

PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA

Form 10-Q

August 06, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q**

☒ **Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

☐ **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-10709

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation)

95-4300881

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

701 Western Avenue, Glendale, California 91201-2397

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(818) 244-8080**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> | Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--|--|---|

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

As of July 31, 2010, the number of shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding was 24,602,613.

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of income (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated statement of equity (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 40 |
| <u>Exhibit 12</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | |

Table of Contents

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 43,696 | \$ 208,229 |
| Real estate facilities, at cost: | | |
| Land | 507,531 | 493,709 |
| Buildings and equipment | 1,649,153 | 1,528,044 |
| | 2,156,684 | 2,021,753 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (740,725) | (707,209) |
| | 1,415,959 | 1,314,544 |
| Property held for disposition, net | | 4,260 |
| Land held for development | 6,829 | 6,829 |
| | 1,422,788 | 1,325,633 |
| Rent receivable | 2,458 | 2,313 |
| Deferred rent receivable | 22,010 | 21,596 |
| Other assets | 10,782 | 7,051 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,501,734 | \$ 1,564,822 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Accrued and other liabilities | \$ 49,937 | \$ 46,298 |
| Mortgage notes payable | 52,207 | 52,887 |
| Total liabilities | 102,144 | 99,185 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Equity: | | |
| PS Business Parks, Inc.'s shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, 22,877 and 25,042 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 571,921 | 626,046 |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 24,600,560 and 24,399,509 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 245 | 243 |
| Paid-in capital | 556,240 | 548,393 |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Cumulative net income | 744,227 | 699,291 |
| Cumulative distributions | (703,738) | (658,294) |
| Total PS Business Parks, Inc. s shareholders equity | 1,168,895 | 1,215,679 |
| Noncontrolling interests: | | |
| Preferred units | 53,418 | 73,418 |
| Common units | 177,277 | 176,540 |
| Total noncontrolling interests | 230,695 | 249,958 |
| Total equity | 1,399,590 | 1,465,637 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1,501,734 | \$ 1,564,822 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Rental income | \$ 69,878 | \$ 67,375 | \$ 137,010 | \$ 136,507 |
| Facility management fees | 163 | 173 | 336 | 350 |
| Total operating revenues | 70,041 | 67,548 | 137,346 | 136,857 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 21,720 | 21,251 | 44,686 | 43,687 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 18,666 | 21,970 | 36,856 | 44,584 |
| General and administrative | 2,400 | 1,538 | 5,149 | 3,514 |
| Total operating expenses | 42,786 | 44,759 | 86,691 | 91,785 |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | |
| Interest and other income | 91 | 68 | 200 | 247 |
| Interest expense | (856) | (881) | (1,711) | (1,811) |
| Total other income and expenses | (765) | (813) | (1,511) | (1,564) |
| Income from continuing operations | 26,490 | 21,976 | 49,144 | 43,508 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 176 | 34 | 343 |
| Gain on sale of land and real estate facility | | 1,488 | 5,153 | 1,488 |
| Total discontinued operations | | 1,664 | 5,187 | 1,831 |
| Net income | \$ 26,490 | \$ 23,640 | \$ 54,331 | \$ 45,339 |
| Net income allocation: | | | | |
| Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | |
| Noncontrolling interests common units | \$ 2,749 | \$ 2,900 | \$ 6,261 | \$ 14,523 |
| Noncontrolling interests preferred units | 1,752 | 1,381 | 3,134 | (5,333) |
| Total net income allocable to noncontrolling interests | 4,501 | 4,281 | 9,395 | 9,190 |
| Net income allocable to PS Business Parks, Inc.: | | | | |
| Common shareholders | 9,229 | 8,152 | 20,974 | 40,757 |
| Preferred shareholders | 12,723 | 11,155 | 23,878 | (4,871) |
| Restricted stock unit holders | 37 | 52 | 84 | 263 |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total net income allocable to PS Business Parks, Inc. | 21,989 | 19,359 | 44,936 | 36,149 |
| | \$ 26,490 | \$ 23,640 | \$ 54,331 | \$ 45,339 |
| Net income per common share basic: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 1.92 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 |
| Net income | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 1.99 |
| Net income per common share diluted: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 1.91 |
| Discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.07 |
| Net income | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.98 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 24,524 | 20,531 | 24,469 | 20,501 |
| Diluted | 24,669 | 20,652 | 24,611 | 20,605 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010
(Unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Paid-in | Cumulative | Cumulative | Total PS | Noncontrolling | Total |
|--|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Capital | Net | Distributions | Shareholders' | Interests | Equity |
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | 25,042 | \$ 626,046 | 24,399,509 | \$ 243 | \$ 548,393 | \$ 699,291 | \$ (658,294) | \$ 1,215,679 | \$ 249,958 | \$ 1,465,637 |
| Redemption of preferred units | | | | | 582 | | | 582 | (20,582) | (20,000) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (2,165) | (54,125) | | | 1,854 | | (1,854) | (54,125) | | (54,125) |
| Exercise of stock options | | | 181,036 | 2 | 5,894 | | | 5,896 | | 5,896 |
| Stock compensation, net | | | 20,015 | | 421 | | | 421 | | 421 |
| Net income | | | | | | 44,936 | | 44,936 | 9,395 | 54,331 |
| Distributions: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preferred stock | | | | | | | (22,024) | (22,024) | | (22,024) |
| Common stock | | | | | | | (21,566) | (21,566) | | (21,566) |
| Noncontrolling interests | | | | | | | | | (8,980) | (8,980) |
| Adjustment to noncontrolling interests in underlying operating partnership | | | | | (904) | | | (904) | 904 | |
| Balances at June 30, 2010 | 22,877 | \$ 571,921 | 24,600,560 | \$ 245 | \$ 556,240 | \$ 744,227 | \$ (703,738) | \$ 1,168,895 | \$ 230,695 | \$ 1,399,590 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited, in thousands)

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 54,331 | \$ 45,339 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 36,856 | 44,840 |
| In-place lease adjustment | 98 | (161) |
| Lease incentives net of tenant improvement reimbursements | (265) | (174) |
| Amortization of mortgage premium | (140) | (134) |
| Gain on sale of land and real estate facility | (5,153) | (1,488) |
| Stock compensation | 1,135 | 1,713 |
| Decrease in receivables and other assets | 587 | 2,019 |
| Increase in accrued and other liabilities | 1,467 | 1,332 |
| Total adjustments | 34,585 | 47,947 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 88,916 | 93,286 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital improvements to real estate facilities | (17,709) | (11,367) |
| Acquisition of real estate facilities | (123,582) | |
| Proceeds from sale of land and real estate facility | 9,181 | 2,557 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (132,110) | (8,810) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Principal payments on mortgage notes payable | (540) | (527) |
| Repayment of mortgage note payable | | (5,128) |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 5,896 | 678 |
| Shelf registration costs | | (75) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (54,125) | |
| Redemption of preferred units | (20,000) | |
| Repurchase of preferred stock | | (50,199) |
| Repurchase of preferred units | | (12,335) |
| Distributions paid to common shareholders | (21,566) | (18,044) |
| Distributions paid to preferred shareholders | (22,024) | (22,351) |
| Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests common units | (6,428) | (6,428) |
| Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests preferred units | (2,552) | (3,084) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (121,339) | (117,493) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (164,533) | (33,017) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period | 208,229 | 55,015 |

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period | \$ | 43,696 | \$ | 21,998 |
|--|----|--------|----|--------|

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

**PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited, in thousands)**

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Adjustment to noncontrolling interests in underlying operating partnership: | | |
| Noncontrolling interests common units | \$ 904 | \$ 9,645 |
| Paid-in capital | \$ (904) | \$ (9,645) |
| Gain on repurchase of preferred equity: | | |
| Preferred stock | \$ | \$ (30,005) |
| Preferred units | \$ | \$ (8,997) |
| Paid-in capital | \$ | \$ 39,002 |
| Effect of redemption/repurchase of preferred equity: | | |
| Cumulative distributions | \$ (1,854) | \$ (2,783) |
| Noncontrolling interest common units | \$ (582) | \$ (580) |
| Paid-in capital | \$ 2,436 | \$ 3,363 |
| | See accompanying notes. | |

Table of Contents

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2010

1. Organization and description of business

PS Business Parks, Inc. (PSB) was incorporated in the state of California in 1990. As of June 30, 2010, PSB owned 77.1% of the common partnership units of PS Business Parks, L.P. (the Operating Partnership). The remaining common partnership units are owned by Public Storage (PS). PSB, as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, has full, exclusive and complete responsibility and discretion in managing and controlling the Operating Partnership. PSB and the Operating Partnership are collectively referred to as the Company.

The Company is a fully-integrated, self-advised and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) that acquires, develops, owns and operates commercial properties, primarily multi-tenant flex, office and industrial space. As of June 30, 2010, the Company owned and operated 20.7 million rentable square feet of commercial space located in eight states. The Company also manages 1.4 million rentable square feet on behalf of PS and its affiliated entities.

References to the number of properties or square footage are unaudited and outside the scope of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's review of the Company's financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PSB and the Operating Partnership. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Noncontrolling Interests

The Company's noncontrolling interests are reported as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity. Purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control are accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest is included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement and, upon a gain or loss of control, the interest purchased or sold, as well as any interest retained, is recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in earnings.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The Company monitors the collectability of its receivable balances including the deferred rent receivable on an ongoing basis. Based on these reviews, the Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the possible inability of tenants to make contractual rent payments to the Company. A provision for doubtful accounts is recorded during each period. The allowance for doubtful accounts, which represents the cumulative allowances less write-offs of uncollectible rent, is netted against tenant and other receivables on the consolidated balance sheets. Tenant receivables are net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts totaling \$400,000 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Financial instruments

The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments are described below. The Company has estimated the fair value of financial instruments using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required in interpreting market data to develop estimates of market value. Accordingly, estimated fair values are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in current market exchanges.

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Due to the short period to maturity of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, other assets and accrued and other liabilities, the carrying values as presented on the consolidated balance sheets are reasonable estimates of fair value. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company, the carrying amount of debt approximates fair value.

Financial assets that are exposed to credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and receivables. Cash and cash equivalents, which consist primarily of money market investments, are only invested in entities with an investment grade rating. Receivables are comprised of balances due from a large number of customers. Balances that the Company expects to become uncollectible are reserved for or written off.

Real estate facilities

Real estate facilities are recorded at cost. Costs related to the renovation or improvement of the properties are capitalized. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Expenditures that are expected to benefit a period greater than two years and exceed \$2,000 are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated useful life. Buildings and equipment are depreciated on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives, which are generally 30 and five years, respectively. Transaction costs in excess of \$1,000 for leases with terms greater than one year are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Transaction costs for leases of one year or less or less than \$1,000 are expensed as incurred.

Intangible assets/liabilities

Intangible assets and liabilities include above-market and below-market in-place lease values of acquired properties based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The capitalized above-market and below-market lease values (included in other assets and accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets) are amortized to rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. The Company recorded net amortization of \$136,000 and \$75,000 of intangible assets and liabilities resulting from the above-market and below-market lease values during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization was \$98,000 and \$161,000 for each of the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, the value of in-place leases resulted in a net intangible asset of \$5.3 million, net of \$1.4 million of accumulated amortization, and a net intangible liability of \$1.5 million, net of \$1.3 million of accumulated amortization. As of December 31, 2009, the value of in-place leases resulted in a net intangible asset of \$94,000, net of \$1.1 million of accumulated amortization, and a net intangible liability of \$247,000, net of \$1.1 million of accumulated amortization.

