1st United Bancorp, Inc. Form 425 May 08, 2014 VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 1

Filed by: Valley National Bancorp

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933

Subject Company: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. SEC Registration Statement No.: 001-34462

Final Transcript

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK: Valley National Bancorp

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

### **SPEAKERS**

Dianne Grenz - Valley National Bank Gerald Lipkin - Valley National Bank Alan Eskow - Valley National Bank Rudy Schupp - 1<sup>st</sup> United Bank

## **PRESENTATION**

Good day, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for standing by, and welcome to Valley National Bank conference call. At this time, all participants in a listen-only mode. Later, we'll conduct a question and answer session. Instructions will follow at that time. (Operator's instructions) As a reminder, this conference is being recorded. I would now like to turn the conference over to our host, Dianne Grenz. You may begin.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 2

Good morning. Welcome to our conference call to discuss the announced merger of Valley National Bancorp and 1<sup>st</sup> United Bancorp Bank. If you've not read the press release we issued early this morning, you may access Dianneit along with the investor slides regarding the proposed merger through Valley National's Web site at valleynationalbank.com or from United Web site at 1stunitedbankfl.com or on the Forms 8-K which were filed this morning with the SEC at their Web site at www.sec.gov.

Comments made during this call may contain forward-looking statements relating to Valley National Bancorp, 1<sup>st</sup> United Bancorp, the merger and the banking industry. We encourage participants to refer to Valley's and ½ United's joint press release regarding the merger and each party's SEC filings, including Forms 8-K, 10-K, and 10-Q for a complete discussion of forward-looking statements.

Also, this call may be deemed to be soliciting materials of Valley and 1<sup>st</sup> United in connection with the proposed merger of 1<sup>st</sup> United into Valley. Shareholders of both companies are urged to read the joint proxy statement and perspectives that will be included in the registration statement on Form S-4, which Valley will file with the SEC in connection with the proposed merger because it will contain important information about Valley, 1<sup>st</sup> United, the merger, and related matters. And now, we'd

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 3

like to turn the call over to Valley's Chairman, President and CEO, Gerald Lipkin.

Thank you, Dianne. Good morning, and welcome to our conference call. Accompanying this morning's Gerald comments is an investor presentation, which was filed under Form 8-K and may be assessed on Valley's and I<sup>t</sup> United's Web site. In my prepared remarks, I will reference the investor presentation.

Joining me this morning are Warren Orlando, Chairman of 1st United Bancorp; Rudy Schupp, CEO of 1st United Bancorp; John Marino, President and CFO of 1st United Bancorp, and Alan Eskow, Senior Executive Vice President and CFO of Valley National Bank. Also in the room are Peter Crocitto, Senior Executive Vice President and COO and Ira Robbins, Executive Vice President and Treasurer.

I have the pleasure to announce Valley's expansion into the Florida marketplace through our merger with It United Bancorp, as well as a well-managed and growing commercial bank. This transaction will provide Valley an entrée into one of the fastest growing growth markets within the United States and augment Valley's current franchise. Warren, Rudy, John and the entire employee base of 1st United have built an organization we respect with a strong and similar culture to Valley located

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 4

in one of the most striking demographic regions. In 1st United, we believe we have found the perfect fit to grow our franchise.

As summarized on page four of the investor presentation, this bold and unique strategy we are announcing today is appealing to the future growth of Valley largely due to the overall attractiveness of the Florida marketplace, the competitive position, attractive client base, and management team of 1<sup>st</sup> United; the financial metrics which Alan will discuss shortly, and the extremely low risk we see in this transaction.

On pages five and six, you'll note the decision to expand Valley's branch footprint beyond the New Jersey and New York Metropolitan marketplace was not taken lightly. In 2001, Valley made the strategic decision to enter the New York marketplace. Although a natural extension from a geographic perspective, many of the challenges encountered in entering New York resonate with our decision today. We have proven unequivocally that Valley's approach to traditional relationship-based commercial banking is both portable and effective when executed appropriately.

Since our initial entrée into New York, we have created a New York specific franchise with over \$3 billion in both loans and deposits and on a stand-alone basis, would be the 12<sup>th</sup> largest commercial bank

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 5

headquartered in New York. Today, much of our new loan volume is attributable to Valley's decision over 10 years ago to diversify geographically. Valley National Bank and New York are now synonymous with one another. We now intend to create a similar value-added franchise in Florida's most desirable urban markets.

Valley's decision to diversify geographically was officially enhanced by both the current and perspective economic conditions of each region. In large part, as a result of Florida's business-friendly environment, over the last three years, the growth in gross metro product of Valley's new Florida footprint is tripled that of the current market in which Valley operates. Further, the growth prospects continue to be favorable both from a corporate and consumer perspective. The corporate tax rate in Florida is 5.5%, nearly 40% less than New Jersey and New York. More importantly, the personal income and inheritance tax in Florida is non-existent, which is a significant factor to entrepreneurs seeking to either establish or relocate their businesses. Keep in mind these are the bread and butter type of customers we typically serve at Valley.

In merging with 1<sup>st</sup> United, we are not only obtaining a profitable commercial bank with a seasoned and talented management team, but more importantly, gaining entrée into a pure growth market at pricing multiples far more favorable than those we believe are available for

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 6

desirable acquisition targets in Valley's current New Jersey or New York footprint. In short, in P United, Valley is obtaining future earnings at a fair multiple while simultaneously gaining a foothold into a more favorable economic growth market.

As reflected on slide six of the investor presentation, approximately 63% of Florida's population and much of the business growth is aggregated in the MSAs in which 1st United is currently situated. The personal income and population growth in these demographics is staggering and equally integral in Valley's decision to partner with It United.

As you'll notice on slide seven, franchise growth is a critical factor in the current environment of soaring regulatory course and compressed margins caused by unprecedented low interest rates. Since our last acquisition in 2012, we continue to actively seek other merger candidates and now have found such a perfect partner. On slide seven, we've identified a few of the motives which drove our decision to specifically merge with 1st United.

The management experience of Rudy, Warren and Jon are second to none. They have demonstrated an uncanny ability to grow opportunistically by a both organic means and mergers and acquisitions. We anticipate Rudy will spearhead the growth in Valley's Florida franchise through similar

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 7

methods utilized at 1st United. And now, I'd like to call on Rudy to provide a brief overview of Ft United.

Rudy Thanks, Gerry. Thanks, very much; glad to be here and thanks for all of you dialing in today.

We did start a bank by buying a bank back in 2003 called the Advantage Bank and our proposition probably is much like in terms of values and ethics of that which we've seen here at Valley. We did focus on commercial and corporate banking as our power ally if you well. And as a function that and headquartering in Boca Raton, we were able to lift the company to a \$1.7 billion in aggregate assets and to become the seventh largest bank headquartered in Florida by measure of deposits, which are about \$1.4 billion today.

And actually, just like Valley, which is one of the reasons we got very excited about Valley is they conducted so much of the business, particularly in commercial corporate, the way we do. That fit is always nice and we see an amiable deposit base at Valley, and we've been boasting about our deposit base, 38% in DDA, which is hard one customer at a time and in our case, largely corporate commercial, for years.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 8

We also are not strangers to acquisitive growth to complement organic growth. While organic growth was a little muted in the recession, we tended to buy our growth, which was three failed banks, for example, in that period supplemented by a couple of healthy banks. And today, organic growth is revived in late-'12 and in '13 and so, we're kind of happy as long-time bankers to see that we can grow organically every day in Florida because when you make it yourself, you make it the way you want to if you will, shape loans and so on. So, we bought eight banks over the years, and we think we can be helpful to the greater Valley and that connection in Florida.

Lastly, I think we have very strong regulatory relationships. I was privileged to sit on the Federal Reserve Bank for the Southeast in Atlanta for many years. John would tell you that was maybe as much a curse as a pleasure because they spent more time looking at us, but we earned our good grades we believe in building our books of business and so.

This chart also on page eight shows you a basic statistical profile of the company, which we can certainly expand on at any point, but thanks so much for including us today.

Gerald So, thank you, Rudy. Alan, please provide them now with a financial overview of the terms associated with the transaction.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 9

Thank you, Gerry. So, the structure of this transaction is 100% common stock. The shareholders of 1st United Alan will receive approximately 30.7 million shares in an exchange ratio of where they're receiving .89 shares of Valley stock in exchange for each of their shares.

The transaction, using the date of the 5<sup>th</sup> of May and a closing price of Valley at \$9.83, will have a total value of \$312 million and that does include options that will be cashed out as part of the transaction. We expect this to be a non-taxable merger.

The price-to-tangible book is 1.8 times. Price-to-2015 estimated EPS is 17.8 times, and that does not include any of the cost saves. There's a market premium of 19.8% to the ft United shareholders. Again, all this is based upon a May 5th closing price of Valley.

The earnings are expected to be accretive to Valley in 2015 and again, this does not include all the synergies that we expect to achieve in this transaction, which Gerry will speak about a little bit later. Tangible book value will have a 2.7% dilution approximately, and we expect the earn back to be 4.25 years. Capital will be immediately accretive to tier one risk-based capital and to TCE/TA. The loan mark was 1.93% of their non-

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 10

covered loans, again, which is not substantially higher than the allowance that they had on their books.

Cost saves are anticipated to be 25% of their 2013 non-interest expense while it'll be about 28% of their 2014 projected non-interest expense. There will be about \$26 million of after-tax one-time charges. Our staff went down and did due diligence on their loan portfolio, and we covered 70% of their non-covered loan portfolio. Their portfolio of non-covered loans is about \$929 million. Our approvals for this transaction will be the normal approvals, which will be regulatory, the FDIC Loss Share agreements, the 1st United shareholder approval for the merger, and Valley receiving approval of its shareholders to increase its common stock authorization.

As Gerry spoke about, we're inheriting a very strong Florida management team, and we expect the closing to take place around the fourth quarter of 2014.

Thank you, Alan. On slide 10, we've identified a few of the high-level synergies we believe are obtainable Gerald within short order. Please keep in mind that we have not included many of these synergies in the financial terms in which Alan just reviewed.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 11

Presently, consumer lending in the form of residential mortgages and indirect automobile lending account for almost 30% of Valley's loan portfolio. Internally, we view these businesses as complementary to Valley's core commercial lending competence. To date, since these products and services have not been emphasized by 1st United, we believe there is significant opportunity to expand and integrate the consumer business lines through 1st United's branch and lending network.

For many prior years, nearly 100% of Valley's indirect automobile paper was originated across multiple geographies ranging from Florida to Canada through a relationship with an independent third party insurance company. Presently, we originate over 30% of our indirect auto from states and geographies not supported by Valley's branch network. All consumer loans are sourced locally, underwritten centrally in New Jersey and closed back in the field. Hence, the organizational structure, process and management are currently in place to expand this product line to Florida.

Similarly, we have established a first rate residential mortgage department, which over the last two years, originated and processed nearly \$3.5 billion of new loans. We believe the internal processes developed and infrastructure designed to facilitate this level of activity is portable, and we

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 12

will provide tremendous value to the 1st United customers and geographies in which they operate.

Also, as with our auto loans, many of our residential mortgages are sourced in the branches, underwritten in New Jersey, and closed in the field. As with many of Valley's past acquisitions, the ability to enhance the commercial borrower relationship through an expanded lending limit is one of the most profitable and easily obtainable enhancements. Most of 1st United's current commercial loans are under \$5 million, which is several times less than Valley's current hold limit. The ability to provide additional capacity to \$t\$ United's current commercial base while simultaneously allowing their lenders to seek larger transactions should increase volume quickly.

In addition to the new lending synergies enhancements, the 1<sup>st</sup> United delivery channels will not only reduce operating expenses, but provide expanded benefits to the current customer base and allow for marketing of additional products and services. As an example, within the next week, Valley will be introducing an enhanced mobile banking app, complete with our mobile remote deposit feature. The development and institution of new technologies and more importantly, the ability to leverage the cost across a larger scale is more important than ever. Streamlining

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 13

efficiencies and capitalizing on economies of scale is a priority and mergers such as this transaction enable Valley to expedite the process.

On slide 11, we point out that technology and the development of new products and services not only benefits Valley's customers and reduces overhead, but to a large degree, enables a regional bank such as Valley to expand geographically without encountering significant execution risk. As described on slide 11, Valley's current adoption and integration of technology such as remote deposit capture and video conferencing will be deployed in Florida and utilized to manage risk and assimilate the Florida franchise.

In my 39 years with Valley, we have executed and successfully integrated over 25 individual bank acquisitions. The team we have in place is exceptional and well versed in maximizing cost efficiencies while making every effort to make the transaction seamless for every customer. We take great pride in establishing minimal account disruption, which leads to greater retention of both staff and customers.

As evidenced on slide 11, we historically convert the entire data system of the acquired institutions onto Valley's network within 90 days of closing the transaction while allowing most customers to retain their existing account numbers. This speed not only expedites the anticipated cost

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 14

saves, but further reduces customer attrition. I might add we are very proud that we operate the entire Valley system, all of its offices on a single platform online real time. In addition, we entered into a three-year employment agreement with Rudy Schupp and employment agreements with Warren Orlando and John Marino for varying terms which we believe will be significant in maintaining both customer relations and continued growth.

With our expansion into Florida, we want to be very clear to our customers, employees, shareholders and communities in which we presently operate. We are by no means abandoning our current footprint, or diminishing efforts to maximize the enormous existing opportunity within our current geography. We remain open to additional M&A opportunities in our marketplace. We have every intention to enhance our efforts to expand the New Jersey and New York loan portfolios. We are fortunate to operate in one of the most densely populated and wealthy demographics within the world, and we intend to continue to expand our New Jersey and New York Metropolitan footprint.

During the fourth quarter of 2013, we reported annualized non-covered loan growth of nearly 7%. During the most recently quarter-end, we reported annualized non-covered loan growth of 5%. We believe there is

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 15

opportunity in our marketplace, and we intend to demonstrate solid loan growth consistent with the economic conditions prevalent.

That being said, we believe the present growth opportunities in Florida exponentially enhance Valley's expansion prospects and provide a springboard for additional future organic and M&A growth. Alan will now provide a brief overview of the pro forma bank as reflected on slides 14 through 16 of the presentation.

Thank you, Gerry. So, we do have a financial summary here, which shows on page 14 Valley by itself/1st United by itself, both as of March 31, 2014 and the pro form impact of the combination of the two organizations. Assets will go from \$16.3 billion of Valley to \$18.1 billion. We will go from 204 branches adding 1st United's 21 to 225 Alanbranches and capital ratios, as you can see on this page, TCE to tangible assets will go up from 6.91% to 7.0% TCE to risk-weighted assets will go from 9.21% to 9.40%. Our leverage ratio will stay approximately the same. Our tier one risk-based capital ratio will go up from 9.72% to 9.9% and our total risk-based capital ratios will stay approximately the same. So, you can see it has a nice impact on our capital ratios.

If you turn over to slide 15, the loan composition, there's a lot of detail on this page showing each of the institutions separately. But I think at the

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 16

end of the day, 1st United contributes about 9% to our total loans in the combined organization. One of the things is that they are also strong in commercial real estate with about 62% of their loans in that category.

When you get to the last column in the last pie chart and you look at the results in terms of the percentage of the various types of loans, basically they stay pretty much the same. There's a slight increase in commercial real estate. Valley was at 44% and with 1st United's 62% we go to 46%. There is some change also in a couple of the other areas, but they're very, very slight. So, the total loan book will be about \$12,854,000,000.

On page 16 where we show you the deposit composition; again, very similar in terms of what we look like before and after. Again, 1<sup>st</sup> United will contribute about 11.25% of deposits. One of the things we like very much about them is that their non-interest bearing are also very substantial relative to their total deposit portfolio at 38%. Valley is at 33%, and I think as everybody knows that follows Valley, we strive to have as much non-interest bearings as we can on our book. So at the end of the day, we will have \$4,245,000,000 of non-interest bearing or 33%, which really is no change from what you've been looking at at Valley all along. Gerry.

Gerald Thank you, Alan. In conclusion, although the look of Valley on a map may be different, our approach to traditional relationship commercial

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 17

banking will not change. Throughout my tenure at Valley, we have remained a plain vanilla commercial bank and going forward, we plan to stick to our niche. Our focus on credit quality and serving the communities in which we operate will remain paramount.

We are extremely excited and looking forward to a successful integration. We believe the combination of both banks will deliver significant returns to both our Valley and now our 1st United shareholders. With that, I will now open the conference to questions.

Moderator (Operator's instructions) Our first question comes from Steven Alexopoulos from JP Morgan. Your line is open.

Steven Good morning, everyone.

GeraldGood morning, Steve.

Steve I see the price-to-estimated earnings on this one slide is around 18 times. Is that your estimate for earnings, or are you using consensus numbers?

Alan No, we're using consensus numbers.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 18

Steve Okay. Nothing against rely on consensus, but could you give us your estimate for bottom line net income at preand post-cost savings being realized from the deal?

Alan We think that they're going to run pretty close to what the estimates have shown, plus our cost saves, which we've given you the percentages there.

Steve Yes. So, Alan, on the cost saves of 25%, should we be basically taking 25% out of \$15 million of expenses last year for 1st United, or about \$12.5 million?

Alan Yes, that's about right.

Steve Okay. Given it's a market extension deal, that seems a bit on the high side. Could you give us a breakdown of where you intend to get the cost saves from to that degree?

I think a lot of is in—there will be some staffing changes. I mean, remember, there is always some overlap on the staffing side. In addition, there's a large lease down there that's going to be changing over that's going to save us a substantial amount of money, something that's coming due. A lot of computer costs are always factored in, and they have a fairly high computer cost and we're going to convert them, obviously, as soon as

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 19

we can, which I would anticipate would probably take 90 days or thereabouts to make that occur. In addition, some of just the incentive comp numbers that are out there.

Gerald There's also a substantial board fee savings.

Alan Right. I mean there's the normal - there's board fees, the insurance, the accounting fees, etc., etc.

Steve Final question; the \$26 million after-tax charge seems pretty high. It's \$36 million pretax. What's costing that much to close this deal?

Gerald You're going to have to read the S-4.

Steve Okay and maybe your dilution number-to-tangible book in the 4.25 year earn back, does that include that charge?

Gerald Yes, it includes everything. Yes.

Steve Okay. All right. Thanks for the color.

Moderator Our next question comes from [indiscernible] with [indiscernible].

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 20

Hello. Good morning. Just a couple of questions on the regulatory side; can you just go over that with us again, Mspecific approvals that you need and the timing for them? And then also, can you just talk about was this—did they run an auction process? Can you just maybe talk about how this deal came about, the timing of this whole process?

Yes, just in terms of the regulatory, it'll be the normal regulatory for us. So, that'll be the OCC, the FRB. We also need to go to the FDIC on the loss share agreements. That's your typical things that we will have to do.

In terms of how this came about, you'll have to read the S-4 when it gets published.

MOkay. Is there any comment on the low-end of the collar?

Alan Is there any comment? I'm sorry. I don't understand your question.

MThere's a collar here, right?

MCorrect.

MRight. Okay. What happens if it breaches the collar?

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK Host: Gerald Lipkin May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 21

Alan Yes, at the low end, there's a potential for cash to be paid out.

MOkay.

AlanThat's in the release.

MOkay. So, would you make the shareholders whole on that portion?

Alan Yes, on the downside, yes, we would. That's what it says it in, again, in the release. Yes.

M And the ratio would remain .89?

Alan That's correct.

MOkay. Thank you.

Moderator (Operator's instructions) Our next question comes from Karl [indiscernible] from Logan Capital. Your line is open.

Karl Good morning and congratulations on what seems like a great deal for both parties. Gerry, this question is directed at you. I'm just wondering;

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 22

since you guys are doing a deal and you'll be increasing your share count, do you intend to maintain the dividends, the current dividends?

Gerald It is our intention at this point to maintain the dividend. But again, I repeat; the dividend is set every quarter by our board and I can't project out what would happen in the future. I'd get shot by my people here.

KarlFair enough.

Gerald But, we have no plans at this moment to change the dividend.

Karl Sounds good. Thank you.

Moderator Our next question comes from Collyn Gilbert with KBW. Your line is open.

CollynThanks, good morning, guys.

Gerald Good morning, Collyn.

Collyn Just a question on the regulatory approvals. Did you guys sort of vet this through your regulators before you pulled this deal together?

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK Host: Gerald Lipkin May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT Page 23

Gerald We did what would be appropriate.

Okay. And then in terms of just thinking about this expansion and the growth prospects in Florida, how do you sort of compare that to the expansion on the Long Island with State? I mean it seemed like at the time, you guys were encourage by the outlook of growth for Long Island and it sounds like still a lot of your growth certainly is coming from New York certainly versus New Jersey. I guess just balancing maybe sort of accelerating the growth in New York versus Florida. If you could, just kind of walk me through the thinking on those two things.

We operate in three distinct marketplaces - New Jersey, New City/Long Island, and now Florida. Each of them has unique demographics that present opportunities for us. Right now, the New York City demographics are Geraldshowing the strongest growth in our franchise, on our present franchise. But even that compared to the counties in Florida in which 1st United operate is dwarfed. I mean they're showing phenomenal growth in those counties in Florida where we will be moving. That was one of the primary attractions to this transaction.

The regulatory costs keep soaring. By growing the franchise is one of the ways we can spread that cost over a larger base. There are cost savings that come into play because of that in addition to which the current interest

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 24

rate environment is, I don't have to tell anybody who watches banks, is very difficult. With record low interest rates prevailing for an extended time period, the net interest operating margin of a bank, the spread between the revenue we receive on our loans and what we pay our depositors to fund those loans, keeps shrinking. The only way to make up for that is increased volume. This looks like one good opportunity for us in relatively short order to increase our volume.

Okay. On that point, Gerry, how much do you think you can sort of scale this business? I mean a \$1.7 billion Collynfranchise isn't huge relative to your \$16 billion base that you have in your legacy market. How quickly or sort of what's your growth view on how much you can really move the needle from the floor to franchise?

I wouldn't want to put a specific number because that's very difficult. I'd rather think of it in a broader term. I think it will be significant, our growth potential there. Keep in mind they don't do the residential; they don't do the auto lending, and these are things even before we get together and finalize the merger that we're going to be able to start to get the wheels rolling in that direction. As far as the lending limit is concerned, immediately they're going to be able to start making larger loans and they could participate those loans with us because they wouldn't normally have made a loan that large and are not comfortable holding it.

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK Host: Gerald Lipkin May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 25

So, even before the merger closes, I think we're going to get a jumpstart on this and it's going to help us with our numbers almost immediately. I have one of the most cooperative groups of bankers in Florida that I've ever experienced in any merger that we've done in the past, and I'm not disparaging any of the other groups, but this group is really intent on making this work. I'm very excited about that.

So, I think there are a lot of opportunities that are going to present themselves a lot sooner than one would assume in a normal transaction. Normally, you figure, well, we're going to wait until after the thing closes and then we'll start to work with their lenders and do larger loans. We don't have to do that here. Starting tomorrow, we would be receptive to participate with the folks at 1<sup>st</sup> United to get this thing running very quickly.

Okay. Just one final question; so, you've done a good job laying out the strategic benefits for this move. How should we think about, or how are you guys thinking about like the financial implications, the financial targets I mean in terms of ROA, ROE, what this does to the efficiency ratio? I mean how do we think about the impact there? How are you guys thinking about the impact there?

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 26

You know what, Collyn? First of all, you have cost saves that are going to take time to come into play. They're Alannot going to happen in the first quarter. They're going to take a full year for them to take place. We need scale. As Gerry said, we need the growth from those loans to happen.

I think for the moment, banks' levels of earnings and ROA and ROE are much lower than they were before, but I think ours have certainly the ability to grow from where we've been in the last couple of quarters or the last two years. We expect certainly that we will do better than we've done.

Collyn Okay. Okay. That's all I had. Thanks, guys.

GeraldOkay, thank you.

Moderator We have a follow-up question coming from the line of [indiscernible]. You line is open.

Hello. You mentioned earlier about you did what was appropriate about speaking to the regulators. We've seen a lot of transactions in the bank space obviously and there's been a lot of criticisms in regards to some of the transactions. And so, just to clarify that the regulators, including the Fed specifically, have been very stern on the due diligence and the actual

VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Host: Gerald Lipkin

May 8, 2014/10:00 a.m. EDT

Page 27

process. So to be clear, you've had a chance to talk to them and not necessarily get the green light as far as approval is concerned, but at least them giving you the opportunity to pursue this transaction.

It would be very unfair of me to try to say what the regulatory reaction would be at this point. It's just something that—I don't want to put them in a box. I don't want to put Valley in a box. What I said is we did what we believe is appropriate. We're comfortable with the condition of both institutions to the point that we feel we have a reasonable probability that this thing will go through.

I think the only other point I would make is that we did do another transaction, the one that was referenced here, MState Bank in Long Island in 2012 and I don't think we have any real issues relative to the regulators at that point in time.

Mokay. In the frame of reference, you're seeing that in similar mindset and shareholders should potentially look at that in similar mindset.

MIt's just a reference point in terms of that's something we did in the last couple of years.

MOkay. Thank you, guys.

### VALLEY NATIONAL BANK

Total loans (5)

**855,591 5.09 10,782** 383,919 7.55 7,216 Other **6,140 2.87 43** 1,825 4.54 20

Total earning assets

**\$1,107,442 5.22 14,488** \$496,862 7.19 8,906

# **FUNDING SOURCES**

Deposits:

Interest-bearing checking \$80,393 0.15 30 \$5,226 1.92 25

Market rate and other savings
313,445 0.54 419 159,865 1.97 784

Savings certificates
170,122 0.92 387 41,915 3.96 413

Other time deposits
25,555 1.97 124 4,763 3.53 42

Deposits in foreign offices
45,896 0.35 39 46,641 2.84 330

Total interest-bearing deposits
635,411 0.64 999 258,410 2.48 1,594
Short-term borrowings
76,068 0.66 123 52,970 3.23 425
Long-term debt
258,957 2.77 1,783 100,686 4.29 1,077
Other liabilities
3,778 3.88 36 -- -- --

Total interest-bearing liabilities

974,214 1.22 2,941 412,066 3.02 3,096

Portion of noninterest-bearing funding sources

133,228 -- -- 84,796 -- --

Total funding sources **\$1,107,442 1.06 2,941** \$496,862 2.50 3,096

Net interest margin and net interest income on a taxable-equivalent basis (6)

#### 4.69% \$5,810 4.16% \$11,547

# NONINTEREST-EARNING ASSETS

Cash and due from banks

\$20,255 \$11,648

Goodwill

23,183 13,161

Other

138,836 53,323

Total noninterest-earning assets

\$182,274 \$78,132

# NONINTEREST-BEARING FUNDING SOURCES

**Deposits** 

\$160,308 \$84,886

Other liabilities

50,566 30,062

Total equity

104,628 47,980

Noninterest-bearing funding sources used to

fund earning assets

(133,228)(84,796)

Net noninterest-bearing funding sources

\$182,274 \$78,132

**TOTAL ASSETS** 

\$1,289,716 \$574,994

(1) Our average prime rate was 3.25%

and 6.22% for the

quarters ended

March 31, 2009

and 2008,

respectively. The

average

three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) was 1.24% and 3.29% for the same quarters, respectively.

- (2) Interest rates and amounts include the effects of hedge and risk management activities associated with the respective asset and liability categories.
- (3) Yields are based on amortized cost balances computed on a settlement date basis.
- (4) Includes certain preferred securities.
- (5) Nonaccrual loans and related income are included in their respective loan categories.
- (6) Includes
  taxable-equivalent
  adjustments
  primarily related to
  tax-exempt income
  on certain loans
  and securities. The
  federal statutory
  tax rate was 35%
  for the periods
  presented.

15

### NONINTEREST INCOME

(in millions)	2009	Quarter ended March 31, 2008
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 1,394	\$ 748
Trust and investment fees:		
Trust, investment and IRA fees	722	559
Commissions and all other fees	1,493	204
Total trust and investment fees	2,215	763
Card fees	853	558
Other fees:		
Cash network fees	58	48
Charges and fees on loans	433	248
All other fees	410	203
Total other fees	901	499
Mortgage banking:		
Servicing income, net	843	273
Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities	1,582	267
All other	79	91
Total mortgage banking	2,504	631
Insurance	581	504
Net gains from trading activities	787	103
Net gains (losses) on debt securities available for sale	(119)	323
Net gains (losses) from equity investments	(157)	313
Operating leases	130	143
All other	552	218
Total	\$ 9,641	\$4,803

We earn trust, investment and IRA fees from managing and administering assets, including mutual funds, corporate trust, personal trust, employee benefit trust and agency assets. At March 31, 2009, these assets totaled \$1.53 trillion, including \$474 billion from Wachovia, up from \$1.13 trillion at March 31, 2008. Trust, investment and IRA fees are primarily based on a tiered scale relative to the market value of the assets under management or administration. The fees increased to \$722 million in first quarter 2009 from \$559 million a year ago.

We also receive commissions and other fees for providing services to full-service and discount brokerage customers. Generally, these fees include transactional commissions, which are based on the number of transactions executed at the customer s direction, or asset-based fees, which are based on the market value of the customer s assets. At

March 31, 2009, brokerage balances totaled \$910 billion, including \$812 billion from Wachovia, compared with \$126 billion at March 31, 2008. The fees increased to \$1,493 million from \$204 million a year ago. Card fees increased to \$853 million in first quarter 2009 from \$558 million a year ago, predominantly due to \$268 million in card fees from the Wachovia portfolio.

Mortgage banking noninterest income was \$2,504 million in first quarter 2009, compared with \$631 million a year ago. Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities of \$1,582 million in first quarter 2009 were up from \$267 million a year ago. Business performance was very strong in first quarter 2009, reflecting strong refinance activity due to the low interest rate environment, with residential real estate originations of \$101 billion compared with \$66 billion a

16

year ago. The 1-4 family first mortgage unclosed pipeline was \$100 billion (including \$4 billion from Wachovia) at March 31, 2009, \$71 billion (including \$5 billion from Wachovia) at December 31, 2008, and \$61 billion at March 31, 2008. For additional detail, see Asset/Liability and Market Risk Management Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk and Note 8 (Mortgage Banking Activities) and Note 13 (Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities include changes in the fair value of loans in the mortgage warehouse and additions to the mortgage repurchase reserve. Mortgage loans are repurchased based on standard representations and warranties. A \$78 million increase in the repurchase reserve in first quarter 2009 from December 31, 2008, was due to higher defaults and loss severities and overall deterioration in the market. To the extent the market does not recover, the residential mortgage business could continue to have increased loss severity on repurchases, causing future increases in the repurchase reserve. In addition, there were \$60 million in warehouse valuation adjustments in first quarter 2009 related to credit and liquidity losses. Due to the deterioration in the overall credit market and related secondary market liquidity challenges, losses on unsalable loans have been significant. Similar losses on unsalable loans could be possible in the future until the housing market recovers.

Within mortgage banking noninterest income, servicing income includes both changes in the fair value of MSRs during the period as well as changes in the value of derivatives (economic hedges) used to hedge the MSRs. Net servicing income in first quarter 2009 included an \$875 million net MSRs valuation gain recorded in earnings (a \$2.8 billion reduction in the fair value of the MSRs offset by a \$3.7 billion hedge gain) and in first quarter 2008 included a \$94 million net MSRs valuation gain (\$1.8 billion reduction in the fair value of MSRs offset by a \$1.9 billion hedge gain). Our portfolio of loans serviced for others was \$1.85 trillion at March 31, 2009, and \$1.86 trillion at December 31, 2008, which included \$379 billion acquired from Wachovia. At March 31, 2009, the ratio of MSRs to related loans serviced for others was 0.74%.

Insurance revenue was \$581 million in first quarter 2009, up from \$504 million a year ago, primarily due to the addition of Wachovia.

Income from trading activities was \$787 million in first quarter 2009, up from \$103 million a year ago, with the increase largely from Wachovia. Approximately two-thirds of the income this quarter was from customer-related business, with much of the remainder from economic hedging. Trading results included \$18 million in first quarter 2009 from the application of FSP FAS 157-4.

Net investment losses (debt and equity) totaled \$276 million in first quarter 2009 and included other-than-temporary impairment write-downs of \$516 million. Net losses on debt securities available for sale were \$119 million in first quarter 2009, compared with net gains of \$323 million a year ago. Net losses from equity investments were \$157 million in first quarter 2009, compared with net gains of \$313 million a year ago, which reflected the \$334 million gain from our ownership interest in Visa, which completed its initial public offering in March 2008. For additional detail, see Balance Sheet Analysis Securities Available for Sale in this Report.

17

### NONINTEREST EXPENSE

		Quarter	
	ende	ended March 31,	
(in millions)	2009	2008	
Salaries	\$ 3,386	\$ 1,984	
Commission and incentive compensation	1,824	644	
Employee benefits	1,284	587	
Equipment	687	348	
Net occupancy	796	399	
Core deposit and other intangibles	647	46	
FDIC and other deposit assessments	338	8	
Outside professional services	410	171	
Insurance	267	161	
Postage, stationery and supplies	250	141	
Outside data processing	212	109	
Travel and entertainment	105	105	
Foreclosed assets	248	107	
Contract services	216	108	
Operating leases	70	116	
Advertising and promotion	125	85	
Telecommunications	158	78	
Operating losses (reduction in losses)	172	(73)	
All other	623	318	
Total	\$ 11,818	\$ 5,442	

Noninterest expense more than doubled to \$11.8 billion in first quarter 2009 from a year ago, primarily due to the acquisition of Wachovia, which resulted in an expanded geographic platform and capabilities in businesses such as retail brokerage, asset management and investment banking, which, like mortgage banking, typically include higher revenue-based incentive expense than the more traditional banking businesses. FDIC and other deposit assessments increased to \$338 million in first quarter 2009, due to additional assessments related to the FDIC Transaction Account Guarantee Program, compared with \$8 million a year ago. See Liquidity and Funding in this Report for additional information on this program. Noninterest expense in first quarter 2009 included \$122 million of additional insurance reserve at our captive mortgage reinsurance operation and \$206 million of merger-related costs.

