351</b>
<b>Earnings Per Common Share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.34
Diluted	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.34
<b>Cash Dividends Declared per Common Share</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.33</b>
<b>Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding:</b>				
Basic	199,244,243	197,246,322	199,085,501	197,088,528
Diluted	199,244,243	197,250,168	199,085,501	197,105,638

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Net income	\$ 33,922	\$ 32,820	\$ 65,232	\$ 67,351
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
<b>Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities</b>				
Net (losses) gains arising during the period	(16,353)	2,891	(17,040)	7,117
Less reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income	(25)	(699)	(2,324)	(604)
Total	(16,378)	2,192	(19,364)	6,513
<b>Non-credit impairment losses on available for sale securities</b>				
Net change in non-credit impairment losses on securities	2,008	4,547	6,751	11,617
Less reclassification adjustment for credit impairment losses included in net income	(43)	304	(109)	114
Total	1,965	4,851	6,642	11,731
<b>Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives (cash flow hedges)</b>				
Net gains (losses) on derivatives arising during the period	2,036	(3,069)	1,959	(2,170)
Less reclassification adjustment for net losses included in net income	995	811	2,086	1,619
Total	3,031	(2,258)	4,045	(551)
<b>Defined benefit pension plan</b>				
Net gains arising during the period	18,784		18,769	
Amortization of prior service cost	133	103	261	206
Amortization of net loss	471	337	936	675
Recognition of loss due to curtailment	468		468	
Total	19,856	440	20,434	881
Total other comprehensive income	8,474	5,225	11,757	18,574
Total comprehensive income	\$ 42,396	\$ 38,045	\$ 76,989	\$ 85,925

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 65,232	\$ 67,351
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	9,886	8,955
Stock-based compensation	3,327	2,701
Provision for credit losses	4,321	13,102
Net amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on securities and borrowings	13,332	10,420
Amortization of other intangible assets	3,530	4,490
Gains on securities transactions, net	(3,999)	(1,047)
Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings		550
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	935,834	198,128
Gains on sales of loans, net	(29,426)	(6,307)
Originations of loans held for sale	(846,488)	(196,622)
Gains on sales of assets, net	(410)	(288)
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable (excluding reimbursements)	5,175	7,112
Net change in:		
Trading securities	7,987	(101)
Fair value of borrowings carried at fair value	2,406	(520)
Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(2,765)	(3,591)
Accrued interest receivable	(928)	2,781
Other assets	38,758	95,567
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(27,077)	(41,523)
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>178,695</b>	<b>161,158</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Net loan repayments (originations)	287,940	(461,749)
Loans purchased	(178,486)	(117,255)
Investment securities held to maturity:		
Purchases	(436,005)	(135,332)
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	282,888	329,201
Investment securities available for sale:		
Purchases	(283,736)	(49,012)
Sales	4,309	58,585
Maturities, calls and principal repayments	104,679	133,496
Death benefit proceeds from bank owned life insurance	628	1,689
Proceeds from sales of real estate property and equipment	6,574	4,139
Purchases of real estate property and equipment	(6,828)	(8,407)
(Payments to) reimbursements from the FDIC	(865)	7,537
Cash and cash equivalents acquired in acquisition		117,587
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(218,902)</b>	<b>(119,521)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net change in deposits	(21,396)	(181,716)
Net change in short-term borrowings	(29,263)	281,273

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Repayments of long-term borrowings	(1,000)	(1,000)
Redemption of junior subordinated debentures		(10,000)
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(64,492)	(61,730)
Common stock issued, net	3,583	4,207
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(112,568)	31,034
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(152,775)	72,671
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	853,100	379,049
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 700,325	\$ 451,720

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash payments for:		
Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 84,640	\$ 91,592
Federal and state income taxes	6,614	35,061
<b>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities:</b>		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	13,384	9,195
Acquisition:		
Non-cash assets acquired:		
Investment securities available for sale		275,650
Loans		1,088,421
Premises and equipment, net		9,457
Accrued interest receivable		5,294
Goodwill		109,758
Other intangible assets, net		8,050
Other assets		72,137
Total non-cash assets acquired	\$	\$ 1,568,767
Liabilities assumed:		
Deposits		1,380,293
Short-term borrowings		29,000
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts		15,645
Other liabilities		52,998
Total liabilities assumed		1,477,936
Net non-cash assets acquired	\$	\$ 90,831
Net cash and cash equivalents acquired in acquisition	\$	\$ 117,587
Common stock issued in acquisition	\$	\$ 208,418

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****Note 1. Basis of Presentation**

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Valley National Bancorp, a New Jersey Corporation (Valley), include the accounts of its commercial bank subsidiary, Valley National Bank (the Bank), and all of Valley's direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated. The accounting and reporting policies of Valley conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) and general practices within the financial services industry. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, Valley does not consolidate statutory trusts established for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and related trust common securities.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly Valley's financial position, results of operations and cash flows at June 30, 2013 and for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year.

In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of financial condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to change are: the allowance for loan losses; the evaluation of goodwill and other intangible assets, and investment securities for impairment; fair value measurements of assets and liabilities; and income taxes. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the consolidated financial statements in the period they are deemed necessary. While management uses its best judgment, actual amounts or results could differ significantly from those estimates. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these material estimates.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and industry practice have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the SEC. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Effective January 1, 2012, Valley acquired State Bancorp, Inc., the holding company for State Bank of Long Island, a commercial bank. See the supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activities for additional information, as well as Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Note 2. Earnings Per Common Share**

The following table shows the calculation of both basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands, except for share data)			
Net income	\$ 33,922	\$ 32,820	\$ 65,232	\$ 67,351
Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	199,244,243	197,246,322	199,085,501	197,088,528
Plus: Common stock equivalents		3,846		17,110

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	199,244,243	197,250,168	199,085,501	197,105,638
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Earnings per common share:

Basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.34
Diluted	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.34

**Table of Contents**

Common stock equivalents, in the table above, represent the effect of outstanding common stock options and warrants to purchase Valley's common shares, excluding those with exercise prices that exceed the average market price of Valley's common stock during the periods presented and therefore would have an anti-dilutive effect on the diluted earnings per common share calculation. Anti-dilutive common stock options and warrants totaled approximately 7.2 million shares for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, and 7.7 million shares for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

**Note 3. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

The following table presents the after-tax changes in the balances of each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

	Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss				Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available for Sale (AFS) Securities	Non-credit Impairment Losses on AFS Securities	Unrealized Gains and Losses on Derivatives (in thousands)	Defined Benefit Pension Plan	
<b>Balance at March 31, 2013</b>	\$ (2,080)	\$ 502	\$ (11,662)	\$ (34,386)	\$ (47,626)
Other comprehensive income before					
reclassifications	(16,353)	2,008	2,036	18,784	6,475
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income	(25)	(43)	995	1,072	1,999
Other comprehensive income, net	(16,378)	1,965	3,031	19,856	8,474
<b>Balance at June 30, 2013</b>	\$ (18,458)	\$ 2,467	\$ (8,631)	\$ (14,530)	\$ (39,152)
<b>Balance at December 31, 2012</b>	\$ 906	\$ (4,175)	\$ (12,676)	\$ (34,964)	\$ (50,909)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(17,040)	6,751	1,959	18,769	10,439
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income	(2,324)	(109)	2,086	1,665	1,318
Other comprehensive income, net	(19,364)	6,642	4,045	20,434	11,757
<b>Balance at June 30, 2013</b>	\$ (18,458)	\$ 2,467	\$ (8,631)	\$ (14,530)	\$ (39,152)

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive loss on a gross and net of tax basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Income Statement Line Item
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	(in thousands)		
Unrealized gains on AFS securities before tax	\$ 41	\$ 3,999	Gains (losses) on securities transactions, net
Tax effect	(16)	(1,675)	
Total net of tax	25	2,324	
Non-credit impairment losses on AFS securities before tax:			
Accretion of credit loss impairment due to an increase in expected cash flows	75	188	Interest and dividends on investment securities (taxable)
Tax effect	(32)	(79)	
Total net of tax	43	109	
Unrealized losses on derivatives (cash flow hedges) before tax	(1,714)	(3,594)	Interest expense
Tax effect	719	1,508	
Total net of tax	(995)	(2,086)	
Defined benefit pension plan:			
Amortization of prior service cost	(241)	(443)*	
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(811)	(1,605)*	
Recognition of loss due to curtailment	(750)	(750)*	
Total before tax	(1,802)	(2,798)	
Tax effect	730	1,133	
Total net of tax	(1,072)	(1,665)	
Total reclassifications, net of tax	\$ (1,999)	\$ (1,318)	

\* These accumulated other comprehensive loss components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost.

**Note 4. New Authoritative Accounting Guidance**

Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists provides guidance on financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss (NOL) carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. This ASU applies to all entities with unrecognized tax benefits that also have tax loss or tax credit carryforwards in the same tax jurisdiction as of the reporting date. The ASU No. 2013-11 is effective for public entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those years, with an

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

early adoption permitted and an option to apply the amendments retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2013-11 is not expected to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which requires disclosure of the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on net income line items only for those items that are reported in their entirety in net income in the period of reclassification. For reclassification items that are not reclassified in their entirety into net income, a cross reference is required to other U.S. GAAP disclosures. The ASU No. 2013-02 was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2013-02 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for related disclosures.

ASU No. 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution, addresses subsequent measurement of an indemnification asset recognized in a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution that includes a loss-sharing agreement. When an entity recognizes an indemnification asset (in



## **Table of Contents**

accordance with Subtopic 805-20) as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution and subsequently a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the indemnification asset occurs (as a result of a change in cash flows expected to be collected on the assets subject to indemnification), the entity should subsequently account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. Any amortization of changes in value should be limited to the contractual term of the indemnification agreement (i.e., the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets). ASU No. 2012-06 was effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012 with an early adoption permitted, and should be applied prospectively. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2012-06 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, requires an entity to disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments, such as sales and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing/lending arrangements, and derivative instruments that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and/or subject an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement regardless of whether they are presented net in the financial statements. ASU No. 2011-11 was effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and it is required to be applied retrospectively. Valley's adoption of ASU No. 2011-11 did not have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements. See Note 14 for related disclosures.

### **Note 5. Fair Value Measurement of Assets and Liabilities**

ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1 Unadjusted exchange quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities, or identical liabilities traded as assets that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly (i.e., quoted prices on similar assets), for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

**Table of Contents****Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring and Non-recurring Basis**

The following tables present the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy as reported on the consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The assets presented under nonrecurring fair value measurements in the table below are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments under certain circumstances (e.g., when an impairment loss is recognized).

	June 30, 2013	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (in thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Recurring fair value measurements:</b>				
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities:				
Available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 89,786	\$ 89,786	\$	\$
U.S. government agency securities	55,808		55,808	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	39,234		39,234	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	573,827		545,687	28,140
Trust preferred securities	68,413		17,441	50,972
Corporate and other debt securities	84,246	26,721	57,525	
Equity securities	47,342	26,675	20,667	
Total available for sale	958,656	143,182	736,362	79,112
Trading securities	14,170		14,170	
Loans held for sale <sup>(1)</sup>	48,901		48,901	
Other assets <sup>(2)</sup>	7,425		7,425	
Total assets	\$ 1,029,152	\$ 143,182	\$ 806,858	\$ 79,112
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 150,001	\$ 150,001	\$	\$
Other liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>	18,971		18,971	
Total liabilities	\$ 168,972	\$ 150,001	\$ 18,971	\$
<b>Non-recurring fair value measurements:</b>				
Collateral dependent impaired loans <sup>(4)</sup>	41,835			41,835
Loan servicing rights	5,558			5,558
Foreclosed assets	7,192			7,192
Total	\$ 54,585	\$	\$	\$ 54,585

**Table of Contents**

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:			
	December 31, 2012	Quoted Prices in Active Markets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
		for Identical Assets (Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<b>Recurring fair value measurements:</b>				
<b>Assets</b>				
Investment securities:				
Available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 97,625	\$ 97,625	\$	\$
U.S. government agency securities	45,762		45,762	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	16,627		16,627	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	510,154		478,783	31,371
Trust preferred securities	57,432		17,129	40,303
Corporate and other debt securities	30,708	28,444	2,264	
Equity securities	49,508	28,608	20,900	
Total available for sale	807,816	154,677	581,465	71,674
Trading securities	22,157		22,157	
Loans held for sale <sup>(1)</sup>	120,230		120,230	
Other assets <sup>(2)</sup>	7,916		7,916	
Total assets	\$ 958,119	\$ 154,677	\$ 731,768	\$ 71,674
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 147,595	\$ 147,595	\$	\$
Other liabilities <sup>(2)</sup>	26,594		26,594	
Total liabilities	\$ 174,189	\$ 147,595	\$ 26,594	\$
<b>Non-recurring fair value measurements:</b>				
Collateral dependent impaired loans <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 65,231	\$	\$	\$ 65,231
Loan servicing rights	16,201			16,201
Foreclosed assets	33,251			33,251
Total	\$ 114,683	\$	\$	\$ 114,683

<sup>(1)</sup> Loans held for sale (which consist of residential mortgages) are carried at fair value and had contractual unpaid principal balances totaling approximately \$49.1 million and \$115.4 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup> Derivative financial instruments are included in this category.

<sup>(3)</sup> The junior subordinated debentures had contractual unpaid principal obligations totaling \$146.7 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

<sup>(4)</sup> Excludes covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions and other purchased credit-impaired loans acquired in the first quarter of 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are summarized below:

	Available for Sale Securities			
	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Balance, beginning of the period</b>	\$ 77,920	\$ 84,720	\$ 71,674	\$ 77,311
Total net gains (losses) for the period included in:				
Net income		(550)		(550)
Other comprehensive income	3,406	7,847	11,439	18,954
Settlements	(2,214)	(2,926)	(4,001)	(6,624)
<b>Balance, end of the period</b>	\$ 79,112	\$ 89,091	\$ 79,112	\$ 89,091
<b>Change in unrealized losses for the period included in earnings for assets held at the end of the reporting period *</b>	\$	\$ (550)	\$	\$ (550)

\* Represents the net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings for the period. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no transfers of assets between Level 1 and Level 2.

There have been no material changes in the valuation methodologies used at June 30, 2013 from December 31, 2012.

The following valuation techniques were used for financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis. All the valuation techniques described below apply to the unpaid principal balance excluding any accrued interest or dividends at the measurement date. Interest income and expense are recorded within the consolidated statements of income depending on the nature of the instrument using the effective interest method based on acquired discount or premium.

**Available for sale and trading securities.** All U.S. Treasury securities, certain corporate and other debt securities, and certain common and preferred equity securities (including certain trust preferred securities) are reported at fair values utilizing Level 1 inputs. The majority of other investment securities are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The prices for these instruments are obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants with whom Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things. Management reviews the data and assumptions used in pricing the securities by its third party provider to ensure the highest level of significant inputs are derived from market observable data. For certain securities, the inputs used by either dealer market participants or an independent pricing service, may be derived from unobservable market information (Level 3 inputs). In these instances, Valley evaluates the appropriateness and quality of the assumption and the resulting price. In addition, Valley reviews the volume and level of activity for all available for sale and trading securities and attempts to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary, to estimate fair value and this results in fair values based on Level 3 inputs. In determining fair value, Valley utilizes unobservable inputs which reflect Valley's own assumptions about the inputs that market participants would use in pricing each security. In developing its assertion of market participant assumptions, Valley utilizes the best information that is both reasonable and available without undue cost and effort.

In calculating the fair value for the available for sale securities under Level 3, Valley prepared present value cash flow models for certain private label mortgage-backed securities and trust preferred securities. The cash flows for the residential mortgage-backed securities incorporated the expected cash flow of each security adjusted for default rates,



**Table of Contents**

loss severities and prepayments of the individual loans collateralizing the security. The cash flows for trust preferred securities reflected the contractual cash flow, adjusted if necessary for potential changes in the amount or timing of cash flows due to the underlying credit worthiness of each issuer.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 inputs used to measure the fair value of these securities at June 30, 2013:

Security Type	Valuation		Range	Weighted Average
	Technique	Unobservable Input		
Private label mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate	14.7 - 25.2%	19.3%
		Default rate	4.3 - 12.2	7.0
		Loss severity	40.2 - 59.8	51.4
Single issuer trust preferred securities	Discounted cash flow	Loss severity	0.0 - 100.0%	20.3%
		Market credit spreads	4.8 - 5.4	5.2
		Discount rate	5.1 - 7.9	6.7

Significant increases or decreases in any of the unobservable inputs in the table above in isolation would result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement of the securities. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the default rate is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

For the Level 3 available for sale private label mortgage-backed securities, cash flow assumptions incorporated independent third party market participant data based on vintage year for each security. The discount rate utilized in determining the present value of cash flows for the mortgage-backed securities was arrived at by combining the yield on orderly transactions for similar maturity government sponsored mortgage-backed securities with (i) the historical average risk premium of similar structured private label securities, (ii) a risk premium reflecting current market conditions, including liquidity risk and (iii) if applicable, a forecasted loss premium derived from the expected cash flows of each security. The estimated cash flows for each private label mortgage-backed security were then discounted at the aforementioned effective rate to determine the fair value. The quoted prices received from either market participants or independent pricing services are weighted with the internal price estimate to determine the fair value of each instrument.

For two single issuer trust preferred securities in the Level 3 available for sale trust preferred securities, the resulting estimated future cash flows were discounted at a yield, comprised of market rates applicable to the index of the underlying security, estimated market credit spread for similar non-rated securities and an illiquidity premium, if appropriate. The discount rate for each security was applied to three alternative cash flow scenarios, and subsequently weighted based on management's expectations. The three cash flow alternatives for each security assume a scenario with full issuer repayment, a scenario with a partial issuer repayment and a scenario with a full issuer default.

For two pooled securities in the Level 3 available for sale trust preferred securities category, the resulting estimated future cash flows were discounted at a yield determined by reference to similarly structured securities for which observable orderly transactions occurred. The discount rate for each security was applied using a pricing matrix based on credit, security type and maturity characteristics to determine the fair value. The fair value calculations for both securities are received from an independent valuation advisor. In validating the fair value calculation from an independent valuation advisor, Valley reviews the accuracy of the inputs and the appropriateness of the unobservable inputs utilized in the valuation to ensure the fair value calculation is reasonable from a market participant perspective.

**Loans held for sale.** The conforming residential mortgage loans originated for sale are reported at fair value using Level 2 inputs. The fair values were calculated utilizing quoted prices for similar assets in active markets. To determine these fair values, the mortgages held for sale are put into multiple tranches, or pools, based on the coupon rate and maturity of each mortgage. The market prices for each tranche are obtained from both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The market prices represent a delivery price, which reflects the underlying price each institution would pay Valley for

**Table of Contents**

an immediate sale of an aggregate pool of mortgages. The market prices received from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are then averaged and interpolated or extrapolated, where required, to calculate the fair value of each tranche. Depending upon the time elapsed since the origination of each loan held for sale, non-performance risk and changes therein were addressed in the estimate of fair value based upon the delinquency data provided to both Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac for market pricing and changes in market credit spreads. Non-performance risk did not materially impact the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 based on the short duration these assets were held, and the high credit quality of these loans.

**Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts.** The junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I are reported at fair value using Level 1 inputs. The fair value was estimated using quoted prices in active markets for similar assets, specifically the quoted price of the VNB Capital Trust I preferred stock traded under ticker symbol VLYPRA on the New York Stock Exchange. The preferred stock and Valley's junior subordinated debentures issued to the Trust have identical financial terms and therefore, the preferred stock's quoted price moves in a similar manner to the estimated fair value and current settlement price of the junior subordinated debentures. The preferred stock's quoted price includes market considerations for Valley's credit and non-performance risk and is deemed to represent the transfer price that would be used if the junior subordinated debenture were assumed by a third party. Valley's potential credit risk did not materially impact the fair value measurement of the junior subordinated debentures at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

**Derivatives.** Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The fair value of Valley's derivatives are determined using third party prices that are based on discounted cash flows analyses using observed market inputs, such as the LIBOR and Overnight Index Swap rate curves. The fair value of mortgage banking derivatives, consisting of interest rate lock commitments to fund residential mortgage loans and forward commitments for the future delivery of such loans (including certain loans held for sale at June 30, 2013), is determined based on the current market prices for similar instruments provided by Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. The fair values of most of the derivatives incorporate credit valuation adjustments, which consider the impact of any credit enhancements to the contracts, to account for potential nonperformance risk of Valley and its counterparties. The credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of Valley's derivatives at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis**

The following valuation techniques were used for certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, including impaired loans reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral, loan servicing rights, other real estate owned and other repossessed assets (upon initial recognition or subsequent impairment) as described below.

**Impaired loans.** Certain impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral and are commonly referred to as collateral dependent impaired loans. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs, consisting of individual appraisals that are significantly adjusted based on customized discounting criteria. At June 30, 2013, non-current appraisals were discounted up to 13.8 percent based on specific market data by location and property type. During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, collateral dependent impaired loans were individually re-measured and reported at fair value through direct loan charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses and/or a specific valuation allowance allocation based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. The collateral dependent loan charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses totaled \$5.2 million and \$13.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2013, collateral dependent impaired loans with a total recorded investment of \$49.5 million were reduced by specific valuation allowance allocations totaling \$7.7 million to a reported total net carrying amount of \$41.8 million.

**Loan servicing rights.** Fair values for each risk-stratified group of loan servicing rights are calculated using a fair value model from a third party vendor that requires inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (Level 3). The fair value model is based on various assumptions, including but not limited to, prepayment speeds, internal rate of return (discount rate), servicing cost, ancillary income, float rate, tax rate, and inflation. The prepayment speed and the discount rate are considered two of the most significant inputs in the model. At June 30, 2013, the fair value model used prepayment speeds (stated as constant prepayment rates) from 6 percent up to 24 percent and a discount rate of 8 percent for the valuation of the loan servicing rights. A significant degree of judgment is involved in valuing the loan servicing rights using Level 3 inputs. The use of different assumptions could have a

**Table of Contents**

significant positive or negative effect on the fair value estimate. Impairment charges are recognized on loan servicing rights when the amortized cost of a risk-stratified group of loan servicing rights exceeds the estimated fair value. Valley recognized net recoveries of impairment charges totaling \$759 thousand and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

**Foreclosed assets.** Certain foreclosed assets (consisting of other real estate owned and other repossessed assets), upon initial recognition and transfer from loans, are re-measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan losses based upon the fair value of the foreclosed assets. The fair value of a foreclosed asset, upon initial recognition, is typically estimated using Level 3 inputs, consisting of an appraisal that is adjusted based on customized discounting criteria, similar to the criteria used for impaired loans described above. The discounts on appraisals of foreclosed assets were immaterial at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, foreclosed assets included \$7.2 million of assets that were measured at fair value upon initial recognition or subsequently re-measured during the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The foreclosed assets charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses totaled \$1.6 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The re-measurement of repossessed assets at fair value subsequent to their initial recognition resulted in a loss of \$570 thousand within non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

**Other Fair Value Disclosures**

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from fair value changes included in income before income taxes for financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Reported in Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	Reported in Consolidated Statements of Income	Gains (Losses) on Change in Fair Value			
		Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
		2013	2012	2013	2012
		(in thousands)			
<b>Assets:</b>					
Available for sale securities	Net impairment losses on securities	\$	\$ (550)	\$	\$ (550)
Trading securities	Trading (losses) gains, net	(36)	(151)	(66)	101
Loans held for sale	Gains on sales of loans, net	14,366	3,141	29,426	6,307
<b>Liabilities:</b>					
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts	Trading (losses) gains, net	(234)	1,760	(2,406)	520
		\$ 14,096	\$ 4,200	\$ 26,954	\$ 6,378

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis.

The fair value estimates presented in the following table were based on pertinent market data and relevant information on the financial instruments available as of the valuation date. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire portfolio of financial instruments. Because no market exists for a portion of the financial instruments, fair value estimates may be based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. For instance, Valley has certain fee-generating business lines (e.g., its mortgage servicing operation, trust and investment management departments) that were not considered in these estimates since these activities are not financial instruments. In addition, the tax implications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.



**Table of Contents**

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments not measured and not reported at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Fair Value Hierarchy	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
		Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
(in thousands)					
<b>Financial assets</b>					
Cash and due from banks	Level 1	\$ 401,228	\$ 401,228	\$ 390,078	\$ 390,078
Interest bearing deposits with banks	Level 1	299,097	299,097	463,022	463,022
Investment securities held to maturity:					
U.S. Treasury securities	Level 1	99,792	108,734	99,869	115,329
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	Level 2	542,154	542,614	506,473	531,966
Residential mortgage-backed securities	Level 2	969,341	963,575	813,647	838,116
Trust preferred securities	Level 2	103,453	91,348	127,505	113,657
Corporate and other debt securities	Level 2	52,207	57,910	52,213	58,882
Total investment securities held to maturity		1,766,947	1,764,181	1,599,707	1,657,950
Net loans	Level 3	10,765,581	10,734,500	10,892,599	10,908,742
Accrued interest receivable	Level 1	53,303	53,303	52,375	52,375
Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock <sup>(1)</sup>	Level 1	138,359	138,359	138,533	138,533
<b>Financial liabilities</b>					
Deposits without stated maturities	Level 1	8,877,529	8,877,529	8,755,252	8,755,252
Deposits with stated maturities	Level 2	2,365,093	2,404,271	2,508,766	2,563,726
Short-term borrowings	Level 1	125,060	125,060	154,323	154,323
Long-term borrowings	Level 2	2,695,897	2,994,601	2,697,299	3,100,173
Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts	Level 2	41,008	42,731	40,927	40,776
Accrued interest payable <sup>(2)</sup>	Level 1	16,766	16,766	15,917	15,917

<sup>(1)</sup> Included in other assets.