Table of Contents

Evaluation of asset impairment

The Company evaluates its assets used in operations by identifying indicators of impairment and by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows for each asset to the asset's carrying value. When indicators of impairment are present and the sum of the undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying value of such asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's current carrying value and its value based on discounting its estimated future cash flows. In addition, the Company evaluates its assets held for disposition for impairment. Assets held for disposition are reported at the lower of their carrying value or fair value, less cost of disposition. At June 30, 2010, the Company did not consider any assets to be impaired.

Stock compensation

All share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized as stock compensation in the Company's income statement based on their fair values. See Note 11.

Revenue and expense recognition

The Company must meet four basic criteria before revenue can be recognized: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; the delivery has occurred or services rendered; the fee is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. All leases are classified as operating leases. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases. Straight-line rent is recognized for all tenants with contractual fixed increases in rent that are not included on the Company's credit watch list. Deferred rent receivable represents rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis in excess of billed rents. Reimbursements from tenants for real estate taxes and other recoverable operating expenses are recognized as rental income in the period the applicable costs are incurred. Property management fees are recognized in the period earned.

Costs incurred in connection with leasing (primarily tenant improvements and lease commissions) are capitalized and amortized over the lease period.

Gains from sales of real estate facilities

The Company recognizes gains from sales of real estate facilities at the time of sale using the full accrual method, provided that various criteria related to the terms of the transactions and any subsequent involvement by the Company with the properties sold are met. If the criteria are not met, the Company defers the gains and recognizes them when the criteria are met or using the installment or cost recovery methods as appropriate under the circumstances.

General and administrative expense

General and administrative expense includes executive and other compensation, office expense, professional fees, state income taxes and other such administrative items.

Income taxes

The Company qualified and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT, as defined in Section 856 of the Internal Revenue Code. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal income tax to the extent that it distributes its taxable income to its shareholders. A REIT must distribute at least 90% of its taxable income each year. In addition, REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operating requirements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) based on its taxable income using corporate income tax rates. Even if the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property and to

Table of Contents

federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. The Company believes it met all organization and operating requirements to maintain its REIT status during 2009 and intends to continue to meet such requirements for 2010. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes has been made in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

The Company can recognize a tax benefit only if it is more likely than not that a particular tax position will be sustained upon examination or audit. To the extent that the more likely than not standard has been satisfied, the benefit associated with a position is measured as the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being recognized upon settlement. As of June 30, 2010, the Company did not recognize any tax benefit for uncertain tax positions.

Accounting for preferred equity issuance costs

The Company records issuance costs as a reduction to paid-in capital on its balance sheet at the time the preferred securities are issued and reflects the carrying value of the preferred equity at the stated value. The Company records issuance costs as non-cash preferred equity distributions at the time it notifies the holders of preferred stock or units of its intent to redeem such shares or units.

Net income allocation

Net income was allocated as follows (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests: | | | | |
| Noncontrolling interests common units: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 2,749 | \$ 2,465 | \$ 5,072 | \$ 14,044 |
| Discontinued operations | | 435 | 1,189 | 479 |
| Total net income allocable to noncontrolling interests common units | 2,749 | 2,900 | 6,261 | 14,523 |
| Noncontrolling interests preferred units: | | | | |
| Distributions to preferred unit holders | 1,170 | 1,381 | 2,552 | 3,084 |
| Issuance costs related to the redemption of preferred units | 582 | | 582 | |
| Gain on repurchase of preferred units, net of issuance costs | | | | (8,417) |
| Total net income allocable to noncontrolling interests preferred units | 1,752 | 1,381 | 3,134 | (5,333) |
| Total net income allocable to noncontrolling interests | 4,501 | 4,281 | 9,395 | 9,190 |
| Net income allocable to PS Business Parks, Inc.: | | | | |
| Common shareholders: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 9,229 | 6,931 | 16,993 | 39,414 |
| Discontinued operations | | 1,221 | 3,981 | 1,343 |
| Total net income allocable to common shareholders | 9,229 | 8,152 | 20,974 | 40,757 |
| Preferred shareholders: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Distributions to preferred shareholders | 10,869 | 11,155 | 22,024 | 22,351 |
| Issuance costs related to the redemption of preferred stock | 1,854 | | 1,854 | |
| Gain on repurchase of preferred stock, net of issuance costs | | | | (27,222) |
| Total net income allocable to preferred shareholders | 12,723 | 11,155 | 23,878 | (4,871) |
| Restricted stock unit holders: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | 37 | 44 | 67 | 254 |
| Discontinued operations | | 8 | 17 | 9 |
| Total net income allocable to restricted stock unit holders | 37 | 52 | 84 | 263 |
| Total net income allocable to PS Business Parks, Inc. | 21,989 | 19,359 | 44,936 | 36,149 |
| | \$ 26,490 | \$ 23,640 | \$ 54,331 | \$ 45,339 |

Table of Contents*Net income per common share*

Per share amounts are computed using the number of weighted average common shares outstanding. Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding includes the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock units under the treasury stock method. Basic weighted average common shares outstanding excludes such effect. The Company's restricted stock units are participating securities and included in the computation of basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding. The Company's allocation of net income to the restricted stock unit holders are paid non-forfeitable dividends in excess of the expense recorded which results in a reduction in net income allocable to common shareholders and unit holders. Earnings per share has been calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income allocable to common shareholders | \$ 9,229 | \$ 8,152 | \$ 20,974 | \$ 40,757 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 24,524 | 20,531 | 24,469 | 20,501 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock compensation based on treasury stock method using average market price | 145 | 121 | 142 | 104 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 24,669 | 20,652 | 24,611 | 20,605 |
| Net income per common share Basic | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 1.99 |
| Net income per common share Diluted | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.98 |

Options to purchase 78,000 and 210,000 shares for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because such options were considered anti-dilutive. Options to purchase 78,000 and 220,000 shares for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because such options were considered anti-dilutive.

Segment reporting

The Company views its operations as one segment.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the consolidated financial statements for 2009 in order to conform to the 2010 presentation.

3. Real estate facilities

The activity in real estate facilities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

| | Land | Buildings and Equipment | Accumulated Depreciation | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balances at December 31, 2009 | \$ 493,709 | \$ 1,528,044 | \$ (707,209) | \$ 1,314,544 |
| Acquisition of real estate facilities | 13,822 | 106,740 | | 120,562 |
| Capital improvements | | 17,709 | | 17,709 |
| Disposals | | (3,340) | 3,340 | |
| Depreciation expense | | | (36,856) | (36,856) |
| Balances at June 30, 2010 | \$ 507,531 | \$ 1,649,153 | \$ (740,725) | \$ 1,415,959 |

On June 30, 2010, the Company acquired a two-building multi-tenant office park, known as Tycon II and Tycon III, aggregating 270,000 square feet in Tysons Corner, Virginia, for \$35.4 million.

On June 18, 2010, the Company acquired Parklawn Business Park, a 232,000 square foot multi-tenant office and flex business park located in Rockville, Maryland, for \$23.4 million.

Table of Contents

On April 21, 2010, the Company acquired a portfolio of assets in Austin, Texas, aggregating 704,000 square feet of multi-tenant flex business parks for \$42.9 million. In connection with the purchase, the Company received a \$256,000 credit for committed tenant improvements.

On March 16, 2010, the Company acquired Shady Grove Executive Center, a 350,000 square foot multi-tenant office business park located in Rockville, Maryland, for \$60.0 million. In connection with the purchase, the Company received a \$1.6 million credit for committed tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

In connection with the 2010 acquisitions, the Company incurred acquisition transaction costs of \$787,000 and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company did not acquire any assets or assume any liabilities during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The following table summarizes the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during the six months ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

| | |
|---|------------|
| Land | \$ 13,822 |
| Buildings and equipment | 106,740 |
| Above-market in-place lease value | 5,468 |
| Below-market in-place lease value | (1,404) |
| Total purchase price | 124,626 |
| Net operating assets acquired and liabilities assumed | (1,044) |
| Total cash paid | \$ 123,582 |

The purchase price of acquired properties is allocated to land, buildings and equipment and intangible assets and liabilities associated with in-place leases (including tenant improvements, unamortized lease commissions, value of above-market and below-market leases, acquired in-place lease values, and tenant relationships, if any) based on their respective estimated fair values. In addition, beginning January 1, 2009, acquisition-related costs are recognized separately and expensed as incurred.

In determining the fair value of the tangible assets of the acquired properties, management considers the value of the properties as if vacant as of the acquisition date. Management must make significant assumptions in determining the value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Using different assumptions in the allocation of the purchase cost of the acquired properties would affect the timing of recognition of the related revenue and expenses. Amounts allocated to land are derived from comparable sales of land within the same region. Amounts allocated to buildings and improvements, tenant improvements and unamortized lease commissions are based on current market replacement costs and other market information. The amount allocated to acquired in-place leases is determined based on management's assessment of current market conditions and the estimated lease-up periods for the respective spaces.

During January, 2010, the Company completed the sale of a 131,000 square foot office building located in Houston, Texas, for a gross sales price of \$10.0 million, resulting in a net gain of \$5.2 million.

The following summarizes the condensed results of operations for the property sold during the first quarter of 2010 (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Rental income | \$ | \$ 601 | \$ 91 | \$ 1,216 |
| Cost of operations | | (298) | (57) | (617) |
| Depreciation | | (127) | | (256) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ | \$ 176 | \$ 34 | \$ 343 |

Table of Contents

In addition to minimum rental payments, tenants reimburse the Company for their pro rata share of specified operating expenses, which amounted to \$16,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Reimbursements were \$66,000 and \$153,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. These amounts are included as rental income in the table presented above.

During May, 2009, the Company sold 3.4 acres of land held for development in Portland, Oregon, for a gross sales price of \$2.7 million, resulting in a net gain of \$1.5 million.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has a development in progress on a parcel within its Miami International Commerce Center in Miami, Florida, which upon completion is expected to comprise 75,000 square feet of leasable small-bay industrial space. As of June 30, 2010, \$3.8 million of the estimated \$5.4 million has been expended for the development. The construction is scheduled to be completed in the third quarter of 2010.

4. Leasing activity

The Company leases space in its real estate facilities to tenants primarily under non-cancelable leases generally ranging from one to 10 years. Future minimum rental revenues excluding recovery of operating expenses as of June 30, 2010 under these leases are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2010 | \$ 105,933 |
| 2011 | 178,380 |
| 2012 | 127,257 |
| 2013 | 83,926 |
| 2014 | 51,949 |
| Thereafter | 107,858 |
| Total | \$ 655,303 |

In addition to minimum rental payments, certain tenants reimburse the Company for their pro rata share of specified operating expenses. Such reimbursements amounted to \$14.2 million and \$13.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$28.6 million and \$27.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts are included as rental income in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Leases accounting for 5.7% of total leased square footage are subject to termination options which include leases accounting for 2.2% of total leased square footage having termination options exercisable through December 31, 2010. In general, these leases provide for termination payments should the termination options be exercised. The above table is prepared assuming such options are not exercised.

