

Grand Canyon Education, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 26, 2018
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Grand Canyon Education, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary material.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

3300 W. Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85017

(602) 639-7500

April 26, 2018

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Grand Canyon Education, Inc. (the Company) to be held at Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center on the campus of Grand Canyon University at 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Arizona time, on Wednesday, June 13, 2018.

The notice of annual meeting and the proxy statement that follow describe the matters to come before the Annual Meeting. Each holder of record of shares of the Company s common stock (Nasdaq GM: LOPE) at the close of business on April 19, 2018 is entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, and any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. Shares of our common stock can be voted at the Annual Meeting only if the holder is present in person or by valid proxy.

Our Annual Meeting materials are available over the Internet. We believe that this delivery process expedites stockholders receipt of proxy materials as well as lowers the costs and reduces the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. All stockholders as of the record date were mailed a Notice of Internet Availability (the Notice) with instructions on how to access our Annual Meeting materials online and how to request a paper copy of the materials by mail. The Notice also includes instructions on how to vote online or by telephone. Internet voting must be completed before midnight, Arizona time, prior to the meeting.

We hope that you will be able to attend the Annual Meeting in person and we look forward to seeing you.

Sincerely,

Brian E. Mueller

Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

This proxy statement is dated April 26, 2018, and is first being sent or made available to stockholders on or about May 1, 2018.

Table of Contents

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

to be held on June 13, 2018

- Date and Time:** Wednesday, June 13, 2018, at 9:00 a.m., Arizona time
- Place:** Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center on the campus of Grand Canyon University at 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017.
- Items of Business:** At the Annual Meeting, holders of our common stock will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals, all of which are discussed in greater detail in the accompanying proxy statement:
1. To elect a Board of Directors of five directors, each to serve until the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal;
 2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the enclosed Proxy Statement;
 3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018; and
 4. To transact such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.
- Record Date:** Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 19, 2018, the record date for the Annual Meeting, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.
- Delivery of Proxy Materials:** Beginning on May 1, 2018, we began mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our stockholders rather than a full paper set of the proxy materials. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials contains instructions on how to access our proxy materials over the Internet, as well as instructions on how stockholders may obtain a paper copy of our proxy materials.
- Internet Availability of Proxy Materials:** **Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on June 13, 2018.** Our Proxy Statement is attached. Financial and other information concerning Grand Canyon Education, Inc. is contained in our Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. A complete set of proxy materials relating to our Annual Meeting is available on the Internet. These materials, consisting of the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card and Annual Report to Stockholders, are available and may be viewed at www.edocumentview.com/LOPE.
- Voting:** To make it easier for you to vote, Internet and telephone voting are available. The instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy materials, the proxy card describe how to use these convenient services.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Phoenix, Arizona
April 26, 2018

/s/ BRIAN E. MUELLER
Brian E. Mueller
Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

Table of Contents

<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	5
<u>Notice of Internet Availability</u>	5
<u>Record Date and Quorum</u>	5
<u>Submission of Proxies: Revocation</u>	5
<u>Deadlines for Stockholder Proposals</u>	6
<u>Quorum</u>	6
<u>Vote Required</u>	7
<u>Adjournment or Postponement of Meeting</u>	7
<u>Expenses of Soliciting Proxies</u>	7
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS</u>	8
<u>Corporate Governance Philosophy</u>	8
<u>Board of Directors Meetings and Attendance</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	8
<u>Committees of Our Board of Directors</u>	9
<u>Code of Conduct</u>	10
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	10
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	10
<u>Annual Elections and Majority Voting</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	12
<u>Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors</u>	13
<u>Stock Ownership Policy</u>	13
<u>Anti-Hedging/Anti-Pledging Policy</u>	14
<u>Clawback Policy</u>	14
<u>Compensation of Directors</u>	14
<u>2017 Director Compensation</u>	15
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	16
<u>Composition of our Board of Directors</u>	16
<u>Directors and Director Nominees</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 2 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u>	19
<u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	20
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	22
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
<u>Compensation of Named Executive Officers</u>	32
<u>Executive Employment Agreements</u>	35
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	38
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	38

<u>PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	39
<u>Fees</u>	39
<u>Approval of Independent Registered Public Accounting firm Services and Fees</u>	40
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	40
<u>Primary Responsibilities of the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee Activities in 2017</u>	40
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	40
<u>BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK</u>	42
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</u>	43

Table of Contents

<u>Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions</u>	43
<u>Certain Transactions</u>	43
<u>SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	43
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS</u>	44
<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	44

Table of Contents

Proxy Statement Summary

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in the Proxy Statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire Proxy Statement before voting. For more complete information regarding the Company's 2017 performance, please review the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

GENERAL

INFORMATION

Date and Time: Wednesday, June 13, 2018

9:00 a.m., Arizona time

Record Date: April 19, 2018

Place: Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center on the campus of Grand Canyon University

3300 W. Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85017

Voting: The accompanying proxy statement describes important issues affecting Grand Canyon Education, Inc. If you are a stockholder of record as of the record date, you have the right to submit your proxy through the Internet, by telephone or by mail. Please help us save time and administrative costs by submitting your proxy through the Internet or by telephone. Each method is generally available 24 hours a day and will ensure that your voting instructions are confirmed and posted immediately. Stockholders of record as of April 19, 2018 may cast their votes in any of the following ways:

	Internet	Phone	Mail	In Person
V	i	s	i	t
	Call 1-800-652-VOTE	Mark, sign and date		If you plan to attend the

Edgar Filing: Grand Canyon Education, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

www.investorvote.com/LOPE, (8683), 24 hours a day, your proxy card. Return meeting in person, you
24 hours a day, seven days a week, it in the enclosed will need to bring a
week, through 11:00 p.m. (PT) through 11:00 p.m. (PT) postage-paid envelope picture ID and proof of
on June 12, 2018. Please have available your notice card. ownership of Grand
available your notice card. have available your notice Canyon Education, Inc.
Follow the simple instructions card. Follow the simple common stock as of the
provided. instructions provided. record date.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY OF MATTERS TO BE VOTED UPON AND BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS**

Stockholders are being asked to vote on the following matters at the Annual Meeting:

<i>Proposal</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Board Recommendation</i>	<i>Page Reference for Further Information:</i>
1 <i>To elect five directors to our Board of Directors.</i>	The Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that the five director nominees possess the necessary qualifications, attributes, skills and experiences to provide quality advice and counsel to the Company's management and effectively oversee the business and long-term interests of our stockholders.	FOR each director nominee	
2 <i>To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers.</i>	The Company seeks the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of its named executive officers as described in the <i>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</i> section and the related tables.	FOR	
3 <i>To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.</i>	The Audit Committee and the Board believe that the retention of KPMG LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders and we are asking our stockholders to ratify the Audit Committee's selection of KPMG LLP to serve in that capacity.	FOR	

DIRECTOR NOMINEES

Edgar Filing: Grand Canyon Education, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

The following provides summary information regarding our director nominees:

Name	Age	Director Since	Primary Occupation	Committee Memberships ⁽¹⁾			Other Public Company Boards
				A	C	NCG	
Brian E. Mueller*	64	2009	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, Grand Canyon Education, Inc.				None
Sara R. Dial ^{+≠}	54	2013	President, Grand Canyon University President and Chief Executive Officer, Sara Dial & Associates		Ö	Ö*	1
Jack A. Henry ⁺	74	2008	Managing Director, Sierra Blanca Ventures, LLC	Ö*	Ö		1
Kevin F. Warren ⁺	54	2012	Chief Operating Officer, Minnesota Vikings	Ö	Ö*	Ö	0
David J. Johnson ⁺	71	2008	Senior business executive (retired)	Ö		Ö	0

* Chair
+ Independent director
≠ Lead independent director

(1) A= Audit Committee; C=Compensation Committee; and NCG=Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Table of Contents

2017 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

We believe that effective corporate governance is critical to our ability to create long term value for our stockholders. The following highlights certain key aspects of our corporate governance framework:

- Ö ***We Have An Independent Board.*** Four of our five director nominees are independent and we have fully independent Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.
- Ö ***We Have Majority Voting for Directors.*** We have adopted majority voting for directors pursuant to which nominees who fail to achieve an affirmative majority of votes cast must submit their resignation.
- Ö ***We Hold Annual Elections for our Directors.*** We do not have a staggered board.
- Ö ***We Measure Board Performance.*** We conduct regular evaluations of our Board and Committees.
- Ö ***Our Independent Directors Meet Without Management.*** Our independent directors meet regularly in executive sessions without management present.
- Ö ***We Have a Stock Ownership Policy.*** We require both our named executive officers and our directors to maintain a meaningful ownership stake at levels specified in our stock ownership policy.
- Ö ***Our Key Committees are Independent.*** We have fully independent Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees.
- Ö ***We Do Not Have a Poison Pill.*** We do not maintain a stockholder rights plan.

2017 COMPENSATION PRACTICES HIGHLIGHTS

The following summarizes key aspects of our compensation policies and programs:

What We Do:

- Ö ***We Pay for Performance:*** A significant portion of our cash compensation is based on achievement of key financial metrics that are disclosed to our stockholders.
- Ö ***We Do Not Guarantee Salary Increases or Bonuses:*** We have raised the base salaries of our named executive officers only twice since 2013 and bonuses are strictly

What We Don't Do:

- c ***We Do Not Have Tax Gross-Ups:*** We do not provide tax gross-ups on any severance, change-in-control or other payments.
- c ***We Do Not Permit Hedging, Short Sales, or Pledging.*** Under our insider trading policy, our officers and directors are prohibited from hedging, effecting

performance based.

short sales of, and (except in extremely limited circumstances) pledging our stock.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>Ö <i>We Balance Our Incentive Compensation:</i> Our incentive compensation programs provide a balance of short-term cash incentives that are based on achievement of annual financial metrics and time-based equity incentives that vest over five years.</p> | c <i>We Do Not Provide Excessive Perquisites:</i> Our named executive officers receive limited perquisites and benefits. |
| <p>Ö <i>We Can Claw Back Compensation:</i> We have the ability to recoup incentive compensation if the Company's financial results are restated or materially misstated due in whole or in part to misconduct by one or more of our named executive officers.</p> | c <i>We Do Not Automatically Increase Salaries or Bonuses:</i> We do not guarantee annual salary increases or bonuses and none of our executive employment agreements contain such provisions. |
| <p>Ö <i>We Retain an Independent Compensation Consultant:</i> Our Compensation Committee engages an independent consultant to provide guidance on peer group composition and compensation levels.</p> | |

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers

Please see the *General Information* section for important information about the proxy materials, voting, the annual meeting, Company documents, communications and the deadlines to submit stockholder proposals and director nominees for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Additional questions may be directed to our General Counsel, Grand Canyon Education, Inc., 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017.

Learn More About Our Company

You can learn more about the Company, view our governance materials and much more by visiting our website, www.gcu.edu.

Table of Contents

Grand Canyon Education, Inc.

3300 West Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85017

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

The enclosed proxy is being solicited by our Board of Directors for use in connection with the Annual Meeting to be held on Wednesday, June 13, 2018, at Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center on the campus of Grand Canyon University at 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Arizona time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Notice of Internet Availability

In accordance with the electronic delivery rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Company is permitted to furnish proxy materials to its stockholders on the Internet, in lieu of mailing a printed copy of proxy materials to each stockholder of record. You will not receive a printed copy of proxy materials unless you request a printed copy. The Notice, which was first mailed to our stockholders on or about May 1, 2018, instructs you as to how you may access and review on the Internet all of the important information contained in the proxy materials. The Notice also instructs you as to how you may vote your proxy. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of the Company s proxy materials and annual report, you must follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice. Alternatively, you may download or print these materials, or any portion thereof, from any computer with Internet access and a printer. The Company believes this process provides its stockholders the information they need in a more timely manner, while reducing the environmental impact and lowering the costs of printing and delivering the proxy materials. To access the Company s proxy statement and annual report electronically, please visit www.edocumentview.com/LOPE or the Company s Investor Relations website at www.gcu.edu.

Record Date and Quorum

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 19, 2018, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. At the close of business on the record date, we had approximately 48,220,519 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote, with each such outstanding share entitled to one vote per share on each matter to be voted upon by stockholders. A majority of the shares outstanding on the record date, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting.

Submission of Proxies; Revocation

All valid proxies received prior to the Annual Meeting will be exercised. All shares represented by a proxy will be voted, and where a proxy specifies a stockholder's choice with respect to any matter to be acted upon, the shares will be voted in accordance with that specification. If no choice is indicated on the proxy, the shares will be voted in favor of the proposal. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised by submitting to our Secretary a written notice of revocation, submitting a properly executed proxy bearing a later date, voting by telephone or via the Internet at a later time (if initially able to vote in that manner) so long as such vote or voting direction is received by the applicable date and time set forth above for stockholders of record, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. If you hold your shares through a bank, broker, trustee or nominee and you have instructed the bank, broker, trustee or nominee to vote your shares, you must follow the directions received from your bank, broker, trustee or nominee to change those instructions.

Table of Contents**Deadlines for Stockholder Proposals**

Stockholder proposals may be included in our proxy materials for an annual meeting so long as they are provided to us on a timely basis and satisfy certain other conditions established by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including specifically under Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). To be timely, a proposal to be included in our proxy statement must be received at our principal executive offices, addressed to our Secretary, not less than 120 calendar days before the first anniversary of the date that our proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. Accordingly, for a stockholder proposal to be included in our proxy materials for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the proposal must be received at our principal executive offices, addressed to our Secretary, not later than the close of business on January 1, 2019. Subject to certain exceptions, stockholder business that is not intended for inclusion in our proxy materials may be brought before an annual meeting so long as we receive notice of the proposal as specified by, and subject to the conditions set forth in, our bylaws, addressed to our Secretary at our principal executive offices, not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day, nor later than the close of business on the 90th day, prior to the first anniversary of the date of the preceding year's annual meeting as first specified in the Company's notice of meeting (without regard to any postponements or adjournments of such meeting after such notice was first sent), except that if no annual meeting was held in the previous year or the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days earlier or later than such anniversary date, notice by the stockholders to be timely must be received not later than the close of business on the later of 90th day prior to the annual meeting or the 10th day following the date on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made. For our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proper notice of business that is not intended for inclusion in our proxy statement must be received not earlier than the close of business on February 13, 2019, nor later than the close of business on March 15, 2019.

