

Apollo Global Management LLC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

Commission File Number: 001-35107

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

20-8880053
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

9 West 57th Street, 43rd Floor

New York, New York 10019

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 515-3200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of August 9, 2013 there were 141,811,799 Class A shares and 1 Class B share outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	7
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	8
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	9
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	10
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	13
ITEM 2. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	79
ITEM 3. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	158
ITEM 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	160
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	160
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	162
ITEM 2. <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	162
ITEM 3. <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	162
ITEM 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	162
ITEM 5. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	162
ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	163
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	168

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report may contain forward looking statements that are within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). These statements include, but are not limited to, discussions related to Apollo's expectations regarding the performance of its business, its liquidity and capital resources and the other non-historical statements in the discussion and analysis. These forward-looking statements are based on management's beliefs, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used in this quarterly report, the words believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to have been correct. These statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including risks relating to our dependence on certain key personnel, our ability to raise new private equity, credit or real estate funds, market conditions generally, our ability to manage our growth, fund performance, changes in our regulatory environment and tax status, the variability of our revenues, net income and cash flow, our use of leverage to finance our businesses and investments by our funds and litigation risks, among others. We believe these factors include but are not limited to those described under the section entitled Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 1, 2013, as such factors may be updated from time to time in our periodic filings with the SEC, which are accessible on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this quarterly report and in other filings. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Terms Used in This Report

In this quarterly report, references to Apollo, we, us, our and the Company refer collectively to Apollo Global Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its subsidiaries, including the Apollo Operating Group and all of its subsidiaries;

AMH refers to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership owned by APO Corp. and Holdings;

Apollo funds and our funds refer to the funds, alternative asset companies and other entities that are managed by the Apollo Operating Group;

Apollo Operating Group refers to (i) the limited partnerships through which our Managing Partners currently operate our businesses and (ii) one or more limited partnerships formed for the purpose of, among other activities, holding certain of our gains or losses on our principal investments in the funds, which we refer to as our principal investments;

Assets Under Management, or AUM, refers to the investments we manage or with respect to which we have control, including capital we have the right to call from our investors pursuant to their capital commitments to various funds. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of our private equity investments plus the capital that we are entitled to call from our investors pursuant to the terms of their capital commitments to the extent a fund is within the commitment period in which management fees are calculated based on total commitments to the fund;
- (ii) the net asset value, or NAV, of our credit funds, other than certain collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), which we measure by using the mark-to-market value of the aggregate principal amount of the underlying CLO and collateralized debt obligation (CDO) credit funds that have a fee generating basis other than mark-to-market assets or liabilities, plus used or available leverage and/or capital commitments;
- (iii) the gross asset value or net asset value of our real estate entities and the structured portfolio company investments included within the funds we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio companies;
- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the portfolio company assets that we manage; and

- (v) the fair value of any other investments that we manage plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments for investments that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

-3-

Table of Contents

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that we use internally or believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers;

Fee-generating AUM consists of assets that we manage and on which we earn management fees or monitoring fees pursuant to management agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds. Management fees are normally based on net asset value, gross assets, adjusted par asset value, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, adjusted assets, stockholders equity, invested capital, capital contributions, each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, generally are based on the total value of certain structured portfolio company investments, which normally includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in fee-generating AUM;

Non-fee generating AUM consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following:

- (i) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital;
- (ii) net asset values related to general partner and co-investment ownership;
- (iii) unused credit facilities;
- (iv) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital;
- (v) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees; and
- (vi) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

We use non-fee generating AUM combined with fee-generating AUM as a performance measurement of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs. Non-fee generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income;

carried interest, carried interest income, and incentive income refer to interests granted to Apollo by an Apollo fund that entitle Apollo to receive allocations, distributions or fees which are based on the performance of such fund or its underlying investments;

Contributing Partners refer to those of our partners and their related parties (other than our Managing Partners) who indirectly own (through Holdings) Apollo Operating Group units;

feeder funds refer to funds that operate by placing substantially all of their assets in, and conducting substantially all of their investment and trading activities through, a master fund, which is designed to facilitate collective investment by the participating feeder funds. With respect to certain of our funds that are organized in a master-feeder structure, the feeder funds are permitted to make investments outside the master fund when deemed appropriate by the fund's investment manager;

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

gross IRR of a private equity fund represents the cumulative investment-related cash flows for all of the investors in the fund on the basis of the actual timing of investment inflows and outflows (for unrealized investments assuming disposition on June 30, 2013 or other date specified) aggregated on a gross basis quarterly, and the return is annualized and compounded before management fees, carried interest and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and measures the returns on the fund's investments as a whole without regard to whether all of the returns would, if distributed, be payable to the fund's investors;

Holdings means AP Professional Holdings, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership through which our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners hold their interests in the Apollo Operating Group units;

Managing Partners refer to Messrs. Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan collectively and, when used in reference to holdings of interests in Apollo or Holdings, includes certain related parties of such individuals;

-4-

Table of Contents

net IRR of a private equity fund means the gross IRR applicable to all investors, including related parties which may not pay fees, net of management fees, organizational expenses, transaction costs, and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself). The realized and the estimated unrealized value is adjusted such that up to 20.0% of the unrealized gain is allocated to the general partner, thereby reducing the balance attributable to fund investors' carried interest all offset to the extent of interest income, and measures returns based on amounts that, if distributed, would be paid to investors of the fund, to the extent that an private equity fund exceeds all requirements detailed within the applicable fund agreement;

net return represents the calculated return that is based on month-to-month changes in net assets and is calculated using the returns that have been geometrically linked based on capital contributions, distributions and dividend reinvestments, as applicable;

our manager means AGM Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is controlled by our Managing Partners;

permanent capital means capital of publicly traded vehicles that do not have redemption provisions or a requirement to return capital to investors upon exiting the investments made with such capital, except as required by applicable law, such as AP Alternative Assets, L.P. (AAA), Apollo Investment Corporation, Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc., Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc., Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc., and Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc.; such publicly traded vehicles may be required, or elect, to return all or a portion of capital gains and investment income;

private equity investments refer to (i) direct or indirect investments in existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (ii) direct or indirect co-investments with existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (iii) direct or indirect investments in securities which are not immediately capable of resale in a public market that Apollo identifies but does not pursue through its private equity funds, and (iv) investments of the type described in (i) through (iii) above made by Apollo funds; and

Strategic Investors refer to the California Public Employees' Retirement System, or CalPERS, and an affiliate of the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority, or ADIA.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (UNAUDITED)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,203,090	\$ 946,225
Cash and cash equivalents held at Consolidated Funds	410	1,226
Restricted cash	8,356	8,359
Investments	2,134,061	2,138,096
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,517,488	1,682,696
Investments, at fair value	12,221,322	12,689,535
Other assets	411,661	299,978
Carried interest receivable	2,014,745	1,878,256
Due from affiliates	209,280	173,312
Fixed assets, net	50,945	53,452
Deferred tax assets	631,579	542,208
Other assets	53,384	36,765
Goodwill	48,894	48,894
Intangible assets, net	114,809	137,856
Total Assets	\$ 20,620,024	\$ 20,636,858
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 43,381	\$ 38,337
Accrued compensation and benefits	77,152	56,125
Deferred revenue	296,455	252,157
Due to affiliates	546,970	477,451
Profit sharing payable	908,193	857,724
Debt	728,273	737,818
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Debt, at fair value	10,835,271	11,834,955
Other liabilities	888,577	634,053
Other liabilities	58,700	44,855
Total Liabilities	14,382,972	14,933,475
Commitments and Contingencies (see note 12)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:		
Class A shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 141,722,471 shares and 130,053,993 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively		
Class B shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 1 share issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012		
Additional paid in capital	2,931,769	3,043,334
Accumulated deficit	(1,876,068)	(2,142,020)
Appropriated partners' capital	1,667,453	1,765,360

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	139	144
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	2,723,293	2,666,818
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	2,229,350	1,893,212
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	1,284,409	1,143,353
Total Shareholders' Equity	6,237,052	5,703,383
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 20,620,024	\$ 20,636,858

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

-6-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS
OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 65,085	\$ 69,777	\$ 112,504	\$ 97,013
Management fees from affiliates	155,070	143,326	305,517	270,504
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	277,106	(1,475)	1,388,313	620,854
Total Revenues	497,261	211,628	1,806,334	988,371
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Equity-based compensation	43,501	142,114	88,787	290,980
Salary, bonus and benefits	69,282	74,948	142,678	140,019
Profit sharing expense	124,229	19,851	547,849	268,875
Incentive fee compensation	3,015	(27)	3,015	8
Total Compensation and Benefits	240,027	236,886	782,329	699,882
Interest expense	7,594	10,567	15,112	21,947
Professional fees	21,665	16,832	37,725	28,359
General, administrative and other	26,037	23,575	48,978	42,782
Placement fees	3,120	8,131	12,478	9,052
Occupancy	10,149	8,990	19,954	17,716
Depreciation and amortization	14,195	11,981	28,813	20,454
Total Expenses	322,787	316,962	945,389	840,192
Other (Loss) Income:				
Net gains (losses) from investment activities	1,116	(28,214)	53,249	129,494
Net (losses) gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(35,198)	31,763	12,663	15,562
Income (loss) from equity method investments	20,090	(839)	47,880	42,412
Interest income	3,049	2,202	6,140	3,816
Other income, net	2,778	1,945,549	4,076	1,951,365
Total Other (Loss) Income	(8,165)	1,950,461	124,008	2,142,649
Income before income tax provision	166,309	1,845,127	984,953	2,290,828
Income tax provision	(18,139)	(10,650)	(36,718)	(25,210)
Net Income	148,170	1,834,477	948,235	2,265,618
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(89,433)	(1,875,863)	(640,520)	(2,208,961)
	\$ 58,737	\$ (41,386)	\$ 307,715	\$ 56,657

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC

Distributions Declared per Class A Share	\$	0.57	\$	0.25	\$	1.62	\$	0.71
--	----	------	----	------	----	------	----	------

Net Income Per Class A Share:

Net Income (Loss) Per Class A Share	Basic	\$	0.32	\$	(0.38)	\$	1.94	\$	0.32
-------------------------------------	-------	----	------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Net Income (Loss) Per Class A Share	Diluted	\$	0.32	\$	(0.38)	\$	1.93	\$	0.32
-------------------------------------	---------	----	------	----	--------	----	------	----	------

Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares	Basic	137,289,147	126,457,443	134,285,776	125,863,348
---	-------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares	Diluted	137,289,147	126,457,443	138,104,463	126,260,767
---	---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS
OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net Income	\$ 148,170	\$ 1,834,477	\$ 948,235	\$ 2,265,618
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax:				
Net unrealized gain on interest rate swaps (net of taxes of \$0 and \$237 for Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$0 and \$237 for Apollo Global Management, LLC for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$0 for Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012)		1,223		2,825
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	(3)	(5)	(5)	(3)
Total Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income, net of tax	(3)	1,218	(5)	2,822
Comprehensive Income	148,167	1,835,695	948,230	2,268,440
Comprehensive (Income) Loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(129,676)	86,283	(642,521)	(278,318)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 18,491	\$ 1,921,978	\$ 305,709	\$ 1,990,122

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES

IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

(dollars in thousands, except share data)

Apollo Global Management, LLC Shareholders										
							Total Apollo Global Management, LLC Total	Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities	Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	Total Shareholders Equity
	Class A Shares	Class B Shares	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Appropriated Partners Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Shareholders Equity	Interests in Consolidated Entities	Operating Group	Shareholders Equity
Balance at January 1, 2012	123,923,042	1	\$ 2,939,492	\$ (2,426,197)	\$ 213,594	\$ (488)	\$ 726,401	\$ 1,444,767	\$ 477,153	\$ 2,648,321
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation			137,305				137,305		152,412	289,717
Capital contributions								63,560		63,560
Cash distributions								(206,751)		(206,751)
Distributions			(106,131)		(49,598)		(155,729)		(181,423)	(337,152)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	2,537,698		45	(16,915)			(16,870)			(16,870)
Purchase of AAA shares								(100,046)		(100,046)
Non-cash distributions				(801)			(801)	(9,499)		(10,300)
Non-cash contribution to Non-Controlling Interests								1,247	280	1,527
Capital increase related to business acquisition			14,001				14,001			14,001
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities at acquisition date								306,351		306,351
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from) Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities			88				88	(88)		
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs			(455)				(455)			(455)
Net income				56,657	1,932,653		1,989,310	127,749	148,559	2,265,618
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)						(3)	(3)			(3)
Net unrealized gain on interest rate swaps (net of taxes of \$237 and \$0 for Apollo Global Management, LLC and Non-Controlling						815	815		2,010	2,825

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Interests in Apollo
Operating Group,
respectively)

Balance at June 30, 2012	126,460,740	1	\$ 2,984,345	\$ (2,387,256)	\$ 2,096,649	\$ 324	\$ 2,694,062	\$ 1,627,290	\$ 598,991	\$ 4,920,343
Balance at January 1, 2013	130,053,993	1	\$ 3,043,334	\$ (2,142,020)	\$ 1,765,360	\$ 144	\$ 2,666,818	\$ 1,893,212	\$ 1,143,353	\$ 5,703,383
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares			4,545				4,545			4,545
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation			68,058				68,058		19,163	87,221
Capital contributions								444,678		444,678
Distributions			(258,816)		(95,906)		(354,722)	(78,927)	(439,017)	(872,666)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	2,899,114		10,911	(41,763)			(30,852)			(30,852)
Purchase of AAA shares								(62,326)		(62,326)
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from) Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities			(1,921)				(1,921)	1,921		
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs			1,027				1,027			1,027
Exchange of AOG Units for Class A Shares	8,769,364		64,631				64,631		(50,819)	13,812
Net income (loss)				307,715	(2,001)		305,714	30,792	611,729	948,235
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)						(5)	(5)			(5)
Balance at June 30, 2013	141,722,471	1	\$ 2,931,769	\$ (1,876,068)	\$ 1,667,453	\$ 139	\$ 2,723,293	\$ 2,229,350	\$ 1,284,409	\$ 6,237,052

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)****SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012****(dollars in thousands, except share data)**

	2013	2012
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 948,235	\$ 2,265,618
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Equity-based compensation	88,787	290,980
Depreciation and amortization	5,766	4,793
Amortization of intangible assets	23,047	15,661
Amortization of debt issuance costs	320	255
Unrealized losses from investment in HFA and other investments	2,092	10,024
Non-cash interest income	(1,656)	(1,562)
Income from equity awards received for directors' fees	(516)	(2,563)
Income from equity method investment	(47,880)	(42,412)
Unrealized gain on market value on derivatives	(284)	
Waived management fees		(13,581)
Non-cash compensation expense related to waived management fees		13,581
Change in fair value of contingent obligations	9,919	
Deferred taxes, net	31,509	18,230
Loss on disposal of assets	29	911
Gain on business acquisitions		(1,951,169)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Carried interest receivable	(136,488)	(398,151)
Due from affiliates	(34,517)	(69,059)
Other assets	(16,938)	4,614
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,044	10,728
Accrued compensation and benefits	19,778	19,915
Deferred revenue	44,298	43,512
Due to affiliates	(37,598)	51,640
Profit sharing payable	40,550	195,318
Other liabilities	501	(3,541)
Apollo Funds related:		
Net realized gains from investment activities	(84,326)	(14,770)
Net unrealized losses (gains) from investment activities	58,505	(191,058)
Net realized gains on debt	(83,397)	
Net unrealized losses on debt	156,249	102,825
Distributions from investment activities	62,189	99,675
Change in cash held at consolidated variable interest entities	165,208	(30,215)
Purchases of investments	(4,512,398)	(2,913,760)
Proceeds from sale of investments and liquidating distributions	4,967,429	2,406,277
Change in other assets	(111,683)	119,755
Change in other liabilities	254,558	(101,947)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	1,816,332	(59,476)

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Investing Activities:

Purchases of fixed assets	(4,669)	(4,286)
Acquisition of Stone Tower (net of cash assumed)		(99,190)
Proceeds from disposals of fixed assets	1,381	
Purchase of investments in HFA and other		(1,889)
Cash contributions to equity method investments	(52,040)	(103,382)
Cash distributions from equity method investments	95,781	50,820
Change in restricted cash	3	(81)

Net Cash Provided by (Used In) Investing Activities **\$ 40,456** **\$ (158,008)**

Cash Flows from Financing Activities:

Principal repayments on debt and repurchase of debt	\$ (9,545)	\$ (376)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	(41,763)	(16,915)
Distributions to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(6,448)	(4,368)
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	304	2,535
Distributions paid	(230,008)	(94,801)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(439,017)	(181,423)
Apollo Funds related:		
Issuance of debt	332,250	929,532
Principal repayment of debt	(1,420,175)	(246,134)
Purchase of AAA shares	(62,326)	(100,046)
Distributions paid	(95,906)	(49,598)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	(72,479)	(202,383)
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	444,374	61,025

Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities **(1,600,739)** **97,048**

Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents **256,049** **(120,436)**

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period **947,451** **744,731**

Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period **\$ 1,203,500** **\$ 624,295**

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Interest paid	\$ 23,746	\$ 27,612
Interest paid by consolidated variable interest entities	63,219	62,356
Income taxes paid	2,513	658

Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing Activities:

Non-cash contributions on equity method investments	\$ 904	\$ 1,626
Non-cash distributions from equity method investments	(1,364)	(468)
Change in accrual for purchase of fixed assets		285

Table of Contents

Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Financing Activities:

Declared and unpaid distributions	\$ (28,809)	\$ (12,131)
Non-cash distributions to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities		(9,499)
Non-cash contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group		280
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group, net of taxes		2,010
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	1,027	(455)
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	1,921	(88)
Net transfer of AAA ownership interest from Apollo Global Management, LLC	(1,921)	88
Non-cash contributions to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group related to equity-based compensation	19,163	152,412
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps		1,052
Unrealized loss on available for sale securities (from equity method investment)	(5)	(3)
Capital increases related to equity-based compensation	68,058	137,305
Non-cash contribution from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities		1,247
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	4,545	
Deferred tax asset related to interest rate swaps		(237)
Tax benefits related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	(10,911)	(45)
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities related to acquisition		306,351
Capital increase related to business acquisition		14,001
Net Assets Transferred from Consolidated Variable Interest Entity:		
Cash and cash equivalents		1,161,016
Investments, at fair value		8,581,827
Other assets		394,026
Debt, at fair value		(7,255,172)
Other liabilities		(560,262)
Net Assets Transferred from Consolidated Fund:		
Investments, at fair value		46,147
Adjustments related to exchange of Apollo Operating Group units:		
Deferred tax assets	\$ 92,080	\$
Due to affiliates	(78,268)	
Additional paid in capital	(13,812)	
Non-controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group	50,819	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Apollo Global Management, LLC (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the Company or Apollo) is a global alternative investment manager whose predecessor was founded in 1990. Its primary business is to raise, invest and manage private equity, credit and real estate funds as well as strategic investment accounts (SIAs), on behalf of pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds, as well as other institutional and individual investors. For these investment management services, Apollo receives management fees generally related to the amount of assets managed, transaction and advisory fees for the investments made and carried interest income related to the performance of the respective funds that it manages. Apollo has three primary business segments:

Private equity primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

Credit primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

Real estate primarily invests in legacy commercial mortgage-backed securities, commercial first mortgage loans, mezzanine investments and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. Additionally, the Company sponsors real estate funds that focus on opportunistic investments in distressed debt and equity recapitalization transactions.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company changed the name of its capital markets business segment to the credit segment. The Company believes this new name provides a more accurate description of the types of assets which are managed within this segment. In addition, this segment name change is consistent with the Company's management reporting and organizational structure as well as the manner in which resource deployment and compensation decisions are made.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The condensed consolidated financial statements and these notes are unaudited and exclude some of the disclosures required in annual financial statements. Management believes it has made all necessary adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) so that the condensed consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and that estimates made in preparing its condensed consolidated financial statements are reasonable and prudent. The operating results presented for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiaries, the consolidated entities which are considered to be variable interest entities (VIEs) and for which the Company is considered the primary beneficiary, and certain entities which are not considered variable interest entities but which the Company controls through a majority voting interest. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Certain reclassifications, when applicable, have been made to the prior period's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to the current period's presentation and are disclosed accordingly.

Reorganization of the Company

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

The Company was formed as a Delaware limited liability company on July 3, 2007 and completed a reorganization of its predecessor businesses on July 13, 2007 (the "2007 Reorganization"). The Company is managed and operated by its manager, AGM Management, LLC, which in turn is indirectly wholly-owned and controlled by the Managing Partners.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company owned, through three intermediate holding companies that include APO Corp., a Delaware corporation that is a domestic corporation for U.S. Federal income tax purposes, APO Asset Co., LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is a disregarded entity for U.S. Federal income tax purposes, and APO (FC), LLC, an Anguilla limited liability company that is treated as a corporation for U.S. Federal income tax purposes (collectively, the "Intermediate Holding Companies"), 38.0% of the economic interests of, and operated and controlled all of the businesses and affairs of, the Apollo Operating Group through its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC **NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED** **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Holdings, is the entity through the Contributing Partners indirectly beneficially own, interests in Apollo Operating Group represented by units in each of the partnerships that comprise the Apollo Operating Group (AOG Units) that represent 62.0% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group as of June 30, 2013. The Company consolidates the financial results of the Apollo Operating Group and its consolidated subsidiaries. Holdings' ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group is reflected as a Non-Controlling Interest in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Apollo also entered into an exchange agreement with Holdings (as amended, the Exchange Agreement) that allows the holders of the AOG Units (and certain permitted transferees thereof), subject to the applicable vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements and transfer restrictions to exchange, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), their AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares on a one-for-one basis up to four times each year, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for splits, distributions and reclassifications. Under the Exchange Agreement, a holder of AOG Units must simultaneously exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share. As a holder exchanges its AOG Units, the Company's indirect interest in the Apollo Operating Group partnerships will be correspondingly increased.

On May 15, 2013, the Company completed its public offering for resale of approximately 24.3 million Class A shares owned by its Strategic Investors and certain of its Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and employees (collectively, the Selling Shareholders) at a price to the public of \$25.00 per Class A share, which included approximately 3.2 million Class A shares sold by the Selling Shareholders upon the exercise in full of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares (the Secondary Offering). In connection with the Secondary Offering, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 8.8 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. No proceeds were received by the Company from the sale of Class A shares by the Selling Shareholders in the Secondary Offering. All underwriting costs were borne by the Selling Shareholders. The Company incurred approximately \$3.0 million of fees, consisting of legal and professional fees and filing costs, as a result of the Secondary Offering.

As a result of the exchange of AOG Units into Class A shares, the Company's economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group increased from 35.6% to 38.0% and Holdings' economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group decreased from 64.4% to 62.0%. The dilution of Holdings' economic interests in Apollo Operating Group is reflected in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity in the line titled Exchange of AOG Units for Class A Shares, where \$50.8 million was transferred to Apollo Global Management, LLC's shareholders equity from Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group. Additionally, as a result of the exchange of AOG Units into Class A shares, the Company recognized a step-up in tax basis of certain assets and liabilities. Similar to its 2007 Reorganization, the Company recognized an increase in its deferred tax asset, tax receivable agreement liability and additional paid in capital as a result of the exchange of AOG Units into Class A shares. Refer to note 7 and note 11 for a discussion of the increase in deferred taxes, tax receivable agreement liability and additional paid in capital as a result of the exchange of AOG Units into Class A shares.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those funds in which the general partner is presumed to have control (e.g., AP Alternative Assets, L.P., (AAA) and the Apollo Credit Senior Loan Fund, L.P. (Apollo Senior Loan Fund)). Apollo also consolidates entities that are VIEs for which Apollo is the primary beneficiary. Under the amended consolidation rules, an enterprise is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest. A controlling financial interest is defined as (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's business and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries hold equity interests in and/or receive fees qualifying as variable interests from the entities that the Company manages. The amended consolidation rules require an analysis to determine whether (a) an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE and (b) Apollo's involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the entity or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would give it a controlling financial interest. When the VIE has qualified for the deferral

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

of the amended consolidation rules in accordance with U.S. GAAP, the analysis is based on previous consolidation rules, which require an analysis to determine whether (a) an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE and (b) Apollo's involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the entity or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the variability of the entity.

-14-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Under both the previous and amended consolidation rules, the determination of whether an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE requires judgments which include determining whether the equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, evaluating whether the equity holders, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, and determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive returns from an entity. Under both the previous and amended consolidation rules, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes involved with a VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. The consolidation analysis can generally be performed qualitatively. However, if it is not readily apparent whether Apollo is the primary beneficiary, a quantitative expected losses and expected residual returns calculation will be performed. Investments and redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective Apollo fund may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

Apollo assesses whether it is the primary beneficiary and will consolidate or deconsolidate the entity accordingly. Performance of that assessment requires the exercise of judgment. Where the variable interests have qualified for the deferral, judgments are made in estimating cash flows in evaluating which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected profits or losses of the VIE. Where the variable interests have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest, including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that are potentially significant to the VIE. Under both guidelines, judgment is made in evaluating the nature of the relationships and activities of the parties involved in determining if there is a related-party group, and if so, which party within the related-party group is most closely associated with the VIE. The use of these judgments has a material impact to certain components of Apollo's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIE's note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIE's assets and liabilities.

Assets and liability amounts of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Refer to additional disclosures regarding VIEs in note 4. Intercompany transactions and balances, if any, have been eliminated in the consolidation.

Equity Method Investments For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities are at fair value.

Non-Controlling Interests For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2013, the Non-Controlling Interests

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily includes the 62.0% ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the approximately 97% ownership interest held by limited partners in AAA as of June 30, 2013. Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

-15-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Non-Controlling Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The primary components of Non-Controlling Interests are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interest in the Apollo Operating Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities. Net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the holders of Non-Controlling Interests on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their relative ownership interests regardless of their basis.

Revenues Revenues are reported in three separate categories that include (i) advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, which relate to the investments of the funds and may include individual monitoring agreements the Company has with the portfolio companies and debt investment vehicles of the private equity funds and credit funds; (ii) management fees from affiliates, which are based on committed capital, invested capital, net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements; and (iii) carried interest income (loss) from affiliates, which is normally based on the performance of the funds subject to preferred return.

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates Advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, are recognized when the underlying services rendered are substantially completed in accordance with the terms of the transaction and advisory agreements. Additionally, during the normal course of business, the Company incurs certain costs related to certain transactions that are not consummated (broken deal costs). These costs (e.g. research costs, due diligence costs, professional fees, legal fees and other related items) are determined to be broken deal costs upon management's decision to no longer pursue the transaction. In accordance with the related fund agreement, in the event the deal is deemed broken, all of the costs are reimbursed by the funds and then included in the calculation of the Management Fee Offset described below. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or fund's portfolio company of all costs incurred and no offset is generated.

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates also include underwriting fees. Underwriting fees include gains, losses and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from securities offerings in which one of the Company's subsidiaries participates in the underwriter syndicate. Underwriting fees are recognized at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably assured and are included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Underwriting fees recognized but not received are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

As a result of providing advisory services to certain private equity and credit portfolio companies, Apollo is generally entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition, in certain cases, and disposition of portfolio companies as well as ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. The amounts due from portfolio companies are included in Due from Affiliates, which is discussed further in note 11. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs (Management Fee Offset). Such amounts are presented as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Management Fees from Affiliates Management fees for private equity, real estate and credit funds are recognized in the period during which the related services are performed in accordance with the contractual terms of the related agreement, and are generally based upon (1) a percentage of the capital committed during the commitment period, and thereafter based on the remaining invested capital of unrealized investments, or (2) net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates Apollo is entitled to an incentive return that can normally amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on funds' capital, depending upon performance. Performance-based fees are assessed as a percentage of the investment performance of the funds. The carried interest income from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the fund's net assets on the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the fund's income allocation provisions. Carried interest receivable is presented separately in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The carried interest income from affiliates may be subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income recorded exceeds the amount due to the general partner based on a fund's cumulative investment returns. When

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

applicable, the accrual for potential repayment of previously received carried interest income, which is a component of due to affiliates, represents all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. The actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life.

-16-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Investments, at Fair Value The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements which, among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value. Investments, at fair value, represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Level III Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partnership interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II or Level III investment. Some of the factors we consider include the number of broker quotes we obtain, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment when the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument that is measured and reported at fair value is transferred into or out of Level III of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

Private Equity Investments

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of investments that are less liquid include the market approach and the income approach. The market approach provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry. The market approach is driven more by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to such factors as the Company's historical and projected financial data, valuations given to comparable companies, the size and scope of the Company's operations, the Company's strengths,

-17-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

weaknesses, expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the Company's securities, applicable restrictions on transfer, industry and market information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions and other factors deemed relevant. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology used in the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are assumptions of expected results and a calculated discount rate.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our private equity investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Credit Investments

The majority of the investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap contracts and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of illiquid investments included in Apollo's credit funds also may use the income approach or market approach. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our credit investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses.

Real Estate Investments

The estimated fair value of commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) in Apollo's funds is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs for certain investments. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

-18-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our real estate investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

Except for the Company's debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement (as defined in note 8), Apollo's financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying value approximates fair value. See Investments, at Fair Value above. While Apollo's valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Other financial instruments' carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings. As disclosed in note 8, the Company's long term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement is believed to have an estimated fair value of approximately \$770.4 million based on a yield analysis using available market data of comparable securities with similar terms and remaining maturities as of June 30, 2013. However, the carrying value that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition is the amount for which we expect to settle the long term debt obligation. The Company has determined that the long term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement would be categorized as a Level III liability in the fair-value hierarchy.

Fair Value Option Apollo has elected the fair value option for the convertible notes issued by HFA Holdings Limited (HFA) and for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo has applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by the consolidated VIEs that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. For the convertible notes issued by HFA, Apollo has elected to separately present interest income from other changes in the fair value of the convertible notes in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Refer to notes 3 and 4 for further disclosure on the investment in HFA and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

Financial Instruments held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that are traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the bid and ask prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions of similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligation is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the bid and ask prices. In the event that market quotations are

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

not available, a model based approach is used. The valuation approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve given the loan's respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of its debt obligations.

-19-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

Goodwill and Intangible Assets Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2013, the Company performed its annual impairment testing. As the fair value of the Company's reporting units was well in excess of the carrying value as of June 30, 2013, there was no impairment of goodwill or indefinite life intangible assets at such time.

Compensation and Benefits

Equity-Based Compensation Equity-based awards granted to employees as compensation are measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are expensed over the relevant service period. The Company estimates forfeitures for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Equity-based awards granted to non-employees for services provided to affiliates are remeasured to fair value at the end of each reporting period and expensed over the relevant service period.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are generally accrued over the related service period.

From time to time, the Company may assign profits interests received in lieu of management fees to certain investment professionals. Such assignments of profits interests are treated as compensation and benefits when assigned.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) Savings Plan whereby U.S. based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Profit Sharing Expense Profit sharing expense primarily consists of a portion of carried interest recognized in one or more funds allocated to employees and former employees. Profit sharing expense is recognized on an accrued basis as the related carried interest income is earned. Profit sharing expense can be reversed during periods when there is a decline in carried interest income that was previously recognized. Additionally, profit sharing expenses previously distributed may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense.

Profit sharing expense is also the result of profits interests issued to certain employees whereby they are entitled to a share in earnings of and any appreciation of the value in a subsidiary of the Company during their term of employment. Profit sharing expense related to these profits interests is recognized ratably over the requisite service period and thereafter will be recognized at the time the distributions are determined.

The Company has a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement enables certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Incentive Fee Compensation Certain employees are entitled to receive a discretionary portion of incentive fee income from certain of our credit funds, based on performance for the year. Incentive fee compensation expense is recognized on an accrual basis as the related carried interest

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

income is earned. Incentive fee compensation expense may be subject to reversal until the carried interest income becomes crystallized.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in the Company's investment portfolio between the opening balance sheet date and the closing balance sheet date. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) of investments, at fair value.

-20-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Other Income (Loss), Net Other income (loss), net includes the recognition of bargain purchase gains as a result of Apollo acquisitions, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Net Income (Loss) Per Class A Share U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Each total is then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator includes all outstanding common shares and all potential common shares assumed issued if they are dilutive. The numerator is adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from a hypothetical conversion of these potential common shares.

Use of Estimates The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Apollo's most significant estimates include goodwill, intangible assets, income taxes, carried interest income from affiliates, contingent consideration obligations related to acquisitions, non-cash compensation and fair value of investments and debt in the consolidated and unconsolidated funds and VIEs. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance to enhance disclosures about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset. Under the guidance, an entity is required to disclose quantitative information relating to recognized assets and liabilities that are offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, including the gross amounts of those recognized assets and liabilities, the amounts offset to determine the net amount presented in the statement of financial position, and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position. With respect to amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement which are not offset, disclosure is required of the amounts related to recognized financial instruments and other derivative instruments, the amount related to financial collateral (including cash collateral), and the overall net amount after considering amounts that have not been offset. The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and interim periods within those annual periods and retrospective application is required. As the amendments are limited to disclosure only, the adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued guidance to clarify the scope of disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The amendments clarify that the scope of guidance issued in December 2011 to enhance disclosures around financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset, or (2) subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset, applies to derivatives,

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. As the amendments are limited to disclosure only, the adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

-21-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued amended guidance related to testing indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, for impairment. Under the revised guidance, entities have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If an entity determines, on the basis of qualitative factors, that the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset is more likely than not to be less than the carrying amount, then the entity must perform the quantitative impairment test; otherwise, further testing would not be required. The amendments are effective for all entities for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. In conjunction with the annual goodwill impairment test as of June 30, 2013, utilizing the two step method described above, the Company concluded these amendments did not have an impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance on the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The guidance does not change the requirement for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. However, the amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The guidance is effective prospectively for periods beginning after December 15, 2012. As the amendments are limited to presentation and disclosure only, the adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2013, the FASB issued guidance that requires an entity to prepare its financial statements using the liquidation basis of accounting when liquidation is imminent. The financial statements prepared using the liquidation basis of accounting should present relevant information about the expected resources in liquidation by measuring and presenting assets at the amount of the expected cash proceeds from liquidation. The entity should include in its presentation of assets any items it had not previously recognized under U.S. GAAP but that it expects to either sell in liquidation or use in settling liabilities. Liabilities should be recognized and measured in accordance with U.S. GAAP that otherwise applies to those liabilities. The guidance requires an entity to accrue and separately present the costs that it expects to incur and the income that it expects to earn during the expected duration of the liquidation, including any costs associated with the sale or settlement of those assets and liabilities. Additionally, the amended guidance requires disclosures about an entity's plan for liquidation, the methods and significant assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities, the type and amount of costs and income accrued, and the expected duration of the liquidation process. The guidance is effective for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein. Entities should apply the requirements prospectively from the day that liquidation becomes imminent and early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance to change the assessment of whether an entity is an investment company by developing a new two-tiered approach that requires an entity to possess certain fundamental characteristics while allowing judgment in assessing certain typical characteristics. The fundamental characteristics that an investment company must have include the following: (1) it obtains funds from one or more investors and provides the investor(s) with investment management services; (2) it commits to its investor(s) that its business purpose and only substantive activities are investing the funds solely for returns from capital appreciation, investment income or both; and (3) it does not obtain returns or benefits from an investee or its affiliates that are not normally attributable to ownership interests. The typical characteristics of an investment company that an entity should consider before concluding whether it is an investment company include the following: (1) it has more than one investment; (2) it has more than one investor; (3) it has investors that are not related parties of the parent or the investment manager; (4) it has ownership interests in the form of equity or partnership interests; and (5) it manages substantially all of its investments on a fair value basis. The new approach requires an entity to assess all of the characteristics of an investment company and consider its purpose and design to determine whether it is an investment company. The guidance includes disclosure requirements about an entity's status as an investment company and financial support provided or contractually required to be provided by an investment company to its investees. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier application is

prohibited. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate the diversity in practice on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. Under the new guidance, an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carry forward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statement as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date (e.g. an entity should not evaluate whether the deferred tax asset expires before the statute of limitations on the tax position or whether the deferred tax asset may be used prior to the unrecognized tax benefit being settled). The guidance does not require new recurring disclosures. The guidance applies to all entities that have unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists at the reporting date. The guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The guidance should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. INVESTMENTS

The following table represents Apollo's investments:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Investments, at fair value	\$ 1,736,703	\$ 1,744,412
Other investments	397,358	393,684
Total Investments	\$ 2,134,061	\$ 2,138,096

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Investments, at Fair Value

Investments, at fair value, consist of financial instruments held by AAA, investments held by the Apollo Senior Loan Fund, the Company's investment in HFA and other investments held by the Company at fair value. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the net assets of the consolidated funds (excluding VIEs) were \$1,683.4 million and \$1,691.3 million, respectively. The following investments, except the investment in HFA and Other Investments, are presented as a percentage of net assets of the consolidated funds:

Investments, at Fair Value Affiliates	June 30, 2013					December 31, 2012				
	Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost	% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds	Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost	% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds
Investments held by:										
AAA	\$ 1,659,093	\$	\$ 1,659,093	\$ 1,498,965	98.6%	\$ 1,666,448	\$	\$ 1,666,448	\$ 1,561,154	98.5%
Apollo Senior Loan Fund		27,218	27,218	27,131	1.6		27,653	27,653	27,296	1.5
HFA		48,658	48,658	59,472	N/A		48,723	48,723	57,815	N/A
Other Investments	1,734		1,734	3,272	N/A	1,588		1,588	3,563	N/A
Total	\$ 1,660,827	\$ 75,876	\$ 1,736,703	\$ 1,588,840	100.2%	\$ 1,668,036	\$ 76,376	\$ 1,744,412	\$ 1,649,828	100.0%

Securities

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the sole investment held by AAA was its investment in AAA Investments, L.P. (AAA Investments), which is measured based on AAA's share of net asset value of AAA investments. The following tables represent each investment of AAA Investments constituting more than five percent of the net assets of the funds that the Company consolidates (excluding VIEs) as of the aforementioned dates:

	June 30, 2013				% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds	December 31, 2012				% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds
	Instrument Type	Fair Value	Cost			Instrument Type	Fair Value	Cost		
Athene Holding Ltd. ⁽¹⁾	Equity	\$ 1,617,445	\$ 1,276,366		96.1%	Equity	\$ 1,578,954	\$ 1,276,366		93.4%

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (1) Two subsidiaries of AAA Investments, AAA Guarantor-Athene, L.P. and Apollo Life Re Ltd., own the majority of the equity of Athene Holding Ltd.

AAA Investments owns through its subsidiaries the majority of the equity of Athene Holding Ltd. (together with its subsidiaries, Athene), the direct or indirect parent of the following principal operating subsidiaries: Athene Life Re Ltd., a Bermuda-based reinsurance company focused on the fixed annuity reinsurance sector, Athene Annuity & Life Assurance Company (formerly Liberty Life Insurance Company), a Delaware-domiciled (formerly South Carolina-domiciled) stock life insurance company focused on retail sales and reinsurance in the retirement services market, Athene Life Insurance Company, a Delaware-domiciled (formerly Indiana-domiciled) stock life insurance company focused on the institutional funding agreement backed note and funding agreement markets, and Presidential Life Insurance Company, a New-York-domiciled stock life insurance company focused on retail sales of fixed annuity products principally in New York.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, AAA and AAA Investments consummated a transaction whereby a wholly-owned subsidiary of AAA Investments contributed substantially all of its investments to Athene Holding Ltd. in exchange for common shares of Athene Holding Ltd., cash and a short term promissory note (the AAA Transaction). After the AAA Transaction, Athene Holding Ltd. was AAA's only material investment and as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, AAA through its investment in AAA Investments was the largest shareholder of Athene Holding Ltd. with an approximate 72% and 77%, respectively, ownership stake (without giving to effect to restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan).