5. Bank loans

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company extended the term of its line of credit (the Credit Facility) with Wells Fargo Bank to August 1, 2012. The Credit Facility has a borrowing limit of \$100.0 million. Interest on outstanding borrowings is payable monthly. The rate of interest charged is equal to a rate ranging from the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.60% to LIBOR plus 2.60% depending on the Company's credit ratings and coverage ratios, as defined (currently LIBOR plus 2.00%). In addition, the Company is required to pay an annual commitment fee ranging from 0.15% to 0.40% of the borrowing limit (currently 0.25%). The Company had no balance outstanding on its Credit Facility at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The Credit Facility requires the Company to meet certain covenants, with which the Company was in compliance at June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**6. Mortgage notes payable**

Mortgage notes consist of the following (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 5.73% mortgage note, secured by one commercial property with a net book value of \$28.7 million, principal and interest payable monthly, due March, 2013 | \$ 13,868 | \$ 14,006 |
| 6.15% mortgage note, secured by one commercial property with a net book value of \$27.5 million, principal and interest payable monthly, due November, 2031 ⁽¹⁾ | 16,201 | 16,446 |
| 5.52% mortgage note, secured by one commercial property with a net book value of \$15.6 million, principal and interest payable monthly, due May, 2013 | 9,697 | 9,819 |
| 5.68% mortgage note, secured by one commercial property with a net book value of \$17.3 million, principal and interest payable monthly, due May, 2013 | 9,717 | 9,836 |
| 5.61% mortgage note, secured by one commercial property with a net book value of \$5.7 million, principal and interest payable monthly, due January, 2011 ⁽²⁾ | 2,724 | 2,780 |
| Total | \$ 52,207 | \$ 52,887 |

(1) The mortgage note has a stated principal balance of \$15.9 million and a stated interest rate of 7.20%. Based on the fair market value at the time of assumption, a mortgage premium was computed based on an effective interest rate of 6.15%. The unamortized premiums were \$320,000 and \$427,000 as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. This mortgage is repayable

without penalty
beginning
November,
2011.

- (2) The mortgage note has a stated principal balance of \$2.7 million and a stated interest rate of 7.61%. Based on the fair market value at the time of assumption, a mortgage premium was computed based on an effective interest rate of 5.61%. The unamortized premiums were \$40,000 and \$73,000 as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

At June 30, 2010, mortgage notes payable had a weighted average interest rate of 5.8% and a weighted average maturity of 8.5 years with principal payments as follows (in thousands):

| | | |
|------------|----|--------|
| 2010 | \$ | 696 |
| 2011 | | 3,984 |
| 2012 | | 1,174 |
| 2013 | | 31,573 |
| 2014 | | 371 |
| Thereafter | | 14,409 |
| Total | \$ | 52,207 |

7. Noncontrolling interests

As described in Note 2, the Company reports noncontrolling interests within equity in the consolidated financial statements, but separate from the Company's shareholders' equity. In addition, net income allocable to noncontrolling interests is shown as a reduction from net income in calculating net income allocable to common shareholders.

Common partnership units

The Company presents the accounts of PSB and the Operating Partnership on a consolidated basis. Ownership interests in the Operating Partnership that can be redeemed for common stock, other than PSB's interest, are classified as noncontrolling interests' common units in the consolidated financial statements. Net income allocable

Table of Contents

to noncontrolling interests common units consists of the common units share of the consolidated operating results after allocation to preferred units and shares. Beginning one year from the date of admission as a limited partner (common units) and subject to certain limitations described below, each limited partner other than PSB has the right to require the redemption of its partnership interest.

A limited partner (common units) that exercises its redemption right will receive cash from the Operating Partnership in an amount equal to the market value (as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement) of the partnership interests redeemed. In lieu of the Operating Partnership redeeming the partner for cash, PSB, as general partner, has the right to elect to acquire the partnership interest directly from a limited partner exercising its redemption right, in exchange for cash in the amount specified above or by issuance of one share of PSB common stock for each unit of limited partnership interest redeemed.

A limited partner (common units) cannot exercise its redemption right if delivery of shares of PSB common stock would be prohibited under the applicable articles of incorporation, or if the general partner believes that there is a risk that delivery of shares of common stock would cause the general partner to no longer qualify as a REIT, would cause a violation of the applicable securities laws, or would result in the Operating Partnership no longer being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

At June 30, 2010, there were 7,305,355 common units owned by PS, which are accounted for as noncontrolling interests. On a fully converted basis, assuming all 7,305,355 noncontrolling interests common units were converted into shares of common stock of PSB at June 30, 2010, the noncontrolling interests common units would convert into 22.9% of the common shares outstanding. Combined with PS's common stock ownership, on a fully converted basis, PS has a combined ownership of 41.1% of the Company's common equity. At the end of each reporting period, the Company determines the amount of equity (book value of net assets) which is allocable to the noncontrolling interest based upon the ownership interest, and an adjustment is made to the noncontrolling interest, with a corresponding adjustment to paid-in capital, to reflect the noncontrolling interests equity interest in the Company.

Preferred partnership units

Through the Operating Partnership, the Company had the following preferred units outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| Series | Issuance Date | Earliest Potential Redemption Date | Dividend Rate | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|----------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | Units Outstanding | Amount (in thousands) | Units Outstanding | Amount (in thousands) |
| Series J | May & June, 2004 | May, 2009 | 7.500% | 1,710,000 | \$ 42,750 | 1,710,000 | \$ 42,750 |
| Series N | December, 2005 | December, 2010 | 7.125% | 223,300 | 5,583 | 223,300 | 5,583 |
| Series Q | March, 2007 | March, 2012 | 6.550% | 203,400 | 5,085 | 203,400 | 5,085 |
| Series G | October, 2002 | October, 2007 | 7.950% | | | 800,000 | 20,000 |
| Total | | | | 2,136,700 | \$ 53,418 | 2,936,700 | \$ 73,418 |

On May 12, 2010, the Company redeemed 800,000 units of its 7.950% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units for \$20.0 million. The Company reported the excess of the redemption amount over the carrying amount of \$582,000, equal to the original issuance costs, as a reduction of net income allocable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid \$12.3 million to repurchase 853,300 units of various series of Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units for a weighted average purchase price of \$14.46 per unit. The purchase price

discount, equaling the liquidation value of \$25.00 per unit over the weighted average purchase price of \$14.46 per unit, was added to net income allocable to common shareholders, net of the original issue discount.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership has the right to redeem preferred units on or after the fifth anniversary of the applicable issuance date at the original capital contribution plus the cumulative priority return, as defined, to the redemption date to the extent not previously distributed. The preferred units are exchangeable for Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock of the respective series of PSB on or after the tenth anniversary of the date of issuance at the option of the Operating Partnership or a majority of the holders of the respective preferred units. The Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock will have the same distribution rate and par value as the corresponding preferred units and will otherwise have equivalent terms to the other series of preferred stock described in Note 9. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$1.5 million of deferred costs in connection with the issuance of preferred units, which the Company will report as additional distributions upon notice of redemption.

8. Related party transactions

Concurrent with the public offering, as discussed in Note 9, the Company sold 383,333 shares of common stock to PS for net proceeds of \$17.8 million in 2009.

Pursuant to a cost sharing and administrative services agreement, the Company shares costs with PS and its affiliated entities for certain administrative services, which are allocated among PS and its affiliates in accordance with a methodology intended to fairly allocate those costs. These costs totaled \$112,000 and \$93,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$319,000 and \$186,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Operating Partnership manages industrial, office and retail facilities for PS and its affiliated entities. These facilities, all located in the United States, operate under the Public Storage or PS Business Parks names. The PS Business Parks name and logo is owned by PS and licensed to the Company under a non-exclusive, royalty-free license agreement. The license can be terminated by either party for any reason with six months written notice.

Under the property management contracts, the Operating Partnership is compensated based on a percentage of the gross revenues of the facilities managed. Under the supervision of the property owners, the Operating Partnership coordinates rental policies, rent collections, marketing activities, the purchase of equipment and supplies, maintenance activities, and the selection and engagement of vendors, suppliers and independent contractors. In addition, the Operating Partnership assists and advises the property owners in establishing policies for the hire, discharge and supervision of employees for the operation of these facilities, including property managers and leasing, billing and maintenance personnel.

The property management contract with PS is for a seven-year term with the agreement automatically extending for an additional one-year period upon each one-year anniversary of its commencement (unless cancelled by either party). Either party can give notice of its intent to cancel the agreement upon expiration of its current term. Management fee revenues under these contracts were \$163,000 and \$173,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$336,000 and \$350,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

In December, 2006, PS began providing property management services for the mini storage component of two assets owned by the Company. These mini storage facilities, located in Palm Beach County, Florida, operate under the Public Storage name.

Under the property management contracts, PS is compensated based on a percentage of the gross revenues of the facilities managed. Under the supervision of the Company, PS coordinates rental policies, rent collections, marketing activities, the purchase of equipment and supplies, maintenance activities, and the selection and engagement of vendors, suppliers and independent contractors. In addition, PS assists and advises the Company in establishing policies for the hire, discharge and supervision of employees for the operation of these facilities, including on-site managers, assistant managers and associate managers.

Table of Contents

Either the Company or PS can cancel the property management contract upon 60 days notice. Management fee expenses under the contract were \$12,000 and \$10,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$23,000 and \$27,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company had amounts due from PS of \$134,000 and \$396,000 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, for these contracts, as well as for certain operating expenses paid by the Company on behalf of PS.

9. Shareholders equity*Preferred stock*

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had the following series of preferred stock outstanding:

| Series | Issuance Date | Earliest Potential Redemption Date | Dividend Rate | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|----------|----------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Shares Outstanding | Amount (in thousands) | Shares Outstanding | Amount (in thousands) |
| Series H | January & October, 2004 | January, 2009 | 7.000% | 6,340,776 | \$ 158,520 | 6,340,776 | \$ 158,520 |
| Series I | April, 2004 | April, 2009 | 6.875% | 2,745,050 | 68,626 | 2,745,050 | 68,626 |
| Series L | August, 2004 | August, 2009 | 7.600% | 1,935,000 | 48,375 | 1,935,000 | 48,375 |
| Series M | May, 2005 | May, 2010 | 7.200% | 3,182,000 | 79,550 | 3,182,000 | 79,550 |
| Series O | June & August, 2006 | June, 2011 | 7.375% | 3,384,000 | 84,600 | 3,384,000 | 84,600 |
| Series P | January, 2007 | January, 2012 | 6.700% | 5,290,000 | 132,250 | 5,290,000 | 132,250 |
| Series K | June, 2004 | June, 2009 | 7.950% | | | 2,165,000 | 54,125 |
| Total | | | | 22,876,826 | \$ 571,921 | 25,041,826 | \$ 626,046 |

On June 7, 2010, the Company redeemed 2,165,000 depositary shares, each representing 1/1,000 of a share of 7.950% Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series K, for \$54.1 million. The Company reported the excess of the redemption amount over the carrying amount of \$1.9 million, equal to the original issuance costs, as a reduction of net income allocable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company paid \$50.2 million to repurchase 3,208,174 depositary shares, each representing 1/1,000 of a share of various series of Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for a weighted average purchase price of \$15.65 per depositary share. The purchase price discount, equaling the liquidation value of \$25.00 per depositary share over the weighted average purchase price per depositary share of \$15.65, was added to net income allocable to common shareholders, net of the original issue discount.

The Company paid \$10.9 million and \$11.2 million in distributions to its preferred shareholders for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The Company paid \$22.0 million and \$22.4 million in distributions to its preferred shareholders for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Holders of the Company's preferred stock will not be entitled to vote on most matters, except under certain conditions. In the event of a cumulative arrearage equal to six quarterly dividends, the holders of the preferred stock will have the right to elect two additional members to serve on the Company's Board of Directors until all events of default have

been cured.

Except under certain conditions relating to the Company's qualification as a REIT, the preferred stock is not redeemable prior to the previously noted redemption dates. On or after the respective redemption dates, the respective series of preferred stock will be redeemable, at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at \$25.00 per depositary share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$18.8 million of deferred costs in connection with the issuance of preferred stock, which the Company will report as additional non-cash distributions upon notice of its intent to redeem such shares.