<sup>(2)</sup> Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

The following methods and assumptions that were used to estimate the fair value of other financial assets and financial liabilities in the table above:

**Cash and due from banks and interest bearing deposits with banks.** The carrying amount is considered to be a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the short maturity of these items.

**Investment securities held to maturity.** Fair values are based on prices obtained through an independent pricing service or dealer market participants with whom Valley has historically transacted both purchases and sales of investment securities. Prices obtained from these sources include prices derived from market quotations and matrix pricing. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things (Level 2 inputs). Additionally, Valley reviews the volume and level of activity for all classes of held to maturity securities and attempts to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary. If applicable, the adjustment to fair value is derived based on present value cash flow model projections prepared by Valley utilizing assumptions similar to those incorporated by market participants.

**Loans.** Fair values of non-covered loans (i.e., loans which are not subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) and covered loans (i.e., loans subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) are estimated by discounting the projected future cash flows using market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest-rate risk inherent in the loan. The discount rate is a product of both the applicable index and credit spread, subject to the estimated current new loan interest rates. The credit spread component is static for all maturities and may not necessarily reflect

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

the value of estimating all actual cash flows re-pricing. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Fair values estimated in this manner do not fully incorporate an exit-price approach to fair value, but instead are based on a comparison to current market rates for comparable loans.

## **Table of Contents**

**Accrued interest receivable and payable.** The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

**Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock.** FRB and FHLB stock are non-marketable equity securities and are reported at their redeemable carrying amounts, which approximate the fair value.

**Deposits.** The carrying amounts of deposits without stated maturities (i.e., non-interest bearing, savings, NOW, and money market deposits) approximate their estimated fair value. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using estimated rates currently offered for alternative funding sources of similar remaining maturity.

**Short-term and long-term borrowings.** The carrying amounts of certain short-term borrowings, including securities sold under agreement to repurchase (and from time to time, federal funds purchased and FHLB borrowings) approximate their fair values because they frequently re-price to a market rate. The fair values of other short-term and long-term borrowings are estimated by obtaining quoted market prices of the identical or similar financial instruments when available. When quoted prices are unavailable, the fair values of the borrowings are estimated by discounting the estimated future cash flows using current market discount rates of financial instruments with similar characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

**Junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts (excluding VNB Capital Trust I).** There is no active market for the trust preferred securities issued by Valley capital trusts, except for the securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I whose related debentures are carried at fair value. Therefore, the fair value of debentures not carried at fair value is estimated utilizing the income approach, whereby the expected cash flows, over the remaining estimated life of the security, are discounted using Valley's credit spread over the current yield on a similar maturity of U.S. Treasury security or the three-month LIBOR for the variable rate indexed debentures (Level 2 inputs). Valley's credit spread was calculated based on the exchange quoted price for Valley's trust preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I.

### **Note 6. Investment Securities**

As of June 30, 2013, Valley had approximately \$1.8 billion, \$958.7 million, and \$14.2 million in held to maturity, available for sale, and trading investment securities, respectively. Valley records impairment charges on its investment securities when the decline in fair value is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities; decline in the creditworthiness of the issuer; absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities; adverse changes in business climate; adverse actions by regulators; prolonged decline in value of equity investments; or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on Valley's investment portfolio and may result in other-than-temporary impairment on certain investment securities in future periods. Valley's investment portfolios include private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (including three pooled trust preferred securities), corporate bonds primarily issued by banks, and perpetual preferred and common equity securities issued by banks. These investments may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges by Valley as a result of the unpredictable nature of the U.S. economy and its potential negative effect on the future performance of the security issuers and, if applicable, the underlying mortgage loan collateral of the security. See the Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis section below for further discussion.

**Table of Contents****Held to Maturity**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities held to maturity at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(in thousands)				
<b>June 30, 2013</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,792	\$ 8,942	\$	\$ 108,734
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	542,154	10,120	(9,660)	542,614
Residential mortgage-backed securities	969,341	13,459	(19,225)	963,575
Trust preferred securities	103,453	377	(12,482)	91,348
Corporate and other debt securities	52,207	5,704	(1)	57,910
<b>Total investment securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 1,766,947</b>	<b>\$ 38,602</b>	<b>\$ (41,368)</b>	<b>\$ 1,764,181</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,869	\$ 15,460	\$	\$ 115,329
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	506,473	25,690	(197)	531,966
Residential mortgage-backed securities	813,647	24,824	(355)	838,116
Trust preferred securities	127,505	930	(14,778)	113,657
Corporate and other debt securities	52,213	6,669		58,882
<b>Total investment securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 1,599,707</b>	<b>\$ 73,573</b>	<b>\$ (15,330)</b>	<b>\$ 1,657,950</b>

The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities held to maturity at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Less than Twelve Months		More than Twelve Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
(in thousands)						
<b>June 30, 2013</b>						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 130,784	\$ (9,564)	\$ 1,345	\$ (96)	\$ 132,129	\$ (9,660)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	520,005	(19,225)			520,005	(19,225)
Trust preferred securities	15,916	(259)	51,159	(12,223)	67,075	(12,482)
Corporate and other debt securities	49	(1)			49	(1)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 666,754</b>	<b>\$ (29,049)</b>	<b>\$ 52,504</b>	<b>\$ (12,319)</b>	<b>\$ 719,258</b>	<b>\$ (41,368)</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 15,518	\$ (197)	\$	\$	\$ 15,518	\$ (197)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	80,152	(355)			80,152	(355)
Trust preferred securities	28,690	(208)	48,802	(14,570)	77,492	(14,778)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 124,360</b>	<b>\$ (760)</b>	<b>\$ 48,802</b>	<b>\$ (14,570)</b>	<b>\$ 173,162</b>	<b>\$ (15,330)</b>

The unrealized losses on investment securities held to maturity are primarily due to changes in interest rates (including, in certain cases, changes in credit spreads) and, in some cases, lack of liquidity in the marketplace. The total number of security positions in the securities held to maturity

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

portfolio in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2013 was 127 as compared to 34 at December 31, 2012. The recent increase in long-term market interest rates materially decreased the fair value of lower yielding obligations of states and political subdivisions ( municipal bonds ) and residential mortgage-backed securities classified as held to maturity. The municipal bonds are all investment grade with no bankruptcies or defaults.

The unrealized losses for the residential mortgage-backed securities category of the held to maturity portfolio at June 30, 2013 are all within the less than twelve months category and all relate to investment grade mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Ginnie Mae and government sponsored enterprises.

**Table of Contents**

The unrealized losses for trust preferred securities at June 30, 2013 primarily related to 4 non-rated single-issuer securities, issued by bank holding companies. All single-issuer trust preferred securities classified as held to maturity are paying in accordance with their terms, have no deferrals of interest or defaults and, if applicable, the issuers meet the regulatory capital requirements to be considered well-capitalized institutions at June 30, 2013.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2013 included in the table above represents other-than-temporary impairment as management mainly attributes the declines in fair value to changes in interest rates, widening credit spreads, and lack of liquidity in the market place, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley does not have the intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or maturity.

As of June 30, 2013, the fair value of investments held to maturity that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit, and for other purposes required by law, was \$759.5 million.

The contractual maturities of investments in debt securities held to maturity at June 30, 2013 are set forth in the table below. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in residential mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, residential mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

	<b>June 30, 2013</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	<b>(in thousands)</b>	
Due in one year	\$ 147,705	\$ 148,150
Due after one year through five years	41,978	46,652
Due after five years through ten years	238,461	250,285
Due after ten years	369,462	355,519
Residential mortgage-backed securities	969,341	963,575
 Total investment securities held to maturity	 \$ 1,766,947	 \$ 1,764,181

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted-average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities held to maturity was 5.0 years at June 30, 2013.

**Table of Contents****Available for Sale**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
<b>June 30, 2013</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,839	\$	\$ (10,053)	\$ 89,786
U.S. government agency securities	54,917	1,504	(613)	55,808
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	40,608	791	(2,165)	39,234
Residential mortgage-backed securities	590,225	4,414	(20,812)	573,827
Trust preferred securities*	67,973	6,088	(5,648)	68,413
Corporate and other debt securities	84,523	2,008	(2,285)	84,246
Equity securities	48,020	1,220	(1,898)	47,342
<b>Total investment securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 986,105</b>	<b>\$ 16,025</b>	<b>\$ (43,474)</b>	<b>\$ 958,656</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 99,843	\$	\$ (2,218)	\$ 97,625
U.S. government agency securities	44,215	1,547		45,762
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	16,210	417		16,627
Residential mortgage-backed securities	506,695	6,818	(3,359)	510,154
Trust preferred securities*	68,931	240	(11,739)	57,432
Corporate and other debt securities	28,274	2,728	(294)	30,708
Equity securities	49,306	2,071	(1,869)	49,508
<b>Total investment securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 813,474</b>	<b>\$ 13,821</b>	<b>\$ (19,479)</b>	<b>\$ 807,816</b>

\* Includes three pooled trust preferred securities, principally collateralized by securities issued by banks and insurance companies. The age of unrealized losses and fair value of related securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Less than Twelve Months		More than Twelve Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
	(in thousands)					
<b>June 30, 2013</b>						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 89,786	\$ (10,053)	\$	\$	\$ 89,786	\$ (10,053)
U.S. government agency securities	28,188	(613)			28,188	(613)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	24,204	(2,165)			24,204	(2,165)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	442,850	(18,946)	13,566	(1,866)	456,416	(20,812)
Trust preferred securities	446	(10)	32,969	(5,638)	33,415	(5,648)
Corporate and other debt securities	54,149	(2,192)	2,407	(93)	56,556	(2,285)
Equity securities	1,144	(41)	12,601	(1,857)	13,745	(1,898)

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 640,767	\$ (34,020)	\$ 61,543	\$ (9,454)	\$ 702,310	\$ (43,474)
-------	------------	-------------	-----------	------------	------------	-------------

**December 31, 2012**

U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 97,625	\$ (2,218)	\$	\$	\$ 97,625	\$ (2,218)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	269,895	(1,256)	21,089	(2,103)	290,984	(3,359)
Trust preferred securities	760	(511)	27,865	(11,228)	28,625	(11,739)
Corporate and other debt securities	5,394	(58)	2,264	(236)	7,658	(294)
Equity securities	969	(75)	12,664	(1,794)	13,633	(1,869)
Total	\$ 374,643	\$ (4,118)	\$ 63,882	\$ (15,361)	\$ 438,525	\$ (19,479)



**Table of Contents**

The unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale are primarily due to changes in interest rates (including, in certain cases, changes in credit spreads) and, in some cases, lack of liquidity in the marketplace. The total number of security positions in the securities available for sale portfolio in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2013 was 108 as compared to 74 at December 31, 2012. The recent increase in long-term market interest rates materially decreased the fair value of lower yielding obligations of states and political subdivisions ( municipal bonds ) and residential mortgage-backed securities classified as available for sale. The municipal bonds are all investment grade with no bankruptcies or defaults.

The unrealized losses within the residential mortgage-backed securities category of the available for sale portfolio totaled \$20.8 million of at June 30, 2013 and primarily related to \$18.4 million in unrealized losses on 25 investment grade residential mortgage-backed securities mainly issued by Ginnie Mae, and a \$1.6 million unrealized loss on one non-investment grade private label mortgage-backed security.

The unrealized losses for trust preferred securities at June 30, 2013 in the table above relate to 3 pooled trust preferred and 9 single-issuer bank issued trust preferred securities. The unrealized losses include \$4.3 million attributable to 3 pooled trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$16.5 million and a fair value of \$12.2 million and \$469 thousand attributable to trust preferred securities of one issuance by one deferring bank holding company with an amortized cost of \$16.5 million and a fair value of \$16.0 million. The three pooled trust preferred securities included one security with an unrealized loss of \$2.6 million and an investment grade rating at June 30, 2013. The other two pooled trust preferred securities had non-investment grade ratings and were initially other-than-temporarily impaired in 2008 with additional estimated credit losses recognized during the period 2009 through 2011. The trust preferred issuances by one deferring holding company were initially other-than-temporarily impaired in 2011 with additional estimated credit impairments recognized during 2012. See Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Analysis section below for more details. All of the remaining single-issuer trust preferred securities are all paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults and, if applicable, meet the regulatory capital requirements to be considered well-capitalized institutions at June 30, 2013.

The unrealized losses existing for more than twelve months for equity securities are mostly related to two perpetual preferred security positions with a combined \$10.0 million amortized cost and a \$1.6 million unrealized loss. At June 30, 2013, these perpetual preferred securities had investment grade ratings and are currently performing and paying quarterly dividends.

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of June 30, 2013 represents an other-than-temporary impairment, as management mainly attributes the declines in value to changes in interest rates and recent market volatility and wider credit spreads, not credit quality or other factors. Based on a comparison of the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost, management believes there are no credit losses on these securities. Valley has no intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that Valley will be required to sell, the securities contained in the table above before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or, if necessary, maturity.

As of June 30, 2013, the fair value of securities available for sale that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, lines of credit, and for other purposes required by law, was \$421.9 million.

**Table of Contents**

The contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 are set forth in the following table. Maturities may differ from contractual maturities in residential mortgage-backed securities because the mortgages underlying the securities may be prepaid without any penalties. Therefore, residential mortgage-backed securities are not included in the maturity categories in the following summary.

	<b>June 30, 2013</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	<b>(in thousands)</b>	
Due in one year	\$ 720	\$ 724
Due after one year through five years	44,295	43,520
Due after five years through ten years	120,195	117,815
Due after ten years	182,650	175,428
Residential mortgage-backed securities	590,225	573,827
Equity securities	48,020	47,342
<b>Total investment securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$ 986,105</b>	<b>\$ 958,656</b>

Actual maturities of debt securities may differ from those presented above since certain obligations provide the issuer the right to call or prepay the obligation prior to scheduled maturity without penalty.

The weighted average remaining expected life for residential mortgage-backed securities available for sale at June 30, 2013 was 3.2 years.

**Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis**

To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, Valley considers several factors that include, but are not limited to the following:

The severity and duration of the decline, including the causes of the decline in fair value, such as an issuer's credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;

Adverse conditions specifically related to the issuer of the security, an industry, or geographic area;

Failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency or, if applicable, any regulatory actions impacting the security issuer;

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date;

Our ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term; and

Our intent to sell debt security investments, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis.

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not we expect to collect all contractual cash flows.

In assessing the level of other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit loss for debt securities, Valley compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. The portion of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The total other-than-temporary impairment loss is presented in the consolidated statements of income, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. Subsequent assessments may result in additional estimated credit losses on previously impaired securities. These additional estimated credit losses are recorded as reclassifications from the portion of other-than-temporary impairment previously recognized in other comprehensive income or loss to earnings in the period of such assessments. The amortized cost basis of an impaired debt security is reduced by the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

## Table of Contents

For residential mortgage-backed securities, Valley estimates loss projections for each security by stressing the cash flows from the individual loans collateralizing the security using expected default rates, loss severities, and prepayment speeds, in conjunction with the underlying credit enhancement (if applicable) for each security. Based on collateral and origination vintage specific assumptions, a range of possible cash flows is identified to determine whether other-than-temporary impairment exists. No other-than-temporary impairment losses were recognized as a result of our impairment analysis of these securities at June 30, 2013.

For the single-issuer trust preferred securities and corporate and other debt securities, Valley reviews each portfolio to determine if all the securities are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Over the past several years, an increasing number of banking institutions have been required to defer trust preferred payments and various banking institutions have been put in receivership by the FDIC. A deferral event by a bank holding company for which Valley holds trust preferred securities may require the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge if Valley determines that it is more likely than not that all contractual interest and principal cash flows may not be collected. Among other factors, the probability of the collection of all interest and principal determined by Valley in its impairment analysis declines if there is an increase in the estimated deferral period of the issuer. Additionally, a FDIC receivership for any single-issuer would result in an impairment and significant loss. Including the other factors outlined above, Valley analyzes the performance of the issuers on a quarterly basis, including a review of performance data from the issuers' most recent bank regulatory report, if applicable, to assess their credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. All of the issuers had capital ratios at June 30, 2013 that were at or above the minimum amounts to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution, if applicable, and/or have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the trust preferred securities.

Within the available for sale portfolio, Valley has other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities issued by one deferring bank holding company with a combined amortized cost and fair value of \$41.8 million and \$47.3 million, respectively, after credit impairment charges prior to June 30, 2013. The issuer of the trust preferred securities has deferred interest payments on these securities since late 2009 as required by an operating agreement with its bank regulators. In assessing whether a credit loss exists for the securities of the deferring issuer, Valley considers numerous other factors, including but not limited to, such factors highlighted in the bullet points above. From the dates of deferral up to and including the bank holding company's most recent regulatory filing, the bank issuer continued to accrue and capitalize the interest owed, but has not remitted the interest to its trust preferred security holders. Additionally, the bank subsidiary of the issuer continued to report capital ratios that were above the minimum amounts to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution in its most recent regulatory filing. During the fourth quarter of 2011, Valley estimated a decline in the expected cash flows from the securities as it lengthened the estimate of the timeframe over which it could reasonably anticipate receiving such cash flows, and during the third quarter of 2012, Valley estimated an additional decline in cash flows under one of three weighted alternative scenarios utilized to assess impairment of the securities. The declines in estimated cash flows, after careful assessment of all other available factors, resulted in credit impairment charges of \$18.3 million and \$4.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2011 and third quarter of 2012, respectively. Valley no longer accrued interest on the securities after the initial impairment in 2011. No additional impairment was recognized as a result of our impairment analysis of these securities at June 30, 2013. See Note 5 for information regarding the Level 3 valuation technique used to measure the fair value of these trust preferred securities at June 30, 2013.

For the three pooled trust preferred securities, Valley evaluates the projected cash flows from each of its tranches in the three securities to determine if they are adequate to support their future contractual principal and interest payments. Valley assesses the credit risk and probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows by projecting the default rates over the life of the security. Higher projected default rates will decrease the expected future cash flows from each security. If the projected decrease in cash flows affects the cash flows projected for the tranche held by Valley, the security would be considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Two of the pooled trust preferred securities were initially impaired in 2008 with additional estimated credit losses recognized during 2009 and 2011, and are not accruing interest.

The perpetual preferred securities, reported in equity securities, are hybrid investments that are assessed for impairment by Valley as if they were debt securities. Therefore, Valley assessed the creditworthiness of each security issuer, as well as any potential change in the anticipated cash flows of the securities as of June 30, 2013. Based on this analysis, management believes the declines in fair value of these securities are attributable to a lack of liquidity in the marketplace and are not reflective of any deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuers.

**Table of Contents****Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities**

There were no other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, Valley recognized net impairment losses on securities in earnings totaling \$550 thousand due to additional estimated credit losses on 1 of 5 previously impaired private label mortgage-backed securities. At June 30, 2013, the 5 impaired private label mortgage-backed securities had a combined amortized cost of \$27.7 million and fair value of \$28.3 million.

**Realized Gains and Losses**

Gross gains (losses) realized on sales, maturities and other securities transactions related to investment securities included in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Sales transactions:</b>				
Gross gains	\$ 1	\$ 1,234	\$ 3,381	\$ 1,374
Gross losses				(298)
	\$ 1	\$ 1,234	\$ 3,381	\$ 1,076
<b>Maturities and other securities transactions:</b>				
Gross gains	\$ 41	\$ 6	\$ 649	\$ 19
Gross losses	(1)	(36)	(31)	(48)
	\$ 40	\$ (30)	\$ 618	\$ (29)
<b>Total gains on securities transactions, net</b>	<b>\$ 41</b>	<b>\$ 1,204</b>	<b>\$ 3,999</b>	<b>\$ 1,047</b>

Valley recognized gross gains from sales transactions totaling \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to the sales of zero percent yielding Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae perpetual preferred stock with amortized cost totaling \$941 thousand during the first quarter of 2013.

The following table presents the changes in the credit loss component of cumulative other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities classified as either held to maturity or available for sale that Valley has recognized in earnings, for which a portion of the impairment loss (non-credit factors) was recognized in other comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 33,177	\$ 28,767	\$ 33,290	\$ 29,070
<b>Additions:</b>				
Subsequent credit impairments		550		550
<b>Reductions:</b>				
Accretion of credit loss impairment due to an increase in expected cash flows	(75)	(66)	(188)	(369)
Balance, end of period	\$ 33,102	\$ 29,251	\$ 33,102	\$ 29,251

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

The credit loss component of the impairment loss represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment occurred prior to

**Table of Contents**

each period presented. Other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings for credit impaired debt securities are presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit impaired (subsequent credit impairment). The credit loss component is reduced if Valley sells, intends to sell or believes it will be required to sell previously credit impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if (i) Valley receives cash flows in excess of what it expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit impaired debt security, (ii) the security matures or (iii) the security is fully written down.

**Trading Securities**

The fair value of trading securities (consisting of 2 single-issuer bank trust preferred securities) was \$14.2 million at June 30, 2013 and \$22.2 million (consisting of 3 single-issuer bank trust preferred securities) at December 31, 2012. Interest income on trading securities totaled \$413 thousand and \$462 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$855 thousand and \$884 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Note 7. Loans**

The detail of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Non-PCI Loans	PCI Loans	Total	Non-PCI Loans	PCI Loans	Total
(in thousands)						
<b>Non-covered loans:</b>						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,800,818	\$ 187,586	\$ 1,988,404	\$ 1,832,743	\$ 252,083	\$ 2,084,826
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	3,893,492	544,220	4,437,712	3,772,084	645,625	4,417,709
Construction	404,084	22,807	426,891	399,855	25,589	425,444
Total commercial real estate loans	4,297,576	567,027	4,864,603	4,171,939	671,214	4,843,153
Residential mortgage	2,397,884	15,084	2,412,968	2,445,627	16,802	2,462,429
Consumer:						
Home equity	415,501	39,665	455,166	438,881	46,577	485,458
Automobile	835,271		835,271	786,528		786,528
Other consumer	184,570	226	184,796	179,417	314	179,731
Total consumer loans	1,435,342	39,891	1,475,233	1,404,826	46,891	1,451,717
Total non-covered loans	\$ 9,931,620	\$ 809,588	\$ 10,741,208	\$ 9,855,135	\$ 986,990	\$ 10,842,125
<b>Covered loans:</b>						
Commercial and industrial	\$	\$ 32,990	\$ 32,990	\$	\$ 46,517	\$ 46,517
Commercial real estate		95,164	95,164		120,268	120,268
Construction		3,029	3,029		1,924	1,924
Residential mortgage		8,920	8,920		9,659	9,659
Consumer		1,714	1,714		2,306	2,306
Total covered loans		141,817	141,817		180,674	180,674
Total loans	\$ 9,931,620	\$ 951,405	\$ 10,883,025	\$ 9,855,135	\$ 1,167,664	\$ 11,022,799

Total non-covered loans are net of unearned discount and deferred loan fees totaling \$8.3 million and \$3.4 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The outstanding balances (representing contractual balances owed to Valley) for non-covered PCI loans and

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

covered loans totaled \$886.4 million and \$277.8 million at June 30, 2013, and \$1.1 billion and \$321.9 million at December 31, 2012, respectively.

There were no sales of loans from the held for investment portfolio during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.



**Table of Contents****Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (Including Covered Loans)**

Purchased Credit-Impaired (PCI) loans, which include loans acquired in FDIC-assisted transactions ( covered loans ) subject to loss-sharing agreements, are acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality. PCI loans are accounted for in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30 and are initially recorded at fair value (as determined by the present value of expected future cash flows) with no valuation allowance (i.e., the allowance for loan losses), and aggregated and accounted for as pools of loans based on common risk characteristics. The difference between the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition and the initial carrying amount (fair value) of the PCI loans, or the accretable yield, is recognized as interest income utilizing the level-yield method over the life of each pool. Contractually required payments for interest and principal that exceed the undiscounted cash flows expected at acquisition, or the non-accretable difference, are not recognized as a yield adjustment, as a loss accrual or a valuation allowance. Reclassifications of the non-accretable difference to the accretable yield may occur subsequent to the loan acquisition dates due to increases in expected cash flows of the loan pools.