A stockholder's notice to our Secretary must set forth as to each matter the stockholder proposes to bring before the meeting (i) a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting and the text of the proposal or business, including the text of any resolutions proposed for consideration and, in the event that such business includes a proposal to amend the Company's bylaws, the language of the proposed amendment, (ii) the name and address, as they appear on the Company's books, of the stockholder proposing such business and the names and addresses of the beneficial owners, if any, on whose behalf the business is being brought, (iii) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of stock of the Company entitled to vote at the meeting on the date of such notice and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose the business specified in the notice, (iv) any material interest of the stockholder and any such other beneficial owner in such business, and (v) the following information regarding the ownership interests of the stockholder or any such other beneficial owner, which shall be supplemented in writing by the stockholder not later than ten (10) days after the record date for voting at the meeting to disclose such interests as of such record date: (A) the class and number of shares of the Company that are owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder and any such other beneficial owner; (B) any derivative instrument (which is defined as any option, warrant, convertible security, stock appreciation right, or similar right with an exercise or conversion privilege or a settlement payment or mechanism at a price related to any class or series of shares of the Company or with a value derived in whole or in part from the value of any class or series of shares of the Company, whether or not such instrument or right shall be subject to settlement in the underlying class or series of capital stock of the Company or otherwise directly or indirectly owned beneficially by such stockholder and any other direct or indirect opportunity to profit or share in any profit derived from any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company); (C) any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship pursuant to which such stockholder has a right to vote any shares of any security of the Company; (D) any short interest in any security of the Company (meaning a person shall be deemed to have a short interest in a security if such person, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship or otherwise, has the opportunity to profit or share in any profit derived from any decrease in the value of the subject security); (E) any rights to dividends on the shares of the Company owned beneficially by such stockholder that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of the Company; (F) any

proportionate interest in shares of the Company or derivative instruments held, directly or indirectly, by a general or limited partnership in which such stockholder is a general partner or, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns an interest in a general partner; and (G) any performance-related fees (other than an asset-based fee) to which such stockholder is entitled based on any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company or derivative instruments, if any, as of the date of such notice, including, without limitation, any such interests held by members of such stockholder's immediate family sharing the same household.

Quorum

The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding on the record date will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are included in determining whether a quorum is present. Abstentions include shares present in person but not voting and shares represented by proxy but with respect to which the holder has abstained. Broker non-votes occur when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power on that item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Table of Contents

Vote Required

If you hold your shares in street name, and you do not give your bank, broker or other holder of record specific voting instructions for your shares, your record holder can vote your shares on routine matters, which include only the proposal to ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal No. 3). However, your record holder cannot vote your shares without your specific instructions on the election of directors (Proposal No. 1), or on matters related to executive compensation, including the advisory vote described below on the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal No. 2). If you hold your shares in street name, please refer to the information forwarded by your bank, broker or other holder of record for procedures on revoking or changing your proxy. In the absence of instructions, shares subject to such broker non-votes will not be counted as voted or as present or represented on any of the proposals offered at the Annual Meeting other than ratification of our auditors and so will have no effect on the vote. **We encourage you to provide instructions to your bank, broker or other holder of record regarding the voting of your shares.** Our stockholders have no dissenter's or appraisal rights in connection with any of the proposals described herein.

The vote required to approve each of the proposals presented in this Proxy Statement is set forth below:

Election of Directors (Proposal No. 1). The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to a nominee is required for the election to the Board of Directors of each of the nominees for director. For this purpose, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast for a nominee exceeds the number of votes cast against that nominee. Stockholders do not have the right to cumulate their votes in the election of directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the election because abstentions and broker non-votes are not considered to be votes cast.

Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal No. 2). Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers requires the affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal, while abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Although this vote is advisory and is not binding on our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will consider the voting results, along with other relevant factors, in connection with their ongoing evaluation of our compensation program.

Ratification of the appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal No. 3). Approval of the proposal to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 requires the affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. Brokers have discretion to vote on the ratification of our independent auditors and, as such, no votes on this proposal will be considered broker non-votes. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal.

Adjournment or Postponement of Meeting

The Annual Meeting may be adjourned or postponed to any other time and to any other place at which a meeting of stockholders may be held by the chairman of the Annual Meeting or, in the absence of such person, by any officer entitled to preside at or to act as Secretary of the Annual Meeting, or by the holders of a majority of the shares of stock present or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote, although less than a quorum.

Expenses of Soliciting Proxies

We will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to solicitation by the use of mail or via the Internet, certain directors, officers and regular employees may solicit proxies by telephone or personal interview. None of such persons will receive any additional compensation for their services.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Corporate Governance Philosophy

The business affairs of the Company are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors in accordance with the Delaware General Corporation Law, as implemented by the Company's certificate of incorporation and bylaws. The role of the Board of Directors is to effectively govern the affairs of the Company for the benefit of its stockholders and other constituencies. The Board of Directors strives to ensure the success and continuity of business of the Company through the selection of qualified management. It is also responsible for ensuring that the Company's activities are conducted in a responsible and ethical manner. The Company is committed to having sound corporate governance principles.

Board of Directors Meetings and Attendance

During our 2017 fiscal year, our Board of Directors held nine meetings and each of our directors attended 100% of such meetings. We do not have a formal policy regarding attendance of our directors at annual meetings of our stockholders, but we do encourage each of our directors to attend. All of our directors attended our 2017 annual meeting in person or telephonically.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors periodically reviews the independence of each director. During these reviews, our Board of Directors considers transactions and relationships between each director (and his or her immediate family and affiliates) and the Company and management to determine whether any such transactions or relationships are inconsistent with a determination that the director was independent. Our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that each director other than Brian E. Mueller, who serves as our Chief Executive Officer, is independent, as defined by the Marketplace Rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. Under the Marketplace Rules, a director can be independent only if the director does not trigger a categorical bar to independence and our Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the director does not have a relationship which, in the opinion of our Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment by the director in carrying out the responsibilities of a director.

Board Leadership Structure

The Company's governance framework provides the Board with flexibility to select the appropriate Board leadership structure for the Company. In making leadership structure determinations, the Board considers many factors, including the specific needs of the business and what is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders. Having the flexibility to select the appropriate structure based on the specific needs of the business is critical, and it is part of the judgment the Board believes it should exercise. The Board understands that Board leadership structure is an important topic for many stockholders, and the Board takes stockholder feedback into account when making determinations around Board leadership structure.

Our Board leadership structure comprises a combined Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, a lead independent director, Board committees led entirely by independent directors and active engagement by all directors. In selecting Mr. Mueller to serve as Chairman, the Board determined that having Mr. Mueller serve in the combined role provides certain synergies and efficiencies that can serve to enhance the functioning of the Board and serve the business and stockholders well over time. The Company operates in a complex business and regulatory environment, which requires a chief executive officer with deep knowledge of the business and the industry within which we

operate. Because the Chief Executive Officer is the Board member closest to our complex business, he is best able to identify many of the business issues that need to be on the Board agenda, and, as Chairman of the Board, he can focus directors' attention on the most critical business matters. Further, the Board believes that, at this time, a combined Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer will facilitate timely and unfiltered communication with the Board on critical business and regulatory issues. The Board also believes that there are benefits in having the same person represent both the Company and the Board with regulators, stockholders and other stakeholders.

Importantly, all of our directors play an active role in overseeing the Company's business both at the Board and committee levels. At least twice per year as part of regularly scheduled Board meetings, the independent directors meet in executive session without the Chief Executive Officer present. These sessions allow our independent directors to discuss issues of importance to the Company, including the business and affairs of the Company as well as matters concerning management, without any member of management present.

Table of Contents

Committees of Our Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors directs the management of our business affairs, as provided by Delaware law, and conducts its business through meetings of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has established three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. During 2017, each director attended 100% of the meetings of the Board committees on which such director served. In addition, from time to time, special committees may be established under the direction of the Board of Directors when necessary to address specific issues. The composition of the Board committees complies with the applicable rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market and applicable law. Our Board of Directors has adopted written charters for each of the standing committees, which are available in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations page on our website at www.gcu.edu.

Audit Committee. Our Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Jack A. Henry (chair), Kevin F. Warren, and David J. Johnson, each of whom our Board of Directors determined to be independent, as defined under and required by the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market and the federal securities laws. Our Audit Committee met five times during 2017. Our Audit Committee is directly responsible for, among other things, the appointment, compensation, retention, and oversight of our independent registered public accounting firm. The oversight includes reviewing the plans and results of the audit engagement with the firm, approving any additional professional services provided by the firm and reviewing the independence of the firm. The Audit Committee is also responsible for discussing the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting with the firm and relevant financial management. Our Board of Directors determined that each of Messrs. Henry, Warren and Johnson qualified as an audit committee financial expert, as defined under applicable federal securities laws.

Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Kevin F. Warren (chair), Jack A. Henry, and Ms. Sara R. Dial, each of whom the Board of Directors determined to be independent, as defined under and required by the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. Our Compensation Committee met six times during 2017. The Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things, supervising and reviewing our affairs as they relate to the compensation and benefits of our executive officers and directors. In carrying out these responsibilities, the Compensation Committee reviews all components of executive compensation for consistency with our compensation philosophy and with the interests of our stockholders.

The Compensation Committee's charter allows it to delegate any matters within its authority to individuals or subcommittees as it deems appropriate. In addition, the Compensation Committee has the authority under its charter to retain outside advisors to assist it in the performance of its duties. Beginning in the fall of 2009, the Compensation Committee has engaged Mercer from time to time as its compensation consultant and advisor to:

Provide recommendations regarding executive compensation consistent with the Company's business needs, pay philosophy, market trends and latest legal and regulatory considerations;

Provide market data for base salary, short-term incentive and long-term incentive decisions; and

Advise the Compensation Committee as to best practices.

In 2016, Mercer was engaged to provide market benchmark information regarding, and to advise on the competitiveness of, executive officer compensation. Also in 2016, Mercer recommended, and the Compensation

Committee approved, a revised peer group of publicly traded companies, some of which are in the education industry, with median revenue near the Company's revenue. The peer group consists of the following companies:

Acxiom Corp.	EPAM Systems, Inc.
The Advisory Board Company	GoDaddy, Inc.
Blackbaud, Inc.	Huron Consulting Group Inc.
Capella Education Company	j2 Global Inc.
CEB Inc.	Pegasystems, Inc.
Adtalem Global Education	WebMD Health Corp.
Endurance International Group Holdings, Inc.	

As a result of Mercer's analysis of peer group compensation practices and the Compensation Committee's assessment of management performance in 2016 and prior years, the Compensation Committee in October 2016 approved increases in executive management base salaries for Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus, Mr. Mildenhall and Mr. Roberts, effective January 1, 2017.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of Ms. Sara R. Dial (chair), and Messrs. David J. Johnson and Kevin F. Warren, each of whom our Board of Directors determined to be independent, as defined under and required by the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met four times during 2017. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, identifying individuals qualified to become members of the Board of Directors; recommending to the Board of Directors nominees for each election of directors; developing and recommending to the Board of Directors criteria for selecting qualified director candidates; considering committee member qualifications, appointment and removal; recommending corporate governance principles, codes of conduct and compliance mechanisms; and providing oversight in the annual evaluation of the Board of Directors and each committee.

Code of Conduct

We have adopted a business code of conduct, which applies to all of our employees, directors, and consultants. The code of conduct includes particular provisions applicable to our senior financial management, which includes our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and principal accounting officer, and other employees performing similar functions. A copy of our code of conduct is available on the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations page on our website at www.gcu.edu. We intend to post on our website any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of our code of conduct that applies to any director or officer, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and principal accounting officer, and other persons performing similar functions, promptly following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors is responsible for oversight of our risk assessment and management processes. The Board of Directors has delegated to the Compensation Committee basic responsibility for oversight of management's compensation risk assessment, and has delegated to the Audit Committee tasks related to risk process oversight. In exercising its oversight duties, the Board of Directors receives reports from each committee regarding the committee's considerations and actions. The Audit Committee's process includes working with the Company's Chief Risk Officer and other members of the Company's enterprise risk management team, meeting periodically with the Chief Risk Officer and other members of management and receiving reports on enterprise risk management, including management's assessment of risk exposures (including risks related to liquidity, credit, operations and regulatory compliance, among others), and the processes in place to monitor and control such exposures. The Audit Committee may also, from time to time, receive updates between meetings from the Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and other members of management relating to risk oversight matters.

Director Nomination Process

When selecting nominees for appointment or election to our Board of Directors, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes such selections pursuant to the following process:

identification of director candidates by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee based upon suggestions from current directors and senior management, recommendations by stockholders and/or use of a director search firm;

review of the candidates' qualifications by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to determine which candidates best meet our Board of Directors' required and desired criteria;

interviews of interested candidates who best meet these criteria by the chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the chair of our Board of Directors, and/or certain other directors and management;

the recommendation by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for inclusion in the slate of directors for the annual meeting of stockholders or for appointment by our Board of Directors to fill a vacancy during the interval between stockholder meetings; and

formal nomination by our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Although our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review each candidate's qualifications to determine whether such candidate is appropriate for our Board of Directors, candidates need not possess any minimum qualifications or specific qualities or skills. In accordance with its charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's review and assessment of incumbent directors and proposed nominees includes the consideration of a candidate's skills, business experiences, and background, which may include with respect to any particular incumbent or proposed nominee consideration of one or more of the following criteria:

The extent of the director's/proposed nominee's educational, business, non-profit or professional acumen and experience;

Whether the director/proposed nominee assists in achieving a mix of members on our Board of Directors that represents a diversity of background, perspective and experience;

Whether the director/proposed nominee meets the independence requirements of the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market;

Whether the director/proposed nominee has the business experience relevant to an understanding of our business;

Whether the director/proposed nominee would be considered a financial expert or financially literate as defined in applicable listing standards or applicable law;

Whether the director/proposed nominee, by virtue of particular technical expertise, experience or specialized skill relevant to our current or future business, will add specific value as a Board member; and

Whether the director/proposed nominee possesses a willingness to challenge and stimulate management and the ability to work as part of a team in an environment of trust.

With respect to existing members of the Board of Directors, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will reassess the qualifications of a director, including the director's performance on our Board of Directors to date, the director's current employment, the director's service on other boards of directors and the director's independence, prior to recommending a director for reelection to another term. All director-nominees were recommended for election at the Annual Meeting by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and such recommendations were formally approved by our Board of Directors.

Stockholders who wish to recommend individuals for consideration by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to become nominees for election to our Board of Directors may do so by submitting a written recommendation to our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o General Counsel, Grand Canyon Education, Inc., 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017. Submissions must be received at the Company's principal executive offices not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day, nor later than the close of business on the 90th day, prior to the first anniversary of the date of the preceding year's annual meeting as first specified in the

Company's notice of meeting (without regard to any postponements or adjournments of such meeting after such notice was first sent), except that if no annual meeting was held in the previous year or the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days earlier or later than such anniversary date, notice by the stockholders to be timely must be received at the Company's principal executive offices not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the annual meeting or the 10th day following the date on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made. For our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholder nominations must be received not earlier than the close of business on February 13, 2019, and not later than the close of business on March 15, 2019.