## INCOME TAX EXPENSE

Our effective income tax rate was 33.8% in first quarter 2009, down from 34.9% in first quarter 2008. The decrease is primarily attributable to higher tax-exempt income and tax credits, partially offset by increased tax expense (with a comparable increase in interest income) associated with the purchase accounting for leveraged leases. Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted FAS 160, which changes the way noncontrolling interests are presented in the income statement such that the consolidated income statement includes amounts from both Wells Fargo interests and the noncontrolling interests. As a result, our effective tax rate is calculated by dividing income tax expense by income before income tax expense less the net income from noncontrolling interests.

18

### OPERATING SEGMENT RESULTS

Wells Fargo defines its operating segments by product type and customer segment. As a result of the combination of Wells Fargo and Wachovia, management realigned its business segments into the following three lines of business: Community Banking; Wholesale Banking; and Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services. Our management accounting process measures the performance of the operating segments based on our management structure and is not necessarily comparable with other similar information for other financial services companies. We revised prior period information to reflect the realignment of our operating segments; however, because the acquisition was completed on December 31, 2008, Wachovia s results are included in segment results beginning in 2009. For a more complete description of our operating segments, including additional financial information and the underlying management accounting process, see Note 17 (Operating Segments) to Financial Statements in this Report.

**Community Banking** offers a complete line of diversified financial products and services for consumers and small businesses including investment, insurance and trust services in 39 states and D.C., and mortgage and home equity loans in all 50 states and D.C. Wachovia added expanded product capability as well as expanded channels to better serve our customers. In addition, with the realignment of the operating segments, Community Banking now includes Wells Fargo Financial.

Community Banking net income increased to \$1.84 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$1.52 billion a year ago. The growth in net income and average assets for Community Banking was largely due to the addition of Wachovia businesses, as well as double-digit growth in legacy Wells Fargo businesses driven by strong balance sheet growth and mortgage banking income. Revenue increased to \$13.95 billion from \$8.20 billion a year ago. Net interest income increased to \$8.50 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$4.72 billion a year ago. Average loans increased to \$552.8 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$282.7 billion a year ago. Average core deposits increased to \$538.0 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$246.6 billion a year ago due to Wachovia, as well as double-digit growth in legacy Wells Fargo. Noninterest income increased to \$5.46 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$3.48 billion a year ago. Noninterest expense increased to \$7.16 billion from \$3.91 billion a year ago. The provision for credit losses increased to \$4.00 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$1.87 billion a year ago.

Wholesale Banking provides financial solutions to businesses across the United States with annual sales generally in excess of \$10 million and financial institutions globally. Products include middle market banking, corporate banking, commercial real estate, treasury management, asset-based lending, insurance brokerage, foreign exchange, correspondent banking, trade services, specialized lending, equipment finance, corporate trust, investment banking, capital markets, and asset management. Wachovia added expanded product capabilities across the segment, including investment banking, mergers and acquisitions, equity trading, equity structured products, fixed-income sales and trading, and equity and fixed income research.

Wholesale Banking net income increased to \$1.18 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$483 million a year ago. The growth in net income and average assets for Wholesale Banking was largely due to the addition of Wachovia businesses. Revenue increased to a record \$4.91 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$2.18 billion a year ago. Net interest income increased to \$2.37 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$1.03 billion a year ago. Average loans increased to \$271.9 billion in first

19

### **Table of Contents**

quarter 2009 from \$100.8 billion a year ago. Average core deposits increased to \$138.5 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$68.2 billion a year ago. Noninterest income increased to \$2.54 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$1.15 billion a year ago, primarily due to Wachovia, as well as strong growth in service charges on deposits and loan fees. Noninterest expense increased to \$2.53 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$1.34 billion a year ago. The provision for credit losses increased to \$545 million in first quarter 2009, from \$161 million a year ago.

Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services provides a full range of financial advisory services to clients using a comprehensive planning approach to meet each client s needs. The Wealth Management Group provides affluent and high net worth clients with a complete range of wealth management solutions including financial planning, private banking, credit, investment management and trust. Family Office Services meets the unique needs of the ultra high net worth customers. Retail brokerage s financial advisors serve customers advisory, brokerage and financial needs as part of one of the largest full-service brokerage firms in the U.S. The Retirement Group provides retirement services for individual investors and is a national leader in 401(k) and pension record keeping. The addition of Wachovia in first quarter 2009 added the following businesses to this operating segment: Wachovia Securities (retail brokerage), Wachovia Wealth Management, including its family office business and Wachovia s retirement services and reinsurance group.

Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services net income was \$259 million in first quarter 2009 up from \$93 million a year ago. The growth in net income and average assets for the segment is due to the addition of Wachovia businesses. Revenue increased to \$2.64 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$637 million a year ago. Net interest income increased to \$737 million in first quarter 2009 from \$154 million a year ago. Average loans increased to \$46.7 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$13.7 billion a year ago. The provision for credit losses was \$25 million in first quarter 2009. Noninterest expense increased to \$2.22 billion in first quarter 2009 from \$485 million a year ago. First quarter 2009 noninterest expense includes \$166 million of intangible amortization expense related to the Wachovia acquisition.

20

## **Table of Contents**

# **BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS**

## SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Securities available for sale consist of both debt and marketable equity securities. We hold debt securities available for sale primarily for liquidity, interest rate risk management and long-term yield enhancement. Accordingly, this portfolio consists primarily of very liquid, high-quality federal agency debt and privately issued mortgage-backed securities. At March 31, 2009, we held \$173.3 billion of debt securities available for sale, with net unrealized losses of \$4.1 billion, compared with \$145.4 billion at December 31, 2008, including \$63.7 billion acquired from Wachovia, with net unrealized losses of \$9.8 billion. We also held \$5.2 billion of marketable equity securities available for sale at March 31, 2009, with net unrealized losses of \$646 million compared with \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2008, including \$3.7 billion acquired from Wachovia, with net unrealized losses of \$160 million. Following application of purchase accounting to the Wachovia portfolio, the net unrealized losses in cumulative other comprehensive income at December 31, 2008, related entirely to the legacy Wells Fargo portfolio.

The decrease in net unrealized losses on debt securities available for sale to \$4.1 billion at March 31, 2009, from \$9.8 billion at December 31, 2008, was predominantly due to the early adoption of FSP FAS 157-4, which clarified the use of trading prices in determining fair value for securities in illiquid markets, thus moderating the need to use distressed prices in valuing these securities in illiquid markets as we had done in prior periods. The remainder of the change was due to declining interest rates and narrower credit spreads.

We analyze securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis, or more often if a potential loss-triggering event occurs. We recognize OTTI when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the security, and the fair value of the investment security is less than its amortized cost. The initial indication of OTTI for both debt and equity securities is a decline in the market value below the amount recorded for an investment, and the severity and duration of the decline. In determining whether an impairment is other than temporary, we consider the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been below cost, recent events specific to the issuer, including investment downgrades by rating agencies and economic conditions within its industry, and whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value.

For marketable equity securities, in addition to the above factors, we also consider the issuer s financial condition, capital strength and near-term prospects. For debt securities and for certain perpetual preferred securities, which are treated as debt securities for the purpose of OTTI analysis, we also consider the cause of the price decline (general level of interest rates and industry- and issuer-specific factors), the issuer s financial condition, near-term prospects and current ability to make future payments in a timely manner, the issuer s ability to service debt, any change in agency ratings at evaluation date from acquisition date and any likely imminent action. For asset-backed securities, we consider the credit performance of the underlying collateral, including delinquency rates, cumulative losses to date, and the remaining credit enhancement compared to expected credit losses of the security.

21

For debt securities that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired and that we do not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of our amortized cost basis, we recognize OTTI in accordance with FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, which we early adopted on January 1, 2009. Under this FSP, we separate the amount of the OTTI into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between a security s amortized cost basis and the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the security s effective interest rate. The amount due to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

Of the first quarter 2009 OTTI write-downs of \$516 million, \$269 million related to debt securities and \$247 million to equity securities. Under FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, which we adopted this quarter, total OTTI on debt securities amounted to \$603 million, which includes \$263 million of credit-related OTTI and \$6 million related to securities we intend to sell, both of which were recorded as part of gross realized losses, and \$334 million recorded directly to other comprehensive income for non-credit related impairment on securities.

At March 31, 2009, we had approximately \$6 billion of securities, primarily municipal bonds, that are guaranteed against loss by bond insurers. These securities are almost exclusively investment grade and were generally underwritten in accordance with our own investment standards prior to the determination to purchase, without relying on the bond insurer s guarantee. These securities will continue to be monitored as part of our ongoing impairment analysis of our securities available for sale, but are expected to perform, even if the rating agencies reduce the credit ratings of the bond insurers.

The weighted-average expected maturity of debt securities available for sale was 4.9 years at March 31, 2009. Since 77% of this portfolio is mortgage-backed securities, the expected remaining maturity may differ from contractual maturity because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations before the underlying mortgages mature. The estimated effect of a 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates on the fair value and the expected remaining maturity of the mortgage-backed securities available for sale is shown below.

# MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

(in billions)	Fair value	Net unrealized gain (loss)	Remaining maturity	
At March 31, 2009	\$ 132.9	\$ (2.4)	3.1 yrs.	
At March 31, 2009, assuming a 200 basis point:				
Increase in interest rates	121.5	(13.8)	6.7 yrs.	
Decrease in interest rates	137.9	2.6	2.2 vrs.	

See Note 4 (Securities Available for Sale) to Financial Statements in this Report for securities available for sale by security type.

22

## LOAN PORTFOLIO

A discussion of average loan balances is included in Earnings Performance Net Interest Income on page 14 and a comparative schedule of average loan balances is included in the table on page 15.

The major categories of loans outstanding showing those subject to SOP 03-3 are presented in the following table.

	March 31, 2009 All			9 December 31 All		
	SOP	7111		SOP	7111	
	03-3	other		03-3	other	
(in millions)	loans	loans	Total	loans	loans	Total
Commercial and commercial real						
estate:						
Commercial	\$ 3,088	\$ 188,623	\$ 191,711	\$ 4,580	\$ 197,889	\$ 202,469
Other real estate mortgage	6,597	98,337	104,934	7,762	95,346	103,108
Real estate construction	4,507	29,405	33,912	4,503	30,173	34,676
Lease financing		14,792	14,792		15,829	15,829
Total commercial and commercial real						
estate	14,192	331,157	345,349	16,845	339,237	356,082
Consumer:	·	·	•			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	41,520	201,427	242,947	39,214	208,680	247,894
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien	·	·	•			
mortgage	615	109,133	109,748	728	109,436	110,164
Credit card		22,815	22,815		23,555	23,555
Other revolving credit and installment	32	91,220	91,252	151	93,102	93,253
Total consumer	42,167	424,595	466,762	40,093	434,773	474,866
Foreign	1,849	29,619	31,468	1,859	32,023	33,882
Total loans	\$ 58,208	\$ 785,371	\$ 843,579	\$ 58,797	\$ 806,033	\$ 864,830

In first quarter 2009, we refined certain of our initial purchase accounting, which resulted in changes to the portfolio of loans subject to SOP 03-3 and updates to the December 31, 2008, fair value estimates. Based on updates to the initial purchase accounting, \$95.8 billion of loans were determined to be within the scope of SOP 03-3, a net increase of \$1.9 billion from initial year-end estimates, and the fair value of these loans was \$59.7 billion at December 31, 2008. These adjustments are reflected in the March 31, 2009, loan balances, along with first quarter activity, in the table above.

For further detail on SOP 03-3 loans see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Loans) to Financial Statements in the 2008 Form 10-K and Note 5 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in this Report.

23

#### **DEPOSITS**

(in millions)	Mar. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008	Mar. 31, 2008
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 166,497	\$ 150,837	\$ 90,793
Interest-bearing checking	89,010	72,828	5,372
Market rate and other savings	315,209	306,255	163,230
Savings certificates	160,220	182,043	39,554
Foreign deposits (1)	25,247	33,469	28,411
Core deposits	756,183	745,432	327,360
Other time deposits	23,329	28,498	6,033
Other foreign deposits	17,757	7,472	24,751
Total deposits	\$ 797,269	\$ 781,402	\$ 358,144

(1) Reflects
Eurodollar
sweep balances
included in core
deposits.

Deposits at March 31, 2009, totaled \$797.3 billion, compared with \$781.4 billion at December 31, 2008. A comparative detail of average deposit balances is provided on page 15. Total core deposits were \$756.2 billion at March 31, 2009, up \$10.8 billion from December 31, 2008. High-rate certificates of deposit (CDs) of \$33.6 billion at legacy Wachovia matured in the quarter, including \$13.2 billion from CD-only households. Higher-rate CDs are maturing and we are successfully retaining many of these deposits at today s lower rates. The combination of noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing transaction and savings deposits increased 31% (annualized) to \$570.7 billion at March 31, 2009, from \$529.9 billion at December 31, 2008. Deposit performance continued to benefit from deeper market penetration, flight to quality and mortgage escrow activity.

# **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

In the ordinary course of business, we engage in financial transactions that are not recorded in the balance sheet, or may be recorded in the balance sheet in amounts that are different from the full contract or notional amount of the transaction. These transactions are designed to (1) meet the financial needs of customers, (2) manage our credit, market or liquidity risks, (3) diversify our funding sources, and/or (4) optimize capital. These are described below as off-balance sheet transactions with unconsolidated entities, and guarantees and certain contingent arrangements. OFF-BALANCE SHEET TRANSACTIONS WITH UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

In the normal course of business, we enter into various types of on- and off-balance sheet transactions with special purpose entities (SPEs), which are corporations, trusts or partnerships that are established for a limited purpose. The majority of SPEs are formed in connection with securitization transactions. In a securitization transaction, assets from our balance sheet are transferred to an SPE, which then issues to investors various forms of interests in those assets and may also enter into derivative transactions. In a securitization transaction, we typically receive cash and/or other interests in an SPE as proceeds for the assets we transfer. Also, in certain transactions, we may retain the right to service the transferred receivables and to repurchase those receivables from the SPE if the outstanding balance of the receivables falls to a level where the cost exceeds the benefits of servicing such receivables.

Table of Contents 40

24

#### **Table of Contents**

In connection with our securitization activities, we have various forms of ongoing involvement with SPEs, which may include:

underwriting securities issued by SPEs and subsequently making markets in those securities; providing liquidity to support short-term obligations of SPEs issued to third party investors; providing credit enhancement to securities issued by SPEs or market value guarantees of assets held by SPEs through the use of letters of credit, financial guarantees, credit default swaps and total return swaps; entering into other derivative contracts with SPEs;

holding senior or subordinated interests in SPEs;

acting as servicer or investment manager for SPEs; and

providing administrative or trustee services to SPEs.

The SPEs we use are primarily either qualifying SPEs (QSPEs), which are not consolidated if the criteria described below are met, or variable interest entities (VIEs). To qualify as a QSPE, an entity must be passive and must adhere to significant limitations on the types of assets and derivative instruments it may own and the extent of activities and decision making in which it may engage. For example, a QSPE s activities are generally limited to purchasing assets, passing along the cash flows of those assets to its investors, servicing its assets and, in certain transactions, issuing liabilities. Among other restrictions on a QSPE s activities, a QSPE may not actively manage its assets through discretionary sales or modifications.

A VIE is an entity that has either a total equity investment that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or whose equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. A VIE is consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the entity that, through its variable interests, absorbs the majority of a VIE s variability. A variable interest is a contractual, ownership or other interest that changes with fluctuations in the fair value of the VIE s net assets.

25

The following table presents our significant continuing involvement with QSPEs and unconsolidated VIEs. QUALIFYING SPECIAL PURPOSE ENTITIES AND UNCONSOLIDATED VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

		Total entity	Mar Carrying	ch 31, 2009 Maximum exposure		Total entity	Decemb Carrying	er 31, 2008 Maximum exposure
(in millions)		assets	value	to loss		assets	value	to loss
QSPEs								
Residential mortgage loan								
securitizations	\$ 1	,229,211	\$ 32,143	\$ 34,525	\$ 1	,144,775	\$ 29,939	\$ 31,438
Commercial mortgage		201 114	2.070	C 012		255 267	2.060	( 27(
securitizations		391,114	2,979	6,013		355,267	3,060	6,376
Student loan securitizations		2,776	220	220		2,765	133	133
Auto loan securitizations Other		3,580	115 11	115 181		4,133	115 71	115
Other		9,955	11	101		11,877	/ 1	1,576
Total QSPEs	\$ 1	,636,636	\$ 35,468	\$41,054	\$ 1	,518,817	\$ 33,318	\$ 39,638
Unconsolidated VIEs								
CDOs	\$	53,439	\$ 15,603	\$ 20,101	\$	48,802	\$15,133	\$ 20,443
Wachovia administered ABCP								
(1) conduit		9,894		10,092		10,767		15,824
Asset-based lending structures		15,158	8,939	10,256		11,614	9,096	9,482
Tax credit structures		27,197	4,162	5,040		22,882	3,850	4,926
CLOs		24,691	3,666	4,195		23,339	3,326	3,881
Investment funds		96,497	1,918	2,541		105,808	3,543	3,690
Credit-linked note structures		1,578	1,462	2,241		12,993	1,522	2,303
Money market funds		33,552	<b>(9</b> )	51		31,843	60	101
Other		3,989	4,242	5,031		1,832	3,806	4,699
Total unconsolidated VIEs	\$	265,995	\$ 39,983	\$ 59,548	\$	269,880	\$40,336	\$ 65,349

# (1) Asset-backed commercial paper.

The table above does not include SPEs and unconsolidated VIEs where our only involvement is in the form of investments in trading securities, investments in securities available for sale or loans underwritten by third parties, or administrative or trustee services. Also not included are investments accounted for in accordance with the AICPA Investment Company Audit Guide, investments accounted for under the cost method and investments accounted for under the equity method.

In the table above, the column titled Total entity assets represents the total assets of unconsolidated SPEs. Carrying value is the amount in our consolidated balance sheet related to our involvement with the unconsolidated SPEs.

Maximum exposure to loss from our involvement with off-balance sheet entities is a required disclosure under generally accepted accounting principles and represents the estimated loss that would be incurred under an assumed hypothetical circumstance, despite its extremely remote possibility, where the value of our interests and any associated collateral declines to zero, without any consideration of recovery or offset from any economic hedges. Accordingly,

this required disclosure is not an indication of expected loss.

For more information on securitizations, including sales proceeds and cash flows from securitizations, see Note 7 (Securitizations and Variable Interest Entities) to Financial Statements in this Report.

26

## **Table of Contents**

We also have significant involvement with SPEs where the entity holding a majority of the voting interests consolidates the SPE. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage (Home Mortgage), in the ordinary course of business, originates a portion of its mortgage loans through unconsolidated joint ventures in which we own an interest of 50% or less. Loans made by these joint ventures are funded by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. through an established line of credit and are subject to specified underwriting criteria. The total assets of these mortgage origination joint ventures were approximately \$111 million and \$46 million at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. We provide liquidity to these joint ventures in the form of outstanding lines of credit and, at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, these liquidity commitments totaled \$128 million and \$135 million, respectively.

We also hold interests in other unconsolidated joint ventures formed with unrelated third parties to provide efficiencies from economies of scale. A third party manages our real estate lending services joint ventures and provides customers with title, escrow, appraisal and other real estate-related services. Our fraud prevention services partnership facilitates the exchange of information between financial services organizations to detect and prevent fraud. Total assets of our real estate lending joint ventures and fraud prevention services partnership were approximately \$150 million and \$132 million at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively.

27

#### **Table of Contents**

## RISK MANAGEMENT

#### CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Our credit risk management process provides for decentralized management and accountability by our lines of business. Our overall credit process includes comprehensive credit policies, judgmental or statistical credit underwriting, frequent and detailed risk measurement and modeling, extensive credit training programs, and a continual loan review and audit process. In addition, regulatory examiners review and perform detailed tests of our credit underwriting, loan administration and allowance processes. We continually evaluate and modify our credit policies to address unacceptable levels of risk as they are identified.

# Real Estate 1-4 Family Mortgage Loans

As part of the Wachovia acquisition, we acquired residential first and home equity loans that are very similar to the Wells Fargo core originated portfolio. We also acquired the Pick-a-Pay option ARM first mortgage portfolio. The nature of this product creates a potential opportunity for negative amortization. As part of our purchase accounting activities, the option ARM loans with the highest probability of default were identified as SOP 03-3. See Pick-a-Pay Portfolio in this Report for additional detail.

The deterioration in specific segments of the Home Equity portfolios required a targeted approach to managing these assets. A liquidating portfolio, consisting of home equity loans generated through third party wholesale channels not behind a Wells Fargo first mortgage, and home equity loans acquired through correspondents, was identified. While the \$9.9 billion of loans in this liquidating portfolio represented about 1% of total loans outstanding at March 31, 2009, these loans represented some of the highest risk in the \$128.9 billion Home Equity portfolios, with a loss rate of 9.27% compared with 2.09% for the core portfolio. The loans in the liquidating portfolio are largely concentrated in geographic markets that have experienced the most abrupt and steepest declines in housing prices. The core portfolio was \$119.1 billion at March 31, 2009, of which 97% was originated through the retail channel, and approximately 16% of the outstanding balance was in a first lien position. The table on the following page includes the credit attributes of these two portfolios.

28

**Table of Contents** 

# HOME EQUITY PORTFOLIO (1)

				% of loans				
			two					
				A	Annualized			
	Outstand	ing balances	or mo	ore past due	loss rate (1)			
			Mar.	_	Mar.			
	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	31,	Dec. 31,	31,	Dec. 31,		
(in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008		
Core portfolio (2)(3)								
California	\$ 31,784	\$ 31,544	3.56%	2.95%	3.97%	3.94%		
Florida	12,067	11,781	3.73	3.36	2.03	4.39		
New Jersey	8,086	7,888	1.58	1.41	0.45	0.78		
Virginia	5,653	5,688	1.45	1.50	0.76	1.56		
Pennsylvania	5,129	5,043	1.04	1.10	0.29	0.52		
Other	56,342	56,415	2.06	1.97	1.59	1.59		
Total	119,061	118,359	2.53	2.27	2.09	2.39		
Liquidating portfolio								
California	3,835	4,008	8.49	6.69	13.98	12.32		
Florida	492	513	10.35	8.41	13.33	13.60		
Arizona	233	244	8.37	7.40	15.04	13.19		
Texas	179	191	1.40	1.27	2.66	1.67		
Minnesota	122	127	3.88	3.79	6.92	5.25		
Other	5,001	5,226	3.96	3.28	5.29	4.73		
Total	9,862	10,309	6.10	4.93	9.27	8.27		
Total core and liquidating portfolios	\$ 128,923	\$ 128,668	2.80	2.48	2.65	2.87		
portionos	φ 140,74 <b>3</b>	φ 140,000	<b>∠.</b> 00	2.40	4.03	4.07		

(1) Consists of real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgages and lines of credit secured by real estate from all groups, excluding SOP 03-3 loans.

(2) Loss rates for 2008 for the core portfolio in the table above

reflect results for

Wachovia (not

included in the

Wells Fargo

reported results)

and Wells

Fargo. For

fourth quarter

2008, the Wells

Fargo core

portfolio on a

stand-alone

basis,

outstanding

balances and

related

annualized loss

rates were

\$29,399 million

(3.81%) for

California,

\$2,677 million

(6.87%) for

Florida,

\$1,925 million

(1.29%) for New

Jersey,

\$1,827 million

(1.26%) for

Virginia, \$1,073

million (1.17%)

for

Pennsylvania,

\$38,934 million

(1.77%) for all

other states, and

\$75,835 million

(2.71%) in total.

(3) Includes equity

lines of credit

and closed end

second liens

associated with

the Pick-a-Pay

portfolio totaling

\$2.1 billion at

March 31, 2009,

and

December 31,

2008. Related

credit losses are

reported separately with the Pick-a-Pay portfolio.

# Pick-a-Pay Portfolio

We acquired the Pick-a-Pay loan portfolio from Wachovia. This is a liquidating portfolio as we stopped originating new Pick-a-Pay loans in 2008. At March 31, 2009, this portfolio, which excludes equity lines of credit, had an unpaid principal balance of \$115.0 billion and a carrying value of \$93.2 billion. The carrying value is net of \$22.0 billion of purchase accounting net write-downs to reflect SOP 03-3 loans at fair value and a \$215 million increase to reflect all other loans at a market rate of interest.

Pick-a-Pay loans are home mortgages on which the customer has the option each month to select from among four payment options: (1) a minimum payment as described below, (2) an interest-only payment, (3) a fully amortizing 15-year payment, or (4) a fully amortizing 30-year payment. Approximately 78% of the Pick-a-Pay portfolio has payment options calculated using a monthly adjustable interest rate; the rest of the portfolio is fixed rate. The minimum monthly payment for substantially all of our Pick-a-Pay loans is reset annually. The new minimum monthly payment amount usually cannot increase by more than 7.5% of the then-existing principal and interest payment amount. The minimum payment may not be sufficient to pay the monthly interest due and in those situations a loan on which the customer has made a minimum payment is subject to negative amortization, where unpaid interest is

29

## **Table of Contents**

added to the principal balance of the loan. The amount of interest that has been added to a loan balance is referred to as deferred interest. Our Pick-a-Pay customers have been fairly constant in their utilization of the minimum payment option. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, customers representing 51% of the loan balances with the payment options feature elected the minimum payment option.

Deferral of interest on a Pick-a-Pay loan may continue as long as the loan balance remains below a pre-defined principal cap, which is based on the percentage that the current loan balance represents to the original loan balance. Loans with an original loan-to-value (LTV) ratio equal to or below 85% have a cap of 125% of the original loan balance, and these loans represent substantially all the Pick-a-Pay portfolio. Loans with an original LTV ratio above 85% have a cap of 110% of the original loan balance. Most of the Pick-a-Pay loans on which there is a deferred interest balance re-amortize (the monthly payment amount is reset or recast ) on the earlier of the date when the loan balance reaches its principal cap, or the 10-year anniversary of the loan. There exists a small population of Pick-a-Pay loans for which recast occurs at the five-year anniversary. After a recast, the customers new payment terms are reset to the amount necessary to repay the balance over the remainder of the original loan term.

Based on assumptions of a flat rate environment, if all eligible customers elect the minimum payment option 100% of the time and no balances prepay, we would expect the following balance of loans to recast based on reaching the principal cap: \$4 million in the remaining three quarters of 2009, \$9 million in 2010, \$11 million in 2011 and \$32 million in 2012. In first quarter 2009, the amount of loans recast based on reaching the principal cap was de minimus. In addition, we would expect the following balance of ARM loans having a payment change based on the contractual terms of the loan to recast: \$20 million in the remaining three quarters of 2009, \$51 million in 2010, \$70 million in 2011 and \$128 million in 2012. A payment change recast occurred on \$4 million of loans during first quarter 2009.

Included in the Pick-a-Pay portfolio were loans accounted for under SOP 03-3 with a total unpaid principal balance of \$61.6 billion and a carrying value of \$39.7 billion at March 31, 2009. Loans that we acquired from Wachovia with evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination and for which it was probable at the date of the Wachovia acquisition that we will be unable to collect all contractually required payments are accounted for under SOP 03-3. SOP 03-3 requires that acquired credit-impaired loans be recorded at fair value and prohibits carrying over of the related allowance in the initial accounting.

The table on the following page reflects the geographic distribution of the Pick-a-Pay portfolio broken out between SOP 03-3 loans and all other loans. In stressed housing markets with declining home prices and increasing delinquencies, the LTV ratio is a key metric in predicting future loan performance, including charge-offs. Because SOP 03-3 loans are carried at fair value, the carrying value LTV ratio for an SOP 03-3 loan will be lower as compared to the LTV based on the unpaid principal. For informational purposes, we have included the ratio of the carrying value to the current collateral value for SOP 03-3 loans in the following table.

30

# PICK-A-PAY PORTFOLIO

			SOP 03	All other loans			
				of			
				carrying			
				value			
	Unpaid	Current		to	Unpaid	Current	
	principal	LTV	Carrying	current	principal	LTV	Carrying
(in millions)	balance	ratio (1)	value (2)	value	balance	ratio (1)	value
March 31, 2009							
California	\$42,216	152%	\$ 26,907	98%	\$ 25,875	90%	\$25,979
Florida	6,260	129	3,779	79	5,412	92	5,433
New Jersey	1,750	101	1,271	74	3,358	76	3,372
Texas	475	76	336	54	2,204	60	2,213
Arizona	1,642	161	987	99	1,239	104	1,244
Other states	9,306	110	6,397	77	15,282	79	15,324
Total Pick-a-Pay loans	\$61,649		\$ 39,677		\$ 53,370		\$ 53,565

(1) Current LTV ratio is based on collateral values and is updated quarterly by an independent vendor. LTV ratio includes unpaid principal balance on equity lines of credit (included in the Home **Equity Portfolio** table on page 29 in this Report) that share common collateral and are junior to the above Pick-a-Pay loans.

(2) Carrying value, which does not reflect the

allowance for loan losses, includes purchase accounting adjustments, which, for SOP 03-3 loans, are a deduction of \$25.9 billion nonaccretable difference and an addition of \$3.9 billion accretable vield at March 31, 2009, and for all other loans, an adjustment to mark the loans to a market yield at date of merger less any subsequent charge-offs.

To maximize return and allow flexibility for customers to avoid foreclosure, we have in place several loss mitigation strategies for our Pick-a-Pay loan portfolio. We contact customers who are experiencing difficulty and may in certain cases modify the terms of a loan based on a customer s documented income and other circumstances.

We also have in place proactive steps to work with customers to refinance or restructure their Pick-a-Pay loans into other loan products. For customers at risk, we will offer combinations of term extensions of up to 40 years, interest rate reductions, charge no interest on a portion of the principal for some period of time and, in geographies with substantial property value declines, we will even offer permanent principal reductions. We expect to continually reassess our loss mitigation strategies and may adopt additional strategies in the future.

# Wells Fargo Financial

Wells Fargo Financial originates real estate secured debt consolidation loans, and both prime and non-prime auto secured loans, unsecured loans and credit cards.

Wells Fargo Financial had \$28.8 billion and \$29.1 billion in real estate secured loans at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. Of this portfolio, \$1.8 billion for each period is considered prime based on secondary market standards. The remaining portfolio is non-prime but has been originated with standards that effectively mitigate credit risk. It was originated through our retail channel with documented income, LTV limits based on credit quality and property characteristics, and risk-based pricing. In addition, the loans were originated without teaser rates, interest-only or negative amortization features. Credit losses in the portfolio have increased in the current economic environment compared with historical levels, but performance remained similar to prime portfolios in the industry with overall credit losses in first quarter 2009 of 2.39% (annualized) on the entire portfolio. Of the portfolio, \$9.5 billion at

31

## **Table of Contents**

March 31, 2009, was originated with customer FICO scores below 620, but these loans have further restrictions on LTV and debt-to-income ratios to limit the credit risk.

Wells Fargo Financial also had \$21.6 billion and \$23.6 billion in auto secured loans and leases at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively, of which \$5.8 billion and \$6.3 billion, respectively, were originated with customer FICO scores below 620. Net charge-offs in this portfolio in first quarter 2009 were 5.11% (annualized) for FICO scores of 620 and above, and 6.88% (annualized) for FICO scores below 620. Of this portfolio, \$16.3 billion represented loans and leases originated through its indirect auto business, which Wells Fargo Financial ceased originating near the end of 2008.

Wells Fargo Financial had \$7.9 billion and \$8.4 billion in unsecured loans and credit card receivables at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively, of which \$1.2 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively, was originated with customer FICO scores below 620. Net charge-offs in this portfolio in first quarter 2009 were 12.97% (annualized) for FICO scores of 620 and above, and 19.58% (annualized) for FICO scores below 620. Wells Fargo Financial has been actively tightening credit policies and managing credit lines to reduce exposure given current economic conditions.

32

# **Nonaccrual Loans and Other Nonperforming Assets**

The following table shows the comparative data for nonaccrual loans and other nonperforming assets. We generally place loans on nonaccrual status when:

the full and timely collection of interest or principal becomes uncertain;

they are 90 days (120 days with respect to real estate 1-4 family first and junior lien mortgages and auto loans) past due for interest or principal (unless both well-secured and in the process of collection); or part of the principal balance has been charged off.

Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) to Financial Statements in our 2008 Form 10-K describes our accounting policy for nonaccrual loans.

NONACCRUAL LOANS AND OTHER NONPERFORMING ASSETS

(in millions)	Mar. 31, 2009 (1)	Dec. 31, 2008 (1)	Mar. 31, 2008
Nonaccrual loans:			
Commercial and commercial real estate:			
Commercial	<b>\$ 1,696</b>	\$ 1,253	\$ 588
Other real estate mortgage	1,324	594	152
Real estate construction	1,371	989	438
Lease financing	114	92	57
Total commercial and commercial real estate	4,505	2,928	1,235
Consumer:			
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (2)	4,218	2,648	1,398
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	1,418	894	381
Other revolving credit and installment	300	273	196
Total consumer	5,936	3,815	1,975
Foreign	75	57	49
Total nonaccrual loans (3)	10,516	6,800	3,259
As a percentage of total loans	1.25%	0.79%	0.84%
Foreclosed assets:			
GNMA loans (4)	768	667	578
Other	1,294	1,526	637
Real estate and other nonaccrual investments (5)	34	16	21
Total nonaccrual loans and other nonperforming assets	\$ 12,612	\$ 9,009	\$ 4,495
As a percentage of total loans	1.50%	1.04%	1.16%

(1) At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, nonaccrual loans exclude loans

acquired from Wachovia that are accounted for under SOP 03-3.

- (2) Includes nonaccrual mortgages held for sale.
- (3) Includes impaired loans of \$4,126 million, \$3,640 million and \$859 million at March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively. See Note 5 to Financial Statements in this Report and Note 6 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in our 2008 Form 10-K for further
- impaired loans. (4) Consistent with regulatory reporting requirements, foreclosed real estate securing Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) loans is classified as nonperforming. Both principal and interest for

**GNMA** loans

information on

secured by the foreclosed real estate are collectible

because the

**GNMA** loans

are insured by

the Federal

Housing

Administration

(FHA) or

guaranteed by

the Department

of Veterans

Affairs.