Table of Contents

Common stock

On August 14, 2009, the Company closed the sale of 3,450,000 shares of common stock in a public offering and concurrently sold 383,333 shares of common stock to PS. The aggregate net proceeds were \$171.2 million.

The Company's Board of Directors previously authorized the repurchase, from time to time, of up to 6.5 million shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. Since inception of the program, the Company has repurchased an aggregate of 4.3 million shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$152.8 million or an average cost per share of \$35.84. Under existing board authorizations, the Company can repurchase an additional 2.2 million shares. No shares of common stock were repurchased under this program during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

The Company paid \$10.8 million (\$0.44 per common share) and \$9.0 million (\$0.44 per common share) in distributions to its common shareholders for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$21.6 million (\$0.88 per common share) and \$18.0 million (\$0.88 per common share) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Equity Stock

In addition to common and preferred stock, the Company is authorized to issue 100.0 million shares of Equity Stock. The Articles of Incorporation provide that the Equity Stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series and give the Board of Directors broad authority to fix the dividend and distribution rights, conversion and voting rights, redemption provisions and liquidation rights of each series of Equity Stock.

10. Commitments and contingencies

The Company currently is neither subject to any material litigation nor, to management's knowledge, is any material litigation currently threatened against the Company other than routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

11. Stock compensation

PSB has a 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "1997 Plan") and a 2003 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the "2003 Plan"), each covering 1.5 million shares of PSB's common stock. Under the 1997 Plan and 2003 Plan, PSB has granted non-qualified options to certain directors, officers and key employees to purchase shares of PSB's common stock at a price no less than the fair market value of the common stock at the date of grant. Additionally, under the 1997 Plan and 2003 Plan, PSB has granted restricted stock units to officers and key employees.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$6.08 per share and \$4.14 per share, respectively. The Company has calculated the fair value of each option grant on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions used for grants during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively: a dividend yield of 3.3% and 4.4%; expected volatility of 17.5% and 19.4%; expected life of five years; and risk-free interest rates of 2.4% and 2.0%.

The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$52.35 and \$35.00, respectively. The Company calculated the fair value of each restricted stock unit grant using the market value on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2010, there were a combined total of 887,000 options and restricted stock units authorized to grant. Information with respect to outstanding options and nonvested restricted stock units granted under the 1997 Plan and 2003 Plan is as follows:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Options: | | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 542,752 | \$ 39.43 | | |
| Granted | 281,000 | \$ 52.68 | | |
| Exercised | (181,036) | \$ 32.56 | | |
| Forfeited | (2,000) | \$ 68.90 | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | 640,716 | \$ 47.09 | 6.61 Years | \$ 6,152 |
| Exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 301,716 | \$ 40.94 | 3.61 Years | \$ 4,773 |

| | Number of Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Restricted Stock Units: | | |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2009 | 119,091 | \$ 53.64 |
| Granted | 6,500 | \$ 52.35 |
| Vested | (31,797) | \$ 56.37 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Nonvested at June 30, 2010 | 93,794 | \$ 52.63 |

Included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$147,000 and \$142,000, respectively, in net compensation expense related to stock options. Net compensation expense of \$241,000 and \$264,000 related to stock options was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net compensation expense of \$334,000 and \$415,000 related to restricted stock units was recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net compensation expense of \$813,000 and \$1.3 million related to restricted stock units was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of June 30, 2010, there was \$1.9 million of unamortized compensation expense related to stock options expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4.3 years. As of June 30, 2010, there was \$3.2 million of unamortized compensation expense related to restricted stock units expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.

Cash received from 181,036 stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$5.9 million. Cash received from 22,100 stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$678,000. The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3.7 million and \$312,000, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 31,797 restricted stock units vested; in settlement of these units, 20,015 shares were issued, net of shares applied to payroll taxes. The aggregate fair value of the shares vested for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.7 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, 101,817 restricted stock units vested; in settlement of these units, 63,495 shares were issued, net of shares applied to payroll taxes. The

aggregate fair value of the shares vested for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$3.6 million.

In May of 2004, the shareholders of the Company approved the issuance of up to 70,000 shares of common stock under the Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the Director Plan). Under the Director Plan, the Company grants 1,000 shares of common stock for each year served as a director up to a maximum of 5,000 shares issued upon retirement. The Company recognizes compensation expense with regards to grants to be issued in the future under the Director Plan. As a result, included in the Company's consolidated statements of income was \$39,000 and \$68,000 in compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$81,000 and \$99,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, there was \$411,000 and \$321,000, respectively, of unamortized compensation expense related to these shares.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements: Forward-looking statements are made throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. For this purpose, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words *may*, *believes*, *anticipates*, *plans*, *expects*, *seeks*, *estimates*, *intends*, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause the results of the Company to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements, including those detailed under the heading *Item 1A. Risk Factors* in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved. Moreover, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting such forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

Overview

As of June 30, 2010, the Company owned and operated 20.7 million rentable square feet of multi-tenant flex, industrial and office properties located in eight states.

The Company focuses on increasing profitability and cash flow aimed at maximizing shareholder value. The Company strives to maintain high occupancy levels while increasing rental rates when market conditions allow, although the Company may decrease rental rates in markets where conditions require. The Company also acquires properties it believes will create long-term value, and from time to time disposes of properties which no longer fit within the Company's strategic objectives or in situations where the Company believes it can optimize cash proceeds. Operating results are driven by income from rental operations and are therefore substantially influenced by rental demand for space within our properties and rental rates.

During the first six months of 2010, the Company successfully leased or re-leased 2.8 million square feet of space while experiencing a decrease in rental rates. Total net operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$496,000, or 0.5%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. See further discussion of operating results below.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates:

Our accounting policies are described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q. We believe our most critical accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, property acquisitions, allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of long-lived assets, depreciation, accruals of operating expenses and accruals for contingencies, each of which we discuss below.

Revenue Recognition: The Company must meet four basic criteria before revenue can be recognized: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; the delivery has occurred or services rendered; the fee is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. All leases are classified as operating leases. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the leases. Straight-line rent is recognized for all tenants with contractual fixed increases in rent that are not included on the Company's credit watch list. Deferred rent receivable represents rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis in excess of billed rents. Reimbursements from tenants for real estate taxes and other recoverable operating expenses are recognized as rental income in the period the applicable costs are incurred. Property management fees are recognized in the period earned.

Property Acquisitions: The Company allocates the purchase price of acquired properties to land, buildings and equipment and intangible assets and liabilities associated with in-place leases (including tenant improvements, unamortized lease commissions, value of above-market and below-market leases, acquired in-place lease values, and tenant relationships, if any) based on their respective estimated fair values. In addition, beginning January 1, 2009, acquisition-related costs are recognized separately and expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

In determining the fair value of the tangible assets of the acquired properties, management considers the value of the properties as if vacant as of the acquisition date. Management must make significant assumptions in determining the value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Using different assumptions in the allocation of the purchase cost of the acquired properties would affect the timing of recognition of the related revenue and expenses. Amounts allocated to land are derived from comparable sales of land within the same region. Amounts allocated to buildings and improvements, tenant improvements and unamortized lease commissions are based on current market replacement costs and other market rate information.

The value allocable to the above-market or below-market in-place lease values of acquired properties is determined based upon the present value (using a discount rate which reflects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between (i) the contractual rents to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases, and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The amounts allocated to above-market or below-market leases are included in other assets or other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are amortized on a straight-line basis as an increase or reduction of rental income over the remaining non-cancelable term of the respective leases.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts: Rental revenue from our tenants is our principal source of revenue. We monitor the collectability of our receivable balances including the deferred rent receivable on an ongoing basis. Based on these reviews, we maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the possible inability of our tenants to make required rent payments to us. Tenant receivables and deferred rent receivables are carried net of the allowances for uncollectible tenant receivables and deferred rent. As discussed below, determination of the adequacy of these allowances requires significant judgments and estimates. Our estimate of the required allowance is subject to revision as the factors discussed below change and is sensitive to the effect of economic and market conditions on our tenants.

Tenant receivables consist primarily of amounts due for contractual lease payments, reimbursements of common area maintenance expenses, property taxes and other expenses recoverable from tenants. Determination of the adequacy of the allowance for uncollectible current tenant receivables is performed using a methodology that incorporates specific identification, aging analysis, an overall evaluation of the historical loss trends and the current economic and business environment. The specific identification methodology relies on factors such as the age and nature of the receivables, the payment history and financial condition of the tenant, the assessment of the tenant's ability to meet its lease obligations, and the status of negotiations of any disputes with the tenant. The allowance also includes a reserve based on historical loss trends not associated with any specific tenant. This reserve as well as the specific identification reserve is reevaluated quarterly based on economic conditions and the current business environment.

Deferred rent receivable represents the amount that the cumulative straight-line rental income recorded to date exceeds cash rents billed to date under the lease agreement. Given the long-term nature of these types of receivables, determination of the adequacy of the allowance for unbilled deferred rent receivable is based primarily on historical loss experience. Management evaluates the allowance for unbilled deferred rent receivable using a specific identification methodology for significant tenants designed to assess their financial condition and ability to meet their lease obligations.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: The Company evaluates a property for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our entire portfolio for impairment based on current operating information. In the event that these periodic assessments reflect that the carrying amount of a property exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest) that are expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the property, the Company would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeded the estimated fair value of the property. The estimation of expected future net cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on

Table of Contents

subjective assumptions dependent upon future and current market conditions and events that affect the ultimate value of the property. Management must make assumptions related to the property such as future rental rates, tenant allowances, operating expenditures, property taxes, capital improvements, occupancy levels and the estimated proceeds generated from the future sale of the property. These assumptions could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Our intent to hold properties over the long-term directly decreases the likelihood of recording an impairment loss. If our strategy changes or if market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss could be recognized, and such loss could be material.

Depreciation: We compute depreciation on our buildings and equipment using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives of generally 30 and five years, respectively. A significant portion of the acquisition cost of each property is allocated to building and building components. The allocation of the acquisition cost to building and building components, as well as the determination of their useful lives, are based on estimates. If we do not appropriately allocate to these components or we incorrectly estimate the useful lives of these components, our computation of depreciation expense may not appropriately reflect the actual impact of these costs over future periods, which will affect net income. In addition, the net book value of real estate assets could be overstated or understated. The statement of cash flows, however, would not be affected.

Accruals of Operating Expenses: The Company accrues for property tax expenses, performance bonuses and other operating expenses each quarter based on historical trends and anticipated disbursements. If these estimates are incorrect, the timing and amount of expense recognized will be affected.

Accruals for Contingencies: The Company is exposed to business and legal liability risks with respect to events that may have occurred, but in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) has not accrued for such potential liabilities because the loss is either not probable or not estimable. Future events and the result of pending litigation could result in such potential losses becoming probable and estimable, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Effect of Economic Conditions on the Company's Operations:

During the first six months of 2010, the impact of the recession and weak economic conditions continued to affect commercial real estate negatively as the Company experienced a decrease in new rental rates over expiring rental rates on executed leases. Although it is uncertain what impact the current economic conditions will have on the Company's ability to maintain current occupancy levels and rental rates, management expects that the decrease in rental rates on new and renewal transactions will result in a decrease in rental income for 2010 when compared to 2009. The current economic condition may have a significant impact on the Company, potentially resulting in further reductions in occupancy and rental rates.