Table of Contents

Each submission must set forth: (i) the name and address of the stockholder who intends to make the nomination, or the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is being made and of the person or persons to be nominated; (ii) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of stock of the Company entitled to vote for the election of directors on the date of such notice and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice; (iii) the following information regarding the ownership interests of the stockholder and such other beneficial owners, which shall be supplemented in writing by the stockholder not later than ten (10) days after the record date for notice of the meeting to disclose such interests as of such record date: (A) the class and number of shares of the Company that are owned beneficially and of record by the stockholder or any such beneficial owner; (B) any derivative instrument directly or indirectly owned beneficially by such stockholder or any such beneficial owner and any other direct or indirect opportunity to profit or share in any profit derived from any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company; (C) any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship pursuant to which such stockholder or any such beneficial owner has a right to vote any shares of any security of the Company; (D) any short interest in any security of the Company; (E) any rights to dividends on the shares of the Company owned beneficially by such stockholder or any such beneficial owner that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of the Company; (F) any proportionate interest in shares of the Company or derivative instruments held, directly or indirectly, by a general or limited partnership in which such stockholder or any such beneficial owner is a general partner or, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns an interest in a general partner; and (G) any performance-related fees (other than an asset-based fee) to which such stockholder or any such beneficial owner is entitled based on any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company or derivative instruments, if any, as of the date of such notice, including, without limitation, any such interests held by members of such stockholder's or beneficial owner's immediate family sharing the same household, (iv) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder or such beneficial owner and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the stockholder; (v) a description of all direct and indirect compensation and other material monetary agreements, arrangements and understandings during the past three (3) years, and any other material relationships, between or among such stockholder and such other beneficial owner, if any, and their respective affiliates and associates, or others acting in concert therewith, on the one hand, and each proposed nominee, and his respective affiliates and associates, or others acting in concert therewith, on the other hand, including, without limitation all information that would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Rule 404 promulgated under Regulation S-K if the stockholder making the nomination and any beneficial owner on whose behalf the nomination is made, if any, or any affiliate or associate thereof or person acting in concert therewith, were the registrant for purposes of such rule and the nominee was a director or executive officer of such registrant, (vi) such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such stockholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, had the nominee been nominated, or intended to be nominated, by the Board of Directors; and (vii) the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the Company if so elected.

We did not receive any director nominations from stockholders for the Annual Meeting.

Annual Elections and Majority Voting

Our directors are elected annually to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Pursuant to an amendment to our bylaws adopted during 2014, at any meeting of stockholders for the election of one or more directors at which a quorum is present, each director shall be elected by the vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to the director, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, the directors shall be elected by the vote of a plurality of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote at the election. For purposes of the foregoing, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast for a director exceeds the number of votes cast against that director. Under our corporate

governance principles and practices, the Board shall nominate for election or re-election as director only candidates who agree to execute, in connection with their nomination, irrevocable resignations that will be effective upon (1) the failure to receive a majority vote in an uncontested election at an annual meeting and (2) the Board's acceptance of such resignation within ninety (90) days following certification of the stockholder vote. If a director then serving on the Board of Directors does not receive the required majority vote, the director shall tender his or her resignation to the Board. Within ninety (90) days after the date of the certification of the election results, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or other committee that may be designated by the Board will make a recommendation to the Board on whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether other action should be taken, taking into account such factors as it deems relevant. Such factors may include the stated reasons why stockholders voted against such director's reelection, the qualifications of the director and whether accepting the resignation would cause us to fail to meet any applicable listing standards or would violate state law. Within such ninety (90) day period, the Board will act on the committee's recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind it.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2017, Messrs. Warren and Henry and Ms. Dial served as the members of our Compensation Committee. No executive officer serves, or in the past has served, as a member of the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of any entity that has any of its executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors**

Stockholders may communicate with any of our directors, including our lead independent director, the chair of any of the committees of the Board of Directors, or the non-management directors as a group by writing to them c/o Secretary, Grand Canyon Education, Inc., 3300 West Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017. Please specify to whom your correspondence should be directed. The Secretary will promptly forward all correspondence to the Board of Directors or any specific director, as indicated in the correspondence, except for junk mail, mass mailings, job inquiries, surveys, business solicitations or advertisements, or patently offensive or otherwise inappropriate material. The Secretary may forward certain correspondence, such as product-related or service-related inquiries, elsewhere within the Company for review and possible response.

Stock Ownership Policy

The Board of Directors believes that each outside director and executive officer should develop a meaningful ownership position in the Company. Effective January 26, 2017, the Board of Directors adopted a stock ownership policy which included a stock ownership policy for our executive officers and an updated stock ownership policy for our outside directors. This policy, which is set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles and Practices and available on the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations page on our website at www.gcu.edu, establishes the following ownership levels for each category of person covered by the policy:

The following table sets forth the required salary multiples for each category of person subject to the policy:

Covered Person	Required Salary Multiple
President and Chief Executive Officer	5x base salary
All other Named Executive Officers	3x base salary
Outside Directors	3x annual cash retainer (exclusive of any cash retainer payable for service as lead director, chairperson of the Board or any committee thereof)

Under the policy, the following may be used in determining share ownership for purposes of the ownership requirement:

Shares owned directly (including shares acquired through open market purchases or acquired and held upon, or subject to, vesting of restricted stock, restricted stock units or performance-based awards, and shares received and held upon exercise of stock option awards);

Shares owned jointly with or separately by the director's spouse;

Shares held in trust for the benefit of the Covered Person, or one or more family members of the Covered Person;

Shares held in qualified or nonqualified savings, profit-sharing, or deferred compensation accounts;

Shares underlying vested but unexercised stock options (based on the excess of the market price of the stock over the exercise price and after deducting any tax withholding obligations); and

Shares underlying vested but unexercised warrants.

Each person covered by the policy is expected to achieve the target ownership threshold within five years of the later of (i) January 26, 2017 and (ii) the date such person first becomes subject to the policy. The Compensation Committee will receive periodic reports of the ownership achieved by each covered person. Until such time as the covered person satisfies the share ownership requirement, the achievement level of share ownership will be determined by reference to the average closing stock price of the shares during the fiscal year ended immediately prior to the determination date. Once the share ownership requirement has been satisfied, future declines in share price will not impact the covered person's compliance with this guideline, as long as the covered person holds the number of shares he or she had at the time he or she achieved the required ownership level. As of December 31, 2017, all persons covered by the policy were in compliance with the stock ownership requirements.

Table of Contents**Anti-Hedging/Anti-Pledging Policy**

In connection with its initial public offering in 2008, the Company adopted an insider trading policy that includes restrictions and limitations on the ability of the Company's directors, officers and other employees to engage in transactions involving the hedging and pledging of Company stock. Under the policy, hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts, which allow an employee to lock in much of the value of his or her stock holdings, often in exchange for all or part of the potential for upside appreciation in the stock, and thus to continue to own Company stock without the full risks and rewards of ownership, are prohibited. In addition, the policy addresses the practices of holding Company stock in a margin account, under which the securities may be sold by the broker without the customer's consent if the customer fails to meet a margin call, and of pledging Company stock as collateral for a loan, in which event the securities may be sold in foreclosure if the borrower defaults on the loan. Because a margin sale or foreclosure sale may occur at a time when the pledgor is aware of material nonpublic information or otherwise is not permitted to trade in Company securities, directors, officers and other employees of the Company are prohibited from holding Company securities in a margin account or pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan. An exception to this prohibition may be granted where a person wishes to pledge Company securities as collateral for a loan (not including margin debt) and clearly demonstrates the financial capacity to repay the loan without resort to the pledged securities. Any person wishing to enter into such an arrangement must first receive pre-approval for the proposed transaction from the Company's compliance officer in accordance with the pre-approval procedures set forth in the policy.

Clawback Policy

Effective January 26, 2017, the Board of Directors adopted an Incentive Compensation Clawback Policy that authorizes the Company to recover incentive compensation previously paid to its Section 16 officers. The policy provides that, in the event of a restatement of the Company's financial statements for any fiscal year commencing after December 31, 2015 that is due to the misconduct of any employee, the Board or, if so designated by the Board, the Compensation Committee of the Board, is authorized to take action to recoup all or part of any incentive compensation received by a Section 16 officer of the Company. For purposes of this policy, incentive compensation means any cash compensation paid by or any equity compensation awarded by the Company that is based in whole or in part on the achievement of financial results by the Company, including, but not limited to, any bonus or other performance-based cash or equity arrangement or award, but excluding base salary. The policy defines misconduct as the willful commission of an illegal act, fraud, intentional misconduct or gross recklessness in the performance of a Section 16 officer's duties and responsibilities, as determined by the Board in its sole discretion. In determining whether to take action to recoup any incentive compensation received by a Section 16 officer of the Company, the Board or, if so designated, the Compensation Committee of the Board, will take into consideration whether the Section 16 officer engaged in the misconduct or was in a position, including in a supervisory role, to have been able to have reasonably prevented the misconduct that caused the restatement.

As directed by the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC has issued proposed rules which, if adopted in final form, would require issuers to seek recovery from executive officers in certain circumstances involving financial restatements. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the SEC has not issued final rules implementing this portion of the Dodd-Frank Act. Once the SEC issues final rules regarding the required form of a clawback policy under the Dodd-Frank Act, we expect to amend our Incentive Compensation Clawback Policy accordingly.

Compensation of Directors

We have a compensation program in place for our non-employee directors that utilizes annual retainers and restricted stock grants. New non-employee directors, upon appointment or election to the Board of Directors, receive an award

of restricted stock under our equity incentive plan valued at \$20,000 on the date of grant, which vests on the one year anniversary of the date of grant, subject to accelerated vesting in the event of a change in control. For serving on the Board of Directors, our non-employee directors also receive an annual retainer of \$50,000 in cash and an award of restricted stock under our equity incentive plan valued at \$75,000 on the date of grant. The cash portion of the annual retainer is paid quarterly while the restricted stock grants to our non-employee directors are made after our annual meeting of stockholders each year and vest on the earlier of the one year anniversary of the date of grant or immediately prior to the following year's annual meeting of stockholders, subject to acceleration in the event of a change in control. In addition, our lead independent director receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$33,333, each non-employee director receives an additional annual cash retainer for service on a Board committee of \$5,000, and each committee chair receives an additional annual cash retainer of \$10,000, except for the chair of the Audit Committee, whose additional annual cash retainer for service as chair is \$15,000. We reimburse all of our directors for reasonable expenses incurred to attend our Board of Directors and committee meetings.

Table of Contents**2017 Director Compensation**

The following table provides information regarding the compensation paid to the persons who served as non-employee directors in 2017:

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or		
	Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Total (\$)
Sara R. Dial	\$ 103,333	\$ 75,000	\$178,333
Jack A. Henry	75,000	75,000	150,000
Kevin F. Warren	75,000	75,000	150,000
David J. Johnson	60,000	75,000	135,000
Brent D. Richardson			
Bradley A. Casper			

(1) Messrs. Richardson and Casper resigned from our Board in January 2017.

(2) The following table provides a breakdown of the cash compensation paid to our non-employee directors in 2017 in respect of their annual retainers for service on the Board of Directors, for service on Board committees, and for services as a Board committee chair or as lead independent director:

Name	Board of	Board	Committee	Total
	Directors	Committee	Chair	
	Annual Retainer	Annual Retainers	Retainer	
Sara R. Dial ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 50,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 43,333	\$ 103,333
Jack A. Henry	50,000	10,000	15,000	75,000
Kevin F. Warren	50,000	15,000	10,000	75,000
David J. Johnson	50,000	10,000		60,000
Brent D. Richardson				
Bradley A. Casper				

(3) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the shares of restricted stock granted during the fiscal year as computed in accordance with ASC 718. For each director, the number of shares granted was determined by

dividing the grant date value of the award, \$75,000, by \$80.07, the closing price of the company's common stock on June 14, 2017, the date of grant, rounded up to the nearest whole share. Each director held 937 unvested shares at December 31, 2017. These shares will vest on the earlier of the one year anniversary of the date of grant or immediately prior to the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders.

- (4) The amount for Ms. Dial includes the additional annual retainer of \$33,333 that she received in her capacity as lead independent director.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

What am I voting on? Stockholders are being asked to elect five directors to serve for a one-year term.

Voting recommendation: Our Board of Directors unanimous recommends that you vote **FOR** the election of each director nominee. The Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that the five director nominees each possess the necessary qualifications, attributes, skills and experiences to provide quality advice and counsel to the Company's management and effectively oversee the business and the long-term interests of our stockholders.

Vote required: The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of each director. Stockholders of the Company are not permitted to cumulate their votes for the election of directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the results of the election of directors. Unless contrary instructions are given, shares represented by proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted for the election of each of the director nominees identified below.

Composition of our Board of Directors

Our bylaws provide that our business will be managed by or under the direction of the Board of Directors. The number of directors constituting our Board of Directors is determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. Currently, our Board of Directors consists of five members. Each nominee for the position of director will be elected at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders or the director's earlier resignation or removal. Upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors has nominated the five persons named below for election as directors. Proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will, unless otherwise directed, be voted to elect the five nominees named below to constitute the entire Board of Directors.

Directors and Director Nominees

All of the nominees named below are currently serving on the Board of Directors. Each nominee has indicated a willingness to serve as a director for the ensuing year, but in case any nominee is not a candidate at the meeting for any reason, the proxies named in the enclosed proxy form may vote for a substitute nominee recommended by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and approved by the Board of Directors.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding each director nominee:

Name	Age	Position	Committee Membership/Role
Brian E. Mueller	64		None

Chairman, Chief Executive
Officer, and

President of Grand Canyon
University

Sara R. Dial	54	Director	Nominating and Corporate Governance (chair) Compensation
Jack A. Henry	74	Director	Lead Independent Director Audit (chair) Compensation
Kevin F. Warren	54	Director	Compensation (chair) Nominating and Corporate Governance
David J. Johnson	71	Director	Audit Nominating and Corporate Governance Audit

Table of Contents

Brian E. Mueller has been serving as our Chief Executive Officer since July 1, 2008, as a director since March 2009, as the President of Grand Canyon University since September 1, 2012, and as the Chairman of our Board since January 2017. Mr. Mueller has been serving as the President of the Grand Canyon University Foundation, a foundation formed to benefit public charities, since its formation in 2010 and as the President of GCU Community Fund, an entity formed to provide seed funding for entrepreneurial ventures initiated by Grand Canyon University students, since its formation in 2014. From 1987 to 2008, Mr. Mueller was employed by Apollo Education Group, Inc., a for-profit, postsecondary education company and the parent company of the University of Phoenix, serving between January 2006 and June 2008 as its President and a Director. Mr. Mueller previously served as the Chief Operating Officer of Apollo Education Group, Inc. from December 2005 to January 2006, as Chief Executive Officer of the University of Phoenix Online, a unit of the University of Phoenix, from March 2002 to November 2005, and as Chief Operating Officer and Senior Vice President of the University of Phoenix Online from May 1997 to March 2002. From 1987 to May 1997, Mr. Mueller held several positions in operations management for Apollo Education Group, Inc. From 1983 to 1987, Mr. Mueller was a professor at Concordia University. Mr. Mueller received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Education and a Master of Arts in Education degree from Concordia University. We believe that Mr. Mueller's past experience working for both universities focused on traditional students and working adults, as well as his day to day leadership and intimate knowledge of our business and operations, provide the Board of Directors with both industry-wide and Company-specific experience and expertise.