Apollo Senior Loan Fund

On December 31, 2011, the Company invested \$26.0 million in the Apollo Senior Loan Fund. As a result, the Company became the sole investor in the fund and therefore consolidated the assets and liabilities of the fund. The fund invests

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

in U.S. denominated senior secured loans, senior secured bonds and other income generating fixed-income investments. At least 90% of the Apollo Senior Loan Fund's portfolio of investments must consist of senior secured, floating rate loans or cash or cash equivalents. Up to 10% of the Apollo Senior Loan Fund's portfolio may consist of non-first lien fixed income investments and other income generating fixed income investments, including but not limited to senior secured bonds. The Apollo Senior Loan Fund may not purchase assets rated (tranche rating) at B3 or lower by Moody's, or equivalent rating by another nationally recognized rating agency.

The Company has classified the instruments associated with the Apollo Senior Loan Fund investment as Level II and Level III investments. All Level II and Level III investments of the Apollo Senior Loan Fund were valued using broker quotes.

HFA

On March 7, 2011, the Company invested \$52.1 million (including expenses related to the purchase) in a convertible note with an aggregate principal amount of \$50.0 million and received 20,833,333 stock options issued by HFA, an Australian based specialist global funds management company.

The terms of the convertible note allow the Company to convert the note, in whole or in part, into common shares of HFA at an exchange rate equal to the principal plus accrued payment-in-kind interest (or PIK interest) divided by US\$0.98 at any time, and convey participation rights, on an as-converted basis, in any dividends declared in excess of \$6.0 million per annum, as well as seniority rights over HFA common equity holders. Unless previously converted, repurchased or canceled, the note will be converted on the eighth anniversary of its issuance on March 11, 2019. Additionally, the note has a percentage coupon interest of 6% per annum, paid via principal capitalization (PIK interest) for the first four years, and thereafter either in cash or via principal capitalization at HFA's discretion. The PIK interest provides for the Company to receive additional common shares of HFA if the note is converted. The Company has elected the fair value option for the convertible note. The convertible note is valued using an if-converted basis, which is based on a hypothetical exit through conversion to common equity (for which quoted price exists) as of the valuation date. The Company separately presents interest income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations from other changes in the fair value of the convertible note. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recorded \$0.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, in PIK interest income included in interest income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded \$0.8 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, in PIK interest income included in interest income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The terms of the stock options allow for the Company to acquire 20,833,333 fully paid ordinary shares of HFA at an exercise price in Australian Dollars (A\$) of A\$8.00 (exchange rate of A\$1.00 to \$0.91 as of June 30, 2013) per stock option. The stock options became exercisable upon issuance and expire on the eighth anniversary of the issuance date. The stock options are accounted for as a derivative and are valued at their fair value under U.S. GAAP at each balance sheet date. As a result, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded an unrealized loss of approximately \$1.7 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, related to the convertible note and stock options within net gains from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded an unrealized loss of \$5.8 million and \$13.1 million, respectively, related to the convertible note and stock options within net gains (losses) from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The Company has classified the instruments associated with the HFA investment as Level III investments.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities

Net gains (losses) from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations include net realized gains from sales of investments, and the change in net unrealized gains (losses) resulting from changes in fair value of the consolidated funds' investments and realization of previously unrealized gains (losses). Additionally net gains (losses) from investment activities include changes in the fair value of the investment in HFA and other investments held at fair value. The following tables present Apollo's net gains (losses) from investment activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$	\$ 167	\$ 167
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) due to changes in fair values	7,064	(6,115)	949
Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities	\$ 7,064	\$ (5,948)	\$ 1,116

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$	\$ 45	\$ 45
Change in net unrealized losses due to changes in fair values	(15,149)	(13,110)	(28,259)
Net Losses from Investment Activities	\$ (15,149)	\$ (13,065)	\$ (28,214)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$	\$ 408	\$ 408
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) due to changes in fair values	54,833	(1,992)	52,841
Net Gains (losses) from Investment Activities	\$ 54,833	\$ (1,584)	\$ 53,249

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$	\$ 136	\$ 136
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) due to changes in fair values	138,543	(9,185)	129,358

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities	\$	138,543	\$ (9,049)	\$ 129,494
---	----	---------	------------	------------

Other Investments

Other Investments primarily consist of equity method investments. Apollo's share of operating income (loss) generated by these investments is recorded within income from equity method investments in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table presents income (loss) from equity method investments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Investments:				
Private Equity Funds:				
AAA Investments	\$ 6	\$ (1)	\$ 33	\$ 83
Apollo Investment Fund IV, L.P. (Fund IV)		(2)		(2)
Apollo Investment Fund V, L.P. (Fund V)	(1)	24	6	29
Apollo Investment Fund VI, L.P. (Fund VI)	(5)	(64)	1,073	2,548
Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P. (Fund VII)	11,064	(858)	31,477	23,223
Apollo Natural Resources Partners, L.P. (ANRP)	152	137	163	174
AION Capital Partners Limited (AION)	369		553	
Apollo Asia Private Credit Fund, L.P. (APC)	2		3	
Credit Funds:				
Apollo Special Opportunities Managed Account, L.P. (SOMA)	30	(30)	414	666
Apollo Value Investment Fund, L.P. (VIF)	(1)	(4)	6	15
Apollo Strategic Value Fund, L.P. (SVF)	(2)	(3)	1	12
Apollo Credit Liquidity Fund, L.P. (ACLF)	(36)	78	668	1,966
Apollo/Artus Investors 2007-I, L.P. (Artus)	(2)	(104)	(2)	291
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund I, L.P. (COF I)	563	(1,851)	4,137	7,168
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund II, L.P. (COF II)	(304)	522	584	2,955
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. (COF III)	(11)		(11)	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund, L.P. (EPF I)	3,079	150	2,748	794
Apollo Investment Europe II, L.P. (AIE II)	349	(400)	406	503
Apollo Palmetto Strategic Partnership, L.P. (Palmetto)	259	38	842	549
Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. (AFT)	(3)	1	5	11
Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. (AMTG)	22 ⁽¹⁾	403 ⁽²⁾	508 ⁽¹⁾	555 ⁽²⁾
Apollo European Credit, L.P. (AEC)	71	(8)	147	27
Apollo European Strategic Investments, L.P. (AESI)	106	(31)	258	162
Apollo Centre Street Partnership, L.P. (ACSP)	108	(67)	289	(67)
Apollo Investment Corporation (AINV)	2,037 ⁽¹⁾		1,410	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund II, L.P. (EPF II)	23	316	86	316
Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P. (SK)	23		49	
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	501		88	
Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. (AIF)	(10)		(5)	
Apollo Franklin Partnership, L.P.	(7)		(7)	
Real Estate:				
Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (ARI)	93 ⁽¹⁾	268 ⁽²⁾	316 ⁽¹⁾	516 ⁽²⁾
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	227	(33)	241	(86)
CPI Capital Partners North America LP	16	(10)	74	(31)
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P.	(6)	32	(4)	37
Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P.	(1)		(5)	
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	(8)		(8)	

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Other Equity Method Investments:

VC Holdings, L.P. Series A (Vantium A/B)		(1)	13	(306)
VC Holdings, L.P. Series C (Vantium C)	1,333	17	1,325	(133)
VC Holdings, L.P. Series D (Vantium D)	46	190	(1)	432
Other	8	452		5

Total Income (Loss) from Equity Method Investments	\$ 20,090	\$ (839)	\$ 47,880	\$ 42,412
--	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------

(1) Amounts are as of March 31, 2013.

(2) Amounts are as of March 31, 2012.

-27-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Other investments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2013	Equity Held as of % of Ownership	December 31, 2012	% of Ownership
Investments:				
Private Equity Funds:				
AAA Investments	\$ 997	0.058%	\$ 998	0.057%
Fund IV	9	0.015	9	0.015
Fund V	113	0.017	173	0.014
Fund VI	8,746	0.094	9,814	0.094
Fund VII	158,104	1.280	164,773	1.316
ANRP	2,782	0.832	2,355	0.903
AION	1,178	10.000	625	10.000
APC	19	0.059	17	0.058
Credit Funds:				
SOMA	6,298	0.826	5,887	0.643
VIF	148	0.105	141	0.093
SVF	23	0.078	137	0.076
ACLF	9,723	2.573	9,281	2.579
Artus	665	6.156	667	6.156
COF I	30,210	1.918	39,416	1.924
COF II	10,362	1.404	19,654	1.429
COF III	526	2.439		
EPF I	21,520	1.363	18,329	1.363
AIE II	6,734	2.269	7,207	2.205
Palmetto	14,491	1.186	13,614	1.186
AFT	103	0.034	98	0.034
AMTG ⁽³⁾	4,527 ⁽¹⁾	0.623 ⁽¹⁾	4,380 ⁽²⁾	0.811 ⁽²⁾
AEC	2,070	1.061	1,604	1.079
AESI	3,368	1.006	3,076	0.991
ACSP	7,484	2.456	5,327	2.457
AINV ⁽⁴⁾	53,172 ⁽¹⁾	3.095	51,761	2.955
EPF II	11,764	2.268	5,337	1.316
SK	1,449	0.992	1,002	0.988
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	2,611	0.845	90	0.083
CION Investment Corporation	1,000	2.900	1,000	22.207
AIF	95	0.036		
Apollo Franklin Partnership, L.P.	4,993	9.099		
Real Estate:				
ARI ⁽³⁾	11,737 ⁽¹⁾	1.500 ⁽¹⁾	11,469 ⁽²⁾	2.729 ⁽²⁾
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	10,873	1.845	5,210	1.845
CPI Capital Partners North America	334	0.414	455	0.413
CPI Capital Partners Europe	5	0.001	5	0.001
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific	171	0.039	186	0.039

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P.	3,013	4.946	2,428	4.621
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	72	1.031		
Other Equity Method Investments:				
Vantium A/B	67	6.450	54	6.450
Vantium C	3,870	2.071	5,172	2.071
Vantium D	1,932	6.345	1,933	6.345
Total Other Investments	\$ 397,358		\$ 393,684	

- (1) Amounts are as of March 31, 2013.
- (2) Amounts are as of September 30, 2012.
- (3) Investment value includes the fair value of RSUs granted to the Company as of the grant date. These amounts are not considered in the percentage of ownership until the RSUs are vested, at which point the RSUs are converted to common stock and delivered to the Company.
- (4) The value of the Company's investment in AINV was \$49,767 and \$51,351 based on the quoted market price as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

-28-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, no single equity method investee held by Apollo exceeded 20% of its total consolidated assets or income. As such, Apollo is not required to present summarized income statement information for any of its equity method investees.

Fair Value Measurements

The following table summarizes the valuation of Apollo's investments in fair value hierarchy levels as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Level I		Level II		Level III		Totals	
	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets, at fair value:								
Investment in AAA Investments	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,659,093	\$ 1,666,448	\$ 1,659,093	\$ 1,666,448
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund			26,781	27,063	437	590	27,218	27,653
Investments in HFA and Other					50,392	50,311	50,392	50,311
Total	\$	\$	\$ 26,781	\$ 27,063	\$ 1,709,922	\$ 1,717,349	\$ 1,736,703	\$ 1,744,412

There were transfers of investments from Level II into Level III and from Level III into Level II relating to investments held by the Apollo Senior Loan Fund during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were transfers of investments from Level III into Level II and from Level II into Level III relating to investments held by the Apollo Senior Loan Fund during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These transfers were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these investments to various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no transfers between Level I and Level II investments.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the changes in AAA Investments, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III investments:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 1,652,029	\$ 1,581,773	\$ 1,666,448	\$ 1,480,152
Distributions		(49,724)	(62,188)	(101,795)
Change in unrealized gains (losses), net	7,064	(15,150)	54,833	138,542
Balance, End of Period	\$ 1,659,093	\$ 1,516,899	\$ 1,659,093	\$ 1,516,899

The following table summarizes the changes in the investments in HFA and Other Investments, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III investments:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 55,407	\$ 52,571	\$ 50,311	\$ 47,757
Acquisition of consolidated fund		46,147		46,147
Purchases	833	2,809	2,268	3,959
Sale of Investments			(902)	
Change in unrealized losses, net	(5,848)	(13,688)	(1,285)	(10,024)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 50,392	\$ 87,839	\$ 50,392	\$ 87,839

The change in unrealized gains (losses), net has been recorded within the caption Net gains (losses) from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the changes in the investments in the Apollo Senior Loan Fund, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III investments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$	\$ 484	\$ 590	\$ 456
Purchases of investments			22	
Sale of investments		(2)		(461)
Realized gains				9
Change in unrealized gains (losses), net		(1)	9	(6)
Transfers into Level III	437		437	483
Transfers out of Level III		(481)	(621)	(481)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 437	\$	\$ 437	\$

The following table summarizes a look-through of the Company's Level III investments by valuation methodology of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments:

	Private Equity			
	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
	% of Investment of AAA	% of Investment of AAA		
Approximate values based on net asset value of the underlying funds, which are based on the funds underlying investments that are valued using the following:				
Discounted cash flow models	\$ 1,617,445	97.1%	\$ 1,581,975	98.6%
Listed quotes	48,579	2.9	22,029	1.4
Total Investments	1,666,024	100%	1,604,004	100%
Other net (liabilities) assets ⁽¹⁾	(6,931)		62,444	
Total Net Assets	\$ 1,659,093		\$ 1,666,448	

- (1) Balances include other assets, liabilities and general partner interests of AAA Investments. Balance at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is primarily comprised of \$51.3 million and \$113.3 million in notes receivable from an affiliate, respectively, less the obligation to the general partner. Carrying values approximate fair value for other assets and liabilities and, accordingly, extended valuation procedures

are not required.

4. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company consolidates entities that are VIEs for which the Company has been designated as the primary beneficiary. The purpose of such VIEs is to provide strategy-specific investment opportunities for investors in exchange for management and performance based fees. The investment strategies of the entities that the Company manages may vary by entity; however, the fundamental risks of such entities have similar characteristics, including loss of invested capital and the return of carried interest income previously distributed to the Company by certain private equity and credit entities. The nature of the Company's involvement with VIEs includes direct and indirect investments and fee arrangements. The Company does not provide performance guarantees and has no other financial obligations to provide funding to VIEs other than its own capital commitments. There is no recourse to the Company for the consolidated VIEs' liabilities.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs are comprised primarily of investments and debt, at fair value, and are included within assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Apollo has consolidated VIEs in accordance with the methodology described in note 2. The majority of the consolidated VIEs were formed for the sole purpose of issuing collateralized notes to investors. The assets of these VIEs are primarily comprised of senior secured loans and the liabilities are primarily comprised of debt. Through its role as collateral manager of these VIEs, it was determined that Apollo had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. Additionally, Apollo determined that the potential fees that it could receive directly and indirectly from these VIEs represent rights to returns that could potentially be significant to such VIEs. As a result, Apollo determined that it is the primary beneficiary and therefore should consolidate the VIEs.

The assets of these consolidated VIEs are not available to creditors of the Company. In addition, the investors in these consolidated VIEs have no recourse against the assets of the Company. The Company has elected the fair value option for financial instruments held by its consolidated VIEs, which includes investments in loans and corporate bonds, as well as debt obligations held by such consolidated VIEs. Other assets include amounts due from brokers and interest receivables. Other liabilities include payables for securities purchased, which represent open trades within the consolidated VIEs and primarily relate to corporate loans that are expected to settle within the next sixty days.

Fair Value Measurements

The following table summarizes the valuation of Apollo's consolidated VIEs in fair value hierarchy levels as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Level I		Level II		Level III		Totals	
	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Investments, at fair value	\$ 60	\$ 168	\$ 10,463,405	\$ 11,045,902	\$ 1,757,857	\$ 1,643,465	\$ 12,221,322	\$ 12,689,535

	Level I		Level II		Level III		Totals	
	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Liabilities, at fair value	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10,835,271	\$ 11,834,955	\$ 10,835,271	\$ 11,834,955

Level III investments include corporate loan and corporate bond investments held by the consolidated VIEs. Level III liabilities consist of notes and loans, the valuations of which are discussed further in note 2. All Level II investments were valued using broker quotes. Transfers of investments out of Level III and into Level II or Level I, if any, are accounted for as of the end of the reporting period in which the transfer occurred. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there were no transfers between Level I and Level II investments. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, transfers from Level II into Level I totaled \$164. Transfers into Level I represent those financial instruments for which an unadjusted quoted price in an active market became available for the identical asset.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for investments, at fair value, categorized as Level III in the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2013. The disclosure below excludes Level III investments, at fair value, as of June 30, 2013, for which the determination of fair value is based on broker quotes:

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets:					
Bank Debt Term Loans		Discounted Cash Flow			
	\$ 56,566	Comparable Yields	Discount Rates	10.7% 30.4%	15.7%
Stocks		Market Comparable	Comparable		
	603	Companies	Multiples	6.6x	6.6x
Total	\$ 57,169				

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the bank debt term loans and stocks include the discount rate applied and the multiples applied in the valuation models. These unobservable inputs in isolation can cause significant increases (decreases) in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely, decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for a similar investment with similar risks. When a comparable multiple model is used to determine fair value, the comparable multiples are generally multiplied by the underlying companies' earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) to establish the total enterprise value of the company. The comparable multiple is determined based on the implied trading multiple of public industry peers.

The following table summarizes the changes in investments of consolidated VIEs, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III investments:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 1,765,988	\$ 215,246	\$ 1,643,465	\$ 246,609
Acquisition of VIEs		1,482,057		1,482,057
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	19,302	(59,764)	15,400	(59,764)
Purchases	538,507	210,721	922,668	437,666
Sale of investments	(319,231)	(935,739)	(506,092)	(975,013)
Net realized (losses) gains	(2,566)	5,850	(7,008)	1,192
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	6,304	(9,377)	4,951	2,215
Transfers out of Level III	(444,462)	(55,913)	(782,135)	(346,430)
Transfers into Level III	194,015	144,885	466,608	209,434

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Balance, End of Period	\$ 1,757,857	\$ 997,966	\$ 1,757,857	\$ 997,966
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses) included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	\$ 3,850	\$ (2,063)	\$ (6,916)	\$ 5,437

Investments were transferred out of Level III into Level II and into Level III out of Level II, respectively, as a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these investments to various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

-33-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the changes in liabilities of consolidated VIEs, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III liabilities:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 11,347,332	\$ 3,700,536	\$ 11,834,955	\$ 3,189,837
Acquisition of VIEs		7,317,144		7,317,144
Borrowings		503,848	332,250	929,532
Repayments	(508,400)	(243,343)	(1,420,175)	(246,134)
Net realized gains on debt	(91,000)		(83,397)	
Changes in net unrealized losses from debt	68,013	14,247	156,249	102,825
Elimination of debt attributable to consolidated VIEs	19,326	(59,772)	15,389	(60,544)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 10,835,271	\$ 11,232,660	\$ 10,835,271	\$ 11,232,660
Changes in net unrealized (gains) losses included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to liabilities still held at reporting date	\$ (17,662)	\$ 8,456	\$ 75,214	\$ 93,021

Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

The following table presents net (losses) gains from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net unrealized (losses) gains from investment activities	\$ (138,181)	\$ (18,021)	\$ (113,061)	\$ 51,998
Net realized gains from investment activities	32,988	12,349	83,918	14,634
Net (losses) gains from investment activities	(105,193)	(5,672)	(29,143)	66,632
Net unrealized losses from debt	(68,013)	(14,247)	(156,249)	(102,825)
Net realized gains from debt	91,000		83,397	
Net gains (losses) from debt	22,987	(14,247)	(72,852)	(102,825)
Interest and other income	152,501	171,229	329,626	216,860

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Other expenses	(105,493)	(119,547)	(214,968)	(165,105)
Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs	\$ (35,198)	\$ 31,763	\$ 12,663	\$ 15,562

-34-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes Included within debt are amounts due to third-party institutions of the consolidated VIEs. The following table summarizes the principal provisions of the debt of the consolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	June 30, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years
Senior Secured Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$ 10,379,364	1.04%	7.0	\$ 11,409,825	1.30%	7.3
Subordinated Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	929,278	N/A ⁽¹⁾	7.6	1,074,904	N/A ⁽¹⁾	7.7
Total	\$ 11,308,642			\$ 12,484,729		

- (1) The subordinated notes do not have contractual interest rates but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the VIEs.
- (2) The fair value of Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$10,835 million and \$11,835 million, respectively.
- (3) The debt at fair value of the consolidated VIEs is collateralized by assets of the consolidated VIEs and assets of one vehicle may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of the consolidated VIE assets was \$14,150 million and \$14,672 million, respectively. This collateral consisted of cash and cash equivalents, investments, at fair value, and other assets.

The following table provides a summary of the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for liabilities, at fair value, categorized as Level III in the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2013. The disclosure below excludes Level III liabilities, at fair value, as of June 30, 2013 for which the determination of fair value is based on broker quotes:

			As of June 30, 2013		
	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Ranges	Weighted Average
Subordinated Notes	\$ 766,611	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	10.0%-12.0%	10.3%
			Default Rate	1.0%-1.5%	1.2%
			Recovery Rate	75.0%	75.0%
Senior Secured Notes	\$ 2,071,470	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	1.9% 2.1%	2.0%
			Default Rate	2.0%	2.0%
			Recovery Rate	30.0% 65.0%	59.9%

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the subordinated and senior secured notes include the discount rate applied in the valuation models, default and recovery rates applied in the valuation models. These inputs in isolation can cause significant increases (decreases) in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes; conversely, decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for similar subordinated and senior secured notes with similar risks.

The consolidated VIEs have elected the fair value option to value the notes payable. The general partner uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors in determining valuation of these loans. As of June 30, 2013, the debt, at fair value, is classified as Level III liabilities. Because of the inherent uncertainty in the valuation of the notes payable, which are not publicly traded, estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been reported had a ready market for such investments existed.

-35-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The consolidated VIEs' debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants as described above. As of June 30, 2013, the Company is not aware of any instances of noncompliance with any of these covenants.

Variable Interest Entities Which are Not Consolidated

The Company holds variable interests in certain VIEs which are not consolidated, as it has been determined that Apollo is not the primary beneficiary.

The following tables present the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities of the VIEs for which Apollo has concluded that it holds a significant variable interest, but that it is not the primary beneficiary as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. In addition, the tables present the maximum exposure to loss relating to those VIEs.

	Total Assets	June 30, 2013 Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
Private Equity	\$ 10,490,123	\$ (49,067)	\$ 5,802
Credit	2,926,982	(255,900)	15,967
Real Estate	1,562,431	(1,143,349)	
Total	\$ 14,979,536 ⁽¹⁾	\$ (1,448,316) ⁽²⁾	\$ 21,769 ⁽³⁾

(1) Consists of \$435,924 in cash, \$14,022,771 in investments and \$520,841 in receivables.

(2) Represents \$1,402,393 in debt and other payables, \$45,824 in securities sold, not purchased, and \$99 in capital withdrawals payable.

(3) Apollo's exposure is limited to its direct and indirect investments in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest.

	Total Assets	December 31, 2012 Total Liabilities	Apollo Exposure
Private Equity	\$ 13,498,100	\$ (34,438)	\$ 7,105
Credit	3,276,198	(545,547)	12,605
Real Estate	1,685,793	(1,237,462)	
Total	\$ 18,460,091 ⁽¹⁾	\$ (1,817,447) ⁽²⁾	\$ 19,710 ⁽³⁾

(1) Consists of \$452,116 in cash, \$17,092,814 in investments and \$915,161 in receivables.

(2) Represents \$1,752,294 in debt and other payables, \$32,702 in securities sold, not purchased, and \$32,451 in capital withdrawals payable.

(3) Apollo's exposure is limited to its direct and indirect investments in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

5. CARRIED INTEREST RECEIVABLE

Carried interest receivable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consists of the following:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Private equity	\$ 1,601,253	\$ 1,413,306
Credit	408,882	454,155
Real Estate	4,610	10,795
 Total Carried Interest Receivable	 \$ 2,014,745	 \$ 1,878,256

The table below provides a roll-forward of the carried interest receivable balance for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Carried interest receivable, January 1, 2013	\$ 1,413,306	\$ 454,155	\$ 10,795	\$ 1,878,256
Change in fair value of funds ⁽¹⁾	1,219,583	154,741	(5,329)	1,368,995
Fund cash distributions to the Company	(1,031,636)	(200,014)	(856)	(1,232,506)
 Carried Interest Receivable, June 30, 2013	 \$ 1,601,253	 \$ 408,882	 \$ 4,610	 \$ 2,014,745

- (1) Included in change in fair value of funds for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was a reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the fund's net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

6. OTHER LIABILITIES

Other liabilities consist of the following:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Deferred taxes	\$ 27,061	\$ 13,717
Deferred rent	14,754	14,829
Unsettled trades and redemption payable	6,605	3,986
Other	10,280	12,323

Total Other Liabilities	\$ 58,700	\$ 44,855
-------------------------	-----------	-----------

7. INCOME TAXES

The Company is treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and is therefore not subject to U.S. Federal and State income taxes; however, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. Federal, State and Local corporate income taxes. In addition, certain subsidiaries of the Company are subject to New York City Unincorporated Business Tax (NYC UBT) attributable to the Company's operations apportioned to New York City. Certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Company are subject to income taxes in their local jurisdictions. APO Corp. is required to file a standalone Federal corporate income tax return, as well as file standalone corporate state and local income tax returns in California, New York State and New York City. The Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

-37-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The Company's provision for income taxes totaled \$18.1 million and \$10.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$36.7 million and \$25.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate was approximately 10.90% and 0.58% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 3.73% and 1.10% for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Under U.S. GAAP, a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits. Based upon the Company's review of its federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns and tax filing positions, the Company determined that no unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions were required to be recorded. In addition, the Company does not believe that it has any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that it will be required to record significant amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company files its tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax authorities. With a few exceptions, as of June 30, 2013, Apollo and its predecessor entities' U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns for the years 2008 through 2012 are open under the general statute of limitations provisions and therefore subject to examination. In addition, the State of New York is examining APO Corp.'s tax returns for tax years 2008 to 2010 and the Internal Revenue Service is examining APO Corp.'s tax returns for tax years 2010 and 2011 in connection with the NOL carryback claim from tax year 2011 to tax year 2010.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset for the future amortization of tax basis intangibles as a result of the 2007 Reorganization. In connection with the Secondary Offering, as disclosed in note 1, the Company recognized an additional step-up in tax basis of intangibles as a result of the exchange of AOG units for Class A shares in May 2013, resulting in an increase of \$92.1 million in the deferred tax asset established from the 2007 Reorganization which was recorded in deferred tax assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected tax benefit associated with this increase. A related tax receivable agreement liability of \$78.3 million was recorded in due to affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected payments under the tax receivable agreement entered into by and among APO Corp., the Managing Partners, the Contributing Partners, and other parties thereto (as amended, the "tax receivable agreement") (see note 11). The increase in the deferred tax asset less the related liability resulted in the increase to additional paid-in capital of \$13.8 million which was recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The amortization period for these tax basis intangibles is 15 years. Accordingly, the related deferred tax assets will reverse over the same period.

8. DEBT

Debt consists of the following:

	As of June 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	Annualized Weighted		Annualized Weighted	
	Outstanding Balance	Average Interest Rate	Outstanding Balance	Average Interest Rate
AMH Credit Agreement	\$ 728,273	4.02%	\$ 728,273	4.95% ⁽¹⁾
CIT secured loan agreements			9,545	3.47

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Debt	\$ 728,273	4.02%	\$ 737,818	4.93%
------------	------------	-------	------------	-------

(1) Includes the effect of interest rate swaps.

AMH Credit Agreement On April 20, 2007, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. (AMH), a subsidiary of the Company which is a Delaware limited partnership owned by APO Corp. and Holdings, entered into a \$1.0 billion seven year credit agreement (the AMH Credit Agreement). Interest payable under the AMH Credit Agreement may from time to time be based on Eurodollar London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or Alternate Base Rate (ABR) as determined by the

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

borrower. Through the use of interest rate swaps, AMH irrevocably elected three-month LIBOR for \$167 million of the debt for five years from the closing date of the AMH Credit Agreement, which expired in May 2012. The interest rate of the Eurodollar loan, which was amended as discussed below, is the daily Eurodollar rate plus the applicable margin rate (3.75% for \$995 million of the loan, as discussed below, and 1.00% for \$5 million of the loan as of June 30, 2013 and 3.75% for \$995 million of the loan and 1.00% for \$5 million of the loan as of December 31, 2012). The interest rate on the ABR term loan, which was amended as discussed below, for any day, will be the greatest of (a) the prime rate in effect on such day, (b) the Federal Funds Rate in effect on such day plus 0.5% and (c) the one-month Eurodollar Rate plus 1.00%, in each case plus the applicable margin. The AMH Credit Agreement originally had a maturity date of April 2014.

On December 20, 2010, Apollo amended the AMH Credit Agreement to extend the maturity date of \$995.0 million (including the \$90.9 million of fair value debt repurchased by the Company) of the term loan from April 20, 2014 to January 3, 2017 and modified certain other terms of the AMH Credit Agreement. Pursuant to this amendment, AMH or an affiliate was required to purchase from each lender that elected to extend the maturity date of its term loan a portion of such extended term loan equal to 20% thereof. In addition, AMH or an affiliate is required to repurchase at least \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of the term loan by December 31, 2014 and at least \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of the term loan (inclusive of the previously purchased \$50.0 million) by December 31, 2015 at a price equal to par plus accrued interest. The sweep leverage ratio was also extended to end at the new loan term maturity date. The interest rate for the highest applicable margin for the loan portion extended changed to LIBOR plus 4.25% and ABR plus 3.25%. On December 20, 2010, an affiliate of AMH that is a guarantor under the AMH Credit Agreement repurchased approximately \$180.8 million of the term loan in connection with the extension of the maturity date of such loan and thus the AMH Credit Agreement (excluding the portions held by AMH affiliates) had a remaining balance of \$728.3 million. The Company determined that the amendments to the AMH Credit Agreement resulted in a debt extinguishment which did not result in any gain or loss.

The interest rate on the \$723.3 million, net (\$995.0 million portion less amount repurchased by the Company) of the loan at June 30, 2013 was 3.99% and the interest rate on the remaining \$5.0 million portion of the loan at June 30, 2013 was 1.24%. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement is believed to be approximately \$770.4 million based on a yield analysis using available market data of comparable securities with similar terms and remaining maturities. The \$728.3 million carrying value of debt that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2013 is the amount for which the Company expects to settle the AMH Credit Agreement.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the AMH Credit Agreement was guaranteed by, and collateralized by, substantially all of the assets of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. and AMH, as well as cash proceeds from the sale of assets or similar recovery events and any cash deposited pursuant to the excess cash flow covenant, which will be deposited as cash collateral to the extent necessary as set forth in the AMH Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2013, the consolidated net assets (deficit) of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. and AMH and its consolidated subsidiaries were \$156.6 million, \$111.4 million, \$48.1 million, \$195.6 million and \$(736.9) million, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the consolidated net assets (deficit) of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. and AMH and its consolidated subsidiaries were \$94.9 million, \$91.1 million, \$62.3 million, \$217.5 million and \$(858.9) million, respectively.

In accordance with the AMH Credit Agreement as of June 30, 2013, Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. and AMH and their respective subsidiaries were subject to certain negative and affirmative covenants. Among other things, the AMH Credit Agreement includes an excess cash flow covenant and an asset sales covenant. The AMH Credit Agreement does not contain any financial maintenance covenants.

If AMH's debt to EBITDA ratio (the Leverage Ratio) as of the end of any fiscal year exceeds the level set forth in the next sentence (the Excess Sweep Leverage Ratio), AMH must deposit in the cash collateral account the lesser of (a) 100% of its Excess Cash Flow (as defined in the AMH Credit Agreement) and (b) the amount necessary to reduce the Leverage Ratio on a pro forma basis as of the end of such fiscal year to 0.25 to

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

1.00 below the Excess Sweep Leverage Ratio. The Excess Sweep Leverage Ratio is: for 2013, 4.00 to 1.00; for 2014, 3.75 to 1.00; for 2015, 3.50 to 1.00; and thereafter, 3.50 to 1.00.

In addition, AMH must deposit the lesser of (a) 50% of any remaining Excess Cash Flow and (b) the amount required to reduce the Leverage Ratio on a pro forma basis at the end of each fiscal year to a level 0.25 to 1.00 below the Sweep Leverage Ratio (as defined in the next paragraph) for such fiscal year.

-39-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

If AMH receives net cash proceeds from certain non-ordinary course asset sales, then such net cash proceeds shall be deposited in the cash collateral account as necessary to reduce its Leverage Ratio on a pro forma basis as of the last day of the most recently completed fiscal quarter (after giving effect to such non-ordinary course asset sale and such deposit) to (the following specified levels for the specified years, the Sweep Leverage Ratio) (i) for 2013, a Leverage Ratio of 3.50 to 1.00, (ii) for 2014, a Leverage Ratio of 3.25 to 1.00 and (iii) for 2015 and for all years thereafter, a Leverage Ratio of 3.00 to 1.00.

The AMH Credit Agreement contains customary events of default, including events of default arising from non-payment, material misrepresentations, breaches of covenants, cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and changes in control of AMH. As of June 30, 2013, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with the AMH Credit Agreement.

CIT Secured Loan Agreements During the second quarter of 2008, the Company entered into four secured loan agreements with CIT Group/Equipment Financing Inc. (CIT) to finance the purchase of certain fixed assets. In April 2013, the CIT loan balance of \$9,438 was repaid. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company incurred \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of interest expense related to the CIT loan, respectively.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

9. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER CLASS A SHARE

U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A Shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Each total is then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator includes all outstanding common shares and all potential common shares assumed issued if they are dilutive. The numerator is adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from the assumed conversion of these potential common shares.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The table below presents basic and diluted net income (loss) per Class A share using the two-class method for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Basic and Diluted			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator:				
Net income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 58,737	\$ (41,386)	\$ 307,715	\$ 56,657
Distributions declared on Class A shares	(80,782) ⁽¹⁾	(31,615) ⁽²⁾	(219,529) ⁽¹⁾	(89,695) ⁽²⁾
Distributions on participating securities	(14,300)	(6,192)	(39,292)	(16,498)
Earnings allocable to participating securities	(3)	(3)	(7,723)	(3)
Undistributed (loss) income attributable to class A shareholders: Basic	(36,345)	(79,193)	41,171	(49,536)
Dilution effect on undistributed income attributable to Class A shareholders			6,216	161
Dilution effect on distributable income attributable to participating securities			(778)	
Undistributed (Loss) income attributable to Class A shareholders: Diluted	\$ (36,345)	\$ (79,193)	\$ 46,609	\$ (49,375)
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Basic	137,289,147	126,457,443	134,285,776	125,863,348
Dilution effect of share options and unvested RSUs			3,818,687	397,419
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Diluted	137,289,147	126,457,443	138,104,463	126,260,767
Net income (loss) per Class A share: Basic				
Distributed Income	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.25	\$ 1.63	\$ 0.71
Undistributed (Loss) Income	(0.27)	(0.63)	0.31	(0.39)
Net Income (Loss) per Class A Share: Basic	\$ 0.32	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.94	\$ 0.32
Net Income (Loss) per Class A Share: Diluted ⁽⁴⁾				
Distributed income	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.25	\$ 1.59	\$ 0.71
Undistributed (loss) income	(0.27)	(0.63)	0.34	(0.39)
Net Income (Loss) per Class A Share: Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ (0.38)	\$ 1.93	\$ 0.32

- (1) The Company declared a \$1.05 distribution on Class A shares on February 8, 2013 and \$0.57 on May 6, 2013.
- (2) The Company declared a \$0.46 distribution on Class A shares on February 10, 2012 and \$0.25 on May 8, 2012
- (3) No allocation of losses was made to the participating securities as the holders do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the Company with Class A Shareholders.
- (4) For the six months ended June 30, 2013, share options and unvested RSUs were determined to be dilutive, and were accordingly included in the diluted earnings per share calculation. The AOG Units and participating securities were determined to be anti-dilutive, and were accordingly excluded in the diluted earnings per share calculation for the six months ended June 30, 2013. For the three months ended June 30, 2013, share options, unvested RSUs, AOG Units and participating securities were determined to be anti-dilutive, and were accordingly excluded in the diluted earnings per share calculation. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, share options, unvested RSUs, AOG Units and participatory securities were determined to be anti-dilutive, and were accordingly excluded in the diluted earnings per share calculation. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, share options were determined to be dilutive, and were accordingly included in the diluted earnings per share calculation. The unvested RSUs, AOG Units and participating securities were determined to be anti-dilutive, and were accordingly excluded in the diluted earnings per share calculation for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of restricted share units that provide the right to receive, subject to vesting, Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, pursuant to the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (RSUs). Certain RSU grants to employees provide the right to receive distribution equivalents on vested RSUs on an equal basis any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these RSU grants as Plan Grants. For certain Plan Grants, distribution equivalents are paid in January of the calendar year next following the calendar year in which a distribution on Class A shares was declared. In addition, certain RSU grants to employees provide that both vested and unvested RSUs participate in distribution equivalents on an equal basis with the Class A shareholders any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these as Bonus Grants. As of June 30, 2013, approximately 22.5 million vested RSUs and 4.2 million unvested RSUs were eligible for participation in distribution equivalents.

Any distribution equivalent paid to an employee will not be returned to the Company upon forfeiture of the award by the employee. Vested and unvested RSUs that are entitled to non-forfeitable distribution equivalents qualify as participating securities and are included in the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share computations using the two-class method. The holder of an RSU participating security would have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the entity if the holder is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or if the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. Because the RSU participating securities do not have a mandatory redemption amount and the holders of the participating securities are not obligated to fund losses, neither the vested RSUs nor the unvested RSUs are subject to any contractual obligation to share in losses of the Company.

Holders of AOG Units are subject to the vesting requirements and transfer restrictions set forth in the agreements with the respective holders, and may up to four times each year, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), exchange their AOG Units for Class A shares on a one-for-one basis. A limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share. As disclosed in Note 1, in connection with the Secondary Offering, certain holders of AOG units exchanged their AOG units for Class A shares and approximately 8.8 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange. If all of the outstanding AOG Units were exchanged for Class A shares as of June 30, 2013, the result would be an additional 231,230,636 Class A shares added to the diluted earnings per share calculation.

Apollo has one Class B share outstanding, which is held by BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. The voting power of the Class B share is reduced on a one vote per one AOG Unit basis in the event of an exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares, as discussed above. The Class B share has no net income (loss) per share as it does not participate in Apollo's earnings (losses) or distributions. The Class B share has no distribution or liquidation rights. The Class B share has voting rights on a pari passu basis with the Class A shares. The Class B share currently has a super voting power of 231,230,636 votes.

Table of Contents**APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED****FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(dollars in thousands except share data)**

The table below presents transactions in Class A shares during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, and the resulting impact on the Company's and Holdings' ownership interests in the Apollo Operating Group:

Date	Type of AGM	Number of	AGM	AGM	Holdings	Holdings
		Shares	ownership %	ownership %	ownership %	ownership %
	Class A	Issued in	in AOG before	in AOG after	in AOG before	in AOG after
	Shares	AGM Class	AGM Class	AGM Class	AGM Class	AGM Class
	Transaction	A	A	A	A	A
		Shares	Shares	Shares	Shares	Shares
		Transaction	Transaction	Transaction	Transaction	Transaction
		(in				
		thousands)				
January 18, 2012	Issuance	394	34.1%	34.1%	65.9%	65.9%
February 13, 2012	Issuance	1,994	34.1%	34.5%	65.9%	65.5%
March 5, 2012	Issuance	50	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
April 3, 2012	Issuance	150	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
July 9, 2012	Issuance	1,452	34.5%	34.7%	65.5%	65.3%
August 6, 2012	Issuance	1,962	34.7%	35.1%	65.3%	64.9%
October 9, 2012	Issuance	150	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
November 12, 2012	Issuance	25	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
November 19, 2012	Issuance	5	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
January 9, 2013	Issuance	150	35.1%	35.2%	64.9%	64.8%
January 25, 2013	Issuance	23	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
February 11, 2013	Issuance	1,913	35.2%	35.5%	64.8%	64.5%
March 19, 2013	Issuance	5	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
April 9, 2013	Issuance	150	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
May 9, 2013	Issuance	627	35.5%	35.6%	64.5%	64.4%
May 14, 2013	Issuance/Offering (2)	8,800	35.6%	38.0%	64.4%	62.0%

(1) Transaction did not have a material impact on ownership.