While the Company historically has experienced a low level of write-offs due to bankruptcy, there is inherent uncertainty in a tenant's ability to continue paying rent when in bankruptcy. As of July 31, 2010, the Company had 17,000 square feet of leased space occupied by tenants that are protected by Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. In addition, the Company had tenants occupying 297,000 square feet who vacated their space during the six months ended June 30, 2010 prior to their scheduled lease expiration as a result of business failures. As of June 30, 2010, 119,000 square feet of the vacated square feet, or approximately 40%, has been re-leased. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, write-offs of unpaid rents were \$734,000 and \$667,000, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we also recorded \$716,000 of accelerated depreciation expense related to unamortized tenant improvements and lease commissions for leases terminated prior to their scheduled expiration. A number of other tenants have contacted us, requesting early termination of their lease, a reduction in space under lease, or rent deferment or abatement. At this time, the Company cannot anticipate what impact, if any, the ultimate outcome of these discussions will have on our future operating results.

Table of Contents

Company Performance and Effect of Economic Conditions on Primary Markets:

The Company's operations are substantially concentrated in 10 regions. Current market conditions for each region are summarized below. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, rental rates on new and renewed leases within the Company's overall portfolio decreased 15.4% over expiring rents. The Company's overall vacancy rate at June 30, 2010 was 8.6%, down from 10.7% as of June 30, 2009. Below is a summary of the general market conditions as well as the Company's operating statistics for each of the 10 regions in which the Company operates. The Company has compiled the market information set forth below using third party reports for each respective market. The Company considers these sources to be reliable, but there can be no assurance that the information in these reports is accurate.

The Company owns 4.0 million square feet in Southern California located in Los Angeles, Orange and San Diego Counties. Market vacancies have increased due to the continued weakness in the economy and the resulting job losses combined with the lack of credit availability and its effect on businesses. These factors have created significantly more competition for tenants, which in turn has placed pressure on occupancy and rental rates. Vacancy rates in Southern California range from 4.7% to 17.6%. The Company's vacancy rate in this region at June 30, 2010 was 6.1%. Although the overall market experienced negative net absorption of 0.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's weighted average occupancy for the region increased from 90.4% for the first six months of 2009 to 92.7% for the first six months of 2010. However, annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 7.4% from \$17.22 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$15.94 per square foot for the first six months of 2010 as the Company reduced rental rates in an effort to maintain and grow occupancy.

The Company owns 1.8 million square feet in Northern California with concentrations in Sacramento, the East Bay (Hayward and San Ramon) and Silicon Valley (San Jose and Santa Clara). Vacancy rates in these submarkets are 23.2%, 21.4% and 19.3%, respectively. The Company's vacancy rate in its Northern California portfolio at June 30, 2010 was 7.5%. During the first six months of 2010, demand in these submarkets remained slow. Company consolidations continued to be the trend in this submarket, which negatively impacted both rental and occupancy rates. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the combined submarkets experienced negative net absorption of 0.4%. The Company's weighted average occupancy in this region increased from 86.7% for the first six months of 2009 to 89.0% for the first six months of 2010. However, annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 10.9% from \$13.72 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$12.23 per square foot for the first six months of 2010 as the Company reduced rental rates in an effort to maintain occupancy.

The Company owns 1.7 million square feet in Southern Texas, specifically in the Austin and Houston markets. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company acquired a portfolio of assets in Austin aggregating 704,000 square feet of multi-tenant flex business parks. Market vacancy rates are 14.2% in the Austin market and 16.4% in the Houston market. The Company's vacancy rate for these combined markets at June 30, 2010 was 12.5%. During the first six months of 2010, demand remained flat in these markets. The combined markets experienced positive net absorption of 0.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as opposed to the net negative absorption experienced in 2009. The Company's weighted average occupancy in this region increased from 84.8% for the first six months of 2009 to 86.1% for the first six months of 2010. The increase in weighted average occupancy was a result of current year acquisition with a higher weighted average occupancy of 89.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Weighted average occupancy for the Company's Same Park portfolio for this market increased from 84.8% for the first six months of 2009 to 85.1% for the first six months of 2010. Including current year acquisition, annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 4.5% from \$11.36 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$10.85 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

The Company owns 1.7 million square feet in Northern Texas, primarily located in the Dallas Metroplex market. The market vacancy rate in Las Colinas, where significant concentration of the Company's Northern Texas portfolio is located, is 11.1%. The Company's vacancy rate at June 30, 2010 in this market was 7.5%. During the first six months of 2010, this market showed signs of slow recovery from the impact of the national recession. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the market experienced negative net absorption of 0.4%. The Company's weighted average occupancy for the region decreased from 91.8% for the first six months of 2009 to 91.7% for the first six months of 2010. Despite the decrease in weighted average occupancy, annualized realized rent per square foot increased 2.2% from \$10.62 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$10.85 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

as rental rates increased modestly on in-place leases partially offset by rental rate reductions on new and renewed leases.

Table of Contents

\

The Company owns 3.6 million square feet in South Florida, which consists of Miami International Commerce Center (MICC) business park located in the Airport West submarket of Miami-Dade County and two multi-tenant flex parks located in Palm Beach County. MICC is located less than one mile from the cargo entrance of the Miami International Airport, which is one of the most active cargo airports in the United States. The effect of the economic recession on the import/export business has had a measurable negative impact on the overall Miami market. Market vacancy rates for Miami-Dade County and Palm Beach County are 11.8% and 10.9%, respectively, compared to the Company's vacancy rate for Miami-Dade County and Palm Beach County of 4.2% and 10.5%, respectively, at June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the combined markets experienced positive net absorption of 0.6%. The Company's weighted average occupancy remained flat at 95.4% for the first six months of 2009 and 2010. Annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 4.3% from \$9.45 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$9.04 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

The Company owns 3.0 million square feet in the Northern Virginia submarket of Washington D.C., where the average market vacancy rate is 14.2%. The Company's vacancy rate at June 30, 2010 was 7.4%. During the first six months of 2010, the market experienced an increase in activity across all tenant sizes. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the market experienced positive net absorption of 0.2%. The Company's weighted average occupancy increased from 93.2% for the first six months of 2009 to 94.1% for the first six months of 2010. However, annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 0.4% from \$20.84 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$20.75 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

The Company owns 2.4 million square feet in the Maryland submarket of Washington D.C. During the first half of 2010, the Company acquired Shady Grove Executive Center, a 350,000 square foot multi-tenant office business park, and Parklawn Business Park, a 232,000 square foot multi-tenant office and flex business park, each located in Rockville, Maryland. The Company's vacancy rate in the region at June 30, 2010 was 12.9% compared to 14.8% for the market as a whole. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the market experienced negative net absorption of 0.1%, which is attributed to a decrease in transactions for government tenants. The Company's weighted average occupancy decreased from 92.0% for the first six months of 2009 to 90.9% for the first six months of 2010. The decrease in weighted average occupancy was a result of current year acquisitions with a combined lower weighted average occupancy of 75.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Weighted average occupancy for the Company's Same Park portfolio for this market increased from 92.0% for the first six months of 2009 to 92.9% for the first six months of 2010. Including current year acquisitions, annualized realized rent per square foot increased 2.4% from \$23.77 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$24.35 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

The Company owns 1.3 million square feet in the Beaverton submarket of Portland, Oregon. The submarket vacancy rate in this region is 26.3%. The Company's vacancy rate in the submarket was 15.6% at June 30, 2010. The economic recession has resulted in higher vacancy rates and increased rent concessions in the market. Although the market experienced negative net absorption of 1.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company's weighted average occupancy increased from 80.3% for the first six months of 2009 to 82.9% for the first six months of 2010. Annualized realized rent per square foot increased 1.2% from \$16.54 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$16.74 per square foot for the first six months of 2010.

The Company owns 679,000 square feet in the Phoenix and Tempe submarkets of Arizona. During 2010, national and local economies continue to impact the submarket negatively. Market vacancies increased significantly in 2009 due in part to companies contracting and reorganizing business operations in the market, which has had a negative impact on rental rates. The submarket vacancy rate is 16.0% compared to the Company's vacancy rate of 12.9% at June 30, 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the market experienced positive net absorption of 0.2% as opposed to the net negative absorption experienced in 2009. The Company's weighted average occupancy in the region decreased from 86.9% for the first six months of 2009 to 84.3% for the first six months of 2010. Annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 7.1% from \$10.89 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$10.12 per square foot for the first six months of 2010 as rental rate decreased on new and renewed leases.

Table of Contents

The Company owns 521,000 square feet in the state of Washington which mostly consists of Overlake Business Center, a 493,000 square foot multi-tenant office and flex business park located in Redmond. The weakened global and local economies slowdown has resulted in a softened demand in this market. The market vacancy rate is 14.3%. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, this market experienced negative net absorption of 1.5%. The Company's vacancy rate in this region at June 30, 2010 was 11.0%. The Company's weighted average occupancy decreased from 90.8% for the first six months of 2009 to 88.2% for the first six months of 2010. Annualized realized rent per square foot decreased 9.4% from \$19.77 per square foot for the first six months of 2009 to \$17.92 per square foot for the first six months of 2010 as rental rate decreased on new and renewed leases.

Growth of the Company's Operations and Acquisitions and Dispositions of Properties:

The Company is focused on maximizing cash flow from its existing portfolio of properties by looking for opportunities to expand its presence in existing and new markets through strategic acquisitions. The Company will from time to time dispose of non-strategic assets that do not meet this criterion. The Company has historically maintained a low-leverage-level approach intended to provide the Company with the greatest level of flexibility for future growth.

On June 30, 2010, the Company acquired a two-building multi-tenant office park, known as Tycon II and Tycon III, aggregating 270,000 square feet in Tysons Corner, Virginia, for \$35.4 million.

On June 18, 2010, the Company acquired Parklawn Business Park, a 232,000 square foot multi-tenant office and flex business park located in Rockville, Maryland, for \$23.4 million.

On April 21, 2010, the Company acquired a portfolio of assets in Austin, Texas, aggregating 704,000 square feet of multi-tenant flex business parks for \$42.9 million. In connection with the purchase, the Company received a \$256,000 credit for committed tenant improvements.

On March 16, 2010, the Company acquired Shady Grove Executive Center, a 350,000 square foot multi-tenant office business park located in Rockville, Maryland, for \$60.0 million. In connection with the purchase, the Company received a \$1.6 million credit for committed tenant improvements and leasing commissions. The Company made no acquisitions during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

During January, 2010, the Company completed the sale of a 131,000 square foot office building located in Houston, Texas, for a gross sales price of \$10.0 million, resulting in a net gain of \$5.2 million.

During May, 2009, the Company sold 3.4 acres of land held for development in Portland, Oregon, for a gross sales price of \$2.7 million, resulting in a net gain of \$1.5 million.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has a development in progress on a parcel within its Miami International Commerce Center in Miami, Florida, which upon completion is expected to comprise 75,000 square feet of leasable small-bay industrial space. The construction is scheduled to be completed in the third quarter of 2010 and as of June 30, 2010, 33.6% of the development was preleased.

Scheduled Lease Expirations:

In addition to the 1.8 million square feet, or 8.6%, of space available in our total portfolio as of June 30, 2010, leases representing 10.2% of the leased square footage of our total portfolio are scheduled to expire during the remainder of 2010. Our ability to re-lease available space depends upon the market conditions in the specific submarkets in which our properties are located.

Table of Contents***Impact of Inflation:***

Although inflation has not been significant in recent years, it remains a potential factor in our economy, and the Company continues to seek ways to mitigate its potential impact. A substantial portion of the Company's leases require tenants to pay operating expenses, including real estate taxes, utilities, and insurance, as well as increases in common area expenses, partially reducing the Company's exposure to inflation.