Sara R. Dial has been serving as a member of our Board of Directors since March 2013, and as the lead independent director since January 2017. Ms. Dial has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Sara Dial & Associates, an economic development consulting firm specializing in site selection and government relations since 1996. She has led high profile economic development initiatives in Arizona including the creation and implementation of an urban revitalization model, the Discovery Triangle and the restructuring of Arizona's statewide economic development organization under Governor Brewer, resulting in the creation of the agency now known as the Arizona Commerce Authority. From 2002 through 2003, Ms. Dial also served as the Chief Executive of Social Venture Partners Arizona, a philanthropic foundation using a venture capital approach to giving. In 2004, Ms. Dial was elected as Chairman of the Board of Social Venture Partners Arizona. From 1993 to 1996, Ms. Dial served as the director of the Arizona Department of Commerce, having previously served as the finance director from 1991 to 1993. Prior to her work in the public sector, Ms. Dial worked in the investment banking industry at Rauscher Pierces Refsnes, Boettcher and Company and Kemper Securities Group, focusing on municipal finance. Currently, Ms. Dial is a director for Mobile Mini, Inc., a publicly traded provider of portable storage solutions, and she also sits on the local advisory board of BBVA Compass Bank. Ms. Dial was previously a member of the board of trustees at Seattle Pacific University. Ms. Dial is a graduate of Stanford University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in international relations. We believe Ms. Dial's extensive experience with government, higher education and economic development provides a tremendous resource to our Board of Directors and management team, particularly in the areas of government relations, finance and corporate governance.

Jack A. Henry has been serving as a member of our Board of Directors since November 2008. Since 2000, Mr. Henry has served as the Managing Director of Sierra Blanca Ventures, LLC, a private investment and advisory firm. From 1966 to 2000, Mr. Henry worked as a certified public accountant for Arthur Andersen, a national accounting firm, retiring in 2000 as the Managing Partner of the Phoenix, Arizona office. Mr. Henry currently serves on the board of directors and as chair of the audit committee of TPI Composites, Inc., a manufacturer of composite wind blades, on the boards of directors and audit committees of several private companies, and as President of the Arizona Chapter of the National Association of Corporate Directors. Mr. Henry received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Michigan. We believe that Mr. Henry's extensive experience with public and financial accounting matters for corporate organizations, as well as experience as a consultant to and director of other public companies, provide significant insight and expertise to our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Kevin F. Warren has been serving as a member of our Board of Directors since September 2012. Mr. Warren has served as a senior officer for the Minnesota Vikings, a National Football League franchise, since 2005 and currently serves as the club's Chief Operating Officer, with responsibility for the day-to-day business operations. Prior to joining the Vikings, from 2003 to 2005, Mr. Warren worked with the international law firm of Greenberg Traurig, which included working with the Wilf ownership group during its acquisition of the Vikings. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Warren served as Senior Vice President of Business Operations & General Counsel for the Detroit Lions. Prior to that time, Mr. Warren worked with the St. Louis Rams, as Vice President of Football Administration in 2001 and as Vice President of Player Development & Football Legal Counsel from 1997 to 2000. Mr. Warren earned his bachelor's degree in business administration from Grand Canyon University and, in March 2012, was inducted into the Grand Canyon University Athletics Hall of Fame. Mr. Warren earned his master's degree in business administration from Arizona State University, and his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Notre Dame School of Law. We believe Mr. Warren's extensive experience with legal, financial and marketing matters provides a tremendous resource to our Board of Directors and management team, particularly in the areas of operations, finance and corporate governance.

David J. Johnson has been serving as a member of our Board of Directors since November 2008. From 1997 to 2006, Mr. Johnson served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of KinderCare Learning Centers, Inc., a for-profit provider of early childhood education and care services, and from 1991 to 1996, he served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Red Lion Hotels Corporation, a hotel company, each of which were portfolio companies of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. Prior to that time, Mr. Johnson served as a general partner of Hellman & Friedman, a private equity investment firm, from 1989 to 1991, as President, Chief Operating Officer and Director of Dillingham Holdings, a diversified company, from 1986 to 1988, and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cal Gas Corporation, a principal subsidiary of Dillingham Holdings, which was also a portfolio company of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., from 1984 to 1987. Mr. Johnson received a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Oregon and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Southern California. We believe that Mr. Johnson's extensive experience as a chief executive officer of other companies provides a tremendous resource to our Board of Directors and management team, particularly in the areas of operations, finance, and corporate governance.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR the election of each of the five nominees listed above to constitute our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 2

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

What am I voting on? Stockholders are being asked to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers as described in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section and the related tables.

Voting recommendation: Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee takes very seriously its role in the governance of the Company's compensation programs and will take into account the outcome of the advisory vote when considering future executive compensation decisions.

Vote required: The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal, while abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in 2010, requires that companies provide their stockholders with the opportunity to vote, on an advisory basis, whether to approve the compensation of companies' named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say-on-Pay vote, at least once every three years. In a vote held at our 2011 Annual Meeting, our stockholders voted in favor of holding Say-On-Pay votes annually. In light of this result and other factors considered by the Board, the Board has determined that the Company will hold Say-On-Pay votes on an annual basis.

The Say-on-Pay vote is a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, including the tabular disclosure and accompanying narrative disclosure regarding such compensation, set forth in this proxy statement. It is not a vote to approve our general compensation policies, the compensation of our Board of Directors, or our compensation policies as they relate to risk management. Please read the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section of this proxy statement for a detailed discussion about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal year 2017 compensation of our named executive officers.

The objectives of our compensation program are to attract, motivate, retain, and reward our executive officers by relating compensation to performance and making our compensation package competitive and cost-effective. We pay our executive officers based on business performance and individual performance, and, in setting compensation levels, we take into consideration our past practices, our current and anticipated future needs, and the relative skills and experience of each individual executive officer. The *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section of this proxy statement provides a more detailed discussion of our executive compensation program. We believe that the compensation program we follow helps us achieve our principal compensation objectives.

The vote solicited by this Proposal No. 2 is advisory, and therefore is not binding on us, our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee, nor will its outcome require us, our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee to take any action. Moreover, the outcome of the vote will not be construed as overruling any decision by us or our Board of Directors. Furthermore, because this non-binding, advisory vote primarily relates to the compensation of our named executive officers that we have already paid or are otherwise contractually committed to pay, there is generally no opportunity for us to revisit these decisions. However, our Board of Directors, including our Compensation Committee, values the opinions of our stockholders and, to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our stockholders' concerns and evaluate what actions, if any, may be appropriate for us to take in the future to address those concerns. In 2017, our Say-on-Pay proposal received the support of 95.0% of the shares voted, which we believe indicates strong support for our compensation program and practices. Nevertheless, we will continue to solicit feedback, engage with our investors, and evaluate the effectiveness of our pay practices in aligning management and stockholder interests.

Stockholders will be asked at the Annual Meeting to approve the following resolution pursuant to this Proposal No. 2:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Grand Canyon Education, Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K in the Company's definitive proxy statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following sets forth information regarding our non-director executive officers as of the date of this proxy statement. For information regarding Brian E. Mueller, our Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer and President of Grand Canyon University, see Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors Directors and Director Nominees.

Name	Age	Position
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	57	Chief Operating Officer
Daniel E. Bachus	47	Chief Financial Officer
Joseph N. Mildenhall	64	Chief Information Officer
Brian M. Roberts	45	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Dr. W. Stan Meyer has been serving as our Chief Operating Officer since July 26, 2012, having previously served in the position of Executive Vice President from June 2008 to July 2012. Dr. Meyer has been serving as a Director of the Grand Canyon University Foundation, a foundation formed to benefit public charities, since its formation in 2010 and as a director of GCU Community Fund, an entity formed to provide seed funding for entrepreneurial ventures initiated by Grand Canyon University students, since its formation in 2014. From August 2002 to June 2008, Dr. Meyer was employed by Apollo Education Group, Inc., a for-profit, postsecondary education company and the parent company of the University of Phoenix, serving between June 2006 to June 2008 as its executive vice president of marketing and enrollment. Dr. Meyer previously served as a regional vice president of the University of Phoenix Online, a unit of the University of Phoenix, and division director of Axia College and of the School of Advanced Studies, also units of the University of Phoenix. From 1983 to 2002, Dr. Meyer held several positions with the Concordia University system, including director of operations for Concordia University's education network. Dr. Meyer received a Bachelor of Arts in Communications degree from Concordia University and a Master of Business Administration degree and a Doctor of Education in Institutional Management degree from Pepperdine University.

Daniel E. Bachus has been serving as our Chief Financial Officer since July 1, 2008. Mr. Bachus has been serving as a Director of the Grand Canyon University Foundation since its formation in 2010 and as a director of GCU Community Fund, an entity formed to provide seed funding for entrepreneurial ventures initiated by Grand Canyon University students, since its formation in 2014. From January 2007 until June 2008, Mr. Bachus served as chief financial officer for Loreto Bay Company, a real estate developer. From 2000 to 2006, Mr. Bachus served as the chief accounting officer and controller of Apollo Education Group, Inc., a for-profit, postsecondary education company and the parent company of the University of Phoenix. From 1992 to 2000, Mr. Bachus was employed by Deloitte & Touche LLP, most recently as an audit senior manager. Mr. Bachus received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accountancy from the University of Arizona and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Phoenix. Mr. Bachus is also a certified public accountant.

Joseph N. Mildenhall has been serving as our Chief Information Officer since September 2009. From 1998 to September 2009, Mr. Mildenhall was employed by Apollo Education Group, Inc., a for-profit, postsecondary education company and the parent company of the University of Phoenix, serving between June 2006 and September 2009 as its chief information officer. From 1998 to 2006, Mr. Mildenhall directed the design, development and deployment of the University of Phoenix online education environment and the student and faculty Internet portal supporting the rapid growth of the online campus beginning in 1998. From 1979 to 1988, Mr. Mildenhall held increasingly responsible roles in software development at J&K Computer Systems, eventually becoming vice president and co-owner. When J&K Computer Systems was acquired by National Computer Systems, Mr. Mildenhall continued in senior software development and technology leadership roles through 1998. Mr. Mildenhall holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Brigham Young University and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Phoenix.

Table of Contents

Brian M. Roberts has been serving as our Senior Vice President and General Counsel since May 2012 and as our Secretary since July 2012. Mr. Roberts has been serving as a director of GCU Community Fund, an entity formed to provide seed funding for entrepreneurial ventures initiated by Grand Canyon University students, since its formation in 2014. From August 2003 to May 2012, Mr. Roberts was employed by iGo, Inc., a publicly traded developer of electronics accessories, including serving as general counsel and secretary in charge of all legal functions since May 2005. From September 1998 to August 2003, Mr. Roberts was an attorney with the law firm of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. Mr. Roberts received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration and a Juris Doctor from the University of Kansas.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with Compensation of Named Executive Officers and the related tables that follow.

Executive Summary

We are a comprehensive regionally accredited university that offers over 225 graduate and undergraduate degree programs, emphases and certificates across nine colleges both online and on ground at our over 275 acre campus in Phoenix, Arizona, at leased facilities and at facilities owned by third party employers of our students. We are committed to providing an academically rigorous educational experience with a focus on professionally relevant programs that meet the objectives of our students. Our undergraduate programs are designed to be innovative and meet the future needs of employers, while providing students with the needed critical thinking and effective communication skills developed through a Christian-oriented, liberal arts foundation. We offer master's and doctoral degrees in contemporary fields that are designed to provide students with the capacity for transformational leadership in their chosen industry, emphasizing the immediate relevance of theory, application, and evaluation to promote personal and organizational change. We believe the growing brand of the University and the value proposition for both traditional aged students attending on our campus in Phoenix, Arizona and working adult students attending on our campus or at off-site locations in cohorts (referred to by us as professional studies students) or online, has enabled us to increase enrollment to approximately 90,300 students at December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2017, 79.1% of our students were enrolled in our online programs, and, of our working adult students (online and professional studies students), 50.5% were pursuing master's or doctoral degrees.

We define working adults as students age 25 or older who are pursuing a degree while employed. As of December 31, 2017, 86.1% of our online and professional studies students were age 25 or older. We believe that working adults are attracted to the convenience and flexibility of our online programs because they can study and interact with faculty and classmates during times that suit their schedules. We also believe that working adults, particularly those who have some college experience, represent an attractive student population because they are better able to more readily recognize the benefits of a post-secondary degree, have higher persistence and completion rates than other students, and to finance their education generally.

In 2017, we continued to increase the number of students in attendance at our expanding traditional ground campus. We attribute the significant growth in our enrollment to our increasing brand recognition and the value proposition that our ground traditional campus affords to traditional-aged students and their parents. After scholarships, our ground traditional students pay for tuition, room, board, and fees, often half to a third of what it costs to attend a private, traditional university in another state and an amount comparable to what it costs to attend a public university. We plan to continue increasing enrollment growth for our traditional campus over the next few years, and seek to have more than 20,500 ground students in attendance at the beginning of our 2018-2019 academic year. In November 2012, we accepted an invitation to become a member of the Division I Western Athletic Conference beginning with the 2013-2014 academic year, and in 2013 we began the four-year process to reclassify our NCAA membership from Division II to Division I. In July 2017, the University successfully completed all year four requirements and is now a full member of Division I Western Athletic Conference and is eligible for post-season play.

We continue to experience growth in enrollment, net revenue, and operating income. Our enrollment at December 31, 2017 was approximately 90,300, representing an increase of approximately 10.2% over our enrollment at December 31, 2016. We seek to achieve continued growth in a manner that reinforces our reputation for providing

academically rigorous, professionally relevant educational programs that advance the educations and careers of our students.

2017 Financial Results. Our senior management guided our Company during 2017 to produce positive financial results. For the year ended December 31, 2017:

Net revenue increased 11.5% to \$974.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$873.3 million for the same period in 2016.

End of period enrollment increased 10.2% to 90,297 at December 31, 2017, from 81,908 at December 31, 2016, as ground enrollment increased 9.2% to 18,842 at December 31, 2017, from 17,262 at December 31, 2016 and online enrollment increased 10.5% to 71,455 at December 31, 2017, from 64,646 at December 31, 2016.

Operating income for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$282.8 million, an increase of 19.2% as compared to \$237.2 million for the same period in 2016. The operating margin for the year ended December 31, 2017 was 29.0%, compared to 27.2% for the same period in 2016.

Table of Contents

Net income increased 36.9% to \$203.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$148.5 million for the same period in 2016.

Diluted net income per share was \$4.22 for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$3.15 for the same period in 2016.