(5) Includes real

estate

investments

(contingent

interest loans

accounted for as

investments)

that would be

classified as

nonaccrual if

these assets were

recorded as

loans.

Total nonperforming assets were \$12.6 billion (1.50% of total loans) at March 31, 2009, and included \$10.5 billion of nonaccrual loans and \$2.1 billion of foreclosed assets and repossessed real estate and vehicles. Nonaccrual loans increased \$3.7 billion, or 46 basis points as a percentage of total loans, from December 31, 2008, with increases in both the commercial and retail segments. The increase included \$1.5 billion related to Wachovia, which grew from a relatively low \$97 million at year end as virtually all of the associated nonaccrual loans were no

33

#### **Table of Contents**

longer considered nonaccrual after applying required purchase accounting. Over 90% of nonaccrual loans are secured. The increases in nonaccrual loans were concentrated primarily in portfolios secured by real estate or with borrowers dependent on the housing industry.

The \$2.1 billion increase in nonaccrual consumer loans from December 31, 2008, was due primarily to an increase of \$884 million from Wachovia, \$405 million in Wells Fargo Financial real estate, \$383 million in Home Mortgage and \$366 million from the legacy Wells Fargo Home Equity Group. Nonaccrual real estate 1-4 family loans included approximately \$3.5 billion of loans at March 31, 2009, that have been modified. Our policy requires six consecutive months of payments on modified loans before they are returned to accrual status. Other foreclosed assets decreased \$232 million to \$1.3 billion at March 31, 2009, from \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2008, which included \$885 million from Wachovia. Until conditions improve in the residential real estate and liquidity markets, we will continue to hold more nonperforming assets on our balance sheet as it is currently the most economic option available. Increases in commercial nonperforming assets were also primarily a direct result of the conditions in the residential real estate markets and general consumer economy.

We expect nonperforming asset balances to continue to grow, reflecting an environment where retaining these assets is the most viable economic option, as well as our efforts to modify more real estate loans to reduce foreclosures and keep customers in their homes. We remain focused on proactively identifying problem credits, moving them to nonperforming status and recording the loss content in a timely manner. We have increased and will continue to increase staffing in our workout and collection organizations to ensure these troubled borrowers receive the attention and help they need. See Financial Review Allowance for Credit Losses for additional discussion. The performance of any one loan can be affected by external factors, such as economic or market conditions, or factors affecting a particular borrower.

34

## Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Still Accruing

Loans included in this category are 90 days or more past due as to interest or principal and still accruing, because they are (1) well-secured and in the process of collection or (2) real estate 1-4 family first mortgage loans or consumer loans exempt under regulatory rules from being classified as nonaccrual. Loans acquired from Wachovia that are subject to SOP 03-3 are excluded from the disclosure of loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest even though certain of them are 90 days or more contractually past due and they are considered to be accruing because the interest income on these loans relates to the establishment of an accretable yield in purchase accounting under the SOP and not to contractual interest payments.

The total of loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing was \$14,736 million at March 31, 2009, \$11,830 million at December 31, 2008, (Wachovia and Wells Fargo combined), and \$6,919 million at March 31, 2008. The total included \$9,509 million, \$8,184 million and \$5,288 million for the same periods, respectively, in advances pursuant to our servicing agreements to GNMA mortgage pools and similar loans whose repayments are insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The table below reflects loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing excluding the insured/guaranteed GNMA advances.

LOANS 90 DAYS OR MORE PAST DUE AND STILL ACCRUING (EXCLUDING INSURED/GUARANTEED GNMA AND SIMILAR LOANS)

(in millions)	Mar. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008 (2)	Mar. 31, 2008
Commercial and commercial real estate:			
Commercial	<b>\$ 417</b>	\$ 218	\$ 29
Other real estate mortgage	355	88	24
Real estate construction	624	232	15
Total commercial and commercial real estate Consumer:	1,396	538	68
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage (1)	1,361	883	314
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	598	457	228
Credit card	738	687	449
Other revolving credit and installment	1,105	1,047	532
Total consumer	3,802	3,074	1,523
Foreign	29	34	40
Total	\$ 5,227	\$ 3,646	\$ 1,631

- (1) Includes mortgage loans held for sale 90 days or more past due and still accruing.
- (2) The amount of real estate 1-4 family first and

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

junior lien mortgage loan delinquencies as originally reported at December 31, 2008, included certain SOP 03-3 loans previously classified as nonaccrual by Wachovia. The December 31, 2008, amounts have been revised to exclude those loans.

35

# **Table of Contents**

## **Net Charge-offs**

Net charge-offs in first quarter 2009 were \$3.3 billion (1.54% of average total loans outstanding, annualized), including \$371 million in the Wachovia portfolio, compared with \$2.8 billion (2.69%) in fourth quarter 2008 and \$1.5 billion (1.60%) in first quarter 2008. Commercial and commercial real estate losses remained at relatively low levels reflecting the historically disciplined underwriting standards applied by Wells Fargo and the customer-relationship focus in this portfolio. Losses in residential real estate and credit cards rose modestly in the quarter, in line with expectations, while other credit losses, principally indirect auto, declined due to seasonality and our risk reduction actions in indirect auto over the last two years.

Net charge-offs in the 1-4 family first mortgage portfolio totaled \$391 million in first quarter 2009. These results included \$310 million from legacy Wells Fargo, which increased \$117 million linked quarter. Our relatively high-quality 1-4 family first mortgage portfolio continued to reflect relatively low loss rates although until housing prices fully stabilize, these credit results will continue to deteriorate. Credit card charge-offs increased \$131 million linked quarter to \$582 million in first quarter 2009, including \$48 million relating to the \$2.4 billion Wachovia portfolio. We continued to see increases in delinquency and loss levels in the consumer unsecured loan portfolios as a result of higher unemployment. Losses in the auto portfolio decreased \$47 million linked quarter reflecting improvements from seasonality and portfolio balance reduction over the past several quarters.

Net charge-offs in the real estate 1-4 family junior lien portfolio of \$847 million in first quarter 2009 included

\$801 million in the legacy Wells Fargo portfolio, which increased \$99 million linked quarter as residential real estate values continued to be depressed. These results are not solely driven by declining home values. As more customers seek to modify their first mortgages, there may be an adverse effect on the credit performance of junior lien holders behind these modifications. More information about the Home Equity portfolio is available on page 29.

Commercial and commercial real estate net charge-offs of \$697 million in first quarter 2009 included \$667 million in the legacy Wells Fargo portfolio, down \$175 million linked quarter, which included \$294 million related to the

customers of the Madoff investment firm. The linked-quarter trends also reflected a \$100 million increase relating to our Business Direct portfolio while other commercial losses declined and remained at relatively low levels. Wholesale credit results continued to deteriorate. Commercial lending requests slowed during first quarter 2009 as borrowers reduced their receivable and inventory levels to conserve cash.

36

ced their receivable and inventory levels to conserv

#### **Allowance for Credit Losses**

The allowance for credit losses, which consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments, is management s estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date and excludes loans carried at fair value. The process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is critical to our financial results. It requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are uncertain. See Financial Review Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Credit Losses in our 2008 Form 10-K for additional information.

We apply a consistent methodology to determine the allowance for credit losses, using both forecasted and historical loss trends, adjusted for underlying economic and market conditions. For individually graded (typically commercial) portfolios, we generally use loan-level credit quality ratings, which require knowledge about the borrower, industry and collateral value, combined with historically-based grade specific loss factors. For statistically managed portfolios (typically consumer), we generally leverage models which use credit-related characteristics such as delinquency rates and trends, vintages, and portfolio concentrations to estimate loss content. Additionally, individual commercial impaired loans greater than \$5 million and troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) are reserved for individually. The level of the allowance for credit losses is affected by credit performance, changes in portfolio composition, and management s assessment of the economic environment and related impact on underlying credit risk. While the allowance is built using product/business segment estimates, it is available to absorb losses for the entire loan portfolio.

At March 31, 2009, the allowance for loan losses totaled \$22.3 billion (2.64% of total loans), compared with \$21.0 billion (2.43%) at December 31, 2008, and \$5.8 billion (Wells Fargo only) (1.50%) at March 31, 2008. The allowance for credit losses was \$22.8 billion (2.71%) at March 31, 2009, \$21.7 billion (2.51%) at December 31, 2008, and \$6.0 billion (1.56%)(Wells Fargo only) at March 31, 2008. The allowance for credit losses at March 31, 2009, did not include any amounts related to credit-impaired loans acquired from Wachovia accounted for under SOP 03-3. The reserve for unfunded credit commitments was \$565 million at March 31, 2009, \$698 million at December 31, 2008, and \$210 million at March 31, 2008.

Total provision expense in first quarter 2009 was \$4.6 billion and included a credit reserve build of \$1.3 billion. The \$1.3 billion reserve build was primarily driven by two factors: (1) deterioration in economic conditions that increased the projected losses in our statistically managed portfolios, and (2) increases in specific reserves under FAS 114 for both commercial loans and TDRs. The increase in reserves for TDRs is associated with loan modification programs designed to keep qualifying borrowers in their homes. We anticipate further increases in TDR volumes as we continue to utilize government sponsored programs and other methods to minimize foreclosures and associated credit losses. The application of SOP 03-3 to loans acquired from Wachovia affects net charge-offs and nonaccrual loans as described in Loans in this Report and, therefore, the allowance ratios associated with these measures should not be relied upon as a tool for determining adequacy of the allowance and should not be used to compare our allowance to peer banks or to compare our ratios for periods that include the application of SOP 03-3 to those that do not.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to total nonaccrual loans was 217%, 319% and 185% at March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively. The decrease in this ratio from December 31, 2008, was due to the 55% increase in nonaccrual loans. The increase in this ratio from a year ago reflects the addition of the Wachovia allowance for loan losses and the reduction of nonaccrual loans in the Wachovia portfolio resulting from the application of SOP 03-3.

The ratio of the allowance for credit losses to annualized net charge-offs was 173%, 191% and 97% for March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively. The increase in this ratio from a year ago is largely a function of increased loss expectations. The decrease from December 31, 2008, is directly related to the addition of Wachovia charge-offs in first quarter 2009. Loan losses for the quarter excluded those losses from SOP 03-3 loans as these loans have already been reduced to their fair value, the result being significantly lower losses on the balance of the portfolio.

We believe the allowance for credit losses of \$22.8 billion was adequate to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including unfunded credit commitments, at March 31, 2009. Due to the sensitivity of the allowance for credit losses to changes in the economic environment, it is possible that unanticipated economic deterioration would create incremental credit losses not anticipated as of the balance sheet date. We may need to significantly adjust the allowance for credit losses, considering current factors at the time, including economic or market conditions and ongoing internal and external examination processes. Our process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses is discussed in Financial Review Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Credit Losses and Note 6 (Loans and Allowance for Credit Losses) to Financial Statements in our 2008 Form 10-K.

38

#### **Table of Contents**

## ASSET/LIABILITY AND MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Asset/liability management involves the evaluation, monitoring and management of interest rate risk, market risk, liquidity and funding. The Corporate Asset/Liability Management Committee (Corporate ALCO) which oversees these risks and reports periodically to the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors consists of senior financial and business executives. Each of our principal business groups has individual asset/liability management committees and processes linked to the Corporate ALCO process.

## **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk, which potentially can have a significant earnings impact, is an integral part of being a financial intermediary. We are subject to interest rate risk because:

assets and liabilities may mature or reprice at different times (for example, if assets reprice faster than liabilities and interest rates are generally falling, earnings will initially decline);

assets and liabilities may reprice at the same time but by different amounts (for example, when the general level of interest rates is falling, we may reduce rates paid on checking and savings deposit accounts by an amount that is less than the general decline in market interest rates);

short-term and long-term market interest rates may change by different amounts (for example, the shape of the yield curve may affect new loan yields and funding costs differently); or

the remaining maturity of various assets or liabilities may shorten or lengthen as interest rates change (for example, if long-term mortgage interest rates decline sharply, mortgage-backed securities held in the securities

available-for-sale portfolio may prepay significantly earlier than anticipated which could reduce portfolio income). Interest rates may also have a direct or indirect effect on loan demand, credit losses, mortgage origination volume, the fair value of MSRs and other financial instruments, the value of the pension liability and other items affecting earnings.

We assess interest rate risk by comparing our most likely earnings plan with various earnings simulations using many interest rate scenarios that differ in the direction of interest rate changes, the degree of change over time, the speed of change and the projected shape of the yield curve. For example, as of March 31, 2009, our most recent simulation indicated estimated earnings at risk of approximately 4% of our most likely earnings plan using a scenario in which the federal funds rate rises to 2.75% and the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury bond yield rises to 3.45% by the end of 2009. Simulation estimates depend on, and will change with, the size and mix of our actual and projected balance sheet at the time of each simulation. Due to timing differences between the quarterly valuation of MSRs and the eventual impact of interest rates on mortgage banking volumes, earnings at risk in any particular quarter could be higher than the average earnings at risk over the 12-month simulation period, depending on the path of interest rates and on our hedging strategies for MSRs. See Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk in this Report.

39

## **Table of Contents**

We use exchange-traded and over-the-counter interest rate derivatives to hedge our interest rate exposures. The notional or contractual amount and fair values of these derivatives as of March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, are presented in Note 12 (Derivatives) to Financial Statements in this Report. We use derivatives for asset/liability management in three main ways:

to convert a major portion of our long-term fixed-rate debt, which we issue to finance the Company, from fixed-rate payments to floating-rate payments by entering into receive-fixed swaps;

to convert the cash flows from selected asset and/or liability instruments/portfolios from fixed-rate payments to floating-rate payments or vice versa; and

to hedge our mortgage origination pipeline, funded mortgage loans and MSRs using interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures, forwards and options.

# **Mortgage Banking Interest Rate and Market Risk**

We originate, fund and service mortgage loans, which subjects us to various risks, including credit, liquidity and interest rate risks. Based on market conditions and other factors, we reduce credit and liquidity risks by selling or securitizing some or all of the long-term fixed-rate mortgage loans we originate and most of the ARMs we originate. On the other hand, we may hold originated ARMs and fixed-rate mortgage loans in our loan portfolio as an investment for our growing base of core deposits. We determine whether the loans will be held for investment or held for sale at the time of commitment. We may subsequently change our intent to hold loans for investment and sell some or all of our ARMs or fixed-rate mortgages as part of our corporate asset/liability management. We may also acquire and add to our securities available for sale a portion of the securities issued at the time we securitize mortgages held for sale.

Notwithstanding the continued downturn in the housing sector, and the continued lack of liquidity in the nonconforming secondary markets, our mortgage banking revenue growth continued to be positive, reflecting the complementary origination and servicing strengths of the business. The secondary market for agency-conforming mortgages functioned well during the quarter. The mortgage warehouse and pipeline, which predominantly consists of prime mortgage loans, incurred a \$39 million liquidity related write-down in first quarter 2009. In addition, we further reduced mortgage origination gains by \$78 million in first quarter 2009, primarily to reflect an increase to the repurchase reserve for higher projected losses due to the continuing deterioration in the housing market. Interest rate and market risk can be substantial in the mortgage business. Changes in interest rates may potentially impact total origination and servicing fees, the value of our residential MSRs measured at fair value, the value of mortgages held for sale (MHFS) and the associated income and loss reflected in mortgage banking noninterest income, the income and expense associated with instruments (economic hedges) used to hedge changes in the fair value of MSRs and MHFS, and the value of derivative loan commitments (interest rate locks) extended to mortgage applicants.

Interest rates impact the amount and timing of origination and servicing fees because consumer demand for new mortgages and the level of refinancing activity are sensitive to changes in mortgage interest rates. Typically, a decline in mortgage interest rates will lead to an increase in mortgage originations and fees and may also lead to an increase in servicing fee income, depending on the level of new loans added to the servicing portfolio and prepayments. Given the time it takes for consumer behavior to fully react to interest rate changes, as well as the time

40

required for processing a new application, providing the commitment, and securitizing and selling the loan, interest rate changes will impact origination and servicing fees with a lag. The amount and timing of the impact on origination and servicing fees will depend on the magnitude, speed and duration of the change in interest rates.

Under FAS 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, which we adopted January 1, 2007, we elected to measure MHFS at fair value prospectively for new prime MHFS originations for which an active secondary market and readily available market prices existed to reliably support fair value pricing models used for these loans. At December 31, 2008, we elected to measure at fair value similar MHFS acquired from Wachovia. Loan origination fees on these loans are recorded when earned, and related direct loan origination costs and fees are recognized when incurred. We also elected to measure at fair value certain of our other interests held related to residential loan sales and securitizations. We believe that the election for new prime MHFS and other interests held (which are now hedged with free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) along with our MSRs) reduces certain timing differences and better matches changes in the value of these assets with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets. During 2008 and in first quarter 2009, in response to continued secondary market illiquidity, we continued to originate certain prime non-agency loans to be held for investment for the foreseeable future rather than to be held for sale.

Under FAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, we elected to use the fair value measurement method to initially measure and carry our residential MSRs, which represent substantially all of our MSRs. Under this method, the MSRs are recorded at fair value at the time we sell or securitize the related mortgage loans. The carrying value of MSRs reflects changes in fair value at the end of each quarter and changes are included in net servicing income, a component of mortgage banking noninterest income. If the fair value of the MSRs increases, income is recognized; if the fair value of the MSRs decreases, a loss is recognized. We use a dynamic and sophisticated model to estimate the fair value of our MSRs and periodically benchmark our estimates to independent appraisals. The valuation of MSRs can be highly subjective and involve complex judgments by management about matters that are inherently unpredictable. Changes in interest rates influence a variety of significant assumptions included in the periodic valuation of MSRs, including prepayment speeds, expected returns and potential risks on the servicing asset portfolio, the value of escrow balances and other servicing valuation elements.

A decline in interest rates generally increases the propensity for refinancing, reduces the expected duration of the servicing portfolio and therefore reduces the estimated fair value of MSRs. This reduction in fair value causes a charge to income (net of any gains on free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) used to hedge MSRs). We may choose not to fully hedge all of the potential decline in the value of our MSRs resulting from a decline in interest rates because the potential increase in origination/servicing fees in that scenario provides a partial natural business hedge. An increase in interest rates generally reduces the propensity for refinancing, extends the expected duration of the servicing portfolio and therefore increases the estimated fair value of the MSRs. However, an increase in interest rates can also reduce mortgage loan demand and therefore reduce origination income. In first quarter 2009, a \$2.8 billion decrease in the fair value of our MSRs and \$3.7 billion of gains on free-standing derivatives used to hedge the MSRs resulted in a net gain of \$875 million.

41

## **Table of Contents**

Hedging the various sources of interest rate risk in mortgage banking is a complex process that requires sophisticated modeling and constant monitoring. While we attempt to balance these various aspects of the mortgage business, there are several potential risks to earnings:

MSRs valuation changes associated with interest rate changes are recorded in earnings immediately within the accounting period in which those interest rate changes occur, whereas the impact of those same changes in interest rates on origination and servicing fees occur with a lag and over time. Thus, the mortgage business could be protected from adverse changes in interest rates over a period of time on a cumulative basis but still display large variations in income from one accounting period to the next.

The degree to which the natural business hedge offsets changes in MSRs valuations is imperfect, varies at different points in the interest rate cycle, and depends not just on the direction of interest rates but on the pattern of quarterly interest rate changes.

Origination volumes, the valuation of MSRs and hedging results and associated costs are also impacted by many factors. Such factors include the mix of new business between ARMs and fixed-rated mortgages, the relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates, the degree of volatility in interest rates, the relationship between mortgage interest rates and other interest rate markets, and other interest rate factors. Many of these factors are hard to predict and we may not be able to directly or perfectly hedge their effect.

While our hedging activities are designed to balance our mortgage banking interest rate risks, the financial instruments we use may not perfectly correlate with the values and income being hedged. For example, the change in the value of ARMs production held for sale from changes in mortgage interest rates may or may not be fully offset by Treasury and LIBOR index-based financial instruments used as economic hedges for such ARMs.

The total carrying value of our residential and commercial MSRs was \$13.6 billion at March 31, 2009, and \$16.2 billion at December 31, 2008. The weighted-average note rate on the owned servicing portfolio was 5.83% at March 31, 2009, and 5.92% at December 31, 2008. Our total MSRs were 0.74% of mortgage loans serviced for others at March 31, 2009, compared with 0.87% at December 31, 2008.

As part of our mortgage banking activities, we enter into commitments to fund residential mortgage loans at specified times in the future. A mortgage loan commitment is an interest rate lock that binds us to lend funds to a potential borrower at a specified interest rate and within a specified period of time, generally up to 60 days after inception of the rate lock. These loan commitments are derivative loan commitments if the loans that will result from the exercise of the commitments will be held for sale. These derivative loan commitments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheet with changes in their fair values recorded as part of mortgage banking noninterest income. We were required by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 109, Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings, to include at inception and during the life of the loan commitment, the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan as part of the fair value measurement of derivative loan commitments. Changes subsequent to inception are based on changes in fair value of the underlying loan resulting from the exercise of the commitment and changes in the probability that the loan will not fund within the terms of the commitment (referred to as a fall-out factor). The value of the underlying loan commitment is affected primarily by changes in interest rates and the passage of time.

42

Outstanding derivative loan commitments expose us to the risk that the price of the mortgage loans underlying the commitments might decline due to increases in mortgage interest rates from inception of the rate lock to the funding of the loan. To minimize this risk, we utilize forwards and options, Eurodollar futures and options, and Treasury futures, forwards and option contracts as economic hedges against the potential decreases in the values of the loans. We expect that these derivative financial instruments will experience changes in fair value that will either fully or partially offset the changes in fair value of the derivative loan commitments. However, changes in investor demand, such as concerns about credit risk, can also cause changes in the spread relationships between underlying loan value and the derivative financial instruments that cannot be hedged.

# Market Risk Trading Activities

From a market risk perspective, our net income is exposed to changes in interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and their implied volatilities. The primary purpose of our trading businesses is to accommodate customers in the management of their market price risks. Also, we take positions based on market expectations or to benefit from price differences between financial instruments and markets, subject to risk limits established and monitored by Corporate ALCO. All securities, foreign exchange transactions, commodity transactions and derivatives used in our trading businesses are carried at fair value. The Institutional Risk Committee establishes and monitors counterparty risk limits. The credit risk amount and estimated net fair value of all customer accommodation derivatives at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, are included in Note 12 (Derivatives) to Financial Statements in this Report. Open at risk positions for all trading business are monitored by Corporate ALCO. The standardized approach for monitoring and reporting market risk for the trading activities consists of value-at-risk (VAR) metrics complemented with factor analysis and stress testing. VAR measures the worst expected loss over a given time interval and within a given confidence interval. We measure and report daily VAR at a 99% confidence interval based on actual changes in rates and prices over the past 250 trading days. The analysis captures all financial instruments that are considered trading positions. The average one-day VAR throughout first quarter 2009 was \$103 million, with a lower bound of \$83 million and an upper bound of \$130 million.

## Market Risk Equity Markets

We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in the equity markets. We make and manage direct equity investments in start-up businesses, emerging growth companies, management buy-outs, acquisitions and corporate recapitalizations. We also invest in non-affiliated funds that make similar private equity investments. These private equity investments are made within capital allocations approved by management and the Board of Directors (the Board). The Board s policy is to review business developments, key risks and historical returns for the private equity investment portfolio at least annually. Management reviews the valuations of these investments at least quarterly and assesses them for possible other-than-temporary impairment. For nonmarketable investments, the analysis is based on facts and circumstances of each individual investment and the expectations for that investment s cash flows and capital needs, the viability of its business model and our exit strategy. Nonmarketable investments included private

## **Table of Contents**

equity investments of \$2.59 billion and \$2.71 billion and principal investments of \$1.27 billion and \$1.28 billion at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively. Private equity investments are carried at cost subject to other-than-temporary impairment. Principal investments are carried at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses reported in noninterest income.

We also have marketable equity securities in the securities available-for-sale portfolio, including securities relating to our venture capital activities. We manage these investments within capital risk limits approved by management and the Board and monitored by Corporate ALCO. Gains and losses on these securities are recognized in net income when realized and periodically include other-than-temporary impairment charges. The fair value of marketable equity securities was \$5.18 billion and cost was \$5.83 billion at March 31, 2009, and \$6.14 billion and \$6.30 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2008.

Changes in equity market prices may also indirectly affect our net income by affecting (1) the value of third party assets under management and, hence, fee income, (2) particular borrowers whose ability to repay principal and/or interest may be affected by the stock market, or (3) brokerage activity, related commission income and other business activities. Each business line monitors and manages these indirect risks.

44

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Liquidity and Funding**

The objective of effective liquidity management is to ensure that we can meet customer loan requests, customer deposit maturities/withdrawals and other cash commitments efficiently under both normal operating conditions and under unpredictable circumstances of industry or market stress. To achieve this objective, Corporate ALCO establishes and monitors liquidity guidelines that require sufficient asset-based liquidity to cover potential funding requirements and to avoid over-dependence on volatile, less reliable funding markets. We set these guidelines for both the consolidated balance sheet and for the Parent to ensure that the Parent is a source of strength for its regulated, deposit-taking banking subsidiaries.

Debt securities in the securities available-for-sale portfolio provide asset liquidity, in addition to the immediately liquid resources of cash and due from banks and federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments. Asset liquidity is further enhanced by our ability to sell or securitize loans in secondary markets and to pledge loans to access secured borrowing facilities through the Federal Home Loan Banks, the Federal Reserve Board or the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department).

Core customer deposits have historically provided a sizeable source of relatively stable and low-cost funds. Additional funding is provided by long-term debt (including trust preferred securities), other foreign deposits and short-term borrowings (federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, commercial paper and other short-term borrowings).

Liquidity is also available through our ability to raise funds in a variety of domestic and international money and capital markets. We access capital markets for long-term funding through issuances of registered debt securities, private placements and asset-backed secured funding. Rating agencies base their ratings on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including capital adequacy, liquidity, asset quality, business mix, and level and quality of earnings. Material changes in these factors could result in a different debt rating; however, a change in debt rating would not cause us to violate any of our debt covenants. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is rated Aa2, by Moody s Investors Service, and AA+, by Standard & Poor s Rating Services.

Wells Fargo is participating in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation s (FDIC) Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP). The TLGP has two components: the Debt Guarantee Program, which provides a temporary guarantee of newly issued senior unsecured debt issued by eligible entities; and the Transaction Account Guarantee Program, which provides a temporary unlimited guarantee of funds in noninterest-bearing transaction accounts at FDIC insured institutions. Under the Debt Guarantee Program, we had \$88.2 billion of remaining capacity to issue guaranteed debt as of March 31, 2009. Eligible entities are assessed fees payable to the FDIC for coverage under the program. This assessment is in addition to risk-based deposit insurance assessments currently imposed under FDIC rules and regulations.

# Federal Home Loan Bank Membership

We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas, the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (collectively, the FHLBs). Each member of each of the FHLBs is required to maintain a

45

minimum investment in capital stock of the applicable FHLB. The Board of Directors of each FHLB can increase the minimum investment requirements in the event it has concluded that additional capital is required to allow it to meet its own regulatory capital requirements. Any increase in the minimum investment requirements outside of specified ranges requires the approval of the Federal Housing Finance Board. Because the extent of any obligation to increase our investment in any of the FHLBs depends entirely upon the occurrence of a future event, potential future payments to the FHLBs are not determinable.

Parent. Under SEC rules, the Parent is classified as a well-known seasoned issuer, which allows it to file a registration statement that does not have a limit on issuance capacity. Well-known seasoned issuers generally include those companies with a public float of common equity of at least \$700 million or those companies that have issued at least \$1 billion in aggregate principal amount of non-convertible securities, other than common equity, in the last three years. In June 2006, the Parent s registration statement with the SEC for issuance of senior and subordinated notes, preferred stock and other securities became effective. The Parent s ability to issue debt and other securities under this registration statement is limited by the debt issuance authority granted by the Board. The Parent is currently authorized by the Board to issue \$60 billion in outstanding short-term debt and \$170 billion in outstanding long-term debt, subject to a total outstanding debt limit of \$230 billion. At March 31, 2009, the Parent had outstanding debt under these authorities of \$15.7 billion, \$133.9 billion and \$149.6 billion, respectively. During first quarter 2009, the Parent issued a total of \$3.5 billion in registered senior notes guaranteed by the FDIC. We used the proceeds from securities issued in first quarter 2009 for general corporate purposes and expect that the proceeds from securities issued in the future will also be used for general corporate purposes. The Parent also issues commercial paper from time to time, subject to its short-term debt limit.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. is authorized by its board of directors to issue \$100 billion in outstanding short-term debt and \$50 billion in outstanding long-term debt. In December 2007, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. established a \$100 billion bank note program under which, subject to any other debt outstanding under the limits described above, it may issue \$50 billion in outstanding short-term senior notes and \$50 billion in long-term senior or subordinated notes. During first quarter 2009, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. issued \$7.5 billion in short-term notes. At March 31, 2009, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. had remaining issuance capacity on the bank note program of \$45.7 billion in short-term senior notes and \$46.4 billion in long-term senior or subordinated notes, respectively. Securities are issued under this program as private placements in accordance with Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) regulations.

Wachovia Bank, N.A. Wachovia Bank, N.A. had \$49.0 billion available for issuance under a global note program at March 31, 2009. Wachovia Bank, N.A. also has a \$25 billion Euro medium-term note program (EMTN) under which it may issue senior and subordinated debt securities. These securities are not registered with the SEC and may not be offered in the U.S. without applicable exemptions from registration. Under the EMTN, Wachovia Bank, N.A. had up to \$22.4 billion available for issuance at March 31, 2009. In addition, Wachovia Bank, N.A. has an A\$10 billion Australian medium-term note program (AMTN), under which it may issue senior and subordinated debt securities. These securities are not registered with the SEC and may not be offered in the U.S. without applicable exemptions from registration. Up to A\$8.5 billion was available for issuance at March 31, 2009.

46

#### **Table of Contents**

Wells Fargo Financial. In February 2008, Wells Fargo Financial Canada Corporation (WFFCC), an indirect wholly-owned Canadian subsidiary of the Parent, qualified with the Canadian provincial securities commissions CAD\$7.0 billion in medium-term notes for distribution from time to time in Canada. At March 31, 2009, CAD\$6.5 billion remained available for future issuance. All medium-term notes issued by WFFCC are unconditionally guaranteed by the Parent.

# **CAPITAL MANAGEMENT**

We have an active program for managing stockholder capital. We use capital to fund organic growth, acquire banks and other financial services companies, pay dividends and repurchase our shares. Our objective is to produce above-market long-term returns by opportunistically using capital when returns are perceived to be high and issuing/accumulating capital when such costs are perceived to be low.

From time to time the Board of Directors authorizes the Company to repurchase shares of our common stock. Although we announce when the Board authorizes share repurchases, we typically do not give any public notice before we repurchase our shares. Various factors determine the amount and timing of our share repurchases, including our capital requirements, the number of shares we expect to issue for acquisitions and employee benefit plans, market conditions (including the trading price of our stock), and legal considerations. These factors can change at any time, and there can be no assurance as to the number of shares we will repurchase or when we will repurchase them. In 2007, the Board authorized the repurchase of up to 200 million additional shares of our outstanding common stock and, in September 2008, the repurchase of up to 25 million additional shares. During first quarter 2009, we repurchased approximately 2 million shares of our common stock. At March 31, 2009, the total remaining common stock repurchase authority was approximately 12 million shares. For additional information regarding share repurchases and repurchase authorizations, see Part II Item 2 of this Report.

Historically, our policy has been to repurchase shares under the safe harbor conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act including a limitation on the daily volume of repurchases. Rule 10b-18 imposes an additional daily volume limitation on share repurchases during a pending merger or acquisition in which shares of our stock will constitute some or all of the consideration. Our management may determine that during a pending stock merger or acquisition when the safe harbor would otherwise be available, it is in our best interest to repurchase shares in excess of this additional daily volume limitation. In such cases, we intend to repurchase shares in compliance with the other conditions of the safe harbor, including the standing daily volume limitation that applies whether or not there is a pending stock merger or acquisition.

Our potential sources of capital include retained earnings and issuances of common and preferred stock. In first quarter 2009, retained earnings increased \$406 million, a major portion from Wells Fargo net income of \$3.05 billion, less common and preferred dividends and accretion of \$2.10 billion. In first quarter 2009, we issued approximately 35 million shares, or \$543 million, of common stock (including shares issued for our ESOP plan) under various employee benefit and director plans and under our dividend reinvestment and direct stock repurchase programs.

¬ ,

## **Table of Contents**

On October 28, 2008, at the request of the Treasury Department and pursuant to a Letter Agreement and related Securities Purchase Agreement dated October 26, 2008 (the Securities Purchase Agreements), we issued to the Treasury Department 25,000 shares of a new class of Wells Fargo s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D without par value, having a liquidation amount per share equal to \$1,000,000, for a total price of \$25 billion. We pay cumulative dividends on the preferred securities at a rate of 5% per year for the first five years and thereafter at a rate of 9% per year. Unless permitted under the provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, we may not redeem the preferred securities during the first three years except with the proceeds from a qualifying equity offering. After three years, we may, at our option, redeem the preferred securities at par value plus accrued and unpaid dividends. The preferred securities are generally non-voting. Prior to October 28, 2011, unless we have redeemed the preferred securities or the Treasury Department has transferred the preferred securities to a third party, the consent of the Treasury Department will be required for us to increase our common stock dividend or repurchase our common stock or other equity or capital securities, other than in connection with benefit plans consistent with past practice and certain other circumstances specified in the Securities Purchase Agreements. The terms of the Treasury Department s purchase of the preferred securities include certain restrictions on certain forms of executive compensation and limits on the tax deductibility of compensation we pay to executive management. As part of its purchase of the preferred securities, the Treasury Department also received warrants to purchase 110,261,688 shares of our common stock at an initial per share exercise price of \$34.01, subject to customary anti-dilution provisions. The warrants expire ten years from the issuance date. Both the preferred securities and warrants are accounted for as components of Tier 1 capital.