Concentration of Portfolio by Region:

Rental income, cost of operations and rental income less cost of operations, excluding depreciation and amortization, or net operating income (defined as NOI for purposes of the following tables) are summarized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 by major geographic region. The Company uses NOI and its components as a measurement of the performance of its commercial real estate. Management believes that these financial measures provide them, as well as the investor, the most consistent measurement on a comparative basis of the performance of the commercial real estate and its contribution to the value of the Company. Depreciation and amortization have been excluded from NOI as they are generally not used in determining the value of commercial real estate by management or the investment community. Depreciation and amortization are generally not used in determining value as they consider the historical costs of an asset compared to its current value; therefore, to understand the effect of the assets historical cost on the Company's results, investors should look at GAAP financial measures, such as total operating costs including depreciation and amortization. The Company's calculation of NOI may not be comparable to those of other companies and should not be used as an alternative to measures of performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. The tables below reflect rental income, operating expenses and NOI from continuing operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 based on geographical concentration. The total of all regions is equal to the amount of rental income and cost of operations recorded by the Company in accordance with GAAP. As part of the tables below, we have reconciled total NOI to income from continuing operations, which we consider the most directly comparable financial measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. The percent of total by region reflects the actual contribution to rental income, cost of operations and NOI during the period (in thousands):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010:

| Region | Weighted Square Footage | Percent of Total | Rental Income | Percent of Total | Cost of Operations | Percent of Total | NOI | Percent of Total |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Southern California | 3,988 | 19.6% | \$ 14,954 | 21.4% | \$ 4,296 | 19.8% | \$ 10,658 | 22.1% |
| Northern California | 1,818 | 8.9% | 4,902 | 7.0% | 1,662 | 7.7% | 3,240 | 6.7% |
| Southern Texas | 1,579 | 7.8% | 3,709 | 5.3% | 1,638 | 7.4% | 2,071 | 4.4% |
| Northern Texas | 1,689 | 8.3% | 4,181 | 6.0% | 1,491 | 6.9% | 2,690 | 5.6% |
| South Florida | 3,596 | 17.7% | 7,613 | 10.9% | 2,584 | 11.9% | 5,029 | 10.4% |
| Virginia | 3,020 | 14.8% | 14,426 | 20.6% | 3,543 | 16.3% | 10,883 | 22.6% |
| Maryland | 2,152 | 10.6% | 11,956 | 17.1% | 3,580 | 16.5% | 8,376 | 17.4% |
| Oregon | 1,314 | 6.4% | 4,727 | 6.8% | 1,607 | 7.4% | 3,120 | 6.5% |
| Arizona | 679 | 3.3% | 1,393 | 2.0% | 672 | 3.1% | 721 | 1.5% |
| Washington | 521 | 2.6% | 2,017 | 2.9% | 647 | 3.0% | 1,370 | 2.8% |
| Total NOI | 20,356 | 100.0% | \$ 69,878 | 100.0% | \$ 21,720 | 100.0% | \$ 48,158 | 100.0% |

Reconciliation of NOI to income from continuing operations

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Total NOI | \$ 48,158 |
| Other income and expenses: | |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Facility management fees | 163 |
| Interest and other income | 91 |
| Interest expense | (856) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (18,666) |
| General and administrative | (2,400) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 26,490 |

Table of Contents**Six Months Ended June 30, 2010:**

| Region | Weighted Square Footage | Percent of Total | Rental Income | Percent of Total | Cost of Operations | Percent of Total | NOI | Percent of Total |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| Southern California | 3,988 | 20.0% | \$ 29,443 | 21.5% | \$ 8,659 | 19.4% | \$ 20,784 | 22.5% |
| Northern California | 1,818 | 9.1% | 9,892 | 7.2% | 3,382 | 7.6% | 6,510 | 7.1% |
| Southern Texas | 1,306 | 6.6% | 6,104 | 4.5% | 2,650 | 5.9% | 3,454 | 3.7% |
| Northern Texas | 1,689 | 8.5% | 8,406 | 6.1% | 2,950 | 6.6% | 5,456 | 5.9% |
| South Florida | 3,596 | 18.0% | 15,511 | 11.3% | 5,237 | 11.7% | 10,274 | 11.1% |
| Virginia | 3,020 | 15.2% | 29,477 | 21.5% | 8,559 | 19.2% | 20,918 | 22.7% |
| Maryland | 1,992 | 10.0% | 22,049 | 16.1% | 7,309 | 16.4% | 14,740 | 16.0% |
| Oregon | 1,314 | 6.6% | 9,114 | 6.7% | 3,359 | 7.5% | 5,755 | 6.2% |
| Arizona | 679 | 3.4% | 2,897 | 2.1% | 1,303 | 2.9% | 1,594 | 1.7% |
| Washington | 521 | 2.6% | 4,117 | 3.0% | 1,278 | 2.8% | 2,839 | 3.1% |
| Total NOI | 19,923 | 100.0% | \$ 137,010 | 100.0% | \$ 44,686 | 100.0% | \$ 92,324 | 100.0% |

Reconciliation of NOI to income from continuing operations

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total NOI | \$ 92,324 |
| Other income and expenses: | |
| Facility management fees | 336 |
| Interest and other income | 200 |
| Interest expense | (1,711) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (36,856) |
| General and administrative | (5,149) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 49,144 |

Concentration of Credit Risk by Industry:

The information below depicts the industry concentration of our tenant base as of June 30, 2010. The Company analyzes this concentration to minimize significant industry exposure risk.

| Industry | Percent of Annualized Rental Income |
|---|--|
| Business Services | 14.3% |
| Health Services | 11.2% |
| Computer Hardware, Software and Related Services | 9.7% |
| Government | 8.8% |
| Warehouse, Distribution, Transportation and Logistics | 7.9% |
| Insurance and Financial Services | 7.5% |
| Engineering and Construction | 6.6% |
| Retail, Food, and Automotive | 6.3% |
| Communications | 5.2% |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|--------|
| Aerospace/Defense Products and Services | 3.8% |
| Home Furnishings | 3.8% |
| Electronics | 3.5% |
| Educational Services | 2.7% |
| Other | 8.7% |
| Total | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

The information below depicts the Company's top 10 customers by annualized rental income as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

| Tenants | Square Footage | Annualized Rental Income (1) | Percent of Annualized Rental Income |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| U.S. Government | 569 | \$ 12,841 | 4.6% |
| Kaiser Permanente | 194 | 4,376 | 1.6% |
| Lockheed Martin Corporation | 157 | 4,182 | 1.5% |
| Wells Fargo Bank | 101 | 1,767 | 0.6% |
| AARP | 102 | 1,757 | 0.6% |
| American Intercontinental University | 75 | 1,488 | 0.5% |
| Investorplace Media, LLC | 46 | 1,475 | 0.5% |
| Welch Allyn Protocol, Inc. | 91 | 1,420 | 0.5% |
| Verizon | 72 | 1,412 | 0.5% |
| Montgomery County Public School | 48 | 1,386 | 0.5% |
| Total | 1,455 | \$ 32,104 | 11.4% |

(1) For leases expiring prior to December 31, 2010, annualized rental income represents income to be received under existing leases from June 30, 2010 through the date of expiration.

Comparative Analysis of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 to the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Results of Operations: In order to evaluate the performance of the Company's overall portfolio over two comparable periods, management analyzes the operating performance of a consistent group of properties owned and operated throughout both periods (herein referred to as "Same Park"). Operating properties that the Company acquired subsequent to January 1, 2009 are referred to as "Non-Same Park". For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Same Park facilities constitute 19.4 million rentable square feet, which includes all assets in continuing operations that the Company owned from January 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010, representing 93.8% of the total square footage of the Company's portfolio as of June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the operating results of the Company's properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 in addition to other income and expense items affecting income from continuing operations. The Company reports Same Park operations to provide information regarding trends for properties the Company has held for the periods being compared (in thousands, except per square foot data):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|--|-------------|---------------|--|-------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
| Rental income: | | | | | | |
| Same Park (19.4 million rentable square feet) ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 66,474 | \$ 67,375 | (1.3%) | \$ 133,262 | \$ 136,507 | (2.4%) |
| Non-Same Park (1.3 million rentable square feet) ⁽²⁾ | 3,404 | | 100.0% | 3,748 | | 100.0% |
| Total rental income | 69,878 | 67,375 | 3.7% | 137,010 | 136,507 | 0.4% |
| Cost of operations: | | | | | | |
| Same Park | 20,553 | 21,251 | (3.3%) | 43,412 | 43,687 | (0.6%) |
| Non-Same Park | 1,167 | | 100.0% | 1,274 | | 100.0% |
| Total cost of operations | 21,720 | 21,251 | 2.2% | 44,686 | 43,687 | 2.3% |
| Net operating income ⁽³⁾ : | | | | | | |
| Same Park | 45,921 | 46,124 | (0.4%) | 89,850 | 92,820 | (3.2%) |
| Non-Same Park | 2,237 | | 100.0% | 2,474 | | 100.0% |
| Total net operating income | 48,158 | 46,124 | 4.4% | 92,324 | 92,820 | (0.5%) |
| Other income and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Facility management fees | 163 | 173 | (5.8%) | 336 | 350 | (4.0%) |
| Interest and other income | 91 | 68 | 33.8% | 200 | 247 | (19.0%) |
| Interest expense | (856) | (881) | (2.8%) | (1,711) | (1,811) | (5.5%) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (18,666) | (21,970) | (15.0%) | (36,856) | (44,584) | (17.3%) |
| General and administrative | (2,400) | (1,538) | 56.0% | (5,149) | (3,514) | 46.5% |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 26,490 | \$ 21,976 | 20.5% | \$ 49,144 | \$ 43,508 | 13.0% |
| Same Park gross margin ⁽⁴⁾ | 69.1% | 68.5% | 0.9% | 67.4% | 68.0% | (0.9%) |
| Same Park weighted average for the period: | | | | | | |
| Occupancy | 91.7% | 89.8% | 2.1% | 91.5% | 90.6% | 1.0% |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|----|-------|--------|
| Annualized realized rent per square foot ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ | 14.93 | \$ | 15.45 | (3.4%) | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 15.51 | (3.3%) |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|--------|----|-------|----|-------|--------|

(1) See above for a definition of Same Park.

(2) See above for a definition of Non-Same Park.

(3) Net operating income (NOI) is an important measurement in the commercial real estate industry for determining the value of the real estate generating the NOI. See

Concentration of Portfolio by Region above for more information on NOI. The Company's calculation of NOI may not be comparable to those of other companies and should not be used as an alternative to measures of performance in accordance with GAAP.

(4) Same Park gross margin is computed by dividing Same Park NOI by Same Park rental income.

- (5) Same Park realized rent per square foot represents the annualized Same Park rental income earned per occupied square foot.