Adjusted EBITDA increased 16.6% to \$354.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$304.1 million for the same period in 2016.

For a discussion of the manner in which we compute our Adjusted EBITDA and to view a table setting forth a reconciliation of our Adjusted EBITDA to our net income (as determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles), please see Item 6, *Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data*, beginning on page 42 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 21, 2018.

Continued Ground Campus Expansion. Our capital expenditures in 2017 of \$113.6 million were primarily related to the expansion of our over 275 acre physical campus in Phoenix, Arizona and significant investment in technology innovation to support our students and staff. In order to accommodate the continued growth of the traditional ground population, the University completed the construction of an additional dormitory, other ground campus building projects and land acquisitions adjacent to our campus, as well as purchases of computer equipment, other internal use software projects and furniture and equipment to support our increasing employee headcount.

Community Involvement and the Public Good. The University has embarked on a five-point plan to revitalize its West Phoenix neighborhood through the following initiatives. We believe these initiatives reflect well on the University and its employees, make the University more appealing to students and prospective students, help us develop strong working relationships with local government bodies, and continue to build the Grand Canyon University brand.

Significant support for K-12 education. We have expanded our free tutoring/mentoring program to 73 Phoenix-area schools. This program, which is served by over 1,200 University students, operates in partnership with Phoenix-area businesses to provide 100 full-tuition scholarships to attend Grand Canyon University each year for students from inner-city schools who have sought academic assistance at GCU's Learning Lounge.

Increased home values. Together with Habitat for Humanity, we participated in the largest home renovation project in the country in the West Phoenix area. As of December 31, 2017, 346 different projects have been completed. These efforts, combined with the University's expanded presence in the community, has resulted in a significant increase in home values in the 85017 zip code.

Improved safety. We are in the sixth year of a \$1.6 million partnership with City of Phoenix Police Department that focuses on improving safety and reducing crime in the communities surrounding our campus. Since the initiation of this program, crime has decreased substantially in the two-mile radius surrounding the University.

Job creation on the campus. We have tripled the number of our full-time employees from 1,219 in 2008 to nearly 4,000 by the end of 2017. The total number of full-time, part-time/adjunct and student worker positions is approximately 10,000.

Job creation off campus. We are launching a number of new business enterprises that reduce costs for the University, provide management opportunities for recent graduates and employment opportunities for current students and neighborhood residents, while spurring economic growth in the area.

The University is also involved in countless community events and projects throughout the year, helping organizations such as the Phoenix Rescue Mission, Feed My Starving Children, Arizona Foster Care, Boy/Girl Scouts, Goodwill Arizona, St. Vincent de Paul, Young Life, Elevate Phoenix, Back to School Clothing Drive and St. Mary's Food Bank. Our faculty, staff and students also go out into our surrounding neighborhoods to participate in University-sponsored programs such as Serve the City, Canyon Kids, Salute Our Troops, Colter Commons senior home visits and the Run to Fight Children's Cancer.

Stockholder Approval of Executive Compensation. We have held stockholder advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say-on-Pay vote, since 2011 and, in each case, our stockholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers by wide margins, including receiving the support of 95.0% of shares voted in 2017. As we evaluated our compensation practices for 2017 and into 2018 in light of such votes, we gave great consideration to the strong support our stockholders expressed for our current compensation philosophy and practices. As a result, our Compensation Committee retained our general approach to executive compensation in 2017 and into 2018, as discussed below.

Table of Contents

We believe our executive pay is reasonable and provides appropriate incentives to our executives to achieve our financial and strategic goals without encouraging them to take excessive risks in their business decisions. We regularly evaluate the major risks to our business, including how risks taken by management could impact the amount and value of the compensation that our executives receive. To this end, we continued practices that are considered standard for good corporate governance and executive compensation, including:

Strong alignment between company-wide performance and payouts under our annual cash incentive plan (Annual Cash Incentive Plan);

The absence of any guaranteed bonuses;

All stock-based awards are subject to five-year vesting periods, with 20% of each grant vesting annually on each of the first five anniversaries of the date of grant;

Severance arrangements with our named executive officers that are limited to one year of compensation (base salary and target bonus) and benefits and limited acceleration of vesting; and

Double-trigger change-in-control arrangements with our named executive officers.

In light of the foregoing factors and practices, we believe our executive compensation in fiscal year 2017 appropriately reflected the economic and regulatory environments, the performance of the Company and the relationship with market compensation necessary to retain and motivate our executives, and that the continuation of these practices in 2018 is appropriate given the continued regulatory, economic and competitive challenges that we expect to face.

Overview of 2017 Executive Compensation

The purpose of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis is to provide information about each material element of compensation that we pay or award to, or that is earned by, the individuals set forth in the Summary Compensation Table set forth below (our named executive officers), including our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers whose total compensation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, was in excess of \$100,000 and who were serving as executive officers at the end of that fiscal year.

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis addresses and explains the compensation practices we followed in 2017, the numerical and related information contained in the summary compensation and related tables presented below, and actions we have taken regarding executive compensation since the end of our 2017 fiscal year. Specifically, this Compensation Discussion and Analysis addresses:

the objectives of our compensation program (found in the section entitled Objectives of Compensation Programs);

what our compensation program is designed to reward (also described in the section entitled "Objectives of Compensation Programs");

each element of compensation (set forth in the section entitled "Compensation Program Design and Elements of Compensation");

why each element was chosen (described with each element of compensation, including base pay, short-term incentives and long-term incentives);

how amounts and formulas for pay are calculated and determined (also described with each element of compensation, including base pay, short-term incentives and long-term incentives); and

how each compensation element and our decisions regarding that element fit into our overall compensation objectives and affect decisions regarding other elements (described with each element of compensation).

Table of Contents

Compensation Determinations

All of our named executive officers who appear in the Summary Compensation Table are parties to employment agreements. With respect to each named executive officer, the level of base salary to be paid to those officers over the term of their respective employment agreements and their individual target bonus percentages were initially determined as part of the negotiation process relating to such agreements, and are subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee.

Our Compensation Committee's charter empowers it to set all compensation, including, but not limited to, salary, bonus, incentive compensation, equity awards, benefits and perquisites, for our named executive officers. Our Compensation Committee makes such determinations with respect to our Chief Executive Officer and, for all other named executives, makes such determinations in consultation with our Chief Executive Officer. For additional information regarding the Compensation Committee, please see Corporate Governance and Board Matters Committees of Our Board of Directors Compensation Committee.

Objectives of Compensation Programs

We pay our executive officers based on company-wide business performance, subject to adjustment based on their achievement of individual performance goals, and, in setting compensation levels, we take into consideration our past practices, our current and anticipated future needs, and the relative skills and experience of each individual executive.

Compensation philosophy. Under our compensation philosophy, a named executive officer's total compensation will vary based on our overall performance, as well as the Compensation Committee's assessment of the particular named executive officer's personal performance and contribution to our overall results. This philosophy generally applies to all of our employees, although the degree of variability and compensation at risk increases as an employee's function and level of responsibility increases. Our overall goals in implementing this philosophy are to attract, motivate, and retain highly qualified individuals responsible for guiding us and creating value for our investors.

Compensation objectives. We believe that the compensation program we follow helps us achieve the following objectives:

Compensation should be related to performance. We believe that the performance-based portion of an individual's total compensation should increase as the individual's business responsibilities increase. Thus, a material portion of executive compensation is linked to our financial performance, which also serves to align the named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders.

Compensation should be competitive and cost effective. We believe that our compensation program should foster an innovative, high integrity, and performance-oriented culture that serves to attract, motivate, and retain executives and other key employees with the appropriate skill sets to lead us through expected future growth in a dynamic, competitive, and highly regulated environment. Accordingly, we seek to provide compensation, in amounts and based on performance targets, necessary to achieve these goals and which is of fair value relative to other positions at the Company.

Company compensation policies. During 2017, our named executive officers' total in-service compensation consisted of base salaries, cash bonuses, share-based compensation, and other benefits generally available to all employees. With regard to these components, we adhere to the following compensation policies:

Cash should be a significant component of compensation. The Company's compensation policy focuses on providing the opportunity for its named executive officers to earn total cash compensation at levels that enable the Company to achieve the motivation and retention goals described above, and to provide equity incentives that are intended to reward superior performance rather than serve as a substitute for cash compensation.

Base salaries should generally be the largest component of cash compensation. Our compensation programs generally reflect our view that base salaries reflect compensation for the named executive officers to perform the essential elements of their respective jobs, and that cash bonuses are a reward for superior company performance. In this regard, absent clear outperformance of financial goals, for all of our named executive officers other than our Chief Executive Officer, base salary should generally be the largest component of cash compensation.

Cash incentives should be linked to performance. Under our Annual Cash Incentive Plan, bonuses paid to our named executive officers are based on overall company financial performance, but are subject to reduction based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the particular named executive officer's personal performance and contribution to our overall results.

Table of Contents

We believe our policies have helped us achieve our compensation objectives of attracting, motivating, retaining, and rewarding our key officers.

Compensation Programs Design and Elements of Compensation

We choose to pay each element of compensation to further the objectives of our compensation program, which, as noted, include the need to attract, motivate, retain, and reward key leaders critical to our success by providing competitive total compensation.

Elements of In-Service Compensation. For our 2017 fiscal year, our executive compensation mix included base salaries, performance-based cash bonuses, share-based compensation with vesting periods, and other benefits generally available to all employees. We seek to compensate our named executive officers at levels that eliminate the need for material perquisites and enable each individual officer to provide for his or her own needs, and in 2017, we did not provide any perquisites to our named executive officers. We generally determine the nature and amount of each element of compensation as follows:

Base salary. We typically agree upon a base salary with a named executive officer at the time of initial employment. The amount of base salary agreed upon, which is not at risk, reflects our views as to the individual executive's past experience, future potential, knowledge, scope of anticipated responsibilities, skills, expertise, and potential to add value through performance, as well as competitive industry salary practices. Although minimum base salaries for each of our current named executive officers are set by their respective employment agreements, as described below, we review executive officer salaries annually and may increase them based on an evaluation of the Company's performance for the year and the performance of the functional areas under a named executive officer's scope of responsibility. We also consider qualitative criteria, such as education and experience requirements, complexity, and scope or impact of the position compared to other executive positions internally.

Bonuses. We provide cash bonuses, which typically are at-risk, to recognize and reward our named executive officers based on our success in a given year. For 2017, we awarded performance-related bonuses under our Annual Cash Incentive Plan. The operation of this plan as it relates to our named executive officers is described in more detail below.

Share-based compensation. Prior to June 2017, the Company made grants of restricted stock and stock options under its 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan"). In January 2017, the Board of Directors approved, and at the University's 2017 annual meeting of stockholders held on June 14, 2017, the University's stockholders adopted a 2017 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2017 Plan") under which a maximum of 3,000,000 shares may be granted. In 2017, our named executive officers received grants of restricted stock under the 2008 plan, as our new 2017 Plan was not yet formally approved, as part of an overall, annual equity incentive grant made to Company employees. All future grants of equity incentives will be made from the 2017 Plan.

Other. We offer other employee benefits to named executive officers for the purpose of meeting current and future health and security needs for the executives and their families. These benefits, which we

generally offer to all eligible employees, include medical, dental, and life insurance benefits; short-term disability pay; long-term disability insurance; flexible spending accounts for medical expense reimbursements; a 401(k) retirement savings plan; and free tuition for a spouse or up to two children with no more than two participants receiving the tuition benefit at any one time. The 401(k) retirement savings plan is a defined contribution plan under Section 401(a) of the Code, into which employees may make pre-tax contributions into the plan, expressed as a percentage of compensation, up to prescribed IRS annual limits, with such contributions subject to a matching Company contribution up to prescribed limits.

Elements of Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits. The employment agreements of our named executive officers provide for post-termination salary and benefit continuation in the event of a termination by us without Cause (as defined below) or by the executive for Good Reason (as defined below) or in the event of any such termination within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control (as defined below), and for so long as the named executive officer abides by customary confidentiality, non-competition, and non-solicitation covenants and executes a full release of all claims, known or unknown, that the executive may have against the Company. We believe that the amounts of these payments and benefits and the periods of time during which they would be provided are fair and reasonable, and we have not historically taken into account any amounts that may be received by a named executive officer following termination when establishing current compensation levels. The elements of post-termination compensation that were in effect during 2017 pursuant to the written employment agreements consisted of the following:

Salary continuation. Each named executive officer would continue to receive salary payments for a period of twelve (12) months following any qualifying termination of employment.

Payment of target bonus. Each named executive officer would receive an additional amount equal to 100% of the named executive officer's annual target bonus for the year in which such termination occurs, with such amount also payable over twelve (12) months following any qualifying termination of employment.

Table of Contents

Benefits continuation. Each named executive officer would continue to receive Company-paid premiums for continued group health benefits under COBRA during the salary continuation period.

Partially accelerated vesting of equity incentives. Certain of our named executive officers would receive partial acceleration of the vesting of any outstanding stock options or restricted stock awards to the next vesting date immediately following the date of termination, in the event of a termination by us without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason.

Fully accelerated vesting of stock options. In the event of a termination by us without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control, each named executive officer would receive full acceleration of the vesting of their outstanding stock options or restricted stock awards.

See Executive Employment Agreements and Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control for additional detail.

Impact of Performance on Compensation

Under the Annual Cash Incentive Plan as in effect for 2017, a named executive officer's bonus is based on the Company's achievement of revenue and Adjusted EBITDA targets, with the resulting amount subject to reduction based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the particular named executive officer's personal performance and contribution to our overall results. For 2017, we defined Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the Annual Cash Incentive Plan in a manner consistent with the definition we use when reporting our financial results. See Item 6, *Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data*, beginning on page 42 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 for information regarding our use and calculation of Adjusted EBITDA. As such, we believe it is fair and reasonable to our executives to assess their individual performance on the same basis as our performance is assessed by our Board of Directors and investors.

Company performance. For our named executive officers, the financial metrics account for 100% of the target bonus, with the resulting amount subject to reduction based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the particular named executive officer's personal performance and contribution to our overall results. For other plan participants, the financial metrics account for 60% of the target bonus and specific individual performance goals account for 40% of the target bonus.

Individual performance. In reviewing individual performance, we look at an executive's achievement of non-financial objectives that, with respect to a given participant, may include achieving objectives related to, among other things, program development and expansion, regulatory compliance, student retention and academic outcomes.