In March 2009, we reduced our common stock dividend by 85% to \$0.05 per share, enhancing our ability to build capital.

At March 31, 2009, the Company and each of our subsidiary banks were well capitalized under the applicable regulatory capital adequacy guidelines. For additional information see Note 19 (Regulatory and Agency Capital Requirements) to Financial Statements in this Report.

On May 7, 2009, the Federal Reserve confirmed that under its adverse stress test scenario the Company s Tier 1 capital exceeded the minimum level needed for well-capitalized institutions. In conjunction with the stress test, the Company has agreed with the Federal Reserve to increase common equity by \$13.7 billion by November 9, 2009. On May 8, 2009, the Company agreed to issue 341 million shares of its common stock at a price of \$22 per share. Also on May 8, 2009, the underwriters in the offering exercised their option to purchase up to an additional 51.15 million shares of common stock from the Company at \$22 per share to cover over-allotments. The Company will receive net proceeds of \$8.4 billion from the offering including the exercise of the over-allotment option. The Company expects to satisfy the remainder of the capital requirement through profits and other internally generated sources. The Company can satisfy any part of the capital requirement by exchanging up to \$13.7 billion of its \$25 billion of Capital Purchase Program (CPP) funds for the Treasury s Capital Assistance Program (CAP) on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

48

# **Tangible Common Equity**

We strengthened our capital position in first quarter 2009. Tangible common equity (TCE) was \$41.1 billion at quarter end, an increase of \$4.5 billion. The ratio of TCE to tangible assets was 3.28%, up from 2.86% at December 31, 2008. TCE was 3.84% of risk-weighted assets. At March 31, 2009, Tier 1 capital was \$89.0 billion and the Tier 1 capital ratio was 8.30%, up from 7.84% at December 31, 2008.

(in billions)			Mar. 31, 2009	Ç	-	ended Dec. 31, 2008
Total equity Less: Preferred equity Goodwill and intangible assets (other than MSRs) Applicable deferred taxes		\$(38.5) 5.7	\$ 107.1 (30.9)	\$(38.1) 5.6	\$	102.3 (30.8)
Goodwill and intangible assets, net of deferred taxes Noncontrolling interests			(32.8) (2.3)			(32.5) (2.4)
Tangible common equity (1)	(A)		<b>\$ 41.1</b>		\$	36.6
Total assets			\$ 1,285.9		\$ 1	,309.6
Less: Goodwill and intangible assets, net of deferred taxes			(32.8)			(32.5)
Tangible assets	(B)		\$ 1,253.1		\$ 1	,277.1
Tangible common equity ratio	(A)/(B)		3.28%			2.86%
Total risk-weighted assets (2)	(C)		\$ 1,071.5		\$ 1	,101.3
Tangible common equity to total risk-weighted assets	(A)/(C)		3.84%			3.32%

(1) Tangible common equity, a non-GAAP financial measure, includes total equity, less preferred equity, goodwill and intangible assets (excluding MSRs), net of

related deferred taxes, and the portion of noncontrolling interests accounted for under FAS 160 that does not have risk sharing attributes similar to common equity. Management reviews tangible common equity along with other measures of capital as part of its financial analyses and has included this information because of current interest on the part of market participants in tangible common equity as a measure of capital. The methodology of determining tangible common equity may differ among companies.

(2) Under the regulatory guidelines for risk-based capital, on-balance sheet assets and credit equivalent amounts of derivatives and off-balance sheet items are

assigned to one of several broad risk categories according to the obligor or, if relevant, the guarantor or the nature of any collateral. The aggregate dollar amount in each risk category is then multiplied by the risk weight associated with that category. The resulting weighted values from each of the risk categories are aggregated for determining total risk-weighted assets.

## **RISK FACTORS**

An investment in the Company involves risk, including the possibility that the value of the investment could fall substantially and that dividends or other distributions on the investment could be reduced or eliminated. We discuss in this Report, as well as in other documents we file with the SEC, risk factors that could adversely affect our financial results and condition and the value of, and return on, an investment in the Company. We refer you to the Financial Review section and Financial Statements (and related Notes) in this Report for more information about credit, interest rate, market and litigation risks, to the Risk Factors and Regulation and Supervision sections and Note 15 (Guarantees and Legal Actions) to Financial Statements in our 2008 Form 10-K for a detailed discussion of risk factors, and to the discussion below that supplements the Risk Factors section of the 2008 Form 10-K. Any factor described in this Report or in our 2008 Form 10-K could by itself, or together with other factors, adversely affect our financial results and condition. There are factors not discussed below or elsewhere in this Report that could adversely affect our financial results and condition.

49

In accordance with the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we caution you that one or more of these same risk factors could cause actual results to differ significantly from projections or forecasts of our financial results and condition and expectations for our operations and business that we make in forward-looking statements in this Report and in presentations and other Company communications. We make forward-looking statements when we use words such as believe, expect, anticipate, estimate, project, forecast, will, can and similar expr unduly rely on forward-looking statements, as actual results could differ significantly. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made, and we do not undertake to update them to reflect changes or events that occur after that date that may affect whether those forecasts and expectations continue to reflect management s beliefs or the likelihood that the forecasts and expectations will be realized.

In this Report we make forward-looking statements that:

we believe our allowance for credit losses at March 31, 2009, was adequate to cover expected consumer losses for at least the next 12 months and to provide approximately 24 months of anticipated loss coverage for the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios;

we expect to generate \$5 billion of annual merger-related expense savings, which will begin to emerge in the second quarter and are expected to be fully realized upon completion of the integration;

we expect total integration expense to be substantially less than our original estimate of \$7.9 billion and to be spread over the integration period rather than all by year-end 2009;

we expect additional efficiency initiatives to lower expenses over the remainder of 2009;

we expect to satisfy the remaining capital requirement relating to the recently completed stress test through profits and other internally generated sources;

losses on the combined Wells Fargo and Wachovia loan portfolios will increase as long as the U.S. economy remains weak:

we believe actions described in this Report that we have taken to reduce credit risk better position us for continued deterioration and economic headwinds:

to the extent the market does not recover, the residential mortgage business could continue to have increased loss severity on repurchases, causing future increases in the repurchase reserve;

we could have significant losses on unsaleable loans until the housing market recovers;

we will continue to hold more nonperforming assets on our balance sheet until conditions improve in the residential real estate and liquidity markets;

we expect nonperforming asset balances to continue to grow;

charge-offs on Wachovia loans accounted for under SOP 03-3 are not expected to reduce income in future periods to the extent the original estimates used to determine the purchase accounting adjustments continue to be accurate; we expect changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments used to hedge outstanding derivative loan commitments will fully or partially offset the changes in fair value of the commitments;

we expect that \$34 million of deferred net loss on derivatives in other comprehensive income at March 31, 2009, will be reclassified as earnings during the next twelve months;

we do not expect that we will be required to make a minimum contribution in 2009 for the Cash Balance Plan; and

50

we expect actions taken with respect to the Wells Fargo qualified and supplemental Cash Balance Plans and the Wachovia Pension Plan will reduce pension cost by approximately \$330 million in 2009.

Several factors could cause actual results to differ significantly from expectations including:

current economic and market conditions:

our capital requirements and ability to raise capital on favorable terms;

the terms of capital investments or other financial assistance provided by the U.S. government;

legislative proposals to allow mortgage cram-downs in bankruptcy or require other loan modifications;

our ability to successfully integrate the Wachovia merger and realize the expected cost savings and other benefits; our ability to realize the recently announced efficiency initiatives to lower expenses when and in the amount expected;

the adequacy of our allowance for credit losses;

recognition of other-than-temporary impairment on securities held in our available-for-sale portfolio;

the effect of changes in interest rates on our net interest margin and our mortgage originations, mortgage servicing rights and mortgages held for sale;

hedging gains or losses; disruptions in the capital markets and reduced investor demand for mortgages loans; our ability to sell more products to our customers;

the effect of the economic recession on the demand for our products and services;

the effect of the fall in stock market prices on fee income from our brokerage, asset and wealth management businesses;

our election to provide support to our mutual funds for structured credit products they may hold;

changes in the value of our venture capital investments;

changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards or in how accounting standards are to be applied; mergers and acquisitions;

federal and state regulations;

reputational damage from negative publicity, fines, penalties and other negative consequences from regulatory violations:

the loss of checking and saving account deposits to other investments such as the stock market; and fiscal and monetary policies of the Federal Reserve Board.

There is no assurance that our allowance for credit losses will be adequate to cover future credit losses, especially if credit markets, housing prices and unemployment do not stabilize. Increases in loan charge-offs or in the allowance for credit losses and related provision expense could materially adversely affect our financial results and condition.

51

The following risk factors supplement the discussion under Risk Factors contained in our 2008 Form 10-K. The Company s participation in government programs to modify first and second lien mortgage loans could adversely affect the amount and timing of the Company s earnings and credit losses relating to those loans.

The Treasury Department recently announced guidelines for its first and second lien modification programs under its Making Home Affordable Program. Participation in the programs could result in a reduction in the principal balances of real estate 1-4 family first and second lien mortgage loans held by the Company and the acceleration of loss recognition on those loans. In addition to the principal reduction aspect of the programs, loan modification efforts can impact the interest rate and term of these loans which would have a correlated impact to total return on those assets and timing of those returns. Participation in the programs as a servicer could reduce servicing income to the extent the principal balance of a serviced loan is reduced or because it increases the cost of servicing a loan.

There may be future sales or other dilution of our equity, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

As described under Capital Management, in connection with the completion of the Supervisory Capital Assessment Program, we have agreed with our federal banking regulators to increase our Tier 1 common equity by \$13.7 billion by November 9, 2009. In addition to the 392.15 million shares of our common stock sold on May 8, 2009, we currently expect to increase our Tier 1 common equity through profits and other internally generated sources. Although not currently contemplated, we could also achieve any portion of the required increase in our Tier 1 common equity by exchanging (with the approval of the Department of the Treasury) a number of shares of the Series D Preferred Stock we issued to the Department of the Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program for shares of our mandatory convertible preferred stock under the Department of the Treasury s Capital Assistance Program, or for common stock or another common equivalent security that the Department of the Treasury otherwise agrees to purchase, directly or indirectly. Such an exchange could also involve the issuance of warrants to the Department of the Treasury to purchase additional shares of our common stock as contemplated by the published terms of the Capital Assistance Program. The issuance of additional shares of common stock or common equivalent securities in future equity offerings, to the Department of the Treasury under the Capital Assistance Program or otherwise will dilute the ownership interest of our existing common stockholders. There can be no assurances that we will not in the future determine that it is advisable, or that we will not encounter circumstances where we determine it is necessary, to issue additional shares of common stock or common equivalent securities to fund strategic initiatives or other business needs or to build additional capital. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of such offerings, as well as other sales of a large block of shares of our common stock or similar securities, including warrants, in the market thereafter, or the perception that such sales could occur.

52

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As required by SEC rules, the Company s management evaluated the effectiveness, as of March 31, 2009, of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures. The Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer participated in the evaluation. Based on this evaluation, the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2009.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company s principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

On December 31, 2008, the Company completed its acquisition of Wachovia. The Company considers the acquisition reasonably likely to materially affect its internal control over financial reporting. The Company has extended its internal control oversight and monitoring processes to include Wachovia. Except as described above for the Wachovia acquisition, no change occurred during first quarter 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

53

**Table of Contents** 

# WELLS FARGO & COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

	Qι	arter end	led March 31,
(in millions, except per share amounts)		2009	2008
INTEREST INCOME			
Trading assets	\$	266	\$ 47
Securities available for sale		2,709	1,132
Mortgages held for sale		415	394
Loans held for sale		67	12
Loans	1	0,765	7,212
Other interest income		91	52
Total interest income	1	4,313	8,849
INTEREST EXPENSE			
Deposits		999	1,594
Short-term borrowings		123	425
Long-term debt		1,779	1,070
Other interest expense		36	
Total interest expense		2,937	3,089
NET INTEREST INCOME	1	1,376	5,760
Provision for credit losses		4,558	2,028
Net interest income after provision for credit losses		6,818	3,732
NONINTEREST INCOME			
Service charges on deposit accounts		1,394	748
Trust and investment fees		2,215	763
Card fees		853	558
Other fees		901	499
Mortgage banking		2,504	631
Insurance		581	504
Net gains (losses) on debt securities available for sale (includes impairment losses of \$269, consisting of \$603 of total other-than-temporary impairment losses, net of \$334			
recognized in other comprehensive income, for the quarter ended March 31, 2009)		(119)	323
Net gains (losses) from equity investments		(117)	313
Other		1,469	464
Other		1,409	404
Total noninterest income		9,641	4,803
NONINTEREST EXPENSE			
Salaries		3,386	1,984
Commission and incentive compensation		1,824	644
Employee benefits		1,284	587

79

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Equipment		687		348
Net occupancy		<b>796</b>		399
Core deposit and other intangibles		647		46
FDIC and other deposit assessments		338		8
Other		2,856		1,426
Total noninterest expense		11,818		5,442
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE		4,641		3,093
Income tax expense		1,552		1,074
NET INCOME BEFORE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS		3,089		2,019
Less: Net income from noncontrolling interests		44		20
WELLS FARGO NET INCOME	\$	3,045	\$	1,999
WELLS FARGO NET INCOME APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$	2,384	\$	1,999
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$	0.56	\$	0.61
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$	0.56	\$	0.60
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER COMMON SHARE	\$	0.34	\$	0.31
Average common shares outstanding	4	1,247.4	3	3,302.4
Diluted average common shares outstanding	4	1,249.3	3	3,317.9
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.				

54

# WELLS FARGO & COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(in millions, except shares)	March 3.	-	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2008
ASSETS	<b>.</b>		22 7 62	<b>4.10.11</b> 6
Cash and due from banks	\$ 22,180	6 \$	23,763	\$ 13,146
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments	18,62	5	49,433	4,171
Trading assets	46,49		54,884	8,893
Securities available for sale	178,468		151,569	81,787
Mortgages held for sale (includes \$35,205, \$18,754 and \$27,927	,		,	,
carried at fair value)	36,80′	7	20,088	29,708
Loans held for sale (includes \$114 and \$398 carried at fair value				
at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008)	8,30		6,228	813
Loans	843,579		864,830	386,333
Allowance for loan losses	(22,28)	1)	(21,013)	(5,803)
Net loans	821,298	8	843,817	380,530
Mortgage servicing rights:				
Measured at fair value (residential MSRs)	12,39	1	14,714	14,956
Amortized	1,25		1,446	455
Premises and equipment, net	11,21		11,269	5,056
Goodwill	23,82		22,627	13,148
Other assets	105,010		109,801	42,558
Total assets	\$ 1,285,89	1 \$	1,309,639	\$ 595,221
LIABILITIES	h 155 101	- +	4.50.005	<b>.</b>
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 166,49		,	\$ 90,793
Interest-bearing deposits	630,772	2	630,565	267,351
Total deposits	797,269	9	781,402	358,144
Short-term borrowings	72,08		108,074	53,983
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	58,83		50,689	31,480
Long-term debt	250,650		267,158	103,175
-	ŕ			
Total liabilities	1,178,83	4	1,207,323	546,782
EQUITY Wells Fargo stockholders equity: Preferred stock Common stock \$1-2/3 par value, authorized 6,000,000,000	31,41	1	31,332	837
shares; issued 4,363,921,429 shares, 4,363,921,429 shares and 3,472,762,050 shares	7,27.	3	7,273	5,788

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Additional paid-in capital	32,414	36,026	8,259
Retained earnings	36,949	36,543	39,896
Cumulative other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,624)	(6,869)	120
Treasury stock 102,524,177 shares, 135,290,540 shares and			
170,411,704 shares	(3,593)	(4,666)	(5,850)
Unearned ESOP shares	(535)	(555)	(891)
Total Wells Fargo stockholders equity	100,295	99,084	48,159
Noncontrolling interests	6,762	3,232	280
Total equity	107,057	102,316	48,439
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 1,285,891	\$ 1,309,639	\$ 595,221

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

55

# WELLS FARGO & COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in millions, except shares)	Pref Shares	stock nount	Comr Shares	non stock Amount
BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2007	449,804	\$ 450	3,297,102,208	\$ 5,788
Cumulative effect of adoption of EITF 06-4 and EITF 06-10 FAS 158 change of measurement date				
BALANCE JANUARY 1, 2008	449,804	450	3,297,102,208	5,788
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income, net of tax: Translation adjustments Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of reclassification of \$180 million of net gains included in net income Net unrealized gains on derivatives and hedging activities, net of reclassification of \$30 million of net gains on cash flow hedges included in net income Unamortized gains under defined benefit plans, net of amortization				
Total comprehensive income				
Noncontrolling interests Common stock issued Common stock repurchased Preferred stock issued to ESOP	520,500	521	12,053,786 (11,404,468)	
Preferred stock released to ESOP Preferred stock converted to common shares Common stock dividends Tax benefit upon exercise of stock options Stock option compensation expense Net change in deferred compensation and related plans	(133,756)	(134)	4,598,820	
Net change	386,744	387	5,248,138	
BALANCE MARCH 31, 2008	836,548	\$ 837	3,302,350,346	\$ 5,788

BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2008	10,111,821	\$ 31,332	4,228,630,889	\$ 7,273
Cumulative effect of adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 Effect of adoption of FAS 160, as amended and interpreted BALANCE JANUARY 1, 2009	10,111,821	31,332	4,228,630,889	7,273
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income, net of tax: Translation adjustments Securities available for sale: Unrealized losses related to factors other than credit All other net unrealized gains, net of reclassification of \$48 million of net losses included in net income Net unrealized losses on derivatives and hedging activities, net of reclassification of \$84 million of net gains on cash flow hedges included in net income Unamortized gains under defined benefit plans, net of amortization				
Total comprehensive income Noncontrolling interests Common stock issued Common stock repurchased Preferred stock discount accretion Preferred stock released to ESOP Preferred stock converted to common shares Common stock dividends Preferred stock dividends Preferred stock dividends and accretion Stock option compensation expense Net change in deferred compensation and related plans	(18,830)	98 (19)	33,346,822 (2,294,746) 1,714,287	
Net change	(18,830)	79	32,766,363	
BALANCE MARCH 31, 2009	10,092,991	\$ 31,411	4,261,397,252	\$ 7,273

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

56

# WELLS FARGO & COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

		latina	Wells Fa	argo stockh	olders' equity		
Additional	C	Cumulative other		Unearned	Total Wells Fargo		
paid-in	Retainecom		Treasury	ESOP	stockholdeNson	controlling	Total
capital	earnings	income	stock	shares	equity	interests	equity
\$ 8,212	\$ 38,970	\$ 725	\$ (6,035)	\$ (482)	\$ 47,628	\$ 286	\$ 47,914
	(20)				(20)		(20)
	(8)				(8)		(8)
8,212	38,942	725	(6,035)	(482)	47,600	286	47,886
	1,999				1,999	20	2,019
		(7)			(7)		(7)
		(783)			(783)		(783)
		184			184		184
		1			1		1
					1,394	20	1,414
						(26)	(26)
(58)	(21)		396		317		317
			(351)		(351)		(351)
30				(551)			
(8)			150	142	134		134
(16)	(1.024)		150		(1.024)		(1.024)
15	(1,024)				(1,024) 15		(1,024) 15
71					71		71
13			(10)		3		3
47	954	(605)	185	(409)	559	(6)	553
\$ 8,259	\$ 39,896	\$ 120	\$ (5,850)	\$ (891)	\$ 48,159	\$ 280	\$ 48,439
\$ 36,026	\$ 36,543	\$ (6,869)	\$ (4,666)	\$ (555)	\$ 99,084	\$ 3,232	\$ 102,316
	53	(53)					
(3,716)					(3,716)	3,716	

Edgar Filing: 1st	United Bancorp.	Inc.	- Form	425
-------------------	-----------------	------	--------	-----

	•	•		•			
32,310	36,596	(6,922)	(4,666)	(555)	95,368	6,948	102,316
	3,045				3,045	44	3,089
		(18)			(18)	(5)	(23)
		(210)			(210)		(210)
		3,473			3,473	12	3,485
		(16) 69			(16) 69		(16) 69
					6,343	51	6,394
35	(588)		1,077 (54)		524 (54)	(237)	(237) 524 (54)
(1)			(* /	20	98 19		98 19
(36)	(1,443)		55		(1,443)		(1,443)
95 11	(661)		(5)		(661) 95 6		(661) 95 6
104	353	3,298	1,073	20	4,927	(186)	4,741
\$ 32,414	\$ 36,949	\$ (3,624)	\$ (3,593)	\$ (535)	\$ 100,295	\$ 6,762	\$ 107,057

57

# WELLS FARGO & COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)	Quarter endo 2009	ed March 31, 2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Wells Fargo net income	\$ 3,045	\$ 1,999
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for credit losses	4,558	2,028
Changes in fair value of MSRs (residential) and MHFS carried at fair value	2,141	1,812
Depreciation and amortization	981	368
Other net gains	(383)	(158)
Preferred shares released to ESOP	19	134
Stock option compensation expense	95	71
Excess tax benefits related to stock option payments		(15)
Originations of MHFS	(98,613)	(59,146)
Proceeds from sales of and principal collected on mortgages originated for sale	83,262	56,737
Originations of LHFS	(1,494)	
Proceeds from sales of LHFS	26,100	
Purchases of LHFS	(26,167)	
Net change in:		
Trading assets	7,821	(1,166)
Deferred income taxes	2,373	(200)
Accrued interest receivable	674	142
Accrued interest payable	(767)	(63)
Other assets, net	6,372	(4,356)
Other accrued expenses and liabilities, net	5,818	1,423
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	15,835	(390)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Net change in:		
Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term		
investments	30,808	(1,417)
Securities available for sale:		
Sales proceeds	10,760	16,213
Prepayments and maturities	7,343	5,466
Purchases Loans:	(39,173)	(30,947)
Decrease (increase) in banking subsidiaries loan originations, net of collections	10,908	(3,519)
Proceeds from sales (including participations) of loans originated for investment by		
banking subsidiaries	419	325
Purchases (including participations) of loans by banking subsidiaries	(301)	(2,656)
Principal collected on nonbank entities loans	3,175	5,015
Loans originated by nonbank entities	(1,995)	(5,273)
Net cash paid for acquisitions	(123)	(46)
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets	1,001	438

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Changes in MSRs from purchases and sales Net change in noncontrolling interests Other, net	(4) (186) (4,117)	37 6 (2,062)					
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities 18,515							
Cash flows from financing activities:							
Net change in:							
Deposits	15,725	13,684					
Short-term borrowings	(35,990)	728					
Long-term debt:							
Proceeds from issuance	3,811	8,137					
Repayment	(17,877)	(7,569)					
Preferred stock:							
Cash dividends paid and accretion	(623)						
Common stock:							
Proceeds from issuance	524	317					
Repurchased	(54)	(351)					
Cash dividends paid	(1,443)	(1,024)					
Excess tax benefits related to stock option payments		15					
Other, net		3,262					
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(35,927)	17,199					
Net change in cash and due from banks	(1,577)	(1,611)					
Cash and due from banks at beginning of quarter	23,763	14,757					
cush und due from ounts de organistig of quarter	20,1 00	1.,,,,,,,					
Cash and due from banks at end of quarter	\$ 22,186	\$ 13,146					
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:							
Cash paid during the quarter for:							
Interest	\$ 3,704	\$ 3,152					
Income taxes	249	259					
Noncash investing and financing activities:							
Transfers from trading assets to securities available for sale	<b>\$</b> 786	\$					
Transfers from MHFS to trading assets	220						
Transfers from MHFS to securities available for sale		268					
Transfers from MHFS to loans	32	55					
Transfers from MHFS to MSRs	1,451	802					
Transfers from MHFS to foreclosed assets	33						
Net transfers from LHFS to loans		176					
Transfers from loans to foreclosed assets	1,479	775					
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.  58							

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Wells Fargo & Company is a diversified financial services company. We provide banking, insurance, investments, mortgage banking, investment banking, retail banking, brokerage, and consumer finance through banking stores, the internet and other distribution channels to consumers, businesses and institutions in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and in other countries. When we refer to Wells Fargo, the Company, we, our or us in this Form 10-Q mean Wells Fargo & Company and Subsidiaries (consolidated). Wells Fargo & Company (the Parent) is a financial holding company and a bank holding company. We also hold a majority interest in a retail brokerage subsidiary and a real estate investment trust, which has publicly traded preferred stock outstanding.

Our accounting and reporting policies conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and practices in the financial services industry. To prepare the financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make estimates based on assumptions about future economic and market conditions (for example, unemployment, market liquidity, real estate prices, etc.) that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and income and expenses during the reporting period and the related disclosures. Although our estimates contemplate current conditions and how we expect them to change in the future, it is reasonably possible that in 2009 actual conditions could be worse than anticipated in those estimates, which could materially affect our results of operations and financial condition. Management has made significant estimates in several areas, including the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities (Note 4), allowance for credit losses and loans accounted for under American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Position 03-3, *Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer* (SOP 03-3) (Note 5), valuing residential mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) (Notes 7 and 8) and financial instruments (Note 13), pension accounting (Note 15) and income taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Among other effects, such changes could result in future impairments of investment securities, increases to the allowance for loan losses, as well as increased future pension expense.

On December 31, 2008, Wells Fargo acquired Wachovia Corporation (Wachovia). Because the acquisition was completed at the end of 2008, Wachovia s results of operations are included in the income statement and average balances beginning in 2009. Wachovia s assets and liabilities are included in the consolidated balance sheet beginning on December 31, 2008. The accounting policies of Wachovia have been conformed to those of Wells Fargo as described herein.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51, on a retrospective basis for disclosure and, accordingly, prior period information reflects the adoption. FAS 160 requires that noncontrolling interests be reported as a component of total equity. In addition, FAS 160 requires that the consolidated income statement disclose amounts attributable to both Wells Fargo interests and the noncontrolling interests.

59

The information furnished in these unaudited interim statements reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed in this Form 10-Q. The results of operations in the interim statements do not necessarily indicate the results that may be expected for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (2008 Form 10-K).

# **Current Accounting Developments**

In first quarter 2009, we adopted the following new accounting pronouncements:

FAS 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133;

FAS 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51; FAS 141R (revised 2007), Business Combinations;

FSP FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly;

FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*; and FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*.

<u>FAS 161</u> changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. It requires enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivatives, how derivatives and related hedged items are accounted for, and how derivatives and hedged items affect an entity s financial position, performance and cash flows. We adopted FAS 161 for first quarter 2009 reporting. See Note 12 for complete disclosures under FAS 161. Because FAS 161 amends only the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedged items, the adoption of FAS 161 does not affect our consolidated financial results.

<u>FAS 160</u> requires that noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) be reported as a component of equity in the balance sheet. Prior to adoption of FAS 160, they were classified outside of equity. This new standard also changes the way a noncontrolling interest is presented in the income statement such that a parent s consolidated income statement includes amounts attributable to both the parent s interest and the noncontrolling interest. FAS 160 requires a parent to recognize a gain or loss when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The remaining interest is initially recorded at fair value. Other changes in ownership interest where the parent continues to have a majority ownership interest in the subsidiary are accounted for as capital transactions. FAS 160 was effective for us on January 1, 2009. Adoption is applied prospectively to all noncontrolling interests including those that arose prior to the adoption of FAS 160, with retrospective adoption required for disclosure of noncontrolling interests held as of the adoption date.

We hold a controlling interest in a joint venture with Prudential Financial, Inc. (Prudential). In connection with the adoption of FAS 160 on January 1, 2009, we reclassified Prudential s noncontrolling interest to equity. Under the terms of the original agreement under which the joint

60

## Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

venture was established between Wachovia and Prudential, each party has certain rights such that changes in our ownership interest can occur. Prudential has stated its intention to exercise its option to put its noncontrolling interest to us at a date in the future, but has not yet done so. As a result of the issuance of FAS 160 and related interpretive guidance, along with this stated intention, on January 1, 2009, we increased the carrying value of Prudential s noncontrolling interest in the joint venture to the estimated maximum redemption amount, with the offset recorded to additional paid-in capital.

<u>FAS 141R</u> requires an acquirer in a business combination to recognize the assets acquired (including loan receivables), the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, at their fair values as of that date, with limited exceptions. The acquirer is not permitted to recognize a separate valuation allowance as of the acquisition date for loans and other assets acquired in a business combination. The revised statement requires acquisition-related costs to be expensed separately from the acquisition. It also requires restructuring costs that the acquirer expected but was not obligated to incur, to be expensed separately from the business combination. FAS 141R is applicable prospectively to business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009. We will account for business combinations with acquisition dates on or after January 1, 2009, under FAS 141R.

FSP FAS 157-4 addresses measuring fair value under FAS 157 in situations where markets are inactive and transactions are not orderly. The FSP acknowledges that in these circumstances quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value. The FSP emphasizes, however, that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability and regardless of the valuation technique(s) used, the objective of a fair value measurement has not changed. Prior to issuance of this FSP, FAS 157 had been interpreted by many companies, including Wells Fargo, to emphasize that fair value must be measured based on the most recently available quoted market prices, even for markets that have experienced a significant decline in the volume and level of activity relative to normal conditions and therefore could have increased frequency of transactions that are not orderly. Under the provisions of the FSP, price quotes for assets or liabilities in inactive markets may require adjustment due to uncertainty as to whether the underlying transactions are orderly. For inactive markets, we note there is little information, if any, to evaluate if individual transactions are orderly. Accordingly, we are required to estimate, based upon all available facts and circumstances, the degree to which orderly transactions are occurring. The FSP does not prescribe a specific method for adjusting transaction or quoted prices, however, it does provide guidance for determining how much weight to give transaction or quoted prices. Price quotes based upon transactions that are not orderly are not considered to be determinative of fair value and should be given little, if any, weight in measuring fair value. Price quotes based upon transactions that are orderly shall be considered in determining fair value and the weight given is based upon the facts and circumstances. If sufficient information is not available to determine if price quotes are based upon orderly transactions, less weight should be given to the price quote relative to other transactions that are known to be orderly.

The provisions of FSP FAS 157-4 are effective in second quarter 2009; however, as permitted under the pronouncement, we early adopted in first quarter 2009. Adoption of this pronouncement resulted in an increase in the valuation of securities available for sale of \$4.5 billion (\$2.8 billion after tax), which is included in other comprehensive income, and trading assets of \$18 million, which is reflected in earnings.

61

The following table provides the detail of the first quarter 2009 \$4.5 billion (pre tax) increase in fair value of securities available for sale under FSP FAS 157-4.

(in millions)

Mortgage-backed securities:

Residential \$2,311

Commercial 1,329

Collateralized debt obligations 492

Other (1) 394

Total \$4,526

(1) Primarily consists of home equity asset-backed securities and credit card-backed securities.

FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 states that an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) write-down of debt securities, where fair value is below amortized cost, is triggered in circumstances where (1) an entity has the intent to sell a security, (2) it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, or (3) the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If an entity intends to sell a security or if it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery, an OTTI write-down is recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the security s amortized cost basis and its fair value. If an entity does not intend to sell the security or it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, the OTTI write-down is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in earnings, and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The provisions of this FSP are effective in second quarter 2009; however, as permitted under the pronouncement, we early adopted on January 1, 2009, and increased the beginning balance of retained earnings by \$85 million (\$53 million after tax) with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income for OTTI recorded in previous periods on securities in our portfolio at January 1, 2009, that would not have been required had the FSP been effective for those periods. As a result of the adoption of the FSP, \$334 million of OTTI remained in other comprehensive income that would have been reported in the income statement under the prior guidance. EITF 03-6-1 requires that unvested share-based payment awards that have nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents be treated as participating securities and, therefore, included in the computation of earnings per share under the two-class method described in FAS 128, Earnings per Share. This pronouncement is effective on January 1, 2009, with retrospective adoption required. The adoption of EITF 03-6-1 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

62

## 2. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

We regularly explore opportunities to acquire financial services companies and businesses. Generally, we do not make a public announcement about an acquisition opportunity until a definitive agreement has been signed.

In first quarter 2009, we completed the acquisitions of a factoring business with total assets of \$74 million and an insurance brokerage business with total assets of \$23 million.

At March 31, 2009, we had no pending business combinations.

On December 31, 2008, we acquired all outstanding shares of Wachovia common stock in a stock-for-stock transaction. Because the transaction closed on the last day of the annual reporting period, certain fair value purchase accounting adjustments were based on data as of an interim period with estimates through year end. Accordingly, we have re-validated and, where necessary, have refined our purchase accounting adjustments. We will continue to update the fair value of net assets acquired for a period of up to one year from the date of the acquisition as we further refine acquisition date fair values. The impact of the first quarter 2009 refinements were recorded to goodwill and increased goodwill by \$1.14 billion in first quarter 2009. The refined allocation of the purchase price at December 31, 2008, is presented in the following table.