Supplemental Property Data and Trends: Rental income, cost of operations and rental income less cost of operations, excluding depreciation and amortization, or net operating income prior to depreciation and amortization (defined as NOI for purposes of the following tables) from continuing operations summarized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 by major geographic region. See Concentration of Portfolio by Region above for more information on NOI, including why the Company presents NOI and how the Company uses NOI. The Company's calculation of NOI may not be comparable to those of other companies and should not be used as an alternative to measures of performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the Same Park operating results by major geographic region for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. In addition, the tables reflect the comparative impact on the overall rental income, cost of operations and NOI from properties that have been acquired since January 1, 2009, and the impact of such is included in Non-Same Park facilities in the tables below. As part of the tables below, we have reconciled total NOI to income from continuing operations (in thousands):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| Region | Rental Income June 30, 2010 | Rental Income June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | Cost of Operations June 30, 2010 | Cost of Operations June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | NOI June 30, 2010 | NOI June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Southern | | | | | | | | | |
| California | \$ 14,954 | \$ 15,436 | (3.1%) | \$ 4,296 | \$ 4,411 | (2.6%) | \$ 10,658 | \$ 11,025 | (3.3%) |
| Northern | | | | | | | | | |
| California | 4,902 | 5,059 | (3.1%) | 1,662 | 1,679 | (1.0%) | 3,240 | 3,380 | (4.1%) |
| Southern | | | | | | | | | |
| Texas | 2,350 | 2,394 | (1.8%) | 1,188 | 999 | 18.9% | 1,162 | 1,395 | (16.7%) |
| Northern | | | | | | | | | |
| Texas | 4,181 | 4,029 | 3.8% | 1,491 | 1,528 | (2.4%) | 2,690 | 2,501 | 7.6% |
| South Florida | 7,613 | 7,978 | (4.6%) | 2,584 | 2,587 | (0.1%) | 5,029 | 5,391 | (6.7%) |
| Virginia | 14,426 | 14,778 | (2.4%) | 3,543 | 4,195 | (15.5%) | 10,883 | 10,583 | 2.8% |
| Maryland | 9,911 | 9,576 | 3.5% | 2,863 | 2,865 | (0.1%) | 7,048 | 6,711 | 5.0% |
| Oregon | 4,727 | 4,327 | 9.2% | 1,607 | 1,758 | (8.6%) | 3,120 | 2,569 | 21.4% |
| Arizona | 1,393 | 1,536 | (9.3%) | 672 | 644 | 4.3% | 721 | 892 | (19.2%) |
| Washington | 2,017 | 2,262 | (10.8%) | 647 | 585 | 10.6% | 1,370 | 1,677 | (18.3%) |
| Total Same Park | 66,474 | 67,375 | (1.3%) | 20,553 | 21,251 | (3.3%) | 45,921 | 46,124 | (0.4%) |
| Non-Same Park | 3,404 | | 100.0% | 1,167 | | 100.0% | 2,237 | | 100.0% |
| Total NOI | \$ 69,878 | \$ 67,375 | 3.7% | \$ 21,720 | \$ 21,251 | 2.2% | \$ 48,158 | \$ 46,124 | 4.4% |

Reconciliation of NOI to income from continuing operations

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Total NOI | \$ 48,158 | \$ 46,124 | 4.4% |
| Other income and expenses: | | | |
| Facilities management fees | 163 | 173 | (5.8%) |
| Interest and other income | 91 | 68 | 33.8% |
| Interest expense | (856) | (881) | (2.8%) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (18,666) | (21,970) | (15.0%) |
| General and administrative | (2,400) | (1,538) | 56.0% |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 26,490 | \$ 21,976 | 20.5% |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | | | |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Rental | Rental | Cost of | Cost of |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|

| | Income | Income | | Operations | Operations | | NOI | NOI | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Region | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Southern California | \$ 29,443 | \$ 31,017 | (5.1%) | \$ 8,659 | \$ 8,740 | (0.9%) | \$ 20,784 | \$ 22,277 | (6.7%) |
| Northern California | 9,892 | 10,813 | (8.5%) | 3,382 | 3,286 | 2.9% | 6,510 | 7,527 | (13.5%) |
| Southern Texas | 4,745 | 4,962 | (4.4%) | 2,200 | 2,057 | 7.0% | 2,545 | 2,905 | (12.4%) |
| Northern Texas | 8,406 | 8,242 | 2.0% | 2,950 | 3,049 | (3.2%) | 5,456 | 5,193 | 5.1% |
| South Florida | 15,511 | 16,213 | (4.3%) | 5,237 | 5,228 | 0.2% | 10,274 | 10,985 | (6.5%) |
| Virginia | 29,477 | 29,311 | 0.6% | 8,559 | 9,094 | (5.9%) | 20,918 | 20,217 | 3.5% |
| Maryland | 19,660 | 19,336 | 1.7% | 6,485 | 6,141 | 5.6% | 13,175 | 13,195 | (0.2%) |
| Oregon | 9,114 | 8,725 | 4.5% | 3,359 | 3,540 | (5.1%) | 5,755 | 5,185 | 11.0% |
| Arizona | 2,897 | 3,211 | (9.8%) | 1,303 | 1,353 | (3.7%) | 1,594 | 1,858 | (14.2%) |
| Washington | 4,117 | 4,677 | (12.0%) | 1,278 | 1,199 | 6.6% | 2,839 | 3,478 | (18.4%) |
| Total Same Park | 133,262 | 136,507 | (2.4%) | 43,412 | 43,687 | (0.6%) | 89,850 | 92,820 | (3.2%) |
| Non-Same Park | 3,748 | | 100.0% | 1,274 | | 100.0% | 2,474 | | 100.0% |
| Total NOI | \$ 137,010 | \$ 136,507 | 0.4% | \$ 44,686 | \$ 43,687 | 2.3% | \$ 92,324 | \$ 92,820 | (0.5%) |

Reconciliation of NOI to income from continuing operations

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Total NOI | \$ 92,324 | \$ 92,820 | (0.5%) |
| Other income and expenses: | | | |
| Facilities management fees | 336 | 350 | (4.0%) |
| Interest and other income | 200 | 247 | (19.0%) |
| Interest expense | (1,711) | (1,811) | (5.5%) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (36,856) | (44,584) | (17.3%) |
| General and administrative | (5,149) | (3,514) | 46.5% |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 49,144 | \$ 43,508 | 13.0% |

Table of Contents

Rental Income: Rental income increased \$2.5 million from \$67.4 million to \$69.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 as a result of rental income from acquired properties of \$3.4 million partially offset by a decrease in Same Park rental income of \$901,000. Rental income increased \$503,000 from \$136.5 million to \$137.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 as a result of rental income from acquired properties of \$3.7 million partially offset by a decrease in Same Park rental income of \$3.2 million. The three and six month decrease in Same Park rental income was due to a reduction in rental rates.

Facility Management Operations: The Company's facility management operations account for a small portion of the Company's net income. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, \$163,000 of revenue was recognized from facility management fees compared to \$173,000 for the same period in 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$336,000 in revenue was recognized from facility management operations compared to \$350,000 for the same period in 2009.

Cost of Operations: Cost of operations increased \$469,000 from \$21.3 million to \$21.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 as a result of cost of operations from acquired properties of \$1.2 million partially offset by a \$698,000 decrease in Same Park costs of operations. The decrease in Same Park cost of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily due to a decrease in property taxes of \$306,000, repairs and maintenance of \$306,000 and payroll costs of \$243,000 partially offset by a \$173,000 increase in property insurance costs. Cost of operations increased \$999,000 from \$43.7 million to \$44.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 as a result of cost of operations from acquired properties of \$1.3 million partially offset by a \$275,000 decrease in Same Park costs of operations. The decrease in Same Park cost of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily due to a decrease in payroll costs of \$484,000 and property taxes of \$310,000 partially offset by an increase in repairs and maintenance of \$356,000 and property insurance costs of \$227,000.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense: Depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$18.7 million compared to \$22.0 million for the same period in 2009. Depreciation and amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$36.9 million compared to \$44.6 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a number of capital improvements that became fully depreciated combined with no acquisitions in 2008 and 2009.

General and Administrative Expense: General and administrative expense consisted of the following expenses (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Compensation expense | \$ 773 | \$ 626 | 23.5% |
| Stock compensation expense | 260 | 380 | (31.6%) |
| Professional and investor services | 392 | 354 | 10.7% |
| Acquisition transaction costs | 787 | | 100.0% |
| Other expenses | 188 | 178 | 5.6% |
| Total | \$ 2,400 | \$ 1,538 | 56.0% |

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase (Decrease) |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Compensation expense | \$ 1,522 | \$ 1,448 | 5.1% |
| Stock compensation expense | 549 | 1,078 | (49.1%) |
| Professional and investor services | 795 | 661 | 20.3% |

Edgar Filing: PS BUSINESS PARKS INC/CA - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| Acquisition transaction costs | 1,904 | | 100.0% |
| Other expenses | 379 | 327 | 15.9% |
| Total | \$ 5,149 | \$ 3,514 | 46.5% |

32

Table of Contents

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, general and administrative costs have increased \$862,000, or 56.0%, and \$1.6 million, or 46.5%, respectively, over the same periods in 2009. The increase in general and administrative costs was primarily due to \$787,000 and \$1.9 million of acquisition transaction costs related to 2010 property acquisitions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. Excluding the acquisition transaction costs for the three months ended June 30, 2010, general and administrative costs would have increased \$75,000 from \$1.5 million to \$1.6 million primarily due to publication fees relating to the redemption of preferred stock. Excluding the acquisition transaction costs for the six months ended June 30, 2010, general and administrative costs would have decreased \$269,000 from \$3.5 million to \$3.2 million primarily due to lower stock compensation expense resulting from the completion of a four year long-term incentive plan for senior management in March, 2009.

Interest and Other Income: Interest and other income reflect earnings on cash balances in addition to miscellaneous income items. Interest income was \$61,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$38,000 for the same period in 2009. Interest income was \$144,000 and \$196,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily attributable to higher average cash balances. The decrease for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 was primarily attributable to lower effective interest rates partially offset by higher average cash balances in 2010. Average cash balances and effective interest rates for the six months ended June 30, 2010 were \$172.0 million and 0.2%, respectively, compared to \$44.7 million and 0.9%, respectively, for the same period in 2009.

Interest Expense: Interest expense was \$856,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$881,000 for the same period in 2009. Interest expense was \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease was primarily attributable to the repayment of a mortgage note of \$5.1 million during the first quarter of 2009.

Gain on Sale of Land and Real Estate Facility: Included in total discontinued operations is the gain on the sale of a 131,000 square foot office building located in Houston, Texas, for a gross sales price of \$10.0 million, resulting in a net gain of \$5.2 million during January, 2010.

During May, 2009, the Company sold 3.4 acres of land held for development in Portland, Oregon, for a gross sales price of \$2.7 million, resulting in a net gain of \$1.5 million.

Net Income Allocable to Noncontrolling Interests: Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests reflects the net income allocable to equity interests in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company. Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests was \$4.5 million of allocated income (\$1.8 million allocated to preferred unit holders and \$2.7 million allocated to common unit holders) for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$4.3 million of allocated income (\$1.4 million allocated to preferred unit holders and \$2.9 million of income allocated to common unit holders) for the same period in 2009. Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests was \$9.4 million of allocated income (\$3.1 million allocated to preferred unit holders and \$6.3 million allocated to common unit holders) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$9.2 million (\$5.3 million loss allocated to preferred unit holders and \$14.5 million of income allocated to common unit holders) for the same period in 2009. The increase in net income allocable to non-controlling interests for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was primarily due to non-cash distributions associated with preferred equity redemption partially offset by a decrease in cash distributions as a result of the redemption.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$164.5 million from \$208.2 million at December 31, 2009 to \$43.7 million at June 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily the result of acquisitions of properties located in Maryland and Texas and the redemptions of preferred equity during the first half of 2010.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$88.9 million and \$93.3 million, respectively. Management believes that the Company's internally generated net cash provided by operating activities will be sufficient to enable it to meet its operating expenses, capital improvements, debt service requirements and distributions to shareholders.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities was \$132.1 million and \$8.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The change of \$123.3 million was primarily due to cash paid for acquisitions in Maryland and Texas of \$123.6 million during the first half of 2010 combined with an increase in capital improvements of \$6.3 million. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in proceeds from the sale of real estate of \$6.6 million. No properties were acquired during the first six months of 2009.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$121.3 million and \$117.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The change of \$3.8 million was primarily due to an increase in cash paid for preferred equity redemption/repurchase of \$11.6 million and an increase in cash distributions to common shareholders of \$3.5 million as a result of issuance of common stock during the third quarter of 2009 partially offset by an increase in proceeds received from exercise of stock options of \$5.2 million and the repayment of a mortgage note payable of \$5.1 million during the first quarter of 2009.