Calculation of bonuses. For each named executive officer, the Compensation Committee establishes a target bonus, which is stated as a percentage of the named executive officer's base salary. For 2017, the bonus opportunity as a percentage of base salary for each of the named executive officers were as follows:

**Bonus Opportunity as a
Percentage of**

Name	Threshold	Base Salary Target	Maximum
Brian E. Mueller	50.00%	100.0%	150.00%
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	37.50%	75.0%	112.50%
Daniel E. Bachus	37.50%	75.0%	112.50%
Joseph N. Mildenhall	25.00%	50.0%	75.00%
Brian M. Roberts	25.00%	50.0%	75.00%

For each named executive officer, the financial metrics account for 100% of the target bonus, with the revenue target and the Adjusted EBITDA target accounting for 50% each. The actual percentage is determined on the basis of the Company's achievement of the revenue and Adjusted EBITDA targets that the Compensation Committee establishes for the applicable fiscal year. For participants to earn any payout under the plan, the Company must achieve at least 96% of both the revenue and Adjusted EBITDA goals. Assuming both of these thresholds are achieved, payouts are made based on the Company's achievement of a minimum of 96% of both the revenue goal and Adjusted EBITDA goal (resulting in a bonus of 50% of the target bonus) and a maximum of 104% of the revenue goal and 106% of the Adjusted EBITDA goal (resulting in a bonus of 150% of the target bonus). Performance between threshold and maximum levels results in prorated payments using straight-line interpolation.

Shown below is a summary of the matrix described above:

Goal	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Revenue goal			
(50.0% of financial metric)	96% of goal	100% of goal	104% of goal
Adjusted EBITDA			
(50.0% of financial metric)	96% of goal	100% of goal	106% of goal
Bonus payout as a % of target bonus	50%	100%	150%

Table of Contents

Under the Annual Cash Incentive Plan, the actual bonus that a named executive officer could earn for a given fiscal year ranges from 0% to a maximum of 150% of his or her annual target bonus. To illustrate how the plan functions, assume that a named executive officer's base salary for 2017 is \$300,000 and that the target bonus is 50% of base salary. Of this target bonus of \$150,000, \$75,000 (or 50%) would be paid based upon the Company's achievement of the revenue target and \$75,000 (or 50%) would be paid based on the Company's achievement of the Adjusted EBITDA target. If the revenue target is achieved at the threshold level (so only 50% of the revenue component is payable at that level) and the Adjusted EBITDA target is achieved at the target level (so that 100% of the Adjusted EBITDA component is payable at that level) the participant would be entitled to a potential bonus of \$112,500, calculated as \$37,500 (50% of the revenue component) plus \$75,000 (100% of the Adjusted EBITDA component).

The plan for eligible senior management other than the named executive officers differs in that it continues to include achievement of individual performance targets as a primary element of the bonus calculation, such that achievement of revenue and Adjusted EBITDA targets each account for 30% of target bonus and the achievement of individual performance targets accounts for 40% of target bonus. In addition, for eligible senior management other than the named executive officers, the bonus is calculated based on two six-month cycles, such that the determination of the bonus payable for each half of the applicable year is determined on the basis of the achievement of the revenue, Adjusted EBITDA and individual performance targets established for each such period.

Changes to performance goals and target awards. In accordance with the terms of the Annual Cash Incentive Plan, at any time prior to the final determination of bonuses earned, the Compensation Committee may adjust the performance goals and target awards to reflect a change in corporate capitalization (such as a stock split or stock dividend), or a corporate transaction (such as a merger, consolidation, separation, reorganization or partial or complete liquidation), or to reflect equitably the occurrence of any extraordinary event, any change in applicable accounting rules or principles, any change in the Company's method of accounting, any change in applicable law, or any other change of a similar nature. In addition, under the Annual Cash Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee may reduce (but not increase) the bonus amount for each named executive officer based on the committee's determination of the participant's achievement of personal and other performance goals established by the Compensation Committee and other factors as the committee determines.

2017 financial goals. The following table shows the company-wide financial goals established for the named executive officers for 2017. These financial goals were selected based upon a combination of the Company's internal budget and analyst estimates, which the Board of Directors believes establishes an appropriate level at which to set goals in order to maximize the incentive for superior performance.

	Threshold (96% of Goal)	Target (100% of Goal)	Maximum (104% of Goal for Revenue/106% of Goal for Adjusted EBITDA)
Revenue	\$ 912,000,000	\$ 950,000,000	\$ 988,000,000
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 316,846,080	\$ 330,048,000	\$ 349,850,880

Actual performance vs. compensation paid for 2017. For 2017, the Company achieved revenue of \$974.1 million, which is equal to 102.5% of the revenue target, and Adjusted EBITDA of \$354.6 million, which is equal to 107.3% of the Adjusted EBITDA target, resulting in performance payout percentages equal to 131.8% for the revenue component of the incentive bonus and 150.0% for the Adjusted EBITDA component of the incentive target bonus. Accordingly, the named executive officers achieved incentive bonuses as follows:

Name	2017 Bonus as				
	Revenue Performance Payout (%)	Adjusted EBITDA Performance Payout (%)	Percentage of Target Bonus (%)	2017 Bonus as Percentage of Base Salary(\$)	2017 Bonus (\$)
Brian E. Mueller	131.8%	150.0%	140.9%	140.9%	\$ 904,434
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	131.8%	150.0%	140.9%	105.7%	\$ 412,067
Daniel E. Bachus	131.8%	150.0%	140.9%	105.7%	\$ 412,067
Joseph N. Mildenhall	131.8%	150.0%	140.9%	70.4%	\$ 239,492
Brian M. Roberts	131.8%	150.0%	140.9%	70.4%	\$ 228,926

Table of Contents

2017 individual goals. The individual performance goals applicable to the named executive officers in 2017 focused on each executive's achievement of one or more objectives that related to their specific duties and responsibilities on behalf of the Company. For Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus, Mr. Mildenhall, and Mr. Roberts, one individual performance goal was to manage their individual departments within budget. The individual performance goals for Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, and Mr. Bachus included continuing to work on initiatives to increase the percentage of students studying in high retention rate programs, expanding public good projects in our surrounding neighborhoods and developing campus infrastructure. The individual performance goals for Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer and Mr. Bachus also included participation in a specified number of investor conferences and/or investor meetings. Mr. Mueller's individual performance goals included continuing to develop economic drivers to enhance the surrounding community and serve the public good. Dr. Meyer's individual performance goals included demonstrating leadership over key functional areas such as marketing, online operations and campus operations. Mr. Bachus' individual performance goals included managing the financial and reporting functions to ensure that no material weaknesses occurred within the Company's financial reporting structure. Mr. Mildenhall's individual performance goals included improving and building additional software engineering capabilities to address key needs in student scheduling, demonstration of improvement in application availability, managing the learning management system and overseeing the relationship with CampusVue and LoudCloud to ensure continued improvements are made to the product to eliminate manual processes. Mr. Roberts' individual performance goals included Company compliance with regulatory and Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations and timely resolution to litigation matters. Mr. Roberts' individual performance goals also included demonstrating leadership over key functional areas such as legal, real estate and government relations. Based on each individual named executive officer's achievement or substantial achievement of their individual performance goals, and in light of the superior financial performance achieved by the Company in 2017, the Compensation Committee determined that cash bonuses under the Annual Cash Incentive Plan should be paid out at the maximum level achieved as a result of the achievement of the company-wide financial goals, and that no reductions were otherwise merited.

New Equity Incentive Plan

Prior to June 2017, the Company made grants of restricted stock and stock options under its 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2008 Plan"). On January 26, 2017, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the 2017 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2017 Plan"), and at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders held on June 14, 2017, the Company's stockholders adopted the 2017 Plan under which a maximum of 3,000,000 shares may be granted. As of December 31, 2017 there were 2,016,782 shares available for grant under the 2017 Plan.

Equity Award Grant Policy

Our Board of Directors has approved a policy relating to the granting of stock options and other equity-based awards, which policy will apply to grants made under the 2017 Plan, if approved. Under this policy:

all stock option grants, restricted stock awards, and other equity based awards, which we collectively refer to as stock-based awards, must be approved by the Compensation Committee;

the date for determining the strike price and similar measurements for stock-based awards will be the date of the meeting (or a date shortly after the meeting) or, in the case of an employee, director, or consultant not yet hired, appointed, or retained, respectively, the subsequent date of hire, appointment, or retention, as the case may be;

we will not intentionally grant stock-based awards before the anticipated announcement of materially favorable news or intentionally delay the grant of stock-based awards until after the announcement of materially unfavorable news; and

the Compensation Committee will approve stock-based grants only for persons specifically identified at the meeting by management.

Table of Contents***Role of the Compensation Consultant***

The Compensation Committee has the sole authority, delegated from the Board of Directors, to appoint, compensate and oversee the work of our outside compensation consultant. In prior years, the Compensation Committee has retained Mercer as its consultant to assist the Compensation Committee with its responsibilities related to our executive compensation programs. In 2016, the executive compensation services that Mercer provided to the Compensation Committee included providing market benchmark information and advising on changes to executive officer and non-employee director compensation levels as well as best practices advice on certain compensation matters. In connection with its engagement of Mercer, the Compensation Committee determined that Mercer's work for the Compensation Committee did not raise any conflicts of interest, consistent with the guidance provided under the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC and the Nasdaq.

Effect of Accounting and Tax Treatment on Compensation Decisions***Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) Policy***

Prior to January 1, 2018, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 162(m)) generally placed a limit of \$1.0 million on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in any calendar year with respect to our Chief Executive Officer and each of our three most highly paid executive officers (excluding our Chief Financial Officer). However, an exception to the \$1.0 million limitation was provided for commission-based and performance-based compensation meeting certain requirements. Pursuant to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, which was signed into law on December 22, 2017 (the Tax Act), for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, the remuneration of a publicly-traded corporation's chief financial officer is also subject to the deduction limit. In addition, subject to certain transition rules (which apply to remuneration provided pursuant to written binding contracts which were in effect on November 2, 2017 and which are not subsequently modified in any material respect), for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, the exemptions from the deduction limit for commission-based and performance-based compensation are no longer available. Consequently, for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2017, all remuneration in excess of \$1.0 million paid to a specified executive generally will not be deductible. Our Compensation Committee reserves the discretion, in its judgment, to approve compensation payments that may not be deductible as a result of the deduction limit of Section 162(m) when it believes that such payments are appropriate to attract and retain executive talent and are in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

Internal Revenue Code Section 409A

Section 409A of the Code (Section 409A) requires that nonqualified deferred compensation be deferred and paid under plans or arrangements that satisfy the requirements of the statute with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Failure to satisfy these requirements can expose employees and other service providers to accelerated income tax liabilities and penalty taxes and interest on their vested compensation under such plans. Accordingly, as a general matter, it is our intention to design and administer our compensation and benefits plans and arrangements for all of our employees and other service providers, including our named executive officers, so that they are either exempt from, or satisfy the requirements of, Section 409A. With respect to our compensation and benefit plans that are subject to Section 409A, in accordance with Section 409A and regulatory guidance issued by the Internal Revenue Service, we believe we are currently operating such plans in compliance with Section 409A.

Accounting Standards

Grants of equity awards under our equity plan are recognized as compensation expense for the fair value of equity-based compensation awards. The Compensation Committee considers the accounting implications of significant compensation decisions, including in connection with decisions that relate to our equity award programs. As accounting standards change, we may revise certain programs to appropriately align accounting expenses of our equity awards with our overall executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

Conclusion

We believe that the compensation amounts paid to our named executive officers for their service in 2017 were reasonable and appropriate and in our best interests.

Table of Contents

Compensation Policies and Practices as Related to Risk Management

In connection with the preparation of this proxy statement, our Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed our compensation policies and practices for senior management, including our named executive officers. In this regard, the Compensation Committee took note of the fact that:

We pay base salaries we believe are competitive and that are generally intended to constitute the largest component of cash compensation. We believe that this emphasis on paying competitive base salaries that are not at risk for performance discourages inappropriate risk taking;

Our Annual Cash Incentive Plan focuses on the achievement of company-wide revenue and adjusted EBITDA targets, which prevents participants from being able to materially enhance their bonus prospects through excessive or inappropriate risk-taking;

The cash payments that may be made to our named executive officers under the Annual Cash Incentive Plan are subject to stated maximum limits, which we believe mitigates any risks that our named executive officers may take; and

The equity grants made to our named executive officers, and all other employees, under our equity plan all vest in annual increments over a period of five years, which we believe discourages excessive or inappropriate short-term risk taking.

Based on that review, and with input from management, the Compensation Committee has determined that there are no known potential risks arising from our compensation policies or practices that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents**Compensation of Named Executive Officers****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the total compensation earned for services rendered by our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers whose total compensation for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 was in excess of \$100,000 and who were serving as executive officers at the end of that fiscal year. The listed individuals are referred to herein as the named executive officers.

Name and Position	Year	Salary (\$)⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$)⁽²⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$)⁽⁴⁾	Total
Brian E. Mueller <i>Chief Executive Officer and Chairman</i> (Principal Executive Officer)	2017	\$642,000	\$1,249,916	\$904,434	\$3,564	\$2,799,914
	2016	\$642,000	\$1,230,132	\$784,240	\$3,564	\$2,659,936
	2015	\$666,692	\$1,578,375	\$771,363	\$3,564	\$3,019,994
Dr. W. Stan Meyer <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	2017	\$390,000	\$ 667,090	\$412,067	\$8,954	\$1,478,111
	2016	\$375,000	\$ 656,070	\$343,563	\$7,377	\$1,382,010
	2015	\$389,423	\$ 841,800	\$337,922	\$7,077	\$1,576,222
Daniel E. Bachus <i>Chief Financial Officer</i> (Principal Financial Officer)	2017	\$390,000	\$ 667,090	\$412,067	\$ 612	\$1,469,769
	2016	\$375,000	\$ 656,070	\$343,563	\$ 585	\$1,375,218
	2015	\$389,423	\$ 841,800	\$337,922	\$ 585	\$1,569,730
Joseph N. Mildenhall <i>Chief Information Officer</i>	2017	\$340,000	\$ 667,090	\$239,492	\$2,297	\$1,248,879
	2016	\$321,000	\$ 656,070	\$196,060	\$2,146	\$1,175,276
	2015	\$333,346	\$ 841,800	\$192,841	\$2,146	\$1,370,133
Brian M. Roberts <i>General Counsel</i>	2017	\$325,000	\$ 667,090	\$228,926	\$9,083	\$1,230,099
	2016	\$275,000	\$ 656,070	\$152,695	\$4,097	\$1,087,862
	2015	\$285,577	\$ 841,800	\$150,188	\$4,454	\$1,282,019

(1)

The amounts in this column reflect the base salary cash payments made in each year. The named executive officers last pay increase prior to 2017 occurred in April 2014. There were 27 payrolls in the 2015 calendar year, resulting in one additional pay period in 2015.

- (2) The amounts shown in this column reflect the compensation costs attributable to the restricted stock awards granted in 2017, 2016 and 2015. The compensation costs are based on the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award and do not take into account any estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, if any. Assumptions used in the calculation of the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award granted during the 2017, 2016, and 2015 fiscal years are set forth in Notes 2 and 13 to our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (3) The amounts in this column reflect non-equity incentive payments earned pursuant to our Annual Cash Incentive Plan.
- (4) In 2017, 2016, and 2015, the amounts in this column include company paid life insurance premiums for all named executive officers. For Dr. Meyer and Mr. Roberts, the amounts in this column also reflect matching payments made by the Company under our 401(k) plan. For Mr. Roberts, the amounts in this column also reflect payment for curriculum design of a program.