## **Purchase Price and Goodwill**

(in millions)	Dec. 31, 2008 (refined)	Refinements	Dec. 31, 2008
Purchase price:			
Value of common shares	\$ 14,621	\$	\$ 14,621
Value of preferred shares	8,409		8,409
Other (value of share-based awards and direct acquisition costs)	62		62
Total purchase price Allocation of the purchase price:	23,092		23,092
Wachovia tangible stockholders equity, less prior purchase accounting adjustments and other basis adjustments eliminated in purchase accounting Adjustments to reflect assets acquired and liabilities assumed at fair value:	19,319	(75)	19,394
Loans and leases, net	(17,139)	(742)	(16,397)
Premises and equipment, net	(656)	(200)	(456)
Intangible assets	14,590	(150)	14,740
Other assets	(3,675)	(231)	(3,444)
Deposits	(4,576)	(142)	(4,434)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities (exit, termination and other	. , ,	` ,	, , ,
liabilities)	(2,153)	(554)	(1,599)
Long-term debt	(199)	(9)	(190)
Deferred taxes	7,635	959	6,676
Fair value of net assets acquired	13,146	(1,144)	14,290
Goodwill resulting from the merger	\$ 9,946	\$ 1,144	\$ 8,802

The increase in goodwill includes the recognition of additional costs associated with involuntary employee termination, contract terminations and closing duplicate facilities and have been allocated to the purchase price. These costs will be recorded throughout 2009 as part of the further integration of Wachovia s employees, locations and operations with Wells Fargo as management finalizes integration plans. The following table summarizes exit reserves associated with the Wachovia acquisition:

(in millions)	Employee termination	Contract termination	Facilities related	Total
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 57	\$ 13	\$ 129	\$ 199
Purchase accounting adjustments	100	200	60	360
Cash payments	(50)		(8)	(58)
Balance, March 31, 2009	\$ 107	\$ 213	\$ 181	\$ 501

# 3. FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD, SECURITIES PURCHASED UNDER RESALE AGREEMENTS AND OTHER SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The following table provides the detail of federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and other short-term investments.

(in millions)	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,
	2009	2008	2008
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$ 4,114	\$ 8,439	\$ 2,209
Interest-earning deposits	13,359	39,890	994
Other short-term investments	1,152	1,104	968
Total	\$ 18,625	\$49,433	\$ 4,171

64

## 4. SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The following table provides the cost and fair value for the major categories of securities available for sale carried at fair value. The net unrealized gains (losses) are reported on an after-tax basis as a component of cumulative other comprehensive income. There were no securities classified as held to maturity as of the periods presented.

(in millions)	Cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
March 31, 2008 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	\$ 983	\$ 33	\$	\$ 1,016
	7,453	109	(382)	7,180
Federal agencies Residential Commercial	37,468	1,145	(36)	38,577
	15,625	55	(217)	15,463
	7,755	85	(718)	7,122
Total mortgage-backed securities Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt obligations Other (1)	60,848	1,285	(971)	61,162
	2,045	24	(162)	1,907
	1,086	4	(273)	817
	6,711	57	(28)	6,740
Total debt securities  Marketable equity securities:	79,126	1,512	(1,816)	78,822
Perpetual preferred securities Other marketable equity securities	2,533	3	(386)	2,150
	726	115	(26)	815
Total marketable equity securities	3,259	118	(412)	2,965
Total	\$ 82,385	\$ 1,630	\$ (2,228)	\$ 81,787
December 31, 2008 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	\$ 3,187	\$ 62	\$	\$ 3,249
	14,062	116	(1,520)	12,658
Federal agencies Residential Commercial	64,726	1,711	(3)	66,434
	29,536	11	(4,717)	24,830
	12,305	51	(3,878)	8,478
Total mortgage-backed securities	106,567	1,773	(8,598)	99,742
Corporate debt securities	7,382	81	(539)	6,924
Collateralized debt obligations	2,634	21	(570)	2,085
Other (1) (2)	21,363	14	(602)	20,775
Total debt securities  Marketable equity securities:	155,195	2,067	(11,829)	145,433
Perpetual preferred securities Other marketable equity securities	5,040	13	(327)	4,726
	1,256	181	(27)	1,410

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Total marketable equity securities	6,296	194	(354)	6,136
Total	\$ 161,491	\$ 2,261	\$ (12,183)	\$ 151,569
March 31, 2009				
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	\$ 2,837	\$ 68	<b>\$</b> (2)	\$ 2,903
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	12,738	281	(1,173)	11,846
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Federal agencies	87,721	2,931	<b>(4)</b>	90,648
Residential (2)	34,853	1,287	(3,658)	32,482
Commercial	12,762	280	(3,267)	9,775
Total mortgage-backed securities	135,336	4,498	(6,929)	132,905
Corporate debt securities	7,531	157	(702)	6,986
Collateralized debt obligations	2,761	221	(596)	2,386
Other (1)	16,159	660	(556)	16,263
Total debt securities	177,362	5,885	(9,958)	173,289
Marketable equity securities:				
Perpetual preferred securities	4,483	41	(754)	3,770
Other marketable equity securities	1,342	190	(123)	1,409
Total marketable equity securities	5,825	231	(877)	5,179
Total	\$ 183,187	\$6,116	\$ (10,835)	\$ 178,468

(1) The Other category includes certain asset-backed securities collateralized by auto leases with a cost basis and fair value of \$8,407 million and \$8,309 million, respectively, at March 31, 2009, \$8,310 million and \$7,852 million at December 31, 2008, and \$5,909 million and \$5,941 million at March 31, 2008.

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

(2) Foreign residential mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$6.0 billion are included in residential mortgage-backed securities at March 31, 2009. These instruments were included in other debt securities at December 31, 2008, and had a fair value of \$6.3 billion.

65

#### **Gross Unrealized Losses and Fair Value**

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of securities in the securities available-for-sale portfolio by length of time that individual securities in each category had been in a continuous loss position. Debt securities on which we have taken only credit-related OTTI write-downs are categorized as being less than 12 months or 12 months or more in a continuous loss position based on the point in time that the fair value declined to below the cost basis and not the period of time since the OTTI write-down.

	Less than 12 months Gross unrealized Fair		12 mon Gross unrealized	ths or more Fair	Gross unrealized	Total Fair	
(in millions)	losses	value	losses	value	losses	value	
December 31, 2008							
Securities of U.S. Treasury and							
federal agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Securities of U.S. states and					(4. 7.5.0)		
political subdivisions	(745)	3,483	(775)	1,702	(1,520)	5,185	
Mortgage-backed securities:					(2)		
Federal agencies	(3)	83			(3)	83	
Residential	(4,471)	9,960	(246)	238	(4,717)	10,198	
Commercial	(1,726)	4,152	(2,152)	2,302	(3,878)	6,454	
Total mortgage-backed securities	(6,200)	14,195	(2,398)	2,540	(8,598)	16,735	
Corporate debt securities	(285)	1,056	(254)	469	(539)	1,525	
Collateralized debt obligations	(113)	215	(457)	180	(570)	395	
Other	(554)	8,638	(48)	38	(602)	8,676	
m . 1.11.	(7,007)	27.507	(2.022)	4.000	(11.020)	22.516	
Total debt securities	(7,897)	27,587	(3,932)	4,929	(11,829)	32,516	
Marketable equity securities:	(75)	265	(252)	260	(227)	625	
Perpetual preferred securities Other marketable against securities	(75)	265 72	(252)	360 9	(327)	81	
Other marketable equity securities	(23)	12	(4)	9	(27)	01	
Total marketable equity securities	(98)	337	(256)	369	(354)	706	
Total	\$ (7,995)	\$ 27,924	\$ (4,188)	\$ 5,298	\$ (12,183)	\$ 33,222	
March 31, 2009							
Securities of U.S. Treasury and							
federal agencies	<b>\$</b> (2)	\$ 1,300	\$	\$	\$ (2)	\$ 1,300	
Securities of U.S. states and	Ψ (=)	Ψ 1,000	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ (=)	Ψ 1,000	
political subdivisions	(504)	3,821	(669)	2,222	(1,173)	6,043	
Mortgage-backed securities:	, ,	,	` ,	,	` , , ,	,	
Federal agencies	(4)	279		20	(4)	299	
Residential	(1,723)	12,707	(1,935)	4,823	(3,658)	17,530	
Commercial	(1,077)	4,228	(2,190)	3,037	(3,267)	7,265	
Total mortgage-backed securities	(2,804)	17,214	(4,125)	7,880	(6,929)	25,094	
Corporate debt securities	(442)	2,863	(260)	531	(0,727) $(702)$	3,394	
corporate acor securines	(442)	2,003	(200)	331	(102)	3,37-1	

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Collateralized debt obligations Other	(195) (384)	853 6,982	(401) (172)	285 1,430	(596) (556)	1,138 8,412
Total debt securities	(4,331)	33,033	(5,627)	12,348	(9,958)	45,381
Marketable equity securities: Perpetual preferred securities	(405)	807	(349)	366	(754)	1,173
Other marketable equity securities	(123)	387			(123)	387
Total marketable equity securities	(528)	1,194	(349)	366	(877)	1,560
Total	<b>\$ (4,859)</b>	\$ 34,227	\$ (5,976)	\$ 12,714	<b>\$ (10,835)</b>	\$ 46,941

The unrealized losses associated with securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions are primarily driven by changes in interest rates and not due to the credit quality of the securities. These investments are almost exclusively investment grade and were generally underwritten in accordance with our own investment standards prior to the decision to purchase, without relying on a bond insurer s guarantee in making the investment decision. These securities will continue to be monitored as part of our ongoing impairment analysis, but are expected to perform, even if

66

the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond insurers. As a result, we concluded that these securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2009.

The unrealized losses associated with private collateralized mortgage obligations are primarily related to securities backed by commercial mortgages and residential mortgages. Approximately 75% of the securities were AAA-rated by at least one major rating agency. We estimate loss projections for each security by assessing loans collateralizing the security and determining expected default rates and loss severities. Based upon our assessment of expected credit losses of the security given the performance of the underlying collateral compared to our credit enhancement, we concluded that these securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2009.

The unrealized losses associated with other securities are primarily related to securities backed by commercial loans and individual issuer companies. For securities with commercial loans as the underlying collateral, we have evaluated the expected credit losses in the security and concluded that we have sufficient credit enhancement when compared with our estimate of credit losses for the individual security. For individual issuers, we evaluate the financial performance of the issuer on a quarterly basis to determine if it is probable that the issuer can make all contractual principal and interest payments.

Our marketable equity securities included \$3.8 billion of investments in perpetual preferred securities at March 31, 2009. These securities provide very attractive tax-equivalent yields and were current as to periodic distributions in accordance with their respective terms as of March 31, 2009. We evaluated these hybrid financial instruments with investment-grade ratings for impairment using an evaluation methodology similar to that used for debt securities. Perpetual preferred securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2009, if there was no evidence of credit deterioration or investment rating downgrades of any issuers to below investment grade, and it was probable we would continue to receive full contractual payments. We will continue to evaluate the prospects for these securities for recovery in their market value in accordance with our policy for estimating OTTI. We have recorded impairment write-downs on perpetual preferred securities where there was evidence of credit deterioration.

The fair values of our investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for the private collateralized mortgage obligations or other securities deteriorate and our credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protection to our contractual principal and interest. As a result, there is a risk that significant OTTI may occur in the future given the current economic environment.

# Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Debt Securities

We recognize OTTI for debt securities classified as available for sale in accordance with FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2. As required by this FSP, we assess whether we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit losses. For debt securities that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired and that we do not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of our amortized cost basis, we separate the amount of the impairment into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between the security s amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows. The remaining difference

6

between the security s fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in other comprehensive income.

The following table presents a roll-forward of the credit loss component of the amortized cost of debt securities that we have written down for OTTI and the credit component of the loss is recognized in earnings (referred to as credit-impaired debt securities). The credit loss component of the amortized cost represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which OTTI occurred prior to January 1, 2009. OTTI recognized in earnings in first quarter 2009 for credit-impaired debt securities is presented as additions in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit impaired (subsequent credit impairments). The credit loss component is reduced if we sell, intend to sell or believe we will be required to sell previously credit-impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if we receive cash flows in excess of what we expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit-impaired debt security, the security matures or is fully written down. Changes in the credit loss component of credit-impaired debt securities were:

(in millions)	Quarter ended March 31, 2009
Balance, beginning of period Additions (1):	\$ 471
Initial credit impairments	197
Subsequent credit impairments	66
Reductions:	
For securities sold	(7)
Balance, end of period	\$ 727
(1) Excludes	
\$6 million of	
OTTI on debt	
securities we	
intend to sell.	
68	

For asset-backed securities (e.g., residential mortgage-backed securities), we estimated expected future cash flows of the security by estimating the expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral and applying those collateral cash flows, together with any credit enhancements such as subordination interests owned by third parties, to the security. The expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral are determined using the remaining contractual cash flows adjusted for future expected credit losses (which considers current delinquencies and nonperforming assets, future expected default rates and collateral value by vintage and geographic region) and prepayments. The expected cash flows of the security are then discounted at the interest rate used to recognize interest income on the security to arrive at a present value amount. The following table presents a summary of the significant inputs considered in determining the measurement of the credit loss component recognized in earnings for asset-backed securities as of March 31, 2009.

Residential MBS

Expected remaining life of loan losses (1):

Range (2)

Weighted average (3)

Current subordination levels (4):

Range (2)

Weighted average (3)

Weighted average (3)

Prepayment speed (annual CPR (5)):

Range (2)

Veighted average (3)

7.27 to 24.64%

Weighted average (3)

15.76%

- (1) Represents future expected credit losses on underlying pool of loans expressed as a percentage of total current outstanding loan balance.
- (2) Represents the range of inputs/assumptions based upon the individual securities within each category.
- (3) Calculated by weighting the relevant input/assumption for each individual security by current outstanding amortized cost basis of the

security.

- (4) Represents current level of credit protection (subordination) for the securities, expressed as a percentage of total current underlying loan balance.
- (5) Constant prepayment rate.

## **Realized Gains and Losses**

The following table shows the gross realized gains and losses on the sales of securities from the securities available-for-sale portfolio, including marketable equity securities. Of the first quarter 2009 OTTI write-downs of \$516 million, \$269 million related to debt securities and \$247 million to equity securities. Under FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, which we adopted this quarter, total OTTI on debt securities amounted to \$603 million, which included \$263 million of credit-related OTTI and \$6 million related to securities we intend to sell, both of which were recorded as part of gross realized losses, and \$334 million recorded directly to other comprehensive income for non-credit related impairment on securities. We believe that we will fully collect the carrying value of securities on which we have recorded a non-credit-related impairment in other comprehensive income.

	Quarter ended M			
(in millions)		2009		31, 2008
	¢		¢	
Gross realized gains Gross realized losses	Ф	294 (370)	Ф	378 (88)
Net realized gains (losses)	\$	(76)	\$	290

69

#### **Contractual Maturities**

The following table shows the remaining contractual principal maturities and contractual yields of debt securities available for sale. The remaining contractual principal maturities for mortgage-backed securities were allocated assuming no prepayments. Remaining expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations before the underlying mortgages mature.

	We	ighted-	With	iin one	After or		Aft	ntractual er five years igh ten	principal ma	aturity
(in:11i a n a)		verage	A	year		years		years	After ten	-
(in millions)	Amount	yieid	Amount	rieid	Amount	rieid	Amount	rieia	Amount	rieid
December 31, 2008 Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies Securities of U.S. states and political	\$ 3,249	1.54%	\$1,719	0.02%	\$ 1,127	3.15%	\$ 388	3.40%	\$ 15	4.79%
subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	12,658	7.54	210	5.54	784	7.36	1,163	7.39	10,501	7.61
Federal agencies	66,434	5.73	42	4.23	122	4.98	353	6.02	65,917	5.73
Residential	24,830	6.73					34	8.15	24,796	6.73
Commercial	8,478	7.95			5	1.57	135	8.64	8,338	7.94
Total mortgage-backed										
securities	99,742	6.17	42	4.23	127	4.87	522	6.83	99,051	6.17
Corporate debt securities Collateralized debt	6,924	5.81	432	5.49	3,697	4.76	2,212	7.48	583	6.31
obligations	2,085	4.52			120	7.83	809	3.65	1,156	4.77
Other	20,775	5.17	43	3.82	8,057	7.41	1,346	4.86	11,329	3.61
Total debt securities at fair value (1)	\$ 145,433	6.00%	\$ 2,446	1.60%	\$ 13,912	6.34%	\$ 6,440	6.14%	\$ 122,635	6.04%
March 31, 2009 Securities of U.S. Treasury and										
federal agencies Securities of U.S.	\$ 2,903	1.72%	\$ 1,380	0.11%	\$ 918	3.01%	\$ 586	3.41%	\$ 19	5.36%
states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	11,846	6.48	117	6.19	621	7.43	976	6.90	10,132	6.38
Federal agencies	90,648	5.62	6	4.80	97	5.50	329	<b>5.57</b>	90,216	5.62

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Residential	32,482	5.41	8	4.42	131	0.80	91	6.31	32,252	5.43
Commercial	9,775	5.20	<b>79</b>	1.46	72	5.31	158	<b>7.67</b>	9,466	5.19
Total mortgage-backed										
securities	132,905	5.54	93	1.94	300	3.40	578	6.26	131,934	5.54
Corporate debt	,								·	
securities	6,986	5.85	715	5.48	3,092	4.95	2,643	7.03	536	5.42
Collateralized debt	t									
obligations	2,386	2.53			168	<b>5.87</b>	1,025	2.94	1,193	1.69
Other	16,263	4.59	35	3.47	9,414	6.65	766	1.62	6,048	1.76
Total debt securities at fair	\$ 173,289	5 A 2 97-	\$ 2,340	2 18%	\$ 14,513	6 01 %	\$ 6 574	5 35%	\$ 1/0 862	5.41%
value (1)	<b>\$ 173,289</b>	5.42%	<b>3 2,340</b>	<i>2</i> .18%	\$ 14,513	6.01%	\$ 6,574	5.35%	\$ 149,862	5.41%

(1) The weighted-average yield is computed using the contractual life amortization method.

70

#### 5. LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The major categories of loans outstanding showing those subject to SOP 03-3 are presented in the following table. Certain loans acquired in the Wachovia acquisition are subject to SOP 03-3. These include loans where it is probable that we will not collect all contractual principal and interest. Loans within the scope of SOP 03-3 are initially recorded at fair value, and no allowance is carried over or initially recorded. Outstanding balances of all other loans are presented net of unearned income, net deferred loan fees, and unamortized discount and premium totaling \$21,173 million, \$16,891 million and \$4,172 million, at March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively.

	March 31, 2009 All				Decemb All	per 31, 2008	
	SOP	41		SOP	.1		M 21
(in m:11: an a)	03-3	other	Total	03-3	other	T-4-1	Mar. 31,
(in millions)	loans	loans	Total	loans	loans	Total	2008
Commercial and commercial real estate:							
Commercial	\$ 3,088	\$ 188,623	\$ 191,711	\$ 4,580	\$ 197,889	\$ 202,469	\$ 92,589
Other real estate mortgage	6,597	98,337	104,934	7,762	95,346	103,108	38,415
Real estate construction	4,507	29,405	33,912	4,503	30,173	34,676	18,885
Lease financing		14,792	14,792		15,829	15,829	6,885
Total commercial and commercial real estate Consumer: Real estate 1-4 family first	14,192	331,157	345,349	16,845	339,237	356,082	156,774
mortgage Real estate 1-4 family	41,520	201,427	242,947	39,214	208,680	247,894	73,321
junior lien mortgage	615	109,133	109,748	728	109,436	110,164	74,840
Credit card		22,815	22,815		23,555	23,555	18,677
Other revolving credit and							
installment	32	91,220	91,252	151	93,102	93,253	55,505
Total consumer	42,167	424,595	466,762	40,093	434,773	474,866	222,343
Foreign	1,849	29,619	31,468	1,859	32,023	33,882	7,216
Total loans	\$ 58,208	\$ 785,371	\$ 843,579	\$ 58,797	\$ 806,033	\$ 864,830	\$ 386,333

We consider a loan to be impaired under FAS 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 5 and 15, when, based on current information and events, we determine that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. We assess and account for as impaired certain nonaccrual commercial and commercial real estate loans that are over \$5 million and certain consumer, commercial and commercial real estate loans whose terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring. The recorded investment in impaired loans and the methodology used to measure impairment was:

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

(in millions)	Mar. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008	Mar. 31, 2008
Impairment measurement based on: Collateral value method Discounted cash flow method (1)	\$ 345 6,445	\$ 88 3,552	\$ 14 909
Total (2)	\$ 6,790	\$3,640	\$ 923

(1) The March 31, 2009, balance includes \$474 million of Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) loans that are insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. Although both principal and interest are insured, the insured interest rate may be different than the original contractual interest rate prior to modification, resulting in interest impairment under a discounted cash flow methodology.

(2) Includes \$6,206 million, \$3,468 million and

\$828 million of impaired loans with a related allowance of \$1,571 million, \$816 million and \$111 million at March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, respectively.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans was \$5,795 million in first quarter 2009 and \$678 million in first quarter 2008.

71

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded credit commitments. Changes in the allowance for credit losses were:

(in millions)	Quarter ende 2009	ed March 31, 2008
Balance, beginning of period Provision for credit losses Loan charge-offs:	\$ 21,711 4,558	\$ 5,518 2,028
Commercial and commercial real estate: Commercial Other real estate mortgage	(596) (31)	(259) (4)
Real estate construction Lease financing	(105) (20)	(29) (12)
Total commercial and commercial real estate Consumer:	(752)	(304)
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	(424) (873)	(81) (455)
Credit card Other revolving credit and installment	(622) (900)	(313) (543)
Total consumer Foreign	(2,819) (54)	(1,392) (68)
Total loan charge-offs	(3,625)	(1,764)
Loan recoveries: Commercial and commercial real estate:		
Commercial	40	31
Other real estate mortgage	10	1
Real estate construction Lease financing	3	1 3
Total commercial and commercial real estate Consumer:	55	36
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage	33	6
Real estate 1-4 family junior lien mortgage	26	17
Credit card	40	38
Other revolving credit and installment	204	125
Total consumer Foreign	303 9	186 14
Total loan recoveries	367	236
Net loan charge-offs (1)	(3,258)	(1,528)

Allowances related to business combinations/other	(165)	(5)
Balance, end of period	\$ 22,846	\$ 6,013
Components:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 22,281	\$ 5,803
Reserve for unfunded credit commitments	565	210
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 22,846	\$ 6,013
Net loan charge-offs (annualized) as a percentage of average total loans	1.54%	1.60%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans (2)	2.64%	1.50%
Allowance for credit losses as a percentage of total loans (2)	2.71	1.56

(1) Loans accounted for under SOP 03-3 were recorded in purchase accounting at fair value and, accordingly, charge-offs do not include losses on such loans.

(2) The allowance for loan losses and the allowance for credit losses do not include any amounts related to loans acquired from Wachovia that are accounted for under SOP 03-3. Loans acquired from Wachovia are included in total loans net of related purchase accounting net

write-downs.

72

#### **SOP 03-3**

At December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2009, loans within the scope of SOP 03-3 had an unpaid principal balance of \$95.8 billion and \$93.0 billion, respectively, and a carrying value of \$59.7 billion and \$58.2 billion, respectively. The following table provides details on the SOP 03-3 loans acquired from Wachovia.

	December 31,
(in millions)	2008 (refined)
Contractually required payments including interest Nonaccretable difference (1)	\$114,565 (44,274)
Cash flows expected to be collected (2) Accretable yield	70,291 (10,547)
Fair value of loans acquired	\$ 59,744

(1) Includes

\$40.0 billion in

principal cash

flows (purchase

accounting

adjustments) not

expected to be

collected,

\$2.0 billion of

pre-acquisition

charge-offs and

\$2.3 billion of

future interest

not expected to

be collected.

(2) Represents

undiscounted

expected

principal and

interest cash

The change in the accretable yield related to SOP 03-3 loans is presented in the following table.

(in millions)	Quarter ended March 31, 2009
Balance, beginning of quarter (refined) Disposals Accretion	\$ (10,547) 4 561

Balance, end of quarter \$ (9,982)

73

# 6. OTHER ASSETS

The components of other assets were:

(in millions)	Mar. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008	Mar. 31, 2008	
Nonmarketable equity investments:				
Cost method:				
Private equity investments	\$ 2,588	\$ 2,706	\$ 2,078	
Federal bank stock	6,080	6,106	2,110	
Other	2,306	2,292	1,939	
Total cost method	10,974	11,104	6,127	
Equity method	4,151	4,400	1,107	
Principal investments (1)	1,270	1,278		
Total nonmarketable equity investments	16,395	16,782	7,234	
Operating lease assets	2,866	2,251	1,955	
Accounts receivable	16,471	22,493	14,547	
Interest receivable	5,009	5,746	2,835	
Core deposit intangibles	12,026	11,999	403	
Customer relationship and other intangibles	2,700	3,516	306	
Foreclosed assets:				
GNMA loans (2)	768	667	578	
Other	1,294	1,526	637	
Due from customers on acceptances	188	615	66	
Other	47,299	44,206	13,997	
Total other assets	\$ 105,016	\$ 109,801	\$ 42,558	

(1) Principal investments are recorded at fair value with realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net gains (losses) from equity investments in the income

(2) Consistent with regulatory reporting requirements,

statement.

foreclosed assets

include

foreclosed real

estate securing

GNMA loans.

Both principal

and interest for

**GNMA** loans

secured by the foreclosed real

estate are

collectible

because the

**GNMA** loans

are insured by

the Federal

Housing

Administration

or guaranteed by

the Department

of Veterans

Affairs.

Income related to nonmarketable equity investments was:

	Quarter ended Marcl			
(in millions)		2009		2008
Net gains (losses) from private equity investments (1) Net losses from principal investments Net losses from all other nonmarketable equity investments	\$	(220) (8) (49)	\$	346  (39)
Net gains (losses) from nonmarketable equity investments	\$	(277)	\$	307

first quarter 2008 include \$334 million gain from our ownership in Visa, which

(1) Net gains from

completed its

initial public

offering in

March 2008.

**Table of Contents** 115

74

#### **Table of Contents**

# 7. SECURITIZATIONS AND VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES Involvement with SPEs

We enter into various types of on- and off-balance sheet transactions with special purpose entities (SPEs) in the normal course of business. SPEs are corporations, trusts or partnerships that are established for a limited purpose. We use SPEs to create sources of financing, liquidity and regulatory capital capacity for the Company, as well as sources of financing and liquidity, and investment products for our clients. Our use of SPEs generally consists of various securitization activities with SPEs whereby financial assets are transferred to an SPE and repackaged as securities or similar interests that are sold to investors. In connection with our securitization activities, we have various forms of ongoing involvement with SPEs, which may include:

underwriting securities issued by SPEs and subsequently making markets in those securities; providing liquidity facilities to support short-term obligations of SPEs issued to third party investors; providing credit enhancement on securities issued by SPEs or market value guarantees of assets held by SPEs through the use of letters of credit, financial guarantees, credit default swaps and total return swaps; entering into other derivative contracts with SPEs;

holding senior or subordinated interests in SPEs; acting as servicer or investment manager for SPEs; and providing administrative or trustee services to SPEs.

The SPEs we use are primarily either qualifying SPEs (QSPEs), which are not consolidated if the criteria described below are met, or variable interest entities (VIEs). To qualify as a QSPE, an entity must be passive and must adhere to significant limitations on the types of assets and derivative instruments it may own and the extent of activities and decision making in which it may engage. For example, a QSPE s activities are generally limited to purchasing assets, passing along the cash flows of those assets to its investors, servicing its assets and, in certain transactions, issuing liabilities. Among other restrictions on a QSPE s activities, a QSPE may not actively manage its assets through discretionary sales or modifications.

A VIE is an entity that has either a total equity investment that is insufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or whose equity investors lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. A VIE is consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the entity that, through its variable interests, absorbs the majority of a VIE s variability. A variable interest is a contractual, ownership or other interest that changes with changes in the fair value of the VIE s net assets.

13

The classifications of assets and liabilities in our balance sheet associated with our transactions with QSPEs and VIEs are as follows:

(in millions)	QSPEs	VIEs that we do not consolidate	VIEs that we consolidate	Transfers that we account for as secured borrowings	Total
December 31, 2008					
Cash	\$	\$	\$ 117	\$ 287	\$ 404
Trading account assets	1,261	5,241	71	141	6,714
Securities (1)	18,078	15,168	922	6,094	40,262
Mortgages held for sale	56				56
Loans (2)		16,882	217	4,126	21,225
MSRs	14,106				14,106
Other assets	345	5,022	2,416	55	7,838
Total assets	33,846	42,313	3,743	10,703	90,605
Short-term borrowings			307	1,440	1,747
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	528	1,976	330	26	2,860
Long term debt			1,773	7,125	8,898
Noncontrolling interests			121		121
Total liabilities and noncontrolling interests	528	1,976	2,531	8,591	13,626
Net assets	\$33,318	\$40,337	\$ 1,212	\$ 2,112	\$ 76,979
March 31, 2009					
Cash	\$	\$	<b>\$ 166</b>	<b>\$ 288</b>	<b>\$ 454</b>
Trading account assets	2,097	5,183	55	135	7,470
Securities (1)	21,766	14,633	1,627	5,849	43,875
Loans (2)		16,852	312	3,284	20,448
MSRs	11,969	18			11,987
Other assets	258	5,648	2,616	167	8,689
Total assets	36,090	42,334	4,776	9,723	92,923
Short-term borrowings			306	2,307	2,613
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	622	2,351	517	90	3,580
Long term debt			1,807	6,529	8,336
Noncontrolling interests			138		138
Total liabilities and noncontrolling interests	622	2,351	2,768	8,926	14,667
Net assets	\$ 35,468	\$ 39,983	\$ 2,008	\$ 797	\$ 78,256

(1) Excludes certain debt securities

related to loans

serviced for the

Federal National

Mortgage

Association

(FNMA),

Federal Home

Loan Mortgage

Corporation

(FHLMC) and

Government

National

Mortgage

Association

(GNMA).

(2) Excludes related allowance for loan losses.

The following disclosures regarding our significant continuing involvement with QSPEs and unconsolidated VIEs exclude entities where our only involvement is in the form of: (1) investments in trading securities, (2) investments in securities or loans underwritten by third parties, (3) certain derivatives such as interest rate swaps or cross currency swaps that have customary terms, and (4) administrative or trustee services. We have also excluded investments accounted for in accordance with the AICPA Investment Company Audit Guide, investments accounted for under the cost method, and investments accounted for under the equity method.

# **Transactions with QSPEs**

We use QSPEs to securitize consumer and commercial real estate loans and other types of financial assets, including student loans, auto loans and municipal bonds. We typically retain the servicing rights from these sales and may continue to hold other beneficial interests in QSPEs. We may also provide liquidity to investors in the beneficial interests and credit enhancements in

76

the form of standby letters of credit. Through these securitizations we may be exposed to liability under limited amounts of recourse as well as standard representations and warranties we make to purchasers and issuers. The amount recorded for this liability is included in other commitments and guarantees in the following table. A summary of our involvements with QSPEs is as follows:

(in millions)	Total QSPE assets (1)	Debt and equity interests (2)	Servicing assets D		Other ommitments and guarantees	Net assets
December 31, 2008				Carry	ing value as	set (liability)
Residential mortgage loan				•	_	•
securitizations	\$1,144,775	\$ 17,469	\$12,951	\$ 30	\$ (511)	\$ 29,939
Commercial mortgage						
securitizations	355,267	1,452	1,098	524	(14)	3,060
Auto loan securitizations	4,133	72		43		115
Student loan securitizations	2,765	76	57			133
Other	11,877	74		(3)		71
Total	\$ 1,518,817	\$ 19,143	\$ 14,106	\$ 594	\$ (525)	\$ 33,318
				M	Iaximum expo	sure to loss
Residential mortgage loan securitizations Commercial mortgage		\$ 17,469	\$ 12,951	\$ 300	\$ 718	\$ 31,438
securitizations		1,452	1,098	524	3,302	6,376
Auto loan securitizations		72		43		115
Student loan securitizations		76	57			133
Other		74		1,465	37	1,576
Total		\$ 19,143	\$ 14,106	\$ 2,332	\$ 4,057	\$ 39,638
March 31, 2009				Carryii	ng value as	set (liability)
Residential mortgage loan				-		
securitizations Commercial mortgage	\$ 1,229,211	\$ 21,763	\$ 10,961	\$ 24	\$ (605)	\$ 32,143
securitizations	391,114	1,561	953	482	(17)	2,979
Auto loan securitizations	3,580	76		39	(17)	115
Student loan securitizations	2,776	165	55			220
Other	9,955	11				11
Total	\$ 1,636,636	\$ 23,576	\$ 11,969	\$ 545	\$ (622)	\$ 35,468

Maximum exposure to loss

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Residential mortgage loan					
securitizations	\$ 21,763	\$10,961	<b>\$ 276</b>	\$ 1,525	\$ 34,525
Commercial mortgage					
securitizations	1,561	953	482	3,017	6,013
Auto loan securitizations	76		39		115
Student loan securitizations	165	55			220
Other	11		133	37	181
Total	\$ 23,576	\$ 11,969	\$ 930	\$ 4,579	\$ 41,054

- (1) Represents the remaining principal balance of assets held by QSPEs using the most current information available.
- (2) Excludes certain debt securities held related to loans serviced for FNMA, FHLMC and GNMA.

Maximum exposure to loss represents the carrying value of our involvement with off-balance sheet QSPEs plus remaining undrawn liquidity and lending commitments, notional amount of net written derivative contracts, and notional amount of other commitments and guarantees. Maximum exposure to loss is a required disclosure under generally accepted accounting principles and represents the estimated loss that would be incurred under an assumed hypothetical circumstance, despite its extremely remote possibility, where the value of our interests and any associated collateral declines to zero, without any consideration of recovery or offset from any economic hedges. Accordingly, this required disclosure is not an indication of expected loss.

77

# **Table of Contents**

We recognized net losses of \$4 million from sales of financial assets in securitizations in first quarter 2009. Additionally, we had the following cash flows with our securitization trusts.

Quarter ended March 31,		
	2009,	
	Other	
Mortgage	financial	
loans	assets	
\$81,178	\$	
1,000	18	
495	79	
13		
62		
	Mortgage loans \$81,178 1,000 495 13	

(1) Represents cash flow data for all loans securitized in first quarter 2009.

For securitizations completed in first quarter 2009, we used the following assumptions to determine the fair value of mortgage servicing rights at the date of securitization.

March 31, 2009 Mortgage servicing rights

Prepayment speed (annual CPR (1))	12.6%
Life (in years)	5.9
Discount rate	9.1%

(1) Constant prepayment rate.

78

Key economic assumptions and the sensitivity of the current fair value to immediate adverse changes in those assumptions at March 31, 2009, for residential and commercial mortgage servicing rights, and other interests held related to residential mortgage loan securitizations are presented in the following table.