(2) Certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares. Approximately 8.8 million Class A shares were issued by the Company in the exchange, which settled on May 14, 2013. Refer to note 1 for details regarding the Secondary Offering.

10. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION**AOG Units**

The fair value of the AOG Units of approximately \$5.6 billion is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the five or six year service period, as applicable. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$15.0 million and \$30.0 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$116.2 million and \$232.3 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively. The estimated forfeiture rate was 0% for Contributing Partners and 0% for Managing Partners based on actual forfeitures as well as the Company's future forfeiture expectations. As of June 30, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested AOG Units.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the activity of the AOG Units for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	AOG Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance at January 1, 2013	1,500,366	\$ 20.00
Vested at June 30, 2013	(1,500,366)	20.00
Balance at June 30, 2013		\$

RSUs

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of RSUs under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. These grants are accounted for as a grant of equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. All grants after March 29, 2011 consider the public share price of the Company. For Plan Grants, the fair value is based on grant date fair value, and is discounted for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the valuation methods consider transfer restrictions and timing of distributions. The total fair value is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, which is generally up to 24 quarters (for Plan Grants) or annual vesting over three years (for Bonus Grants). During the three months ended June 30, 2013, 239,062 RSUs were granted with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$20.71 per RSU. The actual forfeiture rate was 2.9% and 3.8% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$54.2 million and \$55.0 million of compensation expense were recognized, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$26.7 million and \$24.0 million of compensation expense were recognized, respectively.

The following table summarizes RSU activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	14,724,474	\$ 11.62	22,512,930	37,237,404
Granted	443,632	20.35		443,632
Forfeited	(569,664)	13.71		(569,664)
Delivered		13.00	(3,356,149)	(3,356,149)
Vested	(3,361,655)	11.65	3,361,655	
Balance at June 30, 2013	11,236,787	\$ 11.85	22,518,436	33,755,223 ⁽¹⁾

(1) Amount excludes RSUs which have vested and have been issued in the form of Class A shares.

Units Expected to Vest As of June 30, 2013, approximately 10,600,000 RSUs were expected to vest over the next 2.8 years.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Share Options

Under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, the following options were granted. Below is a summary of their respective vesting terms:

Date of Grant	Options Granted	Vesting Terms
December 2, 2010	5,000,000	Vested and became exercisable with respect to 4/24 of the option shares on December 31, 2011 and the remainder vest in equal installments over each of the remaining 20 quarters with full vesting on December 31, 2016.
January 22, 2011	555,556	Half of such options that vested and became exercisable on December 31, 2011 were exercised on March 5, 2012 and the other half that were due to become exercisable on December 31, 2012 were forfeited during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.
April 9, 2011	25,000	Vested and became exercisable with respect to half of the option shares on December 31, 2011 and the other half vested in four equal quarterly installments starting on March 31, 2012 and ending on December 31, 2012 and are fully vested as of the date of this report.
July 9, 2012	50,000	Will vest and become exercisable with respect to 4/24 of the option shares on June 30, 2013 and the remainder will vest in equal installments over each of the remaining 20 quarters with full vesting on June 30, 2018.
December 28, 2012	200,000	

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million of compensation expense were recognized as a result of option grants, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$1.2 million and \$2.4 million of compensation expense were recognized as a result of these grants, respectively.

There were no share options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Apollo measures the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for options awarded during 2012:

Assumptions:	2012⁽²⁾
Risk-free interest rate	1.11%
Weighted average expected dividend yield	8.13%
Expected volatility factor ⁽¹⁾	45.00%
Expected life in years	6.66
Fair value of options per share	\$ 3.01

(1) The Company determined its expected volatility based on comparable companies using daily stock prices and the Company's volatility.

(2) Represents weighted average of 2012 grants.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the share option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Balance at January 1, 2013	5,275,000	\$ 8.44	\$ 29,020	8.01
Granted				
Exercised	(1,875,000)	8.00	(10,538)	
Forfeited				
Balance at June 30, 2013	3,400,000	8.68	\$ 18,482	7.56
Exercisable at June 30, 2013	274,997	\$ 10.16	\$ 1,464	7.75

Options Expected to Vest As of June 30, 2013, approximately 2,938,000 options were expected to vest.

The expected life of the options granted represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding and is based on the contractual term of the option. Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested share options at June 30, 2013 was \$16.0 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.5 years. There were 1.9 million options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Delivery of Class A Shares RSUs and Share Options

During 2013 and 2012, the Company delivered Class A shares for vested RSUs and exercised share options. The Company generally allows holders of RSUs and exercised share options to elect to settle their tax liabilities with a reduction of Class A shares delivered to such holders in connection with the settlement of vested RSUs and/or exercised share options. The withholding of such shares results in a tax liability and a corresponding accumulated deficit adjustment. The adjustment for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$41.8 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. The adjustment is disclosed in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity.

The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options does not cause a transfer of amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to the Class A Shareholders. The delivery of Class A shares and exercised share options for vested RSUs and exercised share options causes the income or loss allocated to the Non-Controlling Interests to shift to the Class A shareholders. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company delivered 2,899,114 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options, which caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase to 35.6% from 35.1%.

AAA RDUs

Incentive units that provide the right to receive AAA restricted depository units (RDUs) following vesting are granted periodically to employees of Apollo. These grants are accounted for as equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The incentive units granted to employees generally vest over three years. In contrast, the Company's Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have received distributions of fully-vested AAA RDUs. The fair value at the date of the grants is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period (or upon grant in the case of fully

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

vested AAA RDUs). The grant date fair value is based on the public share price of AAA. Vested AAA RDUs can be converted into ordinary common units of AAA subject to applicable securities law restrictions. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the actual forfeiture rate was 0%. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively.

-47-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company delivered 114,896 and 60,702 RDUs, respectively. During the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, there were no RDUs deliveries. The deliveries during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 resulted in a satisfaction of liability of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and the recognition of a net increase of additional paid in capital in 2013 of \$1.4 million and a net decrease in 2012 of \$1.3 million, respectively. These amounts are presented in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity. There was \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million of liability for undelivered RDUs included in accrued compensation and benefits in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The following table summarizes RDU activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RDUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	338,430	\$ 8.85	114,896	453,326
Granted				
Forfeited				
Delivered		9.02	(114,896)	(114,896)
Vested				
Balance at June 30, 2013	338,430	\$ 8.85		338,430

Units Expected to Vest As of June 30, 2013, approximately 318,000 RDUs were expected to vest over the next three years.

The following table summarizes the activity of RDUs available for future grants:

	RDU's Available For Future Grants
Balance at January 1, 2013	1,685,345
Purchases	6,236
Granted	
Forfeited	
Balance at June 30, 2013	1,691,581

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc.

ARI restricted stock awards and ARI restricted stock unit (ARI RSUs) awards granted to the Company and certain of the Company's employees generally vest over three years, either quarterly or annually. The awards granted to the Company are accounted for as investments and deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As these awards vest, the deferred revenue is recognized as

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

management fees. The investment is accounted for using the equity method of accounting for awards granted to the Company and as a deferred compensation asset for the awards granted to employees. Compensation expense will be recognized on a straight line-basis over the vesting period for the awards granted to the employees. The Company recorded an asset and a liability upon receiving the awards on behalf of the Company's employees. The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the public share price of ARI, less discounts for transfer restrictions. The awards granted to the Company's employees are remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the asset and other liabilities and any changes in these values are recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$1.8 million and \$1.2 million of management fees and \$1.3 million and \$0.8 million of compensation expense were recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million of management fees and \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million of compensation expense were recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, respectively. The actual forfeiture rate for unvested ARI restricted stock awards and ARI RSUs was 1.6% and 2.1% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

-48-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes activity for the ARI restricted stock awards and ARI RSUs that were granted to both the Company and certain of its employees for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	ARI Restricted Stock Unvested	ARI RSUs Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	ARI RSUs Vested	Total Number of ARI RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013		237,542	\$ 14.62	225,232	462,774
Granted to employees of the Company		195,000	16.66		195,000
Granted to the Company		40,000	17.59		40,000
Forfeited by employees of the Company		(5,000)	16.66		(5,000)
Vested awards of the employees of the Company		(49,249)	14.93	49,249	
Vested awards of the Company		(52,000)	14.85	52,000	
Balance at June 30, 2013		366,293	\$ 15.93	326,481	692,774

Units Expected to Vest As of June 30, 2013, approximately 355,000 ARI RSUs were expected to vest over the next three years.

Restricted Stock Unit Awards Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc.

AMTG restricted stock units (AMTG RSUs) granted to the Company and certain of the Company's employees generally vest over three years, either quarterly or annually. The awards granted to the Company are accounted for as investments and deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As these awards vest, the deferred revenue is recognized as management fees. The investment is accounted for using the equity method of accounting for awards granted to the Company and as a deferred compensation asset for the awards granted to employees. Compensation expense will be recognized on a straight line-basis over the vesting period for the awards granted to the employees. The Company recorded an asset and a liability upon receiving the awards on behalf of the Company's employees. The awards granted to the Company's employees are remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the asset and other liabilities and any changes in these values are recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the public share price of AMTG less discounts for transfer restrictions and timing of distributions. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million of management fees and \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million of compensation expense were recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$0.0 million of management fees and \$0.0 million of compensation expense were recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, respectively. The actual forfeiture rate for AMTG RSUs was 0% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

The following table summarizes activity for the AMTG RSUs that were granted to both the Company and certain of its employees for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	AMTG RSUs Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	AMTG RSUs Vested	Total Number of AMTG RSUs Outstanding
Balance at January 1, 2013	161,257	\$ 20.28	12,862	174,119
Granted to employees of the Company	6,250	18.09		6,250
Vested awards of the employees of the Company	(3,688)	17.64	3,688	
Vested awards of the Company	(3,125)	18.20	3,125	
Balance at June 30, 2013	160,694	\$ 20.30	19,675	180,369

Units Expected to Vest As of June 30, 2013, approximately 152,000 AMTG RSUs were expected to vest over the next three years.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Equity-Based Compensation Allocation

Equity-based compensation is allocated based on ownership interests. Therefore, the amortization of the AOG Units is allocated to shareholders equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC and the Non-Controlling Interests, which results in a difference in the amounts charged to equity-based compensation expense and the amounts credited to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended June 30, 2013:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$ 15,004	62.0%	\$ 9,461	\$ 5,543
RSUs and Share Options	27,776			27,776
ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG				
RSUs	462	62.0	291	171
AAA RDUs	259	62.0	163	96
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 43,501		9,915	33,586
Less ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG				
RSUs			(454)	181
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$ 9,461	\$ 33,767

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

Total Amount	Non- Controlling	Allocated to	Allocated to Apollo
-----------------	---------------------	-----------------	------------------------

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

		Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$ 30,008	62.0%	\$ 19,163	\$ 10,845
RSUs and Share Options	56,505			56,505
ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG				
RSUs	1,757	62.0	1,089	668
AAA RDUs	517	62.0	321	196
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 88,787		20,573	68,214
Less ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG				
RSUs			(1,410)	(156)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$ 19,163	\$ 68,058

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (dollars in thousands except share data)

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
AOG Units	\$ 116,171	65.5%	\$ 76,082	\$ 40,089
RSUs and Share Options	25,213			25,213
ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs	431	65.5	282	149
AAA RDUs	299	65.5	196	103
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 142,114		76,560	65,554
Less ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs			(478)	(252)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$ 76,082	\$ 65,302

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuance during the period.
Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
--	-----------------	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

AOG Units	\$ 232,342	65.5%	\$ 152,412	\$ 79,930
RSUs and Share Options	57,375			57,375
ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs	826		541	285
AAA RDUs	437		286	151
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$ 290,980		153,239	137,741
Less ARI Restricted Stock Awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs			(827)	(436)
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$ 152,412	\$ 137,305

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuance during the period.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND INTERESTS IN CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company typically facilitates the initial payment of certain operating costs incurred by the funds that it manages as well as their affiliates. These costs are normally reimbursed by such funds and are included in due from affiliates.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Due from affiliates and due to affiliates are comprised of the following:

	As of June 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2012
Due from Affiliates:		
Due from private equity funds	\$ 32,183	\$ 28,201
Due from portfolio companies	17,092	46,048
Due from credit funds	122,745	68,278 ⁽¹⁾
Due from Contributing Partners, employees and former employees	16,801	9,536
Due from real estate funds	19,367	17,950
Other	1,092	3,299
Total Due from Affiliates	\$ 209,280	\$ 173,312
Due to Affiliates:		
Due to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with the tax receivable agreement	\$ 489,862	\$ 441,997
Due to private equity funds	27,738	12,761
Due to credit funds	561	19,926
Due to real estate funds		1,200
Distributions payable to employees	28,809	1,567
Total Due to Affiliates	\$ 546,970	\$ 477,451

(1) Reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

Tax Receivable Agreement and Other

Subject to certain restrictions, each of the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners has the right to exchange their vested AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares. Certain Apollo Operating Group entities have made an election under Section 754 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), which will result in an adjustment to the tax basis of the assets owned by Apollo Operating Group at the time of the exchange. These exchanges will result in increases in tax deductions that will reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. will otherwise be required to pay in the future. Additionally, the further acquisition of AOG Units from the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners also may result in increases in tax deductions and tax basis of assets that will further reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. will otherwise be required to pay in the future.

The tax receivable agreement provides for the payment to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income taxes that APO Corp. would realize as a result of the increases in tax basis of assets that resulted from the 2007 Reorganization and the Secondary Offering. If the Company does not make the required annual payment on a timely basis as outlined in the tax receivable agreement, interest is accrued on the balance until the payment date. These payments are expected to occur approximately over the next 20 years. In connection with the amendment of the AMH partnership agreement in April of 2010, the tax

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

receivable agreement was revised to reflect the Managing Partners' agreement to defer 25% or \$12.1 million of the required payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement that is attributable to the 2010 fiscal year for a period of four years until April 5, 2014.

In April 2013, Apollo made a \$30.4 million cash payment pursuant to the tax receivable agreement resulting from the realized tax benefit for the 2012 tax year. Included in the payment was approximately \$7.6 million and approximately \$0.3 million of interest paid to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners, respectively. Because distributions from the Apollo Operating Group are made pari passu to all unit holders, the payment pursuant to the tax receivable agreement noted above resulted in an additional \$55.2 million distribution to Holdings.

As disclosed in note 1, on May 14, 2013, the Intermediate Holding Companies acquired approximately 8.8 million Class A shares of AGM, which were used to acquire an equal number of AOG Units from certain Managing Partners and

-52-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

Contributing Partners in connection with the Secondary Offering. This exchange was taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and resulted in APO Corp. recording a U.S. federal income tax basis adjustment of approximately \$145.7 million in the intangible assets of certain Apollo Operating Group entities.

Pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners who exchanged AOG Units for Class A Shares in the Secondary Offering will receive payment from APO Corp. of 85% of the amount of the actual cash tax savings, if any, in U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income tax that APO Corp. realizes as a result of these increases in tax deductions and tax basis, and certain other tax benefits, including imputed interest expense. APO Corp. retains the benefit from the remaining 15% of actual cash tax savings. A \$78.3 million liability was recorded to estimate the amount of these future expected payments to be made by APO Corp. to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners pursuant to the tax receivable agreement.

Due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employees

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 balances include various amounts due to the Company including directors fees receivables. The Company has also accrued \$6.8 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, from the Contributing Partners and certain employees associated with a credit agreement with Fund VI as described below in Due to Private Equity Funds.

Management Fee Waiver and Notional Investment Program

In 2012, Apollo had forgone a portion of management fee revenue that it would have been entitled to receive in cash and instead received profits interests and assigned these profits interests to employees and partners. The amount of management fees waived and related compensation expense amounted to \$6.8 million and \$13.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The investment period for Fund VII and ANRP for the management fee waiver plan was terminated as of December 31, 2012 and as a result there was no related compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Distributions

In addition to other distributions, such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the table below presents information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the manager of the Company during 2012 and 2013 (in millions, except per share amounts):

Distributions					Distributions	
	Distributions per		Distributions to	Non-Controlling Interest Holders	Total Distributions from	Distribution Equivalents on
Declaration Date	Class A Share Amount	Distributions Payment Date	AGM Class A Shareholders	in the Apollo Operating Group	Apollo Operating Group	Participating Securities
February 10, 2012	\$ 0.46	February 29, 2012	\$ 58.1	\$ 110.4	\$ 168.5	\$ 10.3
May 8, 2012	\$ 0.25	May 30, 2012	\$ 31.6	\$ 60.0	\$ 91.6	\$ 6.2
August 2, 2012	\$ 0.24	August 31, 2012	\$ 31.2	\$ 57.6	\$ 88.8	\$ 5.3
November 9, 2012	\$ 0.40	November 30, 2012	\$ 52.0	\$ 96.0	\$ 148.0	\$ 9.4
February 8, 2013	\$ 1.05	February 28, 2013	\$ 138.7	\$ 252.0	\$ 390.7	\$ 25.0
May 6, 2013	\$ 0.57	May 30, 2013	\$ 80.8	\$ 131.8	\$ 212.6	\$ 14.3

Indemnity

Carried interest income from certain funds that the Company manages can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiary of the Apollo Operating Group that acts as general partner of the fund in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligation of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. An existing shareholders agreement includes clauses that indemnify each of the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of certain funds that the Company manages (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that the Company's Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that the Company's Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made distributions, we will be obligated to reimburse the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though we did not receive the certain distribution to which that general partner obligation related. There was no indemnification liability recorded as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Athene

Under an amended services contract with Athene and Athene Life Re Ltd., effective February 6, 2013, the Company earns a quarterly monitoring fee based on Athene's capital and surplus, excluding the shares of Athene Holding Ltd. that were newly acquired by AAA Investments in the AAA Transaction (Excluded Athene Shares), at the end of each quarter through December 31, 2014, the termination date. As a result of the amendment, the total monitoring fee receivable is based on the value of Athene share equivalents determined at each reporting

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

period. At Athene's option, the monitoring fee can be settled in either cash or, under certain circumstances, Athene shares. Settlement occurs on the earlier of a change of control of Athene or October 31, 2017. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company earned \$21.2 million and \$40.7 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee, which is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company earned \$3.6 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee, which is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had a \$40.9 million receivable, which is accounted for as a derivative (as described below), recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Under an amended services contract with AAA and other AAA affiliated service recipients including AAA Guarantor-Athene L.P. and AAA Investments, effective October 31, 2012, the Company earns a quarterly management fee

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

based on AAA Investments' adjusted assets through December 31, 2020, the termination date. As a result of the amendment, the total fee receivable is based on the value of Athene share equivalents determined at each reporting period. At the option of AAA Guarantor Athene L.P. and AAA Investments, L.P., the management fee can be settled in either cash or, under certain circumstances, Athene shares. Settlement occurs on the earlier of a change of control of Athene or October 31, 2017. Related to this amended service contract, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had a receivable of \$7.1 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, which is recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fee earned by the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was \$2.5 million (of which \$0.6 million related to the derivative component, as described below) and \$5.6 million (of which \$1.2 million related to the derivative component, as described below), respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The total management fee earned by the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$4.4 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

These amended contracts together with related derivative contracts issued pursuant to these amended contracts meet the definition of a derivative under U.S. GAAP. The Company has classified these derivatives as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. The value of these derivatives is determined by multiplying the Athene share equivalents by the estimated price per share of Athene.

The change in unrealized market value of these derivatives is reflected in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there was \$0.3 million of changes in market value recognized related to these derivatives.

The following table summarizes the fair value of these derivatives, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III liabilities:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 24,127	\$ 2,126
Change in Unrealized Market Value	284	284
Fees Received	23,705	45,706
Balance, End of Period	\$ 48,116	\$ 48,116

The following table summarizes the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for these derivatives, at fair value, categorized as Level III in the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2013:

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Multiple	Weighted Average
Financial Assets:					
Athene/AAA Derivative	\$ 48,116		Weighted Average Cost of	1.22x	15.0%

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Discounted Cash
Flow/Market Multiples

Capital /Comparable
Multiples

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Level III derivatives are the comparable multiples and weighted average cost of capital rates applied in the valuation models. These inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, the comparable multiples are generally multiplied by the underlying company's embedded value to establish the total enterprise value of these derivatives which is comparable to multiples of embedded value and is determined based on the implied trading multiple of industry peers. Similarly, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. An increase in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of the derivative; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of the derivative. The discount rate is determined based on the weighted average cost of capital calculation that weights the cost of equity and the cost of debt based on comparable debt to equity ratios.

-55-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

Due to Private Equity Funds

On June 30, 2008, the Company entered into a credit agreement with Fund VI, pursuant to which Fund VI advanced \$18.9 million of carried interest income to the limited partners of Apollo Advisors VI, L.P., who are also employees of the Company. The loan obligation accrues interest at an annual fixed rate of 3.45% and terminates on the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the termination of Fund VI. In March 2011, a right of offset for the indemnified portion of the loan obligation was established between the Company and Fund VI, therefore the loan was reduced in the amount of \$10.9 million, which is offset in carried interest receivable on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there was no interest paid and \$0.1 million accrued interest on the outstanding loan obligation. As of June 30, 2013, the total outstanding loan aggregated \$9.4 million, including accrued interest of \$1.4 million which approximated fair value, of which approximately \$6.8 million was not subject to the indemnity discussed above and is a receivable from the Contributing Partners and certain employees.

Due to Credit Funds

In connection with the acquisition of Gulf Stream Asset Management, LLC (Gulf Stream) during October 2011, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of incentive fee revenue. Additionally the Company deferred a payment obligation to the former owners. This obligation was \$3.9 million at the date of acquisition and was paid in December 2012. The contingent consideration liability had a fair value of \$13.1 million and \$14.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the former owner was no longer an employee of Apollo and therefore the contingent consideration was reported within profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Similar to the private equity funds, certain credit funds allocate carried interest income to the Company. Assuming SOMA liquidated on December 31, 2012, the Company had accrued a liability to SOMA of \$19.3 million in connection with the potential general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income from SOMA. This amount reversed during the six months ended June 30, 2013; as such there was no general partner obligation accrued as of June 30, 2013. The Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$0.3 million relating to APC as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Due to Real Estate Funds

In connection with the acquisition of Citi Property Investors (CPI) on November 12, 2010, Apollo had a contingent liability to Citigroup Inc. based on a specified percentage of future earnings from the CPI business. From the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of the contingent liability was \$1.2 million, which was determined based on discounted cash flows from the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012 using a discount rate of 7%. On March 28, 2013, Apollo satisfied the contingent liability in cash in the amount of approximately \$0.5 million, which equaled a percentage of net realized after tax profits from the closing date through December 31, 2012. The satisfaction of the liability resulted in the Company recognizing \$0.7 million of other income, net in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2013. No remaining obligation existed at June 30, 2013.

Regulated Entities

During 2011, the Company formed Apollo Global Securities, LLC (AGS), which is a registered broker dealer with the SEC and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, subject to the minimum net capital requirements of the SEC. AGS is in compliance with these requirements at June 30, 2013. From time to time, this entity is involved in transactions with affiliates of Apollo, including portfolio companies of the funds we manage, whereby AGS earns underwriting and transaction fees for its services. The Company also has an entity based in London which is subject to the capital requirements of the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority. This entity has continuously operated in excess of these regulatory capital requirements.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

All of the investment advisors of the Apollo funds are affiliates of certain subsidiaries of the Company that are registered as investment advisors with the SEC. Registered investment advisors are subject to the requirements and regulations of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended.

-56-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

Interests in Consolidated Entities

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds.

Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$ (6,531)	\$ 17,721	\$ (52,213)	\$ (132,441)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(4,309)	(2,366)	(8,145)	(2,200)
Other consolidated entities	7,647	6,892	29,566	6,892
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(3,193)	22,247	(30,792)	(127,749)
Net loss (income) attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	40,243	(1,962,947)	2,001	(1,932,653)
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(126,483)	64,837	(611,729)	(148,559)
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (89,433)	\$ (1,875,863)	\$ (640,520)	\$ (2,208,961)
Net (loss) income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	(40,243)	1,962,947	(2,001)	1,932,653
Other Comprehensive Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests		(801)		(2,010)
Comprehensive (Income) Loss Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (129,676)	\$ 86,283	\$ (642,521)	\$ (278,318)

- (1) Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling Interests ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and 97% and 98% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.
- (2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies.
- (3) Reflects net (income) loss of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.
- (4) Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Financial Guarantees Apollo has provided financial guarantees on behalf of certain employees for the benefit of unrelated third-party lenders in connection with their capital commitment to certain funds managed by the Company. As of June 30, 2013, the maximum exposure relating to these financial guarantees approximated \$3.2 million. Apollo has historically not incurred any liabilities as a result of these agreements and does not expect to in the future. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Investment Commitments As a limited partner, general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity funds, credit and real estate funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments as of June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012 of \$446.3 million and \$258.3 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made to its affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

On December 21, 2012, the Company agreed to provide up to \$100 million of capital support to Athene to the extent such support is necessary in connection with Athene's pending acquisition of Aviva plc's annuity and life insurance operations in the United States. As of June 30, 2013, no capital support had been provided by the Company to Athene in connection with this commitment.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Debt Covenants Apollo's debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants. As of June 30, 2013, the Company was not aware of any instances of noncompliance with any of these covenants.

Litigation and Contingencies Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and litigations, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding its business.

On July 16, 2008, Apollo was joined as a defendant in a pre-existing purported class action pending in Massachusetts federal court against, among other defendants, numerous private equity firms. The suit alleges that beginning in mid-2003, Apollo and the other private equity firm defendants violated the U.S. antitrust laws by forming bidding clubs or consortia that, among other things, rigged the bidding for control of various public corporations, restricted the supply of private equity financing, fixed the prices for target companies at artificially low levels, and allocated amongst themselves an alleged market for private equity services in leveraged buyouts. The suit seeks class action certification, declaratory and injunctive relief, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On August 27, 2008, Apollo and its co-defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint and on November 20, 2008, the Court granted the company's motion. The Court also dismissed two other defendants, Permira and Merrill Lynch. On September 17, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a motion to amend the complaint by adding an additional eight transactions and adding Apollo as a defendant. On October 6, 2010, the Court granted plaintiffs' motion to file that amended complaint. Plaintiffs fourth amended complaint, filed on October 7, 2010, adds Apollo as a defendant. Apollo joined in the other defendants' October 21, 2010 motion to dismiss the third claim for relief and all claims by the PanAmSat Damages Sub-class in the Fourth Amended Complaint, which motion was granted on January 13, 2011. On November 4, 2010, Apollo moved to dismiss, arguing that the claims against Apollo are time-barred and that the allegations against Apollo are insufficient to state an antitrust conspiracy claim. On February 17, 2011, the Court denied Apollo's motion to dismiss, ruling that Apollo should raise the statute of limitations issues on summary judgment after discovery is completed. Apollo filed its answer to the Fourth Amended Complaint on March 21, 2011. On July 11, 2011, the plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to file a Fifth Amended Complaint, adding ten additional transactions and expanding the scope of the class seeking relief. On September 7, 2011, the Court gave the plaintiffs permission to take limited discovery on the ten additional transactions. By Court order, the parties concluded discovery on May 21, 2012. The plaintiffs then filed a Fifth Amended Complaint under seal on June 14, 2012. One week later, the defendants moved to dismiss portions of the Fifth Amended Complaint. On July 18, 2012, the Court granted the defendants' motion in part and denied it in part. On July 21, 2012, all defendants filed motions for summary judgment. While those motions were pending, the New York Times moved to intervene and unseal the Fifth Amended Complaint. Following briefing on the motion to intervene, the Court publicly filed a version of the Fifth Amended Complaint containing four redactions. The Court heard oral argument on the defendants' motions for summary judgment in December 2012 and issued a ruling on March 13, 2013. In its ruling, the Court found that the plaintiffs failed to raise a genuine dispute regarding the overarching conspiracy as defined in the Fifth Amended Complaint. The Court did determine, however, that the record contained some evidence from which a narrower conspiracy could be inferred. The Court therefore denied the defendants' motions for summary judgment without prejudice, granting them permission to file renewed motions for summary judgment on the narrower, Court-defined conspiracy. Apollo filed its renewed summary judgment motion on April 16, 2013, arguing that there is no evidence that Apollo agreed to or participated in the narrower, Court-defined conspiracy. The plaintiffs filed their opposition on May 16, 2013, and Apollo filed its reply on June 5, 2013, arguing that the plaintiffs failed to identify any evidence supporting their claim against Apollo in their opposition to Apollo's renewed motion. On July 18, 2013, the Court granted Apollo's renewed motion for summary judgment, dismissing Apollo from the action. The Court found evidence supporting an inference of conspiracy as to eight of the ten defendants, but ruled that the evidence did not support an inference that Apollo participated in any conspiracy.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned Kelm v. Chase Bank (No. 12-cv-332) and Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc. (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. (Trilegiant), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC (Affinion), and Apollo Global Management, LLC (AGM), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 69% of Affinion's common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion's board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things,

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint (CAC), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12-cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On June 13, 2013, the Court extended all defendants' deadlines to respond to the Frank complaint until 21 days after a ruling on the motion to transfer and consolidate. AGM believes that plaintiffs' claims against it in these cases are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against AGM are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo's funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. CalPERS, one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The Report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC (Arvco) (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS's purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and in fact alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. Apollo believes that it has handled its use of placement agents in an appropriate manner. Finally, on December 29, 2011, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the Nevada Bankruptcy Court) approved an application made by Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the Arvco Debtors) in their consolidated bankruptcy proceedings to hire special litigation counsel to pursue certain claims on behalf of the bankruptcy estates of the Arvco Debtors, including potential claims against Apollo (a) for fees that Apollo purportedly owes the Arvco Debtors for placement agent services, and (b) for indemnification of legal fees and expenses arising out of the Arvco Debtors' defense of the California Attorney General action described above. On April 15, 2013, the Arvco Debtors initiated an adversary proceeding against Apollo (and several associated entities) in the Nevada Bankruptcy Court. In their complaint, the Arvco Debtors allege that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seek to recover purported fees they claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and the common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On July 9, 2012, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General's Office regarding Apollo's fee waiver program. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the New York Attorney General into the tax implications of the fee waiver program implemented by numerous private equity and hedge funds. Under the fee waiver program, individual fund managers for Apollo-managed funds may elect to prospectively waive their management fees. Program participants receive an interest in the future profits, if any, earned on the invested amounts that represent waived fees. They receive such profits from time to time in the ordinary course when distributions are made generally, as provided for in the applicable fund governing documents and waiver agreements. Four Apollo funds have

implemented the program. Apollo believes its fee waiver program complies with all applicable laws, and is cooperating with the investigation.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

On May 19, 2013, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York State Department of Financial Services (the "DFS") regarding its investments in any annuity or life businesses, or annuity contracts or life policies. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the DFS into investments by financial institutions in annuity and life insurance companies. Apollo is cooperating with the investigation.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, we are of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which we are a party at this time will not have a material effect on our financial statements. Legal actions material to us could, however, arise in the future.

Commitments Apollo leases office space and certain office equipment under various lease and sublease arrangements, which expire on various dates through 2022. As these leases expire, it can be expected that in the normal course of business, they will be renewed or replaced. Certain lease agreements contain renewal options, rent escalation provisions based on certain costs incurred by the landlord or other inducements provided by the landlord. Rent expense is accrued to recognize lease escalation provisions and inducements provided by the landlord, if any, on a straight-line basis over the lease term and renewal periods where applicable. Apollo has entered into various operating lease service agreements in respect of certain assets.

As of June 30, 2013, the approximate aggregate minimum future payments required for operating leases were as follows:

	Remaining 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Aggregate minimum future payments	\$ 18,359	\$ 37,226	\$ 36,388	\$ 35,349	\$ 32,812	\$ 74,388	\$ 234,522

Expenses related to non-cancellable contractual obligations for premises, equipment, auto and other assets were \$10.7 million and \$10.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$21.3 million and \$19.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other Long-term Obligations These obligations relate to payments on management service agreements related to certain assets and payments with respect to certain consulting agreements entered into by Apollo Investment Consulting LLC, a subsidiary of Apollo. A significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds or portfolio companies. As of June 30, 2013, fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations are as follows:

	Remaining 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Other long-term obligations	\$ 5,405	\$ 3,768	\$ 1,826	\$ 1,276	\$ 1,080	\$	\$ 13,355

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Contingent Obligations Carried interest income in both private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that has been recognized by Apollo through June 30, 2013 and that would be reversed approximates \$4.0 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable. The table below indicates the potential future reversal of carried interest income:

	As of June 30, 2013
Private Equity Funds:	
Fund VII	\$ 1,792,954
Fund VI	1,107,522
Fund V	114,215
Fund IV	11,547
AAA/Other	108,162
Total Private Equity Funds	3,134,400
Credit Funds:	
U.S. Performing Credit	659,604
Structured Credit	36,382
European Credit Funds	52,393
Non-Performing Loans	94,276
Opportunistic Credit	57,169
Total Credit Funds	899,824
Real Estate Funds:	
CPI Funds	4,563
Total Real Estate Funds	4,563
Total	\$ 4,038,787

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company as general partner has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. The general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund. As discussed in note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$0.3 million relating to APC as of June 30, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, AGS, provides underwriting commitments in connection with security offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds we manage. As of June 30, 2013, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower Capital LLC ("Stone Tower") in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability had an acquisition date fair value of \$117.7 million, which was determined based on the present value of estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The fair value of the contingent obligation was \$94.2 million and \$126.9 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$13.1 million and \$14.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

In connection with the acquisition of CPI on November 12, 2010, Apollo had a contingent liability to Citigroup Inc. based on a specified percentage of future earnings. From the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of the contingent liability was \$1.2 million, which was determined based on discounted cash flows from the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012 using a discount rate of 7%. On March 28, 2013, Apollo satisfied the contingent liability in cash in the amount of approximately \$0.5 million, which equaled 25% of the net realized after tax profit from the closing date through December 31, 2012. The satisfaction of the liability resulted in the Company recognizing \$0.7 million of other income, net in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, for the six months ended June 30, 2013. No remaining contingency existed at June 30, 2013.

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations will be reflected in profit sharing expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the one year measurement period, any changes resulting from facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date will be reflected as a retrospective adjustment to the bargain purchase gain and the respective asset acquired or liability assumed.

The Company has determined that the contingent consideration obligations are categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment and estimation.

The following table summarizes the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for the contingent consideration obligations categorized in Level III of the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2013:

	Fair Value at June 30, 2013	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Liabilities:					
Contingent consideration obligations	\$ 107,342	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	9.2%-11.1%	9.4%
The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the contingent obligations is the discount rate applied in the valuation models. This input in isolation can cause significant increases (decreases) in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations; conversely, decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations. In order to determine the discount rate, the Company considered the following: the weighted average cost of capital for the Company, the implied internal rate of return for the transaction, and weighted average return on assets.					

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table summarizes the changes in contingent consideration obligations, which are measured at fair value and characterized as Level III liabilities:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 131,231	\$ 5,900	\$ 142,219	\$ 5,900
Acquisition of Stone Tower		117,700		117,700
Payments	(20,377)		(44,796)	
Change in fair value	(3,512)	(2,985)	9,919	(2,985)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 107,342	\$ 120,615	\$ 107,342	\$ 120,615

13. MARKET AND CREDIT RISK

In the normal course of business, Apollo encounters market and credit risk concentrations. Market risk reflects changes in the value of investments due to changes in interest rates, credit spreads or other market factors. Credit risk includes the risk of default on Apollo's investments, where the counterparty is unable or unwilling to make required or expected payments.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. As of June 30, 2013, there were more than 1,000 limited partner investors in Apollo's active private equity, credit and real estate funds, and no individual investor accounted for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo's active funds.

Apollo's derivative financial instruments contain credit risk to the extent that its counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Apollo seeks to minimize this risk by limiting its counterparties to highly rated major financial institutions with good credit ratings. Management does not expect any material losses as a result of default by other parties.

Substantially all amounts on deposit with major financial institutions that exceed insured limits are invested in interest-bearing accounts with U.S. money center banks.

Apollo is exposed to economic risk concentrations insofar as Apollo is dependent on the ability of the funds that it manages to compensate it for the services the management companies provide to these funds. Further, the incentive income component of this compensation is based on the ability of such funds to generate returns above certain specified thresholds.

Additionally, Apollo is exposed to interest rate risk. Apollo has debt obligations that have variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore affect the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$728.3 million and \$737.8 million of Apollo's debt balance (excluding debt of the consolidated VIEs) had a variable interest rate, respectively.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands except share data)

14. SEGMENT REPORTING

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

Private Equity primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

Credit primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

Real Estate primarily invests in legacy commercial mortgage-backed securities, commercial first mortgage loans, mezzanine investments and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. Additionally, the Company sponsors real estate funds that focus on opportunistic investments in distressed debt and equity recapitalization transactions.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

The Company's financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that Apollo manages, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that the Company receives, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, the Company emphasizes long-term financial growth and profitability to manage its business.