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield for PCI loans during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 153,138	\$ 229,802	\$ 169,309	\$ 66,724
Acquisitions				186,262
Accretion	(17,639)	(20,385)	(33,874)	(43,569)
Net increase in expected cash flows	120,884		120,948	
Balance, end of period	\$ 256,383	\$ 209,417	\$ 256,383	\$ 209,417

The net increase in expected cash flows for certain pools of loans (included in the table above) is recognized prospectively as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the individual pools. The net increase was largely due to additional cash flows caused by longer than originally expected durations for certain non-covered PCI loans which increased the average expected life of our non-covered PCI loans (which represent 85 percent of total PCI loans at June 30, 2013) from 2.5 years (at the date of acquisition) to approximately 4.0 years. Additionally, a \$20.1 million decrease in the expected credit losses for certain non-covered pools is another component of the net increase in cash flows.

**FDIC Loss-Share Receivable**

The receivable arising from the loss-sharing agreements (referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our consolidated statements of financial condition) is measured separately from the covered loan portfolio because the agreements are not contractually part of the covered loans and are not transferable should the Bank choose to dispose of the covered loans.

Changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 43,413	\$ 69,928	\$ 44,996	\$ 74,390
Discount accretion of the present value at the acquisition dates	32	81	65	162
Effect of additional cash flows on covered loans (prospective recognition)	(3,467)	(2,231)	(4,949)	(3,868)
Decrease in the provision for losses on covered loans	(105)		(2,783)	
Other reimbursable expenses	1,540	1,088	2,492	2,554
(Reimbursements from) payments to the FDIC	(727)	(3,165)	865	(7,537)
Other		(5,960)		(5,960)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 40,686	\$ 59,741	\$ 40,686	\$ 59,741



---

## Table of Contents

The aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable was a reduction in non-interest income of \$2.0 million and \$7.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and a reduction of \$5.2 million and \$7.1 million to non-interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The reductions in non-interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 included \$6.0 million related to the FDIC's portion of the estimated losses on unused lines of credit assumed in the FDIC-assisted transactions, which had expired.

### **Loan Portfolio Risk Elements and Credit Risk Management**

**Credit risk management.** For all of its loan types discussed below, Valley adheres to a credit policy designed to minimize credit risk while generating the maximum income given the level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis with subsequent approval by the Board of Directors annually. Credit authority relating to a significant dollar percentage of the overall portfolio is centralized and controlled by the Credit Risk Management Division and by the Credit Committee. A reporting system supplements the management review process by providing management with frequent reports concerning loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies, non-performing, and potential problem loans. Loan portfolio diversification is an important factor utilized by Valley to manage its risk across business sectors and through cyclical economic circumstances.

**Commercial and industrial loans.** A significant proportion of Valley's commercial and industrial loan portfolio is granted to long-standing customers of proven ability, strong repayment performance, and high character. Underwriting standards are designed to assess the borrower's ability to generate recurring cash flow sufficient to meet the debt service requirements of loans granted. While such recurring cash flow serves as the primary source of repayment, a significant number of the loans are collateralized by borrower assets intended to serve as a secondary source of repayment should the need arise. Anticipated cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value, or in the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the ability of the borrower to collect all amounts due from its customers. Short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis based on a borrower's financial strength and past performance. Valley, in most cases, will obtain the personal guarantee of the borrower's principals to mitigate the risk. Unsecured loans, when made, are generally granted to the Bank's most credit worthy borrowers. Unsecured commercial and industrial loans totaled \$300.0 million and \$307.0 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

**Commercial real estate loans.** Commercial real estate loans are subject to underwriting standards and processes similar to commercial and industrial loans. Commercial real estate loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real property. Loans generally involve larger principal balances and longer repayment periods as compared to commercial and industrial loans. Repayment of most loans is dependent upon the cash flow generated from the property securing the loan or the business that occupies the property. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy and accordingly conservative loan to value ratios are required at origination, as well as stress tested to evaluate the impact of market changes relating to key underwriting elements. The properties securing the commercial real estate portfolio represent diverse types, with most properties located within Valley's primary markets.

**Construction loans.** With respect to loans to developers and builders, Valley originates and manages construction loans structured on either a revolving or non-revolving basis, depending on the nature of the underlying development project. These loans are generally secured by the real estate to be developed and may also be secured by additional real estate to mitigate the risk. Non-revolving construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantially all committed funds with repayment substantially dependent on the successful completion and sale, or lease, of the project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be from pre-committed permanent loans from other lenders, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from Valley until permanent financing is obtained elsewhere. Revolving construction loans (generally relating to single-family residential construction) are controlled with loan advances dependent upon the presale of housing units financed. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections and are considered to have higher risks than other real estate loans due to their ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions and the availability of long-term financing.

## Table of Contents

**Residential mortgages.** Valley originates residential, first mortgage loans based on underwriting standards that generally comply with Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac requirements. Appraisals and valuations of real estate collateral are contracted directly with independent appraisers or from valuation services and not through appraisal management companies. The Bank's appraisal management policy and procedure is in accordance with regulatory requirements and guidance issued by the Bank's primary regulator. Credit scoring, using FICO<sup>®</sup> and other proprietary, credit scoring models is employed in the ultimate, judgmental credit decision by Valley's underwriting staff. Valley does not use third party contract underwriting services. Residential mortgage loans include fixed and variable interest rate loans secured by one to four family homes generally located in northern and central New Jersey, the New York City metropolitan area, and eastern Pennsylvania. Valley's ability to be repaid on such loans is closely linked to the economic and real estate market conditions in this region. In deciding whether to originate each residential mortgage, Valley considers the qualifications of the borrower as well as the value of the underlying property.

**Home equity loans.** Home equity lending consists of both fixed and variable interest rate products. Valley mainly provides home equity loans to its residential mortgage customers within the footprint of its primary lending territory. Valley generally will not exceed a combined (i.e., first and second mortgage) loan-to-value ratio of 75 percent when originating a home equity loan.

**Automobile loans.** Valley uses both judgmental and scoring systems in the credit decision process for automobile loans. Automobile originations (including light truck and sport utility vehicles) are largely produced via indirect channels, originated through approved automobile dealers. Automotive collateral is generally a depreciating asset and there are times in the life of an automobile loan where the amount owed on a vehicle may exceed its collateral value. Additionally, automobile charge-offs will vary based on strength or weakness in the used vehicle market, original advance rate, when in the life cycle of a loan a default occurs and the condition of the collateral being liquidated. Where permitted by law, and subject to the limitations of the bankruptcy code, deficiency judgments are sought and acted upon to ultimately collect all money owed, even when a default resulted in a loss at collateral liquidation. Valley uses a third party to actively track collision and comprehensive risk insurance required of the borrower on the automobile and this third party provides coverage to Valley in the event of an uninsured collateral loss.

**Other consumer loans.** Valley's other consumer loan portfolio includes direct consumer term loans, both secured and unsecured. The other consumer loan portfolio includes minor exposures in credit card loans, personal lines of credit, personal loans and loans secured by cash surrender value of life insurance. Valley believes the aggregate risk exposure of these loans and lines of credit was not significant at June 30, 2013. Unsecured consumer loans totaled approximately \$20.7 million and \$44.0 million, including \$8.2 million and \$8.6 million of credit card loans, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

**Table of Contents****Credit Quality**

The following table presents past due, non-accrual and current loans (excluding PCI loans, which are accounted for on a pool basis) by loan portfolio class at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	30-89 Days Past Due Loans	Past Due and Non-Accrual Loans Accruing Loans 90 Days Or More Past Due	Non-Accrual Loans (in thousands)	Total Past Due Loans	Current Non-PCI Loans	Total Non-PCI Loans
<b>June 30, 2013</b>						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,525	\$	\$ 20,913	\$ 24,438	\$ 1,776,380	\$ 1,800,818
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	18,946	259	55,390	74,595	3,818,897	3,893,492
Construction	5,772	150	13,617	19,539	384,545	404,084
Total commercial real estate loans	24,718	409	69,007	94,134	4,203,442	4,297,576
Residential mortgage	10,619	2,342	26,054	39,015	2,358,869	2,397,884
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	724		2,328	3,052	412,449	415,501
Automobile	3,307	152	221	3,680	831,591	835,271
Other consumer	107	197		304	184,266	184,570
Total consumer loans	4,138	349	2,549	7,036	1,428,306	1,435,342
Total	\$ 43,000	\$ 3,100	\$ 118,523	\$ 164,623	\$ 9,766,997	\$ 9,931,620
<b>December 31, 2012</b>						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,578	\$ 283	\$ 22,424	\$ 26,285	\$ 1,806,458	\$ 1,832,743
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	13,245	2,950	58,625	74,820	3,697,264	3,772,084
Construction	6,685	2,575	14,805	24,065	375,790	399,855
Total commercial real estate loans	19,930	5,525	73,430	98,885	4,073,054	4,171,939
Residential mortgage	18,951	2,356	32,623	53,930	2,391,697	2,445,627
Consumer loans:						
Home equity	702		2,398	3,100	435,781	438,881
Automobile	5,443	469	305	6,217	780,311	786,528
Other consumer	1,082	32	628	1,742	177,675	179,417
Total consumer loans	7,227	501	3,331	11,059	1,393,767	1,404,826
Total	\$ 49,686	\$ 8,665	\$ 131,808	\$ 190,159	\$ 9,664,976	\$ 9,855,135

**Table of Contents**

**Impaired loans.** Impaired loans, consisting of non-accrual commercial and industrial loans and commercial real estate loans over \$250 thousand and all loans which were modified in troubled debt restructuring, are individually evaluated for impairment. PCI loans are not classified as impaired loans because they are accounted for on a pool basis. The following table presents the information about impaired loans by loan portfolio class at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Recorded Investment With No Related Allowance	Recorded Investment With Related Allowance	Total Recorded Investment (in thousands)	Unpaid Contractual Principal Balance	Related Allowance
<b>June 30, 2013</b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,670	\$ 53,925	\$ 57,595	\$ 73,152	\$ 11,420
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	21,747	89,450	111,197	127,316	11,729
Construction	5,744	13,690	19,434	21,595	2,903
Total commercial real estate loans	27,491	103,140	130,631	148,911	14,632
Residential mortgage	11,317	15,562	26,879	30,714	2,677
Consumer loans:					
Home equity	1,049	137	1,186	1,529	11
Total consumer loans	1,049	137	1,186	1,529	11
Total	\$ 43,527	\$ 172,764	\$ 216,291	\$ 254,306	\$ 28,740
<b>December 31, 2012</b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,236	\$ 46,461	\$ 49,697	\$ 62,183	\$ 12,088
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	26,724	84,151	110,875	125,875	11,788
Construction	6,339	14,002	20,341	23,678	4,793
Total commercial real estate loans	33,063	98,153	131,216	149,553	16,581
Residential mortgage	8,232	16,659	24,891	27,059	2,329
Consumer loans:					
Home equity	672	258	930	1,169	15
Total consumer loans	672	258	930	1,169	15
Total	\$ 45,203	\$ 161,531	\$ 206,734	\$ 239,964	\$ 31,013

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents by loan portfolio class, the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
	(in thousands)			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 56,017	\$ 412	\$ 48,888	\$ 339
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial real estate	114,066	866	98,077	302
Construction	19,932	70	21,412	37
<b>Total commercial real estate loans</b>	<b>133,998</b>	<b>936</b>	<b>119,489</b>	<b>339</b>
Residential mortgage	29,099	349	21,179	238
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	1,174	14	274	3
<b>Total consumer loans</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 220,288</b>	<b>\$ 1,711</b>	<b>\$ 189,830</b>	<b>\$ 919</b>

  

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
	(in thousands)			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 55,644	\$ 788	\$ 52,094	\$ 748
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial real estate	113,735	1,617	95,004	991
Construction	19,547	139	21,742	86
<b>Total commercial real estate loans</b>	<b>133,282</b>	<b>1,756</b>	<b>116,746</b>	<b>1,077</b>
Residential mortgage	28,686	532	20,889	374
Consumer loans:				
Home equity	1,194	26	277	7
<b>Total consumer loans</b>	<b>1,194</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 218,853</b>	<b>\$ 3,102</b>	<b>\$ 190,006</b>	<b>\$ 2,206</b>

Interest income recognized on a cash basis (included in the table above) was immaterial for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

**Troubled debt restructured loans.** From time to time, Valley may extend, restructure, or otherwise modify the terms of existing loans, on a case-by-case basis, to remain competitive and retain certain customers, as well as assist other customers who may be experiencing financial difficulties. If the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a concession has been made at the time of such modification, the loan is classified as a troubled debt restructured loan (TDR). Valley's PCI loans are excluded from the TDR disclosures below because they are

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

evaluated for impairment on a pool by pool basis. When an individual PCI loan within a pool is modified as a TDR, it is not removed from its pool. All TDRs are classified as impaired loans and are included in the impaired loan disclosures above.

The majority of the concessions made for TDRs involve lowering the monthly payments on loans through either a reduction in interest rate below a market rate, an extension of the term of the loan without a corresponding adjustment to the risk premium reflected in the interest rate, or a combination of these two methods. The concessions rarely result in the forgiveness of principal or accrued interest. In addition, Valley frequently obtains additional collateral or guarantor support when modifying such loans. If the borrower has demonstrated performance under the previous terms and Valley's underwriting process shows the borrower has the capacity to continue to perform under the restructured terms, the loan will continue to accrue interest. Non-accruing restructured loans may be returned to accrual status when there has been a sustained period of repayment performance (generally six consecutive months of payments) and both principal and interest are deemed collectible.



**Table of Contents**

Performing TDRs (not reported as non-accrual loans) totaled \$117.1 million and \$105.4 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Non-performing TDRs totaled \$43.9 million and \$41.8 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The following table presents loans by loan portfolio class modified as TDRs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The pre-modification and post-modification outstanding recorded investments disclosed in the table below represent the loan carrying amounts immediately prior to the modification and the carrying amounts at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Number of Contracts	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>						
Commercial and industrial	6	\$ 13,912	\$ 13,881	8	\$ 18,278	\$ 16,623
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	4	7,275	7,266	8	33,677	33,487
Construction	4	4,936	4,979	2	4,557	4,254
Total commercial real estate	8	12,211	12,245	10	38,234	37,741
Residential mortgage	5	1,414	1,259	9	2,926	2,919
Consumer	1	74	74			
Total	20	\$ 27,611	\$ 27,459	27	\$ 59,438	\$ 57,283

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Number of Contracts	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Contracts	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Outstanding Recorded Investment
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>						
Commercial and industrial	10	\$ 16,750	\$ 15,232	13	\$ 19,700	\$ 17,574
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate	9	11,729	11,727	14	35,726	35,453
Construction	5	5,474	5,510	4	6,711	5,278
Total commercial real estate	14	17,203	17,237	18	42,437	40,731
Residential mortgage	22	4,578	4,016	13	3,778	3,767
Consumer	6	452	397	2	69	67
Total	52	\$ 38,983	\$ 36,882	46	\$ 65,984	\$ 62,139

The majority of the TDR concessions made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 involved an extension of the loan term and/or an interest rate reduction. The TDRs presented in the table above had allocated specific reserves for loan losses totaling \$3.7 million and \$9.5 million at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These specific reserves are included in the allowance for loan losses for loans individually evaluated for impairment disclosed in Note 8. One commercial loan modified as a TDR included in the table above resulted in a \$1.1 million charge-off during the six months ended June 30, 2013. There were no charge-offs resulting from loans modified as TDRs during the second quarter of 2013 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents non-PCI loans modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months from, and for which there was a payment default (90 days or more past due) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013:

Troubled Debt Restructurings Subsequently Defaulted	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment (\$ in thousands)	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Commercial and industrial	1	\$ 1,297	1	\$ 1,297
Commercial real estate	1	531	1	531
Residential mortgage			9	2,320
Consumer			2	220
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$ 1,828</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>\$ 4,368</b>

**Credit quality indicators.** Valley utilizes an internal loan classification system as a means of reporting problem loans within commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and construction loan portfolio classes. Under Valley's internal risk rating system, loan relationships could be classified as Pass, Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful, and Loss. Substandard loans include loans that exhibit well-defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loans classified as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, based on currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Loans classified as Loss are those considered uncollectible with insignificant value and are charged-off immediately to the allowance for loan losses. Loans that do not currently pose a sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but pose weaknesses that deserve management's close attention are deemed Special Mention. Loans rated as Pass loans do not currently pose any identified risk and can range from the highest to average quality, depending on the degree of potential risk. Risk ratings are updated any time the situation warrants.

The following table presents the risk category of loans (excluding PCI loans) by class of loans based on the most recent analysis performed at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Credit exposure - by internally assigned risk rating	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard (in thousands)	Doubtful	Total
<b>June 30, 2013</b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,634,931	\$ 70,190	\$ 95,670	\$ 27	\$ 1,800,818
Commercial real estate	3,696,473	60,107	136,912		3,893,492
Construction	346,560	33,797	17,927	5,800	404,084
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,677,964</b>	<b>\$ 164,094</b>	<b>\$ 250,509</b>	<b>\$ 5,827</b>	<b>\$ 6,098,394</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,673,604	\$ 64,777	\$ 94,184	\$ 178	\$ 1,832,743
Commercial real estate	3,563,530	59,175	149,379		3,772,084
Construction	340,357	32,817	19,521	7,160	399,855
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,577,491</b>	<b>\$ 156,769</b>	<b>\$ 263,084</b>	<b>\$ 7,338</b>	<b>\$ 6,004,682</b>

For residential mortgages, automobile, home equity and other consumer loan portfolio classes (excluding PCI loans), Valley also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the recorded investment in those loan classes based on payment activity as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Credit exposure - by payment activity	Performing Loans	Non-Performing Loans (in thousands)	Total Non-PCI Loans
<b>June 30, 2013</b>			
Residential mortgage	\$ 2,371,830	\$ 26,054	\$ 2,397,884
Home equity	413,173	2,328	415,501
Automobile	835,050	221	835,271
Other consumer	184,570		184,570
Total	\$ 3,804,623	\$ 28,603	\$ 3,833,226
<b>December 31, 2012</b>			
Residential mortgage	\$ 2,413,004	\$ 32,623	\$ 2,445,627
Home equity	436,483	2,398	438,881
Automobile	786,223	305	786,528
Other consumer	178,789	628	179,417
Total	\$ 3,814,499	\$ 35,954	\$ 3,850,453

Valley evaluates the credit quality of its PCI loan pools based on the expectation of the underlying cash flows of each pool, derived from the aging status and by payment activity of individual loans within the pool. The following table presents the recorded investment in PCI loans by class based on individual loan payment activity as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Credit exposure - by payment activity	Performing Loans	Non-Performing Loans (in thousands)	Total PCI Loans
<b>June 30, 2013</b>			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 216,501	\$ 4,075	\$ 220,576
Commercial real estate	594,919	44,465	639,384
Construction	17,150	8,686	25,836
Residential mortgage	20,111	3,893	24,004
Consumer	40,310	1,295	41,605
Total	\$ 888,991	\$ 62,414	\$ 951,405
<b>December 31, 2012</b>			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 292,163	\$ 6,437	\$ 298,600
Commercial real estate	715,812	50,081	765,893
Construction	17,967	9,546	27,513
Residential mortgage	22,173	4,288	26,461
Consumer	47,689	1,508	49,197
Total	\$ 1,095,804	\$ 71,860	\$ 1,167,664

**Note 8. Allowance for Credit Losses**

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for losses on non-covered loans and allowance for losses on covered loans related to credit impairment of certain covered loan pools subsequent to acquisition, as well as the allowance for unfunded letters of credit. Management

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

maintains the allowance for credit losses at a level estimated to absorb probable loan losses of the loan portfolio and unfunded letter of credit commitments at the balance sheet date. The allowance for losses on non-covered loans is based on ongoing evaluations of the probable estimated losses inherent in the non-covered loan portfolio, including unexpected credit impairment of non-covered PCI loan pools subsequent to the acquisition date.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the allowance for credit losses at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(in thousands)	
<b>Components of allowance for credit losses:</b>		
Allowance for non-covered loans	\$ 110,374	\$ 120,708
Allowance for covered loans	7,070	9,492
Total allowance for loan losses	117,444	130,200
Allowance for unfunded letters of credit	2,436	2,295
Total allowance for credit losses	\$ 119,880	\$ 132,495

The following table summarizes the provision for credit losses for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Components of provision for credit losses:</b>				
Provision for non-covered loans	\$ 2,746	\$ 7,429	\$ 6,456	\$ 12,803
Provision for covered loans	(110)		(2,276)	
Total provision for loan losses	2,636	7,429	4,180	12,803
Provision for unfunded letters of credit	(84)	(24)	141	299
Total provision for credit losses	\$ 2,552	\$ 7,405	\$ 4,321	\$ 13,102

The following table details activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
	(in thousands)					
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2013:</b>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 55,732	\$ 44,195	\$ 9,331	\$ 5,460	\$ 7,126	\$ 121,844
Loans charged-off	(1,441)	(4,389)	(1,666)	(860)		(8,356)
Charged-off loans recovered	602	50	68	600		1,320
Net charge-offs	(839)	(4,339)	(1,598)	(260)		(7,036)
Provision for loan losses	(1,161)	3,323	788	(116)	(198)	2,636
Ending balance	\$ 53,732	\$ 43,179	\$ 8,521	\$ 5,084	\$ 6,928	\$ 117,444
<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2012:</b>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 73,311	\$ 34,415	\$ 9,837	\$ 7,940	\$ 7,367	\$ 132,870

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Loans charged-off *	(5,406)	(5,379)	(583)	(1,015)		(12,383)
Charged-off loans recovered	1,304	116	111	407		1,938
Net charge-offs	(4,102)	(5,263)	(472)	(608)		(10,445)
Provision for loan losses	1,313	6,406	1,375	(1,523)	(142)	7,429
Ending balance	\$ 70,522	\$ 35,558	\$ 10,740	\$ 5,809	\$ 7,225	\$ 129,854

\* The allowance for covered loans was reduced by loan charge-offs totaling \$1.8 million during the second quarter of 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The following tables detail the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, including both covered and non-covered loans:

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
	(in thousands)					
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2013:</b>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 64,370	\$ 44,069	\$ 9,423	\$ 5,542	\$ 6,796	\$ 130,200
Loans charged-off	(8,766)	(6,382)	(2,558)	(2,369)		(20,075)
Charged-off loans recovered	1,940	65	138	996		3,139
Net charge-offs	(6,826)	(6,317)	(2,420)	(1,373)		(16,936)
Provision for loan losses	(3,812)	5,427	1,518	915	132	4,180
Ending balance	\$ 53,732	\$ 43,179	\$ 8,521	\$ 5,084	\$ 6,928	\$ 117,444
<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2012:</b>						
Allowance for loan losses:						
Beginning balance	\$ 73,649	\$ 34,637	\$ 9,120	\$ 8,677	\$ 7,719	\$ 133,802
Loans charged-off *	(10,213)	(6,459)	(1,759)	(2,498)		(20,929)
Charged-off loans recovered	2,309	236	625	1,008		4,178
Net charge-offs	(7,904)	(6,223)	(1,134)	(1,490)		(16,751)
Provision for loan losses	4,777	7,144	2,754	(1,378)	(494)	12,803
Ending balance	\$ 70,522	\$ 35,558	\$ 10,740	\$ 5,809	\$ 7,225	\$ 129,854

\* The allowance for covered loans was reduced by loan charge-offs totaling \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table represents the allocation of the allowance for loan losses and the related loans by loan portfolio segment disaggregated based on the impairment methodology at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Mortgage	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
	(in thousands)					
<b>June 30, 2013</b>						
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 11,420	\$ 14,632	\$ 2,677	\$ 11	\$	\$ 28,740
Collectively evaluated for impairment	41,800	22,115	5,721	5,070	6,928	81,634
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	512	6,432	123	3		7,070
Total	\$ 53,732	\$ 43,179	\$ 8,521	\$ 5,084	\$ 6,928	\$ 117,444
<b>Loans:</b>						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 57,595	\$ 130,631	\$ 26,879	\$ 1,186	\$	\$ 216,291
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,743,223	4,166,945	2,371,005	1,434,156		9,715,329
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	220,576	665,220	24,004	41,605		951,405

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 2,021,394	\$ 4,962,796	\$ 2,421,888	\$ 1,476,947	\$	\$ 10,883,025
-------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	----	---------------

**December 31, 2012**

**Allowance for loan losses:**

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 12,088	\$ 16,581	\$ 2,329	\$ 15	\$	\$ 31,013
Collectively evaluated for impairment	44,877	25,463	7,032	5,527	6,796	89,695
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	7,405	2,025	62			9,492
Total	\$ 64,370	\$ 44,069	\$ 9,423	\$ 5,542	\$ 6,796	\$ 130,200

**Loans:**

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 49,697	\$ 131,216	\$ 24,891	\$ 930	\$	\$ 206,734
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,783,046	4,040,723	2,420,736	1,403,896		9,648,401
Loans acquired with discounts related to credit quality	298,600	793,406	26,461	49,197		1,167,664
Total	\$ 2,131,343	\$ 4,965,345	\$ 2,472,088	\$ 1,454,023	\$	\$ 11,022,799



**Table of Contents****Note 9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

Goodwill totaled \$428.2 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. There were no changes to the carrying amounts of goodwill allocated to Valley's business segments, or reporting units thereof, for goodwill impairment analysis (as reported in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012). There was no impairment of goodwill during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The following table summarizes other intangible assets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Gross Intangible Assets	Accumulated Amortization (in thousands)	Valuation Allowance	Net Intangible Assets
<b>June 30, 2013</b>				
Loan servicing rights	\$ 69,244	\$ (41,485)	\$ (1,000)	\$ 26,759
Core deposits	35,194	(25,805)		9,389
Other	5,878	(3,024)		2,854
<b>Total other intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 110,316</b>	<b>\$ (70,314)</b>	<b>\$ (1,000)</b>	<b>\$ 39,002</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>				
Loan servicing rights	\$ 63,377	\$ (43,393)	\$ (3,046)	\$ 16,938
Core deposits	35,194	(24,160)		11,034
Other	5,878	(2,727)		3,151
<b>Total other intangible assets</b>	<b>\$ 104,449</b>	<b>\$ (70,280)</b>	<b>\$ (3,046)</b>	<b>\$ 31,123</b>

Loan servicing rights are accounted for using the amortization method. Under this method, Valley amortizes the loan servicing assets in proportion to, and over the period of estimated net servicing revenues. On a quarterly basis, Valley stratifies its loan servicing assets into groupings based on risk characteristics and assesses each group for impairment based on fair value. Impairment charges on loan servicing rights are recognized in earnings when the book value of a stratified group of loan servicing rights exceeds its estimated fair value. Valley recorded net recoveries of impairment charges on its loan servicing rights totaling \$759 thousand and net impairment charges totaling \$401 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and net recoveries of impairment charges totaling \$2.1 million and \$19 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Core deposits are amortized using an accelerated method and have a weighted average amortization period of 11 years. The line item labeled "Other" included in the table above primarily consists of customer lists and covenants not to compete, which are amortized over their expected lives generally using a straight-line method and have a weighted average amortization period of approximately 17 years. Valley evaluates core deposits and other intangibles for impairment when an indication of impairment exists. No impairment was recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

The following presents the estimated future amortization expense of other intangible assets for the remainder of 2013 through 2017:

	Loan Servicing Rights	Core Deposits (in thousands)	Other
2013	\$ 3,064	\$ 1,432	\$ 245
2014	6,343	2,359	466
2015	4,929	1,758	434
2016	3,782	1,195	233
2017	2,921	815	220



**Table of Contents**

Valley recognized amortization expense on other intangible assets, including net impairment charges and recoveries on loan servicing rights, totaling approximately \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$3.5 million and \$4.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Note 10. Benefit Plans****Pension and Director Plans**

The Bank has a non-contributory defined benefit plan ( qualified plan ) covering most of its employees. The qualified plan benefits are based upon years of credited service and the employee's highest average compensation as defined. Additionally, the Bank has a supplemental non-qualified, non-funded retirement plan, which is designed to supplement the pension plan for key officers, and Valley has a non-qualified, non-funded directors' retirement plan (both of these plans are referred to as the non-qualified plans below).