Table of Contents**2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to incentive plan awards under our Annual Cash Incentive Plan and our 2008 equity plan for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 to each of our named executive officers:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards ⁽²⁾
		Threshold(\$)	Target(\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Brian E. Mueller	Mar 28, 2017	\$ 321,000	\$ 642,000	\$ 963,000	17,800	\$ 1,249,916
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	Mar 28, 2017	\$ 146,250	\$ 292,500	\$ 438,750	9,500	\$ 667,090
Daniel E. Bachus	Mar 28, 2017	\$ 146,250	\$ 292,500	\$ 438,750	9,500	\$ 667,090
Joseph N. Mildenhall	Mar 28, 2017	\$ 85,000	\$ 170,000	\$ 255,000	9,500	\$ 667,090
Brian M. Roberts	Mar 28, 2017	\$ 81,250	\$ 162,500	\$ 243,750	9,500	\$ 667,090

- (1) These amounts reflect the Threshold, Target and Maximum bonuses payable to our named executive officers under our Annual Cash Incentive Plan (based on their base salaries as in effect at year end). All such awards have been paid, and the actual amounts paid are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) The amounts shown in this column reflect the compensation costs attributable to the restricted stock awards granted in 2017. The compensation costs are based on the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award and do not take into account any estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions, if any. Assumptions used in the calculation of the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award granted during the 2017 are set forth in Notes 2 and 13 to our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 included in our 2017 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**2017 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table provides certain summary information concerning outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2017.

Name	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾	Market Value of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested
Brian E. Mueller	150,000		\$ 15.34	March 11, 2021	87,880	\$ 7,867,896
	100,000		\$ 21.10	February 25, 2020		
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	80,000		\$ 15.34	March 11, 2021	46,876	\$ 4,196,808
	40,000		\$ 21.10	February 25, 2020		
Daniel E. Bachus	80,000		\$ 15.34	March 11, 2021	46,876	\$ 4,196,808
	40,000		\$ 21.10	February 25, 2020		
Joseph N. Mildenhall	19,900		\$ 21.10	February 25, 2020	46,876	\$ 4,196,808
Brian M. Roberts				-	43,676	\$ 3,910,312

- (1) The shares covered by the options granted to Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus, and Mr. Mildenhall vested in five successive equal annual installments upon the completion of each year of service with us over the five year period measured from the respective date of grant. All such options are fully vested and exercisable.
- (2) The restricted stock awards granted to Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus, Mr. Mildenhall, and Mr. Roberts vest in five successive equal annual installments upon the completion of each year of service with us over the five year period measured from the respective date of grant, subject to fully accelerated vesting in the event of a termination of employment without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason (each as defined and discussed below) within twelve (12) months following a change in control of the Company. Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus and Mr. Mildenhall also receive partial accelerated vesting through the next vesting date immediately following the date of termination, upon the termination of employment by us without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason (as further discussed below).

2017 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table provides certain summary information concerning option awards exercised by our named executive officers, and restricted stock awards made to our named executive officers that vested, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Name	Option Awards		Restricted Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Mr. Brian E. Mueller	209,788	\$ 12,202,822	46,320	\$3,002,763
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	141,315	\$ 8,237,141	24,704	\$1,601,474
Mr. Daniel E. Bachus	94,128	\$ 5,450,920	24,704	\$1,601,474
Mr. Joseph N. Mildenhall		\$	27,504	\$1,796,102
Mr. Brian M. Roberts		\$	18,304	\$1,209,042

Pay Ratio Disclosure

Under Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, we are required to provide the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Mueller, our Chief Executive Officer, to the annual total compensation of the median employee of the Company (the Pay Ratio Disclosure).

For 2017, our last completed fiscal year:

the median annual total compensation of all of our employees (other than the Chief Executive Officer) was \$24,263; and

the annual total compensation of Mr. Mueller, as reported above in the Summary Compensation Table, was \$2,799,914.

Based on this information, for 2017 the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Mueller, our Chief Executive Officer, to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees was 115.4 to 1.

Table of Contents

To identify the median of the annual total compensation of all our employees, as well as to determine the annual total compensation of our median employee, we took the following steps:

We determined that, as of December 31, 2017, our employee population consisted of 9,103 individuals, all of whom were located in the United States and of which 4,013, or 44.1%, were full-time employees, 1,989, or 21.8%, were full-time salaried employees, and 1,804, or 19.8%, were student employees. We selected December 31, 2017 as the date upon which we would identify the median employee because it enabled us to make such identification in a reasonably efficient and economical manner.

To identify the median employee from our employee population, we compared the amount of base salary of our employees as reflected in our payroll records and included as part of the total compensation reported to the Internal Revenue Service on Form W-2 for 2017. In making this determination, we annualized the compensation of approximately 802 full-time employees who were hired after January 1, 2017 but did not work for us for the entire fiscal year. We identified our median employee using this compensation measure, which was consistently applied to all our employees included in the calculation. Since all our employees are located in the United States, as is our Chief Executive Officer, we did not make any cost-of-living adjustments in identifying the median employee.

Once we identified our median employee, we combined all of the elements of such employee's compensation for 2017 in accordance with the requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, resulting in annual total compensation of \$24,263. The difference between such employee's base salary and the employee's annual total compensation represents the employee's overtime pay, annual bonus and company matching contributions on behalf of the employee to our 401(k) employee savings plan. Since we do not maintain a defined benefit or other actuarial plan for our employees, and do not otherwise provide a plan for payments or other benefits at, following, or in connection with retirement, the median employee's annual total compensation did not include amounts attributable to those types of arrangements.

The Pay Ratio Disclosure presented above is a reasonable estimate. Because the SEC rules for identifying the median employee and calculating the pay ratio allow companies to use different methodologies, exemptions, estimates, and assumptions, the Pay Ratio Disclosure may not be comparable to the pay ratio reported by other companies.

Alternative Pay Ratio

At December 31, 2017, we employed 1,804 student employees, representing 19.8% of our total employees, through work-study programs and other on-campus employment opportunities. In some instances, various laws and regulations limit the amount of time a student-employee can work for us and most do not work during the summer and holiday breaks. At December 31, 2017, we employed 1,378 adjunct faculty, representing 15.1% of our total employees. These are faculty instructors that are paid for course instruction on a course-by-course basis and therefore often take breaks between courses. Pursuant to Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, we are required to include our student-employees and adjunct faculty in our employee population when determining our median employee for purposes of the Pay Ratio Disclosure. If student employees and adjunct only employees were excluded from consideration in the Pay Ratio Disclosure, the annual total compensation of our median employee for 2017 would have been \$44,373 for 2017. As a result, the ratio of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer to the median annual total compensation of all other employees (excluding student employees and adjunct faculty) would have been approximately 63.1 to 1.

Executive Employment Agreements

We are party to employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. Each of the agreements have a four-year term that automatically renews for one year periods after the initial four-year term unless either party provides written notice that it does not wish to renew the respective agreement. On January 21, 2016, the Compensation Committee approved an amendment to the employment agreements for each of our named executive officers to re-set the term of each employment agreement, such that each agreement has been extended for an additional four-years through June 30, 2020. Except with respect to certain items of compensation, as described below, the terms of each agreement are similar in all material respects.

The agreements with each of Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus, Mr. Mildenhall, and Mr. Roberts provide for a base salary and a target bonus under our Annual Cash Incentive Plan.

Each agreement entitles the executive to receive customary and usual fringe benefits generally available to our senior management, and to be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket business expenses.

Each agreement entitles the executive to certain benefits upon his or her termination of employment under specified circumstances.

Table of Contents

In addition, each of the above employment agreements provides for payments upon certain terminations of the executive's employment, as described below.

Termination for Cause

Each of the employment agreements provides that if the named executive officer is terminated by us for Cause, the named executive officer will be entitled to receive only his or her base salary then in effect, prorated to the date of termination, and all fringe benefits through the date of termination, and all of such officer's unvested options and unvested restricted stock awards will terminate. For purposes of each of the employment agreements, Cause is defined as (a) acts or omissions constituting gross negligence, recklessness or willful misconduct on the part of the executive with respect to the executive's obligations or otherwise relating to the business of the Company; (b) the executive's material breach of the employment agreement; (c) the executive's breach of the Company's employee nondisclosure and assignment agreement; (d) the executive's conviction or entry of a plea of nolo contendere for fraud, misappropriation or embezzlement, or any felony or crime of moral turpitude; (e) the executive's inability to perform the essential functions of the executive's position, with or without reasonable accommodation, due to a mental or physical disability; (f) the executive's willful neglect of duties as determined in the sole and exclusive discretion of the Board of Directors, provided that the executive has received written notice of the action or omission giving rise to such determination and has failed to remedy such situation to the satisfaction of the Board of Directors within 30 days following receipt of such written notice, unless the executive's action or omission is not subject to cure, in which case no such notice shall be required, or (g) the executive's death.

Termination Without Cause or Termination for Good Reason

Each of the employment agreements provides that if the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us without Cause, or by the executive for Good Reason, the named executive officer will be entitled to receive his or her base salary then in effect, pro-rated to the date of termination, as well as a severance package consisting of the following:

a severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (i) twelve (12) months of the executive's base salary then in effect on the date of termination date (except for Mr. Roberts, whose payments would be equivalent to six (6) months of his base salary), and (ii) for Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus and Mr. Mildenhall, 100% of the executive's target bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs, with the total of such amounts to be payable over twelve (12) months in equal installments in accordance with the Company's regular payroll cycle, commencing with the first payroll date occurring on or after the sixtieth (60th) day following the date of the executive's termination of employment;

payment by us of the premiums required to continue the executive's group health care coverage for a period of twelve (12) months following the executive's termination (except for Mr. Roberts whose payments would be for a period of six (6) months following his termination) under the applicable provisions of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA), provided that the executive timely elects to continue and remains eligible for these benefits under COBRA, and does not become eligible for health coverage through another employer during this period; and

with respect to Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Bachus and Mr. Mildenhall, acceleration of the vesting of the next annual installment under any outstanding stock option or restricted stock award that otherwise would have vested on the next vesting date following the named executive officer's termination.

To receive the severance package, the executive must: (i) comply with all surviving provisions of his or her agreement, including the non-competition, non-solicitation, and confidentiality provisions described below, and (ii) execute a full general release, releasing all claims, known or unknown, that executive may have against us arising out of or in any way related to executive's employment or termination of employment with us. In addition, for options that previously vested, the executive has until the earlier of three months from the date of separation and the expiration of the applicable option to exercise such options.

For purposes of each of the employment agreements, "Good Reason" is defined as the occurrence of any of the following conditions without the executive's written consent, which condition remains in effect 90 days after the executive provides written notice to us of such condition: (a) a material reduction in the executive's base salary as then in effect prior to such reduction, other than as part of a salary reduction program among similar management employees, (b) a material diminution in the executive's authority, duties or responsibilities as an employee of the Company as they existed prior to such change, or (c) a relocation of the executive's principal place of work that increases the executive's one-way commute distance by more than 50 miles; provided that the executive will be deemed to have given consent to any such condition if the executive does not provide written notice to us of his or her intent to exercise such rights within 30 days following the first occurrence of such condition.

Table of Contents***Termination Upon a Change in Control***

Each of the employment agreements provides that if the named executive officer's employment is terminated by us without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, in each case upon or within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control, then, in addition to receiving his or her base salary then in effect, pro-rated to the date of termination, and the severance package described above, the named executive officer will also be entitled to acceleration of the vesting of all stock option and restricted stock awards held by such executive that have not yet vested as of the date of such termination. For purposes of each of the employment agreements, Change in Control is defined as any one of the following occurrences: (a) any person (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act), becomes the beneficial owner (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing more than 50% of the total fair market value or total combined voting power of our then-outstanding securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors; provided, however, that a Change in Control shall not be deemed to have occurred if such degree of beneficial ownership results from any of the following: (i) an acquisition of securities by any person who on the effective date of the employment agreement was the beneficial owner of more than 50% of such voting power, (ii) any acquisition of securities directly from us including, without limitation, pursuant to or in connection with a public offering of securities, (iii) any acquisition of securities by us, (iv) any acquisition of securities by a trustee or other fiduciary under a Company employee benefit plan, or (v) any acquisition of securities by an entity owned directly or indirectly by stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of the voting securities of the Company; (b) the sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (other than a sale or disposition to one or more subsidiaries of the Company), or any transaction having similar effect is consummated; (c) the Company is party to a merger or consolidation that results in the holders of voting securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto failing to continue to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity) more than 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or (d) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company.

Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Obligations

Each of the employment agreements prohibits the executives from engaging in any work that creates an actual conflict of interest with us, and includes customary non-competition and non-solicitation covenants that prohibit the executives, during their employment with us and for a period of twelve (12) months thereafter, from (i) owning (except ownership of less than 1% of any class of securities which are listed for trading on any securities exchange or which are traded in the over the counter market), managing, controlling, participating in, consulting with, rendering services for, or in any manner engaging in the operation of a for-profit, postsecondary education institution or any other business that is in the same line of business as us; (ii) soliciting funds on behalf of, or for the benefit of, any for-profit, postsecondary education institution (other than us) or any other entity that competes with us; (iii) soliciting our current or prospective students to be students for any other for-profit, postsecondary education institution; (iv) inducing or attempting to induce any of our employees to leave our employ, or in any way interfering with the relationship between us and any of our employees; or (v) inducing or attempting to induce any of our students, customers, suppliers, licensees, or other business partners to cease doing business with, or modify its business relationship with, us, or in any way interfere with or hinder the relationship between any such student, customer, supplier, licensee, or business partner and us. Each of the executives has separately entered into a confidentiality agreement with us.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control**

The following table provides information regarding the potential payments upon termination without Cause or for Good Reason, as well as upon termination without Cause or for Good Reason after a Change in Control of the Company, which would have been paid to each executive in the event he or she had been terminated as of December 31, 2017, the last business day of fiscal year 2017. All payments in connection with any such termination will comply with Section 409A of the Code, to the extent Section 409A applies. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from the Company.