The tables below present the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments further separated between the management and incentive business as of June 30, 2013 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which management believes is useful to the reader. The Company's management business has fairly stable revenues and expenses except for transaction fees, while its incentive business is more volatile and can have significant fluctuations as it is affected by changes in the fair value of investments due to market performance of the Company's business. The financial results of the management entities, as reflected in the management business section of the segment tables that follow, generally include management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fees and expenses exclusive of profit sharing expense. The financial results of the advisory entities, as reflected in the incentive business sections of the segment tables that follow, generally include carried interest income, investment income, profit sharing expense and incentive fee based compensation.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company changed the name of its capital markets business segment to the credit segment. The Company believes this new name provides a more accurate description of the types of assets which are managed within this segment. In addition, this segment name change aligns with the Company's management reporting and organizational structure and is consistent with the manner in which resource deployment and compensation decisions are made.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

During the second quarter of 2013, monitoring fees based on Athene's capital and surplus and the change in the market value of the derivative contracts related to Athene's capital and surplus recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, as disclosed in note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, were reclassified from the private equity segment to the credit segment to better evaluate the performance of Apollo's private equity and credit segments in making key operating decisions. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period financial data for Apollo's reportable segments to conform to the current presentation. The impact of this reclassification on the Company's Economic Net Income (ENI) for the private equity and credit segment is reflected in the table below for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Impact of Reclassification on Economic Net Income (Loss)	
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment
For the three months ended June 30, 2012	\$ (3,623)	\$ 3,623
For the six months ended June 30, 2012	(6,322)	6,322

Economic Net Income (Loss)

ENI is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management also believes the components of ENI such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income are indicative of the Company's performance. Management also uses ENI in making key operating decisions such as the following:

Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;

Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and

Decisions relating to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to its employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in such funds and those of the Company's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on the Company's performance and growth for the year.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

ENI is a measure of profitability and has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. ENI represents segment income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC, which excludes the impact of (i) non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units, (ii) income tax expense, (iii) amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions and (iv) Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended June 30, 2013:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 41,663	\$ 22,148	\$ 1,273	\$ 65,084
Management fees from affiliates	65,684	90,387	13,206	169,277
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	228,590	53,314	(6,281)	275,623
Total Revenues	335,937	165,849	8,198	509,984
Expenses	172,196	101,023	11,115	284,334
Other Income	13,042	4,885	1,074	19,001
Non-Controlling Interests		(3,254)		(3,254)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 176,783	\$ 66,457	\$ (1,843)	\$ 241,397
Total Assets	\$ 2,796,517	\$ 2,007,122	\$ 150,850	\$ 4,954,489

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table reconciles the total segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended June 30, 2013:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 509,984	\$ (12,723) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 497,261
Expenses	284,334	38,453 ⁽²⁾	322,787
Other income (loss)	19,001	(27,166) ⁽³⁾	(8,165)
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,254)	(86,179)	(89,433)
Economic Net Income	\$ 241,397 ⁽⁵⁾	N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$ 4,954,489	\$ 15,665,535 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 20,620,024

- (1) Represents advisory, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets.
- (3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
Net gains from investment activities	\$ 6,868
Net losses from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(35,198)
Gain from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	742
Interest	422
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$ (27,166)

- (4) Included is \$(1,055) reflecting remaining interest of certain individuals who received an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.
- (5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013	
Economic Net Income	\$	241,397
Income tax provision		(18,139)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group		(126,483)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾		(26,736)
Amortization of intangible assets		(11,302)
 Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	 \$	 58,737

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Includes impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended June 30, 2013:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013					
	Management	Private Equity Incentive	Total	Management	Credit Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 41,663	\$	\$ 41,663	\$ 22,148	\$	\$ 22,148
Management fees from affiliates	65,684		65,684	90,387		90,387
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses		(509,667)	(509,667)		(58,843)	(58,843)
Realized gains		738,257	738,257	10,029	102,128	112,157
Total Revenues	107,347	228,590	335,937	122,564	43,285	165,849
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	38,077	107,346	145,423	38,992	23,778	62,770
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	26,773		26,773	38,253		38,253
Total Expenses	64,850	107,346	172,196	77,245	23,778	101,023
Other Income	872	12,170	13,042	4,072	813	4,885
Non-Controlling Interests				(3,254)		(3,254)
Economic Net Income	\$ 43,369	\$ 133,414	\$ 176,783	\$ 46,137	\$ 20,320	\$ 66,457

- (1) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Management	Real Estate Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 1,273	\$	\$ 1,273
Management fees from affiliates	13,206		13,206
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses		(6,439)	(6,439)
Realized gains		158	158
Total Revenues	14,479	(6,281)	8,198
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	8,965	(3,880)	5,085
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	6,030		6,030
Total Expenses	14,995	(3,880)	11,115
Other Income	255	819	1,074
Economic Net Loss	\$ (261)	\$ (1,582)	\$ (1,843)

- (1) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012			
	Private Equity Segment ⁽¹⁾	Credit Segment ⁽¹⁾	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 61,491	\$ 7,943	\$ 592	\$ 70,026
Management fees from affiliates	69,158	74,351	12,888	156,397
Carried interest income from affiliates	5,685	2,482	4,249	12,416
Total Revenues	136,334	84,776	17,729	238,839
Expenses	82,931	78,560	16,705	178,196
Other Loss	(1,357)	(14,537)	(276)	(16,170)
Non-Controlling Interests		(2,438)		(2,438)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 52,046	\$ (10,759)	\$ 748	\$ 42,035

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Assets	\$ 1,945,399	\$ 1,583,101	\$ 93,926	\$ 3,622,426
--------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	--------------

(1) Reclassified to conform to current presentation.

-69-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table reconciles the total reportable segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's financial statements as of and for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 238,839	\$ (27,211) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 211,628
Expenses	178,196	138,766 ⁽²⁾	316,962
Other (loss) income	(16,170)	1,966,631 ⁽³⁾	1,950,461
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,438)	(1,873,425)	(1,875,863)
 Economic Net Income	 \$ 42,035 ⁽⁵⁾	 N/A	 N/A
 Total Assets	 \$ 3,622,426	 \$ 15,609,731 ⁽⁶⁾	 \$ 19,232,157

- (1) Represents advisory, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets.
- (3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012
Net losses from investment activities	\$ (15,106)
Net income from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	31,763
Loss from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	(2,158)
Interest and other income	963
Gain on acquisition	1,951,169
 Total Consolidation Adjustments	 \$ 1,966,631

- (4) Includes \$0.1 million, which reflects the remaining interest of certain individuals who received an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012
Economic Net Income	\$ 42,035
Income tax provision	(10,650)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	64,837
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(128,002)
Amortization of intangible assets	(9,606)
 Net Loss Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	 \$ (41,386)

- (6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.
 (7) Includes impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended June 30, 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012					
	Management	Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ Incentive	Total	Management	Credit ⁽¹⁾ Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 61,491	\$	\$ 61,491	\$ 7,943	\$	\$ 7,943
Management fees from affiliates	69,158		69,158	74,351		74,351
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽²⁾		(37,693)	(37,693)		(16,677)	(16,677)
Realized gains		43,378	43,378	9,200	9,959	19,159
Total Revenues	130,649	5,685	136,334	91,494	(6,718)	84,776
Compensation and benefits ⁽³⁾	45,197	13,858	59,055	35,488	3,827	39,315
Other expenses ⁽³⁾	23,876		23,876	39,245		39,245
Total Expenses	69,073	13,858	82,931	74,733	3,827	78,560
Other (Loss) Income	(3,202)	1,845	(1,357)	(663)	(13,874)	(14,537)
Non-Controlling Interests				(2,438)		(2,438)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 58,374	\$ (6,328)	\$ 52,046	\$ 13,660	\$ (24,419)	\$ (10,759)

(1) Reclassified to conform to current presentation.

(2) Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates is reversal of previously recognized realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$102.6 and \$18.3 million with respect to Fund VI and SOMA, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's net assets as of June 30, 2012. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(3) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Real Estate Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 592	\$	\$ 592
Management fees from affiliates	12,888		12,888
Carried interest income from affiliates:			
Unrealized gains		1,647	1,647
Realized gains		2,602	2,602
Total Revenues	13,480	4,249	17,729
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	8,376	2,139	10,515
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	6,190		6,190
Total Expenses	14,566	2,139	16,705
Other (Loss) Income	(516)	240	(276)
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,602)	\$ 2,350	\$ 748

- (1) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 66,280	\$ 43,825	\$ 2,398	\$ 112,503
Management fees from affiliates	131,956	174,751	26,797	333,504
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	1,219,583	187,089	(5,329)	1,401,343
Total Revenues	1,417,819	405,665	23,866	1,847,350
Expenses	619,204	218,747	28,421	866,372
Other Income	37,225	20,302	2,088	59,615
Non-Controlling Interests		(6,718)		(6,718)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 835,840	\$ 200,502	\$ (2,467)	\$ 1,033,875

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Assets	\$ 2,796,517	\$ 2,007,122	\$ 150,850	\$ 4,954,489
--------------	--------------	--------------	------------	--------------

-72-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table reconciles the total segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	As of and for Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 1,847,350	\$ (41,016) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,806,334
Expenses	866,372	79,017 ⁽²⁾	945,389
Other income	59,615	64,393 ⁽³⁾	124,008
Non-Controlling Interests	(6,718)	(633,802)	(640,520)
Economic Net Income	\$ 1,033,875 ⁽⁵⁾	N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$ 4,954,489	\$ 15,665,535 ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 20,620,024

- (1) Represents advisory, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets.
- (3) Results from the following:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
Net gains from investment activities	\$ 54,971
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	12,663
Loss from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	(827)
Interest	860
Other	(3,274)
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$ 64,393

- (4) Included is \$(1,427) reflecting remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013
Economic Net Income	\$ 1,033,875
Income tax provision	(36,718)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(611,729)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(54,666)
Amortization of intangible assets	(23,047)
 Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	 \$ 307,715

- (6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.
 (7) Includes impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

-73-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013					
	Management	Private Equity Incentive	Total	Management	Credit Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 66,280	\$	\$ 66,280	\$ 43,825	\$	\$ 43,825
Management fees from affiliates	131,956		131,956	174,751		174,751
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains ⁽¹⁾		187,947	187,947		14,404	14,404
Realized gains		1,031,636	1,031,636	19,080	153,605	172,685
Total Revenues	198,236	1,219,583	1,417,819	237,656	168,009	405,665
Compensation and benefits ⁽²⁾	78,861	491,603	570,464	79,830	62,341	142,171
Other expenses ⁽²⁾	48,740		48,740	76,576		76,576
Total Expenses	127,601	491,603	619,204	156,406	62,341	218,747
Other Income	2,504	34,721	37,225	8,527	11,775	20,302
Non-Controlling Interests				(6,718)		(6,718)
Economic Net Income	\$ 73,139	\$ 762,701	\$ 835,840	\$ 83,059	\$ 117,443	\$ 200,502

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income or fees with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the fund's net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Management	Real Estate Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 2,398	\$	\$ 2,398
Management fees from affiliates	26,797		26,797
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses		(5,841)	(5,841)
Realized gains		512	512
 Total Revenues	 29,195	 (5,329)	 23,866
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	18,095	(3,080)	15,015
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	13,406		13,406
 Total Expenses	 31,501	 (3,080)	 28,421
Other Income	1,393	695	2,088
 Economic Net Loss	 \$ (913)	 \$ (1,554)	 \$ (2,467)

- (1) Compensation and benefits include equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses exclude amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	As of and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			
	Private Equity Segment ⁽¹⁾	Credit Segment ⁽¹⁾	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 84,672	\$ 11,998	\$ 592	\$ 97,262
Management fees from affiliates	136,155	127,022	23,253	286,430
Carried interest income from affiliates	453,727	186,344	5,926	645,997
 Total Revenues	 674,554	 325,364	 29,771	 1,029,689
Expenses	346,565	183,548	34,599	564,712
Other Income	32,520	9,592	780	42,892
Non-Controlling Interests		(3,847)		(3,847)
 Economic Net Income (Loss)	 \$ 360,509	 \$ 147,561	 \$ (4,048)	 \$ 504,022

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Assets	\$ 1,945,399	\$ 1,583,101	\$ 93,926	\$ 3,622,426
--------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	--------------

(1) Reclassified to conform to current presentation.

-75-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following table reconciles the total reportable segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's financial statements as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	As of and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 1,029,689	\$ (41,318) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 988,371
Expenses	564,712	275,480 ⁽²⁾	840,192
Other income	42,892	2,099,757 ⁽³⁾	2,142,649
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,847)	(2,205,114)	(2,208,961)
 Economic Net Income	 \$ 504,022 ⁽⁵⁾	 N/A	 N/A
 Total Assets	 \$ 3,622,426	 \$ 15,609,731 ⁽⁶⁾	 \$ 19,232,157

- (1) Represents advisory, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and expenses related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units and amortization of intangible assets.
- (3) Results from the following:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Net gains from investment activities	\$ 139,184
Net losses from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	15,562
Loss from equity method investments ⁽⁴⁾	(7,458)
Other income and interest income	1,300
Gain on acquisition	1,951,169
 Total Consolidation Adjustments	 \$ 2,099,757

- (4) Includes \$1.6 million, which reflects the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (5) The reconciliation of Economic Net Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Economic Net Income	\$ 504,022
Income tax provision	(25,210)
Net loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(148,559)
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation ⁽⁷⁾	(257,935)
Amortization of intangible assets	(15,661)
 Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	 \$ 56,657

- (6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.
 (7) Includes impact of non-cash charges related to amortization of AOG Units and RSU Plan Grants made in connection with the 2007 private placement as discussed in note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

-76-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012					
	Management	Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ Incentive	Total	Management	Credit ⁽¹⁾ Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 84,672	\$	\$ 84,672	\$ 11,998	\$	\$ 11,998
Management fees from affiliates	136,155		136,155	127,022		127,022
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains ⁽²⁾		288,279	288,279		131,577	131,577
Realized gains		165,448	165,448	18,800	35,967	54,767
Total Revenues	220,827	453,727	674,554	157,820	167,544	325,364
Compensation and benefits ⁽³⁾	83,757	218,141	301,898	71,241	47,145	118,386
Other expenses ⁽³⁾	44,667		44,667	65,162		65,162
Total Expenses	128,424	218,141	346,565	136,403	47,145	183,548
Other Income	69	32,451	32,520	2,282	7,310	9,592
Non-Controlling Interests				(3,847)		(3,847)
Economic Net Income	\$ 92,472	\$ 268,037	\$ 360,509	\$ 19,852	\$ 127,709	\$ 147,561

(1) Reclassified to conform to current presentation.

(2) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates is reversal of previously recognized realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$68.5 million and \$0.2 million with respect to Fund VI and SOMA, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of June 30, 2012. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(3) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses excludes amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands except share data)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Real Estate Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 592	\$	\$ 592
Management fees from affiliates	23,253		23,253
Carried interest income from affiliates:			
Unrealized gains		1,647	1,647
Realized gains		4,279	4,279
Total Revenues	23,845	5,926	29,771
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	18,067	3,597	21,664
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	12,935		12,935
Total Expenses	31,002	3,597	34,599
Other Income	361	419	780
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (6,796)	\$ 2,748	\$ (4,048)

- (1) Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options. In addition, other expenses excludes amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions.

15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 9, 2013, the Company issued 89,328 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance did not cause a material change to the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group.

On August 8, 2013, the Company declared a cash distribution of \$1.32 per Class A share, which will be paid on August 30, 2013 to holders of record on August 26, 2013.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those included in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013. The highlights listed below have had significant effects on many items within our condensed consolidated financial statements and affect the comparison of the current period's activity with those of prior periods.

General

Our Businesses

Founded in 1990, Apollo is a leading global alternative investment manager. We are contrarian, value-oriented investors in private equity, credit and real estate with significant distressed expertise and a flexible mandate in the majority of our funds that enables our funds to invest opportunistically across a company's capital structure. We raise, invest and manage funds on behalf of some of the world's most prominent pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds as well as other institutional and individual investors. Apollo is led by our Managing Partners, Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan, who have worked together for more than 20 years and lead a team of 660 employees, including 253 investment professionals, as of June 30, 2013.

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

- (i) **Private equity** primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt instruments;
- (ii) **Credit** primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and
- (iii) **Real estate** primarily invests in legacy commercial mortgage-backed securities, commercial first mortgage loans, mezzanine investments and other commercial real estate-related debt investments. Additionally, the Company sponsors real estate funds that focus on opportunistic investments in distressed debt and equity recapitalization transactions.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company changed the name of its capital markets business to the credit segment. The Company believes this new name provides a more accurate description of the types of assets which are managed within this segment. In addition, this segment name change is consistent with the Company's management reporting and organization structure, as well as the manner in which resource deployment and compensation decisions are made.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

Our financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income we receive from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

In addition, the growth in our fee-generating AUM during the last year has primarily been in our credit segment. The average management fee rate for these new credit products is at market rates for such products and in certain cases is below our historical rates. Also, due to the complexity of these new product offerings, the Company has incurred and will continue to incur additional costs associated with managing these products. To date, these additional costs have been offset by realized economies of scale and ongoing cost management.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2013, approximately 93% of our total AUM was in funds with a contractual life at inception of seven years or more, and 11% of our total AUM was in permanent capital vehicles with unlimited duration.

As of June 30, 2013, we had total AUM of \$113.1 billion across all of our businesses. On June 28, 2013, Apollo held a first closing of more than \$6.6 billion for its newest flagship private equity fund, Apollo Investment Fund VIII, L.P. (Fund VIII), and as of August 8, 2013, Apollo has received commitments of approximately \$8.4 billion for Fund VIII. Additionally, Fund VII,

-79-

Table of Contents

held a final closing in December 2008, raising a total of \$14.7 billion, and as of June 30, 2013, Fund VII had \$3.7 billion of uncalled commitments, or "dry powder", remaining. We have consistently produced attractive long-term investment returns in our private equity funds, generating a 39% gross IRR and a 26% net IRR on a compound annual basis from inception through June 30, 2013. For further detail related to fund performance metrics across all of our businesses, see "The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds."

Holding Company Structure

The diagram below depicts our current organizational structure:

Note: The organizational structure chart above depicts a simplified version of the Apollo structure. It does not include all legal entities in the structure. Ownership percentages are as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

- (1) The Strategic Investors hold 31.73% of the Class A shares outstanding. The Class A shares held by investors other than the Strategic Investors represent 29.51% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote and 21.69% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group. Class A shares held by the Strategic Investors do not have voting rights. However, such Class A shares will become entitled to vote upon transfers by a Strategic Investor in accordance with the agreements entered into in connection with the investments made by the Strategic Investors.
- (2) Our Managing Partners own BRH, which in turn holds our only outstanding Class B share. The Class B share represents 70.49% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote but no economic interest in Apollo Global Management, LLC. Our Managing Partners economic interests are instead represented by their indirect beneficial ownership, through Holdings, of 54.72% of the limited partner interests in the Apollo Operating Group.
- (3) Through BRH Holdings, L.P., our Managing Partners indirectly beneficially own through estate planning vehicles limited partner interests in Holdings.
- (4) Holdings owns 61.99% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity. The AOG Units held by Holdings are exchangeable for Class A shares. Our Managing Partners, through their interests in BRH and Holdings, beneficially own 54.72% of the AOG Units. Our Contributing Partners, through their ownership interests in Holdings, beneficially own 7.27% of the AOG Units.

Table of Contents

- (5) BRH is the sole member of AGM Management, LLC, our manager. The management of Apollo Global Management, LLC is vested in our manager as provided in our operating agreement.
- (6) Represents 38.01% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity, held through intermediate holding companies. Apollo Global Management, LLC, also indirectly owns 100% of the general partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity. Each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships holds interests in different businesses or entities organized in different jurisdictions.

Our structure is designed to accomplish a number of objectives, the most important of which are as follows:

We are a holding company that is qualified as a partnership for U.S. Federal income tax purposes. Our intermediate holding companies enable us to maintain our partnership status and to meet the qualifying income exception.

We have historically used multiple management companies to segregate operations for business, financial and other reasons. Going forward, we may increase or decrease the number of our management companies or partnerships within the Apollo Operating Group based on our views regarding the appropriate balance between (a) administrative convenience and (b) continued business, financial, tax and other optimization.

Business Environment

During the second quarter of 2013, global equity and credit markets were volatile due to investor concerns regarding the prospect of rising interest rates and potential changes in monetary policies in the United States and other countries around the world. Against this backdrop, Apollo continued to generate realizations for fund investors, capitalizing on the strengths of its flexible business model. Apollo returned \$7.2 billion of capital and realized gains to the limited partners of the funds it manages during the second quarter of 2013. Apollo's fundraising activities also continued at a strong pace, with \$6.9 billion of new capital raised during the second quarter as institutional investors continued to allocate capital towards alternative investment managers for more attractive risk-adjusted returns in a low rate environment.

Regardless of the market or economic environment at any given time, Apollo relies on its contrarian, value-oriented approach to consistently invest capital on behalf of its investors by focusing on opportunities that management believes are often overlooked by other investors. Apollo's expertise in credit and its focus on nine core industry sectors combined with more than 20 years of investment experience have allowed Apollo to respond quickly to changing environments. Apollo's core industry sectors cover chemicals, commodities, consumer and retail, distribution and transportation, financial and business services, manufacturing and industrial, media and cable and leisure, packaging and materials and the satellite and wireless industries. Apollo believes that these attributes have contributed to the success of its private equity funds investing in buyouts and credit opportunities during both expansionary and recessionary economic periods.

From the beginning of the third quarter of 2007 through June 30, 2013, we have deployed approximately \$39.3 billion of gross invested capital across our private equity and certain credit funds focused on control, distressed and buyout investments, leveraged loan portfolios and mezzanine, non-control distressed and non-performing loans. In addition, from the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2007 through June 30, 2013, the funds managed by Apollo have acquired approximately \$18.6 billion in face value of distressed debt at discounts to par value and purchased approximately \$54.2 billion in face value of leveraged senior loans at discounts to par value from financial institutions. Since we purchased many of these leveraged loan portfolios from highly motivated sellers, we were able to secure, in certain cases, attractive long-term, low cost financing.

Since the financial crisis in 2008, we have relied on our deep industry, credit and financial structuring experience, coupled with our strengths as a value-oriented, distressed investor, to deploy significant amounts of new capital within challenging economic environments. In addition, we actively work with the management of each of the portfolio companies of the funds we manage to maximize the underlying value of the business, including helping the companies to generate cost and working capital savings. We also rely on our deep credit structuring experience and work with management of the portfolio companies to help optimize the capital structure of such companies through proactive restructuring of the balance sheet to address near-term debt maturities or capturing discounts on publicly traded debt securities through exchange offers and potential debt buybacks. For example, as of June 30, 2013, Fund VI and its underlying portfolio companies purchased or retired approximately \$19.9 billion in face value of debt and captured approximately \$9.2 billion of discount to par value of debt in portfolio companies such as CEVA Logistics, Caesars Entertainment, Realogy and Momentive Performance Materials. Additionally, the portfolio companies of Fund VI have implemented approximately \$3.9 billion of cost savings programs on an aggregate basis from the date Fund VI invested in them through June 30, 2013, which we believe will positively impact their operating profitability.

Table of Contents

In certain situations, funds managed by Apollo are the largest owner of the total outstanding debt of the portfolio company. In addition to the attractive return profile associated with these portfolio company debt purchases, we believe that building positions as senior creditors within the existing portfolio companies is strategic to the existing equity ownership positions.

During the recovery and expansionary periods of 1994 through 2000 and late 2003 through the first half of 2007, our private equity funds invested or committed to invest approximately \$13.7 billion primarily in traditional and corporate partner buyouts. During the recessionary periods of 1990 through 1993, 2001 through late 2003 and the current recessionary and post recessionary periods (second half of 2007 through June 30, 2013), our private equity funds have invested \$28.6 billion, of which \$16.4 billion was in distressed buyouts and debt investments when the debt securities of quality companies traded at deep discounts to par value. Our average entry multiple for Fund VII, VI and V was 6.2x, 7.7x and 6.6x, respectively as of June 30, 2013. The average entry multiple for a private equity fund is the average of the total enterprise value over an applicable EBITDA which we believe captures the true economics for our purchases of portfolio companies.

Table of Contents

Managing Business Performance

We believe that the presentation of Economic Net Income (Loss) supplements a reader's understanding of the economic operating performance of each of our segments.

Economic Net Income (Loss)

ENI is a measure of profitability and does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. ENI represents segment income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC, which excludes the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units, income tax expense, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Adjustments relating to income tax expense, intangible asset amortization and Non-Controlling Interests are common in the calculation of supplemental measures of performance in our industry. We believe the exclusion of the non-cash charges related to the 2007 Reorganization for equity-based compensation provides investors with a meaningful indication of our performance because these charges relate to the equity portion of our capital structure and not our core operating performance.

During the second quarter of 2013, monitoring fees based on Athene's capital and surplus and the change in the market value of the derivative contracts related to Athene's capital and surplus recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, as disclosed in Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, were reclassified from the private equity segment to the credit segment to better evaluate the performance of Apollo's private equity and credit segments in making key operating decisions. Reclassifications have been made to the prior period financial data for Apollo's reportable segments to conform to the current presentation. The impact of this reclassification on the ENI for the private equity and credit segment is reflected in the table below for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Impact of Reclassification on Economic Net Income (Loss)	
	Private	
	Equity Segment	Credit Segment
For the three months ended June 30, 2012	\$ (3,623)	\$ 3,623
For the six months ended June 30, 2012	(6,322)	6,322

ENI is a key performance measure used for understanding the performance of our operations from period to period and although not every company in our industry defines these metrics in precisely the same way we do, we believe that this metric, as we use it, facilitates comparisons with other companies in our industry. We use ENI to evaluate the performance of our private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management also believes the components of ENI such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income are indicative of the Company's performance. Management also uses ENI in making key operating decisions such as the following:

Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires. As the amount of fees, investment income, and ENI is indicative of the performance of the management companies and advisors within each segment, management can assess the need for additional resources and the location for deployment of the new hires based on the results of this measure. For example, a positive ENI could indicate the need for additional staff to manage the respective segment whereas a negative ENI could indicate the need to reduce staff assigned to manage the respective segment.

Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for our business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses. As the amount of

Table of Contents

fees, investment income, and ENI is indicative of the performance of the management companies and advisors within each segment, management can assess the availability and need to provide capital to facilitate growth or expansion into new businesses based on the results of this measure. For example, a negative ENI may indicate the lack of performance of a segment and thus indicate a need for additional capital to be deployed into the respective segment.

Decisions related to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to its employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in such funds and those of the Company's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on the Company's performance and growth for the year.

ENI does not take into account certain items included when calculating net income under U.S. GAAP and as such, we do not rely solely on ENI as a performance measure and also consider our U.S. GAAP results. The following items, which are significant to our business, are excluded when calculating ENI:

- (i) non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units (although the costs associated with the 2007 private placement are expected to be recurring components of our costs but at a diminishing rate, we may be able to incur lower cash compensation costs with the granting of equity-based compensation);
- (ii) income tax, which represents a necessary and recurring element of our operating costs and our ability to generate revenue because ongoing revenue generation is expected to result in future income tax expense;
- (iii) amortization of intangible assets associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions, which is a recurring item until all intangibles have been fully amortized; and
- (iv) Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies, which is expected to be a recurring item and represents the aggregate of the income or loss that is not owned by the Company.

We believe that ENI is helpful for an understanding of our business and that investors should review the same supplemental financial measure that management uses to analyze our segment performance. This measure supplements and should be considered in addition to and not in lieu of the results of operations discussed below in *Overview of Results of Operations* that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

The following summarizes the adjustments to ENI that reconcile ENI to the net income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP:

Inclusion of the impact of RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and non-cash equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units. Management assesses our performance based on management fees, advisory and transaction fees, and carried interest income generated by the business and excludes the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and amortization of AOG Units because these non-cash charges are not viewed as part of our core operations.

Inclusion of the impact of income taxes as we do not take income taxes into consideration when evaluating the performance of our segments or when determining compensation for our employees.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Additionally, income taxes at the segment level (which exclude APO Corp.'s corporate taxes) are not meaningful, as the majority of the entities included in our segments operate as partnerships and therefore are only subject to New York City unincorporated business taxes and foreign taxes when applicable.

-84-

Table of Contents

Inclusion of amortization of intangible assets associated with the 2007 Reorganization and subsequent acquisitions as these non-cash charges are not viewed as part of our core operations.

Carried interest income, management fees and other revenues from Apollo funds are reflected on an unconsolidated basis. As such, ENI excludes the Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated funds, which remain consolidated in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Management views the business as an alternative investment management firm and therefore assesses performance using the combined total of carried interest income and management fees from each of our funds. One exception is the non-controlling interest related to certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies, which is deducted from ENI to better reflect the performance attributable to shareholders.

ENI may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies and is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We use ENI as a measure of operating performance, not as a measure of liquidity. ENI should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, net income, operating cash flows, investing and financing activities, or other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The use of ENI without consideration of related U.S. GAAP measures is not adequate due to the adjustments described above. Management compensates for these limitations by using ENI as a supplemental measure to U.S. GAAP results, to provide a more complete understanding of our performance as management measures it. A reconciliation of ENI to our U.S. GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC can be found in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Operating Metrics

We monitor certain operating metrics that are common to the alternative investment management industry. These operating metrics include Assets Under Management, private equity dollars invested and uncalled private equity commitments.

Assets Under Management

Assets Under Management, or AUM, refers to the investments we manage or with respect to which we have control, including capital we have the right to call from our investors pursuant to their capital commitments to various funds. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of our private equity investments plus the capital that we are entitled to call from our investors pursuant to the terms of their capital commitments to the extent a fund is within the commitment period in which management fees are calculated based on total commitments to the fund;
- (ii) the NAV of our credit funds, other than certain CLOs, which we measure by using the mark-to-market value of the aggregate principal amount of the underlying CLO and CDO credit funds that have a fee generating basis other than mark-to-market assets or liabilities, plus used or available leverage and/or capital commitments;
- (iii) the gross asset value or net asset value of our real estate entities and the structured portfolio company investments included within the funds we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio companies;
- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the funds we manage; and
- (v) the fair value of any other investments that we manage plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments for investments that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that

-85-

Table of Contents

we believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers.

We use AUM as a performance measurement of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs.

Assets Under Management *Fee-Generating/Non-Fee Generating*

Fee-generating AUM consists of assets that we manage and on which we earn management fees or monitoring fees pursuant to management agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds. Management fees are normally based on net asset value, gross assets, adjusted par asset value, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, adjusted assets, stockholders equity, invested capital, capital contributions, each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, generally are based on the total value of certain structured portfolio company investments, which normally includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in fee-generating AUM.

Non-fee generating AUM consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following: (a) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital, (b) net asset values related to general partner interests and co-investments, (c) unused credit facilities, (d) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital, (e) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees and (f) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

We use non-fee generating AUM combined with fee-generating AUM as a performance measurement of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs. Non-fee generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income.

The table below displays fee-generating and non-fee generating AUM by segment as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012. Changes in market conditions, additional funds raised and acquisitions have had significant impacts to our AUM:

	2013	As of June 30, 2012 (in millions)	As of December 31, 2012
Total Assets Under Management	\$ 113,116 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 104,893 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 113,379 ⁽¹⁾
Fee-generating	79,290	77,449	81,934
Non-fee generating	33,826 ⁽¹⁾	27,444 ⁽¹⁾	31,445 ⁽¹⁾
Private Equity	40,213	38,228	37,832
Fee-generating	26,014	27,754	27,932
Non-fee generating	14,199	10,474	9,900
Credit	62,212	56,108 ⁽²⁾	64,406 ⁽³⁾
Fee-generating	47,507	45,509 ⁽²⁾	49,518 ⁽³⁾
Non-fee generating	14,705	10,599 ⁽²⁾	14,888 ⁽³⁾
Real Estate	9,473	7,861 ⁽²⁾	8,800 ⁽³⁾
Fee-generating	5,769	4,186 ⁽²⁾	4,484 ⁽³⁾
Non-fee generating	3,704	3,675 ⁽²⁾	4,316 ⁽³⁾

(1) As of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012, includes \$1.2 billion, \$2.7 billion, and \$2.3 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within our three segments.

(2) Includes fee-generating and non-fee generating AUM as of March 31, 2012 for certain publicly traded vehicles managed by Apollo.

(3) Includes fee-generating and non-fee generating AUM as of September 30, 2012 for certain publicly traded vehicles managed by Apollo. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, our total fee-generating AUM decreased primarily due to distributions and leverage offset by increases in subscriptions and income. The fee-generating AUM of our private equity funds decreased primarily due to distributions and leverage, partially offset by income. The fee-generating AUM of our credit funds decreased

Table of Contents

during the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to leverage, distributions, redemptions, and segment transfers out offset by an increase in income and subscriptions. The fee-generating AUM of our real estate segment increased due to subscriptions and net segment transfers from other segments.

When the fair value of an investment exceeds invested capital, we are normally entitled to carried interest income on the difference between the fair value once realized and invested capital after also considering certain expenses and preferred return amounts, as specified in the respective partnership agreements; however, we generally do not earn management fees on such excess. As a result of the growth in both the size and number of funds that we manage, we have experienced an increase in our management fees and advisory and transaction fees. To support this growth, we have also experienced an increase in operating expenses, resulting from hiring additional personnel, opening new offices to expand our geographical reach and incurring additional professional fees.

With respect to our private equity funds and certain of our credit and real estate funds, we charge management fees on the amount of committed or invested capital and we generally are entitled to realized carried interest on the realized gains on the disposition of such funds' investments. Certain funds may have current fair values below invested capital; however, the management fee would still be computed on the invested capital for such funds. With respect to ARI and AMTG, we receive management fees on stockholders' equity as defined in the applicable management agreement. In addition, our fee-generating AUM reflects leverage vehicles that generate monitoring fees on value in excess of fund commitments. As of June 30, 2013, our total fee-generating AUM is comprised of approximately 94% of assets that earn management fees and the remaining balance of assets earn monitoring fees.

The Company's entire fee-generating AUM is subject to management or monitoring fees. The components of fee-generating AUM by segment as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012 are presented below:

	As of June 30, 2013			
	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
		(in millions)		
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$ 16,052	\$ 5,053	\$ 159	\$ 21,264
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	6,491	2,499	3,554	12,544
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	646	31,351 ⁽³⁾	1,789 ⁽³⁾	33,786
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage ⁽¹⁾	2,825	2,128		4,953
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV		6,476	267	6,743
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$ 26,014 ⁽²⁾	\$ 47,507	\$ 5,769	\$ 79,290

- (1) Monitoring fees are normally based on the total value of certain structured portfolio company investments, which includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered for fee-generating AUM. Monitoring fees are typically calculated using a 0.5% annual rate.
- (2) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at June 30, 2013 was 69 months.
- (3) The fee-generating AUM for certain of our publicly traded vehicles is based on an adjusted equity amount as specified by the respective management agreements.

Table of Contents

	As of June 30, 2012			
	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
	(in millions)			
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$ 15,076	\$ 3,628	\$ 381	\$ 19,085
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	8,425	3,370	1,799	13,594
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	938	28,711	1,817 ⁽³⁾	31,466
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage ⁽¹⁾	3,315	3,393		6,708
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV		6,407	189	6,596
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$ 27,754⁽²⁾	\$ 45,509	\$ 4,186	\$ 77,449

- (1) Monitoring fees are normally based on the total value of certain structured portfolio company investments, which includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered for fee-generating AUM. Monitoring fees are typically calculated using a 0.5% annual rate.
- (2) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at June 30, 2012 was 66 months.
- (3) The fee-generating AUM for our real estate funds is based on an adjusted equity amount as specified by the respective management agreements.

	As of December 31, 2012			
	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
	(in millions)			
Fee-generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$ 15,854	\$ 5,156	\$ 194	\$ 21,204
Fee-generating AUM based on invested capital	7,613	3,124	1,866	12,603
Fee-generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	855	31,599 ⁽³⁾	2,134 ⁽³⁾	34,588
Fee-generating AUM based on leverage ⁽¹⁾	3,610	3,101		6,711
Fee-generating AUM based on NAV		6,538	290	6,828
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$ 27,932⁽²⁾	\$ 49,518	\$ 4,484	\$ 81,934

- (1) Monitoring fees are normally based on the total value of certain structured portfolio company investments, which includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered for fee-generating AUM. Monitoring fees are typically calculated using a 0.5% annual rate.
- (2) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at December 31, 2012 was 61 months.
- (3) The fee-generating AUM for certain of our publicly traded vehicles is based on an adjusted equity amount as specified by the respective management agreements.

AUM as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

Total Assets Under Management			
	As of June 30, 2013	As of June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2012
	(in millions)		
AUM:			
Private equity	\$ 40,213	\$ 38,228	\$ 37,832

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Credit	62,212	56,108	64,406
Real estate	9,473	7,861	8,800
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$ 113,116	\$ 104,893	\$ 113,379

- (1) As of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012, includes \$1.2 billion, \$2.7 billion, and \$2.3 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within our three segments.

-88-

Table of Contents

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our private equity segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		As of		Fee-Generating AUM	
	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of	As of
	June 30,	December 31,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012	2012	2013	2012	2012
	(in millions)					
Traditional Private Equity Funds	\$ 38,049	\$ 36,292	\$ 35,617	\$ 24,041	\$ 25,944	\$ 25,706
ANRP	1,293	788	1,284	1,295	787	1,295
AAA	871 ⁽¹⁾	1,148	931 ⁽¹⁾	678 ⁽¹⁾	1,023	931 ⁽¹⁾
Total	\$ 40,213	\$ 38,228	\$ 37,832	\$ 26,014	\$ 27,754	\$ 27,932

(1) Includes co-investments contributed to Athene by AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments, as part of the AAA Transaction.

Table of Contents

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our credit segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		Fee-Generating AUM			
	As of June 30, 2013	As of June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2012	As of June 30, 2013	As of June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2012
	(in millions)					
U.S. Performing Credit	\$ 25,363	\$ 27,736	\$ 27,509	\$ 19,413	\$ 21,424	\$ 20,567
Structured Credit	12,544	8,791	11,436	8,235	7,991	7,589
Athene ⁽¹⁾	9,084	7,284	10,970	9,084	7,209	10,845
Non-Performing Loans	6,502	4,093	6,404	4,507	2,721	4,527
Opportunistic Credit	6,307	6,339	6,177	4,431	4,910	4,722
European Credit	2,412	1,865	1,910	1,837	1,255	1,268
Total	\$ 62,212	\$ 56,108	\$ 64,406	\$ 47,507	\$ 45,510	\$ 49,518

- (1) Excludes AUM that is either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles across its private equity, credit and real estate funds.

Table of Contents

The following table presents total AUM and fee-generating AUM amounts for our real estate segment by strategy:

	Total AUM		Fee-Generating AUM	
	As of June 30, 2013	As of June 30, 2012	As of June 30, 2013	As of June 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Fixed Income	\$ 5,784	\$ 4,089	\$ 4,826	\$ 3,519
Equity	3,689	3,772	2,250	2,280
Total	\$ 9,473	\$ 7,861	\$ 8,800	\$ 5,769

The following tables summarize changes in total AUM and total AUM for each of our segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in millions)		(in millions)	
Change in Total AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 114,269 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 86,126 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 113,379 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 75,222
Income	2,277	380	6,334	4,836
Subscriptions/Capital raised	6,926	2,251	8,126	6,592
Other inflows/Acquisitions		18,546		19,928
Distributions	(7,186)	(3,269)	(10,582)	(3,582)
Redemptions	(665)	(654)	(1,018)	(753)
Leverage	(2,505)	1,513	(3,123)	2,650
End of Period	\$ 113,116 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 104,893 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 113,116 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 104,893 ⁽¹⁾
Change in Private Equity AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 39,205	\$ 38,398	\$ 37,832	\$ 35,384
Income	1,233	517	4,515	3,748
Subscriptions/Capital raised	5,834	20	5,838	28
Distributions	(5,669)	(1,518)	(7,571)	(1,578)
Redemptions	(19)		(19)	
Net segment transfers	850	158	1,062	157
Leverage	(1,221)	653	(1,444)	489
End of Period	\$ 40,213	\$ 38,228	\$ 40,213	\$ 38,228
Change in Credit AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 63,535	\$ 36,465	\$ 64,406	\$ 31,867
Income (Loss)	1,165	(117)	1,896	934
Subscriptions/Capital raised	627	2,101	1,300	3,129
Other inflows/Acquisitions		18,546		19,928
Distributions	(1,285)	(1,162)	(2,641)	(1,244)
Redemptions	(356)	(381)	(709)	(480)
Net segment transfers	(256)	(551)	(495)	(640)
Leverage	(1,218)	1,207	(1,545)	2,614

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

End of Period	\$ 62,212	\$ 56,108	\$ 62,212	\$ 56,108
---------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Change in Real Estate AUM:

Beginning of Period	\$ 9,412	\$ 8,263	\$ 8,800	\$ 7,971
(Loss) Income	(125)	(20)	(81)	154
Subscriptions/Capital raised	465	84	988	389
Distributions	(232)	(589)	(370)	(760)
Redemptions ⁽²⁾	(290)	(273)	(290)	(273)
Net segment transfers	309	743	560	833
Leverage	(66)	(347)	(134)	(453)
End of Period	\$ 9,473	\$ 7,861	\$ 9,473	\$ 7,861

-91-

Table of Contents

- (1) As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, March 31, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012 includes \$1.2 billion, \$2.7 billion, \$2.1 billion, \$3.0 billion, and \$2.3 billion of commitments, respectively, that have yet to be deployed to an Apollo fund within our three segments.
- (2) Represents release of unfunded commitments primarily related to two legacy CPI real estate funds that were past their investment periods.