On June 19, 2013, the Board of Directors approved amendments to freeze the benefits earned under the qualified and non-qualified plans effective December 31, 2013. As a result, participants will not accrue further benefits and their pension benefits will be determined based on the compensation and service up to December 31, 2013. Plan benefits will not increase for any pay or service earned after such date. However, participants will continue to vest with respect to their frozen earned benefits as long as they continue to work for Valley.

As a result of these actions, Valley re-measured the projected benefit obligation of the affected plans and the qualified plan assets at June 30, 2013. The freeze and re-measurement decreased the projected benefit obligations by \$22.9 million and decreased accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax, by \$19.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in the plan obligations was mainly due to an increase in the discount rate from December 31, 2012 and the curtailment of plan benefits. At June 30, 2013, Valley used a discount rate of 4.87 percent for the re-measurement of the pension benefit obligation as compared to 4.26 percent at December 31, 2012. The discount rate is based on our consistent methodology of determining our discount rate based on an established yield curve that incorporates a broad group of Aa3 or higher rated bonds with durations equal to the expected benefit payment streams required by each plan. The assumption for the expected rate of return on plan assets was 7.50 percent at June 30, 2013 and remained unchanged from December 31, 2012. Additionally, a curtailment loss totaling \$750 thousand was recognized as a component of net periodic pension expense during the second quarter of 2013 due to the re-measurement and freeze of the plans.

The fair value of qualified plan assets increased approximately \$32.9 million or 23.7 percent to \$171.8 million at June 30, 2013 from \$138.9 million at December 31, 2012. In April 2013, Valley made a \$25.0 million discretionary contribution to the qualified plan prior to the Valley's decision to freeze the plan. It is the Bank's funding policy to contribute annually an amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes.

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic pension expense related to the qualified and non-qualified plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Service cost	\$ 2,056	\$ 1,985	\$ 4,043	\$ 3,971
Interest cost	1,708	1,620	3,365	3,239
Expected return on plan assets	(3,183)	(2,233)	(5,742)	(4,466)
Amortization of prior service cost	241	191	443	382
Amortization of actuarial loss	811	587	1,605	1,175
Curtailment loss	750		750	
<b>Total net periodic pension expense</b>	<b>\$ 2,383</b>	<b>\$ 2,150</b>	<b>\$ 4,464</b>	<b>\$ 4,301</b>

## **Table of Contents**

The freeze in the plans' benefits is expected to reduce Valley's total net periodic pension expense by approximately \$2.1 million for the second half of 2013.

### **Savings and Investment Plan**

Effective January 1, 2014, Valley will increase benefits under the Bank's 401(k) plan in an effort to offset a portion of the employee benefits no longer accruing under the qualified pension plan after December 31, 2013. At such date, Valley's contributions will be increased to a dollar-for-dollar matching contribution of up to six percent of eligible compensation contributed by an employee each pay period.

### **Note 11. Stock Based Compensation**

Valley currently has one active employee stock option plan, the 2009 Long-Term Stock Incentive Plan (the "Employee Stock Incentive Plan"), administered by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee (the "Committee") appointed by Valley's Board of Directors. The Committee can grant awards to officers and key employees of Valley. The purpose of the Employee Stock Incentive Plan is to provide additional incentive to officers and key employees of Valley and its subsidiaries, whose substantial contributions are essential to the continued growth and success of Valley, and to attract and retain competent and dedicated officers and other key employees whose efforts will result in the continued and long-term growth of Valley's business.

Under the Employee Stock Incentive Plan, Valley may award shares to its employees for up to 7.4 million shares of common stock in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock awards. The essential features of each award are described in the award agreement relating to that award. The grant, exercise, vesting, settlement or payment of an award may be based upon the fair value of Valley's common stock on the last sale price reported for Valley's common stock on such date or the last sale price reported preceding such date. An incentive stock option's maximum term to exercise is ten years from the date of grant and is subject to a vesting schedule. There were no stock options granted by Valley during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The restricted shares awarded by Valley during the three months ended June 2013, and 2012 were immaterial. Valley awarded restricted stock totaling 471 thousand shares and 540 thousand shares during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013, 5.0 million shares of common stock were available for issuance under the Employee Stock Incentive Plan.

Valley recorded stock-based compensation expense for incentive stock options and restricted stock awards of \$1.5 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$3.3 million and \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The fair values of stock awards are expensed over the vesting period. As of June 30, 2013, the unrecognized amortization expense for all stock-based employee compensation totaled approximately \$12.2 million and will be recognized over an average remaining vesting period of approximately 4 years.

### **Note 12. Guarantees**

Guarantees that have been entered into by Valley include standby letters of credit of \$230.6 million as of June 30, 2013. Standby letters of credit represent the guarantee by Valley of the obligations or performance of a customer in the event the customer is unable to meet or perform its obligations to a third party. Of the total standby letters of credit, \$147.3 million, or 63.9 percent are secured and, in the event of non-performance by the customer, Valley has rights to the underlying collateral, which includes commercial real estate, business assets (physical plant or property, inventory or receivables), marketable securities and cash in the form of bank savings accounts and certificates of deposit. As of June 30, 2013, Valley had an \$846 thousand liability related to the standby letters of credit.

### **Note 13. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

Valley is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. Valley principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. Valley manages economic risks, including interest rate and liquidity risks, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments.

---

**Table of Contents**

Specifically, Valley enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. Valley's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of Valley's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments related to assets and liabilities outlined below.

**Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk.** Valley's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, Valley uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the payment of either fixed or variable-rate amounts in exchange for the receipt of variable or fixed-rate amounts from a counterparty. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium.

At June 30, 2013, Valley had the following cash flow hedge derivatives:

Four forward starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$300 million to hedge the changes in cash flows associated with certain prime-rate-indexed deposits, consisting of consumer and commercial money market deposit accounts. Two of the four swaps, totaling \$200 million, expire in October 2016 and require Valley to pay fixed-rate amounts at approximately 4.73 percent, in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate payments at the prime rate. Starting in July 2012, the other two swaps totaling \$100 million require the payment by Valley of fixed-rate amounts at approximately 5.11 percent in exchange for the receipt of variable-rate payments at the prime rate and expire in July 2017.

Two interest rate caps with a total notional amount of \$100 million, strike rates of 6.00 percent and 6.25 percent, and a maturity date of July 15, 2015 used to hedge the total change in cash flows associated with prime-rate-indexed deposits, consisting of consumer and commercial money market deposit accounts, which have variable interest rates indexed to the prime rate.

Additionally, two interest rate caps with a total notional amount of \$100 million, strike rates of 2.50 percent and 2.75 percent expired on May 1, 2013. These caps were used to hedge the variability in cash flows associated with customer repurchase agreements and money market deposit accounts that have variable interest rates based on the federal funds rate.

**Fair Value Hedges of Fixed Rate Assets and Liabilities.** Valley is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed rate assets or liabilities due to changes in benchmark interest rates based on one-month LIBOR. From time to time, Valley uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value. Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve the receipt of variable rate payments from a counterparty in exchange for Valley making fixed rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount.

At June 30, 2013, Valley had the following fair value hedge derivatives:

One interest rate swap with a notional amount of approximately \$8.7 million used to hedge the change in the fair value of a commercial loan.

One interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$51.0 million, maturing in March 2014, used to hedge the change in the fair value of certain fixed-rate brokered certificates of deposit.

For derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. Valley includes the gain or loss on the hedged items in the same income statement line item as the loss or gain on the related derivatives.

**Table of Contents**

**Non-designated Hedges.** Derivatives not designated as hedges may be used to manage Valley's exposure to interest rate movements or to provide service to customers but do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting under U.S. GAAP. Derivatives not designated as hedges are not entered into for speculative purposes. Under a program, Valley executes interest rate swaps with commercial lending customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. These interest rate swaps with customers are simultaneously offset by interest rate swaps that Valley executes with a third party, such that Valley minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. As of June 30, 2013, Valley had a total of 45 interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$188.1 million related to this program.

Valley also enters into mortgage banking derivatives which are non-designated hedges. These derivatives include interest rate lock commitments provided to customers to fund certain residential mortgage loans to be sold into the secondary market and forward commitments for the future delivery of such loans. Valley enters into forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans when interest rate lock commitments are entered into in order to economically hedge the effect of future changes in interest rates on Valley's commitments to fund the loans as well as on its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale. As of June 30, 2013, Valley had mortgage banking derivatives with an aggregate notional amount of \$103.1 million.

Amounts included in the consolidated statements of financial condition related to the fair value of Valley's derivative financial instruments were as follows:

Balance Sheet Line Item	Fair Value		
	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
(in thousands)			
<b>Asset Derivatives:</b>			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Cash flow hedge interest rate caps and swaps	Other Assets	\$ 27	\$ 23
Fair value hedge interest rate swaps	Other Assets	378	652
<b>Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ 405</b>	<b>\$ 675</b>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swaps	Other Assets	\$ 4,631	\$ 7,002
Mortgage banking derivatives	Other Assets	2,389	239
<b>Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ 7,020</b>	<b>\$ 7,241</b>
<b>Liability Derivatives:</b>			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:			
Cash flow hedge interest rate caps and swaps	Other Liabilities	\$ 11,408	\$ 17,198
Fair value hedge interest rate swaps	Other Liabilities	1,675	2,197
<b>Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ 13,083</b>	<b>\$ 19,395</b>
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:			
Interest rate swaps	Other Liabilities	\$ 4,631	\$ 6,999
Mortgage banking derivatives	Other Liabilities	1,257	200
<b>Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ 5,888</b>	<b>\$ 7,199</b>

Losses included in the consolidated statements of income and in other comprehensive income, on a pre-tax basis, related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of cash flows were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Interest rate caps on short-term borrowings and deposit accounts:</b>				
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest on short-term borrowings	\$ (1,714)	\$ (1,398)	\$ (3,594)	\$ (2,790)
Amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	3,508	(5,288)	3,375	(3,739)

**Table of Contents**

Valley recognized net gains of \$73 thousand in other expense for hedge ineffectiveness on the cash flow hedge interest rate caps for the six months ended June 30, 2012. There were no net gains or losses related to hedge ineffectiveness recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and the second quarter of 2012. The accumulated net after-tax losses related to effective cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive loss were \$8.6 million and \$12.7 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to cash flow interest rate derivatives are reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the hedged variable interest rate liabilities. Valley estimates that \$8.2 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense over the next twelve months.

Gains (losses) included in the consolidated statements of income related to interest rate derivatives designated as hedges of fair value were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Derivative - interest rate swaps:</b>				
Interest income - interest and fees on loans	\$ 425	\$ (251)	\$ 522	\$ (121)
Interest expense - interest on time deposits	(134)	(67)	(274)	(27)
<b>Hedged item - loans and deposits:</b>				
Interest income - interest and fees on loans	\$ (425)	\$ 251	\$ (522)	\$ 121
Interest expense - interest on time deposits	136	70	279	38

During the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the amounts recognized in non-interest expense related to ineffectiveness of fair value hedges were immaterial. Valley also recognized a net reduction to interest expense of \$145 thousand and \$139 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$287 thousand and \$275 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to Valley's fair value hedges on brokered time deposits, which includes net settlements on the derivatives.

The net gains included in the consolidated statements of income related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
<b>Non-designated hedge interest rate derivatives</b>				
Other non-interest income	\$ 1,461	\$ 1	\$ 1,090	\$ 217

**Credit Risk Related Contingent Features.** By using derivatives, Valley is exposed to credit risk if counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as expected. Management attempts to minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures and obtaining collateral where appropriate. Credit risk exposure associated with derivative contracts is managed at Valley in conjunction with Valley's consolidated counterparty risk management process. Valley's counterparties and the risk limits monitored by management are periodically reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors.

Valley has agreements with its derivative counterparties providing that if Valley defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then Valley could also be declared in default on its derivative counterparty agreements. Additionally, Valley has an agreement with several of its derivative counterparties that contains provisions that require Valley's debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies, from which it receives a credit rating. If Valley's credit rating is reduced below investment grade or such rating is withdrawn or suspended, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions, and Valley would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. As of June 30, 2013, Valley was in compliance with all of the provisions of its derivative counterparty agreements.



**Table of Contents**

As of June 30, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$16.2 million. Valley has derivative counterparty agreements that require minimum collateral posting thresholds for certain counterparties. No collateral has been assigned or posted by Valley's counterparties under the agreements at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013, Valley had \$14.1 million in collateral posted with its counterparties.

**Note 14. Balance Sheet Offsetting**

Certain financial instruments, including derivatives (consisting of interest rate caps and swaps) and repurchase agreements (accounted for as secured long-term borrowings), may be eligible for offset in the consolidated balance sheet and/or subject to master netting arrangements or similar agreements. Valley is party to master netting arrangements with its financial institution counterparties; however, Valley does not offset assets and liabilities under these arrangements for financial statement presentation purposes. The master netting arrangements provide for a single net settlement of all swap agreements, as well as collateral, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Collateral, usually in the form of cash or marketable investment securities, is posted by the counterparty with net liability positions in accordance with contract thresholds. Master repurchase agreements which include right of set-off provisions generally have a legally enforceable right to offset recognized amounts. In such cases, the collateral would be used to settle the fair value of the repurchase agreement should Valley be in default.

The table below presents a gross presentation, the effects of offsetting, and a net presentation of Valley's financial instruments that are eligible for offset in the consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The net amounts of derivative assets or liabilities can be reconciled to the fair value of Valley's derivative financial instruments disclosed in Note 13.

	Gross Amounts Recognized	Gross Amounts Offset	Net Amounts Presented (in thousands)	Gross Amounts Not Offset Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral	Net Amount
<b>June 30, 2013</b>						
<b>Assets:</b>						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 5,036	\$	\$ 5,036	\$ (1,025)	\$	\$ 4,011
<b>Liabilities:</b>						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 17,714	\$	\$ 17,714	\$ (1,025)	\$ (14,110)	\$ 2,579
Repurchase agreements	520,000		520,000		(520,000)	
Total	\$ 537,714	\$	\$ 537,714	\$ (1,025)	\$ (534,110)	\$ 2,579
<b>December 31, 2012</b>						
<b>Assets:</b>						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 7,677	\$	\$ 7,677	\$ (675)	\$	\$ 7,002
<b>Liabilities:</b>						
Interest rate caps and swaps	\$ 26,395	\$	\$ 26,395	\$ (675)	\$ (25,720)	\$
Repurchase agreements	520,000		520,000		(520,000)	
Total	\$ 546,395	\$	\$ 546,395	\$ (675)	\$ (545,720)	\$

\* Represents fair value of investment securities pledged.

**Note 15. Business Segments**

The information under the caption Business Segments in Management's Discussion and Analysis is incorporated herein by reference.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following MD&A should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The words Valley, the Company, we, our and us refer to Valley National Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiaries, unless we indicate otherwise. Additionally, Valley's principal subsidiary, Valley National Bank, is commonly referred as the Bank in this MD&A.

The MD&A contains supplemental financial information, described in the sections that follow, which has been determined by methods other than U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) that management uses in its analysis of our performance. Management believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

### **Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, both in the MD&A and elsewhere, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are not historical facts and include expressions about management's confidence and strategies and management's expectations about new and existing programs and products, acquisitions, relationships, opportunities, taxation, technology, market conditions and economic expectations. These statements may be identified by such forward-looking terminology as should, expect, believe, view, opportunity, allow, continues, reflects, typically, usually, anticipate, or similar statements or variations thereof. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and our actual results may differ materially from such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements in addition to those risk factors disclosed in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, include, but are not limited to:

a severe decline in the general economic conditions of New Jersey and the New York Metropolitan area;

larger than expected reductions in our loans originated for sale or a slowdown in new and refinanced residential mortgage loan activity;

unexpected changes in long-term market interest rates for interest earning assets and/or interest bearing liabilities;

government intervention in the U.S. financial system and the effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve;

declines in value in our investment portfolio, including additional other-than-temporary impairment charges on our investment securities;

unexpected significant declines in the loan portfolio due to the lack of economic expansion, increased competition, large prepayments or other factors;

unanticipated deterioration in our loan portfolio;

Valley's inability to pay dividends at current levels, or at all, because of inadequate future earnings, regulatory restrictions or limitations, and changes in the composition of qualifying regulatory capital and minimum capital requirements (including those resulting from the U.S. implementation of Basel III requirements);

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

higher than expected increases in our allowance for loan losses;

an unexpected increase in loan losses or in the level of non-performing loans (including additional losses and elevated levels of non-accrual loans caused by the lengthy foreclosure process in the State of New Jersey);

unanticipated loan delinquencies, loss of collateral, decreased service revenues, and other potential negative effects on our business caused by severe weather or other external events;

higher than expected tax rates, including increases resulting from changes in tax laws, regulations and case law;

an unexpected decline in real estate values within our market areas;

charges against earnings related to the change in fair value of our junior subordinated debentures;

higher than expected FDIC insurance assessments;

**Table of Contents**

the failure of other financial institutions with whom we have trading, clearing, counterparty and other financial relationships;

lack of liquidity to fund our various cash obligations;

unanticipated reduction in our deposit base;

potential acquisitions that may disrupt our business;

legislative and regulatory actions (including the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related regulations) subject us to additional regulatory oversight which may result in higher compliance costs and/or require us to change our business model;

changes in accounting policies or accounting standards;

our inability to promptly adapt to technological changes;

our internal controls and procedures may not be adequate to prevent losses;

claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility, environmental laws and other matters;

the inability to realize expected revenue synergies from recent acquisitions in the amounts or in the timeframe anticipated;

inability to retain customers and employees;

lower than expected cash flows from purchased credit-impaired loans;

potential cyber attacks, computer viruses or other malware that may breach the security of our websites or other systems to obtain unauthorized access to confidential information, destroy data, disable or degrade service, or sabotage our systems; and

other unexpected material adverse changes in our operations or earnings.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Valley's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations. Our significant accounting policies are presented in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. We identified our policies on the allowance for loan losses, security valuations and impairments, goodwill and other intangible assets, and income taxes to be critical because management has to make subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. Management has reviewed the application of these policies with the Audit Committee of Valley's Board of Directors. Our critical accounting policies are described in detail in Part II, Item 7 in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year

ended December 31, 2012.

### **New Authoritative Accounting Guidance**

See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of new authoritative accounting guidance including the respective dates of adoption and effects on results of operations and financial condition.

### **Executive Summary**

**Company Overview.** At June 30, 2013, Valley had consolidated total assets of approximately \$16.0 billion, total net loans of \$10.8 billion, total deposits of \$11.2 billion and total shareholders' equity of \$1.5 billion. Our commercial bank operations include branch office locations in northern and central New Jersey and the New York City Boroughs of Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, as well as Long Island, New York. Of our current 208-branch network, 79 percent and 21 percent of the branches are located in New Jersey and New York, respectively. We have grown both in asset size and locations significantly over the past several years primarily through both bank acquisitions and de novo branch expansion. See Item 1 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for more details regarding our past merger activity.

**Quarterly Results.** Net income for the second quarter of 2013 was \$33.9 million, or \$0.17 per diluted common share, compared to \$32.8 million, or \$0.17 per diluted common share for the second quarter of 2012. The \$1.1 million increase in quarterly net income as compared to the same quarter one year ago was largely due to: (i) an \$8.9 million increase in non-interest income resulting primarily from higher gains on sales of residential loans originated for sale and a reduction in non-interest income related to changes in our FDIC loss-share receivable, partly offset by decreases in net

---

**Table of Contents**

trading gains and net gains on securities transactions, (ii) a \$4.9 million decrease in our provision for credit losses caused, in part, by lower net loan charge-offs and improved expected loss experience and outlook for most of the loan portfolio and (iii) a 6.0 percent decrease in our effective tax rate, partially offset by (iv) a \$12.2 million decrease in net interest income largely due to lower yields on new loans and investments caused by the historically low level of market interest rates and the repayment of higher yielding financial instruments within these same categories, and (v) a \$3.8 million increase in other non-interest expense due, in part, to higher FDIC insurance assessments and OREO expenses. See the [Net Interest Income](#), [Other Non-Interest Income](#), [Other Non-Interest Expense](#), and [Loan Portfolio](#) sections below for more details on the items above impacting our second quarter of 2013 results.

**Economic Overview and Indicators.** Solid consumer spending and housing growth led the U.S. economy to a strong start during the second quarter of 2013, however, government furloughs and spending cuts as a result of the U.S. budget sequester, dampened such growth. The sequester, totaling an \$85 billion reduction in government spending, went into effect on March 1, 2013 and is expected to last through September 30, 2013 (fiscal year-end).

The July 2013 unemployment rate of 7.4 percent decreased from 7.6 percent for March 2013. Despite higher taxes and federal spending cuts, which were both introduced at the start of the second quarter, the national monthly hiring average is running at 192 thousand jobs so far this year as compared to 182 thousand for all of 2012. In June alone, the private sector added 196 thousand jobs, while government cuts reduced the net jobs gain to 188 thousand. Private sector growth was driven by trade, finance and business services, and the hospitality industry. Although persistent job growth at current levels could reduce the unemployment rate, a potential influx of reentrants into the workforce may temper any future decrease in the rate.

During the quarter, the Federal Reserve remained consistent with its previously announced intentions to keep short-term interest rates low, in the zero to 0.25 percent range, as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6.5 percent and projected inflation remains below 2.5 percent. In June, the Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke acknowledged that the Federal Reserve could gradually reduce the net amount of mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury securities being purchased each month as the outlook for the labor market or inflation changes. The Chairman's comments led to a rally in long-term interest rates; however, the sustainability of that rally remains to be seen. Inflation pressure remains low as core inflation, which excludes food and energy, increased a modest 0.2 percent in June. A stubbornly high unemployment rate of 7.4 percent, sub-2 percent economic growth, and 1.8 percent annualized year-to-date inflation suggests that monetary policy may remain unchanged in the near term.

Though unevenly distributed across states, the housing sector continues to show increased demand and recovering housing prices as existing home sales rose from an annualized rate of 4.41 million in June 2012 to 5.08 million in June 2013. During May and June 2013, the existing home sales were at levels not seen since November 2009 when the first-time home buyers credit helped to enhance sale volumes. Additionally, the national median existing home price was over \$214 thousand for June 2013, a 13.5 percent increase from one year ago. While nationally, housing prices and sales have performed well during the first half of 2013, the recent increase in long-term interest rates tempered demand for residential mortgage refinance activity.