(\$ in millions)	Mortgage servicing rights	i	Other nterests held	0	ther interests held subordinate bonds
Fair value of interests held Expected weighted-average life (in years)	\$ 12,932 3.6	\$	265 4.2	\$	176 5.4
Prepayment speed assumption (annual CPR) Decrease in fair value from:	19.6%		17.6%		14.0%
10% increase 25% increase	\$ 650 1,482	\$	17 38	\$	
Discount rate assumption MSRs and other interests held Decrease in fair value from:	10.0%		12.6%		13.6%
100 basis point increase 200 basis point increase	\$ 448 861	\$	8 15		
Other interests held subordinate bonds  Decrease in fair value from:					
50 basis point increase 100 basis point increase				\$	4 9
Credit loss assumption Decrease in fair value from:					3.4%
10% higher losses 25% higher losses				\$	16 36

Adverse changes in key economic assumptions used to measure the fair value of retained interests in securitizations that we acquired in the Wachovia acquisition were analyzed. The price sensitivity to these adverse changes was not significant and, accordingly, is not included in the table above.

The sensitivities in the table above are hypothetical and caution should be exercised when relying on this data. Changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in the assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the other interests held is calculated independently without changing any other assumptions. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in others (for example, changes in prepayment speed estimates could result in changes in the discount rates), which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

79

We also retained some AAA-rated fixed-rate and adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of the securities was \$5,623 million at March 31, 2009, and \$5,147 million at December 31, 2008, and was determined using an independent third party pricing service.

The table below presents information about the principal balances of owned and securitized loans.

		Total loans (1)		Delinquent loans (2)(3)		Net charge-offs (recoveries) (3)
	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Quar end	
(in millions)	2009	2008	2009	2008		March 31, 2009
Commercial and commercial real estate:						
Commercial Other real estate mortgage Real estate construction Lease financing	\$ 193,236 312,211 33,912 14,792	\$ 204,113 310,480 34,676 15,829	\$ 2,113 2,912 1,995 114	\$ 1,471 1,058 1,221 92	\$	556 25 103 17
Total commercial and commercial real estate Consumer:	554,151	565,098	7,134	3,842		701
Real estate 1-4 family first mortgage Real estate 1-4 family junior lien	1,211,050	1,165,456	10,213	6,849		593
mortgage Credit card Other revolving credit and	114,845 22,815	115,308 23,555	2,096 738	1,421 687		880 582
installment	104,469	104,886	1,504	1,427		737
Total consumer Foreign	1,453,179 31,468	1,409,205 33,882	14,551 104	10,384 91		2,792 45
Total loans owned and securitized	2,038,798	2,008,185	\$ 21,789	\$ 14,317	\$	3,538
Less: Securitized loans Mortgages held for sale Loans held for sale	1,150,106 36,807 8,306	1,117,039 20,088 6,228				
Total loans held	\$ 843,579	\$ 864,830				

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents loans in the balance sheet or that

have been securitized and includes residential mortgages sold to FNMA and FHLMC and securitizations where servicing is our only form of continuing involvement.

- (2) Delinquent loans are 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest as well as nonaccrual loans.
- (3) Delinquent loans and net charge-offs exclude loans sold to FNMA and FHLMC. We continue to service the loans and would only experience a loss if required to repurchase a delinquent loan due to a breach in original representations and warranties associated with our underwriting standards.

#### **Transactions with VIEs**

Our transactions with VIEs include securitization, investment and financing activities involving collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) backed by asset-backed and commercial real estate securities, collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) backed by corporate loans or bonds, and other types of structured financing. We have various forms of involvement with VIEs, including holding senior or subordinated interests, entering into liquidity arrangements, credit default swaps and other derivative contracts.

80

A summary of our involvements with off-balance sheet (unconsolidated) VIEs is as follows:

(in millions)	Total VIE assets (1)	Debt and equity interests	commitme		Other nitments and arantees	Net assets	
December 31, 2008					Carryin	g value	asset (liability)
CDOs Wachovia administered ABCP conduit Asset-based lending structures Tax credit structures CLOs Investment funds Credit-linked note structures Money market funds Other (2)	\$ 48,802 10,767 11,614 22,882 23,339 105,808 12,993 31,843 1,832	\$ 14,080 9,232 4,366 3,217 3,543 50 50 3,983	\$	1,053  (136)  109  1,472 10 (36)	\$	 (516)   (141)	3,326 3,543 1,522 60
Total	\$ 269,880	\$ 38,521	\$	2,472	\$	(657)	\$40,336
					Ma	ximum e	xposure to loss
CDOs Wachovia administered ABCP conduit Asset-based lending structures Tax credit structures CLOs Investment funds Credit-linked note structures Money market funds Other (2)		\$ 14,080  9,346 4,366 3,217 3,550 50 50 3,991	\$	4,849 15,824 136  109  2,253 51 130	\$	1,514  560 555 140  578	\$ 20,443 15,824 9,482 4,926 3,881 3,690 2,303 101 4,699
Total		\$ 38,650	\$	23,352	\$	3,347	\$ 65,349
March 31, 2009				•	Carrying	g value	asset (liability)
CDOs	\$ 53,439 9,894	\$ 14,595 	\$	1,008	\$	 	\$ 15,603 

Wachovia administered ABCP						
conduit Asset-based lending structures	15,158	9,061	(122)			8,939
Tax credit structures	27,197	5,025	(122)		(863)	4,162
CLOs	24,691	3,547	119		(003)	4,102 3,666
Investment funds	96,497	3,347 1,918	117			3,000 1,918
Credit-linked note structures	1,578	1,916	1,410			1,462
Money market funds	33,552	32	(9)			(9)
•	·		` '		(96)	` '
Other (2)	3,989	4,336	(8)		(86)	4,242
Total	\$ 265,995	\$ 38,534	\$ 2,398	\$	(949)	\$ 39,983
				Max	ximum expo	osure to loss
CDOs		\$ 14,595	\$ 4,414	\$	1,092	\$ 20,101
Wachovia administered ABCP conduit			10,092			10,092
Asset-based lending structures		 9,061	10,032		 1,073	10,032
Tax credit structures		5,025	122		1,075	5,040
CLOs		3,547	119		529	4,195
Investment funds		1,918	500		123	2,541
Credit-linked note structures		52	2,189			2,241
Money market funds			39		12	51
Other (2)		4,336	160		535	5,031
Total						

(1) Represents the remaining principal balance of assets held by unconsolidated VIEs using the most current information available. For VIEs that obtain exposure to assets synthetically through derivative instruments, the remaining notional amount

of the derivative is included in the asset balance.

(2) Contains investments in auction rate securities issued by VIEs that we do not sponsor and, accordingly, are unable to obtain the total assets of the entity.

81

#### **Table of Contents**

Maximum exposure to loss represents the carrying value of our involvement with off-balance sheet (unconsolidated) VIEs plus remaining undrawn liquidity and lending commitments, notional amount of net written derivative contracts, and notional amount of other commitments and guarantees. Maximum exposure to loss is a required disclosure under generally accepted accounting principles and represents the estimated loss that would be incurred under an assumed hypothetical circumstance, despite its extremely remote possibility, where the value of our interests and any associated collateral declines to zero, without any consideration of recovery or offset from any economic hedges. Accordingly, this required disclosure is not an indication of expected loss.

Collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations

A CDO or CLO is a securitization where an SPE purchases a pool of assets consisting of asset-backed securities or loans and issues multiple tranches of equity or notes to investors. In some transactions a portion of the assets are obtained synthetically through the use of derivatives such as credit default swaps or total return swaps. Generally, CDOs and CLOs are structured on behalf of a third party asset manager that typically selects and manages the assets for the term of the CDO or CLO. Typically, the asset manager has some discretion to manage the sale of assets of, or derivatives used by the CDOs and CLOs.

Prior to the securitization, we may provide all or substantially all of the warehouse financing to the asset manager. The asset manager uses this financing to purchase the assets into a bankruptcy remote SPE during the warehouse period. At the completion of the warehouse period, the assets are sold to the CDO or CLO and the warehouse financing is repaid with the proceeds received from the securitization s investors. The warehousing period is generally less than 12 months in duration. In the event the securitization does not take place, the assets in the warehouse are liquidated. We consolidate the warehouse SPEs when we are the primary beneficiary. We are the primary beneficiary when we provide substantially all of the financing and therefore absorb the majority of the variability. Sometimes we have loss sharing arrangements whereby a third party asset manager agrees to absorb the credit and market risk during the warehousing period or upon liquidation of the collateral in the event a securitization does not take place. In those circumstances we do not consolidate the warehouse SPE because the third party asset manager absorbs the majority of the variability through the loss sharing arrangement.

In addition to our role as arranger and warehouse financing provider, we may have other forms of involvement with these transactions. Such involvements may include underwriter, liquidity provider, derivative counterparty, secondary market maker or investor. For certain transactions, we may also act as the collateral manager or servicer. We receive fees in connection with our role as collateral manager or servicer. We also earn fees for arranging these transactions and distributing the securities.

We assess whether we are the primary beneficiary of CDOs and CLOs at inception of the transactions based on our expectation of the variability associated with our continuing involvement. Subsequently, we monitor our ongoing involvement in these transactions to determine if a more frequent assessment of variability is necessary. Variability in these transactions may be created by credit risk, market risk, interest rate risk or liquidity risk associated with the CDO s or CLO s assets. Our assessment of the variability is performed qualitatively because our continuing involvement is typically senior in priority to the third party investors in transactions. In most cases, we are not the primary beneficiary of these transactions

82

because we do not retain the subordinate interests in these transactions and, accordingly, do not absorb the majority of the variability.

Multi-seller commercial paper conduit

We administer a multi-seller asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP) conduit that arranges financing for certain client transactions. We acquired the relationship with this conduit in the Wachovia merger. This conduit is a bankruptcy remote entity that makes loans to, or purchases certificated interests from SPEs established by our clients (sellers) and which are secured by pools of financial assets. The conduit funds itself through the issuance of highly rated commercial paper to third party investors. The primary source of repayment of the commercial paper is the cash flows from the conduit s assets or the re-issuance of commercial paper upon maturity. The conduit s assets are structured with deal-specific credit enhancements generally in the form of overcollateralization provided by the seller, but also may include subordinated interests, cash reserve accounts, third party credit support facilities and excess spread capture. The weighted average life of the conduit s assets was 2.8 years and 3.0 years at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively.

The composition of the conduit s assets was as follows:

	Funded asset composition	Total committed exposure	Decen Funded asset composition	nber 31, 2008 Total committed exposure
Auto loans	34.1%	27.8%	34.1%	26.7%
Commercial and middle market loans	28.9	31.1	27.6	32.6
Equipment loans	14.8	11.8	14.4	11.4
Trade receivables	5.8	10.3	8.8	10.9
Credit cards	7.2	8.8	7.0	7.9
Leases	7.0	6.2	6.1	7.0
Other	2.2	4.0	2.0	3.5
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

The table below summarizes the weighted-average credit rating equivalents of the conduit s assets. These ratings are based on internal rating criteria.

	$\mathbf{M}_{i}$	December 31, 200		
	Funded	Total	Funded	Total
	asset	committed	asset	committed
	composition	exposure	composition	exposure
AAA	7.0%	8.9%	6 9.4%	10.4%
AA	8.5	9.4	8.3	11.7
A	47.9	50.7	52.2	51.5
BBB/BB	36.6	31.0	30.1	26.4
Total	100%	100%	<b>6</b> 100%	100%

The timely repayment of the commercial paper is further supported by asset-specific liquidity facilities in the form of asset purchase agreements that we provide. Each facility is equal to 102% of the conduit s funding commitments to a client. The aggregate amount of liquidity must

83

#### **Table of Contents**

be equal to or greater than all the commercial paper issued by the conduit. At the discretion of the administrator, we may be required to purchase assets from the conduit at par value plus interest, including situations where the conduit is unable to issue commercial paper. Par value may be different from fair value.

We receive fees in connection with our role as administrator and liquidity provider. We may also receive fees related to the structuring of the conduit s transactions.

The weighted-average life of the commercial paper was 27.2 days in 2009 and the average yield on the commercial paper was 0.59%. The ability of the conduit to issue commercial paper is a function of general market conditions and the credit rating of the liquidity provider. At March 31, 2009, we did not hold any of the commercial paper issued by the conduit.

The conduit has issued a subordinated note to a third party investor. The subordinated note is designed to absorb the expected variability associated with the credit risk in the conduit s assets as well as assets that may be funded by us as a result of a purchase under the provisions of the liquidity purchase agreements. Actual credit losses incurred on the conduit s assets or assets purchased under the liquidity facilities are absorbed first by the subordinated note prior to any allocation to us as the liquidity provider. At March 31, 2009, the balance of the subordinated note was \$60 million and it matures in 2017.

At least quarterly, or more often if circumstances dictate, we assess whether we are the primary beneficiary of the conduit based on our expectation of the variability associated with our liquidity facility and administrative fee arrangement. Such circumstances may include changes to deal-specific liquidity arrangements, changes to the terms of the conduit s assets or the purchase of the conduit s commercial paper. We assess variability using a quantitative expected loss model. The key inputs to the model include internally generated risk ratings that are mapped to third party rating agency loss-given-default assumptions. We do not consolidate the conduit because our expected loss model indicates that the holder of the subordinated note absorbs the majority of the variability of the conduits assets. Although we are not required to consolidate the conduit, consolidation of the conduit would not have a material effect on our leverage ratio or Tier 1 capital.

Asset-based lending structures

We engage in various forms of structured lending arrangements with VIEs that are collateralized by various asset classes including energy contracts, auto and other transportation leases, intellectual property, equipment and general corporate credit. We typically provide senior financing, and may act as an interest rate swap or commodity derivative counterparty when necessary. In most cases, we are not the primary beneficiary of these structures because we do not retain a majority of the variability in these transactions.

For example, we had investments in asset backed securities that were collateralized by auto leases and cash reserves. These fixed-rate securities have been structured as single-tranche, fully amortizing, unrated bonds that are equivalent to investment-grade securities due to their significant overcollateralization. The securities are issued by SPEs that have been formed and sponsored by third party auto financing institutions primarily because they require a source of liquidity to fund ongoing vehicle sales operations.

84

#### Tax credit structures

We make passive investments in affordable housing and sustainable energy projects that are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of federal tax credits. In some instances, our investments in these structures may require that we fund future capital commitments at the discretion of the project sponsors. While the size of our investment in a single entity may at times exceed 50% of the outstanding equity interests, we do not consolidate these structures due to performance guarantees provided by the project sponsors giving them a majority of the variability. *Investment funds* 

At March 31, 2009, we had investments of \$1.2 billion and lending arrangements of \$88 million with certain funds managed by one of our majority owned subsidiaries, compared with investments of \$2.1 billion and lending arrangements of \$349 million at December 31, 2008. In addition, we also provide a default protection agreement to a third party lender to one of these funds. Our involvements in these funds are either senior or of equal priority to third party investors. We do not consolidate the investment funds because we do not absorb the majority of the expected future variability associated with the funds assets, including variability associated with credit, interest rate and liquidity risks.

We are also a passive investor in various investment funds that invest directly in private equity and mezzanine securities as well as funds sponsored by select private equity and venture capital groups. We also invest in hedge funds on behalf of clients. In these transactions, we use various derivative contracts that are designed to provide our clients with the returns of the underlying hedge fund investments. We do not consolidate these funds because we do not hold a majority of the subordinate interests in these funds.

# Money market funds

We entered into a capital support agreement in first quarter 2008 for up to \$130 million related to an investment in a structured investment vehicle (SIV) held by our AAA-rated non-government money market funds. In third quarter 2008, we fulfilled our obligation under this agreement by purchasing the SIV investment from the funds. At December 31, 2008, the SIV investment was recorded as a debt security in our securities available-for-sale portfolio. In addition, at March 31, 2009, we had remaining outstanding support agreements of \$51 million to certain other funds to support the value of certain investments held by those funds. We recorded a loss of \$50 million and a liability of \$9 million in first quarter 2009 in connection with these support agreements. We do not consolidate these funds because we are generally not responsible for investment losses incurred by our funds, and we do not have a contractual or implicit obligation to indemnify such losses or provide additional support to the funds. While we elected to enter into the capital support agreements for the funds, we are not obligated and may elect not to provide additional support to these funds or other funds in the future.

#### Credit-linked note structures

We structure transactions for clients designed to provide investors with specified returns based on the returns of an underlying security, loan or index. To generate regulatory capital for the Company, we also structure similar transactions that are indexed to the returns of a pool of underlying securities or loans that we own. These transactions result in the issuance of credit-linked notes. These transactions typically involve a bankruptcy remote SPE that synthetically obtains exposure to the underlying through a derivative instrument such as a written credit default swap or total return swap. The SPE issues notes to investors based on the referenced

85

#### **Table of Contents**

underlying. Proceeds received from the issuance of these notes are usually invested in investment grade financial assets. We are typically the derivative counterparty to these transactions and administrator responsible for investing the note proceeds. We do not consolidate these SPEs because we typically do not hold any of the notes that they issue. *Other transactions with VIEs* 

In August 2008, Wachovia reached an agreement to purchase at par auction rate securities (ARS) that were sold to third party investors by two of its subsidiaries. ARS are debt instruments with long-term maturities, but which reprice more frequently. Certain of these securities were issued by VIEs. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, we held in our securities available-for-sale portfolio \$3.7 billion of ARS issued by VIEs that we redeemed pursuant to this agreement. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, we had a liability in our balance sheet of \$42 million and \$91 million, respectively, for additional losses on anticipated future redemptions of ARS issued by VIEs. Were we to redeem all remaining ARS issued by VIEs that are subject to the agreement, our estimated maximum exposure to loss would have been \$468 million and \$620 million at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively; however, certain of these securities may be repaid in full by the issuer prior to redemption. We do not consolidate the VIEs that issued the ARS because we do not expect to absorb the majority of the expected future variability associated with the VIEs assets.

# Trust preferred securities

In addition to the involvements disclosed in the following table, we had \$19.0 billion of debt financing through the issuance of trust preferred securities at March 31, 2009. In these transactions, VIEs that we wholly own issue preferred equity or debt securities to third party investors. All of the proceeds of the issuance are invested in debt securities that we issue to the VIEs. In certain instances, we may provide liquidity to third party investors that purchase long-term securities that reprice frequently issued by VIEs. The VIEs operations and cash flows relate only to the issuance, administration and repayment of the securities held by third parties. We do not consolidate these VIEs because the VIEs sole assets are receivables from us. This is the case even though we own all of the VIEs voting equity shares, have fully guaranteed the VIEs obligations and may have the right to redeem the third party securities under certain circumstances. We report the debt securities that we issue to the VIEs as long-term debt in our consolidated balance sheet.

86

**Table of Contents** 

A summary of our transactions with VIEs accounted for as secured borrowings and involvements with consolidated VIEs is as follows:

(in millions)	Total VIE assets	Consolidated assets	Third party liabilities	g value (1) controlling interests
December 31, 2008				
Secured borrowings: Municipal tender option bond securitizations Auto loan securitizations Commercial real estate loans Residential mortgage securitizations	\$ 6,358 2,134 1,294 1,124	\$ 6,280 2,134 1,294 995	\$ 4,765 1,869 1,258 699	\$   
Total secured borrowings	10,910	10,703	8,591	
Consolidated VIEs: Structured asset finance Investment funds Other  Total consolidated VIEs  Total secured borrowings and consolidated VIEs	3,491 1,119 1,007 5,617 \$ 16,527	1,666 1,070 1,007 3,743	1,481 155 774 2,410 \$ 11,001	\$ 13 97 11 121
March 31, 2009				
Secured borrowings: Municipal tender option bond securitizations Auto loan securitizations Commercial real estate loans Residential mortgage securitizations	\$ 6,081 1,702 1,112 1,025	\$ 6,010 1,702 1,112 899	\$ 5,822 1,441 1,049 614	\$   
Total secured borrowings	9,920	9,723	8,926	
Consolidated VIEs: Structured asset finance Investment funds Other	3,476 1,946 1,108	1,723 1,946 1,107	1,556 242 832	16 105 17

134

Total consolidated VIEs	6,530	4,776	2,630	138	
Total secured borrowings and consolidated VIEs	\$ 16,450	\$ 14,499	\$ 11,556	\$ 138	

# (1) Amounts

exclude loan

loss reserves,

and total assets

may differ from

consolidated

assets due to the

different

measurement

methods used

depending on

the assets

classifications.

We have raised financing through the securitization of certain financial assets in transactions with VIEs accounted for as secured borrowings. We also consolidate VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. In certain transactions we provide contractual support in the form of limited recourse and liquidity to facilitate the remarketing of short-term securities issued to third party investors. Other than this limited contractual support, the assets of the VIEs are the sole source of repayment of the securities held by third parties.

87

# 8. MORTGAGE BANKING ACTIVITIES

Mortgage banking activities, included in the Community Banking and Wholesale Banking operating segments, consist of residential and commercial mortgage originations and servicing.

The changes in residential MSRs measured using the fair value method were:

	Quarter ended March 31			
(in millions)	2009	2008		
Fair value, beginning of quarter	<b>\$ 14,714</b>	\$ 16,763		
Purchases	Ф 14,/14	\$ 10,703 52		
Acquired from Wachovia (1)	34			
Servicing from securitizations or asset transfers	1,447	797		
Sales		(92)		
Net additions	1,481	757		
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions (2)	(2,824)	(1,798)		
Other changes in fair value (3)	(980)	(766)		
Total changes in fair value	(3,804)	(2,564)		
Fair value, end of quarter	\$ 12,391	\$ 14,956		

- (1) First quarter 2009 reflects refinements to initial purchase accounting adjustments.
- (2) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, mostly due to changes in interest rates.
- (3) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

The changes in amortized commercial MSRs were:

(in millions)	Quarter end <b>2009</b>	ed Mar	rch 31, 2008
Balance, beginning of quarter Purchases (1) Acquired from Wachovia (2) Servicing from securitizations or asset transfers (1) Amortization Balance, end of quarter (3)	\$ 1,446 4 (127) 4 (70) \$ 1,257	\$	466 3  5 (19) 455
Fair value of amortized MSRs: Beginning of quarter End of quarter	\$ 1,555 1,392	\$	573 601
(1) Based on March 31, 2009, assumptions, the weighted-average amortization period for MSRs added during the quarter was approximately 16.7 years.			
(2) First quarter 2009 reflects refinements to initial purchase accounting adjustments.			
(3) There was no valuation allowance recorded for the periods presented.			

Table of Contents 137

88

The components of our managed servicing portfolio were:

(in billions)	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,
	2009	2008	2008
Residential mortgage loans serviced for others (1)	\$ 1,379	\$ 1,388	\$ 1,288
Owned loans serviced (2)	267	268	102
Total owned residential mortgage loans serviced	1,646	1,656	1,390
Commercial mortgage loans serviced for others	474	472	144
Total owned loans serviced	2,120	2,128	1,534
Sub-servicing	23	26	21
Total managed servicing portfolio	\$ 2,143	\$ 2,154	\$ 1,555
Ratio of MSRs to related loans serviced for others	0.74%	0.87%	1.08%

- (1) Consists of 1-4 family first mortgage and commercial mortgage loans.
- (2) Consists of mortgages held for sale and 1-4 family first mortgage loans.

The components of mortgage banking noninterest income were:

	Quarter ended March 31,				
(in millions)	2009				
Servicing income, net:					
Servicing fees (1)	\$ 1,018	\$ 964			
Changes in fair value of residential MSRs:					
Due to changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions (2)	(2,824)	(1,798)			
Other changes in fair value (3)	(980)	(766)			
Total changes in fair value of residential MSRs	(3,804)	(2,564)			
Amortization	<b>(70)</b>	(19)			
Net derivative gains from economic hedges (4)	3,699	1,892			

Total servicing income, net	843	273
Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales activities	1,582	267
All other	<b>79</b>	91
Total mortgage banking noninterest income	\$ 2,504	\$ 631
Market-related valuation changes to MSRs, net of hedge results (2) + (4)	\$ 875	\$ 94

- (1) Includes contractually specified servicing fees, late charges and other ancillary revenues.
- (2) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, mostly due to changes in interest rates.
- (3) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.
- (4) Represents results from free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) used to hedge the risk of changes in fair value of MSRs. See Note 12 Free-Standing Derivatives in this Report for additional discussion and detail.

89

# 9. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The gross carrying value of intangible assets and accumulated amortization was:

(in millions)	Gross carrying value	Accu	Iarch 31, 2009 mulated rtization	Gross carrying value	Accu	mber 31, 2008 mulated rtization	ca	Gross arrying value	Accu	Iarch 31, 2008 mulated rtization
Amortized intangible assets: MSRs (1) Core deposit intangibles	\$ 1,553 14,746	\$	296 2,720	\$ 1,672 14,188	\$	226 2,189	\$	625 2,503	\$	170 2,100
Customer relationship and other intangibles	3,287		601	3,988		486		733		441
Total amortized intangible assets	\$ 19,586	\$	3,617	\$ 19,848	\$	2,901	\$	3,861	\$	2,711
MSRs (fair value) (1) Trademark	\$ 12,391 14			\$ 14,714 14			\$ 1	14,956 14		
(1) See Note 8 in										

(1) See Note 8 in this Report for additional information on MSRs.

The current year and estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets as of March 31, 2009, follows:

(in millions)	Core deposit intangibles		Customer relationship and other intangibles		Amortized commercial MSRs		Total	
Three months ended March 31, 2009 (actual)	\$ 532	\$	115	\$	70	\$	717	
Estimate for year ended December 31, 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014	\$ 2,121 1,813 1,544 1,352 1,202 1,078	\$	466 370 309 290 271 253	\$	259 213 188 151 118 103	2 2 1 1	2,846 2,396 2,041 1,793 1,591 1,434	

We based our projections of amortization expense shown above on existing asset balances at March 31, 2009. Future amortization expense may vary based on additional amortized intangible assets acquired through business combinations.

90

#### 10. GOODWILL

For our goodwill impairment analysis, we allocate all of the goodwill to the individual operating segments. As a result of the combination of Wells Fargo and Wachovia, management realigned its operating segments. We have revised prior period information to reflect this realignment. See Note 17 in this Report for further information on management reporting. The following table shows the allocation of goodwill to our operating segments for purposes of goodwill impairment testing. The additions in first quarter 2009 relate to additional goodwill recorded in connection with refinements to our initial acquisition date purchase accounting.

(in millions)	Community Banking		Wholesale Banking		Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services		Consolidated Company		
December 31, 2007	\$	10,591	\$	2,136	\$	379	\$	13,106	
Goodwill from business combinations Foreign currency translation adjustments		(2)		44 				44 (2)	
March 31, 2008	\$	10,589	\$	2,180	\$	379	\$	13,148	
December 31, 2008	\$	16,810	\$	5,438	\$	379	\$	22,627	
Goodwill from business combinations Foreign currency translation adjustments		732 (1)		467 				1,199 (1)	
March 31, 2009	\$	17,541	\$	5,905	\$	379	\$	23,825	
		91							

#### 11. GUARANTEES AND LEGAL ACTIONS

#### Guarantees

Guarantees are contracts that contingently require us to make payments to a guaranteed party based on an event or a change in an underlying asset, liability, rate or index. Guarantees are generally in the form of securities lending indemnifications, standby letters of credit, liquidity agreements, written put options, recourse obligations, residual value guarantees, and contingent consideration. The following table shows carrying value, maximum exposure to loss on our guarantees and the amount with a higher risk of performance.

(in millions)	Carrying value	Maximum exposure to loss	March 31, 2009 Higher performance risk	Carrying value	Maximum exposure to loss	December 31, 2008 Higher performance risk
Standby letters of credit Securities and other	\$ 318	\$ 50,325	\$ 10,157	\$ 130	\$ 47,191	\$ 17,293
lending indemnifications	51	28,611	3,859		30,120	1,907
Liquidity agreements (1)	41	14,514		30	17,602	
Written put options (1)	1,558	7,423	3,109	1,376	10,182	5,314
Loans sold with recourse	192	6,288	2,169	53	6,126	2,038
Residual value guarantees		197			1,121	
Contingent consideration		134		11	187	
Other guarantees		73			38	
Total guarantees	\$ 2,160	\$ 107,565	\$ 19,294	\$ 1,600	\$ 112,567	\$ 26,552

(1) Liquidity agreements and written put options that are in the form of derivatives are excluded from this disclosure and included in the derivative disclosures in Note 12. Certain of these agreements included in this table are related to off-balance sheet entities accordingly, are

also disclosed in Note 7.

Maximum exposure to loss and higher performance risk are required disclosures under generally accepted accounting principles. Higher performance risk represents those guarantees on which we have a higher risk of being required to perform under the terms of the guarantee. We consider the risk of performance to be high if the underlying assets under the guarantee have an external rating that is below investment grade or an internal credit default grade that is equivalent thereto. Maximum exposure to loss represents the estimated loss that would be incurred under an assumed hypothetical circumstance, despite its extremely remote possibility, where the value of our interests and any associated collateral declines to zero, without any consideration of recovery or offset from any economic hedges. Accordingly, this required disclosure is not an indication of expected loss. We believe the carrying value, which is either fair value or cost adjusted for incurred credit losses, is more representative of our exposure to loss than either higher performance risk or maximum exposure to loss.

We issue standby letters of credit, which include performance and financial guarantees, for customers in connection with contracts between our customers and third parties. Standby letters of credit are agreements where we are obligated to make payment to a third party on behalf of a customer in the event the customer fails to meet their contractual obligations. We consider the credit risk in standby letters of credit and commercial and similar letters of credit in determining the allowance for credit losses.

92

## Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

As a securities lending agent, we loan client securities, on a fully collateralized basis, to third party borrowers. We indemnify our clients against borrower default of a return of those securities and, in certain cases, against collateral losses. We support these guarantees with collateral, generally in the form of cash or highly liquid securities, that is marked to market daily. At March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, respectively, there was \$29.2 billion and \$31.0 billion in collateral supporting loaned securities with values of \$28.6 billion and \$30.1 billion.

We enter into other types of indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business under which we agree to indemnify third parties against any damages, losses and expenses incurred in connection with legal and other proceedings arising from relationships or transactions with us. These relationships or transactions include those arising from service as a director or officer of the Company, underwriting agreements relating to our securities, acquisition agreements and various other business transactions or arrangements. Because the extent of our obligations under these agreements depends entirely upon the occurrence of future events, our potential future liability under these agreements is not determinable.

We provide liquidity facilities on all commercial paper issued by the conduit we administer. We also provide liquidity to certain off-balance sheet entities that hold securitized fixed rate municipal bonds and consumer or commercial assets that are partially funded with the issuance of money market and other short-term notes. See Note 7 in this Report for additional information on these arrangements.

Written put options are contracts that give the counterparty the right to sell to us an underlying instrument held by the counterparty at a specified price, and include options, floors, caps and credit default swaps. These written put option contracts generally permit net settlement. While these derivative transactions expose us to risk in the event the option is exercised, we manage this risk by entering into offsetting trades or by taking short positions in the underlying instrument. We offset substantially all put options written to customers with purchased options. Additionally, for certain of these contracts, we require the counterparty to pledge the underlying instrument as collateral for the transaction. Our ultimate obligation under written put options is based on future market conditions and is only quantifiable at settlement. See Note 7 in this Report for additional information regarding transactions with VIEs and Note 12 in this Report for additional information regarding written derivative contracts.

In certain loan sales or securitizations, we provide recourse to the buyer whereby we are required to repurchase loans at par value plus accrued interest on the occurrence of certain credit-related events within a certain period of time. The maximum exposure to loss represents the outstanding principal balance of the loans sold or securitized that are subject to recourse provisions, but the likelihood of the repurchase of the entire balance is remote and amounts paid can be recovered in whole or in part from the sale of collateral. In first quarter 2009, we did not repurchase a significant amount of loans associated with these agreements.

We have provided residual value guarantees as part of certain leasing transactions of corporate assets. At March 31, 2009, the only remaining residual value guarantee related to a leasing transaction on certain corporate buildings. At December 31, 2008, the residual value guarantees also included leasing transactions related to railcars, which were unwound in first quarter 2009. The lessors in these leases are generally large financial institutions or their leasing subsidiaries. These guarantees protect the lessor from loss on sale of the related asset at the end of the lease term. To

93

the extent that a sale of the leased assets results in proceeds less than a stated percent (generally 80% to 89%) of the asset s cost less depreciation, we would be required to reimburse the lessor under our guarantee.

In connection with certain brokerage, asset management, insurance agency and other acquisitions we have made, the terms of the acquisition agreements provide for deferred payments or additional consideration, based on certain performance targets.

We have entered into various contingent performance guarantees through credit risk participation arrangements. Under these agreements, if a customer defaults on its obligation to perform under certain credit agreements with third parties, we will be required to make payments to the third parties.

## **Legal Actions**

The following supplements and amends our discussion of certain matters previously reported in Item 3 (Legal Proceedings) of our 2008 Form 10-K for events occurring in the most recent quarter.

Municipal Derivatives Bid Practices All state and federal purported class actions have now been consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. On April 30, 2009, the Court granted a motion filed by Wachovia and certain other defendants and dismissed all claims against Wachovia. Plaintiffs may replead their claims. Auction Rate Securities On April 23, 2009, the Attorney General of the State of California filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Francisco alleging that certain Wells Fargo affiliates improperly sold auction rate securities to customers. The Attorney General seeks an injunction against those affiliates, enjoining them from violating certain California statutes, civil penalties, disgorgement of profits, restitution and damages.

Merger-Related Litigation On March 20, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York remanded the *Citigroup, Inc. v. Wachovia Corp.*, *et al.* case to the Supreme Court of the State of New York for the County of Manhattan.

<u>Golden West and Related Litigation</u> On March 19, 2009, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended class action complaint in the *Lipetz* case, which has now been re-captioned as *In re Wachovia Equity Securities Litigation*. Briefing is scheduled to be complete by June 26, 2009.