-92-

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize changes in total fee-generating AUM and fee-generating AUM for each of our segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in millions)			
Change in Total Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 81,633	\$ 59,571	\$ 81,934	\$ 58,121
Income (Loss)	2,917	(110)	3,090	289
Subscriptions/Capital raised	1,084	2,609	2,163	3,023
Other inflows/Acquisitions		16,194		17,576
Distributions	(4,298)	(1,269)	(5,209)	(1,453)
Redemptions	(340)	(363)	(710)	(460)
Net movements between Fee Generating and Non-Fee Generating	256	(615)	421	(618)
Leverage	(1,962)	1,432	(2,399)	971
End of Period	\$ 79,290	\$ 77,449	\$ 79,290	\$ 77,449
Change in Private Equity Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 27,868	\$ 27,653	\$ 27,932	\$ 28,031
Income	2,070	58	2,131	134
Subscriptions/Capital raised	39	18	43	28
Distributions	(3,201)	(298)	(3,295)	(351)
Redemptions	(19)		(19)	
Net segment transfers			196	
Net movements between Fee Generating and Non-Fee Generating	(193)	230	(190)	239
Leverage	(550)	93	(784)	(327)
End of Period	\$ 26,014	\$ 27,754	\$ 26,014	\$ 27,754
Change in Credit Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 48,488	\$ 28,207	\$ 49,518	\$ 26,553
Income (Loss)	923	(125)	985	130
Subscriptions/Capital raised	572	2,478	1,204	2,781
Other inflows/Acquisitions		16,194		17,576
Distributions	(879)	(833)	(1,629)	(903)
Redemptions	(321)	(363)	(691)	(460)
Net segment transfers	(259)	(501)	(706)	(589)
Net movements between Fee Generating and Non-Fee Generating	395	(887)	441	(877)
Leverage	(1,412)	1,339	(1,615)	1,298
End of Period	\$ 47,507	\$ 45,509	\$ 47,507	\$ 45,509
Change in Real Estate Fee-Generating AUM:				
Beginning of Period	\$ 5,277	\$ 3,711	\$ 4,484	\$ 3,537
(Loss) Income	(76)	(43)	(26)	25
Subscriptions/Capital raised	473	113	916	214
Distributions	(218)	(138)	(285)	(199)
Net segment transfers	259	501	510	589
Net movements between Fee Generating and Non-Fee Generating	54	42	170	20
End of Period	\$ 5,769	\$ 4,186	\$ 5,769	\$ 4,186

Table of Contents

Private Equity

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, the AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$1.0 billion, or 2.6%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$5.8 billion in Fund VIII, \$1.2 billion of income that was primarily attributable to Fund VII. Offsetting this increase was \$5.7 billion of distributions, including \$2.5 billion in Fund VII and \$2.5 billion in Fund VI.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the AUM in our private equity segment decreased by \$0.2 billion, or 0.5%. This decrease was primarily a result of distributions of \$1.5 billion, including \$0.7 billion from each of Fund VI and Fund VII. Partially offsetting this decrease were \$0.7 billion of increased leverage, primarily from Fund VII, and \$0.5 billion of increased income, primarily attributable to improved unrealized gains from Fund VII.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$2.4 billion, or 6.3%. This increase was a result of subscriptions of \$5.8 billion in Fund VIII and \$4.5 billion of income that was primarily attributable to improved unrealized gains in our private equity funds, including \$1.7 billion in Fund VI and \$2.7 billion in Fund VII and \$1.1 billion of transfer in. Offsetting this increase were distributions of \$7.6 billion, including \$3.9 billion in Fund VII and \$2.9 billion in Fund VI and \$1.4 billion of decreased leverage. See Segment Analysis, which includes a detailed discussion of the impact that significant changes in our AUM within our private equity, credit and real estate segments had on our revenues by segment.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the AUM in our private equity segment increased by \$2.8 billion, or 7.9%. This increase was primarily a result of income of \$3.7 billion attributable to improved unrealized gains in our private equity funds, including \$1.6 billion in each of Fund VI and Fund VII. In addition, also contributing to this increase was a \$0.5 billion increase in leverage, primarily from Fund VII. Offsetting these increases was \$1.6 billion in distributions, including \$0.7 billion from each of Fund VI and Fund VII.

Credit

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$1.3 billion, or 2.1%. This decrease consisted of \$1.3 billion of distributions, \$1.2 billion of decreased leverage, redemptions of \$0.4 billion and net segment transfers out of \$0.3 billion. This decrease in AUM was offset by \$1.2 billion of income that was primarily attributable to improved unrealized gains across our credit funds, and additional subscriptions of \$0.6 billion, primarily attributable to AINV and ACF.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, AUM in our credit segment increased by \$19.6 billion, or 53.9%. This increase was primarily attributable to the \$18.5 billion acquisition of Stone Tower, \$2.1 billion of additional subscriptions, including \$1.5 billion by EPF II, and \$1.2 billion in increased leverage, including \$0.6 billion attributable to Athene Asset Management LLC (AAM). These increases were partially offset by \$1.2 billion of distributions, including \$0.5 billion by Stone Tower CLOs, and \$0.6 billion of net transfers, including \$0.8 billion of assets managed by AAM.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, AUM in our credit segment decreased by \$2.2 billion, or 3.4%. This decrease was a result of \$2.6 billion of distributions, decrease in leverage of \$1.5 billion, \$0.7 of redemptions and \$0.5 billion of net transfers out. Offsetting this decrease was \$1.9 billion of increased income and \$1.3 billion of subscriptions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, AUM in our credit segment increased by \$24.2 billion, or 76.1%. This increase was primarily attributable to \$19.9 billion in acquisitions, including \$18.5 billion related to Stone Tower, \$3.1 billion of additional subscriptions, including \$1.7 billion by EPF II, and \$2.6 billion in increased leverage, including \$0.7 billion and \$0.6 billion attributable to AAM and AMTG, respectively. These increases were partially offset by \$1.2 billion of distributions, including \$0.5 billion by Stone Tower CLOs, and \$0.6 billion of net transfers out, including \$0.9 billion by AAM.

Real Estate

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, AUM in our real estate segment increased by \$0.1 billion, or 0.6%, which was primarily the result of \$0.5 billion of additional subscriptions and \$0.3 billion of segment transfers in. These increases were partially offset by redemptions of \$0.3 billion and distributions of \$0.2 billion.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.4 billion, or 4.8%. This decrease was primarily a result of distributions of \$0.6 billion, including \$0.5 billion from the CPI funds. Also contributing to this decrease was a decrease in leverage of \$0.3 billion, primarily from ARI and AGRE CMBS Fund L.P. and redemptions of \$0.3 billion from released unfunded capital commitments primarily related to two legacy CPI real estate funds that were past their investment periods. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$0.7 billion

from other net segments.

-94-

Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, AUM in our real estate segment increased by \$0.7 billion, or 7.6%, which was primarily the result of \$1.0 billion of additional subscriptions and net segment transfers of \$0.6 billion. These increases were partially offset by \$0.4 billion of distributions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, AUM in our real estate segment decreased by \$0.1 billion, or 1.3%. This decrease was primarily a result of distributions of \$0.8 billion, including \$0.6 billion from the CPI Funds. Also contributing to this decrease was a decrease in leverage of \$0.5 billion, from ARI and AGRE CMBS Fund L.P. and redemptions of \$0.3 billion from released unfunded capital commitments primarily related to two legacy CPI real estate funds that were past their investment periods. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$0.8 billion of net transfers from other segments.

Private Equity Dollars Invested and Uncalled Private Equity Commitments

Private equity dollars invested represents the aggregate amount of capital invested by our private equity funds during a reporting period. Uncalled private equity commitments, by contrast, represent unfunded commitments by investors in our private equity funds to contribute capital to fund future investments or expenses incurred by the funds, fees and applicable expenses as of the reporting date. Private equity dollars invested and uncalled private equity commitments are indicative of the pace and magnitude of fund capital that is deployed or will be deployed, and which therefore could result in future revenues that include transaction fees and incentive income. Private equity dollars invested and uncalled private equity commitments can also give rise to future costs that are related to the hiring of additional resources to manage and account for the additional capital that is deployed or will be deployed. Management uses private equity dollars invested and uncalled private equity commitments as key operating metrics since we believe the results measure our investment activities.

The following table summarizes the private equity dollars invested during the specified reporting periods:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in millions)			
Private equity dollars invested	\$ 177	\$ 1,657	\$ 1,368	\$ 2,641

The following table summarizes the uncalled private equity commitments as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012:

	As of June 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2012	As of June 30, 2012
	(in millions)		
Uncalled private equity commitments	\$ 13,026	\$ 7,464	\$ 6,647

The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds

Below we present information relating to the historical performance of our funds, including certain legacy Apollo funds that do not have a meaningful amount of unrealized investments, and in respect of which the general partner interest has not been contributed to us.

When considering the data presented below, you should note that the historical results of our funds are not indicative of the future results that you should expect from such funds, from any future funds we may raise or from your investment in our Class A shares. An investment in our Class A shares is not an investment in any of the Apollo funds, and the assets and revenues of our funds are not directly available to us. As a result of the deconsolidation of most of our funds, we will not be consolidating those funds in our financial statements for periods after either August 1, 2007 or November 30, 2007. The historical and potential future returns of the funds we manage are not directly linked to returns on our Class A shares. Therefore, you should not conclude that continued positive performance of the funds we manage will necessarily result in positive returns on an investment in our Class A shares. However, poor performance of the funds that we manage would cause a decline in our revenue from such funds, and would therefore have a negative effect on our performance and in all likelihood the value in our Class A shares. There can be no assurance that any Apollo fund will continue to achieve the same results in the future.

Table of Contents

Moreover, the historical returns of our funds should not be considered indicative of the future results you should expect from such funds or from any future funds we may raise, in part because:

market conditions during previous periods were significantly more favorable for generating positive performance, particularly in our private equity business, than the market conditions we have experienced for the last few years and may experience in the future;

our funds' returns have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that currently do not exist and may not repeat themselves, and there can be no assurance that our current or future funds will be able to avail themselves of profitable investment opportunities;

our private equity funds' rates of return, which are calculated on the basis of net asset value of the funds' investments, reflect unrealized gains, which may never be realized;

our funds' returns have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that may not repeat themselves, including the availability of debt capital on attractive terms and the availability of distressed debt opportunities, and we may not be able to achieve the same returns or profitable investment opportunities or deploy capital as quickly;

the historical returns that we present are derived largely from the performance of our earlier private equity funds, whereas future fund returns will depend increasingly on the performance of our newer funds, which may have little or no realized investment track record;

Fund VI and Fund VII are several times larger than our previous private equity funds, and this additional capital may not be deployed as profitably as our prior funds;

the attractive returns of certain of our funds have been driven by the rapid return of invested capital, which has not occurred with respect to all of our funds and we believe is less likely to occur in the future;

our track record with respect to our credit and real estate funds is relatively short as compared to our private equity funds;

in recent years, there has been increased competition for private equity investment opportunities resulting from the increased amount of capital invested in private equity funds and periods of high liquidity in debt markets, which may result in lower returns for the funds; and

our newly established funds may generate lower returns during the period that they take to deploy their capital; consequently, we do not provide return information for any funds which have not been actively investing capital for at least 24 months prior to the valuation date as we believe this information is not meaningful.

Finally, our private equity IRRs have historically varied greatly from fund to fund. For example, Fund IV has generated a 12% gross IRR and a 9% net IRR since its inception through June 30, 2013, while Fund V has generated a 61% gross IRR and a 44% net IRR since its inception

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

through June 30, 2013. Accordingly, the IRR going forward for any current or future fund may vary considerably from the historical IRR generated by any particular fund, or for our private equity funds as a whole. Future returns will also be affected by the applicable risks, including risks of the industries and businesses in which a particular fund invests. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Businesses The historical returns attributable to our funds should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our Class A shares in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013.

-96-

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (5) Fund I and Fund II were structured such that investments were made from either fund depending on which fund had available capital. We do not differentiate between Fund I and Fund II investments for purposes of performance figures because they are not meaningful on a separate basis and do not demonstrate the progression of returns over time. The general partners and managers of Funds I, II and MIA, as well as the general partner of Fund III were excluded assets in connection with the 2007 Reorganization of Apollo Global Management, LLC. As a result, Apollo Global Management, LLC did not receive the economics associated with these entities. The investment performance of these funds is presented to illustrate fund performance associated with our managing partners and other investment professionals.
- (6) Total IRR is calculated based on total cash flows for all funds presented.
- (7) AAA completed its initial public offering in June 2006 and is the sole limited partner in AAA Investments. AAA was originally designed to give investors in its common units exposure as a limited partner to certain of the

-97-

Table of Contents

strategies that we employ and allowed us to manage the asset allocations to those strategies by investing alongside our private equity funds and directly in our credit funds and certain other opportunistic investments that we sponsor and manage. On October 31, 2012, AAA and AAA Investments consummated a transaction whereby a wholly-owned subsidiary of AAA Investments contributed substantially all of its investments to Athene in connection with the AAA Transaction. After the AAA Transaction, Athene was AAA's only material investment and as of June 30, 2013, AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments, was the largest shareholder of Athene Holding Ltd. with an approximate 72% ownership stake (without giving effect to restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan). Additional information related to AAA can be found on its website www.apolloalternativeassets.com. The information contained in AAA's website is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The following table summarizes the investment record for distressed investments made in our private equity fund portfolios excluding ANRP and AION, since the Company's inception. All amounts are as of June 30, 2013:

	Total Invested Capital	Total Value	Gross IRR⁽¹⁾
	(in millions)		
Distressed for Control	\$ 5,748	\$ 16,831	29%
Non-Control Distressed	5,979	8,477	71
Total	11,727	25,308	49
Buyout Equity, Portfolio Company Debt and Other Credit ⁽²⁾	28,723	50,814	22
Total	\$ 40,450	\$ 76,122	39%

(1) IRR information is presented gross and does not give effect to management fees, incentive compensation, certain other expenses and taxes.

(2) Other Credit means investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are not considered to be distressed.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide additional detail on the composition of our Fund VII, Fund VI and Fund V private equity portfolios based on investment strategy. All amounts are as of June 30, 2013:

Fund VII

	Total Invested Capital	Total Value
	(in millions)	
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$ 10,110	\$ 19,681
Other Credit & Classic Distressed ⁽¹⁾	4,576	7,335
Total	\$ 14,686	\$ 27,016

Fund VI

	Total Invested Capital	Total Value
	(in millions)	
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$ 9,674	\$ 15,198
Other Credit & Classic Distressed ⁽¹⁾	2,145	3,598
Total	\$ 11,819	\$ 18,796

Fund V

	Total Invested Capital	Total Value
	(in millions)	
Buyout Equity	\$ 4,412	\$ 11,904
Classic Distressed ⁽¹⁾	780	968
Total	\$ 5,192	\$ 12,872

(1) Classic Distressed means investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are considered to be distressed.

Table of Contents

Credit

The following table summarizes the investment record for certain funds and SIAs with a defined maturity date and internal rate of return since inception, which is computed for the purposes of this table based on the actual dates of capital contributions, distributions and ending limited partners' capital as of the specified date. Apollo also manages CLOs within our credit segment which had total AUM of approximately \$10.3 billion as of June 30, 2013. Such CLO performance information is not included in the following credit investment record tables. All amounts are as of June 30, 2013, unless otherwise noted:

								As of		December 31,	
				Total				June 30, 2013		2012	
Strategy	Vintage Year	Committed Capital	Invested Capital	Realized (in millions)	Unrealized ⁽¹⁾	Total Value	Gross IRR	Net IRR	Gross IRR	Net IRR	
ACRF II ⁽²⁾	Structured Credit	2012	\$ 104.4	\$ 104.4	\$ 2.0	\$ 113.7	\$ 115.7	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
EPF II ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	Non-Performing Loans	2012	3,599.2	483.4	61.3	451.2	512.5	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
FCI ⁽³⁾	Structured Credit	2012	558.8	443.2	15.0	564.0	579.0	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
AESI ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾	European Credit	2011	462.5	576.6	367.7	265.8	633.5	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
AEC ⁽³⁾	European Credit	2011	292.5	344.6	207.5	150.0	357.5	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
AIE II ⁽⁵⁾	European Credit	2008	268.5	848.1	1,070.2	244.3	1,314.5	19.1%	15.5%	19.4%	15.6%
COF I	U.S. Performing Credit	2008	1,484.9	1,611.3	2,682.4	1,574.4	4,256.8	30.5	27.5	30.7	27.6
COF II	U.S. Performing Credit	2008	1,583.0	2,176.4	2,369.6	724.2	3,093.8	13.9	11.3	14.3	11.7
EPF I ⁽⁵⁾	Non-Performing Loans	2007	1,684.7	2,131.9	1,718.2	1,237.8	2,956.0	19.4	13.2	18.6	11.6
ACLF	U.S. Performing Credit	2007	984.0	1,448.5	2,168.0	209.0	2,377.0	13.1	11.2	13.0	11.2
Artus	U.S. Performing Credit	2007	106.6	190.1	225.9		225.9	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.8
Totals			11,129.1	10,358.5	10,887.8	5,534.4	16,422.2				

- (1) Figures include the market values, estimated fair value of certain unrealized investments and capital committed to investments.
- (2) As part of the acquisition of Stone Tower, Apollo acquired the manager of Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund II, Ltd. (ACRF II). Apollo became the manager of this fund upon completing the acquisition on April 2, 2012.
- (3) AESI and AEC were launched during 2011 and have not established their vintage year. EPF II and FCI deployed capital prior to the vintage year and had their final capital raises in 2012, establishing their vintage year.
- (4) Returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.
- (5) Funds are denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of 1.00 to \$1.30 as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the investment record for certain funds and SIAs with no maturity date. All amounts are as of June 30, 2013, unless otherwise noted:

Strategy	Vintage Year	Net Asset Value as of June 30, 2013 (in millions)	Since Inception to June 30, 2013	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	Net Return		For the Year Ended December 31, 2012
					For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Since Inception to December 31, 2012	
AIF ⁽¹⁾	U.S. Performing Credit	2013	\$ 272.9	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	N/A	N/A
ACSP ⁽²⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2012	234.6	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾
ACSF ⁽⁴⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2011	197.7	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
AFT ⁽¹⁾	U.S. Performing Credit	2011	293.4	15.9%	4.0%	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾
AMTG ⁽⁵⁾	Structured Credit	2011	769.5	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾	NM ⁽³⁾
STCS ⁽⁴⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2010	53.5	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
SOMA ⁽⁶⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2007	593.0	49.6	3.3	13.8%	44.9%
ACF ⁽⁴⁾	U.S. Performing Credit	2005	2,156.5	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
AINV ⁽⁷⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2004	1,833.2	54.7	5.3	6.2	47.1
Value Funds ⁽⁸⁾	Opportunistic Credit	2003/2006	365.1	75.8	5.7	8.6	66.2
Totals			\$ 6,769.4				

- (1) AFT and AIF completed their initial public offerings during the first quarter of 2011 and 2013, respectively. Refer to www.agmfunds.com for the most recent financial information on AFT and AIF. The information contained in AFT's and AIF's websites is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- (2) ACSP is a strategic investment account with \$615.0 million of committed capital. Net asset value is presented for the primary mandate and excludes investments in other Apollo funds.
- (3) Returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.
- (4) As part of the Stone Tower acquisition, Apollo acquired the manager of Apollo Credit Strategies Master Fund Ltd. (ACSF), Stone Tower Credit Solutions Master Fund Ltd. (STCS), and Apollo Credit Master Fund Ltd. (ACF). As of June 30, 2013, the net returns from inception for ACSF, ACF and STCS were 26.2%, (2.2)%, and 37.9%, respectively. These returns were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions. Apollo became the manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on April 2, 2012.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (5) Refer to www.apolloresidentialmortgage.com for the most recent financial information on AMTG. The information contained in AMTG's website is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- (6) Net asset value and returns are for the primary mandate, which follows similar strategies as the Value Funds and excludes SOMA investments in other Apollo funds.
- (7) Net return for AINV represents net asset value return including reinvested dividends. Refer to www.apolloic.com for the most recent public financial information on AINV. The information contained in AINV's website is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- (8) Value Funds consist of Apollo Strategic Value Master Fund, L.P., together with its feeder funds, and Apollo Value Investment Master Fund, L.P., together with its feeder funds.

-101-

Table of Contents*Real Estate*

The following table summarizes the investment record for certain funds and SIAs with a defined maturity date and internal rate of return since inception, which for the purposes of this table is computed based on the actual dates of capital contributions, distributions and ending limited partners' capital as of the specified date. All amounts are as of June 30, 2013, unless otherwise noted:

								As of June 30, 2013		As of December 31, 2012	
	Vintage Year	Committed Capital	Current Net Asset Value	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Realized	Unrealized ⁽¹⁾	Total Value	Gross IRR	Net IRR	Gross IRR	Net IRR
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. ⁽³⁾	2012	\$ 793.4	\$ 406.5	\$ 400.9	\$ 2.6	\$ 404.8	\$ 407.4	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾
AGRE Debt Fund I, LP	2011	716.1	714.6	712.2	37.9	709.2	747.1	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾
2011 A4 Fund, L.P.	2011	234.7	219.7	930.8		938.6	938.6	15.9% ⁽²⁾	13.8% ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾	NM ⁽²⁾
AGRE CMBS Fund, L.P.	2009	418.8	139.4	1,572.9		530.9	530.9	13.6	11.4	14.1%	11.8%
CPI Capital Partners North America	2006	600.0	80.7	452.5	296.4	72.4	368.8	15.6 ⁽⁴⁾	11.1 ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific	2006	1,291.6	442.5	1,151.1	1,107.9	451.7	1,559.6	34.3 ⁽⁴⁾	30.7 ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽⁵⁾	2006	1,511.7	558.2	997.6	153.1	541.1	694.2	3.1 ⁽⁴⁾	1.1 ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾	NM ⁽⁴⁾
CPI Other	Various	2,960.1	945.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NM ⁽⁶⁾	NM ⁽⁶⁾	NM ⁽⁶⁾	NM ⁽⁶⁾
Totals		\$ 8,526.4	\$ 3,507.3	\$ 6,218.0	\$ 1,597.9	\$ 3,648.7	\$ 5,246.6				

(1) Figures include estimated fair value of unrealized investments.

(2) Returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

(3) AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P., a closed-end private investment fund that intends to make real estate-related investments principally located in the United States, held closings in January 2011, June 2011 and April 2012 for a total of \$263.2 million in base capital commitments and \$450 million in additional capital commitments. Additionally, there was \$72.0 million of co-invest commitments raised for an investment in the first quarter of 2012, which is included in the figures in the table above.

(4) As part of the CPI acquisition, Apollo acquired general partner interests in fully invested funds. The gross and net IRRs are presented in the investment record table above since acquisition on November 12, 2010. The net IRRs from the inception of the respective fund to June 30, 2013 were (7.9)%, 6.5% and (10.5)% for the CPI Capital Partners North America, Asia Pacific and Europe funds, respectively. These net IRRs were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions and Apollo only became the general partner or manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on November 12, 2010.

(5) CPI Capital Partners Europe is denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of 1.00 to \$1.30 as of June 30, 2013.

(6) CPI Other consists of funds or individual investments of which we are not the general partner or manager and only receive fees pursuant to either a sub-advisory agreement or an investment management and administrative agreement. CPI Other fund performance is a result of invested capital prior to Apollo's management of these funds. Return and certain other performance data are therefore not considered meaningful as we perform primarily an administrative role.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the investment record for ARI as of June 30, 2013:

	Vintage Year	Raised Capital	Gross Assets (in millions)	Current Net Asset Value
ARI ⁽¹⁾	2009	\$ 713.9	\$ 898.1	\$ 686.0

- (1) Refer to www.apolloreit.com for the most recent financial information on ARI. The information contained in ARI's website is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

-102-

Table of Contents

Athene and SIAs

As of June 30, 2013, AAM had approximately \$15.7 billion of total AUM, of which approximately \$6.6 billion was either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles.

In addition to certain funds and SIAs included in the investment record tables and capital deployed from certain SIAs across our private equity, credit and real estate funds, we also managed an additional approximate \$6.3 billion of total AUM in SIAs as of June 30, 2013. The above investment record tables exclude certain funds and SIAs with an aggregate AUM of approximately \$5.0 billion as of June 30, 2013, which were excluded because management deemed them to be immaterial.

Performance information for our funds is included throughout this discussion and analysis to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the periods presented. An investment in our Class A shares is not an investment in any of our funds. The performance information reflected in this discussion and analysis is not indicative of the possible performance of our Class A shares and is also not necessarily indicative of the future results of any particular fund. There can be no assurance that our funds will continue to achieve, or that our future funds will achieve, comparable results.

The following table provides a summary of the cost and fair value of our funds' investments by segment:

	As of June 30, 2013 ⁽¹⁾	As of June 30, 2012 (in millions)	As of December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾
Private Equity:			
Cost	\$ 15,807	\$ 16,963	\$ 16,927
Fair Value	24,019	24,817	25,867
Credit:			
Cost	\$ 15,191	\$ 15,317	\$ 15,097 ⁽²⁾
Fair Value	15,069	15,842	16,287 ⁽²⁾
Real Estate:			
Cost	\$ 4,538	\$ 4,103	\$ 3,848 ⁽²⁾
Fair Value	4,385	3,677	3,680 ⁽²⁾

(1) Cost and fair value amounts are presented for investments of the funds that are listed in the investment record tables.

(2) AMTG and ARI cost and fair value amounts are as of September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Overview of Results of Operations

Revenues

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates. As a result of providing advisory services with respect to actual and potential private equity and credit investments, we are entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition and, in certain instances, disposition of portfolio companies as well as fees for ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. We also receive an advisory fee for advisory services provided to certain credit funds. In addition, monitoring fees are generated on certain structured portfolio company investments. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs (Management Fee Offset). Such amounts are presented as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. See note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail.

The Management Fee Offsets are calculated for each fund as follows:

65%-100% for private equity funds gross advisory, transaction and other special fees;

65%-80% for certain credit funds gross advisory, transaction and other special fees; and

100% for certain other credit funds gross advisory, transaction and other special fees.

These offsets are reflected as a decrease in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Additionally, in the normal course of business, the management companies incur certain costs related to private equity funds (and certain credit funds) transactions that are not consummated, or broken deal costs. In accordance with the related fund agreements, in the event the deal is broken, all of the costs are generally reimbursed by the funds and considered in the calculation of the Management Fee Offset (except for Fund VII and certain of our credit funds which initially bear all broken deal costs and these costs are factored into the Management Fee Offsets). These offsets are included in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or a fund's portfolio company for all costs incurred.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The significant growth of the assets we manage has had a positive effect on our revenues. Management fees are typically calculated based upon any of net asset value, gross assets, adjusted par asset value, adjusted costs of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, invested capital, adjusted assets, capital contributions, or stockholders' equity, each as defined in the applicable management agreement of the unconsolidated funds.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. The general partners of our funds, in general, are entitled to an incentive return that can amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on fund capital, depending upon performance of the underlying funds and subject to preferred returns and high water marks, as applicable. The carried interest income from affiliates is recognized in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for arrangement fees based on a formula. In applying the U.S. GAAP guidance, the carried interest from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the funds' assets at the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the funds' allocation provisions.

As of June 30, 2013, approximately 72% of the fair value of our fund investments was determined using market-based valuation methods (i.e., reliance on broker or listed exchange quotes) and the remaining 28% was determined primarily by comparable company and industry multiples or discounted cash flow models. For our private equity, credit and real estate segments, the percentage determined using market-based valuation methods as of June 30, 2013 was 60%, 88% and 50%, respectively. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Businesses Our private equity funds' performance, and our performance, may be adversely affected by the financial performance of our portfolio companies and the industries in which our funds invest for discussion regarding certain industry-specific risks that could affect the fair value of our private equity funds' portfolio company investments in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013.

Carried interest income fee rates can be as much as 20% for our private equity funds. In our private equity funds, the Company does not earn carried interest income until the investors in the fund have achieved cumulative investment returns on invested capital (including management

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

fees and expenses) in excess of an 8% hurdle rate. Additionally, certain of our credit funds have various carried interest rates and hurdle rates. Certain credit funds allocate carried interest to the general partner in a similar manner as the private equity funds. In our private equity, certain credit and certain real estate funds, so long as the investors achieve their priority returns, there is a catch-up formula whereby the Company earns a priority return for a portion of

-104-

Table of Contents

the return until the Company's carried interest income equates to its incentive fee rate for that fund; thereafter, the Company participates in returns from the fund at the carried interest income rate. Carried interest income is subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income distributed exceeds the amount due to the general partner based on a fund's cumulative investment returns. The accrual for potential repayment of previously received carried interest income represents all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. The actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life.

The table below presents an analysis of our (i) carried interest receivable as of June 30, 2013 and (ii) realized and unrealized carried interest income (loss) for our combined segments incentive business as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013:

	As of June 30, 2013	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013		
	Carried Interest Receivable	Unrealized Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Realized Carried Interest Income	Total Carried Interest Income (Loss) (in millions)	Unrealized Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Realized Carried Interest Income	Total Carried Interest Income (Loss)
Private Equity Funds:							
Fund VII	\$ 854.5	\$ (138.5)	\$ 360.9	\$ 222.4	\$ (49.8)	\$ 585.2	\$ 535.4
Fund VI	560.7	(285.2) ⁽¹⁾	297.8	12.6	290.3 ⁽¹⁾	366.9	657.2
Fund V	67.5	(82.0)	79.5	(2.5)	(66.8)	79.5	12.7
Fund IV	10.5	(1.3)		(1.3)	(0.4)		(0.4)
AAA/Other ⁽²⁾	108.1	(2.7)		(2.7)	14.6		14.6
Total Private Equity Funds	1,601.3	(509.7)	738.2	228.5	187.9	1,031.6	1,219.5
Credit Funds:							
U.S. Performing Credit	210.4	(59.7)	56.8	(2.9)	(36.3)	104.9	68.6
Opportunistic Credit	49.5	(3.7)	8.7	5.0	35.8	8.8	44.6
Structured Credit	37.4	9.4	1.1	10.5	18.7	1.1	19.8
European Credit	17.2	2.6	2.5	5.1	3.9	5.8	9.7
Non-Performing Loans	94.3	(7.4)	33.0	25.6	(7.7)	33.0	25.3
Total Credit Funds	408.8	(58.8)	102.1	43.3	14.4	153.6	168.0
Real Estate Funds:							
CPI Funds	4.6	(6.4)	0.2	(6.2)	(5.8)	0.5	(5.3)
Total Real Estate Funds	4.6	(6.4)	0.2	(6.2)	(5.8)	0.5	(5.3)
Total	\$ 2,014.7 ⁽³⁾	\$ (574.9)	\$ 840.5	\$ 265.6	\$ 196.5	\$ 1,185.7	\$ 1,382.2

(1) \$452.3 million for Fund VI related to the catch-up formula whereby the Company earns a disproportionate return (typically 80%) for a portion of the return until the Company's carried interest income equates to its 20% of cumulative profits of the funds.

(2) Includes certain SIAs.

(3) There was a corresponding profit sharing payable of \$908.2 million as of June 30, 2013 that resulted in a net carried interest receivable amount of \$1,106.5 million as of June 30, 2013. Included within profit sharing payable are contingent consideration obligations of \$107.3 million.

The general partners of the private equity, credit and real estate funds listed in the table above were accruing carried interest income as of June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, Fund VII, Fund VI, Fund V and Fund IV were each above their hurdle rate of 8% and generating carried

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

interest income. The investment manager of AINV accrues carried interest in the management company business as it is earned. Additionally, certain of our credit funds, including ACSP, AEC, AIE II, COF I, COF II, FCI, ACLF, AESI, EPF I, ACRF II, SOMA, and SK were each above their hurdle rates or preferred return of 7.0%, 6.0%, 7.5%, 8.0%, 7.5%, 7.0%, 10.0%, 8.0%, 8.0%, 8.0%, 7.0%, and 8.0% respectively, and generating carried interest income.

-105-

Table of Contents

The general partners of certain of our credit funds accrue carried interest when the fair value of investments exceeds the cost basis of the individual investors' investments in the fund, including any allocable share of expenses incurred in connection with such investments. These high water marks are applied on an individual investor basis. Certain of our credit funds have investors with various high water marks and are subject to market conditions and investment performance. As of June 30, 2013, approximately 85% of the limited partners' capital in the Value Funds was generating carried interest income.

Carried interest income from our private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner in the event of future losses to the extent that the cumulative carried interest distributed from inception to date exceeds the amount computed as due to the general partner at the final distribution. These general partner obligations, if applicable, are disclosed by fund in the table below and are included in due to affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of June 30, 2013, there were no such general partner obligations related to our credit funds or our real estate funds. Carried interest receivables are reported on a separate line item within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our carried interest income since inception through June 30, 2013:

Carried Interest Income Since Inception

	Undistributed by Fund and Recognized	Distributed by Fund and Recognized (1)	Total Undistributed and Distributed by Fund and Recognized(2) (in millions)	General Partner Obligation as of June 30, 2013(2)	Maximum Carried Interest Income Subject to Potential Reversal(3)
Private Equity Funds:					
Fund VII	\$ 854.5	\$ 1,381.5	\$ 2,236.0	\$	\$ 1,793.0
Fund VI	560.7	785.6	1,346.3		1,107.5
Fund V	67.5	1,390.5	1,458.0		114.2
Fund IV	10.5	595.4	605.9		11.5
AAA/Other	108.1	23.7	131.8	0.3	108.1
Total Private Equity Funds	1,601.3	4,176.7	5,778.0	0.3	3,134.3
Credit Funds:					
U.S. Performing Credit	312.7	433.5	746.2		659.6
Opportunistic Credit(4)	39.0	158.1	197.1		57.2
Structured Credit	37.4	27.1	64.5		36.4
European Credit	17.2	39.4	56.6		52.4
Non-Performing Loans	94.3		94.3		94.3
Total Credit Funds	500.6	658.1	1,158.7		899.9
Real Estate Funds:					
CPI Funds	4.6	5.1	9.7		4.6
Total Real Estate Funds	4.6	5.1	9.7		4.6
Total	\$ 2,106.5	\$ 4,839.9	\$ 6,946.4	\$ 0.3	\$ 4,038.8

(1) Amounts in Distributed by Fund and Recognized for the CPI, Gulf Stream and Stone Tower funds and SIAs are presented for activity subsequent to the respective acquisition dates.

(2) Amounts were computed based on the fair value of fund investments on June 30, 2013. As a result, carried interest income has been allocated to and recognized by the general partner. Based on the amount of carried interest income allocated, a portion is subject to potential reversal or has been reduced by the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income or fees at June 30, 2013. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund.

(3) Represents the amount of carried interest income that would be reversed if remaining fund investments became worthless on June 30, 2013. Amounts subject to potential reversal of carried interest income include amounts undistributed by a fund (i.e., the carried interest receivable), as well as a portion of the amounts that have been distributed by a fund, net of taxes not subject to a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, except for Fund IV which is gross of taxes.

(4) Amounts exclude (i) AINV, as carried interest income from this fund is not subject to contingent repayment by the general partner, and (ii) AP Investment Europe Limited (AIE I) as this fund is winding down.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value gains on investments and the income to reverse the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of June 30, 2013:

Fund	General Partner Obligation⁽¹⁾	Net Asset Value as of June 30, 2013 (in millions)	Fair Value Gain on Investments and Income to Reverse General Partner Obligation⁽²⁾
APC	\$ 0.3	\$ 32.2	\$ 1.0

- (1) Based upon a hypothetical liquidation as of June 30, 2013, Apollo has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, which represents amounts due to this fund. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) The fair value gain on investments and income to reverse the general partner obligation is based on the life-to-date activity of the entire fund and assumes a hypothetical liquidation of the fund as of June 30, 2013.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Our most significant expense is compensation and benefits expense. This consists of fixed salary, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, incentive fee compensation and profit sharing expense associated with the carried interest income earned from private equity, credit and real estate funds and compensation expense associated with the vesting of non-cash equity-based awards.

Our compensation arrangements with certain partners and employees contain a significant performance-based incentive component. Therefore, as our net revenues increase, our compensation costs also rise or can be lower when net revenues decrease. In addition, our compensation costs reflect the increased investment in people as we expand geographically and create new funds. All payments for services rendered by our Managing Partners prior to the 2007 Reorganization have been accounted for as partnership distributions rather than compensation and benefits expense. Refer to note 1 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2007 Reorganization. Subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, our Managing Partners are considered employees of Apollo. As such, payments for services made to these individuals, including the expense associated with the AOG Units described below, have been recorded as compensation expense. The AOG Units were granted to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners at the time of the 2007 Reorganization, as discussed in note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In addition, certain professionals and selected other individuals have a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to our private equity, certain credit and real estate funds in order to better align their interests with our own and with those of the investors in these funds. Profit sharing expense is part of our compensation and benefits expense and is generally based upon a fixed percentage of private equity, credit and real estate carried interest income on a pre-tax and a pre-consolidated basis. Profit sharing expense can reverse during periods when there is a decline in carried interest income that was previously recognized. Profit sharing amounts are normally distributed to employees after the corresponding investment gains have been realized and generally before preferred returns are achieved for the investors. Therefore, changes in our unrealized gains (losses) for investments have the same effect on our profit sharing expense. Profit sharing expense increases when unrealized gains increase. Realizations only impact profit sharing expense to the extent that the effects on investments have not been recognized previously. If losses on other investments within a fund are subsequently realized, the profit sharing amounts previously distributed are normally subject to a general partner obligation to return carried interest income previously distributed back to the funds. This general partner obligation due to the funds would be realized only when the fund is liquidated, which generally occurs at the end of the fund's term. However, indemnification clauses also exist for pre-reorganization realized gains, which, although our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners would remain personally liable, may indemnify our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners for 17.5% to 100% of the previously distributed profits regardless of the fund's future performance. Refer to note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of indemnification.

Each Managing Partner receives \$100,000 per year in base salary for services rendered to us. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. In connection with the 2007 Reorganization, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners received AOG Units with a vesting period of five to six years (all of which have fully vested) and certain employees were granted RSUs with a vesting period

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

of typically six years (all of which have also fully vested). Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain employees have also been granted AAA RDUs, or incentive units that provide the right to receive AAA RDUs, which both represent common units of AAA and generally vest over three

-108-

Table of Contents

years for employees and are fully-vested for Managing Partners and Contributing Partners on the grant date. In addition, ARI RSUs, ARI restricted stock and AMTG RSUs have been granted to the Company and certain employees in the real estate and credit segments, which generally vest over three years. In addition, the Company grants equity awards to certain employees, including RSUs and options, that generally vest and become exercisable in quarterly installments or annual installments depending on the contract terms over a period of three to six years. Refer to note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of AOG Units and other equity-based compensation.

Other Expenses. The balance of our other expenses includes interest, litigation settlement, professional fees, placement fees, occupancy, depreciation and amortization and other general operating expenses. Interest expense consists primarily of interest related to the AMH Credit Agreement which has a variable interest amount based on LIBOR and ABR interest rates as discussed in note 8 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Placement fees are incurred in connection with our capital raising activities. Occupancy expense represents charges related to office leases and associated expenses, such as utilities and maintenance fees. Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets is normally calculated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, ranging from two to sixteen years, taking into consideration any residual value. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the expected term of the lease. Intangible assets are amortized based on the future cash flows over the expected useful lives of the assets. Other general operating expenses normally include costs related to travel, information technology and administration.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities. The performance of the consolidated Apollo funds has impacted our net gains (losses) from investment activities. Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in our investment portfolio between the opening balance sheet date and the closing balance sheet date. Net unrealized gains (losses) are a result of changes in the fair value of unrealized investments and reversal of unrealized gains (losses) due to dispositions of investments during the reporting period. Significant judgment and estimation goes into the assumptions that drive these models and the actual values realized with respect to investments could be materially different from values obtained based on the use of those models. The valuation methodologies applied impact the reported value of investment company holdings and their underlying portfolios in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities. Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Interest Income. The Company recognizes security transactions on the trade date. Interest income is recognized as earned on an accrual basis. Discounts and premiums on securities purchased are accreted or amortized over the life of the respective securities using the effective interest method.