	Termination without Cause or for Good Reason			Termination without Cause or for Good Reason following a Change in Control		
	Cash Payment	Benefits	Acceleration of Vesting of Shares and Options	Cash Payment	Benefits	Acceleration of Vesting of Shares and Options
Brian E. Mueller	\$ 1,284,000	\$ 18,857	\$ 3,257,101	\$ 1,284,000	\$ 18,857	\$ 7,867,896
Dr. W. Stan Meyer	682,500	18,997	1,737,240	682,500	18,997	4,196,808
Daniel E. Bachus	682,500	18,997	1,737,240	682,500	18,997	4,196,808
Joseph N. Mildenhall	510,000	12,652	1,737,240	510,000	12,652	4,196,808
Brian M. Roberts	162,500	9,499		162,500	9,499	3,910,312

- (1) Assumes a termination date of December 31, 2017, and is based on the executive's salary and target bonus in effect at such date.
- (2) Reflects the cost related to the continuation of the executive's health benefits for the period specified.
- (3) Calculated based on an assumed termination date of December 31, 2017 and the closing market price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on such date.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has discussed and reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based upon this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee:

Kevin F. Warren (Chair)
Jack A. Henry
Sara R. Dial

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 3

RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

What am I voting on? Stockholders are being asked to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP, a registered public accounting firm, to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

Voting recommendation: Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

Vote required: The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. Brokers have discretion to vote on the ratification of our independent auditors and, as such, no votes on this proposal will be considered broker non-votes. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected KPMG LLP (KPMG), an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2018. While it is not required to do so, our Audit Committee is submitting the selection of that firm for ratification in order to ascertain the view of our stockholders. In the event the stockholders fail to ratify the selection of KPMG, the adverse vote will be considered a direction to the Audit Committee to consider other auditors for next year. However, because of the difficulty in making any substitution so long after the beginning of the current year, the appointment of KPMG for fiscal 2018 will stand, unless the Audit Committee finds other good reason for making a change. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the Company's and its stockholders' best interests. Proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will, unless otherwise directed, be voted to ratify the appointment of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

A representative of KPMG will be present at the meeting, will be afforded an opportunity to make a statement if the representative so desires, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions during the meeting.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, KPMG billed us the amounts set forth below for professional services rendered in connection with audit, audit-related, tax and other professional services. All of the fees for audit, audit-related, tax and other services performed by KPMG were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the pre-approval policies and procedures described below.

Services Rendered	2017	2016
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 691,318	\$ 615,000
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees		
Total Fees	\$ 691,318	\$ 615,000

- (1) Audit Fees in 2017 and 2016 relate to services rendered for the audits of our annual consolidated financial statements including accounting and reporting consultations, for the review of our quarterly financial statements, and for services that are normally provided by the auditor in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Table of Contents

Approval of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Services and Fees

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy regarding pre-approval of audit and non-audit services performed by our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all engagements of our independent registered public accounting firm. The policy also highlights services the Audit Committee will and will not approve for audit and non-audit services. The policy requires that written documentation be provided by the independent registered accounting firm to the Audit Committee for all tax services.

The Audit Committee may, annually or from time to time, set fee levels for certain non-audit services, as defined in the policy, or for all non-audit services. Any engagements that exceed those fee levels must receive specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee may delegate to the Audit Committee chair authority to grant pre-approvals of permissible audit and non-audit services, provided that any pre-approvals by the chair must be reported to the full Audit Committee at the next scheduled meeting.

On a regular basis, management provides written updates to the Audit Committee regarding the amount of audit and non-audit service fees incurred to date. All of the services described above for fiscal years 2017 and 2016 were approved by our Audit Committee.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board that outlines its responsibilities and the practices it follows. You can view the charter on the Company's website, www.gcu.edu. The Audit Committee reviews and assesses the adequacy of its charter at least annually and, when appropriate, recommends changes to the Board to reflect the evolving role of the Audit Committee. The duties of the Audit Committee as set forth in its charter are summarized in this proxy statement under *Committees of Our Board of Directors*.

The Audit Committee is composed of three, non-employee directors, each of whom the Board has determined meet the independence and financial literacy requirements of the Nasdaq Stock Market and additional, heightened independence criteria applicable to members of the Audit Committee under SEC and Nasdaq Stock Market rules. The Board has designated each of Jack A. Henry, David J. Johnson and Kevin F. Warren as audit committee financial experts under the SEC rules.

Primary Responsibilities of the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee's Activities in 2017

The Audit Committee represents and assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility relating to the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process, the systems of internal accounting and financial controls, the internal audit function and the annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements. The Audit Committee oversees the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent auditors' qualifications and independence, the performance of the Company's internal audit function and the independent auditors, and the Company's ethical compliance programs, including the Company's codes of conduct. The Audit Committee's process includes working with the Company's Chief Risk Officer and other members of the Company's enterprise risk management team, meeting periodically with the Chief Risk Officer and other members of management and receiving reports on enterprise risk management, including management's assessment of risk exposures (including risks related to liquidity, credit, operations and regulatory compliance, among others), and the processes in place to monitor and control such exposures.

During 2017, among other things, the Audit Committee:

Engaged KPMG LLP (KPMG) as our independent auditors;
met with the senior members of the Company s financial management team at each regularly scheduled meeting;
held separate private sessions, during its regularly scheduled meetings, with each of KPMG and our Chief Risk Officer, at which candid discussions regarding financial management, legal, accounting, auditing and internal control issues took place;
received periodic updates on management s process to assess the adequacy of the Company s system of internal control over financial reporting, the framework used to make the assessment and management s conclusions on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting;
discussed with KPMG the Company s internal control assessment process, management s assessment with respect thereto and KPMG s evaluation of the Company s system of internal control over financial reporting;
reviewed and discussed with management and KPMG the Company s periodic reports prior to filing with the SEC;
reviewed the Company s internal audit plan and the performance of the Company s internal audit function;

Table of Contents

reviewed with senior members of the Company's financial management team, KPMG, and our Chief Risk Officer the overall audit scope and plans, the results of internal and external audits, evaluations by management and the independent auditors of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and the quality of the Company's financial reporting; and reviewed with management, including our Chief Risk Officer and General Counsel, and KPMG significant risks and exposures identified by management, the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's legal, regulatory and ethical compliance programs, including the Company's code of conduct and cybersecurity programs.

2017 Audited Financial Statements

One of the Audit Committee's primary responsibilities is to assist the Board in overseeing the Company's management and independent registered public accounting firm in regard to our financial reporting and internal controls over financial reporting. In performing our oversight function, we relied upon advice and information received in our discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

We have (a) reviewed and discussed our Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, with management; (b) discussed with our Company's independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard Number 1301, *Communications with Audit Committees* (PCAOB General Auditing Standards 1300, *Auditor Communications*); (c) received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; and (d) discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

Based on the review and discussions with management and our independent registered public accounting firm referred to above, we recommended to our Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee:

Jack A. Henry (Chair)

David J. Johnson

Kevin F. Warren

Table of Contents**BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK**

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2018 for:

each person, or group of affiliated persons, known to us to own beneficially 5% or more of our outstanding common stock;

each of our directors;

each of our named executive officers; and

all of our directors and named executive officers as a group.

The information in the following table has been presented in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Under SEC rules, beneficial ownership of a class of capital stock includes any shares of such class as to which a person, directly or indirectly, has or shares voting power or investment power and also includes any shares as to which a person has the right to acquire such voting or investment power within 60 days of the date set forth above through the exercise of any stock option, warrant or other right. If two or more persons share voting power or investment power with respect to specific securities, each such person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of such securities. Except as we otherwise indicate below and under applicable community property laws, we believe that the beneficial owners of the common stock listed below, based on information they have furnished to us, have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown. Unless otherwise noted below, the address for each holder listed below is 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017.

The calculations of beneficial ownership in this table are based on 48,221,844 shares outstanding at March 31, 2018.

	Common Stock	
	Amount and Nature of	
	Beneficial	
	Ownership	Percent of Class⁽¹⁾
Principal Stockholders:		
The Vanguard Group 23-1945930	4,149,027	8.6%
FMR LLC ⁽³⁾	4,178,772	8.7%
BlackRock Inc. ⁽⁴⁾	3,337,084	6.9%
Directors and Named Executive Officers:		
Brian E. Mueller ⁽⁵⁾	528,739	1.1%
Dr. W. Stan Meyer ⁽⁶⁾	217,261	0.5%
Daniel E. Bachus ⁽⁷⁾	224,023	0.5%
Joseph N. Mildenhall ⁽⁸⁾	107,652	0.2%
Brian M. Roberts	46,185	0.1%
Sara R. Dial	8,885	*

Jack A. Henry	16,396	*
Kevin F. Warren	8,954	*
David J. Johnson	14,056	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	1,172,151	2.4%

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1.0%

- (1) The percentage of beneficial ownership as to any person as of a particular date is calculated by dividing the number of shares beneficially owned by such person, which includes the number of shares as to which such person has the right to acquire voting or investment power within 60 days after such date, by the sum of the number of shares outstanding as of such date plus the number of shares as to which such person has the right to acquire voting or investment power within 60 days after such date. Consequently, the denominator for calculating beneficial ownership percentages may be different for each beneficial owner.
- (2) This information for The Vanguard Group 23-1945930 (Vanguard) is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 9, 2018. The address for Vanguard is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. Vanguard reported that it had sole voting power over 91,324 shares, shared voting power over 5,629 shares, sole dispositive power over 4,055,748 shares, and shared dispositive power over 93,279.
- (3) This information for FMR LLC (FMR) is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2018. The address for FMR is 245 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210. FMR reported that it had sole voting power over 1,396,283 shares and sole dispositive power over all of its reported shares.

Table of Contents

- (4) This information for BlackRock Inc. (BlackRock) is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 25, 2018. The address for BlackRock is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055. BlackRock reported that it had sole voting power over 3,230,497 shares and sole dispositive power over all of its reported shares.
- (5) Consists of 278,739 shares owned by Mr. Mueller and 250,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days for by Mr. Mueller.
- (6) Consists of 97,261 shares owned by Dr. Meyer and 120,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days for by Dr. Meyer.
- (7) Consists of 104,023 shares owned by Mr. Bachus and 120,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days for by Mr. Bachus.
- (8) Consists of 87,752 shares owned by Mr. Mildenhall and 19,900 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days for by Mr. Mildenhall.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Policies and Procedures for Related Party Transactions

We have adopted a written related party transactions policy, pursuant to which our executive officers, directors and principal stockholders, including their immediate family members, are not permitted to enter into a related party transaction with us without the prior consent of our Audit Committee. Any request for us to enter into a transaction with an executive officer, director, principal stockholder or any of such persons' immediate family members or affiliates, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, must be presented to our Audit Committee for review, consideration and approval. All of our directors, executive officers and employees are required to report to our Audit Committee any such related party transaction. In approving or rejecting the proposed agreement, our Audit Committee will take into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction. Under the policy, if we should discover related party transactions that have not been pre-approved, the Audit Committee will be notified and will determine the appropriate action, including ratification, rescission or amendment of the transaction. In addition, under the policy, certain types of transactions have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee, including employment arrangements with executive officers, director compensation, transactions where all stockholders receive proportional benefits, transactions involving competitive bids, regulated transactions, and banking-related service transactions.

Certain Transactions

There were no reportable transactions since January 1, 2017, in which the Company was or is to be a participant and involving our directors, executive officers, beneficial owners of more than 5% of our common stock, and some of the entities with which the foregoing persons are affiliated or associated, and in which the amount involved exceeds or will exceed \$120,000.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires that our Company's directors and executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the Company's common stock file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Directors and executive officers are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company and written representations from our Company's directors and executive officers, all reports required by Section 16(a) were filed on a timely basis for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, except that each of Mr. Mueller, Dr. Meyer and Mr. Bachus filed a Form 4 one day late with respect to the exercise and sale of 30,000, 20,000 and 14,000 options, respectively, in February 2017. All three Form 4s were administratively one day late, but were filed prior to the next day's market opening.

Table of Contents

HOUSEHOLDING OF PROXY MATERIALS

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries such as brokers to satisfy delivery requirements for annual reports, proxy statements, and Notices of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single annual report, proxy statement, or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially provides extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies. Brokers with account holders who are stockholders of the Company may be householding the Company's proxy materials. Once you have received notice from your broker that it will be householding materials to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate annual report, proxy statement, or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or if you are receiving multiple copies thereof and wish to receive only one, please notify your broker or notify the Company by sending a written request to Grand Canyon Education, Inc., 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona, 85017, Attn: Investor Relations, or by calling (602) 639-7500.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Our 2017 annual report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year 2017, including financial statements, are available electronically along with our proxy statement to all stockholders of record as of April 19, 2018, including those stockholders whose shares are held in a brokerage, bank or similar account, who will receive the same mailing from the organization holding the account. **Stockholders who wish to obtain a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, and our proxy statement may do so without charge by writing to Investor Relations, Grand Canyon Education, Inc., 3300 W. Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85017.**

As of the date of this proxy statement, management knows of no matters that will be presented for determination at the Annual Meeting other than those referred to herein. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting calling for a vote of stockholders, it is intended that the persons named in the proxies solicited by our Board of Directors, in accordance with their best judgment, will vote the shares represented by these proxies.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Brian E. Mueller

Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

Dated: April 26, 2018

Table of Contents

IMPORTANT ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED
BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:00 p.m., Pacific Time, on Tuesday, June 12, 2018.

Vote by Internet

Go to
www.envisionreports.com/LOPE

Or scan the QR code with your
smartphone

Follow the steps outlined on the
secure website


Using a **black ink** pen, mark your
votes with an **X** as shown in this

example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message



IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

A Proposals The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR all the nominees listed.

1. Election of Directors:	For	Against	Abstain	For	Against	Abstain	For	Against	Abstain
01 - Brian E. Mueller									
04 - Kevin F. Warren									
				02 - Sara R. Dial					
				05 - David J. Johnson					
							03 - Jack A. Henry		

Table of Contents

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** Proposals 2 and 3.

For Against Abstain

For Against Abstain

2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Proxy Statement.

3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

B Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below** Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear on the proxy. If held in joint tenancy, all persons must sign. Trustee, administrators, etc., should include title and authority. Corporations should provide the full name of corporation and the title of the authorized officer signing the proxy.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

/ /
02SVCB

Table of Contents

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Wednesday, June 13, 2018

9:00 a.m. Arizona time

Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center

3300 W. Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85017

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder

Meeting to Be Held on June 13, 2018. This proxy statement and our annual report

for the year ended December 31, 2017, are available and may be viewed at

www.edocumentview.com/LOPE.

IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

Proxy Grand Canyon Education, Inc.

Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Grand Canyon University Antelope Reception Center

3300 W. Camelback Road

Phoenix, Arizona 85017

Proxy Solicited by Board of Directors for Annual Meeting Wednesday, June 13, 2018

Brian E. Mueller, Daniel E. Bachus, and Brian M. Roberts, or any of them, each with the power of substitution, are hereby authorized to represent and vote the shares of the undersigned, with all the powers which the undersigned would possess if personally present, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Grand Canyon Education, Inc. to be held on Wednesday, June 13, 2018 or at any postponement or adjournment thereof.

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, WILL BE VOTED FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS PROXY CARD, AND FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

In their discretion, the Proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

(Items to be voted appear on reverse side.)

C Non-Voting Items

Change of Address Please print new address below.