The Company's preferred equity outstanding decreased to 25.5% of its market capitalization as of June 30, 2010 due to the redemption of preferred equity. The Company's capital structure is characterized by a low level of leverage. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had five fixed-rate mortgages totaling \$52.2 million, which represented 2.1% of its total market capitalization. The Company calculates market capitalization by adding (1) the liquidation preference of the Company's outstanding preferred equity, (2) principal value of the Company's outstanding mortgages and (3) the total number of common shares and common units outstanding at June 30, 2010 multiplied by the closing price of the stock on that date. The weighted average interest rate for the mortgages is 5.8% per annum. The Company had 6.7% of its properties, in terms of net book value, encumbered at June 30, 2010.

On August 14, 2009, the Company closed the sale of 3,450,000 shares of common stock in a public offering and concurrently sold 383,333 shares of common stock to PS. The aggregate net proceeds were \$171.2 million.

The Company focuses on retaining cash for reinvestment as we believe that this provides the greatest level of financial flexibility. While operating performance has been down recently due to the economic recession, it is possible that when the economy recovers and operating fundamentals improve, additional increases in distributions to the Company's common shareholders may be required. Going forward, the Company will continue to monitor its taxable income and the corresponding dividend requirements.

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company extended the term of its line of credit (the Credit Facility) with Wells Fargo Bank to August 1, 2012. The Credit Facility has a borrowing limit of \$100.0 million. Interest on outstanding borrowings is payable monthly. The rate of interest charged is equal to a rate ranging from the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.60% to LIBOR plus 2.60% depending on the Company's credit ratings and coverage ratios, as defined (currently LIBOR plus 2.00%). In addition, the Company is required to pay an annual commitment fee ranging from 0.15% to 0.40% of the borrowing limit (currently 0.25%). The Company had no balance outstanding on its Credit Facility at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

Non-GAAP Supplemental Disclosure Measure: Funds from Operations: Management believes that Funds from Operations (FFO) is a useful supplemental measure of the Company's operating performance. The Company computes FFO in accordance with the White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). The White Paper defines FFO as net income, computed in accordance with GAAP, before depreciation, amortization, gains or losses on asset dispositions, net income allocable to noncontrolling interests common units, net income allocable to restricted stock unit holders and nonrecurring items. Management believes that FFO provides a useful measure of the Company's operating performance and when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities, general and administrative expenses and interest costs, providing a perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

Table of Contents

FFO should be analyzed in conjunction with net income. However, FFO should not be viewed as a substitute for net income as a measure of operating performance or liquidity as it does not reflect depreciation and amortization costs or the level of capital expenditure and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of the Company's properties, which are significant economic costs and could materially affect the Company's results of operations.

Management believes FFO provides useful information to the investment community about the Company's operating performance when compared to the performance of other real estate companies as FFO is generally recognized as the industry standard for reporting operations of REITs. Other REITs may use different methods for calculating FFO and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to other real estate companies.

FFO for the Company is computed as follows (in thousands):

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income allocable to common shareholders | \$ 9,229 | \$ 8,152 | \$ 20,974 | \$ 40,757 |
| Gain on sale of land and real estate facility | | (1,488) | (5,153) | (1,488) |
| Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ | 18,666 | 22,097 | 36,856 | 44,840 |
| Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests common units | 2,749 | 2,900 | 6,261 | 14,523 |
| Net income allocable to restricted stock unit holders | 37 | 52 | 84 | 263 |
| Consolidated FFO allocable to common and dilutive shares | 30,681 | 31,713 | 59,022 | 98,895 |
| FFO allocated to noncontrolling interests common units | (7,021) | (8,283) | (13,526) | (25,853) |
| FFO allocated to restricted stock unit holders | (90) | (151) | (189) | (488) |
| FFO allocated to common shares | \$ 23,570 | \$ 23,279 | \$ 45,307 | \$ 72,554 |

(1) Includes depreciation from discontinued operations.

FFO allocable to common and dilutive shares for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1.0 million compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease in FFO per common and dilutive share for the three months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 was primarily due to non-cash distributions associated with preferred equity redemptions during the second quarter of 2010 and an increase in general and administrative costs due primarily to transaction costs associated with asset acquisitions. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in net operating income from acquired properties and a decrease in preferred equity cash distributions as a result of the redemptions noted above. FFO allocable to common and dilutive shares for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$39.9 million compared to the same periods in 2009. The decrease in FFO per common and dilutive share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 over the same period in 2009 was primarily due to the net gain of \$35.6 million on the repurchase of preferred equity during the first quarter of 2009, non-cash distributions associated with preferred equity redemptions and an increase in general and administrative costs. The increase in general and administrative costs over the prior periods was primarily due to \$787,000 and \$1.9 million of acquisition transaction costs related to 2010 property acquisitions for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Capital Expenditures: During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company expended \$11.4 million in recurring capital expenditures, or \$0.57 per weighted average square foot owned. The Company defines recurring capital expenditures as those necessary to maintain and operate its commercial real estate at its current economic value. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company expended \$11.1 million in recurring capital expenditures, or \$0.57 per weighted average square foot owned. The following table depicts actual capital expenditures (in thousands):

| | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Recurring capital expenditures | \$ 11,420 | \$ 11,099 |
| Property renovations and other capital expenditures | 6,289 | 268 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 17,709 | \$ 11,367 |

Property renovations and other capital expenditures increased \$6.0 million from \$268,000 to \$6.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of the development at Miami International Commerce Center in Miami, Florida, combined with other property renovations.

Repurchase of Common Stock: The Company's Board of Directors previously authorized the repurchase, from time to time, of up to 6.5 million shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. Since inception of the program, the Company has repurchased an aggregate of 4.3 million shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$152.8 million, or an average cost per share of \$35.84. Under existing board authorizations, the Company can repurchase an additional 2.2 million shares. No shares of common stock were repurchased under this program during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Redemption of Preferred Equity: On May 12, 2010, the Company completed the redemption of its 7.950% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units at its aggregate par value of \$20.0 million, and on June 7, 2010, the Company completed the redemption of its 7.950% Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series K at its aggregate par value of \$54.1 million, in each case, together with accrued unpaid dividends. In connection with these redemptions, the Company reported the excess of the redemption amount over the carrying amount of \$2.4 million, equal to the original issuance costs, as a reduction of net income allocable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Repurchase of Preferred Equity: During March, 2009, the Company paid \$50.2 million to repurchase 3,208,174 various depositary shares, each representing 1/1,000 of a share of Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and \$12.3 million to repurchase 853,300 units of various series of Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units for a weighted average purchase price of \$15.40 per share/unit. The purchase price discount, equaling the liquidation value of \$25.00 per depositary share/unit over the weighted average purchase price per share/unit of \$15.40, was added to net income allocable to common shareholders, net of the original issue discount.

Distributions: The Company has elected and intends to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain its status as a REIT, the Company must meet, among other tests, sources of income, share ownership and certain asset tests. As a REIT, the Company is not taxed on that portion of its taxable income that is distributed to its shareholders provided that at least 90% of its taxable income is distributed to its shareholders prior to the filing of its tax return.

Related Party Transactions: Concurrent with the public offering that closed August 14, 2009, the Company sold 383,333 shares of common stock to PS for net proceeds of \$17.8 million.

At June 30, 2010, PS owned 23.6% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock and 22.9% of the outstanding common units of the Operating Partnership (100% of the common units not owned by the Company). Assuming issuance of the Company's common stock upon redemption of its partnership units, PS would own 41.1% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. Ronald L. Havner, Jr., the Company's chairman, is also the Chief Executive Officer, President and a Director of PS. Harvey Lenkin is a Director of both the Company and PS.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to a cost sharing and administrative services agreement, the Company shares costs with PS and affiliated entities for certain administrative services, which are allocated among PS and its affiliates in accordance with a methodology intended to fairly allocate those costs. These costs totaled \$112,000 and \$93,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$319,000 and \$186,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, the Company provides property management services for properties owned by PS and its affiliates for a fee of 5% of the gross revenues of such properties in addition to reimbursement of direct costs. These management fee revenues recognized under management contracts with affiliated parties totaled \$163,000 and \$173,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$336,000 and \$350,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In December, 2006, PS also began providing property management services for the mini storage component of two assets owned by the Company for a fee of 6% of the gross revenues of such properties in addition to reimbursement of certain costs. Management fee expense recognized under the management contracts with PS totaled \$12,000 and \$10,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and \$23,000 and \$27,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The PS Business Parks name and logo is owned by PS and licensed to the Company under a non-exclusive, royalty-free license agreement. The license can be terminated by either party for any reason with six-months written notice.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements: The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations: The Company is scheduled to pay cash dividends of \$44.3 million per year on its preferred equity outstanding as of June 30, 2010. Dividends are paid when and if declared by the Company's Board of Directors and accumulate if not paid. Shares and units of preferred equity are redeemable by the Company in order to preserve its status as a REIT and are also redeemable five years after issuance.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

To limit the Company's exposure to market risk, the Company principally finances its operations and growth with permanent equity capital consisting of either common or preferred stock. At June 30, 2010, the Company's debt as a percentage of equity was 3.7%.

The Company's market risk sensitive instruments at June 30, 2010 include mortgage notes payable of \$52.2 million and the Company's Credit Facility. All of the Company's mortgage notes payable bear interest at fixed rates. At June 30, 2010, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under its Credit Facility. See Notes 5 and 6 to the consolidated financial statements for terms, valuations and approximate principal maturities of the mortgage notes payable and line of credit as of June 30, 2010. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company, combined with the amount of fixed-rate debt financing, the difference between the carrying amount of debt and its fair value is insignificant.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company currently is neither subject to any material litigation nor, to management's knowledge, is any material litigation currently threatened against the Company other than routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase, from time to time, of up to 6.5 million shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The authorization has no expiration date. Purchases will be made subject to market conditions and other investment opportunities available to the Company.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no shares of the Company's common stock repurchased. As of June 30, 2010, 2,206,221 shares remain available for repurchase under the program.

See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information on repurchases of equity securities.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Exhibit 10.1 | Fifth Modification Agreement dated as of July 28, 2010 to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated October 29, 2002. Filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 2, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| Exhibit 12 | Statement re: Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 32.1 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 101 | The following materials from PS Business Parks, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) related notes to these consolidated financial statements, tagged as blocks of text. Furnished herewith. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 6, 2010

PS BUSINESS PARKS, INC.

BY: /s/ Edward A. Stokx
Edward A. Stokx
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Exhibit 10.1 | Fifth Modification Agreement dated as of July 28, 2010 to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated October 29, 2002. Filed with Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 2, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference. |
| Exhibit 12 | Statement re: Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 32.1 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Filed herewith. |
| Exhibit 101 | The following materials from PS Business Parks, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statement of Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) related notes to these consolidated financial statements, tagged as blocks of text. Furnished herewith. |