Other Income (Loss), Net. Other income (loss), net includes the recognition of bargain purchase gains as a result of Apollo acquisitions, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Income Taxes. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. Federal income tax purposes. As a result, except as described below, the Apollo Operating Group has not been subject to U.S. income taxes. However, these entities in some cases are subject to NYC UBT, and non-U.S. entities, in some cases, are subject to non-U.S. corporate income taxes. In addition, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. Federal, state and local corporate income tax, and the Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

As significant judgment is required in determining tax expense and in evaluating tax positions, including evaluating uncertainties, we recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained assuming examination by tax authorities. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. If a tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained, then no benefits of the position are recognized. The Company's tax positions are reviewed and evaluated quarterly to determine whether or not we have uncertain tax positions that require financial statement recognition.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more

likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

-109-

Table of Contents***Non-Controlling Interests***

For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Non-Controlling Interests relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily include the 62.0% and 65.5% ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the approximate 97% ownership interests held by limited partners in AAA as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

The authoritative guidance for Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements requires reporting entities to present Non-Controlling Interest as equity and provides guidance on the accounting for transactions between an entity and Non-Controlling Interests. According to the guidance, (1) Non-Controlling Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition, (2) net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the Non-Controlling Interest holders on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, (3) the primary components of Non-Controlling Interest are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interests in the Apollo Operating Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities and (4) profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their ownership interests regardless of their basis.

On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted amended consolidation guidance issued by the FASB on issues related to VIEs. The amended guidance significantly affects the overall consolidation analysis, changing the approach taken by companies in identifying which entities are VIEs and in determining which party is the primary beneficiary. The amended guidance requires continuous assessment of the reporting entity's involvement with such VIEs. The amended guidance also enhances the disclosure requirements for a reporting entity's involvement with VIEs, including presentation on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs that meet the separate presentation criteria and disclosure of assets and liabilities recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition and the maximum exposure to loss for those VIEs in which a reporting entity is determined to not be the primary beneficiary but in which it has a variable interest. The guidance provides a limited scope deferral for a reporting entity's interest in an entity that meets all of the following conditions: (a) the entity has all the attributes of an investment company as defined under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Audit and Accounting Guide, *Investment Companies*, or does not have all the attributes of an investment company but is an entity for which it is acceptable based on industry practice to apply measurement principles that are consistent with the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, *Investment Companies*, (b) the reporting entity does not have explicit or implicit obligations to fund any losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity and (c) the entity is not a securitization entity, asset-backed financing entity or an entity that was formerly considered a qualifying special-purpose entity. The reporting entity is required to perform a consolidation analysis for entities that qualify for the deferral in accordance with previously issued guidance on variable interest entities. Apollo's involvement with the funds it manages is such that all three of the above conditions are met with the exception of certain vehicles which fail condition (c) above. As previously discussed, the incremental impact of adopting the amended consolidation guidance has resulted in the consolidation of certain VIEs managed by the Company. Additional disclosures related to Apollo's involvement with VIEs are presented in note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Below is a discussion of our consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For additional analysis of the factors that affected our results at the segment level, refer to Segment Analysis below:

	For the Three Months				For the Six Months			
	Ended June 30, 2013		Amount Change	Percentage Change	Ended June 30, 2012		Amount Change	Percentage Change
	(in thousands)							
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 65,085	\$ 69,777	\$ (4,692)	(6.7)%	\$ 112,504	\$ 97,013	\$ 15,491	16.0%
Management fees from affiliates	155,070	143,326	11,744	8.2	305,517	270,504	35,013	12.9
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	277,106	(1,475)	278,581	NM	1,388,313	620,854	767,459	123.6
Total Revenues	497,261	211,628	285,633	135.0	1,806,334	988,371	817,963	82.8
Expenses:								
Compensation and benefits:								
Equity-based compensation	43,501	142,114	(98,613)	(69.4)	88,787	290,980	(202,193)	(69.5)
Salary, bonus and benefits	69,282	74,948	(5,666)	(7.6)	142,678	140,019	2,659	1.9
Profit sharing expense	124,229	19,851	104,378	NM	547,849	268,875	278,974	103.8
Incentive fee compensation	3,015	(27)	3,042	NM	3,015	8	3,007	NM
Total Compensation and Benefits	240,027	236,886	3,141	1.3	782,329	699,882	82,447	11.8
Interest expense	7,594	10,567	(2,973)	(28.1)	15,112	21,947	(6,835)	(31.1)
Professional fees	21,665	16,832	4,833	28.7	37,725	28,359	9,366	33.0
General, administrative and other	26,037	23,575	2,462	10.4	48,978	42,782	6,196	14.5
Placement fees	3,120	8,131	(5,011)	(61.6)	12,478	9,052	3,426	37.8
Occupancy	10,149	8,990	1,159	12.9	19,954	17,716	2,238	12.6
Depreciation and amortization	14,195	11,981	2,214	18.5	28,813	20,454	8,359	40.9
Total Expenses	322,787	316,962	5,825	1.8	945,389	840,192	105,197	12.5
Other (Loss) Income:								
Net gains (Loss) from investment activities	1,116	(28,214)	29,330	NM	53,249	129,494	(76,245)	(58.9)
Net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	(35,198)	31,763	(66,961)	NM	12,663	15,562	(2,899)	(18.6)
Income (Loss) from equity method investments	20,090	(839)	20,929	NM	47,880	42,412	5,468	12.9
Interest income	3,049	2,202	847	38.5	6,140	3,816	2,324	60.9
Other income, net	2,778	1,945,549	(1,942,771)	(99.9)	4,076	1,951,365	(1,947,289)	(99.8)
Total Other (Loss) Income	(8,165)	1,950,461	(1,958,626)	NM	124,008	2,142,649	(2,018,641)	(94.2)
	166,309	1,845,127	(1,678,818)	(91.0)	984,953	2,290,828	(1,305,875)	(57.0)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Income before income tax provision								
Income tax provision	(18,139)	(10,650)	(7,489)	70.3	(36,718)	(25,210)	(11,508)	45.6
Net Income	148,170	1,834,477	(1,686,307)	(91.9)	948,235	2,265,618	(1,317,383)	(58.1)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(89,433)	(1,875,863)	1,786,430	95.2	(640,520)	(2,208,961)	1,568,441	71.0
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 58,737	\$ (41,386)	\$ 100,123	NM	\$ 307,715	\$ 56,657	\$ 251,058	443.1%

NM denotes not meaningful. Changes from negative to positive amounts and positive to negative amounts are not considered meaningful. Increases or decreases from zero and changes greater than 500% are also not considered meaningful.

-111-

Table of Contents

Revenues

Our revenues and other income include fixed components that result from measures of capital and asset valuations and variable components that result from realized and unrealized investment performance, as well as the value of successfully completed transactions.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, including directors' fees and reimbursed broken deal costs, decreased by \$4.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This was attributable to a decrease in advisory and transaction fees in the private equity segment of \$19.8 million, offset by increases in advisory and transaction fees in the credit and real estate segments of \$14.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, gross and net advisory fees, including directors' fees, were \$64.4 million and \$36.6 million, respectively, and gross and net transaction fees were \$66.7 million and \$28.5 million, respectively. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, gross and net advisory fees, including directors' fees, were \$40.8 million and \$19.4 million, respectively, and gross and net transaction fees were \$80.8 million and \$51.5 million, respectively. Advisory and transaction fees are reported net of Management Fee Offsets as calculated under the terms of the respective limited partnership agreements. See Overview of Results of Operations Revenues Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates for a summary that addresses how the Management Fee Offsets are calculated.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$11.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned by our credit and real estate segments by \$16.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, as a result of corresponding increases in the net assets managed and fee-generating invested capital with respect to these segments during the period, offset by a decrease in management fees earned by our private equity segment of \$3.5 million. Part of the increase in management fees earned from the credit funds was attributable to an increase of \$1.1 million of fees earned from consolidated VIEs which are included in the credit segment results but were eliminated in consolidation.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$278.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased carried interest income driven by increases in the fair value of portfolio investments held by certain funds, primarily Fund VII, Fund VI, SOMA and COF I, which had increased carried interest income of \$181.8 million, \$99.5 million, \$24.0 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, partially offset by Fund V and CLOs, which had decreased carried interest income of \$50.1 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The remaining change was attributable to an overall increase in the fair value of portfolio investments of the other funds, which generated increased carried interest income of \$19.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, including directors' fees and reimbursed broken deal costs, increased by \$15.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This was attributable to increases in advisory and transaction fees in the credit and real estate segments of \$31.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, offset by a decrease in advisory and transaction fees in the private equity segment of \$18.4 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, gross and net advisory fees, including directors' fees, were \$113.2 million and \$66.0 million, respectively, and gross and net transaction fees were \$106.2 million and \$46.5 million, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, gross and net advisory fees, including directors' fees, were \$79.6 million and \$34.5 million, respectively, and gross and net transaction fees were \$113.5 million and \$63.9 million, respectively. Advisory and transaction fees are reported net of Management Fee Offsets as calculated under the terms of the respective limited partnership agreements. See Overview of Results of Operations Revenues Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates for a summary that addresses how the Management Fee Offsets are calculated.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$35.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned by our credit and real estate segments of \$47.7 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, as a result of corresponding increases in the net assets managed and fee-generating invested capital with respect to these segments during the period, offset by a decrease in management fees earned by our private equity segment of \$4.2 million. Part of the increase in management fees earned from the credit funds was attributable to an increase of \$12.0 million of fees earned from consolidated VIEs which are included in the credit segment results but were eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$767.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased carried interest income driven by increases in the fair value of portfolio investments held by certain funds, primarily Fund VI, Fund VII, SOMA, EPF I and as a result of certain sub-advisory agreements, which had increased carried interest income of \$620.4 million, \$182.4 million, \$32.8 million, \$10.8 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, partially offset by Fund V, COF II and COF I, which had decreased carried interest income of \$46.5 million, \$28.9 million and \$23.1 million, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The remaining change was attributable to an overall increase in the fair value of portfolio investments of the other funds, which generated increased carried interest income of \$9.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Expenses

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits increased by \$3.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in profit sharing expense of \$104.4 million as a result of the favorable performance of certain of our private equity and credit funds during the period, partially offset by a reduction of equity-based compensation by \$98.6 million, specifically the amortization of AOG Units which decreased by \$101.2 million due to the expiration of the vesting period for the Managing Partners. Included in profit sharing expense was \$20.2 million and \$6.2 million of expenses related to the Incentive Pool (as defined below) for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, salary, bonus and benefits decreased by \$5.7 million due to a decrease in employee bonuses in the private equity segment during the period as compared to the same period in 2012.

The Company intends to, over time, seek to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which will likely result in greater variability in compensation. As previously disclosed, in June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement (the Incentive Pool) whereby certain partners and employees earned discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company during the year, which amounts are reflected as profit sharing expense in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the Incentive Pool to attract and retain, and provide incentive to, partners and employees of the Company and to more closely align the overall compensation of partners and employees with the overall realized performance of the Company. Allocations to the Incentive Pool and to its participants contain both a fixed and a discretionary component and may vary year-to-year depending on the overall realized performance of the Company and the contributions and performance of each participant. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to compensate individuals through performance-based incentive arrangements in the future and there may be periods when the Executive Committee of the Company's manager determines that allocations of realized carried interest income are not sufficient to compensate individuals, which may result in an increase in profit sharing expense.

Interest expense decreased by \$3.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to decreased interest expense related to interest rate swaps of \$1.3 million and decreased interest expense due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement of \$1.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The Company's last remaining interest rate swap expired in May of 2012.

Professional fees increased by \$4.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to higher external accounting, tax, audit, legal and consulting fees incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012, associated with the launch of our new funds, continued expansion of our global investment platform and the Secondary Offering.

General, administrative and other expenses increased by \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased travel, information technology, recruiting, organizational and other expenses incurred associated with the launch of our new funds and continued expansion of our global investment platform during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Placement fees decreased by \$5.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Placement fees are incurred in connection with the raising of capital for new and existing funds. The fees are normally payable to placement agents, who are third parties that assist in identifying potential investors, securing commitments to invest from such potential investors, preparing or revising offering marketing materials, developing strategies

Table of Contents

for attempting to secure investments by potential investors and/or providing feedback and insight regarding issues and concerns of potential investors. This change was primarily attributable to decreased fundraising efforts during the period in connection with our credit funds, primarily EPF II, which incurred \$6.8 million of placement fees during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Occupancy expense increased by \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to additional expenses incurred from the extension of existing leases along with additional office space leased as a result of the increase in our headcount to support the expansion of our global investment platform during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$2.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased amortization expense due to additional amortization of intangible assets acquired as part of the Stone Tower acquisition in April 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits increased by \$82.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in profit sharing expense of \$279.0 million as a result of the favorable performance of certain of our private equity and credit funds during the period, partially offset by a reduction of equity-based compensation by \$202.2 million, specifically the amortization of AOG Units which decreased by \$202.3 million due to the expiration of the vesting period for the Managing Partners. Included in profit sharing expense was \$32.0 million and \$27.3 million of expenses related to the Incentive Pool for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In addition, salary, bonus and benefits increased by \$2.7 million as a result of an increase in headcount.

Interest expense decreased by \$6.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to decreased interest expense related to interest rate swaps of \$3.2 million and decreased interest expense due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement of \$2.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The Company's last remaining interest rate swap expired in May of 2012.

Professional fees increased by \$9.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to higher external accounting, tax, audit, legal and consulting fees incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012, associated with the launch of our new funds, continued expansion of our global investment platform and the Secondary Offering.

General, administrative and other expenses increased by \$6.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased travel, information technology, recruiting, organizational and other expenses incurred associated with the launch of our new funds and continued expansion of our global investment platform during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Placement fees increased by \$3.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased fundraising efforts during the period in connection with our credit funds, primarily AIF, which incurred \$6.7 million of placement fees during the period. This was offset by EPF II, which incurred decreased placement fees of \$5.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Occupancy expense increased by \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to additional expenses incurred from the extension of existing leases along with additional office space leased as a result of the increase in our headcount to support the expansion of our global investment platform during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$8.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased amortization expense due to additional amortization of intangible assets acquired as part of the Stone Tower acquisition in April 2012.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Loss)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net gains from investment activities increased by \$29.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a \$22.2 million increase in net unrealized gains related to changes in the fair value of AAA Investments portfolio investments during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated VIEs decreased by \$67.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fair values of investments held by the consolidated VIEs of \$99.5 million and a decrease in interest and other income of \$18.7 million during the period. This was offset by an increase in other expenses of \$14.0 million and an increase in net realized and unrealized gains (losses) relating to the debt held by the consolidated VIEs of \$37.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Income from equity method investments increased by \$20.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily driven by changes in the fair values of certain Apollo funds in which the Company has a direct interest. Fund VII, EPF I, COF I, and AINV had the most significant impact and together generated \$16.7 million of income from equity method investments during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to a \$2.4 million loss from equity method investments during the three months ended June 30, 2012, resulting in a net increase of \$19.1 million. Refer to note 3 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a complete summary of income from equity method investments by fund for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Other income, net decreased by \$1,942.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in gains on acquisitions of \$1,951.2 million as a result of the bargain purchase gain recorded on the Stone Tower acquisition during April 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net gains from investment activities decreased by \$76.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a \$83.7 million decrease in net unrealized gains related to changes in the fair value of AAA Investments portfolio investments, partially offset by an \$8.0 million increase in unrealized gains related to the change in the fair value of the investment in HFA during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated VIEs decreased by \$2.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fair values of investments held by the consolidated VIEs of \$95.8 million and an increase in other expenses of \$49.9 million during the period. This was offset by higher interest and other income of \$112.8 million and an increase in net realized and unrealized gains relating to the debt held by the consolidated VIEs of \$30.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Income from equity method investments increased by \$5.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily driven by changes in the fair values of certain Apollo funds in which the Company has a direct interest. Fund VII, EPF I and AINV had the most significant impact and together generated \$35.6 million of income from equity method investments during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$24.0 million of income from equity method investments during the six months ended June 30, 2012, resulting in a net increase of \$11.6 million. This was offset by COF I and COF II, which together generated \$4.7 million of income from equity method investments during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$10.1 million of income from equity method investments during the six months ended June 30, 2012, resulting in a net decrease of \$5.4 million.

Other income, net decreased by \$1,947.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in gains on acquisitions of \$1,951.2 million as a result of the bargain purchase gain recorded on the Stone Tower acquisition during April 2012.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Provision

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

The income tax provision increased by \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. As discussed in note 7 to our condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company's income tax provision primarily relates to the earnings generated by APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Apollo Global Management, LLC that is subject to U.S. federal, state and local taxes. APO Corp. had taxable income of \$39.7 million and \$15.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, after adjusting for permanent tax differences. The \$24.3 million change in income before taxes resulted in increased federal, state and local taxes of \$9.0 million during the period utilizing a marginal corporate tax rate. This was partially offset by a decrease in the income tax provision of \$1.5 million which primarily resulted from a decrease in the NYC UBT, as well as taxes on foreign subsidiaries.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

The income tax provision increased by \$11.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. As discussed in note 7 to our condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company's income tax provision primarily relates to the earnings generated by APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Apollo Global Management, LLC that is subject to U.S. federal, state and local taxes. APO Corp. had taxable income of \$86.1 million and \$51.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, after adjusting for permanent tax differences. The \$35.1 million change in income before taxes resulted in increased federal, state and local taxes of \$13.7 million during the period utilizing a marginal corporate tax rate. This was partially offset by a decrease in the income tax provision of \$2.2 million which primarily resulted from a decrease in the NYC UBT, as well as taxes on foreign subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Non-Controlling Interests

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds.

Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$ (6,531)	\$ 17,721	\$ (52,213)	\$ (132,441)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(4,309)	(2,366)	(8,145)	(2,200)
Other consolidated entities	7,647	6,892	29,566	6,892
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(3,193)	22,247	(30,792)	(127,749)
Net loss (income) attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	40,243	(1,962,947)	2,001	(1,932,653)
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(126,483)	64,837	(611,729)	(148,559)
Net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (89,433)	\$ (1,875,863)	\$ (640,520)	\$ (2,208,961)
Net (loss) income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	(40,243)	1,962,947	(2,001)	1,932,653
Other Comprehensive Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests		(801)		(2,010)
Comprehensive (Income) Loss Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (129,676)	\$ 86,283	\$ (642,521)	\$ (278,318)

- (1) Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling Interests ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.
- (2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies.
- (3) Reflects net (income) loss of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs. Includes the bargain purchase gain from the Stone Tower acquisition of \$1,951.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.
- (4) Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is therefore not a component of comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interest on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Initial Public Offering and Secondary Offering On April 4, 2011, the Company completed the initial public offering (IPO) of its Class A shares, representing limited liability company interests of the Company. Apollo Global Management, LLC received net proceeds from the IPO of approximately \$382.5 million, which were used to acquire additional AOG Units. As a result, Holdings' ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group decreased from 70.7% to 66.5% and Apollo Global Management, LLC's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group increased from 29.3% to 33.5% upon consummation of the IPO. Additionally, on May 15, 2013, the Company completed its Secondary Offering for resale of approximately 24.3 million Class A shares owned by the Selling Shareholders. In conjunction with the Secondary Offering there was an exchange of approximately 8.8 million AOG Units into Class A shares. As a result of the exchange, the Company's economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group increased from 35.6% to 38.0% and Holdings' economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group decreased from 64.4% to 62.0%.

Table of Contents

Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Net income	\$ 148,170	\$ 1,834,477	\$ 948,235	\$ 2,265,618
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	37,050	(1,940,700)	(28,791)	(2,060,402)
Net income after Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	185,220	(106,223)	919,444	205,216
Adjustments:				
Income tax provision ⁽¹⁾	18,139	10,650	36,718	25,210
NYC UBT and foreign tax provision ⁽²⁾	(3,083)	(3,911)	(3,799)	(5,332)
Net loss in non-Apollo Operating Group entities	295	206	626	404
Total adjustments	15,351	6,945	33,545	20,282
Net income after adjustments	200,571	(99,278)	952,989	225,498
Approximate ownership percentage of Apollo Operating Group	63.1%	65.5%	63.9%	65.5%
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in Apollo Operating Group ⁽³⁾	126,483	(64,837)	611,729	148,559

- (1) Reflects all taxes recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Of this amount, U.S. federal, state, and local corporate income taxes attributable to APO Corp. are added back to income (loss) of the Apollo Operating Group before calculating Non-Controlling Interests as the income (loss) allocable to the Apollo Operating Group is not subject to such taxes.
- (2) Reflects NYC UBT and foreign taxes that are attributable to the Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries related to its operations in the U.S. as partnerships and in non-U.S. jurisdictions as corporations. As such, these amounts are considered in the income (loss) attributable to the Apollo Operating Group.
- (3) This amount is calculated by applying the weighted average ownership percentage range of approximately 63.1% and 63.9% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and approximately 65.5% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, to the condensed consolidated net income of the Apollo Operating Group before a corporate income tax provision and after allocations to the Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities.

Segment Analysis

Discussed below are our results of operations for each of our reportable segments. They represent the segment information available and utilized by our executive management, which consists of our Managing Partners, who operate collectively as our chief operating decision maker, to assess performance and to allocate resources. Management divides its operations into three reportable segments: private equity, credit and real estate. These segments were established based on the nature of investment activities in each underlying fund, including the specific type of investment made, the frequency of trading, and the level of control over the investment. Segment results do not consider consolidation of funds, equity-based compensation expense comprised of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interests with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals.

In addition to providing the financial results of our three reportable business segments, we further evaluate our individual reportable segments based on what we refer to as our management and incentive businesses. Our management business is generally characterized by the predictability of its financial metrics, including revenues and expenses. The management business includes management fee revenues, advisory and transaction revenues, carried interest income from one of our opportunistic credit funds and expenses, each of which we believe are more stable in nature. The financial performance of our incentive business is partially dependent upon quarterly mark-to-market unrealized valuations

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements. The incentive business includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments and profit sharing expense that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which is generally less predictable and more volatile in nature.

Our financial results vary, since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

-118-

Table of Contents

Private Equity

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and our supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our private equity segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. ENI represents segment income, excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interest with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Private Equity⁽²⁾:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 41,663	\$	\$ 41,663	\$ 61,491	\$	\$ 61,491
Management fees from affiliates	65,684		65,684	69,158		69,158
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽¹⁾		(509,667)	(509,667)		(37,693)	(37,693)
Realized gains		738,257	738,257		43,378	43,378
Total Revenues	107,347	228,590	335,937	130,649	5,685	136,334
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity compensation	7,485		7,485	7,405		7,405
Salary, bonus and benefits	30,592		30,592	37,792		37,792
Profit sharing expense		107,346	107,346		13,858	13,858
Total compensation and benefits	38,077	107,346	145,423	45,197	13,858	59,055
Other expenses	26,773		26,773	23,876		23,876
Total Expenses	64,850	107,346	172,196	69,073	13,858	82,931
Other Income (Loss):						
Income from equity method investments		12,170	12,170		1,845	1,845
Other income (loss), net	872		872	(3,202)		(3,202)
Total Other Income (Loss)	872	12,170	13,042	(3,202)	1,845	(1,357)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 43,369	\$ 133,414	\$ 176,783	\$ 58,374	\$ (6,328)	\$ 52,046

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$102.6 million with respect to Fund VI for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

Table of Contents

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Private Equity⁽²⁾:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 66,280	\$	\$ 66,280	\$ 84,672	\$	\$ 84,672
Management fees from affiliates	131,956		131,956	136,155		136,155
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains ⁽¹⁾		187,947	187,947		288,279	288,279
Realized gains		1,031,636	1,031,636		165,448	165,448
Total Revenues	198,236	1,219,583	1,417,819	220,827	453,727	674,554
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity compensation	15,858		15,858	15,172		15,172
Salary, bonus and benefits	63,003		63,003	68,585		68,585
Profit sharing expense		491,603	491,603		218,141	218,141
Total compensation and benefits	78,861	491,603	570,464	83,757	218,141	301,898
Other expenses	48,740		48,740	44,667		44,667
Total Expenses	127,601	491,603	619,204	128,424	218,141	346,565
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments		34,721	34,721		32,451	32,451
Other income, net	2,504		2,504	69		69
Total Other Income	2,504	34,721	37,225	69	32,451	32,520
Economic Net Income	\$ 73,139	\$ 762,701	\$ 835,840	\$ 92,472	\$ 268,037	\$ 360,509

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$68.5 million with respect to Fund VI for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months				For the Six Months			
	Ended June 30,		Amount Change	Percentage Change	Ended June 30,		Amount Change	Percentage Change
	2013	2012 (in thousands)			2013	2012 (in thousands)		
Private Equity ⁽²⁾ :								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 41,663	\$ 61,491	\$ (19,828)	(32.2)%	\$ 66,280	\$ 84,672	\$ (18,392)	(21.7)%
Management fees from affiliates	65,684	69,158	(3,474)	(5.0)	131,956	136,155	(4,199)	(3.1)
Carried interest income from affiliates:								
Unrealized (losses) gains ⁽¹⁾	(509,667)	(37,693)	(471,974)	NM	187,947	288,279	(100,332)	(34.8)
Realized gains	738,257	43,378	694,879	NM	1,031,636	165,448	866,188	NM
Total carried interest income from affiliates	228,590	5,685	222,905	NM	1,219,583	453,727	765,856	168.8
Total Revenues	335,937	136,334	199,603	146.4	1,417,819	674,554	743,265	110.2
Expenses:								
Compensation and benefits:								
Equity-based compensation	7,485	7,405	80	1.1	15,858	15,172	686	4.5
Salary, bonus and benefits	30,592	37,792	(7,200)	(19.1)	63,003	68,585	(5,582)	(8.1)
Profit sharing expense	107,346	13,858	93,488	NM	491,603	218,141	273,462	125.4
Total compensation and benefits expense	145,423	59,055	86,368	146.3	570,464	301,898	268,566	89.0
Other expenses	26,773	23,876	2,897	12.1	48,740	44,667	4,073	9.1
Total Expenses	172,196	82,931	89,265	107.6	619,204	346,565	272,639	78.7
Other Income:								
Income from equity method investments	12,170	1,845	10,325	NM	34,721	32,451	2,270	7.0
Other income (loss), net	872	(3,202)	4,074	NM	2,504	69	2,435	NM
Total Other Income (Loss)	13,042	(1,357)	14,399	NM	37,225	32,520	4,705	14.5
Economic Net Income	\$ 176,783	\$ 52,046	\$ 124,737	239.7%	\$ 835,840	\$ 360,509	\$ 475,331	131.8%

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$102.6 million and \$68.5 million with respect to Fund VI for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

- (2) Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

Revenues

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, including directors fees, termination fees and reimbursed broken deal costs decreased by \$19.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

to a decrease of \$20.3 million in transaction and termination fees earned during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was mainly driven by decreased transaction fees earned by Fund VII and ANRP. In the second quarter of 2012, Fund VII earned transaction fees from Great Wolf Resorts and from EP Energy LLC, partially offset by termination fees earned during the second quarter of 2013 from Constellium, Taminco and EVERTEC. ANRP's decrease in transaction fees was due to a one time transaction fee earned in the second quarter of 2012 from EP Energy.

-121-

Table of Contents

Included in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates is \$16.4 million recognized as revenue to a resolution of Fund V's Management Fee Offset liability and additional Management Fee Offsets related to director fees. The additional Management Fee Offsets related to director fees was \$13.2 million, net of director fee income.

Management fees from affiliates decreased by \$3.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was principally driven by a decrease in the management fees earned from AAA Investments of \$1.8 million and from Fund VI of \$1.3 million due to lower adjusted assets and lower invested capital, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$222.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to improvements in the fair values of underlying portfolio investments held by Fund VII of \$181.8 million, Fund VI of \$99.5 million, partially offset by Fund V of \$50.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was realized carried interest income of \$694.9 million primarily resulting from increased dispositions of portfolio investments held by Fund VII of \$334.3 million, Fund VI of \$281.9 million and Fund V of \$79.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in the carried interest income from affiliates was offset by a decrease in net unrealized carried interest income of \$472.0 million. This offset primarily resulted from the reversal of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income due to the realization of underlying portfolio investments held during the period by Fund VII, Fund VI and Fund V.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, including directors' fees, termination fees and reimbursed broken deal costs decreased by \$18.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$16.5 million in transaction and termination fees earned during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was mainly driven by decreased transaction fees earned by Fund VII and ANRP. For the first half of 2012, Fund VII earned transaction fees from Great Wolf Resorts, EP Energy and Taminco, partially offset by termination fees earned during the first half of 2013 from Constellium, Taminco and EVERTEC. ANRP's decrease in transaction fees was due to a one time transaction fee earned in the first half of 2012 from EP Energy. Included in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates is \$16.4 million recognized as revenue to a resolution of Fund V's Management Fee Offset liability and additional Management Fee Offsets related to director fees. The additional Management Fee Offsets related to director fees was \$13.2 million, net of director fee income.

Management fees from affiliates decreased by \$4.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was principally driven by a decrease in the management fees earned from AAA Investments of \$3.2 million and from Fund VI of \$2.6 million due to lower adjusted assets and lower invested capital, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease was partially offset by increased management fees earned by ANRP of \$2.2 million as a result of higher capital commitments for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$765.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to improvements in the fair values of underlying portfolio investments held by Fund VI of \$620.4 million, Fund VII of \$182.4 million, partially offset by Fund V of \$46.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. Based on the increase in fair value of the underlying portfolio investments, profit of Fund VI were such that the priority return to the fund investors was met and thereafter its general partner was allocated (i) 80% of the fund's profits or \$452.3 million pursuant to the catch up formula in the fund partnership agreement whereby the general partner earns 80% of fund profits until the general partner's carried interest income equates to 20% of the cumulative profits of the fund, and (ii) \$123.2 million, which was allocated to the general partner once its carried interest income equated to 20% of the cumulative profits of the fund. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was realized carried interest income of \$866.2 million primarily resulting from increased dispositions of portfolio investments held by Fund VII of \$534.1 million, Fund VI of \$261.4 million and Fund V of \$79.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in the carried interest income from affiliates was offset by a decrease in net unrealized carried interest income of \$100.3 million. This offset primarily resulted from the reversal of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income due to the realization of underlying portfolio investments held during the period by Fund VII, Fund VI and Fund V.

Table of Contents

Expenses

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$86.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily a result of an increase of \$93.5 million in profit sharing expense driven by an increase in carried interest income earned by certain of our private equity funds during the period. Also, equity-based compensation increased by \$0.1 million as a result of an increase in headcount during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in compensation and benefits expense was offset by a decrease in salary, bonus and benefits of \$7.2 million due to a decrease in employee bonuses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Other expenses increased by \$2.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased general and administrative expenses of \$3.8 million driven by organizational expenses accrued in connection with the launching of Fund VIII. The increase in other expenses was partially offset by a decrease in interest expense of \$1.5 million due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$268.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily a result of an increase of \$273.5 million in profit sharing expense driven by an increase in carried interest income earned by certain of our private equity funds during the period. Also, equity-based compensation increased by \$0.7 million as a result of an increase in headcount during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase in compensation and benefits expense was offset by a decrease in salary, bonus and benefits of \$5.6 million due to a decrease in employee bonuses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other expenses increased by \$4.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased general and administrative, professional fees, occupancy and depreciation expenses of \$4.1 million driven by organizational expenses accrued in connection with the launching of Fund VIII. Also, other expenses increased by \$3.5 million due to higher audit, legal and consulting fees incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in interest expense of \$4.0 million due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other Income

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Income from equity method investments increased by \$10.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by increases in the fair values of our private equity investments held, primarily from Apollo's ownership interest in Fund VII, Vantium C and Vantium D, which resulted in increased income from equity method investments of \$10.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in income from equity method investments was partially offset by decreased income from the equity investment in AAA of \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Other income, net increased by \$4.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to gains resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries, rental income from sublease agreements and other miscellaneous income during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Income from equity method investments increased by \$2.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by increases in the fair values of our private equity investments held, primarily from Apollo's ownership interest in Fund VII, Vantium C and Vantium D, which resulted in increased income from equity method investments of \$5.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in income from equity method investments was partially offset by a decrease of \$4.8 million from the equity investments held in AAA and Fund VI for the six months

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

-123-

Table of Contents

Other income, net increased by \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to rental income from sublease agreements and other miscellaneous income during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

-124-

Table of Contents**Credit**

The following tables set forth segment statement of operations information and ENI for our credit segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising of amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interest with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Credit⁽²⁾:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 22,148	\$	\$ 22,148	\$ 7,943	\$	\$ 7,943
Management fees from affiliates	90,387		90,387	74,351		74,351
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽¹⁾		(58,843)	(58,843)		(16,677)	(16,677)
Realized gains	10,029	102,128	112,157	9,200	9,959	19,159
Total Revenues	122,564	43,285	165,849	91,494	(6,718)	84,776
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	7,024		7,024	4,266		\$ 4,266
Salary, bonus and benefits	31,968		31,968	31,222		31,222
Profit sharing expense		20,763	20,763		3,854	3,854
Incentive fee compensation		3,015	3,015		(27)	(27)
Total compensation and benefits	38,992	23,778	62,770	35,488	3,827	39,315
Other expenses	38,253		38,253	39,245		39,245
Total Expenses	77,245	23,778	101,023	74,733	3,827	78,560
Other Income (Loss):						
Net losses from investment activities		(5,752)	(5,752)		(13,108)	(13,108)
Income (Loss) from equity method investments		6,358	6,358		(766)	(766)
Other income (loss), net	4,072	207	4,279	(663)		(663)
Total Other Income (Loss)	4,072	813	4,885	(663)	(13,874)	(14,537)
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,254)		(3,254)	(2,438)		(2,438)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 46,137	\$ 20,320	\$ 66,457	\$ 13,660	\$ (24,419)	\$ (10,759)

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was reversal of \$18.3 million of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

- (2) Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

-125-

Table of Contents

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Credit⁽²⁾:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 43,825	\$	\$ 43,825	\$ 11,998	\$	\$ 11,998
Management fees from affiliates	174,751		174,751	127,022		127,022
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains ⁽¹⁾		14,404	14,404		131,577	131,577
Realized gains	19,080	153,605	172,685	18,800	35,967	54,767
Total Revenues	237,656	168,009	405,665	157,820	167,544	325,364
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	13,550		13,550	12,394		12,394
Salary, bonus and benefits	66,280		66,280	58,847		58,847
Profit sharing expense		59,326	59,326		47,137	47,137
Incentive fee compensation		3,015	3,015		8	8
Total compensation and benefits	79,830	62,341	142,171	71,241	47,145	118,386
Other expenses	76,576		76,576	65,162		65,162
Total Expenses	156,406	62,341	218,747	136,403	47,145	183,548
Other Income:						
Net losses from investment activities		(1,722)	(1,722)		(9,690)	(9,690)
Income from equity method investments		13,290	13,290		17,000	17,000
Other income, net	8,527	207	8,734	2,282		2,282
Total Other Income	8,527	11,775	20,302	2,282	7,310	9,592
Non-Controlling Interests	(6,718)		(6,718)	(3,847)		(3,847)
Economic Net Income	\$ 83,059	\$ 117,443	\$ 200,502	\$ 19,852	\$ 127,709	\$ 147,561

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$0.2 million with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2013	2012 (in thousands)	Amount Change	Percentage Change	2013	2012 (in thousands)	Amount Change	Percentage Change
Credit⁽²⁾:								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 22,148	\$ 7,943	\$ 14,205	178.8%	\$ 43,825	\$ 11,998	\$ 31,827	265.3%
Management fees from affiliates	90,387	74,351	16,036	21.6	174,751	127,022	47,729	37.6
Carried interest income from affiliates:								
Unrealized (losses) gain ⁽¹⁾	(58,843)	(16,677)	(42,166)	(252.8)	14,404	131,577	(117,173)	(89.1)
Realized gains	112,157	19,159	92,998	485.4	172,685	54,767	117,918	215.3
Total carried interest income from affiliates	53,314	2,482	50,832	NM	187,089	186,344	745	0.4
Total Revenues	165,849	84,776	81,073	95.6	405,665	325,364	80,301	24.7
Expenses:								
Compensation and benefits								
Equity-based compensation	7,024	4,266	2,758	64.7	13,550	12,394	1,156	9.3
Salary, bonus and benefits	31,968	31,222	746	2.4	66,280	58,847	7,433	12.6
Profit sharing expense	20,763	3,854	16,909	438.7	59,326	47,137	12,189	25.9
Incentive fee compensation	3,015	(27)	3,042	NM	3,015	8	3,007	NM
Total compensation and benefits	62,770	39,315	23,455	59.7	142,171	118,386	23,785	20.1
Other expenses	38,253	39,245	(992)	(2.5)	76,576	65,162	11,414	17.5
Total Expenses	101,023	78,560	22,463	28.6	218,747	183,548	35,199	19.2
Other Income:								
Net losses from investment activities	(5,752)	(13,108)	7,356	56.1	(1,722)	(9,690)	7,968	82.2
Income (Loss) from equity method investments	6,358	(766)	7,124	NM	13,290	17,000	(3,710)	(21.8)
Other income (loss), net	4,279	(663)	4,942	NM	8,734	2,282	6,452	282.7
Total Other Income (Loss)	4,885	(14,537)	19,422	NM	20,302	9,592	10,710	111.7
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,254)	(2,438)	(816)	(33.5)	(6,718)	(3,847)	(2,871)	(74.6)
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 66,457	\$ (10,759)	\$ 77,216	NM	\$ 200,502	\$ 147,561	\$ 52,941	35.9%

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was reversal of \$18.3 million of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$0.2 million, with respect to SOMA. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of a fund's net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Reclassified to conform to current presentation. See note 14 in the condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassification of ENI between the private equity and credit segments.

-127-

Table of Contents

Revenues

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates increased by \$14.2 million, or 178.8%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gross advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, were \$26.5 million and \$16.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, an increase of \$10.0 million, or 60.5%. Net advisory fees earned were \$22.1 million and \$4.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which was mainly driven by an increase in monitoring fees based on Athene capital and surplus of \$17.6 million. As disclosed previously in note 14, Segment Reporting, monitoring fees based on Athene's capital and surplus and the change in the market value of the derivative contracts related to Athene's capital and surplus were reclassified from the private equity segment to the credit segment. Net transaction fees earned were \$0.0 million and \$3.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease of \$3.5 million in net transaction fees is primarily driven by decreases of \$1.8 million and \$0.5 million related to portfolio investments of EPF I and EPF II, respectively. Advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, are reported net of Management Fee Offsets which totaled \$4.4 million and \$8.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, a decrease of \$4.2 million or 49.2%.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$16.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned from EPF II, AAM, CLOs, and ACF of \$7.1 million, \$6.4 million, \$3.6 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in management fees was partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in fees generated from SVF and a \$1.6 million decrease in fees generated from COF II, compared to the same period in 2012. The remaining change was attributable to other credit funds, collectively, which contributed to an increase of \$1.3 million in management fees during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$50.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in net asset values related to SOMA of \$24.0 million, COF I of \$17.9 million, and income related to certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$11.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was realized carried interest income which increased by \$93.0 million, primarily resulting from increased dividends, interest income, and dispositions of portfolio investments held by EPF I of \$33.0 million, COF I of \$26.6 million, COF II of \$8.8 million, and VIF of \$7.8 million as compared to the same period in June 30, 2012. The remaining change was attributable to other credit funds, which in aggregate contributed an increase of \$16.8 million in realized carried interest income. The increase in realized carried interest income was offset by a \$42.2 million increase in net unrealized carried interest loss. This offset primarily resulted from reversals of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income due to the realization of underlying portfolio investments held during the period by EPF I, CLOs, and COF II.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates increased by \$31.8 million, or 265.3%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Gross advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, were \$52.3 million and \$25.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, an increase of \$26.7 million, or 104.2%. Net advisory fees earned were \$42.9 million and \$8.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which was mainly driven by an increase in monitoring fees based on Athene capital and surplus of \$34.4 million. As disclosed previously in note 14, Segment Reporting, monitoring fees based on Athene's capital and surplus and the change in the market value of the derivative contracts related to Athene's capital and surplus were reclassified from the private equity segment to the credit segment. Net transaction fees earned were \$1.0 million and \$3.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease of \$3.0 million in net transaction fees is primarily driven by decreases of \$1.8 million and \$0.5 million related to portfolio investments of EPF I and EPF II, respectively. Advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, are reported net of Management Fee Offsets which totaled \$8.7 million and \$13.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, a decrease of \$4.9 million or 36%.