We believe the current low interest rate and high unemployment environment will continue to challenge our business operations and results in many ways during the remainder of 2013; however, any continuation of the recent uptick in long-term market interest rates may help to relieve downward pressure on our net interest margin, as highlighted throughout the remaining MD&A discussion below.

**Table of Contents**

The following economic indicators are just a few of the many factors that may be used to assess the market conditions in our primary markets of northern and central New Jersey and the New York City metropolitan area. Generally, market conditions have improved from one year ago, however, as outlined above, economic uncertainty and persistent unemployment, may continue to put pressure on the performance of some borrowers and the level of new loan demand within our area.

Selected Economic Indicators:	For the Month Ended				
	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
Unemployment rate:					
U.S.	7.60%	7.60%	7.80%	7.80%	8.20%
New York Metro Region*	8.20%	8.10%	8.50%	8.50%	9.50%
New Jersey	8.70%	9.00%	9.60%	9.80%	9.60%
New York	7.50%	8.20%	8.20%	8.90%	8.90%

Personal income:	Three Months Ended				
	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
	(\$ in millions)				
Personal income:					
New Jersey	NA	\$ 476,944	\$ 483,404	\$ 473,813	\$ 472,756
New York	NA	\$ 1,037,021	\$ 1,044,218	\$ 1,012,959	\$ 1,011,170
New consumer bankruptcies:					
New Jersey	NA	0.12%	0.12%	0.12%	0.14%
New York	NA	0.07%	0.08%	0.08%	0.09%
Change in home prices:					
U.S.	NA	1.20%	-0.30%	-2.10%	7.10%
New York Metro Region*	NA	-1.07%	0.97%	-0.50%	0.91%
New consumer foreclosures:					
New Jersey	NA	0.07%	0.08%	0.08%	0.05%
New York	NA	0.04%	0.04%	0.06%	0.05%
Homeowner vacancy rates:					
New Jersey	1.80%	3.20%	2.60%	2.80%	1.60%
New York	1.90%	1.30%	1.90%	1.70%	1.90%

NA not available

\* As reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the NY-NJ-PA Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Sources: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, S&P Indices, and the U.S. Census Bureau.

**Loans.** Overall, our total loan portfolio increased by 2.7 percent on an annualized basis during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to March 31, 2013 largely due to solid organic commercial real estate (excluding construction) loan growth (equaling 7.9 percent on an annualized basis) and approximately \$162 million of residential mortgage loans purchased in the second quarter, partially offset by declines mainly within the commercial and industrial loan segment of our purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loan portfolios. We continued to originate for sale a substantial amount of the new and refinanced loan activity (approximately 81 percent of total originations) within our residential mortgage loan portfolio during the second quarter of 2013 due to the low level of market interest rates. While these mortgage sales reduce the interest rate risk of our balance sheet, they also negatively impact our loan growth. Despite strong competition and prepayments, the commercial and industrial loan portfolio combined with the commercial real estate portfolio had loan originations of approximately \$317 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to a strong linked first quarter of 2013 totaling \$305 million. Additionally, our automobile loans increased \$24.2 million, or 11.9 percent on an annualized basis during the second quarter of 2013. Total covered loans (i.e., loans subject to our loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) decreased to \$141.8 million, or 1.3 percent of our total loans, at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$161.3 million at March 31, 2013, mainly due to normal collection activity.





**Table of Contents**

During the second quarter of 2013, Valley sold nearly \$475 million of residential mortgages (including \$131.5 million of loans held for sale at March 31, 2013) as compared to \$454 million in the first quarter of 2013, and had \$48.9 million in loans held for sale, at fair value, at June 30, 2013. An originate and sell model (started in the second half of 2012) was maintained for much of the quarter as we continued to maximize mortgage banking revenues within non-interest income, while the low level of market interest rates continued to apply pressure to our net interest income and margin. The increased secondary sales materially increased the total gains on the sale of loans recognized in our non-interest income as compared to the second quarter of 2012 and declined slightly from the linked first quarter of 2013 due to lower gain on sale margins. Due to the recent increase in long-term market interest rates prompted by comments from the Federal Reserve during June, we anticipate a slowdown in our refinanced mortgage loan pipeline during the third quarter of 2013 and the amount of our residential mortgage loans originated for sale, as the higher yielding loans become more attractive to hold in our loan portfolio. As a result, our gains on sales of loans are expected to materially decline from the \$14.4 million recorded in the second quarter of 2013, but our interest income from residential mortgage loans is expected to mitigate a portion of the lost non-interest income.

Despite the expected negative impact of the recent increase in market interest rates on consumer refinance activity, outlook for the housing recovery remains positive and the impact such higher rates will have on our net interest margin. If the housing market remains strong, we believe we will continue to see steady demand for home purchases and refinance activity, particularly for 2- to 4-unit residential loans, as interest rates still remain at historically low levels. See further details on our loan activities, including the covered loan portfolio, under the Loan Portfolio section below.

**Asset Quality.** Given the slow economic recovery, elevated unemployment levels, personal bankruptcies, and higher delinquency rates reported throughout the banking industry, we believe our loan portfolio's credit performance remained at an acceptable level at June 30, 2013. Our past due loans and non-accrual loans, discussed further below, exclude PCI loans. Under U.S. GAAP, the PCI loans (acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality) are accounted for on a pool basis and are not subject to delinquency classification in the same manner as loans originated by Valley.

Total loans (excluding PCI loans) past due in excess of 30 days decreased 0.19 percent to 1.51 percent of our total loan portfolio of \$10.8 billion as of June 30, 2013 compared to 1.70 percent of \$10.8 billion in total loans at March 31, 2013 mainly due to a \$12.7 million decline in loans past due 30 to 89 days and moderate decreases in the level of non-accrual loans within each of our loan types (except for construction loans). Non-accrual loans decreased \$7.1 million to \$118.5 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$125.6 million at March 31, 2013. The decrease was largely due to \$5.2 million of loans transferred to OREO, as well as partial loan charge-offs related to the valuation of certain collateral dependent impaired loans. Although the timing of collection is uncertain, we believe most of our non-accrual loans are well secured and, ultimately, collectible. Our lending strategy is based on underwriting standards designed to maintain high credit quality and we remain optimistic regarding the overall future performance of our loan portfolio. However, due to the potential for future credit deterioration caused by the unpredictable future strength of the U.S. economic and housing recoveries and high levels of unemployment, management cannot provide assurance that our non-performing assets will remain at, or decline from, the levels reported as of June 30, 2013. See the Non-performing Assets section below for further analysis of our credit quality.

**Deposits and Other Borrowings.** Total deposits decreased \$60.0 million to \$11.2 billion at June 30, 2013 from March 31, 2013 mostly due to lower time deposit account balances. Valley's time deposits totaling approximately \$2.4 billion at June 30, 2013 decreased \$102.7 million as compared to March 31, 2013 largely due to the continued run-off of maturing higher cost retail certificates of deposit and less attractive short-term time deposit rates offered by Valley during the period. Our non-interest bearing deposits totaling \$3.5 billion at June 30, 2013 also moderately declined by \$30.0 million, or 0.84 percent, from March 31, 2013 due to normal fluctuations in account activity. Partially offsetting the decreases, savings, NOW and money market accounts increased \$72.8 million to \$5.3 billion at June 30, 2013 as compared to March 31, 2013 due to municipal account balance fluctuations and continued general increases in retail deposits.

**Table of Contents**

We continue to closely monitor our cost of funds to optimize our net interest margin in the current low interest rate environment, as discussed further in the Net Interest Income section below. On July 26, 2013, Valley redeemed \$15.5 million of the principal face amount of its junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I and \$15.0 million of the face value of the related trust preferred securities. Both the redeemed debentures and trust preferred securities have fixed interest rates of 7.75 percent. Based upon new interim final regulatory guidance issued during the second quarter of 2013, Valley's outstanding trust preferred securities issued by its capital trust subsidiaries totaling \$186.3 million at June 30, 2013 (prior to the aforementioned redemption) will be fully phased out of Tier 1 capital by January 1, 2016. See the Capital Adequacy section below for more details.

**Selected Performance Indicators.** The following table presents our annualized performance ratios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Return on average assets	0.85%	0.83%	0.82%	0.86%
Return on average shareholders' equity	8.96	8.75	8.64	9.05
Return on average tangible shareholders' equity (ROATE)	12.93	12.49	12.45	12.95

ROATE, which is a non-GAAP measure, is computed by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity less average goodwill and average other intangible assets, as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in thousands)			
Net income	\$ 33,922	\$ 32,820	\$ 65,232	\$ 67,351
Average shareholders' equity	1,513,942	1,499,516	1,510,474	1,488,825
Less: Average goodwill and other intangible assets	(464,331)	(448,451)	(462,427)	(448,866)
Average tangible shareholders' equity	\$ 1,049,611	\$ 1,051,065	\$ 1,048,047	\$ 1,039,959
Annualized ROATE	12.93%	12.49%	12.45%	12.95%

Management believes the ROATE measure provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and the measure facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

All of the above ratios are, from time to time, impacted by net trading gains and losses, net gains and losses on securities transactions, net gains on sales of loans and net impairment losses on securities recognized in non-interest income. These amounts can vary widely from period to period due to the recognition of non-cash gains or losses on the change in the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value and our trading securities portfolio, the level of sales of our investment securities classified as available for sale and residential mortgage loan originations, and the results of our quarter impairment analysis of the held to maturity and available for sale investment portfolios. See the Non-Interest Income section below for more details.

**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis was \$111.9 million for the second quarter of 2013 and remained relatively unchanged from the first quarter of 2013 and declined \$11.9 million as compared to the second quarter of 2012. Interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased \$654 thousand from the first quarter of 2013 mainly due to the combination of lower yields on investments and a \$62.0 million decrease in average loans, offset mostly by additional interest accretion of approximately \$2 million on covered PCI loans caused by better than expected cash flows subsequent to acquisition. The decrease in interest income was partially offset by a \$514 thousand decline in interest expense, which was mostly driven by a 3 basis point decline in the cost of savings, NOW and money market deposit accounts and lower average balances for time deposits.



---

**Table of Contents**

Average interest earning assets increased to \$14.2 billion for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to approximately \$14.1 billion for the second quarter of 2012 largely due to an increase of \$386.8 million in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits, partially offset by a \$311.3 million decrease in average loans. The increase in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits resulted from excess liquidity (mostly held in overnight interest bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York) mainly caused by large repayments within the loan portfolio and an increase in our average deposits over the last twelve month period which both outpaced our overall loan volumes. The decrease in average loans largely resulted from our shift to an originate and sell strategy for most of our new and refinanced residential mortgage loan originations starting in the third quarter of 2012 and continued loan run-off in the PCI loan portfolios. Compared to the first quarter of 2013, average interest earning assets increased \$125.3 million primarily due to a \$136.8 million increase in average total investment securities. The increase in average investments during the second quarter of 2013 was comprised of increases totaling \$119.3 million and \$17.5 million in taxable and non-taxable investment securities, respectively, mainly due to the purchases of residential mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored agencies, corporate bonds and municipal bond securities over the last six-month period. However, the mix of average loans and overnight interest bearing deposits changed as average loans declined by \$62.0 million to \$11.0 billion for the second quarter of 2013 and average funds sold and other interest bearing deposits increased \$50.5 million to \$439.2 million as compared to the first quarter of 2013. The decline in average loans quarter over quarter was mainly due to the aforementioned residential mortgage sales of refinanced loans and strong competition for commercial loans, mainly in the Long Island market.

Average interest bearing liabilities decreased \$242.5 million to approximately \$10.8 billion for the second quarter of 2013 compared with the second quarter of 2012 mainly due to the normal run-off of maturing high cost certificate of deposit balances over the past twelve month period and a decline in the use of short-term FHLB borrowings for funding residential mortgage originations, partially offset by higher average savings, NOW and money market deposit accounts. Consequently, our average non-interest bearing deposits increased by \$377.2 million to \$3.6 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012 due, in part, to the lack of acceptable investment alternatives for customers. Compared to the first quarter of 2013, average interest bearing liabilities decreased only \$4.5 million for the second quarter of 2013, again, mostly due to the run-off of maturing higher rate certificates of deposit partly offset by increased average balances for savings, NOW and money market accounts.

The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis was 3.15 percent for the second quarter of 2013, a decrease of 3 basis points from 3.18 percent in the linked first quarter of 2013, and a 37 basis point decline from 3.52 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The yield on average interest earning assets decreased by 6 basis points on a linked quarter basis mainly as a result of the lower yield on average investment securities caused by the current and prolonged low level of market yields on new securities, and the continued repayment of higher yielding interest earning assets, partially offset by one more day during the second quarter of 2013. However, the yield on average loans increased 6 basis points to 4.88 percent for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from the first quarter of 2013. The increase in yield from the prior linked quarter was largely due to an additional seven basis points related to higher interest accretion recognized on certain covered PCI loan pools that continue to perform better than expected at their acquisition date. The overall cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased by approximately 2 basis points from 1.60 percent in the linked first quarter of 2013 mainly due to a decline of 3 basis points for total interest bearing deposits during the second quarter of 2013, partly offset by one more day during the second quarter of 2013. The decrease in the cost of interest bearing deposits was largely due to a three basis point decline in the cost of average savings, NOW and money market deposit accounts caused by lower interest rates and the expiration of interest rate cap derivatives in May 2013 that hedged the cash flows of certain money market deposit accounts. The maturity of higher cost time deposits also contributed to the decline in deposit costs and resulted in a \$74.8 million decrease in average time deposits as compared to the first quarter of 2013. Our cost of total deposits was 0.43 percent for the second quarter of 2013 compared to 0.46 percent for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

The recent increase in long-term market interest rates, potential future loan growth from solid commercial real estate loan demand seen in the early stages of the third quarter, additional interest accretion on our PCI loans, our partial redemption of the 7.75 percent junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts totaling \$15.5 million in July and the expiration of the interest rate caps during the second quarter are all expected to positively impact our ability to

**Table of Contents**

maintain or increase the current level of our net interest margin. However, our margin continues to face the risk of compression in the future due to the relatively low level of interest rates on most interest earning asset alternatives combined with the continued re-pricing risk related to the maturity and prepayment of loans and investments that are still yielding higher than market interest rates. However, we continue to tightly manage our balance sheet and our cost of funds to optimize our returns. During the remainder of 2013, we will continue to explore ways to reduce our borrowing costs, whenever possible, and optimize our net interest margin, including further reductions in the level of excess liquidity caused by prepayment activity and/or soft loan demand in certain segments of the portfolio. Although we cannot make any guarantees as to the potential future benefits to our net interest margin, we believe these actions and other asset/liability strategies should reduce the negative impact of the current low interest rate environment.

**Table of Contents**

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012:

**Quarterly Analysis of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders Equity and****Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

	June 30, 2013			Three Months Ended March 31, 2013			June 30, 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
<b>Assets</b>									
<b>Interest earning assets:</b>									
Loans (1)(2)	\$ 10,986,603	\$ 134,017	4.88%	\$ 11,048,612	\$ 133,054	4.82%	\$ 11,297,942	\$ 143,837	5.09%
Taxable investments (3)	2,211,207	14,429	2.61	2,091,866	16,169	3.09	2,263,054	19,788	3.50
Tax-exempt investments (1)(3)	586,314	5,651	3.86	568,827	5,614	3.95	464,681	4,965	4.27
Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits	439,192	302	0.28	388,669	216	0.22	52,348	31	0.24
<b>Total interest earning assets</b>	<b>14,223,316</b>	<b>154,399</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>14,097,974</b>	<b>155,053</b>	<b>4.40</b>	<b>14,078,025</b>	<b>168,621</b>	<b>4.79</b>
Allowance for loan losses	(123,667)			(130,723)			(134,805)		
Cash and due from banks	411,053			408,964			419,989		
Other assets	1,414,709			1,450,530			1,442,592		
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net	(3,323)			(5,525)			(14,753)		
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 15,922,088</b>			<b>\$ 15,821,220</b>			<b>\$ 15,791,048</b>		
<b>Liabilities and shareholders equity</b>									
<b>Interest bearing liabilities:</b>									
Savings, NOW and money market deposits	\$ 5,332,299	\$ 4,369	0.33%	\$ 5,260,535	\$ 4,702	0.36%	\$ 5,064,315	\$ 4,690	0.37%
Time deposits	2,418,524	7,794	1.29	2,493,288	8,111	1.30	2,661,794	9,276	1.39
<b>Total interest bearing deposits</b>	<b>7,750,823</b>	<b>12,163</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>7,753,823</b>	<b>12,813</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>7,726,109</b>	<b>13,966</b>	<b>0.72</b>
Short-term borrowings	138,910	140	0.40	140,600	144	0.41	376,150	369	0.39
Long-term borrowings (4)	2,886,675	30,180	4.18	2,886,509	30,040	4.16	2,916,670	30,452	4.18
<b>Total interest bearing liabilities</b>	<b>10,776,408</b>	<b>42,483</b>	<b>1.58</b>	<b>10,780,932</b>	<b>42,997</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>11,018,929</b>	<b>44,787</b>	<b>1.63</b>
Non-interest bearing deposits	3,581,432			3,448,327			3,204,242		
Other liabilities	50,306			84,993			68,361		
Shareholders equity	1,513,942			1,506,968			1,499,516		
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 15,922,088</b>			<b>\$ 15,821,220</b>			<b>\$ 15,791,048</b>		
Net interest income/interest rate spread (5)		\$ 111,916	2.76%		\$ 112,056	2.80%		\$ 123,834	3.16%
Tax equivalent adjustment		(2,029)			(2,020)			(1,763)	
<b>Net interest income, as reported</b>		<b>\$ 109,887</b>			<b>\$ 110,036</b>			<b>\$ 122,071</b>	
Net interest margin (6)			3.09%			3.12%			3.47%
Tax equivalent effect			0.06%			0.06%			0.05%

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (6)	3.15%	3.18%	3.52%
---	-------	-------	-------

- (1) Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (2) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include non-accrual loans.
- (3) The yield for securities that are classified as available for sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.
- (4) Includes junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts which are presented separately on the consolidated statements of financial condition.
- (5) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (6) Net interest income as a percentage of total average interest earning assets.



**Table of Contents**

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

**Analysis of Average Assets, Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity and****Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

	June 30, 2013		Six Months Ended		June 30, 2012	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (\$ in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate
<b>Assets</b>						
<b>Interest earning assets:</b>						
Loans (1)(2)	\$ 11,017,436	\$ 267,071	4.85%	\$ 11,127,304	\$ 292,307	5.25%
Taxable investments (3)	2,151,866	30,598	2.84	2,366,056	42,290	3.57
Tax-exempt investments (1)(3)	577,619	11,265	3.90	452,304	9,764	4.32
Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits	414,070	518	0.25	73,238	86	0.23
<b>Total interest earning assets</b>	<b>14,160,991</b>	<b>309,452</b>	<b>4.37</b>	<b>14,018,902</b>	<b>344,447</b>	<b>4.91</b>
Allowance for loan losses	(127,176)			(135,127)		
Cash and due from banks	410,014			443,651		
Other assets	1,432,521			1,448,051		
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net	(4,418)			(23,379)		
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 15,871,932</b>			<b>\$ 15,752,098</b>		
<b>Liabilities and shareholders' equity Interest bearing liabilities:</b>						
Savings, NOW and money market deposits	\$ 5,296,615	\$ 9,071	0.34%	\$ 5,068,373	\$ 10,044	0.40%
Time deposits	2,455,699	15,905	1.30	2,737,188	19,461	1.42
<b>Total interest bearing deposits</b>	<b>7,752,314</b>	<b>24,976</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>7,805,561</b>	<b>29,505</b>	<b>0.76</b>
Short-term borrowings	139,750	284	0.41	306,913	622	0.41
Long-term borrowings (4)	2,886,592	60,220	4.17	2,917,443	61,337	4.20
<b>Total interest bearing liabilities</b>	<b>10,778,656</b>	<b>85,480</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>11,029,917</b>	<b>91,464</b>	<b>1.66</b>
Non-interest bearing deposits	3,515,247			3,158,101		
Other liabilities	67,555			75,255		
Shareholders' equity	1,510,474			1,488,825		
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 15,871,932</b>			<b>\$ 15,752,098</b>		
Net interest income/interest rate spread (5)		\$ 223,972	2.78%		\$ 252,983	3.25%
Tax equivalent adjustment		(4,049)			(3,453)	
<b>Net interest income, as reported</b>		<b>\$ 219,923</b>			<b>\$ 249,530</b>	
Net interest margin (6)			3.11%			3.56%
Tax equivalent effect			0.05%			0.05%

Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (6)	3.16%	3.61%
---	-------	-------

- (1) Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent federal tax rate.
- (2) Loans are stated net of unearned income and include non-accrual loans.
- (3) The yield for securities that are classified as available for sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.
- (4) Includes junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts which are presented separately on the consolidated statements of financial condition.
- (5) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (6) Net interest income as a percentage of total average interest earning assets.

**Table of Contents**

The following table demonstrates the relative impact on net interest income of changes in the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in rates earned and paid by us on such assets and liabilities. Variances resulting from a combination of changes in volume and rates are allocated to the categories in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each category.

**Change in Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis**

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Compared with June 30, 2012			Compared with June 30, 2012		
	Change Due to Volume	Change Due to Rate	Total Change	Change Due to Volume	Change Due to Rate	Total Change
(in thousands)						
<b>Interest Income:</b>						
Loans*	\$ (3,898)	\$ (5,922)	\$ (9,820)	\$ (2,861)	\$ (22,375)	\$ (25,236)
Taxable investments	(444)	(4,915)	(5,359)	(3,588)	(8,104)	(11,692)
Tax-exempt investments*	1,207	(521)	686	2,511	(1,010)	1,501
Federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits	265	6	271	426	6	432
Total decrease in interest income	(2,870)	(11,352)	(14,222)	(3,512)	(31,483)	(34,995)
<b>Interest Expense:</b>						
Savings, NOW and money market deposits	239	(560)	(321)	437	(1,410)	(973)
Time deposits	(813)	(669)	(1,482)	(1,906)	(1,650)	(3,556)
Short-term borrowings	(239)	10	(229)	(340)	2	(338)
Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated debentures	(314)	42	(272)	(646)	(471)	(1,117)
Total decrease in interest expense	(1,127)	(1,177)	(2,304)	(2,455)	(3,529)	(5,984)
Total decrease in net interest income	\$ (1,743)	\$ (10,175)	\$ (11,918)	\$ (1,057)	\$ (27,954)	\$ (29,011)

\* Interest income is presented on a tax equivalent basis using a 35 percent tax rate.

**Non-Interest Income**

The following table presents the components of non-interest income for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
(in thousands)				
Trust and investment services	\$ 2,257	\$ 1,984	\$ 4,234	\$ 3,758
Insurance commissions	4,062	3,283	8,052	8,719
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,822	6,086	11,512	12,032
Gains on securities transactions, net	41	1,204	3,999	1,047
Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings		(550)		(550)
Trading (losses) gains, net Trading securities	(36)	(151)	(66)	101
Junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value	(234)	1,760	(2,406)	520
Total trading (losses) gains, net	(270)	1,609	(2,472)	621
Fees from loan servicing	1,721	1,149	3,238	2,308

Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Gains on sales of loans, net	14,366	3,141	29,426	6,307
Gains on sales of assets, net	678	256	410	288
Bank owned life insurance	1,424	1,632	2,765	3,591
Change in FDIC loss-share receivable	(2,000)	(7,022)	(5,175)	(7,112)
Other	4,793	11,258	8,201	15,616
<b>Total non-interest income</b>	<b>\$ 32,894</b>	<b>\$ 24,030</b>	<b>\$ 64,190</b>	<b>\$ 46,625</b>

**Table of Contents**

Net gains on securities transactions decreased \$1.2 million and increased \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared with the same periods in 2012. The decrease from the second quarter of 2012 was due to an immaterial amount of sales of investment securities during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in net gains for the first half of 2013 was primarily due to the sale of zero percent yielding Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae perpetual preferred stock classified as available for sale with amortized cost totaling \$941 thousand during the first quarter of 2013.

Net trading losses and gains represent the non-cash mark to market valuation of our junior subordinated debentures (issued by VNB Capital Trust I) carried at fair value and the non-cash mark to market valuations of a small number of single-issuer trust preferred securities held in our trading securities portfolio. Net trading gains decreased \$1.9 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 mainly due to the change in the valuation adjustments on the debentures carried at fair value based upon the exchange traded market prices of the related trust preferred securities issued by the capital trust. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for more details.