<u>Le-Nature s, Inc.</u> On March 2, 2009, the Wachovia defendants moved to dismiss the case filed by the liquidation trust, which was formed in Le-Nature s bankruptcy. On April 3, 2009, after a number of procedural motions in various courts, the *California Public Employees Retirement System, et al. v. Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC* case was remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles.

<u>Outlook</u> Based on information currently available, advice of counsel, available insurance coverage and established reserves, Wells Fargo believes that the eventual outcome of the actions against Wells Fargo and/or its subsidiaries, including the matters described above, will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on Wells Fargo s consolidated

94

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

financial position or results of operations. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of those matters, if unfavorable, may be material to Wells Fargo s results of operations for any particular period.

#### 12. DERIVATIVES

We use derivatives to manage exposure to market risk, interest rate risk, credit risk and foreign currency risk, to generate profits from proprietary trading and to assist customers with their risk management objectives. Derivative transactions are measured in terms of the notional amount, but this amount is not recorded on the balance sheet and is not, when viewed in isolation, a meaningful measure of the risk profile of the instruments. The notional amount is generally not exchanged, but is used only as the basis on which interest and other payments are determined. Our approach to managing interest rate risk includes the use of derivatives. This helps minimize significant, unplanned fluctuations in earnings, fair values of assets and liabilities, and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. This approach involves modifying the repricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not have a significant adverse effect on the net interest margin and cash flows. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged assets and liabilities will gain or lose market value. In a fair value hedging strategy, the effect of this unrealized gain or loss will generally be offset by the gain or loss on the derivatives linked to the hedged assets and liabilities. In a cash flow hedging strategy, we manage the variability of cash payments due to interest rate fluctuations by the effective use of derivatives linked to hedged assets and liabilities.

We use derivatives as part of our interest rate and foreign currency risk management, including interest rate swaps, caps and floors, futures and forward contracts, and options. We also offer various derivatives, including interest rate, commodity, equity, credit and foreign exchange contracts, to our customers but usually offset our exposure from such contracts by purchasing other financial contracts. The customer accommodations and any offsetting financial contracts are treated as free-standing derivatives. Free-standing derivatives also include derivatives we enter into for risk management that do not otherwise qualify for hedge accounting, including economic hedge derivatives. To a lesser extent, we take positions based on market expectations or to benefit from price differentials between financial instruments and markets. Additionally, free-standing derivatives include embedded derivatives that are required to be separately accounted for from their host contracts.

Our derivative activities are monitored by Corporate ALCO. Our Treasury function, which includes asset/liability management, is responsible for various hedging strategies developed through analysis of data from financial models and other internal and industry sources. We incorporate the resulting hedging strategies into our overall interest rate risk management and trading strategies.

95

The total notional or contractual amounts and fair values for derivatives were:

	Notional	Ma	arch 31, 2009	December 31, 2008			
(in millions)	or contractual amount	Asset derivatives	Fair value Liability derivatives	Notional or contractual amount	Asset derivatives	Fair value Liability derivatives	
Qualifying hedge contracts accounted for under FAS 133 (1) Interest rate contracts Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 176,593 42,308	\$ 10,269 1,119	\$ 2,847 1,344	\$ 191,972 38,386	\$ 11,511 1,138	\$ 3,287 1,198	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under FAS 133		11,388	4,191		12,649	4,485	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under FAS 133 Free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) (1): Interest rate contracts (2)	946,991	11,014	8,933	750,728	12,635	9,708	
Equity contracts Foreign exchange	39		9	730,728		9,706	
contracts Credit contracts Other derivatives	4,435 2,644 951	370 473 3	131  139	4,208 644 4,458	150 528 108	325  71	
Subtotal		11,860	9,212		13,421	10,104	
Customer accommodation, trading and other free-standing derivatives (3):							
Interest rate contracts Commodity contracts	3,399,331 58,421	115,111 6,035	113,609 6,203	3,752,656 86,360	142,739 6,117	141,508 6,068	
Equity contracts Foreign exchange	35,511	2,276	2,664	37,136	3,088	2,678	
contracts	267,361	4,791	4,595	273,437	7,562	7,419	

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Credit contracts Other derivatives	278,620 4,323	24,986 882	24,493 133	272,722 6,322	21,953 524	21,787 524
Subtotal		154,081	151,697		181,983	179,984
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under FAS 133		165,941	160,909		195,404	190,088
Subtotal		177,329	165,100		208,053	194,573
Netting (4)		(140,124)	(152,208)		(168,690)	(182,435)
Total		\$ 37,205	\$ 12,892		\$ 39,363	\$ 12,138

- (1) Represents
  asset/liability
  management
  hedges, which
  are included in
  other assets or
  other liabilities.
- (2) Includes
  free-standing
  derivatives
  (economic
  hedges) used to
  hedge the risk of
  changes in the
  fair value of
  residential
  MSRs, MHFS,
  interest rate lock
  commitments
  and other
  interests held.
- (3) Customer accommodation, trading and other free-standing derivatives are included in trading assets or other liabilities.

(4) Represents netting of derivative asset and liability balances, and related cash collateral, with the same counterparty subject to master netting arrangements under FIN 39. The amount of cash collateral netted against derivative assets and liabilities was \$19.5 billion and \$6.8 billion, respectively, at March 31, 2009, and \$17.7 billion and \$22.2 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2008.

#### **Fair Value Hedges**

We use interest rate swaps to convert certain of our fixed-rate long-term debt and certificates of deposit to floating rates to hedge our exposure to interest rate risk. We also enter into cross-currency swaps, cross-currency interest rate swaps and forward contracts to hedge our exposure to foreign currency risk and interest rate risk associated with the issuance of non-U.S. dollar denominated long-term debt and repurchase agreements. The ineffective portion of these aforementioned fair value hedges is recorded as part of noninterest income. Consistent with our asset/liability management strategy of converting fixed-rate debt to floating-rates, we believe interest expense should reflect only the current contractual interest cash flows on the liabilities and the related swaps. In addition, we use interest rate swaps to hedge against changes in fair value of certain debt securities that are classified as securities available for sale, due to changes in interest rates, foreign currency rates, or both. The ineffective portion of these fair value hedges

is recorded in Net gains (losses) on debt securities available for sale in the income statement. For fair value hedges of long-term debt, certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements and debt securities, all parts of each derivative s gain or loss due to the hedged risk are included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

For certain fair value hedging relationships, we use statistical analysis to assess hedge effectiveness, both at inception of the hedging relationship and on an ongoing basis. Such analysis may include regression analysis or analysis of the price sensitivity of the hedging instrument relative to that of the hedged item. The regression analysis involves regressing the periodic change in fair value of the hedging instrument against the periodic changes in fair value of the asset or liability being hedged due to changes in the hedged risk(s). The assessment includes an evaluation of the quantitative measures of the regression results used to validate the conclusion of high effectiveness. Additionally, for other fair value hedging relationships, we use the cumulative dollar-offset approach to validate the effectiveness of the hedge on a retrospective basis.

The following table shows the gains (losses) recognized in the income statement related to derivatives in FAS 133 fair value hedging relationships.

	Gains (losses) recorded in net interest income	Gains (I	Quarter ende	oninterest Rec	Recognized on fair value		
(in millions)	Recognized on derivatives	Recognized on derivatives	Recognized on hedged item	·	hedges ffective portion)  (A) +		
		(A)	(B)		(B)		
Interest rate contracts Hedging: Securities available for sale Long-term debt	\$ (41) 264	\$ 82 (789)	\$ (93) 798	\$	(11) 9		
Foreign exchange contracts Hedging: Securities available for sale Short-term borrowings Long-term debt	(28) 16 76	2 (1) (262)	(2) 1 330		  68		
Total	\$ 287	\$ (968)	\$ 1,034	\$	66(1)		

(1)

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

None of the change in value of the derivatives was excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

97

## **Cash Flow Hedges**

We hedge floating-rate debt against future interest rate increases by using interest rate swaps to convert floating-rate debt to fixed rates and by using interest rate caps, floors and futures to limit variability of rates. We also use interest rate swaps and floors to hedge the variability in interest payments received on certain floating-rate commercial loans, due to changes in the benchmark interest rate. Gains and losses on derivatives that are reclassified from cumulative other comprehensive income to current period earnings, are included in the line item in which the hedged item s effect on earnings is recorded. All parts of gain or loss on these derivatives are included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. For all cash flow hedges, we assess hedge effectiveness using regression analysis, both at inception of the hedging relationship and on an ongoing basis. The regression analysis involves regressing the periodic changes in cash flows of the hedging instrument against the periodic changes in cash flows of the forecasted transaction being hedged due to changes in the hedged risk(s). The assessment includes an evaluation of the quantitative measures of the regression results used to validate the conclusion of high effectiveness.

We expect that \$34 million of deferred net losses on derivatives in other comprehensive income at March 31, 2009, will be reclassified as earnings during the next twelve months, compared with \$60 million of net deferred losses at December 31, 2008. We are hedging our exposure to the variability of future cash flows for all forecasted transactions for a maximum of 17 years for both hedges of floating-rate debt and floating-rate commercial loans. The following table shows the gains (losses) recognized related to derivatives in FAS 133 cash flow hedging relationships.

(in millions)	Quarter ended March 31, 2009							
						ns (pre		
						tax)		
					reco	gnized		
			Gains	s (pre tax)		in		
	G	ains		•				
	(a	after	re	classified				
		tax)		from	noni	nterest		
re	cogn	ized	CI	umulative				
	C	in		OCI	inco	ome on		
	(	OCI						
		on		into net	derivatives			
de	rivat	ives	intere	st income	(inef	fective		
(	effec	tive		(effective	`			
	portion)		portion)		portion)			
Interest rate contracts	\$	68	\$	135	\$	6 (1)		

(1) None of the change in value of the derivatives was excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Free-Standing Derivatives**

We use free-standing derivatives (economic hedges), in addition to debt securities available for sale, to hedge the risk of changes in the fair value of residential MSRs, new prime residential MHFS, derivative loan commitments and other interests held, with the resulting gain or loss reflected in income.

The derivatives used to hedge residential MSRs include swaps, swaptions, forwards, Eurodollar and Treasury futures and options contracts resulted in net derivative gains of \$3,699 million in first quarter 2009 and \$1,892 million in first quarter 2008 from economic hedges related to our mortgage servicing activities and are included in mortgage banking noninterest income. The aggregate fair value of these derivatives used as economic hedges was a net asset of \$2,845 million at March 31, 2009, and \$3,610 million at December 31, 2008. Changes in fair value of debt securities available for sale (unrealized gains and losses) are not included in servicing income, but are reported in cumulative other comprehensive income (net of tax) or, upon sale, are reported in net gains (losses) on debt securities available for sale.

Interest rate lock commitments for residential mortgage loans that we intend to sell are considered free-standing derivatives. Our interest rate exposure on these derivative loan commitments, as well as most new prime residential MHFS carried at fair value under FAS 159, is hedged with free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) such as forwards and options, Eurodollar futures and options, and Treasury futures, forwards and options contracts. The commitments, free-standing derivatives and residential MHFS are carried at fair value with changes in fair value included in mortgage banking noninterest income. For interest rate lock commitments we include, at inception and during the life of the loan commitment, the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan as part of the fair value measurement of derivative loan commitments. Changes subsequent to inception are based on changes in fair value of the underlying loan resulting from the exercise of the commitment and changes in the probability that the loan will not fund within the terms of the commitment (referred to as a fall-out factor). The value of the underlying loan is affected primarily by changes in interest rates and the passage of time. However, changes in investor demand, such as concerns about credit risk, can also cause changes in the spread relationships between underlying loan value and the derivative financial instruments that cannot be hedged. The aggregate fair value of derivative loan commitments in the balance sheet at March 31, 2009, and December 31, 2008, was a net asset of \$474 million and \$125 million, respectively, and is included in the caption Interest rate contracts under Customer accommodation, trading and other free-standing derivatives in the table on page 96.

We also enter into various derivatives primarily to provide derivative products to customers. To a lesser extent, we take positions based on market expectations or to benefit from price differentials between financial instruments and markets. These derivatives are not linked to specific assets and liabilities in the balance sheet or to forecasted transactions in an accounting hedge relationship and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. We also enter into free-standing derivatives for risk management that do not otherwise qualify for hedge accounting. They are carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded as part of other noninterest income.

99

Additionally, free-standing derivatives include embedded derivatives that are required to be accounted for separate from their host contract. We periodically issue hybrid long-term notes and certificates of deposit where the performance of the hybrid instrument notes is linked to an equity, commodity or currency index, or basket of such indices. These notes contain explicit terms that affect some or all of the cash flows or the value of the note in a manner similar to a derivative instrument and therefore are considered to contain an embedded derivative instrument. The indices on which the performance of the hybrid instrument is calculated are not clearly and closely related to the host debt instrument. In accordance with FAS 133, the embedded derivative is separated from the host contract and accounted for as a free-standing derivative.

The following table shows the gains (losses) recognized in the income statement related to derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under FAS 133.

(in millions)	Quarter ended March 31, 2009 Gains (losses) recognized in noninterest income on derivatives			
Free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) Interest rate contracts (1) Recognized in noninterest income: Mortgage banking Other	\$	2,364 (5)		
Foreign exchange contracts		80		
Equity contracts Credit contracts		2 (58)		
Subtotal		2,383		
Customer accommodation, trading and other free-standing derivatives Interest rate contracts (2) Recognized in noninterest income: Mortgage banking Other		1,013 313		
Commodity contracts Equity contracts Foreign exchange contracts Credit contracts Other		(12) (123) 113 254 (163)		
Subtotal		1,395		
Total	\$	3,778		

# (1) Predominantly

mortgage

banking

noninterest

income

including gains

(losses) on the

derivatives used

as economic

hedges of

MSRs, interest

rate lock

commitments,

loans held for

sale and

mortgages held

for sale.

(2) Predominantly

mortgage

banking

noninterest

income

including gains

(losses) on

interest rate lock

commitments.

100

#### **Credit Derivatives**

We use credit derivatives to manage exposure to credit risk related to proprietary trading and to assist customers with their risk management objectives. This may include protection sold to offset purchased protection in structured product transactions, as well as liquidity agreements written to special purpose vehicles. The maximum exposure of sold credit derivatives is managed through posted collateral, purchased credit derivatives and similar products in order to achieve our desired credit risk profile. This credit risk management provides an ability to recover a significant portion of any amounts that would be paid under the sold credit derivatives. We would be required to perform under the noted credit derivatives in the event of default by the referenced obligors. Events of default include events such as bankruptcy, capital restructuring or lack of principal and/or interest payment. In certain cases, other triggers may exist, such as the credit downgrade of the referenced obligors or the inability of the special purpose vehicle for which we have provided liquidity to obtain funding.

The following table provides details of sold credit derivatives.

(in millions)		Fair value liability		laximum exposure to loss	perf	Higher Formance risk	Range of maturities
December 31, 2008							
Credit default swaps on corporate bonds	\$	9,643	\$	83,446	\$	39,987	2009-2018
Credit default swaps on structured products		4,940		7,451		5,824	2009-2056
Credit protection on credit default swap index Credit protection on commercial mortgage-backed		2,611		35,943		6,364	2009-2017
securities index		2,231		7,291		2,938	2009-2052
Credit protection on asset-backed securities index		1,331		1,526		1,116	2037-2046
Loan deliverable credit default swaps		106		611		592	2009-2014
Other		18		845		150	2009-2020
Total credit derivatives	\$	20,880	\$	137,113	\$	56,971	
March 31, 2009							
Credit default swaps on corporate bonds	\$	10,168	\$	82,468	\$	41,124	2009-2018
Credit default swaps on structured products	Ψ	5,300	Ψ	7,005	Ψ	5,558	2009-2016
Credit protection on credit default swap index Credit protection on commercial		3,803		39,166		6,240	2009-2017
mortgage-backed securities index Credit protection on asset-backed securities		2,631		4,888		313	2009-2052
index		1,112		1,197		814	2037-2046
Loan deliverable credit default swaps		114		536		515	2009-2014
Other		18		1,629		940	2009-2020
Total credit derivatives	\$	23,146	\$	136,889	\$	55,504	

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Maximum exposure to loss and higher performance risk are required disclosures under generally accepted accounting principles. Higher performance risk represents those credit derivatives on which we have a higher risk of being required to perform under the terms of the credit derivative. We consider the risk of performance to be high if the underlying assets under the credit derivative have an external rating that is below investment grade or an internal credit default grade that is equivalent thereto. Maximum exposure to loss represents the estimated loss that would be incurred under an assumed hypothetical circumstance, despite its extremely remote possibility, where the value of our interests and any associated collateral declines to zero, without any consideration of recovery or offset from any economic hedges. Accordingly, this required disclosure is not an indication of expected loss. We believe the carrying value, which is either fair value or cost adjusted for incurred credit losses, is more representative of our exposure to loss than either higher performance risk or maximum exposure to loss.

101

## **Credit-Risk Contingent Features**

Certain of our derivative contracts contain provisions whereby if the credit rating of our debt, based on certain major credit rating agencies indicated in the relevant contracts, were to fall below investment grade, the counterparty could demand additional collateral or require termination or replacement of derivative instruments in a net liability position. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position on March 31, 2009, was \$18.9 billion for which we have posted \$15.9 billion collateral in the normal course of business. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on March 31, 2009, we would be required to post additional collateral of \$1.0 billion or potentially settle the contract in an amount equal to its fair value.

# **Counterparty Credit Risk**

By using derivatives, we are exposed to credit risk if counterparties to the derivative contracts do not perform as expected. If a counterparty fails to perform, our counterparty credit risk is equal to the amount reported as a derivative asset in our balance sheet. The amounts reported as a derivative asset are derivative contracts in a gain position, and to the extent subject to master netting arrangements, net of derivatives in a loss position with the same counterparty and cash collateral received. We minimize counterparty credit risk through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures, executing master netting arrangements and obtaining collateral, where appropriate. To the extent the master netting arrangements and other criteria meet the requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts*, as amended by FSP FIN 39-1, derivatives balances and related cash collateral amounts are shown net in the balance sheet. Counterparty credit risk related to derivatives is considered and, if material, provided for separately.

102

#### 13. FAIR VALUES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Trading assets, securities available for sale, derivatives, prime residential mortgages held for sale (MHFS), certain commercial loans held for sale (LHFS), residential MSRs, principal investments and securities sold but not yet purchased (short sale liabilities) are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record at fair value other assets on a nonrecurring basis, such as nonprime residential and commercial MHFS, certain LHFS, loans held for investment and certain other assets. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For the impact of adoption of FSP FAS 157-4, see Note 1 in this Report.

We adopted FSP FAS 157-4 effective January 1, 2009. The FSP addresses measuring fair value under FAS 157 in situations where markets are inactive and transactions are not orderly. Under the provisions of the FSP, transaction or quoted prices for assets or liabilities in inactive markets may require adjustment due to the uncertainty whether the underlying transactions are orderly. Prior to adoption of the FSP, we used independent vendor or broker quoted prices (unadjusted) to measure fair value for substantially all of its securities available for sale. In connection with the adoption of this FSP, we developed policies and procedures to determine when the level and volume of activity for our assets and liabilities requiring fair value measurements has significantly declined relative to normal conditions. For such items that use price quotes, such as certain security classes within securities available for sale, the degree of market inactivity and distressed transactions was analyzed to determine the appropriate adjustment to the price quotes. The security classes where we considered the market to be less active included non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations, home equity asset-backed securities and credit card-backed securities. The methodology used to adjust the quotes involved weighting the price quotes and results of internal pricing techniques such as the net present value of future expected cash flows (with observable inputs, where available) discounted at a rate of return market participants require. The significant inputs utilized in the internal pricing techniques, which were estimated by type of underlying collateral, included credit loss assumptions, estimated prepayment speeds and appropriate discount rates. The more active and orderly markets for particular security classes were determined to be, the more weighting assigned to price quotes. The less active and orderly markets were determined to be, the less weighting assigned to price quotes. For the impact of adoption of FSP FAS 157-4, see Note 1 in this Report.

Under FAS 159, we elected to measure MHFS at fair value prospectively for new prime residential MHFS originations, for which an active secondary market and readily available market prices existed to reliably support fair value pricing models used for these loans. On December 31, 2008, we elected to measure at fair value prime residential MHFS acquired from Wachovia. We also elected to remeasure at fair value certain of our other interests held related to residential loan sales and securitizations. We believe the election for MHFS and other interests held (which are now hedged with free-standing derivatives (economic hedges) along with our MSRs) reduces certain timing differences and better matches changes in the value of these assets with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets.

103

## **Fair Value Hierarchy**

Under FAS 157, we group our assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

Upon the acquisition of Wachovia, we elected to measure at fair value certain portfolios of LHFS that we intend to hold for trading purposes and that may be economically hedged with derivative instruments. In addition, we elected to measure at fair value certain letters of credit that are hedged with derivative instruments to better reflect the economics of the transactions. These letters of credit are included in trading account assets or liabilities.

The table below presents the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting (1)	Total
Balance at March 31, 2008					
Trading assets (excluding derivatives)	\$ 1,047	\$ 3,266	\$ 362	\$	\$ 4,675
Derivatives (trading assets)	77	10,186		(6,045)	4,218
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal					
agencies	1,016				1,016
Securities of U.S. states and political	,				,
subdivisions		7,014	166		7,180
Mortgage-backed securities:					
Federal agencies	38,577				38,577
Residential		14,907	556		15,463
Commercial		7,122			7,122
Total mortgage-backed securities	38,577	22,029	556		61,162
Corporate debt securities		1,907			1,907
Collateralized debt obligations		817			817
Other		779	5,961		6,740
Total debt securities  Marketable equity securities:	39,593	32,546	6,683		78,822
Perpetual preferred securities	1,539	611			2,150
Other marketable equity securities	780	34	1		815
Total marketable equity securities	2,319	645	1		2,965
Total	41,912	33,191	6,684		81,787

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Mortgages held for sale Mortgage servicing rights (residential) Other assets (2)	  2,226	26,667  7,888	1,260 14,956 48	 (6,995)	27,927 14,956 3,167
Total	\$ 45,262	\$ 81,198	\$ 23,310	\$ (13,040)	\$ 136,730
Other liabilities (3)	\$ (3,597)	\$ (11,597)	\$ (408)	\$ 9,367	\$ (6,235)

(continued on following page)

104

**Table of Contents** 

(continued from previous page)

(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting (1)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008					
Trading assets (excluding derivatives) Derivatives (trading assets)	\$ 911 331	\$ 16,045 174,355	\$ 3,495 7,897	\$ (148,150)	\$ 20,451 34,433
Securities of U.S. Treasury and federal agencies	3,177	72			3,249
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	1	11,754	903		12,658
Federal agencies		66,430	4		66,434
Residential		21,320	3,510		24,830
Commercial		8,192	286		8,478
Total mortgage-backed securities		95,942	3,800		99,742
Corporate debt securities		6,642	282		6,924
Collateralized debt obligations		2	2,083		2,085
Other		7,976	12,799		20,775
Total debt securities  Marketable equity securities:	3,178	122,388	19,867		145,433
Perpetual preferred securities	886	1,065	2,775		4,726
Other marketable equity securities	1,099	261	50		1,410
Total marketable equity securities	1,985	1,326	2,825		6,136
Total	5,163	123,714	22,692		151,569
Mortgages held for sale Loans held for sale	<del></del>	14,036 398	4,718	<b></b>	18,754 398
Mortgage servicing rights (residential)			 14,714		398 14,714
Other assets (2)	3,975	21,751	2,041	(20,540)	7,227
Total	\$ 10,380	\$ 350,299	\$ 55,557	\$ (168,690)	\$ 247,546
Other liabilities (3)	\$ (4,815)	\$ (187,098)	\$ (9,308)	\$ 182,435	\$ (18,786)

Balance at March 31, 2009

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Trading assets (excluding derivatives) Derivatives (trading assets)	\$ 2,396 1,420	\$ 13,637 145,193	\$ 3,258 7,810	\$ (127,217)	\$ 19,291 27,206
Securities of U.S. Treasury and	• • • •	40.5			• • • •
federal agencies	2,800	103			2,903
Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions	1	11,024	821		11,846
Mortgage-backed securities:	1	11,024	021		11,040
Federal agencies		90,648			90,648
Residential		24,825	7,657		32,482
Commercial		7,278	2,497		9,775
Total mortgage-backed securities		122,751	10,154		132,905
Corporate debt securities		6,725	261		6,986
Collateralized debt obligations		57	2,329		2,386
Other		996	15,267		16,263
Total debt securities	2,801	141,656	28,832		173,289
Marketable equity securities:		(20			
Perpetual preferred securities	574	639	2,557		3,770
Other marketable equity securities	1,072	293	44		1,409
Total marketable equity securities	1,646	932	2,601		5,179
Total	4,447	142,588	31,433		178,468
Mortgages held for sale		30,689	4,516		35,205
Loans held for sale		30,069 114	4,510	<b></b>	35,205 114
Mortgage servicing rights		114			114
(residential)			12,391		12,391
Other assets (2)	4,070	19,129	2,285	(12,907)	12,577
Total	\$ 12,333	\$ 351,350	\$ 61,693	\$ (140,124)	\$ 285,252
Other liabilities (3)	\$ (6,313)	<b>\$</b> (157,898)	\$ (8,567)	\$ 152,208	\$ (20,570)

(1) Derivatives are reported net of cash collateral received and paid and, to the extent that the criteria of FIN 39 are met, positions with the same counterparty are netted as part of

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

a legally enforceable master netting agreement.

- (2) Derivative assets other than trading and principal investments are included in this category.
- (3) Derivative liabilities other than trading are included in this category.

105

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

(in millions)	beg	alance, inning period		Total (losses) Net	com	uded in Other	iss	chases, sales, uances and ments, net	Net transfers into and/ or out of Level 3 (1)	alance, end of period	i li	Net arealized gains (losses) included in net income relating to assets and iabilities held at period end (2)
Quarter ended March 31, 2008												
Trading assets (excluding derivatives)	\$	418	\$	(68)	\$		\$	12	\$	\$ 362	\$	(40)(3)
Securities available for sale: Securities of U.S. states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities - residential		168 486		(8)		(8) 18		6		166 556		 (4)
Other		4,726				32		1,203		5,961		
Total debt securities Marketable equity securities - other		5,380		(8)		42		1,269		6,683		(4)
Total securities available for sale	\$	5,381	\$	(8)	\$	42	\$	1,269	\$	\$ 6,684	\$	(4)
Mortgages held for sale	\$	146	\$	(5)	\$		\$	27	\$ 1,092	\$ 1,260	\$	(5)(4)
Mortgage servicing rights (residential)		16,763	(:	2,564)				757		14,956		(1,794)(4)(5)
Net derivative assets and liabilities		6		(179)				142		(31)		(27)(4)

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Other liabilities (excluding derivatives)	(280)	(66)			17		(329)	(66)(4)
Quarter ended March 31, 2009								
Trading assets (excluding derivatives) Securities available for sale: Securities of U.S. states	\$ 3,495	\$ (38)	\$	\$ (5	523)	\$ 324	\$ 3,258	\$ 2(3)
and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities:	903	(2)	2		(7)	(75)	821	
Federal agencies	4					(4)		
Residential	3,510	(29)	711	(2	<b>170</b> )	3,635	7,657	(95)
Commercial	286	(8)	501		51	1,667	2,497	<b>(9</b> )
Total mortgage-backed								
securities	3,800	(37)	1,212	()	119)	5,298	10,154	(104)
Corporate debt								
securities	282	(2)	10		<b>(17)</b>	(12)	261	
Collateralized debt					_	_		
obligations	2,083	70	172		2	2	2,329	
Other	12,799	(20)	637	1,4	<b>17</b> 1	380	15,267	(31)
Total debt securities Marketable equity securities	19,867	9	2,033	1,3	330	5,593	28,832	(135)
Perpetual preferred securities	2,775	70	26	(:	311)	(3)	2,557	
Other marketable equity securities	50		(18)		60	(48)	44	
Total marketable equity securities	2,825	70	8	(2	251)	(51)	2,601	
Total securities available for sale	\$ 22,692	<b>\$</b> 79	\$ 2,041	\$ 1,0	)79	\$ 5,542	\$ 31,433	\$ (135)
Mortgages held for sale	\$ 4,718	\$ 2	\$	\$ (2	110)	\$ (94)	\$ 4,516	\$ (1)(4)
Mortgage servicing rights (residential)	14,714	(3,804)		1,4	<b>481</b>		12,391	(2,824)(4)(5)
Net derivative assets and liabilities	37	848			(89)	240	1,036	616(4)
	1,231	(9)			(1)		1,221	(12)(4)
	,	(-)			. 7		,	\ /\-7

# Other assets (excluding derivatives)

Other liabilities (excluding derivatives)

(638) (76)

**(15)** 

--

(729)

**(76)** 

- (1) The amounts presented as transfers into and out of Level 3 represent fair value as of the beginning of the period presented.
- (2) Represents only net losses that are due to changes in economic conditions and management s estimates of fair value and excludes changes due to the collection/realization of cash flows over time.
- (3) Included in other noninterest income in the income statement.
- (4) Included in mortgage banking in the income statement.
- (5) Represents total unrealized losses of \$2,824 million and \$1,798 million, net of losses of nil and \$4 million related to sales, in first quarter 2009 and 2008, respectively.

106

We continue to invest in asset-backed securities collateralized by auto leases and cash reserves that provide attractive yields and are structured equivalent to investment-grade securities. Based on our experience with underwriting auto leases and the significant overcollateralization of our interests, which results in retention by the counterparty of a significant amount of the primary risks of the investments (credit risk and residual value risk of the autos), we consider these assets to be of high credit quality. The securities are relatively short duration, therefore not as sensitive to market interest rate movements.

For certain assets and liabilities, we obtain fair value measurements from independent brokers or independent third party pricing services. The detail by level is shown in the table below.

	Independent brokers Level			Thin Level	ng services	
(in millions)	1	Level 2	Level 3	1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2008						
Trading assets (excluding derivatives)	\$ 190	\$ 3,272	\$ 12	\$ 917	\$ 1,944	\$110
Derivatives (trading and other assets)	3,419	106	106	605	4,635	
Securities available for sale	181	8,916	1,681	3,944	109,170	8
Loans held for sale		1			353	
Other liabilities	1,105	175	128	2,208	5,171	1
March 31, 2009						
Trading assets (excluding						
derivatives)	\$ 422	\$ 3,600	<b>\$ 20</b>	\$ 22	\$ 1,551	<b>\$ 2</b>
<b>Derivatives</b> (trading and other						
assets)	3,527	2,215	52		4,268	8
Securities available for sale	400	1,956	256	3,297	113,274	11
Loans held for sale					78	
<b>Derivatives</b> (liabilities)	699	1,976	<b>71</b>		4,133	2
Other liabilities	74	175		6	518	
		107				

We may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis that were still held in the balance sheet at quarter end, the following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment and the carrying value of the related individual assets or portfolios at quarter end.

(in millions)	Level 1	Total gains (losses) for quarter ended			
(III IIIIIIOIIS)	LCVCI I	Level 2	Level 3	Total	quarter chided
March 31, 2008					
Mortgages held for sale	\$	\$ 1,678	\$ 103	\$1,781	\$ (78)
Loans held for sale	·	360		360	(11)
Loans (1)		540	6	546	(1,297)
Private equity investments	16		3	19	(14)
Foreclosed assets (2)		384		384	(104)
Operating lease assets		19		19	
					\$ (1,504)
March 31, 2009					
Mortgages held for sale	\$	\$ 668	\$ 676	\$ 1,344	<b>\$ 4</b>
Loans held for sale		1,002		1,002	48
Loans (1)		1,459	111	1,570	(2,604)
Private equity investments			31	31	(50)
Foreclosed assets (2)		387	40	427	(112)
Operating lease assets		181		181	(11)

\$ (2,725)

(1) Represents
carrying value
and related
write-downs of
loans for which
adjustments are
based on the
appraised value
of the collateral.
The carrying
value of loans
fully
charged-off,
which includes

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

unsecured lines and loans, is zero.

(2) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed real estate and other collateral owned that were measured at fair value subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets.

108

# **Fair Value Option**

The following table reflects the differences between fair value carrying amount of mortgages held for sale measured at fair value under FAS 159 and the aggregate unpaid principal amount we are contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

	carrying	Aggregat <b>a</b> g unpaid	Fair value arrying amount less gregate unpaid	carrying	Aggregatea unpaid	March 31, 2008 Fair value carrying amount less  Aggregate ggregate unpaid unpaid principalprincipal					
(in millions)	amount	principalp	rıncıpaı	amount	principal	orincipal	amount	ount principaprincipal			
Mortgages held for sale reported at fair value: Total loans Nonaccrual loans Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	\$ 35,205 211 71	\$ 34,955 491	\$ 250(1) (280)	\$ 18,754 152 58	\$ 18,862 344	\$ (108)(1) (192) (5)	\$ 27,927 48	\$ 27,705 86	\$ 222(1) (38) (1)		
Loans held for sale reported at fair value: Total loans Loans 90 days or more past due and still	114	197	(83)	398	760	(362)					
accruing	(4)	3	(7)	1	17	(16)					

(1) The difference between fair value carrying amount and aggregate unpaid principal includes changes in fair value recorded at and subsequent to

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

funding, gains and losses on the related loan commitment prior to funding, and premiums on acquired loans.

The assets accounted for under FAS 159 are initially measured at fair value. Gains and losses from initial measurement and subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. The changes in fair values related to initial measurement and subsequent changes in fair value included in earnings for these assets measured at fair value are shown, by income statement line item, below.

				Quarter ended March		
			2009		2008	
	Loans	Mortgages	Other	Mortgages	Other	
	held	held	interests	held	interests	
(in millions)	for sale	for sale	held	for sale	held	
Changes in fair value included in net						
income:						
Mortgage banking noninterest income: Net gains on mortgage loan origination/sales						
activities (1)	\$	\$ 1,663	\$	\$ 752	\$	
Other noninterest income	44		(17)		(67)	

(1) Includes changes in fair value of servicing associated with

MHFS.