Management fees from affiliates increased by \$47.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees earned from EPF II, AAM, CLOs and ACF of \$20.9 million, \$17.4 million, \$9.9 million, and \$5.7 million, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in management fees was partially offset by a \$3.8 million decrease in fees generated from SVF compared to the same period in 2012. In addition, COF II and EPF I experienced decreases in management fees of \$2.7 million and \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared with the same period in 2012. The remaining change was attributable to other credit funds, which contributed to an increase of \$2.8 million in management fees during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$0.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increases in net asset values related

-128-

Table of Contents

to SOMA of \$32.8 million, EPF I of \$10.8 million, and income related to certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$10.3 million, offset by a decrease in the fair values of underlying portfolio investments held by COF II of \$28.9 million and COF I of \$23.1 million, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was realized carried interest income which increased by \$117.9 million for the period, primarily resulting from increased dividends, interest income, and dispositions of portfolio investments held by COF I of \$57.0 million, EPF I of \$33.0 million, VIF of \$7.8 million, and CLOs of \$7.1 million, offset by a decrease in income related to certain sub-advisory arrangements of \$2.2 million. The remaining change was attributable to other credit funds, which in aggregate contributed an increase of \$15.2 million in realized carried interest income. The increase in realized carried interest income was offset by a \$117.2 million decrease in net unrealized carried interest income. This primarily resulted from reversals of unrealized carried interest income to realized carried interest income due to the realization of underlying portfolio investments held during the period by COF I, COF II, and EPF I, offset by an increase in unrealized carried interest income from SOMA of \$32.4 million.

Expenses

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$23.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The change was primarily due to an increase in profit-sharing expense of \$16.9 million during the period, a result of a corresponding increase in realized carried interest income, and an increase in incentive fee expense of \$3.0 million, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. Included in the profit sharing expense is the Incentive Pool, with expenses of \$10.7 million and \$4.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other expenses decreased by \$1.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The change was driven by a \$5.0 million decrease in placement fees, mainly due to a decrease in fees incurred in relation to EPF II of \$6.1 million. The change is offset by an increase of \$4.6 million in professional fees, attributable to higher external IT, legal, and consulting fees, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits expense increased by \$23.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The change was primarily due to an increase in profit-sharing expense of \$12.2 million during the period, a result of a corresponding increase in realized carried interest income, and an increase in salary, bonus, and benefits expense of \$7.4 million during the period, which was mainly driven by an increase in headcount. Included in the profit sharing expense is the Incentive Pool, with expenses of \$17.0 million and \$14.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Other expenses increased by \$11.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The change was driven by a \$5.3 million increase in professional fees, attributable to higher external legal, IT, accounting, and consulting fees, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Also included in the change was a \$3.4 million increase in placement fees primarily due to a \$6.7 million increase in relation to AIF offset by a \$5.0 million decrease related to EPF II, and an increase of \$2.2 million in occupancy expenses due to additional office space leased as a result of an increase in our headcount to support the expansion of our investment platform during the period.

Other Income

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net losses from investment activities decreased by \$7.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was related to an increase in unrealized income resulting from the change in the fair value of the investment in HFA as of June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Other income increased by \$4.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to June 30, 2012, primarily due to a \$1.5 million increase in interest income and \$1.2 million increase in foreign exchange adjustments.

Income from equity method investments increased by \$7.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by increases in the fair values of investments held by certain of our credit funds, primarily EPF I, COF I, and AIC which resulted in increases in income from equity method investments of \$2.9 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.0 million, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net losses from investment activities decreased by \$8.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was related to an increase in unrealized income resulting from the change in the fair value of the investment in HFA as of June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Other income increased by \$6.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to June 30, 2012, mainly due to a \$2.9 million increase in interest income.

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$3.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of investments held by certain of our credit funds, primarily COF I and COF II which resulted in decreases in income from equity method investments of \$3.0 million and \$2.4 million respectively, and offset by an increase in income from equity method investments in EPF I and AIC of \$2.0 million and \$1.4 million respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012.

Table of Contents

Real Estate

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and our supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our real estate segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes and Non-Controlling Interests. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total (in thousands)	Management	Incentive	Total
Real Estate:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 1,273	\$	\$ 1,273	\$ 592	\$	\$ 592
Management fees from affiliates	13,206		13,206	12,888		12,888
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains (losses)		(6,439)	(6,439)		1,647	1,647
Realized gains		158	158		2,602	2,602
Total Revenues	14,479	(6,281)	8,198	13,480	4,249	17,729
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	2,243		2,243	2,442		2,442
Salary, bonus and benefits	6,722		6,722	5,934		5,934
Profit sharing expense		(3,880)	(3,880)		2,139	2,139
Total compensation and benefits	8,965	(3,880)	5,085	8,376	2,139	10,515
Other expenses	6,030		6,030	6,190		6,190
Total Expenses	14,995	(3,880)	11,115	14,566	2,139	16,705
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments		819	819		240	240
Other income (loss), net	255		255	(516)		(516)
Total Other Income (Loss)	255	819	1,074	(516)	240	(276)
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (261)	\$ (1,582)	\$ (1,843)	\$ (1,602)	\$ 2,350	\$ 748

Table of Contents

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013			For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Real Estate:						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 2,398	\$	\$ 2,398	\$ 592	\$	\$ 592
Management fees from affiliates	26,797		26,797	23,253		23,253
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized gains (losses)		(5,841)	(5,841)		1,647	1,647
Realized gains		512	512		4,279	4,279
Total Revenues	29,195	(5,329)	23,866	23,845	5,926	29,771
Expenses:						
Compensation and Benefits:						
Equity-based compensation	4,700		4,700	5,480		5,480
Salary, bonus and benefits	13,395		13,395	12,587		12,587
Profit sharing expense		(3,080)	(3,080)		3,597	3,597
Total compensation and benefits	18,095	(3,080)	15,015	18,067	3,597	21,664
Other expenses	13,406		13,406	12,935		12,935
Total Expenses	31,501	(3,080)	28,421	31,002	3,597	34,599
Other Income:						
Income from equity method investments		695	695		419	419
Other income, net	1,393		1,393	361		361
Total Other Income	1,393	695	2,088	361	419	780
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (913)	\$ (1,554)	\$ (2,467)	\$ (6,796)	\$ 2,748	\$ (4,048)

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,				For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2013	2012	Amount Change	Percentage Change	2013	2012	Amount Change	Percentage Change
	(in thousands)				(in thousands)			
Real Estate:								
Revenues:								
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 1,273	\$ 592	\$ 681	115.0%	\$ 2,398	\$ 592	\$ 1,806	305.1%
Management fees from affiliates	13,206	12,888	318	2.5	26,797	23,253	3,544	15.2
Carried interest income from affiliates:								
Unrealized (losses) gains	(6,439)	1,647	(8,086)	NM	(5,841)	1,647	(7,488)	NM
Realized gains	158	2,602	(2,444)	(93.9)	512	4,279	(3,767)	(88.0)
Total carried interest income from affiliates	(6,281)	4,249	(10,530)	NM	(5,329)	5,926	(11,255)	NM
Total Revenues	8,198	17,729	(9,531)	(53.8)	23,866	29,771	(5,905)	(19.8)
Expenses:								
Compensation and Benefits:								
Equity-based compensation	2,243	2,442	(199)	(8.1)	4,700	5,480	(780)	(14.2)
Salary, bonus and benefits	6,722	5,934	788	13.3	13,395	12,587	808	6.4
Profit sharing expense	(3,880)	2,139	(6,019)	NM	(3,080)	3,597	(6,677)	NM
Total compensation and benefits	5,085	10,515	(5,430)	(51.6)	15,015	21,664	(6,649)	(30.7)
Other expenses	6,030	6,190	(160)	(2.6)	13,406	12,935	471	3.6
Total Expenses	11,115	16,705	(5,590)	(33.5)	28,421	34,599	(6,178)	(17.9)
Other Income:								
Income from equity method investments	819	240	579	241.3	695	419	276	65.9
Other income (loss), net	255	(516)	771	NM	1,393	361	1,032	NM
Total Other Income (Loss)	1,074	(276)	1,350	NM	2,088	780	1,308	167.7
Economic Net (Loss) Income	\$ (1,843)	\$ 748	\$ (2,591)	NM	\$ (2,467)	\$ (4,048)	\$ 1,581	39.1%

Revenues

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates increased by \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to additional capital raised and invested for which transaction fees were earned during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Management fees increased by \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was due to an increase of \$0.8 million in management fees earned from certain sub-advisory agreements. Also, management fees increased by \$0.4 million due to 2012 CMBS I Fund, L.P. and 2012 CMBS II Fund, L.P., which began generating fees in the third quarter of 2012. Additionally, subsequent to June 30, 2012, ARI and AGRE Debt Fund I, L.P. raised additional fee generating capital, which resulted in higher management fees of \$1.6 million. The increase in management fees was partially offset by a decrease in management fees earned from the CPI funds of \$0.6 million as a result of the realization of underlying investments during the three months ended June 30, 2013. Further offsetting the increase was a decrease of \$1.6 million from AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P., which generated higher management fees during the second quarter of 2012 due to new commitments to the fund for which the management fees were calculated retrospectively back to the initial close date of the fund.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$10.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to a decrease of \$8.1 million in unrealized carried interest gains (losses) driven by a decrease in the fair values of the underlying portfolio investments held during the

-133-

Table of Contents

three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. Also driving the change in carried interest income from affiliates was a decrease in realized gains of \$2.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates increased by \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to additional capital raised and invested for which transaction fees were earned during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Management fees increased by \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was due to an increase of \$1.7 million in management fees earned from certain sub-advisory agreements. Also, management fees increased by \$0.8 million due to 2012 CMBS I Fund, L.P. and 2012 CMBS II Fund, L.P., which began generating fees in the third quarter of 2012. Additionally, subsequent to June 30, 2012, ARI and AGRE Debt Fund I, L.P. raised additional fee generating capital, which resulted in higher management fees of \$3.1 million. The increase in management fees was partially offset by a decrease in management fees earned from the CPI funds of \$1.3 million as a result of the realization of underlying investments during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Further offsetting the increase was a decrease of \$1.1 million from AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. which generated higher management fees during the second quarter of 2012 due to new commitments to the fund for which the management fees were calculated retrospectively back to the initial close date of the fund.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$11.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was attributable to a \$7.5 million decrease in unrealized gains (losses) driven by a decrease in the fair values of the underlying portfolio investments held during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. Also driving the change in carried interest income from affiliates was a decrease in realized gains of \$3.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Expenses

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$5.4 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$6.0 million and a decrease in equity-based compensation of \$0.2 million driven by the decreased carried interest income earned from our real estate funds and by an increase in forfeitures of equity-based compensation, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease was offset by an increase of \$0.8 million in salary, bonus and benefits mainly driven by increases in accrued employee bonuses during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Included in profit sharing expense was an increase of \$0.6 million related to the Incentive Pool for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Other expenses decreased by \$0.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in interest expense of \$0.5 million due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was offset by increased professional fees of \$0.3 million due to higher audit, legal and consulting fees incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$6.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$6.7 million and a decrease in equity-based compensation of \$0.8 million driven by the decreased carried interest income earned from our real estate funds and by an increase in forfeitures of equity-based compensation, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease was offset by an increase of \$0.8 million in salary, bonus and benefits mainly driven by increases in accrued employee bonuses during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Included in profit sharing expense was an increase of \$0.2 million related to the Incentive Pool for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other expenses increased by \$0.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to increased general and administrative expenses of \$1.7 million, driven by higher organizational expenses incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

interest expense of \$1.3 million due to a lower margin rate on the AMH Credit Agreement during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

-134-

Table of Contents

Other Income

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Income from equity method investments increased by \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by an increase of \$0.8 million in income from equity method investments in AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. and other of real estate funds offset by decreased income from equity method investments of \$0.2 million from Apollo's ownership interest in ARI.

Other income, net increased by \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to gains resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates of foreign denominated assets and liabilities of subsidiaries and other miscellaneous income during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Income from equity method investments increased by \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was driven by an increase of \$0.5 million in income from equity method investments in AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. and other real estate funds offset by decreased income from equity method investments of \$0.2 million from Apollo's ownership interest in ARI.

Other income, net increased by \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. This change was primarily attributable to gains resulting from the settlement of the CPI contingent consideration during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**Summary Combined Segment Results for Management Business and Incentive Business**

The following tables combine our reportable segments' statements of operations information and supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our management and incentive businesses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. ENI represents segment income (loss), excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization and acquisitions and Non-Controlling with the exception of allocations of income to certain individuals. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

In addition to providing the financial results of our three reportable business segments, we evaluate our reportable segments based on what we refer to as our management and incentive businesses. Our management business is generally characterized by the predictability of its financial metrics, including revenues and expenses. This business includes management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fee revenues, carried interest income from one of our opportunistic credit funds and expenses, each of which we believe are more stable in nature.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Management Business				
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates	\$ 65,084	\$ 70,026	\$ 112,503	\$ 97,262
Management fees from affiliates	169,277	156,397	333,504	286,430
Carried interest income from affiliates	10,029	9,200	19,080	18,800
Total Revenues	244,390	235,623	465,087	402,492
Expenses:				
Equity-based compensation	16,752	14,113	34,108	33,046
Salary, bonus and benefits	69,282	74,948	142,678	140,019
Interest expense	7,594	10,173	15,112	21,553
Professional fees ⁽¹⁾	21,486	16,535	36,953	27,841
General, administrative and other ⁽²⁾	25,815	23,135	48,459	41,837
Placement fees	3,120	8,131	12,478	9,052
Occupancy	10,149	8,962	19,954	17,688
Depreciation and amortization	2,892	2,375	5,766	4,793
Total Expenses	157,090	158,372	315,508	295,829
Other Income:				
Interest income	2,703	1,849	5,357	3,113
Other income (loss), net	2,496	(6,230)	7,067	(401)
Total Other Income (Loss)	5,199	(4,381)	12,424	2,712
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,254)	(2,438)	(6,718)	(3,847)
Economic Net Income	\$ 89,245	\$ 70,432	\$ 155,285	\$ 105,528

(1) Excludes professional fees related to the consolidated funds.

(2) Excludes general and administrative expenses and interest income related to the consolidated funds.

Table of Contents

The financial performance of our incentive business, which is dependent upon quarterly mark-to-market unrealized valuations in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements, includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments, profit sharing expenses and incentive fee compensation that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which are generally less predictable and more volatile in nature.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Incentive Business				
Revenues:				
Carried interest income from affiliates:				
Unrealized (losses) gains ⁽¹⁾	\$ (574,949)	\$ (52,723)	\$ 196,510	\$ 421,503
Realized gains	840,543	55,939	1,185,753	205,694
Total Revenues	265,594	3,216	1,382,263	627,197
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Profit sharing expense:				
Unrealized profit sharing expense	(219,569)	(10,823)	53,206	167,553
Realized profit sharing expense	343,798	30,674	494,643	101,322
Total Profit Sharing Expense	124,229	19,851	547,849	268,875
Incentive fee compensation	3,015	(27)	3,015	8
Total Compensation and Benefits	127,244	19,824	550,864	268,883
Other Income:				
Other income, net	284		284	
Net losses from investment activities ⁽²⁾	(5,752)	(13,108)	(1,722)	(9,690)
Income from equity method investments	19,270	1,319	48,629	49,870
Total Other Income (Loss)	13,802	(11,789)	47,191	40,180
Economic Net Income (Loss)	\$ 152,152	\$ (28,397)	\$ 878,590	\$ 398,494

- (1) Included in unrealized carried interest income from affiliates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was reversal of \$19.3 million of the entire general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to SOMA. Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates was reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$102.6 million and \$18.3 million with respect to Fund VI and SOMA for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and \$68.5 million and \$0.2 million with respect to Fund VI and SOMA for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the balance sheet date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund.
- (2) Excludes investment income and net gains from investment activities related to consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

Table of Contents

Summary

Below is the summary of our total reportable segments, including management and incentive businesses, and a reconciliation of ENI to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Revenues	\$ 509,984	\$ 238,839	\$ 1,847,350	\$ 1,029,689
Expenses	284,334	178,196	866,372	564,712
Other income	19,001	(16,170)	59,615	42,892
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,254)	(2,438)	(6,718)	(3,847)
Economic Net Income	241,397	42,035	1,033,875	504,022
Non-cash charges related to equity-based compensation	(26,736)	(128,002)	(54,666)	(257,935)
Income tax provision	(18,139)	(10,650)	(36,718)	(25,210)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(126,483)	64,837	(611,729)	(148,559)
Amortization of intangible assets	(11,302)	(9,606)	(23,047)	(15,661)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 58,737	\$ (41,386)	\$ 307,715	\$ 56,657

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical

Although we have managed our historical liquidity needs by looking at deconsolidated cash flows, our historical condensed consolidated statements of cash flows reflects the cash flows of Apollo, as well as those of our consolidated Apollo funds.

The primary cash flow activities of Apollo are:

Generating cash flow from operations;

Making investments in Apollo funds;

Meeting financing needs through credit agreements; and

Distributing cash flow to equity holders and Non-Controlling Interests.

Primary cash flow activities of the consolidated Apollo funds are:

Raising capital from their investors, which have been reflected historically as Non-Controlling Interests of the consolidated subsidiaries in our financial statements;

Using capital to make investments;

Generating cash flow from operations through distributions, interest and the realization of investments; and

Distributing cash flow to investors.

-138-

Table of Contents

While primarily met by cash flows generated through fee income and carried interest income received, working capital needs have also been met (to a limited extent) through borrowings as follows:

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate
AMH Credit Agreement	\$ 728,273	4.02%	\$ 728,273	4.95% ⁽¹⁾
CIT secured loan agreements			9,545	3.47%
Total Debt	\$ 728,273	4.02%	\$ 737,818	4.93%

(1) Includes the effect of interest rate swaps.

We determine whether to make capital commitments to our funds in excess of our minimum required amounts based on a variety of factors, including estimates regarding our liquidity resources over the estimated time period during which commitments will have to be funded, estimates regarding the amounts of capital that may be appropriate for other funds that we are in the process of raising or are considering raising, and our general working capital requirements.

We have made one or more distributions to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners, representing all of the undistributed earnings generated by the businesses contributed to the Apollo Operating Group prior to the private offering transactions that occurred in 2007 pursuant to which the Company sold shares to certain initial purchasers and accredited investors in transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. For this purpose, income attributable to carried interest on private equity funds related to either carry-generating transactions that closed prior to the private offering transactions which closed in July 2007 or carry-generating transactions to which a definitive agreement was executed, but that did not close, prior to the private offering transactions are treated as having been earned prior to the private offering transactions.

Cash Flows

Significant amounts from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are summarized and discussed within the table and corresponding commentary below:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Operating Activities	\$ 1,816,332	\$ (59,476)
Investing Activities	40,456	(158,008)
Financing Activities	(1,600,739)	97,048
 Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	 \$ 256,049	 \$ (120,436)

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,816.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013. During this period, there was \$948.2 million in net income. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2013 included \$4,967.4 million in proceeds from sales of investments primarily held by the consolidated VIEs, \$254.6 million change in other liabilities and a \$40.6 million increase in profit sharing payable. These favorable cash adjustments were offset by \$4,512.4 million of net purchases of investments held by consolidated VIEs and a \$136.5 million increase in carried interest receivable. The increase in our carried interest receivable balance during the six months ended June 30, 2013 was driven primarily by a \$1,369.0 million increase of carried interest

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

income from the change in fair value of funds for which we act as general partner, offset by fund cash distributions of \$1,232.5 million.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$59.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012. During this period, there was \$2,265.6 million in net income, to which \$291.0 million of equity-based compensation, a non-cash expense, and \$1,951.2 million in gain on business acquisitions, were added to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash used in operating activities during the six months ended June 30, 2012 included \$2,406.3 million in proceeds from sales of investments primarily held by the consolidated VIEs, a \$195.3 million increase in profit sharing payable, \$102.8 million of unrealized losses on debt of the consolidated VIEs, a \$119.8 million increase in other

-139-

Table of Contents

assets of the consolidated VIEs and \$99.7 million in distributions from investment activities. These favorable cash adjustments were offset by \$2,913.8 million of net purchases of investments held by the consolidated VIEs, \$191.1 million in net unrealized gains from investments primarily held by the consolidated funds and VIEs, a \$30.2 million increase in cash held at consolidated VIEs, and a \$398.2 million increase in carried interest receivable. The increase in our carried interest receivable balance during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was driven primarily by a \$689.6 million increase of carried interest income from the change in fair value of funds for which we act as general partner, offset by fund cash distributions of \$291.5 million.

The operating cash flow amounts from the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs represent the significant variances between net income (loss) and cash flow from operations and were classified as operating activities pursuant to the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, Investment Companies. The increasing capital needs reflect the growth of our business while the fund-related requirements vary based upon the specific investment activities being conducted at a point in time. These movements do not adversely affect our liquidity or earnings trends because we currently have sufficient cash reserves compared to planned expenditures.

Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$40.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, which was primarily comprised of \$1.4 million proceeds from disposal of fixed assets and \$95.8 million of cash distributions received from equity method investments primarily offset by \$52.0 million of cash contributions to equity method investments. Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by investing activities were \$4.7 million of purchases of fixed assets. Cash contributions to equity method investments were primarily related to ACSP, AESI, Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P., EPF I, EPF II, Franklin Fund, Fund VII and AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. Cash distributions from equity method investments were primarily related to AESI, COF I, COF II, EPF I, EPF II, Vantium C, Fund VI and Fund VII.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$158.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, which was primarily comprised of \$99.2 million relating to the acquisition of Stone Tower, \$50.8 million of cash distributions received from equity method investments primarily offset by \$103.4 million of cash contributions to equity method investments. Cash contributions to equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, AINV and EPF II. Cash distributions from equity method investments were primarily related to Fund VII, COF I, COF II, EPF I and ACLF.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$1,600.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, which was primarily comprised of \$332.3 million issuance of debt and \$444.4 million of contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs offset by \$1,420.2 million in repayment of debt held by consolidated VIEs, \$439.0 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group, \$72.5 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs, \$325.9 million in distributions, \$62.3 million related to the purchase of AAA units, \$6.4 million of distributions to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities, \$9.5 principal repayments and repurchases of debt and \$41.8 million related to employee tax withholding payments in connection with deliveries of Class A shares in settlement of RSUs.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$97.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, which was primarily comprised of \$929.5 million of debt issued by consolidated VIEs offset by \$246.1 million in repayment of debt held by consolidated VIEs, \$181.4 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group, \$202.4 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs, \$61.0 million of contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated VIEs, \$144.4 million in distributions, \$100.0 million related to the purchase of AAA units, and \$16.9 million related to employee tax withholding payments in connection with deliveries of Class A shares in settlement of RSUs.

Table of Contents*Distributions*

The table below presents the declaration, payment and determination of the amount of quarterly distributions which are at the sole discretion of the Company (in millions, except per share amounts):

Distributions	Distributions per Class A Share Amount	Distributions Payment Date	Distributions to AGM Class A Shareholders	Distributions to Non-Controlling Interest Holders in the Apollo Operating Group	Total Distributions from Apollo Operating Group	Distribution Equivalents on Participating Securities
Declaration Date						
February 10, 2012	\$ 0.46	February 29, 2012	\$ 58.1	\$ 110.4	\$ 168.5	\$ 10.3
May 8, 2012	\$ 0.25	May 30, 2012	\$ 31.6	\$ 60.0	\$ 91.6	\$ 6.2
August 2, 2012	\$ 0.24	August 31, 2012	\$ 31.2	\$ 57.6	\$ 88.8	\$ 5.3
November 9, 2012	\$ 0.40	November 30, 2012	\$ 52.0	\$ 96.0	\$ 148.0	\$ 9.4
February 8, 2013	\$ 1.05	February 28, 2013	\$ 138.7	\$ 252.0	\$ 390.7	\$ 25.0
May 6, 2013	\$ 0.57	May 30, 2013	\$ 80.8	\$ 131.8	\$ 212.6	\$ 14.3

Future Cash Flows

Our ability to execute our business strategy, particularly our ability to increase our AUM, depends on our ability to establish new funds and to raise additional investor capital within such funds. Our liquidity will depend on a number of factors, such as our ability to project our financial performance, which is highly dependent on our funds and our ability to manage our projected costs, fund performance, having access to credit facilities, being in compliance with existing credit agreements, as well as industry and market trends. Also during economic downturns the funds we manage might experience cash flow issues or liquidate entirely. In these situations we might be asked to reduce or eliminate the management fee and incentive fees we charge. As was the situation with AIE I, this could adversely impact our cash flow in the future.

For example, the investment performance of AIE I was adversely impacted due to market conditions in 2008 and early 2009, and on July 10, 2009, its shareholders subsequently approved a monetization plan. The primary objective of the monetization plan is to maximize shareholder recovery value by (i) opportunistically selling AIE I's assets over a three-year period from July 2009 to July 2012 and (ii) reducing the overall costs of the fund. The Company waived management fees of \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 and an additional \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 to limit the adverse impact that deteriorating market conditions were having on AIE I's performance. AIE I management fees were terminated on August 23, 2012 as the fund received a majority vote from shareholders to approve the wind down resolution to terminate the management agreement. Management elected not to seek shareholder approval for a one-year extension and currently aims to wind up the company in a quick and cost efficient manner. Management anticipates that all related corporate entities will be dissolved by the end of 2013 and a final distribution will be made to shareholders of remaining cash, if any, in the second quarter of 2013. However, there can be no assurances that this time frame will be met.

On October 31, 2012, AAA and Apollo Alternative Assets, L.P. (Apollo Alternative Assets) entered into an amendment to the services agreement pursuant to which Apollo Alternative Assets manages AAA's assets in exchange for a quarterly management fee. Pursuant to the amendment, the parties agreed that there will be no management fees payable by AAA with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares. Likewise, affiliates of Apollo Alternative Assets will not be entitled to receive any carried interest in respect of the Excluded Athene Shares. AAA will continue to pay Apollo Alternative Assets the same management fee on AAA's investment in Athene (other than the Excluded Athene Shares), except that Apollo Alternative Assets agreed that AAA's obligation to pay the existing management fee shall terminate on December 31, 2014. The amendment provides for Apollo Alternative Assets to receive a formulaic unwind of its management fee in the event that AAA makes a tender offer for all or substantially all of its outstanding units where the consideration is to be paid in shares of Athene Holding Ltd (or if AAA accomplishes a similar transaction using an alternative structure): up to a cap of \$30.0 million if the realization event commences in 2013, \$25.0 million if the realization event commences in 2014, \$20.0 million if the realization event commences in 2015 and zero if the realization event commences in 2016 or thereafter. Apollo Alternative Assets has further agreed that AAA has the option to settle all such management fees payable either in cash or shares of Athene Holding Ltd. valued at the then fair market value (or an equivalent derivative). Carried interest payable to an affiliate of Apollo Alternative Assets will be paid in shares of Athene Holding Ltd. (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding Ltd.

Table of Contents

Athene

Under an amended services contract with Athene and Athene Life Re Ltd., effective February 6, 2013, the Company earns a quarterly monitoring fee based on Athene's capital and surplus, excluding the Excluded Athene Shares, at the end of each quarter through December 31, 2014, the termination date. As a result of the amendment, the total monitoring fee receivable is based on the value of Athene share equivalents determined at each reporting period. At Athene's option, the monitoring fee can be settled in either cash or, under certain circumstances, Athene shares. Settlement occurs on the earlier of a change of control of Athene or October 31, 2017. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company earned \$21.2 million and \$40.7 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee, which is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company earned \$3.6 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, related to this monitoring fee, which is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2013 the Company had a \$40.9 million receivable, which is accounted for as a derivative (as described below), recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Under an amended services contract with AAA and other AAA affiliated service recipients including AAA Guarantor-Athene L.P. and AAA Investments, effective October 31, 2012, the Company earns a quarterly management fee based on AAA Investments' adjusted assets through December 31, 2020, the termination date. As a result of the amendment, the total fee receivable is based on the value of Athene share equivalents determined at each reporting period. At the option of AAA Guarantor Athene L.P. and AAA Investments, L.P., the management fee can be settled in either cash or, under certain circumstances, Athene shares. Settlement occurs on the earlier of a change of control of Athene or October 31, 2017. Related to this amended service contract, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had a receivable of \$7.1 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, which is recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fee earned by the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, was \$2.5 million (of which \$0.6 million related to the derivative component, as described below) and \$5.6 million (of which \$1.2 million related to the derivative component, as described below), respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The total management fee earned by the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, was \$4.4 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

These amended contracts together with related derivative contracts issued pursuant to these amended contracts meet the definition of a derivative under U.S. GAAP. The Company has classified these derivatives as Level III assets in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. The value of these derivatives is determined by multiplying the Athene share equivalents by the estimated price per share of Athene.

The change in market value of these derivatives is reflected in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there was \$0.3 million of changes in market value recognized related to these derivatives.

On April 20, 2010, the Company announced that it entered into a strategic relationship agreement with CalPERS. The strategic relationship agreement provides that Apollo will reduce fees charged to CalPERS on funds it manages, or in the future will manage, solely for CalPERS by \$125 million over a five-year period or as close a period as required to provide CalPERS with that benefit. The agreement further provides that Apollo will not use a placement agent in connection with securing any future capital commitments from CalPERS. In March 2012, the Company received a notice of withdrawal from CalPERS, to withdraw a total of \$400 million from SOMA. Through June 30, 2013, the Company has reduced fees charged to CalPERS on the funds it manages by approximately \$75.2 million.

An increase in the fair value of our funds' investments, by contrast, could favorably impact our liquidity through higher management fees where the management fees are calculated based on the net asset value, gross assets and adjusted assets. Additionally, higher carried interest income would generally result when investments appreciate over their cost basis which would not have an impact on the Company's cash flow.

The Company granted approximately 0.4 million RSUs during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The average estimated fair value per share on the grant date was \$20.35 per RSU with a total fair value of the grants of \$9.0 million at June 30, 2013. This will impact the Company's compensation expense as these grants are amortized over their vesting term of three to six years. The Company expects to incur annual compensation expenses on all grants, net of forfeitures, of approximately \$31.8 million, \$38.9 million, \$23.7 million, \$10.5 million, \$4.6 million and \$2.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Although we expect to pay distributions according to our distribution policy, we may not pay distributions according to our policy, or at all, if, among other things, we do not have the cash necessary to pay the intended distributions. To

Table of Contents

the extent we do not have cash on hand sufficient to pay distributions, we may have to borrow funds to pay distributions, or we may determine not to pay distributions. The declaration, payment and determination of the amount of our quarterly distributions are at the sole discretion of our manager.

Carried interest income from our funds can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group that act as general partner of such funds in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, to the extent of their ownership interest, subject to certain limitations, the obligations of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. Pursuant to the shareholders agreement dated July 13, 2007 (the "Shareholders Agreement"), we agreed to indemnify each of our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that our Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI, we will be obligated to reimburse our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though we did not receive the distribution to which that general partner obligation related.

On April 9, 2013 the Company issued 150,000 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance did not cause a material change to the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group.

On May 9, 2013, the Company issued 626,901 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and share options. The issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 35.5% to 35.6%.

On May 14, 2013, the Company issued 30,874 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance did not cause a material change to the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group.

On August 8, 2013, the Company declared a cash distribution of \$1.32 per Class A share, which will be paid on August 30, 2013 to holders of record on August 26, 2013.

On July 9, 2013, the Company issued 89,328 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance did not cause a material change to the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group.

Distributions to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners

The three Managing Partners who became employees of Apollo on July 13, 2007 are each entitled to a \$100,000 base salary. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. Any additional consideration will be paid to them in their proportional ownership interest in Holdings. Additionally, 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to any exchanging or selling Managing Partners.

It should be noted that subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests in subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group. Therefore, any distributions that flow up to management or general partner entities in which the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests are shared pro rata with the Contributing Partners who have a direct interest in such entities prior to flowing up to the Apollo Operating Group. These distributions are considered compensation expense after the 2007 Reorganization.

The Contributing Partners are entitled to receive the following:

Profit Sharing private equity carried interest income, from direct ownership of advisory entities. Any changes in fair value of the underlying fund investments would result in changes to Apollo Global Management, LLC's profit sharing payable.

Net Management Fee Income distributable cash determined by the general partner of each management company, from direct ownership of the management company entity. The Contributing Partners will continue to receive net management fee income payments based on the interests they retained in management companies directly. Such payments are treated as compensation expense after the 2007 Reorganization as described above.

Any additional consideration will be paid to them based on their proportional ownership interest in Holdings.

No base compensation is paid to the Contributing Partners from the Company, but they are entitled to a monthly draw.

Additionally, 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to any exchanging or selling Contributing Partner.

Table of Contents

Potential Future Costs

We may make grants of RSUs or other equity-based awards to employees and independent directors that we appoint in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We also report segment information from our condensed consolidated statements of operations and include a supplemental performance measure, ENI, for our private equity, credit and real estate segments. ENI represents segment income (loss) excluding the impact of non-cash charges related to RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement and equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units, income taxes, amortization of intangibles associated with the 2007 Reorganization as well as acquisitions and Non-Controlling Interests excluding the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit management companies. In addition, segment data excludes the assets, liabilities and operating results of the Apollo funds and consolidated VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. ENI is not a U.S. GAAP measure.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that could affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates. A summary of our significant accounting policies is presented in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The following is a summary of our accounting policies that are affected most by judgments, estimates and assumptions.

Consolidation

Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those funds for which the general partner is presumed to have control (e.g., AAA and Apollo Senior Loan Fund). Apollo also consolidates entities that are VIEs for which Apollo is the primary beneficiary. Under the amended consolidation rules, an enterprise is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest. A controlling financial interest is defined as (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the entity's business and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

Certain of our subsidiaries hold equity interests in and/or receive fees qualifying as variable interests from the funds that the Company manages. The amended consolidation rules require an analysis to determine whether (a) an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE and (b) Apollo's involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the entity or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would give it a controlling financial interest. When the VIE has qualified for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules in accordance with U.S. GAAP, the analysis is based on previous consolidation rules, which require an analysis to determine whether (a) an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE and (b) Apollo's involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the entity or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the variability of the entity.

Under both guidelines, the determination of whether an entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE requires judgments which include determining whether the equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, evaluating whether the equity holders, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, and determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive returns from an entity. Under both guidelines, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes involved with a VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. The consolidation analysis can generally be performed qualitatively. However, if it is not readily apparent whether Apollo is the primary beneficiary, a quantitative expected losses and expected residual returns calculation will be performed. Investments and redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective entity may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

Apollo assesses whether it is the primary beneficiary and will consolidate or deconsolidate the entity accordingly. Performance of that assessment requires the exercise of judgment. Where the variable interests have qualified for the deferral,

Table of Contents

judgments are made in estimating cash flows in evaluating which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected profits or losses of the VIE. Where the variable interests have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that are potentially significant to the VIE. Under both guidelines, judgment is made in evaluating the nature of the relationships and activities of the parties involved in determining which party within a related-party group is most closely associated with a VIE. The use of these judgments has a material impact to certain components of Apollo's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIE's note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIE's assets and liabilities.

Assets and liability amounts of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Additional disclosures regarding VIEs are set forth in note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Intercompany transactions and balances, if any, have been eliminated in consolidation.

Revenue Recognition

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Such carried interest income generally is earned based upon a fixed percentage of realized and unrealized gains of various funds after meeting any applicable hurdle rate or threshold minimum. Carried interest income from certain of the funds that we manage is subject to contingent repayment and is generally paid to us as particular investments made by the funds are realized. If, however, upon liquidation of a fund, the aggregate amount paid to us as carried interest exceeds the amount actually due to us based upon the aggregate performance of the fund, the excess (in certain cases net of taxes) is required to be returned by us to that fund. For a majority of our credit funds, once the annual carried interest income has been determined, there generally is no look-back to prior periods for a potential contingent repayment, however, carried interest income on certain other credit funds can be subject to contingent repayment at the end of the life of the fund. We have elected to adopt Method 2 from U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for management fees based on a formula, and under this method, we accrue carried interest income quarterly based on fair value of the underlying investments and separately assess if contingent repayment is necessary. The determination of carried interest income and contingent repayment considers both the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. Refer to note 5 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for disclosure of the amounts of carried interest income (loss) from affiliates that was generated from realized versus unrealized losses. See *Investments, at Fair Value* below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The management fees related to our private equity funds are generally based on a fixed percentage of the committed capital or invested capital. The corresponding fee calculations that consider committed capital or invested capital are both objective in nature and therefore do not require the use of significant estimates or assumptions. Management fees related to our credit funds, by contrast, can be based on net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, adjusted assets, or capital contributions, all as defined in the respective partnership agreements. The credit management fee calculations that consider net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments and adjusted assets, are normally based on the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. The management fees related to our real estate funds are generally based on a specific percentage of the funds' stockholders' equity or committed or net invested capital or the capital accounts of the limited partners. See *Investments, at Fair Value* below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our credit and private equity funds.

Table of Contents

Investments, at Fair Value

The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value. Investments at fair value represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Level III Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partnership interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II or Level III investment. Some of the factors we consider include the number of broker quotes we obtain, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment where the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument measured and reported at fair value is transferred into or out of Level III of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

Equity Method Investments. For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and income (loss) on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investments) is recognized as part of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities are at fair value.

Private Equity Investments. The majority of the illiquid investments within our private equity funds are valued using the market approach, which provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry.

Market Approach. The market approach is driven by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to any of the following factors: (1) the subject company's historical and projected financial data; (2) valuations given to

Table of Contents

comparable companies; (3) the size and scope of the subject company's operations; (4) the subject company's individual strengths and weaknesses; (5) expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the subject company's securities; (6) applicable restrictions on transfer; (7) industry and market information; (8) general economic and market conditions; and (9) other factors deemed relevant. Market approach valuation models typically employ a multiple that is based on one or more of the factors described above. Sources for gaining additional knowledge related to comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. Once a comparable company set is determined, we review certain aspects of the subject company's performance and determine how its performance compares to the group and to certain individuals in the group. We compare certain measurements such as EBITDA margins, revenue growth over certain time periods, leverage ratios, and growth opportunities. In addition, we compare our entry multiple and its relation to the comparable set at the time of acquisition to understand its relation to the comparable set on each measurement date.

Income Approach. For investments where the market approach does not provide adequate fair value information, we rely on the income approach. The income approach is also used to value investments or validate the market approach within our private equity funds. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology used in the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are significant assumptions related to the subject company's expected results and a calculated discount rate, which is normally based on the subject company's weighted average cost of capital, or WACC. The WACC represents the required rate of return on total capitalization, which is comprised of a required rate of return on equity, plus the current tax-effected rate of return on debt, weighted by the relative percentages of equity and debt that are typical in the industry. The most critical step in determining the appropriate WACC for each subject company is to select companies that are comparable in nature to the subject company. Sources for gaining additional knowledge about the comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. The general formula then used for calculating the WACC considers the after-tax rate of return on debt capital and the rate of return on common equity capital, which further considers the risk-free rate of return, market beta, market risk premium and small stock premium, if applicable. The variables used in the WACC formula are inferred from the comparable market data obtained. The Company evaluates the comparable companies selected and concludes on WACC inputs based on the most comparable company or analyzes the range of data for the investment.