Net gains on sales of loans increased \$11.2 million and \$23.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 primarily due to our decision to sell a larger portion of our residential mortgage originations (starting in the second half of 2012) combined with record mortgage originations during the first half of 2013 caused, in part, by the low level of market interest rates and the continued success of Valley's low fixed-price refinance programs. Our net gains on sales of loans for each period are comprised of both gains on sales of residential mortgages and the net change in the mark to market gains (losses) on our loans held for sale carried at fair value each period end. The net change in the fair value of loans held for sale resulted in losses of \$3.7 million and \$5.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, partially offsetting the net gains on actual loan sales during the periods, as compared to mark to market gains of \$830 thousand and \$397 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, which increased the net gains recognized in such periods. We expect the overall level of net gains recognized during the second half of 2013 to substantially decline as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to lower refinanced loan activity caused by the recent increase in market interest rates, as well as our intent to hold for investment a larger portion of our loan originations because of the higher yields. Our decision to either sell or retain our mortgage loan production is dependent upon, amongst other factors, the levels of interest rates, consumer demand, the economy and our ability to maintain the appropriate level of interest rate risk on our balance sheet. See further discussions of our residential mortgage loan origination activity under Loans in the executive summary section of this MD&A above and the fair valuation of our loans held for sale at Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements.

The Bank and the FDIC share in the losses on loans and real estate owned as part of the loss-sharing agreements entered into on both of our FDIC-assisted transactions completed in March 2010. The asset arising from the loss-sharing agreements is referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our consolidated statements of financial condition. Within the non-interest income category, we may recognize income or expense related to the change in the FDIC loss-share receivable resulting from (i) a change in the estimated credit losses on the pools of covered loans, (ii) income from reimbursable expenses incurred during the period, (iii) accretion of the discount resulting from the present value of the receivable recorded at the acquisition dates, and (iv) prospective recognition of decreases in the receivable attributable to better than originally expected cash flows on certain covered loan pools. The aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable amounted to a \$2.0 million and \$5.2 million net reduction in non-interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The reduction to non-interest income during the second quarter of 2013 was largely due to the prospective recognition of decreases in the FDIC loss-share receivable caused by better than originally expected cash flows on certain pools, partially offset by an increase in the receivable for the FDIC's portion of reimbursable expenses incurred during the quarter. The \$5.2 million net reduction for the first half of 2013 was mainly the result of the aforementioned items and a decrease in additional credit impairment of certain loan pools, which also resulted in a \$2.3 million credit to our provision for losses on covered loans for the six months ended June 30, 2013. See FDIC Loss-Share Receivable Related to Covered Loans and Foreclosed Assets section below in this MD&A and Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for further details.

Other non-interest income decreased \$6.5 million and \$7.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012. Both decreases were largely the result of other non-interest income recognized in the second quarter of 2012 for the reversal of purchase accounting valuation liabilities totaling \$7.4 million, which related to expired and unused lines of credit assumed in FDIC-assisted transactions. The reversal also resulted in corresponding reduction in our FDIC loss-share receivable portion of such estimated losses as of the acquisition and were reflected in the change in FDIC loss-share receivable amounts presented for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 in the table above.

**Table of Contents****Non-Interest Expense**

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Salary and employee benefits expense	\$ 47,733	\$ 51,214	\$ 98,305	\$ 102,240
Net occupancy and equipment expense	18,179	16,903	37,068	34,265
FDIC insurance assessment	5,574	3,208	8,927	6,827
Amortization of other intangible assets	1,927	2,532	3,530	4,490
Professional and legal fees	4,285	3,345	8,177	6,969
Advertising	1,850	1,841	3,652	3,529
Other	15,798	12,467	31,126	27,738
Total non-interest expense	\$ 95,346	\$ 91,510	\$ 190,785	\$ 186,058

Salary and employee benefits expense decreased \$3.5 million and \$3.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 largely due to the decrease in our cash incentive compensation accruals and medical health insurance expense. Our health care expenses are at times volatile due to our election to self fund a large portion of our insurance plan and these medical expenses are expected to fluctuate based on our plan experience into the foreseeable future.

In June 2013, Valley elected to freeze its non-contributory defined benefit pension plan, the supplemental non-qualified pension plan, and the non-qualified directors' retirement plan effective December 31, 2013. The freeze is expected to decrease our total pension expense by \$2.1 million for the last six months of 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013 and considerably decrease our pension costs starting in January 2014. To partially offset the negative impact of these changes to our employees, we enhanced the benefits available under our 401(k) plan effective January 1, 2014. The enhancements include an increase in our employer matching contributions, which is expected to offset a portion of the pension cost reduction expected in 2014. See Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements for more details.

Net occupancy and equipment expenses increased \$1.3 million and \$2.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 mainly due higher repair and maintenance expenses, as well as an increase in depreciation expense primarily related to technological updates to certain systems, including new deposit automation systems implemented throughout our branch network during 2012.

FDIC insurance assessments increased \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 mostly due to adjustments to our assessment made by the FDIC during the second quarter of 2013. Based upon current estimates, the FDIC insurance assessment is expected to approximate \$4.0 million for the third quarter of 2013.

Amortization of other intangibles decreased \$605 thousand and \$960 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 mainly due to increases in the net recoveries of impairment charges on certain loan servicing rights during the first half of 2013, partially offset by higher amortization expense caused, in part, by additional loan servicing rights recorded since we implemented an originate and sell model for a large portion of our mortgage loan originations starting in the second half of 2012.

## **Table of Contents**

Professional and legal fees increased \$1.2 million and \$940 thousand for three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 mainly due to increases in legal expenses related to general corporate matters.

Other non-interest expense increased \$3.3 million and \$3.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2012 due to general increases across several small categories, including higher OREO expenses related to our foreclosed commercial real estate and residential properties.

The efficiency ratio measures total non-interest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus total non-interest income. Our efficiency ratio was 66.78 percent and 67.15 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as compared to 62.63 percent and 62.82 percent for the same periods in 2012, respectively. The negative upward movement in our efficiency ratio in the first half 2013 was largely attributable to a \$29.6 million decline in net interest income. We strive to maintain a low efficiency ratio through diligent management of our operating expenses and balance sheet. We believe this non-GAAP measure provides a meaningful comparison of our operational performance and facilitates investors' assessments of business performance and trends in comparison to our peers in the banking industry.

## **Income Taxes**

Income tax expense was \$11.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 reflecting an effective tax rate of 24.4 percent, as compared to \$14.4 million for the second quarter of 2012, reflecting an effective tax rate of 30.4 percent. The decrease in rate and tax expense as compared to the second quarter of 2012 was primarily due to the favorable tax effect of a corporate subsidiary's legal restructuring, an increase in tax credit investments and a lower anticipated effective tax rate for the remainder of 2013.

Income tax expense was \$23.8 million and \$29.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective tax rate decreased by 3.9 percent to 26.7 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 30.6 percent for the same period of 2012 primarily due to the aforementioned items.

U.S. GAAP requires that any change in judgment or change in measurement of a tax position taken in a prior annual period be recognized as a discrete event in the quarter in which it occurs, rather than being recognized as a change in effective tax rate for the current year. Our adherence to these tax guidelines may result in volatile effective income tax rates in future quarterly and annual periods. Factors that could impact management's judgment include changes in income, tax laws and regulations, and tax planning strategies. For the remainder of 2013, we anticipate that our effective tax rate will range from 26 to 29 percent.

## **Business Segments**

We have four business segments that we monitor and report on to manage our business operations. These segments are consumer lending, commercial lending, investment management, and corporate and other adjustments. Our reportable segments have been determined based upon Valley's internal structure of operations and lines of business. Each business segment is reviewed routinely for its asset growth, contribution to income before income taxes and return on average interest earning assets and impairment (if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount). Expenses related to the branch network, all other components of retail banking, along with the back office departments of our subsidiary bank are allocated from the corporate and other adjustments segment to each of the other three business segments. Interest expense and internal transfer expense (for general corporate expenses) are allocated to each business segment utilizing a pool funding methodology, which involves the allocation of uniform funding cost based on each segment's average earning assets outstanding for the period. The financial reporting for each segment contains allocations and reporting in line with our operations, which may not necessarily be comparable to any other financial institution. The accounting for each segment includes internal accounting policies designed to measure consistent and reasonable financial reporting, and may result in income and expense measurements that differ from amounts under U.S. GAAP. Furthermore, changes in management structure or allocation methodologies and procedures may result in changes in reported segment financial data. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

**Table of Contents**

The following tables present the financial data for each business segment for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2013</b>				<b>Total</b>
	<b>Consumer Lending</b>	<b>Commercial Lending</b>	<b>Investment Management (\$ in thousands)</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Adjustments</b>	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,887,451	\$ 7,099,152	\$ 3,236,713	\$	\$ 14,223,316
Income (loss) before income taxes	20,895	33,290	850	(10,152)	44,883
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	2.15%	1.88%	0.11%	N/A	1.26%

  

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2012</b>				<b>Total</b>
	<b>Consumer Lending</b>	<b>Commercial Lending</b>	<b>Investment Management (\$ in thousands)</b>	<b>Corporate and Other Adjustments</b>	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,975,534	\$ 7,322,408	\$ 2,780,083	\$	\$ 14,078,025
Income before income taxes	14,708	22,437	6,866	3,175	47,186
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	1.48%	1.23%	0.99%	N/A	1.34%

**Consumer Lending**

This segment, representing 35.8 percent of our loan portfolio at June 30, 2013, is mainly comprised of residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and automobile loans. The duration of the residential mortgage loan portfolio, which including covered loans represented 22.3 percent of our loan portfolio at June 30, 2013, is subject to movements in the market level of interest rates and forecasted prepayment speeds. The weighted average life of the automobile loans (representing 7.7 percent of total loans at June 30, 2013) is relatively unaffected by movements in the market level of interest rates. However, the average life may be impacted by new loans as a result of the availability of credit within the automobile marketplace and consumer demand for purchasing new or used automobiles. The consumer lending segment also includes the Wealth Management Division, comprised of trust, asset management, insurance services, and asset-based lending support services.

Average assets for the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$88.1 million as compared to the second quarter of 2012. This decrease largely resulted from our continued sales of the majority of our new and refinanced residential mortgage loan originations, partially offset by growth in both auto loans and personal lines of credit over the last twelve months. During the second quarter of 2013, we originated over \$482 million in new and refinanced residential mortgage loans and retained only approximately 19 percent of these loans in our loan portfolio at June 30, 2013 as compared to 81 percent of \$478 million in new loan originations retained during the second quarter of 2012. In addition, the home equity loan portfolio declined from the second quarter of 2012 mainly due to continued normal repayment activity outpacing new loan origination volumes.

Income before income taxes increased \$6.2 million to \$20.9 million for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increase was mainly due to higher non-interest income, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income. Non-interest income increased \$11.7 million to \$25.0 million for the second quarter of 2013 due to an increase in net gains on sales of residential mortgage loans as we sold a larger portion of our mortgage loan originations during the second quarter of 2013. Net interest income decreased \$4.3 million as compared with the second quarter of 2012. The decrease was mainly driven by lower average loan balances coupled with lower yields on average new loans, partially offset by a decline in the cost of deposit accounts and lower average balances for time deposits.



## **Table of Contents**

The net interest margin decreased 36 basis points to 2.96 percent for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago mainly as a result of a 44 basis point decrease in yield on average loans caused by the current and prolonged low level of market interest rates on new loans, partially offset by an 8 basis point decrease in costs associated with our funding sources. The decrease in our cost of funds was mainly due the run-off of maturing high cost certificates of deposit, lower interest rates offered on most of our deposit products over the past twelve month, a decline in the cost of long-term borrowings, as well as the expiration of interest rate cap derivatives in May 2013 that hedged the cash flows of certain customer repurchase agreements and money market deposit accounts.

### ***Commercial Lending***

The commercial lending segment is mainly comprised of floating rate and adjustable rate commercial and industrial loans, as well as fixed rate owner occupied and commercial real estate loans. Due to the portfolio's interest rate characteristics, commercial lending is Valley's business segment that is most sensitive to movements in market interest rates. Commercial and industrial loans, including \$33.0 million of covered loans, totaled approximately \$2.0 billion and represented 18.6 percent of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2013. Commercial real estate loans and construction loans, including \$98.2 million of covered loans, totaled \$5.0 billion and represented 45.6 percent of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2013.

Average assets for the three months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$223.3 million as compared to the second quarter of 2012. This decrease was primarily attributable to continued strong market competition for quality credits, loan repayments, lower line of credit usage, and a \$94.2 million decline in the non-covered PCI loan portfolio over the last 12-month period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, income before income taxes increased \$10.9 million to \$33.3 million as compared to the second quarter of 2012 mostly due to a decrease in the provision for credit losses coupled with an increase in non-interest income, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income. The provision for credit losses decreased \$5.8 million during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter of 2012 mainly due to improved expected loss experience and economic outlook since one year ago. Non-interest income increased \$4.3 million as compared to the second quarter of 2012 largely due to the aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable. Additionally, net interest income decreased \$2.7 million mainly due to a decrease in average loan balances as repayments outpaced loan volumes in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio as well as lower yields on average loans, offset mostly by additional interest accretion of approximately \$2 million recognized on covered PCI loans during the second quarter of 2013 due to better than expected cash flows for certain loan pools subsequent to acquisition.

The net interest margin decreased 2 basis points to 4.29 for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago mainly as a result of a 10 basis point decrease in yield on average loans, partially offset by the 8 basis point decrease in the costs of our funding sources.

### ***Investment Management***

The investment management segment generates a large portion of our income through investments in various types of securities and interest-bearing deposits with other banks. These investments are mainly comprised of fixed rate securities, trading securities, and depending on our liquid cash position, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with banks (primarily the Federal Reserve Bank of New York), as part of our asset/liability management strategies. The fixed rate investments are one of Valley's least sensitive assets to changes in market interest rates. However, a portion of the investment portfolio is invested in shorter-duration securities to maintain the overall asset sensitivity of our balance sheet (see the Asset/Liability Management section below for further analysis). Net gains and losses on the change in fair value of trading securities and net impairment losses on securities are reflected in the corporate and other adjustments segment.

## **Table of Contents**

Average investments increased \$456.6 million during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter in 2012 primarily due to a \$386.8 million increase in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits. The increase in average federal funds sold and other interest bearing deposits resulted from excess liquidity (mostly held in overnight interest bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York) mainly caused by large repayments within the loan portfolio and an increase in our average deposits (primarily non-interest bearing deposits) over the last twelve months which both outpaced our overall loan volumes. In addition, the increase in average investments during the second quarter of 2013 was also attributable to purchases of residential mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored agencies, corporate bonds and municipal bond securities over the last twelve month period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013, income before income taxes decreased \$6.0 million to \$850 thousand for the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$6.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 mostly due to a \$4.9 million decrease in net interest income and an \$888 thousand increase in internal transfer expense. The decrease in net interest income was mainly driven by a 102 basis point decline in the yield on investments resulting from the reinvestment of principal and interest received from higher yielding securities into new securities yielding lower market interest rates over the last twelve months, as well as an increase in the amortization of premiums on certain mortgage-backed securities caused by high prepayment speeds.

The net interest margin decreased 94 basis points to 1.46 percent for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same quarter one year ago largely due to the 102 basis point decrease in the yield on investments, partially offset by lower costs associated with our funding sources.

### ***Corporate and other adjustments***

The amounts disclosed as corporate and other adjustments represent income and expense items not directly attributable to a specific segment, including net trading and securities gains and losses, and net impairment losses on securities not reported in the investment management segment above, interest expense related to the junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts, the change in fair value of Valley's junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, interest expense related to certain subordinated notes, as well as income and expense from derivative financial instruments.

The income before income taxes for the corporate segment decreased \$13.3 million to a \$10.2 million loss for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to income of \$3.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Non-interest income decreased \$6.9 million largely due to a reversal of purchase accounting valuation liabilities totaling \$7.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 related to expired and unused lines of credit assumed in FDIC-assisted transactions. Net gains on securities transactions decreased \$1.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 as compared with the same period in 2012 mainly due to an immaterial amount of investment securities sales during the second quarter of 2013. Net trading gains decreased \$1.9 million to a net loss of \$270 thousand during the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the same period year ago mainly due to the change in the non-cash mark to market adjustments on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value. Additionally, internal transfer income decreased \$4.6 million as compared to the same quarter one year ago.

**Table of Contents**

The following tables present the financial data for each business segment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013				Total
	Consumer Lending	Commercial Lending	Investment Management (\$ in thousands)	Corporate and Other Adjustments	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,883,596	\$ 7,133,840	\$ 3,143,555	\$	\$ 14,160,991
Income (loss) before income taxes	41,132	57,098	1,876	(11,099)	89,007
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	2.12%	1.60%	0.12%	N/A	1.26%

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012				Total
	Consumer Lending	Commercial Lending	Investment Management (\$ in thousands)	Corporate and Other Adjustments	
Average interest earning assets	\$ 3,851,981	\$ 7,275,323	\$ 2,891,598	\$	\$ 14,018,902
Income (loss) before income taxes	27,713	59,799	14,034	(4,551)	96,995
Annualized return on average interest earning assets (before tax)	1.44%	1.64%	0.97%	N/A	1.38%

**Consumer Lending**

Average interest earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2013 increased \$31.6 million, or approximately 1 percent, as compared to the same period in 2012. The modest increase was mainly due to growth in auto loans and personal lines of credit, partially offset by a slight decline in residential mortgage loans as we sold a large portion of our mortgage loan originations due, in part, to the sustained low level of market interest rates over the last twelve month period.

Income before income taxes increased \$13.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 to \$41.1 million as compared to \$27.7 million for the same period in 2012, mostly due to an increase in non-interest income, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income. Non-interest income increased \$23.0 million for the first half of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 mainly due to a \$23.1 million increase in the net gains on sales of loans caused by the aforementioned high level of mortgage loan originations for sale. Net interest income decreased \$7.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 mainly due to lower yielding new and refinanced loans and a decrease in the mix of higher yielding residential mortgage loan balances within the segments composition.

The net interest margin decreased 39 basis points to 2.99 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2012 due to a 48 basis point decrease in interest yield, partially offset by a 9 basis point decrease in costs associated with our funding sources.

**Commercial Lending**

Average interest earning assets for the six months ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$141.5 million as compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease was primarily attributable to continued loan repayments (largely within the PCI loan portfolios) including some full loan repayments from a few large borrowers which outpaced loan volumes in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio, lower line of credit usage, and continued strong market competition for quality credits, especially in our new Long Island marketplace.

**Table of Contents**

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, income before income taxes decreased \$2.7 million to \$57.1 million compared with the same period one year ago. The decrease was primarily due to lower net interest income, partially offset by a decline in the provision for loan losses and a decrease in internal transfer expense. Net interest income decreased \$12.4 million during the first half of 2013 to \$149.8 million as compared to \$162.3 million for the same period in 2012 and was driven by lower interest rates on new and refinanced loans, as well as lower average loan balances. The provision for loan losses decreased \$7.6 million during the first six months of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 due to a lower provision for credit losses on non-covered loans and a \$2.3 million credit to the provision for covered loans recorded during the first half of 2013 as a result of decline in additional estimated credit losses. Internal transfer expense also decreased \$3.3 million to \$58.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2012.

The net interest margin decreased 26 basis points to 4.20 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period of 2012 due to a 35 basis point decrease in interest yield, partially offset by a 9 basis points decrease in costs associated with our funding sources.

***Investment Management***

Average investments increased \$252.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period one year ago primarily due to a high level of excess liquidity maintained in overnight funds throughout 2013 and the latter half of 2012 mainly caused by large repayments within the loan portfolio and an increase in our average deposits over the last twelve months which both outpaced our overall loan volumes.

Income before income taxes decreased \$12.2 million to \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$14.0 million for the same period of 2012 primarily due to a \$9.7 million decrease in net interest income and a \$1.6 million increase in the internal transfer expense. The decrease in net interest income was driven by the aforementioned decline in the yield on investments mainly resulting from the reinvestment of principal and interest received from higher yielding securities into new securities yielding lower market interest rates over the last twelve months, as well as an increase in the amortization of premiums on certain mortgage-backed securities.

The net interest margin decreased 81 basis points to 1.62 percent for the first half of 2013 as compared to the same period one year ago as a result of the aforementioned decrease in yield on investments, partially offset by a 9 basis point decrease in costs associated with our funding sources.

***Corporate Segment***

The loss before income taxes for the corporate segment increased \$6.5 million to \$11.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to a \$4.6 million for the same period of 2012. Non-interest income decreased \$5.3 million as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012 largely due to a reversal of purchase accounting valuation liabilities totaling \$7.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 related to expired and unused lines of credit assumed in FDIC-assisted transactions. The internal transfer income also decreased \$1.5 million during the six months ended June 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

**ASSET/LIABILITY MANAGEMENT****Interest Rate Sensitivity**

Our success is largely dependent upon our ability to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be defined as the exposure of our interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities to the movement in interest rates. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for managing such risks and establishing policies that monitor and coordinate our sources and uses of funds. Asset/Liability management is a continuous process due to the constant change in interest rate risk factors. In assessing the appropriate interest rate risk levels for us, management weighs the potential benefit of each risk management activity within the desired parameters of liquidity, capital levels and management's tolerance for exposure to income fluctuations. Many of the actions undertaken by management utilize fair value analysis and attempts to achieve consistent accounting and economic benefits for financial assets and their related funding sources. We have predominately focused on managing our interest rate risk by attempting to match the inherent risk and cash flows of

**Table of Contents**

financial assets and liabilities. Specifically, management employs multiple risk management activities such as optimizing the level of new residential mortgage originations retained in our mortgage portfolio through increasing or decreasing loan sales in the secondary market, product pricing levels, the desired maturity levels for new originations, the composition levels of both our interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, as well as several other risk management activities.

We use a simulation model to analyze net interest income sensitivity to movements in interest rates. The simulation model projects net interest income based on various interest rate scenarios over a twelve and twenty-four month period. The model is based on the actual maturity and re-pricing characteristics of rate sensitive assets and liabilities. The model incorporates certain assumptions which management believes to be reasonable regarding the impact of changing interest rates and the prepayment assumptions of certain assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2013. The model assumes changes in interest rates without any proactive change in the composition or size of the balance sheet by management. In the model, the forecasted shape of the yield curve remains static as of June 30, 2013. The impact of interest rate derivatives, such as interest rate swaps and caps, is also included in the model.

Our simulation model is based on market interest rates and prepayment speeds prevalent in the market as of June 30, 2013. Although the size of Valley's balance sheet is forecasted to remain static as of June 30, 2013 in our model, the composition is adjusted to reflect new interest earning assets and funding originations coupled with rate spreads utilizing our actual originations during the second quarter of 2013. The model utilizes an immediate parallel shift in the market interest rates at June 30, 2013.

The following table reflects management's expectations of the change in our net interest income over the next twelve month-period in light of the aforementioned assumptions:

Changes in Interest Rates (in basis points)	Estimated Change in Future Net Interest Income	
	Dollar Change (\$ in thousands)	Percentage Change
+200	\$ 11,363	2.56%
+100	384	0.09
-100	(12,270)	(2.76)

The assumptions used in the net interest income simulation are inherently uncertain. Actual results may differ significantly from those presented in the table above, due to the frequency and timing of changes in interest rates, and changes in spreads between maturity and re-pricing categories. Overall, our net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates and cash flows from our loan and investment portfolios. We actively manage these cash flows in conjunction with our liability mix, duration and interest rates to optimize the net interest income, while structuring the balance sheet in response to actual or potential changes in interest rates. Additionally, our net interest income is impacted by the level of competition within our marketplace. Competition can negatively impact the level of interest rates attainable on loans and increase the cost of deposits, which may result in downward pressure on our net interest margin in future periods. Other factors, including, but not limited to, the slope of the yield curve and projected cash flows will impact our net interest income results and may increase or decrease the level of asset sensitivity of our balance sheet.

Convexity is a measure of how the duration of a financial instrument changes as market interest rates change. Potential movements in the convexity of bonds held in our investment portfolio, as well as the duration of the loan portfolio may have a positive or negative impact on our net interest income in varying interest rate environments. As a result, the increase or decrease in forecasted net interest income may not have a linear relationship to the results reflected in the table above. Management cannot provide any assurance about the actual effect of changes in interest rates on our net interest income.

---

## **Table of Contents**

As noted in the table above, a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates is projected to increase net interest income over the next twelve months by only 0.09 percent. Our balance sheet sensitivity to such a move in interest rates at June 30, 2013 decreased as compared to March 31, 2013 (which was an increase of 1.83 percent in net interest income over a 12 month period) largely due to a \$289.1 million decrease in interest bearing deposits with banks, comprised mostly of overnight cash deposits that are immediately sensitive to a rise in interest rates. These cash deposits, largely held at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, decreased due to the redeployment of such excess liquidity into less rate-sensitive new loans and investments during the second quarter of 2013. Additionally, our current positive sensitivity to a 100 basis point increase in interest rates is somewhat limited by the fact that many of our adjustable rate loans are tied to the Valley prime rate (set by management), which currently exceeds the U.S. prime rate by 125 basis points. Due to its current level above the U.S. prime rate, the Valley prime rate is not projected to increase under the 100 basis point immediate increase scenario in our simulation, but would increase and positively impact our net interest income in a 200 basis point immediate increase in interest rates scenario. Other factors, including, but not limited to, the slope of the yield curve and projected cash flows will impact our net interest income results and may increase or decrease the level of asset sensitivity of our balance sheet.