Interest income on mortgages held for sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in interest income in the income statement.

109

## 14. PREFERRED STOCK

We are authorized to issue 20 million shares of preferred stock and 4 million shares of preference stock, both without par value. Preferred shares outstanding rank senior to common shares both as to dividends and liquidation preference but have no general voting rights. We have not issued any preference shares under this authorization. The following table provides detail of preferred stock.

	Shares		Marc	h 31, 2009	December 31, 2008		
(in millions, except shares)	issued and outstanding	Par value	Carrying value			Discount	
Series D (1) Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D, \$1,000,000 liquidation preference per share, 25,000 shares authorized	25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 22,839	\$ 2,161	\$ 22,741	\$ 2,259	
<b>DEP Shares</b> Dividend Equalization Preferred Shares, \$10 liquidation preference per share, 97,000 shares authorized	96,546						
Series J (1)(2) 8.00% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Class A Preferred Stock, Series J, \$1,000 liquidation preference per share, 2,300,000 shares authorized	2,150,375	2,150	1,995	155	1,995	155	
Series K (1)(2) 7.98% Fixed-to-Floating Non-Cumulative Perpetual Class A Preferred Stock, Series K, \$1,000 liquidation preference per share, 3,500,000 shares authorized	3,352,000	3,352	2,876	476	2,876	476	
Series L (1)(2) 7.50% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Class A Preferred Stock, Series L, \$1,000 liquidation preference per share, 4,025,000 shares authorized	3,968,000	3,968	3,200	768	3,200	768	
TOTAL	9,591,921	\$ 34,470	\$ 30,910	\$3,560	\$ 30,812	\$ 3,658	

(1)

Series D, J, K and L preferred shares qualify as Tier 1 capital.

(2) In conjunction

with the

acquisition of

Wachovia, at

December 31,

2008, shares of

Series J, K and

L perpetual

preferred stock

were converted

into shares of a

corresponding

series of Wells

Fargo preferred

stock having

substantially the

same rights and

preferences. The

carrying value is

par value

adjusted to fair

value in

purchase

accounting.

In addition to the preferred stock issued and outstanding described in the table above, we have the following preferred stock authorized with no shares issued and outstanding:

Series A Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, \$100,000 liquidation preference per share, 25,001 shares authorized

Series B Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, \$100,000 liquidation preference per share, 17,501 shares authorized

Series G  $\,$  7.25% Class A Preferred Stock, Series G, \$15,000 liquidation preference per share, 50,000 shares authorized

Series H Floating Class A Preferred Stock, Series H, \$20,000 liquidation preference per share, 50,000 shares authorized

110

Series I 5.80% Fixed to Floating Class A Preferred Stock, Series I, \$100,000 liquidation preference per share, 25,010 shares authorized

In addition, we hold shares of our ESOP (Employee Stock Ownership Plan) Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (ESOP Preferred Stock) that were issued to a trustee acting on behalf of the Wells Fargo & Company 401(k) Plan. The following table provides detail of our ESOP Preferred Stock.

	Shares	Shares issued and outstanding			ng value (in		Adjustable		
	Mar. 31, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008	Mar. 31, 2008	Mar.Dec.31,31,20092008		Mar. 31, 2008 M	div inimum	vidends rate Maximum	
ESOP Preferred Stock (1):									
2008	138,108	156,914	395,494	\$ 138	\$ 157	\$ 396	10.50%	11.50%	
2007	110,159	110,159	126,374	110	110	126	10.75	11.75	
2006	83,249	83,249	95,866	83	83	96	10.75	11.75	
2005	62,484	62,484	73,434	63	63	73	9.75	10.75	
2004	45,950	45,950	55,610	46	46	56	8.50	9.50	
2003	29,218	29,218	37,043	29	29	37	8.50	9.50	
2002	18,889	18,889	25,779	19	19	26	10.50	11.50	
2001	10,393	10,393	16,593	10	10	17	10.50	11.50	
2000	2,620	2,644	9,094	3	3	9	11.50	12.50	
1999			1,261			1	10.30	11.30	
Total ESOP Preferred Stock	501,070	519,900	836,548	\$ 501	\$ 520	\$ 837			
Unearned ESOP shares (2)				\$ (535)	\$ (555)	\$ (891)			

(1) Liquidation preference \$1,000. At

March 31, 2009, December 31, 2008, and March 31, 2008, additional paid-in capital included \$34 million, \$35 million and \$54 million, respectively, related to preferred stock.

(2) In accordance

with the AICPA

Statement of

Position 93-6,

**Employers** 

Accounting for

 $Employee\ Stock$ 

Ownership

Plans, we

recorded a

corresponding

charge to

unearned ESOP

shares in

connection with

the issuance of

the ESOP

Preferred Stock.

The unearned

ESOP shares are

reduced as

shares of the

**ESOP Preferred** 

Stock are

committed to be

released.

111

## 15. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

We sponsor noncontributory qualified defined benefit retirement plans including the Wells Fargo & Company Cash Balance Plan (Cash Balance Plan), which covers eligible employees of the legacy Wells Fargo and the Wachovia Corporation Pension Plan (Pension Plan), a cash balance plan that covers eligible employees of the Wachovia Corporation.

We do not expect that we will be required to make a minimum contribution in 2009 for the Cash Balance Plan. The maximum we can contribute in 2009 for the Cash Balance Plan depends on several factors, including the finalization of participant data. Our decision on how much to contribute, if any, depends on other factors, including the actual investment performance of plan assets. Given these uncertainties, we cannot at this time reliably estimate the maximum deductible contribution or the amount that we will contribute in 2009 to the Cash Balance Plan. The net periodic benefit cost was:

						2009			Quar	ter ended		ch 31, 2008
	Pension benefits					ther		Pens	sion ber	nefits Non-	Othe	
(in millions)	Qualified			qualified benefits		Qual	ified		ified		efits	
Service cost	\$	107	\$	4	\$	3	\$	73	\$	4	\$	3
Interest cost		145		19		21		69		5		10
Expected return on plan assets Amortization of net actuarial		(163)				<b>(7</b> )		(120)				(10)
loss (1) Amortization of prior service		106		2		1				3		
cost				(1)		(1)				(1)		(1)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	195	\$	24	\$	17	\$	22	\$	11	\$	2

(1) Net actuarial loss is generally amortized over five years.

On April 28, 2009, the Board of Directors approved amendments to freeze the Wells Fargo qualified and supplemental Cash Balance Plans and the Wachovia Pension Plan, and to merge the Pension Plan into the qualified Cash Balance Plan. These actions are expected to be effective July 1, 2009, and to reduce pension cost by approximately \$330 million in 2009.

112

#### 16. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The table below shows earnings per common share and diluted earnings per common share and reconciles the numerator and denominator of both earnings per common share calculations.

(in millions, except per share amounts)	Quarter ended March 31 <b>2009</b> 2008					
Wells Fargo net income (numerator)	\$ 3,045	\$ 1,999				
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion	661					
Wells Fargo net income applicable to common stock (numerator)	\$ 2,384	\$ 1,999				
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE Average common shares outstanding (denominator)	4,247.4	3,302.4				
Per share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.61				
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE						
Average common shares outstanding	4,247.4	3,302.4				
Add: Stock options	1.8	15.4				
Restricted share rights	0.1	0.1				
Diluted average common shares outstanding (denominator)	4,249.3	3,317.9				
Per share	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.60				

At March 31, 2009, options and warrants to purchase 290.2 million and 110.3 million shares, respectively, were outstanding but not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share because the exercise price was higher than the market price, and therefore were antidilutive. At March 31, 2008, options to purchase 175.7 million shares were antidilutive.

113

#### 17. OPERATING SEGMENTS

As a result of the combination of Wells Fargo and Wachovia, management realigned its segments into the following three lines of business for management reporting: Community Banking, Wholesale Banking, and Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services. The results for these lines of business are based on our management accounting process, which assigns balance sheet and income statement items to each responsible operating segment. This process is dynamic and, unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management accounting equivalent to generally accepted accounting principles. The management accounting process measures the performance of the operating segments based on our management structure and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for other financial services companies. We define our operating segments by product type and customer segment. If the management structure and/or the allocation process changes, allocations, transfers and assignments may change. We revised prior period information to reflect the realignment of our operating segments; however, because the acquisition was completed on December 31, 2008, Wachovia s results are included in the income statement and average balances beginning in 2009.

Community Banking offers a complete line of diversified financial products and services to consumers and small businesses with annual sales generally up to \$20 million in which the owner generally is the financial decision maker. Community Banking also offers investment management and other services to retail customers and high net worth individuals, securities brokerage through affiliates and venture capital financing. These products and services include the *Wells Fargo Advantage Funds*<sup>SM</sup>, a family of mutual funds, as well as personal trust and agency assets. Loan products include lines of credit, equity lines and loans, equipment and transportation (recreational vehicle and marine) loans, education loans, origination and purchase of residential mortgage loans and servicing of mortgage loans and credit cards. Other credit products and financial services available to small businesses and their owners include receivables and inventory financing, equipment leases, real estate financing, Small Business Administration financing, venture capital financing, cash management, payroll services, retirement plans, Health Savings Accounts and merchant payment processing. Consumer and business deposit products include checking accounts, savings deposits, market rate accounts, Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs), time deposits and debit cards.

Community Banking serves customers through a wide range of channels, which include traditional banking stores, in-store banking centers, business centers and ATMs. Also, *Phone Bank*<sup>SM</sup> centers and the National Business Banking Center provide 24-hour telephone service. Online banking services include single sign-on to online banking, bill pay and brokerage, as well as online banking for small business.

Community Banking also includes Wells Fargo Financial consumer finance and auto finance operations. Consumer finance operations make direct consumer and real estate loans to individuals and purchase sales finance contracts from retail merchants from offices throughout the United States, and in Canada and the Pacific Rim. Auto finance operations specialize in purchasing sales finance contracts directly from auto dealers in Puerto Rico and making loans secured by autos in the United States, Canada and Puerto Rico. Wells Fargo Financial also provides credit cards, lease and other commercial financing.

114

Wholesale Banking provides financial solutions to businesses across the United States with annual sales generally in excess of \$10 million and to financial institutions globally. Wholesale Banking provides a complete line of commercial, corporate, capital markets, cash management and real estate banking products and services. These include traditional commercial loans and lines of credit, letters of credit, asset-based lending, equipment leasing, mezzanine financing, high-yield debt, international trade facilities, foreign exchange services, treasury management, investment management, institutional fixed-income sales, interest rate, commodity and equity risk management, online/electronic products such as the Commercial Electronic Office® (CEO®) portal, insurance, corporate trust fiduciary and agency services, and investment banking services. Wholesale Banking manages and administers institutional investments and mutual funds, including the Wells Fargo Advantage Funds. Wholesale Banking includes the majority ownership interest in the Wells Fargo HSBC Trade Bank, which provides trade financing, letters of credit and collection services and is sometimes supported by the Export-Import Bank of the United States (a public agency of the United States offering export finance support for American-made products). Wholesale Banking also supports the commercial real estate market with products and services such as construction loans for commercial and residential development, land acquisition and development loans, secured and unsecured lines of credit, interim financing arrangements for completed structures, rehabilitation loans, affordable housing loans and letters of credit, permanent loans for securitization, commercial real estate loan servicing and real estate and mortgage brokerage services.

Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services provides private banking, investment management, trust, estate planning, brokerage, insurance and retirement services to clients using a comprehensive planning approach to meet each client s needs. First quarter 2009 results include Wachovia, which added the following businesses to this segment: Wachovia Securities (retail brokerage), Wachovia Wealth Management, including its family office business, Calibre, and Wachovia s retirement services and reinsurance businesses. Prior to the Wachovia acquisition, the segment contained the following Wells Fargo lines of business: Wealth Management Group, including the family office services business; bank-channel brokerage; online brokerage (Wells Trade); and HD Vest. It also included institutional trust and institutional retirement services. The following describes the combined lines of business now included in the segment. The Wealth Management Group uses an integrated model to provide affluent and high-net-worth customers with a complete range of wealth management solutions and services. Family Office Services meets the unique needs of ultra-high net worth customers managing multi-generational assets those with at least \$50 million in assets. Retail Brokerage s financial advisors serve customers advisory, brokerage and financial needs, including investment management, retirement and estate planning as part of one of the largest full-service brokerage firms in the U.S. They also offer access to banking products, insurance, and investment banking services. First Clearing, our correspondent clearing firm, provides technology, product and other business support to broker-dealers across the U.S. The Retirement Group supports individual investors retirement needs and is a leader in 401(k) and pension recordkeeping, investment services, trust and custody solutions for U.S. companies and their employees. The division also provides reinsurance to global insurance companies.

**Other** includes integration expenses and the elimination of items that are included in both Community Banking and Wealth, Brokerage and Retirement Services, largely representing wealth management customers serviced and products sold in the stores.

115

	Wealth,									
					Bro	okerage				
						and				
(income/expense in millions,		nmunity		holesale		irement				olidated
average balances in billions)		Banking		Banking	S	Services		Other	C	ompany
Quarter ended March 31,	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net interest income (1)	\$ 8,497	\$4,718	\$ 2,367	\$ 1,026	\$ 737	\$ 154	\$ (225)	\$ (138) \$	11,376	\$5,760
Provision for credit losses	4,004	1,865	545	161	25	2	(16)		4,558	2,028
Noninterest income	5,456	3,482	2,540	1,151	1,902	483	(257)	(313)	9,641	4,803
Noninterest expense	7,158	3,905	2,531	1,344	2,219	485	(90)	(292)	11,818	5,442
Income (loss) before income	<b>.</b> =0.1	2 420	4.004		20.5	4.50	(2= 6)	(4.50)	4 < 44	2 002
tax expense (benefit)	2,791	2,430	1,831	672	395	150	(376)	(159)	4,641	3,093
Income tax expense (benefit)	890	897	647	180	158	57	(143)	(60)	1,552	1,074
Net income (loss) before										
noncontrolling interests	1,901	1,533	1,184	492	237	93	(233)	(99)	3,089	2,019
Less: Net income (loss) from	,	1,000	1,101	.,2	20.	75	(200)	(22)	2,005	2,017
noncontrolling interests	62	11	4	9	(22)				44	20
Segment net income (loss)	\$ 1,839	\$ 1,522	\$ 1,180	\$ 483	\$ 259	\$ 93	\$ (233)	\$ (99) \$	3,045	\$ 1,999
Average loans	\$ 552.8	\$ 282.7	\$ 271.9	\$ 100.8	\$ 46.7	\$ 13.7	\$ (15.8)	\$ (13.3)	855.6	\$ 383.9
Average assets	797.9	431.8	403.8	140.0	104.0	16.7	(16.0)	(13.5)	1,289.7	575.0
Average core deposits	538.0	246.6	138.5	68.2	102.6	21.0	(25.2)	(18.5)	753.9	317.3
11. crage core deposits	220.0	2 10.0	1000	00.2	102.0	21.0	(20.2)	(10.5)	,	517.5

(1) Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on assets and the cost of liabilities to fund those assets. Interest earned includes actual interest earned on segment assets and, if the segment has excess liabilities, interest credits for providing funding to other

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

segments. The cost of liabilities includes interest expense on segment liabilities and, if the segment does not have enough liabilities to fund its assets, a funding charge based on the cost of excess liabilities from another segment.

116

**Table of Contents** 

## 18. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Following are the condensed consolidating financial statements of the Parent and Wells Fargo Financial, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (WFFI).

# **Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income**

			Oder	Quarter ended M	March 31, 2009	
(in millions)	Parent	WFFI	Other consolidating subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Company	
Dividends from subsidiaries:	¢ 716	ф	¢.	¢ (716)	¢	
Bank Nonbank	\$ 716	\$	\$	\$ (716)	\$	
Interest income from loans		985	9,785	(5)	10,765	
Interest income from subsidiaries	651			(651)		
Other interest income	113	26	3,412	(3)	3,548	
Total interest income	1,480	1,011	13,197	(1,375)	14,313	
Deposits			1,007	(8)	999	
Short-term borrowings	64	9	336	(286)	123	
Long-term debt	1,029	368	783	(401)	1,779	
Other interest-expense			36		36	
Total interest expense	1,093	377	2,162	(695)	2,937	
NET INTEREST INCOME	387	634	11,035	(680)	11,376	
Provision for credit losses		675	3,883		4,558	
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	387	(41)	7,152	(680)	6,818	
		,	,	,	,	
NONINTEREST INCOME						
Fee income nonaffiliates		53	5,310		5,363	
Other	173	33	4,697	(625)	4,278	
Total noninterest income	173	86	10,007	(625)	9,641	
NONINTEREST EXPENSE						
Salaries and benefits	138	19	6,337		6,494	
Other	110	194	5,645	(625)	5,324	
Total noninterest expense	248	213	11,982	(625)	11,818	

185

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX					
EXPENSE (BENEFIT) AND					
EQUITY IN UNDISTRIBUTED					
INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES	312	(168)	5,177	(680)	4,641
Income tax expense (benefit)	(158)	(57)	1,767		1,552
Equity in undistributed income of					
subsidiaries	2,575			(2,575)	
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE					
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	3,045	(111)	3,410	(3,255)	3,089
Less: Net income from noncontrolling					
interests			44		44
PARENT, WFFI, OTHER AND					
WELLS FARGO NET INCOME					
(LOSS)	\$ 3,045	\$ (111)	\$ 3,366	\$ (3,255)	\$ 3,045
		117			

**Table of Contents** 

# **Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income**

			0.1	Quarter ended M	March 31, 2008
(in millions)	Parent	WFFI	Other consolidating subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Company
Dividends from subsidiaries:					
Bank	\$ 797	\$	\$	\$ (797)	\$
Nonbank	11			(11)	
Interest income from loans	1	1,407	5,824	(20)	7,212
Interest income from subsidiaries	859			(859)	1.605
Other interest income	54	29	1,556	(2)	1,637
Total interest income	1,722	1,436	7,380	(1,689)	8,849
Deposits			1,759	(165)	1,594
Short-term borrowings	144	83	421	(223)	425
Long-term debt	858	495	210	(493)	1,070
Other interest-expense					
r					
Total interest expense	1,002	578	2,390	(881)	3,089
NET INTEREST INCOME	720	858	4,990	(808)	5,760
Provision for credit losses		342	1,686		2,028
110 (101011 101 010010 100000		· · -	1,000		_,0_0
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	720	516	3,304	(808)	3,732
			- 7	(/	- 7
NONINTEREST INCOME					
Fee income nonaffiliates		116	2,452		2,568
Other	293	48	2,310	(416)	2,235
Total noninterest income	293	164	4,762	(416)	4,803
NONINTEREST EXPENSE					
Salaries and benefits	(103)	266	3,052		3,215
Other	(105)	277	2,471	(416)	2,227
			_, 4	(1-0)	_,,
Total noninterest expense	(208)	543	5,523	(416)	5,442
	1,221	137	2,543	(808)	3,093

187

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE AND EQUITY IN UNDISTRIBUTED INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES						
Income tax expense	145		55	874		1,074
Equity in undistributed income of						
subsidiaries	923				(923)	
NET INCOME BEFORE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS Less: Net income from noncontrolling interests	1,999		82	1,669 20	(1,731)	2,019
PARENT, WFFI, OTHER AND WELLS FARGO NET INCOME	\$ 1,999	\$	82	\$ 1,649	\$ (1,731)	\$ 1,999
		118				

**Table of Contents** 

# **Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

				N	March 31, 2009
(in millions)	Parent	WFFI	Other consolidating subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Company
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents due from:					
Subsidiary banks	\$ 28,550	\$ 211	\$	\$ (28,761)	\$
Nonaffiliates		268	40,543		40,811
Securities available for sale	4,731	2,221	171,519	(3)	178,468
Mortgages and loans held for sale			45,113		45,113
Loans	9	37,598	819,483	(13,511)	843,579
Loans to subsidiaries:		,	,	( - )- )	,
Bank	14,597			(14,597)	
Nonbank	64,161			(64,161)	
Allowance for loan losses	04,101	(1,723)	(20,558)	(04,101)	(22,281)
Allowance for foan losses		(1,723)	(20,336)		(22,201)
Net loans	78,767	35,875	798,925	(92,269)	821,298
Investments in subsidiaries:					
Bank	113,435			(113,435)	
Nonbank	19,663			(19,663)	
Other assets	12,316	1,376	200,163	(13,654)	200,201
Total assets	\$ 257,462	\$ 39,951	\$ 1,256,263	\$ (267,785)	\$ 1,285,891
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Deposits	\$	\$	\$ 823,550	\$ (26,281)	\$ 797,269
Short-term borrowings	5,294	8,237	105,010	(46,457)	72,084
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	6,984	1,182	65,219	(14,554)	58,831
Long-term debt	133,679	29,110	124,221	(36,360)	250,650
Indebtedness to subsidiaries	11,210		, 	(11,210)	
Total liabilities Parent, WFFI, Other and Wells Fargo	157,167	38,529	1,118,000	(134,862)	1,178,834
stockholders equity	100,295	1,407	131,516	(132,923)	100,295
Noncontrolling interests		15	6,747		6,762
Total equity	100,295	1,422	138,263	(132,923)	107,057
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 257,462	\$ 39,951	\$ 1,256,263	\$ (267,785)	\$ 1,285,891
		119			

**Table of Contents** 

# **Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

				N	farch 31, 2008
(in millions)	Parent	WFFI	Other consolidating subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated Company
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents due from:					
Subsidiary banks	\$ 15,105	\$ 306	\$	\$ (15,411)	\$
Nonaffiliates		214	17,103		17,317
Securities available for sale	2,270	2,023	77,499	(5)	81,787
Mortgages and loans held for sale		·	30,521		30,521
Loans	10	51,060	344,624	(9,361)	386,333
Loans to subsidiaries:	-	,,,,,,	- ,-	(- / /	,
Bank	11,400			(11,400)	
Nonbank	54,260			(54,260)	
Allowance for loan losses		(1,025)	(4,778)		(5,803)
Net loans	65,670	50,035	339,846	(75,021)	380,530
Investments in subsidiaries:					
Bank	49,371			(49,371)	
Nonbank	5,568			(5,568)	
Other assets	11,417	1,574	78,323	(6,248)	85,066
Total assets	\$ 149,401	\$ 54,152	\$ 543,292	\$ (151,624)	\$ 595,221
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Deposits	\$	\$	\$ 373,555	\$ (15,411)	\$ 358,144
Short-term borrowings	5,023	10,804	69,075	(30,919)	53,983
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,921	1,497	29,054	(3,992)	31,480
Long-term debt	80,991	38,579	19,821	(36,216)	103,175
Indebtedness to subsidiaries	10,307			(10,307)	
Total liabilities Parent, WFFI, Other and Wells Fargo	101,242	50,880	491,505	(96,845)	546,782
stockholders equity	48,159	3,258	51,521	(54,779)	48,159
Noncontrolling interests		14	266		280
Total equity	48,159	3,272	51,787	(54,779)	48,439
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 149,401	\$ 54,152	\$ 543,292	\$ (151,624)	\$ 595,221
	12	20			

**Table of Contents** 

# **Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

		Quarter ended M Other	led March 31, 2009 her	
(in millions)	Parent	WFFI	consolidating subsidiaries/ eliminations	Consolidated Company
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ (828)	\$ 612	\$ 16,051	\$ 15,835
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Securities available for sale:				
Sales proceeds	97	193	10,470	10,760
Prepayments and maturities		39	7,304	7,343
Purchases	(283)	(317)	(38,573)	(39,173)
Loans:				
Decrease in banking subsidiaries loan originations, net				
of collections		17	10,891	10,908
Proceeds from sales (including participations) of loans				
originated for investment by banking subsidiaries			419	419
Purchases (including participations) of loans by banking				
subsidiaries			(301)	(301)
Principal collected on nonbank entities loans		2,310	865	3,175
Loans originated by nonbank entities		(991)	(1,004)	(1,995)
Net repayments from (advances to) subsidiaries	9,976		(9,976)	
Capital notes and term loans made to subsidiaries	(22)		22	
Principal collected on notes/loans made to subsidiaries	1,560		(1,560)	
Net decrease (increase) in investment in subsidiaries	(436)		436	
Net cash paid for acquisitions			(123)	(123)
Net change in noncontrolling interests			(186)	(186)
Other, net	22,264	140	5,284	27,688
	22,201	110	3,201	27,000
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	33,156	1,391	(16,032)	18,515
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net change in:				
Deposits			15,725	15,725
Short-term borrowings	(16,187)	(426)	(19,377)	(35,990)
Long-term debt:				
Proceeds from issuance	3,522		289	3,811
Repayment	(5,175)	(1,524)	(11,178)	(17,877)
Preferred stock:				
Cash dividends paid and accretion	(623)			(623)
Common stock:				
Proceeds from issuance	524			524
Repurchased	(54)			(54)
Cash dividends paid	(1,443)			(1,443)

191

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Net cash used by financing activities	(19,436)	(1,950)	(14,541)	(35,927)
Net change in cash and due from banks Cash and due from banks at beginning of quarter	12,892 15,658	53 426	(14,522) 7,679	(1,577) 23,763
Cash and due from banks at end of quarter	\$ 28,550	\$ 479	\$ (6,843)	\$ 22,186

121

**Table of Contents** 

# **Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows**

			Quarter ended M Other	March 31, 2008
(in millions)	Paren	ıt WFFI	consolidating subsidiaries/ eliminations	Consolidated Company
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$ 499	9 \$ 668	\$ (1,557)	\$ (390)
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Securities available for sale:				
Sales proceeds	882		·	16,213
Prepayments and maturities	- -	78	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5,466
Purchases	(79)	2) (357	(29,798)	(30,947)
Loans:				
Increase in banking subsidiaries loan originations, net of		/4 <b>-</b> 4	\ (2.2.40\)	(2.510)
collections	-	- (171	) (3,348)	(3,519)
Proceeds from sales (including participations) of loans			225	225
originated for investment by banking subsidiaries	-		325	325
Purchases (including participations) of loans by banking			(2.656)	(2.656)
subsidiaries	_	4 104	(2,656)	(2,656)
Principal collected on nonbank entities loans	_	- 4,194		5,015
Loans originated by nonbank entities  Not represent from (education to) subsidiaries		(4,439		(5,273)
Net repayments from (advances to) subsidiaries	(2,85)		2,858	
Capital notes and term loans made to subsidiaries	2,50	*	(2,500)	
Principal collected on notes/loans made to subsidiaries  Net decrease (increase) in investment in subsidiaries	2,300		48	
Net cash paid for acquisitions	(4)	0)	(46)	(46)
Net change in noncontrolling interests	_		6	6
Other, net	439	9 (52		(3,004)
Other, net	43	9 (32	(3,391)	(3,004)
Net cash used by investing activities	(50)	7) (388	(17,525)	(18,420)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net change in:				
Deposits	-		13,684	13,684
Short-term borrowings	1,500	6 1,687	(2,465)	728
Long-term debt:	<b>7</b> .0 <b>7</b>		(42)	0.105
Proceeds from issuance	7,073	*	` '	8,137
Repayment	(7,41	4) (3,037	2,882	(7,569)
Common stock:	2.17	7		217
Proceeds from issuance	31'			317
Repurchased	(35)	*		(351)
Cash dividends paid	(1,024)	•		(1,024)
Excess tax benefits related to stock option payments	1:		2.260	15 2 262
Other, net	_	- 2	3,260	3,262

193

Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	124	(243)	17,318	17,199
Net change in cash and due from banks Cash and due from banks at beginning of quarter	116 14,989	37 483	(1,764) (715)	(1,611) 14,757
Cash and due from banks at end of quarter	\$ 15,105	\$ 520	\$ (2,479)	\$ 13,146

122

### 19. REGULATORY AND AGENCY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company and each of its subsidiary banks and thrifts are subject to various regulatory capital adequacy requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Board (FRB), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Office of Thrift Supervision, respectively.

We do not consolidate our wholly-owned trusts (the Trusts) formed solely to issue trust preferred securities. At March 31, 2009, the amount of trust preferred securities and perpetual preferred purchase securities issued by the Trusts that was includable in Tier 1 capital in accordance with FRB risk-based capital guidelines was approximately \$19.3 billion. The junior subordinated debentures held by the Trusts were included in the Company s long-term debt.

					To be well	capitalized
					under th	e FDICIA
			F	or capital	prompt	corrective
		Actual	adequacy	purposes	action	provisions
(in billions)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of March 31, 2009:						
Total capital (to risk-weighted						
assets)						
Wells Fargo & Company	\$ 131.8	12.30%	<sup>3</sup> \$85.7	<sup>3</sup> 8.00%		
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	53.7	11.87	<sup>3</sup> 36.2	<sup>3</sup> 8.00	<sup>3</sup> \$45.3	<sup>3</sup> 10.00%
Wachovia Bank, N.A.	57.5	12.02	<sup>3</sup> 38.2	<sup>3</sup> 8.00	<sup>3</sup> 47.8	<sup>3</sup> 10.00
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted						
assets)						
Wells Fargo & Company	\$ 89.0	8.30%	<sup>3</sup> \$42.9	<sup>3</sup> 4.00%		
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	34.8	7.70	<sup>3</sup> 18.1	<sup>3</sup> 4.00	<sup>3</sup> \$27.2	<sup>3</sup> 6.00%
Wachovia Bank, N.A.	35.4	7.41	<sup>3</sup> 19.1	<sup>3</sup> 4.00	<sup>3</sup> 28.7	<sup>3</sup> 6.00
Tier 1 capital (to average assets)						
(Leverage ratio)						
Wells Fargo & Company	\$ 89.0	7.09%	<sup>3</sup> \$50.2	<sup>3</sup> 4.00%(1)		
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	34.8	6.53	<sup>3</sup> 21.3	<sup>3</sup> 4.00 (1)	<sup>3</sup> \$26.7	<sup>3</sup> 5.00%
Wachovia Bank, N.A.	35.4	6.20	<sup>3</sup> 22.9	<sup>3</sup> 4.00 (1)	<sup>3</sup> 28.6	<sup>3</sup> 5.00

(1) The leverage ratio consists of Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average total assets, excluding goodwill and certain other items. The minimum leverage ratio guideline is 3% for banking organizations that do not

## Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

anticipate significant growth and that have well-diversified risk, excellent asset quality, high liquidity, good earnings, effective management and monitoring of market risk and, in general, are considered top-rated, strong banking organizations.

Certain subsidiaries of the Company are approved seller/servicers, and are therefore required to maintain minimum levels of shareholders—equity, as specified by various agencies, including the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, Government National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and Federal National Mortgage Association. At March 31, 2009, each seller/servicer met these requirements.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information in response to this item can be found in Note 11 (Guarantees and Legal Actions) to Financial Statements in this Report which information is incorporated by reference into this item.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information in response to this item can be found under Financial Review Risk Factors in this Report which information is incorporated by reference into this item.

123

### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table shows Company repurchases of its common stock for each calendar month in the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

			Maximum number of
	Total number		shares that may yet
Calendar month	of shares repurchased (1)	Weighted-average price paid per share	be repurchased under the authorizations
January	2,228,293	\$ 24.00	12,128,427
February	10,458	14.77	12,117,969
March	55,995	10.31	12,061,974
Total	2,294,746		

(1) All shares were repurchased under the authorization covering up to 25 million shares of common stock approved by the Board of Directors and publicly announced by the Company on September 23, 2008. Unless modified or revoked by the Board, this authorization does not expire.

Item 6. Exhibits

A list of exhibits to this Form 10-Q is set forth on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such exhibits and is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company s SEC file number is 001-2979. On and before November 2, 1998, the Company filed documents with the SEC under the name Norwest Corporation. The former Wells Fargo & Company filed documents under SEC file number 001-6214.

### **SIGNATURE**

## Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 11, 2009 WELLS FARGO & COMPANY

By:/s/ RICHARD D. LEVY
Richard D. Levy
Executive Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

124

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Description	Location
3(a)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 28, 2006.
3(b)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s 2007 ESOP Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2007.
3(c)	Certificate Eliminating the Certificate of Designations for the Company s 1997 ESOP Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2007.
3(d)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s 2008 ESOP Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 18, 2008.
3(e)	Certificate Eliminating the Certificate of Designations for the Company s 1998 ESOP Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 18, 2008.
3(f)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 19, 2008.
3(g)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 10, 2008.
3(h)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 30, 2008.
3(i)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Dividend Equalization Preferred Shares.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.
3(j)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Class A Preferred Stock, Series G.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.
3(k)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Class A Preferred Stock, Series H.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

3(1)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Class A Preferred Stock, Series I.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.
3(m)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s 8.00% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Class A Preferred Stock, Series J.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.
3(n)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s Fixed-to-Floating Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Class A Preferred Stock, Series K.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.
3(0)	Certificate of Designations for the Company s 7.50% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Class A Preferred Stock, Series L.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008.

Exhibit <u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	Location		
3(p)	By-Laws.	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 4, 2006.		
4(a)	See Exhibits 3(a) through 3(p).			
4(b)	The Company agrees to furnish upon request to the Commission a copy of each instrument defining the rights of holders of senior and subordinated debt of the Company.	ne		
10(a)	Amendment to Directors Stock Compensation and Deferral Plan.	Filed herewith.		
10(b)	Amendments to Amended and Restated Wachovic Corporation 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.	Filed herewith.		
12(a)	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges:	Filed herewith.		
	Quarter ended March 2009 20			
	Including interest on deposits 2.50 1.	98		
	Excluding interest on deposits 3.22 2.	98		
	(Computation is based on Wells Fargo net income	2.)		
12(b)	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charand Preferred Dividends:	ges Filed herewith.		
	Quarter ended March			
	2009 20	08		
	Including interest on deposits 1.89 1.	98		
	Excluding interest on deposits 2.17 2.	98		
	(Computation is based on Wells Fargo net income.)			
31(a)	Certification of principal executive officer pursua to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002			

# Edgar Filing: 1st United Bancorp, Inc. - Form 425

31(b)	Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	Filed herewith.
32(a)	Certification of Periodic Financial Report by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.	Furnished herewith.
32(b)	Certification of Periodic Financial Report by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.	Furnished herewith.