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes a valuation committee consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our private equity investments. Management also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Credit Investments. The majority of investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no observable market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of illiquid investments included in Apollo's credit investments also may include the market approach and the income approach, as previously described above. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

Table of Contents

On a quarterly basis, Apollo also utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our credit investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis.

Real Estate Investments. For the CMBS portfolio of Apollo's funds, the estimated fair value of the AAA-rated CMBS portfolio is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo also utilizes a valuation committee, consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to our real estate investments. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analysis.

The fair values of the investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds can be impacted by changes to the assumptions used in the underlying valuation models. For further discussion on the impact of changes to valuation assumptions refer to Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Sensitivity in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013. There have been no material changes to the underlying valuation models during the periods that our financial results are presented.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

U.S. GAAP guidance requires the disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

Except for the Company's debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement (as defined in note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements), Apollo's financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying value approximates fair value. See

Investments, at Fair Value above. While Apollo's valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Other financial instruments carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings. As disclosed in note 8 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company's long term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement is believed to have an estimated fair value of approximately \$770.4 million based on a yield analysis using available market data of comparable securities with similar terms and remaining maturities as of June 30, 2013. However, the carrying value that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition is the amount for which we expect to settle the long term debt obligation. The Company has determined that the long term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement would be categorized as a Level III liability in the fair-value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Valuation of Financial Instruments Held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that are traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the bid and ask prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions of similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligation is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the bid and ask prices. In the event that market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used. The valuation approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve given the loan's respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of its debt obligations.

Fair Value Option. Apollo has elected the fair value option for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo has applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by these entities that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. For the convertible note issued by HFA, Apollo has elected to separately present interest income from other changes in the fair value of the convertible note within the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Refer to notes 3 and 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further disclosure on the investment in HFA and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2013, the Company performed its annual impairment testing and determined there was no impairment of goodwill or indefinite life intangible assets at such time.

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits include salaries, bonuses and benefits, profit sharing expense, incentive fee compensation, and equity-based compensation.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits. Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are accrued over the related service period.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) Savings Plan whereby U.S.-based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Profit Sharing Expense. Profit sharing expense is primarily a result of agreements with our Contributing Partners and employees to compensate them based on the ownership interest they have in the general partners of the Apollo funds. Therefore, movements in the fair value of the underlying investments in the funds we manage and advise affect the profit sharing expense. As of June 30, 2013, our total private equity investments were approximately \$24.0 billion. The Contributing Partners and employees are allocated approximately 30% to 50% of the total carried interest income which is driven primarily by changes in fair value of the underlying fund's investments and is treated as compensation expense. Additionally, profit sharing expenses paid may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners to the extent not indemnified.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense.

Table of Contents

Profit sharing expense also includes expense resulting from profits interests issued to certain employees who are entitled to a share in earnings of and any appreciation of the value in a subsidiary of the Company during the term of their employment. Profit sharing expense related to these profits interests is recognized ratably over the requisite service period and thereafter will be recognized at the time the distributions are determined.

In June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement, which we refer to herein as the Incentive Pool to enable certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Incentive Fee Compensation. Certain employees are entitled to receive a discretionary portion of incentive fee income from certain of our credit funds, based on performance for the year. Incentive fee compensation expense is recognized on an accrual basis as the related carried interest income is earned. Incentive fee compensation expense may be subject to reversal during the interim period where there is a decline in the related carried interest income; however it is not subject to reversal once the carried interest income crystallizes.

Equity-Based Compensation. Equity-based compensation is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires that the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments is generally measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are recognized over the relevant service period. Further, as required under U.S. GAAP, the Company estimates forfeitures using industry comparables or historical trends for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Apollo's equity-based compensation awards consist of, or provide rights with respect to AOG Units, RSUs, share options, AAA RDUs, ARI restricted stock awards, ARI RSUs and AMTG RSUs. For more information regarding Apollo's equity-based compensation awards, see note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company's assumptions made to determine the fair value on grant date and the estimated forfeiture rate are embodied in the calculations of compensation expense.

Another significant part of our compensation expense is derived from amortization of the AOG Units subject to forfeiture by our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners. The estimated fair value was determined and recognized over the forfeiture period on a straight-line basis. As of June 30, 2013, there was no recognized compensation cost related to unvested AOG units.

Additionally, the value of the AOG Units have been reduced to reflect the transfer restrictions imposed on units issued to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners as well as the lack of rights to participate in future Apollo Global Management, LLC equity offerings. These awards have the following characteristics:

Awards granted to the Managing Partners (i) are not permitted to be sold to any parties outside of the Apollo Global Management, LLC control group and transfer restrictions lapse pro rata during the forfeiture period over 60 or 72 months, and (ii) allow the Managing Partners to initiate a change in control.

Awards granted to the Contributing Partners (i) are not permitted to be sold or transferred to any parties except to the Apollo Global Management, LLC control group and (ii) the transfer restriction period lapses over six years (which is longer than the forfeiture period which lapses ratably over 60 months).

As noted above, the AOG Units issued to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have different restrictions which affect the liquidity of and the discounts applied to each grant.

We utilized the Finnerty Model to calculate a discount on the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners. The Finnerty Model provides for a valuation discount reflecting the holding period restriction embedded in a restricted security preventing its sale over a certain period of time. Along with the Finnerty Model we applied adjustments to account for the existence of liquidity clauses specific to the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners and a minority interest consideration as compared to the units sold in the Strategic Investors Transaction in 2007. The combination of these adjustments yielded a fair value estimate of the AOG Units granted to the Contributing Partners.

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

The Finnerty Model proposes to estimate a discount for lack of marketability such as transfer restrictions by using an option pricing theory. This model has gained recognition through its ability to address the magnitude of the discount by considering the volatility of a company's stock price and the length of restriction. The concept underpinning the Finnerty Model is that a restricted security cannot be sold over a certain period of time. Further simplified, a restricted share of equity in a company can be viewed as having forfeited a put on the average price of the marketable equity over the restriction period (also known as an Asian Put Option). If we price an Asian Put Option and compare this value to that of the assumed fully marketable underlying security, we can effectively estimate the marketability discount.

-150-

Table of Contents

The assumptions utilized in the model were (i) length of holding period, (ii) volatility, (iii) dividend yield and (iv) risk free rate. Our assumptions were as follows:

- (i) We assumed a maximum two year holding period.
- (ii) We concluded based on industry peers, that our volatility annualized would be approximately 40%.
- (iii) We assumed no distributions.
- (iv) We assumed a 4.88% risk free rate based on U.S. Treasuries with a two year maturity.

For the Contributing Partners' grants, the Finnerty Model calculation, as detailed above, yielded a marketability discount of 25%. This marketability discount, along with adjustments to account for the existence of liquidity clauses and consideration of non-controlling interests as compared to units sold in the Strategic Investors Transaction in 2007, resulted in an overall discount for these grants of 29%.

We determined a 14% discount for the grants to the Managing Partners based on the equity value per share of \$24. We determined that the value of the grants to the Managing Partners was supported by the 2007 sale of an identical security to Credit Suisse Management, LLC at \$24 per share. Based on an equity value per share of \$24, the implied discount for the grants to the Managing Partners was 14%. The Contributing Partners yielded a larger overall discount of 29%, as they are unable to cause a change in control of Apollo. This results in a lower fair value estimate, as their units have fewer beneficial features than those of the Managing Partners.

Income Taxes

The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. Federal income tax purposes. As a result, except as described below, the Apollo Operating Group has not been subject to U.S. income taxes. However, these entities in some cases are subject to NYC UBT and non-U.S. entities, in some cases, are subject to non-U.S. corporate income taxes. In addition, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. Federal, state and local corporate income tax, and the Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

As significant judgment is required in determining tax expense and in evaluating tax positions, including evaluating uncertainties, we recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained assuming examination by tax authorities. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. If a tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained, then no benefits of the position are recognized. The Company's tax positions are reviewed and evaluated quarterly to determine whether or not we have uncertain tax positions that require financial statement recognition.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A list of recent accounting pronouncements that are relevant to Apollo and its industry is included in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we engage in off-balance sheet arrangements, including transactions in derivatives, guarantees, commitments, indemnifications and potential contingent repayment obligations. See note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion

of guarantees and contingent obligations.

-151-

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies**

As of June 30, 2013, the Company's material contractual obligations consisted of lease obligations, contractual commitments as part of the ongoing operations of the funds and debt obligations. Fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations are as follows:

	Remaining 2013	2014	2015	2016 (in thousands)	2017	Thereafter	Total
Operating lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 18,359	\$ 37,226	\$ 36,388	\$ 35,349	\$ 32,812	\$ 74,388	\$ 234,522
Other long-term obligations ⁽²⁾	5,405	3,768	1,826	1,276	1,080		13,355
AMH Credit Agreement ⁽³⁾	14,461	83,878	76,864	24,869	623,474		823,546
Obligations as of June 30, 2013	\$ 38,225	\$ 124,872	\$ 115,078	\$ 61,494	\$ 657,366	\$ 74,388	\$ 1,071,423

- (1) The Company has entered into sublease agreements and is expected to contractually receive approximately \$13.1 million over the remaining periods of 2013 and thereafter.
- (2) Includes (i) payments on management service agreements related to certain assets and (ii) payments with respect to certain consulting agreements entered into by the Company. Note that a significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds.
- (4) \$723.3 million (\$995.0 million portion less amount repurchased) of the outstanding AMH loan matures in January 2017 and the remaining \$5.0 million portion of the loan matures in April 2014. Amounts represent estimated interest payments until the loan matures using an estimated weighted average annual interest rate of 4.02%.

Note: Due to the fact that the timing of certain amounts to be paid cannot be determined or for other reasons discussed below, the following contractual commitments have not been presented in the table above.

- (i) As noted previously, we have entered into a tax receivable agreement with our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners which requires us to pay to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners 85% of any tax savings received by APO Corp. from our step-up in tax basis. The tax savings achieved may not ensure that we have sufficient cash available to pay this liability and we might be required to incur additional debt to satisfy this liability.
- (ii) Debt amounts related to the consolidated VIEs are not presented in the table above as the Company is not a guarantor of these non-recourse liabilities.

Table of Contents**Commitments**

Certain of our management companies and general partners are committed to contribute to the funds and affiliates. While a small percentage of these amounts are funded by us, the majority of these amounts have historically been funded by our affiliates, including certain of our employees and certain Apollo funds. The table below presents the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo and its affiliates, the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo and its affiliates, the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo only (excluding affiliates), and the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo only (excluding affiliates) for each private equity fund, each credit fund and each real estate fund as of June 30, 2013 as follows (\$ in millions):

Fund	Apollo and Affiliates Commitments	% of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) % of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo and Affiliates Remaining Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Remaining Commitments
Private Equity:						
Fund VIII	\$ 1,073.1	NM (11)	\$ 223.1	NM (11)	\$ 1,073.1	\$ 223.1
Fund VII	467.2	3.18	177.8	1.21	120.3	44.2
Fund VI	246.2	2.43	6.1	0.06	24.3	0.6
Fund V	100.0	2.67	0.5	0.01	6.3	
Fund IV	100.0	2.78	0.2	0.01	0.5	
Fund III	100.6	6.71			15.5	
ANRP	426.1	32.21	10.1	0.76	306.4	7.4
AION	127.7	46.09	27.7	10.00	127.7	27.7
APC	157.4	77.77	0.1	0.05	128.8	0.1
Credit:						
EPF I ⁽³⁾	349.5	20.74	23.0	1.37	52.1	4.9
EPF II ⁽³⁾	340.0	9.45	75.0	2.10	283.9	62.6
SOMA ⁽⁶⁾						
COF I	451.1	30.38	29.7	2.00	237.4	4.2
COF II	30.5	1.93	23.4	1.48	0.8	0.6
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. (COF III)	281.9	100.00	6.9	2.44	259.9	6.3
ACLF ⁽⁸⁾	23.9	2.43	23.9	2.43	17.3	17.3
Palmetto ⁽⁹⁾	18.0	1.19	18.0	1.19	7.6	7.6
AIE II ⁽³⁾	8.5	3.15	5.2	1.94	0.8	0.5
A-A European Senior Debt Fund, L.P.	50.0	100.00				
FCI	150.7	26.96			31.2	
FCI II	145.2	100.00			145.2	
Apollo/Palmetto Loan Portfolio, L.P.	300.0	100.00			85.0	
Apollo/Palmetto Short-Maturity Loan Portfolio, L.P.	200.0	100.00				
AESI ⁽³⁾	4.6	0.99	4.6	0.99	2.0	2.0
AEC	7.3	2.50	3.2	1.08	3.1	1.3
ACSP	15.0	2.44	15.0	2.44	8.2	8.2
Stone Tower Structured Credit Recover Master Fund I, Ltd.	0.9	0.66				
Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P.	2.0	0.99	2.0	0.99	0.6	0.6
Stone Tower Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund II, Ltd.	8.1	7.75				
Stone Tower Credit Solutions Master Fund, Ltd.	0.9	0.83			0.6	
Stone Tower Credit Strategies Master Fund, Ltd.	7.6	16.48				
Real Estate:						
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	621.4	78.32	13.2	1.66	317.9	2.3
AGRE Asia Pacific Fund I - BEA China Fund	0.5	1.03	0.5	1.03	0.4	0.4
AGRE Asia Pacific Fund I - SPN (TTRS) Co-Invest I	50.0	100.00			35.9	
CAI Strategic European Real Estate Ltd.	18.8	92.13			3.6	

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

CPI Capital Partners North America	7.6	1.27	2.1	0.35	0.6	0.2
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽³⁾	7.1	0.47			0.5	
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific	6.9	0.53	0.5	0.04	0.7	
London Prime Apartments Guernsey Holdings Limited (Guernsey) ⁽¹⁰⁾	17.2	7.80	0.5	0.23	9.3	0.3
Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P. ⁽¹⁰⁾	9.9	14.71	3.0	4.52	0.6	0.1
2012 CMBS I Fund, L.P.	88.2	100.00				
2012 CMBS II Fund, L.P.	93.5	100.00				
2011 A4 Fund, L.P.	234.7	100.00				
AGRE CMBS Fund, L.P.	418.8	100.00				
Other:						
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	26.3	0.87	26.3	0.87	23.8	23.8
Total	\$ 6,794.9		\$ 721.6		\$ 3,331.9	\$ 446.3

-153-

Table of Contents

- (1) As of June 30, 2013, Palmetto had commitments and remaining commitment amounts in Fund VII of \$110.0 million and \$27.4 million, respectively, ANRP of \$150.0 million and \$107.8 million, respectively, Apollo/Palmetto Loan Portfolio, L.P. of \$300.0 million and \$85.0 million, respectively, Apollo/Palmetto Short-Maturity Loan Portfolio, L.P. of \$200.0 million and \$0.0 million, respectively, AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. of \$300 million and \$196.3 million, respectively, EPF I of \$137.9 million and \$19.3 million, respectively, and EPF II of \$75.0 million and \$62.6 million, respectively.
 - (2) As of June 30, 2013, Apollo had an immaterial amount of remaining commitments in Fund IV and Fund V. Accordingly, presentation of such remaining commitments was not deemed meaningful for inclusion in the table above.
 - (3) Apollo's commitment in these funds is denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of 1.00 to \$1.30 as of June 30, 2013.
 - (4) Of the total commitment amount in EPF I, AAA Investments (Other), L.P., SOMA and Palmetto have approximately 54.5 million, 75.0 million and 106.0 million, respectively.
 - (5) Of the total remaining commitment amount in EPF I, AAA Investments (Other), L.P., SOMA and Palmetto have approximately 7.6 million, 10.5 million and 14.9 million, respectively.
 - (6) Apollo and affiliated investors must maintain an aggregate capital balance in an amount not less than 1% of total capital account balances of the partnership. As of June 30, 2013, Apollo and affiliated investors' capital balances exceeded the 1% requirement and therefore they are not required to fund a capital commitment.
 - (7) As of June 30, 2013, SOMA had commitments and remaining commitment amounts in COF I of \$250.0 million and \$202.0 million, respectively.
 - (8) As of June 30, 2013, the general partner of ACLF Co-Invest, a co-investment vehicle that invests alongside ACLF, had committed an immaterial amount to ACLF Co-Invest. Accordingly, presentation of such commitment was not deemed meaningful for inclusion in the table above.
 - (9) As of June 30, 2013, commitments in Palmetto also included commitments related to Apollo Palmetto Athene Partnership, L.P.
 - (10) Apollo's commitment in these investments is denominated in pound sterling and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.52 as of June 30, 2013.
 - (11) The final commitment amount has not been determined therefore the % of total fund commitments is not meaningful.
- As a limited partner, the general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of \$446.3 million and \$258.3 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made to its affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

On December 21, 2012, Athene Holding Ltd, entered into an agreement with Aviva plc to acquire Aviva USA Corporation, an Iowa corporation, which markets and sells a variety of fixed annuity and life insurance products in the U.S. through its wholly owned subsidiaries Aviva Life and Annuity Company, an Iowa-domiciled stock life insurance company, and Aviva Life & Annuity Company of New York, a New York-domiciled stock life insurance company. The acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions, including the approval of the acquisition of control of Aviva Life and Annuity Company by the Iowa Insurance Division and the approval of the acquisition of control of Aviva Life & Annuity Company of New York by the New York State Department of Financial Services.

On December 21, 2012, the Company agreed to provide up to \$100 million of capital support to Athene to the extent such support is necessary in connection with Athene's pending acquisition of Aviva plc's annuity and life insurance operations in the United States. As of June 30, 2013, no capital support had been provided by the Company to Athene in connection with this commitment.

On April 30, 2013, Athene entered into a definite agreement to sell Presidential Life Insurance Company - USA (PLIC USA) to Commonwealth Annuity and Life Insurance Co., a wholly owned subsidiary of Global Atlantic Financial Group and a Massachusetts-domiciled insurance and reinsurance company that is rated A-(stable) by AM Best, and to reinsure Aviva USA Corporation's life insurance business to PLIC USA. The sale is contingent upon the pending acquisition by Athene of Aviva USA Corporation.

The AMH Credit Agreement, which provides for a variable-rate term loan, will have future impacts on our cash uses. Borrowings under the AMH Credit Agreement originally accrued interest at a rate of (i) LIBOR loans (LIBOR plus 1.25%), or (ii) base rate loans (base rate plus 0.50%). The Company had hedged \$167 million of the variable-rate loan with fixed rate swaps to minimize our interest rate risk as of December 31, 2011 which expired in May 2012. The loan originally had a maturity date of April 2014.

Table of Contents

On December 20, 2010, Apollo amended the AMH Credit Agreement to extend the maturity date of \$995.0 million (including the \$90.9 million of fair value debt repurchased by the Company) of the term loan from April 20, 2014 to January 3, 2017 and modified certain other terms of the AMH Credit Agreement. Pursuant to this amendment, AMH or an affiliate was required to purchase from each lender that elected to extend the maturity date of its term loan a portion of such extended term loan equal to 20% thereof. In addition, AMH or an affiliate is required to repurchase at least \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of the term loan by December 31, 2014 and at least \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of the term loan (inclusive of the previously purchased \$50.0 million) by December 31, 2015 at a price equal to par plus accrued interest. The sweep leverage ratio was also extended to end at the new loan term maturity date. The interest rate for the highest applicable margin for the loan portion extended changed to LIBOR plus 4.25% and ABR plus 3.25%. On December 20, 2010, an affiliate of AMH that is a guarantor under the AMH Credit Agreement repurchased approximately \$180.8 million of the term loan in connection with the extension of the maturity date of such loan and thus the AMH Credit Agreement (excluding the portions held by AMH affiliates) had a remaining balance of \$728.3 million. The Company determined that the amendments to the AMH Credit Agreement resulted in a debt extinguishment which did not result in any gain or loss.

The interest rate on the \$723.3 million, net (\$995.0 million portion less amount repurchased by the Company) of the loan at June 30, 2013 was 3.99% and the interest rate on the remaining \$5.0 million portion of the loan at June 30, 2013 was 1.24%. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the AMH Credit Agreement is believed to be approximately \$770.4 million based on a yield analysis using available market data of comparable securities with similar terms and remaining maturities. The \$728.3 million carrying value of debt that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2013 is the amount for which the Company expects to settle the AMH Credit Agreement.

On June 30, 2008, the Company entered into a credit agreement with Fund VI, pursuant to which Fund VI advanced \$18.9 million of carried interest income to the limited partners of Apollo Advisors VI, L.P., who are also employees of the Company. The loan obligation accrues interest at an annual fixed rate of 3.45% and terminates on the earlier of June 30, 2017 or the termination of Fund VI. In March 2011, a right of offset for the indemnified portion of the loan obligation was established between the Company and Fund VI, therefore the loan was reduced in the amount of \$10.9 million, which is offset in carried interest receivable on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, there was no interest paid and \$0.1 million accrued interest on the outstanding loan obligation. As of June 30, 2013, the total outstanding loan aggregated \$9.4 million, including accrued interest of \$1.4 million which approximated fair value, of which approximately \$6.8 million was not subject to the indemnity discussed above and is a receivable from the Contributing Partners and certain employees.

In accordance with the Shareholders Agreement and the above credit agreement with Fund VI, we have indemnified the Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners (at varying percentages) for any carried interest income distributed from Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI that is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner. As of the three months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company had not recorded an obligation for any previously made distributions.

Table of Contents

Contingent Obligations Carried interest income in private equity and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that has been recognized by Apollo through June 30, 2013 and that would be reversed approximates \$4.0 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable. The table below indicates the potential future reversal of carried interest income:

	June 30, 2013
Private Equity Funds:	
Fund VII	\$ 1,792,954
Fund VI	1,107,522
Fund V	114,215
Fund IV	11,547
AAA/Other	108,162
Total Private Equity Funds	3,134,400
Credit Funds:	
U.S. Performing Credit	659,604
Structured Credit	36,382
European Credit Funds	52,393
Non-Performing Loans	94,276
Opportunistic Credit	57,169
Total Credit Funds	899,824
Real Estate Funds:	
CPI Funds	4,563
Total Real Estate Funds	4,563
Total	\$ 4,038,787

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company as general partner has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. The general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund. As discussed in note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

AGS, one of the Company's subsidiaries, provides underwriting commitments in connection with security offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds we manage. As of June 30, 2013, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability had an acquisition date fair value of \$117.7 million, which was determined based on the present value of

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The fair value of the contingent obligation was \$94.2 million and \$126.9 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

-156-

Table of Contents

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$13.1 million and \$14.1 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

In connection with the acquisition of CPI on November 12, 2010, Apollo had a contingent liability to Citigroup Inc. based on a specified percentage of future earnings from the CPI business. From the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of the contingent liability was \$1.2 million, which was determined based on discounted cash flows from the date of acquisition through December 31, 2012 using a discount rate of 7%. On March 28, 2013, Apollo satisfied the contingent liability in cash in the amount of approximately \$0.5 million, which equaled 25% of the net realized after tax profit from the closing date through December 31, 2012. The satisfaction of the liability resulted in the Company recognizing \$0.7 million of other income, net in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, for the six months ended June 30, 2013. No remaining contingency exists at June 30, 2013.

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations will be reflected in profit sharing expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

During the one year measurement period, any changes resulting from facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date will be reflected as a retrospective adjustment to the bargain purchase gain and the respective asset acquired or liability assumed.

The Company has determined that the contingent consideration obligations are categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment and estimation.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our role as investment manager and general partner for our funds and the sensitivity to movements in the fair value of their investments and resulting impact on carried interest income and management fee revenues. Our direct investments in the funds also expose us to market risk whereby movements in the fair values of the underlying investments will increase or decrease both net gains (losses) from investment activities and income (loss) from equity method investments. For a discussion of the impact of market risk factors on our financial instruments refer to Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Investments, at Fair Value.

The fair value of our financial assets and liabilities of our funds may fluctuate in response to changes in the value of investments, foreign exchange, commodities and interest rates. The net effect of these fair value changes impacts the gains and losses from investments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. However, the majority of these fair value changes are absorbed by the Non-Controlling Interests.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. Although there are more than approximately 1,000 limited partner investors in Apollo's active private equity, credit and real estate funds, no individual investor accounts for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo's active funds.

Risks are analyzed across funds from the bottom up and from the top down with a particular focus on asymmetric risk. We gather and analyze data, monitor investments and markets in detail, and constantly strive to better quantify, qualify and circumscribe relevant risks.

Each segment runs its own investment and risk management process subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy:

The investment process of our private equity funds involves a detailed analysis of potential acquisitions, and investment management teams assigned to monitor the strategic development, financing and capital deployment decisions of each portfolio investment.

Our credit funds continuously monitor a variety of markets for attractive trading opportunities, applying a number of traditional and customized risk management metrics to analyze risk related to specific assets or portfolios, as well as, fund-wide risks.

Impact on Management Fees Our management fees are based on one of the following:

capital commitments to an Apollo fund;

capital invested in an Apollo fund;

the gross, net or adjusted asset value of an Apollo fund, as defined; or

as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

Management fees could be impacted by changes in market risk factors and management could consider an investment permanently impaired as a result of (i) such market risk factors cause changes in invested capital or in market values to below cost, in the case of our private equity funds and certain credit funds, or (ii) such market risk factors causing changes in gross or net asset value, for the credit funds. The proportion of our management fees that are based on NAV is dependent on the number and types of our funds in existence and the current stage of each fund's life cycle.

Impact on Advisory and Transaction Fees We earn transaction fees relating to the negotiation of private equity, credit and real estate transactions and may obtain reimbursement for certain out-of-pocket expenses incurred. Subsequently, on a quarterly or annual basis, ongoing

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

advisory fees, and additional transaction fees in connection with additional purchases, dispositions, or follow-on transactions, may be earned. Management Fee Offsets and any broken deal costs are reflected as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates. Advisory and transaction fees will be impacted by changes in market risk factors to the extent that they limit our opportunities to engage in private equity, credit and real estate transactions or impair our ability to consummate such transactions. The impact of changes in market risk factors on advisory and transaction fees is not readily predicted or estimated.

-158-

Table of Contents

Impact on Carried Interest Income We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Our carried interest income will be impacted by changes in market risk factors. However, several major factors will influence the degree of impact:

the performance criteria for each individual fund in relation to how that fund's results of operations are impacted by changes in market risk factors;

whether such performance criteria are annual or over the life of the fund;

to the extent applicable, the previous performance of each fund in relation to its performance criteria; and

whether each fund's carried interest income is subject to contingent repayment.

As a result, the impact of changes in market risk factors on carried interest income will vary widely from fund to fund. The impact is heavily dependent on the prior and future performance of each fund, and therefore is not readily predicted or estimated.

Market Risk We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk generally represents the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues and expenses will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk is inherent in each of our investments and activities, including equity investments, loans, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, hedging instruments, credit default swaps, and derivatives. Just a few of the market conditions that may shift from time to time, thereby exposing us to market risk, include fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, equity prices, changes in the implied volatility of interest rates and price deterioration. For example, subsequent to the second quarter of 2007, debt capital markets around the world began to experience significant dislocation, severely limiting the availability of new credit to facilitate new traditional buyouts, and the markets remain volatile. Volatility in debt and equity markets can impact our pace of capital deployment, the timing of receipt of transaction fee revenues, and the timing of realizations. These market conditions could have an impact on the value of investments and our rates of return. Accordingly, depending on the instruments or activities impacted, market risks can have wide ranging, complex adverse affects on our results from operations and our overall financial condition. We monitor our market risk using certain strategies and methodologies which management evaluates periodically for appropriateness. We intend to continue to monitor this risk going forward and continue to monitor our exposure to all market factors.

Interest Rate Risk Interest rate risk represents exposure we have to instruments whose values vary with the change in interest rates. These instruments include, but are not limited to, loans, borrowings and derivative instruments. We may seek to mitigate risks associated with the exposures by taking offsetting positions in derivative contracts. Hedging instruments allow us to seek to mitigate risks by reducing the effect of movements in the level of interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, as well as, changes in interest rate volatility. Hedging instruments used to mitigate these risks may include related derivatives such as options, futures and swaps.

Credit Risk Certain of our funds are subject to certain inherent risks through their investments.

Certain of our entities invest substantially all of their excess cash in open-end money market funds and money market demand accounts, which are included in cash and cash equivalents. The money market funds invest primarily in government securities and other short-term, highly liquid instruments with a low risk of loss. We continually monitor the funds' performance in order to manage any risk associated with these investments.

Certain of our entities hold derivative instruments that contain an element of risk in the event that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of such agreements. We seek to minimize our risk exposure by limiting the counterparties with which we enter into contracts to banks and investment banks who meet established credit and capital guidelines. We do not expect any counterparty to default on its obligations and therefore do not expect to incur any loss due to counterparty default.

Foreign Exchange Risk Foreign exchange risk represents exposures we have to changes in the values of current holdings and future cash flows denominated in other currencies and investments in non-U.S. companies. The types of investments exposed to this risk include investments in foreign subsidiaries, foreign currency-denominated loans, foreign currency-denominated transactions, and various foreign exchange derivative

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

instruments whose values fluctuate with changes in currency exchange rates or foreign interest rates. Instruments used to mitigate this risk are foreign exchange options, currency swaps, futures and forwards. These instruments may be used to help insulate us against losses that may arise due to volatile movements in foreign exchange rates and/or interest rates.

Non-U.S. Operations We conduct business throughout the world and are continuing to expand into foreign markets. We currently have offices outside the U.S. in London, Frankfurt, Luxembourg, Mumbai, Hong Kong and Singapore, and have been strategically growing our international presence. Our investments and revenues are primarily derived from our

-159-

Table of Contents

U.S. operations. With respect to our non-U.S. operations, we are subject to risk of loss from currency fluctuations, social instability, changes in governmental policies or policies of central banks, expropriation, nationalization, unfavorable political and diplomatic developments and changes in legislation relating to non-U.S. ownership. We also invest in the securities of corporations which are located in non-U.S. jurisdictions. As we continue to expand globally, we will continue to focus on monitoring and managing these risk factors as they relate to specific non-U.S. investments.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of ensuring that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act) occurred during our most recent quarter, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On July 16, 2008, Apollo was joined as a defendant in a pre-existing purported class action pending in Massachusetts federal court against, among other defendants, numerous private equity firms. The suit alleges that beginning in mid-2003, Apollo and the other private equity firm defendants violated the U.S. antitrust laws by forming bidding clubs or consortia that, among other things, rigged the bidding for control of various public corporations, restricted the supply of private equity financing, fixed the prices for target companies at artificially low levels, and allocated amongst themselves an alleged market for private equity services in leveraged buyouts. The suit seeks class action certification, declaratory and injunctive relief, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On August 27, 2008, Apollo and its co-defendants moved to dismiss plaintiffs' complaint and on November 20, 2008, the Court granted the company's motion. The Court also dismissed two other defendants, Permira and Merrill Lynch. On September 17, 2010, the plaintiffs filed a motion to amend the complaint by adding an additional eight transactions and adding Apollo as a defendant. On October 6, 2010, the Court granted plaintiffs' motion to file that amended complaint. Plaintiffs fourth amended complaint, filed on October 7, 2010, adds Apollo as a defendant. Apollo joined in the other defendants' October 21, 2010 motion to dismiss the third claim for relief and all claims by the PanAmSat Damages Sub-class in the Fourth Amended Complaint, which motion was granted on January 13, 2011. On November 4, 2010, Apollo moved to dismiss, arguing that the claims against Apollo are time-barred and that the allegations against Apollo are insufficient to state an antitrust conspiracy claim. On February 17, 2011, the Court denied Apollo's motion to dismiss, ruling that Apollo should raise the statute of limitations issues on summary judgment after discovery is completed. Apollo filed its answer to the Fourth Amended Complaint on March 21, 2011. On July 11, 2011, the plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to file a Fifth Amended Complaint, adding ten additional transactions and expanding the scope of the class seeking relief. On September 7, 2011, the Court gave the plaintiffs permission to take limited discovery on the ten additional transactions. By Court order, the parties concluded discovery on May 21, 2012. The plaintiffs then filed a Fifth Amended Complaint under seal on June 14, 2012. One week later, the defendants moved to dismiss portions of the Fifth Amended

Table of Contents

Complaint. On July 18, 2012, the Court granted the defendants' motion in part and denied it in part. On July 21, 2012, all defendants filed motions for summary judgment. While those motions were pending, the New York Times moved to intervene and unseal the Fifth Amended Complaint. Following briefing on the motion to intervene, the Court publicly filed a version of the Fifth Amended Complaint containing four redactions. The Court heard oral argument on the defendants' motions for summary judgment in December 2012 and issued a ruling on March 13, 2013. In its ruling, the Court found that the plaintiffs failed to raise a genuine dispute regarding the overarching conspiracy as defined in the Fifth Amended Complaint. The Court did determine, however, that the record contained some evidence from which a narrower conspiracy could be inferred. The Court therefore denied the defendants' motions for summary judgment without prejudice, granting them permission to file renewed motions for summary judgment on the narrower, Court-defined conspiracy. Apollo filed its renewed summary judgment motion on April 16, 2013, arguing that there is no evidence that Apollo agreed to or participated in the narrower, Court-defined conspiracy. The plaintiffs filed their opposition on May 16, 2013, and Apollo filed its reply on June 5, 2013, arguing that the plaintiffs failed to identify any evidence supporting their claim against Apollo in their opposition to Apollo's renewed motion. On July 18, 2013, the Court granted Apollo's renewed motion for summary judgment, dismissing Apollo from the action. The Court found evidence supporting an inference of conspiracy as to eight of the ten defendants, but ruled that the evidence did not support an inference that Apollo participated in any conspiracy.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned *Kelm v. Chase Bank* (No. 12-cv-332) and *Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc.* (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. ("Trilegiant"), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC ("Affinion"), and AGM, which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 69% of Affinion's common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion's board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The Court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the Court appointed lead plaintiffs' counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint ("CAC"), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12-cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On June 13, 2013, the Court extended all defendants' deadlines to respond to the Frank complaint until 21 days after a ruling on the motion to transfer and consolidate. AGM believes that plaintiffs' claims against it in these cases are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims against AGM are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo's funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. CalPERS, one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The Report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC ("Arvco") (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS's purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and in fact alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. Apollo believes that it has handled its use of placement agents in an appropriate manner. Finally, on December 29, 2011, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Nevada Bankruptcy Court") approved an application made by Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the "Arvco Debtors") in their consolidated bankruptcy proceedings to hire special litigation counsel to pursue certain claims on behalf of the bankruptcy estates of the

Table of Contents

Arvco Debtors, including potential claims against Apollo (a) for fees that Apollo purportedly owes the Arvco Debtors for placement agent services, and (b) for indemnification of legal fees and expenses arising out of the Arvco Debtors' defense of the California Attorney General action described above. On April 15, 2013, the Arvco Debtors initiated an adversary proceeding against Apollo (and several associated entities) in the Nevada Bankruptcy Court. In their complaint, the Arvco Debtors allege that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seek to recover purported fees they claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and the common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On July 9, 2012, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York Attorney General's Office regarding Apollo's fee waiver program. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the New York Attorney General into the tax implications of the fee waiver program implemented by numerous private equity and hedge funds. Under the fee waiver program, individual fund managers for Apollo-managed funds may elect to prospectively waive their management fees. Program participants receive an interest in the future profits, if any, earned on the invested amounts that represent waived fees. They receive such profits from time to time in the ordinary course when distributions are made generally, as provided for in the applicable fund governing documents and waiver agreements. Four Apollo funds have implemented the program. Apollo believes its fee waiver program complies with all applicable laws, and is cooperating with the investigation.

On May 19, 2013, Apollo was served with a subpoena by the New York State Department of Financial Services (the "DFS") regarding its investments in any annuity or life businesses, or annuity contracts or life policies. The subpoena is part of what we understand to be an industry-wide investigation by the DFS into investments by financial institutions in annuity and life insurance companies. Apollo is cooperating with the investigation.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, we are of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which we are a party at this time will not have a material effect on our financial statements. Legal actions material to us could, however, arise in the future.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of our potential risks and uncertainties, see the information under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2013, which is accessible on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov. There have been no material changes to the risk factors for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

The risks described in our Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities

On April 9, 2013, May 9, 2013 and May 14, 2013, we issued 150,000, 626,901 and 30,874 Class A shares, net of taxes, to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of \$3,909,000, \$16,261,812 and \$778,025, respectively. The issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act in accordance with Section 4(2) and Rule 506 thereof, as transactions by the issuer not involving a public offering. We determined that the purchaser of Class A shares in the transactions, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., was an accredited investor.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

-162-

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit	
Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Certificate of Formation of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.1	Specimen Certificate evidencing the Registrant's Class A shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.1	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of AGM Management, LLC dated as of July 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.2	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.3	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.4	Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.5	Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.6	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, Goldman Sachs & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.7	Investor Rights Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AGM Management, LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.8	Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.9	Agreement Among Principals, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan, Joshua J. Harris, Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P. and BRH Holdings, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

Table of Contents

- 10.10 Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.11 Amended and Restated Exchange Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and the Apollo Principal Holders (as defined therein) from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.12 Amended and Restated Tax Receivable Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, by and among APO Corp., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and each Holder defined therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.13 Credit Agreement dated as of April 20, 2007 among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as borrower, Apollo Management, L.P., Apollo Capital Management, L.P., Apollo International Management, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P. and AAA Holdings, L.P., as guarantors, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.14 Employment Agreement with Leon D. Black (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.15 Employment Agreement with Marc J. Rowan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.16 Employment Agreement with Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.17 Employment Agreement with Barry Giarraputo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.18 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Joseph F. Azrack (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.19 Employment Agreement with Henry Silverman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.2 Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.21 Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

Table of Contents

10.22	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.23	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.24	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.25	Fourth Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. dated as of October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.26	Settlement Agreement, dated December 14, 2008, by and among Huntsman Corporation, Jon M. Huntsman, Peter R. Huntsman, Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Hexion LLC, Nimbus Merger Sub, Inc., Craig O. Morrison, Leon Black, Joshua J. Harris and Apollo Global Management, LLC and certain of its affiliates (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.27	First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of August 18, 2009, to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.28	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.29	Employment Agreement with James Zelter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.3	Roll-Up Agreement with James Zelter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.31	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Plan Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.32	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Bonus Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.33	Form of Lock-up Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.34	Apollo Management Companies AAA Unit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.35	Employment Agreement with Marc Spilker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

Table of Contents

- 10.36 First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of April 14, 2010, to the Tax Receivable Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.37 Employment Agreement with Gene Donnelly (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.38 First Amendment, dated as of May 16, 2007, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2007, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as borrower, the lenders party thereto from time to time, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the other parties party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.39 Second Amendment, dated as of December 20, 2010, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2007, as amended by the First Amendment thereto dated as of May 16, 2007, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as borrower, the lenders party thereto from time to time JPMorgan Chase Bank as administrative agent and the other parties party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.4 Non-Qualified Share Option Agreement pursuant to the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan with Marc Spilker dated December 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.41 Non-Qualified Share Option Agreement pursuant to the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan with Henry Silverman dated January 21, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
- 10.42 Form of Independent Director Engagement Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.43 Separation Agreement with Henry Silverman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.44 Separation Agreement with Eugene Donnelly, dated July 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.45 Employment Agreement with Martin Kelly, dated July 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.46 Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AMH Holdings, L.P., dated October 30, 2012. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
- 10.47 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2013, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AGM Management, LLC, the selling shareholders signatory thereto and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as representatives of the several underwriters named in Schedule I thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 14, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

Table of Contents

10.48	Amendment to the Underwriting Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2013, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AGM Management, LLC, the selling shareholders signatory thereto and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as representatives of the several underwriters named in Schedule I to the Underwriting Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 14, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apollo Global Management, LLC
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2013

By: /s/ Martin Kelly
Name: Martin Kelly
Title: Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer and
authorized signatory)

-168-