Although we do not expect our Valley prime rate loan portfolio to have an immediate benefit to our interest income in a rising interest rate environment, we attempt to manage the Bank's aggregate sensitivity in a manner to mitigate the potential lag in the portfolios re-pricing. We expect interest income on many of our residential mortgage-backed securities with unamortized purchase premiums to improve if interest rates were to move upward and prepayment speeds on the underlying mortgages decline. The decline in prepayments will lengthen the expected life of each security and reduce the amount of premium amortization expense recognized against interest income each period. However, many of the residential mortgage-backed securities have rapidly paid down in the current low interest rate environment, and the resulting acceleration of the securities' premium amortization has negatively impacted our interest income during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and may continue to do so if the market interest rates remain at relatively low historical levels.

Our interest rate swaps and caps designated as cash flow hedging relationships are designed to protect us from upward movements in interest rates on certain deposits and short-term borrowings based on the prime rate (as reported by The Wall Street Journal). We have 4 cash flow hedge interest rate swaps with a total notional value of \$300 million at June 30, 2013 that currently pay fixed and receive floating rates. Additionally, we utilize fair value and non-designated hedge interest rate swaps at times to effectively convert fixed rate loans and deposits to floating rate instruments. Most of these actions are expected to benefit our net interest income in a rising interest rate environment. However, due to the prolonged low level of market interest rates and the strike rate of these instruments, the cash flow hedge interest rate swaps and caps negatively impacted our net interest income during both the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. We expect this negative trend to continue into the foreseeable future due to the Federal Reserve's pledge to keep market interest rates low in an effort to help the ailing economy. See Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for further details on our derivative transactions.

## **Liquidity**

### ***Bank Liquidity***

Liquidity measures the ability to satisfy current and future cash flow needs as they become due. A bank's liquidity reflects its ability to meet loan demand, to accommodate possible outflows in deposits and to take advantage of interest rate opportunities in the marketplace. Liquidity management is monitored by our Asset/Liability Management Committee and the Investment Committee of the Board of Directors of Valley National Bank, which review historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, sources and stability of funding, marketability of assets, options for attracting additional funds, and anticipated future funding needs, including the level of unfunded commitments. Our goal is to maintain sufficient asset-based liquidity to cover potential funding requirements in order to minimize our dependence on volatile and potentially unstable funding markets.

The Bank has no required regulatory liquidity ratios to maintain; however, it adheres to an internal liquidity policy. The current policy maintains that we may not have a ratio of loans to deposits in excess of 120 percent and non-core funding (which generally includes certificates of deposit \$100 thousand and over, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and FHLB advances) greater than 50 percent of total assets. The Bank was in compliance with the foregoing policies at June 30, 2013.

---

**Table of Contents**

On the asset side of the balance sheet, the Bank has numerous sources of liquid funds in the form of cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits with banks (including the Federal Reserve Bank of New York), investment securities held to maturity that are maturing within 90 days or would otherwise qualify as maturities if sold (i.e., 85 percent of original cost basis has been repaid), investment securities available for sale, trading securities, loans held for sale, and, from time to time, federal funds sold and receivables related to unsettled securities transactions. These liquid assets totaled approximately \$1.8 billion, representing 12.9 percent of earning assets, at June 30, 2013 and \$1.9 billion, representing 13.4 percent of earning assets, at December 31, 2012. Of the \$1.8 billion of liquid assets at June 30, 2013, approximately \$422 million of various investment securities were pledged to counterparties to support our earning asset funding strategies. We anticipate the receipt of approximately \$404 million in principal from securities in the total investment portfolio over the next twelve months due to normally scheduled principal repayments and expected prepayments of certain securities, primarily residential mortgage-backed securities.

Additional liquidity is derived from scheduled loan payments of principal and interest, as well as prepayments received. Loan principal payments (including loans held for sale at June 30, 2013) are projected to be approximately \$3.6 billion over the next 12 months. As a contingency plan for significant funding needs, liquidity could also be derived from the sale of conforming residential mortgages from our loan portfolio, or from the temporary curtailment of lending activities.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, we utilize multiple sources of funds to meet liquidity needs. Our core deposit base, which generally excludes certificates of deposit over \$100 thousand as well as brokered certificates of deposit, represents the largest of these sources. Core deposits averaged approximately \$10.1 billion and \$9.9 billion for the second quarter of 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, representing 71.2 percent and 70.3 percent of average earning assets for the same periods of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The level of interest bearing deposits is affected by interest rates offered, which is often influenced by our need for funds and the need to match the maturities of assets and liabilities.

Additional funding may be provided from short-term liquidity borrowings through deposit gathering networks and in the form of federal funds purchased through our well established relationships with several correspondent banks. While there are no firm lending commitments currently in place, management believes that we could borrow approximately \$970 million for a short time from these banks on a collective basis. The Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and has the ability to borrow from them in the form of FHLB advances secured by pledges of certain eligible collateral, including but not limited to U.S. government and agency mortgage-backed securities and a blanket assignment of qualifying first lien mortgage loans, consisting of both residential mortgage and commercial real estate loans. Furthermore, we are able to obtain overnight borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank via the discount window as a contingency for additional liquidity. At June 30, 2013, our borrowing capacity under the Fed's discount window was approximately \$994 million.

We also have access to other short-term and long-term borrowing sources to support our asset base, such as securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repos). Our short-term borrowings decreased \$29.2 million to \$125.1 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$154.3 million at December 31, 2012 due to lower repo balances. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all short-term repos represent customer deposit balances being swept into this vehicle overnight.

***Corporation Liquidity***

Valley's recurring cash requirements primarily consist of dividends to common shareholders and interest expense on junior subordinated debentures issued to capital trusts. These cash needs are routinely satisfied by dividends collected from the Bank. Projected cash flows from the Bank are expected to be adequate to pay common dividends, if declared, and interest expense payable to capital trusts, given the current capital levels and current profitable operations of the bank subsidiary. In addition to dividends received from the Bank, Valley can satisfy its cash requirements by utilizing its own funds, cash and sale of investments, as well as potential borrowed funds from outside sources. In the event Valley would exercise the right to defer payments on the junior subordinated debentures, and therefore distributions on its trust preferred securities, Valley would be unable to pay dividends on its common stock until the deferred payments are made.

---

## **Table of Contents**

As part of our on-going asset/liability management strategies, Valley could use cash to repurchase shares of its outstanding common stock under its share repurchase program or redeem its callable junior subordinated debentures issued to VNB Capital Trust I, State Bancorp Capital Trust I, and State Bancorp Capital Trust II using Valley's own funds and/or dividends received from the Bank, as well as new borrowed funds or capital issuances. On July 26, 2013, we redeemed \$15.0 million of the principal face value of the trust preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I (included in Valley's Tier 1 capital position) and approximately \$15.5 million of the principal face amount of the related outstanding junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within our interest bearing liabilities at June 30, 2013.

### **Investment Securities Portfolio**

As of June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$1.8 billion, \$958.7 million, and \$14.2 million in held to maturity, available for sale and trading securities, respectively. At June 30, 2013, our investment portfolio was comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agencies, tax-exempt issues of states and political subdivisions, residential mortgage-backed securities (including 15 private label mortgage-backed securities), single-issuer trust preferred securities principally issued by bank holding companies (including 3 pooled securities), high quality corporate bonds and perpetual preferred and common equity securities issued by banks. There were no securities in the name of any one issuer exceeding 10 percent of shareholders' equity, except for residential mortgage-backed securities issued by Ginnie Mae and Fannie Mae.

Among other securities, our investments in the private label mortgage-backed securities, trust preferred securities, perpetual preferred securities, equity securities, and bank issued corporate bonds may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges to us as a result of the uncertain economic recovery and its potential negative effect on the future performance of the security issuers and, if applicable, the underlying mortgage loan collateral of the security.

### ***Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis***

We may be required to record impairment charges on our investment securities if they suffer a decline in value that is considered other-than-temporary. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on our investment portfolio and may result in other-than temporary impairment on our investment securities in future periods.

Other-than-temporary impairment means we believe the security's impairment is due to factors that could include its inability to pay interest or dividends, its potential for default, and/or other factors. As a result of the current authoritative accounting guidance, when a held to maturity or available for sale debt security is assessed for other-than-temporary impairment, we have to first consider (i) whether we intend to sell the security, and (ii) whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances applies to a security, an other-than-temporary impairment loss is recognized in the statement of income equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances applies to a security, but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (i) the amount related to credit loss, and (ii) the amount related to other factors. In assessing the level of other-than-temporary impairment attributable to credit loss, we compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. As discussed above, the portion of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The total other-than-temporary impairment loss is presented in the statement of income, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. The amount of an additional other-than-temporary impairment related to credit losses recognized during the period may be recorded as a reclassification adjustment from the accumulated other comprehensive loss. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss. To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, Valley considers several factors that include, but are not limited to the following:

The severity and duration of the decline, including the causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;



**Table of Contents**

Adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or geographic area;

Failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency or, if applicable, any regulatory actions impacting the security issuer;

Recoveries or additional declines in fair value after the balance sheet date;

Our ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term; and

Our intent to sell debt security investments, or if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis.

For debt securities, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not we expect to collect all contractual cash flows. See Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Analysis section of Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our quarterly impairment analysis by security type.

The investment grades in the table below reflect the most current independent analysis performed by third parties of each security as of the date presented and not necessarily the investment grades at the date of our purchase of the securities. For many securities, the rating agencies may not have performed an independent analysis of the tranches owned by us, but rather an analysis of the entire investment pool. For this and other reasons, we believe the assigned investment grades may not accurately reflect the actual credit quality of each security and should not be viewed in isolation as a measure of the quality of our investment portfolio.

The following table presents the held to maturity and available for sale investment securities portfolios by investment grades at June 30, 2013.

	Amortized Cost	June 30, 2013 Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
		(in thousands)		
<b>Held to maturity investment grades:*</b>				
AAA Rated	\$ 1,184,524	\$ 24,949	\$ (21,737)	\$ 1,187,736
AA Rated	275,645	6,566	(7,147)	275,064
A Rated	23,531	945	(2)	24,474
BBB Rated	68,240	4,931	(91)	73,080
Non-investment grade	29,320	1,185	(342)	30,163
Not rated	185,687	26	(12,049)	173,664
Total investment securities held to maturity	\$ 1,766,947	\$ 38,602	\$ (41,368)	\$ 1,764,181
<b>Available for sale investment grades:*</b>				
AAA Rated	\$ 729,936	\$ 5,027	\$ (31,763)	\$ 703,200
AA Rated	14,034	747	(417)	14,364
A Rated	52,118	914	(3,864)	49,168
BBB Rated	73,064	1,240	(2,400)	71,904
Non-investment grade	46,947	1,087	(4,263)	43,771
Not rated	70,006	7,010	(767)	76,249

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total investment securities available for sale	\$ 986,105	\$ 16,025	\$ (43,474)	\$ 958,656
--	------------	-----------	-------------	------------

\* Rated using external rating agencies (primarily S&P and Moody's). Ratings categories include the entire range. For example, A rated includes A+, A, and A-. Split rated securities with two ratings are categorized at the higher of the rating levels.

## **Table of Contents**

The held to maturity portfolio includes \$185.7 million in investments not rated by the rating agencies with aggregate unrealized losses of \$12.0 million at June 30, 2013. The unrealized losses for this category mostly relate to 4 single-issuer bank trust preferred issuances with a combined amortized cost of \$35.9 million. All single-issuer bank trust preferred securities classified as held to maturity, including the aforementioned four securities, are paying in accordance with their terms and have no deferrals of interest or defaults. Additionally, we analyze the performance of each issuer on a quarterly basis, including a review of performance data from the issuer's most recent bank regulatory report to assess the company's credit risk and the probability of impairment of the contractual cash flows of the applicable security. Based upon our quarterly review at June 30, 2013, all of the issuers appear to meet the regulatory capital minimum requirements to be considered a well-capitalized financial institution and/or have maintained performance levels adequate to support the contractual cash flows of the security.

The available for sale portfolio includes non-investment grade rated investments with amortized costs and fair values totaling \$46.9 million and \$43.8 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013. The \$4.3 million in unrealized losses for this category primarily relate to 2 private label mortgage-backed securities (including 1 security with total loss of \$1.6 million) and 4 trust preferred securities (including 2 pooled trust preferred securities). Of the six securities, three were found to be other-than-temporarily impaired prior to December 31, 2012. The available for sale portfolio also includes investments not rated by the rating agencies with aggregate fair values and unrealized losses of \$76.2 million and \$767 thousand, respectively, at June 30, 2013. The unrealized losses for this category are largely related to trust preferred securities issued by one deferring bank holding company that were other-than-temporarily impaired prior to December 31, 2012. See further details regarding these impaired securities and our other-than-temporary analysis in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements and Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities section below.

### *Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Securities*

Other-than-temporary impairment is a non-cash charge and not necessarily an indicator of a permanent decline in value. Security valuations require significant estimates, judgments and assumptions by management and are considered a critical accounting policy of Valley.

There were no other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, Valley recognized net impairment losses on securities in earnings totaling \$550 thousand due to additional estimated credit losses on 1 of 5 previously impaired private label mortgage-backed securities. At June 30, 2013, the 5 impaired private label mortgage-backed securities had a combined amortized cost of \$27.7 million and fair value of \$28.3 million.

**Table of Contents****Loan Portfolio**

The following table reflects the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates presented:

	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
<b>Non-covered loans</b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,988,404	\$ 2,045,514	\$ 2,084,826	\$ 2,118,870	\$ 2,165,656
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate	4,437,712	4,351,291	4,417,709	4,445,338	4,441,026
Construction	426,891	438,674	425,444	435,939	411,639
Total commercial real estate	4,864,603	4,789,965	4,843,153	4,881,277	4,852,665
Residential mortgage	2,412,968	2,352,560	2,462,429	2,499,554	2,745,101
Consumer:					
Home equity	455,166	462,297	485,458	492,338	499,749
Automobile	835,271	811,060	786,528	789,248	778,181
Other consumer	184,796	188,827	179,731	160,118	155,963
Total consumer loans	1,475,233	1,462,184	1,451,717	1,441,704	1,433,893
Total non-covered loans	10,741,208	10,650,223	10,842,125	10,941,405	11,197,315
<b>Covered loans <sup>(1)</sup></b>	141,817	161,276	180,674	207,533	226,537
Total loans <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 10,883,025	\$ 10,811,499	\$ 11,022,799	\$ 11,148,938	\$ 11,423,852
As a percent of total loans:					
Commercial and industrial	18.3%	18.9%	19.0%	19.0%	18.9%
Commercial real estate	44.6	44.3	43.9	43.8	42.5
Residential mortgage	22.2	21.8	22.3	22.4	24.0
Consumer loans	13.6	13.5	13.2	12.9	12.6
Covered loans	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.9	2.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Covered loans primarily consist of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans.

(2) Total loans are net of unearned discount and deferred loan fees totaling \$8.3 million, \$6.8 million, \$3.4 million, \$3.8 million, and \$1.2 million at June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012, and June 30, 2012, respectively.

**Non-covered Loans**

Non-covered loans (loans not subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC) increased \$91.0 million to \$10.7 billion at June 30, 2013 from March 31, 2013 largely due to solid organic commercial real estate (excluding construction) loan growth and approximately \$162 million of residential mortgage loans purchased in the second quarter of 2013, partially offset by declines mainly within the commercial and industrial loan segment of our purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loan portfolios.

Total commercial and industrial loans decreased \$57.1 million from March 31, 2013 to approximately \$2.0 billion at June 30, 2013 mainly due to a \$46.4 million decline in the PCI loan portfolio. During the second quarter, we continued to experience strong market competition for quality credits, as well as a slight reduction in line of credit usage, which offset an increase in our total line commitments from the prior quarter.

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total commercial real estate loans (excluding construction loans) increased \$86.4 million from the first quarter of 2013 to \$4.4 billion at June 30, 2013, despite a \$49.4 million decrease in non-covered PCI loans acquired and purchased in 2012. Strong loan origination volumes were seen across many types of commercial real estate borrowers and led by co-op building loans within our New York markets. The decline in the PCI loans was due to normal payments, as well as prepayments caused by strong competition in the Long Island market and, to some extent, excess borrower liquidity. Additionally, construction loans decreased \$11.8 million to \$426.9 million at June 30, 2013 from March 31, 2013 mainly due to a decline in the non-PCI loan portion of the portfolio caused by normal paydowns on existing construction loans and soft demand.

---

**Table of Contents**

Total residential mortgage loans increased \$60.4 million to \$2.4 billion at June 30, 2013 from March 31, 2013 mostly due to two loan purchases from third party originators totaling approximately \$162 million, partially offset by a high volume of second quarter refinancing activity where many of the new loans were either sold in the secondary market or held for sale at June 30, 2013. From time to time, the Bank purchases residential mortgage loans, as well as automobile loans (see discussion below), originated by, and sometimes serviced by, other financial institutions based on several factors, including current loan origination volumes, market interest rates, excess liquidity and other asset/liability management strategies. All of the purchased loans are selected using Valley's normal underwriting criteria at the time of purchase, or in some cases, guaranteed by third parties. Of the \$162 million of loan purchases in the second quarter, approximately \$70 million are serviced and fully guaranteed by a third party originator with a Moody's debt rating of Aa1. During the second quarter of 2013, we originated over \$482 million in new and refinanced residential mortgage loans and retained approximately 19 percent of these loans in our loan portfolio at June 30, 2013. Loans held for sale carried at fair value decreased \$86.2 million to \$48.9 million (with \$49.1 million in unpaid contractual balances) at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$135.1 million (with \$131.5 million in unpaid contractual balances) at March 31, 2013. Our residential mortgage pipeline was robust for most of the second quarter mainly due to the continued success of our low fixed-price refinance programs and the low level of market interest rates. However, based upon the recent increase in market interest rates prompted by comments from the Federal Reserve during June, we expect to originate and hold a larger portion of our mortgage loan originations during the remainder of 2013, assuming that market rates continue to hold at acceptable levels and we are able to maintain an appropriate mix of residential mortgage loans on our balance sheet. Although we expect our retention of the mortgages to benefit the net interest margin during the third quarter of 2013, net gains on sales of loans are expected to substantially decline as compared to the first two quarters of 2013 based upon a decrease in sales and lower sale margins.

Total consumer loans increased \$13.0 million from March 31, 2013 largely due to an increase in the automobile loan portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in other consumer loan portfolio as well as paydowns of home equity loans during the second quarter of 2013. Automobile loans increased by \$24.2 million to \$835.3 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to March 31, 2013 as our new loan volume remained strong throughout the first half of 2013. During the second quarter of 2013, we also purchased approximately \$5.5 million in auto loans as compared to \$10.5 million in purchased loans during the first quarter of 2013. Home equity loans declined \$7.1 million to \$455.2 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to March 31, 2013 due to continued normal repayment activity outpacing new loan origination volumes. Other consumer loans also decreased \$4.0 million to \$184.8 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$188.8 million at March 31, 2013 mainly due to lower collateralized personal lines of credit usage. Overall, consumer demand has remained somewhat soft as borrowers' appetite for additional debt continues to be tempered by the uncertain sustainability of a slowly improving economy.

***Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans (Including Covered Loans)***

PCI loans are comprised of loans acquired and purchased in the first quarter of 2012 and covered loans for which the Bank will share losses with the FDIC which totaled \$809.6 million and \$141.8 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013. Our covered loans, consisting primarily of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans, were acquired from LibertyPointe Bank and The Park Avenue Bank as a part of two FDIC-assisted transactions in 2010. As required by U.S. GAAP, all of our PCI loans are accounted under ASC Subtopic 310-30. This accounting guidance requires the PCI loans to be aggregated and accounted for as pools of loans based on common risk characteristics. A pool is accounted for as one asset with a single composite interest rate, an aggregate fair value and expected cash flows.

For PCI loan pools accounted for under ASC Subtopic 310-30, the difference between the contractually required payments due and the cash flows expected to be collected, considering the impact of prepayments, is referred to as the non-accretable difference. The contractually required payments due represent the total undiscounted amount of all uncollected principal and interest payments. Contractually required payments due may increase or decrease for a variety of reasons, e.g. when the contractual terms of the loan agreement are modified, when interest rates on variable rate loans change, or when principal and/or interest payments are received. The Bank estimates the undiscounted cash flows expected to be collected by incorporating several key assumptions including probability of default, loss given default, and the amount of actual prepayments after the acquisition dates. The non-accretable difference, which is neither accreted into income nor recorded on our consolidated balance sheet, reflects estimated future credit losses and

## **Table of Contents**

uncollectable contractual interest expected to be incurred over the life of the loans. The excess of the undiscounted cash flows expected at the acquisition date over the carrying amount (fair value) of the PCI loans is referred to as the accretable yield. This amount is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loans, or pool of loans, using the level yield method. The accretable yield is affected by changes in interest rate indices for variable rate loans, changes in prepayment assumptions, and changes in expected principal and interest payments over the estimated lives of the loans. Prepayments affect the estimated life of PCI loans and could change the amount of interest income, and possibly principal, expected to be collected. Reclassifications of the non-accretable difference to the accretable yield may occur subsequent to the loan acquisition dates due to increases in expected cash flows of the loan pools.

At both acquisition and subsequent quarterly reporting dates, Valley uses a third party service provider to assist with determining the contractual and estimated cash flows. Valley provides the third party with updated loan-level information derived from Valley's main operating system, contractually required loan payments and expected cash flows for each loan pool individually reviewed by Valley. Using this information, the third party provider determines both the contractual cash flows and cash flows expected to be collected. The loan-level information used to reforecast the cash flows is subsequently aggregated on a pool basis. The expected payment data, discount rates, impairment data and changes to the accretable yield received back from the third party are reviewed by Valley to determine whether this information is accurate and the resulting financial statement effects are reasonable.

Similar to contractual cash flows, we reevaluate expected cash flows on a quarterly basis. Unlike contractual cash flows which are determined based on known factors, significant management assumptions are necessary in forecasting the estimated cash flows. We attempt to ensure the forecasted expectations are reasonable based on the information currently available; however, due to the uncertainties inherent in the use of estimates, actual cash flow results may differ from our forecast and the differences may be significant. To mitigate such differences, we carefully prepare and review the assumptions utilized in forecasting estimated cash flows.

At the time of acquisition, the estimated cash flows on our PCI loans were based on observable market information, as well as Valley's own specific assumptions regarding each loan. Valley performed credit due diligence on the majority of the loans acquired in 2012 and the FDIC-assisted transactions. In addition, Valley engaged a third party to perform credit valuations and expected cash flow forecasts on the acquired loans. The initial expected cash flows for loans accounted for under ASC Subtopic 310-30 were prepared on a loan-level basis utilizing the assumptions developed by Valley in conjunction with the third party. In accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-30, the individual loan-level cash flow assumptions were then aggregated on the basis of pools of loans with similar risk characteristics. Thereafter, on a quarterly basis, Valley analyzes the actual cash flow versus the forecasts at the loan pool level and variances are reviewed to determine their cause. In re-forecasting future estimated cash flow, Valley will adjust the credit loss expectations for loan pools, as necessary. These adjustments are based, in part, on actual loss severities recognized for each loan type, as well as changes in the probability of default. For periods in which Valley does not reforecast estimated cash flows, the prior reporting period's estimated cash flows are adjusted to reflect the actual cash received and credit events which transpired during the current reporting period.

**Table of Contents**

The following tables summarize the changes in the carrying amounts of non-covered PCI loans and covered loans (net of the allowance for losses on covered loans), and the accretible yield on these loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretible Yield
	(in thousands)			
<b>Non-covered PCI loans:</b>				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 909,752	\$ 113,952	\$ 1,165,169	\$ 171,450
Accretion	12,462	(12,462)	15,625	(15,625)
Payments received	(112,626)		(66,344)	
Net increase in expected cash flows		120,884		
Balance, end of the period	\$ 809,588	\$ 222,374	\$ 1,114,450	\$ 155,825
<b>Covered loans:</b>				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 154,096	\$ 39,186	\$ 238,657	\$ 58,352
Accretion	5,177	(5,177)	4,760	(4,760)
Payments received	(22,751)		(27,321)	
Transfers to other real estate owned	(1,885)		(1,330)	
Provision for losses on covered loans	110			
Balance, end of the period	\$ 134,747	\$ 34,009	\$ 214,766	\$ 53,592

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretible Yield	Carrying Amount, Net	Accretible Yield
	(in thousands)			
<b>Non-covered PCI loans:</b>				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 986,990	\$ 126,749	\$	\$
Acquisitions			1,216,203	186,262
Accretion	25,259	(25,259)	30,437	(30,437)
Payments received	(202,661)		(132,190)	
Net increase in expected cash flows		120,884		
Balance, end of the period	\$ 809,588	\$ 222,374	\$ 1,114,450	\$ 155,825
<b>Covered loans:</b>				
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 171,182	\$ 42,560	\$ 258,316	\$ 66,724
Accretion	8,615	(8,615)	13,132	(13,132)
Payments received	(41,907)		(50,757)	
Net increase in expected cash flows		64		
Transfers to other real estate owned	(5,419)		(5,925)	
Provision for losses on covered loans	2,276			
Balance, end of the period	\$ 134,747	\$ 34,009	\$ 214,766	\$ 53,592

The net increase in expected cash flows for certain pools of loans (included in the table above) is recognized prospectively as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the individual pools. The \$120.9 million net increase in expected cash flows for non-covered PCI loans during the second quarter of 2013 was largely due to additional cash flows caused by longer than originally expected durations for certain loans which



## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

increased the average expected life of our non-covered PCI loans (which represent 85 percent of total PCI loans at June 30, 2013) from 2.5 years (at the date of acquisition) to approximately 4.0 years. Additionally, a \$20.1 million decrease in the expected credit losses for certain non-covered pools is another component of the net increase in cash flows.

Covered loans in the table above are presented net of the allowance for losses on covered loans, which totaled \$7.1 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$11.8 million at June 30, 2012. This allowance was established due to a decrease in the expected cash flows for certain pools of covered loans based on higher levels of credit impairment than originally forecasted by us at the acquisition dates. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded a credit to the provision for losses on covered loans totaling \$110 thousand and \$2.3 million, respectively, as a component of our provision for credit losses in the consolidated statement of income due to declines in the additional estimated credit impairment since acquisition.

**Table of Contents**

Although we recognized additional credit impairment for certain covered pools prior to 2012, on an aggregate basis the acquired pools of covered and non-covered loans continue to perform better than originally expected. Based on our current estimates, we expect to receive more future cash flows than originally modeled at the acquisition dates. For the pools with better than expected cash flows, the forecasted increase is recorded as a prospective adjustment to our interest income on these loan pools over future periods. The decrease in the FDIC loss-share receivable due to the increase in expected cash flows for covered loan pools is recognized on a prospective basis over the shorter period of the lives of the loan pools and the loss-share agreements accordingly. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we reduced our FDIC loss-share receivable by \$3.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, due to the prospective recognition of the effect of additional cash flows from covered loan pools with a corresponding reduction in non-interest income for the period (see table in the next section below).

***FDIC Loss-Share Receivable Related to Covered Loans and Foreclosed Assets***

The receivable arising from the loss sharing agreements (referred to as the FDIC loss-share receivable on our statements of financial condition) is measured separately from the covered loan pools because the agreements are not contractually part of the covered loans and are not transferable should the Bank choose to dispose of the covered loans. As of the acquisition dates for the two FDIC-assisted transactions, we recorded an aggregate FDIC loss-share receivable of \$108.0 million, consisting of the present value of the expected future cash flows the Bank expected to receive from the FDIC under the loss sharing agreements. The FDIC loss-share receivable is reduced as the loss sharing payments are received from the FDIC for losses realized on covered loans and other real estate owned acquired in the FDIC-assisted transactions. Actual or expected losses in excess of the acquisition date estimates, accretion of the acquisition date present value discount, and other reimbursable expenses covered by the FDIC loss-sharing agreements will result in an increase in the FDIC loss-share receivable and the immediate recognition of non-interest income in our financial statements, together with an increase in the non-accretible difference. A decrease in expected losses would generally result in a corresponding decline in the FDIC loss-share receivable and the non-accretible difference. Reductions in the FDIC loss-share receivable due to actual or expected losses that are less than the acquisition date estimates are recognized prospectively over the shorter of (i) the estimated life of the applicable pools of covered loans or (ii) the term of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

The following table presents changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 43,413	\$ 69,928	\$ 44,996	\$ 74,390
Discount accretion of the present value at the acquisition dates	32	81	65	162
Effect of additional cash flows on covered loans (prospective recognition)	(3,467)	(2,231)	(4,949)	(3,868)
Decrease in the provision for losses on covered loans	(105)		(2,783)	
Other reimbursable expenses	1,540	1,088	2,492	2,554
(Reimbursements from) payments to the FDIC	(727)	(3,165)	865	(7,537)
Other		(5,960)		(5,960)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 40,686	\$ 59,741	\$ 40,686	\$ 59,741

The aggregate effect of changes in the FDIC loss-share receivable was a reduction in non-interest income of \$2.0 million and \$7.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and a \$5.2 million and a \$7.1 million reduction for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The reductions in non-interest income for the three and six months of 2012 included \$6.0 million related to the FDIC's portion of the estimated losses on unused lines of credit assumed in the FDIC-assisted transactions, which expired.

**Table of Contents**

**Non-performing Assets**

Non-performing assets (excluding PCI loans) include non-accrual loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and other repossessed assets which consist of three aircraft and several automobiles at June 30, 2013. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when they become past due in excess of 90 days as to payment of principal or interest. Exceptions to the non-accrual policy may be permitted if the loan is sufficiently collateralized and in the process of collection. OREO is acquired through foreclosure on loans secured by land or real estate. OREO and other repossessed assets are reported at the lower of cost or fair value, less cost to sell at the time of acquisition and at the lower of fair value, less estimated costs to sell, or cost thereafter. Given the state of the economic recovery, and comparable to many of our peers, the level of non-performing assets remained relatively low as a percentage of the total loan portfolio and non-performing assets at June 30, 2013, but has increased from one year ago (as shown in the table below).

Our past due loans and non-accrual loans in the table below exclude our non-covered and covered PCI loans. Under U.S. GAAP, the PCI loans (acquired at a discount that is due, in part, to credit quality) are accounted for on a pool basis and are not subject to delinquency classification in the same manner as loans originated by Valley.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth by loan category, accruing past due and non-performing assets on the dates indicated in conjunction with our asset quality ratios:

	June 30, 2013	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
	(\$ in thousands)				
<b>Accruing past due loans:<sup>(1)</sup></b>					
30 to 89 days past due:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,525	\$ 7,656	\$ 3,578	\$ 17,459	\$ 2,275
Commercial real estate	18,946	21,665	13,245	6,236	11,483
Construction	5,772	8,812	6,685		270
Residential mortgage	10,619	12,424	18,951	16,961	10,148
Consumer	4,138	5,096	7,227	6,463	5,872
Total 30 to 89 days past due	43,000	55,653	49,686	47,119	30,048
90 or more days past due:					
Commercial and industrial		31	283		512
Commercial real estate	259	259	2,950	221	
Construction	150		2,575	1,024	
Residential mortgage	2,342	1,885	2,356	1,051	727
Consumer	349	229	501	197	246
Total 90 or more days past due	3,100	2,404	8,665	2,493	1,485
Total accruing past due loans	\$ 46,100	\$ 58,057	\$ 58,351	\$ 49,612	\$ 31,533
<b>Non-accrual loans:<sup>(1)</sup></b>					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 20,913	\$ 21,692	\$ 22,424	\$ 12,296	\$ 12,652
Commercial real estate	55,390	56,042	58,625	58,541	61,864
Construction	13,617	13,199	14,805	15,139	16,502
Residential mortgage	26,054	31,905	32,623	31,564	32,045
Consumer	2,549	2,766	3,331	3,831	3,165
Total non-accrual loans	118,523	125,604	131,808	121,371	126,228
Other real estate owned (OREO) <sup>(2)</sup>	21,327	18,463	15,612	15,403	14,724
Other repossessed assets	7,549	8,053	7,805	7,733	8,548
Non-accrual debt securities <sup>(3)</sup>	50,972	48,143	40,303	40,779	45,921
Total non-performing assets (NPAs)	\$ 198,371	\$ 200,263	\$ 195,528	\$ 185,286	\$ 195,421
<b>Performing troubled debt restructured loans</b>	\$ 117,052	\$ 108,654	\$ 105,446	\$ 109,282	\$ 113,610
Total non-accrual loans as a % of loans	1.09%	1.16%	1.20%	1.09%	1.10%
Total NPAs as a % of loans and NPAs	1.81	1.82	1.74	1.63	1.68
Total accruing past due and non-accrual loans as a % of loans	1.51	1.70	1.73	1.53	1.38
Allowance for losses on non-covered loans as a % of non-accrual loans	93.12	91.29	91.58	98.67	93.55

<sup>(1)</sup> Past due loans and non-accrual loans exclude PCI loans that are accounted for on a pool basis.

<sup>(2)</sup>

## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

This table excludes OREO properties related to the FDIC-assisted transactions totaling \$13.0 million, \$11.1 million and \$8.9 million at June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and \$11.2 million at both September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012 and is subject to the loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC.

- (3) Include other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities classified as available for sale, which are presented at carrying value net of unrealized gains totaling \$3.8 million at June 30, 2013 and \$965 thousand at March 31, 2013, and net unrealized losses totaling \$6.9 million, \$6.4 million, \$5.8 million at December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

Total NPAs decreased \$1.9 million from March 31, 2013 to \$198.4 million at June 30, 2013 mainly due to a decline in non-accrual loans, partially offset by an increase in OREO balances and \$2.8 million increase in the estimated fair value of non-accrual debt securities (consisting of other-than-temporarily impaired trust preferred securities classified as available for sale) totaling \$51.0 million at June 30, 2013. The increase in the carrying value of non-accrual debt securities from March 31, 2013 was entirely due to a decrease in the unrealized losses (or non-credit impairment) on such securities. There was no change in the number of debt securities on non-accrual status during the second quarter of 2013. See Note 5 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information on the valuation techniques used to fair value these securities.

## **Table of Contents**

Loans past due 30 to 89 days decreased \$12.7 million to \$43.0 million at June 30, 2013 compared to March 31, 2013 due to lower delinquencies across all of our loan categories. Within this past due category, commercial and industrial loans decreased \$4.1 million largely due to the renewal of \$3.3 million in matured performing loans reported in this category at March 31, 2013. Commercial real estate and construction loans experienced similar declines during the second quarter due to the renewal of \$3.1 million and \$3.9 million of matured performing loans, respectively. Valley believes the majority of all loan types in this past due category are well secured, in the process of collection.

Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing increased \$696 thousand to \$3.1 million of total loans at June 30, 2013 compared to \$2.4 million at March 31, 2013. The increase in this past due category was mostly due to a slightly higher amount of residential mortgage loans totaling \$2.3 million at June 30, 2013 being included in this category.

Non-accrual loans decreased \$7.1 million to \$118.5 million at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$125.6 million at March 31, 2013. The decrease was largely due to \$5.2 million of loans transferred to OREO, as well as partial loan charge-offs related to the valuation of certain collateral dependent impaired loans. At June 30, 2013, March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our non-accrual loans also included performing residential mortgage and home equity loans totaling \$5.7 million, \$6.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, which were classified as non-accrual loans due to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) guidelines on borrowers in Chapter 7 bankruptcy issued during the latter half of 2012. Although the timing of collection is uncertain, management believes that most of the non-accrual loans are well secured and largely collectible based on, in part, our quarterly review of impaired loans. Our impaired loans, mainly consisting of non-accrual and troubled debt restructured commercial and commercial real estate loans, totaled \$216.3 million at June 30, 2013 and had \$28.7 million in related specific reserves included in our total allowance for loan losses.

OREO (which consists of 42 commercial and residential properties), excluding OREO subject to loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC and other repossessed assets, totaled \$21.3 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$18.5 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, at March 31, 2013. The \$2.8 million increase in OREO was mainly due to the completed foreclosures of 11 commercial real estate and residential properties during the second quarter of 2013, net of normal sales activity. The transferred properties totaled \$4.3 million at June 30, 2013 (after partial charge-offs to the allowance for loan losses at the transfer date). Our residential mortgage loan foreclosure activity remains low due to the nominal amount of individual loan delinquencies within the residential mortgage and home equity portfolios and the average time to complete a foreclosure in the State of New Jersey, which currently exceeds two and a half years. We believe this lengthy legal process negatively impacts the level of our non-accrual loans, NPA s, and the ability to compare our NPA levels to similar banks located outside of our primary markets.

Troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) represent loan modifications for customers experiencing financial difficulties where a concession has been granted. Performing TDRs (i.e., TDRs not reported as loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing or as non-accrual loans) totaled \$117.1 million at June 30, 2013 and consisted of 98 loans (primarily in the commercial and industrial loan and commercial real estate portfolios) as compared to \$108.7 million at March 31, 2013. On an aggregate basis, the \$117.1 million in performing TDRs at June 30, 2013 had a modified weighted average interest rate of approximately 5.25 percent as compared to a pre-modification weighted average interest rate of 6.01 percent. See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our TDR loans.

### **Allowance for Credit Losses**

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for losses on non-covered loans, the allowance for unfunded letters of credit, and the allowance for losses on covered loans related to credit impairment of certain covered loan pools subsequent to acquisition. Management maintains the allowance for credit losses at a level estimated to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio and unfunded letters of credit commitments at the balance sheet dates, based on ongoing evaluations of the loan portfolio. Our methodology for evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance for non-covered loans includes:

segmentation of the loan portfolio based on the major loan categories, which consist of commercial, commercial real estate (including construction), residential mortgage and other consumer loans;

**Table of Contents**

tracking the historical levels of classified loans and delinquencies;

assessing the nature and trend of loan charge-offs;

providing specific reserves on impaired loans;

evaluating the non-covered PCI loan pools for additional credit impairment subsequent to the acquisition dates; and

applying economic outlook factors, assigning specific incremental reserves where necessary.

Additionally, the volume of non-performing loans, concentration risks by size, type, and geography, new markets, collateral adequacy, credit policies and procedures, staffing, underwriting consistency, loan review and economic conditions are taken into consideration when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. Allowance for credit losses methodology and accounting policy are fully described in Part II, Item 7 and Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is dependent upon a variety of factors largely beyond our control, including the view of the OCC toward loan classifications, performance of the loan portfolio, and the economy. The OCC may require, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, that certain loan balances be charged off or require that adjustments be made to the allowance for loan losses when their credit evaluations differ from those of management.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the relationship among loans, loans charged-off, loan recoveries, the provision for credit losses and the allowance for credit losses for the periods indicated:

	June 30, 2013	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012 (\$ in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Average loans outstanding	\$ 10,986,603	\$ 11,048,612	\$ 11,297,942	\$ 11,017,436	\$ 11,127,304
Beginning balance Allowance for credit losses	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,495	\$ 135,576	\$ 132,495	\$ 136,185
Loans charged-off:					
Commercial and industrial	(1,441)	(7,325)	(5,406)	(8,766)	(10,213)
Commercial real estate	(4,014)	(598)	(4,895)	(4,612)	(5,465)
Construction	(375)	(1,395)	(484)	(1,770)	(994)
Residential mortgage	(1,666)	(892)	(583)	(2,558)	(1,759)
Consumer	(860)	(1,509)	(1,015)	(2,369)	(2,498)
	(8,356)	(11,719)	(12,383)	(20,075)	(20,929)
Charged-off loans recovered:					
Commercial and industrial	602	1,338	1,304	1,940	2,309
Commercial real estate	50	15	66	65	186
Construction			50		50
Residential mortgage	68	70	111	138	625
Consumer	600	396	407	996	1,008
	1,320	1,819	1,938	3,139	4,178
Net charge-offs *	(7,036)	(9,900)	(10,445)	(16,936)	(16,751)
Provision charged for credit losses	2,552	1,769	7,405	4,321	13,102
Ending balance Allowance for credit losses	\$ 119,880	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,536	\$ 119,880	\$ 132,536
Components of allowance for credit losses:					
Allowance for non-covered loans	\$ 110,374	\$ 114,664	\$ 118,083	\$ 110,374	\$ 118,083
Allowance for covered loans	7,070	7,180	11,771	7,070	11,771
Allowance for loan losses	117,444	121,844	129,854	117,444	129,854
Allowance for unfunded letters of credit	2,436	2,520	2,682	2,436	2,682
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 119,880	\$ 124,364	\$ 132,536	\$ 119,880	\$ 132,536
Components of provision for credit losses:					
Provision for losses on non-covered loans	\$ 2,746	\$ 3,710	\$ 7,429	\$ 6,456	\$ 12,803
Provision for losses on covered loans	(110)	(2,166)		(2,276)	
Provision for loan losses	2,636	1,544	7,429	4,180	12,803
Provision for unfunded letters of credit	(84)	225	(24)	141	299
Provision for credit losses	\$ 2,552	\$ 1,769	\$ 7,405	\$ 4,321	\$ 13,102
	0.26%	0.35%	0.31%	0.30%	0.27%



## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Ratio of net charge-offs of non-covered loans to average loans outstanding

Ratio of total net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.26	0.36	0.37	0.31	0.30
Allowance for non-covered loan losses as a % of non-covered loans	1.03	1.08	1.05	1.03	1.05
Allowance for credit losses as a % of total loans	1.10	1.15	1.16	1.10	1.16

\* Include covered loan charge-offs totaling \$146 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. These charge-offs are substantially offset by reimbursements under the FDIC loss-sharing agreements. Net loan charge-offs totaling \$7.0 million for the second quarter of 2013 decreased \$2.9 million and \$3.4 million from the three months ended March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease from the first quarter of 2013 was largely the result of a \$5.0 million loss during the first quarter related to one commercial loan participation (caused by the borrower's bankruptcy which was precipitated by fraudulent employee activities). Additionally, there were no charge-offs related to the covered loan pools for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to \$146 thousand and \$1.8 million in charge-offs during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. Covered loan charge-offs are substantially covered by loss-sharing agreements with the FDIC.

The provision for credit losses totaled \$2.6 million for the second quarter of 2013 as compared to \$1.8 million for the first quarter of 2013 and \$7.4 million for the second quarter of 2012. The increase from the first quarter was due, in part, to a \$2.2 million credit to the provision for losses on covered loans recorded during the first quarter of 2013 related to a decrease in the estimated additional credit impairment of certain loan pools subsequent to acquisition as compared to a credit of \$110 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013. We did not record a provision for losses on covered loans during the first half of 2012.



## Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Management believes that the unallocated allowance is appropriate given the uncertain strength of the economic and housing market recoveries, the size of the loan portfolio and level of loan delinquencies at June 30, 2013.

---

**Table of Contents*****Loan Repurchase Contingencies***

We engage in the origination of residential mortgages for sale into the secondary market. Such loan sales increased significantly since the third quarter of 2012 due to our shift to an originate and sell model for most of our mortgage loan production through the end of the second quarter of 2013. In connection with loan sales, we make representations and warranties, which, if breached, may require us to repurchase such loans, substitute other loans or indemnify the purchasers of such loans for actual losses incurred due to such loans. However, the performance of our loans sold has been historically strong due to our strict underwriting standards and procedures. Over the past several years, we have experienced a nominal amount of repurchase requests (including only two requests in 2013), of which none of the loan repurchases resulted in losses. Accordingly, no reserves pertaining to loans sold were established on our consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. See Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors We may incur future losses in connection with repurchases and indemnification payments related to mortgages that we have sold into the secondary market of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for additional information.

**Capital Adequacy**

A significant measure of the strength of a financial institution is its shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, shareholders' equity totaled approximately \$1.5 billion or 9.5 percent of total assets. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, total shareholders' equity increased \$19.2 million, which comprised of (i) net income of \$65.2 million, (ii) a \$11.8 million decrease in our accumulated other comprehensive loss, (iii) \$3.9 million in net proceeds from 393 thousand shares from the reissuance of treasury stock or authorized common shares issued under our dividend reinvestment plan, and (iv) a \$3.0 million increase attributable to the effect of our stock incentive plan, partially offset by cash dividends declared on common stock totaling \$64.7 million. See Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding changes in our accumulated other comprehensive loss during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Risk-based capital guidelines define a two-tier capital framework. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity and eligible long-term borrowing related to VNB Capital Trust I, GCB Capital Trust III, State Bancorp Capital Trust I and State Bancorp Capital Trust II less disallowed intangibles and adjusted to exclude unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred tax. Total risk-based capital consists of Tier 1 capital, Valley National Bank's subordinated borrowings and the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-adjusted assets. Risk-adjusted assets are determined by assigning various levels of risk to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet activities.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC issued (interim) final rules implementing the Basel III regulatory capital framework and related Dodd-Frank Act changes. The rules revise minimum capital requirements and adjust prompt corrective action thresholds. Under the final rules, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by Valley and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 4.5 percent and a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5 percent of risk-weighted assets. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0 percent to 6.0 percent and require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0 percent. The final rule will become effective January 1, 2015, subject to a transition period.

Valley's Tier 1 capital position included \$186.3 million of its outstanding trust preferred securities issued by capital trusts as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Based upon the new interim final regulatory guidance, our Tier 1 capital treatment of the trust preferred securities issued by our capital trusts (currently allowable under the Dodd-Frank Act) will be 75 percent disallowed starting on January 1, 2015 and fully phased out of Tier 1 capital on January 1, 2016. On July 26, 2013, Valley redeemed \$15.0 million of the face value of its trust preferred securities issued by VNB Capital Trust I.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents Valley's and Valley National Bank's actual capital positions and ratios under risk-based capital guidelines at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirements		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>As of June 30, 2013</b>						
Total Risk-based Capital						
Valley	\$ 1,418,330	12.4%	\$ 915,057	8.0%	\$ N/A	N/A%
Valley National Bank	1,390,117	12.2	913,966	8.0	1,142,457	10.0
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital						
Valley	1,258,450	11.0	457,528	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,230,237	10.8	456,983	4.0	685,474	6.0
Tier 1 Leverage Capital						
Valley	1,258,450	8.2	617,356	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,230,237	8.0	616,422	4.0	770,527	5.0
<b>As of December 31, 2012</b>						
Total Risk-based Capital						
Valley	\$ 1,413,901	12.4%	\$ 913,402	8.0%	\$ N/A	N/A%
Valley National Bank	1,374,059	12.1	912,179	8.0	1,140,224	10.0
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital						
Valley	1,241,316	10.9	456,701	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,201,499	10.5	456,090	4.0	684,134	6.0
Tier 1 Leverage Capital						
Valley	1,241,316	8.1	613,471	4.0	N/A	N/A
Valley National Bank	1,201,499	7.8	612,636	4.0	765,795	5.0

Management believes the tangible book value per share ratio provides information useful to management and investors in understanding our underlying operational performance, our business and performance trends and facilitates comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. This non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for or superior to financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Tangible book value is computed by dividing shareholders' equity less goodwill and other intangible assets by common shares outstanding as follows:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(\$ in thousands except for share data)	
Common shares outstanding	199,254,687	198,438,271
Shareholders' equity	\$ 1,521,553	\$ 1,502,377
Less: Goodwill and other intangible assets	467,236	459,357
Tangible shareholders' equity	\$ 1,054,317	\$ 1,043,020
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 5.29	\$ 5.26
Book value per share	\$ 7.64	\$ 7.57

Typically, our primary source of capital growth is through retention of earnings. Our rate of earnings retention is derived by dividing undistributed earnings per common share by earnings (or net income) per common share. Our retention ratio was less than one percent for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The low retention ratio was largely caused by the continued negative impact of the low interest rate environment on our net interest income and, to a lesser extent, the non-cash mark to market losses on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value. While we expect that our rate of earnings retention will increase to a more acceptable level in future periods due, in part, to the recent increase in market interest rates, the potential future mark to market losses on our debentures, net impairment losses on securities, and other deterioration in our earnings and financial condition resulting from the weak economic conditions may negatively impact our future earnings and ability to maintain our dividend at current levels.



## **Table of Contents**

Cash dividends declared amounted to \$0.33 per common share for both the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 but, consistent with its conservative philosophy, the Board is committed to examine and weigh relevant facts and considerations, including its commitment to shareholder value, each time it makes a cash dividend decision in this economic environment. The Federal Reserve has cautioned bank holding companies about distributing dividends which reduce its capital. Also, the OCC has cautioned banks to carefully consider the dividend payout ratio to ensure they maintain sufficient capital to be able to lend to credit worthy borrowers.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, Contractual Obligations and Other Matters**

For a discussion of Valley's off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations see information included in Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 in the MD&A section Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Notes 12 and 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Market risk refers to potential losses arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, and commodity prices. Valley's market risk is composed primarily of interest rate risk. See page 63 for a discussion of interest rate sensitivity.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Valley's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), with the assistance of other members of Valley's management, have evaluated the effectiveness of Valley's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, Valley's CEO and CFO have concluded that Valley's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Valley's CEO and CFO have also concluded that there have not been any changes in Valley's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Valley's internal control over financial reporting.

Valley's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, provides reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system reflects resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because there are inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Valley have been or will be detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

**Table of Contents**

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In the normal course of business, we may be a party to various outstanding legal proceedings and claims. There have been no material changes in the legal proceedings previously disclosed under Part I, Item 3 of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There has been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed under Part I, Item 1A of Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

There were no sales of equity securities not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or purchases of equity securities by the issuer or affiliated purchasers during the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

(3) *Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:*

**A.** Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 24, 2012.

**B.** Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 21, 2010.

**C.** By-laws of the Registrant, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 31, 2011.

(31.1) Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company.\*

(31.2) Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) signed by Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.\*

(32) Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed by Gerald H. Lipkin, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Alan D. Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.\*

(101) Interactive Data File \*. \*\*



Edgar Filing: VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP - Form 10-Q

\* Filed herewith.

\*\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

**Table of Contents**

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**VALLEY NATIONAL BANCORP**  
(Registrant)

Date: August 8, 2013

/s/ Gerald H. Lipkin  
Gerald H. Lipkin  
Chairman of the Board, President  
and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 8, 2013

/s/ Alan D. Eskow  
Alan D. Eskow  
Senior Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer